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## EXAMPLE OF FRANCE

WARNING TO BRITAIN.

It is a certain, though a Arange truth, that in politics all principles that are fpeculatively, right, are practically wrong; the reafon of which is, that they proceed on a fuppofition, that men ait rationally; wbich being by no means true, all that is built on fo falfe a foundation, on experiment, falls to the ground.
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## WARNING TO BRITAIN.

THE writers who have publifhed their fentiments on the events which have paffed in France fince the Revolution, have been fo lavifh of argument, fo exuberant in theory, that they feem to have relied for fuccefs with their readers, not fo much on force of facts, as on ingenuity in weaving curious webs of reafoning. We havehad, on the one hand, panegyrics on Gallic freedom, with enthufiaftic calls to purfue the fame fyftem in order to arrive at the fame happinefs : on the other hand, every circumftance of the Revolution, from the original wifh for liberty, has been condemned and fatirized with more wit than truth. To plain men thefe writers feem equally removed from that

## ( 2 )

examination, which, attending folely to facts, and their immediate and more remote confequences, is not apt to truft to the cunning of argument, but looks on every fide for the more folid fupport of experiment.

I am inclined to think the appplication of theory to matters of government, a furprifing imbecility in the human mind; for men to be ready to truft to reafon in inquiries, where experiment is equally at hand for their guide, has been pronounced, by various great authorities, to be, in every other Ccience, the groffet folly-why the obfervation fhould not equally extend to the fcience of legiflation, will not eafily appear.

My perfonal purfuit, for a long feries of years, has confirmed me in the habit of experimental inquiry : I have obferved on fo many occafions the fallacy of reafoning, even when exerted with great force of talents, that I am apt, whenever facts are not clearly difcerned, to queftion rather than to decide; to doubt much more readily than to pronounce; and to value the citation of one new experimented cate in point, more than an hundred brilliant declaniarions. Having refided a good deal in France during the progrefs of the Revolution, to which I was, for fome time, a warm friend; having paffed through every province of the kingdom; exa-
mine inftru and a ple, i to $\mathbf{c o}$ new ence could enabI refult the a as a endea of ufe view infert fomer tions
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mined all her principal manufactures; gained much inftruction, relative to the tate of her commerce, and attended minutely to the fituation of her people, it was natural for me, on my return to England, to confult with attention the leginative acts of the new government ; and to procure, by correfpondence and converfation, with perfons on whom I could depend, fuch intelligence as was neceffary to enable me to fatisfy my curiofity concerning the refult of the moft fingular Revolution recorded in the annals of mankind. I fhould conifider myfelf as a bad fubject of Britain, if I did not ufe every endeavour to render the knewledge, thus acquired, of ufe to my countrymen; and it is folely with this view that I now throw together a few fhort effays, inferted originally in the Annals of Agriculture, fomewhat improved in form, and with fuch additions as the events of the period afford.

But in attempting to give expreffions रadequate to the indignation every one muft feel a the horrible events now paffing in France, I am fenfible that I may be reproached with changing my politics; my ". principles,", as it has been expreffed.My principles I certainly have not changed, becaufe if there is one principle more predominant than another in my politics, it is the principle of cbange. I have been too long a farmer to be governed by any thing but events; I have a confti-

B 2 tutional
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tutional abhorrence of theory, of all truft in abftract reafoning; and confequently I have a reliance merely on experience, in other words, on events, the only principle worthy of an experimenter.

The circumftance, of there being men who having been friends to the Revolution, before the 1oth of Auguft, yet continue friends to it, proves clearly one of two things; that they are either republicans, and therefore approved of the Revolution before the ioth of 'Augult merely as a ftep to the 2 ift of January, thinking, with Dr. Prieftley, the Revolution of the roth neceffary and happy;-or, that they have changed their principles. The Revolution before the roth of Augult, was as different from the Revolution after that day as light from darknefs; as elearly diftinct in principle and practice as liberty and flavery; for the fame man to approve therefore of both, he mult either be uncandid or changeable; uncandid in his approbation before that periodchangeable in his approbation after it. How little reafon therefore for reproaching me with fentiments contrary to thofe I publifhed before the roth of Auguf! I am not changeable, but fteady and confiftent; the fame principles which directed me to approve the Revolution, in its commencement (the principles of real liberty), led me to deteft it after the roth of Auguit. The reproach of changeablenefs, or fometbing worfe, belongs' entirely to thofe
thofe
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## PRESENTSTATE of FRANCE.

THE facts which will beft explain this, con-cern-1. Government. 2. Perfonal Liberty. 3. Security of Property.

## Government.

In all difcuffions relative to the new fyftem of conflitution or government in France, it is necerfary, firt, to inquire, whether they have any other fyftem than that of anarchy. The circumftances, to which I fhall allude, tend very frongly to prove that the Jacobin clubs, the general councils-of the commons, and the nominal legiflative convention, appear fo to divide the fupreme power among B 3 them,

## ( 6 )

them, while the mob, or nation, call it which you pleafe, act fo independently of all three, that, to compliment the refult with the term government, would be truly ridiculous. To talk of the Rights of Man, or any other declarations or laws of the Conftituent Affembly, is perfectly befide all prefent queftions; the heptarchy is not more out of date.-But let us examine facts, as reported by Jacobin authority.

The freedom of elections feems to be curiounty attended to:-Refolution of the Jacobin club of September ${ }_{13}$, fent to all the clubs of the kingdom: -" Let us not lofe a fingle moment to prevent, by firm meafures, the danger of feeing thefe new leginators oppofe, with impunity, the fovereign will of the nation. Let us be infpired with the fpirit of the electoral body of Paris whole decrees exprefs, that a forutiny fball be made of the National Convention, for the purpofe of expelling from its bofom fucb fufpected members as may, in their nomination, bave efcaped the fagacity of the primary afemblies." (Polit. State, No. 6. p, 449.) What a beautiful leffon is this to the men who complain of our reprefentation in England, and wihh it reformed.-Here is a delicious reform, and at the hands of republicans! The world, probably, never contained a proof of more determined confufion;

## ( 7 )
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October 5 th, a deputation from that city, thus fpeak at the bar, demanding the fpeedy trial of the King. "The men of the 10th of Augult will never fuffer, that thofe they have invefted with their confidence fhall defpife for an inftant the fovereignty of the people; courage is the virtue of a free people; and we will not depart from the principle, that if it is juft to obey laws, it is juft alfo to refift defpots, under whatever mafque they may conceal themfelves: we think it for our intereft to make our elections viva voce (a baute voix)," The minitter of the interior is forced to write the fame day to the Convention, "I pray you to take meafures, to prevent being null and without effect all the demands and requifitions which I daily make, in the name of the law, to the commons of Paris." The miniter, in the name of the Convention, applied for law; but found the commons of Paris ftronger than both. "I have feen," fays Cambon, Sept. 25 th, "thefe commons rob the national edifices of all their moft precious effects, without the leaf regifter or note; and when we decreed that thefe effects fhould be carried to the national treafure, that decree remained without execution."
"The council general of the commons of Pa ris," fays Barrere, Nov. 1oth, "has fought to deprefs, by every poffible method, the national reprefentation." The legillative body faid, that that germ
of new 4 day it w alfo, the every ma the empi to be fh pafports directly paffport

That
real ana affuming lities of Conven ty-fix c another, what an there to mons of curious is oppol term th one hav tition al that fei çation, propert
of new revolutions ought to difappear, and the next day it was obliged to withdraw its decree. It faid alfo, that the gates of Paris ought to be opened, that every man might travel freely tbrough the interior of the empire; but the council general ordered them to be thut. The legillature decreed that no more paffports fould be neceffary. The council general directly ordered that none fhould fir without a palfport *:"

That the municipalities are in a ftate of real anarchy appears clearly from different bodies affuming the fame power; while the municipalities of Paris were demanding one fum of the Convention, le commune proprement dite, or nine-ty-fix commiffioners of fections were demanding another, which induced Kerfaint to explain. In what anarcby is our adminiftration plunged. Ougbt there to be two bodies of reprefentatives of the commons of Paris? the lawe probibits it *. This is curious; a legal veftry meets in the church, and is oppofed by another in an alehoufe kitchen, who term themfelves the veftry, properly called; and one having a tafte of public plunder, the other petition alfo for the fame thing; fuch are the bodies that feize and divide, under the epithets of cunfif. cation, adminiftration, and fale, the eftates and property of emigrants.

[^0]
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The conmiffioners of the fections of Paris, at the bar of the Convention, bully it in thefe terms: "The time preffes-the form forms itfelf." Thus overturning the government that had been formed on the Rights of Man, which, inftead of yielding peace and tranquility, produced forms only, the eternal product of fuch Revolutions; and the blood that had been fo lavihly fpilled for the public repofe, afforded fo little, that the minitter Rolland, writing to the commons of Paris, fays, I bear of notbing but conspiracies, and projects of murder, and afafination *. The wicked preacbed yefterday, at the fame moment, in different: parts of Paris, pillage and afaffination $\dagger$. And being ordered by the Convention to report the fate of Paris, his expreflion is, the adminiftrative bodies, without powers; the commons defpotic; the people deceived;-fuch is Paris! $\ddagger$ But deceived and ignorant as they were, they thought their ligbts fufficient to inftruct the nominal legiflature; as Marat and his gang were daily declaring, that cutting off heads was the genuine employment of a people, and denouncing fo many members of the Convention in the Jacobin clubs, it was debated in the Convention, whether a guard ought not to be drawn from all the eighty-three departments. On this project, the commiffioners of the fortyweight fections of Paris thus fpeak (Oet 19) to the Con-
vention: fore you come to wordsa level guard. ciples o fides, de dangero ciple. people w propofe exiftence ifts; and made th the reft tain it *

## Here

 that thei fanction affembly poffers $f$ power, and thei themfels form!the Tobu

[^1] vention:
ris, at terms : elf." d been tead of ftorms utions ; lled for at the ons of ies, and wicked different d being ftate of bodies, - people ed and $r$ ligbts re; as lat cutit of $a$ of the sated in t to be' s. $\mathrm{On}^{\mathrm{I}}$ yweight e Con:
vention: " Proxies of the fovereign! You fee before you the deputies of the fections of Paris. They come to make you underftand eternal truths. No words-but things! It is propofed to place you on a level with tyrants-to furround you with a diftinct guard. The fections of Paris weighing the principles on which the fovereignty of the people refides, declare to you that this project is odious and dangerous. We will attack in front fuch a principle. What audacioufnefs, to conjecture that the people will confent to fuch a decree! What! they propofe to you conftitutional decrees, before the exiftence of the conftitution! Wait till the law exifts; and the people have fanctioned it. Paris has made the Revolution. Paris has given liberty to the reft of France. , Paris knows bow to maintain it *."

Here Paris exprefsly declares to the Convention, that their decrees were wafte paper, till the people fanction them : fuch is perfonal reprefentation; an affembly is fo elected, and the people no fooner poffers fuch reprefentatives, than, intoxicated with power, they declare their deputies things of ftraw, and their decrees null, till fanctioned by the people themfelves!. What a leffon! to the friends of reform! In all the public places, fays Louvet $\dagger$, at the Thuilleries, in the Palais de la Revolution, and

[^2]
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elfewbere, you bear them preach continually infur: rection againft the National Convention. The deputies of the department of Loire, tell the Convention at the bar, Your fcandalous debates are known in every corner of France. The afflicied people fent you to make laws, and you know not bow to make a regulation; they fent you to render France refpected, and you know not bow to refpect it yourSelves; they fent you to eftablifh liberty, and you bave not known bow to maintain your own. You tremble before thefe tribunes*.

The National Convention, fays Marat himfelf, offers the moft aflicting and fcandalous fpectacle. Could an American favage be brougbt into it, be would belirve the French legifators an affembly of madmen and furies. Unwortby men! You are without knowledge, virtue, patriotijm, or Jame; and are led by a band of vile wicked rafcals, devoted to ambition, and trembling left tbeir crimes 乃bould be revealed. $\dagger$

Paine is of an opinion directly contrary, " they fprang not from the filth of rotten boroughs-they debate in the language of gentlemen-their dignity is ferene-they preferve the right angled character of man." We well know what their language is; and if a right angled character produces

[^3]right

## ( 13 )

right angled actions, we know what thofe are alfo: For the ferenity of their dignity !!!-It is a fit fubjett for mirth but not for argument.

It is bigb time for us to know, fays Cambon, that the Convention is abolutely defpifed*. Anarchy, faid Baurere $\dagger$, is at its zenith: and Barbaroux $\ddagger$, Anarchy reigns around us, and we bave done notbing to repress it. Thbofe who provoke to murder are yet triumphant. Anarcby is the caufe of all our evils! fays the President of the Convention to the deputation for the department of Indre and Loire $\|$.

[^4]
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of infurrection, vengeance, and profcription: that innocent blood bas flowed, that villains wbo are the deteftation of the nation, and will be the opprobium of pofterity, fiil calculate, in criminal filence, the life and death of citizens*, an Eng!ihman can be found to declare fuch a government fo beneficent, that he can refer it only to the firlt great caufe of all! $\dagger$

Jan. 16, The minifter of the interior to the committee of general fafety; every day for a month paft, they bave talked of renewing the profcriptions; I bave, for many days, received and laid before you afurances of projects of maflacre and murder, publickly preacbed.

From fuch a polluted fountain, it is eafy to fuppofe what ftreams muft flow; and that all parts of France have been fcenes either of infurrection, of plunder, or of blood; the inftances of Marfeilles, Lyons, Avignon, Arles, Rouen, Caen, Bourdeaux, Nancy, Line, and a long lift of other cities, are notorious: it may not be fo generally known, that at Charleville the colonel commandant was murdered $\ddagger$. That at Creffey all was riot and violence H. That at Cambray the lieutenant colonel Befombre was murdered by the Gens d'armes,

[^5]and cäpt
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and captain Logros' head was on a bayonette*. That the rebellion in Poitou was of $10,000 \dagger$, and that of Chartres double. More fingular than thefe is the cafe of D'Hoté, who being condemned to the ftocks only for four hours, by the jury de, jugement, for crimes that merited an hundred deaths, being expofed on the Place de Greve, demanded of the populace, liberty or death; the mob, in fpite of the Gens d'armes, mounted the fcaffold, cut the cords of the criminal, and carried him off in triumph. Wben, fays the editor of the Moniteur, reporting it, will the people feel the neceffity of refpecting tbe laws? $\ddagger$

Marat will not be fufpected of a want of that Itaunch republicanifm and Jacobin ardour, which is inclined to admit no more evils in the new government, than are really to be found in it. What is his account? Confider tbe aetual fate of France; tbe profound mifery in which the people languifh; the enormous dilapidations of the public fortune; the rapid exbauftion of its laft refonrces; confider tbe mouopolies, thefts, brigandiges, maffacres, rapine, and diforder of every Jpecies, which defolate. 'the kingdom. Never was the mifery of the people jo ruinous; never was anarcby carried to fucb exce/s; never was tyranny fo devouring; never was there such a contempt of law! ! ! !

> "Oct. io. † Moniteur, OAt, I5• If Iournal de Maret, Mars I.

What multiplied proofs of that fact, that without a King, and fome body between the King and the people, where there is an indigent poor, all falls to confufion. The Jacobin Rabbeau once knew this :-" Dans un grand empire il faut abfolument des hommes dé corés, fans quoi l'etat tombera dans une vafte popularité, dans une immenfe democratie, qui doit finir par l'anarchie, ou par le defpotifme felon que le prince ou le peuple feront l'un ou l'autre, le plus fort." *

The Nation, fays Paine, not Parliament, Bould reform abufes: the idea of vitiated bodies reforming tbemselves is a parodox. Exactly in proportion then to a nation interfering and taking the remedy of abufes into its own hands fhould be the effect in wiping them out. Apply to France for a commentary on this text. Has it been fo? As 乃be advanced in reform, did abufes difappear? Never was doctrine fo belied by events as the doctrine of this great politician.

Such is the refult of that confticution, founded on perfonal reprefentation, which has been boatted as the pride and glory of legiflation. Such are the effects that form the comment on fo many bundred books and pamphlets publifhed in praife
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of an edifice erected on the Rights of Man!And of which we may fay, with truth and moderation, that it has brought more mifery, poverty, devaftation, imprifonment, bloodhed, and ruin on France, in four years, than the old government did in a century. Such is the government that has dy.
been contratted by Paine to the no conftitution of England. Every thing with us, according to him, has a conttitution except the nation; and if we had a conftitution we fhould be able to produce it. The French, on the contrary, formed one which they could produce, printed on vellum, and bound in morocco; carried by every one in his pocket, as the charter of his Rights; but, unfortunately for theories of government, this great effort of legilation; this boaft of French, and envy of Englifh Jacobins; this mafter-piece of the metaphyfical art of Abbé Sieyés; this quintefcence of what ought to be, in oppofition to wbat is*; this fine machine; pronounced by fo many - $t$ pens immortal; prodticiblèt the idea of Paine, 10 m antecedent to the government, and dijfinct from it; wi this capital production of Gallic genius, endured fcarcely two years. The ficedom it afforded was not fufficient for adepts in the Rights of Man: the exiftence of a King became offenfive to the new lights by which they were illuminated. In.

[^8]
## ( 18 )

furrection was pronounced a facred duty ;-revolt followed; -and the horrors that will for everd ftain the annals of mankind,-the deep damnation that en-fued,--are written in every heart from which Jacobinifm has not eradicated all traces of feeling and humanity. Such has been the practice of the French Revolution; for its theory, go to Rights of Man.

Perhaps experience will juftify us in afferting, that that government is beft which is, beft calculated to ftand ftill; becaufe the thing wanted in government is not activity, bur repofe; and to do nothing is nineteen times in twenty better than readily to do any thing. The vetos of different orders, or houfes, therefore mult be good, as they are fo mary impediments to action. No government is fo reftlefsly active as a pure democracy, voting in a fingle affembly; the mob being fatisfied no longer than a torrent of events keeps them in breathlefs expectation. We fee, in the cafe of France, that fuch buftle is the energy of mifchief, the motion of defpotifm. Their late fucceffes, fo unlooked for and furprifing, made them fpeak commonly, in the ftreets of Paris; of conquering Europe; fhould farther fuccels attend their arms, they will infallibly attempt it. The leaders, who owe their importance to the prefent hurricane of events, would fink too low in a calm, for fuch men to allow the form to fublide. legifate according to tbe principles prefcribed in confitution; and if experience foould Sbev, that rations are necefary, they will not be left to the etionary power of the government. Before his $k$ was well circulated, that future government ed down the conftitution. He goes on- $A$ rnment arising out of fociety, cannot bave the of altering itfelf; if it bad, it would be arbi-

Here he levels point blank the fyftem he $e$ five hundred pages to fupport. Then the ch government IS arbitrary.
et thefe infamies of abftract and ideal perfecare not black enough to deter men from $l y$, in the full face of government and of day, gg their names to fuch fentiments as thefe, in $h$ the Britifh conftitution and its friends are characterifed:——"The mad councils of and defperation."-" Maimed, mutilated, gled, and wretched condition." - "Scanty nents, loathfome offals, are all of freedom the people of England tafte."-"Mendicants fting on crumbs."-c، Vifions of naughtered ens and a pillaged nation."-" Happy French! How long will Englifhmen endure the e of feeing their houfe of reprefentatives 2 king contraft to models fo pure!"-Not even ible conceffion will now, in my humble opinion, C 2 put
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put the people off their guard, and compromife be received as infult. Their demand is their rig They are taking their caufe into their own har They want no patrons; and their friends will their fervants. Their operations are infalli their ftrength will foon be invincible."-"Am the difcoveries of thefe pregnant times, it has $b$ found out, that men may live and thrive with lords; that the fun will thine and the dew will feend where there are none but equal citizen partake of thefe bleffings; and that even \& laws can be made, and juftice well adminifte without either hereditary leginators or hered judges"!"—The people of England, fubdua wretched artifice and juggling policy;-tbeir via rigbts and expiring liberty-fays Mr. Sherid ViElims of venal and perfidious afociationsMr. Grey $\ddagger$.

Would any perfon conceive it poffible, tha paffages here collected, expreflive of the war deteftation, were not applied to France, as moft peculiarly adapted to mark the ftate of kingdom, weltering in its beft blood, rather to one in fo fingular a ftate of profperity as

* Major Cartwright's Letter to the Duke of Newcafte. + Declaration of the friends of the liberty of the prefs, $p$. $\ddagger$ Ibid. p. 15 .
d? Wh nnly-wh real me ieties-an rabble ely behov aken to di cious 3 an ich, what ained, let unds of th at flourifh
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Newcaftle. the prefs, P .
d? When our deftruction is threatened fo fnly-when fo clear an explanation is given of real meaning and intentions of the reforming ieties-and when the operations and ftrength of rabble are fo foon to be INVINCIBLE, it ely behoves the government of this country to aken to danger fo imminent ; to menaces fo aucious; and to a licentioufnefs of publication, ich, whatever be the intention, muft, if unreained, let loofe the dxmons of difcord, the hell unds of the mob, to the utter deftruction of all at flourihes at prefent in this kingdom.

But Paine thinks differently of our no confti-tion.-" The country governs' itfelf at its own pence, by means of magiftrates, juries, feffions, hd affize;-what is called government, is only oundiefs extravagance." This is one of the falfe hd fippant remarks of that endlefs prevaricator, ho hàs not fact to fupport him in more than one $f$ a choufand affertions. What are magiftrates withut the controul of feffions, but tyrants? What ould feffions be without the King's Bench ? What would the King's Bench be without a fupeior? You can finih in none of thefe fteps withbut tyranny being the confequence. It is the grafation and controul of powers which forms the true balance. It is THE CROWN that keeps all thefe meaner flars in their refpective orbits ; there
is no fimilar power in France, and tberefore all confufion and tyranny. The admirable utility magiftrates, feffions, affize, \&c. are felt and a mitted:-you would have this without a fupren magiftrate, -that is', you would have attractid without matter, and folar heat without a fun.
" The generality of governments," fays $D$ Prieftley, " have hitherto been little more than combination of the few againft the many; and the mean paffions and low cunning of thefe fet have the greateft interefts of mankind been wo long facrificed. Whole nations have been delug. with blood, and every fource of future profperis has been drained, to gratify the caprices of fom of the moft defpicable, or the moft execrable the human fpecies. For what elfe have been th generality of kings, their minifters of flate, their miftreffes, to whofe wills whole kingdom have been fubject ? . . What can we fay of tho who have hicherto taken the lead in conducting th affairs of nations, but that they have commonl been either weak or wicked, and fometimes both Hence the common reproach of all hiftories, tha they exhbit little more than a view of the vice and miferies of mankind. From this time, there fore, we may expect that it will wear a differen and more pleafing afpect *"
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The events which have paffed fince this paffage was written, muft make one fmile in reading it. It now appears that the combination of the many againft the few, can alfo deluge a nation in blood, with a cruelty more accurfed, becaufe unneceffary to the many: that fources of profperity can be drained without minifters and without miftreffes; that weaknefs and wickednefs can take the lead without kings; and that hiftory will ftill continue to exhibit the vices and miferies of mankind.

## Perfonal Security.

The ftate of France refpecting the perional liberty of her citizens is difpatched in few words : There is no such thing: the fact is fo notorious, that an appeal to inftances might by many be deemed unneceffary; there are, however, a few circumitances that merit noting, not fo much to prove the violation of this firlt and moft facred duty of government, as to fhew that fuch violations have been committed on principle; and perpetrated or permitted even by the legiflature itfelf.

The declaration of the Rights of Man and of Citizens fays, no man can be acculed, arrefted; or detained, except in cajes determined by the law, and ACCORDING TO THE FORMS WHICH C 4

THE
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THE LAW HAS PRESCRIBED. Such is the letter: what is the practice? On complaints from Niort, againft fome counter-revolutionifts, feized by a mob thirfting for their blood, but who wifhed to have the flimfey cloak of a femblance of juftice, the National Assembly decreed, "that all the criminal tribunals of the kingdom fhould try, without appeal, all crimes committed againft the Revolution*. And in order to indulge the fame thirf at Paris, which was not, with all its murders, fatiated, they decreed the removal of the criminals from Orleans to Paris; that is, from the legally eftablifhed judicature, where there was a chance of juttice, to an illegal one, where there was no fuch chance; and they did this in confequence of fuch addreffes as thefe from the deputation of the commons of Paris. It is tilie that the criminals at Orleans, be transferred to Paris, there to receive the puni/bment of their crimes. If you do not agree to tbis demand, we cannot an/wer for the vengeance of the people. You bave beard us, and you know that infurrection is a facred duty! Invited to the honours of the meeting ! !! The fate of thefe prifoners is known to every one.

The declaration fays, that no man can be punibed but in virtue of a lawe eftablifed, and promulgated prior to the offence, and legally applied. The ap-

\author{

- Monit. $3^{2} \mathrm{ft}_{3}$
}
plication,
uch is plaints ionifts, ut who ince of " that fhould gainft ge the all its of the om the was a there confe-eputabat the bere to do not e vennd you ted to f thefe le ap-
plication, " difobedience" in the colonies, " thall be regarded as high treafon, and thofe who thall render themfelves guilty fhall be fent to France to be tried, according to the rigour of the law." The liberty of the prefs was provided for in the declaration. Such the theory. The practice was filencing all that were not Facobin papers, and beheading the authors. No wonder that, under fuch a fpecies of government, prifons thould be emptied by maffacre, and filled again by arbitrary arrefts. Sept. 16, the miniter writes thus to the Affembly: "The natural, civil, and political liberty of the nation is in queftion; fince the 5 th, above five hundred perfons have been arrefted, fo that the prifons are as full as ever*; no fatisfactory account is given of the authority; they have been imprifoned by orders given by the municipality, by fections, by the people, and even by individuals: emprifonneés par ordre, foit de la municipalité, foit des fections, foit du peuple, SOIT MEME D'INDIVIDUS; and the reafons of very few of thefe orders are given."

