

St. John Chronicle,



Chronicle.

VOLUME XX.

"COLONIAL CONSERVATIVE"

NUMBER 26.

THE ST. JOHN CHRONICLE.

Published every Friday afternoon, by WALTERS BROWNE, at his Office in the Brick Building of Messrs. L. & W. DODD & SON, west side Prince William Street.

Writing and Business Cards, (plain and ornate), Handbills, Blanks, and Printing generally done.

No paper discontinued until all arrangements are made except at the discretion of the publisher.

127 Terns—P. O., if paid within the year.

You are paid until after expiration of the year.

All Letters, Orders, Correspondence, &c., must be post-paid, and forwarded by express.

WILLIAM DURANT,

Chronicle Office, Saint John, N. B.

The Chronicle is filed, and may be seen free of charge at Professor Holloway's establishment, 244 Second, London.

George W. White, is an authorized Agent of the Chronicle at Young's Cove, Grand Lake.

Archibald M'Allister, is an authorized Agent for the Chronicle at Gagetown.

W. B. PALMER, the American Newspaper Agent, is the only authorized Agent for the American Standard, New York, and Philadelphia, and also represents the following American Subscriptions at the rate as required by him. His receipts will be regularized payments.

JOHN C. PROFFIT, who have the right to receive payment for services rendered, &c.,

READY MADE CLOTHING,

BOWES & KENNEDY.

COTTON, LINEN AND SILK FABRIC.

NO. 4, CROSS STREET, SAINT JOHN, N. B.

TIN AND ZINC WATER SPOTS, STOVES,

STOVE PIPE, &c., VIVIANE, ALWAYS

ON HAND.

ALL KINDS OF JEWELRY, and repairing to our

line. Frankly received and punctually attended

(2m.)

August 24, 1852.

OLD WINTER IS COMING!

Clothing for the Thousand.

CITIZENS AND STRANGERS!

You will find the latest and best assortments of

READY MADE CLOTHING,

AT No. 1, Dock Street.

Get up especially for FALL TRADE, and in point

of quality with full confidence, not suspicion,

the guarantee of honest Work.

EVERYTHING EXCEPTED.

OUR LESS THAN ANY OTHER CONCERN IN THE CITY.

GOD BLESS THE PEOPLE!

THAT I INVITE MY OWN CITIZENS AND STRANGERS

FROM THE MOST REMOTE PARTS OF THE EARTH TO COME AND SEE US.

EXPRESS CO. PROFS! IT

WHICH THEY HAVE TO PAY BY POSTAGE ELSEWHERE.

OCT. 26.—THOS. H. JONES,

THOMAS GOW,

Elmwood and Goose Buttons,

READY ANTHONY MEIGGS BLACK BELTING,

WATER STREET.

HOUSE AND STONE WATER CLOSETS, BATH-

ROOMS, &c., &c., &c., &c., &c., &c., &c., &c.,

SHIPS SCUPERS, &c., &c., &c., &c., &c., &c., &c.,

LEAD PIPE, of all sizes, and SHEET LEAD

constantly on hand.

LEAD BENDS made to order.

JULY 24, 1852.—THOMAS GOW.

JUST RECEIVED AND FOR SALE—100 lbs.

OF EXTRA GOOD FEATHERS; 300 lbs.

OF FINE DOWN, AND 300 lbs.

3 new and handsome Patterns of Cloth Paper

HANGINGS.

ART. 12.—GEORGE KINNAHAN,

Prince William Street,

IS RECEIVING, just landing, AND FOR SALE—50

Kgs. CAT. NAILS; 4 Bales TAPER COTTON;

Barrels Crashed SUGAR; 3 Barrels FLUID

3 Barrels RICE; 18 Dozen assort'd CHAIRS,

March 20, 1852.

MANUFACTURERS OF

PIANO-FORTE, MANUFACTURES,

of Provincial
and declared that
the principal
would not be
done by any
one did he state
Mr. Gray
not to resume the
same.
It has been exten-
sively brought to a close
of opinion that it
minutes after the
meeting, Feb. 27.

proceedings, that
of the day a few
of the Gov-
ernment,
I to speak at half
the time, when
the House, with that
some length.
I am, and would
Mr. Weston's
policy of

House in a short
disapproving them
not, remaining till
morning.

expected to close to
work, Feb. 28,

nothing with receiv-
ing confidence' was
at 12 o'clock.

time, informing

for their ap-
proval.

the tone of his
confidence in the
he would vote.

much in opinion
approving of some
intention of voting

in favor of the
same side.

stated his intention
ment.

it was the first
an extraordinary
of the Govern-
ment.

member,) delivered

to the Government.

central reply a few
up to a quarter to
an adjournment was
and the debate to-

the Government.

and the House

and Harris
paper and no

important.

of negotiations
same. They are, for
England; Eng-
land, Bud-
and Molhoff, Dijffl
and Brug-
ent Prussia will not
Prince Gortzeggen-
at Vienna, formally
demanded, that
part in the Con-
siderations. The
a conditions; had
in Turkey. On
the allied genera-
in the Black Sea

been created by the
ous military con-
ditions through the
not given.

of English news,

to have markets;

result of Peace Con-

EUROPE.

General

at New York
passenger.

in the English press
at. Many American

es were beginning to

Lord Palmerston, in

Mr. Cobden, said that

Government

would, when com-

be would postpone

given notice, relative

States, until the ex-

LIQUOR.

Fines, chea-

terday's price.

