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## A LETTER

## TO HIS FXCHHLENCY THE:

PRESIDENT OF TIIE UNITED STATES OF AMFRICA.

Sir:
The conspicuous majesty of Science is now recognised from nation to nation, and from clime to chime, throngh the circuit of the habitable universe. From her intellectual throne she sways the destinies of men. In the remote and trancuil scenes of rural cultivation; in the busy throng of traflic and the ceaseless reverberating din which pervades the commercial cities; on the vast and solitary surface of the undulating deep; in the barriers of eternal polar ice, or the impenctrable silent horrors of subterrestrial gloom; through all the confines of the sublunary world, she claims ubiquity of empire. That portion of onr being, which we inherit from divinity, has been, by the discipline of Science, developed in such magnificence of enersy as clearly marks its origin; while the petty powers of our animal constitution have sumk to comparative nonentity. In the conflict of battle, and the agonizing slock of war, the achievements of corporeal prowess are beheld no more ; the mail-protected rank of heroes has $d$ windled into puny insignificance, before the vast machinery of destruction which Science has created and arrayed upon the martial field ; she impels, with invisible and superhmman arm, the flame-winged missiles which annihilate legions, and crush the pride of castellated bulwarks with irresistible and ruinous prostration.

This development of the mental energy of man, though its recent progress to maturity be characterized with gigantic and
marvellous rapidity, is no precipitate result of late or sudden canses. It is the gradual growth and final fructification of the tree of Kinombeltere, throngh the total extent of a period which has nearly comprehended six thonsand years. 'Through the reekless and barbarian atheism of lawless unconfederated man; throngh the mystic incantations and fantastic horrors which mark the fearful rites of idolatrous bewildered nations ; and in later times, hurough all the seductive sophistry and blasphemons impieny of andacions and perverse philosophy ; hke the vegetative bud which survives the changes of the atmosphere, the intellectual germ has resisted every murenial influence of place and time, and preserved its mudecayed vitality, to attain the plenitude and lustre of autumal exuberance and bloom.

Aud, therefore, when contrasted with the flaming spiendor which illuminates the annals of science in the present age, the page of ancicut history is not complctely desolate and dark. Through the mist of intervening ages, the achievements of the peerless Archimedes present a mommental prototype of almost every marvellons phenomenoti, which, by the aid of science, is created on the theatre of natious in the present day. His canstic apparatus and projectile cngines refer the imagination, by a simultancons and triple analogy, to the sudden flash and heary roar of the camon, to the lightuing and thunder of the electris machine, and the irresistible energy which results from the concentration of the optic ray. His investigations in the theory of motion, eqnilibrinm, and impulse, have served as a basis for all that we now know in mechanical science, throngh all its modifications and departments, comprehending the multitndinons motive powers and impulsive agencies of solid and fluid materials. And in bright and glorions addition to all the preceding discoveries, his daring and sublime excursions, in the intellectual region of pure Geometry, throngh unexplored and solitary tracts of knowledee, but copious and luxuriant in the intrinsic and immortal beanty of the immutable nuture of things; these intrepid flights of reason have directed the research of every subscquent adventurer on that boundless and eternal ficld.

Sir, it is not necessary here, to enumerate, in detail, the important services for which celebrity is dute to other unmerous and illnstrions names, which adom the recorded catalogne of scientific labors and lore, through the long and shadowy tract of time comprehended in ancient history ; thongh the inental faculties of your Excellency must have been, for years, indispensably pre-occupied with all the responsibilities and cares attached to the superintendence of the political atfairs of a great and rising mation; I consider it yet a presumahle circumstance, that all the classic recollections, which refor to the development and progress of the homan mind from early imbecility to intellectual manhood, have net completely faded from your memory.

Though the extraordinary and startling problem, the performance of which is the professed and immediate ohject of the present letter, be one which is indeed mexampled in the history of acience, the preceding rapid sketeh of the continuous movements and incessant researches of the human mind, will, I am disposed to believe, diminish the deep surprise, with which, for the first time, your Excellency, and the public would otherwise receive the intimation of the attempted solation of this prollem. By a simple and direct pursuit of the ideal train of associations presented in the preceding sketch, the solution of this problem will easily appear to be part and parcel of the connected results of the muniet and aspiring operations of the weneral humon mimes of that primeval, celestial, and elastic impulse, wherehy our miversal race and lineage are exalted above the humility of their native dast, to tread, in intellectual pre-eminence, the ulterior confines of the vaulted universe, and contribute recognition and homage to the invisible Arehitect who dwells beyond.