The leginature thus informed of the abufe, may be prefumed to be on the wing to remedy it. The progrefs of the bufinefs is curious:-Oct. 8. De-

[^10]cree
cree_cr The National Convention decrees, that citizens detained in houfes, which are neither prifons nor houfes of arreft, fhall be removed, within fifteen days, into legal prifons; after which time, every citizen, againft whom there appears neither warrant of arreft, nor decree of accufation, thall be fet at liberty *." If any doubts could remain of the real tyranny under which France groans, fuch a decree would be fufficient to remove them:the fact of citizens being thus illegally confined, without warrant, and not in legal prifons, is here admitted; and men SO treated may be kept fifteen days longer before they are fet free! Sept. 16, the Convention receive the notice officially, and Oct. 8, they decree a power of arbitrary imprifonment fifteen days longer!!-Nor does it end here; for Nov. 11, complaint is heard in the Affembly, that no report is made concerning the prifoners $\dagger$; and it merits great attention, that during this long period of the imprifonment of fo many unhappy people, Paris was inceffantly convulfed; and every day brought reafon to expect, that imprifonment and faughter would prove fynonymous terms. To imprifon whom they pleafed on fufpicion, as a method of taking off thofe they dared not, or could not publicly accufe, was a convenient mode of tyranny, not unworthy of the wretch, a member of their Pandemonium, who,

[^11]fpeaking
ees, that ither prid, within ich time, rs neither , thall be remain of ans, fuch them :confined, s , is here be kept ! Sept. officially, trary imdoes it ard in the rning the ion, that ent of fo ntly con0 expect, pve fynoy pleafed hofe they e, was a hy of the (im, who,
fpeaking

Speaking to the queftion of trying the unhappy King, affigned him to torments in the hearing of thofe tribunes, who might foon be the executioners of his bloody wifhes. Moriffon, "the firt and moft natural of all my affections would be, to fee that fanguinary monfter (Louis XVI.) expiate his guilt by the moft cruel torments* : and another (Goncbon, Dec. 12.) fays, Kings will pa/s away! but the declaration of, rights and pikes will never pafs, away. Here let the tyrant bear bis condemnation. Deputation of the Section of Gardes Franfoijes;: "The Section of Luxemburg bas fworn to poinard Louis XVI. if you do not condemn bim to perifl an a fcaffold; we were invited to accede to it $\dagger$." As if the declaration of rights was not laid in the duft, when fuch language could be fpoken of a prifoner unheard; and amidft unanimous and reiterated applaufes! The applaufes of thofe whofe pikes were ready.

In the full face of fuch authentic facts, given on the authority of their own minifters and friends, we read, in the Political Stare of Europe, printed by Jordan, and written by Paine and Co. No. 6. p. 435, that in Paris a refpect is paid to the facred prefervation of property, and that the laws are no where fo univerfally refpected and obeyed!!! What will not Jacobin impudence reach!

[^12]The
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The infamous Marat, deeper in the blood of the 2d of September than any other perfon, except, perhaps Petion, feeks to prove it the act, not of a few, but of the people. As to the malaacres of the 2d and 3d of September; it is an atrocity to reprefent tbem as the work of a gang of brigands. If ro, the Adembly, the Minifter of the Interior, and the Mayor of Paris, were the culpables; and notbing in the world can wafh them clean from the crime of not baving prevented afafinations that lafted three days; but they will doubtle/s fay, it was impolfible, being equally the alt of the national guards, the federates, and the people. Petion refted tranquilly at table, with Brifot and bis friends, and didained to quit the party even for recciving the commifioners fent by the afembly, to charge bim to fop thofe exceffes *.

Such has been the attention to perfonal liberty, under the reign of philofophers, eftablifhed on the ruins of the mildeft and moft benignant government in Europe, our own only excepted; a government cruelly libelled in the character given by one of our reforming orators, who thus defcribes it; " a fpecies of government that trampled on the property, the liberty, and the iives of its fubjects; that dealt in extortions, dungeons, and tortures: and that prepared, beforehand, a day of

[^13]fanguinary

## Security of Property.

If I had not heard Jacobin converfation in England, there would have been little occafion for this paragraph; to a reader that reflects, it muft at once be apparent that where there is no perfonal freedom, there can be no fecure property: It would be an infult to common fenfe to fuppofe, that a tyrannical mob would refpect the property of thofe whofe throats they cut: arbitrary imprifonment and maffacre muft be inevitably followed by direct attacks on property. Contrary however to thefe plain deductions of common fenfe, it has been repeatedly afferted, that the government of France has done nothing in violation of the rights of property, except with relation to emigrants, who were confidered as guilty for the act of flying: but is it not palpable, that filling prifons on fufpicion, by arbitrary commitments, and emptying them by maffacre-that the perpetual din of pillage and affafination-are culculated to fill men with alarm arid terror-and to drive them to fly

[^14]
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not through guilt, but horror? By your murders you drive them away; and then pronouncing them emigrants, confifcate their eflates! And this is called the fecurity of property. The cry of ariftocrate or traitor is followed by immediate imprifonment or death, and has been found an eafy way of paying debts. Upon my inquiring of a correIpondent what was become of a gentleman I had known at Paris, the anfwer was, that he was met in the ftreet by a perfon confiderably in his debr, who no fooner faw than he attacked him as a traitor, and ordered him to gaol. No known maffacie was committed in that prifon, but my acquaintance was heard of no more. It is eafy to conjecture what became of the debt. Should the dæmons of difcord effect a revolution in this kingdom, and bring Mr. Legiflator Paine, (tired of being called the punchinello of the Convention*), once more to Thetford, Sandwich, or Lewes, he would not find it difficult thus to fatisfy all his creditors, however numerous-he would come well prepared with a French recipe for wiping off all their fores. In a country where fuch things are poffible, every tie that binds property is broken. To imagine its fecurity is a folly too grofs to be endured, and to affert it, is a falfehood that fhould excite no emotion but contempt.

[^15]
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In a parifh in the Clermontois (Croté-le-Roy), te fteward of a gentleman refiding at a diftance, ame to receive the rent of three confiderable rmers. He was told that the Convention had ecreed equality, and that paying rent was the hoft unequal thing in the world; for it was a man ho did much to receive a little, paying to one, ho receiving much, did nothing at all. The eward replied, that their joke might poffibly be ood, but that he came not for wit, but money; hd money he mult have; he was ordered inftantly depart or to ftay and be hanged. The prorietor demanded juftice, but in vain; the munipality was applied to; and the only refult was, hat body (the veftry) ordering the farmers to ield up the lands; they were taken poffeffion of y themfelves, in depofit redeemable for the naon; and actually divided in portions among the bouring poor, that is among themfelves. What he event may be is nothing to the purpofe : what ecomes in the mean time of the Right of Proerty ! The probable event however is, that the roprietor will be driven to emigration, for the here convenience of retaining their plunder.

It can hardly be doubted but that robbery, even f land iffelf, muft fpread throughout the kingdoms hen the Committee of General Security could thus pport to the Convention :-The national refources
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may be augmented by impofing contributions upon perfons of fortune, perfonnes aifěes, and the obftinate, who wait, with tranquility at bome, the event of the Revolution*. Contributions impofed on perfons for two reafons; firft, for the crime of being men of fortune ; and, fecondly, for remaining in tranquility! With fuch a legiflation can property be refpected?

With fuch a principle, recognifed in the Convention, we need not afk how taxes are levied.The poor and fmall proprietors of a few acres, who every where form the majority of each municipa. lity, efcape all taxation, but are vigilant in forcing thofe of more conficerable property to pay to the laft farthing; and as all taxes are affeffed and levied by the parochial vote, at affemblies, to which all refort, the men without property order evers, thing at will, and have various ways, much mord effective, for the divifion of property, than a diree agrarian law would be.

Let the farmers of this kingdom reprefent th themfelves a picture of what their fituation would be, if their labourers their fervants, and the pau pers .whom they fupport by poor's rates, were al armed, and, in fome meafure, regimented, and in poffeflion of the veftry, voting not only the mone
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to be raifed by rates, but the divifion of it among themfelves; decreeing what the price of all the farmer's products thould be; what wages thould be paid to fervants, and what pay to labourers. Under fuch a fyitem of government, I beg to ark, what fecurity would remain for a fingle fhilling in the pockets of thofe who are at prefent in a ftate of eafe and affluence? And whether fuch a tyranny would not be worfe than that of the moft determined defpotifm at prefent in Europe?

While the farmer is thus expofed to parochial oppreffion, at the mercy of thofe who were fo lately his inferiors, and who are even fed and fupported by him, he is not exempred from attacks of a very different nature; to authorife the feizure of horfes and arms, was, in the National Affembly, a meafure of violence and tyranny; but as it iffued from the legillature de facto, it had the authority of admitted power; but the municipality of Paris have gone much furcher; September 13 , the minifter of the home department complains to the Affembly, that the commiffioners of the municipality of Paris are fent into the country with fuch arbitrary orders, as are utterly inconfiftent with his own refponfibility; their orders are figned by four of the adminiftrators of the public fafety, for feizing fufpected perfons and precious effects. Pour s'emparer des perfonnes fufpectes $\mathcal{E}$ des effets D

## ( 34 )

precieux *. Seizing fufpected perfons and precious effects! A very pretty commiffion in a land of liberty; and given, not by the leginative body, but by a corporation! The corporation of a town fends commiffioners, in other words, defpotic monarchs, into the country, to arreft and to plunder, and this under the eyes of the legifature. When the republican reader of Mr. Paine, on corporations in England, is well fatiated with rigbts, it would do him good to take the actions of French municipalities as a comment on the text.

The abufes and plunder in the fale of the pof: feffions of the emigrants, may be eafily conceived from the complaint which Sillery makes in the Convention:-" The furniture of the chateau of Nangus, belonging to the Baron de Bretueil, was worth at leaft $1,500,000$ liv. and has produced fcarcely' any thing. Six tapeftries of the Gobelins, which coft 30,000 liv. in money, were fold for 2800 liv. in aflignats. A clock that coft 24,000 liv. in money, fold for 800 in paper $\dagger$." Such is the virtuous adminiftration of the res publica among republicans!

Marat lets us into the fecret of the wealth of members of the Convention, who were once as poor as himfelf._-Barbaroux tenoit lies cordons de
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precious land of e body, fa town tic moplunder, When orporaights, it French
he por: nceived in the teau of il, was oduced belins, old for 24,000 iuch is mong
la bourre comme il tenoit la clef du bondoir (he alJudes to his being the lover of Madame Rolland), at leaft if we may judge by the facility with which be diftributes aflignats to the right and left. People bave been aftonibhed at the enormous expences of יzany members, wbo, like myfelf, bave bad no otber patrimony than debts. Altbougb married, thefe gentlemen keep girls, give great fuppers, and tbeir wives are always at the theatres. -_ Valafe is royalift and spends enormoufly.—Tibe fatber-in-law of Petion lives in a palace, dreffes richly, drives bis coach, keeps an excellent table, and bougbt the cellar of Egmont Pignatelli, wbich coft bim 23,000 liv.A footman of Montefquieu is colonel of the regiment of cavalry buffards braconniers, and at the fame time contraEZor for furni/bing them._Gorfas, Dulaure, Poncelain, Rœderer, Caritat, Rabaud, all paid by Rolland, in the 100,000 liv. pour former l'efprit public*.

The watch-word, from one end of France to the other, is equality; they join liberty with it, as mountebanks annex a favourite epithet to the noftrum, whofe only object is the money in the pockets of the credulous. But after all rank, title, nobility, and diftinction have been abolimed, what do they mean by equality ? They talk of equality, not becaufe they know what it means, but becaule
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others have talked of it. Marat remarks, that the people follow one another like fheep:-C'eft un terrible torrent que celui de l'exemple car toute peuple ef naturellement montennier*. The word is abfurd, if it attaches not to property, for there can be no equality while one man is rich and another poor. But the preceding facts feeak what the new equality is, in terms too clear to be mif. underftood. I am not affonibed to fee, fays Buzot, an arret come to us under the name of Momoro, whom I, as prefidcnt in the department of Eure, beard preacbing the divifion of efates; but I am truly so to find fuch a man prefidng in one of the fections of Paris $\dagger$.

We hear it afferted in England, that property is not attacked in France: there you hear no fuch affertions: on the return of the commiffioners, members of the Convention, from the riots at Chartres, where they were nearly deftroyed, it was afferted on facts, in the Convention itfelf, that all the principles of an agrarian law were in agitation mis en avance $\ddagger$.

Before we quit this fubject of the fecurity of property at prefent in France, let us examine fhortly the cafe of that moft interefting portion of
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, that $-C^{\prime} e f$ toute ord is there $h$ and what $e$ mif. Buzot, whom beard uly so ons of sperty fuch oners, ts at t was at all lation
property, the crop in the hands of the farmer: we. know well in England, from the conviction of long experience, that if this fpecies of property is not facred, all the claffes of the fociety inftantly fuffer; it is a vital wound that affects the whole fyitem.

The late crop in that kingdom is faid to be plentiful; but natural plenty, under a government of anarchy, avails little; the mob prohibiting the free tranfport of corn, the immediate confequence was fo high a price in many diftricts, that the people found it more convenient to Seize the corn than to pay for it: this, of courfe, added every where to the mifchief; for the farmers were not ready to carry their products into the jaws of plunder. Thefe diftractions-thefe bleffings of a government that had the power of converting even good crops into the means of famine, drew from the minifter of the home department, threats even of violence; he wrote to a variety of cities, from all which papers it would be too tedious to give extracts. He thus expreffes himfelf to Tours: "The municipalitits ought to ufe all poffible means of perfuafion with the farmers, for engaging them to fupply the markets; for I mult tell you, that if the poffeffors of corn refift thefe paternal invitations, means of extremity mult be uled againft them: on fera bien contraint d'employer enD 3 vers
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vers eux les moyens extremes*." It is worth the attention of Englifh farmers, to reflect well on the nature of this cafe : their brethren in France, content with a moderate and fair price for their corn, carry it freely to market; the operations of the people raife this price ; and then, to revenge the refult of their own violence, they plunder. Such a conduct is fure to create, at leat, apprehenfions of famine; and to obviate it, the minifter does not threaten the mob, from whom all the mifchief arifes, but the FARMERS; he threatens them with EXTREMITIES, as a punifhment for having been plundered by the rabble-by the nation. If the farmer, thus robbed, has the misfortune to be a proprietor, and particularly a large proprietor, he has firft the oppreffion of paying thofe taxes which an armed populace will not pay; and, that he may be able to do this, his corn is feized by the confumer, and he is threatened with extremities by the minifter; as if any extremities could be greater than taking his crops by violence: if more, however, was not meant, the folly of the denunciation was equal to the knavery of it. Thofe intellects which can fee any difference between fuch a government and the cudgel of a Turkifh bafha, are much more acute than mine.
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The fame minifter writes to the Convention, Oct. 15 .-"I am informed that the overfeers of the military fubfiftences do not ceafe to fly through the country, and to force, with arms in their hands, the farmers to furnifh their commodities. Such practices deftroy every meafure of order, and infinitely impede the free circulation of corn. I cannot diffemble with the Convention, that this conduct of the military contractors tends to fpread diforder every where, and that if they continue to take by force, or at their own price, provifion from the farmers, it will be impoffible to infure the fupply of Paris."

Now this, if poffible, exceeds every thing the Jacobin adminiftration, acting on the ideas of Jacobin liberty, could devife to fhew their perfect contempt of the whole farming race. He ftates the glaring magnitude of the evil to the Convention; and what is his conclufion? Why, he tells them, that if fuch things are allowed, it will be impofible to fupply Paris!! There is the only evil; as to the poor plundered farmers, he allows, indeed, that robbing them is a dijorder, but when he fums up to imprefs the leginarure with the necefficy of paying attention to the evil, he recurs folely to the fupply of Paris! If Paris is fupplied, all is well-as to the farmers they may take care of
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themfelves. Let thofe who tell us in England, that the Revolution of France was favourable in the beginning to agriculture (particularly in tithes) confider the value of a frer market; and then our farmers will not be long difcovering, that no ex mptions, no fuch favours will prove a recompence, for being forced, the pike or broad-fword in hant, to fell at the price offered by thofe who brandifh the weapon over their heads. No wonder that fuch meafures fhould flarve the towns, as well as ruin the country; and that the commiffioners of fubfiftence fhould report, that the penury of grain, in the great cities, is extreme*.

In all thefe and a thoufand other inftances, we fee the living and effective confequences of Paine's doctrines; he expatiated on the luxury of great eftates, and recommended their feizure; French practice realized the doctrine, and doubtlefs there were French farmers, who rejoiced at the fpectacle of all the great properties of the kingdom being levelled by the nation; they did not however forefee, that it would be their own turn next; that the principle of equality being once abroad, would infallibly level all property; and would give to the beggar, without a loaf, but with a pike on his
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gland, able in tithes) d then hat no recom--fword re who wonder as well ners of grain,
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thoulder, the means of levelling the enormous inequality between his own wallet, without a kernel, and the well-ftored granary of a warm farmer. Let ours, therefore, never forget, that the fame principle which attacks a property of 40,0001 . a year, becaufe it is too large relatively to other properties, attarks alfo a farm of 200l: a year, for the fame reafon; nay, of 50 . a year, becaufe that alfo is large, when compared with the property of thofe who have little. And let us all be well perfuaded, that the fearful events, at prefent paffing in France, with a celerity of mifchief that furpaffes equally all that hiftory has to offer, or fancy to conceive, afford a fpectacle interefting to every man who poffeffes property; and to none more than to farmers. The quarrel now raging in that once fluurifhing kingdom, is not between liberty and ty.. ranny, or between protecting and oppreffive fyltems of government; is is, on the contrary, collected to a fingle point,-it is alone a queltion of property; it is a trial at arms, whether thofe who have notbing, fhall not feize and poffefs the property of thofe who have fometbing. A dreadful queftion-a horrid ftuggle, which can never end but in the equal and univerfal ruin of all; in which, he who gains by the lofs of his neighbour, gains but to lofe, in his turn, to fome fturdier robber, till riot, confur fion, and anarchy, render property but the fignal
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of invation, and poverty the beft fhield againtt the attacks and tyranny of the mob *.

Such being the ftate of government, liberty, and property in France, I fhall unite thefe facts in one general conclufion, and venture the affertion, that the Revolution has abfolutely ruined thit kingdom. I may be told, perhaps, in reply, that fhe carries no more appearance of ruin at this moment, than many months or years paft. Her arms are even victorious on every fide.

The inquiry into that degree of depreffion or violence which properly conftitutes national ruin, would lead into an extenfive and unneceffary difcuffion. If nothing merits that defcription but foreign
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conqueft, Morocco was in no flate of ruin under a barbarian, who put 40,000 men to death with his own hands; nor is Turkey ruined under the dominion of horfe-tails and bow-ftrings. To every purpofe of fober argument, the danger of life and property is effective ruin.

Life and property in France are in this fituation, if raifed a fingle point above the level of the populace; a gigantic and devouring defpotifm has levelled in the duft all fecurity to thofe whofe properties raife them above the mob. In one word, law does not reign ; there is a power ev-ry where fuperior: a defporic authority may fill the ranks of their armies, as the flaves of Algiers are made to arm and to fight, but the kingdom is as much ruined with victory attending her flandard, as if the German banners were flying at Paris, Marfeilles, and Bourdeaux.

The old government of France, with all its fauls, was certainly the beft enjoyed by any confiderahle country in Europe, England alone excepted; but there were many faul:s in it which every clafs of the people wifhed to remedy. This natural and laudable wifh made democrates in evely order, amongft the poffeffors of property, as well as among thofe who had none. At the commencement of the Revolution, France poffeffed a
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very flourifhing commerce ; the richeft colonies in the world; the greateft currency of folid money in Europe; her agriculture was improving; and her people, though from too great population much too numerous for the higheft degrees of national profperity, yet were more at their eafe than in many other countries of Europe; the government was regular and mild; and, what was of as much confequence as all the reft, her benignant fovereign, with a patriotifm unequalled, was really willing to improve, by any reafonable means, the conftitution of the kingdom. All thefe circumftances, if compared with England, would not make the proper impreffion. They are to be compared alone with what has fince enfued; and her prefent fate may thus, with truth, be correctly defcribed.-Her government an anarchy, that values neither life nor property. Her agriculture faft finking; her farmers the flaves of all; and her people ftarving. Her manufactures annihilated. Her commerce deftroyed; and her colonies abfolutely ruined. Her gold and filver difappeared; and her currency paper fo depreciated, by its enormous amount of 3000 millions, befides incredible forgeries, that it advances, with rapid ftrides, to the entire ftagnation of every fpecies of induftry and circulation. Her national revenue diminifhed three-fourths. Her cities fcenes of revolt, maffacre, and famine; and her provinces plundered
by gangs
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by gangs of banditti. Her future profpect of peace and fettlement, depending on a conftitution that is to be formed by a convention of rabble, and fanctioned by the fans culottes of the kennel. It is not a few infulated crimes on fome undeferving men; it is a feries of horrid profrription, fpreading far and near; pervading every quarter of the kingdom; it is the annihilation of rank, of right, of property; it is the deftruction of the pofeffors of more than half France; it is the legiflation of wolves, that govern only in deftruction: and all thefe maffacres, and plunderings, and burnings, and horrors of every denomination, are fo far from being neceffary for the eftablifhment of liberty, hat they have mof effectually deftroyed it. In one word, France is at prefent abfolutely without sovernment; anarchy reigns; the poniard and the pike of the mob give the law to all that once ormed the higher claffes, and to all that at prefent mocks with the fhew of legiflation. The mob of Paris have been long in the actual poffeffion of nnrivalled power; they will never freely relinquif t : if the Convention prefumes to be free, it will pe maffacred; and, after a circle of new horrors, will fink (hould foreign aid fail) into the defpoifm of triumvirs or dictators: the change will be rom a Bourbon to a butcher!
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"All former Revolutions," fays Paine, "till the American, had been worked within the atmofphere of a court, and never on the grear floor of a nation *;" unfortunately for this miferable copy, She worked on a floor broad enough $\dagger$; her balis was the blood and property of France. The picture has no refemblance in "the infipid ftate of hereditary government $\ddagger$." She found in "fcenes of horror and perfection of iniquity $\ddagger$," what "man is up to $\ddagger$." It is eafy to fee what they have loft; as to their gains, they have affignats, cockades, and the mufic of faira; it may be truely faid, that they have made a wife barte: : they have given their gold for paper; their bread for a ribbon; and their blood for a fong. Heaven preferve is from the phrenzy of fuch exchanges! and leave Revolutions for the "order of the day $f_{\text {," }}$ for " the morning of reafon rifing upon man $\ddagger$ " in France.

## - Rights of Man.

$\dagger$ The Convention declares, in the name of the French nation, that it will grant affiftance to all people who wifh to recover their liberty, and charges the executive pawer with giving the neceffary orders to the generals for giving fuccour to fuch people, Nov. 19, ordered to be printed in all languages.
$\ddagger$ Paine.
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## II.

Such are the confequences of the French Revolution. Our next inquiry is, from what have thefe evilis arifen? They may be attributed to three prominent features in the new fyftem of their foi-difant philofophers.-I. Perfonal Reprefenta-tion.-2. The Rights of Man.-3. Equality.

If there is any one circumftance to which all the horrors that have paffed in France may be more properly attributed than to any other, it is the double reprefentation given to the tiers etat by Mr. Neckar, directly contrary to every refpectable authority *. The preponderancy of the people within the walls, united with the dipirit of revolt without, was manifeft in a moment; the court divided; and the King, confcientious and honeft; thefe were not arms to meet the preffure of the moment. The mob triumphed; and all the world knows what followed. If a tree is to be judged by its fruits, we may fairly affert, that perfonal reprefentation, which gives to the loweft of the people a direct influence in the government, muft lead in a great empire and a great capital to abfolute marchy, fuch as has ruined France.

- Paine fays, that the parliament of Paris recommended it. He ought to have known better $;$ for what was he at Paris at the time?
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In any reprefentative government, if perfons only are reprefented,-that is to fay, if a man withour a fhilling deputes equally with another, who has property, and if men in the former fituation are ten times more numerous than thofe in the latter; and if the reprefentatives, fo chofen, fit for fo fhort a time as to voie truely the wills of their conftituents, it follows, by direct confequence, that all the property of the fociety is at the mercy of thofe who poffers nothing; and could theory have blundered fo flupidly, as to fuppofe for a moment, that attack and plunder would not follow power in fuch hands; let it recur to France for $f a c t$, to prove what reafon ought to have forefeen.

The abftract Rights of Man, moft prepofterous of all ideas, which in fact have no political exiftence whatever, have effetted all the mirchief; fince thofe rights, which cannot be exerted, or become efficient without the deftruction of other rights, and the rights of other men, equally admitted, are palpably vifionary-the children of playful brains-but impoffible in practice. But the French had thefe dreams; they imagined that perfonal reprefentation would recognize and fecure fuch rights, and they eftablifhed their government accordingly:-they ridiculed the contitution of England for depending on a balance of powers; in which a corporation of ariffocracy has a negative
on th from rights this a fentat Chrin printe anfwe fively repref has n prope cided
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perfons a man another, ner fituthofe in 10fen, fit wills of equence, de mercy d theory ofe for a 1 not folPrance for furefeen.
pofterous litical exmifchief; xerted, or 1 of other qually adhildren of tice. But giped that and fecure overnment titution of owers ; in a negative
on the Rights of Man; and wove a web of theory from the phantafy of their brains, to fecure thofe rights from all controul. Is this a cafe in point? Is this a great political experiment on perfonal reprefentation? Let the works of Mr. Mackintofh, Mr. Chritie, and many other able witers, who have printed warm panegyrics on the-French conftitution, anfwer this queftion. They have anfwered it decifively; for the faults found, if any, are, that the reprefentation was not perfonal enough; the refult has Shewn it fo perfonal, as to have annihilated property ; this part of the queftion therefore is decided as foon as propofed.

There is a party in this kingdom who call loudly for a reform in the reprefentation of the people, and who would have fuch reformation give a right of election indifcriminately to all mankind: I am myfelf in the number of thofe who wifh a reform, but not of fuch a complexion, nor at a moment like this; I wih the middle claffes of landed property better reprefented; l wifh a new member for every county, elected by men who poffers not lefs than an hundred a year in land, and not more than a thoufand; and an equal number of members deducted from the moft objectionable boroughs. But I would live at Conitantinople rather than at Bradfield, if the wild and prepofterous propofitions founded on the Rights of Man, were to become effective in this kingdom. In other worcs,

I have


#### Abstract

( 50 ) I have property; and I do not choofe to live where the firlt beggar I met, may, the fabre in one hand, and Rights of Man in the other, demand a thare of that which a good government tells me is my own. But my idea of a reform is as fpeculative as the reft, and therefore merits not more attention: rotten boroughs are found, on experience, not dangerous; of what account then the objections of fpeculatifts?


The fact is, that the French conftitution was founded abfolutely on perfonal reprefentation. By the letter of the law, certain perfons were excluded, but by collateral parts of the fame fyftem, the mob was armed; and the authors of the Revolution might not perhaps forefee the event, that elections made at the point of the bayonet, would be at the power of the bayonet. Examine not the letter of a vifionary code, but experiment, in in the hiftory of Paris, Marfeilles, \&cc. from the firt moment of the troubles.

