


SPECIAL

ELECTION

EDITION



# THE BRUNSWICKAN

New Brunswick's largest weekly newspaper

VOL. 106 ISSUE 19

4 PAGES

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1972

FREE

## Four better or four worse

By DON ALLEN

The central concern of this article is the caliber of the various candidates for the office of SRC President. However, mechanical ineptitude on my part with respect to the operation of cassette tape recorders has occasioned a restructuring of the entire article. As originally conceived it was to be composed of four commentaries, one for each candidate. Each commentary was to consist of three sections; initially, a brief outline of the credentials of a candidate; secondly, the results of a typed interview in which each candidate was asked a series of questions on what are generally considered to be important issues to students and a final section containing my comments on the candidates based on their answers to the aforementioned questions. However, when I began to edit the interviews I discovered, much to my chagrin, that two of them were missing. This development, as I earlier mentioned, was the logical consequence of my mechanical ineptitude. (Twice I forgot to push the little red "record" button.)

For a number of technical reasons relating to publication of the Brunswickan retaping the interviews would not have solved the problem. For these reasons I therefore decided to alter the original design of the article and merely present my impression of each candidate based principally on the interview and generally revolving about their position on the main issues discussed.

Each of the candidates displayed a bizarre and often frightening combination of originality and sincerity, inconsistency and ignorance, balls and bullshit. An incisive comment on one issue was usually overshadowed by incredible ignorance in another area.

To avoid any misunderstanding of my position with respect to the various presidential candidates I should state at the outset of this article that I do not believe

any of the individuals offering for the office of SRC president are qualified to effectively or even adequately carry out the duties and responsibilities that are attached to this office. However, no doubt whoever is elected will by some weird combination of circumstances manage to muddle and bungle his way through until approximately this time next spring when in all unfortunate probability a similar farce will be held to relieve the incompetent of his duties and the newest albatross will make its appearance.

The initial candidate it was my misfortune to interview was David Kelsey. Dave is a second year Arts student whose chief claim to fame on the campus was his position as past president of the UNB Student Liberals. In addition he has served as a member of the Consultative Council of the Liberal Party of Canada and was a founder of the Students Council Lower Canada College in Montreal, for what that's worth.

Dave wants to be president because he thinks it is time students had a leader "who will take a definite stand on important issues". He feels his past organizational involvements and political "connections" best equip him for the office of president. By his own admission, Dave "has been dealing with politicians for a number of years" and that, in my opinion, is one of his main problems. His continual reference for "the need to be realistic about problems" has the familiar ring of other platitudinous pronouncements by wishy-washy liberals and petty politicians. For all his talk about taking a stand on important issues Dave really didn't have a hell of a lot to say.

The presently rumored increase in student fees is of primary interest to Dave. In his estimation the problem stems from increased costs in the residence system. To offset these cost increases Dave proposes elimination of the position of proctors within the residence system along with a reduction in the resources available to the various house dons so that they would receive

only free rooms and board. Queried as to what he would do if no concrete action was taken by the administration on his proposal, Dave replied that he would "resort to the media and really blow it up". No doubt his contention that the media has never been effectively employed by the student body of this campus is justified. However, whether one could fairly affix the entire fault of this failing to past student leaders is dubious when one considers the shoddy state of the provincial media. However that is a minor point. More important was his admission that he felt there would be few, if any, realistic courses of action left open to him as SRC President if the administration chose to ignore his publicity campaign - and that in my opinion is precisely what the administration would do. In all probability the administration would announce it had taken the idea under consideration and in the interim student fees would be increased.

On the issue of student housing Dave felt that the main problem was a lack of adequate facilities particularly those insuring privacy for individuals. Dave followed this dazzling display of his talent for in-depth analysis of problems with a pronouncement that he "really didn't get off on the Co-op" which he later likened to taking a firm stand on controversial issues. While rejecting the co-op and present residence systems as effective forms of housing his only alternative was a rather vague reference to the idea of trailer courts. As his proposal to create a committee on housing reveals, he obviously realizes that there are certain problems in this area but he has not presented any constructive or positive alternatives to the present system.

