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## A DECLARATION

## By the Cardinal Arch

Of the Province of Westminste tional Bill.

The Education Bill now before Parliament has our good will and approval
because it proposes to recognize by stat because it proyoses to recognize by stat-
ute Voluntary and Cbristian schools as an integral part of the national systena
of elementary education. It embodies a Christian principle which, as Catholic Bishops, we must ever assert and main-
tain. That principle is that Christian parents possess an indefeasible natural
right to have their children taught right to have their children taugh
catechetically by approved teachers the definite doctrine of Christian faith and try has been imperilled by men who in violation of this right are bent on forcing the whole of our child population into
their own narrow system of education. their own narrow system of education.
This danger has rendered the statutory This danger has rendered the statutory
recognition of the law of nature upo this subject imperative and urgent. Many who disbelieve in definite
Caristianity naturally desire to see in Cbristianity naturally desire to see in
struction in its definite dogmas place
under all possible disadvantages. The hope that a system of undenominationa instruction given in Board schools b
teachers whose religious belief may no be inquired into and ascertained, lead by degrees to the dissolution an
final disappearance of Christianity as fual disappearance of Christianity as
definite system of faith and conduct from amongst the masses of the Englis
people.
Such
Such hopes appear to us well founded We are ourselves of opinion that, unles ve steps to protect the righte and liber ties of Christian parents, auotber quarte of a century will well-nigh complete the de.Christianizing of the great majority or
Englishmen. Under cover of the Board school method, considerable progres and signs are not wanting that the process may become more rapid and more pronounced in the near future. A ten dency to regard doctrinal Christianity a an old-world superstition, whichation will naturally outgrow, unquestionably exists teachers, and a section of those who possible that those who hold such views should be uninfluenced by them in the discharge of their duties. Teachers who hold them will allow them, however unconsciously, to colour their instruction,
while protests and appesis will be disregarded by authorities who either share for none of these things.
The Bill before Parliament, if it beof indifferentism and unbelief, by recognizing and protecting the natural rights of Cbristian parents, which we have laid down. In this it has our cordial approval.
We wish that we could pronounce the Bill to be entirely aatisfactory in all
other respects. We wish that we could velcome it as a National Charter an Fducation. But the fatal flaw of inquality by which education in Catholic chools is penalized, in our eyes destroys its claim to such a title.
On this sabjoct we have spoken on former occasion,but we repeat the funda-
mental principles and facts of the posimental principles
The State professes to hold liberty of State, at the same time, bas decided that it is the legal duty of all parents to have their children educated, and that neglect of that duty is panishable by of this decision, has also declared that gratuitous education is the right of all. But Catholic education is the only lic conscience, for Catholics hold that safely be severed.
The State, however, refuses to give Catholics even the secular element of ducation in their own schools upon
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## to Board schools, for education in Catho- lic pay a special fine for conscience lic schools is not gratuitous. In fact, sake. The Government may shuffle and Ite schools is not gratuitous. In fact, therefore, the State condemns Catholics,

