





The poss of th filmi

The cont or th appli

The filme instit

Map in or uppe botte follo ire tains de la The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

The original copy was borrowed from, and filmed with, the kind consent of the following institution:

Library of the Public Archives of Canada

Maps or plates too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method: Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole —> signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole V signifie "FIN".

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de l'établissement prêteur suivant :

> La bibliothèque des Archives publiques du Canada

Les cartes ou les planches trop grandes pour être reproduites en un seul cliché sont filmées à partir de l'angle supérieure gauche, de gauche à droite et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Le diagramme suivant illustre la méthode :

1	2	3
	1	
	2	
	3	

2

5

3

6

1

4

nt



GEOMETRY, MENSURATION

AND THE

STEREOMETRICAL

TABLEAU

LECTURE READ

BEFORE THE QUEBEC LITERARY AND HISTORICAL SOCIETY

20TH MARCH 1872.

BY CHS. BAILLAIRGÉ ESQ.

CIVIL ENGINEER, ETC.

QUEBEC : C. DARVEAU, PRINTER AND PUBLISHER, N° 8, Mountain Hill. 1873

LECTURE B

(Extract from the "

Mr. Baillargé's lecture, on Wednesday evening last, before the Literary and Historical Society of Quebec, proved once more how very interesting, even in a popular sense, an otherwise dry and abstruse subject, may become, when ably handled.

The lecture showed the relationship of geometry to all the industries of life. He traced its origin from remote antiquity, its gradual development up to the present time. He showed how it is the basis of all our public works, and how we are indebted to it for all the constructive arts; its relationship to mechanics, hydraulics, optics, and all the physical sciences. The fairer portion of mankind, said Mr. B., have the keenest, most appreciative perception of its advantages and beauties, as evidenced in the ever-varying combinations so cunningly devised in their designs for needle tracery, laces and embroidery. He showed its relationship to chemistry in crystallization and polarization; to botany and zoology in the laws of morphology; to theology, and so on. In treating of the circle and other conic sections, he drew quite a poetical comparison between the engineer who traces out his curves among the woods and waters of the earth, and the astronomer who sweeps out his mighty circuits amidst the starry forests of the heavens. The parabola was fully illustrated in its application to the throwing of projectiles of war, also as evidenced in jets of water, the speaking trumpet, the mirror and the reflector, which, in light-houses, gathers the rays of light, as it were, into a bundle, and sends them off together on their errand of humanity. In treating of the ellipse, this almost magic curve which is traced out in the heavens by every planet that revolves about the sun, by every satellite about its primary, he alluded to that most beautiful of all ovals--the face of lovely woman. He showed how the re-appearance of a comet may now be predicted even to the very day it heaves in sight, and though it has been absent for a century, and how in former ages, when these phenomena were unpredicted, they burst upon the world in unexpected moments, carrying terror everywhere and giving rise to the utmost anxiety and consternation, as if the end of all things were at hand. In a word, Mr. Baillargé went over the whole field of geometry and mensuration, both plane and sperical; a difficult feat within the limits of a single lecture ; and kept the audience, so to say, entranced with in-terest for two whole hours, which the president, Dr. Anderson, remarked were to him as but one; and no doubt it must have been so to others, since Mr. Wilkie, in seconding the vote of thanks proposed by Capt. Ashe, alluded to the pleasure with which he had listened to the lecture as if, he said, it were like poetry to him, instead of the unpromising matter foreshadowed in the title. Mr. Baillargé next explained in detail his stereometrical tableau, which we hope to see soon introduced into all the schools of this Dominion. He showed how conducive it will be in shortening the time heretofore devoted to the study of solids and even to that of plane and convex superficies, spherical

trigonom wing, the dows, an had been corrobor immense blems w can now generally many pe tures,) w be perfor the use knowled acquaint He show builder the form domes. drons, v eathwor cuttings Roman the cam door or or arch the cam sun ane received Educati ducing Mr. Var on the grantin that Me tary of in Fran next ge tion con tion and Mr. Gia the Hor say: " " tion " toute Univer " plus " corps " de sa " généi " delig " super Newton " the t " the " form " Mr. " instr that " " short

JRE BY MR. BAILLAIRGE.

(Extract from the "Quebec Daily Mercury" of 26th March, 1872.)

sday evening cal Society of cresting, even labstruse sub-

of geometry to ts origin from ment up to the he basis of all lebted to it for ship to mechavsical sciences. r. B., have the of its advan-3 ever-varying their designs v. He showed allization and in the laws of In treating of e drew quite a eer who traces waters of the out his mighty e heavens. The plication to the s evidenced in the mirror and athers the rays sends them off

In treating of vhich is traced that revolves out its primary, all ovals-the how the re-apeted even to the igh it has been ner ages, when hey burst upon carrying terror ost anxiety and gs were at hand. e whole field of e and sperical; single lecture ; ranced with ine president, Dr. ut one; and no ince Mr. Wilkie, posed by Capt. ich he had listee like poetry to er foreshadowed lained in detail to see soon Dominion. He

shortening the y of solids and efficies, spherical

trigonometry, geometrical projection, perspective drawing, the development of surfaces, shades and shadows, and the like. Mr. Wilkie, so far as opportunity had been afforded him of proving the calculations, corroborated Mr. B.'s statement in relation to the immense saving in time, where many abstruse problems which generally required hours or days to solve. can now (if the rule be, as Mr. Baillargé asserts, so generally applicable, and, as has been certified by so many persons in testimonials over their own signatures.) with the help of the new formula and tableau, be performed in as many minutes; to say nothing of the use the models are in imparting at a glance a knowledge of their nomenclature or names, and an acquaintanceship with their varied shapes and figures. He showed how, to the architect and engineer, the builder and mechanic, the models are suggestive of the forms and relative proportions of buildings, roofs, domes, piers and quays, cisterns and reservoirs, cauldrons, vats, casks, tubs and other vessels of capacity, eathworks of all kinds, comprising railroad and other cuttings and embankments, the shaft of the Greek and Roman column, square and waney timber, saw-logs, the camping tent, the square or splayed opening of a door or window, nich or loophole in a wall, the vault or arched ceiling of a church or hall, the billiard or the cannon ball, or, on a larger scale, the moon, earth, sun and planets. Mr. Baillargé, we may add, has received an order for a tableau from the Minister of Education of New Brunswick, with the view of introducing it into all the schools of that Province; and Mr. Vannier, in writing to Mr. Baillairgé, from France, on the 10th of January last, to advise him of the granting of his letters-patent for that country, says that Messrs. Humbert & Noé, the President and secretary of the society for the generalization of education in France, have intimated their intention, at their next general meeting, of having some mark of distinction conferred on him for the benefit which his invention and discovery are likely to confer on education. Mr. Giard, in writing to Mr. Baillairgé, on the part of the Hon. Mr. Chauveau, Minister of Public Instruction, say: "Il se fera un devoir d'en recommander l'adop-"tion dans toutes les maisons d'éducation et dans "toutes les écoles." From the Seminary and Laval University, Mr. Maingui writes: "Plus on étudie, " plus on approfondit cette formule du cubage des " corps, plus on est enchanté (the more one marvels) " de sa simplicité, de sa clarté et surtout de sa grande "généralité." Rev. Mr. McQuarries, B. A. "shall be " delighted to see the old and tedious processes " superseded by a formula so simple and so exact." Newton, of Yale College, United States: "considers " the tableau a must useful arrangement for showing "" the variety and extent of the applications of the "formula." The College l'Assomption "will adopt "Mr. Baillairgé's system as part of their course of "instruction." Mr. Wilkie has written to the author that "the rule is precise and simple, and will greatly "shorten the processes of calculation. The tableau,"

says this competent judge, "comprising as it does a " great variety of elementary models, will serve "admirably to educate the eye, and must greatly "facilitate the study of solid mensuration." "Again," says Mr. Wilkie, "the Goverment would confer a "boon on schools of the middle and higher class by "affording access to so suggestive a collection." There are others who, irrespective of considerations as to the comparative accuracy of the formula, or of its advantages, as applied to mere mensuration, are awake to the fact that the models are so much more suggestive to the pupil and the teacher than their mere representation on a blackbord or on paper, and who, in their written opinions, have alluded especially to this feature of the proposed system. M. Joly President of the Quebec Branch of the Montreal School of Arts and Design, in a letter on the subject to Mr. Weaver, the President of the Board, and after having himself witnessed its advantages on more than one occasion, says, in his expressive style, "the difference is enormous." Professor Toussaint, of the Normal School, Dufresne, of the Montmagny Academy, Boivin, of St. Hyacinthe, and many others, are of the same opinion ; among them MM. R. S. M. Bouchette, O'Farrell, Fletcher, St. Aubin, Steckel, Juneau, Venner, Gallagher, Lafrance, and the late Brother Anthony, &c., &c. Neither will it be forgotten that the professors of the Laval University, after reading the enunciation of Mr. B.'s formula, as given in his treatise of 1866, expressed themselves thus : "Un doute involontaire s'empare "d'abord de l'esprit, lorsqu'on lit le No. 1521; mais " un examen attentif des paragraphes suivants, dissipe " bientôt ce doute et l'on reste étonné à la vue d'une " formule, si claire, si aisée à retenir et dont l'appli-" cation est si générale." Mr. Fletcher, of the Crown Lands Department, says: "I have compared, in the " case of several solids, the results obtained by your " mode of computation with those resulting from the " ordinary and more lengthy processes, and congra-" tulate you sincerely on your enunciation of a formula " so brief and simple in its character, and so precise " and satisfactory in its results." Mr. Baillairgé also took occasion during his lecture to allude, in other relations, to his treatise on geometry and mensuration, in which he showed he has introduced many important modifications in the usual mode of treating the subject of plane and spherical geometry and trigonometry. In conclusion, we must add that the Council of Public Instruction, at its last meeting, appointed a Committee, composed of the Lord Bishop of Quebec, and of Bishops Langevin aud Larocque, to report to the Council at its next general meeting in June, and who, it may be taken for granted, after the many flattering testimonials in relation to the utility and many advantages of the stereometrical tableau for purposes of education, cannot but recommend and direct its adoption in all the schools of the Dominion.

We learn with pleasure that Mr. Baillargé has been invited to repeat this lecture in Montreal.

BAILLAIRGE'S STEREOMETRICAL TAB

HONORARY MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY FOR THE GENERALIZATION OF EDUCATION IN FRANCE, ETC., ET

(Patented in Canada, in the United States of America, and in Europe.)

This is a Case 5 feet long, 3 feet wide and 5 inches deep, with a hinged Glass Cover, under Lock and Key, so as exhibiting, and affording free access to some 200 well-finished Hardwood Models of every conceivable Elementary, form, each of which being neatly attached to the board, by means of a wire-peg or nail, can be removed and replac Student or Professor.



For the use of Architects, Engineers, Surveyors, Students and Apprentices, Customs and Excise Officers, Prof. Mathematics, Universities, Colleges, Seminaries, Convents and other Educational Establishments, Schools of Art a Measurers, Gaugers, Ship-builders, Contractors, Artizans and others in Canada, and elsewhere.

T<u>ABURAU &</u>

N IN FRANCE, ETC., ETC.

Europe.)

· Lock and Key, so as to exclude dust while ceivable Elementary, Geometrical or other e removed and replaced at pleasure, by the



Approved by the Council of Publie Instruction of the Province of Quebec, and already adopted and ordered by many Educational and other Establishements in Canada and elsewhere. For information and testimonials apply to

> C. BAILLARGÉ, QUEBEC.

CANADA.

Honorary Member of the Society for the Generalization of Education in France, etc., etc.

SUBSCRIBERS. The Archbishop of Quebec, the Bishop of Rimouski, the Bishop of Kingston, the Bishop of St. Hyacinthe, the Lominion Board of Works, the Schools of Arts aud Design, the Laval University, the Seminary, Q., the College at Otta-wa, Nicolet, Rimouski, Montmagny. St. Michel, etc., l'Ecole Nor-male Laval, les Ecoles des Frères, the Commercial Academy, the Board of Land Surveyors, the Department of Education, New Brun-R. Hamilton, Esq., F. N. Martin, and C. Roy, Civil Engineers, etc., La Société pour la vulgarisation de Element de La Bounda Exercice l'Enseignement du Peuple, France F. Peachy, J. Lepage, etc., Ar-chitects, N. Piton, T. Maguire, J. Marcotte, builders, the Council of Public Instruction, Q., the Jacques Cartier Normal School, M. Piton Manitoba, the Colleges of Aylmer, L'Assomption. Ste. Anne de la Po-catiere, St. Hyacinthe, the High School, Q., the Morin College, Q., the Lafrance Academy, Q., Gover-nment Boards of Works, Q., the Ursulines Convent, the Convent of the Good Shepherd, Grey Nuns, Sœurs de la Congrégation, Sœurs de Jésus-Marie, Q., and M. S. W. Townsend, Hamilton, &c. &c. &c. Etc., Etc., Etc.

Excise Officers, Professors of Geometry and ents, Schools of Art and Design, Mechanics,

GEOMETRY, MENSURATION

AND THE

STEREOMETRICAL

TABLEAU

LECTURE READ

BEFORE THE QUEBEC LITERARY AND HISTORICAL SOCIETY

20TH MARCH 1872.

BY CHS. BAILLAIRGÉ ESQ.

CIVIL ENGINEER, ETC.

QUEBEC:

C. DARVEAU, PRINTER AND PUBLISHER, Nº 8, Mountain Hill.

ST I N subje may a contra tionsl G indeb house the w that t geom the di togetl seque our ei move the na of me are co I desig it ena ture; draug ten a

PAPER IV.

GEOMETRY, MENSURATION,

AND THE

STEREOMETRICAL TABLEAU. By CHARLES BAILLAIRGÉ, Esq., Civil Engineer, &c.

(Read before the Society, March 20th, 1872.)

No apology, I presume, need be offered for my selection of the subject of which I am about to treat; for, though, at first sight, it may appear to be devoid of interest and practical utility, it is, on the contrary, fraught with paramount importance on account of its relationship to almost all the industries of life.

Geometry is the basis of our public works; to its precepts we are indebted for all the constructive arts, our public edifices, our dwellinghouses, the fortifications of our cities, our ports, canals and roads, and the wondrous architecture of the many floating palaces and towers that thread our streams and rivers and plough the mighty seas. The geometer it is who measures and designs, in their true proportions, the diverse parts of states and territories, and who, by thus bringing together their several points, enables the eye to appreciate the consequences of their relative positions; he it is who directs the use of our engines of war, and to his calculations are made subordinate the movements of armies. Geometry makes the astronomer and guides the navigator, and all sciences are allied to it. It is the foundation of mechanics, hydraulics, and optics; and all the physical sciences are constantly indebted to it.

