The Canada Law Journal.

Vol. XXVI.

DECEMBER 31, 1890.

No. 20.

Among considerations known to the law as "illegal" is that of consenting to stifle a prosecution for a criminal offence. An agreement founded on such a consideration cannot be specifically enforced, as may be seen from the late case of Windhill Local Board v. Vint, 63 L.T.N.S., 366. There the defendants in the course of working a quarry obstructed a public highway. The plaintiffs indicted the defendants for committing a nuisance, but before the case was tried agreed to a compromise, whereby the defendants agreed to restore the highway within a limited time, and the plaintiffs agreed that the indictment during such time should lie in the office, and that upon the work being completed they would consent to a verdict of "not guilty" on the indictment. The highway not having been restored, the plaintiffs brought the action to enforce the specific performance of the compromise. Cotton, L.I., lays down that the reason of the illegality of such considerations is this, that the Court will not allow as legal any agreement which has the effect of withdrawing from the ordinary course of justice a prosecution when the offence is an injury to the public. It will be observed that he confines the rule to cases of injury to the public. McClatchie v. Haslam. 63 L.T.N.S., 376, however, was a case where the plaintiff had been induced to give a mortgage on her property to secure money misappropriated by her husbandin order to avert a prosecution of her husband for embezzlement. Although no agreement was made to stay the prosecution, and though no actual threat was used by the defendants, yet Kekewich, J., held that this being the sole motive in the plaintiff's mind for entering into the transaction, it was invalid and must be cancelled, which certainly seems going à long way.

The recent decision of the English Court of Appeal in Eddowes v. The Argentine Loan Co., 63 L.T.N.S., 364, appears somewhat to qualify the cases in our own courts of Cunningham v. Lyster, 13 Gr., 575, and Clendennan v. Grant, 10 P.R., 593, the principle of which has been followed in many other cases which are not reported. In Cunningham v. Lyster the Court of Chancery decided that an accommodation indorser was entitled to file a bill against the holder, and maker of the note, to compel the latter to pay it, and relief was granted by directing the maker to pay the amount of the note into Court, to be applied in payment of the note. In that case the plaintiff himself had not paid the note and non constat that

he would ever be called upon to do so. The bill merely alleged that the holder neglected and refused to proceed against the maker for its recovery. No objection appears to have been raised by the maker as to the plaintiff's right to bring the action. It was therefore virtually the case of a plaintiff enforcing a contract of indemnity before he had suffered damage, and the same was the case in Clendennan v. Grant. In the latter case, however, a suit had been brought against the surety, but he had not paid the debt. In Eddowes v. The Argentine Loan Co., the contract was to indemnify a firm carrying on business in the Argentine Republic, against a certain claim. The claimants had recovered judgment in respect of this claim in England against the firm, but it had no assets in England, and before it could be enforced an action would have to be brought in the Argentine Republic. The plaintiff claimed that the surety should be ordered to pay off the English judgment—but the Court of Appeal held that the plaintiff thus far had not suffered any damage, and having no property in England was not in any danger of being damaged by the English judgment, and therefore they dismissed the action.

By the retirement of Lord Justice Cotton from the Bench in consequence of ill-health, the English Court of Appeal has lost one of its most conspicuous ornaments. At this distance we can only speak of the retired judge as he has put himself en evidence on the pages of the law reports. We cannot speak of those personal qualities apart from intellectual ability, which are so necessary to the satisfactory discharge of the judicial functions, and which can only be properly appreciated by actual experience of them. Judging from the reports, however, we cannot fail to recognize in the retired judge one who possesses a judicial grasp of the principles of law of the highest order, and his grasp of those principles is no less noteworthy than the facility with which he applied them. can read his clear exposition of facts, and notice the directness with which he was able at once to seize the crucial point of a case, without recognizing in him a master mind. In the possession of such men as James, Jessel, Cotton, and Brett, the English Court of Appeal has been singularly fortunate, and its decisions, as a rule, have commanded the highest respect. The graceful and entirely heartfelt eulogy passed on the retiring judge, both by Lord Esher, on behalf of the Court of Appeal, and by Sir Richard Webster, on behalf of the Bar, are pleasant reading to all who have the welfare of the profession at heart, and indicate not only the high esteem, but the warm affection with which the retiring judge was regarded. Such expressions of good will and appreciation men seldom live to hear themselves, it is generally only when they are dead and buried that their contemporaries give utterance to such sentiments. The cause of the learned Lord Justice's retirement is to be deplored, and it is to be hoped that a respite from the labors of the bench may enable him yet to enjoy many years of Mr. Justice Kay, we see, is promoted to the Court of Appeal, and Mr. Romer, Q.C., succeeds Mr. Justice Kay.

THE LAND TITLES ACT.

The pamphlet edition of the Land Titles Act, issued by the Ontario Government in 1887 having become exhausted, it was necessary to issue another, and the Master of Titles has availed himself of the occasion to incorporate with the main Act the provisions of subsequent Acts. In a little volume entitled, "The Land Titles Act as amended by subsequent Acts, with new rules and tariff of fees," these are inserted in their proper places, within brackets.

It has also been deemed advisable to consolidate the rules appended to the Act in the Revised Statutes and those of February, 1889, with a number of additional provisions, which the experience of the offices of Land Titles has shown to be required. This has accordingly been done in a body of new rules, seventy-nine in all, passed by the Lieut.-Governor in Council on 14th Nov. last, which supersede all former rules. These new rules, with a revised tariff and some additional forms, are published in this volume. Foot-notes are appended refering from the statutory provisions to the related rules and forms, and vice versa.

Among the most important of the new rules we notice the following: Rule 16 which gives the Master authority to require parties to proceed with their applications, under the penalty of their being treated as withdrawn. Rule 22 provides for the withdrawal of cautions. Rule 36 simplifies the method of placing a notice of a lease, or an agreement for a lease on the register. Rule 45 abolishes the restriction as to the number of persons who may be registered as owners of any parcel of land. A form of application for a notice to terminate a caution and one for the withdrawal of a caution are also given.

By the new tariff several changes are made, and we are glad to see they are in the line of lessening the fees. One of the most important of these is a reduction in the charge for examination of the title deeds. Under the former tariff this was fifty cents for each instrument examined when the property was worth \$1000, and thirty cents when the value was under \$1000. is now thirty cents when the value is \$2000, and twenty cents when it is under this amount. Certainly these fees are not extravagant. change will considerably decrease the expense of bringing lands under the Act, but the decrease which would tell most is that which would be obtained by dispensing with advertisements in all ordinary cases. Private parties purchase without advertising, and we do not think the claims on the assurance fund would be alarmingly increased if the Master of Titles were authorized to adopt a like practice. We believe the advertising sometimes costs nearly as much as the charges in the Master's office. Certificates of ownership have been reduced from \$2 to \$1. On the other hand, the charges for registering long instruments have Where the document is not more than ten folios, the fee remains as heretofore, namely, \$2 for registration, including searches as to title and for executions, when made at the time of registration, and also including the entry of a charge, or partial transfer, upon the land certificate. Where, however, the instrument with affidavits exceeds ten folios a charge of ten cents for each additional folio is now authorized. We suppose this change has been

made on account of the recent tendency to introduce long and special provisions into mortgages. The decisions on the Short Form Mortgage Act make some additions necessary, but the length of the mortgage forms used by some loan companies and a few private lenders is simply appalling. The fee of registering a transfer of a charge is reduced from \$2 to \$1. If more charges than one are transferred by the same instrument fifty cents is to be paid for each additional charge. As most transfers include one charge this is a very considerate reduction.

This publication, for which we are indebted to our most efficient and courteous Master of Titles, will be found extremely useful to the increasing number who have business in the Land Titles offices. The success which has attended this system in this country cannot be remarked upon without at the same time remembering how much of this success is due to the manner in which the Act has been administered by Mr. Scott.

COMMENTS ON CURRENT ENGLISH DECISIONS.

PRACTICE-CONSENT ORDER-WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT.

In Lewis's v. Lewis, 45 Chy. D., 281, counsel for the plaintiff, assuming to carry out a compromise which had been agreed to by his client, consented to an order. Before the order was drawn up the plaintiff's solicitors discovered that the minutes of the order which had been consented to, did not properly carry out the agreement to which the plaintiff had assented, and an application was then made to withdraw the consent, which Kekewich, J., allowed to be done; holding that the case differed from Matthews v. Munster, 20 Q.B.D., 141, where the compromise had been agreed to by counsel by virtue of his inherent authority, and not in pursuance of instructions, as in this case, and as to which he was of opinion there had been a misunderstanding.

PRACTICE—DISCOVERY—DOCUMENTS OF TITLE—PRIVILEGE—AFFIDAVIT ON PRODUCTION, CONCLUSIVENESS OF.

Morris v. Edwards, 14 App. Cas., 309 (which is noted ante vol. 25, p. 520), although only a practice case, has nevertheless arrived at the House of Lords. Their lordships held (affirming the Court of Appeal) that the defendant's affidavit of documents was sufficient in stating that the documents objected to be produced related solely to his own title, and did not tend to prove or support the plaintiff's title, and it was unnecessary to allege that they did not impeach the defence; and also that a contentious affidavit was inadmissible to contradict the defendant's affidavit. This point ought now to be set at rest.

PRINCIPAL AND AGENT—AUTHORITY OF AGENT TO BORROW—LIABILITY OF PRINCIPAL WHERE AGENT EXCEEDS HIS AUTHORITY.

Montaignac v. Shitta, 15 App. Cas., 357, is a decision of the Privy Council on the law of principal and agent, the question being whether a principal was bound by the act of his agent where the agent had acted in excess of his authority. The action was brought to recover a loan made by the plaintiff to the defendants through their agent, and the defendants claimed that the agent had exceeded his authority in agreeing to the terms and rate of interest on which the money was

advanced. The agent had, under his power of attorney, implied power to borrow money for the purpose of carrying on his principal's business, and their lordships were of opinion that this implied power, under circumstances of emergency, must be deemed to include power to borrow on exceptional terms, outside the ordinary course of business, and that a lender was not bound to inquire whether the emergency had arisen or not, but was entitled to recover from the principal, sums advanced bona fide without notice that the agent was exceeding his authority.

PRACTICE—VERDICT IN PURSUANCE OF AWARD—COSTS—APPORTIONMENT OF COSTS.

In O'Rourke v. The Commissioners of Railways, 15 App. Cas., 371, the Privy Council, following Duke of Buccleuch v. Metropolitan Board of Works, 1 H.L.C, 418, held that where a case is referred to arbitration by a consent order, and the arbitrators are directed to return a general award on the whole declaration for a sum certain, and such award is thereby directed to be entered as a verdict whereon final judgment may be signed, and the costs of the action, reference, and award, are directed to abide the result—and an award is thereupon rendered for the plaintiffs for part of their claim, carrying costs—it is not open to the court to give the defendant judgment in respect of the residue of the sum claimed, and then direct the taxing-officer to ascertain, by the evidence of the arbitrators and others, what parts of the plaintiffs' claim the defendant had succeeded on, with a view to the apportionment of the costs, because evidence on that point would be inadmissible as tending to explain or contradict the award.