 ither to accept a gratulious educationwhich they disapprove, or to pay a penal Which they disapprove, or to pay a pena
contribution for an education which they approve. In the first case, the State
directly violates liberty of conscience in the second, it respects liberty of con-
science in consideration of a cash pay. ment, but violater its own boast of gratu$\stackrel{*}{*}$
Under these circumstances, we do no declare that "no form of expenditure more remunerative than that spent on Elementary education" to come to our hose who desire to raise the nationa ducation to a high level of efficiency onder exceptional disadvantages, are ealing with over 285,000 children, to epend for their efficiency upon the pre arious alms of the needy.
nance" per child shall be ascertaine oither according to counties or districts, according to a classification of scbools rom the rates or the taxes, or from both hall, as a matter of course, follow each We ask for nothing more than substanial equality. Hitherto our appeal for
equal treatment has been refused on the round that our schools are not subjec o local control. This pretext has been
wept away by the present Bill which trol, inspection, and audit. There is, therefore, no reason why the Stat
should not pay the whole cost of "main Cost of their administration and the buildings to be found by volantary con
ributions. ributlons.
The Bill should also be amended in our opinion, a satisfactory measure hese amendments will he taken charge of by the Chairman of the Catholic
School Committee and a number of perienced gentlemen and membors Parliament, whom we bave invited
watch the bill on behalf of the Catho watch the bill on behaif of the Catho
lic community as it passeg througb $\mathrm{Pa}^{2}$ lic commu
liament.
Finally
Finally we record with much satiffac members, so large a number of who o-religionists in England are of Irist parliamentary support to the needful
amendments. With their able assistamendments. With their able assist
nce we trust that the Bill may be pase ance we trust that the Bili may be pass
ed in such a shape as to seeure the full Herberrt $^{\text {Cabdinal Vavgian, }}$ IAM, Bishop of Plymouth. Jone Cetisert, Bishop of N Edward, Bishop of Nottingham. † Edward, Bishop of Birmingham. Riceard, Bishop of Middlesbrough. Artiub, Bishop of Nortbampton. Jogn, Bishop of Portsmouth. Jonn, Bishop of Southwark.
Thac, $\mathrm{Neman}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and
Willam, Bishop of Leeds.
Johv̀, Bishop of Shrewsbuy

+ Willian, Bishop of Clifton.
$\dagger$ Thomas, Bishop of Liverpool.
+ Frangs, Bishop of Ascalon,
Vicar-Apostolic of Wales
This anxiously awaited declaration of fall, for its pointed omission of firs eference to our quondas allies al members of the Established church. Our interests are practically one with theirs warning of any kind,their official spoke, or man chose a policy of compromise further co-operation impossible. The Archsishop of Canterbury asked for a were quite compeint togo on Anglican privately for the public work of edaca tion, and so there came the parting o the ways. We are not content, and shal never be content, to accept a position o
inferiority, and we repudiate as no set tlement any arrangement which makes

counsels of Anglicanism, but there is n
logical have touched the bed-rock of principle and recognized the absolute equality of work of teaching the children of the people. We note with melancholy satis-
faction that in the North of England representative Anglicans are demanding an equal share in the rates, but the cry
comes too iate. Their case was given away when the Archbishop of Canter-
bury explained that they wanted to go on subscribing, and accepted the position
which made their schools dependent
casual alms. We are not so minded
We want this horrible disability whic brands our schools as cbarity school and condemns them to underpaid teach ers and anfer and that now arid at once.
and We should have been happy if all th
supporters of the denominational school could have presented an unbroken front to the Government and put forward one
common demand. That was not to bewas made impossible by the separate
action of the Anglicans-and robbed of action of the Anglicans-and robbed o have been thrown back upon the ad-
vantages of isolation. We bave no choice now but to find compensation for the unity of action we have lost in the
aperial claims which are exclusively our own. If common fairness to al
Voluntary schools is not even so muct s asked for, at least we can urge our ex ceptional claims for exceptional treat-
ment. We point to our poverty, which is something alone among the religious bodies in the land, and to that intensity
of conviction, which bas so tigbtened has beeu surrendered. that ever on ours, and only a sad consequence of disappointment and broken hopes that we
are now driven to compare our own are
faithfulness with the 900 berrayals o
which the Estabiisbment bas been which the Estabiishment has been
guilty. If there had been any sort of leaders would have mãde common cause with the Anglican Hierarchy, and been content to forget how the wealthy and chools whenever it became inconveniont to maintain them. As it is, the
Catholic Bishops have been forced in spite of themselves to dwell upon our claims for separate consideration, and to
remind the world that, though we have managed never to surrender a school, our "paying scholars" are only seven
per cent., as against twenty per cent the schools of the Church of England.

