# - NATIONAL TRANSCONTINENTAL RAILWAY

Speeches with Respect to It by Rt. Honorable Sir Wilfrid Laurier and by Hon. Clifford Sifton

Some Comparisons Between the Grand Trunk Contract and the C. P. R. Contract—Conservative Objections Answered

By Conservatives Themselves

The Prime Minister (Rt. Hon. Sir Wilfrid Laurier): Mr. Speaker, I move for leave to introduce a bill to provide for the construction of a National Transcontinental railway. The bill which I have the honor to place in your hands is divided into two parts. The first provides for the creation of a commission to be composed of three members, and to be empowered to build a centain portion of the railway which is mentioned in the bill, the title of which I have just given. I do not know that at this moment it would serve any good purpose to go minutely into the dispositions of this part of the bill. They are such as are to be found in bills of this character, to empower the commissioners to do a certain work, and they define their powers and their obligations.

The second part of the bill provides for the ratification of a contract which has been entered into between the government and certain gentlemen now seeking incorporation under the name of the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway company. This bill will have to be supplemented by revolutions, which I will place on the order paper to conform with our rules of procedure in such cases. The House has been impattent, and not unnaturally, to be in-

formed of the leading features of the policy which we have to present with regard to the building of another transcontinental railway. It will be my duty now to inform the House and to give to all the knowledge it is in my power to give at this moment, in order to satisfy a very legitimate curlosity.

## The Reason for the New Enterprise.

First, sir, perhaps it would not be amiss if I were to address myself at once to a question which has come to us from different quarters, and which may find an echo within these walls. Why this new enterprise? Why this expenditure? Why should parliament be called upon to assent to such a policy as is here indicated? We ask parliament to assent to this policy because we believe—nay, we feel certain, and certain beyond a doubt—that in so doing we give voice and expression to a sentiment, a latent but deep sentiment, which is to-day in the mind, and still more in the heart, of every Canadian that a railway to extend from the shores of the Atlantic ocean to the shores of the Pacific ocean, and to be every inch of it, on Canadian soil, is a national as well as a commercial necessity. That such a road must be built that it is, in the language which I have

used, a national and a commercial neessity that it is a corollary of our status as a nation that it is a requisto of our commercal tevelopment, is a proposition to which up to this moment I have heard no dissent

Exception has been taken to the imnediate necessity of building such a oad exception has been taken to the policy which we have to suggest for the immediate construction of such a road: but as to the idea itself I have never heard a word of opposition, nor do I believe that such a word will be heard in the debate. The first of these objections, that is to the immediate construction of such a road, can be disposed of I believe with a single ob-To those who urge upon us he policy of to-morrow, and to-morand to-morrow; to those who tell us. Wait, wait, wait; to those who advise us to pause, to consider, to reflect, to calculate and to inquire, our answer is: No, this is not a time for deliberation, this is a time for action. The flood of tide is upon us that leads on to fortune, if we let it pass it may never recur again. If we let it pass, the voyage of our national life, bright as it is to-day, will be bound in shallows,

#### Urgent Need for the Road.

We cannot wait, because time does not wait, we cannot wait, because, in these days of wonderful development, time lost is doubly lost; we cannot wait, because at this moment there is a transformation going on in the conditions of our national life which it would be felly to ignore and a crime to overlook: we cannot wait, because the prairies of the Northwest, which for countless ages have been roamed over by the wild herds of the bison, or by the scarcely less wild tribes of the red man, are now invaded from all sides by the white race. They came last year 100,000, and still they come in still greater num-Already they are at work opening the long dormant soil; already they are at work sowing, harvesting and reaping. We say that to-day it is the duty of the Canadian government, it is the duty of the Canadian parliament, it is the duty of all those who have a mandate from the people to attend to the needs and requirements of this fast growing country, to give heed to that condition of things. We consider that It is the duty of all those who sit within these walls by the will of the people, to provide immediate means whereby the products of those new settlers may find an exit to the ocean at the least possible cost, and whereby, likewise, a

market may be found in this new re gion for those who oil in the forests ir the fields in the mines in the shops of the older provinces. Such is our futy, it is unmediate and imperative It is not of to-morrow but of this day of this hour and of this minute Heaver grant that it be not already too atheaven grant that whilst we carry an dispute, the trade of Canada is not 1. viated to other channels, and that as ever vigilant competitor does not take to himself the trade that properly be longs to those who acknowledge Canada as their native or their adopted land. Upon this question we feel that our position is absolutely safe and se cure; we feel that it corresponds to the beating of every Canadian heart

cas

of

hu

wit

Wel

we

WA

sim

rai

pop

sec

**EOU** 

be

whi

are

ies

the

not

alit;

of

but

ists

ditte

in t

tend

the

which

Kan

the

tow

nect

now

John

the

the

to s

perh

of C

noin

any

We

shor

ton.

the

have

whic

few

any

rew

thing

be u

With regard to the plan which we have adopted for the construction of the road, there may be honest and honorable differences of opinion. House knows there have have been already such differences of opinion, honorable and honest, because it has been our misfortune to lose one of our colleagues upon this question. We make bold to say, however, that we stand upon very firm ground, and it will be my duty to present to the House the reasons which have led up to the policy which we have adopted and the reasons which we can invoke in favor of its support.

#### The Extension to Moncton.

Our conception that this road, in order to give to the people the full benefit which they have a right to expect from it, should extend westward from the heart of the maritime provinces from the town of Moncton, at the juncture of the two lines which proceed from Halifax and from St. ohn. I know very well that amongst those who oppose our policy there are a good many who maintain that it is sufficient that such a road should be constructed from Quebec westward to the Pacific ocean; that there is no need whatever of extending that railway from Quebec to, Moncton because, between Quebec and Moncton, there is already the Intercolonial railway. Sir, the answer which we have to make to this objection is plain, obvious, categorical, peremptory and paramount; the answer is that the Intercolonial never was intended, and never was conceived, and never was built for transcontinental traffic. Intercolonial The was first ceived as a military road. Ιt was built and located for political reasons, not from any commercialconsiderations. Far be it from me to

cast any aspersions upon the memory of those who conceived and carried out this very useful enterprise. But if the truth must be told, it must be said that those who conceived and carried out the Intercolonial added to its length, to its normal length, several hundred miles. I will not quarrel with them at this stage. The reasons were political, and they were reasons we can understand. If that railway was located where it is to-day it was simply because it was desired to give railway facilities to the groups of population which inhabit the northern section of New Brunswick and the south shore of the St. Lawrence. Far be it from me to blame the judgment of those who carried out that enterprise; but the action which they took affords us a lesson which we should not forget at the present time. The action which they took is a lesson to us who are to-day entrusted with the destinies of this nation, as the trustees of the people, that in building a transcontinental railway we have to build not only for the time being, but for the morrow as well, and not for one locality, but for the whole of Canada. Sir. the men of 1867 built for the condition of things which they found in 1867; but we the men of 1903, have to build for the condition of things which exists in 1903, and not only for that condition of things, but also for a condition of things that we see looming up in the near future.

The line which we propose will extend from the Quebec bridge down on the southern slope of the mountains which extend through the counties of Levis, Bellechasse, Montmagny, L'Islet, Kamouraska and Temiscouta up to the town of Edmundston. At the town of Edmundston that line will connect with the system of railways which now gives direct connection with St. John. From the town of Edmundston the railway will proceed eastward to the town of Moncton; it is impossible to say at this moment by what route, perhaps by Chipman, or in the vicinity of Chipman. At all events, from this point it is impossible exactly to locate any precise line, or to say where it will be ultimately. Suffice it to say that we desire to have the best and the shortest line between Levis and Moncton. This is the line which would have been adopted in 1867, but in 1867 the settlements within the territory which will be covered by this line were few and far between If there were any at all they were certainly very few in number. But, that condition of things has been changed since The surplus of population north of the chain of mountains has overstepped the mountains, and is to be found upon the other side. They have occupied the fertile valley which is now rapidly settling up. New farms are being established, new parishes are springing up and, therefore, this is our justification, for this road will serve a local as well as a national purpose.

#### Will Not Parallel the Intercolonial.

But we will be told-we have been told already-that by building such a line we are paralleling the line of the Intercolonial railway. I have already taken issue with my late colleague the ex-minister of railways and canals (Hon. Mr. Blair), and once more I beg to take issue with him upon this point. If you will look at the map you will perceive that the Intercolonial railway, when it leaves the station at Haiifax, proceeds almost directly in a straight line to the head waters of the Bay of Fundy, which it reaches at Truro. From Truro, the line proceeds in an almost due west course to Moncton. From Moncton it strikes northward to the waters of the Baie des Upon reaching the waters Chaleurs. of the Baie des Chaleurs, it hugs the shore very closely until it comes to the confluence of the Restigouche river. At the Restigouche river the strikes northwards again and follows the waters of the St. Lawrence river to Chaudiere Junction, a little west of Levis. Thus, the line makes a long loop towards the north, it describes almost a complete semi-circle, and the distance covered by it is no less than 488 miles. If it were possible to have a line of railway in a direct course from Levis to Moncton it would be possible, I believe, without any ex aggeration, to abridge the distance almost one-half, but, unfortunately, and I say it frankly to the House, it is not possible to have an absolutely direct line, or the shortest line possible between Moncton and Levis, and if you will know the reason, you will find it at a glance at the map, and you will find it in the blunder which was made by British diplomacy in settling our boundary line by the Ashburton-Webster treaty. No one can look at that map without feeling a sentiment of anger, almost akin to indignation But, it is no use to go back to what has been done. We have to take facts as they are, we have to submit to the inevitable and to the fact that the boundary line of the state of Mainhas been projected through our terri

88

ec

e3

ory within a distance of about fortyive miles of the St. Lawrence. We save to follow the line of the state of daine, and following that line we beleve we can abridge the distance beween Moncton and Levis by from 120 niles to 140 miles.

## 75 Miles Between the Two Lines.

these two lines of allway, the one extending northvard along the shore of the St. Lawrence, and the line which is now aid down on the map, there will be a Ustance at every point of at least 30 niles, and at some points of at least 5 miles. It is impossible, under these ircumstances, therefore, to say that he second line will parallel the Interolonial railway. First of all how can ve say that the line is parallel at all? What is the definition of a parallel ine? I could not conceive that one ine is paralleling another because hey start at the same point and end t the same point, but they only beeme parallel lines when it is pos-ible for the people living between hese two lines to use either the one r the other of them. This is a conlition which does not apply to the resent line which we are contemlating. There will be a distance of 0 miles, which alone would prevent ommunication from one to the other, out, in addition to that, between these i) miles, there is a chain of mounains which it is very difficult for peode to overcome. Therefore, I say it annot be fairly stated that this line s going to parallel the line that we dready have in existence. I stated a noment ago that the line of the Inercolonial railway was placed where t is for political reasons. Certainly, hose who built that line, those who onceived it, those who planned it, never contemplated at the time that he day would come when it would be used for transcontinental transportation, yet, scarcely had the last rail been put down, scarcely had a train commenced to run upon it when it was discovered that the long, toruous meanders of the line were a sercus impediment to trade, even to the comparatively small trade of the anada of that day.

## Former Agitation for a Shorter Line.

An agitation immediately commenced in the maritime provces, in the province of Quebend even westward in the province of entario, to have a shorter line built between the centre of confederation

and the eastern portsof Canada. Those who were in the House of Commons in the years 1880, 1881, 1882, 1883 and 1884 will remember that almost every session allusion was made to the fact that the Intercolonial railway could not serve the purposes of the growing traffic of Canada, and that we had to find a shorter line of railway than we then had. The feeling became so strong, that the government of Sir John Macdonald had to give heed to it, and in 1884 the following resolution was introduced by Sir Charles Tupper, then minister of railways and canals:-

For the construction of a line of railway connecting Montreal with the harbours of St. John and Halifax, by the shortest and best practicable route, a subsidy not exceeding \$170,000 per annum for fifteen years, or a guarantee of a like sum for a like period, as interest on bonds of the company undertaking the work.

Mark the language of this resolu-

For the construction of a line of railway connecting Montreal with the harbours of St. John and Halifax, by the shortest and best practicable route.

There was an admission that the line of the Intercolonial Railway was not the shortest and best practicable route, that it could not serve the purposes of the growing trade of that time, but, strong as is the admission contained in the resolution, it is perhaps still better that I should give the commentaries made on that occasion by Sir Chailes Tupper himself to illustrate and develop the thought that is there contained. He said:

Then a very strong feeling has grown up in the maritime provinces, and not only in the maritime provinces, but throughout Canada, because I believe that from British Columbia down through the Northwest Territories, through the province of Ontario, and in the province of Quebec, there has been a strong and general sentiment that this great interoceanic line of the Canadian Pacific Railway would be incomplete if we were obliged to have our Atlantic terminus in a foreign country. I believe the sentiment is not at all conlined to Nova Scotla or Prince Edward Island, or the province of New Brunswick, but I believe it has taken just as deep hold of the minds of our friends of the other provinces. Although every effort has been made to render the operation of the Intercolonial railway as successful as possible, although nore has been accomplished in the development of the trade and business of the country, through the agency of the Intercolonial railway than any person on either side of this House a few years ago

Fupposed to be possible still we have found we are too heavily handlcapped by the distance, and that we could not, reluctantly as we are driven to the conclusion by the force of circumstances and by the practical results, that it is impossible for the ports of St. John and Halifax to empete with the neare ports of Portland and Boston in face United States.

ORR

ons

ng

to

80

D-

PS

Under these circumstances the attention of the government has been drawn, as I have said on one or two occasions before in the House, to the best means by which we might secure a realization of that which we all desire, the Atlantic terminus being in Canadian territory as well as the Pacific terminus, and thus be placed in a position to fairly compete for the transcontinental trade and traffic that we all know must flow over that line.

I have stated the position in which this question stands from its national or larger point of view, and I believe I may confidently rely not only upon the kind support of our friends on this side of the House for carrying out a measure which has been considered by the government in all its aspects and in all its bearings with a view to the promotion of the best n-terests of the country, but I believe 1 can rely with equal confidence upon the support of the gentlemen opposite in carrying out what we all recognize as most important, making a complete line of communication through Canada, and enabling us to have the great ocean ter-mini on the Atlantic as well as on the Pacific within our own borders. \*\*\* Pacific within our own borders. From Montreal, Halifax will be brought within 672 miles, and it is found practicable to obtain a line which will bring the port of Sydney under the effect of the port of Sydney under the encet or this subvention, within 73 miles. There will thus be saved in the present dis-tance from Montreal to Sydney219 miles, in the distance from Montreal to Halifax 173 miles, and the distance to St. John will be reduced 159 miles. I have only to read these figures to the House, in order to show that the government, asking for this aid in extending practicaly the terminus of the Canadian Pacific railway, involving as it will the transport of way, involving as it with the Grand Trunk rail-way and the Canadian Pacific railway, down to our own coasts, and our own ports in the maritime provinces, are asking ald which will, without any question, assure the completion of that. have already stated that it is proposed that this shall not only be the shortest line to Montreal, but the shortest line to Quebec. "In fact I believe that, within a very bief period—I have stated four years as the term of these resolutions—this line of communication will be perfected and we will have the satisfaction of finding that we have the complete realization of our hopes and expectations in reference to the trans-continental traffic of the Canadian Pacific railway being brought down to our own ports. The questions with which I have been dealing up to this point, of course,

are based upon broad and national considerations. They are founded upon a policy that is recognized from the first as the first duty that we owed to the the construction of a national line of railway the closest possible interconnunication between one section of our country and another. The great disalvantage under which Canada has labored, if it may be regarded as a disadventage, was the remoteness of importantage, was the remoteness of importantage, was the remoteness of important sections of our common country from one another, and we have all regarded it as a first duty we owed to the country to endeavor to remove and obviate that as far as possible by diminishing the distance between all the important points of communication in the several sections of our country, thus bringing them as close together as we could. Not only commercially but socially, the greatest possible advantage may be fairly expected from shortening the distance of intercommunication between the great commercial centres of the country and the outlying portions of it.

Now, Sir, in this long extract which have read from that important speech of Sir Charles Tupper, the central idea is that the mode of communicating with the maritime ports over the Intercolonial railway was inade-quate on account of its abnormal length, and that it was the duty of the Canadian people to at once provide for a shorter route of communication between the west and the east. This policy was never dissented from; it was accepted immediately. would call the attention of the House to one thing which is noticeable, which perhaps has escaped the attention of gentlemen on the other side, but we were sitting on that side-it is noticeable that whilst Sir Chales Tupper lays great stress up on the fact that our national harbors must be upon Canadian territory and that we should have a shorter line of railway between St. John and Halifax he specially avoided any reference to the equally great necessity that that line of railway should be on Canadian ter-

#### The Liberal Attitude in 1884.

Sitting as we were on the opposition side of the House we were not slow to point to this omission. We called the attention of the government to it, and as we received no satisfaction, then I, sitting in the seat now occupied by my hon friend from Jacques Cartier (Mr. Monk), moved the following amendment because we had reason to believe as it actually turned out to be true afterwards, that it was

the intention of the government of that day not to build the short line of railway upon Canadian territory but upon American teritory, I moved:

That the said resolution be not now read a second time, but that it be referred to a Committee of the Whole with power to amend the same by providing that the route for the line of railway connecting Montreal with the harbours of St. John and Halifax, for which a subsidy of \$170,000 a year for fitteen years is provided, should be subject to the approval of parliament.

I am sorry to say that this motion was defeated. If it had not been defeated, if the policy which was there been implemented, enunciated had probably it would not be my duty today to ask this House to vote money for the building of another line be-tween Quebec and Moncton, for the line would have been built on the very ground where we now propose to locate it. However, the motion was defeated. And in order to obtain the majority of parliament to vote against this motion, the government had to promise and it made the promise on the floor of the House, that during the recess between that session and the following session, surveys and explorations would be made by compeand extent engineers to discover if we could not have a better route than the one which was indicated, as we understood, across the state of Maine. During the recess in the summer of 1884 several exploring parties went out and their reports can be found in a return which was brought down in the session of 1885 being a:

Return to an address (Senate) presented to His Excellency the Governor General, dated the 17th of March last, praying His Excellency to cause to be laid before the House copies of the reports of various surveys made by engineers under the direction of the government for a line of railway connecting Montreal with the harbours of St. John and Halifax by the shortest and most practicable route including the reports of Messrs. A. L. Light and Vernon Smith on the lines surveyed by them, respectively, running up the valley of the Etchemin river and from Canterbury, N. B., to the northern end of Chesuncook Lake, in the State of aine.

## The Short Line Through Maine.

In the session of 1885, the government came down with the policy which had been foreshadowed the previous year, that is the policy to build a short line of railway, not upon Canadian territory but across American territory, in the state of Maine. The resolution was introduced by Sir Chas.

Tupper and It was in these terms:

a

W

ъ

8

tı

p

m

th

w

at

er

80

pl

DI

Be

ra

to

fe

sh

N

ar

CO M

Also for a line of railway connecting Montreal with the harbours of St. John and Halifax via Sherbrooke, Moose Head Lake, Mattawamkeag, Harvey, Frederickton and Salisbury, a subsidy not exceeding \$50,000 per annum for twenty years, forming in the whole together, with the subsidy authorized by the Act 47 Vic., cap. 8, a subsidy not exceeding \$250,000 per annum, the whole of which shall be paid in aid of construction of such line for a period of twenty years, or a guarantee of a like sum for a like period as interest on the bonds of the company undertaking the work.

Well, sir, we took strong exception to this policy and that exception was formulated in an amendment which I myself moved from the opposition benches and which was in these words:

In the opinion of this House, additional surveys are requisite in order to a sound decision for the short line railway, and it would be premature to adopt any line before further surveys have been made.

The surveys which had been made had not been complete. The line was simply surveyed in a portion of province of Quebec and very little in the province of New Brunswick. We therefore demanded before the policy was adopted to run that line of railway across the state of Maine, that new surveys should be made so that we might come to a better understanding of the question and arrive at a more proper decision. Again, I am sorry to say, this motion was voted down and the policy was adopted of building the short line of railway across the state of Maine. Now, sir, it has been more or less in the air for the last twenty years that the government of Sir John Macdonald assented very reluctantly to the policy of building the short line of railway across the state of Maine. There has been a tradition in the air for a great many years that that policy was imposed upon the government by a gentleman who was then a very powerful member of the administration. As to that, however, I have nothing to say. Whatever may have been the reason, the policy was adopted of building the short line of railway across the state of Now, sir, in the resolution Maine. which I have just read to the House, I want at this moment to call attention to a particular phase of it.

# The Proposed Line to Salisbury.

The resolution called for a line of rallway connecting Montreal with the harbors of St. John and Hallfax, via Sherbrooke, Moosehead, Lake Mattawamkeag, Harvey, Fredericton and Saltsbury. It was supposed that these words, Harvey, Fredericton and Salis-

bury had been inserted in order to give a shorter route to Hallfax. I ventured at the time, in the speech which I delivered in support of my motion, to point out that these words seemed to be an after-thought. I did not believe that they were genuine. I supposed that they were a blind, and I expressed my opinion accordingly. The suspicion to which I gave expression called for an answer from the government, which was given by Sir Hector Langevin, and it was in these words:

Well, I am sure the hon gentleman will be pleased to hear the declaration which the government makes through me. in the arrangements to be made with the advantage of this vote of money, of \$250,000 during twenty years, the government will take care to secure the compoletion of the railway, not only to Mat-tawamkeag, but also to Salisbury, and if that is not secured, there will be no subsidy given. We must act in good faith, the faith of parliament is pledged, and we must take care that that money is employed as parliament wishes.

These were very strong words; but it turned out afterwards that my suspicions were correct, and that these words were introduced simply as a blind, because this promise, which was made solemnly on the floor of parliament, and to which the faith of parliament was pledged, never was implemented; and the line through Harvey, Salisbury and Fredericton has not been built to this day. Whatever may have the reas is which militated against the that promise o solemnly made-made with all the sacredness which ought to attach not only to the word of the government, but to the word of parliament -whatever may have been those reasons, I do not know; but some years later (it took four or five years to complete the line by war of Sherbrooke Mattawamkeag), about the time that the road was completed, in the session of 1889, Sir John Macdonald, under the strong pressure which was brought to bear upon him by the parties whose interest it was to have this railway built from Harvey to Fredericton and Salisbury, introduced into this House a resolution to the following effect :

That it is expedient that a railway should be constructed as a government work, between a point of junction on the New Brunswick railway, at or near Harvey, in the province of New Brunswick, and a point of junction with the intercolonial railway at or near Salisbury and Monoton, and that the sum of \$500,600 be granted towards the construction of the said railway.

#### A Grand Trunk Scheme of 1890.

This was another effort to implement the solemn promise which had been made on the floor of parliament. That bill passed this House. was introduced, adopted, and put in the shape of a bill, which was sent to the Senate. But that happened in the Senate which rarely happened in those days; the Senate rejected the bill thus y introduced by the govern-Whatever may have been the ment. reasons, whatever may have been the wh spers in the ears of senators, whatever may have been the influences which caused the Senate thus to rebel against the policy of the government, it is not for me to say. I have no particulars on this point to offer. But certain it is that in the following year a company was formed, known as the St. Lawrence and Maritime Provinces Railway company, which tradition says was acting under a tacit understanding between the Grand Trunk Railway company and the government of Sir John Macdonald for the construction of a line of railway from the town of Edmundston to Moncton. If that railway had been built, it would have provided a shorter line of communication by way of Halifax, Moncton, Edmundston, and Riviere du Loup. The line was surveyed, and I have the report of the engineer in charge, Mr. Davy, who said Edmundston and Moncton. Here is his

The total length of the line surveyed from Grand Falls to Berry's Mills was 168 miles, and adding thirty-six miles from Edmundston to Grand Falls, and seven miles from Edmundston to Grand Falls, and seven miles from Edmundston to Moncton, the total distance from Edmundston to Moncton would make the distance from Montreal to Halifax, by the Crand Trunk, to Chaudiere Junction, the Intercolonial to Chiudiere Junction, the Intercolonial to Chiudiere Junction, and the proposed line to Moncton, and thence by the Intercolonial to Halifax, 759 miles in all. If, however, the line from the Grand river north of the Sisson range, which, as I have already stated, I explored myself and with an assistant engineer, be adopted, a saving of distance of at least ten miles would be obtained, and the improvement of the line at the de Chuteriver, recommended by Mr. Cranaton, would also affect a saving of distance, so that, in my ophicu, the total length from The total length of the line surveyed

that, in my opinion, the total length from Edmundston to Moncton may be reduced to 199 miles, or 749 miles from Montreal to Hallfax. Time did not allow for our making a complete survey of the line by these alternative routes at the two points I have referred to, but I believe that when made it will result in the saving of distance already stated. However, Sir John Macdonald died shortly afterwards, and the scheme fell through.

Now, sir, the policy which we propose, apart from all other considerations, may be taken as a step, tardy though it be, to implement the solemn pledge which was made in 1885. I confess, sir, that perhaps this of itself would not be a sufficient reason, though in one respect it ought to be a sufficient reason; because, if there is one thing as to which the parliament of Canada ought to be firm, it ought to be that the solemn word of parliament is sacred, and that every promise made by parliament should be implemented.

But, sir, there is another consideration of a far more important character than this, to which it is now my duty to call the special attention of parliament. When Sir Charles Tupper in 1885, speaking from the place from which I now speak, stated that the Intercolonial railway, located as it was, could not perform the service we expected from it, that it could not give thorough satisfaction in the way of providing transportation for the growing trade of Canada, he stated a proposition to which, no exception was taken to it then, no exception can be successfully taken now. But, sir, there is something more than that. Sir Charles Tupper stated also at that time that it was essential to the welfare of the people of Canada that the terminus of any transcontinental railway that we might have should be in Canadian territory, in Canadian waters, he simply voiced what is the conscience of the Canadian people. I am sorry that Sir Charles Tupper did not with equal firmness insist that not only should the terminus be in Canadian territory, in Canadian waters, but that the route itself should be in Canadian When he agreed that the territory. line should be in American territory, Sir Charles Tupper went back on the heart and conscience of the Canadian people.

# An All-Canadian Route Necessary.

Now, sir, we lay it down as a principle, upon which we are to be judged by friend and foe, that we are to have a transcontinental railway, that its terminus must be in Canadian waters, and that the whole line, every inch of it, must be in Canadian territorv. We say further that such a line is a necessity

our commercial independence. Sir, I am surprised at the levity displayed by some hon, gentlemen on so grave a question. What have you to say today to this? What are your minds running to when you have facts staring you in the face which show you that at this moment Canada is not commercially independent of the United States? What is our position to-day? From the early days of railway development of this country, we have been dependent on American good-will for the transportation of our goods across American territory. From the early days of Canadian rallway develop-ment, we have been forced to make use of American territory and har-The American government bors. granted us the bonding privilege. They granted us the privilege of using their harbors for our imports and exports without paying them tolls and customs dues. But my hon, friends opposite are aware that this privilege has always been held over our heads by the American authorities as a sword of Damocles. My hon, friends on the other side are aware that the abrogation of this privilege has been used again and again as a threat to obtain from us concessions. Why, sir, it is only last week that an American citizen of great influence wrote a letter to the London Times, in which he reviewed the policy we have offered to the British government, When in England last year, the Canadian ministers at the intercolonial conference made this proposition to the British

authorities:
The Canadian ministers stated that if they could be assured that the imperial government would accept the principle of preferential trade generally and particularly grant to the food products of Canada in the United Kingdom exemption from duties now levied or hereinster imposed, they would be prepared to give to the Dritish manufacturer some increased advantage over his foreign competitors in the markets of Canada.

