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by How labloway.

T* 6
CANDID EXAMINATION Of the MUTUAL Claims of $G R E A T B R I \angle I N_{0}$ AND THE

COLONIES:

## WITH

$A \quad \mathbf{P} \quad \mathrm{~L} N$ ACGUMOD MOTION
CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLE

Kil'

Page 12, Line laft but one, for rigbts. read rigbts;

20, L. 22, for incorroporated, r. incorporpetd 23, L. 34 for diferaceful, r. dangerous $25, \mathrm{~L}$. Is, for or my counitry, r. of my couniry. ibid. 23, after legiflation, ada in tbeir Sque.
: 0 Tveral prowincial desiflatures, O
26, L. 3, after without, dele they muf be executed, and the penalises recovered with. in tbe conmunity ys and, after police, add muft be
Y- 31, L. 30 , for cemmon, recrown 34, I. 4, for depend, r. depends 35, L. 23, for wilth, r. with 36, L. 14 , for foeydal, r. foudal 39, I.. 27, dele part
$4^{8}$, IL, f, for fuport, I. freporta $e^{M}$ ibidI Tid dele Wbom. -23

Tbe above Errors the keader is defired to corre, and to pardon any otbers, that be may difcovaring

$\therefore \mathrm{NO}$

gainft the parent ftate:-I fay, when we fee the cotonies, needlefsly, and while the path to their fafety and happinefs is plain, and open before them; thus pufhing on with precipitation and madnefs; in the high road of fedition and rebellion, which mult ultimately terminate in their mifery and ruin؛ It is the duty of every man of the leart abilities, to try to rechim them from their folly, and fave them from deftuption, before it be too date, With this defign 1 am refolved to review the moft important contriverfy, that ever was agitated between a ftate and its members; in hope, that my countrymen, too Jong feduced from their true interef, by falfe tho' Specious arguments, will, at length, liften to reafon and truth, and purfue thofe meafures only, which lead to their fafety and happinefs.

In a controyerfy of fo great moment, it is of the firt importance to afcertain the ftandard by which it ought to be decided. This being unfettled, the merits can never be attained, nor any jut decifion formed. Hence it is, that we haye feen all the American writers on the fabject, adopting untenable principles, and thence rearing the moft wild and chimerical fupertructures, Some of them have fixed on, as a fource tron whence to draw American Right, "the Iows of God and nature, the common rights of mankind, and American charters." Others finding that the claims of the colonies could not be fupported upon thofe pillars, have racked their inventions to find out diftinetions, which never exifted, nor can exitt, in reafon or common lenfe: A diftinction between a right in parliamont to legillate for the colonies, and a right to

## ("3)

tax thena-between internal and external taxa: tion-and between taxes laid for the regulation of trade, and for the puppofe of revenue. And after all of them have begen fully confidered, even the authors themfelves, finding that they have conveyed no fatisfactory idega to the intelligent mind either of the extent of parliamentary authority, or of the rights of America, have exploded them, and taken new ground which will be found equally indefenfible. It hall not at. tempt to account for a conduct, which muft appear fo ftrange, when it is confidered, that the fubject itfelf naturally, and familiarly, led to the only juit and proper means of deciding it. It is a difpute between the fupreme authority of, the ftate, and a number of its members, refpectins its fupremacy, and their conftitutional rights. What otier fource to draw them from, or flandard to decide them by, cap reafon point out, but the principles of government in general, and of that conftitution in particular, where both are to be found defined and eftablifhed ? Whoever fearches for then elfew here, will fearch for them in vain, and ever confoutd the fubjet? perplex himeclf, and bewilder the reader.

In order then to afcertain the confitutional extent of parliamentary authority, to determine whether the colonies are members of the Britifh tate; and if they are, to mark out their juit rights, and to, propofe a remedy to reconcile them, upon principles of government and liberty ; it is neceffary firt, to delineate thofe principles, which are effential in the conftitution of all focieties and particularly in that of the Britifh government.

There is no pofition more firmly eftablinied, in the conduct of mankind, Than that therc imute be in every 'tate a fupreme leginative authority, univerfil in its extent, over every member. This trüht, the principles upon which all governmens from the earlieft ages have been eftablified, uaiformily demonftrate. This truth, the authority of all authors of credit will ever fuppiort:- This truth, the nature and reafon of civilyocieties will for ever evince. Tully gives us this definition of government," Multirudo juris confenfu et uti"Hitatis communione fociata. A multitude of " people united together by a communion of in"t terelts, and commisn hows to which tho all Jubmit "i with one accord. Mr. Locke tells ths, that "the "firit fimdaniental poffive laew of "ufl"common"wealths is, the eftablifhing the legijgative power. "This leginative is not only the 'upreme pover. "Of the common-wedealib; but is facted and unal"terable in the hands where the community "have placed it." And in another place he fays, "there can be but one Jupreme pocuer, which " is the legifative, to which all the teft are, and
 maqui, in treating of the effential conftitution of thates, and of the manner in which they are formed, declares that in forming z fociety, "it " is neccefary to unite forever the wills" of "al tbs " members in fuch a manner, that from that time " forward they hould never defire' but one and "tbe Jame tbing, in whatever relates to the end \% and purpofe of fociety: It is afterwards ne$*$ :eflary, to eftablifh ajupreme pocver, fupporred "by the frength of the whole body. That it "t is from this union of wills and frength, that the
". body politic, or fatc, refulls; and without it we "could never conceive a civill fociety. That the " ftate is confidered as a body, or moral perfon, " of which the Sovercign is the chief head, and "the fubjects are the niembers." And afterwards, in another part he fays, "The ftate is a body, " or fociety, animated by one foul, which direas "all its motions, and makes all its members act af-:
" ter a conftant and wniform manner, with a view " to one and the faime end, namely the public uti" lity." And in another chapter, fpeaking of the characters of fovereignty, its modifications and extent, he avers, "w that in every govern"ment there fhould be fuch a fupreme power, is "a point abfolutely neceffary\% the very nature ". of the thing requires it; otherwife it is impof"Jible for it to fubffte: That this power is that: "from which all otbers flow, it being a fupreme "s and independent power; that is, a power that ": judges finally of whatever is fufceptible of bu" man direction, and relates to the welfare and "advantage of fociety." And Acberley, in his treatife on the Britannic conftitution, proves with great ftrength of argument, "That the fupreme "power in every government and nation, is the " legifative power of making and altering thofe. "lawis of it, by which every man is to be bound, " and to which he is to yield obedience."

The evidence of all other authors of credit, even of thofe the moft attached to republican forms of government, might be adduced, to demonftrate the fame truths; but this muft be fith neceffary, when we refer to the forms of all civt lized focieties, whether monarchical, ariftocratical, democratical, or mixed; and there find a fovercign
fovereign legiflature eftablifhed, to which it is the duty of cevery member uniformly to yield obedience. A due attention to this univerfal principle, which feems too firmly fettled to be fhaken by any fophiftical diftinctions, would have faved the American writers from all their numerous abfurdities. It would have fhewn them, that the legifative authority in every government muft of neceffity be equally fupreme over all. its members. - That to divide this fupremacy; by allowing it to exift in fome cafes, and not in all, over a part of the members, and not the whole, is to weaken and confound the operations of the fyftem, and to fubvert the very end and purpofe for which it was formed; in as much as the vigour and ftrength of every machine, whether: mechanical or political, muft depend upon the confiftency of its parts, and their correfponding obedience to the fupreme afling power: And it: would have thewn that there can be no alternative; either the colonies muft be confidered as complete members of the ftate, or fo many diftinct communities, in a fate of nature, as independant of it, as Hanover, Erance, or Spain:

That there is fuch a fupreme power eftablifhed in the Britifh fociety, which has from the time of its origin, exercifed this univerfal authority over all its members, will not be denied. But where it is lodged; what are its modifications; and what are the powers fubordinaje to it, is a neceffary enquiry. It will lead wtoto thofe principles which muft decide many important queftions in this great controverfy; and in particular point out the abfurdity, in the colonifts, when
they acknowledge allegiance to the King; ant deny obedience to the laws of parliament.

The government of Great-Britain is of a parricular kind. There is none now irthe world like it. It is of a mixed form, compofed partly of the principles of a monarchy, ariftocracy, and democracy; and yet cannot with propriety be defcribed, by the name of either of them. Its fupreme leginative head is lodged in the King, Lords and Commons. To their authority every other power of the ftate is fubordinate, and every member muft yield full and perfect obedience. Thefe three branches conftituting but one fupreme politic head, their power is eymal and concurrent; their joint affent being neceflary to the yalidity of every a te of legiflature. So that even in this deparment of the ftate, which is the higheft and firft in order, the King is not lupreme; being only one of three equal in fower. It cannot therefore be to the King, as leginator, that the colonifts owe obedience and allegiance; becaufe he has no fuch complete, independent capacity; he is not, by the conftitution, a legillator, but only a part of one; and to fubmit to the power of a part, and not to the wbole, is too greas an abfurdity for men of fenfe to adopt.

