

The Gateway

Vol. LIV, No. 17
UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1963
EIGHT PAGES



THE REAL MAURICE CAOUCETTE—see page 3

Freeland Attacks Council

By Allan Bragg
Council Reporter

A sweeping attack on Students' Council's handling of SUB Expansion plans has been launched by science representative Don Freeland.

Freeland claims that he was denied the right of discussing SUB Expansion at this week's council meeting.

He charges that: "Saturday, I asked the Students' Union president if I could bring up SUB Expansion at Monday night's meeting. I was told I would be out of order, as there was not time to discuss SUB Expansion at any meeting."

TOLD MUCH TIME

"I was also told that next week there would be plenty of opportunity to debate this, and that next week when the committee on SUB Expansion presents its tentative plans there will be opportunity for discussion and suggestion by all students."

Freeland asserts that "SUB Expansion has been planned . . . by a small clique, which is by no means representative of the student body."

He claims that discussion on

this topic will be limited because: "This clique is set on railroading its plans over any opposition. Sure, they will allow discussion and resolutions in council, but they are at great advantage in answering any criticisms—\$25,000 worth of advantage."

"GRANDIOSE PLANS"

"This is what they have spent in preparing their grandiose plans for SUB Expansion."

Plans for SUB Expansion will be presented to members of Students' Council next Sunday afternoon by the architects and the planning commission.

Presentation of plans to the student body will be made on Monday night, at which time student suggestions and discussion will be called for.

Assassination Causes Trial Postponement

The trial of four university employees on charges of unlawful assembly was postponed Friday, following the news of President Kennedy's assassination.

Henry Beissel, Robin D. Mathews, Noel Parker-Jervis and Manfred Rupp were remanded to Dec. 6 at 2 p.m. by Magistrate S. V. Legg. Crown and defence attorneys all agreed that the trial would be inappropriate on such a solemn occasion.

The charges arose from the Oct. 21 meeting of Edmonton City Council, in which Mathews, Beissel and Parker-Jervis delivered a petition which attacked the election of Mayor William Hawrelak and the constitutional authority of City Council.

Architecture
Slammed
See page 5

SU Seminar Sets Up New Directorate

By Clark Kent

A dynamic new committee, the Special Events Directorate, was formed at the Students' Union reorganization seminar on Nov. 24.

An integral part of the reorganization plan, the SED will be composed of the chairmen of the following committees: FIW, VGW, SVS, WUS, LS, FD, TV, GKS, ETM, and CSA.

Plans are underway for the committee to serve as a means of communication and in an advisory capacity.

"It is hoped that this dynamic committee will fulfill many dynamic duties under my dynamic leadership," said dynamic Paul Cantor, the dynamic interim chairman of SED.

The committee will direct campus activities and serve as a home for girls lost during Frosh Week.

Mrs. Sparling

Doubts Dormitory Visiting Wanted

By Don Sellar and
Aimee Dechene

Mrs. J. Grant Sparling "doubts" that students would want dormitory visiting at U of A.

More than half the students interviewed disagree with her.

"A fellow should be able to come into a girl's room," says one first-year coed.


"What guy wouldn't want to entertain a girl in his room? We aren't so immature that we would abuse the privilege," adds a male resident.

MORE VISITS WANTED

The girls of Pembina want longer visiting hours, better and warmer club rooms, and more opportunity for male visiting. Boys in residence are 80 per cent in favor of girls being allowed in their rooms during certain periods of the day . . . and night.

Both Mrs. Sparling, Dean of Women, and Provost A. A. Ryan support the present regulations, which forbid visiting between men and women students in residence dormitories. Provost Ryan doesn't feel that students would run wild if the rules were changed, but he adds that "it just isn't the most convenient way for visiting."

. . . Students Disagree



You move like a girl...
walk like a girl...
dance like a girl...
play like a girl...
why not be comfortable
even on difficult days?
Use Tampax internal
sanitary protection.
You aren't even aware
you're wearing it!

Canadian
TAMPAX Corporation Limited
Barrie, Ontario

Blood Drive
Dec. 9-12

Short Shorts

Sowton Leads Discussion On Bergman Films

Professor Ian Sowton will lead a discussion on the first four Bergman films now being shown at the Var-scona theatre at the SCM House (11136-90 Ave.) Friday, Nov. 29 at 8 p.m.

STUDENT ART

An exhibit of student art from Strathcona Composite High School is currently being shown on second floor Arts Building, sponsored by the Fine Arts Club.

George Weber will demonstrate the silk screening process Wednesday, Nov. 27, at 7:30 p.m. in Arts 426.

PAKISTANIS

The Pakistan Students Association will hold a Cultural Evening on Tuesday, Nov. 26 at 7:30 p.m. in Wauneita Lounge. Dr. Walter Johns will be chief guest.

SCM

Al Perry will lead a discussion group on the book *Honest To God* at the SCM House Wednesday, Nov. 27 at 12 noon.

W. E. Harris of the chemistry department will speak on "Popping Population" at the SCM Prof Talk Friday, Nov. 29 at 12 noon at the SCM House.

RES DANCE

Frank McCleavy's Orchestra will play from 9 p.m.-12 midnight Friday in Athabasca Hall. Men must wear jackets and ties. "Best shoe dances on campus."

LATIN QUARTER DANCE

The Education Undergraduate Society will present its annual Latin Quarter Dance Saturday, Nov. 30 at 9 p.m. in the Ed Gym. EUS members admitted at reduced rates.

HUMANIST SOCIETY

The Student Humanist Society will hold a meeting in Wauneita Lounge on Wednesday, Dec. 4 at 4:30 p.m. Professor H. Tennesen of the philosophy department will speak on "What is Humanism?"

FRENCH CLUB

A business meeting of the French Club will be held Thursday, Nov. 28 at 8 p.m. in Dinwoodie Lounge.

BALLET CLUB

A 2 hour lesson will be held on Thursday, Nov. 28 at 7 p.m. in PEB. For information, phone 439-5432.

CHESS CLUB

General play for the Chess Club will now be held in SUB 307. Times remain as usual.

ED STUDENTS

Education students are requested to check certification and graduation lists to be found in Main Rotunda North, Education Building.

CHRISTMAS BUS

A chartered bus will go to Grande Prairie and the surrounding area for students at Christmas holidays. To reserve a seat phone Rob at 439-5831 within two weeks.

NOTICE

The Gateway will not accept short shorts that are to run in consecutive issues. A short short to run in more than one issue must be submitted for each issue separately. Deadlines are 7 p.m. Sundays (for Tuesdays edition) and Tuesdays (for Friday edition).

Varsity Voices

Frat Girls Reply To Fabius, deSouza Speaks Of Religion, Johnson Of Speeds

Reply To Fabius

To The Editor:
If ignorance is bliss, then Fabius is in ecstasy. With the editor's permission, we would like to set Fabius "straight" on a few of his misconceptions concerning student government and fraternity dominance.

You say, Fabius, that at least as far back as 1956, the Students' Council has been dominated by fraternities. This is not only true, but it is true of years prior to 1956 (may we refer you to Evergreen and Gold of 1950-55 inclusive). This fact signifies one of at least three points:

(1) Non-fraternity population has been satisfied with fraternity dominated councils and has continued to support them.

(2) Fraternity people have been the only ones with enough campus concern and "guts" to face the election campaigns. Few people are willing to be put in a position wide open to criticism.

(3) APATHY!!!
You say—"All major committees dealing with student affairs have a majority of fraternity members..." TRUE! Why?

(1) Fraternities are nucleus groups—it is easier for a committee head to call a fraternity house than to canvas the campus at random.

(2) Fraternity members are—(generally speaking): (a) intelligent enough to maintain good marks (fraternity averages are at least 10% higher than an all campus average) and still partake of extra-curricular activities, (b) preselected for executive work—they have background organizational ability from high school days.