On the issue of student loans while he was not satisfied with the present plan (who is?) he felt that there was little he could do as president to directly produce structural changes in the present system. However, he felt his "political connections" with the government would enable him to aid those students who could successfully demonstrate to him that they had indeed been done an injustice. It is precisely this type of cloak and dagger back-

room politics that should be avoided.

With respect to the extent of student participation in the actual decision making apparatus of this university Dave sees student participation to be essentially advisory in nature with the SRC president serving as a liaison officer between students and administration.

While he felt, for example, some system of course evaluation should be established he did not think that students should enjoy parity with faculty on the issue of hiring and firing professors. Similarly, he suggests that while the student position on any issue should be forcibly represented to the administration, the student should not

of certain so called "progressives" which suggest "certainly eighteen year olds should be allowed to drink but you'll still have to leave the important decisions affecting your lives to older and more mature individuals".

Dave seems to accept this attitude wholeheartedly. He, like all of the other candidates does not feel that students possess the responsibility, maturity, expertise or experience that are normally required to make important decisions. Naturally students, in a large measure, do not possess this degree of responsibility or maturity and it is mainly because they have never been given a chance to do so. How can you



DAVE KELSEY

exercise any voting privileges on the Board of Governors.

Lowering of the age of majority was of vital concern for Dave but only for those actions directly affecting the individual. He did not, for example, think eighteen year olds should be allowed to sit on a jury. Twenty-one was still the proper age for that type of activity. The fact that Dave was more concerned over obtaining the right to drink for eighteen year olds than he was over obtaining the right for these same eighteen year olds to exercise a direct and meaningful control over their lives as students no doubt is an accurate reflection of his value system.

Obviously eighteen year olds should legally enjoy the right to drink but for me this is only a minor example of the traditional attitudes taken toward "Youth". This discrimination on the basis of age is manifest not just in legislation but in the paternalistic attitudes

expect people to develop these qualities when they are never exposed to the conditions which are most conducive to the creation of these qualities?

In my opinion, while Dave may be aware of the existence of certain problems he has not subjected them to any extensive scrutiny. I have attempted to illustrate that a similar assessment of any solutions he has offered to the problems is equally justified. While he at times appears to be sincere he obviously doesn't realize the extensive responsibilities and implications of this position. He conceives of his duties and actions in terms of a wheeling-dealing politician and unfortunately that has been one of the main problems with the SRC. The traditional attitude of the SRC toward student problems has been characterized by this petty politicking and not by sincere and dedicated attempts to effect significant solutions to these problems.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2



# Four richer or four poorer

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

## RICK FISHER

The second presidential candidate to be examined is Rick Fisher. Rick is a third year Business student who apparently possesses an impressive record of experience and organizational involvement. For example, he has been a member of the Brunswickan, Radio UNB, an executive of the Business Administration Society, and Athletic Board. In addition, as an SRC representative for the past year and a half he has served on numerous committees including those involving Winter Carnival and the Constitution.

This image of maturity and responsibility is irreparably shattered when one experiences a conversation with Mr. Fisher of more than sixty second duration. Of the four candidates Rich has, without a doubt, the most highly developed capacity for "rubbing people the wrong way". This inability to work smoothly and effectively with others is one of his major liabilities as prospective SRC president.


Rick wants to be president because he "feels he is the best man for the job", arguing that his record of past experience best equips him to carry out the duties of SRC president.

My interview with Rick was the shortest of the four I conducted. This can be attributed to the fact that he really did not have much to say on the main issues. He didn't have much to say on any of these issues because he didn't recognize their existence or significance or if he did recognize their existence and significance he had no solution to propose.

