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## A CHARGE.

## Rev'd and deak Brethres,

You will remember that two years ago a large number of you presented me with a Memorial, asking me to holl a Visitation or Visitations of the Clergy of the Diocese. Hitherto . was unable to a ceele so your wishes, but I am glay that the time has come when itain met you for comsel and brotherly interconse in things Spiritual. The delay in requesting your attenlance at a Visitation, was not causel by any reluctance on my part to revive such meetings, nor from any distrust of thin utility; on the contrary, time has made it clear to me, that the Dionesan Synod needed to be supplemented by Visitations. The symul is a legal Incorporation whose powers are definitoly limitel, and tonch almost wholly the temporalities of the Church. The atmosphere of such a body is secular; business of Finance, Estate, Diseipline and Church extension occupy the time, and our Lay Brethren, whose presence is so necessary, are maturally anxious that the proceedings shall be as brief as is consistent with safety. The result is, that our Sessions are so hurried, that it is impossible to keep a majority of members in attendance for more than two days. We have no time to meet for Prayer, and the discussion of such subjects as will be presentel to us at this Visitation, and therefore I determined to make trial how far our menting together for Communion and Prayer, and the interchangenf opinions touching the Spiritualities of the Dincese, may with Got's blessing conduce to the edification of the Church; and I feel mueh strengthened in my determination, by the belief that your share my views. I would add that I should not have summoned yon together were it not for the request which was wholly spontaneons on your part, beeanse I shoult have been very reluctant to impose on the Clergy the additional exponse of travelling a seeond time in the same year. It will assuredly be useful to taken review of the Church work of the ten years that have passed sinee the

Diocese was organized. It ought to fill us with gratitude and courage. Our labours for Christ and His Church have met oftentimes with opposition, yet we have to record steady progress. I have had my own share of anxiety and missepresentation, but I hope that I am the better for it all. Our thanks are then due in an especial manner to Almighty God our Heavenly Father for the success He has vouchsafed us, and our gratitude, if it deserves the name, should nerve us for the toils still before us. The Diocese of Ontario was at its formation far the feeblest of the three into which the old Diocese of Toronto was divided. Even now it cannot compare with the others in population or wealth, and I am not therefore surprised that many things which have since been accomplished, were at first entertained coldly, by reason of our supposed inability to effect them. But we have had a happy illustration of the strength of Uuity. I trace, under God, any advancement of Church prineiples, or extension of Church work which we behold, to that union of sentiment in all matters of moment, which has characterized the Clergy of this Diocese.

The retrospect of the past ten years enables me to lay before you a few facts which are so encouraging as to stimulate us anew "to love and to good works." In the cause of domestic missions (a cause which has always been first in my thoughts and prayers) our means have grown from nothing to an income this year of $\$ 8,000$. The Sustentation Fund which I began eight years ago, as a means of providing against any curtailment of Missionary work when the small grant made by the S. P. G. should cease, has reached the satisfactory sum of $\$ 26,048.15$ of Invested Capital, besides unpaid subscriptions. Our Widows' and Orphans' Fund, and the Fund for assisting students in Divinity, though not yet as large as we desire, have hitherto sufficed to meet the demands upon them, so that no financial difficulty has arisen. The Commutation Fund, which has been a source of great anxiety to me, has at length been placed on a sure and solid basis, and no one rejoices more than myself that our younger Brethren have now a reasonable prospect of reaping advantage from that Fund, which amounts to $\$ 275,000$ of Invested Capital.
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## 5

The Rectory lands question is in process of successful solution, and the improved incomes of the Rectors, which the sales as yet made have offected, is a source of great gratification. The Invested Capital of lands already sold is $376,276.17$.

The number of the Clergy has increased from fifty-four in 1862 to eighty in 1822 . In the same period fifty-two ${ }^{*}$ new Churches, of which twenty-one have been consecrated, and twenty-three new Parsonage houses have been built, and ten Cemetries consecrated. I have ordained thirty-five Priests and thirty-two Deacons, and admitted from other Dioceses forty-three Clergy. I have confirmed 9,287 persons, of whom 7,463 became Communicants on the occasion of their Confirmation, and 1 must allude to a remarkable feature in these Confirmations, that 2,000 of those conflimed were adults, and about 1,500 were converts from various religious denominations.