The fine con-

English and Ameri-

can liquors

giving we have shown

near Mr. Cobden and

a movement he

only spoke of

consisting of slate

and crew of the Kao-

ned at Wards on the

part Parliament

the Parliament

of the Spanish Con-

stitutionalists

and the Spanish Con-

Betty.

I HEAR THY VOICE

BY W. H. MORRIS.

I hear thy voice, fond sleeper, now,
Not as it goes in gloomy hours,
When joy and pain by rapture meet,
But when the bounden fair with many a bower,
That wake each other with their thicks.

I hear thy voice in many a scene,
Not as it comes in sombre, dismal rooms,
Nor such as in the dreary hours before
The chisel'd end of thy home;
But now in scenes richly decked
From the low grave where that dost sleep.

I hear thy voice in melting song,
Not as it comes in chambered air,
Aid the gay and happy throng,
Who chisel'd round thy bower,
A spirit's life, a spirit's love,
Thy strains of melody inscribe.

I hear thy voice in funeral call,
Not as it goes in winding time,
To sway with soft and gentle strain,
And soothe the sorrows of thine own,
But quivering now with pure love,
For those below, for those above.

I hear thy voice! It comes oft,
In sorrow's gusts and memory's swell,
When sighs we or it's welcome soft,
Or whisper of its sad farewell,
It comes with happy love and blithe,
And bids us to thine own sweet rest.

THE RECORD OF A TRUMPH!

CORPORATION HAS BEEN SERVED.—There is little consideration in the thought that however far posterity may flourish for the season he cannot continue to acquire a solid and steady reputation, and then stick to discipline, and opinion? This is especially true of the pretenders to medical skill, who in an age when diseases abound, seek to have themselves into the confidence of the public, and to impose upon it with their maxims. We confess that years ago, when we first heard of the universal celebrity of Professor Holloway, we distrusted his lofty claims, and distrusted to ourselves a superiority of discernment which would not allow us to enter our judgment at most heartily we beg pardon for the unfeeling impatience. A careful study of his system convinced us that we were indeed mistaken, and that the world, to his justice, should immediately, as it undoubtedly will hereafter, rank him foremost amongst its benefactors. He has solved the mystery of human diseases, and proved that all medical treatment, from the time of Heron, has been based upon false premises. JESSE does not effect a greater resolution in action of dealing with the small pox, than Holloway has done in the ordinary medical routine of the present day.

The human blood is not mere fluid, coursing through the veins and arteries, and partaking of the more qualities of other fluids. It is—startling as the statement may appear—ALIVE. All physiological diseases, such as indigestion, deranged kidneys, liver, heart, lungs, &c., are nothing but diseases in the body, and a large majority of epidemic and endemic diseases have their origin, or, at least, their exciting cause in the impure state of the blood. Cleanse that and keep it clean, and no sickness can prostrate, or even assail the system. This splendid discovery has given a lustre to Professor Holloway's name, transcending the renown of heroes and statesmen. We do not wonder at the great fame he has acquired in Europe, Asia, Africa, and Australia. We rejoice that he has visited our own country and opened an establishment in New York, which will be a very FOUNTAIN OF HEALTH to our own citizens. His PILLS and OINTMENT are the most wonderful medicines which science and art have produced.—*W. F. Sunday Times.*

A YOUNG TROOPER ENJOYS CURE.—On board ship, one day, we were stowing away the hammocks when one of the boys came with his hammock in his shoulder, and as he passed, the first Lieutenant perceived that he had a quid of tobacco in his mouth. "What have you got there?" asked the Lieutenant; "a gamblin' boy! Your cheek is much swollen," "So it is," replied the boy, "there is nothing at all the matter." "Oh! there must be something; it is a bad tooth." Open your mouth and let me see." Very judiciously the boy opened his mouth, which contained a large roll of tobacco leaf, "I see," said the Lieutenant, "poor fellow! how you must suffer! Your mouth wants overhauling, and I will see to it." "I am sorry, sir, I have a dent in my board, but as we pass so near, I will operate as well as I can. Send the surgeon up, and I will be fit again." When the surgeon made his appearance with his big tongs, the boy was compelled to open his mouth, while the Lieutenant held the tongs over his teeth. "There now!" said the Lieutenant, "I am sure you could have good appetite with such stuff in your mouth. Now, explain of the after guard bring a pair of old canives and some soap, and clean his teeth properly."

The captain of the after guard came forward, grinning, and scolded the boy's teeth with oil and canvas and said for two or three minutes.

"There that will satisfy the Lieutenant."

"Now, lie down, the surgeon will attend to you, and you will enjoy your breakfast. When you are troubled in the same way again, come to me, and I will be your dentist." The lad was completely cured, by the ridicule of his superiors at the habit of tobacco chewing.—*Capo. Murray.*

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great being informed of the death of one of his captains, a man of considerable learning and pluck, determined to have the successor should be chosen in the usual manner, which he did by a new method of ascertaining the merits of one of the numerous candidates for the appointment: He told the applicant that he would himself furnish him with the text of the following Sunday, when he was to make an extempore sermon. The sermon was to be delivered in Latin, and a prudential discourse was optional should it be required. The king arrived in the hall of the privy and on the candidate's descending the pulpit one of his attendants presented him with a book of Latin, and he was directed to read it to the people. The jester, however, had found nothing therein. He did not, however, use his presence of mind, but turning the page on both sides, he said—*My brethren, here is nothing and there is nothing; but of nothing there is something.* And he proceeded to deliver a short, admirable discourse upon his wonder of content.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

NOTES ON NORMAN.—Frederick the Great, who was the subject of the Websterian, had a method of ascertaining the merit of his numerous candidates for the appointment, which does not remember.

<