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# PLAIN TRUTH: 

ADDRESSEDTOTHE

## INHABITANTS or AMERICA.

CONTAINING

## Remarks on a late Pamph「et,

INTITLED<br>COMMON SENSE:

Wherein are Thewn, that the Scheme of Independence is ruinous, delufive, and impracticable; that were the Author's
 nugatory, Reconciliation on liberal Principles with Grbat Britain would bipxales Phlicy; and that, circuaftanced as we are, permanent Liberty and true Happinefs can only be obtained by Reconciliation with that Kingdom.

## Written by CANDIDUS,

Will ye turn from Flattery and attend to this Side.

> There TRUTH, unlicenc'd, walks; and dares accof Even Kings themfelves, the Monarchs of the Free. Tномson on the Liberties of Britain.

> PHILADELPHIA, Printed:

Dublin, Reprinted by M. MILLS, No. 135, Capel-Streetit oppofite Absey-Street. u,dcc,zxivi.

## JOHN DICKINSON, Esq.

ALTHOUGH I have not the honour to be known to you, I am not unacquainted with your native candor and unbounded benevolence. As happy as obfcure, I am indeed a ftranger to the language of adulation: flattery I deteit ; virtue I refpect.

Be not offended, Sir, if I remark that your character is contemplated with profound veneration by the friends of the Conftitution. Thofe abilities which you fo illuftrioufly difplayed in defence of the Conititution, they now fupplicate you to exert, in faving it from impending ruin, under the Syren form of delufive Independence.

Step then forth; exert thofe talents with which heaven has endowed you; and caufe the parent and her children to embrace, and be foes no more. Arduous as this extraordinary taik may feem, perhaps your virtue and talents may yet effect it. Your endeavours to fop the effurion of blood, of torrents of blood, is worthy of your acknowledged humanity - even the honeft attemp? upon recollection, will afford you ineffable fatisfaction.

My prefuming to infcribe to you the following crude remarks is to remind you, Sir, what your diftreffed country expects, nay, loudly demands from your extenfive capacity.

I beg you will forgive this temerity; and that you may long enjoy the fruits of your exalted virtue, and remain an honour to your country, and to mankind, is the ardent wifh of,
$S I R$,
$\quad Y_{\text {our moft obedient }}$
and refpectful fervant,

CANDIDUS.

## I NTRODUCTION.

IF, indignant at the doctrine contained in the pamphlet intitled Common Senfe, I have expreffed myfelf, in the following obfervations, with fome ardour, 1 entreat the reader to impute my indignation to honeft zeal againft the author's infidious tenets. Animated and impelled by every inducement of the human heart, I love, and (if I dare fo exprefs myfelf) I adore my country. Paffionately devoted to true liberty, I glow with the pureft flame of patriotifm. Silver'd with age as I am, if I know myfelf, my humble fword fhall not be wanting to my country (if the moft honourable terms are not tendered by the Britifh nation); to whofe facred caufe I am moft fervently devoted. The judicious reader will not impute my honeft, though bold remarks, to unfriendly defigns againft my children - againft my country; but to abhorrence of independency, which, if effected, would inevitably plunge our once pre. eminently envied country into ruin, horror, and defolation.

## PLAIN TRUTH:

## CONTAINING

REMARKS ON A LATE PAMPHLET, INTITLED

## COMMONSENSE.

IHAVE now before me the pamphlet intitled Common Senfe; on which I fhall remark with freedom and candour. It may not be improper to remind my reader, that the inveftigation of my fubject demands the utmoft freedom of enquiry; I therefore intreat his indulgence, and that he will carefully remember, that intemperate zeal is as injurious to liberty, as a manly difcuffion of facts is friendly to it. "Liberty, fays the great Montefquieu, is a right of doing whatever the laws permit ; and if a citizen could do what they forbid, he would nolonger be poffeffed of liberty, becaufe all his fellow-citizens would have the fame power." In the beginning of his pamphlet the author afferts, that fociety in every ftate is a bleffing. This in the fincerity of my heart I deny; for it is fupreme mifery to be affociated with thofe who, to promote their ambitious purpofes, flagitioully pervert the ends of political fociety. I do not fay that our author is indebted to Burgh's Political Difquifitions, or to Rouffeau's Social Compact, for his definition on government, and his large tree; although I wifh he had favoured his reader with the following extract from that fublime reafoner: "To inveftigate thofe conditions of fociety which may beft anfwer the purpofe of nations, would require the abilities of fome fuperior intelligence, who thould be witnefs to all the paffions of men, but be fubject itfelf to none, who thould have no connexions with human nature, but hould have a perfect knowledge of it : a being, in fhort, whofe happinefs fhould be independent of us, and who would neverthelefs
employ itfelf about us. It is the province of God to make laws for men." With the utmof deference to the celebrated Rouffeau, I cannot indeed imagine, that laws even fo conftructed, would materially benefit our imperfeet race, unlefs Omnifcience deigned previounly to exalt our nature. The judicious reader will therefore perceive, that malevolence only is requifite to declaim againft, and arraign the moft perfect governments. Our political quack avails himfelf of this trite expedient, to cajole the people into the mof abject flavery, under the delufive name of independence. His firt indecent attack is againf the Englifh confitution, which, with all its imperfections, is, and ever will be, the pride and envy of mankind. To this panegyric involuntarily our author fubfcribes, by granting individuals to be fafer in England, than in any other part of Europe. He indeed infiduoully attributes this pre-eminent excellency to the conifitution of the people, rather than to our excellent confitution: fo fuch contemptible fubterfuge is our author reduced. I would afk him, why did not the confitution of the people afford them fuperior fafety, in the reign of Richard the third, Henry the eighth, and other tyrannic princes? Many pages might indeed be filled with encomiums beftowed on our excellent conftitution by illuftrious authors of different nations.

This beautiful fyftem (according to Montefquieu) our confitution is a compcund of monarchy, ariftocracy, and democracy. But it is cfien faid, that the fovereign, by honours and appointments, infuences the commons. The profound and elegant Hume agitating this queftion, thinks, to this circumftance, we are in part indebted for our fupreme felicity; fince, without fach controul in the wn, our confitution would immediately degenerate into democracy; a government, which, in the fequel, I hope to prove incligible. Werc' I afked marks of the beft government, and the purpofe of political fociety, I would reply, the encreafe, prefervation, and profperity of its members; in no quarter of the globe are thofe marks fo certainly to be found, as in Great Britain and her dependencies. After our author has employed feveral pages to break the mounds of fociety by debafing monarchs, he fays, "the plain truth is, that the antiquity of Englifh monarchy will not bear looking into.
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Hume, treating of the original contract, has the following melancholy, but ferifible obfervation; "yet reafon tells us, that there is no property in durable objects, fuch as lands and houfes, when carefully examined, in paffing from hand to hand, but muft in fome period have been founded on fraud ard injuftice. The neceffities of human fociety, neither in private or public life, will allow of fuch an accurate enquiry ; and there is no virtue or moral duty, but what may, with facility, be refined away, if we indulge a falfe philofophy, in fifting and fcrutinizing, by every captious rule of logic, in every light or pofition in which it may be placed."

Say, ye votaries of honour and truth, can we adduce a ftronger proof of our author's turpitude, than his quoting the anti-philofophical ftory of the Jews, to debafe monarchy and the beft of monarchs. Briefly examining the ftory of this contemptible race, more barbarous than our favages, we find their hiftory a continued fucceffion of miracles, aftonifhing our imaginations, and exercifing our faith. After wandering forty years in horrid defarts, they are chiefly condemned to perifh for their perverfenefs, although under the immediate dominion of the king of Heaven. At length they arrive in the fterile country of Paleftine, which they conquer by exterminating the inhabitants, and warring like demons. The inhabitants of the adjoining regions juftly, therefore, held them in deteftation, and the Jews finding themfelves conftantly abhorred, have ever fince hated all mankind. This people, as deftitute of arts and induftry as humanity, had not even in their language a word expreffive of education. We might indeed remind our author, who fo readily drags is the Old Teftament to fupport his finifter meafures, that we could draw from that fource many texts favourable to monarchy, were we not confcious that the Mofaiac law gives way to the gofpel difpenfation. The reader no doubt will be gratified by the following extract from a molt primitive Chriftian. "Chriftianity is a fpiritual religion, relative only to celeftial objects. The chrittian's inheritance is not of this world. He performs his duty it is true, but this he does with a profound indifference for the good or ill fuccefs of his endeavours: provided he hath nothing to reproach himfelf, it is of little confequence to him whether matters go well or ill here below. If the
ftate be in a flourifhing condition, he can hardly venture to rejoice in the public felicity, left he thould be puffed up with the inordinate pride of his country's glory. If the ftate decline, he bleffes the hand of God, that humbles his people to the dutt."

Having defined the beft government, I will humbly attempt to defcribe good kings by the following unerring rule. The beft princes are conflantly calumnitaed by the envenomed tongues and pens of the moft worthlefs of their fubjects. . For this melancholy truth do I appeal to the teftimony of impartial hiftorians, and long experience. The noble impartial hiftorian Sully, fpeaking of the almoft divine Henry the Fourth of France, fays, "Thus was this god-like prince reprefented (by the difcontented of thefe days) almoft throughout his whole kingdom, as a furious and implacable tyrant: they were never without one fet of arguments to engage his catholic nobility in a rebellion againft him; and another to fow fedition among his proteftant officers and gentry." Hume fays, that the cruel unrelenting tyrant, Philip the fecond of Spain, with his infernal inquifition, was not more detefted by the people of the Netherlands, than was the humane Charles with his inoffenfive liturgy, by his mutinous fubjects. The many unmerited infults offered to our gracious fovereign by the unprincipled Wilkes, and others down to this late author, will for ever difgrace humanity. For he fays, "that monarchy was the mof profperous invention the devil ever fet on foot for the promotion of idolatry. It is the pride of kings which throws mankind into confufion: in fhort, continues this author, monarchy and fucceflion have laid not this or that kingdom only, but the world in blood and afhes.". How deplorably wretched the condition of mankind, could they believe fuch execrable flagitious jargon! Unhappily indeed, mankind in every age are fufceptible of delufion; but furely our author's poifon carries its antidote with it. Attentive to the firit of his publication, we fancy ourfelves in the barbarous fifteenth century; in which period our author would have figured with his "Common Senfe"__and blood will attend it.

After his terrible anathema againft our venerable conftitution and monarchy, let us briefly examine a democratical ftate; and fee whether or not it is a government lefs fanguinary. This government is extremely plaufible and indeed
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indeed flattering to the pride of mankind. The demagogues therefore, to feduce the people into their criminal defigns, ever hold up democracy to them; although confcious it never did, nor ever will anfwer in practice. If we believe a great author, " there never exifted, nor ever will exift a real democracy in the world." If we examine the republics of Greece and Rome, we ever find them in a ftate of war domeflic or foreign. Our author therefore makes no mention of thefe antient ftates. When Alexander ordered all the exiles to be reftored throughout all the cities, it was found that the whole amounted to twenty thoufand, the remains probably of fill greater flaughters and maffacres. What an aftonifhing number in fo narrow a country as antient Greece? and what domeftic confufion, jealoufy, partiality, revenge, heart-burnings muft tear thofe cities, where factions were wrought up to fuch a degree of fury and defpair ?" Appian's hiftory of the civil wars of Rome contains the moft frightful picture of malfacres, profcriptions, and forfeitures, that ever were prefented to the world.

