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## CHAP'TER VII

## DETEHOMAEN OF RAHLWAY

$I_{T}$ is not easy to trace the origin of railways, but the earliest approximation to the modern railway was, donbtless, the wooden tramroad, the existence and use of which dates far anterior to the modern railway era. The earlicst system for the eonveyanee of coal from inland collicries was by the nse of pack-horses, mules or asses, wer the backs of which were slung the bags fitled with coal; and this method prevailed down to the elose of the eighteenth ecentury ${ }^{1}$. Of conrse, with the gradual improvement of the roads, some earts had come into use; and the amount of load that conld be drawn upon these roads had increased. There still remained, however, the diflieulty of bringing the coal from the pit's month down to the river or to the read; and to effect this end, wooden tramroads came in time to be laid down.

We are infomed that, as early as 1555 , there was a tram from the west end of the Bridge Gate in Barnard Castic, for the repairing of which the proprictor of the castle left the sum of 20 s. The word "tram," at that time, seems to have been used in the north of England and the south of seotland to describe the special track or road and the trmek that ran on it. The truck was drawn along this way by men or horses ${ }^{2}$. The use of the tramroad in the coal distriets, however, for facilitating the converanee of that heavy commodity, does not seem to have come into public attention mitil half a century or a century after that time; for a record in the books of one of the free companics in Neweastle, dated 1602, states that from time immemorial the eoal earts had been aceustomed to carrying eight "bands" of coal from the pits to the river3 but recently that amount had been reduced

[^0] that the facts and figures were all "compiled from oflicial and aceredited somrees, so that their aecuracy may be aceepted as unimpeachable."
${ }^{2}$ Gordon, Our Home Raikevis: Hoze they began and How they are zoorked, i, p. t. Gordon says that his work has been drawn from the origima' sourees, and has been oflicially approsed by the railway anthorities as anthentie.
${ }^{3}$ Iojoht holls of coal were equal to 17 cwt.

[^1]to seven "banils ${ }^{1}$." The expense of carrying such heary loads on poor roads worl? maturally canse them to seek some other means of conveyance than bere ; but it would seem as if no great change had been made before the middte of the seventecnth eentury, for a gentleman. writing in 1619, said that many thomand people were employed in the coal trade, some by working in the pit, and others by carrying in waggons and wains to the river Ty m me chane, however, had been made, for shortly bedore that $t$ erhaps abont 1630, ? man by the name of Beamont went nort.. o Neweastle with new kinds of implement, for mining the coal, and he it was who introdnced the "wooden way" and waggons for carrying the coal from the pits down to the river. Ile, apparently, had thirty thonsand pounds in money with which to begin his improsed system of mining the coal and sending it on its way toward the marlect : but in a few years he had used up all his money and "rode home non his light horse," having lost all his capital ${ }^{3}$. By the ohd system, it was not meommon for these northern mine-owners to empioy five on six lmudred horses and carts in this traffic: :mbll hence it was of vast importance to rednce the great expense incurred in keeping so many horses and drivers, in the wear and tear of earts. and in the making and repairing of roads ${ }^{4}$. It was recognized that the difficnitics of the soft roads wonld be overeome by the adoption and use of the wooden rails upon which to draw the loaded wagrons; so that, althongh Beammont lost all that he had, others took up his ideas and put them suceessfully into operation. Abont $16 \pi 0$ the nse of wooden ways seemed to the a eommon method for conseying the coal from the pits to the river, and those who had lands between the collieries and the river wonld lease or sell strips of these lands to the mine-owners, npon which the latter wontd lay their rails from the mines to the bank of the river. Rails of timber were laid down and bulley fonr-wheeded wagrons were made to fit these timbers: so that a keen observir, in 1676 a aserted that by this memes the earriage was made so casy that one horse would draw down as much as four or five chaldrons of coal at one time, which was an immense benefit to the eoal merchants ${ }^{5}$.

[^2]In constructing such a road an effort was usually made to have it on a slight decline from the pit's mouth to the place where the coal was to be discharged from the waggons, so that heary londs might be casily eonveyed withont a great expenditure of energy by the horses employed in hanling. The rails were not always had so as to give a miform declivity thronghout the whole length; but they followed more or less the surface of the gromed. Where, on part of the road. there was a steep deelivity, the speed of the waggon was regulated by a brake attached to the vehicle and managed by the driver. The waygons used had low whels, for the smoothesss of the rails made high wheels umecessary; and upon the roads of ordinary declination it was casy for a horse to draw three tons of coal from the pit to the river ${ }^{1}$, althongh the ordinary load for one horse was nineteen bolls or about forty-two hundredweight ${ }^{2}$. The ceonomy of the waggon-way over the old way of earrying coal may be noted from the fact that, upon the common roads, the regular load for a horse with a eart was only about seventeen hundredweight ${ }^{3}$. Of course, the empty waggons had to be drawn back up the ineline and the road was made so that horses could meet and pass at certain places.

In time the wooden rail lead its upper surface worn away; and it is probable that at first such repairs were made by fastening another rail or phank umon the top of the one that was worn' . But on some parts of the road where oceasional acelivities oecurred which could not be levelled, or where sudden windings of the road had to be made, and where, therefore, there would be an umbnal amount of friction with the wheels, thin plates of wronght-iron were laid on the wooden rails and fastened to them. The advantages seenred by this means in diminishing friction and keeping the rails from wearing would suggest the obvions advantage of having the wooden rails plated throughont with sheet-iron, or covered with iron plates or bars nailed on them. These were ealled "plateways." When these rails were first faeed with iron, we do not know; but the use of such plated rails devolopment of railways, and says that from the records of liawensworth Castle it would appear that railways came into use there in 1671 . Sce also the petition of Charles Brandling, Lord of the manor of Middleton, owner of eoal mines there, and several other owners and occupiers of grounds in Leeds, asking Parliament for permiswion to lay a waygon-way, from the coal mines, through the gronnds of the other petitioners, to Leeds, where Brandling agreed to deliver coal at redneed prices (A., H. of C., xरwir, p. हi).
${ }^{2}$ Cumming, op, cit., p. 9, and Wood, op. cit., Pp. 36-41, give full details as to the constraction of the road.
${ }^{2}$ Cumming. op. eit., 1. 8; Wood, op. cit., p. 41.
${ }^{3}$ Wood, opr cit., 1'. 41.
4 Stretton, a feze Notos on Early Ruizay History, p. 3.
soon caused much wear to the wooden wheels of the waggons, and the next improvement was to replace the wooken wheels by those made of cast-iron ${ }^{1}$. lirom one source we are informed that as early as 1734 east-iron whecls with an inncr thange were in use near l3ath ${ }^{2}$; and another who has looked carefnlly into the history of some early raikways gives the introduction of east-iron wheels as having taken place about $175.3^{3}$. Which of these dates is more nearly correet we shall not modertake to say.

The use of the plated rail did not seem to be very much extended. Probably the ehicf reason for this was that the mails, which were intended to secmely hold the plates on the rails, would be contimatly working loose and demanding constant expense in effecting repairs. Instead of this method being continned, the plated rails were displaeed and cast-iron rails were adopted. At what time the introduction of the latter oceurred we are unable to definitely asecrtain; the year 1738 has been given as the time when cast-iron took the place of wooden rails ${ }^{4}$, but we have not found anything to substantiate this, and regard the date given as too carly. Probably the year 1767 more nearly marks the date when the east-iron rail superseded the ohd phated rail; for the books of the great Coalbrookdale lron Works in Shropshire show that on November 13, 1767, there were between five and six tons of such rails east there and tried as an experiment ${ }^{5}$. These rails were made with a flange on the inside, and they were so long and withont any support in the centre that the heavy waggons frequently cansed them to break; but, later, that was remedied by making the waggons smaller and the loads lighter, and conpling the ears together so as to distribute the weight over a greater length of road ${ }^{6}$. In $17 \pi 6$ a similar east-iron railway was laid down by John
${ }^{1}$ Stretton, op. cit., p. 3; Gordon, Our Home Raikeays, p. 4.
${ }^{2}$ Gordon, op. cit., p. 4.
${ }^{3}$ Stretton, op. eit., 1). 3.
4 Wood, op. cit., p. 44, quoting from an anenymous writer. Sce also Cumming, Rail and Tram Roads, 1. 10, and Franeis, Mistory of the Einglish Raitan!, 1. 1. 45.
${ }^{5}$ stretton, op. cit., p. 4; also Wood, of, eit., pp.44-5.5, who quotes the statement of IRobert Stephenson to sulstatiate this assertion. Sce also Gordon, op, eit., p. 4. scott, in Transactions of the Hightand Society, v, p. 7 , said that below ground, in the pits, east-iron rails did not begin to replace wooden ones till 1776 .
${ }^{6}$ Strelton, op. cit., p. 4; Wood, op. eit., p. 4.t. In Commmications to the Board of Agricullure, $1, \mathrm{p} .203$, there is given the leseription of a trammand from the coal-pit to Alloa. Scotland. The sleepers were eighteen inches apart; the wooden rails were covered ly iron on top; and the waggons would each hohd one and onehalf ton of eoal. Two, and sometimes three, waggons were linked torether; so that, ander the latter eiremostances, one horse wobld draw four and one-half tons of emal and three tons weight of carriages. The first eost of construction was $£ 900$ to 1000 per mile.

Curr at the Nimnery Collicry, near Sheflicld ${ }^{1}$; but it was not until about 1704 that cust-iron rails were first used in t tr collicries of Durham and Northumberland ${ }^{2}$.

Oue of the greatest improvements was made in 1789, when, at the suggestion of Smeaton, Willian Jessop, in constructing a railway from Nanpantan to the Loughborough Canal, used narrow, east-iron "edge rails," three feet long, and removed the flange from the rail to the imner side of the wheel ${ }^{3}$. This form of rail and of whed has been the model upon whiel the construction of rails and whecls has proceeded during most of the time since that day.
$\mathrm{U}_{1}$ ) to this time wooden slecpers had been in use and the rails had been bolted or pinned to them. But in 1797, when laying a railroad at the Lawson Coliicry, near Neweastle-upon-Tyne, Barns introduced stone blocks instead of wooden sleepers, the indueement, doubtless, being that the stone supports would be more suitable for a road which had to carry loads of such heavy natrriat as coalt ${ }^{4}$. This was also applied by Benjanin Outram, in 1799, in the constre.ction of a line from 'lieknall to the Ashby Canal; the rails used here were of the same form as those used in 1776 by Curr on the Sheffield line, namely, with the flange on the outside of the rails, and the latter had both ends fastened securely to the stone supports. It is evident, therefore, that Outram was not the first to employ stones as the foundation of the railway, although some have supposed that his name, shortened by the maintenance of only the last part of it, has been perpetuated by calling these "tram-ways $5^{5}$."

In 1 r99 there was a proposal to build a line from London to Portsmouth and in 1801 the Surrey Iron Railway Company obtained an Act for accomplishing the first part of this road, from Wandsworth to Croydon. This was the first railway company, the first publie railway, and the first so-called railway Act, although it was not the first Aet in which a railway was authorized. The line was opened in 1804 between these $t w o$ places and traction was effected by horsepower: and the rails, resting on stone block sleepers, were four imehes

[^3]wide, one inch thick, and with an arched flange one inch thiek and three and one-half inches high. The delight of a eertain mobleman in witnessing the ceonomy of horse-power on this railway cansed him to think that such lines shond be extended from Londem to Edinhmerg, Glasgow, Idolyhad, Milford, lahmouth, V'armonth, Dover and Portsmonth; but the idea of the ereneral extension of ratways over the comentry was at that time eonsidered as absurd'.

Between this Aet of 1801 and that of 1821 sanctioning the construction of the Stockton and Darlington Railway, there were not less than aimeteen malway . Iets passed, five of which were allowed to lapse. Among the plate-ways which were constructed at this time were some of great signifieance, such as the Peak Forest line over the Derby hills ${ }^{2}$; a line at Ashby-de-la-\%ouch in Lecentershire ${ }^{2}$; the Forest of Dean Iine: the Gloucester and Cheltenham; the Dertmoor Railway to eonnect with the port of Plymonth ${ }^{2}$; and others, such as the proposed railways from Stort ford io Cambridge and from Liverpool to Manchester, had been muder consideration but had not been anthorized!. As early as 1810 there was a movement for the construction of a railway or canal from Stockton, via Darlington, to Winston, in order to provide an ontlet for the mineral wealth of that distriet ${ }^{5}$. We are jnstified in saying that the first quarter of the nineteenth century was a time when, gradually, the claim of the raihays upon publie attention was becoming aceentated and the mechanical advances were showing that this was to be the coming means of locomotion.

The constant breaking of the cast-iron rails indneed interested individuals to attempt to find a better substitute for them; and the results of experiment seemed to indicate that malleable iron rails, if property supported so that they conld not bend too much in the eentre, would be more durable than cast-iron. Nicholas Wood, whose knowledge of early railway development was musnpassed, informs us that malleable iron raik were tried at the Wallbottle Colliery, near Neweastle-upon-Tync, about 1805, but becanse their narrow surface cut the periphery of the wheels they were supereded by cast-iron rails of wider surfacer. Robert Stephemsons assertion was that this kind of

[^4]rail was first introcheed abont 1815, at Lord Carlisle's coal works, on T'indate Feft, in Cumberland ${ }^{1}$, and Jeans seems to have followed Stephenson in his aceeptance of this date ${ }^{2}$; hat Wood shows that, by the statement of the agent of these coal works, the date given by these two men was erroneous, for makleable iron rails were laid down on this tramroad in $180 \mathrm{~s}^{3}$. We may say, apparently with truthfulness, therefore, that cast-iron rails began to be replaced by those of malkeable iron in the first years of the nineteenth century; and although the wooden plated rails and the cast-mon rails were not inmediately displaced by the malleable iron rails, the recults obtained from the gradual adoption of the latter showed the wistom of their inerasing use ${ }^{4}$.

The construction of the tramroads, or iron raihways ${ }^{5}$, varied according to the mature of the gromud and the traflie to be carricd upon them. If most of the traflie went in one direction, as in the carriage of coal from the mincs, the road was made to slope slightly in that direenon: and the degree of declivity was determined by the traflic and its extent, the object being to equatize the draught each way as nearly as possible. The road was sometimes made single and sometimes donble, aceording to the expected amonnt of carrying upon the line and the way in which this was to be done ${ }^{6}$. As a ruke, the track was not donble, but the single track was made more serviceable by having turuouts, where waggons might meet and pass. The width of the rails apart was largely decided by the shape of the waggons and by the physieal characteristies of the comutry throngh which the road passed; it usually varicd between thee and four and one-half feet. Where stome sleepers were in use, they were generally embedded about three feet apart from centre to centre, so as to aceommodiate the fastening of the rails which were generally three feet in length; and the space between the skeepers was filled up with gravel or other material to make a good hard road ${ }^{7}$. The rails were then laid end to end and fixed in position by having an iron spike driven throngh
${ }_{3}$ Whod, op. cit. p. 6f. 2 Jeans, op. cit., p. 12.
${ }^{3}$ Wood, op. cit.. p. Git.
4 Woorl, op. cit.. 14. (61-io, enters into a long discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of the malleable iron rail.
${ }^{5}$ In the early Acts, no difference is made between the terms tramroad and railway in regarel to their meanine.

- If the desent of loaded waggons by gravity were to be used to draw ap the waggons when monded. it was, of course, neeessary to have a donble track. So too, when one track was not sumicient to acemmedate the amont of the earrying.
${ }^{7}$ On the trammatl irom the coal-pits to Alloa (Scotland) the slecpers were only cighteen inches apart. See description of this road in Communications to the Board of Agricullure, 1, p. 203.
each end into a wooden plug in the eentre of the stone slecper, or else by driving the spike through the rail into a cavity in the stone slecper and fixing this securely in position by means of molten lead ${ }^{1}$. Of course, after malleable iron rails were substituted for those of eastiron, it was not necessary to have the sleepers set so close together. We must not form the pieture of these tramronds from what we know of our modern railways: it was rare, indeed, that the former demanded the enttings and the embankments that we see on raikwy lines to-day, for iustead of eutting throngh a hill in order to get a more or less straight contse, they easily wound around the sides of the hills, preferring the circuitous rather than the straighter but more expensive road. In the same way, they avoided the filling np of large coneavities along the line, and sought a more devions route in order to awoid the heavy costs of filling and embanking. The line of rail followed the great inequalities of the surface of the ronte chosen; and was not, as the present railways, laid upon as nearly a uniform, straight and level rond as possible ${ }^{2}$.

I Seott, in Transactions of the Highlamd Soeiety, vi, pp. 8-10, gives the method of eonstrueting the railway between Kilmarnoek and Troon latrbour. It was a doubletrack line, baid witl flat or plate rails, although he suys that at that time the edgerail was generally introduced.

2 Wood. op. eit., pp. 36-37, quotes a deseription of these tramways as given in data's Iobages Métalhurgiques, 1. p. 109, in 176.: ; and he amplifies ilis deseription of the early railwas on pp. $38-10$ of his book. I have thought that the accomb of such a tramway, as given hy Cose, in his Historical Tour through Monmouthshire (1904), p. 202, would be illuminting, and would show what they were like in the year 1801, when his book was tirst published. As much as possible his own words are preserved in the narralion of the process of making sueh a railroad: "The gromed being excavated about six fert in breadth, and two in depth, is strewed over with broken picees of stone, and the frame laid down. It is composed of rails, sleepers. or eross bars, and under slepers. Tle rail is a bar of ciat-iron, four feet in lengtlo, thre imeltes thick, and one and e hate broad; its extremities are respeetively coneave and convex, or in other words are mort iced and tenanted into cach other and fastened at the ends by two wooden pegs to a eross bar ealled the sleeper. 'This sleeper was originally of iron, but experience lawing shown that iron was liable to shap or bend, it is now made of wood, whiell is considerahly cheaper, and requires less repair. Under caeh extremity of the sleeper is a square pieee of wood, ealled the muder sleeper, to which it is attached by a per. The frame being thms biad down and filled with stoncs. gravel, and earth, the iron rails form a ridge abowe the surfaee, over whieh the whels of the ears glide ley means of iron grooved rins three inehes and a lialf broal." At the junction of two roads, and to fiocilitate the passage of twon ears in opposite directions, movable rails, called turn rails, are oecasionally used. whieh are fastened with sorews instead of pegs, and may be phshed sideways. "'The dechivity is in qemeral sos gentle as to be almost impreeptible: the road, sometimes conveyed in a straight line, sometimes winding round the sides of precipiees, is a pieturesgue object, and the cars filled with coals or iron, and gliding along oceasionally withont horses, impress the traveller, who is unacenstomed to sheh speetaeles, with

Another partientar in whieh the tramroads differed from the railways of to-day is that the latter are the primeipal means for the land conveyance of ,oods, whereas the tramroads were regarded not as prineipal but as anxiliary agencies for transportation. In the later years of the eighteenth century, when the early tramroads were coming into notice in ecrtain loealities, the canals and the ordinary roads were claiming more and more attention, since tlrese were considered as the permanent routes along which the eonveyance of goods should be effeeted. It was but natural, therefure, that the new facilities of transport slould be thought of, not as displacing in any sense the existing modes of converance, but as accessorics to them. Canal eompanies considered the tramroads as vahable additions to their facilities, for hy means of them trade and communications could be effeeted with distriets that would otherwise be inaceessible. There were canals that were eonstrueted through territory to tap the coal resonrees of that region; but either because they eonld not ceonomically reach the source of the eoal on account of its lecight above a water supply, or because, if they did reach it, the operation of the eanal was ton expensive to be remunerative, these canals were impotent to supply the necessary facilitics for the development of this mineral wealth. In such places, the nise of a tramway would enable such materials as coal, stone and iron-ore to be brought down to a lower level, where the canals conld do the effective service that they were designed to fulfil in carrying these things thence to the places of mamifacture. In faet, at the end of the cighteenth century, and even during the early part of the ninetenth eentury, tramways were regarded as strietly tributary to the canals; for in many petitions to Parliament, asking for anthority to construct canals. there were also requests for the privilege of making eollateral ents, "witly proper raikays and other ronds to commmnicate with these canalsi." In most of these cases, the tramway was to reach some
pleasing astoni,hment." The expense of const rietion of these roads vazies aceording to circumstanees. It is seldom tess thinn one thousand pounds per mile, and sometimes cxeeeds that sum. The ears weigh not less than three and a lalf tons. They are drawn by a single lorse, and the driver stands on a kind of foothoard behind, and can instantanconsly stop the ear by means of a lever and a drop, whel falls between the wheels, and suspends their motion. In places where the declivity is more rapid than haial, the horse is taken ont, and the car impelied forward by its own weight. For deseription of the waggons nsed, see Wood, op. cit., pp. z6-80; and other data regarding the formation and operation of these roads are given in Cumming, hail and Tram Roads.
${ }^{1}$ Sce, for example, J., II. of C., Mar. 11, 1789, regarding railways and roads to lead to the Cromford Casal; J., IJ. of C., Feb. 9, 1791, regarding railways and roads to eonnect witli the Hereford and Gloneester Canal ; J., II. of C., Dec. 20, 1702, for railways and roads to connect with the proposed Grand Junetion Cunal; J., H. of C..
high and broken land where there were considerable amonnts of mincral resemrees and where a canal womld hawe been impossible or muprofitable . From the above we can see that the tramways mad roads were closcly associated in their relation with the eanals; but the fact thal tramways were oceasionally eomstructed to termimate at a certain bridge or a certain mrnpike road is still stronger evidence that the iron roads were closely associated with the ordinary roads and subsidiary to them². - in other words, the tramways were collecting and distributing agencies for products earried along the great highways of the kingrdom.

In Bingland, Wiales and Seotland, these tramroads were in some parts fairly mmerous, and most of then were only short lines, branching off from the navigalle rivers and eamals to the different mines. '1'he majority of those in the United kingdom were in the extensive mining distriets sonth of the Severn, inchading Sonth Wates; in the coal districts near Neweastle and Sunderland along the rivers TYue and Wear; in the coal and other mining areas of Lanceshire and Yorkshire, as well as of Dertyshire and Staffordshire; in the mining regions of the combty of Salop and adjacent parts of the Severn valley; in the mining seetions near Glasgow, and in the coalfields of Midlothian and

Jan. :31, 1893, regarding railways and roads to eonneet with the stratford-on-Ason C:mal ; I., II of (C.. F(b). 11, 1793, for railways and rathe to eonnet with the lbirmingham and lozeley Cimal. Sie also litt, Igriculture of Leferester (1809). p. 31:, and Dickson, elgricullure of Lancushire ( 181.5 ), p. 618, both of which show that by mans of these iron roals coat and iron were lrought down from the pits to the eanals ly a cheap and very convenient way, and that the tramways were primarily regarded
 Tlat ratways were not moch thought of ipart from camals, is shown also by Communications to the Board of Agricullure, 11, 12.478, and Tremsections of the Highland Socicty. va, pl. 10-11. For details of this elose relation between trammads and camals, see the pamplatets of $C$. 1 A . Stretton gisen in biblingraphy.

1 'Thue were many of these early tramroads in sonthern Wales, where there are mines of coal and iron: also in Lameashire, Derlyshire and the Neweastle reaion, as well as in Scothand. Anderson, Recreations in Agriculhure, iv. 11. 198, urged the eonstruetion of railwass where eanals were not pinssible, and showed (ibid., w. plo. 190-201) to what extent railways had alremely ben constructed in the Didlamis of Fingland and what a areat increase in earriage had been effeeted Hy them. Commumications to the Roard of . Iaricullure, 1r, p. 4\%\%, shows the ntility of the railways in exending the influence of eanals for ten to twenty miles on each sitle of the latter, and also into the mombanons sections where eanals were almost impractieable. See also hassall, Agricullure of Vommouth (1812), p. 10.5, containing an accomit of the iron railways of that commty and their elfects.

2 J., II of (C., Feh, 15, 182(f, petition for a railwiy or tramroad from the Gromont railway at Llangua (eo. Mommonth) to Wye Bridere, in the city of Ilereford; J., II. of C., Inxxv, 1. 59, petition for the Leicester and Swannington railway or tramroad.

Fife, where they were fomed in great mumbers but on a small seale ${ }^{-}$. tiluese roads in sonth Wiales, and in the combties athug Hee Severn valley, were diadly indined phanes with varions shopes, om whed one home cond eanily tahe down thirty to forty tons together with the
 the emply wagroms up again, and even then the urgrate work was the heavier. There were, lowever, a few inclined phames on which the loaded waggons in desceading brompht in the emply ones, bant this method was emploged only in eases where the declivities were very great ${ }^{2}$. It the comey of salop and adjoining mincral areas of the west of Eigland, and in Wiales, these iron roads increased considerably in namber in the first quarter of the nincteenth enntury ${ }^{3}$; and it can be justly clamed that this distriet may bast of being the place where the inclined plane was first ned to introdnce railways in aid of indand navigation and for the development of the weolth of the comntry ${ }^{4}$. The tramroads in the coalfiehls of Northmberhand and
${ }^{1}$ seott, in Transactions of the Highland siosicty, v, 1f, 11-15, gives the names of the many tramways or ratways, in 1sel, in the severn valley in Corkshire, Derloshire, Leienstershire, stafforlhire, Lameathire, und in the coal eommies of Norlhmberland and Darham, as well as those in Sentiand. Lequrding the dillicultices and dangers connected with earriages moving inf atol down these indined planes,


2 That is, from six to cighteron inehes in the yard. The lengthes of these inelined planes variod fiom 100 to boo wards at one place. On indined phanes, see seotto in Tratusactions of the lighlant socicty. w, ph. 15-i, who wow into this subjeet very fully. This donble railway was in use in shrophare, for intance, in the railwny eomexions of the Ketley ind shropshire Canals (Plymley, Agriculture of shropshire

${ }^{3}$ As late as 1790, Here was hardly a single railway in all south Wines; while in 189.4 the aggregate extent of rail and tramroads in the connties of Dommouth, Glamorgan and Curmarthen alone was thought to execed 400 miles (Cumming, Rail anel Trame Roads, p. シั).

4 The history of the great Sirhowy trim ay, in the eomety of Monmonth, may enable us to see more elearly the relation of these carly roads to the development of the wealth of the combtry through wheh they passed; and we have ehmen this one beanse, in point of magnitule, it was one of the greatest. It extended from [ilewelly, near Newport, to the Sirhowy and Tredegar Iron Works (2t miles), whence it was eontimed tive mikes further to the 'Trevil Lime Works, in I3recknoekstire, aloner with a brameln westward to the Rumney and luion iron works. This railway was eonstructed at the sugyestion of Mr Outram. On being consulted by the Mommothshire Canal Company, as to the best means of supplying that eanal with water, of whieh there was sueh a great searcity that trade was suffering neverely, Out ram recommended a few reservoirs to he mate, hat more partienkrly a trammad, to rmb parallel with the Crumbin line for eight or nine miles ont from the town of Newport. In order to case or take away part of the trade from the camal, this line was to pans throunh Trebegar park, the property of Sir Charles Morgan; and it was limally arranged hetween Sir Charles, the Mommonthshire Canal Co., and the
burbam were many and ingortant, mat were nsed not only in enrrying the coal from the month of the mine to the river, but in bringing it from the interior of the mine to the entrance. It is in comexion with these colliery roads that we get some very ingortment advances in the practical applicntion of stean to locomotion on rails. Apart from these tramroads leading to coal and other mineral sipplies, the only important tramroad ande daring these first two deendes of the ninctenth ecentury was the Surrey Iron Railway, from Croydon to Wandsworth¹. which was anthorized in 1801. It was to be of adsantage to a very populons agrienltural comentry throngh which it was to be built, by opening $\quad$ pheap and casy commmication for carrying coal, corn, merchandise, and, in fact. commodities of all kinds; in other words, as we have already moted. this was doubtless the first attempt to construct a pmblic railway for the carrying of miscrllancoms produets.

In all these cases the introduction of the tramway was for the purpose of facilitating the carriage of commodities, especially of heary commodities like coal, and therely redncing the cont of earrying these along the highways that were then and there available. Experiments

Tredegar Iron Works Co., that he should mathe one mike, wheh was in his park, the Sommonthshire Canal Comany to make cight miles, and the 'Tredegar I ron Works Company to malue the remanang liftern miles, each of take tomage on its respective part of the road. The roarl was completed nhout 1801 , and nlso a turnpike by the

 a bridge and making some very deep and expensive cuttings; while he Tredegar Iron Co. completed nearly domble the distance at a cost of e:30,0日o . Sir Charles
 road to make. Not withatanding the expense, this road. in iset, paid the proprietors thirty per cent., by reason of having a considerable trade upon it in coal and iron, which paid the same tonnage as mon the canal. For the lirst nine miles out of Newort (the parts made by the Comal Company and sir (harles Morgan) it was a double road: one fur the loaded wagrons to come down, ind the other for the empty ones to return; and on the Tordequr Iron Conapay's part (liftecn miles) it was a single road, with freguent phaces for teams to turn out and pass. The whole length of the road for twenty-four miles was an inclined flane. averaging nbout onecighth of manch in the yard, or a lithle more; but the 'Iredegar Iron Company's part was of somewhat greater declivity than the rest. The coal and iron were eonveyed on it in wagons, each earrying about forty-dive to difty hombedweight, exelnsive of the waggon; and a team of four or five loorses womld draw abont lifteen of these waggons down with ease. The wapgons were varionsly constriteted, aceording to the fincy of the parties, some of wool, some wholly of iron. The width of the road was four feet two inehes, and it was laid down with cast-iron phates, three feet long, fastened to the sleepers by a pin passing throngh the rath, and into a brole bored in the stone bloek four to tive inches deep, and there seenred with lead (Cumming, Rail and Tram Roads, 1p. 25, "(5, 28-30).
1.J., II. of C., Feb. 27 and Mar. 5, 1801, L.:1, pp, 112-13.
had heen conducted to show how much more effective was the work of a horse when drawing upon a railway than upon the ordinary ronds, and important results had been obtained. For example, in $17!9$, on a railway at Mcasham, the declivity of wheh was fivesixternths of an inch in the yard, one harse drew nineteen wagrons, which with their loading amomentel to thirty tons, nud was not subjected to extraordinary work in doing so. At a later time, on the same road, one horse drew down a load amombing in all to thirty-five tons; white up the grade or aseent he drew live tons with casel. From the facts which were being demonstrated, it was becoming more evident that there were pomithitites in this method of converance which were not fully realized; that, instead of being confined to the operations of mining, it was also litted to tahe an important place in the conveyanec of all kinds of products and merelandise, and to facilitate the interchange of traflic from one centre to another. But it was recomnized that if tramways were to be nsed for gencral traftic, where there was carriage of goods each way, the more the line approximated to a perfeet level the better it wonld serve the purposes for which it was interded ${ }^{2}$. While most people regarded the railways as useful in the limited sphere in which they had been employed, there was hut an oceasional individual, at the beginning of the nineteenth eentury, who contemplated a broader field of service for them. There were at that time at least two who foresaw the general extension of railways over England; and one of these proposed that all the railroads constructed shonld be urned by the state ard free to all so that each conld use his own waggons npon them ${ }^{3}$.

But tramroads or railways for general pmoposes could hawe but partial success matil some other than horse-power could be employed';
${ }^{1}$ Commmaications to the Board of Agriculture, 11, 1p. 475-6.
${ }^{2}$ shatement of Robert Stephenson, in Trausuctions of the Hightund Socirty. vi, 1) 136.
${ }^{3}$ Sir LRiehard Phillijs, after witnessing the ceonomy of horseolabour on the Surrey Iron Railway, thought that sueh lines slombla be extended from London to the prineipal places of the kinedom (Stretton, A Feac Sotes on Viarly Raikecuy History, 1, is). Dr dames Amlerson, in his Recrations in Agriculture, w, pl. 20t ff., 21 !. pointed out the aldunages of earrying railroads from London to every other part of the eometry and reeommended that they be owned by the public. In 1818, the scientific men of the country were offered a reward for the alvancement of the railway system; and a piece of plate of fifty guineas value was to be given for the best essay ou the construction of railroms for the conveymee of ordinary com-
 and the exalys sent in are printed immediately following these pares.

* Cumming, Wail amd Tram Roads, p. 33, in speaking of the Surrey Iron Railway, says: "dut it must he observed, that rail-ways, ass hitherto worked byy horses, gencrally speaking possess very little, if any, advantage over canals." The faet is.
and the perfecting of the steam-engine by Watt turned the attention of many to the application of stean-power to locomotion on common roads. and of a few others to the pomibility of its me oan the tramways. We have already seen that the limitel ne of steme for matigation was a practical reality before the 'eegiming of the nineteenth century; and we have also noted the introdsetion of the steam-cariage in the carly years of that centrys, to take the phace, to some extent, of the stage coach. While experiments were being eondneted with the steamengine, with a view to its use on the common roads, the possible application of steam for traction parposes on matway lines was also a subject of interest; and in 180 t , for the tirst time, a steam-engime, constrneted by Richard Trevithiek, was employed on the railroad at the Merthyr Thydul coal mines in South Wales. It was very imperfeet but was used for a short time.

Is early as 1800 the possibilities of the use of steam on railways were forescer: by some and were loudly prodamed ${ }^{\text {; }}$; but the publie mind failed to grasp the real importance of this new power in its wider applieations. Many became engaged in its invertigation whose names and results have not come much into pustire notice; but of these we do not propose to treat in detail heres. One of the most important
that railwass were not constructed as a rival conseymee to the canals, bat merely absuppementary to them. Yet railways eertainly had advantages that were not posemed by eanals, else there would not have lxeen so many of them anthorized dhring the hirst quarter of the ninchenta entury. Note the perfect rave for ralways, in 1825, when horsemower alome was in feneral nse in comexion with theon. For example, the prospectus of the surrey, sifsex. Ilants, Witts and Somerset Kail-Moad Company [Brit. Mhs, 8223. e. 10 (148)|, isomed in 1825, says: "The necessity of ming locomotive engrines is not contemplated, cery eabenation being made on the ase of horses only, althongh seicotilie improvement, when fully contimed, will be availed of."

2 On the historical development of the stememgine and the locomotive. see Gordon, . 1 Historicat and Practical Treatise unom Elemental Locomotion; Archer,
 P1). 7-19. A lew facts regarding one of the most imenoms inventors, Willam Aurdock, whose neme has been largely oboured by the ghory attached to others, may lielp to place this man in his trac light. As a seoteh boy, he came down to Boniton and Watt's works at fohe to seemre employment and after some hesitation Watt cheased him. The boy som showed his ability and began working daring his spare time to produce an eneine that ould be used for locomotion. Watt discourared this and the firm, in order to withlraw his from his purpose, sent him to Redrath, in Comwall, abont 1 troo, as engincer, to look after some of their engines that were in use in the mines there. Awhy from Witt. Murdoek had a freer hand, and he again took up the problem of making a locomotive carriage, whel he brought to a suceessfal issuc. In 1 T86 Murdock was on his way to lomdon to take out at patent on his steamearriage when he was met by Bunton who prevailed on him to
men to derote time and ability to the study of this new power was Richard Trevithiek, and we are disposed to mention him in partieular, not only becanse of the good results which he secured, but also beeause some have arrogated to themselves what was really aceomplished by Trevithiek. We have already learned of his suceess with the steamcarriage in the first thee years of the eentury; but he was eonvinced that better results coukl be obtained on a smooth than on a rough road and he lost no time in applying his loeomotive engine to tramways. In Pohraary, 180t, his locomotive was working on a tramroad at Penderyn, near Merthyr Tydvil in Wales, and running with facility up and down inchines of one in fifty ${ }^{1}$. The ten tons which the locomotive drew were soon inereased to twente-five tons, on this same road, with its mevenness and sharp enrves ${ }^{2}$, and this load was (rawn at the rate of four mites per hour ${ }^{3}$. After Tre ;ithick had made some further improrements in his mgine and had constructed several of them for various purposes ${ }^{\text {s }}$, he demonstrated in London for several months of the year 1808 that the locomotive with smooth wheels on smooth rails could draw heary loads with no other assistance than the foree of adhesion or gravity ${ }^{5}$. This is a fact which is supported by such apparently ineontrovertible ecidence that we wonder that any subsequent worker in this field shonkl have attempted to take away the honour which belongs to Trevithick as the "father of the loemotive engine ${ }^{6}$." For some reason, which is not very clear, Trevithiek's results were obsenred by the partial sueeess of Blenkinsop, who in 1811 patented his deviee of t. a rackrail and eog-wheel which was in ase in his colliery. Under this arrangement the wheels were prevented from slipping on the rails by having the toothed wheels of the locomotive fit into the corroponding notehes of the rails. But in 1813 and 181 f both Willian Hedley and George Stephenson again demonstrated the possibility of drawing loads by using loconotive engines with smooth wheels on smooth rails by the mere aetion of the friection of the wheels
come back, which he did. The Soho firm did not want to lose Mhrdoek, and, ioyal to them, lie was rleprived of the honour of introdating the locomotive. On Murdock's work, see samuel Timmins's essay on hian and his necomplishments, in the Birmingham t'ree Reference Library: also (iordon, Our Home Railzoaks, pp. 7-9; smites, Lites of the E'ugineers; Woorl, of. cit., ple 12:3-57.

1 'Trevithick, Life of Trezilhick, $1, p .160$.
${ }^{2}$ Ibicl., 1, pp. 16t. 165, 182.
${ }^{3}$ hide, 1, p. 182.
4 lhid., r, 1p. 191-2. ${ }^{5}$ lbid., 1,1 1p. 192, 201.
6 lbid.. 1, plp. 19:3-1; ibid, 1, 12, 206, testimony of Luke Hebert, in his Railroads and Lacomotion, p, 30 ; ibitl, 1, pp. 201-3. To this we may ndd the confirmation given lyy Wood, Practical Treatise on Railroads (182.5), p. 124; Stretton, A Fece Notes on Early Railacay Mistory, p. 6; Gordon, Our Home Raikeays, 1p. 11-16.
on the railul. From that time on, there was a gradual increase in the employment of the locomotive, at first on colliery railroads, and, later, on the railways built for general phrposes.

It is not our provinee to enter into details concerning the development of the loemotive, nor to traee the suceessive changes in the application of this power on the colliery roads in the north of England. Some good results had been seenred by sueh men as Hedley, Stephenson and Wood, proving conclusively the great superiority of the locomotive engine ov er all other kinds of power. For example, on the Killingworth Colliery railroad, in 1814. an engine was tried on a line the steepest gradient of wheh was one in four hundred and fifty ; and the locomotive atsended this with eight loaded waggons, weighing altogether about thirty tons, at the rate of four miles per hour ${ }^{2}$. In the years which followed these initial suceesses, improvements were made hy Stephenson both in the locomotive itself and in the mode of constructing and laying down the rails; and these results were attracting attention all over the country.
${ }^{1}$ Both ILedley and stephenson clamed the prin ity of this diseovery : but, as we have seen above, Trevithick was some years ahean of either of them. In a letter written by William Hedley, be suys: "I bee to: y that I am the intividual who established the principle of locomotion by the fri on or athesion of the wheels "1pon the rails." 'Trevitlrick, Life of Trevilhich, 1, 1. 20:3; Archer. Williom Hedley, Inteutor of Ruikery Lncomotion, plo. t-f. How false this statement is, we have already noted. I But as between Hedley and titophenson, a dispute has arisen as to their elaim for precedence. Smiles, in his Lives of the Engincers, $\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{p}$. 142, elearly admits that IIedley discovered and demonstrated the sullieieney for traction of the smootls wheel and rail, but he fails to give him very ample eredit; on the eontrary, he reserves this for Stephenson. Arelier, op. eit., pl. A-6, in taking up the came for Herlley, gives a letter from the latter to Dr Lardher, to show that IIedley was really earlier than Stephenson in the application of this prineiple; and Arelier say that this letter and the eomplete absence of denial from any source whatever is the elearest possible proof thast Hedley's elain was eonsidered ineontrovertible. He says, moreover, that this fiet has never been challenged nor answered by Stephenson or anyone else. This last statement of his does not secm to areord with what we lind in the report of the Procectings of the Greal IVestern Lidikeny Compeny, 1). 27, for in this cabe when George Stephenson was asked: "You are the first person wro suggested the using of locomotive emgines, and applying them to the purposes to which they are now applied?" his answer was, "Xes." Evidently, then, Steplenson did demimprerity in this matter. While there is a deeided probability that Hedley's elaim to priority is the stronger, we have not sullicient data to prove it conchnswey. Ibet the point to be emplasized is that Treerithick was abead of either of thent 'lobe clam of the latter invertor is substantiated also by Sir John
 principle had been forgotten by later experimenters. Stretton says that Trevithick's principhe of the adhesion of the wheels to the rails was apparently not menderstond at that time (. 1 Jezo Voles on Early Raikeay History, pi, 6). See also Gordon. Our Home Raikeays, p . $18 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Jeans, dubitee Memorial of the Raikeay system, 1. 10.

One of the most important of the eoal-roads, which was construeted after the traction power of the locomotive had been demonstrated, was the Stockton and Darlington; and as the history of this enterprise is instructive from several standpoints it is desirable that we should consider it minutely.

A protracted eontroversy had been taking place as to the easiest and most advantageous way of improving the carrying facilities from the Durhann coalficld. In those times, Stockton was the port of the river Tees, but the winding of the river from its mouth up to that town made the time required for sailing this distanee sometimes as long as that ocempied in the jomrney from London to the Tees ${ }^{1}$. In the year 1805 it was aceided to shorten the channel of the river by a "cut" at Portrack, near Stockton; the Aet for this purpose was passed in 1808, and he 1810 the work was completed by which that part of the river was shortened two miles ${ }^{2}$. In the same year began a movement for constructing a railway or canal from Stockton, by way of Darlington, to Winston, in order to provide a better outlet for the mineral and ot her trallic of South Durham and North Yorkshire. A committe in 1811 confirmed the great adsantage of sneh a railway or eanal, and reported this to a meeting of those desirous of promoting this undertaking. held at Darlington in the begiming of 1812. Those who were present at this mecting resolved to chigage Rennie to make a surver before any further measures were taken ${ }^{3}$.

For some years there was diversity of opinion, some farouring a railway and some a canal: and this is not to be wondered at, for up to that time no loemotive had been made that eould attain a greater speed than four or five miles per hour, whereas steam navigation had many years before reached the rate of seven miles per hour ${ }^{4}$. Apparently, therefore, the railway offered no adwantage over a canal in the matter of speed. Nor was there yet any widespread or gencrally aceepted idea in favour of making railwas take the place of the stage coach for passenger tratel. In the public mind, railways secmed to be designed chiefly for the better and faster carriage of minerals and gools, and only a few saw the latent possibilities in the locomotive engine. Whatever the canse, this project was allowed to rest until in 1818 it was actively revived by the adrocates of the eanal. These
${ }^{1}$ Dease, Diaries of Vatacarl Dease, 1 , sas.
${ }^{2}$ Hidl. p. sis: Jeans. op. cit., p. 14.
${ }^{3}$ Jeans, op, eit.. pp. 14-1.5; Pease, Diaries of Eidacard Pease. pp. 83-84. From Weall has heen oltained much of the historical aceount of this railway that is here given.
${ }^{4}$ Jeans, op. cil., p. 1.\%, quoting from John Willow, Thi sileam Fleet of Literpool (18(i.)

[^5]reeommended that the contemplated canal, aecording to the suggestion of Remnie in 1813 and Whitworth in 1768 , shontd begin at Stuckton and take its course by way of Dartington to Winston, where, perhaps by the aid of a railway, it cond seeme a rich harvest from the coalfiek. Or, if that were not decmed advisable, the end somght might be aceomplished by the constrnction of a raitway at onc-half the expense of a canal; and aceording to lemnie's opinion the railway would be satistactory in canes like this where the principal earriage mist proced from one end only ${ }^{-1}$. The only result of this meeting was the appointurnt of a committee to investigate the ennparative merits of the two schemes; but later in the year another meeting was hedd to eonsider the whole subject and at that time most of those who were present adrocated the adoption of a railway in preferenee to a canal. 'That meeting decided in favour of a raitway, and drew up a prospectus showing the estimated cost and antieipated reveme from the railway, as well as its advantages to the comentry ${ }^{2}$.

The road had been surveced by Overton, but as there was mueh doubt as to the best ronte and the probable cost Robert Stephenson was ealled in to report on the proposed linc. His surver was not very satisfactory to the committe that had the work in charge: and the latter, retaining Overton as engineer, prosented their work aceording to his directions. A bill wes brought into Parliament to some the required anthority for the e , tetion of the road; but the opposition offered, espeeially by some, int indowners, was so formidable that it beame neeessary to employ every mean, to coneiliate them, by the promoters using all anthority and inthence they conld command in Parliament : and even then some of the most pertinacious opponents, like Lord Darlington, remained implacable and the Bil! was lost ${ }^{3}$.

But the committee that had the work in charge were modanted by this defeat and it was determined to bring the measmre before larliament agam in the next session. The former ronte lay throngh one of the Dake of Cleveland's fox-covers, which, to the nobility of those days, were of greater importance than pablie highways; so it was agreed that a new survey shonld be made to get another ronte and the committece wisely decided to lose no time in conciliating opposition. Ifter making this survey, Overton made a report to the directors on septenber 29,1820 . This report save little that was new ${ }^{4}$; and on the basis of that report the eommittee, in November.

[^6]1820 , issued a manifesto showing the alvantages of the railway in the eonveyance of coal. They dechared that everything lat been done to coneiliate those who hitherto opposed the railway and to aroid any injury to private property; that, becanse one horse on the railway conld draw as much as ten on the common road, a rast rednetion in the price of earriage would take place; that easier aceess to markets wonld be of great benefit to the farmers in enabling then to proenre conl, as well as lime and mannre for thoir land, while permitting them more conveniently to dispose of their surplas produee; that the commercinl, mining and manufacturing interests would secure important benefits from the reduced rate of carriage for their respective prodncts; and that the population at large wonld partake of beneficent results in the reduced price of finel. In the matter of revenue it was shown that. from data already presented, there was reasonable expectation of the subseribers receiving lifteen per cent. a year, without anticipating any inereased consmmption, which was invariably the consequence of a redued eost of conreyance. A very significant statement of the committee was to the effeet that public opinion toward the railway had changed, as shown by the fact that there were very few who objected to the railway erossing their property ${ }^{*}$. Under these conditions application was again made to I arliament for a Bill in 1800 ; but on acconnt of the ciremmstances dhe to the death of the King it was detemmed to defer procedings matil the session of $1820-21$. For this seeond Bill, as for the first, they lad to make a great fight, in which they were led by their Quaker promoter, Edward Pease, whose name is indissohbly associated with the Stockton and Darlington railway. "Esery member of Parliament that coukl be influenced, directly or indirectly, was pressed into the service of the promoters. Every peer that was known to have any donbt or hesitation was seized ipon and interviewed matil he became a convert, while those who lonked upon the measme with faron were confirmed in the faith. Nis. more, the promoters and their friends cren carried their influence as far as the hastiags. and spared neither trouble nor expense in cheleavouring to seene-especially in the north of England-the return of candidates known to be partial to their canse2." This seeond IBill was prassed in April, $1821^{3}$.

After legishation had been seenred, George Stephenson was appointed engineer of the Stockton and Darlington railway. This first Aet comprises sixty-seven eloscly printed pages, embodying the whole of the law relating to railwass; it was the earliest and probably the longest

[^7]railway Aet that received the sanction of Parliament. Nio mention was made of the employment of colgines, for it was intended to work the line entirely by horse-power; although a gemeral provision was made that the eompany should "appoint their roads and ways convenient for the hamling or drawing of waggons and other earriages passing upon the said railways or tramroads, with men or horses, or otherwise." The adoption of steam-power was, apparently, not serionsly considered mintil the constraction of the roadway was far advaneed. Then Edward lease went to Kilhingworth Collicry to see Stephensons engine working, and from that time he had impleit faith in the locomotive engine. Throngh his inlluenee the anconded Stockton and Darlington Ratway Aet of 1823 g en e the conpany anthority to erect one stationary stean-engine in a suitable position and to make and use locomotives or movable engines for the convevance of goods and passengers along the line ${ }^{1}$. In this there was a wide departure from the first Aet, which said nothing abont passenger traflie and made no mention of loeomotises. Aecording to the statute, the road was to be free to all persons who chose to place their waggons and horses upon it for the hanling of coal and merehandise, provided they paid the tolls fixed by the Act; and the gange of the railway, fone feet eight and one-half inches, was taken from the width of the road waggons.

On the shecess or faihre of Stephenson's locomotive engines on this "Qunker line" very much depended; if failure, a check would be given to railway cuterprise: if suceess, a new era woukd dawn which would show a complete revolution in the means of communication. The first engine used on this railway was built by Stephenson; and in comparison with later results its performance was very modest. The best it could do was to travel at the rate of four to six miles per hour; and an engine and tender of fiftecen tons could draw on a level nearly forty-eight tons gross load at the rate of fixe miles per hour ${ }^{2}$. Stationary engines were used for drawing the waggons $u p$ the ineline. But even this result was enongh to canse many a speculative mind to become enthusiastic over the prospects and to prediet the time when high rates of speed would be attanced. To them it seemed as if the vision were already within their grasp as a reality and they lost no oceasion to communicate to the public, in glowing terms, the pieture of the

[^8]near future. Conecrning railroads and other speentative schemes of that day. Lord liddon said that Englishonen, who were wont to be sober, had grown mad: and to aid in forming a more reasonable view, Nieholas Wood, who was recognized as an expert in railway affairs, declared: "It is far from my wish to promnlqate to the world that the ridieulons expectations, or rather professions, of the conthusiastic speeulist will be realized, and that we shall see them travelling at the rate of twelve, sixteen, cighteen, or twenty miles an hour. Nothing conld do more harm towards their adoption or general improvement than the promulgation of sureh nonsensel."

But people did not have to wait long before they found that some of the dreams of the enthusiasts were already accomplished facts. On Sept. $2 \pi, 1825$, when the railway was opened amid great demonstration of splendour'2, it was shown that, on an ineline, one engine could draw a whole train, with a weight of at least eighty tons, at the rate of ten to fifteen miles per hour ${ }^{3}$. The suceess of the railway was immediate but not startling : and soon the line was extended back to Witton Park Colliery, abont 12.5 miles from Stockton, so that Darlington was just about half-way along the line. In 1827, the first year in which the coal and merchandise traffic was fully worked, the revenue from coal was $£ 14,15 \%$, while the receipts from lime, merehandise and sundries was only £32S5. The chief souree of revenue was the coal, the tolls on which in $18: 30$ were six or seven times the amount of revenne derived from all other sourees combined ${ }^{5}$. Both in the amomet of revenue that acerued to the company and the speed at which the traflie was carried, it was evident that this line of road was a paying investment.

The Stockton and Darlington promoters did not at first comnt upon any revenue worth speaking of from passengers. Between these two places there was only one coach, which went three or four times a week,

## ${ }^{1}$ Jeans, op. eit., p. 66.

Concerning the opening, see Pease, Diaries of Edzeard Pease, p. 88 ; Newerastho Couram, Oct. 1. 18:5, which gives an account of that great occasion. Smites, Lites of the Engineers, 111, pt. 2. ch. viii, gives an extremely interesting account of the arrangements for this railw:ay. Tweddell's History of the Stochton and Darlington Raikeay was well intended, but it does not get fir enough to even touch the subject of which it proposed to treat.
${ }^{3}$. Jeans, opr, eit.. p. 70.
${ }^{1}$ The shecess of the railway is shown in a statement signed by S. P. (prohably Samuel Pease. one of the dircetors of the rallway), showing the fiets for the railway as on Mar. 23, 1829 (Collfction of Prospectusts, ete., pp). 121-1). Note also Remarks npon Prmphlet by Investigator on the Proposed Birminghan and London Railzeay, p. 4, showing that by 1831 the shares of the company had risen in value from $£ 100$ to sono each.
${ }^{5}$ Booth, Mistory of the Liverpool and Manchester Railzay, p. ..
on the thrnpike road: and the amome of passenger travel scaredy paid a reasomable profit to the coach proprictor. Nor was there much liketihood that there wonld be any inemene of passenger trallie oun the rail minks greater speed conth be developed in onder to eneomrabe the desire to trawe. The raikay made no sperial provision for this aspeet of the business. It was originally intended to allow proprietors of tage comelnes or other vehiekes to the the line under eertain specified conditions for the converane of pasconeres, and on similar tems to allow carriers to make use of the line for the earriage of goods, so that both these phases of enterprise might be carried on independently of the rahway company. After the railway had been opened two weeks, the company put on a conch of their own for the conveyance of passengers ${ }^{1}$; but shortly aller, a contraet was made with lickers,rill, who leased thee railway company:s conch and operated it on the railway. Up to 1830 the two or three comel proprietors on the line carried on the passenger and merehandise business; they used horses to draw the coaches along the line, paying the tolls for the use of the line and receiving the amounts paid for these serviees? 'They seem to have had their own way: in large measure, as to regulating hours and trallie; and it appears eertain that their arrangements must have elashed, for on Jan. 22, 1830, the company began to regulate the times of arrival and departure at each end so as to give them equality of advantages ${ }^{3}$. The early organization of the passenger and goods traflic on the line shows ns, then, severat coach proprictors each of whom took out a licenec for himself and paid his tax to the state, but gave no accome to the railway eompany except the total mumber of journeys each cosel had made per month, on the basis of which they paid the company for the use of the line. Anyone was at liberty to put his horse and carriage on the railway and draw for himself or others. provided he complied with the company's ly-laws. The growth of the passenger traflic was slow, for before 1832 the mumber of passenger: travelling between Stoekton and Dartington did not awerage more than 520 per week ${ }^{4}$, althongh the mmber of ceaches had increased from two or three in 1830 to seven in $1832^{5}$. Abont 1833, the company fonnd that, instead of having so many different interests
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represented sur their line, it wonkl be more convenient and advantaneons if they shonld take the whoke carring trade into their own hands and displace horses by sleam-power'. The respective interests of the different proprictors were acenimed by phrehase and nrmarements Were maxle for more emofortable and specely earriage of passengers; and on April 7,1 sist. the eompany anmomed that they had commenced to run coaches and earriages hy locemotives for the converance of passemgers and goods between Stockton and Middlesborongh "six times per day al prescont fires, thus forming a regnlar line of commomication via Stocklon and Darliagton with Shildon, Anckland," etc. ${ }^{2}$

We have given somewhat fully the conditions reandeling the operation of this ralway, to show the way in which the carrying trade was organized on it. fur, since this line was an intermediate between the colliery roads and the modern railway designed for both passenger and freight comiage, it is instrnctive to see the steps throngh which the orderly process of development bas taken place. It will help us to appreriate the ciremmstances inder which the enterprise was carried on if we pietare to onreches what two noted ralway engineers observed on this ro d in 1820 : between Stockton and Darlington there were several locomotive engines of diffronent forms and power and horses also were employed ipont the same part of the line: while, toward the npper end of it, thero were two inclaned planes with stationary engines ${ }^{3}$. When the deelivity was smeh that the waggons would rum down withont the horse drawing, the animal was detached and tonk his place in his own earriage behind the train of waggons motil his services were agion regnired ${ }^{4}$. With this agregegation of the different kinds of power in

[^10]nse apon the line, fogether with the facts alreade noted in regard to the diversity of interests in the passenger and merehandise traflie, we can sec how diftientt it would be to mainhain harmony among the different carriers and to fix the responsibility for ang breach of the company's regulations or any misuse of its property.

From our presentoday standpoint, we would imagine that the question as to whether beomotive engines shoukt be employed, or whether horse power should be ned for traction purposes, could be easily settled; but it does wot seem that the former was immedintely aecepted as the motive power that was soon to dieplace all other. Even after the liverpool and Manchester Railway had been in fult operation for some years and the utility of the focomotive engine had been eompletely demonstrated, there were still those, and some of them enginecrs. who elong tenacionsly to the idea that, meder eertain eonditions. horses or stationary engines might be profitable empheyed. In $18: 23$ when the agitation was groing on for a railway in conncel Londo:s with the west, one writer urged the employment of horses beeanse they would be mote cennomieal than steam power ${ }^{1}$. In 1885 when George Stephemson had surveved the line of the proposed Leeds and Selby Railway, he reeommended three inelined planes for part of the line and locomotive engines for the rest²; but as the committee in charge of the work did not agree with him they asked James Walker. another famons railway engineer, to make another survey. He opposed the use of stationary engines recommended beg Stephenson; but said that, if the road as then designed were to be eonstructed, he would fiacour the employment of horses, as on the Stockton and Darlington, instead of stationary engines. If they were used, the inclination from Lecels toward Selby was such that the horse might ride six to seven miles, in the proposed distance of abont thirty mites, and in the opposite direction it might ride about three miles. Waiker's report secms to imply that the committee had decideal to use horses on the railway ${ }^{3}$; to this he agreed if speed were not decired: but. taking everything into eonsideration, he strongly favoured the uniform level, without inclined planes, and the employment of locomotive cugines upon it ${ }^{4}$. llis

[^11]catenlations, however, were made with a view to the nse of rails that would the strong comugh to support locomotive engines, althonght the expeeted that at first horse-power chiclly would be used. It is evident, therefore, that publie attention did unt turn away immediately and entirely from the time-homoured motive power as sont as the locomotive engine had demonstrated its possibilities.

From the resints that hat been aceomplished on the eoal-roads, it was apparent that the miltimate trimph of steam locomotion on rails was ecrtain; but the efforts toward its aetual realization did not wait until the suceess of the Stockton and Darlington had been shown. $I_{n}$ the meantine, other roads were in process of eonstruetion, such as the Moreton and the Liverpool and Manchester; and here, too, the decision had been made in favour of the employment of loemmotive enemes ${ }^{1}$. When, therefore, it was obvious, beyond all doubt, that it was practicable to use the locomotive engine for hauling heavy loads on rails, the canal proprictors fombt that their waterways had a powerful rival in biddinge for traltic; and in the decade beriming with 1820, when the railway propaganda was being vigoronsly pushed, a very active disenssion was going on as to the relative merits of steam railways, canals and turnpike roads. Such periorls of change, when the social and industrial world mast be adapted to some new development in eommercial life, necessarily clicit much eontroversy and bring to light the maderlying current of public thought in regard to existing eonditions and proposed improvements. Inder the eiremmstances, during the above decade, one of the great questions was as to the relative importance of the ralways aud the canals, since it was the competition betwen these two agencies that was likely to produce the most pronomeed effects. It may help is to moderstand the situation more perfectly. therefore, if we can see the way in which the friends of each regarded them just at the time hefore the railway eame to assume such great importance.

One of the great reasons put forward for the construction of railways was the redneed cost of carriage that wonld thereby ensue. In many cases a considerable part of the prices of articles of neeessity consisted of the cost of transporting them from producer to consumer, and therefore it was recognized that every saving in this cost would produce a corresponding rectuction in the prices of the artieles. The decreased cost of enmmodities would redound to the henefit of the eonsumer, by giving him command over a larger supply of the necessaries of life, and this larger supply at lower prices would stimulate consumption, both at home and abroad. The inereased consumption would, in turn, call for

[^12]increased production of both :manfactured and agrientural products: und so the whole fabrie of rural und urban industry would be strengthenced and devetaped ${ }^{2}$. In addition to securing their food supplies cheaper, they would also be able to obtain a cheaper and more regular supply of eont. During severe frosts, when the canals were frozen for some weeks, the price of eobal sometimes went up to exoblitant ligners mal even the supple was indeduate to the need: but daring the most inelement weather the railroad would be able to contime the bringing of the nsmul amonnt of this much needed artiele the same as at other times, so that the possibility of seareity or high prices would not eanse any alam². The same thing upplies with regard to the provivion of abmotant shpplies of eosal and other raw materials for manufacturing: and the citios that could draw upon wider and wider ureas for these necessarice of manufacture wombll lemrish atl the mure almulantly ${ }^{3}$. What was true of the neementy of regratarity and exp tainty, as well us cheaphess, in supplying combmption gooth was equatly trne in regard to goods intended for export ; if the grooth did wot reach port from the: interior in time for the saiting dates of the vesels the bhipper lont the orders and the shipowner the amoment of the freight. This w 0 o infrequent wecurrenees. But cheapmess of earriage, in addition oo beneliting consmers, would be ecpually profitable to prodnecrs, both in industry and agriculture. By reducing the cost of tramport there would remain to the prodlucer a ereater surphis to reward his labour; lands more distant from markets eonid be coltivated because of being more nearly on a parity with those nearer the markets, and in this way also the margin of enttivation eonld be extended; land that had hitherto lain waste eond now beeome productive, and, therefore, while there would be muel increase in the fond suppli of the enuntry, there would atho be a larger return to the landlorden as well an to the farmers. By making possible the application of more eapital to tracts already muder cultivation and incronsing tise
${ }^{1}$ Cumly, Iutand Transit, 2ul col. (18:3). ph. 19-21; Gorlwin, All Apped to


2 Monchester Cinardin, Jon. 1, 18:31, 1. 4. letter from "W. N. IR." on the "Liverpool and Leeds Railway;" ibit., Jati. 29, 18:31. 1. 1, prospectus or "report" (1) the Manchester and Leeds Railway: Malge. Ohservations on Raikeays, p. :..
${ }^{3}$. Homeliester Giundion, Jan. 39, 18:31, 1. 1; The Times, Oct. 28, 18:37, 11. 33, on the first general mecting of the sheffeht and Manchester Railway; Sheffich Iris, July 29, 183. 4 , p . 2, on the "New Lailroad;" ilid.. Oct. 7, 183.4, p. 1, prospectus of the proposed railway from sheflied to Rotherham.

4 London and Birmingham Raikay Bill. Fixtracts from Mimutes of Evidence given before the Commiltee of the Lords on this Bill, 11. 1-12, evidence of Messers Barry, IIemstey, Barnes, Dillon, Mason, Moore; Mudge, Obser:ations on Raikays, !1. 3.
 with a mare ample and lens expernsise fome supply and the amonnt of labour woukd he inercased, thas reduciug the poor rates'. The extension of the markets for the prochect at aty section wond temed to maintain miformity of priese, w that the farmer wonld not be subjecteal to the ocensional altermations of over-afmodanee and senreity and the priee thethations which necompanical sheh changes. The more equal 'ise thibution of genets themghont the emmetry would resule in benctit os the consmer also, by mahiug his form cheaper and less precarions. What we have said as to the proypective advantagen to the eombmers and prodneers of agricultural prothee applied with equal foree in the case of mamfactured pronhets: to maintan ande conarge both the home and the foregigu mathet, the artieles supplied mant be eheaper and better than comble berohsecel detwhere, and that necessitated eheaper commmication and facelity in excenting orders. The opering np of new and lager markets would! infuse a new ypirit into bindustry as well as agriculture, and the material resonrees of the realm would no longer lie waste?

Lnereased speed in the conveyance of passergers and groods was another great devideratum which was anticipated as the outcone of the introduction of railways. As a consequence of this, both producers and consmmers expected that new and more distant markets womld be opened for eommodities of a perishable mature, such as veretables, dairy produce and meat. In orter that these shonld be most sneeessfully marketed they would have to be sent as quickly as possible from the prodheer to the eomsmor; and as the railway speed would be six or seven times as great an that of the earts or wagrons the railway wonld eamse the area of production of these things to be thirty-six to forty-nine tines greater than its present extent ${ }^{3}$. Butter, cream, regetables and similar commodities would not stand transportation By die slow-going canal or road-waggon, and, therefore, were confmed to the markets at a very limited distanee from the grower or feeder ;
${ }^{1}$ Cundy, Inland T'ransil, 23d cit. (1834), pp. 19-20); Cundy, Obscriutions on
 from "W. ※, H.;" Mndere, obscriations on listilacays, p. 3.
 bye "Ineltia," He sidid that the cost of comvedance in the ease of coal was a large element of the prier ; that coal wos soll at the pit's mouth for five to ten shillings ber tom. amd at the distane of fourteen miles it cost flouble that amomet, so that for lack of cheap trimoportation facilities the natural resources of the combtry were lying waste. Ile regariled this as an bonaswerable argument for railroads.
${ }^{3}$ Combly, Obsercations on Raitaty, 2nd ed. (1835), 1p. 21-23; Cundy, Inland Tromsil, 2nd ed. (18:3), 卜1. シ2-23.
but with the faster conveyance by rail they would secure an enfarged market that would make them profitable for production. Similar conditions would enlarge the area for the remmerative rearing of animals to provide the meat supply of the large centres. Before the railway, if animals were transported alive from the growers to the consumers' market, the market was limited by the power of the animals to travel and the cost of their support on the road; or if they lad to be carried by waggons the cost was still greater by reason of the added expense of horses and waggons. But, by the railway, lambs, calves and other animals could be sent easily and eheaply to the metropolitan markets to meet the requirements of these large centres. In this way the urban population would be able to draw upon wider and wider sourees of oupply and thus eliminate any peculiarities of locai conditions ; while the rural prodneers would find a more extended market for their surplas and a more stable equilibrim of priees and of demand ${ }^{1}$. The improvement of the marketing woukd enlanee the value of the land which prodneed these things, and so both the tenant and the owner would derive advantage from being made independent of merely local circumstances. Along with aceelerated speed in the conveyance of agricultural and industrial products, there would be a similar adsance in the rate of passenter travel. Towns under existing conditions some stages distant from London or other large eity would become its inburbs; men doing business in the greater centres would be able to reside at considerable distances from the places of their employment, and thas not only enjoy a more liealthinl environment for themselves bat also help to preverit the overerowding of popnlation within confined areas ${ }^{2}$; and time that had been spent on the slow journeys of the coaches could now be saved, in great measure, and devoted to remunerative employment. This saving of time that would aceompay the frequeney of commmineation between places of great commercial intereourse wonld ise a considerable ceonomy in enabling them to expedite the transaction
${ }^{1}$ Cundy, Inlawl Transit, p. 23; Lomdon and Birminghan Laikeay Brll. Extracts from the Mimmtes of Evidener giten before the Lorels Committee, pp, 13-21, evidence of Messrs Warner, Whitworth, Sharp, Attenborough, and Kay; The Times, Mar. 16, 183日. P. T. coneerning the Soutli Eastern Lailway; Macturk, Mistory of Raizays into Hull. p. 4.t, orginal prospectus of the Hull and Selby Railway; Boyle, Hope for the Canals, p. 19; IIampshire Advertiner and Salisbury Guardian, Mar. 29, 183.4, p. 2, on the "Southampton IRailway;" I'arkes. Claim of the Smbscribers, pp. \&-17.
: Cundy, Inlavd Tramsit, p. 24. A notable instance of this is olserved to-day when we see business men, who carry on their oeeugation in smoke-bequimed Glaseow, going to and from their residenees in the royal city of lidinlargh. On the saving of time and expense in travelling, see London and Rirmingham Raitacay Bilt. Latracts from the Dimutes of Evidence given lefore the Lords Committec, pp. 22-2i, evidence of Messrs Mason and Clicetham.
of business botly by personal visits and throngh the medinm of correspondence. ${ }^{1}$. Then, too, in the transportation of troops and of military and naval supplies the railway would be of vast importance over the former slow and expensive moans of conseyance ${ }^{2}$.

Railways were desired also beeanse they would bring insreased facilities and introduce certainty and regularity of conveyanee. The lack of accommodation and equipment on the part of the canal companies was, in some instances, notorious, especially on the routes eonnecting the great industrial and distributing markets, like Manchester, Liverpool, Birmingham and London ${ }^{3}$. The inadequaey of the canals between Manchester and Leeds for the conveyance of general merehandise was so strongly felt that even with the earriage of a lavge part of the traflie by waggons the need for a railway was keenly appreciated'. But, supposing the carrying factities of a canal chain to be sutficient for all ordinary purposes, there were other elements which affeeted the desirable uniformity of the fiow of tralfic. The droughts of summer or the frosts of winter frequently eaused delays of severai weeks; and these were attended with serious results to those who were affected to the greatest extent by them ${ }^{5}$. The cessation of trade on a canal that served a particular tow? would, at times, cause the price of coal to increase as much as 100 per cent., on account of the searcity of that commodity at that iperial time ${ }^{6}$. Exporters who were depending upon goods from the interior reaching the port by a certain sailing day were sometimes disappointed, and the goods, when delivered, were rejected beause ont of time. Orders were frequently lost because the goods could not be

[^13]got to the seaport in time for shipment by eertain vessels? All sneh wexations would be aroided by having railways upon which to carry the goods and the publie thought turned to the desirability of this new aceession to the ageneies of eomecranee. Furthermore many of the eamate took a cirenitoms ronte, which added sreatly to the lenerth of the jonrmer: and while this enabled them to get aeeese to all those places that would be likely to have most trallie to offer, it was very ineonvenient for those who wished the transport of their grools with the least posuble delay.

Amother propecetise adrantage of railways was that there would be a saving in the amomut of eapital required to be invested in business. It was expected that eapital would not need to be loeked up in warehomes where individual merelants had to keep on hand large smpplies of stock beeanse of the mecrtainty and dillienty of rencwing their supply Under the existing eiremmstanees. for example, eoal was mable to tand the expense of land carriage, and so ewery deater had to lay in an immense stock before wint er, lest the canals should frecese, and before smmer, lest they should be defieient in water supply. To remmerate the extra eapital that was thms mprodnctively tied mp something had to be added to the price of the coal. But it was a foregone conchsion that the railway would be able to operate without referenee to these aceidents of time or season, so an to bring a miform bupply thronghont the year; and, therefore, deaters wond not need to have a large eapital lying barren for monthe at a time. The retail merchants of the eomentre could go or send to London in the morning and have their pmeheases in the evening; this womd obviate the necessity of their kecping an expensive and redmodant stoct, and in their comutry entablishments, whieh wonld eost less than in the town, they would be enabled to enter advantageonsty into competition with the Lomedon dealers ${ }^{2}$.

The coming of the railway was eagery awaited in other eases becanse it was thought that this womld cmancipate the people from the thraldom of a canal monopoly that had beome oppressive, sometimes almost bevond endurance. The eanals between Loudon and the Midland metropolis long enjoyed a monopoly and rembursed their capital with
${ }^{1}$ Jomulon and Birmaugham Raitivay Bill. Airtracts from the Minutes of Ecidence
 Mason, Boore and Westall. Sometimes when there was all insistent demand for the goons hy a eertain time the dealer paid the heary eost of land carriaze, rather than depend tuon the slow movement of freight by water.

 Times, Mar. 16, 1836, p. F, on the "South Bastern Railway."
great profit: and one of the objects of projecting a railway to connect there two places was to get rid of the high charges which the eanals continned to impose ${ }^{1}$. Among the chicf reasons for the eonstruction of the proposed railway between Sheffield and Rotherham. in 183.4, was the desire to break down the monopoly in coal that th.- existed, and to supply these cities, especially the former, with coal hrought from greater ciistance. The menformate condition of the navigation along this six and one-half miles, partioularly of the Sheffect and Tinsley Canal. prechuded all hope of reduction of chareses on this waterway; and the people turned to the proposed raitway as the only means of afforting relief? The conditions in the comety of Monmonth at the time the plan was formed for a raikwe from Newport, through Pontypool, to Rlacn Arou and Nant-y-gho, exemplify a situation which called loudly for and warranted the construction of the railway along this valley. The carrying traftic of the extensive mineral country there was almost monopolized by. "The Company of Proprictors of the Monmonthohire Canal Navigation," which had been ineorporated in $1792^{3}$ and had been given most arhitrary powers for making eanals and railways. Its Act exempted the tolls receivable by the company from the paymes. of any rates, aud the lands purehased by them were to be rated at their original, not their improved value. Within a few years the empany had completed two lines of canal, one from Newport to a little above Pontyponl, and the other from Newport through another valley to Crumbin. From these canals a variety of ill-constrneted raikways and tramways had been made in order to open communieation with new works. For lack of water the Crumlin branch eonld not be operated, and neeessity eompelled the construetion of a tramroad which almost superseded the eanal. The other branch of the canal, because of the munerons locks and contimal impediments and ecosation from one eanse and another. wi * almost useless ${ }^{4}$. Yet notwithstanding the masatisfactory state of tlecir works, the eompany charged such exorbitant rates and provided such poor facilities that many of the iron-masters of that section preferred to send their products
'Rirmingham Journal. Feh, 5, 18:3, p. B, letter from " A Subscriber to the Lonton and Birmingham Railway:"
2. Sheffeld Iris, Oct. 7, 1834, p. 1. "I'rospectus of the Proposed Railway from Sheflield to Rotherlam.'

3 let 32 deo. 111, c. 102.
4 Brwitt, Nea Munmonthshire hatacay. p. 6, informs us that, in 184t, a boat from the P'ontypool works coukl make only five journeys in a fortnight between that town mud Newport, a distance of not over ten miles; and coal taken down the camal about tive and one-half miles dirl not, on the aserage, reach Newport in less than eight hours.
around by a more circuitons ronte, sometimes at great expense, thas: to ntilize this shorter waterwaty. ${ }^{1}$. Then, too, the iron-masters of Merthyr, by means of the Taff Valc Railway, were able to ad down and ship their iran from Cardiff, in firnt-class condition, withont rust. just as it came from the rolling mills. This led to the desire for a better quality of material among the iron merchants of Liverpool and elsewhere; fand they demurred to receive iron from the Jus , monthshire district which, on accome of has been so long on the canal and waiting so long at the docks at $\triangle$ irt. (exposed to air, had become badly rusted ${ }^{2}$. Cardiff, the adjommen port and ereat rival of Newport in the iron trade, had always had the alvantage of lower rates by eamal, and, after the censtruction of the "Iaff Vake Railway, its trade had markedly inereased ${ }^{3}$. From the foregoing facts we can judge how oppressive wis the Vonmontlmhire Canal Company ${ }^{\circ}$ monopoly upon the iron-masters of that portion of the country, and with what eagerness the industrial community contemplated, and actively set to work to seenre, the advantages of a railwa $:^{4}$. "int the greatest canal monopolies, from which release was earnebtly sought by the projenting of railwass, were probably those between Liverpool and Manehester and between Liverpool and Birmingham: these we shall consider in detail when we come to disenss the formation of railway lines along these rontes ${ }^{5}$.
${ }^{1}$ Blewitt, Neze Monmouhshire Raileay, pp. $\boldsymbol{7}-9$. The Ebbw Vale Iron Works had mate, at their own expense, a tunnel a mile long, to eommunieate with the Sirhowy Tramroad, by which their iron reactsed Newport much cheaper, although the route was four miles longer. The Bailey Iron Works brought their iron from Beaufort to Nant-y-hlo by a tumel nbout a mile long, at the end of wheh it was raised by a water halance, and then sent via dhergavenny to Newport, 31 miles, thongh the canal eompany's road was convenient to their works and the distance by that route to Newport was only miles. The greater part of the Bhacn Avon iron was sent at heavy cost, via Abergavenny, to Newport, about 28 miles, when by the canal route it was only about 16 miles.
${ }^{2}$ Ihic., 川. $7-8$.
a dhid., p. 10. In 1829 the amount of coal sent to Cardiff was only about (one-sixth of that sent to Newport; whife in 18.40 the amount sent to zardiff was more than one-hadf of that sent to Newport.

* The canal company had turned a deaf ear to all complaints of the traders, as to the exeessive charges, had construction and indifferent repair of their canals and tramroats, until the wholesome fear of threatened competition compelled them to take the first step toward amelioration by redueing their tonnage rates on iron and coal (ibid., pp. 12-1.4).
${ }^{5}$ On the eanal monopoly between Liverpool and Manchester, sce Sandars' pamphet on the Liverpoul and Mamehester Railway, and The Times, April 7,1820 , $p$. 2 , on the Liverpool and Manehester Hailway Bitl in the House of Commons. On the conditions that presailed between Liverpool and Birmingham, see Parkes, Claim of the Subscribers to the Birmingham and Liverpool Railroad.

Of the ether reasons which were given as incentives to railway constraction we shall give but brief mention, becanse they did not assume such importance in the cstimation of the public. Railways were urged by some because it was thought that they woukd reduce the number of horses required for the tran portation service, and that land which had been devoted to the kecping of horses for the carriage of freight and pasengers along the highways could now be used for growing food supplies for the families of the kingdom. Adam smith had said that to support each horse refpired, on the average, as much land as would support eight men; and if there were. as was estimated, over $1,000,000$ horses engaged on the roads, the land required to provide for them would be able to support an additional $8,000,000$ people, or else it wouk largely increase the means of subsistence of the existing population'. This same argmment was used, as we have seen, in favour of the canals when their introluction was the subjeet of public interest; but in neither ease did the contemplated deerease of horses employed on the highways materialize, for increasing facilitics of conseyance brought an increasing demand for horses in collecting and distributing traflie? Another circumstance favourable to the new means of converance was that the introduction of the railway would fumish a more efficient method of handling large quantitics of freight than was possible on the canak. In the ports of the north of Eingland from which coal was shipped machinery was used for lifting a loaded ear and suspending it orer the hold of the vessel, after which the bottom of the car was diapheed and the coal was allowed to fall easily into the vessel. But no such service was possible with camal barges and so the cost of unloading them was much greater. Of course, this system was nut known intil after the tramways were found in effective operation ${ }^{3}$. Of other inducements for the formation of railways, there was tio expectation that thercly the pilfering from canal barges, which in some cases seems to have been am organized huviness systematically pursued, wouk be for ever abolished': the ordinary roads would be greatly relievel of the tramsport of coal, lime and other heavy articles, so that the expense of their mantenance wound be much less than under
${ }^{1}$ Cundy, Inland Transit, 2nd ed. (18:31), pp. 20-21; Bristol Mercury, Sept. 1, 1832, p. 2, letter from "J. O." Suppose the coaches on the soad from London to Edinburgh ehanged horses twente-five tims; that wonld require one hundred horses for one journey of each cotch, besides the supermmerary ones kept in ease of aceildent. But the work of a great nany coaches might be performeci by the expense of one stean-engine and this would result in great cemony.
${ }^{2}$ Cundy, Inland Trausit, 2nd cel. (1sis4), p. 21 ; Cundy, Observations on Raincays, 2nd ed. (183.3), p. 47.
${ }^{3}$ Blewit, New Monmouthstire Raizeay, p. 11.
4 [bill., pp. 9-10.
is
(xisting conditions: andi, in fiact, no limit could be assigned to the wealth that would be saved and the ineremse of wealth that would be produced by thin change in inland comserance.

In contrast to the claims made for the railways, those made for the canals seem decidedly lacking in many fatures of economic significance. 'The two enterprises were entirely different in chatacter. The railway had an air of parade and dipplay that dazaled and tended to deeceve the supericial obserwer. Its gencral aspect was that of vitality, conergy and eflicienes: the lapge fains, their promptitude of arrival and departure and the speed of the engines were all sthbects of admimation, and stood ont in great retief when viewed alongride the quict, mensen camal and its slowhy phding barges. In consequence of this there were few who rentured to lift up their voices in favour of the canals as an effective competitor of the railways. 'There were, indeed, some who, despite the unfortmate system of construction and maintenane of the canals, argued in fatour of them and urged their claim from the standpoint of cheaphess and facility of carriane. For example. a witer, in 189.5, after showing the relative adsantages of risers and camals in the matter of ease and speed of carriage, timaty conchuded that, at a given rate of specd, a horse could move fom times the weight on a canal or river that he eould on a railroad ${ }^{1}$. Others who fooked into this subjeet carefully and with seientifie precision were consinced that, up to a certain low rate of specd, a horse conde draw more on a canal than on the railway; but this rate of traction was so much leas than what was possible on the rails that the waterway wond be thonght of only in eonmexion with the eomserance of commodities for the carriage of which speed was of little accomt ${ }^{2}$. Oceasionally, other reasons were adduced to prove that railways wer inferior to cants as means for the carriage of freight ${ }^{3}$;

[^14]but the mamber of people who haid any emphasis upon this possible ontemme of the competition seemed to be very small,

The facts appear to indicate that the canal eompanies, instead of becoming more active and codeavoming to semre more of the traftic in the lied which was now being invaded by a rival, manally acted on the defensive in trying to protect their alleged rights. The great argument put forth hy those who faroured the canals was the constant plea of rested interests: that Parliament had, by statntory provision, anthorized the construction of and investment in canals, and. therefore, nothing slould be done to dentroy sueh facilities, muder which the trade of the collutry was said to be flomrishing'. Camal property, in many cases. Was the only basis of security for wills, settements and family ineones: and to destroy them would rnin thonsands of familics. If the canal were not suflicient for the increased traflic, why honk the canal companies not be allowed to enkarge their works ta mect the needs of an expunding commeree?3 To interfere with private property was to overthrow the stability which was fundamental to social life ad the protection wheh the indisidual might justly claim from the gover at ; and this appeal of protection to individnal rights sedfom fais of response when addressed to any chass of the English peoplete. In freight nor the propirietor as to his tolls in the same manner as camils did (P), Iofter to a Prient. contaming Obserzations on lie Comparative Merits of Canals and Raikays, !!!. 2, 3, s). Itis inferenee was "that the level ratway 30 miles long between Liverpool and Manchester eamot put down two navigations, between the same points the first of which is lifty and the other forty-five miles long" (ibid., pp. 12-13); and in this opiaion he seems to have been entirely sincere, since his pmonhlet shows the utmost eandour ingiving the railway its full shate of praise. See also Jirmingham Journal, Mar. 5, 18:31, 1. 83."On Railways."

1 There were, of course, some exceptions to this. At Mimehester, for example, in $18: 5$, the activity of the railroads in carsing on their plans exeited the feeling of competition among the proprictors of inland navigation; and the batter (eatled the fonrth "atale of the reahm, becanse of their immense parliamentary interest) detemined to prove that the speed on inland navigation was moneh greater than it had been represented. To establish their point, a flat feft Mamehester on the Mersey and Irwell Niwigation in the morning and reached Liverjool by one obloek. "There she loaded a full load of eotton and started baek for Manchester which was reachert 410.30 ) that night. 'llis, was repeated the following day (Manchester
 sporadie attempts ats this were found clsewhere; but that does not disprove the statement we have made.
${ }^{2}$ Ilansurd's Parliamentury Mbutes, N...., X11 (1825), 11). 8 \&-5 ; ibid., xv (1826), pp. 89 ff ; F Brit. Mus. T. $1: 371$ (18), pp. 9-11.
 tades of canals over railways."

4 Ilansards Parliumentary Debutes, N.s., xil (1825), 1. 817, debiate on the Liverpool and Manchester Railway Bill. Jeaffeson, Life of Robert Stephenson, 1, 1. 2es,
answer to this plea of vested rights it was said that Parliament, ly sanctimung the buikding of raikwes, would not be breaking faith with the canal proprictor, for it was nover contemplated that mompolies shandel prosected nor that firther impuths to the develupment of commerce shonld be denicd. The (arriers by wadeon had, in vain, neged the same consideration against the development of water conweanee, when the latter had become absuhtely essential to the material advance of the conntry. 'The eamals had been given an opportmity to med the increaning demands of commeree, and even thone which were best sitated had not done so, bat had ramed their charges and treated the demand with insole ece. It had never been the function of govermmest to protect such ajnistice at the expense of the public grool.
'fo eceapitnlate: the chicf argments advanced in favonr of the raihays were their speed and cheaphess of carriage ats eontrasted with the camak, and the imsullicieney of water earriage to serwe the necessary parposess of the rapidly growing trade and industry of the conntry; the fact that they did provide grood insestments in some cases was not one of the primary inducements to their lormation ${ }^{3}$. Additional facilities for the carriage of goods were ensential, a sime qua nom fur the material advance of the country's interests. Some of the canal had not been improved at all, others very little, since their constraction;
tells us that among all classes of soectey so universal was the antaronism to railways, from a fear that they wond lie injurious to vested interests, that gentle and simple with equal eomplanerney viewed the constitution of tribmats which necessarily sympathised in a wery high degree with the prevailing prejudice. Sice abo Parkes, Chaim of the Subscribers to the Birmingham and Literpool Railroad, P , $\mathrm{iv-v}, 3-4$.

${ }^{1}$ Gemteman's Magneine, xcy. I't. 1. plo 199-2001.
a see sandars' pamplact on the Literpool and Manchester Raikeay, 1p. :3-4.
${ }^{3}$ (ientrman's Magraine, xev. 1't. 1, ןp. 199-200); Remarks upoon Pamphlet by "Investigntor" on the Proposel Birmingham and Lomdon Raikcay, pF . 10-12, 24 ff ; Brit. Nus. sas?3. e. 10 (\%0), 'Prospetus of Kentish Railway Company:' Brit. Mus. 82es. c. 10 (145), 'Prospeetiss of the surrey, Suswes, Hants, Wilts, and Somers:t Rail-Road Company;' 'collection of Prospectuses, Maps, ete., of Railways and Canals;' pr. 13-1.4, givine the prospectus (dated May 10, 18:30) of the two cempanies, the Liverpoel and Birminglan Railway Company and the Birmingham and diverpool Railway Company, whose interests were incentimal for the ronsimetion of a railway bet wern these two citios ; ilid., p. 6.5. giving the amnonnement of the Direetors of the London and Birmingham Railway. 1833, and showing that the railway would more than double the speed of the stage eoach, that the cost of passenger travel woind be tess than half that by conel, and that merehandise would be carried for only two-thircls of what was formerly paid on the eanals. See also ihid.. p. 74, 'Statement of the Case of the Liverpool hand Birmingham Ratilway 13ill (18:31). and pp. 139-40, 1.59-60, 169-70, 186, whicl give other prospectuses of railways; also Raikeay Times, v, pif. 633!, 71t, 973.
and this in the face of on ineessant demand from indust rial int eotnmercial interests. In some eases, where the trade was heary, navigation companies had refinel to incor the extra experne of maintaning a suflicient manber of harges to provide for emergeneies, but had made only meagre prowivion for even the nsual requirements'. Then, too, on some camals the charges had been raised by the exorbitant demands of the proprictorse, mentil this inereased cost, alonge with the inalkepalacy of the serviec ${ }^{3}$. fed to the promotion of railway enterprise. Private inlerests and individual adsambage had abreade too long dominated in matters of transportation; mecessity required added equipment for, and new life imparted to, the sereviee : the public mast not be sacrifieed to the individul be nefit : and the railway system was the result of the operation of these imperalive calls for the hational athance along this line.

It with be litting at this point to consider the mature of the opposition which was eneomered by the ratways in their efforts to beeome established akong the highways of trade. In the first place, opposition arose from many of the kudowners. who stubbom? resinted the encroachment "pon their domains of these black monsters, the loeomotive engines, with their trailing clouds of smoke, disfignting the landeape. destroying the privacy and sechnsion of their estates, and eansing it great decrease in the value of their lands. As a ruke, the landlord thought much more of the peacefnhers of their own estates and mansions than of the publie good: and the mental picture of a railway with its tail of smoke emting across the conntry, blackening everything even to the flecees of wool on the sheep, reckless of the aesthetie mral conditions and of the security of individual or public property, was to them the symbol of all that was disagrecable, volgarizing and merechary". The introduction of such "infeman' machines," as the
${ }^{2}$ Sandars on the Liverpool and Manchester Railacray, p. 16.
2 Ibid.; ('maming, liail and Tram lionds, p. :3: ; (ienlleman's Magazinc, xcur, Pt. 1, Mf. 415-17 ; '1'rospectus of the Birmingham and Liserpool Railroal Company:
${ }^{3}$ On aeeonnt of dehays on the waterways from Liverpool to Manehester, more time lat sometimes been consmmed in the carriage of goods that short distance than in the transatlantie voyage (Gentleman's Magazine, xav, P't. 2 , p. в..(f).

4 see Icter from "No Railer at the Present System," in Aris"s Birmingham Gazeltr, Jian. 10. 18.5 p .1 , acknowledying that railroads were superior to the canals in the mitter of speed, but opposing them chiefly from the aesthetie standpoint: he would not like to see the comentry disfigured lyy the clouds of smoke. His letter ends by saying: "Do, good Mr Editor, kend your potent aid, at the commencement of the coming year, to avert this mass of evils, and help by ulvide, by entreaty, by warnings, by ridienle, by anything, to thwart the designs of these iron-learted speculators. who would take from the people of this free combry all hopes of another
focomotises were sometimes called, mast lee stomtly resisted. 'The deatruction of the mity of the farm bey has ing part af it cott off fom the homestead: the dividing of edeses that were comerenient in borm and
 the lith and of large combankents aeross the lan lands, thas presentine the natural thow and drainage of water; the incomenienee and danger to the publie on aceomt of the railway erossing the hinhways an the same lewed these, along with the declatation thal bere was bo necesty for greater sped of travelling nor facilities for comseyanere, added pretext upon pretext for the apposition of the landhoding elasses ${ }^{1}$. Others were aromed to hostility leot at projected railway might pass through their liweowers, or in some wher way inteifere
merry Christmas, If we must be slabes let it mat be to iron-manters- let me ojen our eyen before the accomulation of smohe renters it imporsible for its to see, and

 from one who subseribes limself as "Common hense." See also Hamarrl's I'arlin-





 S(ce alos his fettion against the dondon and somtampton Railway, in Hompshire
 to the propesed loudon and lairmingham laalway on fhe gromul that the smoke
 As to the landlords" (1)


 barime expresses the evil eombeted with the railwaly in follows: "On the were line of this railway, I have bailt a comfortable homse : it congoss a pleasing view of
 fortably at lreaklint with my fimity, conjoging fle purity of the smmane :tir, in a moment my dwelling, once conserated to peace and rotirment, is filled will dense smoke or fuetill fis: my homely, thongh chamly, table envered with dirt; : ind the
 is heard but the clankine iros, the baphemons song, or the mpalling curses of the directors of these infernal nd dehines." 'This was mot the sentiment of one but of a


 proposed sheflied and Liotherham lasilwaṣ; Birminghem Jonrmal, Mar. 11, 1826,
 Railway:
${ }^{1}$ Sirmingham Journal. Jin. 22, 1831, p. 1, on the London and birmingham Railway.
with their ambement of honting: and the great lamelloblers were not to be experted to make any coneession, or to be eocreed into anything, "acol slthotgh their celates womld therehy hecome more valuable und great benetit rebilt to the publier. Many were averse beconse it sechacel to them that a railway, with its foree of men who were by mon mens sermplons of others property and property-rights. would be an momitigated evil: for it wombl permit the passage along the line of ment of the worst chas who would be ready to camse mush amoyanee to lambowere on aceotme of the misanees which they womld commit mon private property atpacent th the railway. Vast smms of moneg were repuired at limet. imder the plea of "eompensation," to imy off the apmoxition of property holders and to pay for the strips of land that were necessary for these putblie enterprises; and when it was found that the money woutd he fortheming for this purpose , .one impecmions peers emrehed themedees hemanding exorbitant priees for their lamb, mater the specions pretence of injury to their estates". "Amy amomet that conld loy any means be spucezed from the funds of a mailway eompany under the name of eompensation, publie opinion decided to
 183.5. 11. 4.
2. Jeafreson. Life of lieherf sepplereson, 1, chap. ix, tells about the opposition to railways and shows that "in some eases enormons smas of money were patid for the aeres of olmtinate lindowners" (p. 1s0). Sice nlow, Remarks "pon I'auphlel by


 How often it was necenary to buy off the opposition of lamlowners. Parkes, Cluma of the Subseribers to the Birminglemn mul Literpent Railroun, p. vi, slows that certain landowners were indet by the canal companies to oppose railways. sece also ibid.,

 one of the carliest milways was carrict, for a small strip of his paith, ocenpied by the railway, whieh ran quite beyond the sight range of his wimbows, whaned no less a sum than $\mathbf{z} 3(30000$ - or the entire value of the estate which the line was supposed only to depreciate. A few years afterwards this same peer sold another corner of the same park for another line for a seemble e:30,000, and when he had thas cextracted from two companies efo, oont as compensation for dinnage done to his estate, the original property was greatly aughented in value by the lines which, it was represented, would infliet upon it serions injury:" He tells us that similar cases were of eonstant oecorrence; and far from rousing public indignation, they met with pablie ipproval. The why in which compensation lor lands was determined is fully set forth in Prarl. I'apers, 18t: ( 520 ) , x, 117, "Iecport of Seleet Committee of the Honse of Lords on Compensation for Lameds taken fur or injureal ly Railways;" see especially the evidence of Messes Duncan. Clutton, Driver and Crannh. They show the way in which "extravagant sums," often far greater than the lands were worth, were paid in order to get rid of opposition.
be legally and homemrable acepuredº." Latere, when the publie benefits of the railway wre kbown, this was ehthged; and those who had
 it ${ }^{2}$. D'arliament wont even on far as to pass a resolation exdaling form the committee sitting on any milway bill any menher who cither hekt land throngh which the line w:s to run or was otherwise commercially interested in the rejection or passing of the bill ${ }^{3}$.

