

POWER
CORRUPTS.

Excalibur

LACK OF
POWER
CORRUPTS
ABSOLUTELY.

THE STUDENT WEEKLY OF YORK UNIVERSITY

S.R.C. . . . SLOWLY SINKING

by Jim Stoyan



KEN: 'Hey Greg, what would I look like in a beard?'

Another York University hopeful appears to be emitting dying gasps following the resignation of three more members of the council last Wednesday evening--Keith Kennedy, President; Greg Barnett, Vice-President; and Harry Lipskar, Vanier representative. Dave King another Vanier representative has also submitted his resignation (however it has not yet been accepted). Ken Johnston, formally external affairs, became the new President.

Mr. Kennedy set the tone for the meeting with his ideas about a future for S.R.C. In his recommendation of more college autonomy, he aligned with the Vanier idea of a 'service committee'. He also recommended the dissolution of the present S.R.C. for three reasons: at present it is not practical to continue (no money left); in its present form, there is no need for it; and legally, it's slated to dissolve between February 1 and March 3, 1967 anyway.

It was unanimously admitted among those present that one

of the big problems had been personnel. 'No one', said George Kitchen (treasurer), 'has done their job'. This feeling was echoed by Howie Nemtin, President of Founders, who said the S.R.C. had been 'wholly inadequate, inefficient and incompetent'. Mr. Nemtin, along with Jim Macdonald, President of Glendon student council, favours an S.R.C. with its present structure but with personnel change. 'Excalibur' also received scathing criticism from all sides. Jim Macdonald accused the student weekly of giving too much play to S.R.C.'s shortcomings and boycotting 'the one meeting where it got a lot done'. Ken Johnston charged too much coverage of S.R.C.'s mistakes and no coverage and/or criticism of the college councils.

Next year?--Vanier wants a service commission, Glendon will likely continue separate; Founders Council suggests continuing the present structure of S.R.C.; Winters College--anybody's guess. And will the administration finally recognize an S.R.C.?

A.C.S.A. REBORN

by Jim Smith

A.C.S.A. is still alive. The Advisory Committee on Student Affairs for communication between the administration and the student body was almost destroyed when the President of the Student Representative Council at the time, Keith Kennedy, walked out of the first meeting because it was held 'in-camera'.

However, President Ross feels that A.C.S.A. can still perform a very necessary function. A letter to this effect was sent by President Ross to Howard Nemtin and Alan Kaplan. In the letter he suggested a re-defining of the functions of the committee. He expressed a desire to hear advice from the students on practical matters in the University.

There were two meetings, on January 5th and 6th, during which discussions were held on current

problems affecting the University. The Committee discussed security on campus, especially at the library, and the problem of student housing. No conclusions were reached on these problems at the meetings.

The question of in-camera meetings was considered and the committee decided to defer the issue until a later date.

Al Kaplan, President of Vanier Council, discussed the reformation of A.C.S.A. with Vanier Council on Jan. 10th. The council voiced approval of Kaplan's attendance at the meetings already held.

Howard Nemtin, President of Founders Council, stated:

'So far as I can see A.C.S.A. is doing nothing but good for the student body. There has been no indication whatsoever that the well-being of the student isn't the foremost concern.'

NO INCREASE IN RESIDENCE FEES.

'Student fees will not be increased next year,' assures Mr. Parks, Vice President of Finance.

In an interview last week, Mr. Parks told Excalibur that despite rising costs at all Canadian universities, high residence fees are already getting such unfavourable publicity that the administration will conduct a financial investigation before considering a hike in fees.

WOMEN SHOULD BE KEPT BAREFOOT?

Several weeks ago York's debating team was pitted against the Oxford team of Ian Forrester and Lord James Douglas-Hamilton. The Oxford team succeeded in defeating York. And just recently the Oxford team met Marianopolis College, a tiny all-girl college and Oxford was defeated by a surprising vote of 300 to 3 (two of Oxford's votes were male). It seems a tiny girl's college could do what York could not. Oh, incidentally the topic was Resolved: 'Women should be kept barefoot, pregnant and in the kitchen.' How could they have lost?