ABSOLUTE CONVEYANCE CLAIMED TO BE A MORTGAGE—EVIDENCE TO CONTRADICT DEED.

Barton v. Bank of New South Wales, 15 App. Cas., 379, was an appeal to the Privy Council in a case in which the plaintiff claimed that a deed, though absolute in form, was intended to be a mortgage. The circumstances of the case were that William Barton, who died in 1881, had in 1869 borrowed from the defendants £600, and had deposited, as security for the loan, the title deeds of three parcels of land. In 1874 the debt and interest being then £723 odd, he executed an absolute conveyance of the three parcels to the defendants, in which the fact of the loan was recited, the amount due, and that the deed was made in What was attempted to be relied on as showsatisfaction of £400 of the debt. ing that the deed was intended to be a mortgage was a correspondence which had subsequently taken place between the parties, in which some equivocal expressions had been used, and some entries in the defendant's books of account. and the neglect to credit the £400 to the borrower's credit; but these, in the opinion of their lordships, were wholly insufficient to establish the plaintiff's contention, especially as the explanation of the bank's omission to credit the £400 had been excluded, on an objection taken by the plaintiff

STOPPAGE IN TRANSITU—DELIVERY TO CARRIER—DURATION OF TRANSITUS.

In Lyons v. Hoffnung, 15 App. Cas., 391, the Judicial Committee has added the weight of its authority in support of the soundness of the rule of law laid down by the Court of Appeal in Bethell v. Clark, 20 Q.B.D., 615 (see ante vol. 24, p. 293). In that case Lord Esher, M.R., stated the rule to be, that "when the goods have not been delivered to the purchaser, or to any agent of his to hold for

him otherwise than as a carrier, but are still in the hands of the carrier as such and for the purposes of transit, then, although such carrier was the purchaser's agent to accept delivery so as to pass the property, nevertheless the goods are in transitu and may be stopped." This is to be understood as limited to the case of goods delivered to a carrier for the purpose of being carried to a place indicated at the time of sale, and does not extend to cases where the goods are delivered to a carrier or agent, not for the purpose of being carried to a destination indicated at the time of sale, but to be held subject to the orders of the buyer; in which case the transit would, as far as the vendor is concerned, end with the delivery to the agent.

STATUTORY BODY, LIABILITY OF FOR NON-FEASANCE-DAMAGES.

In The Sanitary Commissioners of Gibraltar v. Orfila, 15 App. Cas., 400, the question is discussed as to the extent to which statutory bodies are liable for nonfeasance. The Judicial Committee, following the principles laid down by the House of Lords in Mersey Docks v. Gibbs, L.R., I H.L., 93, determined that the liability of such bodies is governed by the statute which creates them; that the powers conferred when executed at all must be executed with due care; and in the absence of a contrary intention appearing by the statute creating them, such bodies and their funds are rendered subject to the same liabilities as the general law would impose on a private person doing the same thing. But in the case of mere non-feasance no claim for reparation will lie, except at the instance of a person who can show that the statute under which the body is created imposed a duty towards himself, which they negligently failed to perform. injury complained of in the present case arose from the fall of an overhanging road, consequent upon the giving way of its retaining wall, which the corporation was under a statutory duty to maintain merely for the purposes of road conservancy, and it appeared that the result was due to the original defects in the structure of the wall, and that the corporation was not negligently ignorant thereof, and not guilty of misfeasance; and it was held that, according to the true construction of the ordinance constituting the corporation, it was vested with administrative powers subject to the control of the Government, and that there was no intention to render it responsible to the plaintiffs for the injury complained of.

Collision-Damages-Demurrage.

In The City of Peking v. Compagnie des Messageries, 15 App. Cas., 438, was an action to recover damages for a collision. The plaintiffs claimed to recover damage for the period their vessel was detained, owing to the collision; and it was held by the Privy Council that such claim could only be maintained for actual expenses incurred for the detained vessel, such as lodging, maintenance, and wages, of the crew; but not for the profits which the injured vessel might have earned, where, as in this case, another vessel, belonging to the same owners, had, at the defendants' expense and indemnity for loss occasioned by the substitution, been doing the work the injured vessel would have done if not disabled. From this it would appear that where loss of profits are claimed by reason of demurrage, there must be an actual loss, and the mere detention unaccompanied by any actual loss does not afford any ground for such a claim.

Notes on Exchanges and Legal Scrap Book.

DETECTION OF MURDER BY MICRO-PHOTOGRAPHY .-- During last month the ever-courteous Sir John Thompson, Minister of Justice, granted an audience to Dr. Richard Wicksteed, of the Law Department of the House of Commons, and Mr. H. N. Topley, Photographer to the Department of the Interior. object of the interviewers was to ask the Minister to authorize the purchase of Photomicrographic apparatus for the public service of Canada. Dr. Wicksteed said that his attention as a jurist had been attracted by the account, in The British Journal of Photography, of the successful reproduction of the image on the retina of the eye of an insect, by photographic experts. at Vienna. similar feats had been published previously, but apparently these reports were fables. If evidence were procurable from the photographed image of the last object seen by murdered persons,—say for instance the girls at Cumberland, what invaluable evidence it would be. He also drew attention to an article on the detection of forgery by similar apparatus, published in The Canada Law JOURNAL of the 15th November (ante p. 557). The Minister then read the notes from Vienna appearing in The British Journal of Photography, which runs as

"It does not very often happen to us to have to record a greater triumph in the application of photography to scientific purposes than has recently been chronicled from Vienna. Professors Dr. J. M. Eder, Ritter von Reisinger, and Dr. Sigmund Exner have succeeded--and thoroughly too-in photographing the visual image on the retina of a beetle. The apparatus employed was the large micro-photographic installation by Zeiss, and the beetle, whose devotion to the advancement of science cost him his life, was a male of the species Lampyris splendidula, the females having eyes that are far less perfect in construction. The eye was cut out, the pigment removed from the back, and immersed on a plate of mica in a solution of glycerine diluted until its index of refraction equalled that of the blood of the beetle. The object of the experiment was not only to Obtain a photograph of the retina, but also to enable an opinion of the sharpness of sight of the beetle to be formed. One of the windows of the chemical lecture theatre of the Photographic Institute overlooks the neighbouring house tops, giving an excellent view of the Schottenfield church and church tower. The windows were shut, and on one of the panes was pasted the letter R cut out in paper, and against this window the eye of the deceased fly was directed, and the resulting retinal image was fixed upon an Angerer bromide plate by means of a Zeiss apochromatic, and a projection eye-piece. Collotype reproductions of the negative have been supplied to the readers of the Photographische Correspondenz, and enable an accurate idea to be formed of what the beetle actually sees. In it the panels of the window are distinctly and clearly visible, as is also the letter R. The distant church appeared as of less importance in the beetle's eye, but was nevertheless distinctly visible. How it occurs that the eye of the insect, with its hundred facets, succeeds in producing upon the retina so clear and distinct a single image, still remains as wonderful as before; but, as the first permanent and indisputable record of what a beetle actually sees, we venture to think that this successful experiment of Messrs. Eder, Reisinger, and Exner is likely to become classical."

Mr. Topley then explained the photographic details of the process. He said, further, that almost every Department at Washington possessed apparatus such as he now applied for—of this he was personally cognizant, having studied for some months in that city the refinements of photography. He wished to make

his department as complete as possible. Such a photomicrographic apparatus would cost about \$250.00. It would be invaluable to the Departments of Justice, the Interior, the Geological survey, the Customs, Inland Revenue, and Secretary of State. Sir John Thompson appeared deeply interested in the subject, and authorized the purchase of the apparatus.

Reviews and Notices of Books

The Bills of Exchange Act, 1890, being a Codification of the Law-Merchant Respecting Bills of Exchange, Cheques, and Promissory Notes, with Explanatory Notes and Illustrations from Canadian, English, and American Decisions. By Thomas Hodgins, M.A., one of Her Majesty's Counsel. Toronto: Rowsell & Hutchison, 1890.

Mr. Hodgins has deserved well of the profession by the promptitude with which he has brought out his work on the Bills of Exchange Act passed in the last session of the Dominion Parliament. The passing of this Act marks a new point of departure in the law of Bills and Notes which had, heretofore, rested mainly, though of course not exclusively, on the mercantile usage as interpreted by judicial decisions. The new Act, while making some important changes, as, for instance, the introduction of the system of crossed cheques, is chiefly remarkable as being practically a codification of the existing law on its subject. It is, of course, too much to hope that the tangled and thorny wilderness of "case-law" has been entirely levelled and cleared by this one trenchant stroke of the legislative axe, but there is no room for doubt that it has done considerable execution in this way, and that a decided step had been taken towards the goal indicated in our author's happily chosen Ciceronian motto, and the happy era when "one and the same law will prevail amongst all nations and at all times."

Mr. Hodgins' book begins by an interesting and instructive introduction, in which he traces the origin and history of the various kinds of negotiable securities, and indicates their leading characteristics, and the principal variations which are incident to their use in different countries. Then follows the Act itself, accompanied by an ample apparatus of notes and illustrations, designed to bring out with all necessary fullness the meaning of the text, and to explain its bearing and application by a copious citation of English and American authorities, as well as the decisions of our own courts. Great labor has evidently been bestowed upon the work, which, we are satisfied, will be found of the greatest assistance to the profession when called on to deal with the constantly recurring questions coming up in practice under the Act.

It could scarcely be expected that a work so extensive, involving so much patient labor, and produced with such rapidity, should be free from errors, and we feel it incumbent upon us to refer to a few points in which the author's views seem open to question. We had intended to call attention to what we consider an error on p. 57 (fourth line from the top), where the book, as we had it, stated

that a "bill payable on presentation" would include a "bill payable on sight," and that such bills (i.e., bills payable on sight), "are not entitled to 'days of grace." We learn, however, that the author has corrected this error in a small list of corrigenda, which has just been issued, so that his statement is now to the effect that sight bills "may be" entitled to days of grace. We think, however, that a stronger statement still would be justifiable, viz., that such bills are so entitled. Then again on p. 129 (note 3 to sec. 30), the author refers to the present statutory provisions against usury in Ontario and Quebec as being set out in R.S.C., c. 127, s.s. 10 and 11, not noting the fact that these provisions have been repealed by 53 Vict. (Dom.), cap. 34, sec. 2. This, however, is explained by the fact that the statutes of 53 Vict., were not issued till about the time the work came from the press, and so the effect of cap. 34 escaped notice. On p. 56, the case of Howland v. Jennings, 11 U.C.C.P. 272, is quoted as an authority for the proposition that "interest is recoverable on a note at the rate specified in it till payment," but that case has been distinctly overruled by the much later one of Dalby v Humphrey, 37 U.C.R., 514, in which it was held that in such cases interest after the day named for payment is in the nature of damages, and the rate is in the discretion of the Court or jury. unavoidable in any work of equal extent and composed under similar conditions to the volume before us; they will no doubt be corrected in future editions of Mr. Hodgins' book, and do not seriously impair its value as a full, painstaking, and generally accurate statement and elucidation of the important branch of law with which it deals. The index is good, and we notice that the author gives a convenient separation in the table of cases cited, giving them under the country to which they belong. We cannot speak with unstinted praise of the proof-reader's work. Dante would, with difficulty, recognize his compatriots under the guise of "Gibelius" (p. 3); the title of "indefeasable" (p. 1) to its penultimate vowel does not appear to us "indefeasible;" there is an unfortunate vacillation between "juridical" and "juridicial" on p. 61, and "transfered" and "transferer" on p. 131 are, like the succulent oyster, all the worse for dropping an "r." It may be objected that to such matters the maxim de minimis applies, but if the law cares not for such things, a critic, even in a law journal, cannot wholly disregard them. If this book were not likely to be a standard work on the subject of bills and notes in this country we might not perhaps have been so particular in pointing out these minor matters.