In a less elevated sphere, geometry teaches us to measure and design or represent our fields, our gardens, and domestic buildings; it enables us to estimate and compare their products and expenditure; it determines inaccessible heights and distances, guides the draughtsman's hand, and presents an infinity of detached usages, of ten applicable in domestic economy.

The fairer portion of mankind have the keenest, most appreciative perception of its advantages and beauties, as evidenced in the ever-varying combinations so cunningly devised in their designs for patch-work, laces, and embroidery, &c.

Geometry measures extension, comparing portions of space with each other. Its elements are lines, surfaces, and volumes or solids. A portion of space, such as might be filled by a solid body is itself called in geometry a solid. The boundaries of this geometrical solid are called surfaces, which last may also be conceived as separating space from space as well as bounding it. They constitute a zero of solidity, but have a magnitude of their own, called superficies. It is sometimes suggestive and advantageous, in reasoning about solids and their mode of measurement, to consider them as made up of an infinite number of surfaces or superficies overlying one another like the leaves of a book. If a surface be limited in extent, the boundary on any side is a line, which has neither solidity nor superficial area, having magnitude in length only. Surfaces or superficies may be conceived to be made up of an infinity of lines in juxta-position to to each other. If the line is limited in extent, its extremities are points. A point, therefore, is a zero, not only in solidity and superficies, but in length also, having no magnitude or proportion, and retaining only order or position as the sole element of its existence. A line may be conceived as made up of an infinite series of points following each other in close contact or succession. In the position of points, the difference in direction of a first and second point from a third is called an angle. We have here all the elemental conceptions of geometry, viz., a point, a line, a surface, a solid, an angle. From these definitions as data, a vast amount of geometrical science may be deduced by the laws of logic.

The relation of geometry to other sciences is twofold, giving and receiving. To mechanics it gives the only possibility of understanding the laws of motion ; and from mechanics it receives the conception of moving points, lines, and surfaces, and thus generating lines, surfaces, and solids. To chemistry it gives the only means of investigating crystallization, polarization, &c., and from chemistry receives new ideas concerning the symmetry of planes. It holds a like relation to botany and zoology in the laws of form and morphology. In the study of the humain mind, geometry proposes the question of the foundations of belief, by giving the first examples of demonstration ; and from the inquiries thus aroused, geometry has received from age to age the new conceptions which have been the base of many new methods of investigation and proof. To theology geometry gives definite conceptions of the order and wisdom of the natural creation, and from theology has been stimulated to many fresh exertions in the investigation of these theological questions.

" A_I first A.D. in th mark nate culue " De the J ful e tive, strai

who

Here

had :

on a

annt

the a

sciei

we a

evid

the f

we f

exte:

hand

artif

was

does

this

motl

were

Chri

math

Ptol

mete

time

Eucl

thos

dem

most appreciaevidenced in the their designs for

is of space with lumes or solids. id body is itself eometrical solid d as separating titute a zero of perficies. It is ng about solids made up of an ne another like t, the boundary superficial area, perficies may be uxta-position to extremities are dity and superproportion, and of its existence. series of points In the position cond point from emental concepsolid, an angle. metrical science

fold, giving and of understandsthe conception ating lines, sureans of investimistry receives olds a like relanorpholegy. In question of the demonstration ; ceived from age e of many new geometry gives atural creation, sh exertions in The history of geometry is divided by Chasles, in his valuable "Aperçu historique des méthodes en géométrie," into five periods. The first is that of the Greek geometry, lasting about 1000 years, or till A.D. 550. Then, after a pause of 1000 years, the second period began in the revival of ancient geometry about 1550. A third period was marked in the beginning of the 17th century by Descartes' co-ordinates and the analytical geometry. The fourth period was inaugurated in 1684 by the sublime invention of the differential calculus. The fifth era is marked in our own century by Monge's "Descriptive Geometry," by which he developed the idea of reducing the problems of solid geometry to problems in a plane. One beautiful example of this branch of science may be found in linear perspective, which simply projects the points of a solid upon a plane by straight lines of light from the eye.

Since Chasles' "Aperçu Historique" was published, a sixth period has been introduced by the publication of "Hamilton's Quaternions."

Greek geometry, it is said, began with Thales and Pythagoras, who obtained their first ideas from Egypt and from India. Diodorus, Herodotus and Strabo are of opinion that the science of mensuration had its rise among the Egyptians, whom they represent as constrained on account of the removal or defacing of the land-marks by the annual inundation of the Nile, to devise some method of ascertaining the ancient bondaries, after the waters had subsided. Indeed, the science must have been nearly coeval with the existence of man, for we are told in Holy Writ that Cain built a city; to do which, it is evident, would require some knowledge of a measuring unit, which is the first principle of mensuration. By the same infallible testimony we find that the arts and sciences were cultivated to a considerable extent long before the flood. Jubal was the father of all such as handled the harp and organ, and Tubal-Cain an instructor of every artificer in brass and iron. It is also more than probable that Noah was well acquainted with geometry as practised in his day, for it does not appear that he found any difficulty in building the ark. Be this as it may, it is well known that Egypt was for many ages the mother and nurse of the arts and sciences. From this country they were conveyed into Greece by Thales about 600 years before the Christian era. Euclid, about 300 years before Christ, established a mathematical school at Alexandria, where Archimedes, Apollonius, Ptolemy, Theon, &c., received instruction from the " prince of geometers." It is, however, reasonable to suppose that before Euclid's time there existed treatises on geometry, for Proclus affirms that Euclid improved many things in the elements of Eudoxus and in those of Theatetus, and established, by the most firm and convincing demonstrations, such propositions as were but superficially explained.

6

The Pythagorean school demonstrated the incommensurability of the diagonal of a square with its side, and investigated the five regular solids. They had some knowledge of triangles and circles, and were probably acquainted with the fact that the circle and sphere are the largest figures of the same perimeter and surface. About a century after Pythagoras, the great Plato and his disciples commenced a course of rapid and astonishing discoveries. The anceint analytic mode of geometrical reasoning consisted in assuming the truth of the theorem to be proved, and then shewing that this implied the truth of those propositions only which were already known to be true. In modern days, the algebraic method, since it allows the introduction of unknown quantities, has taken the name of analytic. Conic sections embrace, as is well known, the study of the curves generated by intersecting a cone by a plane surface ; and most marvellous, so to say, are some of them. The circle, the most beautiful of all, we see exemplified in the thousand-and-one forms of every-day life. The ellipse, this almost magic curve, is traced out in the heavens by every planet that revovles about the sun. by every satellite about its primary. It has two centres or foci, the sun, or primary in one of them. It approaches in these cases nearly to a circle, or has little eccentricity, while, as in the case of comets, it is lengthened out almost indefinitely. It is produced whenever the intersecting plane cuts the cone in a direction oblique to its axis, the angle formed by this section and the cone's base being at the same time less than that included between the base and side. In imitation of the Divine Architect, man has made it subservient to his requirements ; we see it in the arches of all sizes that span our rivers and crown our structures, great and small. The artist applies it as a fitting frame to that most beautiful of ovals, the face of lovely woman. It has very peculiar properties : for instance, the sum of any two lines or radii drawn from its centres to any point in the circumference or perimeter of the figure, is a constant quantity ; and a ray of light or sound or heat thrown out or radiated from one of its foci towards the circumference, is reflected to the other focus. You have all heard of the whispering gallery in St. Paul's Cathedral : it is merely an elliptical space surrounded by walls, in which, when two persons stand in the opposite foci, and though comparatively far apart, they can talk to each other in the merest whisper, and without the least danger of being overheard by any other person within the gallery. We have, next, the hyperbola, a peculiar curve, in which not the sum is constant, but the difference of any two radii or lines drawn from the foci to a point in the curve. We obtain it in the cone when cut by a plane at an angle greater than that which the side makes with the base. It is, in a popular sense, a somewhat paradoxical figure, inasmuch as

thou; and i lines conti impo of ex stear

1

singt plane our s more the c oppo to me stran desci quain in th see y self-s the w exact you : liqui or fre dista But i speal it wil one), proje one a this (sun, from there gathe off to I find follo

had I scare

mmensurability stigated the five les and circles, ircle and sphere rface. About a ples commenced anceint analytic the truth of the uplied the truth to be true. In the introduction tic. Conic securves generated marvellous, so atiful of all, we every-day life. the heavens by atellite about its rimary in one of rcle, or has little lengthened out itersecting plane angle formed by me less than that n of the Divine rements ; we see crown our strucing frame to that It has very pecues or radii drawn perimeter of the or sound or heat ne circumference, of the whispering elliptical space tand in the oppocan talk to each danger of being We have, next, sum is constant, from the foci to a cut by a plane at with the base. It ure, inasmuch as though it be produced ever so far, and in so doing it approach more and more to two certain lines called its asymptotes, and though the lines be close by, yet can it never reach them, even though it should continue onward until the end of time. It has not, in practice, the importance of the other conic sections, but has some uses, such as that of expressing or affording an illustration of the varying pressure of steam while working expansively within a cylinder or other vessel.

Come we now to the parabola, a marvellous curve, indeed. How singular that this figure, which every cone presents when cut by a plane parallel to its side, should be that in which certain comets visit our system-those that enter it but once, and leave it again, never more to return; for it is a curve which, like the hyperbola, but unlike the circle or ellipsis, returns not back upon itself, but of which the opposite sides or branches continually separate more and more, never to meet again. This is, indeed, a most fascinating curve. How very strange that it should happen to be the self-same figure which a stone describes when, thrown obliquely into space, it falls again ! An acquaintance with the theory of this most useful arc guides the gunner in throwing his balls and shells into the fortress of the enemy; for, see you, such a curve as they describe when ascending into space, the self-same curve they make again while falling to their destinationthe whole arc or curve a true parabola, and each portion thereof the exact half or counterpart of its fellow. Yet, have I not done telling you about this most interesting section. Every jet of water or other liquid issuing under pressure from the side of a reservoir or cistern, or from the pipe of a fire-engine, describes this curve ; and hence the distance of projection can be in advance calculated and ascertained. But it has still other applications, to wit : in the construction of the speaking-trumpet, where the mouth lies in the focus of the curve (for it will already have been guessed from the description that it has but one), and the rays of sound striking upon the sides of the tube are projected forth together in a pencil or bundle, so to say, parallel to one another, and direct towards the object spoken to. See, again. this curved line in the mirror which collects the parallel rays of the sun, or other source of light or heat, and reflects them one and all from the surface to the centre or focus, wherein a light or fire may be thereby kindled; and again, in the reflector which, in light-houses, gathers the diverging rays proceeding from the focus, and sends them off together on their errand of humanity. But I, too, am wandering, I find; and though the curve return not upon itself, I must not further follow it in its erratic course.

Within 150 years after Plato's time, this study of the conic sections had been pushed by Appolonius and others to a degree which has scarcely been supassed by any subsequent geometer.

Geometrical loci are lines, and surfaces defined by the fact that every point in the line or surface fulfils one and the same condition of position. Thus, the locus of a point equally removed from any two given points, is the perpendicular drawn from the centre of the line joining these two points ; the locus of the vertices of all triangles naving the same base and equal areas is a line parallel to the base; the locus of the vertices of all triangles having the same base, and the same ratio between their sides, is the circumference of a circle having its centre in the base produced, and such as to cut it in the required ratio. The investigation of such loci has been, from Platos' day to the present, one of the most fruitful of all sources of geometrical knowledge. Just before the time of Apollonius, Euclid introduced into Geometry a device of reasoning which was exceedingly useful in cases where neither synthesis-that is, direct proof-nor the analytic mode is readily applicable, the reductio ad absurdum; it consists in assuming the contrary of your proposition to be true, and then shewing that this implies the truth of what is known to be false. Contemporary with Apollonius was Archimedes, who introduced into geometry the fruitful idea of exhaustion. By calculating inscribed and circumscribed polygons about a circle, and increasing the number of sides until the difference between the external and internal polygons became exceedingly small, Archimedes arrived at the first known ratio between the diameter and circumference of a circle, which he found to be as 1 to 31. Hipparchus, before Christ, and Ptolemy, after Christ, applied mathematics to astronomy. Vieta, the inventor of algebra, applied it to geometry. Kepler introduced the idea of the infinitesimal, thus perfecting the Archimedean exhaustion, and led to the solution of questions of maxima nnd minima. Meanwhile, Newton's Fluxions and Leibnitz's Differential Calculus had come into use, and many fine discoveries were made in regard to curves in general : and so fruitful the results, that, as is now well known by every one, the time of an eclipse of the sun may be calculated and foretold for years in advance, and that to the very minute-nay, to within a second almost-of its actual occurrence. Even the reappearance of a comet may be predicted to the very day it heaves in sight, and though it has been absent for a century. In former ages, when these phenomena were unpredicted, they burst upon the world in unexpected moments, carrying terror everywhere, and giving rise to the utmost anxiety and consternation, as if the end of all things were at hand.