The excellent Montefquieu declares, "that a democracy fuppofes the concurrence of a number of circumftances rarely united; in the firf place, it is requifite that the ftate itfelf fhould be of fmall extent, fo that the people might be eafily affembled and per!onally known to each other : fecondly, the fimplicity of their manners fhould be fuch as to prevent a multiplicity of affairs, and perplexity in difcuffing them : and thirdly, there fhould fubfift a great degree of equality between them, in point of right and authority: laftly, there fhould be little or no luxury, for luxury muft either be the effect of wealth, or it muft make it neceffary ; it corrupts at once, both rich and poor: the one, by the poffeffion, and the other, by the want of it." To this may be added, continues the fame author, "that no government is fo fubject to civil wars and inteftine commotions, as that of the democratical or popular form; becaufe no other tends fo ftrongly and fo conftantly to alter, nor requires fo much vigilance and fortitude to preferve it from alteration. It is indeed, in fuch a conftitution particularly, that a citizen fhould always be armed with fortitude and conftancy, and fhould every day, in the fincerity of his heart, guard againft corruption, arifing either from felfifhnefs in himfelf, or in his compa-
triots; for if it once enters into public tranfactions, to root it out afterwards would be miraculous.

Our author afferts, that Holland and Swifferland are without wars domeftic and foreign. About a century ago, Holland was in a few weeks over-iun by the arms of France, and almoft miraculounly faved by the gallantry of her Prince of Orange, fo celebrated afterwards by the name of William the third. Almoft from that period, until the treaty of Utrecht, Holland was a principal in wars, the moft expenfive and bloody, ever waged by human kind: the wounds fhe then received were unhealed in 1744, when reluctantly roufed from her pacific lethargy, the was dragged into war; and lofing her impregnable Bergen-op-zoom and Maeftricht, was again on the brink of becoming a province to France, when happily liberated by the Britifh Nation. In the war of 1756, Holland continually infulted in the capture of her fhips by our cruifers, preferved a humiliating neutrality. If victory indeed had not crowned the Britifh banners, the Dutch indubitably would have affifted their natural allies, in whatever quarter of the globe attacked; for it is inconteftibly true, that the exiftence of Holland, as a ftate, depends, and invariably will depend, on the profperity of Great Britain. Since the murder of Barnevelt, and the immortal Dewits, by the deluded furious people, Holland hath too often been convulfed by a narchy, and torn by party. Unfortunately alas I for the caufe of humanity, the rugged and incult deferts of Swifferland preclude not ambition, fedition, and anarchy. Her bleak and barren mountains do not fo effectually fecure precarious liberty, as daily vending her fons to the adjoining nations, particularly to France, by whom the Thirteen Cantons could be fubjected in as many days, did that court meditate fo fenfelefs and delufive an object.Nugatory indeed, if we confider, that France derives more fubftantial advantage from the prefent ftate of Swifferland, than if the exhautted herfelf, to maintain numerous battalions to bridle the Cantons. A moment let us fuppofe, that our author's affeverations of Holland and Swifferland are as real as delufive : his inferences do not flow from his premifes; for their fuperior advantages do not arife from their popular government, but from circumftances of peculiar local felicity, obliging the princes of Europe to defend them from the omnipotent land force, if I may fo fpeak,

## PLAIN TRUTH.

feeak, of France. After impotently attacking our fovereign and the conflitution, he contradicts the voice of all mankind, by declaring, that Amcrica " would have flourifhed as much, and probably much more, had no European power taken any notice of her."

If he means, that had this continent been unexplored, the original inhabitants would have been happier, for once I agree with him. Previous to the fettlement of thefe provinces by our anceftors, the kingdom of France was convulfed by religious phrenzy. This, and Sebaftian Cabot's prior difcovery, perhaps, happily afforded the people of England an oppertunity of locating thefe provinces. At length, peace being reftored to France by her hero, Henry the Fourth, his nation in turn were feized with the rage of colonizing. Finding the Englifh claimed the provinces on the Atlantic, they appropriated the fnow bainks of Canada, which we dare not fuppofe they would have preferred to thefe fertile provinces, had not the prior occupancy and power of England interfered. I hope it will not be denied, that the notice taken of us at this time by an European power, was rather favourable for us.-Certain it is, had not England then taken nctice of us, thefe" delectable provinces would now appertain to France; and the people of New England, horrid to think, would now be counting their beads. Some years after the æra in queftion, the civil wars intervening in England, afforded to the Swedes and Dutch a footing on this continent: Charles the fecond being reftored, England reviving her claim, rendered abortive the Swedifh pretenfions, and by conqueft, and by granting Surinam to the Dutch, procured the ceffion of their ufurpation, now New-York. Ido indeed confefs my incapacity to difcern the injury fuftained by this fecond "notice taken of us by an European power," in default of which intervention, the Swedes, to this hour, would have retained their fettlement, now the famed Pennfylvania; and the Dutch, confequently, had retained theirs. Some time after this period, the people of New-Engtand were employed in framing and executing laws, fo intolerant and fanguinary, that to us they feem adapted for devils, and not men.

Indeed it is worthy of note, that the inhabitants of Jamaica, Barbadoes, and Virginia, at that very time, enacted laws, breathing the fpirit of humanity, and fuch as men.
could bear. Soon after the period in queftion arrived the great and good William Penn, with his philofophic people called Quakers, together with toleration, induftry, and permanent credit. The people of England, encouraged by the extenfion of their laws and commerce to thofe colonies, powerfully affifted our merchants and planters, infomuch, that our fettlements encreafed rapidly, and throve apace. It may be affirmed, that from this period, until the prefent unhappy hour, no part of human kind ever experienced more perfect felicity. Voltaire indeed fays, that if ever the golden age exifted, it was in Pennfylvania. France, difgufted with the unhappy fituation of her American colonies, had long meditated the conqueft of one of our middle provinces: to accomplifh this purpofe, the extended a line of forts on our frontiers, and actually fortified the place now called Pittburgh. Juftly alarmed by thefe encroachments, in the hour of our diftrefs we called aloud on Great Britain for affiftance, nor was ihe deaf to our cries. The Englifh miniftry, after in vain exhaufting all the arts of negociation, declared war againft France. After fpilling torrents of blood, after expending one hundred and ninety millions of their dollars, and four or five millions of ours, they glorioully reduced the French fettlements. Surely it will not be faid, that this laft notice taken of us by the people of England, was injurious to us? Our enemies indeed alledge, that this laft intervention by bloating us with pride, will eventually ruin us, and render the people of Britain objects of derifion, for lavihhing their blood and treafure in defence of provinces; "a match not only for Europe (according to our author) but for the world."-Our author next remarks, " that the commerce by which the hath enriched herfelf, are the neceffaries of life, and will always have a market while eating is the cuftom of Europe."

I reply, that our exporting grain is as it were of yefterday; that the recent demand was principally occafioned by the diftractions in Poland, and other parts of Europe, and probably will totally or partly fail, foon as the fertile country of Poland, and more fertile Ukraine, Thall again become cultivated. I believe the Furopeans did eat before our merchants exported our grain, and perhaps will eat when they ceafe to export it. I deny, that this momentary commerce hath enriched us; and I couid adduce number-
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lefs melancholy proofs of the contrary. I fhall only remark, that in the moft fertile and delectable wheat counry in America, bounded by Chefopeak-bay, and almoft adjoining that of Delaware, a tract of the belt wheat land, ten years ago, would hardly have exceeded a guinea and a half per acre; indeed in 1773, fuch land, covered with wood, would fcarcely have fold for four gaineas an acre; an undoubted proof of want of people, induftry and wealth; particularly fo, if we confider that one crop of corn and wheat on fuch land, judicioully cultivated, would actually repay the fuppofed price. Our author afferts, " that our prefent numbers are fufficient to repel the force of all the world ; that the Continent hath at this time the largeft difciplined army of any power under heaven; that the Englifh navy is only worth three millions and a half ferling," which, in effect, would reduce it to thirty-five fhips of the line, twenty flips of forty guns, twenty of thirty-fix, and eight of twenty guns." That if America had only a twentieth part of this force, fhe would be by far an over-match for Britain: that independence is neceffary, becaufe France and Spain cannot affift us until fuch an event." He alfo affirms, "that Great Britain cannet govern us; and that no good can arife from a reconciliation with her."

I hall humbly endeavour to fhew, that our author thame-fully mifreprefents facts, is ignorant of the true ftate of Great Britain and her Colonies, utterly unqualified for the arduous tafk he has prefumptuoufly affumed, and ardently. intent on feducing us to that precipice on which he himelf: ftands trembling. To elucidate my frictures, I muft with fidelity expofe the circumftances of Great Britain and her Colonies. If, therefore, in the energy of defcription, I unfold certain bold and honeft truths with fimplicity, the judicious reader will remember, that a true knowledge of our fituation is as effential to our fafety as ignorance thereof may endanger it. In the Englifh provinces, exclufive of negroe and other flaves, we have one hundred and fixty thoufand or one hundred and feventy thnufand men capable. of bearing arms. If we deduct the people called Quakers, Anabaptifts, and other religionifts averfe to arms, a confiderable part of the emigrants, and thofe having a grateful predilection for the ancient confitutionand parent ftate, we fhall certainly reduce the firft number to fixty or feventy thoufand men. Now, admitting thofe equal to the Roman legions,
legions, can we fuppofe them capable of defending againft the power of Britain, a country nearly twelve hundred miles extending on the ocean? Suppofe our troops affembled in New England, if the Britains fee not fit to affail them, they hafte to and defolate our other province:, which eventully would reduce New England. If, by dividing our forces, we pretend to defend our provinces, we alfo are infallibly undone. Our moft fertile provinces, filled with unnumbered domeftic enemies, flaves, interfected by navigable rivers, every where acceffible to the fleets and armies of Britain, can make no defence. If, without the medium of paffion and prejudice, we view our other provinces, half armed, deftitute of money and a navy, we muft confefs, that no power ever engaged fuch potent antagonifts under fuch peculiar circumftances of infelicity. In the better days of Rome, the permitted no regular troops to defend her. Men deftitute of property fhe admitted not into her militia (her only army). I have been extremely concerned at the feparation of the Connecticut men from our army; it augured not an ardent enthufiafm for liberty and glory. We fill have an army before Bofton, and I hould be extremely happy to hear fubftantial proofs of their glory: I am ftill hopeful of great things from our army before Bofton when joined by the regiments now forming, which want of bread will probably foon fill. Notwithftanding the predilection I have for my countrymen, I remark with grief, that hitherto our troops have difplayed but few mark of Spartan or Roman enthufiafm. In the fincerity of my heart I adjure the reader to believe, that no perfon is more fenfibly afflicted by hearing the enemies of America remark, that no general ever fell fingly and fo inglorioufly unrevenged before the inaufpicious affair of Quebec. I am under no doubt, however, that we fhall become as famed for martial courage as any nation ever the fun beheld. Sanguine as I am; refpecting the virtue and courage of my countrymen, depending on the hiftory of mankind fince the Chrittian æra, I connot however imagine, that zeal for liberty will animate to fuch glorious efforts of heroifm, as religious enthufiafm has often impelled its votaries to perform. If the cruel unrelenting tyrant Philip the fecond of Spain, had never attempted to introduce into the Low Countries the infernal Tribunal of the Inquifition, it is moft probable, that the prefent States of Holland would to this time have re-
againft red miles mbled in em, they ventully r forces, infallibly unnumavigable irmies of edium of ces, half fers, that ts under tter days end her. er militia ed at the ; it aury. We xtremeI am fill on when of bread dilection thithersartan or I adjure y afflict no ged before 0 doubt, tial couine as I trymen, Chriftian erty will ious enIf the had nesthe inle, that aave remained
mained provinces to Spain, and patiently paid the fiftieth penny and other grievous exactions. Certain it is, that the fanatics of Scotland and people of England had never armed againft the firft Charles, if religious enthufiafm had not more powerfully agitated their minds than zeal for liberty; the operations of which on the human mind hath, fince the æra in queftion, ever been more languid than the former moft powerful paffion. Thefe hardy affertions are fupported as well by notorious facts, as by the learned Hume, and other judicious hiftorians. I cannot here omit remarking the inconfiftency of human nature. The Scotch, the moft furious enthufiafts then in Europe, were flaughtered like fheep by Cromwell at Dunbar, where their formidable army hardly made any refiftance, if we except that made by a handful of loyalifts, deftitute of that paffion. Certain it is, that thofe enthufiafts were often cut in pieces by their countrymen the gallant marquis of Montrofe, whofe troops (Highlanders and other loyalifts) held Prefbyterianifm in contempt.

