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DEPOSIT BABELY SAVED

The Anti-Greenway Candidate Elected by a Large Majority.

DETAILS OF THE GONTEST

His Grace Archbishop Lange
vin is Interviewed by a Reporter.

The byeelection fn , st. Boniface to fill the seat in the local the retirement of Mr. Prendergast was

held on Saturday and its results speak volumes. Five hundred and ninety| aix votes were polled, of these | Mr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lauzon, Conservative, received | $\mathbf{i s 3}$ | and Mr. Bertrand, Liberal, 208, thus

giving Mr. Lauzon a majority of 180 Had Mr. Lauzon received ten of th
vates polled for Mr. Bertrand the lat ter would have lost his deposit. As it quite true that His Grace Archbisho Laingevin openly directed his people to support the candidate whu tadvocated had considerable erfect on the result of the election. But it is also true tha two governments, the federal and local,
took an active hand in the fight and elect Mr. Bertrand. The Nor'-Wester has been informed that whiskey literally fiooded the half-breed alistricts
ready made clothing was handed out in bales to the needy Metis, and all in order to induce them to vote for Ber
trand. Mr. Lauzon's trand. Mr. Lauzon's to voporters know
tig of this beforehand traversed every portion of the riding and gave warn
ing to the half-breeds.. In one polling ub-division whiseeds. was one polling
ng polling dur
hours. The Metis drank ing polling hours. The Metis drank
pentifuly of it apd the result wa
they became so stupified that they were unable to mark their ballots for withstanding the bribes they had re ceived. Another feature of the elec-
tion is the fact that a majority of 78 was turned intozon in Jajority of 180 tor
im. When Mr. him. When Mr. Prendergast ${ }^{\text {Pas }}$ was
elected in 1896 over Mr. Lauzon it was elected in 1896 over Mr. Lauzon it was
openly known that he received whe
clerical support, and it was a difficult task to make the half-breeds under-
stand why in the course of one. year this support should be turned from
he Liberal to the Conservative. Many ot the Metis in the country districts ld not know there was an election on
ntil they were informed of it by the aspiring candidates or their agents.
one ease three half-breeds drove into the tawn of St. Boniface to vote
and before knowing of the Archbishop, Mishes they had polled their votes for Mr. Bertrand.
The followin
ives the filing tabulated statement
division in the of each polling sub-
 Total:.
 \% |