In view of the importance and value of the work, we are tempted to regret also that the publishers did not use larger type in the notes. The work is so valuable and so full of meat that it is entitled to larger display. It is much more exhaustive than a cursory glance would indicate. There are some 1370 cases cited, and we should judge they have also been carefully examined, as we notice that in very many cases the head notes have been carefully condensed, showing that no labor has been spared by the learned author. We trust he will soon be called upon for a second edition, which, doubtless, will be free from blemishes incident to necessarily hurried preparation and prompt production, and which will more suitably and conveniently present the mass of information collected in its pages.

A Handbook for Magistrates in relation to Summary Convictions and Orders and Indictable Offences. By Hon. Thomas H. McGuire, one of the Justices of the Supreme Court of the N.W. Territories. Carswell & Co., Toronto, 1890.

This little work, in 100 pp., was written, as the learned author explains in his preface, as a plain and simple guide to Magistrates in their duties under the Acts relating to Summary Convictions and Indictable Offences.

After a brief introduction, indicating the source of the authority of a Justice of the Peace, the volume is divided into two parts; Part I. dealing with summary matters, and Part II. with indictable offences.

Part I., amongst other matters, points out how jurisdiction is conferred; gives practical instructions for preparing the information; indicates the number of Justices necessary; what may be done by one alone; defines when a summons or a warrant should be issued to secure the attendance of the defendant; treats of evidence, how witnesses may be compelled to attend, who are competent, the number required, rules for taking it, cross-examination, and lays down the procedure if defendant fails to appear; whilst Part II. gives equally minute instructions in regard to the procedure where the Magistrate's duty is limited to an inquiry whether the person charged before them should be required to stand his trial before some higher tribunal.

It will be seen from the above outline that the matter treated of is of great practical importance. A perusal of the book justifies the statement that it will be found very useful not only to Justices themselves, but to members of the legal profession. In a narrow compass a large amount of information is afforded.

The author's chief merit is perspicuity. Wherever possible, a synopsis is given of the law upon any point, in tabular form. As an instance of his method, at p. 20 under the head of "Number of Witnesses" he says: "As a rule one witness is sufficient, but in the following cases such evidence must be corroborated by other legal evidence: (1) Perjury; (2) Seduction, R.S.C., ch. 157, s. 6; (3) Forgery, if the witness is the person interested in respect of the forged document, ch. 174, s. 218; (4) Procuring feigned marriages, ch. 161, s. 2; (5) Treason, requiring two witnesses; (6) Where young children are permitted to give evidence without being sworn, ch. 37, s. 13 (1890)."

At the beginning of the work a number of charts or maps are prefixed, conveying information as to modes of procedure at a glance.

The language used throughout the volume is as free from technical expressions as possible, and as Magistrates rarely have the Reports accessible, there are but few references to authorities.

The work would seem to fill a long felt want, and will doubtless find a ready sale as soon as its value becomes generally known.

DIARY FOR DECEMBER.

- Mon.... Princess of Wales born, 1844.
 Tues....General Sessions and County Court Sittings for Trial in York. 4. Thur....Chancer; Division High Court of Justice sits. 6. Sat.....Michaelmas Term and High Court of Justice

- Wed.....First Lower Canadian Parliament met, 1792.
 Thur....Slavery abolished in the United States, 1862.
 Sun.....4th Sunday in Advent. St. Thomas. Shortest
- day. 24. Wed.....Christmas Vacation begins. 25. Thur.....Christmas Day. Sir M. Hale died, 1676, æt. 67.

- 26. Fri. St. Stephen.
 27. Sat. J. G. Spragge, 3rd Chan., 1869.
 28. Sun. lst Sunday after Christmas, Innocents' Day.
- 30. Tues..... Holt, C.J., born, 1642.

Reports.

ONTARIO.

EXTRADITION CASE.

IN RE PARKER.

Extradition—Discharge under Habeas Corpus —Re-arrest—Jurisdiction of County Judge.

The prisoner, accused of forgery in the United States, fled to Ontario, and was committed for extradition by a County judge, but was discharged on habeas corpus for a defect in the proceedings. On a new information before another County judge it was objected that the judge of another county had no jurisdiction and could not interfere, and that as the prisoner had already been discharged on another warrant, he could not be re-

Held, 1. That the jurisdiction of a County judge is under R.S.O., cap. 142, s. 5, co-extensive with that of a judge of the Superior Court.

2. That as the County judge (before whom the prisoner was lately brought; was acting under the Extradition Act he was not barred by a previous discharge under a habeas corpus. [St. Thomas, Aug. 26, 1890.

In this case the prisoner was a fugitive from justice from the State of Kansas, the charge against him being that of forgery. He was found in the county of Middlesex, and was committed for extradition by the Junior Judge of that county; but, on account of some defect in the proceedings (see 19 Ont. Rep. 612), he was discharged upon habeas corpus. A new information was then formulated upon the same facts and submitted to the Senior and Junior Judges of the County of Middlesex, who, however, declined to issue another warrant, thinking they were barred by the provisions of the habeas The same inforcorpus Act, 31 Car., cap. 2. mation was subsequently produced before the

judge of the county of Elgin, and a warrant applied for, which was granted.

On the prisoner being brought before the judge of the county of Elgin the same charge as had previously been made was investigated upon fresh evidence, and the defect in the former evidence supplied. Objection was taken on behalf of the prisoner to the jurisdiction of the County judge, on the ground that the case belonged to the county of Middlesex, in which the prisoner was found, and that he had already been charged with the same crime upon the same facts, with the exception that the promissory note, the alleged forged instrument, was now produced; and, amongst a number of other grounds, it was urged that under the habeas corpus Act a man could not be arrested after discharge thereunder.

McKillop for the State of Kansas.

R. M. Meredith for the prisoner.

Hughes, Co. J.:-

The extradition judge is the only authority in this province to inaugurate proceedings under the treaty. His acts are ancillary to the proper and legal exercise of jurisdiction over the accused, and the offences alleged against him by the foreign tribunal alone competent to deal with him; so that whatever acts, whether judicial or magisterial here are necessary, are ancillary to and in aid of the court in the country where the alleged offence was committed, and whence the accused has fled, for without his intervention the foreign tribunal would be shorn of its power to do justice in the case.

In view of the objection to my jurisdiction in this matter, I must observe: (1) That under the fifth section of the Act it is co-extensive with that of the judges of the superior courts of and limited only by the bounds of the Provinceand not by those of the county of Elgin, and every county judge has, for the purposes of the Act, all powers and jurisdiction of any judge or magistrate of the Province. (2) That it is not a bar to my acting in the case that the prisoner has been discharged by habeas corpus since I issued the warrant against him under the Extradition Act.

I am unable to suppose that if this had been an accusation of murder, committed in the State of Kansas, instead of forgery, the provisions of the treaty would be set aside or governed or controlled by our local or domestic laws, affecting the liberty of the subject, merely because

the accused had been discharged, either by an extradition judge or upon a writ of habeas corpus, for some or any defect in the proceedings in this country; either that the person who issued the first warrant had no jurisdiction or that there was some defect in the preliminary proof or proceedings, or that the warrant or order upon which he stood committed was invalid, or that the person had purged away the offence or crime by a failure in proof upon the preliminary enquiry, or that the discharge upon the habeas corpus is a bar to any subsequent arrest.

The principle contended for by counsel here, "Nemo bis vexari pro una et eadem causa," can only be held to have application where there has been a trial, and a final conviction or acquittal upon that trial; or where there has been an accusation in one form of indictment and disposed of by a legal termination, and the person accused by another form on the same facts, or where a statute provides a bar to further proceedings.

My acting in this capacity under the treaty and the Extradition Act, is simply and purely ancillary-in aid of the foreign court to which jurisdiction of trying this prisoner, and pronouncing upon the crimes alleged against him belongs-there is no power to try either the offence or the offender here, and a murderer or a convict for any crime named in or covered by the treaty (according to my judgment) does not purge his crime by a discharge upon habeas corpus. It would be contrary to the polity of the governments who are parties to the treaty, and of international law. We might harbor malefactors to any extent if such were held to be the case, contrary to the comity and object of the treaty. I hold that the treaty, being of international arrangement and concern, must be upheld and carried out to all reasonable intendment, and that it overrides all previously existing domestic laws to the contrary.

Although it is true the practice under the treaty is governed by our mode of conducting preliminary enquiries, as a matter of procedure, still the principle which applies to cases submitted to a grand jury in this country, where, if a bill of indictment be ignored in a charge for a criminal offence—upon which a prisoner is confined in gaol—there is nothing, although he be discharged from custody, in the fact of ignoring the bill, to prevent the accusation being taken

up, on a subsequent occasion, by another grand jury, at some future sittings of a court of competent jurisdiction, and of a bill of indictment being found for the same offence. The ignoring of the bill on the first indictment and the discharge of the accused from gaol, is no bar to the second accusation, nor would it purge the offence in any way.

The statute of Charles does not apply to a person accused of a crime committed in a foreign country—it only applies to cases of commitment on a criminal charge for an offence against the domestic law of this country. Nothing could justify the arrest of a foreigner—who has sought an asylum here, as a fugitive from foreign justice for a criminal offence alleged to have been committed abroad—in the absence of a treaty justifying such a proceeding—in Rex v. Mackintosh, 1 Stra., 308, it was held that the statute of Charles did not apply to a person committed for treason done in Scotland, because the courts will not act under the statute in the case of a person charged with a crime committed abroad.

Under the existing treaty with the United States, and the Extradition Act, the judges who perform judicial acts ancillary to the tribunals of the United States within the convention must be treated as should those tribunals themselves, in order to promote the purposes for which those tribunals exist, their acts are in the interest of promoting civilization by the detection, prevention, and punishment of crime, and to hold that the former discharge upon habeas corpus by a single judge would be to oust the foreign tribunal of its jurisdiction over the offence; or to suppose that the domestic law of this province would allow a fugitive from a foreign land, who has sought an asylum here, to purge his offence committed in the foreign land, no matter how heinous it might be, would be to turn the treaty into a failure and a delusion, and as said by KENT, C.J., "The statute never intended such a destruction of principle as to entrust to a judge, in vacation, the power to control the judgment or check the jurisdiction of a court of record," and it is not the policy of the high contracting parties to the existing treaty, that the criminals of either country should be covert or couchant in the country of the other.