But as the legiflature does not always oxift, it could avail little, without fome power to fuperintend the execution of irs regulations. The appointment of a rentefentative of the whole ftate, to fee that its laws are duly carried into execution, was abfolutely neceffary: The King is that reprefentative ; and as fuch is vefted with the execưtive power of the Britifh government. But this power is a fubordinate one, and perpetually

## (8)

tually liable to the alterations and controul of the fupreme legifitive andiority; whofe will, enaEted into laws, is the fole geide and rule of its actions. Mr. Lucke tells iss, that the King as «i to be confidered as the image, phantom, or creprefentative of the common wealtb, and by the "will of the fociety declared into its lawios, and thus " bas no will, no power, but tbat of the lawie

To hinf; in this reprefentative capacity, and as fupreme execuitor of the laws, male by $a^{-}$ joint power of bim and otbers, the oathis of allegiance are taken, and by him, that obedience in the fubjects to the laws, which intite? them to protection in their perfons and properties, 合 received. Is it then to him, as repitentative of the fate, and executor of its laws, that the Americans profers their allegiance? This cathot be; becaure it wouk be owning an obediente to the laws of the fate which he Teprefents, and is tound to execute, and of which they uniformly deny the force and obligation. Herce thefe profeflions are not inade to him either in his legiflative, or executive capacities; but yet it feems they are made to the King. Aid into this tiftinetion, which is no where to be found, either in the conftitution of the government, if reafor or commori feafe, the jororant and thoughtefs have been deladed ever fince the paffing of the tamp-act, and they have refted fatisfied with it withour the leaft examination, for we find it in ali the refolves and petitions of the American affemblies, town meetins, provincial commit, tees, and even in the proceedings of the contithental congrefs: And fuch have been the untrapp

## (9)

happy effects, that we have feen the officers of juftice in America, who have taken the oaths to the Britifh government, refolutely oppofing the execution of thofe very laws, which they have Yworn to obey and execute; and thus unwittingly niding into the moft palpable perjuries. I do not mean to offend th inventors of this refined diftinction, when I afk them-Is this acknowledgment made to the King, in his politic capacity, as King of Great-Britain, or of America ? If to him in the firft, it includes a promife of obedience to the Britifh laws, as I fhall more fully prove hereafter. If in the fecond, as King of America, when did he affume that title, and by whom was it conferred? When was he crowned? On the contrary has he not invariably denied the exiftence of any fuch capacity in him, by an uniform conduct, in exerting his authority, to execute the Britifh ftatutes in America ?

In his reprefentative capacity, the King alfo holds the great feal, or the feal of the ftate, and has right to affix it to all aets of the legilature, and fuch as he is impowered to do by his pren rogative, and no otber. He has alfo certain prerogatives, which are defined and known. By one of them he has right, under the great feal, to form any circle of territory, within che realm, and the fubjects on it, into inferior bodies politic, and to veft them with the power to make municipar laws, for the regulation of its internal police, fo far as it relates to the welfare of that circle only: But by no means to dicharge them from their obedience to the fupreine leginative authority. Becaufe this would be to weaken, difmember, and in the ind deftroy the ftate, con-
trary to the intent for which the prerogative was vefted in him namely, the public good and fafety.

Having thus eftablifhed the necelfity of a fupreme legiflative authority in every government, and fhewn that it is an effential principle in the Englifh ftate, and explained fuch other parts of the conftitution as are neceffary to my purpofe; let us next enquire whether the colonies of right are members of that ftate, or fo many independent communities, in a ftate of nature, with refpect to it. Hor feeing a leginative authority competent, in all cafes whatfoever, over every member, is neceffary in every government; the colonies muft ftand in one or other of thefe predicaments.

The lands upon which the colonies are eftablifhed muft be confidered, as they truly are, either difcovered, or conquered territories. In either cafe the right of property is in the ftate, under the licenfe or authority of which they were difcovered or conquered. This property being vefted in the ftate, no fubject can lawfully enter upon, and appropriate any part of it to his own ufe, without a commiffion or grant from the immediate reprefentative for that purpofe Hence we find in the niftories of all civilized ftates, from the earlieft ages to this day, the heads, or reprefentatives of all governments, diftributing fuch lands, by fpecial grants, among their people, who in every inftance which hiftory affords, ftill retain the duties of fubjects: And there is no pofition better eftablimed by the practice and ufage of all focieties, than that where a fubject removes from one part of the territory of a government, to another part of the fame,
his political rights and duties remain as before; but where the fubject of one ftate removes to the territory of another, and fettles there, his political rights and duties are changed from thofe of the ftate from whence he removed, to thofe of the ftate under which he fettles, and from which he receives protection. No perfon acquainted with politic Jaw, or the practice of focieties, in thefe refpects; will affert the contrary. What then are the circumftances of America ? Under what authority was it difcovered? What was the intent of the difcovery ? By whom, and under what authority, has it been fettled?" A decifion of thefe queftions will lead us to a very important truth, viz. That the colonies are of Right members of the Britif government,

America was difcovered in the latter end of the 15 th century, by Sebaftian Cabot, autherifed for that feccial parpofe, under the great feal of the ftate, afixed to his commifion, by Her277. Reprefentative of the Britih governtment, The fignoture of the great feal fully proves that the King did not in the granting this commifion, confider himfelf as acting in his private, but in his politic capaciry. In the firt he had no tight to affix it, in the fecond he had. The defign in view was to encreafe the territories, extend the commerce, and add to the wealth and power of the ftate. And therefore the ditcovery was made to the ufe of the ftate, and the territories became immediately fubject to its fupreme authority. No man in his Cober fenfes will, 1 imagine, affirm that Hemy 7. had in view the difcovery of a country, into which his fucceffors might give licenfe to the members of the ftate to emigrate, with in-
tent to become independent of its authority. Such a defigh is too abfurd to be fuppofed ever to have been admitted into a vy fyftem of policy; much lefs that of a Prinee fo juftly famed for his wifdomi.

Every coleny in America, as well thofe under charters, as others, has been fetued under the lieence and authority of the great feal, affixed by the reprefentative of the body politic of the Britifh fate. The property of the territory of America being in the ftate, and its members removing under its authority from one part of it to another, equally fubject to its fupreme jurifdietion; they of confequence, brought over with them all their political rights and duties, and ainengt the reft, that of perfect obedience to its laws;-nor eould they be left or changed by an alteracion of their local circumftances. Indeed nothing can be more explicitly confeffed than this truth, in all the American declarations of their rights. I fall eite only thofe of the congrefles which met at New-York in $\mathbf{1 . 7 6 5}$, and at Philadelphia in 1774. By the firt we are told, " that"his Majefty's fubjects in thefe colonies ara " centitled to all the inberens-rigbts and liberties of " his natural born fubjeets within the kingdom "of Great-Britain." And in the fecond, "That 4 our anceftors, who fint fetted thefe colonies, " were, at the time of their emigration from the " mother-country, entiticd to all tbe rigbts, liber" ties and immunities of free and natural born "fubjects within the realm of England." And * that by fucb emigration they by ho means for"feited, furrendered, or loftany of thofe rights." Thus evidently deducing their title to their right,
from the relation they bore, as members of the mother ftate. Confcious that they could not deduce them from any other fource but the Englifh government, as they no where elfe exift, they claim them under 'ts' title and authority. But can the wifeft among them inform us, by what law, or upor what principle, they claim rights under the Britihh government, and yet deny the obligation of thote duties which fubjects of that governmient owe to it? The rights and duties of the members of all focieties are reciprocal. The one is the continuing confideration for the other. Either of them being deftroyed, without the confent of the fubjects to which both of them adhere, the other ceafes. - Therefore, fhould a fate arbitrarily deprive its members of their juftrights, and refule to reftore them, after it has been repeatedly, and refpectfully required $f$ Q to do, then their duties and obedience to the ftate ceafe, but not before: It being the defign of every fociety, when formed, that its exiftence flould be permanent, not of a temporary duration.

Here we may perceive fome of thofe many inconfiftencies and abfurdities in which the advocates of America have weakly involved her caufe. We fee them calling the fubjects in America, "fubjects of his Majeffy", in his political capacity, and as reprefentative of the Britifh ftate, bound in duty to execute its laws, in every purt of its dominions; and in the fáme breath denying obedience to thofe laws. We fee them claiming "all the inherent rights and liberties "Of natural born fubjects" of the ftate, and denying the furce of thofe duties, which are fo infeparably united with thofe "rights and liber" ties.".
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"ties." We hear them declare that they hare not "forfeited, furrendered, or lof", the rights "they enjoyed at the time of their emigration;" and yet they will not comply with the duties upon the ferformance of which thofe rights depend. Thus it feems the American lubjects have neither " forfeited, furrendered, nor loft," but ftill retain the rights they derive from the government of Great-Britain; but the government has either forfeited, furrendered, or loft its rights over Them. Indeed they have not told us how, or by what means, this forfeiture, furrender, or lofs of rights in the Britifh ftate, has happened: This, I believe, was a talk impoffbie; and therefore carefully avoided. But what Thall we think of the fagacity and forefight of thefe able politicians, when we find that the right claimed by parliament, and which they deny, may be eftablifhed with equal reaton and folidity, upon the fame principles and deductions, on which they have refted the claims of America ? May not the advocates for the parliamentary authority affert, "That at the time of the "emigration of our anceftors," the leginative power had a conftitutional authority over shem, and every other member of the ftate, that by fuch emigration, which was an act of their own, as well as of the flate, it neither " forfeited, fur" rendered, nor loft" that authority? And would not fuch a declaration be in reafon, truth, and on the prineiples of the Englifh conftitution, as spell founded, as that upon which the defenders of American rights have endeavoured to eftablifh them ?
But it may be faid that America is fettled by
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others, befides Britifh fubjects. Are They alfo members of the ftate, and fubject to its authority ? They moft certainly are. They have by their own act become fubjects, and owe obedience to its laws, as fully as any other members, as I have before fhewn. But to confirm what I have already advanced upon this head, I thall add the opinion of Mr. Locke, becaufe it has been often heretofore relied on by the American advocates, as worthy of credit. His words are, -"Whoever by inberitance, purcbafe, permifion, " or otberwife, enjoys any part of the land annex"ed to, and under the government of a com" mon wealth, mult take it with the condition " it is under, that is of "uubmitting to the go" vernment of the common wealth, under whofe " jurifdiction it is, as far as any fubject of it.". If the preceding principles and arguments be well founded, as they appear to be, from the ufage, practice and policy of all focieties; it follows, that whatever Britifh fubject, or foreigner, has, either under the fanction of the American charters, or otherwife, become an occupant of the Englifh territories in the colonies, he is truly a member of the Britifh ftate, and fubject to the laws of its fupreme authority.

