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# CLOSE OF THE OTTAWA SESSION 

## SPEECH

OF
HON. W. S. FIELDING. M.P.

- MENETER OFFFINAN('E


# RELIEN OF THE FTNINCLAL SITLATION 

> LIBERAL ENPENDITURES-REDUCOEI RATE OF TAXITION-SMACL NCREASEOF DEBT

IN THE HOL'SE OF COMMONS, AT WTRIWA, ON FRLDAY, AF(B, H, 1890


 Ing). We are at the close of a very long ind laborlous, and I ann sure we will all now say. somewhat tlresomo sesslon, and at thls mo. ment, even if the thme were at our disposal. I do bot thluk the flonse or the cunntry wonla tre alsposed to encourng ans vers bomg speeches. The House has had its falic share of long speeches this sesslou, nom those hon, mambras who have done nis the homour to ramain hero until the end, nad the long sufforlng jomblle outside, will, no donbe, feel rellesed lo be given a rest from parilamentary speoof-niak/ng.

The hon. metnher for Fork (Mr. Fostar) landertook to revlew, from the $)^{\text {D pposlllon }}$ standpoint, the work of the session. Dolus so he covered very conslderable groumil. some of It, perhats, somewhat hastlly and Hecossnrily so, but he opened up a whle range of questlons, each one of whleh, if thme permited, would luvite reply. I desire
now, In the short tithe at my disposal, to duvote myself chletly to presenting to the House sunta ficts and fignres bearlng on the If nanchal poslsion of the eountry, wbleh, I atli sure, will tend to assist those who wish to make an Intelligent stady of the sul-tect. 1 shatil be ohllged, therofore, to refer , il hirreledly to some other guestions to whleh the hon, gentleman alluded, and yet I would not pass these fuestlons altogether.

## LIHERAL POLICY CARRIED OUT.

The bon. geutlaman quoted the utterancen of a numblice of members of the IItheral barty, and then proceeded to elalum that tho polley of the Government had not been In nll rospects in accord with theso uiterances.
 parllimentrim government knows that the gentlemmal Opposition bave always a
wlder
slde. The responslbillties of Government de not rest upon hon. gentlemen to the left of the Spenker. They will always, no matter which party may be in power, clalm a whider range of discusslon and opinton than is likely to be ciaitned by those charged with the responsfbilites of Government ; aud it would not be surprising, no matter whleh party was in power, that hon. genilemen in Oppositlon sliould be found expressing opinlons that represent their own polltical ldeas, but not necessarly the vlews of the party at large. Then, it is the very essence of the Ilberal party that there shail be liberty among its members to differ on many points, provided they be united on the main questlons. Look at what we find in this House to-day. We find hon. gentlemen opposite on grent questions differing widely. We find the hon, lender of the opposition announcting at one moment that a certaln polley brought down in relation to the opening of the Yukon was a good pollcy ; and so nexious to endorse that polley that, not content to walt for its parllamentary, nonouncement, or for a newspaper reporter to come and intervew him. he actually sent for a reporter in Montreal, to whom he unbosomed himself, and dechared that, in hils judgment. the contract for bullaing a rallway to the Yukon was an excellent measure, and the contractors who had undertaken the work were, perhaps, the one set of men in the Whole Dominion to put through a project of that character. But a yltle while yafterwards. We tind him in this Honse, under the whip lash of the hon. memher for Ens: York (Mr. McLemn), entirely chans'ng hiss poliey and declarlug that the whole thing was most rulnous for the counary.
We foumd on vital inestions this sesslon the hon. member for West York (Mr. Wallace) advanelng one vew and the hon. wembers sitting aroum him allvanolng an ther. We found the hon. member for York (Mr. Foster) laying it down as a grave principle that in dealing with the great harlour of Montreal, it wonld be an unsomud policy for this Government to do nuything dreetly, but everything should be done through the Hariour Commissioners, A few minutes inter the hon. member for Renularmols (Mr. Bereloureatye in and deelared, as warmly and eloppently as he could, that it was entirely bouround to do anythligg through the HInrshould be dono dre, and that everything If, by and by, in the dim and distant future, these two gentlemen shonld come into power and have to deal with the commission and harbour of Montreal, how would they square themselves one with the other? Dach one mlght be quoted as lanving announced the polley of the party. So it was with a numher of other wiss
 belleve. Po

Mr. WALLACE: We must give him the medal.
The minister of finance. Yes, he deserves a medal for assiduous attendance He nlso repeatedly expressed vlews differing from those of his associates. I refer to these things to show that in Opposition there are always somewhat wlder ditierences of opinton than exist in the ranks of the Government. It would not be strange If, durlng all the years the Llberal party sat in Opposition, there bad been some diffrances of opinion among its members with regard to public questions. No one will say that it is a fair criticlsm to quote the utterance of some partlcular member of the party, and then say that because the polley of the Government has not exactly complied with the lines of that quotation, therefore, the party has been false to its
pledges.
The policy of the Liberal party, SIr, was declared in a formal way. In the convention whleh was held in Ottawa in 1893 . certain resolutions were passed. These Were the pollcy of the Llberal party and by these the pariy is to be tried. And I venture to say that, in the whole history of parllamentary goverument in Canala, there never was a political party whleh carrled out so fully in so short a time the pledges with which they went to the country. By one of these resolutions we pledged ourselves to reform the tarim. The tarif lans been reformed; it has been reformed so that hon. gentlemen opposite, though they talk nbout $1 t$, though they denounce it, have never dared to come before this House and plice on cone before of the House ar resolution condemning it. it has been reformed to the satisfaction of the Liberils of the country, whille fairminded Conservaluyes from ocean to ocenn have acknowledged that the change which has taken phace has proved to be adapted to the industries of the country, and that under that chauge Canada has prospered ns never before. The Libernl party promised to introduce a ledisirlbution Bill. They have tulified that promise ; and if that Bill is not on the statute-books of the country today it is not the fault of the Liberal party. You may take the whole range of matters discussed at the Liberal convention at ottawa, and you whin tind that in almost every instance the jledge given by the Liberal party hus alrendy been futflled by them in letter and in spirit.