(3) People wanting work done (Dean of Women, Provost, Men's Advisor, etc.) contact fraternity people because they know the job will be done quickly and efficiently.

Your arguments states that this affects the quality of student government because (1) fraternity members "have a fairly common social background"—our answer to you, dear sir, is LIKE HELL, THEY DO!!!, (2) fraternity people mix amongst themselves—Yes they do, but they also have non-fraternity friends and are in as close or closer contact with the campus needs and affairs as anyone else it, (3) "Their activity revolves around the 'frat' house" (May we make a suggestion?—the word is FRATERNITY)—Fraternity people are at that house as much as you are at The Gateway office, (4) "There is more communication among them

than among any other groups, leading to a greater uniformity of opinion and action." We beg to differ! Because of the intellectual capacity of these people, the intercommunication stimulates ideas, discussion and individual action.

As far as policies accepted by the governing body—these are accepted with the faith that they are in the best interests of the campus as a whole. A person elected to council is elected as a representative of the student body—not as a fraternity representative. You Fabius, helped to put him there. If you don't want a fraternity dominated council, you should have supported worthwhile non-fraternity candidates in elections. No one can say as you have that we are being governed by an "unrepresentative" group—no one from the "representative" group seems willing to accept the responsibility of office.

Elana Scraba (Arts II)
Carrol Beil (Ed II)

Editor's Note: Did it ever occur to you that Fabius might be a frat member himself?

Short and Sour

To The Editor:
Further to my letter of Tuesday, November 19, 1963 I feel obliged to continue the exposition of the fallacy of reasoning contained in the "Short and Sour" column of Friday, Nov. 15, and which reappears this time under a querrying tone in the Friday, Nov. 22 issue of The Gateway.

In the former column the writer describes a "typical Catholic lay reaction" to criticism of the Church's baptism as "stinks of the paranoiac." The insistence that children be brought up in the faith is termed "a system of moral extortion" exercised by the Church. To top this arrogant language the writer is infuriated at the "hypocrisy" and "ignorance" he finds in the Church's stand, and "the situation becomes intolerable" to him.

Now in Friday, Nov. 22 issue we are being told "that you believe because you don't know. I cannot imagine you believing in a God if you knew he existed or vice versa. As I see it, if there is a difference between believing and knowing, then we are basically in the same boat..."

The last statement is preposterous. It is precisely the inability to believe in the EXISTENCE OF GOD that set apart the Atheists from the Christians. I find it difficult to comprehend how anyone with such a muddled

concept can make a judgement on any religious matter.

Every act of our life is an act of belief: our whole life is one long series of beliefs. When I take a step I believe the ground will be there and will hold up my weight. When I ride a bus, I believe it will take me to my destination (so many who did not care to verify have sometimes landed elsewhere). The fact that few have seen Europe does not deter us from believing that a continent of that name does exist, because we trust the teachers who taught us in schools and people who came from Europe.

Our beliefs then are dependent upon the veracity of the person persons upon whom we base our beliefs. No one living today ever saw Napoleon alive, yet we put our entire trust in our elders or past generations and written authoritative records, and BELIEVE Napoleon's existence as a historical fact.

A Christian believes in the religious truths, because here the authority is no other than the GREATEST AUTHORITY there is: Christ Himself.

It is Christ's and the Church's teaching that children be brought up in the faith. Because of their privileged position as co-creators and co-fashioners of their children's nature, the parents have the first "right" to educate them in the truths of religion, or the very MEANING OF EXISTENCE.

North American civilization was built on the foundation of the belief of a Supreme Being who IS the first cause of all things. It is on this basis that human worth and dignity is recognized. If this underlying concept is removed, then the words freedom, liberty and individuality lose their significance, and sound hollow.

Let us try to strengthen the bonds that tie us together as persons in the traditional manner. The accomplishment of this will require all the belief we can muster to recognize the significance of obedience to lawful authority for the sake of stable social organization, respect for truth to live a peaceful and contented life, and freedom to pursue undiscovered pathways of knowledge in the universe.

Yours truly,
Asser A. deSouza

High Speed

To The Editor:
Sports car fans at the U of A may have missed a chance to meet top-ranking drivers from eastern racing circuits because of an

oversight by Gateway reporters. Indeed, we might not have known about them at all, had not Dave McLaughlin added an innocuous-sounding paragraph to his report of Golden Bowl events: "The Queen's cheering section, composed of four stalwarts... made the trip of 2,500 miles in 34 hours with their longest stop being 11½ minutes."

I must protest the brevity of this tantalizing bit of news. Who were these mysterious drivers? Were they members of a factory team? What was the make of car, its displacement, type of suspension, fuel consumption, etc.? Is it true that this trip was really an evaluation run to determine the feasibility of a Grand Prix de Canada to compete with the Shell Trans-Canada rally?

More important, how does one obtain permission from the highway police for a cross-country trip at an average speed near 80 mph? If this is no problem, I would be happy to organize a team to better the time next year. Perhaps it could become an annual event.

As a final note, I must caution Gateway reporters to not let this sort of oversight happen again. Do not tease us with incomplete information; the hit-and-run life you save may be your own.

H. E. Johnson
Editor's Note: Check your arithmetic.

Poem On Food

To The Editor:
Ye First Ode To Ye Foode of Resydense:
Anyone care to guess from where I doth procureth this lovely hair? Amongst the apples in the pie, It sat staring me in the eye. I pondered on the place from whence it came, And who was playing a little game. Though on such rare delicacies, they do not lag, So try our stew with pig's ear tag. Or here's another, you'll want to try— Soapy, bleachy pumpkin pie. Into the coffee to settle the grounds Goes ten pounds of salt with a slushing sound. Though cold water performs the same task, Of such inefficiency, we must not ask. For rather than the heat to waste, It is much better to spoil the taste. So watch ye close, and ye may see A silver fish in your tea.
Untyl you meete agayne,
Zachary

Caouette Replies To Winter

Views Of French-Canadian Separatists Explained

In a recent edition of *The Gateway*, an associate professor of agriculture, G. R. Winter, addressed an open letter to Maurice Caouette of the Department of Modern Languages.

Dr. Winter's letter said that Mr. Caouette was a confirmed separatist, who had given up all hopes of biculturalism for Canada. Dr. Winter challenged Mr. Caouette to tell *Gateway* readers what French Canadian Nationalists want from English Canadians.

In the return letter below, Mr. Caouette replies to Dr. Winter, and explains the position of the "Separatist."

Monsieur Winter,

Si donc j'ai des droits égaux, comme vous dites, et je dis bien SI, je peux donc, Monsieur, vous parler d'égal à égal. Vous me comprendrez lorsque j'emploie ma langue, j'en suis sûr, aussi bien que moi je vous comprends lorsque vous employez la vôtre. Car, vous formez, Monsieur, l'élite du Canada, et je suis sûr qu'un homme éduqué et cultivé comme vous l'êtes, sans doute, qui se soucie des ennuis de son pays, a su sûrement mettre en application les principes les plus fondamentaux et élémentaires de ce que vous appelez cette grande "expérience".

Now In English . . .

You can see, I am sure, Mr. Winter, the futility of my answering in French. I would only confuse and aggravate my readers. If I am to communicate with my fellow countrymen, I must adopt a language which is not my mother tongue, even though French has official status in Canada, and express my arguments in English, and necessarily, not as forcefully as they would be expressed in French.

This, you call freedom and equality of opportunity! I ask you, Mr. Winter; who is conceding a "right?" who is making a concession now?

Before going any further, I must clarify my position. I am NOT to be considered as the representative of the French Canadian opinion in general, nor the representative of the French Canadians of Alberta. Although some of the opinions may be those of the French Canadian position, I disagree with them on many points. These men have in the past, and are still now fighting nobly, and with just cause for what they consider to be a moral and natural right.