I therefore find that the prisoner, John Wesley Parker, is a fugitive from justice from Coffee County in the State of Kansas, accused there of the crimes hereinbefore enumerated and referred to, with intent to defraud, as set forth in the information filed with me, and I must therefore order him to be held for such crimes so committed, and issue my warrant for his committal to the common gaol of the county of Elgin (being the nearest convenient prison) there to remain until surrendered to the State of Kansas, or to the United States of America, or discharged according to law.

And here, as my further duty under the 12th Section of the Extradition Act is, I inform the prisoner that he will not be surrendered until after the expiration of fifteen days, and that he has the right to apply for a writ of habeas corpus.

Early Notes of Canadian Cases.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR ONTARIO.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

Queen's Bench Division.

Full Ct.]

Nov. 26.

REGINA v. RAY.

Criminal law—Bigamy—Proof of first marriage.

Upon an indictment for bigamy, the first marriage must be strictly proved as a marriage de jure.

Evidence of a confession by the prisoner of his first marriage, is not evidence upon which he can be convicted.

J. R. Cartwright, Q.C., for the Crown. Lyman Lee, for the prisoner.

[Nov. 26

REGINA v. DAY.

Criminal law—Statements of prisoner to detectives—Admissibility of evidence.

During the trial of the prisoner for murder, questions arose as to the admissibility in evidence of statements made by the prisoner to certain detectives, in answer to questions put to him by the detectives, the prisoner being at the time in the custody of the detectives.

Held, upon a case reserved, that the statements were admissible in evidence.

J. R. Cartwright, Q.C.. for the Crown. Lennan for the prisoner.

Div'l Ct.]

Nov. 17.

MARTIN v. MCMULLEN.

Guaranty—Construction of—Limited suretyship for a floating balance—Payment of part of debt—Right to rank upon insolvent estate of principal debtor.

The plaintiff's testator gave the defendants a guaranty in the following terms: "In consideration of the goods sold by you on credit to M., and of any further goods which you may sell to M. upon credit during the next twelve months from date, I hereby undertake to guarantee you against all loss in respect of such goods so sold or to be sold, provided I shall not be called on in any event to pay a greater amount than \$2,500."

The whole debt owing to the defendants by M., at the expiration of the period limited by the guaranty was \$5,556. M. made an assignment for the benefit of his creditors. The plaintiff paid the defendants \$2,500, and claimed to rank upon the estate of M. in respect thereof.

Held, STREET, J., dissenting, that the guaranty was a limited suretyship for a floating balance, and was to be construed as applicable to a part only of the debt, co-extensive with the amount of the guaranty; and the plaintiff was entitled to a dividend from the estate of M. in respect of the \$2,500 paid.

Judgment of STREET, J., 19 O.R. 230, reversed

McCarthy, Q.C., for the plaintiff. Gibbons, Q.C., for the defendants.

Div'l Ct.]

Nov. 17

MECHIAM v. HORNE.

Canada Temperance Act—Incorporation into, of ss. 62, 64, 66, 67, of R.S.C., c. 178—Distress, dispensing with—Imprisonment for costs of commitment and conveying to gaol—Warrant of commitment—Excess of jurisdiction—Police Magistrate—Summary conviction drawn up after Act ceased to be in force—Nullity—Conviction not quashed—Evidence of sufficient distress—Nonsuit.

The defendant was the salaried police magistrate for the County of Ontario, in which the Canada Temperance Act was in force prior to the 11th May, 1889, when the Order-in-Council declaring it in force was revoked.

On the 11th January, 1889, the plaintiff was convicted before the defendant of a second offence against the Act, and adjudged to pay a fine of \$100 and \$12.05 costs.

On the 20th March, 1889, the defendant issued a warrant of commitment, reciting the plaintiffs conviction before him and the imposition of the fine and costs; declaring that the plaintiff had no goods and chattels, and directing her committal to gaol for sixty days, "unless the said several sums and all the costs and charges of the said distress and of the commitment and conveying of the said Nellie Mechiam to the said common gaol, amounting to the further sum of seventy-five cents, and

shall be sooner paid unto you."

At the trial of an action for the arrest and imprisonment of the plaintiff under this commitment a conviction of the plaintiff was put in dated 11th January, 1889, but which was not drawn up till February, 1890. The conviction adjudged that the penalty and costs according to the adjudication, and if these sums were not paid forthwith, then, inasmuch as it had been made to appear that the plaintiff had no goods or chattels whereon to levy by distress, that she should be imprisoned for sixty days, unless these sums and the costs and charges of conveying to gaol should be sooner paid.

The conviction had not been quashed.

It appeared, by the examination of the defendant, that the seventy-five cents in the warrant was charged for the warrant, and that the blank was left for the constable to fill in the costs of conveying to gaol. The constable, however, did not fill in the costs, but endorsed a memorandum of them on the back of the warrant, making them \$13.40.

Held, that the result of ss. 62, 64, 66, and 67, of R.S.C., c. 178, which are incorporated into the Canada Temperance Act, R.S.C., c. 106, by virtue of s. 107, is to enable the convicting magistrate to order the levy by distress of the penalty and costs, to dispense with such levy where he thinks it would be useless or ruinous, and to order the defendant to be imprisoned for a term not exceeding three months, unless the penalty and costs and also the costs and charges of the commitment and conveying to gaol are sooner paid.

Reg. v. Doyle, 12 O.R. 347, followed.

2. That although the warrant and commitment went beyond the conviction by directing a de-

tention for the costs of the commitment, as well as of conveying to gaol, yet as the only sum for which the gaoler could lawfully have detained the plaintiff was the sum of seventy-five cents mentioned in the warrant, and the costs of conveying to gaol greatly exceeded that sum, there was no excess in the warrant.

- 3. That, as the only evidence given at the trial with regard to the defendant's appointment as police magistrate was quite consistent with his being in office at a salary under an appointment which did not expire with the Canada Temperance Act, it could not be said that the conviction drawn up in February, 1890, was a nullity.
- 4. That if the plaintiff was detained on account of the charges of the constable indorsed on the warrant, it was not the act of the defendant, for he never gave any authority to the constable to require the gaoler to detain the plaintiff for any sum not inserted in the warrant.
- 5. That as the conviction stated that it had been made to appear to the magistrate that there was no sufficient distress, and the conviction had not been quashed, evidence would not have been admissible to shew that there was sufficient distress.
- 6. That the commitment having been authorized by a lawful conviction, which had not been quashed, the plaintiff was properly non-suited.

Du Vernet for the plaintiff.

Farewell, Q.C., for the defendant.

STREET, [.]

Oct. 17

CARR v. CORFIELD.

Voluntary conveyance—Action to set aside— Fraudulent intent—Defeating creditors.

Fraudulent intention is a material element in an action to set aside a conveyance as being voluntary and fraudulent against creditors, and whereit does not exist the action cannot succeed.

The fact that the result of a conveyance is to defeat creditors is not necessarily evidence that the intention of the grantor in making it was fraudulent.

Where another and a sufficient motive and reason for the conveyance was shewn, the action was dismissed.

Clute, Q.C., for the plaintiff.

Alcorn for the defendant Corfield.

Watson, Q.C., for the infant defendants.

Practice.

MACMAHON, J.]

[Nov. 26.

Ross v. Bucke.

Pleading—Rules 384, 388, 389—Pleading and demurring without filing affidavit and without leave—Separate causes of action—Frivolous demurrer.

Where a statement of claim sets up in different paragraphs more than one cause of action, the defendant may, under Rule 384, plead to one and demur to another without filing the affidavit mentioned in Rule 388, or obtaining leave under Rule 389.

A demurrer to a claim for wrongful dismissal, which does not allege a hiring by the day, or week, or month, or otherwise, cannot be said to be frivolous.

D. Armour for the plaintiff.

M. G. Cameron for the defendants.

FERGUSON, J.]

[Nov. 15.

WILLIAMS 7'. TOWNSHIP OF RALEIGH.

Consolidation of actions—Identity of issues— Application by common defendant in several actions.

Where the issues in several actions are not the same, there cannot be a consolidation of them.

Where several actions were brought against a municipal corporation by different plaintiffs for injuries to their respective lands occasioned by the alleged negligent construction by the defendants of several drains without providing a proper outlet for the waters brought down by such drains;

Held, that it was necessary for each plaintiff to prove that the negligent conduct of the defendants resulted in an injury to his own particular land; and, therefore, the issues in several actions were not the same; and this quite apart from the fact that, in any case, there would have to be separate assessments of damages.

Quære: Whether a common defendant can obtain a consolidation order against the will of the several plaintiffs.

Atkinson, Q.C., J. M. Clark, and J. A. Walker, for the plaintiffs.

M. Wilson, Q.C., for the defendants.

STREET, J.]

Nov. 29.

KNIGHT v. TOWN OF RIDGETOWN.

Notice of trial—Service by plaintiff on two defendants—Set aside on application of one—No notice to the other—Costs of the day.

Where there were two defendants and notice of trial was given by the plaintiff to both, and set aside upon the application of one, without notice to, or knowledge of, the other, who attended, with his witnesses, at the time and place named in the notice:

Held, that the defendant who moved against the notice of trial, was not bound to give the other defendant notice of the motion; that it was the duty of the plaintiff, if he desired to protect himself, to notify that defendant that the notice had been set aside, and therefore the plaintiff should pay the costs of the day.

Langton, Q.C., for the plaintiff.

Hoyles, Q.C., for the defendants, the Town of Ridgetown.

D. W. Saunders for the defendants, the C.S. R.W. Co.

BOYD, C.]

[Nov. 17.

IN RE MACDONALD AND SULLIVAN.

Land Titles Act—R.S.O., c. 116, ss. 61, 62, 63— Caution—Cessation of—Security by registered owner.

Under s. 61 of the Land Titles Act, R.S.O., c. 116, a caution was registered against dealings by the registered owner, the cautioner claiming that the registered owner held as trustee for another, against whose lands the cautioner had an execution. An action had been brought for a declaration to that effect. The Master of Titles made an order that entry of the cessation of the caution should be made upon the registered owner, giving security for the amount claimed by the cautioner; that payment should be made according to the result of the pending action; and that until such entry should be made the caution was to continue to have effect.

Held, that the scheme of the Act contemplates such a course of proceeding, although it is not specifically provided for by ss. 62 and 63; and that, under the circumstances, the order was the simplest and most effective that could be made in the interests of all parties.

W. M. Douglas for the registered owner.

W. R. Smyth for the cautioner.

Rose, J. |

Nov. 19.

McBride v. Carroll.

Notice of trial—Mistake in date—Sufficiency of —Jury notice—Time—R.S.O., c. 44, s. 78, s.s. (2)—Non-jury sittings.

The plaintiffs on the 31st October, 1890, served notice of trial in these words: "Take notice of trial of this action at Osgoode Hall, at the Chancery Sittings, for the 17th day of October, 1890." A sittings of the High Court for trials, to be held by a Judge of the Chancery Division, at Osgoode Hall, had been fixed for the 17th November, 1890.

Held, that the notice was sufficient; for it gave such notice as imparted knowledge.

The sittings of the 17th November was not a jury sittings. On the 10th November, 1890, the defendant served a jury notice.

Held, that this notice was bad; for it was not served at least eight days before the sittings at which the action was to be tried, as required by R.S.O., c. 44. s. 78, s.s. (2).