In view of the inadequacy and the utter want of finality which characterize
the financial proposals of the Bill, it the financial proposals of the Bill, it
satisfactory to learn that Catholic inter ests are to be carefully watched durin the progress of the measure throngl
committee. It is pleasant also to be tol that the Catholic members from Ireland will do their utmost to improve the Bill and
secure for our schools some tolerable ap proach to justice. And in this connec tion it is interesting to note the alarm of
The Daily News. Our contemporary, The Daily News. Our contemporary and threat, urges the Irish mem
bers to abandon the cause of the
Cat Catholic schools because they happen to land. Stress is laid upon the fact that
not one constituent of a single not one constituent of a single Irish
member will be affected by the Bill According to The Daily News, the cause
of God's Church upon earth should b nothing to any man-outside bis own
constituency. Catholicism constituency. Catholicism is treated
merely as the religion of a province, and an English or Irish Catholic who shoul France or Belgium would clearly be guilty of most meddlesome interference Our contemporary has forgoten that apart from the claim which every Cath-
olic school, wherever situated, has upo the sympathy of every Catholic, the cause of the Volantary schools in Eng-
land is essentially an Irish cause. Or the crowds of children in the Catholic schools in England how many thousand owe their faith to their Irish parentage interests of the Catholic schools of En
land and $W$ ales the Irish members will whonking after the interests of those
who have the nearest claim upon them of their own flesh and blood. If the mater were of less sacted the righteous
would be comic to potice then way in which the Liberal organ first ex plains that for itsell principle is enough, and then goes on to remind the Nationalist members that "mankind is not al
waysguided by pure reason,and the old waysiguided by pure reason,and the old
fashioned sentiment that one good tur fashioned sentiment that one good turn
deserves another survives in many quarters." We have no doubt that this and in was used in perfect good fait ficacy-fortunately, this time The Dail News Was not addressing Dissenters.
The Tablet

## THE PREMIER IN WINNIPEC

 HE AND "HUGH JOHN" WEL COMED BY THOUSANDS.Speech at the Mass Meetin in the Brydon Rink.

