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## Kor jamen trayms

Exaplewient' of the ladhor "Shake-Speare" AN ENQUIRY

Paper read before the St. James Literary Society, Montreal

February 17
1910

BY
SAMUEL M. BAYLIS
Author of "Camp and Lamp," "At the Sign of the Beaver," etc.

Mr. Chatrman and Gentlemen,-I make no apology for appearing before St. James Literary Society at the invitation of its Executive, to discuss, albeit very imperfectly, a problem of such supreme literary interest, amazing import, and intense fascination, involving as it does the creation of the crowning glory of all literature.

If I exceed the narrow bounds of allotted time, and the wide limit of your indulgent patience, you will remember that my task is not to review in detail the whole of a most intricate and far-reaching question, but to endeavor to extract the essence and place if before you in a condensed form, suited to the occasion and your presumed taste, and perhaps bear with me.

If you should find any of it as unpalatable as polar pemmican, I trust that some nutriment may yet remain to fortify you In the cold and uncertain temperature which will surround you in those fields of adventure and discovery which I hope you may wish to explore, and to which I but attempt to point a way.

## "Shake-Speare": An Enquiry

Fifty years "are but as a day" in a nation's history, and that brief period of time comprising the end of the Tudor and the beginning of the Stuart dynasties-the days "of Eliza and our James "-that is to say, the last quarter of the sixteenth and the first quarter of the seventeenth centuries, or, to be more exact in covering the subject-matter to be considered, from 1561 to 1626, is one of the most glorious in the annals of England.

Then it was that the foot of intrepid adventure set forth to new worlds and planted itself firm to hold and colonize for England; then it was that her barking sea-dogs ravished the Spanish Main, riffed the freighted galleons of their golden cargoes, and rammed whole Armadas "full fifty fathoms deep" in the enguling sea; then it was that "nest of singing birds" lifted their tuneful voices in glorious new song, resurrected the twin muses of poesy and the drama from their agelong burial, and gave them new birth into immortal life; then it was that "holy men of old," under royal warrant of a learned and plous king, sent forth in the vernacular of the common people the inspiring messsage of the "Book of Life"; then it was that "Shake-Speare" - "Our English Homer"- was born, lived, worked, and died.

And what a splendid honor roll blazes into view as we scan the storied page! Raleigh, Frobisher, Gllbert, Hawkins, Drake, and Howard-" admirals all," and gentlemen-rovers to bootlifting high the royal standard on home and fore!gn seas. Leicester and Burleigh, Bacon and Coke, Cecil and Buckingham, Essex and Southampton-to name but a handful-councillors true and pllots tried, guiding the ship of state through storm and stress and perils dire. Sidney, Spenser, Daniel, Wither, Herbert, Suckling, Lovelace, and Herrick-" choiring like cheru. bim "-lilted their undying notes in epic, lyric, and hymn. Heywood and Peale, Nash and Greene, Marlowe and Webster, Massinger and Ford, Beaumont and Fletcher, Jonson and "Shake-Speare"-the king of them all-then raised the bedrag. gled muse from the rags and tatters of the old "moralities" and "miracle plays," inspiring with new hope, imbuing with fresh purpose, reclothing in most witching guise, and staging for am the world to see and read and ponder in a new-created English drama.

Of all the splendid products of that goiden age, of supreme importance and highest value to the world at large, none are esteemed of greater worth than the Authorized Version of the Engiish Blble, issued in 1611, and the iiterature we know as "Shake-Speare," more particuiarly the plays as comprised in the Great Folio of 1623. Merely as books, original coples now command fabulous prices, and by common consent they stand at the head of all compilations of "ilists of best books." Expiorers in the wilds, wishing to have with them some comfort of the literature of civilization, and under the necessity of reducing their baggage to the extreme ilmit, select these two books as their soie companions.

The Bibie is, and properiy so, heid in the highest reverence and esteem by right-thinking peopie; but all know the extreme view taught by uitra-religionists of former days-a view fast being dissipated under the searchlight of the school of latter-day higher criticism. Far from denying the "inspiration" behind the labors of holy mon of lofty ideais, rather, indeed, do men hoid that no great ifterary achievement was or is possibie except tha "Divine Fire" descend upon the already prepared aitar and light the sacrifice of soul invoived when one would so pradse God in rendering Him back tro bestowed gift of genius in the service of His creatures.

Though in a sense iconociastic, and to some plous souis sacrilegious, Bibical criticism, instead of being destructive, is rather con-structive in rebuilding a new and more worthy edifice out of the appa: : : : ruins of the oid. Questions of authorship being settied, the motif of the work takes on a new and inteligible meaning. So-calied history resoives itseif naturaily and rationaily thto mere foik-iore and legend. What may be calied history is interpreted in the light of other chronicies and latter-day knowledge. Stories of the marvellous doings of national heroes commissioned of God are read as are the mythical nursery tales preserved among all peoples. Psaims bearing a royal imprimatur are found to be but a compllation of a national, religious, poetical ilterature. Mystical interpretation of other high-placed composition gives way before the matter-of-fact reading of an Oriental, and somewhat sensuous, love story. Prophecy is but another name for insight into the spirit of the age and foresight in warning of the inevitable consequences. Alieged witnesses of passing events are tested as to their credibility and the possibility of having been mistaken. Miracie is expiained on naturai grounds, or aitogether denied as utteriy incredible, even to the extent of deciaring that the highest human personailty-" God manifest in the flesh "-came into the worid just as every other Ittie human baby has done before or since.

If exacting, all-testing, modern scientife rniticism does not scrupie to lay profane hand on the very Ark of the Covenant, shall it hold back and refrain from touching this "Idol of the Theatre," jeaiousiy guarded though it be by postuiating acoiyte and vestured hierarch, and shail the skeptical modern world
stand longer in battled Napoleonic wondering on the arid sands before the shrouded mystery of a dumb Sphinx without some effort being made to wrest from it its age-old secret, and obtain a satisfying answer to importunate questioning?

And what is this inscrutable riddle, this m!racle of literature, that bursts upon our view from the gloom of an Elizabethan playhouse, whose mystery deepens and whose marvels grow, while men stand in amazed awe before it , as votaries kneeling before the shrined relics of a saint?