## U. S. Threats to Withdraw Bonding Privileges.

This policy is to-day attracting the attention of the civilized world. It is being submitted to the British electorate, and it is this policy which is reviewed by an American citizen, Mr. Andrew Carnegle, in the great exponent of public opinion in England, the London Times. Mr. Carnegle states the reason why the British public and the Canadian electors cannot give heed to this policy which I have just outlined, cannot go forward with it, cannot under-

0

ta fe th has continued to the second

ar m a an W.G en wi Ca sat pec WO Sin Yes imi T. Am five are our as kno and ion, por was bou ther

> us i 0, t but acce our to o voui Buits pend H Who gimp spea men Mr. gran the We

Can

to 8

take to give the British people a preference in return for a preference from these, because the American citizen has a weapon in his hands which he exa bring down upon the head of the Czazdian people, and that weapon is the banding privilege. Mr. Carnegie, as a letter, which I find summarized to gome newspapers, uses this very wirking and significant language:

A word from the president cancels the strivileges now generously extended to standa of reaching open American ports brough American territory with all her exports and imports free of duty for five months in the year, when her own porte are ice bound. She uses the privilege all the year. Fresident Roosevelt is the last man I could think of who would hestiate a moment to say the word, but even he and all his cabinet would be powerless to resist the importous demand that at least we should not furnish the weapon that enables another power to wound us. The withdrawal of the privilege given to Canada would probably be sufficient to satisfy Great Britain that the American people were in earnest. Negotiations would soon begin, and the privilege so rashly distributed would be restored. Simultaneously peace would reign, but the bitterness created would remain for years to retard the return to the present unusually cordial relations so wantonly invalidations.

Let me observe that if we have used American ports, it is not because for five months in the year our own ports are ice-bound. Everybody knows that our ports in winter are just as open as the American harbors. Everybody knows, except Mr. Andrew Carnegle and the bulk of American public opinion, that if we have used American ports and the bonding privilege, it was not because our harbors was icebound in the winter, but simply because we had no railways to reach them. In the face of this, are the Canadian parliment and people going to stand on their manhood and place us in such a position that at all times of the year, not only by one railway, but by two or more, we shall have access from January to December to our own harbors, and be able to say to our American neighbors: "Take off your bonding privilege whenever it suits you, we are commercially independent."

Hon. gentlemen opposite may ask: Who is Mr. Andrew Carnegle, he is simply an American citizen, he is not speaking for the nation? Sir, when men speak, who are in the position of Mr. Carnegle, we must take it for granted that they know something of the public opinion of their country. We know hat Mr. Carnege, unfortun-

ately, is not volcing his own opinions alone, but the opinions of a large section of the American people, because the ideas to which he has given expression have been again and again expressed in the American press. We cannot take a step to better our position, to improve our trade, but we are told from the American side that we had better look out, because, forsooth, the bonding privilege will be taken from us.

#### Threats From Other Sources.

When, in 1896, Sir Charles Tupper thought of asking for tenders Tupper thought of asking for tenders for the fast Atlantic service-a thing with which, after all, the American public have nothing whatever to do, a thing which is purely a measure of domestic concern-we were told by the American press that if we dared to go on with that project, the bond-ing privilege would be removed. The New York "Sun," which is an American of Americans, and a good exponent of American public opinion, which voices the sentiments and even the prejudices of the American peopleand I do not use the word "prejudice" in an offensive sense, because prejudices are sometimes only the exaggeration of a noble feeling, and I do not blame anyone for having prejudices in his heart, they being frequently the result of education and other circumstances-but the New York "Sun," speaking of the project of a fast Atlantic service between England and Canada, made use of the following lan-

The route by the new steamship line from Liverpool to Halifax and thence by Canadian Pacific railway to Chicago, would be shorter than the route to and through New York, and hence its advantages are obvious. Goods and merchandise would be carried from Halifax to Quebec through American territory under protection of the bonding privilege and accordingly the Canadian Pacific railway would be able to profit largely by their transportation westward.

Here is a condemnation of the policy which had been adopted a few years before, of having the Canadian Pacific railway built across the state of Maine:

of Maine:

The privilege thus conferred by us is already essential to the prosperity of that company. This road could not run without it. The Canadian Pagine railway therefore lives and prospers by our sufferance and direct assistance. We furnish it with feeders and traffic essential to its existence; yet it was built and is maintained as a military road along the

northern border and as a tie binding together in political combination the widely separated Canadian provinces with a view to the strengthening of the Dominion against us in the event of a war with England. This military and political road, sustained by American bounty in the form of bonding privileges, is consequently a permanent menace to us. Its very existence is a distinctly hostile demonstration.

Will our government furnish the new steamship line with what amounts to another subsidy, and insure the prosperity of the military and political road by continuing to grant the bonding privilege, which Canada is already using to the disadvantage of our own railroads?

## President Cleveland's Message in 1883.

Sir, I am told, and perhaps I may be told again: This is simply the opinion of a journalist. Why, Sir, if the expression of this opinion only came from private citizens, as Mr. Carnegie, if it were confined to newspapers, as the New York "Sun," perhaps we might pass lightly over it and not feel much apprehension upon the subject. But what will you say when I bring to your attention the expressions of the highest in the land, of those in authority, of those who are in a position to speak for the American people? What will you say when I bring you messages of presi-dents of the United States and reports from the American Senate? In the month of August, 1888, President Cleveland sent the following message to congress:

The value to the Dominion of Canada of the privilege of transit for their exports and imports across our territory, and to and from our ports, though great in every aspect, will be better appreciated when it is remembered that, for a considerable portion of each year, the St. Lawrence river, which constitutes the direct avenue of foreign commerce leading to Canada, is closed by ice.

During the last six years the imports and exports of British Canadian provinces carried across our territory under the privileges granted by our laws, amounted in value to about two hundred and seventy millions of dollars, nearly all of which were goods dutiable under our tariff laws, by far the larger part of this traffic consisting of exchanges of goods between Great Britain and her American provinces brought to and carded from our portly it, their own vessels.

American provinces brought to and carried from our porty it their own vessels.
The treaty stipulation entered into by
our government was in harmony with
laws which were then on our statute
book, and ark still in force.
I recommers immediate legislative ac-

I recommend immediate legislative action conferring upon the executive the power to sustend by proclamation the operation of all laws and regulations

permitting the transit of goods, wares and merchandise in bond across or over the rallways of the United States to or from Canada.

This was the language, the peremptory language of the president of the United States. Now, it is, perhaps, not out of place, nay, it is extremely important, to make clear what was the cause which brought President Cleveland to hold such a threat over our heads? The cause was the fact that we would not agree—the Canadian government, the Canadian people, would not agree—to the abrogation of the Fisherles Convention of 1818. Under the convention of 1818, American fishermen are granted certain privi-leges in Canadian waters. They are allowed to make use of the shore of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick for certain purposes-for wood, water, repairs and shelter. They are not allowed to make use of that coast to buy bait or to land their fish. The Americans have applied to us again and again for these two privileges of buying bait in Canadian territory and the privilege of landing their cargoes of fish. We have refused, unless we were given some compensation. We have always been ready to negotiate with them. We have told the American fishermen again and again: will share with you our advantages, if you will share with us your advantages. On the coast of Nova Scotia, we have a basis for the operations of fishermen, and Canadian fishermen have the advantage that they can use the coast to supply themselves with bait and to land their cargoes of fish. The American fishermen have advantage of having a market in their own territory. We have told them again and again: Yes, we are ready to agree with you; give us the opportunity of sharing with you your market, and we will give you the privilege of sharing with us the advantages of our base of operations. That was a fair proposal. But it never was acceded to, it never was accepted. But, because we would not agree to give our birthright, we were threatened by President Cleveland with the withdrawal of the bonding privilege. is that all.

#### The Threat of the Interstate Commerce Committee.

A few years afterwards, in the session of Congress of 1892, a report was brought down to the Senate from the Committee on Interstate

Commerce again dealing with the bonding privilege. This time, the reason why this threat was held over our heads was, not on account of the fishery question, but on account of the railway question. The American railways wanted to have certain privileges upon our territory that we were not prepared to give them; and, because we would not give them these advantages, because we were not ready to make the soil of Canada, for railway purposes, part of the territory of the United States, again we were threatened with the removal of the bonding privilege. This was the conclusion of the report I have referred to:

The committee therefore recommend that either such a license system shall be established as will be applicable to the Canadian railroads doing business in the United States, or that some other plan, not injurious to the general trade and commerce of the country, be adopted which will secure to American railroads an equal chance in competition with Canadian railroads. Such action, in the judgment of the committee, is in the interest not only of American railroads, and especially American transcontinental lines, but in the interest of American commerce and of the general prosperity of the American people.

Even that was not all. In the following year, President Harrison again approached the subject, and again approached it in the same hostile spirit, and approached it, as the committee of the Senate had done, from the point of view of American railways. He made the following recommendation to Congress in a message dated November, 1893:

The statutes relating to the transportation of merchandise between the United States and the British possessions should be the subject of revision. The treasury regulations have given to these laws a construction and a scope that I do not think was contemplated by Congress. A policy adapted to the new conditions growing in part out of the construction of the Canadian Pacific Railroad, should be declared, and the business placed upon a basis more just to our people and to our transportation companies. If we continue the policy of supervising rates and requiring that they shall be equal and reasonable upon the railroads of the United States, we cannot in fairness at the same time give these unusual facilities for competition to Canadian roads that are free to pursue the practices /s to cut rates and favored rates that we condemn and punish if practised by our own railroads.

I regret that circumstances prevented an earlier examination by me of these questions, but submit now these views in the hope that they may lead to a revision of the laws upon a safer and juster busis.

#### Canada Must Provide Her Own Facilities.

Fortunately, sir, up to this moment, have essaped the danger with which, on repeated occasions, we have been threatened. But, sir, what would happen if at any moment there should come one of those frenzies, one of those periods of excitement which we have seen sometimes amongst nations, the American nation included? At any moment we may be deprived of the bonding privilege which we have had up to the present. The only way whereby we can contemplate such a contingency with equanimity is to provide against it, and to have upon our own territory all the facilities by which we can get access to our own harbors. Sir. our relations to-day with our American neighbors are friendly; they were never more so, and I hope they will so continue. For my part—I never made any secret of it—I have the greatest possible admiration for the American people. I have always admired their many strong qualities. But I have found in the short experience during which it has been my privilege and my fortune to be placed at the head of affairs, by the will of the Canadian people, that the best and most effective way to maintain friendship with our American neighbors is to be absolutely independent of them. These are the reasons why we apply to parlament to give its countenance to the policy which I have outlined, a policy which will give to this new transcontinental railway its terminals in our own harbors, and an all-Canadian route to reach them.

### Will Not Injure the Intercolonial.

Now, sir, let me call your attention to some of the objections taken against this proposition, which we have seen and heard in the press. They tell us: If you build this railway right through you will injure the Intercolonial. Sir, I do not admit that we would injure the Intercolonial, because I have no dcubt and I submit it to the judgment. the intelligence and experience of every man in this House, that there will be trade and business enough coming from the west, not only for one road, but for two roads, if not more. But, after all, I say to our friends on the north shore who may perhaps feel that the Intercolonial might be prejudiced by this new line, that there is nothing to be feared in this respect, because the new

road will not prejudice the consiliuency which is served by the Intercolonial: the business of the new road will be created by the road itself, it will be a business which is not in existence to-day. Again, let me say this to the members of the House, and I submit it to the Canadian people as well, that even if the Intercolonial were injured to some extent, were to lose some traf-fic, let me ask this question: Does the Intercolonial exist for the Canadian people or the Canadian people for the Intercolonial? Are we to be told that if we made an error we cannot correct it, if we injure somebody we cannot repair the injury? Sir, are we to be told that if we are to have traffic from the east to the west, the people of the west must not have the benefit of the shortest route, or that the people of the east must not have the benefit of this western line? No, Sir, if it comes to be a question between the management of the Intercolonial and the interest of the Canadian people, we leave the issue in perfect confidence to the judgment of the Canadian people.

# The Eastern Section to be a Railway Highway.

Now, sir, I have to pass to another point of this scheme. The public has been made aware already, by the correspondence which has been exchanged between myself and my hon, friend the late minister of railways and canals, that it is proposed to divide this line of railway which is to extend from Moncten to the Pacific ocean, into two sections. One section, that from Moncton to Winnipeg ,is to be built by the government; the other section, that from Winnipeg to the Pacific ocean, is to be built, owned and operated by a company. I know that this policy has already been the subject of much criticism. We are told that we are keeping to ourselves the unproductive portion of the road, while we are leaving to a company the productive portion of it. This criticism might have a good deal of force in it if we were ourselves to operate the line which is to be built by the government. But we have made a contract whereby this line is to be operated, not by ourselves but by that company, which agrees to pay us a rental at the rate of three per eent. per annum upon cost of construction. Therefore, let our friends on the other side of the House also, take note ofthis fact, that with the exception of a few years of interest to which I shall allude later on, we shall have this portion of the railway built by the government from Moneton to Winnipeg without the cost of one dollar to the Canadian people. We shall have to advance the money, and we shall have to pay interest upon it, but we shall receive interest upon it at the same rate; so that whatever we give with one hand we shall receive back with the other. To this extent there is no risk whatever assumed by the Canadian government or the Canadian people.

his

WE

str

Th

sh

wi

lef

of

bu

asl

to

ha

fro

Ch

lak

II

ord

Th

no

of

in

ble

Ev

W

hav

be.

hav

shi

and

all

me

der

tice

que

me

we

sion

this

gen

of 1

will

of r

mis

send

to .

coll

ita i

mar

govi

ness

ing

reas

sior.

in e

way

a pi

to t

or

to

But, why did we keep this section of the road in our hands? Why did we not give it to the company to build as the other section? We did it because we want to keep that section of the line which is to be the exit of the productive portion of the west, in our own hands so as to be able to regulate the traffic over it. The prairie section will be teeming with business, as we know; it will be teeming with activity, as we know. Already there are three lines of railway, the Canadian Pacific, the Great Northern and the Canadian Northern; and this one will be still Other roads are also going another. to be built there to meet the increasing wants of the people. The Canadian Pacific railway has its exit on the north shore of Lake Superior; those other railways have no exit. It is our intention that this road shall be kept and maintained under our supervision, so that all railways may get the benefit of it, so that the Canadian people may not be compelled to build another road across that section of country. This is the reason why we have adopted a policy which I shall supplement later on by other explanations.

# Why the G. T. P. Builds the Western Section.

Now, I will be asked: Why do you not retain also the western section? Why do you not continue building by the government the railway from Winnipeg to the Pacific ocean? We came to the conclusion to have that rallway built and operated by a company for the reason that we do not believe, under existing circumstances, and for many years, perhaps for many generations to come, it will be possible, with such activity as may be developed in that section of the country, successfully to operate it as a govern-I may be blassed and ment road. prejudiced on this question. I formed my opinions some years ago, and perhaps I may be permitted to relate a personal experience which went far to form my own conviction in this regard. In the fall of 1896, Mr. J. R.

Booth, of this city, invited me to visit his railway, the Canada Atlantic railway, which was then in process of construction. I accepted the invitation. struction. I accepted the form of the fact that the shores of the Georgian bay, perhaps within half a mile of it. When we within half a mile of it. When we left the train we walked to the shore of the lake, a bleak shore with not a building upon it. Mr. Booth said: this is the terminus of my railway. 1 asked Mr. Booth: Where is the trade to come from? His answer was: "I have to create it, I have to collect it from Port Arthur, from Duluth, from Chicago and from both sides of the lakes. I will have to build elevators. I will perhaps have to buy wheat in order to furnish trade to my railway:" Then, I say, it dawned upon me that no government under such a condition of things, with everything to create, could successfully operate a railway in a new country like that. When this railway is taken to Port Simpson, there will be the same thing which I found on the Georgian bay in 1896-a bleak shore with nothing upon it. Everything will have to be created. Wharfs, warehouses and sheds will have to be built, elevators will have to be constructed, possibly hotels may have to be built and managed, steamships will have to built or chartered and trade will have to be brought from all points of Asia. Would any one tell me that government management, under such circumstances, could do justice to the undertaking in such a big question as that? Would any one tell me that the management, even were we to put it in the hands of a commission responsible to parliament, having to come here for appropriations for this and for that, would have that elasticity which would be a condition essential to the successful management of such a road Would parliament be willing to give power to the minister of railways and canals, or to the commission, to build or acquire steamships, or would parliament give power to send agents across to the coast of Asia, to Japan, to China and elsewhere to collect trade? Would parliament, in its disposition, as I have known it for many years, be willing to give the government power to go into the business of hotel keeping and hotel building? I doubt it, and for all these reasons we have come to the conclusion that it is better and preferable in every possible way that such a railway should be built and operated by a private company. Now, I shall come to this subject again, at a later period in my remarks.

The Country to be Served by the Rai way Known.

It is about time that I should tou: upon another branch of the subject An accusation has been made again us, which, perhaps, may have caus: some uneasiness and trepidation amou those who do us the honor to give ; their support in this House and o of it, and which would have been ser ous enough if there had been in it a) basis of truth. But, I am happy say that for that charge as for man others, there is not even a shadow foundation. It has been stated a: restated in all possible tones from the plaintive wail to the indignant protest that we are launching into rail way construction of gigantic magnitude through a country of which w know absolutely nothing, and without taking the usual elementary preca-tion of having a provious exploratio I say again there is not a shadow truth in this charge as I shall sho later on. But, before I proceed an further, let me say that the conce; tion which we have of this work which we are now contemplating is very di ferent from the conception entertains of it by some of our critics. our critics look upon this scheme sim: ly as a commercial venture to be jude ed by the only rule of profit and los We look upon it as a work of a nation al character necessitated by the statu of Canada in the year 1903, just as th Intercolonial railway was necessitate by the status of Canada at the open ing of confederation, and just as th Canadian Pacific railway was neces sitated by status of Canada a fe-years after confederation. Sir. whe the conference met in 1864 which la down the basis of confederation, passed a resolution affirming necessity of the immediate struction of the Intercolonial rai way. It passed this resolution without waiting to have survey and explorations of the ground to as certain whether or not all parts of thi railway would be equally productive It passed this resolution as it con ceived it to be a work of political necessity, and if it was a work of political tical necessity, it had to be built, cowhat it might. When, a few year later, upon the accession of Britis Columbia to the confederation, government of the day pledged then selves, in favor of the building of highway across the Rocky mountain in order to bind the new province the Pacific to the rest of the Domiion, they did it although they suppos-

ed that all sections of the country would not be equally productive, but they did it in the faith that the weaker sections would be carried by the stronger sections. If our conception of this railway is the correct and true one, as we contend it is, that it is a work of a national character, then, it follows that this railway has to be built from ocean to ocean to connect the tidal waters of the Atlantic with the tidal waters of the Pacific though we know in advance, though we are sure that all sections of it will not be equal in fertility, in resources and in productiveness. In that consideration alone there would have been cause sufficient for us to go on with this work without any previous explorations, but I have to say this more that we have a wealth of information on this subject. We have more information upon this scheme than ever was possessed by former governments when they decided upon the Intercolonial railway or the Canadian Pacific railway. I do not expect even the most fastidious of our critics will ask tra that we should have had an exploration across the prairies. They are satisfied that we have enough information now to deal with this question so far as the prairies are concerned. But, I shall be asked: What about the Rocky mountains? My answer in regard to the Rocky mountains is that we have mountains of information, We have mountains of books, pamphlets and reports-books, pamphlets and reports from traders, from explorers, from engineers. From the early days, when the French setled upon the shores of the St. Lawrence, the constant aim of those hardy pioneers was to reach that western sea now familiar to us, at that time unknown, and which inspired the awe of Samuel de everything unknown. Champlain devoted years to the task in the hope of finding a passage to the sea. Robert Cavelier de La Salle lost his life in the attempt. Another man, LaVerendrye, took an overland journey to reach it by exploring the pra-Iries, and his two sons, on the 1st January, 1743, were the first Europeans to cast eyes upon the Rocky mountains. LaVerendrye himself lost his life like Robert Cavelier de La Salle in the attempt to get to the Pacific ocean. After the country had passed under the sovereignty of the British crown the task was resumed by Scotch traders established in Montreal, and in 1793, Alexander Mackenzie was the first white man to reach the Pacific ocean across the mountains by the overland journey. Many private individuals subsequently attempted and performed the same feat. The last of them was Captain Butler, of the British army, who in the winter of 1872 crossed the continent from Fort a-la-Corne at the forks of the Saskatchewan by way of the Peace river to the Pacific ocean.

#### Surveys From Lake Abitibi to the Pacific.

0

8

e

O.

en

B

đ

lu

le

la

nt

th

Ar Ti

tic

m

đe

ve

W1

alc

for

po

rai

mi

me

ley

lef

lan

the

sto

two

In that year, 1872, the Canadian government undertook a systematic and scientific exploration of the whole northern region from Lake Abitibi westward to the Pacific ocean. From the year 1872 to the year 1880 no less than twenty-eight expeditions were organized to visit, explore, and report upon that country. Their reports are all available to us now and during that time the sum of no less than \$5,-000,000 was expended for that purpose. Now, one district was specially examined at that tinte and that was the northern section of the Rocky mountains. Several passes, aye, all the passes north of the Kicking Horse Pass were examined and especially the Pine River Pass and the Peace River Pass. Mr. Marcus Smith, Mr. Cambie, Mr. Hunter, Mr. Gordon, Mr. Horetzky and several other, all en-gineers of eminence, crossed and recrossed that territory and became as familiar with it as they were with the streets of Ottawa. Any one who to-day would care to look into the reports now accumulated in the archives of the railway department will know that country just as he may know his own home. These explorations show conclusively that the best of all these passes may be found either in the Pine River Pass or in the Peace River Pass. It is not only a fact that there is a very successful way of crossing the Rocky mountains either by the Pine River or by the Peace river, but on both these rivers are to be found lands as fertile as the lands of the Red river or the Saskatchewan.

It is of some interest to follow the area of wheat produced on this continent from the early days of the 19th century. Wheat growing first began on the shores of the St. Lawrence; from the shores of the St. Lawrence it passed to the Genesee Valley in the state of New York; from the Genesee Valley it passed to the Ohio river; from the Ohio river; from the Ohio river to Illinois; from Illinois to Minnesota; from Minnesota;

sota to Dakota and from Dakota to Manitoba and the Northwest Territor-The wheat area tes, where it now is. is fast advancing towards the Saskatchewan river and in a few years it will pass over to the Peace river valteys. And when the Red river and the Saskatchewan river territory have been exhausted for wheat production have been given to mixed farming, then the Peace river and the Pine river territory will become the wheat producing centre of the world. Instead of giving my own opinion on this, it is perhaps better that I should give the language used by the explorers employed by the government of that day.

#### Captain Butler on the Peace River Route.

But before I go into that, let me quote the language of Captain Butler who was the last of the individual explorers to whom I have alluded. In the appendix to his work, "The Wild North Land," Captain Butler writes in the following pregnant language:

But should this Indian pass at the head of the Pine river prove to be, on examination, unfit to carry a railroad across, I am still of opinion that in that case the Peace river affords a passage to the western ocean vastly superior to any of the known passes lying south of it. What are the advantages which I claim for it? They can be briefly stated.

It is level throughout its entire course; it has a wide, deep and navigable river flowing through it; in its highest elevation in the main range of the Rocky mountains is about 1,800 feet; the average depth of its winter fall of snow is about three feet; by the first week of May this year the snow, unusually deep during the winter, had entirely disappeared from the north shore of the river, and vegetation was already forward in the woods along the mountain base.

But though these important advantages for this mountain pass, the most important of all remain to be stated. From the western end of the pass to the coast range of mountains, a distance of 300 miles across British Columbia, there does not exist one single formidable impediment to a railroad. By following the valley of the Parsnip river from the Forks to Lake Macleod, the Ominica range is left to the north, and the rolling plateau land of Stuart's lake is reached without a single mountain intervening; from thence the valley of the Nacharcole can be attained, as we have seen in my story, without the slightest difficulty, a line of country followed to within twenty miles of the ocean at the head of Dean's inlet.

I claim, moreover, for this route that it

is shorter than any projected line at present under consideration; that it would develop a land as rich if not richer, than any portion of the Saskatchewan territory; that it altogether avoids the tremendous mountain ranges of Southern British Columbia, and the great gorges of the Fraser river; and finally, that along the Nacharcole river there will be found a country admirably suited to settlement, and possessing prairie land of a kind nowhere else to be found in British Columbia.

#### Engineers on the Peace River Country.

That, sir, is the opinion of a traveller of eminence, but let me give dow the opinion of a professional engineer Mr. Gordon, whose report is to be found in Mr. Fleming's report for 1880, says:

Were it necessary or expedient to find a course for the Pacific railway as far north as the Peace River pass. paratively easy route is offered in this direction. Even at the wildest and most rugged parts of the pass, the mountains are almost invariably fringed by fiats or by gentle slopes of varying width. or two avalanche courses a few ravines. and occasional projections of rock would form the chief difficulties which are apparently much less serious than many obstacles that have been overcome on other Canadian railways. At its higher or western extremity the pass is not more than 1,850 feet above the sea level, and the current of the river which is very equable, is not more than from four or five miles an hour, where it cuts through the mountain range. East of or new miles an nour, where it cuts through the mountain range. East of the pass, for fifty miles till the canyon is reached, the engineering difficulties would probably be not much greater than those presented by an open prairie. the chief difficulty on this route would be found at the canyon where the river sweeps round the base of a solitary massive hill, known as the Mountain of Rocks, or the Portage Mountain just above the Hudson's Hope; yet even here although the work would be heavy the difficulties would be by no means insuperable.

Mr. Camble, in the same report.

The Peace river, which is the lowest known pass through the Rocky Mountain, offers a wonderfully favorable line for a railway through that range, and for sixty miles east of its main summits.

Mr. Marcus Smith, in the same report for 1878-9. says:

This can undoubtedly become the great wheat-producing province of the Dominion. In aid of its development, it pos sesses a noble and navigable river, which runs through its centre, affording easy means of collecting its produce, and bringing it cheaply to some convenient point, where it could be received by the rallway.

Mr. Marcus Smith, referring to the Pine River Pass route in another report, says:

There is further the important consideration of that, in the place of a bleak sterile country the line by the Pine river route would traverse an area of remarkable fertility—the fertile belt, or wheat-producing country, extends nearly 800 miles further to the west, before the Rocky Mountains are reached, than by the route over the Yellowhead Pass; a corresponding reduction being made in the breadth of sterile country to be crossed in the Rocky Mountain district.

## The Advantages of Port Smpison,

Now, sir as to Port Simpson, which is to be the terminus of this railway, it is also important to know what is the opinion of the engineers who surveyed the country at the time of which I have spoken. Mr. Fleming, in his report for 1878-9, says:

Port Simpson is possibly the best harbor on the mainland. . . of all terminal points projecting on the mainland and on Vancouver Island, Port Simpson is most conveniently situated for Asiatic trade.

