doc CA1 EA 92C56 ENG vol. 2 · b 3029028(E) V.2



ANGUS REID

0 GROUP

ß

r4

0


5

	Dept. of a ratige Attains Min. des Affaires changères
	MAV 2005
	Return to Departmental Ubrary Retourner à la bibliothèque du filinicière DETAILED TABLES
	Volume 2
e e	Submitted by: The Angus Reid Group

2

Ņ

Į,



Ĩ

1

ļį

Û

ļ

Ĥ

ľ

N

Province

Sex

				Reg	ion			Se	ex 🛛	· ·	Age			Educ	ation		<u>.</u>	Income	l
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.s.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760		521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428		
PROVINCE British Columbia Alberta Saskatchewan Manitoba Ontario Quebec New Brunswick Nova Scotia Newfoundland Prince Edward Island	12% 9% 4% 37% 26% 3% 3% 2% 1%	100%	100%	48X 52X	100%	100%	32X 38X 25X 6X	12X 9X 4X 36X 26X 3X 3X 2X 1X	12X 8X 4X 37X 26X 2X 3X 2X 1X	11X 9X 4X 36X 25X 3X 4X 2X 0X	12X 9X 4X 36X 27X 3X 3X 3X 0X	12X 7X 4X 5X 38X 26X 2X 4X 1X 1X	9% 5% 5% 7% 33% 31% 3% 3%	8% 8% 4% 5% 37% 26% 4% 2% 0%	17X 10X 3X 4X 36X 22X 2X 3X 2X 1X	10X 9X 4X 3X 40X 27X 2X 3X 2X 1X	10X 7X 5X 5X 32X 29X 4X 4X 2X	12X 9X 4X 33X 29X 2X 3X 2X 0X	12x 10x 3x 4x 45x 20x 2x 3x 1x 0x
SEX Male Female	49% 51%	4 9% 51%	51% 49%	49% 51%	48% 52%	48 X 52%	51% 49%	100%	100%	51% 49%	50% 50%	46X 54X	54 X 46X	46X 54X	48% 52%	50% 50%	39% 61%	52% 48%	57X 43X

1. Agreement with statement...

Mean summary

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760		521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410					
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.5	5.7	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.6	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.7	5.4
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING AHEAD AS A RESULT	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.6	5.0	4.6	4.9	4.5	5.0	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.8
FINANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	5.7	5.5	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.8	6.0	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.5	5.9	5.9	5.7	5.4	5.9	5.7	5.6
LIFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT THE FUTURE	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.6	3.7	4.9	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	3.8	4.6	4.2	4.1
I LIKE TO READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN	5.5	5.2	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.0	5.5	6.0	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.5
I DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME	3.9	3.6	3.3	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.1	3.8	3.4	4.3	4.0	3.5
I OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT AFFECT ME	3.9	4.2	3.7	4.1	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.6	4.1	4.1	3.5
I THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU	5.8	5.7	5.9	5.7	5.8	5.7	6.0	6.0	5.6	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.8
I AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.2	5.6	5.3	5.6	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.9	5.7	5.3	5.1	5.6	5.4	5.2
I AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN	6.2	6.5	6.7	6.6	6.5	5.1	6.8	6.0	6.4	6.0	6.1	6.6	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.2
I AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT	5.4	4.9	5.4	5.1	5.2	5.9	5.4	5.5	5.3	5.0	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.3	5.3	5.5	5.1	5.4	5.6

میں ہے۔ اسے '' م ·----

•••••

(continued)

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

,**----**

تھے۔۔۔ تن ۔۔۔۔ Page: 2

1. Agreement with statement...

<

Mean summary

- 7

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income)
	Total	8.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
WE ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS	6.1	6.0	6.2	6.1	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.
I CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.9	5.0	4.6	5.1	4.7	5.D	4.4	5.0	5.3	5.5	5.0	4.7	4.3	5.0	4.8	4.
HETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MOSTLY DETERMINE BY LUCK	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.9	3.4	2.8	2.6	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.

1. Agreement with statement...

TopBox Score (Rated 6 7)

				Regi	ion			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428	461 463	
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING In practically everything these days	59	55	57	59	63	58	57	60	59	54	62	64	69	67	55	51	61	62	57
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING AHEAD AS A RESULT	32	21	35	33	28	41	31	36	28	37	29	28	37	34	28	31	30	32	33
FINANCIL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	65	61	71	67	63	66	72	63	68	66	67	63	73	71	65	56	72	66	59
LIFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT THE FUTURE	29	32	24	26	33	20	40	28	30	29	27	31	38	31	31	18	35	28	23
I LIKE TO READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN	57	45	58	53	55	62	64	.56	57	44	58	74	61	54	53	63	57	53	58
I DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME	23	17	13	21	23	30	23	22	25	18	23	31	37	27	19	16	31	24	16
I OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT AFFECT ME	25	30	22	27	21	28	26	26	24	22	26	27	32	26	24	21	27	30	18
I THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU	66	62	67	66	65	65	72	71	60	61	66	71	69	70	64	62	66	63	68
I AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY	56	56	52	56	59	53	61	53	60	53	57	61	70	65	53	45	62	57	50
I AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN	81	85	91	9 0	89	56	95	77	84	77	80	88	86	84	81	74	81	81	81
I AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I Have worked so hard at it	52	38	48	46	46	69	54	54	50	42	55	61	57	52	49	54	46	50	58

(continued)

1. Agreement with statement...

	Торвох	Score	(Rated	67)
--	--------	-------	--------	-----

				Reg	ion			S	eX		Age			Educ	ation			Income	÷
	Total	в.с.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
WE ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS	76	72	75	78	73	80	79	72	80	78	77	71	78	78	70	79	77	76	76
I CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL	38	33	33	40	42	34	41	34	41	25	41	51	54	43	34	27	44	37	32
WHETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS Mostly determine by Luck	7	5	3	8	8	8	10	7	8	7	6	9	19	9	4	3	13	5	l

1. Agreement with statement...

				Regi	on			Se	ex		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428	461 463	-
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS																			
Completely disagree(1)	4%	1%	3%	4%	3%	8%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	7%	7%	5%	4%	1%	6%	3%	3%
(2)	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	.3%	2%	3%
(3)	5%	10%	7%	7%	5%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	8%
(4)	11%	11%	13%	11%	11%	10%	13%	11%	11%	12%	11%	10%	8%	7%	13%	15%	8%	10%	14%
(5)	17%	18%	19%	15%	16%	19%	17%	17%	17%	22%	16%	12%	9%	15%	21%	19%	16%	19%	16%
(6)	17%	13%	17%	20%	18%	19%	14%	17%	18%	23%	16%	12%	14%	17%	18%	20%	13%	19%	21%
Completely agree(7)	42%	42%	40%	39%	44%	39%	43%	43%	41%	31%	46%	52%	55%	50%	37%	31%	48%	43%	36%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%			0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING AHEAD AS A RESULT																			
Completely disagree(1)	6%	5%	5%	8%	7%	6%	6%	5%	8%	3%	7%	9%	11%	7%	7%	3%	9%	6%	4%
(2)	5%	8%	6%	7%	5%	4%	6%	4%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%
(3)	12%	20%	13%	13%	11%	8%	11%	10%	14%	9%	15%	12%	12%	10%	14%	11%	11%	12%	12%
(4)	18%	20%	18%	14%	20%	16%	18%	20%	16%	16%	19%	20%	15%	16%	18%	21%	19%	16%	20%
(5)	26%	25%	22%	25%	29%	26%	26%	26%	27%	30%	26%	23%	20%	27%	27%	28%	25%	28%	27%
	13%	10%	16%	15%	12%	14%	15%	14%	12%	19%	11%	9%	11%	12%	12%	18%	10%	14%	16%
Completely agree(7)	19%	11%	19%	18%	16%	27%	16%	21%	16%	19%	18%	19%	26%	22%	16%	14%	21%	18%	18%
Dk/Ns	0%	1%			0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%		1%	0%	1%	0%		1%	0%	0%

.

. . . .

•-----•

· ---- -

 $\overline{\overline{}}$

.

1. Agreement with statement...

				Regi	on			Se	ex.		Age			Educa	ation			Income	1
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414	498	367	434	461	53
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
FINANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	I																		
Completely disagree(1)	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	8%	6%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%
(2)	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	32
	5%	8%	4%	6%	6%	5%	1%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	4%	6%	6%
(4)	8%	8%	9%	6%	9%	6%	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%	8%	3%	6%	10%	9%	5%	8%	9%
(5)	15%	14%	12%	14%	15%	18%	13%	17%	14%	16%	17%	13%	12%	13%	14%	22%	12%	15%	19%
(6)	18%	22%	23%	23%	17%	17%	14%	19%	17%	20%	19%	14%	14%	16%	19%	21%	17%	18%	19%
Completely agree(7)	47%	39%	47%	44%	47%	49%	57%	44%	51%	46%	48%	48%	59%	55%	45%	34%	55%	48%	40%
LIFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT THE FUTURE																			
Completely disagree(1)	12%	9%	13%	8%	8%	23%	6%	12%	13%	9%	13%	16%	14%	14%	10%	13%	11%	13%	12%
(2)	9%	9%	16%	9%	6%	13%	6%	9%	10%	9%	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%	11%	6%	10%	12%
(3)	14%	13%	18%	18%	15%	10%	13%	15%	13%	16%	14%	11%	8%	12%	13%	21%	14%	14%	15%
(4)	15%	14%	10%	18%	16%	17%	12%	16%	14%	16%	16%	13%	12%	14%	16%	16%	14%	15%	16%
(5)	20%	22%	19%	20%	22%	17%	23%	20%	20%	21%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%
	8%	9%	7%	4%	11%	5%	9%	8%	9%	9%	8%	7%	6%	8%	9%	9%	7%	8%	- 9%
Completely agree(7)	21%	23%	17%	22%	21%	15%	31%	20%	21%	19%	20%	24%	32%	23%	22%	9%	27%	20%	15%
Dk/Ns	0%					/ 0	1%	20.0	0%	0%		2	0%	20.0			0%	20/0	

1. Agreement with statement...

				Regi	on			S	≥x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	÷
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some KS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
I LIKE TO READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN																			
Completely disagree(1)	4%	4%	1%	7%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	7%	5%	3%	2%	6%	4%	37
(2)	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	32
(3)	7%	9%	5%	6%	9%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	7%	4%	6%	7%	8%	5%	7%	6%	87
	11%	10%	16%	14%	9%	11%	10%	10%	11%	14%	10%	6%	10%	11%	11%	9%	10%	12%	112
(5)	19%	28%	18%	19%	18%	15%	17%	21%	17%	24%	17%	13%	15%	19%	20%	18%	18%	21%	182
(6)	17%	14%	26%	14%	18%	15%	21%	18%	17%	18%	17%	17%	14%	14%	18%	22%	15%	15%	212
Completely agree(7)	40%	32%	32%	39%	38%	48%	43%	39%	41%	26%	41%	57%	47%	40%	36%	41%	43%	38%	389
I DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME																			
Completely disagree(1)	14%	20%	21%	18%	12%	12%	13%	14%	15%	17%	14%	11%	13%	14%	11%	19%	13%	14%	177
(2)	14%	12%	22%	11%	14%	13%	9%	11%	16%	16%	13%	11%	10%	11%	14%	18%	10%	11%	187
	14%	16%	12%	17%	15%	14%	14%	16%	13%	17%	13%	13%	10%	11%	19%	15%	11%	15%	177
(4)	16%	18%	17%	13%	18%	14%	17%	19%	14%	17%	18%	14%	13%	18%	19%	14%	16%	16%	165
(5)	18%	17%	14%	21%	17%	17%	23%	18%	17%	15%	18%	20%	16%	19%	18%	17%	19%	20%	15%
(6)	11%	10%	9%	9%	12%	13%	10%	12%	11%	9%	13%	13%	12%	11%	11%	12%	11%	13%	112
Completely agree(7)	12%	7%	4%	11%	11%	17%	14%	11%	13%	9%	10%	18%	25%	17%	8%	4%	20%	11%	5%
Dk/Ns	0%	0%				1%		0%	0%	0%	0%			DX	0.4	1%	0%		07

1. Agreement with statement...

				Regi	on			Se	ex		Age			Educa	ation			Income	1
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414		367	434		
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	5 53
I OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT																			
AFFECT ME	16%	12%	20%	11%	16%	20%	13%	15%	18%	14%	18%	18%	18%	17%	16%	14%	17%	14%	16%
Completely disagree(1)	15%	10%	19%	11%	17%	17%	10%	14%	16%	18%	15%	11%	8%	13%	15%	23%	11%	13%	219
(2)	13%	17%	9%	21%	14%	9%	14%	14%	12%	15%	11%	14%	13%	12%	15%	12%	13%	13%	142
(3)	14%	14%	13%	10%	16%	11%	18%	15%	13%	14%	14%	14%	12%	14%	14%	15%	12%	14%	162
(4)		16%	16%	19%	15%	14%	18%	15%	16%	16%	15%	16%	17%	17%	15%	14%	19%	16%	142
(5)	16%	12%	9%	14%	10%	12%	12%	13%	10%	12%	12%	9%	12%	10%	11%	12%	8%	15%	102
(6)	11%					16%	13%	13%	15%	10%	14%	18%	20%	16%	13%	9%	19%	15%	82
Completely agree(7)	14%	18%	14%	14%	11% 1%	1%	1%	13%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	12
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%		176	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.6	1.0	1.6	0.4	1.0	1.4	0.8	0/0	~~	
I THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YO	U																		
Completely disagree(1)	2%	1%		2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	
(2)	1%	1%		3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	
(3)	4%	5%	3%	7%	3%	5%	1%	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	
(4)	8%	10%	11%	7%	9%	8%	8%	6%	11%	9%	9%	7%	6%	6%	10%	10%	8%	8%	97
(5)	18%	22%	19%	16%	19%	17%	15%	18%	19%	20%	19%	15%	18%	14%	20%	21%	16%	21%	177
	25%	26%	24%	24%	27%	23%	22%	26%	24%	30%	23%	20%	16%	23%	27%	29%	24%	22%	28
Completely agree(7)	41%	36%	43%	41%	39%	43%	50%	46%	37%	32%	43%	51%	53%	47%	36%	34%	42%	40%	40
Dk/Ns	0%				0%		1%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%		1%		

C

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130		551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414		367	434		
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	5 53
I AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY																			
Completely disagree(1)	5%	5%	1%	5%	4%	7%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	6%	6%	5%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%
	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	6%	2%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	5%	6%	3%	5%	3%
(3)	8%	12%	9%	7%	7%	7%	4%	10%	6%	8%	8%	6%	4%	4%	9%	13%	6%	6%	10%
	10%	6%	11%	6%	10%	12%	11%	10%	10%	10%	9%	9%	7%	10%	10%	10%	10%	8%	11%
(5)	18%	17%	22%	22%	17%	16%	17%	17%	19%	20%	18%	14%	12%	14%	19%	23%	14%	18%	20%
(6)	16%	22%	14%	19%	15%	14%	13%	14%	17%	17%	15%	14%	10%	16%	17%	17%	11%	16%	18%
Completely agree(7)	41%	34%	38%	37%	45%	38%	48%	39%	42%	36%	42%	47%	59%	48%	36%	28%	51%	41%	32%
Dk/Ns	0%	0%				1%		0%			1%			0%		0%			1%
I AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN																			
Completely disagree(1)	5%	2%		2%	1%	14%	1%	5%	4%	6%	6%	1%	5%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	
	2%	1%			1%	5%		3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%
(3)	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	6%		4%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	4%
(4)	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	10%	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	7%	4%	4%	5%
(5)	5%	8%	6%	5%	3%	9%	1%	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%	5%
(6)	8%	8%	7%	10%	10%	6%	3%	8%	8%	12%	8%	3%	2%	8%	8%	12%	6%	10%	9%
Completely agree(7)	73%	77%	84%	80%	79%	49%	92%	69%	76%	65%	71%	85%	84%	76%	73%	62%	75%	71%	72%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%		0%	1%	2%	0%	0%		0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	12

1. Agreement with statement...

				Regi	on			Se	≥x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	:
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	7 33 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428		
I AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT																			
Completely disagree(1)	4%	6%	2%	7%	4%	2%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%	7%	6%	4%	2%	9%	3%	1%
(2)	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%
(3)	5%	8%	5%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	3%	5%	6%	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%
(4)	12%	15%	12%	16%	14%	9%	9%	11%	14%	17%	12%	6%	8%	10%	15%	14%	13%	14%	11%
(5)	22%	23%	30%	22%	27%	14%	21%	23%	21%	24%	21%	22%	21%	22%	22%	24%	21%	25%	23%
(6)	21%	18%	21%	22%	21%	20%	21%	23%	18%	22%	22%	17%	15%	18%	21%	25%	15%	19%	26%
Completely agree(7)	31%	19%	27%	24%	25%	49%	33%	31%	32%	20%	34%	44%	42%	34%	27%	29%	31%	30%	31%
Dk/Ns	2%	5%			1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%
WE ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS																			
Completely disagree(1)	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%		2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	1%	2%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
(3)	2%	1%		1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
(4)	5%	8%	6%	4%	6%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	7%	4%	4%	4%	5%
(5)	15%	16%	19%	14%	18%	11%	14%	18%	12%	14%	15%	16%	11%	15%	19%	13%	14%	15%	16%
(6)	23%	22%	23%	26%	26%	21%	19%	22%	24%	26%	21%	22%	21%	22%	22%	28%	20%	23%	27%
Completely agree(7)	53%	50%	52%	53%	47%	59%	60%	49%	56%	52%	56%	49%	58%	56%	48%	51%	57%	53%	49%
Dk/Ns	0%	0%			0%	0%	2010	0%	0%	22/0	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	- 170	0%	0%	

				Regi	on			Se	ex 🛛		Age			Educ	ation			Income	:
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414	498	367	434	461	53
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
I CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL																			
Completely disagree(1)	5%	9%	7%	3%	3%	7%	4%	6%	4%	7%	5%	3%	2%	5%	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%
(2)	6%	6%	8%	6%	5%	8%	1%	5%	6%	9%	4%	3%	1%	4%	7%	9%	5%	6%	7%
(3)	9%	12%	7%	7%	9%	9%	9%	10%	8%	12%	10%	5%	6%	7%	9%	13%	8%	7%	12%
(4)	17%	15%	20%	17%	17%	18%	15%	18%	16%	21%	16%	14%	12%	16%	18%	21%	14%	18%	20%
(5)	24%	23%	26%	26%	23%	24%	32%	25%	24%	25%	24%	24%	24%	25%	26%	23%	24%	25%	24%
(6)	19%	17%	17%	24%	21%	15%	18%	19%	18%	14%	20%	23%	21%	20%	18%	17%	18%	19%	18%
Completely agree(7)	19%	16%	15%	16%	21%	19%	23%	15%	23%	12%	21%	28%	34%	23%	17%	10%	25%	18%	14%
Dk/Ns	0%	1%			0%	1%		1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
WHETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MOSTLY OETERMINE BY LUCK																			
Completely disagree(1)	30%	28%	37%	33%	30%	28%	36%	30%	31%	30%	33%	28%	26%	35%	31%	27%	31%	32%	30%
(2)	23%	30%	27%	24%	23%	20%	19%	26%	21%	25%	22%	22%	18%	19%	24%	31%	18%	22%	29%
	17%	15%	17%	16%	18%	19%	12%	17%	17%	15%	18%	17%	10%	15%	19%	21%	13%	18%	20%
	12%	12%	9%	6%	12%	16%	11%	11%	13%	13%	11%	13%	14%	11%	12%	12%	12%	14%	10%
(5)	10%	8%	B%	13%	10%	9%	10%	8%	11%	9%	9%	10%	12%	11%	10%	6%	12%	9%	8%
(6)	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	5%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%
Completely agree(7)	4%	4%	1%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	14%	4%	2%	1%	8%	3%	2%
Dk/Ns	0%	1%				0%	1%	0%	0%		0%	1%	1%	0%			0%		0%

2. Overall, would you say the federal government is doing a good or a poor job in terms of managing Canada's economy?

				Regi	on			Se	ex.		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215		498 502			461 463	53 53
Very Good(4) Somewhat Good(3) Somewhat poor(2) Very poor(1) Dk/Ns	2% 22% 37% 38% 2%	1% 16% 43% 37% 3%	1% 12% 43% 41% 2%	1% 21% 32% 46%	2% 20% 34% 44% 1%	2% 28% 41% 27% 3%	4% 30% 32% 33% 2%	2% 23% 38% 36% 1%	1% 21% 37% 39% 3%	2% 26% 45% 25% 2%	1% 19% 34% 44% 2%	2% 19% 31% 46% 1%	1% 21% 31% 44% 2%	1% 20% 35% 41% 2%	2% 22% 40% 33% 2%	1% 24% 38% 35% 2%	2% 24% 31% 41% 2%	1% 19% 41% 38% 2%	2% 22% 40% 35% 1%
Mean	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9

3. A number of statements have been made about canada's ability to compete in world markets. How would you rate each of the following statements.

				Regi	ion			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Građ	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	1 30 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA SHOULD TAKE ACTION TO PROTECTCANADIAN BUS&IND BY LIMITING THE AMT OF FOREIGN GOODS																			
Completely disagree(1)	9%	13%	10%	9%	8%	8%	7%	11%	6%	8%	7%	12%	13%	6%	7%	11%	8%	8%	9%
(2)	9%	10%	17%	9%	9%	5%	5%	11%	6%	9%	9%	7%	3%	7%	8%	14%	5%	7%	13%
(3)	11%	9%	11%	13%	12%	9%	9%	12%	10%	11%	9%	11%	5%	7%	12%	16%	8%	11%	12%
	15%	14%	19%	17%	16%	12%	10%	13%	16%	15%	16%	13%	13%	10%	16%	18%	12%	15%	18%
(5)	22%	27%	20%	16%	21%	22%	21%	20%	23%	23%	23%	18%	16%	24%	23%	20%	20%	23%	22%
(6)	15%	13%	13%	16%	15%	17%	19%	13%	18%	15%	16%	16%	17%	17%	15 %	14%	16%	16%	14%
Completely agree(7)	20%	12%	9%	20%	18%	27%	26%	19%	20%	19%	19%	21%	32%	27%	16%	8%	29%	19%	11%
Dk/Ns	1%	2%	1%		1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Mean	4.6	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.9	5.0	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.6	4.2
THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA HAS AN OVERALL PLAN FOR IMPROVING CANADA'S INTERNATIONAL TRADE																			
Completely disagree(1)	11%	16%	10%	12%	14%	7%	11%	13%	10%	9%	13%	13%	17%	10%	10%	11%	14%	10%	10%
(2)	13%	19%	18%	17%	13%	8%	8%	14%	12%	13%	13%	13%	10%	10%	15%	16%	10%	12%	16%
(3)	15%	16%	17%	20%	15%	15%	10%	16%	15%	16%	16%	13%	13%	14%	18%	15%	14%	17%	15%
(4)	19%	14%	18%	16%	21%	18%	21%	16%	21%	21%	17%	17%	9%	22%	19%	19%	18%	18%	19%
(5)	21%	15%	21%	16%	19%	26%	24%	20%	22%	23%	19%	21%	24%	22%	20%	20%	20%	23%	20%
(6)	8%	8%	8%	6%	7%	10%	10%	9%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	8%	8%	9%	7%	9%	8%
Completely agree(7)	8%	6%	5%	7%	7%	11%	11%	10%	7%	7%	8%	10%	16%	9%	6%	6%	12%	6%	7%
Dk/Ns	5%	7%	3%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	6%	3%	6%	6%	4%	5%	5 %	3%	5%	5 %	4%
Mean	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.7	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8

4. Who do you see as having the greatest respondility for helping Canadians adjust to changes in the global economy.

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428		530 538
The federal government The provincial government Business Unions Other Dk/Ns	45% 9% 28% 5% 9% 4%	47% 12% 23% 4% 7% 6%	44% 7% 36% 3% 8% 3%	52% 6% 27% 7% 7% 1%	50% 9% 28% 3% 6% 5%	36% 11% 30% 6% 16% 2%	47% 7% 26% 6% 7% 7%	45% 8% 32% 4% 8% 2%	46% 10% 25% 5% 9% 6%	48% 10% 29% 4% 5% 3%	43% 6% 31% 4% 13% 3%	45% 11% 24% 6% 9% 6%	46% 12% 20% 8% 7% 7%	44% 12% 24% 5% 10% 5%	46% 9% 30% 4% 9% 2%	46% 5% 36% 2% 9% 3%	45% 12% 22% 7% 7% 7%	49% 9% 26% 4% 10% 3%	45% 7% 35% 2% 9% 2%

÷

-

5. Do you feel that the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job, or a very poor job in helping Canadians adjust to changes in the global economy?

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	149 8 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215			367 373	434 428		
A very good job(4) A good job(3) A poor job(2) A very poor job(1) Dk/Ns	1% 29% 45% 22% 3%	1% 18% 51% 26% 5%	21% 53% 24% 2%	1% 24% 46% 25% 4%	1% 26% 46% 25% 1%	2% 40% 38% 16% 4%	4% 35% 41% 18% 2%	2% 29% 45% 22% 2%	1% 29% 45% 22% 4%	1% 35% 46% 15% 2%	1% 24% 45% 27% 3%	1% 26% 43% 26% 4%	3% 31% 35% 29% 3%	1% 31% 44% 22% 2%	1% 28% 50% 19% 3%	1% 27% 45% 23% 4%	1% 34% 39% 23% 3%	1% 27% 48% 22% 2%	1% 27% 48% 22% 2%
Mean	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1

6. From what you know, which of the following best describes the current situation for Canadian trade.

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	7 33 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428	461 463	
WITH WESTERN EUROPE																			
We export more goods than we import	19%	24%	13%	15%	21%	15%	22%	21%	16%	21%	19%	15%	13%	15%	19%	25%	14%	19%	23%
We import more goods than we export	41%	37%	51%	39%	38%	45%	37%	39%	42%	42%	41%	39%	39%	42%	41%	40%	43%	42%	37%
Imports and exports about equal	26%	30%	22%	26%	28%	24%	25%	29%	24%	26%	25%	28%	29%	26%	25%	26%	26%	24%	30%
Dk/Ns	14%	10%	14%	20%	13%	16%	17%	11%	18%	11%	14%	18%	18%	16%	14%	9%	17%	16%	11%
WITH MEXICO																			
We export more goods than we import	29%	30%	31%	27%	34%	23%	29%	32%	26%	28%	31%	27%	22%	24%	31%	37%	23%	29%	35%
We import more goods than we export	36%	38%	37%	30%	35%	38%	35%	38%	35%	40%	37%	29%	32%	38%	37%	35%	32%	36%	39%
Imports and exports about equal	14%	14%	12%	12%	13%	15%	13%	13%	14%	14%	11%	16%	14%	15%	12%	14%	17%	14%	10%
Dk/Ns	21%	18%	20%	32%	18%	24%	23%	17%	26%	18%	20%	27%	32%	23%	20%	14%	28%	21%	16%
WITH THE U.S.																			
We export more goods than we import	25%	33%	25%	19%	28%	20%	23%	34%	16%	21%	25%	31%	27%	18%	25%	31%	18%	25%	30%
We import more goods than we export	54%	50%	56%	58%	52%	58%	53%	47%	61%	56%	58%	48%	47%	57%	56%	54%	53%	57%	53%
Imports and exports about equal	16%	13%	15%	15%	15%	17%	18%	14%	17%	20%	13%	13%	16%	18%	15%	13%	20%	14%	14%
Dk/Ns	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	4%	5%	8%	9%	7%	4%	2%	9%	5%	2%
WITH JAPAN																			
We export more goods than we import	8%	17%	5%	7%	7%	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%	10%	10%	8%	9%	6%	9%	10%	6%
We import more goods than we export	76%	70%	81%	71%	78%	76%	78%	78%	75%	78%	80%	68%	70%	73%	77%	83%	69%	76%	83%
Imports and exports about equal	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	9%	5%	8%	8%	6%	8%	7%	5%
Dk/Ns	9%	6%	7%	13%	8%	9%	10%	7%	10%	6%	7%	14%	15%	11%	6%	5%	14%	7%	6%
OVERALL																			
We export more goods than we import	22%	35%	22%	19%	22%	19%	18%	31%	13%	20%	23%	24%	17%	19%	22%	29%	15%	25%	26%
We import more goods than we export	56%	53%	54%	60%	55%	58%	56%	50%	62%	60%	57%	48%	54%	58%	58%	52%	58%	56%	53%
Imports and exports about equal	16%	8%	18%	13%	17%	17%	18%	15%	16%	16%	13%	18%	21%	16%	15%	13%	17%	14%	16%
Dk/Ns	6%	5%	6%	9%	6%	6%	8%	4%	9%	3%	6%	10%	8%	7%	6%	5%	9%	5%	4%

7a. Have you heard anything about a North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA, involving Canada, the U.S. and Mexico?

				Regi	ion			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428		
Yes No Dk/Ns	88% 12% 0%	87% 13%	91% 8% 1%	82% 18%	88% 11% 0%	88% 11% 1%	88% 12%	90% 9% 1%	85% 15% 0%	83% 17% 0%	90% 9% 1%	92% 8% 0%	80% 20%	82% 17% 0%	89% 10% 1%	97% 3% 0%	82% 18% 1%	88% 12% 0%	94% 6% 1%

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Aware of NAFTA	1315	154	118	100	486	342	115	662	652	469	471	373	174	340			356	406	
Unweighted Base	1323	150	127	104	477	345	120	673	650	460	507	354	174	338	447	362	353	408	50
Bad for Canada (General)	8%	11%	5%	10%	7%	9%	4%	6%	9%	7%	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	5%	10%	7%	7%
Freer Trade between Canada/ U.S./																			
Mexico/ Lowering Tariffs	12%	16%	12%	13%	14%	5%	13%	14%	9%	15%	10%	10%	8%	11%	13%	13%	10%	12%	12%
Good for Canada (General)	4%	4%	5%	8%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	7%	3%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%
It's going ahead/ agreement signed/																			
inevitable	11%	11%	13%	12%	13%	5%	12%	10%	11%	13%	11%	8%	5%	6%	14%	14%	7%	11%	13%
Wages will go down	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Good for Canadian Business	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Lost jobs/ low Mexican wages	24%	20%	16%	11%	27%	29%	26%	25%	24%	22%	24%	28%	29%	26%	24%	22%	25%	25%	24%
Similar to EEC/ trade bloc	1%	LUA	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
U.S. getting best deal	6%	8%	10%	4%	4%	5%	2%	5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	7%	4%
Canada giving up too much	4%	3%	7%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	2%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%
Indifferent/ no impact/ good and bad	3%	4%	5%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%
	0%	46	1%	1%	1.6	2.6	2.6	0%	0%	0%	2.4	0%	74	1%	1.70	20	7/0	0%	34
Mexican workers will suffer	0.6		1.6	1.6				0.6	0.6	0.6		0.6		1.0				0.6	
Same as F.T.A. (Canada-U.S. Deal)/	-			4.00		~		~		4.0%	8%	3%	4%	6%	8%	10%	7%	7%	9%
Extension of F.T.A.	7%	6%	11%	12%	6%	8%	7%	9%	5%	10%	0.4	3%	4.6	0.4	0.6	104	1.6	1.4	74
Will hurt Canadian manufacturing/		70			~~	=~	-					-							
business	5%	3%		4%	9%	5%	3%	5%	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%
Will hurt Canadian standard of living	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
U.S./ Bush in favour	1%		1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	,1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	0%	12
Mexicans/ Salinas in favour	0%		1%	1%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	1%	0%		0%
Canadians worried/ anxious	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	4%	3%	3%	38
Canadian Labour Unions Opposed	1%	2%	1%		2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	23
Bad for Canadian farmers/ Agriculture	0%			1%	0%	1%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	0%	1%	0%	
Mexico getting best deal	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	6%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	32
Mexico getting worst deal	0%	1%	1%		0%				0%		1%					1%		0%	02
U.S. corporations only winners	0%			2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%		0%	1%		1%		12
Mulroney wants it	1%	2%	2%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	12
Needed in today's marketplace	1%		1%		1%	0%		1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	02
U.S. and Canada will be losers	0%	1%			0%	1%		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%			1%	0%	1%	0%	07
Generally in favour	1%	2%		1%	•	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	17
Expand markets	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%	49
Mexican workers allowed in Canada/	0%	1%	2.4	210	70	74	30	2.0		7.0	 0%	270	7/0		0%	0%	La	0%	07
immigration problems									0%	~									
Lower labour standards	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	12
Mexican energy is safeguarded, ours is																			
not	0%	1%							0%		0%				0%				07
Environmental standards will be upheld	2%	3%	3%		3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	- 32

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

`\

				Reg	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	5
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	
Can't trust participants to keep their														-	-	-		~~~	
word	0%	1%		1%	0%	0 %		0%	0%		0%	1%		0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	
anguage differences	0%	1%							0%		0%					0%			0
Cheaper prices for goods	0%			1%	1%		1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
lore businesses/ Industries moving south	3%	6%	1%	1%	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	
fore jobs available	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	
Improve Canadian economy	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
Social services are protected	0%		1%						0%	0%					0%			0%	
latural resources are protected	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	12
J.S. election deadline	0%	1%			0%		2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0
.ong-term benefit	1%	1%			2%	2%		1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2
reat to medicare	0%		1%						0%		0%					0%	0%		
Threat to Canadian culture	0%		1%		0%			0%	0%		0%	0%			0%	0%	0%		0
furt Canadian economy	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1
Government being secretive about	170	270	2/0	2/0		~		170	2/0										
agreement	3%	5%	4%	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3
55% North American content on any duty	370	78	7/0	2.0	170	7/0	170	376	2.0		576	376	2.70	270	0.0	0.4		2,0	-
free goods	1%		1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%		1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1
	1.6		1.6		176	1.6	1.75	1.6	0.6	1/6	~~	1.76		1.76	1.2	170	~~	178	•
Canada remains powerless until U.S.decides what to do	0%	2%		1%	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0
	0.6	2.6		1.6	0,6	0.6		0.6	176	0.6	176	1.6		170	1.4	0.6	0.4	176	v.
The prov. concerned about how it will	0%	1%			0%	1%		0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1
turn out for them	0%	1.6	1%		0%	1.6		0%	1.6	0.6	0%	0.6	1.76	0.4	0.4	0%	0.4	1.4	0
IAFTA is good for the west			1%		0 ¥					0%	0%			0%	0%	0.2	0%		Ő
oss of investment in Canada	0%		1.6		0%			0%		0.6	0.6			0.6	U.A		0.4		0
Creates a tremendous opportunity for					~~	~~				4.04			~			2%	1%	0%	1
Canada in High-tech ind	1%		1%		0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		0%	0%	1%	276	1.4	04	
The deal concerning auto ind will be					~~													4 64	
similar to present	1%		1%		2%	0%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2
las to go back through Parliament/ has													-		-			-	. ,
to be ratified yet	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	1%	3%	
This is a Global way to go	1%		1%		0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%		1%	1%	0%		1%	
Textile industry will suffer	2%			2%		6%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	
Transportation will be costly	0%			1%		1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
argest common market in the world	0%	1%	1%		0%		1%	1%		0%	1%		1%		0%			0%	-
Open up opportunities for the country	0%					1%			0%		0%	0%		0%		0%			0
Not all in favour	0%					0%			0%			0%		0%					
Mexico does not pay attention to																			
pollution	0%					1%		0%	1%	1%		0%			1%			0%	; 0
Energy costs more in Canada	0%					0%			0%			0%			0%		0%		-
Bad for Canada-U.S. relations/ conflict	0%				0%				0%	0%		0.0				0%	0%		
shoe and furniture industry will suffer	0%				~~	0%			0%	~~	0%			0%		0.0	v /J	0%	

(continued)

				Reg	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	:
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Obligated to join NAFTA to avoid losing investments	0%					0%			0%	0%					0%			0%	
None	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	19
Other	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%			0%	1%	0%	1%	1%		0%	1%		1%		02
(DK/NS)	8%	6%	10%	10%	4%	12%	16%	7%	10%	8%	8%	9%	15%	10%	8%	4%	11%	9%	62

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

•

.

•

8. Is the NAFTA an improvement over the current free trade agreement between Canada and the U.S.?

				Regi	ion			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428	461 463	53) 53)
Yes No Dk/Ns	27% 43% 30%	26% 45% 29%	30% 39% 31%	25% 45% 30%	27% 46% 27%	27% 39% 33%	29% 40% 31%	32% 41% 27%	22% 46% 32%	28% 42% 29%	26% 43% 31%	27% 45% 28%	20% 49% 31%	23% 44% 33%	30% 43% 27%	32% 40% 29%	23% 41% 36%	27% 45% 28%	31% 43% 26%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

.

•

.

9. The canada-U.S. free trade agreement has now been in effect for over 3 years. generally speaking, would you say that it has...

				Regi	ion			Se	X		Åge			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410					-
Benefitted Canada Hurt Canada No impact Dk/Ns	11% 67% 20% 3%	9% 69% 18% 5%	11% 60% 26% 2%	10% 66% 21% 3%	9% 76% 13% 2%	13% 55% 28% 3%	12% 70% 16% 2%	14% 63% 21% 2%	8% 71% 18% 3%	11% 63% 23% 2%	10% 71% 17% 3%	12% 67% 17% 3%	6% 69% 22% 4%	8% 71% 19% 2%	12% 65% 20% 3%	15% 64% 18% 3%	8% 67% 23% 2%	10% 69% 18% 3%	14% 64% 19% 3%

10. What about the long term effects of free trade between Canada and the U.S.? In the long run the free trade agreement will...

				Regi	ion			Se	ex.		Age			Educ	ation			Income	t
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
Benefitted Canada Hurt Canada No impact Dk/Ns	37% 49% 8% 6%	37% 54% 4% 6%	43% 45% 7% 6%	38% 54% 4% 3%	37% 52% 5% 5%	37% 40% 17% 6%	33% 55% 4% 8%	46% 43% 7% 4%	29% 54% 9% 7%	39% 47% 9% 4%	35% 54% 6% 5%	37% 45% 9% 10%	25% 55% 11% 9%	30% 53% 11% 7%	41% 48% 7% 5%	49% 42% 5% 4%	27% 55% 10% 8%	35% 51% 10% 4%	49% 41% 5% 5%

11. Regardless of how you feel about the actual free trade agreement between Canada and the U.S., do you support or oppose the idea of free trade between Canada and the U.S.?

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	<i>i</i> .
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	-	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502				
Support Oppose Dk/Ns	66% 30% 3%	71% 22% 7%	71% 26% 3%	57% 40% 3%	68% 30% 2%	62% 34% 4%	70% 26% 4%	74% 25% 1%	59% 35% 6%	65% 32% 3%	66% 30% 4%	69% 28% 4%	55% 42% 3%	59% 37% 4%	68% 28% 4%	79% 18% 3%	58% 38% 4%	63% 34% 3%	77% 20% 3%

12. Would you say that, in the long-term, more jobs will be created or would you say that more jobs would be lost as a result of the free trade agreement with the United States?

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation		1	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428	461 463	
A lot more jobs created A few more jobs created	10% 23%	12% 19%	15% 28%	5% 20%	11% 22%	8% 26%	12% 21%	14% 26%	6% 20%	9% 27%	10% 22%	12% 19%	5% 16%	7% 20%	12% 24% 20%	13% 28% 21%	6% 18% 22%	10% 22% 21%	13% 29% 18%
A few more jobs lost A lot more jobs lost Dk/Ns	20% 39% 8%	19% 37% 14%	18% 36% 4%	15% 51% 8%	16% 46% 6%	31% 24% 11%	14% 48% 4%	20% 32% 8%	20% 45% 8%	26% 32% 6%	16% 45% 8%	18% 40% 12%	18% 52% 9%	21% 44% 7%	20% 35% 8%	30% 8%	22% 46% 8%	41% 6%	31% 31%

13. Recently there has been a number of trade disputes between Canada and the U.S. In general, do you think that Canada has won more, or lost more of these trade disputes since the free trade agreement went into effects?

				Regi	on			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	:
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428		
Won more Lost more Even draw Dk/Ns	10% 74% 7% 9%	10% 76% 7% 7%	9% 77% 8% 5%	8% 75% 6% 12%	10% 76% 6% 8%	13% 70% 7% 11%	10% 71% 10% 9%	14% 71% 8% 7%	7% 77% 6% 10%	11% 78% 4% 7%	10% 72% 9% 9%	11% 71% 8% 10%	11% 76% 5% 8%	10% 74% 7% 8%	9% 75% 6% 10%	13% 70% 9% 8%	10 % 75% 5% 10 %	10% 73% 8% 9%	12% 74% 7% 7%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

ė

14. Generally speaking, do you support or oppose the free trade agreement that was reached between Canada and the United States?

				Regi	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	4 98 502		434 428		
Strongly support(4) Moderately support(3) Moderately oppose(2) Strongly oppose(1) Dk/Ns	10% 35% 25% 26% 4%	11% 31% 23% 29% 6%	10% 38% 23% 25% 4%	7% 30% 33% 27% 2%	10% 32% 22% 32% 4%	10% 44% 23% 19% 4%	11% 30% 32% 23% 5%	15% 37% 22% 23% 2%	5% 33% 27% 29% 6%	9% 35% 29% 23% 3%	9% 35% 21% 31% 4%	13% 35% 22% 26% 4%	8% 31% 20% 37% 5%	6% 34% 26% 29% 5%	10% 36% 25% 25% 4%	16% 37% 24% 20% 3%	6% 35% 22% 31% 6%	7X 35X 29X 26X 3X	16% 37% 22% 23% 3%
Mean	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.5

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educ	ation		1	income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	92 91	62 69		300 295	163 165	71 75	335 340	429 4 2 9	296 287	270 294	196 186	12 3 123	22 9 226		163 166	231 232	256 259	236 238
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT										~	4.0%			~	478		128	13%	-784
Much more	11%	11%	6%	10%	9% 51%	13% 43%	14% 52%	13% 45%	9% 48%	9% 52%	12% 44%	11% 43%	14% 42%	9% 49%	13% 49%	7% 43%	12% 46%	5D%	7% 47%
Somewhat more	47% 23%	37% 29%	49% 29%	42% 20%	20%	26%	14%	43%	25%	25%	23%	19%	24%	18%	21%	30%	22%	20%	27%
Not very	16%	16%	12%	21%	17%	15%	15%	19%	14%	12%	19%	19%	17%	18%	14%	17%	16%	15%	17%
Not at all Dk/Ns	4%	7%	3%	6%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	7%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT Much more Somewhat more Not very Not at all Dk/Ns	17% 46% 18% 14% 5%	9% 46% 18% 16% 11%	14% 47% 24% 15%	17X 33X 21X 20X 10X	15% 48% 19% 14% 5%	22% 49% 16% 11% 1%	23% 46% 13% 15% 3%	18% 43% 20% 14% 6%	16% 49% 17% 14% 4%	19% 51% 18% 10% 2%	16X 43% 19% 19% 4%	15% 42% 19% 15% 10%	16% 36% 17% 22% 9%	15X 44X 23X 13X 5%	19% 50% 15% 12% 4%	16% 50% 18% 13% 3%	17% 41% 19% 17% 6%	18X 47X 20X 12X 3%	16% 50% 16% 13% 5%
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA																			
Much more	20%	13%	19%	17%	21%	20%	27%	21%	19%	24%	20%	14%	21%	19%	24%	15%	22%	20%	21%
Somewhat more	45%	50%	49%	41%	44%	44%	45%	43%	46%	49%	42%	43%	32%	46%	48%	48%	38%	49%	46%
Not very	18%	17%	16%	23%	17%	19%	13%	17%	18%	15%	18%	21%	19%	19%	14%	19%	18% 19%	18%	16% 15%
Not at all	16%	17%	13%	17%	16%	17%	12%	17%	14% 2%	12% 1%	19% 0%	17% 6%	25% 3%	15% 1%	11%	16% 2%	3%	13% 1%	2%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	176	0%	0%	3%	1.6	26	2*	3%	1.4	24

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

•

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	92 91	62 69		300 295	163 165	71 75	335 340	429 429	296 287	270 294	196 186	123 123	229 226		163 166	231 232	256 259	
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TD FORCE THE U.S.GOVIT TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES																			
Much more	10%	8%	10%	7%	11%	12%	12%	11%	10%	8%	12%	12%	7%	11%	11%	11%	8%	14%	9%
Somewhat more	45%	48%	46%	35%	45%	42%	53%	44%	45%	53%	44%	32%	29%	41%	48%	57%	35%	45%	53%
Not very	24%	23%	26%	30%	23%	26%	16%	21%	26%	24%	2 2%	27%	26%	26%	24%	19%	24%	28%	20%
Not at all	17%	13%	16%	20%	17%	18%	17%	19%	15%	13%	18%	21%	30%	18%	13%	11%	25%	11%	14%
Dk/Ns	4%	8%	1%	8%	4%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	8%	7%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%	4%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	;																		
Much more	14%	18%	11%	14%	12%	16%	12%	15%	12%	13%	16%	11%	11%	10%	16%	16%	13%	14%	15%
Somewhat more	47%	43%	43%	34%	49%	45%	63%	45%	48%	53%	45%	40%	35%	46%	52%	48%	38%	48%	53%
Not very	20%	18%	32%	18%	18%	25%	9%	19%	20%	22%	17%	19%	21%	23%	16%	21%	23%	21%	15%
Not at all	18%	17%	15%	30%	19%	12%	16%	19%	17%	10%	20%	24%	28%	18%	14%	13%	23%	15%	14%
Ok/Ns	3%	3%		4%	3%	3%		3%	2%	2%	1%	5%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USEO Successfully to canada from Potentially damaging U.S. Trade Legislation																			
Much more	11%	14%	9%	12%	10%	10%	15%	13%	9%	11%	12%	9%	8%	8%	11%	16%	8%	16%	8%
Somewhat more	42%	36%	52%	25%	46%	42%	46%	41%	44%	51%	39%	34%	28%	41%	49%	44%	36%	42%	48%
Not very	23%	29%	23%	20%	20%	25%	23%	23%	23%	21%	22%	27%	25%	25%	21%	21%	26%	22%	23%
Not at all	18%	14%	14%	34%	17%	19%	15%	19%	18%	14%	20%	21%	27%	19%	15%	15%	21%	17%	14%
Ok/Ns	6%	7%	3%	8%	8%	4%	1%	5%	7%	3%	6%	10%	12%	6%	4%	4%	8%	3%	6%

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educa	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	92 91	62 69		300 295	163 165	71 75	335 340	429 429	296 287	270 294	196 186		229 226	248 253	163 166	231 232		
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA																			
Much more	22%	19%	31%	13%	22%	20%	32%	26%	19%	28%	19%	18%	24%	22%	24%	19%	20%	25%	219
Somewhat more	42%	36%	37%	38%	45%	46%	38%	40%	43%	49%	41%	33%	32%	39%	43%	52%	41%	43%	447
Not very	18%	25%	20%	19%	16%	16%	16%	15%	20%	13%	20%	23%	20%	19%	19%	12%	19%	16%	187
Not at all	15%	13%	9%	27%	14%	14%	13%	15%	15%	10%	18%	17%	21%	15%	11%	14%	15%	14%	132
Dk/Ns	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%		4%	3%	· 1%	3%	9%	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%	32
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN																			
Much more	16%	13%	10%	10%	17%	16%	28%	17%	15%	20%	17%	8%	14%	13%	18%	19%	13%	17%	179
Somewhat more	37%	32%	39%	32%	42%	33%	38%	38%	37%	43%	34%	31%	25%	38%	39%	42%	30%	41%	429
Not very	21%	33%	23%	29%	16%	24%	11%	20%	22%	17%	22%	27%	23%	23%	22%	16%	25%	18%	199
Not at all	24%	22%	26%	26%	23%	26%	22%	23%	24%	20%	26%	28%	34%	24%	20%	22%	29%	23%	215
Dk/Ns	2%		1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	15
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT																			
Much more	17%	17%	11%	16%	18%	16%	24%	20%	15%	23%	16%	11%	23%	16%	17%	15%	18%	18%	152
Somewhat more	40%	31%	43%	35%	38%	45%	47%	40%	39%	42%	41%	35 %	26%	40%	41%	46%	34%	43%	439
Not very	20%	22%	22%	15%	22%	19%	10%	17%	22%	19%	18%	23%	22%	17%	21%	19%	19%	20%	20%
Not at all	21%	27%	23%	26%	20%	19%	16%	21%	22%	17%	23%	26%	24%	25%	18%	18%	25%	19%	193
Dk/Ns	3%	3%	1%	8%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	6%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	32

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	92 91	62 69	74 74	300 295	163 165	71 75	335 340	429 429	296 287	270 294	196 186	123 123	229 226	248 253	163 166	231 232	256 259	
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY																			
Much more	15 %	22%	14%	13%	12%	15%	18%	16%	14%	15%	16%	13%	15%	13%	18%	13%	16%	17%	12%
Somewhat more	44%	34%	46%	28%	49%	42%	56%	47%	42%	52%	44%	32%	29%	45%	47%	51%	37%	43%	54%
Not very	21%	24%	26%	22%	18%	25%	14%	16%	25%	21%	19%	25%	20%	20%	21%	23%	21%	23%	187
Not at all	16%	19%	12%	24%	18%	14%	7%	17%	15%	11%	18%	21%	26%	18%	13%	10%	19%	15%	133
Dk/Ns	4%	1%	1%	13%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%	9%	10%	5%	1%	4%	7%	2%	49
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT																			
Much more	16%	12%	16%	13%	16%	17%	22%	16%	16%	16%	17%	14%	22%	13%	16%	16%	18%	19%	132
Somewhat more	39%	33%	34%	28%	40%	44%	47%	40%	38%	45%	40%	30%	27%	40%	44%	39%	32%	41%	43%
Not very	21%	25%	30%	20%	20%	22%	17%	21%	22%	23%	18%	23%	21%	23%	19%	24%	24%	17%	24%
Not at all	20%	26%	16%	29%	21%	14%	12%	20%	19%	15%	22%	23%	23%	20%	17%	19%	21%	20%	182
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	4%	8%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%		3%	10%	6%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%	25

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Much more/ Somewhat more)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k-
EVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	57	47	55	52	61	56	66	58	57	61	56	54	56	58	62	50	58	62	5
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	63	55	62	49	63	71	69	60	64	70	59	56	52	59	69	66	58	65	é
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	65	63	68	57	66	63	72	64	65	73	62	57	52	64	72	63	60	69	6
IE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	55	56	56	42	56	54	65	56	55	61	57	44	36	52	59	68	44	60	Ċ
IE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	60	62	54	48	61	61	75	60	61	66	61	51	47	56	68	64	52	62	
IE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	53	50	60	38	55	52	61	54	53	62	52	42	36	50	61	60	44	58	
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN Manufacturing, has increased by \$6 Billion since the signing of the FTA	64	55	69	51	67	66	71	67	62	77	60	51	56	61	67	71	61	68	
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	53	45	50	42	59	49	65	56	51	63	52	40	39	51	57	61	43	58	
OME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	57	48	54	52	56	60	71	60	54	64	57	46	49	56	58	61	52	61	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Much more/ Somewhat more)	able of (Much more/ Somewhat more)
---	------------------------------------

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	÷
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
HE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	59	56	60	41	61	57	74	63	55	68	59	45	43	57	65	64	53	60	6
ANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	55	45	49	42	56	61	69	56	54	61	57	44	49	53	61	55	50	61	5

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		. Age			Educ	ation			Income	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
EVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	39	45	42	42	37	41	29	39	40	37	42	39	41	37	35	47	37	35	4
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	33	34	38	41	33	27	29	34	32	27	38	34	39	36	27	32	36	32	2
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	33	34	29	40	33	36	25	34	32	27	38	37	44	35	26	35	37	31	3
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	41	36	43	50	40	44	33	40	42	37	40	48	56	45	37	30	49	38	-
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	37	35	46	49	36	37	25	37	37	33	37	44	49	41	30	34	45	36	:
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	41	43	37	54	37	44	38	42	41	35	42	48	52	45	35	36	47	39	
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN Manufacturing, has increased by \$6 Billion since the signing of the FTA	32	38	29	46	30	30	29	29	34	22	37	40	40	34	30	26	34	30	:
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	45	55	49	55	- 40	49	33	43	47	37	48	55	57	47	42	38	54	41	
OME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	41	49	45	41	42	38	26	37	43	35	41	48	46	42	39	37	44	38	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Page: 36