That many who wifh the reform, on popular principles, of that parliament, under the aufpices of which we enjoy the fecurity which makes us every hour (of anarchy in France) the object of the envy of other nations-that many who wifh this reform, do it on meritorious motives, I have not a doubt:-they think, on theory, that per. fonal reprefentation may be confiftent with the fecurity
fecurity of property; much as they are deceived in this idea, yet their error was once refpectable *. They fay to thernfelves, fo far I would go and no farther $\dagger$; but they forgot, that by going fo far


#### Abstract

- Of fuch men, confifted many of the Confituent Affembly in France; but the abfolute folly of the idea is now a matter of experiment; that affembly made the trial. They formed a government on the Rigbts of Man, and the foundation they built upon was fo Ilippery, that the whole edifice has tumbled about their ears in a fingle year. I hardly know any thing more naufeous than the converfation one now and then hears at prefent on thofe fine theo. ries, delivered pretty much in the fame accents as a twelvemonth ago, when the Conftituent Affembly was as much praifed as it ie now condemded; fuch men forget that it is theory no longer: it is now fact and hiftory; the experiment was made; we have feen the refult; it failed totally and completely; in the name of common fenfe, let us, as farmers, regard experience only; aud when thefe eternal theorifts ftill recur to new vifions of their heated brains, let us reply, the tbing is tried; tbat metbod of drilling bas been experimented and found good for not bing; the crop did not anfwer; the principles of farming are the principles of government; when you have experiment for your guide, will you refort to theary ? When experiment has damned half a dczen theories from the fame quarter, will you fill liften to new fancies, and go to work again, becaufe the fame men tell you they have new imaginations for your employment ? The leading conclufion, deducible trom the French experiment, and written in characters, which he that runs may read, is this, tf persons arerepresfnted; property is destroyed. We know then what to think of the propofals for reform hitherto made in this kingdom.


+ The firf leaders in the Revolution faid this, and they now feel the confequence. Neckar, who gave the double tiers, banifhed with the lofs of an hundred thoufand pounds; Suéyès who faid le tiers eft tout in difgrace; and Barnave, who alked if the firft
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they have given the power from their hands, by which alone others are prevented from pulhing matters a little further; and that thefe again are impelled by a third fet, who drive at the Rights of Man, and pulling down all that exifts at prefent, with the temple of Dagon, by the Sampfon of the mob. However refpectable, well-meaning, but wrong headed, men may be for their motives, let ir not be imagined for a moment, that there is any thing refpectable in the levellers, your fellows of the Rights of Man, whofe principles are not a jot better than thofe of highwaymen and houfe-breakers; for the objet of both is equalizing property.

Mr. Wyvil, in his late pamphlet, talks of temperate reformation, and of pointing the zeal of the people to a moderate correction of grievances (p. 89).
blood fpilled was fo pure, in a dungeon; le beau jour of Bailly thines at prefent in a garret at London; La Fayette feels in the prifon of Wezel, that infurrection is not la plus faint des devoirs; and had Mirabeau been now alive, his head would have been on 2 pike; and the minifter Rolland, who, in his impudent letter to the King, faid, that as the rosice of truth is not beard in courts, revolutions bccmoe neceffary, now, crouching under the uplifted pike, finds, in tie difpenfations of Jacobin juttice, that the voice of truth is heard as little in conventions as in courts, and curfes the folly that called for revolutions; Petion pelted and hiffed, Masat carried in triumph * and Manuel with his throat cut, continue the revolutions of the wheel of retrihution. Sec thefe changes admiably touched in various paffages of La Derniere Tableau de Paris, par M. Peltier.
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As if it was poffible, after roufing, by inflammatory publications, the mobbifh fpirit, that you could draw the line of muderation, beyond which the populace thould not pafs! You want to correct grievances by means of the people; who, with power to effect the purpofe, mult have power to do much more. If they have that power, will they ufe it? Go to Paris for the anfwer.

But that fomething more than temperate and moderate reform is really the object, we have an - ubted proof, in a work publifhed the other $z^{2} y$, by one of the heads of the reforming party *, who praifes the French Revolution as not the reformation of a government, but its utter deftruction (its diffolution, in the author's own words); and erecting in its room that which proved, fo foon after the author dated his letter, and before he publifhed ir, a monster; and is now the bloodieft and moft deteftable tyranny that has blotted the annals of modern Europe.

Power in the hands of the people, by means of perfonal reprefentation, has ruined France. And the queftion in England is, whether the farmers and land proprierors thall preferve their property fecure, by one and all confidering the fylfem with
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the horror it merits; or fhall, by doubt and hefitation, unite with the enemies of public peace, and hazard all that we poffefs at prefent.

I cannot well underftand on what principles republicans and friends of liberty, can now give their approbation to this eventful Revolution. To be confiftent with their own doctrines, they ought to hold the actors, on the theatre of French affairs, as the moft fatal enemies to human liberty the world has ever feen: they have not only fhewn mankind in a new and hideous afpect of ingratitude, paft all example, but they have proved that liberty, in the abftract and in theory, is unfit for the mafs of mankind, and even pernicious to their interefts, and the intereft of practical freedom. They have given a leffon of tyranny to all the governments of the world; they have given a pane.. gyric on the perpetuity of political darknefs, and on the propagation of political ignorance.

Perfonal reprefentation in cities, muft be apt to fall into the hands of a few of the moft daring, reftlefs, and profigate of the mob: of this, we have an inftance, Atrangely remarkable, in the cafe of Paris; in that city there are about $: 50,000$ vorers, yet the number who have been brought to poll have varied from 9000 to 12,000 ; it is therefore, evident that the mafs of the inhabitants, find-
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ing they could not vote freely and in fafery, would not vote at all. What a fatire is this on the univerfal fuffrage of the mob, who regulate the right of their neighbours voting, as they diftribute juftice-by the pike! " Materials fit for all the purpofe's of government," fays Paine, "may be found in every town." He certainly means the pike, for that is the chief material in the new fyftem.
"It is well known," fays the deputation of Finitere, at the bar of the Convention, "that the Sections of Paris are beld by at moff ffty individuals, to wbons ali cede with a facility perfectly incredible; affonifbed at fucb a general defertion, we buve been careful to inquire the caufe, and bave been afured, that the only reajon is, that none bad the power of freely expreffing their opinion witbout running the greateft danger. We are Jocked to think of jucb a popular defpotijm *." The federates at the bar, January $13^{\text {th }}:$-" Tbe public. force is diforganized, and poniards intimidate the good citizens. Spare not the liberticide members, who vote in favour of Louis, we devote them to infamy.-Marfeilles to the Sections of Paris. If perfonal reprefentation has, in the flort period of four years, given the government of France into the hands of the mub -with two legiflative bodies in fucceffion mot
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completely devoid of property; and if the confequence has been the deftruction of property, and the delivery of its poffeffors, to be butchered or banifhed, we are furely juftified in afferting that THE EXPERIMENT OF PERSONAL REPRESENTATION has been made and totally falled**
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## II.

The Rights of M n were the next pillar of the French fyftem, ...d. f red, in this eve. ? experiment, as vifionary and mifchievous as perfonal reprefentation. The conftitution was built on a declaration of thefe rights; and, as if every paragraph of the code had been formed only to be broken, practice has torn the whole into fritters, or trampled it under feet, with a contempt it never experienced in any other country. So that a man would go much readier to Conftantinople than to Paris, for the exercife. Its commentator calls out for anfwers to his performance.-The French Revolution is an anfwer round and complete; there is not a page it does not reply to; there is not a pofition it does not damn: and the author has the daily mortification to fee his marvellous efforts furpaffed by his colleagues in the legillative banditti, who arrive at the fame end by a fhorter road; by engraving the Rights of Man, with poniards, dipt in the belt blood of France.

When that prince of incendiaries, reviewing a train of his projects, afks, with an air of triumph, after each, would not this be a good tbing? Tbis furely would be a good thing! In like mannet, take
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the French declaration of the Rights of Man, and there is hardly an article to be found, to which the fame writer, and an hundred others, would not annex the fame queftion, is not this good? Can you deny this? But concentrating the rays of right into one focus, and giving it in a declaration to the people. as the imprefcriptible right of man-the right of refiftance againit oppreffion became the power to opprefs; the right to liberty crammed every prifon on fufpicion; the right to fecurity fixed it at the point of the pike ; the right to property was the fignal of plunder; and the right to life became the power to cut throats. ARE THESE GOOD THINGS? If declarations of right and governments, founded on them are really good; the refult mult be gocd alfo. But thefe are the good things in practice, that flow in a direct line from the good things of French theory.

The declaration of rights, fays Paine, is of more value to the world than all the laws and flatutes that bave yet been promulgated. . It ftares corruption in the face. Tbe venal tribe are all alarmed: from fuch oppofition the Revolution receives an bomage. Tbe more it is fruck, the more Sparks it will emit; and thé fear is it will not be struck enough.I copy this infanity, to bring to the reader's recollection the confidence with which this charlatan predieted, in oppofition to the predictions of Mr .
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Burke; whofe ideas, he fays, tumbled over and deAroyed one anotber, for want of a polar trutb. The polar truths, by which Paine fteered acrofs the boundlefs and unfathomable ocean of the French Revolution, make one fmile; he now finds, forely to the coft of his reputed penetration, that all the polarity which guided him was a will-0':tbe-wi/p meteor, that led his frail bark o'er rocks and quick-fands:-yet, ingulphed as he is, he fays, Mr. Burke takes a ground of fand. Events have amply told us which of them was upon fand.

The madnefs of transferring fuch rights to Britain belongs to the mechanics and labourers at Stockport ",-who, complaining that the ufeful fcience of politics is neglected, affemble to diffure it; they refolve that all men are burn equal in their rights, that the fovereignty of every na:ion oughe to be invefted in the people as their birth-right; who have the chief right to poffefs all that labour produces: and it is a very curious circumftance in thefe refolutions, that though they refolve that the liberty of the prefs ought to be inviolable, yet do they not give one atom of a refolution, that any man has a right to property, except the right of the mechanic and the labourer to all that labour produces. Thefe are refolutions perfectly congenial in their purview, to that degree of fecurity to

[^24]
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property which the Revolution produced in France. Thefe labourers and mechanics may tell us, that they detef: riots; but as they are fo deep in the science of politics, they ought to know that their object and their refolutions tend pointedly and directly to the utter ruin and deftruction of all government, peace, and fecurity of either life or property. So alfo in the refolutions of a fimilar fociety at Derby ${ }^{*}$, they fpeak of temperate and boneft dif: cufions, and call on other focieties to act with unanimity and frmnefs, until the people be too wisfe to be impofed upon; and their infuence in the government be commenfurate with tbeir dignity and importance. Can any perfon, warm from the recital of the horrors committed by the "fwinifh multitude" in France-by the moft enlightened of all the mobs of France-who have moft fudied the fcience of politics, and moft frequented focieties fimiliar to thefe-can any man of property, acquainted with thefe abominations-read fuch refolution without indignation? Temperate and boneft dijcufions! Why the diicuffions of the Jacobins were doubtlefs once temperate; their honetty is another queftion. But let us not be deceived by fmooth words at the outfet. Thefe men demand that which they cannot have without poffeffing the power of feizing our property and cutting our throats-they affociate and combine, in order to attain their end.
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To fupprefs at once, by vigorous and decifive meaflures, fuch hot-beds of fedition and plunder, is the firt duty of parliament; refolutions lefs offenfive than thefe began the bufinefs in France; we have feen the event. Temperate refolutions were the theory ; plunder, rapine, and murder the practice.

Give us our rigbts, is an expreffion which has been ufed with fingular emphafis; the reply once proper, was an abftract reafoning on the nature of thofe rights: we have now fomething much furer to direct our judgments; and can anfwer with Ariet reference to the facts that govern the queftion, " you have your rights; you are in pofferfion of every right that is confiftent with fafety to the life and property of others; -to give you more will endanger both,-to give you mucb more will infallibly deftroy them, and eventually yourfelves. You have, therefore, all your rights; for you have all that are confiftent with your happinefs; and thofe who affociate to gain more, feek, by means which they know to be the high road to confufion, to feize what is not their right, at the expence of crimes fimilar to thofe that have defroyed the firt kingdom of the world.

It is common to hear it afferted in France, that the ruin of the conflitution, eftablifhed on the Rights of Man, was owing only to the perfidy of
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the court, and not to thofe Rights, which is a wretched fophiftry; thefe men do not perceive that that perfidy was a part of the conftitution which included a court; if courts can be perfidious, you are to fuppole they will be fo; and if you have not fo provided as to turn that perfidy to the benefie of the prople, you confefs at once that your conftitution is vifionary, and if you muft deftroy it, the experiment failed. The fecond experiment, which is now in execution, fails equally, for there is no provifion whatever to fecure to the reprefentatives of the people the obedience of the people; and we accordingly find, that all is anarchy, on their own Jacobin authority; in the firft experiment there was no fecurity againft the perfidy of a court ; and in the fecond, none againft the violence of the people; to get rid of one evil they plunge into another," till, in the accumulation of oppofite mifchiefs, there is no better relief than Marat's grand fpecific of cutting off 150,000 heads. In this argument, I take the Jacobin ground of fuppoling the court perfidious; which is an impudent lye, for a prifoner, deprived of his rights, cannot be perfidious.

Perhaps it will be faid the prefent experiment is not finifhed, and that when a better executive power is eftablifhed, things will go well; but this is abfolutely inadmiffible; for the whole force and colour
colour the legi too Atroı let the the peop what is will not do not fidence modifica at the $h$ elects, a and Atill As to fyftem, i ferious of Egalité! pounds a fool in E countrym impudend equal to $n$ property of Bourb an affemb butchers,
which is a :rceive that cion which idious, you u have not the benefit your condeftroy it, nd experi. is equally, ; fecure to bedience of that all is rity; in the againft the none againft of one evil fcumulation relief than ff 150,000 he Jacobin ous; which rived of his
speriment is r executiva 11; but this le force and colour
colour of Jacobin argument in England is, that the legifative power is too weak, and the execucive $t 00$ ftrong; and that the remedy of this evil is to let the Commons be really the reprefentative of the people: now this is the cafe in France-and what is the evil? Why, precifely, that the people will not obey the men chofen by themfelves;-they do not love the Convention enough to have confidence in it; this is an incurable evil, which no modification of the executive can effect; it ftrikes at the heart of perfonal reprefentation-the mob elects, and the mob does not know how to chufe, and Atill lefs to obey.

## III.

As to equality, the laft fupport of the French fyftem, it is too farfical and ridiculous to merit a ferious obfervation,-it is worthy only of Monfieur Egalité! who has wafted three hundred thoufand pounds a year, in order to ftand on reco:s he firtt fool in Europe, and to give the better part of his countrymen occafion to call that affumption great impudence; for he who was below all, could be equal to none. A genius, who facrificed the firft property of any fubject in Europe, and the name of Bourbon, to become the lubject of debate in an affembly of taylors, ftay-makers, barbers, and butchers, whether he mould not be banimed from
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from that country which he had difgraced by his crimes!

The equal right of all citizens to equal laws, was declared in the firt conftitution:-Equality of right to equal juftice, -that in the law all are equal;-this equality was decreed by the Conltituent Affernbly, and clearly afcertained to be the law of the land; the new declaration of equality mult therefore mean fomething more, or it ineant nothing; if equality of rights were only in contemplation, why call the year 1792 the firft year of equality? the fourth of liberty, and firt of equality? A clarer proof cannot be defired, that the equality of 1792 was not the equality of 1789 ; let the writers and fpeakers who affert the term in the two puints to mean the fame thing, reconcile the abfurdity if they are able. To the apprehenhenfion of common underflanding, property was glanced at;-that the French populace fo underftood it, there is abundant proof indeed, for propofitions vere immediately made for the equal divifion of wealth, and received in a manner that left no doubt of the meafure being perfectly to their tafte; and thefe propofitions have been carried into execution much more, than commonly admitted in England; the peafanitry paying no taxes, while they force their richer neighbuurs to pay to the laft hilling, is directly in point.
ed by his
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## Reform.

As the queftion has been difcuffed to fatiety, the obfervations that follow fhall be brief:-it is not uncommon to hear the expreffion of reforing the confitution to its original purity.-Two words on this purity will not be entirely mifplaced. This is an expreffion we often meet with in the writings and fpeeches of men, who apparently are not very intimately acquainted with the flate of reprefentation in former periods. It tends frongly to give an idea to the ignorant and inwary, that the conftitution has declined, and is at prefent in a worfe ftate for the liberty of the people than it was in former periods; and that the evils now complained of were not to be found in its practice or principles at times alluded to. There is no man acquainted with the hiftory of England who does not know that this is a grofs error, and that the circumftances now moft complained of; fuch as inequality of reprefentation and burgage tenures, took place ages before the Revolution, and were eftablifhed before we had any regular conflitution at all. Let us throw a rapid eye over a few inftances, which will be fufficient to fhew, that there never was, even in idea; fuch a principle as equal reprefentation, and that as to the practice, no reformer has yet been able to thew its exiftence.

Camden,
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Camden, who wrote in Queen Elizabeth's reign, fpeaking of Dunwich, fays, that it lies in folitude and defolation. Orford, he fays, was once populous. At Eye, he finds nothing but the rubbifh of an old monaftery, and the ruins of a caftle. He fays of Caftle-Rifing, it is ruinated, and as it were expiring for age. Yet this place had its charter to fend members in the laft year of Philip and Mary; and Eye, in the $\mathrm{I}^{\text {th }}$ of Elizabech. This looks very little like any attention to give places of confequence only that privilege. Camelford, in Cornwall, he fays, is a little village. Leftwithiel is a little town, and not at all populous. St. Germains, he calls a fmall village of notbing büt fifbermen's buts, yet this charter was no older than Elizabeth.

I have not time, at prefent, to fearch for the ftate of many burroughs in a former age, but thefe inftances are fufficient to fhew, not only that the conftitution ftood in this refpect on as rotten a foundation in the reign of Elizabeth, as at prefent, but that charters for fending members to the Houfe of Commons were actually granted to places of no kind of confideration. To what period then are we to look for that ideal perfection in this part of the conftitution, which is not to be found in it at prefent?

Hiftorians are agreed as to the Parliament of 1265, fummoned by a ufurper, being the origin

F 2
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of the Houfe of Commons* : the Earl of Leicefter ordered the attendance of reprefentatives, from fuch places only as he thought proper, that is, from fuch as were known to be in his intereft; and it is now unknown, whether the knights of thires were not elected by the fheriffs. The legal monarchs followed this example, and gave the power of election to whatever towns, they thought proper, and ordered, in many cafes, in whom the right of election fhould refide. What reforming writer has prefumed to thew a period in the number of centuries that have elapfed fince, in which there ever exifted, for one moment, an equal or a perfonal reprefentation of the people? What then but empty factious nonfenfe is meant by the original purity of that fyitem which was gradually formed in times of ftorm or defpotifm; and never deferved the name of fettled freedom till the Revolution. I fpeak only of facts; as to the principles of the Conftitution before that great æra, they are jut what every writer pleafes to call them; to term them pure, is gratis dictum; they may be pious or beautiful, or whatever the theory pleafes; it is not theory we demand, but practice.

This is the proper anfwer to reports of com-mittees-of our "friends"-fections of affociations,
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for fpreading difcontent-off-fets of fedition, who detail the parliamentary influence of the Earl of Lonidale, Lords Elliot, Edgecumbe, \&c. and who take great pains to thew that a fmall number of voters, compared with the number of the people at large, elect the Houfe of Commons. Well; you flate the fact; but the fact fimply ftated means nothing-leads to no conclufions. Have you prefumed to ftate what was the cafe 100 years ago200 years - 300 years paft ? in order to thew that the people once poffeffed fomething which they have now loft? No: you know what would be the event of that inquiry, and therefore you are filent! You ftate, that in the conftitution of England 2600 perfons return 320 members. What then? You might alfo have ftated, that in the fame conftitution the King returns the whole Houfe of Lords. You ftate a fact; but do you prove that fact an evil? How are we to know whether it be really an evil or a benefit? Are we to rely on our own experience for an anfwer, or fhall we come to your theory for the decifion? The queftion is in a nuthell. We feel that we are free under this conftitution, that you want us to mend with French affiftance. We know that Englifh practice is good-we know that French theory is bad.-What inducement have we, therefore, to liften to your fpeculations, that condemn
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what ali England feels to be good-and approve what all France experiences to be mifchievous.

The fact is, that the prefent conftitution of England was gradually exiorted, fword in hand, from feudal fovereigns, deriving their rights from the fword of a conqueror: nobly extorted; but derived from no other right. It is now legally eftablifhed, and has the fanction of ages to give it the veneration that, with wife men, belongs to antient eftablifhments; and thofe perfons who demand the conftitution of fome preceding age (which they ought to demand, when they fpeak of purity, greater than that of the prefent age), as a fyttem better than what we enjoy, are bound to name the period, when the liberty of the fubject was in theory better defined, or in practice better protected.

There is indeed a period to which our reformers allude with fingular pleafure, and which is in their contemplation oftener than they name it;-the republic in the middle of the laft century; there was the purity admired by fo many; a period that bore tome sefemblance to the prefent in France. The parliament which mer in 1640, are termed by a teunale hittorian, "Patriots, whofe number, virtues, and abilities, were greater than had ever
been men arife ftrue patch and $f$ the k and autho hundr by on among probal ments people to be countr imprif withou
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 ous. but deally efta0 give it elongs to who deding age fpeak of age), as a bound to he fubject tice better is in their ;-the reury ; there period that in France. are termed fe number, in had everbeen convened in any age or country." If fuch men were guilty of enormities and tyranny, it mult arife from the fituation, and not from the peculiar ftructure of their bofoms. Two words will difpatch their actions: they paffed a triennial bill, and fat themfelves 13 years. They quarrelled with the King for levying 200,000l. a year illegally, and in five years they raifed, by their own fingle authority, forty millions, fully equal to one hundred millions at prefent.- They were accufed by one of their own party of dividing 300,000 . among their own members-An acculation highly probable, when it is upon record, that in the affeffments of thofe infinite burthens they laid on the people, their own members were exempted, fo as to be taxed only by one another-They inftituted country committees, with power to fine, fequefter, imprifon, and corporally punifh, without appeal, and without law-They put an imprimatur on the prefs -and they abolifhed the trial by jury againft their own accufations-They preffed men into their armies, and then paffed ordinances for punifhing them if they ran away-The King and Parliament had never yet fixed an excife on bread, flefh, and every confumable commodity; but the Parliament alone did it without compunction. If this manual of tyranny is good, we thould do wifely to repeat it. The whole ended, as might have been forefeen, in a pure defpotifm, as the prefent copy of it will do in France.
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There cannot be a more ferious, or a more auful fubject for Parliament to enter upon, than that of any alterations in the conftitution : that there could not be a better one, nobody will affert; it may be poffible, that a nation might enjoy the fame bleffings at a lefs expence; but to give us a cbange under the name of an improvement, is a dangerous experiment. What is called a real reprefentation of the people (that is, an equal reprefentation) and biennial Parliaments, would certainly be a great change; property now has the power of this realm; and under fuch a change, population would have the power; in fonie governments of America this is the cafe; but America has no indigent poor, or at leaft very few, arifing from plenty of land; thus America is no example applicable to us. We fee very exactly in France, what is the cafe of an indigent poor polieffed of power. So great a change as taking the government of the kingdom from property, and giving it to population, is not reforing principles of purity, but eftablifhing newe. ones, an abfolutely uncried experiment any where but in France. If it is once admitted that property ought to puffifs the power, it is of very little confequence whether the election is by burgage tenures or any other mode, as the men of the greatelt property will find themfelves in the houfe; and as to the Crown, Orford and Harwich fhew that it is as likely to lofe a borough as to gain one. The queftion, however, is of fuch importance, that
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re auful that of e could may be e bleffange unngerous tation of on) and a great s realm; ald have erica this poor, or nd; thus We fee fan ina change om from ing new. py where hat proery little burgage of the e houfe; ich Thew gain one. portance, that
that reafoning ought not to be admitted; the fact is, that property poffeffes the preponderancy of power at prefent in the Houfe of Commons; the changes propufed, all tend io remove it from property to population; this is not a reforation, but an abfolute novelty.

There are men prerending to be moderate, who argue for, and are ready to declare their approbation of the Englifh conftitution, as fixed in King, Lords, and Commons, confiurring the Commons as the reprefentatives of the people; and they contend that as the Commons do purport to be a reprefentation of the people, they wihh for no other alteration in the government than to make that Houfe really that which it purports to be. This is the moft rational ground that any reformer can take, becaufe here is a femblance of propriety. Very few words will be necelfary to thew from faifts that it is only a femblance.

I contend in reply, that it is mere theory to fuppofe that the Houfe of Commons purports to be the reprefentatives of the people, if by reprefentation is meant cboice. Being once chofen by the few, they reprefent the many *. They purport to be

[^27]
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be nothing more than what they are: and they are nothing more than this-men fitting in a fenate, and forming a third branch of the legilature, chofen by certain bodies, who, by the conftitution, have the privilege of electing them. They may be accurately defcribed without ufing the word, or referring to the idea of reprefentation. To call them the reprefentatives of the people, is a very inaccurate mode of expreffion; they ought never to be called by any other name than the Houfe of Commons, to diftinguifh them from the Houre of Lords. If they were really the reprefentatives of the people, they might in theory be good, or better; but they would be fornething elfe than what they are, and confequently different from that which has rendered us a great, a free, and a
turn bec the thei tha: bers entr tran pute nor then com latur fuch poff nial

But there is not the leaft reafon to think that they were ever deemed the reprefentatives of the people; certainly not the Knights for the 40s. qualification of electors, the value of money confidered, was nearer 40l. of prefent money. The notion of reprefentation and delegation of rights and privileges from the electors, has vitiated and
whole realm. For the end of his coming thither is not particular, but general; not barely to advantage his conftituents, but the commonwealth, and to advife his Majefty, as appears from the writ of fummons.".
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turned to confufion fo many ideas on the fubject, becaufe writers and parliaments themfeives, to fuit the purpofes of a mument, have thought it for their intereft to be efleented fomething different than what they really are. The ele tors of members of parliament do not delegate powers, nor entruft privileges, if, by delegation, is meant the transfer of fomething puff ffed by thofe who depute; for the electors have neither thofe powers nor thofe privileges, and therefore cannot delegate them. But the members when elected, and in combination with the other branches of the legif. lature, affume, and poffefs, and give themfelves fuch powers and privileges, which thofe did not poffefs who fent them. Hence, then, the feptennial act was juft as conftitutional as the biennial.

But, on the other hand, fuppofe a nation in any period of confufion or anarchy of all conftituted powers, fhould, by univerfal confent and fuffrage, elect a convention or parliament, for the purpofe of declaring what in future fhall be the National Will; here you have palpably all the ideas of reprefentation realized, and fuch deputies ought to fpeak the direct voice of the people, but fuch a republic (for it could be nothing elfe), is a government as diftinct from that of England as Algiers is; and our Houfe of Commons has not the fmalleft refemblance with fuch an affembly in its origin, its progrefs,

## ( 76 )

progrefs, or its functions. It is not neseffary to characterife fuch a government, the cafe of France is directly in point.