Other great oppouchts af the billway were the cathal companics,
 mercial life and netivities of' the kingdon. 'Their lostility was natnally to he lowked for where the ralwoy was to be eonstreneded parallel to the comal. for in that case it was possible that the revemue of the emant company wond be deceased on aceomt of the railway competilion's. 'The motives of apposition were sometimes roncealed or thinly veited; but materneath them all there was the ane pervading object, namey, to kerep the mometary retuma foom the camals as high as pussible. Sonctimes il was said that sue raitway comld not carry heary goods as
 abroprietor, nfter regniring that bridees shonlal be built at so many points alone the lince that the "screrance" wombl practically cense to exist, would demand two, three, or fone thansant pounds, in addition to the evtortionate pries already paid for the lima actaally given up to the line. It was useless for the ugents of the rallway company to show that this "severamere was morely an imaginary grievance, which effected no real injury the the estate. Hefnsing to see it in this light, the owner remained steaty in his demand and gained his "severanee" compensation. Hawing thas sold a striju of land at four, five, or sis times its vathe, as recompense for a purdy imaginary dathate the owner womd then eandidly awow that this severance combed lam so little diveoms t, that he could an with onty half or guarter of the stipulateal hrideres, and that, wr a further sum, he would free the ermpany from the abligition to imild the maneressary brideres. In these early days ratway companies were powerless to resiat sutch cextorions. 'They had to lmy the goodwill of the enmmmity le harl caslo. See also The Liconomist, llechly Commercial Times, and Bamliers' Cinzelle, 1845, j. 758.
${ }^{2}$ In 18 ft , Mr Crokier wrote: "1 hanw persons who were adverse to railroads,

 Hatway bill, testified that be womb mo longer opgose aby railway, as he had determinedly opponed the comatruction of the liverpool and Mamehester Ikailway (" ireat
 Other great estateowners hat also changed front in thas way (ibid., plo. isfaris).

 of Ralacoms, f. 1ft, showing that the Manchestor and leeds Lailway was opposed by the Rochlale Comal, Calder and Hehble and Aive and Calder Navigations until rest rictive elauses in their fivonr were inserted in the railw:y det: lecels Intelligencer, Mar. 11, 18:30, ן. 3, Ji:n. 23, 18:36, J. 3, and Aןril 2:3, 18:36, J. 3.
 Weclared that there was not chough tranlie to warrant the additional facilition of carriage ${ }^{2}$. The comminsioners of ritar matigations "pponed railways that wombla probably take nway some of their tratlice, for the sume reabrins as they opposed the eomberiction of camb parallel to or out of the river aser which they hat eontrol, mamely, that the river womld
 alone the river wonld be materially injured mad in danger of immatation; that the folls from the trattice ramaning on the river womld not be sulliciont to defray the intereat of the deht and expensen of maintemance: and that the valice of adjacemt eatate and of the mereantile preperty
 the canals and camal carrices were the ment madefatigathe of all the opponents of the railway. Thes would ine vitably lose more than most other intereated partien he the entranter of this hew and effective rival into a realm which they had thomght was preampted by thenselves; and in proportion to their prohahle fose was their cefent to salve themselves from the impending disisterm ${ }^{-1}$.

But if the freight carrick be water were vigorons in their animesity to railways. we should expeet that the emathing entablislunents would also be hatile to them, becamse of insading their fied for the emweyance of passengers. And, of conse, ranged with the eond proprictors we shonded expect to lime others whene interests were dosely bound up with the proybrity of the enolhing and the carrying trade on the roads. Whether it is beanse our intombention aloug this line is not on complete, of becanse there was lew capital embarked an roald earriage than in camal carriage-from whatever eanse. we do not tind the same volume of eomplant and the same kermens of :mbandiom from the representativer of the carriers along the highways that we find fiom those intereted in the waterways. It wonld be wholly monatmal for those lange eonecrns that had from zoot to b:300 homese or ceat thone whelh hatd a much smatler Mosincos, to allow their enterprise to be disintegrated withont making efforts to save it : but while they petitioned Darliament to eare for their interests, they did not, apparently, cmdeavom to arouse such a sto a of "pposition as did the eamal forees. It must not be muderstond,

## 

${ }^{2}$ Leichls Intelligencer, Mar 4. 18:30, p. 3. Mar. 11, 18:30, p. 3, and Mar, 18, 1s:30, 1. 3: Sheflield Iris, April \%. 18:3.5, p. 4, on the Sheflide and Rotherbam Railway lill.
 18:3. p. 1.

 1. 3, on Manchester amd Lecds Rinilway 13ill.
howescr, that their elams upon publie presented; but. in seckiag favonrabl
ideration were not strongly ،On they did not stir up disaffection amomig other classes of the comm mity in order to secure their eo-ingeration. The eharacter of their opposition. in trying to mphold their own stability and permamenee, is well exemplified by a petition to the House of Lords from coach proprictors, post-masters and wargonmasters on the limes of read! Eetwen London. Woreester, Hereford and Gloncester, asking for protection of their interest by the rejection of all applications for railwass in gencral, and, particularly, for the Liverponl and Sirminghan, and Sirmingham and London milwayst,

Of a smilar mature to the foregoing was the opposition of trustees of turnpike roads and of those who had loaned them money on the security of the tolls. 'They were averse to the building of a railway which would take traflic from that highwas and thereby reduce the amount of toll that would be eoflected at the gates; for if the tolls were to beeome lessencel, the seeurity for the money loaned would be correspondingly lessened², while the revemes for the maintenanee of the road and the payment of interest on the debt would be depleted. When it was kinown in advanee that a railway was to be constuct d which would probably have this effect upon a certe "n tumpike, very few persons were ready to bid for the gates at the time they were put up at anction: and, reasoning from analong with those cases where railwas had aheady made thenselves felt, the few bidkers who did eone forward wonld not assime the risk of taking the gates exep at greatly redneed rentals. When trustees fomed such diffentics in the financing of their roads after the completion of a railway, it is little womele that they objected to the introduction of the latter knowing that their diflienties womld inerease with the passage of the rears.

Some fowns rejected the boon that was offored them, and opposed the railwass so strongly that they would not allow the eompany to build their line within the city limits. $V_{0}$ in intance, to satisf: the people of Northampton and to meet their objections, the London and bimminghm Railway Compange eamed their roadway a considerable distanece from the town, and built their works and bops at Woherton, instead of, as origimilly intended, at Northampton ${ }^{3}$. The town of

[^15]Maidstone in Kent assailed the South Eastern Railway so vigorously that the Dover line was carried far away from them ${ }^{1}$. Owing to representation from Windsor, a clanse was inserted in the Great Western Railway Act forbidding any station at that important town ${ }^{2}$. It was not till after repeated applieations that a branch of the Great Western was allowed to be constructed to Oxford ${ }^{3}$; and then the authorities of the miversity had a clause inserted by which the station at Oxford was to be erected at a spot as remote as possible from the colleges ${ }^{4}$. Perhaps the opposition of Oxford University and of Eton College was the most vehement that the Great Western Railway encomutered. The latter institution refused to allow the ralway to cone within three miles of the school; and in the railway Aet Eiton College ohtained 'he insertion of a chanse forbidding the erection of a station at Slough and requiring the emprany to provide policemen to patrol the line for a certain distance on each side of Slough so as to safegnard the Eton boys from danger. But although the Aet forbade the builhing of a station at Slough, yet the railway trains, from the very first, stopped there to set down and take up passengers, and as an oflice the company used two romis in an adjoining public-house. On account of this supposed breach of the law, the Eton College officials cutered stit against the railway company; but it was showe that the latter had observed the terms of the Aet of incorporation and consequently the snit was dimissed ${ }^{5}$.

Local jealonsies of one kind and another aroused opposition to railways that were highly advantageous from the point of view of puhlic benefit. Farmers near a large centre of population were formd to object to the constriction of a railway back from that centre into the more distant comery, lest their monopoly in a lucrative market would thereby be broken up, because produce grown at a much greater distance from that market conld come into competition with that grown in the nearer areas. As an illustration of this, we note that the

[^16]Great Western Railway was opposed by the Middlesex, Berkshire and Buekinghamshire farmers because they feared that London would be able hy this means to get food supplies from a distance at cheaper prices than those at which they had been acenstomed to selling '. Of the same nature apparently, was the opposition to the proposed 'Tees and Weardale Railway, in 1829, tha opponents of the line asserting that the ontlet for North Durham coal by the rivers Tyne and Wear was sulficient, while its adroeates desired additional facilities of outlet by the riwer Tees ${ }^{2}$. Some were averse to railways beeanse they feared that thereby trade would be transerrea from ane place to amother. For example, the contemplated Great W'catern Railway stiored up some of the people of Bristol beeanse of their a..irm lest a large part of the trade then transacted at that eity should be afterward eentred at Loudon. If the prodnets which were bronght into Bristol from Ireland were to be taken to London immediately upon tineir arrival at the quay, it was thought that the mereantile interests of the former city would be injurionsly affeeted; and if the shipping and the West India trade should subsequently foeate at London, instead of remaining at Bristol, this western emporiun of eommeree would be sacrified and the "ancent and once-flomrishing eity of the splendid name" would probably he dismantled ${ }^{3}$. Sometimes the owncrs of eoal mines at a certain place opposed the introduction of a raikway that would enable other coal mines to compete with theirs, and this local monopolistie spirit chavacterized much of the antagonism that railway promoters realized ${ }^{4}$.
${ }^{1}$ Proccellings of the Girent Western Rataet! Company, pp. 7, 13. We observed the same objection to the extension of the turnpike system from london to the remote parts of the kingdom. Set Raitioay Times, iv. p. 212. artiele enlilled "Railways and the Mgricultnral Inte ests," showing that the prices formerly received by the farmers of sontoall and ler vale. eo. Niddlesex, for their eattle when sold in the landon market hat hern fereed down by reasen of the great mumers of Gattle and sheep that had been bronght by the Great Western Railway from the Weat of lingland to Lombon. Jhit, of eomese, there were eompensating advantages that the farmers enjoyed, even if they had forgoten them.
${ }^{2}$ The Times, May 4, 1821, p. 2.
${ }^{3}$ Biestol Mercury, Feh. 16, 18:33, p. 2, and Mirr. 2, 1s:3. p. 2, Ictters from "scrutator." It scems strange that this man shombl have been showing how Bristol wombl decline after the railway was comstructed, when another man, signing himself "A burgess." was, at the same time. writing a series of thirty" letters on the trade of bristol, showing the eanses which hat b, aght about its decline and the means necessary for its revival (Bristol Mereury, Fơ), 2, 18:33, p. 2: Fech, 9, 18:33, 1. 2; Feh, 1f, iع33, p. 2: Feh, 2:3, 18333, 1. 2; cte.).

4 Mancheste, Gu.rdian, Feb. $26,1831, p$. 3 , concerning the Oldham lesilway; Sheffell Iris, Oct. 7. 18:34. p. 1, prospertus of the proposed ratway from Sheflield to Rotherham; ibid., Mar. $3^{\circ}, 183 \overline{3}, ~ p .2$, editorial on the sheffied and Rotherham

Upon the other forms of, or reasons for. opposition to railways we shall dwell but brienly. Some turned against them as enterprises in which money would be sunk without any adequate return, considering them as a new and dangerous form of speentation ${ }^{1}$. It was contended that the actual amoment invested in them wond greatly exeeed the estimates that had been made for the purpose of inducing capital to embark there ${ }^{=} 1_{1}{ }^{1}$, and henee there would soon be finaneial embartassment when inners sonk not be paid on the great investment. Moreover, the ahmorption of the national capital to such a vast extent would divert u from more legitimate channels; and the faet that railways were not regarded by some as legitimate enterprises would seem to have been chicfly due to branding the whole system with the same eharacteristies that had been displayed in the ease of some ernde and ether dishonest ventures². When many plans were being formed for railways, it wa; incritable that some ill-devised and delnsive sehenes were eneonraged, which ended in the ruin or injury of those eoneerned in them; and also some dealers in shares who profited in one ease hut lost in another nised umworthy means of accrediting or disparagring particular mudertakings. Thus, no matter how good and substantial the enterprise, it might be given a wrong charaeter, at least for a time, and in this way be snbjected to popular condemmation.

I Ailway; ibid., April 7, 1883., p. 2, on the Shellield and Rotherham Railway; ibid., sept. 15, 18:35, p. 4, letter from W. Ibbotson. See also The Times, June 17, 18:36, p. 3.
'Arin's Birmingham Guzette, Oct. 4, 182.4, p. 3, letter signeal "Common Seuse;" Remarks upon Pamphlet by "Investigator" on the Iroposed Birmingham and London Railatay, pp. + , 0 ; P:arkes, Claim of the Snbecribers to the Birminghum and Lizerpoel Kailroad, pp, 3-4, 6:1-(i7. Vallance, sinling Capital in Railucays, pp. (i-23, warned against investing in ralways the $\mathrm{e} 30,000$, , 000 which at that time ( 1825 ) it was proposed to lay ont upon them. His opinion was that it was donbtfal whether the railway eondid travel with safety at more than six miles an hour (f. 18), i.c., abont half the rate of the eoach ( $\mathrm{p}, 30$ ) ; that, at that rate, the railway could not travel regularly and uniformaly from the beginning to the end of the journey (pp. 19-90); and that, becamse it had to earry si much coal and water, the locomotive would not be able to do its work at the least posible expense. Sinee railway operation was therefore defective in all these essentials, the people should leware of sinking capilal in this new mode of transit.
${ }^{2}$ Herepath's Railatay Magazine, N.S., in, IיJ. 2t-9\%, "On Railways as Investments." This writer says that it was very olvions that the greater number of capitalists were not friendly to railways and generally stood aloof until the prolit of the work was known. The great fundiolders and the landed proprietors, with few exeeptions, hung back from enterprises which were ultimately very suceessfnl; while the eommercial elasses, who were aceustomed to traeing out results from the uperation of certain principles, were the men who Had been chiefly responsible for the development of national improvements.

Railways were at times prevented from, or delayed in, obtaining leqristative sanction on aeeome of the political expedients that were resorted to. Not infrectuently the llouse of Lords blocked measures that had passed the Ilonse of Commens after eareful inquiry and close sernting: Sones members of' a committee to which a particular bill had been referted, were known to abent themsclses from all hearings upon the bill because they either had no interest in or were opposed to it, and to walk into the committec room just before the time for the decision in order to give their vote adversely ${ }^{1}$. In other eases, some of the supporters of a bill. from motives of delicace, abstained from altending at all and this left the measure in the hands of an opposing majority, so that after all the expense of time and money involved in hearing witnesses and paying parliamentary agents, the measure was foredonmed to rejection. With the commiltees mpon private biils comstituted as they were, it was not always the best line that seemred favonable consideration: hat that line was likely to be carried whieh conld excrt the greatest influence in commanding public attention and obtaining the predominance in the committee ${ }^{2}$.

Some very trivial reasons were oceanionally given for the opposition that was manifoted to railway projects. Sometimes they were opposed for the same reason that stage coaches in carly days were opposed, namely, becanse they would induce people to go flying about the comntry, instad of attending to their work at hone? Some were afraid that the relocity at which the trains womld travel wonld oecasion great aceidents and the suggestion was made that it might 'be desirable to establish every five or six mites along the line what would be practieally well-equipped hoopitah to take care of the injuredst At other times railway bilh fiated to pass beeane of incignifieant breaches of the standing Orders when the meanme was broweht before the committecs. Exen where a noble landowner knew that the projeeted railway would not injure, but rather immensely berefit, his property, he still opponed it, without being able to assign any valid reason for

[^17]this decision ${ }^{1}$. In fact, until the railways had fulty demonstrated their utilly there was active opposition to every scheme that was brought forward; railways, :uparentiy, were treated as nuisances and every impediment was thrown in their way to caluse the promoters to desist from such activity'. We do not wonder, bowever, at the rootcd prejuclice to the railways, when such a great engineer as Thomas Telford was strongly opposed to them ${ }^{3}$.

Among those who took a prominent part in the disenssion in favour of railways were George Stephensou and his son Robert, Nicholas Wood (who was intimately associated with George Stephenson), William James and Thomas Gray. Perhaps the last-named, more than any other, kept this subject before the public, not only by his contributions to the curent press, but by a work of considerable magnitude on what he calted a "general iroin railway.4". His mind was full of this one idea, aud he gave it expression on all oceasions. The locomotive engine was sure to supersede all other kinds of conveyance, and even to do away with the necessity of horses. He would, therefore, leave the turnpike roads as they were, and perfect steam railways as a system more in keeping with the time and with the inereasing traflic of a conmercial nation ${ }^{5}$. And, as for canals, he deplored the fate of engincers still wasting, as he thought, their time and the public money in these delusive speculations. He warned the publie against subseribing to canal schemes, "for the time is fast appronehing when railways must, from their manifest superiority in every respect, supersede the necessity both of canals and tumpike-roads, so far as the general commerce of the

1 Shiffich Iris, Scpt. :22, 183.5, p. 4, tetter of W. Hboteon.
${ }^{2}$ Observations on the Comparatire Merits of Narigations and Railroats, pp. 43 ff .; Shaen, op. cit., 1p. 29-30.
${ }^{3}$ I Imobiograplyy of sir Johin Remnie, p. $2+4$.
4 The complete title is Observations on a Gencral Iron Rail-zay, or Land steam Comreyance; to supersede the Necessity of Horses in all Public Jehicles; showing its tast Superiority in every respect, over all the present Pityui alethods of Coneyance by Thrmilie Moals, Canals, and Coasting Traders. Conlaining ezery Speries of Informabion relative to Rail-roads and Loco-motive Eingines. The first edition was published in 18.1 and the fifth in 182.5
${ }^{8}$ See letter of T. Gray in Genlleman's Magazine, xerv, 1't. ©, p1. 31:3-16; also Gray, General Iron liailicuy. pu), vii, xx-xxi, 9 , 6, ete. IIe speaks of the many complaints as to the state of the roads and of the impossibility of finding an effectual remedy: of the aceumulating debt on the turnpike roads, as shown by their annual statements; of the waste in trying to keep them up, for they had "nothing, save folly and extravagance, to recommend them." He favoured the "general introduction of mechanic power, so as completely to supersede the neecssity of horse power in all pmblic wagqons, stage and maib-coaches, and post-chaises" (p. xi).
${ }^{6}$ Cifnlleman's Magazine, xav, 1't. 2, 1p. 313-16.
commery is concerncti." The expense of making a cumal and canal boats, the experne of meni : wares, of horses keep, ete., he thonght, must render the transport of merchandise nmel dearer by eamal than by an improved raikay which combined eeonomy of time and of labour.

Grays sheme is anteresting one. He wond have the railway system modertaken as a mational work; for muless the mation took np the matter it would not be carried out on proper principles ${ }^{2}$. He would have a national board appointed to introluce the most simple and general principle of uniform comexion thronghont the conntry; there shouk be facility of national communication by having miformity of rails and vehieles and provision should the made for the casy interehange of traflic. The central feature of his phan was to have a general iron railway centring at Lomdon, witl one main trumk line ruming from London to bdinhargh and another trank line from London to Falmonth ${ }^{3}$. From these. branches shombld be constrineted to mun to all the important places in the kinglom. so that London might be connected with all the industrial and commereial centres. By having these roads laid out in straight lines and on perfeet levels, the distances between the ehief places would be greatly redued and thos the time and the cost of carriage and travelling would be much lessened ${ }^{4}$. On these great through routes there should be different roadways for trains groing to and those departing from London; and as London was approached these shonld be inerensed in mumbers

By such a plan, Gray thought to see extended into every part of the eonntry the advantages which would lead to permanent prosperity; and so confident was he of the ultimate trimph of the steam railway, that he used every possible endeavour to seeure its aceomplishment. In 1820 and 1821 he smbmitted two addresses to Mis Majesty's Ministers

[^18]of State, showing the great national importance of his scheme; and again, in 1822, he urged its importanee mon them by giving a detailed aecount of its advantages ${ }^{1}$. In 1823 he reacwed his petition to the Ministers of state and asked for the appointment of a Sclect Committee of the Honse of Commons to investigate his plan ${ }^{2}$. He likewise petitioned the Board of Agriculture and tried to show them that the many important acivantages which his proposed system of railways would afford to the publie most overeome every prejudice and finally prevail over every other means of conveyanee ${ }^{3}$. In a petition to the Lord Mayor and Corporation of the eity of London, he reiterated the advantages that would result from the adoption of this new system of earriage; and asked them, in the interes is of the whole conntry, to favour the establishment of railways. But, whatever the reason may have been, Gray's mational railway projeet was not taken serionsly, for nothing was done towards its aceomplishment ${ }^{5}$.

The name of Willian James seems to have been given a place scondary in importance to that of Thomas Gray and the two Stephensons; and yet he was among the earliest, if not the earliest, of the originators and promoters of the system of passenger transit on railways. It appears that, as carly as 1799, he was engaged in laying out plans for railways, some details of whieh he gives in his memoranda ${ }^{6}$. This work was continued at least down to 1808 when his diary ends; and during that time he surveyed and completed many seetions of railroad that were to be used for the conveyance of coal to navigable waterways? In a paper addressed to the Grand Surrey Canal Company,
${ }^{1}$ Gray, General Iron Raikcay, pp. xvii-xviii.
${ }^{2}$ thicl., p. xix. ${ }^{3}$ Ibill., pp. xx-xxi.
4hid., plp. xxi-xxiii. He shows that by the railway the people of London might he regularly supplied with coal on comparatively reasonable terms, instead of "suffering under abominable extortion," under the existing conditions.
s Angone who will real Gray's book throngh will find some things which are visionary and eren the more serious part of the book contains mueh that would antagonize the mblic and turn them away from the writer of it. In the Raizay
 "The Railway System and its Author," giving the elief facts in comexion with the work of Thomas Gray for the introduction of railways into England. He was at that time ( $\mathbf{1 8} 45$ ) in destitnte cireumstances; and there was an agitation in favour of raising anm of money that wonk put him beyond the neeessity of hard manual work in thene dats of his old age. See also Wilson, The Railaery System and its Author, Thomas Gray, nore of E:xeter. A Letter to the Right Honourable Sir Robert l'eel, Bart. This was an appeal to Peel, by a friend of Gray's, that the latter might be relieved. and that his mame might have the honouralle place it deserved anong England's great men in comexion with the railways.
${ }^{\text {B }}$ I'., The Two James's and Two Stephensons, 1'. 18; Wining Journal, Dec. 5, 1857, in which is found James's diary down to 1808.

7 Ibid.
з. T. 프.
he spoke of himself as an experienced engineer, "in railroads especially;" nat other expressions of similar import are given in this same doemment. ${ }^{1}$. but the most remarkable part of his diary refers lo his plan for the formation of a general railroad company, with a capital of $£ 1,000,000$, "to take lands for ever to form railroad," and to fulfil other designated parposes ${ }^{2}$. We need not here follow his career and the testimony wheh was borne to his accomplishments; it will be suflicient to say that his wide experience and his ability were recognized at that time, but we have been mable to ascertain why his work has been overshadowed by that of his compeers ${ }^{3}$.

At this formative stage in the history of raikays it was to be expected that a considerable varicty of plans would he suggested for their construction and operation: they were an entircly new feature in the industrial and commereial world, and those who were most interested in them were groping their way in the endeavour to ascertain the eonditions of the greatest ceonomy for this new instrmment of conveganes. It will, therefore. not be amiss to note some of the proposals that were made, with the intention of securing this object, in the early years of the rainway development. Before the suceess of the locomotive engine had becu folly assured, the nae of the inclined plane on the coalearrying railways was, as we have seen, a feature of eommon ocenrence; and even after the tractive power of steam had beed demonstrated there were still some roads which were phaned by enginecrs of repnte, partly as inelined planes to be worked by stationary congines and partly level to be worked by locomotive engines. Instead of steam power, it was
${ }^{1}$ P., The Treo James's and Two Stephensoms, 1P. We. For instance, he says in that paper: ". . .and that the sabl railroads and all person or persons, and their servants, carriages, and eattle passing theron, shatl be muder the coutrol and management of the said William James and his co-partners...."
${ }^{2}$ thid., f. 23; also his diary referred to above.
${ }^{3}$ For the rest of his work, and his connexion with George Stephenson, see ibit., pr. 23-10\%. He advocated the possibility of athaining on railways a speed of twenty or thirty miles per hour-rontrary to the opinions of George Stephenson and Nieholas Wood, who thought that railway travelling conld never execed eight or ten miles an hour (pl, 40 ff.). Ewen Lobert Stephenson acknowledged that it was not his father, but Willame James, who was the "Father of Railways" (ibid., p. 105). See also Autobiography of Sir John Renuic, plp. 23t-ti.

* The Cromford and High l'eak Railway, from the Cromford Canal to the Peak Forest Canal in Derbyshire, was made to rise by inclined planes to the summit level of one thonsand feet above the former eanal amd then descemb seven hombed and sisty feet to the latter eanal. The rough country there made it necessary to propose the eonstruction of both level parts and inclined plames. on the former of which Lenemotive engines wete to be used and on the latter stationary engines (A/amehester Ginzette, Nov. 13, 1s:24, p. 3). On the Stockton ahm barlington hailway they had both levels and inelined planes and both kiads of engine. They abo used horse-power
not infrequently phanned to we herse power, either on the incline or on the levelt. As late as 1829 , when the Lecels and helly Railway was in prospeet, it was decided to make the operation of the line possible by either horse power or locomotive engines, or to permit the eompany to nse loemotive carriages if this were thonght desirable ${ }^{2}$. George Stephenson, who had survesed that line in 15s.5, had recommended for part of the line three inelined planes which conld be worked by horse power or stationary engines, aud for the remainder of it leved raches upon which meomotive engines cond be employed. But in 18:9, after steplenson's suggestions had been rejeeted by the eommittee that lad the work in charge, James Walker, who had ako come into great prominenee as a railway engineer, was asked to re-smrey the line; and his opinion was decidedly in favour of the miform system withont inclined planes. Under these eirenmstanees each shipper cond ntilize the line most favourably; and he calembated the strength of the raits, so that althongh at first horses would, in all probability, be the prineipal power used, yet docomotive engines might be used then or at a later time ${ }^{3}$. With acemmulaterl experience of the results seened on railways, it beeame evident that for all ordinary purposes, where there would probably be trallic in both directions, the more nearly the line approximated to a perfect level the more ceonomically could it be operated and the more eflicient would be its serviee.

Another suggestion that seemed to find some favour was that railways might very aceeptably be laid down at the sides of the ordinary highways and might be worked by either stean or horse-power. By this plan, the cost of the roadway would be greatly redneed, for the intilization of the land at the sides of the pmblic roads for such a public purpose would not call for the enormons expenditures that were made by existing railways for the right of eminent domain. The earrying of
(.Jeans. Iubile Memorial of the Raikay System, plo. 33-3.5, 43, 533-51). See also Walker and liastrick, Liverposil aml Mameliester Raikay. Report to the Directors on the Comparative Merits of Locomotive and F'ixed Engilles, pp. 3, 4, showing that there were inclimed platies and stationary engines on other colliery roads, suela as those of the Hetton Colliery and the Brmaton and Shields. Sce also Woond, I'ractical Trratise of Railroads (182.5), II. 93-123, and A Fea General Obserzations on the Primeipal Raineays, IPD. ix, 19-20, showing that in 1838, on the stockton and Darlington and on the Leieester and Swannington, there were hoth self-acting and stationary-engine inclined planes, and these abrupt inelines were gieat drawbaeks on all railways.

1 Walkr and Rastrick, op. eit., p. 49. Hastrich here shows the great advantige of the locomotive over horse-power.
${ }^{2}$ Lefels Intelligeneer, Nov. 5, 1829, p. 3, on the Leeds and Selby Railway.

* Macturk, Mistor!y of the IInll Raitaays, pp. 18-33, qives Walker's report to the committer of the proposed Leeds and Selloy IRailway Commany.
railways along the comen of the highways would not eanse the dislocation of the nimal currents of trate: How ims alkng the roads womld not suffer, the varions cotablishments that had grown in as links in the combomary trade cirentation wond not be endangered, the diverse interents that had grown ip aromud the system of road carviage wombd not be threatened with amihatian, and consequently the chanere from the old rixime to the new would be aceomplished with an little adrerse effeet as powible. So hopefal were some in regard to the appli atom of this methool that a writer in 182e? oherved that "it is therefore nothing problematical to expeet in the conrse of the next ten gears to see railways by the roadsides extending from London, Liverpool, Hall, kdinlmegh," ete. ${ }^{1}$ He asserted that by having ralloads laid down on the high road from London to idiverpool, the mails drawn by a light locemotive ec.gine might aro this distance, eot miles, easily in twelve homes, carrying twiee their nsual mumber of passengers and at math lower eost². Another, in 1s:33, considered horse-power more conomical than steam, and he wond hate this appliced on tram or ralwars eomstructed as nearly as possible along the sides of the turnpike roads ${ }^{3}$. This suggestion was not the prodnet of rinonary minds, for cren such a competent engineer as Fairtaim advocated the plan'. In addition to seemring the advantages already mentioned, of reducing the cont of consmetion and perpetnating the existing trade rontes with all their appointments for commercial purposes, railways located in this way would canse no invasion of estates, arainst which there was much complaint at that time. The deereased cost of construction would result in lower freight rates: and the inereased trallic along the roads would ensne the receipt of tolls sullicient to repay the debts mon the varions turnpike trosts. If the railways were built and owned by the state, as was sugereded by Fairbairn, all revemes therefrom womblacerne to the state. We see, therefore that there were several reasons why this would appeal to the public: bat w!en we remember that the loemotive engine works most conomically on long lines of straight road we can see one phesical reason why this method was not adopteds. The fact, too, that some

[^19]"pparentty impractical conceptions were associated with this scheme, must have militaterl agaimst its serions consideration.

Another phan for the improvement of railways has the name of Henry R. Palmer anociateck with it . He propesed that where substances were likely 10 get on the rails, as was enstomary when they were so chere to the surface of the eremed, the raits should be ele wated; but to chevate two lines of rail wombld cost too much, and, therefore, he woukd conkavour to arrauge the form of a carriage so that it would travel upon a single line of rail withont owertmrning. His method was to hase the cariage so constructed that the two parts of it would batance upon the rail. irrespective of whether the mmber of passengers or the amomet of freight were the same in each compartment ${ }^{2}$. A line of railway on this suporision prineipte was ematrocted for practical purposes of demonstration at Chesh mi, in Hertfortshire; apparently, it did its work succenflully and answered the design in evers respeet ${ }^{3}$, but it was intented more to exemplify the primeple upon which it worked than to actually engage in the generat carriage of all linch of traflic. Why it was not employed as a rerblar menus of comecranes, we hate not the means of detcrmining, althongh it was probably becanse of mechanical defects; and from that time onward all efforts at secming a workable monerail system were musucessful, matil within the hast few years when the gyroseope seems to have exhibited its practicability for the carriage of passengers at a high rate of speed.

We mont now return from this digression as to the attitude of the public at this earte time foward the railways, and the consteration of some of the proposals for securing their greatest effectiveness, to resume the historieal development of the network of lines which was soon spread over the comitry, Throngh the disenssion which was gring on among congeners and the pulbic enconally, it was becoming cvident that, not only Trom a mechanical standpoint ${ }^{4}$, but also economically, the ralway
Missisippi, st Lawrence. Thames, etco, witl now be deserted for land conveyance, when his systom is put into effert. See his chapters vi and wiii for such ethereal projects.
${ }^{1}$ I'almer, Description of a linitacty on a Neac Principle.
${ }^{2}$ The details of the blam may he fomm in the work last referred to.
3 if fill deseription of this rathay and its method of operation is very elearly siven in The Times, Jume $2 \mathrm{z}, 1825, \mathrm{p}$. 3.
${ }^{4}$ On the retative mechanieal adrantages of railways, eanals and turnpike roads. especially the two former, see sivlvester's Report on Railruads; Macharen, Raitarays ass complared with Canads anel 'ommon Ronts, p. 5s eft seq.; 'Predgoht on Railroads; Nieholas Wond, Iractical Treatise on Raitrouds; ant eontrast these with Gordon, Obscrations on Ruilierays and Turnpike Roads, P1. -11 , who thonght that the meehanieal odvantage of an edpe railway was small when compared wath a good turnike roal. Of these mehnieal fratures we w!n!! :nt trat here.

Wha to dargely supersale both the eamal and the highway as a means
 thee smeerss of the coall-roats, and reperoinlly of the Stacktont and Dirlinglont, on which foconnotior cergines were being lased with admimble

 Stockton and Darlington was lhe acason for the conat raction of the other roalds which were opermed a few years afterward; on lhe combary, at leant twoof the mont important roald were projected before the steckton and Darlineton line was opened, namely, the liverpool and Manchester mad the liverpool and Birmingham. As we hate alreadye seen, the ehicif reanon why the railways eime inter exintenee wis beeanace of the need of more ndequate facilition for eonverance than the eamals comblate. The enormons prolits which some eanals were making were also an indncement for railwass to come in and seenre a share of these bernefits, ated the sheress of existing rabroads. giving additional encouragoment to the profretors of new lines, hasl an important offect in initiating these enterprines along rontes where they were mand ineeded.

What we have just said applies with spereial foree to the trambertation conditions and requiremonts betwern Liverpool and Manehester. Under the stimmhes of the Indmatrial Kevolntion, which assumed its greatest prominence in the cot $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{on}}$ ind antry of Latneashire. Villages had grown into lowns and towns into large cities. Sime the year 1760 Manchester and Salford, which are separated by only a small river, and which are combilered as one, his : probably inereased in popmition at least cight-fold before 1 sisu' . 'The interease in the amome and value of

1 The sreat profits of the mavigations between liverpool and Minchester are considered when we come to take up the neressity for the Jiverpool and Manchester Jailway. Regariling the protita of the Bridgewater Camal, see abo larkes, Claim of the Subseribers, V . 24 . As to the amome of the profits of the Birmingham, Cramd Trmak and other canal compmies, see larkes, op. rit., If. 16-201, 21, 43-11, 61.


 the "inombate profits" that the camals hat enjoved boug emongh.
${ }^{2}$ Sandars" pannehtet on tho Liverpoot and Manchester Raikeay gives we the


> in 17.57 - 19, 5:37 (cstimaterl)
> 17:3 - 27,2и6 (catimated)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1824=163,885^{\circ} \text { (estimaterl). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Boothis figures were: in) 1760 about 20.0060 )

the entton mannfactured thare !ad heen very kient, mumbinting to fifty per cent. in the eight years following the elose of the Napoleonic warl. So grent had been the change, that, while in istit there was not one power loonn in Manchester, in 1 set there were nearly 30,000 of them ${ }^{2}$. Manchester hat become the foens of a large mamefactariag pepmatation, from which large guatitites of eotlon goods were sent to liverpool and thenee to all parts of the world. liverpool atso was rapidly attaming eomonercial importance and as a senport was seendel only to dondon. Her population hat almost dombled lietweent 1800 and $1825^{3}$; and her colonial and foreign trade had been makinge great progress, as is shown by the tomatige and customs statisties'. Foreign produce of all kinds
'Th following figurey shaw this fact:
Cotton mannfactared at Manchester

| Ye:r | (1) Qumatity | (b) Vialue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181.5 | 110,(0x), (\%e\%) lis. | \&7, 18\%,569. |
| $18 \pm 3$ |  | \& $10,875.0$ (\%) |

 steam-engine in use in Manchenter while in 1824 there were over two hundred.
${ }^{3}$ sambars geves the following fignes for the population of Liverpool:

```
in 17:0 = 11,8:3:3 (estimaten)
, 1700=0.7%% (estimated). Same ligure given by [Corryl, Ifistory of
, 1801= 7-.70s (comst1s)
,, 1811= 01,370 (cons!14)
* 18O1=118,:17:2 (ce|m|a)
, 1824=135,000 (estimated).
```

- Onthe imports, exports nult shipping of Liverpool, see Brit. Doc. 182.5 (182), 11, 409, anll 182.5 (\%06), it, 413.

According to Sandars (p, 4t), the statinties of tonnage and dock duties at Liverpoot were an follows:

| Year | No. of ship | Tonamge | Dock duties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17.53 |  |  |  | $s$. | d. |
| 1760 | 1,24.5 | - | 1,7\% | \& | 2 |
| $17 \% 0$ | 2,0\% | - | -,330 | 6 | 7 |
| 1780 |  |  | 4,142 | 17 | ! |
| 1760 | 4.23:3 | - | 3,0ํ | 7 | 9 |
| 1 1skI | 4.746 | $4.50,060$ | 23,379 | 13 | ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 1815 | 4.618 | +663,482 | 33,304 | $1: 3$ | 1 |
| 181.5 | 6,4.40 | \%09,84! | 76,91: | 8 | 8 |
| 142\% | 8,136; | 89290 | 102.403 | 17 | 4 |
| $18: 1$ | 10,001 | 1,180.914 | 130,911 | 11 | 1 |

From these fignres it is evillent that the tonnage of this port had much more than dontled between 1800 an d 182.4 , while the doek duties in 1824 were atmost six times as much as in 180G. Sanlars gives these fignres for rach yeur but hio fog:tee
passed daty from Liverpoot to Manchester and manufactmed goods went from Manchester to Liverpool, whence they reached the worlds markets. The ammunt of this interchange of eommodities between these two cities was enmervatively estimated at 1000 tons a day and it was emastantly increasimes. This great advanee in population and in industry meant a greatly increased demand in the fiefilities for handling both in-coming and out-going freight.

Inw had this inereaing demand for earrying facilities been met by the existimg tramportation apencion? The eont of carriage by hand was 40.s. per ton, which was so high as to be ahmont prohibitory for all groods except those of the linest quality and highest value. In reality, land carriage was more largely eoneroned with the earrying of passengers than of grods. athomgh, on acomut of dehys ber water carriage, it frequently oceurred that wagrons and carts had to be resorted to for taking eotion up to Manchester and mambactured goods back to Liverpoll'. This was donce in the fiace of a freight rate that was three times that on the canal, in order to secmere speedy and eertain delivery of grools that, were required for immediate shipment from 'dierpool ${ }^{3}$. But the carriage of most of the heave goods was done ly the na vigation companies, which felt themselves sceure in the possession of a monopoly that they had long enjoyed to the publie detrment. To the consideration of this momopoly we now tath onr attention in order that we may see how their work had been carried on.

By various devices, both the Marsey and Irwell (or "Old Quay") Navigation Company and the Bridgewater Canal Trustees had eontrived to raise their rates above what the were legally allowed to et me ${ }^{4}$.
for the carlier years do mot exactly correspond with those of Einfied. Eissay tozerarls llistory of Laterpow?, pu. ©i-is!.




In 1 ist there were cight bige of American cotton importal into Liverpool ; in 1824 there wore 400,670 biss. Of this, the great bulk weml to Manelester (Booth,
 slows the progress of the porl of liverpont from 1 set in 18:30.

 (ompany," as given in koolh, proll.

- Samlars, op. (it., p 1\%.
 ment from Liverpool. ofte" paid
${ }^{4}$ Conerming the comblitions of carriage by then two routes hetween Iiverpeot
 et seg., in his deneription of their methods. Jis hatamenswere wheontradieted, were hased mon ducumentary evidence and bore the sanction of authority.

The Old Quay Navigation Company, by their Aet of 1733, were allowed to levy a tomage daty of 3 s. Ad. per tom, bat were not rew ricted as to the rate of charge for freight. They adhered faithfully to this rate of tomage; but as they owned nearly all the warehouses in Manchester on the banks of their navigation they were able to make much more revenue by freight, since for the the of these warehouses they could charge what they pleased and without the warchonses the mavigation wonll be nacless. In this way the were able to put their (hameses up so high as to drive all the other eartiers off the navigation, and thus almost monopolize the earrying taide on their route. It will be observed that, in increasing their charges, this company did not violate their own laws. But this eamot be said of the Bridgewater Tristees, who, apparently. tramseressed in several waye the stathtory anthority under which they were expected to operate, as we shall now show. The proprictor of the liridgewater Canal was bonud by his Aets not to charge more than 2 es. fid. per ton for canal dhes: and for this charge the buke was required to provide, for all persons earrying grods on his canal, wharfuge or wathonse room for a certan period of time. He also bound himself not to charge more than fis, a ton (tonnage included) for amy goods which he might earry by his own ressels ${ }^{1}$. How was this fulfilled?

On the suggestion of Brindley, who surveyed the Trent and Mersey Conal. the Duke arranged with the 'Trent and Mersey Caual Company to mite the two canals at IPreston Brook in order to faciitate the tramsfer of goods from one catal to the other; and His Grace contracted to cut the eanal from theret. Rmenm at his own expense. By this means the two canals world have a common ontlet to the Mersey tideway and thus the commmication would be more convenient and complete ${ }^{2}$. In consideration of hi, expenses in making and maintaining the canal from Preston brook to Rumeorn and the neecesary locks and other works to aceompany the canal, the Drke was empowered to receive a tomage duty of fide per tom on all geocls destined to enter the Trent and Mersey Camal ${ }^{3}$. This sum was exacted by the Duke on all goods that were conwey between Liverpool ind Mancheter, in addition to the 2s. Gid. allowed by his own Acts, although he would have had to bring his canal to Remeorn and charge no more than the $2 s$. 6id, if he had not orranged with the Trent and Mersey Camal Company. This made the tomnage duty between Liverpool and Manchester is.

[^20]But this wan not all. His Grace honnd himself to the Trent and Mersey Canal Company that if he ever fombl it necessary to make inereased aceommodation at Rmmeorn, the tomage charge shomk still not be more than Gd . I H soon found it necessary to eombtruet a large reservoir there into which vessels destined to enter his eanal were admitted at tide time. Ife pretended to constract this for his own vessels, but he kindly permited those of other carriers to enter on condition that they paid 1s. per ton for the privilege, which was amont a neeresity. This amoment he collected on all grods passing along his own canal, as well as on those dentined tor places along the Treni and Mersey. This raised the tomage to fo. per ton.

A third means of increasing this tomage rate remains to be pointed ont. When the Rochdake Canal Company obtained its Aet for enttiner a line from Rochdale to Manchester, the Duke of Bridgewater obtained permision to make the loek to conneet his camal with the Roehdale; and for this he was empowered to leve 1s. 2d. per ton on all goods which passed his lock, as indemnification for the loss which his warchonse property might sustain by this junction. In return tor this payment he was bound to find warehonse roont, gratis, for the goods for a certain limited time. Instead of this legal charge, he cxacted the 1s. 2d. per ton on all goots that were carried on his canal hetween Liverpool and Manchester, whether the, passed the junction lock or not. In this way he mamaged to sechre ss. 丷d. per ton on all goods carried on his line, while the Legishature never intended him to have more than $2 s$. $6 d$.

In addition to this moluly high charge, another extortion of the Bridgewater Trmstees was that all froods which passed from Liverpool to Runcorn to enter the Trent and Mersey Canal had to pay abont twice the amome of freight which they should have paid, owing to the fact that these Trustees had monopolized nearly the whole of the land and warchouses at Rameorn. They wouk not allow the goods to be landed at all withont paying what they asked.

It is evident, therefore, that each of the existing navigations between these two great cities was aeting so as to get the greatest possible amonnt from the service rendered; each was operating as a virtnal monopoly. The Duke was strongly advised to buy the Mersey and Irwell Navigation
 fident of the superiority of his canal and rejected the offer ${ }^{1}$. But
${ }^{1}$ On his refusall, it was boneht by sone Manchester merelants and in the years proceding 18.5 it was producing in average ammal reveluc of about 415.000 ; in other words, the vearly receipts were one and one-hath times the total cost. Brit. Mus. 115.23 .5 . f. 77 , 'Observations on the General Comparative Alerits of Inland Communicution by Navigations or Railroads; py. 6-7.
although the Duke declined to purchase this Old River Navigation, it seems that the two companies found it advantageons to share the monopoly, with each other. The alliance of their interests was effected by an agrecment made in 1810; and in that year the two concerns publicly advertised that they had mutnally agreed upon an advanec of freight rates ${ }^{1}$. The rates of 1810 were neary three times thone of 1705 and about one-third more than those of $1824^{2}$. Of coume, by the latter year it wals becoming evident that there was the possibility of putting down a railway between these two places; and, apparently to placate those who wanted the railway, the navigation companies reduced their rates, but even the redneed rates were twice as much as those of $1795^{3}$. Lintil this possibility came before them there was an monalified refinsal to make any reduction ${ }^{4}$, and any objections made by shippers were met with insolence on the part of the navigation companies ${ }^{5}$.

Not only were the charges for tramportation high, but the delays in the earriage of goods were fften long and vexatious. These were oceasioned, sometimes because of the entire stoppage of the waterways by frost or drought, and at other times by their being bloeked up on acer,tut of the pressure of traflic. At times, storms and adverse winds prevented the navigation of the tideway of the Mersey, for it frequently oceured that when the wind blew very strong either sonth or north, the vessels conld not move against it. Merchandise was offer brought aeross the Attantic to Liverpool in twenty-onc days; while, owing to the varions canses of delay above mentioned, goods were in some instances longer tham this on their passage from Liverpool to Manchester ${ }^{6}$.
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus, as: 23.3. . 7 . 7 . Ohservations on the General Comparative Merits of ...Navigations or Railroads;' p . 7. The advertisment of this elange is givern in Liverpoel Aderfiser, sept. 29, 1810. Each company gate pulaic notice of the change of rates over the signature of its own agent and the two advertisements are exactly alike.
${ }^{2}$ Sandars, op. cit., If, 11-13.
${ }^{3}$ Hid., p. 1\%. Their rates in that year (1824) were: on leavy goots, such as corn, les. fird. per ton, and on light gools, like cutton, 1.s. per ton.

- See Ietter of Captain Bradshaw, who had charge of the Bridgewater Canal interests, in reply to a Memorial from the Corn Merchants ol i,iverpool anking for a reduction of freight. Ile refised to make any move toward such lowering if rates. Bradshaw's letter is given in full loy sandars, $p$. 12.
${ }^{5}$ If the merclant complained of delay, he was told to do better if he could. If he objected to the rates, tre was warned that if he did not pay promptly his goods might wot be carried at all.
- See 'Prospectus of the Liverpool and Mamehester Wailway,' as given in Booth, op. cit., p. 13. In requrd to this lack of carrying facilities and the delays, see also 'Collection of Prospecthses, Maps, ete., of Railuavs and Canals: p. it, and Brit. Mas. 08, ,35. f. 77 , 'Olaservations on the General Comparative Jerits of. . Navigrations or Railroals, p. \%.

Ewen the opponents of the railway did not deny that this had oecasionally been the ease ${ }^{\text {b }}$. But the callses of delay were mostly of such a mature that the navigation companies were powerless to effect muth change in them, for the foreses of nature were beyond their control ; and, therefore, in the complaints as to the inadequate serviec, more emphasis was laid upon the extortionate charges which were vohmantly imposed than upon the inpediments wheh eonld not be avoided ${ }^{2}$.

The results of this monopolistic poliey pursued be the two navigations were highly satisfactory to them, but not to the publie generally. For nearly half a century the thity-nine original proprictors of the Merey and lrwell Navigation had been paid cvery other year the total amome of their investment ${ }^{3}$ : and shares in that navigation company, the original enst of which was fro meh, had heen sold in 18.2 for $£ 1250$ each ${ }^{4}$. In the ease of the Bridgewater Camal, the results were similar; and one who knew the finameal position of that conecom an fully as anyone conk know it withont being a truste had good remon to beliese that. since about 1800 , the net income of this eanal had a weraged nealy $£ 100.000$ a year ${ }^{5}$. Remembering that the cont of this canal was £200.000 to 920,000 , we see that, at the above rate, the whole cost of the madertakise womb be repaid every two years or a bittle more. These statements are in aceord with that made by another in 1826, who, peaking upon this point, said that beemuse the canals had recently raised their rates they were them making more than 100 per cent. profit ${ }^{6}$.

1 13owth, oif, cit., p. 18.
${ }^{2}$ It was "the emormones charge for the freight of goods" between liverpool and
 delays were directis: traceable th the matiration complates, for it was a well-known
 ingetting brects or barges to conveg these things to Mandester. Timber had frequently heen detaned in diverpol a month for want of harese to cary it inland, and corn and ather commoditien had been delayed cight or ten days for lach of a
 marhet from which they hial suld diflemity in getting their groots (sundars. p. 16). The delays on the eamals also made it pessible for moth pilfering of eronds to be



 grown monepolies between Liverpool and Manchener.
${ }^{3}$ Sindiars, up. eit., p. 21 .
 सiven in Buoth, p. 12.
${ }^{5}$ Ibial., p. 21 . The fact that her one ventured to deny what sablars aid seems



From these facts, it is apparent that the monopolizing poliey of the two navigation companies was, for the time being, highly advantageons to them, althongh their benefit was seeured by means that were derogatory to the best interests of imbustry ${ }^{1}$.

In the light of whal we have here presented it is clear that a new line of conveyance was cosential if adequate provision were to be made for the growing needs of that distriet. The proprictors of the navigations said that, by allowing time for inereasing the mmber of their boats and the facilities for loading and moloading, they would be able to take eare of the increase of trade; but this would not put an end to the delays or redince the expenses of transport, against which there were such persistent eomplaints. Another eanal was ont of the question for the existing navigation had possession of all the water that was available; and it never seemed to ocenr to them that by lowering their rates they might perpetuate their business and also their profits? Canal navigation had faifed to mect the eonditions of an expanding trade and a developing industry; and therefore the only thing to do was to obtain parlianentary ant hority for laying down a railway, which would combine the requisites of speed ${ }^{3}$, coonomy ${ }^{4}$, and safety ${ }^{5}$.

In 1822 a project was formed for constructing a railway between thene two eities, on which carriages driven by steam should earry both merehandise and passengers at the rate of ten miles per hour. The expenses of a survey were contributed, and in the antumn of that year
${ }^{1}$ It was not the desire of the Duke of Bridgewater that his eanal should thus be used for the personal enriehment of the oue indivilual who eoutrolled it. On the contray, his will (which gave 1R. H. Bradshaw the position of "superintendent" of the Duke's possessions) showed that he intended the eanal for the pribid grod, for it says that the almost unlimited anthority conferred on the superintendent was "to the intent that the puhbic may reapy from the same those adsantares which I luye and trust the plan adoped in this my will is calculated to produec for their benclit" (Brit. Dus. 10,815. e. 35, Will of the Duke of Bridgewater, p. 20).


${ }^{3}$ In the passage from liverpool to Manchester, poots going by eanal took, on the average, abont $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{0}$ hours (simdars. p. 17). But by a railway it would not take more than one-sinth of that time. The railway would thet he !imdered by dronght or frost, or any of the other impediments and dangers of water earriage. The railway would have extra carriades ready to meet any momergeies of husimess and thins prevent deliy from that sourece.

- The rates by the railway would be preatly redneed and competition world prevent their beeoming exorhitant. Thus eoal and other neeessaries would be proenred eheaper than at presert. Goods shipped by railway from either terminus for the other would not have to brak bulk and be transhipped at the tideway.
* When grools were sent by ralway there would be no losses in the Nersey tideway dac to storms. There would be no breaking open of packages and pillaging of contents if the goods were in the railuly car (sandare, p. 17).

William James completed the survey and shegrested a line of road. Pablir notiees were given of the intention (o) apply to Parliament for authority to execute this line: bat, probably owing to the fear of oppowition from the whole body of inland navigation proprictors throughont the kingedom and for other canses, the measine was not followed nip'. The enterprice, howerer, was not allowed to sleep; men were sent to investigate the Stockton and barlington and other eoalread in the north, eppecially near Neweastle and sumdertand, where both locomotive and stationary engines were in mee for the eonseyance of coal. and alter their retmon it was decided to form a company for building a double raibway between Liverpool and Manchester. The promoters were men of the highest standing and influence in these two cities. On Oct. 29, 1se2, the company inned its prospectus, which detaled the reasons why the ralway was desired and the benefits to be secured hy it². In the carly part of 1 ses application was rede to Parliancent for an Aet to anthorize the combluetion of this road.
strong opposition was aronsed against this Bill. The proprictors of the three navigations which eonnected Liverpoel and Manchester forgot their former jealonsy and disagreenents and made common canse againt the proposed raikway. Their chicf argument was that of vested interests: that tibeir canals had been bronght into existenee moder the anthority of a former Act of Parliament and that :aw Parlianent conkd not consistently pass a Bill which would deatroy that property.3. But this pretest was taken away when it was shown that there was a great difference bet ween smperseding an old machine that had paici its owners thirty times over, and superseding one that had not paid its owners the amome of its first cont. As these mavigations belonged to the first chass, there cond be nothing against their being digplaced by more advaned means of earriage. In leagne with the navigation companies were the large landholders, like the Larls of Derby and Sefton, a part of whose estates would be crossed by the railroad. They opposed the railway beanse they believed the sametity of their domains would be insaded and the privace of their residenees deatroyed bey thas bringing into their neighbourhood a public highway. with its varied trallic of coal, merchandise and passengers'. The canal companies that were interented in the traflic of thin region issuled circulats calling upon"every.


- The full text of the pronpectus is givel in 13whth, Lizerpool and Manchester

${ }^{3}$ Sece report of the committee of the Honse of Commons on the Liverpool and


${ }^{1}$ IImsard's P'url. Dibates, N.S., Nu, p. 818; Hooth, op. cit., p. 15.
canal and mavigation company in the kingdom" to oppose to the utmost, and by a united cffort, the establistment of ralways wherever contemplated ${ }^{1}$ : and these must have had great influence when the eause was eqded by Bradhaw. the superintendent of the Bridgewater Canal, whose anthority was almost as good as law ${ }^{2}$. So intense was this opposition of the canal interests that, in their opinion, it was impossible for a man to hold any of these railway shares and still be loyal to the eanal company of whose shares he held any eonsiderable amomut ${ }^{3}$. The hostility of the catate-owners was alon vigorons: they had used cery means to prevent the making of a survey for the proposed railroad. They had blockaded their grombls on every side and had men employed to wateh them. Bradshaw even fired gums through his gromals in the course of the night to prevent the surveyor emming on in the dark ${ }^{4}$. Both the navigation companies and the large landowners employed parliamentary representatives to $w$ rek in their behalf, so as to put down such an intolerable innowation in established modes and vested rights ${ }^{5}$. The railway company likewise sent down a committee

[^21]to London to wateh and aid the progress of the Bill through the Honse of Commons ${ }^{1}$. After a contest of about three months, during which the necessity of additional means of converance was emphasized and thoronghly aeceded to, some errors were discosered in the survey that had becon made and this cereated so mfavomrable an impression on the committee that the Bill was withdrawn ${ }^{2}$. Before the next year an acenrate survey had been made; the line of way was changed so as to be less objectionable to the Earls of Sefton and Derby; the Marquis of Stafford, reprementing the Bridgewater Camal, had been indured to subserile for 1000 shares of stock in the railway; and a new prospectus was issucd, explaining the canses of the former musuceessfua application, how these had beer overeome, and the benefits that would acerne from the railway ${ }^{3}$. Early in 1 sod the Bill was introduecd a second time, and in that session it passed both llouses ${ }^{4}$. Varions estimates are given as to the cost of obtaining the Aet, varying from $£ 10,000^{5}$ to $£ 50,000^{6}$, but, of course, cither of these estimates may be far from the aetual amomit. Gcorge stephenson was then appointed resident engineer, and under his direction the work was pushed to completion as rapilly as possible.

Of the difficulties comneeted with the construetion of the line we shall not speak ${ }^{7}$. The means adopted to overcome the immense bog ealled Chat Moss, which the railway erossed as if it were dry and firm land. when at any point a piece of metal would sink ont of sight by its own weight, forms a chapter in enginecring which is of great interest. But while we shall not discuss the physical and mechanical features conneeted with the formation of the road, there is one aspect of its development which we may profitably refer to, namely, the choice of motive power. The line was nearing completion at the end of the year 1828, but no agreement had been reached as to whether stationary or locomotive chgines should be employed ${ }^{8}$. In order to settle this
${ }^{1}$ Booth, p. 14; Baines, IListory of Liverpool, p. 60:3.
${ }^{2}$ Booth, 1י 18.
${ }^{3}$ Booth, plo. 25-81, gives this prospectus also in full.
"The new survey pirt the line so that it did not tonch the barl of Sefton's estate and cronsed only a few detached lields of the Earl of Derlyes estate. The opposition of the Bridgewater Niabiation, the most powerfol of the two direet routes, was disarmed by the Marquis of Stafford taking such a large interest in the railway (see second prospertus an given be Booth).

5 Birmingham Journal, May 느, 1s26, 1. 3.
6 Hid.. Feb. S, 1s:31, p. 3, letter from "A subseriber to the London and Birmingham 16 anday." Compare these estimates with that of Booth in the Appendix of his work.

F On this aspret of the work, see Similes. Lizess of the Linginecrs, George Stephenson.
${ }^{8}$ Chattawny, Railacolys, p. 2, tells us that even horse-power was eonsidered.
important matter, two celebrated engineers, James Walker and John Urpeth Rastrick, were asked to investigate this question and report their results to the directors of the railway. They visited the important phases where steam-engines were used, notably the stockton and Darlingtom and other coal roads in the north; and afterward each made out his own report showing his conclusions, in whieh there was almost entire hamony between the two engineers. In their reports, they were agreed that, having regard for the present and prospective interests of the company, locomotive engines would be found the more satisfactory. These should traved at the rate of ten to fifteen mikes per hour ${ }^{1}$. In addition, they wouk employ two stationary engines upon the Rainhill and sutton inelined planes to draw up the locomotive engines along with the carriages and good:. Their view, that on the line as a whole locomotive engines should be uned, found acceptance with the directors; but the locomotive engines that had been used for some years in connexion with a fow of the large collieries for the conveyance of conl were utterly unsuited to the requirements of passenger trallic. Knowing the vital importance of the character of the motive power, the direetors offered a premium of $£ 500$ for the best loeomotive adapted to the purposes of their line, two of the eonditions being that it should be capable of drawing at least three times its own weight, at a speed of not less than ten mikes per homr, and that it should eonsume its own smoke ${ }^{2}$. Several competitors entered this contest, and in October, 1899, the various designers of the engines brought their locomotives for trial on the railway. On the first day, the engine made by Braithwaite and Eriekson, of London, exceeded all others in speed; but when the competition had contimmed for some days, in order to have a good test of all the engines, the prize was finally awarded to George Stephenson's engine, the "Rocket"." After the expiration of almost another year,
${ }^{1}$ Wather amd Rastrick, Literpoed and Manehester Raikeay, Report to the Directors on the Comparative Merits of Ifopo-motive and Fixed Engines, as a Moving Pozer. Nichoks Wond thonght that the hocomotive engine onght not to travel more than eight miles an hour; but these two engineers beleved it eould go at the rate of ten miles per hour with perfect safety, provided it did not exeeed eight tons uross weight, exclusive of the tenter (ibinl., pl. 49, 76 ).
${ }^{2}$ (hattaway, Rahmey, p.2. For the conditions of $t$ ':is competition, see Jealfreson, Life of Robert Stephenson, 1, 14, 12上.5. The Liverpool and Manehester Hailway Act, 7 Geo. IV, e. 4U, required the engine to "effectually consume its own smoke..
${ }^{3}$ linll details of the trial of the cogines are given in The Times, Oct. 8, 1829, p. 3; Oct. 9, 1s:9. p. 3; Oct. 12, 1829 p. 3; Oct. 16, 1829, 1. 3; Oet. 24, 1829, 1. 4; Oct. 31, 1820, p. 2. Sce also smites, Life of George sitephenson. These experiments, and others bater, showed that the locomotive engine coukd easity attain a speed of 24 to 30 miles an hour. An aceonnt of this trial of the engines i.: given abou ais
during which the constrnetion of the roadway and its accessories proceded tawned compledion, the line was formally opened with great colat and entmsiasm, on septemfere 15, $18: 30^{1}$.

With the liserpool and Manchester line. the railway era really began. It was the first railway that was comstracted for the express purpose of earrymg passengers as well as freight ;and no other fower was ever need on it but that of loconotive engines. Up to this time, all others, exeept the Surrey Iron Railway, had eontemplated the carriage of one commodity (osaally conl, iron, or stone) and were operated as adjuncts to a colliery, quarry, or the like; while the surrey Iron Railway employed only horsepower in the work of converance. The liverpool and Manchester, on the contrary, was constructed for the putslic welfare, mather than for private profit, as we ean readily judge by the fact that wo person could subseribe for more than ten shares, and the profit on these would not ageregate very much for any individaal ${ }^{2}$. Indeed, muder the det of Patiament by which it was authorized ${ }^{3}$, the profits or dividends were limited to ten per cent. ${ }^{4}$; and the untertakers were so anxions to encourage indutry and commeree that they deelared they would be satisfied with even live per cent. ${ }^{5}$ It is very evident, then, that there was a wide difference between the Live: pool and Manchester Railway and any of those which had preceded it.

The immediate suceess of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway was the oceasion of miversal admiration and satisfaction. The rate
 nected with this contest are also given in Jeaflreson, Life of Robert sitephetuson, i, clap. in.
 Munchester dumedian, Scpt. 18, 18:30, p. 3; and Smiles' Life of fienge sefephensom. see aloo the hintory of the Liverpool and Mandienter lamasuy written by Walker,

 of an acecident on the lime. Bewth, who was treasurer of the company, gives us an aecoment of the comstruction of the line and the expenditures ronnected therewith in his second ehapter. Llis thirl ehapter is an aeconnt of the ralway itself. Ilis fourth chapter shows the moclanieal principlen applicalle to railways, and how the directors fimally devided to adopt the locomotive empine. In the Apromblix he gives the details of the cont of the railway, which, induding stations, warehouses, ete., amounted to exeo, (\%)
 182.5, 1. 2 , statement of Mr Muskisson in the delate in the House of Cummons on this Bill.
${ }^{3}$ Aet 7 Geo. NV. C. 49.
${ }^{4}$ S.e also The Times, Mar. 3, 1825, p. 2, and Xpril T, 1826, p. 2, statements of Mr Iluskisnon.
${ }^{5}$ The Times, Mar. 3, I 8:5, 1. 2.

## vil] Liblects of Liverpool anel Monchester Railuray

of speed on passenger trains was twice that of the fastent stage conches mand the cost of travelling was recheed nhent one-hall ${ }^{1}$, while the amoment
 the ofd stage eoaches ram almost empty for a short time and sevemal were immediately withdrawn. Soom all the stare coaches disappeared from regular service along this ronte mol the railway aboorbed all the fabsenger traflic ${ }^{3}$. The freight rates nho were recheed by the ratway by ahont one-thirct: and in orter to emahle the earriers on the natigations to meet this reduetion the tolls on the Bridgewater (banat and on the Mersey and Irwell were redheed by ahont thity per cent. The effect of the railway, Herefore, was heneficial to the pubtic by redheing oregrown monopoties within reasonable bomets, and it also stimulated theoce opulent canal companies to think of something elve than their own pecmaiary interests ${ }^{5}$. The sulae of land ntong the line of ratway meverahly increased, which was adtantageots both to landowners and temants, for the tenauts had wider and better markets opened up to receive their produce and hecanse of this contanement of the value of the land the landowners cond receive higher rents. This wos obervable also in cases where the railway compmy wanted to biy land in addition to that which they already hekl: their second purehase was invariahly

[^22] each mal parsing their daily romods for three homdred days in the year, there woull loe 10x,ono people carriod betwe these places in the course of the vear. But in the twelve monthe nfter the opening of the railway alront foronoon persons were earried between these two termini (The Times, Oct. t9, 18:31, p. 子).

 Camals and Railacuys, 1). 12. The freight rate hetween Liserpoul and Manehester
 from :3s. Sel. to 2s. 8l., uml on the Mersey and Irwell from 3s. fyo to 2s. fll. Sece also 'Collection of IPronpectises, Maps, ete., of lialways and Canals, 11). 13, (6.3.
 faying one landred per cont. every year or every two years there was need of some new factor to redhee their charges.
made it a higher price than that paid for the first＇．Not only did the pmblic lemefit from the railway，bat the company itself atso realized that the collerprise was at eorporate suceess．In the first half of the year lanst the wet receipts were smeh that，after large expenditmes for warelonses，earriages，cle．，the company was ahbe 10 dedare a half－ yeally dividend of th．Its．per share ${ }^{2}$ ；and the ammat rate of dis idend continned to rathe between eight and ten per eent．during the years following ${ }^{3}$ ．The value of the shares in the market may mon be
＇See ewhence lefore the eommittee on the Lownden mat Bimmingham Railway


 Company and the Birminghon mad liverpot Railway Company，whose intements were practicatly intentimal，showe that hand which，from its virinity to the Jiverpoot and Manchester latway had heren expeted to deteriorate it value，und the owners
 more valmable than before．Soce espeeially the testimony of Mr lae before the committe on the Lomblon and Birmingham Raikaty IBill，to the effeet that some propery along the lime of the Liverpool and Mancheater Railway had been sold for building purposes at there to five times the sim it would bave brought before the establishment of the ratway．＇The atmost miversal testimony of those who gave cevdence lefore the eommittee on the London noml Birmingham Railwny Bill in
 lame formerly wante had been brought into cultivation und yieded a good remt． See also dumat Register，1833：p．4．5；＇Collection of Prompectuses．Maps，ate，of

 values given in Rakeny Times，心，1．215．

2 The reecipts from dinf． 1 to dime 30，18：31，as given by the Almual Register， 18：31．1．169，were：

| From conveyatue of prisengers | 1 | $s$. | d． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4：3，480） | 7 | \％ |
| ，．．．merchandise | 21，855 | 0 | 0 |
| coal | $\underline{218}$ | 16 | 2 |
| Gross receipts | 65． 6898 | 13 | 7 |
| Wixpenses for repairs，sataries，ete． | 35．5．37！ | 0 | 0 |
| Net reecijuts | 30．31\％ | $1: 3$ | 7 |

The net receipts divided mong zole shares allowed $n$ dividend of $\mathfrak{q}$ ． 10 s．per share for the half yenr．（It with be noted that there in a slight error here in smmong up the grons receipts．）See also 13rit．Mus．823．5．ece．12（1），1． 2.
${ }^{3}$ In Collection of Promectuses，Maps．etce，of Railways and Canals．p．173， there is given mo＇Dixtract of the Report of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway；＇ for the half yeur ending Dee． $31,18: 33$ ，with a comparimon of the results for this six monthe with the results for previous half vears since the ralway began operation． ＂live hall－ycarly dividend thus far had ranged from four to four and one－half per cent． This tinancial statement was nloo nttached to the prospeectus of the（ireat Western Raitway， $18: 3.4$（ihid．p．176）．In Brit．Mirs．8203．ce． 12 （1），＇Reasons m favour of a Direet Line of Railroad from London to Manchester，＇p．2，we lave a comparison

## 

twhen as a! index of the measure of the sureens of the railway. Fiven before lhe experiments of October, 182:3, to fint the best mgine for use on the line, the railway shares had been selling at a preminm; but ufter that time their whae rose sery rapidly, until, within a month after the suceess of the locemotive engine had beed demonstrated the shares were
 the demand for these shares, and so highly were there vabert, that it was ditlicult to promite them on my terms. By. trite the value of the shates hat risen 100 per econt. ${ }^{2}$, and bey 1836 atmont 200 pre cent. ${ }^{3}$. above their original vahes. All the important railways that were taken up immerliately after 1980 pht forth the shecess of the Liverpool and Mancheotor Railway of :is attestation and gmarantee of the suceess of their own enterprises ${ }^{\text {a }}$

But we mast follow the finaneses of this company : little farther if we would obtain a correet idea as in its operntions. Led it be said, first of all, that bey its Aet the comprany was limited in the pasment of dividends to a maximmon of ten per cent. a year: and it was the only railway company that was restricted in this was. As we have seen, the company early paid the full nmont of the dividend that was allowed and eontinned to pay this for many years. When the company somght authority from Parliament to construct the road it was declared that Esh0,000 womld be ample for all purposes ${ }^{5}$; and according to their Act of ineorporation the capital was fixcel at that ammont. But it wonld appear that this amomit proved insumieient to complete the road and its efluipment, and be the Acts of 1829 and 1830 the company was allowed to increase its eapital by the issuance of shares to the amome of $£ 127,500$ and $£ 159,37$ is respectively, all of which was saicl to have
of the alove receipts of 1831 with those of the year 1836, showins: that the dividend in the latter year was ten per cent. In 1836 it was paying none prer eent. (I'rocfellings of the Great Westorn Raifoct! Company, p. .ie), ant in 1842 it was paying ten wreent. (haikerys: Thrir I'ses and .Mamagement, p. 7).
${ }^{2}$ The Literpool Times, Nov. 24, 18:9, p. :36, informs us that before these experments the shares were selling for flis cach, hat at this date they were now selling for e.8. preminm, and cauld searecty be had even at that price.
 the London and Birmingham Railway Bill, 18i32. testimony of Ifenry Booth. The shates in 18:31 were selling for then (Remarks upor I'omphatel by Imestigator on the Proposed Birmingham and Iamdom Railacay, p. 4; 'Collection of Prospectuses, etc.,' P. (i5).
${ }^{3}$ Cientleman's Magazine. 1830, vi, p. 421. The Liverpool and Manchester shares, the par value of which was 8100 , were selling in 1836 for feso.

4 Secerospectuses of the Birmingham and Liverpont, London and Birmingham, and Great Western railways.
${ }^{5}$ see the company's prospectus, as given in Booth's history of the railuay.
been expended and yet the works were not complated. Under subseguent lets, they were allowed to raice by vale of shares or to obtain

 the loan caprital efli.3.30). In 1833 the compraly presented a bill to Parliment, stating that aithongh the abose amome had been spent "on or about the malertaking," ith work had not yet been eompleted; and areordingly it wan desired to obtain anthority to borrow ant additional smon of ehoo,000, which, if anthorized. wond raice the capital to E1, fied.37. . This extra amomint was to be asked as a loan from the Gorermment tha: is, from the Fxedequer Lom Commiswoners, and in ease the Gosernment adranced the money it was to hase the prior elaim upon the revemes of the company: In the six years up
 during the same time the amome obtaned on loan and bey the sale of Nhares was much in exees of this amoment, and therefore, the eompany wond wem to be ohtaining money from others to pay dividends, white all the time hecoming more embarrased ${ }^{3}$. But when seen in another light. these several aceensions to eapital presented facts which leal to an entirely different eonchasion. Their expenditme non additional works was said to hase bromght additional reveme; so hat after paying the interest on these increasing amonnts obtaned from crediturs the compray was still able to pay the maximum dividend of ten per cont. ${ }^{4}$ Instead. therefore, of the company becoming more hopetesty entharranced fimamially, it was ont mibly getting upon a more seenre foundation. We prefer to think that this was the explanation of the abovermentioncel great inerase of eapital. But there is another way in which it can be, and was, acomented for, namely, as a device for overeming the reatriction of their profits to ten per eent. It wis hedd by vome that if Parliament had rigidly cufared ahis prosision of the Act and steadfontly refunct to allow the divtrimition of additional profit-undre any other gnixe. the conspany womd have been compelled time and agein to rednee the farts and charges to the pabtie: bat sine this prosivion was not enforeced the railway company, ender the semhanee of increating the "pmblic aceommodation." reated a pretext for the bshancer of hew shames and thas extra profits were divided out in





 companyos seminemmed fanamial statements.
the form: of new stock ${ }^{1}$. If this were the explanation of the great increase of eapital from time to time, the road most have been sufficiently profitable to pay at least forty to lifty per eent. It secms to be In re comsonant with the facts to aceept the first solntion of this problem; for if the eomp:nys business were so flomrishing that surphes profits conkl be divided ont in this way, there womld have been no need of applying to Parliament for a Government loan. And yet, in the face of these facts. sereral persoms, be their pmblieations, attempted to prove to the publie that this railway was nothing but an mprofitable speceulation ${ }^{2}$.

We have now bronght our subject down to the time of the initiation of the modern raikeay; but in order that we may consider in detail the effeet of this new means of transportation we must see it in a more advanced stage of development, for it is impossible to form any correet estianate of its vahe and inflnenee from a single cxample apart from a system. It will, thereforc, be neeessary for us to ontline the history of raikays to abont the middle of the century in orier to see the forees whieh were at work thronghout this early period when the railway was attaining a position of importance as a public carricr.

During the third deade, when the railway had not yet demonstrated its great smperiority, but was in the tentative foohtionary stage, and when the locomotive engine was still in the experimental period of its development. there was meretainty and inlability of the publie mind concerning the ntility of this neweomer in the fied of iransportation. Some expected that the railway wonk only ald another means of comey alee to those already existing, in the same way as the introduetion of eanals had done sixty yeare before, but that every facility given to the earriage of materiats, while adding to the general earying trade, wond eanse no injury to canal property $3^{3}$. It seems, however,

[^23]that there were few who regarded railways in this way. Many people foresaw in them very deeided athantages, and. white fairly assured in their own minds that a new era was dawning in the tramsport service, they had not yet received complete proof that its sucecesfful establish ment was at hand. But whatever were the prospeets of the railways, whether favomrable or infavomable, there was a large amome of capital in the combtry seeking insestment and this superabmadance of eapital introbluced the rage for sucenlation ${ }^{\text {. }}$. in whel the railways whed. The years 18.5 and 1820 seem to have been the climan of this specnlative facer. Ill kinds of projects were promoted by men who were cager to take advantare of the ceremmatances of the time to reap larese rethers from eredntons and misophisticated prospective investors. Mon were indued to believe that they had anly to embark in one of these sehemes to ensure themselves a life of afluenece and ease: labour and eare were to be at an end and the golden harvest wonld soom appear. In Finmary, 1s:5. there were at leat five rahway eompanias and thirty dock companies, han comp nies, inmrance companies, and other kinds of undertakinge, that were being floated ${ }^{2}$. Ratways were being phanned to comect the most important mereantike and mamfachuring towns in the kingrdom, and the sucees of the Stockton and Darlington line gave added impetns to this movement ${ }^{3}$. notwithstanding the secret opposition which was very active on behalf of interested bodies for their own private grod. This fewer was institnted mostly for purcty speculative pmopeses. in order that projectors and their attornewe and other as istants might profit to a large extent throngh trafliching in shares '. 'I he batter were brought into the market at a premimm and punhed to as high a price as posible: then they were muluaded upon masmpeetine and infortmate individuals who were duped and left stranded "after the waters of dehnion had chbed away. ${ }^{5}$." Of the great momber of these schemes that were bronght forward. but fow ever came th comphetion: of the others, no ventige remained exeept in the disaster which



2 (imm!y Chromicie anl Herh!y. Jherliser, Jeh. 1, 1525. p. D, pives a livt of thirtyfise such companices then athent.




 that were then oredpluser pmble allention.
 qations om Renilacrigs. J. 33.\%.

creetook those who had bern deceived loy the wiles of the morecmary spenlators. How much capual was lost from legitimate productive industry we hase no means of aseertaining; but if we were to receive the statements of contemporaries ${ }^{1}$, and then make moch allowance for exaggeration, we shonld still be required to believe that this panie assumed proportions of considerable magnitude. Fortunately, however, only a few of these projects which were hronght forward were anthorized by Aet of Parliament to proceed to execution, for most of them were ventures of such a mature that their suecess conkl not be dinfinitely forctold? But when the suceess of the Stockton and Darlington was assured the year 1806 saw the antlorization of eighteen new railways, among them the Liverpool and Manchester.

Following the poliey that had been pursued with great benefit to the country for threcograrters of a century, in allowing private enterprise to develop and manage inland eommasieation, the Legislature considered each of the sehemes brought forward aceording to its own - crits; and for cach one that met with approval a private Aet was passed, whel contained the entire statutory provisions applieable to the moldartaking.

After the utility of the leemotive had been shown on the Stockton and Darlington line, and especially after the results of the trials of the locomotives on the Liverpol and Manchester, in the antumn of the year 1829, had been made known, interest was aronsed anew in the prong ects of railways. The vast range of possibility which opered up When it was seen that locometive engines could travel at rates of speed from twenty-five to thirty miles an hour, semed to fire the imngination of many. By this means, places then comsiderable distances apart would be brought very elose to one another; the capitnls of Seotland and Ircland would be within twenty-four hours' journey of London; facility in the eommmication of intelligence would enable the people in all eomers of three kingloms to keep in direet toneh with the measures
${ }^{1}$ Investigator, Bezare the Bublles, p. I, speaks of the "uncont rohblhle exereise of the spirit of speronlation, which, in 182. and 1826, brought abont so fatal a crivig, :mwolved so many in ruin," ete.: and arain (p. 10) he refers to the "melandioly wrects of men of important station." In Felix Farlệs Bristol Iowrnal, Oet. 5, 18:33, 1. 2. a letter from Joln Wecton speaks of the "rash and improvident upentations Which led to the frightful commeremin matastrophe of 182ti." Mulge, Ohsertations
 182.5 to go on uncheched, and salss that this "injury to the wealtio and prosperity of the coumbry" was felt for marly ten yars.
${ }^{2}$ On the details of this panic, see Francis, Ilisinry of the English Raikeay. Jeaffreson, Life of Rabert Sieplemson, 1, pp. 2ide et seq., shows the rifference between the railway erises of 1 x2.5 and 14336 and the railway mania of $184+6$.
that were lefore the Govermment for consideration, and public opini in would acpuire a strength and coneentration that it never possessed before. By the rapidity and cheapness of travel, workers in my part of the conmtry eomld readily go to athy other part, and the incoitable consequence would be that sonner or later there would be only one rate of wages thronghout the United Kingdom. The ease and eckerity with whech markets could be reached would canse land to be brought into cultivation that had hitherto been regured to lie waste beemse of the expense of tramborting the prodnce to a suitable market. By means of steam. it was thongit, the prodnce of land twenty or thirty miles from the market would be bronght to the place of sale in as short a time, and at as small a eost, as the prouluee of land fise or six miles distant had been bey waggon; and, therefore. While the consuming puble would profit by this inereabed supply, the landlords would also derive ady lage because of the increased value and rentals of their lands and the farmers wonld receive greater net returns from the sale of their surphus'. With such wast national bencfits as these and many others presented to an admiring world, it would have been strange, inded, if there brod not been an onthurst of sentiment in favour of an expansion of rail way constrnction: and the statement in $18: 9$ that within ten or twenty years the whole eomintry would be mited by railways which would conver pansengers and goods at twice the speed and onceta ird of the (xpense that then prewailed ${ }^{2}$. was abmadantly fulfilled in strict lite alness of detail. With the aceustomed tendeney to exaggeration, people had been talking of tracolling in the near future at fifty or sisty miles per hour ${ }^{3}$; but more conservative minds were combelling moderation. It was thonglit that the rate of thirty miles an hour of actnal progress would be as great a velocity as would be compatible with safety. In any ease. railway promoters should wait matil the Liverpool and Manchester Railway was opened before making a surver, since a few monthes operation of that line wonld teach many things of which people were then ignorant ${ }^{4}$. Each as late as $18: 31$ thare were some who, after the Liverpool and Manclueter Railway had been in operation for a half year, still advised to go slowly in the further establivhment of milwayse; it was said that the greater conomy of this new means of earrager had

[^24]not get been proved, and that experience alone wonld show whether railways coukI carry cheaper than eanals ${ }^{1}$. But, while uroring the necessity of cantion and the desimbility of avoiding mentue haste, it was felt that the loeomotive on the rails was to be the eomming means of transportation, and, therefore, consideration shonld be griven to making the road as nearly level as possible and to preventing all chances of obatraction, so that the engines might develope the greatest power and the highest rate of specert ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

The first limes that wore actally constrmeted after the openiag of the Liscrpool and Mancheste were in connexion with it, and chicfly in Iancashirc. A brancla was fommed from Bolton to I.eigh, and amother from Leigh to Kienyon, where it formed al jumetion with the main 1 e. Other branches were made from Newton, on the main line, to Wigan on the north and Warrington on the sonth, and still another from the main line. near St Jicaens, to Rumeorn. It is not onr purpose, howerer, to enter into details as to the filing in of the shorter lines; rather do we consider it as eomsonant with our oljeet to describe the layong down of only the fommations of the railway system, and to the greater lines only shall we devote our attention.

Following the gear lszt, an actise campaign had been pursued to secure a railway between Liverpool and Birmingham. A large trallie was earrice on along this ronte between the midland metropolis and the great port on tlie Irish Scal set the carrying facilities of these two phaces, like those of Liverpool and Manchester, wore mecriain, expensive and totally inadeguate to their neecssitios. We have already detailed the conditions which existen between the two latter places for the carriane of goods before the railway was constructed: but, aceording to the prospectus of the two eompanies whel were desirons of having the railway between Liverpool and Sirmingham, the conditions attoncling water carriage between these two eities were "intinitely" worse" in regard to delays, charges an 1 impediments ${ }^{3}$. It would almost seem as if this statoment were exaggerated; and in order that the realler maly see the relative conditions along the two rontes we shall present a few facts eonecraing the eonverance of merehandine by the waterways betwern Liverpool and the Midlandst.

As in the rase between Live epool and Manchester, so also between
1 Birminghem fournal, Mar. is. 18:31. p. 3, on "1hailwnys "

 gives the prosperetus in fill. It is also given in Birmingham dourmal, Mar. 11, 1826, 1). 1. :thl in Literpent Times, May 11, 1 30 , p. 1.fa.

- For the facts pertaming to water carbiage hetweer Jiverpoot and Jirminghatm, We shaill refer mueli to I'arkes, Claim of the subseribers to the Birminghom and Liverpool

Liverpoul and Birmindinat, the eanal eompanies constitnted probably the sti:n gest opposition to the comatruction of a railwat. Their monopolistic policy was not to be overthrown withont a struggle to sate il. For many yea: the camals along this route harl mate inordinate profits: one of the eamals comecting with Birmingham paid an anmalal dividend of $£ 100$ on the wriminal cost of fl to per share, so that the ammal protits divided among the bhatholacres chosely approximated the first cost of the canall. It wonlal appear that some canald profited still more latrely from theio tracke for we learn that once of them passing throngh this midland dist rict paid an ammal dividend of


 amb the shares had been enimmed in valme motil they had reached $\therefore$ iffoo each́. Imperfiments of one kind and another eamsed delases to the tramuit of merehamdise; for example, all gools that arrived at Rameon hatl taken three or fomr homes, amd oceasiomally as many days, in the Mersey enthary: then at Raneorn cerye ton of goods had to be tranhipped and the haded harges had to be devated thromgh the loeks to a height of seventy-live to ninety feet before they eonld proeced on their wil? : after that they set ont along the canal for limminghom, which they reached forer to six dises after leaving Liverpool ${ }^{3}$. Similar delay: and harrious had to be cudhed bye the fmished prodnets of the Millands on their waye to the por. Whence they eonld be shipped to the ereat markets. In addition, the eost of converance along the eamals, torethar vith the revat amoment of compensalion tolls impoaed when
Railar!!. Llis statements were met contadicted, and may therefore be taken as acrobater.
' I'arkes, Claim of the subscribers. pp. 19-20; West, History of Whateickhire (18:331). 1י. 100).
 statement was bot fontroverted by ange other evidellere, bot well before the parliamentary commitere to which the liall was refermet, and it may therefore be enemsidered
 made. sidere cery cffort was being laned at this time to dineredit the railways. Sere




 Propered latilway fobll Barminghan to Wolvertampton.
${ }^{3}$ Pronpectus of har liverpool mad Birmingham leailway, as yiven in the literfool

 Morsey as a remerty.
a barge passed from one canal into another, were serions obstactes 10 the developnacnt of tralliel. But, despite these restrietions npon the system of transit in that part of the eonntry, there had been al great increase in the amomnt of, and revemse from, the tomatige which centred in the Midlands? so great mact, that the existing facilities cond not adeommodate the trallie. and, therefore there was a persistent demand for new means of converatere. Torely upon water cartige for ansiliary facilities womld be to invite disaster, and this for several reasons. In the fint pace, ho more Water was asailahle for an extrat canal thronghont that section ${ }^{3}$ : and even lad there been abmadance of water for an additional eanal, there were eiremastances that were deeidedy opposed to the formation of sueh a waterway. 'The conseyance by eanal, mater the best eonditions, was attogether too sow for the earriage of meat, butter and other arrientaral produce, since these might be spoiled before they reached the market for which they were intended ; and the transport of manufactared eommodities from the interior to the coast was frequently so meertain that shippers sometimes suffered considerable loss through their inability to ship groods by a prearranged bessel. Diming the dromght of smmmer and early antmm, the boats often had to go with a light load and wait their turns in passing the locks. so as to economize in the wse of waters. Horeorer, sonte of the castings and apparatus, then sent at great expense by land corriage, conld not be sent by eanal. beeanse their size womld not permit them to pass thromerh canal locks, and, oceasionally, becanse their weight exceeded the tommage of a single barge ${ }^{6}$. The stoppages of tratio on the eamals along this eomrse were frepuent and sometimes prolonged, for floods damaged the navigation works, repairs eonsmated math time. and frost was sometimes a still more serions barrier. Ill these suspensions deranged the neenstomed prodnetion, distribution and consmmption of produets. and conseguently the price, so that both prodneer and consumer suffered therehy. Considerable delays ocenred atso from the lack of a suflicient mmber of boats to eonvey the aceummiation

${ }^{2}$ Hisid. 11. +4. ${ }^{3}$ Ibid., 1p. 4.5.
 that the average speed of a hat passing along a cimal, with a full lowl, an! withont the intermption of lochs, was two and one-half miles per hour. In Mr Leen's letter
 mentioneal ley " A lanoter-on," he does not deny this statement as to the rate of speod. For further contimation of this, see nlos ibid., Dee. 17, 1827, p. 33, letter from Mr lece containing some fats as to camal trallie rates.
${ }^{8}$ loarkes. op, cit., ן. to.
${ }^{6}$ Ibid., p. 45. © Ibid., 11p. 4i-io?.
of goobl: and on varions occasions goods had been in transit from four Io six weeks. Beembe of these eiremmstances, nanely, the vast incrase of trande in the divtricts between Birmingham and Liserpowl, the increane importance of cheap and rapid transort, and the glaring tact that. althmeth the protits of water carriage had increased begond those of athy other brameh of enterprise, to reduction had been made in the chagres of eonserance, the commercial and industrial classes propooded to eomstrut a railway that would furnish aderpuate facilities to meet the conlarged needs of trade.

The tims efforts toward sembing suelt a line were made in the year 18:2. when two companies were formed to madertake this work: one, the Liverpool and Birminghan Raihay Company to constract the portion of the line from Liverpool, and the other the IBirmingham and Liverpool Railway Company to constrate the portion of the line from Birmingham. Their interests were identieal, and they worked together to serebre separate acts of ineorporation and to frame regulations under which they conld collaborate for their mutual grod and the public advantage Fach company issued its prosperhus in 182t, showing the exinting conditions and the changes wheld wond be effected should they be sucecsfol in seemping parliamentary anthorization to construct their line: and in this ammmeencont they promised to carry" by day and night. at all times of the year, in periods of frost or of dronght. at the rate of at leat cight miles an hour." and at ant expense "less by oncthircl. probably by one-half," than the existing rate by canal ${ }^{3}$. Imnediately the opposifion was aromsed, led by those who were interested iat mantaining the monstrons monopoly of the navigation interests; and owing to the hot'lity of the combined opponents, and to some inexplicable cansest, the raitway eompanies were powerless to secure the passage of their Bill. The advantages to be obtained from the proposed rablas, however, were tow great to allow the project to permanenly fail ; and a miet agitation was contmod in its farour. The emmpanies madeavoned to phateate opposition and to solicit the conemence of thone whon they had becu ahbe to win over from their attitule of dissent ${ }^{5}$. Early in the year ineth, the Birmingham and Liserpool Railway Company issued an address and appeal to the publue, ex, laining their motives and the principal gromads upon which
' barkes, opl. cit.. p. Bis.
${ }^{2}$ Hill., p. it.


- Birminghtrm dourmal, Der. 12, 1829. 11. 3.
${ }^{5}$ Sece, for exalnille, Birmingham, Iomernul, J:III, 21, 1826, 13. 3, showing that the railway companies ant representatives to attemb at the mertines of he ermmissioners
 railway, In this, they seemed to have poor results.
they hased their casel. They showed that beamse there was only oue eanal connceting Birmingham with Liverpool there was no competition in the eonveyance of gooks: hence the need of a raikway to int rodnce that element in the life of trade. The distanee between Birminghan and Liverpool by canal and the Mersey river was approximately 120 mites, but by the proposed raitway it would be only ninety miles. Moreover, the time refuired by fly boats to fothow this waterway between the two termini womld be at kast sixty homrs, but by the proposed railway it would not execed tifteen hours. The freight rate for merchandise would be redueed from tiss, per ton by the above waterway to not more than 30 s. per ton on the raits. The stoppages on the canals, due to front, dronght and other canses, which oceasioned great inconveniences and freguent losses to shippers, would be monown with the raikny; and the injury to corn, merchandive and other goods, on aceount of the leakige and sinking of boats, contd not oceur on the railway: In the previous session of Parliament the canal proprietors had stremomely denied that there was muy need for additional means of conveyance; but in the sension following there was a Bill betore Iarliment for a canal from A'itherley to Nantwich, along the line of the intended raikway, which was a virthal admission that there was need of greater accommodation for the public. This being granted, it was a question whether parliamentary sanction shonld be given to a railway or to a second eanal. The railway line had been lately resurveyed bey Jessop and Remnic and the greatest eare had been taken to render the ronte as satisfactory as possible to the largest number of the landed proprictors, althongh there were some whon they had not been able to conciliate. Having detailed some of the locat adtantages that wonld result from the railway, its promoters atoo showed its importance from the national point of view, as forming part of one great line of direct commmication between London and lretand, and they conchded by requesting Parliament and the public to consider it impartially and to obtain for the comery the benelits it held out to agriculture, commerec, mamfactures and the politionl secmerity of the reahm. This appeal was signed by Robert Peet, the chairman of the company, and dombthess carried much weight exeept with thone who were personally interested in opposing the raikwas:

For some months we are mable to follow the company's hivtory. but in Angust of that yar (1se6) there was held at Birmingham a general meeting of the suberibers to the raitway, at which an mexpeeted turn was given to their affairs. Those present at this meeting, white hully satisfied regarding the adrantages of a raitway between Birmingham

[^25]and liverpool, yet deceded that, taking into consideration "the existing pecuniary embarmsuments of the comery," and the present diflentties in the way of abtaining an det for a line יpon the extemsise seale originally propused, it would be prutent to contime their efforts to the establishment of a raikay between Birmingham and Wokerhampton, with such branches as might he thought necessary to the neighbouring towns and works. This lime would not exceed fonrteen mites in tength and combl be executed tor \&oto,000; it wonk pase through the heart of the mining distriet of staforshhere, and thas provide another means of supplying Birbingham, Woserhampton and internodiate places with coal, iron, lime and other materiats for their mambetmeng industrics. Those sablacribers who prefered to retire rather than eooperate in this limited enterpirise woukd be altowed to do so mader reasonable terms, and those who wished to eontime as subseribers would be retained, bat in no ease eonld a subseriber hold more than fifty shares of lifty pomads each ${ }^{1}$. It the same meting there was read a prospectus of this proposed shorter tine of ratway, showing the large popmation and business interests of this locality, the need of additional means of converance to compete with the monopolistic canals and reduee the freight charges, and the desirahility of eliminating longexisting griespmees ${ }^{2}$. It would seem that this project did not materialize, probably on aceomut of opposition that was aronsed through party squabbling; for at a meeting of the subseribers to the undertaking in the carly part of the year 1831 it was agreed, with outy one dissenting voice, to suspend further prosecution of the work for a year ${ }^{3}$. Evidently they had mot got much, if any, nearer to the exeention of the proposed mudertaking.