The arrival of Sir Charles Tupper and the Hon. Hugh John Macdonald in ade on Thursday evening lat was made the occasion for a popular demon-
stration the like of which bad never
before been witnessed in Winnipeg. The before been witnessed in Winnipeg. The
throng around the depot and through oat the whole length of Main street to Manitoba Hotel was so great that it real-
Iy seemed as if all the residents of the city had assembled to greet these two pulled into the station cheer after chee from thousands of throats rent the ai the rush of those crush caused amonget the first to welcome sir Charles
and "Hugh John" that it was with the greatest difficuity wem to the carriago which was in wait
ing. When they had ing. When they had gained the volucl
a procession was formed. It was beaded a procession was formed. It was beaded orshalis followed hy a laree numbe carriage with the Premier and the Minister of the Interior, escorted by a mount
guard of honor, and then a host ad guard of honor, and then a host on ith cheering occupants and a vast army on foot led by another band. The pro
gress up the street was a continued ovagress up the street was a continued ova and filled the windows in the house onthusiastic cheering. On arriving the Manitoba Hotel, Sir Charles held an informal reception and for some hours
was kept busyshaking the hands of his was kept busyshaking the hands of his
admirers, and throughout the whole demonstration the enthusiasm was maintained. On Friday the two minis ters visited the Provincial Conservative
convention which was in session at the lyceum theatre, and in the evening he ddressed an immense audience in th Brydon skating rink. There must hiave undreds were unableto obtain admis. ion. It was the greatest meeting ever eld in Winnipeg and when the Hon. Mr. Macdonald and Sir Charles Tupper had inished their speeches practically way of thinking and united in a wild one burst of cheering which augured well for he success of the government candidate here at the next election. Sir Charles Tupper's address was indeed a masterly
defence of the Conservative party and most powerfal and effcctive presentmeut of their programme for the fature. To urestion will have the most interest and we therefore give them verbatim
I intended to say a few words to you in regard to the Manitoba school queston, (Applause), but the able speech of
ny colleague, Hon. Mr. Macdonald, lmost rerdered that a work of superes orgation. There is another reason why it is not necessary that 1 should detain you at any great leagth here to-night; my utterances on the floor of the House of Commons have been scattered aluroad
by the press. I will not, like a distinguished statesman who shall be nameless on the present occasion, say, I am one of hougbts. A public man to conceal my hougbts. A public man who is not preboard and face the country in parliament
inmost sentiment of his mind is un
worthy of respect. worthy of respect. (Applause).
misrepresentation has been used hroughout the country. It has been re presented that it is a question of separ-
ate schools. Why, ladies and men, it is an insult to any person who has taken the trouble to read the docu meuts that are open to be read by every one, to raise such a question as that. If very one of whom was the bitterest enemy of separate schools, they are bund to adopt and enforce the policy would be unworthy of their position as men. The members of the government carry out the constitution. Applause). As my able friend, the
Minister of the Interior has said, when Manitoba became a part of the union, she came in under an act which defined her position exactly; and that act provided in regard to the question of education. should be exclusively in the power of the local legislature of Manitoba; but'with this important proviso, it is clear and unshe shall have the exclusive right of legislation in regard to scbools, provided he does not take away by legislation the jights and privileges that were there enwere conferred by legislation afterwards. Now, my honorable friend has told you of Manitoba, yave to the Roman Catholic minority of Manitoba the advantage, or the privilege, or the right, or whatever
you may call it, of having separate schools for the texching of their children and my honorable friend has told you It does not depend upon his statement, although that would be sufficient in any court of law ; it depended upon advant imable privilege that she enjoys that When a difficulty arises between a province and the general government we command the admiration of the world, that of the judicial committee of the Queen's Privy Council of England. That we bave had questions between the go:ernment of the province of Ontario and the Dominion of Canada in matters of an acute charucter, in every case that has
gone to that high tribunal, and there have Then a decision of the Privy Council has by the eovernment of Canada and the government of every province. (Applause). When this question of the gose of the Roman Catholic minorit of the fathers of Confederation I was a Quebec when this question first came up -no man took firmer ground in reyard to Brown who was known to be one of the
Brown nost inveterate opponerts of separate Geo. Brown said that in the But Hon atate of Canads the only thing that could save as from utter destruction the fing cial ruin caused by the intestine puarr between Quebec and Ontario largely growing out of these religious differences -the only thig that coald save us wa the union the provinces. In reading the debates we ind that Hon. Alexander Mackenzie, as strong an opponent of
separate schools as could be found, in the interests of his country, was bound chave that law go into operation. (A in relation to the question of separate chools adopted that policy, what is to bo A. T. Galt, one of the distinguished
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Severe The Casket, of Antigonish, But which has been all along one of the ablest advocates
of our school rights, has this pointed paragraph in its issue of April 30th: "In the hearing of the thousands gathered at Sohmer Park, Montreal, the other night, Mr. Laurier declared that his sympathies were all
with the Catholic minority in Manitoba. It will be peculiarly conforting to the Catholic minority to learn of this, and all the more so that Mr. Laurier has so recently given in Parliament such unmistakable tokens of devotion to
their cause. It is justice not their cause. It is justice, not sympathy, that the minority seek. The symthe meek and holy One who stood before his tribunal. But that, alas ! did not prevent him from delivering the "just man" over to the Jews."