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

				Reg	ion			S	≥x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	2
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER	77	/3	70	/ 5	75	70	24	77		74	77			70	7/		(0	70	3
COUNTRY ANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE	37	43	39	45	35	39	21	33	40	31	37	46	47	38	34	33	40	38	-
TRADE AGREEMENT	41	51	46	50	40	36	29	41	41	39	40	46	44	43	36	44	45	37	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	92 91	62 69		300 295	163 165	71 75	335 340	429 429	296 287	270 294	196 186	123 123	229 226		163 166	231 232	256 259	
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT																		_	
Very believable	7%	6%	8%	7%	8%	_4%	8%	9%	5%	6%	9%	5%	6%	4%	8%	11%	5%	8%	.9%
Somewhat believable	35%	29%	45%	27%	36%	32%	46%	34%	36%	45%	33%	24%	22%	33%	41%	41%	30%	37% 37%	37%
Not very believable	36%	37%	37%	39%	33%	42%	28%	34%	37%	35%	38%	35%	37%	39%	33%	36%	35%	16%	35% 16%
Not believable at all	19%	23%	10%	21%	20%	18%	15%	19%	19%	12% 2%	18% 3%	31% 5%	31% 4%	21 % 4%	16% 2%	9% 3%	25% 5%	1%	4%
Dk/Ns	3%	6%		6%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	4%	28	36	74	1.6	4.5
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE Increased by \$31 billion as a result of the free trade agreement																			
Very believable	4%	3%	1%	5%	3%	7%	4%	6%	2%	5%	5%	2%	6%	2%	6%	3%	3%	6%	3%
Somewhat believable	29%	26%	35%	28%	29%	28%	29%	28%	29%	37%	26%	21%	27%	31%	28%	29%	25%	32%	29%
Not very believable	41%	45%	33%	40%	43%	43%	32%	40%	42%	41%	40%	43%	34%	39%	41%	50%	39%	42%	43%
Not believable at all	24%	21%	30%	24%	23%	20%	31%	23%	24%	16%	27%	30%	29%	24%	24%	17%	28%	18%	24%
Dk/Ns	2%	5%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	5%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA																			
Very believable	5%	1%	6%	7%	4%	6%	6%	7%	3%	7%	3%	5%	9%	5%	4%	3%	6%	5%	5%
Somewhat believable	22%	21%	30%	17%	23%	18%	26%	21%	23%	28%	20%	16%	12%	25%	24%	22%	21%	27%	18%
Not very believable	39%	42%	34%	34%	38%	44%	30%	39%	38%	38%	39%	40%	40%	34%	39%	43%	37%	38%	42%
Not believable at all	33%	34%	29%	42%	33%	31%	34%	31%	35%	26%	37%	38%	38%	36%	32%	29%	34%	30%	34%
Dk/Ns	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%	1%	0%

.*

				Regi	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	1
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769		62 69		300 295	163 165		335 340	429 429	296 287	270 294	196 186	123 123	229 226			231 232		
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES																			
Very believable	5%	3%		7%	4%	4%	13%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%
Somewhat believable	32%	33%	42%	35%	32%	25%	33%	30%	33%	42%	28%	22%	22%	30%	33%	41%	23%	35%	38%
Not very believable	38%	39%	39%	28%	37%	46%	29%	37%	38%	34%	37%	43%	34%	39%	39%	36%	38%	40%	33%
Not believable at all	23%	20%	17%	23%	26%	23%	22%	23%	23%	18%	28%	25%	34%	24%	21%	17%	28%	18%	23%
Dk/Ns	3%	4%	1%	7%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER																			
Very believable	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	5%	4%	2%	5%	2%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%
Somewhat believable	30%	26%	25%	36%	29%	31%	41%	28%	32%	38%	27%	23%	24%	32%	34%	27%	25%	34%	30%
Not very believable	40%	45%	43%	35%	40%	41%	29%	40%	40%	38%	41%	40%	39%	37%	40%	43%	40 %	39%	427
Not believable at all	25%	25%	27%	23%	27%	22%	22%	26%	24%	18%	27%	32%	30%	26%	22%	24%	28%	22%	249
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%	3%		1%	3%	1%	12
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION																			
Very believable	4%	6%	1%	7%	2%	3%	7%	5%	3%	5%	2%	5%	5%	2%	5%	4%	5%	4%	23
Somewhat believable	29%	24%	33%	17%	30%	28%	41%	28%	29%	37%	25%	22%	25%	29%	34%	23%	27%	31%	28%
Not very believable	39%	40%	33%	48%	40%	39%	31%	40%	39%	39%	40%	40%	37%	37%	38%	45%	34%	41%	43%
Not believable at all	24%	28%	28%	21%	23%	25%	18%	23%	24%	18%	28%	25%	25%	25%	21%	25%	26%	21%	23%
Dk/Ns	5%	1%	4%	8%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	2%	5%	9%	9%	6%	2%	3%	8%	3%	3%

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	92 91	62 69		300 295	163 165	71 75	335 340	429 429	296 287	270 294	196 186	123 123	229 226		163 166	231 232	256 259	
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA																			
Very believable	5%	4%	5%	7%	4%	3%	9%	6%	4%	6%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Somewhat believable	24%	18%	32%	20%	21%	26%	34%	22%	25%	30%	20%	20%	19%	25%	26%	23%	27%	23%	21%
Not very believable	40%	49%	36%	37%	41%	41%	27%	40%	39%	43%	39%	36%	35%	40%	39%	45%	34%	42%	44%
Not believable at all	30%	27%	22%	32%	32%	28%	30%	29%	30%	21%	33%	36%	38%	29%	29%	24%	30%	29%	28%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%		3%	2%	0%	2%	5%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN																			
Very believable	4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	7%	3%	5%	2%	5%	4%	2%
Somewhat believable	15%	12%	13%	13%	16%	16%	20%	17%	15%	21%	12%	12%	16%	18%	18%	7%	16%	17%	14%
Not very believable	37%	47%	37%	28%	37%	34%	33%	37%	36%	39%	36%	33%	33%	36%	35%	42%	35%	35%	40%
Not believable at all	42%	36%	45%	48%	42%	43%	42%	40%	44%	34%	46%	49%	43%	41%	41%	47%	41%	42%	43%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%		2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	0%
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT																			
Very believable	10%	12%	4%	9%	12%	8%	16%	14%	7%	12%	13%	4%	8%	8%	14%	10%	8%	11%	12%
Somewhat believable	30%	29%	33%	39%	27%	27%	34%	32%	28%	33%	31%	23%	24%	30%	23%	42%	23%	33%	32%
Not very believable	29%	35%	35%	19%	30%	31%	19%	25%	33%	33%	23%	31%	27%	27%	33%	29%	32%	27%	29%
Not believable at all	29%	25%	28%	28%	30%	31%	31%	27%	31%	21%	30%	40%	38%	32%	29%	18%	33%	28%	26%
Dk/Ns	2%			5%	2%	3%		2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	17

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	1
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	92	62		300	163		335	429	296	270	196		229		163	231		
Unweighted Base	769	91	69	74	295	165	75	340	429	287	294	186	123	226	253	166	232	259	238
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY																			
Very believable	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%	4%	11%	9%	5%	9%	6%	4%	6%	6%	8%	6%	8%	7%	5%
Somewhat believable	35%	32%	38%	28%	38%	30%	41%	35%	35%	45%	33%	24%	29%	33%	36%	40%	28%	36%	41%
Not very believable	31%	29%	38%	22%	29%	40%	23%	26%	34%	28%	29%	36%	23%	33%	31%	32%	29%	33%	31%
Not believable at all	23%	28%	13%	30%	23%	21%	23%	25%	22%	16%	26%	30%	35%	22%	21%	18%	29%	22%	19%
Dk/Ns	4%	2%	4%	12%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	2%	6%	7%	7%	5%	3%	5%	6 %	3%	4%
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT																			
Very believable	9%	11%	6%	12%	7%	9%	15%	11%	8%	12%	9%	6%	9%	9%	9%	9%	5%	11%	11%
Somewhat believable	37%	32%	38%	28%	41%	34%	44%	37%	37%	46%	36%	26%	24%	35%	38%	48%	33%	37%	44%
Not very believable	27%	30%	23%	24%	25%	33%	21%	25%	28%	25%	26%	32%	27%	27%	28%	24%	30%	28%	23%
Not believable at all	24%	24%	28%	27%	25%	20%	19%	24%	24%	17%	26%	29%	35%	24%	23%	16%	26%	23%	21%
Dk/Ns	3%	2%	4%	9%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	7%	5%	5%	1%	2%	6%	1%	2%

15b. How believable would you find this statement

Summary Table of (Very beleivable/ Somewhat believable)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	42	35	53	35	45	36	54	43	41	51	42	29	28	36	48	52	35	46	
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	33	29	36	33	32	36	33	34	32	42	31	23	33	33	34	31	28	38	3
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	27	22	35	23	28	24	33	28	26	35	23	20	[`] 21	30	28	26	26	31	ä
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	36	37	42	42	36	28	46	36	37	47	32	27	27	34	38	45	28	39	
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	34	29	26	39	32	34	48	33	35	43	31	25	28	34	37	32	29	38	:
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	33	31	35	24	32	31	48	33	32	42	27	27	30	32	39	27	32	35	
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	29	22	37	28	25	29	43	28	29	36	25	23	24	29	31	28	32	28	;
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	19	16	16	19	19	20	26	22	17	25	16	15	23	21	23	9	21	21	
COME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	40	40	37	48	39	35	50	46	35	45	44	27	32	38	37	52	32	44	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

15b. How believable would you find this statement

Summary Table of (Very beleivable/ Somewhat believable)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	42	41	45	36	44	35	52	44	40	54	39	27	35	40	45	45	36	43	4
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	46	44	44	40	48	44	58	49	45	57	45	32	33	45	48	58	39	48	5

15b. How believable would you find this statement

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
EVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	55	60	47	60	53	60	43	53	56	47	55	66	68	60	49	45	60	53	5
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	65	66	62	64	67	63	63	62	67	57	67	73	63	64	65	67	67	61	6
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	72	76	63	75	71	75	64	70	73	64	76	78	78	70	71	72	71	68	7
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	61	59	57	50	62	69	51	60	61	52	65	68	68	62	60	53	66	59	:
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	65	70	71	58	67	63	51	65	64	57	68	72	69	63	63	67	68	60	
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	63	68	61	68	62	64	49	63	62	56	68	64	62	62	59	70	60	62	
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	69	77	58	69	73	68	57	69	70	64	73	72	74	68	68	69	64	71	
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	79	83	82	76	80	78	74	77	81	74	83	82	76	77	76	88	76	78	
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	58	60	63	47	60	62	50	52	63	54	54	70	65	59	61	47	65	55	

 $\searrow_{\mathbf{c}_{2}}$

15b. How believable would you find this statement

.

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	5
	Total	B.C.	ALD	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k4
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	54	57	51	52	52	61	46	51	56	44	55	66	58	55	53	50	58	54	5
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	51	54	51	51	50	54	40	48	52	42	52	61	62	51	51	4D	56	50	4

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428		53 53
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LEADERS																			
Trust most	5%	6%	5%	8%	4%	3%	9%	7%	3%	6%	4%	5%	3%	3%	6%	7%	5%	3%	7%
Trust some	33%	30%	26%	29%	36%	31%	40%	34%	32%	34%	33%	32%	31%	30%	34%	37%	29%	32%	38%
Trust little	36%	34%	37%	37%	34%	41%	36%	32%	41%	40%	34%	34%	32%	38%	36%	38%	37%	37%	34%
Trust nothing	25%	29%	31%	25%	25%	25%	15%	27%	24%	20%	28%	29%	33%	29%	24%	19%	29%	27%	21%
Dk/Ns	0%		1%		0%		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT LEADERS																			
Trust most	8%	10%	9%	18%	4%	6%	14%	9%	6%	10%	5%	8%	5%	7%	8%	10%	9%	7%	9%
Trust some	41%	42%	38%	40%	44%	35%	49%	39%	43%	44%	39%	38%	40%	37%	42%	45%	37%	43%	44%
Trust little	33%	29%	32%	30%	32%	41%	27%	33%	34%	32%	35%	34%	34%	36%	33%	31%	35%	34%	30%
Trust nothing	17%	18%	18%	11%	20%	17%	10%	18%	16%	14%	20%	19%	20%	19%	17%	14%	19%	16%	17%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	2%		0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
LABOUR UNION LEADERS																			
Trust most	9%	6%	6%	16%	10%	7%	16%	8%	10%	12%	9%	6%	11%	10%	9%	8%	8%	11%	9%
Trust some	35%	38%	35%	33%	37%	29%	37%	30%	39%	38%	35%	28%	29%	38%	34%	34%	38%	38%	30%
Trust little	32%	33%	33%	26%	29%	38%	29%	33%	30%	32%	31%	31%	35%	31%	32%	31%	32%	33%	31%
Trust nothing	23%	22%	24%	23%	24%	24%	19%	28%	19%	16%	24%	33%	24%	20%	24%	27%	20%	17%	30%
Dk/Ns	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%
TRADE ECONOMISTS AND OTHER EXPERTS IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE																			
Trust most	29%	35%	26%	27%	30%	23%	37%	30%	28%	34%	30%	21%	10%	24%	34%	39%	22%	30%	35%
Trust some	46%	43%	52%	44%	49%	44%	43%	45%	48%	46%	47%	47%	51%	45%	44%	49%	47%	46%	47%
Trust little	17%	16%	15%	17%	13%	25%	12%	17%	17%	15%	16%	21%	25%	22%	15%	9%	20%	18%	12%
Trust nothing	6%	5%	5%	9%	6%	6%	3%	8%	4%	4%	6%	8%	10%	7%	5%	3%	8%	4%	5%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

٠.

· 1

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
JOURNALISTS AND REPORTERS																			
Trust most	11%	11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	14%	12%	11%	15%	10%	8%	16%	12%	10%	10%	13%	12%	9%
Trust some	43%	43%	44%	38%	48%	35%	51%	40%	46%	43%	45%	41%	38%	38%	45%	50%	41%	41%	48%
Trust little	30%	30%	29%	33%	27%	36%	27%	32%	29%	29%	31%	31%	27%	34%	31%	28%	27%	34%	30%
Trust nothing	14%	15%	14%	17%	11%	18%	6%	14%	13%	12%	13%	18%	17%	16%	12%	12%	18%	12%	12%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
BUSINESS LEADERS																			
Trust most	21%	18%	22%	20%	23%	19%	22%	23%	19%	21%	20%	22%	16%	18%	25%	22%	19%	19%	25%
Trust some	49%	48%	50%	48%	49%	46%	56%	47%	51%	49%	49%	50%	45%	47%	48%	55%	46%	51%	50%
Trust little	23%	25%	22%	23%	21%	27%	16%	23%	22%	23%	24%	20%	26%	27%	20%	19%	24%	25%	20%
Trust nothing	7%	9%	5%	5%	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	12%	7%	6%	4%	10%	5%	5%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%
INTEREST GROUPS OPPOSED TO THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT																			
Trust most	8%	7%	6%	13%	9%	6%	8%	9%	7%	8%	7%	10%	12%	9%	6%	7%	8%	9%	7%
Trust some	39%	43%	40%	39%	44%	28%	47%	36%	43%	44%	39%	34%	42%	38%	41%	37%	39%	39%	40%
Trust little	35%	34%	32%	32%	28%	46%	33%	36%	34%	33%	37%	34%	29%	35%	34%	38%	34%	34%	34%
Trust nothing	16%	15%	20%	14%	15%	18%	9%	18%	13%	13%	17%	18%	14%	14%	17%	17%	14%	15%	18%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	5%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%

 17a. As you may know, the federal government has recently completed negotiations with the United States and Mexico on a three-way free trade agreement. Do you ...

				Regi	on			Se	ex.		Age			Educa	ation		1	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428	461 463	
Strongly support(4) Moderately support(3) Moderately oppose(2) Strongly oppose(1) Dk/Ns	10% 36% 24% 24% 6%	8% 36% 22% 28% 6%	11% 42% 25% 17% 5%	9% 27% 23% 33% 9%	10% 34% 23% 27% 6%	9% 40% 25% 20% 5%	11% 33% 25% 21% 11%	15% 37% 21% 23% 4%	5% 35% 26% 26% 8%	10% 36% 30% 18% 6%	8% 37% 21% 27% 5%	12% 33% 19% 29% 7%	4% 25% 23% 38% 10%	5% 34% 26% 28% 7%	12% 39% 23% 21% 6%	15% 41% 24% 16% 4%	4% 33% 25% 29% 8%	8% 36% 27% 24% 6%	16% 39% 22% 20% 4%
Mean	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.3	2.5

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

17. Why do you support the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Strongly support/ somewhat support Unweighted Base	682 686		70 74		241 237	193 196	57 60	379 384	303 302	260 257	238 254	182 174	64 63	162 161	251 254			200 199	
Opens more markets for Canada than jobs																			
lost	18%	17%	12%	15%	19%	23%	8%	22%	13%	19%	17%	18%	23%	17%	16%	20%	14%	16%	22%
Good for Canada (general)	6%	11%	12%	3%	3%	5%	15%	6%	7%	7%	5%	7%	3%	5%	10%	5%	6%	8%	5%
Good for Mexico (general)	2%	2%			3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	- 3%		3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Good for Canada-U.Sand Mexico	3%	6%	1%	6%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	4%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Will raise Mexican standard of living	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%
Will create jobs/ opportunity	11%	9%	4%	10%	12%	15%	9%	10%	13%	11%	12%	11%	11%	15%	11%	9%	11%	13%	10%
I'am for freer trade	7%	12%	11%	12%	7%	4%	2%	6%	8%	4%	10%	8%	11%	2%	8%	8%	7%	5%	9%
Will solve problems with F.T.A./		1	1170	12/0		-7.5	2,0	0.0	0.0	474	10/0	•			0.0	0.0		2.0	
improvement	3%	3%	6%	6%	2%	2%	7%	4%	3%	4%	4%	1%	5%	1%	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%
More trade is good	11%	13%	4%	7%	12%	12%	12%	11%	11%	9%	12%	13%	15%	13%	12%	7%	16%	11%	9%
More investment in Canada	1%			2%	0%	1%	2%		1%	0%	0%	1%		2%		0%		2%	0%
Stabilizing prices	3%	3%	6%	2%	0%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%
No alternative/ free trade the only	2.0	2.4	0.0	270	•/•			2.0							2.0	270	476		
option	7%	4%	7%	4%	10%	7%	5%	7%	7%	5%	7%	9%	3%	4%	7%	11%	3%	10%	8%
Help balance imports & exports	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	12	5/4	4%	12	1%	1%	2%	2%
Reduce Labour	0%	2%	170	276	0.4	2,4	5/4	2.70	0%	1%	L /0	1.4		1%		1/4	1.4	1%	2.4
Trade Bloc Unit	4%	6%	4%		3%	4%	8%	4%	4%	1%	6%	4%	2%	2%	4%	6%	2%	3%	5%
Long Term Benefits	9%	6%	11%	10%	9%	8%	8%	8%	10%	9%	10%	6%	2%	10%	8%	11%		8%	10%
Will force Canadian Businesses to become		0.4	11.6	10.6	7.6	0.0	0.0	0A	10%	7.6	10.6	0.4	2.4	10%	0.4	11.0	7.	0.4	IVA
more competitive	11%	10%	19%		11%	13%	3%	12%	10%	10%	11%	12%	11%	7%	7%	18%	7%	11%	13%
	114	10.4	19%		11.6	13%	3%	12.6	10.8	10.8	11.0	14.4	114	1.4	1.4	104	1.4	114	13%
Mexico could benefit from Canadian	24	1.				24	74				74			1%	74	78		4 94	74
technology	2%	6%	4%	-	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4%	~~	17	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%
No Tariffs	1%	5%		2%		2%		1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	4.79	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Improve economy	11%	6%	4%	11%	14%	14%	7%	11%	11%	13%	12%	7%	13%	12%	10%	12%	15%	9%	10%
Important to have stronger relations to																-			_
compete with the ECM	7%	7%	1%	7%	10%	6%	3%	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%	3%	8%	6%	7%	4%	7%	7%
Will be left behind, if we don't move																			
forward	6%	4%	3%	2%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	9%	7%	4%	6%	7%	4%	5%	7%
Will waken unions	0%				0%				0%			1%			0%				0%
Should work if all co-operate fairly	2%	1%			1%	3%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%		5%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
Canada and U.S.A. will force Mexico to																			
clean up environment	0%				0%			0%			0%			1%				1%	
Canada needs to learn to compete																			
globally	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	10%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%		2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%
Will be the strongest trading bloc in				2.4	2.43	1 /0	10/0	270	2/10	- 0	2.0							2 70	-74
the world	3%	1%	3%		2%	4%	10%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	5× 0%	2%	3%		2.8	4× 0%	10.4	۵۸ 1%	7%	1%	4.6	2.0	1%	1%	34	34	1%	1%	34
Savings in transportation costs	076	ረኤ				0%		176		176			1.6	176			176	176	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

17. Why do you support the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

				Reg	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k-
Tourism will benefit	0%					1%			0%			1%		1%			1%		
Global free trade improves standard of																			
living for everyone	0%	1%		2%				0%	0%	0%		1%		1%	0%		1%		02
Trust government	0%		1%			1%			1%		0%	1%	2%		0%		1%		
Cheaper prices for goods	1%				1%	3%		1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	02
Good for the auto industry	0%					0%			0%		0%		1%					0%	
Make all 3 countries more competitive	0%	2%			0%	1%		0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	
More choice of merchandise	0%					1%		0%	0%	0%		1%			1%			0%	
Wages are low in Mexico/ cheap labour -																			
lost jobs	0%	2%	1%					1%		1%				0%	0%			1%	
Only U.S. will benefit/ Canada & Mexico																			
losers	0%		1%		0%			0%		1%					0%	0%		1%	0;
Will threaten social programmes	0%			3%					0%			1%			1%		1%		
Will lower standard of living	0%	2%	1%					1%		0%	0%				1%			1%	02
Canada can't compete	0%					0%			0%		0%					0%			0
Loss of natural resources (lumber/ oil/																			
mining/ water)	0%				0%			0%			0%				0%				02
Mexican government not trustworthy/																			
unstable	0%		1%					0%		0%					0%		0%		
We don't export or import much with																			
Mexico	0%					1%		0%			0%			1%				1%	
Don't trust the politicians/too many																			
loopholes/too secretive	0%	2%	1%		0%			1%	0%	1%	0%			1%	0%	0%		1%	12
Misnomer: not free trade	0%		1%					0%		0%					0%		0%		
Not enough info about NAFTA/ should have	•																		
had referendum	1%		2%		0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	17
None	0%					1%			0%	0%					0%		1%		
Other	0%		1%					0%			0%				0%				07
(DK/NS)	2%	4%	4%	8%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	12

17. Why do you oppose the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

				Reg	ion			Se	X		Age			Educ	ation			Income)
	 Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Somewhat oppose/ Strongly oppose Unweighted Base	723 725	89 88	54 59		278 273	174 175	60 63	324 328	400 397	272 263	254 276	196 185	133 131	225 223			236 234	234 236	
Good for Mexico (general)	0%	1%							0%			1%		0%					
Will raise Mexican standard of living	0%	1%							0%			1%		0%					
l'am for freer trade	0%				0%			0%			0%				0%		0%		
No alternative/ free trade the only																			
option	0%				0%			0%				0%				1%			0%
Wages are low in Mexico/ cheap labour -																			
lost jobs	57%	46%	41%	52%	61%	60%	62%	61%	53%	51%	61%	59%	60%	60%	56%	50%	58%	60%	54%
Exploitation of cheap Mexican labour Only U.S. will benefit/ Canada & Mexico	1%	2%	2%	1%		1%		0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%		2%	
losers	6%	6%	11%	6%	4%	6%	13%	7%	5%	5%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	8%
F.T.A. bad - NAFTA worse	14%	17%	12%	4%	16%	12%	16%	11%	16%	21%	11%	6%	6%	13%	16%	18%	11%	14%	16%
Will threaten social programmes	0%			1%		1%		1%	0%	0%	1%				1%		-	1%	0%
Will lower standard of living	3%	1%		11%	1%	2%	6%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%
Canada can't compete	6%	5%	8%	10%	6%	4%	10%	4%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6% 9%	6% 7%
Hurt Canadian economy	9%	6%	17%	12%	10%	7%	8%	7%	11%	12%	9%	5%	11%	8%	10%	9%	13%	9%	17
Canada will lose money than gain/ get	1.74	15%		1.74	109	14%	9%	449	1.74	108	13%	13%	114	11%	12%	13%	114	10%	12%
cheated	12%	12%	8%	12%	10%	14.6	УА	11%	12%	10%	13%	13%	11%	114	124	13%	11%	IUA	124
Loss of natural resources (lumber/ oil/	2%	6%	7%	2%	1%	1%		2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%
mining/ water) Mexican government not trustworthy/	27	0/6	1.	2.0	1.6	1.6		270	28	28	1.6	2/6	2.4	1.4	24	74	176	24	24
unstable	1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%
Will Americanize Canadians	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Too much difference between Canada/ U.S.	2.4	2.0	2.6	-70	170	2.70	-76	24	1/4	2.4	576	2/8	54		576	2.4	2.4	574	- 4
and Mexico	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	17
We don't export or import much with				2.0	•	570		2.0		2/0						2/0			
Mexico	2%		2%		3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%		3%	2%	1%
Don't trust the politicians/too many					•					••••									
loopholes/too secretive	3%	6%	2%		3%	3%		2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%		4%	6%	3%	2%	4%
Canadian companies moving south of the					•				•										
border	14%	20%	14%	8%	17%	11%	11%	13%	16%	14%	14%	15%	14%	12%	17%	14%	14%	11%	16%
Environmental issues more lax in Mexico	3%	2%		7%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	1%	3%	4%
Investors will invest in Mexico, instead																			
of Canada	1%	1%			1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Affect cost of living	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%		12
Misnomer: not free trade	1%	2%			1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%
Mexico doesn't have much to offer	3%	2%	8%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%
Canada should look after their own first	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	

(continued)

17. Why do you oppose the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	2
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Large Corporations will be the only ones							-											4.00	~
to benefit	1%	5%			0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%			1%	1%	2%		1%	29
Will eventually lose ability to export									.								-		
to diff.countries	0%	1%		1%					0%		0%	1%	1%		0%		0%		0%
U.S.A. rushing Canada into signing																			
(perks for Bush)	1%	1%		2%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	
Will lower our wages	1%		1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	05
Mexico will get extra benefits,it is 3rd																			
world country	0%		1%		•			0%		0%				0%				0%	
We'll import more than we export	0%					1%			0%			1%		0%					
We will lose sovreignty to the U.S.	0%					1%		0%	0%		0%	1%			0%	1%		0%	
Not enough info about NAFTA/ should have																			
had referendum	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	25
Other	0%					1%		0%	0%		0%	1%		0%		1%	0%		02
(DK/NS)	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%		2%	1%	12

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation		1	ncome	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	72 3 725		54 59		278 273	174 175	60 63	324 328	400 397	272 263	254 276	196 185	133 131	225 223				234 236	
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICD WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT																			
Much more	16%	17%	15%	9%	14%	22%	11%	17%	14%	14%	16%	17%	14%	16%	17%	14%	15%	20%	12%
Somewhat more	41%	33%	41%	39%	43%	36%	57%	39%	42%	45%	37%	39%	41%	38%	41%	45%	41%	43%	39% 25%
Not very	20%	20%	28%	24%	18%	22%	14%	17%	22% 20%	21% 20%	20% 25%	20% 22%	20 % 22%	20% 24%	20% 22%	20% 19%	17% 25%	20% 16%	23%
Not at all	22%	26%	16%	24%	24%	20%	17%	24% 3%	20%	20%	25%	22%	2%	24 ~ 2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Dk/Ns	2%	4%		3%	1%	1%		22	176	176	22	32	26	2%	1.6	28	28	24	14
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CAMADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT																			
Much more	15%	14%	16%	10%	14%	20%	19%	16%	15%	18%	14%	14%	17%	15%	15%	16%	16%	19%	12%
Somewhat more	44%	40%	43%	43%	46%	39%	57%	43%	45%	48%	40%	44%	40%	45%	49%	38%	43%	45% 18%	45% 24%
Not very	20%	21%	31%	15%	19%	23%	13%	17%	22% 17%	18% 15%	21% 23%	21% 19%	15% 23%	22% 17%	17% 18%	25% 18%	18% 21%	16%	16%
Not at all	19%	23%	10%	26%	20%	19%	10%	21% 3%	1%	15%	23% 3%	2%	23A 4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%		7%	2%	1%		3%	1.6	1.6	36	24	4/5	1.4	24	2.4	2.6	2.4	24
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY																			
Much more	15%	14%	17%	11%	16%	15%	18%	17%	14%	17%	16%	12%	13%	13%	16%	19%	14%	17%	14%
Somewhat more	40%	51%	48%	43%	36%	34%	46%	39%	40%	46%	38%	35%	33%	39%	41%	46%	37%	39%	44%
Not very	22%	10%	25%	19%	24%	25%	21%	20%	23%	19%	22% 23%	25%	23% 29%	23% 23%	22% 20%	18 % 15%	21% 26%	23% 19%	22%
Not at all	22%	22%	10%	24%	22%	25%	16%	22% 3%	21% 1%	17% 1%	23%	27% 2%	2%	234	- 20%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%		3%	2%	1%		3%	1.2	1%	2.6	2%	2%	2.6	1.6	2.4	2.4	1.4	24
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA																			
Much more	9%	11%	8%	2%	8%	9%	18%	10%	8%	11%	8%	8%	7%	10%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%
Somewhat more	40%	39%	36%	39%	42%	37%	46%	41%	40%	41%	40%	37%	43%	36%	42%	41%	38%	40%	43%
Not very	26%	23%	31%	29%	26%	28%	14%	24%	27%	27%	25%	24%	22%	30%	24%	24%	25%	26%	26%
Not at all	21%	21%	17%	19%	22%	22%	21%	22%	20%	18%	22%	25%	24%	21%	20%	21%	24%	20%	18%
Dk/Ns	5%	5%	8%	13%	3%	5%	2%	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	72 3 725	89 88	54 59	68 67	278 273	174 175	60 63	324 328	400 397	272 263	254 276	196 185	133 131	225 223	218 220	147 151	236 234	234 236	
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES																			
Much more	9%	12%	16%	5%	7%	11%	11%	11%	8%	9%	9%	12%	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	14%	7%
Somewhat more	44%	39%	34%	38%	47%	44%	53%	43%	44%	46%	44%	42%	35%	47%	46%	44%	42%	42%	48%
Not very	23%	25%	30%	24%	22%	23%	18%	20%	26%	27%	20%	22%	28%	21%	23%	22%	23%	24%	23%
Not at all	20%	23%	13%	21%	22%	17%	16%	23%	17%	16%	22%	21%	25%	16%	20%	19%	21%	16%	20%
Dk/Ns	4%	1%	7%	12%	2%	6%	2%	3%	5%	2%	6%	3%	2%	6%	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA																			
Much more	25%	22%	26%	18%	25%	25%	41%	25%	25%	25%	24%	27%	24%	23%	29%	23%	24%	33%	20%
Somewhat more	37%	31%	31%	29%	42%	35%	40%	39%	35%	40%	38%	32%	32%	42%	34%	38%	35%	33%	43%
Not very	17%	19%	28%	18%	12%	22%	9%	16%	17%	16%	16%	19%	21%	15%	17%	16%	16%	15%	20%
Not at all	19%	23%	14%	30%	19%	15%	10%	18%	19%	18%	19%	18%	20%	17%	19%	20%	21%	17%	15%
Dk/Ns	2%	5%		6%	1%	3%		2%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS																			
Much more	19%	24%	19%	13%	18%	21%	16%	18%	19%	24%	19%	11%	10%	17%	24%	21%	11%	26%	23%
Somewhat more	41%	36%	48%	46%	44%	33%	49%	40%	42%	44%	37%	43%	40%	44%	42%	38%	44%	36%	44%
Not very	20%	18%	27%	12%	15%	29%	17%	20%	19%	16%	23%	20%	21%	21%	15%	23%	20%	22%	17%
Not at all	17%	17%	4%	22%	20%	15%	16%	18%	17%	15%	18%	19%	24%	14%	16%	16%	21%	13%	15%
Dk/Ns	3%	5%	1%	7%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	3%	6%	5%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	1%

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

.

Summary	Table	(Much	more/	somewhat	more)

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	2
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	56	50	56	48	57	58	68	56	57	59	54	55	55	54	57	59	56	63	5
OMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	59	54	59	52	60	58 [°]	77	59	60	66	53	58	58	59	64	54	59	64	5
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	55	65	65	54	52	49	63	56	54	63	53	47	46	51	58	65	51	56	5
HE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	49	51	44	40	50	46	64	51	47	52	48	45	50	46	51	49	47	49	5
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF The Benefits Gained by Canada in the Canada-U.S. FTA Especially in trade Disputes	53	51	50	43	54	55	64	55	52	55	52	53	44	56	55	55	51	55	ŝ
ANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	62	53	58	47	67	60	81	64	61	65	61	59	56	64	64	62	60	66	é
HE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE Three countries have recognized that They should not lower their env Standards	60	60	67	59	62	54	65	58	62	68	56	55	50	61	67	60	55	62	l

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Incom	•
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	42	46	44	48	42	41	32	42	43	40	45	42	42	44	42	40	42	36	48
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	39	43	41	41	38	41	23	38	39	33	43	40	39	39	35	44	39	34	40
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	43	32	35	43	46	50	37	41	45	36	44	52	52	46	42	33	47	43	39
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	47	45	48	47	48	49	35	46	47	44	47	49	46	50	44	45	48	47	44
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	43	48	43	45	44	40	34	42	43	43	41	43	54	38	42	41	44	40	43
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	36	43	42	48	32	37	19	34	37	34	36	37	41	32	35	36	37	32	35
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	37	35	32	34	35	44	33	38	36	31	40	39	45	36	31	39	41	35	32

.

.

Summary Table (Not very/ not at all)

				Regi	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation		1	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	723 725	89 88	54 59		278 273	174 175	60 63	324 328	400 397			196 185	133 131	225 223	218 220		236 234	234 236	
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT																			
Very believable	6%	5%	1%	5%	6%	7%	3%	6%	5%	8%	3%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%	5%
Somewhat believable	27%	19%	27%	36%	25%	26%	41%	26%	28%	32%	25%	23%	27%	26%	29%	25%	28%	30%	24%
Not very believable	36%	45%	48%	24%	33%	39%	27%	31%	39%	36%	38%	31%	28%	35%	38%	39%	32%	35%	40%
Not believable at all	30%	27%	23%	31%	35%	26%	29%	34%	27%	24%	31%	37%	36%	32%	27%	28%	32%	26%	30%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%		3%	1%	2%		3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT																			
Very believable	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%		3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Somewhat believable	29%	23%	24%	32%	29%	27%	47%	29%	30%	37%	25%	24%	27%	31%	33%	23%	32%	30%	26%
Not very believable	40%	44%	47%	31%	38%	45%	31%	37%	42%	37%	43%	40%	45%	37%	33%	51%	38%	38%	44%
Not be lievable at all Dk/Ns	26% 3%	26% 4%	21% 4%	30% 5%	29% 1%	22% 4%	22%	29% 2%	23% 3%	23% 2%	25% 4%	31% 2%	24% 2%	26% 4%	30% 2%	21% 3%	25% 4%	26% 3%	26% 2%
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY																			
Very believable	14%	15%	3%	12%	18%	11%	16%	14%	13%	12%	17%	12%	11%	12%	18%	15%	11%	16%	13%
Somewhat believable	36%	26%	49%	39%	32%	37%	48%	39%	33%	44%	29%	33%	34%	37%	38%	33%	36%	36%	38%
Not very believable	28%	32%	30%	22%	26%	30%	28%	24%	31%	25%	30%	28%	28%	29%	25%	30%	26%	28%	29%
Not believable at all	21%	25%	18%	25%	23%	19%	8%	20%	21%	17%	21%	24%	26%	21%	17%	20%	24%	19%	20%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%		3%	1%	3%		3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA																			
Very believable	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	6%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%
Somewhat believable	33%	32%	30%	36%	33%	30%	41%	35%	32%	40%	29%	29%	26%	34%	35%	35%	33%	34%	31%
Not very believable	35%	38%	40%	23%	33%	40%	33%	31%	37%	34%	36%	33%	30%	37%	32%	38%	31%	34%	38%
Not believable at all	26%	23%	26%	28%	30%	23%	20%	27%	25%	20%	28%	32%	38%	21%	27%	22%	29%	23%	28%
Dk/Ns	3%	5%	3%	12%	1%	5%		3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	2%

				Regi	ion			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	!
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	72 3 725	89 88	54 59		278 273	174 175	60 63	324 328	400 397	272 26 3		196 185	133 131	225 223	21 8 220	147 151	2 36 2 3 4		
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES																			
Very believable	3%	2%	3%		2%	4%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	_3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	1%	6%	1%
Somewhat believable	36%	35%	38%	33%	35%	35%	43%	38%	34%	43%	32%	30%	26%	40%	37%	36%	38%	36%	33%
Not very believable	36%	38%	36%	30%	37%	36%	35%	30%	41%	37%	35%	36%	35%	33%	38%	40%	33%	37%	40%
Not believable at all Dk/Ns	22% 4%	22% 3%	21% 2%	26% 10%	24% 1%	19% 6%	16% 3%	24% 4%	20% 3%	14% 2%	25% 6%	29% 2%	33% 2%	20% 6%	20% 3%	19% 3%	23% 4%	18% 4%	24% 3%
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA																			
Very believable	7%	4%	6%	1%	6%	12%	9%	9%	5%	8%	9%	4%	6%	7%	9%	6%	7%	7%	8%
Somewhat believable	28%	23%	29%	2 2%	28%	34%	2 9%	33%	25%	35%	22%	29%	32%	32%	27%	23%	32%	30%	23%
Not very believable	33%	39%	25%	28%	34%	31%	33%	26%	38%	32%	3 2%	34%	30%	31%	32%	39%	31%	32%	38%
Not believable at all	30%	32%	40%	45%	31%	20%	28%	30%	31%	25%	34%	32%	32%	28%	31%	30%	28%	30%	31%
Dk/Ns	2%	2%		5%	1%	3%		2%	1%	1%	3%	1%		3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS																			
Very believable	5%	3%	8%	5%	4%	9%	5%	7%	4%	8%	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	6%	6%	7%	4%
Somewhat believable	31%	18%	40%	34%	30%	33%	42%	33%	29%	40%	26%	26%	32%	36%	30%	24%	36%	35%	23%
Not very believable	34%	42%	32%	23%	30%	42%	36%	31%	37%	30%	38%	35%	30%	33%	35%	40%	32%	34%	37%
Not believable at all	27%	33%	21%	29%	36%	14%	17%	26%	27%	21%	28%	33%	31%	24%	27%	28%	23%	22%	35%
Dk/Ns	3%	4%		10%	1%	2%		3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%

18b. How believable you find this statement

Summary Table (Very believable/ somewhat believable

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	2
	Total	B.C.	ALÞ	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	33	25	29	41	31	34	44	32	33	39	28	29	34	31	34	31	33	37	2
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	31	26	27	34	31	30	47	31	32	38	27	27	28	33	36	25	33	33	2
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	50	40	52	50	50	49	64	53	47	56	46	45	44	49	55	48	47	5 3	5
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	36	34	31	37	36	33	48	39	34	44	31	31	28	37	38	37	35	39	3
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	38	37	41	33	38	39	46	42	36	46	34	33	31	41	40	39	40	42	3
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	36	27	34	23	34	46	38	42	30	42	31	33	38	38	36	29	39	37	3
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	36	21	48	38	33	41	46	39	34	47	30	30	36	41	36	30	41	42	2

18b. How believable you find this statement

Summary Table (Not very/ not at all)

				Reg	ion			Se	ex 🛛		Age			Educ	ation			Income)
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan I	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	66	72	71	55	68	65	56	65	66	60	69	69	64	67	65	66	64	62	70
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	66	70	69	61	67	66	53	67	65	60	69	71	69	63	62	72	63	64	70
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD The Mexican Economy	48	56	48	46	49	49	36	44	52	43	51	52	54	49	43	50	50	46	49
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	61	61	66	51	63	62	52	58	63	55	64	65	68	59	59	60	60	57	66
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	58	60	57	57	61	56	51	55	61	51	59	66	67	53	57	58	56	54	64
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	63	71	66	72	65	51	62	55	69	57	66	66	62	59	63	69	59	61	69
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	61	74	52	52	65	57	54	57	64	52	66	68	61	57	61	68	55	56	7

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414	498	367	434	461	
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	
Benefitted Canada	41%	42%	49%	34%	37%	46%	42%	47%	35%	43%	38%	42%	27%	34%	46%	51%	33%	40%	47%
Hurt Canada	38%	40%	31%	47%	44%	31%	36%	35%	41%	38%	43%	33%	41%	41%	37%	36%	37%	42%	37%
No impact	11%	10%	11%	11%	10%	14%	12%	11%	12%	12%	9%	13%	16%	14%	9%	8%	14%	11%	10%
Dk/Ns	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	10%	11%	7%	12%	7%	10%	12%	16%	10%	8%	6%	16%	6%	6%

19a. What about the long-term effects of the NAFTA? Do you think that in the long run the NAFTA will benefit Canada?

19b. And what about the long term effects of the NAFTA on your province?

				Regi	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	1
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391		733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215						
Benefit province Hurt province No impact Dk/Ns	35% 41% 15% 9%	33% 42% 15% 11%	46% 30% 17% 7%	37% 39% 20% 4%	33% 51% 8% 8%	39% 35% 15% 11%	24% 31% 37% 8%	44% 36% 14% 5%	27% 46% 15% 12%	37% 41% 15% 7%	33% 45% 14% 8%	36% 37% 15% 13%	27% 41% 16% 15%	29% 46% 16% 9%	38% 40% 14% 8%	43% 38% 13% 6%	31% 39% 15% 15%	35% 45% 15% 5%	40% 39% 14% 6%

						PROV	INCE				
	Total	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchew an	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	59 61	63 60	551 541	387 391	41 43	50 52	32 34	8 8
Benefit province Hurt province No impact Dk/Ns	35% 41% 15% 9%	33% 42% 15% 11%	46% 30% 17% 7%	41% 37% 18% 4%	34% 40% 23% 3%	33% 51% 8% 8%	39% 35% 15% 11%	25% 31% 42% 2%	17% 36% 33% 14%	32X 26X 36X 6X	38% 25% 37%

.

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Benefit Province	530		60		183	152	32	325	205	210	171	147	59	121	191	157	134	159	
Unweighted Base	528	56	63	42	179	154	34	326	202	206	183	137	56	120	191	159	130	158	21
My province is not industrial, more																			
agri will benefit	1%		5%	2%		1%		0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%		1%	1%	2%	0%
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	10%	12%	25%	6%	10%	5%	9%	11%	9%	8%	9%	13%	12%	10%	11%	7%	7%	13%	11%
Will create jobs	12%	6%	2%	9%	15%	14%	17%	11%	13%	13%	11%	11%	13%	17%	12%	7%	12%	14%	10%
My province will trade more with U.S.	1670	0/10	2.10		1270	1470		11.0	12/10	13.0	11/2								
and/ or Mexico	12%	8%	7%	8%	20%	8%	6%	12%	11%	13%	14%	7%	8%	13%	11%	14%	13%	6%	16%
More trade	8%	15%	8%	4%	7%	8%	6%	7%	10%	5%	12%	7%	6%	7%	7%	10%	9%	8%	7%
Increased investment in province	2%	2%	0.4	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%
•	2% 7%	2% 7%	1%	10%	10%	4%	3%		6%	6%	6%	8%	10%	7%	7%	5%	6%	9%	4%
New businesses in province	1.6	16	1.6	10%	10%	4.6	2%	1.6	0%	0.6	0%	0%	10%	1.2	1.2	74	0%	7.	4.5
Province has to have freer trade/	-	-		8%	FW		24%	8%	5%	6%	7%	9%	6%	6%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%
inevitable	7%	7%	8%	0%	5%	5%								0A 9%	0љ 9%		10%	10%	10%
Province can compete	10%	4%	7%		11%	16%	3%	11%	8%	7%	9%	16%	15%			11% 19%			
Expanded export market	16%	26%	7%	14%	14%	22%		19%	12%	15%	15%	19%	12%	16%	15%	19%	12%	17%	17%
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with	1%				1%	3%		1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%		0%	2%	1%		1%
European Market	1.4				1.6	36		1.4	1.76	0.6	1.6	1/6	2.6		UA	24	1.4		1.4
Will force provinces's Industry to	4.08			~~	4.00	4.794	102	4.79		4.09		409	70	19		4/9		4.08	1.74
become more competitive	10%	6%	6%	9%	12%	12%	10%	12%	8%	10%	11%	10%	3%	6%	10%	16%	7%	10%	12%
Strengthen economy	8%	7%	8%	4%	7%	10%	8%	8%	8%	10%	8%	4%	5%	11%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%
Attract immigration	1%	2%	1%		1%			0%	1%	1%	1%				1%			1%	0%
Mexico can benefit from our expertise &								_											
technology	7%	10%	13%		4%	9%	5%	7%	7%	6%	9%	6%	5%	5%	6%	11%	5%	8%	8%
Trickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda,																			
it benefits prov	2%	2%	3%		1%	2%	6%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Province is not labour based	0%		2%					0%			1%				1%				0%
Will help primary industries	1%		2%		1%	3%		1%	1%	1%	2%		2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Providing all parties are considered																			
equal	1%			4%	1%			1%	0%	1%					1%			1%	0%
We are very strong in industry	2%				3%	3%		2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%		1%	4%
Will help agriculture	4%		11%	15%	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	4%		4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%
This is a global way to go	1%		2%	3%		2%	9%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%			1%	3%	2%	2%	1%
For our province there is no way to go				-				-										_	
but up	0%						6%	0%	1%		1%		2%		0%		1%		0%
Our geographical position will benefits	•						•.•	•											
U.S.	1%	4%				1%			2%	1%	1%	1%			2%		2%		
Our prov will be independent and this						170			_ 0	0		1.44							
will open trade doors	1%	2%				3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%		3%	1%	1%	1%
We will diversity more	0%	1%	2%			3/6	3%	1%	1.76	1/6	1%	1.4	64	1.04	0%	1%	176	1%	0%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1.6				19		1/6	19		0%	14			1%	14	1%	1%	04
Will improve our standard of living	0% 0%		1% 3%			1%			1% 1%		0%	1%					1.4	1%	
Oil and gas industry will benefit	U%		2%						176	1%	0%				1%			176	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

				Reg	ion			Sex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	2
	Total	B.C.	ALÞ	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec		<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
No Tariffs	1%	2%			1%	1%		2%	1%		1%			1%	1%	2%	1%	
Auto industry will benefit	0%				1%			0%	0%				1%					0%
Will reprice the cost of foreign goods	0%					1%	07			1%					1%	1%		
Lowered market prices	1%					2%	15	: 1%	0%	1%	1%				2%	1%	1%	
If Quebec stays in Canada, we will																		
benefit	0%				1%	1%		1%	1%	1%				1%		1%		0%
No choice/ it is necessary	0%					1%	0%	5		1%			1%				1%	
Creates a great demand for high tech																		
products	0%				1%	1%	15	S 0%	1%	1%				0%	1%		1%	
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	0%				1%		02			1%					1%			07
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	0%		1%				02		0%						1%			07
None	0%					1%		1%	1%					1%		1%		
Other	1%	3%	3%				15	۶ 1%	1%	1%	1%			2%	0%	2%		12
(DK/NS)	4%	4%	1%	11%	3%	6%	3% 32	6 8%	7%	3%	3%	10%	4%	5%	2%	6%	6%	27

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will benefit your province?

				Regi	on			Se	≥X	A	ge
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54
Base: Benefit Province Unweighted Base	530 528	58 56	60 63	45 42	183 179	152 154	32 34	325 326	205 202	210 206	171 183
ly province is not industrial, more											
agri will benefit	1%		5%	2%		1%		0%	1%	1%	1%
xport resources to U.S. and Mexico	10%	12%	25%	6%	10%	5%	9%	11%	9%	8%	9%
/ill create jobs	12%	6%	2%	9%	15%	14%	17%	11%	13%	13%	11%
ly province will trade more with U.S.											
and/ or Mexico	12%	8%	7%	8%	20%	8%	6%	12%	11%	13%	14%
fore trade	8%	15%	8%	4%	7%	8%	6%	7%	10%	5%	12%
Increased investment in province	2%	2%	0.0	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%
New businesses in province	7%	7%	1%	10%	10%	4%	3%	7%	6%	6%	6%
Province has to have freer trade/	178		• 76	10/0	10/0	470	2.0		0.0		
inevitable	7%	7%	8%	8%	5%	5%	24%	8%	5%	6%	7%
Province can compete	10%	4%	7%	0/1	11%	16%	3%	11%	8%	7%	9%
Expanded export market	16%	26%	7%	14%	14%	22%	2.6	19%	12%	15%	15%
Nade bigger trading Bloc to compete with	10%	20%	1.2	144	14/8			17.0	12/4	1274	124
European Market	1%				1%	3%		1%	1%	0%	1%
Aill force provinces's Industry to	1.4				1.4	376			1.4	0.4	
become more competitive	10%	6%	6%	9%	12%	12%	10%	12%	8%	10%	11%
•	8%	7%	8%	4%	7%	10%	8%	8%	8%	10%	8%
Strengthen economy	1%	2%	1%	40	1%	10%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Attract immigration	1.4	2.6	1.6		1.6			0.6	1.6	1.4	1.4
<pre>lexico can benefit from our expertise &</pre>	71	10%	470			9%	5%	7%	7%	6%	9%
technology	7%	10%	13%		4%	9%	24	1.	12	04	94
Irickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda,		25	74			25			25	24	
it benefits prov	2%	2%	3%		1%	2%	6%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Province is not labour based	0%		2%			-		0%			1%
ill help primary industries	1%		2%		1%	3%		1%	1%	1%	2%
Providing all parties are considered											
equal	1%			4%	1%			1%	0%	1%	
We are very strong in industry	2%				3%	3%		2%	2%	1%	3%
Aill help agriculture	4%		11%	15%	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%
This is a global way to go	1%		2%	3%		2%	9%	1%	2%	1%	2%
For our province there is no way to go											
but up	0%						6%	0%	1%		1%
Dur geographical position will benefits											
U.S.	1%	4%				1%			2%	1%	1%
Dur prov will be independent and this						•••					
will open trade doors	1%	2%				3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
We will diversity more	0%	1%	2%					1%			1%
Will improve our standard of living	0%		1%			1%		174	1%		0%

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will benefit your province?

				Regi	on			S	ex	A	lge
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54
Oil and gas industry will benefit	0%		3%						1%	1%	0%
No Tariffs	1%	2%			1%	1%			2%	1%	
Auto industry will benefit	0%				1%				0%	0%	
Will reprice the cost of foreign goods	0%					1%		0%			1%
Lowered market prices	1%					2%		1%	1%	0%	1%
If Quebec stays in Canada, we will											
benefit	0%				1%	1%			1%	1%	1%
No choice/ it is necessary	0%					1%		0%			1%
Creates a great demand for high tech											
products	0%				1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	1%
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	0%				1%			0%			1%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	0%		1%					0%		0%	
None	0%					1%			1%	1%	
Other	1%	3%	3%					1%	1%	1%	1%
(DK/NS)	4%	4%	1%	11%	3%	6%	3%	3%	8%	7%	3%

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will benefit your province?

	Age		Edu	cation			Income			PROVINCE	
-	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchei an
Base: Benefit Province	147	59	121	191	157	134	159	214	58	60	24
Unweighted Base	137	56	120	191	159	130	158	217	56	63	24
My province is not industrial, more											
agri will benefit	1%	3%	2%		1%	1%	2%	0%		5%	3%
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	13%	12%	10%	11%		7%	13%	11%	174		
					7%				12%	25%	7%
Will create jobs	11%	13%	17%	12%	7%	12%	14%	10%	6%	2%	7%
My province will trade more with U.S.	_										
and/ or Mexico	7%	8%	13%	11%	14%	13%	6%	16%	8%	7%	3%
More t rade	7%	6%	7%	7%	10%	9%	8%	7%	15%	8%	7%
Increased investment in province	5%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
New businesses in province	8%	10%	7%	7%	5%	6%	9%	4%	7%	1%	
Province has to have freer trade/		• •								.,.	
inevitable	9%	6%	6%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	7%	8%	14%
Province can compete	16%	15%	9%	9%	11%	10%	10%	10%	4%	7%	14/6
Expanded export market	19%	12%	16%	15%	19%	12%	17%			7%	4/14
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with	176	12/2	10%	126	196	124	17.6	17%	26%	12	16%
,		24									
European Market	1%	2%		0%	2%	1%		1%			
Will force provinces's Industry to											
become more competitive	10%	3%	6%	10%	16%	7%	10%	12%	6%	6%	10%
Strengthen economy	4%	5%	11%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	
Attract immigration				1%			1%	0%	2%	1%	
Mexico can benefit from our expertise &											
technology	6%	5%	5%	6%	11%	5%	8%	8%	10%	13%	
Trickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda.		270	276	0.4	11/0	276	0,4	0.4	104	134	
it benefits prov	2%	2%	2%	74	19	72	4 84	24		74	
Province is not labour based	28	26	28	2% 1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	
		-					• • •	0%		2%	
Will help primary industries		2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%	
Providing all parties are considered											
equal				1%			1%	0%			7%
We are very strong in industry	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%		1%	4%			
Will help agriculture	4%		4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%		11%	17%
This is a global way to go	1%			1%	3%	2%	2%	1%		2%	
For our province there is no way to go					- / •		2 /0			2/0	
but up		2%		0%		1%		0%			
Our geographical position will benefits		2/1		0.16		1/6		0.6			
U.S.	1%			24		~					
	1.6			2%		2%			4 %		
Our prov will be independent and this			•								
will open trade doors	1%	2%	1%		3%	1%	1%	1%	2%		
We will diversity more				0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	2%	
Will improve our standard of living	1%			1%		1%	1%		-	1%	

	Age 55+	Education				Income			PROVINCE		
		Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchew an
Oil and gas industry will benefit				1%			1%			3%	
No Tariffs	1%			1%	1%	2%	1%		2%		
Auto industry will benefit			1%					0%			
Will reprice the cost of foreign goods					1%	1% 1%					
Lowered market prices	1%				2%	1%	1%				
If Quebec stays in Canada, we will											
benefit				1%		1%		0%			
No choice/ it is necessary			1%				1%				
Creates a great demand for high tech											
products				0%	1%		1%	0%			
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages					1%			0%			
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.					1%			0%		1%	
None				1%		1%					
Other	1%			2%	0%	2%		1%	3%	3%	
(DK/NS)	3%	10%	4%	5%	2%	6%	6 %	2%	3% 4%	1%	9%

(continued)

	PROVINCE							
	Mani toba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island	
Base: Benefit Province	21	183	152	10	9	10	3	
Unweighted Base	18	179	154	11	9	11	3	
My province is not industrial, more								
agri will benefit			1%					
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	5%	10%	5%	18%	11%			
Will create jobs	11%	15%	14%	37%	11%	9%		
My province will trade more with U.S.	1170	1276	1470	2170	1176	7.0		
and/ or Mexico	14%	20%	8%	10%		10%		
More trade	176	7%	8%	9%	10%	10/6		
Increased investment in province	11%	3%	0љ 1%	7.0	11%			
New businesses in province	22%	10%	4%		116	~~		
Province has to have freer trade/	22%	10%	4%			9%		
inevitable		5%	5%	10%	174	208		
				10%	43%	28%		
Province can compete	12%	11%	16%		11%			
Expanded export market	12%	14%	22%					
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with			30					
European Market		1%	3%					
Will force provinces's Industry to		4.5%						
become more competitive	7%	12%	12%		24%		37%	
Strengthen economy	8%	7%	10%	8%		9%	33%	
Attract immigration		1%						
Mexico can benefit from our expertise &								
technology		4%	9%			9%	30%	
Trickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda,								
it benefits prov		1%	2%			17%		
Province is not labour based								
Will help primary industries		1%	3%					
Providing all parties are considered								
equal		1%						
We are very strong in industry		3%	3%					
Will help agriculture	12%	1%	1%		11%			
This is a global way to go	6%		2%		22%	9%		
For our province there is no way to go								
but up Our geographical position will benefite						19%		
Our geographical position will benefits								
			1%					
Our prov will be independent and this								
will open trade doors			3%	8%				
We will diversity more								
Will improve our standard of living			1%					

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will benefit your province?