With the utmoft deference to the honorable Congrefs, I. do not view the moft diftant gleam of aid from foreign powers. The princes alone capable of fuccouring us are the fovereigns of France and Spain. If, according to our Author, we poffefs an eighth part of the habitable globe, and actually have a check on the Weft India commerce of England, the French indigo and other valuable Weft India commodities, and the Spanifh galeons, are in great jeopardy from our power. The French and Spaniard are therefore wretched politians, if they do not affirt England in reducing her colonies to obedience.-Pleafantry apart, can we be fo deluded to expect aid from thofe princes, which, infpiring their fubjects with a relifh for liberty, might eventually fhake their arbitrary thrones-Natural a vowed enemies to our facred caufe, will they cherifh, will they fupport the flame of liberty in America, ardently intent on extinguifhing its latent dying fparks in their refpective dominions? Can we believe, that thofe princes will offer an example fo dangerous to their fubjects and colonies, by aiding thofe provinces to independence? If independent, aggrandized by infinite numbers from every part of Europe, this continent would rapidly attain power aftonifhing to imagination. Soon, very foon, would we be conditioned to conquer Mexico, and all their Weft India fettlements, which
to annoy, or poffefs, we indeed aremoft happily fituated. Simple and obvious as thefe truths are, can they be unknown to the people and princes of Europe? Be it however admitted, that thofe princes, unmindful of the fatal policy of Richlieu's arming Charles's fubjects againt him, and the more fatal policy of Lewis the fourteenth permitting our glorious deliverer to effect the Revolution : I fay, be it admitted that thofe princes, regardlefs of future confequences and the ineptitude of the times, are really difpofed to fuccour us; fay, ye friends of liberty and mankind, would no danger accrue from an army of French and Spaniards in the bofom of Ameria? Would you not dread their junction with the Canadians and Savages, and with the numerous Roman Catholics difperfed throughout the Colonies ?

Let us now briefly view the pre-eminently envied ftate of Great Britain. If we regard the power of Britain, unembarraffed with continental connections and the political balance, we may juftly pronounce her what our author does America, "A match for all Europe." Amazing were the efforts of England in the war of Queen Ann, when little benefited by colony commerce, and ere the had availed herfelf of the courage, good fenfe, and numbers of the people of Scotland and Ireland.

That England then prefcribed laws to Europe, will be long remembered. Laft war her glory was, if poffible, more eminently exalted: in every quarter of the globe did victory hover round her armies and navies, and her fame re-echoed from pole to pole : at prefent Great Britain is the umpire of Europe. It is not exaggeration to affirm, that the Ruffians principally are indebted for the laurels to her power, which alone retained France from preventing the ruin of her ancient faithful ally the Ottoman Porte. Superfluous it were to enumerate her powerful alliances, or mention her immenfe refources : her raifing the incredible fums of eighteen, nineteen, and twenty-two millions fterling for the fervice of the years 1759,60 , and 61 , was more aftonifhing to Europe than the victories of her fleets and armies. The annual rents of the kingdom of England only, many years ago, amounted to thirty-three millions fterling. Thirty-five millions bufhels of wheat are annually produced in that kingdom, and perhaps as many bufhels of other grain. Twelve millions of fleeces of wool are there yearly fhorn. In thort, the kingdom is a perfect bee-hive
y fituated. unknown wever adal policy of $m$, and the nitting our , be it adifequences ed to fucwould no ards in the - junction numerous pries? avied ftate itain, une political ur author Amazing een Ann, ere the. and nume, will be f poffible, globe did her fame Britain is to affirm, laurels to reventing orte. Suances, or ncredible lions fterwas more fleets and gland onions fterannually bufhels of are there bee-hive
in numbers and induftry ; and is faid to contain more induftry, confequently more wealth, than all the reft of Europe. The famed Hume fays, "I thould as foon dread, that all our rivers and fprings fhould be exhaufted, as that money fhould abandon a kingdom, where there are people and induftry." The Britifh navy, at the clofe of the lart war, confifted of nearly two hundred thips of the line, and one hundred large frigates, and about one hundred fmaller frigates, or other armed veffels. Since the peace, I believe, the navy has been moft vigilantly preferved by lord Sandwich, (faid to be as equal to that arduous department as any man in Europe). Since the war, feveral capital fhips have annually been built ; and it is moft certain, that on fix months notice Great Britain could equip fleets, fufficiently formidable, to contend with all the naval force that could or would act againft her. The immenfe quantity of naval and other ftores, in the different arfenals, with the royal navy *, cannot at this time be worth lefs than twenty millions fterling. The ifland of Great Britain, between fix and feven hundred miles in length, and upwards of two thoufand miles in circumference, and being every where indented with harbours, forms (with other caufes) fuch nurferies of feamen as the world cannot produce.

Let us now examine our author's account of the navy of Great Britain. "It is; fays he, worth no more than three millions and a half fterling." This in effect will reduce it to ten fecond-rate fhips of war, ten third-rate, fifteen fourth-rate, ten thips of forty guns, ten of thirty-fix, and eight of twenty. "If America, fays he, had only a twentieth part of the naval force of Britain, fhe would be by far an over-match for her; becaufe, as we neither have or claim any foreign dominion, our whole force would be employed on our own coaft; where we fhould in the long-run have two to one the advantage of thofe who had three or four thoufand miles to fail over before they could attack us, and the fame diftance to return, in order to refit and recruit. And although Britain by her fleet hath a check over our trade to Europe, we have as large a one over her trade to the Weft Indies, which, by laying in the neighbourhood of the Continent, lies entirely at its mercy."

Were

[^0]Were it lawful to joke on fo ferious an occafion, 1 would remind the reader of our author's modefty, in faying, " that we claim no foreign dominion;" fince we have the moft numerous and beft difciplined army under the Heaven, and a navy fufficiently frong to combat that of Great Britain; for our prefent naval armament compoie a fleet more than equal to a twentieth part of the Britifn navy (according to our author's eftimation.) Notwithftandirg cur author's delicacy, relying on the well-known utility of melaffes to the New England governments, I hope they will order admiral Manly to feize Jamaica and the other Weft India iflands. The admiral cannot be at a lofs for men; fince, according to our author, " a few focial failors will foon inftruct a fufficient number of active land-men in the common work of a thip." I do indeed confefs, that the Britifh hips of war are conftantly equipt altogether with very focial failors; and as conftantly drub the French fhips, double mann'd with active land-men; tho' fufficiently inftructed by a few focial failors. -The reader will perceive, that our author has humbled the naval power of Britain with more facility than France and Spain could have done; and has alfo expelled her from our ports with happier fuccefs than did Spain, who was compelled to yield her Gibraltar and Portmaton for the conveniency of her fleets and commerce.

We muft indeed allow, that Spain, tho' poffeffed of Mexico and Peru, cannot maintain the moft numerous and beft difciplined army under heaven, nor equip a navy fit to contend with the fleets of Britain. It muft alfo be confeffed, that he makes Great Britain very favourably difpofe of her humbled navy, by employing nineteen parts of it in the Mediterarean, Afia, Africa, and I know not where; when he knows we have fo great a check on her Weft India trade, a commerce of the laft importance to her.

I would blufh for poor human nature, did I imagine that any man, other than a bigot, could believe thefe ridiculous ftories, thefe arrant gafconades, refpecting our numerous and beft difciplined army under heaven, about our navy, and a few focial failors, and that France and Spain will not affift us (who by-the-by, according to our author, are able to conquer them) until playing upon words, we
occafion, lefty, in faynce we have y under the mbat that of it compoie a the Britifn
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1 imagine that ve thefe ridicuting our numeven, about our ance and Spain g to our author, son words, we declare
declare ourfelves independent. Can a reafonable being for a moment believe that Great Britain, whofe political exiftence depends on our conftitutional obedience, who but yefterday made fuch prodigious efforts to fave us from France, will not exert herfelf as powerfully to preferve us from our frantic fchemes of independency? Can we a moment doubt, that the fovereign of Great Britain and his minifters, whofe glory as well as perfonal fafety depends on our obedience, will not exert cvery nerve of the Britifh power to fave themfelves and us from ruin?
" Much, fays our author, has been faid of the ftrength of Britain and the Colonies, that in conjunction they might bid defiance to the world; but this is mere prefumption; the fate of war is uncertain."