To all students who helped make the Russian Gymnastic Display the success that it was I would like to express my sincere thanks, and those of the Department of Physical Education.

J. Dale Johnson
ASSISTANT WOMEN'S
ATHLETICS

Congratulations to Howard Nemtin on his engagement to Miss Wendy Narod of Vancouver, B.C.

Retrial for Holmes

STUDENT COURT FIASCO

by Jim Stoyan

Fred Holmes, Vice-President of Founders Council was not convicted at a joint student court-residence council meeting last Tuesday evening.

The complaint against him centered around the fact that on four separate occasions he entered the girls' residence at times other than those set aside for such visits. This was not substantiated by any documented evidence at the trial.

During an hour of heated debate, questioned procedural rulings, and lack of corroborating witnesses, it became apparent that the court did not have sufficient evidence to convict.

Although the court was never presented with a written charge (in violation of its constitution), Peter Christian, court chairman, took it upon himself to issue a court summons a week ago.

Mysteriously, however, a charge was read out at the court. Peter Christian had no comment about the origin of this 'charge'. The 'charge'--its wording, lack of documented dates, times and corroborating evidence--was under constant fire all evening, but no one questioned who wrote it. There was also a number of important witnesses absent.

The verbal complaint, laid by Linda Newmarch, Senior Don of B House, last week was initially brought before the Administrative Board by Master Conway. The Board decided it was a matter for the student court to handle.

According to testimony, Mr. Holmes on two of the occasions arrived from a half to one hour earlier than the prescribed visiting hours. On one occasion he was seen on the stairs and on another just entering the door of the women's residence.

According to Shelagh Townsen, President of A House, at least

one half of the girls break the (visiting) rules at least once during the weekend and almost all break them some time or other during the week. Mr. Holmes is the first to be charged with this offence since its inception a year and a half ago). Robin Irwin, President of C House, admitted a few do it once in a while, usually the same girls.

When it appeared that the court did not have enough evidence to convince in at least two of the instances of the 'charge' it was suggested they rephrase the 'charge'. When brought to the attention of the court that this procedure would be illegal, the court was asked to 'vote on it' by Prof. Cutt.

By 8:30, the court had extended by thirty minutes the original half hour suggested by Prof. Cutt as a limit for the trial, Residence Council, apparently having other business to attend to, voted to end the trial.

After the proceedings, the student court met to change the constitution into a more comprehensive document.

The following day Prof. Cutt presented Mr. Christian with two formal written charges and although their exact wordings are not known, it is evident that Fred Holmes will be retried.



'WHO, ME?'

Excalibur



editor
managing editor
news editor
assistant news editor
features editor
business manager
entertainment editor
photo editor
sports editor
lay out

ron graham
mannie zeller
fred nix
frances de angelis
gary gada
henry gertner
don mckay
clark hill
jim richardson
ross howard
rolly stroeter
rosamund dunkley
heather anderson
rich levine
sam stern

circulation manager
excalibur is published weekly by students
of york university on york campus. opinions
expressed do not necessarily represent those
of the student council or the university
administration. phone-635-2300
Associate member--Canadian University Press

Editorial

Bah, Humbug, Pro-tem!

'Those of you who get as far as reading the editorial will probably wonder why there are no Christmas messages in this, the last issue of PRO-TEM before the holiday. The reason is that we are incapable of much hope that anything positive will come out of this Christmas season.'

--from the PRO-TEM,
Dec. 15, 1966.

So there was no message of cheer from the PRO-TEM...and the editorial entitled 'Whores and Hypocrites' went on to specify why.

'As long as Canada remains morally committed to the United States by aiding and abetting this criminal action (the American effort in Vietnam), don't tell us Christmas still exists.'

'The poor in our society still sweat under an unfair system that conspires to rob them of their dignity.'

'No, you won't find any Christmas messages in this PRO-TEM. The editor is much too sick, vomiting in disgust at those to whom those syrupy messages are generally directed.'

In essence, Bah Humbug! To which we reply, Bah, Humbug to you PRO-TEM.

PRO-TEM's is the ultimate hypocrisy. Few deny that misery particularly and evil abound throughout mankind. For you it may be in Viet-nam, or the United States. For others, South America and China. For many, the rot in man is everywhere.