				PROVINCE			
	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island
Oil and gas industry will benefit							
No Tariffs		1%	1%				
Auto industry will benefit		1%					
Will reprice the cost of foreign goods			1%				
Lowered market prices			2%				
If Quebec stays in Canada, we will							
benefit		1%	1%				
No choice/ it is necessary		170	1%				
Creates a great demand for high tech			1.4				
products		1%	1%				
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages		1%	1.6				
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.		1.6					
None			4 92				
-			1%				
Other	434						
(DK/NS)	13%	3%	6%	9%			

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educ	ation		1	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: Hurt Province	617	75	39	48	281	134	41	266	351	233	235	149	90	192	197	138	169	209	208
Unweighted Base	621	74	44	50	276	134	43	269	352	226	253	142	90	190	200	141	169	210	213
hade bigger trading Bloc to compete with																			
European Market	0%			4%				1%		1%				1%				1%	
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of																			
province	24%	12%		20%	39%	11%	16%	28%	21%	23%	23%	28%	16%	21%	27%	29%	16%	27%	27%
Agriculture will be hurt	4%	4%	9%	14%	2%	3%		2%	6%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%	3%
Province will lose/ provincial economy				• • • •	2.0			2/0	0/1	274	470	2/0	276	470	276	274	0/8	3/4	270
will suffer	14%	14%	20%	17%	10%	18%	18%	11%	16%	17%	10%	15%	10%	15%	15%	14%	16%	13%	12%
Province can't compete/ too small	4%	1.474	2%	12%	2%	2%	20%	4%	4%	3%	3%	7%	3%	7%	1%	4%	6%	3%	3%
Will affect health care/ social			2.4			2.4	20%					1.00	54						
programmes	1%			6%	1%			1%	1%	1%	2%			0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%
Lose control of resources (hydro/ oil/																			
water)	5%	10%	30%	5%	2%	3%		6%	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	46%	38%	31%	28%	52%	50%	39%	49%	43%	43%	51%	42%	50%	45%	44%	46%	49%	44%	46%
Export lumber -> deplete our forests F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free	1%	7%				1%		0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%	0%
trade	6%	10%	2%	2%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	7%	4%	4%	9%	6%	5%	5%	9%	5%
Tarifs still apply on softwoods/															•.•		2.0		2.4
limitations	1%	9%				1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
ower our standard of living	3%	2%		5%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2.0	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Bad for lumber industry	1%	10%		2.0			270	0%	2%	0%	1%	3%		1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
More companies moving south	11%	6%	13%	7%	13%	11%	16%	10%	12%	11%	13%	9%	13%	8%	13%	13%	11%	11%	13%
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	2%	1370	1.00	0%	4%	10%	2%	1%	3%	1%	7.	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Cheap imports	4%	3%	2%	6%	3%	9%		3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	8%	3%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%
Bad for the fishing industry	12	1%	2.4	0.6	0%	7.4	5%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	04	3A 1%	3% 1%	-			
Automobile industry will suffer	12	176			1%	1%	76	1%	1%	1%	0.6	2%				1%	1%	1%	0%
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.	1.6				1.6	1.6		1.6	1.6	14		26		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
bigger companies	04				~~	4.94					~~								
Province will lose federal government	0%				0%	1%		1%			0%	1%			0%	1%		0%	0%
															- • •				
subsidies	1%						11%	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%	0%	1%		0%	2%
Bad for environment - no restrictions																			
down there	2%	1%	2%		3%	2%		3%	1%	2%	·2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%		3%	3%
Production costs are easier and cheaper																			
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%				1%	4%		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	3%	1%	2%		2%
Bad for textile industry	1%					7%		1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
More immigration	0%	2%						0%		0%				1%			1%		
Mexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil																			
patch	0%		2%						0%		0%					1%			0%
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will																			- / •
devastate it financially	1%					3%		1%	0%	1%	•	1%	2%	0%	0%		2%		

. .

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

				Reg	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec		<30k	30k- 50k	50k
Problem of unity between the province	0%				0%				0%	0%						1%	1%		
Fear of foreign investment	0%				0%				0%	0%						1%	1%		
Threat to our culture	0%					1%		0%	0%		1%				1%	1%		0%	0
No faith in politicians/ Government	0%	1%							0%			1%				1%			1
lot enough information to form opinion	1%	2%					4%		1%	1%				0%		2%	1%	0%	
ther	0%		2%						0%		0%			•	0%			0%	
(DK/NS)	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	7%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2

.

				Regi	n			S	ex	A	ge
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54
Base: Hurt Province	617	75	39	48	281	134	41	266	351	233	235
Unweighted Base	621	74	44	50	276	134	43	269	352	226	253
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with											
European Market	0%			4%				1%		1%	
anufacturing will be hurt/ more out of											
province	24%	12%		20%	39%	11%	16%	28%	21%	23%	23%
griculture will be hurt	4%	4%	9%	14%	2%	3%		2%	6%	5%	4%
Province will lose/ provincial economy											
will suffer	14%	14%	20%	17%	10%	18%	18%	11%	16%	17%	10%
Province can't compete/ too small	4%		2%	12%	2%	2%	20%	4%	4%	3%	3%
ill affect health care/ social											
programmes	1%			6%	1%			1%	1%	1%	2%
ose control of resources (hydro/ oil/											
water)	5%	10%	30%	5%	2%	3%		6%	4%	6%	5%
.ose jobs - low Mexican wages	46%	38%	31%	28%	52%	50%	39%	49%	43%	43%	51%
xport lumber -> deplete our forests	1%	7%	2			1%		0%	1%	1%	1%
T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free											
trade	6%	10%	2%	2%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	7%
arifs still apply on softwoods/	0.0	10.0	2.0	2.0							
limitations	1%	9%				1%		1%	1%	1%	1%
ower our standard of living	3%	2%		5%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%
ad for lumber Industry	1%	10%		210				0%	2%	0%	1%
fore companies moving south	112	6%	13%	7%	13%	11%	16%	10%	12%	11%	13%
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	2%	12/2		0%	4%		2%	1%	3%	1%
Cheap imports	4%	3%	2%	6%	3%	9%		3%	5%	4%	3%
ad for the fishing industry	1%	1%	2.8	0.4	0%	/~	5%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Automobile industry will suffer	1%	1.2			1%	1%	276	1%	12	4%	
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.	1.2				176	1.4		176	1.4		
bigger companies	0%				0%	1%		1%			0%
Province will lose federal government	0.2				0.4	1/2					•
subsidies	1%						11%	1%	1%	. 1%	1%
	1.6						11/2	1.4	1.2	176	174
lad for environment - no restrictions	74	1%	2%		3%	2%		3%	1%	2%	2%
down there	2%	1.6	25		34	2.7		74	1.4	2.7	2.6
Production costs are easier and cheaper	1%				1%	4%		1%	1%	1%	2%
in U.S.A. and Mexico					1.6	4^ 7%		1%	2%	1%	2%
ad for textile industry	1%	24				1.6		0%	2.6	0%	26
More immigration	0%	2%						0.6		U.S.	
lexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil			-						07		0%
patch	0%		2%						0%		07

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will hurt your province?

.

				Regi	on			S	ex	A	lge
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will											
devastate it financially	1%					3%		1%	0%	1%	
Problem of unity between the province	0%				0%				0%	0%	
Fear of foreign investment	0%				0%				0%	0%	
Threat to our culture	0%					1%		0%	0%		1%
lo faith in politicians/ Government	0%	1%							0%		
lot enough information to form opinion	1%	2%					4%		1%	1%	
Other	0%		2%						0%		0%
(DK/NS)	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will hurt your province?

	Age		Edu	cation			Income			PROVINCE	
-	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchei an
Base: Hurt Province	149	90	192	197	138	169	209	208	75	39	22
Unweighted Base	142	90	190	200	141	169	210	212	74	44	22
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with			1%				1%				8%
European Market			1.6				176				0.6
<pre>fanufacturing will be hurt/ more out of province</pre>	28%	16%	21%	27%	29%	16%	27%	27%	12%		25%
Agriculture will be hurt	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%	3%	4%	9%	28%
province will lose/ provincial economy	2%	26	4.6	2%	26	0%	2%	36	4.5	76	204
	15%	10%	15%	15%	14%	16%	13%	12%	14%	20%	18%
Will suffer	7%	3%	7%	1%	4%	6%	3%	3%	145	20%	5%
Province can't compete/ too small	1.6	36	16	1.6	46	0%	3%	36		2.6	74
Aill affect health care/ social			0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%			
programmes			0%	26	14	16	0%	24			
ose control of resources (hydro/ oil/	3%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	10%	30%	5%
water)			45%	44%	46%	49%	57 44%	46%	38%	31%	16%
ose jobs - low Mexican wages	42%	50%			40%	49%		40% 0%	30% 7%	21%	104
xport lumber -> deplete our forests	1%	1%	2%	1%		1.6	1%	0.6	1.6		
T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free	/*	14	~	19		54	~	FY	108	24	/~
trade	4%	4%	9%	6%	5%	5%	9%	5%	10%	2%	4%
Tarifs still apply on softwoods/	24	201		24			24		~		
limitations	2%	2%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	9%		
ower our standard of living	1%		4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%		
ad for lumber Industry	3%		1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	10%		
lore companies moving south	9%	13%	8%	13%	13%	11%	11%	13%	6%	13%	3%
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer		3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%		
Cheap imports	6%	8%	3%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	3%	2%	8%
Bad for the fishing industry	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		
Automobile industry will suffer	2%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%			
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.											
bigger companies	1%			0%	1%		0%	0%			
Province will lose federal government											
subsidies			1%	0%	1%		0%	2%			
Bad for environment - no restrictions											
down there	1%	1% `	2%	2%	4%		3%	3%	1%	2%	
Production costs are easier and cheaper											
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%		1%	3%	1%	2%		2%			
Bad for textile industry	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%			
Nore immigration			1%			1%			2%		
Mexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil											
patch					1%			0%		2%	

(continued)

	Age		Edu	cation			Income			PROVINCE	
	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatche an
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will										*****	<u> </u>
devastate it financially	1%	2%	0%	0%		2%					
Problem of unity between the province					1%	1%					
Fear of foreign investment					1%	1%					
Threat to our culture				1%	1%		0%	0%			
No faith in politicians/ Government	1%				1%			1%	1%		
Not enough information to form opinion			0%		2%	1%	0%		2%		
Other				0%			0%			2%	
(DK/NS)	2%	7%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	7%

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will hurt your province?

•

				PROVINCE			
	Manî toba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island
Base: Hurt Province	25	281	134	13	18	8	2
Unweighted Base	28	276	134	13	19	9	2
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with European Market				•			
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of							
province	16%	39%	11%	29%	11%	11%	
Agriculture will be hurt	3%	2%	3%				
Province will lose/ provincial economy							
will suffer	16%	10%	18%	22%	21%		48%
Province can't compete/ too small	18%	2%	2%	25%	6%	37%	52%
Will affect health care/ social					0/0	3170	254
programmes	12%	1%					
Lose control of resources (hydro/ oil/	12/0						
water)	6%	2%	3%				
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	38%	52%	50%	47%	41%	21%	52%
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	504	224	1%	77.0	41.6	218	724
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free			1.6				
trade		7%	6%		5%	- 1 M	
Tarifs still apply on softwoods/		1.6	0%		24	21%	
limitations			19				
Lower our standard of living	9%	4%	1%			4.4.04	
Bad for lumber Industry	76	4%	1%			11%	
More companies moving south	11%	478			-		
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	114	13%	11%		37%		
Cheap imports		0%	4%				
	5%	3%	9%				
Bad for the fishing industry		0%			10%		
Automobile industry will suffer		1%	1%				
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.							
bigger companies		0%	1%				
Province will lose federal government subsidies					26%		
Bad for environment - no restrictions							
down there		3%	2%				
Production costs are easier and cheaper			2.00				
in U.S.A. and Mexico		1%	4%				
Bad for textile industry 👳			7%				
More immigration							
Mexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil patch							

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

				PROVINCE			
	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will devastate it financially			3%				
Problem of unity between the province		0% 0%					
Fear of foreign investment Threat to our culture		0%	1%				
No faith in politicians/ Government							
Not enough information to form opinion						21%	
Other							
(DK/NS)		1%	4%		5%		

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

				Regi	on			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: No impact Unweighted Base	220 223		22 23		42 41	58 60	48 50	104 109	115		74 80	59 57	36 37					70 72	
•	223			24			50	107			00	5,	51		, ,	20			
For our province there is no way to go but up	0%		5%						1%	1%				2%			2%		
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of	0.6		74						1.6	1.6				24			24		
province	0%				2%				1%		1%				1%			1%	
Province will lose/ provincial economy																			
will suffer	0%			4%					1%	1%				2%			2%		
Province can't compete/ too small	2%					2%	6%		3%	3%		2%		3%	3%		3%	3%	
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	1%	5%							1%	2%					2%		2%		
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free								•••											
trade	1%					2%	2%	1%	1%		1%	2%		2%		2%	2%		1%
Bad for lumber Industry	1%	5%			-				1%	2%					2%		2%		
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	0%	4/9/		279	2%		4.74	7/9	1%	7/2	1%	2.0%	7.00	700	1%	200	700	1%	
Won't make any difference to province Not many industries/ manufacturing more	30% 15%	16% 11%	37% 18%	27% 28%	39% 2%	45% 2%	12% 35%	36% 14%	25% 15%	34% 12%	29% 16%	28% 17%	30% 9%	32% 12%	31% 18%	28%	30% 10%	28%	33%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	7%	16%	13%	20%	2% 5%	3%	- 55% - 6%	8%	6%	3%	10%	9%	9% 6%	5%	8%	18% 10%	5%	13% 8%	19%
F.T.A. had no impact	4%	10.4	3%	0.	7%	4%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	2%	7%	5%	4%	10.4	5% 7%	4%	8% 1%
Small labour force here	1%	5%	72		1.70	40	4%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2~	1.2	3%	1%		3%	2%	1.4
We export raw products	2%	13%					2%	3%	1%	3%	1.7	4%		2%	3%	2%	74	3%	1%
We are a self-supporting province	9%	17%	8%	9%	12%	9%	2%	8%	10%	13%	8%	5%	10%	6%	10%	10%	10%	8%	11%
Grain prices already low	0x	11.2	UA	3%	124	7.4	27	1%	10.4	1376	1%	2.4	2%	0.4	10.4	10.4	1%	0.	114
Already trading with a lot of countries	4%	23%		7%	5%			4%	4%	2%	5%	8%	2%	2%	5%	10%	4%	3%	6%
My province is too small	4%				2.0	5%	11%	3%	5%	2%	3%	7%	6%	3%	4%	2%	8%	1%	3%
Most of our Industries already lost to									2.0				•	0.0		2.0	0.0		5.0
F.T.A.	4%				12%	1%	8%	3%	5%	3%	3%	9%	8%	7%	3%		8%	4%	3%
Our economy is so bad now that it can't					•=														•
get worse	4%			5%	3%	2%	14%	7%	2%	3%	5%	5%	2%	3%	4%	8%	3%	6%	5%
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is																			
scaring away bus inv	1%					3%		1%	1%		3%			1%		2%		3%	
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc	1%					2%			1%	1%					2%				
Our trade relations with U.S.A. will not	t																		
get any better	3%			4%		9%		2%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%		4%	4%	3%	1%	3%
Our government is busy with independt																			
issues	1%					5%		2%	1%	1%	3%		3%		2%			3%	
Everything will be divided equally among		• •				-													
3 parties	2%	4%			5%	3%		4%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%		2%	4%	2%		5%
They don't have enough money to trade						,													
with us	1%		4%			2%			2%		1%	2%	3%		1%		1%	1%	
Social programs will stay as they are	0%					2%			1%		1%			1%					. 1%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

				Reg	ion			S	eX		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Because quebec will separate and won't be a party to it	0%						2%	1%				2%				2%		и	17
Not enough information to form opinion (DK/NS)	3% 8%	3%	17%	13%	5% 5%	2% 11%	6% 2%	7%	6% 9%	6% 10%	3% 6%	6%	6% 13%	3% 12%	1% 5%	4% 2%	3% 8%	3% 11%	37 57

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

				Regio	n			S	ex	A	ge
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-5
Base: No impact	220	26	22	25	42	58	48	104	115	86	7
Unweighted Base	223	25	23	24	41	60	50	109	114	86	8
For our province there is no way to go											
but up	0%		5%						1%	1%	
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of											
province	0%				2%				1%		1%
Province will lose/ provincial economy											
will suffer	0%			4%					1%	1%	
Province can't compete/ too small	2%					2%	6%		3%	3%	
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	1%	5%							1%	2%	
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free											
trade	1%					2%	2%	1%	1%		12
Bad for lumber Industry	1%	5%							1%	2%	
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	0%				2%				1%		17
Won't make any difference to province	30%	16%	37%	27%	39%	45%	12%	36%	25%	34%	29%
Not many industries/ manufacturing more	15%	11%	18%	28%	2%	2%	35%	14%	15%	12%	167
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	7%	16%	13%	8%	5%	3%	6%	8%	6%	3%	107
F.T.A. had no impact	4%		3%		7%	4%	6%	5%	3%	5%	57
Small labour force here	1%	5%					4%	1%	2%	3%	17
We export raw products	2%	13%					2%	3%	1%	3%	
We are a self-supporting province	9%	17%	8%	9%	12%	9%	2%	8%	10%	13%	87
Grain prices already low	0%			3%				1%			17
Already trading with a lot of countries	4%	23%		7%	5%			4%	4%	2%	57
My province is too small	4%					5%	11%	3%	5%	2%	32
Most of our Industries already lost to											
F.T.A.	4%				12%	1%	8%	3%	5%	3%	37
Our economy is so bad now that it can't											
get worse	4%			5%	3%	2%	14%	7%	2%	3%	57
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is											
scaring away bus.inv	1%					3%		1%	1%		37
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc	1%					2%			1%	1%	
Our trade relations with U.S.A. will not											
get any better	3%			4%		9%		2%	3%	1%	5%
Our government is busy with independt	-										
issues	1%					5%		2%	1%	1%	3%
Everything will be divided equally among	-										
3 parties	2%	4%			5%	3%		4%	1%	1%	42
They don't have enough money to trade											
with us	1%		4%			2%			2%		17
Social programs will stay as they are	0%					2%			1%		12

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

				Regi	on			S	eX	ļ	\ge
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54
Because quebec will separate and won't be a party to it	0%					******	2%	1%			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Not enough information to form opinion	3%	3%			5%	2%	6%		6%	6%	3%
(DK/NS)	8%		17%	13%	5%	11%	2%	7%	9%	10%	6%

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

	Age		Edu	cation			Income			PROVINCE	
-	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchew an
Base: No impact	59	36	65	71	48	64	70	74	26	22	11
Unweighted Base	57	37	64	72	50	64	72	75	25	23	12
For our province there is no way to go											
but up			2%			2%				5%	
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of province				1%			1%				
Province will lose/ provincial economy											
will suffer			2%			2%					
Province can't compete/ too small	2%		3%	3%		3%	3%				
Export lumber -> deplete our forests				2%		2%			5%		
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free											
trade	2%		2%		2%	2%		1%			
Bad for lumber Industry				2%		2%			5%		
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	_			1%			1%				
Won't make any difference to province	28%	30%	32%	31%	28%	30%	28%	33%	16%	37%	24%
Not many industries/ manufacturing more	17%	9%	12%	18%	18%	10%	13%	19%	11%	18%	29%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	9%	6%	5%	8%	10%	5%	8%	8%	16%	13%	18%
F.T.A. had no impact	2%	7%	5%	4%		7%	4%	1%		3%	
Small labour force here			3%	1%		3%	2%		5%		
We export raw products	4%		2%	3%	2%		3%	1%	13%		
We are a self-supporting province	5%	10%	6%	10%	10%	10%	8%	11%	17%	8%	
Grain prices already low		2%				1%					7%
Already trading with a lot of countries	8%	2%	2%	5%	10%	4%	3%	6%	23%		17%
My province is too small	7%	6%	3%	4%	2%	8%	1%	3%			
Most of our Industries already lost to	~			-		-		-			
F.T.A.	9%	8%	7%	3%		8%	4%	3%			
Our economy is so bad now that it can't		24		(N		-					
get worse	5%	2%	3%	4%	8%	3%	6 %	5%			
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is			4 94		24		70				
scaring away bus.inv			1%	24	2%		3%				
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc Our trade relations with U.S.A. will not				2%							
get any better	24	3%			1.84	74	4.64	-			
Our government is busy with independt	2%	3%		4%	4%	3%	1%	3%			9%
issues		3%		24			74				
Everything will be divided equally among		36		2%			3%				
3 parties	2%	3%		24		24		EW	/ •		
They don't have enough money to trade	2.4	34		2%	4%	2%		5%	4%		
with us	2%	3%		1%		1%	1%				
Social programs will stay as they are	6.4	74	1%	1.6		14	1.6	1%		4%	

(continued)

	Age		Edu	cation			Income			PROVINCE	
	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchew an
Because quebec will separate and won't											
be a party to it	2%				2%			1%			
Not enough information to form opinion		6%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%		
(DK/NS)	6%	13%	12%	5%	2%	8%	11%	5%		17%	17%

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

				PROVINCE			
	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edwarc Islanc
Base: No impact Unweighted Base	14 12	42 41	58 60	17 18	16 17	11 12	3 3
For our province there is no way to go but up							
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of province		2%					
Province will lose/ provincial economy will suffer	8%						
Province can't compete/ too small Export lumber -> deplete our forests F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free			2%		17%		
trade			2%		5%		
Bad for lumber Industry							
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	7.08	2%		224		~	740
Non't make any difference to province	30%	39%	45%	22%	-	9%	31%
Not many industries/ manufacturing more	27%	2%	2%	32%	37%	26%	6 9%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.		5%	3%	5%	7%	8%	
F.T.A. had no impact		7%	4%	5%	5%	8%	
Small labour force here				194	11%		
We export raw products	458	4.0%	~	6%			
We are a self-supporting province Grain prices already low	15%	12%	9%	6%			
Already trading with a lot of countries		5%					
My province is too small Most of our Industries already lost to			5%	6%	19%		35%
F.T.A. Our economy is so bad now that it can't		12%	1%	5%	18%		
get worse Uncertainty about Canadian unity is	9%	3%	2%		6%	49%	
scaring away bus.inv			3%				
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc			2%				
Dur trade relations with U.S.A. will not get any better			-/*				
Our government is busy with independt							
issues Everything will be divided equally among			5%				
3 parties They don't have enough money to trade		5%	3%				
with us			2%				
Social programs will stay as they are			2%				

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

				PROVINCE			
	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island
Because quebec will separate and won't be a party to it				6%			
Not enough information to form opinion (DK/NS)	11%	5% 5%	2% 11%	6% 6%	11%		

20. Regardless of how you feel about the actual free trade agreement between Canada, Mexico and the U.S., do you support or oppose the idea of freer trade between Canda, Mexico and the U.S.?

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502				
Support Oppose Dk/Ns	67% 28% 5%	71% 24% 5%	72% 23% 5%	60% 34% 7%	69% 28% 4%	63% 32% 5%	68X 28X 4X	72% 25% 3%	62% 31% 6%	65% 30% 5%	68% 27% 4%	67% 27% 6%	48% 43% 9%	60% 35% 6%	70% 26% 4%	81% 16% 2%	59% 36% 6%	68% 28% 4%	75% 22% 4%

21. Would you say that, in the long-term, more jobs will be created or would you say that more jobs would be lost as a result of the free trade agreement between Canada, Mexico and the U.S.?

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428	461 463	
A lot more jobs created A few more jobs created A few more jobs lost A lot more jobs lost Dk/Ns	9% 26% 23% 33% 8%	12% 23% 23% 29% 13%	16% 30% 22% 28% 5%	10% 23% 21% 38% 8%	9% 24% 18% 42% 6%	6% 29% 31% 23% 10%	9% 29% 20% 35% 7%	12% 30% 21% 30% 7%	6% 22% 24% 37% 10%	8% 31% 28% 27% 7%	9% 24% 19% 40% 8%	12% 21% 21% 35% 11%	7% 17% 22% 43% 11%	8% 23% 21% 41% 6%	10% 29% 24% 29% 8%	11% 31% 24% 26% 9%	6% 23% 22% 39% 10%	8% 26% 26% 34% 6%	12% 31% 21% 29% 8%

.

22. Some people said that Canadian business cannot compete with Mexican business in a free trade agreement because wages, environmental and safety standards are much lower in Mexico. Others have said that Canadian businesses can compete with Mexican businesses because Canadian workers are better trained and Canada has better facilities like roads, airports and communications. Which viewpoint would be closer to your own?

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373			
Cannot compete Can compete Dk/Ns	49% 47% 5%	45% 49% 6%	48% 46% 6%	53% 43% 4%	51% 44% 4%	46% 49% 5%	46% 50% 4%	44% 51% 4%	53% 42% 5%	50% 48% 3%	53% 43% 4%	42% 49% 9%	49% 43% 8%	54% 43% 4%	49% 48% 4%	43% 51% 6%	47 % 46% 7%	53% 44% 3%	46% 49% 5%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 89

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

Mean Summary

				Regi	ion			Se	×		Age			Educa	ation			Income	/
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760		521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428		
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES	5.5	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.3	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.5	5.5
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS	5.0	4.6	4.8	4.9	5.1	5.0	5.2	5.1	4.9	4.8	5.0	5.1	4.8	4.9	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8	5.1
A FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T Make Much Difference because we don't Have Much trade with them Now	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.9	4.2	3.6	3.5	3.1	3.9	3.4	3.2
EVEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.1	4.8	4_4	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.1	4.3	4.7
I SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7
FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY Too much to the Americans and Mexicans in the NAFTA	4.8	4.6	4.5	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.6	5.0	4.7	4.9	4.9	5.4	5.1	4.8	4.2	5.2	4.8	4.4
I THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN CANADA	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5	3_4	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.5

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

TopBox Summary (Rated 6 7)

				Regi	ion			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391		7 33 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428		
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES	57	61	60	60	58	53	59	59	55	53	58	62	62	58	55	57	59	56	56
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS	46	39	42	47	50	44	46	52	40	41	47	51	45	44	49	44	44	42	50
A FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T Make Much Difference because we don't Have Much trade with them Now	17	19	15	15	16	16	21	18	15	12	17	23	28	15	16	11	22	15	13
EVEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY	32	32	32	31	27	40	33	40	25	26	35	37	29	27	33	40	28	31	38
I SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD	33	27	33	32	31	37	38	36	31	31	34	36	28	31	34	37	33	29	37
FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS AND MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA	36	30	31	43	37	34	38	33	38	32	37	39	47	41	36	23	45	35	29
I THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN CANADA	11	9	6	11	11	15	14	13	10	9	13	14	14	11	11	11	11	12	11

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	l.
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	73 3 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES																			
Completely disagree(1)	2%	2%		2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	17
(2)	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
(3)	4%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%
(4)	11%	9%	14%	12%	9%	12%	9%	12%	10%	10%	11%	11%	8%	8%	11%	14%	8%	11%	127
(5)	22%	19%	20%	20%	23%	20%	24%	19%	24%	26%	19%	18%	17%	22%	23%	21%	18%	24%	23%
(6)	25%	27%	28%	22%	26%	25%	21%	24%	27%	27%	25%	23%	20%	24%	27%	27%	22%	27%	279
Completely agree(7)	32%	34%	32%	38%	32%	28%	38%	36%	29%	26%	33%	38%	42%	34%	28%	30%	37%	30%	297
Dk/Ns	1%	3%	2%		1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	07
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS																			
Completely disagree(1)	7%	12%	8%	8%	8%	5%	8%	8%	7%	7%	7%	9%	12%	9%	5%	6%	10%	7%	67
	6%	9%	6%	9%	6%	6%	1%	6%	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	6%	5%	8%	4%	8%	63
(3)	9%	10%	10%	10%	8%	9%	5%	8%	9%	10%	9%	6%	7%	8%	9%	9%	9%	10%	6
(4)	11%	9%	13%	10%	11%	12%	14%	9%	13%	14%	11%	8%	11%	12%	11%	11%	10%	12%	122
(5)	18%	21%	19%	15%	15%	21%	22%	16%	21%	19%	19%	17%	16%	19%	17%	21%	18%	18%	182
(6)	18%	20%	17%	16%	19%	18%	16%	21%	15%	16%	18%	20%	15%	16%	22%	17%	15%	15%	23%
Completely agree(7)	28%	20%	25%	31%	31%	26%	30%	31%	25%	25%	28%	32%	30%	28%	27%	27%	29%	27%	277
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	12

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

				Regi	on			Se	ex.		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Građ	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554		407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502	367 373	434 428		
A FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T MAKE MUCH DIFFERENCE BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE MUCH TRADE WITH THEM NOW																			
Completely disagree(1)	15%	12%	10%	20%	18%	15%	13%	16%	15%	15%	18%	12%	12%	18%	15%	15%	15%	16%	15%
	19%	19%	26%	17%	21%	16%	13%	21%	17%	22%	19%	15%	10%	14%	20%	28%	11%	18%	26%
	18%	13%	18%	18%	20%	19%	18%	18%	19%	20%	17%	17%	14%	18%	18%	23%	17%	22%	19%
(4)	15%	21%	12%	14%	13%	17%	12%	13%	16%	15%	15%	14%	15%	14%	17%	11%	14%	15%	15%
(5)	13%	14%	13%	14%	10%	15%	19%	13%	14%	14%	12%	14%	17%	18%	11%	9%	18%	13%	10%
(6)	8%	9%	9%	7%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	8%	6%	11%	10%	7%	10%	6%	10%	8%	6%
Completely agree(7)	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	8%	13%	9%	8%	4%	10%	12%	18%	8%	7%	5%	12%	7%	7%
Dk/Ns	3%	1%	5%	1%	2%	3%	4%	1%	4%	1%	2%	5%	4%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%
EVEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY																			
Completely disagree(1)	10%	8%	- 7%	9%	14%	8%	11%	10%	11%	7%	12%	12%	18%	14%	9%	4%	15%	10%	7%
(2)	8%	9%	5%	11%	10%	6%	7%	7%	10%	11%	8%	6%	7%	8%	8%	11%	9%	8%	8%
(3)	13%	13%	16%	10%	15%	9%	15%	12%	14%	15%	13%	9%	8%	14%	15%	11%	12%	14%	12%
(4)	15%	14%	11%	9%	15%	18%	16%	13%	16%	18%	13%	12%	15%	15%	16%	14%	15%	16%	15%
(5)	19%	22%	25%	29%	17%	18%	15%	18%	21%	22%	17%	18%	19%	21%	18%	19%	20%	19%	19%
	17%	15%	15%	17%	16%	18%	18%	21%	13%	15%	18%	17%	15%	13%	18%	20%	15%	16%	19%
Completely agree(7)	16%	17%	17%	14%	11%	22%	15%	19%	12%	11%	17%	21%	14%	14%	15%	20%	13%	15%	19%
Dk/Ns	2%	2%	3%		2%	2%	3%	0%	3%	0%	2%	5%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	-30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130		551	387		733	765		521	407	217	414	498	367	434	461	
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
I SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD	l																		
Completely disagree(1)	8%	9%	3%	12%	10%	5%	9%	9%	7%	6%	10%	8%	12%	9%	8%	5%	10%	8%	6%
(2)	6%	8%	6%	3%	7%	3%	4%	5%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%	5%	7%	3%	6%	7%
	10%	11%	10%	11%	11%	10%	7%	10%	11%	11%	9%	10%	11%	10%	11%	9%	10%	11%	- 9%
(4)	15%	17%	22%	13%	13%	15%	16%	14%	16%	16%	16%	13%	11%	14%	16%	18%	15%	15%	16%
(5)	26%	27%	25%	26%	25%	28%	22%	25%	27%	29%	24%	24%	29%	26%	26%	23%	25%	29%	25%
(6)	18%	11%	18%	17%	19%	20%	19%	19%	17%	17%	20%	16%	12%	18%	18%	21%	15%	17%	21%
Completely agree(7)	15%	16%	15%	15%	13%	18%	19%	17%	14%	13%	14%	20%	16%	13%	16%	16%	18%	12%	16%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	5%	5%	3%	1%	0%	4%	2%	1%
FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS AND MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA																			
Completely disagree(1)	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	7%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	8%	2%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	5%
(2)	6%	8%	8%	8%	5%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	7%	5%	4%	4%	6%	11%	4%	6%	9%
(3)	9%	10%	15%	8%	9%	9%	6%	12%	7%	10%	8%	10%	5%	6%	11%	14%	6%	10%	13%
(4)	16%	16%	14%	11%	18%	16%	15%	17%	16%	19%	17%	11%	12%	14%	17%	20%	13%	16%	19%
(5)	16%	16%	13%	12%	17%	16%	16%	15%	16%	18%	14%	14%	16%	17%	15%	15%	15%	17%	14%
(6)	16%	12%	16%	20%	15%	16%	16%	14%	17%	18%	14%	14%	16%	16%	17%	13%	16%	15%	15%
Completely agree(7)	20%	19%	15%	23%	22%	18%	22%	19%	21%	14%	23%	25%	32%	25%	18%	10%	28%	20%	13%
Dk/Ns	12%	14%	13%	14%	10%	12%	14%	9%	14%	9%	13%	14%	13%	13%	11%	10%	13%	11%	11%

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

				Regi	ion			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414	498	367	434	461	53
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
I THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN CANADA																			
Completely disagree(1)	16%	20%	19%	16%	18%	11%	15%	16%	16%	13%	18%	18%	20%	17%	13%	17%	17%	16%	16%
	15%	20%	13%	12%	17%	14%	8%	14%	15%	16%	17%	10%	9%	14%	16%	19%	12%	15%	16%
(3)	16%	17%	21%	18%	17%	13%	15%	16%	16%	19%	13%	15%	17%	14%	19%	15%	14%	18%	18%
(4)	19%	15%	22%	19%	19%	20%	22%	19%	19%	23%	16%	18%	14%	22%	19%	20%	20%	20%	18%
	19%	18%	16%	20%	16%	23%	22%	19%	18%	19%	19%	19%	21%	19%	20%	16%	21%	18%	19%
(6)	8%	4%	4%	5%	7%	10%	12%	9%	6%	5%	9%	9%	9%	6%	7%	9%	6%	8%	8%
Cmpletely agree(7)	4%	4%	2%	7%	3%	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	6%	5%	4%	2%	5%	3%	4%
Dk/Ns	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	6%	5%	4%	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%

24. Some people say that by signing the NAFTA, Canada will tie itself too closely to the U.S. and Msxico and will cut itself off from countries outside North America. Other people say that Canada should sign the NAFTA because Canada needs freer trade with the U.S. and Mexico now in order to increase its exports while it continues to work toward freer trade with other countries. Which of these two viewpoints is closer to your own?

				Regi	ion			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		1 3 0 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502		434 428	461 463	
Cut itself off Should sign Dk/Ns	32% 60% 8%	30% 57% 13%	26% 67% 7%	34% 56% 10%	35% 57% 7%	30% 64% 5%	34% 59% 7%	29% 64% 6%	35% 56% 9%	36% 60% 5%	32% 60% 8%	28% 60% 12%	40% 49% 12%	35% 55% 10%	32% 62% 7%	27% 70% 4%	35% 54% 11%	37% 56% 7%	26% 69% 6%

25. Some people say that Canada has to be part of the NAFTA so that Canada will be an attractive location for plants to supply that whole North American market. Other people say that under the NAFTA, any new investment in Canada will be cancelled out by plants moving from Canada to Mexico. Which viewpoint is closer to your own?

				Regi	on			Se	ex		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502				
Attractive location Cancelled out Dk/Ns	39% 56% 6%	32% 63% 5%	38% 57% 5%	37% 56% 7%	36% 59% 5%	45% 48% 7%	40% 55% 5%	42% 54% 4%	35% 58% 7%	40% 56% 4%	39% 57% 4%	37% 53% 10%	31% 64% 6%	35% 60% 5%	39% 55% 6%	47% 47% 6%	35% 57% 8%	37% 59% 4%	43% 52% 5%

26. Some people have said that NAFTA will help build the Mexican economy so that Mexican workers will gain a substantially improved standard of living. Other people have said that the NAFTA will mostly benefit big U.S. corporations and that the ordinary Mexicans will not enjoy any of the benefits. Which viewpoint is closer to your own?

				Reg	ion			Se	ex		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	8.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
8ase: All Respondents Unweighted 8ase	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215			367 373			
Will help build Not enjoy benefits Dk/Ns	41% 55% 4%	33 % 61% 6%	42% 53% 5%	39% 56% 5%	41% 55% 4%	44% 52% 4%	39% 57% 3%	48% 48% 4%	33% 62% 5%	40% 57% 3%	41% 55% 4%	41% 52% 7%	35% 61% 4%	36% 59% 5%	42% 54% 4%	48% 48% 4%	35% 60% 5%	38% 59% 3%	47% 48% 5%

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

Mean Summary

				Regi	ion			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391		733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428		
WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND MEDICARE	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.9	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE	5.0	5.2	4.9	5.1	5.2	4.7	4.9	4.8	5.2	4.9	5.1	5.0	5.3	5.2	4.9	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO	5.0	5.2	4.8	4.9	5.1	4.7	5.3	4.7	5.2	4.7	5.2	5.1	5.3	5.3	4.8	4.5	5.2	5.1	4.7
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TO SELL Cars into the North American Marketplace	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.2
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL Import much more from mexico than Canada Will export to mexico	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.9	4.7	4.2
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.8
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6	5.2	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.8	4.6	4.3
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.7	5.1	4.7	4.4	4.0	4.8	4.5	

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

TopBox Summary (Rated 6 7)

				Reg	on			Se	×		Age			Educ	ation		1	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760			407 386	217 215				434 428	461 463	
WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT Government programs such as Unemployment insurance and medicare	34	31	38	28	31	44	29	36	33	37	32	34	31	36	34	35	34	34	35
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE	45	48	40	48	49	39	41	40	49	43	46	45	55	50	42	35	48	48	38
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO	48	52	45	43	51	44	54	43	54	44	53	49	59	57	46	37	55	51	41
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO Build New Plants in Canada to Sell Cars into the North American Marketplace	31	27	26	21	29	39	38	31	32	31	32	30	32	35	31	27	37	32	25
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL Import much more from mexico than Canada Will Export to Mexico	35	29	31	32	35	36	41	33	37	35	34	36	47	37	34	26	40	36	27
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	13	13	7	12	12	18	15	18	9	10	15	17	16	12	12	16	13	13	14
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE Advantage of Low Environmental Standards There	37	37	31	34	40	34	38	34	39	33	38	39	54	44	31	26	43	39	28
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS	38	38	32	43	40	34	40	34	41	32	41	42	54	42	35	28	44	39	32

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

				Regi	on			Se	≥X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	!
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414	498	367	434		
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT Government programs such as Unemployment insurance and medicare																			
Completely disagree(1)	10%	12%	8%	16%	11%	7%	10%	10%	10%	7%	13%	11%	13%	13%	11%	5%	13%	9%	107
	8%	8%	11%	9%	10%	7%	4%	8%	9%	7%	9%	9%	9%	6%	8%	10%	6%	9%	97
	11%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	8%	11%	10%	13%	12%	7%	12%	9%	12%	11%	9%	10%	132
	14%	17%	11%	13%	15%	12%	17%	13%	15%	13%	14%	15%	13%	14%	14%	15%	14%	16%	147
(5)	19%	20%	19%	20%	18%	18%	23%	20%	18%	21%	17%	17%	19%	18%	18%	21%	18%	22%	187
(6)	16%	12%	26%	11%	15%	21%	14%	17%	16%	18%	15%	16%	11%	16%	17%	19%	14%	18%	182
Completely agree(7)	18%	19%	12%	17%	16%	23%	15%	19%	16%	19%	16%	18%	20%	20%	17%	15%	20%	16%	173
Dk/Ns	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	8%	2%	5%	1%	3%	7%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%	2%	27
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE																			
Completely disagree(1)	5%	3%	2%	5%	4%	10%	6%	5%	6%	6%	4%	7%	7%	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%	47
	6%	3%	8%	8%	6%	8%	4%	8%	5%	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	10%	5%	5%	87
	10%	14%	15%	5%	10%	9%	14%	13%	8%	11%	12%	8%	6%	6%	13%	14%	7%	11%	147
(4)	12%	12%	12%	13%	12%	11%	15%	13%	12%	12%	13%	11%	10%	11%	13%	14%	13%	10%	147
(5)	20%	18%	22%	20%	19%	23%	19%	21%	20%	21%	19%	20%	16%	19%	22%	22%	19%	21%	212
(6)	16%	15%	17%	18%	18%	15%	10%	13%	19%	18%	15%	15%	17%	14%	18%	15%	14%	17%	167
Completely agree(7)	29%	33%	23%	31%	31%	24%	31%	27%	30%	26%	31%	30%	38%	36%	24%	20%	34%	31%	217
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%		0%	0%	2%	0%	1%		0%	2%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%	02

.

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	l.
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO																			
Completely disagree(1)	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%	9%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%	7%	8%	6%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%
(2)	8%	6%	10%	11%	7%	11%	5%	11%	5%	11%	6%	7X	5%	6%	9%	12%	7%	6%	11%
(3)	10%	10%	12%	7%	11%	10%	8%	13%	7%	11%	11%	7%	5%	7%	10%	16%	5%	12%	13%
(4)	12%	15%	15%	13%	12%	10%	10%	10%	13%	14%	10%	11%	10%	11%	13%	13%	12%	10%	14%
(5)	14%	12%	12%	19%	14%	15%	17%	14%	15%	14%	13%	17%	14%	13%	14%	16%	14%	16%	14%
(6)	18%	20%	18%	13%	21%	17%	14%	16%	21%	21%	17%	16%	19%	17%	21%	17%	18%	19%	18%
Completely agree(7)	30%	32%	27%	29%	30%	27%	41%	27%	33%	23%	36%	33%	40%	39%	25%	20%	37%	31%	23%
Dk/Ns	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO Build New Plants in Canada to Sell Cars into the North American Marketplace																			
Completely disagree(1)	8%	13%	4%	11%	9%	6%	10%	9%	8%	7%	11%	8%	10%	11%	7%	7%	8%	8%	10%
(2)	9%	9%	10%	7%	10%	7%	5%	9%	8%	7%	9%	10%	8%	6%	8%	12%	6%	9%	11%
(3)	12%	14%	10%	16%	11%	10%	13%	11%	12%	14%	10%	10%	10%	11%	13%	12%	10%	13%	12%
(4)	16%	18%	23%	14%	16%	14%	12%	14%	17%	17%	15%	14%	13%	14%	16%	19%	14%	15%	17%
(5)	22%	17%	24%	27%	22%	21%	20%	25%	19%	23%	19%	24%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	21%	24%
(6)	18%	14%	18%	10%	16%	23%	17%	18%	17%	17%	19%	16%	12%	17%	20%	18%	16%	20%	16%
Completely agree(7)	14%	13%	9%	11%	13%	16%	21%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%	20%	18%	11%	9%	21%	11%	9%
Dk/Ns	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	3%	5%	6%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	· 130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414	498	367	434	461	53
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL Import much more from Mexico Than Canada Will Export to Mexico																			
Completely disagree(1)	7%	12%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	8%	6%	5%	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	6%	8%
(2)	9%	10%	11%	7%	9%	7%	11%	11%	6%	9%	10%	7%	8%	6%	9%	12%	5%	10%	11%
	12%	12%	16%	15%	12%	8%	11%	13%	10%	13%	12%	9%	4%	9%	13%	16%	11%	10%	14%
(4)	18%	18%	16%	13%	20%	20%	13%	18%	19%	19%	18%	18%	13%	16%	19%	21%	17%	16%	22%
(5)	17%	15%	17%	20%	16%	19%	13%	15%	18%	17%	17%	16%	14%	22%	15%	14%	14%	20%	15%
	17%	16%	20%	17%	18%	16%	20%	15%	20%	18%	17%	17%	19%	16%	17%	18%	17%	19%	16%
Completely agree(7)	17%	14%	11%	15%	17%	20%	21%	17%	17%	16%	16%	19%	28%	20%	17%	8%	23%	17%	11%
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	3%	7%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%	3%	7%	6%	3%	3%	4%	6%	2%	3%
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT																			
Completely disagree(1)	12%	15%	10%	12%	13%	8%	11%	12%	11%	7%	15%	13%	14%	13%	10%	10%	11%	13%	10%
(2)	10%	9%	13%	9%	10%	9%	8%	10%	10%	10%	11%	7%	6%	10%	9%	12%	7%	10%	11%
(3)	15%	17%	14%	13%	16%	14%	11%	14%	15%	18%	12%	13%	12%	13%	17%	15%	12%	16%	16%
(4)	23%	24%	25%	29%	22%	22%	21%	22%	24%	29%	20%	19%	22%	22%	23%	24%	25%	23%	21%
(5)	19%	17%	20%	14%	20%	20%	21%	19%	20%	22%	17%	18%	20%	23%	20%	15%	21%	18%	20%
(6)	9%	8%	5%	8%	9%	11%	7%	12%	6%	7%	10%	9%	9%	6%	8%	12%	8%	8%	10%
Completely agree(7)	5%	5%	2%	4%	3%	7%	8%	6%	3%	3%	5%	7%	7%	6%	4%	4%	6%	5%	4%
Dk/Ns	8%	6%	11%	11%	7%	8%	14%	5%	12%	4%	11%	12%	9%	7%	9%	8%	10%	7%	8%

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	t -
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some KS	K.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130		551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414		367	434		
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE																			
Completely disagree(1)	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	9%	5%	7%	7%	6%	7%	8%	5%	9%	7%	5%	B%	8%	5%
(2)	11%	10%	13%	10%	11%	13%	9%	15%	7%	12%	10%	12%	8%	BX	13%	14%	8%	12%	13%
	14%	15%	20%	13%	14%	10%	17%	16%	12%	14%	16%	11%	6%	11%	14%	21%	9%	11%	20%
(4)	14%	16%	12%	20%	12%	16%	11%	14%	14%	15%	14%	12%	10%	15%	15%	14%	13%	12%	16%
(5)	17%	14%	16%	16%	17%	17%	18%	14%	20%	19%	15%	16%	15%	13%	19%	19%	17%	17%	16%
(6)	15%	19%	13%	17%	16%	12%	16%	13%	17%	15%	14%	16%	18%	16%	14%	13%	16%	16%	13%
Completely agree(7)	22%	18%	18%	17%	24%	22%	22%	21%	22%	18%	24%	24%	36%	28%	17%	13%	27%	23%	16%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS																			
Completely disagree(1)	11%	13%	14%	6%	8%	12%	12%	13%	9%	10%	12%	10%	8%	10%	10%	14%	9%	9%	12%
(2)	12%	10%	18%	11%	12%	13%	7%	15%	10%	13%	11%	11%	8%	9%	14%	15%	8%	12%	16%
(3)	11%	13%	9%	10%	12%	10%	10%	12%	10%	13%	11%	9%	8%	10%	12%	13%	9%	12%	13%
(4)	11%	9%	7%	13%	10%	13%	10%	11%	11%	13%	10%	9%	7%	10%	11%	12%	10%	10%	11%
(5)	16%	17%	19%	16%	16%	15%	18%	15%	17%	18%	14%	16%	12%	1 BX	17%	17%	1 BX	17%	15%
(6)	15%	18%	13%	22%	14%	14%	15%	13%	17%	14%	16%	16%	18%	14%	17%	14%	14%	16%	15%
Completely agree(7)	23%	20%	19%	21%	26%	20%	26%	21%	24%	18%	25%	26%	35%	28%	19%	14%	29%	22%	17%
Dk/Ns	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%

28a. Can you think of a Canadian industry would benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement?

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation		1	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498		130		551	387	131	733	765		521	407	217	414			434	461	
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
Energy Industry	6%	4%	15%	5%	2%	9%	3%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%
Clothing manufacturing/ shoes	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Wood & Paper Industry/ Lumber/ Forestry	12%	21%	8%	7%	9%	14%	13%	14%	10%	16%	9%	10%	9%	9%	14%	14%	10%	11%	14%
Trucking Industry	0%			1%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	1%		0%	0%
fish/ fish Products Industry	1%	1%			1%		5%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
Textile Industry/ Yarn & Fabric																			
Manufacturing	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	- 2%
Agriculture	6%	6%	12%	12%	5%	2%	12%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%
Cars/ Car Parts	10%	5%	7%	7%	13%	8%	12%	11%	9%	10%	8%	11%	9%	10%	11%	9%	8%	12%	97
Computers/ Other High Tech Products/																			
Telecommunications	17%	12%	14%	9%	18%	24%	10%	24%	10%	17%	17%	17%	10%	12%	14%	31%	9%	18%	25%
Aircraft/ Aircraft Parts	1%					2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	12
Manufacturing Industry	4%	5%	6%	6%	2%	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%	53
Banking/ financial Services	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	33
Iron & Steel Industry	2%	•	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	29
Mining	3%	5%	3%	1%	3%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%
Heavy Industries	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		1%		0%	0%	1%	1%		0%	1%		1%	12
Labour/ Jobs	0%	170	170		0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	••••	1%			0%	0%			12
Airlines	1%	0%	1%		0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%	12
Livestock/ Meat Industry	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%		1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	12
Boat Industry/ Shipping	0%	2%	1.4	2.4	0.4	1.4		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	07
Natural Resources	4%	5%	8%	5%	7%	1%	1%	6%	2%	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	6%
	0%	74	0.4	74	0%	1.0	1.7	0%	2.4	0%	0,4	24	2.4	2/6		0%	474	-114	0%
Unionized Industry	1%	19	1%		0%	2%		1%	0%	0%	1%	1%		0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	12
Construction Industry	2%	1% 0%	1%		2%	6%		3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%
Transportation (general)	1%	1%	1.76	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	29
Food Industry	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1.6	0%	0%	2.6	1%	0%	1.4	0%	12	0%	0%	0%	02
Importing		2%	1.6	1.6		~~	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0.6	0%	1%	0%	0.6	0%	0%	02
Beer	0%				1%	0% 1%	1.6	0%	1%	0%	1%		0.6	0%	0%	1%	0%	0.5	19
Health Care	0%	~~		1%	0%	1.6			1.6	0.%				0.6	0%	1.4	0.6		02
Stockmarket	0%	0%			201			0%		404	0%	49	0P	48	2%	48	<u>~</u>	1%	29
Tourism	1%	3%		1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	
Services	1%		2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%		0%	1%	1% 1%	0% 0%	0%	19 12
Research	1%	1%	2%		0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%		0%	1%	176		0%	17
Housing Industry	0%				0%				0%	0%		0%			0%		0%		
Education	0%				0%	1%		0%	0%	1%	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%	0%		17
Service Industries	0%				0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	0%		0%	03
Export Industries	0%					0%		0%		0%					0%			0%	
Pharmaceuticals	0%				0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	0%	1%			0%	1%		1%	- 19

.

(continued)

.

28a. Can you think of a Canadian industry would benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement?

				Reg	ion			S	ex		Age			Eđuo	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Tobacco	0%	1%						0%	•	0%				0%	_		0%		
Small Business	0%		1%		0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%				1%		0%	0%	03
Hazardous waste control/ Environment										•.•	•						••	0.0	•
recyling	0%		1%			0%		0%		0%					0%	0%		0%	02
Retail	0%				0%			••••	0%	•	0%				•.•	0%		0,0	02
Aerospace	1%				0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%		1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	12
Environmental Industries	0%				0%			0%	•.•		0%	•			1.4	0%	0.4	1.4	03
Furniture	0%				•.•	1%		0%	0%		0%	0%		0%		0x	0%		0,
None	23%	29%	22%	33%	26%	11%	26%	17%	28%	19%	26%	23%	27%	28%	23%	15%	27%	21%	203
Other	0%	_,,,,	/		2070		1%	0%	20%		20%	0%	2174	LUA	C J A	0%	214	214	07
(DK/NS)	17%	16%	16%	17%	16%	19%	13%	11%	22%	16%	15%	20%	19%	22%	13%	14%	22%	15%	132

28b. Can you think of a Canadian industry that would be harmed by the North American Free Trade Agreement?