But although this partial enterprise was devoid of results, the earlier plan for a line between Bimingham and Liverpool had, in the meantime, been resmmed. Toward the end of the year 1829, when the people had seen the probable, if not the positise, sucress of the Liverpool and Manchecter Railway, a large and enthusiastie meeting of the weathy. merchants and mamfacturers of Birmingham was held, to promote the construction of the line to liverpool ${ }^{4}$. About the same time, a meeting was hedt in liverpool, at which it was determined to form at company for constructind a railway from that city atong the same course as that projected by the Birmingham people; and, in order to further this plan,

[^26]nud to reduce the opposition as math ins pessible, the interests of the navigatien companies were to be given att mion. 'To remmerate emal proprictors for the losses which they would probably sustain from imponding competition, cxtraordinary indheoments and privileges were hedid out for them to become sharehoders in the railway ${ }^{1}$. Before the middle of the year 18:30 this time had been survered by sephemeon atad Randrid: ont the same dombletrack phan as that of the Liverpmet and Wancheotere: and a new prospetns had been isomed detailing the reanoms for the proposed milway and the adrantages which womd be semed toe it ${ }^{3}$. Is in 1820. the wark was to ixe enrried ont moder the superintendence of two companies, one beginming at cach end of the line: their imterests were to be ifentical, in making applieation to Parlanment for separate Acts of incoporation, in framing their laws and remblations, and in lixing their talls, the whect being to seeme mity of devign and harmony of operation. In the session of $18: 31$ applieation was made to Parliament for a Bill to anthorize the comstruetion of this road: but after a bitthe time it was devided bot to proceed with the meanne in that sexsion of Parliment and comsequentye the Bill was withdrawn. But althongh the Bill for the whake line was withdrawn, the Birminghan committece resolved to apply for the part of the intendeci line betweon Birminghan and Wiverhampton. The Honse of Commons, however, refused to contertain their applieation mader such circmantances and the committee abandonced, for the time benag. their leginative activity. In Nowember of that year, the subseribers to the proposed Liverpoot and Birmingham Railway met to consider a report from the eommittee which had been appointed to determine the hest comme to be parsued to further their object. This report showed what had already been done, the opposition cheomered and the dillienlties overcome: and recommended that, instead of beginning at Liverpool, the railway should join with the Warrington and Newton line at Wiarington and proced southward from there, thus forming a

1 Birmingham Ionrmal, Wer. 26. 18:!!. 1. 2.
 "as to be 1 bo miles long.
${ }^{3}$ Literporel Times, May 11, 18:3n, p. 149, gives the prospectis in full. In brief, the ellvambers of the proposed ratway, as given in the prospeeths, were as follows: (1) awoding the dimgerons and uncertain mavigation of the Mersey; (z) much Erater sped in the eotriage of gomets: (3) reftuction of the cost of carriage: (t) fatwenties would then be convered in one-half the time and at one-half the enst: (a) hence, great saving to the agricolturat, commerebat and mamfacturing classes: (ii) Ireland would be benclited by a wider market for her produce. The propectus is also given in 'Collection of Prospectuses, Maps, cte., of Railways and

4. Uunchester Ginardian, July 16, 18:31, p. 3.
.s T. II.

contimation of that short road. The committee also recommended that the hine stop at Wolverhampton, instead of being earried all the way to Birmingham, in order to placate the strong opposition among the canal proprictors who were antagonistic to the formation of a raikway between Wokerhampton and Birmingham; but a deputation from Birmingham showed that if this course were followed most of the subseribers of that city would withdraw their names ${ }^{1}$. Early in 1832 the Liverpool and Birmingham Raikay Company, with which the Sirmingham and Liverpool Railway Company had consolidated ${ }^{2}$, decided that the railway shonk commenee at Warrington, where it woukd virtnally join the Liverpool and Manel reter , and terminate at Birmingham, the distance hetween these twe , , being seventy-four miles ${ }^{3}$.

In the latter part of the year 1832, it was iomod expedient for these two consolidated companies to mite under ore head, forming the Grand Junction Raihway Company. This eompany rewived the undertaking which had been previously postponed, and planned to eonnect Birmingham with Warrington, whence connexion would be seeured throngh Newton with Liverpool and Manehester by means of the lines that were already in operation. The road was to be made through the mining and manufacturing sections of Warwieksinire and Staffordshire, with branches. finally to the Pottery distriets; and the prospeetus which the company issmed expressed the convietion that the traflic would be suffeciently great to yield a net return of fifteen per eent. upon capital ${ }^{4}$. Application was made to Parliament for anthority to give effect to the company's purposes ${ }^{5}$, and on May :3, 18333, the Grand Junction Railway Act received the sanction of the Honse of Lords ${ }^{6}$. In 18:5, these two
${ }^{1}$ Manchester Guardian, Nov. 19, 1831, p. 3.
2 Birmingham Ionrual, Dee. 10, 1831, p. 3. It would seem that the Birmingham and liwerpool lf-ilway Company eame in for some seathing echsure, because that after acting as a self-constituted body and " fatteniag from the deep subseription purse," they had incurred expenses of abont $\$ 17.000$ in connexion with their threefold application to Parhament, and yet had aecomplished nothing. Ibid., Dee. at, 18:31, p. :3, letter from " A Suflerer."
${ }^{3}$ Ibid., Jan. 14. 18:32, 1. 2; Manchester Guardian, Jan. 14, 1832, p. 2; The Times, Jin. 13, 1832, p. 4. It was expected that this road would pay a clear prolit of about rourteen per cent. on the eapital expended.
-The Grand Juaction laikny prospectus is given in full in the Mouchester Gnardim, Oct. 아, 1s332, p. 1. It was dated Oet. 15, 18:32. The estimated eost of the road between Bimmingham aml lormington, 7.5 miles, was $81,000,000$, which was thought to be in exeess or the amonot that would be actmally needed. By nsing the Liverpol and Sterhester and barrington and Nowton comexions, the distance hetween Jivepral and Birminghm would be 95 mites, ant between Manchester and Birminghan 96 miles.

5 Birmingham lowrat, Nov. 18, 183:2, 1. 1. ${ }^{6}$ Hid., May 4, 1833, p. 3.
divisions, from Newton to Warrington and from Warrington to Birmingham, were incorporated into one line, thus forming contimous rail communication for over cighty miles. This was a very important road, not only becanse it was the longest line at that time, but beeanse it bronght the Midlands and the intervening commercial and manufachuring distriet into close connexion with Liverpool, the second largest port of the kingdom.

Contenporancons with the efforts to sceure a railway between Liverpool and Birmingham, there was corresponding activity to obtain rail connexion between Birmingham and London. The need of this was greatly felt at that time. The commereial and industrial clabses were prevented from reaping the full reward of their activities, becanse of the impediments to the carriage of goods on the canals. The latter were frequently stopped, sometimes for considerable periods, on aecount of frost, drought, or the necessity of repairs ${ }^{1}$; and at such times shippers, who had arranged to send goods on a particular vessel, were muable to fulfil their orders, while the shipowner also lost by being deprived of the revenue from this freight ${ }^{2}$. Even il the canals were not stopped, the rates of conveyance were so slow that merehants lost orders becanse they conld not get their goods in tinte to ship by a ecrtain sailing. By "fly boats" on the canal, the fastest water convecance of the time, it took four days to bring such products as glass from Birmingham to London ${ }^{3}$, and then there were losses to be borne on account of breakage and pilferage, which, on the great amount of traffic along that route, amomed to a large toll anmally ${ }^{4}$. In addition to these barriers, the freight rates were so high that the monopoly of the canal eompanies had long been recompensed by a great profit on their capital ${ }^{5}$. Some commodities, such as linens, silks and others, for the carriage of which speed was a desideratim, had to be brought by coach aud pay charges which were two, three, or four times as mueh
${ }^{1}$ ' Loulon and 13irmingham Railway Bill. Extracts from the Minutes of Evidence given before the Committee of the L.ords on this 13ill, pp, :3, 8, 10, ete., evidenee of Dessers Barry, Dillon, Moore. The latter, who was a Birmingham merdhat, said that some of his goods had been delased in transit on account of the eanal being frozen from Dee. 94 till Fel. 20, and then part of the goods were rejected beeanse out of time. Mr Barry had known the canals elosed by frost for six or seven weeks.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid., 11וי. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, ete., evidence of Messrs Barry, Ilemsley, Barnes, cte.
${ }^{3}$ Ibid., 1. \&, evidence of Mr Hemsley; Birmingham Journal, Dee. 1, 18:32, ;). 3. "Advantages of a London and Bimingham Railway."

- 'London ard Bimmanghm Lailway liill. Extraets from Minutes of Evidence before Iords Committee, p. 5. evidenee of Mr Itemsicy; Rirmingham Jourhal. Dee, 1, 1832, 1. 3.
${ }^{5}$ Birmingham Journal, led). 5, 1831, p. 3, letter from "A Subseriber to the London and Birmingham Hailway."
as canal earriage would have cost ${ }^{1}$; and as the mercantile elasses were eonheting their business more and more from-hand-to-month, they were fecling the neecssity of having some means by whirh rapidity of commumieation could be effected. If this eould be secured they would be able to earry on their enterprises without having so mueh eapital locked up in umproductive forms ${ }^{2}$.

The necessity of a railway was felt ako by the agricultural interests, especeially the farmers. The supplying of the London market with vegctables, dairy produee, ete., from the comntry demanded acecterated transit; otherwise these perishable commodities conld not be carricd any great distance. To meet the requirements of that market for meat, eattle and sheep in vast mumbers were taken from the comntry; but the road expenses connected with taking these animals on the hoof were considerable and the eattle were much injured by the long journey. At times they travelled till their fect were sore, and they had to be sold at the towns along the road for what they would bring. This was true also of the sheep. If the animals were slaughtered in the country and the meat carried to the metropolis, it wonld sometimes be spoiled before it reached its destination. So that, whether the one method or the other were adopted, it was unceonomical, for both the weight was lessened and the quality deteriorated ${ }^{3}$. But by means of a railway, animals, meat, dairy products, vegetables and all other neeessaries of life eould be sent to the London market and be received there almost as fresh as when they left the eountry; and, at the same time, the expense of reaching the metropolis and the loss or injury suffered on the way would be either lessened or prevented ${ }^{4}$.

While these elasses were not being provided with suitable facilities for the transportation of their products, the inevitable tendency was to retard all the best interests of the eommunity. Deficiencies in the means of convevance reacted upon the eost of the goods and commodities to the consumers, and the burden which was felt by the producing classes pressed with equal or greater weight upon those who had to

[^27]purchase these things. Not only was the expense of the earriage of freight moluly high, but the cost of travelling was also felt to be too great for the arlvantages in regard to speed and comfort that were offered by the eoaches; and this barrier to trade, it was certain, wodd be removed by a railway, which would save both time and expense ${ }^{1}$.

P'rhap's the only interest to profit from the existing conditions, was the body of canal proprictors. who reaped large returns from their high elarges and impositions. The increasing trathe on the eanals in this cham, esprecially on the Grand Junction and Oaford eanals was not accompanical ly any disposition on their part to reduce their rates; but they exacted all that they eould lawfilly chare and endicavoured to swell their receipts to the utmost extent ${ }^{2}$.

During the railway fever of 1825 , among many projects that were bronght forward was one for the comecting of Birmingham and London by a railway; but this seheme, like several others, failed to materialize at that time ${ }^{3}$. It was revived in 1827 , lont without any sneeess; and in wor9, when the results of the trials of the loemotive engines on the Liverpool and Manehester line had demonstrated the vast possibilities of mechanieal traction, the plan was taken up with greater vigour. The possibility of a railway as a competitor of the canals indueed those interested in the waterways to get together in 1827 and propose the formation of a new eanal, to be called the London and Birmingham Canal, which, it was hoped, would render such great aid in the earriage of the traffie that there would be no need for a railway. It appears from contemporary evidence that the promoter's of this canal, or one of their officials, notorionsly falsified the subseription list; but there were other reasons also which helped to decide the issue against the proposed eanal ${ }^{4}$, especially the necessity of inereased speed in the
1 'London and Birmingham Railway Bill. Extracts from Mimites of Evidence before Lords Conmittee,' pp. 2-24, evidenee of Messrs Mason and Cheetham.
${ }^{2}$ Parkes, Claim of the Subscribers, 1p. 42-43. Parkes gives (op. (it., p. 44) a table of the "Tonnage Receipts on the Grand Junction Canal" from 179.5-1824, which shows that in little more than twenty years ( $1800-1823$ ) there had been a more than tenfold inerease in the tommage receipts on this canal. See also "Collection of Prospectuses, ete., p. 19, whieh gives the distance and tonnage rates on the canals between Birmingham and London.
${ }^{3}$ Grinling, History of the Great Northern Raikeay, p. 1.
${ }^{4}$ The agitation for this eanal is given in Aris's Birmingham Gazette; see, for example, the issues of Dec. 17, 18:27, and Feb. 2,1829 . 'Collection of Prospectuses, ete., pr. 31-33, gives the complete prospectus of this proposed eanal. See also Birmingham lournal, Nov. 17, 1827, p. 1; Dee. 15, 1827, p. 3; 1)ee. 19, 182!, p. 2; Dee. $26,1829, \mathrm{p} .2:$ April $10,1830, \mathrm{p} .3$. There was mith fratul connceted with this project, and the unabashed jobbing is shown also in Parl. Papers, $18: 30$ (2.51), $x, 719$, Report from the Committee on the Birmingham and London Junction Canal letilions,
converance of both groods and passengers. But the agitation for the railway contimed and its ahwoates med the rea lts obtained from the locomotive tests on the Liverpool and Manclester, in Octoler, 1S29, as an inducement to scenre support for thin new enterprise. It was asserted that this railway would be of great national benefit for forwarding troops and military stores; that bey it the mamfactures of Birmingham and its neighbomrhood would be conveyed to London in much less time aud at less expense than by canal: that the agrienltural prodnee of all the intervening section wonld be able, on aceome of the faster speed and redued cost of eonveyance, to find wiler markets and better priees: that the coal of Staffordshire conld be taken to satisfy the need. of the consmmers at the metropolis and along the milway; and that the expense of maintaining the thmpike roads adjacent to the proposed railway womld be almost all saved. amounting on the average to about $\mathfrak{2} 200$ per mile anmally ${ }^{1}$. Thronghont the year 1830 there was a deeper interest manifested in the project and this contimed to intensify until application was made to l'arliament to seenre authority to carry out this enterprise.

It will be appropriate here to examine the nature of the opposition to the proposed railway, and, first, we shall consider that of the landed interest. The landlords feared that the railway would injure the property through which it would pasc, by destroving the privacy and minty of the fams; that the eloses which were now convenient in form and size might be divided into ill-shaped fragments; that the deep enttings aeross the slopes of the hills might intereept the supply of watre to the wells and grounds below; that the lage embankments across the low lands would interfere with the natural drainage of the parts above them: and that, where the railway erossed the highways on the same level. it would be inconvenient and dangerons to the public. It was said that the existing means of hand and water carriage were greater than had ever been required; that no neeessity had been shown for aceelerated commmication; and that the abomece of the support of the landowners was undeniable proof that the undertaking was mealled for

[^28]ly the wats or wishes of the emuntry ${ }^{-1}$. The promoters of the railway answered these objections by an array of facts which, to an unbiased mind, shonld have been convineing. Regarding the plea that the privace of the estates wonld be destroyed and the homesteads severed. the answer was made that privaey was one of the worst features of a farm; that a farm on a great public thoronghfare was worth much more than one in a combtry lanc; and that the construction of bridges ower and arehes moder the railway would give facility of eommunication between the divided portions of the farms. The objection that the railway entting would prevent the circulation of water to the lower gromeds was answered by slowing that, if the water collected in the ditehes on each side of the railway, it conld be drawn off and used for irrigation and that the railway would act as a drain to those lands that hadd too moll water, and therefore would do for the farmer what he had long wanted but had not the mone to do for himself. The elaim that large embankments across the low lands would interfere with the natural drainage was met by the assertion that the railway would cross streams and watercourses by means of viaduets so as to leave these outlets as open as before. The supposed interference with traflie on the highways was shown to be withont fonndation, because the railway wonld pass either over or muder all great thoroughfares and every precantion would be taken to protect the public from risk. The alleged suflicicuey of the existing facilities of carriage provoked acrimonions reply: it was true that the roads and canals could conver more goods and passengers than had passed on them, and for the obvious reason that a narrow limit was imposed by the expense and delay in each case; but by lowering the charge of conveyance and by quickening the return on capital throngh increased speed and regularity the amount of the traffic would be greatly angmented. It was very elear that, when the canals were frozen, and tie people, especially the labouring ciasses, of the Miellands could not get coal, there was much need of some additional means of converance. The wealth of some mineral districts was, to a great extent, exeluded from the London markets on account of the heary expense of canal transport. Moreover, every man's time was part of his capital: it made considerable difference whether a person had to spend six hours or twelve hours upon 'ine road, for in the former case there was a greater use of time and less expense involved than in the latter. A few landowners might not be put to any ineonvenience by reason of the slow and expensive transit of passengers and goods,

[^29]bint the pmblie were the beat judges as to the loses oecasioned by the present impediments to everyday business. And, finally; the declaration that the absence of the support of the landowners was modeniable proof that the railway was uncalled for by the wants or whelese of the comitry, was of absolutely no validity. The wants of the country grentlemen were no index of the wants of the country gencrally. The fact that the Liverpool and Manchester hine had bencfited both landowners and tenants was conelusive evidence that corresponding benefits would acerne to the landed classes in this easere ${ }^{1}$.

The other great class that were opposed to the formation of the railway incheded the eanal interests between the Midlands and London. It was indubitable that the railway would take part of the traflie which had been acenstomed to going on the camals: and the revenues of the canal eompanies would probably be reduced, at least relatively if not absolutely. There was no doubt but that some of the canals along this ronte, like the Grand Junction and the Oxford, had remmerated their proprictors handsomely and it was but natural that they should seek to perpethate these conditions of their own prosperity; but. on the other hand, the freight charges were high, and. judging from the resuits which had been attained by the Liverpool and Manchester Railway in redueing the rates along its line, there was every reason to belicue that comparable results would be secured in this case. There was, apparently, no remedy for the injury which eanal proprictors would sustain. unless they would join and make common canse with the railway company. Persevering hostility, conducted at great sacrifice of property, might delay the railway, but conld not prevent it, since it was for the public benefit. The canal companies should not expeet the progress of improvement to be halted to secure the continuance of their enjoyment of monopoly. They had remunerated their capital for a long time with immense profits; and they should not now eomplain at the introduction of a cheaper and faster means of conveyance ${ }^{2}$. The Marquis of Stafford, the greatest canal proprictor in the world, had formerly opposed the Liverpool and Manchester Railway, but later he became convinced of its usefulness and in 1831 lec owned 1000 shares of its stock ${ }^{3}$. But all the owners of canal shares were not so readily convineed
${ }^{1}$ Birmingham Jomrmol. Jan. 22, 1831, p. 1, on the "Louton and birmingham Railway:" ibid., Feh. 5, 18:31, p. 3, letter from "I Snhseriber to the London and Birmingham Railway."
${ }^{2}$ Birmingham donrmol, Jan. 22, 1s:31, p. 1, on the " London and Birmingham Hailway:" ihid., Feb. 5, 1831, p. 3, letter from " A Sillseriber to the London and Birmingham lhailway;" ihid., Har. J, 1831, ". 3, "Public Mecting to Support Railways."
${ }^{3}$ Birmingham Jourmal, l'eb, 5, 1831, 1. 3.
that the greater ceonomy of railways had been proved. Beeanse the Livernool and Manchester Railway was considered as a brilliant sureess was no reason to conclnde that experience wonld confirm this reant in every other instance; and, partly in support of this varue hope of bering able to compete with the railways, and partly in the expectation that Parliament would protect them from min, the eanals offered strenuons resistance to the anthorization of the railway ${ }^{1}$.

The third class from which opposition was eneomentered by the railway included the eoach proprietors, waggon masters and postmasters, the amome of whose business was likely to be serionsly reduced by the new means of conseyance. Before the line had been put in operation between liverpeol and Manchester, there were abont twenty-two regular coarches on that road; but, by the beginning of the year 1831, almost all these stage coaches had been laid aside, and soon the railway was carroing about three times as many passengers as had formerly patronized the coaches ${ }^{2}$. This apparently inevitable decline of road carriage of passengers and goocts indneed the proprictors engraged in this business to resist the establishment of the new enterprise which was destined to destroy their means of smport; but, probably becanse they ware not backed by the large amount of wealth that was a a ailable for the landowners and the canal proprictors, their claims seem to have commanded but little public attention. An interesting ease of such oppocition, in 1833, comes to ms in the form oif a petition to the IIonse of Lords from those who were earrying on these undertakings on the lines of road between London, Woreester, Hereford and Gloueester; they requested the Lords to protect their interests by rejecting all applications for railroads in general, and particulaty the Liverpool and Birmingham and Birmingham and London railways ${ }^{3}$. It would be but natural that the owners of these vehicles along the same or parallel lines of road should oppose the formation of a railway which would take away their business; but why those shonld oppose it whose line of activity was more or less in the opposite direction, is by no means so clear.

In addition to neutralizing the arguments of their enemies, the railway company put forward some other strong reasons in favour of their

[^30]projech. As the landowners had profited from the construction of the Liverpoolland Manchester tine, and some who formerly were very active against that enterprise were now as strongly in fawour of it, so it wonld be to the andrantage of estate owners in this other section to have the midtand metropolis connected with London. The hostility of the landlords was, therefore, ill-advised. Not mily would the railway add to the value of their property, but the proximily of larger and better markets for farm produce wonld give the tenants higher prices for what they had to sell, and this render them more prosperniss. A few hours at the most would sulliee to carry fatted ammals from their pastures to Surithfield, withourt their hasing in weight or being injneed, as at present, hy drovers. The railway would supply the metropolis market better, and with more facility and regnlarity. from a distance of eighty miles. than at present from the neighonring districts: and the steady markel would be a boon for agrienture, white providing steadier employment for labour. The expenditure of millions upon this work would lighten the burden of poor rates and prove benefieial to the comery through which the raibwa would be earricd ${ }^{1}$. The passenger fares would be reduced from the conch fares of $t d$. per mile inside and $2 \boldsymbol{l} d$. per mile ontside, to $2 d$. and $1 \frac{1}{4} d$. per mile respectively on the railway; and this would be the accompaniment of a rate of speed domble that of the average speed of coaches. Corresponding reduction in the time and expense of the carriage of goods was antieipated². To placate the owners of coaching establishments, it was shown that, insterd of there being less work for coaches, there would be more after the railway were put in operation. Doubtless. the construction of the railway would cause the coaches along that line to be set aside: but throurhout a belt of many miles in width on cach side of it, mumerms cross coaches wonld be immediately established to meet the railway at important stations aceorting to the eonvenience of passengers. For example, the many steamboats connecting London, Dover and Cabais had inereased, rather tham diminished, the number of post-horses on the Dover road ${ }^{3}$; and evidence was given before a committee of the IIonse of Commons to the effect that while, before the opening of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway, the eoaching business on the main

[^31]road between these two lermini was earried on by 400 horses belomging to Liverpool proprictors and 400 to Manchester proprictors, after the opening of that line, althongh eoaches soon ceased to rmin on the direet road, there was sheh a great inercase on the eross roads that the proprictors at Manchester, by 1831, had soo horses employed and the demand was still inereasing ${ }^{1}$. The safety, certainty and rapidity of conveyance were of themsedees sumfient to recommend the railway in preference to any other means of carrage ${ }^{2}$.

In 1830 a IBill was introduced into Parlament secking anthority (6) constrnet a railway between these two termini ; but the stroner opposition wheh was manifested against this measure, especially by several of the great kadowners ${ }^{3}$ and the eanal companies atong the ronte ${ }^{4}$, but also by proprictors of eoaching establishments and turnpike trnstees ${ }^{5}$, caused the faihure of the Bill to pass in the session of 18:31-2. As was the ease in the promotion of the railway from birmingham to Liverponl, so also in this ease, there was the existence of two separate companies, which were later merged into one before the Aet of Parliament was passed to sanetion the mudertaking. The defeat in $18: 32$ was made the oeeasion of greater earnestness and the supporters of this scheme got together to inquire into the reasons for their failure and to devise more effective measures for securing their ends. In addition to foes withont, the company had to incet and harmotize internal dissension. Some of the subseribers to the modertaking had made their subseriptions and signed the contrael deed, in 1830, on the assumption that this line would conncet with the projeeted

[^32]Liverpool and Birminghan Railway；but the latter had been nbandoned， and，therefore，the whole sithation was changed．It wombld seem，too， that the directors of the eompary that was formed by a mion of the former two coneerns had changed the plams for the railway and in－ ereased the estimated cont of the line withont subnitting these plans to a gencral meeting for approval．Many of the subocribers had reguested the directors to publish a full and anthentie report of the condition and prospects of the company，and afterward to eonvene ageneral meeting of the sharcholders to take action as to what shotad be done；but all they had received was a circular giving a few lowse details．Because of thene conditions，those who were dissatisfied， inchading a momber of the great landords，sent a petition to the Itonse of Commons requesting that they might be relased from their obligat－ tions and not be comidered as subseribers to the present undertaking ${ }^{1}$ ． Farlier in the year 18s？there had been a mecting of the owners and ocenpiers of land along the proposed eonrse of the railway，at wheh there appeared to be agrecment among those present that the railway as plamed would depreciate the value of their property．and they， therefore，decided to protest against the granting of an Aet of Parlia－ ment＂．What was the outeme of this discord we need not trace； suffiee it to say that by midsummer of that year the eompany issued its new prospectus，showing the pullic the advantages to be gained by this promosed railway，in opening up new sonrees of supplies of pro－ visions for the metropolis，in facilitating and cheapening travel，in providing rapid and ceonomical interchange of the great artieles of consmmption，and in comecting London with Liverpool and the great manufacturing sections of Lameashire and the Midands ${ }^{3}$ ．Onee more applieation was made to Parliament and Lord Wharneliffe，the chair－ man of the parliamentary eommittee to which this measure was sub－ mitted for examination and report，asserted that in his long experience in Parliament he had never seen a measure passed by eitber Honse that was supported by evidence of a more decisive character．But，

[^33]notwithatanding this, the Bill was thrown ont, owing ehicfly to the Oppoxition of the handowners, who feared that their estates womld be prejndieded or ingured by the ratway. This fathere had resulted after L3e, 000 had berol expended on the appliention, but still the company was not deterred in their efforts. Subsequent changes were made in the line in order to anoid the properties of two of the nobility who had strongly opposed it and to beep at a conviderable distance from the towin of Northampton'. With thene alterations, the line was regarded faronably at the company's third applieation and the set was passed in 1833. In 18:17, the first seetion of the line was opened between London and Trings', but it was not matil the following year that the whole line was opened ${ }^{3}$. By this rahway and what were later its morthewestern connexions, there was established a eomplete commomication from London to Birmingham and from Birmingham to Liverpool; but we must remember that, at this time, these were entirely separate roads, not working in harmony, and, therefore, there was uo through rate nor through traflic.

A few facts regarding the finames of this railway may be appropriately given. In 1830, when the line was being agitated, it was computed that the amount paid by passengers and pareels eonveyed by coaches between London and birmingham execeded $£ 300,000$ a year, and that paid for the carriage of grods between the same places exceeded $\mathfrak{f} 000,000$ a year. The expense of buiding the railway upon the best possible plan was estimated not to exceed $£ 1,300,000$; so that one-fourth of the amome paid for the convel anee of passengers and groods would be ample remmeration on the eapital to be spent on the construction of the railways. But soon the plans were changed and the estimated cost was angmented, so that in the company's original Aet of incorporation the eapital was stated at $\mathrm{EO}, 500,000$. By later Aets, the company was empowered to raise a capital in shares and on loans ammonting to $\{4,500,000$; but by $18: 39$ even this sum had been

[^34](xeceded by Eatao,000 on aceount of calls and loans, and the company proposed to go to Parhament again for anthority to raise another
 that the roald would eost at least that sum. These vast amoments in cxeens of the entimated expenditures for the road eansed disappointment and called forth some sharp eriticism; it was thonght that the revenues of the eompany would not be sufficient to pay a reasonable retarn upon the great outlay2. But when it was shown that a laree part of thi increased expenditure was for the eonstruction of additional lines of rathay, so that new someres of income. whieh had developed smbsequently to the origin of the railway, might yield to the company a good return after paying the interest on the eapital embarked in these ateessories ${ }^{3}$, the sting was taken ant of the adverse comment. and it was seen that the eompany was working with nltimate, rather than proximate, issuce in view. The emomons amoments that were wasted in proceedings before Parliament and the extraordinary sums that were demanded to make eomplete settlement for their right of way will be apparent frem the figures for this rallway company, Which show that the eost of ohtaining the original Act of ineorporation was erestes. 18s. 10d., and the payments made for "land and com-


When london had been eonneeted with the great eentres in the Midlinds and the north-west, the nest projeet of most importanee was to secure connexion between the eapital and Bristol, so as to give facility of access to the immense trade of the Severn valley. In reality, the agitation for this line did not wat even for the authorization of the London and Birmingham, but began after the snceess of the Liverpool and Manchester had been assured. Bristol had fosmerly beea second only to London in its importance as a port, but liverpond had risen into anch prominence that it assumed the position which had becn held so promdly by Bristol. As a consequenee, the latter city had dechucd to thind place, and its trade was languishing in the competition With its north-western comprtitor ${ }^{5}$. To some, it semed as if this were

[^35]the acceptable time to restore the old commercial prosperity and prestige of this ancient city, and the railway question formed the nuclens of a confliet which helped to aronse Bristol from her lethargy. It will help is to understand the issme which confronted the people at this time if we look more closely at the conditions of transportation by land and water in 18:32, when the problem as to the construction of a railway came into problic attention.

The groods traffic along this ronte, especially the carriage of heavy commodities, was largely confined to the canals and connecting waterways, namely, ihe River Avon Navigation, from Bristol to Bath, the Kennet and Aron Canal and River Kennct Navigation, from Bath to Reading, and the Thames Navigation, from Reading to London. The delay and meertainty of water carriage were becoming unbearable to the eommercial interests, at a time when the mercantile practice was underg ing revision and the old system of keeping a large stoek on hand was giving way to the method of kecping less stock but more frequently replenished. The average time ocenpied in the traffie by water from London to Bristol was from seven to ten days, but barges had been detained, on account of drought, flood, frost, or other stoppage, for weeks anu even months on their journeys, and during these delays there was a great amomit of pilferage earried on ${ }^{1}$. Sueh interruptions on aecount of natural conditions ocenred several times a year, and the time when the eanals were rot in working order was inereased by the necessity of stopping them for repairs. The vast volume of complaint coneerning these obstacles to trade was persistent, prolonged and almost imiversal ${ }^{2}$. In the case of articles of constant consumption, such as coal, grocerics and other food-stuffs, the hindrance of the
${ }^{1}$ Proceelings of the Great Western Railzay Company, pp. 9, 28; •Great Western Railwaty Rill. Minutes of Ewidence before the Lords Committees, evidenee of Messrs Walker, LIarley, Wilkins, Davis, Morris; Felix Farley's Bristol Journal, April 19, 1834, 1p. 3. 4, evidence of Messrs llire and Stone: ibid., April 26, 1834, P, 2. evidenee of Messrs Ficys, Sheppard, Luscombe, I'rovis, Walker, Taylor, Moline, Wilsou, Kientall, et alii.
${ }^{2}$ It will help us to realize the situation more fully if we give a few instanees of what achally took place, as taken from the evidence before the committee on this railway Eill (Felix Farley"s Bristol Journal, April 19, 1834, p. 3, and April 26, 1834, p. 2). Mr IIfe, of I3ristol, asserted that in one ease several hogsheads of sugar were sent to him from Lounton; lut, instead of arriving in 13 days. they did not arrive for two months, so that he lost ahout £300 by this delay. Mr Davis, of Reading, in Jamary, 18:3, lad his goods coming from London delayed a month all but two days, which prevented him from exceuting his orders and thus calused him great loss. His goods, especially tobaceo and sugar, were much injured by exposure to muisture. Butter was injured in hot weather by these delays and on one oceasion he was glad to sell $£ 200$ worth at half-price.
regular supply often procheed most serions inconveniences; and it not infrequently happened that, by the stoppage of the eanal, wagroms had to be sent miles to proenre necesoaries from the barges which were mable to proceced on their voyages. This, of comse, greatly inereased the cost to the consumer, and, on such a necessary article as coal, was quite a burden ${ }^{1}$. Another inconvenience on the Thames was that vessels were of large capacity and would not set out on their voyage up the river mutil they had a full load. A merchant might, therefore, have ten tons of goocts to be sent as quiekly as possible by water in fulfihnent of an order; bue if the vessel that was to earry these goods was of cighty tons burden, she would not start until her cargo was complete. This eompelled the tradesman to wait for the goods ${ }^{2}$. So absohtely uneertain was the conveyance that not even an approximate caleulation could be formed by the most experienced traders as to when their goods woukd arrive at the point of destination ${ }^{3}$; and merehants and manufacturers frepucitly received or sent their goods all the way by land carriage at twice the cost, or more, rather than send them by the mavigations and not know that they would be eertain to reach their destination at the required time ${ }^{4}$. In addition to the unecrtainty of the navigation, its expense and the injuries which the commodities were likely to sustain, there was much amnoyance on aceount of the losses by pilferage, which were considerabic under ordinary conditions, but we:e very heavy when delays oceurred to canse the barges to stand still.
${ }^{1}$ I'roccedings of the Grent Wrstem Railacay Company, pp, 9, 28; 'Great Western Kailway 13ill. Minutes of Evidence before the Lords Committees, evidence of Br Davis; Felix Farley's Bristol Journal, April 26,1834, p. 2 , evidence betore the eommittee on this railway Bill, given by Mr Ogden, Mr May, and others.
${ }^{2}$ I'roceedings of the Cireat Western Railatay Compamy, p. 28; 'Grcat Western dailway Bill. Hinutes of Lidenee before the Lords Committees, evidence of Mr Davis, p. 396.
${ }^{3}$ Felix Farley's Aristol Journal, April 19, 1834, p. 4, "Committec on the Great Western Railway Bill," statement of Mr Harrison. Sometimes on the Thames there would not be more than two "flash days" a week, and often harges wore stranded on the shallows and could not move (ibid., April 28,1834 , p. 9 , evidenee of Rohert Ray amd others).

* Levilence of Messrs Watker, Stone, Shepherd, Provis, Oeden, Wilson, Harris, Dills, l)avis, Pearman, ct alhi, given to Committee on the Great Western Railway Bill : also "Creat Western Railway Bill. Minutes of lividence before the Lorls Committees, evidenec of Mr Wilkins, Mr Marhing, Mr Morris, and Mr Venalles. Sidony wools, which were brought into the ("astern ports of England, were earried west prineipally by wagerons to awoil the delay on the canal. Woollen groods mamfactured in the west of England were sent to Lomlen by waggon paying Js. per ewt, or sometimes by coach at $1 \%$. per 16 ., rather than by canal barge at 2.s. gd. per ewt. The goods were ton whable to risk semding them by canal, with the neecsary transhipment, for they woulh become erushed ind often wet before they were delivered.

The passenger traffic, too, was not carricd on as expeditiously as was desired, and the gross abuses, the inconveniences and the cost comected with coaching were imperiments for wheh no adecpuate remedy had been devised. The insecurity of life had been the cause of continual complaint, and, as we have seen, measures had been taken to prevent the perpetual recurrence of those things which andangered the lives of travellers, but still the evils went on without serious check. Twentytwo coaches went up and down every dey, and there were also four mall conches a day, two up and two down. The great number of passengers who were carried by the coaches may be readily calculated from their returns, which showed that the average number of passengers by a four-horse coach was nine, by the mails five, and by a pair-horse coach six ${ }^{1}$. The average time taken by the stage coaches from London to Briston including stoppages, was fourtecn hours, and by the mail thirteen hours. This was an arerage rate of speed of about nine miles per hour. But those who knew the speed attained on the Liverpool and Manchester Raikway were eager to see the same twenty to twenty-five miles per hour accomplished on the way between Bristol and London, partionlarly when it could be secured at lower cost and with greater safety and eomfort than by the coaches.

The above-mentioned reasons were by no means all that were adduced in support of the plan for a railway along this course. Much emphasis was laid upon the fact that Bristol, being the natural entrepot. for Ireland, Wales and the West of Eingland, would attract the trade from these scetions, and their products conld then be sent to London on a shorter hanl and at a cheaper rate than if they were sent via Liverpool. In this way the metropolis would be furnished with quantitics of food supplies from Ireland, with which Bristol had regular commmication, and these conld be greatly increased. Fish, also, instead of coming from the north, could be supplied in great abundance from that island ${ }^{2}$. The immense quantities of coal and iron in South
${ }^{1}$ Felix l'arley's lBristol Journal, May 3, 183.4, p. 2 , evidence of Thomas Cooper, coachmaster at 13ath and 13ristol, before the Committee of the House of Commons on the Creat Western Railway Bill. See the returns of the passenger trallie as given by the records of the Stamp, Olfiec, a table of which is given in 'Great Western Lailway Bill. Minutes of lividence before the Lords Committees,' evidence of 12.,I. Venables, p. 416. The same table is inserted in the Proceedings of the Gireat Wetern Railuay Company, and in the evidence given before the committee of the Honse of Commons on this Bill.
${ }^{2}$ Felix Farley's Bristol Iournal, April 19, 1834, p. 4, "Committee on the Grea Western Railway 13ill," statement of Mr Marrison; ibid., sept. 28, 1838, p, 3, on the Great Western Raiway; ibid., Oct. 5, 1833, p. 2, letter from Jolm Wecton, on the Great Western Railway.
J. T. 11.

Wales, with which Bristol had immediate comexion, and in the vicinity of the eity of Bristol, would provide fuel for the increasing demands of London and the intervening places, at a recheed cost that wouk soon greatly increase the consumption of that commodity and the revenue to be derived from it ${ }^{1}$. The agrienltural interests were appealed to by the possibility of opening up wider markets for their surphus produce, thes tending toward higher prices for everything they had to sell, and by the inevitable enhaneement of the value of their lands should the railway be put into operation. For these claims they had the utmost justifieation from the results which had accrued along the two lines which were already carrying on their work as general carriers, namely, the Stockton and Darlington and the Liverpool and Manchester. The farmer could get supplies of mamure from greater distances and at a cheaper rate than before, so that the 'and would be bronght into a higher state of cultivation and the fertility of the soil improved. By the greater productiven is of the land and the better marketing facilities the rental $b$ i e of the land would be inereased. and consequently both owner and occupier would receive the benefit ${ }^{2}$. Farmers would also be able to send their eattle, sheep, ete, to the London markets at diminished expense, and at the same time the better means of conveyance would prevent any deterioration in the quality of the meat. This would make it possible for the lmoteler to pay the farmer higher prices for this meat supply, aud to give the consumer a better quality of product. Encouragement was abo given that, when the heary road traffie had been transferred to the rails, the highways would be greatly improsed and their maintenance would not iuvolve such a heary burden of expense. Lastly, the construction of such a great pablic work would give employment to a large bumber of men, and this would be a significant relief at the time when the pressure of distress was severely felt and the obligation of poor rates was being inereasingly realized ${ }^{3}$.

Now, let us consider the nature of the opponition which was aronsed against this scheme. As in the ease of the other railways which were anthorized before this, so in the ease of the Great Western, the opposition of the landords and of the inland waterway interests was the 110st prowerful. On Nov. 19 and Dec, 9, 18333, there were
${ }^{1}$ Felix Furley's Bristol Jomrmal, Nov. 17. 18:32, p. 3, "IRailway from Iristol to I ondon;" ihid., Oct. 12, 18:33, p. 3, editorial umder the caption "Great Western R:ailuay."
${ }^{2}$ Felix Farley's IRrisol Jonmal, April 2(5, 183.4. p. 2, evitlence of Mr Geo. W. Hall and Wr. Joseph Pease, before the Commons Committer on the Creat Western Railway 13ill: also ibid.. Jay :3. 1s3. p, p. a, evidenee of Thomas Pearman and others.

[^36]meetings of noblemeir and gentlemen, owners and oceupiers of lands throngh or near which it was proposed to make this railway, deelaring that no ease of public utility had been made ont to justify or palliate steh an unealled-fior eneroachment upon the rights of private property; and that the projected railway would be repugnant to the fectings and injurions to the interests of the landed classes. They deeided in each case to enter into a sulseription aud appoint a committee who were to see that all possible legal measures were takerr to counteract the aetivity of the pronoters of the railway, and were to bring pressure upon their members of Parliament to induce the latter to oppose the saretioning of such a baneful inurovatiou ${ }^{1}$. But it must not be inferred from what we have said that all the landowners were opposed, for there were some who were suflieiently open-minded and publie-spirited to see that their own personal predilections should be subordimated to the general good; and there were others, whose property would not be crossed by the railway, who were convineed that the proximity of that couvenience wontd he of great value in the marketing of their produets ${ }^{2}$. In the inland eounties there were some who recognized that in sending their produets to London by railway at a lower expense they would come into competition with the south of Ireland, which would also be afforded great inducement to place its prodhets on the same market; and if the market were thus taken away from the home prodneer the agrienture of these southern counties would suffer ${ }^{3}$. On the other hand, there were certain who cond foresee that, with the lowering of the prices of food supplies, there would be a greater demand for them on account of greater consumption, and, consequently, there was little fear that Irish competition would be injurious to English interests. It is elear, however, that landhord opposition was active in preventing the favourable eonsideration of the Great Western Railway Bill.

The animosity of the waterway interests was likewise vigorous.
${ }^{1}$ Felix Farley's Bristol Journal, Feb. 22, 1834, p. 1, Lives the resolutions whieh were athoptel at cach of these meetings. Did., Mar. 15, 1s:34, p. 4, "Proceedings in the Honse of Commons on the Great Western IBailway Bill," showed many petitions for the measure, and some against, with very strong opposition from the landowners. Comutess Berkeley petitioned against the Bill and said that her residence would be minhbibitable if the line marked ont by the company was selected.
${ }^{2}$ Felix Frarley's IBristol Jourmal, May 31, 183.4, 1, 3, evidence of Lord Kensington on the Great Western Raihway Bill.
${ }^{3}$ Felix Farley"s Bristol Johrmal, Oet. 5, 1833, p. 2, leter from John Weedon. Midllesex landowners and farmers opposed it beeanse they thoutht it would bring prodnee to London from a distance as cheaply as they could send it there, and thus destroy their monopoly in that market. Buckinghamshire and Berkshire farmers opposed it beeanse they feated lrish eompetition. (l'roceedings of the Great IVestern Railatay Company, pp. 10-1נ.)

The Kennet and Avon Canal Company and the Commissioners of the River Thames Navigation were loud in their denunciation of a seheme which wonld take away their trade and mullify all that they had endeavonred to do. The canal company, through its special committee, unamimonsly resolved to oppose the railway ${ }^{1}$. They thought, from what they had alreadyseen in other instances, that most of the traflie would leave the canal and gro on the rails; that, therefore, the money invested in the canal would be largely lost, and, as a result, great mombers who were depending upon this mudertaking for their income would be deprived of their maintenanee ${ }^{2}$. But it was shown to them that the opposition evoked against other railways had been powerless to stem the tide of progress; that the prineiple of publie good must prevail over that of private advantage, here as well as in the other eases, by the construction of a superior meams of conveyance; and that the camal company should not blind themselves to the evidence of experience and throw away their money in useless legal contests ${ }^{3}$. The general committee of the Thames Navigation formed a more potent antagonist to the proposed railway than was the Kennet and Avon Canal Company, because they represented also the great majority of the owners of land adjacent to the river. In order to prevent the authorization of the railway, they endeavoured to enlist "the active assistance of the varions interests, threatened by this sidely destructive speculation with inevitable ruin ${ }^{4}$." They sought to rouse public support to their side, by showing that the great body of commissioners, ating gratuitously, had, by judicions expenditure of over $£ 250,000$, made that navigation one of the most perfect in the kingdom; that anything which wonld lessen the amonut of tolls they received would prevent the meeting of their obligations to their ereditors and the maintenance of the navigation; and that, if the proposed railway were constructed, the river would fall into disuse and become silted up, the floods would inerease in height and duration, many towns on the river would have their trade injured, and the lands along the river would deteriorate in value. The wide range and the nature of their appeal ineluded the bondholders, whose seeurity would be endangered by the railway; the landholder, the value of whose property would be affected; the grea trading towns along the river, whose commercial prosperity was threatened; the owners of mills, wharfs, and other mereantile establishments, whose trade woukd be

[^37]annihilated; and the owners of old loeks whose revenues wonld be destroyed. To those who presided over, and those who were edneated at, Liton College and Oxford University, appeal was made by the sanctity of their present trust and their former recollections and associations; and, lavtly, it was requested that all those who resided upon the banks of this river, whether attracted there by its beauty, its sahbrity, or its utility, would lend their aid to prevent the sanction of Parliament being given to "so nseless a scheme" as that of the Great Western Railway ${ }^{1}$. With the great influcnce which the inland navigation companies exerted, it is little wonder that they were ealled the "fourth estate of the realm²."

Of the vehement opposition of the authorities of Eton College and the Chiversity of Oxford, we have already spoken in a former connexion. It was not until after repeated applications had been made that a braneh line of the railway was sanetioned to Oxford, and then it was stipulated that the station should be built as far away from the eity as it conld conveniently be placed ${ }^{3}$. In the Act as first passed, there was also a clanse forbidding the ercetion of any station at the important town of Windsor ${ }^{4}$.

While we have been impressed by the fiet that the commereial classes, generally, were strongly in favour of the railway, we note in this ease, what we have not observed in any of the foregoing, that some of the mereantile elements were averse to this railway. Some feared lest Bristol might become merely a way station between London and Wales and Ireland, and as sueh would be overshadowed by the metropolis to such an extent that it would eease to grow. Moreover, sinee there was always a prejudiee in favonr of the London market, the rapid transit by rail would enable purehasers in South Wales and the west of England to go direetly to London for their supplies, and thereby Bristol's importanes as a great entrepot would probably deeline ${ }^{5}$. It would seem as if there were not a few people in Bristol who shared this apprehension that the railway, if constructed, might transfer part of the Bristol trade to London, and that the shipping and West India trade might also leave Bristol and follow the domestie trade to the inctropolis ${ }^{\text {. }}$.

Of the other sonrees of opposition we shall merely mention a few; and of these the most important was the rivalry of other railways which
${ }^{1}$ Felix Farley's Bristol Journal, Feb, 22, 1834, D. 1, "Thames and Isis Navigation in opposition to Great Western Railway:"
"Manchester Gazelte, Jan. 15, 1825, 1. 3, "Effect of Competition."
"Shaen. Reviric of Railvogys amel Raileay Legislation, p. 29 ; Sekon, the Great Western Raileay, p. \&.
b Felix Farley's IJristol Journal, Jan 19, 1833, shaen, np. cit., p. 29.

- Bristol Mercury, Mar. 2, 18:33, p. 2, letter from "Smptator." "S. T. C."
were projeeted at the time the Great Western was seeking ineorporation, such as the line from Windsor to London' ${ }^{1}$, the London and Southampton, and several others. Some trustees of thonpike roads did not favour the railway, on the gromd that their revemes wonld be depleted beeanse of the transference of the traflie from the roads to the rails ${ }^{2}$. Of a similar character was the opposition of the town of Maidenhead, on the plea that all the existing traflie whieh paid toll on the bridge over the Thames at that place would be diverted to the railway ${ }^{3}$. As in other instances, coachmasters and the representatives of the carrying trade on the lighways presentel fechle resistance to the movement in lavour of the railw: $y$. Bint it womld seem, from the records of the time, that one of the greatest faetors with which the adrocates of the line had to reckon was the inactivity of Bristol and its people to ronse thenselves for the aecomplishment of a great future good. We have not fonnd the manilestation of any such shoggish, sedf-atisfied spirit in the promotion of any other line. The Bristolians of that day, mulike those of the present, seemed to be in favour of the quict enjoyment of the old, rather than of the reaching out after the new; they seemed to be rejoieing in the peacefnl returns from their investments, rather than utilizing their wealth in chamels which might greatly aid in restoring their former commereial aseendaney ${ }^{4}$. While the probability of good retnins from the railway was inducing capitalists in Liverpool, Manchester, Birmingham, and other important towns in the north to subseribe largely for its shares, the wealthy elasses in Bristol were. apparently, indifferent to the opportunity before them. Even after all the facts had been gathered and made publie, and it had been eonchasively proved before the parliamentary committee that the road woukd well repay the subseribers, it was with much dilfenlty and persuasion that they eould be induced to support the railway by taking stoek in it ${ }^{5}$.
${ }^{1}$ The Times. Jan. 13, 1834. p. 3, and Jan. 20. 1834, p. 3.
${ }^{2}$ Felix Farley"s Bristol Journal, Mar. 15. 18:34, p. 4: "Proceedings in the House of Commons on the (ireat Western Kailway IBill;" ibinl, Mar. 15, 18:34, p. 1, report of the mecting at lieading, statements of Mr Harris and Mr Law.
${ }^{3}$ froceedings of the Cireat Hestern Raitady Company, pp. 10-11.
${ }^{4}$ Felix Purley's Bristol Journal, Nov. 16, 18:3:3, p. 4, letter from " R. R.:" ibid., Sept. 28, 1833, 1P. 3, on "(ireat Western Railway;" Bristol Mercury, June 30, 1832, p. 4 , letter from John Ham; bibl., Aug. 11. 18:32, p. 33, address of " G. IR. C." to ronse the l3ristolians from their atheth; ibil., Sept. 1,1802, o. 2 , emphasizing the same thing, and bemoning the eurse of "party spirit;" ihil., Sept. 29, 1832, p. 2, fetter from "A Well-Winlar."
${ }^{5}$ Felix Farley's Bristol Juarnal, Oet. 11, 18:34, p. 33, letter flom " R, K.;" ibid., Oet. 18, 1834, p. 4, ind Nov. 8, 1834, p. 2, letters from Thomas Motiey, "Cood Speed," and E. Jones, urging the neeessity of support.

After more than a year had been spent by a committec of eitizens of Bristol in an chaborate investigation of the prospects for the railway and in ascertaining minnte and aceurate information regarding the sonres of revemue and the amount of the returns from each somree, and after the survey by two engineers lad shown that the line was very favourable, the matter was brought before the publie with the object of enlisting popular support. Subscriptions did not come in very rapidly, so that the company did not secure enough money to warrant their applying to Parliament for permission to construct the complete line between l3ristol and London; but in the latter part of $183: 3$ they gave notice that they intended to make application in the ensuing session for authority to construct the two end sections of the line, that from London to Reading, with a brauch to Windsor, and that between Bath and Bristol ${ }^{2}$. It was thourht that the company was acting wisely in their determination to secure the two ends of their line, first, because if they had applied to Parliament for the whole line, and if for any cause they had failed to obtain their Aet, it was highly probable, they thought, that the Windsor Railway Company might obtain the Act they sought, to cnable them to build a railway from Windsor to London. In that event, the most profitable jart of the whole undertaking would have been lost to the Great Western Railway and the latter would have been at the merey of its fortunate rival as to the terms of transit on that part of the line. The Bill was read in Parliament for the first time on Feb. 26, 1834 ${ }^{3}$, and with the great support given it by London merchants it passed rapidly from stage to stage. At its sccond reading the vote stood 182 for and 92 against the measure, and the advantages of the railway as a national undertaking were becoming firmly established ${ }^{4}$. After a debate of fifty-seven days in the committec of the Ilouse of Commons, during which there was strenuous exertion by the contending parties-the one to preserve monopoly, the other to throw open the resourecs of the kingdom for the gencral bencfit
${ }^{1}$ Felix Farley's Bristol Journal. Aug. 3, 18:\%, p. 4, gives the report of this committe. It is evident from the report that all possible care was taken to sceure facts that conld be relied upon and to awoid any kind of exaggeration or fatse security.
${ }^{2}$ Ithid., Nov. 2, 18333, 1. 2, Great Western IKatway Notice. The conmittce of promoters decitled that for the completion of the whole line $63,000,000$ would be needed; but they could not raise this amome in the two montlis that were left; and the Standing Orders of the House of L.ords required that four-fifths of the proposed capital should be actually subscribed before any railway Bill could be read a third time. IInce the decision to get the two most important parts of the line first (Procecdings of the Great Western Raition Company, p. 7).
${ }^{3}$ Felix Farley's Bristol Journal, Mar. 1, 1834, p. 3.
4 Ibid., Mar. 15, 1834, p. 3.
-the Bill went to the Honse of lords, but that body threw it out without even a hearing'. The reason for this faihure was probably the fact that there was no security given for the completion of the whole line between these terminal sections ${ }^{2}$. A great publie dinuer was hedd by the opposition to celebrate the defeat, to secure which they had diligently and systematieally arrayed all possible influenee against the measnre ${ }^{3}$. But the promoters of the railway set to work more vigoronsly than before to ohtain the neecssary amount of subseription to enable them to apply at the next semsion for anthority to eonstruct the whole line. The facts regarding the need and the adwantage of suth a railway were kept before the pablic, a new prospectus was issued ${ }^{4}$, opposition was allayed in some cases bey seeme the real situation in a new light ; and in the session of 1835, despile much hostility which conld not be phaeated. fine Great Western Railway det was passed. The road was opened in 18:39-41.

In 1 Sot hegan the agitation for a railway to eonnect Neweastle and Carlisle, but it was not until 1829 that this line was anthorized, and not before 1835 was it all open for traffie ${ }^{5}$. In 1833, the London and Southampton Railway Aet was passed, with almost unanimons support, and the lite was opened in 1838-40 ${ }^{6}$. In 1825 the surveys for a railway between Leeds and Hull had been made and the work begme; hut in 1826 the work was stopped on account of commercial difficulties, and aho becanse of the increased water accommodation due to the opening of the new port of Coole, while at the same time many wanted, first of all, to know what would be the suceess of the railways then being formed before they should go on with additional construction? In 1829 it was thought wise to construet only the part of the line between Leeds and Selly in the hope that the possible use of steam tugs on the

[^38]river from Sclly to Hull might furnish au acerptable continuation of the railway service. The subscribers to the origital momertakiug were organized as the Leeds and Selby Railroad Company and apptication was made to Parliament for an Aet to carry out their purpose. It was decided to make the railway available for either horse-power or becomotive engines, or, if thourgt desirable, to emable the company to use locomotive carriages ${ }^{1}$. The Aet was passed in 1830 ${ }^{2}$, and the work completed in 1834. It was the current te, timony that, during the first year of operation, the inereased speed and redueded expense had bronght about an almost ninefold ine rease in the number of passenincrs travelling between these two rentres ${ }^{3}$. In all probability, it was this rapidity, cheapuess and safety of railway carriage, in contrast to the delay, meertainty and danger of river navigation, that led to the design of a railway between Solloy and Itull in 18354, but as there was an insuflicient response for subseriptions to the latter railway at this time, the project eould not be brought before Parliament for another year, and so the Aet was not passed for the Hull and Selby Railway umtil $1836{ }^{5}$.

Althongh direet rail eonnexion was thas semed between the mannfacturing section of Yorkshire and the port of Hall, there was need of extending these facilities through the industrial seetions of Yorkshire and Laneashire, so as to join this great seaport on the east with Manchester and Liverpool on the western sea. The anthorization of the Leeds and Selby line was the signal for activity looking toward the junction of Leeds with the large eentres of Laneashire. Here, two different routes were surgested: one following the general direction of the Leeds and Liverpool Canal, and the other a more sontherly course,
${ }^{1}$ Leeds Intelligencer, Jan. 29, 1829, p. 3; ibid., Mar. 26, 1829, p. 3 : ibid., Now. 5, 1829, p. 3. Sce report of James Walker, the engineer, concerning this line, as given in Macturk, IIstory of Railatays into Ihull, pp.18-82. He was decidedly in fivour of the uniform line, withont inclined planes, becanse the public could then, upon paynent of the tolls, freely use the line to convey their own goods in either direction, and because there would be grentor simplicity and certainty in its operation.
${ }^{2}$ Lecels Intelligencer, May 20, 1s30, p. 3. Opposition was encountered from the Marchioness of Hertford and other landowners on the ground of the railway's interfercoce with private property (ibid., Mar. 11, 1830, p. 3; April 1, 1830, p. 2; and May 13, 1830, p. 3). The Aire and Calder Nawimation Company at first opposerl it, but afterwards withdrew their opposition (ibid., Mar. 18, 1830, p. 3; April 1, 18:30, p. 2; and May 13, 1830, p. 3). Slight opposition was also made by the watermen along the river and by the captains and owners of vessels there (ibid. Mar. 4, 1830, p. 3).
${ }^{3}$ Shefleld Iris, Sept. 29. 1833., p. 3 , on Leeds and Sclly laailway.
4 Macturk, op. cit., pp. 42-46, gives the prospectus of this railway, showing the reasons for its proposed construction.
${ }^{5}$ Ibid., p. 46.
from Manchester to laceds. In the case of the proposed Liverpool and Laeds railway there were altermative routes shagested, lant either of them would take the line through a region of productive industry of mat " oturing mad mining. and through a series of flourishing towns. So ine. It did the railway appear that along its route some of the ocenpiers of land and the workers of the mines offered to pay an increase of rent in the event of its being established ${ }^{1}$. Application was made in 18:31 for an Act to permit the construction of this railway bat it was denied. The promoters, however, immediately set to work to remove the obstacles which had cansed their defeat. In the agitation for the other railway between Manchester and Leeds, which also netively began in 18:30 !ey a survey of the line ${ }^{2}$, there seemed to be more vigonr than in the case of its rival. The citizens of both Manchester and Liverpool, as well as those of Leeds, were eager to see the line constructed ${ }^{3}$; mud a report or prospectus of the undertaking was issued, detailing the necessity for the line and the objects to be secured by it ${ }^{4}$. The chief purposes to be served were the aecelerating and chapening of the transport of passemgers and commodities and the opening up of wider markets for the productions of the section throngh which it passed. In 1831 the measure was first brought before Parlianent ; but the Rochdate Canal Compary and other opposing interests gave evidence to show that the existing means of conveyance were mople for all the tratlic of the comentry, and the Bill faifed, or else was abandoned for that session becanse it was too late to get it through ${ }^{5}$. For five years the projeet

[^39]stmmberel, and then the promoters, with more spirit than before, began at campaign which, in spite of the opposition of the Aire and Catfer Navigation, secured lavourable consideration by Parliament and the passage of the Act mathorizing the construction of the raitway. Another line connecting two important eentres in this northern mannfacturing area was the Manchester and Sheflield. An Aet had early been oltanined to make a railway here ${ }^{2}$; but, apparently, it was designed as a pmrely speentative seleme, and when the shares would not bring a preminm in the money market the whole thing was given inp. But in 1835 the project was revived by those who were vitally interested in seenring better facilities of carriage ${ }^{3}$. At that time the onty mems of conveyance between these places was by waggon over a rongh country, and the time ocenpied in performing the journey was about forty hours. There was neither existing nor prospective water carriage, so that nearly all the traflic would go by the railway, if constructed ${ }^{3}$. No opposition was cheonitered from the labilowners along the route, nor from any other interests; its advantages were indubitable; and the Act was passed for giving effect to the line in $180.6^{5}$.

It is not our purpose to give a complete neconnt of each railway that was formed; and we have traced in sufficient detail a few of the most important of the early modertakings, in order to see the various influeness pro and con which were operative in laying down these roads. Many of the other lines are equally instructive, but we camot follow their history here ${ }^{6}$. Among the railways for which surveys were made during the railway fever of 1825 , was one from London to
${ }^{1}$ Lefels Intelligeneer, Jim. 23, 1836, p, 3; ibid., April 23, 1839, p. 3.
${ }^{2}$. Hanchester Guturdian, Aug. 38, 1830, p, 2. The ennstruction of the railway appeared to be considered as certain at that time.
${ }^{3}$ Sheffield Iris, Oet. 13, 1835, p. 3, and Jan. 5, 1836, p. 3, on the Shellield and Manchester Railway. When the measure wats given ul in 1830, there seemed to be nothing more done about it until 1832, when at a meeting of the subseribers the whole molertaking was disenssed. Some regarded it as useless and impractienble, and wanted it abandoned. Others thonght nothing firther should be done about it for three years. Finally, it was agreed that those who were friendly to it should try to take up the shares of the dissentients and if they were unsuccessful the eoneern should be abindonel, Exidently they were unable to meet the list condition.

4 See prospectus as given in .Sheffich Iris, May 10, 18336, p. 23; also The Times, Oct. 28, $1837, \mathrm{p} .3$, report of lirst general meeting of the sheflied and Maneliester ${ }^{6}$. Sheflield Iris, Oct. 10. 18:36, 1. 2.

- We would mention, among the shorter lines, the Shellield and Hotherham Railwy, the history of whieh is intensely interesting. The neecssity of this line for the industrial development of Shelfield and its envirms, the antagonism of a strong but unserviceable navigation monopoly, the 'ostility of the landlords, two of whom were implaeable, are detaited in the eolumns of the Sheffeld Iris, especiatly the following issucs: July 29, 1834, p. 2; Oct. 7, 1834, p. 1; Oct. 14, 1834, p. 3;

Cambridge. In 1827 the survey was extended north thromgh Lincoln to York, but by that time the fever had stopped and nothing furt her was then done toward constrncting this raikwe. In 1833 this Great Northern Raikway line was again surveyed from London, via Cambridge, Lincoln and Gainsborongh, to York, with several branches; lant before the building of the road was anthorized many years intervened, chang which George Hudson, the "Railway Napoteon," was manipnkating the railways of Fighand throngh his eontrol over the North Midland and the York and North Midland lines. It was not mutil the year 1855 that am let was passed to construet the Great Northern from London to a little north of Doneaster ${ }^{1}$. In 1833t, the prospectus was issued for the Liastern Comenties Raihwa, which was to rim from London, via Colchester. to Norwich and Yarmonth ${ }^{2}$, and very glowing aceoments were given of the great things which were to be accomplished by this rahway. It was sanctioned by an Aet passed in 183f; and at the eompany's first genemb meeting in that year, the Chairman showed what an "ample return" the stockholders would receive on their eapital. and that the enterprise rested on "the broad and stable basis of national utility." But his optimism was celipsed lyy the extravagant statements of some of the shareholders who thonght that a dividend of at keast twentertwo per cent. wonld be paid, and that this railway and other similar undertakings would provide such a soeial amelioration as to ahnost hanish misery from the eartl. But the perfidy of the Eastern Cominties Railway Company, which, instead of building the road throngh to Yarmouth, stopped short at Cokehester, and wanted to leave to another company the constriction of the rest of the line, which wonld not pay so well lut which woukd afterwards be used as a feeder for their more important part of the road, is a chapter upon whicl: we shall not enter ${ }^{3}$. Notwithstanding the troublons days of its carly history, the Эastern Counties Railway became an important Mar. 1\%, 1835, p. 2; Mar. 31, 183.3, pp. 2, 3, 4; April 7, 1835, pp. 2, 4; June 2, 18:35. p. 2. Hill., sept. 15, 1835, p. 4, and Sept. 22, 1835, p. t, gives a letter from IV. Hbatoon which is very important.
${ }^{1}$ I'ruibably the best account of this railway is Grinlins?, Ilistory of the Great Aorthern latakay, which give, much detail also of Hudson's career. deworth, The liaitatays of linglemd, ch. v, may also be consulted. On Ifudson's career, see also Railiay Times vı, pp. 1058, 1084, 109.5-fi, 1122, 1312-13; v11, p1. 6i2, 131,

${ }^{2}$ (irinling, op. cit., p. 2. An carlier project had been brought forward churing the railway fever of las5, for the construction of a line from Norwich to London; but it was appacenty interded to be al spendative venture and not to materialize (The Times, April s, is26, p. 3, letter from "A shareholder').
${ }^{3}$ On the Es : Anrri Counties Railway sece Acworth, The Ruilumys of Englamb, dr. x. Concorning the aminintrative fraud and financial corruption whel made the name
constituent in the Great Eastern, when this latter, in 1862, was formed by the amalgamation of five small limes.

Two other lines ruming out of London remain to be mentioned. From carly duys. Bieghton had been noted as a fashionable resort, and along the three branches of this roald there was a perpetual succession of coaches, each one rying with the others in speed and comfort. Along these lines of travel, too, large sums of money had been spent in eutting off enrves, reducing or entting through hills, and straightening, shortening and improving the road to the greatest extent, so that the mmerons coaches which travelled it at all times of the day might not be impeded in their journeys. As soon as railways had demonstrated their many points of superiority over former means of communication, there was a movement for a line between London and Brighton, to provide for the constantly increasing passenger traflic which was overtaxing the coaches. The Bill was brought into the House of Commons in the early pert of the year 1836, and ere long there were no fewer than fise lines seeking authority to connect these ternini, each line being the result of a survey by a different engineer. Then began the parliamentary contest, in which inmense sums were spent, varying from $£ 10,500$ for the least expensive, to $£ \sim 2,000$ for the most expensive. The fortreate line was completed and in operation before the critieal period of $18: 33^{1}$. The movement for the railway between London and Dover, afterwards called the London and South Eastern, also began in the early months of $1836^{2}$. This road would be beneficial to the farmers, as, for example, in the quiek conveyance of their stoek to market; it wonld enable traders to carry on business with mureh less capital when they had easy access to London; and it would facilitate and encourage the passenger traffic between London and the Continent ${ }^{3}$. Anthority was granted to construet the line, and it was in active use befor the middle of the next decade ${ }^{4}$. With the completion of the above-mentioned lines, the chicf arteries from the metropolis to the of this railway a beword for trathery and deceit, see Raikeay Times, iv, pp. 6i3-6.4, and Herepath's Ruilway Magazine, N.S., H1, 1pl, $92-94$, letter from "A Suffering Shareholder."
${ }^{1}$ Raitways as they Rea:? Are: or Facts for the Sorions Comsideration of Raitanay Proprictors. .No. I, Londom, Brighton und South Const Raikeay. This gives the history and finances of this conpany in brief form, using almost exclusively the parliamentary documents, and citing minntely the refermees. The writer exposes the frand practised by the company upon the publie, showing the way in whieh the dividends paid were added to capital, ete. Sce also Acworth, The Ruikeays of England, ch. viii.
${ }^{2}$ The Times, Mar. 1f, 18: 3, p. 7.
${ }^{3}$ Hid.
not of an conomic charseter.
different parts of the kingdom were laid down in outline. It is beyond the sempe of this work to conter into the minutiae of the construction of the railwry net: we merely wish to present its feral features in a series of great roads leading out from London ${ }^{1}$, with transverse roads where they were mont required ${ }^{2}$.

The rainway fever of 1825-6, as already noted, bronght forward many projeets which never materialized, and others which took form at a later time. But when the success of the Liverpool and Manehester was demonstrated. there were many who were eager to embark their eapital in similar entcrprises with the object of reaping corresponding rewards from analogous publie services. Public attention was eentred npon railways, and with the prospects that were held out by sangnine investors many were indueed to put their carnings or capital where they would seenre the largest returns. Since there was a disposition to readily devote funds to these particular channels, there came to be a prevailing mamia in regard to railroads. Schemes were brought forward which were mere speculations, undertaken for purposes of indivichal profit and withont any thonght that they wonlt ever be earried through to completion. Every day new companies were annoumed, some of them very visionary and destined to end in ruin to those who put their money into them; but as the prices of the shares were advanced, speculation beeame rampant, and this in turn reacted to push the prices of shares still higher. Railway lines were plamed along routes which could barely sipport a coach. Newspapers contained mmerous prospectuses; and, on the basis of the statements made in these. millions were subseribed with eagerness and zeal. Raikway lills were coming before Parliament in great numbers, and in 18:36 alone there were presented fifty-seven petitions involving an estimated outlay of over twenty-eight million pounds ${ }^{3}$. Many of these Bills were, of course, left without any aetion having been taken upon them. The great number of enterprises that were sanctioned during

[^40]this mania, from 1835 to 183\%, absorbed so mueh money that in the years from $18: 38$ to 1544 very few new lines were suthorized ${ }^{1}$.

13y the middle of this formth decade of the eentury, it was obvions that railroads were no longer to be regarded as mere private enterprises, hint as great public concerns, forming a new but most material element in the development of commeree, national wealth and national resources. Since they were in frrture to eonstitute the regular and established nooles of eommmication between the different parts of the kingdom, and by their more rapid speed the value of time wonld be relatively enhanced, it became a matter of expediency that the lines should be planned according to some well-devised system, and that eare be taken not to sacrifice publie good to private advantage. If no supervision were to be exercised over the formation of these lines, they would be construeted in the same piecemeal fashion as the canal network, in eonsequence of which loeal and individual, rather than national and publie, benefit would be considered. The railway mania of $1835-7$ seems to have brought the issue more prominently before those who were looking keyon the temporary adjustment; and to them it was elear that to leave the acilways to speenlators, to be decided aecording to their judgment and interest, would be the greatest folly. The lines should be made to dovetail into one another; and to have such a preconeerted plan as a basis of aetion for tire Legislature in sanctioning these undertakings, the eountry ought to be thoronghly examined and studied as to its needs and obstacles. One prime essential was that there should be ready eommunication between the eapital and all parts of the kingdom; London was regarded as the heart from which, by the system of arteries and veins, the life of the whole organism should be maintained. How such a system was to be established and adjusted gave rise to differences of opinion. Some were agreed that the best plan would be to have a survey of the country made under the direction of a Government commission, with a view to laying down the great trunk lines in the most favourable situations, from which branches might be made aceording to the wants of different sections. In this way the conntry wonld avoid the evils of the parliamentary committee system of handling these Bills, under which it was not the best line, but the line whose personnel could exert the gratest influence in the eommittee, that received the recomition
${ }^{1}$ Jeans, Jubile Memorial of the Railzay System, p. 141, says that up to and including 18:30, Parliament lad sametioned 34 lines of railway, of a length of 094 miles, at an estimated cost of $£ 17.555,000$ : and that in 1837 there were fonrteen new companies incorporated, with power to construet fift miles of railway at a cost of \&8,087,000. Teisserene, Eludes sur les toies de communication, P. 19, says that in 18:38-11 only 200 kilometres were authorized.
sought ${ }^{1}$. Another advoented that each of the great towns, like Aanchester, Birminglam, Sheffich, ete., should, as far as possible, have its own direct railway connceting with London, so as to mantain the natural healthy condition of direet communication between the heart and the extremities ${ }^{2}$. It will be noted that this movement in the direction of systematization and correlation in the railway structure of the country was in harmony with the phan of Thomas Gray, more than ten years before, to have a consistent and effective development of the railway facilities: but in neither case did the proposals meet with favourable action from Parliament ${ }^{3}$, and lines continued to be treated as separate entities without regard to any organized relations with others.

Railway enterprise was something wholly new in the history of the world, and Parliament did not know what legislative prineiples to adopt so as not to stifle their development, but at the same time to safugnard the publie interests. As laisse-faire doctrines were so predominant in every other aspeet of the national life, and had proved to be productive of good in the case of the eamals, the same poliey was adopted at first regarding the railways. Each project was considered on its own merits; the conditions in that particular locality were expeeted to be carefully investigated by a parliamentary committee in regard to the need for the proposed line; and by the let that was passed the railway company was allowed to charge a ecertain specified maximum of rates for different elasses of goods, but otherwise it could conduct its business as it thonght best ${ }^{4}$. This was the only restrietion imposed upon the company in the operation of its road, for it was thought that other matters would be regulated by competition. The aim of the Legislature, at the outset, was to maintain the same frecdom on the railways as on the old roads.

It was the avowed purpose. in the construction of the railway lines, that they should be open for the publie use, on the payment of the tolls. This was enacted by Parliament to prevent monopoly, that is, to prevent the railway companies from getting exelusive control over

[^41]the conveyance of passeugers and goorls along their respective lines; and even railway proprictors said that they wanted no monopoly: that they were merely toll-takers, and that it was neither their wish nor their interest to undertake the work of a pablie carrier upon their own lines. It was expeeted that merchants and others would put their own earriages on the line, and either furnish their own horse or steam-power, or pay the railway company for the use of their power. Esen after the introduction of steam-power this system in part prevailed in the ease of goods traffie; for we find that in 1838 "engines belonging to different parties, coach proprietors, and others," were rmming upon the Liverpool and Manchester line ${ }^{2}$, and so closely associated was the railway with the ordinary highways, in the publie mind, that a select committee of the House of Commons, in 1837-8, recommended that the right enjoyed by private persons of running their own engines and trains upon any railway, shonld be extended to the Post Oflice ${ }^{3}$.

This system, of having divided responsibility on the same line, was not found to work well. In the first place, there was great dauger in the ruming of rival trains over the same rails, on aceount of the struggle for the ~ eatest possible use of the railway faeilities. In the sceond place, 1 . vision had been made to ensure, for private trains and engines, avess to stations, watering places and other equipment along the line. In the third place, the rate of toll himited by Act of Parliament was almost always so high as to make it impossible for other parties than the railway company to work at a profit, even if

[^42]J. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}$ II,
the other obstaeles were removed ${ }^{1}$. Then, too, great difficulty arose from the fact that private parties were not willing to himed engines and carriages under suel regulations as were necessary to work well on the road ${ }^{2}$. Soon it beeame evident to the railway companies that, with due regard to the eflieiency of their line and to the publie convenience and safety, they could not allow rival parties to rme engines and carriages on the same line; and it was eventially ackrowledged that these lines of communication must be placed under undivided control and anthority. Accordingly, a Piarliamentary Committec of 1839 urged the necessity of prohibiting, as far as locomotive power was concerned, the rivalry of competing parties on the same line of railway ${ }^{3}$; and the Committee of 18.10 decided that railway companies using locomotive power possessed a practical monopoly for the conseyance of passengers. and that under existing eiremmstances this monopoly was inseparable from the nature of their business ${ }^{4}$. It became imperative, therefore, that each railway compmy shonld take over the working of its own line. This difference between railway and other kinds of business was carly recognized: that competition of rival interests on the same railway line is impracticable, and that the railway company is in essence a monopoly ${ }^{5}$.

But although the practice of traders or independent earriers ruming their own trains fell carly into disuse, the theory of the railways being public highways is fomed in all the early Aets, and even in a great part of the moderin railway Iogislation ${ }^{6}$. This privilege is preserved, indeed, to the present time, sinee it is conferred by the Railways Clauses

[^43]
## vil] Proyressive Leffislation re lialnay Carviers

Consolidation Act of 18151; but the right is one to which it would be impossible to give practieal effeet, exeept in a very limited way. Amost the only remaining trace of the theory is fomed in the "running powers" exercised by one company over the lines of another; but these are usially arranged by agrecment or by special statutory provision in each case.

Each railway Act, therefore, provided for the use of the railway by the publie, subjeri to the company's approval of the engines and earriages to be nsed on it and to the payment of tolls not to exeeed the maximum amounts st:; nated in the Act. These tolls, in the ease of animals and passengers, were on a mileage basis, and in the case of minerals and goods on a tonnage basis. The latter, of eourse, were divided into different classes ${ }^{2}$, aceording to the nature, bulk and value of the artictes and their liability to damage. These tolls were payable merely for the right of passage along the railway. But after 1833 it beeame the praetice to insert in railway Acts a elanse allowing the eompany to eharge for supplying the traction power also ${ }^{3}$. Here, then, were two tolls, the "road toll," for the nse of the roadway, and the "locomotive toll." paid when the eompany supplied hanlage.

It was not long before the companies took a third step). In two or three eases railway companies were rectuired by their Aets to be earriers ${ }^{4}$, but these were very exeontional. It soon became neeessary for railways to provide the whole equipment of rolling stoek and a staff of oflieials for coing the earrying themselves, and from 18333-10 we find, in consequence, that the railway Aets contained not only toll clanses, but another clanse anthorizing the eompany, "if they shan think proper," not only to provide engines for use by other persons, but also to use and employ them themselves, in carrying the goods and passengers that might require that serviee performed ${ }^{5}$. The charges antherized by the Aets of that period, therefore, as pertaining to goods, fall into three classes: first, the road toll, for the use of the roadway: seeond, the locomotive toll (withont any specified limit) for the use of the engine; third, a "reasonable charge" for conveyanee, in addition to the above tolls, when the eompany provided everything

[^44]and eonveyed the tratlic along their line. All three of these charges were paid by thone who were engaged as earriers on the railways. The reason for these payments is probably to be found in the trallic eonditions of the railways at that time. As to the road-bed, the company had the monopoly and therefore parliament thought hest to limit and fix the rates of toll that might be taken for its irse: but in regard to the other two charges, it was expected that they would be determined by competition, since the carriers might legally employ their own engines and do their own carrying.

But exprrience soon tanght that competitive carriers on the same line were an anomaly; that the work of conseyance had to be momertaken by the company; and from 1841 on, further restrictions were placed npon the charges of the new companies that were anthorized. A new form of clanse began to prevail by which an increased toll, of specified amomet, was anthorized when the company had to provide the rolling stock and power and also had to do the actual work of carrying. Linder this form of Act, which inchudes most of the railway Aets from 1841-t, the charges were: first, the road tolls, wheh even in carly Aets had been of fixed amoment seeond, increased tolls of fixed amonnt for the use of the companys carriages: and, third, a fixed additional charge for locomotive power². The fixing of the charges for rolling stock probably shows that Parliament recognized the futility of trying to regnate these charges by competition. It may be noted that neither railway eompany nor independent carrier was allowed to charge more than the aggregate of these three tolls; for al clanse in each Act prowded that "neither the company nor any other person using the railway as a carricr shall demand or take a greater amome of toll. or make any greater charge, for the carriage of passengers or goods than the company are by this Aet anthorized to demand ${ }^{3}$."

When eonweyance by the railway company had beeome the manal mode, another change vas introdued, in the "Maximmm Rates (lanse," which limited a company's total charge for conseyane to something less than the aggregate of the three tolls; in other words, if the company
${ }^{1}$ Thene three features of the charges that railways were allowed to make may be noted in the Acts of several harge railway companies, e.g., Grand Junction Hailway

 Railway Act, 18336 ( 6 \& 7 W. N゚. ©. Bif).

2 This form of charging clanse may be seen in the Osford Railway Act, 1843 (6) Vict., e. 10, secs. 2s1. 284); the Warwjek and Leamington Chion Railway Act, 18.42 (5) Vict., c. 81 ): the Yarmouth and Norwich Railway Act, 18.42 (5 Viet., e. 82).
${ }^{3}$ See Onford Railway Aet, 1843 (6 Viet., e. 10, see. 28s).
had the advantare, as conveyers of traffic, of performing all three services, they were to be content with somet hing less than the agregregate of the three sums which, as toll-takers, they were anthorized to charge for each service separately. This gives us for the present-day railway Acts two sets of charging chases: first, the toll chanses, inchading the three charges spoken of above, and, second, the maximum rates clanse, limiting the total charge for carrying ${ }^{1}$. The maximum rates elanse insured to the public eheap conveyance, while the toll clanses protected the companies against rival conveyers on their own lines, whether private carriers or other railway companies with running powers, by cnabling them to leve tolls upon persons using the railway to snely an amonnt as wonld prevent competition ${ }^{2}$.

When the railway companies had taken over the working of their lines and undivided control was accorded to each over its own line, competition : ceame active between the different railways, and also between the railway companies and the canal companies, in the same territory. The natural effect of this competition was to cause the rates of carriage to be put down, sometimes to ruinously low figures, and when this could not be continued any longer, working agreenents were entered into or amalgamations effected, withont any Parliamentary sanction ${ }^{3}$. Under these private arrangements, made for the murtual proft of the formerly competing companies, a higher seale of tolls and charges was nstrally established, sometimes in excess of even the origimal rates ${ }^{4}$. As soon as Parliament was aware that secret agreenents were being made, it endeavoured to encourag? those companies that wished to consolidate

1 'The earliest Act in which this Maximum Rates Clanse was inserted was probably the Kendal and Wimderinere Railway Aet, 1845 (8 \& 9 Viet., c. 32).

In regard to these statutory provisions of railway Aets, see Butterworth, Raitaray liates and Traffic, p. 3 et seq.

3 Irit. Doe. $1840(200)$, xin, 85 , 'First Report of Select Committee on Hailways and Camals Amalgamations." This called attention to the legislative amalgamations, and alen to the fact that some important lines of railway, originally formed by independent companics. and which had not proposed any legivative amalgamation, were at that time practieally umder the same control and management; and so long as these parties felt it to be their interest to eombine, all the evils to be feared from amalamation might le prodheed by private arrangements between them. Ihid., "Mimutes of Evidence; p. 7, shows a list of the railway and canals that propsed amalqumation at this time.

4 13rit. Doc. $1816(2 \pi 5)$, x115, 93, 'Second heport of Seleet Committee on Railways and Canals Amalymations:' also 'Fifth JReport of the Select Commiltee on Railways, 181t, Minutes of Evidence: p. 200 et seq. Ewidenee showed that several railway lines had formed working agreements, and had raised their charges to keep up dividends as high ats cight to elewen per eent. See also Brit. Doe. $1872(36+4)$, xim 1 , " Wimites of Evidence; p. 33:2.
to come forward and ohtain an Act anthorizing this, for, hy so doing, some method of gencral smperintendence and control might be adopted, so that competition among lines might not be obliterated. In some cases, amalgamations had been sametioned by Parliament from the first ${ }^{1}$.

While the most competent witnesses favoured amalgamation of competing lines, cither of railways or canals, where competition might be destructive, they amost invariably favomed alow the amalgamation of closely related lines which were not rivals. It was recomized that where two roads competed for the same traflic they had everything to gain and nothing to lose be amalgamation, or by an arrangement imber which the traftie was disided. Blat the interents of the pmblie rmist also be looked after, as well ass those of the railways and eanals. As carly as the panie year of 18336 , when so many railway bills were being monght hefore Parliament, attention was called again and again to the faet that railway competition conld not be relied mon to ensure the protection of the public from minnst charges'. The railway was esentially monopolistic, and even if another railway were formed as a rival it wonld be to their ultimate advantage to make some understanding to work together, and thus the possibility of competition would be further removed than ever. But there were a few who saw that it was not comomical. nor would it prove effective, to construet two or three lines along a ecertain ronte, with the ohject of seemring competition, when one company could carry all the traflie that was likely to be offered ${ }^{3}$. Even for the purpone of making competition effective, this wonld be a flagrant waste of eapital: and the Legislature onght to prevent unncecosary waste of funds by secing that lines were built only for necessities. But this cry for protection of the public, at the time of the panie, was different from that which came a few ycars later, after railways became more aygressive and formed eloser working relations with one another. It the earlier time it was more spasmodic and individual; at the later time it was prolonged, profomdly and miversally felt, and officially recognized. As carly
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Dor. 1840 (200) , xill, 8.5, 'First Report on Raitways and Camals Amat gamations:
${ }^{2}$ The Times, sune 17, 18:36, p. 3. statement of the Duke of Wellington; ibid. Jone $22.18: 6 . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{t}^{2}$, editorial, showing how the varions eoneerns established to provide water for the eity of London and its suburls had finally combinerl :utd pareefled out the city for their own protit. This is abo referred to hy Mr Morvison
 xxxill. pp, 97-91, and xxxir, p1, 1-1.
${ }^{3}$ The Times, Jume 17, 18:36, 1. 3, statement of the Duke of Wellington; Whishaw, Analysis of Railetays, p. v.
as 18 h . Parliament was strongly urged to rettinn within its power suflicient anthority to eurb the railways, shomld these tend to molnty. increase their influctore. It was impossible to foresee what turn s:ffairs might take in the following years, und the public must be protected should the railways try to deal illiberally. ${ }^{-1}$. In the reports of varimes enumitters with reference to the ratways and camals, we are impressed by the fact that the adsantage to the public from rompetition between these two instrmentalities was fully reengnized; but how to maintain that eompetition for the future was a subject which was eonstantly pressing for attontion, and yet wholly unsolved ${ }^{2}$. They recornized that it would not he a wise policy to always refuse to sanction the amalgamation of railways and eamals, for this was frequently for the public grod; and the most fruitful suggestion they could make was that a searching inquity should be matle into the merits of cach ease, and that P'arlianent should permit only those amalgamations which could be effected without prejudiee to the publie ${ }^{3}$. In the light of

[^45]these facts, it is cllar that in the varions insestigations of the railways and cmals at that time, the interests of the people as a whote were regarded as paramomet: and if these were not eomerved it was not.
 the lack of knowledge in that body of how to deal with the sitnations.

While Parliament, withont experimee as a knide, was busy examining the conditions moder which ratways were operating and (andeavonring to secure adequate legistation for the ir proper regulation ase agents of the pulble serviere, the eompanies themselves were ative in maturing flans for working agreenconts or consolidations. These were at first among lines that might be comected into a lomger line of commonication, aud afterwards with jarallel and competing roads. Experimee showed that lines of short length were generally worked at great disadsantage; and the saving of expense that womld rewnlt from the eomsolidation of establishments was another reason why amalgamation was songht by those companies that whoted to add to their pecmiary proyserity ${ }^{2}$. For this reasom, the mmalgamation of short independent links or branches, and of umprotitable lines, with others of larger extent and in more prosperous cirenmstances, was caurerly sought from purdy coonomical considerations. Another, and even more important, faetor was that the foll development of trallie upon a system of railways often depended very materially mor, the existence of a miform system of management and mity of interest over a considerable extent of lime ${ }^{3}$. In regard to passengers also, serions inconveniences often resulted from the conflict of interests and laek of miformity of system among independent companies. The more
for the interest of the pmblic. See abo Brit. Doe. 18.5 (279), xxxix, 153, 'lieport of the lhaidway Department of the lBard of Trade on I'roponed Amadgamations of Railways; p. 4.
 Srlect Committer on Mailways"), a (ommittee of Parliament recommended that an anthority be appointed to wateh the earrying systems practised on different lines of railwity, with at view to obtaming the leat system "for the public welfare." See
 of select Committee on Railways; alon 'Fifth leport' of same vear, ' Jimutes of
 185.5, p. 107 s , letter from Lawrence Heworth, arging I'mblament to insist that railway be molertaken on such principles of economy as to secure the greatest possible lenelits to the publice.
a Brit. Boc. 181.5 (279) xxxix, 15:3, 'Heport of Railway Department of Board of Trade on Propmed hailway Amaleamations. It wis to put an cond to the costly warfare of the London and Birmingham, the Grabd Junction, and the Aanchester and Birmingham, that they were amalymated to form the London and North Western.
${ }^{3}$ Hid., pp. 2-3, gives an example of this.
ahsions of these evils were those in which attempts were made by companies holding one portion，of a great line of commmateation，for estort ant mudar charge by eomperling passempers whe lamd arrised at



 to the same eonse ${ }^{2}$ ．From sueh conditions，it would haturally be mssumed that the mare eomplete the mity of interest mad managroment thronghont the more satisfactury and eflicient womld be the arrange－ ments for traflice that had to pass over mare than nate lime．It was these considerations of inter－railway oproating cernomy，then，that led to the eaty working arrangements and comsolidations ${ }^{3}$ ．

These hergan at men eally stage in the hivtory of the rathays． 13y 1sit，a mmber of bills wore being introdmed into larlianeni to seenre anthority for eonsolidating certain lines，but we may he cortain that this was by mone me the hegmang of such things．Prisote warking fercements were，dombtless．in existence for several years before this；for the railways had inereased their power so mach that in that year a Committee of Parliament urged upon the Honse the necessity of secing that the railways did not undaly extend their inflience hy destroying competition ${ }^{5}$ ．If there had not heen sueh working agreements in forec，there wonld have been moned for the strong appeal that was thus made to the Government，for there would have been no＂illiberal＂dealings of the railways toward the publie to be fratarded against．But we are not left in douht upor whis subjeet， for the evidence of witnesses is tha conclusive to be diseredited ${ }^{6}$ ．
 21．Ilere is qiven a good aecomit of the＂Nullity of l＇arlimantary Provisoms for the I＇rotection of the I＇nblie，＂and several＂Instances of inconvenience to the publie from the existence of so many indepernlent railway rompanies．＂
 ment of the I Bard of＇Trade on Iroponet IRailway Amalqumations， 1 ． 8.
${ }^{3}$ These，of eourse，were not the only reamons why milways songtit comsolidition． For instance，the Liverpoot and Manchester wantet amalmamation with the Grand Junction lailway so that the two eompanies together might provide sullicient means to make their station commontions（י．Brit．Doc．1816（2i．0），Nm，93，＂Serond

 p .82 ，where oue withess satid：＂Now is the time for Parliament toprotect the publice， when these Amaldenation IBills are beine brought in．＂
${ }^{5}$ Ibrit．Doe． $18+4$（160），w， 5 ，＂Thirl Ikeport of Select．Committee on Hailways． This lepert is very explicit upon this point．
＊Filth Report of the Spleet Committer on Railwase，18．4，Minntes of Revidence，＇ 1）．81．Here we are tohl that the London ant Birmingham，and birmingham and

Whether we eall these arrangements railway pools or not may be simply a matter of nomenclature; but the faet is that before 18 st there were quite a number of such agreements for division of tratice, or for adjustment and maintename of rates. In addition to the amalgamations that were in foree before 1815 . many others were proposed in that year ${ }^{1}$ : and in 1846 there seems to have been a great mmber of such proposed mergers ${ }^{2}$. White many of these were not in that year sanctioned by Parliament, yet a considerable extent of both railways and eanals eame into the comtrol of the powerful railway eompanies ${ }^{3}$;

Derley railways eontemplated amalyamation, and they were to be amalgamated with the North Midhand company. It wonld seem, therefore, as if working nerangements muth have beetu in foree for these roads before this, clse sueh an extensive amalyamation womd not have been propected. withot knowing the benefita that womblacrate from it. Futher, the Birmingham and Derby and the Midland railways, after rmming a short time, marle ant arrangement that the Midland Compang should take all pabengers comingly eertain trains be the North Nidland line to Jondon 'wat the Birmingham and Derby shomblake all the paseneers coming by. F o... 'This agreement was loroken hid the two companies quarielled, . ". "ech they carried for almost nothing. Then as second agreement was made (it 82).