If the Houfe of Commons were fuch reprefentatives, and renewed in fhort parliaments, they would be guided by sice paffions, folly, and madnefs of the people; we fee in France what that leads to: at prefent they are guided by their own wifdom. But they are corrupt and bribed. If they are bribed in order to act wifely it is an argument directly againit you, and tends to prove that there is fomething on the verge of denger in all numerous affemblies, which, if not controuled by prerogative or influence, would hazard the public peace. We know, on experience, that they do act wifely, for nothing but a wife government can make a happy people. If the nature of fuch an affembly demands to be corrupted, in order to purfue the public good, who but a vifionary can wifh to remove corruption? Government certainly would have been carried on cheaper if honefty alone had induced our Houfe of Commons to act as it is faid corruption has induced them; but if the vices of mankind can, by a well poifed conftitution, be made to contribute to their good government, would it not be infanity to change the fyftem, and imitate the French, who depend only on their yirtues?

Examine
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Examine the Houfe of Commons in whatever light you will, and it will be found to poffers in the power of the purfe fo enormous an authority, that the other branches of the legifature are abfolulely at its mercy: what prevents it from fwallowing them up? Is it good to prevent it? Is it neceffary even for the liberty of the people? If it is neceffary, how beft done? Would the beft way of effecting it be popular reprefentation and Mort parliaments, a fyftem in which all corruption, or even influence, would be impoffible? The obvious reply finihes the chain of reafoning from fact, and proves the utter abfurdity of fuch propofitions. But grant for a moment the expedience of the experiment, and fuppofe that you have fuch a Houfe of Commons, on what will you then depend? On their moderation and virtue; but this moderation and virtue bave not been tried. If the theory of what moderation may do, and the fpeculation of what virtue may effect, are as juft grounds to build on as fact and experiment; in fuch cafe I am ready to agree, that we may, without impropriety, exchange the poffitive poffeffion of what we enjoy at prefent, for the hope and expectation of fomething better; and to fix here, you have only to prove that theory is as fatisfactory as practice. To which fine inquiry I leave you as one fairly on a par with the philofophy of France.


#### Abstract

( 78 ) Still the advocates for a reform return to the charge, and affert, that Parliament, as elected at prefent, does not fpeak the will of the people, and that a Houfe of Commons ought to fpeak that will. The argument is a good one for thofe who relifh theory. . But I contend on the contrary line of fact, that the profperity and happinefs we have enjoyed for a century, and never fo great as at prefent, is owing precifely to the Houfe of Commons NOT fpeaking the will of the people; and I am founded in the fact fo notorious to all the world, that fuch profperity has grown to its prefent height under the influence of a Houfe elected not by perfons, but by property. If a parliament fpeaking. therefore the voice not of the people, has made us what we are, and if National Afiemblies fpeaking the voice of the people, have brought France to her prefent fituation, I have a double experiment to fupport me in the affertion, that reforming or changing the conttitution of our Houfe of Commons, fo as to make it fpeak fome new voice, untried in this kingdom, would be a procedure on theory, and worthy of theorifts only.


If corruption and influence have given a century of happinefs to this kingdom, and if purity and patriotifn can in four years fo complecely ruin an empire, as they have ruined our neighbour, I'beg
for one and by of our $\varepsilon$ rity in by equ: uncorru bloodihe ries deci

A wo of perfon the peop demonftr chofen i principle: the peop flood the fytem of fingle inf which the minority, before th in defianc tions giv did this n gallery, a chair of th tals of th moriarchy

## ( 79 )
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for one that the vices of England may govern me, and by no means the virtues of France; the vices of our government have wealth, eafe, and profperity in their train; the virtues of theirs operating by equal reprefentation, biennial elections, and uncorrupt majorities, have brought with them bloodhhed, anarchy, and ruin. The contraft carries decifion in the front.

A word, however, might be faid on the point of perfonal reprefentation rendering the real will of the people fupreme. The futility of the idea is demonftrated in the conduct of the Affemblies fo chofen in France; their firf merit on Jacobin principles is that of fpeaking the fovereign will of the people, by which expreffion is always underflood the majority: but fo truely abominable is this fyptem of gevernment, that there has not been a fingle inftance of great and marked importance, in which the minority, and commonly a very fmall minority, has not, by means of terror, carried all before them. The Conftituent Affembly acted, in defiance of their cabiers, which were the inftructions given them by their conftituents; and they did this with a mob raging at their doors, in their gallery, and even on their benches, and in the chair of their prefident. I mean in the fundamentals of the conflitution, fuch as maintaining the moriarchy, $8 c \mathrm{c}$; in many fecondary objects of im.
portance, the Conftituent Affembly obeyed their cahiers, as I have fhewn in another place. What that A ffembly did that was good, is however of the leait poffible confequrnce, for the plaineft of all reatons; they formed, at the fame time, a conftituci, $n$ that could not fupport itfelf, and confequently the good things they did were committed to the winds. Whateder has appeared refpectable in reprefentation in France, was in that firf Affembly; the fecond was mob; and for the third the kennels were fiwept. The fecond; at one froke, knocked down all that was built by the firft. It remains yet to be feen whether the third will not do the fame by the fecond; every ftep they have hitherto taken has been a page from the code of anarchy. The National Affembly acted under the dominion of the pikes of Paris, witnefs that memorabie vote confecrated to eternal infany, when 280 voices having driven, by menaces and blood, and maffacre, the majority to abfence or filence, derhroned the King, and abo. lifhed the conftituion, whach all France had fworn to live and die with. The Convention, which has affembled fince, have exhibited the fame fpectacle; have been inceffantly bullied by the mob in the galleries; have voted with a pike at their throats, and exitted in the hourly expectation of being allow. to exift no longer; murdered their prince by a majority of five volces, though their law required
threepronou the me confun of the France that wa cerely A great world; to comp a proted not an i the ignc fuafion, for the r this grea and oug human monfter, human atheittic for hold that the bounds. THE S he deftr told him he fough
eyed their -. What owever of Jlaineft of ne, a con. nd confecommitted efpectable f firf Af. the third $i_{\text {; }}$ at one iilt by the $r$ the third every ftep efrom the mbly acted Paris, witto eternal : n , by menajority to ; and abo. had Tworn which has e fpectacle; nob in the eir throats, peing allowprince by a aw required three.
three-fourths atileaßt for declaring guilt, or for pronouncing death; and the majority obtained by the menaces of the affaffins paid by Egalité. The confummation of political infamy! The murder of the beft prince that ever fat upon the throne of France : the only monarch that country ever knew; that was a real friend to liberty, or that ever fin: cerely wifhed to render his people truely happy. A great and awful leffon to;all the princes of the world;-not a ieffon teaching mildnefs; attention to complaints; an ear to the friends of innovation; a protection of arts, and literature, and philofophy; not an inftruction to enlighten; not a call to teach the ignorant; not a wifh to foften power into perfuafion, or to change the ftern dietates of authority for the mild voice of humanity and feeling. NO: this great abomination demands other fentiments; and ought to generate (for the real felicity of the human race) a tighter rein in the jaws of that monfter, the worft and moft hideous caricature of human depravity, the metaphyfical, philoicphical, atheiftical, Jacobin republican ;-abhorred for ever, for holding out to all the fovereigas of the earth, that the only prince who ever voluntarily placed bounds to his own power,-DIED FOR IT ON THE SCAFFOLD; and ruined his people while he deftroyed himfelf. He gave ear to thofe who told him of abufes; he wifhed to eafe his people; he fought popularity; he allowed the liberty of the $G$ prefs,
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prefs, and would not reftrain evea its licentioufnefs; he cheribhed the arts, to produce/a Davidg and nourifhed, in the bofom of protected fcience, a Condorcet *; he would not hed the blood of itraitors, confpirators, and rebels $\dagger$; he liftened to thofe who petitioned for a REFORM. - Wr. also have thofe who demand a REFORM, leginature of this kindom, unwarned by this great example, fhall liften to the doetrines that have drenched France with blood, we allo may ree fpectacles too horrid now to think of; did not che late tragedy rell us, that no iniquity is too black for republican reformation.

This damned event, deep written in the cha: racters of hell, has thrown a ftupor over mankind: when the princes and leginators of the world recover from it, the obfervation of Machia-

- That is to fay, the virtuous meritorious character, of whom we have peers who have publickly declared themfelves proad of bis correfpondence. "Let thore who' would with to know him well, read his character in La Mesbric Journal Pbyfquc, and the memoirs of the affaffination of the Duke de la Rochefoucauld.
+ And this lumanity called on his memory the abominably unfeeling remark, which I have fomewhere read, in the regifter, I fuppofe, of fome night cellar, that the phyfical pain be fuffered in bit exceution was lefs thion the flow rorments of La Fajetto. Did the innocent Louis declare that infurredions, by which they both fell, to be the mof facred of duties? 'And are the children of the author of that fentiment clinging to the knees of a father leading to execution? The more Jacabinifm we read, the more amiable it apptars.
velli
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ioufaefs; wids and :іевсе . d of itraid to thofe clso have when the this great chat have fee' 'fpecjt the late black for
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velli, will not probably be forgotten: Percbe con pochifimi efempi farai piz pietofo, che quelli li quali per troppa pietà lafciano feguire i difordini onde nafcbino occifoni o rapine.

It is well enough amongt men who never fee a remote caufe, when an immediate one is before them, to attribute this deep ftain in human annals, to the butchers who are in the Convention; in like manner the ambition of Cromwell was the direct caufe of the death of Charles I.: but thefe are not the firft caufes; they are rather the natural refult of preceding events. It is not Roberfpiere and Egalité that have murdered Louis, it was Neckar with his double tiers ; it is PERSONAL REPRESENTATION to which this horrible crime, preceded (and which will be followed) by fo many others, is alone to be attributed. And fhould ever fimilar deeds again blot the national charater of this kingdom, it is not the wretches who fhall iorm fome diftant convention of anarchy, to whom the mifchief fhould be attributed, but to our reformers; to our Jacobin advocates for improving our reprefertation; for doing that here which has deluged France in her beft blood. Such is perfonal reprefentation ; fuch is the fovereign will of a mob; fuch is the majefty of the people; fuch is liberty, when founded on Equality and Righıs of Man! Reprefentation deftroys itfelf; and generates, with infallible cerG :
taincy,
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tainty, an oligarchy of mobbifh demagogues, till, of all other voices, that leat heard is the real will of the people: 280 voires declare the will of 745 in the leginature; and 11,000 voices in Paris are the organ of 150,000 voters!!! Bad as you may make rotten boroughs, are they as bad as this?

Of what is the prefent Convention of France compofed ? Of the loweft, pooreft, mof profigate, and moft worthlefs of the people of the fcum of gaols; of their gallies, and of our hulks -of robbers and cut throats, without character, without fortune, without a hope under any fytem but that of anarchy $\frac{1}{}$ and of perfons of a defcription not quite fo low, but of characters, if poffible, more blafted than thofe of butchers or taylors can be. What is Condorcer, Paine, Briffot, Rabbeau!!!. What are they but men who prove, that fome education, fome knowledge, fome talents, are neceffary to fink mankind into its lowettand bafeft ftate of depreffion and guilt? Who can doubt of our having men of all thefe deferiptions in England? Some have been fedulous to regiter cheir names on the tablets of that Conven-tion-Empty ous gaols-ftop the Thips that are failing to Botany Bay-and who can quettion that, with the affiftance of our reforming focieties, we could form a Britifh Convention, that might rival in merit the Affembly at Paris? Men in fufficicat numbers
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To nonfen nature plied lics as it with rioully swich verty, who would confider a feat in a National Convention of England, with boundlefs power to rob and murder, as the confummation of human happinefs. Can sưch men be friends to the present war? No; affuredy: It blots their profeets it brings perdition to their hopes. Powerfully as they are inffigated to deprecate a warjut in that degree are all honeft men, the friends of law and order, bound to blefs the wifdom of government, that has awakened to the dangers that threatened us, and taken the effectual means of WAR to fecure'to us our houfes, our properties and eftates, our laws, our religion, and our lives. A war in fuch a caufe, founded in fuch motives, was never before' a queftion in Britain. Will you have a municipality in your hall, and a pike in your bofom, with what fome men call peace? or will you keep French affafins at a diftance, and Englih Jacobins amenable to Englifh law, by a WAR ?

To return from this digreflion-the abfolute nonfenfe of all that Paine fays on the diftinet natures of a conflitution and a government, applied not to a feederation of independent republics as: America, but confounded, as he confounds it with the new conftitution of France, was goriouly exemplified in the National Affembly, (which was the government) deftroying the conftiG 3 tution;
tution; demanding of the people (that is of anarchy) to make a new one. Here the fact clearly is, that an equal reprefentation, Jitting in one boufe, and in a great city, bad tbe power to deftroy a confitution eftablighed and fworn to by all France; and the conclufion is, that let the next constitution be what may, it will be equally in the power of the government of the day affembling at Paris, to deftroy that alfo.

An argument I have heard much urged is thisthat fomething fhould be granted to moderate men, in order to feparate them from the republican party. It is urged that the obitinacy of the legiflature granting nothing, drives moderate men to affociate with others not equally moderate in their views; but if a temperate reform were to be effected, or even commenced by the legilature, all who are at prefent with reafon difcontented, would be detached from the reformers, and the violent party would fink for want of notice.

In replying to this common objection, I do not mean to affert, that all innovation thould always be rejected; I would only bring to the secolleetion of moderate men, certain circumftances which it is fair to weigh.

Th femble certain moder to the found chang thée imagin white lavifhe made throw Man, openly proven mitted rate, deman mour ; refolut senta under even pable ceflion the agi ceffion by cor
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The clubs, affociations, and focieties, who af: femble with views of enforcing reformation, on certain plans projected by various writers, fome moderate, fome violent, have publifhed repeatedly to the world the principles on which they would found the national fieedom, and the multifarious changes they would make in the conftitution; théfe very generally go to great lengths. While imaginations are heated by the example of Frances; while the moft unlimited panegyric is profufety lavifhed on the Revolution; while the demarids made are of a nature thiat threaten the entire overthrow of our government; while thofe Rights of Man, which have delüged France in blood, are openly profeffed as leading principles in the improvements called for here, it may furely be admitted in cándour, as a fair reply to the mode-rate,-that to give a litte, when a great deal is demanded, does not feem the way to quiet clamour ; and when, by a thoufand publications and refolutions, it is declared, that Personal Representation is the panacea for all our evils (though under a hundred various names), and demanded even with threats and menaces, it muft be palpable to every confiderate man, that fmall coinceffions to fatisfy the moderate would be loft in the agitation of the inoment,-defpifed as the coñceffions of timidity, wrefted from fear, not granted by conviction. They would be made a vantage G 4
ground
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ground for new demands; and clamour, inftead of being filenced, would vociferate with renewed vigour.
and w Sertion perim

All demands, therefore, that come, under the theory or practice of perfonal reprefentation, Chould be refifted on principle with firmnefs, and a determined refolution never to take that firlt ftep to anarchy, coniufion, bloodhed, and Jacobinifm, which, in one word, fums up all that is atrocious in politicial depravity. This ought to be confidered as the only line of demarcation clearly defined, that feparates moderation of fentiments from infanity of innovation.
"When the right," fays Paine, "to make a conftitution is eftablifhed in a nation, there is no fear that it will be employed to its own injury. A nation can have no intereft in being wrong." But here, as in every page of his work, the practice of France is the reply to the theory of his fedition. That kingdom efiablijbed fuch right; and what was the confequerce? Why it proved no more than the right to cut her own throat. It was employed to much more than her injury for it was employed to her utter deftruction. That a nation, can have no intereft in being wrong is a trueifm; but in contradiction to her own interef, she chore never to be right. What is the force
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and worth;of fuch a writer's eternal ftrings of affertion, when brought to the telt of French experiment 1 :

The principle of our conftitution is the reprefentation af property; imperfe il in theory, but efficiently in practice; by mean apparent defects, but which,' perhaps, are difguifed merits, the great mafs of property, both landed, monied, and commercial, finds itfelf reprefented; and that the evils of fuch reprefentation are trivial, will appear from the eafe, happinefs, and fecurity of all the lower claffes, hence poffibly virtual reprefentation takes place, even where the real feems moft remote.

If virtual reprefentation is good, would not real reprefentation be better?-No, replies experiment; it has been tried in France, and failed entirely, real perfonal reprefentation is not a people well governed, but the government of the people; that is to fay, anarchy and ruin. If parliament aets from the immediate impulfe of the people, and it can act no otherwife with perfonal reprefentation, the wifdom of the community is governed by the folly of it. While experience gives the living and energic fanction to this principle, in the cleareft and moft unqueftioned profperity that any nation ever yet enjoyed, would it not be infanity
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to rifk this fair inheritance, this rich poffeffion, on the cruade deductions of new theories, on foppofitious improvement; ideal benefits, and fpecuis lative reformation? Yet this is pleaded for by the àdvocates for Rights of Man. On grounds of fuch pure theory, a prudent farmer would not chänge the culture of a turnip fied $;$ yet thefe reformers, on no better foundation, call for alterations in a governfient that Has given profperity to a geteat empire.

1 Nor let us forget that there men have been equal friends to the French Revolution from the beginning, and they are fteadily fo at this moment; under the Conftituent Affembly they approved, and publifhed panegyrics on the annihilation of orders: under the next affembly they rejoiced at the demolition of royalty ; and under the Convention all the horrors we have feen are infufficient to remove their approbation. Does not this conduct prove clearly, that when thefe politicians tell us they mean and wih moderate and temperate reform only, they infult our underftandings? If they really defired any thing ftort of the total overthrow of our government, would they continue to enlift, to fpeak, and to write, under Jacobin banners? Would they exalt the deftruction of the old government of France, as the greateft event of hiftory? Would they glory in
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French events, effected as they have been by profriptions and mafacré You want only temperate reform- I will tell you what you want, by the company you keep; -if you are a party in affociations, you want that for which thofe affociations combine: - if you call for perfonal reprefentation, you call for THAT which perfonal reprefentation has given to France ; - if you demand a popular Afembly, fubjected to popular phrenzy, you demand the effects which fuch an Aftembly produced with our neighbours. You would go only certain lengths but you herd with thote, and give chem your countenance who you know woutd pufh events much further; have we not, therefore, reafon for judging directly from your actions, that you mean more than you think political to avozu.

It is curious to remark the conduct of certain men, calling themedel moderate, who make the tour of reforming focieties, but quit them when they go too far. There are fuch now clamorous amongtt the Friends of the People, who have ftruck their names out of the Confitutional Society, as they found their views too bold: this is the exact miniature of a Revolution; the firft intigators want, perhaps, a moderate reform of abufes, and when their companions drive at more, they feparate; but fuch companions do not top their purfuit for want of moderate men, who, by their countenance, brought
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brought the ill-defigning into confequence, and it is then no longer in their power to ftyprefs them. Thus the Confitutional Society, though quitted by the relpectable, were not therefore filent, but at the bar of the Convention of France, Hail the coming Convention of England? thede men will do the fame with the friends of the people: when they have nurfed up mifchievous men into a fociety of importance, they will be driven out if they refure to go all lengths, and will find that the only refult, of their moderate views, has been to promote and bring into efficacy the immoderate de. figns of thofe who think our confitution the temple of Lagon, and that to level it in the duit is a duty, in order that out of its ruins may arife the "heavenly form" and "delightful vition" of a French Convention. What is the conclưfion?That the firft lines of difcontent are in fact the mof dangerous; that moderate reform, or any reform at all, on principle, is a fure ftep to all that followed refurn France; jacobinifm, anarchy, and blood.

If any attempts, at fo perilous a feafon, to reform the conftitution, mut be attended with fucti unqueftionable danger, reafoning as we may juftly do on the experiment of France; it will follow, that EVERY. INTEREST in this kingdom is bound to refift, with the utmoft folicitude, fuch mif-
chievous
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chievous projeets, the execution of which, amonglt our neighbours, has deluged a great kingdom with univerfá rutin.

THE LANDED INTEREST is immediately and moft effentially concerned; for the poifon of equality in principle, and in French practice, tends directy to their ruin: the fate of landlords, in France, is too well known to want repectition; their eftates reized ${ }^{\prime}$ their chateaus plundered and burnt; their wives and daughters violated; and themelelves either murdered or driven into exile; and this to an almoft incredible extent. 'I have feen details which Thew, that the landed property of more than half the kingdom has changed hands. The farmers have not much-more to boaft of, for they have paid deairly for their exemption from tithes in the violent attacks made on the fize of farms and confequent divifion; the hard filver which, under the old government, was the price of their products, is become paper depreciated to half its value under the news and even this wretched fubftitute they are not allowed to receive at a fair market; their treatment in this refpect has been already detailed : out-vored, and confequently cheated in taxation : at market plundered by the mob: at home plundered by the military. Are thefe facts to make our Englifh yeomanry and farmers wifh to try their akill at mending the conftitution? Are they calculated
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sulated to give us any wefpee: for clubp and focie, lies whofe object is , the reform of that copptitution which has rendered our firuntion Siveegly the reverfe of France? Do fuch fatts give us reaton to love the men who wapt to cenvert your ployghTaqees into pikes; and, your couluers ingto doggers: Who would recommenend you tọ change y.pur fickle for the fabres of a gompgny off partiog contradtons: Genctemen who have hewn themfalves exceedinget adroit in aputing down ferelds of Freach cagr. win you to make experiments in, hubgandry, buf do not let them be of thie, complexion :, do not $h=$ other men, and efpecially sefofmers, make experit ments on your property, your bread, sand youf blood; shree objects upan which many experi ments have been tried in France, and we have fere that, the fucceefs has not, besm, fuch as giveses ys rea Con to try our hands at the fagee work, for, in orf word, their propety is gones for bread shey thax the bark of trees; ;and as toblood, it is the onh manure the fields of France have feep, from of firt moment he liftened topreformers. : Iafoe the to us an example, or a warning ?
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nadrfacie. nes copptitu. direedy the ve us reafon our plough. to doggers! ; yourfickle contrators! sexceedingty ach cqup. ©bandry; bu a: , do por nor make experi. d, and youy nany exper we have jeen gives us ree Sin Sor, in on ead they have it is the ont een, from d - Is Is ohe thes prefendy $\cos$ fy y with it of mains fecure his property -he has on
powtr of movement, but muft abide the beating of the florm, be it pitilefs as it may.- To him, therefore, the new fangled doetrines of equality ought to appear in all their native deformity; for they are doctrines that tend directly to his defruction $;$ and from whofe peftilential infuence he cunnot, like othere, ly.

THE MONIED INTEREST, in maments of convulfion, have fome advantages from the more portable nature of their wealch, but the warning of France may inffruct, that nothing can efrape the depredations flowing from the Rights of Man. Their nation:! debt, amounting to 300 millions, fierling, has been treated not altogether with the delicacy fhewn to the public creditors of England, for every fort of bankrupicy, but a nominal and declared one has been committed; and the interelt on funds and mortgages paid, has been in affignats: if a man fells ftock, he receives aflignats; and though affignats are portable, what is their value on the exchange of London, or the Stadthoufe at Amitterdam* ? Of ninety millions fterling

[^28]
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ling of former currency, eighteentwentieths have difappeared. The monied mien have, chereforey loft fock and cafh; the want of credit has foklowed fo, without funds, credit, or cafh, and now thing feen in the immenfe vacuity but affiguats, the monied intereft of France mutt flourifh marivellounty. Is there any thing in this pietureathat Should make the moried intereft of England fond of revolutions?

Unite thele circumftances with the horrible deficiency of the prefent year's revenues, the expence
forgers of falfe affignats now in their gaols proves this fac , but the great deluge is not by men within their po wert the Priftes, the Duke of Brunfwick, and all the enemirs of Erancen in ienefy place they came to, left in circulation impmenfe, quaniegies; ;and what is ftill worte, their own fucceffen in Flanders, and on the Rhine, had the rame effect; no town was takenthat was not well provided s though depreciaited, this euriency stiate goot plintitor
 order to procure it. This excepfiye introduction was probably the realon for the countrymen abrlutely refufing to take them. Dañ

 pandu un: multitude de faux. Monit, Decyta-They have their own conventional forgeries as well as others, for it is a curious circumftance, that the new affignats are iffued without being numbered, and confequently may be by milliards inftead of millions : this has been afferted in the Convention, and gec uncontradieted. Of the fame complexion is the fact; that in the woriteur the National Gazette ; the price of the louit dror in affighats, Thas not been publizhed for fome months paft, whiĉ́ war hatways regularly done before - Debt reported by Chabot, Motit. ${ }^{3}$ d March, $8,034,898,980$ liv. In nefef, $367,844,949$ liv. -Årears of taxes, according to Claviere, $647,827,896$ liv.
the have Aheretorios has foll and no: affignats; rifh maris ture rithat and fond ATY fac Tedertir
 -They have or it is a cuithout being Read of milet uncontra$\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ the Moitiin allighats, i wat alvats bot, Motsit. iv.-Arrears
they are at in hard cafh, for purchafing foreign corn, to prevent their ttarving; the immenfe efforts they mutt make for the next campaign; the growing habit of the people not to pay taxes; and the univerfal decline of both manufactures and commerce; it muft then be apparent to every eye that their gafconading decree of war againtt the confitutions of all their neighbours, is an effort of defpair. Should rebellions fail them—hould they mifs the fafety which Paine befpoke for them, "when France thall be furrounded with Revolutions, the will be in peace and fafety," they will find internal ruin of every fort diffeminating too faft to be fupported :-the people will find themfelves in a fituation helplefs, proportioned to their fuccefs; for their paper, on the frontier, is not of half the value it bears in the interior of the kingdom. This is their real fource of weaknefs, and it is abfolutely irremediable; nor will the farmers continue to cultivate the ground for more than the phyfical neceffaries of their families, if paid only in a currency concinually depreciated;-anrual famines enfue; -in a word, the feeds of ruin lie fcattered fo thickly, that the moft carelefs attention muft recognize them. The nation feeling feverely that equality means but equal mifery; and that the Rights of Man produce only the right to be ftarved, will revolt, and call in their lawful fovereign as the beft and readieft mean of fafety.

H
Paine

## ( 98 )

Paine is fond of running paralells, and to are moft men of genius; but he is rarely happy in them :-" The generality of the people in America," lays he, "efpecially the poor, are more able to pay taxes than the generality of people either in France or England." And he unites with this, the "cruely" of a civil lift of a million fterling, which he compares with the civil lift of America, which is only 300,000 dollars. One muft fearch many writers with talents, to find one who can comprefs fuch multitudes of falfehoods and blunders into the fame fpace with this cap-tain-general of mountebanks.