#### Mr. Marcus Smith says:

There is really no harbor in the coast of the mainland of British Columbia, with the exception of Port Simpson, eligibly situated for purposes of foreign commerce. . It is easily approached from the ocean, and is the nearest to the coast of Asia of any harbor in British Columbia,

Again in another report written in 1878-9, Mr. Marcus Smith says:

Port Simpson may possibly be considered at present too far north for the terminus of the Canadian Pacific rallway, but it is important that the fact should be borne in mind, that by virtue of low altitudes and consequent easy gradients together with the moderate character of the works required to reach it, this terminal point offers advantages which would enable a Canadian line to defy competition for the trade with China and Japan.

# The New Ontario Portion of the Route.

This is not all. The section of country east of the city of Winnipeg and extending to Lake Abitibi was also explored by the engineers of the government at that period. In order to save time I will not refer to the opinion then expressed; but I will come to the information which has been callected by the government of the

province of Ontario, which in 1900 organized a special expedition entrusted to carefully selected commissioners for the express purpose of visiting and reporting upon that section of country between Lake Abitibi and the western boundary of the province of Ontario. In their report the commissioners speak as follows;

#### Agricultural Land.

The great clay belt running from the Quebec boundary west through Nipissing and Algoma districts and into the district of Thunder Bay comprises an area of at least 4,500 square miles, or 15,689,-90 at least 4,500 square miles, or 15,689,-90 at least 4,500 square miles, or 15,689,-90 are so, nearly all of which is well adapted for cultivation. This almost unbroken stretch of good farming land is broken stretch and Mattawa rivers. It is larger than the states of Massachusetts, Connected and Mattawa rivers. It is larger than the states of New York. The region of the state of New York. The region is watered by Moose river, flowing into swatered by Moose river, flowing into swatered by Moose river, flowing into swatered by Moose river, flowing into the state of New York. The region is watered by Moose river, flowing into the state of the tributaries, the Abdulances bay, and its tributaries, the Kenogami and Gooke. Each of these rivers is corrected by Moose river, flowing in width from 300 or 400 yards to a mile. They are fed by numerous smaller streams, and these in turn drain numberless, so shat the whole country is one network of water surge, affording easy means of communication with long stretches if for mavigation. The great area of water surface also assures the country against the protracted droughts so often experienced in other countries. The southern bundary of this great tract of fertile land is less than forty muse from Missinger and the country against the protracted droughts so often experienced in other countries. The southern bundary of this great tract of fertile land is less than forty muse from Missinger of land being one immense level platent of land being one immense level platent of land being one immense level platent of land being one immense level platent

In the small part of the district of Rainy river which was explored, the proportion of good land is not so great, but the clay land in the townships around Dryden was found to extend north in the valley of the Wabigoon river, with an area of about 600 square miles, or 354,090 acres. There are also smaller cultivable areas at various other points,

#### The Climate.

Another important fact established by the explorations is that the climate in this northern district presents no obstacle to successful agricultural settlement. The information obtained completely districts are of Arctic severity and the states are of Arctic severity and the severity are severity and the severity and the severity are severity and the severity are severity and the severity and the severity and the severity are severity and the severity and the severity are severity and the severity and the severity and the severity and the severity are severity and the severity and the severity are severity and the severity are severity and the severity and the severity and the severity are severity as a severity and the severity are severity are severity and the severity are severity and the severity are severity are severity and the severity are severity and the severity are sev

summers too short to enable crops to mature. The absence of summer frosts notture the absence of summer frosts notced by the explorers and the growth of all
the common vegetables at the Hudson
Bay posts must disabuse the public mind
of tais erroneous impression. The both
parallel of latitude passes through the
centre of the agricultural beit, and the
climate is not much different from that
of the province of Manitoba, lying along
the same parallel, with this exception of
course, that the winter is tempered by
the great spruce forests and the presence
of so large a proportion of water surface.
The country, too, has an abundance of
wood for fuel, building and commercial
purposes, and plenty of pure water every
where.

#### The Timber.

Another point equalled only in importance by the existence of a vast area of agricultural land in this country and its moderate climate is the fact that it is largely covered with extensive forests of spruce, Jackpine and poplar. The value of this class of timber, as everybody knows, is increasing; and rich indeed, is the country which has boundless resources in these varieties of woods. In the district of Nijosing, north of the Canadian Pacific railway line, there is estimated to the varieties of the part of the par

#### Water Powers.

A feature of this region, which it is well to note from an industrial point of view, is the existence of many falls on the rivers and streams. These will no doubt be utilized with advantage in the creation of economical power when the country comes to be opened up.

#### Conclusion.

It was not expected, of course that the parties would be able to make a thorough and exhaustive exploration of all the territory assigned to them, and the estimates here given of what has been reported are very conservative. Fotalling up the figures here quoted, however, we have over 25,000 square miles of good fertile land, or over 16,000,000 acres, and 285,000,000 cords of spruce or other pulp-wood. There are also numerous smaller areas, both of timber and land, but which are not included in these figures, but which will all be available when the development of 4fthe country takes place.

The country east of Lake Abitibi, in the province of Quebec, has also been explored, and explored several times, It was in the possession of the early French settlers, as far back as two hundred years ago. The French, I believe, had a port on Lake Abitibi in the seventeenth century. But it is difficult to summarize all the information with regard to that country, collectable in books of exploration. The Quebec government has had it explored in the last few years by an engineer of eminence. Mr. O'Sullivan, and his opinion has been summarized by another engineer. Mr. Doucet, in the following language:

From Roberval (which is a station on the Quebec and Lake St. John Railway) to the western limit of the province of Quebec, a distance of some 375 miles the line runs through a good farming count y, the soil being chiefly clay.

I need not continue the quotation, because what follows is simply an amplification of this sentence.

#### The Riches of the Route.

To recapitulate what I have stated on this subject:

It is established that the railway can be easily built across the Rocky mountains by way of the Pine river or the Peace liver.

It is established that along these rivers will be found rich prairies equal ir fertility to the best land along the Saskatchewan river and the Red river.

It is established that the railway built by way of either the Pine river or the Peace river would place us in communication with the famous Omineca district, famous for its gold mines, which to-day are idle because it is impossible for the miner to get access to them with his tools and provisions, but which probably, the moment we secure access to them, will become valuable and develop into another Klondike.

It is established that the region between Winnipeg and Quebee is a fertile clay helt, rich in good land, rich in timber, rich in water-powers, rich in althose resources when go to make a fine agricultural and industrial country. In fact, it is only within the last four weeks that an important authority on the lumber trade, the Lumberman, of Chicago, stated that this section of country would become the source of supply for the future wood-pulp and paper industry of the world.

#### A Railway An Immediate Necessity.

Such being the facts, what is the conclusion to be drawn from them ?

The conclusion seems to be obvious and imperative: That is, that we must at once provide for a railway to tap these rich and fertile territories. I will not dwell upon facts which are well known and patent to everybody. Our fertile prairies are becoming settled, and are going forward by leaps and bounds. Thousands and hundreds of thousands of immigrants are coming in every year. For one, two, three generations, at least, and perhaps more, these new settlers will grow cereals, and probably nothing else. They will have need of everything that is requir-ed by civilized men. They will have need of clothing, furniture and every other kind of manufacture. Then, sir, what shall we do? Shall we allow them to be supplied by our American neighbors, or shall we provide a railway which will enable our manufacturers in Ontario and Quebec to supply them with what they shall require? There is one thing above all which will be their chief need, and that is lumber. They must have lumber for their houses, their barns, their stables, and all their buildings. Where are they to get it? Not from the section of country where they live and work, for the lumber is not there.

But luckily for us, the other sections of the road, the section between Moncton and Quebec and the section across the Rocky mountains, are rich in lumber of every kind, and the moment the read is open there will be established at once an important trade between all the sections covered by the railway. Nor is that all. There is another branch of trade which seems to be forgotten or passed over at present, but which is also of the greatest importance. I refer to the cattle trade. I need hardly tell you, sir, that the foothills o. the Rockies are perhaps to-day the best grazing lands under the sun, and the herds of domestic cattle in those grazing districts are becoming as numerous as were the buffaloes of old. The breeders must find an exit to the ocean. This new line, by its shortness, directness and climatic conditions, is an idea! line for the cattle trade. The shipper when he lands his cattle at Quebec, St. John or Halifax, will have them in the ideal condition of being able to set at once to sea without any loss of weight.

#### The Trade With the Orient.

There is another consideration, in some respects even more important, and that is the trade of the Orient. All nations at this moment are competing for the trade of Japan and China, and there is no nation so well situated as Canada to capture that trade. Take a look at the map, and you will find that the route from Europe to the Canadian harbor is the shortest of any of the routes available to European merch-Take the route which will be ants. opened by this new railway, and you will find that it is the shortest of all the lines across the American contin-Again look at the map and you will find that the route from Port Simpson to the coast of Japan is the shortest of all the routes to that country from the American continent. All these considerations led us to the conclusion that it is our imperative duty not to wait until to-morrow, but to provide at once for the building of such a railway as I have indicated, if it is possible for us to obtain it on reasonable conditions.

#### The Contract With the G. T. P.

It now becomes my duty to lay before the House the conditions on which we are to have this railway built; and, unless I am greatly mistaken, they will astonish friend and foe by their superior excellence. I shall have the honor, before resuming my seat, to lay on the table a contract entered into between

His Majesty the King, acting in respect of the Dominion of Canada, and herein represented and acting by the Honorable William S. Fielding, acting minister of railways and canals, of the first part; and Sir Charles Rivers-Wilson, C.B., G.C.M.C.; the Rt. Hon. Lord Welby, G.C.M.C.; the Rt. Hon. Lord Welby, G.C.B.; John A. Chutten-Brock, Joseph Price, Alfred W. Smithers; all of the city of London, England; Charles M. Hays, Frank W. Morse and William Wainwright, all of the city of Montreal, in the Dominion of Canada; and John bell. of the city of Belleville, in the said Dominion, representing herein and acting on behalf of the Grand Trunk Pacific railway company, a company to be incorporated by act of the parliament of Canada at the present session thereof.

I may say at once that one of the first sections of this contract is to provide that the capital stock of the Grand Trunk Pacific railway, which in the bill before the House to-day is \$75,000,000, is to be reduced to the sum of \$45,000,000, 20,000,000 shall be preferred stock and \$25,000,000, shall be preferred stock and \$25,000,000 shall be preferred stock and I would ask special attention to this feature of this common stock; it is provided that the whole of the \$25,000,000 shall be acquired and retained at all times by the Grand Trunk railway itself. When we were approached by the gentle-

men associated with the intended Grand Trunk Pacific railway with the view of coming to an arrangement for the building of this line, strong and responsible as were the gentlemen connected with the enterprise, we told them that we would not act with them separately or individually. We told them that we would not act with them unless they brought into this enterprise the old Grand Trunk railway, well tried, with a foothold in every city, town, village and hamlet in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec, and there is the consequence of this first covenant between the corporators and ourselves. Then the preamble of the contract recites:

Whereas, having regard to the growth of population and the rapid development of the production and trade of Manitoba and the Northwest Territories, and to the great area of fetile and productive land in all the provinces and territories as yet without railway facilities, and to the rapidly expanding trade and commerce of the Dominion, it is in the interest of Canada that a line of railway, designed to secure the most direct and economical interchange of traffic between eastern Canada and the province and territories west of the great lakes, to open up and develop the northern zone of the Dominion, to promote the internal and foreign trade of Canada, and to develop commerce through Canadian ports, should be constructed and operated as a common railway highway across the Dominion, from ocean to ocean, and wholly within Canadian territory.

Section 2 provides that the line is to be divided into two sections—the eastern section, from Moncton to Winnipeg; and the western from Winnipeg to the Pacific ocean. Another clause provides also that the western section shall be divided into two sections—the prairie section and the mountain sec-

As I indicated a moment ago, it is our intention that the government shall build the eastern section from Moncton to Winnipeg, but it shall be leased to and operated by the Grand Trunk Pacific vallway. It is also provided that the western section, from Winnipeg to the Pacific ocean, shall be built, owned and operated by the Grand Trunk Pacific rallway.

# The Building and Operating of the Western Section.

Before proceeding further, perhaps it would be advisable to give to the House the respective covenants of the government and the company with respect to the building, owning and operating of the western section. If I do that, the other covenants with regard to the eastern section will be better understood. It is provided in the contract that the government will undertake to guarantee the bonds of the Grank Trunk Pacific railway for the construction of the western section to the extent of 75 per cent. of the cost of construction, the liability in no case to exceed \$13,000 for the prairie section and \$30,000 for the mountain section per mile. It may be asked if the aid of the government is to be given only to secure the construction of 75 per cent. of the road, where is the company going to get the other 25 per cent.? The answer to that is That it is provided in the contract that the company shall be authorized to issue a second series of bonds which are to be guaranteed, not by the government, but by the old Grand Trunk Railway Company of Let me read here to the Canada. House this section, as it is an important one:

Inasmuch as the bonds to be guaranteed by the government only make provision for a part of the cost of construction of the western division, the company hereby agrees that the Grand Trunk railway company of Canada shall guarantee bonds of the company for the balance required for the construction of the said western division, exclusive of the said twenty million dollars required the feather than the company is required to provide under paragraph 22 of this agreement, and the company may issue a second series of bonds, to be guaranteed as aforesaid by the Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada, to be a second charge upon the property described in paragraph 25 (b) hereof, and to be subject to, and to rank upon, the said property next after the said bonds so to be issued and guaranteed by the government.

I need not dwell upon the importance of this covenant on the part of the company. It practically takes away from the guarantee of the government all risk. There is not a dollar to be advanced by the government. We do give our credit and nothing else. And our guarantee of seventy-five per cent. of the construction of the road is to be supplemented by the credit and all the backing of the Grand Trunk Railway company itself.

### Rolling Stock to Be Worth \$20,000,000.

The company is to provide, upon the completion of the road, equipment to the amount of \$20,000,000. Let me quote the contract on this also—section 22:

The company shall equip both divisions

of the said line of railway with modern and complete rolling stock suitable and amply sufficient for efficient operation and handling of all classes of traffic to the satisfaction of the government, and the first equipment for the completed road shall be of the value of at least twenty million dollars, of which not less than five million dollars worth shall be supplied for the operation of the eastern division of the said railway, and the said five million dollars worth of rolling stock, together with all renewais thereof and additions thereto, shall be marked as assigned to the said eastern division and shall be held to be and form part of the equipment of the eastern division of the railway during the said estending to the ordinary practice of railways during the recording to the ordinary practice of railways during the said period of fifty years.

Now, Sir, I have to call the attention of the House to another important provision. We undertake to guarantee the bonds. But it is an important question, what is to be the character of the road? The answer is this: The character of the road to be constructed by the Grand Trunk Pacific company, between Winnipeg and the Rocky mountains is to be the same as that of the road between Montreal and Toronto.

The company shall lay out, construct and equip the said western division of the said rallway to a standard not inferior to the main line of the Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada, between Montreal and Toronto, so far as may be practicable in the case of a newly constructed line of railway, but this section shall not be held to oblige the company to construct a double track railway.

#### What the Country Contributes.

And I would add "before the time has come for it." Now, it will be asked: What is to be the liability of the government, what are they to contribute to the building of this railway, for they must contribute some-thing? What they contribute is simply this-the payment of the interest for a certain number of years. It is provided that the bonds shall run for fifty During the first seven years, the company are not to be called upon to meet the interest, which is at three per cent; that interest will be met by the government. After seven years the company shall pay the interest, if the proceeds of the road are sufficient But, if the to earn three per cent. proceeds are not sufficient to earn three per cent., the payment shall be made by the government. But, at the end of three years, the government shall

be recouped ..., y cent by the company. It is important that, from this point, I should give the very section of the contract:

ar

pe

DI

m

As

mo

go

oct

Th

18

are

str

pro

In

the

spec

app

nce

and

diff

the

in p

per

abat

in t

sect

W

The government shall pay the interest upon an amount of bonds equal to the principal of the bonds guaranteed by the government on account of the construction of the mountain section, accrulag due during the first seven years from the date of the issue of said bonds, and shall not have recourse against the company for any interest so paid. After the expiration of the said period of seven years, the company shall be primarily liable to pay the said interest, and should default be made by the company in payment there-of, or of any part thereof, the government shall pay the same and take up the coupons representing such interest, and any moneys so paid by the government under its guarantee, whether for principal or interest of said bonds, shall be held to be paid in discharge of the liability of the government, but not in discharge of the liability of the company with respect to the said bonds, and any moneys so paid by the government shall continue to be a charge under the said mortgage, to be given to secure the said guaranteed bonds hereinafter mentioned, and the government shall be subrogated to all the rights of the holders of the said bonds, the interest upon or the principal of which shall have been paid by the government, and the gov-ernment shall in respect of all moneys which it may so pay, be in all respects, in the position of holders of bonds in respect of whose bonds default has been made to the extent of the moneys so paid by the government, subject to the following proviso and exception, namely: That the government shall not, during the next succeeding period of three years following the period of seven years above mentioned, be entitled to exercise any rights of foreclosure or sale against the company or to take possession of the said railway, if the default of the com-pany consists in failure to pay during the said period of three years the interest upon an amount of bonds equal to the principal amount guaranteed by the government on account of the construction of the said mountain section, but any moneys so paid by the government shall be reso paid by the government shall be re-paid by the company to the government in the following manner: At the end of the said period of three years the whole amount so paid by the government shall be capitalized and shall be repaid by the company to the government with interest at the rate of 3 per centum per annum, or the company may at its option, repay the same in forty equal annual instalments, with interest at the rate aforesaid, or may give to the government bonds for the said interest so capitalized, payable in forty years from the date thereof. with interest at the rate aforesaid; in any event the interest so capitalized and the bonds so to be given therefor, if any, shall continue to be secured by the said mortgage to secure the bonds guaranteed by the government, hereinafter mentioned, and the said mortgage shall contain proper provisions in that behalf.

Now, what is the disposition for the prairie section The disposition with regard to the prairie section is that the company shall pay interest from the issue of the bonds; the government will pay no interest at all upon the prairie section. Now, Sir, what is the liability incurred by the government for the construction of this road from Winnipeg to the Pacific ocean? Assuming that the road across the mountains is 600 miles long, the cost of construction will be \$18,000,000, and the total interest for three years will be \$3,780,000. This is the whole of the liability which is incurred by the government for the construction of the railway from Winnipeg to the Pacific ocean.

## The Building of the Eastern Section.

I pass now to the eastern section. It is provided that it shall be operated by the company under a lease. As we are to build-a railway that they are to lease from us at the rate of three per cent. per annum, we have thought it advisable that they should have a joint voice with us in the construction of it. Therefore, section 7 provides:

In order to insure, for the protection of the company as iessees of the eastern division of the said railway, the economical construction thereof, in such a manner that it can be operated to the best advantage, it is hereby agreed that the specifications for the construction of the eastern division shall be submitted to, and approved of by, the company before the commencement of the work, and that the said work shall be done according to the said specifications, and shall be subject to the joint supervision, inspection and acceptance of the chief engineer appointed by the government and the chief engineer of the company, and, in the event of differences as to the specifications, or in case the said engineers shall be determined by the said engineers and a third arbitrator, to be chosen in the manner provided in paragraph four of this agreement.

When completed, the company will lease the road and will pay to us a rental at the rate of three per cent, per annum upon the cost of construction, whatever that may be. The same abatement of rent is provided also as in the case of interest on the western section. During seven years the company will be exempt from the pay-

ment of rent. For three years the company will be bound to pay the revenues and tolls of the road if they amount to three per cent; and if they fail to amount to three per cent, then the difference between the revenues gathered and the three per cent will be capitalized and added to the cost of construction, and the company will pay interest upon it. So that here again the whole of the liability which is incurred by the government for the building of that section from Moncton to Winnipeg is simply seven years of The sum total of the money to be paid by the government for the construction of that line of railway from Moncton to the Pacific ocean will be in the neighborhood of \$12,000,000 or \$13,000,000, and not a cent more, Now, sir, what is \$13,000,000 in the year 1903 ? It is about the surplus of our revenue over the expenditure. The surplus for this year will pay for the construction of this road. I will come presently to the objections which I see in the faces of hon. gentlemen opposite. They will ask me: What guarantee have you that the company will be able to pay that rate of interest? I will come to that presently.

#### The Provision as to Running Rights.

But let me first give to the House the provision we have made for the use of the railway for traffic by other roads. It is our intention that this lire of railway shall be a common helmay for all railways who want to use it, and for this purpose we have made a provision in the contract in the following language:

24. The said lease shall also contain proper and usual provisions.

(a.) Reserving to the government in respect of its ownership, present and future, of the Intercolonial and any other line or lines of railway, running powers and haulage rights over the said eastern divison upon equal terms with the leasees, subject to such reasonable restrictions as may be necessary to secure safety and convenience in the operation of all the traffic over the said division and subject to the payment by the government to the company of such reasonable compensation as may be agreed upon between the government and the combanny;

(b.) Reserving power to the government to grant running powers and haulage rights sufficient to enable any railway company desiring to use the said eastern division or any part thereof, to do so upon such terms as may be agreed upon between the companies, or, in case of their failure to agree, then upon such

terms as may be deemed reasonable and just by the government, having regard to the rights and obligations of the lessees:

(c.) Securing to the government, in respect of its ownership as aforesaid, running powers and haulage rights over the western division, or any portion thereof, upon such terms as may be agreed upon between the government and the company;

(d.) Securing to any railway company, desiring to make use of the same, running powers and haulage rights over the said western division, or any portion thereof, upon such terms as may be agreed upon between the companies, or, in case of their failure to agree, then upon such terms as may be deemed reasonable and just by the government.

(e.) Securing to the company running powers and haulage rights over the Intercolonial railway, or any portion thereof, upon such terms as may be agreed upon between the government and the company.

So that under this agreement an Intercolonial train can leave St. John or Halifax and proceed all the way to Port Simpson. Under this agreement, a train of the Great Northern or of the Canadian Northern can enter upon this road at any point and go as far as the maritime provinces. So we have guarded this point in every way, and I believe that in this respect the provisions we have made will command the full satisfaction of the Canadian people.

#### The Provisions of the Mortgage.

But coming back to the rental, I may be told, you have taken provision, you say, that the rental shall be paid by the company for all the time the bonds run, with the exception of seven years. What guarantee have you that this will be implemented? We have taken precautions upon this point, which I will now recite to the house.

(a.) A mortgage which shall be a first charge upon the railway, undertaking, equipment and property, tolls, rights and franchises of the company, including all equipment and property to be thereafter acquired by the company (but not including branch lines exceeding six miles in length or the revenues therefrom or the franchises in connection therewith, or such additional rolling stock as may, with the assent of the government, be designated and marked by the company as constituting the equipment thereof, and not including ships or any municipal or provincial grants of land, by way of bonus or subsidy, to the company other than for railroad purposes) to se-

cure the payment of the said issue of first mortgage bonds guaranteed by the government.

(b.) A mortgage which shall be a second charge upon the property covered by the mortgage provided for by paragraph 35 (a), save and except the rolling stock constituting the equipment of the eastern division, to secure the bonds to be guaranteed by the Grand Trunk Railway company of Canada as aforesaid.

(c.) A mortgage which shall be a charge upon the rolling stock constituting the equipment of the eastern division next after the charge mentioned in paragraph 35 (a) to secure to the government the rental payable in respect of the eastern division, the efficient maintenance and continuous operation of the said eastern division, and the observance and performance by the company of the terms of this agreement.

# The Grand Trunk's Interest to Operate the Road.

Now, you see we have provided a special mortagage to force the company to implement the conditions imposed upon it, and especially the operating of the railway. But, I may be asked: Will this be sufficient? There is a mortgage of \$5,000,000 upon the rolling stock; will this be sufficient to force the company to implement its promise to operate this road? have far more in this undertaking than the simple mortgage to which I have alluded. We have the Interest of the company itself to operate this road. No one doubts that the Interest of the company would be to keep the traffic of the Grand Trunk for that railway. The company will take it over its present line to North Bay, from North Bay over the road which is now being built by the Ontario government, and then, transferring it at the junction of this road with the proposed road, it will send it westward to the Pacific ocean. I wish to make my-self understood. The Grand Trunk railway company has an immense interest in getting to the fields of the prairies. Its trade to-day is in the province of Quebec and in the province of Ontario. It will take this trade from Toronto, from Montreal and from points eastward to North Bay, from North Bay, it will take it over the new line being built by the Ontario government to the point of junction with the present road, and then westward to the prairie section. Its interest there is manifest. It must operate that portion of the road. Otherwise it would never have gone into this contract. This contract is to give

b

0

0

It the privilege of taking its traffic in the prairie section from Ontario and Quebec, but the condition is this, that it shall operate not only that section of the road, but the whole of the road from Winnipeg to Moncton. It cannot default upon one part without defaulting upon the whole and therefore, we hold them tight to their bargain, and they cannot deviate from it.

of

80

ra-

11-

of

nk

.6.

ıt-

on

T-

n-

ce

19

te

е

#### Rates Under Control of the Government.

There is another important provision as to rates and tolls. We have provided in section 39 that the rates and tolls are to be under the direct control of the government, or, when the commission has been organized, of the commission. We thought it advisable at one time to follow the suggestion which has been made by my hon. friend the hon, ex-minister of railways and canals (Hon, Mr. Blair), to which he referred in the correspondence exchanged between him and me, to force the company to give us part of its profits upon the western section, but, upon consideration, it is our intention. instead of forcing the company to give us a portion of its profits when the profits reach a certain reasonable point to use them in such a way as to compel a decrease in the rates which are chargeable to the people who use the railway.

#### Traffic to Go to Canadian Ports.

Now, there is another feature of this contract to which I shall call the attention of the House. If we have gone into this contract, our intention has been, as stated in the preamble, to force traffic in Canadian channels and through Canadian waters. We have made it a special condition of the contract and this condition is expressed in section 42 as follows:

It is hereby declared and agreed between the parties to this agreement that the aid herein provided for is granted by the government of Canada for the express purpose of encouraging the development of Canadian trade and the transportation of goods through Canadian channels. The company accepts the aid on these conditions, and agrees that all freight originating on the line of the railway, or its branches, not specifically routed otherwise by the shipper, shall, when destined for points in Canada, be carried entirely on Canadian territory, or between Canadian inland ports, and that the through rate on export traffic from the point of origin to the point of destination shall at no time be greater via Canadian ports, and that all such traffic,

not specifically routed otherwise by the shipper, shall be carried to Canadian ocean ports.

Section 43. The company further agrees that it shall not, in any matter within its power, directly or indirectly, advise or encourage the transportation of such freight by routes other than those above provided, but shall, in all respects in good faith, use its utmost endeavors to fulfil the conditions upon which public aid is granted, namely the development of trade through Canadian channels and Canadian ocean ports.

# Railway Must Provide Shipping Facilities.

Now, to show that this is not simply an idle covenant, but that we mean what we say, and intend to implement it, I have to call the attention of the House to another disposition of this contract whereby we force the company to procure all the ships necessary at both ends of the line on the Pacific and on the Atlantic as well as on the St. Lawrence, to accommodate all the trade that is offered.