The Head Speaking of Mr. Dal And Front. ton McCarthy's re freshing outspokenness in his animosity against the Cath.
olic Church, the same admirable paper says:


## The Casket editor likewise shows that,

 rnment was ruled by the Opposition which was ruled by the Third Party which was ruled by McCarthy, Dalton alone has reason to be thoroughly satisfied with the result of the sixth session of the seventh Dominion Parliament. The Prince Of Tricksters.If mere cunning and consummate subterfuge were likely to influence the electorate of Canada, Mr. Dalton Mc Carthy would be simply unapproachable. The Revised Hausard, just out, gives the verbatim report of a scene, March 17th, in which Dalton wins by sheer trickery. He had said to the Government: "You are to force your followers to eat dirt, if you can, and many of them will do it for a consider-
ation," when the Speaker called him to order. Thereupon quoth Dalton: "I bow to the Speaker's ruling. He says that the followers of the Government will not eat dirt." Mr. Speaker then explained that he censured Mr. McCar-
thy's statement "that the supporters of thy's statement "that the supporters of
the Government would do certain thing for a consideration." Mr. McCarthy went on: "As to the latter part of the statement, it seems I am out of order and at once withdraw, har. Speake The rumors, we see, are all fiction. $W_{B}$ The rumors, we see, are all fiction. We
read the newspapers so frequently that read the newspapers so frequently that
we get imbued, perhaps, with the statements they make. I apologize for that
statement. It is not true, Sir, I am
numbertain, that there are a gre
numbers now hanging
the skirts of the Government, ing compensation Government, demandshape of office." Some honorable members: "Order, order." Mr. McCarthy: "It is not true; $I$ say it is not true. I could give you the names; but it is not true, and I am bound not to do it." An
honorable Member: "What are you talking about, then?" Mr. McCarthy : "What am I talking about? I am taking it back." Sir Charles Tupper:
submit to you, Mr. Speaker, wheth
submit to you, Mr. Speaker. whether it
is competent for an honorable man to shelter himself by a subterfuge and a side wind, and at the same time to utter a gross libel upon the members to utter a gross libel upon the members
of this House." Then, the Speaker having been appealed to by Mr. Edgar to the latter said: "The statement I made was this, that the honorable gentleman had uttered-a gross libel upon members
of this House, and he has been obliged to withdraw that. What I objected to was, that by any subterfuge he should endeavor to repeat it, and to fix it upon nembers of the House." Mr. Speaker out of order. Amid several interruptions by other members, Mr. Foster pointed out most accurately that Mr McCarthy's phrase, " I could give you
the names," constituted a direct imputthe names," constituted a direct imput-
ation, which he could not cover up by saying just afterwards, "It is not true." Whereupon Mr. Speaker said: "If the honorable member for North Simcoe meant to continue the imputation that he first uttered, by his subsequent reWith, these latter are out of order. Without deigning to offer the apology Which this second summons of, the Speaker called for, Mr. McCarthy re-
plied as if he were the arbiter of the hole discussion; "Honors being sasy, I do not think we will pursue this
matter any further. I do not wond hat the leader of the House has got libel on the brain at the present moment." But Mr. Edgar, Mr. Laurier and other members did pursue the mater further, and called upon the Secrety of State to withdraw the words "subterfuge and libel." Sir Charles Tupper maintained that he was strictly
in order in calling attention to the fact in order in calling attention to the fact
that Mr. McCarthy, "by putting his language in that hypothetical way, was continuing to impute the charge he made at first." The Speaker having
ruled that Sir Charles should withdraw the word "subterfuge," the latter said: "I have no objection to substitute the word 'device' for 'subterfuge.'" Mr. Laurier asked whether that was "such
language as the leader of the House anguage as the leader of the House
should use." Mr. Speaker answered that the word subterfuge " was not a parliamentary term and is one which the leader of the House, I an quite
sure, will withdraw." Some honorable Members : "Take it back." Sir Charles "I have withdrawn it." Some honor able Members: "Take it back." Mr Foster: "He has already withdrawn Finally Mr. McCarthy closed thi cene of wrangling with the craft of Machiavel and the virtuous assumption of a Puritan, although he was himself
the chief offender: "Mr. Speaker," he he chief offender: "Mr. Speaker," he
said, "I suppose it is not unnatura hat the leader of the House should claim exemption from the rule that governs the rest of us. Under the circumnforce 1 , for my part, am not going to leman doing what perhaps a humbler member, guided by the ordinary principles which regulate man and man,
would feel impelled to do without the would feel impelled to do without the
dictation of the chair." And yet he, in spite of the dictation of the chain had craftily contrived to repeat and intens-
ify his charge, while ify his charge, while throwing the blame on Sir Charles Tupper.

