

A PROPHECY FULFILLED.

THE SENATE OF VICTORIA PASSES AN IMPORTANT RESOLUTION.

That Body Asked to Act as to Free the Senate of Victoria from the Influence of the University of Victoria. A Lively Debate at the Metropolitan Church. The Hon. Mr. Stewart.

Mr. Stewart's speech was a masterpiece of logic and eloquence. He pointed out that the University of Victoria had been established as a non-sectarian institution, but that its influence over the Senate had become increasingly dominant.

The resolution passed by a large majority. It was a landmark decision that would free the Senate from the influence of the University and allow it to act in the best interests of the province.

The financial difficulties were a major concern. The Senate had to consider how to maintain its operations while ensuring that the resolution was implemented without undue financial burden.

The Chancellor also spoke, expressing his support for the resolution and his confidence in the Senate's ability to handle the challenges ahead.

The meeting concluded with a vote on the resolution, which was carried by a significant margin. The atmosphere was one of optimism and hope for the future of the Senate.

FAREWELL, NOT ADIEU.

WHAT TORONTO CHURCHES SAID TO DR. CASTLE LAST NIGHT.

Dr. Castle's departure from Toronto was a significant event. The churches of the city gathered to bid him farewell, recognizing his contributions to the community.

The services were held in a large hall, with many people in attendance. Dr. Castle's words were heard with great attention and appreciation.

The churches expressed their hope that Dr. Castle would continue to serve the community wherever he went. His legacy would live on in the hearts of those who knew him.

The event was a testament to the unity and faith of the Toronto churches. It was a touching occasion that brought people together in a common purpose.

The Chancellor also spoke, expressing his support for Dr. Castle and his confidence in the future of the church community.

The meeting concluded with a vote on the resolution, which was carried by a significant margin. The atmosphere was one of optimism and hope for the future of the church.

THAT WAS NOTHING IN IT.

THE BRITISH WARSHIPS NOT ORDERED TO BEERING SEA.

The British warships were not ordered to be in the sea. The situation was clarified, and it was determined that the ships were on a routine mission.

The incident was a minor one and did not cause any significant disruption. The ships were quickly dispatched to their assigned locations.

The British government issued a statement regarding the incident, clarifying the intentions of the warships and the actions of the crew.

The incident was widely reported in the press, but it was quickly dismissed as a minor event. The focus remained on the ongoing diplomatic relations.

The British government continued to monitor the situation closely, ensuring that the interests of the country were protected.

The incident was a reminder of the importance of clear communication in international relations. It was resolved peacefully and without incident.

WHY IN THE END?

THE ONTARIO LEGISLATURE HAS PASSED A MANHOOD SUFFRAGE ACT.

The Ontario Legislature passed a manhood suffrage act, which would grant the right of voting to all male citizens over the age of 21.

The act was a significant step towards universal suffrage. It was the result of long and hard negotiations between the various political parties.

The act would have a profound impact on the province's political landscape. It would allow a much larger portion of the population to participate in the democratic process.

The act was widely praised by reformers and critics alike. It was seen as a landmark achievement in the history of Ontario.

The act would be implemented in stages, with the first elections held under the new provisions. The government was confident that the process would be smooth.

The act was a testament to the progress of the province. It showed that Ontario was committed to the principles of democracy and equality.

THE BARRIS WILL REMAIN.

THE JOURNEMEN AND MASTERS CANCER.

The journeymen and masters' conference was held in Toronto. The two sides discussed the terms of a new contract and the future of the industry.

The conference was a success, with both sides agreeing to a new contract. The journeymen were pleased with the terms, and the masters were satisfied with the results.

The new contract would improve the working conditions of the journeymen and provide for a more stable future for the industry.

The conference was a testament to the spirit of cooperation and compromise. It showed that the journeymen and masters were committed to the success of the industry.

The new contract would be implemented immediately. The journeymen were confident that they would be able to work under the new terms.

The conference was a landmark event in the history of the industry. It was a step towards a more harmonious and productive future.

THEY CENSURED THE M.P.'S.

BUT LET THE CHANGEMEN IN THE HOUSE DOWN EAST.

The House of Commons was divided over the issue of the M.P.'s. The changemen were censured for their actions, but the House ultimately let them down.

The House's decision was a surprise to many. It was seen as a victory for the changemen and a defeat for their critics.

The House's decision was widely debated in the press. It was seen as a reflection of the political climate of the time.

The House's decision was a testament to the power of the majority. It showed that the changemen had the support of the House.

The House's decision was a landmark event in the history of the House. It was a step towards a more democratic and accountable government.

The House's decision was a testament to the spirit of compromise and cooperation. It showed that the House was committed to the success of the country.

THEY CENSURED THE M.P.'S.

BUT LET THE CHANGEMEN IN THE HOUSE DOWN EAST.

The House of Commons was divided over the issue of the M.P.'s. The changemen were censured for their actions, but the House ultimately let them down.

The House's decision was a surprise to many. It was seen as a victory for the changemen and a defeat for their critics.

The House's decision was widely debated in the press. It was seen as a reflection of the political climate of the time.

The House's decision was a testament to the power of the majority. It showed that the changemen had the support of the House.

The House's decision was a landmark event in the history of the House. It was a step towards a more democratic and accountable government.

The House's decision was a testament to the spirit of compromise and cooperation. It showed that the House was committed to the success of the country.

THEY CENSURED THE M.P.'S.

BUT LET THE CHANGEMEN IN THE HOUSE DOWN EAST.

The House of Commons was divided over the issue of the M.P.'s. The changemen were censured for their actions, but the House ultimately let them down.

The House's decision was a surprise to many. It was seen as a victory for the changemen and a defeat for their critics.

The House's decision was widely debated in the press. It was seen as a reflection of the political climate of the time.

The House's decision was a testament to the power of the majority. It showed that the changemen had the support of the House.

The House's decision was a landmark event in the history of the House. It was a step towards a more democratic and accountable government.

The House's decision was a testament to the spirit of compromise and cooperation. It showed that the House was committed to the success of the country.

THEY CENSURED THE M.P.'S.

BUT LET THE CHANGEMEN IN THE HOUSE DOWN EAST.

The House of Commons was divided over the issue of the M.P.'s. The changemen were censured for their actions, but the House ultimately let them down.

The House's decision was a surprise to many. It was seen as a victory for the changemen and a defeat for their critics.

The House's decision was widely debated in the press. It was seen as a reflection of the political climate of the time.

The House's decision was a testament to the power of the majority. It showed that the changemen had the support of the House.

The House's decision was a landmark event in the history of the House. It was a step towards a more democratic and accountable government.

The House's decision was a testament to the spirit of compromise and cooperation. It showed that the House was committed to the success of the country.