Excellent reafoning, and truly confiftent with our author! We of ourfelves are a match for Europe, nay for the world; but in junction with the moft formidable power on earth, why then the matter is mere prefumption; the fate of war is uncertain. It is indeed humiliating to confider that this author fhould vamp up a form of government for a confiderable part of mankind; and in cafe of its fucceeding, that he probably would be one of our tyrants, until we prayed fome more illuftrious tyrant of the army to fpurn him to his primevil obfcurity; from all his ill-got honours flung, turned to that dirt from whence he fprung. "A government of our own is our natural right," fays our author. "Had right decided, and not fate the caufe, Rome had preferved her Cato and her laws." Unfortunatcly for mankind, thofe are fine founding words, which feldom or ever influence human affairs; if they did, inftead of appropriating the vacant lands to fchemes of ambition, we mult inftantly deputife envoys to the Indians, praying them to re-enter their former poffeffions, and permit us quietly to depart to the country of our anceftors, where we would be welcome guefts. But, continues our author, "what have we to do with fetting the world at defiance? Our plan is commerce, and that well attended to, will fecure us the peace and friendihip of all Europe; becaufe it is the intereft of all Europe to have America a free port; her trade will always be her protection, and her barrennefs of gold and filver will fecure her from invaders."
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I am perfectly fatisfied, that we are in no condition to fet the world at defiance, that commerce and the protection of Great Britain will fecure us peace, and the friendThip of all Europe : but I deny, that it is the intereft of all Europe to have America a free port, unlefs they are defirous of depopulating their dominions. His affertions, that barrennefs of gold and filver will fecure us from invaders, is indeed highly pleafant : have we not a much better fecurity from invafions? viz. the moft numerous and beft difciplined army under heaven ; or has our author already'difbanded them? Pray how much gold and filver do the mines of Flanders produce? and what country fo often has feen its unhappy fields drenched with blood, and fertilized with human gore? The princes of Europe have long dreaded the emigration of their fubjects to America; and we are fenfible, that the king of Pruffia is faid more than once to have hanged newlanders, or thofe who feduced his fubjects to emigrate. I alfo humbly apprehend, that Britain is a part of Europe. Now, old gentleman, as you have clearly fhewn, that we have a check upon her Weft India trade, is it her intereft to give us a greater check upon it, by permitting America (as you exprefs it) to become a free port ? can we fuppofe it to be her intereft to lofe her valuable commerce to the Colonies, which effectually fhe would do, by giving up America to become your free port? if therefore it is the intereft of all Europe to have America a free port, the people of Britain are extremely fimple to expend fo many millions fterling to prevent it. "It is repugnant to the nature of things, to all examples from former ages, to fuppofe that this Continent can long remain fubject to any external power.

Antiquity affords us no ecclairciffement refpecting the futuie government of Ame-ica. Rome, fituated in a fteril corner of Italy, long, long retained the then world in chains, and probably had maintained her dominion longer, had not the Crofs, removing the empire to Byzantium, weakened the eagles, and in turn juftly been deftroyed by the Barbarians. I fee no reafon to doubt, that Great Britain may not long retain us in conftitutional obedience. Time, the deftroyer of human affairs, may indeed end her political life by a gentle decay; like Rome, the may be conftrained to defend herfelf from the Huns and Alaricks
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of the north. Ingratefully thould we endeavour to precipitate her political demife, the will devife every expedient to retain our obedience, and rather than fail, will participate thofe provinces among the potent flates of Lurope. " The authority of Great Britain over this continent is a form of government which fooner or later muft have an end."

This I have granted; and I add, that a million of revolutions may happen on this continent, for every one of which I am not indeed fo over folicitous as our Phœenix of whims, the author of Common Senfe. - The Colonies have manifefted fuch a fpirit of good order and obedience to continental government, as is fufficient to make every perfon happy on that head."

What is this union fo highly vaunted of? whence the marching and counter-marching through almoft every province to difarm thofe denominated tories ?-1 perfectly agree, that glorious is our union-I execrate thofe who fay, it has been cemented by every fpecies of fraud and violence; yet notwithftanding I dread its tragility, were an army of Britons in the middle of our country. As the author of Common Senfe is now in the grand monde, and cannot be acquainted with the language of many people in the provinces, I will communicate the general purport of their difcourfe.-"We, fay they, do not fee through the wifdom of the prefent times: We remember with unfeigned gratitude the many benefits derived through our connections with Great Britain, by whom but yefterday we were emancipated from llavery and death. We are not indeed unaware, that Great Britain is uniformly reproached with defending us from interefted motives. In like manner, however, may every ingrate reproach his benefa\∨ fince all benefactions may be faid to flow from no purer fountain. With predilection we view our parent ftate, and wifhfully contemplate on our late felicity, almoft realizing that ftate of old, fo beautifully feigned by the poets. We venerate the conftitution, which with all its imperfections (too often exaggerated) we apprehend almoft approaches as near to perfection as human kind can bear. We fhudder at the idea of arming with more virulence, more unremitting ardour, againft the parent fate than againft France; by whom our rights, civil as well as religious, certainly were more imminently endangered. With horror
we refled on the former civil wars, when every crime, odious and banefu! to human nature, were alternately perpetrated by the foldiers, particularly by the independents."
"Every quiet method of peace has been ineffectual; our prayers have been rejected with difdain:" I do not indeed agree with the people of England in faying, that thofe who fo fuccefffully laboured to widen the breach, defired nothing lefs than peace. That they who fhotly were to command the moft numerous and beft difeiplined army under heaven, and a navy fit to contend with the fleets of England, inagining the time had found us, difdained to be juft. I highly venerate a majority of the Delegates: I have not indeed the honour of knowing all the worthy members; however, I wifh the gentlemen of the Congrefs, cre'they entered on their important charge, had been better acquainted with the flrength of our friends in parliament. I fucerely lament that the King did not receive the laft excellent petition from the Congrefs; and I as fincercly wifh that the genilemen of the Congrefs had not addreffed themielves, at that juncture, to the people of Ireland. "As to government matters," (contimues our author) " it is not in the power of Britain to do this continent juf:ce: the bufinefs of it will. foon be too weighty and intricate to be managed with any tolerable degree of convenience by a power io very diftant from us, and fo very ignorant of us; for if they cannot conquer us, they cannot govern us. The difference between Pennfylvania and Connecticut, refpecting fome unlocated lands, fhews the infignificance of a Britifh government, and fully proves, that nothing but continental authority can regulate continental matters."

Until the prefent unhappy period, Great Britain has afforded to all mankind the moft perfect proof of her wife, lenient, and magnanimous government of the Coloniesthe proofs to which we have already alluded, viz. our fupreme felicity and amazing increafe. Than the affair of the Connedicut invaders, Omnipotence only could grant us ftronger reafons for praying a continuance of our former benificent government. Moft certainly every difpaffionate perfon, as well as the plundered Pennfylvanians, muft confefs, that the arm of Great Britain alone detained thofe free-booters aforefaid from feizing the city of Philadelphia, to which without all doubt they have as juft a
claim as to thofe fertile regions in Pennfylvania which they furreptitioufly have poffeffed themfelves of. In wrath to mankind, thould heaven permit our author's new-fangled government to exift, I, as a friend to Pennfylvanians, ad. vife them to explore new fettlements, and avoid the cruel mortification of being expelled by the Saints from their delicious abodes and pleafing fields.- "But (fays the author) the moft powerful argument is, that nothing but independence (that is, a continental form of government) can keep the peace of the continent, and preferve it inviolate from civil wars. I dread the event of a reconciliation now with Britain, as it is more than probable it will be followed by revolt fomewhere; the confequences of which may be far more fatal than all the malice of Britain. Thoufands are already ruined by Britifh barbarity, thoufands more will probably thare the fame fate. Thefe men have other feelings than thofe who have nothing fuffered; all they now poffefs is liberty; what they before enjoyed is facrificed to its fervice, and having nothing more to lofe, they difdain all fubmiffion."

Here we cannot miftake our author's meanirg, that if one or more of the middle or fouthern Colonies reconcile with Great Britain, they will have war to fuftain with New England, "the confequences of which may be more detrimental than all the malice of Britain." This terrible denunciation, fortunately for fuch Colonies, is as futile as its muthor. Should Great Britain re-eftablith her authority in the faid Colonies by negociation, furely it is not temerity to add, that the weight of Britain, in the fcales of thofe provinces, would preponderate againft the power of New England. If Britain fhould reduce the Colonies by arms (which may heaven avert!) the New England provinces will have as little inclination as ability to difturb the peace of their neighbours. I do indeed moft fincerely compaffionate thofe unhappy men who are ruined by our unfortunaté diftractions. I do fervently pray, that Britain and the Colonies may moft effectually confider their peculiar infelicity : fuch attention will do infinite honour to the parent ftate, who cannot view them as enemies, but as men unhappily irritated by the impolitic meafures of Gieat Britain. "The diminution of trade affords an army, and the neceffities of an army create a new trade," (fo fays our author). I am furprized the miniftry, fo often reproached
with ruining the commerce of Britain, never urged (what was never thought or faid before) our author's excellent axiom, "t that the diminution, \&c." Certain it is, the minority had replied, fince the commencement of this century, the diminution of the commerce of France hath afforded her nearly one million of foldiers; but the neceffities of this prodigious number of troops created her fo bad a commerce, that the hath twice proved bankrupt fince, and more than once experienced the miferies of famine.
" If premiuans (fays our author) were to be given to merchants to build and employ in their fervice fhips mounted with $20,30,40$, or 50 guns, the premiums to be in proportion to the lofs of bulk to the merchants; fifty or fixty of thofe fhips, with a few guardfhips on conftant duty, would keep up a fufficient navy, and that without burdening ourfelves with the evil fo loudly complained of in England, of fuffering their fleets in time of peace to lie rotting in their docks."-Yield the palm of ingenuity to our author, ye De Wits, Colberts, Pelhams, and Pitts. He hath outdone ye by conffructing a beautiful navy, alas! on paper only. Firft, no nation in Europe depends on fuch thips for her defence. Secondly, fuch thips would be unfit to contend with capital fhips. Thirdly, in the hour of danger, thefe fhips on their voyage or return would alternately be taken by an active enemy. Laftly, fix times as many fuch hips would be unequally matched with that part of the naval power of Great Britain, which the actually could fare to combat on our coafts. This cannot be thought exaggeration, if we confider that the Britifh navy, laft war, carried about feventeen thoufand guns, and upwards of ninety-five thoufand focial feamen. "No country (fays our author) is fo happily fituated, or internally capable of raifing a fleet as America. Tar, timber, iron, and cordage, are her natural produce." He fpeaks of forming a fleet as if he could do it by his fiat. A third rate mip of the line fitted for fea is allowed to coft 74,000 . Aterling, which at the prefent exchange is about 129,0001. Now as labour, fail cloth, cordage, and other requifites are dearer than in Europe, we may reafonably fuppofe the advanced price at twenty-five per cent. which makes the amount $154,000 \mathrm{l}$. We mult next fuppofe our navy equal to that of France, which conlifts of fixty-four
arged (what r's excellent in $: t$ is, the ent of this France hath ; but the created her ed bankrupt miferies of
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thips of the line (fifty gun fhips inclufive) twenty-five frigates, with thips of inferior force. In cafe of independence, we cannot admit a fmaller naval force. Indeed, when joined to the fleets of France and Spain, the navies fo united, and navigated principally by landfmen, inftructed by a few focial failors, will be vaftly inferior to the fquadrons of Britain. The amount therefore of fuch a navy will only require the trifing fum of $12,625,000$. currency, which I am very unwilling to believe we can fpare, being fcarcely one fourth the value of our property real and perfonal. With excellent management, our navy would laft eight, nine, or ten years: we therefore would find it extremely convenient to rebuild it conflantly at the expiration of that term : of this there cannot be a doubt, when we remember with our author, "that fhip-building is America's greatelt pride. 'I'he vait empire of Ruffia is almolt fhut out from the fea, wherefore her boundlefs forefts, her tar, iron, and cordage, are only articles of commerce." I reply, that Ruffia containing ten times our numbers, is deftitute of induftry and commerce. She has ports fufficient to build and contain a navy to fubdue the world. Deftitute, as we have remarked, of induftry and commerce, her navy is* inconfiderable; and being equipt with landfmen, cannot figure againt thips navigated by focial failors. Who can doubt the ability of Spain to build a navy as formidable as that permitted to Great Britain (by the author of Common Senfe)? In her ifland of Cuba, poffefled of an immenfity of fine cedar, fhe might conftruct a navy as formidable as that of Great Britain, but to what purpofe, other than to adorn the triumph of her enemies; unlefs the could arm her thips otherwife than by active landfmen, inftructed by a few focial failors. Our author fays, " that the Terrible, Capt. Death, ftood the hotteft engagement of any fhip laft war, yet had not twenty failors on board," (ihough her complement of men was upwards of two hundred).