The Archbishops and
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Collective } & \text { Bishops of the Prov- } \\ \text { Letter. } & \text { ince of Quebec }\end{array}$ ince of Quebec have etter on the attitude of Catholic electorrs during the coning contest. Though he Archbishop of St. Boniface has not understood to have inspired tis Grace
is known that the entire hierarchy of
the Dominion approve it. The Faith the Dominion approve it. The Faith
ful are urged not to vote for idate who does not pledge himself to support remedial legislation. This i not a question of politics but of a sac
red religious duty involving the red religious duty involving the eternal
interests of Catholic youth. The same interests of Catholic youth. The same
principles will be found fully developed
in the extracts we print on our first page from a similar lettter of the Catholic hierarchy in England. True Cath olics, the world over; are of one mind on this vital issue.

SIR OLIVER's lemt
Sir Oliver Mowat as the head the provincial government of Ontario has proved a great success, and amongst his greatest admirers. We a glad to think therefore that for at least some time to come he will remain in he position he has so long filled with his fellow citizens, for as hisfaction into Dominion politics depends upon Mr. Laurier's success at the coming elections, there is, in our opinion, little reason to fear that the Province will soon lose his services. However it is not peculate on this point that we have re erred to Sir Oliver but to allude to on passage in his recent letter to Mr. Lauleader's attitude on the school question and professes to think that the Manitoba Government would settle the question to the satisfaction of Catholics if they were approached in the proper spirit. This only shews that Sir Olive Mowat like many others in the east does not really appreciate the attitude which the Greenway Government as-
sume whenever the question of restorsume whenever the question of resto
ing our rights under the constitution brought to their attention. Sir Olive knows how he himself, as a constitut ional statesman, would settle the mat ter and having many times himself in voked and accepted decisions of th Privy Council cannot believe that any ody of men governing a Province o the Dominion would be willing, if the matter was properly presented to them, fance, and refuse to abide by a verdic ft the highest tribunal in the Empire. In his however Sir Oliver is wrong. Every med of conciliation possible has been ried with the Greenway Government the mind advances whether coming from thority have been bluntly and rudely repelled. Bitter experience has shew is that we can hope for no consideration from the Government of Manitoba as at present constituted-our only relief can introduced in the House of Commons at ttawa; and as most of our co-religionists and friends of all denominations in the East know this perfectly well, ven if Sir Oliver Mowat does not, we are quite sure that they cannot be blinded or led astray by anything to the ontrary which may be said even by th respected Premier of Ontario.

