



CIHM/ICMH Microfiche Series.

CIHM/ICMH Collection de microfiches.



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

C) 1982

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below. L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

\mathbf{N}	Coloured covers/		Coloured pages/
A	Couverture de couleur	·	Pages de couleur
Ø	Covers damaged/ Couverture endommagée		Pages damaged/ Pages endommagées
	Covers restored and/or laminated/ Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée		Paʒes restored and/or laminated/ Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
	Cover title missing/ Le titre de couverture manque		Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/ Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
	Coloured maps/ Cartes géographiques en couleur		Pages detached/ Pages détachées
	Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/ Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)		Showthrough/ Transparence
	Coloured plates and/or illustrations/ Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur		Quality of print varies/ Qualité inégale de l'impression
	Bound with other material/ Relié avec d'autres documents		Includes supplementary material/ Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
	Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/ La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la		Only edition available/ Seule édition disponible
	distortion le long de la marge intérieure Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/ Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.		Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata silpa, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/ Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.
	Additional comments:/ Commentaires supplémentaires:		

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/ Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.



laire s détails ques du nt modifier kiger une le filmage

i∕ uées

aire

by errata red to

ent une pelure, açon à The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:

Library of Congress Photoduplication Service

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \longrightarrow (meaning "CON-TINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:

1	2	3

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Library of Congress Photoduplication Service

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, suit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une teile empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole → signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole V signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.



1	2	3
4	5	6

32X





Approved as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of Physics at Clark University. ABTHUE G. WEBSTER.



From the PHILOSOPHICAL MAGAZINE for November 1893.

NEW METHODS

0F

MEASURING

THE

SURFACE-TENSION OF LIQUIDS.

BY

T. PROCTOR HALL.

I. HISTORICAL.

I. HISTORICAL. THE common way of measuring the surface-tension of liquids by finding the height to which they will rise in capillary tubes is simple and convenient, and is applicable to nearly all liquids. The degree of accuracy attainable is limited by (a) the narrowness of the tube, or else the short-ness of the elevated liquid column, which limits the accuracy of measurement; by (b) irregularities in the bore of the tube; by (c) the difficulty in securing a clean surface, particularly in very small tubes; and by (d) impurities, such as dissolved air, in the body of the liquid. It is to the last two causes that most of the differences seen in the following table are probably due. The table gives the values of the surface-tension of water as found by various observers by means of capillary tubes. All values are given in dynes per centimetre, and the numbers in the second column are, where necessary, deduced by Brunner's formula for the relation of surface-tension to temperature. tension to temperature.

a

2

Surface-Tension of Water, by capillary tubes.

· T .	T at 0° C.	Observer.	Reference.
$\begin{array}{c} 744 \text{ at } 0^{\circ} \text{ C.} \\ 752 -1405 t. \\ 752 -1405 t. \\ 750 \text{ at } 8^{\circ} 5. \\ 750 \text{ at } 0^{\circ}, \\ 754 -141 t. \\ 756 -146 t. \\ 742 \text{ at } 8^{\circ} 5. \\ 737 \text{ at } 11^{\circ}, \\ 737 \text{ at } 10^{\circ}, \\ 777 \text{ at } 10^{\circ}, \\ 777 \text{ at } 10^{\circ} 25. \\ 710 \text{ at } 19^{\circ} 25. \\ 710 \text{ at } 19^{\circ} 25. \\ 710 \text{ at } 16^{\circ} 2. \\ 72^{\circ} 1bet w. 15^{\circ} \& 20^{\circ}, \\ 797 - 204 t. \\ 754 - 144 t. \\ 713 \text{ at } 16^{\circ} 2. \\ 765 - 148 t. \\ 773 - 1406 t. \\ 549. \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74\cdot 4\\ 75\cdot 2\\ 75\cdot 2\\ 75\cdot 2\\ 75\cdot 0\\ 75\cdot 4\\ 75\cdot 6\\ 75\cdot 4\\ 75\cdot 2\\ 74\cdot 5\\ 77\cdot 1\\ 73\cdot 7\\ 73\cdot 3\\ 7\\ 73\cdot 3\\ 7\\ 75\cdot 4\\ 73\cdot 6\\ 75\cdot 8\\ 76\cdot 5\\ 77\cdot 3\\ 7\\ 77\cdot 3\\ 77\cdot 3$ 77\cdot 3 77\cdot 3 77\cdot 3	Artur. Brunmer. Desain. Frankenheim. Frankenheim. Frankenheim. & Sondhauss. Gay-Lussac. Hagen. Jüger. Magie. Quincke. Rodenback. Simon. Sondhauss. Volkmann. Volkmann. Wolf. Wertheim.	Théorie élémentaire óc la capillarité. Pogg. Ann. Ixx, p. 481 (1847). Ann. Chim. Phys. II. p. o33 (1857). Pogg. Ann. Ixx, p. 481 (1847). Ann. Chim. Phys. II. p. o33 (1857). Pogg. Ann. Ixxii. p. 409 (1833). Pogg. Ann. Ixxii. p. 177 (1847). Jonrn. Pr. Chem. xxiii. p. 401 (1841). { Poisson, Nouvelle Théorie etc. pp. 112, 181 (1831). Pogg. Ann. Ixvii. pp. 1, 152 (1846). Beibl. xvi. p. 345 (1892); Wiener Ber. pp. 99 & 100 (1861). Weid. Ann. xxv. p. 432 (1885). Pogg. Ann. exxixix. p. 1 (1870); Phil. Mag. April 1871, p. 252. Pogg. Ann. exx. xix. p. 51 (1877). Beibl. iv. p. 105 (1860). Wied. Ann. Xri. p. 361 (1882). Pogg. Ann. Exp. viii. 1878, p. 206. Wied. Ann. xri. p. 361 (1882). Pogg. Ann. exp. yiii (1857). Pogg. Ann. ci. p. 508 (1857). Pogg. Ann. ci. p. 508 (1857).
Mean	75.4		

Capillary plates have been used by a few investigators in place of capillary tubes, with no apparent advantage. Volk-mann^{*} found for water at 16° C., T=71.8 dynes (74 at zero), while Wertheim † and Hagen ‡ obtained values approaching

50 dynes. Wertheini§ and Hagen || obtained equally low values by measuring the capillary elevation of water at a single vertical plane wall. Quincke \mathbb{T} , neglecting the curvature in glass bottles 50 millim. in diameter, deduced from the capillary elevation of water in them $\mathbb{T} = 86.2$ dynes at zero. This method is obviously of no practical value. In all of these three methods it is assumed that when the

solid wall is wet by the liquid the angle of contact is zero. The validity of this assumption has been questioned by Quincke, who maintains** the actual existence of a finite

Wied. Ann. xi. p. 177 (1880).
† Pogg. Ann. cii. p. 595 (1857).
‡ Ibid. lxxvii. p. 453 (1849).
§ Ibid. cii. p. 595 (1857).
Ibid. lxvii. pp. 1, 153 (1846).
¶ Ibid. cxxxv. p. 641 (1868), and Phil. Mag. August 1869, p. 95.
** Quinck., Wied. Ann. xxvii. p. 219 (1886).

lethods of

illary tubes.

Reference.