## yUKON ADMINISTRATION.

The hon. gentleman to-lny referred ngain, for the thousandth time, to the Yukon matter. Sir, there is no part of the administration of this Government on Whleh we are prouder to nippeal to then couny than our administration of the yukou
: glve him the
NCE. Yes, he ns attendance views differttes. I refer in Opposition wider differin the ranks ould not be $s$ the LIberal ad been some Its members ns. No one Ism to quote or member of because the not exacily at quotation, false to Its
cty, Sir, was the convenwa in 1893, ser. These larty and by And I venhistory of anada, there hich carrled the nledges tountry. $\quad \mathbf{B y}$ ledged oure tariff has reformed ite, though $y$ denounce tue before te Journals lemning it. isfaction of whlle falrn to ocean inge which be nilapt$y$, and that ospered as romised to They hare ast Bill is sountry toeral party. of matters lon at Otnost every te Liberal $y$ them in
district. If hon. gentlemen will but thinkas I am sure falr-minded people outside will think-of the difficuitles that bad to be encountered in the administration of the govermment of that country, I am sure there will be a general bellef that the Minister of the interlor (Mr. Sliton), who is particularly charged with the responsibilities of government in that section of the Dominlon, de. serves the highest credit for the manner in Which he has carrled on public affairs there. It Is easy for us here, thousands of malles away, to talk of things that went wrong out there in the Yukon. It would have been a marvel Indeed, If. with all the disadvantages, with all the difficulties of distance, the absence of rallways, telegraphs or other means of communleation, you had had a
perfect human government in Dawson City perfect human governinent in Dawson City. You do not get a perfeet human government
even in Ottawa, with all the advantages yon have, with an organized civli service anil the thonsand and one facliltles for carrying on a Government. When you consider the difliculties, the only marvel to my mind is that so few things occurred in the Yuison
district of which the Government or the district of which the Gorernment or the
people have $a$ right to complain. However. hon. gentlemen opposite harp on what they call the refusal to investigate, Sir, the thlny that greatly troubles these hon. gentlemen is, not that we refused to investigate, but that we did investigate and showed tiant there was no fonudatlon for the statements made. There was an investigation, an tirrestigation by a man eapabie in the highext degree of carrying on an Investigation in such a country as that. What was the class of people with whom he had to deal? $A$ mining population, largely a rongh anil rugged people accustomed to the ways of the mindug camp. They want to freel that the man they are dealing with is a man of mitependence and sturdy integrity. I venture to say that the average miner in the Yukon
distrlct wouli far sooner have an Investigit tion of any matter in whe an investigittion of any matter in whleh he is con-
cerned by such an honest, independent, fearless man as Willam Oglive, whom they had known for years and whom they hai honoured aul esteemed, than by the mbinest
judge of the Snpreme Court that Canada judge of the Supreme Court that Canada conld send out there. What were the char--
ges? Charges ngainst minor offelals, such as inight ocenr in any conntry. When these matters were brought to the notlee of the Mindster of the lnterior, they were of vestigated, and the trifing irreguarities are not to be consldered for a moment in julging of the alministration of the conntry. The investigation proved that the Minister of the Interfor has every renson to congratulate hiniself upon the results of his administration of the Yukon district. There was one phase of the subject that was not investigated. And it was not investigated
 good eare not to permit us to bave an in-
vestigation. They endeavoured by every Ins nuation, by reference to rnmours and storles and tell-tale talk, by reference to what somebody safd on an ocean stenmer. and what somebody else had whispered on the streets of Vancourer, and what somebody else was reported to have sald else-where-by such means they endeavoured to convey the hmpression that the Minister of the Interior was in some way affected in his position as a Minicter of the Crown and as a man by the transactions of the Yukon district. But when that suggestion was made, the Mintster of the luterlor and his collengues on his behaif met it with the de. mand: If there is one man in this Jarlliment of Canada who knows of or belleves that he can establish any facts agalinst the reputation of a Minister of the Crown, let him stand up in hls place and say that he can establish those things before a committee of the House, and the committue shall be granted, an investigation shall take place and fustlce shali be done to the fuitest degree. But not a member opposlte was prepared to make a charge. They continued to suggest, to Insinuate, to whisper, to retall What hal been said here, there. or elsewhere; but when the demand was made, as the hon. Minister had a right to make it. on the tloor of Parlament, that an investigation should take place hefore his neers, before a committee of this Honse, before that tribmal which the princlples of Parliament have established as the proper tribunal for the trim of a Minlster of the Crown, hly demand was met by slience on the part of hon. gentlemen opposite. And so we are able to sum up this Yukon matter, that the charges made affected no member of the rovernment, and when they vere subjected to an investigation by an honest. Indepindent, fearless fuquirer, it was fully established that in no material matter was there nnything wrong in the adminlstration of the Yukon district. Small Irregularitles occurred -I do not pretend to deny it-but it womle be a marrel if such had not been the case. The only wonder is that there were not more. If we wish to be fulr, we must acknowledge that the Minaster of the Interior met his aecusers in a fillr, honourable and manly way, and that hon. gentlemen opposlte, though they were ready to Insiniate und to suggest, falled completely to estabilsh one lota that would reflect upon the honour of the hon. Minister or lessen his reputation or usefulness as a Minister of the Crown.