Sir, I am a native and voluntary subject of the British soverrign; but few and doubtful are the claims of gratitude, however, which the British nation, or any other, has on my indixidual affections; this country I have sought, not as a refuge for political disafiection, or a laboratory for the experimental career of a political empiric; not as the ultimate resource of one who escapes from the horrors of penury, nor
yet as a protecting asylum for an infamons and guilty fugitive; I have directed my steps uron the transathantic shore, to obtain a refuge, in retirement, from scenes of adversity, and a cessation of the miseries of mental distress; to seek a resting place where sources of private umhappiness may feel the balm of solitude ; where the eyes of the depraved rabble may never enjoy that luxury which is deemed intensely grateful to malignity and envy, when permitted to espy the faded hustre of decayed respectability, and the rumous operations of disastrons and reverted fortune; where the allieted prond man, when he feels the burning and resistless impulse to relieve and vent his anguish by external or internal tears, may weep in secret and alone.

But while the self-dependent and secluded nature of my present condition compels me to regard my folly as my own, and brook no infringement of my perschal rights from any existing laman power, when the means of retaliation are accessible; I cling to the belief, that I feel myself too deeply bound by the obligations of moral conseience, by the intrinsie sanctity of justice, by the love of equity which dwells immutably inherent in the virtuous mind, and by the lingering attachments of pre-existing national sympathy which distinguish and adorn the human. chanater, and interpose a true eriterion betwixt the magnanimous and the servile spirit; I believe myself too potently restricted by these considerations, to espouse the American claims for the sake of popular favor, in considering the merits of the great intemational dispute which I propose to examine in this letter.
I must also observe to your Excelleney, that, while I conceive myself, in this comery, deeply indebted to a few individual friends; yet, from the nation at large, or any section, great or small, of the commmity, $\mathbf{l}$ derive no furor, and therefore acknowledge no olligation; I anticipate no advantage, and seek no benefit, except as the reward of my own exertions; and few therefore, I presume, can be easily found, who are better qualified by total exemption from "fear, favor, or affection," than I am, for the examination of this great question.

Having offered the preceding remarks to your Excellency,
for the vindication of my own character, conduct, and motives, in attempting to determine the merits and dispel the perplexi$t^{\text {'es }}$ of this dispute, 1 now proceed, wihout further preface or delay, to enter on the field of explaration and deeision. And here, in the audible and free commmication, ana the open conspicuons prospect of the great and general amphitheatre of nations, I avow myself constraned in justice, to give my direct and mequivocal declan ion and opinion on the side of the American party in this lit ration. I an constrained to acknowledge, that every teature of the British claim so visibly and palpably betrays the stamp of artificial and recent origin, as to seal the lips of every conseientions and enlightened man who attempts to speak in its defence. I am constrained to deprecine, with horror and disdain, the dark, the damnable, perverse, and baleful doctrine of misgnided ethical philosoply, which tolerates an act of iniqnity and fraud, if such act be the act of a nation; which designates extortion by the title of refined policy; if a nation, as one man, have determined to aet in concert as umanimous confederate eitortioners; which covers political villainy with gandy epithets, and hides the infamy and shame of a neople in the frivolous delusions of insignificant verbal vanity; which encourages the national and public perpetration of deeds, the doom and consequence of which, to the performer, if performed by one individual, would eject him, as a vile, abhorred, and solitary figitive, abroad upon the face of the earth. I am constrained to asknowledge, that if the lion be correctly taken for a symbol of the nobler eharacteristics of the British nation, the same analogy also too anhappily and closely prevails, in respect of some other less attractive traits of eharacter which mark the royal quadruped; I am constrained to express my fear, that, if considered in reference to such a comparison, the ants of that nation have more than once betrayed a propensity - royal in cupidity, and more than royal in rapacily.

In the examination of the question of the frontier, which I propose to undertake, on scientific principles, in the subsequent part of this letter, many mathematical theorems are involved, of so technical a nature, that I should be guilty of gross and
contemptible hypocrisy, by pretending to prestime that all those theorems are familiar to your Excellency, exercised and occupied as you have been for many year's, in the responsibilities and dutics of political affairs. For the satisfaction of your Excelleney concerning those technieal inguiries, I presume to suggest a reference, if necessary, to the mathematical authorities of Yale, Virgimia, and West Point.