émentaire de la capillarité. ⁿ. Ixx, p. 481 (1847).
ⁿ. Phys. li, p. o33 (1857).
ⁿ. xxvii. p. 409 (1836).
ⁿ. Ixxii. p. 177 (1847). . Chem, xxiii, p. 401 (1841). Nouvelle Théorie etc. pp. 81 (1831). . Ixvii. pp. 1, 152 (1846). . p. 345 (1892); Wiener. . 99 & 100 (1891). . xxv. p. 432 (1885). . exxxix. p. 1 (1370); Phil. pril 1871, p. 252. . etx. p. 371 (1877). . 105 (1880). . Phys. xxxii. p. 5 (1851). . Leg. viii. 1878, p. 266. . xii. p. 371 (1852). . eti. p. 571 (1852). . eti. p. 572 (1857). . p. 598 (1857).

ew investigators in advantage. Volkdynes (74 at zero), values approaching

ally low values by at a single vertical curvature in glass from the capillary nes at zero. This

ned that when the of contact is zero. en questioned by stence of a finite

xvii. p. 453 (1849). vii. pp. 1, 153 (1846). gust 1869, p. 95.

Measuring the Surface-Tension of Liquids.

contact-angle in some cases in which the wall is wet by the liquid. In support of this assertion he gives the result of a large number of measurements of bubbles and drops, from which the surface-tension is found to be considerably greater than the values found from the measurement of elevations in capillary tubes. For water at 25° C. the mean of a small number of measurements, which differ from each other by ten per cent. in some cases, gives * T=81 dynes (84.5 at zero). Worthington † points out that Quincke's formula is only roughly approximate under the conditions of his measure-ments, and recalculates some of his results. The number 81 is thus reduced to 72.1 (75.6 at zero). Magie prefers the value 77.6 (81.1 at zero), calculating from the same data. From his own measurements of drops and air-bubbles Magie § found for water T = 7i.9 dynes at $22^{\circ.5}$ U. (75.1 at zero). Timberg || from similar measurements found T = 80.5 - 182tfor water. Bravais ¶ and others have used the same method. for other liquids. The discussion regarding these results has put a premium on methods which are independent of a contactangle and whose mathematical relations are undisputed.

Buys-Ballot **, who made a large number of determinations of the surface-tension of water between 10° and 97°.8 C. by adhesion-disks, calls attention to the rapid decrease in the adhesion of the disk as the boiling-point is approached. This is no doubt due to disengagement of vapour near the surface of the disk, and may perhaps be an indication .that the water was not completely deprived of air. Buys-Ballot gives $T=75\cdot1-236t$ dynes. Merian $\uparrow\uparrow$ objects both to the calculation and to the formula used, and calculates from the same data T = 78.5 - .253t. Hagen \ddagger finds by this method a result much too low for the tension of pure water. Weinberg§§ finds T=80.1-.177t dynes. Miss Poekels ||| obtained some interesting qualitative results with very simple apparatus.

The surface-tension has been calculated from the weight of drops falling from a small disk or tube of known perimeter by Hagen ¶¶, Timberg ***, and others. Quincke †† found in a

Quincke, Pogg. Ann. cxxxix. p. 1 (1870); Phil. Mag. April 1871, 253.
Y Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 437 (1885).
Wied. Ann. xxx. p. 545 (1887).
Wied. Ann. xxx. p. 545 (1887).
Phil. Mag. Aug. 1886, p. 51.
Phil. Mag. Aug. 1886, p. 171.
Wied. Ann. txi. p. 191 (1847).
Hodd and the state of the stat * Quincke, Fogg. Ann. (1997) p. 253. † Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 437 (1885). Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 545 (1887). ** Pogg. Ann. Ixxi, p. 191 (1847). †* Ibid. Ixxiii. p. 485 (1848). ** Beibl. xvii. p. 406 (1892); Journ. de Physique, Sept. 1892, p. 378. ## 'Nature,' xhiii. p. 437 (March 1891). *** Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 545 (1887). *** Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 645 (1887). *** Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 621 (1868). ## Dogg. Ann. Ixxvii. pp. 449, 456 (1849). *** Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 621 (1868). ## Dogg. Ann. Exx. p. 621 (1868). ## 2

0, 2

1

similar way the tension of metallic wires at or near their melting-points.

From measurements of pendent drops of water Worthington* found T = 75 dynes at 16° C. (77 at zero).

Henry † and Voille‡ measured the contractile force of soap-bubbles formed on U-tubes.

Dupré § suggested that the existence of a distinct tension in a soap-film might be shown by its effect upon a straight wire laid across the legs of another U-shaped wire when a film is formed between.

Van der Mensbrugghe || had already mensured the tension of such a film in a wire frame by finding the weight supported by the free end of a string which was fastened to the frame and looped within it, so that the film was bounded by the greater part of the wire frame together with the outer edge of the circular loop.

Van der Mensbrugghe ¶ also added weights to the lower of two equal horizontal circular wire rings until the barrelshaped bubble formed between them became cylindrical. Sondhauss **, who employed the same method, dispensed with the lower ring and suspended the upper from the arm of a balance above the liquid. In this way he obtained as an approximation T = 76 - 186t dynes for water between 1°.6 and 16°.6 C. Timberg++ found T=80.8-.221t dynes, using for calculation the maximum increase of weight as the ring is raised from the water-surface. Cantor ‡‡ calculated the ratio of the maximum weight to the surface-tension, and found for water T = 72.3 dynes at a temperature not stated.

Terquem§§ connected the ends of a horizontal wire by two equal strings to the ends of another wire of the same length above it. He then hung a small weight to the lower wire and measured the curvature of the strings when a film was formed between them.

Magie || || measured the curvature of the lower part of the meniscus in a capillary tube, and concluded that if any contactangle exists at all when the liquid wets the tube it is very small. He found for water at 19°.25 C., T=70.9 dynes (73.6 at

Proc. Roy. Soc. xxxii. p. 362 (1881); Phil. Mag Jan. 1885, p. 40.
Phil. Mag. June 1845, p. 363.
Journ. de Physique, iv. p. 313.
Théorie mécunique de la Chaleur, 1860.
Phil. Mag. April 1867, p. 270.
Ibid.
Pogg. Ann. Erg. viii. (1878) p. 266.
Wied. Ann. xxx. p. 545 (1887).
Ibid. xivii. p. 390 (1892).
Journ. de Physique, vii. p. 406 (1878).
Wied. Ann. xxv. p. 432 (1885).

Methods of

ires at or near their

f water Worthington*

tractile force of soap-

of a distinct tension effect upon a straight -shaped wire when a

measured the tension the weight supported stened to the frame was bounded by the ith the outer edge of

eights to the lower of gs until the barrel-became cylindrical. thod, dispensed with r from the arm of a he obtained as an water between 1º.6 - 221t dynes, using weight as the ring is calculated the ratio nsion, and found for t stated.

rizontal wire by two e of the same length t to the lower wire ngs when a film was

he lower part of the d that if any contactthe tube it is very = 70.9 dynes (73.6 at

Iag Jan. 1885, p. 46.

đ.

. xlvii. p. 399 (1892).

Measuring the Surface-Tension of Liquids.

zero) as compared with 71.0 by the common method at the same temperature.

Wilhelmy * weighed a rectangular plate of known dimensions and specific gravity when dipped a determinate distance into the liquid. He made a large number of determinations which agreed closely for any one plate, but varied greatly for plates of different materials in the same liquid. Using a glass plate in water at 0° C he found T = 77.9 dynes, assuming that the angle of contact is zero.

Waterston+ and Worthington # modified Wilhelmy's method, touching only the lower edge of the plate to the liquid surface.