We do indeed confefs ourfelves doubtful on this head, and therefore with our author had produced his authority. We do apprehend, that naval actions very generally depend on feaman-fhip, that is, on dextroufly working the thip during the combat. Now the judicious reader will remember, that thips of war in engagement cannot be navigated by a few focial failors, nor even by a bare comB 3 petency, petency, uplefs fuch failors are more invuinerable than was the great Achilles.
"' Were the continent (fays our author) crowded with inhabitants, her fufferings under the prefent circumiftances would be intolerable, the more fea ports we had, the more we fhould have both to defend, and to lofe." This is rather incomprehenfible; I cannot imagine, that we would be iefs formidable with ten times our prefent numbers; if at prefent we can defend one fea-port, furely, with ten times as many inhabitants, we could equally defend ten. If with our prefent numbers, we are a match for the world; confequently with ten times as many, we would be a match for ten worlds, which would indeed be prodigious ! "The infant ftate of the Colonies, as it is called, fo far from being againft, is an argument in favour of independence.? This affertion is as abfurd, as if he had maintained, that twenty is inferior in number to two. "But the injuries and difadvantages we fuftain by that connexion, are without number, and our duty to mankind at large, as well as to ourfelves, inftruct us to renounce the alliance. Becaufe any fubmiffion to, or dependence upon Great Briain, tends directly to involve this continent in European wars and quarrels. As Europe is our market for trade, we ought to form no political connexion with any part of it." Innumerable are the advantages of our connexion with Britain; and a juft dependence on her is a fure way to avoid the horrors and calamities of war. Wars in Europe will probably than heretofore become lefs frequent; religious rancour, which formerly animated princes to arms, is fucceeded by a fpirit of philofophy extremely friendly to peace. The princes of Europe are or ought to be convinced by fad experience, that the objects of conqueft are vaftly inadequate to the immenfe charge of their armaments. Prudential motives, therefore, in future will often dichate negociation inflead of war. Be it, however, admitted that our fpeculations are nugatory, and that, as ufual, we are involved in war; in this cafe we really do not participate a twentieth part of the miferies and hardhips of war experienced by the other fubjects of the empire. As future wars will probably be carried on by Britain in her proper element, her fuccefs will hardly be doubtful; nor can this be thought audacity, if we remember the great things effected by Britain in her naval wars, then fecondary objects
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to her Germanic connexions, to which the now politically feems indifferent. Our failors navigating our veffels to the Weft Indies during war, are exempted from impreffinent; and if our trade to any part of Europe is then ftagnated, it flows with uncommon rapidity in the Weft Indies; nor is the object of captures inconfiderable.

Our author furely forgets, that when independent, we cannot trade with Europe, without political connexions, and that all treaties made by England or other commencial ftates are, or ought to be, ultimately fubfervient to their commerce. "But (fays our author) admitting that matters were now made up what would be the event? I anfwer, the ruin of the continent, and that for feveral reafons." Reconciliation would conduct us to our former happy ftate. The happinefs of the governed is without doubt the trueintereft of the governors; and if we aim not at independence, there rannot be a doubt of receiving every advantage relative to laws and commerce that we can defire, Montefquieu fpeaking of the people of. England fays, "They: know better than, any people on earth, how to value at. the fame time thefe three great advantages, religion, liber$t y$, and commerce." "It is a matter worthy of obfervation, that the more a country is peopled, the fmaller their armies are.". This indeed would be worthy of obfervation, did not daily experience controvert it. The armies of Ruflia, France, Auftria, England, and Pruffia, are certainly more numerous than thofe of Spain, Sweden, Denmark, Portugal, and Sardinia. Now, the firft five ftates contain nearly fixty millions, and the laft kingdoms do not contain fourteen millions of people. "In military numbers, the ancients far exceeded the moderns, and the reafon is evident, for trade being the confequences of population, men become too much abforbed thereby to attend to any thing elfe; commerce diminifhes the fprit both of patrintifm, and military defence."

Livery man of fenfe now rejects the fabulous numbers of the army of Xerxes, and other fabled armies of antiquity. The ancient armies did not exceed in numbers. the armies of the moderns. If fo, their ftates had been defolated by the horrid carnage of their battles, arifing from the military fpirit of defence, from the nature of their arms, and the arrangement of their armics, which permitted the combatants to buckle together, who feldom gave quarter. The

Roman armies never exceeded twenty-five legions, which, including auxiliaries, did not exceed two hundred and fifty thoufand, a number greatly inferior to the armies of France or perhaps Britain during war. Notwithftanding my ardour fop liberty, I do moft fervently pray, that we may never exchange the Spirit of commerce for that of military defence, even at the price of augmenting our armies. Let us hear the teftimony of Montefquieu in favour of commerce: "Commerce, fays he, is a cure tor the mofl deftructive prejudices; for it is almoft a general rule, that wherever we find agreeable manners, there commerce flourifhes. Let us not be aftonịhed then, if our manners are now lefs favage than formerly. Commerce has every where diffufed a knowledge of all nations; thefe are compared one with another, and from this comparilon arife the greateft advantages. Peace is the natural effect of trade, \&c." The Athenian people. perhaps the moft refpectable of antiquity, did not long poffefs a commercial fpirit, but were almoft continually afflicted by this fpirit of military defence. The common people in effect diftributed the public revenues amongf themfelves, while the rich were in a ftate of oppreffion. According to Lyfius the orator, and others, it was their cuftom, when in want of Money, to put to death fome of the rich citizens, as well as ftrangers, for the fake of the forfeiture. In fhort, could we enumerate the infinite train of misfortunes inflicted on mankind in every clime and age by this felf-fame fpirit of military defence, our readers would furely join us in opinion, that commerce has moft happily humanized mankind. I am not unaware, that there are many declamations againft commerce; thefe I have ever regarded as trials of wit, rather than ferious productions. Cur author's antipathy, and extreme averfion to commerce, is eafily accounted for. If his independence takes place, I do aver, that commerce will be as ufelefs as our fearching for the philofopher's fone. "And hiftory (fays he) fufficiently informs us, that the braveft atchievements were always accomplifhed in the non-age of a nation." The Greeks in their early ftate were pirates, and the Romans robbers, and both warred in character. Their glorious actions were performed (if I may fo exprefs myfelf) in the manhood of their empire. Carthage, Greece, Afra, Spain, Gaul, and Britain, were not indeed conquered during the non-age of the republic. Agincourt, Crefly,
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Creffy, Oudenard, Ramilies, Blenheim, Dettingen, and Minden, furely were not fought in the infancy of the Englifh empire. "With the encreafe of commerce, England has loft her fpirit." This is really a curious difcovery. Who is unacquainted, that the Englifh are the lords and factors of the univerfe, and that Britain joins to the commerce of Tyre, Carthage, and Venice, the difcipline of Greece, and the fire of old Rome ? "The city of London, fubmits to continued infults, with the patience of a coward. The more men have to lofe, the lefs willing they are to venture, and fubmit to courily power with the trembling duplicity of a \{paniel." That an inconfiderable part of the people in London fubmit to a perion not very honourably diftinguifhed in the world is certain; but that the city of London fubmits to continued infults is certainly a miftake. I fuppofe our author means, that by fubmitting to the beft laws on earth, they fubmit to continued infults. The rich, whom he fo very honourably diftinguifhes, can be at no lofs for his meaning. An agrarian law would perhaps be convenient for himfelf and his independents. It may not however be amifs to remind him of that, which in the multiplicity of his projects he may have forgot, viz. that the richeft part of the community will always be an over-match for the pooreft part. " It may be difficult (fays our author) if not impoffible, to form this continent into a government half a century hence."

Here I humbly apprehend our author's meaning is truly confpicuous. This Contineiti, fifty years hence, infallibly will be richer and much better peopled than at prefent; confequently abler to affect a revolution. But, alas ! ere that period, our author will be forgotten: impelled therefore by his villainous ambition, he would rather precipitate his country into every fpecies of horror, mifery, and defolation, than forego his fancied protectorfhip. "But if you have (fays our author) and ftill can thake hands with the murderers, then are ye unworthy the name of huband, father, friend, or lover; and, whatever may be your rank or title in life, you have the heart of a coward and the fpirit of a fycophant, \&c. To talk of friendhip with thofe in whom our reafon forbids us to have faith, and our affections wounded through a thoufand pores inftructs us to deteft, is madnefs and folly."