				Regi	ion			Se	x		Age			Educ	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410			434 428	461 463	
Energy Industry	2%	2%	8%	3%	1%	1%		2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Clothing manufacturing/ shoes	20%	11%	18%	18%	18%	31%	13%	20%	20%	14%	23%	24%	20%	18%	19%	24%	18%	17%	24%
Wood & Paper Industry/ Lumber/ Forestry	8%	16%	6%	7%	5%	9%	7%	7%	8%	6%	8%	11%	9%	10%	8%	4%	9%	8%	6%
Trucking Industry	0%				1%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		1%		0%	0%		0%
Fish/ Fish Products Industry	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	0%	11%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Textile Industry/ Yarn & Fabric						•			•	.,,•		2.4		2.0		2.0			64, F
Manufacturing	21%	15%	13%	14%	19%	32%	19%	25%	17%	19%	24%	21%	14%	20%	19%	30%	17%	20%	25%
Agriculture	10%	14%	7%	13%	8%	10%	9%	10%	10%	7%	10%	13%	8%	9%	9%	11%	10%	10%	9%
Cars/ Car Parts	24%	24%	19%	20%	32%	16%	21%	25%	23%	25%	22%	23%	33%	24%	21%	21%	23%	23%	25%
Computers/ Other High Tech Products/	644	644	17/4	20%	JCA	10.6	214	238	~J~	236	66%	238	224	646	614	614	234	6.3 A	636
Telecommunications	3%	2%	3%		EW	74	2%	74	3%	3%	70	78	78	-		7~	7.	24	
	-	22	3%		5%	2%	22	3%		2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Aircraft/ Aircraft Parts	0%		208		0%	0%		4 794	0%		4 7804	1%		0%	0%	0%	1%		0%
Manufacturing Industry	16%	19%	20%	16%	16%	14%	14%	17%	15%	19%	17%	10%	11%	12%	16%	23%	11%	17%	21%
Banking/ Financial Services	0%	1%			0%			0%	0%	0%		0%		0%		1%	0%		0%
Iron & Steel Industry	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Mining	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%		2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Heavy Industries	1%	0%	1%		1%		1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Labour/ Jobs	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	- 3%
Airlines	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%		0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Livestock/ Meat Industry	0%		2%		0%				0%	0%		0%			1%			0%	0%
Natural Resources	2%	1%	3%	4%	3%	0%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Unionized Industry	0%		2%		0%		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Construction Industry	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Transportation (general)	1%	1%	• • •		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Food Industry	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	12	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	12
Beer	1%	2%	2%	1.4	1%	0%	1.4	1%	0%	12	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Health Care	0%	0%	2%		0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	12	0%	1%	1.4	0%	1%		0%	1%
Dairy Industry	0%	0%	6.6		0.6	1%	4 54	0%	1%	0%	0%			~			0%		
· · ·		UA.		4.04		-	1% 1%					1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Services	0%			1%	1%	0%	176	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0%	0%	1%
Research	0%		1%		0%				0%	0%	0%				0%	0%	0%		0%
Housing Industry	0%				0%			0%		0%				0%				0%	
Education	0%					0%			0%	0%						0%	0%		
Service Industries	0%					0%		0%			0%				0%				0%
Pharmaceuticals	0%			2%	1%			0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%		1%
Tobacco	0%	1%				0%		0%		0%	0%				0%	0%	0%		
Small Business	1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Retail	0%				0%		1%	•••	0%	0%					0%	0%		0%	0%
Aerospace	0%					1%		0%	0%	0%		0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

28b. Can you think of a Canadian industry that would be harmed by the North American Free Trade Agreement?

				Reg	ion			Se	≥X		Age			Educ	ation			Income	:
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	0nt	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec		<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Public transit	0%					0%			0%	0%			1%	_				0%	
Furniture	0%					1%		0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%
labout intensive industries	0%					0%		0%				0%				0%			0%
Jwewellry	0%					0%			0%			0%		0%			0%		
Aluminium	0%					1%		0%				1%	0%	0%			0%	0%	
Recycling	0%					0%		0%			0%					0%			0%
ALL	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%
None	4%	7%	3%	6%	4%	1%	7%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	6%	1%	5%	5%	32
Other	0%					0%		0%		0%	0%				0%			0%	0%
(DK/NS)	13%	15%	15%	20%	10%	13%	15%	10%	16%	15%	10%	14%	14%	14%	15%	8%	19%	13%	7%

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	ALD	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410		367 373	434 428		
THE ENERGY INDUSTRY																			
Better	54%	52%	49%	50%	49%	62%	60%	61%	47%	61%	54%	43%	43%	51%	59%	57%	47%	54%	58%
Worse	23%	26%	30%	31%	27%	14%	16%	18%	28%	21%	25%	24%	30%	27%	21%	18%	25%	25%	21%
No impact	16%	15%	14%	13%	16%	18%	19%	19%	14%	15%	14%	22%	16%	17%	15%	19%	19%	15%	16%
Dk/Ns	7%	7%	7%	6%	8%	6%	5%	3%	10%	3%	7%	11%	10%	6%	6%	6%	9%	6%	5%
THE CLOTHING MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY																			
Better	15%	18%	15%	14%	12%	15%	21%	11%	18%	17%	13%	13%	18%	17%	16%	8%	20%	14%	10%
Worse	80%	75%	78%	82%	85%	80%	72%	84%	77%	79%	84%	77%	76%	78%	78%	90%	73%	83%	87%
No impact	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%
Dk/Ns	2%	4%	5%		2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	1%	6%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%
THE WOOD AND PAPER INDUSTRY																			
Better	47%	47%	53%	45%	46%	44%	49%	53%	41%	55%	44%	38%	31%	44%	50%	54%	39%	45%	54%
Worse	37%	37%	29%	33%	36%	41%	37%	31%	42%	31%	42%	37%	48%	42%	35%	27%	42%	39%	30%
No impact	12%	11%	11%	15%	13%	12%	9%	14%	10%	11%	11%	15%	12%	11%	11%	16%	12%	13%	12%
Dk/Ns	5%	5%	7%	7%	5%	3%	4%	3%	7%	3%	3%	10%	10%	4%	5%	3%	7%	3%	4%

Page: 110

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

				Regi	on			Se	x		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	ALD	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502	367 373	434 428		
THE TRUCKING INDUSTRY																			
Better	44%	46%	55%	48%	40%	43%	52%	47%	42%	53%	42%	36%	40%	44%	49%	42%	48%	42%	44%
Worse	38%	34%	26%	35%	44%	38%	32%	36%	39%	33%	41%	41%	44%	41%	34%	36%	37%	40%	37%
No impact	11%	14%	12%	14%	10%	12%	8%	13%	9%	9%	11%	14%	10%	10%	11%	13%	9%	13%	12%
Dk/Ns	7%	6%	7%	3%	6%	7%	9%	4%	9%	4%	7%	10%	7%	5%	7%	8%	7%	5%	7%
FIST AND FISH PRODUCTS INDUSTRY																			
Better	33%	32%	29%	33%	31%	38%	35%	41%	26%	39%	30%	29%	31%	27%	36%	37%	30%	33%	37%
Worse	41%	45%	39%	42%	44%	34%	48%	33%	49%	39%	46%	39%	46%	46%	41%	34%	44%	42%	38%
No impact	18%	15%	21%	17%	17%	20%	13%	21%	14%	17%	16%	20%	12%	19%	16%	21%	16%	19%	18%
Dk/Ns	8%	8%	11%	8%	8%	8%	5%	5%	11%	5%	8%	12%	11%	7%	7%	8%	10%	7%	7%
THE TEXTILE INDUSTRY																			
Better	16%	18%	13%	17%	14%	14%	24%	14%	18%	17%	16%	12%	18%	16%	19%	10%	20%	15%	11%
Worse	77%	74%	78%	80%	78%	78%	69%	81%	73%	75%	78%	80%	74%	77%	72%	86%	71%	79%	83%
No impact	4%	5%	5%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	3%
Dk/Ns	3%	3%	3%		4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	2%	5%	1%	3%

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educa	ation			Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	4 98 502	367 373	434 428		
THE AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY																			
Better	35%	28%	49%	41%	38%	27%	37%	40%	30%	44%	32%	27%	27%	41%	35%	35%	33%	34%	38%
Worse	46%	59%	34%	40%	45%	50%	41%	43%	49%	40%	50%	49%	54%	44%	47%	44%	48%	46%	44%
No impact	14%	8%	12%	16%	12%	19%	12%	15%	13%	12%	14%	15%	13%	11%	14%	16%	12%	15%	14%
Dk/Ns	5%	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	10%	3%	7%	4%	4%	8%	7%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%
CAR AND CAR PARTS																			
Better	26%	21%	27%	27%	23%	28%	35%	26%	25%	27%	24%	27%	21%	27%	26%	27%	28%	24%	25%
Worse	61%	62%	59%	64%	66%	55%	56%	61%	62%	63%	65%	54%	67%	64%	59%	56%	59%	63%	62%
No impact	9%	10%	10%	5%	7%	13%	6%	11%	7%	7%	8%	13%	8%	6%	10%	11%	8%	10%	10%
Dk/Ns	4%	7%	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%	3%	3%	7%	3%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%
COMPUTERS AND OTHER HI-TECH INDUSTRIES																			
Better	58%	64%	53%	47%	57%	61%	54%	65%	51%	62%	57%	53%	49%	52%	59%	68%	54%	55%	64%
Worse	28%	22%	33%	35%	30%	24%	32%	26%	31%	28%	29%	27%	35%	34%	26%	21%	30%	31%	25%
No impact	9%	10%	9%	11%	8%	11%	7%	7%	11%	8%	10%	10%	8%	10%	10%	8%	9%	11%	8%
Dk/Ns	5%	5%	4%	8%	5%	4%	7%	2%	8%	2%	4%	10%	9%	5%	5%	3%	7%	4%	3%

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

				Regi	on			Se	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	t.
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502	367 373	434 428		
AIRCRAFT AND AIRCRAFT PARTS																			
Better	45%	39%	43%	40%	41%	56%	41%	52%	38%	50%	43%	40%	40%	42%	45%	51%	41%	42%	49%
lorse	34%	39%	37%	41%	38%	23%	36%	29%	39%	34%	36%	31%	38%	38%	33%	28%	35%	38%	30%
lo impact	13%	15%	13%	14%	14%	14%	8%	15%	12%	11%	13%	17%	11%	13%	14%	14%	13%	13%	15%
)k/Ns	8%	7%	7%	5%	8%	8%	15%	4%	12%	5%	8%	12%	11%	7%	8%	8%	10%	7%	6%
THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY																			
Better	23%	24%	25%	26%	21%	22%	30%	25%	22%	23%	23%	24%	20%	25%	25%	20%	27%	21%	21%
lorse	67%	67%	65%	64%	69%	68%	57%	65%	68%	70%	69%	60%	65%	67%	66%	67%	61%	71%	69%
lo impact	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	4%	5%	9%	9%	4%	4%	9%	7%	5%	7%
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	8%	3%	6%	3%	3%	8%	6%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	47
BANKING AND FINANCIAL SERVICES																			
letter	53%	60%	57%	45%	54%	49%	50%	59%	47%	58%	53%	46%	35%	50%	54%	64%	43%	52%	61%
lorse	19%	16%	21%	21%	20%	17%	21%	17%	21%	19%	20%	18%	31%	23%	18%	10%	24%	17%	16%
lo impact	21%	19%	16%	23%	18%	27%	16%	20%	21%	18%	19%	25%	21%	22%	21%	19%	22%	24%	17%
Dk/Ns	8%	5%	6%	11%	7%	7%	13%	4%	11%	5%	8%	11%	13%	6%	7%	7%	11%	7%	5%
THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY																			
Better	36%	29%	35%	36%	34%	41%	42%	38%	35%	42%	33%	32%	33%	37%	38%	35%	35%	37%	37%
lorse	42%	50%	45%	45%	46%	33%	42%	43%	42%	41%	47%	39%	44%	43%	41%	42%	43%	44%	40%
lo impact	12%	13%	11%	14%	12%	13%	9%	15%	10%	11%	12%	14%	14%	12%	11%	14%	11%	11%	167
)k/Ns	9%	9%	8%	5%	8%	13%	7%	5%	13%	5%	8%	15%	9%	8%	10%	8%	10%	9%	67

30. Age

and the second second second second

31. Education

				Regi	on			Se	ex 🛛		Age			Educ	ation			Income	;
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414		367	434		
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
AGE																			
18 to 24 years	12%	11%	12%	12%	11%	14%	17%	15%	10%	33%			9%	15%	15%	8%	15%	13%	11%
25 to 34 years	25%	25%	29%	26%	26%	23%	24%	24%	26%	67%			11%	24%	29%	31%	26%	28%	25%
35 to 44 years	21%	21%	21%	19%	22%	20%	21%	23%	20%		61%		14%	21%	22%	24%	13%	22%	28%
45 to 54 years	14%	14%	14%	13%	12%	15%	13%	13%	14%		39%		17%	12%	12%	15%	8%	13%	19%
55 to 64 years	14%	18%	12%	12%	14%	15%	8%	13%	14%			51%	24%	14%	11%	11%	16%	12%	12%
65 years and older	13%	11%	11%	17%	15%	12%	16%	12%	15%			49%	24%	14%	11%	9%	22%	12%	6%
Dk/Ns	0%		1%		0%			0%	0%							0%		0%	
EDUCATION																			
Grade school or some high school	15%	11%	9%	22%	13%	18%	15%	16%	13%	8%	13%	26%	100%				29%	12%	4%
Completed high school	28%	19%	27%	29%	28%	28%	36%	26%	29%	28%	26%	28%		100%			36%	29%	20%
Some technical/ post secondary	9%	17%	14%	9%	8%	7%	4%	9%	10%	11%	9%	8%			28%		9%	10%	8%
Completed technical/ post secondary	15%	17%	11%	9%	16%	15%	16%	14%	15%	17%	16%	10%			44%		9%	18%	15%
Some university	9%	15%	13%	11%	8%	7%	8%	10%	8%	10%	8%	9%			27%		7%	9%	11%
Completed university degree	18%	17%	21%	16%	19%	17%	16%	17%	18%	20%	18%	13%				73%	7%	18%	27%
Post graduate degree	7%	4%	5%	4%	8%	9%	5%	8%	6%	5%	9%	5%				27%	2%	3%	14%
Dk/Ns	0%			1%	0%			0%	0%		0%							0%	


				Regi	on			S€	X		Age			Educa	ation			Income	1
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents	1498	177	130	122	551	387	131	733	765	568	521	407	217	414		367	434	461	
Unweighted Base	1502	172	140	121	541	391	137	742	760	554	560	386	215	410	502	373	428	463	53
HOUSEHOLD INCOME																			
Under \$10,000	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	6%	9%	2%	6%	3%	2%	6%	8%	4%	4%	1%	13%		
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10%	9%	8%	11%	9%	12%	13%	9%	12%	9%	7%	15%	26%	13%	6%	3%	35%		
\$20,000 to \$29,999	15%	14%	14%	21%	13%	15%	15%	13%	17%	18%	8%	20%	24%	20%	13%	7%	51%		
\$30,000 to \$39,999	16%	15%	21%	16%	14%	18%	17%	17%	15%	20%	13%	16%	14%	19%	18%	12%		53%	
\$40,000 to \$49,999	14%	17%	11%	16%	14%	16%	11%	15%	13%	14%	18%	11%	11%	13%	16%	15%		47%	
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	9%	18%	7%	13%	9%	13%	12%	12%	10%	16%	8%	4%	10%	14%	15%			33%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	6%	9%	3%	7%	7%	4%	6%	8%	5%	6%	7%	4%	2%	5%	7%	8%			17%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	5%	6%	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	6%	4%	6%	6%	2%		3%	5%	10%			14%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	4%	2%	5%	5%	5%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	2%	4%	7%			10%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	5%			8%
\$100,000 and over	6%	7%	6%	3%	9%	4%	1%	9%	4%	5%	9%	5%	2%	4%	4%	14%			18%
Dk/Ns	5%	8%	3%	3%	4%	6%	6%	3%	7%	3%	5%	8%	6%	4%	6%	4%			

33. First Language

				Regi	on			Se	×		Age			Educa	ation]	Income	
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.	Post Sec	Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	177 172	130 140		551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410	498 502	367 373	434 428		
FIRST LANGUAGE English French Both French and English Other Dk/Ns	65% 25% 1% 10% 0%	87% 2% 11% 0%	80% 1% 1% 18%	85% 1% 13%	82% 6% 1% 12% 0%	11% 81% 2% 6%	87% 13%	62% 25% 1% 12% 0%	67% 24% 1% 8% 0%	65% 25% 1% 9%	64% 26% 1% 9% 0%	65% 23% 11% 0%	55% 31% 1% 12%	68% 26% 1% 5% 0%	68% 21% 1% 10% 0%	61% 25% 1% 13%	62% 28% 0% 9%	62% 29% 0% 9%	70% 18% 1% 11% 0%

34. Do you or does any member of your family currently belong to a labour union?

				Regi	on			Se	ex.		Age			Educ	ation			Income	t
	Total	B.C.	Alb	Man/ Sask	Ont	Que	Atlan	Male	Fem	18-34	35-54	55+	Some HS	H.S.		Univ Grad	<30k	30k- 50k	50k+
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502		130 140	122 121	551 541	387 391	131 137	733 742	765 760	568 554	521 560	407 386	217 215	414 410					
BELONG TO A LABOUR UNION Yes No Dk/Ns	35% 64% 1%	40% 58% 2%	27% 73%	34% 66% 1%	30% 69% 1%	41% 59% 0%	37% 60% 3%	37% 62% 1%	32% 66% 1%	36% 63% 1%	42% 58% 1%	24% 74% 2%	36% 63% 1%	39% 61% 0%	37% 62% 1%	28% 71% 1%	26% 73% 1%	41% 58% 0%	36% 63% 1%



Province

Sex

·		1	Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Oispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
PROVINCE						
British Columbia	12%	11%	12%	11%	11%	13%
lberta	9%	11%	9%	9%	5%	8%
Saskatchewan	4%	3%	5%	2%	2%	7%
fani toba	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%	5%
Intario	37%	37%	30%	39%	31%	44%
luebec	26%	26%	33%	24%	37%	14%
lew Brunswick	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Nova Scotia	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
Newfoundland	2%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Prince Edward Island	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
SEX						
Male	49%	66%	50%	44%	40%	46%
Female	51%	34%	50%	56%	60%	54%

Mean summary

		Psychographic Solution					
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
ase: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363	
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368	
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS	5.6	5.0	5.5	6.1	3.9	6.3	
ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF There is a good chance of getting Ahead as a result	4.7	5.4	4.6	5.3	3.6	4.3	
INANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	5.7	4.9	6.2	5.5	5.8	5.8	
IFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT THE FUTURE	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.4	4.4	
LIKE TO READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN	5.5	6.1	5.2	5.1	5.9	5.6	
DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME	3.9	3.6	4.3	3.4	4.5	3.9	
OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT AFFECT ME	3.9	3.5	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	
THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU	5.8	6.2	5.7	6.0	5.6	5.5	
AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY	5.4	4_4	5.3	5.8	5.1	6.0	
AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN	6.2	6.4	6.1	6.3	6.2	6.1	
AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFÙL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT	5.4	5.8	5.4	5.0	5.7	5.2	

(continued)

Mean summary

.

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
WE ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS	6.1	6.2	6.0	6.3	6.1	6.0			
CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL	4.8	4.5	4.9	4.5	5.2	5.1			
HETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MOSTLY DETERMINE BY LUCK	2.7	2.3	3.0	2.5	3.4	2.7			

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
OO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS	59	44	56	72	21	80
ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING AHEAD AS A RESULT	32	47	28	44	12	25
INANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	65	44	77	59	70	71
IFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS Possible today, without worrying About the future	29	26	23	31	35	31
LIKE TO READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN	57	73	49	48	70	57
DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME	23	17	25	15	34	28
OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT AFFECT ME	25	18	27	24	22	29
THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU	66	82	64	71	58	56
AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY	56	31	49	69	48	74
AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN	81	84	78	84	81	78
AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT	52	63	56	39	60	49

(continued)

1. Agreement with statement...

TopBox Score (Rated 6 7)

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
E ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS	76	79	75	81	73	72			
CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL	38	29	38	27	50	47			
HETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MOSTLY DETERMINE BY LUCK	7	3	8	4	15	8			

.

	Psychographic Solution								
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS									
Completely disagree(1)	4%	6%	3%		17%	1%			
	3%	5%	1%	1%	9%	1%			
(3)	5%	8%	5%	2%	14%	3%			
(4)	11%	17%	13%	6%	19%	5%			
(5)	17%	20%	21%	18%	21%	9%			
(6)	17%	12%	23%	20%	9%	17%			
Completely agree(7)	42%	32%	33%	52%	12%	64%			
Dk/Ns	1%	02/0	1%	1%		0%			
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING AHEAD AS A RESULT									
Completely disagree(1)	6%	1%	6%	2%	18%	9%			
	5%	3%	5%	1%	10%	8%			
(3)	12%	5%	11%	9%	18%	16%			
(4)	18%	15%	22%	12%	22%	19%			
(5)	26%	29%	28%	31%	20%	23%			
(6)	13%	19%	13%	19%	5%	9%			
Completely agree(7)	19%	28%	15%	26%	7%	16%			
Dk/Ns	0%		1%	0%		0%			

8

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Jase: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
INANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW									
completely disagree(1)	4%	9%	1%	4%	3%	5%			
	3%	6%	0%	3%	3%	2%			
	5%	9%	3%	5%	5%	5%			
(4)	8%	8%	5%	11%	7%	7%			
(5)	15%	24%	15%	18%	12%	10%			
(6)	18%	17%	24%	16%	19%	15%			
ompletely agree(7)	47%	27%	53%	43%	51%	56%			
LIFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT THE FUTURE									
Completely disagree(1)	12%	15%	14%	9%	13%	12%			
(2)	9%	7%	11%	11%	9%	7%			
(3)	14%	14%	17%	10%	10%	16%			
(4)	15%	17%	16%	15%	17%	12%			
(5)	20%	20%	19%	24%	15%	20%			
(6)	8%	7%	9%	9%	10%	7%			
Completely agree(7)	21%	20%	15%	23%	25%	24%			
Dk/Ns	0%				1%				

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
I LIKE TO READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN						
Completely disagree(1)	4%	1%	4%	7%	2%	5%
(2)	3%	1%	5%	5%	2%	1%
(3)	7%	3%	9%	9%	4%	6%
(4)	11%	7%	12%	12%	10%	10%
(5)	19%	15%	21%	20%	12%	20%
(6)	17%	20%	18%	19%	20%	11%
Completely agree(7)	40%	53%	30%	29%	50%	46%
I DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME						
Completely disagree(1)	14%	19%	9%	18%	6%	17%
(2)	14%	15%	11%	19%	11%	12%
(3)	14%	14%	12%	20%	12%	13%
(4)	16%	16%	20%	14%	19%	15%
(5)	18%	19%	23%	14%	18%	14%
(6)	11%	9%	12%	6%	17%	15%
Completely agree(7)	12%	8%	13%	9%	17%	14%
Dk/Ns	0%	0%	0%			

1

٥

1. Agreement with statement...

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS T	HAT								
Completely disagree(1)	16%	19%	12%	18%	15%	18%			
	15%	22%	17%	13%	12%	13%			
(3)	13%	11%	12%	18%	12%	13%			
(4)	14%	15%	13%	14%	19%	11%			
(5)	16%	14%	17%	13%	19%	16%			
(6)	11%	9%	13%	11%	9%	12%			
Completely agree(7)	14%	9%	14%	12%	13%	18%			
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%			
THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR	YOU								
Completely disagree(1)	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%			
(2)	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%			
	4%	0%	5%	3%	6%	5%			
(4)	8%	4%	10%	5%	11%	11%			
(5)	18%	12%	19%	18%	20%	22%			
(6)	25%	27%	29%	26%	22%	19%			
Completely agree(7)	41%	55%	34%	45%	37%	38%			
Dk/Ns	0%				1%	1%			

,

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
I AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY						
Completely disagree(1)	5%	11%	2%	3%	6%	4%
(2)	4%	7%	4%	1%	6%	3%
	8%	18%	9%	4%	8%	2%
(4)	10%	12%	14%	6%	13%	5%
(5)	18%	22%	22%	16%	18%	11%
(6)	16%	11%	16%	24%	12%	13%
ompletely agree(7)	41%	20%	32%	45%	37%	61%
k/Ns	0%	0%	0%			0%
AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN						
ompletely disagree(1)	5%	2%	6%	4%	3%	5%
(2)	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	4%
(4)	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%
(5)	5%	7%	6%	4%	4%	5%
(6)	8%	7%	13%	6%	7%	6%
Completely agree(7)	73%	77%	65%	77%	74%	72%
Dk/Ns	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

1

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	33 0	178	368			
I AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT									
Completely disagree(1)	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	7%			
	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%			
	5%	2%	5%	7%	3%	6%			
(4)	12%	6%	12%	17%	12%	13%			
	22%	24%	19%	27%	21%	21%			
(6)	21%	22%	26%	17%	19%	17%			
Completely agree(7)	31%	41%	29%	22%	40%	32%			
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%			
WE ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS									
Completely disagree(1)	1%	2%	1%	1%		3%			
(2)	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%			
(3)	2%	0%	1%	1%	4%	3%			
	5%	4%	6%	3%	4%	7%			
	15%	14%	16%	14%	17%	15%			
(6)	23%	21%	31%	20%	24%	19%			
Completely agree(7)	53%	58%	44%	61%	50%	53%			
Dk/Ns	0%		0%		1%				

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Psychographic Solution								
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
I CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL									
Completely disagree(1)	5%	8%	3%	7%	3%	5%			
(2)	6%	7%	3%	10%	4%	5%			
(3)	9%	8%	10%	8%	7%	10%			
(4)	17%	22%	17%	19%	17%	13%			
(5)	24%	25%	28%	28%	19%	19%			
(6)	19%	17%	21%	13%	23%	19%			
Completely agree(7)	19%	12%	16%	15%	27%	28%			
Dk/Ns	0%		1%	1%		1%			
WHETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MOSTLY DETERMINE BY LUCK									
Completely disagree(1)	30%	37%	26%	32%	17%	36%			
(2)	23%	27%	21%	27%	23%	20%			
	17%	19%	19%	17%	16%	14%			
(4)	12%	9%	13%	13%	16%	11%			
(5)	10%	4%	13%	7%	14%	11%			
(6)	3%	1%	3%	4%	8%	2%			
Completely agree(7)	4%	3%	5%	1%	6%	6%			
Dk/Ns	0%	0%			1%	1%			

2. Overall, would you say the federal government is doing a good or a poor job in terms of managing Canada's economy?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
ery Good(4)	2%	7%	1%	0%	2%				
omewhat Good(3)	22%	45%	30%	14%	26%	3%			
omewhat poor(2)	37%	34%	44%	46%	42%	21%			
ery poor(1)	38%	14%	22%	36%	28%	76%			
k/Ns	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	0%			
ean	1.9	2.5	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.3			

3. A number of statements have been made about canada's ability to compete in world markets. How would you rate each of the following statements.

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist:			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA SHOULD TAKE ACTION TO PROTECTCANADIAN BUS&IND BY LIMITING THE AMT OF FOREIGN GOODS									
Completely disagree(1)	9%	17%	10%	5%	4%	7%			
(2)	9%	21%	9%	7%	4%	3%			
	11%	18%	11%	10%	8%	7%			
	15%	13%	17%	16%	14%	12%			
•••	22%	13%	21%	28%	21%	22%			
(5)	15%	9%	14%	16%	19%	20%			
	20%	10%	16%	16%	29%	28%			
Completely agree(7)	1%	10%	2%	0%	1%	1%			
)k/Ns	1.6		2.4	0.6	1/4	170			
lean	4.6	3.5	4.4	4.7	5.2	5.1			
THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA HAS AN OVERALL Plan for improving canada's International trade									
Completely disagree(1)	11%	4%	8%	8%	9%	24%			
	13%	9%	8%	15%	10%	20%			
(3)	15%	11%	14%	18%	13%	18%			
(4)	19%	17%	20%	21%	20%	15%			
(5)	21%	27%	28%	20%	27%	8%			
(6)	8%	15%	11%	6%	5%	4 %			
Completely agree(7)	8%	14%	7%	7%	8%	7%			
Dk/Ns	5%	2%	5%	5%	8%	4%			
Mean	3.9	4.6	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.0			

4. Who do you see as having the greatest responbility for helping Canadians adjust to changes in the global economy.

	Psychographic Solution							
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363		
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368		
he federal government	45%	36%	41%	46%	51%	53%		
he provincial government	9%	8%	9%	9%	8%	10%		
Business	28%	43%	32%	27%	22%	19%		
nions	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	4%		
ther	9%	7%	8%	8%	10%	11%		
)k/Ns	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	3%		

5. Do you feel that the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job, or a very poor job in helping Canadians adjust to changes in the global economy?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
ase: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
very good job(4)	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%				
good job(3)	29%	51%	37%	24%	37%	6%			
poor job(2)	45%	37%	44%	54%	49%	40%			
very poor job(1)	22%	7%	12%	19%	10%	52%			
lk/Ns	3%	1%	6%	2%	3%	1%			
lean	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.1	2.3	1.5			

6. From what you know, which of the following best describes the current situation for Canadian trade.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
VITH WESTERN EUROPE						
e export more goods than we import	19%	25%	19%	18%	13%	17%
le import more goods than we export	41%	36%	34%	44%	50%	43%
Imports and exports about equal	26%	29%	31%	22%	20%	26%
0k/Ns	14%	10%	16%	15%	16%	14%
VITH MEXICO						
le export more goods than we import	29%	37%	32%	25%	25%	26%
le import more goods than we export	36%	32%	33%	40%	32%	41%
Imports and exports about equal	14%	15%	14%	13%	16%	11%
Dk/Ns	21%	16%	21%	22%	27%	22%
WITH THE U.S.						
We export more goods than we import	25%	40%	28%	18%	21%	20%
le import more goods than we export	54%	44%	49%	57%	61%	61%
Imports and exports about equal	16%	13%	19%	18%	13%	13%
Dk/Ns	5%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%
JITH JAPAN						
We export more goods than we import	8%	7%	9%	8%	11%	7%
We import more goods than we export	76%	75%	74%	77%	75%	79%
Imports and exports about equal	7%	9%	9%	6%	6%	6%
Dk/Ns	9%	9%	9%	9%	8%	8%
DVERALL						
We export more goods than we import	22%	37%	27%	19%	18%	13%
le import more goods than we export	56%	41%	51%	61%	57%	66%
Imports and exports about equal	16%	16%	15%	16%	17%	14%
	6%	6%	6%	5%	8%	7%

7a. Have you heard anything about a North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA, involving Canada, the U.S. and Mexico?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368			
Yes No Dk/Ns	88% 12% 0%	90% 9% 0%	85% 15% 0%	85% 14% 1%	87% 13%	92 % 8%			

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 18

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Aware of NAFTA	1315	214	330	281	156	334
Unweighted Base	1323	212	335	280	156	340
Bad for Canada (General)	8%	3%	4%	9%	10%	11%
Freer Trade between Canada/ U.S./						
Mexico/ Lowering Tariffs	12%	19%	11%	12%	8%	9%
Good for Canada (General)	4%	7%	5%	2%	1%	6%
It's going ahead/ agreement signed/						
inevitable	11%	12%	11%	13%	10%	8%
Wages will go down	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Good for Canadian Business	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Lost jobs/ low Mexican wages	24%	19%	17%	24%	31%	32%
Similar to EEC/ trade bloc	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%
U.S. getting best deal	6%	5%	5%	9%	6%	6%
Canada giving up too much	4%	1%	3%	4%	6%	6%
Indifferent/ no impact/ good and bad	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	1%
Mexican workers will suffer	0%	2.*	2.0	44	4.6	1%
Same as F.T.A. (Canada-U.S. Deal)/	0.2					1.6
Extension of F.T.A.	7%	8%	10%	79	74	78/
Will hurt Canadian manufacturing/	1.6	0.6	10/6	7%	2%	7%
business	54	19	19	194	~	1.
	5%	4%	6%	4%	9%	6X
Will hurt Canadian standard of living	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
U.S./ Bush in favour	1%	1%	0%	1%		1%
Mexicans/ Salinas in favour	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%
Canadians worried/ anxious	3%	5%	3%	3%	1%	2%
Canadian Labour Unions Opposed	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
Bad for Canadian farmers/ Agriculture	0%	1%			1%	0%
Mexico getting best deal	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%
Mexico getting worst deal	0%			0%	0%	0%
U.S. corporations only winners	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Mulroney wants it	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%
Needed in today's marketplace	1%	1%	1%	1%		
U.S. and Canada will be losers	0%	1%		0%		0%
Generally in favour	1%	2%	1%			
Expand markets	4%	7%	6%	2%	1%	1%
Mexican workers allowed in Canada/					•••	
immigration problems	0%				1%	0%
Lower labour standards	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Mexican energy is safeguarded, ours is	174	V /V	179	1.4	170	1.70
not	0%				1%	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

· .			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Environmental standards will be upheld	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%
Can't trust participants to keep their	2.6	2.7	74	6.7	1.0	2.0
word	0%	0%	1%		1%	
	0%	0.6	1.6		1%	
Language differences		0%	0%	0%	1.6	1%
Cheaper prices for goods	0%	••••	•••		F N	
fore businesses/ Industries moving south	3%	1%	2%	3%	5%	5%
fore jobs available	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Improve Canadian economy	2%	4%	2%	1%		1%
Social services are protected	0%			0%		
Natural resources are protected	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
J.S. election deadline	0%	0%			1%	1%
Long-term benefit	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%
Treat to medicare	0%	0%				
Threat to Canadian culture	0%	1%				
lurt Canadian economy	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	3%
Government being secretive about	170	0/1	1,0	170	E /v	210
agreement	3%	0%	3%	3%	2%	3%
•	3%	0.6	36	36	26	74
55% North American content on any duty		0%	1%		1%	1%
free goods	1%	0%	176	1%	12	176
Canada remains powerless until						
U.S.decides what to do	0%		0%	0%		1%
The prov. concerned about how it will						
turn out for them	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
NAFTA is good for the west	0%		0%			
Loss of investment in Canada	0%		0%	0%		
Creates a tremendous opportunity for						
Canada in High-tech ind	1%	3%	1%	0%		0%
The deal concerning auto ind will be						
similar to present	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%
Has to go back through Parliament/ has						
to be ratified yet	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%
This is a Global way to go	1%	2%	54	1%	1%	3.6
Textile industry will suffer	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	
•		2%	۲.			
Transportation will be costly	0%			1%	1%	
largest common market in the world	0%			0%	1%	1%
Open up opportunities for the country	0%		1%			
Not all in favour	0%				1%	
Mexico does not pay attention to						
pollution	0%	1%	0%	1%		
Energy costs more in Canada	0%				1%	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

7b. What do you remember from what you saw, read, or heard?

	Psychographic Solution						
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
ad for Canada-U.S. relations/ conflict	0%		0%				
hoe and furniture industry will suffer bligated to join NAFTA to avoid losing	0%				1%		
investments	0%			0%			
one	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	
ther	0%		1%	1%		0%	
DK/NS)	8%	5%	11%	7%	14%	7%	

.

8. Is the NAFTA an improvement over the current free trade agreement between Canada and the U.S.?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Yes	27%	59%	42%	17%	13%	7%			
No	43%	14%	22%	47%	57%	74%			
Dk/Ns	30%	28%	35%	37%	30%	19%			

9. The canada-U.S. free trade agreement has now been in effect for over 3 years. generally speaking, would you say that it has...

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
ase: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368			
enefitted Canada lurt Canada lo impact lk/Ns	11% 67% 20% 3%	35% 36% 25% 4%	15 % 49% 30% 5%	6% 75% 18% 2%	0 % 79% 18% 2%	93% 7% 1%			

10. What about the long term effects of free trade between Canada and the U.S.? In the long run the free trade agreement will...

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	3 89	33 1	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Benefitted Canada	37%	89%	54 %	27%	12%	7%			
Hurt Canada	49%	5%	25%	57%	71%	86%			
No impact	8%	5%	12%	10%	11%	3%			
Dk/Ns	6%	1%	9%	6%	6%	4%			

Page: 25

11. Regardless of how you feel about the actual free trade agreement between Canada and the U.S., do you support or oppose the idea of free trade between Canada and the U.S.?

		Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363		
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368		
Support	66%	95%	80%	65%	51%	43%		
Oppose	30%	4%	16%	32%	44%	53%		
Dk/Ns	3%	1%	4%	3%	5%	4%		

12. Would you say that, in the long-term, more jobs will be created or would you say that more jobs would be lost as a result of the free trade agreement with the United States?

	Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363	
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368	
lot more jobs created	10%	35%	9%	.5%	2%	3%	
few more jobs created	23%	43%	40%	17%	10%	3%	
few more jobs lost	20%	11%	23%	31%	24%	12%	
lot more jobs lost	39%	4%	14%	39%	56%	B0%	
)k/Ns	8%	8%	14%	8%	7%	2%	

13. Recently there has been a number of trade disputes between Canada and the U.S. In general, do you think that Canada has won more, or lost more of these trade disputes since the free trade agreement went into effects?

	Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363	
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368	
Won more	10%	21%	14%	7%	5%	5%	
Lost more	74%	51%	68%	82%	81%	84%	
Even draw	7%	18%	7%	5%	6%	2%	
Dk/Ns	9%	11%	11%	5%	7%	9%	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 27

14. Generally speaking, do you support or oppose the free trade agreement that was reached between Canada and the United States?

	Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363	
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368	
Strongly support(4)	10%	41%	10%	3%		1%	
oderately support(3)	35%	50%	64%	29%	18%	8%	
oderately oppose(2)	25%	8%	16%	38%	40%	24%	
strongly oppose(1)	26%	1%	5%	23%	36%	65%	
Jk/Ns	4%	0%	5%	6%	7%	1%	
lean	2.3	3.3	2.8	2.1	1.8	1.4	

...

	Psychographic Solution						
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	21 21	80 82	204 202	134 134 134	325 330	
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT							
luch more	11%	33%	17%	11%	10%	8%	
Somewhat more	47%	33%	62%	57%	43%	39%	
Not very	23%	31%	14%	20%	27%	24%	
Not at all	16%	4%	7%	9%	14%	25%	
Dk/Ns	4%			2%	6%	5%	
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT							
fuch more	17%	33%	28%	21%	16%	11%	
Somewhat more	46%	51%	57%	49%	48%	40%	
Not very	18%	12%	9%	18%	17%	21%	
Not at all	14%	4%	3%	8%	14%	21%	
Dk/Ns	5%		3%	3%	5%	6%	
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA							
Huch more	20%	48%	29%	20%	16%	17%	
Somewhat more	45%	37%	43%	53%	43%	42%	
lot very	18%	5%	19%	15%	25%	16%	
Not at all	16%	4%	8%	10%	13%	23%	
Dk/Ns	2%	6%	0/1	1%	2%	2%	

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	21		204	134	325
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES						
Much more	10%	19%	17%	8%	13%	9%
Somewhat more	45%	58%	55%	53%	38%	39%
Not very	24%	14%		23%	32%	23%
Not at all	17%	4%	7%	11%	13%	25%
Dk/Ns	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	4%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER						
Much more	14%	44%	21%	13%	13%	10%
Somewhat more	47%	45%	49%	54%	49%	41%
Not very	20%	8%	24%	18%	18%	21%
Not at all	18%	4%	6%	13%	17%	24%
Dk/Ns	3%			1%	4%	3%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION						
Much more	11%	36%	18%	10%	9%	9%
Somewhat more	42%	40%	56%	52%	38%	35%
Not very	23%	15%	15%	21%	29%	24%
Not at all	18%	9%	8%	13%	17%	25%
Dk/Ns	6%		2%	3%	7%	8%

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	21	80	204	134	325
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA						
fuch more	22%	27%	32%	30%	17%	17%
Somewhat more	42%	64%	44%	46%	43%	37%
lot very	18%	5%	14%	16%	22%	19%
Not at all	15%	4%	8%	8%	11%	23%
Dk/Ns	4%		1%	1%	7%	4%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN						
Auch more	16%	38%	31%	19%	9%	12%
Somewhat more	37%	35%	38%	43%	38%	33%
lot very	21%	18%	20%	20%	26%	20%
lot at all	24%	9%	10%	17%	23%	33%
)k/Ns	2%		1%	1%	4%	2%
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT						
Much more	17%	34%	22%	25%	11%	12%
Somewhat more	40%	38%	42%	44%	44%	35%
lot very	20%	9%	17%	17%	23%	21%
Not at all	21%	19%	14%	13%	18%	29%
Dk/Ns	3%		4%	1%	4%	2%

Page: 32

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

· · ·	Psychographic Solution						
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	21	80	204	134	325	
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330	
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY							
luch more	15%	33%	27%	14%	12%	12%	
omewhat more	44%	45%	46%	56%	44%	36%	
ot very	21%	9%	16%	21%	21%	23%	
ot at all	16%		10%	6%	17%	25%	
ok/Ns	4%	14%	1%	3%	7%	4%	
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT							
luch more	16%	24%	24%	20%	9%	14%	
omewhat more	39%	35%	52%	46%	42%	31%	
ot very	21%	41%	16%	20%	25%	21%	
ot at all	20%		4%	12%	15%	31%	
k/Ns	4%		4%	2%	9%	3%	

Page: 33

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Much more/ Somewhat more)

	Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
EVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	57	66	79	68	53	46	
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	63	84	84	70	63	51	
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	65	86	73	73	59	59	
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	55	77	72	61	50	48	
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	60	89	70	67	62	51	
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	53	76	75	62	47	44	
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	64	92	76	76	60	54	
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	53	73	69	62	47	45	

(continued)

Summary Table of (Much more/ Somewhat more)

	Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA Because of the free trade agreement	57	72	64	69	54	47	
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	59	78	73	70	56	48	
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	55	59	76	66	52	44	

Page: 35

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters		Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
EVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	39	34	21	29	41	49
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE Increased by \$31 billon as a result of the free trade agreement	33	16	13	26	32	43
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN						
CANADA	33	8	27	26	39	39
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	41	17	26	34	45	48
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	37	11	30	32	34	46
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	41	24	23	35	46	49
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	32	8	22	23	33	47 42
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	45	27	30	37	50	53

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

1 A.	

Summary	Table	of	(Not	very/	not	at	all)	

	Psychographic Solution							
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	41	28	32	30	41	50		
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	37	9	26	27	37	48		
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	41	41	20	32	40	52		

_			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	21	80	204	134	325
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT						
Very believable	7%	8%	4%	10%	7%	6%
Somewhat believable	35%	59%	55%	43%	41%	22%
Not very believable	36%	16%	30%	39%	31%	38%
Not believable at all	19%	13%	11%	7%	15%	30%
Dk/Ns	3%	5%	1178	1%	5%	5%
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT						
Very believable	4%	14%	7%	5%	4%	2%
Somewhat believable	29%	39%	43%	39%	31%	17%
Not very believable	41%	24%	43%	41%	41%	42%
lot believable at all	24%	18%	8%	13%	20%	35%
Dk/Ns	2%	5%		2%	4%	3%
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA						
/ery believable	5%	9%	8%	8%	4%	2%
Somewhat believable	22%	48%	32%	29%	22%	14%
lot very believable	39%	27%	46%	39%	38%	38%
lot believable at all	33%	17%	13%	24%	35%	45%
)k/Ns	1%	1170	1%	1%	2%	45%

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose		21	80	204	134	325
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES						
Very believable	5%	10%	7%	8%	3%	2%
Somewhat believable	32%	56%	39%	41%	31%	23%
Not very believable	38%	24%	36%	33%	39%	41%
Not believable at all	23%	10%	17%	15%	21%	32%
Dk/Ns	3%		1%	3%	6%	2%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER						
Very believable	4%		10%	4%	4%	2%
Somewhat believable	30%	46%	51%	39%	28%	19%
Not very believable	40%	31%	25%	38%	42%	44%
Not believable at all	25%	23%	14%	17%	22%	34%
Dk/Ns	2%			2%	3%	1%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION						
Very believable	4%		4%	6%	4%	3%
Somewhat believable	29%	49%	58%	36%	29%	16%
Not very believable	39%	41%	26%	37%	39%	43%
Not believable at all	24%	10%	11%	18%	19%	33%
Dk/Ns	5%	10.0	1%	3%	9%	5%

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	21	80	204	134	325
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA						
Very believable	5%	23%	7%	6%	2%	3%
Somewhat believable	24%	39%	50%	31%	24%	12%
Not very believable	40%	32%	29%	42%	44%	40%
lot believable at all	30%	6%	12%	20%	25%	43%
)k/Ns	2%		1%	1%	5%	2%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENEO THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC OOWNTURN						
Very believable	4%	15%	8%	4%	3%	2%
Somewhat believable	15%	21%	35%	20%	19%	6%
lot very believable	37%	43%	25%	44%	35%	35%
lot believable at all	42%	21%	30%	31%	37%	56%
)k/Ns	2%		1%	1%	5%	1%
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANAOA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT						
Very believable	10%	19%	11%	14%	9%	8%
Somewhat believable	30%	43%	44%	34%	34%	21%
lot very believable	29%	27%	26%	31%	32%	28%
lot believable at all	29%	12%	16%	19%	22%	43%
)k/Ns	2%		2%	2%	4%	1%

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
· · ·	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	21	80	204	134	325
Unweighted Base	769	21	82	202	134	330
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY						
Very believable	7%	24%	13%	8%	5%	4%
Somewhat believable	35%	36%	46%	44%	34%	27%
Not very believable	31%	18%	25%	32%	36%	30%
lot believable at all	23%	15%	14%	11%	20%	35%
Dk/Ns	4%	7%	2%	4%	6%	4%
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT						
/ery believable	9%	14%	11%	13%	9%	6%
oméwhat believable	37%	69%	48%	43%	35%	30%
lot very believable	27%	13%	29%	27%	32%	25%
lot believable at all	24%		12%	16%	17%	36%
)k/Ns	3%	5%		2%	7%	3%

Summary Table of (Very beleivable/ Somewhat believable)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist:
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY						
CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	42	67	59	53	48	27
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE Increased by \$31 billion as a result of the free trade agreement	33	53	49	44	35	20
					25	20
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	27	57	40	37	26	16
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN	- /					
COMPANIES	36	66	46	49	34	25
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	34	46	60	43	32	21
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM				42	52	21
POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	33	49	61	42	33	19
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	29	62	57	37	26	15
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED			51	51	20	2
THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	19	36	43	24	22	8
OME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	40	61	55	48	42	28

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

Summary Table of (Very beleivable/ Somewhat believable)

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
HE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	42	60	58	53	38	31			
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	46	83	59	56	44	36			

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	55	29	41	46	47	68
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE Increased by \$31 billion as a result of the free trade agreement	65	42	51	54	61	78
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	72	43	59	.62	72	83
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	61	34	52	48	61	73
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	65	54	40	55	64	78
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	63	51	37	55	58	76
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	69	38	41	62	69	83
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	79	64	56	75	73	91
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA Because of the free trade agreement	58	39	42	50	54	71

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
HE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY	54	33	39	43	55	65
ANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	51	13	41	42	49	61

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

-

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LEADERS						
Trust most	5%	17%	6%	2%	1%	1%
Trust some	33%	51%	46%	26%	32%	14%
Trust little	36%	25%	34%	46%	44%	34%
Trust nothing	25%	7%	14%	25%	23%	51%
Dk/Ns	0%	0%		1%		0%
PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT LEADERS						
Trust most	8%	14%	9%	6%	6%	6%
frust some	41%	48%	46%	38%	47%	30%
Trust little	33%	25%	32%	40%	34%	34%
Trust nothing	17%	12%	13%	16%	13%	29%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
LABOUR UNION LEADERS						
Trust most	9%	7%	7%	8%	11%	13%
Trust some	35%	25%	33%	42%	33%	35%
Trust little	32%	33%	35%	31%	34%	27%
Trust nothing	23%	35%	24%	17%	19%	23%
Dk/Ns	1%		1%	1%	3%	1%
TRADE ECONOMISTS AND OTHER EXPERTS IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE						
Trust most	29%	41%	31%	30%	23%	21%
Trust some	46%	48%	47%	50%	44%	43%
Trust little	17%	7%	15%	15%	26%	23%
Trust nothing	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	11%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist:
ase: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
OURNALISTS AND REPORTERS						
rust most	11%	7%	13%	14%	5%	13%
rust some	43%	47%	37%	43%	51%	44%
rust little	30%	33%	34%	32%	26%	25%
rust nothing	14%	12%	15%	10%	15%	17%
k/Ns	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
USINESS LEADERS						
rust most	21%	31%	25%	19%	18%	13%
rust some	49%	51%	48%	53%	50%	44%
rust little	23%	14%	22%	22%	24%	29%
rust nothing	7%	4%	4%	5%	5%	13%
k/Ns	1%	0%	0%	1%	4%	1%
NTEREST GROUPS OPPOSED TO THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT						
rust most	8%	3%	4%	8%	10%	15%
rust-some	39%	30%	34%	47%	43%	43%
rust little	35%	34%	45%	33%	31%	27%
rust nothing	16%	32%	15%	9%	10%	14%
k/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	6%	1%

Page: 47

17a. As you may know, the federal government has recently completed negotiations with the United States and Mexico on a three-way free trade agreement. Do you ...

	Psychographic Solution									
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists				
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363				
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368				
itrongly support(4)	10%	39%	13%	2%						
oderately support(3)	36%	53%	67%	32%	17%	4%				
oderately oppose(2)	24%	4%	11%	43%	41%	25%				
itrongly oppose(1)	24%	1%	3%	14%	32%	68%				
k/Ns	6%	3%	7%	9%	11%	3%				
lean	2.3	3.3	3.0	2.2	1.8	1.3				

17. Why do you support the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Strongly support/ somewhat support Unweighted Base	682 686	218 216	310 313	110 112	30 30	15 15
Opens more markets for Canada than jobs						
lost	18%	16%	19%	23%	10%	
Good for Canada (general)	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	7%
Good for Mexico (general)	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	15%
Good for Canada-U.Sand Mexico	3%	3%	3%	5%		
Will raise Mexican standard of living	1%	1%	1%	1%		12%
Will create jobs/ opportunity	11%	12%	12%	8%	14%	13%
l'am for freer trade	7%	5%	10%	5%	3%	6%
Will solve problems with F.T.A./		2.0		2.0		
improvement	3%	2%	3%	3%	13%	13%
More trade is good	11%	11%	12%	8%	10%	29%
More investment in Canada	1%	11/4	1%	010		7%
Stabilizing prices	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	
No alternative/ free trade the only	3/4	276	2/0	2/6	476	
option	7%	8%	6%	8%	14%	
Help balance imports & exports	2%	2%	1%	4%	1476	
Reduce Labour	0%	2.0	1.0	1%		
Trade Bloc Unit	4%	6%	4%	170		
	9%	10%	8%	9%	7%	
Long Term Benefits Will force Canadian Businesses to become	7/6	10/6	0.6	7.6	1.4	
	11%	15%	9%	11%	3%	
more competitive	116	12/6	7.6	11/6	74	
Mexico could benefit from Canadian	24	/ 9/	4 94	3%		
technology	2%	4%	1%	2%		
No Tariffs	1%	0%	2%	4.0%	4 794	14%
Improve economy	11%	9%	12%	10%	17%	146
Important to have stronger relations to		•	4.04	1.01		
compete with the ECM	7%	9%	6%	4%	7%	6%
Will be left behind, if we don't move						
forward	6%	9%	4%	5%		
Will waken unions	0%	0%	_	_		
Should work if all co-operate fairly	2%	0%	3%	3%		
Canada and U.S.A. will force Mexico to						
clean up environment	0%		0%			
Canada needs to learn to compete						
globally	3%	5%	2%		3%	

(continued)

17. Why do you support the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Will be the strongest trading bloc in						
the world	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	
Savings in transportation costs	0%		0%	1%		
Tourism will benefit	0%		•		4%	
Global free trade improves standard of	•••					
living for everyone	0%		1%			
Trust government	0%		0%		2%	
Cheaper prices for goods	1%	1%	1%	2%	270	
Good for the auto industry	0%	0%	170	270		
Make all 3 countries more competitive	0%	1%	1%			
More choice of merchandise	0%	0%	0%			
Wages are low in Mexico/ cheap labour -	0.4	V/8	0.8			
lost jobs	0%		0%	1%		
Only U.S. will benefit/ Canada & Mexico	0.6		v /a	176		
losers	0%		1%			
Will threaten social programmes	0%	1%	178			
Will lower standard of living	0%	176	0%			7%
Canada can't compete	0%		0%			
Loss of natural resources (lumber/ oil/	076		v /a			
mining/ water)	0%		0%			
Mexican government not trustworthy/	V / a		V /8			
unstable	0%		0%			
We don't export or import much with	0.6		0.0			
Mexico	0%		0%			
Don't trust the politicians/too many	0.4		0.6			
loopholes/too secretive	0%	0%	1%			
Misnomer: not free trade	0%	0.6	0%			
Not enough info about NAFTA/ should have	0.6		UA			
had referendum	1%		14	2%		
nad referendum None		14	1%	2%		
	0%	1%	~~			
Other	0%	25	0%		7*	
(DK/NS)	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	

1.4

17. Why do you oppose the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Somewhat oppose/ Strongly oppose Unweighted Base	723 725	12 12 12	54 54	191 188	129 129	337 342
Good for Mexico (general)	0%					0%
fill raise Mexican standard of living	0%					0%
l'am for freer trade	0%		2%			
No alternative/ free trade the only						
option	0%				1%	
Wages are low in Mexico/ cheap labour -						
lost jobs	57%	32%	33%	53%	68%	59%
Exploitation of cheap Mexican labour	1%			1%	-	1%
Only U.S. will benefit/ Canada & Mexico						
losers	6%		11%	7%	1%	7%
F.T.A. bad - NAFTA worse	14%	17%	17%	17%	14%	12%
Will threaten social programmes	0%				1%	0%
Vill lower standard of living	3%			3%	3%	3%
Canada can't compete	6%		2%	6%	6%	7%
Hurt Canadian economy	9%	6%	10%	9%	8%	10%
Canada will lose money than gain/ get	//	0.4	10/1	///	0.0	
cheated	12%	9%	18%	10%	12%	12%
.oss of natural resources (lumber/ oil/	12.7	7.0	10%	104		
mining/ water)	2%		2%	4%		2%
lexican government not trustworthy/	2.0		2.4	7.0		24
unstable	1%		3%	1%		1%
Vill Americanize Canadians	2%		2%	3%	1%	3%
ioo much difference between Canada/ U.S.	2.6		24	24	1.4	74
	49	79/	/~	4 9	1%	1%
and Mexico	1%	7%	4%	1%	174	14
We don't export or import much with	24		194	24	4 GY	24
Mexico	2%		4%	2%	1%	2%
Don't trust the politicians/too many		-				
loopholes/too secretive	3%	9%	7%	3%	2%	2%
Canadian companies moving south of the			_			
border	14%		2%	13%	21%	15%
invironmental issues more lax in Mexico	3%		5%	2%	2%	3%
Investors will invest in Mexico, instead						
of Canada	1%	9%		1%		1%
Affect cost of living	1%		2%			1% -
Misnomer: not free trade	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%
Mexico doesn't have much to offer	3%	7%	3%	4%	4%	3%

•

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

17. Why do you oppose the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Canada should look after their own first arge Corporations will be the only ones	1%	9%		1%	1%	1%
to benefit Will eventually lose ability to export	1%			1%	2%	1%
to diff.countries J.S.A. rushing Canada into signing	0%		2%			0%
(perks for Bush)	1%			1%		1%
/ill lower our wages Nexico will get extra benefits,it is 3rd	1%			1%	1%	1%
world country	0%			0%		
e'll import more than we export	0%			4.00	1%	
e will lose sovreignty to the U.S. ot enough info about NAFTA/ should have	0%			1%		0%
had referendum	2%		4%	2%	1%	2%
ther	0%	9%		2		0%
(DK/NS)	1%	8%	2%	1%	2%	1%

.