And I must take this opportunity of bearing my testimony to the marked improvement which has with few execptions taken place in the mode of conducting Divine service, in the inereased reverence of our congregations, in the heartiness of the singing, and in the general attention paid to the arrangements for public worship; the increased zeal and accuracy with which candidates have been prepared for Confirmation, and the now almost uniform custom to partake of the Holy Communion at the time of their Confirmation, prove that you, my Brethren, have not been unfaithful in your arduous duties.

But I turn from the affairs of our own Diocese to those of the Church at large. We shall have opportunities for considering the best means of promoting the spiritual welfare of those committed to our charge, during the remainder of the week. Lei me oecupy your attention this evening while I take a survey of some of those movements in the Chureh which have attraeted universal attention, and concerning whieh I have often been asked for an opinion by the Clergy of the Diocese.

It has been our lot to live in a period of intense aftivity in

[^0]religious matters. It needed no great foresight to see that such a time must eventually come as a reaction from the unbelieving indifference of the preceding are. Now it is the natural tendency of reactions to go too far in an opposite direction. This law holds good in all movements of the human mind. A reaction against political tyranny or abuse, propels reform into revolution or Communism. The reaction against Papal tyranny and abmses hurried the Continental Reformers into the enormities of the Ana-Baptists, and culminated in England in the trimmph of political Puritanism. We shonh not therefore be surprised that many sargacious persons drealed the foree of the reaction from irreverence and pernicions diss gard of flecency in public worship. Hence the excitement and bad feeling which have exist wide by side with the attempts made to Re-form the mode of performing public worship, to form it hack again as it onee was, on its old basis. Now it is almost inmossible at such a time to a vobll heing lrawn away into partizanship. It needs the calmness of a well balanced mind to deeline association with either obstructive fanaties or "Ritualistic aerobats." To intensify the ditficulty, there is the prevailing sin of Individualism, or the unrestricted excreise of unfettered private judgment. The man who acts on the belief that what he gathers from Seripture by the exercise of his judgment (such as it is), must be the true meaning, irrespective altogether of the authority of the Chureh, and the man "whn, through his private judgment, willingly and purpossly doth break the tradition and ceremonies of the Churel," are pupils of the same sehool. The English Reformation was not justitiod by its promoters on the plea that they were led to the changes then male by their private judgment. On the contrary, it was a principle of that Reformation, that the Church of England did not mean to devinte from the Romish Chureh any farther than the Roman hal deviated from the Primitive Chureh. Another principle was, that Scripture was not whe intorprated so as $t$, $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ at varineo with the meaning attachel t , it hy tho Primitive Dactors an t the undisputed gemembl Cumeils, it appears to me that these principles have been ignored
ee that such a e unbelieving ural tendency Chis law holdy retion ngainst revolution or yand abuses mities of the triumph of iprisel that eaction from bie worship. ist M side hy f performing on its old avoid heing ess of $n$ well obstructive e difficulty, urrestricted who acts he exereise o meaning, hh, and the and purte Church," ntion was were led t. On the he Churelı th Chureh he PrimiWas not meaning a menem! a ignored
in the acrimonious discussion of several prominent points of Ritual. Granting that such practises as Incense at Holy Communion, and lights during the Celebration, and vestments for the Celebrant, are symblically instructive, which may be doubted, or legal, which they are declared not to be, yet surely, it should recuncile us to their lose, to remember that the Primitive Chureh did not employ them as aceessories of the Encharist. Nay further, not one of the most ardent ad vocates of them regards them as ahsolutely essential, since what is termed Low Celebration is equally valid for all purposes. of grace as ligh Celebration. All that I can learn to be urged in favor of these accompaniments of the Eucharist, amounts to this, that they elevate men's minds, and are impressive by renson of their symbolism. But while I admit that we e : ot have tor much reverchee and Golly fear in the Celebration of Holy Communion, 1 think it pussible to have a great deal too much syinholism. And the evil becomes greater when we find that the symbolism to which so much importance is attached is altogether false. They who hold the very strongestopinions regarding the sacriticial nature of the Eucharist, camnot satisfactorily explain the use of Ineense. There is not a particle of evidence that it was ever used in the Primitive Clurch, and the only thing symbolized by it, is the ascent of Prayer to the Throne of Grace. The restricion of its use to the Eucharist is therefore a clear misuse of symbolism; and the same may be said of the use of Lights on the Altar, which may have a significance if lighted during the reading of the Gospel to symbolize that Christ is the light of the World; but which have really no force or effeet whatever as pertaining to a sacriticinl rite. Again, it may be an open question whether it be desirable that the Celebrant should wear a speceial vestment, and since the Cope has been declared by the Judicial Committee to be the legal vestment for the Bishops when Celebrating on certain High Festivals, it may be thought becoming that the Chansable should be assigned to Priests, still, in as much as we know from the best Archroolugists that there is no trace decernible of sith a ventment in use in the Primitive Church, we should be contented with the Surplice which is the nearest approach to the vestment worn by the otficiating Priest in Primitive times.