The Bolton and l'reston and the North Chion railways which were eompeting litues for trallic between l'restol and Wandester, after a short eontest, amalgamated, and in fett were applying to Parliament for this amalgamation to be eonlirmed.

The boork and North Mithand Railway and the Leeds and selly Railway were competing lines for part of the trallie between leceds and vork and llar but the Lecels and sethy had been leased to, and later bomght bye the Jork and North Vidland (ompany (ibid.. p. sis). Sice also the arrangement of the Manehenter and Leeds labilway (ompany with the Calder and llehble Nowigation (ibitl, p. 140).



On 1p. 20-2l of Appentix No. 2 of this "Fifth leport of the Seleet Committere on lkailwass, 1877, we learn that this movement of amalgamation or enmondidation hatd "made rapiel progrese of late," and seren inctanees of this are there given.
 of lboart of 'Trades p. 4.

 amone evisting ratways, as stated in the titles of the lithe ; plo : $3-6$ show proponed amalgamations of "Hew with existing ralway eompanies." about wos of which
 and cantac that proposed amatramation. Some of these amalgamations were for filling up of ohd camals and halding new railway instead. and often these railways were to be united with other rallways.


 (Appendix T), for 'Return from bach lanalwsy Company of the Names, Number, and Extent of the Camak and Navigations umder their Control, and Jlow Iledd." This does not give the railway amalgamation that had ocemred.
and we may be ecrtain that mueh of the amalmamation that failed to obtain the consent of Parliament beeame cffertive by seecet agreements between the eompanies interested ${ }^{1}$.

When the time came that railways were allowed to take over canals, and to eonsolidate with other railways for the formation of great systems, we have a new epoch in the history of railway transportation. Instead of small, detached roads, having poor, if any, comexions with the next adjoining roads, long lines were formed and worked with a degree of economy and effieiency that was hitherto unknown. The -times of arrival and departure of trains, iustead of being a matter of eaprice, and not made to suit the pmblie convenience, were made to dovetail into a general seheme that grew to meet the needs of the public. Lincs already constructed, by getting together, eould save in the number of offieers that were neeessary to man them. The publie also gained, beeause ly uniting their interests the railways were better managed, their finances were put in better eondition, and by thus putting an end to the wastes of compctition the roads were able to deal more liberally with the publie in the way of supplying conveniences ${ }^{2}$.

The completion of these great systems was not effected until after the railway mania of 181t-6 had done its work, and to that subject we must now give brief consideration. What was the eause of this third and greatest railway mania, we may be unable to determine. but it seems pretty certain that it was not brotght on by the miversal suecess of the railways which were then in existence. From the list of important railways which was published in 1811, we see that only eight out of twenty-t wo lad their shares selling in the market abore eost, while many were selling for priees that were molh lower thim the paid-up values of the shares ${ }^{3}$. The more probable eanse was speenlation.
 Railway and Comal bills. Dinutes of levidence, p . 187. where we are told that there were vast amonnts of amalgamation that were not sanctioned by Parliament.

I'resident Hadley, in his execllent work on Railroad Transportation, p. 159, after mentioning that the carly history of English railway pools is obsenre, says: "They first assumed importance some thirty years ago," which would make it about 185. We have shown in the foresoing that there were many working agreements before 184, maler which there was division of trallice amone the lines interested; and from an carefnl study of this periont, I would place the time when they beeame important at least ten vears farlier than the date given by President llaclley. The cditor of the Refilect! Times claracterized the year 1843 as the "year of amangamation." and said that amagamation was the order of the day (Raiteray Z'imes. vi, pr, 112א, 13s7).
${ }^{2}$ Brit. Doe. 18:2-3 (730), xxxvin, 4.47, 'Fifth Keport of Select Committec on Rationy aud Canal Bills, Mitmes of Fovilence, p. 1.
${ }^{3}$ Renizouy Times, 1 V (18.1), p, 10\%, gives statisties of the more important railways. Those whose shares were selling above cost were the Liverpool and Manchester:

The work of such a man as George Hudson, who rose from a position of obsenrity until he could command the poliey of several railroads, simply becanse of his gambling in railway shares and his ability to exercise madue influence over railway directors, was, donhtless, an inecntive to others to try the same method of piling up wealth ${ }^{1}$. The names he received as the "Railway King" and the "Railway Napoleon" are typueal of his shrewd, grasping poliey, his work as a stock-jobber, and his ability to lord it over railway oflicials for his own material ends. Others were, donbtless, imitating his example; and the rage for speculation was fostered by the weekly reports and circulars of the many lrokers. In the latter part of the year 1841 railway projects were munerons, moncy was abmodant, and its investment in railways was encouraged by the prospects of profit held out by scheming devigners as bait to the mwary ${ }^{2}$. The editor of the most important raifuay journal of the time informs us that the fashonable phrase resarding the mmerons railway undertakings that were daily making their appearanec, was to say that a railway fever was raging ${ }^{3}$; and the editor of the London Times was meged to raise his voice in warning against the mania which was then spreading rapidly over the land, and which promised a severe financial crisis that would shake the eomntry ${ }^{4}$. By November 184, a list of projected lines involving the aggregate capital of $£ 563.203,000$ was published, but many of the.e were abandoned ${ }^{5}$. The rage for shares contimed and increased in intensity in 1845, mitil it infeeted all classes from peer to peasant and from private individual to government officials ${ }^{6}$. The press was full of

Grand Junction, London and Birminoham, Great Western, Birminghans and Gloneester, London and South Western, Manchester and Leeds, and the York and North Midland.
${ }^{1}$ For his eareer, see the files of the Raikery Times, v1 (1843), pp. 1058, 1084,
 the "Priace of Premium Hunters"), ete. Aho the great detail given by Crinling, Ilistory of the Gircal Norlhern Raitucu!.
${ }^{2}$ 13rit. Mus. 1396. ©. 21, 'Railways and the lBoaral of Trade,' p. 7.
${ }^{3}$ Renikeay Times, 11 (1844), p. 48.
4 The Times, Nor. 20, 184, p. 7. letter from "John Trot."
${ }^{5}$ Jeans, Iubilec Memorial of the liaikeay Systrm, 1. 1.12, quoting from Spackman's pmolished list.
${ }^{6}$ Brit. D/ns. 1390. c. 20 (4), 'Ruminations on Railways, No. r, on "Railway Speculation," disensed the mania with sanity. The writer said (p. 6): "Such is the delirimm in the share market, that many on homeat, imbustrions tradesman withtraws from his more sober parsnits behind the eonnter, and duhs himself that delver into the mines of Goleonda, a slare-hroker." In subsequent pages he groes on to deserile how vehement was the fever for railroads. See also 13rit. Mins. 8235. d. 27, 'Railways and shareholders,' 5.3.
railway prospectuses ${ }^{1}$; and a large amonnt of the shares were in the hands of persons who were holding them, not for investment, but merely for speculation ${ }^{2}$. Share jobbing was rifc ${ }^{3}$. Even such journals as the Raiteoy Times and The Economist were encouraging this vast expenditure of moncy and declaring that railway seenrities would constitute important means of investing "apital". On the other hand, the editor of the London Times was gising words of warning to the public against the time when blind conffdence would be displaced by donbt and when the inevitable collapse would come ${ }^{5}$. All kinds of fraudulent methods were employed to delude the pablic and secure their funds ${ }^{6}$. The significanee of the mania may be judged by the fact that, during the three years 184t-6, Parliament sanctioned Bills for the construction of $84 \% 0$ miles of railway, which was just about three times the mileage then constructed; and the amome of capital required for them. £1s0, 138,901 , was so great that the further growth of the railway system was checked for some years ${ }^{7}$. The finaneial panie which followed the railway mania, and which was probably in large measure due to the locking up of so much money in these temporarily

[^46]${ }^{2}$ The' Times, Ane. 9, 1845, p. G, on "lkalway Speculation."
${ }^{3}$ Ibid. Jnly 11, 1845, p. 5; July 12, 1845, f. 5; July 14, 18.45, p. 5; July 21, 1845. 1. 7 ; Juty $\because .5,1815, \mathrm{p}$. S: ete.

4 The E'onomist, 1845, 1. 1018; Raikeay Times, wn, p. 485.
${ }^{5}$ The Times, Ang. 8, 1845, 1. 6. It is interesting to contrast the editorial opinion of the Ratacay Times in 1844, when it was said that there was " neither fever nor lanatey in forming new ralway schemes withont end " (w, p. 485), with that in 184., when his verdiet was that those embanking in new raibay sehemes ought to exercise more eaution (Min, J't. I, p. 569).
${ }^{5}$ Raikeay Times, vir, I't. 1, 1. 1013, letter from "Jexpositor;" 'lailways as they Reatly Are, No. i, on the 'London, Brighton and South Coast Railway,' whiel exposes the frands of this eompany, also Nos. 11 and wif Jrit. Mus, suas. d. 27, 'Railway, and Sharcholders,' pp. 3-4; The Times, Oct. 18, 1845, 11. 5, ceditorial; ibid.. Oet. 23,1845, p. 7 . lelter on "Raiłway Speculation." This sutjecet was continued in ibid., Oct. 2.5, 1845, p. t: Oct. 27, 1545, p. 4; Nov. 8, 1845, p. 4; Nov. 14, 1545, p. 4 ; Dee. $2,181.5, \mathrm{p} .4$; in which the editor wrote strongly against the tide of speculation that was fooding the comntry. Sce also lbrit. Jus. 1396. C. 22 (4), - Ruminations on Railways,' No. n, 'The Railway Board of 'Trade,' and Brit. Mns. 1396. N. 21, 'Raitways and the Board of Trate, 3rd ed., 19. 20-2s, showing the evils that atfended the work of this bociy.

7 .Jeans, op. cit., p. 142. In the year 1846 atone the lengh of railway authorized was almost donble the total length of line authorized up to the end of 18 tis. Brit. Doc. 18.5t-5 [10(6.5], xutilt, 1, Heport of the Rathay Department of the Buard of 'Irade' for $1854, \mathrm{p}^{1}$. xi. The amount of money anthorized to be raised for the railways that were sanctioned in 1816 was $2132,617,368$; for those of 18.17 , £ $34,460,128$; for those of 1848 , $£ 15.274,2327$; for those of 1849 , $23,911,331$ (ibid., p. vii).
improductive cuterprises, was severely felt in the spring of the year 1847, but we shall avoid ary turther referenee to that subject. One outcome of the mania to which we may here allude was the great number of suits that were brought before the eourts; some of these were instituted bẹ raibway companies against shareholders, becanse the latter refuoed to pay up the calls that were made njon them in comexion with their subseriptions; others were bronght by individuals to recover deposits of money that they had advaneed for the construction of railways which had not materialized. Some suits were started as a eonsequenee of the winding up of undertakings that had proved abortive; and others were due to a variety of eauses, which we need not entmerate ${ }^{1}$.

We have already noted that up to and including the year 1813 there had been considerable amalgamation of rah ways ${ }^{2}$; in fact, it was anserted in 1843 that "amalgamation is the order of the day ${ }^{3}$." But after the cessation of the mania in 1846 there was a still greater agitation for amalgamation ${ }^{4}$. With the great ammunt of construetion and reorganization which took place immerliately following the mania, the weaker roads fomnd it neeessary to ally with the stronger, not only to reduce the expenses of management and operation, but to prodnce peacefnl relations among the companies. Beginning with this epochmaking time in the history of the railways, a vast amount of consolidation was effected ${ }^{5}$, and the ralways, instead of being left as independent units, were gradually becoming organized into a system which was begimning to take on its permanent form ${ }^{6}$. We may say that, by 1850,
${ }^{1}$ Railzaty and Canal Cases, Vols. $1 v$ and $v$, give many of these.
2 Raileay Times, iot. n, aves much material on this sulject, in addition to what we have already given.
${ }^{3}$ Ihid., vi, p. 112s. 4 Iljid., in, p. 316.
${ }^{5}$ Brit. Boc. 1847-4 (510), L.xini, 449, wives very eomplte returns of all existing railway amalgamations in Great Britain and Irelad, accompanying which is a map showing the amaluamation of railways that hat taken pace.
${ }^{6}$ The tendeney in 1844 for railways to concolidate into a few great systems was becoming daily more manifest. The results that has meaty been realized showed conclusively that the probability was that the prineipal lines would be gromperl into six or cight kealing divisions. For the comentidations that had been made Dy 1sth, see Brit. Doe. $18+4$ ( 318 ), N1, 17. 'Fifth heport of seleet Committer on Railways, Appentix Nic. 2, 1, 21. For the probable results of the tendeney towand antalymation, see ibid., Appendix No. 2, p. 21.

The learline systems. as developed in outline, by 184.4 were as follows:
First. Thw Great North liestern artery, "xtending for $2: 383$ miles in a direct line from London to bancaster amd comeeting Birmingham, Ahaelester, Liverpool, and the manufacturing districts of Lancashire with the metropolis.
 passing through Sork, within a few miles of Leeds man Shelliedt, through Derby
the present-day grouping of lines into the great arteries of communieation had been effected; and the changes since then have been the filliag in of the network.

In comexion with the i. 'ject of amalgamation, there are one or twe inther features which require mention. The earlier railways had b tormed by companies owning comparatively short lines; for c. mple, the line from London to Liverpool belonged to three companies; and great loss of time and inconsenience arose from the want of unity of management and from disputes between the eompanies. Therefore, partly for cconomy of managelent, and partly for the convenience of the traffie, some of these companies whose lines formed links in a throngh route ontained powers to amalgamate. But as time went on a firther inerease in the number of railways ler to competition of rival lines at many more points. This resulted in further amalgamation and buying up of rivals. Thus, amalgamation, which at first was a ruestion of ceonomy of management and public convenience, became later a matter of offensive and
and Leieester, and meeting the Great North W'estern artery at Iughy. The length of this line was 2013 miles, and it was somi to be extended to Neweestle,

Chird. The Great Western system, from Loudon to I3ath, Bristol and IFxcter. This when eompleted wonld grive $104 \mid$ miles in a contimous line.

Fourth. The great transverse system, formed ly the Liverpool and Manchester, the Manehester and Leeds, the Leeds and selby, and the Ilull and Selly railways, connceting the two leadine ports of the east and west coasts, by a line of communieation $1: 32$ miles long, and passing through the heart of the great mannfacturing districts of Lancashire and Yorkshire.

Fifth. The south Western system, from London to Southampton and Portsmouth.

Sirth. The South Eastern system, eonsisting of the Dover and Brighton Ikailways, which diverged from a common trunk a little south of Croydon.

Secenth. The Eistern Comnties system, intended tr have connected Norwiels and the Eastern connties with the metropolis, but only finished at that time from London to Coleheater, 51 miles.

Eighth. The Northern and bastern system, intended to connect London witly York hy a line passing through Cambridge and Lineoln. and eompleted for only 32 miles out of London.

Among the minor and subsidiary lines may be mentioned:
First. The Newearstle and Carlishe Railway, connceting the North Eastern and North Western nrteries.

S'comd. 'The Birmingham and Cioucester, 13ristol and Gloucester, and Cheltenham and Great Western railways, connceting the Nortly Western and Great Western arteries.

Third. The Bimmindam and Derly Lkailway, eonnecting the Midland and the North Western arteries.
(v. Brit. Doc. $1 \times H$ ( 318 ), $\times 1,17$, 'Heport of Raikway Department of Board of Trade,' Appendix No 2, p, 6.)
defensive poliey, to cnable the companies to fight one another more sucerssfully.

The benefite from amalgamation were so apparent that many conkd foresec its contimance until all the raihways of the country were united muder the control of a few large corporations. Some went even further than that, and advocated a gencrab amalganation of all the railways, not only from the standpuint of ceonomy of operation, but to prevent a great deal of the jobbing and indiseretion that existed in some boards of directors ${ }^{1}$. As carly as 1816 a scheme was proposed for merging the shares of all railway companies into one common stock, under the management of a gencral proprictary board ${ }^{2}$; and this idea so ocenpied public attention that in 1852 the issne was investigated by a committee of Parliament'. That committee, however, reported adversely upon the plan, and it was never attempted. Another proposed solution of the railway problem, after the prineiple of monopoly had been recognized, was that certain distriets should be assigned to particular railway companics, and that in those districts each shon'd be protected from competition, in exchange for certain advantages that they were to give the publies. No definite plan was bronght forward to carry this into exceutoon and its futility soon became evident.

Another factor tending to the harmonions operation of railways, after the priacipte of amalgamation had been quite largely followed out, was the estasishment in 185\% of the Raikwa Clearing House. In the carlier pertod of ralways, the rolling stock of one company did not generally pass from one line to another and the inconsenience and expense due to change of vehicles or transhipment were very great. sut when the railway system had been developed to a considerable extent, it was necessary for the companies to have a mutual understanding in regard to the sending of tratlic over one another's lines. For this purpose, the chief rallway companies formed from among themsrlves an association, with a central office in Loudon, to regulate ecrtain cracstions of interehange of traffic as between the several companics, and to adjust the accounts arising out of the united action of the eompanies: to settle disputes as to the division of, and to
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Doe. $1 \times 16(489)$, sur, 217, 'Report of Select Committec of IIonse of Lords
 4.47, "Minutes of lividence; p. :32.
 lailway and Comal fills.' It shows that sheh a peneral amalganation would be undesiruble, and why.

4 This view wats taken by Gladstone's Committee of 1844 and hy Lord Dallhousie's Railway Commission of 184.5 . See also Brit. Doce. 1852-3 (170), xxxvir, 5, 'Seeond Report of Seleet Committee on Rasilway and Canal Bills, Minntes of Evidence, p. 30.
apportion the receipts from, the traffic that might pass over more than one line, under agrements made by the several eompanies; and to keep the reenrds of the movements of waggons and carriages when these might pass off the lines of the eompany to which they belonged, to the lines of other companies. This Railway Clearing House was a purely vohmtary association at first, but in 1850 it was incorporated by Act of Parliament and had beeome a very important feature in preserving amicahle relations among the varions roads.

The width of galuge was allother important problem which eame up for eonsideration in 1816. When the Great Weestern Railway was eonstrueted, the engineer, I. K. Brund, constrneted the road with the rails seven feet apart, while ather roads gempally had the rails only four feet eight and one-half inches apart ${ }^{1}$. This diversity of gange was a scrions barrier to interchange of traflic, and in the above year, before the Committce of the House of Lords, railway engineers and others were in perfect agrecment that the width of gatuge shond be miform ${ }^{2}$. The settlement of thris was important on accoment of the enormous mmber of lines that were then in progress and in prospeet. In the session of $184 ;$ the Gauge det was passed, whieh enaeted that males it should be otherwise specified in the speeial Aets all future railways in Great Britain shomld be construeted npon the gange of four feet cight and one-half inches, with the exeeptions of railways forming branches of the Great Western, or those sitnated in the comnties of Somerset. Dorset, Devon and Comwall ${ }^{3}$.

In a former comexion we spoke of the advantages which were anticipated from the development of railways, and we have referred to some of the results whieh were actually obtained in the eases of the Stcekton and Darlington and the Liverpool and Manchester raihwas. A few words more as to the benefits that were definitely eonferred by railways may not be out of phace. Of course, the greatest results eame
${ }^{1}$ There were also mixed gange lines, that is, roads with part of one gange and part of amother. In 18.5t, ont of a total of 6ilt miles of railway in England, there

 Department of Bomet of Trade' for 1854, p. xii.
${ }^{2}$ Brit. Doc. 1846 ( $45: 1$ ), x川1, 217, $\cdot 1$ ("port of select Committce of the Honse of
 Nxxini, 371 , Copry of Minnte of the Lorls of the Committee of the Privy Comen for Trate, on the Report of the Comminsioners for inquiring into the Gange of Railways, Jmae 6, 18.46." This has some good things on the subject of gatue.
${ }^{3}$ IBrit. Doe. 18.54 (1399), L.xn, 41, 'Heport of the Board of Trade to the General Committee on Railway and Canal Bills, on the Railway Bills of 1854. p. 26; also Brit. Doc. 186 G [ $[3844$ ], xxxim, 1 , 'Report of the Royal Commission on the Ratways of the Chited Kingdom, 1art !. :! : $\because$.
J. T. II.
through the development of tratlic, eonseguent upon the reduced cost and the increased speed of eonseyanee. Before the Stockton and Da rlingtum was constructech, the number of pansengers travelling between there 'wo places was searedy sullicient to pay for the rmming of a enth three or four times a weck. Wetween 18:2. and 18:32, when there were separate coathes rumming on the line, belonging to different individhats, the average mmber of passengers did not exeeed ase per week: so that the growth of the passenger traflic was slow but steady ${ }^{2}$. Ifter that, the company took over the passemger business and so greatly incrancel the comfort and speed of their trains that, aceording to the thatement of $\mathfrak{F}$. W. Cumdy, a ectebrated engineer, in $183+$, 600 passenurers per day were frequently eonveyed along this line, where, formerly, by the ensech, there were mot more than ten pasengers per day ${ }^{3}$. On the Liverpool and Manchester railway, in 183 , according to the evidence of the treasmer of the emmpany, there were almost three times as many passengers conseyed as had been carriced by the twenty-two regular coache before the railway was opened ${ }^{4}$. In the ease of the Leeds and Selby line, the mumber of passengers who travelled between these places during the first year of the operation of the railway inereased, we are informed, from about 100 to about 3500 per week ${ }^{5}$. It is diffientt to bedieve that there could have been as mueh as a nine-fold inerease here in that short time, and yet we must remember that Leeds was flomrishing as an industrial centre and selby as a shipping centre. l'erhaps some of this inerease may have been merely experimental, indieative of the popular euriosity to try this new ageney of travel, and may not have represented anything like as great a gain in the substantial, permanelit.inerease of the business. But if passenger traflie inereased so muel there was a corresponding gain in the freight traflie and many a place was galvanized into new life be the advent of the railway. For example, during the first quarter of the nineteenth century the port of Stoekton seemed to be subject to a gradual deeline, but-after the railway was built to conneet with that port there was almost immediate reversal to a condition of steady progress. At the Tees ports the mmber of ships which eleared outwards in 1830 were three British ships of 262 tons and four foreign ships of 318 tons; but in 1841

1 Jeans, opl. eit., p. $\boldsymbol{1} 9$.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid., p. 8ti. The details are given in pp, s.-80. Sce also Birmingham Jourmal, Iuly 8, 1826, p. 2.
${ }^{3}$ Shefictld Iris, Oct. 14, 183: p. \%.
4. Lomton and birminglam Railway Bill. Bextracts from Minutes of Evidence

${ }^{5}$ Sheflied Iris, Sept. 29, 1835, p. :3, editorial comment on the Leeds and Selhy Railway.
there were tist British ships of 80,189 tons and 596 foreign ships of 14.392 tons ${ }^{1}$. 'The export tignres for the coal I rate atre also instructive, since this railway was designed to tap the great coalfied behind Darlington. 'The total hipments of' enal from stockion, both constwise
 66.0.51 tons in 182s; ;04, \%ist tons in 18:35; and f,509,38t tons in $1810^{2}$. Of conrse. it is possible that the railway was not the only canse of the great development of this trallic; but the faet that the great mpward tre d whehronized with the opening of the ratway furniohe a strong presmmption that the railway was the chief eanse of this development. The Liverpool and Manchester, in addition to saving cotton mamufacturers and others large amounts on the ennerance of gnods ${ }^{3}$, and inerensing greatly the amonnt of busituess carried on in this toeality, inereased abo the value and the extent of the trallie of the loceds and Liverpool Camal, although the latter, fearing injury to their property, had opposed the railway at a very large expense ${ }^{4}$. The intluenee of the Manchester and Birmingham and the London and Birmingham lines in the development of tratlic along that route was such that, in 18tif, these lines were regarded as in longer eapable of handling the immense amount of freight that was offered to them; and the manufaeturers of both Manchester and Birmingham wanted to see a direet line constructed to conneet them with Londons. The great development of industry and agriculture which grave rise to the above-mentioned increase of traffic was one of the aceompaniments of the railways.

Another of the immediate effects of the railway was the enhanced vahue that was given to land adjacent to it. The fact that be this means good markets could be hronght nearer to the farmer made the land more valuable: and since the enltivator conld secure a larger net return from
${ }^{1}$ Jeans, op. eit., pp. 17:3-1, gives the statisties for each intervening yenr.
${ }^{2}$ Hid.. [1]. 16.4. Mid., 1. 176, qives comparative statisties of eoal exporis from Newenstle, Simderland and stockton, in the period before 1850, showing the extremely rapid growth of the exports from stockion from 1821 to 18.0), as compared with the exports from the other two ports.
${ }^{3}$ See evidenee of many witnesses on the London and Birmingham Railway lill before both Commons and Lords, 18:32.

* Lombon ant Birmingham Railway IBill. Extracts from Minntes of Evidenee given before the Committee of He Lords, evidence of James Forster, p. $4 t$.
${ }^{5}$ Brit. Mus. $8.2: 35 . c e .12$ (1), 'Ruanons in favour of a Dircet Line of Railroat from Londun to Homehester; If. s-11. The writer of this pamplifet says: "The trains are now frequently of such vast size as to remler it imponsible for the Company to herp, time. Trese faets can be abumbantly establistret. cweo by the te fatemy of
it he could pay a barer amoment for his nee of the lamd́. Land which formerly had been of little or no value, such as Chat Moss along the biverpeot and Manelester line, soon beeame veritable garden spots, and the proximity to large consuming centres, effected by the railway, made the laud valualse for gardening and other agricultural purpones ${ }^{2}$. Its value for bmilding and industrial pmposes alsen was soon recomized, and if the railway eompany, after its line was eometructed, wished to furehase more land adjoining what they already had, they had to pay iwice to five times as much for this suberement purchase as for the firs ${ }^{3}$. When land was advertised as being for sale or to let, if it were at all gossible the advertisemeni would stipulate that the mailway eillier prasued thromgh the estate or near to it, for mader these conditions a higher priee wonld be paids? After railways had been carrying on their work for a few years and it beeam known that they had paid at times large sums for the real estate they required. the contemphated formation of railways in different parts was the signal to put up the price of hand. In some instances exorthitant priees were asked hy landowners, and, as the railway companies were not willing to aceede to these priees, juries were summoned to asoen the value and deeide between the two parties. In the ene eases the almost invariable resnlt was that the jury assesed the valne of the land at a lower fignte than that offered by the railway

1 Monchester Ciundiam. Dee. 11, 1s:30, p. 3. showing that ueeupiers of lathe and mines voluthered to pay higher rentals if the ralway were put whin ensy reach of them. The Times, -cpt. 1, 18:3. 1. \%. 'This was also in aceord with the testimony of Wr Jeame. a director of the Shekton and Dinlineton Railway, who stid that not noly had the walne of his band aloug the line bren increased. but his rentals had likewise increased, and that amid lalling prices (The Trimes, Fed. 1:3, 18:36, p. 8).

2 Birmingham Journal, May 19, 1s32, 1 . 3, evilenee of Messrs Moss, l¿arle, Lee
 2ad col., 111. 11-1:5. 17-0.
 and Mandester Railway Companyo said that for the tirst eight miles ontside of Liverpoul tis compaty baid os. sol. a yard for the band they needed; but land all
 lamd at amother part of their line lor 7.5 . a yarl, lat in 18:31, when more was wanted. 104, 8t, a sard hat to be paid. At another part of the lime the companys subsequent purchase of land had to be matle at donble the price of the original purchase. Thomas Leec's textimmy was that after the construction of the railway, lamul hat beea sold for buidhug purposes at from three to five times the sum it womblame bronght before the establishment of the ralway (Birminghom Iomrnal. May I9, 1832. p. 3, evidence of Hessrs Moss and Lere).

4 Lamdonand Birmingham Raihay 13ill. Levidence hefore the Lords Committec,


company ${ }^{1}$. What we wish to impress is that either the prospective or the actaal eonstraction of a railway was acempanien by a movement toward higher prices for the land in the eiremijacent territory.

Of the other immediate benclits secmed hy railways, we might emmerate a long list. Sometimes they eonferred publice bencfits by redneing owergrown monopolies within reasonable botmels, as was done by the Liverpool and Manchester Railway when it entered the eontest arainst the three navigation eompanies that operated betweron these two eitios ${ }^{2}$. Sometimes they stimmted the more opmlent canal eompanies to make improwements in their canals and thas contribute to the public welfare instead of dividing among the pgoprictors the enommons protits that had heen mate by some of them ${ }^{3}$ - Thes ereated an immortiate and great demand for labour and therebe cased the burden of the labourers and of the eommonity? they fumbined in some eases rood investments for Fonglish eapitar. and thas kept these funds within the comintry for the development of the kingelom, rather than having them seek employment in forcign eonntries. But why need we go any further, for the history of the remainder of the nineteenth ecntury is the record, in . wt, of the aehievement of the railway.

With all the benefits whieh aeerned from the eonstruction of railwas, there were also some evile which were a natural aceompaniment of suth a great change. In the first place, in railway initiation there were features which were deededly objectionable. Some lines Were formed for no other purpose thim pure speculation' their promoters wanted to influence the market in such a way that the prices of their shares womld reach a high figure, and then they wond maload their holdings mon others who were imocent of the game that was being played. Vahes were given to shares purely on aceount of market manipulation, whont any reference to the intrinsic value of the property mpon which they were based, for in not a few instances they

[^47] not with any idea of comstraction, lont to indure existing railway to Imye them off rather tham have to der the threatemel competition ${ }^{2}$. Wiffal misatatements of fiact. in order to imelue the pmblie to come formard and invent in these budertakings, were bot at all medmanon: and mere probable estimates were put forth with an : asmmption of conlidence and reliability of acemracy that were intended to deeve the
 comidetable lortumes bey brimimg lorward selomes for railways and has ing wealthy lambowners aloteg the propoced line pay large sume to canse the promoters to desint from what they regareded as at pomithle divigurement of their eatates. Whern weremember that many shemess grojected at the times of the there manias were marther of becmg
 discern the expense and manibude of sum inn evil'. Somelimes
 newer gisen their conseh.. capecialty of thane who wonld be inflacential in inducing ohers to sisnify their alleqianee to the propered selneme: and this moral turpitule mast have been quite weralent siace th: was the passige of an het in 18 ft for puthishme... of this offences.

[^48]The comstruction of tom mams lime alone eertain rontes was another initial detriment. Fonlowing the acentomed pulicy, Parliament, 1 thinking that competition wembld be desirable abo in railwass, sance tioncel many compting lines. "hich swathowed up eapital mul wemed to waste the national wealth. It was tent foresecon that competilion, instead of eamsing len rates, might eperate just the reverac: Whale this compleyment of the combtres eiplital did prochece remblls which were immediately injurims, it is open to question whe ther this suppered eacess has not, in the lemg rine, been of subtamtial bemefit to the cometry. But exell with all this expeonditure, it seeme elear that it was not absays the best line, but the are whid could eommand the greatent inthenee in Parliament, that seened recongition'; and this opened the way for politieal "orrmption and jobhing.

In the secomb place, in ratway finance there were some things which were not far prisate weltare or publice good. The pmomones sums paid by the railway companies for lands and for eompensation comstituted a heave preliminary ohngation. In investigation of this matter in $18: 5$ showed that landowners fremently obtamed for their lands a mueh lager amome than the land was really worth; and a landowner who was a member of Parliamerac, and who would otherwise be likely to oppose the Bill in Parliament, was sometimes given a higher price for his hand than anther who conld not wied that imflnence. The companies reerernized that it was often better to spenel mency in this way and stop opposition at the begiming, than to pay the higher expense of gettis, Bills thromgh Parliament in the face of sumeh oponition. In some eases. cextravigant umbs were paid in order to ere rid of "yponition, not only from landords ${ }^{2}$, Int from rival railway companics and other interests ${ }^{3}$. These exprenses, afong with the legal feres that

[^49]had to be paid for competent solicitors who were experienced in the work of gniding masures through parliament. and which were also exeessive and sometimes extortionate ${ }^{1}$. made tare cost of obtaininer an Aet of Parliament very hurdensome. Another clement in the situation that was to be cieprecated was that rival roads endeavoured to outdo each other in the finners of their equipment; and engineers, anxious to make a name for themelves, put into the constraction of bridges and other works a large amome of moner, which added to the aesthetie valne, but not to the trallice walne of the road. By these and other means the costs of the railways were often inereased two or three times as much as the original estimate?

Moreover, the was murh finameial manipmation that was derogatory to the welfare of the eombanies. When the shareholders cleeted their directors to look after the affairs of the eompany, they allowed them, in too many e ses, to have full anthority over the property and police of the eompany, withont keeping any oversight of the way in which the directors falfilled the trint that was reposed in them. If one or two of the directors were partieularly aggressive they sometimes got too larece a share of the control of the ralway, and insed it for theiry personal interests rather than for the benefit of the owners of the

1 Voung. op. eit., pp. \%0-z1. He gives statisties as to these costs in partienlar eases. In one instamer the expense was elffiono. and then the hill was defented;
 Chattaway, haltagy, p. 23 says that the parliamentary and legal expenses of the Greal Northern were feroo per mile or a total of effes 0.5:3; of the Cormwall,
 of the sthropshire Cnion, E 111.85 .5 . It is imponsible for me to know how nomeh eonfidenere to plare in the reliability of these fienres. His ligures for the Loudon and south Westem are widely different from thome given in Fay, A logal Road, j. 17, where the eost of obtaining the Act in given as $t: 31.000$. Fay was a tratlic oflicial of the railway. I'ratt, Ilisfory of Intand Trmaport and Commemiration in Ehalmad.
 but he is quoting from Porter's Progress of the Nation, and Porter gives no anthority for his statement, but says that his figures do mot inclule the same itoms of expense in cuch case. It was, dombless. flat that mang of the companies did not know exactly how much these expenses were, hom, in any ease, they were high; and the wide differemecs hare noted may be explaned by the indusion of difierent dements

 of the Liverpool, Manelester and Neweantle .hnetion Railway, to dimolve the compmy, it was shown that they hat abrendy spent eloo,000 in law expenses.

 the sharelohlers of the Lhatern Counties Railway; The Times, May 9, 18:37, p. 6, letter from "T. (G." on "Raitways;" "A few Gencral Onservati is on the Principal Raitways... ", p. vi.
property ${ }^{1}$. Wasteful expenditures were allowed to go on umoticed and without any accounting on the part of the olficials ${ }^{2}$. The raost reckless extravagance had, in many instances, been shown, not alone in thae aetual constuetion of the main lines, bur in the formation of sceondary lines at a cost that was minjostifiably high: and while all this was going on, shareholders remained singularly apathetie and only. a small fraction of the total proprietary of a railway eren attended the anmeal meeting of their company ${ }^{3}$. Sometimes a series of transadions were carried throngh that were injurions to the revenues of the railways, such as leases, purchases and other contraets that were paid for at too high a priec ${ }^{4}$. Some railways sacrifieed other eonsiderations of great importance to the payment of dividends, and revenues which should have been put back into the property, or used for the liquidation of
${ }^{1}$ As in the ease of George Hudson and the York and North Nidlond Railway. Ifudan lat bought shares in the Intland Selby Raiway to the amount of cas, 6. 46 , and immedia'ely sold these shares to the York and North Midland Railway Company for $\mathfrak{e} 38,842$, thus netting himself $\mathbf{f 3 1 9 6}$. He was able to do this beeause of the influence he had aequired over the elirectors of the York and North Midland. At is later lime, after an investigation of the affairs of the York and North Midland by a commiltee of its directors, these shares were given back to IIudson, and he was repuired to pay back to the company the amount he had received thas, wh the sale of the shares ('York and North Midland Railway, First Fiport of the Committee of Investigation (1849),' pp. © -7 ; ihid., 'Seennd Ruphrt,' 1 . 3 , in which this committee reported that Hudson had beeome "almost sole and absolute manager" of the railroad, and that he had "abused the eonfidence whieh was phaced in hing, by wielding the power lie ohtained to forward his own interest." He hat? "lost his better judgement and moral rectitude when left with the entire control." See also Raikoly Times, rv, p. 85; ibid. v, p. 1268 : ibid.. v, pp. 1309 (letter of Charles P'enfold), 131:-16; and ibid., v, 1p. 83, 8t.

2 Marshall, Railatay Legislation, gad cd., p. 15; Herepath's Raizay Magnzine, N.S., 11, 1’p. 02-94, letter from "A Suffering Shareholder;" Raikeny Times, 小• 1. 38, in whieh the editor says, in regard to railway mamagement, that "extravagance is the rute, ecomomy the exeeption." See also ibid.. 1 , pr. 38, 39, 42, 43. 61 et seq. ('l'roceedings of the Mecting of the Mandester and lirminghanlaalway Extension"); ihid., v, ן. 1268.
${ }^{3}$ Raifecay Times, 15 , 111. 13, 14. 38,8 . (edilorial on the "necessity of observing the most rigid ceonomy in the future manasement of railw:ns"); ibil., v, p. 1220; Darshatl. Raileay Legishation, p. 18, said that the extrandinary diselusures of the affairs of some of the Einglibla eompanies had created so much suspucion mong shareholders, that mothing short of a searching inquiry into the eondition of every combany would allay the prevailing alarm.
${ }^{4}$ Handyside, Ravieze of the 1 Ianchester, Sheflieh and Lincomshire Raikoy,
 Dove Navigation, whieh cost lut 8000 ; and the Don Navigation whicla eost £15,000 they bohght for £400,000. He gives many oher examples. Se also
 be feeders to the main line had often sucked the company dry, through gharantees, leases. eto.
deht, were used for paying dividends of sis, seven, or eight per cent. Had the directors furnished full statements of their affairs. there would have been sufficient light thrown upon the condition of the companies' affairs that it wonld be seen that dividends were not warranted. The payment of dividends out of eapital: the char ing of other expenditures improperly to eapital, rather than to reveme; the neglect to provide properly for repairs, depreciation, renewals of permanent way and other essentials of good finaneing; these and similar methods enabled companies to pay good dividends and thus have their shares command a high price in the share market ${ }^{1}$. In one instance, at least, and probably in several others, the accomnts were manipulated and falsified by those who were in charge of the road; one station agent was securing large amounts of money, through representing it as wages to be paid to the men ; false statements were made wittingly; a general manager whose dehnsive methods and irregnlarities were known by the directors was kept at his post because he was capable; and all these things were going on while shareholders were ignorant or indifferent, more usually the former, in regard to their property ${ }^{2}$. It was not untit well on in the fifth decade of the ecntury that public opinion began tof be aroused to really see what had been taking place; ami the owners of the various properties were urged to take active interest thenceforward in the management of the companies' affairs, and to put in directors who wonld administer their trust for the publie well-heing ${ }^{3}$. Closely connected with the foregoing were the wide fluctuations in the prices of railway shares, by which some became wealthy and others impoverished. Many canses may be assigned for this, but the more important were the instability of the whole system of railways, the lack

1 Marshall, Raiked! Lagislation, pp. 12-16. With the kind of statements that were issued, it was frequently impossible to know how moch had been spent on rolling stock, how much on permanent way, how much on stations, etc. Langley, The Dangers of the North British Ruiltea! Policy, 2nd ed., pp. 5-6, shows that the Northl 1 ritish admitted in their reports that they were sacrificing other eonsiderations, like the upkep of rolling stock and permanent way, to the payment of dividends. In contrast with this, the North Eastern spent large sums on maintenance. See also ' Railways as they Really Are : or Fate for the Serious Consideration of Raidway Proprictors; Nos. 1 and 11.
${ }^{2}$ I good illutration is furnished by the case of the York and North Misland muder ILudson": régime ( v . 'Vork and North Midland Railway, Report of the Committee of lawestigation, first, secomd and third reports). This was, apparently, a onc-man power, and the results of the investigation were terribly damaging to I Hudson.
${ }^{3}$ Ihirl.; Marshall, Raifatay Lergislation, plp. 19-20; The Times, Jan. 16, 1843, p. $a$; ilhil., Jinl. $3: 18.43$, p. 3, report of the eommittec on the Midland Counties 1Railw:ay Company; ibid., Feb. 20, 1813, p. 5.
of adequate reports as to the condition of the varions companies, the decivions of the Railway Department of the Board of Trade, whelr, after 18tt, had to sanction every new railway project before it was anthor aed by l'arliament, and the gambling spirit which was prevalent in the early years of the establishment of railways ${ }^{1}$.

In the third place, there were some phases of ralway operation whein were bjectionable from the standpoint of the companie and of the publie. In the internal organazation of the companies, there was for more thath twenty years an imperfect definition of the authority of the warious oflicials, se that responsibility for erors condel not be fixed; reports were not rendered to the higher officials frequently enough for their gnidanee; there was lack of diseipline in carrying ont regulations and orders; the desire for economy went so far that the road was muder-manned, or else the repairs and alterations were defieient in amomet or defective in the quality of materials nsed; and in eases of aecident or irregularity there was a lack of individual responsibility, sinee the heads of departments did not know to whom to look for instructions ${ }^{2}$. Competition bronght some of the railways almost to the verge of ruin and entailed mueh loss to those whose funds were invested in these enterprises ${ }^{3}$; and even after different lines had enterad into working agrecments with one another, these agreements beeame so intrieate and ehaotic that constant disputes were inevitable. Nothing was more eommon than to see a company eagery seeking anthority to make a branch which could only bring it loss, but which, it was feared, would enise still greater loss if it fell into the hands of a rival ${ }^{4}$. In some erses the companies ran a greater mmber of trains than the traflic sarranted, or carried traflie, for the time being, at unremmurative rates in order to take it away from their rivals. The time-tables show that, on the great rontes, passenger trains moved about as regnlaily as the conches had done formerly on the roads, the aim being to provide such conveniences of travel as would take the trade from the coaches. 'ilhis exeess of aecommodation was neither warranted by. public necessities nor remmerative to the railwas, and throngh the
${ }^{1}$ On the subjeet of price fluctuations of railway shares, see Marshall, Raitiony Legistotion, pl. 10-12, 31-36; 13rit. Mas. 1396. g. 21, 'Railways and the Boarel of
 fluctuations of railway shares during the year 1842 , which showed wide variations of prices.
${ }^{2}$ M(d)onnefl, Raizeay Managemiem. pp, 1-2:3.
${ }^{3}$ Cotterill, The Post, Present ant Future P'osition of the London and North Wessern, and Greal I'estern Raikay Companies, p. 31; Civis (pseud.), The Raikcay Question, p. 11.

- Ibitl., p. 11.
formation of working agreements of one kind or another they gradually learned to reduce the unnecessary expenditure inenred in this way ${ }^{1}$. At first, too, there was the desire on the part of the companies to eater more carefully to the first-ciass passengers and to neglect to some extent the third-elass passengers. The former were provided with good enaches; their trains were run at good speed, with as few delays as possible, and with tice best connexions. On the other hand, the third-elass passengers were poorly provided with eoach aceommodation; during the carly years, the third-class conches were open to all changes of weather; they were attached elose to the engine. and the smoke and einders from the engine were a souree of great imnoyance to the passengers; these ears were not rum nearly as often as those of the higher classes along the same line and they were run at ineonvenient hours: they were subjected to frequent and sometimes long delays, and it was aggravating for these passengers to lie on sidings white the first-class trains went speeding by. Not meommonly the third and second-class passengers reached a junction point and then found that they would cither have to stay there for some time or else pay the higher fares in order to proceed immediately in first-class coaches to their destimation ${ }^{2}$. It would seem as if the object of the railway eompanies was to con pel passengers to give up third-class and go first-elass; for eren second-elass passengers received but meagre consideration on some lines. That the monopoly of the railway company was used to the detriment of the publie is cvident from the eurrent testimony of the time ${ }^{3}$, and from the faet that Parliament was desirons of having working agreements, amalgamations, leases, ete., sanctioncd by the authority of the legislature and subject to their jurisdietion and eontrol. Many were in favour of giving up the prineiple of competition

[^50]as applied to railways and of having agreements entered into wherever possibie: but after sceing the carly results of monopoly those who advoeated working agreements did so beeause they wanted to see greater mity of action, greater ceonomy and improved accommodation, under some parliamentary supervision which would guard the publie interests ${ }^{1}$. These, and other accompaniments of the extension of the railways, together with the political effects in seeuring what was salled a "Railroad Parliament," were eertainly franght with a power for evil${ }^{2}$; and yet many of them were inmputable to the newness of the system, to the universal ignorance of its tendeneies, and to the wonderful suddenness of its growth. Looked at from the distant point of view which the present affords, we can see that these evils were but incidents in the rapid expansion that was taking place.

From the foregoing, it is not difficult to decide why many railways were mprofitable enterprises, so far as their owners were coneerned. With the payment of very high charges in order to seeure the act of incorporation, and often exorbitant prices for land and compensation, followed by the great extravagance in the management of the companies funds; the costly construction and equipment which greatly execeded the needs of traffic; the extraction of funds by dishonest officials, and the expenditures tor ostentation rather than utilitythese, and the disastrous results of early competition, must have proved to be a burden, for some of the ralways, that was hard to cudure. For example, we learn that the Manchester, Sheffield and Lincolnshire Railway, during the first fourteen years of its activity, seareely paid a fraction of a dividend on the amomut of the original stock ${ }^{3}$. Even some of the main lines paid but iow rates of interest upon the eapital expended, for, in addition to the above-mentioned disabilities, they frequently had, in self defence, to link up with themselves certain branch lines of railway or canal, whieh, instead of being feeders to the

[^51]main lines, proved rather to be suckers, withdrawing funds from the treasury of the main lines in order to pay interest to the clamants "pon the branch lines ${ }^{1}$. Among the carly railways in the nortlo of binghand, there secms to lave been quite a number that paid either no dividend at all or eloe but a very small return, and many of these were in the very econtre of the inchatrial and mining acetion, especially in the connty of Durham². A writer, with intimate knowledge of the railways, has given us in lis.5t the dividends paid by the fifty-nine railway companice of England and Wates for the first half of that year ; and working this ont uon the ammal basis, we find that, of this number, fifteen paid no dividend, thirty-four paid dividends lrom less than one per eent. to less than five per eent., live paid dividends of five to six per eent. inchsive, and five paid dividends of seven to ten per eent. inelusive ${ }^{3}$. There is no reason to suppose that the year $18: 5$ was anything but an ordinary year, for loy that time the comutry had recovered from the mania of $1841-6$ and was again going on its normal way. With forty-nine out of fifty-nine railways, or eightythree per eent., paying less than five per ecent. per annm, it would appear that the mumber of companies whieh seenred reasonable remuncration on invested eapital was small in comparison with the mumber of those which foll below the reasonable minimum. But althongh many of the railways were not profitable to their owners in yielding large finaneial returns they may still have been beneficial to the pmblie in providing for the necessities and conveniences of tralfie.

When considermg the subject of roads, we noted the faet that, before 1830 , the consolidation that had taken place in the thmpike trusts was not the consolidation of those which formed eontimons lines of road. but of those that were fonnd in partienlar comenties, or parts of eomities, such as those in the rieinity of London or Bristol. Bl:t in the ease of eanals, the small amome of consolidation that had taken place was the merging of those which were parts of a continuons line of navigation ${ }^{4}$. The amalgamation of the railways lollowed elosely. the type of that of the canalls, not of the roads, by the formation of

[^52]adjoining railway lines into great through rontes. Why should the rouds have been different in this respect from the canals and railways? The answer would seen to lie in the fact that the control was different. The eanals and railways were in the hands of private companies, which, under their several Acts, were given anthority to construct and operate their transportation facilities in the several comnties or distriets through which they passed; but the turnpikes were mader the anthority of the Justices of the comnties, and it would have been ahmost impossible to get several suceessive comities to work harmonionsly in the proper maintenance of great throngh roads, such as that from London to Holyhead, or that from London to York.

From the forcgoing outline of the railway systems of England we are impressed by the similarity of their position with that of the ancient Roman roads, and also with that of the turnpike and canal systems which played so important a rôle. It was becanse of this justaposition of the turnpikes, canals and railways that the subject of empectition between them assumed so conspienous a place in the public mind, and to this we now turn.

## CHAPTER VIII

## EFPECTS OF STEAM CPON ROAD TRANSPORTATION

Fondowisg, probably, the example of the eoneh proprictors, who provided for both outside and inside passengers, the railway companies whieh mudertook the eonseyance of passengers provided two, and often three, different kinds of accommodation, at different prices ${ }^{1}$. The first-elass were eovered earrages, intended only for the well-to-do; the third-elass earriages were at first open and exposed to all the changes of the atmosphere, and were for the poor; while the second-elass accommodation was intermodiate in quality and eost, and was for the great middle elass. The people who were expeeted to travel third-class were those who belonged to the working group; and it was thought that by providing this cheap means of conveyance the poor would be able to live e $t$ in the country where they could have agrieulture or gardening as a by-employment, and have also better sanitary conditions, while they could go to and from their work every day. In making any comparison, therefore, between railway and stage eoach charges, we must keep in mind this difference between first, seeond, and third-elass rates on the railway, and must draw our analogies between first-elass rate and inside roach fare, and between second and third-elass rates and outside eoach fare.

Before the opening of the great trunk lines, about 1838-40. the eoach fares on some roads were very high ${ }^{2}$, while on others they were kept moderate by the influence of the competition of several eoaches ${ }^{3}$.
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Doe. 1844(318), x1, 17, 'Fifth Report of Select Committee on Railways;' Appendix. No. 2. pp.12-13, showing that some raikways rejected attogether or himited the third-chas aceonamodation.
${ }^{2}$ See Appendis 7 .
${ }^{3}$ Sce the great mamber of coaches liecnsed to rmin in 1837 between london and other important phaees in the kingtom, as given in 'Collection of Prospectuses, Maps, ete., of Raikways and Canals; p. 80. Between London and Birmingham, for example, there were 122 jommeys weekly and $10: 88$ passengers carrict; between Londom and Liverpool there were 68 journeys weekly and 612 passengers earrict; between L ndon and Manchester there were 119 journeys weekly and 107 i paswengers carried; ete. Of course these eonches were not all engaged in eompetition; for all those whieh were under one management wouk not be rivals among themselves.

In our disenssion of the cost of travel by coach during this period we found that, as a general thing, the inside fare was from two and one-half to four pence per mile; and more eommonly the latter would come nearer to the actual truth than the former. This figure seems to be slighty lower than that given by one of the great coach proprietors, who said that before the introdnetion of railways the fares were abont four and one-half pence per mile inside and two and one-half pence per mile ontside ${ }^{1}$. But the Committee of 1814, in speaking of this, said that mon most of the leading roads, where competition was effective, this rate of four and one-half pence per mile was somewhat higher than was customary. Probably, therefore, the coach rate which was prevalent on the great roads was three and one-half pence to four pence per mile, or in some cases a little more. The fares adopted by the leading railway companies were about three penee per mile for first-class passengers, two pence per mile for second-class, and one to one and one-half pence per mile for third-class ${ }^{2}$. These, it will be observed, were but little lower than the eoach fares, probably just enough to be an additional induecment for passengers to travel by rail; but when we supplement the reduced rate by the combined incentives of greater comfort and speed ${ }^{3}$ of railway trains we can easily see why the railway would attract the passenger tratlic away from the stage coaches.

After making the foregoing general statement, let us examine some partienlar instances of the results of this competition. In the insestigattion of 184t, as to the effect of riblways on the interests of the poorer classes, we have some very definite information given as to the relative cost of travelling by eanal, stage coach and railway. The cost of passage from Manchester to London, for an ordinary family consisting of two adults and three children, was, by canal hoat £3. 14s., by coach

[^53]
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L6. Os., and by railway $\mathfrak{f t}$. $15 s^{3}$ 'Thus, taking into eonsideration the necessary expenses incident to such a journey, we judge that travelling by railway cost only about three-fonrths of that by coach. From London to Cowentry, before the railway was opened, there was one stage waggon, charging nine shillings fare mad taking thirty-six hours, and several stage coaches charging for ontside fare seventeen shillings by night and twenty shillings by day, which took from ten to deven hours; but in 1stt, when the raihay was in operation, there was no stage waggon on this route, and only one night stage eoneh, charging ten shillings and taking twelve hours, while bey the railway the thirdclass fare was twelve shillings and the time ocenpied six and one-half hours ${ }^{2}$. That is, the introdnction of the railway brought a reduction of the fare and of the time required for this journey amonnting to almost onc-half. When we consider the greater expense for fees and meals when travelling by the stage coach, the cost of travelling by the latter vehicle must have been fully twice as much as by the railway. Again, before the establishment of the Liverpool ane Manchester railway the conches between these two places, at full eapacity, could not earry more than 688 persons per day, and. on the average, probably
${ }^{1}$ The following tignres were given in detail as to this jonrney (Brit. Doc. 18.4 (318), x1, 17, 'Fifth Ikeport of Select Committee on Railwnys, Appendix No. 4): By Conal boat (Manchester to London).


By Coach, Minchester to London, 186 miles.


By Raihcay, Manchester to London, 212 miles.
Third-class, Manchester to Birmingham,
2 adults passage, $11 s$. each ................ 1 2 0

Third-class, Birmingham to London, 2 adults fassage, 14s. each ................. 1 \& 0

Food, ete., ls. 6d. each ...................... $\quad \begin{array}{r}7 \quad 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$
Tot:il $4 \quad 15 \quad 0$
2 Brit. Doc. 184. (318), x1, 17, 'Fifth Rejort of Committee on Railways,' Appendis No. 4, p. 63.
carricd not more than 400 or 500 . The railway at its commencement earriced an average of 10 oro per day ${ }^{-1}$. The fare by eoach varied aceording to the season and the amome of traved, bat on the average it was ten shillings inside and fise to six shillings outside; the fare by the railway in 1833 was it shillings for first-class and three sinllings and six pence for third-class?. The time ocenpied in making the journey by eoach was four honrs; the time ocenpied by the railway was but one and threc-fourths homr ${ }^{3}$. It is evident that here, too, the eshablishment of the railway reduecd by one-half the eost and the lime of travelling. Before the advent of the railwas, the twenty-fonr-home journey hy eoneh betwen Iondon and Iiverpool cost \&4. 4s., but, inchuding the fees and the meals, the cost would approximate $£^{5}$. After the ralway had been opened some time, the cost lye rail firstchass was $3 \pi s$, ind second-class $2 \pi w^{4}{ }^{4}$, showing the expense of travelling be railway to have been less than half that by road. While, therefore, onr general conelusion, above stated, that the railway fares, on the whole, were not much lower than those of the eoaches, is probably close In accuracy, we must, nevortheless, realize that, in some instanees. there had been a reduction of as much as fifty per cent. in these charges. Similar results were seeured in the consevance of commodities. For example, before the opening of the Great Western, the Waggon rate from London to Oxford was £3 to $£ 3.10 \mathrm{~s}$. per ton; luit the railway charged only 30 s. per ton, which was practically one-half the former charge ${ }^{5}$. The latter rate inchuded rail earriage from I.ondon to steventon and then waggon earriage for ten miles between Steventon and Oxford. Had there been rail carriage all the way, so as to aroid the necessity of a waygon hanl and its attendant loading and unloading, the eost wonld have been still less than that mentioned, and probably would not hase exceeded $25 s{ }^{6}$ Before the opening of the railway to
${ }^{1}$ In 1836 the average was 1200 daily. Sec Adianiages afihe Progressite Farmotion af Raikeays, p. 23: 'London and Birmingham Lailwyy Bill. Extracts from Minntes of Exidence before Lords Committee, evidence of IIenry IBooth, treasurer of this railway company, pp. 53-5.5.
: Ibid.
${ }^{3}$ Ammual Register, 1832. p. 445; also 'London and Birmingham Railway IBill. Extracts from the Minntes of Evidenec before Lords Committce, evidence of IIenry IBooth, pp. 53-z5.
${ }^{4}$ Shaen, Revice of Railteays and Raikeay Legislatian, p. 32.
${ }^{5}$ Brit. Mus. 8235 . ce. 4 (1), 'Oxforl and Dideot Railway' Bill. Evidence taken before Commons Committee,' evidenee of Mr Sadler, p. 3, and of Mr Sheart, p. 10.

6 Ihil., evidence of Mr Clarke, p. 27. The evidence of Messrs Sadler, Shearl, Underhill and Clarke gives much detail by way of comparison of rail with road carriase, hoth as to passengers and goods, showing the leereased cost and reduced time by the former.
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conneet Lometon and Manchester, the cont of earrsing general goods by road was zos. to sons. per ton, bat ufter the railway had been in operation for seme time the charge hy this fabler eomseyance was otdy
 stombl, hawever, as implying that ratway rates in gencrat were only one-half as mach is these charged hy wagron.

In like manner, the change in the moment of eoathing and posting, after the eoming of the ratwny, was ahmest immediate. Along the line of the Liverpoot and Danchester Ratway there had been eath day twenty-two regular and seven oceasional eotehes for carrying passengers, bint, within fise months after the opening of the ratway a! these, wilh the exeeption of four, had disappeared. By 1sise all but one of these colaches had ceased rmming and that one was chictly for carrying parecha². On the road from lomdon to Birmingham, before the ratway was opened, one of the ehicf London coach proprictors had nine coaches; but after the opening of that line this mumber was grathally redneed matil in 1839 the was working onty two conches and had ditlienty in herping them on. The fares charged hy eoach were only ane poomd inside and twelve bhillings onside; yad he gat no inside passengers, beeanse people could go by the railway for the same fare and they preferred that means of travelling ${ }^{3}$. The great momber of eoaches that trasefled the road frem London to the west of Enghand was soon reduced after the railway was entablished in operation ${ }^{4}$; hut it was not until after some years of rivalry, namely, abont 1843, that
'shacm, op, cit., p. :33.
${ }^{2}$ Rirmindhem drurnal, Fell. E, 18:31, p. :3, Ietter from ". 1 Sulscriber to the London and Birminghan Railway:" ibid., May 19, 1832, p. 3, widence on the London :mel Birminghatn Railway Bill; Amman Reqister, 1832, p. 445. Sce also Shaw, Literpont's First Directory, p. 19.
 to like remults. Sce also Brit. Doc. 18:37 (45i), xx, 991, "Report of Committer on the


 by the introluction of railways; lat he was speaking from memory. Stretton, in his Ilistory of the Londm and Birminghem Raikeny, says that the result of the first rum over this line was that the stare conel proprictors at once decided to raise their rates, and the following ghtation appeared in several newspapers: "Coach Fare from Birminghan to London.-The comeh proprictors on this line of road, aware that on even the partial opening of the London and Birmingham Railway, they may ery "Othelo's ocenpation grove" are making hay while smnshine is left them. The fare from Birmingham to Londm, whieh of late years has averaged from 18s. to $2 \overline{5 s}$., has recently been trebicd, the proprietors now modestly ask x:3. 129. fare from Birmingham to the metronolis."

- To give some idea of the amount of eoaching on eertain roads at the time railways were introduced, we give the following statistics from the reeords of the
the last onch was driven off this roadt. Before the raikway conld emase the coaches to gise up the struggte they land to reduere their chargen to a poind ahmos copmal to the fares of the eroach ; and any slight exocse of railway fares abowe that point was sutheient lo bring back the eomehes on some of the roak ${ }^{\text {a }}$. If ratway companies had charged as much as the law allowed, their lines wonld hase been comparatively deserted in most eases, for they womblate been used atmost exehsively by the opment classes but by putting down their chatiges to ath approximate expality with those of the emehere they diverted to the rail all thromph trallice and most of the Incal coadhing business alone lines of road wheh were near to and paralled with the railway ${ }^{3}$. The decrease in the amomen of eraching was aterompanied by a corresponding reduction in the amount of posting along these roads.

Stage Coach Oflice (v. I'rocectings af the Great Western Raitzeny, evidence of Mr Sutherland, 1. 39).

The momber of eoaches licensed and the number of journeys performed along the main western highway, in 1831, before the Crest Western Railway was built, were as follows:

| Number of coaches | From | To | No. of journeys per werk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | London | Bath | \% |
| 20 | " | I3ristor | 1336 |
| 4 | " | Cheltenham | 21 |
| 3 | " | Ievonport | 14 |
| 19 | " | Isxeter | 81 |
| 1 | , | Fiarringdon | 6 |
| c | , | Great Marlow | 12 |
| 6 | .. | Cloncester | 38 |
| 1 | , | Hish Wycombe | 12 |
| 1 | , | IIeutey | 12 |
| 1 | " | IIarlington | 16 |
| 1 | " | Maictenhead | 12 |
| 1 | , | Marlborough | , |
| 4 | " | Newhury | 21 |
| 10 | " | Oxford | $6{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | " | Reading | 80 |
| 3 | " | Stroudwater | 20 |
| 5 | , | Taminton | 24 |
| 7 | " | Cxhridge | 82 |
| \% | " | Windsor | 96 |
| 3 | " | Wallingfort | 2.4 |
| 1 | " | Wintage | 6 |

[^54] Dideot IRailway Bill, pp. e-6.
${ }^{2}$ (Gatt, Raileay Reform (184i), p. 7 ; Voung, Steam on Common Roeds, pr. 84 ;
The Times, Dee. 7, 1843, 1. 6.
${ }^{3}$ In Railieay Times, v (18.12), plo. 639-40, 711,973, we find at eomparison of trase e!
by zatw:es and concines showing the vast change that liad been effected by the
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We must bear in mind that this was a period of transition. and like all other similar periods was franght with disaster to thowe upon whom the burden rested most heavily. P'rilaps the elasses that suffered most were the proprictors of coaching establishments and the imnkepers along the great roads. The latter clans fonm their inn and posting business rapidly declining ${ }^{1}$ : and the papers of the time contained the advertisements of whole coaching and carrying establishments that were selling out ${ }^{2}$. Through many years the eoach-masters had endeavoured to provide facilitics for a greatly increasing amount of travel and had, in some eases, many hmudreds of horses; but when the railway eame and took the passenger traffic from these great roads we ean casily moderstand that ruin seemed to stare them in the face ${ }^{3}$.
introduetion of the railwas. The last of the robshes between London and Cambridge mave its fimal journey on Oet. 25, 184.) (The Tinies, Oct. 29. 1845. 1). 5). The opening of the railway from Galishury to bishopstoke was the signal for the withdrawal of the eoneles whieh went throurh Andover, whieh, but a few years before, mumbered about forty daiyy (The Times, Mar. 12, 1857. [p. (i).

${ }^{2}$ The Times, Lept. 28, 18:37, 1. 1. gives three sueh advertisements; ibid.. Oet. 21 , 18:3\%, F. 1, rives two advertivements: ete.

3 Some iden of the great traffie thut eentrea in London may be gathered from a table (以. 'Colleetion of Prosnectises, Maps, ete., of Railways abil Canals,' P' So) showing the mumber of enaches lieensed in $183 \%$ to run betwern loudon and many cther places. the number of passengers earricul, and the weekly receipts from these licenves, brom the talle we take the following data to show the extent of the eoaching business between London and the no: h:

| Places | No. of journess weckly | No. of passengers weelily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London to Sirmingham | 122 | 1098 |
| ,, liverpowl | (is | 612 |
| ,, Minchester | 11!) | $10 \% 1$ |
| ,, (ilasom | 1.4 | 70 |
| tholyheact | 1.4 | 711 |
| . Shrewshury | .4) | 380 |
| , Woorlaide | 14 | 126 |
| , Worerster | .11 | 4.7) |
| , シ̇linlurah | 14 | 70 |
| ,, Milifi : | 98 | 2.52 |
| Leeerls | 70 | 6330 |
| L.eamington | 12 | 06 |
| Leicester | 1.4 | 129 |
| Newrastle-on-Tyme | 28 | 252 |
| Nottingham | 28 | 2.82 |
| , V'ork | 1.4 | 126 |
| [3:arton | 1: | 96 |
| Lincoln | 18 | 162 |
| ., Northimpton | 1.1 | 120 |
| Ayloblury | 38 | 3017 |
| ,, ILIton | 18 | * 81 |
| Wintford | 17 | (4) |
| ,, Pinter | 26 | 260 |

The traffic from London to the Eastern Counties is well represented by a diagram

Theis was partienarly true, of eonrse, concerning thone in the geat contres, notably London. It would be crroneons, however, to suppense that the dedine or the disappearame of the eometry innkeepers on the important thoromgheares wan dne entirely to the advent of the railway; as: a matter of fact, many of thent were gradmally climinated before $^{\text {man }}$ this fine, on aceoment of the necensity of the enaches making fewer siops as they developed greater and greater specd ${ }^{1}$. Nor was the picture of the dinappearance of the coaches contirely unrelieved by a brighter aspeet. It is, dombtless, true that abong the man roads, where they were in competition with the railways, the coaches were soon iaken off ; yet the increase of bmsiness bronght by the railroads, not only at their stations but abo on the country roads leading to the stations, caused a greater demand for the labour of horses in the carriage of passengers and goods ${ }^{2}$. We have ample proof of this from the inerease in the number of smeh veheles that were lieensed ${ }^{3}$. We may, therefore, say that a decrease of conch traffic along roads that were adjacent and more or less parallel to the railway, which meant ahmost annihilation io some proprictors of coaches, was only the forerumer of greater business of this kind when onee the readjustment
in the Appendis to Vol. $x$ x of the Brit. Doe. for 1839, showing the gradual dimimition of the amonnt of passenger travel from London to the towns farther east :

The number of stage coaches from Loudon to V' Ham and Stratford was 62. The mmber of stage conches from West Ham . $\therefore$ Stritford to Romford was ! 1 and 2 mails.

The mmber of stage coaches from Homford to l3rentwood was 36 and 2 mails. The mumber of stage eoaches from Brentwood to Chelmsford was in and 2 mails.
Bearing in mind that sonse eoach proprietors conducted the traffic on several of the chief roads where the dencity of travel was fully as great as the aforementioned, it is not hard to see what the sweeping away of all this bisiness weuld neean to such establishments.

I Hereputh's Raikeo!y Magazime, N.s., vi, p. 463, letter of losejh Lockwood.
2 Ibrit. Doe. $18: 39$ (29.2), ix, 369 , "Heport of Select Committee on Railroads;
 to ualderstand that the inerease on the lateral lines was not at all eommensarate with the loss on the principal lines. This may have been the immedinte effeet in some cises, but it certainly was not the nlterior effect. On the increase in the number of horses and velhieles that were used on the cross roads tributary to the Liverpool and Manelester Railway, see Gomwin, Apucai to the Public on Railadys, 1. 40; Hampshire dikerliser and Salishury Goardian, Mar. 20, 18:3, 1, 2, evidenee of Mr lamgston, of Marchester: Felix Farley's IBrisfol Jourmal, April 19. 18.34, p. 4, Committec on the Great Western Rallway Bill. . ice also l3rit. Mns. 8935. ce. 4 (1), 'Oxford and Ditleot Kailway Bill,' evidence of Mr Suller, p. 6, and //erepulh's Railway Maguzine, N..S., vi, p. 461.
${ }^{3}$ Rainevy Times, vi (18.43), p. 443 , statement of the Finrl of Hardwieke, on the "Liffeet of Railways." He gives aceurate statistics to substantiate this fa...
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was effected ${ }^{1}$. Mention mmst abo be made of the faet that one of the largent coaching entablinments in lomdon, and we camot say how many more, beeame an ally of the railway to act as collectors and distributors of goods at the termims ${ }^{2}$.

As a result, it was said, of the competition between the railways and the turnpike roads for the traflie of the cometre, which, in many cases, was accompanicd by a great decerease or total deelince of traflic on the thmpikes paralled with the railways. we find constant complaints from the thrupike trats that their tolls were diminishing becanse of the dimimetion of porting and tage eoach business. It must be borne in mind that the trmbs depended mainly upon the passenger traflic for their revemes. On aceonmt of this deerease of revemes. the dehts of the trust were constant! increasing, for it was the prevailing practice (o) convert the mpaid interest into principal, by the trastees giving interest-beariug bonds to cover the full amome. That there was a great inerease in the debts of the trmbs is berond dipmete ${ }^{4}$, ass is also the fact that the debt was increand through the consolidation with it of interest that was in arrears ${ }^{5}$. The contimation of this practice, of

[^55] reference.
${ }^{2}$ Raikeny Fimes, iv (1811), p. 209, slowing that the Crand Innetion Kailway Co. had employed Chaplin and Horne for some time as their agents in London to buload :mad deliver goorls. It is probable that few of the eoaching firms were fortunate enomgh to attach themselses to the ralways in this way.
${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doe. $18: 37$ (45ti). xx, e!n, Dhimutes of Evilence of Mr Ilall. Also 1830
 of Messrs Bicknell, Leve and Macadam. On the rednetion of traffic and tolls on partienlar roads, see Raikeny Times, v (18tㅇ), pio. 18, 21; but on the roads as a whole the tolls had apparently inereased (ibid.. v. p. titi).
${ }^{4} 13$ rit. Doc. 18:3: (24), x5. 101, 'scombl Report of Select Commitee on Turnjike Trusts.' The Committee 'contemplate with alarm the results of the great and increasing tebt on many roads." statisties to prove this are given in ibitl.,


From Brit. Doc. $18: 36$ (515), wix, 333 . ' Report of Seleet Committe on Thrnjike Tolls and Trusts, we learn that the trustso dobes at that time amomed to nearly \& $9,000,004$, and that the probability was that they would constantly inerease as in the past. See also 'Report of Seleet Committece of $18339^{\circ}$ on the influcnee of railways on turujike trosts. Brit. Doc. 1830 (295), w, 360, with midence of Messrs Bicknell, Leve, and Macadlan.
 Tolls and "Trusts, states that several finsts were at that time insolvent beause the amonnt ol interest due ammalle was more than the anount of the anmal income.

 evilenee of Sir Jas, Muadan, who satid that this poliey prevaled very gemerally themghont the trasts of the lingrlom, where interest mayments could not be mate. He satid this was the chief eanse for the increate of th. : bonded debt for some years
eonree, inereased both the prineipal and the interest of the debt. But when we eome to eonsider the additional reasons whe the trusts' revenues were in oulicient to keep up their interest payments we meet with a problem which involves several other factors than that of railroad competition.

In a former chapter we have seen that, in the management of many of these trusts, the funds were squandered by injudicions expenditures and keeping up official parasites who were incapable of accomplishing any thing for the good of the roads from which they drew their salaries. The same thing was, doubtless, still prevalent, although the aceounts of the trusts did not show it ${ }^{1}$. Then, toon, the statute duts, or statute labour, was abolished in $18: 35^{2}$, and also the emposition in its phace. Thin loss was estimated by Sir James Macadam, who had an intimate knowledge of the condition of the turnpikes to amonnt to $\mathfrak{f w 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ a year ${ }^{3}$. But, notwithstanding the abolitien of the statute labour. in the administration of which there was mueh fiand, the financial condition of many trusts beeane worse and worse ${ }^{4}$; and as a meanh toward 7)taining ceonomical and efficient management the consolidation of small trusts into larger trusts and of the larger trusts into unions of trusts was urged upon Parliament ${ }^{5}$. This suggestion, of course, eame
before that. This was very acceptable to creditors, in that it gave them additional security by a bond for the payment of their interest. His statement was that he knew some roads upon whieh there were sixty years' arrears of interest due.
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Doc. 1836 ( 547 ), xix, 335, 'Report of Select Committee on Turnpike Tolls and Trusts.' The Committee put forth a plan that would be "useful in preventing any wasteiul expenditure of funds in some trusts;" and although they do not expressly mention this form of extravager, we are warranted, from what we have found hitherto, in saying that it still existed.
${ }^{2}$ Aet 5 \& 6 William IV, c. 50.
${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doe. 1839 (295), ix, 369, 'Report of Seleet Committee on Railroads and Turnpikes;'also ihid., evidence of Mr Macatlam. See also Drit. Doe. 1837 (45\%), xx, :34, 'Ilinutes of Evidence, p. 9 et seq.

4 Brit. Hoe. $18: 39$ (29.5), $1 \mathrm{x}, 369$, 'Report of Committee on Railways and Turnpikes,' and evidence of Sir James Macidam.

5 The evitence was nearly manimons that sueh consolidations would he desirible from many points of view: It would save the amounts now spent in salaries to oflicers of small trusts; it would give eheaper road materials by purehasing them in larger quantities; it would abolish the eomprtition for such materials that was common among small trists: :und it would ohtain an improved system of management hy merging the small trasts into large trusts,

But there were also ohjections raised to the eonsombation of such interests. Some trists were in guod ciremmatances, and were opposet to allying with those that were in deht. Then, the erabitors oll thase trusts that lad given good security were averse to the adoption of any measure likely to lessen their seenrity, byy unting the solvent trust, to whieh they had advaneed money, with one or more that were

from the good results which were scenred by the eonsolidation of the motropolitan tumpike trusts. It is not within the present plan to follow out the subsequent history of these roads'; but the point to be noted is that such recommendations were the onteone of the reduetion of revemues which aremerl to the trints.

Again, the eompetition of steam vessels on the rivers, and. more important still. in the coasting trade, drew away traflie, and eonsequently revemues, from the turnaike trusts. Wherever there was a ronte for steami veasels near the coast. and a more or less parallel eoaching ronte on the land. Whether near to or somewhat distant from the shore, the bescls almost inwariably took the greater part of the passenger trafic, especially during the wamer part of the year. This rivalry of the two means of conveyance was most noticeable along the east coast, and from London, around Kent, to the sonth-east coant. The preference that was shown by the pmblie for the steam vensels was chiefly dine to the fact that they were much more comfortable, and at the same time cheaper, than the coaches. For instance, a passenger

Committee on Turnpike Tolls and Trusts;' also 1839 (295), $x, 369$, 'Report of scleet Committee on Hailroads and Turnpike Trusts.'
${ }^{1}$ A bricf smmatary of the later history of the turnjike trusts will be a propos here. After $18: 30$ mang of the trmats were malle to maintain their roals in reason:ble rondition, and, according to the common law, the bmoden of mantenance devolved upon the parish. This liahility was not enfored after the Ilighway Aet of $18: 3.5$, but in 18.11 an effort was made, in Aet +85 Virt.. c. 59.4 restore it, by authorizing the fustives to demand a payment out of the highway rates toward the repair of turnpihe roads where the tolls were insullicient. In this way, the parish lad a double burden to lear. the payment of the tolle and the cost of repairing the roand : ant, while ineffective to improve the finanees of the trusts, this system arourd hostility. The "Rebceea Riots" in 18.18-is were the outcome; and the combitions in south Wales, where these riots were particnlarly vigorons, are tomb in the 'Report of the lhoyal Commission of Inquiry of 1844.' Finally, as a result of this inguiry, an Act was passed to pht an end to the adminiatretion of the trustees in Smith Winks, by merging all the trusts mader "Eomnty loads Roards," eomposed of Jnatices of the Peatce. In lingland, no such eent ralization of eont rol was posible, on :ncount of the opposition of rival interests of one hind and another. But sonn after the middle of the eentury there came to be a growing sentiment in fivone of the abolition of the turnpikes and told-pates. The committee of the llonse of Commons which, in 1864, insestigated the subjeet reported that the toils were "mequal in pressure, eostly in enllection, ineonvenient to the puldic, and ir.jn ins as emsing a serions impediment to intereonse and trafice" and advonted the mion of the trusts in some smeln way as had been earried ont twenty years before in south Wales. still the whole matter was left in abeyamee, so far as any general publice poliey was concerned; lont from this time onward suceessive committees of the Honse of Commons began the gradial dissolution of the trusts, and their administration was handed over to the himhwy districts, or to the highway parishes, in which they were located. 13y 1887 only is trusts remained; by 1800 these had been redueed to two; and in 1805 the toll system ceased.
conld get by packet from London to Gravesend for $1 s$. 64 . , and from Grawesend to Maidstone for $2 s .6 d$. , making a total of $4 s$. from London to Madidstone; but the eoaches charged fis. for this distaneer. From London to Neweastle the fares ly eoach were $£ f$ t. 10 s. inside and $\mathfrak{f}$. 5 . 5 . ontside; whike by steaner the fares, incheding provisions and all expenses, were mily $f 3$ for the best eabin and $x=$ for the fore cabin ${ }^{2}$. Between London and Indl the dares by steamship were, for the best eabin $£ 1.1 s$. and for the fore cabin $15 s^{3}$; and the fares between Hull aud York, at these rates, eould not execed $5 s$. and $4 s$. respectively; so that the steamer fares between London and York eouk not hawe been more than $\mathfrak{L} 1.6 \mathrm{~s}$. and 19 s . respetively. The eoach fares, on the other hand, were £3. 5s. inside and £1. 14s. Outside ${ }^{4}$. There was, thercfore, a decided advantage in travelling, where possible, by steamer. From the point of view of the eoach proprietors, one vital element in their higher rates was that they had to pay duties and taxes from which steam navigation was free on aeconnt of the sea being an open highway that required nothing for its maintenanec ${ }^{5}$. It was recognized by the owners of coaches that they eonld not maintain their position in the face of this eompetition, and they were compelled to take off many of their coaches during the summer months, when the traffie was most

[^56]
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profitalle, becanse there was not chongh business for both rivals. In the case of passengers who wistred to reach any of the places on or near the great noth road it was more eongenial to them to take the wessel to the nearest point on the eoast, and then reach their infand destination by emeh, than to take the eoach all the way ${ }^{2}$. Thus, people flocked to the stramboats and left the long coach roalds wherever it was posible to do so conveniently. In some instances, the travelling on the lateral lines leading to these main roads was moch inereased, so that although steam navigation might interfere with the business on the roads that were parallel with it, it produced a consicterable increase in the collateral trade ${ }^{3}$. Whether this increase of transwerse trade made 11 inmediately for the loss of trade on the longer through rontes it is impossible for in to determine.

But. in addition to the effect on the revemes of the roads due to the abolition of statute labour (or composition therefor) and to the competition of steam navigation, it is certain that the railways directly attracted the traflie from the adjacent thoronghfares that were parallel with then. This reduction of road carriage, by dimimishing the tolls on the thmpikes, made it more difficult for the trusts to pay their interest obligations and maintain the roats. As it is impossible to make general statements on this subject with great aecurace, it will serve our purpose better to note the results in particular instances in which the great roads are involved.

One of the elearest cases of the inflacnec of the railway in enrtailing the revennes of the roads was that of the line from London to Birmingham. This road was practically parallel with the London and Birmingham lailway, and was one of the best constructed and managed roath in England. The railway was fully opened in 18:38, and the tolls received on the varions road trusts between these two cities, for the half-vear cuding Mar. 29, 1839, amometed to E7809, which when donbled woukl make fla, 998 as the approxintate amont of the tolls

[^57]for the first year after the opening of the railway. The tolls for the Year 1 si36, the last year before the opening of the railway ${ }^{1}$, were $\mathfrak{f}$ 是 $4,5 \pm 5$. This shows a decrease of approximately £12, $\mathfrak{2}$ a a year, or almost fifty per cent., on the tolls of $18: 36^{2}$. This coald not have been due to canal competition, for the road traffic was derived largely from passengers and parcels while the camal traflie was that of heary articles. It is evident, therefore, that the deerease of the road tolls was a direet aceompaninent of. and eansed by, the operation of the railway. A similar resntt may be noted in comexion with the Liverpool and Manchester Railway, whieh was opened in the latter part of the year 1830. Soon after its operation began, an attempt was made to let the tolls at two hars near the Manelester end of the turnpike road whieh followed the same direction as this rahway. The Eecles bar, which had becn let in 1829 for $£ 15 \pi 5$, and in 1830 for $£ 1800$, was offered for the next year at $£$ soo ; and the Irhm bar which had brought in 1899 a rentat of $£ 18335$ and in 18330 of $£ 1300$, was offered for $£ 500$; Dut because of the reduction of revenue antieipated or exnerienced as a result of the railway, no one was fomb who wanted to farm these tolls, wen at the immense rechuction for which they were offered ${ }^{3}$.

At a ganglion like London, where great roads converge, the effect of a ruilway would necessarily be felt with great intensity. We woukd also expeet eonsiderable rechetions of the tolls on roads that were parallel to railways but at short distanees removed on either side. Taking those trusts which were parallel and elose to, but not adjoining,
${ }^{1}$ This railway was partly opened in 1833 and completely in 1838.
${ }^{2}$ I3rit. Doe. is30 (295), ix, 369, 'Hinntes of Evidence, p. 66. The details of this are as follows:
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the London and Birminghan Railway, we find that the tolls in 1839 were onty from one-hall to two-thirds of what they were in 1833'; and, of comse, those roads that were the more distant from the railway were less affected than those that were nearcr ${ }^{2}$. So great were the reductions of the tolls in some cases, and the meertanty of their mmont, that it was ber ming increasingly diflicult to get anyone to farm them ${ }^{3}$. Sir Jances . $\therefore$ acadam, who was the Gencral Superintendent of the Metropolitan Roads, after speaking in 1839 of other factors whel had eaused some roads to go from bad to worse ${ }^{4}$, added the signifieant statement: "The ealamity of railways has also fallen upon us, which, of course, has agravated the evils ${ }^{5}$." It must not be understood from what we have said, and from the instances we have adduced, that wherever the railway went the roads fell into decay. The rechetion of turnpike revennes noted above was an inevitable coneomitant of the introduction of such a novel and effective instrument of transport as the railway; and there is no doubt that in some eases the finaneial embarrassment
${ }^{1}$ The Brilish Almanac and Companion for 1842. 1. 119 . gives us the following information regarding these roads:

a See above table. For other instances of similar rednetions of tolls, see Brit. Doe. 1839 ( 295 ), 1x, 369, evidence of Mr Levy and others; also Railzay Times, - (1842), 1י18. 21.
${ }^{3}$ Ilbial.
${ }^{4}$ In a communieation from the Grand Junetion Camal Company to the Board of Trade, in $\mathbf{1 8 4 6}$, as to the desirability of keeping the Regent's Canal open and free from railway eontrol, as a means of outlet for the inland eanals, there are these words: "Now it is at onee admitted that if this new power ii.e., loeomotives and railways] ean prove itself eompetent to under-earry eanals, the l'roprietors of the latter eamot reasonably expeet to be shielded, eitler ly Parliament or by Her Majesty's Government, from the ruin whieh las already befallen a considerable portion of our macadamized roads, with the various establishments... which are dependent thercon." 'This wonld seem to be good (heeanse disinterested) testimony in regard to the deeline of some of the hest constr ' 'ed roads.

- Brit. Doe. 1839 (295), IX, 369, evidence of Sir James Macadan.


## viir] Reasoms for Railuay Ascemlancy over Conches

of the trusts was directly traceable to the railway. But we have already shown that before the iron road came into active use there were other factors which were cansing namy of the trists to be peemiarity involved, and these, doubtless, still contimed after the railway eame into operation. If the milway did, along certain rontes, exerefse the most potent influence in effecting an immediate disfoeation of business from the road to the rail, it is evident that this tramsfer would leave the roads smbeet to less mjury, and therefore they would not need so much expended upon them for maintenance and repair. It would seem, then, that if some other things had not been detrimental to the finanees of the roads, the railways alone would not have brought about all the evils that were attributed to them.

Having now considered the effeet of the railways in causing a decrease of the traffie and revenue of many of the turnpike trusts, we next inquire as to the reasons why the railways attained the ascendancy over the stage coaches. In the light of past experience, we to-day can see many reasons why they should have gained the pre-eminenee, such as, their greater speed and comfort, greater accommodation and cheaper rates, to say nothing of the mental stimulus from railway travel ${ }^{1}$. Burt, beside these, at this early time there were some additional reasons for railway predominance which are not apparent to us to-day.

Railway companies had a much lighter birden of taxation than those who carried on the ordinary highways. In addition to the turnpike tolls, the chief taxes paid by regular stage coaches were: the lieense duty of five pounds (£5) on each coach kept to rum, and one shilling on each supplementary license; the assessed tax on coachmen and guards, which was £1. 5s. for each ${ }^{2}$; the stage eoach dirty, which was levied on a graduated seale according to the number of passengers which the coach would earry, but irrespective of the number of passengers actually carried or the number of horses used; and, fintilly, the assessed tax on all draught horses ${ }^{3}$. The license tax had to

[^58]
## 6:0) Eiflects of Stam "poun Round Tromsportation [cuap.

be paid whether the coach were run only a few days or for the whole year, and the same regulation was enfored eoncerning the assessed taxes. The stage eanch rates were paid cach way by the comeh proprictors, the lowent amome being for a conch with a eapacity of four passengers, which paid one penny per mile cach way ; in othor words, the lowest duty was one-fourth pemy per passenger per mile. Beside the foregoing lases, from which the railway company was exempted, the coaches had to pay a mileage duty, on the basis of the number of miles the coach travelled but withont any reference to the momber of passengers the coach was licensed to carry. Coach proprictors, in a few eases, bought their coaches outright; bat in most instances they made an arrangement with the eoach builder to pay him, for the use of the eoaches that were required, a certain mikage rate, which varied from two to three penee per mite according to the contract they were alle to make with the owner of the weheles ${ }^{2}$.

On the other hand, the taxation of the railway, as an operating agent, consisted merely of a mileage duty of onc-half pemy per mile on every four passengers, that is, oncecighth pemy per passenger per mile ${ }^{3}$. It will be seen, therefore, that the mileage rate was the only one of the stage eoach taxes that applied to railways, and it was only a small fraction of the amomet eharged on the coaches. But theme was this further distinetion to be earefully noted between the steam and the stage coaches, that while the railway was charged mileage rate
fer cent., during the winter. It may be asked why a coali proprietor could not take out a license for a smaller momber of passengers, paying therefore the lower duty, but earry the harger momber of passengers on his coaeh. The answer is that penalties were heavy for the transpression of the law, and on the chief roads there were men who made their living by informing on persons who broke the law, sinee the informers got one-half of the penalty imposed on offenders. The mumber of passengers that a coasch comble carry had to be painted on it in a consponous place; and if a eoaeh were fomd with more than its legal number of passengers the magistrate's fine made a eonsiderable expense for the proprietor, See also Ilarris, The Coachiag Age, pp. 1901-8.
${ }^{1}$ These stage enach duties, as given in the sehedule to Aet $2 \& 3$ Willian 15 c. 120, were as follows:

| 6 | , | $11 / d$. | , | , | ", |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | , | $\because d$. | , | ," |  |
| 12 | , | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ d | " | ," | " |
| 1.5 | " | 3 l . | , | ," | ", |
| 18 | " | 314. | - | " | , |

${ }^{2}$ LI Larris. The Conching Age, pp. 198-9.
${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doe. 18:37 ( 456 ), xx, 201, '12eport of Committee on Taxation of Internal Communieation." In 1842 , this was changed to five per cent. of the receipts from passenger traffic.

## rini] Reasoms for Railura!/ Aserwlame! orer Coaches 6;21

only on the passengers actnally convered, the stage emaches were charged their rate on the mumber of passengers which the coaches were lieensed to carry, whether they were full or empty. This was a detriment to the stage coaches; for if one of them was cepable of rarrying twelse passengers, only an average of cight pasomerers conld he combed on; and, therefore, in paying both wave, they paid dhet fur twenty-four passengers. but carricel and receised payment for conts dixteent. Sonte adrocated repeating the daties on stage eotaches to emable them to eompete with the railway, and a proposial had been made to take the tax off conches roming parallel with the railways, but neither of these was earricd out². This manifest nufairness conled not but prove prejnticial to the propricturs of tage eoaches, mant of whom expected that their buiness wond be awerwhelmed ${ }^{3}$. Some of them. however, saw deanly that, cren if sqare conches wer mate free
 following complatation to exemplify the differenee lietwern railway and road carringe in the matrer of mikenge duty alone:

Coaches to llimingham, soy 108 miles. -
If licemsed fur 1.5 panengers, sily average 10, at :3d. usimpe mile, is per journey

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
£ & s & d \\
1 & 7 & 0 \\
11 & 3 \\
\hline 1.5 & 9
\end{array}
$$

Railway it $\frac{1}{2}$ l. per head, sily 10 passengers, is per journey
 each peram anthally eariced.

Arllorne had :3 Birmingham and is Liverpoll and Mancliester comelics.
Ar Clinplin , " , , ,

Mr Slierman ,, :3 ,o
Mr Gillert .. 1 " " "
". .. 0
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Mr Nelson } & \because \frac{0}{10} & " & " \frac{1}{1.5} & " & " & " \\ & " & \end{array}$

On 1:5 liverpool and Manchester eonches, the difference between $=\mathbf{7} 17 \mathrm{f}$ railway and coach difference between railway and eoach
Difference each way $=33$ i
Difference for journcy $=729$

For a comparison of the mileage duties paid by stage and railway coaches, see
 right. Jnt the editorial comment on them contains statements that emmot be necepted.

[^59]
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of duty, they eould not compete with the railwys in the same direct line ${ }^{1}$, on accombt of the many other advantages which the railway had.

Another thing which temded to defeat the eonehes in their eompetition with the railways was that the latter were frequently permitted by the Treasmy to compomed for their taxes at a sery low and perfectly illusory rate: a privilege that was miformly refused to the proprictors of stare coaches ${ }^{2}$. In the three years 183.5 - 7 the railways which were eomponnding for their mileage rate paid, in all, \&1519. 10s., whereas the anoment of mikeage duty which wonld hase been paid if no composition had been entered into would have been fisizi. 1 tr. 3 3., that is, they paid about one-fourth of the stathtory duty. With such favomritism or protection to the younger and progressive means of commmication it was inevitable that the railways shomb soon dominate in the carriage of passengers.

Enongh has been said to show the effects of the introduction of raihases, in particular cases, upon the prewiously existing means lor He conseyance of passougers, and upon the roads. But it requires to be emphasized that tle particular cases must not be taken as exemplifying or attempting to prove that the foreroing results were miversally fonnd to follow the construetion of ralways. On the other hand, we have the statements of some that the revemes of eertain trnsts which
${ }^{2}$ Brit. Dor. 1837 (45ib), xx, 291, evidenee of Messrs Horne, Gray and Coltins.
" Hbid., ' R(cp)ort of Committce,' pr. iii.
 18:37 (4.06), xx, 291, ‘Minntes of Evilenee' p. 2:3.

This fiet is more fully exemplificd if we take the individual cases of those railways which paid composition during the three ycars 18:35-7, as follows:

Raitways

Botton and Laciglt
Canterlury amd Whitstable
Hartleyburn and Brampton
Leicester and Swannington
Neweastle imd Carlisle
North Union (Wigan and P'reston)
St Hetens and Runcorn Gap ......
Stamhope and tryne
Stockton and 1):rrington
Stratford and Moreton
Warrington and Newton . . . . . . . . .
Total

Total amome of composition paid

| 4 | $s$ | $d$. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 255 | 0 | 0 |
| 360 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 10 | 0 |
| 600 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | 0 | 0 |
| 1510 | 10 | 0 |

Amomint of miteage dhty that would have been parial, if not compounding

| 4 | 8. | 1. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 877 | 4 | 2 |
| $2: 39$ | 8 | 0 |
| 23 | 5 | 0 |
| 257 | 11 | 6 |
| 1304 | 3 | 7 |
| 1112 | 7 | 10 |
| 04 | 0 | 3 |
| 46 | 13 | 2 |
| 1301 | 5 | 11 |
| 51 | 13 | 8 |
| 424 | 11 | 5 |
| 5727 | 14 | 3 |

were parallded hy railways hat inereased'. Whatever may have been the immediate results mon the revemes of the turmpike trasts, it is abmost certain that the roads dial not suffer any permanent set-back, mon did the momber of conches decerase; oll the eontrary, the mamber of lieenses for conches increased becontse of the neeressities that aceompanied the great atimathe given to travel?.