I have thus far drawn my arguments chiefly from the policy of governtient in general, and of the Englifh conftitution in particular ; and, $\mathbf{I}$ hope, with fufficient evidence, to prove the juftnefs and truth of them : But as I mean fully to inveftigate, with the frieteft candour, the rights of both the parties, and place them in their true light; it is of importance to confider whether their conduct, for upwards of a century, affords
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evidence of a denial, or confirmation of the principles I have maintained. And here we fhall find, that the prerogatives of this fupreme reprefentative of the itate, ever fince the firt fettlement of the colonies, have been uniformly exercifed, and fubmitted to, in all the colonies. All their political Executive powers have been derived from, and all their governinents eftablifhed by, it. It is in this reprefentative capacity that the King has granted all the chai rs, appointed the governors, cuftom-houfe officers, \&c. and granted autnority to the governors to commiffionate the inferior officers of juftice, as well judicial as minifterial. From this fource only all his legal powers, in relipect to the colonies, can be drawn; there being no other capacity vefted in him, from whence he could derive them. So that every officer in America, appointed by him, or under his authority, is truly the inferior and fubordinate delegate of the King, Lords and Commons; receiving his authority from the fupreme executive reprefentative of the Britifh ftate; all their powers being originally derived from, and limited by, its conititution and laws.

Upon the fame principle, the fupreme legiflature has; upon many occafions, and at a variety of times, held forth and exercifed its authority over the colonies; and they have yielded obedience to all the Britifh ftatutes, in which they have been named; as well thofe impofing taxes on them, as thofe for regulating their internal police. The learned judges in England, and the judges and other officers concerned in the adminiftration of juftice in America, in conformity to this idea, of their being the inferior de-

## ( 17 )

1-gates of the Britifh ftate, and of its authority over the colonies, have ever made thofe laws of parliament, where by words they have been extended to them; the teft of their decifions, in all American difputes, without doubt or hefitation, until the year 1765 ; when our unhappy controverfy commeniced.

All the officers of government; every member of affembly; every foreigner before his naturalization, had always taken the oaths of allegiance, under the directions of the ftatutes, that have been made for that purpofe. The words of the oath are the fame with that adminiftred to the fubject in Britain, on the like occafions, and confequently muft be of the fame import, and carry with them the fame obligations in every refpect. Both in Britain arrd America the oaths are taken to the King, not in his private; but politic ca-pacity ; they are taken to him as reprefentative, of the whole ftate, whofe duty it is to fuperintend the adminiftration of juftice, and to fee that a faithful obedience is paid to the laws. Thefe öaths arë no more than renewals of the original covenant, upon which all governments are formed : For in the conftitution of all focieties two covenants are effential; one on the part of the ftate, that it will ever confult and promote the public good and fafety; and the other on the part of the fubject, that he will bear fidelity and true allegiance to the fovereign, or fupreme authority. "This lait covenant;" fays the judicious Burlamaqui, "includes a fubmiffion of the firengtb " and will of each individual to the will and "bead of the fociety, as far as the public good © requires ; and thus it is that a regular fate, c ${ }^{6}$ and

## ( 18 )

"and perfect government is formed.". And the words of Mr. Locke are equally appofite, "The " oaths of allegiance are taken to the King, it is " not to him as fupreme, legillator, bus as $\int$ J. " preme executor of the law, made by a joint "- power of bim and otbers; allegiance being no" thing but obedience according to law, which, " when he violates; he has no right to abedience, " nor can claim it otherwife than in his public "perfon, vefted with the power of tbe lard". And in another place he fays, "That all obedience " which by the moft folemn ties any one can be "obliged to pay, ultimately terminates in the fu"preme power of the legilature, and is directed "by thofe laws which it enacts." This being the nature of the oath of allegiance, and of the obligations it enforces, no man of any underftanding will call for further proof, That all the officers of government in America, who have taken thefe oaths, and thofe who have fubmitted to their adminiftration, while they were executing the Britiff ftatutes, confidered themfelves as fubjects of the fate, owing obedience to its legiflative authority.
${ }^{5}$ In every government, protection and allegiance, or obedience, are reciprocal duties. They are fo infeparably united that one cannot exift without the other. Protection from the fate demands, and entitles it to receive, obedience and fubmifion to its laws from the fubject: And obedience to the will of the ftate, communicated in its laws, entitles the fubject to its protection. A juft fenfe of this truth has governed the conduct of the ftate towards the colonies, and that of the colonies towards the ftate, ever fince their fettlement.
fettlement. The colonifts have not only fettled upon the lands of the ftate, under its licence and authority, granted by its reprefentative; but they have been foftered, nourifhed and fheltered under its wings, and protected by its wealth and power. And as they have ever yielded obedience to its laws, they have, whenever in danger, called for its "protection; and $n$ the laft war were faved from all the mifery alid navery, which popih fuperftition and tyranny could inflict, when their inability to fave themfelves was univerfally known and acknowledged.

Seeing then that the colonies have, ever fince their exiftence, confidered themfelves, and acted as perfeet members of the Britifh ftate, obedient to its laws, untill the year 1765 : There muft, one would imagine, be fomething lately difcovered, which has convinced them of their miftake, and that they have a right to caft off their allegiance to the Britifh government. We can look for this in no place to properly, as in the late declaration of American rights. Here we find theylart drawn from "the immutable laws of " nature, the principles of the Englifa confti"tution, and their Several charters, or comr " pacts." Should we fail in difcovering it here, we may fafely determine it is not any where to be found. We fhall not find it in "the laws of " nature", the principles upon which thufe laws are founded, are reafon and immutable juifice, which require a rigid performance of every lawful contract; -to fuppofe therefore, shat a right can thence be derived to violate the molt folemn and facred of all covenants; thofe upon which the exiftence of focieties, and the wetc 2
fare of millions depend; is, in the higheft degree, abfurd. And, I believe, we naall be equally unfuccefsful in fearching for it in the principles of he Englih conftitution , becaufe that conftitution is formed to bind all the membe:, of the ftate together, and to compel an obedience to its laws. - We muit therefore find it in the American charters or compacts, or no where. And after we have looked there, we can difcover no exemption, or difcharge from the authority of parliament in any of them, fave one, and there it is only partial; while orher parts of the fame chaprer declare' the contrary, and exprefsly retain the fubmiffion of the fubject to the Britifh laws. But fuppofe there had been fuch an exemption in all of them; as clear as words could exprefs it, it is a queftion which demands a folution, whether the King had a right, by the conftitution; to grant it.

The original intent of the prerogative, under which the inhabitants of particular diftricts of territory have been: incorldpporated iato bodies politic, was to enable the reprefentative of the fate, to form inferior coinmunities, with municipal rights and privileges. This was neceffary to enable the executive pawer to carry into execution the operations of government with regularity and order. And in fome inftances it has been beneficial in promating the trade, arts, and particular purfuits in bufinefs of fuch diftricts.This prerogative is very:antient, and well defined by ufage and prefcription. London held peculiar privileges long before the conqueft. William the conqueror granted to that city two charters foon after. A great number of inferion focieties
focieties have been fince incorporated, by fucceeding Kings, upon thefe principles; all of them under the great feill, and by the fame authority under which the American charters were granted. Having fo many precedents before us, we cannot be at a lofs to afcertain the exient of this prerogative. The exercife of it for fo many centuries will give fatisfaction to every candid enquirer. Making this the teft of decifion, we thall find that no King has ever prefumed to grant more than merely municipal powers and privileges, always leaving the fubjects and the territory incorporated, under the fupreme legiflative authority. There being no traces of a tarther extent of this prerogative, in the conduct of all the Britifh Kings; the contlufion is, that no fuch power does, or ever did exif. Befides, this prerogative, like all others, is vefted in the King, in truft, to promote, not to injure, the public good. And therefore, to affert that he may, under it, difcharge thefe incorporated focieties from their obedience to the fupreme power, is to contend that, by virtue of the power which he holds in truft to ftrengthen, he may weaken,and intead of maintaining and defending, he may deftroy, the common wealch; which involves the moot palpable contradiction.