Finally, Sir, before I undertake the proposed examination, I must express the hope, that a consideration of the nature of my attempt will te־), with additional foree, to impress upon your Excellency, a deep convietion of the incalculable bencfits resulting to mankind, in every conccivable departmont of human affairs, from the patronage, diffusion, and protection of science. I shall rejoice, if enabled hereafter to believe, that, by any observation contained in or suggested by this letter, the attention of your Excellency, or that of any other influential man, has been more closely directed to the promotion of that glorious object.

But I cannot ineur the deep culpability of neglecting to inform your Excelleney, and all my fellow-men, that mathematical seience, like every other human pursuit, has its vanities, deceits, and snares. More especially in later times, a race of men has appeared upon the field of demonstration, the devious meteoric aspect of whose inteliectual career has induced me to apply to such indviduals the epithet, Formularian, as a distinetive appellation. These men shan defiuitions, and refuse to explore first principles, they conceal ambiguity by apparently preeise, but latently eqnivocal words; they accomplish, on formula, unusual and eurious transformations, by unjustly, though phausibly, generalizing the ordinary rutes of operation, like certain other philosophers, who, having once diseovered that a circumstance is very generally true, immediately arm themselves with such a discovery, as a weapon wherewith to deny the reality of ever? case of exception which may afterwards occur. The absurdities of these men are sometimes harmless and amusing ; but unhappily, too often, more calamitous results ensue. Among this visionary tribe, La Place appears to be pre-eminent, in
propensity to mischief, and in power of performance. The splendid fictions and marvellons delnsions of that unrivalled Formularian are pregnant with destruetion; they are subversive, in their final tendency, of religion, morality, and social order; and eonsequently hostile to the present and subsequent happiness of men. Sueh individuals may be rightly regarded as the despicable vormin which pollnte, while they prey upon, the intellectual decayed remains of Archimedes and of Newton.

Before I undertakic the proposed question, I shall finally observe to your Excelleney, and the publie, that, eoncerning the promotion of seience, I an sorry to perceive the existence of an error, which, in politics and literature, is equally fatal and disastrons. This error consists in the supposed ntility of domineering behavior. One of the predecessors of your Excellency, in the exalted station which yon now ocenpy, unfortunately tarnished, by a fatal error of that nature, the lustre of his previous repitation; but how much more inuêscribably contemptible is the aspect of a domineering bully among juveitite students, than that of a rash and arbitrary man directiag the affairs of a nation! The only consideraion, that operates with resistless and perpetnal sway on every department and rank of human society, is the prepossessing native dignity which peculiarly discriminates the gentleman; and which is completely incompatible with cvery vestige of that repulsive rudeness, which betrays the barbarian, the ruflian, or the bear.

Finally, I now proceed, aceording to proposal, to furnish in detail, to your Excellency, the scientifie investigation and solution of the problem respecting the disputed frontier.

INVESTIGATION OF 'THE PROBLEM OF THE DISPUTED FRONTIER.

Art. 1. If two parties agree to discriminate a tract of country into two several slares, by a transverse boundary, and the face of the country present no natural olstacles, that boundary will, beyond all doubt, be Rectilineal; for every curvilinear deflection or angular deviation would be a source of unprofitable toil and useless perplexity.

Art. 2. And hence if any part of such boundary were disputed or defaced, it might be, at any time, re-ascertained, by simply pursuing the direction of that part which is known.

Аrt. 3. But if natural obstacles occur on the face of the country, they will operate in two ways ; first, by intercepting the course and precluding the progress of the intended rectilineai boundary; and secondly, by presenting, in themselves, a range of immutable and definite local features, which may, with facility and certainty, be taken as connceting-points through which we may imagine a boundary to pass.

Art. 4. Between the parties, however, a previous understanding must have existed, either strict, precise, and immutable, or else restrained within certain limits of allowable adjustment, concerning the proportionate magnitudes and relative situations of the two shares.