Ye that are not drunk with fanaticifm anfwer me. Are thefe words dietated by peace, or bafe foul revenge, the confant attendant on cowards and fycophants? Dues our author, fo perfectly verfed in frripture, mean to conduct us. to peace or defolation? Or is he fit to legillate for men or devils? Nations after defolating each other (happily for mankind) forgive, forget, and reconcile; like individuals who quarrel, reconcile, and become friends. Following the haudable example of the Congrefs, we lately have moft readily ©haken hands with our inveterate enemies the Canadrans, who have fcalped nearly as many of our people as the Britifh troops have done: Why theretore may we not forgive and reconcile ?-By no means : it blafts our author's ambitious purpofes. The Englifh and Scotch, fince the firft Edward's time, have alternately flaughtered each other (in the field of Bannockburn more men fell than are now in the New England provinces) to the amount of feveral hundred thoufands and now view each, other as fubjects; defpifing the efforts of certain turbulent fpirits, tending to rekindle the ancient animofity. Many of the unhappy men, criminally engaged with the Pretender, reconciled by humane. treatment to that family againf whom they rebelled, ferved: in their armies a few years after. Indeed the conduat of the: Canadians to our troops as effequally illuftrates our doctrine as it reprobates the anti-chriftian diabolical tenets of owr Author.-" The unwarrantable ftretch likewife which that houfe made in their laft fitting, to gain an undue authority, over the Delegates of that province, ought to warn the people at large, how they truft power out of their own hands. A fet of inftructions for the Delegates were put together, which, in point of fenfe and bufinefs, would have. difhonoured a fchool-boy, and after being approved by a few, a very few, without doors, were carried into the houfe, and there paffed in. behalf of the whole Colony. Whereas, did the whole Colony know with what ill will that houre hath entered on fome neceffary meafures, they would not hefitate a moment to think them unworthy of fuch a truft." This very infidious. charge we cannot read without indignation. It the Pennfylvanians had happily adhered to their virtuous refol:ec, it is more than probable, that a conftitutional reconciliation had ere now taken place. Unfortunately refcinding their opinions, they perhaps adopted the fentiments of certain perfons, by no means fuperior in virtue and knowledge. Thofe not inebri-
ated with independency will certainly allow, that the infiructions to their Delegates. were dictated by the true fpirit of. peace, juftice, and exalted policy. If infpiration hat dictated thofe refolves, obnoxigus as they are ta: indepenr. dency, our author had reprobated them. How daze the author of Common Senfe fay, " that they attempted to gain an undue authority over the delegates of their province? ? Who fo proper to inftruct them as thofe chofen by the people? Not in the hour of pafion, riot, and confufion, but in the day: of peace and tranquil reflection. The gentleman whom ous author impotently attacks in this and other innuendos, will be long revered by his grateful countrymen and the fiends of mankind, as well for his true patrotifm and extenfive abilities as his unbounded benevolence. Wculd we profit by the unhappy examples of our anceftors (which, alas if mankind too. feldom do) let us remember the fate of thofe illuftrious patriots of the firf Charles's time : allied at firit with the independants, they did not fufpect thofe execrable hypocrites of the horrid defign of deftroying the ling and conflitution: when they faw through their abo* minable views, it was too late to fave the king and kingdom; for the independants had feized the fovereignty. Soon as they were firmly poffeffed of power, they pertecuted thofa illuftrious patriots with more unrelenting virulence than the profeffed advocates of arbitrary power. Every virtuoue Pennfylvanian mult be fired with indignation at the infidioue attack made by this independent on the refpectable affembly, of his province. Indeed the affembly of Pennfyluania in this unworthy treatment have a fure earneft of their future ex-pectations.-"It is the cuftom of nations (fays our authon) when any two are at war, for fome other powers, not enm gaged in the quarrel, to ftep in as mediators, and bring about the preliminaries of a peace. But while America calls. herfelf the fubjegt of Britain, no power, however well dif. pofed the nay be, can offer her mediation: wherefore, is our prefent ftate, we may quarrel on for ever."

Nations, like individuals, in the hour of paffion attend to. no mediation; but when heartily drubbed, and tired of war, are very readily reconciled, without the intervention of mediators; by whom belligerents were never reconciled: until their interefts or paffions dictated the pacification. If: we may ufe our author's elegant language, mediation is. "f farcical." I grant, however, that the idea of our forcing.

England

England by arnise to treat with us is brilliant. "It is unrea* fonable (continues our author) to fuppofe, that France and Spain will give us any kind of affittance, if we mean only to make ufe ${ }^{6}$ of that affiftance for the purpofe of repairing the breach, and ftrengthening the connection between Britain and America; becaufe thofe powers would be fufferers: by the confequences."
Confidering "we have the moft numerous and beft dif. ciplined army under heaven, and a fleet fit to contend with the navy of Britain," we muft fuppofe our author's brain affected by dwelling conftantly on his beloved independency, elfe he would not have the imbecility to require the affiftance of France and Spain. The manner of his prevailing on France and Spain to affift us is alfo' a ftrong proof of his. infanity? Did thofe powers hefitate to fuccour the Scotch rebels in 1745 , becaufe they did not declare themfelves independent? It then was their intereft to create a diverfion, alas! too ferious in the fequel for the deluded rebels in that kingdom: and were they now interefted in aiding us, they undoubtedly would do it in fpite of quibbles. In fuch cafe, ere this time their armies and navies had joined us without interruption: for we mult confefs, that the efforts of Britain hitherto would not have precluded the republic of Genoa from aiding us. Suppofe our author had a fon, or an apprentice, eloped to his intimate acquaintance, and defired to enter into his fervice. If this perfon replied to the youth, I know your apprenticefhip is unexpired; notwithftanding, declare yourfelf a free man, and I will hire and protect you. I demand, would fuch odious, ridiculous duplicity render our fuppofed perfon lefs criminal in the eyes of our author, or render the example lefs dangerous to his own apprentice? "Were a manifefto (fays our author) difpatched to foreign courts, \&c." This alfo is a conclufive proof of our author's maniacum delirium. Our Author, "challenges the warmeft advocate for reconciliation to thew a fingle advantage this continent can reap by being connected with GreatBritain. I repeat the challenge. Not a fingle advantage is derived : our corn will fetch its price in any market in Europe." Were the author's affertions, refpecting our power, as real as delufive, a reconciliation on liberal principles with Great Britain would be moft excellent policy. I wave fimilarity of manners, laws, and cuifoms, moft friendly indeed to perpetual alliance. The greatef part of our plank,
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plank; ftaves, fhingles, hnops, corn, beef;" ${ }^{\text {" }}$ ork, herrings, and many other articles; could find no vent but in the Englifh iflands: the demand for our flour would alfo be confiderably leffened. The Spaniards have no demand for thefe articles, and the French little or none. Britain would be a principal mart for our lumber, pari four grain, naval ftores, tobacco, and many other articles, which perhaps are not generally wanted in any kingdom in Europe. If it is fuggefted, that the Englifh iflands, impelled by neceffity, would trade with us, 1 reply, that it is not uncommon to fee Englifh flour for fale in thofe iflands, as our merchants have more than once found to their coft. Since 1750 flour hath fold in the iflands at ten and twelve per cent. the price being reduced by flour from England.

Britain is alfo better calculated to fupply us with woollen goods, and other neceffary articles, than any kingdom in Europe. Should a feparation enfue, Britain will open an extenfive commerce to the Baltick and Ruffia for all, or many, of the commodities fhe now receives from us; the Ruffians, fince their laft glorious treaty with the Port, can now export the commodities of their moft fertile Ukraine through the Mediterranean; until that period they were conftrained to carry their hemp eight or nine hundred miles to the Baltick; whence, by a long and dangerous navigation, it reached the different ports in the Atlantic. I need not inform the reader that fuch immenfe land carriage precluded the fubjects of Ruflia from raifing wheat, which generally fold in the Ukraine for ten-pence per bufhel, as did rye at five-pence in that extenfive region, than which no country on earth is more happily adapted for that grain: the Britifh nation, pre-eminently diftinguifhed for induftry and enterprize, will eftablifh factories in the provinces of Ruffia, and animate thofe people to emulate our productions, which they will tranfport by the Mediterranean to the ports of Europe and the Weft Indies.- By thefe means, and the culture of Poland, our grain would probably be reduced to its priftine price, two fhillings and fix-pence. As our author is fo violently bent againft reconciliation, he muft either fuppofe a conftant war with the incenfed power of England, or admit that he is a proper inhabitant of the domains of Ariofto (the world in the moon); now, admitting " we have the moft numerous and beft difciplined
army under heaven, and a navy formidable fot that of England;" pray what are our refoutces to pay fach confiderable armament? although 1 do not wifh to mortify my countrymen, I muft acknowledge, that the neat proceeds of all cur produce is inadequate to that end: our author altows "that we have a confiderable check on the Weft India commerce of Britain, and that Great Britain has a confiderable check upon our European trade."
In cafe Great Britain infults, therefore, our European bound fhips, we have only to order our admirals to feize their Weft Indiamen. Unfortumately, the Algerines and other piratical ftates of Africa have no Weft-India commerce; and not having the cleareft diftinetions of thine and mine, will be apt to feize our veffels. Our author affirms, "that our trade will always be our protection." I therefore crave his pardon, and fhall believe, that the fight of our grain, and fmell of the New Eryland codfift, will effectually ferve as a Mediterranean pafs to the piratical tovers. I do humbly confefs my fufpicions, leaft Portugal, extremely dependant on Great Britain, may not infult us. When independent; we no doubt will receive frong proofs of friendhip from France and Spain ; neverthelefs, with the utmof humility I imagine, could we feize Gibraltar or Portmahon, and there ftation a formidable fquadron of capital thips, we wight as effeetually proted our commerce, as our trade will proted us: the author of Common Senfe confidently affirms, "that our trade will always be its protection." I cannot imagine that his purfe or watch would effectually protect him on Hounflow or Blackheath from footpads or highwaymen. Hitherto we have treated of reconciliation on the principles of our being as potent as Great Britain. Let us now confider our army nearly as I have ftated it, and our navy as an object by no means fublunary. It now behoves us well to confider, whether it were better to enter the harbour of peace with Great Britain, or plunge the fhip into all the horrors of war-of civil war. As peace and a happy extenfion of commerce are objects infinitely better for Great Britain, than war and a diminution of her commerce, it therefore is her intereft to grant us every fpecies of indulgence, coninftent with our conftitutional dependence; fhould war continue, there can be no doubt of the annihilation annihilation of our fhips, ports, a. d cummerce by Great Britain. The king's Sllips now in New Eng ind unhappily are more than fufficient to ruin the ports ad com erice of thefe provinces. New York is already fecured; and I Should be extremely grieved to hear that a fmall art ament were deftined againft Philadelphia. In the opinion of the beft officers of the navy, Philadelphia is acceffible to a few forty and fifty gun flips, in defpite of our temporary expedients to fortify the river Delaware. If fuch opinion is groundlefs, the miniftry by their imbecility have befriended us, fince by guarding the river Delaware with a few frigates only, they had precluded us from arming our veffels and ftrengthening the river Delaware. I would remind our author of the conftant language and apparent purport of all ranks in oppofition to Great Britain: "we have (fay they) been the happieft people on earth and would continue to be fo, fhould Great Britain renounce her claim of taxation; we have no finifter views, we claim not independance; no! perilh the thought;" fuch I believe alfo was the tenor of the petitions from the congrefs to his majefty. Now I would afk every man of fentiment, what opinion our friends in Great Britain, nay the whole world will entertain of us, if ingratefully and madly adopting our author's frantic fchemes, we reject reafonable terms of reconciliation? will they not moft affuredly believe that our popular leaders have by infinite art deluded the unwary people into tineir pre-concerted fchemes, on fuppofition that the time had found us? thofe acquainted with Britain mult confefs, that the minority in parliament hitherto have been our main prop: now independancy for ever annihilates this our beft refource. Let us admit a part of the minority, republicans, or what is more probable, bent on removing the prefent miniftry from their power, our author's fehemes annihilates all their confequence, all their oppofition. In cafe of our independence, fhould a Barre, or Burke, patronize our government, fuch patrons would infallibly participate the fate of the great and good De Witts, be torn in pieces by the furious people.-IIf my remarks are founded on truth, it refults that the time hath not found us; that independency is inexpedient, ruinous, and impracticable, and that reconciliation with Great Britain on good terms is our fale refource; it is this alone will rendar us refpectable; it is
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I thall no longer detain my reader, but conclude with a few semarks on our author's fcheme: the people of thofe colonies would do well to confider the character, fortune, and defigns of our author and his independents; and compare them with thofe of the moft amiable and venerable perfonages in and out of the congrefs, who abominate fuch nefarious meafures; I would humbly obferve, that the fpecious fcience of politics is of all others the moft delufive. Soon after the Revolution the ableft ftatefmen in England and other parts of Europe confidently predicted national ruin, infallible ruin, foon as the public debt exceeded fifty millions fterling: the nation, now indebted nearly thrice that fum, is not arrived at the zenith of her credit and power. It is perhaps poffible to form a fpecious fyftem of government on paper which may feem practicable, and to have the confent of the people; yet it will not anfwer in practice, nor retain their approbation upon trial: " all plans of government (fays Hume) which fuppofe great reformation in the manners of mankind, are merely imaginary."