Although the elements of Euclid are the groundwork of every mathematical education, yet, many valuable rules are reduced from the higher branches of analysis, and which appear to have little or no dependence upon geometry. The differential analysis has admitted us to the knowledge of truths which would astonish mathematicians of former ages ; and to Newton we are principally indebted for dis-

covei ficers estab conse appre the g subli erect the 1 const requi mer The ledge versa and trical with in th exact neral and v geom And of gee prope whole in ev to tw them thus inacc It is t of me there calcu to bri base. neces for co and v astroi eight the su time,

by the fact that same condition noved from any he centre of the s of all triangles lel to the base ; same base, and ence of a circle to cut it in the een, from Platos' ces of geometriuclid introduced edingly useful in nor the analytic ; it consists in true, and then wn to be false. introduced into ing inscribed and g the number of nternal polygons the first known circle, which he d Ptolemy, after the inventor of l the idea of the istion, and led to Meanwhile, Newad come into use, urves in general; by every one, the foretold for years within a second rance of a comet t, and though it hen these phenod in unexpected rise to the utmost

ndwork of every are reduced from to have little or alysis has admitth mathematicians indebted for dis-

s were at hand.

coveries which have greatly advanced the art of mensuration. Artificers of all kinds are indebted to geometry and mensuration for the establishement of their various occupations; and the perfection and consequent value of their labours depend entirely on the near approach they make to the standard of geometrical accuracy. All the great and ingenious devices of mankind owe their origin to this sublime science. By its means the architect draws his plan and erect his edifice. When bridges are to be built over large streams, the most exact acquaintance with geometry is required. In the construction of ships, of every kind, geometrical knowledge is requisite; and the sailor well knows the use of a good astronomer on board his vessel to guide it through the trackless ocean. The sublime science of astronomy is built upon geometrical knowledge ; and the telescopic observer keeps up, as it were, a conversation with the heavens. In short, all the elegancies of life, and most of its conveniences, owe their existence to the geometrical art. The vegetable world abounds with productions shaped with more than human cunning; the beautiful tracery observable in the petals of some flowers is really astonishing, and the most exact proportion of the parts is always preserved. In the mineral world, a similar truth forces itself upon the imagination; and wherever the eye of man has been allowed to penetrate, the same geometrical harmony is found among all the parts of created matter. And what is the foundation, the groundwork, upon which this science of geometry is built ? Why, so to say, on but one or two elementary propositions, which, if the truths implied of them did not exist, the whole science must fail. One of these fundamental theorems is, that in every plane triangle the sum of the angles is constant and equal to two right angles, or to 180 degrees, whereby, when any two of them are known, the third is found with the utmost facility; and thus are we enabled to arrive at the distances which separate us from inaccessible objects, or which divide those objects from each other. It is thus that the surveyor and engineer, by the help of a base-line of measured length, and the angles observed at each extremity thereof, can, by an easy geometrical construction, or by arithmetical calculation, arrive at the exact breadth of a river which it is intended to bridge. Thus, also, does the astronomer, by adopting a broader base, arrive at the diameter of the earth we inhabit, without the necessity of going round it. The earth, in its turn, is made the basis for computing the distance of the moon, the sun, and the planets; and when, as in the case of the fixed stars, this basis fails,-when the astronomer at opposite ends of the earth, or with a base-line of some eight thousand miles in extent, fails to elicit any difference between the sum of the observed angles and two right angles, he bides his time, and, taking one of them on any convenient day, he takes the

second that day six months, when, with the earth in its yearly revolution about the sun, he shall have arrived at the opposite end of the earth's orbit, and have thus secured a base-line of nearly 200,000,000 of miles in extent-though, even so, he is sorely tried, and has almost superhuman difficulties to encounter in solving this great problem of the distance of the stars ; for,-will it be believed ?--so tremendous, so inconceivable is this distance, that the third angle of the triangle -the one opposite to this immense base of 200 millions of milesthis third angle, I say, is but the fraction of a second, or of the 100 th part of a degree. And yet, strange contradiction, so very small is this enormous distance of the nearest fixed star, and from which light, though it travels with the inconceivable velocity of 200,000 miles in every second of time, requires three years to reach us,-so small is it. in comparison with this boundless universe, that there are stars ten times-aye, 10,000 times-more remote, and further still, beyond the space penetrating powers of the most potent telescopes, such as that of Lord Rosse, which is not less than six feet in diameter, and more than 60 feet in length.

And if this property of the triangle did not exist—if the sum of the three angles were not a constant quantity,—then should we probably have remained ignorant, and forever—not, perhaps, of the size of the earth itself, which may be girdled and submitted to direct measurement, but of the distances and sizes of all objects exterior to the earth or out of our immediate reach, and man deprived of one grand and inexhaustible source of enjoyment.

There is another property of triangles on which I must dwell for a moment : it is this, that when similar or equiangular, their homologous or corresponding sides are proportional; and from this property it occurs that in every right-angled triangle the square of the hypothenuse is equal to the sum of the squares upon the other two sides. These two propositions or theorems, together with the more important one already alluded to, are at the foundation, so to say, of all geometrical science; and all the other theorems and problems of geometry depend intimately upon these for their very existence or solution.

Because the sides and altitudes of similar triangles are proportional, it follows that their areas are as the squares of any of their corresponding dimensions. That is, if the base of a triangle be double that of another, so is its altitude also double; and as twice two are four, the area of the second is four-times that of the first; or if the base of the one be three-times that of the other, so will its altitude, and the area 3-times 3, or 9-times that of the first. Hence, while the lineal dimensions increase as the natural numbers 1, 2, 3, &c., the superficies increase as the squares, 1, 4, 9, &c., thereof; and situa divie as th to tv inten angl angl prop angl and when they num 20, a num

figur

this

port

requ

allo

of b

with meas shou that thing singu such angle prop also, possi hence the d field. solve any t the p squal way can e the n enabl usual

its yearly revoposite end of the early 200,000,000 , and has almost great problem of -so tremendous, e of the triangle llions of miles-, or of the $\frac{36}{100}$ th so very small is om which light, 200,000 miles in ,-so small is it, ere are stars ten still, beyond the es, such as that neter, and more

t—if the sum of should we prohaps, of the size nitted to direct ects exterior to leprived of one

must dwell for t, their homolom this property re of the hypother two sides. ne more importo to say, of all d problems of ry existence or

les are proporof any of their a triangle be ; and as twice of the first ; or her, so will its first. Hence, umbers 1, 2, 3, , thereof ; and

this affords a way of dividing any triangular figure or space into portions which shall be equal to each other, or bear to each other any required ratio. And what is true of similar triangles is also true of all other similar figures-that is, of such as are made up of, are capable of being divided into, an equal number of similar and similarly situated triangles. Again, because every rectilineal figure may be divided into as many triangles as the figure has sides, less by two, and as the sum of the angles of each of the constituent triangles is equal to two right angles, therefore does it follow that the sum of the interior angles of any quadrilateral or four-sided figure is 4 right angles; of any pentagon, the sum of the angles is equal to 6 right angles; in an octagon, 12 right angles; and so on. This important property enables the land-surveyor or engineer, after measuring the angles of any tract of land, to test the accuracy of his triangulation, and detect an error, if there be one, since the sum of all the angles, when taken together, and whatever fractions of a degree or minute they may severally contain, must, of necessity, make up an exact number, and that, an even number of right angles-2, 4, 6, 8, 10, or 20, as the case may be,-but never 3, 5, 7, 9, or any other odd number.

I have alluded to the circle as being the most beautiful of all figures, but have as yet said nothing of some very useful properties with which it is endowed. For instance, an angle at its centre is measured by the arc which it subtends ; this we all know, and that it should be so does not appear at all strange : it seems, on the contrary, that it should not be otherwise, and hence it suffices to define the thing, or to enunciate the proposition, to have it credited. But it is singular that when the apex of the angle is in or on the circumference, such angle is but one-half-not less, not more-of the corresponding angle at the centre; and out of this arises the very curious and useful property that all angles in the same segment are equal to each other; also, that every angle in a semi-circle is a right angle. Hence the possibility of drawing a tangent to a circle from any point without it; hence, also, can a right angle be most easily and readily laid out by the draughtsman on his board or paper, or by the surveyor in the field. Hence, again, can the very pretty and useful problem be solved of finding a mean proportional or a geometrical mean between any two given lines, a graphic mode of extracting the square root of the product of any two given numbers, or of finding the side of a square equal in area to that of a given rectangle. But, in the same way as a geometric mean may be found between any two lines, so can either of these last be determined when the other is known and the mean between it and its fellow ; and in this way is the engineer enabled to find the radius of a railroad curve, for these curves are usually of vast extent, and, unlike the circle on a board or sheet of

side

each

who

be

this

Dep

anl

or o

to W

the

251

page

diffic

equ

the

notic

a cir

dieu

from

sum

beco

serv

tain

surfi

taini

heig

tend

acro

trica

prac

the

cent

figui

to ty

one

of th

a zoi part.

from

with

of pl

to fin

to th

paper, the centre cannot be seen nor found, nor can the radius be measured; or if you come across a portion of a stump, and have a curiosity to know the size of tree cut from it, draw any chord across it, bisect it, square its half, divide the product by the versed sine or height or breadth of the segment at its centre, and there will come the rest of the diameter. This is not all : for on a scale 10.000 times more vast, or even millions, does the astronomor compute, and almost in the self-same way, from a knowledge of a minute portion of the arc or orbit, those tremendous circles, eccentric though they be. which satellites sweep out around their primaries planets around the sun. Yes, and as the engineer, without a center or a radius, can follow out his curved track among the woods and waters of the earth, also does the astronomer trace out his mighty circuits through the starry forests of the dark blue heavens. Ave, even is the erratic comet in this way followed up with eye intent upon its ever-varying direction among the stars, and from the minutest portion of its circuit can the elliptic figure be computed which will enable the time of its periodic return to be predicted to a certainty; and the same course of observation will also tell if the path among the planets be not elliptic, but rather parabolic, or that of some strange meteor which is approaching this world's precints for the first time, and will leave it, never to return-unless, to be sure, the path described should approach very nearly to the elliptic, and, as in political astronomy, the influence or attraction of some great planet so swerve it on its way, so alter its direction, as to bring about the phenomena of a transformation.

I have just now said that the angle at the circumference of a circle is half the angle at the centre on the same arc, and this property can be turned to great account. In surveying the coast of any country, with a view to laying down on charts and maps its shoals and breakers, the hydrographer takes his angles from points immediately over those of which the positions are to be ascertained to three or more points on shore, of which the distances apart are known. Now, were the angles just alluded to adjacent to any one of the measured distances, the solution of the problem would reduce to that of determining the third angle and other two sides of a triangle, of which two angles and a side were known. But the elements are not in contact; they are not adjacent: how, then, can they be brought together for the purposes of calculation ? Why, by this very beautiful property of the circle just enunciated - that an angle having its vertex in the circumference is equal to any other angle similarly situated and bearing upon the same arc, whereby, if a circle be described around three of the points concerned in the problem, -and, as we all know, a circle can be so described, -and if the two angles, taken from the hydrographical point under con-

12

in the radius be ump, and have a any chord across ie versed sine or there will come cale 10,000 times compute, and alninute portion of though they be. anets around the or a radius, can d waters of the circuits through en is the erratic its ever-varying ortion of its cirenable the time ; and the same g the planets be strange meteor t time, and will path described in political aslanet so swerve the phenomena

umference of a , and this prohe coast of any maps its shoals n points immeascertained to art are known. my one of the uld reduce to s of a triangle, e elements are can they be v, by this very that an angle to any other c, whereby, if cerned in the scribed,-and nt under consideration, are made to travel round the circle till the apex of each of them arrive at the opposite extremities of the base, the whole difficulty will have been made to disappear, and the problem be reduced to that of solving a case in plane trigonometry. In this way did a former pupil of mine, Mr. R. Steckel, now of the Department of Public Works at Ottawa, solve, in a most ingenious an l simple muner, the problem of the interpolation of a base-line, or of finding the unknown portion, B C, of a straight line, A B C D, to which three angles had been taken from a fifth point, P, of which the position was to be ascertained. This solution is given at page 251 of my treatise on Geometry, etc., published in 1866; and at page 277 of the same work is a most ingenious solution of a rather difficult problem in the division of lands—that of a quadrilateral into equal or proportional areas, with sides also proportional to those of the whole figure.

There are yet a few other properties of the circle which I must notice ere I take leave of the subject. Thus, two tangents drawn to a circle from any point without it, are equal, and tangent is perpendicular to that radius which is drawn to its point of contact; and from these circumstances, and the fact already enunciated, that the sum of the three angles of any triangle is equal to two right angles, it becomes possible to calculate the diameter of the earth by merely observing the angle of depression of the horizon from the top of a mountain or other elevated situation, the height of which above the earth's surface is known. A circle can always be described capable of containing a given angle on a given base; hence, for instance, if the height of the flag-staff on the citadel be known, and the angle it subtends from the opposite side of the river, together with the distance across, the height of the citadel itself may be computed. The geometrical solution or construction of this problem, as many others of practical utility, is given at pages 232 to 331 of my treatise. Out of the fact that an angle at the circumference is half of that at the centre, there arises also the condition that to inscribe a four-sided figure in a circle, its opposite angles, taken together, must be equal to two right angles; and because any two lines or chords which cut one another in a circle have the parts of the one proportional to those of the other, the diameter or radius can be found of a circle of which a zone, or portion included between any two parallel chords, forms part. And, again, out of the circumstance that if two lines be drawn from any point without a circle to the opposite or concave side thereof these lines are reciprocally proportional to their segments situated without the circle, there arises one of the modes of solving that case of plane trigonometry wherein the three sides of a triangle are given to find the angles; and a tangent drawn from the same exterior point to the circle is a geometrical mean, or a mean proportional between

either of the aforementioned lines and its exterior part; whence there arises a mode of running a railroad curve, for instance, through any two given points and tangent to the straight or curved portion of another road.

How much more which I have not time to relate ?---and vet how strange, that of this the most regular of all figures, and which man has made subservient to so many purposes of practical utility,-this figure, which it is so very easy to trace out, neither has the circumference nor the area yet been found. Archimedes, as I have already said, found an approximate ratio for the diameter to the circumference. that of 1 to 3); Metius, a ration of 113 to 355; and other mathematicians that of 1 to 3.141592, etc. In 1590, Ceulen, who lived in the time of Metius, extended the calculation to 36 decimals, which were engraven on his tomb. He arrived at this result by calculating the chords of successive arcs, each of which was the half of the preceding one; the last arc in this case being the side of a polygon of 36.893. 488,147,419,103,233-nearly 37 billions of times 419 million of sides. The mode of calculation was thereafter greatly simplified by Snell, who, with the help of a polygon of only 5,242,880 sides, carried the approximation to 55 places of figures. The computation was during the last century continued by other mathematicians, who successively carried the number of figures to 75, 100, 128 and 140 decimals.

Notwithstanding that Lambert, in 1761, and Legendre, in his elements of geometry, have proved that the ratio of the diameter of a circle to its circumference cannot be expressed in numbers, the desire to satisfy those who still hoped to find this ratio led other mathematicians to continue adding to these figures. In 1846, 200 decimals had been obtained, and 250 the following year. In 1851 the number was extended to 315; then to 350. Shanks carried it to 527, and in 1853 to 607 places of decimals. When it became evident that the arithmetical expression for this ratio was out of the question. many person continued to hope for some geometrical solution to the far-famed problem: but it is generally recognized at present that this method is impracticable; and it must be admitted that there has resulted but triffing advantage, if any, from the enormous time and trouble devoted to this famous proposition. The French Academy of Sciences, in 1775, and, soon after, the Royal Society of London. with the view of discouraging such futile and fruitless researches, refused to take further notice of any communication relating to the quadrature of the circle, the trisection of an angle, the duplication of the cube, or perpetual motion. An approximation of 600 figures, or even less, is equivalent to perfect and absolute accuracy; for, let it be remarked : it suffices to take in 17 decimals only to avoid an error of the thousandth part of an inch on the six hundred millions of miles which constitute the length of the orbit of the earth around the sun. withi inch, alrea absol nets, cover telesc ment posse

angle have of 18(blem man gover tende same befor have, of thi the c limit:

> I etym each betw whiel my t the d This alget "Gil lines

and,

] clusir with a fact patte very gons.

rt; whence there ce, through any urved portion of

e ?--and yet how and which man cal utility,-this has the circums I have already le circumference. other mathemaho lived in the als, which were calculating the of the preceding olygon of 36,893. nillion of sides. plified by Snell, ides, carried the tion was during vho successively decimals.

egendre, in his the diameter of in numbers, the io led other ma-In 1846, 200 ar. In 1851 the aks carried it to became evident of the question. solution to the it present that 1 that there has rmous time and rench Academy ty of London, less researches. relating to the e duplication of 600 figures, or acy; for, let it avoid an error red millions of irth around the

sun. Ten decimals will afford the circumference of the earth to within an inch, and 13 decimals, to within the thousandth part of an inch, or less than a hair's breadth; and, at any rate, the f g tres already found more than suffice for the purpose of determining with absolute accuracy not only the dimensions and distances of the planets, but also of the most distant stars or nebulæ that man can discover with the help of the most powerful and space-penetrating telescopes, or of those which he might discover with optical instruments 10,000 times more powerful than those which he already possesses.