Sentis§ measured with a spherometer the height of a weighed and measured rectangular iron plate floating on mercury, eliminated the irregularity due to the corners by means of a second plate of the same thickness and density, and deduced the value of the surface-tension of the mercury.

Lenard || arranged a regular succession of falling drops so as to be seen by intermittent light; measured the extent and time of their escillations ; and from these day calculated the surface-tension. For water he found T = 70.3 dynes at 16° C. (72.6 at zero), the mean of a considerable number of determinations. With reasonable care in this method a clean surface is ensured, and no question of contact-angle interferes with confidence in the results.

Rayleigh T measured the wave-length of the transverse vibrations of water-jets issuing under constant pressure from elliptical orifices. The results, which are not closely concordant, are in harmony with the supposition that the surfacetension is about 76 dynes.

Rayleigh ** also measured the wave-length of ripples and calculated the surface-tension by Thomson's formula. Particular attention was given to the purity of the water-surface, and the results appear to be free from constant errors. Individual determinations differ by 2 dynes in a few cases. The mean of all, for both distilled water and tap water, is T=73.9 dynes at 18° C., corresponding to 75.4 at zero, which happens to be exactly the mean of all the results quoted for

capillary tubes. The correctness of the assumption formerly made, that when a liquid wets a solid the angle between the two surfaces may be considered zero, is now pretty well established.

Pogg. Ann. cxix, p. 186 (1863).
 Phil. Mag. Jan. 1858, p. 4.
 Journ. de Phys. ix. p. 384 (1890); or Phil. Mag. Dec. 1891, p. 564.
 Wied. Ann. xxx. p. 200 (1887).
 Proc. Roy. Soc. xxix. p. 71 (1879).
 Phil. Mag. Oct. 1890, p. 386.

6

None of the methods in use seem to exceed in general accuracy or convenience the ordinary plan with capillary tubes, the limitations of which have been already referred to. The direct dependence of surface-tension upon molecular cohesion, and its consequent importance as a reliable indication of changes of condition in liquids, makes it very desirable that some more accurate plan of measurement should be devised.

II. NEW METHODS.

Α.

Two years ago, at the suggestion of Professor Michelson and under his direction, I undertook the investigation of a direct method which, from some preliminary experiments, he expected to give results ten times as accurate as those found by any method now in general use.

This method consists in finding the weight of a thin horizontal bar, suspended a few millimetres above the liquid surface, whose extremities are bent at a right angle so as to dip into the liquid. The weight is taken first when a film of the liquid is extended between the bar and the level surface, and, secondly, in exactly the same position after the film is broken. The difference between the two weights divided by twice the width of the 5thm gives the surface-tension in grams per centimetre, which is reduced to dynes per centimetre when



multiplied by the constant g, whose value at Clark University is 980.3.

For nearly all ordinary liquids a glass rod is suitable, but

7

a rod of almost any material sufficiently rigid which is wet by the liquid will serve as well. For mercury a steel needle plated with copper and well amalgamated has been suggested. In my investigations the rod was of glass, and formed part of a glass frame (fig. 1) whose form was varied to suit the circumstances. The essentials of a good frame are the following:—

- (a) It must not yield sensibly under the tension of the film.
- (b) The bar m must be thin, and all parts of m and n must lie evenly in the vertical plane.
- (c) The frame must be balanced so that m hangs horizontally both with and without the film.
- (d) The two short sides, nn, must be of uniform and nearly equal diameter, must be as nearly as possible parallel, perfectly straight, and make sharp right angles with m.

With a little practice and more patience good frames were readily made in the following way. Taking ten centimetres of a small hard-glass tube, I bent it at a right angle near one end, then fused that end until only a minute hole with a very thick margin was left. This was connected by a thin rubber tube to a gas-pipe, and the small jet lighted. It burned with a clear blue flame 10 to 15 millim. long, and quite hot enough to melt glass rods a millimetre in diameter. If the orifice is too large the flame has a white tip and is not so hot. An improved jeweller's blowpipe connected by slender rubber tubes to the gas-supply und to the mouthpiece will do nearly as well. This blowpipe is held in the hand. Glass threads of suitable size are laid upon an asbestos mat, which has a number of pencil lines drawn upon it exactly at right angles, and are there fused together in the required form. For general use a frame like the one shown in the figure may be made of rods $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 millim. thick (the handle thicker); having the bar m 10 centim., n 2 centim., and the handle 15 to 20 centim. long. In some cases the lower horizontal bar may be omitted with advantage.

The frames were measured along lines parallel to m, between the inner edges of nn, at measured distances from m. If nn were not nearly parallel they were reset with the hand blowpipe. The measurements were made by comparison with a standard metre bar on the bed of a dividing-engine, where it was easy to get a degree of accuracy in excess of what was required for this investigation.

Figure 2 is a diagram of the general arrangement for weighing the tension. The balance stood upon a marble slab on a high shelf projecting from a brick partition-wall of the

neral illary ed to, enlar ation + that sed.

of a of a s, he ound

horisnro dip the and, oken. the entivhen

but

8

laboratory. Under the shelf was a wooden closet having a door in front, a zinc top, z, and a pipe, f, leading to a venti-lating-flue. The liquid to be examined was placed in a deep



glass evaporating-dish, which sometimes was fitted with a ground-glass cover, and rested upon the specially

constructed arm of a heavy retort-stand, so arranged that it could be raised or lowered several centimetres by turning the screw-handle, several centimetres by turning the screw-handle, s, outside the closet. Arrangements were also made for stirring the liquid by twirling in the fingers the end of a long-handled glass stirrer (fig. 3), and also for changing the glass frames, without opening the closet-door. The liquid was placed so that its surface was 10 or 15 millim, below the bar m (fig. 1) of the frame. The latter was lowered into the liquid by tipping the halance been

tipping the balance-beam.



Fig. 3.

For work in which an error due to a change of half a degree in temperature may be neglected, such an arrangement is very satisfactory. But if it is desired to find the surface-tension at high or low temperatures, and especially

9

if the greatest attainable accuracy is desired, \mathbf{I} sl ald advise a different arrangement. A deep, thin-walled glass dish with a close-fitting cover in which are three holes—one in the centre for the arm of the frame, one for the thermometer, and one for the stirrer—should fit loosely into a double-walled box, also partly covered over the top, within which are airor water-pipes, resistance-cals, or other arrangement for controlling the temperature. The large wooden closet of figure 2 may then be replaced $l_{\mathcal{J}}$ a simple subshelf for the box. For volatile liquids it is necessary to keep a slow current of air passing down the tube from the balance, to prevent condensation of the liquid on the rod that carries the frame.

A small correction is required for the capillarity of the sides nn of each frame. When the film is broken after the first weighing, a capillary elevation remains completely surrounding each side, n (fig. 1). But our calculation of the tension is based on the assumption that during the second weighing no tension is exerted at all between the sides nn. In effect, the difference between the two weighings gives the tension of this correction was found by insing the tension of the same liquid with two or more frames of the same thickness but of different widths. An example will explain the method.