I an aware that an appeal to the discipline of the Primitive Church is distasteful in some quarters. We hear of the objection that Christ has promised to be with His Church in every age, and that therefore the Primitive Chureh has no claim to special authority, and that "the Church hath power to decree rites and ceremonies" and "that they may be changed according to the diversities of times, countries, and men's manners." This may be all true, and yet the conviction may remain, that the appeal to Primitive antiquity is the best safe-guard against the errors of the nineteenth century; as it most assuredly proved itself against the errors of the sixteenth century. As a Church we should have been more blessed, if the time anl energies expended upon such points of Ritual as we have been considering, had been directed to a restoration of the Godly discipline which our Commination Service tells us existed in the Primitive Church, when " they who were convicted of notorious sin were put to open penance that their souls might be saved in the day of the Lord."

In consequence of the late decision of the Judicial Comnnittee in the case of "Hibbert $v$. Purchas," I have been requested by some of the Clergy to give instructions regarding the position of the Priest while consecrating the elements.

My own practice for over twenty years was to read the Prayer of Consecration at the north end of the Holy Table. But when the learned Judge of the Court of Arches, Sir Robert Phillimore, giving judgment in the case of "Hibbert $v$. Purchas," declared that this question had been settled by the Privy Council in the case of "Martin and Machonochie," since their Lordships had ruled that the words "standing before the Table" apply to the whole sentence, I considered myself bound to conform to the Law as interprete? by the highest Ecclesiastical Court, and I consecrated standing before the Table. The case was however carried on appeal to the Privy Council, who declared that Sir Robert Phillimore had mis-interpreted their language. They said that the question of the position of the Celebrant had not been before the Court at all. That the point they had before them was poature or attitude, that is, whether the Consecration Prayer should be read while the Priest was standing, or whether kneeling was

## f the Primitive

 of the objection n every age, and pecialauthority, nd ceremonies" e diversities of re all true, and to Primitive ss of the nineelf against the e should have ded upon suci been direeted r Commination hen "they who penance that ial Committee requested by he position ofead the Prayer e. But when ert Phillimore, has," declared oouncil in the Lordships had apply to the rm to the Law and I consecwever carried at Sir Robert rey said that t been before $\underline{n}$ wne posture or should be cneeling was
allowable during any part of the Prayer. Or in other words, that they meant that the word stauling applied to the whole sentence, but that the words "bejore the Tuble," did not.

It is a pity that their Lordships did not say plainly what they meant, for indeed it is no reproach to Sir R. Phillimore's sagacity that he did not interpret aright their Lordships' language. The Law is, however, now explicit ennugh, and the legal position of the Celebrant is standing at the north end. 'To this Law I have myself conformed. My reasons are these: I cannot by any possibility make the subject a matter of conseience. My belief concerning the Eucharist is entirely unaffected by the ponition of the Celebrant. My views tonching the mature and effect of Holy Communion were guite as decided during the many years in which I consecrated at the North end, as during the few years that I consecrated "Standing before the table." Moreover, were the position of the Celebrant left to my privitte judgment, which God forbid, I should stand behime and not before the Holy Table, with my face to the people. That was, I believe, the position in the Primitive Church, and it enabled the people to see more fully one of the most beantifully symbolical nets in the whole service, the fracture of the Bread, in act of so much eignificance, that in the I'rimitive Church the Holy Suerament took its name from it, and was called "The breakings of Bread."

Aguin, in matters of Ritual, there must be some limit, und I know of no other limit than Lucw, and I cannot forget that when I was promoted to the Priesthood, I promised "to minister tho Sacraments as this Church and Rcalm hath received the same."

I am well uware that the considerations I havo urged will not appear equally forcible to all minds, while there are some curious features in the decision of the Privy Council and in its results, which must not be overlooked. In the first plate, the ju lgment was rendered in an undefended suit. The Lurd Chancellor said that "the Committee hud not the assistance of the argument of Counsel on behalf of the Detendant," and the fact that he attached mish weight th this consideration, appears from his remarks in the recent Bennett case. His Lordship said that "The Court has
not hal that assistance from the argument of Counsel in his behalf, which is especially desirable in cases like the present, where the Committee are called upon to advise Her Majesty on matters of grave importance, as a tribunal of ultimate appeal." It is therefore just pos ible that the jutgment in the Purchas case may be reversed on the next hearing of a similar one, should the Respondent employ Comsel, and ilitlerent members of the Judicial Committee be selected to form the tribunal.