## URGENT EXPENDITURES.

The hon. gentleman (Mr. Foster) had much to say about money having heen spent without due parliamentary nuthority. I thought we had threshed that ont pretty well. But for the hundredth tlme. the hen. gentieman told us that in connection with the Initial proceedings of the Yukon tele.
graph, money voted by Parliament for otber purposes was improperly used. i shall make but a brlef reference to this, because it is an old story. The Government, recognlzing that there was urgent eeed that Immedlate steps should he taken to construct a telegraph line to the Yukon district, authorized the Minister to appoint an offlcer and a party of men to go there and take the intuna proceedings ; and for that purpose they funds, it sum of out of the public suppose there was $\$ 10.000$ or $\$ 12.000$. Now, datlon In parllamentary absolitely no founsumpose It could be shy authority for that, not it shadow of foundation that there was for which this Parlation, is it an offence would be disposed to condemn the people ter? No man questo condemn any Mlulsever there was al guestion of urgency. If that we should proceed to open up telegraphic commmicatlon whet that country. I do not think it wonld be necessary to refer to the actlon of the give such a vindication of in that respect Minster of Public Works country at large. Buald be accepted by the polnt ont to the Bon. as I had occaslon to (Mr. Foster) the member for York, N.B., charrecemes very straner night, such a when we have apon the recolds inded from him the fact that at the clocords of this House 1SOG, no sooner the close of the sexslon of than the hon. gente Parlament prorogned whthent any Act of Parlon, Withont any law. to Comell and getting an Orier thont going as the Minister of rubild Order to Comell, into a bargain wherely Works dild, entered committed to pay $\$ 2 \overline{0}, 000$, twice as intuch as the Minister of Public Works ndvanced to Mr. Charleson, to pay $\$ 25,000$ to an American company for harbour work in the harbland of Port lower. I say there was not a hon. gentleman took for the position the orer the whole range of our want to look afmirs and select a pubt our parliamentary committing of the Gover expenditure, or a expendilure, without a restire of autholle that one ltem is the one which would be selected above all others. Yet we have not condemped the hon, gentleman for it ; we urgent matter. Al! we have that it was an that, in the light of that done is to say would be strange indeed, if the traction, it man should come here and ask us gentledemn the Mindster here and ask us to conhe made an arrangementle Works because steamship company, not, wot with a forelgn to do work in a hot with some persons have been delayed for a few mont possibly out serlous consequences, but because withvanced to a public ofllicial some $\$ 10$ he ad$\$ 12,000$, In order to start at once the construction of a telegraph line which will cive us communication with that distant section
of Canada.

## TENDER AND CONTRACT.

Then, the bon, gentleman had very much work about giving contracts, and dolng work by day labour. Now, as I have repeatedly stated in this House, I am disposed the think that, in dealing with public moneys, the safer pollcy will be, as a rule, and subject to the necessary exceptlons, to do publle Work by public tender and contract. I belleve that is so to a very large extent indeed. But let us not run away with the Idea that tender and contract is a perfect system. Tender and contract not infrequently pats works luto the hands of freesponsible and Incapable people, people who at the thme they undertake the work, persuade von that they are capable and responsible. But it often happens that the result of vour giving that contract is that von glve the work to people who are not able to do It satisfactorily, and the result is very serious delay and injury to the public serrice. There may be exceptional circumstances which will justify a departure from the tenter and contract system, aud my opinlon is, that in many cases the publle Interest might be udvanced by dolng the work by day labour, if you are quite sure of gettligy Thoroughly independent, honest and capable inen to put in charge of the work. I do not siy that we are laying it down as a priaclple, that we should abandon tender and contract : on the contrary, 1 mavocate the salerse polley ; I think, as a rule, as I hare sald before, it is a sound princlple, it is a safor priuclple, notwithstamding some disat? vantages. But there is another point to Which I woridd like to call the attention of the House, concerning what has woen sald with regard to the dolng of work by the Malster of Public Works withbeen tender and contract. No instance has been glveu. so far as I can remember, where as a result of that, the people of Canada pald one cent more than a falr and honest price for anything that was done. Whether or not, as a theory, it was better to have done the work by contract and tender, is falrly open to discussion, and my own judgment leaus towards the tender and contract system. But let it not he supposed for a moment that in these departures from that syshas hy the Minister of Publle Works there publle any evidence whatever that the public interest has suffered.