The surface-tension of distilled water at $19^{\circ.5}$ C. was measured with three frames, whose widths a, b, c, and whose tension-weights m, n, r, were respectively

$\alpha = 5.2321$ centim.	m = .7742 gram.
b = 2.0325 ,,	n = 3001 ,,
c = 0.2037	r = 0.0291 ,

Disregarding the capillary correction, the resulting values for the surface-tension in grams per centim. are :---

$$\frac{m}{2a} = .073985,$$
$$\frac{n}{2b} = .073825,$$
$$\frac{r}{2c} = .0714.$$

The true value of the tension, however, is

$$T = \frac{m}{2(a-x)} = \frac{n}{2(b-x)} = \frac{r}{2(c-x)}$$

f et having a ; to a ventied in a deep

tted with a

Fig. 3.

ed, such an ired to find d especially

Eliminating x by the principle of proportion,

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{m-n}{2(a-b)} = \frac{m-r}{2(a-c)} = \frac{n-r}{2(b-c)};$$

$$x = a - \frac{m}{2T} = b - \frac{n}{2T} = c - \frac{r}{2T};$$

from which the following values are obtained :--

also

T (gram).	x (centim.).
.074089	-0074
074088	0073
074092	0073
Mean074090	·0073

The value of x, for rigid frames, depends almost wholly upon the thickness of the vertical sides nn. If the frame be so slender as to allow these sides to approach each other sensibly under the tension of the film, the value of x will be increased. The following results were obtained in the course of my work :—

		4			the second s	
Wi fi in	dths of ames, centim.	Thickness of sides (centim.).	Liquid.	T (dynes).	x (centim.).	Notes.
	5·23 2·03 0·20	·025 to ·029	Water.	72.6	•0073	Frames nearly rigid.
1	$1.76 \\ 0.92$	•038 to •040	,,	72.8	0073	Rigid.
1	$1.22 \\ 1.06$	•076 to •080	,,	73-4	·0191	,,
		ņ	50 per cent. alcohol.	30·3	-0186	.,
1	1·88 0·96	^{•028} to ^{•033}	Water.	72.9	·0136	Slender.
	"	,,	**	,,	·0139	**

The capillary correction for a rigid frame 10 centim. wide, whose vertical sides are half a millimetre thick, does not exceed 1 part in 1000.

т incr appa acid seve who ьесо Т sens rem hav wat flow dec ⁴ hns the read T wat bro

> film amo fran I acc cen ·01 I

the whe

five film a le chle alm met

ado edg the wei

whcen

The weight required to balance a film of soap solution increased slightly for some time after its formation. The apparent tension of films of glycerine and of strong sulphuric acid decreased, at first rapidly, then more and more slowly for several minutes, finally becoming sensibly constant. The whole amount of increase or decrease after the first few seconds was generally about 02 per cent. of the tension.

The apparent tension of a film of water was in all cases sensibly constant as long as the film lasted. This seems remarkable when it is remembered that the water-film must have been growing thinner by evaporation, and also that the water under the bar m and along the sides nn was gradually flowing down into the liquid. The first process tended to decrease the weight very little and to increase the surface-'nsion by lowering the temperature; the second to decrease the weight. A change of one part in ten thousand could be readily detected.

The second weight—the weight of the frame *plus* adherent water after the film is broken—is variable. If the film is broken two or three seconds after its formation, the weight of the frame may be two or three milligrams greater than it is when the film lasts ten seconds. But the differences when the film has lasted more than five or six seconds are very small, amounting to only one or two tenths of a milligram for a frame 10 centim. wide.

This variability in the second weighing marks the limit of accuracy of the method as about one part in 5000, or $\cdot 02$ per cent., though a change in the tension amounting to less than $\cdot 01$ per cent. may be readily measured.

It will be shown later that the bar m must be raised from five to ten millimetres above the liquid surface before a true film is formed, and that the tension is not correctly found at a less height. It follows that the tension of alcohol, ether, chloroform, and similar liquids, in which the film breaks almost instantly at such a height, cannot be found by this method.

В.

In order to find the surface-tension of such liquids I adopted a modification of Wilhelmy's method. When the edge of a thin vertical plate is touched to a liquid surface, the liquid usually rises along the line of contact, and the weight of the plate is increased by

$w = 2(a+b) \operatorname{T} \cos \theta,$

where a is the length and b the thickness of the plate in centimetres, T the surface-tension in grams per centimetre,

ost wholly e frame be each other x will be the course

Frames nearly rigid. Rigid. Slender.

Notes.

ini. wide, does not

**

and θ the contact-angle. When the plate is wet by the liquid,

$\theta = 0$ and w = 2(a+b) T.

When the lower edge of the plate is kept at the general level of the surface, as in fig. 4, the thickness of the plate



is of no consequence; but if it be placed h centimetre too high or too low there will be an error introduced equal to the weight of the liquid supported under the plate or displaced by it. That is to say, if ρ be the density of the liquid and h positive when the plate is too high, an error of $abh\rho$ gram is introduced. This error becomes very small without material alteration in w when the plate is made very thin. In practice I found that for a plate 10 centim. long h rarely exceeded \pm 01 centim, and that the amount of this error of setting for a plate '005 millim. thick was not more than $\frac{1}{20}$ milligram; or, for alcohol, about '01 per cent. of .

Thin plates were placed in a holder (fig. 5) made of glass

Fig. 5.



rods 1 millim. thick. Before inserting a plate the holder was balanced so that the stem hung vertically. The spring clips c (also of glass) were then wedged with little bits of wood, the plate inserted under them, two of the clips released, and the plate adjusted by trial over a liquid until the whole edg who end adju Bur \mathbf{the} unt The care by t wei For liqu and ope liqu ine elev cal] con atta I p

wh

wet by the

the general of the plate

ntimetre too ed equal to late or dissity of the an error of s very small s made very entim. long ount of this s not more er cent. of .

ade of glass



the holder The spring ittle bits of ps released, the whole

Measuring the Surface-Tension of Liquids.

13

edge seemed to enter the liquid at once when lowered, and when raised slowly parted from the liquid first at the two ends and lastly in the middle of the lower edge. After adjustment the holder and plate were washed, dried over a Bunsen flame, attached to the balance in the same way as the frame (fig. 2), left hanging over the liquid to be examined until the temperatures were nearly the same, then weighed. The index of the balance was next set exactly at zero, taking care that the beam was not lifted, and the liquid slowly raised by turning the screw-handle s until it touched the plate. The weight was again adjusted to bring the index exactly to zero. For a second determination the plate was lifted out of the liquid by tipping the balance beam, the liquid lowered a little, and after all visible disturbance of the surface had ceased the operation of setting was repeated. The surface-area of the liquid must be so great that the measurement is not sensibly increased by the lowering of level due to the capillary elevation; or else this must be taken account of in the calculation.

It was necessary to ascertain, in the first place, whether the contact-angle can be considered zero to the limit of accuracy attainable by this method, and if so under what conditions. I proceeded to try plates of various materials in some liquids whose tension could be found by Michelson's method.

(1) Glass Plate in glycerine.

A microscope cover-glass, 5 044 centim. long and 026 centim. thick, was cleaned, dried, and touched to the glycerine surface without being allowed to sink even momentarily deeper. The first three weighings were made rapidly ; the last three after an hour's contact.

	w (gram).	T cos θ (dynes).	Temp. C.
1.	·6405	61.92	16.6
2.	·6391	61.79	**
3.	·6420	62.06	,,
4.	·6550	63.32	16.9
5.	.6536	63.07	"
6.	·6534	63.12	,,

The tension, as found by Michelson's method immediately afterwards, was 63.14 dynes at 17° C.

The error of setting calculated for this plate is \pm 13 dyne. The angle of contact may therefore be considered zero for glycerine and glass if time is allowed for attaining equi-librium.

.

wh

ane

ho ear at the be an dij of zei wi

ev

It dij

(2) Platinum in glycerine. In the same liquid a platinum plate, 6.375 centim. long, .0045 centim. thick, was toucled to the surface and let stand a few minutes.