[^60]
## CHAPTER IX

## 

In a fosmer chapter we have deseribed the mantere in which the carredige tracke was effected on the camals: for before 18tis the canal compamies Inemsedues wore not anthorized to carreg, mat this work was done by private catriors and regulary chartered companies, who phaced their own barese on the canah and firmistred the traction power, paying only the tolls demanded by each camal company. We have abo ontlined the changes that were mate in the orgamization of the carrying trake on the railways: but as it was in comexion with this that the first great railway htrugle was precipitated we renture even at the peosible risk of repetilion. to consider the theece chide systems of railway operation with reforence to the way in which goocls were tramberted.

FIte seltem adopted be the Lomben and Birminghan Railway Company allowed the carriors who chese to avail themstres of the terme offered be the raitway company the opportmity of sending grode to any amomet, the wagroms and the locomotive power beimg provided by the raidway compans.1. The emrier eollected and delivered











 that lor the liverpool and Manchester Railway, rotatained at danse to that effet.

 immediate contral of the eombany, the provision was made in one of the later Acts



the goods, took all risks, and paid the tolis and hamage charges, wheh were so regulated as to yied grod prolits to the railway compans and a reasomble retarn to the earriers. This armarement cond hardly be saill to offer sueh competition as to seeure the publie :uganst exorbitant charges, beemse the demand for carrage depended uron the terms and ratce lixed ber the company, and, consequently, the rivalry between the carrites was, in a great measmere, restricted to the eollection and delivery of $1 f$ groods with which they were entrmsted. This eompetition, therefore afforded no gharantee that the service woud be performed at the lowest remmerative charge. The profits of each carrier dependeci on the amoment of his business, and this could only be maintained and inereased be incessant attention to the wishes of his employers. This open sytem pursued by the Lomdon and Birmingham ceased when that line became mepged with others in the dondon and Xorth Western.

An entirdy opposite system was that in fore on the Liserpool and Manchester Ratway. That compmy was required be its det to moderlake the earriage of amy goods that might be bronght to its represenlatives for eomedance alome the line; and thes private carrers were excluded from a share in the goods traflice 'The company was limited as to :he amome of change which it might demand for the earriage: but, in reality it did not charge the maximmon rates specified in the del. On the contrary, the rates were fixed with relerence to the cost of water carriage between these placent.
 in oberation on the Gand Junction Rablway, from Bimmatam to Hanchester and Liverpool. The eomp:ny could mot prevent any private earrier using their tine, but, at the same time. they themsetwes madertook the earriage us goods, and therefore eompeted at evers paint wish the private carriers?. 'They retained for themesteses the ennbevaner of all Birmingham and Lancoshire god coming from or groing to Lomdon. Before admitling any earrier on their tine, the mihnay




 before that line was opened, hat rewered appliention requesting the privilege of
 mitice in tharere, after carchal inguirics, were comsine that the companyes welfare wonla be best serveal by being the principal arriers on its own libe deans. Inhale demorial of tler Ruilatey System, 1. tis.




## 626 Competition of Railways and Comals [chap.

company bound him bey arcement not to charge his patrous less for the carriage of groods than the rate demanded by the company for the
 this kind of compretion. Morcover, we ean readily see that when many carriers were allowed on the one line of milway. using the same track, stations, terminal facilitien and other equipment. much confurion and no little strife wonld ensuc, not only among the eariers themselses but abo between the ratway compamy and the earrices, for the carrex were not akwes earefal in their use of the appurtenances of the road. Then, winen anything went wrong, or any mingy was done, it was almost imposible for the empany to know who had been the eanse of the trouble. Besides, the salety and the eomenicnce of passengers were endangered bey the presence of so many rivals on the line. The jeatomses and emplaints that arose from such a eonfusion of interests on the same line hat shown the railway company, as carly as 1st0, the uecessity of exchating private carriers altogether, and modertaking all the earying trade themsederes.
'The decivion arrived at by the Grand Junction Railway Company was being reached by other raitway eomparies also, as the only solution for the ith of the exiting situation in regard to the goods traffic on railways ${ }^{3}$. In support of the contention that the railway companies shonld be the only carrioss on their lines, it was urged that, as it was necesary for them to perform so much of the carriage as was cepuivalent
 of an Agrement betwen the (irand dumetion Railway Company and Messrs lionins © Co. (earriars) of liverpool.: The Grand .lnmetion Railway Company eharped by the pareds, atud these combl not be boxed together by putting small ones inside of latere omes (ibid., pe as).
 chester, was let to : simpe carricr. The North Inion Railway (from Wigan to

 lessee of the loolton and Jecien it was thenght that has weath and indluence might exelnte all other rompetition from these two lines. 'The Neweastle allul Cisliste
 was the principal carrior on this lime ; althongh there were alan other parties, using

 Committer on lealways.

 et al. exs. The (irand dunction lailway Company. 'lhis cane is lilly disensocd in Ajpermix 14.

 in trying to exclule. tha carricrs from tha: ir line.
to at least ciohty per cent. of the whole cost, namely, the t"ansport of the goods along the railway, the conditions were not such as to cuable the public to benefit by the competition of private earriers, and the companies could perform the remaining twenty per cent. of the work more economically. Then, by the companies taking the earrying trade completely into their own hands, the shippers served by each line woukd be assured the adrantage of miformity of charge. Finally it was said that, in orcier to bring railway conseyance fairly into competition with the old canal monopoly that existed in many cases, it was essential that the railway companies should become carriers, since the great private carrying firms were generally interested in contining tine canal ${ }^{1}$.

On the other hand, it was asserted that it was neither so economical nor so consenient for the public that the railway company shomld step ont of its legitimate sphere, by becoming collectors and distr:'sitors of goods; and that the competition of the private carriers, ' angh confined to twenty per econt, of the total charge, was sure to reduee this portion of it to a minimmm, and hence was wortly of a place in the public cconomy. Morcover. if the railway companies should become earriers, and the private carriers should be driven off the rails, the railways would then be in a position to combine with the camals and foree the public to pay monopoly priecs?

 gives much disemsion as to whether it was best to have private earriers on the rabway lines or to have the rablays act as earriers on their own lines.
 the canalk. by its having pasenger traflie to atad to its ineome, while the canal had none; suthat. in order to meet the eamal monopoly, it was not necessary to drive the private carriers offilae railway.
 also - Mimutes of lividence. i , 290 et serf.

 against atmitting private carricrs on the railway, thomgh aplieable to tive liverpont amd Manchester laitwis. disl not bear mpon the general etmestion as it related to the vast and complienter interests over the whole kinglum. Then, when he had thas dimmised the eomsideration of that pamphlet. he reiterated his own views; alle after showing that the earricon had a legat right to the use of the ralluays on pryment of the tolls, lue went on to exemplais how it was to the adrantage of all parties latat such competition shouh be ene neaged. In midtion to the reasons here sumested in the text, the editor "ays that the privete carrices womblempete also in the matter of attention and eivility $\{0$ the phblie, which was seareely less important than the ceonomy of charges; whereas the railway companies an carries would show hat slight moral responsibility. The earriers hat also well-estalished rolkecting and dintributing facilities it all the immortant towns and cition : vere therefore popared to look after the goods tratie at all platees remite oun the

There ectainly was a drood deal of weight in some of the arguments advaneed on each side. The effeet of railway eompanies becoming carriers was undoubtedly, in many cases, beneficial, and led to a material reduction of the existing eharges ${ }^{1}$ : and, under certain ciremmstances, it might be as comenient for the publie to employ the ratway eompanies as carriers. This wonld be the ease where the railway had a station at the penint of destination of the shipment; for example, if a merehant in London were sending goods to Birmingham it wonld be just as consenient to hawe the railway take them there as to send them by a carrier on the eanal. for as soon as they were muloaded at that railway station the birmingham merehant wonld have no tronble in gretting his grodis. But, in regard to mmelh of the traflie of the country, it wonld eertainly be felt as an inemwenience to be obliged to employ a railway company as the sole carrict. For cample, if goods were to be sent from London to some place near lirmingham, and they were given into the hands of a private earricr in Ionden, they would be taken by him or his agent from the station at Biamingham, when the had reached there, and delisered to the consignce; but, if the railway company were the only carrice, the freight would be left at Birmingham until removed by the consignee. To cite the intance of Coventry: if goods were sent by private earrier from London to coventry, they wonk, if snitable, be taken by him on the railway to Birmingham (there being no station at Coventry), and then earted baek by the carrier for the seventeen miles to Cosentry and there delivered at the eonsignces deror. Eiven thas method of egetting the goods to Corentry did mot cost as moneh as to take them from domdon to Cowentry beanal. But if the shipment were given to the ratway compang a the carrice it wonld be taken to birmingham and left there to await the coming of the eomisnese or his agent who would hip the poods back to Cowentry by road on bemal as secmed most
ratway termini. Ha rexaded it as in the interest of the ratways as well as the
 (p)ention, ser Ijperdis if.






 "perned their lime and thit their carrvint the rates on the dire and Cather
 1. 5 . 27 ct seq.
desimathe 'The carriers who used both rahway and camal omenionecs carried the more valuable articles on the ratway, and the cheaper freight, i.e., the more bulky and heary commoditics, by the canaly, for the latter class gencrally did not require rapid tranport. The private carricrs who did mothing clse than that work, and who had wellestablished facilities for the ecomomieal eollection and ditribution of traffic, asserted that it was not possible for the railway company to perform these serviecs as acecptably and cheaply as themoches; but, of conrse, the railway company combldewtop jut as sood facilitice if it were thonght best to put the performance of these duties in tieir hands. There was another advantage in emploving prit te carricrs, in that thes were responsible for the gooks from the time they left the eonsignor matil they reached the consignce: lut the milway assumed no sum a respminibility ${ }^{2}$.

As we have secon, it was the intention of Parliament that mails os should be on the same footing an eamak and that railway proprictors shombld have similar rights to thone of canal propt etors, that is, receciving tolls, but not carrying at all ${ }^{3}$. When the matter w ancter public disenssion mang of the earriers said that the only thing to 0 was to earry ont the intention of l'arlian at and preserve competition be exchating the railway companies from carroing on their own lines. Others were in fiscour of uppresing the private camere and giving all the work into the hands of the railways ${ }^{2}$. Ont of the mass of

 calried only throngh trafle.




 veniene were sulfered amd at emplant made to the barier. the latter was always
 tarely ami not entidely satislactory to the shipper, it was bett ithen to be trated with indiflerence. the the contrars. it secoms to have been the policy of the ratwas to be more owerlearing. Illey required monthly settements of bills, Fliey did net allow suods to be left in their warehouse withont the pasment , l' storage charees. When complaints were made. the responsible railway allicial was st far removed from the complainant, amd the erompuny was son ure pernsive, that ualess the erm-



 p. 10, (Q. 0.is! ; cte.



conlicting textimony, the Parliamentary (ommittee of 1839 came to the eonchasion that the intention of the I.eginatare in this respect eomld not be carried into effeet in the way eontemplated: for it was obvions that the pasment of leqal tolls was only a very small part of the arramere ments that were neecesary to open railroads to phblie competition, and the rest of the aramements were wholly divad antagerne to the private carriers on the line. 'They decided that, "pone gromed of sately and conome, there sombld be pom exery ratway one systen of manage mont, under one superintendime anthorite, which should have the power
 and maintenamer of the trallie. Becanse of this, it was essemtial that the railway company whald possem a complete control over their lime of rand, even thomph they shombl therebe aepnire an entire monopely of the means of conterance.

Wre have entered thas filly into this subject becanse it is one of the piontal points in the competition of raitways and camals, and becance it is interenting to see how sarly, after the introduction of railwars, it was reengized and setted that the were malike moet other enterprisen in being esomtially monopolistic. Later reports from parliamentary and other pmblice bodien reiterated and comphanized this characterind ic leature,
 public misht derive the atmost bandit frome this nathral monepold ${ }^{2}$; but intollion latter phane, that of railway control. it is not our purpere to enter.

By what means did thin monopoly pewer atotarlly "ealize its mowopely, or. in other word, how did it drive the private carricrs ofl the railway? In many cance the ralway company gave no better tems to the carrice than to the oceavional bhipere and oo the earrying
 Pl. vi-vii, viii.

 over the railway company Jy makime fille dectarations us for the weipht and
 The Jomeden amd North Western had to appeint at deretive to are that their
 that company wis formed, the syotem of toll earrying was abolinhed, and the







trade became umemmerative ${ }^{1}$. For exmmple, the company charged the earrien far the mere tamsport of al certain weight of goons ower the line, iedependently of the collection and distribution of these eroots, the satue rates as were charged "loe pmblic for the carriage and the additional services of coffection and distribulion ${ }^{2}$. This was sumetimes but into offect against all the carriers on the line at onee, and in other (ases the catriers, one at a time, were eompeded to suppend operations on aceonnt of the imposition of these practically prohibitive rates. Sometimes lower rates were quoted to some carriers than to others ${ }^{3}$, and in at least one case the railway eompany abohnty refused the use of its carriages to a certain carrice. It appeared that the company had made arrangements to carry goods for another firm of carricrs only, by which that firm ohained a monopoly of the conserance ef goods along that line of road ${ }^{4}$. I few years hater, when this railway combany allied its interests with others in the formation of the London and North Wentern, the latter eompany adopted the poliey of being themedres the exchsive carrers on their line; but they retained an aramgement with Chaplin and Itorne, who were probably the largest carriers into amd out of London, to collect and distrimte in London the goods groing from and coming to that eily be this ralway ${ }^{5}$. During the tentative stages of


 as on their own, and on the liverpool and Mandanter latatway.



 by the railwaty companice that pet control of these canals.

 Kailw:y and Camal Bills, Mimete of Lixidence' of Mr Pixton.

- Willmore, Wollaston and lloderes, leports of Cases argued amd determined in the Court of Sucelis Bruch. "unt upen Writs of Lirror from that Courl to the


 but the court derided that, mader the det of ineorporation, the eompany eould mot be comprelterl to carry all gronds sent for converance and the appliention was refused.


 evactly what their telation was with the london and Sonth Western Railway. 'They
 invested a comsideralle sum in that railway. Fias, A layal lioad, p. 2s. It would

Hhe develapment of the rarsing taded sembetimes the railway company
 much in exeess of the real value: but even after the parehase was made some railways did not exehmively collect goods for themselves, but gave dixemmts and allowances for the eollection and delivere of enods to and
 and insoicing. matil it was diseowered that frand were being practised Which tended to destroy th: emmpans own carrving trade ${ }^{1}$. Under Hhere conditions the tendency was lar the eompany to climinate the
 from the earien and gise it to the rathaty was the growing eonvetion amomy the eommereial dabse that, beembe the ratway company did not hase to pay toll on its cwn line, and the cost of teromotive power lo it would be bugreater than if firmind do a private carricr, therefore the ratway eompange cond do the camping cheaper than any private comrer: and if cither were to be stapped they would prefer to see the company lefl as carricr². The ralway company had so many advanlages ower ang other carrier follthling this olliere along their line, that gradually it beeame the universal pactiee for them to do all this work, inchading the collection and distribntion of the geoch at their startiagpmint and destination ${ }^{3}$.

It mont not be concladed from what we hase said that milway


 Whitcheath, Raikety, Jonagememt. ․ \%.



 the robe with the dialld Inluction Railway (omplay, whose highent rate for the










${ }^{3}$ In alt probability it in lecemse tize ratway at this carly time took ower all


 as fixpres Companies which we hind in the linted states.
once. liew of the carriers tried the experiment of raming their own trains along the railway, and these few, for reasons already given, were fored togivenp: but for some years a considerable part of the carrying business remainced in the hands of the old firms ${ }^{1}$, who continned to eollect goods from the puhlice and to armang for their safe detivery, employing the rallway companies, which wonk give them aceess, to comver theon along their lises. On certan malways, as we have already shown, this practice prevailed for some years exclusively; on others, from the first, the companies secm to have undertaken the business of general carriers for the pmblic, ans wedl an conveying for the earricrs ${ }^{2}$.

Now that we hase considered the organization of the carrying trade on the canals and on the railways, we are able to apprectate more fully the effeets of the eompetition which oeenred between these two rivals. In all carlicer chapter of this work it was shown that before the introduction of the railwas many canals had put up their rates, matil, with their monapoly, some of them were making enormons profits. This fact is attested by the high market value of some shares, and by the large dividends obtained by the shareholders of eertain canaln'. In some eases, as soon as a railway was threatenced and action taken toward that end, the adjacent camal, which had been deaf to all complaints, fonnd it desirable to rednce its tomage rates and to think
${ }^{1}$ l'ickford, l'arker, Lhohins, Chaplin and Horne. ete. Sce the advertinement of Chaplin and llornce in Ratiay Pimes. vir (1844), p. 14fr, showing that they forwarded goods hy the varions railways. "on that own aceome ar as Agents of the Companies." "lhen they mentioned the dilferent railway lines they nsed and the plates in bingtind to which they shipped.

 xim, 1, 'Report of select Commituce on latailw, Rates and Fures, Minntes of l'vidence, ן. \%\%s.
${ }^{3}$ These large profits are reflected in the prices of some of the canal companies' shares: for example, tho stalfordshire and Worestershire Comal shares (of the thar value of $£ 100$ ) sold in 1810 for $\{735-50$, and in 1829 for $\& 830$; the (irand
 L315; the Tront and Mersey shares sold in June 1825 for $\mathfrak{q} 2{ }^{2} 50$. in dume 18 es for £:2sso, and in . Jme 1829 for $\varepsilon: 31 \mathrm{fog}$. Siee the (fun) ations of the share market in the Genlleman's .hagazine for thenr varions dates.

The rates of dividend paid are also a good indieation of the profts reaped by some eanals. In addition to blose a a hare formerly noted, we may mention that in 18:33:

The anmual dividend of the Conentry Camal was ................ 32 .

- $\quad$ Oxford Camal was ................ $34 \%$
" $" \quad$ stafford and Worester Canal was .. $34 \%$
" ", Irent and Mersey Canal was ..... 3 \%
" $\quad$ Erewash Canal was ................ ar ir
,,$\quad$ Lougibborough Canal was.......... 13: \%
(v. Martin, Railictlys-I'ast, Present, and I'rospective, 1. 2\%.)
of the necessity of making improvements in its waterway in order to maintain the traflic${ }^{1}$. But when a railway was actually constructed the first effece was to canse a reduction in the freight rates that had been in existence on the more or less parallel eanats; and this cont in rates was ahmost inmediate, for when the railway put a low rate into foree the camath had to meet it or lase the traflic. With this dimimution of freight rate, and the aceompanying decrease of tratlic dhe to a portion of the trallic being turncel to the rails, it was inevitable that the railway shonkl canse a deeline in the revemes of the canabs. The amomit of

1 Blawirt, New . Ionmoulloshire Roilicay. Pp. 11-1.5.
${ }^{2}$ Teisserene, Joirs de commmication, ph. 581-t, shows that, on aceount of the railway rompetition, the reveme of the Wilts und leeks Cinal was redmed from
 Canal dhring the same period declincel from $1,150,060 \mathrm{fr}$. to 800.000 fr . 'lhe oproing of the lomden umd isimingham latalway in 1s:ts-8 cansed a rednction of
 in 18th, and a corresponding rednction in the cose of the Coventry Cumal. 'l'he eflect of ratway competition between Mandester and leeds is seen by the fact that the gross revemue of the liochdale Canal from batky commodities derdined
 4:3, 000 fr. in 18tt. These statisties are corroborated be those given in the Appendix
 The Pimes, July 20, 181t, p. 18.
 athe after the operning of the rallway (18t0) were as follows:

|  | Before |  |  | After |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For eorn, llomr, ctic.. | \&1 | 45 | (1). | (6) | 13s. | OH. |
| For cotton twist | 1 | $1 \because$ | ${ }_{6}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| fror manufactured gooms | $\because$ | : | 11 | 1 | + | 0 |

13rit. 1)oce. 1st.5 (iit), waxi, 293, p. 13. Beeanse of railway eompetition, the Coblder and llehble Navigation, which was part ol the through watereroute between Manchentro and llull, redued their dividend from $18{ }^{\circ}$, in 1848 to $8 \%$ in 18.89. Here-


The eflee of the opening of the Cireat Western lanamy was also to redhee the charges of earriage on the Thames, as follows:

|  | Date | Cont by water | Cost ly railway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.ondon-Windsor. | f18.29 | 9s. prerton | - |
|  | 11816 | - | St-6x. prerton |
| London-licating | $\{18.9$ | 1.s.perton |  |
|  | (1816 |  | 7-8s. perton |
| L.ondon-Osford | f 1839 | \&1. 2.x.pertom |  |
|  | (18.40 |  | 10-123s. per ton |

As soon as the liverpool and Manelienter lailway was operod. the former
 und umber eompetition their rates had wo be cot down. The rate on bight goods

 of Ruikoys amal Railacau Legislation. IJ, :3is-ist.
the redtuction of the eharge depended, of eomree, partly upon the conditions wheh prevaited before the ratway came inf for if the callals had theen charging maduly high rater the decrease was the greater, hut if they had been eontented with mople but mot exorbitant prolits the ent made in their rates wan not so exemsive From these ciremmstances it will be seen that it is whally impurible to make amy expleit eremerai statement that will be al cluse appoximation to the touth; but from material collected chewhere in this sohmere we may say that, puttire it at the minimm, the reduction in the rates was from one-thited to one-falf of the rates previously in effeet on the navigations'. On those waterways which were adjacent to the railways the edthetion wonkt, of conrse, be greater that on those more remme. On the hasis of the dimimation of the freight rates alone, howeser, wi wonld mot aret an aderfate eonerption of the isthene of the ratways, we must take into acemat also the entire change in the method of condecting thansess
 in athanee becance more bare; retaiters kept smaller stocks of eroods; less eapital wats, therefore, tied up $\quad$ In mprodnetise forms; and, taking all things into comsderation, there was probable a sasing of at keast


The decreased revemes of the camals were refleeted in the lower market vahes of some of the eanal shares which had previonst bromeht high prices: and the prices which ruled on the Exehange will be a correctio if neeesary, of the abowementioned eonchasion. bor example, before the opening of the Lobdon and Bimminghan Raikay, the shares of the Grand Jnnetion Canal were selling in 1833 for feso and two or three years later for £30:3 to es330; that after the railway was in operation the shares of this canal fell to \&155 in $185 t$, to $£ 100$ in 1846, and to $\ell_{60}$ to $£ 60$ in $1553^{2}$. These were on the par value of $\$ 100$. By 18tt the shares of the Warwiek and Bimminghan Canal had fallen from ess30 to feso, the shares of the Worcester and Birmingham from

[^61]
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 of the Kemet and Aron Canal from ens to en per hare ${ }^{1}$. We seen to be standing on firm grombd. therefore, in atying that the revemes of camals which were paralld with railwasc were reduced from one-third to onc-half. In some instancen we see still greater changes: for instance, Cowentry Camal shares, which at one time were as high as $\mathfrak{E l O}$ oo, f dll as fow an $\mathrm{L} 315^{2}$; and the shares of the Loughtorongh Canal, which before



Čhally, howewer, when competition between a railway and a chain of camak had gone on! for a little times so that the prolits of each had bees. considerably deceaned by the reduction in the chargen for convevance, the competing concems made a working agrement, which put an cond the the competitive efforts of the eanals. In all cases, the railway company was the ageressive rival of the canals. Sometimes there agreembents were made necertly: at other times they were initiated in secere but alterward ratified be Padiament: and there were other instances where the were entered into at first ! 5 eoment of Parliament. The nature of these armanemont varich in differnt eases; some were ratly pooling agrements, of hers were simply a tacit modertanding in recrard to rates, while many forme of kasing the camal tolls to the railway were aho fomod ${ }^{4}$. These working agreements were first formed in
${ }^{1}$ The Times, duly 20, 1sit. J. 6. It womlapmear that the Roclatale Camat
 17, "Mimeter of Exjetence" p. 488.
${ }^{2}$ The Times, July 20. 1st4. 1. 6.


 Mimutes of lividence, $p$, $\operatorname{Fi}$.

4 As examples of these carly working aderements, we give the following:
The Hancheater and Leeds hailway had for a hong time been competing with the Calder and lleble Nawation, both elarging very low rates; then they tuate an agrecmont that the rate should be raised to a certain point in convideration of which the rablwy company was to ghamate that the camal companys trathe shomhtamome to a certain smm, and amy exers beyoul that sman wa to be shared betwern them. the rablay company hasing the right of putting inspectors on the

 on Railways. Minters of Lividence: 10.110.

Ifter the joining of Mancluester and laets ber ralway, the canal route atong this conrse came into conflid with a powerful rival. The railway company, however, had a difliculty to meret, in that they dith not know what the canal chared. 'They said they charsed certain rateo, but they med to let 50 toms go as 20 tons. By the conapetition, the rewerne of the eamal was reduced from 580,000 to about $5: 8,000$ a yar; and this inducal the camal company to come to terms. In order to put a
the last years of the decade 1830-40, but they beame mueh more numerous in the fifth decade, dnring and after the railway mania, Sometimes the entire length of a canal. or some important link in it, was leased to, or purchased hy, or otherwise amalganated with the railway which was its strony competitor. In some cases arrangements were made for the conversion of canals into railways: and the intiative for this sometimes came from the side of the ratway and sometimes from the canal company. In cortain instances the eamal companies, in their opposition to railways, and with the eonemrenee of their engineers, promoted Bills to conwert their eanals into railways, or to construct lines of railway parallel to or in connexion with their waterways. But as it was to the adsantage of the railways to bring all conseyance under their control, they considered it necessary to prevent canal eompanies from obtaining powers to make railways. On the other hand, the eanal companies probably exaugerated the power of the railways to destroy their protits, and opposed the railways in order to get the latter to come to some fatourahle terms for the protection of tie canal shareholders. It times a company organized to construce a railway fomd a canal which followed the direction of the line they had projeted, and negotiated for the aequivition of it, in order to be able to utilize its chamel, lands and other equipment to save money and ceonomize time ${ }^{1}$. In most eases, however, it was the eamal stop to such aross frands and misrepresentation in regard to weights and rates, the Danchester and Leceds Railway Company, the Roddale Canal Company, and the Calder and llebble Navigation Company agreed that they should he fully informed of each other's rates, that these shonk not be ehanged withont conferenee amone themselves, and that the collection of dacs shombl be more strietly attended to. Brit. Woe. 1814 (318), ג1, 17, Minntes of Evidenee, 1 . 488.

In 184 , the Loudon and North Western Railway Company made an agreement with the Birmingham Canal Company, consegucnt apon the following eonditions: The lisminghan Canal Company were not only the owners of an important canal, lut also of a good deal of atjacent land: and they were proposing to make a railway of their own very mach in the eonrse of the Stomr Valley branch of the London and North Western latway. That ked to negotiations between the two concerns, and afterwards it was felt that if a ralway were to be made and if the canal company were not to make it, but an independent compane were to make it, the canal company ought to be gharanteed from loss. This gnarantec was dated 1816, and assured fonr per cent, to the canal conpany if the canal did not earn that much. Brit. Doe.


The North staffordshire Railway, in applying for their Act, proposed to amalgamate with the Trent and Mersey Navigation. The railway company was to grarantee a certain pereentage on the eapital of the camal, on condition of their giving np the management of the canal to the railway company. Brit. Doe. 1846 (275), xun, 93, 'Mimetes of Evidence, $\mu, 5 \%$
${ }^{1}$ On the whole subject of the conversion of eanals into railways, see Tcisserene, loies de communicution, pp, 29-30, 4\%-86. He gives cxamples of eanal companies J T. If.
companies, apparently, which were eager to have the railways take them over, either by purchase or by some form of working agreement.

By 1815 some of the possible evils of allowing railways to aequire too muth controt over canal had become evident: the milways had grown to be the predeminant party in the contest, completcly asershadowing most of the canals; and it was thomght advisable that Parliancon should give some eneouragement to camah, as the weaker party in the crampetition. An Aet was prased ${ }^{1}$, therefore, in that year, giving to camaly a similar power to that possersed by ratway companies, of varying their tolls or of leasing their tolls to each other". lyy having this privilege canal eompanies might be conabled to work together and quote throngh rates on the tong lines of eanals - rates that would be less than the agregreate of the rate charged bey each camal individually: or, ome eanal might take over the nanagement of several adjoining canals, and, berehting the rater of toll, make eompetition with the railways possible. This .let wan passed for the parpose of obtaining "greater competition for the public adrantage ${ }^{3}$." In the Aet passed in the same sestion to emable canal eompanies to heeome carricrs of goods upon their canats, and to make working arrangements with, and to lease their camats to, other canal eompanies, we see the same objeet kept in view. namety. to platee the canals more nearty on an equality with the railways, so as to permit even-ianded competition ${ }^{4}$.

Which were thinking of transorming their works into railwas, and of railway companies that were planning to take over and htilize the copupment of canals. He shows that when the canal companies turned to the best enginecrs for pridance the adviee given was unally favourable to the alteration of the canals into railways.

 Hater," and note by colitor; ibic!., Nov. t. 1830, 1. : ; Raikay Chronich, Auq, 30, 18.5.5, 1. 115 , editorial; ilid., Aur. 2, 181.5. ply. :1:3-2, on 'Railway and Camal Amalgamation;' The E'comomist, 184.5, pp. 985, 604, 1015. and 1081. Sometimes canal proprictors were induced to convert thein canals into railway becanac of lack of water to operate the camals. Sutelifle, Trealise on C'mals, p. Tis. For other examples of railway companies becoming owners ol canals, and for two instances of canals that were controlled, but not abonhtely owned ly railways, see lieport of Royal (bommission on C'anals and Haterathys, vin (1909), 11!. 9-11.
${ }^{1}$ Act 8 \& 9 Vict., e. 14.
${ }^{2}$ By the Railway Clanes Consalidation Aet of 184. . railways were allowed to vary their rates, wa as to work together with other railways in harmonions agrecment, espec ially as to through rates.
 and ('mal Ibills, Minntes of Exidence: b. 69. Act $s$. 9 Vict.. c. 14.
${ }^{4}$ Aet \& \& 9 Vict., e. 12. In 1840 a Bill hat been introluced into the Imonse for
 arrangements with other camal companies. Brit. Doc. 18.40 ( 40.5 ), 1, ㄹ.36.

Canal companies were not commonly earriers before this time, althongh a few

How was this new legislation received by the railways? It was not long before the railway companies saw that the aim of the Canal Carriers' Act was to kecp them from secming monopoly, by allowing the canals to collaborate and thans obtain harmonions action in the contest against their rival. But ache minels soon recognized aho that this Act gave power to railway eompanies that had become owners of camals to ohtain a control ower other camals, withont coming muder the notice of lanliament ; and muder smeh a plan no opportmity wonld be afforded to Parliament of taking the conrse mstally taken when sametioning arrangements between railway ompanies, of investigating the terms of the proposed ammarement before contirming it, or of subjecting it to the approcal of the Board of Trade. If a railway company could obtain a controlling interest in a canal it wonld then be entitled to rank as a canal or navigation company, and clam the privilecren of traffic arrangements that were allowed bey this Act ${ }^{1}$. Accordingly, railways set to work to secure this standing, and thus make the statute that was intended for the benefit of their rivals, contribute to their own advancement. Having become in effect canal companics, through acquiring eontrol over navigations, the railway conpanies were then had been earriers for some time. The Bridgewater Trustees had been earriers on their eanal, hut, of eourse, it had been construeted and operated under the eontrol of a private indivicual. The Trent and Morsey Camal Combany had aloo been earryme for the publie on their line. Other eamal companies had been engaten in this carrying trade, but not under their own names. Beven where the work was done by the canal company there were always other carriers who were doing the same work. upon payment of the tolls; and on the Bridgewater Camal a small part of the trallie was earried by the Trustees, while the laryer pat was taken by other carriers. Brit. Doc. 184 ( $: 188$ ). xı. 17. Fifth Report on Railways. Minutes of Evidence, 1, 169 et seff-: Brit. Doe. 1840 ( 43 ), xim, 181, © Fourth Report on Railways, Minutes of Evidenef,' Q. 日foc. But it was a very rave thing that the camal eompanies did the aetual work of earrying, either lof fore or after the passaye of the Aet of 185.5 . As late as the year 1883, several witnesses advoeated the earrying
 and it was said that at that time the system of earreing goods on the inland waterways wat almost exelusively in the hamls of the trallic senders, who pat their own boat, on the callals and paid the toll to the canal company ( r , for exan.ple, Brit. Doc. 15si3 (2.23), xin. 1, evidenee of Mr Lloyd, p. 23; also Brit. Dor. 1867 [38.44].

${ }^{1}$ Brit. Doe. 185:-8 ( +11 ). x1w, 1 , 'lieport of seleet Committee on Railway and Camal Legislation.‘ p, 40. The Act anthorized the owners of emals and navigations to carry at common carriers on their own eanals and mavigations; to enter into arrangements with eaeh other in the way that railway eompanies were authorized to do, so as to avoid the delays ineident to a diversity of interest.s; to enter into agrecments for the division and apportioment of tolls and charges; and to let the tolls and duties to be levied on any canal or mavigation, or any railuays or tramways belonging to them, to any other eanal or navigation eompanies for a period not excceding 21 years.
ready to enter into negotiations with other eanal compranics which were powerfur rivals, and to make shen agreenents with them as would prevent their competing with the railways. so that the latter would have the whole fietd to themsetves ${ }^{1}$. In this way, the aemen of the raihway managers or directors proved more than a match for the kegislators, and the more powerful trampertation rival was able to still further obtain the predominance. So great was the influcnce that might be acquired by railway companics which were in a position to make use of the powers conferred by the Aet of 1815 . that the Baard of Trade suggestect whether it might not be preper to place some restriction on the exerciec hy these companics of the power of cint ring into traflic arrangements with canal companics?

The impetus given to the amalgamation of railways and canals before the begiming of the railway mania continned in the following years, and in 1816 there were over 200 Bith presented to Parliament
${ }^{2}$ ds an example of this stratery, we give some facts in the history of the leeds and liverpool Canal. Ibefore the eommencement of ratway competition, the tolls

 A- competitors for the traffic ol the distriet trasersed hy this comal. there were three limes of railway, the London and North Western, the Midlamb, and the Lancashire and Yorkshire. 'Ihese railwhs, having under anthority of larlannent secured the property of ecrtain navizations, and desining to pht down all competition fur 1 raflic in this distriet, cherated the Leeds and Liverpol Canal, in 18.51. in consideration of an ammity of $\& 41$, sion, to give $\quad$ p all eompetition and to practically elose up their mavigation ly raining their tolls to a prohibitory figure, olstaining thereby for the mited railwals a emplete monopoly of the trafie of that distriet. 'I fie arrangement was made to absme the appearance of a lease of the canal tolls, under the puwers of the Aet of 184. The lease, however, was a tietion: the e4t.860 yearly was pain, not as a rent, hut in eomsideration of a rise in the eanal tolls, whieh shat II) the bavigation and compelled the traltic to go by rail. While the eanal was chargine the aforementioned reduced rates. these three railways. together with the liat Lancablire Railway offered the Lecels and Liverponl Canal this ammity, the comnter condition being an increase of all the eanal tolls to $1 \frac{1}{2} h$. per ton per mite, Which was an alvance of $1000^{\circ}$ to $0000^{\circ}$ out the existing tolls. The canal aceepted the ammity offererl, Dut reflased to allow the liast Lancabhire labilway to appear as a party to the transaction, since the latter did not have any eanal wherely to legalize the arembint. 'the arrangement was therefore eompleted maker the pretence of a lease of the Leds and Liverpol Canal tolls. by the Lombon and Noth Western Railway, as proprietors of the Hudderslieh: ('abal, the Lancashire amd Sorkhire
 proprictors of the Abhyede-la-Zonch C:mal. 'The proportions in which the $\mathfrak{E} 41.860$ was divided among the four railway empranies were not puthicly known. Ibrit.
 and C'anall bills, Dinutes of Fivilence' of Thomas Grahame. p. 66. For other instances, see Brit, Doc. 18.5\%-8 (117), xxxi, 33.5, - Meport of Board ol' Tratle on the Railway and Camal IBills of that session,' p. 40.
${ }^{2}$ 13rit. Doe. $1857-8$ (117), גxxt, 3335, 1. 40.
containimy provisions for miting eanals with railways ${ }^{1}$. The committec that was appointed to bok into this shajeet recounized the arowing tendeney to mion and extemsion, with its adrantages of hamonions manasement and its acempanyiner exils of momopaly: and they recommended the appaintment of a department of the Govermment th provide more effective supervision of railways and eatake ${ }^{2}$. Still the amalgamations went on, with some effects that were detrimental to the publie: and the committee of 18.53 . that was appointed to report on the railway and canal bilk of that year, urged that working agrements betwen different companies. for the regulation of traflie and division of profits. 与hould be sametioned mader proper eomditions and for limited periock, lat that amalgamation of companies homld not be sanctioned execpt in spectal cases. Where its objeet was to semere miblic bencfit throngh coommy of manarement ${ }^{3}$. They abo recommonded that the geod results of suel merging of interests shomble be retained. and the crib arising from them shouk be done aw:y hy compelling every milway company to afford to the publie, in recravel to both goods and passengers, the full advantage of convenient interchange from one system to another ${ }^{4}$. Since eompetitors were able. in a great
 gamations: moder heading No. 8 .
 and Canals Mmadgamations."

The recommendiations of this, the lirst commattee on railway and canals amalgamations, are important and we give them as follows:
(1) 'The impusition ol' a low seale of tolls and charges upon all parties to the amalyanation. In the cane of canals. the seales of tolls were of math greater importance than in that of ralways. for, in mont intances, the phblie were the earriers upon the canals.
(2) Striet rembatoms slould be made for kecping the eanals in effeetna! repair and with a proper supply ol water.
(i) 'The publie must have the right of carruing fassengers and goods on the cantals.
(1) The privilage of making by-laws should be subjected to careful revision. By this means, many of the canal companies exercised muth power and could prevent fair eumpetition.
(a) Where a canal wats converted into a railway. Care should be taken that no distriet would be deprived ol ehleient means of commmenation.
 lablway amd (anal bills; ple, $20-21$. If working agreements were entered into and fouml to be ingurious, they coull casily be dissolved at amy time; whereas if amalgamations were allowed they would be permanent and could not be subseguentlybrohen. Brit. Doce $1 \mathrm{sis5}$ (i3), Nax, 219, p. 23.
 Railway and Canal Bills, pp. 20-2 1 . Running powers were generally discourayed on the score of danger, and were to be coneded only in eases where free transit
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measmre. to scoure the bernefts of comhination by agrecenents witla each aller, withont anthority of larlianome, and there were many such private andements ${ }^{1}$, it heeanme necessary for l'arlanome to adopt some means of protecting the puble by compelli...g proper atrangements for trablie betwern the companies. For this reasom, the lecerinathe acterd in acerotance with the reeommendation of the abone-mentioned eommittere, and in the lollowing !ear pawed the" Railuay and Canal 'rantice Act, 18.5." Thas Aet emmeiated two principles: that crery company stronld afford, both for passengers and wools, proper ficilities for forwarding trallice, and that no preferences shonld be exiven ${ }^{2}$. It was the lirst really important step in the direction of solving the dilfenties that hat arisen in comenesin with the eomenct of the tratlio of ratwass whone interests were at variane with one another or with the interests of the public ${ }^{3}$. 'The Act also provided a smmany remely agains
from one syatem to another conld mot be alfequately ensured by other means (ibid.
 powers. that is, conceding to ome company power to pass over the lines of another compans withont the concent of the latter, on the gromel of its being questionable from considerations of public safety (ihil., p. 24; abo 'loorth lieport of iclect Committec of $185: 3$, p . t$)$.
 Railway and Conal Bills. P . i . Ilere it is stated that such combinations of interests muler private agreements were a matter of constant ocemrence.
${ }^{2}$. Iet 17 \& 18 Vict.. c. :3. Unter this Act, "every railway company, camal company, and railway and canal company, shall affort all refownable facilities for the seceiving and forwarding atal delivering of tratlice upon and from the several rail ways int canals helonging to or worked lyy such eompanies respectively, and for the rethrn of carriages. trucks, boats, and other vehieles, and no such company shall make or give any molne or mareasonable preference or atvantage to or in favour of any partienlar person or company, or any partientar description of tratlice to any mulne or marcasonable prejutice or disadvantage in any respeet whatsoever." The rest of the det give provisioms for its conforcement. 13rit. 1)oc. 18.54 (st), w, 19;
 Board of 'lrade for 18.54 , If. x. xi give the provinions of this Set.

The necessity for this Act mas be firther illustrated by the following instance : In 1853 there was a eonplaint sent to Parlament by the coal-owners in Janeashire. that the raitway company did not provide locomotive power to meet their needs, and that their coal had been forwarded at the companys ennvenience, rather than their own. The eompany took higher elass tratife, which paid higher rates, and left the coal, which paid tower rates. Then, too, the railway left the coal-owners" rolling stock and enal on sidines along the line. whiel required the maiatenance of a larever anount of rolling stock. The complaint also alleged that there was muet delay in sending hath the empty wagens from london. Brit. 1)oc. 18.52-: (736), xxxum, 14\%, 'Fifth Report of select Committe on Railway ant Canal Bials, Minutes of Evidonee: P. 4.
${ }^{3}$ 'The Railway Department of the Board of Trate, in 1865, olserved that the necessity there might lormarly have becu for allowing running fowers were, to some rxtent. obviated by the passage of the Luaibay and Canal Trofice Ant, Is 5 , and
railway companico for any volation of its chactments, by an apphieation to the Court of Common Pleas'; but despite this it remained for mans seare practically a dead letter.

As soon as the 'Trafle Aet of 1850 had been passed, large mombers of Bills were laid before the Home by railway companies, abking that anthority be given to cuter into varions deseriptions of agreements for working in comexion with other companies, or for forwarding or interchanging traflic with other companice. Ont of a total number of 138 Biths introcheed in 18:3t, seventy-five were for making working arrangements and this movement for working agreentents inereased in import ance during subnequent years ${ }^{2}$. Most of those that were anthorized were for ten years, but the power of renewal at the expiration of that period was generally granted, subjeet, of eomse, to the approval of the Lords ${ }^{3}$. The reabon why there were so many of these agreements consummated abont thin time was beemse the trunk lines had been haid out, and the many short lines that were being constructed had to be merged with them in order to aequire any stability of operation ${ }^{4}$. 'To have attempted to remain apart from one of the main lines would have been to invite ruinous competition from the other roads in the same district: and, on the other hand. it was for the pmblic good that new lines, which were extensions of, or feeders to, existing lines, shonld form part of one or other of the great sytems and thas facilitate intercommmancation.

The amome of amatramation that was effected between railways and canals we are mable to trace with mimuteness through suceensive tages in the growth of the transportation sybtem. Some had been aceomplished before the raihwa mania of 18t1-6; much more was that they were necessary only where a company required to pass for a short distance aver the line of another company to reach a station at which to deposit and receive trallic, or when such short picee of line was a link neecesary "or the eompletion of a spectial railway system. Brit. Doc. 186: (3), xlix. 219. p. 24.
${ }^{1}$ see also Brit. Doe. 1867 [3814], xxxum, 1, Report of Royal Commiscim, p. xxi.
${ }^{2}$ Ont of 71 Bills introdued in the Session of 1858 , there were $\$ 6$ seeking sanction for working and traffie agrecments. Brit, Doe, 18.77-8 (117). אx:si, 33.5. 'Gencrat Report of the Beard of 'lrade upen the Raikway and Camal bills of the Session of 1858." 1\% 11.
${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doe. 18.54 (13:3). ..xut 4.41. 'Report of the Board of Trate on Railway Bifls of i8.54,'p. 1t: also 18.5t-5 [1465], xumb, 1, 'Report of the Railway Department of the Board of 'Trate for 18.5.' p. viii.
${ }^{4}$ For the full text of the English and Senteh Tralfic Agrement, among seven great railway companies, for apportioning the reeept from the Seoteh trattie, see Brit, Doe, 18.56 [2114], r.rv, 1. 'Report of the Railway Department of the Board of Trade for 18.5., Appendix No. 4 . Some otlier trallic agreements are given in ibid., Amenale No. \%.

 "comsiderable proportion of Her fanals had paseed into the hands of the railway companics ${ }^{2}$; and by about astis that propertion hat been
 navigations of (ereat laritain had gote ower la the railways. From the repurt of 1 sia we leam that there was a still ereater extent of mavigable "aterways imder railway eontrol, amomiting to ahome thrececighths of the Wholes : and in 1ssis. in Fingland end Wites alone, one-hall of the total mikeger of mivigations hatd become allied with the railways and Was molonger independent ${ }^{5}$.


 He year 18.30: p . sis et seq.


 Q. $1902-1):$

Wixtent of navigations in Fingland and seotant, in 1 sfis:

| 109 camals, total leneth | :5.0.0 miles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 10 itmprovel rivers. total length | 1:33: |  |
|  | 3801 | " |

Of these sent miles of naviqulion.

> Enavigations hat be been converted into railways fis miles

$\because$ bavizations wre wholly or partly leaced to railways and virthally :umatamated with them Total 1:271
Therefore ahont methirel of all the mileage of mavigations late gone into railway lathels.

The partionlars in remard on thin 10 ef miles of amaleamated canals and the



The extent to which the ramala had passed unter railway eontrol, hy 1872. is shawn on the map sivan in dppendix li of the 'licport of the sele cot Committer (of






 that time. there was about thereeroinhths at the total hength of canals ander railway. control.

This subjecting of the inlind waterways of the railways thad its counterpart

We have nlrendy observed that the first effeed of the introduedion of a ralway, an a competitor to a camal, wablo emme the rates on the batter to be lowered; and bey thus reducing the business atal pratits of the eanal the railway eompany hoped to britug the canal proprietore of terms ${ }^{1}$. But it sometimes oceurred that a canal was able to matintain empertition with the railway: and where this was the eabe the railway was eompelled to charge lower rates at competition points, while it reconped itaff by imposing higher rates at mon-eompetitive point ${ }^{2}$. On the passenger tratlic the railwase generally chared their maximmon mates, becanse in that they had no competition: hate ont the groods trallice they chaterel mueld less thatn their maximm rates. In aldition. the higher elass grocels, for converving which the ruilways offered specially good facilities as compared with eanals, were charged rates very much
in the railways ohtaining a strong fowthold in the external trathe By 1 s. 17 the Lomden aud South Western Railwh (ombany hat ratle a deed if sethement with


 xxst, 369 , 'Reports of the Commissioners of Railways on the f (onden and sonth Weatern Raikny: By 18.58 , the somth lointern Railway Compary had obtained power to hild, hire and work wewle for the purpo.e of alfording commmiontion between the ports of Folkestome. Dover, Hastings, Limmsate, Margate, Ifye, Whitstable, or Gravesend, and any port in France or Belgimm, Brit. Doc. 18.57-8 (117). Nxxt, 3an. 'General Report of Board of 'rade mon the Railway nod canal Bills of that Sessiom: 10. 37.
' See also Skey, lieport to the Commiller of the Birminghem and Liverpuol I Iunction Camat, out the l'resent Sitate of the Competition beteecn the Canal Corriers besing that Lime out the Cirout Jmetion Raitary Complay! p. A. He shows how the ratways lowered freight ates to a point which was disastrons to the canals. while at the same time keeping if their pacseneer rates. so that mondividual eanal rarrier embld lone complete against a rixal armed with smelı powers. Refer ako to Boyle, Ifope for the Camols, pp. in-\%. and l'almer. British Comate, 1p. 1!t-00.

2 In 1s.j: the rate on second dass goods hetween liverpool and Birmingham was 1.58 .0 bit the rate between Manehester and Bimingham was 17s. fit. The disfance was about the same in both cases; but hetween Liverpool and Birmingham there was the eompetition between the eanal and the railwas [the Grand dunetion Railway and the recently opened shewshmy line of railway, while between Manchater and Birmingham there was no such competition.

The following table of eharges on the Midland Railway between Birmingham and intermediate places to Gomester, and betwren Birmingham and several other points, will illutrate still more fully the difference in the railway rates where eanal competitions existed and where it did not.

Note that the charge between Birmingham and Gloneester, is miles, was is, fiol. per ton. whereas the charge between Birmingham and Cheltonham, ti miles. was 10s. It cilouester, the competition of the waterways hept fown the rate, but at Cheltenham there was no such emmpetition. Similaty in other cases. Note also that the rates on first and sceond elass arlicles were the same to Bromserove and to

 fair (rouperition with them ${ }^{1}$.
 fomel it alvisable to mepge their interests with the railways. this step
 valnable groels ${ }^{2}$, to a point higher than the competitive rates, and Ircopmolly higher than thome which existed before competition beceme
 (:mal Hills: p, 3:

Widland Railwny rates letwern Birminghan and the following phaces:

|  | No. of miles | 1 sit clatss |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :and } \\ & \text { chass } \end{aligned}$ |  | :ral <br> chass |  | -th <br> clisss |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sth } \\ & \text { elass } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smalls } \\ & \text { s. I. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gloncester, in compertion with Birmingham and Worecoter conal and severa Navigation | 53 | 8. 7 | 1. $i 8$ | 8. 8 | 1. 4 | $s$. 12 | $(1)$ | 20 | 1. 1 1 | 10 | 0 | s. | a |
| Cheltenham, no compretition | 416 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { 1) roitwielt } \\ \text { antl } \\ \text { Bronsgrove } \end{array}\right\} \text { noeompetition }$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 211 \\ 1.5 \end{array}\right\}$ | 7 | i | 8 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | 10 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  | ! |
| Werecstr: | 264 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 1 | -1 | 0 | (3) | 0 |  | ! |
| Hull, in eompetition with canals and Trent NaviLation. | $1: 14$ | 20 | 0 | 9 | 10 | -5 | 0 | 30 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Sheflicld, no emmpetition | 86 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 40 | 0 | (i) | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| York, hor eompetition . . . . | $12!$ | 96 | 8 | 310 | 1 | 33 | $+$ | 40 | 0 | (6) | 0 | 1 | G |
| Noweatle, in zompelition with navitations (a) llutl. and coasters to Newnotle | 216 | 2.5 | 0 | 30 |  | 3 s | 0 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

This same thing was observed by the Select Committe of 1872 , from the testimony


 and 'lividence' of Sle Wilson, 1, 2as3 et set.
${ }^{1}$ In 18.33 , from Birmingham to liverpool and Ianchester, the rate on the lowest dass of goorls was 1ls. a ton, lut outhe highest elass it was 30's. a ton. Brit. Due. 1850-3 (216), xxxvili, 175. 18, :32.

EThis was done when the Manehester and Ieeeds Railway made an agrement
 (318), xi, 17. Fift! licport on Raikays. Mimbes of bividenee, p. 488. When the Birmingham Canal fank under the eont rol of the London and North Western Railway Company its tolls were raised: and the rate on irongoing along that eanal was 1dd. per ton per mile, whike the rate on the "Trent and Marsey, Bridgewater and Staffordahire and Woresestershire Canals was only bd per ton per mile. Brit. Doc. 18.9-8 (170), xxxvil, 5. "Scomut Report of Committee on Railway and Canal Bills, 1r. 70.

- sutive. In this way, indepentent eanals began to find that their
. De was incent enichect mot injured heye thigh rates on the canals that :sere joined to railwas, becance lyy raising their mese to a point that was almost prohihitory to privite carriers the milwaye cont rolled canals not only drowe the earrices off their com wate mate bint : Fo mate rinlly anded ind driving them off the indepuld it earal If cart or comble not senere suflicently fasomrable terms from all the camals it it it was frepuently uselces far them to make any attempt at carreing; tor to carry on a short stecteh of canal, and then be compelted to tram fer to a railway, or pay the hioh charges of the lattor's camal, was wholly destructive of ante adratages from water carriage'. This incease of railway und eamal rates was but another phase of the general poliey of the railways to realize the ntmot results from their monopolys, and to seemre ample returns for losses sustained during what were sometimes prolonged periosh of compertitive rate entting.

The policy of the railways in regard to the canals was, in all cases. to drive the tratlice from the water to the vails. Frem the carliest days of the eompetitive periond this tendeney was manifested and its dangers recognized: but the problem was how to seeure the canals from the interference and control of the railways, and to afford the former a good opportunity of testing their capabilities as a rival eystem². It wonk have been an casy matter for Parlament, had its members foreseen the outcome, to have passed legislation forbidding the railways donge anything that might prejudice canal interests, hat that wonld not prewent private negotiations which looked toward a settlement of difliculties that would be aceeptable to both the parties concerned. In contrast to this lack of knewledge on the part of the Legislature. there was the enterprise of the railway companies, whels pursued their aim with steady and determined \%eal. There were constant warnings given to Parliament against Bills which, if passed, would involve new or increased inancements to divert traffic from canals to railwass: but in the face of these, the latter kept up the contest with their rivals.
 Railway and Comal Bills." "villemenof Mr Meltich and Mr Loch. p. D6. "The rommittee of 1872 reported that "Where Railway Companies amalgamate, or where Railway Compamies aequire a navigution, the result is usmally an inerease of rates." brit. Dor. 1872 (361), xth, 1. 'Vimetes of Eividenee.' n . 333 . See also Writ. Dof. 1881

 talmar statements of froight rates, slowing how muth they were raised by the amalgamation of railways with camals.
 ami Comals Amalgamatoons.'

Sometimes eanal were purehaned or leased by the railways, frequently at al lose so far as the reveate from the waterways was eonecrucd, and then their free use was forbidden to the publie, throngh the imposition of prohibitory tolls ${ }^{1}$. In other eases the railway companies nese their pascenger traflic as a means by which they eonld put down their freight rates and thas appeal to hippers, from the stampoiat of economy, to patronize the raikay. Then, when the canal companies or other carriers on the waterwaye had fomed it imposihte to compete for traflies, and had sold their stock of horses, it would be hard for them ever again to set back their tratlice sinee the public had beeme acenstomed to having their goods carried be the finter conveyauec of the railway ${ }^{2}$. In some instances. railway neghected or refnsed to repair the eanals they held: and atthongh the necessity for keeping them ingood condition had been carly shown to Parliament ${ }^{3}$, yet they
${ }^{1}$ Brit, Doe. 1851 (3at). xim, 1. 'Report of Committee on lailway Mates and Fares, Bevideace of Mr Lhowd O. 10.1s1-O. In that yene the Great Westem had

 the camals the company lost sefart but this closinge of the eanals was to bring the trafle on to the rail: Some of these eanals they were foreed by Parliament of purchase when they ohtainet power to constmat their matways (s. Brit. Doe. 1881

 Exidence: p. 1\%. The oppresive poliey of the 1 irmineham (onal Navigation.
 (see evample given in Brit. Doc. 1881 (:3: ), sum, 1, 'Report of Committec on Railway.

A. Jonsing to what extent the Geat Westera Railway Company diverted the trallic liom there of the most important of the camals of which it got control, mote that on the Ifereforl and Glourester Canal, in the thirty yours following ints, the gross receipts had deereased seventy per eent. Ibring the same period, the recepts
 same time the reecipts of the liemuct and Avon Camaldeceased eidhty-seren per eent. 'lohat is. during that time, in the ease of theas three emals, seventy, seventy-seven and eighty-seven per eent. remertively of the trallie hat been blunted on to the


The tolls on the leceda amd liverpool cimal. churing the time it was mater the eontrol of the Jondon and North Western liailwas, were the maximun rates, and were as mbeh as the though freight rate on the railway. 'This, of conme, presenter
 1, 'laport of select Committer on hailway Amagamations,' Q. Eita.

 Report of Committee on leahway and Canal Bills. Fixilencép. 16.
 Canals Amaleamations; Rerommemations of the Committec: among others that -s st rict regulations shond be made for maintainine the eanals in an ellicient state of repair, and for securing a proper suply of water."
were allowed to deeline ${ }^{1}$. Notwithstanding the passage of the . Iet of 1873, eequiring railway-controlled canals to be kept open and navigable for the publice without interruption and delay ${ }^{2}$, and that Parlianent in many cases tried to ammex eonditions to the amalgamation, compelling the companies to matatan the camals in an efficient working state ${ }^{3}$, many of these canals wee.t from bad to worse; they beeane silted up, the locks becane brohen, and the nasigation fell into disuse ${ }^{4}$. From what we have just said, we can casily see how the railways eould draw to themselves the traffic formerly carred on competing canals, and lease the latter in a atate of hopeless deay.

An cxamination of the : Aglish canals to-day reveals the fact that the anmont of traflic carriec. on them, tout ensomble, is comparatively insignificant. By way of smmmary, we shall now note some reasons for their failure to compete sucecssfuily with the ailways. To disenss this fully would require a more mimute investigation of the poliey and management of each than we have the space here to deseribe; and so we shall endeavour to give only the salient factors which bear upon the problem.

In the lirst place, the disjointed state of the eanals prevented their being used to advantage. Very few of them had the same dimensions ${ }^{5}$. 'They were constrncted asmally as short independent earals, and not as long throngh routes. Their dimensions were made to aceord partly with the amome of money that had been subseribed or contributed

[^62]by the tockholders of the individnal companies for the completion of their works, and partly with the diflienttics that had to be overcome in the leention of the eanal, or the soil throngh which it had to pass. When a Bill was presented before Parliament, the proposed canal was considered solely on its own merits, and not in regard to any comexion that it misht have in finture with any other. Not only did the original dimemions of the eanals show wide diversity, but changes were sometianes made in these, at times when improwements were subserpently earred out ${ }^{1}$. Liven on the same camal, there were sometimes differences in the size of the locks whieh had been construeted ${ }^{2}$. This lack of miform gamge was utterly destructive of any comony of operation. If a barge were required to go along a throngh ronte, its carrying-power and dimensions had to be limited to suit the smallest lock on the route. If one boat were not to be used thronghont the course, there had to be frepuent loading and mutoading from one barge into another. Both these methods of earrying were wastefnl: the former in the ntifizing of the capacity of the boats and canals, and the latter in the employment of time and labour. So also, the canals with harge locks often consamed a large portion of their water incfliciently withont passing an effective cargo, while on the narrow eamalh the carriers were greatly restrieted an to the weight they conld take. These diserepancies of gange were wholly subversive of the greatest usefmbess of the canals ${ }^{3}$.

[^63]
## [N]

Another reason for the failure of the camats was the lack of mity of management, due to the great mmber of companies which controfled them. In 1ssis, between London and Liverpool there were three distinct routes: on the first there were nine different eanals and navigations, on the sceond ronte also there were nine different compamies, and on the third there were ten separate compamies ${ }^{1}$. From London to Bristol there were four rontes: on the dirst, via the kemet and Avon, there were lhree companies; on the second, via the Wilts and Berk, Canal, there were live eompanies: on the third ronte, via the strondwater Canal. there were threc companies; and on the fourth ronte, via the Warwick Canal, there were nine companies, and this was the only one in practical use ${ }^{2}$. From Bamingham to Bristol the:e were three routes ${ }^{3}$. Betwecn Hnll and Liverpool there were four ways: on the lirst ronte, via the Leeds and Liverpool Canal, there were four separate eompanies; on the second. via the Rochdale Canal, there were seven companies: on the third, wia the Ifudderstield Canat, there were nine companies; and on the fouth route, via the Trent and Mersey Canal, there were at least five navigations', If, in 1ssis, there was such lack of mity, it eonld not have been less, but, pomibly, more diverse in the period before the middle of the century; and these conditions have not been improved since 185:35.
 Q. $2: 31$.

2 Ibid., EXirlence; (Q. 2:32.
${ }^{3}$ Ibid., ' Fivillence; Q. .2s:3.
 the Mersey, Sucrn. Ouse. Humber, etco, are ineluded as separate jurisdictions. Compare ibid., 'IVvidence; (Q. is:
${ }^{3}$ The "Final IReport of the IRoyat Commission on C'mals and Waterways, 1909,' V11, p. 16, gives af few illustrations of this diversilied control. For example: "'Taking Birminghan as a ecut re, we will assume that it is proposed to despatel thene thare cargo boats. one to the port ol London, one to that of Iiverpool, and one to IIull, by the most direet rontes. 'The boat which went to London would have to traverse' some portion of the IBirmingham Cansl system, next $2 \underline{2}$ miles of the Warwick and Birmingham Canal, next 14 miles of the Warwiek and Nopton Canal, then 5 miles
 and tinally the Thames, or clse $100 \frac{1}{2}$ mikes of the Grand Junction Camal to Pardinge tom, and dinally $8 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of the Ifegent's Canal to the Thames at Limehouse. All these waterwars helong to different anthoritics. A cargo procceding to the port of Liverpool would traverse first some part of the Sirminghan Canal. then $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of the Birmingham and Wirwiek Jmetion Canarl, then 17 miles of the Birmingham aml Fazeley Canal, then is miles of the Cowentry Cimal, then fio miles of the Trent and Mersey Canal, and would then qo. probably mot without transhipment, Iny 10 miles of the Weaver Navigation and then ly the Mersey to Liverpool, or, without going down the Weaver, proeed by the 'Trent and Mersey to its junction with the Bridgewater Canal at Ireston Brook, and hy that camal to the Manchester Ship,

In commexion with this want of mity of manarement along all the great throngh romes, some of the eanal companies, whose waterways formed central links in a lomerer chain, took advantage of their peenliar pesition ion raise their rates so as to secoure lor themselves the largest possible retnrn on their insestment. "acin upon a small amomet of trallie'. When the different canals along a through ronte wond not work in hamony, it was impossible to get a throngh rate that might cnable the earring to be conducted at a motit to all, for the other companies that were not so advantageonsly situated woukt be obliged to reduce their rates below a reasonable minimma if the amome of the throngh rate were to be made aceeptable to the carrier. If the canal companies. therefore, wond not adopt coneerted action, there ecrainly conld not be any fair eompetition with the railways? The jealonsy that existed between adjoining canah dming the time proceding and immediately succecding the introduction of railways is well exemplified in the junction or bar tolls. They were a sort of protective system, orginally granted to the existing canals, so that whenever any new canal formed a junction with them the older canal could charge the amome of the bar toll merely as a gratuity for allowing traflic to enter

Canal, and thas to the Mersey; Isut as the marrow boat could not navigate the estuary, tramshipment would be neecssary. A eargo geing to llull would pass over some miles of the Birminglam Canal, $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ miles of the Coventry Cunal, 26 miles of the Trent and Versey Comal, 9 d miles of the Trent Navigation, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles of the Nottinghan Camal, 21 miles of the Tront Navigation, 4 miles of the Newark Niwigation, 30 miles more of the Trent Navigation, 26 miles of the open Trent Hiver, and then 18 miles of the Humber. 'Transhipment, probally at Nottingham, would be necessary." On this subject, for the earlier period before 1850, see Boyie, Hope for the Canals, Pp. $2: 3-24$; P'almer, Brilish Canals, Pr. 19-23.
${ }^{1}$ Rrit. Doc. 1846 ( $2:=5$ ), xin, 93, 'Second Report on Railways and Canals Amalgamations, p. iv. As a special instane of a eanal taking atwantage of its position to raise its rates, we may mention the Grand Junction Canal, which extends from l'addington to Braunston where it joins the Oxford Canal. The Grand Junction Canal was an important link betwern Londonand the areat mining and manufacturing seetions of Warwichshire. Cheshire, Staflordshire, ete. It was a monopoly without (onnpetitor; its exactions, execssive rates, diveriminatory ates, and its supereilions contuet cansed loud and general eomplaints even als late as 1sibi. Merator,
 at similar poliç. See alvo l'almer, British Camals, p. 19.
 Hebble Navigation at a guaranteed net divilend of sixteen per cent., Int the offer was refused. This high rate of dividend was surely a tempting offer, and why it was rejueted we do not know; hut it was not more than two years before ralway compretition hat calused such an decrease of the revemues of this navigation that they were ahle to divide int eight per cent.. or onc-half of the dividend that hat been guaranted hy the Aire and Calder. Herepath's liailuay and Commercial Journal, x1, 1. 1211.
their eanal at will ${ }^{1}$. These bar and compensalion tollo were sometimes ar extrardinarily high hat they alone amomed to a sufficient income to pay a large divideme an the canal capital. With sheh onerons charese upon the carrige of goods on the camals, it is mo womber that the throngh hatfie declined and that the railwors eame to have the "pper hand. Of comse. When such camals paseed into the eentrol of the ratways, these tolls were still contimed; for it was the malway poliey to disert all the trade from the eamats to the rails, and this formed a useful amxiliary argeney in the carrying ont of this plans.
 Prares, Eividence of Mr L.luyd, Q. 10,174. We must ut eonfuse these bar folls with the $\mathrm{b}_{\text {bat }}$ whidh were ocrasionally allowed tor reman between two canals that
 canal from near Worcester 10 Limminham it was provided that this camal bomb mot come within seven fect from the end of the Birminghan conal without the conscont of the proprictors of the l3iminghan Canal in writing ander their stommon seat. If to 181.5 this har, of the width of seve ifeet, still remained to prewent any passare frum one canal into the ather or to prevent any wate of water out of one careal into
 out of buats upon one canal into hoats upom the other. In that vear, the Worecter and bimmingham Camal obtained the consent of l'arlament to remove this bar, "pon eondition that the Birmingham Canal might not be injured in any way abrl this camal arereed to the removal of the bar upon there comtitions (v. C'ase in stupport of the Bill for remoring the Bar letacepll the Birmingham, and the Worcester and Birming-



 Revime of Roilatays null hailacay Legislation, p. 31.

The Oxford Canal Company, at the jumetion of this canal with the Warwiek and leimingham Camal at Napton, by antbority of its Aet, was allowed to take a toll of 2 s. ad. per ton mpon eoal, and $4 s, 4 /$. per ton mpon all other articles. This was not for any service rombered. but merely for allowing traflice to pass from the other canal into the Oxford. In the first twenty years from the opening of the Warwiek and Bimbingham Canal, the Osford Camal received a quarter of a million in bar tolls at that junction, which was enough to pay a ten per cent. dividend on the entire cost of eomstruction.

The framd Junction was a still more extraordinary case. Ihis canal joined the Oxford canal, seven miles from the junction of the latter with the Warwiek and Birminaham Camal at Nipton, and bere there was a compensation toll of fid. a ton leviable on ath coal thrning towards Oxforl, whieh, in reality, never went within seven miles of the Gramd Junction Camal, and in which the never comblave biny possible interest. Mereator, Tommage hates on liraml Iunction r'amal, p. 24 , says: "The trade of the country at the present disy ( 1803 ) groans umber the excessive imponts and eomplieated system of the crand dmetion Camal and the abominable tolls." ealled compensation tolls, paitl to the Oxford Company.
${ }^{3}$ That the railways took the fill amomen of these bar and compensation tolls
 Committe on Lailway Amalgamations, $p$. xxi; also bidence of Mr Loyd, $\therefore$ ©. f .

The railway companics, seizing nom this diversity of management in the camals, purchased or leased the important link of themgh routes, raised the tall on the ere divisions to the memont limit allowed by latw, athd than made it imporible for the eompanien that owned the remander of the line of camal and for the eommon carrick ont a throngh route to maintain their traf in competition with the railways. This wan one af the eationt and mont effective ways which the ratways bed for breaking in and paralyong whole chains of waterwas', The railway

 productive tmsiness, "aperablly when the comtrol of a lew mile wonld :anser the purpore they had in vew just as effeetively. But berides putting up the toll on theire camals, the milway companies, in some cases. contrary" to stathte, neplected or refined to keep their camais in repair²,

 alominhed their bar talls (ibil., (叉. 10.17\%).





 ment that railwaṣ companicy "stmander" some of the cantals which eame into their fossession or control. Whether we nse the word "straneled" or not is a mere question of nomenclathre: but it is mulunhtally trae that when sonee of the important
 F'ront that time onward, their poliey was dictated by the railway eompanies into whose hamda they had paseed. Thi. will be espalent to those who eonsult the reforences I have here piven for this paragranh, see also l'almer, Jifitish Comuls,
 desirms of selling ont to the ratuass, and in dobles this the were beting from the motive of sedf-interest. W้emas as well admit the appleation oft the same legitimate primeiple on the part of railwast, which nerontiated for the taking were of eartain eambs in order to lonther their own eoomomic interests.


 said that a hoat wombl make very a-half miles per homr: and similatly for the trade on the Herelord and filoucester
 Lixtrarts from the Minules of Eivilener gitich before the Commillee uf the Lords
 rembined in nearly the sume condition an when they were first pht into opreration,
 they were inallegmately shphled with water, and in the cabe al most romprames no effort hat heen made to progreavively improve the eamals so ins to keep them abreast of the development of the commtry and its expanding trade. I'almer, of, cit.
and in other casen eosed them at mights or stopped them for repairs just at the timen when there womblave been mont trallic lor converancer. Now withamding the refterated recommandation by sumensive Parlimanentary commiteren that every means homld he adopted for the maintenance of effective competition be the canash dgatint the rait-
 canal links, matil the compertition of the camals was stitled; or, in the words of bir (onder. the camak were "blanck with ereeping paralys is with all thone ohatruetions?". The Joint Scleet Committee of $185=$ wheh investigated the railway and camal amalgamations, eomsidered that "dhe mont important method bey wheh the ratway companice have defeated the competition af eamals has been the purchase of important links in the system of awigation and the diecomagement af through trablice, and the ercat eomplaint against the railways still is that they tend to dineonmage trallic from going on the water rantest. Now it may be strictly true, althongheren this is open to question, that the railways did not acquire the canals with the deliberate intention of throwing obstactes in the way of their traflic development. It may not be just

 Wercury, Nov. 25, 1793. p. 4, Ietter from "A Commiswinner."
${ }^{1}$ An imuld of the comal trallic was centomarily carried at midht, the railways


 or the necesity of stopping for repairs at ecrtain seanoms when the camal would have been most insed, and this would go on for wecks at a time.
 on Hailways and Camals, Imalgamation,' muder heading "Conelnion:" Brit. Doe. 1872 (364), sm, 1. 'heport of Committee on Ratilway Amakamations,' p. xaifi, "Resolutions of the Conmittece."
 11. 1:28. (2. 2475.

Mr Grieram, the General Manager of the Great Western Railway Company, in 18s1. before a Committe of Parlimment, testihed that in many eanes the railways were foreed to parehane the canals; that his company were pemeswors of several canals which larliancont forced them to purehase when they obtained the Aet giving them power to comstruct their railway (v. Brit. Doc, 1881 ( $35 \%$ ), xit, 1, Report of Committer on Railway Rates and Fares, Fividence.' (2. 13, 2,20 ). Mr Farrer, seeretary the the Board of Trade, abo said that the purchave of eertain ramal was made compulwory . in comsernence of the terms parliament imposed non the railway eompanies when applying for their dets. Furthermore, the railway compamies sometimes fomed at amater of poliey to buy olf the opposition of the eamal interest a through the purchase of the camals. In the ease of the st rat ford-mpen-Aven Canal. the committee wonld not ahow the bill to pass mule the railway oompany did


A-Final Report of Roval Commission on Camals and Waterways,' 1:00: wh,

to say with some that ralway eompanice (exerept perhaps in a fow imstances) acouired emals in onder to strmagle them. But it reertanly is trae lo saỵ that ralway companies whid late e, in varions ways,
 more than their hareat legal daty in maintaining them. 'Vhere secms no dombt but that they fivour what they eomsider to be by fiar their most important businces, that of placiag all possible trallie on their linces of ranlway. Where raifwas eompanies find it to their interest to maintain and improve their eamals so as to promote trade on them
 the larerer momber of ease the railway eompmaies seron to have neglected to promote, if not actanlly to hive impeded by high tolls athe otherwise, the trathe on the eamal, which they hase acepincel. 'Ihe conce in which railway companies have a more or less strong interest in dercloping the trade upon canals which belong to them are exceptions to the male B. But we must mot supose that it was the railwayoowned camals alone which were allowed to fall into partial or lotal decay : as a matter of fact, many of the indepondent canals were fully as batd an those whid were controlled by railwass ${ }^{3}$.

Aong with the foregoing physical factors leading to the redative dereline of the canal trattie, we mast inclade another clement which has beon alhoded to incidentally in several cases, mancly, the fact that the canals were easily stopped or injured by frost, drought, and oceasionally thond. 'This was one of the strong remsons put forward by the advocates of the railways, and there was ample justitication for the desire to get rid of a system which entailed so much menertanty and delay. To hase goods stopped for wecks and sometimes months on aceonnt of the inability to navigate the canals, was subsersive of all system in commereial life ${ }^{4}$. The basiness eommmants was eoming to depond more and more upon regnlarity in the tramsportation of commoditics, and as the waterways eomd not assure this they were gradually abandoncel in favour of an improved means of eonserance wheh conld provide this desidernamm.


 Q. $1: 34: 3$.

* Lomalon amd Birmingham Raikay Bill. Sirtracts from the Mimules of lizilence giten before the Commillec of the L.ords on this Bill. 11). :3. (i, 9, 11); Greot liestern Ruilicay Bill. Minntes of livillence laken before the Lorls Commultie fo achom






## (.).

The next adreme feature was the lack of tone and yinit in the system itselt, and the lialure due partly to imability and partly to indiffermere to adopt themetses to the chamging eiremmbaneen of the times. Barges were started ont their journese, iot at detinite times, but Whenever the earrics had enonghengo to warant their putting their horses on the tow-path. The arrival and departure of boats took place at all homrs, and the home with his feedinge ean attached to his month sambered listlensly on his way, white those in charere of the boats
 homsen alonge the camals where time was spuatared in drinking. In addition to this lack of pmothality in Hoe delivery of grods and the fregone losses and delays. Here wis mush dillientey in procuriner rates
 mecrtainty. partiality and inconsisteney of the earricr" eharges, becanse of their being made wilhont reference to any fixed rate; the wat of promptaces in rectifying errors and setthing claims: and the general
 the varions departments of the canal companios. compired to perpetmate complaints agmint the whole system. The great manter of independent earriess emgaged upon the canals multiplied the mmber of tramshipments. for besides having to trmandip at the termini of the varions canals, a similar transfer had to be made at each commencement and termination of a earrier s stage. In this way a loose, dijointed and mesomomical methed of forwarding groods was imposed upon the sinipere, and the momber of hands through which the aroods passed added needessly to the eost and often prechaded the fixing of reaponsibility for injury or loms. Moreover, the carrier, instead of having his bumess divided matneally into three departments for attending to the receiving. the converance and the delivering of the goods, each of wheh shond have been in charge of a separate oflicial and all joined under the supervision of one general head. sometimes regnired one person to attend to two or more things, in different places, at the same time. While the man was doing his work in one capacity, such ats attending to the loading or mbading of a barge, he monst have been negleeting it in another, stred an receiving goots and making ont an invoice for them; and it is no wonder, therefore, that disputes arose in regard to good that went astray or that were not delivered. This lack of method, of system, of buiness abmen, in the conduct of the carriers' affiiiss must have been a potent reason of the deeay of eamal traffie Whel railway activity began ${ }^{1}$.

[^64]








 conld bet meet this rate for any lengh of time. 'The hope varly




 and cemmeny any that wore already in existence, whot the eivilized



 hamling an intignitieant looking baper which was managed by one or two permons exciteal now surprise on the part of anyone. In reality.
 recognizel by vers feng. On the other hand, the railway had an appearance of , prandens and ontentation that charmed the puitlie. It seemed the emborliment of enterprise and bomodes eap ablilities. The conomons trains consered ancons the combtry at a seced of twenty to thirty mike all home cont rasted btomgh with er en the beat yeed of the lly boath on the ceanals, going two and one-hath to fonm mile an hour. The effertive-
 all matters of wonder. The promptituck of train sehechule was a radical reverval of the poliey of the canal carrems. who, in the comblact of their masincos, had mo scherdule to which they : adhered. but set out with their bond whenewer it wan ready: When confonted with these





2 In treation of the effects of railway cumpection upon canals, we have
 central fact in that compectition was the refition of the carriern of poods to the canals and the railways.
new renditions, : he few who ventured to depreate the total abandenment of atl earlier means of trmaport, and capectally these who
 apoweraces minerity: The reduction of treight rates elicited the support of the pmblice and writern for the milwnes industrionsly circulated the opinion that camals imbl hltimately give place to malways. Is a renult of there eondetions the prices of emal sharen went down'. In the foweination that the railways exereived from the outset, the posibility of materially and cffectively improving the waterways was lont sight of, white the exomomy which the formere effected in the carriage of proxts semed an preat an 10 lead to the idea that the limit of cheapmess had becer reacheol. and that it would be wain to suppose that the expense of
 wan placed at the disposal of railway schemes? while the canals, oceppying the back gromad of the public comscomsum, were emsily let go beembe the were thonght to be a dectining properte ${ }^{3}$. The railways lowered the raten of carriage on the ranals to when an extent that the receipls and disidends of the eanal proprietors were greatly redneed: and the basines of the railwas. both in pasconger and freisht trallice was on such at gigantic seale that the canals thonght it would mot be long before the er whald be drixen out of busines males they conl: make an alliance or agrement with their oser-powering ris:aks. 'This attilute of many camal proprietors towat their property was in great contrast to the enterpmive exhithed bye thone gomger men, matally of the trading
 railway'. The former oflen ase up the battle with the railway eome panies in deyair. and perhape at too carle a periond, before they had leamed what strength they really had and how largely the trallie of the connter would inereaser.





 1:nginecrs. Q. 1:3., (f-8.



Ib a recent worl hy Forhes amd Ashford, entitled our Wateratys, p. 2es, the
 menstre reaponsilne for the rapid supersebwion of their malertahings Jy those of the railway mombinies, the predominat position of the latter is eqpally attrihutable to the failare of the Leceistature to reconnize the vilace of our waterways." From what we have already shown, when considering the subjeet of railways, it sems clear

We hatse Hows emthmad Hae ehisf demembs which coltered inter the dedine of the camals: bout we molnt but asumme that this decline was always immediate, ber Hast all of them stared atike in Here proeess "f dermy which we hasse jut tracel. We hatre seat that the carrying
 reshlimg the enmotelmonts of the railwans: and that the driving of









 For forig distatices. Hoce eompertition of the cathat with the matway was







 they insariably recommendell l!at the canal rompetition monkl be mantaturd.










 lature to impone proper reatricolons on the railw:ly (ompanice in this "arly stane :

 that womblend to deprive the publice of this adrantage se also Brit. Bere

 barlimbent did mot know what course to purste, ather than that taheon, to recrabate

 it did uatil its elimination wis asmured.






 "ere filly known.

Confronted with the fact that the raitways were gradnally abtracting
 a plan fin kepping the waterways empuliner will their formidable


 ducted, he thomath it praihle for the private and indepemetent canal corviers do mot only recorer all the earreing bamem that they hat lost. lott alse to draw the themselves the carriage of pancongers and light gronds, which the railway had tahen from the "mamantere and earriors



 companices. the freight ong goods between the ere eitien might be matle as low as, or lower than, the actual cost incured bey the ratway in carring Hase gronk. Foget the passenger trade, he would put packet boats on

[^65]the canal. carch drawn be two horses and sumaty built so as to attain a seere of tom miles per homr, the practicability of which, he said. had been cutablished ly more thath two yeam experience on the Paintey Canal in Sootland. By furnishing such facilities at less than half the fares charged by the railway, the callak and their carrich would be again favoured with pulbie suppert. and wouk be able to retain their place as publice servant ${ }^{1}$. The diflientioce in loving to put such a phan into operation wonld have beel insuperable at that time. on arecome of the faet that the varions dements of the canal interest woukd not work together: beibler do they operate in hamony to any extent evern at the present dily.

In 1sh1, affer the Birmingham and Liverpool Jmetion Canal had lost half its tomage and had been compedled to lower its rates bey onehalf. its secetary hought forward a method by which he hoped to save the remainder of the tomage from aho going to the railway. II is phan had math in common with that mentioned alowe. It was realized that, eren if the canal (ompanies gave elatiging the full amome of their tomage rates, this wonld not begin to make up for the amoment hy which the railway had reduced its freight rates; and, conserpently, the essential thing was to sale in the expense of tramsit. To do this, he would fasten a train of six hoats clonely together. one following the othere and draw the train be there homes in order to incerease the speed of conserance. The fast or "fly" trade he womld treat in like mamer, since it was in this that the opposition was severely felt: and it was by concentrating the traflic in large quantitics. thomgh having the carrers work together to make up full cargoes rather than a harge manber of boats wilh only a partial cargo. that the cost of converance womld be redured. In order to present the railway company from contimang to we the pasenger and pareel trade as a weapon against the canal, he woukl introchuee on the latter fiast packet boats, like thone which had been in sucessful use on the Seoleh canals. and. by granting deceraned fares and rates. would take meh of the passenger and light geode traflic from the rahwer . By disaming the raikwe of its mot potent instrments of attack, it wab hoperd to place the eamals on a more even fonting with their adsersary. But here too, as in the former

[^66]casc, the diffenlty of getling the carrices to collaborate in earring ont such a plan womld hawe been an almost imsmrmonntable obstacke.

Another suggested method of cmabling canals to withotamb the opposition of the railwats differed from the foregoing in detail. but fundamentally it involved the applieation of the same principle of purling together. In the first place, systematie manaremone should displace the cexisting confusion. Canal olliees shomld be organized, where possible, with a responsible hoad for each branch of the work, the receiving, the tramsorting and the distributing, and ench of these beads should be acting under the supervision of one higher up. In this way, all immediate canses of inchlicieney and croor wonk be abolished, so fir as the internal management was eoneerncel. Then the varions carrying establishonents should be brought into aceod wita one another so as to work mon an intelligible principle for the eqeneral nood. The carricus alone conld not work togethor in such a way as to adhere consistently to any comprehensive plan; and even if thev conkl. the tendency would be toward a monopoly, from whieh the publie interests would probably suffer. The canal companics had no anthority to conforee general regnlations among the earriers in regard to cooperation; and, moreover, they greatly needed a mmeh closer mokerstandinge among themselves. It secmed. therefore, as if the owners and shippers of goods were the only parties which eonkl establish some body that womlal harmonize all interests; and it was therefore proposed that these shomld mite and appoint an arent to act for them, one who would hand over their pre lacts for trambortation to that earrice who offered the greatest advantages ${ }^{2}$. The same barrier wonld have been fomed in any attempt to put this plan into effect as was noted in connexion with the other two suggested remedies, that is, the practical impossibility of semming suflieient mited action to earry ont such a contemplated project.

It womld take too long to consider all the phans whieh have been bronght forward to plase the canals in a position to eompete with railways and to be effective agents in the transportation of eommodities. The Aet of $18 \%$, recuming railwas to mantain their canals in working order, did something to arrest the decline of these waterways, althongh it was so meagrely obered that it hat little comstruetive offect. In the last two decades of the century, partly as arealt of the acritation for lower freight rates, tiorther efforts were made to work ont a solution of the canal problem and these appear to have enlminated. for the time being, in the labours of the recent Royal Comminsion of 1000. . Ifter

[^67]a thormgh investigation of the entire subject. that borly. deeded that if waterway: or ecertain main ronten of waterways acre placed mude; a miform administ ration and so improved an to provide the best sestem of mechanieal teadion, of tramport, and of loading and mondoding, the trade on these waterway: would be largely inereased provided that carrage mon them were smbtantially cheaper than that hy rablwar ${ }^{1}$. They recommended that, as the first step in any eomprehensive seheme of waterway development, it womld be desimble to take in hand fonm main rontes for anmamation and gradual but contimons improwement. namely. those which radiate as trmk line from Birminghan, the canal contre of the Midlands, to the estharice of the Itmaner. Thames, Severn and Mersere, and whieh have been ealled "the crows." "Vhese wonld tap the ervat mincral and mannfacturing sections of the kingdom and give divect matlet to the fome great ports of Liverpool. Bristol, Lomdon and Hhll. These fomr romtes shontd be amalgamated moder a single eontrol and hendet be so improsed as to permit the ne of larger barges for camerge an inmense vohme of lonergistance traflice wheh did not require the highest specel. Sinee private eapital had not selficient ind ecement to embark in this enterprise of improvenent, the canals along "the Cross" bronld be taken ofer bey the Gowerment and paid for by the isalame of "waterway stock;" and the derdopment of this ioni-branched water ronte shond be effected by public finds. The final eontrol of the system hould be put in the hands of a Waterway Board, created by the Govermment ${ }^{3}$. There are so many reasoms why the Govermment should not ubbidize inland waterwasc. that we think the half-hearted recommendation of the Najority Report should be adrapted and acted upon only after much more convineing argiment has bech addened in farone of it ${ }^{4}$.

But. to return to the period before the middle of the nincteenth renture: to all the other canting agencies of that time it secmed as if the railway would inceitably abotract their busines from them. They semed to be waging an mefolal eontest with a powermin antagonist. The proprictors of eonches, waggons and vans reaized at the ontset that Her inceraned ypeed and better facilities of the railway wonld soon tide mont of the traftic off the road, where the two systems came into

1. Final Report of Royal Commission on Camathan Waterways. Jons, vir, p. 8.
 of their rexommondations is given.
${ }^{3}$ Hid., vir. pp. 16:3-is.
 4t!- 53 . I have dealt more full: with the presentelay comblitions and the reenmmendations of the Royal Comminsion of 1906, to which article the reab: is refered for more detalled consideration of this question.

## 

competition; and mont of the canal, likewise soon fomed that their day of prosprity and independence was hateming to its close. Closely connected with the ronditions of the internal trade was the weffare of the consting trade; and it would be strange indecel if this too were not influeneed by the activity of the railways. We have formerly oberved that, when the Oxford Camal, for example, was being agitated, and a bill therefor wan before larliment, the castern coasting trade petitioned against it on the gromed that when the metropolis received ecol by means of this and other internal water conner: ons, the amome of coal that came from the north to London by the sea route would be greatly decreased. and this, in turn, wond be detrimental to the maritime interests of the kingdom. In the same way, it was thought by some that the development of the railways would be prejudicial to the wellbemge of the marine; and in 1846 memorials of the shipping interests of Sumderland, Shieds and the Tyne were presented to the 'Treasury, recuresting that effeient measures might be devised for preserving the coal consting trade from min through the eonseyance of this northern coal sonthward by railway. It was admitted that coal conld be earried by railway from the Durhan and Northmberland collicries to London at charges lower than those for which ships could be navigated; and representation was made that to jeopardize or destroy this northern marine, while developing the railways, wonld be contrary the thest grood of the kingdom, and would, in effect. be crippling "the right arm of lingland's strength ${ }^{1}$." But it is evident that if railways were allowed to carry coal from the mines to the interior portions of the comery, they conld not be prevented from carrving it to london. The point which we wish to emphasize, as a conchuding thought, is that. within the first twenty years of the raibay era, this yomg giant had owershadowed all other systems of earrying, some of which had taken centurice for development.

[^68]
## APPENDIX 1



It the emd of the seventemth century amd in the lirst two deondes of the dightemth century the salt induatry of Chehare was coming to orempy an important
 bromght from stablordshire by land earriage, and the walt was taken, ahoo by lamd
 amd latintol in the south, where it was loaded inte vewels whel cauried it to other perts ol Pingland, to freland, and some to Northern Finrope.

The eont if earriuge of salt acems to mave varied greatly at different times of the year, and in some cases exoensive chagen were mate for this serviece. 'This is
 p. is:
'sir. "tin very ofservable how the rock-men have ower-acted their part in convering their rock (salt) Irom their pits... by giveing excessive rateo, as some days 20s. per ton to lerodsham Bridge-the like for seven miles has not heen known-and other day, their wage were so great that people were so blinded with it, that they nerfected their necessary duties at home, in flowing, sowing, ete. This hurry and charge is. . .vam, and labom and money near lost, for by it, they too erecedily presmmed to have the adsantage of the surplasage weight, but they are nickt, as youll fimbe in the Aet, for all salt. . .after the listh day of Aby (1609) hall ter weighed wherever its landed at ats fhes. the bur, which is a subject of lament amoner themselves...."

The great demand lor homes to carry salt to Frodshan Bridge and Worcester was suppoed to be the reason why the horses with which strangers eame to Droitwiely and wher salt towns were taken from their pastares, were used for carrying salt to Woreester. and were then foumd near this latter flace when the had been makered. So witen was this the ease, that hoted handords commonly adviand their entests mot to put their hares ont in the pasture, but to lieep them


On acoomint of the dilizenfty in the matter of trambortation of roal and salt. thane manmfiefirers of salt which were more distant than whers from the eosal



 mines. On the other lamel, those mannfatimers who were nearet the supply of Staffordhire comal hat a longer hanal before Hiey could bring their salt cither to the Mersey or to the port of Werecster. A combination of the mamulacturers lawd been
 at Willalewieh and Nimtwich, to montod the price of salt. and an attempt mate by a private manulacturer to break up this monopmb had ended in baibre. But a eeremian Mr ilyfort, and one or the ascoctates, who owned salt mposits at



 ratr than their rivals, the trade in salt wombl be lamely ia their hamels. Por this reason, they proposed that the river earriage shombl be ntilized rather than fand

 int(1) Ifalkment asking anthonity to make this improsement.
bimmetiately the opponition was armacel. The other mannfarturers satid that if
 of only three or four proprietore of salt and salt mek, and wonld eertanly rnin the estates of several proprietors in wher platere an at Didellewiela, as well ins shme

 fomme vent in many petitions to Darlianent, of whide the following is at far sample (\%, 引bis!, 11, 16):


bieweth
That your petitioners havines heard that there is a Bill presented to this lonorable llouse for mating the kiver Weever navigable some few miles, wheh IBith shonlel it pahs wombl extemely imporerish your letitioncrs by depriving them of the fenctit they receive hey carring of eonls to their uwn Ilomsen at apare times in summer, and from thence to the Wiehes in Winter, wherely they :re the better enabled to pay their rents and provile for the comfortable support of their famities.

Whercione
Sour petitioners make it their limoble lieguest that betore the bill be sufferelt to bass their objections against it may be heard from their Counsel or wtherwise as this I Honorable Ilomes shath be pleased to direet.

Sall your petitioners shatl wer pray, ete."
simitar petitions to Parliament were sent by "the peorer cort of inbabitames of Bneklow llmalres!" (ihicl., p. 18). "the poorer sort of inhatintants of Northwieh"

 presented the "ruin" which wonld ensue to the carriers should the bill pass. But it is denbethe if these wond have been effective in defeating the Isilt, hided not the "pronibuent lamdlords and gentlemen of rank" taken the matter \#p, ammer whom were Lorld Gorarl, Thomas Chomondeley, G. Warbmeton, and Sir Willomghby Anten. These men were presenting a petition to loatiament againat the proposed improvement, and in order to give it more weight they sent aromed the following Ietter 10 get signatures to be attached to the petition (ibid., 1. 20):

## "Gentlemen

IBy intelligence from london and some practiees in the eountry we find that the urojectors eoneerning whon we have fomerly tronbled yon, have renewed their design and prepared a I Bill wow ready lo be presented in I'arliament, for making

 bave bumb lying near the river; and beatrofive to the trate of this Comety,


 which are utpheal with comls from stalformhite or Wales: wherely abont four

 parishes ; atht the Rents of these lands which they inhabit, and of these mear

 havine engrowel the trable, whal impose the price of salt at their awn pleasure, and raive their fortmo on the rain of the cotatry. We have prepared a petitien

 comutry, as abliging to
f We sent the like pertition
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { th oflar lamberds for expedition. } \\ \text { intemting to mite them all in one Ifall. }\end{array}\right.$

## Ginthmen

Vr hmmble servants."
(Here follow their mames.)
similar petitins were sent in by the "llịh sheriff, lopery Lientenants, Jintice of the Peace, Gentemen, and wher inlahitants of the Comaty Palatine

 subjeet the salt of Clachare to a monopoly: : and by "everal gentlemen med others in that part of stallormhire adjacent to Cheshire," who said that if the bill shomb]

 great vent of coak thence to the Wielnes amb by destroying that commeree and earriage wherey the farmers are emabled to pay the ereater rents and many of the prorrer people wholly subsinted "(ibid.. p. es).
 for making the river Weever in the Combly of (hester Niavighlle, from FrothamBridge to Wimingtom-Bridere. beine about live or six Miles only." This is really atrins of reanems asainst the project. It was intended bor cirentation among the members of the Honse of Commons. had the prometom proceded in their purpose. Its abmathee follows:

This navigition is a desimu projected for enerosing the trate of sellime salt ant rock-salt into the hamls of two persons only, wherehy a great many families womblat Ine rumeal amal mateme. As the trade now stands, all the proprietors at salt are mpmenemal tems thrombhit the whole eombly of Chester. (This, as we have alreaty seen, wes entirty wrong.)