Alluding, again, to the celebrated problem of the trisection of an angle, I must once more take occasion to protest, thus publicly, as I have already done, though without result, at page 330 of my treatise of 1866, not against the pretended and ridiculous solution of this problem by a certain Mr. Thorpe, of Ottawa, after, as he says,—poor man !—devoting 34 years of his life to its discovery, but against the governement of Canada—the Patent-office—for sanctioning the pretended solution by the issue of Letters-Patent corroborative of the same, and thus setting the opinions of the government officials before those numerous *savants* of Europe and other countries, who have, and had long before that time, declared the geometrical solution of this problem to be impossible—though there are, of course, as with the circle, modes of approximating to the true solution to within limits as narrow as any that can be assigned.

A few more remarks on some properties of certain plane figures, and, I shall have done with this portion of my subject.

In parallelograms (I need not remind you what they are; their etymology is suggestive enough of that), Mr. Steckel shewed that each of the complements about the diameter is a mean proportional between the component parallelograms about the same, a property which I fortunately conceived the idea of applying (see page 190 of my treatise) to the solution of a problem of frequent occurrence in the division of lands by a straight line running through a given point. This problem was previously a matter of some difficulty whether algebraically or geometrically considered (see page 519 to 522 of "Gillespie's Land-surveying," where the formula runs over three lines of type).

The regular hexagon or six-sided polygon is the only figure, exclusive of the square and equilateral triangle which will fit together without leaving a space between, as does the octagon, for instance a fact which may be seen exemplified in paper-hangings, in the patterns of oil-cloths, and in marble and mosaic tilings. Now, the very bee knows its geometry so well, that it builds its cells in hexagons. The square or triangle would have fulfilled the condition of

leaving no interstice, no loss of space between the cells; but neither would have been so well adapted to the almost circular shape of the insect's body as is the hexagon. My young friends will, of course, suggest that the circular instead of the hexagonal would have been even a better shape for the bee to dwell or move in. Granted ; but circle, like octagons and other figures, will not fit each other without loss of space ; and there is another, and, no doubt, much more important consideration to the bee: it is that with the hexagon each component wall or partition answers for two adjoining cells, whereas with the circle or cylinder a whole one would have been required for each tiny animal, and the necessary quantity of wax thereby nearly doubled.

There is, there has long been, a tendency towards generalization in the exact and other sciences, and with more reason now than ever. Two thousand years ago, when Euclid lived, steam and electricity were unknown ; pneumatics, optics and chemistry were not practised : photography was not dreamed of. In those days, one could afford to devote years to the sole study of mathematics. We cannot do so now : life is too short, and there are too many things to learn. Imbued it was with this idea that I wrote my treatise of 1866. Nobody, apparently, had dared before me to lay his sacrilegious hands upon the venerable teachings of the prince and patriarch of geometer ; neither have I done so; but what I have done (and that I was not far wrong in acting so, will, I think, be admitted) has been to reduce, by more than one-half, the separate and demonstrable propositions of the Greek geometer, while retaining the whole of his conclusions.

The fifth book I have eliminated altogether. I have removed it from the elements, and given all its teachings in my "principles," making axioms of some and corollaries of others of Euclid's propositions; for, I hold that to conceive and admit the truth of an axiom. there takes place within the mind a certain process of reasoning, however short it be. For instance, equal ratios are equal quantities, and quantities which are equal to the same or to equal quantities are equal to each other. It, therefore, follows, as a mere corollary of this axiom, that "Ratios which are equal to the same or to equal ratios are equal to one another;" hence, I do not see the necessity of making this a demonstrable proposition. Again have I made an axiom of proposition F of Playfair's Euclid, and justifiably so, I take it; for quantities which are made up of the same or of equal quantities are equal to one another; and since ratios are quantities -numerical ones-therefore are "ratios which are composed or made up of the same or equal ratios equal to each other." Of Euclid's 2nd and 3rd propositions, book I., I have made postulates. Of his 22nd I have made my 1st, and thence deduced his 1st, as a simple consequence thereof. Why, for instance, make a theorem of the

upoi supe der t to r Agai and whic equa 34th impo resul consi sition tirely confu refus atten not v Wha a por betw pass ment when differ its th book. numb 5th, v subst signif has al the m many

should sets fe

enui

or tl

for,

the

ang

coro

lary

the

who

ells; but neither ular shape of the s will, of course, would have been . Granted; but ch other without much more imhe hexagon each ng cells, whereas been required for x thereby nearly

Is generalization n now than ever. and electricity re not practised; e could afford to mnot do so now : urn. Imbued it Nobody, appaiands upon the ometer; neither is not far wrong reduce, by more positions of the clusions.

ave removed it " principles, " uclid's proposith of an axiom, s of reasoning, qual quantities. equal quantities mere corollary me or to equal e the necessity have I made an ustifiably so, I ame or of equal are quantities e composed or r." Of Euclid's tulates. Of his 1st, as a simple heorem of the

enunciation that two lines parallel to a 3rd are parallel to one another, or that two triangles similar to a third are similar to one another ?for, what constitutes this parallelism of the lines, this similarity of the triangles, but equality of distance in the first and equality of angular space in the second ?-hence have I made of the former a corollary to my definition of parallel lines, and of the latter a corollary to my definition of similar figures. Of Euclid's 35th and 36th of the 1st book I have made but one proposition; for Euclid himself, who in his 4th and 8th of the same book places his figures the one upon the other to prove their equality, might in the same way have superposed the equal bases of his parallelograms, so as then to consider them as one and the same base, which would have allowed him to make of the second proposition a mere corollary of the first. Again, with Euclid's two next propositions of the same book, his 37th and 38th, and after his own assertion in his axioms that "things which are halves or doubles of the same thing, or of equal things, are equal," why did he not reduce them to mere corollaries of his 33rd and 34th? I have in the second book added a lemma, which shews how important a proposition is the fifth of that book, and how fruitful in results. I cannot but agree with Clairault in saying that if Euclid considered it necessary to demonstrate such a self-evident proposition as that a line joining two points in the circumference lies entirely within the circle, it must be because he had to answer and confute the objections of obstinate sophists who made it a point to refuse their assent to the most evident truths; for as well might it be attempted to be proven that the diagonal of a square lies within and not without the figure, or that the centre of a circle is within it. What difference is there between finding the centre of a circle or of a portion only of its circumference? And again, what difference between circumscribing a circle about a triangle and making one pass through three given points ? Why, then, did Euclid or his commentators make of these problems as many different propositions. when they really constitute but one and the same operation? A different solution of Euclid's 33rd of the 3rd book allows of reducing its three several cases to one ; and so of his 35th and 36th of the same book. Simila processes have been followed out by me in reducing in number the demonstrable propositions of the 4th book ; and in the 5th, which, as already stated, I have put among the principles, the substitution for "magnitude" of the word "quantity," with its signification defined, to comprise numerical as well as other quantities, has allowed of my reasoning on numbers, and giving, as I have done, the mode of arriving at the numerical and practical solution of the many problems propounded in my work. In Euclid's sixth, why should 14 and 15 be separate theorems, in view of axiom two, which sets forth that what is true of the whole is true of the half? These

citations will suffice to give an idea of the process of reduction and generalization followed out by me in the geometry of lines and surfaces; and in the same way have I modified the ordinary demonstrations of solid geometry, and of plane and spherical trigonometry. Nor is my treatise less strictly logical in all its teachings than that of Euclid, every proposition depending for its demonstration or solution on those that came before, and in no way on those that follow.

san

twe

des

bet

bet

me

rin; circ ters

set oth scri

squ

and

cor

a of

figu

seri

the

con

one

figu

the

of v

whe

con

arel

elbe

mea

tem

por for,

wel

as 1

and

hav

face bre:

fror

MENSURATION.

AREAS.

Every triangle, it is evident, is the half, the exact half, of its corresponding parallelogram. Now, the parallelogram is, in area, equal to the rectangle of the same base and altitude; for, if the oblique or triangular portion be cut from one end and added to the other, the figure becomes a rectangle; and as the area of a rectangle is equal to the product of the number of units in its base and altitude, it follows that the area of any triangle is equal to half the product of its length and breadth. This, then, may be adopted as an element into which all plane figures can be divided, and their component areas made up separately and put together. In the case of the regular polygons, the computation of their areas becomes simplified, as they can be divided from the centre into as many equal triangles as there are sides. The area of the trapezium is half the product of its altitude into the sum of its parallel sides.

A sector of a circle is nothing but a triangle of equal altitude throughout, or having a circular base, every point of which is equidistant from the apex ; and its area is, therefore, equal to the halfproduct of its base and altitude : for its arched base may be conceived to be divided into a number of parts, such that each of them shall be without sensible error, a straight line, and hence the rule ; for it is evidently the same thing to compute separately and take the sum of the component triangles of the sector, or to add together their contiguous bases and multiply, once for all, by the altitude or radius. Again, the whole circle is but made up of contiguous sectors or triangles, whence it follows that the area of any circle is equal to the half-product of its circumference and radius. Next, we have to consider among plane figures the segment of a circle, or that which is included between a chord and its corresponding are; and this is evidently equal to the area of the sector, less the area of the triangle formed by the chord and radii. Now, the lune, a figure like the new moon, and hence its name, formed of two non-concentric arcs of the

tion and nes and demonnometry. n that of solution w.

alf, of its in area, or, if the ed to the rectangle latitude, roduct of lement omponent the reguplified, as iangles as luct of its

1 altitude h is equio the halfconceived m shall be ; for it is the sum of heir contior radius. sectors or rual to the we to cont which is and this is he triangle se the new arcs of the same or different radii : the area of this figure is the difference of its two component segments, so that a mere repetition of the process just described will measure its superficies. The zone or portion of a circle between two parallel chords can also be conceived as the difference between two segments, or as made up of a trapezium and two segments, and its area found accordingly. Concentric and eccentric rings are, of course, equal in area to the difference of their component circles. Of the ellipse, the area is equal to the product of its diameters into decimal .7854; for it is found, in the manner hereinabove set forth, that of a circle whose diameter is one, the area is .7854 : in other words, the area of the circle is about 781 per cent of its circumscribing square, so that this area is more quickly arrived at by squaring the diameter and reducing the result in the required ratio; and the ellipse being analogous to the circle, its area is found in a corresponding manner. The area of the parabola, I may add, is just § of its circumscribing rectangle.

There is a more general mode of arriving at the areas of all plane figures; it is by dividing them into a number of trapeziums by a series of equidistant parallel lines or ordinates, and of multiplying the common breadth or distance between the lines by the sum or combined length of all of them except the first and last, of which one-half only must be taken ; and this applies to every imaginable figure, in which, the closer the ordinates, the more accurate, of course, the area. There are some few figures bounded by curvilineal lines, of which it is, nevertheless, easy to compute the areas; for instance, where a convex portion thereof is compensated by a corresponding concavity, as in the developped surfaces of intersecting vaults or arched ceilings, or, on a smaller scale, the developed area of the elbow of a pipe of cylinder of any kind. Finally, there is a mode of measuring the surfaces of very irregularly-outlined figures by a system of compensating lines which are drawn so as to include such portions of the superficial space outside the figure as may make up for, or be equivalent to, the portions left without the lines.

SOLIDS.

The measurement of solids comprises that of their surfaces as well as that of their volumes or solidities. Solids may be classified as prisms and prismoids, cylinders and cylindroids, pyramids, cones and conoids, spindles, spheres and spheroids. Prisms are solids which have two equal and parallel ends or bases, and of which all the other faces are parallelograms; so that the prism is equal in diameter or breadth throughout its whole extent, and different in this respect from the pyramid or prismoid of which the sides incline or taper

.

So

his

Wa

nu

so

wl

an

Ta

to

Th

of

ha

tai

wl

dei

un

ob

sul

py

tio

sec

mo

cal

are

col

trie

ma

jus

lize

mo

Th

of t

and

poi

alre

exa

pol

con is t

con the

is s

bet

towards one end of the figure. A prism may have a triangle for its . base or any other figure, and is called, after the nature of such base, triangular, quadrangular, pentagonal, and so forth. The cylinder is nothing but a prism having a circular base or polygon of an infinite number of sides. Among prisms, the parallelopipedon is that of which the opposite faces are parallel, as the name implies, as in the cube; in consequence of which, any side or face of this or similar solids may be assumed as the base. We all known what a paramid is, and so of a cone, which is only a pyramid with a circular base. A conoid is a species of cone rounded off at the top like a pear, or like the ice-cone at the Montmorency Falls. It may be conceived to be generated or traced out in space by the revolution of a parabola, or other like figure, about its axis; and it goes by the name of the generating curve, as parabolic, hyperbolic conoid. The frustum of a pyramid, cone, or conoid, is that portion of the solid which remains after the apex has been removed ; and it is said to be contained between parallel bases when the cutting plane is parallel to that on which the solid stands. All these solids may be right or inclined; and if so, they require to be so designated, as a right pentagonal prism, an inclined octagonal pyramid, an oblique cone or conoid. The spindle, as its popular name implies, is a well-known form, being circular in its cross-section, and tapering from its centre towards the ends: it may be generated in space by the revolution of an arc of a circle, or of an ellipse, or by a parabola or hyperbola, around a line which is called the axis of the spindle, and, like the conoid, derives its distinctive name from that of the generating curve, as a circular spindle, an elliptic, parabolic or hyperbolic spindle,—not that the spindle itself, as a whole, is a very important solid, but that its middle frustum is the geometrical representation of almost every form of cask, the world over. The sphere, that most beautiful of all solid forms, and which, as I have already stated, contains within itself more space or volume than any other figure of equal superficies; the spheroid or flattened sphere, the figure of this earth of ours, and of the moon, and sun, and planets, which, one and all, are flattened at the poles and protuberant at the equator; and, finally, the prolate, or elongated spheroid, make up the varied classes or families of solids, or space-enclosing figures, with their frustums, segments, ungulas or hoofs, and other sections which the limits of a lecture will not allow me more fully to define.

THE STEREREOMETRICAL TABLEAU.