A. Hoskin, Q.C., for the plaintiffs.

Neville for the defendant.

BOYD, C.]

[Nov. 18.

CAMERON v. HEIGHS.

Married woman—Summary judgment—Separate estate—Untaxed bill of costs—Interest—Costs—Taxation—Retainer.

Summary proceedings upon specially endorsed writs do not apply where, the defendant being a married woman, the judgment can be only of a proprietary nature.

In the absence of a special agreement, interest cannot be recovered upon an untaxed bill of costs.

Where a solicitor sued a married woman and her husband upon an untaxed bill of costs, and, in default of appearance, signed judgment against both defendants personally for the amount of the bill with interest:

Held, that the judgment was irregular and might have been set aside with costs if the defendants had applied promptly; and, under the circumstances, the judgment was amended by limiting it as to the married woman to her separate estate, by disallowing interest, and by directing that the amount should abide the result of taxation, with leave to the husband to dispute the retainer.

- C. W. Kerr for the plaintiff.
- G. W. Bruce for the defendants.

OSGOODE HALL LIBRARY.

(Compiled for THE CANADA LAW JOURNAL.)

Latest additions :-

American and English Railroad Cases, vol. 42, Northport, 1890.

Bigelow (M.M.), Law of Fraud, vol. 2, Boston, 1890.

Brodix's American and English Patent Cases, vol. 15, Washington, 1890.

California Reports, vol. 84, San Francisco, 1890. Cobbey (J.E.), Law of Replevin, Chicago, 1890. Cooley (T.M.), Constitutional Limitations, 6th

ed., by A. C. Angell, Boston, 1890.

Elliott (B.K. & W.F.), Law of Roads and Streets, Indianapolis, 1890.

Feurtado (W.A.), Index to Laws of England, Jamaica, 1890.

Georgia Reports, vol. 82, Atlanta, 1890.

Hodgins (Thos., Q.C.), Bills of Exchange Act, Toronto, 1890.

Iowa Reports, vol. 79, Columbia, 1890.

Lawson (J.D.), Rights and Remedies, vol. 7, San Francisco, 1890.

Lawson (J.D.), Expert Testimony, St. Louis, 1883.

Marsh (A.H., Q.C.), History of Court of Chancery, Toronto, 1890.

New York Appeal Reports, vols. 120 and 121, Albany, 1890.

Opinions of Attorney-Generals, vol. 17, Washington, 1890.

Pacific Reporter, vol. 23, St. Paul, 1890.

Patterson's Statutes, London, 1890.

Potts' Mining Directory, 1890.

Ram (J.), on Facts, 4th American ed., by C. F. Beach, New York, 1890.

Richardson (C.A.), and Hook (A.J.), Street Railway Decisions, vol. 1, Brooklyn, 1890.

Robinson (W.C.), Law of Patents, 3 volumes, Boston, 1890.

Sayles (J. & H.), Law of Real Estate, St. Louis 1800.

Schouler (J.), Law of Personal Property, 2nd ed., 2 vols., Boston, 1884.

Semple's Essentials of Pathology, London, 1889.

Texas Reports, vol. 77, Austin, 1890.

Vermont Reports, vol. 61, Rutland, 1890.

Ward's Men of the Reign, London, 1885.

INDEX.

PAGE

PACID	Apprentice
ACTION	Dismissal of—Damages
Untaxed bill of costs -Special agreement	Arbitration and Award-
ADMINISTRATION— Pauper lunatic — De bonis non — Administrator absconded	Agreement to refer to—Building society—Appointment of arbitrators after action. Staying proceedings. Staying action by party to agreement. Agreement and agreement. Staying action by party to agreement. Staying action by party to agreement. Staying action by party to agreement. 391 Extending time for moving to set aside award. Costs—Powers of arbitrators—Duty of taxing officers. Staying action by party to agreement. 391 Extending time for moving to set aside award. Staying action by party to agreement. 391 Extending time for moving to set aside award. 381 Verdict in pursuance of award—Apportionment of costs. 613 Partnership—Injunction. 433 See Costs.
Registration of judgment for -Assignment by defendant for benefit of creditors 249	Arrest Order for, signed by judge instead of clerk 223
AMOUNT IN DISPUTE— Partition and licitation—Partnership—Plaintiff's interest less than \$2000	Assessment Act See Taxes. Assignment for Benefit of Creditors
ANIMALS— Dog — Injury committed by—Scienter	Lien—Costs of execution creditors—Assignment for general benefit of creditors
APPEAL— Jurisdiction—Security for costs—Benefit of bond for	of primary depth of the primary depth of primary depth of the primary seeds of the primary se
Jurisdiction—"Criminal cause or intited Striking solicitor off rolls	Refractiment of Diana. Rule 935—Unadjusted insurance monies— Locus standi of garnishees—Garnishees out of Ontario
APPOINTMENT— Revocation—Appointment by will—Subsequent inconsistent appointment by deed 47 Power of—Invalid exercise of—Fraud on— Trust for persons not objects of power 328 Trust for persons not objects of power 586	ATTACHMENT FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT— Criminal matter
Real estate—Invalid execution of power 586 APPOINTMENTS TO OFFICE— 60, 160, 224, 349, 443, 570	Definition of

PAGE	PAGE
BAILMENT—	Bills of Exchange Act, 1890— Discussed417, 514
Title of bailor—Jus tertii—Discovery 296 BANK—	Alteration of bill by acceptor—Acceptance "in favor of drawer only"
Warehouse receipt—Wheat, conversion into flour—Following monies representing flour. 252 Money left on deposit—Interest—Statute of limitations	See Bills and Notes. BILL OF SALE— Chattels—Description—After acquired property Evasion of Act—Hiring and purchase agreement
Money deposited—Insurance company 309 See Bills and Notes—Company—Constitutional Law.	BRITISH NORTH AMERICA ACT See Constitutional Law.
BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY— Assignment—Intent	BUILDING SOCIETY— Arbitration, agreement to refer to—Appoint—
Actual advance—Chattel mortgage	ment of arbitrators after action
Assignment for benefit of creditors—Valuing security—Guaranty	for losses—Effect of rules
English Bankrupt Acts, scope of—Canadian creditors proving claim in England 413 See Assignment for Benefit of Creditors.	"Obligation"—Monies deposited upon savings bank account
	CAMERA— Trials in
BENCH AND BAR—	Thats in
Judicial salaries elsewhere than in Canada	CANADA TEMPERANCE ACT— Conviction—Costs of conveying to jail
Benefit Society	CHARITY—
Waiver of forfeiture—Liability for assessments 245 BILLS AND NOTES— Notes as collateral security—Laches of credi-	Mortmain—Interest in land—Bonds of harbour trustees
tors—Release of principal debtor	CHATTEL MORTGAGE— Affidavit of bona fides sworn before execution. 189
Equitable assignment of bill of exchange— Chose in action	Description of chattels—Concurrent mort- gages
Promissory note, payable on demand, maturity of	Additional chattels in mortgage 300 Mortgage to secure future advances—Voluntary conveyance—Subsequent advances—Renew-
ment of	Pledge of goods—Bill of sale—Document containing terms of pledge.
BILL OF EXCHANGE— See Bills and Notes.	Defect—Taking possession—Rights as against subsequent mortgagee

PAGE	PAGE
CLUB	COMPROMISE OF ACTION—
Expulsion of member428, 490	Application to set aside—Jurisdiction 146
COMMISSIONERS —	Consideration
To administer oaths	1
Duty of	Money paid under illegal 267
COMPANY	Conspiracy—
Prospectus—Fraud—Deceit	Of shipowners—Freight—Excluding rivals
Reduction of capital—Reducing part of shares	Constable—
only	
Statutory body, liability of, for non-feasance—	Acting under warrant of commitment—Pro-
Damages	tection of
pany sold under mortgage—Liability	Constitutional Law-
to account—Winding up 59	Criminal law Criminal procedure 246
11 Appointment of	Railways—Limitation of action—Timber li-
Can directors overdraw 113	censes—Continuing damage 310
" Invalid allotment of shares—Ratification	B.N.A. Act -Bankruptcy and insolvency In-
— Principal and agent 524	corporation of banks 44 ^I
Acting ultra vires—Liability of, for ille-	Validity of 51 Vict., c. 5-LieutGovernor-
gal acts of co-directors—Damage 582	Pardoning power 541
Fiduciary relation of, to shareholders 66	CONTEMPT OF COURT -
Misrepresentations in prospectus—Action of deceit	By newspapers
Executors registered as shareholdersForged	Criminal matter—Attachment
transfer—Notice of transfer	orania matter reasonable sy
Notice of meeting—Conditional notice, inval-	Contract
idity of	Conflict of laws - Lex loci contractus
Defective incorporation of Actions by, dis-	Breach—Measure of damages 86
missed with costs	Interest post diem - Damages 119
Limitation of company's powers in respect of	Fraud—Rescission—Repayment of considera-
purchase of bank shares — <i>Ultra vires</i> —Loan to a bank on its shares	tion—Statute of frauds
Shareholder Calls Surrender of shares can-	Telephone company—Covenant not to transmit orders 313
cellation	Master and servant—Parent and child 341
Allotment of sharesContributory 456	Illegal—Action to recover money paid under—
Calls, Mortgage of unpaid 488	Part performance
Reserve fund-Dividend-Bonus-Capital or	Bills of exchange and promissory notes—Ille-
income	gality
Transfer of stocks of corporation	Conflict of laws—Discharge of debtor by
Sale of shares—Estoppel—Representation as	foreign bankruptcy
to creditor's liability	See Municipal Law.
Shares—Pledge of certificates—Blank indorse-	
ment	CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE-
Profits applied to extension of works—Bonds	See Negligence.
bearing interest in payment of dividends 47	Convictions
Winding up—Arrears of rent charge, land in	See Justice of Peace.
possession of liquidators	
by debenture holders-Discretion of	COPYRIGHT—
courtII	Work of art—Author 428
Remuneration of liquidators	Corporations-
" Jurisdiction Undertaking for public	See Company-Municipal Law.
benefit	Costs
" Capital partly paid up—Preference share-	
holder—Surplus assets	Scale of—Action by sub-contractors to enforce mechanics' lien—Amounts in question—
Creditor—Attaching creditor not a cred-	T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
itor of the garnishee	Taxation—Evidence de bene esse—Attendance
contributory—Laches—Acquiescence. 187	of medical man on examination 31
Application of Winding-up Act to pro-	Third party—Delivery of bill of costs 44
vincial company—Proceedings 377	Scale of Jurisdiction of County Court Title
Two petitions—Costs	to land 122
Business not warranted by charter 489	Taxation of, between solicitor and client—
" Reserve capital—Working capital ex-	Retaining fees
hausted491	Lien 12 Counsel fees—Witness fees—Reopening
Statements as to shares in petition of in-	taxation 223
corporation—Liability to contribute . 566 Examination of witnesses—Companies' Act 585	Appeal to Master under Rule 854—Ap-
See Bank—Building Society.	peal to Judge from Master's order 542
Dank-Dunding twoices.	3,1