"Hurried Away"

The reason I "hurried away," as you put it, from our conversation after the panel discussion on Oct. 17, (since I must clarify it again for you), was indeed not because I feared discussion, as your letter seems to imply, but because it was already 6 p.m. and I had to go home to eat, then return to the university for a rehearsal of *Amphitryon 38* at 7 p.m. I think you would have done the same thing had you been in my position.

You ask me "What concessions would appease your troubled spirit?" Either "troubled" means the French Canadian (or the Separatist) does not know what he wants, in which case it would be foolish to grant concessions, or either "troubled" means insane, in which case it would indeed be insane on your part to grant them their wishes.

You ask me to tell you what Québec nationalists want? I must admit that I don't know what it is exactly you mean by Québec nationalists. If you take the words to mean "the Separatists", then the question is indeed

easily answered. They want nothing from you! But, I think you mean, not the Separatists, but the French Canadian who wants to remain within Confederation if certain changes are made.

Biased Reports?

Yet, the Canadian and French Canadian problem is often stated and analyzed in *Le Devoir*. You will even find a number of articles dealing with the problem, every day. You have only to read the paper, as I do, to be fully informed, or better informed, since the English press incompletely reports, when it does report, the events happening in Québec, and sometimes with a definite bias.

Québec has been in the midst of a very serious revolution for some time now. Yet we only occasionally read of the activities there in the English press, and when we do, it is usually an incident that will stir the anger of the English-speaking Canadian, rather than inform him of the problem of his fellow Canadians. The press is rendering a great disservice to Canada. One has the impression that it is willfully keeping the English population ignorant of problems that one day may separate the country.

Instead of laughing at, or ignoring the French Canadians, who for the majority want to build a stronger Canada, it is high time the English-speaking population in general try to understand the French Canadian, as you, sir, are trying to understand them.

Any Chance?

What chances have French Canadians of surviving as an entity in a world of 200,000,000 English speaking persons? What chances have they in Canada? (To the Separatist, the question is easily answered. French Canadians have no chance of surviving in such a country, but do have a chance if they join the world of 150,000,000 French-speaking people.)

In Québec, you will say, French Canadians have all the rights they want. Yes, but do rights alone assure survival? Rights without power bring disaster. How much of Québec's industries are in the hands of Québécois? One might say that it was up to them. Was it really? How much of our own Canadian industries are in our own hands? How much of Alberta industries? What applies to Canada applies also to Québec, but more forcefully there, because those who own and rule in industry, use another language to give their orders.

Outside of Québec the problem is worse. The French Canadian is told that all of Canada is his country. Yes, but let him leave Québec, and he must renounce everything French. Oh! he does have a right to migrate, many have, but at the cost of their identity as French Canadians, and

only to be treated, not as Canadians anymore, but as a minority group with no more rights or privileges than newly arrived immigrants.

This is what is referred to in your letter as "equal opportunity" for one of the founding races of our country. These are the "rights" that we possess in Canada, outside of Québec.



MAURICE CAOUETTE
... comes back

Only Bilingual Province

Confederation, outside of Québec, may be a "great experiment in cultural, linguistic and religious tolerance," but for what culture and what language? A visit to Québec proves that the only province that has completely tried this experiment is Québec, the only bilingual province in Canada.

How can you speak of an experiment in linguistic and cultural tolerance, when in Manitoba, for example (where French had

official status before and after it became a province) one of the first official acts of that Parliament was to banish French from schools?

How can you speak of an experiment in linguistic and cultural tolerance, when in British Columbia, for example, French parents who want to maintain their heritage among their children (and isn't that a natural duty?) must doubly tax themselves if they are to have French taught in their private schools one hour a day?

How can you speak of tolerance when the Department of Education forbids French to be taught to English-speaking students after school, even at the request of the parents?

How can you speak of tolerance when we who are proud of our heritage, are not allowed to maintain it?

Not Tolerance

That is not tolerance. We want to be able to continue being Canadians, but French Canadians, and we don't want to suffer because we refuse to become "something else." We want to be able to live our heritage and practice our culture, not only in Québec, but throughout Canada. We no longer want to be considered as speaking a "foreign language," and told to "speak white."

The English-speaking Canadians have to open the door for us. The Departments of Education hold the key. Let the French Canadians have their schools without double taxation and strings attached. Treat us, in your education policies, as the French Canadians treat the English groups in Québec. Don't refuse us entry in your institutions because we don't "speak the language."

Give us programs on TV at hours we can watch, and good programs. (All of French Canada is not made up of the Plouffe family. Why can't we see "Télé-théâtre?") Better still, let us have our own TV station, or for

two national networks, one English, one French? This will not infringe upon your rights. You won't be forced to look at French programs.

We want your press, radio, and TV to be less biased on news from French Canada and to stop calling us Nationalists as if it were a vice. Of course we are Nationalists! We were the first Europeans to make our home in Canada. We are pro-Canadian, don't force us to be any different. For too many other Canadians, to be Nationalistic means to be American!

What Is Treason?

You tell me, Mr. Winter, that those who advocate separation are irresponsible and treasonable. That all depends on what you understand as irresponsibility and treason.

I would indeed be a traitor to my ancestors, to my heritage and culture, and to myself if I didn't resist forces which are trying to assimilate me. Don't I have a responsibility to myself as a free individual and as a man "with equal rights" to be myself and not put on a mask which isn't even Canadian in many instances, but American?

Separation is not the solution Québec wants, but it is ONE solution. You are forcing me to take it. More than half of the French Canadians I started school with have given up being French. And it becomes worse every year. I will not! I want to live as a French-speaking Canadian in my own country with all that I think my freedom implies. If I can't (and every day I see more reasons why I can't), then only if Québec should secede from Confederation, at whatever price, I would, I am afraid, have no choice but to leave. In this sense, I am a separatist.

Yours truly,
Maurice Caouette
Department of
Modern Languages

STUDIO

THEATRE

DIE KURVE

Tancred Dorst

THE CURVE

Dorst, translated H. Beissel

NOVEMBER 29th, 30th

Phone GE3-3265

8:30 in German

9:30 in English

NORTH AMERICAN PREMIERE

The Gateway

Member of the Canadian University Press

Editor-in-Chief - - - Branny Schepanovich

Managing Editor Bill Winship Associate Editor Dieter Buse
News Editor John Jay Barr Fine Arts Editor Bev Gietz
Sports Editor Barry Rust Cutlines Editor Jon Whyte
Copy Editor Susan Gathercole Proofreading L. R. Clendenning

Photo Editors Con Stenton, Heinz Moller, Kendel Rust

EDITORIAL—Alan Meech, Robin Hunter, David Willis, James Foster, Howard Luke, Paul Jensen, Peter Sharpe. CUP editor, Adriana Albi.

STAFF THIS ISSUE—Wendy Caywood, Dave Estrin, Marion Raycheba, Beverly Bayer, Larry Krywanuk, Lawrence Samuel, Bill Salter, Allan Bragg, L. Stephen Larson, Clark Kent, Don Risdon, Larry Duignan, Bruce Ferrier, Gerry Ohlsen, George Yackulic, Wayne Crywolt, Rick Leland, Barbara Way, Veneta Augustynovich, Charles Copeman, Linda Strand, Ellen Jastrebski, Allan Shute.

PAGE FOUR

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1963

To the memory of John F. Kennedy

Mourned on both sides of the iron curtain, President Kennedy has passed into history. His tragic death was a great blow to the American people and to the people of the world. Its consequences will not be fully known for a long time.

It is in the field of international relations that we will feel his loss to the greatest extent. The Kennedy administration's domestic record was solid, but not perfect. Especially in the field of civil rights and economic issues, there is good reason to believe that more of the administration's program could have been implemented with greater effort.

In foreign affairs, the Kennedy record in the past year has been one of considerable progress. The test-ban treaty, a general rapprochement with the Soviet Union, and thaw in world tension were all attained. In addition, a compromise on the nuclear-arms-for-Germany issue had resulted in a halt to the spread of nuclear potential.