I come now to the more immediate object of this lecture, that which has been, perhaps, to some extent, instrumental in procuring for me the honour of an invitation by the Literary and Historical

Society of Quebec to read a paper within the classic precincts of its historic halls; and may I hope I shall have treated the subject in a way to warrant the courtesy and be of some interest to the very numerous and highly appreciative audience, the élite, the aristocracy, so to say, of the educated or well-read portion of the community. which has on this evening honored me with its attendance and kind and flattering attention. I allude, of course, to the Stercometrical Tableau which you see here before you, and of which it behoves me to say something, even at the risk of appearing partial to myself. This Tableau, or board, which is made up of some 200 models, each of which can be removed and replaced at pleasure, and put into the hands of the pupil for examination, comprises almost all the elementary forms which it is possible to conceive. Among them, those which I have just now enumerated, as prisms and prismoids, cylinders and cylindroids, both righ and oblique, and the frusta and ungulae of these bodies; pyramids, cones and conoids, right and oblique with their frusta and angulae or hoofs; the sphere, with its subdivisions into hemisphere, quarter, half-quarter or tri-rectangular pyramid, segments, zones, frusta and ungulae, and many other sections of this solid; the prolate and oblate spheroid, with their many sections and subdivisions; spindles and their sections, including models of casks of all varieties; the five regular polyhedrons or socalled platonic bodies, though known before the time of Plato: there are also a host of other varied forms, such as convex and concave cones and other solids, and frusta and angulae of the same : concentric and eccentric rings, and a certain number of compound figures, made uy of, or capable of being subdivided into, the elementary solids just enumerated.

My object in the preparation of this Tableau has been to generalize and make easy and popular the study of solid forms, and the mode of measuring their surfaces and their solidities or volumes. The lateral faces or sides and the opposite or parallel bases or ends of these solids afford all the plane figures, from the triangle, square. and polygon, to the circle, ellipse, and parabola, etc., with their component sectors, segments, zones, and lunes. Of these you have already learned to estimate the superficies. The models also afford examples of convex superficies, inclusive of the spherical triangle and polygon, the spherical zone and lune and segment, and of all the component parts thereof. The mode of arriving at the areas of these is to assimilate them to plane figures by a division of the concave or convex surface by equidistant ordinates drawn from the extremity of the fixed axis of the solid, or that around which the generating curve is supposed to have rotated in sweeping out the solid. The distance between the ordinates, each of which, from the description just given,

e for its . ch base, linder is infinite that of s in the r similar paramid base. A , or like ed to be abola, or he geneum of a remains ined beto that on inclined; intagonal r conoid. m, being vards the 1 are of a id a line 1, derives circular b that the t that its ost every iful of all is within perficies; ours, and flattened e prolate, of solids, ngulas or not allow

> ture, that procuring Historical

is, of course, a circle or portion of a circle, is made so small as to allow of the intervening arc being considered a straigh line or thereabouts. The figures thus traced out upon the solid under consideration are either continuous zones or portions thereof, and are to be considered as trapeziums-the first continuous, the others not so, or only partly so. Now, to get the area of a trapezium, which, as already defined, is a plane figure with two parallel sides,-and these last may, of course, be circular or curved as well as straight,-the half-sum of such edes is multiplied into the perpendicular distance between them, or the height or breadth of the figure; and the same rule applied to the curved area to be computed is stated thus: to the half-sum of the lengths of the end ordinates-that is, of the first and last-add the sum of all the other ordinates or arcs and circles, and multiply the whole by the distance between the ordinates or by the breadth of the component zone. The combined length of the circles or circumferences is easily obtainable from a multiplication of the sum of their diameters by $3\frac{1}{7}$, or, more correctly, 3.1416. This rule, as applied by me (page 669 of my geometry) to a hemisphere of 263 units in diameter, and with only four ordinates or five segments or zones, brings out the result within less than one per cent of the truth; while with nine ordinates, the result is erroneous to the extent of only the sixth part of one per cent; and with 19 ordinates, or 20 sections, the $\frac{1}{40}$ of one per cent, or within $\frac{1}{4000}$ of the true content. Not that I insist, however, on this mode of measurement for convex or concave superficies, where there are other rules which, as in the case of the perfect sphere or spheroid, or segments of those bodies, bring out their curved areas exact; but the great and manifest advantage of this general system is, that its accuracy is independent of the shape of the body to be measured; while, if the rule for a sphere, for instance, were applied to a body not strictly spherical, the result might prove erroneous to a far greater extent than if arrived at by the system of equidistant ordinates,—to say nothing of the great advantage to the practical measurer of having to store his memory with but one general rule applicable to all cases, and this rule the same as that for plane figures, whereby the whole range of areas or superficies, whether plane or convex, becomes submitted to one and the same formula, to wit: a subdivision by equidistant parallel lines into figures, every one of which is a trapezium-whether continuous or non-continuous, it matters not. It now remains to compute the volumes or cubical contents of the solids of the Tableau, and, as already stated, they comprise all known elementary forms; and here it is that I lay special claim to the introduction of a system of mensuration which is not approximately accurate as applied to the great majority of geometrical forms, but of which the absolute accuracy is

pi as er 16 $_{in}$ co m co m see th an a Ta by as sul 100 ou un ele the dir for stu the bee ord wh out the

cou form three par men to t tud or k one a qu sees foun (for

proved and undoubted. The rule is simply what it purports to be, as printed at the head of the tableau, i.e.: "To the sum of the parallel end areas add four-times the middle area, and multiply the whole by 1 part of the height or length of the solid." The word "parallel" is introduced as a reminder that the opposite ends or bases must be contained between parallel planes, or, if not so originally, that they must be made so by subdivision or decomposition of the solid into its constituent elements. The whole difficulty is, therefore, reduced, by my system, to measuring the areas of the opposite bases and middle section, the remainder of the work being a mere multiplication; so that the proposed formula renders this branch of study of such easy and general application that the art or science may now be taught in a few lessons where it formerly required months, or even years. Take up, for instance, the segment of a conoid or spheroid cut off by a plane inclined in any way to the axis of the solid, a figure such as would be presented by the space occupied by any liquid or fluid substance in a vessel of this shape when inclined to the horizon; look at the preliminary labour required by the old rules of finding out the axis or diameters of the entire solid of which the segment under consideration forms a part, and these factors are necessary as elements in the computation. My system dispenses with all this, and the solid, whatever it may be, is taken hold of and submitted to direct measurement, without in any way inquiring about the size or form of body of which it is a section. But for another reason is this study excluded from general education, because, with ordinary rules. the higher calculus is often indispensable; and as even when it has been taught and learnt, it is as soon forgotten, therefore can these ordinary rules be of little or no use to the practical measurer, even when supplied with all the necessary books and data for working out his problems.

It may be objected that, for the prism and cylinder, for instance, the ordinary rule is even more simple than the prismoidal one. Of course it is ; but it flows of itself directly and immediately from the formula. Take up a prism : I have defined it to be of equal breadth throughout ; then is its middle section, or any other, when made parallel to the base or end, equal in area to such base ; and the argument occurs that six-times this area into one-sixth the altitude reduces to the more simple enunciation of once the area into the whole altitude. Again, in the case of the pyramid or cone, the half-way diameter or breadth is just one-half of what it is at the base ; and as the half of one-half, or the product of $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$, is $\frac{1}{4}$, therefore is the half-way area a quarter of that at the base. The pupil who has already learnt this, sees it at a glance, or recalls it to his memory, and reasons thus : four-times the middle area is equal to the base ; and twice the base (for the upper area here is zero) into $\frac{1}{6}$ the altitude is identical with

sec

sar

see

pre

the

and int

jus

san and

or 1

are

con

pro

of t

thre

port

The

zone

Mer

or t

cy i

para

to m

ing 1

defir

" pa

" pa

obse

of a

para

fore

no ol

ceive

appli

recta

ratio

appre

or ed

anoth

short

we a

And :

the ordinary rule of once the base into $\frac{1}{2}$ the altitude. or $\frac{1}{2}$ the product of the base and altitude. There is one more case in which the old or ordinary rule is apparently more simple than the general formula ; it is when whe have to do with a paraboloid, of which the volume is just one-half of its corresponding cylinder.

But here we have done with the comparative advantages of the old rules, and in all other cases the formula is exceedingly more simple. Take, for instance, the frustum of a pyramid; and, first of all, how know you that it is one, except by measuring its upper and under edges, and comparing their respective lengths to find outwhich you must do-that due proportionality exists between them : else is the body not the frustum of a pyramid, and, therefore, not subject to the rule ? But, granted even that it is the figure you do take it for, see you the trouble of getting a mean proportional between the areas of its opposite bases, which includes a lengthy multiplication of those areas and a laborious extraction of the square root of the product, which very few persons know how to work out ? How much more simple to arrive at the arithmetical mean of the opposite diameters and the middle area therefrom ?-- and if the figure be the frustum of a cone, the three diameters are squared, the square of the middle one taken four-times, and the whole multiplied together, that is, their sum, by decimal 7854, and the result by $\frac{1}{6}$ the altitude of the frustum ; and as this calculation has to be repeated every day, in all parts of the world, in computing the contents of tubs and vats of all imaginable sorts and sizes, the saving in time and trouble is certainly most worthy of consideration.

But suppose this prism or cylinder, this pyramid or cone, this conoid, or this frustum, to be not truly such a figure ; let it differ but ever so slightly from what it should be to enable it to be submitted to ordinary rules,-then, if such rules be made use of in computing its contents, adieu to all accuracy, since the very element by which the body differs from its geometrical prototype-that is, its intermediate diameter-is not taken the least notice of; while the prismoidal formula, on the contrary, takes in this ever-varying element, this half-way breadth between the top and bottom in a tub or vat, between the bung and head, as in a cask, and gives a result, in 90 cases out of 100, more true than any other system where this important and indispensable element of variation is not attended to. With regard to the sphere or spheroid, each of its opposite bases is a zero of superficies, as a plane can touch either of them only in one point, and the sum of the areas in this case is four-times the middle area. And how correct this is you shall directly see, for, by ordinary rules you are taught to multiply the convex area of the sphere by $\frac{1}{3}$ of the radius; but this convex area is precisely equal to four-times the middle

he product i the old or formula ; volume is

iges of the ingly more nd, first of upper and find outeen them : efore, not tre you do il between nultiplicaroot of the ut? How e opposite ure be the lare of the ether, that ude of the day, in all vats of all 3 certainly

cone, this differ but submitted computing by which s intermeorismoidal nent, this : between uses out of and indisregard to of superfit, and the And how s you are ie radius; ie middle

section, or to four great circles of the sphere, and 1 the radius is the same thing as 1 the diameter or altitude,-so that here again, you see, as in the case of the prism or cylinder, the pyramid or cone, the proof direct of the accuracy of the rule. Now, take up a hemisphere : the half-way area is easily shewn to be just $\frac{2}{3}$ of that at the base : and as four-times ³/₄ are three, and 3 and 1 are four, four great circles into 1/2 the altitude of the half-sphere gives, of course, half the solidity just obtained, or that of the hemisphere under consideration. The same is true of the flattened or of the elongated sphere or spheroid and ellipsoid, and of the half thereof, and whether the cutting plane or base be perpendicular or not to either axis of the solid ; and the areas which enter as elements into the computation of the cubical contents are always ellipses, and, what is more, they are similar or proportional ellipses; so that, from knowing any one of the diameters of the middle section, the area can be directly found by a rule-ofthree, since, as already shewn, the areas of similar figures are proportional to the squares of any of their corresponding dimensions. The exactitude of the formula, as applied to any other segment or zone of a sphere, is fully demonstrated at paragraph 1529 of my Mensuration ; its very near approach to truth, in the case of spindles or their frusta, at paragraphs 1531 and 1574; and its absolute accuracy in the case of any segment of a spheroid, the right or inclined paraboloid, or hyperboloid, at paragraphs 1560 to 1567 of my work.

Now, there may be some curiosity to know how the idea occurred to me of treating every solid as a prismoid by this one and undeviating formula; it is this: taking up the ordinary prismoid. I find its definition to read thus :---" Any solid having for its opposite bases " parallel rectangles; and, by extension, any solid having for its " parallel bases plane figures with parallel sides." Now, please observe that the only condition expressed or implied in this definition of a prismoid is the parallelism of the sides, and nothing more. Such parallelism does not exclude the proportionality of the sides ; therefore is the frustum of a pyramid, to me, a prismoid; and this is what no one before me, that I am aware of, at least, appears to have conceived; for in no treatise have I ever seen the prismoidal formula applied to the frustum of a pyramid or cone. Look, again, at the rectangular prismoid, and as no ratio of the sides is implied, let the ratio be infinite; or, in other words, let one of the parallel sides approach towards the other until they meet and form a single line. or edge, or arris; and then have we the wedge, which is, therefore, another prismoid. Again, let this edge, or line, or arris, become shorter, and still shorter, until it dwindles to a point; and then have we a pyramid, which is also a prismoid, to all intents and purposes. And since a line or edge may become a mere point, so, conversely,

h

0

h

a

p

it

Ô1

W

a

p

tł

u

of

m

of

a

de

SI

a

aı

di

(a

py

su

p

th

W

by

in

th

the

dle

is

qu

pa

by

 $\mathbf{26}$

may a point become a line, whereby a prismoid, originally square or rectangular, may have one or both of its opposite bases modified into an almost infinite variety of similar or dissimilar figures, as I have shewn at pages 713 to 718 of my treatise; and the more general enunciation is thereafter arrived at, that a prismoid may have for its parallel bases any two figures, whether equal or unequal, similar or dissimilar; any figure and a line parallel to the plane thereof, as in the wedge; any figure and a point, as in the cone and pyramid; any two lines not parallel, but situated in parallel planes. Other definitions may be given more concise than this, more technical and scientific, as that "the prismoid is swept out in space by the revolution of a straight line around two parallel planes of any form whatever, and irrespective of the relative velocities of the two extremities of the generating line;" but the former gives the best idea of the form of solid, as it defines the figure of its ends or bases.