Section 45. The company shall arrange for and provide, either by purchase, charter or otherwise, shipping connections upon both the Atlantic and Pacific oceans, sufficient in tonnage and in number of sailings to take care of and transport of all traffic, both inward and outupon the said line of railway, or upon the line of the Intercolonial railway, as may be agreed upon from time to time, and the company shall not divert or, so far as it can lawfully prevent, permit to be diverted, to ports outside of Canada any traffic which it can lawfully influence or control, upon the ground that there is not a sufficient amount of shipping to transport such traffic from or to such Canadian ocean ports.

There is another provision which I am sure will be welcomed by the hon member for Cornwall and Stormont (Mr. Pringle), and it is that the company shall buy all its supplies in Canada. There is another covenant, and it is the last of those which I shall call to the attention of the House at this moment, to this effect:

The company shall within thirty days after the passing of the Act confirming this agreement and of the Act incorporating the company hereinafter referred to, deposit with the government \$5.000.000 in cash or approved government securities, or partly in cash and partly in such approved securities at the company's option, as security for the construction of the western division and for the first equipment of the whole line of railway, as provided for in this agreement.

# A Comparison Between the G.T.R. and C.P.R. Contracts.

Now, Sir, these are the salient features of the contract which we have made with the Grand Trunk Pacific company. Compare its terms with the terms which were granted to the Canadian Pacific Railway company in the session of 1880-1881.

Twenty-five million acres of land were granted to the Canadian Pacific railway—not an acre of land is granted to the Grand Trunk Pacific Rail-

way company.

Twenty-five million dollars was paid in cash to the Canadian Pacific Railway company and works were handed over to that company which had been built by the Canadian government at the expense of the Canadian people, the cost of which was at least, if my memory serves me, \$35,000,000.

Therefore the cash aid which was given to the Canadian Pacific Rail-way company was in the neighborhood of \$60,000,000—the cash subsidy which is promised and which is to be given under this contract to the Grand Trunk Pacific company will not exceed \$13,000,000 or thereabouts.

Under the contract with the Canadian Pacific Railway company for 20 years there was an exemption of competition—in this contract there is no exemption whatever. Everybody is free to compete with the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway company and the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway company has to face competition from whatever quarter it may come.

Exemption from taxation was given to the Canadian Pacific Railway company in a manner that is felt syen to this day in the Northwest Tentories and Manitoba—not one dollar of exemption from taxation is given to the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway com-

pany.

Now, Sir, I think under such circumstances that I can appeal with some confidence to the judgment of the House to ratify, and to ratify with earnestness and with joy the contract which I have the honor to lay upon the table. Canada has made greater sacrifices. I imagine, that any other nation in the world for the benefit of her people, in building railways, These sacrifices were rendered necessary on account of our geographical position. We border on a powerful country which had a long start on us in the march of progress and which was in such a position that it could well afford to leave railway construction to

the unaided effort of private enterprise. These greater sacrifices on our part were rendered necessary likewise by the immensity of our territory, by the sparseness of our population, and the imperative duty which was cast upon us of binding together all the groups into which our country is divided. Sometimes, indeed more often than otherwise, the terms granted were excessive and perhaps extravagent. But, looking back upon the history of the past we can all see that even in the face of these excessive terms the result has been beneficial.

# A Contract Free From Blemishes.

4 10 1

0

ti

We offer to the House to-day a contract which is free from all clauses which were the blemish of former railway contracts, and which is far superior to them in every other respect. Sir, it can well be said that of all the ir ventions for which the last century has been famous, perhaps the one invention which has had the greatest petentiality for civilization has been the discovery of the locomotive and the railway. Justin McCarthy in his "History of Our Own Times" writes. that when Sir Robert Peel was summoned from Rome to London to assume office as prime minister, he travelled in the same manner exactly as 1,500 years before Constantine had travelled from York to Rome to be-The writer remarks come emperor. that each traveller had only the power that horses and sails could lend to speed him on his way, but, had Sir Robert Peel made the journey a few years afterwards he would have covered the distance in the space of about forty-eight hours. The railway has been the great agency of civilization in the last century. It has done more to bind nations and nations together than any other human agency. It has removed old prejudices by enabling peoples and nations to know more of each other, and it has made union possible where but for its aid ignorance would have continued to sow its seeds of discord and strife,

The Canadian confederation would have been a union on paper and a union on paper and a union on paper but for the fact that the Grand Trunk railway, and the Canadian Pacific railway and the Intercolonial railway brought all parts of our country together to act in unison and to beat with the same heart. This new railway will be another link in that chain of union. It will not

only open territory hitherto idle and unprofitable; it will not only force Canadian trade into Canadian channels; it will not only promote citizenship between old Canada and new Canada but it will secure us our commercial independence, and it will forever make us free from the bondage of the bonding privilege. For that reason alove, in my estimation, it would be worth all the sacrifices and far more than we are called upon to make.

se

ov

st

is

st

E -

at

a

:у

1S

4 10

Sir, it is therefore with a firm heart

that I offer this scheme to friend and foe; it is with a firm heart that I present it to the Canadian people.

I am well aware that it will not be received everywhere with the same feelings. I am well aware that it may scare the timid and frighten the irresclute: but, Sir. I claim that every one who has in his bosom a stout Canadian heart will welcome it as a scheme worthy of this young nation for whom a heavy task has no terrors, which has the strength to face grave duties and grave responsibilities.

# SPEECH OF HON CLIFFORD SIFTON

The Minister of the Interior (Hon. Sifton): Mr. Speaker, the debate in which we are engaged at a stage that we may fairly say that the House is selzed in a general way of the views put forward by the government in favor of the proposition which we are discussing, and that it is seized in a general way of the objections which are put forward by our friends on the opposition side. We have, therefore, made some progress, and if the debate at later stages is to be illuminated upon the subject it will be necessary for us to confine ourselves more to the points which prove to be at issue between the parties, instead of discussing the general features of the scheme, which are now well before the country as well as the House. We have had exhaustive statements from both sides. We had an eloquent and able speech from the right hon, leader of the government, in which, with that ability which on both sides of the House, I think I can fairly say, we all admire, he placed before the House and the country his views respecting this great project. He was followed by my tion, friend the leader of the opposition. That hon, gentleman did not, it is true, have the printed contract before him safficiently long to enable him to give a mature and de-tailed criticism of its contents, and therefore he may fairly ask that this House and the country shall hear him

again. But, in so far as the general features of the scheme were concerned. they had been reported in the press without dispute: they had been brought before the members of the government following in caucus, and, with that enterprise which characterizes our friends of the press, had been fully and completely reported to the readers of the newspapers. Therefore, I think my hon, friend, the leader of the opposition, probably knew as well, when he came to listen to the Prime Minister's deliverance, the general features of the scheme which he should be called upon to criticise, as he knows at the present time. He did not shrink from the task which was imposed upon him, but he spoke vigorously and at length on behalf of himself and his party, and placed himself on record respecting this proposition. Then we had an address from my hon, friend from South Lanark (Hon, Mr. Haggart) who, by reason of his length of ser vice in this House, his service in the late government and the position which he occupies in the public life of the country, is well qualified to speak I think I do not misstate my hon. friend's position when I say that if was one of uncompromising hostility to the proposition of the government. Then we had from my hon, friend the late minister of railways and canals (Hon. Mr. Blair) a lengthy and exhaustive discussion from the standpoint of an opponent. My hon, friend and late colleague spoke with all the knowledge of a gentleman who had been a member of the sub-committee who were instructed to prepare the details of this scheme. Therefore, he could not claim that his information was not full and complete or that he had not sufficient time to go into the subject with great fullness since the speech of the right hon, the prime min-Ister. So that we may take it that what he has said is the worst that can be said, so far as he is concerned, with respect to the scheme before us.

Then we heard yesterday from the leader of the Conservative party in the province of Quebec the hon, member for Jacques Cartier (Mr. Monk), and I think I am safe in saying that his attitude was one of uncompromising opposition. We heard also from the hon, member for Hamilton (Mr. Barker) last night, and his attitude was equally one of uncompromising opposition. So that we have this fact thoroughly well settled, that from every portion of the Dominion represented by our hon, friends on the opposition benches, except from the westwe have not yet heard from our friends from the west-we have uncompromising opposition to the plan of the government in connection with this transcontinental proposition. From our side we have had a very able and convincing speech from my hon, friend from North Norfolk (Mr. Charlton), and last evening we had from the finance minister a speech characterized by that ability and eloquence which causes us all on this side to be so proud of him. Leaving aside for the moment the presentation originally my right hon, made by leaddifferent the phases subject were dealt by the hon, member for North Norfolk and the finance minister in such a convincing, exhaustive and conclusive manner that it would be mere impertinence for anyone at this stage to undertake to amplify the argument and reasons which they gave. But if I may be permitted, I will devote a few moments to a consideration of a few of the objections which have been raised by our hon, friends on the other side to this contract.

#### Mr. Monk's Objections Analyzed.

My hon, friend from Jacques Cartier spoke to us yesterday afternoon at considerable length, and the chief ground he took for opposing the bill

was that we had no information about the country through which we proposed to run the line from Winnipeg to Quebec. I understood him to take the position that it was unwise and imprudent to undertake to build a railway without first having had an actual survey made of the route. But it has been pointed out that the position taken by the hon, gentleman, and which has been reiterated on that side, is contrary to all business experience and practice. When people undertake a railway enterprise, they usually come to parliament for authority to go on, before making an actual survey of the route. They get first a general knowledge of the country, such a knowledge as justifies them in the conviction that a railway of the character they intend to build, can be built, and then proceed to get the requisite authority to make a survey and decide on the exact location. That is what was done-in fact I do not know that quite as much was done when the Dominion undertook to build the Canadian Pacific railway. Information was at the disposal of the government which en-Information was at the abled it to say that the railway could be built and they undertook to build it and they did build it.

And after the government entered into a contract for the building of the Canadian Pacific Railway, that railway did not follow the line of the survey which had been made at all, but went hundreds of miles away from where, according to the survey, it was intended the road should go. It will not be found, in all the practice regarding the initiation of great railway enterprises, that complete surveys are required before a decision is arrived at to go on with the work.

My hon, friend from Jacques Cartier raised another objection. He said the province of Quebec required colonization railways, and he made that statement in such a way as to indicate that the argument or proposition he was advancing was an argument against the scheme we were discussing. Well. I am wholly unable to see what it has to do with the proposition we are discussing. If the province of Quebec requires colonization railways, if there are districts in that province through which the building of colonization railways can be justified, then this parliament is prepared to deal liberally with any proposition for development of that kind when it is brought before us. My hon, friend has been a memher of this House for six years, during two of which he has occupied the

f

C

a

n

tl

position of lieutenant of the leader of the opposition, and up to this moment he has not brought before us a single scheme looking to the building of a colonization railway in his province. I do not see therefore how he can say that there has been any lack of disposition on the part of this House to deal liberally with his province in that There is absolutely no connection between the two propositions. We stand in the same position with regard to the province of Quebec upon that subject as we do with regard to the other provinces of the Dominion. As a member of a government I have never had any sympathy whatever with the agitation which has arisen and been fomented in certain parts of the older provinces against the bonusing and encouraging of railways.

to

10

13-

13

:0

te

У

0

28.

r

4

S

n

I take the position that it would be an act of folly, that it would be dis-astrous for the parliament of Canada to lay down any principle contrary to the encouraging of railway construction in this country. I have suffered politically somewhat for my faith, because in certain parts in the western country which are fairly well served with railway facilities, the people have been persuaded that the policy of policy of But bonusing railways should cease. am convinced that the view which entertain is sound and will appeal to the solid business judgment of the people in the long run. We are pre-pared to give effect to the policy of encouraging railway construction in the provinces of Quebec and Ontario, and the other provinces of the Domin-ion, including the old province of New Brunswick, where additional facilities are required.

#### The Practicability of Running Rights.

The hon, member from Hamilton (Mr. Barker) has addressed some criticlsms to this contract. I am sorry he is not in his place, because he has been placed by our hon, friends op-posite in the position of a railway critic and as being in some sort, after the hon, member for Lanard (Hon. Mr. Haggart), the railway expert of the opposition; and we are therefore to pay some considerable degree of attention to what he says. My hon, friend criticized the provision of this contract regarding running powers. regard that provision as most essential and important, as a fundamental provision, without which this contract never could have been made. That is the importance which the government attaches to that provision of the confracf, and therefore when it is attacked we find it necessary to meet the attack. And when this debate is over, I do not think that hon, gentlemen on that side will be able to say that any attack was made on this particular phase of the question which has not been thoroughly met. My hon, friend from North Norfolk dealt with that question

The allegation is made that the provisions respecting running powers are practical. In broad, general terms, that is the proposition that is laid before the House. It is said: Your idea about a railway highway. your idea about running powers is a good enough idea, but it won't work. What did my hon, friend from North Norfolk say? He showed that it is ng. He said: It is of actually working. no use for you to say it won't work; here is a road where it is actually working now. And what is the answer to that? I am free to say that I do not know. It was said that the arrangement could not work where the road was more than one division long, say more than 75 or 100 miles, for you would have to have engines, shops and changes of crews. And my late colleague, the ex-minister of rail-ways (Hon. Mr. Blair) excited the mirth of our hon. friends on the other side by the humorous description he gave of the difficulties that would arise in endeavoring to carry into effect what he represented as ridiculous and impracticable idea. Now, humor is a very good thing, and a very entertaining thing-but it is not argument. And when, after my hon. friend's humorthe hon, member for ik said: Your argument ous address, North Norfolk said: Your argument is very well for a lawyer, but here are the facts, here are two railway companies doing this very thing which you say is ridiculous and impracticable; what have you to say to that? And we ask hon. gentlemen on the other side what they have to say at this present stage of the discussion?

My hon. friend from Hamilton (Mr. Barker) has an answer to it, and to that answer I desire to draw attention. Let the House observe that the hon. member for North Norfolk spoke in the morning, and the hon. member for Hamilton, who is a railway man—I understand he has been a railway manager—a man with expert knowledge of railroads and familiar with the road to which my hon. friend from North Norfolk referred, and the country through which it runs, spoke in the evening. And what was the only ar-

gument he could bring against the facts stated to this House by the hon, member for North Norfolk? Why, the only thing he could allege, after a whole days consideration of this most important phase of the question, which goes to the root of the whole contract, was that the dominant railway, the Canada Southern, did not permit the junior railway to compete with it for local traffic. That was all he could think of saying. It turns out then that even that is not correct. My hon. friend from North Norfolk says that, so far as freight business is concerned, the dominant railway does permit competition for local traffic, for he says he has shipped the freight. There can't be very much mistake about that. And my hon, friend from South Essex (Mr. Cowan) says that, so far as passenger business is concerned, they do permit competition, because he has bought the tickets. There cannot be very much mistake about that. the alleged facts put forward by my hon, friend from Hamilton do not appear, so far as the testimony at our disposal is concerned, to be very conclusively established. But, supposing they were established, supposing that what my hon, friend says was perfectly true, and the railway company owns the Canada Southern did not permit, and was not permitting, the junior road, the leasing road, the road co-operating with them in the use of the line, to compete for local business; will my friend from Hamilton, or will the hon. member for South Lanark (Hon. Mr. Haggart), when he follows me, Sav how this affects the provision of the contract that we have now before us? The arrangement in the case of the Canada Southern is a voluntary arrangement; the dominant railway can allow competition for local traffic if it likes, or it can refuse to allow it if it Is that the case in this contract? This contract provides that the government shall decide the terms and the running powers. It is not a voluntary question; the Grand Trunk has nothing whatever to do with the subject; but the government, or the railway commission, or whatever authority the government may provide, will decide the terms on which these running powers shall be used. fore, let the House understand and mark well, that this futile, absurd and ridiculous objection is the only answer that can be made to the conclusive argument of my hon, friend from North Norfolk upon this question,

#### The Fleming Surveys of 1864,

Now, my hon, friend from Hamilton undertook to lecture the minister of firance (Hon. Mr. Fielding) as being a very innocent and easily gulled person, because, forsooth, that hon. gentleman relied to some extent upon the survey of Sir Sandford Fleming, made about thirty years ago. I would have gathered from the remarks of my hon. friend from Hamilton that there was great danger that the physical features of the province of New Brunswick had changed within the last thirty years. He did indeed make the suggestion that the surveyors of thirty years ago were not as careful and particular in gaining information as are the surveyors of the present time, Well, Sir Sandford Fleming, be it remembered, was the chief engineer of the Canadian government. instructed to procure the necessary information for the purpose of locating the Intercolonial railway line, As was pointed out by the finance minister, he made three surveys for the purpose of locating three practicable and reasonably good commercial lines, any one of which might have adopted by the government Canada for the location been government the Intercolonial railway. And what the hon, member for Hamilton, in his answer to the finance minister, asks us to believe is that, because that was done thirty years ago, and the report is an old report, and because the government ultimately decided that for political, military and imperial reasons, they would decline to take the better route, which Sir Sandford Fleming, though he did not recommend it. evidently thought was the better route, we are not justified in believing that that route is there at the present time just as much as it was thirty years ago. Well, it would be painting the lily to answer an argument of that kind.

#### Conservative Hostility to the Intercolonial.

My hon, friend from Hamilton then proceeded, being somewhat restless under the remarks of the finance minister respecting the attitude of the opposition towards the Intercolonial, to rebut, with some degree of warmth, the suggestion that the opposition were not friendly to that road. There is an old line asking a question which seems appropriate here;

Perhaps it was right to dissemble your love.
But why did you kick me downstairs?

nilton

ing a

arson.

entle-

1 the

made

hon.

runs-

par-

re-

r of

was

ting

min-

hat

his

sks

00-

for

the

1177-

ite.

me

110

on

it-

h.

m

re

h

it.

was

Our hon, friends on the other side have dissembled their love for the Intercolonial very successfully for the last five or six years. They have been kicking the Intercolonial downstairs and the late minister of railways and canals with it, on every occasion that they got a chance. They say they have not been hostile to the Inter-colonial. Well, when we came into power we found, as has been very truly said, the Intercolonial railway ending in a ploughed field. We brought it into the city of Montreal and made a modern and business-like railway out of it. We have spent millions of dollars to bring the Intercolonial up to date and make it a modern railway, and give the people of the maritime provinces a railway service that they have a right to be proud of and to be satisfied with: This government and the united force of the members of parliament behind this government, enabled the minister of railways and canals to come down to this house and make this proposition for the purpose of carrying out what we believed to be a sound and businesslike policy in regard to that railway. But where were the gentlemen on the other side? Why, Sir, year after year they have fought that proposition, every proposition, that we brought forward, inch by inch, tooth and nail, every day and every hour of the day, to the greatest extent of their ability. And not only that, but while this has been going on, year after year they have denounced the late minister of railways and canals; they have attacked the late minister of railways and canals, they have hounded the late minister of railways and canals all over Canada, in their press and upon the platform. And, Sir, we have the astounding spectacle within the last two or three weeks, after the culmination of their attacks, of the whole Conservative party joining in enthusiastic etrlause while the minister of railways and canals addressed the House from his desk; and we find them endeavoring to show to the country that this gentleman whom they have been Lounding year after year, whom they declared to be incompetent, and corrupt and incapable, is the greatest railway authority in the Dominion of

Now, the hon, member for Hamilton (Mr. Barker)—and I am devoting some attention to the hon, member for Ham-

ilton, because he has been put forward early in the debate, he has been put forward before the late minister of tive government, my hon, friend from Lanark (Hon. Mr. Haggart), he has been put forward even ahead of the leader of the opposition, to declare the railway policy of the Conservative My hon, friend from Hamilton took violent exception to what has come ing the argument that this railway is required in view of the possible withdrawal of the bonding am not going to discuss that question, because in my judgment it has been discussed sufficiently. The prime minister, the member for North Norfolk (Mr. Charlton) and the minister of tion, and I do not propose to repeat the discussion. I propose to devote a moment or two to the criticism of the hon, member for Hamilton. If I unsuggestion that another railway was of the bonding privileges, was this: That if the bonding privileges were withdrawn it would simply have the effect of driving the traffic to Canadian ports over the Intercolonial, and that we ought to feel gratified that such should take place; and we should devote ourselves to building up the Intercolonial instead of building another line of railway through Brunswick for the purpose of helping to take care of that business. I do not think I misstate the position of the hon, member for Hamilton.

# Limited Freight Facilities of the Inter-

Let us examine his proposition for a moment or two. At present time the Intercol. onial railway has certain equipment, certain switches, certain station yards, certain engine houses, certain terminal facilities. My late colleague, the ex-minister of railways and canals, says the facilities are not sufficient for the purpose of doing the business that we have to do at the present time. We have large appropriations before parliament at this session for the purpose of improving those facilities. We have been improving them by spending millions of money every year since we came into

We are told by our late colleague that facilities the are not sufficient as yet, and that millions more will required to enable that road to cope with the business which it has to meet under present conditions. Well, I do not know whether that be correct or not; I do not know enough about the Intercolonial to say; but I think per-haps we may all agree over that which we do know, which is a matter of common knowledge, that the Intercolonial has had all the business within the last year or two that it could do, and that its facilities are not more than sufficient to enable it to do the business which it has at the present time. The Canadian Pacific railway has a short line to the city of St. John, it has large facilities for doing business there and along that line. The Grand Trunk railway has a line from the city of Montreal to the city of Portland. It is a magnificent line of railway, well equipped in the best modern style, and it has terminal facilities which I am credibly told have cost from \$20,000,000 to \$25,000,000. We are told by the Grand Trunk people that the facilities which they have for doing business between Montreal and Portland are not sufficient now to cope with it.

Now, what is the proposition of the member for Hamilton? It is that the Intercolonial, with its barely sufficient equipment to do the business which it has now, shall take three or four times as great business of the Grand Trunk, that it shall take the business of the Canadian Pacific railway, and that the business of these railways shall be suddenly precipitated upon the Intercolonial railway, and that that railway shall be expected, with its insufficient facilities, to do business of the whole three. And my hon, friend says that that is a consummation to be desired. He says we should not be alarmed at a prospect of that kind, that it is something which will help the Intercolonial and which does not at all call for any action upon the part of this government for the purpose of preventing the quences which might flow from it. Why, Mr. Speaker, has he considered for half a moment what would happen in such a case a that? Why, we would have in the traffic of Canada confusion worse confounded, we would have a blockade which would throw into the shade the wheat blockade which took place during the last couple of years in the Northwest; we would have the business of the country, disorganized, because the business of Canada depends upon its export trade, and we should have millions upon millions of money of the people of Canada annually wasted on account of our inability to do the business which ought to be done over these railways. That, Mr. Speaker, is the contribution to the discussion of the railway question which is made by the railway expert of the Conservative party.

## Some Objections Noted and Answered.

There is another contribution which my hon, friend from Hamilton made. He pointed out with some detail and with great accuracy that the prairie section of the railway was easier to build than the eastern section; and that inasmuch as the Grand Trunk company would probably start up first to build, and would complete it as rapidly as they could, they would have that line of railway in the western portion of Canada, or a considerable portion of it, hundreds of miles of it, think he said, constructed and graded to do business before the government would have built the eastern section between Quebec and Winnipeg. My hon, friend then proceeded to show that the result would be that the Grand Trunk railway, long before the government line to Winnipeg was built, would be hauling out wheat from Manitoba and the Northwest Territories, and bringing it down to the lakes and sending it to the markets of the world. I may be excused if I do not regard that as a very alarming proposition. If the proposition is that before we get the line built to Winnipeg the Grand Trunk Railway com-pany will be relieving the congestion and raising the blockade in the west by taking the grain out by way of the lakes, the way our hon. friends say it ought to go, that is not a very alarming criticism of the proposition before We trust that that will be the We trust that the prognosticaus. tions of my hon, friend from Hamilton will come true that at an early date the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway company will to a considerable extent be able to relieve the conges-tion in the west. As to this argution in the west. As to this argument against the immediate construction of the Winnipeg-Quebec line, I would say that if it proves anything it proves that we should have started to build the line to Winnipeg two or three years ago, so as to be there in time. It certainly does not prove that we should not start now. just note in passing that my hon.

friend from Hamilton, like some other members on the other side of the House, argues in favor of the construction of another line by way of North Bay instead of going to Quebec or to the eastern provinces. I note also that my hon, friend from Hamilton, who perhaps thinks it is necessary for him to do something to bring about better relations with the late ex-min-ister of railways and canals than he has been having during the last few years, entered into an argument for the purpose of justifying my late colleague for leaving the government, and I think that my hon, friend was rather unfortunate because he justified my late colleague for leaving the government on the ground that he was not sufficiently consulted by the right hon. prime minister, but he forgets that that is not the statement of the late minister himself. My late colleague says that that is not the reason at all. He says that his resignation only had relation to the question of the policy of the government. So that, if my hon, friend from Hamilton thinks to pay court to my late colleague I am afraid he will find that this effort has not been successful. I note also that almost every hon, member on the other side of the House who has spoken has suggested that this line of railway will not have any return traffic and that the amount of business it will do is extremely problematical. I hope to say a few words upon the subject of traffic before I finish my re-I just note now for the purmarks. pose of marking the objections that have been made that this is one of the objections which has been raised very generally by our friends on the other side of the House. è

## The Ontario Survey Reports Reliable.

6 -

Then, Mr. Speaker, I note also that our friends on the opposside of the House, particularly my hon, friend from Hamilton and my hon, friend from Jacques Cartier (Mr. Monk), took strong objection to the accuracy of the Ontario government reports in regard to the country which we have to deal with and through which we are going to build this rail-I have had some experience in connection with the sending out of exploration parties and the organization of parties, and I have made an examination of the reports of these parties to which reference has been made. I have taken the trouble to look into the organization and constitution of those parties. I have read the instructions

which were given to the various members of these parties and I make the statement without any hesitation, and without any fear that it will be successfully contradicted that these parties were organized in a most comprehensive and in a most businesslike way. If there is any reason why these reports are not reliable that reason has not been made evident to this House and that reason is not open to the ordinary observer or to the person who ordinarily examines these papers. We have every reason. I submit, sir, to entertain the belief that these reports are in every respect completely accurate, and completely reliable in so far as they have gone. But, if our hon, friends on the other side of the House are not satisfied to take the reports of the Ontario government, if they are not satisfied to take the reports prepared when the party with which it does not agree politically, was in power, I think we can furnish them with a large amount of information prepared and procured by the government of Canada when their own party was in power, which deals very fully and very comprehensively with the questions which are at issue in regard to the quality and the nature of this country, and I shall take occasion before the conclusion of my remarks to indicate Friefly the nature of these reports and the method by which that information has been procured.