· w.	Τ cos θ.	Temp. C.
·8234	63-26	160

The error of setting is $\pm .02$ dyne.

(3) Glass Plate in tap-water. The same plate as in (1).

· w.	$T\cos\theta$.	Temp. C.
·7585	73.33	14°52
•7581	73-29	14.55
.7583	73.31	14.28

T, by frame, =73.32 at 14°.41.

(4) Platinum in tap-water.

The plate used in (2) in the liquid of (3).

w.	Τ cos θ.	Temp. C.
·8618		•
. 9541	73.30	14.8
·9535	73-26	,,
. 0538	73.28	,,

The first weight was taken with the same precautions as before to prevent dipping below the water-level. In the other three cases the plate was dipped 1 millim. into the water, to wet it. The correction for $-0^{\circ}4$ is +06 dyne, which makes the mean of the last three results 73·34 dynes at 14°.4.

15

s of

is ±·13 dyne. ered zero for staining equi-

centim. long, and let stand

с. _____

υ.

C.

orecautions as evel. In the llim. into the is + 06 dyne, s 73.34 dynes (5) Platinum in distilled water.

Plate the same as in (2), dipped 1 millim.

w.	$T \cos \theta$,	Temp. C.	
·9536	73-26	15.28	
·9544	73.32	15.10	

Measuring the Surface-Tension c. Liquids.

The mean of these corresponds to 73.31 dynes at 15°.04, at which the tension was found by a frame to be 73.36 dynes. Another trial with water freshly boiled gave with the plate

 $T\cos\theta=72.10,$

and with a frame

$$T = 72.76,$$

both at 19°.9 C. In all these cases the platinum plate was washed with a caustic soda solution, well rinsed either in distilled water or at the tap, and made red-hot in a Bunsen flame before touching the liquid. I found that if the plate touched the liquid surface before it had time to cool after leaving the flame, the contactangle would remain (sensibly) zero for a few minutes, without dipping the plate at all. It seems probable that condensation of air upon the plate may be one cause of the failure to get a zero angle. When the plate was washed without alkali, with or without acids, I failed to make the contact-angle even approximate to zero.

(6) Platinum in a dilute solution of caustic soda. Plate the same as in (2).

w.	Τ cos θ.	Temp. C.
·9023	69.32	16.24
·9019	69-29	16.24
.9019	69-29	16.22
·9019	69.29	16.20

By a frame, T=69.36 dynes at 16°.17.

(7) Silver plate in distilled water.

This plate was 7.625 centim. long, $.00^{\circ}5$ centim. thick. It was washed in caustic soda solution, then in water, and dipped 1 millim. into the liquid before each weighing.

16

	w.	$T\cos\theta$.	Temp. C.
1.	1.0000	64.27	17.70
2.	1.0030	64.46	
3.	1.0035	64.49	,,
4.	1.0950	70.37	18.00
5.	1.0855	69.76	17.70
6.	1.085)	69 73	• •

By a frame, T=72.84 dynes at 18°.37.

Before the fourth weighing the plate was warmed over a Bunsen flame, touched immediately to the water surface, and allowed to remain in contact ten minutes. 5 and 6 were taken after two hours of contact.

(8) These results, along with some others of the same kind, show that the contact-angle can be considered zero for

Glass and water, sulphuric acid, glycerine, Mica and water,

Zinc and water,

when the surfaces are clean, and, in the case of zinc, freshly shaved ; and that the angle for

Platinui, and water, Silver and water,

can be made zero, or nearly zero, with certain precautions.

In every case it is easy to see by a glance along the line of contact in a good light whether the angle of contact is zero or not.

(9) Though there could be no reasonable doubt that the angle of contact for alcohol and similar liquids would be zero in nearly all cases, as some observers have supposed otherwise I thought it worth while to measure the surfacetension of alcohol by several different plates. If there is a finite contact-angle for each plate, the values found for T $\cos \theta$ will be different. If these values are all alike, it is reason-ably certain that the contact-angle is zero. The following table gives the results. In no case was any difference found in the weight after dipping the plate one or two millimetres into the alcohol, provided the plate was then allowed to stand two or three minutes in the normal position. During the operations the surface-tension probably increased a little by absorption of water-vapour from the air.

Common Alcohol.

Plate.	Length (centim.)	Thick- ness (centim.)	T cos θ (dynes).	Temp. C.	T cos θ at 16° C.	Variation from mean of (1) and (2).	Error of setting, calcu- lated.
Platinum (1)	6.375	·0045	23·38 23·38	15.80	23·36 23·36	- ·02 - ·02	·02 dyne.
Zinc	12.3065	·0105	23·52 23·53	15·90 15·92	$23.51 \\ 23.52$	+·13 +·14	•05 "
Silver	7.625	·0025	23·56 23·57	16.00	23·56 23·57	+·18 +·19	•01 "
Tin	11.480	·004	23·34 23·34	16·20 16·24	23·36 23·36	$-02 \\ -02$	·02 "
Glass	5.044	·026	23·40 23·41	16.30	23·43 23·44	+ 05 + 06	·10 "
Platinum (2)	13.481	-002	23·37 23·37	16·43	23·40 23·40	$^{+.02}_{+.02}$	·01 "

The zinc plate was slightly wedge-shaped and not very regular on the edge. There was no such irregularity in the silver plate; but on watching closely I could see that the alcohol crept rapidly up the plate, wetting it to a height of several millimetres above the normal line of contact. Several other trials v th a silver plate showed the same peculiarity. There may have been something similar happening on the zinc plate, but if so I failed to detec. ii. Mica plates give the same result as plates of platinum and glass.

A platinum plate, if it can be obtained of the right thickness, is most satisfactory for liquids like alcohol. But mica makes a very good substitute. Mica can be split into sheets 10 or 15 centim. square and less than one hundredth of a millimetre thick by a thin paper-knife under water. The thin sheets may be floated upon sheets of paper, taken out and left to dry. They are easily cut with the point of a sharp knife when lying under a sharp-edged rule upon a glass plate; but they requi a rather delicate handling at every stage.

One of the difficulties of this method is caused by the tendency of minute specks, if there be any on the liquid surface, to gradually collect upon the plate and affect the measurement more than they affect the tension of the whole surface. A platinum plate should therefore be occasionally heated in the Bunsen flame, and for this reason it is convenient to have the plate in a metal holder. On a mica plate the effects of

5

of p. C.

rmed over a surface, and 5 and 6 were

of the same nsidered zcro

rine,

zinc, freshly

recautions. long the line of contact is

oubt that the ids would be ave supposed to the surface-If there is a and for $T \cos \theta$, it is reason-The following for the following for the following for the following the supposed to allowed to ion. During greased a little

dust &c. are more easily seen, but i us careful washing with a soft brush.

Under favourable conditions—a fresh clean surface, constant temperature, no dust, no disturbing vapours—a high degree of accuracy is attainable by this method. The following are selected from my results when these conditions were most nearly fulfilled.

1. Platinum plate, 13:495 centim. long, :0045 centim. thick, in 99:8 per cent. alcohol. Error of setting, calculated, :02 dyne.

w .	T (dynes).	Temp.
·6210	22.548	18.22
62095	22.543	18.25
·6209	22:537	18.29
6209	22.537	18.31

The temperature-correction for 0° .1 is - 008 dyne.