Art. 5. And hence, in the selection of such natural con-neeting-points, to mark the direction of a boundary, the proceedings will be governed and affeeted by this indispensable consideration; so to direct such a boundary, as to violate in the smallest possille degree, the understanding which existed, respecting the situations and onagritudes of the two shares.

Art. 6. And if the series of natural points discontinue, before this boundary has completed the extent of its course, and the said boundary, having reached the last of these points, emerge urpon the uniform face of the courtry, so that, Leyond that point, its direction be optional; the only consideration thenceforth existing, which can regulate the course of that final part of the boundary, is this : so to direct this final part,
as to compensate for auy violution of the aforesaid previous understanding, which may, of necessity, have arisen, lyy adopting this train of natural points, to regulate the conrse of the preceding part.

Asr. 7. And this consideration is equally important and decisive, at either extremity of the said bomdary, or at both; the term "final," in the previous article, being merely adopted for facility of expression ; since, of the two cxtremities of any such boundary, either may be taken as the intiacl, and the other as the final extremity.

Art. 8. The import of Articles 5, 6, and 7, connectively taken, is expressible thus. In the prescription of any such boundary, the total course of the proceedings will be governed by this consideration ; so to accommodate the several parts of that bmudary to each other, as to give to the total boundary, precisely, or as nearly as possible, a self-adjusting character; that is, that all infringements of the general pre-understood conditions, which are mavoidably male in certain parts of that loomrtary, in facor of one party, are connteracted and recompensed by other infringements which are elsowhere made in the comrse of that bomdary, in faror of the other party.

Art. 9. Now, as we have observed in Article 1, that all boundaries, if not intercepted by obstacles, would be rectilincal; and as all desirable conditions, concerning the relative situations and magnitudes of two shares, can be satisfied by merely accommodating the situation and direction of a rectilineal boundary to each particular case; it follows, that, whenever the separation and distinction of two several shares is required, a straight line might be drawn somewhore, which would satisfy the general pre-understood conditions between the parties. Such a straight line we shall call a Normal.

Art. 10. From Arts. 8 and 9 we learn, that if any lomulary uhaterer between two shares be completely self-atljusted, the following remarkable relation must be verified between it, and every normal whaterer, appertaining to the same case between the same two parties. In Fig. 1, let $N n$ be a normal, and $A B C D E F G$ be a self-adjusted boundary, $Q q$ and $R r$ being exterior bomdaries of the total tract which com-
prehends the two shares; then, the sum of all the areas, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \& c$., which are intercepted by $N n$, and those parts of the tortuous boumdary which lie on one side of it, is equal to the sum of $a, b, c, d$, sc., which are iutercepted by $N n$, and by those parts of the tortuons line which lie on the other side of it. For, if the two sums be ennequal, the straight and the tortuons bomdary cannot both satisfy the monderstood conditions of the relative maguitudes of the two shares; since, by the adoption of one boundary, one party las a greater share, and the other a less, than by the adoption of the other boundary ; but both boundaries do satisfy the aforesaid conditions; $N n$, by its character as a normul, and the tortuous boundary by self-adjustment; therefore the two sums must be equal. Q.E.D.

Ant. 11. Whenever a straight line bears to any other line such a relation, with respect to the intercepted areas, as that which $N n$ bears to the tortuous boundary in Art. 10, we shall call that straight line, a coequator to the other line.

Art. 12. But yet, among tortuous lines, the general bearing or progressive tendency of one, may depart from that of its coequator, much more than that of another tortuons line from $i t$ coequator. Thus, in Figs. 2 and 3, the general bearings of the tortuous lines $A B C D E F$, and abcdef, exactly coincide in direction with their coequators $A F$ and a $f$, while the general bearing of $a b c d e f g h$, in Fig. 4, is itself the tortuous line adi, intersecting the coequator a $k, A B$ and $C D$ being the cxterior boundaries comprehending the total tract which contains the two shares. Whenever the coequator and the general bearing exactly coincide, the two extremities of the coequator and those of the tortuous line will also exactly coincide, as evidently appears by Figs, 2 and 3 . 'This relation we shall express by saying, that, in every such case, the tortuous line preserves perfect affinity with its coequator ; but in other cases, the want of such allinity shall be expressed by stating, that it more or less diverges from that coequator.