Nonetheless, to rant and rave against the world's woes on the one hand, and on the other, to reject that Holiday season which, in its true meaning, calls for a rejuvenation of love in the world--for a rebirth of goodwill--is to us, the ultimate hypocrisy. If the editor of the PRO-TEM is sincere in his editorial attitude, then why should he bother to concern himself with Viet-nam or racism, or 'Christian' hypocrites? If the spirit of love and goodwill will not at all avail, what does he propose--hate? Utter cynicism?!

So, bah humbug, to your 'anti-Christmas' message, PRO-TEM. If Christmas '66 infused anyone with something of the spirit of love and goodwill, it was worth it. We hope you all had a Merry Christmas. (Yes, even you, PRO-TEM.)

Letters

Dear Editor:

Irresponsibility is a favorite word that administrations of universities use against students and their ideas. At times when the student body of a university unites in a single stand in support or disapproval of an idea, they should be reckoned with in at least a small scale. It is quite obvious that Mr. Ross, the president of our university, has no concern at all for the feelings of his students. As Duff-Barehal mention in their report, students are an essential part of the university. Perhaps this university considers other things more essential. For once all four student bodies were united on one stand. Founders, Vanier, Glendon, and SRC, who represent all the students of this university, all felt unanimously that ACSA meetings should be open. The reasons for their stand have been repeated over and over again in the Excalibur, Pro-Tem and other student newspapers. Dr. Ross has now surreptitiously reactivated his ACSA. I think that the least the president of the university could have done was to ask these councils exactly why they were against ACSA as

originally constituted. But apparently irresponsibility is a one way street. Administration can never be labelled irresponsible. In our university students' opinions are not important since they are immature, irresponsible, and thus not to be reckoned with.

Gary-C. Lipskar

Dear Sir:

For the past three months it has been my weekly misfortune to read your juvenile attempts in the field of journalism. Major newspapers, years ago, gave up the notion of only publishing 'All the news that's fit to print' but apparently you have not. It has always been my belief that a paper not only reports the news but also comments on it. In the academic community, a newspaper should represent the best interests of the community whether that community cares or not. What I am trying to say is that the paper should be a force not only for enlightenment but for change and reform where necessary. It is in this most vital capacity that Excalibur has failed.

WARGA SPEAKS

University Breeds Vandals

At approximately 1:45 P.M. on Monday someone broke into the Versafoods' Change machine in Founders and ran off with about \$35. It seems we have a bold criminal at York for this dasterly deed was committed in broad daylight with the aid of something like a crow-bar. The machine was pulled away from the wall, bent out of shape in several places, and the change door pulled off. This could not have been done without some sort of noise and Versafoods is looking for witnesses.

It takes either a complete fool, or great gobs of gall on the part of someone to commit this crime during the day with the knowledge that apprehension would mean a criminal record and dismissal

from the University. The vandal did not escape completely without a trace for he cut himself and his blood remains at the scene of the crime.

Along with the \$35 taken, it will cost \$160 dollars, says Versa-foods, to replace the machine.

Of the five mechanisms outside the Games Room, at this moment four are out of operation due to some sort of damage. This is not the first time that the change machines, and other vending machines have been tampered with and it won't be the last, until some of the people at this University devote more time to studies and less to the application of extra-curricular skills.

Dave Warga

The SRC Failure: Why and What Now

Greg Barnett F11

SRC's black end

With robes and black crepe, four of us marked the end of this year's SRC at the last meeting on January 4th. Contrary to what some students wish to think, this was not an act of irresponsibility. Indeed, it was a hyperbole, carried out to draw attention to some facets of student government at York that are question marks in the minds of many of you. Why did the SRC fail? What lies ahead for university-wide government here at York? These are the questions I want to elucidate this week. They are not the only questions worth discussing. However, space and time demand that consideration of the college councils, what's the matter with them and what should be done about them wait until a future issue.

Personality clash?