In brief, and without venturing unwarrantably to trespass in the fields of textual criticism-much of which, in the light of traditional interpretation, is necessarily pure conjecture-that which we call "Shake-Speare," as all the world knows, is comprised in certain poems, a collection of sonnets, and a compila. tion of plays, 36 in number, gathered together and issued in folio form in 1623 by self-constituted sponsors. Apart from other stray pieces, the poems are two in number, entitled "Venus and Adenis" and "The Rape of Lucrece," of considerable length, and classic in origin, thought and style; models of form, rhyme, metre, and diction; written in the purest English, and bearing evidence of being the productions of a scholar fresh from his studies in the university. They were printed in 1593 and 1594 respectively, cedicated to the Earl of Southampton, and signed "William Shakespeare." The sonnets are 154 dn number, of the proper fourteen-line construction, but differing from the old Petrarchan model in that they are composed of three quatrains ending with a rhymed couplet, and being what is known as the Shakesperean form of sonnet. They were printed in the name of the hyphenated "Shake-Speare" in 1609, but apparently Without authority, the circumstances surrounding the issue being peculiar, not to say suspicious, and their raison d'etre and mean-ing-whether objective and personal, or subjective and meta-physical-is still a matter of debate, even among the elect Fellowship of Scholars. Sidney Lee says of them: "Shakespeare's sonnets possess an incomparable poetic merit and a psychological interest which entitle them to a place apart from other examples of the like branch of literary effort." (Elizabethan Sonnets, Introduction, p. IX.) The plays comprised in the folio constitute-with Pericles, which was not included-what is the admitted "Shake-Speare" canon, although some fifteen other known plays were issued and attributed to the same authorship.

The extraordinary "make-up" of this remarkable book; the antastic and suggestive paging, or entire absence of it in places; the dll-founded assertions of its alleged sponsors; the equivocal wording of the eulogistic introductories and dedication; the hideous absurdity of the so-called "portrait"-which is like nothing in all contemporary or other portraiture, and as different as night from day to that other, and perhaps more nearly correct, portrait on the wall of siratford Church-all suggest a most ingenious attempt to mislead, and indicate that here is "something more than meets the eye," to which the irreverent do not hesitate to apply the expressive colloquialism, "Fake!" and which experts in cryptography declare to be a mass of cun-
ning and intricate clpher-work, alleging that the date of publica tion, 1623, was specially chosen by the real publishers becaule of the pecuitar mathematical sisniacance of the fisures in the appilcation of a cypher.

Apart from financlal considerations invoived in the produc. tion of such costiy work for a limited market, there are some noteworthy features deserving of more tham passing attention with respect to the pubication of this world-revered book.

Of the 36 piays which it contains, 18 were previousiy issued in quarto form, at frst anonymously, afterwards with the authorehip attributed. Of the remaining 18 hitherto unprinted, some 12 were supposed aiso to have been staged, either in private or pubiliciy; but, so far as ds known, the other half dozen were entirely new, and had never before been heard of. The follo versions of the quarto editions bear evidence of such revision as in some instances to amount to a practical rewriting; even the quarto edition of "Othello," printed as late as 1622, differs materially from the revised version in the 1623 folio, and the supposed author had been dead and buried since 1616 !

Moreover, in 1632, there was published the Second Folio, and In 1664 the Third Folio, and the fingers of "Grand Possessors," other tban those ostensibly behind the publication of these vari. ous editions, are clearly tracud, "because," as one writer (Donneliy) observes, "in each of the three each page is a duplicate of the same page in the 162s Folio, beginning and ending with the same words, and repeating even the same apparent errors of pagination, spelling, bracketing, and hyphenation of the text!" These peculiarities disappear in the Fourth Folio of 1685 , and no explanaiton of colncidence or chance will account for these circumstances; rather, indeed, does it, as it is suggested, indicate the working out of an understanding of some kind, by some organization, on some definite plan, covering some fixed time, and for some great purpose.

Passing from these externals, and as expressly enjoined to "Look not on his picture, but his book!" let uil do so with every certainty of finding there all that might be hoped for, even beyond our highest imagining.

The plays are in three divisions-" comedies, histories, tragedies." In the historical plays we see embodied an Idea that seems strangely famlliar-that of miking history visible, as expounded by a certain "grave and reverend senior," whose name must not even be whispered in such disreputable company as that of play-actors! And what a spiendid cohort of exalted personages is made to pass in review before the audiences assembled in the Royal Palace, the mansions of the great, or before or upon the common stage of the public theatre-in exterso or in excised versions as the differing capacities and tastes of spectators called for. How the blood even of the town blades and their frolicsome light-o loves masquerading in male attire-"cod-plece" and all-must have stirred, and the "sweaty night-caps" of the "groundlings"

In the "yard" been tossed to the unrocfed sky, as they saw Eng. land's paar slories unroll before their ilelighted eyes; and how the puises of even the jaded crowned ald coroneted auditors in courtiy halls must have leaped in response to those magnificent apostrophes to England's greatness, thundered from the lips of the favorite ster of the "Company of my Lord Chamberlain," or one of " Her Majesty's Servants "! Think, too, how the penetrative, cultured few must have been lost in amaze as they saw the poet-phllosopher, with skilled and unerring hand, lay bare the naked soul, dissecting with anatomical precision the follies, passions, prejudices, and beliefs of poor, sick humanity, and prosenting his conclusions of instruction and warning in what was to the superficial view but a tale of love, or a bloody tragedy! With what wondrous imagination does he weave together his scraps and fragments of old tales gleaned from all lands, literatures, and languages! How the dry and dusty "chronicle" leaps into new life and living history as it flows from breathing lips hot from an inspired pen! What deeps of vast and allembracing learning are disclosed as scholars track metaphor and simble, allusion and phrase, back to their classic origin in untranslated authors; as lawyers discover in poem, sonnet, and play phrase and technical term thick-strewn and used as the commonplaces of speech and dialogue to a degree that shows this writer to be a pastmaster of the crafi; as medical men deciare him to have a knowledge of the healing art far in advance of his age, and, in some measure, yet of this; and 29 students in the arts of music, horticulture, seamanship, husbandry, and specialists in the $b$ ndicrafts claim him for their own! Philologists-the language makerd-discover in him the master word-artist, borrowing, transforming, coining, as fancy wills; experdmenting in novel root-derivations, compiling a newcreated English language, and accumulating a vocabulary more than double that of Milton, the greatest classicist of our mothertongue! What an air of the born aristocrat surrounds him as he breathes his life into his stage creations! Not a false note or misplaced step do any one of them make as they move about their appointed place, even the highest, as those "to the manner born," and with what condescension does he stoop to patter famlliarly with the lowly in the jargon of the rascal and the rogue, the clod-hopper and the clown! In what spirit of poetic frenzy is it all conceived; with what soaring imagination is it given form and substance; and with what divine fire is it all fused into one immortal whole, let those who better can attempt to say. We may at least Join with Coleridge in his apostrophe: "Merclful, wonder-making Heaven! What a man was this Shakespeare! Myriad-minded, indeed, he was!" or agree with Carlyle in his summing up of hilm as "An unparalleled mortal," and perhaps permit a much humbler writer to offer his testimony in these lines:

> Mr. William Shakespeare's Comedles, Histories and Tragedies, London, 1623.

> Immortal Trilogy-Love's Testament, Fame's 'In Excelsis," Passion's LitanyDeathless, imperishable Trinity!
> Excalibur, burnished armipotent, King'r panoply, tyrants' admonishment, Pierian Spring of loftiest minstrelsy, Flower of all speech, bloom of all poesy, Thralled lips' Great Charter of enfranchisemeintLast of our envied England's Three, first wrung Froin puissant arrogance at Runnymede, Writ with his blood by martyred Tyndale's pen, Eternized by her SHAKESPEARE'S herald tongue Unto the last-born of this dowered breed Of Island-Empire-building Englishmen!