Costs - Continued.	Comment of the Control of the Contro
*	CRIMINAL LAWContinued
Judgment by consent, referring to arbitration —Omission to provide for costs	Stifling prosecution—Consideration 609 Bigamy—Proof of first marriage 621
Defendants severing partnership before action. 90	Statements of prisoner to detectives—Admissi-
Disallowance of, of unnecessary proceedings 187	bility of evidence 621
Set-off claim and counter-claim 223	Information Conviction Alternative state-
Third partyDefending action	ment of offence
taxing officer	See Evidence—- Practice.
Depriving successful party of—"Good cause"	Crown-
-Rule 1170-Reversing decision of trial	Constituent part of Provincial Legislature 2
Judge	Prerogative of—Insolvent bank—Lien 309
Scale of—Rule 1174—"Order as to the costs"	Insurance company—Money deposited in insolvent bank 309
-Jurisdiction of taxing officer 506	
Mechanics' lien action — Parties — Attacking	Crown Lands—
stakes of lien holders	Patent to land—Locatee receipt—Fraudulent
Set-off-Rule 1205-" Interlocutory" 569	Dominion lands—Permit to cut timber—Im-
Scale of—Professional witness—Ditches and	plied warranty of title Breach of contract
Watercourses Act	to issue license
Scale of —Action by sub-contractors to enforce mechanics' lien—Jurisdiction—Power of tax-	See Free Grant Lands.
ing officer—Amendment of judgment 30	Customs Act, 1883-
Taxation—Service of subpœnas by solicitor—	Money deposited in lieu of seizure Market
Rules 254, 1212, 1217	value Waiver of notice of claim 601
Sec Appeal—Arbitration—Practice—Security for Costs—Solicitor and Client.	Damages -
	Detention of goods—Measure of damages 231
COTTON, Lord Justice 610	Continuing
County Courts	Measure of—Wrongful dismissal of apprentice 429
Appeal - Order in chambers, striking out jury	DEBTOR AND CREDITOR—
notice	Assignment in trust—Release to debtor by 438
Power of Divisional Court to vary order for arrest 189	Undue preference - Common solicitor of credi-
Meeting of County Judges 547	tors
	See Assignment for Benefit of Creditors— Fraud,
CREDITORS RELIEF ACT	
Entry by sheriff of monies received under exe-	DEFAMATION -
cution "Meaning of "forthwith"	See Libel and Slander.
distribution	Defect
	Danger deemed a, in condition of machinery 332
Criminal Law—	Danger decined a, in condition of machinery. 35
Indictment for murder—Evidence, admissibility	DENTISTS' ACT
of —Dying declaration	Erasing name from register
form of	
Common Pleas Division—Jurisdiction in crim-	DEVOLUTION OF ESTATES ACT 516, 526.
inal matters	DISCOVERY-
ing indictable offenceHandcuffing, when	Examination of officers of company—
justifiable184	Who are or are not officers—
Conspiracy to procure abortion	Track foreman
Previous conviction -Summary conviction for assault -Subsequent indictment for same	Section foreman
assault	" Conductor 91
Larceny of letter-Post office -Causing post-	Engine driver
man to intercept letter	Refusal to attend—Motion to strike out company's defence
"Attempt"—Definition of	Officer of foreign correctionRefusal to
Sentences, Inequality of	attend
Procedure – Jurisdiction – Chancery divisional	Action for tibel
sittings 411	Inspection—Affidavit of documents
Evidence not given upon oath—Indecent as-	Right of witnesses to the presence of counsel
sault	-Special circumstances
tion 502	Inspection of documents before delivery of
Codification of 577	

PAGE	PAGE
DISCOVERY Continued.	EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.—Continued. Danger deemed a "defect" in the condition
Seduction -Examination of plaintiff's daughter	of machinery
Penal action for fraudulent removal of goods. 453	Master and servant — Factories Act
Action for Possession of company's books 472 Particulars Action for wrongful dismissal	butory negligence 504
Defence of misconduct 509	See Discovery - Master and Servant - Negn-
Documents of title—Privilege—Affidavit on production	gence.
See Evidence Practice.	Cross-examination of adverse party
Ditches and Watercourses Act.	Ex parte certificate of County Judge 31
Work not in accordance with award 409	Hearsay, rejection of
Scale of costs—Maps and surveys	ed in chief
Inferior and superior owners 600	Extent of cross-examination in criminal cases on collateral matters
See Municipal Law - Watercourses.	I ibal Article referring to advertisement pub-
Division Courts	lished contemporaneously - Production of
Judgment summons clauses in Act	advertisements
Prohibition – Substitutional service of process – Defendant out of Ontario	cent assault
Action against bailiff85	Witness, Privilege on the ground of 583 Statements of prisoner to detectives Admis-
Costs Want of jurisdiction of judge 112 Imprisonment for debt	sibility of evidence
Appeal —Judgment for \$100 —Subsequent in-	Bigamy—Confession by prisoner of first marriage not evidence
terest	See Discovery.
tachment 50t	EXECUTION
Domiche	Equitable—Appointment of receiver 143
Residence within Ontario Rule 271 (c) 475	
Donatio Mortis Causa	EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS
Gift inter vivos Evidence of	Action against executors for administration— Distribution of estate after notice
Bankers deposit note—Cheque endorsed on deposit note 388	Executor and trustee—Removal of Trustee
Delivery of keys of box and rooms containing	Conflict of law and equity—Judgment void
valuables	against creditors
•.	Power—Executor r nouncing probate - Exercise of power by executor renouncing 329
Payment of yearly sum by report of commis-	Executor becoming bankrupt and intemperate —Injunction—Receiver
sioners	See Wills.
Equity of redemption	
EASEMENTS 102	Expropriation of Lands— Payment into court—Mortgage—Costs
Equitable	Conpensation—Mineral contents
ship	See Municipal Law—Railway Company.
Prescriptive rights — Dominant and servient tenements	Extradition
Air Prescription Right to ventilating shall	Junior Judge of County Court - Justices, proof
on another's property – Lost grant 523	as to—Identity of forged note
ELECTIONS—	Jurisdiction of County Judge
Election law -Corrupt act—Bribery by agentProof of agency	FLOTSAM AND JETSAM
Rribery Appeal — [urisdiction—Quebec Elec-	Photsast Asia javani
tion Act—Action for penalties	FOREIGNER
ELECTRICITY—	Service of writ on
Telephone v. Railway 577	Foreign corporation—" Within Ontario 05, 4/5
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT-	Sec CompanyPractice.
Negligence—Master and servant 296, 301, 451 Injury to workman by unguarded saw—"Mov- Injury to workman because Act—"Defect,"	FORGERY—
	Payee's method of redress in cases of 466
meaning of in Workmen's Compensation for meaning of in Workmen's Compensation for Injuries Act, R.S.O., c. 141.	Microscope and camera in the detection of 557

Fraud PAGE	PAGE
Prospectus of company—Deceit. 13 Fraudulent conveyance—Intent to defeat creditors. 408 Secret trust. 310 Sale to defeat creditors—Setting aside 151 Fraudulent preference—Agreement to supply material for manufacture—Manufactured goods to remain property of supplier. 413 Fraudulent intent—Action to set aside voluntary conveyance—Defeating creditors. 622 See Assignment for Benefit of Creditors—Contract—Debtor and Creditor—Insurance—Statute of Frauds.	HUSBAND AND WIFE—Continued. Money paid by wife for use of husband—Corroborative evidence
FREE GRANT LANDS— Crown timber—Timber license—Trespass— Patent—Reservation	INDEMNITY— Question between co-defendants—Application for, after judgment
Illegal Rules—Restraint of trade 141 "From," meaning of 596 Funds in court 258	INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS ACT— Form of order of detention under
GAME— Feræ naturæ—Property of owner of land in deer found thereon	INFANTS— Service on official guardian—Quieting Titles Act
Good Will— Use of name by successor	INJUNCTION— Patent—Past infringement of—Intention to infringe
GRAVE STONES, GRAVE VARDS, AND GRAVE SUBJECTS	Action for damages—Want of probable cause. 56 Concealment of fact—Setting aside—Damages —Counter claim
Issue of writ against person who no longer has prisoner in custody	of tribunal
Annual Report	INNKEEPER—Sale of stallion for keep—Lien—Revival of. 251 Lien on goods of guest—Credit given to husband—Lien on separate property of wife 582
HIRE RECEIPT— See Sale of Goods—Bills of Sale. HUSBAND AND WIFE— Action by wife against husband's relations— False representations and conspiracy to bring about marriage—Public policy	Insurance, Fire— Interim receipt—Powers of local agent—Approval by company
= ',	

and the same of th	PAGE
PAGE	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
Insurance, Fire—Continued. Interest—Reference—Power of referee 315	Conviction—Carts used for hire to be licensed
Mutual Insurance Company—53 VICt., C. 47,	"Person" in R.S.C., c. I, S. 7, S-S. 22-110
Unoccupied building—Special condition	Summary conviction—Fine—Distress—Turk
tion	Conviction—Imposition of costs of commit
Insurance, Life	Absence of police magistrate—Alternative pun- ishment
Benevolent Society	Summary conviction Education Education Summary Conviction Education Educatio
Application for policy—Reference to application in policy—Construction—Misstatement Unconditional policy—Misrepresentations—	justice only
Effect of—Indication of payment—Return of	See Security for Costs.
Accident—Death by poison	KAFFIR LAWSUIT, A
sured to profits—Divisible surplus 541 Payee of sum insured—Insurable interest 595	KENT, CHANCELLOR 53 LANDLORD AND TENANT—
Insurance, Marine	Notice to quit—Sub-lease
Insurance against sum paid by assured for	—Over-holding tenant
Delay in prosecuting voyage—Deviation—In-	Assignment of part—Sub-lease of part—Right of contribution
Construction of policy—Commercial usage 439 Collision—Proximate cause of damage 485	Covenant for renewal—Option of resources 470
INTERNATIONAL LAW-	ond term
Ambassador—Privilege of	
INTERPLEADER	New addition of Act
Goods taken in execution—Assignee of equity of redemption in goods	Annual report of Master discussed
Claim of applicant for charges — Jurisdiction to order payment	refuse registration
order413	Laws
JOINT STOCK COMPANY-	Conflict of
See Company.	LAW SOCIETY—
JOINT TENANCY147	Primary examination
Journalism-	Filiary Term (1090)
Ethics of French 592	Easter term (1890) 304, 400
JUDGMENT DEBTOR-	Assignment of student's articles
Examination of—Return of nulla bona 190	LAW STUDENTS' DEPARTMENT—
Judgment-	Examination questions91, 122, 156, 284,
Attachment of — Judgment for unliquidated damages 190	310, 343, 500, 343
Jurisdiction -	Moot courts and legal education 550
Criminal—Of the Chancery division 354	LEASE — Forfeiture of
Jury-	
Trial by 435, 513 Grand juries 579 Notice—Striking out 120	LEGAL EDUCATION— See Law Students' Department.