President Kennedy had worked out an understanding with the British with regard to their independent deterrent problems, which regardless of the obvious embarrassment of Mr. Macmillan with the dumping of the Skybolt project, made possible a more reasonable alignment within the Western bloc.

How will the sudden elimination of President Kennedy as an international figure affect these developments? Of course it is impossible to make more than a rough prediction of the probable results of this tragedy. Many variables will influence the course of events.

If President Johnson is unable to exert the personal influence President Kennedy was noted for,

especially within the Western bloc, there may well be a greater degree of disunity in the Atlantic alliance. Furthermore, President Kennedy was reportedly on very good terms with the opposition leaders in Germany and Great Britain. If, as seems probable, these two countries change governments in the next year, President Johnson may find it less easy to see eye to eye with them.

The major problem is likely to be in American-Soviet relations. Because the late prime suspect, Lee Oswald, was a sympathizer of the Cuban government, and at one time attempted to defect to the Soviet Union, public opinion in the US could suspect some causal relation between the two.

This is highly improbable. Nothing could be further from the interests of the USSR than the death of Kennedy. Nothing could be less in the interests of Cuba.

However, the American people have just lost a very respected leader, and it is unlikely that everyone will be rational in assessing the causes. Even if President Johnson wishes to continue the good relations that President Kennedy built up with the Soviet Union, he may find that public opinion will force him to take a more inflexible line.

If this were the case it would indeed be ironic. If public sympathy at the death of John F. Kennedy turns against the Soviet Union simply because of an unproved suspicion that a psychopathic Soviet sympathizer was in some way acting in the interests of another country, a great deal of the President's work will have gone in vain.

What is to be hoped for is that in their hour of tragedy the people of the United States will rededicate themselves to the ideals of their late President, and work for a greater basis of understanding between all the nations of the world, communist, neutral or pro-Western. This would be the finest tribute to his memory.

NEWS ITEM-FRATS IN STUDENT GOV'T ATTACKED



"FINGS AINT WHAT THEY USED TO BE"



What the hell

by Jon Whyte

(Behind the scenes at Varsity Varieties . . .)

GOLD KEESTER: (assertively) Well, the way I see it, we had one script . . . and then we bought another script. That means that we had two scripts. One to use and one to keep in the storage bin.

JUBILAIRE: (jubilantly) Yes, that's right. We felt that because we were having such difficulty obtaining performable scripts, we should buy whatever ones anyone wanted to write, and then keep them in store for when we haven't got any.

KEESTER: Oh, you mean you're keeping more than one script in storage?

JUBILAIRE: You don't know? (Keester shakes head.) Oh, well we bought both of them, and aren't going to use either of them. Instead we're going to do a whole pile of short skits.

KEESTER: Did you pay for them? (Jub. shakes head.) Ah, well that will save a little money. What are you going to do next year?

JUBILAIRE: We have two scripts on hand (hesitantly) and . . .

KEESTER: You're going to use one?

JUBILAIRE: No, but we'll have them on hand.

KEESTER: Let me get this straight. If you do write a script, you'll get paid for it, but it won't be performed. If you do write a skit which will be performed, you don't get paid for it.

JUBILAIRE: That's fairly close.

KEESTER: Can anyone write one of these scripts?

JUBILAIRE: Certainly.

KEESTER: Can you not write one and get paid for it?

JUBILAIRE: (as the sun suddenly breaks forth upon the day) Say, that's an even better idea.

KEESTER: It's just like farm supports. Next year I'm not going to write six or seven scripts. Will you take them all?

JUBILAIRE: We'll see. Just don't get them in on time and everything will be normal. That won't be seen if they're in by deadline.

Looking Back through The Gateway

November 15, 1917

"The most serious news of the week is that of the debacle of Russia. From the welter of conflicting reports this much seems to emerge, that Nikolas Lenin, the Bolsheviki leader, who has been directly proved to be a German agent, is in complete control at Petrograd and that the Kerensky government has fallen or is at least under the necessity of fighting for its life . . ."

* * *

November 16, 1951
(By a columnist)

"Lately the writer has been giving some thought to the Golden Key Society. For a group that, judging by its really stellar membership, should have been from the first eminently successful in all its endeavors, this organization has been singularly jinxed, until instead of being an honor society it has become a laughing-stock . . ."

Varsity Voices

Architecture

To The Editor:

For the past several years, I have wondered why the face of the campus has become progressively more unsightly as each new building rears its ugly head. Now, thanks to the article in the Friday, Nov. 15 Gateway, I have the answer—it is deliberately intended to be that way by those who are responsible for its design. And what is even more appalling, those responsible for the growing form of the campus are trying to justify their inept efforts by attempting to pull the wool over the eyes of the students and the public, who have to pay for these unfortunate mistakes.

Dr. B. E. Riedel, the appointed chairman of the Campus Planning Committee, must be congratulated for this singular audacity in explaining the existence of a plan for the aesthetics of campus architecture. It is unfortunate that a man who is chairman of the CPC should be so misinformed about aesthetics and especially basic design fundamentals.

Dr. Riedel defines a homogeneous campus as "being pleasant, with adequate open areas, and having buildings that don't conflict with each other." I think most students on almost any campus in the world will agree that this is a good general description of the campus with which they would like to be associated.

But Dr. Riedel says the CPC has no plans for uniformity in building design, and he gives the frail excuse that "changing standards require changing design"; that is merely an attempt to hide the fact that those responsible for the design of the buildings are obviously not qualified to create buildings that are honest to their function and a pleasant complement to their surroundings.

As an example of this, in less than 15 years the copy of Sir Christopher Wren's brick and stone edifice had to be supplemented by a much more vulgar copy of the curtain wall box in order to meet the library demands on this campus; on the other hand, UBC has been using the same building for decades, because it was planned for expansion by means of the foresighted arrangement of both its interior and exterior spaces.

Two of the most neglected aspects of the U of A campus are the existence of beautiful and related buildings, and the presence of pleasant, inviting outdoor spaces. There are very few places on the campus that provide an incentive for students to sit down together for relaxation, conversation, and study in the open air amid pleasant and inspiring surroundings.

UBC, Oregon, Washington, Stanford, and Berkeley campuses, for example, have a great variety of these refreshing spaces. What is even more appalling than the presently existing situation is the location of the proposed buildings mentioned by Dr. Riedel; these are located so as to remove the last of the campus open spaces rather than to create more open, defined spaces.

Dr. Riedel tries to defend the design of individual buildings by stating that "the functional aspect is important." However, one of the functions of a building, especially a public building, is that it should be beautiful. Good architecture is a three dimensional art form in which the activities and aspirations of man take place.

Even when one considers the basic utilitarian planning of the new buildings, there is much evidence that these new buildings are not good solutions to the functional problems involved.

For example, having to return one's books to the new library by means of a hole in the wall at the end of a narrow stairway is not functional; rather it is an inconvenience that should have been alleviated by locating several return slots at ground level, where mechanical conveyors would then deliver the books to their required destinations.

And what functional justifications can there be for the gigantic steel columns that support the fake folded plate overhang, at the south-west corner of the building, which does nothing but add to the unsightliness of the undulating fascia. Another example of poor planning occurs in the new Food Services Building. In any building which has to accommodate large groups of people, the most obvious thing should be the circulation and traffic; but in Lister Hall one has to search for the vertical circulation route that takes one to the second floor. Having to put a sign on the floor of the corridor to tell people where to go upstairs is an admission of poor planning. Cross traffic in the serving line is another example of inadequate planning. A study of the floor plans of Lister Hall reveals the spaces are forced and arbitrary rather than designed and controlled.

Mr. Louis Demote has been appointed as a campus planning consultant, to create a more functional campus, I suppose. But what is so functional about locating the campus cafeteria a half-mile from the campus center, while greenhouses and other minority-use buildings are occupying the heart of the campus? It seems that the CPC should have followed the practice of our neighboring provinces in obtaining campus planning consultants.