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade	723	12	54	191	129	337
Unweighted Base	725	12	54	188	129	342
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT						
Much more	16%	53%	20%	19%	17%	11%
Somewhat more	41%	40%	54%	49%	43%	33%
Not very	20%	7%	15%	20%	22%	21%
Not at all	22%	170	7%	11%	17%	33%
Dk/Ns	2%		4%	1%		2%
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH America Mkt	454	54 M	0.7%	174		128
Much more	15%	51%	27%	18%	11%	12%
Somewhat more	44%	49%	49% 17%	50 % 18%	48% 24%	38% 20 %
Not very	20%				15%	- · ·
Not at all Dk/Ns	19% 2%		6% 2%	12% 2%	15% 1%	27% 2%
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY						
Much more	15%	49%	20%	16%	10%	15%
Somewhat more	40%	33%	49%	47%	48%	31%
Not very	22%	9%	20%	21%	22%	22%
Not at all	22%	9%	10%	13%	19%	29%
Dk/Ns	2%		2%	2%	1%	2%
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA						
Much more	9%	26%	14%	11%	9%	6%
Somewhat more	40%	57%	58%	44%	43%	33%
Not very	26%	18%	13%	24%	29%	27%

Source: Angus Reid Group

International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 52

(continued)

Page: 53

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

		Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists				
Not at all Dk/Ns	21% 5%		9% 5%	16% 5%	14% 6%	29% 4%				

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

	Psychographic Solution								
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	723 725	12 12 12	54 54	191 188	129 129	337 342			
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF The Benefits Gained by Canada in the Canada-U.S. FTA Especially in trade Disputes									
luch more	9%	26%	14%	13%	6%	7%			
Somewhat more	44%	50%	55%	50%	48%	37%			
Not very	23%	15%	19%	22%	25%	24%			
Not at all	20%	9%	7%	11%	14%	29%			
)k/Ns	4%		5%	4%	7%	3%			
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA									
luch more	25%	67%	30%	30%	24%	21%			
Somewhat more	37%	24%	49%	43%	38%	32%			
Not very	17%	9%	8%	12%	24%	19%			
Not at all	19%		6%	12%	12%	27%			
Dk/Ns	2%		7%	3%	3%	1%			
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS									
Auch more	19%	51%	23%	21%	18%	16%			
Somewhat more	41%	34%	52%	53%	39%	34%			
lot very	20%	7%	9%	16%	27%	21%			
Not at all	17%	8%	11%	9%	14%	24%			
Dk/Ns	3%	0.0	5%	1%	2%	4%			

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 54

Page: 55

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

Summary Table (Much more/ somewhat more)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Dpponents	Resolute Antagonists
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	56	93	73	68	6D	44
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	59	100	75	69	59	50
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD The Mexican Economy	55	82	69	64	58	46
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	49	82	72	55	52	40
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	53	76	69	63	54	45
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	62	91	79	73	62	52
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	60	85	75	74	57	51

18a. If you heard that... would this make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, not very likely or not likely at all to support Canada-U.S.-Mexico free trade?

Summary Table (Not very/ not at all)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	42	7	23	31	40	54
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHDLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	39		23	29	40	48
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD The Mexican Economy	43	18	30	34	41	52
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	47	18	22	40	43	56
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	43	24	26	33	39	52
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	36	9	14	24	36	46
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	37	15	20	25	40	45

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion.	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	723 725	12 12	54 54	191 188	129 129	337 342
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT						
Very believable	6%	53%	7%	8%	4%	3%
Somewhat believable	27%	17%	49%	33%	28%	20%
Not very believable	36%	23%	27%	38%	39%	35%
Not believable at all	30%	8%	12%	19%	27%	41%
Dk/Ns	2%		4%	2%	2%	1%
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT						
Very believable	2%	9%	2%	4%	1%	1%
Somewhat believable	29%	66%	55%	36%	32%	19%
Not very believable	40%	17%	24%	41%	45%	41%
Not believable at all	26%	8%	10%	15%	19%	37%
Dk/Ns	3%		9%	4%	2%	1%
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY						
Very believable	14%	18%	14%	16%	15%	12%
Somewhat believable	36%	74%	50%	44%	37%	28%
Not very believable	28%		21%	25%	35%	29%
Not believable at all	21%	8%	8%	13%	12%	31%
Dk/Ns	2%		7%	2%	2%	1%
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA						
Very believable	3%	9%	7%	3%	4%	1%
Somewhat believable	33%	66%	58%	41%	34%	23%
Not very believable	35%	25%	23%	35%	40%	34%
Not believable at all	26%		6%	19%	16%	39%
Dk/Ns	3%		5%	2%	7%	3%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

•

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade	723	12	54	191	129	337
Unweighted Base	725	12	54	188	129	342
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES						
Very believable	3%	9%		4%	2%	2%
Somewhat believable	36%	74%	62%	41%	40%	25%
Not very believable	36%	9%	22%	35%	39%	39%
lot believable at all	22%	9%	11%	16%	12%	32%
Dk/Ns	4%		5%	4%	6%	2%
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA						
/ery believable	7%	25%	13%	12%	6%	4%
omewhat believable	28%	40%	62%	34%	32%	18%
ot verv believable	33%	35%	14%	33%	41%	32%
lot believable at all	30%		8%	19%	19%	45%
Dk/Ns	2%		3%	2%	2%	1%
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS						
/ery believable	5%	18%	21%	6%	4%	3%
Somewhat believable	31%	49%	49%	41%	34%	21%
lot very believable	34%	26%	13%	35%	45%	34%
lot believable at all	27%	8%	11%	16%	16%	40%
)k/Ns	3%		5%	2%	1%	3%

Summary Table (Very believable/ somewhat believable

	Psychographic Solution								
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	33	70	57	42	32	22			
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	31	75	56	40	33	20			
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	50	92	65	60	51	39			
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	36	75	65	44	38	24			
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRDE DISPUTES	38	82	62	45	43	27			
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	36	65	75	46	38	22			
HE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	36	67	71	47	38	23			

Summary Table (Not very/ not at all)

			Psychographic Solution					
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICO WOULD BE GOOD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	66	30	40	56	66	76		
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	66	25	34	57	64	78		
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	48	8	28	38	47	59		
HE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	61	25	29	54	56	73		
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	58	18	33	51	51	70		
ANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	63	35	22	52	60	77		
HE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS	61	33	24	51	60	74		

19a. What about the long-term effects of the NAFTA? Do you think that in the long run the NAFTA will benefit Canada?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Benefitted Canada	41%	90%	62%	35%	21%	3%			
Hurt Canada	38%	3%	13%	39%	53%	81%			
No impact	11%	3%	13%	16%	16%	8%			
Dk/Ns	9%	4%	12%	10%	11%	8%			

.

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Benefit province	35%	77%	63%	17%	12%	8%			
Hurt province	41%	7%	10%	55%	61%	75%			
No impact	15%	10%	15%	19%	17%	12%			
Dk/Ns	9%	6%	12%	10%	11%	5%			

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Benefit Province	530	182	244	56	21	27
Unweighted Base	528	179	244	57	20	28
My province is not industrial, more						
agri will benefit	1%		1%			5%
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	10%	8%	11%	6%	10%	20%
Will create jobs	12%	11%	14%	10%	10%	4%
My province will trade more with U.S.		11%		10/8	10/4	-776
and/ or Mexico	12%	14%	9%	13%	14%	24%
	8%		10%		140	4%
More trade		8%		4%	104	
Increased investment in province	2%	1%	3%	10	10%	2%
New businesses in province	7%	4%	8%	6%	8%	8%
Province has to have freer trade/						
inevitable	7%	8%	8%			13%
Province can compete	10%	12%	10%	5%	10%	7%
Expanded export market	16%	17%	17%	17%	5%	3%
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with						
European Market	1%	2%	0%	2%		
Will force provinces's Industry to						
become more competitive	10%	11%	8%	19%	5%	6%
Strengthen economy	8%	9%	8%	8%	5%	4%
Attract immigration	1%	2%				
Mexico can benefit from our expertise &		2,0				
technology	7%	10%	7%	4%		
Trickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda,		104		7/0		
it benefits prov	2%	3%	0%	5%		
•		3%	0%	24		4%
Province is not labour based	0%			-		4%
Will help primary industries	1%	0%	2%	2%		
Providing all parties are considered						
equal	1%		1%			
We are very strong in industry	2%	3%	1%	2%	5%	3%
Will help agriculture	4%	6%	3%		5%	3%
This is a global way to go	1%	2%	2%			
For our province there is no way to go						
but up	0%		0%		4%	
Our geographical position will benefits						
U.S.	1%	2%	0%			
Our prov will be independent and this	178	P.44	v /a			
will open trade doors	1%	2%	0%	2%		4%
	0%		0%	24		48
We will diversity more	076	1%	U%	·		

(continued)

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Vill improve our standard of living	0%					3%			
Dil and gas industry will benefit	0%	170			3%	4%			
No Tariffs	1%	1%	1%		0.0				
uto industry will benefit	0%		0%						
fill reprice the cost of foreign goods	0%		0%						
owered market prices	1%	1%	1%						
f Quebec stays in Canada, we will	178	176	176						
benefit	0%	1%	0%						
lo choice/ it is necessary	0%	176	0%						
Creates a great demand for high tech	•/		0/0						
products	0%	1%							
ose jobs - low Mexican wages	0%	1%							
o trade with Mexico/ U.S.	0%	178	0%						
one	0%	1%	0.6						
ither	1%	176	1%	2%	6%				
(DK/NS)	4%	3%	4%	12%	15%				

•

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Benefit Province	530	182	244	56	21	27
Unweighted Base	528	179	244	57	20	28
y province is not industrial, more						
agri will benefit	1%		1%			5%
xport resources to U.S. and Mexico	10%	8%	11%	6%	10%	20%
fill create jobs	12%	11%	14%	10%	10%	4%
Ay province will trade more with U.S.		1174	1 170			
and/ or Mexico	12%	14%	9%	13%	14%	24%
fore trade	8%	8%	10%	4%	1474	4%
Increased investment in province	2%	1%	3%	4/2	10%	2%
New businesses in province	7%	4%	8%	6%		
Province has to have freer trade/	1.6	4%	0.6	0%	8%	8%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	04	•			470
inevitable	7%	8%	8%			13%
rovince can compete	10%	12%	10%	5%	10%	7%
xpanded export market	16%	17%	17%	17%	5%	3%
lade bigger trading Bloc to compete with						
European Market	1%	2%	0%	2%		
lill force provinces's Industry to						
become more competitive	10%	11%	8%	19%	5%	6%
Strengthen economy	8%	9%	8%	8%	5%	4%
Attract immigration	1%	2%				
lexico can benefit from our expertise &						
technology	7%	10%	7%	4%		
rickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda,						
it benefits prov	2%	3%	0%	5%		
Province is not labour based	0%	27	0.8	24		4%
fill help primary industries	1%	0%	2%	2%		46
Providing all parties are considered	1.4	0.6	24	24		
equal	1%	-	1%		-	
le are very strong in industry	2%	3%	1%	2%	5%	3%
ill help agriculture	4%	6%	3%		5%	3%
his is a global way to go	1%	2%	2%			
or our province there is no way to go						
but up	0%		0%		4%	
Our geographical position will benefits						
U.S.	1%	2%	0%			
Our prov will be independent and this						
will open trade doors	1%	2%	0%	2%		4%
le will diversity more	0%	1%	0%	LA		77
	VA	1/0	UA.			

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
will improve our standard of living	0%					3%			
Dil and gas industry will benefit	0%				3%	4%			
No Tariffs	1%	1%	1%						
Nuto industry will benefit	0%		0%						
fill reprice the cost of foreign goods	0%		0%						
owered market prices	1%	1%	1%						
f Quebec stays in Canada, we will									
benefit	0%	1%	0%						
o choice/ it is necessary	0%		0%						
reates a great demand for high tech									
products	0%	1%							
.ose jobs - low Mexican wages	0%	1%							
lo trade with Mexico/ U.S.	0%		0%						
lone	0%	1%							
Other	1%		1%	2%	6%				
(DK/NS)	4%	3%	4%	12%	15%				

Page: 67

			Psyc	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist						
Base: Hurt Province	617	16	39	181	108	274						
Unweighted Base	621	16	39	180	109	277						
Nade bigger trading Bloc to compete with												
European Market	0%					1%						
lanufacturing will be hurt/ more out of												
province	24%	13%	21%	22%	28%	25%						
griculture will be hurt	4%		5%	5%	3%	4%						
Province will lose/ provincial economy				•								
will suffer	14%	19%	16%	16%	14%	12%						
Province can't compete/ too small	4%		2%	5%	7%	2%						
Aill affect health care/ social						2/0						
programmes	1%			1%	1%	1%						
ose control of resources (hydro/ oil/	112				1.4							
water)	5%		3%	7%	2%	6%						
ose jobs - low Mexican wages	46%	43%	41%	38%	47%	51%						
xport lumber -> deplete our forests	1%			3%	1%	0%						
.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free				•		•						
trade	6%	13%	5%	7%	7%	5%						
arifs still apply on softwoods/						210						
limitations	1%	7%		1%	2%	1%						
ower our standard of living	3%	7%	5%	4%		2%						
ad for lumber Industry	1%	7%	3%		2%	1%						
lore companies moving south	11%	5%	13%	7%	10%	15%						
lexico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	2.0		3%	2%	1%						
heap imports	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	4%						
ad for the fishing industry	1%	•	•••	1%	1%	1%						
utomobile industry will suffer	1%		5%	170	1%	12						
teel industry will lose out to U.S.A.			214		14	14						
bigger companies	0%				1%	0%						
rovince will lose federal government	•••				1.4	0.8						
subsidies	1%	6%		1%		1%						
ad for environment - no restrictions	1.70	0,8		1.20		1.4						
down there	2%			3%	2%	2%						
roduction costs are easier and cheaper	2.4			74	LA	27						
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%	6%	3%	1%	2%	1%						
ad for textile industry	1%	12%	Jh	1%	2* 4%	0%						
lore immigration	0%	164		1.6	44	0%						
lexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil	0.4					UA						
patch	0%					~						
porcei	0.6					0%						

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will									
devastate it financially	1%			1%		1%			
Problem of unity between the province	0%		3%						
Fear of foreign investment	0%		3%						
Threat to our culture	0%				1%	0%			
No faith in politicians/ Government	0%				1%				
Not enough information to form opinion	1%			2%					
Other	0%		2%						
(DK/NS)	2%		2%	2%	5%	1%			

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: Hurt Province	617	16	39	181	108	274
Unweighted Base	621	16	39	180	109	277
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with						
European Market	0%					1%
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of						
province	24%	13%	21%	22%	28%	25%
Agriculture will be hurt	4%		5%	5%	3%	4%
Province will lose/ provincial economy						
will suffer	14%	19%	16%	16%	14%	12%
Province can't compete/ too small	4%		2%	5%	7%	2%
Will affect health care/ social						
programmes	1%			1%	1%	1%
ose control of resources (hydro/ oil/						
water)	5%		3%	7%	2%	6%
ose jobs - low Mexican wages.	46%	43%	41%	38%	47%	51%
xport lumber -> deplete our forests	1%			3%	1%	0%
T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free						
trade	6%	13%	5%	7%	7%	5%
arifs still apply on softwoods/						
limitations	1%	7%		1%	2%	1%
ower our standard of living	3%	7%	5%	4%		2%
Bad for lumber Industry	1%	7%	3%		2%	1%
fore companies moving south	11%	5%	13%	7%	10%	15%
fexico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	2.0		3%	2%	1%
Cheap imports	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	4%
Bad for the fishing industry	1%	•		1%	1%	1%
Automobile industry will suffer	1%		5%		1%	1%
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.	170		20		174	
bigger companies	0%				1%	0%
Province will lose federal government	0.4				1.4	
subsidies	1%	6%		1%		1%
Bad for environment - no restrictions	1.4	0%		1.4		1.4
down there	2%			3%	2%	2%
Production costs are easier and cheaper	6.70			74	24	C.N
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%	6%	3%	1%	2%	1%
Bad for textile industry	1%	12%	24			
More immigration		12%		1%	4%	0%
Mexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil	0%					0%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0*					~~
patch	0%					0%

(continued)

	Psychographic Solution								
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
f Quebec separates, the NAFTA will									
devastate it financially	1%			1%		1%			
roblem of unity between the province	0%		3%						
ear of foreign investment	0%		3%						
hreat to our culture	0%				1%	0%			
o faith in politicians/ Government	0%				1%				
ot enough information to form opinion	1%			2%					
ther	0%		2%						
DK/NS)	2%		2%	2%	5%	1%			

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: No impact	220	25	59	63	30	44			
Unweighted Base	223	25	62	62	30	44			
For our province there is no way to go									
but up	0%		2%						
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of									
province	0%			2%					
Province will lose/ provincial economy									
will suffer	0%			2%					
Province can't compete/ too small	2%		3%	1%	3%				
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	1%					3%			
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free									
trade	1%	4%			4%				
Bad for lumber Industry	1%					3%			
lexico hasn't a lot to offer	0%			2%					
ion't make any difference to province	30%	32%	31%	33%	27%	27%			
lot many industries/ manufacturing more	15%	26%	12%	12%	10%	18%			
lo trade with Mexico/ U.S.	7%		6%	5%	6%	16%			
F.T.A. had no impact	4%		6%	2%	7%	4%			
Small labour force here	1%			5%					
le export raw products	2%		2%	4%	4%				
le are a self-supporting province	9%	15%	7%	11%	13%	3%			
Grain prices already low	0%					2%			
Already trading with a lot of countries	4%		10%	3%		4%			
My province is too small	4%		2%	5%	3%	6 %			
Most of our Industries already lost to									
F.T.A.	4%		7%	3%	7%	5%			
Our economy is so bad now that it can't									
get worse	4%	12%		2%	9%	7%			
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is									
scaring away bus.inv	1%	4%				2%			
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc	1%				4%				
Our trade relations with U.S.A. will not									
get any better	3%		2%	3%	4%	4%			
Our government is busy with independt			=						
issues	1%	4%		3%					
Everything will be divided equally among	170	27							
3 parties	2%	4%	7%						
They don't have enough money to trade	L /9								
with us	1%				6%				

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

	Psychographic Solution							
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
Social programs will stay as they are Because quebec will separate and won't	0%				3%			
be a party to it	0%			2%				
Not enough information to form opinion	3%		8%	2%		2%		
(DK/NS)	8%		7%	11%		13%		

Page: 73

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Oispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist:
Base: No impact	220	25	59	63	30	44
Unweighted Base	223	25	62	62	30	44
For our province there is no way to go						
but up	0%		2%			
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of						
province	0%			2%		
Province will lose/ provincial economy	•					
will suffer	0%			2%		
Province can't compete/ too small	2%		3%	1%	3%	
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	1%		376	170	210	3%
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free	178					2.0
trade	1%	4%			4%	
Bad for lumber industry	1%					3%
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	0%			2%		34
Won't make any difference to province	30%	32%	31%	33%	27%	27%
Not many industries/ manufacturing more	15%	26%	12%	12%	10%	18%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	7%	LON	6%	5%	6%	16%
F.T.A. had no impact	4%		6%	2%	7%	4%
Small labour force here	1%		0.4	5%		-110
We export raw products	2%		2%	4%	4%	
We are a self-supporting province	9%	15%	7%	11%	13%	3%
Grain prices already low	0%	~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~	1.	11/0	WC1	2%
Already trading with a lot of countries	4%		10%	3%		4%
My province is too small	4%		2%	5%	3%	6%
	4.6		2.6	74	36	0.6
Most of our Industries already lost to	4%		7%	74	7%	5%
F.T.A.	4 %		1.	3%	1.6	74
Our economy is so bad now that it can't		1.79		2%	9%	7%
get worse	4%	12%		26	ሃሌ	(*
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is	4 94	19				
scaring away bus.inv	1%	4%			4%	2%
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc	1%				46	
Our trade relations with U.S.A. will not	70		0%	70	101	19
get any better	3%		2%	3%	4%	4%
Our government is busy with independt	4.04	1.00		70		
issues	1%	4%		3%		
Everything will be divided equally among			-			
3 parties	2%	4%	7%			
They don't have enough money to trade						
with us	1%				6%	

.

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

	Psychographic Solution							
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
Social programs will stay as they are Because quebec will separate and won't	0%				3%			
be a party to it	0%			2%				
Not enough information to form opinion	3%		8%	2%		2%		
(DK/NS)	8%		7%	11%		13%		

Page: 75

20. Regardless of how you feel about the actual free trade agreement between Canada, Mexico and the U.S., do you support or oppose the idea of freer trade between Canda, Mexico and the U.S.?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Support	67%	94%	87%	64X	4 3%	42 %			
Dppose	28%	4%	8%	30X	51%	54%			
Dk/Ns	5%	2%	5%	6X	6%	4%			

.

21. Would you say that, in the long-term, more jobs will be created or would you say that more jobs would be lost as a result of the free trade agreement between Canada, Mexico and the U.S.?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
A lot more jobs created	9%	35%	12%	3%					
A few more jobs created	26%	50%	50%	18%	6%	2%			
A few more jobs lost	23%	8%	23%	35%	38%	14%			
A lot more jobs lost	33%	2%	4%	32%	50%	79%			
)k/Ns	8%	5%	12%	12%	6%	5%			

Page: 77

22. Some people said that Canadian business cannot compete with Mexican business in a free trade agreement because wages, environmental and safety standards are much lower in Mexico. Others have said that Canadian businesses can compete with Mexican businesses because Canadian workers are better trained and Canada has better facilities like roads, airports and communications. Which viewpoint would be closer to your own?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Cannot compete	49%	8%	19%	66%	73%	79%			
Can compete	47%	86%	77%	29%	20%	17%			
Jk/Ns	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	3%			

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

Mean Summary

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.5	5.7	6.0
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS	5.0	6.2	5.5	4.8	4.8	3.8
A FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T Make much difference because we don't Have much trade with them now	3.5	2.5	3.9	3.5	3.6	3.8
VEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MDST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY	4.4	5.6	5.1	4.1	4.3	3.1
SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD	4.6	6.1	5.1	4.7	4.7	3.1
ROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY Too Much to the Americans and Mexicans in the NAFTA	4.8	3.1	4.3	5.0	5.1	6.1
THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE North American FTA Will Bring in Canada	3.5	4.7	4.1	3.5	3.4	2.1

5

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

TopBox Summary (Rated 6 7)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
HE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE						
DISPUTES	57	49	49	55	62	71
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT						a /
ECONOMIC INTERESTS	46	79	58	36	35	26
FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T Make Much Difference because we don't Have Much Trade with them Now	17	5	16	14	13	30
EVEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL	70	(2	<i>,,</i>	22		47
ECONOMY	32	62	44	22	26	13
SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN By the gov't to improve cda's trade with countries around the world	33	73	40	26	27	10
FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS AND	36	7	20	79	42	69
MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA	30	3	20	38	42	09
THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN						
CANADA	11	31	14	9	4	3

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES						
Completely disagree(1)	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%
(2)	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%
(3)	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%
(4)	11%	18%	14%	7%	9%	6%
(5)	22%	24%	23%	27%	19%	15%
	25%	22%	27%	29%	27%	21%
completely agree(7)	32%	27%	22%	26%	35%	50%
k/Ns	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS						
Completely disagree(1)	7%	2%	2%	4%	2%	22%
(2)	6%	1%	3%	7%	9%	11%
(3)	9%	1%	6%	12%	11%	12%
(4)	11%	4%	8%	17%	14%	13%
(5)	18%	12%	22%	20%	25%	13%
(6)	18%	25%	29%	15%	14%	7%
Completely agree(7)	28%	54%	30%	21%	21%	18%
)k/Ns	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
A FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T Make Much Difference because we don't Have Much Trade with them now						
Completely disagree(1)	15%	28%	6%	14%	10%	22%
	19%	29%	15%	21%	16%	17%
(3)	18%	22%	21%	17%	23%	13%
(4)	15%	11%	20%	17%	19%	7%
(5)	13%	5%	21%	15%	12%	10%
(6)	8%	4%	9%	8%	7%	10%
ompletely agree(7)	8%	1%	6%	5%	7%	20%
k/Ns	3%	1%	2%	3%	6%	2%
EVEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND The U.S., Most of Job Losses and IND. RestructuringDue to global Economy						
Completely disagree(1)	1D%	3%	3%	7%	8%	28%
(2)	8%	5%	3%	11%	9%	15%
(3)	13%	5%	8%	18%	16%	17%
(4)	15%	7%	14%	20%	18%	14%
(5)	19%	18%	25%	22%	19%	12%
(6)	17%	27%	25%	13%	14%	6%
ompletely agree(7)	16%	36%	19%	9%	13%	7%
k/Ns	2%	0%	3%	1%	4%	2%

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
I SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD						
Completely disagree(1)	8%		3%	2%	3%	28%
	6%	0%	2%	5%	4%	14%
(3)	10%	2%	6%	11%	11%	18%
(4)	15%	5%	15%	18%	24%	15%
(5)	26%	20%	32%	35%	27%	14%
(6)	18%	30%	27%	15%	15%	5%
completely agree(7)	15%	43%	14%	11%	12%	5%
0k/Ns	2%		2%	2%	5%	2%
FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS AND MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA						
Completely disagree(1)	5%	15%	4%	3%	3%	1%
(2)	6%	21%	6%	4%	3%	1%
(3)	9%	23%	12%	5%	9%	2%
(4)	16%	17%	25%	17%	13%	8%
(5)	16%	14%	20%	19%	15%	10%
(6)	16%	3%	12%	21%	21%	20%
completely agree(7)	20%	1%	7%	17%	20%	49%
)k/Ns	12%	7%	13%	14%	17%	9%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

Page: 83

.

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

		Psychographic Solution					
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists	
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363	
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368	
I THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN CANADA							
Completely disagree(1)	16%	3%	8%	8%	8%	45%	
(2)	15%	5%	8%	18%	19%	24%	
(3)	16%	9%	15%	21%	21%	15%	
(4)	19%	19%	25%	27%	20%	6%	
(5)	19%	32%	27%	14%	20%	5%	
(6)	8%	22%	9%	4%	3%	1%	
Completely agree(7)	4%	9%	4%	4%	1%	2%	
Dk/Ns	3%	0%	4%	4%	7%	2%	

24. Some people say that by signing the NAFTA, Canada will tie itself too closely to the U.S. and Msxico and will cut itself off from countries outside North America. Other people say that Canada should sign the NAFTA because Canada needs freer trade with the U.S. and Mexico now in order to increase its exports while it continues to work toward freer trade with other countries. Which of these two viewpoints is closer to your own?

	Psychographic Solution									
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists				
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363				
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368				
Cut itself off	32%	4%	13%	37%	40 %	63X				
Should sign	60%	95%	83%	53%	48%	24X				
Dk/Ns	8%	1%	3%	9%	13%	13X				

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 84

25. Some people say that Canada has to be part of the NAFTA so that Canada will be an attractive location for plants to supply that whole North American market. Other people say that under the NAFTA, any new investment in Canada will be cancelled out by plants moving from Canada to Mexico. Which viewpoint is closer to your own?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Attractive location	39%	83%	58%	27%	18%	9%			
Cancelled out	56%	13%	33%	68%	77%	87%			
Dk/Ns	6%	4%	9%	5%	6%	4%			

en a de la

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-0B)

Page: 85

26. Some people have said that NAFTA will help build the Mexican economy so that Mexican workers will gain a substantially improved standard of living. Other people have said that the NAFTA will mostly benefit big U.S. corporations and that the ordinary Mexicans will not enjoy any of the benefits. Which viewpoint is closer to your own?

•••••	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
Will help build	41%	76%	57%	30%	28%	16%			
Not enjoy benefits	55%	18%	38%	67%	66%	81%			
Dk/Ns	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	3%			

•

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

Mean Summary

		Psychographic Solution						
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363		
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368		
VE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT Government Programs Such as Unemployment Insurance and Medicare	4.5	5.7	5.1	4.5	4.2	3.2		
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS								
FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE Advantage of lower wages there	5.0	3.6	4.1	5.4	5.6	6.3		
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO	5.0	3.1	3.9	5.5	6.0	6.4		
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TO SELL CARS INTO THE NORTH AMERICAN MARKETPLACE	4.5	5.2	5.0	4.2	4.3	3.6		
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL IMPORT MUCH MORE FROM MEXICO THAN CANADA WILL EXPORT TO MEXICO	4.6	3.5	4.1	4.9	4.7	5.2		
HE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	3.8	4.7	4.4	3.8	3.7	2.6		
IANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE	4.6	3.1	3.5	5.0	5.3	5.9		
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS	4.5	2.3	3.7	4.6	5.4	6.2		

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

TopBox Summary (Rated 6 7)

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT Government Programs Such as Unemployment insurance and medicare	34	65	44	29	24	
UNEMPLOTMENT INSURANCE AND MEDICARE	.34	60	444	24	24	14
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE						
ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE	45	11	20	52	58	79
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN						
MEXICO	48	9	17	60	74	85
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TO SELL CARS INTO THE NORTH AMERICAN MARKETPLACE	31	48	39	24	27	21
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL Import much more from mexico than Canada Will export to mexico	35	45	~	10		
CANADA WILL EXPORT TO MEXICO	32	15	21	40	36	57
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	13	29	18	9		_
CARDON C.S. FREE FRADE AGREEMENT	13	29	10	Y	8	5
IANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE Advantage of Low Environmental						
STANDARDS THERE	37	8	12	40	50	72
UR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO						
DCISIONS	38	3	19	36	50	77

Source: Angus Reid Group International NAFTA study

- . .

August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 89

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND MEDICARE									
Completely disagree(1)	10%	2%	3%	6%	8%	29%			
	8%	4%	5%	8%	13%	13%			
	11%	6%	8%	14%	13%	13%			
(4)	14%	7%	13%	19%	12%	16%			
(5)	19%	14%	24%	20%	22%	13%			
(6)	16%	27%	24%	15%	13%	5%			
Completely agree(7)	18%	38%	20%	14%	12%	9%			
Dk/Ns	4%	2%	3%	4%	8%	2%			
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE									
Completely disagree(1)	5%	10%	10%	3%	3%	1%			
(2)	6%	17%	8%	4%	2%	1%			
(3)	10%	23%	17%	6%	5%	2%			
(4)	12%	18%	20%	11%	7%	4 %			
(5)	20%	20%	23%	24%	24%	12%			
(6)	16%	5%	12%	22%	27%	17%			
Completely agree(7)	29%	7%	8%	31%	31%	62%			
Dk/Ns	1%	0%	0%		2%	1%			

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO									
Completely disagree(1)	6%	16%	9%	3%	1X	1%			
(2)	8%	23%	14%	3%	2%	1%			
(3)	10%	26%	17%	4%	2%	1%			
(4)	12%	17%	20%	12x	4%	3%			
(5)	14%	8%	21%	18%	18%	7%			
	18%	4%	11%	29%	31%	20%			
ompletely agree(7)	30%	5%	6%	30%	43%	65%			
k/Ns	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%			
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TO SELL CARS INTO THE NORTH AMERICAN MARKETPLACE									
completely disagree(1)	8%	4%	2%	7%	7%	21%			
(2)	9%	1%	3%	11%	10%	16%			
(3)	12%	7%	10%	14%	15%	12%			
(4)	16%	12%	16%	20%	18%	12%			
(5)	22%	27%	26%	21%	19%	15%			
(6)	18%	29%	26%	14%	13%	7%			
ompletely agree(7)	14%	19%	13%	11%	14%	14%			
k/Ns	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%			

Υ.

	Psychographic Solution								
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL Import much more from Mexico Than Canada Will Export to Mexico									
Completely disagree(1)	7%	13%	5%	4%	6%	8%			
(2)	9%	18%	10%	5%	6%	6%			
(3)	12%	17%	17%	8%	9%	6%			
(4)	18%	22%	25%	17%	17%	10%			
(5)	17%	11%	18%	22%	20%	12%			
	17%	8%	14%	22%	21%	21%			
Completely agree(7)	17%	6%	7%	18%	15%	36%			
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	4%	3%	7%	2%			
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT									
Completely disagree(1)	12%	3%	4%	7%	6%	33%			
(2)	10%	5%	4%	9%	11%	18%			
(3)	15%	9%	9%	20%	21%	16%			
(4)	23%	20%	28%	26%	21%	18%			
(5)	19%	27%	26%	22%	16%	7%			
(6)	9%	19%	13%	6%	4%	2%			
Completely agree(7)	5%	10%	6%	3%	4%	2%			
Dk/Ns	8%	8%	10%	8%	16%	4%			

	Psychographic Solution							
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists		
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363		
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368		
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE								
Completely disagree(1)	7%	13%	11%	4%	1%	4 X		
(2)	11%	27%	18%	6%	4%	2%		
(3)	14%	25%	23%	9%	9%	2%		
	14%	16%	18%	16%	13%	8%		
(5)	17%	9%	16%	26%	22%	11%		
	15%	5%	7%	17%	26%	22%		
Completely agree(7)	22%	3%	5%	23%	24%	50%		
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS								
Completely disagree(1)	11%	38%	9%	8%	2%	1%		
(2)	12%	27%	17%	10%	6%	1%		
(3)	11%	16%	22%	8%	4%	2%		
	11%	11%	15%	13%	11%	4%		
(5)	16%	5%	16%	23%	24%	14%		
(6)	15%	2%	13%	18%	23%	20%		
Completely agree(7)	23%	1%	6%	17%	27%	57%		
)k/Ns	1%	0%	2%	2%	4%	1%		

28a. Can you think of a Canadian industry would benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonist:
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
nergy Industry	6%	7%	5%	6%	5%	4%
Clothing manufacturing/ shoes	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%
lood & Paper Industry/ Lumber/ Forestry	12%	17%	12%	11%	16%	7%
rucking Industry	0%		1%	0%		
ish/ Fish Products Industry	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%
Textile Industry/ Yarn & Fabric						
Manufacturing	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%
Agriculture	6%	12%	6%	5%	4%	4%
Cars/ Car Parts	10%	14%	12%	8%	11%	6%
Computers/ Other High Tech Products/	10%	1-1/0		0.6	11/6	0,6
Telecommunications	17%	32%	22%	14%	11%	9%
Aircraft/ Aircraft Parts	1%	2%	1%	0%	11/2	1%
lanufacturing Industry	4%	7%	4%	3%	3%	3%
Banking/ Financial Services	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	0%
	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
iron & Steel Industry					2% 4%	
lining	3%	4%	4%	3%		2%
leavy Industries	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	
abour/ Jobs	0%			0%	4.44	1%
Airlines	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
ivestock/ Meat Industry	1%	1%	1%	0%		1%
Boat Industry/ Shipping	0%	0%				1%
latural Resources	4%	8%	4%	4%	2%	4%
Inionized Industry	0%	0%				
Construction Industry	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Transportation (general)	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%
Food Industry	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	
Importing	0%	0%	0%			1%
Beer	0%		1%	1%		
lealth Care	0%	0%	1%			0%
tockmarket	0%		0%			
ourism	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Services	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%
Research	1%	1%	1%	1%		174
lousing Industry	0%	1.00	170	0%		0%
Education	0%	0%	1%	0%		0%
Service Industries	0%	1%	1.0	0.0		UA

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

28a. Can you think of a Canadian industry would benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Export Industries	0%		0%						
Pharmaceuticals	0%	2%	1%	0%					
Tobacco	0%			0%					
Small Business	0%		1%						
Hazardous waste control/ Environment									
recyling	0%		0%	0%		0%			
Retail	0%		0%						
Aerospace	1%	2%	1%	1%		1%			
Environmental Industries	0%	0%							
Furniture	0%		1%						
lone	23%	5%	10%	23%	28%	45%			
Ither	0%			0%					
(DK/NS)	17%	. 9%	19%	20%	19%	15%			

,

28b. Can you think of a Canadian industry that would be harmed by the North American Free Trade Agreement?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363			
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368			
nergy Industry	2%	0%	1%	3%	2%	2%			
Clothing manufacturing/ shoes	20%	26%	17%	20%	25%	18%			
lood & Paper Industry/ Lumber/ Forestry	8%	4%	5%	6%	8%	14%			
rucking Industry	0%		0%		1%	0%			
ish/ Fish Products Industry	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%			
extile Industry/ Yarn & Fabric		, .							
Manufacturing	21%	32%	22%	15%	23%	18%			
Agriculture	10%	7%	10%	6%	12%	13%			
Cars/ Car Parts	24%	13%	18%	27%	24%	33%			
Computers/ Other High Tech Products/	248	1.01	10%	E178	E-774	5514			
Telecommunications	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	5%			
Aircraft/ Aircraft Parts	0%	1/6	2.6	2.4	1%	1%			
	16%	15%	9%	18%	15%	23%			
Manufacturing Industry	0%	0%	0%	0%		LJA			
Banking/ Financial Services	2%	2%	1%	2%		3%			
Iron & Steel Industry	2% 2%			2% 1%	1%	3%			
lining		2%	1%	1.4		5% 1%			
leavy Industries	1%	0%	0%	4.94	1%				
Labour/ Jobs	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%			
Airlines	1%		0%	0%	1%	1%			
ivestock/ Meat Industry	0%				1%	1%			
Natural Resources	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%			
Jnionized Industry	0%		1%			1%			
Construction Industry	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%			
Transportation (general)	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%			
Food Industry	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%			
Beer	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%			
Health Care	0%		1%	0%		1%			
Dairy Industry	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%				
Services	0%	0%		1%	1%				
Research	0%	0%			•••	0%			
lousing Industry	0%	V /V				0%			
Education	0%				1%				
Service Industries	0%				12				
Pharmaceuticals	0%		1%	0%	1%	1%			
Tobacco				0%	14	14			
	0%	0 8	0%			1.			
Small Business	1%	0%		1%		1%			

(continued)

Page: 96

28b. Can you think of a Canadian industry that would be harmed by the North American Free Trade Agreement?

	Psychographic Solution								
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists			
etail	0%	0%				0%			
erospace	0%			0%	1%				
ublic transit	0%			0%					
urniture	0%			0%	1%	0%			
about intensive industries	0%	0%							
wewellry	0%				1%				
luminium	0%					1%			
ecycling	0%					0%			
((2%		1%	1%	2%	6%			
one	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%	2%			
ther	0%		0%			0%			
DK/NS)	13%	14%	19%	13%	12%	6%			

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
ase: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base			392	330	178	368
HE ENERGY INDUSTRY						
etter	54%	72%	67%	49%	55%	31%
orse	23%	8%	14%	26%	19%	44%
o impact	16%	17%	14%	17%	15%	18%
k/Ns	7%	3%	6%	8%	11%	7%
HE CLOTHING MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY						
etter	15%	22%	22%	14%	10%	5%
orse	80%	70%	72%	82%	83%	93%
oimpact	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	1%
k/Ns	2%	3%	3%	1%	5%	1%
HE WOOD AND PAPER INDUSTRY						
etter	47%	73%	58%	42%	38%	25%
orse	37%	14%	25%	38%	45%	58%
o impact			13%	13%	8%	14%
k/Ns	5%	10% 3%	4%	7%	10%	3%

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

			Psyc	hogr <mark>aph</mark> ic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
nweighted Base 1502		234	392	330	178	368
HE TRUCKING INDUSTRY						
letter	44%	61%	57%	45%	32%	25%
orse	38%	19%	25%	39%	49%	57%
o impact	11%	13%	11%	10%	10%	12%
k/Ns	7%	7%	7%	6%	9%	6%
IST AND FISH PRODUCTS INDUSTRY						
etter	33%	54%	39%	33%	28%	16%
orse	41%	20%	34%	43%	44%	60%
lo impact	18%	22%	18%	16%	18%	16%
k/Ns	8%	5%	9%	8%	11%	8%
HE TEXTILE INDUSTRY						
etter	16%	26%	23%	13%	9%	6%
orse			68%	79%	83%	89%
lo impact			6%	4%	2%	
Dk/Ns	3%	4% 3%	3%	3%	6%	2%

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
-	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
ase: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368
HE AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY						
letter	35%	55%	43%	35%	24%	20%
lorse	46%	26%	38%	46%	53%	64%
o impact	14%	15%	14%	13%	14%	13%
k/Ns	5%	4%	5%	6%	9%	3%
AR AND CAR PARTS						
letter	26%	46%	39%	21%	12%	10%
orse	61%	35%	43%	70%	74%	84%
lo impact	9%	14%	14%	5%	7%	5%
0k/Ns	4%	5%	4%	4%	8%	1%
COMPUTERS AND OTHER HI-TECH INDUSTRIES						
letter	58%	84%	71%	5 3%	50%	34%
lorse	28%	8%	16%	32%	30%	50%
lo impact	9%	7%	7%	11%	8%	11%
)k/Ns	5%	2%	5%	3%	12%	5%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 99

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368
onwerginzed base	150E	234				
AIRCRAFT AND AIRCRAFT PARTS						
letter	45%	69%	58%	40%	40%	21%
lorse	34%	13%	19%	37%	35%	61%
lo impact	13%	12%	16%	14%	11%	13%
k/Ns	8%	6%	8%	9%	14%	5%
HE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY						
etter	23%	48%	37%	15%	14%	4%
orse	67%	39%	47%	77%	76%	91%
o impact	6%	10%	11%	3%	3%	2%
k/Ns	4%	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%
ANKING AND FINANCIAL SERVICES						
letter	53%	74%	64%	51%	40%	35%
orse	19%	7%	12%	20%	21%	33%
lo impact	21%	15%	18%	22%	23%	24%
k/Ns	8%	4%	6%	7%	16%	8%
HE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY						
letter	36%	56%	48%	33%	28%	18%
lorse	42%	27%	27%	45%	46%	65%
lo impact	12%	14%	15%	12%	8%	11%
)k/Ns	9%	4%	9%	10%	19%	6%

30. Age

31. Education

			Psyc	ion		
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
Base: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
AGE						
18 to 24 years	12%	10%	17%	22%	6%	4%
25 to 34 years	25%	25%	23%	37%	17%	21%
5 to 44 years	21%	21%	20%	19%	19%	26%
5 to 54 years	14%	14%	13%	9%	17%	16%
5 to 64 years	14%	16%	13%	8%	18%	17%
55 years and older	13%	15%	13%	4%	23%	16%
)k/Ns	0%		0%		1%	
DUCATION						
irade school or some high school	15%	6%	13%	11%	19%	23%
completed high school	28%	16%	26%	34%	28%	31%
Some technical/ post secondary	9%	7%	8%	12%	10%	11%
completed technical/ post secondary	15%	14%	19%	14%	17%	11%
ome university	9%	14%	11%	9%	6%	6%
leted university degree 18%		31%	15%	17%	17%	14%
t graduate degree 7%		13%	8%	5%	3%	5%
Dk/Ns	0%		0%			

.

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
ase: All Respondents Unweighted Base	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368
HOUSEHOLD INCOME Under \$10,000	4%	1%	4%	4%	6%	4%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10%	4%	11%	9%	12%	14%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	15%	10%	15%	14%	18%	16%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	16%	10%	15%	22%	20%	15%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	14%	14%	16%	16%	9%	14%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	11%	11%	12%	12%	12%
60,000 to \$69,999	6%	10%	4%	5%	6%	7%
70,000 to \$79,999	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%
80,000 to \$89,999	4%	10%	4%	1%	2%	3%
90,000 to \$99,999	3%	5%	3%	2%	1%	1%
100,000 and over	6%	16%	6%	5%	2%	4%
)k/Ns	5%	3%	5%	4%	9%	5%

			ion			
	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
ase: All Respondents	1498	237	389	331	178	363
Unweighted Base	1502	234	392	330	178	368
IRST LANGUAGE						
inglish	65%	62%	57%	69%	55%	75%
rench	25%	23%	32%	22%	35%	15%
oth French and English	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
ther	10%	15%	9%	7%	9%	10%
lk/Ns	0%		0%		0%	

`

34. Do you or does any member of your family currently belong to a labour union?

			Psyc	hographic Solut	ion	
Base: All Respondents Unweighted Base	Total	Enthusiastic Advocates	Dispassionate Supporters	Concerned Pragmatists	Old Fashioned Opponents	Resolute Antagonists
	1498 1502	237 234	389 392	331 330	178 178	363 368
BELONG TO A LABOUR UNION Yes No Dk/NS	35% 64% 1%	27% 72% 1%	36% 63% 1%	34% 65% 1%	35% 63% 2%	39X 60X 1X

Attitudinal

Detailed Tables

Submitted by: The Angus Reid Group

January 11, 1993

Province

Sex

daaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà				ááááááááá			aáááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	OT FIA			Support	OT NAFIA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá			ááááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat						
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		0ppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	lááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááá	iááááááááááá			áááááááááá		-				
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
PROVINCE												
British Columbia	12%	8%	14%	12%	13%	10%	11%	13%	10%	12%	11%	13%
Alberta	9%	5%	10%	10%	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%	10%	9%	6%
Saskatchewan	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%
Manitoba	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	5%	6%
Ontario	37%	34%	33%	43%	37%	33%	34%	45%	38%	35%	35%	41%
Quebec	26%	33%	28%	19%	26%	32%	25%	18%	25%	29%	27%	21%
New Brunswick	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%
Nova Scotia	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Newfoundland	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Prince Edward Island	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%		2%		1%	1%	0%	1%
SEX												
Male	49%	53%	50%	47%	75%	51%	45%	43%	75%	50%	44%	46%
Female	51%	47%	50%	53%	25%	49%	55%	57%	25%	50%	56%	54%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ia aaaa aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	iáááááááááá	ááá á ááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	i áá ááááááá	áááááá áá	ááááááááá

1. Agreement with statement...

Mean summary

àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	ááááááááá		iáááááááááá management		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support	ááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	iáááááááá Support	áááááááááá of NAFTA	ááááááááá
			Economy									
			iáááááááááá Somewhat							iááááááááá / Somewhat		
	Total	Good	Poor	Very Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá					iááááááááá	áááááááá	iááááááááá	iáááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS	5.6	5.1	5.5	5.9	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.8	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.8
T FURNY TAKING DIGKG - FYDERIALLY IF												
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING												
AHEAD AS A RESULT	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	5.1	4.8	4.6	4.5	5.1	4.8	4.6	4.4
FINANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.4	5.7	5.7	5.9	5.3	5.7	5.7	5.8
LIFE SHDULD BE ENJDYED AS MUCH AS												
POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING												
ABOUT THE FUTURE	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.5
I LIKE TD READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO												
INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.6	5.8	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.8	5.5	5.3	5.5
I DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES. SO I USUALLY	,											
DON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT												
BOTHER ME	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0
I OFTEN FEEL LEFT DUT OF DECISIONS THAT												
AFFECT ME	3.9	3.6	3.8	4.1	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.0
		_	_	_								
I THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.7	6.3	5.9	5.7	5.6	6.0	5.9	5.6	5.7
I AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE												
OF THIS COUNTRY	5.4	4.9	5.4	5.8	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.9	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.8
	6.0	c 4	c a	c 1	<u> </u>	c c	c c	C 1	6.0	c	6 9	6.2
I AM PROUD TO BE CANADIAN	6.2	6.4	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2
I AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I												
HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT	5.4	5.5	5.3	5.3	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.7	5.4	5.3	5.2

(continued)

1. Agreement with statement...

Mean summary

		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strong
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	0000
ádaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	Addádáda	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>.</u>	áááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>ááááááááá</u>	áááááá
WE ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLO A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE										_		
THINGS	6.1	6.0	6.1	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.
I CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN												
EXPERIMENTAL	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.9	5.
WHETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS												
Y OFTERMINE BY LUCK 2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.9	

.