Whatever be the eause, there sems to be no disposition on the part of the Bishops, or even argrieved Parishoners, to put the Law in forse. It is ignored by many of the Clergy, and the Bishop of London declined to gratify two of the Canons of St. Paul's C'athedral, whodeclared the mention of ignoring the judgment, and requested a prosecution. These considerations may have server to make many hesitate before changing their position at the Holy Tahle, lut I confess that they have little weight with me. There is, however, one point on which I think we are all agreed, that the Constitution of the Tribunal of ultimate appeal in Eeclesiastical cases, is thoroughly unsatisfactory.

The amendment of the Act of Unitormity will, I am confident, prove a blessing to the Church. What we have so long needed is not change or revision of the prayer book, but flexibility in the use ot it, and I camestly hope that the Provincial Synod may at its next session adopt the provisi mes of the amended aet:-

First-In legalizing the shortened order formorningand evening priyer to be nsed on any day except Sumday, Christmas Day, Ash Wednesday, (Goon Friday and Ascension Day, in lien of the present order for Morning and Evening Service. Tho effect of this will be, I trust, the introduction of Daily Prayer in our Churehes wherever it he possible.

Secondly - In giving permission on any special occasion to be approved by the Ordinury, to use a special form of Service, also to be almowed hy the Orlinary, so that there be not introluced into such service anything exeept hymus, which does not torm part of the Bible or Prayer Book.
The effect of this will, I anticipute, be the adoption of more
in his behalf, t , where the n matters of It is therease may be the Responhe Judicial ition on the , to put the $5 y$, and the mons of St . gt the judgations may eir position veight with we are all ate appeal 1 confilent, g needed is lity in the od may at t:ad evening Day, Ash he present lis will be, o wherever sion to be rvice, also ntroluced not torm
of more
efficacious and suitable Services for Mission Stations, or for special Missionary operations.

Thirdy-In permitting a third or alditional form of Somere for Sunday, under necessary restrictions. This will prow a great boon to our Missionaries who at present have often to repeat wensong, when serving at three stations on Sumhay. It is also, worth remarking that what many of us havebeen so long contending for, has been decided by the amendel act, manely that the Orher for Morning Prayer, the Litany and the Order for Holy Communion are separate Services aul may the used as such.

I take this opportunity of stating that I shall he ghat to authorize the use of the shortened Service for Morning and Ewning Prayer, except on Sundays, and the Holidays alrealy mentionel; and should I be requested ly any of the Clergy to authorize the use of a third or additional Service on Sumlays, I shall give my sanction to that form, which has received the approval of the Bishops of London, Winehester and Rochester.