The ability to pay taxes does not depend on a people being at their eafe, -that is, having few or no indigent poor. This affertion will feem a paradox only to the ignorant. That ability depends on the quantity, number, and rapidity of mosey excbanges; in other words, on concentrated circulation. The eafe, the plenty, and happinefs of the people have nothing to do in this bufinefs; for give a man a thoufand acres of rich land, which produces beef, mutton, pork, wheat, wool, hemp, flax, \&ec. to profufion; let the family that poffers it, live in the utmoft conceivable plenty, there does not refult from this outline the capability of paying one thilling of taxes. Even taxes on folid property, like land-taxes, muft
be paic a land cale of money the $\mathbf{C o}$ produc mentio Pofilibl foreign preflion wanted let a fet a city, for mon ftate m would $c$ would b the Hig that tax diftricts the land would t monftra! propofiti and anc nothing are infin of Ame circula
fo are rappt in n Amere more § people e unites million il lift of s. One find one alfehoods this cap-
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be paid by ca/b in circulation: land does not pay a land-tax, but money. It is not, therefore; the eafe of the people that enables them to pay, but the money fuperfluity that goes beyond that eafe. In the confumption of a thoufand pound's-worth of products forming the eafe, the phyfical "ability," mentioned by Paine, what is the taxable amount? Poftibly not a penny beyond the confumption of foreign wine, coffee, fpices, \&ac. I ufed the expreflion concentrated circulation; America, if the wanted heavy taxes, would feel what it means: let a fettler in the woods, two hundred miles from a city, fell his hemp or his wool to a ftore-keeper for money, there is a ftep in circulation where the ftate might levy a tax; but in a wild country, it would coft ten times more to levy it, than the tax would be worth. We know what dittilleries are in the Highlands of Scotland; the Americans have that tax alfo, but they can levy it only in peopled. diftritts : nay, there are diftricts in America, where the land-tax will not pay for the collecting!! It would be eafy to purfue thefe obfervations to demonftration; and to thew, that the reverfe of his propofition is true, and that the people of Engand and of France (before the Revolution, for hothing fince has circulated but blood and rapine) are infinitely more able to pay taxes than thofe of America, for this plain reafon, that they have circulation infinitely more rapid.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \quad$ When
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When I confider the boundlefs wealth of this kingdom; its enormous confumption; its rapid circulation of 40 millions fterling, in gold and filver, and of paper to an infinitely greater amount; its exportation and importation, which, if valued truly, would exceed 50 millions fterling; the facilities of movement, exchange, transfer, of life, if I may ufe the expreffion, arifing from the fize of our cities, and the mafs of our circulation; I Thould think it a moderate ealculation to fay, that, in cafe of any unforefeen emergency of the ftate, that called for fome great exertion, it would be eafier to raife, by taxation, in Great Britain, five pounds a head on the people, than it would be to raife 55. a head in America: for in taxation, fpeaking at large of a nation, the quantum paid is not fo much the object to regard, as the quantum lefof after taxes are paid. Suppofe the people, of ond country pay 20s. a head, and the people of another country 40s. (not very far from the fact of Eng land and France)-what does this prove? Jut nothing. What is left in their pockets after tha tax is paid? There is the inquiry; and in tha Englifhman's poeket you would find a purfe d guineas and fhillings *; in the Frenchman's, the
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maladie de la pocbe, vacuity. Perhaps the happieft and moft enviable people in America, the comfortable freeholder, in the back country, is, of all the men in Europe or America, the one leaft able to pay taxes. What do I deduce from this? That the comparifon of the Englifh civil lift of 898,4681 .", amounting to 1 is. $7 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{d}}$. a head, is not at all unreafonable, when compared with the American civil lift of 300,000 dollars ( 66,0001 .), or 5td. a head. But no comparifon can be drawn juftly, between a new country that did nor form itfelf and an old one that did, and now pays the expence of forming that new one. Let the American account be charged with the expence of the war of 1756 , or one hundred millions, and then compare taxation.

## THE COMMERCIAL INTEREST of

 France has been completely laid in the duft. Her colonies, by far the greatefl fource of her trade, have been totally ruined. Equality and the Rights of Man have, to the fugar of America, been as propitious as to the wheat of France. Affignats fruck with a palfy all the imports of the kingdom, and her exports, after the deftruction of St. Domingo, were a handful. The horrible convulfions in the great towns, drove the merchants and mafter manufacturers, with the remnant of[^30] H 3
their
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their wealch, into other countries, or funk them in ruin at home.

We have been told indeed, with fome degree of confidence, that the French fabrics are not at prefent in fuch a ftate of depreffion as fome have reprefented. As $\mathbb{I}$ have very late intelligence from that kingdom, and on which I can rely, I may venture to affert with confidence, and I could confirm it by referring to many reprefentations made to government by the municipalities of the manufacturing towns, that every fabric wrought from foreign materials, fuch as the whole bufinefs of Lyons, and a confiderable portion of the woollen fabrics; are in abfolute ruin; the mafters and undertakers', bankrupts or fled; and the workmen begging in the ftreets, fubfifting by charity, or wandering vagabond banditti, , the brigands that infeft the country, by endeavouring to wring from the peafantry a portion of that bread they are unable fairly to earn; fuch is the lot which the new doctrines of equality have produced for Lyons, the fecond city in France, as well as numerous other places that once were flourifhing. The governing party in fuch towns have nothing to give the people, but the flattery of equal rights; they. flarve on equality, till the number in the fame defperate fituation becomes great enough for their facred duty of infurrection; then they rife,
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unk them
me degree are not at fome have gence from ely, I may d 1 could refentations lities of the ic wrought ole bufinefs of the woolmafters and the workby charity, he brigands ing to wring bread they he lot which roduced for well as nuflourifhing. ave nothing qual rights; mber in the reat enough pen they rife, knock
knock their governors on the head, and are shemrelves eletited inte their places ; but this cures the evil fcarcely for one in a thoufand; the mafs remains ftill poor; and mult neceflarily remain fo, for fuch convulfions do not re-eftablif manufactures: knocking brains our does not fet looms 2 going; por does the exercife of the pike in the body of a mayor and his aldermen, bring Italian filk to Lyons, or Spanih wool to Louviers.

In the manufacturing towns which work up native commodicies, the mifery is not equally great, becaufe there is fome employment that ftirs; but let us examine a little more clofely the nature of this circulation. I am informed, and common fenfe will tell one it muft be fo, that the only motive which induces matter manufacturers to concinue their bufinefs is that of getting rid of aflignats; they fold their flock in trade when paper was a better commodity, and accumulating, by degrees, what grew every day worfe' and worfe, alarm incited them to do any thing rather than keep in their poffeffion fuch a depreciated currency ; dreading the inevitable moment when it would be worth nothing, they feared to keep what a breath might diffipate; they regarded it as an object of terror, and employed their workmen merely to get rid of what they knew carried a value merely nominal; and paid readily what they Lept infecurely.
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## ( 104 )

There is a paffage, in Swift's Draper's Letters; which accounts fully for gold and filver fo abfoof Ma lutely difappearing in France; I change only Wood's pence for afignats.—" For my own part I am already refolved what to do; I have a pretty good fhop of ftuffs and filks, and inftead of taking afignats, I intend to truck with my neighbours; the butcher, and baker, and brewer, and the reft, goods for goods; and the little goldeand filver I have, I WILL KEEP BY ME IIIKE MY HEART'S BLOOD, TILL BETTER TIMES, till I am jutt ready to ftarve, and then I will buy afignats."

Turn your eyes from France to view the commercial ftate of England. Contemplate the im-menfe-language cannot fwell beyond the magnitude of reality,-the gigantic fabric reared on the induftry of this kingdom : throw into one vaft amount the public funds, -the paper circulation of every fpecies,-the gold and filver, whether money or plate,-the manufacturing eftablifhments that have raifed new cities, as it were, by enchantment, -che capitals invefted in roads, canals; and other public works,-the fhipping, magazines, and mercantile wealth of a thoufand kinds, and fpread throughout the globe. How would this enormous total, which, in England, has been nurfed to maturity by the fond tendernefs of parental protection -how would it fupport the ftorm which the Rights
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Letters; o abfo. ge only n part I a pretty ff taking ours, the t,' goods have, I EART'S till I am Tgnats."
he comthe im the maged on the one vaft ulation of ier money ents that nantment, and other and merid fpread enormous ed to maprotection the Rights of
of Man have kindled in France? Mortal would be the blow. To touch on fuch a fuppofition is enough; every reader can picture the univerfal fcene of ruin that would blot fo fair a canvas. But how has this prodigious capital, rifing much above five hundred millions ferling, been formed? BY THE SECURITY WHICH THE BRITISH CONSTITUTION GIVES TO PROPERTY: not by equality; perfonal reprefentation, Rights of Man, Jacobinifin, and the vile theories by which poor profigates, wanting to be rich rogues, become practical robbers. Such were-not the paths of the commercial profperity of Britain !

THE LABOURING INTEREST; the perfonal intereft of the labouring poor has been attacked in an inftance, the more remarkable as it was a ground of accufation againft the old government. Thofe who recollect the complaints againtt it, on account of countrymen being enrolled for the militia, and confrquently liable to be called into fervice, have probably read much, in the public prints, of the number of volunteers, which flock from all parts of France to the armies on the frontiers. Until there few days, I was ignorant and foolifh enough to believe that thefe were really volunteers; but an Englifh labourer, returning from a farm in Fraoce, to which I had fent him,
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has explained to me the nature of this voluntary fervice. All the men in the parifh, able to ferve, were enrolled, and then drew lots to fee who chould go to form the number demanded; and, though an Englifhman, my informant himfelf drew. Such is the mode of calling forth VOLUNTEERS, and to grofsily, are we deceived by names, which under a femblance of freedom, cover the fevereft tyranny that can difgrace a people, and precifely in thofe articles, which, under the old government, were made the fubject of the loudeft complaint. Wi hen we Pall read in future of the eagernés with which citizens fly to the frontiers, l'empreffement avec lequel tous les citoyens volent aux frontiers, we thall know what it mieans. May not fuch wretches afk, "what indviemenc has the farmer, while following the plough, to lay afide his peaceful purfuits, and go to war with the farmer of another country *?"

At firft fight it fhould appear that a Revolution in England, in favour of principles of equality, would be moft favourable to the poor claffes, the labouring part of the fociecy,-and yet, perhaps, in fact, being ftill governed by the experiment of France, there is no clafs in the ftate, the great landed poffeffors alone excepted, to whom it would prove fo completely mifchievous. There is every
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reafon
reaton to have confidence in the honefty, moral feelings, and good intentions of the great mafs of our lower and poorer claffes, and to be rationally certain, that in cafe of general confufion, like that which has ruined France; they would abfolurely refure to become cut throats, blood hounds, and affafins: the mafs in France were honeft alfo, but they were driven like fheep by forward determined wrectehes, who, getting together in arms, feized on the power which they pretended to affign to the people; plunder followed this, and the great body of the nation found, dreadfully to their coft, that they had only changed mafters; but this change, from a king to bands of ruffians, brought wich it fruirs of fore digettion; money abfolutely difappeared; the rich, who formerly gave employment, were hunted down and deftroyed like wild beatts; the convulfions of the moment banifhed the rich merchants and manufacturers; EMPLOYMENT, which converted labour inco bread, was dried up with the fprings that fed it. Amidft the mockery of pay, if the poor workman cannot eat his aflignats he ftarves-he has but one refource-he dips them in blood;-with pike in hand he attacks the corn deftined to fatisfy the hunger of ochers; and the tragedy fo often atted in that miferable kingtom; is again performed till equality ends, as every where elfe, in equality of suin. "The manufacturers make nothing; nothing is bought; commeroe is alive
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alive only in foldiers. I fee nothing in trade but our imprudence and our blood. Nothing will foon be feen in France but mifery and paper *." This from the mouth of a Jacobin in the Convention ! Can any doubt remain $\dagger$ ?

Nov. 26, at the bar the deputation from Loire and Eure tell the Convention: The laws are without energy, and-zithout vigour. The price of bread renders it inacceffible to the poor. Misery is at its height. If. the dearne/s continues, the greateft misfortunes may be expected. With troops march, ing about the country to force the farmers to fell their corn at balf the current price, and yet half paid with affignats, nay, who feize it at any price. -" Illegal troops of men, in many departments,

- St. Juft. Monit. Dec. 1 .
+ The price of wheat now, in many of the departments, is 41. 108. a quarter Englida; but as that price is paid in affignats, men not well informed may imagine that the poor being themfelves paid in paper, might be proportionably able to buy; but the reverfe is the cafe; the paper, while it has raifed the price of bread, has defirijed both manufactures and commerce, and ia now attacking agriculture itfelf; the people are abfolutely without employment, and have no more the means to procure an affignat than a louis. This degree of mifery is not yet of a year ftanding, for manufactures were active in fome parts of France laft fpring. The affairs of that kingdom demand an attention that never fleeps, or we are fure to be deceived. . The operation of the paper money has been very fingular, for, to a certain period, it appeared to be beneficial; but the line once pafled, every thing has' been'rapidly dea clining.
trade but will foon " This vention! rice of bread, d is now atwithout emafligat than ftanding, for fpring. The ever fleeps, or per money has ed to be benen rapidly de-
feize
feize the corn in the markets, without paying for it "." "At Louviers 5 or 6000 workmen arofe to force the magiftrates to go at their head, to feek corn in the granaries of the farmers. Laft week, at Paffy, they feized all that was in the market, while 600 others fpread devaftation through the forefts $\dagger$."

The ftate of the roads (under the old government the envy of Europe) is fuch as would alone, without other addition, very much impede the tranfport of corn, and add to the fearcity in many fituations. I am informed, by a perfon who lately travelled acrofs the kingdom, that no repairs whatever have been done for three years paft, and that he was informed, on inquiry, in feveral diftricts, that the people abfolutely refufed to contribute either money or labour to mend them. The minifter of the Interior, Jan. 6, complains to the Convention, that they are in a fhocking ftate of ruin; dans un etat de delabrement epouvantable. In a ftate of anarchy, the object of roads may be thought fmall; but it fhews, that in a point where the people themfelves are fo intimately concerned, government for every purpofe of doing good is abfolutely at an end, and that it remains for evil only. You abolifh tithes, and feudal payments;

[^32]
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the next ftep is, the people will not pay the land tax, and then will not repair the roads that are for their own ufe. Such is the flate, and there are politicians in England who tell us, all will end well in France, as if it were poffible to remedy fuch evils by new experiments. The abfolute and unequivocal reftoration of the old government, with terrors in its train, not the beneficence of Louis XVI. feems now to be the only remedy. It was not thus under the old government; but they were not content. The next day the ininifter of the Interior writes to the Convention, complaining of the Commons of Paris, in tbe midft of abumdence we are ready to perifh with fawine. Sucb is tbe fruit of eternal declamation to beat tbe people. Adminiftration is neglected: it is all a borrible diforder. "Our food," fays Saint Juft, " has difappeared, in proportion as our liberty has extended *." There, in two words, is the evil and the caufe. Tbe people triumpo and fuffer, fay the Jacobins, in their addrefs to their brother focieties, during four years of mijery, and four montbs of continual outrages $\dagger$ -

The deputation of the department of Loire and Cher, at the bar of the Convention, Nov. 26, declare an infurrection of 25,000 men, on account of
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the the high price of corn.-They affert, howevers! that there is corn enough in the country for a year, but the operations of the people, occafion fuch diftrefs, that a poor woman of the parifh of l'Hovital, went three times to the market of Romorentin for corn, but not being able to get it, the went home, and, driven to excefs of hunger, the killed her infant, for which the was fince hanged *. This furely merits fome attention from the labouring poor,-from thefe claffes of fociety, amongit whom our Jacobin reformers diftribute their poifon of equality and Rights of Man. Thefe rights have produced delicious fruits in France, where the poor are driven to the gallows for killina their own children, to prevent their dying of famine, with corn enough in the country!!! "By means of revolutions," fays Paine, "civilization will be left to the enjoyment of that abundance, of which it is now deprived."-The abundance produced by revolutions is a text for Frenchmen to preach on. And it is for thefe rights, for fuch equality, for this fine fyftem of French philofophy and new lights; this moon-fhine of theoretical benefit, that our poor are to give up all their prefent comforts! To change what Old England gives them, whether good or bad, for rigbts that drive them, by famine, to kill their own children, amidt Jacobin plenty; and then be
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hanged by that law which would have fuffered them to die of hunger! Oh, John Bull! it is not thus that thy government treats wild beafts,Thou mayeft be thut up in the tower, John, but thou wilt not be made to eat thy children! "We jee every day in the freets, and even at the doors of the fanituary of the laws, wretches wbo want botb bread " and cloatbing."-" Our fituation is fuch,"- fays a member of the Convention, "that tyr-nny will fpring with victory and vengeance from popular commotions; and if the Rights of Man hall continue to exift, they will be written with the blood of the people on the tomb of liberty. The afylum of our farmers will be violated; the hope of future harvefts deftroyed; and our nation become the jeft of Europe $\dagger$.". Ibis city, illuffrious, but miferable, faid the mayor of Paris, at the bar of the Convention, Jan. 3d. Obl were the good citizens to rally, we , bould fee con/pirators repulfed in darknefs, as on the totb of Auguf. Thus calling for new revolts-for new maffacres.-The Rights of Man are written in their blood! This, the prefent language of Frenchmen, even in the National Convention. Here is experience of what thofe bleffed rights are which our Englifh reformers are fo defirous of eftablifhing in this kingdom, as the beft boon of heaven!
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 beafts., er, John, children! en at the atches wbo Ir fituation on, " that vengeance Rights of be written , of liberty. slated; the our nation , illuftrious, at the bar lere tbe good fors repulfed Thus calles. - The EIR blood! imen, even $s$ experience our Englifh hing in thisMon, Dec. 1.
"To contraft this with the fituation of the working poor, in the manufacturing towns of England, would be an infult to your undertandings. You know, and, what is much better, you feel, that induftry here meets its reward; that you are paid in hard cafh every Saturday night; that you have fomething better, for your Sunday dinner, than an affignat; that a warm houfe covers you better than a branch of the tree of liberty; that a good coatt, or ftout pair of hoes, would be ill exchanged for a three coloured cockade; and, laftly, that whatever evil you have to complain of would be very - ill remedied by any meafures that tended fooner or later to change your beef and pudding for frogs and foup meagre; your coal fires for the pillaged fticks of a national foreft; your fhutiles for a hatchet; or your hammer for a pike; and the fhillings and guineas of Old England for the paper affignats of Jacobin philofophers.

Before I finifh the detail of that unhappy and ruined country, it will not be unamufing to contraft the regal evils of France with the republican cures.

Land taxes, the evil; ——cure-feizing the land that paid them.

Feudal quit-rents, paid by the eftate of the people, the grievance;-remedy-feizing the eftate itfelf of the nobility and clergy.
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A deficit of fifty-fix millions in the revenue, the misfortune; -covered-by a new deficit of three hundred millions.

Ten millions of royal paper, the complaint ; three thoufand millions of affignats-the cure.

A national debe of 300 millions, the malaity;one of 9000 millions, the remedy.

Marie Antonietta condemned for the follies of a necklace.—Mademoifelle Theroigne applauded for leading prifoners to naughter.

The arbitrary government of Louis XV1.-cbanged-for the defpotifm of Marat.

Drawing men for the militia, the cruelty; forcing them into volunteer corps, the favour.

Lawyers and fuits, the misfortune-cure-the fummary jurifdiction of the lanthorn.

Twenty-five millions, the expence of one king, the burtben; - one hundred and fifty millions, the charge of feven hundred kings, the cafe.

Seven prifoners in the Battile, the grievance ${ }_{3}$ feven thoufand in the municipal dungeons, the cure.

Trial by jury, infituted; - and 1200 throats cut in one night, in trial by pike.

Mịlitia.
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## Militia.

In the preceding pages I have faid little of innovation : to declare againtt any meafure, becaufe an innovation, is a conduct worthy of children: there are, in every period, moft valuable innovations; Mr. Grenville's bill for trying contefted clections was an innovation; the babeas corpus was an innovation; the Houfe of Commons itfelf was an innovation. The queftion now is not general; it is not for or againft all innovation; but what the nature of the innovation fhall be? There are fome unqueftionably wanted;-while the fpirit of equality is abroad-while all property-while life itfelf is menaced-can it remain a doubt what thofe innovations fhould be? Exifts there a man of property flupid enough to queftion whether the innovations of this period thould not be directed to its fecurity? Whether, inftead of bringing forward the many-headed montter into clubs of riot *,
one king, llions, the
> - It is fcarce worth a note, to obviate the palpable objection, that clubs have met without riot, and affociations affembled without confufion sufo they did once in France, but what did they end in? The moderate well-meaning men inftituted fome of thofe clubs, and faw themfelves puthed out or trampled down, by new comers, who had nothing of moderation in their views. It will be fo in all affociations, into which men without property are admitted; they muft always be moft numerous, and the moft violent propofitions ever moft to their tafte a they think that they have nothing to lofe-there is the pivot on which fuch meetings turn, from perhaps original good intentions to ultimate deftruction.
and affociations of confufion ?-whether inftead of nurfing a fpirit, and cherifhing a principle that has laid France in the dult; we ought not to meditate innovations, that fhall provide a mound againft the billows when they fhall flow; a fhelter againft the ftorm when the hurricane fhall come. The innovation we want, and ought with one voice to call for, is a MILITIA, RANK AND FILE, OF PROPERTY.

Popular tyranny is a catching phrenzy, that will furely fpread, if effective meafures be not taken in time to prevent it. Every country in Europe depends, in the laft refort, on a foldiery taken from the dregs of the people, whofe imaginary intereft is to join infurgents of whatever complexion. Such a reliance is, to the plaineft apprehenfion, prepofterous, and muft, in the nature of things, fail in the long run. While danger, too manifeft to queftion, and too formidable to palliate, prefents itfelf on every fide, nothing but infatuation can prevent fome decifive and efficient meafure from being embraced; fome fyftem of defence and fecurity to property.

Were fuch a militia eftablifhed, property would be fecure; and thofe who poffefs it might view, with a more calm patience, the attacks, whether infidious or open, of men who, deriving nothing from
nftead of that has meditate gainft the gainft the The innoice to call ILE, OF
inzy, that - not taken in Europe iery taken imaginary tever comineft appree nature of langer, too ble to paling but innd efficient fyltem of
perty would night view, ks , whether ng nothing from
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from the arts of peace and tranquility, ieek public confufion, and to kindle the ftorm on which to mount by the fall and ruin of others.

But, after all that can be faid, this idea of the divifion of property is fo fweet a medicine to the great mafs of mankind; that it will find enthufiafe. tic followers in every country; and no where inore than in the ranks of an army; hence the neceffity of property fecuring itfelf, oy being armed in a militia. A regiment of a thoufand cavalry in every county of moderate extent, juft difciplined enough to obey orders and keep their ranks; might be enrolled and affembled in companies three days in every year, and in regiments once in feven, at a very moderate expence to the public: fuch an eftablifhment would give certain and permanent fecurity againtt the mifchievous example of France, and the equally mifchievous propagation of principles in England, which tend to the fame anarchy, civil war, and bloodthed, that has reduced our neighbour to her prefent defperate fituation.

It has been faid, that fuch a militia is impracticable; I will not reafon on a cafe abfolutely new, but we may venture to affert, that a law which legalizes and regulates the mode in which all the land proprietors in the kingdom, who do not defire the overthrow of the conftitution, under the
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pretence of its improvement, may inftantly affemble, armed, in troops and regiments, ready to oppore the friends of anarchy; I fay that a law which prepares the means of fecurity and defence, while the rage of attack unites and electrifies the enemies of peace and order, mult be good, and may be effential to the falvation of the community. All referrence to former militia laws is befide the quef-tion-it was not of capital confequence whether executed or not, but the prefent moment is perilous, the danger is too immiment to be trifled with; while anarchy is at our doors, determined meafures can alone preferve us.

## Affociations.

Next to the eftablifhment of fuch a militia, the prefent fpirit of affociation amongft the friends of the conttitution, is a noble and genuine effort truly worthy of Britons. There is no real friend to his country, that does not rejoice to fee this electric ftroke of true patriotifm fpread with vital energy through the empire: it carries confufion to Jacobinifm; it gives confidence in a juft caufe, and fecurity to every generous bofom. Rapidly as the effort has thot, with genial influence through our counties, it could not be expected that the views would be uniformly directed to the fame determinate objects. In a little time the fcope and meaning aw which ce, while enemies bay be ef-
All rethe quefe whether t is perilfled with; 1 meafures nilitia, the : friends of ine effort al friend to this elecwith vital onfufion to juft caufe, Rapidly as ce through d that the te fame dee fcope and meaning
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meaning will be well impreffed, and then it will doubtlefs be found neceffary to fix on places of rendezvous, to which honeft men may refort when the wicked are abroad. The national firit is at laft roufed; it has feen long enough the defperate and abominable affociations of thofe who $d o$ wifh, and did openly demand the overthrow of our excellent conflitution, under pretences of Jacobin reformation: we have feen the danger-we have been fhocked at the infolent threats of " invincible mobs," we have fought the right means of fafety; with a vigour of defence equal to the malignity of attack, a great nation will prove that fhe is not to be infulted with impunity. Had fuch affociations exifted in France, or any thing tending to them at the early ftage of the Revolution, all the horrors that flowed from it might have been prevented; but the higher orders of fociety knew not their their danger.-Here the cafe is directly contrary. -We are inftructed by their calanitous experi-ence-and of all effective means to be ready to meet a ftorm, this of affociation is (next to a militia of property) the moft direct.