Right now, what are the reasons why the SRC failed? The articles and editorials that have appeared in EXCALIBUR throughout the first term talked about the various difficulties that the SRC got into over such things as our membership in CUS. But the question of how the SRC got into a multitude of difficulties, many of which you have never heard about, that occurred during the past summer and fall, remains unanswered. Some of the people who populate the ranks of York's little tribe of college council members like to attribute the SRC's failure to 'personality clashes' of the SRC members. This in nonsense. Anyone who takes a moment to consider will

realize that a personality clash is not a primary reason but it is a non-relationship between persons that is in turn caused by deeper-lying reasons. The reasons for these 'personality clashes' between members of the SRC and the members of the college councils are what we are looking for if we really want to know why the SRC failed.

Expansion Committee at fault

The seeds of the SRC's failure to function adequately were sown last winter when the Glendon and the Founders Student Councils set up an Expansion Committee whose purpose was to study the question of a university-wide student government for York University and make recommendations that the two college councils could act upon. Unfortunately, these students lacked the expertise to go along with their aspirations to statesmanship. Reading the reports of this committee gives me the strong impression that they talked a bit about the powers of the SRC and gave some slight consideration to the financing of the SRC's 'powers' out of the \$17 student fee. One thing they did talk a lot about was holding an election. Incredible as it seems, the colleges swallowed all this and they decided to stage an election for a council to which they gave no powers of authority and no financial resources.

Now it must be fairly obvious that, in order for any government to function, it must have two

cont'd p.3

its proper role in the community.

Peter Ferens F II
Editor's Note:

Where have you been Mr. Ferens? Did you read the very first editorial, 'Incompetance'? Did you read the editorial entitled 'Constructive Criticism Please'? Did you read the editorial in the Oct. 21 issue (about administration's attitude to the S.R.C.)? Did you read the editorial 'A Xmas Broadside' in the Dec. 16 issue? We have yet to write an editorial on the Kennedy assassination.

If you are not concerned with the possibility of fee hikes, then I assume you are too wealthy to care, in which case--to Hell with you.

Finally, the 'New York Times' still claims to print 'all the news that's fit to print' and if Excalibur in any way approaches this ideal in your opinion then thank you for the compliment.

Excalibur's 'editorial policy', if one may or can call it that, is, in my opinion, hopelessly inadequate. I have yet to read a worthwhile comment on the ineptitude, inefficiency, and ineffectiveness of any of our three councils. Instead you have deemed it proper to comment on everything from Vietnam and the Kennedy assassination to a rumour of hikes in residence fees. Hell man, wake up. This is York, not 'Face the Nation' and I am more interested in seeing something good done now than rumours about the future. This year, everything at York has been a bust, with the possible exception of classes and the 'Biz Bash'. It has been in your power to rectify this situation but you have done nothing about it. Until Excalibur stops existing merely for the entertainment of its editors and staff, it should drop the title newspaper, and only resume the title after assuming and fulfilling

STUDENTS SAY

What has your council done for the Student body?

Harvey Margel

1) I have no idea who the council is and as far as I can see they have done nothing. In fact I don't see why we need a council. We just need a social committee and a cultural affairs committee.

Dennis Cook VI

2) I have had little connection with Founders College since I go home right after classes. I therefore don't know anything about the council. I don't even know if it accomplishes anything.

Pieter Waern FI

3) I don't know anything about it so I guess it hasn't done anything for me lately.

Esther Fireman FI

4) I don't think it's done much. I'd like to hear more about it since I don't even know the members on it. I think S.R.C. is doing more than the other councils because we hear more about it.

John Christian F2

5) Crap--all. No visible solid accomplishments. What's S.R.C. supposed to do?

Simon Rosenfeld FI

6) Council, what council? I'm not really that up on it. What did it do, the semi-formal? No, I don't know. I don't know what is going on.

Roger Hubbard VI

7) Vanier council doesn't have much to do in fact none of the councils have much to do. I think the S.R.C. is a waste of the students money. I think it is contrary to the principles of York.

Richard Sand VI

8) As far as I know it has done nothing. First of all we know nothing about it. The only time we know of a council is when there is an election. If they would print up the minutes it might help. I don't even know what the S.R.C. is.

Dina Rubinstein VI

9) Nothing! It's spent all my hard earned money towards C.U.S.. Have they sponsored some of the social activities? Did they bring out the Zzardvark? I don't know. I don't even know who I voted for. I don't know what S.R.C. has done.