1. Agreement with statement...

TopBox Score (Rated 6 7)

666666666666666666666666666666666666666	iááááááááá	áááááááá Overall	ááááááááááá management	aááááááá of the	ááááááááá	iáááááááá Support	ááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support	ááááááááááá of NAFTA	iáááááááá
	ááááááá Total		Economy áááááááááááá Somewhat Poor		Strongly	lááááááááá	ááááááááá	Strongly	Strongly	iááááááááá		áááááááá Strongly Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	Addaddaddad	áááááááá	ááááááááááá		ááááááááá	iáááááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá		
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT REO TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS	59	45	57	72	61	57	56	66	56	55	57	68
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE DF GETTING AHEAD AS A RESULT	32	33	30	33	42	32	29	31	42	33	29	29
FINANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO ME RIGHT NOW	65	63	64	69	58	64	64	71	54	66	62	71
LIFE SHOULO BE ENJOYEO AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT THE FUTURE	29	30	28	29	30	26	29	33	23	27	27	34
I LIKE TD READ, WATCH AND LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN	57	58	53	61	68	55	55	58	66	57	53	58
I DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SD I USUALLY OON'T MAKE A FUSS ABDUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME	23	23	20	27	22	23	21	27	20	23	21	29
I OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT AFFECT ME	25	19	22	31	24	24	24	28	24	24	23	28
I THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU	66	68	65	64	82	70	64	58	77	69	61	61
I AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY	56	41	52	71	44	47	60	72	49	48	57	71
I AM PROUO TO BE CANADIAN	81	85	82	77	77	80	84	80	78	81	80	80
I AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARO AT IT	52	59	49	50	61	56	49	46	65	53	50	46
*****	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá á	444444444	ááááááááá		.	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá

(continued)

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 4

1. Agreement with statement...

TopBox Score (Rated 6 7)

<u> </u>		Overall ma	anagement		ááááááááá	áááááááááá Support e	ááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	áááááááááá (ááááááááá Support o	ááááááááá f NAFTA	áááááááá
	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	conomy aáááááááááá									
	Total	Good S Poe	Somewhat or Po		Strongly Sort Supp				Strongly S ort Suppo		Somewhat Somewhat	
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááá áááááá	iáááááááááá			áááááááá		ádádádá á á	ááááááááá	444444444	aaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	áááááááá
THINGS	76	74	76	77	74	75	77	76	76	77	75	73
I CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL	38	34	34	43	31	35	38	44	32	33	38	46
WHETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MDSTLY DETERMINE BY LUCK	7	7		8	7	7	6	10	6	7	6	10

*****	iáááááááááá				iááááááááá			ááááááááá	áááááááá			áááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
	áááááááá	444444	Economy áááááááááá			44444444	ááááááááá	********	4444444	44444444	444444444	4444444
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			Somewhat					
	10241	0000	Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
***	Adaadaadaadaa	ááááááááá	á a á á á á á á á á á á á	iááááááááá	iááááááááá							
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT RED TAPE INTERFERING IN PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING THESE DAYS	6											
Completely disagree(1)	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%
(2)	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%
(3)	5%	9%	4%	5%	6%	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%	7%	5%
	11%	15%	13%	7%	10%	13%	11%	9%	15%	13%	11%	7%
	17%	23%	20%	9%	14%	19%	19%	13%	16%	20%	18%	11%
	17%	16%	22%	14%	16%	21%	15%	14%	17%	20%	18%	13%
Completely agree(7)	42%	29%	34%	58%	4 5%	36%	41%	52%	39%	35%	39%	56%
)k/Ns	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%		0%
I ENJOY TAKING RISKS, EXPECIALLY IF THERE IS A GOOD CHANCE OF GETTING AHEAO AS A RESULT												
Completely disagree(1)	6%	6%	5%	8%	4%	5%	5%	10%	3%	5%	6%	10%
	5%	7%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	7%	4%	5%	5%	7%
	12%	8%	12%	15%	7%	9%	15%	13%	8%	8%	14%	15%
	18%	19%	20%	15%	16%	20%	18%	15%	16%	20%	17%	16%
	26%	27%	28%	24%	27%	29%	27%	23%	26%	29%	29%	21%
(6)	13%	14%	15%	12%	16%	13%	14%	12%	16%	15%	13%	11%
Completely agree(7)	19%	20%	16%	21%	26%	19%	15%	19%	26%	18%	16%	19%
)k/Ns	0%	0%		0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
iádááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	laaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaa	laaaaaaaáá	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaáá	aaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	aaáááááá

1. Agreement with statement...

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá									
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very							Somewhat	
			Poor	Poor	Support		0ppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá												aaaaaaaaa
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
INANCIAL SECURITY IS A MAJOR CONCERN TO)											
ME RIGHT NOW												
completely disagree(1)	4%	6%	2%	5%	5%	4%	2%	5%	5%	4%	2%	5%
	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%	3%	3%
(3)	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%	6%	6%
	8%	6%	8%	7%	8%	6%	9%	8%	6%	6%	12%	6%
	I 5%	17%	18%	12%	20%	18%	15%	9%	25%	16%	16%	9%
(6)	I 8%	22%	20%	14%	18%	21%	19%	12%	21%	21%	13%	17%
completely agree(7)	47%	41%	44%	54%	39%	43%	45%	59%	33%	45%	49%	54%
IFE SHOULD BE ENJOYED AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE TODAY, WITHOUT WORRYING			`									
ABOUT THE FUTURE												
Completely disagree(1)	12%	12%	11%	14%	16%	12%	10%	14%	15%	13%	10%	13%
(2)	9%	8%	11%	9%	7%	10%	8%	9%	11%	9%	11%	8%
(3)	I 4%	13%	14%	15%	8%	16%	16%	13%	15%	17%	13%	12%
	15%	17%	15%	14%	20%	15%	16%	13%	20%	14%	17%	13%
(5)	20%	20%	21%	19%	19%	21%	20%	18%	16%	19%	22%	20%
	8%	7%	11%	7%	8%	9%	9%	7%	10%	8%	9%	7%
Completely agree(7)	21%	23%	17%	22%	21%	17%	20%	25%	13%	19%	18%	26%
Dk/Ns	0%		0%			0%						
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	iáááááááá	iááááááááá	aááááááááá	lááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaa	iááááááááá	iááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááá á

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	aááááááááááá	láááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá - £ ETA	ááááááááá	áááááááá		aaaaaaaaaa of NAETA	aaaaaaaa
		Overall	management	of the		Support	OT FIA			Support	OT NAFTA	
	áááááááá	4444444	Economy aáááááááááá	4444444	4444444	<u> </u>	ááááááááá	555555555	55555555	555555555	44444444	4444444
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Verv			Somewhat					
	IOLAI	9000	Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Opposi
666666666666666666666666666666666666666								0pp03e	555555555	555555555		
		352	556	562	aaaaaaaa 151	524 aaaaaa	aaaaaaaa 367	397	146	536	358	365
ase: All Respondents	1498			569	151	524	367	402	140	542	357	368
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	209	152	522	307	402	144	542	507	500
LIKE TO REAO, WATCH ANO LISTEN TO INTERNATIONAL NEWSAS MUCH AS I CAN												
ompletely disagree(1)	4%	3%	3%	6%	3%	4%	2%	6%	1%	3%	4%	8%
	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	4%	1%	4%	4%	3%	2%
(3)	7%	5%	7%	7%	6%	5%	8%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%
(4)	11%	12%	11%	9%	6%	11%	12%	10%	9%	12%	13%	8%
(5)	19%	19%	21%	15%	15%	21%	19%	17%	14%	19%	20%	19%
(6)	17%	18%	19%	16%	17%	18%	20%	14%	19%	20%	18%	13%
(6)	40%	41%	34%	46%	51%	37%	35%	44%	48%	37%	35%	44%
ompletely agree(7)	40%	41/0	74%	40%	51%	57%	33%	44/0	4078	0778	00%	470
DON'T LIKE CAUSING WAVES, SO I USUALLY OON'T MAKE A FUSS ABOUT THINGS THAT BOTHER ME												
ompletely disagree(1)	14%	11%	13%	17%	19%	13%	11%	17%	14%	13%	10%	18%
	14%	11%	15%	14%	15%	11%	14%	15%	13%	15%	14%	12%
	14%	13%	17%	13%	11%	15%	18%	12%	12%	13%	19%	13%
(4)	16%	19%	19%	13%	13%	18%	17%	15%	18%	17%	20%	12%
(5)	18%	22%	17%	16%	19%	19%	18%	15%	22%	20%	15%	15%
	11%	9%	11%	13%	8%	12%	11%	13%	9%	11%	12%	13%
ompletely agree(7)	12%	15%	9%	13%	14%	11%	10%	14%	12%	12%	9%	16%
(/Ns	0%	0%	0%	1070	1%	0%	2.5%	/*		0%	0%	
K/ NS áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá							44444444	44444444	444444444			555 <u>5</u> 5

1. Agreement with statement...

348444444444444444444444444444444444444	ááááááááá				ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááá
		Overall	management	t of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
	44444444	4444444	Economy ááááááááááá	*****	4444444	44444444	ááááááááá	****	àààààààà	44444444	*****	44444 44
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Verv			Somewhat					
	10001		Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppos
442444444444444444444444444444444444444	áááááááá	ááááááááá	1	áááááááá								
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
OFTEN FEEL LEFT OUT OF DECISIONS THAT AFFECT ME												
ompletely disagree(1)	16%	19%	13%	17%	21%	16%	13%	18%	17%	16%	16%	17%
	15%	18%	17%	13%	20%	17%	13%	14%	16%	19%	14%	13%
	13%	11%	16%	12%	8%	13%	15%	13%	10%	14%	15%	13%
	14%	16%	16%	11%	14%	13%	16%	12%	18%	12%	18%	12%
	16%	16%	16%	15%	12%	15%	18%	14%	14%	15%	14%	16%
	11%	9%	13%	11%	12%	11%	12%	10%	10%	12%	10%	12%
ompletely agree(7)	14%	10%	10%	20%	12%	13%	12%	18%	15%	12%	13%	17%
k/Ns	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
THINK THAT COMPETITION IS GOOD FOR YOU												
ompletely disagree(1)	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	3%	2%
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	4%	5%	2%	5%	2%	2%	4%	6%	2%	3%	4%	5%
	8%	5%	9%	10%	3%	8%	9%	10%	4%	8%	10%	10%
	18%	18%	20%	17%	11%	17%	20%	19%	13%	18%	21%	21%
	25%	25%	30%	19%	21%	28%	28%	19%	23%	28%	29%	18%
ompletely agree(7)	41%	43%	36%	44%	60%	42%	35%	40%	54%	41%	32%	43%
k/Ns	0%		0%	0%		0%		1%				1%

ádádadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada	lááááááááááááá				àááááááááá			ááááááááá	ááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaa	áááááááá	ááááááá
		Overall	management	t of the		Support	: of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
	áááááááá	5555555	Economy ááááááááááá	44444444	4 44444444	******	aááááááááá	*****	áááááááá	******	*****	<u> </u>
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			t Somewhat					
	Total	0000	Poor	Poor	Support		Dppose		Support		Dppose	Dppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá		áááááááá			i a a a a a a a a a a a a	ááááááááá	iádálálála	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá		
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
AM EXTREMELY WORRIED ABDUT THE FUT DF THIS CDUNTRY	URE											
	5%	6%	3%	6%	9%	4%	4%	4%	7%	4%	4%	5%
ompletely disagree(1) (2)	4%	6%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	3%
	4% B%	11%	9%	3% 4%	12%	10%	5% 6%	3%	10%	11%	7%	3%
(3)	10%	15%	10%	4% 6%	9%	13%	10%	5% 6%	10%	13%	8%	7%
	18%	21%	23%	11%	22%	21%	17%	11%	17%	2D%	21%	11%
	16%	14%	17%	14%	12%	16%	19%	14%	18%	14%	19%	15%
	41%	26%	35%	57%	32%	31%	41%	57%	31%	34%	38%	56%
ompletely agree(7)	41%	20%	33%	1%	1%	51%	0%	0%	1%	0%	50%	0%
k/Ns	0%			1 /0	1/0		0%	0,6	1/0	0%		0/6
AM PRDUD TD BE CANADIAN												
ompletely disagree(1)	5%	4%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%	6%	4%	5%	6%
	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%
	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%
	5%	5%	5%	6%	9%	6%	5%	4%	7%	6%	5%	4%
	8%	11%	10%	5%	7%	10%	8%	6%	9%	10%	9%	5%
ompletely agree(7)	73%	74%	72%	73%	70%	69%	76%	74%	68%	71%	71%	75%
k/Ns	1%	0%	D%	1%		1%		1%	3%	1%	0%	0%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ádádádádádádá á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	á áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	á ááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	iááááááááá	iáááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

1. Agreement with statement...

lááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááá	àááááááá	adadadadadada	ááááááá	iáááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>áááááááá</u> á	444444444	ááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	OT NAFIA	
	<u> </u>	55555555	Economy ááááááááááá	444444		ááááááááá	****	****	áááááá áá	ááááááááá	*****	ááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very						Somewhat		
	lotal	0000	Poor	Poor		Support	Dppose		Support		Oppose	Dppos
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	Ádádádáda	Addádádádáda								ááááááááá	ááááááá
ase: All Respondents	149B	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	35B	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	36E
AM FINANCIALLY SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE I HAVE WORKED SO HARD AT IT												
ompletely disagree(1)	4%	4%	3%	6%	2%	4%	2%	7%	4%	3%	4%	6%
	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%
	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	7%
	12%	12%	13%	12%	4%	11%	15%	13%	5%	12%	16%	13%
	22%	17%	25%	23%	23%	21%	24%	23%	22%	22%	22%	24%
	21%	23%	23%	17%	23%	24%	22%	14%	2B%	21%	24%	15%
ompletely agree(7)	31%	36%	26%	34%	3B%	32%	27%	32% 2%	37%	32%	26% 1%	32% 2%
<td>2%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>270</td> <td></td> <td>2%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>2%</td>	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	270		2%	1%	2%
ALL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE WORLD A BETTER PLACE, SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE THINGS												
ompletely disagree(1)	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	2%	2%	1%	2%	- • •	1%	2%	2%		1%	2%	2%
	5%	5%	5%	5%	9%	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	5%	6%
(5)	15%	15%	16%	14%	15%	16%	15%	14%	15%	15%	16%	14%
(6)	23%	24%	25%	21%	21%	26%	24%	19%	26%	25%	23%	19%
ompletely agree(7)	53%	50%	51%	56%	53%	49%	52%	57%	49%	52%	52%	54%
<td>0%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>0%</td> <td></td> <td>1%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td></td> <td>1%</td> <td></td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td>	0%	1%	0%		1%	0%	0%		1%		0%	0%

1. Agreement with statement...

ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá			management			Support				Support		
			Economy									
			áááááááááááá				áááááááááá					
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			Somewhat					
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose ááááááááá	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
								397	aaaaaaaaa 146	536 aaaaaa	358 aaaaaa	aaaaaaaa 365
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367					
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
CONSIDER MYSELF MORE TRADITIONAL THAN EXPERIMENTAL												
Completely disagree(1)	5%	5%	4%	7%	11%	4%	5%	6%	9%	4%	5%	4%
	6%	3%	8%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	3%	6%	7%	5%
	9%	11%	9%	8%	7%	9%	8%	11%	9%	10%	8%	10%
	17%	20%	17%	15%	17%	17%	18%	15%	20%	19%	15%	15%
	24%	28%	2%	21%	27%	28%	25%	18%	26%	27%	26%	19%
(6)	19%	20%	18%	18%	15%	21%	19%	17%	20%	18%	18%	19%
Completely agree(7)	19%	14%	17%	25%	15%	15%	19%	27%	12%	15%	20%	27%
)k/Ns	0%		1%	1%	1%		0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
/HETHER OR NOT YOU MAKE IT IN LIFE IS MOSTLY DETERMINE BY LUCK completely disagree(1)	30%	29%	28%	34%	38%	28%	28%	32%	38%	29%	29%	32%
	23%	25%	25%	20%	22%	24%	27%	20%	25%	25%	26%	20%
	17%	17%	19%	15%	13%	20%	16%	15%	16%	20%	16%	13%
	12%	13%	13%	11%	13%	12%	12%	11%	10%	11%	15%	11%
(5)	10%	9%	8%	11%	6%	9%	11%	11%	5%	9%	8%	13%
	3%	2%	4%	4%	1%	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	3%	4%
ompletely agree(7)	4%	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%	2%	7%	5%	3%	3%	6%
k/Ns	0%	J%	0%	1%	0/6	0%	£ /0	1%	3%	5%	576	1%

 \sim

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

2. Overall, would you say the federal government is doing a good or a poor job in terms of managing Canada's economy?

ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá					ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	aáááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	: of the		Support	of FTA			Support of	of NAFIA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	aáááááááááá	adádádádá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	á ááááááááá	i á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
****	iáááááááááááááááá	láááááááá	aááááááááá	aáááááááá	ádádádádá	F F	áááááááááá					
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Very Good(4)	2%	7%			8%	2%	1%		7%	2%	1%	1%
Somewhat Good(3)	22%	93%			38%	31%	16%	9%	40%	30%	16%	9%
iomewhat poor(2)	37%		100%		25%	43%	46%	24%	22%	44%	43%	25%
/ery poor(1)	38%			100%	27%	22%	35%	66%	28%	23%	38%	64%
Dk/Ns	2%			1	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%
Mean	1.9	3.1	2.0	1.0	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.4	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.5
666666666666666666666666666666666666666	ádádádádádádádá	iááááááá	Addadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	àáááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	aááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá

3. A number of statements have been made about canada's ability to compete in world markets. How would you rate each of the following statements.

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá		áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá Support		444444444	<u> </u>	ááááááááá Support	άάάάάάά οf ΝΔΕΤΔ	áááááááá
		Overall	management Economy	on the		Support	UITIA			Support		
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat Poor	Very Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Somewhat Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá						ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	.aaaaaaaaaaa	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA SHOULD TAKE ACTION TO PROTECTCANADIAN BUS&IND BY LIMITING THE AMT OF FOREIGN GOODS												
Completely disagree(1)	9%	7%	7%	11%	24%	8%	4%	7%	24%	7%	6%	6%
	9%	11%	9%	6%	21%	10%	4%	6%	22%	11%	4%	4%
(3)	11%	13%	12%	8%	13%	13%	12%	5%	14%	14%	8%	7%
	15%	15%	16%	13%	13%	15%	17%	13%	9%	17%	17%	12%
	22%	19%	24%	21%	11%	22%	27%	21%	10%	22%	27%	20%
(6)	15%	15%	15%	16%	8%	15%	16%	19%	9%	12%	18%	20%
Completely agree(7)	20%	20%	15%	23%	9%	16%	20%	29%	10%	16%	20%	30%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Mean	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.7	3.2	4.4	4.9	5.1	3.2	4.4	4.9	5.2
THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA HAS AN OVERALL PLAN FOR IMPROVING CANADA'S INTERNATIONAL TRADE												
Completely disagree(1)	11%	3%	6%	23%	11%	5%	11%	21%	9%	6%	11%	19%
	13%	6%	13%	18%	10%	9%	15%	17%	6%	11%	16%	17%
(3)	15%	10%	19%	15%	8%	14%	18%	17%	10%	15%	15%	19%
	19%	19%	22%	15%	11%	22%	19%	16%	15%	21%	22%	13%
	21%	31%	22%	13%	23%	28%	20%	13%	24%	26%	20%	13%
(6)	8%	13%	7%	6%	14%	10%	6%	5%	14%	11%	6%	5%
Completely agree(7)	8%	14%	6%	7%	20%	8%	4%	9%	18%	7% 3%	5% 6%	9% 5%
Dk/Ns	5%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	6%	4%	3%	3%	0%	5%
Mean áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	3.9	4.7	3.9	3.2	4.5	4.2	3.6	3.3	4.6	4.1	3.6	3.4

 Who do you see as having the greatest responsibility for helping Canadians adjust to changes in the global economy.

ádaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaa			ááááááááááá management		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		àáááááááá
		overall		or the		Support	UI FIA			Support	UT MAFTA	
			Economy									
			i á á á á á á á á á á á á			ááááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	láááááááááááááá	á ááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	444444444	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
8ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted 8ase	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
The federal government	45%	48%	44%	45%	44%	38%	51%	51%	42%	40%	53%	48%
The provincial government	9%	6%	11%	9%	6%	11%	6%	11%	5%	9%	9%	10%
8usiness	28%	31%	30%	25%	35%	35%	25%	22%	38%	34%	24%	22%
Unions	5%	4%	4%	5%	2%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%
Other	9%	7%	7%	12%	10%	8%	11%	7%	7%	9%	9%	10%
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	%	4%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	áááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

5. Oo you feel that the federal government is doing a very good job, a good job, a poor job, or a very poor job in helping Canadians adjust to changes in the global economy?

		Overall	management	: of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	àáááááááá						ááááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strong
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	0ppose	0ppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	àáááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
very good job(4)	1%	4%	1%		5%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%
good job(3)	29%	64%	27%	8%	38%	40%	24%	16%	42%	39%	24%	15%
poor job(2)	45%	26%	59%	43%	36%	45%	52%	39%	35%	46%	54%	39%
very poor job(1)	22%	2%	10%	48%	17%	11%	19%	44%	16%	12%	19%	44%
k/Ns	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%
ean	2.1	2.7	2.2	1.6	2.3	2.3	2.1	1.7	2.4	2.3	2.1	1.7

From what you know, which of the following best describes the current situation for Canadian trade.

1

àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	áááááááááááá	ááááááá Overall	ááááááááááá management	aááááááá of the	ááááááááá	áááááááá Support	ááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá
			Economy			oupper o	••••			ouppor c		
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	iáááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááá áá á	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		Somewhat						
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	á ááááááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááá áá áá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
WITH WESTERN EUROPE												
We export more goods than we import	19%	19%	20%	18%	23%	18%	20%	18%	25%	20%	17%	16%
We import more goods than we export	41%	37%	39%	45%	37%	38%	44%	44%	38%	37%	45%	45%
Imports and exports about equal	26%	28%	29%	23%	29%	29%	23%	26%	28%	29%	23%	26%
0k/Ns	14%	15%	13%	15%	10%	15%	14%	12%	9%	14%	15%	13%
WITH MEXICO												
We export more goods than we import	29%	30%	29%	28%	33%	30%	28%	28%	43%	32%	29%	23%
We import more goods than we export	36%	32%	38%	38%	35%	34%	37%	41%	28%	34%	36%	42%
Imports and exports about equal	14%	16%	15%	11%	13%	14%	15%	11%	16%	14%	14%	12%
Ok/Ns	21%	21%	18%	23%	18%	22%	20%	20%	13%	20%	20%	23%
WITH THE U.S.												
We export more goods than we import	25%	27%	24%	25%	43%	27%	18%	22%	48%	26%	20%	20%
We import more goods than we export	54%	52%	55%	55%	41%	51%	61%	60%	40%	52%	63%	57%
Imports and exports about equal	16%	16%	17%	14%	12%	17%	16%	14%	8%	17%	13%	18%
Ok/Ns	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%
WITH JAPAN												
We export more goods than we import	8%	9%	9%	7%	9%	8%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	10%
We import more goods than we export	76%	77%	75%	78%	78%	73%	79%	79%	78%	75%	81%	75%
Imports and exports about equal	7%	8%	8%	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	11%	8%	4%	7%
0k/Ns	9%	6%	9%	9%	5%	11%	6%	7%	5%	8%	8%	9%
OVERALL												
We export more goods than we import	22%	24%	25%	18%	45%	26%	16%	16%	42%	27%	18%	14%
We import more goods than we export	56%	53%	55%	60%	41%	52%	62%	63%	39%	52%	61%	64%
Imports and exports about equal	16%	17%	15%	16%	11%	16%	17%	15%	15%	15%	18%	16%
Ok/Ns	6%	6%	6%	6%	3%	7%	5%	6%	5%	13% 5%	4%	6%
ádádádádádádádadadadadadadadadadadadada	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a				4444 <u>4</u> 4444			44444444	576 55555555555555555555555555555555555		-7/0 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0/0

7a. Have you heard anything about a North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA, involving Canada, the U.S. and Mexico?

	áááááááááááá	àáááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááá							
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	0ppose	0ppose	Support	Support	0ppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	á áááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Yes	88%	85%	87%	91%	89%	88%	87%	89%	92%	88%	89%	89%
No	12%	14%	12%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	8%	11%	11%	11%
Dk/Ns	0%	1%	1%			0%	1%	0%		1%	1%	0%
*****	áááááááááááá	Ádádádádá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá						

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

١

	ááááááááááá		ááááááááááá management		láááááááááá		ááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		ááááááááá of NAFTA	aaaaaaaaa
		Overall	Economy	or the		Support				ouppore	01 10/0 174	
	<u>ááááááá</u>	<u>ááááááá</u>	áááááááááááá	****		****	*****	****	áááááá áá		****	****
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				Strongly				
	iotai	0000	Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose			Support	Oppose	Oppose
*****	5555555555	55555555			566666666	5666666666		444444444	44444444	444444444		
Jase: Aware of NAFTA	1315	300	484	511	135	462	320	355	134	472	318	325
	1323	300	483	518	135	462	320	359	134	478	318	329
Unweighted Base	1323	302	403	510	130	402	322	209	199	4/0	310	323
ad for Canada (General)	8%	5%	8%	9%	3%	5%	8%	11%	5%	5%	8%	12%
reer Trade between Canada/ U.S./												
Mexico/ Lowering Tariffs	12%	12%	13%	10%	16%	13%	12%	8%	20%	12%	10%	9%
ood for Canada (General)	4%	4%	4%	5%	7%	4%	3%	5%	7%	5%	2%	6%
t's going ahead/ agreement signed/												
inevitable	11%	11%	11%	10%	12%	11%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%
lages will go down	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%
ood for Canadian Business	2%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1%
ost jobs/ low Mexican wages	24%	22%	25%	25%	12%	21%	29%	30%	13%	19%	30%	32%
imilar to EEC/ trade bloc	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%
.S. getting best deal	6%	5%	8%	5%	4%	6%	8%	6%	3%	6%	8%	6%
anada giving up too much	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	5%	1%	3%	6%	5%
ndifferent/ no impact/ good and bad	3%	2%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%
exican workers will suffer	0%		0%	0%				1%				1%
ame as F.T.A. (Canada-U.S. Deal)/												
Extension of F.T.A.	7%	11%	6%	6%	9%	10%	4%	7%	7%	10%	7%	5%
ill hurt Canadian manufacturing/												
business	5%	4%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	6%
ill hurt Canadian standard of living	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
.S./ Bush in favour	1%	1%	1%	1%	2,-	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%
exicans/ Salinas in favour	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	0%		1%
anadians worried/ anxious	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%
anadian Labour Unions Opposed	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%
ad for Canadian farmers/ Agriculture	0%	0%	0%	0%	L //	0%	0%	0%	0,0	0%	0%	0%
exico getting best deal	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%
exico getting worst deal	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%	-776	0%	1%	770	770	0/6	1%
.S. corporations only winners	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	170
ulroney wants it	1%	0%	0% 1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
eeded in today's marketplace	1%	0% 1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1 /0	1/0	1%	1%	0/6	1 /0
3	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	
.S. and Canada will be losers enerally in favour	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0% 1%	1/0		2%	1%	1 /0	
	- , -				2 /2 8 %	1 % 4%	29/	1.0/		1% 4%	39/	1%
xpand markets	4%	6%	4%	2%	8%	4%	3%	1%	10%	4%	3%	1%
exican workers allowed in Canada/	6 %		0 %	09/				0 %/			0 %	~ */
immigration problems	0%	5 4/	0%	0%		4.6/	~~	0%		1.07	0%	0%
ower labour standards	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	2%	0%		1%	2%	1%
lexican energy is safeguarded, ours is												- ~/
not	0%		0%					0%				0%
nvironmental standards will be upheld áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			iáááááááááááá									
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				Strongly				-
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ádádádáda a	ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	aáááááá	áaááaáááá	áááááááá	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa
an't trust participants to keep their										• • •		
word	0%	0%	1%			1%		0%		1%		
anguage differences	0%		0%					0%			0%	
heaper prices for goods	0%	1%		0%	1%		1%	1%	1%	0%		0%
lore businesses/ Industries moving south	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	4%	4%	1%	2%	4%	5%
lore jobs available	1%	1%	1%	2%		2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
mprove Canadian economy	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	1%	1%
ocial services are protected	0%			0%				0%				0%
latural resources are protected	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%		1%	1%	1%
.S. election deadline	0%	0%		1%	1%		0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
ong-term benefit	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	1%	0%
reat to medicare	0%		0%					0%		0%		
hreat to Canadian culture	0%		0%			0%		0%		0%		
urt Canadian economy	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	3%		1%	2%	3%
overnment being secretive about												
agreement	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%
5% North American content on any duty												
free goods	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%
anada remains powerless until												
U.S.decides what to do	0%	0%	0%	1%		1%	0%	1%		0%	1%	1%
he prov. concerned about how it will												
turn out for them	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
AFTA is good for the west	0%		0%			0%				0%		
oss of investment in Canada	0%		0%	0%			0%	0%			0%	
reates a tremendous opportunity for												
Canada in High-tech ind	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%		3%	1%	1%	
he deal concerning auto ind will be												
similar to present	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%
as to go back through Parliament/ has	-/-			-//	-/-							
to be ratified yet	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%
his is a Global way to go	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%		1%	1%		•
extile industry will suffer	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%
ransportation will be costly	0%	270	2,0	1%	0,0	0%	1,0	1%	3,0	1.0	0%	1%
argest common market in the world	0%		0%	1%		070	1%	1%			1%	1%
pen up opportunities for the country	0%	0%	0%	170		0%	176	170		0%	170	170
ot all in favour	0%	0%	076			0%	0%			0,8	0%	
	0%	0%					0%				0%	
exico does not pay attention to	0%	0%	0%	0%		1%	0%			0%	0 %	0%
pollution			0%	0%		1%				0%	0%	0%
nergy costs more in Canada	0%	0%					0%				0%	
ad for Canada-U.S. relations/ conflict	0%	0%					0%				0%	
hoe and furniture industry will suffer ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	0%	0%					0%				0%	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

<u>ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá</u>	ááááááá	Addadadada	adadadadada	áááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
áá	áááááá	ááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááá			áááááááááá					
T	otal	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose			Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá
Obligated to join NAFTA to avoid losing												
investments	0%		0%			0%					0%	
None	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Other	0%		1%	0%		1%	1%		0%	1%	0%	
(DK/NS)	8%	9%	8%	9%	8%	7%	9%	8%	3%	10%	7%	8%
âááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá

8. Is the NAFTA an improvement over the current free trade agreement between Canada and the U.S.?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	aááááááááááá	àáááááááá	iááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááá								ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	• Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	0ppose	Support	Support	Oppose	0ppose
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	aaaaaaaaaaaaaa	Addadadada	i á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Yes	27%	41%	27%	18%	60%	38%	18%	10%	67%	39%	17%	8%
No	43%	30%	40%	55%	9%	29%	52%	69%	9%	24%	58%	73%
0k/Ns	30%	29%	33%	27%	30%	33%	30%	21%	24%	36%	25%	19%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	áááááááá	ááááááááá	lááááááááá

9. The canada-U.S. free trade agreement has now been in effect for over 3 years. generally speaking, would you say that it has...

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	aáááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFIA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	lááááááááááá	ááááááá			áááááááááá			ááááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Verv	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support		Oppose	Oppose
árarararararararararararararararararara	ááááááááááááááá	Adda adda adda adda adda adda adda adda	iá á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		aaaaaaaaa			
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Benefitted Canada	11%	22%	9%	6%	45%	16%	1%	0%	45%	15%	3%	2%
Hurt Canada	67%	48%	67%	79%	24%	47%	85%	93%	27%	53%	82%	88%
No impact	20%	26%	21%	14%	25%	32%	13%	6%	22%	29%	14%	9%
Dk/Ns	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%	5%	0%	1%	6%	4%	1%	1%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	láááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá

10. What about the long term effects of free trade between Canada and the U.S.? In the long run the free trade agreement will...

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ádádádádádádá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá	áááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support of	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá			áááááááááá					
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
8ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted 8ase	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
8enefitted Canada	37%	59%	37%	24%	89%	61%	19%	6%	89%	55%	21%	10%
Hurt Canada	49%	27%	45%	66%	7%	21%	67%	86%	4%	28%	65%	82%
No impact	8%	9%	10%	5%	2%	10%	9%	5%	4%	10%	8%	5%
0k/Ns	6%	4%	8%	4%	2%	7%	6%	3%	3%	6%	6%	3%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

11. Regardless of how you feel about the actual free trade agreement between Canada and the U.S., do you support or oppose the idea of free trade between Canada and the U.S.?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ádádádádádádá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	ááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Support	66%	75%	67%	60%	93%	87%	55%	39%	95%	84%	57%	42%
Oppose	30%	21%	30%	37%	5%	10%	40%	59%	3%	14%	39%	55%
0k/Ns	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

۰.

12. Would you say that, in the long-term, more job will be created or would you say that more jobs would be lost as a result of the free trade agreement with the United States?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá		áááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	444444444	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>áááááááá</u> á	áááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose	0ppose	Support	Support	0ppose	Oppose
******	44444444444444444	iáááááááá	Adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
A lot more jobs created	10%	18%	7%	8%	46%	11%	3%	2%	43%	9%	4%	5%
A few more jobs created	23%	33%	26%	13%	36%	41%	15%	3%	36%	38%	14%	6%
A few more jobs lost	20%	22%	25%	14%	7%	21%	34%	12%	12%	21%	30%	14%
A lot more jobs lost	39%	19%	32%	59%	5%	14%	44%	80%	3%	20%	45%	73%
Dk/Ns	8%	9%	10%	5%	6%	13%	5%	3%	6%	11%	8%	2%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááááááá	iáááááááá	àááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá

13. Recently there has been a number of trade disputes between Canada and the U.S. In general, do you think that Canada has won more, or lost more of these trade disputes since the free trade agreement went into effects?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	adadadadadadada	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááá áá ááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááá ááá	ááá áááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	: Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	ááááááááááááááá	aááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áá áá áááá á	áááááá á áá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Won more	10%	15%	11%	8%	24%	14%	5%	4%	26%	14%	8%	3%
Lost more	74%	64%	76%	79%	48%	66%	86%	86%	46%	69%	84%	85%
Even draw	7%	11%	6%	5%	16%	9%	3%	4%	18%	8%	4%	4%
Dk/Ns	9%	11%	7%	8%	12%	11%	5%	6%	11%	10%	4%	8%
ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááááááá	àáááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á áááááááá	áá ááááááá	ááááááááá

Page: 28

14. Generally speaking, do you support or oppose the free trade agreement that was reached between Canada and the United States?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá			ááááááááááá management		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá Support d	áááááááá of NAFTA	ááááááááá
			Economy	••••••								
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá			ááááááááá			ááááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iáááááááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	á áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	55 5	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Strongly support(4)	10%	20%	7%	7%	100%				62%	7%	3%	2%
Moderately support(3)	35%	49%	41%	21%		100%			30%	63%	21%	9%
Moderately oppose(2)	25%	18%	30%	23%			100%		4%	19%	44%	22%
Strongly oppose(1)	26%	10%	17%	46%				100%	3%	7%	27%	66%
Ok/Ns	4%	3%	5%	3%					1%	3%	4%	1%
Mean	2.3	2.8	2.4	1.9	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	3.5	2.7	2.0	1.5
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	adadadadadadadada	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááá á ááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá

<u> </u>	iááááááááá				ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá
		Uverail	management Economy	. or the	Support	ULLIN		Support	UT MAFTA	
	ááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	iáááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose

Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose Unweighted Base	764 769	98 98	266 267	389 394	367 367	397 402	10 10	138 142	257 257	320 322
SEVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT										
Much more	11%	9%	13%	9%	13%	8%	28%	18%	8%	9%
Somewhat more	47%	57%	49%	42%	54%	40%	28%	56%	56%	36%
Not very	23%	22%	22%	23%	23%	23%	10%	20%	23%	24%
Not at all	16%	10%	13%	21%	7%	26%	35%	5%	11	27%
Dk/Ns	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%		1%	3%	5%
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT										
Much more	17%	25%	18%	13%	23%	11%	56%	22%	18%	12%
Somewhat more	46%	41%	52%	43%	53%	39%	10%	60%	52%	38%
Not very	18%	21%	16%	19%	14%	22%	16%	11%	21%	20%
Not at all	14%	10%	10%	19%	6%	22%	18%	5%	7%	24%
Dk/Ns	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%		2%	2%	7%
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA										
Much more	20%	23%	21%	18%	24%	16%	47%	27%	21%	15%
Somewhat more	45%	43%	48%	43%	50%	40%	18%	50%	50%	40%
Not very	18%	1B%	18%	1B%	16%	19%	35%	14%	20%	16%
Not at all	16%	14%	11%	19%	8%	23%		7%	9%	26%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%		2%		3%
ádáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá							***	áááááááá	ááááááá áá	áááááááá

.

~

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááá		áááááááááááá management Economy		ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support	áá <mark>ááááá</mark> áá of NAFTA	áááááááá
	Total	Good	áááááááááá Somewhat Poor	Very Poor	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá										
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	98	266	389	367	397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES										
Much more	10%	10%	10%	11%	12%	9%	47%	15%	8%	9%
Somewhat more	45%	43%	49%	42%	50%	40%	18%	52%	55%	34%
Not very	24%	30%	23%	23%	25%	23%	26%	17%	25%	26%
Not at all	17%	12%	13%	21%	9%	24%		12%	10%	26%
Dk/Ns	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	9%	4%	2%	5%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPU WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIA MANNER										
Much more	14%	17%	12%	14%	15%	12%	28%	21%	13%	10%
Somewhat more	47%	46%	52%	43%	54%	40%	46%	52%	56%	37%
Not very	20%	22%	24%	16%	20%	19%		16%	21%	21%
Not at all	18%	13%	10%	25%	9%	26%	26%	9%	10%	28%
Dk/Ns	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%		2%	0%	4%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USE SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	D									
Much more	11%	12%	10%	11%	13%	9%	29%	17%	9%	10%
Somewhat more	42%	41%	51%	36%	48%	37%	28%	51%	53%	31%
Not very	23%	25%	22%	23%	22%	23%		20%	23%	25%
Not at all	18%	14%	13%	23%	12%	23%	34%	9%	12%	26%
Dk/Ns	6%	8%	4%	7%	4%	7%	9%	3%	4%	8%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	****								44444444	55555555

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 30

.

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad						ááááááááá			áááááááá
		Overall	management	t of the	Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy				*****			
			iáááááááááá							
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	0ppos
<i>\$</i>										
ase: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	98	266	389	367	397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE F										
Much more	22%	20%	27%	19%	26%	19%	47%	34%	21%	17%
Somewhat more	42%	50%	43%	39%	48%	36%	18%	47%	51%	35%
lot very	18%	17%	16%	19%	15%	20%	10%	12%	16%	21%
lot at all	15%	8%	10%	19%	8%	21%	26%	5%	12%	22%
)k/Ns	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%		2%	1%	5%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMI DOWNTURN	C									
luch more	16%	15%	22%	12%	20%	12%	47%	25%	16%	11%
Somewhat more	37%	41%	36%	36%	41%	34%	18%	42%	44%	31%
lot very	21%	24%	24%	19%	23%	20%	26%	18%	22%	21%
Not at all	24%	18%	16%	31%	15%	33%	10%	15%	18%	34%
Dk/Ns	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%			1%	2%
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMEN	г									
luch more	17%	24%	18%	14%	21%	14%	39%	20%	17%	14%
omewhat more	40%	42%	41%	38%	45%	35%	27%	47%	48%	31%
lot very	20%	18%	20%	19%	17%	22%		15%	20%	22%
lot at all	21%	16%	16%	27%	14%	27%	35%	16%	14%	29%
)k/Ns	3%		4%	2%	2%	3%		1%	1%	3%
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	****	<u>áááááááá</u>	4444444444	*****	*****	****	****	****	****	ááááá .

ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	ááááááááááá						ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Uverall	management Economy	of the	Support	OT FIA		Support	of NAFTA	
	áááááááá	áááááááá	taataataataataata	iáááááááá	ááááááááá	Saasaasaa	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	lááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
***************************************	lááááááááááá	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	láááááááá	lááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	àààààààààà	iáááááááá
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	98	266	389	367	397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
THE FTA PROVIOES CANAOA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRAOE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY										
luch more	15%	15%	17%	13%	18%	12%	29%	19%	14%	12%
Somewhat more	44%	50%	48%	40%	51%	38%	46%	56%	53%	33%
lot very	21%	20%	20%	21%	18%	24%		11%	22%	25%
Not at all	16%	9%	11%	22%	10%	22%	26%	10%	7%	27%
)k/Ns	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%		5%	3%	3%
CANAOIAN CONSUMERS SAVEO \$167 MILLION C OUTES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRAOE AGREEMENT	IN									
luch more	16%	13%	20%	14%	20%	13%	39%	18%	17%	12%
Somewhat more	39%	49%	40%	36%	46%	33%	26%	48%	45%	31%
ot very	21%	24%	22%	20%	20%	23%	16%	21%	23%	21%
ot at all	20%	11%	13%	27%	11%	28%	10%	9%	13%	31%
)k/Ns	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	9%	3%	2%	5%
***************************************	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	táááááááá	Addadadadada	ááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá			áááááááá	

Page: 33

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Much more/ Somewhat more)

******	ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	Overall m	anagement		ááááááááááá Support d			áááááááá Support o		ááááááá
	Total	áááááááá Good	Somewhat Poor	Very Poor		Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongl; Oppose
ÁAÁAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	ááááááááá 57	iááááááááá 66	ááááááááá 62	ááááááá 51	áááááááááá 67	áááááááá 48	áááááááááá 56	áááááááá 74	lááááááááá 64	iááááááá 45
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	63	66	70	56	76	50	65	82	70	50
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	65	66	69	61	74	56	65	77	71	55
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	55	52	59	52	62	49	65	67	64	43
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	60	63	64	57	70	52	74	73	69	47
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	53	53	62	47	61	46	57	68	61	41
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	64	70	70	58	74	55	65	81	72	52
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	53	56	58	48	61	46	65	67	60	42
SDME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	57 ááááááááá	66 ááááááááá	60 ááááááááá	52 áááááááá	66 ááááááááááá	48 áááááááá	65 áááááááááá	67 áááááááá	64 áááááááá	45 ááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

Summary Table of (Much more/ Somewhat more)

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááá				ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá	ááááááááááá Support d		ááááááááá
		overain	management	01 the	Support	UT FTA		Support		
			Economy							
á	ááááááá	áááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA										
COUNTRY	59	65	65	53	68	50	74	75	67	46
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	55 sááááááá	62	60 ááááááááá	50 ááááááá	66 áááááááá	45 áááááááá	65 áááááááá	66 ááááááááá	62 44444444	43 áááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 34

Page: 35

15a. Would this make you more likely, not very likely to support free trade?

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

646666666666666666666666666666666666666					ááááááááááá Support c			ááááááááá Support of		ááááááá
	Total	áááááááá Good	Somewhat Poor	Very Poor		trongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat S Support	S <mark>omewh</mark> at : Oppose	Strongly Oppose
ÁAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	39 39	32	35	44	29	48	ádádádádá 44	25	33 33	50 SD
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	33	30	26	38	20	44	35	16	28	43
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	33	32	29	37	24	42	35	21	29	42
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TD REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	41	42	36	44	34	47	26	29	35	52
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH TE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	37	35	34	41	29	45	26	25	31	49
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	41	39	34	46	35	47	34	29	35	51
NVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLIDN SINCE THE SIGNING DF THE FTA	32	25	26	39	23	41	35	17	27	43
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	45	41	40	50	37	52	35	33	39	56
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	41	34	36	46	32	49	35	32	34	51

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

<u> </u>					addadadad		áááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Uverall	nanagement	of the	Support	OT FIA		Support (DT NAFIA	
			Economy							
ááá	áááááá	ááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	aaaaaaaaa	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	555555555555555555555555555555555555555	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
Τα	otal	Good	Somewhat	Very	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááá	iáááááááá	ádádádádád a s	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ádá á á á á á á á á á	16666666666666666666666666666666666666
COUNTRY	37	29	31	43	28	45	26	20	29	51
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE										
TRADE AGREEMENT	41	35	35	47	31	51	26	1	36	52
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 36

Page: 37

15b. How believable would you find this statement

iááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá
		Overall	management	of the	Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy							
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	aáááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	0ppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
addadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada		áááááááá								
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	98	266	389	367	397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
EVEN OF 12 TRADE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE U.S. AND CANADA HAVE BEEN WON BY CANADA UNDER THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT										
/ery believable	7%	2%	9%	7%	8%	6%	20%	5%	9%	6%
Somewhat believable	35%	54%	40%	27%	42%	29%	45%	52%	38%	24%
lot very believable	36%	33%	34%	39%	37%	35%	16%	30%	38%	38%
lot believable at all	19%	6%	15%	25%	11%	26%	19%	12%	11%	29%
)k/Ns	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%		1%	3%	4%
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT										
/ery believable	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	8%	4%	4%	3%
Somewhat believable	29%	3%	37%	21%	36%	22%	27%	45%	33%	19%
Not very believable	41%	40%	41%	41%	41%	41%	56%	33%	46%	42%
Not believable at all	24%	12%	16%	32%	15%	31%	9%	15%	17%	33%
)k/Ns	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%		3%	1%	3%
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA										
Very believable	5%	9%	5%	3%	6%	4%	17%	7%	4%	4%
Somewhat believable	22%	34%	25%	17%	25%	19%	46%	33%	25%	14%
Not very believable	39%	40%	39%	38%	42%	35%	27%	39%	42%	37%
Not believable at all	33%	18%	29%	40%	25%	41%	10%	21%	29%	43%
Dk/Ns	1%	A 4 / 4	2%	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%	2%

<u> </u>	ááááááááá		management		lááááááááá Support		áááááááá	ááááááááá Support		iááááááááá
	ááááááá Total	áááááá Good	Economy ááááááááááá Somewhat	Very	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
áádádááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	aaaaaaaaaa 764	98 aaaaaa	266 266	389	367	adadadda 397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES										
Very believable	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	27%	8%	4%	2%
Somewhat believable	32%	31%	41%	25%	39%	26%	53%	44%	37%	23%
Not very believable	38%	47%	32%	39%	38%	37%	10%	28%	41%	40%
Not believable at all	23%	13%	20%	28%	16%	30%	9%	17%	17%	32%
Dk/Ns	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%		2%	2%	4%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTE WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	S									
ery believable	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	18%	4%	2%	4%
Somewhat believable	30%	41%	34%	24%	37%	24%	53%	44%	34%	21%
Not very believable	40%	40%	42%	38%	42%	37%	19%	31%	46%	39%
Not believable at all	2 5%	13%	19%	32%	16%	33%	10%	20%	17%	36%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION										
Very believable	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	10%	5%	2%	5%
Somewhat believable	29%	42%	35%	21%	36%	22%	46%	41%	31%	21%
Not very believable	39%	32%	39%	40%	41%	37%	37%	34%	45%	36%
Not believable at all	24%	16%	19%	28%	15%	31%	8%	17%	17%	33%
Dk/Ns	5%	7%	3%	6%	4%	5%		3%	5%	5%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá		44444444					******			

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	lááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	c of the	Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy							
			áááááááááááá							
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Somewhat			Somewhat		Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	0ppose
iadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaada										
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	98	266	389	367	397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FT										
Very believable	5%	7%	4%	5%	4%	5%	27%	5%	4%	5%
Somewhat believable	24%	37%	27%	18%	31%	17%	28%	41%	26%	13%
Not very believable	40%	37%	42%	39%	41%	39%	35%	34%	45%	39%
Not believable at all	30%	16%	25%	36%	22%	37%	10%	18%	24%	41%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%		1%	1%	3%
THE FREE TRADE AREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	2									
Very believable	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	8%	5%	3%	3%
Somewhat believable	15%	28%	21%	8%	21%	10%	17%	28%	16%	9%
Not very believable	37%	38%	40%	33%	39%	34%	49%	30%	44%	34%
Not believable at all	42%	26%	33%	54%	33%	51%	26%	36%	36%	52%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%	2%
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE DF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	r									
Very believable	10%	12%	11%	9%	10%	11%	28%	12%	11%	10%
Somewhat believable	30%	33%	34%	25%	36%	24%	54%	42%	29%	23%
Not very believable	29%	31%	31%	27%	31%	28%	10%	27%	36%	24%
Not believable at all	29%	23%	21%	37%	21%	37%	9%	18%	2 2%	41%
)k/Ns	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%		1%	2%	2%
666666666666666666666666666666666666666	iáááááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááááá	Addadadada	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá						áááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management Economy	t of the	Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA	
	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá	iáááááááááá		****
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				/ Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Oppose			Support	Oppose	Oppose
âdáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Addáda a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááá					áááááááá
Base: Moderately/ strongly oppose	764	98	266	389	367	397	10	138	257	320
Unweighted Base	769	98	267	394	367	402	10	142	257	322
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY										
Very believable	7%	11%	7%	5%	7%	6%	28%	8%	6%	7%
Somewhat believable	35%	42%	41%	29%	40%	30%	26%	46%	39%	27%
Not very believable	31%	25%	32%	31%	33%	29%		27%	37%	29%
Not believable at all	23%	18%	15%	31%	16%	30%	37%	15%	15%	34%
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%	4%	3%
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION O DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	N				·					
Very believable	9%	9%	12%	7%	10%	8%	20%	11%	9%	8%
Somewhat believable	37%	46%	38%	35%	40%	35%	53%	49%	41%	30%
lot very believable	27%	28%	30%	25%	29%	25%	17%	22%	28%	27%
lot believable at all	24%	14%	17%	30%	18%	29%	10%	15%	21%	31%
Dk/Ns	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%		2%	2%	4%
£ááðáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	Addadadada	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá

Summary Table of (Very beleivable/ Somewhat believable)

ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	ááááááááá		nanagement		áááááááá Support		áááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		áááááááá
	Total	Good	Economy áááááááááá Somewhat Poor	Very Poor	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongl Oppose
ádádadádadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada	áááááááá 42	áááááááá 56	áááááááááá 48	ááááááá 34	áááááááá 50	áááááááá 35	ááááááááá 65	ááááááááá 57	áááááááá 47	ááááááá 30
CANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	33	44	41	25	40	26	35	50	36	22
SEVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS ANO OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	27	43	30	20	31	23	63	39	29	18
THE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	36	35	46	29	45	29	80	52	41	25
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	34	45	38	28	41	27	70	48	36	25
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FRDM POTNTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	3 3	45	38	26	40	26	55	46	33	26
INVESTMENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	29	43	31	23	36	22	55	46	30	18
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY OF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	19	33	25	12	26	13	25	33	19	12
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	40	45	46	34	46	34	81	54	40	33

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

Page: 41

(continued)

Summary Table of (Very beleivable/ Somewhat believable)

.

àdáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá							ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall m	nanagement	of the	Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy							
ááááá	iááá	ááááááááá	láááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
Tota	1	Good	Somewhat	Very	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
******	háááá	iáááááááááá	iááááááááá						ááááááááá	<u>ááááááááá</u>
THE FTA PROVIDES CANADA WITH GREATER										
PROTECTION FROM U.S. TRADE ACTION										
THAN IS AVAILABLE TO ANY OTHER										
	12	53	48	34	47	37	54	54	45	34
COUNTRY	+2	22	40	54	4/	57	74	54	40	54
CANADIAN CONCUMERS SAVED \$157 MILLION ON										
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON										
DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE					50	42	70	C1	50	20
	1 6	54	50	42	50	43	72	61	5D	38
<u> </u>	iáááá	a a á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	aáááááááááá	lááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaa	ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

iááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá			áááááááááá anagement		iááááááááá Support o			aááááááááá Support of		iááááááá
	Total	áááááááá Good	Somewhat Poor	Very Poor		trongly : Oppose	Strongly S Support S	iomewhat S Support	Somewhat S Oppose	Strongly Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá 55	39 39	49 49	63	18888888888888888888888888888888888888	61	aaaaaaaaaa 35	42	49	aaaaaa 66
ANADA'S EXPORTS TO THE U.S. HAVE INCREASED BY \$31 BILLION AS A RESULT OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	65	53	57	73	57	72	65	48	62	76
EVERAL AMERICAN AND CANADIAN OWNED COMPANIES HAVE CLOSED PLANTS AND OPENED NEW OR EXPANDED FACILITIES IN CANADA	72	57	68	78	67	76	37	60	71	80
HE FTA HAS BEEN USED TO FORCE THE U.S.GOV'T TO REVERSE EARLIER TRADE DISPUTE DECISIONS AGAINST CDN COMPANIES	61	60	52	67	53	68	20	46	57	72
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT PERMITS CANADIAN EXPORTERS TO SETTLE DISPUTES WITH THE U.S. IN A FAST & IMPARTIAL MANNER	65	54	61	70	58	71	30	51	63	74
HE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS BEEN USED SUCCESSFULLY TO CANADA FROM POTENTIALLY DAMAGING U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION	63	48	59	69	56	68	45	51	62	69
INVESTENT IN CANADA, PARTICULARLY IN MANUFACTURING, HAS INCREASED BY \$6 BILLION SINCE THE SIGNING OF THE FTA	69	53	67	75	63	75	45	52	69	79
THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT HAS LESSENED THE SEVERITY DF THE RECENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN	79	64	73	87	72	85	75	66	80	86
SOME PRICES HAVE GONE DOWN IN CANADA BECAUSE OF THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	58	54	52	64	52	64	19	45	58	66 44444

(continued)

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 43

Summary Table of (Not very/ not at all)

******	ááááááá				ááááááááá	iáááááááá				ááááááááá
		Overall m	anagement	of the	Support	of FTA		Support of	of NAFTA	
			Economy							
áá	áááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	àáááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	5ááááááááá	ááááááááá
T	otal	Good	Somewhat	Very	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááá	iáááááááá	<u>áááááááá</u> á	ááááááááá
COUNTRY	54	43	47	62	49	59	37	42	52	63
CANADIAN CONSUMERS SAVED \$167 MILLION ON DUTIES IN 1991 BECAUSE OF THE FREE										
TRADE AGREEMENT	51	42	47	55	47	53	28	37	49	58
i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	iáááááááá	áááááááááá	iá ááááááá	áááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááááá	áááááááá

àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà		áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá			áááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		Somewhat						
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
464444444444444444444444444444444444444												
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LEADERS												
Trust most	5%	14%	3%	1%	22%	5%	3%	1%	16%	7%	3%	1%
Trust some	33%	52%	37%	18%	45%	45%	31%	15%	48%	45%	30%	14%
Trust little	36%	27%	44%	34%	21%	36%	43%	34%	23%	36%	43%	33%
Trust nothing	25%	7%	16%	47%	12%	14%	22%	5 0%	12%	13%	24%	52%
Dk/Ns	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%	1%		1%		0%	
PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT LEADERS												
Trust most	8%	10%	8%	6%	13%	8%	7%	6%	16%	8%	6%	7%
Trust some	41%	53%	42%	32%	38%	48%	42%	31%	39%	49%	41%	29%
Trust litte	33%	25%	38%	33%	29%	31%	38%	34%	25%	32%	37%	34%
Trust nothing	17%	11%	11%	28%	20%	12%	13%	28%	20%	11%	15%	30%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
LABOUR UNION LEADERS												
Trust most	9%	7%	10%	10%	5%	8%	6%	15%	5%	7%	9%	14%
Trust some	35%	32%	36%	34%	16%	33%	39%	40%	18%	37%	40%	33%
Trust little	32%	35%	33%	28%	31%	35%	33%	24%	31%	32%	37%	26%
Trust nothing	23%	24%	20%	26%	48%	23%	19%	20%	46%	24%	13%	26%
Dk/Ns	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
TRADE ECONOMISTS AND OTHER EXPERTS IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE												
Trust most	29%	32%	32%	24%	40%	34%	27%	21%	43%	35%	24%	21%
Trust some	46%	48%	46%	46%	43%	47%	50%	44%	43%	47%	52%	42%
Trust little	17%	15%	17%	19%	12%	14%	18%	22%	8%	13%	19%	24%
Trust nothing	6%	4%	4%	9%	4%	4%	3%	12%	5%	4%	3%	12%
Dk/Ns	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	aaaaaaaaaaaaa		ááááááááááá	Addadadada	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		

lááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá				ááááááááá					
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			Somewhat					
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Dppose	Oppose
adaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa					aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
JOURNALISTS AND REPORTERS												
Trust most	11%	10%	12%	12%	7%	13%	10%	13%	7%	12%	12%	11%
Trust some	43%	42%	47%	41%	40%	43%	46%	44%	37%	45%	45%	43%
[rust little	30%	36%	30%	28%	32%	31%	32%	28%	36%	31%	31%	27%
Trust nothing	14%	12%	10%	18%	19%	13%	12%	15%	17%	11%	12%	18%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
BUSINESS LEADERS												
Trust most	21%	24%	23%	18%	37%	24%	18%	14%	38%	24%	15%	15%
Trust some	49%	54%	51%	44%	49%	50%	54%	43%	47%	5 0%	56%	43%
Trust little	23%	17%	21%	27%	11%	21%	22%	29%	12%	21%	22%	30%
Trust nothing	7%	4%	5%	9%	3%	5%	5%	12%	3%	4%	6%	12%
Jk/Ns	1%	1%	0%	2%		0%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%
INTEREST GROUPS OPPOSED TO THE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT												
Trust most	8%	4%	6%	13%	5%	3%	8%	16%	4%	3%	8%	17%
Trust some	39%	34%	44%	39%	20%	36%	45%	46%	19%	38%	48%	41%
Trust little	35%	40%	35%	30%	37%	41%	36%	24%	34%	43%	32%	26%
Trust nothing	16%	20%	13%	16%	35%	17%	10%	12%	41%	15%	10%	14%
)k/Ns	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												

Page: 47

17a. As you may know, the federal government has recently completed negotiations with the United States and Mexico on a three-way free trade agreement. Do you ...

ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád					aaaaaaaaa	Support	addadadad	iddddddd	dddddddd	Support (ααααααααα of ΝΔΕΤΔ	0000000000
		Overall	management	of the		Support	UT FIA			Jupport		
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá						áááááááá á		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongl
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	áááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	á ááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
trongly support(4)	10%	20%	6%	7%	60%	8%	2%	1%	100%			
oderately support(3)	36%	48%	43%	22%	26%	65%	28%	9%		100%		
oderately oppose(2)	24%	17%	28%	24%	7%	14%	43%	25%			100%	
trongly oppose(1)	24%	10%	16%	42%	4%	6%	22%	61%				100%
ean	2.3	2.8	2.4	1.9	3.5	2.8	2.1	1.5	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0

Dk/Ns

17. Why do you support the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

	aaadaaaa	overall	aaaaaaaaaa management	of the		Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA
		Uverall	Economy	. Of the		ouppor o	•••••			
	****	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	lá á á á á á á á		ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Stronal	/ Somewha
	, ota i	0000	Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support
<u>.</u>	4444444	****	444444444	4444444	iááááááááá	aáááááááá		áááááááá	ááááááááá	
Base: Strongly support/ somewhat support	682	238	270	163	130	384	109	40	146	536
Unweighted Base	686	239	270	166	131	383	112	40	144	542
onwerghted base	000	200	270	100	101	000				
Opens more markets for Canada than jobs										
lost	18%	17%	21%	16%	20%	17%	18%	16%	24%	16%
Good for Canada (general)	6%	7%	7%	4%	6%	5%	9%	11%	5%	%
Good for Mexico (general)	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%
Good for Canada-U.Sand Mexico	3%	3%	3%	2%		4%	2%	5%	2%	3%
Will raise Mexican standard of living	1%	1%	1%	2%		2%	1%	3%	1%	1%
Will create jobs/ opportunity	11%	15%	9%	11%	9%	13%	10%	8%	13%	11%
I'am for freer trade	7%	5%	9%	7%	5%	8%	6%	9%	5%	7%
Will solve problems with F.T.A./										
improvement	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	6%	13%	2%	4%
More trade is good	11%	9%	11%	13%	10%	11%	12%	8%	10%	11%
More investment in Canada	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%
Stabilizing prices	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%		3%	2%
No alternative/ free trade the only										
option	7%	8%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	3%	8%	7%
Help balance imports & exports	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%		1%	2%
Reduce Labour	0%		0%				1%			0%
Trade Bloc Unit	4%	6%	3%	2%	7%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%
Long Term Benefits	9%	7%	10%	8%	8%	9%	7%	5%	9%	9%
Will force Canadian Businesses to become	•									
more competitive	11%	10%	11%	11%	18%	9%	9%	7%	17%	9%
Mexico could benefit from Canadian										
technology	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	1%	4%		5%	1%
No Tariffs	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%		2%	1%	1%
Improve economy	11%	12%	12%	9%	12%	11%	11%	5%	13%	10%
Important to have stronger relations to	11/*		12/0	0,1						
compete with the ECM	7%	6%	6%	8%	9%	6%	6%	2%	9%	6%
Will be left behind, if we don't move	, ,,	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	•				
forward	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	6%	5%		7%	5%
Will waken unions	0%	0%	576	570	1%	5,0	0,0		1%	-,•
	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Should work if all co-operate fairly	C /o	C /o	C /o	6/0	1/0	L /0	£/0	£/0	1/0	C.10
Canada and U.S.A. will force Mexico to	0%	0%			1%					0%
clean up environment	0%	U%			1%					0/6
Canada needs to learn to compete	20/	29/	0 %	29/	F 0/	294	2%		3%	3%
globally	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%		3%	3%
Will be the strongest trading bloc in						~~/		n 0/	C 2	2
the world	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

Page: 48

17. Why do you support the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	-			ááááááááá			ááááááááá		
		Overall	management	of the		Support	ot FIA		Support	of NAFTA
	44444444		Economy ááááááááááá			*****				
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Verv		Somewhat				
	IUtal	000U	Poor	Poor		Support	0ppose		Support	
<u> </u>		44544444								
Savings in transportation costs	0%	aaaaaaa 0%	4444444444	1%	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	1%	3%	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa 0%
Tourism will benefit	0%	070		1%		0%	170	578		0%
Global free trade improves standard of	0%			1 /0		0%				0%
living for everyone	0%	0%		1%	1%	0%				0%
Trust government	0%	1%		1 /0	1 /0	0%	1%			0%
Cheaper prices for goods	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%		1%
Good for the auto industry	0%	1/0	0%	1 /0	1 /0	0%	5%	E /0		0%
Make all 3 countries more competitive	0%	0%	1%			1%				1%
More choice of merchandise	0%	0%	0%			1%				0%
Wages are low in Mexico/ cheap labour -	070	076	078			170				070
lost jobs	0%		0%	0%		0%				0%
Only U.S. will benefit/ Canada & Mexico	078		078	078		070				078
losers	0%		1%			0%				0%
Will threaten social programmes	0%	1%	170			0,0	1%			0%
Will lower standard of living	0%	-/-	1%			0%	1%			0%
Canada can't compete	0%		0%			•	1%			0%
Loss of natural resources (lumber/ oil/										
mining/ water)	0%		0%				1%			0%
Mexican government not trustworthy/										
unstable	0%	0%			1%				1%	
e don't export or import much with										
Mexico	0%			1%		0%				0%
Don't trust the politicians/too many										
loopholes/too secretive	0%		1%	0%	1%	0%		2%		1%
Misnomer: not free trade	0%	0%			1%				1%	
Not enough info about NAFTA/ should have	e									
had referendum	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%		1%
None	0%	0%				0%				0%
Other	0%		0%				1%			0%
(DK/NS)	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	8%	1%	3%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>ááááááááá</u>	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá

17. Why do you oppose the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	láááááááá		ááááááááá nanagemen		iááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		866666666666666666666666666666666666666	ááááááááá Support	
		overall a	Economy			Support	01 I III		Support	
	áááááááá	áááááááá		á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	.	á ááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			Somewhat			
	10001	4004	Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose
áaáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	****	444444444			i á á á á á á á á á á	444444444				
Base: Somewhat oppose/ Strongly oppose	723	96	245	370	17	109	238	339	358	365
Unweighted Base	725	95	245	373	17	109	235	344	357	368
Univergitzed buse	725	00	240	0,0	.,	100	200	011	007	000
Good for Mexico (general)	0%			0%				0%		0%
Will raise Mexican standard of living	0%			0%				0%		0%
I'am for freer trade	0%	1%							0%	
No alternative/ free trade the only										
option	0%			0%		1%			0%	
Wages are low in Mexico/ cheap labour -										
lost jobs	57%	52%	58%	59%	24%	52%	58%	59%	54%	59%
Exploitation of cheap Mexican labour	1%		0%	1%			1%	1%		1%
Only U.S. will benefit/ Canada & Mexico										
losers	6%	6%	4%	8%	12%	3%	6%	7%	6%	6%
F.T.A. bad - NAFTA worse	14%	17%	15%	12%	16%	16%	11%	15%	15%	12%
/ill threaten social programmes	0%		0%	0%			0%	0%	1%	0%
Will lower standard of living	3%	1%	4%	2%	9%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Canada can't compete	6%	8%	5%	7%		7%	7%	6%	6%	6%
Hurt Canadian economy	9%	10%	8%	10%	12%	4%	9%	12%	9%	10%
Canada will lose money than gain/ get										
cheated	12%	13%	10%	12%	11%	13%	11%	11%	12%	12%
Loss of natural resources (lumber/ oil/										
mining/ water)	2%	2%	2%	2%		2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Mexican government not trustworthy/										
unstable	1%	1%	2%	1%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Will Americanize Canadians	2%	1%	3%	2%	9%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Too much difference between Canada/ U.S.										
and Mexico	1%	1%	3%	1%	10%	4%	1%	0%	1%	2%
We don't export or import much with										
Mexico	2%	1%	1%	2%		3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Don't trust the politicians/too many										
loopholes/too secretive	3%	4%	2%	3%	6%	1%	3%	3%	4%	1%
Canadian companies moving south of the										
border	14%	14%	13%	16%	6%	10%	12%	18%	13%	16%
Environmental issues more lax in Mexico	3%	3%	2%	3%	0,0	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Investors will invest in Mexico, instead	0,0	0,0	270	0,0		.,.	L /0	0,0	270	0.0
of Canada	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Affect cost of living	1%		0%	1%		1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Misnomer: not free trade	1%		2%	1%		3%	1%	1%	0%	2%
Mexico doesn't have much to offer	3%	6%	2% 4%	2%	15%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%
Canada should look after their own first	1%	3%	0%	1%	13%	2%	1%	1%	3 % 1 %	4% 1%

17. Why do you oppose the three-way free trade agreement between Canada and the United States and Mexico?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	àááááááááá	ááááááááá	Addadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA
			Economy							
	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	. áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose
*******	Addaddaddad	áááááááá	Addadddddddd	á á á á á á á á á						
Large Corporations will be the only one	3									
to benefit	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%	1%		2%
Will eventually lose ability to export	170	170	270	~/~			1,0	-/-		270
to diff.countries	0%		0%	0%				0%	0%	0%
U.S.A. rushing Canada into signing	0,0		076	070				0,0	0,0	
(perks for Bush)	1%		0%	1%			0%	1%	0%	1%
Will lower our wages	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%	1%	1%	1%
Mexico will get extra benefits,it is 3rd		1 /0	176	1 /0			1/6	1 /8	170	1/0
world country	0%		0%			1%			0%	
We'll import more than we export	0%	1%	076			178	0%		0%	
We will lose sovreignty to the U.S.	0%	1%	0%				0%	0%	0%	0%
Not enough info about NAFTA/ should have		1%	0%				0%	0%	0%	0%
had referendum	2%	2%	2%	1%		2%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Other	2 % 0%	۲/۵	0%	0%		1%	£ /o	0%	0%	0%
	1%	2%	1%	1%	6%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%
(DK/NS)	1%	2%	16	176 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0%	1%	۲۸ ۲ ، ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲	U%	<u> </u>	1/6
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádadádádádádádá	adadadada	dadadada	aaaadaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	aadadaaa	aqqqqgggg	aaaadaaaa	gagaggag

<u> </u>	iáááááááá		aáááááááááá management		ááááááááá	áááááááá Support		ááááááááá		aááááááááá of NAFTA
		Uverari	Economy			Jupport	01 1 14		Jupport	
	ááááááá Total	áááááááá Good	iáááááááááá Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Somewhat	: Strongly
			Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	723 725	96 95	245 245	370 373	17 17	109 109	238 235	339 344	358 357	365 368
A 3 WAY TRAOE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUOES MEXICO WOULO BE GOOO FOR COA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CON EXPORT										
Much more	16%	19%	17%	14%	36%	19%	19%	11%	19%	12%
Somewhat more	41%	42%	42%	39%	11%	44%	48%	36%	49%	32%
Not very	20%	24%	20%	19%	6%	21%	16%	23%	21%	19%
Not at all	22%	15%	18%	27%	33%	16%	16%	29%	9%	35%
Ok/Ns	2%		3%	1%	14%		1%	1%	1%	2%
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT							4.74		. 74	
Much more	15%	16%	17%	14%	30%	19%	17%	12%	17%	13%
Somewhat more	44%	42%	50%	40%	17%	45%	52%	39%	54%	34%
Not very	20%	31%	17%	20%	18%	22%	16%	22%	20%	20%
Not at all	19%	10%	15%	24% 2%	21% 14%	12% 2%	14% 1%	25% 2%	7% 2%	30% 2%
0k/Ns	2%	1%	1%	2%	14%	210	1/0	2%	2/0	210
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILO THE MEXICAN ECONOMY										
Much more	15%	14%	19%	14%	18%	11%	15%	16%	15%	15%
Somewhat more	40%	46%	41%	36%	29%	48%	47%	33%	51%	29%
Not very	22%	32%	18%	22%	6%	21%	23%	21%	20%	23%
Not at all	22%	7%	20%	26%	27%	18%	14%	28%	12%	31%
Ok/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	20%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
THE NEW 3-WAY TRAOE AGREEMENT IS GOOO FOR CANAOA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES ANO IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA										
Much more	9%	12%	9%	8%	17%	7%	10%	8%	10%	7%
Somewhat more	40%	44%	43%	37%	18%	45%	49%	32%	49%	31%
Not very	26%	29%	27%	24%	11%	24%	25%	28%	24%	27%
Not at all	21%	12%	17%	26%	35%	19%	13%	28%	12%	30%
<u> </u>	iáááááááá	áááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	<u> </u>	iááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá á	áááááááá	iáááááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áá á ááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	Addadadada	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
	Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA
		Economy							
ááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá
Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Somewhat	Strongly
		Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose
<u> </u>	ááááááá á	ááááááááááá	áá á ááááá	áááááááá á	áááááááá á	aaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	4áááááááá	ááááááááá
Dk/Ns 5%	3%	4%	5%	19%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%
4 3 4433444334434444444444444444444444	áááááááá	á á á áá áááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	4aaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	áááááááá á	aaaaaaaaaaa

***************************************	aaaaaaaa		management		dddddddd	Support		aaaaaaaaa	Support	
			Economy							
			ááááááááááá							
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				Strongly		
************			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose
					aaaaaaaa 17	109 1	aaaaaaaaa 238	aaaaaaaa 339	358 adadad	aaaaaaaa 365
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade Unweighted Base	723 725	96 95	245 245	370 373	17	109	258	344	357	368
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES										
Much more	9%	8%	11%	9%	28%	7%	11%	8%	11%	8%
Somewhat more	44%	40%	48%	42%	24%	44%	51%	39%	54%	34%
Not very	23%	35%	21%	22%	6%	28%	23%	22%	22%	24%
Not at all	20%	12%	16%	24%	27%	15%	11%	27%	9%	30%
Dk/Ns	4%	5%	4%	4%	14%	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA										
Much more	25%	24%	28%	24%	36%	23%	30%	22%	28%	22%
Somewhat more	37%	36%	40%	34%	12%	46%	39%	34%	44%	30%
Not very	17%	25%	17%	16%	10%	14%	17%	19%	15%	19%
Not at all	19%	11%	12%	24%	29%	14%	12%	25%	10%	27%
Dk/Ns	2%	4%	3%	1%	14%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS										
Much more	19%	16%	24%	16%	6%	16%	22%	18%	21%	17%
Somewhat more	41%	42%	42%	40%	18%	49%	49%	35%	50%	33%
Not very	20%	28%	17%	20%	17%	16%	19%	21%	18%	21%
Not at all	17%	12%	15%	20%	45%	16%	9%	22%	9%	25%
Dk/Ns	3%	2%	2%	4%	14%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá				iaaaaaaaaa		444444444		<u>áááááááá</u> á	444444444	áááááá

Summary Table (Much more/ somewhat more)

&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&	ááááááááá		ááááááááááá management Economy		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		ááááááááá	áááááááááá Support (
	ááááááá Total	ááááááá Good	ááááááááááá Somewhat Poor	ááááááá Very Poor		Somewhat				
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád		áááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá					ááááááááá	
IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	56	61	59	53	46	63	67	47	69	44
OMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH	50	50								
AMERICA MKT	59	59	67	54	47	64	70	51	71	. 48
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	55	60	60	50	47	59	62	49	66	44
HE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	49	56	52	44	36	53	59	40	60	38
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	53	48	58	51	53	51	63	47	65	42
ANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	62	60	68	58	48	70	69	55	73	52
HE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV										
STANDARDS	60	58	66	56	24	66	70	53	71	50
á à á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	<u>.</u>	Sáááááááááá	áááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 55

Summary Table (Not very/ not at all)

******	ááááááááá		management		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		áááááááá	ááááááááá Support	
	ááááááá Total	áááááá Good	Economy áááááááááá Somewhat Poor	ááááááá Very Poor		Somewhat		áááááááá Strongly Oppose		
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		ááááááá								
IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	42	39	38	46	40	37	32	52	30	54
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH	20	40	20	40	40	24	20	47	07	50
AMERICA MKT	39	40	32	43	40	34	30	47	27	50
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	43	39	38	49	33	39	37	49	32	54
HE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	47	41	44	50	46	43	37	55	36	57
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	43	47	38	45	34	44	34	49	31	54
CANADA'S SDVEREIGNTY WILL NDT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	36	36	29	40	38	28	29	43	24	47
HE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE CDUNTRIES HAVE RECDGNIZED THAT THEY SHDULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV										
STANDARDS	37	40	32	40	62	32	28	43	26	47

***************************************	ááááááááá		áááááááááá manag e ment		ááááááááá		áááááááááá of FTA	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ááááááááá Support	
			Economy			ouppor e				
	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Somewhat	Strong
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	0ppose	0ppose	0ppose	Opposi
ádaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaa		ááááááááá				ááááááááá		ááááááááá		
Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade	723	96	245	370	17	109	238	339	358	365
Unweighted Base	725	95	245	373	17	109	235	344	357	368
A 3 WAY TRADE AGREEMENT THAT INCLUDES MEXICD WOULD BE GDDD FOR CDA BECAUSE IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT										
Very believable	6%	10%	6%	4%	12%	8%	6%	4%	7%	4%
Somewhat believable	27%	30%	28%	26%	16%	36%	30%	22%	35%	19%
Not very believable	36%	35%	40%	32%	17%	29%	42%	33%	40%	32%
Not believable at all	30%	24%	23%	37%	41%	25%	21%	39%	17%	43%
Dk/Ns	2%	1%	2%	2%	14%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA ABERBECK BANN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH										
Very believable	2%	1%	3%	2%		3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Somewhat believable	29%	37%	32%	26%	36%	33%	37%	22%	38%	20%
Not very believable	40%	41%	42%	38%	23%	40%	41%	41%	44%	36%
Not believable at all	26%	19%	19%	32%	27%	19%	19%	34%	13%	38%
Dk/Ns	3%	2%	4%	2%	14%	6%	1%	2%	3%	2%
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY										
/ery believable	14%	7%	14%	16%	6%	14%	16%	13%	15%	13%
Somewhat believable	36%	45%	40%	31%	52%	38%	38%	32%	44%	28%
Not very believable	28%	38%	26%	26%		32%	30%	26%	29%	27%
Not believable at all	21%	7%	17%	27%	29%	13%	15%	27%	11%	30%
Dk/Ns	2%	2%	3%	1%	14%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%
THE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA										
Very believable	3%	2%	4%	2%		3%	5%	1%	3%	3%
Somewhat believable	33%	38%	36%	30%	40%	37%	41%	26%	42%	24%
Not very believable	35%	39%	38%	31%	40% 5%	42%	35%	32%	39%	30%
Not believable at all	26%	18%	38% 18%	31%	41%			36%		30%
)k/Ns	20%	3%	4%	34%		17% 2%	17%		13%	
JK/ NS \$666666666666666666666666666666666666					14%		2%	4%	3%	4%

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA		Support	of NAFTA
			Economy							
			ááááááááááá							
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		Somewhat				Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	0ppose	Oppose	0ppose

Base: Oppose to the three-way free trade	723	96	245	370	17	109	238	339	35B	365
Unweighted Base	725	95	245	373	17	109	235	344	357	368
THE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES										
Very believable	3%		4%	2%		3%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Somewhat believable	36%	39%	43%	29%	51%	41%	46%	26%	46%	25%
lot very believable	36%	39%	34%	38%	6%	37%	36%	38%	38%	34%
Not believable at all	22%	18%	14%	28%	29%	16%	12%	31%	10%	34%
Dk/Ns	4%	3%	5%	3%	14%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%
CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA										
Very believable	7%	5%	10%	6%	10%	9%	8%	6%	7%	7%
Somewhat believable	28%	42%	32%	23%	40%	39%	29%	23%	35%	22%
lot very believable	33%	32%	34%	32%	12%	33%	38%	30%	37%	29%
lot believable at all	30%	20%	22%	38%	24%	17%	24%	40%	19%	41%
0k/Ns	2%	1%	2%	1%	14%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
THE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV STANDARDS										
/ery believable	5%	6%	8%	3%	11%	10%	6%	3%	5%	6%
omewhat believable	31%	39%	34%	26%	36%	33%	39%	25%	38%	24%
lot very believable	34%	34%	35%	34%	6%	36%	36%	33%	40%	29%
ot believable at all	27%	20%	19%	34%	33%	20%	18%	36%	15%	38%
)k/Ns	3%	1%	4%	2%	14%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%
ia á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á										

Summary Table (Very believable/ somewhat believable

ádáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá			management			Support			Support	
	ááááááá Total	ááááááá Good	Economy áááááááááá Somewhat Poor	ááááááá Very Poor	áááááááá Strongly Support	Somewhat	ááááááááá Somewhat Oppose	áááááááá Strongly Oppose	áááááááá Somewhat Oppose	ááááááá Strongl Oppose
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		áááááááá	áááá ááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	4444444
IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	33	40	34	30	28	44	37	27	42	24
MPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	31	38	34	28	36	36	39	23	40	23
	51	30	54	20	50	50	55	25	40	20
EE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	50	52	54	46	57	52	54	46	58	41
IE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GOOD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES ANO IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	36	40	40	32	40	40	46	28	45	27
IE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	38	39	48	31	51	44	49	28	49	28
NADA'S SOVEREIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	36	47	42	28	50	49	37	29	42	29
IE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE COUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZE THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV										
STANDARDS	36	45	42	3D	46	43	45	29	43	30

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-0B)

Page: 59

Summary Table (Not very/ not at all)

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá			nanagement		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support	ááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	ááááááááááá Support c	ááááááá f NAFTA
	áááááááá Total	áááááááá Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	áááááááá Somewhat Support	áááááááá Somewhat Oppose	áááááááá Strongly Oppose	ááááááááá Somewhat Oppose	iááááááá Strongl Oppose
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád		iááááááááá	Poor áááááááááá	Poor láááááááá						
IT OPENS UP A LARGE NEW MKT FOR CDN EXPORT	66	59	64	69	58	54	63	72	57	75
COMPANIES WILL CONTINUE TO INVEST IN CANADA IF WE ARE PART OF A 3-WAY FTA SO THEY CAN SERVE THE WHOLE NORTH AMERICA MKT	66	60	62	70	50	58	60	75	57	75
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO WILL HELP BUILD THE MEXICAN ECONOMY	48	46	43	52	29	45	45	53	40	57
HE NEW 3-WAY TRADE AGREEMENT IS GDDD FOR CANADA BECAUSE IT CLARIFIES AND IMPROVES THE RULES OF THE EXISTING FTA	61	57	56	65	46	58	52	68	52	69
HE NAFTA RETAINS AND IMPROVES ALL OF THE BENEFITS GAINED BY CANADA IN THE CANADA-U.S. FTA ESPECIALLY IN TRADE DISPUTES	58	58	48	66	35	53	48	.69	48	68
ANADA'S SOVERIGNTY WILL NOT SUFFER UNDER THE NAFTA	63	52	56	70	36	50	62	69	56	70
HE NAFTA IS BENEFICIAL BECAUSE THE THREE CDUNTRIES HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT LOWER THEIR ENV										
STANDARDS	61	54	54	68	40	56	54	69	55	67

Page: 61

19a. What about the long-term effects of the NAFTA? Oo you think that in the long run the NAFTA will benefit Canada?

àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá			iáááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA		Support of NAFTA			
			Economy									
	aaaaaaaa aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa											ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááááá	ááááááááá	aáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Benefitted Canada	41%	59%	44%	27%	83%	62%	31%	9%	91%	65%	23%	8%
Hurt Canada	38%	20%	35%	54%	6%	18%	45%	72%	2%	11%	58%	76%
No impact	11%	11%	12%	10%	5%	11%	15%	10%	3%	12%	13%	10%
0k/Ns	9%	10%	8%	9%	6%	9%	9%	8%	4%	11%	6%	6%
<u> </u>	iááááááááááá	ááááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áá áá ááááá	ááááááááá

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá		áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááá
		of the		Support	of FTA		Support of NAFTA					
Economy												
	áááááááá	ááááááá	iááááááááááá	áááááááá						áááááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	áááááááá	ádádádádá a se	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	444444444	ááááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Benefit province	35%	51%	38%	23%	76%	55%	23%	9%	88%	56%	18%	6%
Hurt province	41%	24%	38%	56%	8%	22%	48%	74%	1%	18%	58%	77%
No impact	15%	14%	17%	13%	9%	14%	21%	11%	8%	15%	18%	12%
Dk/Ns	9%	10%	8%	8%	7%	9%	8%	6%	3%	11%	6%	5%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááá	àáááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

Ok/Ns

PROVINCE Total British Alberta Saskatchew Manitoba Ontario Ouebec New Nova Newfound1a Prince Columbia nd Edward an Brunswick Scotia Island Base: All Respondents 1498 177 130 59 63 551 387 41 50 32 В 172 61 60 541 391 43 52 34 1502 140 8 Unweighted Base 35% 32% 38% Benefit province 33% 46% 41% 34% 33% 39% 25% 17% 41% Hurt province 42% 30% 37% 40% 51% 35% 31% 36% 26% 25% No impact 15% 15% 17% 18% 23% 8% 15% 42% 33% 36% 37%

4%

3%

8%

11%

2%

14%

6%

7%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

9%

11%

***************************************	addaaaaaaa		aaaaaaaaaa management		aaaaaaaaa	iaaaaaaaaa Support		adaaaaaaa	adaddaaa		aaaaaaaa of NAFTA	adadadada
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá									
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		/ Somewhat						
			Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		0ppose	0ppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá												
Base: Benefit Province	530	181	209	130	114	286	84	35	129	298	66	23
Unweighted Base	528	181	207	130	114	283	84	37	126	302	64	23
ly province is not industrial, more												
agri will benefit	1%	1%	2%			0%	1%	4%		1%	1%	8%
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	10%	11%	9%	10%	8%	10%	11%	15%	9%	10%	9%	21%
/ill create jobs	12%	15%	11%	8%	9%	13%	15%	6%	11%	14%	11%	
ly province will trade more with U.S.												
and/ or Mexico	12%	12%	10%	15%	9%	13%	6%	24%	15%	10%	12%	18%
ore trade	8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	9%	8%	3%	4%	11%	5%	
ncreased investment in province	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%
lew businesses in province	7%	4%	6%	11%	6%	6%	13%		7%	3%	13%	11%
Prvince has to have freer trade/												
inevitable	7%	6%	6%	10%	10%	7%	2%	7%	8%	7%	6%	
rovince can compete	10%	11%	10%	7%	13%	10%	7%	6%	16%	9%	6%	8%
xpanded export market	16%	16%	18%	12%	20%	16%	13%	9%	23%	15%	11%	3%
lade bigger trading 8loc to compete wit	:h											
European Market	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%			2%	1%		
ill force provinces's Industry to												
become more competitive	10%	13%	9%	9%	12%	9%	10%	8%	10%	12%	7%	
trengthen economy	8%	10%	8%	5%	10%	7%	6%	9%	10%	8%	6%	
Attract immigration	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	0%		3%	1%	1%		
lexico can benefit from our expertise &												
technology	7%	5%	8%	7%	9%	7%	4%	3%	9%	7%	6%	3%
rickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda									•			
it benefits prov	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	
rovince is not labour based	0%		0%				1%	275		0%		
ill help primary industries	1%	0%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%	
roviding all parties are considered				2,0			170		270	170	2/4	
equal	1%	1%	1%			1%				1%	2%	
le are very strong in industry	2%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%
ill help agriculture	4%	3%	5%	3%	5% 6%	3%	1%	3%	5% 6%	2%	4%	5%
This is a global way to go	1%	5% 1%	3%	576	0/0	2%	3%	570	1%	2%	-+/0	570
or our province there is no way to go	1 /0	1 /0	5/6			£ /0	5%		1 /0	2/0		
but up	0%		1%					6%		1%		
			1 /0					0%		1%		
ur geographical position will benefits		19/	10/	09/	28/	1.8/			0 %	1.0/		
U.S.	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%			2%	1%		
our prov will be independent and this	• •/	~~/	<u></u>	~							~	
will open trade doors	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4%
le will diversity more	0%			1%		1%				0%		
ill improve our standard of living	0%	1%				0%		2%		0%		3%

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

átaataataataataataataataataataataataataa	áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	iáááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	/ Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
<u> </u>	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
Oil and gas industry will benefit	0%			1%		0%		3%		0%	2%	
No Tariffs	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%				1%		
Auto industry will benefit	0%		0%			0%				0%		
Will reprice the cost of foreign goods	0%	1%				0%				0%		
Lowered market prices	1%	1%	1%			1%				1%		
If Quebec stays in Canada, we will												
benefit	0%		1%		1%		1%		1%	0%		
No choice/ it is necessary	0%			1%		0%				0%		
Creates a great demand for high tech												
products	0%	1%		1%	2%	0%			1%	1%		
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	0%	1%				0%				0%		
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	0%	0%				0%						
None	0%	1%				0%				0%		
Other	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%		1%	3%	
(OK/NS)	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	2%	5%	5%	15%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	aáááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

-

<u> </u>	ááááááááááááá		áááááááááááá management Economy		áááááááááááá	ááááááááááá Support		<u>ááááááááááá</u>		ááááááááááá pport of NA	
	áááááááááá	áááááááá áá	ááááááááááááá	****	ááááááááá á	<u> </u>	*****	4444444444	444444444	4444444444	<u>ááááááááááá</u>
	Total	Good	Somewhat		Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat
	, or a l	0000	Poor	10. 9 1001	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose
<u> </u>	<u>áááááááááááááá</u>	****		4444444444							
Base: Benefit Province	530	181	209	130	114	286	84	35	129	298	66
Unweighted Base	528	181	207	130	114	283	84	37	126	302	64
My province is not industrial, more											
agri will benefit	1%	1%	2%			0%	1%	4%		1%	1%
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	10%	11%	9%	10%	8%	10%	11%	15%	9%	10%	9%
Will create jobs	12%	15%	11%	8%	9%	13%	15%	6%	11%	14%	11%
My province will trade more with U.S.											
and/ or Mexico	12%	12%	10%	15%	9%	13%	6%	24%	15%	10%	12%
More trade	8%	10%	7%	6%	7	9%	8%	3%	4%	11%	5%
Increased investment in province	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	1%	3%
New businesses in province	7%	4%	6%	11%	6%	6%	13%		7%	3%	13%
Province has to have freer trade/											
inevitable	7%	6%	6%	10%	10%	7%	2%	7%	8%	7%	6%
Province can compete	10%	11%	10%	7%	13%	10%	7%	6%	16%	9%	6%
Expanded export market	16%	16%	18%	12%	20%	16%	13%	9%	23%	15%	11%
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete wi										-	
European Market	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%			2%	1%	
Will force provinces's Industry to											
become more competitive	10%	13%	9%	9%	12%	9%	10%	8%	10%	12%	7%
Strengthen economy	8%	10%	8%	5%	10%	7%	6%	9%	10%	8%	6%
Attract immigration	1%	1%	0%		1%	0%		3%	1%	1%	
Mexico can benefit from our expertise	&										
technology	7%	5%	8%	7%	9%	7%	4%	3%	9%	7%	6%
Trickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cd	a,										
it benefits prov	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%
Province is not labour based	0%		0%				1%			0%	
Will help primary industries	1%	0%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%
Providing all parties are considered											
equal	1%	1%	1%			1%				1%	2%
We are very strong in industry	2%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%
Will help agriculture	4%	3%	5%	3%	6%	3%	1%	3%	6%	2%	4%
This is a global way to go	1%	1%	3%			2%	3%		1%	2%	
For our province there is no way to go										2.0	
but up	0%		1%					6%		1%	
Our geographical position will benefit:			-/*					-/*		2.00	
U.S.	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%			2%	1%	
Our prov will be independent and this	±	2.00	-/-	270	2/0	▲ /♥			C/V	1	
will open trade doors	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%
We will diversity more	0%	C /0	070	1%	C./0	1%	576	570	1/9	0%	C /0
464444444444444444444444444444444444444			44444444444		*********						

(continued)

<u> </u>	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	iáááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá
		Overal	l management	of the		Support	of FTA		Su	pport of NA	.FTA
			Economy								
	áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ááááááááááá	áááááááááá	.áááááááááááá	lááááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very Poor	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat
			Poor	-	Support	Support	0ppose	0ppose	Support	Support	0ppose
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	á a a á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	hádádádádá h	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá		láááááááááááá
Will improve our standard of living	0%	1%				0%		2%		0%	
Oil and gas industry will benefit	0%			1%		0%		3%		0%	2%
No Tariffs	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%				1%	
Auto industry will benefit	0%		0%			0%				0%	
Will reprice the cost of foreign goods	0%	1%				0%				0%	
Lowered market prices	1%	1%	1%			1%				1%	
If Quebec stays in Canada, we will											
benefit	0%		1%		1%		1%		1%	0%	
No choice/ it is necessary	0%			1%		0%				0%	
Creates a great demand for high tech											
products	0%	1%		1%	2%	0%			1%	1%	
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	0%	1%				0%				0%	
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	0%	0%				0%					
None	0%	1%				0%				0%	201
Other	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%	0 %	1%	3%
(DK/NS)	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%			5%	5%
<u> </u>	iáááááááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áàààààááááááá	ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	ààààààáááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaaaa	laaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

(continued)

***********************	áááááááááááá Support of NAFTA		aaaa aa áááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaááá	ááááááááááááá PROV		aaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaaaaa	laaaaaaaaaa
		ááááááááá British Columbia	ááá ááá áááá Alberta	áááááááááááá Saskatchew an		ááááááááááá Ontario	áááááááááá Quebec	ááááááááááá New Brunswick	ááááááááá Nova Scotia	aáááááááááááááá Newfoundla nd	iáááááááá Prince Edward
	oppose	COTUMDTA		an				DIGISWICK	SCOLIA	na	Island
&&&&& &&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&	áááááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	ááááá ááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááá á ááááááááá	á áá á ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá áá	láááááááááááá áá	
Base: Benefit Province	23	58	60	24	21	183	152	10	9	10	3
Unweighted Base	23	56	63	24	18	179	154	11	9	11	3
My province is not industrial, more											
agri will benefit	8%		5%	3%			1%				
Export resources to U.S. and Mexico	21%	12%	25%	7%	5%	10%	5%	18%	11%		
Will create jobs		6%	2%	7%	11%	15%	14%	37%	11%	9%	
My province will trade more with U.S.											
and/ or Mexico	18%	8%	7%	3%	14%	20%	8%	10%		10%	
More trade		15%	8%	7%		7%	8%	9%	10%		
Increased investment in province	4%	2%	0.0	770	11%	3%	1%	0,0	11%		
New businesses in province	11%	7%	1%		22%	10%	4%			9%	
Province has to have freer trade/	- 1.0	,			2270			•		0.0	
inevitable		7%	8%	14%		5%	5%	10%	43%	28%	
Province can compete	8%	4%	7%	1 170		11%	16%	10/0	11%	20/0	
Expanded export market	3%	26%	7%	16%	12%	14%	22%		11/0		
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete wit		20%	, ,,	20/6	1278	1 470	22/8				
European Market						1%	3%				
will force provinces's Industry to						176	578				
become more competitive		6%	6%	10%	7%	12%	12%		24%		37%
Strengthen economy		7%	8%	10%	8%	7%	10%	8%	24%	9%	33%
Attract immigration		2%	1%		078	1%	10/6	0/6		576	55%
Mexico can benefit from our expertise &		L 70	170			176					
technology	3%	10%	13%			4%	9%			9%	30%
Trickle-down effect; if it beneftis Cda		10/8	10%			4/6	3%			3%	30%
it benefits prov	,	2%	3%			1%	2%			17%	
Province is not labour based		2%	2%			1/0	210			1//0	
Will help primary industries			2%			1%	3%				
Providing all parties are considered			270			1/0	3%				
equal				7%		1%					
We are very strong in industry	4%			1 /0		3%	3%				
Will help agriculture	4 % 5%		1 1 9/	17%	1.0%				1 1 0/		
	5%		11%	17%	12%	1%	1%		11%		
This is a global way to go			2%		6%		2%		22%	9%	
For our province there is no way to go but up										19%	
Our geographical position will benefits										2070	
U.S.		4%					1%				
Our prov will be independent and this		- 10					1 /0				
will open trade doors	4%	2%					3%	8%			
We will diversity more	470	1%	2%				5%	0/6			
ad											

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

******	ááááááááááááá Support of NAFTA	-	aaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	iàààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	áááááááááááá PROVI		aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa	áááááááááááááá	aaaaaaaaáá
	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	Addádádádá a a servezete a	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááááá á	ááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	áááááááááá
	Strongly Oppose	British Columbia	Alberta	Saskatchew an	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New Brunswick	Nova Scotia	Newfoundla nd	Prince Edward Island
**	****	****	áááááááááá	****	Addaddaddad	****	ááááááááá	****	ááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	
(ill improve our standard of living	3%		1%				1%				
il and gas industry will benefit			3%								
lo Tariffs		2%				1%	1%				
uto industry will benefit						1%					
ill reprice the cost of foreign goods							1%				
owered market prices							2%				
f Quebec stays in Canada, we will											
benefit						1%	1%				
lo choice/ it is necessary							1%				
reates a great demand for high tech											
products						1%	1%				
.ose jobs - low Mexican wages						1%					
lo trade with Mexico/ U.S.			1%								
lone							1%				
Ither		3%	3%								
DK/NS)	15%	4%	1%	9%	13%	3%	6%	9%			
*****	ááááááááááá á	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	iááááááááááá		ádádádádá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá

.

~

***************************************			management		1000000000	Support		aaaaaaaa		Support		aaaaaaa
			Economy			FF						
	áááááááá	ááááááá	iááááááááááá	láááááááá								
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very					<pre>strongly</pre>		Somewhat	Strong
			Poor	Poor	Support		0ppose		Support		0ppose	0ppos
á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááááá	ááááááááá			iááááááááá				iááááááááá	ááááááááá		
ase: Hurt Province	617	86	211	315	13	113	176	293	1	98	206	280
Unweighted Base	621	85	212	319	13	113	177	296	1	99	207	282
ade bigger trading Bloc to compete wit												
European Market	0%		1%				1%					1%
anufacturing will be hurt/ more out of												
province	24%	28%	19%	27%	8%	23%	25%	25%	100%	27%	21%	25%
griculture will be hurt	4%	7%	3%	4%		4%	5%	4%		4%	5%	3%
rovince will lose/ provincial economy												
will suffer	14%	12%	15%	14%	25%	15%	14%	13%		14%	12%	16%
rovince can't compete/ too small	4%	4%	4%	4%		3%	2%	5%		3%	6%	3%
ill affect health care/ social												
programmes	1%	2%	0%	1%			1%	1%			1%	2%
ose control of resources (hydro/ oil/												
water)	5%	3%	6%	5%		4%	6%	5%		3%	6%	5%
ose jobs - low Mexican wages	46%	48%	39%	50%	22%	43%	44%	49%		34%	43%	51%
<pre></pre>	1%		2%	1%			1%	1%		2%	2%	0%
.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free		_										
trade	6%	3%	9%	6%	29%	5%	5%	7%		4%	8%	6%
arifs still apply on softwoods/	• • •											
limitations	1%	• • •	2%	1%		1%	1%	2%		1%	0%	2%
ower our standard of living	3%	1%	4%	2%	7%	4%	1%	3%		4%	3%	2%
d for lumber Industry	1%		2%	1%	9%	-	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%
ore companies moving south	11%	10%	10%	13%		7%	14%	12%		8%	12%	12%
xico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	1%	2%	1%	20%	1%	0%	1%		1%	3%	1%
leap imports	4%	2%	5%	4%		4%	4%	5%		4%	4%	4%
ad for the fishing industry	1%	1%	1%	0%		1%		1%			0%	1%
utomobile industry will suffer	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%		2%	0%	1%
teel industry will lose out to U.S.A.				en /						4.04		~
bigger companies	0%		0%	0%			1%	0%		1%		0%
rovince will lose federal government	• •(
subsidies	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%
d for environment - no restrictions			- •									
down there	2%	1%	2%	3%	7%	2%	2%	2%		2%	4%	1%
oduction costs are easier and cheaper												
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%	2%	2%	0%		2%	2%	1%		1%	2%	1%
ad for textile industry	1%	2%	3%	0%		2%	3%	0%		2%	1%	1%
ore immigration	0%	1%					1%					0%
exico's cheap oil could hurt our oil												
patch	0%			0%		1%						0%

(continued)

.

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá				-	ááááááááá			ááááááááá				ááá ááááá á
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FIA			Support (ot NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá								
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	y Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
& # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Addadadadadada	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	áááááááá	Saaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	4444444444	ááááááááá
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will												
devastate it financially	1%	1%	1%			2%	0%	0%			1%	1%
Problem of unity between the province	0%	1%					1%				0%	
Fear of foreign investment	0%	1%					1%				0%	
Threat to our culture	0%		0%	0%			1%	0%			0%	0%
No faith in politicians/ Government	0%	1%					1%	•-			1%	•
Not enough information to form opinion	1%		0%	1%		2%	1%				1%	
Other	0%		0%			1%				1%	•	
(DK/NS)	2%	4%	3%	1%	9%	3%	3%	1%		3%	3%	2%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá		áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	Ádádádádá a de se	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	4444444444	áááááááá

ââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââââ			management				of FTA	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa		pport of NA	
		overari	Economy			Support	ULIA		50		AF I A
	****	****		iáááááááááááá	4444444444		444444444444	55555555555	4444444444	555555555555	444444444
	Total	Good		Very Poor	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat
	local	0000	Poor	1019 1001	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose
**	****	*****			5455555555	555555555555	444444444444	44444444444	54444444444	3000000	oppose
Base: Hurt Province	617	86	211	315	13	113	176	293	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	98 98	206
Unweighted Base	621	85	212	319	13	113	170	295	1	90 99	200
Nada biggen turding Dlas to comunts with											
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with	0 %		1.0/								
European Market	0%		1%				1%				
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of	0.4%		1.00	0.74							
province	24%	28%	19%	27%	8%	23%	25%	25%	100%	27%	21%
Agriculture will be hurt	4%	7%	3%	4%		4%	5%	4%		4%	5%
Province will lose/ provincial economy											
will suffer	14%	12%	15%	14%	25%	15%	14%	13%		14%	12%
Province can't compete/ too small	4%	4%	4%	4%		3%	2%	5%		3%	6%
Will affect health care/ social											
programmes	1%	2%	0%	1%			1%	1%			1%
Lose control of resources (hydro/ oil/											
water)	5%	3%	6%	5%		4%	6%	5%		3%	6%
_ose jobs - low Mexican wages	46%	48%	39%	50%	22%	43%	44%	49%		34%	43%
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	1%		2%	1%			1%	1%		2%	2%
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free											
trade	6%	3%	9%	6%	29%	5%	5%	7%		4%	8%
Tarifs still apply on softwoods/											
limitations	1%		2%	1%		1%	1%	2%		1%	0%
Lower our standard of living	3%	1%	4%	2%	7%	4%	1%	3%		4%	3%
Bad for lumber Industry	1%		2%	1%	9%		1%	1%		2%	1%
More companies moving south	11%	10%	10%	13%		7%	14%	12%		8%	12%
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	1%	2%	1%	20%	1%	0%	1%		1%	3%
Cheap imports	4%	2%	5%	4%		4%	4%	5%		4%	4%
Bad for the fishing industry	1%	1%	1%	0%		1%		1%		170	0%
Automobile industry will suffer	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%		2%	0%
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.							-/-			L //	074
bigger companies	0%		0%	0%			1%	0%		1%	
Province will lose federal government	-10		•	-76			1,0	0,0		170	
subsidies	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	1%		1%	1%
Bad for environment - no restrictions	170		170	170		1/0	1/0	1 /6		1 /0	1/0
down there	2%	1%	2%	3%	7%	2%	2%	29/		294	49/
Production costs are easier and cheaper	C /0	1/0	C /0	3%	1 10	610	6%	2%		2%	4%
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%	2%	201	n%		09/	09/	1.0/		4.07	~~
Bad for textile industry			2%	0%		2%	2%	1%		1%	2%
y	1%	2%	3%	0%		2%	3%	0%		2%	1%
lore immigration	0%	1%					1%				
lexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil											
patch	0%			0%		1%					

(continued)

		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA		Sup	port of NA	FTA
			Economy								
		áááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá		áááááááááááá	áááááááááááá			áááááááááá	áááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat Poor	Very Poor	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat
*****	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	ááááááááááá		áááááááááááá			Oppose ááááááááááá				Oppose áááááááá
F Quebec separates, the NAFTA will											
devastate it financially	1%	1%	1%			2%	0%	0%			1%
roblem of unity between the province	0%	1%					1%				0%
ear of foreign investment	0%	1%					1%				0%
reat to our culture	0%		0%	0%			1%	0%			0%
faith in politicians/ Government	0%	1%					1%				1%
t enough information to form opinion	1%		0%	1%		2%	1%				1%
ther	0%		0%			1%				1%	
DK/NS)	2%	4%	3%	1%	9%	3%	3%	1%		3%	3%

(continued)

.

ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	ááááááááááá Support of NAFTA	ááááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááá PROVI		ádááááááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	iáááááááááá
		ááááááááá British Columbia	áááááááááá Alberta	áááááááááááá Saskatchew an		áááááááááááá Ontario	áááááááá Quebec	áááááááááááá New Brunswick	ááááááááá Nova Scotia	áááááááááááá Newfoundla nd	iááááááááá Prince Edward
											Island
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa 75	aaaaaaaaaa 39		aaaaaaaaaa						
Unweighted Base	280	75	39 44	22 22	25 28	281 276	134 134	13 13	18 19	8 9	2 2
Made bigger trading Bloc to compete with											
European Market	1%			8%							
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of											
province	25%	12%		25%	16%	39%	11%	29%	11%	11%	
Agriculture will be hurt	3%	4%	9%	28%	3%	2%	3%				
Province will lose/ provincial economy											
will suffer	16%	14%	20%	18%	16%	10%	18%	22%	21%		48%
Province can't compete/ too small	3%		2%	5%	18%	2%	2%	25%	6%	37%	52%
will affect health care/ social											
programme	2%				12%	1%					
Lose control of resources (hydro/ oil/											
water)	5%	10%	30%	5%	6%	2%	3%				
Lose jobs - low Mexican wages	51%	38%	31%	16%	38%	52%	50%	47%	41%	21%	52%
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	0%	7%				-	1%				
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free											
trade	6%	10%	2%	4%		7%	6%		5%	21%	
[arifs still apply on softwoods/											
limitations	2%	9%					1%				
ower our standard of living.	2%	2%			9%	4%	1%			11%	
Bad for lumber Industry	1%	10%									
fore companies moving south	12%	6%	13%	3%	11%	13%	11%		37%		
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	1%	2%				0%	4%				
Cheap imports	4%	3%	2%	8%	5%	3%	9%				
Bad for the fishing industry	1%	1%				0%			10%		
Automobile industry will suffer	1%					1%	1%				
Steel industry will lose out to U.S.A.											
bigger companies	0%					0%	1%				
Province will lose federal government											
subsidies	1%								26%		
Bad for environment - no restrictions									20%		
down there	1%	1%	2%			3%	2%				
Production costs are easier and cheaper			270			0,0	L /0				
in U.S.A. and Mexico	1%					1%	4%				
Bad for textile industry	1%					↓ /⊍	7%				
fore immigration	0%	2%					7 /0				
Mexico's cheap oil could hurt our oil	- /*	- /0									
patch	0%		2%								
*****			C /0								

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

***************************************	Support of	ááááááááááá	ádááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááááá PROV		áááááááááááááá	ádádádádá a	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaaaa
	NAFTA áááááááááá	4444444444	55555555555	555555555555555555555555555555555555555	444444444	<u> </u>	444444444	************	44444444	á áááááááááááá	*****
	Strongly	British	Alberta	Saskatchew		Ontario	Quebec	New	Nova	Newfoundla	Prince
	Oppose	Columbia		an				Brunswick	Scotia	nd	Edward Island
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	Addadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	ááááááááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááá á	<u>ááááááááá</u>	áááááááááááá á	ááááááááá
If Quebec separates, the NAFTA will											
devastate it financially	1%						3%				
roblem of unity between the province						0%					
ear of foreign investment						0%					
hreat to our culture	0%						1%				
No faith in politicians/ Government		1%									
lot enough information to form opinion		2%								21%	
ther			2%								
(OK/NS)	2%	1%	2%	7%		1%	4%		5%		
lááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	iáááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaa

;

***************************************	adadadada	Overall	management	of the	1444444444	Support		100000000	4444444444	Support	of NAFTA	uuuuuuu
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	á áááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	lááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	/ Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	' Somewhat	: Somewhat	Strong
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	0ppos
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	iáááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááá
ase: No impact	220	48	92	73	13	76	77	45	11	80	6	45
Unweighted Base	223	5 0	92	75	14	78	76	46	12	82	67	45
or our province there is no way to go												
but up	0%		1%			1%					2%	
lanufacturing will be hurt/ more out of												
province	0%		1%				1%					
rovince will lose/ provincial economy												
will suffer	0%			1%			1%				2%	
rovince can't compete/ too small	2%	4%	1%	1%		1%	3%	2%		1%	5%	
xport lumber -> deplete our forests	1%		1%				2%				2%	
.T.A. bad. NAFTA worse not free												
trade	1%	2%		2%	7%			2%	8%			2%
ad for lumber Industry	1%		1%				2%				2%	
exico hasn't a lot to offer	0%		1%				1%					
on't make any difference to province	30%	34%	32%	26%	36%	33%	24%	33%	41%	28%	36%	21%
ot many industries/ manufacturing more	15%	16%	14%	15%	22%	14%	16%	10%	25%	15%	9%	16%
o trade with Mexico/ U.S.	7%	4%	4%	13%		5%	7%	14%		2%	7%	18%
.T.A. had no impact	4%		7%	4%		1%	7%	4%		4%	3%	7%
mall labour force here	1%		2%	1%		1%	3%			3%	1%	
e export raw products	2%		1%	3%		3%	2%	2%		3%	2%	2%
e are a self-supporting province	9%	11%	11%	5%	7%	15%	8%	4%		12%	11%	7%
rain prices already low	0%			1%				2%				2%
Tready trading with a lot of countries	4%	7%	5%	3%		4%	6%			9%		4%
y province is too small	4%	4%	2%	4%		6%	4%	2%		4%	5%	4%
ost of our Industries already lost to	.,,	.,.	2/0	.,.								
F.T.A.	4%	2%	5%	5%		5%	4%	4%		5%	4%	6%
ur economy is so bad now that it can't	170	2,0	•//	•								
get worse	4%	8%	3%	4%	15%	3%	3%	9%	17%	2%	4%	7%
ncertainty about Canadian unity is	.,.	0,0										
scaring away bus.inv	1%		1%	1%			1%	2%		1%	2%	
refer to trade with the European Bloc	1%		170	2%			2,0	2%				2%
fur trade relations with U.S.A. will not				L/0				2/0				270
get any better	3%		3%	4%		4%		7%		1%	3%	7%
	3%		5%	-+ /0		4 /0		/ /6		1 /6	076	770
ur government is busy with independt	1 9/		19/	20/		2%	1%			2%	1%	
issues	1%		1%	3%		3%	1/0			۵/۵	1 /0	
verything will be divided equally among		~~/	10/		<u></u>	79/	• •/	~~	∩ ¥/	4.0/		
3 parties	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	3%	1%	2%	8%	4%		
hey don't have enough money to trade							~~					••
with us	1%		1%				2%			1%		2%
ocial programs will stay as they are	0%		1%				1%				1%	iááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

*****	aááááááááá	áááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá	ááááááááá			
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	aáááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	báááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	t Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
ádáádádáádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	àáááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	á áááááááá	ááááááááá	addaddaddad
Because quebec will separate and won't												
be a party to it	0%	2%					1%			1%		
Not enough information to form opinion	3%	2%	3%	3%	7%	2%	3%	2%		4%	2%	2%
(DK/NS)	B%	7%	7%	9%		6%	11%	8%		4%	10%	12%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	adaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaada	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	4444444444

.