though of French origin, he is, in most
matters, thoroughly in touch with the Sentiments of English speaking ace were invited to pronounce upon overnment, as well as upon a parti-
cular portion of that h but fair to assume that in voting they kept in mind the many
acts of maladministration of Mr. Greenway, and by their whole as well as in part. But undoubtedly the chief issue of the contest was the School Question. The contest French Roman Catholics of Manitoba ment," and Mr. Laurier, even more han Mr. Greenway, was on trial at
heir hands. Mr. Laurier had boasted hat he had satisfied the Roman CathPrendergast, the former member of he constituency, having accepted the settlement when a judgeship for himEain, resigned his seat and nominate his successor Mr. S. A. D. Bertrand, wise was understood to have accepted he settlement. The gauntlet was desperate measures were taken to secure sufficient votes for Mr. Bertrand justify the clal that, even under Archbishop's flock would not follow repudiation of his uncompromisin
the settlement Messrs. Bourassa and Brodeur were campaign, and every known electoral device was utilized to ensure the sucArchbishop took up the cher. The His sermon last Sunday week mad dan the issue, and the Dominio waited with interest to see how many
of his people would disregard his wishes. His opponents, however, soon ound the fight utterly hopeless. M personal popularity, in spite of "the efforts of Messrs. Bourassa and Bro deur, in spite of promises of a railwa through the constituency, in spite the use of all the influence of the in spite of an enormous "barrel," and in spite of his prospects of securin most the entire Protestant vote of tinued in the field as a supporter is deposit. Recognizing this, he re canted. He issued during the wee circulated amongst the Roman Cath the Protestants, announcing that $h$ was an opponent and not a supporter
of the "settlement," How thorough was that recantation may be gathered
from a perusal of the following ex tract from
"First.-That I have never accepte
the settiement as such. It reinstate us in our former position.
it does not remove the question from It does not remove the question from
the political arena. My efforts in, the improving the law in the Catholic "Secondly.-I assuredly expressec
the opinion that the opinion that it would be
advantageous to give the settlement
trial. It is now forbidden to us to trial. It is now forbidden to us to
give or advise a trial of the same. accent this disciplinar
submit to the same
I condemn the adoption of th my duty to express the blame in the
house. This also applies to my house. This also applies
and second declarations.
(Sgd.) S. A. D. BERTRAND." This statement by Mr. Bertrand pre cludes the possibility of any claim
being set up that votes cast for him represent approval of the Laurier Greenway
many pettlement. It and allowed
political friends of Mr. Bertrand to poll in his
ravor votes which under other circum stances would have been polled agains
him. In spite of his recantation, how
him. In spite of his recantation, how-
ever, Mr. Bertrand saved his deposit
by only ten votes. The fact that he
was originally supposed to favor the settlement $\quad$ operated disastrously
against him. When
Whe against him. When the Protestant vote, the compulsory vote and the
lought vote are subtracted from the total polled for him, it will be seen how very hittle bona fide French Ro
man Catholic support he received, in spite of dis admitted personal popularthat the French Roman Catholies Manitoba are unanimously opposed to the settlement." Mr. Laurler has not in fact, is not settled. There question, many who will think this deplorable. of the verdict of St. Boniface, it is now idie for Mr. Greenway to mutilate ou National School System by proceedin Protestants do amendments; which Protestants do not want, and which Roman Catholics declare they will
have none of. It is useless to do pending further development The election is certainly a tribute $t$ over his people. It is a demonstration which will doubtless stimulate the advanced Rouge like Mr. Bertran succumbs in the end to eccleciastical de, may be confidently pre it that the Rouges of Quebec when dare support Mr mandements of their Bishops. Conservatives certainly will not rejoice a
a prolongation of the unhappy strug gle which their party, at much sacri but they will not be without some sec ret satisfaction at the poetic justice of the situation should they behold the storm be invoked for their un doing.

## MODERN RICHARD

Mr . Greenway has amazing offron race at all after the manner in which he has treated the people of that con to the contrary erything politically most dear to them He has killed ther separate shomool
ystem. he has made away with the tficial use of their language, he has
cut down their representation in the legislature And yet he has the tha
acity to go to them with piofessions of love on to his them with phofessions
This wooing is
comparable only to the wootng of the Lady Anne by Gloucester in presence
of the corpse of the murdered Henry. One can almost imagine Mr. Grean vay solilioquizing in

## What! I that killed her husband and

his father. With hate
The hleeding witnesses of her hatred
by;
Having God, her conscience, and these
bars asainst me. nd 1

And yet to win her, all the world to
nothing!
brave prince forgot already that
Edward, her lord, whom I some three
months
And will she yet bebase her eyes on
bury mood at Tewks-
hat cropped the golden prime of this
sweet prince
med ?
The Lady Anne yielded weakly to
the flateries of Richard; and miserably afterwards did she repent her-
fclly. So wil it be with St. Boniface
should she allow herel Stim to the cajoleries of her enemy.
St. Boniface, however, will scarcely . Boniface, however, will scarcely
se so plant. Mr. Greenway is more
like to encounter from her the scornlike to encounter from her the scorn-
ful indignation of a Margaret of Anjou, than the y
a Lady Anne.
First Pig-"And they 'll cat us up for
ork and use our skins to make foatSecond Piq-" Well. if they ever make
footballout on my skin, Ill be glad I'm
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## Gothuter efavinu. <br> WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 24. <br> TERMS OF <br> OUR SETTLEMENT.

THE CATHOLIC PLATFORM
1 Control of our schools.
2 Catholic school districts.
3 Catholie teachers, duly certificated but trained in our own training schools as in England.
4 Catholic inspectors.
5 Catholic readers, our own text books of history and descriptive geography, and full liberty to religious questions comment on during school hours.

- Our share of school taxes and gov
ernment grants, and exemptio ernment grants, and exemption
from taxation for other schools.