# No Abandonment of the Government's Intercolonial Policy.

Just now I desire to call attention to what I regard as a somewhat important criticism of the contract which is before us. When the hon, leader of the opposition (Mr. Borden, Halifax) addressed the House in reply to the right hon. Prime Minister, one of the important points which he made, one of the points upon which he laid great stress was the allegation, since supported and amplified by the hon, exminister of railways and canals that the contract was an abrogation and an abandonment of the policy that the government inaugurated and carried into effect when it brought the Intercolonial railway into the city of Mortreal. That statement has been made, inv hon, friend the leader of the opposition has attached great importance to it, has put it in an important place in his remarks upon this important subject when he was addressing the House and the hon. ex-Minister of railways and canals has amplified it

at considerable length. Let us for a moment or two examine the question as to whether that suggestion or statement is justified or not. It is an important point, it is a point that ought to be settled, and to-day, therefore, some consideration may properly be devoted to it. We have spent a large amount of money in bringing the Intercolonial railway into the city of Montreal. We inaugurated an important line of policy when we did it and we say that we are not abandoning that policy. We say we were successful in carrying that policy into effect that it has brought the results which were anticipated, that we are not abandoning that policy at the present time and that in no possible respect does this proposition affect that policy. The hon, leader of the opposition said that it this policy meant anything its logical conclusion was that we were going on with the Intercolonial railway to the great lakes. I make the statement that the hon, gentleman has not made out the truth of that proposition. That is an assertion which an examination of the facts does not warrant. The Intercolonial railway, as a matter of railroading, was brought into the city of Montreal for the purpose of bringing it into the commercial metropolis of the country in order that it might be able to do business in competition with Canadian Pacific railway the Grand Trunk railway upon equal terms. It was not brought there for the purpose of enabling it to do the grain traffic of the west. It may be a proper thing some time to do it, but the two propositions are entirely distinct. There is no connection between the two. The hon, leader of the opposition suggested further-I think his words were-that if that policy meant anything it meant that the Grand Trunk railway was to hand over at Montreal tion-I took note of the word used-its proportion of traffic for the scaboard. It depends upon what my hon, friend meant by the word "proportion," If he a half, or a third, or a quarter, or any fixed proportion then he was entirely wrong, because there is nothing of that kind in the contract. The traffic contract obligates the Grand Trunk railway to hand over to the Intercolonial railway the traffic which is routed by shippers over the Intercolonial railway. That is what it requires it to do, and if the contract which we are now discussing is carried into effect the position of the Intercolonial railway will not be altered in the slightest possible degree in any way, shape or form. Since that contract went into effect what has been the position? The condition has been, that the Intercolonial ratiway is competing for through traffic between Montreal and St. John with the Canadian Pacific railway short line, and with the Grand Trunk railway still shorter line to Portland. It was able to do during the last year, 1903, \$1,739,545 worth of through business. That was the through business of the Intercolonial railway done in competition with the Grand Trunk railway short line and the Canadian Pacific railway short line. The local busines of the Intercolonial railway was \$4,327,626 or a total of \$6,067,000. What does that prove? It proves, first of all, that the predictions of hon. gentlemen on the opposite side of the House, that the policy of extending the Intercolonial railway to Montreal was an absurd policy, and that their assertion that the railway would do no business was absolutely foundation-

# The New Line Will Not Injure the Intercolonial.

And, sir, I venture to assert that the criticism which they are making now, that the railroad which we propose to build will not do any business, will prove to be equally foundationless when the facts are known. The figures that I have given prove that the In-tercolonial railway was able to do a substantial amount of business, and that the Intercolonial railway was able to do it in competition with the Grand Trunk railway and the Canadian Pacific railway; both lines much shorter than the Intercolonial railway; both lines-and this is the point I wish to emphasize-both lines shorter than the new Grand Trunk Pacific railway line will be. Then, Mr. Speaker, if the Intercolonial railway under agreement can compete with the short line to Portland and with the short line to St. John, why in the name of common sense cannot it compete with the new line by the way of Chaudiere Junction? When you come to sift the arguments presented, when you come to look into the actual facts, there is absolutely nothing in the business absolutely nothing in the business position presented in connection with the traffic of the Intercolonial railway, which even suggests the idea that this transcontinental railroad is going to do any harm to the Intercolonial railway, so far as the through business is concerned.

It is said that the Quebec and Moncton branch of this rallway will injure the Intercolonial railway, by taking business away from it in a local way. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, in that that members illustration which is in their own experlence in matters of this kind. believe, Sir, that if they do address their minds to this experience which conclusion-a conclusion which I venture to say is justified by all railway experience on this continent—that the building of additional lines of railway where there are any considerable natural resources does not have the ef-fect of injuring the business of the first line. Experience will show all over Canada and the United States that the railways that are doing the worst business, the railways that are the poorest, the railways that are prospering the least, are the railways that are alone. That is the experience siderable natural resources and which has any capacity to develop trade. Of course, if you run a railway through the desert, or if you run it through a region of rocks where no traffic can be got that would not be the case. But where you run a railway through a country that is capable of development and capable of sustaining a population, a new road, according to all experience, builds up its own business and in addition to building up its own business, by its drawing and general effect 1110 promotes the general business so that the old road will do more business than it did before the new road was built.

Why, sir, the Canada Atlantic railway has not done any worse since the short line from Montreal was built by the Canadian Pacific railway. I am told they are doing better. If you take the position of the Grand Trunk railway in Canada, everybody knows that the Grand Trunk Railway company never began to prosper until the Canadian Pacific railway was built, and came down to the province of Ontario, and invaded the city of Montreal and put new life into the business. The Grand-Trunk railway has prospered in a greater degree ever since. And what is going to happen in the province of New Brunswick and in the province of New Scotia when this railway is

bullt, and when through business is carried to a large extent—to I believe an enormous extent—through these provinces? In the first place we are going to have, even while the railway is being constructed, an enormous demand for the products of the industries of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick.

We are going to have business done in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick upon a scale and upon lines that have never been attempted before. And, what is going to be the institution that is going to prosper by the increase of business, and by the increase of general prosperity in these provinces? Why, Sir, the railway is the very first institution that the first effect of this prosperity and this increased business in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick will be to benefit the Intercolonial railway. am not in the habit of making predict'ens, and I am net generally very sanguine in matters of this kind, but I show whether my statement is correct or not-I venture the statement that the inauguration and carrying out of this enterprise will be the first step which will go towards placing the Intercolonial railway on a paying basis and making it a good and a revenue-producing property. I have never been able to comprehend the position of my hon, friend (Hon, Mr. Blair). I gument: I have never been able to see that there was any argument of any kind whatever in a business way, in the contention which he advanced with respect to the Quebec-Moncton

#### No Grounds for Attacking the Moncton Extension.

I want to say upon that point just another word, and it I speak at such length on this question of the Quebec and Moncton line, it is because of the fact that it has been made the point of resistance, the point upon which the attack of our friends of the opposition, and their press all through Canada—with the exception of the press of Nova Scotia and possibly a part of Nova Brunswick—it is the point upon which they have centered their attacks. I would not say anything further were it not for the fact that as a representative of a far distant portion of the country, I wish to express my view on the proposition to construct

that line. I entertain the view in the first place, that it is very surprising to me that the people who are going to be served by this new line should have stood being treated as they have been treated, so long, I express the opinion very emphatically, that if these people were animated by the same spirit as the people that I have the honor to represent in this House, and that my hon. friends from the west have the honor to represent, they would have had that railway before

They would have had the promises which have been repeatedly made to them and repeatedly broken, carried out. They would have had these promises implemented and carried out, or somebody would be made to suffer very severely in consequence. Therefore, I am surprised, I am extremely surprised that this railway which upon every possible ground of reason and common sense the people that are going to be served by it are entitled to get, I am surprised that it should be the one part of this proposition that is attacked with the utmost venom, and that it is held up as being wholly indefensible and wholly absurd. If we were being asked in this House at the present time to build a branch line of the Intercolonial railway into that part of the country; if we were being asked to subsidize another railway there, who would raise his voice in objection? There is not a man on this side of the House, and there is not a man on the other side of the House, who would raise the least objection to the construction of that line; and if a single man did raise an objection, the railway history of the Dominion of Canada would be the answer to that objection.

References have been made in the press-I need not multiply them now -to cases in the province from which I come, in my own county, where there are railway lines ten, twelve, thirteen and fourteen miles apart. Nobody talks about the infamy of paralleling railway lines there; and we are getting on pretty well, and the railways are getting on pretty well too. Take the position in the province of Ontario, with the Canada Atlantic and the Grand Trunk running on the average not further apart than this line.

Mr. Cowan.—The Canadian Pacific from London to Windsor, for 110 miles, does not run more than two miles from the Grand Trunk, and we subsidized it to do that, The Minister of the Interior.—We subsidized a portion of that line in this House, and was there a man who took the responsibility of dividing the House on the question or of saying that we were wrong?

Hon. Mr. Haggart.-What part of it did you subsidize?

The Minister of the Interior.—A part that runs not more than five miles from the Grand Trunk. I know that, because I scaled it on the map.

Hon. Mr. Haggart.—When was it subsidized?

The Minister of the Interior .- Three or four years ago; I cannot give the exact date. I remember it being discussed in council, and I remember the subsidy being passed in this House, I cannot remember the local name of the line. Now, Mr. Speaker, consider a few more cases. It may seem that we are wasting time on this point; but we are not wasting time when we are slowing that the principal objection which has been raised to an impertant part of this scheme is an objection that is perfectly absurd, and has not a particle of foundation. Grand Trunk railway and the Canadian Pacific railway parallel each other from Montreal to Toronto. How far are they apart? I looked at the map the other day and scaled the distance, and I do not think they are more than forty miles apart anywhere. They are not as far apart on the average as 62 miles. The Canada Atlantic and the Grand Trunk, running down from the Georgian Barrare no farther apart on the average than these two lines that we are speaking of. when Mr. Booth built the Canada Atlantic railway he was hailed as having achieved something which entitled him to be ranked as one of the great men of Canada, and I think that is right. admire Mr. Booth because of achievements, and I think he is entitled to respect and credit at the hands of the people of Canada for what he has done. But if Mr. Booth is entitled to credit for having built a railway to the Georgian Bay paralleling the Grand Trunk to get a share of the same busi ness, how is it that when you propose to build another railway in another part of the province you are infamous, and too much cannot be said against your proposition? I venture the statement that the longer that particular objection to this proposition is discussed, the more our hon, friends on

p

ti

W

to

80

fri

pro

to

pos

Bar

the opposition side will wish they had never raised it.

is

ok

ıg

I do not know whether we are safe in saying that the leader of the opposition party is against this contract or not. I have listened with some degree of care to the addresses which have been delivered, and I have not heard anything from the other side of the House in favor of the Quebec-Moncton line. I have heard a very great deal against it from the different gentlemen who have spoken, including the hon. exminister of railways and canals. I do not suggest that he undertakes yet to speak for our hon, friends on the other From the hon, member for side. Jacques Cartier (Mr. Monk), from the hon, member for South Lanark (Hon. Mr. Haggart), from the hon member from Hamilton (Mr. Barker), we have received word of what I take to be uncompromising opposition to the Quebec-Moncton line. Our hon, friend the leader of the opposition has not yet spoken clearly on that question. We invite him to speak. We invite him to say whether he, speaking as the responsible leader of the Conservative party, is prepared to endorse what the gentlemen sitting behind him have said, and what they are saying in the country with regard to this proposition. The people whom my hon, friend represents have a right to know where he stands. Sometimes, Mr. Speaker, there are difficulties and responsibilities in connection with the position of a leader of a great party which are very embarrassing; but my hon, friend will have to face the embarrassment, and he will have to tell us whether he elects to stand with the people of New Brunswick, the people of Nova Scotia and with the people, so far as the rest of Canada is concerned, who are supporting this government, or whether he elects to stand with those members of his party and a small remnant of the people of Canada who have undertaken to block a meritorious proposition. We shall listen with interest to what my hon, friend has to say on that point.

#### The \$5,000,000 Security.

I desire to refer to one criticism which my hon, friend the leader of the opposition made, and which requires to be mentioned and cleared up at some stage of the debate. My hon, friend referred with fine sarcasm to a provision in this contract which relates to the question of security. He read the clause with reference to the deposit of \$5.000,000, and spoke somewhat sarcastically of this clause as a states-

manlike provision. I will quote his own words:

In other words the company guarantees to build a railway as to which it receives a guarantee of \$13,000 per mile for one portion of it and \$30,000 per mile for the rest; and it deposits with the government \$5,000,000 as security that it will use the bonds guaranteed by this government.

If the company were depositing \$5,-000,000 as security that it was going to use the government guaranteed bonds, the sarcasm of my hon, friend would be perfectly justified. That would be not a statesmanlike provision, but most absurd, a most futile, a most ridiculous proposition; and I wonder that it did not occur to --- hon, friend the leader of the opposition, in the hasty examination which he made of this contract, that it would be safer, in his preliminary criticism, to give the lawyers of this government, including my hon, friend the Minister of Justice, credit for a little common sense and a little brains in drafting the document which they submitted to the considera-tion of parliament. If the hon, gentleman would give us credit for a little common sense and intelligence he would not put that construction upon this document. The hon, gentleman read the clause and therefore could hardly claim that he was not aware of its contents. By reading the clause, he made this perfectly clear, that the \$5,-000,000 are put up for the purpose of securing that the company shall build and equip the railway in accordance with the terms of the contract. What does that mean? It means that the company shall use the bonds guaranby the government and the bonds guaranteed by the BOCond mortgage in order to cure money for the construction of the railway, and also for its equipment to the extent of \$20,000,000, and we shall have a mortgage on the whole. I leave my hon, friend to explain this discrepancy between his version of what the contract provides and the actual facts. An off-hand statement as to what a clause in a contract means must be made with some degree of caution or serious mistakes will occur. It is not, I presume, a thing that can be lightly passed over, that when a contract of this description is made, when the utmost care is taken in the drafting of its provisions, when legal counsel are employed to draft, with the utmost care, security clauses, and when a large and substantial security is being put up, a gentleman occupying the responsible position of the leader of the opposition should wholly distort and misconstrue the effect of this important provision. My hon, friend must remember that the great Conservative party throughout this country will look with respect to what he says on this question, and therefore he cannot afford to entirely mislead them in regard to one of its important phases.

#### Carrying Traffic to Canadian Seaports.

I have tried, in the remarks I have made up to the present, to advert more particularly to what I consider the important features of the contract. I spoke of the question of running powers, and I said that that was so important a feature of the contract that in all probability, if that provision had no been there, the contract would not have been concluded. There is another provision of equal importance. It is one to which reference has been repeatedly made. I refer to the provision which relates to the question of routing traffic by Canadian ports, Certain criticisms have been addressed to that particular part of the contract. I may say that I agree largely in the view expressed by my hon, friend the finance minister, when he said that inasmuch as we were making a clear, distinct and unambiguous contract with a responsible company, with a reonably be expected to implement its obligations, we should have a good deal of confidence that every reasonable effort would be made by them to carry out the contract. There is carry out the contract. much more to be said on the question, and I desire to call attention to the nature of the criticisms on this point. I think that the criticisms of my hon. friend, the leader of the opposition, was perhaps the most reasonable. He said that this is a provision which you can evade and he left it there, except that he suggested that there was no penalty provided in case the Grand Trunk railway failed to meet its obligations in this respect. Upon that I shall speak in a moment or two. my hon, friend and former colleague, the ex-minister of railways and canals (Hon. Mr. Blair) suggested a most elaborate method of getting around the contract. He suggested that while the Grand Trunk Pacific railway would not know that the contract was being violated, the Grand Trunk rallway would send up agents over the Grand Trunk Pacific railway stations to in-duce people to route their traffic by

the Grand Trunk railway to Portland instead of to Quebec and St. John. That was the suggestion given by the ex-minister of railways and canals as a reason why he does not think this hon, friend from Hamilton (Mr. Bar-He says that the Grand Trunk railway would fic, but to let people route traffic at the same rates by St. John and Hallfax, if they wanted to, but the Grand Trunk railway would take care not to promote any official who would permit that to be done. Are the opponents of this measure driven to such absurd reasons as these against a provision of this kind in a solemn contract made between the government and the Grand Trunk Pacific railway? I would like to see my hon, friend the leader railways and canals sitting upon a bench, as the sole arbitrator between the government of Canada and the government that the agents of the stations, communicated with the peotraffic down to Portland, I would like to see the officials of the Grand Trunk Pacific getting up and declaring that they knew nothing at all about such proceedings. How long would a judge, with any common sense, listen to such an absurd, ridiculous plea. If it were a criminal case, there is not a court or a jury in Canada who would not convict the accused of guilty knowledge. We cannot put upon the interpretation of the contract any such ridiculous reasoning. How great a penalty would the hon, gentleman want? Would it do if we fine the company \$1,000? Would it do if we fine them \$10,000? Or would it do if we fine them \$1,000,000? If hon. menibers will look at section 35, they will find the following words:

For the purposes hereinafter in this paragraph respectively defined the company may and shall create mortgages to trustees as follows:--

Then, in subsection (c):-

A mortgage which shall be a charge upon the rolling stock constituting the equipment of the eastern division next after the charge mentioned in paragraph \$\frac{85}{6}\$ (a)—

That is, our own mortgage, not

-to secure to the government the rental payable in respect of the castern division, the efficient maintenance and continuous operation of the said eastern division, and the observance and performance by the company of the terms of this agreement.

My

at

1 3

the

on

ea.

3

17.6

if

m-

his

m-

he

ph

Upon that line of railway there is to be \$5,000,000 of rolling stock under a mortgage to the government to secure the performance of the terms of the agreement.

I have been calling attention to the fact that upon \$5,000,000 worth of rolling stock the government of Canada has a mortgage expressly provided not only to secure the operation of the eastern division, but to secure the performance of the terms of this agreement. And one of the terms of this agreement is that this clause shall be fully and completely carried out. If it is a fine our hon, friends want, there is a fine provided, amounting to the respectable sum of \$5,000,000. But I go further, I would not consider it at all advisable to put in this contract a penal clause, a clause that would provide, for instance, that a fine in the ordinary sense should be levied against the Grand Trunk railway for the violation of the clause-It would not, in my judgment, be an appropriate or proper way of arriving at the end we desire to reach. In drawing this contract, we are not making an amendment to law, making criminal we are contract between parties. the contract were between two private parties there would be no penal clause. Any lawyer will agree that while sometimes such a clause as a liquidated damage clause is inserted in a contract, yet, in ninety-nine cases out of a hundred, contracts between private parties simply state what the parties agree and they are left to their ordinary remedies in the courts. In this case, the contract is being made between a great railway corporation and, in effect, the parliament of Canada. The parliament of Canada has plenary jurisdiction over the other party to the contract. There is no body that has jurisdiction over the parliament and over the railway company too, and we cannot place ourselves in exactly the same position as that in The which private parties stand. company trusts in our good faith that we will deal reasonably and properly with them, and they are perfectly safe in so doing. If there is any doubt about the meaning of any clause in this contract, they may fairly say to Do not pass an Act of parliament to decide what this means, submit the matter in some way to a judicial and impartial tribunal, in order that it may be decided. In such case, we should not have the right to legislate upon a question that was fairly and reasonably a subject of dispute between us. But if we come to a clause which is absolutely clear, about sible dispute, and a state of fact arises in which it is clear beyond doubt that the company is wilfully, deliberately violating the terms of this agreement, will the leader of the opposition, or will the ex-minister of railways and canals, tell this House that the parliament of Canada has no remedy in the circumstances? Sir, the proposition is before a deliberative body. It would be the duty of parliament, in case of such a state of facts arising, to apply every remedy within its power; and it ample and complete with regard not gard to the Grand Trunk Pacific, I ment that, in case of a wilful violation of this clause, parliament would not have power, properly and without the violation of good faith, or proper practice in the premises, to take any steps necessary, in the exercise of its supreme legislative jurisdiction, to enforce the carrying out of the terms of

## Conservative Opposition to the Proposition.

Now, I have dealt with what seemed to me to be the main criticisms which have been addressed to this contract by our hon. friends on the other side. Looking over the trend of what has been said up to the present moment, I gather that gon, gentlemen opposite are opposed to this proposition, they are opposed to it with unanimity and, apparently, they oppose it with vigor They have certain grounds upon which they base their opposition. First, they say that this railway is not a pressing necessity at this time. Second, they are opposed to the Quebec-Moncton branch-except my hon, friend the leader of the opposition (Mr. Borden, Halifax,) and we shall hear from him Third, they are opposedlater on. and this is a point to which I wish to direct a little attention later on-to our construction of the Quebec-Winnipeg line. The hon, member for Jacques Cartier (Mr. Monk) tells us that the proper method of developing the outlying districts of the province of Quebec is by colonization railways running out from the older parts of the province, and my hon, friend from South Lanark (Hon. Mr. Haggart) expressly takes the position, so far as Ontario is concerned, that that province, he believed, would favor the development of the newer portion of the province, not by a through line, as we suggest, but colonization lines running out from lines already in existence. You will see, sir, that I am trying to define the issue. As I understand, these hon, gentlemen take issue as to the necessity of this work, and as to the method, and say that their method would be better and in accordance with the necessities of iscase. These are the between us. They say that 81108 the road will not have enough business to justify its existence or its construction. And lastly-I think these three or four points that I mentioned would cover the main ground upon which they object to our propositionlastly, they apparently decline to accept the reasoning which we have presented to them from this side of the House upon the financial phases of this scheme.

#### What the Road Will Cost.

Our hon, friends opposite view apparently with some degree of amusement the reasoning which has been presented to them, and the statements which have been made in respect to the financial effects of this contract, and the amount of money which it will take to implement our obligations in regard to carrying it out. My hon. friend the finance minister-and I may perhaps ask that particular attention be given to this phase of the question, Lecause I think it is the most important in the whole discussion-my hon. friend the finance minister took the provisions of this contract in so far as they relate to the financial features, and he went over it from end to end. He made a close, and a careful, and an exact calculation as to the amount of money which we should have to pay if the Grand Trunk Pacific carries out its contract. That was the nature of the calculation which was made by the finance minister. If the Grand Trunk company carries out its contract, then there is no escape from the conclusion which was placed before this House by my hon.

friend. You cannot get over it by laughing, you cannot meet the argument by jeering at it. There is only one way of meeting the argument that he presented to this House, and that is by showing that the Grand Trunk will not be able to carry out this contract. That is the only way you can meet it. If the Grand Trunk company carries out its contract, then we will pay just what the finance minister said, not one dollar more not one dollar less. We have therefore to discuss the question upon that basis. intend later to say a few words in legard to the question of traffic, but in the meantime I desire to point out one important consideration having reference to the question whether the government are taking sufficient guarantees that the company will out its obligations. In the first place, we have to consider what was well suggested by the hon, member for Brant (Mr. Heyd), who asked what would happen when the railway bonds mature. Upon that point I am not going to enter into a lengthy argu-ment, because I do not think it is necessary. I will, however, say this, which I think will meet with the im-mediate assent of every member on this side of the House at least, and I hope of every member on the other side, that so far as the payment of the bends at maturity is concerned, either upon the eastern section or upon the western section, we are perfectly sat-isfied that fifty years of development in Canada will make that railway worth a great deal more than the face value of the bonds. Therefore we may dismiss from consideration, we need not bother our heads about the payment of the bonds. The railway will be able to do much more than take care of the principal and the bonds when it is called upon to do so.

As to the payment of interest in the meantime, what you have to consider is the immediate security the government has for the obligations which are incurred. The security which the kovernment has for the fulfillment of these obligations is this: In the first place, the Grand Trunk Railway company have to find the additional quartive of the money for the construction of the western section; they find \$20,000,000 for rolling stock, and they put that \$20,000,000 under our mortgage; they put under our mortgage \$30,000,000 of their money which they provide as an additional security for the general purpose of carrying out this contract. I think, as my hon, friend

the finance minister well said last night, when he so fully, so clearly, and so ably discussed the financial phases of this question, that no parliament, certainly not the parliament of Canada, was ever asked to assent to an important financial proposition which was so buttressed with security, so impregnably fortified by all the securities that were required, as this proposition which we are dealing with at the present time. And yet, we are asked to believe that after while the Grand Trunk will fail to carry out its contract, and it won't go What does that argument amount to? What is the Grand Trunk going into this scheme for? It is because it has a magnificent system of railways in the eastern portion of Canada, because there is an enormous and growing traffic in the west, and the Grand Trunk wants to get in there, and by means of this railway it is going to get in. A. Heever, its thousands of n iles of railway all over Canada are going to be connected with the growing trade in western Canada, and they are going to do a large and profitable business in consequence. Yet, Sir, the suggestion is made that after a little while, after they have got that trade built up, after they are making milliens of dollars out of it, they are going to stop operations on this transcontinental road, throw it all up, and withdraw altogether from the busiress, as they will do, if they do not carry out the terms of this contract. and it is only by carrying out the terms of this contract that they can get any business from the west, or over the western line. So I think it must be clear that we may feel ourselves reasonably safe and reasonably well protected.

#### Will Be a Successful Enterprise.

A word upon another point, and I would like my hon. friend from Lanark to direct particular attention to it. I would like him to give his view as to what is likely to happen as a result of this enterprise. I would like my hon. friend, with his knowledge of this country, to say if he does not himself know, and believe in his heart, that this is going to be a great and successful enterprise. My hon. friend once had faith in the country, I do not know whether he has lost it or not. He told us some time ago, in fact, that he used to have faith in the Intercolonial and in its management, but that the management of

the Intercolonial under the late minister had destroyed any prospects which might have once existed of advancement in the policy of govern-ment ownership of railways. Now, the real question on which we are at issue with out friends opposite is the necessity of this railway, the immediate necessity of it. My late colleague, the ex-minister of railways and can-als, entertained the House at some length in discussing this question of the immediate necessity of the rail-He said that if there had been any demands made for that road he would have heard them. Well, I thought if what my hon, friend said was true that he had not heard any demands made, that he must have been suffering from deafness even worse than I am myself. I thought he must have been emulating the example of that celebrated character Rip Van Winkle, he must have been asleep, and he must have been sleeping soundly, or he would have heard the many and insistent demands, a very few of which I shall refer to, for the construction of a new railway to aford greater transportation facilities to the country in general.

But what I desire to advert to is not so much the attitude of the late minister, which was fairly well dealt\_with by the member for North Norfolk, but the attitude of our friends upon the other side, because, when the late minister of railways and canals said there was no immediate necessity for this railway, his remarks were received with the greatest of applause by hon. gentlemen on the other side of the House. We are, therefore, justified in assuming that they approve of the position taken by the late minister of railways and canals, when he stated that there was not sufficient necessity for this road, and that he had heard no insistent and strong demand for its construction. It is necessary that we should make our position upon that point clear. This is not a thing that can be passed over with a wave of the hand. It is a serious and important matter of business, and if the allegation is that twelve or thirteen members of the government have gone into the council chamber, locked the door, discussed this matter, and have, after a little talk with the railway managers, brought out a scheme for building a transcontinental railway involving an enormous expenditure of money, when the people do not want the road, and when there is no demand for it, it is a pretty serious allegation and it is an allegation that we have to meet. What are the facts in regard to that point? I think the testimony will be found

to be absolutely conclusive.

I desire, Mr. Speaker, in passing, to call attention again to the fact to which I made reference this morning respecting the bonusing of a certain line of railway in Ontario which was a very short distance from another line of railway. I made the statement that this line was four or five miles distant from the other, and I think that is admitted. As to the question whether we bonused the line or not, I refer hoa, gentlement to the Subsidy Act of 1899, in which it will be found that this country gave a bonus to a railway to parallel the Lake Erie and Detroit railway, and that these two lines are not more than five miles apart, as my hon, triends from that part of the country will know. There we have a striking example of the bonusing of parallel lines of railway, lines cenning closely into competition with each other and going through chaost the same territory. That, therefore, is a matter which depends on the necessities of the trade of the district in a particular case, and it is not to be settled by any general proposition that no parallel line should be constructed. That principle is so well recognized in this House that no one will undertake to dispute it.