Art. 13. Before proceeding fiuther, it is well to consider, in what circumstance this greater or less divergency consists. Two straight lines, which coincide in direction, make no an-
gle. Now in Figs. 2 and 3, the coequator $A F^{\prime}$, in the former ${ }^{\circ}$ casc, and a $f$, in the latter, coinciles, or is infentical with, a straight line miting the extremitics of the boundary to which it is drazen as a cocquator. In each case, the coequator makes no angle with the connecting line, and therefore we say that such bonndary has no divergence from that coequator, or has perfect affinity with it, by which we mean the same thing. But, in Fig. 4. the straight line a $h$, which commets the extremities of the dotted boundary, loes make an angle with the coenuator a $k$, and therefore we say that such boundary diverges from that coequator. Lastly, in Fig. 5 , if $a b c d$ be a bounda-
 the exterior lomendiries, comprehending the total tract which contains the two shares; we perecive that abcd has no divergence from a $d$, but it has a divergence from $e f$, as we perccive by the angles "and ${ }_{p}$, which ef makes with ad. But $a b c d$ has a yet greater divergence from $g h$; for $g h$ makes angles with a d, which severally exceed the two former, by the angles $\%$ and $\delta$.

Art. 14. But here an indispensable remark must be made. For, since any two straight lines, which meet, will make, if produced, as in Fig. 6, four angles equal by pairs, as $a$ and $a, b$ and $p$, and since, if either pair be very small, the divergence of the theo lines will be very small; therefore, of two adjacent angles, which one straight line makes with another, as the two angles $a$ and $b$, in Fig 7 ; if these two be unequal, the minor angle is that whereby we measure the divergence.

Art. 15. Now as it appears from Arts. 1 and 9, that all boundaries, if not cucountered by natural impediments, wonld be Normals, because boundaries of such a character offer to the human understanding the only obvious, primary, and immediate resource whereby to realize the pre-conceived conditions between the parties; it follows, that where a boundary has becn eventually adopted between two such parties, the first notion of such boundary must have been that of a normal, which, for the sake of accommodation to existing circumstances, has been diversified and altered into that form under which it was finally adopted. In every such case, the pre-
conceived original normal we shall call the Acchetype, and the actual boundary therefrom derived we shall call the Netatype.

Ant. 16. Hence we obtain a test for the detection of a spurious boundary. For if we can collect any evidence to show that such bonndary has been obtained and derived from no normal archetype, we have established a proof, that such bomdary was never adopted as the result of the mutual deliberation of two contracting parties, but betrays a fictitions origin by the devious aidl distorted aspect of its general career ; presenting, indeed, 110 unsuitable pieture of the inconsistent, perverse, and grilty movements of the creating spirit which directer it; aliernately impelled and restricted by the stimnlations of encroaching avarice, and the retiring trepidation of abashed and conscions turpitude.

Art. 17. To all that feel disposed, withont reflection, to denounce the principle detailed in the preceding Article, as far-fetched and fancifnl, it may be well to observe, that if that principle be a mere metaphysical and idle conceit, the fact is very extraordinary, that, from the most primitive and maspeculating ages of the human race, down to the present time, the miversal structure of language will clearly prove, that, from the geometrical ideas of "Struight," and "Crooked," "Lve"," and " Uneven," the moral ideas of "Goorl" and "Evil," have, in virtue of the right of kiudted, borrowed their verbal habiliments. With moderate research, and possibly with some surprise, those objectors will discover, that "Equity," is "rlatness," and moral Reetitude is moral Straightness. 'They will also perceive that a "Delinquent" is one who swerves from the path of duty, so as to "Leave" that path lying "Off" or away from his present course. They will also further perceive, that a "Perverse" disposition is that which is "Very Much Twistel Avay." To multiply examp'es of this kind is not necessary ; they are familiar to every linguist, and clearly indicate an intrinsic similarity and a usual associntion of these geometrical and moral ideas, for which 110 satisfactory reason can be casily given, if we deny the proposition, that a tendency to straightness will be a prevailing feuture in a boundary traced for the first time between two traets of land, mnder the direction of homest men.

Art. 1S. The process of denving a boundary from its normal arehetype will be evidently guided and mled by this consideration ; to shun mis-rergulution, perplexity, and toil, by di-reating this boundary, so as, first, to remeder it self-udjusted, wherehy the normal archetype will be its coerqutor; and secondly, so as, if possible, to have perfect affinity with its normal archctype, or clse to diverge from that archetype in the smallest possible degree. A familiar illustration of this case may be drawn from that of a traveller, who, being led by another person throngh an unknown country, will deriate from the traek of his guide as little as possible.