Shomb the river Weaver be manle naviable from Frodsham Bridere to Winnington Brilese, there will be the following evil comserguences:
'The fwo perams in this combination hase satt wows and roek salt aldjoining Winnineton bridge where they intend to end the mavigatim. To there they ean get coal cheap lron Lamembire ley water: henee, with oo land e:arriage to tromble them, either fur coal or salt. they will umereth all other salt works that have band emriage for hoth enal and salt. These two permons will drive ont rivals, and therefore will be able to make their own prices for salt, "as formerly Northwitelie dial. till the erection of new silltworks in the eomely rednect the price of satt from
above 4s to 2 s . fid. per harrel." Nohling but all almandance of salt will keep down its price.

The ruin of other salt works will txe followed by adflitional evil results:

1. The eollieries in staffordshire and some in Wales will hawe the ir market reduced.
2. Those poor people who live bey (atriage of emal mot salt must stare or be a chatere on the parithes. The same thing will hapern those who now enry matt to the Wiches from Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire. The trale of malt for satt will he at :an end by the destruction of the salt-works, whele will elfectually and spectly be aceomplished, "should the monopolizing projeet of this sedfended navigation take cffect."
3. Continual overllowing and spoiling of the meadow grounds, which cannot be awoded becaluse the river banks ure low, and the water will be rated in the river by means of locks. The grazing lands atong tace river will also be injured; and this will ealuse reduction of rents of these lower lands.

Many more mischicfs are so ohvious, " that 'tis hoped this seffedesigned project shall never be comentenced by parliancent, to the great prejudiee and injury of the publick, for the sake of a private interest." (The feeling against this mavagion Was very strong; fund the luct that we find no petitions in fiswour of it would seem to indicate that it had a srellish emal.)

In 17na, the puestion was revived apparently with more seriounness, and the people beame alarmed it this pernicions actfended profect. bery man who had any inihence with the members of Parliament used his fosition to show them, by letters, the terrible evils that would resuit from making the Weaver mavisable. The great hostility against the Bill cance from all sourees ; and the vigorons opposition to it may be pathered frem the letters of 1ano, given in Brit. Mus., Add. Ms.s. 306,914, pp. 3f, 40-15.

This enterpise, though held olf, could not be completely tarned down, and by 171.5 the promoters had "n great many friems" who were "very infustrions" in bechalf of the seheme. It had been so mola talked fhont, that instead of loning
 shows the way in which the oppoments of the Bill regarded it (ibid., p. f0):
"I Was in hopes this minons project had been so often battled that we might hawe fivel secure from any further attempts of strangets to bring sure destruction upon so many poor familes in this comoty, and so great damage to many other ; but now to help forward their desigus, they have got some assistants from Liverpeol who no doubt have either our interest or their own very mued at heart . . ." 'Then the writer goes on to give reasons against the measure.

In that year, on June 11 , the bill was roul the second time, but it was finally aecorded the same treatment as in former years, amd was in effect thrown out (ibid, p. Es).

In order to make their ease tronger, the promotess of this navigation wero supposed to have ordered to Frodsham Bridge such great numbers of ships that it was impossible to get enough white salt a ! rock salt to give them a full load, without kecping them lying the for many weeks, and some for months (ibid., p. bif). This would teml to show the need for vastly increased lacilities for transport. But, however this might be, in aleordanee with another petition to them, the IIouse of Commons, in Janmary 171: , orlered a bill to be brought in for making the Weaver naverable (ibinl., p. fis).

In earrying on their eampagu in 1719 , those who fivoured the navigation issued a pamphlet showing the 'Reasons for Making the River Weaver in the County of Chester Navigable:' and demonstrating that it would be of "wery considerable national advamange," as well as of loc.al bencfit (ibid., pp. 86-90):

## Approuli,r 1

The pamphlet begins bey piving some acemant of the trate of those parts that will be mfeeterl liy making this river mavifable. 'Ther salt trole is the most important ol all.

The mines of rache sall which sinplly all the salt relinerices erected in Ireland, nan! in several parts of Cireat Britain, viz.. (heshirce, Lancushive, North mad sontlo Wales. Bristal. (tr.e lie mhont ane quarter mile from Northwi h. Ant the sall

 of that town.

This white salt and rock salt is bought by lamb daringe to the ships int Frodsham


 any work. 'This diflioults is tending to mint the salt trate. This winter, some ships



 und give greater dispatel to the shippinge.

Other dhemblages of this Nasigation:
It wombl blow Vingland and heland to get their sald at home, and thas sate buyine loreigen salt. Hat it might also cmable Cheshire to mplply satt to the mothern parts of limepore.
 trade with Northern bibrope Hener it womld bendit both the hingdon amd the eabuty of Chester.

It will create new employment lor mach hipping. breed a great many seament.


It wonld necersitate tewer oflicers, ambl lest (harge ably trouble of frequent Weighing ol the salt.
 natmely:

1. Fhat it woull rain the sall works at Midellewien and Nant wieh.
 dectined, for lear of interfering with the private interests of a particular plare or (wo. For lese this havisation all those phaces that get salt trom Cheshire would get it macle chasiper than at present. Bat this will not rain theme two places, for in they are lone mikes nearer the coal suply of stallormbine they will be able to set their coall cheapere and this will olloct the eatra fome miles of lame enrriage necessary in bringing their salt to Northwieh.
2. That it will owerlow and spoil the adjament lands.

This is refuted by the expenemer of oher rivers ; such lands are less tiable to be we rilowed than before the navinatmon was made. Bat, on the other hamb, the affarent. lands are increasel in value, beanse of the power of owerlowing them on weabions of great drompht or dry semons.
3. 'Tlath it will tahe away the livelimod of the who were formerly mantaned by laml carringe.

But particmlar cmployment mant give way to the public pood. 'Then, too,
 notwithstanding the great prices Heyget for carriage. 'Their horms. ete. have to be kept, whether there is worh or not. Their present business is prearions and they would make a better listigy bying into dairying. Moreover, the increased
trade bronetht by the navisation would give inereased work lor all classes of people.
 eharacter from what it had been shently before, Formerly the question was, whether Northwich should be nllowed the mhambage of this bavightiond by wheh that town might be emabled to malersell, ame eonsempently to ruin, ath the wher sall works, with the trade depending upen them, and then inpoese its own price of solt upon the nation. But since the diseovery of the rock salt, the projector of

 trate of Northwidh and the rest of Cheabiere, ambl thel impose bis own price of salt


 aljacent to the biver, and the greater vilue of all the other lamels in Cheabive by the labal carriage, shombla be eleatroyed for the interest of a bew men, withont any publie advantane (ibid., p, :1,5).

Other objections to the havigation were bronght forward, as the project secmed nearer to ohtaining farlamentary sametion:

1. The beats weml: bave the bewed up the river byehorses, and ans this conntry was conclosed landowners womld be obliged to make gates at theor own expense. The newtivence of boatmen in chming the kates wonld eanse trouble in keephore ench man"s amimals from hiv neighbour's liehls. Ifonce there wouhl arise gharrels, breaderes of the peace, ete.
2. Several bandowners had harge estates along the river, "where their deer, shecp, rabbits, and wher homsehold provisions are kept." Boatmen were illdiapmed persons, and as they wombl be compelted to pasm throngh the gronnds of these estate owners they wonld fied their hormes on the lamtowners hay and corn, at times when they would be wationg for the violence of the tides or thoods to subside, or when awating the rasing of the water by the focks. Or the buatuen might steat their teer, sheop, woot, corn, lish, ete., and perhap,s break open the honses of these gentlemen.
: 3 The farmere who lived along the river wold not be able to nase their fords to) eross the river alter it were made navigable. withont womben bridges that woukd obstruct the passange of the boats. Then, the, these bridges would be destroycd by the ice, as "often haldens to the danere of the stone connty bridges."
t. Hinher than where the tides Howed, which was abont three miles abowe Frodsham Bridge, the river wals very marrow, full of roots of trecs, and in many places, both above and where the tides llowerls so shatlow that hall the channel $1: 18$ dry several monthis tongether in summer time when the tide was ont. and in the other half the water would seareely cower the stones in the rocky foreds. This wonld prevert the river being made navirable, execpt at great expense. In entting it zider, which would be made dillientt by the roots of trese, there wonk be great loss and damare to the batuwners, beemese some of their land wond have to be Hsed in making the towing paths also. In making t deeper, which conld not be done hut by entting the botton lower or by raising the water higher, there wonld be other diltembties; for if the river-bed were ent lower the roeky shallows must be ent at great expense, and the fommations of two stone county bridges across the river would be malemined; and if the water were rained bigher. locks would have to be made, and these would eanse the water to overllow the banks, especially in Hoods. They wonld also canse the water to be longer in passing off the ground,

3. 'This havigation wonll tabe awny the living at thase what were thet carriers




 these carriers comil tut turn to dairyng it was peinted out that somue of them were

 salt work that she wombl be uble to dictate the price lor salt. . Apainst this, it was shown that the Justiees of the Deate banl pewer to fix the price of salt ; to which it was amswotel that if this Northwieh projector should ruin the other salt works he wombl there be able to set his own price for salt.

 to the Bhll, and the tronlale with Mr Vermon, who hat large salt woths at Winsfort.
 Offered by the 'rusters of lichard Vemon. ..ngninst the Bill for licpendiog det 7
 for mahing liver Wiewer Ninvigable from frodsham Iridere to Northwidh are


 which this riser was mate narigable for nemply twe:ty miles from its month, that
 the atipation cond be inereased by as medn more. if necessary. In order tor repay

 tont the whole of which was to be appliod for the pmblife pirpoene of the comety of Chester for ever. It was revered that, after the cont of the nawigation ?at bren delrayed, the county would get not less than £1.000 a year (Brit. Mns. 8.5\%. 1. o (iv),
 Weaver Navigable'). 'The merehants of Liverpol upposed this mensure. Since the river was to be mate nawigable by threepivate undertakers at their own cost wati. ont may eontribution from the eounty, it was thought unte:asonable ab well ns mind that the comuty should benctit financially lrom it, and that any money which wond go to the commty wombl be an overeharge on the natigation which wembld imperle and marden

 agitation was, therefore, stanted to remeal the Aet, but it was unsucereslul, and the work
 to pay the cost of construction and maintenance, were to be devoted to reparing the roads and hriders of the county, amd for iny other purposes determined bythe Justices.
lat 17.5 ) the admiaistration of the Weaner was entrasted to a body of selfperpetnating trusters, under whom the naviration worhs were eatended and improved and made more endaring. About 180\%, the navigation was completed by a canal of fonr miles in length lrom Weaton lount, where it juins the Mersey, to sintton loek; this was intended as an surer eourse than the fower part of the Weaver. so that boats could enter or lenve at all conditions of the tide. For further improvements, see Ministere des travate publics: Quatrieme Congres International de Savigation Interimure, tenu it Memehester en 1850. Ruyporls des deligues françis


## APPENDIN -

## 



 Whel have hitherto beem made enonerning their repaits, have never met with the
 there is meen of firethere remulation,"

H1. think the fundamental defeet is in permitting larithers, tewns, ete., to be preamten or indictad lar not repairing their roadk (p, is).
(1. (i) His mothoul is:

1. 'lo prowe that the presenting or indieting of parishes, fowns, ete. for not

 the laws retating to the surveyors of the hishatay to be greatly neplected.

2. 'Po prove that the most just and most efteetnal way to have the publie roads kept in zond and sulficient repair is to oblige the surveyors tu do their duty.
:3. 'TO offer some nmendments mol alditions to existing laws, which will more casily and more eflectually oblige the surverors to perform their ollices, and the parivhimers to do their six days work.

Tos provec the first.
 cirentatomees, men who have no property in the parish ehargeable to the repair
 personall estate. But by the same det a tenant of abs a vear may be appointed anrveyor. If nome so dualified ("an be fomm, then the det direets that the most responsible persons within the parish are to be chosen. Cuder such menn persons for survegors, it is not prutelut mor safe for the reat of the parishioners to repair the ruades, mulder their own directions, lest their aflicionsmess shoulal hereafter be nsed as an argument of their obligation to repair then in their own right.

And, as laws now are, the parichioners have no cocreive power to oblige these menn persons to diecharge their ollieial duties, Suppose the survevor wete tog idle or obstinate the call out the parishioners to for their six days work, or to oblige then to work honestly; would it not be minjust that the whole parish should be preacmed for neglect? 'Ihe innoent would be punislied with the grilty. Some pirinhioners, of course, wonld, and others would not, work volumtarily; henee the need of the surveyor to foree then (1) : 9).

It is mujnst to bunish the innoeent with the guilty. But Shapleigh says that the immeent hear the burden of the surveyor's transeressions, while he goes free. (kvidently, therefore, the law whielt inposed a penalty upon negleet of either surveyor or parishioner to do lis duty was fombll to be unenforced.) For in many places, equecially in the Western counties, the landlords of sueh tenants as are at rack-rent pay the rates, taxes, ete., for the tenants: and henee no process that ean issue upon any sueh presentment or indietment of the parish ean in any way affeet the streveyucts iatopeaty.


 Imillorls, and lise in a parivh where oble or two persens ewn mont of the property:





 - गpusition, Jot wethal fit.



 entt tow work, nat therety the barivh is presented or indicted fur not howing its romels propeoty repairel: woblat it not be very mingt that those parishioncre whot have done the worh reguired liy law shond still be pminhed ten or twenty times
 as the strvewors whe shombllate foreed the work to Jo done?
(f, I::) This methul is wholly inemsistent with justice mat renson. As the lan How stamls. every ferson laving on cetate within the paribl is liable to be punished
 sullicicolt bower to comped the survegore and defasters to de their daty and eontribute their pant towards the repair of the pabler roads. So that the lane in this particular instane monibled fermons for not doing that which it was mot in their fower tor do.




The fiet is shown by experience, for everyonce obervec that there are some parishes which batwe presentments or indictments blonost ferpetably haming ever their heals. 'This combld not pessibly be the rase if these prose cetions were so
 fact is teryond fower of ontradietion.
 zood efferem masibly be axp efet lrom them, efther to the pathe or to individuals
 are mean peranc. their payment toward the line imposed on the parish is so somall as to have very little ceflect won them; expectally if it be considered that they are sure to have the laying out of this money whide they feften the more to their own benclit than to the inprowement of the romls.
(1). IIi) Besides. the presentment or indietment specilies partientar parts of the road (those Hath are worse than the rest) to be repaired. This dees not (effect a thoroush reformation of all the roacts of the purish.
(1. 17) Again, Hume prowerotions nre aften mate against parishes, towns, ete. in the winter: and it conts the pariah att that thate of the year far more tor repair its roinls than if it were at atembomathe thme of the vear. If the roat is complained of in the winter, and presented then, it ham to be repaired thene

No result can be derived from such prosecontions, lant the expensive repair of such places as happen just then to be ont of repar; for these arievons prosecutions







 thry wre sutros, prosecentions.











 reaty to give ati attentive ear to strell eamplants.

Ton prove the sectumb, vi\%.. thut the hrac acratl to hate the roonls repmired effectively is to oblige surseyors ta do their sluty.
 rases for repharing the pulble rosths. Wherever it were otherwise. Acts is of \& W.





 to pursislo delimetuents.
 of lox.. Whall within one month sfter any jurs.n hiss omited to do his stithte duty, bresent the offenee to the nevt Justioe of the Peitece, who shall emplify that presentboblt at the next General guartor Sessions, whielf fiessions shall imms lintely inguiro of such defiatly :und ilsecse suchl line for it as thove or any two of them,

 11 peasilt: on them that is double the value of their nequected labourr. Eubler this Jastimes ean salfely net, for they are simply cirrying out their duties in certifying the survegors' presentment to the next Cieneral Quarter Sessions. The jmbishment is intlieterf hy the Court of sieswions bumathe defintler.

Wro have now shown that the liws have given power to the Justies of the Peace
 peracht such iffenders. Aet is Eliz.., ('. 13. Jos given the Jnstices an easy methoul refurerodure.

Next, we show that this mothot of pumishing the surveyors for meghecting to prevent defoulters for omilling to do their six slons' arorli is just aml equitable und the mose effectual to othein the enet desirct. For by this each delinquent is punished

of the rents. By thin why of procecdine the landowners and the rest of the parishioners are not all promiscmonsly pmished, withont making any distinction betwen those whe either have ohesed or che were willing to obey the directions of the law. from those whe cither lave wedected or clase refised to obserwe its
 themolven by their own neglect or open deliance of the law; biti the innocent and laithful observer of the law are esempted from that punishment, whel is, with a juat amd divtimgivling latud. inllieted on eatelt of the olfenders with equality and prodent distinction.
(p. ©i (3) Ibt we have to show that this is not only the most just but also the most cflectat way to ohtain the desired rid.
sinee the liw has appinted proper oflients to take cate of road repairing, that methud must ecrtamly be the most efteetral which hats the areatest power and inllucuce. and is the most eapmble of comperling these oflicers to do their duty, and effectually care for and sulficiently repair the rowls. IBut presentments afferet very hew of suctl surverors: athl in exemeral such prosections are rather benclicial

 are. for mont eases of neglect of duty. liahle to pay e. . is this last method, therefore, is the most eoercive, and indeed the only effectial one to foree the surveyors to do their date. I think there camont be the least doubt bint that it is be murh the most effectial way to have the made repaired and hept in repair. (Apparently. Shapleigh thught that the law which impored the greatest punishment for neylect of duty was the most effeethal for repotiring the ronds.)

Regarding the third-some amendments of an? afdutous to the lates. in orter the more effectunlly and cavily to oblige surcegars fo serform their duty. and the parishioners their sir tatys teork.
(p. ©8) We have said that Act 5 Eliz., e. 1.3. see. 8 , given al sufe and easy method for dnstiess uf the Peace to prowed in pmishine surveyors ur parinhonere for neglect of duty; yet it is not so casy for there are latiremirulictions. Aet 2e Car. II. e. 12, see. a. sags that complaint of defanters to the pmblie roads is to be made to the next dustiees of the Peace. Who are requirets. on the oatho of one witness, to leve the pemalties. By Act $2 \underline{2}$ Car, II, e. 12 , sere. 12 , the method aththorized is the same as that of Aet 5 Eiliz... e. 13: and as Act E2 Cor. II is later than det 5 Eliz... and these
 best and safest mothon to the followed. Justicen an e rather mowilling to proced.
 respecting the public roads are io he presented by the survecor, on lis oath, to the Justiee of the Peace. IBut this Aet does not direet what the dustiee shath do with the presentment; it is infered, howerer, that he onght to certify it to the next General Quarter seseions, becanse in the seeond seetion of the det it says that all former haws regarding the highwass shall remain in effect. Ibesiles ( 1 , 30). Act 1 Gen. 1 is so worded that it mis seem dombtat whether the directions given by the said former Aets, eonecrning these points, are mot thereby repealed: and jurisdiction vested in the special sessintus. Sith vasue laws weaken the hats of the Justices of the l'ate and beeance the Jnstiees do mut care to act under them the surverors and defatters oftel gro mombished.
 Sessions are only ledel every fome months: bat cillet method is preterable to presentments or indietments. lor the latter are minnt and opprestive, as we have shown.

Then Shapleiglt proceeds ( 1 , 33 et seg.) to chtline in full al law whieh he would
recommend to the idenishature, to take the plate of the old laws so as to be clear
 of his propresed sew law.
(1). 56 ) "The six days" work hive hitlerto in most parishes been so much medected, and so shithty performed, that I bedieve very few patives eau truly say, from their . in experience. that the six disss work, dhly and propery attembed to. atin? performed by all the paribhomers liable beyw, lo work in the anendment of tho hishways with due eare and dilizenee, are not sullicient." [This sceme to be strong testimony of the adeguace of the statute daty, if satisfactorily performed, lo effet the improvement of the roals: but it aloo shows how pooty this work 1hat have been done when the roads were in steh a bat state.?
(!) (io) In speaking of the imposition of the ashessment of 6id. in the poond, as anthorizol by Acts is d W. © M. . e. 12. sec. 17, and 1 Geo, I, when the six dayy of statute labour were not sutlicient tor remir the roads, he says: "For and a rate duen in sonne parishes. raise by much too laree at smon to be tristed in the hatads of such persons as are gencrally chose survecors." (The chatacter of the surverors, if they were at alt like what is here implied, must have been such as would not command the respect and eonfidence of the parishioners.)

## APPENDIX 3

## 

(p. is) "But this the P'ublie may be assured of, that every attempt to amend the Ihyway-Laws by arditional or explanatory Acts, will problece great Confusion amonir those whose duty it is to exceute them: and that nothing ean remedy the evils at present complained of hat the consolidation into oue Aet of the most cflicacious chanses contained in those now subsisting." (It was Hawkins chief parpose to get a consolidation of sueh .lets into one peneral Set.)
( 1 . 2 ) "It is ton obvious to need jusisting on that very little of the eonerem Which has of tate heen shown about the roads in ecueral has been direeted to those that lead from parish to parish, and are not the ordinary chamels of eonveyame to rities and towns of great trade. The invention of turnjikes is manifestly calculated for great roads, which, as they are mate in favour of eommerec, produce arevenue suftiejent to kesp them in repair: but the former have been left to the care of the surveyors of the highwass in their respective parishes, subject to the dircetion and controul of the Justiees of the Peace."
since the froming of Act $2 \mathbb{\&}$ is 1 . \& M., e. 8. and its successors mater Elizabeth, emaches, chaises and post chaises had eome in; and gentlemen who !at these and drove on the rond constantly got off with the same road work as the poor cottager who had no such thit". . These were not induded muder the term "draught" of the det, and hence thes: 1, .. were merely houscholders like the eottagers, so far as the statute labour was
sned. Hence there was great need for a change of the law.
 a sanction is but a dead letter, and this is the ease with this statute. Suppose a fumer, who oceupies a plough-land or keeps a draught, is required by the law to send a team to work six days on the highways, and that he is averse to this duty.

110 does it only thromely fear of incomring the pemalty. [Nelsen, Justien of Jeace


 to ineur the penalty and not do his stallut labome. For cammble the labour of a cart, a te:an and a man is valloed at los. a day in most phaces. He can get this if he letu them ont to al meighbomr. But if he semels hiv coart and tean and two men to werk on the roble, the labour of :all will evense him from the payment of no litrece a sum. Su if he lets witt his temm and incors the forfeiture he sases the

 actablly perform or lorfeit the price of six dass habore, it is as well to choose the latter as the former. This deferet. it must be confessed, is owing solely to the fiminution in the value of mones sine this statute was cmated. Taking bishop Fectwoed as anthority, he says:
"In 1.51.t. not long hefore the statute was matle, the wages of a latourer, from Easter to Michadmas. execet in harvest, were 4d. per day, and lrom Mehaelmas to limuter, abl. per day. The habour of a wagen. team, and two men, amomed to 2s. stl per day. But by the statute the penalty for defanlt to send at team was 10 s. and tior clefallt to send twonem it was $1 . s$. Therefore the peralty was 11 s . for definslt in sending two men and a team. If these forfeitures he compared with the respective duties they were intended to enfores, we find them to be suftieftently benal at the time the atatute was made. Bat this is not so now."
birt it is mrecel that whether the peraon chareded boes achanly perform oi pay the priece of his luty, the case in the same to the pmblic; inasmuch as the forfeiture will purchane just as math lahonr and assistamee as was originally required of hime and il that is donce it is nothing to the pmblie what hands were comployed in it But is it of mo consequrtece to astute achetlier the lazes are obleyed or mot?
 are disposed to vied obsedience to the letter of it. The dergs for doing statute auty
 labonr, and hawe been devoted to idfencse, and its concomitant indugenees of riot and drmbemess.
( p . 2 s ) Finther, those domg -tathe duty (whidh is to some extent vohntary) are Iess oherlient to the directions of thene whom the law has appointed to superintend it. than is comsistent with the dhe dischartere of their duts. 'The men are working at four or fise different places in the pariah and not under the oversight of an officer, and not evecerting a well thomght out phan.

Itain, even if atmeyor were a ghend judere of ronds, and of how to make and rejair them. he bas only six davis to carry ont his plan, and ceven then some may refunc to do their statute dnte. liow in the way to be :mended moder these conditions?

Some will saly, fet the surveror apply the forfeitures incormed by the several defimats in the lite of temms and men and an on with hiv work. Very trme. lant lirst be is to get them. In order to do this, he is to enter on a new work, viz..to bring tha defanters to justiere. And lime he is to make ont a list of their names. which, when completed, is to be returned to the Sessions. wheh may possibly be Helde cither in a week or in four montlas after the offence. The Jostices njon this rethrn, of eomrse. issme mmononse for the defanters to show eanse in a reasomble time why they will not pay; after this, if they du not comply, distresswarrants are issucd, before the exceution of whieh the wet weather sets in, and there is an end of road work for that year. The surveyor is then busied in making
 groumded on some irregularity in the motice, the due publication of whime or of the rebpertive alefanlts, not one in fifty of them is ever prepared to prove. When damuary comes. his aceount in passed and he pays the bialanere to the new survegor, $w^{h}$. ill have just the same differelties to encomer as his predeeessor.
(1). 30) Fiverone knows that the highays bhall be kept in repair hy the sereral paribhes of which they are part. Act 2 \& : P N. N.. C. S. has established a form of procecting loy way of indichment aganst the paribhioners, upon which, if the defendats ane fomed guilty, the shall not be discharged by sumitting to a line but a distrant shall gro in infinitum till they repair.
 the wane is that of am indietment which is by a presentment of the surveyors to the nest Justice, who is to certity the same at the next General sessions, and the sessions is immediately to inguire of the defaulters. But, despite the werd "immediatrly." the general opinion on that elanse of the statute is, that the erertificate of the Justice in this case has not the effect of a presentment, bint must be thrned into an imdictosent, to whieh, by the lhales of Law, the offender may conter his traverse, and no trial can be had till the Sessions after.

Both these methods are objeetionable. In the first, the law does not distingnish those who hase done from those who have refused to do their statute work; but gives its judement indiseriminately aganst the whole parish, and henee the imocent and the grilty are involved in the same pumblment. By the seond, there is not that experlitions justice which the statute gives reasm to expert.
(p, 8\%) The delay and expense of these methods of proeceding are objections common to them both: ind beenuse of these reasons, :s wedt as their inellieacy, they shomald either be abolished or so regulated as to be more effectual. Other complications have been introduced hy Aces 22 (ar. H. (c. $1: 2$ (sees. 9) and 12) and 3 W. \& .l., c. 12 (sec. 日) in regard to what buthd be the mode of procedure for offenees and meglects respeeting the publie roads.
(p. 34) Besides all this. Ant 1 (ieo. I, stat. 2. e. 52 , is so worded that it semes doubtful whether all the authority given by former lets as to these matter is not taken away, and the jurisdietion vested in the Sjeceial severoms.
( 1 . :3if) A surveyor, if he is a farmer, or engaged in some other like ofenpation. is very ofte: ignorant of how the roads should be amenderl. What effects can we expeet to follow from ignorance combined with anthority on the one side (i.e. . the surveyor) and invincible obstinaey on the other (i.e., the paribhioners)?
(1. 83) But the surveyors in geveral are not disposed to folloze the late ith ereculing their affies. One error they manally make is to consider the respective forfeiture for every day"s defmith as a tas or mate: in consequence of which their practice is, is som as they euter oflice, to assess (ex whicio) cerery inhathtant a sum proportional to the hanour required of him. which they proced on collect as soon as possible-like the proper nfliees do the poor rates. But these several sums are not due matil there has been a defablt to perform the statute labour. In this way the surveyor is open to an action at law for the smms thms eollected from the parishioners: and, further, when the notiee has heen so negligently given, as that its phbliation camot be proved ben minterested witness (i.e., one not bable for statute work in the parish), who can swear to the reading of it by the (lerk, it is no bibune if the parishimers do not go to the roads to work.
(1, :38) Suricyurs also are corrupt, in commuting with parishoners for different amounts, receiving from some bs., from others t.s. from others half-a-crown, ete, or what many of them like better, a bowl of puneh. These things are pmishable by a fine of ans, which the Justiees in their Sessions have power to impose. Such
evils atul many ...hers of tike nature are laracty owing to the practice of electing trateanen, amblersons ith a bithation meresabily dependent amd subperet to
 gratlonu:s, perlaph from contempt of an employnent which retuires litte more
 sece the phhlie delranded and the law evaled.


 times of uftener, lyy stececting statutes." Later, Burn salys that there is no miformity of action amons the survevors, and besmse each has the roals under his charge lor at mont sis dass, abd his mecemor hats other sebomes and motions, the mank are never the hetter. Helore it is lat matural that the people hate a piemic on statule labomr days. Why shmil they not, when their work would be to no purpe ic?

Dialrict survecors, he thinks. shombl be appointed, with salaries, to lay ont the roals and attend and direet the worh, and see that it is well done. Ite thinks this conlal he doate with half the present legal maximum assesment of 6 be in the ponnd.

Bums obpections are two in momber: first, the multiplicity of the laws, and second. the ill direction of the power given by them. The latter objection ean be overome by giving that power to these who lawe no temphation to abouse it ; but the lirst olige etion he consilers very serious.
(p. lis) lixisting stathes relating to the amendment and repair of the highways are not fewer than welve in mumber, made at different times as need required, and :hononding in elanses which legal skill eamot reconeile. Clauses in older statutes hase been left mencepabed, thongh such danses were altered and anomed by sulmeephent lets: different penalties have been inflicted for the same trangresaion by different statut s. Thens the highway laws lave so aceumulated as to be a suligeet of universal complaint.
(p. 4i) llawhins then pleads for modifying the highwily liws so as th remowe incomaistencies. Ite would reduce all into ome law, so ats to be elfective and easy in execulon. In making stabla a law following points at least shombla be looked to:

1. The burden on the pubfie shombl be proportional to their ciremmstanees and abilities to bear it.
$\because$ Those who use the highways most should pay most.
a) The tax on gentlemen of harge personal estates, who keep conches, chariots, ete., should be adjusted in a eomponnd ratio of their wealth and the use they are supposed to mathe of the highways.
2. Surseyors shomble persons of greater property than are msmally apointed to that ofliet.
(p. :2) 'flue advantages from the use of broal wheels, he says, are so apparent that it is needless to insist oll them: "this is eertain, that by meane of then the priex of earriage from lork to London has been rednecal forty per eent." He acknowledges that they do not sueced so well on cross-roads as on the great roads, beeathe the former are usuatly so marow as to admit of only one track. These Wars should be witened, and the use of broad wheels made miversal.
(pp. (it-143) In these pages, he (lraws bip a Bill to suit the ends lie has in view; and if it were passed all the old laws would be repealed and their useful provisions alone embodied in the new law.

## APPENDIX 4

## 

 road on which they were to be collected. larliament lad down the conditions for Ietting the tolls, which included the foltowing: '. 'ro prevent fratud or ang molue preferener in the letting thereof, the 'Prmates are herehe reguired to provide a Glass with so much sand in it as will rmimen Guce bind of it to the other in one Ninute; which dans, at the 'rime of letting the sait 'lolls, shall be set upon a Table, int immediately after every llidding the Giass slatl ive turned, and as soon as the simd in run out it shall 1 cturned agion, and so for Phree Times, maless some other Bideling intervenes: And if mother l'ervon wall bid mutil the sand shall
 or lienter of the sainl Totls" (llills, History of East Girinstead, p. 10s, quoting from Act is (ien). 1V, e. $12(\mathrm{i}$, set. 3.5).

When the term for which the tolls hat previonsly been let was near its expiration, the trustees of the turnpike trust usmally anmone ed in the newspapers of that loeality that they wouk mect at a certain place, on a ecrtain date, to derain let the totls of the turnpike gates which thes eoutrolled. 'This rave notice to thuse who wasted to hid for them to appear at that time. The advertisement wond read sumething like the following, which appeared in the shrezestur:/ Chroniche, Feb. 20, 1753, 1). : - :

Notier is hereby given that "at al mecting of the Trastees, to be heill at the Guidhall (Shrewshury), on Tuestay the exard instant... .the 'iolls ariving on the
 in the county of satop, wilt be let to the best bidder. for the term of three yoars, commencing the secomd day of Darch nest.

## John Wiarem, Clerk tw the Tristecs."

It was the watal rule that the man to whom the tolls were leased had to pay for the lirst month in adsatace, ats an evidence of good finth on his part (v. Act a Geo. IV: c. 12n, see. $5(1)$. But the following atwertisement shows that this was not always reguirel, if the lessee could give other atisfactory security. In the llereford IGurmal, April 20, 1803, p. 1, we lind:

- Ilereford 'Tormpike 'Trust.

Notice is herely given, that the next Meeting of the Trustees will be held, at the (ity-drms llotel, in the City of llereford, on 'uesday, the Third day of May next, when the toll arising from the seveal Turnpike-Gates belonging to this 'Trust will be Let by duction to the best Bidder, who will be regured to give security, to the satisfaction of the Tristees then present, for the performanee of his or flecir contracts.
lanticulars ly appony to Mr. J. Coren, Clerk to the Trustees.
N.II. New irmstees will then be appointed.

Apr. ㄹ, 18us."
Insterd of leaving the notice in the above indentere terms as to the price, it was common to stipulate what was the lowest amount for whieh the fortes would be
 Noraich Giaselfe, Aug. 27, 1814, 1. :3); or else to give the amount for which they

## Aprernli， 4


 realmat，char of the expenses of eollection，durimg the hat year or term of years

 the thlls wonh temb to promeresively increate from year forear．sometines the leave would the mate for only one year，or two sars，or theree yeare，or the trastees


The muthent of lettine the tolls，as told by one who hat seen the process （v．Fowler．Records of olld T゙imes，plp．18－20），well illuntratis why it was that the toll dial not continmomily incerase．The trasteces，who were mostly cometry arentemen of the distriet，gathered at the prineripal inn int the conmety town in
 expended in refreshments：and as there were generally six or＂ight gaters，there would be that mamber of emineas spent amone dhout forty or fifty＂pikers．＂ats they wore called．what attented，but only about six or sevet of these womb be bidders and lensees；these acere men of capilet．Who rwely collerted ，beir own tolls． Those w．．．witnesed these meetims called them the＂Whingering society．＂as the company scattered about the inn yard in small gronps were in fill condave，all in whibers：one would run off and whisper to another group and return agein，when
 was one of the bota file hidhlers，cribently making temms with several threatening opjouments and promising from ane to tive pounde to the becipient who liept from bideling．It the appointed time，a rash wan malle the thetion rom，where the trustecs，with their clerks，treasurers．surverors abl other ollicers were assembled． diter the conditions were rend the letting commenced．but it sometimes hapened that the whipering hand been so effective，that mot a single offer was made，to the astonishment of the trasters，who had not seen the manomares that had been gome on in the yard for more than an homr．Is no bidthing were made，it was then
 sun were ohtained the gates would be withefawn and the trnstees would put in their awn eollectors arrl firm the gates themselves．When the sum wars amonned． a fermeral irman of horror went romed，and the trastees were tok that the offer wats bo outrageons it combl not be listened to ；that the last two years the gates lam not produced more tham zisu to $\mathfrak{E l o g}$ ，and that the lessees had bost all their wages and expenses．lont if they would listen to reason a temant coubl be fomed at \＆obe swdenty some stramer to the＂pikers，＂a deeny put up hy the anctioneer，would bigl \＆iso．at which there wouk be a bust of indignation and outbreak of insulting
 the prices of the erates to about the Eovol ：and very often the former lesse who land decked that he had bust so much by taking the gates for the last two vears， was anvions to atain have them since fore hat really heen protitable to him．．Wll
 olf ever：danacrous apponemt．Many persons cane down from London and
 as pryment for the days work，from the lessee，who hat probably hed the gates for the past two or three years and was rehctant to lose them．Other evils comected with letting the thlls are giver in 1＇ayna，hord Reform．Ip．1783－6；and


The lmsiness of eontracting to take leases of turnpikes was in many instances a very expensive one．＇lhe gentleman who thok most of the gates in Buekinghamshire and some alljoining comties was a Mr Tonge，living at Manchester，and it was
whmated thast he hat over $\& 50,000$ nomually embarhed in gate holdimgs. We retained a regular staff of collectors, who moved abont from one part of the combery
 individnald, who were in the habit of hiting the tolls to a lares amomet, united into a company and leased a great momber of pates, until they had from elou, orow to
 of tollo, it often haplened that upon two paralled lines of roat in the eontrol of the

 (ommittee on 'lumpike lecturns' p. 4! \% ; Ilansarils I'arliamentary Debutes. 1s:35.


## APPENDIX 5

## 

 1. bas are mont autlentice and to indieate in catel corse the somee of the information, -1) 'at it may be catsily verified. It mant mot lee thought that the matter here
 a matter of fict, it has been impositule to seente even eorreet distances betweea phares, heemase wr have momeasmrements of the toads which give an this information
 acompanied sometimes lye shoht changes in the coaching routes, would vitiate any cerics of mileage figures which we might have. These things I have tahen into areonnt in the commation of the milenge and it will be seen that the distance cometimes varies; for between two places the length of the rod differed. aecordine: to the route taken and the straightness or erooheriness of the road. The same dithernlties appear with refermere to time. for a dary at one part ald the year or with one person, dial not mean the same as at amother part of the year or with another feronn; for example, days in summer were lone, while the days in winter were short. It was not matil the eoaches were timed ly lrours and minutes that we get abcuracy in this particolar. In some instances, the length of time repurired to perform a jomrney included the time spent at nights in the inm alung the route; hat we have no knowledge of how moli time was thas consumed. With all these liohbities to error, and others which we need not here mention, it will be : 1 phement that the hest we can get is an approximation to the truth. The great amomet of statistical material presented is intended to awoid any error. due to pateity of data uphon wheh conclusions minht be based: and, making nll duc allowance for these variations, it is elamed that the statinties are as reliable as the available information will permit. The inferenees drawn from them will be found in the text.
Amemelic: is

| Year | Termini | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | Trime required | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per loonr } \end{aligned}$ | No. of miles per dise | Source of dulhority | Iemarhs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1719 | Ipswich-landon | 70 | one day | - | \%0 | Defoe, Tour tiroungh the Whote Istaud of Grcat Rrilnin, 1, 1. : $: 0$ |  |
| 17.51 | 1.andon-ibover | 75 | A little more thath at day | - | abrint al | Lomidou Fiveung l'usis. Mar. 28, 1751 |  |
| 1752 | London-Newbury | $5 \%$ | 12 hours | $4{ }^{3}$ | - | Alvertisement of eoard given in Money, /lisfor! of Nizbur!, 1. :3:88 |  |
|  | (Unknown) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { over } \\ & 1 \geq 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{2 d a y s}$ | - | 6:3 | Brit. Mus, 10,3:36. 2. 11. . Journey through I:nc! !aul, p. 8: |  |
|  | Lambun-I3ath | 110 | 2 days | - | 5.5 | Hith., 1. 139 |  nest night about shirs: left Newlury :bout 2 a.mn., :and reached IBath a p.in.-Iwo long dilys of sti. пинег |
|  | Birmingham-London | 110 | 2 days summer | - | 8 | Aris"s Birmingham Gir:ette, Mar. 30, 17.5:, p. 4 |  |
|  | Birmingham-London | 110 | 3 days summer | - | 37 | Ibinl., Aprill 13, 1752, p. 2 | This was the "shewso bury and Birmingham Caravan," and wasprobably a heavy velicle that carried sonte freight tow |
|  | Shrewsbury, vial Birming- ham, to London | 158 | 4 days summer | - | 40 | I bid. |  |
| 1751 | Lendion-York <br> Londmi-Neweastle | $\begin{aligned} & \because 06 \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { days } \\ & \text { G day } \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | . Iretacologia Actiourr, N.S., mi, p. :2h |  |

Aprendix is

Adertinement of roach given in Malet，Amals
of the Ihoal，p．13，as
quoted from the Edin－
Malet，Ammalsof the Road，
$\underset{\text { Dilvertisement of coach }}{\text { p．}}$
given in Thruple，His－
taken from ipuscich
IIIlls，Hlistory of East
Grinstcull，1P． $1 \not 17$


Blew，Inrighton and Its
Coaches， 1 1， 35
Williamen＇s Liverpool
ddertiser，June 9.1757
Malet，Annals of the
Road，p．14，quoting
 IBrit．Mus．MSS．23，001， in England，＇ 11 an Aris＇s Birmingham
zefte，M：4y 9，1757 Lxon，Aunals of Man－
chester，p． 93 40 summer
33 winter

| 11 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { U } \\ \vdots \\ \hdashline \\ \hdashline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \text { 易 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{6}{3}$ | 空 |  | 完 | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \frac{5}{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\equiv$ | $\underbrace{8}_{8}$ | $\square$ | $\%$ |  | 15 | \％ | $E_{6}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ | 包 $\sum_{0}$ |
| 童 | 会 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 䒘 |  |  | 会 |  |  | 旲 |  |  | S |

Ј．т．II．
isif
Apremliar is

| Year | Termini | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | 'Time" reģitel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per hour } \end{aligned}$ |  | Smarce of Anthority | Renarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 till | 1.omdon-Lecls <br> London-Shetliche | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 01 \\ & 1: 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + days } \\ & \text { : diays } \end{aligned}$ | --- | 15 | Whertisement of (olanville"sConches inW:arde, Shedfieh Public sidece. liser. Now. 4, 1ant <br> Aris's Birminghem Ginzrtle. Ipr.2s. 176io. p. 2 Lectu: Intelligencer. M:yy 1:1.17ill. 1 . 3 | This was dombtlens winter rate |
|  |  |  |  |  | 301 |  |  |
|  | 13imimeham-Mristol | Si; | $\because$ diỵ - bumber |  | 13 |  |  |
| 1761 | Landon-Leceds | 190 | :3 dives smmmer | - | $6: 3$ |  | Coarh advertisement. <br> The dramangers slept the two nizlits at Nortlampson and Nottingham |
|  | 1, cinton- V*ork | 2011 | Bd:av sumblucr <br> f days winter |  |  <br> 5月 winter | Irchasolugin . Ieliant 1 , N..... III, 1. 2 is |  |
|  | Nawc:atle L.andon | 29 | is diss |  | 58 | Hidid. |  |
| 1762 | 19ymorth-lincter | 10 | 1: homes | : 3 |  | IVintlı. Iliviory of Illymonell. ן. 310 |  |
| 170:; | Newoantle-blinhurgh | 10.5 | $\because$ dicu |  | 5: | Irrbucalugia .I liome. $\text { N.... M. 1. } 24$ | L.eft Noweastle in the bacming. haycul that nisht:at licluo: rcacherl bindiurgh nest centins |
| 1819 | York-Nicurastle <br> Lecds-1 andon | 810 |  | - | 90 | Hid.. 1. $\because 18$ <br> foarls advertivement, quoteal in l'arons. Historyof l.cch.1.p.1:3) |  |
|  |  | 190 | 4 disys |  | 47 |  |  |
|  | Sinrewhbury London | 158 |  |  | 79 | Owen ably Blahewas. History of silureachury. 1. 1 . 515 | Went 3 times a weck. but only in stammer. -III former coaches went only once a weck |

Appendix: :

| 176.\% | Neweatile Lomdon 13ath-London | 2911 110 | is days shmmer ca. 233 hours | 4105 |  | Condr atsertixanent, <br>  <br>  <br>  $\because 7,82 x$, P. !, gives the alvertisement of this co:ach | Slower rate in winter. <br> Nights sometimes cut shurt far slepp <br> Tahe out 10 hours fir thee night at Andover, and we have 2:il hours left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1736 | Liverpeot-London | 210 | $\because \underline{\text { dats sumber }}$ <br> 3 days wiater |  | 10.5 shmmer 30 winter | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { lictom, .1/morials of } \\ \text { lizerpmal, "1, p. } 116 \text {, } \\ \text { fuoting froun first } \\ \text { Liverpool dircetors } \end{array}\right.$ |  |
| 1769 | Leeds-London | 190 | $\dddot{Z a}_{2}$ days | - | 76 | larsols, llimpory of Leeds, |  |
| 1772 | Shrewninry-Londen | 15\% | $1 \ddagger$ dixy | - | 10.\% | 1, p. 18\% <br> Owen aml lblahewayg lli:tury of . Birreastury. <br> 1, 1. 51.5 | Thas was during the strmaner only |
|  | Manchester-Iomion | 200 | 2 days stmmer <br> 3 days winter | 二 | 100 masnmer 67 winter | in Chethan servely Publications, lxvin, 11. 127 |  |
| 177:1 | Manchester-Liverpool | 33) | 12 Inours | 8 | - | Axon. Anmals of Mancheveter, 1). 102 |  |
| 1754 | Shrewsbury, via birminghant, to London | 1.5 | 12 day | - | 10.\% | Shrezobury Chromicle, July 9, 1:\%t. p. 1 | Ituring the sumatuer onty |
|  | Neweastle-lork | 90 | cat if locurs | id | - | Newcastle Courant, Ajuril 16, 1:it. p. | The adsertimement gives the time as is hemurs, which includes two -toppages (say l four ench) for meals |
|  | Londion-I Ork | 200 | $\because$ day | - | 111, | Hide. |  |
|  | Southampton-I. Kulou | $\text { C.th. } 400$ | 4 days | - | (a. 100 | IJid.. 1. 4 |  |
|  | Southampton-1.0nfon | 80 | 142 houtrs | 512 |  | Sonthamptun liniale, 17\%t. |  |
|  | Liverpool-l'reston and return | fis | 1 day |  | 1;8 | Williannorns liverpool Ihacriser.June:2 4 , $15: 4$ |  |

Appermlias :


Ipucullia．：
(\%ach aulvertix.nuent

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (oach adluertimement } \\
& \text { lient is times a week }
\end{aligned}
$$

The（lll and Original Shrewshary：Wiolser－ lamptom and liamong－ Tham Fly Thye Bimmingham sma！ She Birmingham fant
 same slaced as the ne alove，but went only This mont have meant
 2
0
0
3
3 night on the rubul

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\％ | \％ | 110 | 雨 | $E$ | E |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | 980 | $=1$ | 1 | 1 | $\pm$ |  | $\pm$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | ¢ | ？ | 关 |  | 蒌 <br> $\frac{2}{3}$ <br> $\frac{2}{3}$ |
|  | $\because$ | S会 | 9 | － | 缶 | 边 | $E$ | 9 |
|  |  |  | 苐 |  |  |  |  | 管 |
| $\therefore \quad:$ |  |  | 景 |  |  |  |  |  |



| Year | Termini | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { miles } \end{gathered}$ | Time required | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per hour } \end{aligned}$ | No. of miles per day | Sourec of suthority | Lemarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1780 | Birmingham-Manchester | 8.) | 1 day smmamer | - | 8.5 | Aris's Rlirmingham Ga | 3 times a week (eonach) |
|  | Birmingham 1.0mdon | 110 | 19 hours summer | 6 | - | Ibicl. | a times a weok (dili- |
|  | Stroul I.ondom | 110) | 1 dixy | - | 110 | Jarckson's Oxford Journanl <br> .Inly e! ! , 1780, [. 3 | gence) Coach indsertisement |
| 1781 | Birmingham- Manchester | 8.5 | 13 honirs stmmer | $6!$ | - | Aris's rlirmingham fia atte, A!g. 20, 1781, p. | Iost coicht |
|  | Liverpool-London | 210 | 4t) hours | 5 | - | Picion, Memorials of Lixerpool u, 1 116 | Daily |
|  | Liverpool-L London | $\because 10$ | 2 days | - | 10.5 | lhit. | These were the slower coaches; one daile and f wo :3 times a wack |
|  | Stroud-Landon London-Wintige | 110 68 | 2 diays | 6 | 5.5 |  |  |
|  | Oxford-13ath | 68 | 11 homrs | 6 | - |  |  |
|  | Manchester-Dirmingham | 8.5 | 15 liours | c:1. 6 | - |  |  |
| 1782 | 13irmingham-Bristol | 86 | 14 hours winter | 6 | - | Aris's Birminglam fiaEefle, Jan. 7, 178:, p. 1 |  |
|  | Birmingham-L.ondon | 110 | 22 hours winter | : | - | Ibinl. <br> Hall, History of Nimtreich, p. ©2:33, quotiti上 from Chester Guile 13ook of 1782 |  |
|  | Chester-Lendori | 180 | 2 days summer <br> $2 \frac{1}{2}$ days winter | - | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \text { smmmer } \\ & 82 \text { vinter } \end{aligned}$ |  | * |
|  | Oxford-Tirmingham | (i) | 23 hours | : | -- | . Tackson: sor forld Iommat <br> Nov. 12. 178:2.11. 4 | Coacha mbertisement |



| Year | 'Termini | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { miles } \end{gathered}$ | 'rine required | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per hour } \end{aligned}$ | No. of miles per day | Source of Authority | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1786 | Lonimion-Chester | 180 | 2 day's | - | 90 | General Adterliser, Oct. $20,1786, \mathrm{p} .4$, athl Nov. 14, 17sif, p. 4 | Lay at Coventry the intersening night for 9 hours. This post coach carried enly +4 insiders and a servant on the box |
|  | L.ondon-Chester Chester-Holyhead | 180 60 | 30 hours 1 day | 6 | $\overline{G 0}$ | Ibid.. Oct. 20, 17sti, p. 4 Ibid. |  |
|  | London-Chester | 180 | 37 hames | 5 | - | Ibid., Irce. 8, 1786, p. 1 |  |
|  | London, via Oxford, to Eirmingham | 120 | 16 hours | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | Ihin., Dece. 22. 1:86, 1. 1 | There was atos : two day eoach that went |
|  | L.ondon-Plymouth | 215 | is hours | 72 | - | it James Chronicle, Dec. <br> 7, 1786. 13. : | very leinarely Coar I advertisement |
| 1787 | London-shedlield | 1.50 | 26 hours | 6 | - | Le:ader, sheffied in the Eighteenh Century. p. 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jf omrney in } 1760 \\ & \text { to. } 3 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | London-Manehester | 19.5 | 1 day smmmer | - | 19.\% | General Adwertiser. May $21,1787,1.4$ |  |
|  | 13ristol-London | 120 | 18 hours | ca. 7 | - | Felix Paricevos Bristol Journal, Jin. 6, 1787, 1. 1 |  |
|  | Bristol-Wirmingham | 86 | 1.5 honrs | $3_{3}$ | - | Ibid., July 2s, 1787, p. 1 | P'almers mail eonch |
| 1788 | Manchester-London | 19.3 | 28 hours | $\%$ | - | Maneliester Collectumea, in Chethan Society Publications, Lxumi, 1. 153 |  |
|  | Shrewsbury-London | 158 | ca. 22 hours | $7+$ | - | delvertisement given in Salopian Shreds and Patelies, 1, p. 5.5 |  |


| 1786 | Oxford-13ristol (vi:1 | $\because 2$ | 112 hours | i | -- | Felic Fatley's Bristal Journul, May 17. 17s8. 1. 3 | 1ast coundr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | l:artinglon, Fairforl, Cirencester, Tetbury, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sorlhury) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bristol-Birmingham | $8(5$ | 1.4 hours | 6 |  | Ihi.I. | I'almer's mail coach |
|  | Birmingham-Manchester | 85 | 1 d ay | - | 8.5 | Bristul Gazelle aull Public <br> Ahertiser, Mivy 15, | stepe at Newcastle on the way |
|  | Airmingham-Manchester | 8.5 | 27 hours <br> (1; hours) | 54 | - | Hict. | If we tahe. saly, 11 hours out as the time vecupied in resting at might, it will leave it hours on the road |
|  | London-Bristal | 120 | 16 hours | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | Bath Chrouicle, April 17, 178s. p. : | some other corades took 11 day |
| 1789 | Chester-London | 180 | 36 hours | 5 | - | HillI, Ilistory uf Vemtzeich, p. ©3:3, flootiner from Chevter Guille Book of 178 : |  |
|  | Bath-lixeter | 80 | $11 \frac{1}{2}$ liours | 7 | - | Ba!h ('lirmicle, Jan. 8, 1789, 1. 1 |  |
|  | 13ath-l midon | 110 | 1 day | - | 110 |  |  |
|  | Bath-London | 110 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ day | - | 73 | Ibid.. P. 2 |  |
|  | 13ath-Lomion | 110 | $\underline{\sim}$ days | - | \% 5 |  |  |
| 1790 | 6- ford-Sonthampton $^{\text {a }}$ | 38 | 12 howrs | $3+$ | - | Jackson's O.rford.Iourmal. June $\mathbf{8}, 1790$, р. 4 |  |
|  | London-Erighton | 57 | 9 liours | 013 | - | Blew. Ibrightom and Its Couches. 1. 39 |  |
| 1791 | Loudon-Salislury | 88 | 8 to 10 hours | (1) to 11 | - | IBrit. Mlıs.. Adr. MSS. 28,570, 1. :3:, blune 1.5 i | This was smomer. Lell Lendon soon after lu a.m.: supucalath slept at Salisbury |
|  | Salishury-Liveter | 90 | 8 to 10 henars | 9 to 11 | - | Hid, June 1; | 1.eff Satishary soon affer 10 :t.m.: supped atod slept at Iiveter |


| Year | Termini | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { miles } \end{gathered}$ | Time required | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { ber liour } \end{aligned}$ | No. of miles per day | Souree of Authrity | İemarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1: 91$ | Excter 'I'ruro | 88 | 9 to 11 hours | 8 to 93 | - | Brit. Mus., Adu. Mss 28,570, p. 38, June 17 | left lix ter somon after 9) :1.m.; supped and |
|  | [Hull - London | 18.7 | 3 diny | - | 6: | Battle, Mull Directury, | sicpl at 'lrumo |
|  | Dower-(ionterlonry | 16 | a little aver $\geq$ hours | Cil. X | - | 1791, p1. (6.j-7:3 <br> Brit. Mh1s. .jti\%. e. 7. <br> Beytrïge zur k'mut. |  |
|  | Lomion-13righton | \% | 8 lours | 7 | - |  |  |
|  | London-Shrewshmry | 1.5 | 2! hours | S1 | - | Murning (lıromicle, $\_{\text {p }}$, il |  |
|  | 1.andorn- Bristor | 120 | 17 hours | 7 | - | Ilid. | - Whertiscment said this was thre ouly coteh that ratl through to |
|  | Loudoll- Bath | 110 | 1.5 hours | 7 | - | Hid. | Bristal in a day |
|  | Loudors-liceds | $\begin{array}{r}190 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 28\% hours | (a. 7 | - | Morming Post and Daily Aherliser, July 20, 1791, p. 1 |  |
| 1762 | Lichfoeld Birminatam | \% | 8 hiours | ¢:1. 7 | - | 1hid. |  |
|  | \% -Aleenter | 17 10 | is hours $\therefore$ hours | \% | - | Brit. Mns., AdA. Mss. 30,173, 1. $2: 2$ |  |
| 1793 | Loudon Southampton | 81 | 10-12 hours | $60 \frac{2}{3}-8$ | - | Bairl, Igriculture of |  |
|  | Loundun - Cambridge | 55 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ hours | 3 | - | Midelleser, [). 35 The Worll, Sept. 18, |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 188 \\ 110 \end{array}$ | 13 hours | 78 | - | 1793, p. 4 <br> Ilid. |  |
|  | 1.0udon- Bath <br> L.ondon-Cumbitidge | 110) | 1.5 hours | \% | - | Hid. |  |
| 1796 | 1.07木年-(ambinge | ..), | \& lioults | \% | - | Crambrielge Jivecturif. $1796,1 \cdot 159$ | L.omdon and Cambridge Diligence |


| 1796 | Lomalan Cambridge Wisbeact: Cimbride. | 5.5 3.1 | \% $\frac{1}{2}$ hamers | $7 \frac{1}{3}$ | 二 |  | Royal Mail Coach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cambridge-London | 55 | 10 hours | 51 | - | Ibid., p. 160 | "The Fly" |
|  | Cambridge-ISirmingham | 105 | 2 days | - | 321 | Hibil., p. 161 | Post eoach |
|  | York-London | 200 | 31 hours | ca. 7 |  | York Guide, 1796, 1. 4:3 |  |
| 1797 | Sislmonth-Exmouth | 10 | 7 hours | 12 | - | l3rit. Dhes., AldI. BISS. 28,7983, , 146 | Sept $\quad 39,179 \%$ |
|  | Oahampton-Lammeeston | 20 | + + hours | , | - | Ibid., p. .57 ) |  |
|  | Lanneeston- Bodmin | 21 | $4+$ hours | . | - | Ilioil., 1. (63 | Nov. 1797 |
|  | Penzanee-Ilciston | 1.4 | $2+$ liours | 7 | - | lbid.. 1. 121 |  |
| 17!8 | Edinlargh-Loudon | 400 | 3 nights and 2 days | $\frac{7}{7}$ | 160 | Harris, The Poaching A! Mr, J. 27! | 1 have called this $9 \frac{1}{2}$ days of $2 \cdot t$ hours each |
|  | - - | -- | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I (heavyC.) } \\ & 6 \text { (hight C.) } \\ & 7 \text { (mail C.) } \end{aligned}$ | - | Didalleton, lion of the Agricullure of $.1 / i d$ dlesex, p. : 4.4 | lates inehule all stoppages. Difforence is due to difference in amonnt of time consumed in stopprages |
| 1800 | Brightorn-London | 58 | $9-10$ hours summer | (6-6) ${ }^{1}$ | - | Brighthelmstom Guide. 1800, p. 82 | Di-tance is given as :57-so miles |
| 1805 | Manchester-Kiendal | 75 | 15 hours | $\pm$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I3rit. Mins., Alel. MSS. } \\ & 30,929,1 \mathrm{p} .16 \end{aligned}$ | Time taken was from $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 12 p . ml ., or 17 hours. But take out an hour each for dinner and supper |
| 1808 | Birmingham-Notting- ham | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 9-9 \frac{1}{2} \text { honrs } \\ & \text { winter } \end{aligned}$ | . -5.5 | - | Aris's Birmingham Gazelle, lell. 15, 1808, p. 1 |  |
| 1810 | - | - | - | ca. 6 | - | Simond's Tratelsinfireat Britain,, p. 16 | "Our rate of travelling does not exceed six miles an liour, inchading stoppages, but we might go faster if we desired it" |
|  | Bath-Ilfracombe | 100 | 2 days | 4-11 | - | Jackson, The Bath Archices, 1, p. 132 | Hills were steqp and frequent, the weather was warm, and they were heavily laden |



Apuendix 5


| lear | Termini | Nu. of miles | Time reguired | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per hour } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per diay } \end{aligned}$ | Sonres of Authority | Remanh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1821 | Birmingham - London | 110 | 1.5 hours winter | 71 | - | Arin's Birminaham Gia- |  |
|  | Birminghan-Landon | 110 | 15 hours summer | 71 | - | zetle, fanl. 8, 1:ะ1, p. 1 <br> Ibill., April 2, 18:21, p. :3 | These were all different |
|  | Birmingham-London | 110 | 18/ honrs winter | 6 | - | Inil., dan. 8, I821, 1. 1 |  |
|  | Birminghan-London | 110 | 15i , , ., | 7 | - | Ibil. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Birmingham-Osford | ${ }_{6} 0$ | 7 , ", | (a. 8 | - | Ibicl. |  |
|  | Sirmingham-Oxford | 60 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ ", " | 7 | - | 1bit. | Two different coaches |
|  | Sirminghan-Liverponl | 98 | 13 hours summer | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | Ibid., Oet. 15, 1821, p. 1 |  |
|  | Birmingham-Liverpool | 98 | 1:3 .. ., | 72 | - | Ibid. | Two different coaclies |
|  | Lomblon-Manchester | 18.5 | 19 ", | cia. 10 | - | Hid. |  |
|  | Birminghan-Manchester | 85 | 10d $\frac{1}{2}$ hours winter | 8 | - | 1bid., Nov. 19, 182I, p. 1 |  |
| 1822 | Shrewshury-Lomdon | 158 | 18 hours | 9 | - | Owen and I3lakeway, History of Shrewabury, $1,!.510$ |  |
|  | Birmingham-13:th | 88 | 122 $\frac{1}{2}$ honrs summer | 7 | - |  zettr... pril $15,1 \times 2: 2,1.1$ |  |
| 1823 | ISirmingham Lonton | 110 | 14 , " | K | - | Ibbul., July $21.18: 3$, 万. 1 |  |
| 182.4 | slanchester-I.ombon | 18.5 | ca. 22 hours | e:. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | . 1 anuchester fiazelle, Inly $\because 4,18 \div 24,11.1$ |  |
|  | Mamehester-LBirmingham | 85 | 11\% homes | (a. 8 | - | Hid. |  |
| 18:\% | Colchester-London | 20 | Cat 6 hours | $9+$ | - | Cronawell. History of Cotchester, ID. Hos |  |
|  | Birmingham-London | 110 | 1:3 hours winter | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | Aris's Birmingham (inzette, Jam. 10, 1825, 1P. 1; Janl. 31, 1825 | This was calleat "smice rior travelling" |
|  | Birminghan-London | 110 | 124 ", | 9 | - | Ilid., Ian. 31 , 182\% |  |
|  | Birmingham-London | 110 | 132 , " | $8+$ | - | Ibid. |  |
|  | Birminghan-London London-York | 110 | $14 \frac{1}{2} \quad$, | 73 | - | Ibid. |  |
|  | London-York | -00 | $\begin{gathered} 2 t-25 \text { hours } \\ \text { winter } \end{gathered}$ | 8 | - | Lomdon Mngazine, ‥S., $1,1825,5,36$ |  |



Apmendix is

| Y: | Termini | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { miles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { required } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { per hour } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { miles } \\ & \text { pre diay } \end{aligned}$ | Source of Autherity | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18: 30$ | Cowentry- Lomdon Coventry-Manchester Coventry (aublridge | $\begin{array}{r}90 \\ 100 \\ 81 \\ \hline 80\end{array}$ | 10 hours <br> 131 hours <br> 1 day | $\frac{9}{7}$ | $\overline{81}$ | West, History of Waracichsthire, 1P. 7e2 | If will be noted flat Hocre in much divernty Hetween Hisese tigures and thase wiven by Harris below. And yet different kinds of eobaches travelled at different rates shieh may acerome for the diversity |
| $\begin{gathered} 18: 31 \\ c a . \\ 1 \times 3: 32 \end{gathered}$ | Mamehester-1.eeds | 4.59 | i-x hirs, stage C. <br> fij lirs, mail (c. | $5 \frac{1}{71}$ | 二 | Mancheter limardian, <br> .1an. 29, 1831, 1. 1 |  |
|  | London-Birmangham | 110 | 12 limurs |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,ondon-Exeter | ca. 17.5 | 17 d homes | $11{ }^{+}$ | - |  |  |
|  | Lamdon-1, cicenter | 92 | $11 \frac{1}{4}$ hours | s | - | Baines, ont the Track of the Mail Couch, pue 30 , |  |
|  | Lomdon-Mamehenter | 18.5 | $17 \frac{1}{4}$ hours | ca. 11 | - |  |  |
|  | London- ${ }^{\text {a rewhary }}$ | 1.58 | 16 hemes | ca. 10 | - |  |  |
|  | Glouester-Brightom | 152 | 15 hours | 10 | - |  |  |
|  | London-Brichton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | London-brighton | 57 | 4 hars 10 mmas | ca. 14 | - | Cosaches, 11, 182 |  |
|  | Braybrooke-London and return | 1611 | 12 hours | 131 | - | Loudun: and Birmingham Railatay Isill. Eixtracts |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | from Minutes of livi |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | dence given before the |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Woreester-London | 118 | 16i $\frac{1}{2}$ hours | 7 | - | Ibid., p. 22 |  |
|  | Leicester-L.ondon | 92 | 11 hours | 81 | - | Hhid., p. 24 |  |
|  | London- Mheghton | $50+$ | less than shour | 10 |  | Brit. Mus., Add. Mss $27.825,116$ |  |

Thle rate in miles per hour hass been workerl ont on the suppositions
Hat Ilarris＇s figures that Darris＇s higures
are correct．ibut con－ trast the fignres given
loy Abaines on fage Ton
 day eoaches and mails
running out of London
D
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## APPENDIX 6

## 

Tus fullowing data upon this shlije have been collected amb urranged in tabular form: and it may here be suin thet this tuble is subject th the deviations from aecuracy that were mentioned at the begimime of Aprendix s. For example, of those who wonld serbtinize these statisties chosely it will be uppareat that thec distane between extain grent termini is different in some ease from that 1 other cases. "flais is due in some inse neres to the faet that different romtes were

 Jead throngh Coventry, Birmingham, Neweante mod Hacelestield. The improve ment of the rasuls binally led also to the straghtening of them, and ronseghently th the reduction of the distances. In most of these eases we have considered the cost of travelling upon the great highways of commmateation of the kingelom, for the statistics of travel on the minor erons-romeds have been dittient to securs, probably beemae the great majority of the trivellers were destined for the great towns und citien on lanimes, rather than for the smaller places on the eross-roads. Onr conclasion from the statisties here presented will be fomm in the text.


| Vear | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ ernnini | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | 'Total fire (insicle) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiare } \\ \text { wer nile } \end{gathered}$ | Sonirce of Authority | Rembirks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \& s. d. | d. |  |  |
| 1761 | *ilrewsloury-L.ondon | 1.88 | 110 |  | Owen and Ilakeway , J/istory of |  |
| 176 ifi | Bhrewsloury-Lomdon | , 28 | 1160 | $\because 3$ | cilurecbury. 1, j).515 |  |
| 1769 | Leeds-l,ondon | 190 | 1116 | 2 | Parsons, /hisfory of Lireds, i, j. li,o, 'fuoted from the conch adver tisement |  |
| 1772 | Shrewsloury-Lomdon | 158 | 11.10 | 23 | Owell and J3akeway, History of Shrezesbury, 1, 1. 15 |  |
| 1774 | Livernool-Nimehester | 3.3 | 811 | $2 \%$ | Advertisement in Williamson's Lirerpool Alvertiser, April 1:, $17 \% 4$ |  |
| 1775 | Liverjoon - I'reston | 3.4 | 86 | :3 | Advertisenment of coinch in Wil. linmson's firerpoul Alderliser, Jine 2t, 177t |  |
|  | Newoustle-lialinburyb | $10 \%$ | 1 6; | :3 | Advertisement of comed in Vrarastle Couramt, April 16, 177.4, 1. \& |  |
|  | Shrewslury-I.ondon | 1.88 | 1100 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | Alvertisement of eosch in shreass bury Chromirle, July !), 17\%4, 1. ) |  |
|  | Tondon-Newrastle | 2910 | :3 30 | $2 \cdot 1 ;$ | Advertiscment of ediach in Nete- <br>  |  |
|  | Si :ithampton-Lombon | 80 | 160 | $21$ | Southaminton Guirle. 1774. 1). 6:3 |  |
|  | Hereford-Monmouth | 1!) | 15 \% | !) | I3rit. Mus., M |  |
|  | 'The elbine eost so much lice:tuse the road was stons athl hilly |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 110 | 170 | e:1. 3 | Shrembur! Chromicle. April 15, 17デ). 11.: |  |
|  | Iereford-London | 12.5 | 1100 | $\text { ca. . } 3$ |  |  |
|  | IIfreford-Choucester | 27 | 7 ii | $: 3: 3$ | /heriford .Iniormal. Dee. 1, 180.5, |  |
|  | Hereford-13ristol (via (Aloucester) | $6: 3$ | 110 | $2 \%$ | D. 2 |  |


| 17\% | Newerstle - Iondon | $2!4$ | : 6 | 0 | $\because 3$ | Armstromg. Pont fiomls betacen Lemdon umi Fidinlurgh, 17:ri, p. . | lolyinge coitcla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Neweastle-Lidinhmrgh | 10.5 | 111 | 6 | 33 | Jlid. | liyiny conch |
|  | I.ondon-Vork | 200 | $\because 2$ | 0 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 bid. | Flying eoath |
|  | York-Neweastle | $!40$ | 11 | 0 | 21 | Itid. | lywing coach |
|  | Vork-Londorr | 200 | 22 | 0 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | Jhid. | Vork Ohl Conelı a ninlit conachl |
|  | lork-London | $\because 00$ | $\because 10$ | 0 | :3 | Joid. | York Johigence- diny colath |
|  | Lomdon-stianford | 90 | 18 | 0 | 2\% | lhid., p. 6 |  |
|  | Lomion Leeds | 100 | 2 | 0 | -3 | lbid. |  |
|  | London-Liucoli | 1:11 | 17 | 0 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | Itid. |  |
|  | London-IBristoI | 120 | 1 :1 | 0 | $2 \frac{1}{3}$ | Felix Farley's Bristol.Iourmul, Now. $\because, 1770, p .2$, gives alvertisement | Stage coach |
|  | Lonton-llath | 110 | 11 | 6 | $2 \frac{1}{3}$ | Ilid. | Stinge coard |
|  | London-I3ristol | 100 | 1 \% | 0 | $2{ }^{2}$ | Hid. | lost coach |
| 1777 | IBristol-Loudon | 120) | 111 | (i) | 3+ | Bristol Gazette amd Public IIferliser, Aug. 7, 17\%7, 11. 1, gives the advertisement |  |
|  | 13ath-lixeter | 80 |  | 0 |  | Hid. | Short distance passengers, 3d. jer |
|  | I3ristol-lixeter | 75 | 18 | 0 |  | 1 方. | nnile |
| 1778 | London-Slirewsbury | 158 | 116 | 0 | 23 |  |  |
|  | Jondon-Wolverh:mpton | 1:5 | 18 | 0 | 23 | Alis's Birmingham Gazelte, April |  |
|  | Jondon-Sirminghant | 110 | 15 | 0 | -3 | - $0,1778, \mathrm{I} \cdot 3$ |  |
|  | l.ondon-- Coventry | !0) | 11 | 0 | 24 |  |  |
|  | Birmingham-Shelfich | 73 | 10 | 0 | 31 | Aris's Lirminghom (inzeltr, Day |  |
|  | Coventry-I London | (0) | 11 | 0 | $\because 3$ | \#.5, 1778 , 11. "2 |  |
|  | IBirmingham, via Oxford, to London | 120 | 1 ! | 0 | 21 | Ificl., Oct. 5 , 1774, 1. 2 | Carried only 4 inside passengers |
|  | Birmingham-London | 110 | 15 | 0 | 21 | Jhid. |  |
| 17\%9 | London-IBristol | 120 | 15 | 0 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | Nelix Farley's Bristol Journal, Ang. $14,1759,1$. 1 , gives advertisement | "One Day Machine" |
|  | London-Bath, | 110 | 1 :1 | 0 | 23 | Ihid. | " One Iny Nachine" |
|  | London-I3risial | 120 | 112 | 0 | 23 | lluid. | los! Coach or Diligence |
|  | London-13ath | 110 | 110 | 0 | 23 | Ibid. | Post (bich or Miligence |


| Vear | Termini | No. of miles | Total fare (inside) | Fiare per mile | Somree oí Authority | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1779 | London-Carlible | 30.5 | $\begin{array}{ccc}\& & s . & d \\ 3 & d & \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | $d .$ |  |  |
|  | Carlisle-Glasmow or Portpatrick <br> $\therefore$ London-Glasgow or Portpatrick | 100 1005 | 1 106 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot: 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Advertisment of eoach is given in Malet, dmals of the Road, 1. 24 | The advertisement says that passengers taken up on the road were to pay 4. . per mile, inside, for either coach or diligence |
|  | Bewdley-Birmingham | - | - | 3 | Aris's Birmingham Gazelte, April 12, 1779, p. 1 | The alvertisement reads "each passenger to pay Thref-pence per Mile" |
|  | London-Birmingham | 110 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 5 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ |  | Ibid. | "The Old and Original Slirewsbury, Wolverhampton, Wialsall, |
|  | London-Shrewshury | 1.88 | 1160 | $93$ | Thin. | bury, Wolverhampton, Walsall, and Birmingham Fly" |
| 1780 | Birmingham-Manehester <br> London-Steyning <br> Landon-Brighton <br> Tondon-Ltroud <br> LAmdon-Cirencester | 85 51 | $\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 1 & 0 \\ & 11 & 0\end{array}$ | 3 | Ilid., April 10, 1780, p. 1 |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  | Blew, Brighton and Its Coathes, p. 37 |  |
|  |  | 110 | 11  <br> 10 0 | $2 \cdot 14$ |  |  |
|  |  | 98 | 190 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $1780,1,3$ |  |
| 1781 | Liverpool-L.ondon | !10 | 2150 | $3+$ | Pieton, Memorials of Lizerpool. 11, p. 11f, quoting from advertisement | This diligenee was a smperior kind of vehicle |
|  | Birminghath-Manchester | 8.5 | 110 | 3 | Aric's Birmingham Grazelf, Aug. $30,1881, p .1$ | Short distance passengers paid 3d. per mile |
|  | I.ondon-Wantage <br> Iondon-Wallingforl | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 5! \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}2.3 \\ 2.0\end{array}\right)$ | Dackson's Orford Journal, April 2 k , |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L.ondon-Wallingford } \\ & \text { Oxford-Wath } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 1010 \end{array}$ | 2.2 3.7 | 1781.p. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1782 | Rristol-GIoucester | 36 | 80 | 23 | Sarah Farey's Bristol Iournal |  |
|  | Cliester-London | 180 | 1116 | 2 | April 27, 1782, p. 2 <br> ILall, History of Nantzich, 1?. 233, quoting from Chesfer Guide Book 1782 |  |

Short distanee passengers, $3 d$. Her
mile
The proprictors of this coach say that these rates are conhanced over those previously in force, because of exeessively histh
lhese higher rates are explained in the advertisement as neerssary becanse of "the several additional duties that have now taken place on all post-eoaches,
togrtlier with the very great togrther with the very great
expence matwoidably incurred in supporting the same'


| Year | Termini | No. of miles | Total (insi |  | Fare per mile | Source of Authority | Ihemarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1784 | London- Mrintol or Bath | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ 10 r \\ 110 \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} £ & s . \\ 1 & s \end{array}$ |  | :3-3 | Advertisement given in Felix Farley゚s Bristol Journal, Oct. : 1784, 1. 1 | The first of Patmer's mail coaches |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bristol-London |  | 18 | 0 | $2 \cdot 8$ | Bommer and Middleton's Bristol Journal, Mareh 20, 1784. p. 1 Jackson's Orford Journal, Oet. :30. | Short distance passengers, 3 bl. per mile |
|  | Oxiord-London | 60 | 12 | 6 | 29.5 |  |  |
| 1785 | ISristol-Portsmonth | ss | $\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 4 & 0 \\ & 1.5 & 0\end{array}$ |  | (:1. 4 | Advertisement in Bommer :ald Middleton": Bristol Journal, Maỵ 7, 1785, p. 2 | l'almer's mail eoach |
|  | Bristol Salishory | :3: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Livarpool-L Lomdun | $\underline{10}$ | $31: 3$ |  | $4!$ | Advertisement in Gore's Liverpool Adertiser. July 2.2, 178.5 | First mail coach on this road |
|  | 13ristol-Oxford | 78 | 1.4 | 0 | $2+$ | Jhehson's Oxforil Jomernal, ipril2, 1785, p. 4 <br> Ibid.. Now. 12, 1785, p. : |  |
|  | O) ¢ford-Bristol | 78 | 11 | 0 | $3 \cdot 2$ |  |  |
|  | Oxford-London | $(6)$ |  | 0 | $3 \cdot 6 \quad$ | Ibid. p. | Those elarging the bower price were lientmed by the Vieco Chancellor of the inisersity- |
|  | ()xford-London | 60 | $1: 3$ |  | $2 \cdot 6$ ) | Ibit., P. 4 |  |
|  | L.ondon-Chester | 180 | 3 : |  | $4 \cdot 2$ | Morning Chroniele and I.ondom Aldertiser, Jan. S, 178.5. p. 4 |  |
| 1786 | Neweastle-Curlisle | 5) | 1.) | 0 | :314 | Archacologia Acliana, N.S., 11t, p. 2.52 |  |
|  | Bristol-London | 120 | 17 | 0 | $2 \cdot 7$ | Bommer and Middleton's Kristol Jourual, Dee. 23, 1786, p.: Uhid. | "Balloun enach" |
|  | Bristol-1.ondon | 120 | 16 | 0 | $2 \cdot 6$ |  | "Iight post coach" <br> "Four-horse eoach' |
|  | Bristol-London | 120 | 12 | 0 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | Oxford--I3irmingham | 60 | 18 | 0 | 3.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jackson's O.rford Journal, May 27, } \\ & 1786,1, .3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | London- Dover Mlymonth-London | 75 215 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 9 & 9\end{array}$ |  |  | St James Chronicle, Der. 7, 1786. p. 2 |  |
|  | Plymonth-London Jandon-lineoln | 215 1.42 | 2 |  |  |  |  |


| 1786 | Landon Cliester | 180 |  | 0 | $4 \div$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chenter Molytrad | 6i0) | 111 | ${ }^{5}$ | 6-3 |  | Nor reason can loe given for the |
|  | Lantan-Chester | 180 |  | 0 | 3 |  | great difference letweenthese |
|  | London-Nautwich | 161 | 22 | 0 | :3+ | Geweral Advertiser. Oct. 20,1786 , | fares from loondon to Chester, |
|  | London-Stafforl | 1:37 | 111 | ( | 2.7 | 1. 4 | as the kind of eoach and the |
|  | London-Lichfick | 12: | 18 | 0 | 2.7 |  | speed were the same in eacla |
|  | London-Šorthampton | 63 | 17 | 0 | 3.2 |  |  |
|  | London-IIolyhead | $2 \cdot 10$ | 316 | 6 | 3.8 |  |  |
|  | Lendon-Jolyhead | 240 | 414 | A | 4.7 | Hid., Ňov. 14, 1786, 12. 4 |  |
|  | Lomdon-Chester | 180) | 33 | 1 | 42 | Hid., Nov. 1.t, 1786, 1. 4 |  |
|  | London-IJolyhead | 2.40 | 37 | 0 | $33 \cdot 3$ |  | I cant assign no reason for these |
|  | Lomdon-Chester | 180 | 116 | 0 | $2 \cdot 1$ |  | dilferences of fare between |
|  | London-Coveutry | 90 | 18 | $1)$ | 2.4 | Thil., Dee, 8, 1786, 1). 1 | Lonton and llolyhead and |
|  | London-Niantwich | 161 | 113 | $1)$ | $\because \cdot 5$ |  | Chester in the same year |
|  | Lomden-Chester (wia Oxford, Jirminghant, Newport and Whitclureh) | 188 | 212 18 | 6 | $8 \cdot 4$ | Ibid., Dec. 2.2 , 1786, p. 1 |  |
| 1787 | Shetlickd-Lomdon | 150 | 117 | 0 | 3 | Leader, sheffiell in the Visthereth |  |
|  |  | 50 | 11 | 0 |  | Cenlury, p. 100 |  |
|  | Shellield--Leeds | 33 | 5 | 0 | cat. | lbid. |  |
|  | Shetlield-Birmingham | 72 | 8 | 0 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | lhid. |  |
|  | 13:ath-Clouecster | 38 | 12 | 0 | ca. 4 |  |  |
|  | 13ath-Tewkeshury | 49 | 16 | $1)$ | 4 |  |  |
|  | Bath-Worcester | 683 88 88 | 10 | 0 | c:1. 4 |  |  |
|  | 13atli-Upton-on-Severn | 5.5 | 17 | 0 | :3.7 | $11.1$ |  |
|  | Batli-Kidderminster | 78 | 15 | 0 | 4 |  |  |
|  | Bath - Bridgnorth | 91 | 110 | 0 | 38 8 |  |  |
|  | I3ath-Sinrewshury | 118 | 116 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 13ath-London | 110 | 15 | 0 | $\because \cdot 7$ |  |  |
|  | I3atli-Oxford | 68 | 11 | 0 | 83.7 |  |  |
|  | 13istol-l.andon | 120 | 17 | 0 | $2 \cdot 7$ | Ilinl., Jan. 18, 178\%, 1. 1 |  |



Appendix 6


| Year | 'Termini | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { miles } \end{gathered}$ | Total fare (inside) | Fare per mile | Source of Authority | lkemarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1790 |  |  | \& s. d. | $d$. |  | I am tuable to explain these low rates. It was not due (t) eompetition, for only one coach went to Birmingh:m fonr times a week (ilid., \|r. Ni3) |
|  | Shelfield-York | 8.5 | 110 | :3 |  |  |
|  | Sheltieh-Leeds | 33 | 50 | $\cdots$ | Sheffield Leneal Register. 1. 61 |  |
|  | Shetfied-Birmingham | 73 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Loudon-brighton | 57 | 180 | $3 \cdot 8$ | Blew, Mrighton and Its Couelies, |  |
|  | I.ondon-Woreester | 118 | 180 | 3 | 1. 39 Jackson's Orford Journal, A ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ril 10. |  |
|  | London-Oxforl | 60 | 180 | $3 \cdot 6$ | $1790,1) .3$ |  |
|  | Oxford-Southampton | 38 | 110 | $6 \cdot 6$ | Ihid., June is, 17so. p. 4 | Do not know why the fare shonld have leeen so high on this eross road |
| 1'991 | Hull-London | 185 | 220 | 23 | Battle, IIall Direetory, 1791. IP. 6.5-73 |  |
|  | London-Birmingham | 110 | 180 | 3 | Morning Post amd Daily ddier- |  |
|  | Lendon-Warwick | 190 | 1 5 0 | 31 | liser, July $20,1701,10.1$ |  |
|  | Lommton-shiffnal | 140 | 1300 | 2 | Morning (hronie!e, April 20,1 , 51 , |  |
|  | London-Wolvertampton | 125 | 120 |  | $1.1$ |  |
|  | I.ondon-Bristol | 120 | 1100 |  |  |  |
|  | London-Bath | 1110 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 8 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ |  | 1 hisl. |  |
|  | London-Birmingham or Walsall | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ | 110 |  |  |  |
| 1702 | London-Colchester | \%is | 140 |  | Ibid., April 11, 1792, p. 1 |  |
|  | London-Ipswich | 73 | 190 | 3 |  |  |
|  | Lamdon- Wiondtridge | 82 | $1: 0$ | $3+$ |  |  |
| 1;93 | London-Southampton | 80 | 10 B | 13 | Baird, Agrieulture of Midllesen, p. 35 | He says this machine was little inferior to the mail coaches in case and speed: lint that the difference in expense was eonsiderable |



| Yicar | 'Termini | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | Total f:are (insicle) | Fare <br> permil | Sonree of Anthority | llemarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1804 |  |  | \& s. ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | 11. |  |  |
|  | Manchester, via Derly and Nottingham, to Lomen | 19.\% | $3{ }^{3}$ is 19 | $4+$ | Manchestar Collcefanea, in Chetham society Publieations, havas. p. 160, quoting from Vanchester Directory of 1808 |  |
|  | Mamehester-Macelesfieh | 21 | 106 | ( | Ibial. | These were the fares by mail |
|  | Wiamehester-Molton | 12 | \% 0 | 6 | lbid. | eoach th cath easer. Lik those |
|  | Manchester-Dirminghan | 9.4 | 1150 | $4 \cdot 5$ | Ibicl. | given oll precerling prages, |
|  | Manchester-Leeds | 45 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 6 | Ibisl. | minler date of lisk, they se |
|  | Manchester-York | 69 | $1150$ | 6 | Ihid. | to have been mueh higher thats <br> the fares on most of the other |
|  | Manchester-Liverpool | 35 | $1.4 \quad 0$ | ea. 5 |  |  |
|  | Birmingham—sheflicld | 73 | 80 | 1 ! | Aris's Birminghom Giazelle, Fel. 15, 1sus, 1). 1 | This was reduced fare. 13efore this new eoaeh started the fare was $£ 1.10$. (see next item) |
|  | Birmingham-Sheffield | 73 | 1100 | 5 | Ibisl. | was 21 . los. (see next item) |
|  | Birmingham-Nettingham | 50 | 100 | 23 | Ilid. | This is alsertised under the heading "Very Cleap Travel. ling’ |
|  | Birmingham, via Oxford, to L.ondon | 120 | 1160 | 33 | 1 bin . |  |
| 1811 | Birmingham-Leicester Birmingham-liverpool | 411 | 120 110 |  | 1bid., Feh. 4, 1811, 1. 1 |  |
| 181: | Cambingha-liserpoot Cambe-Stanford | 418 | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 \\ 10\end{array}$ | cat ${ }^{23}$ |  |  |
|  | (ambridge-leicester | 75 | 110 |  | Cambridge Chronicle and Jonrnal, Oet $29,1813,11$ |  |
|  | Leicester-Birmingham | 40 | 80 |  | Oet. 29, 1813, $\%$. 1 |  |
| 1815 | Lomion-Cambridge | $55$ | 180 | $\text { en. } 4$ |  |  |
|  | London-Lecds | 190 | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 13 & 6\end{array}$ | $4 \cdot 1$ | I'rice, Leects and Its .Veighbourhomed, 1. 271 |  |

Aypermitis: 6

| 1816 |  | ${ }_{60} 6$ |  | 15 | ${ }^{0}$ | 3 | The Times, May 2.4, 1816. 1. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | London-liastiog, | 66 | 1 | $1)$ | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| 1817 | Sheflield-London | 150 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5t | Shryfeld Local IRegister, p. 150 |  |
| 1818 | york-Iondon | 200 | 3 | 13 | © | 48 | Ilargrove, History of York, $1 \times 1 \%$, Vol. 1t, I't. 11, 1p. 6à-5 | sitage couch |
| 1825 | Lomion- Matli | 110 | 1 | 18 | 0 | $4 \cdot 1$ | The Times. Ang. $27,1825,11.3$ |  |
|  | Londen - 1 Brighton | 57 | 1 | 1 | 11 | $4 \cdot 5$ | IBlew, IBrighton and Its Cumhes, 1. 138 |  |
| $18: 30$ | Liverpoul-Manchester | 33. |  | 10 | 0 | 33 | 1mural legister, 1432, p. 445; al८o ditarutuges of the Irogressibe Fourmation of Railacays, p. 2:3 |  |
|  | Liverpool-Mimmehester | 35 |  | 10 | 0 | $3{ }^{\text {\% }}$ | Great IVestern Raizaly. Evidemee (of II (enry Booth) on the London and Jimmincham Raliway Bill. 1. 8 | Ile says the rate varied much from 10\%. |
|  | London-Newcastle | 290 | 4 |  |  | 33 | Ilarris, The Comehing ine. 1. 19t | Yare was 2.5s. and coachman's fee was 3 s . |
|  | Bristol-Oxford | 68 | 1 | s | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5 | Brit. Mus. so:3.5. ce. + (1), "(), fort? <br>  |  |
|  | Stiventon-lourdon | (6) | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | lbid., p. 7 |  |
| 1832 | Braybrooke-London amal return | 160 | 1 | 12 | 0 | $\underline{2 \cdot 1}$ | London and Limmingham Raikay Bill. E.rtracts from Minutes of Exitlence before Iords Committee, 1. 19 |  |
|  | Worcester-L Oudou | 118 | 2 | 3 | 0 | $4 \cdot 3$ | Ihid., p. 22 | This included the usual fees |
|  | Manchester-Loudon | 18.5 | . 6 | 4 | 0 | $5 \cdot 4$ | Ilial., p. 23: |  |
| 1837 | 1411l-Selby | 33 |  | \% | 0 | $2 \cdot 6$ | Macturk. Mistory of the IIull IRailwols, p. 1 t |  |
| 18.47 | Steventon-Oxford | (1) (i) |  | 3 3 | 0 6 | 8.6 | 13rit. M14s. x:3.5. ce. 4 (1), Ovfotd and Dideot Itailway [3ill, 1 . 7 | In addition, cach passenter lad to pay the zratuity |
|  | Oxfori-Iondon | (i)) | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4.7 | Ilsid., 1]. 2 ( | Fare ineludes the gratuity of 2s. firl. |

## APPENDIX 7



TuE following details of the expense of eonseyane by land have been brompht logether in this tabmar form from someres which are among the most reliable. . As in the preecting tables, so here, the statisties have been made as acenrate as possible; but it is inevitable that some shogh errors exist, on accombt of our inability to know exactly the length of the romel from one place to another at these carlier times. But if absolute accuracy is mattabahbe, we can at least say that the slight limit of error remders our figures relatively correct. The data here presented have been summari\%ed, in orter to arrive at some general eonelnsion as to the eost of carriace, and, at the same time, to enable the reader to see the variations from that general eonclusion, which is stated in the text.