## CRERNT COMMENT

The result of the
The St. Boniface elect
ion is more gratify ing to Catholic than the mere ma jority, large as it is, would of itself
signify. Out of 855 registered voters signify. Out of 855 registered voters,
598 voted, 388 casting their votes for 598 voted, ' 888 casting their votes for
Mr. J. B. Lauzon and 208 for Mr. S. A. D. Bertrand. As the third 596 is (in whole numbers) 199 Mr. Bertrand saves his nomination deed, a narrow escape. The largest majorities wetre rolled up in St. Boniface, 70 , and in St. Norbert, 60 . In one
poll only, and that one controlled by poll only, and that one controlled by omployers who are strong Liberals,
did Mr. Bertrand secure a local majorty of 18. Mr. Lauzon's general major ity represents almost a third of the total number of votes polled. The size of this majority will be better appreciated by comparing it with an average eastern constituency, of, say, 5000 zon's majority would have been 1678 ! zon's majority would have been 1678 !
But the significance of the victory becomes far greater, when we consider that the Liberal candidate would not have secured half of his small minority, had he not taken care to condemn the socalled settlement and to promise on the floor of the house the School Acts of 1890 . So strong was the feeling against the Laurier-Greenway deal that even their accredited and chosen
representative, chosen and accredited
was obliged in self-defence to profess
disapproval of the very measure which he was appointed to represent. All those Catholics who voted for Mr. Bertrand were deceived by this ingeniou subterfuge, actuated as they wel
by personal esteem for thi popular business man. Thus the
issue of the St. Boniface election is a unanimous condemnation, by all
Catholic voters, of the so-called settle ment. This unanimity of the Catholics is made still more evident by the fact voters' lists for St. Boniface riding, Mr. Lauzon, and thus a great part of Mr. Lauzon, and thus a great part o
Mr. Bertrand's minority was due to the sympathy of Protestants who were ept in ignorance of his declaratio condemning the "settlement."
the tablet and messis. blaike
The London Tablet was reported by cable, about a fortnight since, as baving declared that Mr. Blake's recently adopt ed views on the Privy Council judgmen nouncement was received with indignnt unbelief by most Catholices who have hitherto known the Tablet as a well in ormed and thoroughly Catholic journal Unfortunately, the issue of that paper
dated February th proves that the cableram was correct. While publishing the Hon. Edward Blake's reply to the Hon Charles Fitzpatrick's letter asking for information as to what are the remedia powers of the Dominion Parliament in
consequence of the Privy Council judgment, the Tablet also prints anothe flippant and jaunty letter from "A
Catholic Canadian" attempting to reply the weighty letter of "A Priest in London" which we reproduced last
week, and then the great London weekmakes the following comment: "We publish elsewhere a document which will have a painfol interest for the Catholics of Canada and indeed for their coeligionists all the world over. Our read rs are aware that it is thought in certain quarters that some of the Catholic leado assume a more irreconcilable atlitude Wards Mr. Lawrier's Government than bey would otherwise have done, under the impression that the recent judgment of the Privy Council entitles then to and would ultimately secure for them, restoration of the old separate schoo system of Manitoba in its entirety. In consequence of this feeling, and of representations reported to Lave been made in
Rome, the Solicitor-General for Cauada Rome, the Solicitor-General for Cauad
has asked Mr. Blake, who triumphantl conducted the Catholic case before the Privy Council, to state bis opinion as to the effect of the judgnent. Mr. Blake has replied fully, and we fear his opinion must be accepted as final. Practically comes to this, that the judgment doe nothing but establish a moral claim on
the part of the Catholics of Manitoba to the favorable consideration of the Go ernment of the Province." The 'Tablet' further remarks on this subject, though meant as consolatory, reveal how completels the editor has succumbed to the Hon. Charles Fitzpatrick's filmsy cajolery. But we will deal with this latte gentleman presentiy. First let us exa ine Mr. Blake's reply.
It las all based on the false hypothesis abmitted to him by Mr. Fitzpatrick that "the Roman Catholic Bishops of the Province of Quebec have stated to at Rome" that trefect of the Propagand ed Catholics "were entitled to their previous to schools as they enjoyed then This, we maintain, is a fole Act of 1890. This, we maintain, is a false supposition
The Bishops uever made any such re presentation to Rome. They simply sen thither copies of the judgment, pointing
out at the ame time the ovident acknow ledgment therein contained of a griev ance that ought to be remedied. They distinctly refrained from asserting that pricisbly as they existed previous to
1890. Thus, Mr. Blake is fage 1890. Thus, Mr. Blake is fighting a man
of straw. To khow how poorly he battles
with this figment, now that he is bewitteled by the smiles of his party in power,
we must needs recapitulate briefly our whole position.
Take, in the first place, the words of Manitoba's Constitutional Act. Section
22 , sub-section $\left(2^{*}\right)$ reads: ". An anpea ball he to the reads: "An appea Council from any act or decision of the Legislature of the Province, or of any Provincial authority, affecting any right r privilege of the Protestant or Roman Catholic minority of the Queen's subjuct in relation to education." Sub-section (3) reads: "In case any such Provincial law as from time to time seems to the or the dne execntion of the provisions of this section is not male, or in case Council on any appeat under theneral in is not duly executed by the proper Provincial authority in that behali, then, and in every such case, and as far only s the circumstances of each case may require, the Parliament of Canada may nake remedial laws for the due execution of the provisions of this section, and of any decision of the Governor-General in Conncil under thi hat prove this enactment to be essent ally executive.
school acts of 1890 suc to "affect any right or privilege of the Roman Catholic minority in relation education"? The second Privy Council
judgment, though unable to upset its previous incomprebensible decision that these acts were intra vires, did its best to repair the wrong by expatiating upon the grievance which it affirmed to be andeniable, and categorically said that Catholic rights and privileges were focted by the legislation of 1890. There But the right on our part to appeal to But the right on our part to appeal to
the Governor-General in Council supposes the correlative duty on the part the Governor-General in Council to ordorthe redress of the grievances stated in the appeal. Stise the entire fabric of No sane mind can, we think nugatory this argument. The only difficulty is as the manner in