It may be faid with truth, that a moment never yet occurred, which demanded equally the united, firm, and determined affiftance-the heart and hand of men, friends of peace, to prevent, while yet it is poffible to prevent, the horrors that fo

14
lately
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lately awaited us. It is a moment that ought to bring political agitation to every bofom.-The queftion concerns not empires, kings, and mi-: nifters alone-it comes borne to our fortunes; our houfes, our families. Will you, by the nerve and vigour of your meafures, by the broad bafis of univerfal property, on which you build the affociations, by the prudence of the refolutions, and the energy of their execution, will you avoid the miferies of France? Liften not to the infidious pretences of Jacobin reformers-there is no me. dium in moments like thefe.-With the example. of France in full difplay, propofitions of reform, which in that kingdom produced conflagration and maffacre, will, in this, have the effect of putting the nation on its guard againft men, who fo openly profefs a readinefs to ftake all we enjoy, on the defperate throw of a new Revolution. This is the queftion that ought to collect the enemies of Jacobinifm, and which ought to bave a Seafonable infuence on all the orders of Society, by which tbey may know and learn tbat we Jball ever rally round the confitution*, uncontaminated by reforms, or the tree of liberty, the true fymbol of Jacobin confufion. The danger has leffened fince government has awakened to the nature of the prefent crifis, and fince the admirable fpirit of the people has manifefted iffelf, the enemies of
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the public peace will not dare now to profefs thofe Jacobin tenets, which, till dately' met us in fuch a multitude of fhapes: they will put on the garb of more moderate and more temperate meafuresthey will now appear merely in the character of reformers - $\rightarrow$ character more dangerous, perhaps, becaufe more malked and infidious: not lefs pointed in effect to equality and fedition; for thefe men know fufficiently, by the great experiment of France, that an equal perfonal reprefentation of the: people would infallibly produce here, as it did there, 'the abfolute ruin of all legal authorities. This character of a reformer ought, therefore, to be an object of as much jealoufy and diftruft, as that of a profeffed Jacobin; and the affociations (preading fo laudably through the kingdom, fhould be on their guard equally againt them and their doctrines. The profperity of England, as neutral, s an eye-fore to the Jacobins, "and, as an enemy, n object of terror. The queftion, then, is the neans thefe: cunning leaders are taking to fpread the fame confufion thoough this country, that has uined theirs; moft affuredly they will not open hops, and write JACOBIN over the doorsNo; they know their bufinefs better-they find naterials much more to their purpofe; they find palf their work done to their hands by our Oppo-ftion-men, and our reformers of the conftitution. Seeing that the refult of the labours of fuch men anfwers
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anfwers exactly their own views, they chime in, and cry reform! with a more energetic vociferation than ever they did a la lanterne in France. Their views, and this union of the Jacobin deftroyers with the Englifh reformers, ought to open the eyes of honeft men, and make them, one and all, unite in the firmeft affociations. Not in faint declarations of loyalty *, that mean any . . ..." thing

- In great numbers of the affociations, there feems to have been a marked attention in drawing up their declarations of loyalty and veneration for the conftitution, either to ufe phrafes of equio vocal meaning, or that might be palateable to reformers, as if it were a wifh to include all defcriptions of men, whatever their poo litical fentiments; if fuch management bad been carried a littlo further, declaratione would have been produced, which direct Jacobin's would have figned; but the original intention was wrong, and tended ftrongly to weaken the force and vigour of affociation, In the rational terror of a perilous moment, when Arucis witha common fenfation of common danger, men ty to affociation, w fecure themfelves againft the attack: of men already affociated th deftroy them : at fuch an inftant, what can be fo futile, what cad be fuch insecility, as to feek, by an ill-timed complaifance d candour, fo to exprefs their feelings, that affociators of a diref contrary complexion, men who profeffedly feek to change the conftitution on French prinçiples (for there fias not been a fingle propofition of reform that is not on thofe principles), that fuch mef may be indueed hypocritically to unite with you? The weaknel of fuch a proceeding is inexcufable. On the contrary, all thef declarations ought to have been fo framed, as exprefsly and pur pofely to exclude a union with men fo dangerous, as thofe whir would not feel a horror at the idea of tampering with the confil tution, at fuch a feafon as thisi-by fuch an exclufion, it woul be found, that, however numerous the reformers were before th
thing
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y chime in, tic vocifera-- in France. Jacobin de, ought to make them, tions. Not at mean any thing
ems to have been ations of loyalty phrafes of equio eformers, as if it whatever their poo en carried a litule - which direct Ja. intion was wrong, our of affociation, When Aruck with y to affociation, to ready affociated to o futile; what can ed complaifance of siators of a dired to change the conbeen a fingle pros), that fuch meen as The weakneff contrary, all thele exprefsly and pur rous, as thofe whe ng with the confiexclufion, it would lers were before thl
thing or nothing, and will be forgotten in fix months, but in the moit vigorous oppofition to every idea of reform, on principles of giving more
soth of Auguft, that at prefent not one man in a thoufand would liften, with patience, to hear the word Reform ferioully pronounced; nor fail to deprecate the idea; as pregnant with mational ruin.

There is one object in affociations whioh has not been thought of, but which would, perhaps, be as ufeful and effeetive as any other, and that is, for affociatore to refolve againft dealing with any fort of Jacobin tradefmen 1 if the atrocity of attempte to alter a conftitution, which fo effectually prote\{ts property, as that of England does, on comparifon with any other that Europe fees, be we!! confidered, the fupinenefs of mankind, in giving encouragement to thofe whofe utmof efforts are aimed at its deftruction, will furely appear the moft marvellous flupidity. Who, acquainted with the complexions of men, in any town in England, does not fometimes hear the wealth of the difaffected made a boaft of? If you name the danger of the political principles of certain men to property-your hear it exclaimed, How ? Do you confider the aveallb of fuch and fucb perfons? Are they not rich? Have they not a fake ? Yes; they have a ftake; commonly as moveable as their perfons, and therefore the readinefs with whicl they hazard public confufion. But whence this boaft of property? Becaufe, probably, the landed-men in their vicinity, and the monied-men of other principles, have, with this grofs blindnefs, which I at prefent allude to, been for years in the habit of affilting fuch difaffected republican Jacobin reformers, to accumulate that wealth which is now ready to be employed in their own deftruction: they have been paying their incomes into the hands of men who are ready to convert the intereft they make upon it to the eftablifhment of a Convention in England, to coufift of brother citizens of equality; to fubfcribe money, food, cloaths, and arms for the affaffins and regicides of France, to enable them, by fuccefs at home, to fubdue the rices of the Britifh confitution, by a radical re-
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power to the people:-Here lies our danger in the prefent moment ; it is not the rank Jacobin, with bare and bloody arms, pike in hand, and ready for your throat; it is his gentleman ufher, your modeft reformer, who, meaning a great deal, anks a little, and knows how to make that little much. But be not fo cajoled-refift all changes in that conftitution, which gives you the means of wealth, and protects you in the enjoyment. Come to refolutions declaratory of the abhorrence of changes; and of every propofition for them that does not originate in the legiflature; and petition parliament to render illegal all meetings and clubs, whofe objeet is to make experiments on Britih happinefs; to difcover rights better than thofe of an Englifhman; to change your laws, religion, and government; and give you, in lieu of them, the new lights of french philosophy.

If any man doubts whether I have reafon for thefe affertions, let him confider the addreffes that have been prefented to the National Convention
form. This fupine inattention, which turns a man's money to his own deftruction, is highly reprehenfible. Let thofe who are real friends to the conflitution, expend their income with men whofe principles are known-and not become, unthinkingly, promoters of fedition, and encouragers of republicanifm. Go amongtt feetaries of various denominations, political and religious, and examine if the individuals are not attentive to this point.
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of France, from focieties of reformers in England; here follow a few extracts:

The Friends of the People and Conftiutional Society of Newington*, thus addrefs the Conven-tion:-It is with the moft profound fenfibility tbat we bebold the fuccess of your arms, in your undertaking to deliver from תavery and deception, the brave nations wbicb border your frontiers: bow boly is the bumanity wbich prompts you to break their cbains.

Signed, J. F. Sxipper:<br>F. Peacock.

The Revolution Society of London.-Above all we rejoice in the Revolution of the soth of Auguf, So neceflary to fecure to you tbe advantages which the former bad taugbt you to expect. We feel an agreeable fenfation, tbat tbe right of infurrefion bas been To fucce/sfully exercijed.

Signed, J. Towers.
Cooprr.
The Friends of Liberty and Equality at Belfaft. -For the glory of bumanity, may your declaration of rigbts be every where put in practice.

- Legacies left by the late Dr. Price, for the good of his coun-try;-perhaps the woorf citizen, fpeaking politically, that has lived in it of late years; but there are doubtlefs nobles that can boaft of his friendhip.

The
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The voluntecrs of Belfalt. T'be fuceeffes of the French fecure liberty to the meigbbouring netions.

The united Societies of London.-An opprefled part of mankind, forgetiting tbeir own evils, are fenfible only of yours; and bebolding the prefent events, with is difturbed eye, addrefs tbeir mos fervent prayers to the God of the univerfe, that be may be favourable to your caufa, witb which theirs is so intimately conneitiad. Degraded by an opprafive fystem of inquistion, the invincible, but continual encroacbments of wbich quickly deprived the nation of its boafted liberty, and reduced it almoft to that abject fate of flavery from wbicb you bave-fo glorioufly emancipated yourfelves. Fry thousand Englifh citizens, fred witb indignation, bave the courage to Aep forward to refcue tbeir country from that approbrium which bas been tbrown upon it by tbe bafe conduct of thofe who are invefted with power. Frencbmen, our number will appear very fmall, wben compared with the reft of the nation; but know, that it increafes every day; and if the terrible and continually elevated arm of autbority overawes the ti-mid,-二if falfeboods, rvery moment difperfed with so much induftry, mifead the credulous, -and if the public intimacy of the court with Frencbmen, avowed traitors to their country, burry away the ambitious and unxbinking, we can, with confidence, affure you, Freemen and Friends, that knowledge makes a rapid progre/s
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progress among us. You are already free, but Britons ire preparing to be fo.

Signed, M. Margarot. T. Hardy.

Conftitutional Society of London.-Innumerable focieties of the Jame fort are forming in every part of England. After the example given by France, Revolutions will become cafy; reafon is about to make a rapid progrefs, and it would not be extraordinary if, in a mucb lefs.space of time tban can be imagined, the French fould send addrefes of congratulation to a National Convention of England.-Otber nations will foon follow your feps in tbis career of improvements and, rifing from tbeir lethargy, will arm tbemSelves for the purpofe of claiming the Rigbts of Man.

| Signed, | Sempill. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | D. Adams. |
|  | Jobl Barlow. |
|  | J. Frost*. |

The Prefident's anfwer was a real declaration of war againtt this kingdom.-The ßades of Penn, of Hampden, and of Sydney, bover over your beads; and the moment, witbout doubt, approacbes, in wbicb the Frencb will bring congratulations to the National Convention of Great Britain.
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( 128 ) Of the farne complexion was the declaration, December 15 ch , of the Convention.-That it will treat as enemies, the people wbo, refufing or renouncing liberty and equality, are defirous of preferv. ing tbeir prince and privileged cafts, or of entering into an accommodation with them.


Let thofe men (not Jacobins) who condemn, or who think it might have been avoided, feriouny confider thefe extracts of the direct communication of Englifh republicans with French cut throats: Can any perfon, not abtolutely bereft of reaton, conceive it poffible that fuch men, thus machinating the deftruction of our conftitution, could continue their connection with the French Convention, which peace gave a boundlefs power of doing, without our running the moft imminent hazard of every thing that government and law fecure to us -that is to fay, life and property.

The "Proceedings of the Afociation of the Friends of the Confitution: "Dublin. The Duke of Leinfter ! ! in the chair," is a publication that deferves notice; becaufe it proves, tco clearly to be doubted, that our dangers äre not at an end. Jacobinifm hardly neeps, in fpight of all our affociations; the enemies of law and of order never relax their efforts; Ireland is their favourite ground; and flould thefe new principles of equality, the
declaration, That it will fufing or reus of preferv. pr of entering
ho condemn, ded, feriounly mmunication cut throats. ift of reaton, us machinan, could cön. Convention, er of doing, ent hazard of fecure to us
of the Friends uke of Leinthat deferves to be doubtend. Jaco1 our affocia$r$ never relax rite ground; equality, the new
new French " lights," be there eftablifhed, it will not be long before they are raging in our own vitals. Thefe "friends" call on the people to "SUBDUE the corruption," " the infamy," "the fouleft acts under the fouleft names," which form the "regular fyitem of government," by "a RA. dical reform;" by a body of "reprefentatives, an integral and effential part of the conftitution, derived from the people by GENERAL clection." -The Englifh language could fcarcely, in an equal number of words, paint in ftronger terms the fire-brands of fedition. To call on the people not to crave, or pray, or petition, but to subdue the errors of government, - to subdue them by a radical reform, and ceneral reprefentation, is, in other words, to demand a Convention, the King at Tyburn, the Lords annihilated, and property the reward of new Roberfpieres, Briffots, and Marats. But thefe expreffions are too remarkable to be accidental; they coincide too exactly with the threats of the Jacobins in France, to allow us, for one moment, to believe that there is not a clear intelligence and union berween them.

The minitter of the marine, to the friends of liberty and equality in the maritime cities: "Will the English republicans suffer the King and his Parliament to make war? Already thefe free men teftify their difcontent and their repugnance K
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to carry arms againtt their French brothers. Well;
thei we will fly to thbir assistance; we will invade that ine, and fend 50,000 caps of liberty to plant the SACRED TREE, and to offer our open arms' to our republican brothers, to purify Englifh liberty, and REFORM the vices of the government." Here the Jacobins threaten to purify our liberty, in conjuneticn witb Englijb republicans, and to reform our vices with 50,000 bayonets. What is this but to fubdue us by a radical reform!!! If any doubr could remain of the tendency of the operations of our reformers, furely fuch declarations are fufficient to remove them. 'To open our eyes to the horrible fituation we fhould be in, if our leginature were abfurd enough to liften to fuch incendiaries; or weak enough not to take effective meafures to "ondroul their "treafonable practices. This is the glorious congueft of reform, gained by the Irifb people over the Britifh minifry*. Our Jacobin reformers never fpeak of liberty, but it fuggefts ideas of conqueft on one hand, and of fubjection on the other. We are to be conouered by reform, and subdued to equality!

It has been faid, even in Parliament, fince go. vernment was fufficiently alarmed to call out the militia, and put the nation on her guard, that the King's Minitters' ought to be impeached for
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their
their conduct. Can any one doubt whether the men who fent there infamous deputations, and the men who compofed them, would not avow directly the fame opinion? But let the people at large know, by thefe abominable facts, the unqueftionable reality of their danger. Let them here ditcover-their intellects muft be weak indeed, if they cannot difcover, in this deputation, what thofe men mean who drink equal liberty to all mankind-National Conventions eeqally every where!! is the fentiment of their bofoms, and would have been fung about the freets, had government hept fix weeks longer. Who can read, without horror the following Addrefs to the Volunteer Corps of Ireland, from an Irih fociety of the fame complexion, fo lately as Dec. 20. "Citizen foldiers to arms. When your country has been declared in danger, we conjure you by your glory to ftand to your arms, and in fpite of a police, in fpite of a fencible militia, to maintain good order: it is only by military array chat you can obtain the fpeedy refurrection of liberty and equality." Here is abundant proof that we are far diftant from entire fafety; and that the leaft relaxation in that affociated preparation, which is now our only falvation, would give new animation to thefe focieties of defperate men with defperate views; to thefe enemies of government, of order, and of property. Had Dumourier, fays K 2 Roberfiere,
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Roberfpiere, March 10 , entered Holland tbree month's ago, as be demanded, the Revolution would, by tbis time, bave been made in England. Yet have we men on the benches of Parliament who affert, that all our dangers were imaginary. The Jacobin leaders know better, and declare it.

Our enemies never reft,-in peace they celebrated the vietories of France; now they view, with horror, the probable fucceffes of Englandwith crocodile lamentations, and an affectation of regret, they can whine over the mifchiefs they have fpent their lives in generating; can come forward, in the moment of hoftility, in the true garb of the republican Price, ftrenuous to exhilirate the national foe, and to deprefs the national energy, by reprefenting that war as odious and detefable which the Prople of England hail as just and nècessary ; croaking over the diftempers of a jaundiced imagination; ftirring up, Medea like, the cauldron of their own incantations, popular effer-vefcence,-the fermenting spirit of dijcontent,-tendency to violent cbange, $\rightarrow$ the annibilation of the conftitution, by inveterate abufes, -an abufed people, fick of the war of Kings *.

While the fpirit of the people is alert and animated with due zeal in defence of their lives and
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properties, both may be fafe: but this exertion is not likely to be durable; and fhould that languor and indolence, the children of a foolifh fecurity, once more flacken the tenfion which refults from the prefent impreffion, the courage of our enemies will revive; and thofe execrable focieties, whofe aim is plunder, and the means confufion, will refume the fame pernicious activity in mifchief that has effected the ruin of France, and had brought England almoft to the brink of the fame precipice down which her neighboưr has been hurled. To guard againft a neglect fo fatal, becomes the firft and greateft duty of government. It is firmnefs, energy, and vigour, againft our domeftic foes that can alone preferve the conftitution uncontaminated by Jacobin reform; moderation, lenity, and the mild virtues of one man, have deluged France in blood; fuch are not weapons with which to combat in an hour like this: while the lamp-poft, or the pike, is the imprimatur on the prefs in France; while fufpicion fills the prifons, and maffacre is the gaol delivery - if the legillature of England does not take effective precautions, but trufts too much to private efforts, we may, in the event, amidft confufion and terior, have reafon to regret a want of policy, which an example fo pregnant ought to bave infpired.

A great lawyer fays, that on the fubject of affociations, the ftatutes and precedents of laww are filent;
st and anilives and
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but that they are doubtful in law, uncomfitutional in principle, and wobolly unneceflary*. To declare at the opening of his fpeech, that the affociations were unneceffary, was completely begging the only material queftion between him the orator, and the people of England, who thought and felt thein to be neceffary :-He treats the fubject in the direct line of legal inquiry, never for a moment as a politician, the only fair light to view a queftion in, upon which law and precedent are filent.

What then is the pivot upon which the queftion turns? Moft clearly the political neceflity. The kingdom fwarmed with Jacobin and republican affociations, in direct correfpondence with the Na tional Convention, for the avowed purpofe of eftablifhing liberty, equality, and a Cónvention in England: aftonifhed at the daring attempt, and the rapidity with which the mifchief fpread, government Atood aghaft with horror-but where law and precedent were filent (I thank the gentleman for an admiffion, which completely cuts the throat of his argument)-it became minifters to be filent alfo. With the crown thus conftitutionally inactive, the people faw their danger; they felt a great ftate neceffity;-by affociatiôn deftruction was coming with gigantic ftrides-and by affocia.
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titulional - declare ociations ging the ator, and felt then n the dinent as a eftion in,
queftion The' epublican the Na ofe of efention in' , and the governlaw and man for throat of be filent nally iney felt à Efruction y affocia.
tion they repelled it. Was the conftitution, the freedom, the property of England to be fwept away in a whirlwind of republicanifm, while lawyers were conning the analogies of accufation *, and the anomalies of juffice $\dagger$ ? No:-a better firit animated the bofoms of Englifhmen; and all that is calm in the prefent fecurity of good men-all that is venomous in the difappointment of bad ones-prove that the ftep was political, juft, and neceffary.

What is the great objection? That the affociations fubfribed money for bringing the publifhers of libellous and feditious writings to juflice -admitting, on comparifon, at the fame time, the propriety of fimilar affociations againt fwindlers and poachers', becaufe they are bottomed on crimes wbicb are injurious to individuals as fuch. It feems very whimfical to approve of affociating for avenging a crime, which muft in its nature be practifed on an individual, and for whofe protection the law is in daily praftice fully competent to protect him ; but wrong to aflociate for the punifhment of a crime not levelled at an individual, but at fociety in the mafs, and for the punifhment of which the law was not in the practice either of puniming or preventing. In other words, that

$$
\text { *P. 4. } \quad+\text { P. G. }
$$

K. 4
men
men fhould affociate for cafes to which they are individually competent; and that they thould not affociate for cafes to which they can be competent: only by means of affociation. They fhould affociate to tranfport a fwindler, or fine a poacher, becaufe thofe crimes are in the habit of being duly punifhed; but they fhould not affociate againft libels on the conltitution, and calls to fedition, becaufe thefe are in the habit of being diftributed without punifhment!

But while affociations, with fubfcriptions for punifhing libels, are thus branded, by our eloquent lawyer, as unconftitutional; affociations, with fubfcriptions for promoting the liberty of the pre/s, are declared to be perfectly conftitutional *. The former are mifchievous, becaufe a court of juftice might be infected by a general prejudice $\dagger$. But might it not be afked, if fuch a court could not be equally infected by the prejudices of a Jacobin affociation? And if, wben fubjects perfecute one anotber by combination, they may not combine for their common defence? $\ddagger$ TO PROMOTE the liberty of the prefs! Such an object is really curious at this time of day! The prefs is not free enough; it is too modeft, and timid, and blufhing, and wants to be encouraged, and countenanced, and protected: the
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h they are thould not competent hould affoa poacher, $t$ of being :iate againft dition, be; diftributed
ions for pujr eloquent s , with fube pre/s, are The for$t$ of juftice lice $\dagger$. But could not
a Jacobin cute one anne for their berty of the at this time h ; it is too wants to be tected: the
13.
eloquent
eloquent lawyer is kind enough to take this coy virgin by the hand, and perfuade her to affume a proper affurance on coming into company. We will, fays he, maintain and affert the right of the people to point out the defelts and corruptions of the conftitution*; the prefs has not been free enourh in doing this, and it therefore wants the affiftance of this egregious affociation to promote its liberty. Strip the object of the garb which legal cunning and eloquent fophiftry know fo well how to arrange, and the plain unvarnifhed propofition is proper only to be laughed at; and, without any doubt, has been the butt of private ridicule among thefe wits who affemble in public in all the dignity of rueful vifage. There is indeed reafon why they fhould range with knights of the woeful countenace, they are not yet honoured with those stations ofeminency $\dagger$, which their leader on that day, by a lapfe of the tongue, promiled them as their due. The expreffion was remarkable, and thewed, with fufficient clearnefs, that there are views, certainly betrer and more worthy views, than opening a fhop for conititutional corruptions, and for impunity in the difpenfation of Jacobin re-medies-for bringing into play the divine energy of Englifomen, in oppofition to the forms of the confit.
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tution*, that they may have virtue to practife $\uparrow$ the doctrines which affociations regard as feditious:for a lawyer of great eminence in his profeffion to quit the field of legal inquiry for fo bold a recommendation as this, is coming very, near indeed to the prattical doctrine of the pike and the lanthorn; in perfect analogy with the glorious conquefis $\ddagger$ of Irih Jacobins, Jubduing the vices of our conftitution with the divine energy of a radical:reform.

But neither government nor the public ought-to be driven from their purpofe by the anfwer not uncommonly heard, which accufes the affociators of going to the contrary extreme; and endangering the liberty of the people by profeffions of loyalty; this accufation may be confidered as the laft effort of difappointed fedition : the men who feel with the deepeft chagrin the fecurity fuch affociations give to the conftitution, as at prefent eftablifhed, have nothing left during the vigour now exerted, but to retort accufations-and to tell us, that we mean, or act as if we meant, to render the King abfolute: but fuch affertions fcarcely merit attention: thofe men, if there are fuch, who wifhed before to change our government to a defpotifm, certainly wilh it now ; but that affociations directly declaring a determination to maintain the
conftitution
(c) 4 the ous:fifion to recomd to the orn; in of Irih ion with
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would have a fyftem of national education eftablifhed, in which every perfon may become informed what are the rigbts of a citizen *; what privileges they are deprived of $t$, and how to bring capabilities into action by a glorious career of improvement. The French have been wonderfully well inftructed in all this; they have indeed brought their capabilities into action; they have not been wanting in leifure, unremittingly empioyed, or in beft endeavours exerted to baften § improvement. Since affociations are fou $d$ to diftribute treafon and fedition, to teach the exertion of capabilities, and to point out the glorious career of France as an object of imitation for England-the poifon thus expanded, does not render the vehicle more refpectable. I do not find on my farm, in the villige, or its vicinity, that thore are the beft ploughenen and carters who are the deepeft adepts in the Rights of Man. If there muft be hewers of wood and drawers of water, why preach equality? Will not French horrors tell us, that to tearh, is to bewilder; that to enlighten, is to deftroy?

- P. 25.
+ P. 76.
$\ddagger$ Mr. Cooper fays of thie approach of the Revolution he looks for in England, the darwn of a glorious day (p.12.): "my leifure " fhall be unremittingly employed, and my beft' endeavours exi' erted to haften its approach." p. 77. : Doubtlefs well prẹpared for the bufinefs by his converfations with Mademoifelte Theroigne, of whom he fay, "I have feldom met with views more "! enlarged, more jult, more truely patriotic."

But,
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ation eftaecome in$n$ *; what w to bring eer of imonderfully ed brought not been , or in beft ent. Since fon and feties, and to as an obon thus exore refpectthe village, ploughrnen epts in the ers of wood lity? Will $h$, is to be-
hution he looks : " my leifure endeavours exos well prepared emoifelte Theth views more But,

But, contrary to all this, with a prefs regulated for the benefit of fociety, and not vomiting forth poifon for its deftruction; the lower claffes cannot well be injured by initruction: what a duty then devolves on government to guard againft abufes; the neglect of which may be attended with danger, and even ruin to the whole community.

I feel but one great objection that may probably be made to the general conclufions 1 have drawn from the example of France: it may be faid that my reafoning goes too far, becaufe, if juft, a nation however enflaved, and however miferable, fhould fubmit to all evils, rather than attempt the greater evil of a Revolution. The argument is common, and, diffected by reafoning, would lead on both fides into a difcuffion that would here be mifplaced. But reafoning is endlefs, and facts are few; one motive, were there no other, for preferring them.

In the former revolutions of the modern world, whether in Sweden, Switzerland, Portugal, Holland, or England, the people foon fectled into a form of government nearly refembling that which they had enjoyed before the troubles, they never dreamed of making new experiments on principle. Even in the cafe of America the fact holds true in almoft every inftance; for there is not now in the
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world a contitution fo near the Britif as that of the United States; I think, fonce tbe, events in France, that it is inferior, for the plain reafon of not providing fo well againtt the danger now moft to be apprehended, popular power: , the defpotifm of a monarch was every where the object of rational apprehenfion; it is to no longer : a worfe monfter has thewn itfelf in the world, that carries 2 venom in its fangs more rabid than, the ranine. In all former revolutions, therefore, the people reafoned in argement, and felt in fact, that whatever might be the event of the ftruggle, it could fearcely place them in a worfe fituation; and this with exception only to America. Experiment therefore juftified the nations who felt themfelves oppreffed in the attempts they made to effect: a revolution.

Reverfe the medal, and let us ank how this great queftion ftands at prefent: the principles of equality and Rights of Man are afloat, and an experimentum crucis tells us, that a nation, though under a very bad government, may change for one a thoufand times worfe. This great and difattrous event will give men, let their rank be what it may -the honeft workman equally with the prince-a horror at the idea of revolutions; will teach men ratherito bear the ills they have, than fly to athers , that they know not of;'/ and confequently has
d
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as that of e, events in in reaton of er now moft se defpotifm bject of rayer : a worfe that carries the ranine. the people ; that whatgle, it could on; and this Experiment it themfelyes e to effect a
now this great ples of equand an experihough under e for one a and difaftrous e what it may he prince-a ill teach men Ay to others equently has
done
done more againft the caufe of that real and fafe. liberty, which was gradually pervading the world, than any other event in the power of milchief toeffect. A reffection that ought to make us loathe a Jacobin, with the fame deteftation as noxious -animals of hideous deformity.