Thus, in briefest possible form, with due raserve and proper re:- yect, would one of the least of his countless admirers venture to appraise "Shake-Speare." And now of him to whom tradition and repute assigns the authorship.

The lifestory of the putative author, as evolved by the laborious researches of the world of English scholars, students, and investigators-apart from the mass of assumption and conjecture respecting his alleged achievements in literature-may be given shortly as follows:

William Shakspere, or Shaxper, or Shagsper, or any one of the scores of discovered variants-not one of which, however, agrees with the "heroically-sounding" and never-varying "SHAKE-SPEARE," with or without the hyphen, of the publisher's page-was born on or about April 22. 1564, the exact date being uncertain, in Stratford-on-Avon, Warwickshire, and was baptized on the 26th of the same month. His father was John Shakspere, who pursued the trades of glover and woolstapler, and dealt in corn, leather, and other articles. His mother's name was Mary Arden, and both parents were of peasant stock. The tr Nn was filthy and insanitary to a degree inconceivable in these days of clvic hygiene-the elder Shakspere, notwithstanding the provision of public dumping-grounds, having once been fined for accumulating a dung-hill before his premises. It was, moreover, according to Hal-liwell-Phillips, a "bookless neighborhood"; the family was illiterate, and leading citizens signed their names with a mark. The father was at one time fairly well-to-do, and held some minor offices in the public gift, but later his fortunes
had so declined that he was imprisoned for debt. There is no evidence that the iad ever attended school, but, if he did so at ali, his schooilng ended with his thirteenth year, when he was required to assist his father at his trade. Granted this modicum of education, it consisted of lessons in the "Hornbook," the Catechlsm, and such scraps and sentences as might be learned by rote from "Lily's Latin Grammar "-satirized in the "Merry Wives of Windsor "-the whole impressed upon the youthful memory by means of the suppie birch rod vigorousiy wieided by the typicai pedagogue of the day. His youth was wild and riotous-a story being told of a drinking-bout between the bibbers of Stratiord and the topers of a neighboring village, in which, the carrying capacity of the Stratford ale-butts being inferior to that of their opponents, the former were, necessarily, defeated, and our hero siept over night under a sheitering crabtree, which for long, and with better authenticity, was pointed out among the originai Shakespeare relics. He was married at the age of 18 to al woman seven years oider than himseif, and from the evidences of haste exhibited in the "Marriage Bond," and the fact that stx months after this ill-assorted union a child -"the premature Susannah "-was born, it is inferred that pressure had been brought to accompiish the marriage. As a resuit of proceedings taken against him by Sir Thomas Lucy for deer-stealing-an incident aliuded to in the plays-he fled to Londnn, and there, naturally, sought asyium and comfort from friends among the actors of the play-houses. He was given employment in the menial capacity of horse-hoider for the galiants who rode to the theatre, subsequently being promoted to the office of cail-boy, at length rising to the status of actor, and finaily becoming a part proprietor, or at least a sharer in the proflts, of the theatre and weaithy beyond common through the profts accruing from the production of plays by the latest popuiar author, preciseiy as, we iearn from a diary preserved at Dulwich Coliege, his neighbor, Philip Henslowe, appears to have done. While he may have made periodical visits to his home town, his ife was necessarily lived where his business tied him; and considering the unsavory reputation of the piayers' gulid; the vile assoclations of his millieu; the scandalous stories of his amours in outwitting his feilows in the favor of the too-compiacent citizens' wives, and the engrossing ciaims of his money-getting ventures, the influences formative of nature and character may weil be conceived. He made moncy in large measure, and some of it he invested in London and Stratford property. He twice appiied to the Heraids' Coilege for a grant of coat-armour to better adorn that "gentility" to which he aspired, but as they were supported by faise allegations, and based on unfounded claims, the applications were refused, and the use of the familiar arms and crest was, and is, wholiy unwarranted and unauthorized. He retired to Stratford to the important "place of iordship" which he had purchased, there to enfoy the congenial society the iocality afforded, empioying his revenues-a portion of which came from the share in the Tithes of Stratford which he had acquired-in ioaning
petty sums to his neighbors and friends, and promptly suing when payment was delayed. Some of his time was evidently occupled in brewing or malting, as accounts sued for show they were for malt delivered. He died in 1616, on or about the anniversary of his birth, from a fever contracted as a result of another drinking-bout celebrated in company with the poets Drayton and Jonson. He was buried, 17 feet deep, it is said, in the chancel of Stratford Church, not because of his assumed "gentility," or in tribute to his supposed intellectual worthrather, indeed, in face of existing legislation which classed his trade of actor with the vagabondage of rogues and thievesbut colely by right of ownership of the Tithes which conveyed that distinction. A most extraordinary inscription, grotesque in carving, and cryptic in character, invoking a witch's curse on the disturber of his bones, was placed on the slab over his grave-the original stone having been broken was replaced in the early half of the 19th century, and the inscription now existing has none of the uncouth features shown by Malone to have been present in the original-and an imposing monument, with eulogistic epitaph, erected on the chancel wall, neither of them by any known authority. Indeed, Sir Edwin Durning-Lawrence contends, on the authority of Rowe and earlier illustrators, that the present monument is not the one originally erected, and shows by their drawings the remarkable difference between the two. His will was concelved in the spirit of a parvenu seeking to found and perpetuate a "family" on the strength sums to friends groats and pence. He bequeathed small and remembered the " fellow-actors "to buy them rings," dream, as am afterthoureet Ann Hathaway" of love's young bequest to her, as perhaps was fitting an interlineation by the He remembered his "silver gilt bowl," and second best bed." entall in favor of children's children to and provided for the of a favorite book or precious manuscript, or a thought or provision for the care of those "brain-children" as dear to an author as those of his own flesh and blood-the inference is clear! The scrivener who engrossed the will evidently did so in the belief that the testator could not write, as he closed it with the formula: "Witness my seal," erasing this word, and substituting "hand," when he discovered a capacity to sign a name. This was done three times in varying fashion, indicative of one otherwise diliterate, and those three signatures on the will, two upon other legal papers, and one, recently unearthed by Professor Wallace attached to a deposition in a petty law suit -some of these being now, indeed, held to be of doubtful only evidence personal signatures-are the sole record and recent much-heralded "pen ever having been put to paper. The researches among the arscoveries" of Professor Wallace in his point at issue, the revchives of London in no sense touch the and business disputes simply of deponents in lovers' quarrels life of a man actively engaged in prow the commonplace with associates of like callibre.

A simple story, easily understandable, comuúuniâce eveu, by reason of oft-repetition, this rise from poverty to afliuence, but"Marry this man to his verse!" cry we, with Emersoin, and concur with him in answering: "I surely cannot!" To attempt such unhoiy union would seem to invoive the abandonment of judgment and the dethronement of reason, and imply a credulity out-miracling Miracle!