Coincidently with this wise tlexitility in the mamer of using the Book of Common Prayer, there has arisen an agitation for the revision of the Book itself. In the Irish Church the proposed revision, happily not yet consummated, amounts to revolution. In England, the Creed, commonly called that of St. Athanasius, has been selected as the point of attack. Seven thonsant persoms have petitioned the Primates of the English Chureh that some relief should be given to the conscienees of those whordislike ordisbelieve that Creed. I readthereply of the Archbishops with amazement. It amounts to this: that their Lordships promise to do what they can to find a solution of the difficulty, and the reason assigned for their promised exertions is that the damnatory clauses give great offenee to many faithful members of the Chureh. They "anticipate that they shall he able to devise some plan which shall meet the wishes of that large body of persons who ohjeet to the solemn use of words which they regard as unauthorized in their most obvious sense either by the letter or the spirit of the Holy Scriptures." There are, therefine, two elasses of people holding opposite opinions regarding this Creed; the petitioners,
amounting to 7000 Laymen, who helieve that the language of the Creed in its most obvims sense to be manthorized either by the letter or the spirit of Seripture ; and on the ather side, the whole berly of the Flergy of the Chureh of Encrland, the A rehbishops included, who, at their Ordination solemnly accepted that Creed, and subscribed to the 8 th article of religion, which says that the Creed "ought thoroughly to be received and helieved, for it may be proved by most certain rerrants of IIoly soripture," or as the Latin text has it, "Nam firmissimis Seriptmrarum Testimoniis probari possunt." It is to reconcile these opinions which are as widely separated as light and darkness, that the Arehhishops have set themselves. "Pergunt puguantia seemm Frontibus alversis componere," But granting that relief eould be given to the consciences of the Petitionersin some milder methon than the exereise of a high-handed parlinmentary interferemee, and the necessary result--dismption, I would ask what is to the gained it the stumbling hook which has been removed out of the path of 7,000 or 70,000 be rolled across the path of millions? Multitudes, if this Confession of Faith be silenced, will ask the reason, why? Is it lecause it is untrue? No; but becanse it givesoffence. But the Cross of Christ was not only an offence but foolishness, and yet St. Paul gloried in it. There must be not only offences but heresies, that they that are approved may be made manifest amongst us, and I take it as nothing but certain fact, that more than 7,000 persons can be found in England to see an offence in any given dogma of the Catholic Chureh. The Petitioners may have more than ordinury intelligence in theological matters, of which, however, we have no proof, but if they have, then I suppose they take the damnatory or minatory clauses of the Creed in the same sense and with the same limitations as they take the damnatory sentences of the Holy Scripture. If Scripture gives them no oflence, neither need the Creed in its eondemnations, But I suspect that the damnatory clanses have very little to do with the great offence tuken. What is at stake is dogmatic theolngy of any kind. It is the right belief in the fncarnation which the Creed embodies, and the terms "Person," and "Begotten,"
mage of the her by the r side, the rchlishops that Creed, is that the for it may re," or as estimonis wich are as hops have is allyersis in the conhe excreise necessary rel if the h of 7,000 des, if this y? Is it But the ; and yet lences but manifest that more offenee in ners may atters, of I suppose od in the take the re gives mations. le to do dogmatic arantion Regotten,"
and "Substance," and "Teking the manhood into God," which are in reality the rock of ntfence. This is the rock on which so many heresies have been dashed to piecers, and it seems therefore desirable to remove it out of the way or relogate it to som" lark spot. But how long could the Nicene survere this treatment of the Athanasian Cred? The three Creels must stand or fall together, for they may he one and all proved by most certain warrants of Holy Writ. The Athanasian 'reed is the only one which tells us what Goul is. It is the mollist song to the foul made known hy Christianity the Churel possesses, and as it has braved the battle and the tempest of heresy for 1000 years, we should contend for the faith enshrined in its words and hamd it down to our children's children. A revision of the text, so as to loring it into greater hamme with the original Latin, seems necessary, and will doubtless be efleeted; hut it seems to me that what is still more essential is a change in the mole of repeating it. The alternate realing of the verses ly Minister and prople is unnatural in a Creed, and has, I believe, no other authority than that of usage. Better far wonld he the repeating of it as the Nicene Creed is repeated ly the people after the Minister, or, better still, the chanting of it by the Cloir and Congregation. Not a little of the opposition to this ereed would have heen avoided were it sung as a Hymn rather than read as a Creed; indeed the intention of the Church is phain, for the Creed is pointed for the express purpose of its being sung.

But it remains for me to take notice of the charge levelled at this Creed-its want of charity. This we can by no means admit, if, as was before observed, the threats and denumeiations of the Creed be taken with the same qualifications and limitations as the damnatory passages of Holy Scripture. The Creed deals ont monitions and demuneiations against Arianism and Appollinarianism, but as has been well sail,* we do not on that necount express any opinion on the final destiny Arius and Appollinarius. It is against Arianism und Appollinariamsm as suth that we direct our words. The Law under which we are saved is haid down in

[^1]the Creed, but the application of the Law to individuals is known only to Ommiscience. St. Paul lays it down as an axiom of the Christian Religion that "no whoremonger nor eovetous man who is an idolater hath any inheritance in the Kingdom of Christ and of God." Yet who would think of applying this axion to any given idolater unless he knew (which is impossible), all the extenuating circumstances of each case. It is here that true charity comes in. It is a grace whose counterfeit is false liberality. Liberality is the fashion of the age we are living in ; it is a passing phase of public opinion in religion and polities. They who are most Conservative in religious matters love to be thought Liberal Comservatives. And it would all be well if this liberality were extended with fairness and uniformity to the sulporters as well as to the despisers of the Creed. But alas : this liberality expands itself in trying to prove that all the want of Charity "which beareth all things, believeth all things and hopeth all things," is to be laid to the charge of them who believe this Creed to contain a true revelation of the nature of God. This vaunted liberalism will be proved on examination to be exhibited only towards those who run in its own groove and direction. The attention of the whole Anglican Church has been lately drawn to what isknowe as the Westminster Seandal, when the Dean of Westminster invited a denier of the Godhead of Christ to Holy Commmion in the Abbey, with the other members of the Committee of Revision. This was an instance of the liberality I speak of. But a few years ago there was another Westminster Scandal, when the same Dean who extended a cordial invitation to an Unitarian, refused the request of seventy-six Bishops who wished, before separating, it might be forever, to receive together the Holy Communion in thesame Abbey. Who does not see that liberality in such an one is simply guided by his likings or dislikings. He liked the iden of a Revision of the Seriptures, though an Unitarian was the Reviser, and he disliked the Lambeth Conference. He had no other way of showing this liking for the one and disliking for the other in any overt act but by spurious liberality to the Reviser, and genuine illiberality to the Conferener. I should searcely have felt justi-