2. Mica plate, 11.3180 centim. long, .0007 centim. thick, in common alcohol at 17°.20 C. Calculated error of setting, .003 dyne.

w.	T (dynes).
•55304	23.949
.55307	23.950
•55306	23.950

3. Mica plate, 11.42285 centim. long, .0004 centim. thick, in common alcohol. Calculated error of setting, .002 dyne.

w.	T (dynes).	Temp.
•56360	24.183	18-20
·56363	24.184	16.24
•56363	24.184	16.26

C.

It has been already stated that, in order to form a true film between the liquid and the bar m of the frame (fig. 1), this bar must be from five to ten millimetres above the level surface. Even when the bar is excessively thin a distinct

maximum of weight is noticeable as the frame is raised, just before the true double-film is formed. This maximum can be found very exactly, and is made the basis of a third method of measuring surface-tension.

An equation to the capillary curve is found in the expression of the fact, that the vertical component of the surfacetension at any point is equal to the weight of liquid raised above the level surface, by the curved surface, from the level up to a perpendicular through that point. That is to say, taking a section of the capillary elevation of unit thickness (fig. 6),

$$T\sin\alpha = g\rho \int_{-\infty}^{x} y \, dx, \qquad \dots \qquad (1)$$

where

 α = the angle made by the tangent with axis of x (the level surface);

T = the surface-tension, in dynes per centim.;

 $\rho =$ the liquid density;

g = the constant of gravitation. If $c^2 = 4'$.

$$T/g\rho$$
, then

$$\frac{dx}{da} = \frac{c^2}{4y} \cos \alpha.$$

And since $\tan \alpha = dy/dx$.

$$\therefore \frac{dy}{d\alpha} = \frac{c^2}{4y} \sin \alpha.$$

Integrating,

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{c^2 - 2y^2}{c^2}; \qquad \dots \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \tan \alpha = \frac{\pm \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \alpha}}{\cos \alpha} = \frac{\pm 2y \sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}{c^2 - 2y^2}.$$

Integrating again,

$$\pm x = \frac{c}{2} \log \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}{y} - \sqrt{c^2 - y^2} + C.$$

Taking the origin so that the axis of y is tangent to the curve, when x=0, $y^2=c^2/2$, from (2), and therefore

$$\pm x = \frac{c}{2} \log \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}{y} - \sqrt{c^2 - y^2} + \frac{c}{2} \left\{ \sqrt{2} - \log \left(\sqrt{2} + 1 \right) \right\}.(3)$$

Suppose a horizontal circular cylinder of density ρ , whose diameter may be neglected in comparison with its length, to be suspended from one arm of a balance and to be in equilibrium just below the surface of a liquid, of density ρ , which wets it. When the cylinder is raised through a distance h,

62

n a true film (fig. 1), this ve the level n a distinct

ful washing

arface, conirs-a high I. The folo conditions

entim. thick, calculated,

yne. ntim. thick, r of setting,

ntim. thick, .002 dyne.

the increase of weight is ρ times the volume of cylinder and of liquid raised above the level surface. If r be the radius of the cylinder and w the increase of its weight, in grams, then (fig. 6) for unit length of the cylinder,

 $wg = 2T \sin \alpha + 2r\rho yg \sin \alpha + r^2 \rho g \alpha - r^2 \rho g \sin \alpha \cos \alpha$,

$$v = \rho \left\{ \frac{c^2}{2} \sin \alpha + 2ry \sin \alpha + r^2 \alpha - r^2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where x y is a point of contact of the cylinder and the surface film. Fig. 6.



We have also (fig. 6)

$$h = y + r - r \cos \alpha, \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (5)$$

$$\therefore h = y + \frac{2r}{c^2} y^2 \dots \qquad \text{from (2)},$$

Equations (4), (5), and (6) are sufficient to determine w when h, r, ρ , and T are given. The condition that w shall be a maximum is that dw/dh=0.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw}{dh} &= \frac{dw}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\alpha}{dh} \\ &= \frac{d\alpha}{dh} \rho \left\{ \frac{c^2}{2} \cos \alpha + 2ry \cos \alpha + 2r \sin \alpha \frac{o^2 \sin \alpha}{4y} + 2r^2 \sin^2 \alpha \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and putting for $\cos \alpha$ and $\sin \alpha$ their values from (2), the condition that w shall be a maximum is

 $16r^2y^4 + 12c^2ry^3 + 2c^2(c^2 - 8r^2)y - 8c^4ry - c^6 = 0. \quad . \quad (7)$

The numerical value of y may be found in any particular case by assuming that when w is a maximum $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and

finding for c^2 from (4) an approximate value to use in (7). h is a maximum when dh/dw=0, in which case $a=\pi$ and $w=\pi r^2 \rho$. But before this condition is attained the liquid surfaces meet below the cylinder and form (in some cases) a film. This occurs when (fig. 6)

Ŧ

or

$$x = \pm r \sin \alpha,$$

= $\pm \frac{2yr}{c^2} \sqrt{c^2 - y^2}$, from (2),
= $\frac{c}{2} \log \frac{c \pm \sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}{y} - \sqrt{c^2 - y^2} + .51572 c$, from (3),
 $\log \frac{c - \sqrt{c^2 - y^2}}{y} = \left(\frac{4ry}{c^3} + \frac{2}{c}\right) \sqrt{c^3 - y^2} - 1.03144$, (8)

from which y may be found approximately in terms of c and r by trial. The required value of y is greater than $c/\sqrt{2}$ and less than c, hence the selection of signs in (8).

As soon as a true film is formed having plane surfaces, the quantity $2ry \sin \alpha$ in (4) is reduced to $2r \sin \alpha (y-c/\sqrt{2})$, because the column of liquid below the film no longer acts directly to produce a (negative) pressure upon the bottom of the cylinder; and w is reduced to 2T plus the weight of the cylinder and of the small quantity of liquid suspended under the cylinder and above the film.

Up to this point the ends of the cylinder, which in practice must be turned down, have been disregarded. Their effect may be eliminated by the use of a long and a short cylinder having the same thickness and their ends alike; but if the bend is like an elbow, or is made by a rectangular prism abutting squarely on the end of the cylinder, the effect of the ends may be calculated.

Taking the former case, let l (fig. 7) be the whole length of the cylinder along the dotted line, r its radius, h as before the height of the top of the horizontal cylinder above the general level of the liquid, and k the length of each vertical end-piece, measured from the top. Equation (4) may be applied over the length $l-2r-\pi r/2$. And if we subtract

linder and e radius of rams, then

osa,

, . . (4)

the surface

Y

P

. . (5)

. . (6) stermine w

dw/dh=0.