Art. 19. Hence we obtain a satisfactory test whereby we may discover, at least in extreme cases, whether any boundary las been derived from a normal archetype, or fabricated on some other principles. For if we ean discover that such boundary diverges extravagantly from each of all its possible coequators, we have clearly a strong presumption that such boundary was not obtained from any normal archetype; and, on the other hand, if we discover that such boundary has one coequator to which it has perfect affinity, or from which it very slightly diverges, we have an equally valid presumption of the opposite kind.

Art. 20. But a question may occur, of the same general nature, but under a different form, wherein the preceding test may be safely applied, not only in extreme cases, but in amy case. For if two boundaries be placed, from the peculiar cause, which gave them origin, or from any other circumstance, under such conflieting conditions and relations, one to the other, that one of these bomdaries must have been derived from a normal archetype, and the other must have been fabricated on other principles; and if we aseertain that we can draw to one of these boundaries a eoequator to which it has perfect affinity, whereas none such can be drawn to the other; or else, if we draw to each boundary that coequator from which it has least divergence; and then discover the divergence of the former boundary from such coequator to be less than that of the latter from its own coequator; we obtain thus, for the former boundary, a cause of preference before the latter, which decides the question.

Art. 21. It is also observable that sometimes a coequator may be parallel to the straight line which comects the extremities of the boundary, as de to ac, which connets the extremities of the boundary abr, in Fig. 8 ; or, thongh not parallel, may not mect that straight line, untess both be produced beyond the total tract, as the coequato $f g$, in lrig. 9, meets a e in $g$, the exterior borders of the traet being $A B C D E$ and FGIII. All such coecpuators we shall designate, for the sake of distinction, as Remote, and all others as Alfacent. From the extreme dilliculty, however, of directing an adjusted boundary by any such remote coerpuator, it is needless to say that no bondary has ever been derived from sueh a eocquator as its normal archetype. In the examination, therefore, of the comparative clams of diflerent bomdaries, to a gemine origin from a normal archetype, we have no cause to make any inquiry with respeet to remote cocquators.

Art. 22. If two boundaries be so circumstanced, that one must be spurious and the other genuine, but-which to acknowledge as genuine, -and which to reject-we are uneertain ; the only necessary aid, which has not yet been furnished for the settlement of such a question, is a process whereby we can try the question of greater or less divergency, as stated in the preceding Articles. For, by such a process, we can presumptively ascertain, as appears by those Articles, which of those boundaries has been derived from a normal arehetype, and which was fabricated on other principles; and again by Art. 16, from the discovery of these latter facts, we are immediately enabled to discriminate the spurious from the gennine boundary.

Art. 23. From each of the two extremities of any boundary a coequator to that boundary may be drawn. For, let any straight line, as $d \varepsilon$ in Fig. 10 and 11, be drawn from one extremity, as $d$, of a boundary $a b c d$, till it meets the opposite exterior border of the tract in $e$; de either not again meeting the boundary, as in Fig. 10, or again meeting it one or more times, as in Fig. 11. In the former case, let the area of the space comprehended between the boundary $a b c d$, the straight line $d e$, and the border a $e$, be calculated and represented by
$\Delta$; it is now an easy geodesic problem, to determine the position of a straight line, as the dotted line $d a$, which will make the space comprehended between itself, $l e$, and the border, equal to $\triangle$. The straight line $d a$ is the required coequator. For since de argments one of the shares, as determined by the bomdary, by the duantity $\triangle$; and again da diminishes by the same fuantity $\triangle$, the share which has thas been angmented; that share, and eonsequently the opposite one also, is now restored to its former value ; that is, $11 a$ is a eoequator. In the latter ease, let all the areas intercepted by 18 , hy the boundary, and by the opposite border, on one side of $d e$, be collected into one sum ; and let all such areas on the other side of $d e$ be collected and smmmed in the same mamer; and if, on one side, $n o$ intercepted area be fomud, the sun of such areas on What sille maty be stated as equal to zero; take the difference of the two stmas, and denote it hy ${ }^{\text {; }}$; then, mpon that side of $d e$, where the sum of the intercepted areas is greater than the wher, draw Iff, so as to make the spuce comprehended between itself, to, and the horder, equal to i: then for the same teasons which were assigned in the preceding case, of $f$ must be a coepmator. Hivery coequator, which mects one of the exbemities of a bomdary, shall hereafter, for the sale of distinctin, he styled Conterminat, and every other coequator, Distorminal.