Is is known xiom of the is man who Christ and ion to any de), all the e that true false liberig in ; it is itics. They be thought siberality porters as verality exity "which things," is to contain liberalism y towards tention of t is known estminster munion in Revision. few years me Dean ffused the rating, it thesame is simply Revision ; and he way of er in any genuine elt justi-
fied in taking my illustration from a living Ecclesiastic, were it not that in the delates in Convocation the champion in the assault on the Athanasian Creed was the same Dean of Westminster.
Religionists of our day besides wishing to be thought liberal, wish to be thought prectical as well. The Athanasian Creed is, they say, tow motaphysical and, therefore, unpractical. But although the techuicalities and definitions of the ('reed are not now the theme of the hitter controversies that rased in former times, yet surely we may expect that in the rycle of events they will again be subjects of discussion. And if this Creed be expunged or mutilated we, or our children, shall be deprived of the amom that once proved so powerful. It will be tow late to fall lack upon this Creed when it has lost its place in the Prayer Book. The value of the Creed now nay seem small becanse it is said to be unpractical. But it was very practical nace and may, and will be so again, as old heresies recur, and any one can see with how much greater force it can be appealed to as an honored document holding its present place in our Liturgy, than if it be referred to as a symbol which the Augliean Chureh deliberately expunged or retained simply as an interesting historical relic. If the rubric ordering Daily Prayer and the Offertory and public Baptism had been tampered with when these things were disused because consilered umpractical, it would have been much more difficult than it has been to revive them when they came to be thought practical. For these reasons let not any inaction on our part aid in robbing us of that glorious symbel of the Faith which is our heritage, and not ours only, but that of the Catholic Chureh.
It has come to my knowledge that some of the Clergy have refrained from using the new Lectionary until I had called upon them to do so. It is true that I did not make known my wishes on the subject, because I thought it unnecessary, the Provincial Synod having by resoluion adopted the new Table of Lessons. Permit me now, however, to request that the new Lectionary be used in all the Churches of this Diocese. We are of course

All bound by the action of the Provincial Synor, but nevertheless, it will be of use to dwell for a short time on the advantages experted from the change. In the first place, we are at last relieved from the insensate practice of reading Chapters simply bécause they are (hapters, and as if the Bible had been Chaptered with any tolerable regard to the sense. Cardinal Hugo is said to have been the first publisher of the whole Scriptures in Chapters, and Robert Stephens the arbitrary inventor of our present verses, A. D. 15.50. This arbitrary distribution of the Sacred text was adopted for the purpose of facilitating refereuce from concordances which Hugo and Stephens were then engaged in perfecting, but without the smallest intention of assisting the reader to understand the se:ise. It is as absurd to suppose the chaptering and versification of the Scriptures to le an aid to the hearer or reader, as it would be to imagine that the division of the Country into Concessions and Lots wass made to facilitate travelling and not for convenience of ownership and description. In the public reading of God's word we are now free from this tyranny which often compelled us to read at the end of a Chapter, a genealogy or episode which had nething to do with the main narrative, and which was thrown into the Chapter because no other place could be found for it.
There are many other advantages in the new Lectionary. The old was drawn up on the supposition that the Congregations attended the Daily Service, but in as much as they do not, systeratie instruction was lost. The new is drawn up chiefly with a view to the edification of those who do not go to Church exce, tom Sunday. Again it is a great gain that the Gospels aro now read during a portion of the year in the Evening, and the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}$ istles in the Morning. A large proportion of those who attend Church in the Evening only, especially in towns and cities are much more likely to be edificd by lessons derived from the life and teaching of cur $L$ Li: 2 , than by having their religous instruction confined to the abstras: $\quad$, milocy of the Epistles. Many books of the Old Testament are she for the stime read for Sunday Lessons, such as five of tho minor "ivithets, and $\tau \cdot b$, Ecclesiastes and the Revela- advantages re at last remply bécause n Chaptered ago is said to in Chapters, -esent verses, d text was oncordances rfeeting, but ) understand otering and er or reader, ountry into g and not for blic reading which often enealogy or rative, and place could
nary. The ngregations sy do not, up chietly to Church Gospels 3 , and who atiend s are much and teachn confined of the Old ssons, such he Revcla.
tion of St. John. "*The new Table is more comprelonsive, more historical more biogrophiral, more dectrinal, more Mossimine and Evangelical." What is also very important, we have the introduction of $n$ great mamber of passages referred to in the New Testanent, often quoted in semoms, and familiar to the readers of devotional books, lat seldom heand in their original context in the Old Testiment, as for "xample "Comfint ye, Combint y", my People," which will now be heard in full and with its proper surroundings.