The fabricators of independency have too much influence to be entrufted in fuch arduous and important concerns; this reafon alone were fufficient, at prefent, to deter us from altering the conftitution: it would be as inconfiftent in our leaders in this hour of danger to form a government, às it were for a colonel, forming his battalion in the face of an enemy, to ftop to write an eflay on war.

This author's Quixotic' fyftem is really an ilifult to our underftanding; it is infinitely inferior to Hume's idea of a perfect commonwealth, which, notwithftanding his acknowledged greatnefs of genius, is ftill reprehenfible: it is not our bufinefs to examine in what manner this author's affociates acquired their knowledge in national affairs; but we may predict, that his fcheme of independency would foon, very foon, give way to a government impofed on us by fome Cromwell of our armies: nor is this fentiment unnatural, if we are attentive to conftant experience and human nature: the fublime Montefquieu, fo aptly quoted by the congrefs, unhappily corroborates our doctrine, "from (fays he) a manner of thinking that
prevails amongft mankind, they fet a higher value upon courage than timoroufnels; on activity than prudence; on frength than counfel. Hence, the army will ever defpife a fenate, and refpect their own officers; they will naturally flight the order fent them by a boi'y of men whom they look upon as cowards, and therefore unworthy to command them; fo that as foon as the army depends on the legiflative body, it becomes a military one;" and if the contrary has ever happened, it has been owing to fome extraordinary circumftances, fuch as Holland being able to drown her garrifons, and the Venetians having it in their power to compel their troops to obedience by the vicinity of the Furopean armies; refources to which we for ever muft be firangers. If independence takes place, the New England men ty their confequence therein will affume a fuperiority impatiently to be borne by the other colonies.

Notwithftanding our author's fine words about toleration, ye fons of peace and true chriftianity, believe me, it were folly fupreme, madnefs, to expect angelic toleration from New England, where the has conftantly been detefted, perfecuted, and execrated; even in vain would our author, or our Cromwell, cherifh toleration; for the people of New England, not yet arrived in the feventeenth or eighteenth century, would reprobate her.-It is more than probable to fuppofe that the New England governments would have no objection to an Agrarian law ; nor is it unreafonable to fuppofe that fuch divifion of property would be very agreeable to the foldiers; indeed their general could not, perhaps, with fafety to his exiftence as a general, refufe them to reafonable a gratification, partcularly, as he will have more than one occation for their fervices; let us, however; adm't that our general and troops, contradicting the experience of ages, do not affume the fovereignty. Releafed from foreign war, we would probably be plunged into all the mifery of anarchy and inteftine war. Can we fuppnef that the people of the fouth would fubmit to have the feat of cmpire at Philadelphia, or in New England? or that the people oppreffed by a change of government, contrafting their mifery with their former happy fate, would not invite Britain to re-aflume the fovereignty?
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A failure of commerce precludes the numerous tribe of planters, farmers and others, from paying their debts contracted on the faith of peace and commerce. They cannot, nor perhaps ought not to pay their debts. A war will enfue between the creditors and their debtors, which will eventually end in a general fpunge or abolition of debts, which has more than once happened in other ftates on occafions fimilar.

Ye refpectable defcendants of the planters from Holland and Swifferland, who acknowledge, that your fathers have inftructed you to felicitate yourfelves in exifting under the benign Britifh government, and have taught you to execrate the government of Holland and other popular ftates, where the unhappy people, unacquainted with trial by jury and other peculiar felicities of Britifh fubjects, are (to ufe the fignificant language of your fathers) under the harrow of oppreffive Demagogues, do ye poffers the wifdom to continue your happinefs by a well regulated connection with Britain.

Volumes were infufficient to defcribe the horror, mifery, and defolation awaiting the people at large in the Syren form of American independence. In hort, I affirm that it would be moft excellent policy in thofe who wih for true liberty, to fubmit by an advantageous reconciliation to the authority of Great Britain; "to accomplifh in the long run, what they cannot do by hypocrify, fraud, and force in the fhort one." Independence and flavery are fynonymous terms.

[^1]The following Publication by Rationalis, is printed in this fize for tbe coivenience of thofe Gentlemen who aboofe to bind it with otber Pamphlets, in an Ostavo Volume.

## The Republican Spirit is indeed at bottom as ambitious as the monarcbical.

THE town has been lately amufed with a new political pamphlet, intilled Common Senfe.
This piece, though it has taken a popular name, and implies that the contents are obvious, and adapted to the undertandings of the bulk of the people, is fo far from meriting the title it has affumed, that in my opinion it holds principles equally inconfiftent with learned and common fenfe.

I know not the author, nor am I anxious to learn his name or character; for the book, and not the writer of it, is to be the fubject of my animadverfions.

It is the glory of a free country to enjoy a free prefs, and of this, that the fentiments and opinions of the meaneft, equally with thofe of the greateft, are brought to view; for we know by frequent inftances, that the rich and high born are not the monopolizers of wifdom and virtue; on the contrary, thefe qualities are oftner to be found among the middling clafs in every country, who, being lefs diffipated and debauched than thofe who are ufually called their betters, apply themfelves with more induftry to the culture of their underftandings, and in reality become better acquainted with the true interefts of the fociety in which they live.

But to my great grief I have too often feen inftances of perfons in every clafs of life, whofe publications, at the fame time they have reflected honour on the parts and genius of the authors, have been fo thamefully wanting in candour as to attempt, by the cadence of words, and force of file, a total perverfion of the underftanding.

The pamphlet in queftion feems to be plainly calculated to induce a belief of three things:

11t. That the Englifh form of government has no wifdom in it, and that it is by no means fo conftructed as to produce the happinefs of the people, which is the end of all good government.

2d. That monarchy is a form of government inconfiftent with the will of God.

3 d. That now is the time to break off all connection with Great Britain, and to declare an independence of the Colonies.

It muft be obvious to every impartial eye, that the author reafons from the abufes of, againft the benefits derived from, the Englifh conflitution; and after reciting thefe abufes concludes very unfairly, that " it is incapable to produce what it feems to promife."-For if an arguinent of this fort is to be received, it will prove perhaps rather more than the author would chufe-it would even prove that the Jewifh theocracy was quite as improper, and as incapable to produce what it aimed at, as the reprobated Englifh government.-The records of facred hiftory inform us, that the law was given to the people from God, and that the great Jehovah himfelf condefcended to call them his cbofen people. He fignally interpofed in their behalf in bringing them out of bondage, in preferving them from the rage of Pharanh's army, and feating them in a land flowing with milk and honey, under his immediate government and laws, " written with his own finger."
"And he will love thee and blefs thee, and multiply thee : he will allo blefs the fruit of thy womb and the fruit of thy land, thy corn and thy wine, and thy oil; the increafe of thy kine, and the flocks of thy fheep, in the land which he fware unto thy fathers to give thee." Deut. vii. 13 .
" Thou fhalt be bleffed above all people; there fhall not be male or female barren among you, or among your cattle." Deut. vii. 14 .

But what effects did all thefe extraordinary favours and promifes of the Deity himfelf produce upon that wicked, perverfe, ftiff-necked people? Mofes tells them,
"From the day that thou didft depart out of the land of Egypt until ye came unto this place, ye lave been rebellious againft the Lord." Deut. ix. 7.

## R ATIONALIS.

" You have been rebellious againft the Lord from the day that I knew you." Deut. ix. 24 .

Profane as well as facred hiftory informs us of the inef. fectuality of the beft governments and the wifeft laws among a corrupt, degenerate people. It does not regularly follow, that if the people are not happy under an excellent torm of civil polity, that the fault is in the government, it may be owing to the corruption of the people; and this I take to be the cafe in Great Britain at this day. When the Britifh parliament is properly balanced, and each branch of the legiflature faithfully executes its duty, I think I am fafe in affirming there was never yet a form of government in the world fo well calculated for the happinefs of a free people as this; and yet we are told by the author of the pamphlet, that the "prejudice of Englifmmen in favcur of King, Lords, and Commons arifes as much or more from national pride than reafon." The world has already feen numberlefs inftances of fine-fpun political theories, which, like the quackeries of mountebank doctors, are to cure all the political evils to which human nature is liable.-But when the experiment is made, they become aftonihed at the ill fuccefs of their boafted fchemes-they find a thoufand little paffions and interefts continually interfering with their defigns, and at length retire again to their clofets, chagrined they had not thought it neceffary to fludy the great volume of human nature, before they ventured to fay what was the beft for mankind.

The author, after venting his fpleen againit the Englifle form of government, comes next to condider the fubject of monarchy and hereditary fucceffion; in treating which he plainly difcovers the utmoft prepoffefion in favour of a republic. I fhall not follow him through his feriptire quotations, which he has fo carefully garbled to anfwer his purpofe, but beg leave to oppofe fome authorities to it.