Saskatchewan, after deciding to create a new campus at Regina, obtained the services of Mr. Minoru Yamasaki, one of the best architects in the world. (Those who have visited the U.S. Science Pavilion at the Seattle World Fair will remember the delightful and functional spaces Yamasaki is capable of creating.) When British Columbia decided to create a new campus at Burnaby, a competition was held, with two of Canada's most gifted and imaginative architects, Arthur Erickson and Geoffrey Massey, being awarded the job of planning the campus in detail. It takes imagination and ability to create good architecture and a beautiful campus, not gimmicks, clichés, and feeble excuses to justify incompetent design.

Dr. Riedel wishes that someone would be able to design, the "perfect building—in relation to design, function, and cost." Why doesn't he use architectural firms who have already proven their ability to create outstanding examples of contemporary architecture? There are several well known, well established, and well qualified architectural firms in Western Canada that are increasing their reputation each year by the buildings they have created and the awards they have won. UBC has three buildings which have won national awards for outstanding architecture. U of A will never have anything better than its usual vulgar and brutal style of architecture unless the present situation is removed.

Another statement Dr. Riedel made was, "if someone on the staff wanted to direct the aesthetic nature of our planning, I'd ask the president to appoint him to the committee." However, the professional opinions of faculty members who are qualified to speak of aesthetics are not taken too seriously. When the

mural on the Engineering Building was being designed, two members of the U of A fine arts department were asked to give their comments on the proposed design. Their advice was that the proposed mural was not of a quality deserving of a university campus. However, their professional advice went unheeded, and the dull, stylized, wishy-washy effort of some draftsman in the Department of Public Works now takes the place of what could have been a jewel on the U of A campus.

It is already too late to make the U of A a great campus. Lack of foresight, lack of a good master plan, and especially poor architectural design has produced a crowded, chaotic campus that boasts a vulgar style of architecture that can well be called "brutal functionalism?" (The question mark is important because one can question this aspect of the building as much as the aesthetics aspect.)

Although the future is not bright at all for the Edmonton campus, let it be a powerful force to prevent a repeat at Calgary. It is not yet too late for Calgary to have a good, beautiful, orderly, functional campus of which the people and students of Alberta will be justly proud.

Alumnus,
B.A., B.Arch.

Chapel Issue

To The Editor:

I agree with your editorial of Nov. 15, that Students' Union funds should not be used to finance, even in part, the proposed chapel in the new Students' Union Building. I would like to make it known, to you, and the student body, that the chapel will not be built unless it can be entirely financed by non-Students' Union sources.

I assure you, that I approached the Students' Union Planning Commission's outline for the new Students' Union Building very critically, but I could find no serious major objection or criticism of the extremely conscientious and responsible work done by the commission.

I hope that before an emotional controversy grows too large, those who feel concern will familiarize themselves with the commission's proposals.

Sincerely,
Dixon Thompson, Sc IV
Chapel Convener,
Students' Union Planning
Commission

Hinman Defended

To The Editor:

I wish I were an editor;
So I could write much bunk
Of freedom myths and all
that rot:

And say that chapels stunk!

How many students shoot pool? I would hazard a guess that no interest in the game is held by the majority. How many students indicate a religious preference? I would hazard a guess that the majority DO have an interest in some "Christian" worship. A chapel might well be a facility of SUB used by the students who at present have little connection with Students' Union activities. Let's make SUB a spiritual as well as social center.

The second editorial of Friday's Gateway would lead one to believe the honorable editor must have taken at least one atheistically orientated (sic) sociology course, but if he did he only learnt the important things and missed trivialities like socialization of the individual which in its initial and greatest aspect de-

Architecture Was Bad, Now Gone To Hell; Chapel In SUB May Rectify Situation

pends upon parents "moulding" the child's ways, for this is how the largest portion of culture and HUMAN qualities are transmitted.

MYTHS

If the first "myth" is Mr. Hinman's, then truly he is either an unfortunately ignorant person or possesses knowledge of E.S.P. unknown to most. For the idea that anyone could explain all of another's thoughts let alone know them seems fallacious at best.

Surely "as members of the larger community they (profs) have as much right to be critical of the system as the members at large." But such dissatisfaction can be expressed in the context of the larger community rather than the confines of the university classroom. Their public roles consist to a great degree of teaching as employment, and what they teach is certainly of concern to their employers.

EMPLOYERS' RESPONSIBILITY

The employer is shirking his responsibility as well as asking for great loss when he no longer realizes his position. And whether the employer is held responsible for an employee's indiscretions depends on if they occur on the job or not.

FREEDOM

If freedom is lack of control then Mr. Hinman's remarks on the university as "an environment where freedom of thought is the highest concern" are indeed mythical. For today the university is fortunate if it can teach people to think. (period) Since thinking demands great internal control of the mental faculties, freedom is better stated as lack of external controls. But *thought itself* cannot be known or controlled externally—only actions.

Another most interesting editorial notion is that an age (because of its culture and concepts) can accept nothing that is thought of for the first time at the university. One need only consider the scientific advances not only accepted but also enjoyed today to recognize a poor argument. Does anyone have a GOOD argument against Mr. Hinman?

Doug Hendrickson

P.S. If SUB expansion must be utilitarian for many, why not a parkade?—which WOULD PAY

ITS OWN UPKEEP?

And if one wants to argue the principle of not supporting institutions one doesn't agree with, what about the Students' Union? I bet we'd have a chapel if each student could choose between having his \$37.50 spent as it now, or else spent on a chapel!

Socialist Again

To The Editor:

We are surrounded everywhere by injustice and inequality, and yet our Capitalism-drugged minds do not seem capable of perceiving it.

Take the question of marks, for example.

We continue to allocate course marks on the stupid free-enterprise principle of effort and intellectual merit—rather than on the progressive, socialist principles of Equality and Need.

Have our professors never read the Declaration of Independence, wherein it is stated that "all men are created equal?"

Have the great social-democratic documents of our time, ranging right down to the Regina Manifesto, gone unread by our faculty?

Did not the great Marx himself declare that under a perfect form of society, it would be "from each according to his ability, to each according to his needs?"

Who today has greater need than those without ability?

Is it fair that our intellectually less fortunate and underdeveloped brothers should be embarrassed and humiliated simply because they are intellectually less capable, through no fault of their own?

As a representative of the Working Class, I demand that the university forthwith redress this grievance.

Examinations have become the great Intellectual Means Test of our time; they have become associated with the same kind of humiliation and degradation associated with all Means Tests.

We will not tolerate this inequity. All men have a right to good marks.

All students must forthwith be allocated marks on the basis of Need, not Ability to Earn.

Until the millenium arrives, I will continue to be,

Yours,
Socialist

Richard McDowall's Musings



First snows change the complexion of everything. Most of all it seems that snow is only really appreciated by children. When the first snowflake falls, children cannot wait to get on their boots and to get outside and slush and slide in the snow.

One sees them everywhere—it is almost as if they have just come out of hibernation. They are laughing, dancing, jumping, and making graceful movements in the snow. They run, slide, slip, fall; in fact, it seems that they have been longing for this snow so that they can enjoy this new white world.

One sees them on their bicycles making zig-zag tracks in the snow, going around and around and always looking behind to see what kind and form of pattern has resulted. Endless patterns they make, all exciting to them—they touch the branches of the hedge to feel the snow, they shake the tree to watch the branches discard their white cloaks, they make odd tracks with their feet—they do anything because they are free.

They roll up the snow in their hands, they crush it, move it, they lick it, finding in each action something new and existing.


Most men don't like snow. It plugs up traffic, it generally means cold weather which means stalled cars, scarves, slippery streets, and shovelling of sidewalks. Snow to most people is a nuisance. We are so concerned with the problems of everyday living that many of us are shackled by life itself and snow is just another burden.