Rick is often rash and as such is prone to making statements without realizing their often ludicrous implications. These serious shortcomings are interrelated and can be traced mainly to the fact that he has not devoted sufficient time and effort to the consideration of student problems.

On the topic of student loans Rick felt that there was need for improvement in the present plan but he had no real explanation for the cause of the problem. Similarly, he had no specific proposal on how one could begin to correct these injustices.

Like the other candidates Rick thought that students should have proper housing with proper facilities. (what else is new?) He made a vague suggestion concerning blacklisting landlords who did not meet certain standards but the actual mechanics of constructing and enforcing these standards was apparently of no concern to him.



RICK FISHER

Rick, like Dave Kelsey, conceives of the activities of the SRC in terms of petty politics. This fact of his character was highlighted by the fact that it was part of his campaign strategy to emerge as a different candidate. To achieve this end he has adopted a Machiavellian tactic of allowing his position on an issue (if indeed he had one) to be determined solely by the position of the other candidates rather than by his beliefs.

While I agree with him that certain standards should be effectively enforced this measure is not addressed to the problem of providing proper housing to meet the immediate and future demands of students. While rejecting the co-op and residence concepts he again could offer no positive alternatives. His suggestion was that students should first determine the extent of aid the administration was willing to provide and then proceed to devise their plans on the basis of the offer made by the administration.

On the issue of the extent of the control over the decision making apparatus of this university Rick maintained that students should occupy a solely advisory role. Like Dave Kelsey he sees the SRC president as a type of liaison officer between the students and administration. He did not think, for example, that students should enjoy a position of parity with the faculty in any area. Like the other candidates he felt that some system of course evaluation was necessary; however, again he did not see fit to elaborate on the exact nature of this system. Supposedly he supports the McGill questionnaire now under consideration by the SRC.

Rick did not regard the subject of the proposed increases of student fees as being a major concern for the SRC president. He offered two arguments to support his stand. Initially he maintained that an increase in student fees was not as yet a fact, it is only a rumor. The fact that there is a high degree of credibility attached to this rumor did not frighten Mr. Fisher. For as he so aptly explained with that Fisher fatality "if it becomes fact, there is nothing I can do about it". (Any comment would be superfluous.)

## CHUCK KINGSTON

Chuck Kingston is a fourth year Arts student who wants to be president because he thinks the SRC is not adequately reflecting the interests of students and he feels he can provide fresh ideas and new concepts. His credentials as a leader and organizer include his past presidency of the UNB Curling Club, vice-presidency of MacKenzie House and SRC representative.

Chuck is the most idealistic of the presidential candidates and as such he is often given to making grandiose suggestions without giving careful consideration to their implications. Consequently, his proposals are often riddled with contradictions or are simply not feasible.



CHUCK KINGSTON

If elected, one of his major concerns would be to provide adequate facilities for student activities. Chuck sees inadequate facilities and lack of proper housing to be closely connected causes of student apathy and alienation. He argues that for a great many students the only adequate housing available is such a long distance from the campus that they become alienated from campus activities. Those students who enjoy reasonable accommodation close to the campus lack adequate facilities for their activities.

His solution is to provide the campus with both adequate facilities and proper housing. This solution includes proposals for construction of a bowling alley, a curling rink and a new apartment complex.

Essentially he proposes two methods to achieve these goals. Initially, students should attempt to enlist the services i.e. financial backing of the administration for this scheme or secondly, students should shoulder the full financial responsibility themselves through borrowing.

One does not have to subject his theory on the nature of student alienation, apathy and housing to extensive scrutiny in order to detect certain basic flaws. Exactly what Chuck means by adequate housing or even alienation is not clear. Admittedly there is a degree of validity in his assertion; however, this thesis like many of his other ideas suffers from an overdose of simplification. For instance, I would challenge his initial assertion that a meaningful correlation exists between alienation and the distance of accommodation. I would argue that, proportionally, there are as many alienated students living in residence as living a distance from the campus. Similarly, his second assumption relating alienation and apathy to lack of adequate facilities for students in questionable. Apathy and alienation are complex phenomena and often occur on campuses which would seem to fulfill both of his conditions. Similarly they are not a problem on certain campuses which do not meet either requirement.