Take the wort of the German military governments, and compare the fituation of the people, in any point whatever, and it may be afferted truely that they are in a happier and better fituation than the French under the anarchy given them by the Rights of Man : to anfwer that this anarchy may fubfide and produce a good government at laft, is fo completely befide the queftion, reafoning on facts, that I am aftonifhed to hear it fo offen recurred to; the experiment of the new government, in France, was complete-it was fi-nifhed-decreed and accepted-It is farlical to fuppofe that Louis XVI. had more power to fap or deftroy it than any other King: if it could not go on with him, it could not go on at all, and therefore was rotten at heart. It had made a thoufand provifions againft a difarmed king, but had made none againft an armed mob: this mob broke into the fanctuary and kicked the conftitution out of doors. Maffacres followed, till no man felt his head more fafe on his fhoulders than the fubjefts of Achen or Algiers; and, as to property, it
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was given to the winds: where are the fubjects of a German defpot whofe fituation matches : this? And as to the bope of leeing fomething better; the hope of the German is more likely to be realized than that of the Frenchman, who has nothing in perfpective but new evils, and new revolutions to cure them. A German, therefore, would be wife to renounce the thoughts of liberty, rather than purfue the idea of it through a revoluciun fimilar to that of France. Time and a happy coincidence of events may give them fuch an opportunity as France, worfe than loft. They have her example to inflruct them.
The plain'conclufion to be drawn is this; nations fhould proceed as individuals; rely only on experimented cafes. When philoiophers advifed the French to feek fome fyttem of freedom better than experiment (Great Britain) offered, they advifed a truft in theory; and at this moment, when Jacobins and reformifts advife us to improve our conttitution, is it not a queftion directly in point to afk them, whether the experimented freedom we enjoy at prefent; ought to be hazarded on projects of theory? An unequal reprefentation, rotten boroughs, long parliaments, extravagant courts, felfin minifters, and corrupt majorities, are fo intimately interwoven with our practical freedom, that it would require better political ana-
fact, t ical bone equal, bo cou rupted bleffing prefent pleteEnglifh of Jac that it $i$ bolitio dofer maxims he teft contact the pike his fov England of fatisf tafon f frough ${ }^{5}$ a pro ion, an England cclefiar
;itizens. tomifts than our modern reformers, to hew; on
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fact, that :we did not owe our liberty to the idenuical evils which they want to expunge. In France oone of thefe are to be found, a reprefentation equal, no burgage renures, biennial parliaments; so court, minifters of Atraw, and majorities corrupted only by themfelves; but with thefe envied bleflings is France free?-Here is an equal reprefentation of the people-an experiment com-plete-and the refuit "heavenly" in the eyes of Englifh reformers; but not fo in the mouths even of Jacobins in the Convention-r'Hey tell you that it is anarchy, bloodined, and famine. "The abolition of formal government brings fociety dlofer toge:her," is one of Paine's mountebank maxims; his theories Should always be brought to the teft of French practice; this compreffure, this contact of fociety, is there well underftood; it is the pike of one man in the belly of another. Is this fo very encouraging as to induce an imitation in England? Such things, however, are not fufficient to fatisfy thofe who demand a reform; no night teafon for fuppofing they look further-and that through the obfcure of fuch a foreground, there is a profpect behind, bright enough to fix attenion, and allure hope-the profpect of copying in England the example of France; the regal, noble, acclefiaftical, national properties, the fpoil of equal itizens !
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There is, in Monf. Mounier's laft admirable per formance, an obfervation which merits great attention; that when once a kingdom poffeffes a free affembly, with the power of the purfe, the real apprehenfion is not for liberty, but for the exiftenod of the crown. And again, "in Englind the number of reprefentatives of the people is very unequally divided: fimple boroughs, which contain few inhabitants,' have, from cuftom, the right o CO deputing; while diftriets, very popusous, do no participate in elections. This irregularity appear en contrary to many inconteftible principles; but i could not be rectified without augmenting the g force of the democratical part of the government is without danger of breaking the equilibrium, which has been fo well preferved for a century; and i ever they confent to render the reprefentation more equal, it would be indifpenfable to ftrengthen the other two branches. Inequality of reprefenta tion, above all, produces this advantage; that great part of the people identify themfelves mudi lefs with the deputies of the commons, and the public ofinion is lefs corrupted by the paffion that may agirate the lower houfe "." There deep fenfe in this remark: the author, who is on of the beft of men, and moft honeft of politicians who was a leader in the conftituent affembly, and

[^43]dmirable perrits great atpoffeftes a free e, the real ap. $r$ the exiftenco land the num e' is very un which contain a, the right of pulous, do no ularity appear, nciples; but i gmenting the e government librium, which entury; and il reprefentation e to ftrengthen of reprefenta. ntage; that mfelves much nons, and the the paffion !" There $r$, who is ons of politicians ffembly, and
de les Francois d marked
marked, with great acumen, their errors, felt the truth he here delineates, and faw the overthrow of their conftitution in the eagernefs with which the people, incorporated as it were, with the deputies, till thofe without talents became as corrupt as thofe whofe only talent was corrupting the hearts of others. What faet, what experiment, do our reformers pretend to, on which to ground the certainty, that if thofe apparent defeds of the conftitution were removed, the power of the people, without property, would not, in confequence, gain enough - to enable them to gain more-and to sidv nce, by means of thofe fteps,--till they gain ....s? The cafe of the French Revolution is much ftronger in the affirmative than any other to be produced in the negative; but to fpeak of cales is abfurd, with the reformers, for they proceed abfolutely on theory and Rights of Man; thofe well adapted foundations for a républic in Bedlam.

There appears to me to be a fingular propriety in the affociations which are at prefent fpreading through the kingdom, petitioning parliament to pafs an act to declare all clubs, affociations, focieties, and meetings of men, that affemble for the purpofe of obtaining changes in the conftitution, illegal, and that no meeting can legally correfpond, either in their own name, or in the L 2 names
names of their- fecretary, or other afficer, with any foreign body or government, unlefs fuch meeting is fanctioned by charter. The friends of order and good government are now collected, the time is precious, and ought not to be loft; and while we are threatened with the horrors of anarchy, it behoves us to have as much activity and energy in our defence as the violators of all human rights have exerted in their attack: for men to tell us, in fuch a moment as this, and fituated as we are with the enemy of mankind, on one fide, and the torch of revolt lighting in Ireland on another fide -that they are not Jacobins, but moderate men, wifhing reform, is as impudent as it would be for a thief to fay, that he is not an affaffin, becaufe he only holds a candle while another cuts my throar.

That governments cannot be improved, and that legifation thould be the only fcience to ftand ftill, by no means follow : experiment profcribes only great changes; fmall and gradual advances, in times of ferenity; fuch advances as put nothing to hazard, muit be good. It is eafy to lay the finger on grievañces in England, which every honeit and moderate man would wifh removed; but it is not when much is demanded, that little thould be given; for the plain reafon, that the little will not then fatisfy.
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I thall not be fufpected of thinking tithes a light grievance; but they are a grievance that would be ill remedied by the lofs of the crop that pays them; the enormity of the taxes I pay is known to every man that reads the tracts I puplifh; heavy as they are, let them remain rather than be changed for a contribution fonciere; the little left me is my own, which might not be the cafe under the pure difpenfations of Jacobin equality. Evils certainly exift in our, fyltem, and they are fuch as will, I truft, be remedied, gradually, by the leginature; : acting from its own impulie; and not from the influence of clubs and reforming focieties.

It was an old obfervation, that a republic could fubfift on the trappings of a monarchy. TheFrench have fet the feal of experience here, as in every other cafe, and have fhewn, that citizen Ro-berfpierre, and citizen Rolland, can out do Emperor Fofepb and King George in extravagance; the moft enormous expences, that ever any nation was deluged with, are the prefent in France; a fingle month's deficiency is 176 millions, or $7,700,0001$. fterling; this is fpending at the rate of 90 millions a year." Paine fays, "It is cruel to think of a million a year to a king;" but it is not a breakfatt to an affembly of citizens. There is a great deal in the civil litt of England that does not concern trappings. The payment, for the fupport of L. 3 thofe
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thofe trappings, do not probably amount to fixpence a head upon the population of Great Britain, for which fixpence every man has the fup-. port of a chief conftable that keeps all the other conftables to their duty. Inftead of fixpence a head paid for tranquility; the French nowo pay five fhillings. a head for keeping a gang of cut throats, and an affembly of mad dogs. A fplendid imperial court might be fupported out of fomething worfe than trappings of the French republic.

Monarcby, fays Paine, is a folly contemptible tbing, I compare it to fometbing kept bebind a curtain, about wobich tbere is a great deal of buftle and fulj, and a wonderful air of feeming folemnity; but wben, by any accident, the curtain bappens to be open, and tbe company fee wobat it is, they burft into laugbter. He has fince, in the character of a legilator, had rueful occafions of witneffing that reprefentation can exhibit fcenes more burlefque, and to the full as laughable, as any in monarchy; and that the legiflators of the Convention, determining priority of fpeaking by boxing-a kick for a trope, a black eye for a metapbor, and the defcent of orators from the tribune that of being tumbled or hurled upon the benches, to the fhouts, clappings, and hiffings of the galleries, have upon a thoufand occafions prefented fpectacles admitably adapted for moving
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moving the rifible faculties of fpectators; not forgetting the nickname of our Thetford itaymakerthe puncbinelle of the Convention.

If France fhould ever again poffefs the precious moment of improvinic ver vernment withe: convulfions, which opportunity the had, and loit; or if any other great couutry, having an indigent poor, fhould meet fuch a moment - experiment fjeaks to them but one language.- Take the British Constitution, not becaufe it is theoretically the beft, but becaufe it is practically good; but take fpecial care not to miftake that conftitution, and give the poifon of perfonal reprefentation, for in fuch an error your import of Britifh liberty would become the eftablifhment of French anarchy.

The conclufion may be compreffed in a few lines; the danger of the moment is great indeed; and only to be guarded againft by the moft unremitted diligence and activity:-exert that diligence, and bring that activity into play. by a unanimous fupport of the adminitration, entrufted at prefent with the public fafety: the queftion is not whether you are a friend or an enemy of that adminiftration; you are certainly a friend to the lives and properties of mankind. Join in affociations for our defence againft banditti, cut

L 4 throats,
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throats, and Jacobins; join againft an enemy more fubtle, and therefore more dangerous, the friends of reform; the affociators who would plant the tree of equal liberty; the mountebanks who have a French noftrum, and Birmingham daggers, for the difeafes of our Englif contitution. Guard againft fuch mifcreant attempts by pointed refolutions; and call, with one voice, on the legifature to fupprefs, by vigorous and decifive laws, the clubs of fedition; the affociations that call themfelves' our "conftitutional" inftructors and our " friends;" whofe leffons are inftitutes of anarchy; and whofe friendhip,-Thould their tenets prevail, -would cement with our beft blood, that National Convention of Britain with which thofe focieties have fo lately threatened us *:

## 2ueftion

- I know not how other men feel at reading the regiters of meetings of Jacobins, reformers, friends of the confitution, friends of the liberty of the prefs-but to me they appear half farcicals balf difgulting; a frdnge jumble of fpeeches, and drinking, and finging; one is doubtful whether the proper retribution wquld be to confider them as traitors, and fend them to the Tower; as madmen, and convey them to bedlam; or as difturbers of the peace, and fweep them all to the round-houfe. There is no gayernment upon earth, or that ever exifted in the world, this alone excepted, that would permit affemblies, the profeffed purport of which is to pull it in pieces ; whofe object is to declare their own difcontent, and to render the people as unhappy as themfelves. To confider the epithets they give one another, and the toafts they drink, a byeftander would fuppofe the kingdom had been for fonetime in a flate of utter ruin-and that the liberties of the people weie ren-
nemy more the friends plant the $s$ who have aggers, for n. Guard tred refoluleginature laws, the call themrs and our of anarchy; ets prevail, that $\mathrm{Na}-$ ch thofe fo-
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he regifters of ution, friends of farcical thalf king, and fingn would be to wer; as madof the ' peace, - government lone excepted, of which is to fifcontent; and o, confider the drink, a byefometime in a pple were rendered

## Queftion of a War.

Every reflecting man muft, on conviction, derived from long experience, be an enemy to war, and mutt be of opinion, that that fyftem of policy ought, at this time, as well as at all other periods, to be embraced which promifes us the longett duration of peace, for the fifty next years to come. This ought to be the only rule of a flatefinan; and
dered the fport of tyrants-He would imagine that the prefs had been under an imprimatur, but removed by the zeal of an individual : that the people owed all their, rigbts to another member : that all reperefentation in parliament hung on the lips of a third: and that the property of England would be at the mercy of excifes, were it not for the exertion of a fourth. And, attending to the fpeeches delivered; he would find, to his furprize, that:the pexple of England did nat qwe their happinefs to their government, but were cajoled into profperity i that they were vizims, viewing with envy the glorious conquefs of Irifh refurmers. 'Such a byeftander, not well informed of facts, would certainly conclude that Englifh men were more miferable, than any natian' on the globe, and parricularly than Frenchnien. Speculative arrangeme..ts of flate offices are fometimes amuling-let us fuppote one of thefe orators a fecretary, another a feoretary's Jecretary, another a treafures of the navy, a fourth Faymafter, if iffich fecretary, at war, and a fixth attorney general: what, in fuch a calf, would at once become of all this ruin? Where, alas! would be found the rights of the press, the 4ights of the peiopray the righis of reperelentation, the figh us uf ino excife? A magic wand is waved over the tiland, and evile fly off dike the eyaporation of an therial miff - the atmofphere clearsthe fun fines. Tlis is not fuppofition, or theory ${ }^{\prime}$ it is ract, deduced from a thouland Experiments-iti is hifory, experimet, and man.
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if, by avoiding hoftilities with thefe new deftroyers of mankind at prefent, we had any chance of preferving peace, my weak voice thould urge our minifters to guard it with the moft fedulous precautions; but if, on the contrary, preferving peace at prefent be only whecting the fwords, ftoring the magazines, and diffeminating the principles, which are by and by to be employed againt us, with tenfold effect; and; above all other points, planting and nourihhing thofe principles among us by every infidious art; if fuch fhould be the condequences of peace at prefent, it muft be fufficiently apparent to every reafonable man, that on the long account, every year of war, at this crifis, will probably fecure ten years of peace in its train, and confequently that the policy of permanent peace is, of all others, that which moft clearly calls for temporary war.

Such a coincidence of circumftances, as produces this fingular fituation, has very rarely happened. In almoft all the former wars, in which this kingdom has been engaged fince the revolution, our government or the oppofition to government, have looked only at their own interefts, and but feldom at thofe of the nation. The war of 1744, was a war abfolutely without an object, and brought on by the oppofition in parliament, raifing
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ing a clamour ägaintt Sir Robert Walpole. The war of 1756, was a commercial war for the prefervation of colonies. The American war was to retain thofe colonies in obedience; a war, partly of cominerce, partly of governmeat, and partly popular. But on true political principles, all thofe three wars, to which we owe nearly the whole of our national debt, were ill.judged, and ought to have been avoided: the attainment of the object in view was not worth an hundredth part of the expence, much lefs the cbance of attaining that object. If the object before us now were of no greater magnitude, God forbid that any honeft man thould have pleaded for hoftilities. Had the French contented themfelves with the domeftic arrangemencs of their own government, what wouid have been our concern in their tranfactions? None! Nothing in either policy or pretence. Whether their edifice were philofophical, atheiftical, or me-taphyfical;-whether their parliament affembled in one or two houfes; whether they purfued the rights or wrongs of man, all were the fame to us: and accordingly our government, greatly to its honour, was a mere ferctatof, not an indifferent fpectator; but rather friendly than otherwife. But when the new Revolution of the 10th of Augut, brought other principles into play; - when the republicans, who then mounted aloft in the ftorm of their own raifing, proclaimed
principles
principles directly and huftilely offenfive * to the government of every country around them-and in effect declared war againft them, in the famous decree of fupport to all rebels who wiked for French freedom; when thefe hotile declarations were found to fpring from the victories that attended their arms;-when they were accompanied with the moft bufy, impudent, and intrufive interference in the parties and difcontents of thefe kingdoms, and that in a tone and manner equally infidious and dangerous: when all thefe circumftances combined to fill our government with the utmoft alarm, what epithet of condemnation would have been adequate to their demerit had they acted on any other plan than the one they purfued? It is not, war or no war? But war in 1793 or in 1796.? War with an enemy powerfully attacked by others? or with the fame enemy after the have conquered others? Shall it be war in Sr. Domingo and Martinique, or in Ireland and Suffex ?

Thofe who have attempted to perfuade, us, that we are in danger this war from the ftrength and vigour of republican France, have their motives tor fuch an opinion; but, according to all the appearances on which human forefight can build, the

[^44]idea

## 157 )

idea has little of. juftice for its fupport. The dangers that threaten at prefent, are thofe that threaten France; they have orators, it is true, who perfuade them, that they are invinc:ble, but when you examine the circumftances of the force relied on, they muft make any reafonable man fmile.Does the pay of our troops require money? Our barvefts and our vintages, our raw materials and manufaitures, will they be lefs abundaut becaufe as crown of fix livres is worth more or lefs than a crowen in afignats. Frencbmen will be fed, cioatbed, lodged, warmed, armed, and encamped fo iong as they bave a fertile foil; and our territory is very mucb increajed fince the beginning of the war.-Cloots, February 5.

Such are the marvellous politicks of the orator of the fans culottes, for nothing rich enough to wear breeches merits the epithet buman, in the claffificacion of this fyttem of natural rights. This fpeech, received with applaufe, thews fufficiently what 1 . the hopes and refources of the Convention. They have extremely rich land in Auvergne, and therefore they will fight very well in Flanders! The panks of the Garonne yield great crops of hemp, onfequently their fleets will be effective friends to Tippo Saib. Money is neceflary to Kings, but re:wublicans; know how to do without it! The very frt lines, the firft rudiments of political fcience

## $\operatorname{ercaded}(\mathrm{ys})$

are all-knocked on the head in fuch ideas: this orator, the powers of whofe mind goes no further than giving fluency to nonfenfe, freaks in common with many other members of their pandemonium, of all France rifing and marching to the frontiers. I do not conceive it poffible that any perfoh, in this enlightened country, can be fo ignorant ds to. be deceived by fuch fuff, one or two obfervations, however, will not be thrown away, not for confuting a French orator, but that the principles of national force may be better undertood.

Guiding myfelf by their own authorities, I may fate their extri refources, from the regal and ecclefiaftical plunder, at four milliards, that is $175,000,000 \mathrm{~L}$. fterling: their laft accounts ftate the value of the poffefions of 70,000 emigrants at $4,800,000,000$ liv.: here, therefore, are at leaft eight milliards, or $350,000,0001$. Aterling in Cloots' folid real wealth; the wealth of rich land and fertite crops. they have had befides, if you will be lieve them, an annual revenue of $600,000,000 \mathrm{liv}$ above $25,000,0001$. fterling. Now what has beed the refolt of all this? They have coined paper of the amount of $3000,000,000 \mathrm{lif}$. ( $131,000,000$ fterling); and, after all: they have, within the few days, coined $880,000,000$ liv. more of paper about $36,000,0001$. ferling!!! Thus proving direct negative to all this egregious politician doctrine.
deas: this no further n' common lemonium, e frontiers. perfoh, in orant as to bfervations, for confutiples of naities, I may e regal and $t d s$, that is counts ftate emigrants at are at lealt ing in Cloots land and feryou will bep,000,000 liv what has beed pined paper i31,000,000 , within the rore of paper hus proving bus politician doetrine.
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doetrine.-No government that ever exited in the world, came into the poffeffion, or rather into the plunder, of fuch folld wealth; and yet it is of fo little confequence, that they are now driven, after a fingle, and that a triumphant campaign, to the extremities of adding 800 to $3000,000,000$ of paper! paper! paper!!! With the rich land and abundant harvefts of three-fourths of France in their power, they are fo poor, fo ragged, and fo hungry; that half the regifters of their affembly is occupied with cries for raiment, demands for food, complaints of famine; a nation without bread, and armies, without breeches.

Such are the facts,-they (a noble Lord in England is of the fame opinion) were too ignorant to know, that fuch would inevitably be the refult; -and this orator of the human race continues ignorant, in fpight of all their experience. He might, however, have known, that rich land and the wealth which, in home confumption, may be called folid and real, caninot be brought into effect in 2 war at a diftance, but by means of a circulating fpecie of fuch credit, as to command commodities. Affignats, before a livre of this $800,000,000$ is circulated, have created a famine, and raifed the price of wheat to 50 liv. the fack, or 100 liv. the quarter (41. 78. 6d.); the new iffue will increafe this fearcity, and throw a proportionable impediment
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impediment in the way of every operãtion of gon vernment. Great difcontents, and even infürrections, have been heard in various parts of the kingdom; what will be the confequence of adding in fucceffive campaigns, to this enormous amount of circulating miffhief,-of injecting into all the veins and arteries of the political body, not blood but poifon? The yalue of rich land, of harvefts, and vintages, will foon be found; when the filver wings which ought to convey the $m_{\text {, }}$, with vitat eff ficacy, to the frontiers, becomes paper; at 100 per cent. difcount. It is taxes paid in lipecie, or in fomething as good as fpecie, that enables the public to avail itielf of private wealth. If eight milliards of real wealth leaue them oeggars; for want of MONEX, the experiment is furely complete and finiined, for all except: couvention politicians !

The object of the war being a durable peace, attained by the defruction of a combination of reformers, who, not content with operating on the bafis of their own country, prochaim improviement and hofility againtt altutheir neighbours-fuch being the great object, the principle of felf-defence, which isoltigates the war-it is but of fecondary momert what the immediate event of the military operations may prove. Our profpect however has nothing to alarm: the ftate of the French Weft Indies is fuch, that a Britim fleet has only to ap-
pea of 1 be in $t$ ven givi
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pear and meet the greeting of friends. The Rights of Man and equality have proved too ruinous to be liftened to after the flag of real freedom appears in thofe feas; this is the expectation in the Con. vention itfelf; and their recompence is the idea of giving freedom to Peru!

If there is truth in the reprefentation I have given of our danger-if the field of that danger is at home -and if in this war with France we have to fight, not thro' ambition or for conqueft, but for the prefervation of our lives and properties againft foreign and domeflic foes, combined for our deftruction; it then furely behoves every man that wifhes well to his country, to give firmnefs and vigour to that government by which alone we can hope for defence and fecurity; by as great unanimity as our enemies will admit,-by rejecting, reprobating, and holding up to abhorrence, every idea of altering, reforming, or tampering ${ }_{2}$ at fo dangerous a crifis, with the conftitution to which we owe the profperity that is fo hateful an object to the Jacobins of France; -by exerting ourfelves, every man in his individual and collective capacity, with all vigour, to promote the views of government in an energic conduct of the war, by which alone we can hope for a continuance of thofe blefings which belong to us as Britons. The public conduct which this kingdon at prefent M . holds,
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holds, is paternal to the deareft interefts of the people, and ought to render it popular and refpected. Succefs under God depends on the people bearing the burthens, which the neceffity of war may impofe on them, with patience and chear. fulnefs; convinced as they mutt be, that the war is not only juft, but abfolutely and effentially neceffary to the falvation of all that makes life defirable ; the peace of families,-the furety of dwellings,the fafety of life, -the fecurity of property :-they will confider its expence as the facrifice of a little, for the prefervation of the whole,

I am old enough to remember diftinctly the whole courfe of the war of 1756 , to have reflected on the events of that and of the American war; and though I felt as an Englifhman ought to feel for the honour of his country's arms, yet the events made no deep impreffion on my mind,-nothing perfonal created the leaft anxiety in my bofom. In the prefent conteft there is none of this want of intereft -the rapid conquefts of the French in the laft campaign filled me with apprehenfion and gloom; I faw with horror the elevated crefts of our own Jacobins,-I marked the meditated mifchief, and felt, that all for which I wifhed to live had received a fhock. The late events, which gave hope of a turning tide, revived my fpirits,-my houle
hou
as.n und don deec ord a Fat defe hum and parri vert he $m$ death fecure confe band vernm bratio huma the ea profp profpe bition, marki plund men bafam to the
of the and rehe peoeffity of 1 chear. ie war is y necefefirable ; llings,-:-they E a little,
the whole llected on war; and eel for the nts made g perfonal n the preff intereft n the laft hd gloom; our own chief, and e had renich gave irits,-my houle
houfe became more my caftle, I inewred my farm as more my own, -I began to feel the foil firmar under my feet,-and that the fun of Britilh freedom might yet thine with beams unblotted by deeds of horror. $\therefore$ What were victories in Heffe, or defeats in America, to the perfonal feelings of 2 farmer in Suffolk? alike to him or conqueft or defeat.-Not fo in this conteft, eventful to every human feeling,-that comes bome to men's bufine/s and bofoms; in which defeat will rob hitn of his patrimony, his friends, his life, his children; convert his country to his gaol, and raife the hand he may have fupported to acts of plunder and of death. He who does not feel his property more fecure, and the lives of his family more fafe, in confequence of every fuccefs gained againft that band of cut throat wretches, that ufurp the government of France,-has a bofom touched by vibrations in no unifon with mine. It is a war of humanity againft the ravagers and deftroyers of the earth; and it might have given one the horrible profpect of feeing men, the members even of this profperous and happy fociety, tempted by vile ambition, or inftigated by the poverty of profligacy, marking power as the offspring of confufion and plunder, the reward of anarchy;-of feeing fuch men repining at victories that fill every honeft bofam with joy, and glorying in defeats difaftrous to the caufe of humanity. The vietories of this
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war tend to preferve liberty on the firm bafis of the Britifh conftitution; property on law; and life in the pure dilpenfations of unfufpected juftice. But to what tends defeat? Let the French fyftem eftablifh itfelf, and there fets the fun of England's liberty,-there flies, as before a peftilence, all that renders life iweet, or próperty defirable:-plunder, rapine, blood, fucceed.

V
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## A P P E N DIX.

WHATEVER reprefentation took place in antient times, was of property, never of perfons. "The fupreme power in the mycelgemotes, or folkmote (p. 17 I .), was ever lodged in the collective body of the fret Proprietors of land," fays Dr. Squire, afterwards Bihop of St. Davids, in his Inquiry into the Engliß Confitution. "The wittenagemote, compofed of the King's companions, of Thanes, the governors of counties, bifhops, and dignified clergymen of large property." 13 . "Without five hides of land, a ceorl could not be put upon the rank of a King's Thane." Ib. A hide of land from 500 to 600 acres, Hunce, vol. i. p. 203.