Appenclix 7



A／puemlic： 7

| icar | Terinini | $\begin{gathered} \text { sion } \\ \text { sif } \\ \text { milic. } \end{gathered}$ | Tutal crint ber cut． |  | Somree of Autherity | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 178．5 | Lomum Onsar | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ：1 | 81． 8.8. | d， |  |  |
|  | 1．anden－Bradforil | \％ | \＆1．1．i．．．．． |  |  |  |
|  | I．ondom－Sumbery | \％ | ¢．16．．：．． | 3. |  |  |
|  | L，fruden stownurhet |  |  | 解， | Pliilips，Plan forr a Narikable |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Camal．P． 24 |  |
|  | L．andom－Hinmlam | 116 | \＆\％．ns．．．．． | \％ |  |  |
|  | London－Sirwicl | 1209 |  | 寿 |  |  |
|  | 1．mmdon－Swaflam | 13：2 | \＆s．nv．．．．．． | i， |  |  |
| 1 |  | $3{ }_{16}^{16}$ | \％ | \％ |  |  |
| liss | 1．ewe－－Limulficld | 11 | ） |  | Stoke Comid mavigation |  |
|  | 1．cwes L．imulictld | 11 | 1．．per tom lier |  | Sop, Estimote of Erpens of of | These were coal nerl timul rates reprectively |
| 1292 | 1．cril－1．andun | $1 \%$ | fis， $0 \%$ \％ |  |  |  |
|  | 1．reels－Birminglan | 1：16 | ts．at． |  |  |  |
|  |  | ！ | 6．v． | T2d | 1． |  |
|  | Brambey Comnoon－ | ， | 景 |  |  |  |
|  | 1，melichiot |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Strardlow－I．cicester | 210） | \％ | \％ |  |  |
|  | Mancliecter Newark | $\because$ | is． | \％ |  |  |
|  | Mincluester－Wower－ bampton | \％ | 50，wr． | ！ |  | This juforfoliotions wias oblat aलd |
|  | Manchester－Birminghan | 8. | 4． 0 \％ | ． 5 fid． | Salt．Stmisives und Colcenta． | perience．The prices \＃\％ |
|  | 1，iverpeel－E：turia | \％ | 2c． | \％ |  |  |
|  | 1，iverumen－Wolver－ | $\cdots$ | 3s．wim． | \％ |  | at lower prices |
|  | Liverrpooll |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | tiverporl－stomirumt | $1(11$ | 5is．m． | ， |  |  |
|  | Cherter－Wilverlismpton | 方 | 33．${ }^{\text {cill }}$ | \％ |  |  |
|  |  | 梁 | Siv． |  |  |  |


$7 \because$
Alyentiar $\overline{3}$


Apmendix: 7

| 1810 | (ambridge-Nitford | -610 | 29.6.1. | $3 \%$. | Cibmbridge Crironicto and domunat, Nor. !, 1810.1.: 2 <br> Hid., Now 2:3, 1810 , p, | Giv. per (fr. for oall womld be <br> 2s. 6id. per ewt. (of 112 his. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1812 |  | 5.5 | 3e. ord. | 析. | Hinil., Dme 19, 1812, p, - |  |
|  | (ambrible-stort ford | 2 | 1.5. 6.50 . | -7, | H11il., Oct. 9. 1s12. p. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1513 | L,andon-Britol | 120 | 10s. od. | $1 \%$ | Hid., Oct. St, 1:313, p. 2 |  |
| 1.16; | Portomouth - Landon | 80 | 45, isid. | $\frac{3}{3} d$. | Hampshice Talegroph and simsser (\%romictr, OC1.14. 1816. |  |
| 18:9 | Noweantle-Carlish | 62 |  | 110 \% | 1. 3 <br> 1Fividene tathen liefore (ommmons Commettee on Neweastle and Carliste leailway <br>  |  little len- for refurt carriage Low eost of coal carriage wa probably dite to nevath competition |
| 18:31 |  | $1 \cdot 1$ | I. | - 5.51. | Birmingham Jomennl. .)all. 2: $18: 31 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$ | Cost by thy vatrs was given as ad. per tom per mile |
| 14:\% | Londen-Brived Brivtol-Birminedana | 1209 | 4s. | $\begin{aligned} & \because \prime \prime \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | Rrintal l.ibe:n, Ith. i, is::2. $\text { 11. } 1$ | Adertioed as reduced raten of carriate |
| 18:3? | Latidon-Brivtol | 1:3010 | 4. |  | Pruecedings of the (irent |  |
|  | Londort-Bath <br> London-l pardiag |  |  |  | Hestern Raikay Compuny. | grocerics, teas, etc. |
|  | Birminghath-I.omdon | 110 | - | 11. | Gireal Hestern Ratay!. Dixidruce ars the ldondem amit Hirmingham Raikan! Bill. 117. $3: 2-3: 3$, testimons of Mr Westall | This was ti:e cont of watem (arviane. For carriage of articke that comld te earried by coach the expense was 1/d. per II. See also Arin's Mirminethom Giazelle. April!, 1s:21. 1. 1; June 11. 1s:2. 1. 1 |
|  |  | (6i) | :3v. to 3s. fid. | - $711 \times 1$ | Brit. Mus. s2:3.5. er. \& (1) Onforst and Dideot Rail way IBill. p. :3 |  |
| 1835 | Cirenecher-Lomiden | \% |  | $\frac{1}{2}$. | Great IV estern Linihery IBill. Exidence bofure Lords Com. <br>  |  |
|  | Tiverton-Lomdon | 1601 | Ss. 0 m . | $3 /$. | Ibid., p. ${ }^{2}$ | On woollen |
|  | Lombon-Tiverton | 100 | 4s. om \% | ${ }^{3}$ | Hind. | On worl |
|  | Lomblon-Reading | 41 | 1.5. $9 \mathrm{~m} /$. | 12d. |  | Ont tallow ami woultens |
|  | Hemey-Meading | 7 | 2 car or. | : 314. | Hind., p. 414 | Oe woollen clothes |

## APPENDIX 8

## 

Is the following statiations I hase presenterl sume abablable information regarding the expemes feonseyane by camal, amb, where per ible, have mate a
 the observed in the first table that the apering of the (irand 'Trmat canad redneed the cont of carriage to an amonit unly one-third tor one-fourth of that paid for fathel carriage. 'lille following figures are taken from Banes, llishory of Lizerpow,
 C'alculntions, 1. 71 :


The freight rater on the navisations comaereting Manchester with the 'Trent nom severn, and with the Birmingham Canal, in 1781. were:

> for purishable gools. :3d. per ton per mile.
> for nom-perishable gouls, $\because \frac{1}{2} \%$."

The freight from Shardlow to (zainatorongh (on Tront Niwigation) was 10s. per


The following table of freight rates, talien from Alhutt, Biters and Comals Il'est
 compared with the rates for land carrianie in the same sections:


Thlurefore, atcording to dinut, the eost of land enriage nlong this ronte was from three to three amb onethirl times as much as water earriage along the same ronte. But Mavor gives slighty different ligures for practically the same year, and these we sulboins.

Bavor, Agriculare of Berhshire, 1s08, p. Bish, gives is the following Thale Shwing Priees of Carriage on the Thames and Jsis Navigntion, from Lechiade to bontom and batek, downward mal upward: abo (ont of Laml C'arriane to and from the Geveral llanes molermentioned and landon: mad abo the Time generally tahen in navigating a Baree from such Piates of Jondon (lownwarl (with aid of strean) and 川ןward (hy horsc-towing):


Srom this table, if we omit the lant item, we learn that the cont of watar carriage down-stream was romglly one-fometh, and the eost up-stream one-thirl, of the eost of carriage ly land: of, in other worls, the eost of hand carriage was three to four times that of water earriage by the Thames Navigation.

Allantt. p. $\overline{5}$. Pries of Carriage on the River Wey Navigation:


Therefore, hath carriage cost three times as mach in water carriage. Ibiel.. p. G. I'rices of Corriage on the Busingatoke Camal:



 Nivigation.')



|  | Winterearriage jer fohl | Innll enrriage ler (ont |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ccc} \& & s i & 1! \\ 1 ; & 10 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 1s 0 |  |
| Vrom lamular to Newlury (luany momla) | 180 | :3111 11 |
| (otlier gomls) ... | 1 : 0 |  |

Therefore, land eartige cont on the avernge there thase as math ns water cartiage.

Hbid., p. 9. I'riees of Carriage on the Wilts and Berks Camal:


Therefore, the eront of laml earringe was mbent the times the cost of water carriage.

Hbil., 1. 11. Priees of Carriate on the Kiemet and Avon Canal:


[^69]
 one-flime of that leg laml.

On pmge 20 of Albuttis work, he has shotuathe werage tom-mile cost for the comseyne of ghods on the varions mavigations. 'This talle is best mprodert.


 ehjert wetc bartsim, mamely, th show that riser mavightion was cheager than canal navigation. I insert the table leere for whe it in worth; lat I warn the reander
 of the erost to 1 tom-mile hasis is contrary to the way in whels, necording to my researches, the prices were stated or rechomed.

Avernge Price of (arriage (inchuling tolls, ete.):

Nimes of Nimigations

By Baningstoke, Kemot and Avon, Wilts amb Berks, Thamess and Nevern, and Strond ramils:
 other camals, viz., Gramd Jumetion, Oxfural,
Fiazelcr, Bimmgham, Staffordshire and Worechternhire, and Grand 'lormak
On rivers Thames, lsis, Wey, Fiennet, dron, Sesern
Average price of earriach ber river Marney Ramerorn to Idiverpen
By river Tremt, Gainshoromgh to Shartlow By river Sicuerin. Stomrport to Bristol Dimurin of earriand hy river Mersey,
Vambable or
berishable poots
or gootls lialsle
tur risk
(pertonper mike)

Other geodis conarse' or Incary fuerton
(per per mile) -

Before keaving this table, let me say that if any one will take the tromble to work out the ton-mile ligures for the cont of curriage of geots, on the afocementioned eanals, ins given in the foregoing tables, be will not find the lignres in this linst fable to be eorrect, latt will find them too bigh. Furthermore, we bave faets from other somrees which conlirm our opinion that Allutts ton-mile tigures here givell fur manal navigations are altogether tow hipf. In ' Collection of lownece thses, Mipss, cte. of IRailwhes and Can:ls,'p. 19. We have the distanes mal tomber rates between lizir gham and Lomdon, via the Birmingham, Warwick and Birmingham. Warw: $k$ and Ninpton, Oxford, and (iramd Junction ('allals, showing that the tomage rate along this ronte for "gencral merelandise" was 29x. 8th., mat the distance 1.46 miles. This, when redued to the stambard that dlhutt (anwisely)
 mile. whieh is practienlly the same figme us he lus piven for the eost of carriage on the great rivers. On the whole, therefore, we are compelled to reject his inacourate comparison, as eiven in this tuble It myst not be assmmed that in making the above computation on a tom-mile basic we are giving ung eomutenane to that basis of comparison of rates; we have used it simply tentatively, to prove
 rates were not greeled on that hasis．

In the＂Irocecting of the（ire：at Wealern linilwny（omp：an！＂in 1sisis，int the







 the enot of earriage ly whter，lambon fo Remding，whe lis．per tem．

In the lieporth of the Commisabmers on the Thames Natitation，in 1871 ，


 goods．Io be bltogether ton high．The rites tiey quoted were ins follows

## 1．13：！C＇ormalx．

Landon－Birmingham（ 1 bis miles），
 beive ．．－：bis．．．ibd．．．．．
Birmimghan ．Wanchester（ 116 miles）
 hemvy ．0 agis．．．©3l．
Banimestoke－Weybridge（ 41 miles ly emal and river），
 heave ．．liss．．． 4 ／$\%$ ．
The bean of the rates on the three emals shaws that vabable goods were carried for thed．per ton per mile，and heave gools were earried for 3 ad．per tom per inile．

## H．B！！liter．

Reading－lanton（ 78 miles）．
Freight on vahable goonls－las．per tom，or 2afd．per ton per mile．

$$
\text { heavy } \quad . \quad-18 \mathrm{~s} . \quad \text {, } 2 d . \quad \text {., }
$$

bombon－Ahingdon（104 miles）．
 heave ．．－包价，＂．2fd．，＂
Taking the averate of these rates，we wond judge lhat，on the Thathes river，
 for $9 \frac{1}{4}$ d．per ton jeer mite．

If will be noted from the above blat the awernge rate here given on viabable grents earried by the eamak was fide jer ton ger mile，white Allmut gives Ed．to birl．：


 when wated to present the Thanes Niavigation in an favomrable a light as ponsible

In the evidene of Mr Wistall，a limen drager of Birminghath，before the Commite on the Londan and Liamingham Railwire Bill，we Iearn Hant from Lambon






 these statementa $i^{\circ}$ wonld appene that the cost lig rathal was just half of the cont hy






 evident, therefore, from thic that the cenpence of catriage by camal was omly oncelmatf of that ly lame.
 i. 1 ( 120$)$ ), p. $: 3$, we hate the following matintice:

$\therefore$ Patal charge by emal and river from ltiminghan to lamalon was ... ... ... ... ... ... ! 10 8
Itut total charpe ly raal from limminghath to London was is 00
Therefore the enpense of water carriage was about onc-late of that by road.
The great differme in cost betwern embal carriape abl land carriage is also broaght ont in I'hilligs, I'lan for a Narighte Count, p. 21. Hese he says that near
 while the cost of water carriage loge the canal was it batferewn per ton for 10 miles. This womd indicate that camal carrane there was onle blobe one-fourth, the eost of carriage lex road.

In the chaboration of this subject we find muteh dixersity of statement as to the cost of earriage, and this is hat maturd, since the varions waterways were wery
 moved int different ensts of transportation \{ace for instanere, Commanications to the

 what we have here pesented, we may boadly penermlize by solying that the cont of canal convegance was from one-fourth to dabe-half of the cost of carriage hes road.

## MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No 2


## APIENDIX 9

## 

 table as to the camah and mavigation acquired ly ralway eompanies by amalyamation, purehase, or lease:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Your } \\ \text { when } \\ \text { actuired } \end{gathered}$ | Canals and navigations aequired | Terms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --- | - -- |  |
|  | Bristol amd Eaxter haikay |  |
| 1sti. | Gramd Western Canal 13riderwater and Tamben Cumat | Sale anthorized |
| 1stifi | Bringewater and Tamiton Canal Greal Vinstern liahate | Tramsfer authorized |
| is 16 | Stowmarket Nawigatu, | Leased for 42 years from January 1816 |
| 1816 | lowestoft Navigations Greal Northern hetheray | Leand in prepetuity |
| 1546 | Fossdyke and Witham Navigation | Authority to lease |
| 151\% | Grantham Camat | Authority to purchase |
| 185\% | Louth Navigation | Dintlority to purchase |
| 1814; | Nottingham Cimal (ircat liestern Railatat | Authority to purehase |
| 1816 | Stratford-umm- lvom Canal | P'nrehased |
| 18.52 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Kemet and Now Canal } \\ \text { Kennet Navigation }\end{array}\right\}$ | 'Thansfer |
| 1846 | Lancashire amd Vorlishire Ralkay <br> Manclicster, Buton and Bury Canal <br> Manclester, Sheflich am, Jincomshire Raika" | Vested |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \times: 6 \\ & 1546 \end{aligned}$ | Chesterlield and Gilinshoroneh Canal Maselestield camal | Vested in perpetuity for |
| 1816 | Peak Forest Camai | all ammuity |
| 1814-7 | Shropshire Coion Comals, viz. <br> Shrewshury Cinal <br> Montumeryshire Canal <br> Birmingham and Liverpool Junction Camal <br> EHemmere aml Chester | Authorizel in 1817 to be leased in perpetuity to the London and North Western Railway Company |
|  | Shropshite (anal | Vested in slimposhire Inion Co. 1s:5 asd in the lease to the l. and N. W. Ry. Co. |
| 18.9 | Lancaster Camal | 'Transfor by lease in perpetuity. 1stit |
| 1215 | Ihudersfich and Mancliester Canal | Visted |
| 1sist | St Hekens Cimal | Venter |
| 15.\% | Cromford and ligh Pmak Cnmat | licsted jointly in the Midlanal :mill L. \& N. W. Ry. Cos. |
| $18+6$ | Milland Raikay <br> Show Camal |  |
| 1.346 | Oathinm Camal | Anthorized to purdate Authorized to purchase |
| 181.7 | Milland Groal IIestern Rahaeay Lowal Canal | lourchased |


| Year when aequired | Canats and nawimations acquired | Terms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monmonthaterc liailaray |  |
| 181.5 | Mommothshire Camal Navimations | Vested |
| 1818.3 | Breeon and Dherdavenny Canal | Purehased |
| 1863 | Seapmarl P'unell hationy Sewport lagnell Canal | Authorits to pmrehase |
|  | North British limiluay | Aılıorit |
| 18:8 | Edinburyh and Glasgow Cuion Canal Norlh E:asturn Raikeny | Vested |
| 184 | linll and Leven Canal Leven Camal Locklineton Canal | Authority to prablase |
|  | North Stuffordshire Railuay |  |
| 1816 | Trent and Mersey Canal | Vested |
| 1861 | Neweastle-mader-Lyme Canal | Leased in perpetnity |
| 1852 | Chastombury Camat souh lorkshire Raitaay | Transferred |
| 1817 | Stanforth and keadhy Navigation | Leased by Aet of 186t, together with the rail- |
| 1877 | 1)on "nvigation | ways, for 999 years, to |
| $1848$ | Shellich Camal | the Manchester, Shef- |
| 18.50 | bearne and Don Navigation | fiedd and Lincolnshire IRailway |
| 1860 | Tenbury and Reardly! Raikeay levminster Canal (part of) | Purchased |

## APPENDIX 10

## EFFECT OF RAHLHAY COMPEHTION ON CANAL CHARGES

In the following tables. I have brought together sueh information of a statistieal character as coukl he found, in the hope that it might make more definite the results that aeened from the competition of railways with the previonsly existiag camals. It will be seen that the variations which are found in these rates are too wide to base any gencral statement upon them, and have it refleet with mathematieal prevision the rednetions which were made. 'The only eomehsion which we may reach from the fiets as presented is that the minimum reduetion was about one-sixth of the former rates and the maximm reduetion was about six-sevenths of those rates. These limits, however, are of litle practical vahe; ard to gencralize somewhat further and still be within the truth, we may say that, on the whote, the minimm reduction of rates was from one-third to one-half of the former rates.

The following table shows a statement of the reduction which took place in the rates on the Grand Junction and Leicester lines of canal since the introduction of

## Apmemriix 10

railways in that sertion (1si3g). The Grame Junction Camal forms the main trmak of canal commmaication between Lomedon and the Nortlo. It extends from I'acldington to I3ramston, where it rmis into the Oxforl Canal, which eommmateates ly other eanals with Liverpool, Wanchester and Birmingham. Five mikes shert of its ent rance to the Oxford Comal it is joine by the Leicester lines. The linelave included were amalyamated with the Grimd Jmetion Canal.

Tabse: . T. Tomuse rates on whlermentioned lines of cemol.

llere the reduction was very great; sn great as to make the competitive rates only one-third to one-eightlo of the former eanal rates (v. Brit. Doc. 1846 (27.5), xur, $9: 3$, 'Blimutes of Evillenee, $p, 4: 3$ ).
 xxxymi 1, p. Jw, we take the following information:

Tabie: B. Rotes per tom. Brintol to Lomdon.


Tabli: C. Rates per fon, Birmingham fo London.

| Articles |  |  |  |  | Rates by Canal |  |  |  | Rates charged by Railway 1866 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1836 |  | 1812 |  |  |  |
| Indimagrable iron ... ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $s$. |  |
| Hardware | ... | , | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | $1)$ | 40 |  | 1\% |  |
| Supar | ... | ... | ... | ... |  | 0 |  | 6 | 21 | 8 |
| Tallow | ... | ... | ... | ... |  | 0 | 30 | 0 | 21 | 8 |
| I) rapery | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... |  | 0 |  | 0 | 41 |  |
| Glass | ... | ... | ... | ... |  |  | - |  | ¢\% |  |

This road was opened in $18: 37-8$, and therefore the difference between the rates of 1836 and 1842 would slow the effert of the railway. The eanal rates of 1842 were only from two-thirds to six-sevenths of those of 1836 ; that is, there had been a reduction of from one-seventli to one-third of the former canal rates.

From Manchester to London, bales and grain were earried:
in 1833, by quiek vans, at \&20 per ton.
, 18:34, by camal
.. ! 4
$\because 1840$, by railway , £ £3. 4s. sill. per ton.! This was before the railway
, 1866. by rallway , £1. $15 \mathrm{~s} . \quad . \quad f$ eompany acted as earrier.

The railway rate of 1810 was, therefore, only three-fourths of the former eanal rate of $183 \%$.

Tables D, L, F', G are taken from Brit. I Ooc. 1881 (37.4), xilf, 1. 'IReport of the select Committee on Railway Rates and Fares,' Appendix No. sa.

Table, D. Tonnage rates, Lomdon to Birminghame. By camal in 1836; by raitacay and canal in 1842; by raiticolys in 1866 and 1880.

| Articles |  | Rates by Canal, Eollected and Delivered |  | Rates hy Railways, Collected and Delivered |  | Rates by <br> Railways, <br> Collected and <br> Delivered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before Railway opened | After Liailway opened |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18.36 | 1842 | 1842 | 1866 | 1880 |
| Undamageable iron |  | s.  <br> 2.8  <br> 8  | s. ${ }^{\text {_ }}$ | s. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | s. 1.0 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s. } & \\ 15 & \\ 15 & 0\end{array}$ |
| Damagreable iron |  | 276 | - | - | 176 | 176 |
| Hardware |  | $(1) 0$ | 33.0 | 40 | 278 | - |
| Nails ... | ... | $40 \quad 1$ | 332 6 | 320 | 218 | - |
| law Sugar | ... | 4) 0 | 37 | 376 | 218 | $20 \quad 0$ |
| Lamp Sugar | ... | 50 | 376 | 400 | 276 | - |
| Tallow ... | ... | 33.0 | 350 | 300 | 218 | - |
| Tea . | ... | 5) 0 | 376 | 400 | 320 | 3.42 |
| Drapery | ... | 700 | - | 450 | 400 | 400 |
| Spelter ... | ... | - | 180 | $\geq 0$ | 178 | 150 |
| Glass ... |  | \%o 0 | - | - | 976 | - |

During the stoppage of the canal by frost, ete., before the opening of the railway, goods had to be sent by road waggon at these charges:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Cilass, } & 140 \mathrm{~s} \text {. per ton. } \\
\text { Other goods, } 120 \mathrm{~s} \text {. }
\end{array}
$$

From the above figures for the canal rates of 1 sisf and 18 t2, it appears that, on accomint of the railway competition, the canal ralos of 1842 were only from twothiods to three-formthis of the ramal rates of $18: 36$.
'Table: 1s. Tomage rates, London to Manchester. Ily quick rans in 1833-4; by canal in 1834; by raitaryss in 1840, 1866 and 1880.


From the above table, nothing very definite can be learned as to the effeet of railways in reducing the rates formerly eharged ly canals; for in a comparison of the rates of 1834 and 1840 there are only two articles for which the rates are given in both of these years, namely. "Bales, Packs, and Trusses," and "Glass." The table is more valuable in showing the reduction of rates between 18.40 and 1866 , after the railway compmies became carriers.

The following table is much more valuable in showing the effect of railway, in reducing the rates charged by the eanals (q.v.).

From this tahle, the rates by canal, before and after the railway was opened, are casily eompared, withont any disturhing clements; and it is apparent that the reduced rates after the railway was opened were only from one-half to two-thirds of those in effect before the railway was opened; or, in other words, there was a reduction of one-third to one-half of the former cost of carriage.
'Table: F. Tonnage rates, Birmingham to Manchester. By canal in 1836; by raitatas and cunal in 1812 ; by raitatyys in Istie and 1880.

| Articles | Rates by Cunal, Colleeted and Delivered |  | IRates by Railways, Collected amd Nelivered |  | Hates by <br> Hailways. <br> Collecterl <br> ant <br> Delivered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Railwnys npened | After Railways opened |  |  |  |
|  | 1836 | 1812 | 1842 | 1866 | 1 180 |
| C'ndamageable iron Dimageable iron | \&. $d$. | s. $\quad$ - | s. $d$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s. } & \\ 12 \\ 12 & 0 \\ 13 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (5) to 1:3 0 |  |
| Inardware | 40 0 | 20 0 | 2.50 | 90 | 318 |
| Nails .. | 300 | 176 | 20) 0 | 168 | 17 |
| Iron wire | - | 17 f | 90) | 16 \% | $1 \%$ ( |
| l'arets and bales | 300 | 201 | 20 | $\because 9$ |  |
| Ilint Calass | 100 | - |  | O. 12. 22 i | A. 2010 |

Tanle: G. Tomage rates, South staffordshire to Literpool. By camal in 18:31, and by raikcays in 1860 and 1880.


These figures do not show the immediate effeet of the railways, but only the iltimate reduction of rates which they brought about, a reduction which amounted to one-sixth to one-half of the former cemal rates

## APPENDLX 11

##  

Is the following tables we give some authoritative information as to the evtent to which railway and eamal rates were raised, thrmyth the amalgamation of the eamals with the railways. From the mature of the case, it is impossible to generalize, since each instance had no comexion with any other but was arranged solely on its own merits.

About the middle of the century, piof irom was bronght in large amounts to Bumeorn (ehielly from Seothand), beeause that was a great depot and distributing centre. From there it was sent along the Bridgewater Camal to Leigh, thence atong the Lecels and livergeol Camal and the laneater canal into the conntry northward. The following table contrasts the tolls that were chatered before and after the formation of the workiag arrangement between the railways and canals in that section.

Illustration of the Operation of the Advaneed Tohl on Pig Iron from Rumeorn to the following places:

| T\% | Total lailway charges witl? <br> wlich the Canal from Runcorn must compete |  | Canal Tolls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Irevious to the Lease |  |  |  | After the Lease |  |  |  |  |
|  | From <br> Fleet. <br> wood | From roul. ton | Lancaster Ganal, If. per un per mile | Letds and Liver. prol Canal. ht. per ton per mile |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Tohl } \\ \text { formerly } \end{gathered}$ | Lancaster Canal, ld. ner ton per mile |  | Leeds anll Liverkool C'anal, $1 \frac{1}{2}$, per ton per mille |  | Total Toll now |
|  | s. d. | S. d, | miles di. | miles | s. 17. | s. $d^{\text {d }}$ | miles | d. | miles | s. 11. | s. dt. |
| Wigan | 40 | - | - - | 8 |  |  |  | - | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| Blacklmarn | i 6 | 30 | 1111 | 19 | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | $18 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 11 | 19 | 2 - 1 | $3 \quad 3 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Acerington | - | - 6 | 1111 | 2\% | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ | $111 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 11 | 25 | :3 12 | $40 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Burnley | $\tau 0$ | 56 | 1111 | 33 | 1 洨 | $2+1$ | 11 | 11 | 3.5 | $4+\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 31 |
| Marsolen | - | 56 | 1111 | 10 | 18 | $\because \quad 7$ | 11 | 11 | 40 | \% 0 | 511 |
| Colne | - | 56 | 11 \| 11 |  | $110 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 9 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 11 | 11 | 4.5 | 572 | 6 62 |

It will be observed from these figures that the total tolls eharged after the leasing of the canals by the railways were twiee or three times as moch as before the lease was effeeted. Brit. Doe. 1852-3 (246), xxximi, 175, 'Evidence of Mr Loeh.'

In the case of the Bolton and Bury Canal, great changes were made in the rate of tolls after its amalgamation with the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway, as indieated by the following sehedule, from whieh we see that in some eases the railway freight rate was slightly more than the canal tolls, and in other eases slightly less; so that, on the whole, we may say that the awerage railway freight mileage rate was probably about the sime as the average eanal tolls. In other words, independent carriers sending goods by the canal would pay as much in tolls only as the railway would eharge for the whole scrviee, including hanlage. 13rit. Doe, 1852-3 (246), גxxins, 175, 'Wvidence of Mr Loch.'

## Polton and luary Canal.

Comparison of the lichative Charges per ton per mile made by the Laneashire and lorkshire laailwny Co., for freight on their railway, and for Toll on their Canal.

The following comparison is made of the Charges on the Illddersfied Canal, before and after amalgamation with the London and North Western Railway:

## IIuddersficld Canal.

Charges Before Amalgamation
Charges subsequent to Amalgomation

Toll of $1 d$. per ton per mile

> Toll, $1 d$. per ton per mile
> Tumnel des, 1s. fid. per loat cach way
> Light dhes, equal to 10 to 15 tons
> Wharfage, ld. per ton

## Illustration.

Formerly, a boat with a eargo of 20 tons, and returning light, would pay for 20 miles ... ... ... ..
£ s. $d$.
1134
£ s. d.

Subsequently, Toll
...
...
Tunnel dues, 1s. 6d. ench way
Light dues, say for 15 tons
Wharfage, on 20 tons

1 13 4
$\cdots-1134$
... 30
$\ldots \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 0$
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & 8 \\ \text { Difference }=1 & 9\end{array} 8$

Brit. Doc. 1852-3 (246), xxxum, 175, 'Evidence of Mr Loeh.' Sec also ibid., p. 34, showing how, after a prolonged contest, the Rridgewater Cana! Trustees were fermed to put up their rates in obedience to the demand of the railways.
'Iwo wher eases are here quoted from the - Ieport of the Committere of 1872018
 p. 332:

Between beeds and Mamehester, there were three navigations, mamely, the dire and Calder, the Culder and U(bhbe, and the Roehtake Comal. Manchecter packs were being conveyed along these waterways at a me with whieh the railwas could not eompete; and in orter to destroy this competition the Jomdon and North Western, the Lancanhire and Yorkhire, the North Millamd, omd the Manchester,
 for it terin of yeurs, amb raised the tomage upon Manchester packs to a rate prohibiting their conveynce upon the water uny longer.

Another instance is that of the Lombon mod North Western Kaikeay Company in drating with the foom suply from Liverpool and from Goncester to the mining dintriets of Staffordshire. Distance was all in favonr of Gloneester, and the rate from that port to the Staffordshire eollierie's was originally $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$, it ton. The London and North Western, having obtained practieal control over the old Birmingham Cmat, by which the fool smpplies were eonveyed from the terminns of the Birmingham mud Woredster Camal at birminghan to the eollieries, an merage distance of ten miles, raised the rate on that canal so as to increase the total rate from $\%$ s. 1010 s. per tom, and by so doing turned the supply of corm for that district from Ghomester to liverpool, in order that this smply shombly beomeved over seventy-live miles of their railway. By 180.5 the bankers, merchants, ete., of Liverpool were loud in their eomplaints against the exeessive rates eharged by "that leviathan monopolist," the London and North Western. Brit. Mas, C. T. 309 ( 7 ), 'Hates of Carringe to abl from Liverpool,' $1 \mu$ ). 1-10.

We have elsewhere noted the extortionatc prices charged for earriage by the navigations conneeting Liverpol and Manchester, before the opening of the railway there in $18: 30$; nul the strong protests of those who were the projectors of the railway. With reference to this smbject, there is an interesting remark by Mr Francis H. Conder, C.Ė.. in a paper read before the Manchester statistical Society, on Nov. 30, 1882. He says: "The statement might well he regarded as ineredible, were it not supported by indisputable evidenee, that fifty sars after the opening of the Liverpool and Manchester lhailway, it costs more to convey a bale of cotton from the one city to the other than it did in 1829." Brit. Doe. 1883 (2.52), xar, 1, Appendix, p. 239. Within half a century, one monopoly was displaced by a more progresuive lut equally exacting one.

## APPENDIX 12

##  

It may serve to exemphify more fully how amy competition between the canals and the railways was possible, if we take one or two illnstrations:

Abont 18.50, the Grand dumetion Camal Company was the largest of the earriers by canal. In 18.4 the canal companies generally were afraid that the carriers would be forced to leave the waterways. They had been driven off the London and North Western road and fored to give up some of their most important traffic. The Trent and Mersey Canal had allied its interests with, and was under the control of, the North Staffordshire Railway Company, and therefore the eanal route from


U'uler these circumstances, the Gramb Junctlon Camal Company determined to fight for their right to carry between bondon nuld birmingham. They entered into negotiations with other infependent canals, usking them to share in starting n carrying establishment. 'llacy nll refnsed; and the Grmal dunction Compmay, before entering upon $n$ earrying hasiness, mate ngrecoments with almont will the other independent comals between the end of their line und Birmingham, so as to make sure what tolls these cannls would charge them. liuler these arrangements, the Grmal banction Company was ahle for years to keep the trathe on the canal and even to increase the absolutic umombt of it. The statisties of this trade we append below: 13rit. Doc. 18.5\%-3 (246), xxxwht 175, 'Revickence of Mr Mellish, pp. 1/L15:

Amount of Trade on Girand Innction Canal.

| lour | Throngh Trate | tacal <br> Trate | '10tal <br> 'Trale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tons | tors | tous |
| 18.33 | 180,029 |  | 708.257 |
| $1 \times 31$ | 102.2.93 |  | 730,741 |
| 183.5 | 103,850 | (183),786 | 821,415 |
| 1836 | 193, 11313 | 8206,518 | 1,037,5fil |
| 18:37 | -116,716 | 800,251 | 1,106,057 |
| $18: 38$ | $202,13.4$ | 714,3.51 | 9.48,488 |
| 1839 | -31 1.953 | 712,369 | 0.1.129 |
| 18.41 | -2 2,819 | 729,483) | 95.4,249 |
| 18.17 | 235,011 | $8.51,9.51$ | 1,087,465 |
| 1842 | 20\%,782 | 714,05.3 | 011, 235 |
| 18.43 | 2:39,116 | 74:9,386 | (188,50) |
| 181.4 | 205,100 | 7114,421 | 1,089, 521 |
| 181.5 | 2091, $25 \%$ | 817,636 | 1,1.41,87:3 |
| $18+6$ | 2939,288 | 858,659 | 1,087,971 |
| 1817 | 12.73,1.11 | \$10,32.5 | 1,163,466 |
| 1848 | 2ッフ.736 | $8033,5+8$ | 1,0.31, 28.4 |
| 1849 | 206,3991 | 771,865 | 978,2.5.5 |
| 1850 | 221.85\% | 804,879 | $1,026,7332$ |
| $18.33$ | $\because 3!1.486$ | 879,988 | 1,0999,874 |
| 185: | 228,98.\% | 015,644 | $1.144,579$ |

The forcgoing statisties begin with 18:33, the year the London and Birmingham Railway Aet was passed, so that the figures for the first years were not affected by railway competition

The total trade on the canal had inereased, therefore, 25 per cent, in twenty years. To show how large this trade was, compare the following fignres:


That is, witlo a length of lime about five times as great as that of the canal, the railway carrich only three times the amount of freight that was carricd by the canal. Of course, we must remember that the heavy and bulky freight that went by the eanal paid a much lower carriage rate than the gools that were sent by rail, so that, ton for ton, the revemic on the railway was much greater than that on the froight carried by the canal. Conscquently, from the standpoint of the operating revenue, the above comprison may man very little.

## Apmemiar 1:

ADPe, thot mach the larger part of the above trattie was local. Wie have said that the aboolute amomat of truflie win the eamal ineremede hat, of romese, the relative amome dill not herp pace with that on the railwny.
duntler ease which shows us the way in whic!l, by the nid of the emrriers, a eanal was able to heop it eraflic, ut lasat for sonte time, from going over to the railway, whe thent of the Bridyewater Canal. When the Viverpool and Hury Railwny was epened, which was anothor lime between Liselpoel und Manchester, it had to be satisfied with some part of the traftic to eonmemee with. de that time, the Bridgewater 'Trustees were carrying aboit twiee ne mumblallie between these two places as the liverpool and Manchester Mailway ( 6 , lable at end of this Appendix)
 comtiming to carry what they emble eollect. and what there eonerived themsedres to he entitled to, they shond loe content with onl: half the traffie. and the other half should be divided betwent the two railway eompanies. The Tructees otjected Io this, hat the railway emmpanies insisted on the divinion; nud at the same time they reguired the Trustees to exclude the private earriers from the eatals, for it was felt that the stueces of the Trastees in collecting sn large a trallie was due very much th the exertions mad indenendent comege of the carriers. 'The 'Prostses dectined both groposals: either foried upt the trade which was their owns, or to exchule the earriers from their canals. ('Ihey had bonght up the Alersey and Irwell
 persevered in their demands, and as a resuit ithe rates hetween liverpool aud Manchester were redueed from an average of os. and 98. a ton, $102 s$, bit. a ton, for six monthe or so. The 'Trustess, to avert the railway eompanies' intention, mate arrangements with the private carriers that the would carry them through safely and that they would bear their iosses from the beginning th the close of the content. In return for this, they required the earriers to act almost as their agears and to charge the freight rates that the Trustees might dircet. It answered the purpose; the carriers were this able to pass through the eontest and aid the trade on the canal: and the final result was that the proportion of traflic on the canals was as large us, if not larger than, it had been previonsly.

The railway companies ugain applicel for a division of traflic, and it was agreed to. with the stiphlation that the Trusters should pay over to the ralway companies 5.s. per ton on the exeess which the Trustees might earry above their one-half. This went on for about nine months, but it was a losing gane for the Tristees, and they put an end to it about the elose of the vear 18.0), after which there was no division of traflic, thit a tariff of rates for the three parties.

Under previous arrangement, the canal charged 8.s. 4d. a ton on manufactured goods from Manchester to Liverpool, white the railway charged 10s. for the same service, the difference being regarded as an equivalent for the faster carriage on the railway. Ilut, later, the railway eompany fored the canals, after long-eontinued resistane, to put their rates ull to 10\%. Ilrit. Doe, 1852-3 (246), גxxvir, 1\%\%. - Ninutes of Fividence, pp. 2:3, 34.

The tonmage of freight earried on these navigations, during these critical years, is given in the following table. It shows what an important factor the private curriers were in the mantenanee of the traflic, as compared with the amount earried by the owners of the navirations:
Statement of Traffic by W'ater betzeen Liverpmol and Manehester, 1s:30-siz inchasice,
and by Raikay for the years 1 1s:3b- 48 inchasite.

| Year | Bridyewater Canal |  |  | Mersey and Irwcll Navigation |  |  | Gross Total Tonnage |  |  | Carried by Railuลy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carried by Owners | Carried by Carriers | Total Tonnage | Carried by Owners | Carried by Carriers | Total Tonnage | Carried by Owners | Carricl by Carricrs | Total Tonsagm |  |
| 18.39 | 30,526 | 162,600 | 193,126 | 96,484 | 29,280 | 12.5,568 | 127,011 | 191. m \% 0 | 318,594 |  |
| 18.4 | 26,8122 | 169,4331 | 106,493 | 90.4.5.5 | 51,3.52 | 1.41.80\% | 117.31\% | 2.0.!(x:3 | :3:3s.300) |  |
| 18.41 | 26,263 | 15.4,013 | 180,276 | 64,429 | 69.155 | 143,5s4 | 100,692 | 2-23,165 | :32: $0,4 \times 7$ | Average |
| 18.42 | 19.217 | 169,1.54 | 183,371 | 54,11: | 8.5.3:35 | 1:39, +18 | 73,3:30 | 251.458 | 352\%.819 |  |
| 14.4.3 | 21,207 | 2.35.788 | 2.56.0005 | 54,017 | $101.06: 3$ | 1.56 .910 | -6,1.51 | 2:36, 5.11 | \$13.(1). |  |
| 1446 | 19,\%11 | 266,519 | -285,910 | 36,424 | 105.408 | 14:3,4:32 | 5.5.035 | :37, 4 ,9\% |  | 1:M), 917 |
| 18.4.5 | 23.0330 | 2.41,4.47 | 260, 3.687 | 42.384 | 1.56,089 | 199, 0, $0: 3$ | (66.․:.4 | :398, 2338 | 164, 610 | $\because 61.2$ ) |
| 19.46 | 29, 3.34 | 254,23:3 | 283,367 | 50,219 | 161,42 | 214,561 | 79,33.53 | +18,(\%). | \%es, 02 s | 21-,416 |
| 13.47 | 18,106 | 22:3,563 | 241,959 | 41,983 | 129,88.5 | 171.822 | C0, ini | 3.3.3.fis | [13,-81 | 191,14 |
| 1848 | 16,334 | 862, 06. 1 | 278,398 | 28.689 | 122.8 .50 | 1.51.539 | 4.5,023 | 354.914 | 429,037 | 151,065 |
| 1549 | 22,756 | 271,839 | 294.595 | 48,0.9 | 13.5,900 | 188.049 | 70, 61.5 | -1)T, ※2? | F-4,64 |  |
| 1850 | 24,02.5 | 2330,05.5 | 254.680 | 29,867 | 129,033) | 1.88,909 | 23,492 | 359,691 | 413, Ј® ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1351 | 29,7\% | 172,109 | 201,886 | 0,150 | 138,632 | 1.75,832 | 35.92- | 310.7:91 | 3319, 518 |  |
| 1352 | 27,993 | 201,768 | 209,461 | 7,701 | 131,142 | 1:30, 143 | 35,694 | 33:3.210 | 368.904 |  |

## APPENDLX 13

## STATHSTICAL VEW OF HHGHWAY AND CNAI, LEGRLATHON

Thm: accompanying tabmar view of the load Acts and Canal Acts must not be understond to be reduced to the most careful mathenatical exactness of alosolute accuracy; but within the limits of acenracy which are at all possible in the applieation of statisties to the subject in hamb, we venture to assert that no defeets will be fomm, and that the presentation here given will show concisely the relative importance which the roals and canals assumed at the different periods and in the different sections of England. It has been the endeavour to aroup the counties ly natural divisions, aceording to the great indenstriai characteristies which have been predominant in cach gronn, and not aecording to ayy artificial geographical arrangement.

What, then, are the limits within which we may expeet acenracy? In the first place, a road or a camal whel cetended into two or more counties has been noted under eaeh conntr: so that if one road were bnilt through three countics, it would be made to appear as three roads. Sun since this has been done consistently thronglt the whole time between 1 r00 and 1830, the relative accuracy of our st..tisties will not be affected.

We must not suppose, however, that all these Acts represent actual road construction immediately after the passing of the Acts. Sometimes roads authorized to be made at a certain time were not made until years afterwards; and this wonld seem to vitiate any conclusions we might, w; but when we remember that this dilatoriness in constrncting roads after they were sanetioned would wot be much different at one period from another, we can easily see that our results are still quite comparable at all the periods during this epoeth.

Again, all the Aets here enamer ted were not for construction of new roads. A great many terms are ued in the statutes in deacribing the parposes of the dets, snch as "buikling," "constrneting," "amending," "repairing," "widening," "altering," etce, the roads; and in many other cases the Acts were passed for continning the provisions of former Aets. While, therefore, our figures do nor give us exact information as to now construction, they give us a very accurate guide as to the relative importance which the roads assumed at the different periods and in different sections of the kinglom. What we have said in this connexion regarding the roads is not so pertinent concerning the canals, for in connexion with them there was comparatively. little legislation that was not followed by actual construction.

Another reservation we mast make as to the reads, namely, that these Aets do not include the general road or turnpike dets wheh were intended to apply to all the roads alike. As we have seen, these general Aets were saree during the last half of the eighteenth eentury, becanse legislation was passed for cach road separately necording as the claim of each was presented. The number of these Leneral dets was so small in comparison with the mumer of separate road Acts (there being only four of any great consequence), that we may safely neglect thein, as being insignificant for statistical phrposes.

Now, what do our statisties, as thms defined, show in regard to the development of the means of eommmication? That there was a great increase in the attention given to road improvenent beginning ahout 1750 , is evident from the average road Acts per decade in the period given, the mmber per deeade from 1851-90 being five

| Ihininions of Eingland | Total Road Acts |  |  |  |  | Total <br> lioad Acts <br> for the periorls |  |  | Average lioal .ficts per decade |  |  | l'ercentase Increase of liomi Acts |  | Percentage of the <br> whrile area of fiacland | I'ercentiage of linasl Acta for the periouls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1701- \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1751- \\ 70 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{90}^{1771-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1791- \\ & 1810 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1811 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1701 \\ 50 \end{array}\right\|$ | ${ }_{90}^{1751-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1791- \\ & 1830 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{50}{1701-}$ | $1751-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1791- \\ & 1830 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{90}{1751}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1791- \\ & 1830 \end{aligned}$ |  | $1701-$ 60 | $\begin{gathered} 1751- \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1791- \\ & 1 \diamond 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. Northern Combties Northumbertand, Cumberlamd, Westmordand, Durhan | 16 | $3!1$ | :39) | 692 | 76 1 | 11 | 78 | 138 5 | :3:3 | $19 \cdot 5$ | $3.4 \cdot \%$ $1 \cdot 2$ | .0:01 | 77 | 10\% | $3 \cdot 8$ | -1.8 | $5 \cdot 6$ |
| 2. Yorkshire, Laneashire, Cheshire | 72 | 110 7 | 114 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 211 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2188 \\ 1: 3 \end{array}$ | 72 | $12-4$ -2.8 | .49 61 | 1.4.4 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 . \% \\ & 15.2 \end{aligned}$ | $28!1$ | 11.4 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 17:2 | $1: 3 \cdot 7$ | $19 \cdot 6$ |
| 3. North Midlands-Werly, Stafford, Nottingham, Shrophire, Warwick, Leieester :und Ruthand, Northampton | $\therefore$ | $18!$ 12 | 190 202 | $2: 37$ $6: 3$ | $\begin{array}{r}277 \\ \hdashline 6\end{array}$ | 5.) | 379 3.4 | 514 $8!1$ | 11. | 9.47 8.5 | $1 \because 5 \%$ <br> 3.2 | 7(i) | 36 | 1.4-3 | 13.2 | $2: 3 \cdot 2$ | $\because 1 \cdot 1$ |
| 4. West Midlams. Hereford, Monmonth, Worcester | 20 | 36 3 | 45 4 | 49) | 5 | 20 | 81 | 101 $2: 3$ | 4. | $\begin{aligned} & -20 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 5 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | .100 | 2.) | 4-2 | $4 \cdot 8$ | 5. | 4.1 |
| 5. South Midlands-Berkshire, Oxford, Buckinglam, Bedforl, Hertford | 89 | 89 1 | 78 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 86 | 167 2 | 209 20 | 17.8 | 11.7 .5 | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \cdot 2 \\ 5 \cdot 5 \end{array}$ | 1:3 | 2\%) | (i-6) | 218 | $10 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 6$ |
| 6. Eistern Counties-Lincoln, ILuntingdon, Cambridge, Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex | . 4.4 | 75 1 | 55 | 95 18 | 104 | 44 | 130 2 | $20: 3$ 20 | 8.8 | 32.5 .5 | 50.7 $5 \cdot$ | 269 | 56 | 176 | 110.5 | 8 . | 8 $\%$ |
| 7. South-enstern Counties-Middlesex, Kent, Surrey, Sussex, Hampshice | 82 | $\begin{array}{r} 162 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 123 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1!1 \% \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2: 211 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | 82 | 284 3 | $\begin{array}{r} +15 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | $16 \cdot 1$ | ${ }^{71}$. | $\begin{gathered} 10: 3 \cdot 7 \\ 10 \cdot 2 \end{gathered}$ | :3:3:3 | $4 i$ | 11•2 | $19 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 4$ | $1 \%$. |
| 8. South-western Connties: <br> (a) Glonecster, Wilts, Somerset | 40 | 112 0 | 78 2 | 11.4 $3: 3$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | 411 | $1!0$ 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.48 \\ .49 \end{array}$ | 8. | +45 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \ddot{3} \\ & 12 \cdot \because 2 \end{aligned}$ | 14) 1 | 3t | $8 \cdot 1$ | $9 \cdot 6$ | 11.6 | $10 \cdot 3$ |
| (b) Dorset, il evon, Cornwall | 0 | 58 | 4!2 | 50 | 83 5 | 0 | 100 2 | 13:3 | 0 | 25 .5 | $3.3 \cdot 2$ 3.5 |  | is3 | 9.8 | 0 | 19.1 | 5.5 |
| T'utal IRoad Acts 'lotal Cinnal icts. | -118 | $\begin{gathered} 8761 \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \text { fis } \\ -4 i \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1111 \\ \because 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1: 32! \\ 105 \end{gathered}$ | .418 | $\begin{array}{r} 16 i 3: 3 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \because+40 \\ : 32: 3 \end{gathered}$ | 8:3.6 | $\begin{gathered} 408 \cdot \because \\ 17 . \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 610 \\ 80.7 \end{gathered}$ | 388 | $4!$ |  |  |  |  |

## Apmemdix 1:3

times, and from 1701-1830 between seven and eight times, greater than those of 1701-50. The iamedete ehange at the decate 17.51-6:0 is vers marked from the momber of Aets of that period as compared with those of the period 1-.41-.50, namely 140 in the lister deeade and $40 ; 3$ in the formere, which is ahnost 200 per cent. inerease. This change wouk seem to point very strongly to the helief that the Indhatrial dievolution was already in progress in the decide from 1751-6io. The percentage of increase of the road Acts in 1651-90 over the preceding fifty years is markedly charncteristie of benghand's progress.

Another feature of the table which will be at onee diseerned, is the way in which certain seetions inereased their roud Acts, as a sign of the industrial advence in these localitics. Perhups this is best broneht out by considering the average road Acts per deeade in the three periods given. Two divisions are very prominent in this respect, Himely, the North Nidmand combties in one group, and the counties of Lancaster, Vork and Chester in the ether. These were the great mannfacturing sections, which were much in need of improyed means of carriage and communication. IBut the canses for these changes we have dwelt upon fully in the chapter dealing with the ronds after 1750 .

It is needless for us to follow out in detail all the information ohtainable from such a view of the legislation: but one other fact deserves to be mentioned. that is, the chormons change in the number of eanal Acts in the period 1791-1800 over any previons period. In that period there were almost exactly six times as many Aets as in the preceding decade; sud the figures we have here given for twente-year periods show the change at aghace. Itad we the opportumity to give the figures for the year 1-93, and compare them with the corresponding figures for any previous year, we shoukd at onee discover that the thirty-third year of the reign of George III was the year of the "canal mania." There were cxactly three times as many Acts passed that year as in the preceding. With the operang of the railway era in 1830, We come to a time when there were very few, almost no, Aets passed for the construction of eanals, and the break at this year was very abrupt.

## APPENDIX 14

## PICKFORD ET AL. r. THE (BRAND JUN(TION RAMHIVAY (O).

A. important chapter in the history of railways is that which deals with their relation to the carriers, and shows us the wiy in which the latter were driven off the lines of raibwy and their trade came int the hands of the railway companies. We must not give the impression, however, that all the firms of carriers were driven off the rails, nor that those whicl, were onsed from their trade had their business overthrown and their comexions despoiled immediately. Some lines deatt more liberally with the carriers, and even eneouraged their trade as a meams of increasing the revemes of their roads; while others were opposed to the carriers from the first and did all they conkl to take the trode away from them. We have clsewhere examined this suhjeet in सemeral, and therefore do not need to revert to it liere; but, instead, we wish to present the history of a particular ease. which throws much light upon the general subjeet, a case which was mique in the history of ralway trafle alevelopment, and which made the carrying trade the topie of vigorous and sometimes acrimonious disenssion. The ease of Pickford et al. v. The Grand

Jnnction Lailway Co, is all the more important from the fact that at the present time Pidkfords are probably the chief survivors of the early carriers who began their work on the eanals and have had a contimons activity as forwarders of goods ever sinee.

For some vears after the establishment of the steam railway as a common carrier, it was thouglit by many that the publie interest conld best be safeguarded by allowing compretion on the railway lines; and, with this object in view, the private carriers were in most eases admitted to these lines. As we have alreudy seen, there were several different systems under which the earriers were allowed to werk. On the London and I Birmineham Railway afl earriers were admitted under eertain requations; but the Grand Junetion Railway Co., while they affowed private earriers engraged in the London trade upon their line, retained to themselves the converanee of all Birmingham and Lancashire goods.

The presence of the carriers upon their line was irksome to the Grand Jnnetion Laniway Co., for the latter were anxions to seenre a regulated monopoly; and, while sceming to give more fredom to the earriers and the pullie, the railway connpaly, in 18:39, invited the carriers to enter into arrangements with them, by whieh the IBirmingham and Lancashire trallie was to be opened to competition among the earricrs, and the charges to the pmblie were to be reduced. I3ut the condition was inposed that the earriers were "not to charge less than" the railway company. The rates were reduced to the public, subject to this reservation. It wond scem as if this were but a (loak to eover up) a deeper design. In 1838 the railway company found that, ceen with their monopoly of the earriage between Lancathire and Birmingham, their business as general earriers was very small; for the publie lad become aceustomed to thi: former earriers, whose established eannexions gave then faeilities for safe and economical carriage. It would, therefore, be advantageous for the ralway company to lave the old earriers shppanted and their comexions appropriated. Hence, whife the earrier was, apparently, freely admitted uon the line, in reality he was admitted as a mere servant of the railway company. By the agrement, Piekfords got lont a small percentage of the traffic receints from the goods that were turned over to the railway eompany. Thien, when the railway rates were reduced, ostensibly to benefit the pulbie, the returns of the Pickfords beeane so small as to be unremmerative. The railway company did not stop here, however, but compehed Piekfords, under an ingenious arrangement, to contribute, out of their small percentage, a certain amount in payment of railway services at terminals (J. Moss, Raikeays, p. 38b; Ruilacty Times, iv, p. 186).

In 1840 a erisis was finally reached in the relation of the carrier to the railway eompany. By their Aets of Palliament the Grand Junetion Kailway Co. were authorized to make reasonable eharges for the earriage of goods, and to fix what they regarded as proper charges for earrying small parcels, not excecding 500 liss. each. The railway company beeame earriers of goods for hire between Manehester and London, using for that purpose their own line and the lines of the Liverpool and Manchester and Iomdon and Sirmingham Railwey Cos. They published a list of charges, whieh divided the "rates by merchandize trains" into seven elasses, from 16 is , to cos. a ton; and then followed "hoses, baics, hampers, or other paekages," when they contained pareels, ete., under 112 ibs. wcight each, directed, consigned, or intended for dilferent piersons, or for more than one person, on whieh the rate was made 1 d . per il . weight. $\mathrm{I}_{11}$ Nov. 24, 1840 , Diekford \& Co. paeked several parcols (consisting of teas, books, and hardware, which had been delivered to thein by varions persons to be earried from Birmingham to Manchester) in a hamper, the gross weight of which, including the parecls, was 8 cwt .3 qrs, although each pareel
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separately was less than 112 lbs. weight, and woudd, il delivered separately, have beron small parecl und thms have fallen mater the title "sanalls" acording to the sevenfold clastheation above-named. This hamper was tomered to the (irand Jmetion hailway Co., amd they were askell to carry it to its destination, for which service l'icklords affered to pay all that the railway company combla legally charge, namely, 6\%s. per ton or a total of \&i. (is, did. The railway companys agent at Birmingham refused to receive the hamper unless the senders allowed him to open it, so that the number of parcels might he known, and each parcel might be charged and paid for separately at the rate lined in the railway connanys lint, or unless they would pay the railway eompany $1 d$. jeer lb. upon the total weight of s ewt. as prs., whieh would have amonated to d.t. 1 s . stl. Diekfords relused to pay the latter, and the railway company retused to carry the hamper (Raikay ant Counal Cases, nu, 11p.19:3-J. A similar case is given in ifid., 111, pp,19i-8). This casewas tried in $18+1$ and


It will present the situation more clearly if we give briehly the two sides of the ease, withont attempting to weigh the merits ol either; and if the reader will rememfor that there were other cases similar to this (e.g., l'arker z. Great Western Railway Co., as given in Ralatay amd Canal Cases, 111, 11). ©6:3-8 $)$, he will maderstand that the arguments in this case were applacable in the others. The evidenee and allidavits in fivour of the Grand Junction Railwas co, are found in liditay
 v11, 1J, 217-18; and those in liwour of Piektord d Co. are given in hedzedy Times,



The econtral difficulty, as we noted atoove, turned upon the carrying of "smalls" in hampers. It wats satid that liekford od Co. had sent small farcels for different persons patcecd togethar in hampers, for the carriage of wheh they had paid the railway a certain rate fer ton, the same as for goods, and afterwards, in distributing these parcels, charged each person the full amount of carriage that would have been paid had each parcel becon earied separately. This was represented as having been done in order that the carriers might poeket the difference and thus swell their prolits from the earrying trade. Pickforl \& Co. denied this allegation, and the court did not lind any truth in it. On the other hand, the eourt decided that it was illegal for a railway company to charge for a hamper of small parcels for delivery to one consignce, the same as if they had had the trouble of eollecting and delivering each separate artiele.

The statement was made that l'ickford \& Co.'s charges were not miform to all persons under like eireumstanees, and thus there was introduced umon the railwal? a system which the public had fommed very objectionable on the eamals. Not only were they aceused of discrimination between eustomers, but it was also said that they commonly made insuflieient, and, in some eases, untrue deelarations of the description or quantity of the goods they delivered to the railway company for earriage, and thus deprived the railway company of their just and normal eharges for carrying. The Grand dunction Railway Cu. also believed it trate that, beeatuse they did not eharge anything for packiges returning empty, lickiond \& Co. declared as empty certain packinges whieh were aetnally diseovered to contain goods that lickfords knew to be liable to charge like all other groods. 'I'o remedy these injuries that were felieved to exist, and to benclit lonth the public and themselves, the Grand Junction Railway Co, determined to put an end to eertain speeial agreements between them and the eommon earriers, and so some of the carriers contimed their business and others ceased to earry on the Grand Junction Railway.

The Grand Junction Railway Co. had nequired the right to earry goods on the
lines of the London and Birmingham Lailway Co. and the Liverpool and Manchester I ailway Co. In Liverpool and Manchester the Grand Jmetion laian- y Co. had no arrangements for collecting and distributing their traflic and so employed the Liverpool and Manchester liailway Co. as their forwarding and delivering agent in these eities. They were in the same position with regard to London, and for soune time they had employed the old carrying lime of Chaplin and Ilome to be their agents in the metropolis, to minamd and deliver in London all goods brought thither by the Gramd Jumetion Railway Co., and to colleret and load in London all goods that comble bent by that ralway company. (The arramements hy which Chaplin and Ilorne acted as agents of the Grand Junction latalw Co. in London are piven in Raikeay and Canal (Geses, 115, 111, 199-201; see atso the advertiemment of Chaplin and Ilorme in Raitaty Times, vis, 1. 1+fz.) For this work Chaplin and Horne
 (isp. per ton for goods sent from Manchester to London, and to pay los. per tou to Chaplin and Ilorue for their work. Dickford \& Co. wanted the railway company to give them the same favourable rate as had been given Chaplin and Horne; and they tendered the railway combany s.js. per ton for the carringe of the goods, they doing the work of distributing their own goods in Loudon. But the railway company said (fjes. jer ton was their charge to all jersons for carre ing goods, and thus Piekford \& Co. were refused any concessions. The court hehd that it was unreasonable for the Grand Junction Railway Co. to diseriminate between the two carrying firms, and their decision was that the railway company should make their rates for earriage to all parties, under like eiremmstances, the same (Railicay and Canal C'ases, 111, 11). 20:3-1).

In addition to the foreroing, another of the great points in dispute was the desiralility of having the common carriers on the rallway line. We lase elsewhere shown that practically all the early railway acts contemplated the competition of the earricrs on the line and made provision therefor according to the varying circumstances. Of course, subsequent experience hat clearly shown that this right could not be exereised withont great danger to the public, and therefore some deemed it wise either to regalate this competition, or else to have the railway eompanies eooperate with the carriers so that the latter, with their well-equipped establishments, might be valuable adjunets of the railways in extending their trathe. The question, therufore, was, as to whether it was the interest of the public and the railways to overthrow or to encourage the carriers unon the lines. It was evident that if the railways could get all the carriers driven off their lines and eould obtain a monopoly of the trablie, the publie would have little seenrity as to economy of charge and ellicieney of management in connexion with the operation of the railways; and coneerning this matter I'ickford © Co., while opposing the Grand Junetion Ikailway Co. in their monopolistic policy, appealed to the public to support them in their efforts to prevent this monopoly (see their letter addressed to "'The Herehants and Trade of Liverpool," as given in laitacy Times, w, p. 150). The railway company, iu their turn, pointed out that it wond be more ceonomical to eliminate all middemen and their profits, as therehy rates would be lower for the publie, aud also referred with much satisfaetion to the fact that their rates were much lower than the rates charged by the earriers on their line (v. Raila Times, in, p. 206i). Consequently, they urged that the pullie iuterests would be best served by givine them, rather than the common carriers, all the trallic. I'ick ford \& Co., on the other hand, remiuded the publie that it was their eompetition that caused the railway company to put down the cates, aus that if the public allowed then to be driven off the line, the Grand Junetion Railway Co. wonld then be in a position to undily inerease the rates and recoup themselves for their present competitive loss.

The foregoing were the elief points in the controversy, but there were mnny minor elements which also entered into it (see digest of the essential points of the dispute in Railatay Times, v, 11. 730-41). As we have niready noted, the decision of the court (rontered July 7,1842 ) and of the Vice-Chancellor was in fisour of l'iekford \& Co., and the Grant dunction lailway Co. were required to live up to the law in the applieation of rates :und to desist from diserimination ngainst Piekforl \& Co. (Railza! ant ('amol Cases, 111, P1. 20:3-b).

As a matter of fact, however, the Grmot Junction Railway Co. did not obey the decision of the court, but contimed their existing poliey. A long and desultory eorrespondence was kept up between these two parties, ostensibly, from the railway company's point of wiew, to nrrive at some satisfactory arramgement as to the legal prineiples to the olserved, hut, really, it would seem. to prevent the carrier from getting any holl non trallie to be earried on the railway. Piekford \& Co., by letter, appealed to the ralway eompany, and urged the latter to deab fairly with their shareholders and the earriers by obedience to the law (v. letter in Foikeay Times, vi. p. 113): but the railway company refnsed to aceept the eourt's decision, Piekfords then addressed the tratlers of Liverpool, and. donbtless, those of other plaees also, showing the determination of the Gramd Junetion Railway Co. to secure a monopoly of the earrying trade, and earyestly solieiting the support of the merehants in their efforts to prevent this (Raikay Times, w, p. 152). Their elams were mphed by some of the most influential of those who were elosely in touch with traflie affairs, and were also supported by the experience of some roads whieh had found it desirable to elange their former plan of exelusion of the earriers (Raitwoy Times, (1, 1. 152): but the Grand Junetion Railway Co. eontinued their system (althongh slightly altered) with singular pertinacity and in ahmost entire disregard of the eourt, notwithstanding the statement of their Seeretnry that they were living up to the eourt's deeree (v. Letter of Mark Inish. in Raikiay Times, vi, p. 206).

During the two years which followed the first decision, Piekford \& Co. had been unable to get the raibay company to grant them reasonable eharges for earriage in aceorlance with the law. The Grand Junction Railway Co. took an appeal against the decision of the Vice-Chancellor, and reopened the ease before the Lord Chancellor (Kaikay amd Canal Cases, $11, \mathrm{p}$. a38); but upen the evidence showing the unreasonable and discriminating way in which the railway company had treated the earriers on their line (ibid., pp. $551-5$ ), the Lord Chaneellor upheld the deeision of the Viee-Clanecllor against the railway company.

It is almost impossible to asecrtain exactly the attitule taken by the Crnme Junction Railway Co. in regard to this decision, but it seems fairly eertain that they practically ignored the deeree of the eonrt. We arrive at this conclusion from the aetion that was taken by the merehants of Liverpool in 1840 , when they presented to the IRailway Commissioners a memorial with reference to the earriage of pareels by railway. After citing the decision of the eomrt that the sending of hampers packed with small pareels was legally and morally justifiable, they referred to the oppressive regulations issned by the railway companies caleulated to put a stop to that privilege altogether, and then asked that an investigation be made into this course of procedure and the renedy be applied, so that shippers might be protected and that railways might be prevented from seeuring a monopoly of the earrying trade (v. 'Memorial' given in Railacay Times, sn, p. 624. Memorials of like nature were presented from the merehants of IBirminghanm, Leeds, ete, as shown in Hercpath's Raikoy and Commercial Journal, xı, p. 585). These complaints against the ralway companies became loud and persistent; and in the same year (18.10) a deputation, consisting of the most influential earriers, appeared before the Railway Commission, and presented petitions from Liverpool, Birmingham, Leeds, Edinburgh,

Sheflicht, Neweastle, Bristol, and other harge plaees, praying that the ratway companies might be prevented from resorting to illegal and improper means in orker to Iefeat fair compctition (ICrepall's Railany and Commercial Iomrmal, xı, p. 599). With all this testimony, the conchasion is amost inevitable that the Grand dmetiom Railway Co. paid little utention to the verdiet of the eonrt: but eontimed the poliey which was considered as most rffective for Iriving the private eurriers off their line.

On the whole subject of the relations of the railways to the earriers, see Nish, Raikay Carrying full Corriers' Lare (184i), Pt. n, Chap. N1, which takes up the two great cases, l'iekfurifs $z^{\prime}$. Gramt Jumetion Ralway Co., and Parker v. Great Western Railway Co. Hodges, The Laze relating to Raiheays and Raikery Companies (1817), Chap. In, also treats the whole question fully from the legal sifle, and goes exhanstively into the two great eases. See also the publie diseussion of it in the Raikery! Chromiche, 18.4. pp. 110-11, 131-5, 159-60, 18:5, and ibill., 184.9, pp. 173 and :a\%, in which the railway sitle is taken; as it is atso in 13rit. Mus. 823s. b. .ir (1), "Ithe Carriers' Case comsidered in leference to Kailways" (1811), a small pamphet written in a very biased vein. Both sider are presented in The Times, Mar. 5, 184. p. 万; Jime 10, 184. p. 6; Sept. 27, 1844, p. 6 ; April 21, 184.5, p. 6; Mar. 21, 1846, p. 5; July 2t, 184i, P. 4; Aty. 3. 184. p. 3. Refer also to Whitehead, Raikiay Management, jp. (i-8, aul Boyte, Hope for the Camals, pp. 5-6, 11-18.
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The Varmer's Letters to the P'eople of Lingland...to which is added sylvae: or, Oeansional Traets on Ilusbandry and liural Geonomies. London, 1767 . General View of the Agrieulture of the County of sissex.... London, 1793.
- General View of the Agriculture of the County of Suffolk.... London, 174.
———General Vies of the Agriculture of the County of Norfolk.... London, 1804. - General View of the Agriculture of the County of lissex.... 2 vols. London, 1807.
- View of the Agriculture of Oxfordshire.... London, 1809.

Young, Chamas Fimemmek T. (C.Li.) The Eenomy of Steam Power on Common Lloarls. in Relation to Agriculturists, Railway Companies, Dine and Coal Owners.... with its Ilistory and I'ractice in Great Britain....And its Progress in the United States, ly . Mex. L. HoHy, C.Fi., and J. K. Fisher. London, 1860.
Young, Rev. Georgh. A llistory of Whitby, and Stroneshalh Abbey; with a Statistieal Survey of the Vienity to the Distance of Twenty-five Miles. 2 vols. Whitby, 1817.

## BRITISII MUSECM MANUSCRHPTS

No. 5,489, PF . 108-21. P'apers concerning the River Stour in County of Kent. 1774-55.
No. 5,86.5, p. 183. Petition for leave to bring in a Bill into Parlimment to recover the Navigation of River Cam. 1701-02.
No. 5,866 , p. 222 1). Memoranda regarding Coaches.
No. 5,957, 1p. 1-48. Tour in Einglam, July 20 to Oe: 19, 1735.
No. 6,668, pp. 000-14. Itinerary from Winster, eo. Derly, to Ormskirk, co. Lancaster.
No. 6.70̃. Ileynolds Derbyshire Collection.
No. 6,767. Notes of a Tour in Nottinghamshire and Verbyshire, ete, in 1766.
No. 11,052, pp. 80-136. B'apers requrding River Wye Navigation. [16,4:-51.]
No. 11,302. (Sendamore Papers, Vol. xi1.) Papers and Aceounts on the County of Ilereford. Bridges, Iron Works, and Liver Wye.
No. 11,394, pp. 28-44. Papers on the Dee Navigation, 1733.
No. 11,5\%1. Journey through Surrey and Sussex by John Burton, 1752.
No. 12,496, pp. 203-91. Orders and Dircetions, Together with a Conmission for the better Administration of Justiee, and more perfect Information of Ilis Majestie, ete. (Printerl.) London, 1630.
No. 12,49\%, 1. 360. The Presentment of the Jury touehing Mordon (Windle) River (co. Surrey).
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No. 14.2nfi-2.59. Dr Riehard loencke's Journey Round seothand to the Orkneys, 1760. \& vols.

No. 1.4.8.3. Journal of Tours by Craven Ord in the Counties of Norfolk and Suffolk, 1781-97.
No. 15, 776 . Dournals of Rev. deremiah Nilles, and of his Travels in different Parts of EMgland and Wakes, 173.5-43.
No. 15,800. Plut. caxxxin. 13. 1Hr Richard Pococke's dourney into bingland from I ublin, hy way of the lale of Man, also his Journey into Cornwall and levonshire, the Original Manuseripts, 1750.
No. 16,179. Extraets from the leegisters and other Doeuments of the City of Chester from the time of lidward 11 to the year 1701.
No. 21,550 . Tour Through the Counties of Suffolk, Norfolk, Cambridge, and Esses, Sept. 10 to Dec. 19, 1777, be Captain (1'rancis) Grose.
No. $21.567,1.2 .2$ survey of the livers of Wye and Lugg, in reference to portation and fishing.
No. 22, 92 (i. Some Observations made in a Journey begun June 7 , and finished duly $0,1742$.
No. 22,999-23.001. Dr Richard Pocoeke's Travels in England. Original MsS. Vols. 1-111.
No. 23,087. Tours of Mr Vertue through the Counties of Lissex, Suffolk, Norfolk, llants, Wilts, Warwiek, Gloueester and Oxford, 1739-40.
No. 23,089. lournals of Tours in Sussex and Surrey, 1747.
No. 23,749. A few Notes about the River Nene.
No. $\mathbf{2 4} 809$. Dapers relating to the City of London, the Thames, and Middlesex.
No. 28,503. Iter Boreale: anno salutis 1689. \&......inter Anglos \& Seotos, ete. Journal with the King's Army, 1639, by John Aston.
No. 32,442 , 11. 1-76. Sketeh of a Tour from London to the Lakes, made in the Summer of the Year 1799.
No. $32,443, \mathrm{pp}$. $240-64$. 1•ragment of a leseription of a Tour from London through Oxforl to Sonthampton, 1829.
No. 34,754. A Relation of a Short Survey of 26 Counties, briefly deseriling the Citties and their Scruations, and the Corporate Towns and Castles llerein. Observed in a Seven Weekes Journey hegun at the City of Norwich, (163.4)....... By a Captaine, a licutennt, and an Ancient, All three of the Military Company at Norwich. Also A Relation of a Short Survey of the Westerne Counties, in which is briefely deseribed the Citties, Corporations, Castles, and some other Remarkables in them. Observed in a seven Weekes Journey begum at Norwieh, …...Aug. 4, 1635. By the same licutenmant, that with the Captaine, and Ameint of the Nilitary Company in Norwieh Made a dourney . to the North the yeere before.
No. $35, \mathbf{5 8 6}$, pl. 27, 583-54, 61 ff . 68-69, 71-165. Papers on the River Ouze Navigation. 1793-94.

## BRIYISI MESECM ADDITIONAL MANESCRIPTS

Add. 5.842, ph. 24-71. A Tour through l'art of Einghand in 1735 (by John Whatey). Various other memoranda, e.g., pp. 103, 359, ete.
Add. fi,643, p. 305. The state of the livers of Wee and lugg in llerefortshire. (Printed.)
Add 11,30\%. Memorandum $1300 k$ and Diary of Thomas Warton, 1780-84.

Add. 15, 662, p. 22. . Lieense granted by Edanrd 111 (21 bid. 111. 1347) to New-eastle-npon-Tyne to levy enstoms for repair of the Brige across the river in thent town.
Add. 17,398 . The Original linerary of Grose the Antiquary. Journeys in Einghand in 1775 : 1 Ind $177 \%$.
Add. 18,0033 . A lournat of the Proceedings of the Trustees appointed for putting in Lixecution all . Det of Parhament pussed in the Twelfth Year of the Reign of Queen Amme, Intituled An Aet for the Speedy nud Effeetunl I'reserving the Navigation of the liver of Thames....
Add. 18,04\%, Ollicial Returns of the Publie Revenue, from 1699 to 1745.
Add. 18,05s. A Partieular state of the lheceipts and lssues of the P'ublic hevenue, from 1688 to 1702.
Add. 19.200. The llistory and Antiquities of the buci nt Villn of Whentheld in the County of Suffolk (by the Rev. Wilhamr llyers. London, 1758.
Add. 10.942 . Dr J[eremiah] Milles's Sietches of llorse Pammiers, Pack Saddles, ete. (ea. 175\%).
Add. 24.466. Tours in Nottinghanshire and Derbyshire, 1801-02.
Add. 24,933. An Account of the Antient Wooden and present Stoned Bridge at Rochester nud of the Lnuds proper and Contributnry thereto.
Add. 27,587 . Letter-13ook of A. Jelfe, relating to Westminster Ibridge, 173+4.4 (showing aceounts atso).
Add. 27,951. Journats of 'isits to Fngland by an Irish Clergyman in 1761 and 1772.

Add. $28.570, \mathrm{p} .8$ et ser. Tour to the West, 1791.
Add. 28,048, p1. 2-28. Rochester Bridge.
Add. 28;649. The Deseription of Devonshire by Sir Wiltiam Pole, of Shute, Kit. Transcribed by J. I'rince.
Add. 28,793. dournal ot Ikev. John Skimer churing his Tour in Comwall, ete. 1797. 1798.

Add. 28,802. Lewelyn Meyriek's dournal of a Tour through Part of England and North Wales in the summer of 1821.
Add. 30,172. Journal of Tours in the Midland and Western Counties of Eingland and Wales 1704, and in Devonshire in 1803.
Add. 30,173. Journey made by William Phillipps from IBronclway (Comnty Woreester) to Manchester and Liverpool, and back, 1792.
Add. 30,302 . Ihemarks upon Wayside Chapets with Observations on the Arehiteeture nod Present state of the Chantry on Wakefieh Bridge, by John Chessell IBuekler and Charles Alban Buekter, arehiteets. London and Oxford, 1870.

Add. 30,929 . Diary of Charles Danvers, of Tours in the West of England and in the Lake District, 1\%95-1812.
Add. 31 ,3:3\%. Journal of a Tour from Brighton to Weymouth in 1816.
Add. 31,857. William Cobbett's Eastern Tour, 1830.
Add. $32,442, \mathrm{pp}$. 1-76. Sketel of a Tour from London to the Lnkes made in the Summer of the Year 1799.
Add. 33.576 , p. 63. Suit eoneerning the Loek near Waltham Abbey on the River Lea, 1683.
Add. 33,640 . Sketches in Walles, Derlystrire, ete.; 1803. By Rev. J[ohn] Skinner. Add. 33,683-685. Journal of a Northern Tour (by IRev. John Skinner) from Camertom, to London, to I'eterborough, and I ineoln, to York and Furham, and thenee to Edinburgh and Linlithow in the year 1825.3 vols.
Add. $34,105,1 p 1$. $188-9.5$. On the Medway Navigation, 1627.

## Billiogrophly

Add. 34.218 , 1p. 37 -58. Statements, Arguments and Correspondence conerring the Navigation and Owerflowing of the lZiver Melway betwecn Mathone and Salding. 1600.
Add. 34, $72 \%$. West Papers, Vol. I, pp. 14. 16.
 F'rancis Place, and artieles on Soeial and Industrial Comelitions.
Add. $35.649,1$ p. 22: and 224. Papers on the Tolls eharged on seweral Navigations.
 River Cam. 1759-60.

 (1) Bigylewade. P1p. 16:9-74, 275. 315, l'apers regarding the Navigation of the Rivers Cam and Ouze.
Add. $36,663, \mathrm{p}$. $5 . \mathrm{s} 8$, gives ' A list of Coaches passing through ard eoming into Neweathe-meder-Lyme, Nov. 18.30 (by Mr T'. 1', Platt).'
Adel. $365,76 \%, \mathrm{pp}$. 1-1, give an Order of I'rixy Comeil in regarll to the Conservation of liver Thames. Page lif, a paper entitled, "The state of the Canse concerning the Milles and Cansey at Chester." 27 Feb., 160 a
Add. ib, 61t. RZiver Weaver Navigation and Cheshire Salt Works, 1099-1720).

## BRITISII MESEUM, EGERTON MANISCRIPT'S

Eiger. 78.4. Diary of Mr (Wiliiam) Whiteway of Dorchester, eo. Dorset, from Nov. 1018 to March 1034.
Bibl. Lig. 92f. Original Letters from the lRev. S. Denne, liector of Wimingt on, Kent, and others, on Fentish Topograply and Antiquities, 1771-8.
Eger. 2285. pp. 8. 933 . Tours of the Rev. Joseph Spence to Kimbolton and Ormeshy in 1743 , and to Kirkhy and the leak in 1752.

## BRITISH MCSELU, STOWE MANUSCRIITS

Stowe 747, p. 81. Ietter from (Sir) A. Copley, dated Saturdiry, dan. 1, 1697, to Thomas kirke, Leedes, regarding a Bill to make river Dun navigable.
Stowe 818, pp, 8:3-87. Memoranda on making Derwent river Navigable.
Stowe 85\%. Sampson Dirdeswicke's Staffordhire. MS. additions by E. Vernon. 1'p. 13-20 contain A scheme or Proposal for making a Navigable Communiention hetween the livers of Trent and Severn, in the Connty of Stafford. IBy Dr Thomas Congreve of Wolverlampton. l'rinted in London, 171\%.

## BRITLSH MLSELM, LANSDOWN MANLSCRHTS

Lansd.a2. Papers regarding the River Lee Navigation.
Lansd. 38, pp. 84-85. An Answer to the Comphaint of the Inhahitants of Enfield against the earroing of grain from Ware to london by the laiver of Ley (Lea), Oct. $29,1583$. P. 88, A l'etition of the Inhabitants of Ware to Lord Burghley, for a Commission to hinder damage dune to the River Lea. 158:3. I'p. 91-95, The Defects of the Wiater of the River Lea from Waltham Holy Cross to the mills bencath Stratford at Bow, 1583.
Lansd. 41, p. 44. Orders issued for the Conservation of the River Thames, Sept. 1584 ; pp. 169-76, Ordinanees, Laws and Deerees of Oet. 1, 6 Elizabeth, of the Commissioners of Sewers of Lineolnshire.
Lanst. 49, pp. 74-75. An Order for the Repair of the Bridge at Walmesford. [1086]

Lansd. 5\%, pf. 109, 11.t. (omplaint to Loral Ibarghley as to the impassability of Christmas Lane in Suffolk, Jan. 8, 1:587.
 are impowerished ly the Niavigation of the liwer Leat, and ank redress or relief. 1589.

Lansd. 76, No. 55 , p1. 12.j-28. Order of the star Chamber conecrning the Right of Navigation on liver Iea, Jume 20. 1594.
Lamst. 81, pp. 55-5:. l'apers coneerning the exnetions maile by the prople of king's Leynn on goods carried to or from Combridge. 1597.
Lansll. 1.42. Several Papers concerning the Watermen of the liver Thames.
 [16in7-13]
Lamal. 3 2s. A Vohme containing extraets from Ancient liecords concerning the lepairing of bridges in various Connties of linghand.
Lamsel. 5fl. 'I'rial in Conrt of king's Isench, 19.5t, regarding the Aneient Inighway from liehmond, through lichmond Park, to Crovidon.
Lansd. 688. Tour in the Midland Combies, 1710.
 Fiens of Ely, begun from Lomdon, May 19, 10.57.
Lansel. 896, 1p. 162-6\%. I'ipers on the Navigation of Beverley Beck.

## BRITISII MUSEUM, HARLEY MANISCRIPTS

 timane of the loort of Gloncester. May $25,1584$.
Harl. 200:3. This whole Vohme deals with the liver Dee and its Mills and Cansey, ete. Ilarl. 202:, p. (6if. I'apers regarding IRiver I)ee.
I larl. 20ti. p. 1. Indenture made dpril 28 , anno 12 Eliz., for reparing a highway $2 \frac{2}{2}$ miles long auljoining to Chester.
1Harl. 0.5 , p. 116. An Order of the Assembly at Chester, July $17,16 i 2$, for eleansing ete. Ilorne Lane.
Harl. 2075. 1. 21. IRegarting the mantename of Ifuntington lame, near the City of Chester, in repair.
Harl. ©0s1. Law I'apers Coneerning I)ce Mills. (a. 1607.
Ilarl. ©os2. Cheshire Collections of Mamuseripts on Chester and Dee Mills, ete.
Ilarl. 2084. This Colume deals almost wholly with the liver Dee and the Mills and Causey at Chester.
Harl. 2150, p. 18:. Indenture under which Thomas Izennett of Clester was to keep the Sitrects of that city in good repair. Inated Oct. 8, 12 Eliz.
Harl. 2.263, p. 32:3. A License for inelosing a certain loose way through private ground. Dated I Dec. 23, 1 208.
Harl. ge64, p. 27:. Docquet of a Liecnse for the enclosure of a certain common. Q4 J:nn., 1710 .
Harl. 6166, p. De: ff. The defalts and common Nuisance of Bridges and of Canseys and of Wias at every end of the Bridges within the Ilundreds of Tandridge and Ifycgate and i)ivers Other Places within the said County [Surrey] to he inquired of hy the Justices of I'eace of the same County at their General sessions to be held at Crovelen the Tuesday next after Twelfth day in the 25th Year of our sovereign Lord king Henry VIlI.
Harl. 6211. An Aceomt of my Travels from Veniee throngh Germany into England [temp. Charles I], Vol. U, If. 1:32-206. [13. John Lawson (?).]
Harl. 649t, 1. 129 ff. Journey into the West of England, 16:37.
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## HRTTASH MUS:OM, SLOANE MANUSCRIDTS

Strane 1156. Relation du voyage de Dontienr de la Villeanackeres en Angletere firict éll lomere 36 fot .
Sloane 17:31 h. Jomrand du voyage de mon frère Jean de Cardombel en frande


 of a domrucy in Fingland, begun Sept. 8,10 fite.
Hoame 1983 A \& 13. Memoramba made in h Jomrney from London to Oxforil.


## 

Nos. 93,189 and 93,194 , $\because$ vols., in 1 Birmingham Free leference Libary Deal with a variety of smbjects.

## 

012:314, e, s8. Coach and Sedan lleasantly Dipputing for Phace and l'recedenee, the Brewers-Cart being Moderator. Landon, [1m:36].
0x2eth ata. 29. Reasons humbly offered to the Consideration of Parliament, for the suppressing such of the Stage-Coaphes and Curavans now travelling mon he Roads of bingland, as are mberessary, and hegnlating such as shatl be thought fit to le continned. [170):]
0se3.5. f. 18. Scasonable Considerations on a Navigable Canal Intended to be ent from the liver 'Trent, at Wilden Fersy, in the County of Derby, to the liver Mersey. in the Comuty of Chester. [176ifi]
U823:5. f. 77. Ohservations on the Cieneral Comparative Merits of Inland Commaniation hy Nivigations or Railromels, with partieular Referonce to those projected or existing between Bath. Bristol, mad I, ondon: in a Letter to Charles Dmulas, Larf., B.P', Chairman of the Kemet and dvon Canal Company. Lonton, 18:2.
0823.5. h. 12. Keprers and l'amphets on the Subject of Norwich in Port, from the lear 1818 to the passing of the Norwieh and lowestoft Navigation Aet in 182\%. Norwich, [1818-27].
21. 1..5(2). By the Maior. Orders set down hy the right lamorahle, Sir Jolm Wiats, Kinipht, Lord Maior of this Citty of London with the Coln]sent of the Ahermen his Dethren concerning the rates of Carriages with Cartes within this Cittie and Borongh of Somthwarke. At the Guild llall the xxy day of November, 1 (if)f. London. |liofi].
 Deliberation' tent' pro (ivitat Lomdon apmed Justice-I Ball in te Ohd Baily in
 scilicet decimo septimo die Junii, Anao Ragni Regis Caroli secundi mune Anghate de. vieesimo, ete. [Lonton, 1 fifif3 or 1fin7?]
 London...die Mereurii, seilicet sexto deeimo die Oetobris, Anno Hegni Dom' nostri Carnli scemoti...viessimo quarto, ete. [London, 1672]
101. i. 5\%. Plan for Baising Three Ilundred Thomsand Pounds, for the Purpose of compleating the Bridge at Back-liriars, and liedeeming the 'Toll thereon; Embanking the North Side of the River Thames...: Redeeming the Antient

102. k .32 . An Aet of Common Conneil for the letter Hegulation of IfackneyCoaches. London, ltixal.
101. ©. I. A New Deseription of Dinghand and Wates, whth the dijacent Istands. Wherein are contained liverse usefn! Observations and Diseoveries, in respect to Natural Ilistory, Antiqnities, ('untoms...with a I'articular Acconnt of the I'roshets, Iradre, mad Mannfartures...to whieh is addeal new mud correct Set of Maps of emeh Combty, their Romaly mal Distanees;... Dy llemmun Moll, (ieographer. Lomblon, 172t.
213. i. 1 (+f). A llill for fonlarging the 'fern and Dowers granted by an Act passed in the Twontictly lear of the Ibeigh of Ilis present Majesty (Goo. It) for repairing the High-liond leading Prom...titockton-mpon-Tees, to Darlington, nud from thence throngh Wiuston to Inarmard Castle, cte.
 lortiament, our passed in the Tenth Vear of the leeign of Her late Majesty Queen Anne, amb the other in the Bighth Year of the Ibeign of llis late Mnjesty king George the leirst, for liepairing the llighway hetween on eertan Phee
 Connty of llertford.
21al. i. 1 (xis). The (inse of the 1)eputy lontmasters fas to kecping horsea for supplyinge thase riding post]. [London, 17.48 (?)]
 of the several Owners aud I'roprictors of Inns and Livery-stables, and of Lamds lett therewith. [loondon, 17.8x]
2la. i. 1 (61). The (inse of the lambewners interested in the banks on cath Side of the liver Guze, in the Connty of Norfolk, between Stowhridge and the lort of $1, y$ ill.
 the Comintry will be pat to, ly pasigg luterest and sitaries, in ouse the bill for a Turnpike-homb shouk bass; with an estimate of what may be yearly raised by the Laws now in being.
213. i. 2 (61). The lleasons published against the Hill for a 'Turnpike between Keighley and kirkhy Remdal, Answered.
213. i. 2 (62). Reasous aganst the llill for an intended Turnpike between Keighley, in the Connty of York, and Nemball, in the County of Westmorland.
213. i. 2 (76). A Bill for Confirming in Agreement entered into between the Compiny of Proprietors of the Undertaking for recovering and preserving the Navigation of the Liver Dee, and Sir John Glyme, Ilaronet, Lord of the Marior of llawarden, and several lerecholders and Oeenpiers of land within the said Manor ; and for lixplaining and Amending Three several Acts of Parliament. of the Sixth, Fourtcenth, and seventecnth Xears of llis present Majesty's Reign, for recovering and preserving the Navigation of the said liver Dee.
213. i. a (100). Considerations ahout the Methol of Preserving the Public lionds.
213. i. 3(101). Renschs against a lill for lermitting only Carriages with brond Wheds, and those drawn ly two florses, to pass on Turupike lioads, with regard ta the Comm, ries within I'wenty-five or Thirty Miles of London. [About 175.5-55.]
213. i. 5 (9.1). A Sinte of the Case, relating to the several Navigations made, or proposed to be made in or idjoining to the North-Wastern larts of the Connty of Cluster.
218. i. 5 ( 04 ). Remarks bpon the Ohservations on the intended Navigation from Witton Bridge, to the Towns of Kimutsford, Maeclesfield, Stoekport, and Manchester. [Ca. 1766]
218. i. 5 (9.5). A sitate of fincty temeting to shew the t'tility of the I'ropered C'mat


 sulmitted to the Consideration of the legginature. [Ca, Iftiti|
213. 1. 5 (9\%). Observatims on the Jatomken Navintion from Witton 13ridge to Kimenfard: aml from thence throngh Marcle sliced mal stockport the thewn of Manchester. [('n. Iotiwi]
214. i. 4 (10:3). Ohacrantinnem the lifferth of the Intended Oxfard Caml Navigation, with respect to the Dimimation ur Increase of semmen. [1769]
 Honomerable the 1lonse of commons, for making a Canal, from the City of Cowentry, to the City of Oxford, the following: (Queries ure hmmby smhmitted to the Consideration of both Ilonses of Parliament, before the satid bill passes int(1) 1.月w, [176:9]
 in Parlianent for Vormonth and lyan, on the Sisheret of the Canal Nisvigation from Cowentry to O fort, now depenting in P'arlimuent.
21t. i. 4 ( 121 ). Report from the Committee appointed by the Chamber of London to conaider the lill for eatemeling the Cencontry Canal to Oxford; with linets fand Observations smboined respecting the Coal amd Consting Trade. [176:9?]
214. i.t(12t). The Case oi the Petitioner, George Perrott, limguire, on the bill for Making a Canal from the Coventry Camal-Niagation, at or near Coventry, to the (ity of Oxford. [176ia\%]
215. i. I (10.5). 'Thanes Novigation. (Iaply to a printed Bill tately handel about, entithed, Some few of the many (Objections that ocemr :o the bill now dependines in l'arliament for making of Navigable Cut from suming...to Monkey Ishad, (s.) \{1:71?\}
215. i. 4 (115). (ase and Reasons for Disusing Weighing Engines on the Thrnpike lionds. if7.4.
2an. c. 30 . A New and Compleat Survey of London. 13y a Citizen and Native of lomdon. ㄹ. vols. ${ }^{\text {icondon, } 17 \text { tig. }}$
350. m . I (26). An det for making the Hiver stome Navigable from the Town of Maningtree in tia Comety of lissex. to the Town of Sullmry in the County of

356. m. I (34). A Bill tomake the liver Darwent, in the County of 1) reby, Nawigable

365. 11. I (16i6). 'The Case of the Carricrs and Waggoners who carry Goods to hire. [1720\%|
357. 1). i (39 or 99). Heasons against the Nawigable Seheone.

35\%. W. $7(109)$. da dnswer to the leasons agamet the Niavigable Seheme.
3.37. 1. 9 (2). A Particmar State of the licecipts ane! Isares of the Publick Revenue 'Faxes and Loane thriag the Jeigne of his late Majesty liing William. That is to saly, lirom the 5h diry of Nowember liss from wheh Day the larliament appointed the said Accomits shond eomenee th the 2sth elay of Mareh 1arom being the flirst Determianeon of the Accompts since the Demise of his said late Majestye which happened on the sth day of Mareh preceding. The same rechacel to one Generall Accompt or state for the whole time above mencencel. [This is all in MS.]
35\%. b. (723). Reasons Humbly Offered by John Daniel and Willim Blackburn, biorfires, for themselves, and on 13chall' of Charles Dhekenficld, Thomas Butter-

 Vear of llis Majeaty's Reign, for making the Biver Wenver Navigntle from Firoblanan Itridge to Whaforl Ilridge bin the Conaty of Chester. [1720?

 that the same may be made Nowlable on the Vandent 'lerms.
 Honse of Commons nad now bepenting before Vour Lordships, Bintitleds
 Reign, for making the Riser Weaver Navigalale, from lirodsham Itrilge to Winsford ltrige in the Connty of Chenter, and for the more speedy and effeetmal carrsing on mad perfecting the Navigatlon of the said liver, from lotoditham Hridge to Northesyeh in the said Comety.
 from Froelshan Bridere, to Nothwich in the Comenty of Chester.
 Weaver Novgable from Fromhan 13 ridge to Northwheh in the Connty of Chester: With lemarhs upon the I'roposials from Liverpool.
 of lsis and 'lhames, from ovford to london; showing the Dardstips they babour mader, by the exorbitant sums they pay for pinsing through the several looks, Wears, Itucks, Gates mul for the l'se of Itoats belonging the the samer and going oser 'lowingrefths on the Itanks of the said livers.