## the two leaders

We have not much to say editorial City on Friday evening last by Sir Charles Tupper, but as we sat in th vast audience and listened to him as h unfolded his plans for the governmen of the Dominion our mind went back to a former occasion when in the same hall we heard the Hon. Wilfrid Laufore the people of Winniposition be think it may not be amiss if we devote a little space to a comparison of the two centlemen as they presented them selves to the electors here in their re-
spective addresses. This we may the more appropriately do on account of our entire freedom from partisan bias which enables us to deal with the rival leaders without any of that prejudice he minust naturally more or less war hose who and affect the judgment of nected with either of the great political parties. Judging then Sir Charlas Tupr's oration from a thoroughly unbias
saying that everyone who listened
him must, whether they agree with his conclusions or not, at least admit that
he bore himself and spoke in he bore himself and spoke in a manner Statesman. In the course of our ex perience we have had the privilege of hearing most of the leading statesmen who have taken part in the public life of Great Britain during the past twenty years, and we felt on Friday evening that we had before us an orator and statesman worthy to rank with the
best of them-one who had a thorough best of them-one who had a thorough
grasp of the needs of his country, one who was well qualified to inaugurate and carry to a successfull issue thos vast public works and that far-seeing policy which he felt best adapted $t$ who, having made up his mind and what should be done, was willing to is intentions clearly and straighta wardly before the electors content to be judged by his past record and by his definite programme for the future. There was in the whole of Sir Charles Tupper' speech not a single note of indecision and
nu-one who beard him was left in the ark as to where hestands on any of the questions of the day or the policy he intends to pursue should he be supported in the coming election by a majority of the people of Canada. And what we say the whole of his address we may add as particularly the case wien he referthis point that we especially desire to ake a comparison. In our last issue wo showed how Mr. Laurier spoke of this al-important matter when he was here in 1894-how, with a aneer on his lips and with a pose evidently intended to appeal to the mob, he flippantly brushed aside the claims and petitions of his coreligionists in this country and adroitly steering clear of any definite outline of the policy he would pursue soaght only oleave on the minds of his hearers the pression that should he be called upon o deal with the question he would be Greenway, Martin, sifton et al. In an ther column of this sssue we give th xact words of Sir Charles Tupper on this subject and we ask our readers, especially those at a distance, to pouder them well. They must remember that the Premier was addressing an exactly simLaurier spek to that before which Mr Laurier spoke and with this in mind we
feel they cannot hesitate as to which of the two leaders they can safely trus to satisfactorily settle our difficulties. O The one band they have Sir Charles Tupper distinctly and definitely placing which devolves on the responsibility protecting the minority bere and of see ing that the rights of which they have been robbed are restored as far as the constitation will permit, and there was
evidently no thought in his mind of peech for Winnipeg an another quite different for Quebec for had he been speaking in the most inensely Catholic portion of the Dominion e could not be more to the point or learer than he was in Winnipeg. On rier's pitiful and unstatesmanlike exhib-ition-wherein he proved himself to be man capable of trimming to suit the ompany in whicb he might for the time and himself and ready even to insult tis $0-$ religionists if te could thereby win the ontes of the ignorant and bigotted sections of the community. Thedifference between tive two leaders as shewn by the manner in which they presented themselves to the people of Winnipeg is so marked that he who runs may read, and dere cannot be the slightest douht as to hich of them should receive the sopport of those who desire to see right and
justice in the government of the country exalted, and double-dealing and prev ration brought low.

## A DEMAGOGDE.

The Standard Directory defines a dem agogue as : "An orator or leader wh seeks to influence the people by pandunprincipled politicians : leader of mob." A demagogne, then, is not
patriot. He is not one who loves his
country, or one whe seeks to adrocate its best interests, religionsly, socially, or materially. If he were, he would not apHistory bears ample testimong passions. vils which have accrued to ny to the peoples by aronsing the prejudices and passions of men. We all know what uch appeals beget. They destroy reason, common sense and every feeling of ight and justice. They lower rational wan to the level of the brute creation, by aronsing in him all the baser passions of
his nature. They clond his reason, comon sense and justice, by reason, comthe use of these attributes of a Christ an civilization which are replaced by prejudices and passions as void of reason an irrational brate.
In this busy, superficial, unthinking ge of ours, with its vast pretensions,and its actual littleness of mental grasp, men allow others to mould their views. It is so much easier to let others think for them, that they readily abandon their individuality and their personal responsibility into the keeping of another. They forget, that in doing this, they are throwing over board the privileges and prerogatives which distinguish man from the
irrational animal creation. They would get very angry, indeed, if any one told them that they received their views ready-made from others on any public question of the day; but such is the and
The fact that the demagogue is abroad and fattening on the prejudices and passions of the people is the very strongIf truth, justice, reason and calm com. monsense governed the public consci-

NORTHWEST RHVIEW, WEDNESDAT, MAY 13.


NORTHWEST RHVIEW, WEDNESDAY, MAY 13.