The issue of greater student participation in the decision making process of the university is also of primary interest to Chuck. He feels that students should have control over items such as hiring and firing of professors. However, with respect to matters generally considered to be the concern of the Board of Governors he does not believe students possess the maturity, experience, or responsibility necessary to deal with such issues. He does feel that the position of students on the Board of Governors should be more clearly re-defined but he rejected totally the notion that students should enjoy a position of voting parity with faculty.

There would be little point for me to restate my earlier argument favoring at least a parity position for students. I would add, however, that it seems inconsistent to suggest that students accept the financial and managerial responsibility for elaborate construction projects while simultaneously arguing they lack responsibility and maturity to serve on the Board of Governors.

On the subject of proposed fee increases Chuck argues that the source of these increases is increased food costs. He feels that it is unfair to penalize non-residents students with higher fees to cover increased residence food costs. The solution, he maintains, is to be found in an effective and aggressive attitude in bargaining. Now that is a brilliant bit of bullshit analysis.

I could continue to point out that like the other candidates, Chuck thinks the present student loan system is inadequate but again he really has no constructive solutions to offer so I do not see much point in wasting my time or the space.

I think Chuck is sincere and I agree that the SRC no longer reflects the needs and interests of students. Unlike the other candidates Chuck has offered both solutions and methods to achieve these solutions. Importantly, however, as I have attempted to illustrate the majority of his proposals are either illogical, inconsistent or simply not feasible.

Probably the best indication of the rampant idealism that pervades his proposals is the fact that if elected and despite the extensive, elaborate and obviously time consuming nature of his suggestions he would still remain a full time student perhaps even in first year law.

Editor-in-chief Peter Collum  
 Managing Editor  
 Edison Stewart.  
 A Brunswickan Special Edition. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the SRC of UNB. Printed at Bugle Publishing, Woodstock.



FEBRUARY 14, 1972

# In sickness and in health.

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 2

## ROY NEALE

The fourth and final candidate for the office of SRC president is Roy Neale. His organizational experience includes the S.R.C. as a representative, the senate committee on publications, the Brunswickan of which he is presently news editor and the College Hill Social Club of which he is past president and current director.


Roy believes he possesses the ability to work with a variety of individuals and this quality combined with the experience and insights he has gained from his organizational involvements best qualify him to be president. That of course is Roy's particular brand of campaign crap. Bullshit it seems is an indispensable item in any political campaign.

On the topic of student loans Roy, like all the other candidates thinks the present system is inadequate. (Ever get the feeling you've heard that before?) A partial solution to the problem, he suggests, can be achieved by obtaining a policing role for the SRC combined with a significant control over the actual dispersal of loan funds. Apparently, what Roy has in mind is that any student who feels he has a legitimate complaint concerning the size of his grant could bring the issue before the SRC.

The argument for policing powers being that students would be less capable of deceiving other students on the exact nature and extent of educational expenses. Under this scheme the SRC would then inform the provincial government of its decision if it felt a request for further assistance was justified.

While this is at best an interim solution to the problem it does have merit and is based on a slightly more democratic principle than the present plan. The administrative problems that would arise for the S.R.C. could be overcome with proper planning. This plan, unfortunately, hinges on the willingness of the provincial government to surrender. A significant measure of its control over the allotment of funds. This they are not likely to do quickly or gladly. Roy unfortunately has prepared no concrete or even tentative plan of action to meet with this development. None the less he has at least attempted to analyze the problem and present a solution.

Naturally, Roy sees the provision of adequate student housing to be a matter of paramount importance. (Who doesn't?) He too favours some system of enforcing certain standards for the existing accommodations but like the other candidates he did not elaborate on the nature or mechanics of this system.