 Bridge to Wusforil Itridge, in the Combty of Chester.
357. e. 1 (28). 'The Cabe of the Chesemongers, in and abont the Citien of London and Westminster, relating to the ltill theower and preserve the Nowigation of the leiver Dee. in the Comenty of Chester. [17:tal
35\%. e. 1 (3\%). The Case of the Inhabitants of the County and City of Chester, Petitioners for the bill to lecover and I'reserve the Nabigntion of the liver Dee; In diswer to the Detition of the Cheesemongers in mad about the city of Lomdon against the said Ilill. [17:i2]
:157. e. : (6: 6 ). Reasons aganst buthing a Bridac over the Thames at Westminster.
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Lílinburgh Review. Vol. xxxil (1810), pl. 47i-87; Vol. cxix (1864), pp. 340-9.
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13ailway Chroniele, 184t-49. London, 184t-49.
Railway nod Commercial Journal, Iterepath's. Vols. ix-xi. London, $184 i=10$.
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The County Chronicle nod Weekly Advertiser, for Essex, llerts, Kent, Surrey, Middlesex, l3erks, etc. London, 1818-36.
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## INDEX

Accommodations of trains, excessive, 509
Accounts, of rallways, falsilied, 598
Acts of l'arliament, great eost ol secoring. $428-4$
Igriculture, advanee of, in eighteenth
 $21: 3$; prolited much lrom canals, $413-$ $t$, and from raitways, 4s7-8, in3. 591
Nire and Coblder Nivigation, improve-
 ehestor and lecels Ralway, sia
Allen, Ralph, improvements by, in postal fincilitics, $3: 3-4$
Amalgamation, of raiways. and its effecte,577, $586-2,388$; livonred when for the puthlie gond. 5i!, tioo ; initial stage of, :xs1-2: progress of, $2 s 6-\overline{7}$. fi4-1, $04: 3$; of all ralways, advocaterl, 588 ; system of, 602-:3: smervision of,
 after passige of Railway and Comal 'l'rallic Aet. 1 s.ja, if:3, and reason therefor, 64.43
Assessment, provided lor repair of roals,
 meinled, 216
Aven, river, mavigation of, $181 \quad 183$
Barnsley Canal, construction of a 38
Bar tolls. $1020-8$
basingstohe Canal, effect of, in reducing enst ol carriage of goods, t 4.5
Bell, llemy, connexion of, with steam navigation, 4.\%.
Berks and Wilts Camal, construction of, 375
Birmingham, chmexion ol, with l.0ndon, 4\% ; rentre of Nid and camals, :370
Birminghmen Canal, const ruction of, :50) consolidation of, with Birmingham and Fazdey (anal, :371: junction of, with Coventry Cimal, sie
Birmingham and Fozeley Canal, eonstruction of : Bot) conisolidation of, with Birmingham Cusal, :371
Sirminghaty and Warwick Camal, eonstruction of, 370
Birminghan and Worester Canal, constrution of, 370; "pposition of, to rival camal, 348
Buston lirillace, history of, 20-21
13rid:?
14.; carly, 1t-15; repmir and maintenallee of, by piety and bequesis, 15 , 17, 30, ty returns from indulgences, 16, 30, 14i, by eontributions at tridge chapels, 16, 14t, by gikls, 18,14 t, by receipts from tolls, 18-21; constantly in decany, $\because 1$; divided responsibility lor, $2: 2,1+5,1.23$; tendeney to making comity respousihle for, $22,151,350-8$; customary liabilities for, 14\% If.; royal ain to. $\mathrm{tio}-3$; widening of, 1.it-5, 3.50, 3.23 ; "gratuity bridges," 351; Giashurne Bridue e:ase, ij3l; Lord 1:illenborongh's Act concerning, $35: 3$ administration ol', 3:3:3-4
Bridgew:artens, 19, 144
Bridqe. Westminster, construction of, 1 Hi-7
Brimilley, Jimes, 361, 36:.4, 360, 379, 384
Brisul, antagonisil of, to rival market at 13ath, 184 ; apatly of. toward Great Western Railway, 562 11 .

Catter Nowigation, see Aire and Culder Navigatio:
Canal emblanies, organization of, tere-6; not ustally public carriers, 43,5-6
Cumal, promilloek, first. 1 itis
Canals, tirst shggested, 18.5-90; sugrestion of, eame from IIothand and other countrics. 3.j6; projection of lirst modern, 3.50; Brildewater, 360-3; 'I'rent and Mersey, zibt-; Staffordshire and Worcestroshire, $36 i-8$ : Coventry, 36s; Oxfort, 368-9) ; in the Midlamls, $370-3$; in the north, $370-1$; coanolidation of, 381-2: Stroulwater, 37:3-4; Thanmes and severn, 37:3-5: Kennct and Avon. 375; Berks and Wilts. 375: small, agitation for, :38! 01: inclined planes (111. $3: 11-0$; "circular canal," sugatested, $3: 32$; success of, 341: "camal mania" of 1792-33, 301-6; reasuns for apmation to, 30640t. ish3-5: new, to safernilabl older competitors from loss of reweme, un1: opposition to, from coasting trade, 411; benelits :nticiryted frem, 401 10; henefits actually realized from, 410-16: advantases of, over river navisations. 4ī-f; operatinn of, linancial results from, t16-으, 5isis, 54: ; some inprolitable, 416-8;
proportion of successfri and unsuccessful, $418-20$; some prolitable, $421-7$; rensons for laek of suceess of, 427 -32, 533-7; carryiug service on, organization of, til6-12; carrying serviec on,
 5, 5.5-6: ill..oduction of sterm on, $412-4$; effect of, on cost of carriage, 4LL-9; sulposed advoutages of, over railways, 4!4-5; constantly pleaded the claim of vested interests in opposing prospective ralwass, 405-6, 500-1, 5.56-40, $348-9,551$. 260 ; stimulated to improvemont ly railways, 0933; revermes of reduction of, due to railway competition, fiat-5; decreased value of shares of, due to railwny eompetition, 63:-6; competition compels them to make working agrements with railways, 6ist-8; taken ower by railways, 6:37-8; legislative aid given to, to enable them to compete with railways, $6: 38$, but this was mullitied by railway ageression, 630-10: Railway and Canal Tratlie Act. 18:7, (122-3; progress of amalgamation of railwars with, $643-4$; some results of competition of railways with, 645-7 ; railway-eout rolled canals drove private carriers off the independent canals, 687 ; trallic of, drawn to railways, $617-9$; methorls wed by railways to take the trallic from, 647-8; reasoms for deeline of, 617-5!); disjointed state of, 6.49-50; laek of unity of management of, 6.51; jealonsy hetween, 652-3; chain of, broken up hy railways purelasing strategic links, 65t-1; ; easily hanupered by drought, frost :und Hood. 6 : 6 ; failed to adapt themselves to pro a essive elanges and to adopt business methorls, 6.57 ; Lamdicupped becanse unable to carry passengers, 6 B ; psyclological reason for declinc of, 658-9: plins devised to maintain competition of, 661-3; present-day suggestions for waterway improvement, 603-4
Canal shares, urjurofiable, +10-20, valuable, 421-7
Cupital, required in business, saving of, ley ruilways. 490, 544 , 547 ; waste of, by too muilu railwne construction, 595

## Caravias, 12:3

Carmen, in London, regulation of, 131-2
Carriage of goods, carly, 8-3: during Tudar period, 13-5; enrly rorganization for . 4 -5; charges for, to be assessed by Justices. fī̃: cost of, 91-3, 139-40: later organization for, 141-2; by river, cosi of, compared witl cost of land carriage, sot-9: by piekhorse, 304; waggon carriage suhstituted for park-horse, 305-7; desire for regularity of, sus- 9 ; specd of by stoge waggons, $34 ;-7$; cost of, ly
stage waggons, 3.47-9; cost of, decreased ly canals, $410-11$, tit-4; orgamiation for, on canals, ti36-42; defects of the service for, on camals, 4+10-2; rate of speed in, by canals, 430-1 ; cost of, expected rediretion by railways, 485-7, $54.3-5$; speed of, increased by railways, $487-9,543$, $590 \mathrm{ff} .:$ certainty and regnarity of by ralways, 489-90; wholly inadequate amed too costly by camals, 54i3-5; cost of, redneed ly railways, 500 if ; systems of on different railways, 6:2-6; by private carricrs on railways, eessation of, 6:30-3
Currers, conblination among, increased prices, $6 .-5$; their tratlic on western suads, 93 ; rates of, settled by Justices, 1.4); organization of tratlic of, 141-2; anethouls employed by waggon carrices, 30.5-10; organization of, on canals, 43.5-46; opposed construction of railways, $5+5$; could not be allowed on railways, 3 \%t; how driven olf railways, 830 13
Cast iron, whecls of, for early railways, 464 ; rails of, introducce, $464-5$; rails of, displaced by malieable iron, 467
Chairs, Sedan, introduced into Lingland, 116-7; cost of, 128
Chapman, Willim, lavoured small canals, i3: 1
Chelmer. river, proposed mavigation of, 183
"Circular canal," sugrested, 302
Clergy interested in good roinls, 8
Coaches, introluction of, 110 ; inereasing use of, 103, 112 lf ; ; hackney, introduction of, $112-8$; restrictions upon, 116-8; stage, intreduecd, :19-2:1; private, in Loudon, 130; lackmey, prolitable licenses of, 1:31; intense competition among stage coaches after 1750, 812-3: coaching selichules lurmonized with those of other conveyances, $313-5$; organization of coaching business, 31 ²-6; limaneial operation of stage, $316-7$; cuils connected with operation of stage, $817-20$, $343,5.37$; Dnsiness sometimes increased by railways, 5.50-1, 611, and sometimes reduced, : 0 : -9 ; competition of, with steaur vessels, 614 ff .; more licavily taxed that railways, 610-21, lience umatie to successfully eompete with railways, 610-se
Coaching estabislments, opposed the constrinction of railways, $\mathbf{j} 01-2,519$, 551; ruined by railways, 610-11; sometimes allied with railways, 612, 631
Coachmen mul ghards, impositions of, 818
Coal, cost of carriage of, to Manchester and Liverpool, 3.59

Coasting trade, antagonism of, to inland navigations, 411 ; competition of railways with, 683.5
Commissioners of nimigations, their duties and anthority, 10:1-6
Commissions of Sewers, purpose and aththority of, 24
Compensation, of older eanals ly newer, $400-1,652-3$; paid by railways, 499, 505
Competition, in conching, $312-33$, not nlways effective, 322-3; effect of, on fares, 343-4; on camals, 41-2; of carriers on same railway, impossibility of, 374 ; inter-railway, 577, 599 ; of railways, reduction of rates by, 577 ; of railways, efforts to maintain, s7\% 80, l'arliament knew not how to maintain, $580-1,6.57$; ton much railway eonstruetion due to desire to maintain, 5!5; of railwass with eoache's, 605 lif . ; of camals with railwhys, enconraged by special legislation, 633s. lint mullified by railway aggression, $63: 1-40$; some results of, between railways and eanals, (64\%-6
Composition for tolls, 227, 2:30, 259-60; abolished, 2:33, 613
Consolidation of railwises, encouraged by Parliament, $57 \%-8$ : elfect of, on rates and fares, 5 Jot ; activity in securing, 580: why desired. 581-1; wifl canals mud other railways, $58: 3$ ff. ; indwantages of. $5 \times 3$; progress of, $580-7$
Consolidation of trusts, advantages of, 280-73
Constables, with Churehwardens, to appoint statute duty chys, 33
Construction of canals, extravagrant eost of, 428-9; ; unwise, 429-31
Construction of roads, medanied improvement of, suggestions for, lob-7, 264-5; lad methods of, 2.51-13
Cost of earriage of goods, see Carriage of goords
Court Lect, settled oldigations of lorel and tenant, 4 ; decay of its authority, 14; presentments for bridges before, 21
Court of Common I'leas, 643
Covevtry Camal, construction of, 368; linamcial dillienlties of, 37: consolidation of, with Oxford rand Grand Trunk Cunals, 372 ; junetion of, with Birmingham Canal, 372; opposition of, to rival canal, 396
Cinstom, Effin et of, in settling coach fares, 3143

Dame, Sir Charles, and the steam carriage, 33:32
Dathgers of coatehinge, 31\%-8
Debes of turnuike trusts, 612-6; increased by railway eompertition, 610-9
1)ec, river, inavigation of, 19(-20) 6 ; its early importance, 190 ; proposals for
reeovery of, 197-8: opposition to improvenent of, 199 ; river turneal into new channel, 190-200
Defects of chmal cirriage, 4 4 )-2
Dircetion of roads, frequently unforthate, $250-1$ : recominended improvement of, 26,5
Discrimination of railways as to passenger facilities, bim
Diversion of trallic by canals, 415
Diverting highways, 221
1)ividends, railwiny, other considerations sacriliced to. 5 sir- 8
1)on, river, navigation of, 202-6; opposition to improvement of, 202-3; linancial dillienlties ennmeeted with, $20: 3-5$; discordant interests coneerned with, 205-6
Draining of the leens, 190-3
Lastern Counties Railway, sibe-9
Edgc'rail and lianged wheel introdueed, 465
Engincers, road, 84; introduction of, 266ff.; lletealfe, Jolin, work of, 266-8; Teliord, Thonns, work of, 26k-76; Macadam, Jolin Loudon, work of. 270-81
liton College, opposition of, to Great Western Railway, 503, 561
Exe, river, havigation of, 164-5
Extraordinary tolls, 76-5
Fairs, 43, 14
Fares, pasienger, determination of, 343; by steam vessels and coaches, 61.4 ff .
Fariners, attitude of, toward emomes, 40.4-7, and toward $r_{i}$ ilways, 503 - 4 , 551; would derive at vantages from railways, $534,544,35{ }^{4}-1$; benedits to, obtained from ratways, s91-3
Fens, Iraining of tre, igo-3
Financial manipulation, sorg; see also Fraud and misrepresentation
Flashing, 162, 4:3)
Fraud and misep resentation, in eamal pronotion, 427- 6,545 ; in railway promotion, 594; in railwny acconnts, 508 ; in railway linance, 601
Foreight lanulling in quant:ty, cheuper by railway, 49:3
Fulton, lediert, agitation of, for smell canals, 389-91; worn of, in steam navigation, 454

## Gauge Act. 58 :

Gencral llighway Aet, provisions of, 218-23. 2:32-3
Gencral 'lurnpike Aet, provisions of, 218, 29:3-7; amended, 2:32
Gild of the Iloly Cross, its work in repairing roals ${ }^{\circ}$ ni bridges, 31
Gilds, interested in repairing roads and bridges, 6, 30, 31
Glastmine biodge case, 351
Grain trade, monojoly of, $16 \%, 408$

Grand Junction Canal，construction of， 37\％（0－7
Grand Junction Hailway，formed， 5.42 ； system of earriage on，（j25－6
Grand I Tonk Canal，see＇I＇rent and Mersey Cinal
Gray，Thomas，contribution of，to rail－ ways，517－9
Great liastern Ruilway， 569
Great Northern Railway，56i7－8
Great W＇estern Mailway， 5 上Iff．；need of，5．55－8；opmosition to，558－62； efforts toward securing，50：3－1；broad gampe of， 589
Gurney，Goldsworthy，and the steam carringe，3：30－2
Inckney coaclies，introduction of，112－8； opposition to，11：3－1，11．5－6；ditli－ culties in lieconsing，12．5 fr．
Hackney coachmen，difficulties of，125－ 30；evasion of the law by，125－30； licenses of，very prolitable， 131
Hadley，I＇resident A．T．．яprote．＇， $\mathbf{5 8 3} \mathrm{n}$ ．
Hancock，Walter，and the steam earriage， （3310－1
Highway Act，General，provisions of， 218－2：3；amemedel， $2: 32$ ；provisions as amended，2：32－3
IIfhwaymen，1．fis
Iolyheid road，contition of，9\％－7．271－ 2：＇Telford＇s improvenent of，271－4
Horses，mumber used on wargons，6ik－7， 68 ；number of，relation to anmount of statute duty，10．\％cost of keeping supply of ：320；coaching eansed preat destriction of，：32（i）；employnent of， anticijated decrease of，duc to eamals， 40．5，did not materialize， 413 ；antici－ pated decrease of employment of．due to railways，4！93；cmployment of，on railways． 511 ：increased employment of，due to ratways， $5.30-1$
Huddershichd Comal，constrmetion of，：371， 430
Ihndson，George，508，584
Hull and Sclby Railway，ofla－5
Hulk．Jonathan，first applied steam to navigation，is：

Inclined phanes，on canals，favoured， 391－2 ；in railways，farouretl．510－11
Indule evees，granted for repairing roads or bridges，os
Industrial Revohation，demanded greater transjortation facilities，3．57：aided by callats，410－11
Indiustry，advanee of，in eighteenth century，211－2；an antieipated result of canials，wor：progress of，due to railways， 591
Informers，evils arising from， 67 n ．，68－9
Infand navigation，see Navimation，in－ land
Itunkepers，impositions of，on travellers， 319；ruined by railwass，81（1－11

James，William，contribution of，to rail－ ways，507，509－10
Justices of the Peace，authority of， over roads，34，64，221，over streets of towns．37，over river carriage， 200

Kendal and Laneaster Camal，eonstrue－ tion of， 1371
Kennet and Avon Canal，construetion of， 37.5

Laisscz－faire doctrines，apmlied to eanals and railways，5r：
Landed propirictors，interested in good roads， 7 ；refusced the best line of road， $8: 3-4$ ；opposed construction of cunals，306，403；о⿴囗posed eonstruction of railways，497－500，546－8，551，553， 558－9；greatly benctited by railways， 52\％，534，5．28，5911－：3
Law，of highways，evided because not elear．104－5：caused burdensome inequalities，105；sughested elanges in，10．3－6
Lea，river，navigation of，improvement of，26，165－3
Lee，river，see l．ces，river
Leeds and Liverpool Camal，construetion of，：370，4：30
Ieeds and Selby Railway，56t－5
Legal fees to seenre Aets of Parliament， extortionate． $59.5-6$
I，egislation for coaching，infraction of， ：319
Legislation for roads． 51 ff ．， 216 ff ．， $227-$ 33；eontained pross ineonsistencies， 218 ；agitation for simplification of， 218，227－：32；signifieance of amount of，233－5：cncouraged its own infrac－ tion．24：；evasion of， 2.45
Liverpool，yrowth of，357－8，514－5； poorly supplied with necessaries of life，：3．58－9
Livernool and Birminglam Railway， 53.5 ff ．；need of．53．5－8；reasons for and advantages of the proposed line， ：$: 38-9$ ；rfforts toward seenring，5：38－ 43；absorbed by Grand Jumction Rai＇way，542
Liverpool and Manchester Railway，need of，514－21：plans for，521－2；apposi－ tion to， $222-1$ ；quection of motive power foi， 52.16 ；the first publie peneral railway， 526 ；shecess of 520－9：effects of， $527-8,548,589$ ， 591；later financing of，529－31；caused reduced revemues to adjacent turn－ pike trusts， 617
Liverpool and Wigan Canal，constrtetion of． 380
Loads carried on roads，too heavy，evils of，2．43－4，249－50
Location of canals．frequently unfor－ tinate，42！）－30
Location of roads，frequently bad，
2.51-1 ; reeommended intprowement of, 265
London, streets of, in Tudor times, 36-7, in cightrenth century, $98-9,103 \mathrm{n}$.; hackney conches in, 12.5-31; regulation of carmen in, 181-2
London and Birminghant Rnilwny, etti ff. ; need of, 543-5; early clforts to oltain, 545-1i: apposition to, $540-9$; ndvintages of the proposed, $540-51$; later efforts to obtain, 5.51-is; linanees of, 5.53-4
Lomblon and Brighton Ruilway, 569
London and Dover Rhilway, sib9
Lomblon and southampton Railway, $\mathbf{5 6 4}$
London und Sonth Lastern Railway, 560
Lug, river, navigation of, 183
Aacudam, James, 280, 28:3. 138
Macadam, John Loudon, work of, us road engincer, $268-6$, 276-81
Maerroni, Col. Francis, connexion of, with steam earriage, 330
Malleable iron, used for rails, 4f6- ; displaced enst iron rails, 467
Manchester, growtly of, 357-8, 51:5; poorly supplied witli necessaries of life, 3.38-9

Manchester und Ashton-under - Lyne Cimal, construction of, 371
Manchester and Bolton Canal, construetion of, 371
Manchester and Leeds Ruilway, $560-7$
Winchester and Shellield Lhailway, 567 , 1011
Manturatures, new, established along (4mats, 411
Markets, wider, their relation to roads, $211-2,935$; result from canals, $401-7$, 411-2
Mathew, Franeis, urged great nse of inland waterways, 181-7: saguested canal lietween Isis and dwon rivers. 188-9
" Maximum Rates Clatace" 5ib-7
Medway, river, navigation of, $160-71$; oplosition to navigation of, 170-1
Dersey, river, proposed improvensent of havigation of, $18: 3$
Mersey and Irwell Navigation Company, rates charged ly, 20s; monopolintic conditions of, raised freight rates, .jlfo-: ; inaderpate facilities for the trallif, $819-20$; great profits of, 500-21
Metealfe, John, work of, as lirst road anginerer, 26ifi-s
Nikenge duty, 817
Mills. on ivers. destroyed navigations, 1032-3, 170: owners of, opposition to navigations by, 17.4, 176-80, 184, 397
Monasteries, obligatien for road repair, 4: faterested in havine erod roads, 8 , 39; effect of their dissolution on roads, 29
Money, mistrplication of, 67-8, 80-2,

2 $\boldsymbol{H}$ - 1 ; methods of jureveuting, 257-9, 3.9

Monmonthshire Cancl, iad construction of, 430); monopoly of, broken up by railway, 401
Monopoly, expected to be brohen up by construetion of new eanals, 4ur-8; canal, Iroken up by railway, t00-2, 513, 593: of farmers, broken up by railway, 50:3-1; of railways, cssent tal to their business, 374 ; of rmilways, different from all others, $5 \% 4$; of railwnys, detrimental to the phblic, (ifot
Monorail system, of Henry K , l'almer, 513
Murdoek, William, experiments of, with steam carriage, 328

Navigation, inland, see River navigation
Nen, river, navigation of, 1bo-1
Nene, river, see Nen, river
Newemstle and Carlisle If Iway, 564
North lload, Great, condifurl of, 89-92
Norwich, to Yarmontl, navigation from 25; to London, comdition of roads from, $9 x$

Ogle, connexion of, witly steam earriage, 331)

Operation of railways, defoctive, $509-$ (60)

Ostentation in railway construction, . 5 \%
Outram, Benjamin work of, in tramway construction, 46.5
Oxford Canal, conmetuction of, :38-9; financial dilliculties of, $37-$, consolidation of, with Coventry and Grand Trumk Cansls, 37: ; proposed junction of, with Lomdon, 376
Oxford Univervity, opposition of, to Grat Western Ralway, 503, 561

Pack-lionses, oryamization of earrying trade ly, 141-2
Palmer, Jolin, established mail eobehes, 324; improved transmission of mail, 32.5 ; his tromble in the General Post Ollice, 326-7
Parish, recponstbitity of, for roals, 34; negligent of duty, $57-8$
Passemper travelling, spe Traveling
Pauper labour, employed on roads, 212-3; discontimanee ol', recommended, $\sin$
Paving, Aets for, 12. evaded by householders, (83. 3!
Praviour, appointment of, 13; his importance, 13, 34, 11
Plateways, 463, 46.4
Plonghi limd, meming of, 10t-fi
Pontase, 18-21
Pooling by railways, 582
Postal ficilities, inprovements of, 32s-7
Post-chaises, infraction of the law by, 319 ; expense of travelling by, 321-3;

Posting, organization lor, 46-8, 50, 110 ; cost of, $47-8,110,321-3$; increase (1) anmult of, 3 30-1; extortiomate charges for, 32g-3; decrease of, duc to railwnys, $108-9$
" Rnilroad Parlimuent," fi01
Thilway und Canal 'Trallic Act, 185.4, 62!-8
Railway Clearing House, sis8-9
Jailways Clatimes Consolidation Aet, 57 ト5
Kailways, eurly, 46:-6; enrly, construction of, $4167-8$; diflerent from tramWays, 469-70; regarded as acessories to canals nud turnpiles, 469 -70; rouds va., as to liaeility of emriage of goorls, sfo-8: development of locobotive steam engine on, 47:3-6; Stockton and Darlington, 477 If.; antieipated bendits from and reasons for construction of, $48.5-\mathbf{0} 4,496-7$, 2333-4, 54:1-51; supposed arlvantage's of canals over, 49 ti, ; ( $49 \pi-507,5292,53(6-10,30.5$; contribution of 'Thomins Grity to, $507-9$; contrihution of Willian dames to, 509-10; sughestions as to eonstruetion and operation ol. 510-13; Liverpool and Manelienter, $51+31$; rnilway fever of $18: 5-6,532-3$. 5:0; liverpool and 13irminglant, $3: 5-7: 3$; London and Birmingham, it:-54; Grand Jumetion, 5t2; Great Western, 55⁄6. ; apposed other railways, ifil-2; Neweastle and Carlisle, sitit: London and Southanpoton, sfis: Ihull and Selly, 56its; Lectls and Sellyy, 56t-5; Manchester and Lecels, 5иm-7; Mandester and Sheflicld. 5f: ; Sheflield and Rotherham, $56 \mathrm{~m}^{7} \mathrm{n}$ : Great Northern, 567-8: EQsurn Counties, sifx-9: Great bent (rnt, sist ; London and Ibrighton, athi Landon and Dover (London and soutil Liastern), 569: mania of 1s3.5-7, 570-1; system in eonstruction of, reconmended, :571-2; considered at lirst according to hassez-faire poliey, 572 ; parliamentary opposition to monopoly of, 572: (ssentially monopolistic, 5 รั:3-4, S76, 578: imponihility of having divided responsihility on same line of, 57.3 : as carriers jurogressive leqislattion concerning, strif charges of, how lised, $5 \bar{t}-7$; clasiltication of articles earried by, 575 ; tolls on, .775-7; "Maximum Rates Clause," $\therefore 76-7$ : competition betwect different, 57\%. 549; working agrements formed nmones. 5 ริ, 640-1; cfforts to maintain Cempetition of. 57 - 80 ; matigamn-
 impossihility of having competition of, 578 : reasons for consolidit in of, 580-1, 586-8; prooling hy, 582;
advamtages of consolidation of, 588 ; "railway matia" of $181 / 1 ;$, $58: 3-6$, 6.40; completion of the great systems of, 586-7 ; general mmakamation of, advoented, 588: blan for divisionm monopoly of, se8; Claring House established, j8e-9; interelange of trallic, arrangements for, $388-9,15\}-3$; question of gruge, jxy; beneftits resilting from, $580-0.3, \mathbf{5 . 5 6}$; evils aecompnnying. 503-601; murofitable, reabons for, fill-2; system- of amalEfmation of , 102-:3; closely followed the lines of Romat roads, turnpikes and comals, 1033; classes of passenger accommaration on, fint; caused decrease of coaching and posting on adjacent highowys, (608-9, b16: absorbed most of the passenger trallic. 609-12: helped to inerease delots of turnpike trusts, 612-t, fille-9; their nseendaney over state coaches, reasons for, 619-2i3; taxation of, lighter than that of coaches, 610-21; systems of enrriage on, 62t If.; as earriers, reasons for and ugainst, 620-9; claims of the private carriers on, azdo-4; ns carriers, decision in favour of, $6: 29-30$; how the private curriers were driven off the lines of, 6330-3; eompretition, efferet on canals, bi33 ff. ; cama revenues deelined beraluse of eompetition by, 6ith bis. ; use of, reduced amomin of cabital nceessary to morlumt husiness, lis.); competition, cansed decline of value of comal shares, $13, j-1 ;$; eon.petition, foreed the eanals to babe working agrecments, 030-8: took over catmals, $6: 37-8$; legislative aid to cuable canals to comprete with, 638 , was mullilicd by railway aggression, 630-10; amalgamation if, should We earefully supervised for the publie good, (641-6): Ralway and Comal irallic Act, 18.5t, 6iP-3: progress of amalgamation of, witl canals, if t:3-4; canals sometimes eatsed rediction of freight rates at competitive points on, 65 ; some results of compretition of cannls witl, 6:4.-7 : carriers Iriven off ranals by, 6.47; nim of, to drive trallie from waterways to the rails, 647-9; methods they med to take tratlic from canals, 647-8; competition of, witly the emsting trade, wifis
Hailway shares, valot ; of , 52s-9. 583-6; manipulation of, E93-4-4
Responsiliility for bridges, divided, :2 2
liver navigation, go-8; much used in enrly day, , 2 ; eomplaints against ohstruetions in, 23, 1.58, 167, 176, 378-9: heave penalties for nostructions in, 2:3, 158, 176; effarts to preserve, $2 \boldsymbol{2}-\mathrm{s}$; forees tombing to destroy, $25, \quad 160-4, \quad 185, \quad 388-9$; eximples of improvement of, 2.5 ff;
efforts to matith fresiont of the severn. 2b-7, 158-60; exphaths cheansess of land earringe, 27-8, Exe Havigation. 16i-3; Lev mavigation, 16.⿹-8; nntugonisur to, 167-8; Med-
 tion, 171-4; makio.g rivers navigable, 180-5; improvement of, muder Cromwefl, 18:- ; benelits of mating, 183-4; new, oppusition to. 184; inprovement of, chicily in manuficturing sections, 104: athmintration of. 101- 11 : Dee, river, havimation of, $19(-2(0)$; 'frent, river, havigution of, zerse; Bon, river, Havigution of, 20-(i) Hersey null Irwell, rivers, mavigation of, 355 ; Worsley hrmok, navigaliom of, $35: 5-13$; Sankey brook, navigation of, 35j-b; need of increased fucilities of, :35:5-60; improwements of dire and Calder, 371, :357; improvements of Weawr, 377; fencral improvements of, 377 ; Thames, diltionlty of securing improvement of, $378-85$; elforts toward intprovement ol severn, issi-7; ; igitation for improvement of Tyne, ; зnt-8; damed lens attention than camals ulter 1750, ans; asitation fur system in improvement of, $33: 3-4$ : improwement ol', expetel from canals, ton, 414; interiority of, to canals, 415 ( ; $^{\text {; }}$ barrices to shecess of atil-2, 5.5.5-6; spered of carriage of gooblh he, tist 1 ; opponition of. to ratways, sat?-61
Rivers, pmblie and private, 1.57-x
Roads, Roman, 1-4, and their deeny, 4 ; 13ritinh. 2-a3: carly Linglinh, niture of, $\overline{5}$ : ohligation for repair of 4 ; Act paissend to secure salfety mpon, 5; methuls of repair, by chiarity, 5,30 , $1 y$ pilks, 6,31 , hy vohuntary gilts. 6, 330. by landed proprictors. 7 ; condition of, 811 , $1 \neq$; rasons for their deeline before sixteenth eenthry, 14. Lanter roals, repair of, from corborate mal private sources. $30-2$, and by imdividual benevolence, 32 : how repaired over open-tiedd area, is-3: : Hiphwny Act of 1.5.5.5 and its provisions, :3:4: condition during
 for rephir of, 158li-17.50, 51 ff ; ; repair of, ly answoment, is: liability for repair of, dillimit to determine, 53-4; responsibility for. not taken werionsly, 5is, x(1): legriatation for, mullitiol, ot-8, (6i-!!. s., 21:-7, 2:37 ff, 24.5; provision for wittoning, (60, ( 51 , fis-lt: establishment of turnpikes, 61 : bial, reasons fur, biras. 80-84. 2:37-84; private, 75-8; after 174.5, attertion to, 80; not alwnys abong loest course, 8:3-4, 23: 5 -10; condition Jown 10 1750,最-101: in Yorkhire, $8 N-01,2 x, 8$; between Vork and lombon, x $1-92$, $285-8$ : in stmthern comnties, $12-3$,

20:-7; from London to the west, $03-5$, , $93-1$; from London to the north-west, $0.5-7,9 x 8-0.1$; in eastern commties, $97-8,248-300$; near London, 08-100; meedanieal constrmetion of, shggestions for improving, lob-7 parisls responsibility for, sughested change ol , 10 $1-8$; improvement of, 13iti-7 ; ned for meater improvement of, 211-3; benetits of poot, 213,935 ; greater interest in, ufter if50, 213. ?ag; improvement of, maty suggestions for, $101-9,21: 3-5 ;$; wintation for, nfter $1730, \pm 14$ If., $2: 27-3: 3$; remedies for innprovement of, 250-6if; 409 ; improvement of, by road en-
 North lhomd, :8.2.8; general nature of
 provement of, us result of canals, +1 ; ; improvement of, due to rnilways, 40:3-1
Hochahle (imal, constrmetion of, 371 ,
 Leeds lantway, ath
Wochester hridge, responsilihity for, $2 \underline{2}$ Inoyal visits, preparations for, 1:32-1
Salwerp, river, navigation of, 183
Sankey Brook, merigation of, 35.5-1;
Sedan chairs, introhbeeci, 116-8; cost of, 128
Severn river mavigation, history eud olvituction ol', 26-7; c-lforts to maintain the frectom of, 268 ., 158-60; later history of improvements of, $386-7$
Sewers, Commissions of, purpose and muthority of. 24
sharehodters, often intifferent is to raibuy managemont, 597-8; urged to take netive interest in their properties. I :
Shefliedd and Rotherham Ratway, 5 fia n .
Sigu posts ordered, 6 if
Sinith, Adsm, 213, !16, 403
Soar, river, mavigation of, 181
Specolation, in cimals (1742-33), 301- $(3$; in railway shares ( $1820.5-6$ ), 5y, $2-8$; in railways (188.5-7), 570-1 ; in ralways
 50:3-5
Staffordhhire and Worcesternhire Camal, 3167-8
Stame coarhes, intromeed, 110-21; inereased speel of, 121-2, :335-9; opposition to. 123-5: attempt to suppress. 19:3-5; mate time seliedules to harmonize with parket bonts, 125 : infriction of the haw be 318: evils of travelling by, 317-20, 2.57 ; rate of travelling, 5.57
Stage coatel dhty, :11 6
Stuge wargons, their importance, 129-3; rate of travelling of, 346-7: cost of (asriage by, 345-9

Stainforthand Keadby Canal, anthorized, 371
Star Chamber, Court of, decree of, 150-60
Statute duty, on rouds, estublished, is:3; pemalty for non-fulfilment of, 34; became fised, 3.-6; its purpose largely defeated, 49, 215, 241-2; clitlientitien in its ey ution, $54-8$, 214-6, 24-2; mismmerstantings as to :Hmount of, $5.5-6$; evils conneeted with, $215-6,2+11-2 ;$ its abolition ured, 236, 250-6in; mank more equitable, 22: ; system was obsolete, 232; abolished, adis, 613
Stean earriape on common roads, efforts to establish, 32--3.5; reasons for failute of, :3:3:3-5
stean engine, development of, on raitways, $47: 3-6$
Stemin mapipation, its development, 452 ff ; on the Clyde, 4.54 ; in J3itish waters, hist? ; ripiol progress of, after 1814, 158; little used on canals, $4 . \%$
Steam parket serviec, 4.50-9
Stean vessels, attracted traffie from the roanls, 614, by cheaper fires, 615 , and better traveling facilities, 616 ; competition of, with coarles, 61.4 ff.
stephenson, Georpe, 475. 470, 207 ; appointed engineer of stockton and Darlington Railway, 479
Stephenson, Robert, 44ix, 478, 50)
Stockton and Darlington Railway, 477 ff : ingitation for, 477-8; dillicilty of securing the Aet for, 4\%8-9) : the question of power to be used on, 480-1, 484-5; sureess of, $4 \times 1,514,580$; organization of the carrying trade on, 481-i; ; methoul of operation of, 18:-1
Stopping י י roark, 221, 2\%
stonr, river, navigation of, 183
Straightening of roads, $2 \%$
Streets, of mediacenl towns, low maintained, 0-14; in many eases bad, 12; paving icts evaded, 12-13: establishment of paviours, 13 ; condition of, in Tudur times, $366-7$; linbility for repair of, 37-40: specially cleaned before al roynl visit, 36: spreeial netliods of repairing, to : obstractions in, 10-2, 101-1: unsanitary eondition of, 42-3, $118-9,102$ : nathre of, eas highways, 101-4; of towns and cities, 17.50-18:30, condition of, 302-3

Stromlwater Canal, benelits of, 3a3; comexion of, with Thames und Severn Camal, 37.
subscription lists. friud in compiling, 59.

Simmers, eomnexion of, with steam carriane, 3330
Surrey Iron Railway, 10.5-6, 172
Survegor-ceneril. need of, 215; appointment of, recommenderl, 2:56-7

Surveyors, for ronds, appointed, 33 ; duties of, $833-1,51-2,50$, (it; given inerensed powers, 34, fith; neglectful of duty, $54-5,154,67,8: 2-3,91+5$, $235-9,254$; anthorized to levy assessmerts, 80 ; changed methos of appointing, 6it incrensed pehalty for newligence of, 64 ; difleulties of, 67 , 2:38-9; bad methol of appointment, 2:57-9: recommended qualitied und paid sinrveyors, 2.56-7
Symington, Wiliam, cod steam navigation, 4.5is -4

Tathan, Wilham, wated small eumuls nod inelined phanes, sul
Taylor, James, commexion of, with steam navigation, 4.53
Taylor, dolin, the Wiater Poet, 114, 121
Telford, 'Ilomas, work of, as read engincer : 28 -7t; ; combarisom of his fund Macadan's methods of road construction, 278 ; opposition of, to railways, 307
'Thames, river, navigation of, $176-80$; mivigation of, obstructions to, 170-7, 17!-xi); exactions of loek tatd weir owncers on, 178; later history of, 3788.5; opposition of Commissioners of, to railway, 3 tho-1
Thames nnd Severn Camal, eonstruction of, 37:-5
Tide, influence of, upon river navigation, 26, 163
Toll-gates, opposition to, 65; furming of, 71, 237; destruction of, 71-3; ulditional tolls at, 73 ; mueh frand in administ ration of, 2ffi-1; more equitable method recommended, 241 ; manoymere from multiplice:tion of, 321 , 3.4

Tolls, nurage, 9 ; pontage, $10,18-21$; pavage, 10; traverse, jassage or through, 11; on Great North Rond, agitation for reduction of, 92 ; heavy expense of, 316 ; bar and compensation, 4i52-3
Towns, strects of, condition in Tudor thates, 368-7; opposition of, to latil. ways, 502-3, 560
ifrade, extension of, over wider areas in sistecnth century, 4:3-4
Trallie, freiglit and passenger, inereased by railways, 500 ff . See also Corriage of porels
Trains, mumber of, too great, 599
Traniroud, see Trannway
Tramway, early use of, wil ; development in eonstruction of early, 403 ff .; methorl of constructing, 4fin-8; different from present-lay railwiys, 469-70): location of, tionta
Travelliur, early, s; mostly on foot or horseback. 8, $0 \mathrm{n} . ;$ speed of. 0 ; during 'l'udor period, 4,-8; rate of, during Tudor period, 50-1; aided
ly sign posts，bib；on tireat Nortl liond，rate of 89－8）：；in cossterit
 to Lenton，rate of，lif）：general conditions of，in seventedots and eighterenth centurics， 109 If ； ；ate of 1606t－18．51，1：35－x；cost of，1600－1750， 1：38－9），（ifos：；increased amomat of， 1730－18：30， $310-2$ ；intense competi－ tion in coarching alter 1750，：312－：3； coaching schachules harmonized with those of otler consevaners．：31；3－5； increased rate of． $1750-18330$ ， $3335-99$ cost of，17．50－18：34，：3H）－6；increased
 500：incorsenietres of，by rallway， 580－1 ：incerase of，due to rallways， 590－1 ：cost of，on ratways，compared with eamals and roads，（in）．i－8；de－ crease of，on thrnpikes．due to rail－ ways，61こ－8
Trent，river，mavigation of ，o（）（）－2 ；reasons for inprovement ol，201；opronition to improvernent of，201
＇Trent and Mersey C＇unal，lirst contem－
 eonstrmetion of，is66；benefits lrom， 36ifi－7；consolidation of，with Coventry
 in reducime cost of carriage，ma
Trevitlick，liclarl，experiments of， witly stean carriages， 328 －30，and steane engine oll railway，47．5－6
Trimoda necessitus， 4,01
＇f＇mprike Act，（iencral，provisions of， $218,203-7$
Turnpikes，lirat legialation for，©ib，How received，（is）；establisteed lirst on great roids， 8.5 ；Acts，expense of seeming，（6．5，2：36－7：trustees estab－ lished，$\sigma 0$ ；administration of，by trusters，zo－1；piecemeal administra－ tion ol． $70-1, \because 2 \pi$ ；Mposition to， 80；put miler sessional eontrol．22as； trists，consolidation of， 227 ；trustecs of＂pjosed canal construetion， $397-8$ ， 40：3，and railway construction．502， 5．51，50is；proposed construction of railways at sides of，：11－3
Turnpike trusts，cois bidation of，227， 0．57－1：finances of，2：31，240－1； erceply in delot， $231, ~ \because 211,257-9$ ； rencelies to improve tinances of， 2．5－9－ 1 ；gralual reduction in number of，2611；metropolitan，consolidiation of，esth－is；arenral consolictation of recommended， $2 x: 3$ ；debt of，inercased by railw：y competition，616－9
Tyne，river，navigation of，：387－8

Ciulertakers of navigations，their duties und authority，191－6

V＇ested interests，opposition of，to canal construction，：398－401；camals used pleat of，in opposing railways，49．5－6； opfusition of，to railways，504，511f，5tio
Warwick mud Naptor Canall，construction of， 3 （）
Watermen，＇llames，complaints against comaches hy．113；lagh eharges of，1 lio
Wister I＇oct，thre，Jolar laylor， 114, I2I， 18.5

Weaver，river，probosed improvement of the n：wigation of，18：3；improvements i！1：1vigation of，：377
Weighimg engimes（or machines），estab－ lished，ais；addlitionall tolls talien at， 73， $7.1-5,2: 2: 3$ ；evils of， $7: 3 \mathrm{n}$ n－75， $223-4,2: 30, \geq 19-50$ ；alwhlition of，re eommended，2li3－1；abolition of，23：2 Weirs in rivers，advantages of， $2: 3 n$
Wey，river，navimatton of，181－2
Whicels，niarow．restriction of，bs－9， ？2！）；bore heavier burden of tolls， テレーテ，ㄹ17；evils of，8t，214，$\because 14$ ； ＂Mpsition to，261－3
Wheels，wide，prowided for，（io）；not rompulsury，$i 11$ ；matle comphisory， 75；complaint against demand for， 76 ；inse of，collcouraged， $\boldsymbol{7 6}-7,261-2$ ； desirability of for road maintenance， 214，217；tegislative encouragement of，217，219，224－6；cuils of cirrving heary weights on，28：－7
Whects，cylindrical and conieal，investi－ gation of，2：27：evils of bad construc－ tion of， $2.7-9$ ；evlindrical，recon－ mended adoption of， 202
Whirlicotes， 109
Winehester，stallute of， 5
Wood，Nicholas，conmexion of，with

Working arrecments，varions forms of， 633（i－7．See also Consolidation of rail－ ways and Amalgamation
Worsiley Brook，Havigation of，355－6
Wye，river，natvigation of， $171-6,18: 3$
Yirmoutls，to Norwich，navigation from， 2s：comexion of，with London，！r－8
Yarranton，Andrew，urged great develop）． ment of interior waterway and chtting canalh， 189,3733 ；ided in improving Dee navigation， 197
York to London，condition of roads from，89－412
Yorkshire，roads in，condition of，87－9
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jeans, Jubile Memorial of the Raileay System, p. 5. Jeans says of his work

[^1]:    J. T. 11.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wond, Practical Tratise on Railrouds (182.5), p. 34.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gray, Chorographia, or as surve! of Neccastle upou Tine (16.t9). pp. 21-25.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hid., ply. 21-25: Wond. I'ractical Tratise on Railrouds (182.), p. 35, quoting from Gras ; Stretton, A Free Notes on Liarly Raizecty Mistory, p. 3.
    ${ }^{4}$ Jemas, op. rit., p. 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ North, Life of the Right Iomourable Prancis North, Barom of Guilford, Lord Fenper of the Girent Scal. pp. 136-7. See also Wood, Practical Treatisp on linilroads (182.) , p. 3f, and Cumming, Rail and Tram Roads (182み), p. \%. fin Transactions of the Hightand Socict!, vi, j. fiet seff., Seot gives all aceount of the origin and

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Wood, op. cit., p. 45, quoting from Carr's Coal Vieacer and Engine Builder; stretton, op, cit., P. 4; Gordon. op. cit., p. 4.

    2 . Jeans, Jubilec Memorial of the Ruilacely Siystem, p. 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ Woorl, op, eit., p. $\leq 8$; Stretton, op, eit., p. 4; Gordon, op. cit., p. 5. The Jessop rail may now be seen in the South Kensington Muspum, London.
    \$ Wool, op. cit., p. 46; Stretton, op. cit., p. 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ Wiowl, op. eit.. p. th; stretton, op. cit., p. 5 ; Gordon, op. eit.. p. 5. The name "tram" was in use much earlier than this. for in 1555 Ambrose Middeton bequeathed 20s. "to the amendinge of the highwaye or tram from the weste ende of Bridgegait, in Barnard Castle." (Surfees Sociely Publications, xxxum, p. 37 note.)

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stretton, op. cit., p. $\tilde{z}$; Gordon. op. cit., p. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ stretton, op. cit., p. 5.
    2The Times. Noバ. 91,1823 , p. t. The road was just eompleted at this time after four vears of construction work. For others that were constructed at this time sce Francis. History of the Enghish Raileay.

    4 Cambrilge Chronicle and Journal, Ang. 30, 1811, 1). 2; The Times, July 29, 1829, p. 3.
    ${ }^{5}$ Jeans, Jubilee Memorial of the Railway System, 1. 14.
    ${ }^{6}$ Wood, Practical Treatise on Railroads, pp. 60-61; Stretton, op. cit., p. \%.

[^5]:    J. т. 11.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$.Jeans, of. cit., 1p. 16-17.
     gives the prownectis on pp. 2 t-w
    

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jeans, op. cit., pp. Si-35.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid., pp. 3 зั-30.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$. Leans, op. eit., p. 4:3; P'ease, Llaries of Ldzard Pease, ph. 8.5-87. This seeond Act was 4 Geo. IV, c. 33.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jeans, op. (at., pp. 5:3-54. On the early life ant traininy of stephenson, sec dutobiography of Sir Iohm Remie, p. 23.5 et setp. ; alsu the life of Stephenson in Smiles' Lites of the Engincers, which gives full details.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jeans, op. eit., p. 81 , gives in full their hand-bill eoneerning the passenger serviec. This is also given in Layson, Life of George Sifphenson, 1. 93, advertising the passenger eoach between Slockton and andington. It gives the times of departure and arrival at cach place abong the line for each day of the week, It is interesting as the first ralway passemser time-table.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jeans, op. eit., P1. 81-82; Booth, History of the Literpool and .11a echester Railiany, p. 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ Jemis, op. eit., p. S4.
    ${ }^{4}$ Details are given in Jeans, op, cit., pp. 8.5-86. ${ }^{5}$ Ibid., pp. 84, 86.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Competition among the riwal coach propretors using the sincle line of roadway led to confusion and collisions among them; their merchandise trains sometimes got ao heavily loadel that they had to be helped by the locomotive engine in order thatt other trallic might not be held op or delised. This method of course, was simply carrying out the s:ame conditions that existed in the earrying trade on the comals. liven before the reilway was opened, the committee in charge of the work, after eareful investigation, had declared that it wond "greatly conduce to the interest of the company that they shonld berone the prineipat earriers on the line." They had been asked hy a certain individual for permission to use his locomotive rupine on the railway, but the committec thonght that it would be improper to grant this application (Jeams, (op) cit., p. 6i3).

    2 Jeans, o . cit., 1p. si-89.
    ${ }^{3}$ Wather and hastrick, Report to the Directors of the Lizerpont and Manchester Ruilicaly, w the Comparative Merits of Locu-motice und Fircel Lingines, as a Moving Puater, 1 . 3. On the application of stationary engines on sone railroads, see Wood, Practical Treatise on Ruilruads, 1ן. 110-2:3.

    4 Maeturk, ifistory of Raihicuys imte Ihult, p. 29, quoting from Walker's observa-
    

[^11]:    1 Rristol Mercury, Oct. 5, 18:33, p. 4, hetter of "A Welt Wisher."

    * Maeturk, History of the Hull Raitialss, pp. 18-32.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hid., pp. 18-32, prix., in full Walker's report to the committee of the proposed Leeds and selby Railway (o.

    4 Walker said that on the Dartington line the horse-power amounted to about one halfpenny per tom per mile on the coal eonveyed down to Stockton, ant, all things consilered. the cost of hauling by locomotives was ment less; but at the rate of eight or ten miles per hour the engine-power wonld be very much cheaper if there were enough traflic to form full loads for the engines. Macturk, on, cit., p, 32.

[^12]:    

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cumdy, Obscrations on Raikeays, 2nd ed. (1835), pp, 29-32.
    ${ }^{2}$ This wats especialls emphasized in the ease of the London and Southampton Railway. see smmmary of evidence on this ralway Bifl in Hampshire Adtertiser ani Salisbury Guardian, Mar. 29, 18:3., p. 2.

    3 To each of these we shall refer in more detail when we come to eonsider the railways comseting these places.
    4. Manchester Guardian, Jan. 29, 1831, p. 1, prospectus of the Manchester and Leeds Ranlway.
    ${ }^{6}$ Manchester Guardian, Jan. 29, 1831, p. 1, prospectus of the Manchester and Leeds liailwiy; Simblars mamphlet on the Liverpool and Mcnehester Raikey; l'arkes, Chaim of the subseribers to the Birmingham and Liverpowl Ra?road, pp. 40-inl;
     of the Bimminghan aml Liverpool Ratil Roall Company;' Brit. Mus. sads. e, 10 (149), 'lrospectus of the Tamnton Great Western Railroad; Vallanee, Sinking Capital in Railzays, p. 9: Macturk, History of Raitaras into IIull, pp. tis-4t.

    * Manchester (inzelte. Jim. 1, 1831, p. \& , Ietter from " $\mathbf{W}$. N. R." refers to the fact that in .Jmmary and Fehruary 1830, the cioms were frozen for some weeks, and during that time "t?. - price of eoals in Liverpool rose, in many instances, upwards of one hu:dred per cent.," and tle datily demand for the town was more than the supply.

[^14]:    ' Brit. Mus. 0s:23.\% f. 7 , 'Olservations on the Comparative Merits of Inland Navimations and liailroads, 1p. 20-23.
    = Wood, Practical I'reatise on Railroals (1825), p. 157 et seq., and Thomas Tredgohl on liailroats.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit. Mns. 08,2:3. . f. $7 \%$, "Ohservations on the Comparatise Merits of Inland Navigations and lRailroads, pp, ロs-is, wives arguments agrainst the locomotive and in favour of canals; and the writer finally siys: "lbut 1 eertainly think suffieient proofs appear, that in compertion with a long line of eanal or river navigation, enjoying a general trade, and affording the means of free and open competition, any project of a railroad would prove rminoms to the adventurars, and uneless to the public" (p. 43). To tha same cflect ware the words of another in 18:32, after the Liverpool and Manchester Railway had been in operation for two years. Ile showed that for passenger trallie the railway was sumerior to any nther mode of travelling; but, in regard to the freight tatlice, his conchaion was that, mike for mile, gronds were not earried so cheaply on the Liverpool and Manchester Mailway as on the great lines of canals, ant could neither remunerate the earrier as to his

[^15]:     Proceedings of the Girent W'cstern Railatay Company. p. 11.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. M1s. T. 1351 (18), 1, 14; 'Great Western Railway. Evidenere on the London and Bimmoham Railway Bill, p, 15, cvidence of Joncph Pease; Birmingham Amernal, Fels. 12, 18:31, p. 3 , on the London and Birmingham Railway; Cundy, Ohverzations on liaitarys, 1. 1.5.
    ${ }^{3}$ sitretton, IIistory of the Lombon aml IBirmingliam Raiteay, p. 1. Sce also Markham and Cox, Dorllamptom Borough Records, n, 1p. 543-4.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Railacay Times, sx, p.agl.
    ${ }^{2}$ Shacn, Reviovo of Railatays and Railacay Legistation, p. 29.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid.. p. 29.
    4Sckon, Mistory of the Great Western Railacay, p. 8.
    ${ }^{3}$ Raiken! and Caunl Cases, 1, pp. 200-10, gives this case in full. See also Sckon, History of the Great Wester" Roilzoy, pp. 6-8: Markham and Cox, Northempton Bormgh Records, 11, pp. 54:3-4; Felix Firley's Irisfol Iournal, Mar. 15, 1834. p. 4. The Great Western Railway was a rival seheme of the London and Southampion Railway: and the latter joined with Liton College and with maluy landowners in Cpposing the (ireat Western (Fit Y, A Robal Road, p. 20). Brit. Mus. 82:35. bh. 8t (1), "Speech of Combsel on the suth May, a Q 8 . before a Selert Committee of the Honse of Commons, on behalf of the Ilead, Lower and Assistant llasters of Eton atainst the Great Western Railway lixtension from Slough to Windsor,' shows how strong was Eton's opposition to the railway eoming within easy aceess to that college.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sheflich Iris, Scpt. 22, 18:3.3, P. 4. Hetter from W: Hhotson.
     Committere on lailway Bitls.' evidence of Janes Wather, C.F゚., Q. 178.
     for example, one of the preat eanat proprictors said: "I foresee what the effeet will he-it will set all the work at-guding. Twenty miles an hour! why, you will not be able to herp an alprentice boy att work... (irane modding eitizens will be flying about like eomets."
    
     cases from the parlimmentiry reports.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Geulleman's Magazine, xav. P't. 2, pp. 313-16. Ite says: "Were canal proprictors sensible how much their respeetive shares would be improved in value, by converting all the eamals into rail-ways, there would not, perhaps, in the space of ten or twenty yars, remain an single eatal in the eountry." (Gray. General Iron Raikeay, p. 9.)
    ${ }^{2}$ Letter of T. Gray on "IRailway divantages," in Gehlleman's Magazine, xevi,
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Gentleman's Magasine. Neケ, I't. 1, p. 20.\%. For his general phan for the rablways of Great Britain, see his diagram in Ceneral Iron Raibeay.

    4 Gemlleman's Magazinc, xev, P't. 1, p. 20.s; also ilid., xcri, Pt. 1, pp. 1こ6-8; Gray, General Iron Railuay, 1. 10.
    ${ }^{5}$ Gray, General Iron Railicay, p. 1צ. IIc says: "In order to establish a general iron railway, it will be necessary to lay down two or three rallways for the asecading and an equal number for the desecnding vehieles. In the immerliate neighbourhood of Loudon, the traflic might demand six railways." With the constantly increasing trallic between Liverpool and Manchester, he would ley down between these two places also six lines. Similarly for other towns, aecording to their importance.

[^19]:    The Times, Oct. 10, 1829, p. 3, on "Lueomotive Carriages."
    = The Times, Oct. 10, 1829, 1. 3.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bristol Mercury, ()et. 5, 18:3:3, p. \& , letter from "A Well Wisher," on the comparative alvantages of horse and steam-power on rallways.

    * Ileury Fiarbairn's Tratise on the Political Riconomy of Railroals (18:3f).
    ${ }^{5}$ Ihid. Ite gives a full tescription of this flan and the benefits that wombl reanlt from it. In his chap, iv, he shows that stemenower is too expensive for use in conveving merchandise: horse-power is hest for that purpose. This sounds grotesque in view of the present cirommstances. Many other of his statements are hadierons: for instanec, he says that all the great navigable rivers, like the shamon,

[^20]:    
    
    

[^21]:    A Sce postseript attaehed to the prospectus that was distributed to members of larliament and others, as given verbatim in Baines, llistory of Literpool. p. Go3.
     onent for a canal in my part of the kingdon bint lbradshaw interfered to give full directions. Ile made the trade of the comntry Iributary to him in all directions. Sanlars, pl. 31-3:3, gives examples of this: "Every man, every Corporate Body, seems spell-bound the moment Mr Ibradshan interposes lis authority."
    ${ }^{3}$ At in meeting of delequtes from different parts of the kinglom, to consult as to emal interests in general, one of the delegates was turned ont beearse he had five shares in the railway. The fact that he held eanal property of the value of £40,000 was no protcetion to him. Sandars, p. 34.

    4 See letter of George Stephenson to Joseplr I'ease, dated Oct. 19, 1824, giving details of this opposition. This letter is reprodued in Jeans, Jubile Memorial of the Raikeay System, 1p. 55-56, to show that the railway promoters had "sad work witlo Lord Derly, Lord Sefton, and I3radsharw."
    s Of eourse, those members of barlimment who acted in this way wer not aeting in imy jutieial frime of mind, but as those who were biased in firvone of their friends. Mr Creevey, who represented Lords Sefton and Derly, was a member of the parliamentary committee to deal with the Liverpool and Maneliester Hailwor 13ill: and the attutude of some is well exemplified itr Mr Crevey.s letters (Maxwell, Creezey Papers, 11, 111. 87-88). Inder date of Mar. 16, 1825, he writes: "...sefton and I liave come to the eonelusion that our leergison is insane. He quite formerl nt the month with rage in our Railway Committee in support of this infernal misanee-tle loemotive Monster, carrying so tons of goods, and navigated hy a tail of smoke and sulphur, eoming through every man's grounds between Mimelester and livemool." On Mar. 25, 182.5, Jre writes: "...I get daily more interested alont this railroad -on its own gromels, to begin with, and the infernal, impurlent, lying jobbing by its promoters." see also under dates of May 31 and June 1 , of the same year.

[^22]:     P. His: 'Great Wentern Halway. Evidenec on the Lombon and Birminghan latway Bill,' testhony of Henry Boath, p. 8. Aceording to Mr Booth's statement, the fare between liverpol and Manchester, by stage coach, ham variod n good deal, font was about tos. inside and bis. ontsile Oh, the railway, first class fare was 5.s. and secomal chass iss. bid. The statement of a writer in the Manchester Guardian, sept. es. ts: 1 , p. 2, makes the railway fares a little higher than those given by Bonth, plaring first dase at 7 s . and se nod elass ut $f \mathrm{~s}$. On the reduction of rates see mso "Collection of Prosperthses, ete., p . 6\%, which i in close aceord with booth's assertion.
    ${ }^{2}$ Before the railway, there were nbont twenty coaches per day hetween Livequol
    

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Times, Oct. 1, 1shi, p. i, letter from " Cato."
    ${ }^{2}$ Gordon, Tremtise ufon E:Comental Lacomation, 2nd ed.. p1. 225 et seq.: Gordon,
    
     bess wot paill one per coml. Naft l'iofit, cte. These baset their opinion upon the probahility that mothing had herot set aside for deprectiation. See also Remarh: upon I'anpllitet b!g Intestignter on the Proposed Airmingham anll Ioomdon Railaty!, P. 4.
     shmoning inf his statitios and argments regarling the Liverpool and Manchester Railway, said: "No one, whof fairly considers these rewilts, but mist acknowledge that the whole is a failure, at least, se presently comblueterl. The expenses are so emormons, as completely to aborb evory ndwatage of sped, and each year these expenses increase." Ite would hawe the road open to all, so that, upon payment of the tollo, anyone conld use the road as freety as they did the furnpikes.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mancriester Cazelle, Ian. 15, 182.5, is. 3, editorial eomment.

[^24]:     So ereat would be the melvantages secured in the way of hinking up closely the great towns of the kinglom, that the writer thonght the combtry would hecome like Sir Thomas Mores U'topia, where "tota insuln ichlut una fomilia est." IIe was particolarly interested in the great benefits whell sonld aceruc th Liverpool in making it the most important port of Enghand.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hitl.
    ${ }^{3}$ Birmingham Iomrual, Dece. i, 1829, p. 4, on "Stemm Coaches and Lommotive Engines."
    

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Birmingham Journal, Mar. 11, 1829, 1. 1, Lives this address in full.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hirmingham Journal, sept. 2, 1820, p. 1, gives the exact words of the resolntions accepted at that general meeting.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. The prospectus is here given verhatim.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid., Web, 12, 18:31, f. 2, on the "Wohverbmptom and Birmingham Railrmal."
    " Ibid., Dee. 12, 18:29, p. 3, on the "Birminghan and Liverponl hailway."

[^27]:    1 'London and Mirmingham Railway Bill. Extraets from Minutes of Exidence before Lorth Committee, plo. if, $\mathbf{i}-8,11$, evidence of Messrs Barnes, Dillon and Westall.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. If. 5, 12, evitlence of Messers Hembley and Westall.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ihid., pp. 13-20, evidenee of Messrs Warner, Whitworth, Sharp and Attenborongl.

    4 Ibid., evidence of Messrs Warner and Attenborough. It was estimated that cattle going from Braybrooke to London, 80 miles, lost $10 s$. a licad in walking that distance npon the common roals; and the cost of driving them that distance was abont \%s, a heal in summer ant 8s. in winter. See also Birmingham Journal, Dee. 1, 18:3, p. 3, "Advantages of a London and Birminglamı Railway."

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Birmingham .Inurnat, Nov. 28,1829 , p. 3. letter from "'T. I3.," entitled "Ohservations on the Adsantages of a Railway Communication between Birmingham and London." The writer shows that at a very monderate ealculation the returns from passengers who now patronized the cxisting seventeen eoaches each way daily between Lomdon and bimingham, would he enough to pay large prolits on the cost of the railway. As a matter of fict, his calculation of the cost of construction was much too low, heing only about one-lifth of the aetual cost. His ligure for the expense of building the road was $£ 903,000$. whereas the actuat eost was over $\mathbb{E} 4.500,000$. See Herepath's Raizery Maguzinc. N.S., vi, pp. 16-17. On the advantages of this railway, sce also Zirmingham Journal, July 17, 1830, 1. 3, on "Railroads."

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Birmingham Journal, Jan. 22, 18:31, p. 1, on the "London and Birmingham Railway"; The Times, Nov. 18, 18:30, p. 3, letter from "A Landowner," concerning 'he London and Birmingham Railway.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rirmingham Journal, Mar. 5. 1831, p. 3, "On Railways."
    ${ }^{2}$ Birminghrm Journal, Feh, 5, 1831, p. 2. letter from " $A$ Railway Subserilice," on the London and Birminghan Railway; 'London and Birmingham Railway Bill. Fixtracts from the Vinutes of Exidenee given before the Committee of the Lords on this Bill,' evidence of Henry. Booth (treasurer of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway Company), pp. it-is.
    ${ }^{3}$ IHampshire Adecrtiser and Salisbury Guardian, May 11, 1833, p. 2, "Coaches v. 1Railuay."

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hirmingham Jomrnal, leb, $\overline{5}$, 18:31, p. 3, letter from "A subseriber to the London and Birmingham Kailway.,"
    ${ }^{2}$ Birmingham Journal, Jin. 29. 18:31, p. 1, on the London and Birmingham Railway. Aeeorling to this writer the carrying of goods by the fly vans was done at the rate of five miles per hour and at a cost of at least mol. per ton per mile, while the railway would carry them at fifteen or more miles per hour.
    ${ }^{3}$ Birmingham Journel, Feb. 5, 1831, p. 2, letter from "A Hailway Sulseriber," on the London and Birminghan Railway.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sere smmany of this evidence in Ilampshire Allverliser amd Solisbury Gurardiom, Mar. 29, 1834, p. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ ISirmingham Jonrnol, Mar. 5, 1831, p. 3, "Pnblic Meeting to Sipport Risilways."
    ${ }^{3}$ In addition to forequing references, see also Brit. Mus. 1890. c. ! (i). Stretton, ILisoryg of the Lomdon and Birmingham Raitay, shows the opposition of the Libarl of Clarendon and the Earl of Essex.

    4 In addition to previously-mentioned references, see Remarhs mon Pamphet by Intestigator ont the Proposed Birmingham and London Raitaray. "Investieator" evidently represented the canal interests and he had tried to show the evils that wonld be eaused by the railway. Secenso l3rit. Mns. T. 1371. (18), the writer of which was apparently a cimal proprictor, since the pamplalet presents that side of the casc. It was entitled, "The Probable Eflects of the London and Birmingham Rathay.' Sinee it is impossible to get too clear at view of the way in which railways were remarded at the tine of their introdnetion, the contents of this pamphlet are worthy of pernsal.
    ${ }^{5}$ Birmingham Iomrmal, lert, 12, 1831, p. 2, for example, shows the opposilion of the trastees of the Dunchurelı and Stonehridge rond to the propoed railway.

    * Birmingham Jorrnel, sept. $21,1533, \mathrm{p} .3$, on "London and IBirningham IRailWay;" Mamehester Guardian, April 7, 18:52, p. 1, e:. "London and Birmingham Railw:y,"

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ihis petition is given in futl in Manchester Chardian，April 7，1833，［．1．It shows that the estimated expense of the railway was first $41,500,000$ ，but in 1832 it wis $\mathfrak{x L}, \mathbf{5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ ．

    2 Mamehester Courier，Feh，4，1s：32，p．3，on＂Loulon and Birmingham Railway．＂
    ${ }^{3}$ This announement or prospectus is piven in full in Wanclicster Guardian， Inly 7，1s32，p．1，and also in＇Cotlection of Prospectuses，etc．，p．61．It refers to the great sureess of the Liverpol and Manchester lailway．This line wond eomect with that to be constructed from Birmingham to Liverpool，and through the port of liverpool it would furnish rapid connexim between Iretand and London．See also IBrit．Mins．1890．c． 9 （5），and Statement of the Case in support of the London and Biminglam Railway Bill，＂as given in＇Colleetion of Prospectuses，ete，＇f＇． 74.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stretton, Ifistory of the Lomdon and Birmingham Raikeoy. The amouncement of the directors of the railway in 18333 is given in "Collection of Prospectuses, cte.," 10. 6.5. It showed the alvantage of the railway in regard to safety, experlition and conomy, and the henedits that would accrue to London and the public generatly. The ehamge of front of many landiords is apparent in the testimony that was given before the committce that liad this Bill for consideration, and those who had formerly opposed railways were now fewourable to them (see 'Great Western hailway, Evidenere on the London and Birmingham Ruilway Bitl,' especially the evidenee of Mr Earle, Mr Joseph Peave, and Mr J. Moss).
    ${ }^{2}$ The Times, Oct. 21, 1837, p. 2, on the London nidd Birmingham Raitway Company.
    ${ }^{3}$ stretton, op. cit.
    ${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. 1890. c. 9 (5).

[^35]:     Railways and "huth," in regrard to the London and Birmingham Railway.

    2 1hit.. v. Pp. 17-18, 11:3-18, 23:5-6; The Times, Mas 9, 1837, p, 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ The T'imes, May ㄹ.3, 1837, p. 6, Ietter from W. S. Moorsom.
     in reference to the London athl Birmingham Railway:'
    ${ }^{5}$ A meries of thirty Ictters from "A Burgess," re!ating to the trade of Bristol, showing the canses of its derline ami the means he which its revival eombl be effecterl, appeanell in the Bristol Mercury, heginning with the issue of Feb. 2, 1833, p. 2, and cuding with that of Jan. 4, 183.4, p. 4.

[^36]:    ${ }^{3}$ Bristol Mrrory, Aug. 18, 1832, p. 3, letter from "Ignotus."

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Felix Farley's Rristol Journal, Mar, 1, 1834, p. 2, letter from "Aequus."
    a Bristol Mercury, Mar, 2, 1833, p. 2, letter from "Serutator."
    ${ }^{3}$ Felix Fariey's 13ristol Journal, Mar. 1, 183.4, 1. 2, letter entifled "Canals versus Railways."
    ${ }^{4}$ Hhid., Feh. 22, 1834, p. 1, "Thames and Isis Navigation in opposition to Great Western lkailway."

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Shaen. Revifae of Raihays amd Reithay Lafgishation, p. 29.
    ${ }^{2}$ I'roceetlings of the Great Western Raikay Company, 1. 7.
    ${ }^{3}$ For particular instances, sce Fetix Farley's Ifristol Jourmat, Mar. 15, 183.4, p. 3, editorial.

    4 'Collection of I'rospectuses, Maps, etc.,' 1. 176, gives this prospectus in full ( 18.8 ). .
    ${ }^{5}$ Cunming, Liail and Tram Roads, p. 33.
    ${ }^{6}$ It would seem that few landowners petitioned against the formation of this railway (Ilampshire Adtertiser and Salishury Guardian, Mar. 22, 18:34. p. 2, petition of George Jone; to the House of Commons) and that the amount of opposition to it was very insignifieant. See the summary of the evidenee upon this Bill as given in ibid. Mar. 99, 18.4. P. 2 ; also Fay, A linyal Road: being the Ilistory of the Iomdon and South Western Raikeay, pr. 1-28. Vet, notwithstanding the slight opposition. the cost of obtaining the det was $£ 31000$ (ibid., p. 17).

    7 Ieeds Intelligeneer, Feh. 3, i825, p. 3, on "Leeds and IIull Railway ;" ibid., Feh. 10, 1825, p. 3; ibid., Jan. 29, 1829. p. 3, on "Railrond from Leeds to IIull."

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Manchester Guardian, Dec. 11, 1830, p. 33, on "Liverpool and Leeds Railway." See also ibid., Jan. 1, 18:31, p. 4, letter from "W. N. IR.;" Literpool Times, Nov. 16 , 18:30, p. 36.5, letter from "Ohserver;" ibid., Now. 23, 18.30, p. 378. hetter from a eorrespomient; Lafeds Imfelligenefr, Nov. 2̈5, 18:3n, p. 3, on "Liverpool aud Leeds Hailway."
    ${ }^{2}$ Manehester Cuardian, sept. 18, 1830, p. 2, on Itailways; Leeds Intelligencer, Sept, 23, 18:30, p. 2. A compally had heen formed in 1825 for making this railway, but at that time of universal depression it was deemed advisable to postpone the measure (Lects Imtelligencer, Oet. 21, 1830. p. 2).
    ${ }^{3}$ Manchester Guarriän. Oct. 16, 18:30, p. 2.

    - 'This report is given in ildid., dan. 29, 18:31, p. 1. The delays and inadequacy of the eanals along this route were so strongly felt, aceording to this report, that by far the largest proportion of the merelandise was, with some dillieulty, conveved over the mountainous distriet by waggons and carts, at great expense and with the squandering of mach time. The average time taken by the stage eonehes between Manehester and Leeds was seven to cight bours and the time reouired for the earriage of goods was about twenty-four hours. But by the proposed railway there would be considerable reduction of expense and the time reguired for the earriage of goods or passengers would be three to four liours.

    5 Manehester Guarlian, April 2, 1831, p. 3; ibid., July 16, 1831, p. 3; ilnid., July 30, 1831, p. 3

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ For full statisties as to railuay construction up to 1814 , see Brit. Doc., 1844 ( 318 ), xı, 1~, Appondix No. 2, 1p. 4-. ; also 'Report of Royal Commission of 1867, pp. xxxiii-xxsiv. For feseriptions of the varinus Ines, see Francis, Itistory of the
    

    2 such as the Neweastle and Carlisle, Manchester and Leeds, Leeds and Sedby, Holl and selby, Manchester and shelfichd. Leeds and Liverpool, Whitstable and Canterimry, ete.
    ${ }^{3}$ Shefield Iris, Mar. 29, 18360, p. 3, on "Railways." Sce also, in regard to this maniar, ibid., (l.t. 1:', 18:3.5, p. :3, on "Railway Speculations;" The Times, Fel. I:3,
     p. 1: Grinling, Mistory of the lireat Northern Ruilway, 1. 3; Leeds Intelligencer,

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parl. P'apers, 1836 ( 0.96 ), xxı, 295, 'Minutes of Fividence before Select Committee on Railway Bills, evidenee of James Walker, C.E., Q. 17\%-212; Mutge, Obscreations on Ruilicays, 1p. 30-fic.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 823n. ce. 12 (1), 'IRcasons in favour of a 1)irect Line of Railroad from London to Manehester,' 1p, 1-5.
    ${ }^{3}$ On this whole sulaject, in addition to the above referenees, see also The Imperial Raikeay of Great Rritain, by M. A., and Hansarts Parliamentary Debates, 1836, xaxis, pp. 984-8.

    4 This masinum of rates was practically inoperative, for the companies found it convenient to lower their rates, in most eases, below this maximum.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Report on Railway Communication,' 18:37-8, Q. 428, 495.
    2 'Report of Scelect Coumittee on Railrouds, (s. 428, 495. p. 133. Sce akn Heport of James Wather to the Comis, Minutes of Evidence,
     pp. 18-32.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doe. 18:37-8 (257), xwt, 341, 'Report of Select Committee on Railroarls,' p. iv. The Pont Olfiee had alrealy been foreed to pht the matil on the Manchester
     passenger tr the had left the mail conches for a more speedy and economical convevance, and therefore there was no one who was willing to eontract for earrying the mail he mail coaches (ibinl., 'Minutes of lividence,' p. 1).

    The reanom whe it was recommended that the Poat Office shonk run its own ears, was beause the railways marrving the mails were often late and usually very irregular (ihid., 'Minutes of Evilence, pp. 12-17, (61-62). In 18:37 the Post Office hat entered into agreement with the Grand Junction Railway to earry the mails regularly between himmingham and Liverpool and Mandiester. The regularity, however, was often affeeted by temporary imperfections in the machinery, breaking down of wargons, taking too heavy traffic, station delays, etc. (ibid. 'Minutes of Evidence,' P. 98).

[^43]:    1 'Fiftl/ Report of Select Committee of 18.4 on Railways,' Appendix :2, p. 22.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Doe. 1840 (299), xtl, 167, 'Third Heport of Select Committee on Railway Commmication.'

    3 'second Report of the Committee of 1839 on Railways;' also 'Third Report of Selcet Conmittce on Railway Communcation," 1840.
    ${ }^{4}$ Brit. Doc. 1840 (290), xm, 1 167, "Mhird Report of seleet Committee on Railway Commmication,' under hearling "'The Convesance of Passengers by lailway."
    ${ }^{5}$ As we have seen, this fact was fully recognized at least as carly as 1839 (v. "Seeond lieport of Select Committee on Railways," 1839).

    As owners of the roads, railway companies were not intended by larliament to have any monopoly or preferentiol use of the means of eommunieation on their lines; on the contrary, provision was made in all or most of the Acts of incorporation, to enable all persons to use the road on payment of eertain tolls to the company, mider sueh regulations as the company might make to seeme the proper and conwenient use of the railway. But when railways began to be worked on a large seale with locomotive power, it was fomd that the necessities of the ease demanded the mon-recoqnition of this larlimmentary safegnard.
    ${ }^{6}$ See remarks of Wills, J.. in Hall res. London and brighton Railway Company, 90, in 1' Queen's Rench Decisions, p. Buf.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ \& Vict., ce 20, sec. 92.
    2 The classifieation of goods for the railway traffie was borrowed disectly from that of the canal Acts.
    ${ }^{3}$ ソ. Girmat Western Railwny Act, 188.5, sec. 166,
    Biverpool and Mancheater Railway Jet, 18:\%
    Newport and Pentypool Hailway iot lsto (8 \& Veo. IV, c. 49, sec. 138); monthbire Railway and Canal (ct,
    $5^{5}$ sece, for instance, the Great Western liaikey Vict., c. 120, sec. 12x). e. 107, sec. 16\%).

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brit. Doe. 184 (146), xy, 5 , "Thirel lieport of Select Committec on Railways:" also the 'Fifth lieport of seleet Committee on Railwas.' 184. p. s2. The same eantion was urged by the Board of Trate in the following year, $v$. Brit. Does. 184.
     Proposed Amatgatations of Raihays." Theresay: "If these extensive powers are to be granted to private eompanies, it becomes mast impertant that they shombly be so comtrolled as to secture the publie, so far at possible, from any abme which might arige under this irrespmable anthority:" Then, after showing the eomplieations that had recently arion in railway operation, athd the atwantages to some ralway companies of amaleamatines with others, they say: "Aecordingly wo sugerest for the consideration of Parlimment that general and malimited powers of grantine or acequting a sale or lease of a railway or eanal hy another railway or canal company, or of otherwise merging the independence of one eompany in another, shomblat not be allowed to be inserted in ally Bill; and that when such powers are applied for in any specitice instaner, they shondal only he granted after a full consideration of the probable results as regards the interests of the pablie as well as of the parties."
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Dow. 1814 (16if), $\mathrm{xi}, \mathrm{z}$, 'Third Report of Seded Committee on Railways:'
    
     and Canals Amaldamations;' ete. In the latter we find it stated that, "There are now fow parls of the country which have not derived material advantage from the competition between ralways and eanals. It is olvoionsly important that Parlament shombl not sametion lighty any aramgements which wond tend to deprive the pablie of this advantage; and it has heen a subject of eonsideration with your Committee whether, in orter to maintain future competition, it might not be the duty of larliament to refuse its issent to all hills miting the interests of the railways and eanals."
    ${ }^{3}$ 13rit. Woe. 1816 ( 275 ), xitr, 08, 'Scend Report of the Seleet Committee on Raihays and Camals Analgamations, unter heading "Conclusion," This Committee recommendel that, sinee the systern of railways mat eanals had beeome so complieated, some departnent of the Exentive Government shonld be given full supervision over them, with power to enforec such regulatinn as wet: :adispensubie

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, for example, the Ratany Times, The Économist, The Times, in their arivertising columms.

[^47]:    1 The Times, Oet. :8. 18:3, p. 3, gives a number of instances to show the retation of ratways to the price of hand. For example, in Bath a gentleman chaned knis80 for lamd taken by the Great Weatern Railway Company; the company olfered him \$4.00 merdy to save litigation, but this would not satisfy him, and the jury awarded him only \& +223. Uuder similar circumstanees, Lurd Manvers, in Bath, on his elaim of seone, received from the company an offer of £foon; but the jury awarded him only ession. Maty other cases are given in this refermec. On fise clams of £16,067, the jury gave only £o).33. Ts.
    ${ }^{2}$ The same sutcome was the result of the Crand Junction and of the Lundon and Birmingham Railway competition with the camals.
    ${ }^{3}$ 1'., A Letter to a lriend, containing Observations on the Compurative Mcrits of Canals and Raikeays, 11, 12-13, 29-30. Examples are given to confirm this fret.

[^48]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     reilized.
    
    
     attenpt to deny.
    
     Nov. 17. 18.8 s , on the results of erompetition.

    5 The Times. Oct. 18. 185.5. 10. 5 , cetiturial: IImuvards P'arliameatury IMebates,
     oflemer by a line mot execeding quo. Compare the partiong of the subseriptinn list of the proposed London and Birmingham Camat, as Liven in P'arl. J'apers, is:30 (2.51). x. 719.

[^49]:     mittere on Railway Bills, evoitence of dames Walker, C.EB, Q. $1: 8$.
     Lords din Compensation for Lands taten for or injored by Railways, evidonee of Iohn Donean, John Clutton, EdWard Driver and Joln Cramp. (Q. es? shows that the gertleman who bought the land for the London and Brighten line terified that he painf fully ten times what the land was worth, simply to get rid of opmosition.
    
     that harge sums hard to be squamdered to biny off opposition to eertain Bills. Hid., Plo. ha:-4ti, slows how much time and money was wasted before railway eommittees of liarliment. Chattaway, Raizerys, pp. estof, said that "the sums paid by many of the ralway emmpaies for land and eompensation are almost falmbuns:" and as he was an ollieat of the North British Railway, he should have known the facts. He referred to one property valued at cano that lad been sold to a railway eompany
    

[^50]:    ' Marshall, Raitura! Legislation. 1p. 15. 50-5̄.
    ${ }^{2}$ In addition to the references given on this subject when we were eonsidering railway amalyamations and working agreements, see Galt, Raikeay Reform (1844),
     pp. s-9: Vomg, Steam on Common Roads, pp. 71-8t, showing the disenmforts and indignities of third-elass pascengers; The Times, Nov. 3, 38:3\%, p. i, letter from "A Passenter;" ibid., sept. 22, 1843, p. 6, letter from " A semond-class Traweller;" ibifl., olnly $2,18+4, \mathrm{p}$. 6, letter from "A Commereial Mim;" inso other letters to the stume effect in ibicl., Ang. 29, 1844, p. 6; Ang. 27, 184, p. 6; Ang. 28, 184. 1.6;
     who held at great number of shares in the London and sontly Whatern, showed how little attemtion was paid to secomd and third-clase passengers on lis line.
    ${ }^{3}$ Galt, Raikeay Reform (180.5), pp. 3.t-36. On the albuse of ralway monopoly,
     Select Committee on the Janchenter, Sheffield and Linedinshire, and Great Northern IRailway Companies.'

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Marshall, Raitay Legishation, 11, Jo-60; Galt, Ruilatay Reform (1865), p. 36, showing that, under existing conditions, oceasionally one company paid another a latere sum of money if the latter wonld refrain from comprtition. Civis (phemb.), The Railacay (Question, pl. 15-17, said that there was a fecling gaining gromed that the roads of the conntry were pronerly the dominion of the state; and that to secure harmony of interests for the publie good, the eompanies should admit a more regular and extensive exereise of state eontrol.
    ${ }^{2}$ Whitmore, Lattor to Lord dohn Russell on Raitage (1857), 19. 9-16. We have not given a full aceomit of all the evils that aceompanied this period of great nevanee, but have mentioned the most significant of them. Some others were almost entiedy imaginary (P.. Descant on Railroads) ; and others still were more or less mombstantial (Jeans, op. eit., Ch. xut). See also Gordon, Obserations on Raikcay Monopolics, 1ff. 1-5:.
    ${ }^{3}$ Handyside, Review of the Manehester, Sheffeld and Lincolnshire Raikay, p. 4.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ Somed, Steam on Common Roads, p. 67; Galt, Raiteay Reform (186:), p. 36 ; Civis (psend.), The Ralkay Question, p. 11.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ileans. op. cit., p. 171, mentions some of them.
    ${ }^{3}$ Chattaway. op. eit., p. 20. Here, also, he gives the average dividends that were paitl during the period $18.00-1$.

    Such as the comolidation of the Birmingham and Bihton Camal with the Birmineham and Tamworth. in 178:3; the Trent and Merses with the Oxford and Coventry canals, in 178.5; the Chester and Fhlesmere eanals, in 1813; the Gramd Junction and Regent's canals, in 1810; and the North Wilts Canal with the beks and Wilts, in 1821.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Testimony of Mr Chaplin hefore the Committec of the House of Commons on Railways, i838. See also Hrit. Doe. 1844 (318), N1, 1\%, Fifth Report of Select Committec on Lailways. Appendix No. 2, 1. 9. Galt, Raikeay Reform (189:5), 1. 71 , said that abont 1830 the general average fares he mail eonches were $5 d$. per mile inside and isd. per mile ontside, and by the stage coaches $3 d$. per mile inside and $2 d$. per mile cutside. But we have formerly shown that the facilities by mail coaches were not better than those of the stage coaches at that time, and, thercfore, the fares by the former conld not be mueh, if any, in exeess of those by the latter means. It is elear from the evidence we have previonsly given that Galts figures for stage eotaches were too law.
    ${ }^{2}$ IBrit. Doc. 1814 ( 318 ), N1, 17 , 'Fifth Ieport of Sclect Committce on IRailways,' Aphendix No. $\because$, plp. 10-11, gives table of fares on leathe roads. The average fares
     class $1 \cdot 151 d$.
    ${ }^{3}$ The average rate of travelling, stoppages inchuded, on the principal passenger railways was about twenty-four miles per hour (ibirl., Appendis. No, i, i. $11 \%$ J. T. II.

[^54]:    

[^55]:    I This is well esemplified in the Earl of Ilardwieke's statement in the preecding

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brit. Doc. 1837 ( 4.56 ), xx, - 61 , 'Report of Committee on the Taxation of Internal Communieation,' evidence of Mr Horne; also 'Heport,' p. v.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ilarris, The Conching Age, p. 19.4.
    ${ }^{3}$ Macturk, Mistory of the Railacays into ILull, p. 11 , advertisement of the "Enterprise" steamship. Harris, The Coaching Age, p. 194, gives the fares between London and York, not including expenses, as 8 s . and 4 s .6 d . for best cabin and fore cabin; but it is pretty eertain that his figures are altogether too low, when we eompare them with those which we have just given.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ilarris, The Conching Alge, n. 194.
    ${ }^{5}$ The eonveyance of passengers by wuter was free of duty; but on making as elose an approximation as possible to the truth, through a comparison of the rate of duty and the average number of passengers conveyed it was found that the duty paid by the stage enath was $\frac{1}{4}$. per passenger per mile (Brit. Doe. 18.37 (4.50), $\mathbf{x x}, 201$, 'Report of Committec'). On the road from Lonton to Dover one eoalh proprictor had five coaches, on which he paid (in 1836) a milcage dity of £2273. 1 (is, fid., from which his competitors by steam power were wholly free. The same conditions were found on the great north road (ibid.. evidence of Mr Horne). In IIarris, The Coaching Alge, p. 193, we are given a statement of the chutics and other expenditures of the "Wellington" coach from London to Neweastle for a year, drawn up by one who was thoroughly familiar with the aceounts, whieh shows that the taxes paid by this coach to the Government were e2568. 18s. Gd. The tolls paid were extra, over and above this amount, and were anmally over £.500. From all of these expenses the vessels were free. Steamship proprictors had another advantage over coaches in being allowed to retail wines and spirits withont paying an excise license (Brit. Doe. 183\% (450), xx, 201, 'IReport of Committee on Taxation of Internal Communieation, p, v).

[^57]:    ${ }^{2}$ 13rit. Doc. $18: 37$ (456). xx, 201, $\cdot$ Report of Committee ${ }^{\prime}$ also evideneer of Messrs Horne, Wheatley, Wimberler and Kemplay. These facts were evideneed by witnesses
     shows that when Bell put his first useful ster olroat on the Clyde, plying ietween Glasmow and Grecnow, four conches between these two phares were inmediately discontinued, on aceoment of the transfer of the passenger tracel to the vessel, although the ordinary spered of the vessel was only four to four and one-half miles an hour, and lese than than when the wind and tide were minfourable. Buehanan. I'rartical
     the vessels alone the Clyde had hargely smerseded the coaches and that the steamers latu greatly inereared the amonint of travelling.
    
    ${ }^{2}$ thid., evillence of Mr Wimberley.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Railzay Times, v (1842), pp. 639-40, 711, 973.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Doc. 1837 ( 456 ), xx, 291, 'Keport of Committee on Taxation of Internal Communieation, Minutes of Evidence,' P. 3, Q. 31. Harris, The Coaching Age. p. 195, says that f.5 was assessed for every coachman and guard.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doc. 1837 (456), xx, 291, 'Report of Committec on Taxation of Internal Communication.' The stage coach, or passenger, chaties were changed from time to time, but they were at best so burdensome that eoach proprictors wanted them reduced to the minimum; and in orter to accomplish this it was customary, with the approach of winter, to lessen the number for which the license was taken out at the Stamp Oftice. For example, a eoach which was licensed to earry six inside and twelve outside during the shmmer when business was aetive might be licensed for the winter to carry only fonr inside and eight outside. In this way, the stage coach duty would be reduced about one penny a mile ner single mite, ne atout thety

[^59]:    ${ }^{2}$ Herepallis Linilicaly Magnzine, N.S., vi. pp. 458-fit; Ilansards I'arliamemary Debates, xN: (18:32), M1. 1300-2, statement of Lord Athorp.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doc. 18:37 (456), $x x, 291$, evidence of Mr Horne and Mr Gray.

[^60]:    ${ }^{8}$ Siatements of Mr fease und uthers Defore the I'arliamentary Committee, as
     Procediags of the Gircat Western Raizeay Company, 'Minutes of Vividence; p. A!s.
    

[^61]:    ' In Appendix 10 there have been hrought together some tables whieh will illustrate the redmetion of rattes that was bronght abont by competition, and for detailed information the material there eollated may for the prosent sullier. In making the general statement that ratway eomperition cansed a reduction of at least one-fhird to one-talf of the previons navigation rates, we have emidavored to keep well within the limits of aceurater, as reveraled ly the statistien given in Appendix 10. This eonclusion is unthenticated by the statoment of ariter in the
    
     38, :51-4.
     Report on Railway and Camal bills, Dimates of livilenee; jי. 14.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ 13rit. Doc. 1sia (36f). xm, 1 , 'Report of Scleet Committee on Railway
    
     on Railway lates and Fares. Evidence.' (Q. 10,18t-8; and Brit. Doe. 1883 (2.02),
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Doe. 1881 (3\% 1), xill, 1, 'Report of Committee on Railway Rates and F'ares. Levidence of Mr Lhoyd, (2. 10,194.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doc. 1s:2 (3tit), xit, 1, beport of Seleet Committee on Railway Amalgamations. ${ }^{1}$. xxii : also 'Evidence' of Mr Bartholomew, Q. 5.-."

    4 The Act of $18: 3$ was not enforred. because it wonld have const the publie tha much to enfore it on aceome of the legal complications involsed. Brit. Doc. 1883 (252). xin, 1. 'Iicport of select Committee on ('anals, Evidence' of Mr l.loyd, Q. 56.4 . As to the manner in which that Act was evaded, see Brit. Doe. 1881 ( 85.4 ),
     Q. $10,4: 38$ et seq.
    ${ }^{3}$ see Brit. Dos. 187 : ( 364 ), xith, 1, 'Report of Select Con nittee on hailway Amalgamations. P'art m, Appendix X. which gives in detail the dimensions of all the navigations. With depths of water varying from $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet $101+\frac{1}{2}$ feet, widths varying from 7 to $2 \underline{2}$ feet, and corresponding variations in lenstly of locks, it would be dillicult to get any boats that could be used to good effect on a through roite. See also I'almer, British Canals, 1p. 19, 22; Boyle, Hope for the Canals, 1p. 94-30.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ 13rit. Doe. 1883 (252), xif, 1, 'Report of Sclect Committce on Cimals, Minutes
    

    On the dire and (ialder, for ceampte, the locks were originally (0) feet $\times 15$ fect, with a depth of water of 8 leet 6 inches. Conder the det of 17 ati the loeks were made Git feet $\times 1.5$ feet and the depth of water if feet. Under the Aet of 1828 , the loeks were made 72 feet $\times 18$ feet and it feet depth of water. After 1860 , the loeks were made 210 fect $\times 2$ feet and $a$ feet def.th of water.
     the leedsamd 1iserpool ('anal. the lochs on the Yorkshire side were 60 feet $\times 15$ feet "3 inches and were eapalle of admitting boats 60 feet $\times 14$ feet 6 inches: but on the fanca-hire side the looks wore $-1 ;$ feet $\times 1.5$ feet $\because$ inches, and they would receive
    

    On the ennal ronte commertine the river severn at Saul with the Thames at
    
    
     evers cand being regulated hy the size of the locks (ibid.. 'lividenee,' Q. bur).
    ${ }^{3}$ Canat comld not now be ceonomieally willened to as to make an miform gange. beanse of the faet that tumbls, stone brideres, cte, along the routes cobld mot be widened exept at bast expence. In one ease, we are told. the eanal rums moder the honace in lomehenter. "This certamly coull not be mate wider, Brit. 1hoe.
     sec alan buyle. Hope for the Conals, p. Dis.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ 13ove, Hope for the Canals, pp. 19-29; Crahame. Treatise on Internal Intercourse and Commumication (18:3.1), pp. 28-29.

[^65]:    
    
    
     stantly improved, both ns to the waterway and the eqpiphent for hamding the tallic, and are even mow active competitors of the ralway for the carrying trade of
    
    
     (2. C 6 6 et serg.
    
     Ohber examples are tho Glomesester and Borkeley (ianal, the Severn Niavigation, the Hegent's ('imal, whd the Birminghan Cabal Nivigations.

    The leerls and Liverpond (inal, alter getting free from railway control in 185.3, refineed its tolls by onchalf allil yet paid divikembs of twenty-one per eent.
     Report of Royal Comminsion on (ianals and Wiaterways, 1209. vis, p. 27.
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit. Doc. $1872(361)$, xint 1 , Ippemfix X, which gives full particulars of all the eanals, ineluling the dividends paid.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grahame. A Lefler to the Trotlers and C'arriers on the Neavigations comucting
     is found in this pamphlet. Siee abo his Trcontise on Iuternal Iuterconese and Communisation, p. 1.51.
    ${ }^{2}$ Shey, Bepmorl to the Commille of the Birmingheme aml liverpool Ianction C'anal, on the IPrevent state of the Competition betacen the Comal Corriers using that Linc and the Cirmad Imaction Railat?! (ompuny. pp. 9-23. Sce also O'Brien, I'rize Vissay 014 Comals, 1ly. 1:\%-21.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Buyle. Ifope for the Canals. pp. 20-43. Sice abo Herepath's Ratacely Magazine, N.S., w, pp. 3783-1, address by "A Camal Iroprictor."

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Raikeay Chronicle, $1_{1}$ ril 25,1846 , pp. 418-19, and June 13, 1844, 1. ise.
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