The celebrated Trenchard, in No. 60, of Cato's Letters, fays, " there is no government now upon sarth, which owes its formation or beginning to the immediate revelation of God, or can derive its exiflence from fuch revelation: it is certain, on the contrary, that the rife and inftitution, or variation of government, from time to time, is within the memory of men or of hiftories; and that every government which we know at this day in the world, C 3
was
was effablifhed by the wifdom and force of mere men, and by the concurrence of caufes evidently human."
" Nor has God by any revelation nominated magiftrates, fhewed the nature or extent of their powers, or given a plan of civil polity for mankind." (Hutchefon's Moral Philofophy, p. 272.)
"Tbere being no natural or divine law for any form of government, or that one perfon rather than another fhould have the fovereign adminittration of affairs, or have power over many thouland different families who are by nature all equal, being of the fame rank, promifcuoufly born to the fame adyantages of natuie, and to the ufe of the fame common faculties, therefore mankind is at liberty to cboofe what form of government they like."
"God's providence or permiflion fuffered his own peculiar people the Jews to be under divers governments at divers times; as firft under patriarchs, Abraham, Ifaac, and Jacob, \&c. then under judges, Othniel, Ehud, and Gideon; then under high priefts, Eli and Samuel; then under kings, Saul, David, and the reft; then under captains and high priefts again, as Zorobabel, Judas Maccabeus, and his brethern ; and the government was laftly taken from them, and they brought under the power of Rome. And that God permits fuch magiftrate or magiftrates as the community thinks fit to approve, is plain by the teftimony of Holy Scriptures; when God faid to Solomon, "By me king's rule, even all the judges of the earth."? Prov. viii. 16.
"' When the fons of Samuel were judges over Ifrael, they took bribes and perverted judgment, therefore the elders of lfael defired Samuel to make them a king; and though the elders are only mentioned to have afked a king of Samuel, they feem to have been deputed from the whole congregation; for God faid unto Samuel, "Hearken to the voice of the people in all that they fay unto tbee." I Sam. viii. 4 , 7 .
"And Samuel told the people the manner of the kingdom, and wrote it in a book, and laid it up before tbe Lord. 1 Sam. x. 25. It is plain the manner of the kingdom fignifies the conftitution of the government, by which was meant the conditions on which Saul was to be king, and they his fubjects; for though God bad given bim tbe crown, it was to rule the people according to juftice and laws."
" After the battle between Saul and the Ammonites, Samuel faid to the people, Come, let us go to Gilgal; and there tbey made Saul king before the Lord. I Sam. xi. 1. 5, 6, 7, 14, 15. Now tberefore bebold the king, wbom ye bave cbojen, and bebold the Lord bath fet a king over you." I Sam. xii. 13.

Thefe latter quotations are taken from the great Lord Somers's book called " the Judgment of whole Kingdoms and Nations concerning the Rights of Kings and the People." This nobleman was Lord high chancellor of England in King William's reign, and was remarkable for his revolution principles, great learning, and unfhaken integrity in public and privere life.

It does therefore fom the foregoing teftimonies appear, that monarchy (efpecially a limited one, fuch as that of England) is not inconfiftent with the Holy Scriptures, as is fet forth in faid pamphlet, but that it is as pleafing to the Almighty, if ayreeable to the people, as any other form of government, even the author's beloved republic.

The owriter next proceeds to inform his readers of the numerous wars and fcenes of blood acted in England under their kings, and afferts, that "Monarcby and fucceffion bave laid the world in blood and afbes. It is a form of government whicb the word of God bears tefimony againft, and blood will attend it." Here are bold affertions indeed. To the latter part I have already endeavoured to make fome reply, fo far as he afferts it is contrary to the word of God; but will the author's candour 1 ormit him to inform his reader of the infinite diftractions and mifchiefs which have happened in the ancient and modern republics? - Under this form there are always two parties, which divide the whole body of the people, and an eternal warfare fubfifts betwcen them for power. The conteft is dreadful enough, but whichfoever party prevails, there is no rod heavy enough, no fword fufficiently fharp, to punifh thofe whom they have fubdued.--It then becomes a many-headed monfter, a tyranny of many.

Let any man read with an unprejudiced eye the accounts which hiftorians give us of the famous Grecian Commonwealths, and I will venture to fpeak for him, that he will not bellow great commendations on them.-The Athenians, a wife and polifhed people, very often banithed their beft citizens from an apprehenfion of their power,-a glo-
rious reward for a virtuous citizen, who, as was the cafe in more inftances than one, had preferved his country from deftruction. In the latter times of the Carthaginian and Roman republics, what conftant feenes of blood and devaftation does hiftory prefent to us-the multitude in a perpetual ferment like the ocean in a florm-in a ftorm, did I fay?-like the waters of the fea, agitated by a dreadful whirlwind, nothing but the fury of one party cncountering the rage of another.-Every trace of humanity being thus loft, men change their natures and become as fierce and favage as wolves and tygers.

But let us defcend nearer to modern times - let us look for happinefs and fecurity in the republic of Holland, fo often mentioned, and fo little known-let us recollect the fate of the two brothets, Cornelius and John de Wit, Dutch minifters, who were maffacred by the people in the year 1672. Holland itfelf, from being a republic, is become a downright ariftocracy. Liberty did not continue long in that country, notwithtanding the blood and treafure that were expended to acquire it. The people, fo far from being free, have had no voice for many years paft in the election of perfons to reprefent them in the States-General, nor have they any thing to do in the forming of laws by which they are to be governed. Whenever one of them dies, the vacancy is filled up without any interference of the people, and this important change was made in the ftate, becaufe of the intolerable feuds and animofities which attended the elections of reprefentatives. Had they been to have chofen a king, what dangerous and defiructive tumults muff it have produced! Founded on the woeful experience of ages, it is now become a general fixed opinion, that hereditary is preferable to elective monarchy, on account of the terrible diforders, outrages, and confufion which ufually attend the election of a king; a pregnant inftance of which, in our times, is the kingdom of Poland.

In our own hiftory, we fee what was the effect of the much wifhed-for Commonwealth, after the death of the tyrant Charles - it did not produce liberty - it prefently ended in arbitrary power. The moment almoft afier the reins of government fell from Charles's hands, Cromwell took them up, and governed the nation with abfolute fway.

I cannot agree with the author of the pamphlet in epinion, that this is the time to declare an independence of
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the Colonies: this ought to be the dernier refort of America. Let us not yet lofe fight of the primary object of the difpute, namely, a fafe, honourable, and lafting reconciliation with Great Britain, until we are under a neceffity of doing it. If an advantageous accommodation can be had, and a free conftitution for this country be effablifhed on mutual agreement and compact, it will be better and happier for us. But if juftice is ftill denied us, and we are to contend for liberty by arms, we will meet them in the field, and try our manhood againft them, even to fpilling the blood of every brave man we have. Should the miniftry have recourfe to foreign aid, we may poffibly follow their example; and, if it be effential then to cur fafety to declare an independence, I would willingly embrace the neceflity.

RATIONALIS.

Extract from the Second Letter to the People of Pennfylvania; being that Part of it whish relates to Independency. By a Writer under tbeSignature of CATO.

UPON the whole, it appears that this writer (Caffandra) is more an enemy to the bufinefs on which the Commiffioners are to be fent, than really apprehenfive for our virtue. He feems to have drank deep of the cup of independency; to be inimical to whatever carries the appearance of peace; and too ready to facrifice the happinefs of a great continent to his favourite plan. Among fuch writers, I pretend not to clafs myfelf; for I am bold to declare, and hope yet to make it evident to every honeft man, that the true intereft of America lies in reconciliation with Great Britain upon conftitutional principles; and I can truly fay, I wifh it upon no other terms.

Why the many publications in favour of independency, with which our preffes have lately groaned, have paffed hitherto unnoticed, I am not able to determine: but there are certainly times when public affairs become fo interefting, that every man becomes a debior to the community for his opinions, either in fpeaking or writing. Perhaps it was thought beft, where an appeal was pretended to be made to the Common Senfe of his country, to leave the peopi: for a while to the free exercife of that good underftanding which they are known to poffers. Thofe who made the appeal have little caufe to triumph in its fuccefs. Of this they feem fenfible; and like true quacks, are conftantly peftering us with their additional dofes, till the fomachs of their patients begin wholly to revolt. If little notice has yet been taken of the publications concerning independence, it is neither owing to the popularity of the doctrine, the unanfwerable nature of the arguments, nor the fear of oppofing them, as the vanity of the authors would fuggef. I am confident that nine-tenths of the poeple of Penfylvania yet abhor the doctrine.

## CATO to the People.

If we look back to the origin of the prefent controverfy, it will appear that fome among us at leaft have been conftantly enlarging their views, and ftretching them beyond their firft bounds, till at length they have wholly changed their ground. From the claim of Parliament to tax us, fprung the firft refiftance on our part; before that unjuft claim .as fet on foot, not an individual, not one of all the profound legiflatois with which this country abounds, ever held out the idea of independance. We confidered our connexion with Great Britain as our chief happinefswe flourifhed, grew rich, and populous to a degree not to be paralleled in hiftory. Let us then act the part of ikilful phyficians, and wifely adapt the remedy to the evil.

Poffibly fome men may have harboured the idea of independence from the beginning of this controverfy. Indeed it was ftrongly fufpected there were individuals whofe views tended that way; but as the fcheme was not fufficiently ripened, it was reckoned flanderous, inimical to America, and what not, to intimate the leart fufpicion of this kind.

Nor have many weeks yet elapfed fince the firft open propofition for independence was publifhed to the world.-By what men of confequence this fcheme is fupported, or whether by any, may poffibly be the fubject of future enquiry. Certainly it has no countenance from the Congrefs, to whofe fentiments we look up with reverence; on the contrary, it is directly repugnant to every declaration of that refpectable body. It would be needlefs to quote particular paffages in proof of this, as they are to be met with in almoft every prge of their proceedings. I will refer to a few only, viz. their Refolves, March 5, 1775 their Declaration, July 6-their Addrefs to the King, July 8-their Letter to the Lord Mayor of London-and more efpecially their Declaration for a faft, June 12, in which, with the deepeft marks of fincerity, they call upon all America to join with them in addreffing the great Governor of the world-" humbly befeeching him to avert " the defolating judgments with which we are tlireatened, " to blefs our rightful fovereign, \&c.- that fo America "s may foon behold a gracious interpofition of heaven for " the redrefs of her many grievances, the reftoration " of her invaded rights, and reconciliation with the

## CATO to tbe People.

"parent fate, on terms conftitutional and honourable to " both."

Will any one be fo hardy as to fay, that either the appointment or obfervation of this folemn day was a mere mockery of heaven and earth, or even that any American joined in it who was not fincere ?-I truft not. But if multiplying authorities were of any ufe, I might add the fentiments of our own Reprefentatives in affembly expreffed to the inftructions to their Delegates; the fentiments of Maryland in fimilar inftructions; the refolves of New Jerfey and New Hampfhire; nor fhall the much-injured province of Maffachufetts' Bay be left out of the catalogue, whofe Provincial Congrefs, while yet bleeding with the wounds received at Lexington, thus addreffed the inhabitants of Great Britain-_" Thefe are marks of minifterial ven" geance againf this colony, but they have not yet codetached us from our royal fovereign, \&c. trufting that " in a conftitutional connection with the mother country "s we fhall foon be a free and thappy people." Thefe were the fentiments of the colony of the Maffachufetts, figned by that great martyr to liberty Dr. Warren, and foon after fealed with his blood.

The fentiments of fundry other colonies might be fhewn to have correfponded with thefe.- But this letter has already reached its full length. I fhall take fome future opportunity to examine the arguments which have been offered to induce a change of thefe fentiments; and upon the whole I doubt not to make it appear, that independence is not the caufe in which America is now engaged, and is only the idol of thofe who wifh to fubvert all order among us, and rife on the ruins of their country!



[^0]:    * Seventeen capital thips were built from 1763 until 1771 .
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