The Tableau you will find upon inspection to offer a variety of forms; for instance, one base a square, the other also a square of greater or less size, but turned diagonally as regards the other, the middle base an octagon. Again have we prismoids or cylindroids of which one base is a circle, the other an ellipse, or two ellipses of equal or of different size, the longer diameter of the one corresponding to the shorter diameter of the other, and other forms may be conceived in almost endless variety; and of all, without exception, the formula gives the true cubical contents, each of the models exhibiting at a glance, by means of the pencil-line to be seen upon it, the nature and dimensions of the middle section. A word in relation to the regular polyhedrons which are also among the models on the board. Of these bodies there are but five, strange enough to say; and yet, the conclusion is immediate and inevitable, for it takes at least three planes to make a solid angle ; and as the sum of these plane angles must be less than 360°, or four right angles, as otherwise the solid angle would then cease to exist and become a plane surface, it suffices to examine which are the regular polygons, whose angles, taken in threes and fours and fives, etc., make up an angle less than four right angles. The equilateral triangle can be put together in 3's and 4's and 5's, which affords the tetrahedron, and icosahedron. It cannot be taken in sixes, as six-times $60^{\circ} = 360^{\circ}$; and therefore can we have no other regular solid with its faces equilateral triangles than the three just enumerated. The right angle can be taken in 3's only: two would not enclose a space, and four would form a plane; hence is the perfect cube the only solid that can be formed with square faces. Lastly, the pentagon, of which the angle is 108°, supplies the dodecahedron, or 12-sided figure, the sum of its three plane angles being 324°. The regular

square or ified into s I have general ve for its imilar or eof, as in pyramid; s. Other nical and e revolum whattremities a of the

variety of square of other, the droids of llipses of sponding v be contion, the xhibiting n it, the elation to ls on the h to say ; takes at of these as othere a plane is. whose an angle be put ron, and $=360 \circ$; its faces he right pace, and aly solid agon, of 12-sided e regular hexagon cannot be made to answer, as its angle is 120° , and three of them would make up four right angles, as you see they do when looking at their exquisite arrangement in the beehive, or the not less beautifal symmetry displayed in the pest of the common wasp. *A fortiori*, then, can heptagons or any other polygon not be used to build these solids with; and hence, again, as just stated, can there be but five, and only five, of these platonic forms. As to their mode of measurement, the cube or hexahedron is a mere prism, the tetrahedron a mere pyramid, the octahedron two pyramids base to base, and the two last, the 12 and 20-sided figures, made up of as many pyramids, having their common apex in the centre of the solid or of its imaginary circumscribing sphere, whereby will the computation of one of these component pyramids afford the volume of the whole.

As to the measurement of compound figure like the frustum of a cone or pyramid, or of any other body, between bases that are not parallel, the solid is resolved or decomposed by a section parallel to the base and passing through the lowermost edge of the frustum's upper base into two portions, one of which is a frustum proper, the other a hoof, or ungula, or pyramid, or some other figure, as the case may be. The common buoy is thus resolved into a cone and segment of a sphere; a gun or mortar, the frustum of a cone or cylinder, with a hemisphere or segment of a spheroid; the Turkish or Moorish dome, or pinnacle, or spire, the middle frustum of a sphere or spheroid, surmounted by a hollow or concave cone, and so on. If a spherical cone be proposed, it is evident that it can be conceived and treated under two aspects-first, as a cone proper, with the addition of a segment of that sphere of which the cone forms part; or (and this applies to any spherical pyramid, or frustum of such pyramid or shell, or hollow sphere, or any portion of a shell,) to the sum of its end-areas, spherical though they be, add four-times the parallel and middle area, and their sum into $\frac{1}{6}$ the altitude will be the true content. Of course, I need hardly remark that in dealing with a hollow sphere or shell, the content is more quickly arrived at by applying the formula direct to and taking the difference of the inner and otter or component spheres.

I have said that the formula applies exactly and demonstrably to the great majority of solids. From this it is, of course, inferred that there are some exceptions, as in the case of hoofs, ungulas and spindles, but in the same way as the cask or middle frustum of a spindle is measured to within almost perfect accuracy—say to within the quarter or $\frac{1}{10}$ or $\frac{1}{20}$ of one per cent, or a half-pint on a hogshead (see pages 707, 708, and 709 of my treatise), by working upon its half, or by taking the bung diameter as that of one of its ends or bases, and

a

a

L

tı

8

ti

st

n

"

al

be

al

w

fo

tl

to

of

m

pi

di

fis

cy

se

m

91

in

01

so

ar

its

ha

gi

to

ste

of

yo

be

pu

th

co

co

for the middle area that which is at its quarter, or half-way between the head and bung,-in the same way, I say, as this is done, so, in the hoof and ungula of any solid may almost absolute accuracy be attained by a subdivision of the body into parallel slices, two or three of them generally sufficing, or four or five when the minutest accuracy is insisted on, just as we approach nearer and more near to the circumference of any circle of which the diameter is known, by taking in more decimals. And in the same manner may hollow or concave cones or cylinders, or if they be convex or swollen out, or other bodies be decomposed and measured, which are not true geometrical figures, and thus the one, and only one, most simple formula maintained, made use of, and applied in every conceivable case. without the necessity of learning or remembering any other. The subdivisions, the decomposing planes of section, may be made equidistant, and the grand result thus arrived at, that one universal rule, one formula, will measure all solids and all surfaces ; for, though I have not yet alluded to the fact, it is demonstrable-moreover, it is shewn-that when plane figures, surfaces, are cut up by equidistant ordinates, their areas, the area of each of them is equal to the sum of its bases and four-times the middle base or section into $\frac{1}{6}$ the altitude of the figure. Now, if any solid be divided by a series of equidistant planes, and any surface by a similar series of equidistant lines into portions of equal altitude, and if intermediary or half-way sections be conceived in either case, we get the universal formula that their contents, solid or superficial, as the case may be, are equal to the sum of the extreme bases, together with twice the sum of all the other end-bases, and four-times the sum of all the half-way sections into $\frac{1}{6}$ the common altitude; the bases and sections being, of course, superficial or linear, according as the figure is a space-enclosing one. or a mere surface, plane or curved though it be. I have already alluded to the tendency towards generalization in almost everything : in physics and in chemistry are all phenomena submitted, so to say, to some general, some universal law or rule. The mechanical powers may be said to constitute but one, and are, at any rate, similar in this, subjected to the law, that what they give in power they consume in time or space. The one measuring unit, the metre, is forcing its way throughout the world, and in its wake must follow one unit for all surfaces, one for all capacities. So are coin and currency becoming simplified. Complete the scheme, and to the sameness and identity of the unit add one general mode of computation; then will not only the unification of the currency be brought about, as proposed in France, and the project most ably abetted in this Dominion by our fellow-countryman, R. S. M. Bouchette, Esq., but it shall be the more universal scheme, the one grand unification and generalization of all science, one universal language, whereby nations may commune

converse with one another,—some day, one religion, one shepherd, and one flock,—the millenium, indeed. But do you see the immense advantages of this generalization ? See you its untold consequences ? Look at the time now necessarily devoted to the mere reduction and translation of all these ever-varying rules and units; they fill a thousand volumes, while the time and trouble devoted to their compilation might be so much more profitably employed, now-a-days, in the study of new sciences and new arts conducive to the greater happiness and welfare of mankind.

Now that we have, so to say, glanced at all the figures on the "Tableau," with their more or less regularity of outline, their plane and curved surfaces, the question still arises : "How are irregular bodies of all kinds to be measured, such as statuary, bronzes, carving, and the like ?" And that this lecture, so far as the limits of a lecture will allow, may be complete in itself, and go over the whole ground foreshadowed in its title, it behaves me, in a few words, to supply the necessary information. It is very simple, and, in fact, more so. to arrive at the exact cubical contents of a very irregular body than of one of more exact form; for, with the latter an attempt is always made at direct measurement, while with the former a mechanical process is followed, which solves the problem in a manner most expeditious and most satisfactory. Take up a statue or other carved figure, or the capital of an Ionic or Corinthian column, a square or cylindrical vessel capable of containing it. Pour water into this vessel until it reach to above the top of the object to be measured, and mark the height at which the water stands; then remove the object. and again note how high the water stands, when the difference will immediately afford the volume sought. If the substance of the body or of the containing vessel be of an absorbent nature, use sand or some like substance instead of water. There are still other modes of arriving at correct conclusions. The specific gravity of any body is its weight compared with that of water. Suppose, then, that tables have been prepared wherein the ratio of weight of every substance is given to its equivalent of water, or its absolute weight without regard to the equivalent: take up from off the public highway a shapeless stone, and weigh it; compare its weight by rule-of-three with that of a cubic foot, or inch, or yard of the same substance, and hence do you arrive directly at its cubical contents. Conversely, if the weight be required of some object which cannot be submitted to direct computation by putting in a balance, but if its volume can be arrived at. then also can its weight be ascertained by a simple rule of proportion.

Now, let it be required to find the component quantities of some compound body or amalgam. For instance, you have a mixture of copper and zinc fused together and solidified into one compact body,

v between one, so, in curacy be s. two or • minutest re near to cnown, by hollow or en out, or ne geomee formula able case, her. The ade equiersal rule, though I over, it is nuidistant he sum of e altitude juidistant lines into · sections hat their al to the of all the · sections of course, sing one, e already erything: so to say, al powers similar in consume orcing its unit for cy beconess and then will proposed uinion by 1 be the alization ommune

 $\mathbf{29}$

30

without a trace of either of the constituents; the weight of each of the respective metals can be submitted to direct calculation, the factors or elements entering into the required formula being merely the specific weight as well of the compound as of the ingredients of which it is made up. And so of a mass of quartz and gold; and though little or none of the precious metal may be visible to the eve, the weight of the latter can be arrived at with comparative facility. We are told that Hiero, King of Syracuse, gave to some clever artificer a quantity of gold wherewith to fabricate a crown; but suspecting, when the crown was finished, that the jeweller had purloined a portion of the gold and substituted silver in its stead, he submitted the question to Archimedes to propound. Specific gravities were not then known; but our philosopher, while in his bath, it seems, was cogitating how he might best solve the proposition prepounded by the King, when, noticing the difference in weight of his own body when immersed in water to what it was in air, he conceived the happy idea of submitting the crown to a like process of computation, and, after weighing the crown itself in water, and then pure gold and silver, found, by an easy calculation, that, as the King had rightly guessed, the crown was in reality made up of gold and silver instead of gold alone. So glad was our philosopher of the discovered he had made, that he ran through the streets of the city, crying: "Eureka! Eureka!""_""_" I have found it : I have found it."

Referring, again, to the "Tableau," the word Stereometrical would seem to imply that it is intended only or altogether for purposes of mensuration. Such, however, is not the case, as it will immediately be evident that is must also be of great utility in acquiring or imparting a knowledge of the nomenclature of solid forms, an acquaintanceship with their varied shapes and figures, which, without such help, would require a previous familiarity with the principles and teachings of drawing and perspective. To the architect, the engineer, and the builder, the models are suggestive of the forms and relative proportions of blocks of buildings, roofs, domes, piers, and quays; cisterns, reservoirs, and cauldrons; vats, casks, and other vessels of capacity; earthworks of all kinds, comprising railroad and other cuttings and embankments; the shaft of the Greek or Roman column; square and waney timber and saw-logs: the camping-tent; the square or splayed opening of a door or window, or niche or loophole in a wall; the quarter of a sphere or spheroid, the halfsegment, the vault or arched ceiling of the apsis of a church or hall : the whole sphere or spheroid, the billiard or the cannon ball; or, on a larger scale, the earth, moon, sun, and planets. The models must also prove of great help in teaching perspective drawing and the geometrical projection of solids on a plane; also, their shades and the of s tria met

the

solie time also the man well lear com the : won nera inter flatte mult appo Bish art.subje favoi

other

profe

other

fail t

schoo

New

he, c

howe

the c

State

in wi

me of

MM.

for th

inten

distin

likely

the shadows thy project. Again, the art of geometrical development of surfaces is thereby much facilitated. There is also the polar triangle, and other lines necessary for the study of spherical trigonometry.

Whether my attempt to reduce to one simple and uniform system the present multifold and complex rules for finding the contents of solids, or the capacity of space-enclosing areas, shall prove successful, time alone can tell; for, though it has the merit of being new, it also has the disadvantages of novelty, as Mr. Scott-Russell said of the ingenious screw-propeller invented some years ago by Commander Ashe, ex-president of this Society. The scientific world, as well as the political, has its conservatives. We have not yet well learnt the advantages of decimal arithmetic; nor has the tiresome computation of pounds, shillings and pence been yet abandoned for the more expeditious dollar, where the mere shifting of a point works wonders. It takes much time to work out such a revolution-a generation, so to say; but that I shall not have to wait so long for an interpreter I confidently hope, judging at least from the many flattering testimonials I have already received in relation to the multiplied advantages of my invention or discovery.

The Council of Public Instruction, at its last general meeting, appointed a committee, composed of the Lord Bishop of Quebec, Bishop Langevin, of Rimouski—himself a thorough master of the art,—and Bishop Larocque, of St. Hyacinthe, to report upon the subject; and who, I take it, after having submitted to them the very favourable opinions of so many of our best mathematicians, and of other competent judges, such as D. Wilkie, R. S. M. Bouchette, the professors of the Laval University, High School, Morrin College, and other educational establishments of Canada elsewhere, can hardly fail to recommend the introduction of the "*Tableau*" into all the schools of this Dominion.

I have but received a letter from the Minister of Education of New Brunswick, asking me to send a "*Tableau*," with the view, says he, of introducing it into all the schools of that Province. Not, however, that I in any way intend to confine myself to Canada. On the contrary, I have already patented the "*Tableau*" in the United States, where I hope, of course, to introduce it; and Mr. Vannier, in writing to me from France on the 10th of January last, to advise me of the granting of my letters-patent for that country, adds that MM. Humbert and Noé, the president and secretary of the Society for the Generalization of Education in France, have intimated their intention, at their next general meeting, of having some mark of distinction conferred on me for the benefits which my system is likely to confer on education.

each of the facerely the of which though eve. the ity. We rtificer a specting, d a poritted the vere not ems, was inded by wn body ived the putation, gold and d rightly r instead d he had Eureka!

al would rposes of nediately ng or im-, an ac-, without principles itect, the the forms ies, piers, and other lroad and or Roman ing-tent; niche or the half-1 or hall; ll; or, on dels must and the ades and

The Honble. Mr. Chauveau, Minister of Education, and otherwise well qualified to judge, will make it his duty, so says his letter on the subject, to recommend its adoption in all educational establishments and in every school, so confident is he of its practical utility : "Se fera un devoir d'en recommander l'adoption dans toutes les "maisons d'éducation et dans toutes les écoles, certain qu'il est de "son utilité pratique."

From the Seminary, M. Maingui writes :--- "Plus on étudie, plus " on approfondit cette formule du cubage des corps, plus on est " enchanté" (the more one marvels at) " de sa simplicité, de sa clarté, " et surtout de sa grande généralité." Bigelow, M. A., " believing it " to be of universal use, shall heartily lend himself to the introduction " of my system." McQuarrie, B. A., " shall be delighted to see the "old tedious process superseded by a formula so simple and so "exact." D. Wilkie says :-- "The rule is precise and simple, and, " being applicable to almost any variety of solid, will greatly shorten "the processes of calculation. I have," he adds, "proved its accu-"racy, as applied to several bodies. The Tableau, comprising a " great variety of elementary forms, will serve admirably to educate " the eye, and must greatly facilitate the study of mensuration. The " government would confer a boon on schools of the middle and " higher class by affording access to so suggestive a collection." Professor Newton, of Yale College, Massachusetts, considers the Tableau a very useful arrangement for shewing the variety and extent of the applications of the formula. The College l'Assomption " will " adopt my stem as part of their course of instruction." Rev. T. Boivin, of St. Hyacinthe, says :--- "Votre découverte est précieuse, et je recommande fortement l'adoption de votre tableau."