It must not be forgotten, in these days when contemporary ascription and title-page atiribution is mistakenly heid to be sufficient to fuily establish a claim to possession, that the "Shakespeare Question' was very much alive 300 years ago. and that the mystery surrounding them is as oid as the Plays themselves. The dramatists of the day were fuliy awake to the new voice that was stirring the senses of the piaf-goers, aud bidding fair to woo them away from the waning charm of the oid minstreis, plpe they never so cunningly. What does it mean? Who is the piper to whom these stage puppets dance as they are bid? But question as they might, and suspect as they would, they must be very careful what they say, as the penalty for libel might be exacted in ears or hands or tongues for the sin of exercising them unduiy. There is no manner of doubt concerning a certain proprietor of theatres and exploiter of poets' wares, but even he must be handied with care lest troubie come of it; hence the need for speaking oircumspectly, and writing indirectiy, aithough one may, indeed, in so doing, be somewhat free in the use of words. Here are a few choice phrases with which one relieves his burdened soul:
..." The ingrafted over-flow of some kill-cow concelt. . . no more learning in their skuils . . . nor art in their brains than was nourished in a serving man's idieness . . . could scarceiy latinize their neck-verse if they should have need. . . idiotmasters that intrude themseives . . think to outbrave better pens with the swelling bombast of bragging blank verse. . . yet English Seneca read by candie-light yields many good sen. tences, as 'blood is a beggar,' and so forth; and if you entreat him fair on a frosty morning, he will afford you whole Hamlets, I should say handfuls, of tragical speeches."
(Nash, Introduction to Greene's Menaphon, 1589).
This is interesting both as a study in words and in showing the difflicuity Nash had in knowing " just where he was at," and "the point" of course, "is in the application." Here is amother choice bit:
"Others. . . if they come to write or pubilsh anything in print, it is either distilled out of ballads, or borrowed of theological poets, which, for their calling and gravity being loth to have any profane pamphiets pass under their own hand, get some other Batillus to set their name to their verses. Thus is the ass made proud by this underhand brokery, and he that cannot write English without the help of cierks of parish churches, will needs make himself the father of interludes." (Greene, Farewell to Folly, 1591.)

This is a little more pointed, but Greene, as we shall see, can do much better; meanwhile, some "testimony" from that chief witness for the defence, Ben Jonson, is submitted. It is No. 56 in his "Epigrams," and is entitled,

## ON POET-APE.

" Poor Poet-Ape that would be thought our chlef, Whose works are e'en the frippery of wit.
From brokage has become so bold a thief As we the robbed, leave rage and pity it.
At first he made low shifts, would pick and gleam, Buy the reversion of old plays. Now grown
To a little wealth and credit in the scene
He takes up all, makes each man's wit his own
And told of this he slights it. Tut, such crimes
The sluggish, gaping auditor devours;
He marks not whose 'twas first, and aftertimes May judge it to be hyr as well as ours.
Fools! as if half-eyes wif not know a fleece
From locks of wool, anc .areds from the whole plece?"
There is little doubt about the "point" of Ben's satirical lines, and less diffculty in their "application"; and now let us hear another word about which there is no ambiguity at all:
"There is an upstart crow beautified with our feathers, that with his tiger's heart wrapped in a player's hide, supposes he is as well able to bombast out a blank verse as the best of you. and being an absolute Johannes Factotum is in his own conceit the only Shakescene in a country." (Greena, Groatsworth of
Wit, 1592.)

Words of one's own are superfluous in the presence of such vigorous English, and their acidity is only surpassed by the armament wielded in the present day by the champio of the much satirized adapter. One word more from these : sters of quip and banter and we pass on:
" Get thee to London . . . there thou shalt learn to be frugal ma. and to feed upon all men, to let none feed upon thee, to make thy hand a stranger to thy pocket, thy heart slow to perform thy tongue's promise, and when thou feelest thy purse well lined, buy thee some place of lordship in the country, that, growing weary of playing, thy money may bring thee to dignity and reputation. Then thou needest care for no man, nor not for them that before made thee proud with speaking their words upon the stage. . . for I have heard, indeed, of some that have gone to London very meanly, and have come in time to be exceeding wealthy." (Ratsie's Ghost, London, 1605.)

Much more, indeed, there is, and perhaps some of it inspired, but these quotations, given, it is hoped, not too lengthily, will fully serve all purposes of invective, were one at all so inclined. In dismissing "the only Shakescene," the man who claimed no
rights and disciaimed no aspersions, but merely pocketed his gains in silence, it cannot, perhaps better be done than in the words of one of the iatter-day writers, who closes his book thus:
"Was this man, uneducated, as his contemporaries calied him, an impostor, as everyone who knew him in the character of a dramatist calied him-was this the man whose vocabulary. enriched with the spoils of five languages besides his own, was greater, three times greater, it wouid seem, than that of any other mortal who ever lived? Must we permit the nineteenth century to go out and join the vast congregation of the ages stained with a superstition so palpable, so humiliating to us, so unspeakabiy absurd as this?"
(Edwin Reed, Bacon vs. Shakespeare, 1897, p. 281.)
In approaching the question from the other side, however, we do so in a different spirit, weil knowing of the need for ail our powers of reasoning and penetration for its elucidation, and were we cailed upon to prove the paternity of these "orphaned heirs," we shouid naturally proceed in the direction of elimination of the impossible in the endeavor to arrive at the probabie, possibie, and actuai, just in the rational way in which students have gone to work in their Herculean task. Conscious of the distinct fiavor, and sensible of the marked unity characteristic of this iiterature, which has a classification by itself, and can oniy be described fittingiy by its own derivative, "Shakespearean," we are constrained to set aside the theories of a composite authorship advanced by some writers, and are im. peiled to look for a singie entity who aione is responsibie for its creation. Had these works come down to us anonymousiy, difficuities now attaching would have vanished into thin air, and the green-room of the Globe Theatre, or the actor-manager's private office, would have been the last piaces in which to look for a conceaied author. It is just because of the deliberate intention, for good and sufficient reasons, on the part of the author, to foist the paternity upon another, whose silence was secured by ampie consideration, that has caused such confusion, the few stray contemporary aliusions of equivocal interpretaton, on the one hand, more than oflset by the gibes and sneers and flat denials of the smailest capacity in the writing craft by the poets of the day-quickly sileuced, or expiained a,way, by those fuily informed-on the other, but serving further to confuse.