## West IIURON AND MANITOBA.

The hon. gentleman went Into the West Huron election case, and undertook to show, although that committee has oniy maid, partial report of that investigation, and that Investigation is not completed, although but Ilttle has leen established there which proves anything-notwlthstanding that, the hon. gentleman treated it as a fixed and enttled fact that there had been gross wrongs
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In the West Huron district by the officinis beeause It is only with the officials that we have to deal. It is rather a curlous fact that the hon. gentlemen Interested In West Huron did not avall themselves of the privileges that were open to them in the courts. It has been establ!sied before this committee that all the racts whlch they hare now brought to che notice of this committee were In their possession before the time had expired foi presenting a petition. It is a very strange thing, and not without its slgnlfieance that the hon. gentlemen who are now so much interested in West Iuron, dil not ava'l themselves of the machinery of the courts. However that may be, they have sefn flt to neglect the courts and to bring tle maiter before this House. I say that if t'ae right hon, the Prime Minister had refused that investigatlon, when it was demanded, he ennll have done so with very much justice on his side. He could lave polnted to the fact that they had the courts open to them, and they hind negleeted them. But so anxlous was the right hon. the Irime Minister to remove the shadow of doubt from any action on the part of the Government, or on the part of the officials in conneetion with West Iuron, that he immediately assented to the proposition, and so we have had the Inrestlgation.

Curlousiy enough, however, Mr. Speaiker, while these gentlemen are deelaring that great Wrongs had been done In West Inron, and that these wrongs siould be punished. they hare devoted a lare part of two sesalons to the work of corering un the wrongdoing that occirred in the province of Manitoha in connection with the hallot-box stupfing in that district. For a long tlme last sesslon, and for a considerable time thls sesslom, the hon. gentlemen opposite have been trying their best to cover up the wrongs that took place there, and to condemn the Gorernment because the Government applled publle moneys to the punishment of the wrong-doers. That matter has been fully inrestigated by the committee, and here is the report whleh the committee has presented to the Iouse on the Manltoba case. I will only quote a slagle passage, and it is wortli placing on record :
That the evidence laid before the committee concluslvely proves that there was an organized system of tampering with the ballats in said election, in said district, and that in the opinion of your committee the circumstances referred to amply justffled the expenditure of the sums dis. bursed by the Government, and the Government was acting in the pubilc interest in the course which ft has pursued in regard thereto.
Mr. FOSTER. Will the hon. gentleman read the report of the minority committee ?
The minister of finance. I am reading a single sentence from one report. 1 am willing at once to assume-I do not want to detnin the House by reading it-but I nm willing at once to say that the minority
of the commiltee dissented from that finding. But stlll the fact remains-for I was present, as a member of the Public Accounts Committee, and heard most of the lnvestlga-tlon-1 say that the evidence produced hefore that committee was most conclusive as to the rasalities that took place in the distrlet of Macdonald. I say that, with that knowledge before them, with all these faets before them, produced by themselves. the lon. gentlumen opposite have speut a very conslderable part of the last two years In trying to condemn the Gorernment for applyine a portion of publie money for the f xposure and punisliment of the persons coneerned in that rascallty.

## THE VACATING OF CERTALN SEATS.

The hon. gentleman had much to say today regarding the aellon of certaln ifinlsters in connection with elections to ihis House at the beginning of the present I'arlimment. My hon. frlend the Minlster of Ilailways and Canals, he said. had artually endeavoured to obtain a racancy through the retirement of an hon. memher who was eleeted on the other slde. The hon. member also stated that when I. In assuming the position to which I had the honour of belug chosen, us a Minister of the Crown, reftifed to obtaln a seat in thls Mouse I did so by tratlieiking in public ottlees. and lad glven an hon. member of tils House the promise of a judgeship in order to obtain my seat. Sir, there is not the shadow of foumatation for the statement. If we are both spared to eome back here agaln, I challenge the hon. member to bring the matter ui before any committer of this Iouse, and lf he ean show that I ever gave any derson a promise of a judgeship to obtaln seat in thls IIouse. I whil not hold that ad for a slagle day. But suppose that was crrect.

Mr. FOSTER. There was a wleked partner.

Thי MISISTER OF FINANCE, No, there was no wleked partner. The hon, gentleman has had more to do with wieked partners than I have, But suppose it were true, suppose it were as true as it Is untrue, in what position would the hon. gentlemau he, or anybody opposite be, to assall an hon, member of this House on that ground? Does he forget that he sat in the Ministry with a Minister, who subsequently beeame Prime Mnister, who obtained a seat In this Mouse by Indtrelng a member to take a place on the bench in order that he might obtadn ils seat ? Does he forget that an hon, member of a different political party from his own was appronclied and induced to resign his seat for the gentleman who was then appointed to be Minister of Iustlee?
Mr, BERGERON. That is very hard upon the hon, member for Antigonish.