PAGE	PAGE
	MANITOBA PRACTICE 513, 545
LEGISLATION—	Maritime Law
Dominion, of last session 299 Provincial, of 1890 290 " ultra vires—Licenses 338 United States 517	Combination of ship owners to exclude rival traders—Conspiracy
Y (C	General average—Jettison—Right to contribu-
Slander -Charging offence punishable by imprisonment FineMalicious injuries to property	tion—Lien on goods salved
Slander—may a newspaper report	Collision—Obscuration of lights
LICENSE COMMISSIONERS— MandamusNotice of action	bility
Lien-	Collision-Damages-Demurrage 614
For moneys advanced to keep up life policies 214 Costs of execution creditors—Assignment for general benefit of creditors	Who may solemnize
Liquidator—	MARRIED WOMAN
See Company.	
Liquor License Act—	Marriage settlement—Deed of appointment 42 Settlement—Construction—Trust for wife's next of kin
Notice not to give liquor to person in the habit of drinking to excess—Time	Contract—Married Woman's Property Act
See Justice of the Peace.	Chose in action—Title of husband—General probate of will of married woman 326
LITHOGRAPHED SIGNATURES150, 295	Summary judgment — Separate estate—Un- taxed bill of costs
LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS— See Taxes.	Married Woman's Property Act
LONG VACATION - See Practice.	Contingent title Spes successionis 524 See Married Woman.
LOTTERY—	MASTER AND SERVANT
Restraining company from dealing in 431 LUNATIC — Necessaries — Implied obligation to pay — Right	Negligence Common employment
to recover against estate	ation for Injuries Act. 183 Wrongful dismissal—Right to dismiss 246
Malicious Prosecution— Termination of criminal proceedings—Evidence of	Negligence—R.S.O., c. 141, s. 3, s-s. 4 296 Contract—Parent and child
MANDAMUS— Registration of stock—Joint ownership by corporation and individual	knowledge of defects
Manisty, The Late Sir Henry 147	See Employers' Liability Act—Negligence— Railway Company.

	. PAGE
PAGE	MORTGAGE—Continued.
MASTERS AND REFEREES-	0 1 1 Cont mortgagee—Mistake in particulars
Reference—Confirmation—Motion for judg-	Componention to Difference Linearity
ment	
See Official Referee.	Statute barred mortgage debts 250
Mechanics' Lien-	
Action by sub-contractor—Demurrer—Neces-	
sity of averment that something the	distress
vision Courts	accompanied for Dilvillette 111.0000
Annulling registration	est
Prior mortgage - Subsequent lien-Increase of selling value of land-Priority	William Cala William neplect of determine
Material men—Time for registering claim 542	Redemption—Interest
Act to simplify the procedure for enforcing	
mechanics' liens Scope of	i Basichilant Dreference - 1535
Ascertainment of amount due contractor— Parties—Registered owner not liable on con-	attacking title of mortgage
fract	
b	See Chattel mortgage—Vendor and purchaser.
of, after dissolution—"Claimant" 568 Rights of mortgagees as against 578	MODERALN ACCE
Rights of mortgagees as against	The above trustees an interest in land
MERCANTILE LAW-	Charity
Courts for trial of commercial causes 548	Charity — Pure personalty — Munici- Legacy to charity — Pure personalty — Munici- cipal bonds
MERCANTILE LAW AMENDMENT ACT-	1
Payment by defendant's suretyForm of sum-	MUNICIPAL LAW—
mons—Execution	By-law - New street, building on 308
MEREDITH, Mr. JUSTICE 449	
MICRO-PHOTOGRAPHY—-	Contract of corporation—How far binding on —Application and imposition of rates— <i>Ultra</i>
Detection of murder by	
	13 manufaction of lands Littles injuriously
MILLER, MR. JUSTICE 545	fected
Minors —	
Lean obtained by tutor - Personal remedy for	Highway—Bridges—Limitation of the
monies used for benefit of minor 308	By-lawLiquor License Act
See Infant.	Action to compete amoration 89
Mischievous Animals-	
Liability for injuries by 421, 454	
See Animals.	Discretion—Exercise of, by public
Money in Court-	
Invested in foreign country—Application for	Extending sewer through configuration 212
leave to apply to foreign court 283	pality— Territory taking of gravel without
Management	specifying lands—Injunction without quash-
Action for foreclosure — Parties — Wife of	ing by-law
aggionee of mongagot	
Parties-Trustee representing cestui que	
rrust	
Mortgagee - Paving off prior mortgages and	to house striking telephone wire across
taling mortgage for advance—Neglect	
of solicitor in searching for execution . 152 In possession — Receiver of mortgaged	
m ~f mortgagee's costs 1221 T-	
Rights of, as against internations included the Power of sale—Writ of possession—R.S.O., c.	1887, c. 184, s. 531—Judgment again 566
*** ****	Officer Acceptance of reward by Thomas
	in addition to salary
of payment within a month—Advertising	

PAGE	PAGE
Negligence	PATENT-
Master and servant—Common employment— Contractor and sub-contractor	Past infringement of—Injunction—Intention to infringe
Employers' Liability Act (R.S.O., c. 141, s. 3, s-s. 4)—Order to do particular work. 296, 451	sue without joining patentee 13 Infringement—Damages
Contributory—Theory of	PENAL ACTION—
Railways—Pleading—"Not guilty"	Omission to comply with statutory directions 75
Mistake in compounding medicine	PERPRTUITIES— Remoteness—Double possibility
Newspapers-	PHARMACY ACT—
Contempt of court by 464	Sale of poisons-Unregistered assistant of re-
NOTICE OF TRIAL— See Practice.	gistered chemist—Penalty
Nuisance—	PHOTOGRAPHY—
Thistles—Neglect of occupier of adjoining property to cut thistles—Noxious weeds 361	Microscope and camera in the detection of forgery
OFFICIAL REFEREE-	PLEADING-
Procedure before — Reference to take accounts	Abolition of
452, 521	PLEAS
See Masters and Referees.	Some curious
OSGOODE HALL-	Police Magistrate—
Alterations in and about	See Canada Temperance Act-Justice of the
OSGOODE HALL LIBRARY—	Peace.
Additions to 60, 159, 253, 348, 444, 507, 624	PRACTICE—
PARDON— Queen's, effect of	Appearance—Notice of when entered late— Courts — Divisional Court — Jurisdiction in
Night of LieutGovernor to exercise Royal	County Court action 189
prerogative of354, 449, 459, 541	Judgment for default
PARTIES—	Recovery of specific goods—Payment into
Trustee representing cestui que trust—Fore- closure action	Second action for same matter—Costs of form-
Joint contractors—Rule 324 (a) 155 Patent—Right of mortgagor to sue for infringe-	er suit—Staying proceedings
ment	Principal and sureties 342
See Practice.	mon defendant in several actions 623
Partnership—	Writ—Service—Foreign corporation 8
Proof of—Names of parties on letter-heads 57 Power of partner to compromise debts—Power	Renewal of after expiry—Powers of local Judge—Adding parties
to accept shares in satisfaction of partnership	Address of plaintiff
debt	Issued before Judicature Act—Renewal without leave
of Frauds evidenced by draft agreement 205 Dissolution Want of public notice—Credit	Service of — Defendants sued in firm name — Foreign partner — Dissolution before
given to firm after dissolution	action
tionship of parties	Action of foreclosure—Mortgage made
Action against a firm—Appearance	after March, 1879—Wife of mortgagor 543 Consent order—Withdrawal of consent 612
Dissolution bending contract 270	Pleading—General Inspection Act—Inspector
Dissolution—New firm—Novation 440	of hides 120 Defence of contributory negligence—Not
1 erms of—Breach of conditions—Expulsion of	guilty 154
one partner	Matter in aggravation of damages—Libel 359 Slander—Particulars
Detendants sued in firm name—Foreign part-	Rules 384, 388, 389—Pleading and de-
ner—Dissolution before action—Service on manager 588	murring without filing affidavit and
300	Thenous icures

PAGE	PAG
Practice—Continued.	PROHIBITION— Inferior court—Want of jurisdiction—Waiver
New trial—Excessive damages—Libel 76 Dismissal of action—Non-attendance of plain- tiff for examination—Unmeritorious	of objection
action—Security for costs	Professional Privilege
of entry for two sittings	PROUDFOOT, Mr. Justice
action—Rule 655	PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURES—
Summons, Issue of, waived—Selling liquor without a license—Distress	Crown, a constituent part of
Striking out statement of claim 432	
Production of documents by persons not parties 451	PUBLIC HEALTH ACT— Owner or agent, meaning of—Plumber 50:
Revivor—Action for injunction and damages—	Owner or agent, meaning of Transcervities 35
Death of sole plaintiff	Public House-
ment of offence	Lease—Restrictive covenants 48
Long vacation—Settling minutes of judgment 381	Pupils-
Cross-examination of adverse party called as a	Correction of
witness, Right to	
Third party procedure—Originating summons. 590	QUEEN'S COUNSEL-
Order of Court—Effect of not issuing—Aban-	Recent appointments of
donment	In England
Ontario"	RAILWAY COMPANY -
" Dividend payable by official receiver in	Bonds—Debentures—Charge on the undertak-
bankruptcy – Debt attachable 167	ing
Further consideration—Order to pay costs out of fund in Court	of action.
Partition Act—Inquiry as to persons interested III	Compensation—Measure of damage. 75, 100
Compromise of action—Application to set aside 100	Default in payment of compensation
Payment into Court with detence of tender De-	monies—Rights of land owners—In- junction
fore action	Costs incidental to the arbitration 22
Indoment under Rule 720-Delay 23*	Accident—Negligence, evidence of—Detective
When granted—Leave to defend—Terms 233	brake—Latent defect
Notice of trial—Mistake in date—Sumoiency	thereon 29
of—Jury notice—Time	Municipal aid to—Debentures—Signed by
Set aside on application of one—NO	Warden de facto
notice to the other 023	Constitutional law—Limitation of action—Timber licenses
Amendment at trial—Pleading judgment in former action	Master and servant—Negligence 31:
Indoment in default of defence—Relief asked	Damages caused by sparks from locomotive—
beyond what is claimed in statement of claim 200	Responsibility of company
"Judgment" and "Order," difference between 521 Death between verdict and judgment—New	ance of duty—Contributory negligence 3/9
trial—Jurisdiction	Roadholders' rights in respect to property of—
Payment out of Court to next of kin of deceased	Judgment creditors' right to attack the company's money on deposit in a bank 380
party—Personal representatives—Revivor. 252	Lands acquired for railways—Adverse possess-
Time—notice of motion—"Application" 452 Notice of appeal—Long vacation 506	ion 400
See Appeal—Costs—Discovery—Verdict.	Loint traffic agreement—Ultra vires 40
See Appear—Costs Discovery Vermon	Destruction of luggage—Act of God 47. Warrant for possession of land 50
PRINCIPAL AND AGENT-	Carriers—Liability for baggage 529
Fraud—Bribe to agent—Recovery of bribe 483	See Expropriation of Land—Master and Ser-
Reibos received by agent Investment of money	vant-Negligence.
so received.—Following monies	RATES-
Trust Francishy agent—Secret commission 33	See Taxes.
Authority of agent to borrow—Liability of principal where agent exceeds his authority. 612	·
See CompanyContract.	RECEIVER— Liquidator appointed—Receiver appointed by
See Company Continues	debenture holders may take possession of, and
PRINCIPAL AND SURETY—	sell the property 12
	Residuary estate under will—Account of debts
Co-sureties – Right of sureties to participate in security given to co-surety	and legacies unpaid 44.
501	See Execution.