Children enjoy the beauties of nature because they are not yet contaminated by the ills of society. They are free and natural and snow to them is something priceless; it is creation, it is simplicity.

GATEWAY TO sports

PAGE SIX

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1963



UP AND IN. John Krenz shows two Lights how to score points. Krenz got 39 in the two games.

Photo by Gordon Cummings

Early Practice Keeps Aquatic Bears In Shape

By Mike Horrocks

At a time when most of us are huddled thankfully if unconsciously in a warm bed, a group of swimmers are splashing endless lengths in the University Pool.

The time: 6:20 a.m. The swimmers: University of Alberta Golden Bears training for the coming season. The requirements: talent, endurance and enthusiasm as befits the reigning WCIAU champions. The prospect:

Bears this year are not greatly changed from last year's championship team. Canadian medley relay record holders, Terry Nimmon, Ross Normington, Erik Haites and John Byrne are all back in the Bear camp as well as Jack Rogers, Art Hnatiuk, Rob Wilson and Tom McCready. Old Bear Larry Maloney has returned after a year's absence and a number of freshmen are trying out for vacant places on the squad.

SUCCESS PLANNED

The reason for coach Murray Smith's successful record (two championships in three years) has been the Bears' great depth which should be present again. The UBC squad who have vied with U of A for the championship over the last three seasons will obviously be making a strong bid to regain their

laurels in their last year of WCIAU competition.

On the basis of last year's performance the Bears must be regarded as favorites, but a small swing in relative strengths of the teams could well reverse the situation.

One notable addition to the diving team is Brian Connery, many times a provincial diving champion. He should strengthen the Bears considerably in this department.

University Must Aspire Toward Truth And Wisdom, Truth Being Attainable Only Through Study Of Bible

The duty of the university is to cultivate seeds of culture and wisdom to find truth, according to Professor William Sinnema of the faculty of engineering.

Speaking at a recent meeting of the Calvin Club, Professor Sinnema said further that truth will come only when one listens to the Bible with full attention, undisturbed by other activities.

With truth will come freedom. To be free, man must surrender himself wholly to Christ, Who, being the sole autonomous being in the universe, alone can make man free, concluded Professor Sinnema.

Krenz Aids Bear Win But Second Game Lost

By Dave McLaughlin

Friday Bears 87 Lights 76
Saturday Bears 73 Lights 74

The U of A Golden Bear basketballers missed a clean sweep of the two game series against Northern Montana College Lights by one point.

The first game Friday night saw Doug Krenz pace the Bears to a 87-76 win. The Golden cagers scored the first basket and never looked back, as they built up a 44-29 lead by the end of the first half. Their lead was cut to nine points at one stage of the second half but Bears hung on to win.

Doug Krenz was the star of the night. He came up with 27 hard-earned points for a 60 per cent field goal average and a 90 per cent free throw average. Defensively Krenz grabbed 10 rebounds to lead in this department also.

Runner-up for the Bears point-wise was Gary Smith, appearing as a basketballer for the first time this year, with 15 points. Smith's field goal average was 50 per cent and his free throw average was 83.5 per cent. Playing guard, Smith set up many of the point-getting plays and was outstanding defensively.

BIG LIGHT

For the Lights, big 6'6" Glenn Ennis came up with 18 points and a field goal average of 24 per cent. Ennis is a recruit from the Lethbridge Nationals and showed talent in proportion to his size.

Saturday, 600 fans watched a close, thrill-packed game which never saw the teams separated by more than 10 points. The improved Havre squad opened up a small lead and kept it most of the way through the game. The half time score was 44-38 in favor of the Lights.

A determined Bear club came out for the second half and slowly began to chip away at the six point lead. Finally with one minute 40 seconds to play, Gary Smith brought the cheering crowd to its feet as he scored to give the Bears a one point margin. The lead changed hands five times in the ensuing seconds.

TERRY SINKS BEARS

To the accompaniment of wildly cheering fans Fred Shandro put Bears out in front by a score of 73-72 with 14 seconds remaining. The Lights came right back and Douglas Terry put the ball through the hoop to end the scoring. The Bears frantic efforts to have the game were futile as they lost the heartbreaker 74-73. John Hennessy played his finest

WUS FUND DRIVE
November 25-30
BLOOD DRIVE
December 9-12

game of the year and led the Bears' scoring with 16 points and a 60 per cent field goal average. Doug Hayes and Doug Krenz followed with 12 points each.

Glenn Ennis was the leading scorer of the night, dropping in 25 points for the Montana squad. His field goal average was 50 per cent, much improved from Friday night.

The two games saw a duel develop between Krenz of Alberta and Ennis of Montana. Ennis is a heavier, more experienced man about the same height as Krenz. Both men were outstanding for their teams, Ennis scoring 43 points in the two games and Krenz 39.


BEST SINCE LUCHT

Coach Jim Munro said of Krenz Friday night "the best game I've seen from a Bear since Lucht." (Lucht played for Alberta in 1953 when the Bears won the Dominion Championship. He scored 88 points in the final game against Saskatchewan).

Munro also said after Saturday's heartbreaking loss, "I'm not disappointed a bit. The boys put out everything they had."

One fact that came out of the weekend series was that the Bears are immeasurably improved over last year. It is going to take a lot to stop the Golden ones from being contenders in the WCIAU this year. The first league games are against U of A (Calgary) on Dec. 2 and 3.

Next weekend the Bears journey to Montana to again take on the Northern Lights.



GOING... GOING... GOING John Hennessy sinks one of 16 in a losing cause Saturday. Bears lost 74-73.

Photo by Gordon Cummings

Bears Brave Angry Kings In Second Of Series

Golden Bears had best beware tonight when coach Buster Brayshaw leads his angry Oil Kings back into Varsity Arena for the second game of their best of five series.

The Kings plan to pull out all stops in attempting to dispose of the Bears and recover some of the prestige they lost in last Monday night's defeat by the varsity squad. The Bears, on the other hand, will be making a determined bid to extend their series lead to two games.

Coach Brayshaw plans to use all his "guns" in tonight's contest including the big line of Butch Paul, Glen Sather and Max Mestinek who were not dressed last Monday night. Although he agreed that the Bears looked good in the series opener he is confident that his charges will have no trouble in gaining a win. In his words: "the Kings will wrap it up early."

Save for a lack of conditioning, the Bears have been very impressive in

their two previous home starts. Coach Clare Drake has, however, been putting the squad through some pretty stiff paces in an attempt to overcome this problem. A two game road trip to Grande Prairie this past weekend gave the Bears additional experience under fire and a further opportunity to iron out some of the team's weaknesses. Bears should be ready for the King's invasion and give the Memorial Cup champs a real battle.

Game time is 8:30 p.m. at Varsity Arena.

Sex Limited At Bromo Ball

By Clark Kent

"Yahoo!" The gentleman was having a ball. In the morning he would need the Bromo.

He staggered slightly as he weaved his way through several hundred dancing, swinging, and happy students. Most of the guests of the Pharmacy Club were in good spirits, some from the bottle, and others just having fun.

True to the information supplied on the posters the subject was not SEX. The main attraction was the Saratogas and their music. It wasn't CKUA but it was fun. SEX was an interesting sidelight pursued during and after the dance.

NEW TWIST ON TWIST

The group that seemed to be having the most fun were the ones directly in front of the band doing a new dance.

This dance was said to be a combination of the shimmy, the twist, the stomp, and the continental. One dancer, probably taking sociology, thought he detected a resemblance to a Zulu puberty rite.

LAST IN SERIES

The evening discussion of "The University and Its Basis" was the last of a series sponsored by the Calvin Club. Formed four years ago as an outgrowth of similar organizations in eastern Canada and the US, the club proposes to study the relationship of the Word of God to the activity of the student in a university. It is a non-denominational organization.

The club program includes bi-monthly meetings at which members present brief papers, hold discussion groups, public meetings, and

an annual banquet.