Passing attempt to cast doubt upon accepted beilef was made in a work of fiction pubilshed in 1848, but the first serious attack was simuitaneousiy and independencly made by Delia Bacon in her scintilating articie published in the January number of Putnam's Magazine, 1856, and by Wililam H. Smith, in a ietter to Lord Eliesmere, later in the same year, the one hy implication, and the other directiy, attributing the authorship to Lord Bacon, and both to the horror and indignation of affronted orthodoxy. The Englishman, after having ampiffied his jetter into a little book and shot his bolt, promptly retired from the fray, complacently leaving Time and the disputants to settle
the matter. The brilliant Amer!can woman elaborated her theories into a large work, and, her engaged serles of magazine artlcles havling been suppressed, and denied further hearling by publisher, printer, and public in her natlve land, found all three in England, and her book, written under stress of illness and poverty, was flung tn iha lions of criticism with an introductory by Hawthorne. The story of her hopes and alms, her struggles and fallures, her distemper and death, as sympathetically told by her nephew, is one of the most pathetic ln all literature. The record of the long anc heated "controversy"-if such it can be called, where one slde only advances any argument, and the other calls names-yet belng waged is found in books, pamphlets and artlcles now probably numbering a thousand or more, but of which little better than a hint respecting lts scope, methods, and concluslons can here be given.

Having by elimination disposed of each posslble clalmant, we are left wilh one who alone meets adl the requirements ln legal tralning, classical learning, wlde knnwledge, scientlfic attalnments, literary craftsmanship, aboundlng wit, poetlc lmaglnation, theatrical experlence, and the transcending genlus needful to the proper use of natural and acquired gifts $\ln$ the accomplishment of a great and noble task. Hlgh-born-the very hlghest, according to some-perforce a courtler, a tralned diplomat, a iar-seelng statesman, a gifted orator, a giant intellect, an abnormal personality, glfted and endowed beyond all mortal men; clothed in an embodiment so finely organlzed, and of a temperament so sensltlve as to be influenced even by the changes of the moon, yet could he stoop to patter with the humblest in his own vernacular, and would, on occasion, as we are told, "outcant a "chlrurgeon" ln the jargon of his own craft.

As we came into closer personal touch with this wondrous mortal, our preconception, based on mlsleading portralture, 111 founded characterlzation, and epigrammatlc defamation, undergo swift amendment. We recall that the dignified Lori Chancellor was once a hlgh-spirited youth, a man-about-town, a ivmposer of "Masques" and "Trlumphs," a frequenter of the theatre to a degree bltterly lamented by his stately mother, and are ready to belleve that-the ability, the need, and the opportunity, all concurring-he could easlly dash off a "Wldow of Watling Street," or a "Merry Devll of Edmonton," and as quilokly dispose of them for the customary three or four pounds so convenient to the "briefiess barrlster," taklng good care that the identity of the playwright should be most carefully concealed from his family, and patrons ln high positlon, through whom his hopes for that advancement to place and power, on which he depended for his living and the means of working out his vast plans, must be reallzed.

Here is a pen-plcture sympathetically portrayed by Willam Hepworth Dixon, author of the "Personal Hlstory of Lord Bacon," (whereln he lovlngly and faithfully deplets the real character and life-story of one of the world's greatest men, in readable and condensed form):
" Silght in build, rosy and rcund in fiesh, dight in a sumptuous sult; the head well-set, erect, and framed in a thick starched fence of frlll; a bloom of study and of travel on the fat, girlish face, which looks far younger than his years; the hat and feather tossed aside from the broad, whlte brow, over whlch crlsps and curls a mane of dark, soft halr; an Engllsh nose, firm, open, stralght; mouth delicate and small-a lady's or a jester's mouth-a thousand pranks and humors, quibbles, whims, and laughters lurking in its twlnkling, tremuious lines: such is Francia Bacon at the age of twenty-four"; and again, writing of Bacon $\ln$ 1616, thirty-one years later, hls blographer remarks: Thirty-slx years have passed since he entered on the fag and contest of the worid; but thirty-six years of toil, thought, study. disappointment, and success, have neither soured hls blood nor disturbed the beauty of his face. . . . Brow broad and solld; eye quick yet mlld; nose straight and strong, of the pure old Engllsh type; beard trim and dainty, as of one to whom grace Is nature; over all the countenance a bold, soft, kindling light; an infinlte sense of power and subtlety and humor, unmixed vith any trace of pride."

We remember, too, that admirers reported him as holding inen thralled when he rose to address them; that even in his veightlest utterances he could "scarcely refrain from or pass by a jest"; and that the prose of hils philosophical writings contains all the elements of the finest poetry, whlch in places cannot be restralned from burstling forth from its constraining bonds and penetrating with the fiavor of lts infinite beauty lnto discerning and understanding minds. We learn that as a child he one day left his play to satisfy himself on a moot point of physics by actual experiment; that at the age of 12 he entered Cambridge and left 㠶 shortly after because it could teach hlm nothing more than he already knew, or could not better learn; that at the age of 16 he was in the dlplomatic service of his country; that even at this early age he was forming hls plans to lay the entlre realm of knowledge under tribute; and that his high alms stopped short of nothing but the "Reformation of the Whole Wlde World," not only individually and intellectually, collectlvely and politically, but dealing with the common things of "men's bread and wlne," and the means for thelr betterment. We seem to find that as a necessary factor $\ln$ thls "Universal Reformation" he concelved the ldea of associating with himself llke-minded spirlts among the "literatl" of Europe who-ln vew of the perils surrounding anyone even suspected of religious or political heresy, and the consequent need of secrecy -should be constltuted as an "Invisibie Brotherhood," without corporate form, known on'v to the inltiated by pass-word and slgn, and whose very existence should be concealed, and if necessary, denied, even under the torture of the "questlon." The doctrine of anonymity-"What's ln a Name?"-was fundamental, and in vlew of ever-present danger, essentlal. The jargon of an organlzation ostenslbly engaged in search of "the phllosopher's stone," and experlmenting ln "the transmutation of base metals into gold," is read as the cant of these "Illu-
minati" secretly employed in the difusion of knowledge. Publicatlons should be unsigned, siven mlsleading slgnature, or directly attributed to another than the real author, but might easily be identified by the printer's " cuts " and "flowers," exactly dupicated, or passed on irom hand to hand, and by the extraordinary "water-marks" thick-strewn over the pages of these curious old 17th century books, in remarkable variety even in a single volume. Because of the unlversal strict censorship, private correspondence had to be conducted in cipher and cryptijc phrase, in the art of whlch these "Brothers of the Rosy Cross" were past-masters to a marvellous degree. The art of the Emblematists was employed in its highest perfection in devising Title-Pages, even to the extent of introducing a "fake" porked in on alleged author on one, or a real likeness skilfully Burial places, even, attributed to a very different authorship. monument designated by some pecular quite unmarized, or the ive carving, easy to be read by the informed as if or suggestplain text. This is a phase of the informed as if graven in more than touched on here but is subject which cannot be specialists, to whose worts but is dealt with at length by ploration in these rich fields mightion is directed. Further exand profitable results! might yield unexpectedly fruitful

The task has been set, the work done, the object obtained, What concern now to the dead clay? The glory or the pront "may be borne by "Jack or William or Peter," what matter? "It is more fitting," the Grand Master of the Order exclaims, and writes in fame should rather follow than go with him," to men's charitable speeches, my name and memory I leave it next ages "!