Arnold Rose VI

10) I'm confused because they're having elections and I don't even know what each candidate is for. I don't know what Vanier council has done. I don't know anything about it.

Janet Stubbs VI

The year 1966/67 has been a failure for York University. We are not experiencing growing pangs for the simple reason that we are not really growing. Granted buildings are going up all around us but what is going on inside these buildings? Have we begun to mature as a university? I don't think so. Take for example the sorry state of our councils. I am sorry if I shocked anybody but we do have councils even though we never hear of them. Founders has one, Vanier one and what about our S.R.C. if there is one now.

As you see we have councils but what do they accomplish? What do they do? Well, er, um. For example, do you know who is the representative of your respective year? of course you don't. Do you know who you voted for the last election?

How can you? Our councils are doing very little to inform the students about affairs at the school. The lack of communication between the student body and the councils is a major problem and a major concern for all those involved.

Mr. Mel Freedman perhaps states it most aptly when he says that 'the councils have lost touch with the student. We don't know what they are doing and they don't know what we want'. How right you are Mr. Freedman. When was the last time you heard your president of your respective college make a statement of policy? When was the last time any council member made a statement of policy to the student body? The phrase is often used in professional politics that we see them before the election and then after the election, woosh they're gone. It applies here too, doesn't it.

Now a closer look at the councils. Not one council at York has a constitution. Now even if you are just the average York student you can still get it into your thick skulls that you can't have any order, that you can't accomplish anything worthwhile without a workable constitution. Right? Of course right! I would think that a constitution would be the major, the most important issue of business before council. Our council has been in office one year and still no constitution.

Let's go a little further now. Out of 29 representatives on all councils approximately 8 are day students. Nice percentage isn't it, especially when you consider the fact that three-quarters of the student body are day students. On Founders council out of 14 members with the exception of the first year members only one is a day student. It is obvious therefore that our councils just do not represent the entire student body.

ference of 24% amounts to over \$5,000. Is it any wonder that CUS membership was disputed for crass monetary reasons? Is it any wonder why the SRC had no money to finance university-wide clubs? Worse still, is it any wonder that Founders council was able to squander so much money this year (\$700 on the John Lee Hooker flop, hundreds of dollars lost on a series of dances from which the students stayed away in droves?)

Recommendations

All this mess resulted from the planning, or rather, the lack of it by the two college councils. There's three of them now and they'll be back at their favourite pastime of 1966 on January 22nd when they will be considering the future form of the SRC. They should take a lot of lessons from this year's events. First of all, they should not rush into holding any elections. They should agree on the present powers of the SRC -- external affairs, campus-wide communications (the newspaper,

The importance of having a really representative council of both day and residence students is that day and resident students don't communicate enough. There is a vast gulf between the two sectors of our student body. It is wrong granted but it is there just the same. There is a gulf for the simple reason that we day students work bank hours at York--here at 9, home at 4. It's partly our fault, but I think it is the job which would interest the day student so that he either stays here later or comes back to York at night. Is it not obvious that these councils have failed miserably in this respect? Have they even attempted to do anything?

A look now at Founders council. It has an unworkable and cumbersome amount of 14 members on council. Have you ever tried to control or dictate order in a mob? A college the size of Founders 14 representatives is just too much. Mr. Nemtin when is Founders going to get that bulletin board?

What about Vanier? Its council has a tremendous set-up. In order to achieve a stronger union and a stronger understanding between students and the faculty the council has seen fit to include four faculty members in its organization. It is 'very nice' to have a closer union between the students and the faculty, but not on a student council. I could see a union on certain committees, but not on the student government. That reminds me of high school where the vice-principal had to sit in on students council meetings as an advisor and usually ended up dictating policy. A student government is just that, a student government. It should be elected by the students, run by the students, dictated by the students and organized by the students. Not by advisors.

Now to the S.R.C. It is a good idea. It should not be destroyed. It could perform a valuable role in the university given the adequate powers that it needs. This year was just 'bad news' for the S.R.C. But it is a necessary instrument of government that we the students need.