An important function is the Calvin Club support of the Association for Reformed Scientific Studies. The ARSS seeks to establish a non-denominational Christian university free from the influences of the belief in complete human autonomy separate from the Word of God.

At present the campus Calvinists have 45 members and hope to expand. According to president John Othuis, a prospective member need not ascribe to the stated purpose, but rather express a genuine interest in the club.

Barry Rust

Reviews Sports

This is known as the easy way out (with all respect to that marvellous little creation of Bear cheerleaders).

Peggy Miller, script writer of CJCA is responsible for the following poem. I find it excellent and thus take liberty to present same, establishing, (I think) a precedent for Gateway sports columnists.

* * *

Fred Beckey informs that world-famous ski photographer John Jay presents what Jay considers his finest film "Catch a Skiing Star" at the Jubilee Auditorium Tuesday, Dec. 3. Olympic Champion Stein Eriksen is featured on newly discovered slopes of the Australian Alps. The North American Alpine championships of 1963 are also on the program, should be of major benefit for ski enthusiasts.

THE STORY OF THE GOLDEN BEARS

Once upon a time, lived the Golden Bears
They lived in one of the SWINGIN'est lairs!
With Big Daddy Gino, and Uncles three
Named Frank, and Clare, and lil' ol' Leigh.

"Now, listen, sons" Daddy Gino said,
"It's early to rise, and early to bed—
It's eat your porridge, and wash your socks
And no foolin' 'round with Goldilocks!"

And all the Uncles had more advice,
How the Golden Bears had better be nice—
Had better work hard, and better all mind,
Or they'd each get a kick in the Bear behind!

So those Bears behaved, they were good as Gold,
They did all the things that they'd been told,
They grew mighty, and grew strong,
They could whomp anybody who came along.

On the football field they could really play—
Were the pride and joy of the U of A,
They roared 'n scored, and they did their best
And emerged victorious in the West.

Then the Gaels from Queen's said "Bears, look out
Gonna give you a beating there is no doubt—
Gonna prove we're the most, and you're the least—
Gonna win the trophy, and take it East."

Then Big Daddy Gino and Uncles three
Said "Bears, let's show 'em—Yessiree—
Gonna train you hard, gonna train you long
And show those Gaels that they're all wrong."

So the Golden Bears gave it all they had
They pleased the Uncles, and thrilled ol' Dad—
They obeyed all the things that Gino said
Like "early to rise and early to bed."

They ate their porridge and washed their socks
And hardly fooled 'round with Goldilocks
Except for studying their vocation
(After all, it was Physical Education!)

Came the day of the game—the Bears were set
But a team like the Gaels they never had met
For the team from the East was the biggest they'd seen
Those Gaels were powerful, tough and mean.

But the Bears remembered all they'd been taught
They went out there and they really fought—
They roared 'n scored, and they had a spree
For Big Daddy Gino and the Uncles three.

When the gun had sounded, they'd won the game
The Golden Bears were a team of fame
While all the Queen's horses, and all the Queen's men
Couldn't put those Gaels together again.

And that's the story of the Golden Bears
Who live in one of the SWINGIN'est lairs
With Big Daddy Gino, and Uncles three
Named Frank, and Clare, and lil' ol' Leigh.

Faculty Association Demands Control Of Policy Formation

**By David Estrin
Administration Reporter**
Complete control of university policy formation is being demanded by the Faculty Association.

In a yet-to-be released report which recommends sweeping reorganization of university government, the Faculty Association states "we believe that university government should be largely, and policy formation entirely, in the hands of the Faculty."

The so-called "Collier Report," (after its chairman, Dr. H. B. Collier) is the result of an ad hoc committee of the Faculty Association set up initially to recommend revisions to the University Act.

But practically at its first meeting, the committee, in November, 1961, decided to consider the whole aspect of university government, and the faculty's role in it.

FACULTY REP NO ANSWER

"The faculty, not the Board of Governors, should determine university policy," Dr. Collier said. The report of his committee, which was adopted by the faculty in February 1963, strongly suggests that faculty representation on the board would not be the answer to current grievances.

The resulting report, which currently is being considered by a Faculty Council Committee on University Administration, is in fact a draft for a completely new University Act, according to Dr. Collier.

"This," he said, "is in line with President Johns' attitude to do a thorough job on a completely new act."

FORD GIVES \$50,000

Recently, a grant of \$50,000 was made by the Ford Foundation to the Canadian Universities Foundation and the Canadian Association of University Teachers for considering the whole problem of university government.

This report, said Dr. Collier, will bring the whole problem into the open. A cross-country investigation by two leading educators will talk to

both faculty and administration officials in making their report, he said.

But the situation at the University of Alberta is "too urgent to await the report of these men," Dr. Collier said. University government reorganization is urgently needed and therefore we are acting now, he said.

Treasure Van Sales Increase

TORONTO (CUP)—The World University Service of Canada's Treasure Van is setting records on all campuses this year.

The Treasure Van has visited over 21 campuses as of this date and each visit has shown an increase in sales. Over \$48,000 has been collected to date as compared with \$41,000 raised last year at this time.

The standings to date:

Carleton	\$4,477
Waterloo Un. College	2,079
New Brunswick	2,335
Sir George Williams	892
Loyola College	1,118
Moncton	1,160
Bishop's University	1,966
Sherbrooke	1,845
Laurentian	1,576
Acadia	1,817
Mount Allison	3,967
St. John	882
Federated Colleges	1,773
Lakehead	1,470
St. Francis Xavier	945
Xavier College	506
Western Ontario	4,730
Manitoba	9,708
York	2,761
Brandon	2,770

Co-Ed Corner

Curling Tryouts Continue

By Lee Hopper

Tryouts for intervarsity curling will be held Thursday, Nov. 28 at 4:30 p.m. at Balmoral Curling Rink. These tryouts continue again on Saturday, Nov. 30 at 11:30 a.m. All interested girls are invited to come out.

Intervarsity volleyball clinic is still being held on Wednesday evenings in West Gym. The team, coached by Miss Audrey Carson, will travel to Saskatoon late in February to compete in a WCIAU sports weekend. Any girls who have not been able to turn up in the past are still welcome.

PEMBINA WINS

Intramural basketball is over again for another year. Despite many defaulted games at the beginning of league play it ended quite successfully. A team from Pembina won the league after a hard-played game last Thursday.

Intramural badminton begins today in the West Gym. Time and games are posted in PEB and all girls who

signed up to play are asked to check when and whom they play.

Intramural broomball continues this week in the rink. The 8 p.m. league is running smoothly, but in the 9 p.m. league there have been many defaults. Remember girls, if you signed up—show up because it ruins it for players who do show.

Four Students Receive Awards

Union Carbide has awarded four scholarships to U of A students as part of its \$150,000 scholarship fund.

The scholarships, valued at \$2,500 each, have been received by Emmanuel Mirth, Roger Spady, Cornelius Joziase, and Stephen A. Holinski.

Each student will receive \$700 the first year and \$600 a year for the final three years of his university studies.

Since 1954, the company's educational assistance program has given \$500,000 to university building funds and 220 students.

The scholarships are open to secondary school students enrolling at the 19 participating universities in engineering, physical sciences, commerce or business administration.

Administration of the program and selection of recipients are both in the hands of the university. Application for the awards should be made directly to the university.



"LOOK AT MY NAVEL!" Another reason Bear basketballers win occasionally is cheerleader Kathryn Kozak, shown in midst of enthusiastic cheer.

Canadian University Press DATELINE

Necessity of College Education

CHICAGO—Almost all Americans (about 96 per cent) think a college education is important and most of them think the most valuable part of it is getting a better job, according to a survey being made by the University of Michigan.

Those who see negative aspects in going to college—less than half of those questioned—most often fear that education "may create snobs." Others say that colleges give young people ideas or break down their morality.

Thirteen hundred people were contacted in the survey being done for the U.S. office of education and the Joint Office of Institutional Research, and will be completed by June, 1964.