ROY NEALE

On the subject of providing new housing facilities, Roy prefers, the construction of larger units which would provide living accommodation similar to those now available in the married couples co-op. The "already existing full scale plans" to which Roy usually refers when discussing this issue are the same ones that the senate chose to ignore when Rick Fox presented them last fall. Roy, suggests that the student councils of UNB, STU and TC should form a common front for the purpose of once more presenting these plans to the senate. His argument is

that such a show of student solidarity will likely ensure the adoption of these plans.

In these trying times such enthusiastic faith in the senate is touching - it is also ludicrous, particularly if past performance is any criteria. An exceedingly vague reference to the effect that students might have to assume sole financial responsibility was his only response when questioned on what course of action he had considered adopting if the senate again rejected these construction proposals - which is probably what will happen.

One item of interest was

his reference to the Fredericton Tenants Association which he urged all students living in the downtown area to join. Close co-operation between this body and the SRC has tremendous potential particularly as a means of existing a powerful influence on both the University Administration and the provincial government.

Again my criticism of Roy is that while some of his ideas have potential he simply has not devoted sufficient study to determining how he could most effectively implement these schemes.

On the topic of student participation in the decision making process of the university, Roy had adopted a "wishy-washy" position which can best be described as self defeating. He states that he thinks students should enjoy a position of parity with faculty in most areas but simultaneously he says he does not believe students will ever get parity. In my opinion, his negative attitude reflects a basic insincerity and is the type of pronouncement one expects from a professional politician who never takes a definite stand on

any issue. The concept of the SRC president as a liaison officer between the students and administration is almost identical with that of the other candidates.

Moderate fee increases are justified but major increases are not and in either case these increases should be offset by increases in the size of student loans. (Did you ever get the feeling you were continually repeating yourself?) I could continue and point out again that Roy has neither considered the implications of his proposals nor has he provided any definite indications of the method he would adopt to secure these changes. I could do this but I see no reason why I should.

Roy has also expressed his ideas on a number of other topics and I think he is probably the best of a bad lot of candidates.

I have not slept in some time and frankly I am exceedingly weary of outlining and illustrating in detail some of the inadequacies of the various presidential aspirants. If you are honestly concerned over any of these issues I suggest you confront the candidates personally.

## A two way race for Comptroller

### CHRIS FISHER

My primary interest when I ran for SRC last October was in finance. I therefore applied for the Administrative Board and was appointed. So with my past experience in SRC and by being a Business Student I feel I can give the students a good Comptroller.

Student Housing and the Fieldhouse Project can not be financed by the SRC as it will still take 8 years to pay for the SUB. I am in full support of both these projects however and I plan to help the President to see that these projects get past the planning stage.



CHRIS FISHER

SRC fees are set by the Comptroller and there is no reason for a raise next year. There is no indication that tuition fees will rise but there is talk in the SRC office that residence fees may rise due to the food problem.

One of my main objectives will be student participation.

I have already talked to SUB Director Kevin McKinney and there's a good chance for SRC-SUB combined activities such as beer gardens, movies, etc. Also, I will be directly involved with Red N Black and Carnival next year and with past experience in both, I feel I'll have a lot to contribute. By being on the AB I know the financial situation with clubs and societies. I think I have enough financial experience and imagination to support new projects that these clubs or societies may have.

### MIKE COCHRANE

I'm running for Comptroller because I have an interest in Student Affairs. After two terms of office as student senator and service on various senate committees I feel I have more than ample experience

in representing students and doing the best possible job.


a) I would like to see closer ties set up between the university placement office and the downtown housing authorities in an attempt to bring more living space into focus for the students and also better supervision of landlords and living sites under the Housing act regulations.

b) Although the position of Comptroller doesn't swing too much right in this respect I would definitely support the establishment of a fulltime R.N. on duty at all times. This campus is a large community and needs better medical facilities, also longer clinic hours especially night clinics.

c) With my experience on the Senate the question of fees popped up many times. There is not too much which


can be done as far as tuition fees go, at least until UNB becomes a much larger more self sustaining university. Once this happens the fees I suspect by regular process will go down.

d) All the support possible from the office of Comptroller.