#### A Misapprehension by the Opposition.

I want to call attention to some other points on which there has been In the Conservative press and in the addresses made by some of our hon. friends-my hon, friend from South Lanark amongst others, but I do not had not time to read the contract-in the government is charged with the interest upon the cost of construction. and which, if it should not be charged with, would mean a very substantial difference in the total amount of the liability. My hon, friend (Hon, Mr. Haggart) will by this time have dis-Blair), who certainly had every opportunity of reading the contract with care and deliberation, he also charged us with interest upon the cost of construction in his calculation. Now, if

you read the contract, you will find that the interest on the cost of construction shall be part of the cost of the road, and is provided for as capital in the ordinary way. Any person of ordinary intelligence will see that in the contract, so that there need be no dispute about it. Therefore, when we guarantee interest upon a maximum of \$30,000 per mile in the mountain section of this railway, the interest on the cost of construction, as it goes on for the five years, is included in that \$30,000 per mile, and that is the maximum of our liability. We have no charge for additional interest, to the interest apon that \$30,000. That is covered by the capital account when the capital account is closed, and it is the same way with regard to the cost of construction to that because in the opposition press calculations are made which should be bessened to the extent of some millions of dollars on account of this manifest error halo which they have fallen.

# How Running Rights Can Be Managed.

tion of the country how important we clause with regard to run-powers is. Gentlemen who in the eastern provinces, not know what from the seaboard, have no idea how out assistance of a very important and rie community west of Red river is in the position that they may develop their population and their trade to a very large extent, that there may be abundance of trade for independent lines of railway, in addition to these sider the advisability of constructing lines of railway, simply by reason of the fact that they cannot get out of the country for want of an outlet. In the other provinces you can get people to engage in a railway enterprise, but the opportunity to do that does not exist in the west, for the simple reason that a railway of 50, or 60, or 200 miles, is liable to be confiscated at any minute by the conditions which a through line of railway may impose upon it. Therefore, it is that we who come from the west feel that this clause in the bit which provides for running powers is very important to us. That clause can be so worked out that other lines of railway can make use of it, and it means that it will place the people of the west in a position of ladependence that no other scheme possibly could.

I want to say a few words on the much discussed question as to how these running powers can be carried out. It was discussed by the hon, memthe Minister of Finance, My hoa, friend the Minister of Fnance touched a point He suggested that there was whether in the matter of business it would pay to have it done. It will suggest itself at once to the members of use of that eastern section, and when the Canadian Northern railway, or western country, desire to make use o that eastern section main line, there is operating company put its staff along the line of railway, as is done in the case of the Canada Southern; and that operating company will act for the that may desire to participate in the advantages afforded by that main line That is a simple matter which requires only to be stated in order that it may he perfectly clear that the bugahor that has been raised in regard to this clause about operation is absolutely unfounded in fact, upon the question being examined.

#### Grand Trunk Pacific Cannot Hire Its Rolling Stock.

My hon, friend from Hamilton (Mr. Barker) indulged in criticism of some provisions of this contract, and I am bound to say that if the hon, gentleman were not a man of age and discretion, I should not have been disposed to take him seriously; I should have

thought that instead of addressing an argument to the House he was making a joke. My hon, friend's argument, as I understand it, was based on section 14 of the contract. I suggest to my hon, friend from Hamilton that in reading the contract, it would be better for him to entertain the idea that it is a serious business contract, intended to govern transactions in a business like way, and not specially drawn for the purpose of concealing some nefarious plot to be palmed off on the public. If I oright it was that section 14, which defines working expenses, as including in respect to the eastern division, money paid in respect of the hire of \$20,000,000 of rolling stock, instead of purchasing it and putting it on the contract. That is my hon, friend's the obvious purpose of that provision, in respect of the hire of rolling stock, is this, that if the company—as it will, as every company does, from time to time—has the use of rolling stock be-longing to other companies, the rent which is paid for the use of that rolling stock goes into the working expenses as part of the cost of running the road:

My ben, friend's argument, if I understand it aright, is that that clause is intended to let the company put rolling stock on the eastern division without owning it. They are going to borrow or rent that rolling stock, and what are they going to do with it when they get it there? Under the provisions of this contract, they are going to put a mortgage on it. That is my hen, friend's argument. Now, I do not know whether my hon, friend's attention has been much given to criminal law or not; but if it has, he will know that to mortgage property which does not belong to you is considered a serious offence, and I do not think it probable that this company will do that under this contract.

But, as I said, if my hon, friend had not addressed that argument to the House with the elaboration and care, which he did, I would not have thought that he meant it seriously.

My hon, friend the leader of the opposition has asked me to devote some attention to the question of the ownership of this rolling stock, and I intend for a moment or two to refer to it. Section 22 of the contract provides:

The company shall equip both divisions of Lie said line of raliway with modern and complete rolling stock suitable and amply sufficient for the efficient operation and the handling of all classes of traffic to the satisfaction of the government, and the first equipment for the completed road shall be of the value of at least twenty million dollars, of which not less than five million dollars worth shall be supplied for the operation of the eastern division of the said rallway, and the said five million dollars worth or rolling stock, together with all renewals thereof and additions thereto, shall be marked as assigned to the said eastern division and shall be held to be and shall form part of the equipment of the casten division of the rallway during the said period of fifty years and shall be used as the equipment appertaining thereto, according to the ordinary practice of railways during the said period of fifty years.

The first reflection that occurs to any one after reading that section is that if the company borrowed the rolling stock, they would have to borrow it for fifty years, and a loan of that kind would be somewhat extensive in its period. But, apart from that feature of the case, I may say that, while it is not my business to advise the government on questions of law, that being the duty of the hon, minister of justice, and while the counsel who were associated with the minister of justice in the revision and examination of this contract were clear in their opinions as to the meaning of it, those of us who are lawyers may nevertheexpress an opinion as to the meaning of that section; and I venture to give the opinion, without any doubt at all as to its correctness-and lawyers know that we cannot always give an opinion without doubt as to its correctness-that no court in Canada would hold that the company complied with the terms of that clause if they did not own the rolling stock and put it on the road as the property of the company. If there is any doubt about that, look at section 35, which

For the purposes hereinafter in this paragraph respectively defined, the company may and shall create mortgages to trustees, as follows:

(a.) A mortgage which shall be a first charge upon the railway, undertaking equipment, and property.

The company is declared by this Act to have the power to put a mortgage which shall be a first charge upon the property; and subsection (c) of the same section says:

A mortgage which shall be a charge upon the rolling stock constituting the equipment of the eastern division next after the charge mentioned in paragraph 35 (a) to secure to the government the rental payable in respect of the eastern division, the efficient maintenance and continuous operation of the said eastern division, and the observance and performance by the company of the terms of this agreement.

Well, Mr. Speaker, I do not think we need apprehend that any court that is called to construe this agreement could by any possible construction of this provision, hold that the company could borrow their rolling stock and send it there for the purpose of complying with the terms of this contract. I was speaking at one o'clock of the fact that our hon, friends opposite take issue with us upon an important phase of this question.

#### The Demand for the New Road.

They take issue with us as to the necessity for the railway, and I adverted to the fact that they loudly applauded my former colleague when he expressed himself as having been wholly oblivious of any demand for the construction of an additional transcontinental line. I, therefore, think it is wise to advert briefly to the evidence of such a demand and to the evidence of the fact that the government, in coming to the conclusion which it did, is not submitting a proposition which is without public support and unwarranted by public sentiment. Well, I venture to express the doubt as to whether any member of this House can recollect any important proposition ever brought before the parliament of Canada which was received with such unanimous approval from all quarters as the proposition that the Grand Trunk railway should build to the Pa-Whether the people would approve of the method proposed, is matter for discussion, but there can be no doubt as to the opinion of the people of Canada regarding the necessity for a new railway across the continent. We find the evidence in all shapes and forms. In the fall of last year, the board of trade of Winnipeg-that city which is the entrepot of the trade of the great west and which, in my judgment, will remain so and achieve great growth as the result of the development of that country-passed a resolution, most unqualified in its terms, as to the necessity for additional transportation facilities. In this resolution the board of trade said that the railway service had become so unreliable on account of being overtaxed that relief was absolutely needed. The passenger service had become so irregular, unreliable and apparently demoralized, that much loss was inflicted on business men. Then they spoke of the congestion with regard to freight traffic and other difficulties affecting transportation, and wound up by saying:

Therefore this board respectfully urges the Dominion government to take such immediate action as shall remove the grievous disabilities under which the people of Manitoba and fine Northwest Territories labor, and to take such further measures as shall effectually prevent a recurrence of the conditions herein described.

I find that the Northwest Territorial Assembly in the fall of 1902, passed a very strong resolution, in which they recited the many difficulties under which the people of the west were laboring in the matter of transportation, and wound up by saying that:

The prospective increase in the volume of traffic, which largely increased cultivation and settlement of lands in these territories will certainly create, will further tend to congest traffic between these territories and the provinces of the east, and unless it is held desirable to divert part of such traffic through foreign channels, adequate facilities for transportation must be immediately provided. That this assembly does therefore humbly pray that Your Excellency may be necessary or expedient to insure that the people of these territories are provided with an efficient transportation system as contemplated by the contract made uetween the people of Canada and the Canadian Pacific railway.

It will be remembered that this is an address or memorial, passed by the representatives of the people of the Northwest Territories, coming from all portions of the Territories, and fully aware of the facts and circumstances as they existed at that time. I find that last winter the Grain Growers' association of the Northwest Territories passed a strong resolution, in which they say that the country is not only being retarded, but the residents are suffering much deprivation on account of the scarcity of fuel and building material, owing to the lack of transportation facilities.

I see in the Regina Leader—not an editorial item—but a news item—stating that:

For many weeks past, first one merchant and then another has, in vigorous language, drawn the attention of the "Leader" to the fact that the condition of the railway traffic in the west is continually getting werse. The situation last year was bad enough, when for days and sometimes weeks, merchants were completely out of some lines of goods, because the railway could not ect them in. This year it is infinitely worse, for many business houses have been completely sold out of certain lines for weeks and months, notwithstanding the fact that their orders were placed in plenty of time and the goods promptly shipped by wholesalers in the east.

I find in last December an opinion given by a gentleman, with whom I have had long personal acquaintance, and who is better qualified to speak of the railway situation in western Canada than any man living. I refer to Mr. William Whyte, a prominent officer of the Canadian Pacific railway, and for many years general superintendent of that western division. Last December he said:

There is lots of room for the Grand Trunk railway in the Northwest. I'm glad to hear that they are coming. You must remember that the Grand Trunk railway is a national road, and it is far better to have it than an Amearican road. If the people of the east had any idea of the rapidity with which the country is settling out there, they would not be surprised to hear me say: "There is room for the Grand Trunk railway and others as well." The condition of affairs has completely changed even since a year ago. The traffic is not only abnormal cast-bound but also west-bound. It is this fact which has simply rendered it impossible to handle the crop with the despatch which was necessary.

#### Again he said:

In 1895 we had a large crop, as you remember, which was handled satisfactorily, simply because we could rush the cars back, and reload. To-day all is changed, and I don't suppose we are getting within 35 per cent. of the use of our cars for grain haulage we did last year, simply because they are used in other ways. The people are rich, they are purchasing in the east, and what we call our lake trade has increased 95 per cent. over last year. Then the fuel situation has changed; they are consuming more fuel as they become richer, buying more groceries, provisions, etc., in the east, so that the abnormal increase has been in both east and westbound, and in local trade.

My hon, friend from Macdonald (Mr. B. yd) made a remark last May. He said:

Still, as I have said, there is no denying

the fact, that they have not met the requirements of that country-

That is, the railway companies have

-and that, if they are going to remain in the country, and if, the country is go-ing to make the progress we all feel it ought to make, either the company must voluntarily do more than it has done. or else this government or whoever is responsible in the premises, must take steps to meet the emergency which now arises.

And my hon, friend from East Grey

(Mr. Sproule) said this year;
Now with the influx of population to that country which has taken place lately, what will it be two or three years hence when you have 490 million bushels of grain? Why, even twelve or fifteen railroads could not handle it.

#### Toronto Board of Trade Advocates the Road.

I have here a resolution from the council of the Toronto board of trade. As you go along and pick up just here and there a little of the evidence on this point as to the demand for this railway, the assertion of some hon, gentlemen that they never heard anything about it is slightly humorous, However, we have the resolution of the Toronto board of trade, passed on June 23rd of this year:

Whereas, the board realize the necessity of an outlet by the shortest and cheap-est route for the fast increasing pro-ductions of the Northwest country and the advisability of competition without he exravagance of the duplication of

Be it therefore resolved that this board urges strongly upon the government of Granda the construction by the Dominion of a line from Quebec to Winnipeg traversing the clay belt of northern Ontario and passing north of Lake Nepigon to Winnipeg, the use of such railway being permitted to all railroads under proper regulation.

Has the Toronto board of trade gone mad? Are these business men all fcols?

Mr. Kemp.-May I ask the hon. gentleman a question? Will he make it clear that that was not a resolution of the Toronto board of trade, but of the · council of the board of trade?

The Minister of the Interior .- I shall not attempt any answer to my hon. friend (Mr. Kemp). He desires this House to take knowledge of the fact

that there is a distinction between the most prominent men of the city of Toronto, selected by the business men of Toronto to be the council of the board of trade and their constituents.

I am referring to a question of fact about which there is a dispute. has been alleged in this House that hobody ever heard of the demand for this railway before. It is alleged that the government go into the council selves, then come out here and launch upon this parliament a mad, stupid, crazy scheme not worthy the support of any man of sense or reason. What do we find? We find that the council of the Toronto board of trade, the selected representatives of the business men of Toronto, next to Montreal the commercial metropolis of Canada, have solemnly put themselves on record as advising this very thing. my hon, friend (Mr. Kemp) had been desirous of accentuating this bit of evidence, he could not have done it mass meeting, perhaps acting without any very great deliberation, but a meeting of the council of the board, prominent men, responsible to their constituents, and giving their advice upon this question deliberately.

#### Opinions by Newspapers.

Well, I find the Winnipeg Tribune, my old friend, says that it is a good thing to have the Grand Trunk go west, The Winnipeg Telegram, equally friendly to myself, says:

The same confidence in the west we still have, and we have no more doubt that the west can support a third system of railway than we had in 1901 that it could support a second system.

This was on the 27th of November. Two days before they had a long article in which they said that if the Dominion government make satisfac tory arrangement the railway should be a good thing for the country, and the country could sustain the "Mail and Empire" had semething to say on the subject on November 25th last. My hon, friend the ex-minister of railways and canals (Hon. Mr. Blair) was good enough to point out that, of course, when it is known that the government has made up its mind to do a partieular thing, the party press throughout the country naturally falls into line and is disposed to advocate the idea

of doing this thing which the government has already determined to do. The suggestion of my hon, friend was that the knowledge that the government was going to take a certain ceurse had considerable effect upon the mental attitude of the members of the press. I may be excused for doubting that the knowledge on the part of the managers of the "Mail and Empire" that the government was likely to take up this project would very much influence them in its favor, Therefore, I quote the Mail and Empire as one of the few newspapers not likely to be subject to government influence in the way suggested by my late colleague. The "Mail and Empire" said:

Last year the crop was more than the Canadian Paclic railway, exerting all its great resources, could handle before the close of navigation. This year, the crop is still larger, and though the hauling power of the read has been very greatly increased, millions of bushels will remain to be carried after Fort William is closed by ice. Yet the traffic of our Northwest is but in its beginning: Instead of 70,000,000 bushels of wheat grown this year, five times that quantity may have to be looked after before the Grand Trunk Pacific is ready for business. Production will greatly thicken in the zone traversed by the Canadan Pacific and the Canadian Northern. But the wheat belt has been proved to be much wider than that zone. For north of the country served by existing lines, the finest hard wheat can be produced. There is plently of room for another railroad in the prairie country. The completion of the Canadian Northern and the Grand Trunk Pacific will not prevent the Canadian Pacific railway continuing by leaps and bounds along its career of prosperity.

And I find that on the 24th of November last the Montreal "Star" said:

That the great Northwest needs additional railway connections with eastern Canada is obvious.

Mr. Thomas Crawford, Conservative member of the Ontario legislature for a Toronto division, a large cattle dealer, an experienced and capable business man, said, as reported in an interview in the Toronto "News," on November 27th:

That to his mind, as a cattle man, it would be a good thing for Canada which would be a boen to the country and give us an assurance of good tims for twenty years. 'The time has come,' said he, 'for such a line, and to-day if it were in

operation it would do well. To-day there is such a traffic in our trade in Northwest cattle that some of them have now to be shipped in by Chicago.'

Then a leading official of the Canadian Pacific railway, Mr. H. P. Timmerman, general superintendent, according to the Toronto "News" of November 25, said this:

I believe the Grand Trunk Pacific will be of great advantage to the west. It will certainly not injure the business of the Canadian Pacific railway, for the output of produce is so vast that the question of competition will not be considered for many years hence.

#### Business and Railway Men Support the Road.

I find that Mr. J. R. Booth, an able and experienced railway man, whose opinion has great influence in this House and throughout the country, in an interview accorded to the Ottawa "Journal," is reported to have said:

There is room enough for all In the trade which is to develop in western Canada. I certainly think it is a good thing for the country, and in fact I don't see all the see all

That is what Mr. Booth said when he had before him the original project, when the Grand Trunk intended to apply for a charter, and announced that they were going to build to North Bay and make their business tributary to their present outlet. But as the House will have seen, we have changed all that. I find in the "Globe" newspaper of November 25, an opinion of Mr. E. F. Clarke, M.P., who is quoted as saying:

He thought that the proposed extension was second only in importance to the building of the Canadian Pacific ralway some twenty odd years ago. He believed that the development in Manitoba and the Territories would go on so rapidly that even this third transcontinental ralread would find abundance of traffic awaiting its completion. The fact that it was to pass through the Peace river district gave some idea of the immensity soft our country, for this district was fully 800 miles north of Toronto. He believed the project to be of the greatest importance, not only to Toronto and Ontaric, but to the whole Dominion.

In the "Mail and Empire" of November 24, Mr. J. R. Booth is again inter-

viewed, and expressed himself in favor of the project. In the "Globe" newspaper of November 25, I find the opinion of Mr. W. R. Brock, M.P. This paper, I believe, is on fairly good terms with my hon. friend, except politically. This is what he is reported to have said:

The new road will be the grandest possible thing for Canada. The Peace River district, through which the raliway is to run, will be our future granary. The engineer who first surveyed for the Canadian Pacific railway desired to go the route now proposed by the Grand Trunk railway. There can be no question about the proposed route, being a success in every sense, and an inestimable boon to Canada. It is better to have such a road as the Grand Trunk build the rew lines, because it will ensure compedition

Now, I do not wish to misrepresent my hon, friend, or anybody else. This opinion of his was expressed on November 25, before it was decided and announced that the line was to be built from Quebec to Winnipeg. These gentlemen were then in favor of the project. I wish them joy of ft, I want them to take the responsibility of it, and I ask them to put themselves on record again. These gentlemen must therefore be taken to approve of the project of a transcontinental line, and of the route of the Grand Trunk Railway from North Bay westward to the prairies to bring its business down to Portland.

I have here a whole list of important men of business, speaking on November 24, who expressed favorable opinions with regard to the construction of this railway, and to the necessity of its construction. I need not give them more in detail. I find in going over this symposium of testimony, the views of the ex-minister of rail-ways and canals, not only in the Victoria papers to which reference has been made by the hon, member for North Norfolk, but in the 'Toronto Globe' after he got back from his trip, after he had seen the west and had breathed in the invigorating air of the prairies. 'The 'Globe' represents, and no doubt truly, my late colleague as

. There is room for several railways in the west. With the business that will be created by the rapid settlement of the country, I venture to say there will be room for railways within twenty-five or thirty miles of each other. He said the extension of the Grand Trunk would be a good thing for the country.

Generally his views were favorable to the project. Later on my hon, friend the ex-minister was represented by the Montreal 'Star,' I do not know whether correctly or not, as saying:

I am free to confess that in my judgment the development of the Canadian Northwest and Brilish Columbia will be so rapid the next few years as to afford ample traffic for three transcontinen.al lines, and I am glad to know that the Grand Trunk Railway company seek to share in that development.

I simply mention that to show that the view which my late colleague entertained at Victoria, when he was in favor of three transcontinental lines, did not evaporate on the way across the continent, and that he still entertained it when he got here. Mr. Alexander McFee, president of the Board of Trade in Montreal, an important business man, doing a large business in that city, said on November 24:

The building of a transcontinental route by the Grand Trunk Railway means a good deal to the Dominion of Canada, east and west. The announcement means that one of our great needs is to be supplied. It assures the development of the Canadian Northwest along Canadian national lines. The new railway is required. The Northwest is ready for it. The country is in a state of rapid development.

The 'Montreal Herald' of November 24, reports Mr. J. G. Garneau. member of the council of the board of trade, as expressing a favorable opinion. In the 'Montreal Herald' of the same date Mr. W. I. Gear, president of the Montreal Corn Exchange, when interviewed, expressed himself as follows:

In the projected line of the Grand Trunk, competition cuts no figure. The country can support a new line and more than one. Besides, a little competition is a good thing for all parties, and a very good thing for the country. There is a lot of the Northwest that has not been opened to settlement. The proposal of the Grand Trunk, I believe is to open new country, and aid in filling the Northwest. The benefit to the country of such a ine as the proposed one cannot be estimated at the present time, but it is bound to be very great. The east and the west will allke share in the benefit.

Hon, Richard Turner, member of the legislative council of Quebec, Mayor White, of St. John, Mr. Jas. Carruthers, a prominent grain man of Montreal, commended the building of a new railway. Mr. H. D. Metcalfe, ex-president of the Corn Exchange. and a prominent grain dealer in Montreal—expressed a strong opinion in favor of the proposition for the building of a railway to the west by the Grand

ted by know

y judganadiau will be p afford tinen\_al hat the seek to

w that que enwas in l lines, across enter-. Alex-Board portant usiness 24:

rember trade, on. In the date Montrview-:

Grand
The d more tion is a very e is a t been sail of en new thwest. a line imated i to be st will

of the Mayor rruth-Monta new -presind a realvor of ; of a Grand Trunk. Although these statements, of which these are a few picked out at random without any special care, were published broadcast through the press, the position is taken in this House and before the country that no necessity exists for the construction of this railway.

#### The Growth of the West Demands Another Outlet.

I have a word to say on my own behalf as to the question of the future. We gave last year 31,000 homesteads. That means 31,000 farms taken up, and we expect to give the same number or a larger number next year. If hon, members will take the trouble to stop and think what it means to have 30,000 or 40,000 fertile farms taken up every year they will have some idea, though only a small idea, of what is going on in the west and what it is necessary to provide for. I think we can safely say that if we continued our present efforts in the way of immigration we will probably be able to keep the movement of population up to somewhere in the neighborhood in which sit is now, for a considerable number of years and if we do that we shall inevitably increase tremendously the production and not only that, but as I have pointed out and as the testimony which I have read points out conclusively, there will be an enor-mous amount of general merchandise to go back to the west and which this line of railway proposed may be absolutely unable to handle. The Montreal "Gazette" of August 13— The that is to-day-practically admits the necessity of a further outlet. In attacking the provisions of the government proposition, it says:

A line from North Bay to the Pacific, with such branches as the company thought it commercially wise to construct, would have been defensible and commendable and no one could have successfully attacked it.

I have one other authority, just one as to the necessity for a transcontinental railway. It would be undesirable that I should omit to mention that the leader of the opposition on the 26th May last, brought this subject to the attention of the House, and pressed upon the attention of the government a resolution of the Halifax board of trade which is sufficiently important to be again referred to in this debate. The hon-gentleman (Mr. Borden) placed before the House and the government particularly, the re-

### Trunk. Although these statements, of Folution in which it was stated as which these are a few picked out at follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the federal government for a charter to build a line of railway known as the Grand Trunk railway, from Quebec to Port Simpson, or Bute Inlet on the Pacific coast (said line to run south of Lake Winnipeg), and no provision has been made in application for the shipment of the traffic originating on said line through the maritime province ports in the winter season.

And whereas members of parliament representing maritime province constituencies at Ottawa have urged that the charter be granted only on the condition that the Grand Trunk Pacific company agrees to build the line from the Pacific coast to the town of Moneton N.B.

Therefore resolved that this board approves of the stand taken by the representatives from the maritime provinces.

The resolution then goes on to deal with the necessity for an arrangement by which the railway shall be built entirely through Canadian territory, that the rates of freight should be controlled and placed upon an equality; that the railway company shall be compelled to proceed with the construction of the eastern section simultaneously with the western section, and they recommend that the railway be built north of Lake Winnipeg, which is not quite so wise as the other provisions of the resolution, but which is no doubt due to the fact that the Halifax gentlemen are not as familiar with the country up there as some of the rest of us. Then they go on to say that the government be urged to adopt this route for a new transcontirental railway seeking government assistance, and the board also desires to express its opinion that the inter. ests of Canada demand that the Intercolonial railway be extended to the grain producing centers of the west. There is further on a telegram somewhat on the same lines which was sent by the Halifax board of trade to the late minister of railways and

#### Mr. Borden's Opinion Last May.

The leader of the opposition having knowledge of the fact that this resolution had been passed by the board of trade of the city of Halifax, in his own constituency, very properly brought it before this House and proceeded to ask if the government were then in a position to say to the House and to the country in what way they

proposed to deal with this transporta-

Was the government able to disclose to the House and to the country any really comprehensive national policy by means of which it may be able to deal with this question of transportation in the west and in the cast also.

I recommend these words of the leader of the opposition to my hon, friend from Lanark:

We knew that not only in the west of Canada as well, it is of the utmost possible importance to the people, it is vital to the interests of the people that they should have means of transporting their products to the foreign market equally as cheap and equally as available as those which are enjoyed by their competitors to the south of us, and we know that it is otherwise impossible for our people in the east or in the west to compete on fair conditions with their chief competitors, the people of the United States of America.

Now, has the government any policy upon this matter which it is prepared to disclose to the people of this country, and if it has not any policy at the present time, when may we expect that its policy shall be announced to the country.

Why, Mr. Speaker, if the prime minister had been forcasting the policy which we have laid before parltament be could not have done it is more explicit terms than those announced by the leader of the opposition in this House on the 26th May last.

I do not think that anybody will dispute that the government ought not to take a leap in the dark. We are not taking a leap in the dark. We are taking a leap exactly on the line and over the route suggested by the Halifax board of trade, by the business men that my hon, friend represents, on the exact route which they suggested, and which my hon, friend (Mr. Borden) not knowing and not supposing that the government did purpose to bring this policy down at this present session of parliament, brought to our attention, and practically endorsed months ago. My hon, friend (Mr. Borden) gave an intimation in another way that he had not a very decided opinion that the government was likely to adopt such a policy as it has adopted, and that he had in his mind the idea that possibly the gov. ernment would adopt the policy of encouraging the construction of the Grand Trunk railway from North Bay. Therefore, my hon, friend (Mr. Bor-den) in addressing the Conservatives of Ward 2, in the city of Toronto, on March 25th, 1903, as reported in the "Mair and Empire," spoke as follows:

While this great question of transcontinental railway extension-

I stop for a moment to refer to that in connection with the suggestion of my late colleague (Hon. Mr. Blair) who never heard anything about the necessity of a transcontinental railway. My hon, friend the leader of the opposition heard about it and heard about it so often that he was making it a subject of discussion before his party friends who were assembled to hear him in the city of Toronto.