Regarded in this illuminative view, the "Shakespeare Mystery" fast begins to clear up-except as yet clouded by the doubts now belng voiced in like manner respecting the author. ship of Burton and Montaigne, and the questionings which may yet arise in connection with other as yet unsuspected authorsas, for mastance, when on the title page of the "Shepherd's Calendar," printed in 1611، and attributed to Edmund Spenser, Is seen Lord Bacon's crest up-borne by "supporters," of which one is "royal," and the other belongs to Lord Leicester! -but that's another, and a long, story, impossible of discussion here and now. Neither is it opportune, nor are we free to give, here and now, more than the foregoing brief hint respecting the alleged scope and aims of that mysterious Invisible Brotherhood. In our study of the works of writers dealing with this phase of the questlon, and that strange composition, "New Atlantis," described on the title page of the original edition of 1627 as "A Worke Unfinished," we find that students, nevertheless, characterize it as a veritable and significant Rosicrucian document, "caviare to the general," perhaps, but the full im. port of which is clearly understood by the inftiated in certain Fraternity circles, and with respect to some details touching
ritual and symboi, "familiar in their mouths as househoid words." Moreover, some theories concerning an Ideal Commonwealth therein deveioped are seen embodied in that "masicai, superhuman presentation of the Poet as Creator," the "Tez. pest," last written of the Hiays, and piaced first in the Follo!

Having eifminated the unfit in our quest for one worthy of these immortal bays, and, as we think, discovered him, we naturally demand some evideuce of his right to wear the laurei. Considering the deliberation with which he has "drowned his book deeper than plummet can sound," it will not be expected that, like Rosaiind, we shail find the trees decorated with writings in proof of attachment. Remembering that "perspectives" show a different legend when read in fuli front or at an angle from either side, and that certaln elongated puzzle writings can only be read with that "oblique glance" which is enjoined upon us by these cryptic writers, we read between the lines of the stately prose of Essay and Treatise, and the scientific and philosophle works of this solemn and dignified author, and we seem to find something of what we have seen elsewhere in very different form. Deeper enquiry discloses identities of studies, opinions, quotations, metaphors, phrases, expressions, words, and even errors, and we discover a parallelism that is simply astounding, and, to the unprojudiced mind, absolutely convincing, as reference to the various coliections by different compilers will show. When we remember Bacon's declaration that it is as easy and fitting to devise new styles of writing as it is to invent new steps in dancing, we can readily account for superficial differences in the outer clothing of the inner thought, and begin to approximate more closely the philosopher and the poet. When we see an essay "On Gardens," not published until 1625, appearing, substantially the same matter poetically transformed, in the "Winter's Tale," first published in 162s, and observe a forced scene dragged into the text of the "Merry Wives of Windsor," printed in its enlarged form in the same year, the humor of which is strained to bring in an allusion to a story told of Sir Nicholas Bacon by his son Francis in his "Apothegms," published in 1624; and when in "Love's Labor's Lost," published as early as 1598, we come across another forced scene dragging in the extraordinary coined word, "Honorificabilitudinitatibus," an anagram, which, according to Isaac Hull Platt, resolves itself into the Latin, "Hi ludi tuiti sibi Fr. Bacono nati," which transiated reads, "These plays entrusted to themselves proceeded from Francls Bacon," or according to Sir Edwin Durning-Jarrence, "Hi Ludi F. Baconis nati tuiti orbi," i.e., "these plays, F. Bacon's offspring, are preserved for the world," we have something like evidence that they did so proceed and were preserved!

As corroboratory proof, we examine a bundle of old manuscripts of Bacon's discovered in Northumberland House in 1867, the list of contents of which shows that the Plays of Richard II. and Richard III. once formed a part, and on the cover of which, among other scribblings, the names of "Francis Bacon" and "William Shakespeare"-appearing in juxtaposition as no.
where else-are repeated many times, and the anagram before alluded to is aiso written in another experimental form dis. carded in favor of the better one used, we ceem at last to have got the two names together where they rightfuily belong, on the title page of one book! On turning to another manuscript of Bacon's, "The Promus of Formularies and Diegancies," we see a vast coliection of proverbs, aphorisme, quotations, phrasen, expremions, and turns of speech, forming the raw material out of which the Plays are constructed; and on examining that curious of work, the "Sylva Syivarum or Natural Historie" described on the titie pase as "Written by the Right Honour. abie Francis Lo. Veruiam Viscount St. Aiban" and "Pubiished after the Author's Death by William Rawiey, Doctor of Divinity, late his Lordship's Chaplaine," London, 1627, we see another "Store-house" of that amazing scientific knowledge with which the Piays are crammed, of which book Judge Webb observesaccording to Rev. Father Sutton, S.J.-"There is scarceiy a physical fact which is mentioned in the Natural History of Bacon, that is not empioyed as a poetical iliustration in the plays of Shakespeare. There is scarce an experiment, however mean; there is scarce a speculation, however fantastic; there is scarce an error, however obstlinate and perverse; there is scarce a scientific intuition, however original and profound, to be dis. covered in the Natural History that is not aiso ito be discovered in the plays." Moreover, when we notice that the gap in the historical plays between Richard III. and Henry VIII. has been filled by Bacon's "Hstory of Henry the ViIth," ezactiy fitting in and suggestively interlocking, we seem to be on the track of direct evidence; and when we find Becon's signature at the beginning and end of "Shake-Speare's" "Rape of Lucrece," among other piaces where it is not supposed to be, we get something which may be accepted as proof positive.

When we rummage among the chips and shavings-though most carefuliy preserved they be-of those oid ifterary workshops, we ind one man writing of his friend "who loved bettar to be a poet than to be accounted so," and another who says: "His lordship was a good poet, but concealed"; when we hear this author himseif speaking of mysterious "Works of the Aiphabet" and "Works of Recreation," which might bring him greater glory than others better known and esteemed, begging a friend to "be good to conceaied poets," and declaring in the most solemn of compositions, a prayer: "I have, though in a despised weed, procured the good of all men "; when we find an intimate friend and confidant, to whom he was in the habit of sending copies of his books, in writing his thanks for some "great and noble token of favor," regretting he couid not return weight for weight., but wouid do so "Measure for Measure," and again deciaring: "The most prodigious wit that ever I knew, of my nation and of this side of the sea, is of your fordship's name, though he be known by another"; when we hear the great lawyer, Coke, in a heated wrangle in open court biusteringly threaten his opponent, Bacon, with arrest for some great scandal or offence, and note Bacon's mild retort that he "was at
fault, and hunted on an old scent," and find that he cialmed and promptly 80 the protection of his cousin Cecil; when we read that one of his objections to amuming the hateful task imponed upon him as prosecuting attorney in the state trial of Essex-In which the treasonable piay of Richard II, bore such prominent part-that "having been wronged by bruits before, they would expose me to them more; and it would be said I gave in evidence mine own tales "; and, remembering the dramatiats' chargen of imposture against Shakapere, we are assured that quentions of duality ware fulty understood in certaln high quarters, and that a weif-defined censpiracy of sifence respecting it existed, and was maintained as risidly and as casily as those of fater days respecting the authorihip of the "Waveriey Noveis" and the "Letters of Junius," or the identity of "Flona Macleod."