MIKE COCHRANE

### One of these men will be Rep-at-Large



KEN DE FREITAS



BOB LANK



GORD COUSINS



# Three people to Senate

By GEORGE MCALLISTER

Each of the five candidates running for election to the Senate is determined to represent the student body to the best of his or her ability. Each has presented his or her views, opinions and possible actions on many issues that are likely to be decided while they hold office. On February 16, the student body will decide which of the candidates will become Senators. Here are some of the issues and the candidates' comments—NOW DECIDE!

Warren Hansen (CE) 4

Experience

Member of the Civil Engineering Student-faculty liaison committee, 1 year.

Member of the Co-op residence house committee, 1 year.

Why do you want to be a Senator?

"I am interested in university affairs and would like to get a first hand look at how the decisions are made and have some influence (from the student's point of view) in the final decisions. I also feel that the Senate would provide experience for future work. I am confident that I can take an active part in the Senate and express the feelings of the students well."

Issues and Comments

When asked about issues in the election Mr. Hansen stated that "there is an immediate need to expand student housing." He noted that this is necessary in view of the generally poor housing facilities that students are now forced to live in due to a paucity of alternate housing space.

When questioned about a number of other student services, Mr. Hansen indicated that as a Senator any issues that affected the welfare of the student body such as health services or Day Care Centers would receive his attention.

On academic matters Mr. Hansen had more definite views. He cited the need for "greater course variety within and among departments," and for the establishment of student-



WARREN HANSEN

faculty liaison committees. He noted that the introduction of the trimester system at UNB might be a good development, but only if all students could take advantage of it equally.

On the subject of athletic facilities he noted that stands are needed at the football field and that he would like to see the outdoor rink continued next year.

Ken De Freitas (F) 4

experience

Photo editor of the Brunswickan, 2 years.

Co-editor of the yearbook.

Photographer for the yearbook. Board of Directors of the Residence Co-op.

Past member of the Co-op House Council.

Chairman of the Co-op Downtown Expansion Committee.

Photo-Editor of the Forestry (Leader) Yearbook, 3 years.

Why do you want to be a Senator?

In response to this question Mr. De Freitas noted that at 1:30 p.m. on the day nominations for the Senate closed, only two candidates had been nominated for the three seats. He said that in view of the general apathy displayed by students, he felt that he should declare himself a candidate, so that the seat would not remain vacant. He noted that he had been approached to run for the office by members of the SRC and by a number of the Brunswickan staff. He also noted that he is a candidate in the SRC election and that if he was elected he could provide a good liaison between the SRC and the Senate.

issues and comments

When Mr. De Freitas was asked about the issues in the election he drew attention to housing problems. He said that off campus housing for students was poor in general with houses being too far from the campus and rents too high. To ameliorate the problem Mr. De Freitas suggested a type of communal housing development in which twelve to sixteen people would live. He also commented that there was a demand for more single rooms in residence.

With respect to academic matters, Mr. De Freitas said he would like to see the introduction of a fine arts department and take a close look at the introduction of other departments. He also said that he would favour the introduction of the trimester system if loans could be increased so that all students could take advantage of it, televised lectures if it could be afforded, and that he would study the possibility of student representation on the faculty councils.

Questioned on student services, Mr. De Freitas said he would like to see medical services improved on the campus and that he would give his full attention to the establishment of a Day Care Center at the University, noting that he would like to see it develop into a community affair.

On athletic matters, Mr. De



KEN DE FREITAS

Freitas said he would like to see more skating facilities and the development of tennis courts.

Brian Forbes (Bus) 2

experience

President of the student council at Moncton High School.