While this great question of transcontinental railway extension is before parliament and before the country, we must see to it that the public rights are quarded. The postmister general in his address of last evening expressed views which were sane and sensible.

Flattering to my hon, colleague the Postmaster General.

At last he has realized that this country is a great one and can only be developed by a strong railway policy. In the past Mr. Mulock and his friends said that the Canadian Pacie Railway would never pay—

We have heard something about railways not paying, for the last day or two:

—and that British Columbia was merely a waste of mountains, but their views are changed, for which he himself should be thankful. I am glad to hear of Sir William Mulcek's noble and patrictle words. I am glad to hear of his conversion, but we as Canadians must ask some questions. Shall we take care do so guardany franchise we give that it must be exercised alone in the public interest. Shall we see that any railway receiving public assistance forms part of an all-Canadian route? But, gentlemen, the record of the government is not such as the believe that these questions with the regarded with the importance the deserve. If assistance is given to any transcontinental railway it must be an all-Canadian line, which will take Canadian products to Canadian ports, where they will be Saipped abroad.

That is the testimony of my hon. friend the leader of the opposition.

My hon, friend (Mr. Borden) succeeded in making his meaning very ciear. Now, there is some testimony since this project was launched. I find that Sir Sandford Fleming—a gentleman of great experience in connection with railway matters, formerly chief

onto, on l in the follows:

ranscor

to that stion of . Blair) out the al railr of the l heard making ore his abled to to.

transbefore try, we this are it in his d views

gue the

country eveloped he past that the never

ut railday or

mereive ware ould be sir Wilwords, ion, but e quesy guard nust be nterest, seeiving an allthe rech as to bestions the they to any be an Canawhere

friend

yery timony I find gentlenection chief engineer of the government and chief engineer when the Canadian Pacific railway and the Intercolonial railway surveys were made, a man who has taken a great interest in the development of Canada ever since—I find he is reported in the Winnipeg Free Press of Aug. 3 as having given to the correspondent of that paper in Ottawa an interview heartily endorsing the general scheme which is before parliament at the present time.

## Mr. Ashdown's Approval of the Scheme.

the Globe of August 6th, Mr. James H. Ashdown, of Win-nipeg, is reported as having given the correspondent of the Globe an unqualifled endorsement of the government scheme. I attach a very considerable degree of importance to the opinion given by Mr. James H. Ashdown. Mr. Ashdown is perhaps the ablest business man that we have ever had in Manitoba or the Northwest Territories. He occupies the position of being recognized as an especially able, keen, capable business man, and probably the wealthiest citizen and most successful business man of the west. Mr. Ashdown has been a member of both political parties. He was formerly a Conservative; later he became identified with the Liberal party; but he is not a man of strong party feelings, and has never had any very strong affiliations with either party. But he is a man who knows more respecting the trade conditions of the Northwest, its importations and exportations, than any other business man in the west; and he gives his opinion in a most unqualified way in endorsement of this proposi-

So, Mr. Speaker, I conclude with the testimony in favor of this proposition; the testimony in favor of the immediate construction of a transcontinental rallway may be regarded as tolerably conclusive. Sir, the congestion of traffic in the west has not been the congestion of wheat only. Reading the discussions in the newspapers, and hearing what has been said upon the subject, persons not familiar with the local situation, would naturally be led to the conclusion that it was a congestion which rose altogether by reason of the rush of wheat during a few months in the fall. That would be an entire mistake. The congestion during the last year or two has occurred not only during the months when wheat was pressing upon the rallways, but during

other months when the railways have been choked with cars loaded with general merchandise; and when wheat season has come on they have been caught with their yards full of cars loaded with general merchandise, which even then, before the wheat began to move, they have been unable to handle. Let it be understood that every carload of freight that goes to the Northwest, wherever it may have originated, has to be taken care of by the railroads there, and the congestion has arisen from the lack of complete facilities to handle the accumulation of traffic from various agencies. While that congestion was not serious in the early stages of the development of the country, it has now, according to the testimony of competent observers, reached a stage when it is absolutely necessary that effective relief should be given.

#### If G.T.P. Is Not Built, U. S. Roads Will Come In.

I wish to point out to this House, in connection with the situation there, a most important circumstance. You have a large and important part of Canada so situated that it is a thousand miles away from the other portion of the country with which it has political and business affiliations: and you have immediately south of our western territory a well-developed, well-people district, with vigorous and capable railway systems. Immediately to the south of the boundary line between Manitoba and the states of Minnesota and Dakota there are four or five of the finest railway systems in the world-the Burlington, the Chicago and Northwestern, the Great Northern, the Northern Pacific, the Chicago, Mil-waukee and St. Paul-strong, wellequipped, vigorous systems, thoroughly versed in everything that pertains to the management of the railway business on the prairies. They are only a few miles away from Manitoba and our prairie district in the Northwest Territories; and do you ex-pect that you are going to allow the traffic to be congested year after year, the grain to be held up, and the mer-chants to be left with their shelves empty for lack of transportation facilities, and that these railway systems are not going to go in there and take this business to the south? It is contrary to experience and common sense. I am bringing this aspect of the case to the attention of the House because it is naturally my duty to do it. Hon, gentlemen from eastern Canada have not had their attention directed to this particular phase of the situation, and you would properly say to me that I had failed in my duty to the House and to my colleagues if I had not brought it strongly and ser-lously to the attention of the House. No person who has any knowledge of the situation will for an instant throw any doubt on this statement, that if arrangements are not made for relieving the congestion of traffic, not only In wheat, but in cattle and product of all kinds for shipment outwards, and in general merchandise for shipment inwards, and if those arrangements are not made soon, as surely as the sun shines, the greater portion of that trade will go south to the United States. It is going now. Mr. J. T. Mr. J. T. Gordon, of the firm of Gordon & Ironsides, the largest cattle exporters, I believe, in Canada, states that that firm sent last year 3,000 head of cattle by an American line because they could not get shipping facilities over the Canadian line. That is what is going on now. In the agricultural implement business, the makers of eastern Canada are handicapped for the same reason. A few hundred miles to the south there are implement mak-, ers with first-class shipping facilities, and the means of filling orders promptly when they come, and even if there is a slight difference in price, or if the difference is equal in amount to the duty, you will find that the inability of the Canadian dealer to fill orders, largely due to lack of shipping facilities, is going to result in the business being taken away from him by his competitors to the south. So our duty is to relieve this congestion of traffic, and provide for the future not only for the purpose of enabling the great products of the country to be exported, but for the purpose of enabling the manufacturing towns and villages and the industrial centres of eastern Canada to be put in communication with our fellow citizens upon the prairtes, so that mutual benefit may bring mutual profits to all concerned.

## Why the Government Dealt With a Company.

Now, having decided—and I think the reasons given are conclusive in favor of our so deciding—that we should build this railway, we had to decide whether we should own and operate it ourselves, or whether we should deal with a company, and allow that company to undertake the task. I do not propose to discuss at any very

great length the question of government ownership of railways. I have never been an advocate of that prin-I have never seen anything in the experience of the government of Canada to induce me to become an advocate of it. What has been our experience of the Intercolonial railway? We have a length of line of miles; the total expenditure of capital to June, 1902, was \$68,310,619; it is over \$70,000,000 now; all the surpluses since 1876 to the 1st of July last added together amount to \$445,647, and the deficits since that time, if you subtract the surpluses, amount to \$5,281,-000. That is the position of affairs, financially, resulting from the operation of the government railway in Canada,

Now, sir, let me not be misunderstood. I entertain no feeling of hostility to the Intercolonial railway. recognize, as I said this morning-and I believe every man on this side of the House, and I have no doubt every member on the other side recognizesthe fact that the building of the Intercolonial railway was a part of the terms of confederation that Canada owes it to the people who accepted the terms of confederation that railway shall be maintained and operated properly, and not only that, but that it shall be kept up to date, and that the people of the maritime provinces shall have a good, liberal up-to-date service, a service which they will have reason to be satisfied and proud of. I believe that it is true. I am in favor of doing that which is necessary for the purpose of giving effect to that proposition, but I see no reason why the fact that we are prepared to implement our obligations honorably should oblige us to enter into other obligations of a similar character and which we believed to be totally unnecessary under the circumstances. So far as we know anything about the operation of government railways, there is nothing in what has happened to induce us to place upon this country at present the burden of operating a line of railway across the continent. A moment's consideration with regard to the railway management of railway must show good reas properties, us that are reasons-apart altogether from the question of honesty or dishonesty, or corruption or political influences, which we all know are serious enough in matters of that kind—why it is difficult. if not impossible to have a railway managed by a government as effectively and economically as by a private individ-

ual.

If you will consult rallway men, they will tell you that the interference even of boards of directors is detrimental to the proper running of a railway. American railway managers have found that they cannot effectively conduct their railways with a board of directors which will interfere with the men who are running the road. The same experience has been felt by Canadian railway companies. The result has been that the system has now become general of giving the president practically undivided power and control. It has been found that divided power and divided authority make it impossible for a road to be effectively administered. Under our system what have we? We have a minister of railways who has to submit to parliamentary criticism every time he wants to spend \$5 or \$10 on anything connected with the whole railway sys-He can never conduct the enterprise with the same confidence, energy and vigor, when he knows that every item of his administration has to be dealt with and discussed as a matter of politics, as he would if he were serving a private corporation, confident that he would be backed up by the board of directors. That is one of the main reasons why it is wise for us, in a case of this kind, where we desire that the country should be opened up by an active, aggressive policy, not to load ourselves with that task, but to commit it to a private corpora-

ern-

ave

rin-

g in

ad-

ex-

510

ital

: is

dd-

and

ub-

Irg.

er-

in

OF-

DS-

nd

Mr-

he

da

ad

ad

a.t

at

28

re

f.

E

y

of

We are not committing it to a company that we know nothing about. So far as the sentiment in favor of government ownership is concerned, I believe it is largely due to the fact that Canada has been behind the period in the matter of railway legislation. But we are remedying that every year. We are providing legislation which will have the effect of removing the grievances of which our people complain.

We have passed a railway bill which is the first effort of a Canadian parliament to provide an effective means by which the public may get redress from railway companies. I am satisfied that the effect of that railway legislation, if administered, as I have no doubt it will be, in the spirit in which it is intended, will be to remove the feeling of distrust against railway companies due to the fact that the people have had unredressed grievances from year to year, and also the sentiment in favor of government ownership due to their belief that if they had to deal with a government their

grievances would be more easily redressed.

Having decided that we were not going to operate this railway line as a government system, the question arose which company we should deal with. I have not heard any attack made on the decision of the government to deal with the Grand Trunk railway; and inasmuch as that proposition is not attacked, I need not de-If we come then to the terms upon which the railway is to be constructed, what criticism can be offered? My hon, colleague the finance minister discussed it in detail last week, and so did my hon, friend the member for North Norfolk (Mr. Charlton). Both showed that the terms upon which the western division is to be constructed practically amounted to this, that we guarantee the bonds, and as security for our guarantee we obtain a first mortgage on a property which is going to cost from \$55,000,000 to \$60,-Wo shall have a railway 000,000. which with its rolling stock will have a value of \$55,000,000 at least, and in-asmuch as our guarantee will not exceed \$30,000,000, we will have that guarantee secured by a mortgage on a property of about double the value. That is surely as good a bargain as was possible, and certainly no attempt at detailed criticism has been attempted on the other side.

## The Policy Towards the Eastern Sec-

As to the eastern section we have two alternatives, and I come now to a point where there seems to be a line of cleavage between some hon. members opposite and ourselves. Whether that cleavage exists all the way across the opposition, I am not yet in a po-sition to say. We had in the beginning one proposition, and to that proposition there was no alternative. suggestion was made that we should assist the construction of a line from the end of the North Bay branch of the Grand Trunk railway northward and around to the city of Winnipeg and further westward to Fort Simpson. If we had carried through that scheme, we should have, if they went by the route originally contemplated, about 600 miles of railway on a route practically alongside the Canadian Pacific railway, or very near to it, which would have been, to all intents and purposes, absolutely useless except for the purpose of making connections. That, however, was not a nec-

essary incident of the scheme, because they might have gone north to the clay belt and around to the city of Winnipeg without very much increas-ing the distance. We had, therefore, the project of a railway from North Bay west to Fort Simpson, but without eastern connection except which exists by the Grand Trunk railway at present. We declined to agree to a proposition of that kind. We declined to assist the Grand Trunk rail-way to go westward and build up its business in such a way that, in so far as the winter business is concerned of this line, it would necessarily go to Portland. We declined to assist the Portland. Grand Trunk rallway upon those terms, and I would like our hon. terms, and I friends opposite to say, before this debate is through, whether they support that proposition or not. If they are in favor of it the county has a right to know it. The country has a right to know whether they support the position we took in that respect or are opposed to it.

We decided on the other plan. said that we could not agree to that proposition but would insist on a line being built from Winnipeg to Quebec and from Quebec to a central point in the maritime provinces, with the result that we will get a road which will open up, for the first 400 miles east of Winnipeg a territory where there is some fertile land and a good deal of timber readily available; a road which then goes through the clay belt of the province of Ontario, which is somewhere in the neighborhood of 500 or 600 miles long, a road wich would furnish the shortest available line to the Canadian seaboard and furnish us, at the same time-and this is a point which, I think, ought to be marked-with just as short and just as good a road through the provinces of Ontario and Quebec by the communication which will be opened up when the road is built. We have the Quebec to Winnipeg line north of Lake Temiscamingue; and with the connecting line to North Bay and down to Toronto, as short a route from Winnipeg to Toronto as exists at the present time by the Canadian Pacific railway, or within a few miles of it. Practically, the distance will be the same; according to some scaling the distance is rather in favor of the new line. So, while we have the advantage of the through line, and of a line going through ter. ritory that requires settlement, yet, at the same time we have the advantage of just as good and short a route to

every town and village in Ontario and Quebec by the old Grand Trunk system. So, we give what our hon. friends say we were going to give in the first instance, the line from North Bay, and we give the other line under conditions which will assure the carrying out the stipulations with regard to it.

#### Why the Government Builds the Eastern Section.

We are being asked-and that point was referred to by my late colleague (Hon. Mr. Blair) in one of the letters he wrote to the prime minister-why we build the expensive section of the line from Quebec to Winnipeg ourselves, that is, the government fur, nish the money to build the line, but we hand over the western section, the profitable part of the line, to a company to operate. The stating of the argument in that form is an intimation that we are keeping the unprofitable part and losing money on it, and handing over the profitable part to a company to make money out of it. But a cursory examination of the proposition before parliament must show any person that that is the exact opposite of what we really do. It is true we furnish the money to build the eastern section, under financial conditions which I shall not further discuss. But we make it a condition, mark you, with the Grand Trunk railway, that if we assist them in the construction of the line of railway westward over the prairies and to the Pacific ocean, they agree to take from us the eastern section and pay three per cent, interest on its cost, and that is one of the conditions of the whole enterprise. So, the scheme we place before parliament is a completed scheme, a scheme under which we place the profitable and unprofitable parts under one management, and make it an enterprise which will not place a burden upon anybody, In no other way could we have so ar. ranged it that the business of the line would be managed in such fashion as to prevent any burden falling upon us. It is not a case of handing over to the company the profitable part of the line and keeping the unprofitable; but we bind the two together and make the profit of the whole line pay for the cost of construction of the whole line, so that no loss will rest upon anybody. I have no doubt that the Grand Trunk Railway company would have preferred to get a good liberal o and oyshon. ve in forth inder urrygard

ast-

oint gue ters why the ur'ur\_ but the mthe lon ble nd a ut

Ve

'n

19

It

benus, pretty nearly enough to build the line from North Bay to Winnipeg, without any harassing or embarrass, fig stipulations as to what they shall do with their traffic, but under conditions, such as railway companies like, leaving them face to do just as they please. That might have suited the Grand Trunk, but it would not suit the government, and it would not suit the Canadian people—and we are well within the judgment of the people in that respect.

## The Eastern Section to Run Through a Good Country.

I desire to say a few words in regard to the character of the country through which this Quebec to Winnipeg division is to pass. I shall try to make my remarks on that subject as short and as little tiresome as possible. It has been said with a good deal of insistence that we do not know much about that country, that the explora-tions have been few and vague. A good deal of discredit has been thrown upon the official reports of the Ontarlo government on that country. never heard before that those reports were unreliable. The reports were brought down to the legislative as. sembly of Ontario, the Toronto newspapers published excerpts from them and referred in glowing terms to the great domain shown to be owned by the province of Ontario, and congratulated the people of that province upon their possession. Nobody ever heard that these reports were not reliable until this debate opened, and it was found that this government was geing to build a railway through that country. Then, suddenly, our friends of the opposition became very sceptical as to the value of these reports. Well, we have some repors which they got themselves, or which the Dominion government at various times have got. I wish to ask hon. members to look at a map which I have here which represents the territory through which the railway will run. The green lines, which hon, members will see are pretty numerous, represent the routes of exploring parties of this government which have from time to time passed through that territory. These lines have been traversed by exploring partes of the Geological Survey, to say nothing of the provincial examinations which have been made, and of the surveys and other explorations made from time to time. These lines show that the country has been tra-

versed from end to end, so that we practically know all about that country. Thinking this an important point, I have had some definite information collected upon the subject from the reports which are available, and I have here a memorandum prepared under my instructions. I told these officers: Divide the line into twelve sections and tell me the character of the country through which each section passes, from the reports which are available. Sir, we have reports in regard to every one of the twelve sections, showing with a fair and reasonable degree of accuracy what the nature of that country is. My hon, friend from South Lanark (Hon. Mr. Haggart), when he was discussing this question the other night, made use of the following statement with regard to the road north of Lake Superior:

No. It will be built—it must be built—in that section north of the height of land reaching to the plateau which borders Hudson's Bay and which, at the verse of the plateau, drops to the extent of 300 or 400 feet. Between that drop and the height of land, the land is totally unfit for any purpose known to civilization, a land of low scrubs and unfathomable muskegs crossed by granite ridges.

## What the Geological Survey Reports Say.

Let me read to my hon. friend from an official report some facts regarding the character of that country.

In a northwesterly direction from the city of Quebec it is not difficult to reach the St. Maurice. The Lake St. John Railway runs in that direction quite a distance, and from the point near Riviere a Pierre a northwesterly direction towards La Tongue and Iroquois Chute. Thence to the height of land there are no obstacles of any account, a general level plateau is struck which can be followed in a westerly direction for 800 miles not varying 200 feet in that distance.

That is on the question of grades. The St. Maurice division comes next:

Country less hilly as we proceed towards head waters of St. Maurice and Rouge rivers. Good agricultural land in numerous areas, \$,000,000 acres fit for settlement.

This is the part of the country which my hon, friend from Jacques Cartier said was no good, and would not enable us to do any colonization work.

Farming by Hamilton Bros, ten m'les up the Range river, successful. W241 timbered country. Red and white and jack pines, spruce, tamarack and birch. Cumate is not any more severe than that of Quebec. Hills disappear at the height of land. At 380 miles from its mouth, the St. Maurice is still a large river. Above Grand Piles station, last station up the St. Maurice valley, navigation is good for seventy miles. Numerous surveys by Richardson, Ingall, Bouchette and other surveyors and explorers, and geologists, have been made.

That is about the worst part of the country, or nearly so.

Division No. 8, the upper Gatineau.

Above 100 miles from mouth of the Gatineau, country is generally level, rocky hills occasionally seen. Soil, sandy loam, Oats, barley, pease, spring and fall wheat under cultivation. Excellent reports from all sources. Timber abundant Spruce, balsam, fir, white birch, back birch, white and brown ash, also maple, besides white and red pine. Minerals, nickel, copper, Iron, limestonet. Water power, excellent. Character oi crops grown indicate nature of the elimate.

Burveyed by Jas. Richardson, 1870, from Lesert river to height of land, head waters of the Gatineau. Head waters of the St. Maurice on to Lake Mistassini, revealed a flat, undulating plain, with good flats of farming land throughout the country.

Upper Ottawa division, No. 4.

This division comprises a good portion of the unorganized country of the county of Pontiac. Country generally flat or andulating plain, part of Hudson Bay basin. The southern portion hilly and rocky. Middle portion, through which the line traverses, is flat and easy grade. Soil—large areas of dry clay soil extend around the height of land. Although the district as a whole in its southern portion cannot be said to be suitable for agricultural purposes, still in many places considerable areas of good land are 'crown to exist.

I may say that from the northern slope of the height of land the southern part of the clay belt is well timbered, the waters running down to the northward. The railways must run along the northern part of the clay belt if we are to put it in such a position that the timber can be got out. Every man who is familiar with lumbering knows what I mean when I say that the timber can only follow the flow of the river, and the railway must cross the lower region, otherwise the timber cannot be driven down and reach the railway. A branch railway must go on the northern side of the clay belt so as to take in the lower region of the river and enable the timber to be driven down, so that the large

timber resources of the province of Ontario lying along the northern slope of the height of land may be rendered available for commerce.

Timber on the lowlands, mostly spruce, tamarac and fir. On the high land, birch, poplar, spruce and rod pine. The country has been many times surveyed, and traversed by explorers since the early part of last century. Gold, gyvsum, lignite, have been discovered.

Mr. Clancy—Will the hon, gentleman say what page of the report he is reading from?

The Minister of the Interior—I am reading an abstract from information in the geological survey, which has been made at my request and by my instructions, by Dr. H. M. Ami,, a member of the geological survey, who will be responsible for the correctness of the information which he has taken from the official survey.

Surveys by the geological surveys of Canada, by the crown lands commission-era of Ontario and Quebec, as well as by the officers fo the Ontario bureau of mines, afford valuable information on the resources of this division, who all state favorably.

Division No. 5. Abbittibbi division.

Minerals—Iron, copper, magnetic iron pyrites and steatite are reported, and inasmuch as the great Huronian belt of metalliferous rocks traverses this region further discoveries are anticipated.

Timber-White and red pine found over the whole region. On the north side of the height of land pine trees measure from eight to nine feet in circumference.

I heard a statement made, I think by the hon, member for Hamilton, last night, that there was not any timber up in that country any larger than a man's thigh. We have here an official report which states that there is timber there ranging from eight to nine feet in circumference. Our hon, friends have a very contracted idea of the resources of their own provinces.

Soil and climate—The whole country northward from the mouth of the Montreal river is pretty correctly described as level clay plain with rocky hills protruding here and there through it. Mark the distinction between this region and the country south. Clay appears to be uniform throughout the whole region. Several acres of this clay soil are cultivated at the Hudson's Bay company's post at Abbittibbi. All the ordinary cereals cultivated on the St. Lawrence can be cultivated at Abbittibbi.

I may here mention that in 1896 or 1897 seed which had been sent to a

Vince of Syn slope rendered

y spruce, the land, pine. The surveyed, nce the old, gyped.

ntleman is read-

rmation ich has by my kmi,, a v, who ectness s taken

eys of missionl as by eau of on the l state

c iron
nd inelt of
region

l over
ide of

n.

last mber an a of-there ht to hon. idea

nces, intry Iontibed profark and b be cilon, ultiny's cercan

or

point called Lake Wawanispi, 80 or 90 miles north of Lake Abbittibbi by Dr. Robert Bell, of the Geological Survey, was planted and successfully ripened.

Division No. 6, Upper Moose or Mattagami division.

Character of country—Fine agricultural land, clay and sandy loam forms part of great clay basin of Moose river, and its numerous tributaries, which take their rise near the Canadian Pacific railway line north of Lakes Huron and Superior, and even south of the line. The head waters of the rivers in this division are well timbered, and the country is well described as an undulating rolling plain, gently sloping towards James' bay.

Soil is good for farming throughout the greater portion of the country.

Division No. 7, Kabinakagami River division.

Character of country—Generally level plain, slightly inclined to the west, very easy grade, practically level. Soil, clay for the most part. Land low and swampy in places, needs drainage. Rolling land, heavily timbered.

Timber-Good spruce, tamarack, banksin pine, poplar, red pine, cedar, reported throughout this division, besides white birch, balm of Gliead.

Then we come to division No. 8, the 8th division out of the twelve into which the line was divided.

Division No. 8, Long Lake division.

Character of the country—Fine agricultural land. Level and rolling country. Partially dissected plain. Rocky in the southern portion. Flat and generally level along the projected line. Soil, very productive. Abundant vegetation everywhere. Good timber.

District No. 9, Nepigon division (in Thunder Bay district of Ontario).

Character of country—In part level and undulating and part rocky. The Nepigon region constitutes a dissected plain. Good agricultural land, clay soil and clay loam and sandy loam.

Timber—A little north of Lake Nepigon the country is heavily timbered with spruce, banksin pine, poplar and balsam, with occasional birch, also tamarack.

Then we have sections 10, 11 and 12 at the other end of the line, which do not constitute a country which we can call an agricultural country. The last 300 miles, or probably 400 miles of the line is in a country which it cannot be successfully asserted is likely to be a country which will amount to very much as an agricultural country. There are occasional patches of good land along the water-

courses, but they are not large in extent.

#### A Country Largely Timbered.

This is a country which is largely timbered, which will produce a very considerable amount of business for many years to come by reason of the fact that the railway, if it is con-structed as suggested, will go along on the lower reaches of the river and will in that respect be in an exactly converse position to that occupied by the Canadian Pacific railway now. The Canadian Pacific railway, unfortunately for it, in being built from Port Arthur to the Red river, was built in such a way that it runs along just at the southern end of the rivers which run northward and the timber which is situated near the head waters of these rivers cannot be driven to the line of the Canadian Pacific railway, because the flow of the water takes it the other way. The result is that the Canadian Pacific railway between Port Arthur and Winnipeg practically has no lumber business at all except what comes to it from the south by way of the Lake of the Woods. The fact that it touches he Lake of the Woods so that American timber that comes from the Rainy river and across the Lake of the Woods can be shipped by it is the only thing that enables it to do any timber business at all. This line that we are proposing to build will go further north and the timber will be driven down the streams and a large business will be created in that way. I do not know that I need say anything more upon that phase of the subject. I have taken the trouble to have this report prepared, because I thought that the House was entitled to something more than mere fragmentary statements in regard to this matter, and that it was entitled to a systematically prepared statement by a responsible officer of the government which would give us that information and would give it to us in a form that could be relied upon.