Art. 2.1. All coequators to the same bomendary must meet aml intersect within the exterior boters of the total tract which contains the two shares. For if two straight lines mect on steh exterior borter, as $a c$ and $b c$, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ig. 12 , which meet on the border CD ; or if they meet neither on nor within such border, as a $c$ and $b d$, Fig. 13 ; then one of the two shares, determined by one of these straight lines, is augmented by the other straight line, without my comteracting diminution; therefore they camot be both cocquators. Therefore any two coequators must intersect within the " "ders, as $a b$ and $c d$, Firy. 14.

Arer. 25. And hence it immenately results, that, from either extromity of a boundary, we can draw but one cocquator;

Hhat sis, to every hommdary, we eall draw two, and only tw conterminal cocquators; and, in the case of periect affinity, these two coincite in dirction, and thas, in a certain sense, become one.
Anr. 26. Of all cocquators drawn to the same boundary, remote ones excepted, one of the two conterminal coequators is that which has least divergence. For, in Fig. 15, let $a$ and $b$ be the two extremities of a boundary, which it is not necessary here to represent; let $a c$ and $b a$ be the two conterminal coequitors, intersecting in $g_{g}$; let $e k$ be any other coequator which is not remote, intersecting $a c$ in $h$, and $b d$ in $i$; becallse $e k$ is not remote, it meets the comecting line $a b$, at some point $f$, between $a$ and $b$; now of the triangle $b f i$, the exterior angle "is greater than the angle at $b$; and of the triangle $a f$ of the exterior angle $\beta$ is greater than the angle at $\alpha$; therefore, of "and $\beta$, the minor one, if these be unequal, or each of them, if equul, is greater than one of the two interior imgles at $a$ and $b$; that is, in any case; one of the two interior angles at $a$ and $b$, is less than each of the angles at $\alpha$ and $\beta$. of that interior angle and its adjacent exterior, if the interior be the minor angle, or if both be equal, the conterminal coequator, to which that augle appertains, must have less divergence than $e k$; but if the adjacent exterior angle be the minor, then, since the interior angle is less than each of the two angles a and , a fortiori, the exterior angle, which is now the minor, is less than each of the angles " and $s$; therefore, in every possible case, one of the two conterminal coequators has less divergence than $c k . \quad$ Q. $\boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}$.

Art. 27. Hence, if the conflicting claims of two boundarics be such, that one must be spurious and the other genuine, we have the following practical rule for a decision of the case. T'o one boundary, draw both its conterminal coequators, and ascertain the divergence of ecch, selecting the lesser divergence, or cither, if equal, as the least possible which that boundary can have with any coequator which is not remote; in like manner, discover the least divergence, in the case of the other boundary; compare these two results together; then, whichever bound iry affords the
less result, we obtain, by the principles detailed in the precerlin! articles, a prepouderating evidence in favor of thut boumdary.

Arr. 28. By the mere mental substitntion of ares of groat circles for straight lines, the whole preceding theory. with scarcely a verbal alteration, becomes directly applicable to all cases, in which, from the large extent of the total tract, we may suppose the rotmulity of the terrestrial surface to have any perceptible effect on the question.

Art. 29. But, in the practical application of this theory, the following indispensable precantion must be observed. Through all the preceding investigations, the extent of any one bomblary is conceived to be that wherein it separates, every where, the previovsly undetcrmincel shares of those furo parties, and of those two purties alone. And therefore, if a bonndary be part of a contimous frontier ; and if that frontier, in other parts of its course, be a boundary to shares predetermined between the same two parties, or a boundary att all appertaining to any share of any third party ; if we wonld apply the preceding investigation to this first bomndary, we must. first aseertain how much of that frontier constitntes this boundary, by discovering on what peints of that frontier the extremities of this bowndary fall.