Editor of the Moncton High School Paper.

Why do you want to be a Senator?

"I feel the Senate is important; I think that the people on the Senate are important and that I can effectively help."

issues and comments

Asked about his platform Mr. Forbes said that they were a sensation more than anything and that they don't get people elected. He noted, however, that he would do the job to the best of his ability.

With respect to academic matters, Mr. Forbes said he would like to introduce athletic scholarships, see a wider range of courses introduced, work toward student representation on the faculty councils and pursue the matter of televised classes to the community. He said, however, that he does not favour the introduction of the trimester system at UNB since the pressure of studies would be too great for the students and because of the heavy financial burden which he feels most students could not meet.



BRIAN FORBES

On the subject of student services, Mr. Forbes noted that the Day Care Centre serves a useful purpose and that the Senate should do what it can to see that full use is made of it.

With respect to athletic matters, Mr. Forbes told the Brunswickan that there was a

need to expand the athletic complex at UNB and that he would give his attention to the matter, although, he noted, that the money may not be available.

As a final comment on the Senate and the students he said "Communication is the key, students have got to make an effort to communicate with their Senator. If they do not make the effort there is no way we can help them."

Beth Blakey (F) 4

experience

Has worked with the Forestry Association.

Proctor of Tibbits Hall

Secretary of the Debating Society, '70-71.

Why do you want to be a Senator?

"I am running because I feel I can take on the responsibility of representing the student body conscientiously and efficiently enough so that I can maintain the achievements that previous Senators have attained, and at the same time inject enough of my personality to make additional contributions."

issues and comments

Miss Blakey spoke out on a number of academic matters during the interview. She told the Brunswickan that she would



BETH BLAKEY

favour the introduction of sports scholarships, take a hard look at introducing more co-operative education into the curriculum, study the possibility of introducing new courses and departments at UNB and attempt to have students represented on the faculty councils, noting that it has worked very well in the Forestry faculty. In addition, she would like to see more classes televised to the community since "academic institutions are not only for the benefit of the students, but for the benefit of the community. Miss Blakey does not feel that the introduction of the trimester system at UNB would be feasible but she indicated that she would be willing to study the matter.

With respect to housing, Miss Blakey told the Brunswickan that off campus housing is not good, rents are too high and that there is little co-operation from the landlord. She is not impressed by the Co-op in Fredericton, although she does like the Co-op idea of housing.

Questioned about student services on the campus, Miss Blakey said she would like to see a full-time doctor run his

office from the campus and that she is in favour of working out an arrangement between UNB and the community with respect to the Day Care Centre.

Miss Blakey believes that athletic facilities on the campus are adequate.

Dave Anderson (S) 4

experience

Staff member of the Brunswickan, four years.

Currently sports editor of the Brunswickan.

Why do you want to be a Senator?

"The position needed to be filled, and if the Senate is to be filled it needs interest and participation."

Issues and Comments

Like Miss Blakey, Mr. Anderson was quite outspoken with regard to academic matters.

Mr. Anderson said he favored more money being made available to students through scholarships, the introduction of sports scholarships (to Canadian students that need the money), the introduction of new departments, especially fine arts, the introduction of the trimester system "since it would give more freedom to the student to complete his education on his own time", student representation on the faculty councils and where feasible more stress on co-operative education. He also noted that he would look the matter of televised classes.



DAVE ANDERSON

With respect to student services, Mr. Anderson said he would like to see a doctor on the campus part of the day so that students would not have to go downtown. Mr. Anderson believes increased financial support should be given to the Day Care Center and that the community should be brought into it if they provided some financial support.

With respect to athletic matters he would like to see the stands at College Field increased in capacity.

Mr. Anderson feels that housing is still a problem at the university and that he would like to give his full attention to the matter.

As a closing remark, Mr. Anderson stated that he feels the Brunswickan should publish a summary of the Senate minutes to make students more aware of events in the Senate that concern them.