## A Contrast Between Two Lines of Policy.

We are at issue with our hon. friends on the other side of the House upon an important question of policy in regard to colonization. The hon, member for Jacques Cartier and the hon, member for Lanark have taken the position in this House that in regard to the great and important question of opening up the unsettled portions of the provinces

of Ontario and Quebec the sound and statesmanlike policy to follow is to run colonization railways from the older parts of the provinces and they put their position against the position we take when we say that the sound and statesmanlike method of opening up these unsettled portions of the country is to put a through line of railway through those territories so that we shall be enabled by means of that railway to make it at least probable that settlement will take place. Let us understand each other. The hon. member for Jacques Cartier and the hon. member for Lanark, one representing the province of Quebec and the other representing the province of Ontario, the two provinces that are most con-cerned in regard to this proposition, say that it is wrong because we propose to put a through line through the northern part of Quebec and through the northern part of Ontario, instead of building colonization railways up from the older portions-and stopping there. Stopping in the woods, stopping no-where. The policy which is advocated by these hon, gentlemen, if pursued, would, in our judgment, not result in the settlement of that country for many years. I ask the House to compare these two lines of policy. If you cannot do any better in opening up the northern parts of the provinces of Ontario and Quebec, build a colonization railway up there. It will help. The Temiscamingue railway is a meritor-tous enterprise. It will develop the country, slowly, it is true; it will help towards the development of the country, but I ask hon, members of this House to contrast the development that is likely to take place from two lines of railway, one running from Quebec and the other from Ontario in these respective provinces, to contrast the development that is likely to take place under the policy advocated by my hon, friends with what is likely to take place if we send a transcontinental railway longitudinally through that district, and if we place that district not upon a back street, not away in the woods where nobody can see it, but on the main artery of travel, upon the transcontinental line. When the trade of this line is developed the staff of men who will be required to operate this important railway will be of itself an important nucleus of settlement within that district and the sup-plies which would be required of one kind or another and the work which gathers around the operation of a great railway will be the very best nucleus of settlement, the very best stimulus, and it is certain that settlement will take place rapidly. I think when the matter is placed before the House there will hardly be any difference of opinion that we will see these northern territories of the provinces of Ontario and Quebec settled much more quickly under the policy which we propose than under the policy which our hon, friends opposite have advocated. Then, my hon, friend from Lanark—I was rather surprised at him criticising this scheme—asked: What is to become of my province, the province of Ontario. I do not know what my hon. friend considers to be a grievance. We have in Ontario a great undeveloped territory, almost an empire, a territory as long as from Windsor to Montrea! and as wide as the fertile portion of the old province of Ontario. We have We have in the St. Maurice valley of Quebes one million acres of land open for sottlement. Ontario is confronted with the task of developing the great territory to the north, she is confront with an enormous task, a task which the resources of a province government will be taxed in order in carry it out successfully and government without asking asking this for a dollar from Ontario, exthe general contribution, inaugurates a scheme to put a transcontinental line of railway right through the heart of that territory; yet, my hon. friend from Lanark has nothing to say in regard to that proposition except that there is a grievance on the part of the blowince of Ontario. If my hon, friend thinks that is a grievance on the part of the province of Ontario-he is generally a man of pretty good sense-I think he will find he has made a mistake this time and I think he will find that the people of Ontario, when he goes out amongst them, will not agree with him in regard to that proposition.

#### Wheat Traffic for the New Line.

It has been suggested to me at varfous times that I should make a remark or two about the question of the
wheat traffic of this line. Manifestly,
Mr. Speaker, no man is called upon
to make any definite or specific prediction in regard to the wheat traffic.
When the hon, leader of the opposition
made the statement the other day that
the Canadian Pacific railway had handled last year forty million bushels of
wheat and that only two and a half
million bushels went all rail, I queried
the statement and I made up my mind
that my hon, friend had not been

nent will when the e House rence of northof Onh more we proich our rocated. hark—I ticising to be-

ticising to beince of ity hon.

We We eloped ritory intreal ion of have uebes restwith terri-

Boles Figure 19 End kins exef Fs to line of

in intite nd urt n-Is-

preparly informed. I took the frouble to degraph to the grain commissioner to get the figures, and I find that during the last year the total amount of grain from Manitoba and the Northwest of all kinds that came east was 45,087,413 bushels, and that the amount that came all rail was 5,823,600 bushels, so that the percentage of the total that went all rail was 12-9 or practically 13 per cent, instead of 2½ per cent, as the leader of the opposition has been informed.

The figures given by the commissioner officially to me, show that the amount that went out by rall was 13 per cent. It is difficult to get correct figures in matters of this kind, and I suppose when my hon. friend made the statement that the return which he had got was in some sense a partial statement and that he had been misled in that way.

The statement I have is a statement of the amount of grain that went from the west by rail as compared with the amount that went by water, and that is the point with which we are concern and with which we have to deal in connection ith this argument. Now, the figures I have given do not include the shipment of flour, and the advantage which wheat has in shipment by water is not applicable to flour in the same degree. I find in the Inter-State Commerce commission report for 1901 (page 13) that the subject is fully discussed, and it is pointed out that when it comes to the shipment of flour, the water route has not the same advantage over the rail route that it has in the shipment of wheat. It is well-known by those who ship these commodities, that the water route cannot compete in the same degree with the all rail route in the shipment of flour. I do not think there is a difference of opinion as to that. Now, a very large quantity of flour will be shipped from the Northwest Territories and Manitoba as time goes on. I do not think that it ever can be said that any large percentage of the crop will be shipped in the shape of flour, but no doubt an enormous quantity of flour will be shipped, a quantity which will furnish an appreciable traffic for a railway. I find further in connection with these shipments, that there is a very substantial amount of wheat that goes from different points by rail as opposed to the water route. I will give these figures, not with the object of showing that the facts will be paralleled in connection with the railway we are speakIng of, but I will give the Information because it bears upon this discussion, and as I think, because it will lead to a certain conclusion in connection with it. From the city of Chicago in the year 1901, 31,523,900 bushels of wheat went by lake and rail, and 13,969,000 bushels went by all rail. In 1902, from the city of Chicago 22,000,000 bushels went by lake and rail, and 8,100,000 bushels went all rail. This will show that the lake and rail route even when most advantageously situated has not by any means yet a monopoly of the business. Now, let us take the shipments of flour. In the year 1902, 1,086,000 barrels of flour went from Chicago by rail and lake, and 4,752,000 barrels went all rail, showing that when it comes to the shipment of flour the railway has a great advantage.

#### Some Specimen All-Rail Wheat Rates.

We have sometimes very indefinite ideas as to what rates could be made by railways when they want to make a good rate, and when they get down to a competition basis and find they cannot get any more. I shall give two or three rates as an illustration of what railways can do. I am not going to say that this new railway will open with a rate of this kind. I am not going to say that this railway will carry all its business on a rate of this kind; but the railway we are going to build is going to be a good railway; it is going to be just for the express purpose of carrying heavy loads and giving low rates, and being able to compete with a low rate. Therefore it is proper for us to consider what low rates have been given and can be given on similar commodities as those which we shall haul, in other parts of the continent. I find that the average distance from Kansas City to Chicago by the three roads: The Santa Fe, the Burlington, and the Rock Island is 488 miles. By the report of the Inter-State Commerce commission for 1901 (page 15) there was in the previous year a rate of five cents per hundred pounds between Kansas City and Chicago. If you take the distance from Winnipeg to St. John via the Grand Trunk Pacific railway you will find that the equivalent rate from Winnipeg to St. John would be 111/2 cents per bushel, and if they can haul wheat for 11½ cents a bushel they can get plenty of it to haul. The Inter-State Commerce commission report for 1900 (page 22) points out that there had been previously a rate from Buffalo to New York of two and a half cents per bushel. That is not the average rate upon which the wheat is carried, but that was a rate which obtained and under which immense quantities of wheat were carried, and which the railway companies were prepared to maintain if circumstances did not alter. The average distance from Buffalo to New York by six routes is 443.12 miles, and or this basis the rate from Winnipeg to St. John would be 10.56 cents. Now. to come nearer home, during the past four years the Canada Atlantic railway has hauled grain from Depot Harbor to Montreal as follows: Highest rate four and a half cents; lowest rate two and a quarter cents. a break in the route from Depot Harbor to Montreal. It is all rail to Crteau and then there is from 42 to 45 miles of water carriage to Montreal. This rate is what the Canada Atlantic company charged to haul it over the all rail route and then tranship it and take it down the 45 miles and deduct it at Montreal. The transhipmert and the handling and the carrying for the 43 or 45 miles was certainly as expensive to them as it would have been if they had their own line 'nto Montreal and had taken it through by rail. I fancy there is no doubt that if they had their own line into Monteal they would prefer to carry it in, rather than tranship it and take it 45 miles by water. The comparison therefore is a fair come parison. The distance from Depot Harbor to Montreal is 388 miles, and ss I have said, the Canada Atlantic railway during the past four years has hauled grain from Depot Harbor to Montreal; highest, four and a half cents a bushel; lowest, two and a quarter cents per bushel. If you take the lowest rate of two and a quarter cents, then on that basis the rate from Winnipeg to St. John via the Grand Trunk Pacific railway would be 10.85 per bushel. Now, I want to com\_ pare that. I talked with a prominent member of the Winnipeg Grain Ex-change the day before yesterday, and I asked him, how that 10.85 cent rate would compare with the rates which he is now paying from Winnipeg to New York by lake and rail. He tells me that taking into account the rate by lake and the rate by rail, and a small additional charge for extra insurance which they have to pay by reason of this mixed carriage, the rate to-day from Winnipeg to New York is 16% cents. And, mark you, if the proposed rallway can haul wheat as cheaply as the Canada Atlantic line it can carry it from Winnipeg to St. John for 10.85 cents per bushel. My hon. friend says in emphatic terms that this railway is not going to haul any wheat. I do not say whether it is or not; but the hon. gentleman must get over these figures before he can convince the public that it is not. They are set forth in the official report, except as regards the Canada Atlantic, which I procured privately, and, as they are in accord with the information received respecting the Canada Atlantic, there can be no question of their accuracy.

#### Westbound Freight by the New Road.

When I speak of the traffic which tois I i way is going to handle, I point to the fact that 1,000 miles of this line from Quebec to Winnipeg are going to be in the province of Ontario and the wnole merchandise traffic which now goes by rail from Grand Trunk points in Ontario and Quebec, except that on the new line west of the city of Quebec, will go via North Bay and Temicaming over 1,000 miles of this useless line, and through the fertile clay belt to Winnipeg. In the face of that fact it is childish to talk of this railway having nothing to do. Within a week after the railway is opened, it will be busy hauling merchandise from eastern Canada. Let me call attention to the fact that the distance, as estimated by an expert officer of my department, from Toronto to Winnipeg by way of Chicago and the American lines over which much of the traffic has gone of late years-especially before the last two years, when the Grand Trunk and the Canadian Pacific railway made some arrangement about taking the freight northwardis 1,400 miles by one line, and 1,394 by another. The distance from Toronto to Winnipeg by way of the Grand Trunk line to North Bay, from there by the Temiscaming line, and around by the projected line, is estimated at 1,171 miles, or some 200 miles shorter than the main route by which the great business was done for many years by way of Chicago. It may be that the estimated length of the new line is a little short. It is difficult to estimate exactly what it will be, but certainly it will not be more than 1,200 miles. If you take the route by the Canadian Pacific railway from the city eat as Atlantic from friend is railheat. I ot; but et over nvince ev are except which ey are on retlantic. eir ac-

Road.

which point is line going o and which Trunk except e city y and f this !ertile ice of f this Tithin ed, it from ntion estiy denipeg rican raffic r bethe Paciment rd-1.394 Torrand here ound d at rter the

any

new

t to

but

.200

the

city

of Toronto to Winnipeg, you find that the distance from Toronto to North Bay is 226 miles, and the distance from North Bay to Winnipeg is 1,060, or a total of 1,286 miles, as against 1,200 miles by the projected road. So that, making a fair allowance for deviations, the length of the new line will be substantially the same as that of the Canadian Pacific railway. fore, we have the city of Toronto placed in communication with the west by this system as directly as it is now by the Canadian Pacific railway. We have that competition and its increased facilities established. From the city of Montreal and from the city of Toronto the distances will be practically the same. So that we have these connections established on the most favorable terms.

#### The New Line and the Cattle Trade.

There is another line of trade to which just one word of reference may be made. It is the cattle trade. I want to call the attention of this House to a fact or two with which I think they are not acquainted, because they are not likely to have ever been brought to their intention. I want to the great industries of the Northwest Territories is grazing cattle, as contrasted with raising cattle. Last year we imported into the Northwest Territories no less than 50,000 head of what are called stockers, that is, young cattle, bought by the ranchers for the purpose of being finished and perfected for the English market. Where did they get them? got 25,000 from the good province of Ontario, and our friend Mr. Crawford, the Conservative member for one of the Torontos in the provincial legis-lature says they have not at present facilities for sending their cattle to the Northwest, and he wants the Grand Trunk Pacific built so that they will have more facilities? Where did they get the rest? They got them from Mexico. Cannot the farmers of the province of Quebec and the maritime provinces raise cattle to supply the stock grazers on the Northwestern plains? Why, sir, it only needs to be stated for us to understand what a profitable business it would be for the farmers of the eastern provinces, who can raise cattle, but who are not able, on account of the want of pasture facilities, to finish them for the English market. Here we have 25,000 stockers

in one year coming from far away Mexico, because we have not the shipping facilities to take them from eastern Canada. Not only have we not the shipping facilities to take cattle in, but we have not the facilities to take the cattle out; for I find that Mr. J. T. Gordon, in an interview says that his firm had last year to ship no less than 3,000 head by an American line because they could not get facilities over the Canadian line. And the cattle business in the Northwest Territories is simply in its infancy. Last year we shipped out 42,000 head of cattle. That in itself is a pretty substantial business; but it is only a trifle as compared with what is coming. A necessary part of this business is the handling of stockers, and this is a business which the new road will do on an enormous scale, and in which it will be difficult for any other line to compete with it. It will pass through a northern country which will abound with cattle and through which they can be easily and favorably shipped. So we have in that business a large and important item, which will prove a great and substantial source of revenue to the new railway when it is constructed.

#### A Better Route Than the C. P. R.

I want to say a word or two in regard to the prospects of this enterprise from a financial standpoint; and I speak as one who has had a considerable personal knowledge of the development of the western country, and of the differences that have been produced in the financial conditions of the railway companies owing to that development. The net profits of the Canadian Pacific railway during the year ending the 30th of June, 1902, were \$14,085,000, and last year its net profits were \$15,000,000. The company have made of their railway enterprise a magnificent success, and if they were called upon to-morrow to incur the liability to pay back every cent of public subvention which they have received, and all the money which they have received for lands, notwithstanding that, the Canadian Pacific Railway company would be a good sound, and solvent concern. Compared with that enterprise, the route from Quebec to Winnipeg by the Grand Trunk Pacific railway is a better route. For perhaps one-half of the way there will be a considerable business, particularly in the line of timber, which will constantly contribute to its revenue. And throughout that district there are good

agricultural lands from which we may expect, within a reasonably near future, a fair amount of traffic. The Canadian Pacific railway, on the contrary, built around the north shore of Lake Superior. It was built through a rocky country which did not then, and does not now, produce a single pound of traffic, and which is difficult to operate on account of its grades and the sharpness of its alignments and curves. The Canadian Pacific railway along the north shore of Lake Superior is absol-utely unproductive. Then speaking of Canadian Pacific railway across the prairies, it runs through the very worst pontion of the Territories. You could not select a line that could be very much worse unless you got right down close to the international boundary. But this new line of the Grand Trunk Pacific railway will run from Winnipeg to the Mountain Dass through a territory, every mile of which is fertile and productive. It is hardly conceivable that such could be the case, vet the information in the possession of the government amply justifies the statement I have made. There is no such fertile stretch of country in the world. Now take the British Columbia part, for some reason best known to themselves, which nobody has ever been able satisfactorily to explain, the Canadian Pacific railway chose Kicking Horse pass, which is the worst pass in the whole lot, and it went through a line of territory which perhaps, of all the different belts that could be opened up through the Rocky mountains is the least productive. And it suffered in consequence. For years it got no traffic, except what its own construction gave, out of that portion which goes through the eastern part of British Columbia. The Grand Trunk Pacific, on the other hand, will go from the Rocky Mountain pass to the coast through as rich a timber and agricultural country as there is in Canada-a country rich in timeb, minerals and moil.

#### Population Pouring Into the West.

Then we must not forget that when the Canadian Pacific railway was projected, there was no movement of settlement from the outside. There were no farmers coming to Canada in 1881 from foreign countries. At any rate their number was inappreciable, and the Canadian Pacific railway suffered from that fact. That company had dozens of agents out trying to intitate movements of population into our

western country, but did not succeed to any considerable extent, and for years later there was practically no emigration of any serious volume into the Northwest Territories or upon the lands from which the Canadian Pacific railway had to draw its traffic. What movement of population there was came almost entirely from the eastern provinces. But what is the position now? We had an immigration into the Northwest of 125,000 people last year. If it keeps on at that rate for the next ten years, think what that will mean for the Grand Trunk Pacific railway. Think of the difference in the position of that company as com-pared with that of the Canadian Pacific railway, which went travelling on for years and years before there was any appreciable influx of settlers. Let it be remembered also that when the Canadian Pacific railway started business, it had no connections with eastern Canada and no way of getting business. What had it to do? It had to go to Montreal and set to work at an enormous sacrifice to parallel the Grand Trunk railway by connections all over Canada. The Grand Trunk Pacific railway, on the contrary, will start with a magnificent system of connections, ready to open business the very day the road is finished. What does that mean? It is impossible for us to conceive the difference that will make in the volume of business which the railway company will do. Consider these facts, prospects of this consider the railway, and ask if there is the slightest reason why any sane, reasonable man should think this company will fail in its obligations to the government.

# Will Give the Government Millions of Acres of Land.

I have extended my remarks, Mr. Speaker, at much greater length than I had anticipated and must apologize. I have only one point further to call to the attention of the House. We have had in the Northwest Territories, ever since this government has been in power, a state of affairs, under which the great bulk of odd-numbered sections of public lands have been held locked up by our obligation to furnish large quantities to railway companies. Many years ago the system was adopted of surveying the country into odd-numbered and even numbered sections for railway purposes, to be given to railways as government land grants.

t succeed to nd for years no emigrane into the upon the dian Pacific affic. What there was the eastern the posimmigration 000 people t that rate : what that unk Pacific fference in ly as comadian Pacwelling on there was tlers. Let when the rted busiwith eastof getting ? It had ) work at rallel the nnections id Trunk m of coniness the What ssible for that will ss which Coner the and reason a should 1 its ob-

lions of

th than

ologize. to call We itories, s been under nbered n held urnish panies. adoptoddctions. d secgiven rants.

The even-numbered sections were kept as homesteads. We have not been able to deal with the odd-numbered sections in the Territories or Manitoba, because of the fact that we had large obligations outstanding binding us to furnish certain specified quantities of land to various railway companies, under arrangements made by the previous government. I am not expressing any opinion whether that was wise or not, but that was our position. But we are now at that position when, I fancy within the next two or three weeks, a final arrangement will be closed, under which the railway companies which have claims for land grants, including the Canadian Pacific railway, will have those claims finally I am pleased to say that settled. as a result of this, an enormous quantity of odd-numbered sections will come back to the government and be available for disposition in any way which the government may be authorized by parliament to adopt. We shall have no doubt in the neighborhood of 50,000,000 acres of odd numbered sections to dispose of in such manner as parliament may authorize. I propose at an early day to submit a measure providing for the disposition of these lands. The first principle will be that the actual settler on an even-numbered section along side an odd-numbered section shall have the preference in baying that piece of land at a price to be fixed in the manner provided in the act. But I would point to the fact that we shall enter upon the business of selling something

like 50,000,000 acres of land in the Territories in a short time, and if we watch the manner in which the business of selling land by railways and land companies, has been going on, we have no reason to doubt that, if we choose, these lands will be disposed of with some degree of rapidity. What I desire to say is this: There is probably, out of that 50,000,000 of acres of odd-numbered sections-the even numbered sections are kept for the poor man's homestead-20,000,000 or 25,-000,000 at present so far removed from communication as to be absolutely of no money value whatever. But in my judgment, within ten years from the time this railway is completed, 20,000,000 acres of land owned by the government at present will have acquired a value at least of \$3 per acre. That is not a thing about which there is any question. We have seen it happen before, and we know it will happen again. I have quoted this to the House to show that, so far from the railway costing the people any-thing, the fact will be that the enhanced money value of the property of the government will be four times as great as will be necessary to pay for the road. I simply desire to add that whether you consider this scheme in its broad outline, or its comprehensive and careful details, or whether you consider the manner in which it achieves great results with a minimum of cost, I am satisfied it is a scheme which ought to commend itself to the enthusiastic support of this

# Conservative Condemnation is Refuted by the Conservative Proposition

A remarkable feature about the debate in the House of Commons upon the Grand Trunk Pacific is the fact that to all cardinal objections urged by members of the opposition against the project, replies are to be found in the speech and the alternative scheme of the Leader of the Opposition, Mr. R. L. Borden. The following parallel columns show this:

#### Cardinal Objections Urged Against the Government Scheme

"I wish to know why we do not construct that prairie section of the line ourselves, and why we confide the construction of it to a private company?"—Mr. Monk.

#### Mr. Borden Proposes

"To assist the Grand Trunk Pacific railway on reasonable terms if they guarantee to build a line north of the Canadian Northern railway and as far west as Edmonton or some adjacent point."—Hansard, page 0001.

"We are expending \$15,000,000 perfectly uselessly on the construction of a line (Quebec to Moneton) and the effect, view it as you will, cannot be a cause of injury to the Intercolonial."

—Mr. Monk.

"Why then, undertake to build railways when we have them to-day in existence? Why duplicate one railway simply in order to run an air line to Moncton?"—Mr. Barker.

"There is not a railway man in the whole of America who would not say that it would be wholly impracticable to exercise running powers over a long line such as this."—Mr. Barker.

"The idea of a number of roads using one line in common is a dilusion and a snare."—Dr. Sproule.

"Respecting the running rights, everyone who knows anything about railroading sees through it and smiles at it."—Col. Sam Hughes.

"Is it possible to build a road from Winnipeg to Quebec and from Quebec to Moncton on modern principles? Has is ever entered into the heads of the ministers what an enormous sum will be required for the construction of that road? I fail to see a scintilla of evidence that the eastern portion of this road will be of any use. There are many propositions, but none of them involve the absurdities of this proposition of building a line from Winnipeg to Quebec and from Quebec to Moncton. I have proved conclusively that there is no necessity for this road in New Brunswick, it is a useless appendage."—Hon Mr. Haggart.

"The company are given the productive end; they have the reservoir; they have the fountain source of supply."—Mr. Lennox

"What province has been clamoring for this scheme? Hon. gentlemen have been unable to show any such demand. Has Ontario called for it? No, the sentiment of Ontario is against the subsidising of railways. We have no mandate from the people to undertake the building of a transcontinental railway and add to the debt of the country a sum no less than \$125,000.000. I say the supply of railways in many portions of the western country is ample."—Dr. Sproule.

"I say that if there is a better line between Levis or Riviere du Loup, or any point on the Intercolonial, end Moncton, a line the construction of which will give to Halifax and St. John a better fighting chance for western traffic than they have at present I will support the construction of that line,"—Hansard, page 8973.

"I say it would be a proper thing and a wise thing to acquire the C. P. R. section from North Bay to Fort William (634 miles) and make it a national highway, giving to the Grand Trunk Pacific, the Canadian Northern and the Intercolonial as well as the C. P. R. running powers over it. Assist in improving the grade of one or both (C. P. R. and C. N. R.) lines, from Fort William to Winnipeg upon condition that the Grand Trunk as well as the Intercolonial shall have running powers from Fort William to Winnipeg."—Hansard, pages 8999 and 9600.

"So far as the line from Quebec to Winnipeg is concerned, I am not supposed to minimise the possibilities of that northern country. Thoroughly explore and understand it, and then build that line from Quebec to Winnipeg, as a colonization road. according as the requirements of the people and colonization demand. And within a certain number of years if a practicable route be found, then extend that road to the Pacific Coast (in addition to the Intercolonial and Grand Trunk Pacific) Be not afraid to undertake that project."—Hansard, pages 9002 and 9003

In effect: "Purchase from the C. P. R. the unprofitable section of 364 miles from Fort William to North Bay, and leave the company in possession of the productive ends, the reservoirs, the fountain sources of supply, both in the west and in the east."

"There is no reason to be afraid of spending money. I am not afraid of spending money."—Hansard, page 9003 In effect: By purchase, and by con struction, by use of the C. P. R. from Fort William to Winnipeg. thence by aid to the Grand Trunk Pacific to the mountains and by government construction or otherwise across the mountains to the Pacific secure a transcontinental road and in additionability and the property of the pacific according to the factor of the pacific secure at the

## Contrast Between the Two Railway Contracts

What the Conservative Government Gave the C.P.R. by the Contract of 1881: What the Liberal Government Gives the G.T.R. by the Contract of 1903:

25,000,000 acres of land suitable for settlement in alternate sections of 640 acres each, extending back twenty-four miles deep on each side of the railway from the Red river to the Rocky Mountains. In case any such sections found not suitable for settlement, the Company empowered to have reserves set aside in the fertile belt from which to make selections to complete the 25,000,000 acres.

Not an acre of land.

\$25,000,000 in cash

\$2,354,575 being the present cash payment down that would be required to meet seven years' interest on cost of construction of Mountain Section (480 miles, at \$30,000 a mile), \$14,000,000.

\$37,742,816, which was the cost of existing sections of railway which had been built by the Government (Report of Dept. of Railways and Canals, 1902, Part II, page 39), and which the contract transferred absolutely to the Company.

\$8,853,502, being the present cash payment down that would be equivalent to seven years' interest paid annually on cost of construction of Eastern Section (Moncton to Quebec, 400 miles, at \$25,000 a mile, \$10,000,000; Quebec to Winnipeg, 1,475 miles, at \$28,000 a mile, \$11,300,000. Total, \$51,-300,000); and \$324,246, being the present cash payment equivalent to seven years' interest on the cost of bridging the St. Lawrence at Quebec, \$2,000,000. On the Prairie Section the Company pays all the interest from the issue of the bonds.

For the contraigenty years from the date of the main liano competing road south by Parliament, age was to be authorized of new provinces of in the establishment made for the convrovision was to be remoily until the extinuance of the twenty year ported.

No monopoly provisions whatever.

Government land required for bed. station-sites, work-shops, terminal facilities,

Government land required for roadbed, station-sites, work-shops, and terminal facilities. Company was granted the privilege of importing all and any materials and supplies free of duty.

Company is in no different position from any other importer, and must pay duty.

All station-grounds, work-shops, buildings, yards and other property, and all rolling stock and appurtenances required for the construction and operation of the railroad, and the capital stock of the Company to be exempt forever from taxation by the Dominion, or by any Province thereafter to be established; and the lands of the Company in the Territories also to be exempt from such txation for twenty years after the grant from the Crown.

No exemption from taxation.

Company exempt from any Government control over rates until the earning of the railway reach 10 per cent. of cost of construction—practically a permanent exemption. Government control of rates.

Full ownership and absolute control of road by the Company. The lease of the Eastern Section to the Company by the Government reserves running rights on equal terms to the Intercolonial, and the Government may also grant running rights to any other railway that ask for them. Running rights over the Western Section are also secured to any railways desiring them upon terms to be fixed by the Government in case the companies cannot agree.

The result of the contract made in 1881 with the Canadian Pacific was an addition of 2,550 miles—namely, from Callandar, near North Bay, to Vancouver—to the railway mileage already in existence in Canada. The result of the contract with the Grand Trunk Pacific will be a new transcontinental line from ocean to ocean, with a mileage of 3,300 miles from Moncton to Port Simpson, all on Canadian soil.