The MINISTEIR OF FINANCE．The hon． member for Antigonish resigned bis seat． merfake no complaint agalnst him；be had a perfect right to aceept a judgeship if hon ing with the questlon of th．I am not deal－ for with the questlon of the hon．member right to accept in honourable positlonect the bench when hon gentlemen position on offered it to him hon．gentlemen opposite opposite，de he is now slneere won．genteman posttion to approach that hon．member to ask him to vacate his seat and take a posi－ thon on the bench．And，so，with that fact In the mind of the bon．gentleman，I ven－ ture to think that it mlght have been wlsor if he had not referred to any tratleking In ment．

## Financlal questions．

Bint I prefer to derote the lltte time we have to the finaneial question，and neces－ sarlly one inust hurry over it very raplaly． the pare noticed that there is a disposition on the part of hon．members in thls House， theirs，and it is that to repeat an old trick of the expenditures of the gearping together an of the year，capltal，incomar，all the estimates dles and everything else，and placlng them in comparlson whih the and placlng them consolldated fund account of the late Gov－ ernment in the last year that the w were in office．The hon．member for York，N．B．Mr． a critle to do that here because he knows that It would be instantly selzed he knows It has been done in the Ifouse by other hon． gentlemen，and it has been done by the press ontslde．It is well to remember that for which somethlag less than $\$ 37,000,000$ ． carried on the affalis the late Goverument was not the total sum of the country in 1896 ， bot only the sum of thelr expendlture on consolidated fund account they are con stantly guoting thelr expenditure for con－ year at $\$ 36,000,000$ or $\$ 37,000,000$－It was nearly $\$ 37,000,000$ ，bit they generally spenk of It as $\$ 36,000,000$－and placing it in com－ parison with the total expenditures of this Government，while the fact is，that，Instead of expending $\$ 36,000,000$ as the $\bar{y}$ clalm they had in that year an expenditure of $\$ 13,-$
960,198 ．In one sear onical gentlemen，sear these very ceon－ travagance，and who now talk of ex－ these ftems together and place roll up all compartson whith thelr and place the total in 000,000 or less ln 1890 ，sulimitted of $\$ 37$, － House $\ln 188 t$ votes of various chata this cantal，Income and supplementary，argre－ gathg $\$ 53,500,000$ ．Yet，hon．gentlemengre－ posite and thelf frlends ontslde will con－ stantly refer to the expenditure of the coun－ try as belng $\$ 36,000,000 \mathrm{In} 1800$ ．and they
will place that against the total votes and approprlations of thls Governmrent，Includ－ ing all the various charges．Hon．gentlemen have a grent deal to say about increases in the expendlture，but they have given the best evidence of not belleving in what they say，because，whlle they have constantly talked about thls expenditure，with the exceptlon of one or two paltry ltems to Whleh I will refer later on，they never mus－ tered up courage enough to challenge it by a rote of the House．These hon，gentlemen have responsibilities；if we are expending extraragant sums of money，if we are lavish In the money roted for rallway subsidies， Wharfs and publle bulldings，why do not these hon．gentlemen take the responsl－ bility which attaches to their position？ Their responsibility is to select the Items Which they say are not warranted，to call the attention of the House to then and to challenge them with helr votes．They do not deuy that responsibility，they have neepted it，and now，when we are at the close of the session，when they say dered，out of syo is being lavishly squan－ Table of the $\$ 50,000,000$ odd lald on the to challenge with their they have rentured ventured to challenge a vote of $\$ 32,000$ ．They puble bullding in one instance；they chal－ lenged a vote of $\$ 5,000$ for a wharf in an－ other instance；they chalienged a vote of $\$ 1,000$ for another wharf；they challenged a vote of $\$ 3.000$ in connection with Immigra－ tlon，and they challenged a vote of $\$ 15,000$ in connection with the payment to Mall \＆ Myrick，a clalm whleh ls due，not by virtue of any principle that we ask the House to adopt，but by virtne of a prineiple that hon． gentlemen opposite adopted and established long ago．Withont discussing the merlts of that matter，I want to call the attentlon of the House and of the pubile to this，that Whlle these hon．gentlemen make speeches， an expendture arraign this Government for they expenditure of $\$ 50,000,000$ or more，when they were brought face to face with the In a position to expenditure，they were not embodiling to proclaltm thelr opposition by journals of the House and placing it on the when I say that a reference to I am correct of the House whll show that they only chal． lenged the soundness and proprlety only chal－ amountine to $\$ 32,000$ out of a total expends． ture of $\$ 53.000,000$ ．These hon．gentlenden are not llkely to be accepted by the publle
as economists．

OPPOSITION PROPOSED NOT ECONOMY but extravagance．
While they have challenged the sound－ ness of no votes except these palt：y sums－paltry in proportion to the total ag－ gregate－amountling to $\$ 32,000$ ，again and agaln they themselves have maide lro－
total votes and mimrent, IncludHon. gentlemeu out increnses in ave given the $g$ in what they ave constantly ure. with the miltry ltems to bey never muschallenge it by hon. gentlemen are expendlog o we are lavish Way subsldies, - why do not the responslbelr position? ect the ltems anted, to call them and to tes. They do
they have 1 we are at en they say rishly squanlald on the ave ventured $\$ 32,000$. They \$ $\$ 8.000$ for a ; they chalwhare in and a vote of challenged a Ith lumprate of $\$ 15,000$ it to fiall \& tot by virtue be House to de that hon. estalbllshed the merits be attention to thls, that re speeches. ernment for more, when e with the \% were not position by ig it on the am correet e jourrmals only chalty of Items al expend!gentlemen the publle

SONOMY
soundpalt:y total ag. gain and tade j.ro-
posals involving the country in additional millions of expenditure. When the bon. Minister of Agrlculture (Mr. Fisher) brought down llberal and geverous estlmates, he was assalled by the hon. leader of the Opposition (SIr Charles Tupper) who sald that instead of $\$ 20,000$ in one ltem, he ought to vote $\$ 100,000$. The hon. member for West York (Mr. Wallace) sald a day or two ago that the hon. Minister of Trade and Commerce (Slr Rlehard Cartwright) ought to have brought down much larger appropriations to extend the trade of the country.