Art. 20. Hence, in the application of the preceding process to an examination of the two bomdaries respectively elaimed by the American and Britisi mations, on the frontiers of New Bronswiek and Mane; we should first ascertain where the common westward extremity of the two compicting bomderries lies; that is, to what extent westward, in 1783 , the bomedary established in that year separated British from American territory. The true answer to this question seems to be, that snch boundary was bona fiele a bomdary between the United States and the British possessions, throngh all its westwarl course till it first reached "branch of the Mississippi: as every part of the region westward of that river, at least as far as to the Rocky Mountains, appears to have been, at that tine, either Indian, or French territory. This question, however, being subject to the decision of historical researel, its linther consideration is umnecessary here.

# AN EXAMNATHON OF THE: TREATY OF' 1783: 

## FRos WHICII IS DERIVEI

# A DEMONSTRATION OF THE FALSEHOOD OF THE BRITISII CLAIM, 

anid also of<br>The Trutil of the american.

Art. 1. An angle of any superficial figure, lies at a point where two vides of the fievere met, as the point $A$ in Fig. 16.

Art. 2. 'Two sides of any such figure can meet only at their extremitics.
Art. 3. Hence the angles of any such figure can exist only at the cxitomitios af the soreral sirlos.

Anre. 1. Hence, any such figure has no angle at a point on any of its own sides, between the extremitios of that side.

Art. 5. It may easily however, have an angle of emonthot figure, or seroral ingles of as many several figures, its sueh it point on its own side, between the extremities of that side; as the angles $a$ and $c$, at the point $l$, in Nis. 17 , where $A B, B ; C$, $C D$, and $D E$, are sums of a figure wherenf the total representation is not necessary here; and $b d$ is a common side of two other figures, whose representation is allso unnecessiry.

Art. 6. Before, during, and after the preparation of the treaty of $178: 3$, for a certain space of time, the two tracts of country, which are now called Nova Scotia aud New Brunswick, were comprehended under the common appellation of

Nova Scotia. Sce the article, "Nora Scotic," in the Encyclopedia Americana, and also in Dr. Rces's Cyclopedia.

Art. 7. In that comprehensive sense, we shall employ the term in this examintion.

Anr. S. Now it appears from the map of Lower Canadn, with adjacent parts of the United States and Nova Scotia, published by the British "Society for the Diffinsion of Useful Knowledge," that one side of Nova Scotia, mamely, the westcrn, is a line extending Norlh and South, as BC' in Fig. 17; whose northern extremity $C$ mects anolher side, namely, $C D$, and its sonthern extremity mects the Chiputnaticook river, which, by the line of its progress to Passamaruoddy Bay, makes a third side. It also appears from that map, that, according to the British elaim, the "northwest angle of Nova Scotia," specified in the treaty of 1783 , lies on the point $b$, of the side BC, between the extremities of that side, and $b d$ is a common side or boundary of the United States and of Canada. But, by what we have shown in articles 4 and 5 , the angle $a$ would, in that case, be the southectst angle of Canade, and the angle $c$ would be the northeast angle of the United States; but Nova Scotia has no angle whatever at the point b; therefore the Pritish claim must be false.

Art. 9. But, by the American claim, the northwest angle of Nova Scotia lies at the point $C$, where undoubtedy there is an angle of Nova Scotia; which angle, being also the ouly angle of Nova Scotia which is made by the northern extromi$t y$ of the side $B C$; it must be the northwest angle of Nova Scotia, specified in the treaty aforesaid. Therefore, the British claim is false, and also the American is truc. $\mathbf{Q}$. L. D.

I cannot conclnde the foregoing investigations, without acknowledging my obligations to Dr. J. D. Hedge, of Cambridge, for the kind and active manner in which he has labored to ensure the correctness, encourage the publication, and promote the success of this work, by the removal of many prac-
tical difficulties, which, otherwise, if not insurmountable, would have created extreme delay and embarrassment ; more especially I refer to the exertions of that gentleman for the facilitation of my access to authorities and documents, which I should otherwise have found it extremely dinficult, if not impossible, to procure.

Finally, Sir, having now completed a task, in the performance of which I have been animated only by an impulse of duty, combined with an encouragiug hope of inheriting a share of that imnortal celebrity, which the man who benefits his race by intellectual achicvements may extort from the grasp of an unwilling world, and retain to the latest extent of human posterity ;

I subscribe myself, with great respect, your Excellency's obedient servant,

JOHN LEE.