 $2r^2\sin^2\alpha$

the weight of liquid displaced by the cylinder, the increase in



weight over the weight of the frame in air is, for this central part,

$$(l-2r-\pi r/2)\rho\left\{\left(\frac{c^2}{2}+2ry\right)\sin\alpha+r^2(\alpha-\pi)-r^2\sin\alpha\,\cos\alpha\right\}.$$

To this is to be added the surface-tension around the vertical ends, and also the weight of liquid supported under them above the level of the surface; making as a very close approximation the whole increase of weight to be W, where

$$W/\rho = (l - 2r - \pi r/2) \{ (c^2/2 + 2ry) \sin \alpha + r^2(\alpha - \pi) - r^2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \} + \pi r c^2 + 2\pi r^2(h-k). \quad (9)$$

In the following table are given the observed weights with a somewhat irregular glass frame, along with the calculated values of the four terms on the right of equation (4) for the same height h, in grams per centim. The liquid was water, whose density is assumed as unity.

r = 0.0375 (mean); l = 9.76; T = 0.07395 gram per centim.

h(mm.).	w, obs.	α.	2T sin a.	2 ry sin a.	$r^2 \alpha$.	$-r^2\sinlpha\coslpha$.	w, cale.
3	·0109	13° 47'	0352	·0012	.0003	0003	·0364
2	·1042	41° 4'	$\cdot 0972$	0149	·0010	0007	·1124
31	·1547	69° 16'	$\cdot 1383$	0217	.0017	- 0005	·1612
4	·1724	84° 29'	1472	.0273	.0021	0001	·1765
4]	·1755	92° 49'	·1477	.0298	.0023	+.0001	·1799
41	·1763	97° 9'	·1468	·0304	.0024	+.0002	·1798
+	*	99° 42'	·1458	0307	.0025	+ 0003	·1793
43	·1755						
4	·1739						
5	.1722						
51	·1579						
53	1547			2 1 1 1 10			
24	·1520			$2r \sin \alpha (y - c/\sqrt{2})$			
6	·1520		·1458	·0023 ±	.0025	+.0003	·1509

* During the next five weighings the balance was in unstable equilibrium. † The numbers in this row are calculated from the value of y found from equation (8), and are for the point at which the two liquid surfaces meet below the bar.

‡ See the paragraph following equation (8).

23

To the last calculated value of w is to be added 0005 for the displacement of the two end-pieces of the frame, leaving + 0006 per centim. to account for the surface-tension about the (irregular) ends. In fig. 8 the curves *a* and *b* represent the calculated and observed values of *w* given in the table. The calculated



.....

increase in

the vertical under them ery close ap-V, where $(\alpha - \pi)$

. . (9) ved weights

h the calcuequation (4) e liquid was

er centim.

$r^2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha$.	w, cale.	
$\begin{array}{r} -0003 \\ -0007 \\ -0005 \\ -0001 \\ +0001 \\ +0002 \\ +0003 \end{array}$	-0364 -1124 -1612 -1765 -1799 -1798 -1793	
+.0003	·1509	

equilibrium. y found from ces meet below



curve, a, is left incomplete because some of the factors needed for the calculation of the rest of it are uncertain. It probably should go somewhere near the dotted line.

This method is capable of showing a change of surfacetension with almost incredible delicacy when the frame is rigid. A single example is given below. The liquid was common alcohol, at $20^{0.20}$ C., and each weight was found with a fresh film. The increase of weight (W) due to the tension is given in grams. The corresponding values of T were not calculated.



Frames of this sort cannot be made with such exactness as to give practical results agreeing with those calculated to 1 part in 70,000, nor to 1 in 7000. But if the values of the surface-tension are found by either of the methods before described, and compared with W/ρ in each case, the values of r and k may be corrected for any particular frame, so that reliable results may be obtained with it for other liquids with much greater ease than by the former methods.

For this purpose put $n=l-2r-\pi r/2$, and $\beta=\alpha/2$. Then equation (9) becomes

 $2\pi r^{2} \sin \beta (c + 2r \sin \beta) + n \sin \beta \cos \beta \{c^{2} - 2r^{2} + 4cr \sin \beta + 4r^{2} \sin^{2}\beta \} + r^{2}n(\alpha - \pi) + \pi rc^{2} - 2\pi r^{2}k - W/\rho = 0. \quad (10)$

When W is a maximum,

 $\frac{16r^{e}n\sin^{4}\beta+12ren\sin^{3}\beta+(2c^{2}-16r^{2})n\sin^{2}\beta-8rnc\sin\beta}{-8\pi r^{3}\sin\beta\cos\beta-2\pi r^{2}c\cos\beta-c^{2}n=0.}$ (11)

These equations are almost unmanageable, however, and time will be saved in most cases by disregarding them and plotting a curve of experimental values to show the relation between T and W/ρ for a given frame.

The convenience of this method in practice will repay the trouble of finding such a relation. While Michelson's method has the merit of directness and simplicity, its use is limited to such liquids as form a fairly stable film in air. The method of thin plates is constantly open to suspicion as to the

existence of a finite contact-angle. The maximum-weight method is free from both these objections, and can be used for almost any liquid. If the specific gravity of the liquid is not accurately known, a thin frame should be used, so that an error in the specific gravity will have small influence on the final result. For accurate results great care must be taken to prevent any oscillation of the balance as the weight approaches the maximum. Zero weighings are also essential.

III. SURFACE-TENSION OF WATER.

In spite of the labours of a large number of investigators, the surface-tension of water is still more or less uncertain. Apart from experimental errors, which are seldom less than one half of one per cent., there is very great difficulty in retaining a pure water surface throughout the measurement. Using the methods of measurement described in Part II. of this paper, it became evident at once that the problem of finding the surface-tension of water accurately, to one tenth of one per cent. or less, was practically reduced to that of securing a clean surface and a constant temperature for pure water.

The weights used were found consistent with each other, and therefore presumably correct, to one tenth of a milligram, with two or three exceptions which were taken note of. The arms of the balance were sensibly equal, and the riders accurate. One of the mercury thermometers was graduated in half degrees, Centigrade, from -10° to $+100^{\circ}$; the other in fifths of a degree, from -4° to $+30^{\circ}$. The latter could be easily read to fiftieths. The zeros of both were correct. Some water that had been distilled repeatedly by Dr. Loeb

Some water that had been distilled repeatedly by Dr. Loeb in such a manner as to remove carbon dioxide and organic matter and ammonia, was kindly furnished by him for measurement of the surface-tension. I preserved it in a large well-washed glass bottle whose stopper and neck were covered by an inverted beaker to keep off dust.

The deep evaporating-dish already mentioned, its cover, the thermometer, and the glass frames (fig. 1) were well washed in a dilute solution of caustic soda and thoroughly rinsed, first in ordinary distilled water and secondly in some of the water that was to be examined. The ground-glass cover remained on the dish except while frames were being put in or taken out; and before each measurement the temperature of the whole was kept nearly constant for half an hour or more.

The observations extended over two days, partly because of the difficulty there was in getting a film to last long enough to be weighed. On the third day the surface-tension was so

8 of

ge of surfacethe frame is the liquid was ht was found V) due to the y values of T

h exactness as calculated to values of the nethods before use, the values frame, so that er liquids with

 $a = \alpha/2$. Then

 $+4cr\sin\beta$ / $\rho=0...(10)$

 $-8rnc\sin\beta$

however, and

ling them and w the relation

will repay the elson's method use is limited in air. The icion as to the

26

much reduced that it was evident that the surface had become contaminated. I have reason to believe that only the first two, if any, of the observations were made with a clean surface, and have therefore in the fourth column given the values calculated by the formula

T=75.48-.140t dynes

obtained by taking the first two observations only.

Weight (in grams.).	Temp. O.	T found.	T calc.	Difference.
·8044	ő·1	75.468	75.466	
7859	12.5	73.733	73.730	
.7836	13.8	73.52	73.55	.03
7795	16-2	73.13	73.21	-08
.7742	19.5	72.63	72.75	.12

The width of the frame was 5.2321 centim., and its capillarity correction $\cdot 0075$ centim. The same frame was used for the following chservations, which were made at different times for the purpose of finding the offect of dissolved air upon the surface-tension. The first seven of the following measurements were made with fresh portions of the same water as the foregoing. In the last column they are reduced to 18° C., at which the surface-tension according to the formula given above is 72.96 dynes.