In conclusion, there is a lack of communication between the students body and the councils. There is no constitution for the councils to work with. Also our councils are badly represented. There is a vast gulf between day and residence students. There are simply too many members on Founders council. Vanier has non-students. And S.R.C. has not been given the powers it requires.

I can say that we have set a fine example of excellence and knowledge in the ability to rule ourselves that will be invaluable to Winters College and all the other colleges that follow. We are the leaders and what a damn job we're doing.

etc.), intercollege co-ordination (e.g. clubs that use the university name) and student-administration relations. Then they should distribute the financial resources in accordance with the powers. This means giving the SRC the lion's share. Let the college councillors be statesmen enough to accept this for the proper functioning of student government at York, an ideal which they never tire of expounding as their true, unselfish goal. To this end, the SRC should be given the entire budget to distribute to the other councils after the colleges have submitted their requests at a special meeting. Certain checks must be imposed such as a minimum percentage of the total budget that must be allotted to the colleges and maximum standards of variation in grants to the various colleges to guard against discrimination against one college while at the same time allowing some variation so that a college that is 'doing something' will have the

Man of la Mancha

Don MacKay

It is impossible to properly review Man of la Mancha, now playing at the O'Keefe Centre.

Jose Ferrer can't sing, but he creates one of the most appealing characters to come from Broadway in a long time. Maura K. Wedge overacts, but she expresses the audience's love for Don Quixote perfectly. The music is generally dull and unoriginal, but songs such as 'The Impossible Dream' compensate for the poor music.

Man of la Mancha is a joy to see. It isn't because of the set, the rape scene, the twin horses or the songs. It is because of the glowing aura of idealism that is created by Don Quixote's quest for the impossible.

ENTERTAINMENT

Brilliant Entremont

'Brilliant' is the best word to describe Philippe Entremont's piano performance at Massey Hall Saturday night.

The only trouble with brilliance is that it implies sparkle, drama, technical excellence, but not necessarily depth of interpretation.

Entremont exhibited magnificent technical precision and fine regard for melody and tonal shading in his approach to all the pieces in his programme which included Scarlatti, Mozart, Schumann, Debussy, and Prokofiev. But for all the aesthetic brilliance and surface beauty which characterized his total performance, there was something missing. Entremont seemed to forget that each composer requires different considerations in interpretation, so that the listener began to feel that Scarlatti, Debussy, et. al., were one and the same. Schumann's Etudes Symphoniques surely require an intensity of emotion very different from the simplicity of Scarlatti's sonatas.

Entremont is a brilliant pianist whose technique and artistry are irreproachable. Still, I found myself slightly disappointed with his recital, and wished that there was real passion and depth of feeling underneath all that glorious brilliance.

money to pull it off, but, for the sake of saving the student government structure from complete paralysis, the SRC must be given the deciding power and not some ad hoc committee from the conglomeration of colleges that there will be in a few years.

SRC on the block

Given this sort of structure, the SRC-idea has a good chance of working to the benefit of students, provided it is well planned out in advance. I repeat, under no circumstances should elections take place until the financial and division of power aspects are worked out in full. This campus can and must get along without an SRC next year, if need be. In fact, the year without the SRC would benefit York in several ways. Most important of all, it would give time to the councils to set up all the aspects of the SRC. The year would also demonstrate to the students on this campus the need for an SRC that the three college councils will find difficulty in filling, or it might just show the lack of need for an SRC. In either case, the council's planning will be greatly aided by whatever happens and if they take the lessons to heart. What about it councils? How about taking it easy on January 22nd and doing it right this time?

SRC Failure *cont'd from p.2*

things--distinct powers and prescribed financial resources. The elected SRC had neither. How then could it succeed?

College Councils misgovern

Some people in student government harboured the belief that, in time, the SRC would be able to evolve and develop its format. If there was a vacuum of power in the affairs of student government at York, the SRC could have 'evolved' and 'developed' into it. But the college councils held all the powers and alas, the colleges did not know how to share authority graciously and the SRC did not know how to manoeuvre the colleges into sharing. In previous budgets of the student governments at York, the amount of money spent on what now are the powers of the SRC accounted for 64% of the total budget. The college councils finally decided on giving the SRC a niggardly 40% of the total student fee. The dif-

YORK WINTER CARNIVAL

INTERCOLLEGE SWIM MEET
THURSDAY JANUARY 19 at
7:00 pm in the TAIT MACKENZIE
PHYS ED BLD.