Now, for the first time, will be reall on Sumays the opening vision of the Apralype, Aphat Ond Onat, the Seven Chumehey and "the song of the Lamb."

Another gain is the the provision mate for theo sumbay Services, giving a choiee of Lיasems and the power of varying them from year to year where there is lout one atternom semvice. But I think that I have sainl enough to show some of the improvements manifest in the New Lectionary, and to justify the adoption of it by the Provindial Synol.

In this stiming nore of Churd artivity, so many puinta sugerest thenselves as worth notieing, that I an mbly glanee at a few, while I omit others altogether. But as I hiwo been tonching on the New Lectionary, I would say a few womls on the Revision of the Bible which is now being attompted. All religionists are taking a deep interest in the undertaking, anl looking forwari with anxiety to the result. Two misconceptions have rather prejuliced the minds of many devont persons Mgainst the work. First, that the English Bible is being re-trunslated instead of luing as it is in reality, revised: and, secombly, that the value of the revision will he atlected by the finct that one of the Company is an Unitarian. Regrarling the first miseonepption it should be borne in mind that a correction of a mis-tramslation here and there is not a re-tmaslation of the whole, and that as the anthorized version is itself a revision of a previons translation and was never thought th be a timatity, so the new

that the anthorized version does to those that preceded it. There is not the least langer of the language being mondernized, exeept so far as the reform of worls wholly wholete is concerned, and as to the unsettling of mens' minds, which some dreal, all I can say is, that the minds of many cre already, and that the minds of others rught to he unsettleel. All competent seholars know that there are inacenacios, and blemishes, and interplations in the $\mathbf{A}$. V., and if the Church be trile to her miswion ass "the witness and keepre of Holy Writ," shre shonh correet them. Niot only the Clerey from the pminit, but writers of intilel tendencies are constantly exposing these hemishes, anl it is thereforeno womder that men's minds are unset led, while the minds of those who are so far given to bibliolatry as to think the A. V. absolutely infullihle, onght to be masetled amit their ideav correctonl. The Chureh of Eargaml has never formally as a Chureh vonehed for the A. V. as the Chureh of Rome did for the Vingate at the Comeil of Trent, and that she does not exclusively reverenee the $A$. $V$. is proved by the fiet that the Cantides, the Psalms, the Offertory sentences, the comfortable words in the Commmaion ollice, and even the lord's Prayer and the Ton Commamhments are all, as given in the book of Common Prayer, taken from versions of earlier date than the A. V. It is however fearel hy some that the Protestant world, and especially America, will not accept the revised book. They certainly will if the revised book deserves it. And even should the American Church contime to use the $A$. V. there need be ne abatement of inter-commanion or fellowship. They revised our I'rayer Beok to a great degree: yot holding to our respective Prayer Books does not destroy complintity. Even so will it be if the Revision does not meet with acreptance in the American Chureh. But I hope and pray that the Revivion will he worthy of acepptation. 'The desire fin it is much more maiversal than is genemally sapposed. Soets and individuals have tried their hand on revisions and translations, and have wholly failed and sometimes covered themselves with ridicule. It may be God's will that the Clureh which has mmintainel the prineiple of giving the Bible to the people in the vulgar iongue, should have the honor
t. There al, except d, and as I can sny minds of now that in the A . mess and mly the cies are a worder who are y infulChureh he A. V. if'Trent, wed by ntences, ven the iven in er date testant d look. il even e need revised pective be if wriean vorthy han is I haml some's will ug the honor
of perfecting the work. His provituce has raised up selolary equal to the occasion, and has led th dinoweries which pant out the duty of nsing them, so timely and important din they serm. The newly revised hook will not of eource he set finth an the Pible of the Church. It will have to be summitted th the keon sarutiny of public opinim, and its merits decided he ann appal the the critical scholarship of Emrone and America. Amb if, as 1 pray,
 time, the new ver.inn will gradually sumersent the prenent om, and with the general gonl will of Fingli,h yablking Cluritians, lecome the honsehold worl of (ime.