THE laft, and perhaps the beft, of our hiftorians (Henry), unites with all other unprejudiced men, "c As foon as nny of the ceorls acquired five bides of land, with a cburch, a bellboufe, and manor place, they were declared thaies or nobles, and members of the wittenagemote. This qualification was gradually raifed, till, in the M 3 reign
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reign of Edward the Confeffor, it was fixed at forty hides." (Vol. iii. p. 37 I. Wilkins' Leges Saxon, p. 70, 71. Hiforia Elienfis, cap. 40.) Though great efforts have been made to prove, that the ceorls, or finall proprietors of land, were reprefented in the wittenagemote, by their tithingmen, or borfholders; and the inhabitants of trading towns; by their aldermen, or portreeves; it muft be confeffed, that of this there is not fufficient biftoric evidence remaining (Tyrel Introd. p. 95. Squire, 244). It is however highly probable, that many ceorls and burgeffos, who diwelt in or near the place where a wittenagemote was held, attended it as interefted fpectators, and intimated their fatisfaction, with its refolves, by fhours of applaufe. On fome great occafions, when there was an uncommon concourfe of fuch fpectators, their prefence and approbation is recorded in fuch terms as thefe " omnique populo audiente et vidente (and all the people hearing and looking on) aliorumque fidelium infinita multitudo qui omnes kaudaverunt (and a prodigious crowd of other people who all applauded) they frequently affembling in the open air, in fome extenfive plain." (Spelinan? Concil, p. 625.350. Henry.

DR. BRADY hath taken the pains to collect all the accounts given in old chronicles of the great councils or parliaments of this nation, in
the
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the Saxon times, and hath fhewn very clearly, that the common people or inhabitants of burghs, never had deputies in any of them, nor were they in a proper condition of freedom to be capable of choofing reprefentatives to fit in fuch an affernbly. Sir Henry Spelman, after carcfully examining into the conftitution of an hundred parliaments, held from the Norman conqueft to the 49 th of Henry III. pronounces that the boroughs never were reprefented in any: Sir W. Dugdale, and all other judicious and unprejudiced writers, verfed in the diction of the times, and in the antiquities of their country, agree with him in this opinion. Carte, vol. ii. p. 257 -

IF in the long period of two hundred years, which elapled between the conqueft and the latter end of Henry III. and which abounded in factions, revolutions, and convulfions of all kinds, the houfe of commons never performed one fingle leginative act fo confiderable as to be once mentioned by any of the numerous hiftorians of that age, they muft have been totally infignificant: and in that cafe, what reafon can be affigned for their ever being affembled? Can it be fuppofed that men of fo little weight or importance poffeffed a negative voice againft the King and the barons? Every page of the fubfequent hiftories, difcovers their exiftence; though thefe hiftories $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ are
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are not writ with greater accuracy thàn the preceding ones, and indeed fcarcely equal them in that particular. The Magna Charta of King John provides that no fcutage or aid thall be impofed, either on the land or towns, but by the confent of the great council; and for more fecurity, it enumerates the perfons entituled to a feat in that council, the prelates, and immediate tenants of the crown, without any mention of the commons: an authority fo full, certain, and explicit, that nothing but the zeal of party could ever have procured credit to any contrary hypothefis. Hume, voi. ii. p. rig. In oppofition to fuch authorities; Lord Littleton is party-headed enough to rely on a petition fiom St. Alban's, which implies a preceding right; yet himfelf confeffes, that it contains two grofs falfehoods. A pretty houfe of commons, whofe exiftence is to be proved by the implication of a few words in a lying petition; and this in the teeth of Magna Charta!!

IN antient times, and at the firf inftitution of reprefentatives for counties, none had any vote in the election of k:nights, but fuch as owed fuit to the county court, i. e. fuch as held immediately of the crown; for all that held lands of mefne lords, owed fuit and fervice to their lord's courts. What contributed to the alteration of the conftitution in this refpect, was a fhameful indo-'
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lence in country gentlemen, who procured privileges, allowing them to appear by proxy; and it was one of Simon de Montfort's ways of engaging the favour of the gentry, by making fuch privileges general. The proxies deputed by the gentlemen were generally fome of their own freehokiers, who, by this miear.s, attending at county courts (though not in their own right), came, in procefs of time, to be puc on juries, \&c. It doth not appear, however, that thefe freeholders, under mefne lords, ever had a thare in the elec-, tion of knights of Thires, till the tumultuary parliament, in the uft of Henry IV.; and thence arofe the grievous complaints, made by the commons in parliament, of outrageous and exceffive numbers of people pretending a rigbt to attend elections. * Henry IV. thinking thefe inferior frectolners convenient for his purpofe, eftablihed their right of voting by an act in his $7^{\text {th }}$ year. This act, the firft of its kind that was ever made, the rights of electors having ever fubfifted on prefcription, paffed in the fame feffion, wherein, by a iike novelty, he took upon him to alter the courfe of fucceffion and defcent of the crown, as if a new modelling of parliaments was neceffary to fuppore his ufurpation. Carte, vol. ii. p. 699. What then becomes of a modern reformer's conception, that

[^45]
#### Abstract
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the fatute of the 8th of Henry VI. cut of the rigbts of nineteen in twenty of the people; inftead $\cdots$ a cutting off, it was an extenfion in matter of rigbt.

THE origin of knights of the fhire is thus thewn by Carte (fee alfo vol. ii. p. 250.), to have been ariftocratical, a mere method by reprefentation of eafing the leffer barons in their appearance in the great council,-thofe who had a right to choofe had a right to fit in perfon, but craved the exemption; it was a feries of abufes, contrary to the original purity of the confitution that gave this right of election, firft to men not holding by noble tenure, and then to 40s. voters. I have read, with attention, Lord Littleton's moft unfatisfactory endeavours to prove the contrary (Life of King Henry II. vol. 3.), which Mr. Hume puts down with his ufual eale and perficuity, Hitt. vol. ii. p. 509. The more remotely this bufinefs is examined, the more decifively every thing in our goverment traces back to the crown, and to an ariftocracy created by the crown. Where is your original PURITY? In the woods of Germany ?

I
N all difputes on the origin of a branch of the legillature in any country, where there is a queftion of its exittence, its being a queftion at all is prima facie, a frong argument againtt fuch ex: iftence,
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cut off the Ce; inftead matter of thus thewn ), to have reprefenir appearad a right but craved contrary to gave this by noble read, with actory enof King uts down ift. vol. ii. efs is exgin our nd to an re is your fermany ?
h of the here is a ion at all fuch exiftence,
iftence, and therefore the onus probandi, ought to lie on thofe who prefume it. It would be an utter. abfurdity to make any queftion of the exiftence of an ariftocratical wittenagemote, before the Conqueft, or of a Houre of Barons after it ; their exiftence is palpable in every page of the hiftorians; and after the Houfe of Commons was really inftituted, the exiftence of that alfo was manifeft in leginative aets. But to pretend to a leginature incog. is a farce; if it effectively exilts, it mult Thew itfelf in a thoufand different ways, and not want to be dragged from the lurking hole of dark expreffions in old multy charters, fome tranfated, the original loft, and others proved to be forgeries. The attempt thus to prove the exittence of a legifa lature is alone, without looking further, a Atrong fufpicion, that it had no exiftence. It is worthy only of Lord Littleton, who trannates the expreffion, ommes de regno, in an age of teudal barbarity, by the wbole commonalty of the realm, he might as well have included the fwine as the men who drave them, for they were in that age of as much account: it is. like his making the expreffions prin-, cipes, fatrapx, optimates, magnates, proceres, mean the people: by thus torturing words from that meaning which holds of the character and manners of an age, fuch writers deduce-_what? Not fome trifling point, which might eafily, from its nature, have been clear or confufed -but the

## ( 172 )

the exiftence of a Houfe of Commons!!! And our reformers are very glad to join them in order to thew the original purity of the confitution, flourifhing amidtt the rapine, blood, and death that followed the footteps of tartar barons; amidft: the barbarity of feudal monarchs, and enflaved villains; fcenes of mifery, to which the people of England are now bid, by the vile tongue of Jacobin faction, to look back to with eyes of envy and regret!

IN a pamphlet, called the People's Barrier, it is faid that the Commons were reprefented in the parliaments of the Saxons, and this is taken from the works of the Rev. Samuel Johnfon: his Effay concerning Parliaments at a certainty now lies before me, and there you find much of Saxon parliaments, but without one word of proof that they were fo compofed : thofe parliaments were merely ariftocracical, and the expreffions, in the mirror of juftice, much of which was written in Edward the Second's time (and therefore no Saxon authority); convey no determinate idea: Le Roy affembler les comittes; again, le commun affent de Roy. Eכ de fes countes-now for the explanation-comittes and countes, mean counties, counties mean free-men, free-men mean the mob-ergo, all the world were reprefented under the Saxons; very well deduced Mr. Samuel Johnfon: this is all he offers for
!!! And em in oronfitution, and death ns ; amidf: 1 enflaved people of e of Jacos of envy
rrier, it is rted in the aken from his Efay w lies beaxon parf that they ere merely mirror of Sward the uthority); Tembler les E de fes ittes and free-men, orld were 1 deduced offers for Saxon

Saxon times-the next word he jumps to Edward the Firft : but he would afterwards make out, that a Saxon folkmote was a parliament, yet he exprefsly fays, $I$ do not readily know wowat that folkmote is (p. 287). He admits, however, that Sir Henry Spelman's is the learnedeft gloffary that ever was writ ; and that learned antiquary is directly againt him, and proves that a folkmote was not a wittenagemote'; and how the coronation oath of Richard the Second is direet proof will puzzle a plain man to difcover. Let the reader confult Mr. Hume's firt appendix, and various paffages in Dr. Henry, and the authorities cited, he will there fee the utter folly and abfurdity of looking for the Commons in the wittenagemote, or for tbe people, not freeholders, in the county and hundred courts.

Annual parliaments have been as much miftaken: Blackftone (a favourite authority with many reformers), fays, " not that the King is, or ever was obliged, by thefe ancient flatutes, to call a new parliament every year, but only to permit a parliament to fit annually." The above quoted Johnfon, has a chapter to fhew, that they were held fre/h and fre/b: but all he fays amounts to no. more than an inquiry into who thould bear their expences if they fat longer than forty days? For he fays exprefsly that the true reafon of abrupt diffolution was, that their fitting, after the given time, "*
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muft be at the King's charge, which in one word explains the reafon of to many new parliaments, and completely overturns the whole argument of the chapter.

When the Houfe of Commons, in Charles the Firt's reign, gave, in the Petition of Right, what might be called a hiftory of their own importance in the legiflature, and began with a ftatute of Edward the Firft, to fhew that the confent of knights and burgeffes was neceffary to the levy of a tax-is it poffible to conceive that they would nat have gone farther back. had they been able to do it upon unqueftioned authority?

But to drop all reference, and to reafon on the comparative ftate of fociety in the time of the
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the mercy of the Commons for every fhilling of its revenue-is it for fuch an age to look back to periods fo totally different; and to call for rendering fuch a popular government ftill more popular, becaufe thofe laws (fuppofing their exiftence) were good 800 years ago!! Every principle, not of politics only, but of common fenfe, mult be given to the winds before fuch reafoning can be admitted. This fpirit of faction fays, give us our antient laws, our antient rights--have not the Crown and the nobility an equal right to reply ? -granted-take them-but refore to us what we at the fame time poffefed. Like true tyrants (and no fpirit of tyranny matches the republican) they buy their porfeffions, and then, keeping the purchafe, demand back the price. Do you urge, in reply, the majefy of the people?-The majefty of the fans cu: lottes? Go to France.

If any one doubts what our reformers realily look for, let him reflect on a paffage in the People's Barrier; the author is contending fur univerfal fuffrage in the election of reprefentatives-"By the word reprefentatives, I by no means intend to deny or derogate from the right of the Commons at large, for that the original power and authority refide in them is implied in the very word itfelf." Here reprefentation is cut up by the roots, in the very language of the tribunes in the National Convention;
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vention;-the conftitution contended for is profeffed to be mob and anarchy !!

- "Had a Houfe of Commons, freely chofen by all the people exited, could Charles have been a tyrant, Cromwll a prorector, or King William fufpend the babeas coipus, \&x. \&c.?": People's Barrier. Anfwet: Such a Houfe of Commons exitts in France, and has caufed enormities fit only for rerepublicans. The experiment is tried; and 25 millions of people ruined, the refult.

1 bold it, fays Blackftone, fufficient that it is generally agreed, that in the main the confitution of Parliament, as it now ftands, was marked out So long ago as the 17th of King Jobn, A. D. 1215, in the great charter, wherein be promi/es to fummon all arcbbibbops, bißbops, abbots, earls, and greater barons perfonally; and all other tenants in cbief under the Crown by the jheriff. This is whimfical;-to refer to Magna Charta to prove the conftitution then to be in the main as it now ftands, while it affords the molt pofitive proof of the direct contrary fact, and even in the very words here quoted. The tenants in cbief under the Crown were a part of the ariftocracy; here is an expreis exclufion of every elementary atom that could form a Houfe of Cornmons, in the words from which the falfe deduction is made, that in the main the conftitution was the
fame are
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 e been a illiam fufople's Barns exifts in nly for re; and 25at it is geAitution of ked out so - 1215 , in fummon all ater barons under the -to refer on then to it affords trary fact, The teart of the
of every re of Comdeduction n was the fame
fame as at prefent :-if fo, Venice and the Grifons are under fimilar governments.

There is another paffage in that celebrated lawyer, which, in my humble opinion, deferves a re-confideration.-" The two houfes naturally drawing in two directions of oppofite intereft, and the prerogative in another ftill different from them both, they mutually keep each other from exceeding their proper limits-like three diftinet powers, in mechanics, they jointly impel the machine of government, in a direction different from what either, acting by themfelves, would have doae; but at the fame time in a direction partaking of each and formed out of all; a direction which conflitutes the true line of the liberty and happinefs of the community." I do not conceive that this is either the theory or the practict of our con-ftitution.-Three diftinet powers in mechanics, acting equally in contrary directions, would arreft all motion and the machine would ftand ftill, which is not the cafe. The theory feems to be one preponderating power, abfolutely overcoming the two oppofite ones, and having them both at its mercy: thefe in conftant danger unite for felf defence: this is the Houfe of Commons on paper, in theory: but in practice the Crown by infuence, in union wih the influence of the Lords, and with that of honeft men, in the affembly itfelf, gently
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perfuades and befeches, as weh in It cath, the Commons to ufe this enormous power with mode: ration. It has fometimes happened that this could not be done; at fuch moments the deaders of that Houfe have contented themfelves with feizing the adminiftration of the executive power, without attacking the power itfelf; but fuppofe fuch an alteration was made in elections, in reprefentation, in the duration of parliaments, as gave Pbe people fuch a power over thofe leaders as to force an attack on the executive itelf, inftead of its admini-ftration-what would be the confequence? It is fufficiently clear to the moft carelefs obferver, that the conftitution would be levelled in the duft-the Houfe bf Commons atting by the impulfe of the loweft of the people would be irrefiftible-the Crown and the Lords would fink together. With a good and a popular King, fuch things are unlikely, but what is a conftitution good for that depends on the perpetual exiftence of what is not to be looked for in the continued duration of many centuries? Suppofe a weak and unpopular King. Do ner the confiderations give us fome reafon for queftioning the jutice of the learned judges" defcription? Do they not rather lead us to believe that the theory of our conftitution is really bad; that the practice is the beft part of it, and that to which we are really indebted for whatever we enjoy? There are men who tell us, that
texat, thet ith modo$t$ this could ers of that feiving the withoutatuch alrefentation; e rob people orce an atits adminince? It is ferver, that e duft-the pulfe of the fiftible-the her. With gs are unfor that deat is not to n of many pular King. Tome reafon ned judges" d us to beon is really of it, and for whatEll us, that a virtuous.
a virtuous Houfe of Commons, though at the command of the people, weuld act virtuoully ;this refolves itfelf into a dependance on the virue of a mob-the men who wifh to place us in this dependance muft either be fools who fee not the danger, or rogues that know it well, and therefore are earneft to involve us: but at all events thefe ideas, of I know not what mechanical contradictions, and counter contitutional powers, are apparently erroneous, and therefore ought to be well confidered before they are acquiefced in.

DR. TUCKER gives a reafon of very great weight againft any reprefentation on grounds of equality of any kind. In fuch a reprefentation, London would have 100 members, at leaft, and always on the fpot: what a novice in politics muft he be, that does not fee the infinite evils that would refult-and this under a general fyytem, that gave more importance to mobs than they have at prefent! What infatuation! One hundred Londen members backed by a London mob: a very amufing idea! Ireatife on Civil Gevernment, p. 258.

THE able and eloquent Count de Lally Tolendal, in his fecond lester to Mr. Burke, contends, that it was neceflary to give the souble reprefentation to the tiers. Let any perfon read his N 2
flate
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ftate of the kingdom, p. 15, and then afk, if more powerful-more decifive reafons could poffibly be brought againft that meafure? For if the mob were dragging parliaments in the kennel, for demanding antient forms, what had a politician reafon to expect from making that mob omnipotent!! Charles V. Gultavus, and the Barons of England (p. 17.), knew how to keep the popular party within bounds-but did Louis XVI.? Was his perfonal character, which had relaxed every rein of government; to be overlooked in fuch a queftion? With the government in fuch hands, what fecurity againt the three houfes coming together; feeing there had been precedents even for that?

THE point of religion, politically confidered, is a great and arduous queftion, which demands talents, fully to examine and arrange, greater perhaps than any other branch of legiflation. The ableft men of the age, feem rather to fplit on this rock than to efcape it. When 1 read in a tract, 2 complaint of the author, that, becaufe be okjeats to particular religious tenets, be bas been reprefented as an enemy of order and of government; and in the fame tract meet with the affertion, that the revolution of the 10 th of Auguft was a bappy and neceffary completion of that of the $14^{\text {th }}$ of $7 u l y$, I fee an inftance which affords a proof of this. The
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,ifmore fifly be the mob for depolitician omnipo3arons of : popular .? Was ed every in fuch a ch hands, ming toents even
fidered, is demands eater peron. The it on this a tract, a objeads to refented as and in the the revo$y$ and neuly, I fee The latter
latter fentiment makes one's blood run cold, for it implies more than it profefies. Freezing with its effect, I turned haftily to the end of the work, to fee if it was not explained (as the publication took place after the death of the King) in a chapter of additions and corrections; but no fuch matter. The queftion comes furely with force; is fuch a man reprefented as an enemy of government, on account of his religious tenets, or on account of his political opinions?

When fuch fentiments are abroad, and even gloried in, and found molt wonderfully connected, one knows not how, with religious tenets, infinitely difficult becomes the bufinefs, I will not fay of toleration, but of the whole fyftem of legination, fo far as it connects with religion. Would you have a unitarian take a feat on the bench of bifhops? Religious reafons have not yet been given why they fhould not. But would you have a man there who publickly declares, that the revolution of the soth of Auguft was a happy one? No; moft affuredly. Hence then, in the repeal of tefts and fubfcriptions, are they to be confidered as levelled againft heterodox doctrines of religion; or, as political fecurities, that the power and emoluments of the church fhall be lodged with men whofe opinions do not tend to the utter deftruction of our admirable conftituion IN STATE? And
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further, if there are any particular fects of religion, whofe profeffors are generally tinctured with republicanifm and Jacobinifm, will any man of common fenfe fuppofe the non-repeal of tefts and refrictions perfifted in merely on religious motives?

I fhall, from this fearful epoch of the French revolution, have mány doubts in political maxims, which have been very generally fubferibed to for thefe laft twenty years, and, among others, on the queftion of toleration, for tbofe countries in vubicb it bas not been eitber the law or policy of the fate. The tolerating fpirit of the old government of France was one of the chief engines of its deftruction; and thould the nobleft fyftem of government the world ever faw-that of Britain- receive a mortal wound-that wound will have its origin in the fame caufe. Were I a Spaniif minifter, I might advife my matter to regulate the inquiftion; but I would not advife him to abolifh it-thanks to facobinism!

THEEND.

## To Arthur Younc, Efq: Braiffeld Hall, near Bury.

religion, with reof comand reotives?

## French

 maxims, sed to for rs, on the in rubicb the fate. nment of s deftrucvernment receive a origin in inifter, I quifition; t-thanksCrown and Anchor, March 28, 37930 SIR,

$I$AM defired by the Committee to communicate to you the inclofed Refolutions, which they came to laft night. It is their wifh, not only to pay the tribute they think due to fo excellent a performance, but to call the attention of the public to a work which canno f of making 2 great impreffion on all who read it.
I join moft heartily in the fentiments of the Committec; and I hope the ftep they have taken will be approved by you,

I have the honour to be, S I R,
Your mof obedient, and very humble fervant, JOHN REEVES, Chairman,

Crown and Anchor March $\mathrm{I}_{51}$ 1793.
At a Meeting of the General Committee this Day, resolved,
THAT the thanks of this Committee be given to Arthur Young, Efq. for his excellent Pamphlet, intituled, "The Example of France a Warning to Britain : in which he has fuccefffully oppofed the teftimony of facts and experience to the hazardous fpeculations of vifionary theorifts in matters of government.

RESOLYED;
That the faid refolution be inferted in the Newrpapers.
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## Io Arthur Young, E/q.

## Melford, April 7, 1793.

S I R,

IAM defired by the Committee of the Affociation of loyal Inhabitants of the Hundred of Babergh, to tranfmit to you the following Refolution:
"That the beft and moft cordial thanks of the Committee be given to Arthur Young, Efq. for his excellent Pamphlet, fo particularly ufeful at this crifis, intituled, "The Example of Francc a Warning to Britain;" and that the Secretary be requefted to communicate them, by a letter addreffed to him at Bradfield-Hall."

I feel the greatef fatisfaction in fending you the above refolution, becaufe it affords me an opportunity of informing you, that it paffed not merely with unanimity, but with the ftrongeft expreffions of approbation and applaufe. Permit me to add my acknowledgments for the pleafure and inftruction I have received from a publication, which, while it gives you a juft claim to the efteem, refpect, and gratitude of every friend to the conftitution, will, as its next beft reward, fubject you to the cenfure and calumny of all the enemies to order and good government.
$I \mathrm{am}$, very refpectfully, Sir, your moft humble fervant,

CHARLES EDWARD STEWART.

# To Arfhur Young, Efq. 

 h, to tranf. the Comfor his excrifis, inBritain;" icate them,1 the above of informimity, but ad applaufe. he pleafure ion, which, efpect, and will, as its d calumny ent.

Hoxne Hall, Sufolk, April 16, 1793.

## S I R,

$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{S}}$S Chairman of the Loyal Affociation of the hundreds of Hoxne and Hartfinere, I have the honour to tranfmit to you the warmeft thanks of the Committee, which met laft Thurfday, at Eye, for your incomparable pamphlet, intituled, "The Example of France a Warning to Britain." And I beg leave to add my own in particular, for the fingular fatisfaction I have experienced from the perufal of that publication.

I am, Sir,
Your moft obedient humble fervant,
THO. MAYNARD.


## ERRATA.

THE only apology I can make for the incorrectnefs of the firft edition of thefe papers, was the defire I had to print them at the time when they were moft applicable to public eyents. For the errors that are found in the prefent edition, I have to plead an illnefs, which confined me to my bed during a great part of the time the printing lafted, and which permitted me to revife very imperfectly fome parts that moft wanted correction; the following paflages, among, I am afraid, many others, wanted the attention I could not give.
P. 3. 1. 19. for inadequate, read adequate.
8. 1. the laf. national reprefentation," dele the inverted commas.
37. 1. 6. for government, read fyttem.
16. 1. 23. for producible to, read formed on.

1b. 1. the laf. for illuminated. Infurrection, read illuminated: infurrection.
27. 1. 1 5. for wi.s, read were.
28. 1. 13. for tranquilly, read tranquil.
36. 1. 4. for montennier, read moutonnier.
46. 1. 5. dele t the note, and infert it at p. 156.
47. 1. 16. dele thefe.
48. 1. 15. for moft prepofterous, read the moft, 8cc.
49. 1. 18. for I am, read I was_and for with, read withed.
16. 1. no. for I wißh the middle claffes of landed property better reprefented; I with a new member for every county, eleeted by men who poffefs, \&e. read I wifhed the middle claffes of landed property had been better reprefented, and that a new member for every county might be elected, sec.
83. 1. 18. for Neckar, read Necker.
104. 1. 1. for Draper'e, read Drapier's.
128. 1. 7. for who condemn, read who condemn the war.
132. 1. 14. for exhilirate, read exhilarate.
134. 1. 21. for cuts the throat of, read defeats.
135. 1. 1. Jor was', read were.
16. 1. 4. for lawyers were conning, read lawyers conned.
16. 1. 9. for prove, read proves.

1b. 1. 25 . for waz, read is.
136. I. 4. for only by means of affociation, read by means of ar. fociation only.
137. bottom note. for room, read meeting.
147. 1. 23. for there appears to me to be, read it appears to me that there would be.
P. 1 go.

## ERRATA.

P. : 50. 1. 23. bofore a kick, add a mark of parenthefis.

Ib. 1. 36. bofore to the mouts, add the fecend mark of parenthefis.
354. 1. 24. for have looked only at their own interefts, read has looked at its own interefts only.
256. 1. 23. for what epithet of condemnation, wopld have betn adequate to their demerit, had they acted on any other plan than the one they purfued, read what condemnation would háve been adequate to its domérik, had it acted on any other plan than what it has porfued.
35. 1. 1. for knocked on the head, read erafed.
15. 1. 2. for goes, read go.
360. 1. 3. for heard, read frequent.

16e. 1. i. for is paternal to, read promotes.
165. 1. 14. for Hunce, read Hume.
366. 1. 10. for Tyrel, read Tyrrel.
268. 1. 14. for party-headed enough to, read fo zealous as to. 171. 1. 14. for original, read originals.
173. I. 17. for annual parliaments have been as much miftaken, read the queftion whether parliaments were annual, has been as much miftaken.

And above all, gentle reader, when you have had your laugh, correct that notable bull, p. 52. and bad Mirabnaw been now alive, bis bead would bave been on a pike, by inferting the mpnofyllable Joon;—quould be foon on a pihc. And laftly, let me add one word on the acceptation in which I wfe, in various paffages, the term mob, by which I would never be undertood to imply, the maff of the lower claffes-the people; but fimply the bufy, intriguing, difcontented, leaders $;$ the fellows who aflociate and combine to.fpread difcontent $;$ and are, in all moments of heat, forward in the caufe of mifchief. The quiet people, who mind their own bufinefs at their homes, however low, and however poor, have nothing of mob in them; but when actuated by the ill-defigning, to affemble for apy public purpofe not frictly legal, then they merit that apm pellation; thus it appears that all, focieties, whofe object is to reform the conftitution, are to, all intente and purpofes palpably mob, let the rank of certain of the mentbers be what it may.

## refis.

nark of paren-
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