## On this redressed.

On this point Mr. Blake's oversight makes from the judgment is really astounding. When the Lords of the Privy Conncil say: "it is certainly not essential that the statute repealed by the the precise provisions of this statute should agsin be made law," they evid ently imply, by using the word "precise," that very subatkntial, though no dentically the same, provisions should be made, and indeed they distinctly say further on, that "all legitimate ground o
complaint would be removed if the ex complaint would be removed if [the ex
isting] system were sapplemented by provisions which would remove the grievances upon which the appoal is founded and were modified so far those provisions." If these words of the higbest tribunal in the Empire mean anything, they assuredly mean that what Catholics complain of should be altered Now the only things that are altered by the bo-called settlement are those of
which the Catbolics never complained They never complained that they could not teach religion after school hours, for they knew they always could do so Naither did they complain that there were no Catholic teachers in the Protest nt schools, for there were always some Nor did they ask for bilingual readers,
for they were allowed to teach far more Frenth were allowed to teach far more
Frenan since the socalled ettlement. Consequently, concessione bave been trixmphantly bestowed upon for which they never asted, and the figment of a complote restoration of the
past, which they always openly disclaim. od is set up as an excuse for doing nothing. The diahonesty of the whole proceedin the more disgusting now that it it lothed
Blake.
Wha
tion, as it existed before 1890 , not eve
the name of "Separres the name of "Separate Schools "-but
Catholic atmosphere for our children the constituent elements of which ar detailed at the beginning of our editoria page under the heading "The Catholie Platform." In the face of this just clain Mr. Blake's thinking "the provisions of infinitely" ${ }^{-a}$ strange superlative from the pen of so temperate a writer" more advantageous to the Roman Catholic minority than any Remedia Bill" is lamentably absurd. How can
settlement that gives nothing, nay tha gives us leas than what we had withou it, be "iofinitely more advantageons have exempted us from paying in our axes for the support of Protestan nothing cultiplied by infinity still re wains nothing, and that a minus quant ty multiplied by infinty becomes infin itely smaller than before. Hence it is
that we beartily endorse the following ramarks of the Casket
"How Mr. Blake reconciles this with
his duy to his late clients, the Manitoba his duty to his late clients, the Manititha
minoriy, we do not quite see. It is no masy
eatoul
she
should inave accepted a retainer frow
them tor the purpose of obtaining a de-
chion from tue Privy Council which, if
hiss present opinion is to be taken at it
face is paractically not worth the paper
was written on. If Mr. Blake thinks hat
Pari
Was written on. If Mr. Blake thinke that
Parlianemt is charged by the Conscitu-
tion with a certain duty, and does not at
the same time
the same time possess the powers ne ne
cessary to the fultilment of that duty, we
can only say, with all deference to
high reputation as a constitutional
la wyer, that his opinion is diametricall
opposed to the bitherto received inter-
pretation of the Britist North America
pretation of the Britisht Morth America
Act."