Sufficient has been faid to convince us, that the Kings of Eingland can have no authority to difclarge inferiur bodies politic, from parliamentary authority. But as upon a fatisfactory decifion of the queftion, the claim of independency muft ftand or fall, 1 fhall farther corroborate what I have faid, with the moft refpectable authorities. The learned Pufendorf tells $\mu \mathrm{s}$, " With
"With regard to aill lawfal bodies, it is to be obferved, that whatever right they poffers, or whatever power they hold over their members,
" is all under the determination of the fupreme au"thority, which it ought on no account to oppofe, "or over ballance. For otherways, if there could " be a body of mien, not fubject to the regula"t tion of the civil government, there would be a "fate witbin" a fate.-If we look on there bo"dies, or fyftems, in a ftate already fettled, we " are then to corficis what was the intcut of the " fupreme Governor, in founding, or confirming, tuch a company. For if he harh given, or afcertained to them, in exprefs words, $a n a b$ "folute and indepenaicnt right, with regard to "Jome particular affairs which concern the pub-
" Pick adminitration; then he hath plainly ABDI"CATED part of his cuthority, and by admitting " ivio beads in the conftitution, hath rencered it "irregular and monfrous." Mr. ºcke fays, "That the legiflative authority muft needs be fu" preine; and all otber powers, in any members, "c or parts of fociety, derived from, and fubordi" naie to it." And fpeaking of the King's exceeding his public truft, he affirms, "That when " he quits his reprefentative capacity, his public " weill, and acts by his own private weill, be de"grades hinfelf, and is but a fingle, private per"fon, witbout power, witbout will, that bas any "rigbt to obedience." And the lame author would not fcruple to declare, upon the principles he eftablifhes in the latter part of his treatife: That a King who fhould have granted, in the American charters, a licerice to the fubjects of the flate to emigrate, with a difcharge from their obedience
to the legiflative authority, and fhould open fuch a door to a defertion of the principal territory, and diffolution of its government, would thereby forfeit his crown ; and to preyent the mifchief of fuch grant, the people might refume their original authority, if the mifchief could not otnerwife be prevented.
I have faid before, whenever a ftate refufes to give protection to its fubjects, and maintain their rights, their duty ceases. It may with equal truth be affirmed, that whenever fubjects fhall refure to perform thofe duties, and yield that obedience which they are bound to perforin and yield by the conftitution, or ariginal compact of lociety, they forfeit not only their right to the protection of the ftate, bat every other right or claim under it; and the government may either punifh them agrecably to its laws, or ceafe its protection over them, and annul the rights and privileges they derive from it. There is no truth more evident than that where a mutual covenant fubfits, including a confideration perpetually to be performed on both fides, uipon which the validity of the covenant refts, if either pasty refute the performance on his part, the other is dificharged of courfe, and the party refufing lofes his right and clain to the performance of the other. If this affertion be juft, and that it is we ihall find, whether we apply to the laws of nature, or civil frcieties,-into what a odifyracefll predicament are the Americans thrown by a denial of obedience to the authority of parliament, which is one of the mofteffential duties! That they have not, as the congrefs affirms, forfeited, furrendered, or loft their rights, by their emigration,
emigration, is true:-But that this wife body of men have uled their beft endeavours, and purfued the moft effectual meafures to forfeit them, is equally true. Let us fuppofe that the late congrefs had been a regular and legal reprefentative of all America, vefted with authority, by the confent of the colonifts, to deny and withdraw their obedience to the laws of the Britiff fate, as théy have endeavoured to do; would not Great-Britain be juftifiable in declaring, by an act of ftate, that all the rights and privileges which the colonifts derive under her, are forfeited? Shall the Americats have a right to withdraw from the ftate the performance of their duties, and the ftate be bound to continue them in the enjoyment of all their rights? Every principle of government and comnoón fenfe demes it.

Thus, in whatever hight we view the fubject; whether we reafon from the principles and policy thon which all governments ate eftablifhed, or thofe of the Engalh conftitution in particular;the right of property in the territory, - the authorify under which the colonifts have been fettled; -the perfons by whom fettled,- -their rights under the feveral cltartèrs and compaets, - their conduct ever fince their fettlement, down to the year 1765 , or from the conduct of the fate down to this day ; we find that they are members of the Britifh ftate, and owe obedience to its legiflative authority

That America has been wandering in a wrong' path, bewildered annong the erroneous principles upon which her advocates have attempted in vain to fupport her rights; is apparei from all her conduct; fhe began by denying the autho-
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wity de parliament, to lay internal taxes 1 but finding that ground not tenable, The next denied its power to layeither incernal or external taxes And as Jength has declared, that it can neither layinerinal nor external taxes, nor regulate the inerpat police of the colonies. And yet fach tios been the implicit confidence, fuch the infatuation of the unthinking and deluded pea ple, that they Kawe believed at the time, that all thof principles were fo many folid pillars-and fapports of their rights, and oruths as facred as abofo in Woty writ.

It would not be unreafonable to think, that the argaiments before offered, to expofe the preRent unhappy neafures of the colonies, would be fufficient for that purpofe. But however that may be, ts T am convinced they lead to the ruin of my coantry, I think it my duty to take a more parcicular view of then. The claims made by the laft congrefs, and upon which, it fems, all America now refts, are, "That the colonies * are entilled to a free and excluffe rigbt, or powe * er of legination $\times$ where their right of reprefen- 2av-e ul * tation can alone be preferye in on बfos of /hevaiciact ctaxalton and internol polity, fibjett onity to tbe ne-Eçivíale ins * gative of itheir Sovercige, in facb manner as bas - Ses berepfore ufed and ascuftoned. No vords. can convey a more perfed claim of indepercency, on the Britth leghacure than thafe I have jutt tranferibed. Betaufe there is no act within the power of any legisatare to pas, binding on any member of the fate, but what mut, in cither the regulation or execution of it affeet the internal police. States may make laws for the go vernment of their fubjects, while in foreigh count
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doubt, to receive the fanction of this wife ands learned body, before they are valid, "bona fide $\omega$ reftrained, to the regulation of of our exter"nal commerce, for the purpore of fecuring the "commmercial advantages of the wbole, (i.e. © of our commerce) to the mother country, and "c the commencial benefis of its refpezion mem4. Wers. Here is more art and fineffe, than am honert mind would wifh to find in the conduct of any men, much lafs in thofe of character. It is eafy to perceive from the import of thefe words, that thould the Britifh parfiament be: obliged to accept of their conciffions, they'concede. thothing. They have taken efpecial care, thate what they have confented to in one breath Thould be blafted by the next. For there is no law of thade; that know of, nor can fuch a law be formed, as fhall fecure tbe commercial advantages of all the external American commerce to the mother country, which is a part of the realm diftina ferrin the colonies, and yet \& fecure to "the celonios," os members, their commercial benefie. It would ho have boen any great deviation from the public duty of thefe gentiemen, had they death lefs in mytteries, and explaineé what laws they were, which anfwered thofe excellent purpofes. Surely they could not mean thefef tatuten, which enumerate American comAdditie, and compet us to land them in Brio tain, tefore they can be exported to forcign marHeme nor tho which oblige us to purchate thein manufacures, and forbid us to get them from nthei eountries. - Thefe are fo far from "tevir4 Inge that they greatly diminiff the capmers. Chbencite of the colonies; and I know of no. athat
echer mate: "fecure"; the advantagee of our commerce "so the mother country:"-But fuppofe there are fuch lawn, who ars to point them out in she volumes of the ftatutes? Whe is, to fy whether a law anfwers thisdefcription IJs the legiflature of Great-Britain to do this? No. Who than?- Why, the affemblies.- Bue the affemblies are difunited, and may differ as chey bevedone, even in matters, which concerned their eflential fafety and where is no contitutional union, declaring the voiees of a majarity, binding on all. - Why then, fince it can be no otherways, the point muit be determined by an illegal, motley congrefe, Fome fex of them to be. appointed by the aftemblies, if they can be fo Jott to their own, and the true intereft of their conftituents, as ever to appoint another, and the reft by a twentieth part of the people, the moft. ignorams and violent to be found among them. A bleffed American conftitution

But fhould there be any fuch laves as the congrefs have defrribed, it feems, they are to be ftill further limited, and to 4 exclude every idea of "inernal and external taxation, for raifing a "revenue in Americe." All the lave of trade, from whence the leat aid arifes to the crown for the protection of its dominions, are invalid, for want of this "conient," and to be abolingeds Bur thofe which amount to an abfolute ptohibis tion are agreed to. The ftatute impofing a fmall duty on foreign fugars and moliefoe, on their impartation, and thereby enabling the colonitts to. Cttablifi new manufactures, and open new Gources of fareige trade, fhall noe be obeyed; Wue had the parliament joitead thereof paffed an
\#he totanyprohibiting this part of Jote forefon
 it wotha have thee with the apptobation ind conGent 8 g thefe gredt and wife men, at leade as'so 2trger gherationstin is it not ffatge that when They were' abour to bring forth this rullous frinciple, the could hor petceive, that eve greater vower nedudes every mithor, relative powef and tha: the power to protibit a parectar trade