## Mr. FOSTER. Hear, hear.

Mr. WALLACE. What I said was, Mr. Spenker, that instead of $\$ 175,000$ for the Paris Exposition that money could have been more prudently and properly used, or a portion of it, by the hon. Minister of Trade and Commerce In promoting the Interests of trade.

The MiNISTER OF FINANCE. Well, I will accept the hon. gentleman's statement. The hon. gentleman attacked the vote for the Paris Exposition. but he had not the courage to challenge it by a division of the House.
Mr. WALLACE. Is that the only way to
challeuge a vote?
The MNISTER OF FINANCE. 'That is the way my hon. frlend challenged a vote of $\$ 3,000 \ln$ connectlon with immigration expenses.

Mr. WALLACE. Cannot $I$ challeuge a rote by my rolce, and did $\mathbf{I}$ not do so ?

The minister of finance no, Mr. Speaker, the hon. gentleman cimnot challenge a vote by his volce and then shirk the responslbllity of placing a motion on record because I tell hlm if he had made that motion the hon. gentlewen around him would not have supported it, and he wonld have been alone in this House in voting for It.

Mr. WALLACE. The hon, gentleman is not a good prophet.
The MINISTER OF FINANCE. I think the hon. gentleman will find that I am right. When the hon. gentleman attempts to assall this Goverument for placing $\$ 175,000$ In the Estimates for the Parls Expositlon, it is another Indlcation of the nagging polities of that hon, gentleman, but he is not prepared to challenge the vote and let us sec how the House stands on the question, and whether he has the support of hls party in coudeming thls Government for co-operatling in the Paris Exposition.

Mr. WALLACE. I whll have to take the opportunlty of eorrecting the hon. gentleman again. The hon. gentleman made a statement of whlch he could not positlvely know the truth.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE. My hon. frlend can aceept either horn of the difemma that he pleases. His opiosition to the Parls Exhlbitlon is elther his Ludividual opinion, and. therefore, only entitled to the respect which is due to that of one hon. member, or it is the polley of his party, beeause my hon. frieud claims, and periaps with some justice, to be one of the leaders of his party, and if that be the case we must hold it responsible for his utterances. The hon. gentleman had much to say on another polnt. He asked us : Why do you not give us a fast Atlantle line? There is much to be said In favour of a fast Atlantle line, but a fast Atlantic llne, according to the estimates of hon. gentlemen themselves, must mean an expenditure of three-quarters of a million per year. Thus agaln their complaint is not of our laek of economir, but It is that we do not expend $\$ 750,000$ more. A leading member in the opposition ranks rose, when we proposed to vote $\$ 25$,000 for a publle bullding in one of the cilles of the Dominion, not to condemn It, but to complain that we had not brought down a vote of $\$ 100,000$ or $\$ 200,000$. These, and I have only given a few instances, are some of the many evldences of eronomy that we have recei ved from hon. gentlemen opposite. Nor is that all. Do we forget that after opposing the Goverument's proposil of last session for bullding a rallway to the Yukon district, although that proposal would not have cost the country a penny, the leader of the Opposition gravely rose in thls House thls session, and proposed that we should spend millions of dollars of the publle money to build that rery railway, together with 200 miles more down to Eitimat Arm? The bon. zentlemen when brought face to faee with the expenditures of this Government, could only find in the whole ranze of the $\$ 50,000,000$ odd. $\$ 32,000$ which they were prepared to challenge; beeause my hon. friend Prom West York (Mr. Wallace) did thot take a vote on the approprlation lor the Paris Exbibition. Yet hon. gentlemen were wllifing to throw across the House suggestlons which, it we had adopted them, would have led the country into an expenditure of many millions more than we proposed.
Mr. FOSTER. Dld we not offer many suggestlons for economles in other votes?
The MINISTER OF FINANCE. I decline to have any regard for the hon. gentleman's suggestions for economy when he is afratd to follow them with a divislon in the House. What the hon. gentleman wants to do is to pose in thls House and before the country
generally as an economist, and then go to various parts of the country interested in these expenditures, and say : " Support me. dear friends, because I dld not oppose these votes for your part of the country; I only oceupled time in the House to talik against them; I did not vote against them, as I admint these to be great improvements for the

## RAILWAY SUbSIDIES.

The hon. gentleman sald this was the banner year in the matter of rallway lonuses. It was hot. lecause as 1 have shown, in 1884 the hon. gentleman proposted railway bonuses to the amount of $\$ 9,176$, 000 ; and having proposed these, he comes Into the llouse and declares that we are extraragant. The hon. geutleman says that many of these rallways are unnecessary. Which of them? He must have known, and if so his duty as a servant of the publie was to have come before thils House with n motion to strlke out any railway bonus which he regarded as unnecessary. But the hon. gentleman did not do it. He knows that he would not dare to do It. He knows that rallways are not unnecessary, but great factors in the development of the country. But he says some hon. gentlemen in the Liberal party opposed the bonusing of railways. Whether the bonusing of loeal railways was a wise policy to enter on in 1883 is a fulr matter of debate; but after that poliey has heen pursued for many years, and many millions have been voted for railways in many seetions of the country, are We to be told that It is now to stop? Is there any inconsistency in saylng that as this has been the fixed policy of the country, and as yon have scattered your millions in bullding rallways in many seetlons of the country, it is only falr that other sectlons should recelre their share of these appropriations ?