There are others who, irrespective of considerations as to the comparative accuracy of the formula, or of its advantages as applied to mere mensuration, have seen how far the models are more suggestive to the pupil and the teacher than the mere representation thereof on the black-board or on paper, and who, in their written opinions, have alluded especially to this feature of the proposed system. Mr. Joly, President of the Quebec branch of the Montreal School of Arts, in a letter to Mr. Weaver on the subject, and after having himself witnessed it on more than one occasion, says, in his expressive style:—" The difference is enormous." The professors at the Normal School are of the same opinion; and others there are who variously estimate the saving in time, by my system, at from twelve to eighteen months.

The prismoidal formula is not new: it has been long known, and sometimes used to compute the ordinary rectangular prismoid, as well as the familiar forms of railroad and canal cuttings and embanktion lair sist two tary simj so a be o whi whi pup does tine

m

ev

ril

m

foi

Ne

se

for

an

att

tin

ap

CO1

sec

cor

wo

pra

put

ver

dus

me:

ver

hor

by

44 fl

nerwise tter on ablishatility : ates les est de

ie, plus on est i clarté, eving it duction see the and so le, and, shorten s accurising a educate m. The dle and ection. 's lers the d extent m " will Rev. T. ieuse, et

s to the applied suggesentation written proposed Montreal and after ys, in his lessors at there are , at from

own, and smoid, as embank-

ments; but no one seems to have conceived the idea of applying it even to the frustum of a cone or pyramid, to which, I must necessarily infer, it was not known to apply,-else, simple as it is, and so much more simple and direct than Legendre's theorem, it must have found its way ere this into treatises on mensuration and the like. Neither has it ever been employed, that I know of, to compute the segment of a sphere or spheroid, nor to many other well-known forms; so that, in this respect, I may lay claim as if to the discovery and as well for a large number of other solids to which it never was attempted to apply it. And even if the idea of so doing has at any time suggested itself to others, as sometimes hinted at, they do not appear to have put it to the test, or to have arrived at any useful conclusion in relation to it, any more than the first man who, on seeing steam issue under pressure from the nozzle of a tea-kettle, conceived the idea that such an agent could be made to work the wonders that we know of; nor was steam ever made available in practice till Watt invented the steam engine, or electricity till Morse put up a telegraph. Granted, however, that this formula was discovered before my time, and that I have mirely disengaged it from the dust of years, or re-discovered it, still is there, perhaps, some little merit to be claimed. Adams and Leverrier both own to the discovery of the planet Neptune; and Leibnitz was not robbed of the honor of his integral and differential calculus, though Newton had by everal years preceded him in the field by the discovery of "fluxions."

BAILLAIRGÉ'S STEREOMETRICAL TABLEAU.

18

Our engraving is a perspective view of the above named educational device, which has been patented for its inventor, Mr. C. Baillairgé, of Quebec, in the United States, Canada, and Europe. It consists of a board, about five feet long and three feet wide, with some two hundred wooden models, comprising, so to say, all the elementary forms, their segments, and sections, and numerous other solids, simple and compound.

The tableau is set in an appropriate frame, with glass covering, so as to exhibit the models while excluding the dust. The front can be opened at pleasure so as to afford access to the models, each of which is merely supported on the board by a round nail or wire, which admits of its easy removal and replacement by teacher or pupil. The instruction conveyed by this tableau, appealing, as it does, to the uneducated eye and mind, is, the inventor thinks, destined to be of great use in developing the intelligence of the untaught

masses of mankind. He expects to introduce it into all the educational institutions of the United States and elsewhere, as it is now being disseminated in Canada; and he has no doubt that the tableau will also find its place in the studio of the engineer and architect, to whom the models will be suggestive of various forms and relative proportions which cannot fail to aid them in their pursuits. The rapid success attained by a school in Quebec, in mensuration of all kinds of surfaces and yet higher mathematics, including conic sections, was attributed to the use of this tableau. Every tableau is inscribed with a rule for finding the solid contents of any body, called "the prismoidal formula." This formula has been shown, by Mr. Baillairgé in his treatise on geometry and mensuration published in 1856, to be less restrictive than supposed, and he has added to the known solids, measurable thereby, a long list of others discovered by him, the whole of which are given in the tableau. Each tableau is also accompanied by a printed treatise, explanatory of every use to which the models can be put. Mr. Baillairgé is in possession of a mass of testimonials, from high officials and other distinguished men, both in Canada and Europe, together with reports of various educational and other institutions, all highly complimentary to him and his invention.

Dr. Wilkie, of Quebec, thinks "the government would confer a boon on schools of the middle and higher classes by affording access to so suggestive a collection;" and Professor Newton, of Yale College, considers the tableau." of great use for showing the variety and extent of applications of the prismoidal formula."

Scientific American, June 1st. 1872.

1

6

4

v

0

a

n

t

e

W

r: re

pi

m

de

n

ti

66

"

66

16 5

66 1

46 t

66 j

66 t

66 P

44 fi

" m

44 ei

No. 2272-71. MINISTRY OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Quebec, this 18th September 1871.

C. BAILLAIRGE, Esq., Quebec.

SIR,—I am instructed by the Honorable Minister of Public Instruction to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 8th instant, transmitting copy of the prospectus of your "Stereometrical Tableau."

He will make it a duty to recommend its adoption in all educational establishments and in all schools, persuaded as he is of its practical utility. The tableau and accompanying formula reduce to an operation of the most simple kind the measurement of every description of solid, which required according to the old method a calculation long and often very difficult for persons especially who were not in the daily practice thereof.

> I have the honor to be, Sir, your obedient servant, Louis Giard, Secretary.

34

\$.

SIR.—'That this formula is mathematically correct, as applied to all the solids enumerated by you in your prospectus, there is of course no doubt. You have fully demonstrated this in your valuable work on Geometry and Mensuration published in 1866. Mr. Steckel has not been slow in showing this in a most concise manner in his letter to you on the subject, to say nothing of the letters of the RR. MM. Méthot and Maingui on part of the professors of mathematics of the Quebec Seminary and Laval University, where the expressions. "étonné" and "enchanté" sufficiently show the high estimation in which your discovery is held by these competent judges: but, in my opinion, you do not sufficiently insist on the great value, the manifest and manifold advantages of your rule as applied to spindles, the middle frusta of which are met with every day and in every part of the civilized world under the thousand and one forms of casks of every conceivable size and variety, and the necessity of measuring which with promptness, on account of their number, and with accuracy, on account of the generally valuable nature of their contents. renders some simple, easy and commodious rule, like the one now proposed by you, of the first importance to all mankind.

Now, Sir, that your rule embraces these valuable requisites, let me compare it, in its working and in its results, with the rules laid down by some of our best mathematicians and authors such as Bonnycastle for instance, see Rev. E. C. Tyson's edition of his mensuration, page 147.

Problem XXVII (for example).

"To find the solidity of the middle frustum of an elliptic spindle; "its length, its diameters at the middle and end being given; also "the diameter which is half way between the middle and end dia-"meter being known."

Rule, "1° From the sum of three times the square of the middle "diameter, and the square of the end diameter, take four times the "square of the diameter between the middle and end, and from four "times the last diameter take the sum of the least diameter and three "times that of the middle, and $\frac{1}{4}$ of the quotient arising from divid-"ing the former difference by the latter will give the *central distance*.

" 2° Find the axes of the ellipse by Problem II, and the area of "the elliptical segment, whose cord is the length of the frustum, by "Problem V.

"3° Divide three times the area thus found by the length of the "frustum, and from the quotient subtract the difference between the "middle diameter and that of the end, and multiply the remainder by "eight times the central distance.

educais now ableau ect, to elative . The a of all nic secleau is , called by Mr. shed in to the ered by bleau is v use to ion of a ed men, s educahim and

> confer a ig access College, and ex-

1872.

1871.

ablic Insnt, transdeau." all educais of its reduce to every deshod a calwho were

ant, ecretary. ł

"4° Then from the sum of the square of the least diameter, and twice the square of that in the middle, take the product last found, and this difference multiplied by the length and the product again by .261799, &c., will give the solidity required."

1

I

r

t

r: ii

fı

h

0

a

p

 \mathbf{t}

tl

tl

eı

p

vi

ju

ha

ar

in

fo

ne

sti

ea

fre

M

m

Here, the mind is absolutely bewildered at the mere recital of the multifarious operations to be performed (not less than 27 in number) and the mere results of each of these operations, irrespective of the details of the multiplications, divisions and other computations necessary to arrive at them, take up two whole pages of the book.

Applied, say to a cask of 28 inches in length, bung diameter 24 inches, head diameter 21.6 inches, and diameter half way between head and bung 23.40909 inches, the result, as fully worked out at page 148, 149 of said book, gives 11,854⁴/₄ cubic inches, very nearly, or 51 gallons and 5 half-pints.

Now, the same example, Sir, by your formula, brings out 11,855.2 cubic in., which differs from the last result by only .0000045 or less than half an inch on nearly 12000 inches, or the 240th part of one per cent in excess, the 14th part of a gill.

Not only then, is your formula in this case to be considered in every respect as accurate as that of Bonnycastle, but it is really more so in practice; for, even if the error in excess attained the maximum of .005 or $\frac{1}{2}$ of one per cent, where is the practical measurer or gauger who, for the sake of a quart on a 50 gallon keg or half a gallon on a hogshead, would, could devote hours of his time to calculate by the old method what can be done with greater accuracy and in less than 2 minutes by the new; for, every merchant will tell you that in practical cask gauging there is generally an error in excess or in defect of from one to two gallons on a hogshead.

And even this comparative accuracy of the old rule, can only be arrived at by taking in all the decimals, which no one would be likely to do, on account of the immense labour of the computations; whereas, by the new formula, by reason of its great simplicity and conciseness, all the decimals may easily be taken in and no harm can result at some of the last decimals being neglected, since the result as shown above is, and, for convex forms, always is, though ever so slightly, in excess of the true content.

I am wrong however in assuming that the maximum error in cask gauging by your rule is .005 or the half of one per cent; neither do you say so in your prospectus, and on the contrary you show most satisfactorily at page 708, 709 of your said treatise, in the numerous examples given by you and fully worked out and compared in each case with the results given by Bonnycastle's rules, that the maximum error in excess does, in your first and 2nd examples, not

36

é

exceed $\frac{1}{4}$ of one per cent or one quart on a hogshead; in ex. 8 it is $\frac{1}{5}$ of 1 per cent; Ex. 10 gives the maximum error as $\frac{1}{6}$ of 1 per cent; Ex. 5 gives $\frac{1}{7}$ of 1 per cent; Ex. 4 and 12 (2), $\frac{1}{10}$ of 1 per cent; Ex. 9, $\frac{1}{16}$ of 1 per cent; Ex. 2 and 12 (1 and 3), $\frac{1}{20}$ of 1 per cent; and ex. 7, $\frac{1}{46}$ of 1 per cent, and these examples cover all varieties and sizes of circular, elliptic, and parabolic casks, tha⁺ is of the three varieties generally met with in practice.

But in dwelling on the formula, I find I have as yet said nothing of the all important "Stereometrical Tableau" without which, as you pertinently remark, the rule would be almost as useless in teaching mensuration in schools, if not in the practice of it, as steam without the steam engine or electricity without the telegraph.

There are many other advantages, apart from the mere mensuration of bodies, which your tableau possesses, as enumerated by you in your prospectus and which it is useless for me to dwell upon, as I fully concur in all that you claim for it; though I think you might have further insisted of the advantage of such a tableau in the studio of the apprentice, nay even of the professional architect, who will, among the models, find that of almost every conceivable shape or proportion of roof, dome, &c., which he may be called upon to design; the Civil Engineer, every description of prismoïd to be met with in the cuttings or embankments for railroads, canals, docks, &c., or in the piers or abutments of bridges or other structures; the mechanical engineer, every variety of boiler, copper or other vessel and the component parts of all sorts of machinery.

Quebec, 9th December 1871.

J. GALLAGHER.

16, St. George St., Battery. Dec. 26 1871.

MY DEAR SIR,—It is with the utmost satisfaction that I have reviewed the Prospectus of the Stereometrical Tableau, which, in conjunction with the Photograph, you were so polite as to send me. I have been unable from want of leisure to go into so thorough an examination as I should desire, and which your invention merits. But in the instances which I have subjected to critical analysis, I have found the rule to work most admirably—combining comprehensiveness, utility with simplicity and great exactness. It will render a study heretofore charged with difficulty and abstruseness at once easy and acceptable—modernizing that which was ancient and which from its multitudinous formulæ had become an isolated branch of Mathematics. Believing it to be of universal use, I shall heartily lend myself to the introduction of your system.

Very respectfully, Your obedient servant,

HORATIO R. N. BIGELOW, M. A.

C. BAILLAIRGE, ESQ.

r, and ound, again

tal of numtive of ations ook. eter 24 etween out at

nearly,

1,855.2 or less one per

lered in ly more aximum gauger lon on a by the ess than in praclefect of

only be be likely ; whereconcisean result as shown ightly, in

error in
; neither
70u show
n the nu compared
that the
nples, not

Ser. 2, No. 255.

Education Office, Province of New Brunswick, Fredericton, January 25th 1872.

C. BAILLAIRGE, Esq., Quebec, DEAR SIR.—I am instructed by the Board of Education for this Province to apply to you for a set of your *Stereometric Tableau* and your text-book on Practical Mathematics. The Board desire these articles for inspection, with a view of prescribing them for general use in all the Schools of this Province, should they be deemed suitable for the purpose. Should there be any charge for these articles, the same will be met by this Department.

Your Obdt. Servt., THEODORE H. RAUD.

Mr. Baillairgé's Stereometrical Tableau seems to me to be a very useful arrangement for showing the variety and extent of the applications of the *Prismoidal Formula*. Where demonstrations are given in the study of Mensuration of Solids, it will aid a teacher in illustrating the rules, but it would probably be much more valuable to those who try to teach that study without introducing demonstrations of the rules.

H. A. NEWTON, Prof. of Math. in Y. College.

YALE COLLEGE, Feb. 5th 1872.

No. 13567. Subj. 995. Ref. 20814. Department of Public Works.

Ottawa, Feby. 7th 1372.

SIR,—In reply to your letter of the 26 ulto., I am directed by the Minister to request you to furnish the Department with one of your "Tableau Stéréométrique" at the price of Fifty dollars, together with your account for the same.