 - 30 o concluate my pehmath upon this famots American bill of rights this pillar of Anefacan Fibetteds It Yeems iniplicity agteet that "wath the cenfem of Autrerica, both bitemal and extrialtaxes may be faid by parfiment: But they have not informed us in what conft2tutional, or legal mode, this confint fs fo be obtained, or glyen They mut have known, if they knew any thing, that there was tone and yet fo far were they from meanitg to porpofe aty, that trey ofdert in direct vofation of Qheir own rules, the only conflitafionat plan which was offered for trat purpote to be refcing$2 d$ from their minutes, after it had been deBated, and refered to further confiàteration ice lét Ote good people of Amerte hoyld fee and apDove of redeniving tfet flem ber who prop-- ed it, of thar fecutity, agaifft milreprefent ation, Which he was in juftice entited to, an a contiofy qu their faty to the donies-Such ate fer poceedifigs bfthe mern 3ntrited with the facerd wights ane liberties of America : Such the difTpointicent of thei confiruents They hought nat all wifdom; juftice and polfey were
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maxiy yliem, but your inevitable nihimurwat Give fuenounded you wish mifery on all fideihave wint their umbet endemours, so wife the hionitid refermment of one of the mof "pewerfual fatas upon eurth againft yous when nobihing but her affetionh lensity and morcy towards yous, can prevenc her from reducing yoos, in a mort times, io the deplarable condition of a iconquered peo. ple: But if fhe mould be bo blind to your and hot own intered, this to give you indopemdency, which is the great xain of their conduct, they have pre. pared the rods and fcouryes of their own tyranny to fubduc your : Ppirite, and wriumph ovet yourlimvaluable rights and liberties. Unider this tyranny, ediets have been made and publiant edt and fo facred are they to be held, that nowe iis to prefume to medhe with, or decermine any plifpute arifing on them, bur the accatures of this illegal power. The feveref of all penalties are ordained for a difobedientes to them trakes have been impoled on your propeck, and that property wabbitrarily zaleen from you; the liberty of the prefs, and even the liberty of fpeecti is deftroged. The unihinking, ighorant mutritude, in the caft and weft, are arming ugaint the mother:fatet, and the suuthority' of govern ment, is filenced by the din of was:-What think yoa, O my coumtrymen, whiat thirmk you will be your conditiom when you fhall fee the deifigns of thefe men icarried alitite fathtier- into sececurionn'- Companies of atined, but undifei plined meti, theaded by men unipribcipled, tram velling over pour eftates, entering your houresyour caftlos inind facred repofitoties offafety for ill you hadd dear and valuable-meizing ywair
property, and carrying iavock and devaftation wherever they head-ravihing your wives and daughters, and afterwards plunging the dagger, into their tender bofoms, while you are oblige to ftand the fpeechlefs, the helplefs fpectators. Tell me, oh! tell me-whether your hearts are fo obdurate as to be prepared for fuch fhocking fcenes of confufion and death. And yet, believe me, this is a real and not an exaggerated picture of that diffrefs, into which the fchemes of thofe men, who have affumed the characters of your guardians, and dare to ftile themfelres his Majejty's moft loyal Jubjectis, will inevitably plunge you, unlefs you oppofe them with all the fortitude which rearon and virtue can infpire.

I have thus thought it my duty, in a cafe of fuch infinite importance to my country, to give tlie full weight to the arguments in favour of the right of parliament, and againt thofe rafh and violent meafures which are haftening the ruin of America. I do not know, that I have exaggerated any. I mean, with the moft benevolent attachment to her true intereft, to lay the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before my country, that fhe may impartially confider it, and give it that weight which reafon and her own prefervation fhall dictate; - but hitherto 1 have only performed a pars of my engagement. The rights of America remain to be confidered and eftablifhed. A tak which the undertaker muft perform with ineffable plealure, as he is pleading a caufe founded on the immutable principles of reafon and juftice- the caufe of his country, and the lateft pofterity. He is endeavouring to reftore an union between two
great countries, whofe intereft and welfare ase infeparable; and to recover thofe rights upon the enjoyment whereof the happinefs of millions depends

That Ameriea has rights, and mort important rights, which The does not at prefent enjoy, I know; and that they are as Grmly eltablifhed, as thofe of the parliament, may 'be eafily proved; but what thofe rights are-whence derivedhow the exercife of them has been loft-and What is the only poffible and fafe mode of recovering them, are queftions, 2 candid folution of which will throw full light upon this unhappy controverly.

After what has been faid refpeeting the rights of parliament, and the duties of the Britifh ftate, it cannot be difficult to determine from whence the rights of Armerica are derived. They can be traced to no other fountain, but that wherein they were originally eftablifted. This was in the conftitution of the Britifh flate. Protection fromall manner of unjuf violence, is the great objeet which men have in view, when they furrender up their natural rights, and enter into fociety. I have faid before that the right to this protection, and the duties of allegiance were recipro. cal. By procection 1 do not mean I rorcetion from foreign powers only; but allo againt the private injuatice of individuals, the arbitrary and lawlefs' power of the ftate, and of every fubordinate authority. Such being the right, unlefs the government be fo formed, as to afford the fribject a fecurity in the enjoyment of it, the right iteflif would be of little eftimation. The tenure would be precatious, and its exitence of a flore duration.
duration. In proportion to the ftability of this Security, all governments are more or lefs free, and the fubject happy under them. Much therefore depends on the particular form, or confttution of the fociety. In a monarchy, where the fupreme power is lodged in a fingle perfon, without any check or controul, the tenure is precarious; becaufe it depends on the diferetion and integrity of the Monarch. But in a free government of the inixt form, where the people have a right io a hare, and compofe a part of the fuprene au thority, its foundation will be folid, add its cono tinuance permanent; becaufe the people them. Selves, who are interefted in its prefervation, pai: cike of the power which is neceffary to defend it:

There is no lociety in the world where this right of protesion is fettled with 50 much wifdom and policy, as in the Englifh contitution. The experience of ages affords numerous intances of its being invaded and impaired, but in a giort time reftored by its own energeric power. It is this part of the Englifi zovernment ypon which authors dwell with rapture, is is conoticutes ith whole excellence, and forms iss fredom.

Power naturally ariing from property, it is evident from a view of the Britifh conftutuon? in all its different ftages, that the Englifh government derives iss power from the landed intereft; that being the mof permanent and unchangeable in its nature, of all kinds of property, and therefore mof worthy of protection. And although we cannot trace this truth up to. its origin, the neceflary antient records boing buried in the ruins of the monafteries, either before or after the conqueft, yet the fae is fuff-
ciently evident from very ancient hiftories and 'docurnents, as well as from the plan of government, ufed in England from tine immemorial All hiitorians agree that the prefent form of government was fertled in Britain, by our ancéftors. the Anglo-faxons; and fo far as we have any Knowledge of their government, in their own country, we know that the proprietors of the Land gave their perfonal attendance in the legijLative council, and Bared the power of making ibcir laws. After their conquet of Britaih, all tbofe To whom the land was a porcioned, held a right to amit in the Saxon parliaments. And by the fectidal law every la.dbolder, mee in the feudal courts, and gave his affent, or differt, to the laws there propofed.

Sucli continued to be the form of the Britifh government vnil the diffolution of the heptarchy, and union of the feven kingdoms, when, we thould not have thought it ftrange, had this principle been deftroyed, or gratly impaired, in the convulfions which effecter fo great a revoIution. But on the contrary, although the numbers of people, and their remotenefs from the place of convention, were greatly encreafed by the union which rendered a perfonal exercife of The leginative power impracticable; yer in order to preferve in the government, this important priaciple, upon which all their rights and freedom depended, they adopted the policy of vefting the landed intereft in each tything and borough, wh right to fend reprefentatives to their Wittena-Genot, or Parliamstit.

Nor could the rage of congueft, and all the power of arms, abolih this firt principle of Eng-
lin liberty and fafety. William the firf, at the time he conquered Britain, found it confiftent with his intereft and fecurity, to preferve it inviolate: And when he thought if neceflary to leffen the exorbitant power of the Saxon Earls, which endangered his fafety, by difmembering the baronies from the counties, the Barons were vered with a right to reprefent their baronies, in the great national council. This was all the change which that great man ventured to make in the conttitution; a change which made no ef. fential difference, as to its freedom. For as every foot of land was before the conquet within foun tything, fo under this alteration every part of it was included, in fome barony or borough, and all of them were reprefented in the leginative power, by the Barons, or Burgiefes.

Upon shis folid foundation cortinued the fredom of the Englifh government, during the reigns of William Rufus, and Henry the firft. In the civil war between Stephen and Maud and Henry the fecond, each pariy finding the power and influence of the Barons over their vaffals to. great, divided the conquered baronics into (maller tenancies in cbief, and rewarded their friends with them. By this meafure, and the like policy, which was afterwards puifued by King Jolit, tenants in capite, or the lefer Barons, were fo multiplied, that a very unequal reprefentation of the landed intereft arofe. They held an equal Thare in the legiflature, with the greater Barons; and being more numerous, and their intereft in many retpects different, they over-ruted, and often deprived the greater mability of their tights. This grievance grew intolerable; and therefore, when
when King John found himelf obliged to do juftice to the nation, and reftore the antient prin:iple of the conftitution, two feveral claufes were inferred in Magna Charta: By the firft "the Archbifiops, Abbots, Earls and great Bato rons of the realm" were to be "lummoned s Jingly, by the King's writs; and by the fesond, "an others who held in chief," viz. the leffer Barons, or tenants in capite, were to be fyumoned in general." "By this claufe th= lefier batons were feparated from the greater, and loft the $r$ hereditary right of reprefenting their lands fingly, or in perfon; but being fungmoned to parliament "in general," they held the right of eleting fome of their body to reprefent them in the houfe of commons; and of participating the fupreme legifative authority by their delegates, who were thence forward ttiled Knights of the Ihire.