Turn we how to the Hon. Cbarle Fitzpatrick. Tbe intrinsic evidence that A Catholic Canadian" is none othe since the latter's Priest in London," bas become over whelming. The letter which attempta hat reply has all thesigns of being his ork. First, its appearance the very ext week after the object of the attack roves that its author was in or near ondon at the time. Secondly, it bears all the ear-marks of the Laarier cabinet: prodigious inaccuracy, ignoring of unanswerable arguments, praise of Mr. Laurier's catholicism and of Mr. Prendergast's self-denial, suggestion of further oncessions by Mr. Greenway; in a word is crammed with those stereotyped hibboleths of which Mr. Tarte has made us sick unto death. Of the inaccuracy of
this letter an almost incredible instance is the repetition for thensecond time that Mr. Laurier is the " first Catholic Prime Minister of Canada." No one but a member of a Cabinet which the intoxica ion of newly acquired power, after ighteen years of hunger, has made at once oblivious of the past and unmindful
of the future, could commit such a prodigious blunder. Those who do not believe that the political horizon begins and ends with a Lanrier sanburst will remember a certain distinguished convert to the Catholic Church, for whom his religion was no accident, wbo was till his sudden death at the feet of our Gracious Qúeen, a weekly communicant and who died clothed in all the insignia If fervent piety. Sir John Thompson we ventare to think; was our first Cathlic Prime Minister. Compared to bis catholiciem Mr. Laurier's is a vanishing point, anent which the less said the better. Mr. Fitzpatrick is careful not to reply to what "A Priest in London "says about Mr. Laurier attending a Protestant church, and of course he conveniently orgets the Prime Minister's declaration ar year whou he was yot in opposition, hat he repudiated all dictation from ven the highest exponents of Catholicprepared to disobey the Pope. At best a Catholic Prime Minister of Canada is far lees acceptable to sensible Catholics hater a Protestant, becasse, while tu to us, the former cannot be even just without bepag accused of partiality. Bat Catholic of the Laurier stamp is a rea alamity for his co-religionists.
Mr. Fitspatrick echoes his leader al-
mont verbatim when he says no man mont verbation when he says "no man
has made more sacrlfices than Mr. Pren-
of thing, like the quotation from Lauier's speech about Montalembert, may oo down in England, where the facts are not known; but here, where Mr. Prendergast's past is illunined by the fierce ght of bis present, such an assertion has decidedly funny sound. People in this part of the world have been searching in ain with microscope, telescope and flu ooscope to discover those "sacrifices" oflich we hear so much. The only hing that looks like one of them is the act that Mr. Prendergast left the local cabinet in 1889 before the School Acts of 390 were passed. His friends now say hat he then sacrificed his position to his atholic principles. But, if they looked up the dates more carefully, they would find that Mr. Prendergast's resigntion tock place before the first move was made against Catholic schools, That renation was wrung from him by the continual slights put upon him by his ellow ministers. Mr. Prendergast, being sensitive man, withdrew and may have been right, though not heroic, in doing ; but we fail to see therein any sacrico made for the Catholic cause.
It is sad to see the Tablet hood wiuked y such special pleading. But the mere no proof that Cardinal Vaughan, who supposed to be the proprietor of the aper, approves this stand. We can hurch would lenat a Prince of the plausible itinerant cabinet minister whc has failed in his mission to Rome, than to the Manitoba Archbishop and all the hierarchy of Canada.
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