I have the honor to be, Sir, your obt. servant,

CHS. BAILLAIRGE, Esq.,	F. BRAUN,
Architect, &c., Quebec.	Secretary.

New Haven, Feb. 7th 1872.

CHS. BAILLAIRGE, ESQ., DEAR SIR,—I have been much interested in looking over the papers descriptive of your useful, valuable and (as it very plainly appears) universal application of a rule for the mensuration of solids. I sincerely congratulate you on the success which your discovery has met with in all quarters in which it has at present been introduced. It must have been a great labour to work it out to its present state of perfection and you have the satisfaction of knowing that you are a benefactor and staunch pilot in that sea of difficulty : Geometry.

Your very sincerely, E. B. BARBER.

ex1 po/

V

у

a

I

w t]

aı

W

fe⁻ ca

ev

na

be

80

by and enu pre-

High School, Quebec, 8th Feb. 1872.

CHS. BAILLAIRGE, ESQ., MY DEAR SIR,—I beg to acknowledge with many thanks the receipt of a number of papers explanatory of your new formula for finding the contents of solid bodies.

The rule is precise and simple, and being applicable to almost any variety of solid, will greatly shorten the processes of calculation. I have proved its accuracy as applied to several bodies.

The Tableau comprising a great variety of elementary models will serve admirably to educate the eye and must greatly facilitate the study of solid mensuration.

The Government would confer a boon on schools of the middle and higher class by affording access to so suggestive a collection.

I have the honor to be, my dear sir, your obedient servant,

D. WILKIE, Rector.

Quebec, 8th January 1872.

CHS. BAILLAIRGE, Esq., DEAR SIR,—Being absent from home when your favour of the 1st ult. arrived and having returned only a few days ago I have found it impossible to give to your Stereometrical Tableau that attention which the subject merits. I have however in the case of a few solids compared your formula with the ordinary methods of computation and found it equally correct. I shall be delighted to see the old tedious processes superseded by a formula so simple and so exact.

> I have the honor to be, Dear Sir, Your obedient servant, A. N. McQUARRIE, B. A.*

Quebec, 27 Dec., 1871.

CHARLES BAILLAIRGE, ESQ., QUEBEC. DEAR SIR,—I beg to acknowledge with many thanks the receipt of the papers and photograms explanatory of your Stereometrical Tableau.

I have compared, in the case of several solids, the results obtained by your mode of computation with those resulting from the ordinary and more lengthy processes, and congratulate you sincerly on your enunciation of a formula so brief and simple in its character and so precise and satisfactory in its results.

I remain, Dear Sir, Your very odedient servant,

E. T. FLETCHER, Inspector of Surveys Dept. of Crown Lands.

* Professor of Mathematics, etc., at the Morin College,-C. B.

72. y the

your atics. preshould harge

).

appligiven ustrathose ons of

e.

372. by the of your gether

in, tary.

72.

erested ble and for the success t has at to work sfaction t sea of

ER.

Québec, 6 Septembre 1872.

te

 \mathbf{t}

1

I

te bl

m

sh

de

tiı

on

ble fig

ca

the

to

sha

dra

try

res

der

the

Ity

of l

You

on

mal

MONSIEUR,—J'ai le plaisir de vous annoncer que le Conseil de l'Instruction Publique vient d'approuver votre Tableau et suis heureux de vous en féliciter.

Bien sincèrement votre tout dévoué, C. BAILLAIRGE, ECR. P. J. O. CHAUVEAU.

The January Session of the Board of Examiners for Land Surveyors for the Province of Quebec. 1872.

EXTRACT FROM THE MINUTES.

Moved by the President, Adolphe LaRue, Esq., and seconded by E. T. Fletcher, Esq., and resolved :

"That the Board of Examiners for Land Surveyors, having taken into consideration the Stereometrical Tableau of Charles Baillairgé, Esq., Civil Engineer, and the very neat and precise formula connected therewith, desire to record their opinion of the utility and importance of this formula, and coincide wholly with the opinions expressed by those to whom it has been already submitted, and further they would recommend that the Board be provided with one of these Tableaux.

Quebec, January 2nd, 1872. ALEXANDER SEWELL,

Secretary of the Board of Surveyors.

No. 2272-71. Ministère de l'Instruction Publique.

Québec, ce 7 Septembre 1872.

C. BAILLAIRGE, ECUYER, QUEBEC. MONSIEUR,—J'ai l'honneur de vous transmettre, sur l'autre feuillet, copie de la résolution adoptée par le Conseil de l'Instruction Publique, approuvant votre "Tableau Stéréométrique pour toiser tous les corps, segments, troncs et onglets de ces corps," ainsi que votre "Nouveau traité de géométrie et de trigonométrie rectiligne et sphérique," suivi du "Toisé des surfaces et des volumes."

> J'ai l'honneur d'être, Monsieur, votre obt. serviteur, Louis Giard, Secrétaire-Archiviste.

Paris, le 1er Août 1872.

A Monsieur Baillairgé, Architecte, etc., à Québec, (Canada).

MONSIEUR'—J'ai l'honneur de vous donner avis que le Conseil Supérieur vient de vous admettre à faire partie de la Société de Vulgarisation pour l'Enseignement du peuple à titre de Membre Titulaire.

Nous sommes heureux d'une décision qui assure à notre Œuvre votre précieux concours et nous espérons les meilleurs effets de votre propagande active en faveur de l'Instruction et de l'Education populaires.

Veuillez agréer, Monsieur et très honoré Collègue, l'assurance de mes sentiments de haute considération.

Le Secrétaire Général fondateur,

(Signé) Aug. Humbert.

Quebec, 9th January 1872.

G. W. WEAVER, Esq.,

President of Board of Arts & Manufactures.

MY DEAR SIR,—Our evening class began last week. I am happy to say every thing looks promising and we have been fortunate enough, to secure again Mr. C. Baillairgé's invaluable services, as teacher, for this winter.

We have procured from him, for our school, the "Stercometrical Tableau" which is his invention, and I am so delighted with it, that I send you a photographic representation of it, and a number of letters and other documents printed which will serve to explain the tableau, and show at the same time how useful it is considered by the most eminent authorities in this country.

You ought to get the tableau for your schools, at Montreal. You showed me last year when I visited your schools several wooden models of geometrical figures; I was struck with their usefulness at the time, and thought of procuring some for our schools, but there are only a few of them and their price is very high. Mr. Baillairgé's Tableau costs only fifty dollars, and it contains *two hundred* geometrical figures. I fancy the collection embraces every variety of figure that can ever be required for practical use.

They are solid figures made of wood, each fixed on a nail, so that they can be taken off by the teacher for demonstration and handed to the pupils; who are enabled to understand and master their divers shapes and forms with much greater ease, than if they saw them drawn on a black board, or in a book; the difference is enormous.

In addition to the great help they afford, for the study of geometry, these figures are very useful as models for earthworks, piers, reservoirs, castings, roofs, domes, columns, cauldrones, &c., &c., &c.

The tableau is most useful too for the working out of that wonderfully simple rule, which has been applied by Mr. Baillairgé, for the first time, to the measurement of the solid contents of all bodies. It was known previous to his discovery to apply to a certain number of bodies, but he has found out that it applied to all without exception. You will find that rule in the papers I send you, and in his treatise on geometry. I will soon let you know, what progress the school is making and remain, my dear Sir,

Yours truly (Signed,) H. G. JOLY.*

* President Quebec School of Arts.

re 1872. e Conseil de et suis heu=

HAUVEAU.

d Surveyors

seconded by

aving taken is Baillairgé, la connected l importance expressed by r they would Tableaux. SEWELL, Surveyors.

pre 1872. Phonneur de ttion adoptée re "Tableau ics et onglets trie et de triis surfaces et

viteur, - Archiviste.

oût 1872. Canada). ue le Conseil ciété de Vulbre Titulaire. notre Œuvre effets de votre neation popu-

Collègue, l'as-1. dateur, . HUMBERT.

Extract from an Address by the pupils to Chs. Baillairgé, Esq., Professor at the School of Arts, 13th April 1872.

We deem it not out of place to remark that in our opinion the word "STEREOMETRICAL" which you have prefixed, as qualitative of the uses that your "TABLEAU" can be applied to, is not suggestive enough of the many advantages which such a varied collection of models presents; for, not only is it of paramount importance and utility, as illustrative of your system of mensuration, by one and the same invariable formula, implied in the title at the head of the board; but, we hesitate not to say that to the use of the "TABLEAU" we are indebted in an eminent degree for the singularly rapid progress we have been enabled to make since the 4th of January last (only 30 lessons) not only in Geometry proper and in the Mensuration of surfaces and solids, both plane and spherical; but also in the study of geometrical projection and perspective, shades and shadows, the development of surfaces and the lines of penetration of divers solids, &c., &c.

n

h

0

S

St

h

de m be

ju th

su on

M

be co

wh

an

the

abl

Tal

hor

ren

and

sure

Pau

at o

all i

dina

ent

forn

Extract from the "Quebec Gazette" March 22nd 1872.

MR. BAILLAIRGÉ'S LECTURE.

The lecture on Geometry, delivered by C. Baillairgé, Esq., before the Literary and Historical Society, in the Morin College, on the evening of Wednesday last, was a rare scientific treat, lost to many who, doubtless, thinking the subject a dry one, did not attend. The audience, though not as large as might be expected, comprised the *elite* of the scientific and well read men of this community. That the subject, as handled by Mr. Baillairgé, was not a dry one, may be inferred from his showing, during its course, that it not only applied to that most attractive of all ovals, the female countenance, but that the keen appreciation of its charms by the fairer portion of mankind was clearly evidenced in the beautiful and ever varying tracery of their laces, embroidery, &c. Neither was it wanting in poetic imagination, as illustrated by the lecturer, in comparing the curves traced out by the engineer amidst the woods and waters of the earth, to the mighty circuits of the comets amidst the starry forests of the dark blue heavens. That part of the lecture touching upon conic sections was especially interesting, owing to the lucid manner in which their principles were described as applied in the throwing of projectiles, jets of water, mirrors, reflectors, &c. The lecturer exhibited his Stereometrical Tableau, which is now attracting so much attention in this as well as other countries, and demonstrated, to the perfect satisfaction of his hearers, that it was fully entitled to all the advantages claimed for it. At the close of the reading, Capt. Ashe, R. N., in proposing a

q., Pro-

nion the tative of ggestive etion of nce and and the e board; " we are gress we y 30 lessurfaces f geomedevelop-&c., &c.

> 1., before the eveiny who, The au-I the elite the subinferred d to that ; the keen as clearly eir laces, ation, as ut by the e mighty blue heaions was heir printiles, jets is Stereoin this as tisfaction s claimed oposing a

vote of thanks to the lecturer, whilst claiming for Dr. Simpson the discovery of the prismoidal formula, as known to apply to certain bodies (a fact alluded to in the lecture), nevertheless highly complimented Mr. Baillairgé, giving him due meed of praise for his general application of the formula to all known solids. D. Wilkie, Esg., Rector of the High School, than whom no more competent judge of the subject could be found in our midst, in seconding the vote of thanks, hoped that a lecture so interesting and instructive would be published. so as to bring it within the reach of all, and gave expression to his most unqualified admiration of the high talents of Mr. Baillairgé and his devotion to the science of figures. He considered the production of the Stereometrical Tableau of vast importance to the educational system, by reducing the work of a year to that of a day or two-so to say. He also complimented the lecturer on the happy way in which he treated the subject, making that which many were wont to consider dry even poetical. The President, Dr. Anderson, in putting the motion before the meeting, said that in his recollection, he had never been so pleased or gratified with a lecture as that which they had just heard. That it was most flattering to Mr. Baillairgé to know that he had kept an audience entranced for two whole hours with such unflagging interest, that the two hours had passed as though but one. The President concluded 1 is remarks by stating that though Mr. Simpson had made the discovery alluded to, it had seldom if ever been practically applied, and that, therefore, Mr. Baillairgé should be considered the real discover, a fact carried out by the lecturer himself when stating that "the formula would be nothing without the tableau, any more than steam without the steam engine or electricity without the telegraph."

The Ursuline Convent.

We learn with satisfaction and legitimate pride that this admirable institution has ordered one of Mr. Baillairgé's Stereometrical Tableau, and that this gentleman, during a single sitting of a few hours devotion, generously granted him by the Nuns, managed to render them thoroughly conversant with his system of nomenclature and mensuration. It seems almost incredible, and yet, we are assured that the Reverend Superior (the talented Miss Cimon, of St. Paul's Bay) accompanied by Sister St. Croix and Sister St. Raphaël, at once mastered and perfectly understood Mr. Baillairgé's system in all its details. Paying comparatively little attention to the more ordinary forms of which the mode of measurement was to them apparent at a glance, they selected for their questions the more complex forms, such as the sections of the sphere and spheroids and the nu-

merous and varied prismoids, to be found among the 200 models of the tableau. The education given by the Religious Ladies to their pupils comprises the geometry of lines and surfaces, and from this to the mensuration of solids by Mr. Baillairgé's system, there is but one step, a simple addition of certain surfaces and the multiplication of their sum by one sixth part of the height or length of the body under consideration. The Nuns intend to commence immediately the teaching of this branch to their pupils, who will be examined on the tableau at the next examination which takes place in June. The noble example thus given by the Ursulines has been rapidly followed by another important educational establishment, the Convent of the Religious Ladies of Jesus-Marie, on the Cap Rouge road, and we are, moreover, informed that the Sœurs de la Congrégation St. Roch, together with the Nuns of the Good Shepherd and the Sisters of Charity intend forthwith to add to the already varied programme of their tuition, this study of Stereotomy, which, up to the present time, could not be even dreamed of, but which Mr. Baillairge's system uow renders possible by reducing, as it does, the study of a year to that of a day or two, so to say.

COPY.

WORCESTER FREE INSTITUTE.

Worcester, Mass., July 24 1873.

This certifies that I have carefully examined Baillairgé's models to illustrate the applications of the Prismoidal Formula, and consider them eminently calculated to be useful in all schools where mensuration is taught.

True copy.

(Signed,) C. O. THOMPSON, Principal Wr. Free Inst.

EXTRAIT D'UNE LETTRE DE V. VANNIER.

Paris, 8 Juillet 1873.

"Il est bien décidé que votre tableau aura la première récompense de la société libre d'instruction et d'éducation populaire; vous en serez averti officiellement à la rentrée des vacances, fin octobre, et vous serez en même temps convoqué pour la distribution solennelle des récompenses qui aura lieu dans le courant du mois de Mars prochain.

odels of to their this to but one ation of ody untely the 1 on the ie. The followed it of the I we are, Roch, tof Charity of their nt time, tem uow o that of

1873.

's models consider e mensu-

N, ee Inst.

1873.

re récomire; vous n octobre, on solens de Mars