## WHARFS AND OTHER PUBLIC WORKS.

The bon. gentleman had much to sily with regard to the Lew policy of voting money for the bullding of wharfs. This is not a new polles. This Government is but earrying out the policy which former Governments had followed for years. The hon. gentleman has charged us with adoptlige the new polley of bullding wharfs on lake $S t$. John. Why, Sir, the hon. gentleman's own Government built wharfs on Lake St. John and in many other parts of the country years before this Governmeut came into power. The hon. gentleman is not above trying to raise a little seetional peeling oy saying that this policy of bullding wharfs ought to be condemned because it happens to be applied more in one part of the Dominlon than another. That was an attempt to appeal to sectionalism which was
not creditable to some hon. gentlemen oppo-
site.
Mr. FOSTER. It was tue member for Kingston (Mr. Brltton).

The Minister of FINANCE. No, it was not the member for Kingston. It was some hon. gentlemen opposite who complatued that we were not applylug that polley to the western portions of the Dominlon. Tbe Estimates show that where the necessities arise in the central and western portlons of the Dominion, they are met; but because some sections of the country by nature require more than other sectlons, is that a reason why the requirements should be denied? If some one in New Brunswlek should say to us: "You do not build canals in New Brunswlek : why should they have canals in Ontario?" That would be consldered rather absurd. It so happens that the conditions of the country are sueh that a certain class of publle works is needed in one section and another class in another section; and what the Government should do is to see that these different sections are provided for necording to their needs. and that the public money is falrly
and equitably expended.

## PUBLIC BUILDINGS.

The hon. gentleman had sometiing to say about publie buildings. He could not approve of the building of a small structure at Victoriarille, which he says bas only 2,200 Inhabltants. After the hon. gentleman has suceeeded in having a handsome bullding in every Tory town in Canada. he is golng :o maris the Laberal towns of the country by an absenec of public buildings. I do not think that is a policy that would commend itself to the people of this country. The hon. gentleman has sald that in this matter he reformed in his later years. He did not do anything of the sort. One of the last aets of the hon. gentleman, before going out of office, was to place before thls House an approprlatlon of $\$ 8,000$ for a puble bullding in Marysville, a little village in bis own county of York. Vietorlaville, he says, has only 2,200 people. Marysville had only 900 people, and the hon. gentleman brought down an approprlation for a publle bullding for that town, not in the olden tlme when they were wicked, but in the last year of hls Government. 1896, In those Estlmates which did not get through Parliament. Does the hon. gentleman deny it?

## Mr. FOSTER. Yes.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE. The hon. gentleman denles that he made an appropriatlon?

Mr. FOSTER if the hor. gentleman will allow me, I will tell hin just what I
deny.

The Minister of finance. If the hon. gentleman wishes to contradict me, 1 will give way. Does he deny the statement tiat he brought down an appropriation of $\$ 8,000$ for a public building in Marysville?
Mr. FOSTER. I ain denying the statement.

The MiNISTER OF FINANCE. Is the hon. gentleman saying that statement is hot true? I will not glve way to the hon. gentleman unless he denles my statement.
Mr. FOSTELE. The hon. gentleman dave not give way. He knows that he is misrepresenting the fact.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE. If there is any man within the sound of my voice who wants the information, here are the Estimates; and if the item is not in the Estimates willeh were brought down by the hen. gentleman, then I am wrong, and if he will call my attention to the matter next session, I will publicly apologize.
Mr. BERGERON. We will give it to sou next session.

The MINISTER OF FINANCE. I shali be here next session; I do not know whether my hon. friend will be her's or not. So I might follow my hon. frlend through ait the moods and teuses of hls speech. I am reminded that there was a town called Cayuga. with only 500 people, and that the hou. gentleman had a large sum of moner roted for a public building there. I have not the pleasure of knowing the place; and as I did not want to make references to the other provinces, I preferred to cite eases in the maritime provinces and in the hon. gentleman's own county; and hls own actions show thut the ground he now takes Is not sincerely and honestly taken.

## A GROWing time.

Wlth regard to the public expenditure, I hare shown that in this growing time there is need for large expenditures on the part of the people of Canada. The hou, gentleman tallss of the total expenditure of years ago and the total expenditure now. He takes no account of the growth that has taken place in Canada
during these years. I venture to say-it is only a matter of opinion, but to say-it is Hon W S F--2
with which I belleve most thoughtful observers will agrep-that Canada has made more progress in the last three years than it had done in any ten prevlous years. It was necessary that we should meet these growing conditions with growing expenditures; lint the volune of the expenditure is to be judged in proportion to the burden it imposes on the people. What is the pact? Though we have had an lnereased expen. diture, we have had a reductlon of taxation. We present to the country the proud record of a Government whleh has met all the obligations of a great and growing time, which has spent money liberally, hong gentlemen opposite say lavishly. on useful pubile works for the development of the country, and which is able to polnt to the fact that these large expenditures for good purposes are accompanled by the very unusual sight for the hon. gentleman of a reduction $\ln$ the rate of taxation. The hon. gentleman quibbled about the rate of reductlon. but the very figures he submitted to the fouse today recognized the fact that there was a reduction in the rate of taxation. If you take out the increases which have been made on some articles of luxury, and look at the reduction of taxation on the great list of articles which the masses of the people need and consume, you will find that there has heen an enormous reduction of taxation.
Sir, In this Honse, not long ago. I presented a statement showing, flem by item, 'hat the reductions in taxation were. comparing the old and the new taritf, and what was going to be the result at the next stage of the new tariff, and the result, now that the tariff has come to the fulness-