***************************************	iááááááááááááá		áááááááááááá 1 management		ááááááááááá		áááááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááááá		áááááááááááá pport of NA	
			Economy				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• -		
	áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	***	<u> </u>	44444444444	****	****	ááááááááá á	****	áááááááááá
	Total	Good		Very Poor	Strongly		Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat
	iotui	0000	Poor	1019 1001	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	****	****		*****	4444444444	545555555555					
Base: No impact	220	48	92	73	13	76	77	45	11	80	66
Unweighted Base	223	50	92	75	13	78	76	46	12	82	67
·											
For our province there is no way to go but up	0%		1%			1%					2%
Manufacturing will be hurt/ more out of	0%		1%			1/0					£./0
province	0%		1%				1%				
	0%		1%				1 /0				
Province will lose/ provincial economy	0%			1.0/			1%				0%
will suffer		4.07	1.0/	1%		1.0/		0%		1.0/	2%
Province can't compete/ too small	2%	4%	1%	1%		1%	3%	2%		1%	5%
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	1%		1%				2%				2%
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free		• • •						•••			
trade	1%	2%		2%	7%			2%	8%		
Bad for lumber Industry	1%		1%				2%				2%
Mexico hasn't a lot to offer	0%		1%				1%				
Won't make any difference to province	30%	34%	32%	26%	36%	33%	24%	33%	41%	28%	36%
Not many industries/ manufacturing more	15%	16%	14%	15%	22%	14%	16%	10%	25%	15%	9%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	7%	4%	4%	13%		5%	7%	14%		2%	7%
F.T.A. had no impact	4%		7%	4%		1%	7%	4%		4%	3%
Small labour force here	1%		2%	1%		1%	3%			3%	1%
We export raw products	2%		1%	3%		3%	2%	2%		3%	2%
We are a self-supporting province	9%	11%	11%	5%	7%	15%	8%	4%		12%	11%
Grain prices already low	0%			1%				2%			
Already trading with a lot of countries	4%	7%	5%	3%		4%	6%			9%	
My province is too small	4%	4%	2%	4%		6%	4%	2%		4%	5%
Most of our Industries already lost to											
F.T.A.	4%	2%	5%	5%		5%	4%	4%		5%	4%
Our economy is so bad now that it can't											
get worse	4%	8%	3%	4%	15%	3%	3%	9%	17%	2%	4%
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is											
scaring away bus.inv	1%		1%	1%			1%	2%		1%	2%
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc	1%			2%				2%			
Our trade relations with U.S.A. will not	:										
get any better	3%		3%	4%		4%		7%		1%	3%
Our government is busy with independt						.,.				£ /v	
issues	1%		1%	3%		3%	1%			2%	1%
Everything will be divided equally among			¥ /0	078		070	170			£ /0	1/0
3 parties	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	3%	1%	2%	8%	4%	
They don't have enough money to trade	C /0	£ /0	1 /0	4/0	0/0	3/6	1/0	C/0	0%	4/0	
with us	1%		1%				2%			1%	
with us áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá										1%	

(continued)

19c. Why do you say that the NAFTA will have no impact on your province?

ádádádádádádádádádádádádádadadádádádádá	aáááááááááááá				ááááááááááá			áááááááááááá			
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA		Sup	port of NA	FTA
	*		Economy								
	áááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaa	áááááááááááá	444444444444	4444444444	ááááááááá áá		ááááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very Poor	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat
			Poor		Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose
******		áááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááááá	44444444444	á aaááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááááá á			44444444444
ocial programs will stay as they are	0%		1%				1%				1%
ecause quebec will separate and won't											
be a party to it	0%	2%					1%			1%	
ot enough information to form opinion	3%	2%	3%	3%	7%	2%	3%	2%		4%	2%
DK/NS)	8%	7%	7%	9%		6%	11%	8%		4%	10%
******	iááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá á	áááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááá	éáááááááááá	444444444444	.	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa aa

(continued)

Þ

àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	Support of	ááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááá PROV		áááááááááááááá	lááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááááá
	NAFTA ááááááááááá Strongly	ááááááááá British	ááááááááá Alberta	ááááááááááááá Saskatchew		áááááááááááá Ontario	áááááááááá Quebec	áááááááááááááá New	láááááááá Nova	ááááááááááááá Newfoundla	ááááááááá Prince
	Oppose	Columbia	Aiberta	an	main coba	Uncario	Quebec	Brunswick	Scotia	nd	Edward Island
á bá á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á		áááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááá				áááááááááááááá	áááááááááá
Base: No impact	45	26	22	11	14	42	58	17	16	11	3
Unweighted Base	45	25	23	12	12	41	60	18	17	12	3
For our province there is no way to go			501								
but up			5%								
fanufacturing will be hurt/ more out of											
province						2%					
Province will lose/ provincial economy											
will suffer					8%						
Province can't compete/ too small							2%		17%		
Export lumber -> deplete our forests	3	5%									
F.T.A. bad, NAFTA worse not free											
trade	2%						2%		5%		
Bad for lumber Industry		5%									
lexico hasn't a lot to offer						2%					
Non't make any difference to province	21%	16%	37%	24%	30%	39%	45%	22%		9%	31%
Not many industries/ manufacturing more	16%	11%	18%	29%	27%	2%	2%	32%	37%	26%	69%
No trade with Mexico/ U.S.	18%	16%	13%	18%		5%	3%	5%	7%	8%	
F.T.A. had no impact	7%		3%			7%	4%	5%	5%	8%	
Small labour force here		5%							11%		
le export raw products	2%	13%						6%			
le are a self-supporting province	7%	17%	8%		15%	12%	9%	6%			
Grain prices already low	2%			7%							
Already trading with a lot of countries	4%	23%		17%		5%					
My province is too small	4%						5%	6%	19%		35%
fost of our Industries already lost to											
F.T.A.	6%					12%	1%	5%	18%		
Dur economy is so bad now that it can't											
get worse	7%				9%	3%	2%		6%	49%	
Uncertainty about Canadian unity is											
scaring away bus.inv							3%				
Prefer to trade with the European Bloc	2%						2%				
Dur trade relations with U.S.A. will not											
get any better	7%			9%			9%				
Dur government is busy with independt				070			0,0				
issues							5%				
Everything will be divided equally among							576				
3 parties		4%				5%	3%				
		-4 /0				3%	3%				
They don't have enough money to trade	201		40/								
with us 64646464646464646464646464646464646464	2%		4%				2%				

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

(continued)

<u> </u>	ááááááááááááá Support of NAFTA		iáááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááá áá	áááááááááááá PROVI		aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	áááááááááá	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	iáááááááááá
	áááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááá á	áá áááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	*****	iááááááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááááááááá	iaááááááááá
	Strongly	British	Alberta	Saskatchew	Manitoba	Ontario	Quebec	New	Nova	Newfoundla	Prince
	Oppose	Columbia		an			·	Brunswick	Scotia	nđ	Edward Island
&&&& &&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&	****	444444444444	444444444	****		<u> </u>	4444444444		ááááááááá	4444444444444444	
Social programs will stay as they are							2%				
Because quebec will separate and won't											
be a party to it								6%			
Not enough information to form opinion	2%	3%				5%	2%	6%	11%		
(DK/NS)	12%		17%	17%	11%	5%	11%	6%			
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	<u>áááááááááááá</u>	444444444444444	áááááááááá	ááááááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááááá	*****		áááááááá á	ááááááááááááááá	iaaaaaaaaaaa

20. Regardless of how you feel about the actual free trade agreement between Canada, Mexico and the U.S., do you support or oppose the idea of freer trade between Canda, Mexico and the U.S.?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááááááá	láááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ádádádádádá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	0ppose	Oppose	Support	Support	0ppose	Oppose
<u> </u>	iáááááááááááááá	Ádádádáda	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Support	67%	76%	68%	60%	92%	81%	61%	46%	97%	87%	58%	38%
0ppose	28%	21%	27%	35%	6%	15%	34%	50%	2%	10%	36%	58%
Dk/Ns	5%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%	2%	3%	6%	4%
á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	iááááááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	àáàáááááá

21. Would you say that, in the long-term, more jobs will be created or would you say that more jobs would be lost as a result of the free trade agreement between Canada, Mexico and the U.S.?

	áááááááááááááá	iáááááááá	aáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá á			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	lááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Soewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááááááááááá	àáááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
A lot more jobs created	9%	16%	7%	7%	41%	9%	5%	2%	43%	10%	3%	1%
A few more jobs created	26%	36%	32%	14%	36%	45%	17%	6%	43%	47%	13%	3%
A few more jobs lost	23%	27%	27%	16%	9%	21%	38%	17%	8%	22%	35%	18%
A lot more jobs lost	33%	14%	25%	54%	5%	14%	34%	69%	2%	10%	41%	73%
Dk/Ns	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	11%	6%	5%	4%	10%	7%	5%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	àááááááá	àáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

22. Some people said that Canadian business cannot compete with Mexican business in a free trade agreement because wages, environmental and safety standards are much lower in Mexico. Others have said that Canadian businesses can compete with Mexican businesses because Canadian workers are better trained and Canadahas better facilities like roads, airports and communications. Which viewpoint would be closer to your own?

<u> </u>	láááááááááááá	áááááááá	Addadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	iááááááááááááá	Addádádád	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á ááááááááá	ááááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Cannot compete	49%	30%	46%	62%	18%	31%	62%	72%	14%	26%	69%	76%
Can compete	47%	65%	48%	34%	76%	64%	35%	25%	83%	70%	27%	20%
Ok/Ns	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

Mean Summary

******	ááááááááá		ááááááááááá management Economy		ááááááááá	áááááááá Support		iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support (áááááááá
	Total	Good	aaaaaaaaaaaa Somewhat Poor	Very Poor	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongl Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	aaaaaaaaa 1498	aaaaaaaa 352	556 saaaaaa	aaaaaaa 562	aaaaaaaa 151	aaaaaaaa 524	aaaaaaaaa 367	1aaaaaaaaa 397	aaaaaaaaa 146	aaaaaaaa 536	aaaaaaaa 358	aaaaaaa 365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	151	522	367	402	140	542	357	368
HE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULO CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES	5.5	5.3	5.5	5.8	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.2	5.5	5.5	5.8
HE CANAOIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN OROER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS	5.0	5.4	5.0	4.6	6.0	5.5	4.7	4.2	6.3	5.6	4.4	4.0
A FREE TRAOE OEAL INCL MEXICO OOSEN'T MAKE MUCH OIFFERENCE BECAUSE WE OON'T HAVE MUCH TRAOE WITH THEM NOW	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.0	3.5	3.6	3.6	2.8	3.5	3.5	3.8
EVEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANAOA ANO THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES ANO INO. RESTRUCTURINGOUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY	4.4	4.9	4.5	3.9	5.6	.9	4.3	3.4	5.8	4.9	4.1	3.4
SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLAN BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE COA'S TRAOE WITH COUNTRIES AROUNO THE WORLO	4.6	5.4	4.7	4.1	5.9	5.2	4.3	3.7	5.9	5.2	4.3	3.6
ROM WHAT I'VE HEARO, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS ANO MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA	4.8	4.3	4.6	5.3	3.2	4.3	5.1	5.7	3.2	4.2	5.2	5.8
I THINK THE GOVERNMENT HAS A GOOO IOEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN												
CANAOA \$66666666666666666666666666666666666	3.5	4.5	3.6	2.8	4.7	4.1	3.2	2.5	4.5	4.1	3.1	2.6

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

TopBox Summary (Rated 6 7)

***************************************	iááááááááá		iááááááááááá management Economy		ááááááááá	ááááááááá Support		14444444444444444444444444444444444444	àáááááááá	ááááááááá Support		555555555555555555555555555555555555555
	Total	Good	iáááááááááá Somewhat Poor	Very Poor	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose
iááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	aaaaaaaaa 1498	aaaaaaaa 352	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaa 556	aaaaaaaa 562	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa 524	aaaaaaaaa 367	aaaaaaaaa 397	146 aaaaaa	aaaaaaaa 536	aaaaaaaaa 358	aaaaaaaa 365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	151	524	367	402	140	542	357	368
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES	57	48	56	66	48	56	56	66	43	57	56	65
HE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT DUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS	46	58	45	39	77	56	36	31	83	60 ·	28	30
FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICD DOSEN'T MAKE MUCH DIFFERENCE BECAUSE WE DDN'T HAVE MUCH TRADE WITH THEM NDW	17	11	14	22	13	13	15	26	7	11	16	29
VEN WITHDUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY	32	43	31	26	68	39	25	16	69	42	21	15
SEE THE FTA AS PART DF AN DVERALL PLAN BY THE GDV'T TD IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD	33	51	31	24	71	45	19	18	69	44	20	15
ROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS AND MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA	36	23	30	51	10	22	41	59	11	18	44	62
I THINK THE GDVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN												
CANADA \$66666666666666666666666666666666666	11	22	8	8	33	14	5	6	31	14	5	7

******	iááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá	iáááááááááá			ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá			ááááááááá						
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		Somewhat						
			Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose

ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD CONFRONT THE U.S. MORESTRONGLY ON TRADE DISPUTES												
Completely disagree(1)	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	4%
	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	7%	4%
	11%	12%	12%	8%	20%	12%	8%	8%	20%	12%	9%	8%
(5)	22%	28%	23%	16%	22%	22%	26%	17%	25%	22%	23%	17%
(6)	25%	24%	29%	23%	20%	27%	27%	24%	19%	29%	28%	20%
ompletely agree(7)	32%	24%	27%	43%	27%	29%	30%	42%	24%	28%	28%	45%
0k/Ns	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS TO BE PART OF THE NAFTA IN ORDER TO PROTECT OUT ECONOMIC INTERESTS												
Completely disagree(1)	7%	4%	4%	13%	4%	3%	5%	17%	2%	2%	7%	19%
(2)	6%	5%	6%	7%	1%	4%	7%	11%		1%	10%	13%
(3)	9%	6%	8%	10%	4%	5%	15%	9%	1%	5%	17%	9%
	11%	9%	13%	11%	3%	10%	14%	14%	2%	9%	16%	13%
(5)	18%	16%	22%	17%	10%	20%	21%	15%	11%	22%	21%	14%
	18%	21%	22%	13%	24%	23%	19%	10%	25%	27%	11%	9%
completely agree(7)	28%	37%	23%	26%	54%	33%	18%	22%	58%	33%	17%	21%
)k/Ns	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
*****	4444444444	****	****	áááááá á	******	*****	44444444	44444444	*****	*****	****	4444444

1

*****************	ááááááááá				ááááááááá			aaaaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management Economy	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ádáááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	0ppose
*****		ááááááááá		áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>ááááááááá</u>
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
FREE TRADE DEAL INCL MEXICO DOSEN'T MAKE MUCH DIFFERENCE BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE MUCH TRADE WITH THEM NOW												
ompletely disagree(1)	15%	15%	13%	19%	25%	12%	12%	20%	24%	12%	14%	21%
	19%	19%	20%	18%	23%	19%	16%	20%	25%	21%	19%	15%
(3)	18%	21%	18%	18%	16%	19%	22%	15%	23%	19%	22%	13%
	15%	17%	18%	10%	11%	18%	17%	9%	10%	18%	15%	11%
	13%	15%	14%	12%	10%	16%	15%	9%	9%	19%	12%	8%
	8%	7%	9%	8%	6%	9%	8%	9%	3%	7%	11%	10%
mpletely agree(7)	8%	4%	5%	14%	7%	4%	7%	17%	5%	3%	5%	19%
<td>3%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>2%</td>	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%
VEN WITHOUT THE FTA BETWEEN CANADA AND THE U.S., MOST OF JOB LOSSES AND IND. RESTRUCTURINGDUE TO GLOBAL ECONOMY												
ompletely disagree(1)	10%	4%	7%	17%	6%	6%	5%	22%	2%	5%	8%	25%
(2)	8%	6%	8%	11%	2%	5%	9%	15%	2%	5%	13%	12%
	13%	10%	15%	13%	5%	10%	17%	14%	4%	10%	18%	13%
	15%	13%	15%	17%	6%	14%	18%	16%	. 8%	13%	18%	17%
	19%	22%	23%	14%	12%	25%	23%	13%	14%	23%	21%	15%
	17%	25%	16%	12%	25%	21%	14%	8%	30%	23%	11%	8%
ompletely agree(7)	16%	18%	15%	14%	43%	18%	11%	9%	39%	19%	9%	7%
k/Ns	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
												áááááááá

iááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá				ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá			áááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá							ááááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very						Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád					ááááááááá							
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
I SEE THE FTA AS PART OF AN OVERALL PLA BY THE GOV'T TO IMPROVE CDA'S TRADE WITH COUNTRIES AROUND THE WORLD												
Completely disagree(1)	8%	2%	5%	15%	3%	2%	6%	20%	2%	2%	5%	22%
	6%	1%	5%	9%	1%	3%	8%	9%	1%	2%	7%	10%
	10%	6%	11%	12%	3%	6%	14%	16%	3%	6%	16%	14%
	15%	13%	16%	16%	5%	15%	19%	15%	8%	13%	19%	17%
	26%	25%	30%	23%	16%	29%	31%	20%	16%	30%	30%	20%
	18%	26%	17%	13%	25%	28%	10%	9%	25%	26%	12%	8%
ompletely agree(7)	15%	25%	14%	11%	46%	17%	8%	8%	43%	18%	8%	7%
Vk/Ns	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%
FROM WHAT I'VE HEARO, CANADA GAVE AWAY TOO MUCH TO THE AMERICANS AND MEXICANS IN THE NAFTA												
Completely disagree(1)	5%	7%	3%	5%	18%	5%	2%	3%	20%	4%	3%	3%
(2)	6%	9%	8%	4%	21%	7%	4%	3%	17%	10%	3%	3%
(3)	9%	13%	11%	6%	18%	14%	6%	4%	19%	13%	7%	3%
	16%	18%	18%	13%	16%	22%	15%	10%	16%	23%	16%	9%
(5)	16%	18%	18%	11%	13%	18%	19%	11%	12%	21%	18%	10%
(6)	16%	13%	17%	15%	5%	13%	21%	17%	6%	10%	23%	18%
Completely agree(7)	20%	9%	12%	35%	4%	9%	20%	42%	6%	8%	21%	44%
)k/Ns	12%	12%	12%	11%	6%	13%	12%	10%	5%	11%	11%	11%
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	áááááááááá	4444444	44444444444	ááááááá		<u>áááááááá</u>	ááááááááá	444444444	<u>ááááááááá</u>	<u>ááááááááá</u>	ááááááááá	áááááááá

23. Agreement with statements about trade issues.

	ááááááááá				ááááááááá	áááááááááá	i á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFIA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	á áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	àááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	àààààààààà
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá						
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
I THINK THE GDVERNMENT HAS A GOOD IDEA OF HOW TO DEAL WITH THE CHANGES THE NORTH AMERICAN FTA WILL BRING IN CANADA										60/	1 (9/	2.5%
Completely disagree(1)	16%	2%	9%	32%	8%	7%	14%	34%	8%	6%	16%	36%
(2)	15%	7%	16%	19%	5%	8%	20%	22%	6%	10%	19%	21%
	16%	11%	17%	18%	7%	16%	20%	15%	11%	15%	24%	13%
	19%	23%	24%	12%	16%	23%	24%	11%	18%	25%	23%	10%
	19%	31%	21%	10%	29%	28%	15%	7%	24%	28%	12%	10%
	8%	15%	6%	4%	20%	10%	4%	3%	21%	10%	4%	3%
Completely agree(7)	4%	7%	2%	4%	14%	4%	1%	2%	11%	4%	1%	3%
Dk/Ns	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	.áááááááááá	áá ááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá

	outside NAFTA be order to	to the North Ar ecause Ca increas ith othe	that by si U.S. and Ms merica. Oth anada needs se its expo r countries	xico and er people freer t rts while	will cut e say tha rade with e it cont	itself o t Canada the U.S. inues to	ff from co should sig and Mexic work toward	ountries gn the co now in rd freer				
<u> </u>	******	áááááááá Overall	áááááááááá management	ááááááá of the	ááááááááá	~~~~~~	ááááááááá of FTA	<u>áááááááá</u>	ááááááááá		ááááááááá of NAFTA	aaaaaaaaaaaa
			Economy						* * * * * * * * * *			
			ááááááááááá				ááááááááá Somewhat					
	Total	Good	Somewhat Poor	Very Poor		Support			Support		Oppose	Oppose
*****	****	4444444					ááááááááá					
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Cut itself off	32%	18%	30%	45%	7%	15%	38%	61%	4%	13%	42%	64%
Should sign	60%	78%	62%	46%	89%	82%	52%	29%	94%	83%	49%	27%
Dk/Ns	8%	4%	7%	9%	4%	3%	10%	11%	2%	4%	9%	10%
ádááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	addadadadadadadadadadada	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	aááááááááá	àáááááááá	ááááááááá	ádadáááááá	ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	aaaaaaaaaaa

.

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

Page: 91

25. Some people say that Canada has to be part of the NAFTA so that Canada will be an attractive location for plants to supply that whole North American market. Other people say that under the NAFTA, any new investment in Canada will be cancelled out by plants moving from Canada to Mexico. Which viewpoint is closer to your own?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	addadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada	iááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á áá áááááá	.áááááááááá	iáááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááááá	ááááááá				á áááááááá				iáááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	0ppose	Oppose
<u> </u>	iá ááááááááá áááááááá	iáááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á ááááááá	ááááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á	áááá áááá	aaááááááááááá	i á áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Attractive location	39%	56%	40%	26%	79%	55%	24%	17%	81%	58%	21%	13%
Cancelled out	56%	38%	53%	71%	15%	38%	69%	81%	13%	35%	76%	83%
Dk/Ns	6%	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	7%	3%	6%	7%	3%	4%
å ååååååååååååååååååååååååååååååååååå	iááááááááááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	á áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááá <mark>áááá</mark> á	ááááááááá	áááá ááááá	dádádádádá á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	aááááááááááá

 Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

.

26. Some people have said that NAFTA will help build the Mexican economy so that Mexican workers will gain a substantially improved standard of living. Other people have said that the NAFTA will mostly benefit big U.S. corporations and that the ordinary Mexicans will not enjoy any of the benefits. Which viewpoint is closer to your own?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	àááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	aááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	àááááááááááá	á ááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	àááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	iááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support		0ppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	àááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	adádádádádá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	iááááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
Will help build	41%	56%	42%	30%	72%	53%	32%	21%	77%	57%	26%	19%
Not enjoy benefits	55%	39%	54%	66%	21%	41%	66%	76%	17%	39%	71%	77%
Dk/Ns	4%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	3%	6%	4%	3%	4%
dádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádá	ááááááááááá	ááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	iááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	iáááááááá

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

Mean Summary

***************************************	aaaaaaaaaaaaa		ááááááááááá management		ààààààààààà		iááááááááááá : of FTA	adadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadad	ááááááááá	áááááááááá Support c		ààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà
	ááá áááá Total	ááááááá Good	Economy ááááááááááá Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	: Somewhat	Strongly		Somewhat	Somewhat	Strong
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááá 1498 1502	áááááááá 352 351	Poor áááááááááá 556 555	Poor ááááááá 562 569	Support ááááááááá 151 152		Oppose iáááááááááá 367 367				Oppose iáááááááá 358 357	Oppose ááááááá 365 368
VE WILL 8E A8LE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND MEDICARE	4.5	5.0	4.6	4.0	5.5	4.9	4.3	3.7	5.4	4.9	4.4	3.6
MANY CDMPANIES WILL MDVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICD TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LDWER WAGES THERE	5.0	4.4	4.8	5.6	3.7	4.4	5.3	6.0	3.7	4.3	5.5	6.0
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NDT 8E A8LE TD CDMPETE WITH MEXICAN CDMPANIES 8ECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO	5.0	4.2	4.9	5.6	3.4	4.3	5.4	6.1	3.1	4.1	5.7	6.2
DAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TO SELL CARS INTD THE NORTH AMERICAN MARKETPLACE	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.1	5.1	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.9	4.8	4.2	3.9
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL IMPORT MUCH MDRE FROM MEXICO THAN CANADA WILL EXPORT TD MEXICO	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.7	3.7	4.3	4.7	5.2	3.5	4.2	4.8	5.2
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FDR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	3.8	4.3	3.9	3.4	4.7	4.2	3.7	3.0	4.8	4.3	3.7	2.9
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE DF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE	4.6	3.9	4.3	5.1	3.3	4.0	4.8	5.6	3.1	3.8	5.1	5.7
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLDSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS	4.5	3.6	4.3	5.2	2.8	3.6	5.0	5.8	2.7	3.6	5.0	5.9

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

TopBox Summary (Rated 6 7)

áááááááááààààààááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááá		áááááááááááá management		àààààààààà		áááááááááá of FTA	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááááá Support d		ááááááááá
	Total	ááááááá Good	Economy ááááááááááá Somewhat Poor	lááááááá Very Poor	Strongly Support	iáááááááá Somewhat Support	lááááááááá Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Strongly Support	áááááááááá Somewhat Support	áááááááááá Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááá 1498 1502	áááááááá 352 351	ááááááááááá 556 555	ááááááá 562 569	ááááááááá 151 152	lááááááááá 524 522	iáááááááá 367 367	áááááááá 397 402	áááááááá 146 144	áááááááááá 536 542	ádádádáda 358 357	áááááááá 365 368
WE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND MEDICARE	34	44	35	27	59	43	25	22	60	39	28	23
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE	45	27	39	61	15	28	49	75	14	25	58	73
CANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LOWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO	48	31	45	63	19	29	57	80	11	26	65	81
JAPANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CDNTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TO SELL CARS INTO THE NDRTH AMERICAN MARKETPLACE	31	38	30	28	48	35	25	25	41	37	25	24
FREE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA WILL IMPORT MUCH MORE FROM MEXICO THAN CANADA WILL EXPORT TO MEXICO	- 35	27	33	41	19	26	35	54	18	22	38	57
THE NAFTA IS GOOD FOR CANADIAN EXPORTS BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN THE CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	13	21	11	11	33	16	10	7	31	19	7	7
MANY COMPANIES WILL MDVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE	37	20	31	53	17	- 22	39	63	11	18	47	67
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SD CLDSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LDSE DUR ABILITY TD MAKE OWN ECD DCISIONS	38	20	32	56	11	18	46	67	11	20	43	71

iá á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá				ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
		4444444	Economy ááááááááááá		4444444	ááááááááá		44444444	55555555	555555555	555555555	55555555
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		Somewhat						
	10641	0000	Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	<u> </u>	áááááááá										
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
VE WILL BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND MEDICARE												
Completely disagree(1)	10%	4%	6%	18%	3%	5%	8%	23%	5%	3%	7%	26%
	8%	6%	8%	10%	6%	7%	7%	13%	5%	6%	11%	11%
(3)	11%	9%	13%	10%	6%	10%	16%	10%	7%	10%	14%	10%
	14%	15%	13%	15%	9%	12%	19%	14%	6%	15%	15%	15%
	19%	18%	22%	16%	15%	21%	22%	14%	13%	23%	23%	12%
	16%	21%	19%	11%	19%	25%	12%	8%	23%	21%	14%	9%
ompletely agree(7)	18%	23%	16%	16%	40%	19%	13%	14%	37%	18%	13%	14%
k/Ns	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
ANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF LOWER WAGES THERE												
ompletely disagree(1)	5%	7%	4%	5%	13%	7%	3%	2%	12%	7%	2%	3%
	6%	8%	7%	4%	15%	9%	4%	1%	13%	9%	3%	3%
	10%	15%	13%	5%	23%	14%	6%	4%	21%	15%	6%	2%
	12%	15%	15%	8%	16%	17%	12%	4%	16%	20%	8%	4%
	20%	26%	22%	16%	19%	24%	26%	11%	22%	23%	23%	14%
	16%	12%	19%	15%	3%	13%	22%	20%	6%	13%	26%	16%
mpletely agree(7)	29%	15%	20%	46%	12%	16%	27%	56%	9%	13%	31%	58%
k/Ns	1%	1%	0%	1%		0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
***************************************	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	iááááááááá	lááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	á áááááááá	ááááááááá	aaaaaaaaaaa	áááááá

***************************************	aaaaaaaaaaa		management		aaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa Support		aaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa	Support		aaaaaaaa
	áááááááá	4444444	Economy aááááááááááá			****	áááááááááá	****	444444 44	****	****	****
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			Somewhat					
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá												
ase: All Respondents	1498	352 351	556	562	151 152	524 522	367 367	397 402	146 144	536 542	358 357	365 368
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	307	402	144	542	35/	200
ANADIAN COMPANIES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH MEXICAN COMPANIES BECAUSE OF THE LDWER WAGE LEVELS IN MEXICO												
ompletely disagree(1)	6%	11%	4%	5%	17%	8%	3%	3%	21%	8%	3%	3%
	8%	12%	9%	5%	21%	13%	5%	2%	21%	13%	3%	2%
	10%	15%	13%	4%	18%	15%	7%	2%	22%	17%	4%	1%
	12%	16%	12%	9%	14%	16%	10%	6%	13%	19%	7%	4%
	14%	14%	17%	13%	9%	18%	18%	8%	11%	16%	18%	8%
	18%	14%	21%	19%	10%	12%	25%	25%	6%	13%	31%	19%
ompletely agree(7)	30%	17%	23%	45%	9%	17%	32%	55%	5%	13%	34%	62%
<td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>3%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td> <td>1%</td>	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
APANESE AUTO MAKERS WILL CONTINUE TO BUILD NEW PLANTS IN CANADA TD SELL CARS INTO THE NDRTH AMERICAN MARKETPLACE	201	6 14		1.0%	50/	504	5%	1.01/	54	24	74/	0.04
ompletely disagree(1)	8%	6%	6%	13%	5%	5%	5%	18%	5%	3%	7%	20%
(2)	9%	4%	6%	13%	5%	7%	13%	9%	5%	6%	12%	12%
	12%	7%	15%	12%	8%	10%	15%	12%	8%	10% 16%	16%	11% 13%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16%	15%	18%	14%	13%	16%	18%	14%	16%		17%	
(5)	22%	28%	23%	17%	19%	25%	20%	21%	22%	26%	21%	18%
(6)	18%	24%	18%	13%	28%	22%	14%	9%	27%	24%	13%	9%
ompletely agree(7)	14%	14%	12%	15%	20%	13%	12%	16%	14%	13%	12%	16%
k/Ns áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%

.

27. Agreement with statements about NAFTA.

iaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	ádádá á ádádá á á á á á á á á á á á á á				ááááááááá			ááááááááá	áááááááá á			áááááááá
		Overall	management	ofthe		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
	á ááááááá	5555555	Economy ááááááááááá	55555555	44444444	555555555	44444444	44444444	4444444	44444444	*****	******
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very						Somewhat		
	,		Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppos
ááá áááááá ááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	áááááá ááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá				áááááááá					
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
REE TRADE WITH MEXICO MEANS CANADA W IMPORT MUCH MORE FROM MEXICO THAN CANADA WILL EXPORT TO MEXICO	ILL											
Completely disagree(1)	7%	6%	5%	9%	16%	5%	5%	8%	19%	4%	4%	9%
	9%	10%	10%	7%	17%	10%	8%	5%	15%	11%	7%	5%
	12%	15%	11%	10%	12%	17%	9%	6%	14%	17%	9%	6%
	18%	18%	23%	14%	21%	23%	18%	12%	19%	25%	19%	8%
	17%	19%	16%	16%	12%	17%	21%	13%	13%	18%	19%	13%
	17%	16%	19%	17%	8%	16%	18%	22%	13%	14%	21%	2%
ompletely agree(7)	17%	11%	13%	24%	11%	9%	17%	32%	5%	8%	16%	35%
(/Ns	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%
HE NAFTA IS GDDD FOR CANADIAN EXPDRT BECAUSE IT CLEARS UP PROBLEMS IN T CANADA-U.S. FREE TRADE AGREEMENT	HE											
ompletely disagree(1)	12%	6%	7%	20%	8%	6%	8%	25%	5%	4%	8%	28%
	10%	7%	10%	11%	3%	6%	12%	15%	4%	6%	13%	15%
	15%	12%	18%	14%	11%	10%	21%	17%	7%	12%	22%	14%
	23%	23%	25%	21%	16%	27%	27%	1 B%	17%	2B%	24%	20%
	19%	24%	22%	14%	22%	26%	16%	12%	26%	22%	21%	10%
(6)	9%	14%	8%	6%	19%	12%	7%	3%	17%	14%	4%	4%
ompletely agree(7)	5%	7%	3%	5%	15%	4%	3%	4%	14%	4%	3%	4%
k/Ns	8%	9%	8%	8%	7%	9%	7%	6%	10%	9%	6%	5%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	á áááááááááááá	iááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	aááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>áááááááá</u>	<u>ááááááááá</u>	444444444	áááááá

<i>&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&</i>	iááááááááááá				ááááááááá			áááááááááá	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá	áááááááá á	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose
àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	iááááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá				ááááááááá	
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
MANY COMPANIES WILL MOVE THEIR PLANTS FROM CANADA TO MEXICO TO TAKE AOVANTAGE OF LOW ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS THERE												
Completely disagree(1)	7%	6%	6%	8%	14%	7%	4%	5%	13%	8%	3%	5%
(2)	11%	17%	13%	5%	27%	17%	7%	2%	2B%	16%	6%	3%
(3)	14%	20%	16%	7%	25%	19%	12%	4%	26%	21%	9%	2%
	14%	18%	15%	11%	7%	17%	16%	10%	11%	19%	12%	9%
	17%	18%	18%	16%	9%	16%	22%	15%	9%	18%	23%	13%
	15%	10%	15%	18%	7%	10%	19%	20%	9%	9%	21%	20%
Completely agree(7)	22%	10%	16%	35%	10%	12%	21%	42%	3%	9%	25%	47%
Dk/Ns	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
OUR ECONOMY WILL BECOME SO CLOSELY TIED TO THE U.S.& MEXICAN THAT WE WILL LOSE OUR ABILITY TO MAKE OWN ECO DCISIONS)											
Completely disagree(1)	11%	17%	10%	7%	32%	14%	4%	3%	29%	15%	5%	3%
(2)	12%	17%	13%	8%	22%	19%	8%	3%	24%	18%	7%	2%
	11%	16%	14%	6%	15%	18%	9%	3%	17%	17%	8%	3%
	11%	12%	13%	7%	11%	13%	10%	8%	13%	14%	10%	7%
	16%	16%	18%	15%	7%	16%	22%	15%	4%	15%	26%	13%
	15%	10%	17%	17%	5%	10%	22%	20%	6%	13%	21%	17%
Completely agree(7)	23%	10%	15%	39%	6%	8%	24%	48%	4%	7%	22%	54%
Dk/Ns	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá												

28a. Can you think of a Canadian industry would benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement?

***************************************	122222222		aaaaaaaaaaa management		aaaaaaaaa		aaaaaaaaa of FTA	laaaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaa Support		aaaaaaaa
		vverari	Economy	Of the		Support	ULLIN			Support	UT MALTA	
	áááááá áá	ááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááá á	ááááááá á		ááááááááá		áááááááá	444444444	44444444	4444444
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very				: Strongly				
	Total	0000	Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		Oppose	
****	5555555555	55555555										
Jase: All Respondents	1498	352 a	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	aaaaaaaa 358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	151	522	367	402	140	542	357	368
			000		102				- · ·		•	
nergy Industry	6%	5%	6%	5%	9%	6%	4%	5%	8%	6%	5%	5%
lothing manufacturing/ shoes	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%	1%
lood & Paper Industry/ Lumber/ Forestry	12%	15%	13%	9%	14%	14%	11%	9%	18%	14%	11%	9%
rucking Industry	0%	1%	0%			0%	0%			0%	0%	
ish/ Fish Products Industry	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
extile Industry/ Yarn & Fabric												
Manufacturing	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%
griculture	6%	7%	8%	4%	11%	6%	6%	4%	13%	7%	4%	4%
Cars/ Car Parts	10%	13%	10%	7%	14%	11%	9%	7%	14%	12%	6%	8%
Computers/ Other High Tech Products/												
Telecommunications	17%	18%	20%	14%	29%	22%	14%	11%	35%	23%	11%	10%
ircraft/ Aircraft Parts	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	
anufacturing Industry	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%
anking/ Financial Services	2%	2%	2%	2%	7%	1%	1%	2%	6%	2%	1%	1%
ron & Steel Industry	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%
lining	3%	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	7%	3%	3%	3%
leavy Industries	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
abour/ Jobs	0%			1%	2.0	1.0	0%	1%	2,0	0,0	0%	1%
irlines	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%
ivestock/ Meat Industry	1%	0%	1%	1%	2/0	1%	0%	1%	070	1%	0%	1%
oat Industry/ Shipping	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%		0,0	0%	1%		0,0	1%
atural Resources	4%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%	3%	8%	5%	3%	3%
nionized Industry	0%		0%	.,.	1%	0,0	175	0,0	1%	0/0	0,0	0,0
Construction Industry	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	
ransportation (general)	2%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%
ood Industry	1%	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%
mporting	0%	170	0%	0%	1%	0%	1 /0	1%	5%	0%	0%	1%
eer	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1/6	1%	1%	0%	1%
lealth Care	0%	1%	1 /0	0%	1%	1%	1 /0	0%	1/0	1%	0%	
itockmarket	0%	0%		0/6	1%	1/0		0%	1.0/	1/0	0%	0%
ourism			1 0/	20/		1.0/	1.0/	6 %/	1%	1.0/	6 ~/	4.6/
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%
ervices	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%
esearch	1%	1%	1%	0%		1%	1%	0%		1%	1%	0%
ousing Industry	0%		0%	0%	1%					0%	0%	
ducation	0%		1%	0%	1%	1%		0%		1%	0%	0%
ervice Industries	0%	0%	0%		1%	0%		0%	1%	0%		
xport Industries	0%	0%				0%				0%	•	

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

28a. Can you think of a Canadian industry would benefit from the North American Free Trade Agreement?

		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá			áááááááááá					
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongl
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	0ppose	0ppose	Support	Support	0ppose	Oppose
àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	444444444444444	iáááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááá
harmaceuticals	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%		0%	1%	1%	1%	
obacco	0%			0%		0%					0%	
mall Business	0%	0%	0%		1%	0%			1%	0%		
lazardous waste control/ Environment												
recyling	0%		0%	0%		0%	0%			0%	0%	
etail	0%			0%		0%				0%		
erospace	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%
nvironmental Industries	0%			0%					1%			
urniture	0%	1%		- • •		0%				0%		
one	23%	15%	21%	30%	6%	11%	29%	38%	3%	12%	29%	39%
ther	0%	0%					0%			0%		
DK/NS)	17%	16%	17%	16%	12%	18%	16%	16%	8%	16%	21%	14%

. .

28b. Can you think of a Canadian industry that would be harmed by the North American Free Trade Agreement?

***************************************					aaaaaaaaáá	iááááááááá Support		aaaaaaaaááá				aaaaaaaaa
		overall	management Economy	orthe		Support	OT FIA			Support o	TNAFIA	
	4444444	444444	áááááááááááá	********	4444444		44444444	444444444	4444444	44444444	44444444	444444
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Verv		/ Somewhat						
	TULAT	0000										
			Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose	oppose	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppos
lase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
nergy Industry	2%	1%	2%	2%		1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%
lothing manufacturing/ shoes	20%	20%	20%	21%	25%	23%	17%	18%	26%	20%	19%	21%
ood & Paper Industry/ Lumber/ Forestry	8%	7%	7%	9%	3%	5%	8%	13%	4%	5%	8%	13%
rucking Industry	0%		0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%		1%
ish/ Fish Products Industry	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
extile Industry/ Yarn & Fabric		2.0	274	270	2/1	275	270	0,0		2/4	270	0.14
Manufacturing	21%	23%	22%	20%	33%	23%	18%	19%	36%	22%	17%	20%
griculture	10%	9%	9%	10%	8%	8%	11%	11%	11%	9%	9%	12%
ars/ Car Parts	24%	16%	25%	28%	18%	19%	25%	31%	16%	17%	29%	33%
omputers/ Other High Tech Products/	24%	10%	25%	20%	10%	10%	2078	51%	10%	17/0	23%	55%
Telecommunications	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%
ircraft/ Aircraft Parts	0%	270	5%	1%	5%	5%	0%	1%	270	2/0	0%	1%
anufacturing Industry	16%	11%	15%	20%	14%	10%	20%	22%	10%	14%	17%	21%
anking/ Financial Services	0%	0%	0%	20%	14%	0%	20%	0%	1%	0%	0%	21/0
ron & Steel Industry	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%
ining	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%
eavy Industries	2 % 1 %	1 % 0%		2%	۲/۵	0%	0%			2/6		
			1%		29/			1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
abour/ Jobs	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
irlines	1%	1%	0%	1%			0%	1%		0%	0%	1%
ivestock/ Meat Industry	0%		0%	0%	• • •		0%	1%		0%	0%	0%
atural Resources	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
nionized Industry	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%		0%	1%	0%
onstruction Industry	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	0%	2%		1%	0%	2%
ransportation (general)	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	2%	0%
ood Industry	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
eer	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
ealth Care	0%		1%	0%	1%	0%		1%			1%	1%
airy Industry	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%		0%		1%		0%
ervices	0%	1%	0%	0%		0%	1%	0%		0%		1%
esearch	0%	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	0%	
ousing Industry	0%			0%			0%					0%
ducation	0%			0%				0%			0%	
ervice Industries	0%		0%	-/-		0%		0/0			•/•	0%
harmaceuticals	0%	0%	1%	1%		0%	1%	1%		0%	1%	0%
obacco	0%	U /0	0%	1/0		0%	1 /0	1/0		0%	1 /0	0/6
mall Business	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	0%	1%		0%	1%	2%
etail	0%	U/6	0%	0%		0%	U/6	0%		0%	1/0	2% 0%
644444444444444444444444444444444444444												

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08) (continued)

28b. Can you think of a Canadian industry that would be harmed by the North American Free Trade Agreement?

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá					ááááááááá	ááááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá of NAFTA	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	or the		Support	OTFIA			Support	OT MAFTA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá		á á á á á á á á á á á á á								lááááááááá	
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very							: Somewhat	
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
******************	á áá áá áááááááá	adadadada a	áááááááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá
Aerospace	0%			0%		0%		0%				
Public transit	0%	0%			1%						0%	
Furniture	0%	0%	0%	0%			1%			0%	0%	0%
labout intensive industries	0%	0%				0%			1%			
Jwewellry	0%	0%				0%					0%	
Aluminium	0%			0%				1%				1%
Recycling	0%			0%				0%				0%
A11	2%	0%	2%	3%		1%	2%	4%	1%	0%	3%	4%
None	4%	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	6%	4%	2%
Other	0%		0%	0%	1%			0%		0%		0%
(DK/NS)	13%	17%	12%	11%	15%	17%	11%	8%	10%	17%	12%	5%
	ááááááááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	láááááááá áá	ááááááááá

,

Page: 104

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	áááááááááááá		áááááááááá management		ááááááááá	áááááááá Support		ááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá Support		<u>ááááááááá</u>
		overall	-	of the		Support	UI FIA			Support		
			Economy ááááááááááá				********		4444444	44444444	44444444	44444444
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very						Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	Oppose
ádadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadadada												
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
THE ENERGY INDUSTRY												
Better	54%	63%	56%	46%	67%	65%	49%	38%	75%	65%	51%	36%
Worse	23%	13%	21%	32%	13%	13%	27%	38%	9%	13%	27%	41%
No impact	16%	19%	16%	15%	16%	16%	19%	16%	14%	15%	18%	16%
Dk/Ns	7%	5%	7%	7%	4%	6%	5%	8%	3%	7%	4%	7%
THE CLOTHING MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY												
Better	15%	22%	15%	9%	21%	18%	11%	10%	21%	20%	11%	6%
Worse	80%	72%	79%	88%	74%	75%	84%	87%	74%	74%	B5%	91%
No impact	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	1%
Dk/Ns	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%
THE WOOD AND PAPER INDUSTRY					•							
Better	47%	55%	51%	37%	68%	57%	43%	29%	72%	58%	43%	24%
Worse	37%	27%	35%	45%	15%	26%	41%	54%	13%	26%	38%	60%
No impact	12%	12%	10%	13%	13%	12%	11%	12%	12%	11%	15%	12%
Dk/Ns	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%
444444444444444444444444444444444444444	iáááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

D	105
Page:	105

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	aááááááááááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	iáááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
			management			Support				Support		
			Economy									
	ááá ááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááááá	áááááááá	áá áááá áá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	4ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very		Somewhat	Somewhat			Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose		Support		0ppose	0ppose
ádáááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá		aáááááááá			ááááááááá							
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
THE TRUCKING INOUSTRY												
Better	44%	54%	49%	34%	57%	52%	42%	31%	62%	54%	41%	28%
Worse	38%	29%	35%	47%	22%	28%	43%	54%	17%	28%	41%	57%
No impact	11%	11%	10%	13%	15%	11%	10%	11%	16%	11%	12%	9%
Ok/Ns	7%	7%	6%	6%	5%	9%	6%	4%	5%	6%	6%	5%
FIST AND FISH PRODUCTS INOUSTRY												
Better	33%	42%	40%	22%	51%	41%	31%	18%	51%	41%	32%	17%
Worse	41%	30%	36%	54%	23%	32%	41%	61%	19%	33%	42%	62%
No impact	18%	21%	17%	16%	20%	19%	18%	15%	23%	19%	18%	14%
Ok/Ns	8%	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%	10%	6%	7%	8%	8%	7%
THE TEXTILE INDUSTRY												
Better	16%	23%	15%	11%	25%	19%	14%	10%	23%	21%	11%	8%
Worse	77%	68%	77%	83%	70%	72%	81%	85%	72%	71%	82%	87%
No impact	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%
Dk/Ns	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	iááááááááááááááá	iáááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá

Page:	106
raye.	100

29. Now, I'm going to list some Canadian business and I'd like you to tell me for each one whether you think the North American free trade agreement with the United states and Mexico will mean the industry will be better off or worse off as a result.

	áááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
			ááááááááááá		i áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	àààààààààà	aaaaaaaaa	ááááááááá	aaaaaaaaa	aaaaaaaaaa
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very						Somewhat		
			Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	0ppose
ádaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaadaa												
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
THE AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY												
Better	35%	42%	38%	29%	60%	41%	31%	21%	60%	40%	32%	21%
lorse	46%	41%	43%	52%	29%	35%	0%	64%	25%	39%	49%	64%
No impact	14%	12%	14%	14%	8%	18%	13%	11%	12%	15%	15%	10%
Dk/Ns	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	6%	5%	4%	2%	6%	4%	4%
CAR AND CAR PARTS												
Better	26%	35%	26%	20%	49%	34%	20%	12%	45%	36%	16%	13%
lorse	61%	49%	62%	69%	32%	51%	67%	82%	36%	48%	73%	80%
No impact	9%	11%	9%	6%	14%	11%	10%	4%	14%	12%	7%	5%
Dk/Ns	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	4%	2%
COMPUTERS AND OTHER HI-TECH INDUSTRIES												
Better	58%	69%	62%	47%	82%	69%	52%	38%	84%	72%	49%	38%
lorse	28%	18%	2 5%	37%	13%	18%	33%	45%	9%	1 5%	34%	49%
No impact	9%	7%	9%	10%	4%	8%	10%	11%	6%	8%	13%	8%
Dk/Ns	5%	6%	4%	5%	1%	4%	5%	6%	1%	5%	4%	6%
******	áááá áááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	iáááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	dádá á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá á	áááááááá á	ááááááááá

Page: 108

30. Age

31. Education

******	iááááááááááá				aááááááááá			ááááááááá	ááááááááá			iááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
	4444444	á 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Economy áááááááááá	4444444		44444444	ááááááááá	<u> </u>	4444444	*****	44444444	
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very			Somewhat					
	Total	0000	Poor	Poor	Support		Oppose		Support		Oppose	0ppose
****		áááááááá										
ase: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
GE									3			
8 to 24 years	12%	16%	18%	6%	12%	14%	17%	8%	12%	14%	17%	7%
5 to 34 years	25%	29%	28%	20%	23%	25%	28%	25%	25%	24%	30%	22%
5 to 44 years	21%	19%	19%	5%	17%	20%	20%	25%	17%	22%	21%	23%
5 to 54 years	14%	11%	13%	16%	13%	15%	9%	16%	13%	15%	11%	16%
5 to 64 years	14%	11%	14%	17%	21%	13%	13%	13%	18%	13%	11%	18%
5 years and older	13%	14%	9%	17%	15%	14%	11%	14%	15%	12%	11%	15%
k/Ns	0%			0%			1%			0%	0%	
DUCATION												
rade school or some high school	15%	14%	12%	17%	11%	13%	12%	20%	6%	10%	14%	23%
ompleted high school	28%	25%	26%	30%	16%	27%	30%	30%	16%	26%	31%	32%
ome technical/ post secondary	9%	6%	12%	10%	7%	8%	11%	11%	9%	8%	11%	10%
ompleted technical/ post secondary	15%	18%	16%	12%	12%	17%	14%	13%	17%	18%	12%	12%
ome university	9%	11%	9%	8%	15%	9%	9%	8%	14%	10%	8%	6%
ompleted university degree	18%	21%	18%	16%	25%	18%	21%	13%	24%	20%	21%	11%
ost graduate degree	7%	5%	8%	7%	13%	8%	3%	6%	14%	8%	4%	5%
k/Ns	0%	0%		0%	0%		0%		0%	0%		
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	i á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	ááááááá	ada	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	.áááááááá

32. Household income

áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	ááááááááááááááááá				áááááááááá			iááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFTA	
			Economy									
	<u>á</u> áááááááá	ááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá						áááááááá		
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor		Support	Oppose		Support		0ppose	Oppose
ádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádádád	áááááááááááááá ááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááááá							ááááááááá		
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
HOUSEHOLD INCOME												
Under \$10,000	4%	5%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	6%
\$10,000 to \$19,999	10%	11%	10%	11%	5%	10%	8%	13%	2%	10%	10%	14%
\$20,000 to \$29,999	15%	17%	11%	17%	11%	15%	15%	16%	10%	13%	17%	15%
\$30,000 to \$39,999	16%	15%	18%	17%	8%	16%	21%	17%	9%	16%	19%	17%
\$40,000 to \$49,999	14%	11%	16%	14%	12%	15%	16%	13%	15%	14%	15%	14%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	12%	10%	12%	13%	12%	11%	12%	13%	11%	11%	11%	13%
\$60,000 to \$69,999	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	8%	5%	5%	8%	7%	6%	5%
\$70,000 to \$79,999	5%	5%	5%	5%	9%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	6%	4%
\$80,000 to \$89,999	4%	5%	5%	2%	8%	4%	3%	3%	13%	4%	2%	2%
\$90,000 to \$99,999	3%	3%	4%	1%	7%	3%	2%	2%	7%	3%	3%	2%
\$100,000 and over	6%	8%	6%	5%	14%	8%	4%	4%	14%	9%	4%	3%
Dk/Ns	5%	6%	3%	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	6%
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á á	áááááááá	ááááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááááá	aááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá

Source: Angus Reid Group International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

,

33. First Language

á ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá	àààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààààà	ááááááááá	*****	láááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	<u>ááááááááá</u>	ááááááááá	lááááááááá	<u>ááááááááá</u>	<u>áááááááá</u> á	ááááááááá
		Overall	management	of the		Support	of FTA			Support	of NAFIA	
			Economy									
	áááááááá	ááááááá	ááááááááááá	iáááááááá	áááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	i áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	/ Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	<pre>/ Strongly</pre>	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly
			Poor	Poor	Support		0ppose	Oppose	Support		Oppose	Oppose
*******	****	áááááááá	44444444444	iáááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá		ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368
FIRST LANGUAGE					-							
English	[′] 65%	57%	65%	70%	59%	61%	64%	72%	59%	61%	64%	71%
French	25%	33%	26%	18%	22%	30%	24%	18%	26%	27%	26%	20%
Both French and English	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
)ther	10%	10%	9%	11%	16%	8%	11%	8%	14%	10%	9%	8%
Dk/Ns	0%	- •/*	270	0%		0%		0%		0%		
áááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá		áááááááá	ááááááááááá	aááááááá	ááááááááá	iááááááááá	áááááááááá	áááááááá	iáááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	.ááááááááá

34. Oo you or does any ember of your family currently belong to a labour union?

& &&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&	ááááááááááááááááá	iáááááááá		áááááááá	áááááááááá			áááááááááá	ááááááááá			ááááááááá	
		Overall management of the				Support of FTA				Support of NAFTA			
			Economy										
	áááááááá	ááááááá	iaáááááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ádádádá á á	ááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	
	Total	Good	Somewhat	Very	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	
			Poor	Poor	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Support		Oppose	Oppose	
*****	ááááááááááááááááá	iáááááááá		áááá áááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	áááááááá	ááááááá á	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	
Base: All Respondents	1498	352	556	562	151	524	367	397	146	536	358	365	
Unweighted Base	1502	351	555	569	152	522	367	402	144	542	357	368	
BELONG TO A LABOUR UNION	.												
Yes	35%	29%	38%	34%	28%	34%	32%	40%	25%	33%	38%	38%	
No	64%	70%	61%	65%	71%	65%	67%	59%	74%	67%	6 0%	61%	
0k/Ns	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	.2%	1%	
*****	*****	iáááááááá	****	áááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	ááááááááá	<u>áááááááá</u>	áááááááá	áááááááá	áááááááááá	á ááá ááááá	

Source: Angus Reid Grup International trade issues study August, 1992 (2-723-08)

۵

DOCS CA1 EA 92C56 ENG vol. 2 Canadian public opinion on international trade issues : final report on research findings 64848978

ΰ4

3 5036 01042107

Τ.

VANCOUVER

CALGARY

· WINNIPEG

TORONTO

OTTAWA

1

Ŧ

.

 $\langle \rangle$

.

MONTRÉAL

MINNEAPOLIS