Segments of the population tend to feel differently. For example, low education and low income groups view the education of a woman as less necessary than do high income, higher education groups.

Sexy Shows To End

QUEBEC CITY—The mayor here, Wilfrid Hamel, says that from now on the city will make sure productions at a city-owned theatre aren't too sexy.

He made the statement after receiving numerous complaints that a show put on in the Le Palais Montcalm theatre by the medical faculty of Laval University was filled with sex.

He told city council this week that in the future the city will demand guarantees that all shows at the theatre are in good taste.

Separatist Thankful For Jeers

MONTREAL—The president of the Separatist Quebec Republican Party was booed, hissed and told to speak English last week by students of Loyola College in Montreal.

Marcel Chaput, whose party advocates secession of Quebec from Confederation, was giving the first of a series of talks sponsored by the students' council on the theme "Canada, Where We Stand."

"By accident of birth I am French Canadian," he said. "By accident of history the French have been here for four centuries, and by smaller accident the English have been living beside us for two centuries."

"What is not accidental is that there is a French-speaking province in this nation," Mr. Chaput added.

Several students stood at this point in the address waving placards with the word Canada and giving catcalls.

"Those of you who are yelling at me are helping my cause, and I must thank you," said Mr. Chaput. "Each time the English population acts as you are today, more and more French-speaking Canadians decide to become separatists."

Desire Editor's Removal

TORONTO—A members of the University of Toronto Board of Governors has threatened to use all his powers to have the editor of The Varsity removed from his position.

Senator Joseph Sullivan wrote of his indignation to the students' council after a controversial Remembrance day editorial "No Honor Today" written by Varsity editor Ken Drushka.

The council defeated a motion calling for an apology to those offended by the editorial and for its dissociation from the editorial opinion of the newspaper.

St. Jean Program

Bilingual Education Started

By Larry Krywaniuk
Education Reporter

Twenty-two education students are now taking the bilingual teacher education program at the College St. Jean in Edmonton.

The college was affiliated through the Junior College provision of the University Act.

Under this program the students will study at the college for two years after high school graduation, and then take a year at the university to qualify them for a professional certificate.

The School Act provides for a beginning in French, but then there is a general shift to English in the later years. It is hoped that by providing more courses that are instructed in French, bilingual teachers will eventually be better equipped to teach French.

To enter the college, prospective teachers need the required university entrance average. They then take

courses that are very similar to the first and second years in the faculty of education.

Some of the courses are identical and will be given by regular university professors. Others are identical except that they will be instructed in French. There will also be courses which are equivalent to university courses. All courses must be approved by the Junior College Committee, and all instructors must have at least a masters degree.

The exams set for the college will, in most cases, be the same as at the university except that some will be translated into French.

Under this system, teachers will have to have at least three years of training before entering the field; two at the college and at least one at the university. The students will also have the added advantage of being in a French situation.

"These colleges, scattered about the province, will give students an opportunity to get started near home," says Dean Coutts. "Once they get started there is a better feed to the university—and increased senior attendance," he added.



DR. GRANT DAVY

... defends younger voting age

Younger Voting Age Need Not Mean Changes

By Pat Mooney

Eighteen-year-olds voting?

Many people are horrified at the idea of irresponsible, uninformed teen-agers selecting the nation's government.

But Professor Grant R. Davy of the political science department thinks eighteen year olds should vote. He gave several reasons in a recent interview.

CAPABILITIES OF YOUTH

To begin with, Professor Davy pointed out that studies conducted in the U.S. have shown that people aged 18 were just as capable in making political judgements as those aged 21. The same studies indicate that both age groups tend to vote the way their parents vote. This last finding removes the grounds for the prevalent fear that 18 year olds would be influenced mainly by radical political ideas in voting.

Professor Davy also rejects the idea that 18 year olds are uninformed in political matters. He feels that both the influence of mass news media, and the fact that our educational system has improved in instruction in this area, combine to make the modern person of 18 just as well-informed as his elders—perhaps better since the ideas of older people are frequently narrowed or "frozen" into a pattern.

The 18 year old has been accused of being incapable of making rational judgements. This, Professor Davy feels, is both untrue and irrelevant. This age group in a university, he points out, can and does make complex intellectual judgements. But more important, the kind of judgements involved in choosing between one candidate and another is not strictly rational.

FLEXIBLE INTUITION

It is a broadly moral judgement of personality—"an intuitive choice rather than an intellectual judgement. In this sort of choice the person of 18 may make a better choice than many of his elders," partly because his "intuitive faculty" is more flexible, not yet hardened into habitual patterns.

Another reason is that he is not as likely to be influenced by personal interests, such as finances—for example, prairie farmers probably voted Conservative because that government had reduced the wheat surplus.

Professor Davy added in connec-

tion with the matter of interest that to vote is one's interest without regard to that of the rest of the nation is truly irresponsible.

Concerning this charge of irresponsibility often made against 18 year olds, Professor Davy commented that this age group is presently assuming more responsibility than it has before—for example, young people today marry earlier, take on financial problems earlier. He also said that the very fact of having the right to vote will perhaps heighten the sense of responsibility.

French Only True Canucks?

VICTORIA (CUP)—The only real Canadians are the French Canadians, since they have a unique culture, neither French nor American, according to four Quebec students visiting the University of Victoria last week for French-Canada Week.

Ronald Montclam (Montreal, French v-p of CUS), J. Pierre Hogue (Sherbrooke), Louis Duclos (Laval), and Denoit Maillaux (Laval) presented UVIC students with the current attitudes in Quebec towards biculturalism and separatism.

Montclam stated that if there had not been a French Canada, there might not be a Canada today. "The presence of Quebec is one of the greatest differentiating factors between Canada and the U.S.," he said.

Discussing the problems concerning Canadian unity, Hogue advocated the formation of a constituent assembly whose function would be to rewrite the present constitution in order to arrive at a acceptable compromise.

FRENCH ASK ACCEPTANCE

Duclos added that a "French-speaking person coming to BC should be accepted as easily as those English-speaking persons coming to Quebec."

Maillaux said, "Within four years, if the French Canadians have not been given equal rights, Quebec will be separated . . . the separatist movements are those which seek good and equal rights for French Canadians."

Leaders Consider Structure

By Allan Bragg
Gateway Council Reoprtter

The structure of the proposed reorganization of the Students' Union was outlined Sunday at the Corona Hotel to delegates to the first Leadership Seminar of the year.

Chief concern of the seminar was the programming end of council, and specifically, the structure and feasibility of a program board.

The program board would be established to assist the coordinator in program planning for the campus.

PROBLEM GROUPING

This board would be made up of a number of directors, who would represent various organizations. These groups would be grouped on the basis of common interests and problems.

Under the tentative set-up proposed, the directorates on the board would include the following: fine arts, literary speech publications, religious, special events, athletic, residence-fraternity, and Students' Union Club directorates. Students' Union societies and committees plus faculty and department clubs would also be represented on the board.

Much discussion, both for and against the proposal, was offered. The main criticism was that many organizations which had been grouped together really had no common basis for discussion or representation. Also, some suggested that a distinction could be made between clubs which were interested in the activity of the club and those interested in organization of some activity.

CHANGES MADE EASILY

In answer to this, it was pointed out that this program is only a basic idea, to be used as a starting point for further development. Changes can easily be made if faults are found in the system.

An experimental basis was approved for the proposal, with trial meetings of the various directorates to be held this week. These meetings will mainly be to get opinions of the organizations under directorates as to function and use of their groups.

The entire project of reorganization will not come before council on a formal basis until sometime next spring.

Retraction: Caretakers Were Right

SUB caretaking service was not caught with its slip showing at the last Students' Council meeting.

On the contrary, someone on the executive was to blame for not advising the caretakers of the council meeting and for the resulting absence of preparation of either Pybus or Dinwoodie Lounge.