In accounting for the cryptic pseudonym adoptr 1 as the author's signature, we recall that Minerva, the God' ss of WIsdom, the preserver of the state, the siajer of ig rance, who sprang, fuil caparisoned, from the head of Jcv is depicted armed with heimet, breast-piate, shield, and spea., with which she threatens the foe, and remember the eulogies addressed to Bacon by contemporaries under his appeiation of "Palias"-the apear-shaker-the Greek of the goddess' name. We read Ben Jonson's ilnes of the Foilo Introductory lauding the author, who, he says, "seems to shake a fance as brandished in the face of Ignorance," and can hear the note of the plays-"Ignor. ance is the curse of God "-ringing again in our ears. We can understand, too, the deifght of this subtie artist in Anding such apt and convenient mask behind which to hide as he drops the formal "Pailas" and takes on the mouth-diling "Shake-Speare" in setting to work on his deathiess studies, using the mean vehicie and "despised weed" of the staged drama-perhaps sub-sidized-" holding the mirror up to nature" in the manner taught by the old masters of classic Greece and Rome, even Im. proving on their consummate art, and Instructing the puppets of his living pictures in the fundamentals of their mimic craft, and the technique of portrayai, as shown in Hamlet's address to the piayers. And who among the "groundiings" couid deciare it to be otherwise when he and his powerful sustalners would have it so, especiaily if, as it must be assumed, the joviai actor-manager and tavern wit, shrewd enough to appreciate the money-bring. ing vaiue of a play, skified in the technique of stage production, possessing even sufficient ability to throw in a few "gags" to tickie the ears and pander to the tastes of these same "groundilngs," was superficialiy qualified to carry off the deception, and did but piay his proftabie part and hoid his tongue?

Seen in the light of modern investigations, how the old view respecting the authorship and fortuitous production of these marveis of iiterature seems "baseless as the fabric of a vision," and, in the added ilght breaking, destined to "fade and leave not a wrack behind"! As fresh assurance comes with each new discovery, and closer study reveals in greater measure the comprehensive purposes underlying and permeating the piays, the traditional belief yet heid in high quarters is shelved amung
the "back numbers" with that other mistaken one of the deluded echoiars of the oishteenth century in their acceptance of the authenticity of the "Ireland Forcerien." That the play have purposes of the highest alm, and lencons of the weightient impart, is the concluaion of Gervinua, one of the mont lliumina. tive of "orthodox" commentators. He thum ancerts: "Shakespeare's moral philonophy in Christianity purified from every. thing exaggerated and equivocal," to which may be added the words with which 8 winburne closen one of the lateat appreciations of "Shake-Speare": "All that can be known of manhood, of womanhood, of childhood, he knew better than any othor man ever born. It is not only the crowning glory of England, it is the crowning slory of mankind, that such a man whould ever have been born as William Shakespeare!"

Would you have the evil of intemperance and the incanity of jealouny depicted as nowhere else?-read Othello! Would you be warned of the frenzy of love in hot youth, or trace the degra. dation of luatful paseion, to the undolng of the great?-read Romeo and Juliet, and Antony and Cleopatra! Would you know the foliy of debt, the meanneas of avarice, and the sacrifice true friendship is capabie of?-read the Merchant of Venice! Would you study the workings of remorse consequent on the givins way to impulses of unbridled ambition, or the philosophy of avenging Nemesis reientlessiy dogsing crime?-read Macbeth and Hamlet!

Studies for the "Cure of the Commonweal" you will find IIlustrated by antithesis in the author's notable manner, the "Divinity that doth hedge a king" being set over against the dangerous power of the "wavering multitude." You will see the "right divine to rule" offset by the deposition scene in Richard II.-suppressed in early editions, but staged by the Easex faction on the eve of their rebeliion in the endeavor to rouse the people against Elizabeth. Is the ling's person sacred? -then see him, the victim of the bangst allial ingratitude, wandering a begear on the heath; and would you, in thowe days of rack and thumb-screw, prociaim the truth, ariomatic in these -" Thought is Free!"-it is only through the lips of a drunken clown you may venture to do It!

If the plays are not only all this, and infinitely more, but, as some declare, enfold an inner secret history, touching, among other things, the honor and chastity of the "Virgin Queen," and the succession of the Tudor dynasty, concealed in ingenlous and complex cipher of phrase, word, and letter, after the methods laid down elsewhere, here surely is a store of such unimagined wealth as the worid has never dreamed of, and is the excuse for attempting a little cipher-work of one's own in these lines:

## " SHAKESPEARE."

(This cipher-sonnet enfolds in a regular sequence the bracketed letters of the name and title: (FRANCIS BACON), Baron (V)erulam and Viscount St. (A)lbans, as will be shown by tak-

Ing the Arat letter of the Arat " font " of the Arat line, the serond of the second, the third of the third, and so on to the tenth, beginning again at the first letter of the eleventh and continu. ing in the same way to the fourteenth.)

Fearsome the shadow of yon awful curse
Uprears its threat'ning finger o'er the stones
Where troop awed pilsrim throngs above dry bonew
Whisp'ring a name false-carven lines lnherso-
Poet's light blade, catch-coin to deck lean purse.
The yard, all wondering, its magic owns,
And clapper-claws the lack-shame daw, enthrones
Hitn bard who struts and mouthes Want's bartered verse.
Fame, perjured blazon, usances, and lands,
And gentle sepulture for base-born clay,
O'erweigh the witness of the unit nis pact Twist needy wit and nimble greed's de inds. Mimes the vain actor night's slow hcurs awayTime calls for "Author" in the curtain-act!

The question of ciphers attaching to the subject, being as it is of such amazing proportions, infinite complexities, and abtruse technicalities, is a matter properly appertaining to exports for its elucidation. The very suggestion staggers the ordinary reader of the present day, who is uninformed of the conditions respecting the merely fanciful concelts of the cryptographers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, to say nothing of the wide application of their art to the concealment of state secrets. Even if one were at all compotent, slmply to attempt to explain in bare outline the conclusions of the varlous writers on the subject is beyond the possibilities of such dis. cussion as may now be entered upon, and students must, of necessity, apply to the works themselves. When, however, writers of talent and scholarship. eminent in professional and public research, and laborious days, months, years even, to study and sions, they are at their reputations on their published concluto agreement or disproof eserving of a fair hearing, with a view his standing as an tew pages of the plays of and public man in declaring that a mass of cipher words keyed and Second Henry IV. are simply a ordar to tell a secret sory together in a certain mathematical beling stoned. When any, he does not do it for the delight of ability to show the cipher (Wigston) devotes his scholarly and the Enslish edition significance of Bacon's Henry VII. ing of 1640, and demon of the Advancement of Learnsimile evidence, his good faith should contentions by fac. made to show that he is either right or wrong witted, and effort (Gallup), at the expense of health or wrong. When another poring over the italic types in old and eyesight impaired in application of Racon's bi-literala books of the period in the intended to be applied, evolves a cipher as she alleges it was
all romance, she is either fool or knave, or absolutely right, and should be pilioried or praised accordingly. And when the last, and perhaps most remarkable of them ali (Booth) gives ocuiar demonstration of the existence of Baconian eignatures in acrostic farm in all the Shakespearean iiterature, he is entitled to more than the cold shoulder which appears to be, as yet, his only seward. Rejecting the overwhelming mass of circumstantial evidence as inconciusive, an unbelieving generation demands "a sign," and when this is given, the messengers are forthwith set upon with the old-time cry of imperiled craftsmen, "Great is Diana of the Ephesians!"