RECOGNIZANCE—	SALE OF GOODS
Appeal from conviction—Recognizance out of time—Estreating	Hire receipt Resumption of possession 28
REFERENCE Action by creditor obtaining leave, R. S. O. 1889,	Contract to manufacture equal to sample - Latent defect in samples Implied warranty 360
c. 124, s. 7, s.s. 2Compromise 4 See Official Referee.	Agreement in writing -Offer-Statute of fraudsEvidence
REGISTRAR OF DEEDS	vendor—Fraud
Bond for performance of duties of office Payment to municipality of portion of fees 3	ming possession—Action for balance of pur-
REGISTRY ACT-	SALVAGE-
Registration of subsequent deed Priority Proof of valuable consideration	See Maritime Law.
Notice—Relief on ground of mistake—Subrogation	SCHOOL LAW
•.	Schools' Act
The making of head notes:	Public schools—Formation of school sections—
The making of head notes148,	Contract of hiring High School Act 217
Reports-	SCHOOL SYSTEM—
Delay in publication of	Ontario
RESTRAINT OF TRADE	SECURITY FOR COSTS -
Covenant not to carry on particular trade 232,	Action for another's benefit—Non-existent cor-
RIGHT OF WAY	porations
Road along lake shore—User	Wormer action for same cause by another plain-
enjoyment of parts devised	Motion for judgment under Rule 739 122 Residence of one of two plaintiffs out of On-
History of Canada Real Property Statutes Lawyers' Periodical Statutory Record	tario—Rule 1242—Indorsement on writ of summons—Waiver of irregularity in order 252 Action against Justices of the Peace—Character of property of plaintiff 507
Western Law Times The Doctor in Canada History of the Court of Chancery	334 Interpleader
The Veto Power. A Digest of the Criminal Law of Canada	560 SEDUCTAM
The Law of Collateral Inheritance, Legacy, and Succession Taxes	defendant
The Bills of Exchange Act, 1890, by Hodgins A Handbook for Magistrates	6t8
RIGHTS, NATURAL	Contempt Non-payment by trustee parameter
RIPARIAN PROPRIETORS	SETTLED ESTATE
See Ditches and Watercourses—Rivers and Streams.	d Title deeds Custody of deeds Equitable tenant for life 47
RIVERS AND STREAMS	SHERIFF
Rights of riparian owners—Navigable river Demolition of dam—Report of expert	. 307
Rules of Practice-	See Maritime Law.
See page vii.	
RULES OF COURT	SLANDER————————————————————————————————————
Recent	
	, Mileron Miles
RULE OF THE ROAD30	3, 399 Interest on costs -Agency fees

PAGE	PAGE
SOLICITOR AND CLIENT "	STATUTES Continued.
Costs—Taxation of—Lien	Construction of — Bona fide purchaser
costs, and right to charge	STATULES, TABLE OF viii.
printion before partnership Liability of inti-	STOCK EXCHANGE—
Costs of unnecessary proceedings—Disallow- ance of	Usage of—Reasonableness of—Right of broker to close account
work	
Retaining fees	Transfers of, of corporations
Bill of costs by assignee for creditors of client—Cost of taxation—Set off 569	Assignment of shares of "In trust"283, 385 Pledge by assignee—Redemption by owner 283
Assignment of chose in action -Assignee becoming solicitor in action	STOPPAGE IN TRANSITU
Costs of actions to restrain sale of estate—Then upon estate in hands of assignee 540	Delivery to carrier Duration of transitus 613
Settlement of action by parties without intervention of solicitors—Order for costs 543	STREET RAILWAY-
See Costs.	Operation on Sunday - Right to restrain 503
SOLICITOR	Supreme Court Reports371, 393
Negligence, Law of	SURETY
Action by—Professional services	a a lange Ant
Law Society — Disciplinary jurisdiction — Notices	TAXES-
See Solicitor and Client.	Local improvements—Statute of Limitations. 74 "Covenants for title
SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE -	Application and imposition of rates by munici-
Contract to make provision by will—Uncertainty—Remuneration for services rendered. Third party—Indemnity—Costs	pal corporation Assessment — Insurance Company — Assess-
Of agreements by letter	" Lien—Priority of mortgage made by statute
Contract relating to interest in land—Part per-	
formance	Contract of hiring—High School Act 217
Two independent documents—Parol evidence to connect	8 8
Written quarantee Ambiguousiv worded in	I ELEVITOR
strument—Surrounding circumstances 579	Conversation by 493
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS-	TELEPHONE COMPANY-
Payment of interest—Evidence	ContractCovenant not to transmit orders 31,
Action to recover land – Possession as agent for unknown heir-at-law – Ratification by true owner	TRADE COMBINATIONS
Charge of debts on land—Debt barred as to	TRADE MARK
personalty, but not realty	False trade mark—Application to goods—Intent to defraud
data	ment of 14
Possession, Sufficiency of	Registration—Effect of Exclusive right
ture—Time running against mortgagee Money left on deposit—Interest 26	
Acknowledgment 40 Special contract for payment of money—Administrator. 48	A property holder 59
see Wills.	TRESPASS—
•	Occupant of Crown lands—Possession by ten- ant
STATUTES— Imperial, in force in Ontario—Need of compila-	
tion of	TRIALS IN CAMERA 9

PAGE		AGE.
TRIAL BY JURY435, 513	VERDICT	
_	General rule as to setting aside	392
TRUST	Water Courses-	
Trustee-Investment of trust money-Negli-	Damage to land by construction of dam-Ser-	
gence—Liability of trustee for proper	vitude—Improvement of water courses	375
investment	Arbitration and awardMunicipal corpora-	-6-
appoint new trustees 44	tions.—Constitution of board of arbitrators See Ditches and Water Courses.	507
Solicitor—Costs improperly incurred 48	See Phones and Water Courses.	
Investment by—Liability to pay legal	WAY	
interest	See Right of Way.	
tion action—Infants 209		
" Unauthorized investment by 225	WEDDING PRESENTS-	
" Power of investment—Corporation hold-	Recovery back by giver	54 9·
ing funds "in trust" 264	WEIGHTS AND MEASURES-	
chase by trustee of trust property 311		
" Commission to	False Weights—Property of the Crown	
Appointed funds—Payment of part—Hotchpot 45	Representation of servant not that of seller	400
"Express trust"—Statute of Limitations 106	WILLS-	
Investment of moneys left to infants by will— Deposit in savings bank—Liability of trus-	Construction of Maintenance - Vested inter-	
tees for legal interest	est—Death of party	29
Provisions of will—Implied power of trustees. 223	" Gift to charity	43
Trust agreements		44
Breach of trust—Following trust moneys 314 Taking securities in name of one of two	Devise—Contingent remainder Perpe-	
joint trustees—Pledging secureties for	tuity	207
advance	" Remoteness	263
Fraud by agent—Secret commission—Invest-	" Gift to a class	298
ment	Execution—Election under Devolution of Estates Act	215
Administration—Over-payment to beneficiary	Gift to married woman for life without	315
Liability of, to refund	power of anticipation—Mortgage of	
See Executors and Premium.	life interest	328
Jendor and Purchaser	" Forfeiture clause—Absolute gift—Bank-	220
	ruptcy	329
Conditions of sale—Right to rescind—Unwillingness to comply with requisitions11, 210	Protection against waste	378
Rescission through fault of purchaser—Forfei-	Devise-Forfeiture Actual possession	
ture of deposit—Defect in title subsequently	and occupation—Possession by servant	
discovered	Vesting—"From and after"	391
Agreement—Obstruction to land by railway	estate	45
company Damages	Annuity—Covenant by testator to pay—	73
Title—Prior mortgage	Apportionment of liability between de-	
Local improvements—Covenant for title258, 313	visee for life and remainderman	110
Power of sale in mortgage—No notice required 283	Devisee—Investments for legacies—Paying out—What time intended	ro.
Contract—Time for completion—Interest 313	Validity of—Mental and physical capa-	59
Title—Private acts—Equitable interest in mortgage	city of testator—Donatio mortis causa	
Devolution of Estates Act—Devisee of land—	-Sufficiency of	186
Payment of debts 412	Direction to man letter and	•••
Title—Substitution of vendor 430	Direction to pay debts only Devise to two persons—Joint tenants or	207
Trustee with no power of sale 430	tenan s in common	440
Mortgagee selling under power—Offer to con- cur in sale by parties interested in equity 457	Legacy-Gift to next of kin of person	• •
Specific performance—Contract by letter—	dead at date of will	457
Subsequent negotiations	Gift to persons named for life, and to	488
Land subject to mortgage—Liability of pur-	Marriago with assess of tweeter	
chaser to pay off	Legacies payable out of proceeds of sale	770
provement rate—Sewers 602	-Deficiency-Failure of one legacy.	525
See Mortgage Sale of Goods.	Execution of power—General bequest	206
5.5	", Document executed "as a guide"	387
JENUE	Will in form of deed poll—Intention— Extrinsic evidence	486
Change ofAppeal from Master in Chambers	" Per stirpes or per capita—Trusts—Infant	4
-Rule 1260	trustee - Statute of Limitations	537

• p/	AGE	1	PAGE
WILLS—Continued.		WORDS AND PHRASES, Meaning of-	
Construction of—Heir at Law—Change in law	537	Acceptance "in favor of drawer only" "Agent" (Public Health Act) "Attempt"	502 331
Laches—Statute of Limitations Power of appointment—Death of one of objects in lifetime of donee—Effect of.		"Claimant" (Mechanics' Lien Act) "Criminal cause or matter"	568 452
Legacy payable out of proceeds of land-Interest, from what time payable	43	"Defect" (Employers' Liability Act) (Workmens' Compensation for In-	
Appointment by deed—Revocation—Appointment by will	47	juries Act)	183 387
Signature of legatee written under attestation	107	"Forthwith" (Creditors Relief Act, s. 4)	151 596
Executors according to the tenor EstateMeaning of real or personal estate-	142	"From and after""Good cause" (Rule 1170)	443
Limitation of action	152 553	"Good tenantable repair"" "Immediately" (Rule 863)" "In trust"	569
Probate— Grant of administration of personalty on	06	"Interlocutory" order (Rule 1205) 109, "Judgment" and "Order" distinguished	569
supposed intestacy	301	"Moving" (Factories' Act)	183 474
Two wills	504	"Order and "Judgment" distinguished "Order as to the costs" (Rule 1174)	521
See Executors and Administrators. Revocation—		"Owner" (Public Health Act)450,	502 568
Destruction without testator's authority—	142	(R.S.C. Interpretation Act)	222 44
Divorce—Subsequent validating marriage	481	"Sum in dispute" (R.S.O., c. 51, s. 148)	475 312
By cutting or erasure	557	"Total disability" (Life Insurance) "Within Ontario" (Rule 271 c)	475
MITNESS— Adverse party called as a—Right to cross-ex-		(Rule 935)	. 05
Action for witness fees	106	WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION FOR INJURIES ACT	
Competency of	555	See Employers' Liability Act—Master and Servant.	•