	Weight (in grams).	Temperature.	T found.	T at 18°.
1.	•7762	18.37	72.82	72:87
2.	•7753	19.90	72.74	73.00
3.	.7748	20.60	72.69	75.06
4.	.7752	18.64	72.73	72.82
5.	. 7750	19.32	72.71	72.99
6,	.7766	17.85	72.86	72.84
7.	•7772	18.35	72.92	72.87
8.	•7813	15.97	73.30	73.02
9.	.7780	17.76	72.99	72.96
10.	•7697	19.60	72.21	72.44

On in th have night great whol was i subst prese redu scatt henc incre Sc brisk the boile \mathbf{the} The and wate The and (6) and rod. and rem T Lore leng pres that diffe imp eith (1) diffe wat J glas bala was the who

27

te had become only the first with a clean mn given the

ly.

of

Difference, -03 -08 -12

and its capilme was used e at different dissolved air the following of the same y are reduced ding to the

at 18°. 72:87 73:00 75:06 72:82 72:99 72:84 72:87 73:02 72:96 72:44 On several occasions water that was left in the glass dish in the closed closet over night was found next morning to have a surface-tension considerably lower than it had the night before. If left two or three days the difference was greater, even when the cover was on the dish during the whole time. But after a vigorous stirring the surface-tension was in each case a little greater than it had been at first. The substance, whatever it was, that made the change, being present in small quantity in the surface-layers of the water, reduced the tension. The same amount of the substance scattered throughout the whole volume of the water, and hence present in very minute quantity in the surface-layers, increased the surface-tension.

Some of the purified water mentioned above was boiled briskly half an hour in a covered glass beaker to expel the the air. A smaller bottle was completely filled with the boiled water and left to cool. Half of it was then poured into the dish and its surface-tension measured without delay. The remaining half was shaken up with the air in the bottle, and its surface-tension measured. In the table above (2) is water freed from air, (3) the portion shaken up with air. The tension when air is present is greater by $\cdot 06$ dyne. (4) and (5) are similarly related, and the difference is $\cdot 07$ dyne. (6) was simply poured into the dish from the large bottle; and (7) was stirred vigorously in the open dish with a glass rod. (8) and (9) are two specimens of clean snow melted and not boiled. (10) is a portion of (9), well stirred, after remaining two weeks in a covered dish.

The mean of these values is one dyne less than the mean of Lord Rayleigh's results* from measurements of the wavelength of ripples. The difference is not accounted for by the presence or absence of dissolved air, though it is probable that carbon dioxide in moderate amount would make more difference than the more perfect gases; and it seems very improbable that the difference is owing to constant errors in either of the methods. Two possible explanations remain: (1) unnoticed contaminations of the surface, and (2) real differences in the surface-tension of the different specimens of water.

In order to secure a fresh clean surface I arranged a long glass siphon to reach from a glass vessel on the shelf near the balance into the glass dish in the closet below. Its lower end was drawn out small and turned upward from the bottom of the dish so that the incoming stream continually swept the whole surface of the dish, which was tilted up at one side to

* Rayleigh, Phil. Mag. October 1890, p. 386.

secure a more steady overflow. The flow was at the rate of two or three litres per hour. The reservoir above was well washed, filled with ordinary distilled water, covered, and left till next day to acquire the temperature of the room. Then the siphon was inserted, and after the flow had continued half an hour the measurements were begun. The flow continued and the temperature of the air in the closet remained constant at $22^{\circ}\cdot 2$ C. throughout.

1.1

W (grams).	Temperature.	T found.	T at 18° C.
2.5612	22º20	73.138	73.726
2.5613	22.20	73.141	73.7:29
2.5615	22.15	73.146	73.727
	W (grams). 2·5612 2·5613 2·5615	W (grams). Temperature. 2:5612 22.20 2:5613 22.20 2:5615 22.15	W (grams). Temperature. T found. 2:5612 22:20 73:138 2:5613 22:20 73:141 2:5615 22:15 73:146

These measurements were made with a glass frame by the maximum-weight method, and the corresponding values of T found by the formula

$\mathbf{T} = 4.8926 + 14.027 \, w + 7.6891 \, w^2 - 1.0786 \, w^3,$

which was calculated from a series of measurements of the surface-tension of mixtures of water and alcohol, by two methods, as follows :---

W found (grams).	T by Method A or B (dynes).
1.1033	28.280
1.9180	52.472
2.1220	58.975
2.3261	65.549

A few days later tap-water was allowed to run more slowly through the siphon for four hours, the surface-tension measured in the same way; then a fresh portion allowed to run more rapidly for half an hour, then again for forty-five minutes. The temperature of the air was in each case $22^{\circ}0$ C. The three measurements gave :—

W (grams).	Temperature.	T (dynes).	T at 18° C.	
2.5650	20.6	73-280	73.644	
2.5631	20.5	73·190	73·540	
2.5613	20.5	73.141	73.491	

a.

In th as puro dust we as a tes all visib overflow an obse visible o from ze

Wich glass re and tha new per made k highest each ca angle.

Plat

Platinum Silver... Zino ... Silver... Platinum Glass...

Thes very d specim of the are pro amined not far not tak may b differen water the sar My for the and A during C Worc

ls of

at the rate of above was well overed, and left e room. Then continued half flow continued nained constant

at 18° C.	
73·726 73·729	
73.727	
frame h	

s frame by the ng values of T

786w3,

rements of the cohol, by two



in more slowly nslon measured ed to run more r-five minutes. 22°0 C. The

l'at 18° C.	
73.644	
73·540	
73-491	

Measuring the Surface-Tension of Liquids.

In these cases there can be no doubt that the surface was as pure as the interior of the water. Small bits of cork and dust were sprinkled on the surface after the last measurement, as a test, and the surface was swept clean in a few seconds, all visible particles (except the largest) being carried off in the overflow. In finding the maximum weight, in no case was an observation uccepted as final when there was the least visible oscillation of the balance or variation of the pointer from zero just before the final overturn.

Wich the same arrangements for overflow, except that the glass reservoir was replaced by a large galvanized iron tank and that the connecting-tube was in two pieces united by a new perforated cork, a large number of measurements were made by thin plates at various times on tap-water. The highest value in each set of observations is given below. In each case very great care was taken to secure a zero contactangle.

Plate.	Weight (grm.).	Temperature.	T (dynes).	T at 18° O.
Platinum	1.9735	18.95	72.19	72.33
Silver	1.1383	19.01	73.22	73.36
Zino	1.8421	19.85	73.29	73·55
Silver	1.1358	20.08	73.06	73.35
Platinum	1.9904	20.16	72.81	73.12
Glass	0.744	20.50	71.91	72.26

These results in connexion with the foregoing point to very distinct differences in the surface-tension of different specimens of water. The very great differences in the values of the temperature-coefficient found by different investigators are probably also due to real differences in the specimens examined. Water free from gases has a temperature-coefficient not far from 0.14 dyne for each degree C. But if care be not taken to free the water from dissolved gases, the coefficient may be as high as 0.20 dyne, or even higher. The great differences in determinations of the critical temperature of water and of alcohol by different observers may be due to the same cause.

My thanks are due to the authorities of Clark University for the facilities afforded me, and to Professor Michelson and Assistant-Professor Webster for valuable suggestions during the progress of this work.

Clark University, Worcester, Mass., U.S.A. 29