However disturbing to one's preconceptions some of the theories here advanced may be, there is no claim to originality. much less any attempt at exhaustive treatment of a most amaz. ing and vast subject. It is rather intended to be merely suggestive, and perhaps introductory, to the study of the large body of literature which has been put forth by many able students and serious writers in their endeavor to elucidate a most perplexing question, a partial list of the more important of which is hereto appended. There is chapter and verse for every statement, and here or there in these productions will be found categorical answers, to every so-calied argument and objection advanced by opponents who are at the disadvantage of knowing. and caring for, one side only of the controversy, the settiement of which involves the revision of opinion, the readjustment of criticism, the re-dedication of shrines, and the re-valuation of stocks of printed books; hence the bitterness of interested opposition, so concerted, nut to say organized, as to suggest a conspiracy to suppress ile controversy, and crush all serious discussion, aliowing oniy the fantastic and Inane to appear, with a view to attacking it on such grounds. There will also be found embalmed in these pages every variety and form of derisive, discourteous, and disparaging epithet which the malevolent ingenuity of traditionalists could discover or invent to discredit their opponents, to the almost complete exhaustion of a very copious vocabuiary. Students complain that their enquiriss are so evaded, and their researches so blocked, as to ralse doubts respecting the good faith of custodians of the sources of information, and evoke the expression of a bellef that someone, or some body, reaily know a great deal more than they will tell, at least until such time as disclosure is aliowed, a view which would seem to be confirmed by the historian, Jennings, in the closing words of his "Rosicruclans," affirming the present existence and activity of an "Invisible Brotherhood," who cannot be known, and may not appear, because-"it is enjoined"!

As an illustration of this antagonism, here is what one of the latest writers, William Stone Booth, In his monumentaland, as usuai, almost totally ignored-work, " Some Acrostic Signatures of Francis Bacon," has to say:
"My enquiries among professional literary friends drew from one of them the serious threat that my acquaintance would be dropped if I investigated the subject further; and from
another the well-meant advice that if I would consult my best interests I should avoid a subject connected in the professional mind with the work of charlatans; and from another that 'that is a matter on which the scholarly world has made up its mind; $\ldots$ at the outset I had found that if I pursued a despised study my professional career might be endangered."

The last resort of the hard-pressed debater-when condescending to discuss the question at all-is, "We have the Plays! What does it matter who wrote them?'

No better reply to this objection occurs than in the remark. ably poetic words of Bacon himself: . . . "the inquiry of truth, which is the love-making, or wooing of it-the knowledge of truth, which is ihe presence of it-the bellef of truth, which is the enjoying of it-is the sovereign good of human nature"; coupling fittingly with this the declaration "Shake-Speare" puts into the mouth of Hamlet: "I will find out where truth is hid, though it were hid in the very centre!"

To the crowning objection, "The case is settled," the dictum of a later-day philosopher is interposed: "Nothing is settled until it is settled right!" And remembering that judgment has been given ex parte in Star Chamber proceedings, and that evidence has been distorted, suppressed, or s!mply laughed out of court, appeal is promptly taken from the $i x$ cathedra pronouncements of ermined pedants, and the case brought before the sharpened wit of the shrewd man-on-the-street as a jury for judgment upon the fact.

Look here, upon this picture, and on this; See, what a grace was seated on this brow; Hyperion's curls, the front of Jove himself; An eye like Mars, to threaten and command; A station like the herald Mercury
New-lighted on a Heaven-kissing hill;
A combination, and a form, indeed,
Where every god did seem to set his seal,
To give the world assurance of a man;
Coud .... .. Have you ejes?
And bait on this fair mountain leave to feed
..... and what judgment
Would step from this to this?
. . . . . A vice of kings;
A cutpurse of the empire and the rule;
That from a shelf the precious diadem stole,
And put it in his pocket! . . . . .
. . . . . . . A king of shreds and patches! Hamlet, Act III., Sc. 4.
There has been a more than forty years wandering in the wilderness, and it perforce !must be that some shall die ere yet their foot be set eveu on the borders of the Promised Land, the longing for the fiesh pots of Egypt still abiding with them;
but a new generation-free-born-"Sons of the Morning"moves ever on, the flush of dawn breaking over the distant hills and lighting their eager faces, and to them shall bo given to occupy and possess the Land!

Here is a cause to which the keen perception, deep insight, and Judicial penetration of the trained intelligences of those "Young Scholars of the Universities," who were Bacon's hope, may well be devoted. Fearless of the capped and gowned and hooded bogies who would bar their path; heedless of traditions, hoary with age and grey with dust, handed down with all the authority of a "faith once delivered to the saints"; strong in the assurance that the heresy of yesterday will be the creed of to-morrow, and that upon the men of to-day lies the duty of bringing it about; let them follow with Hamlet on his tireless quest for Truth, tracking it through fen and thicket and dank morass, wherever it may have strayed, or been hidden, even to the bottom of that stately tomb shrined in England's heart!

Indifferent to contempt, scornful of obloquy, let them still press on and their slogan ever be: "Play up, and play the game"! quitting the field only as the soldier of old, carrying his shield, or borne upon it! And if it be that some shall fall ere yet the victory be won, it shall be joy to those yet in the strife in knowing that these "have fought a good fight and have kept the faith "; and perhaps there may yet arise a panegyrist who will worthily tell of their exploit, as one poetaster has haltingly endeavored acrostically to acclaim the first to fall, as truly a martyr as any who were flung to the lions on the red sands of the Arena-"butchered to make a Roman holiday"!

## RENUNCIATION.

## (Read initial letters upward.)

Not as the Maid defled the banner'd power Of furious England ravishing her France Comes she, with bravery of sword and lance. All-weakly armed, fond Idol-cult's high tower Breasting, she fronts Opioniatry's fell shower, And cruel stab of lip-curled arrogance,
In fearless quest. Ah! Daughter of Mischance,
Lost, all!-Friends, Reputation, Life's full fiower!
E'en as the Maid, by ruthless bigot Time
Despitely used, enshrined in äfter days,
So, owning Poesy's golden lamp deflled,
Song's laurels shameless worn by buskin'd mime,
Imperial leaflet shorn from mummer's bays May "Shake-Speare's" England yield New England's child!

Samuel M. Batlis.
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