 be enough to reply that the real secmulal was in atmitting to the Holy Communion one who conld ant reprat the Niecne Creed ex animu. If he be a man of great seluharship 1 can sere medreat harm in making use of him, mo mone than of using a buxien of value compiled by an Intidel. In the present state of selondarship, and in the publicity and keenn"s with wheh tim versim will he serntinized, it is I think impos.ible that the work cim bo dume in the interest of ming thing but truth, and wis shombl remember that the persons who are responsilde to the whole Chureh fin the new version, are the Consocation of Canternary, aum mot one or more of those employed lyy them for the impertant work.

You are, perhops, aware that the two great Missomary Ag necies of the Church of Eingland, the S. P. (i, aml C. M. S. have mited ill asking all friemds of missions to set aphert Firilay, Dec. ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{y}$ th next, as a day of interenssion for mu increased suply of Missionaries thromghout the world. The permainal has beren sanetioned by the Archbishop of Cantermery, mad I trint that the day may bo universally ohserved, as it is the tirst time in which the Chureh of Enghand has consecrated a day fir prayerom halalf of Miswions. I exhort yom, my heverem Brethen, to mite with the Church throughout the woild in a due observinen of the hay lig payme and Holy Commonim, and while ottiering up your p rayers that God would send lahourers intos the harvest everywhere, do not
forget our own Diocese. We ton mem mon of a true missionary character, and ome of my greatest anxieties has heen the diffienlty of keeping up the supply of such Missionaries. The disproportion betwen the supply and demand for duly qualitied missiomaries thronghout the Colonial Eapire is not, I helieve, t" be traced altogethe: to want of life and energy in the Chureh. The eanses are many and varions, The extraordinary multiplication of parishes in England, and the great inemase in the number of eolomial dioceses have almobyel a vast mumber of candilates fir holy orders, while the qualiterations of missionary prieste able to cheomente the ohl religions and rivilizations of the heathendun most necessarily be matutamed. There is also a greater reluctance to assume the vows of the priesthood, which is in itself a blessing so far hi it springs from a higher ideal standard of its obligations and responsibilities. There is less than ever a disposition to seek the ministerial oflice simply ats a profession, but rather is a dedication of ourselves, our souls and bodies to our Master's service. And to shat of our own ecelesiastical province, white we deeply feel and athowledge our shortcomings in haboming $m$ God's vineyard, yet it is something to he gratefind for when we retlect that during the ministerial life of one who has lut lately ieft us, the number of elergy has inereased from eight to nealy doo. We shomh not tien feel liseomaged at the want of men to labour in the mission dield, but set ourselves resolutely to remedy it, mad as a preliminary join with the whole Anglican commmion in praying on the mpointed day for on inereased supply of missiomaries. Let us not in despondency attribute the lack of men to work tor (iod, wholly to the spirit of irreligion. That, indeed, it true, would be a just ground of despomdency; but when I regned tint mission tield with which I am best aequainted, I must say that at no previous period have onr missionaries labomed more assiduomsly or given better proof of their ministry; so the as I cin judge from the ontwned indieations of zeal and ruverence and growth. That such dabour will hring forth finit in stimulating others to devote themselves to the work of missions when wo have passed awny, I have not the least doubt, and let us
issionary rifficulty : disproqualified believe, in the ordinary eronse in mber of ssionary tions of is also a which is $\because$ ideal ess than profes1 borlies siastical comings grateful rho has 11 eight e want olutely ngliean creased ute the cligion. cy, hut ainted, onaries nistry; al and ruit in issiuns let us
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pray that the time may be hastened when good men and full of the Holy Ghost and of faith may, as missionaries of Christ, turn much people to the Lord. Amen.



[^0]:    * This cnumeration does nui Inclule old Churches which have been superseded by larger and more expensive ones, such as the Churches at Corrwall, I'rescott, Yerth Ottawa, \&c., nor old Parsonages which bave been rebuilt on a larger scale.

[^1]:    - Vide Letter to Rt. Hon. W. E.. Gladntone, by Rev, Mr. MacColl.