Thus this right to protection from the ftate? ftood fecured in every alteration of the contitution, by preferying to the landholders a thare in the authority of the fupreme head, who were to regulate that protection, and every other matter furceptible of auman direction, until the reign of Henry VI. when our anceftors, conceiving that it could not be rendered too ficure, nor founded on a bafe too broad, they obiained, by act of parliament, a right in every frecholder of forty fhillings per annum, to vote for knights of the fire.

In confirmation of this right, I hall only add that King John, in the great charter I have before mentioned, granted for the reftoration of the rights of the fubjef, engages " not to in" pofe
" pofe any taxes without fummoning the arch" bifhops, the bifliops, the abbots, the earls" " the greater barons, and the tenants in capite." And by the $17^{\text {th }}$ of Edward III. another Charter, granted on the like occafion, it is exprefsly declared, that "wbatever concerns the effate of tbe "realn, and tbe people, fhall be treated of in par" liament by the King, with the confent of the "prelates, earls, barons, and commonalty of the " realin."

It would be endlefs to trace this truth through all the pages of the hiftory of the Englifh governiment. I have offered proofs fufficient to demonftrate that the Lords and Commons, who hold fo large a fhare of the legin sive authority, derive'their rigbt from, and reprefint tbe lands within the realm. I fhall therefore only add, before I leave this point, That this power of legination in the people, derived from the flare they held in the lands, was originally, and yet is, of the ef fence of the Englifh government; and ever was, and fill continues to be, the only check upon the encroachments of power, the great fecurity againt opprefion, and the main fupport of the freedon and liberty of the Englifh fubjects. And in excellence confifts in affording, to every part ahk of the realm, an opportunity of reprefentng , by their delegates, at all times, their true circumftances, their wants, their necefities, and their danger, to the fupreme authority of the nasion, without a knowledge of which it is imporfible to form juft or adequate laws; and when reprefented, to confuil, advife and decide upon fuch provifions, as are propofed tor their relief, ar fafery; giving their negative to fuch as are mifchievots
mifchieyous or improper, and their affent to thofe which remove the mifchief, or afford a reniedy. Here we have a perfect idea of civil li-: berty, and free governmen, fuch as is enjoyed by the fubject in Great-Britain.
But what are the circuniftances of the American Britifh fubjects? Is there a part or fpot of the kinds in America, or are the owners or proprictors thereof in rigbt of fucb lands, reprefented in the Britifli parliament; or do they in any other manner partake of the fupreme power of the ftate? In this fituation of the colonies, is not the Britifh government as abfolute and defpotic over thein, as any Monat whatever, who fingly holds the leginative am.. y? Are hot the perfons, lives and eftates of the fubjects in America at the difpofal of an abfolute power, without the leaft fecurity for the enjoyment of their rights? Moft certain it is, that this is a fituation which people accuftomed to liberty cannot fit eafy under.

From the preceeding remarks it partly appears in what manner the American fubjects have lof the enjoyment of, this ineftimable right, though not the right itfelf, viz. by their emigration to a part of the teritory of the ftate, for which the conftitution had not provided a reprefentation. America not being known or thought of when the conftitution was formed, no fuch provifion was then made. But the right to a fiare in the fupreme authority was confined to the territory at that time, intended to be governed by it. And at the time our anceftors left the mother country, it feems none was eftablifhed. How this happened is not material to my fub-
ject-they cane over, perhaps, without thinking of the importance of the right;-or their poverty, which rendered the obtaining of it in any form impracticable, prevented their claim: of it. However, it is certain that it was paffed over in filence; as well by the ftate, as the people who emigrated; but has been neither forfeited; furrendered, nor loft. And therefore it ought to be reftored to them, in fuch manner as their cir-: cumftances will admit of, whenever it fhall be decently and refpectfully alked for. Juftice to the Amer: cans, and found policy, in refpect to both countries, manifeftly require it.

The emigrants enjoyed in Britain the perfect: rights of Englifh fubjects. They left their native country with the confent of the ftate, to encreafe her commerce, to add to her wealth, and extend her dominions: All this they have eff fected with inninite labour and expence, and through innumerable difficulties and dangers: In the infant-ftate of their fucieties, they were incapable of exercifing this right of participating the legiflative authority in any mode. The power of parliament was juftifiable from neceffity at that time over them; they ftood in as much need of its protection, as children in an infant-ftate require the aid and protection of a parent, to fave them from a foreign enemy, as well as from thofe injuries which might arife fromi their own indiferetions. But now they are arrived at a degree of opulence, and citcumitances fo refpectable, as not only to be capable of ent joying this right, but from neceffity, and for the fecurity of both countries to require it.

The fubjects of a free ftate, in every part of Its dominions ought, in good policy, to enjoy the fame fundamental rights and privileges. Eyery diftinction between them muft be offenfive and odious, and cannot fail to create uneafinefs and jealoufies, which will ever weaken the government, and frequently terminate in infurrections; which, in every lociety, ought to be particularly guarded againtt. If the Britifh fate therefore means to retain the colonies in a due obedience on her government, it will be wifdom in her to reftore to her American fubjects, the enjoyment of the right of affenting to, and diffenting from; fuech bills as fhall be propofed to regulare their conduct. Laws thus made will ever be obeyed; becaufe by their affent, they become their own acts. - It will place them in the fame condition with their brethren in Britain, and remove all caufe of complaint: $r$, if they fhould conceive any regulations i. onvenient, or anjuft, they will petition, not rebel. Without this ir is eafy to perceive that the union and harmony, which is peculiarly effential to a free fociety, whofe members are refident in regions fo very remote from each other, cannot long fubfint.

The genius, temper, and circumftances of the Americans hould be alfo duly attended to. No people in the world have higher notions of liberty. It would be impofible ever to cradicate them ! Mould an attempt fo unjuft be ever made: Their late fpirit and conduct fully prove this affertion, and will ferve as a clue to that policy by which they ought to be governed. The diftance of America from Britain, her vaft extent of ter-
ritory, her numerous ports and conveniencies of commerce, her various productions, her increafing numbers, and confequently her growing ftrength and power, when duly confidered-all point out the policy of uniting the two countries together, upon principles of Englifh liberty. Should this be omitted, the colonies will infallibly throw off their connexion with the mother country. - Their diftance will encourage the attempt, their difcontent will give them lipirit, and their numbers wealth and power, at fome future day, will enable them to effect it.

If it be the intereft of the mother country, to be united with the colonies, it is ftill more Thair intereft that the union fhould take place. Their future fafety and happinefs depend on it. A little attention to their circuniftances will prove it. Each colony, in the prefent conftitution, is capable, by its own internal legiflature, to regulate its own internal police, within its particular circle of territory. But here it is confined; thus far, and no farther, can its authority extend,one cannot travel into the bounds of the other, and there make, or execute, its regulations. They are, therefore, in refpect to each other, fo many perfect and independent focieties; deftitu te of any political connection, or fupreme suthority, to compel them to act in concert for the common fafety. They are different in their forms of government, - productions of foil, and views of commerce.- They have different religions, tempers, and private interefts.- They, of courfe, entertain high prejudices againft, and jealoufies of, each other; all which muft from the nature and reafon of things always confpire to create
fuch a diverfity of interefts, inclinations, judgenents, and conduct, that it will ever be impors fible for them to unite in any general meafure whatever, either to avoid any general mifchief, or-to promou any general good. A retrofpect to the irndest of the colonies, during the laft $u$, wi fhew that this affertion is founded in fatal experience." It was owing to this difunited ftate of the colonies," and their conducting their policy upon thefe principles, that a fmall number of French fubjects in Canada, acting on the reverfe, were enabled to concert their plans with fach fuperior wifdom, and to exert fuch a fuperior degree of frength,' as to endanger the fafety of all North America, which contained upwards of two millions of people, and obliged them to implore the affiftance' of the Britih government. In the application to the mother country for protection, this is fully acknowledged. "It now "evidently appears,", fay the council and affembly of the Maflachufets Bay, "Tliat the French are advanced in the execu"tion of a plan projected more than ffity years " fince, for extending their pofitfions from the " mouth " of the Miffifippi on the "fouth, to "Hudfop's Bay on the north, for fecuring the "yaf Body of Indians in that inland country, "anal for fubjecting this wulocie continent to the "croom of France." And from what cate did is happen that the Englifh colonies, poffeffed of an hundred fold more wealth, and twenty times the number of people, could not oppole, with fuccefs, the force and fchemes of a few? The fame