ORDER OF EVENTS

- 1.25 yd. Mens Freestyle
- 2.25 yd. Womens Freestyle
- 3.25 yd. Mens Back Stroke
- 4.25 yd. Womens Back Stroke

It's happening

FRIDAY, JANUARY 13

ADAM & EVE HARD-LUCK
DANCE? New 69ers, Founders
Dining Hall, York Campus,
8:30 p.m., Admission: 50 cents.

Special lecture on 'THE NOR-
WEGIAN PROGRAMME OF
ELECTORAL RESEARCH', Prof.
Henry Valen, University of
Michigan, Graduate Students'
Common Room, New Lecture Hall
Bldg., York Campus, 3:00 p.m.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 14

DANCE WORKSHOP for Uni-
versity women, Benson Bldg.,
U of T, From 9:15 a.m., Further
Information: Miss Valerie Hunt,
487-6150.

SUNDAY, JANUARY 15

Glendon Film Society presents
Schlesinger's BILLY LIAR (Eng-
land, 1963), Room 204, York Hall,
Glendon Campus, 8:15 p.m.

SUNDAY & MONDAY, JANUARY
15 & 16

Every Sunday at York, Shaw's
SAINT JOHN, Michigan State Uni-
versity Players, Burton Audi-
torium, York Campus, Sunday:
3:00 p.m. & 8:30 p.m., Monday:
2:00 p.m., Further Information:
BOX OFFICE: 635-2370.

MONDAY, JANUARY 16

Founders Intramural Basket-
ball signups begin, Physical Edu-
cation Bulletin Board (opposite
the 1st floor cloak room)

TUESDAY, JANUARY 17

A new illustrated lecture
series: 'THE GREAT ART
CENTRES OF ITALY', Prof. T.H.
Heinrich, Lawrence Park Com-
munity Church, 2180 Bayview
Ave., Tuesday afternoons at
2:30 p.m., Further Information
487-6195.

THURSDAY, JANUARY 19

Talk on THE NATIONAL
LIBERATION FRONT "VIET
CONG", Marv Bader, 3rd year
Honours History student at U
of T, Founders Social and De-
bates Room, 1:00 p.m.

THURS. FRI. SAT. SUN.
JANUARY 19, 20, 21, 22

THE ANNUAL GLENDON
COLLEGE WINTER CARNIVAL,
Thurs: Owen McBride, Irish folk
singer, 8:30 p.m.; Fri: Haygood
Hayes and Sextet, 3:00 p.m.,
Skating Exhibition, 7:30 p.m.,
Variety Show, 8:30 p.m.; Sat:
Dance, 9:00 p.m.; GLENDON /
Sun: Neil Diamond, Well-known
Pop Vocalist, BURTON AUDI-
TORIUM; York Campus; Further
information: 487-6137

SATURDAY, JANUARY 21

FOLK CONCERT, Burton
Auditorium, York Campus,
8:30 p.m., Admission: \$1.00

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 2

FORMAL, Ellis McClintock,
King Edward Sheraton, 9:00-
1:00, \$6.00 couple.

by JIM RICHARDSON

INTERMISSION--SKITS

- 5.25 yd. Mens Breast Stroke
- 6.25 yd. Womens Breast Stroke
- 7.25 yd. Mens Butterfly
- 8.25 yd. Womens Butterfly

RELAYS

1. Pajama Relay--Mixed (2 Men,
2 Women) 4 x 25 yds.
2. Lighted Candle Race--Mixed
(2 Men, 2 Women) 4 x 25 yds.
3. Freestyle Race--Founders vs.
Vanier vs. Glendon--Mixed
(2 Men, 2 Women) 4 x 25 yds.

Each college will enter a team
and the overall winner will be
determined on the basis of wins,
seconds, and thirds. See your
athletic reps. at their student
council offices about joining your
college team. If you don't want to
participate, spectators are
needed. That's next Thursday
at 7:00 p.m. to help kick off
YORK'S WINTER CARNIVAL
1967.