Mr. Davin. Is diserimination against the farmers.,

The Minister of finance. There is no discrimlnation against the farmers. We have shown that we have reduced the rate of taxation. and at the same time have met all these great charges on the country.
Hon. gentlemen opposite have tried to nlarm the country on the question of the public debt. They talk constantly alout its increase. Well, I have here a statement showlng the increases ln the public debt from 1879 down to the year 189f, covering the last term of the Conservatlve regime. the total lncrease of debt was $\$ 118.13 \overline{5}, 353$,
and the average increase-
Mr. FOSTER. Put it all In "IInnsard."
The MINISTER OF FINANCE. Very good; I shall ndopt the hoa. members sug. gestlon.

Statement of Average Increase of Net Deht of Canada.

|  | Net Whbt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incraase } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { 1) bibr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decreas. } \\ & \text { Difit. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tutal Increase } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { D.bt. } \end{gathered}$ | Average. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ? | \% | 8 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| $1 \times 80$ | 1.12, $0 \times 20.187$ | 2. $6.468,117$ |  |  |  |
| 18.188. | 12, 335, 80 | 2, $2,44,4919$ |  |  |  |
| 1883. |  |  | 1,731,129 |  |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{1888 .}$ | 1s \% , 161, 55 | 23, 0 \% $513 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $1 \times 146$ | 196itaticem | 14,245, $\mathbf{2}+1$ |  |  |  |
| 1887. | 20, 159,107 | 26,731,41 |  |  |  |
| 18080 | 231,531,364 | 4, |  |  |  |
| 1s:\% . | 23, 3130,011 | 2,996, 183 |  |  |  |
| 1 s 91 | 23, | 3,1711 |  |  |  |
| 18:3 | -11, 131, 134 | 3, 3\% |  |  |  |
| 189. | - $41,6 \times 1,1336$ | 340, 14.5 |  |  |  |
| 18:9... |  | 40 |  |  |  |
|  | 20x, 13 | 5,420,50\% |  | 118, 135, 35, | 16, 413,005 |
| 18. | \% $61,3,3 \times 8,546$ | 3,011, 16,3 |  |  |  |
| *1040, | 20, 015 | $\because+110 \times 102$ |  |  |  |
|  | -m, | 1.104, 1 (14) |  | 14,20) | 2, 194, 301 |

The net resutt was this. In the elghteen years under Conservative rule the average increase to our publife debt was \$6, 03,075 per year. In our three years the avernge herease was $\$ 2,186,321$.
Mt. BERGERON. Wait until we see you wext yenr.
The RiNis'PER OF FINANCE The hon. Hentlemm has been crybg that ont for the list three years. The hon. deader of the Opposition, in the thrst sesskon, salth that we were going to phange hato livish extraFigalace and ereate mormons deflsits. lint Instead of detlelts, we have handsome surplases. We have farried on the poblle ser. Vlee and grent publle works with a reduced taxation and the smablest perceptible addition to the publite debt. I stated several months ngo that we womld cone out of the year, closlug on June iso, 1809, whth an lacrease of delat of ouly about $\$ 1.700,0001$ as against nn average of $\$ 1,500,000 \mathrm{in}$ every veme under the neminfatration of hon geutlemen opposlte. Thongh the acconnts are not closed, ami 1 cau only bresent an approxhante statement today, I can saly that the statement I made, momoly that the Inerease of detet would only the $\$ 1, \overline{6} 0, s \%$. Which was regarded ly the Honso as embemty satisfactory. will be found well wlthin the mark. I venture to predlet that when the l'ublive decounts are lath on tho thbie next ression, we with
have the satisfactory exhbit of haviug corried ibse preat publle works wha a redaced rate of taxation and an addition to the mublie debt of, not $\$(0,6)(0,0 H 0$, but very little more than $\$ 1,0 \%$ on, ,ow

If thase would permitt I would like to call the atention of the IIouse to the question
of the per eaplal rate.
Mr": DAVIN. May I nok a question? Do 1 understand the hon. gentleman to say that he has lacreased the delot by ouly $\$ 1,004$, гк ?

The MNNETER OF FINANOE: I say that the lucrease of debt hurlug the year just closed. for whleh the necomis are not nhsolutely complete, but wheh are in a comdition that emables me to speak with approstmate necuratey, Instead of belng \$0.509,0006, as it was mater hon. rentlemen opposite,
 that, and I veluture to say that it is a state. ment whled will have a very strong etfect on the puble mind of Cathada, when the people remember the hary charges we have had to bear and the great public works we harve earrled on.
My attenthon has then caltel to the rote for Snrysville loilding lu the year 1805, st, (ONO, I sald $\$ 8,(9 \times 1)$. I have not the later Listimates, but my tumpression is that the vote was lureased to $\$ 8,000$.
Mr. BLLRGLRON. How much was spent ?