[^0]fame gentlemen tell us, The French have but pne intereft, and keep but one point in view: The Inglifs governments bave different iuterefts, are dijunited: Jome of them bave their frontiers covered ly their neigbbours; and not being immediately affected feem unconcerned." The commiffioners from the leveral colonies at Albany, affign the fame caufs. " The ccionies," they inform the crown, being " in a divided, difinnited fate, there has never * been any joint exertion of tbeir firce, or councils, " to repel or defeat the meafures of the French;" and " partirular colonics are unable and unwil" ling to maintain the caule of the whole:" "That it feems abjolutcly neceffiry, that fieedy "6 and effectual meafures be taken to fecure the "colonies from the flavery they are threnienied with:" The prediction contained in thefe declarations turned out itrictly true. As it was mort juft that the colonies fhould contribute towards their own protection, while the mother country was lavifiing millions in their defence; requifitions were annually made of them, But what was the conduct af the colonies in this fcene, fo very interefting to them? It is enough for me here to affert, What was known to all at the time, and what there fill remains abundant documents to prove-That altho' fome of the colonies, which were in immediate danger, complied chearfully and in time ; yei others, from various caules, complied too late to be of real fervice; and tome gave nothing towards the general defence, even at times when the eneny was within their botders, and a confiderable part of the colony was evacuated. What mult have been the direfut confequences of thofe omiffions of duty in the co-
lonies towards each other, had not the mothercountry exerted her military abilities to fave them? The danger, and all the horrors of French navery, and popin fupentition, which then threw us, at times, into the greateft defpondency , are paft, and we have forgot them! But let us not deceive ourfelves; the fame caufes will ever produce the fame effects.- The ambition of France is ftll alive and active, her power indeed is a neep, but only to wake at fome future day, America is daily growing a more alluring object of her ambition. Her fleets, and thofe of her natural ally, the King of Spain, are encreafing. The practice of conquering and dividing territories and kingdoms, is become fafhionable in Europe. Under this profpect of things, what can America expect, while The denies the authority of the mother-ftate; and by that denial incurs a forfeiture of her protection, and refufes to be united with her upon fuch priaciples as will entitle her to it. She muft in all probability foon become the nave of arbitrary power,-of Popinh bigarry and fuperftition.

But the miferies of a foreign yoke are not all the mifchiefs, which may attend her fcheme of independency: Difputes will ever arife among the colonies. The feeds of controverfy, refpecting their feveral interefts and boundaries, are already fown, and in full vegetation. Ambition and avarice are ever ready to exert their influence, whenever opportunity offers. America has many men of abilities and intrigue, who will at all times be ready to tuife on the misfortunes and calamities, of others, Difputes between Pennfylvania and Maryland began, and, would have
have ended in a civil war, had not the authority of the ftate interpofed. Similar difputes have fubfifted between New-York and Connecticut, New-York and New-Jerfey, and ftill lubfif between New-York and New-Hampfhire, Conneéticut and Pennfylvania, and Penniylvania and Virginia; all ariling from the uncertainty of their boundaries, and right to the foil. In 1606 King James granted two charters, one to the Plymouth company, and the other to Sir Thomas Gates, and others; including all the colonies. The refumption of the firft of thefe charters has been publickly avowed and attempted; and we have great reafon to believe, that of the other is in contemplation. Thefe, with many other caufes, will afford plentiful fources of difpute between the feveral colonies; which can only be decided by the fword, there being no other power to appeal to. The northern colonies, inured to military difcipline and hardhips, will, in all probability, be the firt to enter the lift of military controverfy ; and, like the northern Saxons and Danes, carry devaftation and havock over the fouthern; who, weak for want of difipline, and havaig a dangerous enemy within their own bowels, muft, after fuffering all the horrors of a civil war, yield to the fuperior force, and fubmit to the will of the conquerors.

We have now before us, all that we are to gain by this frantic attempt, to feparate the colonies from Great-Britain. Should America fail in her military oppofition, which the muft infallibly do, they immediately become a conquered people, fubject to fuch laws as the conquerors fhall think proper to impofe :-All our
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tights and privileges forfeited, -our loyalty juti-, ly diftrufted-our ports fecured by men of wars -our capital cities burthened with Britifh troops,- and our wealth exhaufted for their fuk port. Sil the ocher contrary, fhould we by any mizaculous event fucceed in this mad defign, we muft toon either become a prey to a foreign power; our laws, our maniners and cuftoms, our rights both civil and religious, and our ineftimable religion itfelf, will be changed for the arbitrary cuftoms, the favery and bloody fuperfition of Rome: C. hiould we by any unforeleen accident, efcape this deplotable fituation, another awaits us, almot as fhocking and diftreffing. Ambition and : arice will foon furnifh the fewel, and blow up the flame of civil difcord among ourfelves. Some of thefe calanities mult inevitably be the bleffed confequences of this unnatural fcheme.

It will now be afked, what then is to be done? Muft we fubmit to parliamentary regulations, when we are not repreiented in that body? My aniver is-That I am a friend to true liberty. I efteem it above all other temporal bleffings, and becoule I efteem it, I difapprove of the independent meafures of the congret $s$; which, inftead of tending to fecure, or obtain it, lead to the deftrution of all liberiy, and the moft diangercus tyrany. I do not differ from them in opinion, that America has grievances to complain of; but I differ from them in the mode of obtaining redrefs. I ever was convinced that Great-Britank was not fo defpicable in her power as to be hectored out of her rights by her fubjects; or that the was fo ignorant of the condition of the colu-
nies, as to believe our pretenfions to independens: cy could be maintained. I forefaw what has now come to pals, that we mult either fubmit to parliament... $y$ authority, - or to be a conquered people,-or feek for redrefs in an union with the mother ftate. And my duty as a fubject, my own intereft, and thefatety and happit nefs of my country, ever prevailed on me to prefer the latt. Had this meafure been adopted in the year 1766, ia all probability, the rights of America would have been reftored, and the moft perfect harmony would have this day fubfifted between the two countries: But unfortunately for America, fuch ground was at that time taken, as rendered it inconfiftent with the honour. and dignity of parliament to meet us.

Great pains have been taken by the American demagogues, to delude the unhappy people, whom they have doomed to be the dupes of their ambition, into a belief that no juftice was to be obtained of his Majefty, and his houfes of parliament; and that they had refufed to hear out moft reafonable petitions. Hence we have feen the beft of Sovereigns treated with the grof teft abuie and infult, the affections of his people alienated, and many of his faithful fubjects, defponding of relief, taking up arms againt his authority. It is high time that this fatal delufion thould be expotid, a the good people of America difabuled. It is true, that his Majefty and the two houfes of parliament have treated petitions from the colonies with neglect ; but what were thofe petitions? Did they reft on a dedenial of the effential rights ot Parliament, or did they afk for the rights of the fubjet in America ?
retrofpect of all the petitions, ever prefented to the throne on this fubject, will fhew that they conveyed to the royal ear, nothing but the language of independence. They difowned the power of the fupreme legillature, to which, as fubjects, they owe obedience, and denied a capacity in the colonies to be reprefented-and upon this ground they infifted on a repe.al of the laws. Here they ended.- No prayer, nor the leaft intimation of a defire to be united with Britain upon a juft reftoration of their rigbts! Such: were the peritions of the colonies, which were treated with neglect by the fupreme power of the nation: And the reafonable and fenfible man will now, on reflection, determine, whether it becomes us to refent a-conduct of this kind, in. our fuperiors, or rather to look back with aftonifliment at our folly, in permitting ourfelves to be led by defigning men, into fuch acts of difrefpect and infult. Let us bring the cafe home to ourfelves. The relation between the fovereign authority and its members, bears a true refemblance to that between parent and child. Their rights and duties are fimilar. Should a child take umbrage at the conduct of a parent, tell him that he was not kis father, nor would he confider himfelf, or act, as his child on any terms; ought the parent to liften to fuch undutifui ianguage, or could he be juftly cenfured for treating it with neglect, of even with contempt?

In order to prevail on the congrefs to defert their fcheme of indepence, and to purfue thofe meafures for reltoring the rights of Anerica, which carried with them a profpect of fuccefs; a member of the congsefs, as I mentioned before, propofed a plan
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plan of union between the two countries, which would have reftored to the colonifts the fu'l enjoyment of their rights. I have often converfed with him on the fubject, and well undertand his principles, and what paffed on the occafion. He waited with patience to fee whether any rational fcheme of union would be adopted by the congrefs, -determined to unite with them in any meafure which might tend to a reconciliation be-: tween the $\mathfrak{r w o}$ countries; but he waited in vain: And when he found them bewildered, perpetually changing their ground, aking up principles one: day, and fhifting them the next, he thought it his duty, however little the profpect of fuccefs, to fpeak his fentiments with firmnefs, and to en-: deavour to fhow them the true line of their duty. After proving the neceffity of a fupreme authority over every member of the ftate, tracing the rights of the colonies to their origin, and fixing them on the moft folid principles; and thence fhewing the neceffity of an union with the mother flate, for the recovery of them; he introduced the plan with the refolve which precedes it. But before he delivered it to be read, he de-, clared, that he was fenfible it was not perfect; that knowing the fundamental principles of every fyltem mutt be firft fettled, he had, to avoid perplexity, contented himfelf with only laying down the great out-lines of the unions and fhould they be approved of, that he had feveral propofitions of leffer confequence to make, in order to render the fyytem more complete. The plan read, and warmly feconded by feveral ge:tlemen of the firt abilities, after a long debate, was fo far approved as to be thought
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worthy of further confideration, and referred under a rule for that purpofe, by a majority of the colonies. Under this promifing atpect of things, and an expectation that the rule would have been regarded, or at leaft that fomething rational wouid take place to reconcile our unhappy differences, the member propofing it was weakly led to fign the non-importation agreement, altenough he had unifoimly oppofed it; but in this he was difappointed.- The meafures of independence and fedition, were foon after preferred to thoife of harmony and liberty; and no argunents, however reafonable and juft; could prevail on a majority of the colonies to defert them. The refolve, plan, and rule referring them to further confideration; fo inconfiftent with the meafures now refolved on, were expunged from the minutes; with what view let America determine: And while the enemics to the gentleman tho propofed them, are abufing him for offering and publifing to the world the mof infamous falhood, in reprefenting it as minifterial, and sent over to him by Lord $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{h}_{3}$ they have copies of it in their pockets, induftrioully concealing it from the world. With what view can this be, but that their malevolent alperfions may take the greater effect I In jultice therefore to the character of this gentlemán, and that America may fee and judge for itfelf, they afe here offered to its confideration,

## Resolvipa

Resolved,
That the Congrefs will apply to his Majefly for a redrefs of grievances under which his faithful fubjects in America labour ; and aflure him, that the Colonies hold in abhorrence the idea of being conlidered independent communitics on the Britifh government, and moft ardently defire the eftablifhment of a Political_ Union, not only among themielves, but with the Mother State, upon thofe principles of fafety and freedom which are effential in the conftitution of all free governments, and particularly that of the Britifh Legiflature ; and as the Colonies from their local circumftances, cannot be reprefented in the Parliament of GreatBritain, they will humbly propofe to his Majefty and his two Houfes of Parliament, the follwoing plan, under which the ftrength of the whole Empire may be drawn together on any emergency, the intereft of both countries advanced, and the rights and liberties of America fecured.