SPLASH PARTY AFTERWARDS
BRING YOUR BATHING SUIT
(TOWELS PROVIDED)

HOCKEY

Millers Loose to Laurentian

The York Millers played host
to the Laurentian Voyageurs on
Saturday, and were beaten 7-4.
Although defeated, the Millers
played their best game of the
season. Play was of high calibre
even without star Fred Pollard
and winger Mike Tumpene.

Down 2-0 early in the first
period, York wasn't able to re-
taliate until the 4:11 mark of
the second period on a goal by
Paul Ericson, assisted by Tom
Wicks and John McCormick.

Laurentian came back with two
more goals at the 7:28 and
14:25 marks. One minute later,
McBryde scored unassisted, and
at the 16:21 point Craig Dunnett
fired in a shot from McGlenning
and McBryde. The second period
ended with the Voyageurs leading
4-3.

McBryde got his second
unassisted goal at the one minute
mark of the third period. By
the half-way point of the last
period, with the score still tied,
the Millers began to slowdown.
The Voyageurs' Proceval took
advantage of York's slack and
banged home three goals, at the
11:50 mark, again at 16:15
and 19:58. Proceval scored four
goals for the Voyageurs.

FRI. JAN. 13

--Men's Varsity Basketball,
York vs. MacDonals College at
St. Anne de Bellevue, P.Q.
SAT. JAN. 14

--Men's Varsity Basketball,
York vs. McGill at Montreal,
5:30 p.m.

--Men's Varsity Hockey, York
vs. R.M.C. (exhibition)

--Women's Varsity Badmin-
ton, at Waterloo Lutheran

--Women's Varsity Dance
Workshop, at Toronto.

WED. JAN. 18

--Men's Varsity Hockey, Os-
goode at York, 6 p.m.

--Founders Men's Intramural
Hockey

4 vs 6 at 8:30 PM

1 vs 5 at 9:10 PM

2 vs 3 at 9:50 PM

THURS. JAN 19

--Men's Inter College Swim-
ming F/G/V 7PM at York

--Women's Inter College
Swimming F/G/V 7 pm at York

FRI. JAN. 20

--Women's Varsity Ice Hoc-
key, at York.

N.B. T.M. Phys. Ed. Center Ath-
letic Schedules--see Bulletin
Board

help wanted in Antigua, Burundi, Columbia, Ghana, India, Jamaica, Kenya, Madagascar, Peru, Rwanda, Sarawak, Tanzania, Tchad, Trinidad, Uganda, and Zambia.



it's your world.

These countries have a lot in common. Every one is
no place for you if all you have to offer is lofty
ideals. These are countries that need realists—people
who are ready to get down to work. And come down
to earth. Literally. Don't kid yourself . . . signing up
with this outfit will mean slugging it out through a
tough, demanding job. That's the only way you'll fill
the needs of these countries. And who knows, maybe
you'll have a few of your own filled. **What is CUSO?**
It's a national agency created to develop and pro-
mote overseas service opportunities for Canadians.
It arranges for the placement of qualified men
and women in countries that request their
services. If you're sent to a country it's be-
cause they've asked for you. Or someone
like you. **How does CUSO work?** Abroad, it
works through different international agencies
who all assist in the placement of personnel.
In Canada it works through local co-ordinating
committees, located in most universities, but serv-

ing the whole community. **What kind of people are
needed?** People with something to offer. People with
things like knowing how to teach mathematics or grow
wheat, how to clean a wound or build a bridge. These
countries need people who are adaptable and mature.
People with initiative. People who can earn respect, and
give it. Think about it for a minute. You'll know what
you have to offer. **What is the selection procedure like?**
Tough. Because we don't believe in sending underdevel-
oped people to developing countries. Preliminary
screening is carried out, where possible, by local
committees. CUSO then nominates candidates
to governments and agencies requesting per-
sonnel, who make the final selection. CUSO
also makes arrangements for preparatory and
orientation courses. **How do you apply?** Get
more information and application forms from
local CUSO representatives at any Canadian
university, or from the Executive Secretary of CUSO,
151 Slater Street, Ottawa.

CUSO

The Canadian Peace Corps