## A Plan of a propofed Union between Great-Britain and the Colonies.

THAT a Britifh and American legillature, for regulating the adminittration of the general affairs of America, be propofed and eftablifhed in America, including all the faid colonies; within, and under a hich government; edch colony fhall retain its prefent contituiion, and powers of regulating and governing it ewn internal police, in all cafes whatever.

That the faid government be adminiftered by a Prefident General, to be appointed by the King and a gravd Council, to be chofen by the Reprefentatives of the people of the feveral colonies, in their refpective Affemblies, once in every three years.

That the feveral Affemblies thall choofe members for the grand Council in the following proportions, viz.

New-Hamphire, Maffachufetts-Bay, Rhode-Inand, Conne licut, New. York, New Jerrey, Pennfylvania,

Delaware Counties,
Maryland,
Virginia,
North-Carolina, South-Carolina, and
Georgia. fift time, being called by the Prefident-General, as foon as conveniently may be after his appointment.

That there fhall be a new election of members for the Grand Council every three years; and on the death, removal or refiguation of any member, his place fhail be fupplied by, a neiv choice, at the next fitcing of Affembly of the Colony he reprefented.

That the Grand Council thall meet once in every year, if they fhall think it necefary, and oftner, if occafions thall require, at fuch time and place as they fhall adjourn to, at the laft preceding meeting, or as they fhall be called to meet at, by the Prefident-General, on any emergency.

That the grand Council fhall have power to choofe their Speaker, and fhall hold and exercife all the like rights, liberties and privileges, as are held and exercifed by and in the Houfe of Commons of Great-Britain.

That the Prefident-General flall hold his Office during the pleafure of the King, and his affent hall be requifite to all acts of the Grand Council, and it fhall be his office and diuty to caufe them to be carried into execution.

That the Prefident-General, by and with the adwice and corifint of the Grand-Council, hold and exercife all the legillative rights, powers, and authoritick, neceffary for regnlating and : iminitring all the general police and affairs of the colonies, in which Great-Britain and the colonics, or any of them, the colonies in general, or more than one co: lony, are in any manner concerned, as well civil and criminal as commercial.

That the faid Prefident-General and Grand Council, be an inferior and diftinct branch of the britih legiflature, nnited and incorporated with it, for the aforefaid general parpofes; and that any of the faid general regulations may originate and be formed and digetted, either in the Parliament of Great-Britain, or in the faid Grand Council, and being prepared, tranfinitted to the other for their approbarion or diffent; and that the affent of both thall be requifite to the valility of all fuch general acts or flatutes.

That in time of war, all bills for granting aids to the crown, prepared by the Grand Council, and approved by, the Prefident General, hail be valid and paffed into a law, without the affent of the Britigh Parliament.
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I hall not affirm that this plan is formed upon the moft perfect principle of policy and government; but as it is an unverfally prevailing opinion, that the colonies cannot be reprefented in parliament: I know of none other which comes fo near to them ; and it is moft evident, upon a due confideration of it, that the rights of America would have been fully reftored, and her freedom effectually fecured by it. For under it, no law can be binding on America, to which the people, by their reprefentatives, have not previounly given their confent: This is the effence of liberty, and what more would her peóple defire?

The author of this plan feems to have formed it on a comprehenfive view, of the regulations necefflary to the intercft and fafety of the colonies. Thefe he has divided into two claffes: the firftcontain all fuch as the colony legifatures have a right to make, under the feveral conftiturions, and to which they are adequate; thefe to remain under their decifions; it being declared in the plin, that "each colony fhall " retain its prefent conftitution and power's of " regulating and governing its own internal po" lice in all cales whatever." The $c$ :hers, which are to be the objects of the deliberations and decifions of the grand council, relate to the general interefts and fecurity of the colonies, and are abfolutely neceffary for thofe purpofes; fuch laws for granting aids to the crown, and levying taxes in juit and realonable proportions in the colonies-for regulating a general paper currency , and the • ilue of foreign coins, which ought in all good policy, to be eftablifhed on funds
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equally folid, and afcertained at the fame value: Laws for regulating and quartering troops, which may be neceffary for their general protection; for fettling difputes between the colonies, refpeeting their boundaries-with a variety of other maters that muft naturally arife from the jarring interefts of the colonies, which will continually encreafe with the encreale of their wealth and commerce. And as to thofe, it muft be owned, that the colony legiflatures are not adequate; but that they mult be made either by the parliament, or by fome new eftablifhment for thofe purpofes. The authority of the firt was objected to; and as to the fecond, or any. other lyftem of union, it being incompatible with the fcheme of independence, it was not thought worthy of attention.

Objections were indeed made to this plan, which it may not be improper here to mention. It was faid, "that the delegates did not come " with authority to confent to a political union " between the two countries." To which many: arguments were oppofed, to fhow that they had fuch authority or none; and concluded with defiring, that if that was, in the opinion of the members, the cafe, yet that the congrefs ought in juftice to their country to digeft and form one, and recommend it to their refpective affemblies; by whom it would be prefented with more conftitutional propriety than by any other body of men. It was further faid, "that the mem" bers of the grand council would be corrupted, "and betray the intereft of the colonies." To his in was anfwered-That if American virtue vas not firm enough to maintain American libetty,
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 vas too be terimpial; they mighrishangeitieqido owaiy
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tion, to decide apon regulations' which selate to the general police of all the colonies.

Such was the plan laid before the congrefs, the objections againft, and the arguments in favour of it. They are here laid before the pub. lic, to enable them to judge for themfelves, whether, as a reprefentation in parliament, is generally Suppofed to be impracticablo, any thing more cont fittent with their fafery could have been adopted.

Had this unhappy controverfy been of the firt impreffion in the Englih government, I hould not be furprifed to find the advocates of Ainerica fo much at a lofs for principles upon which to afk for, and obtain her rights. I confers I know not whether to attribute their frange conduct to a total ignorance of the merits, or to a defign from the beginning to throw off all po. litical connections with the Britif government. However this may be, precedents are pot wanting upon which to reapon, and to form a remedy. The principality of Wales, the Bimoprick of Darham, and the Palatinate of Chefter, laboured under the like grievances; being bound by the laws of parliament for many years, without holding. a mare in the fupreme authority. Great difcontent arofe frax the favifla diftinction between the lubjects of the fame fate. The oppreffed thought it their duty, as members of the ftate, to psuition the parliament for a thare in their authority. And fuch was the equity and juftice of their claims, that they became thereupon vefted with this important privilege. When Hense III conquered Calais, and fettled it with merchants, with intent to extend his RHinhus and encreafe the commerce of the nation;
nation, it was held fo incompatible with Englifh liberty, that the authority of parliament thould extend to members who did not partake of it, that they were incorporated with the Englifh government, and fhared in its legillature.
What then is to be done? Is it top late to rocover from our madnefs, and to ginge the dictates of reafon and duty 1 By no memens. But it is high time we had ehanged pun meafures, and retreated from the dangers with which we are threatened. Ler us, like men who love order and government, boldly oppofe the illegal edicts of the congrefs, before it is too late,-pull down the lieentious tyranny they have eftablifhed, and. diffolve their inferior committees, -their inftruments to trample on the facred laws of your country, and your invaluable rights. This done, and peace and order reftored within your feveral provinces ; apply to your affemblies, who are your conftitutional guardians, and can alone procure a redrefs of your grievances. Encreat them in 2 refpectful and dutiful manner, to petition his Majefy and his two he.fes of parliament-and in their petitions to affure them, "That you are " fenfible of the necelfity of a fupreme legifla" ture over every member of the llate; that you "acknowledge yourfelves fubjects of the Britifh "government , thay you have, through innu": merable difficulties and perils, fettled and im" proved a wildernefs, extended the territories, " and greatly encreafed the wealth and power of
"the nation : That by finch fettlement you 4. have lof the enjoyment of, though not " right to, fome of the firt and moft . 6 of he privileges of Englihmen:
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[^0]:    7. Meffage from the Council and Houfe Reprefentatives of the Maflachufers Bay, in 1754 .
