





**IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic
Sciences
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580
(716) 872-4503

0
1.6
1.8
2.0
2.2
2.5
2.8
3.2
3.6
4.0

**CIHM/ICMH
Microfiche
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH
Collection de
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

1.0
1.2
1.4
1.6
1.8
2.0
2.2
2.5
2.8
3.2
3.6
4.0

© 1986

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.
- Additional comments: /
Commentaires supplémentaires: Docket title page is bound in as last page in book but filmed as first page on fiche.

- Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible
- Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below.
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
								✓			

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:


Bibliothèque nationale du Québec

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Bibliothèque nationale du Québec

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \rightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

rrata
o

pelure.
à

he.

32X

IN APPEAL.

ORADIAH AYLWIN,

Appellant,

&

AUSTIN CUVILLIER,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S CASE.

IN APPEAL.

OBADIAH AYLWIN,

Appellant,

&

AUSTIN CUVILLIER,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S CASE.

THE Action in the Court of King's Bench at Montreal, was instituted by the Appellant to obtain from the Respondent the sum of £272 4 9, the amount of a Judgment alledged to have been obtained by Messrs. Joseph Jones & John White in the Court of King's Bench at Quebec, against Thomas Aylwin, John Harkness and the Respondent, as copartners trading at Quebec, under the Firm of Aylwin, Harkness & Company, on the 20th February 1807. and afterwards assigned over by the said Jones & White to the Appellant by act passed before Voyer and another Notaries, on the 29th of July 1813, with interest, and £20 1 10 costs included in the assignment.

To this Demande the Respondent, on the 16th of February last, answered by his Defences and Exceptions Peremptoires, 1° That the allegations of the Declaration were unfounded and untrue in fact and in law.

2° That the assignment, set forth in the Appellant's declaration, had not been served, *signifié*, upon the Respondent prior to the commencement of the action.

3° That the pretended Judgment of the 20th February 1807, in the Appellant's declaration mentioned, was null and void in so much as related to the Respondent,—

1st. Because the Respondent had never been summoned to appear in the cause in which that Judgment was alledged to have been rendered.

2dly. Because, at the time of the service of process in that cause upon Thomas Aylwin, on the 10th of February 1807, at Quebec, the said Austin Cuvillier, Thomas Aylwin & John Harkness were not copartners.

3dly. Because the service of Process, so made upon Thomas Aylwin, could not be a legal service of process upon the Respondent.

4thly. Because, as early as the 14th of October 1806, the partnership before that time existing between the said Cuvillier, Aylwin & Harkness, had been dissolved.

5thly. Because, upon the 10th February 1807, the Respondent was at the city of Montreal and not at Quebec.

4° That the Appellant had not given or paid any consideration for the said assignment of the 29th July 1813.

5° That the consideration for obtaining that assignment had been paid by Thomas Aylwin by collusion with the appellant, in order to defraud the respondent.

6° That the appellant had no interest in the recovery of the sums by him demanded in this cause.

7° That the sum paid by Thomas Aylwin, as a consideration for the said assignment, was only £50 currency.

It is to be observed that by a mere clerical error in the respondent's exception and defences, the Judgment is expressed to have been obtained, and the assignment to have been made by *John Jones and Thomas White*, whereas *Joseph Jones & Thomas White* are intended to be named, but those instruments are otherwise sufficiently designated *the Judgment and Assignment mentioned in the Appellant's Declaration*.

Furthermore the appellant, by traversing material facts, stated in the respondent's exception, has admitted their applicability to the Judgment and Assignment, upon which his action was founded. This he did by his replication, in which he alleges that the said *Thomas Aylwin, John Harkness and the Respondent Austin Cuvillier* were, at the time of service of Process in the said cause, wherein Judgment was rendered against them, *as mentioned in the Declaration*, copartners, at Quebec, there trading under the Name and Firm of *Aylwin, Harkness & Company*, and that the said Partnership was not dissolved on the 14th October 1806, nor at any time before the rendering of the said Judgment.

It was upon the appellant's own motion, (No. 26 of the Record) that the parties were, on the 6th April last, ordered, without any preliminary hearing, to proceed to the adduction of proof upon the issue raised by the pleadings upon the respondent's exceptions and defences.

The Respondent proved by witnesses, heard in Court, that from the year 1805 to the time of taking the Enquête, he had been constantly resident and domiciliated at the city of Montreal.

Every partnership between *Cuvillier, Aylwin & Harkness* was also proved by the defendant's exhibit, No. 18 of the Record, to have been dissolved on the 14th October 1816.

It was incumbent on the respondent to establish the remaining matters of exception, which he had pleaded, and this the respondent has done by submitting to the appellant certain Interrogatories on *Faits & Articles*, which were duly served upon him at Boston in the United States of America, in virtue of a Commission in the nature of a *Commission Rogatoire*, but to which the appellant having neglected to answer, they were afterwards taken against him *pro confesso*.

These *Faits & Articles* establish :

1° That during the year 1807 and during the month of February of that year, the respondent actually resided at the city of Montreal.—(4th Interrogatory.)

2° That the assignment of 29th of July 1813, was made in consideration of £50.—(5th Interrogatory.)

3° That prior to the assignment, several sums of money had been paid by *Thomas Aylwin* unto *Jones & White*, on account of the Judgment stated in the declaration.—(6th Interrogatory.)

4° That the said assignment had been made to the appellant for the use of *Thomas Aylwin*.—(7th Interrogatory.)

5° That the sums paid as a consideration for the assignment were the monies of *Thomas Aylwin*.—(8th Interrogatory.)

6° That the sums paid as such consideration, were not of the appellant's monies or property, that the appellant has no interest in recovering the money by him demanded, and that *Thomas Aylwin* was the only person having such interest.—(9th Interrogatory.)

7° That the appellant is uncle of *Thomas Aylwin*.—(11th Interrogatory.)

8° That the money mentioned in the assignment, has been paid by *Thomas Aylwin* unto *Messrs. Jones & White*.—(12th Interrogatory.)

10° That the appellant's name is made use of in the assignment at the request of *Thomas Aylwin*.—(14th Interrogatory.)

11° That the appellant does not consider himself as liable to pay the costs of suit in the Court below, if the action be dismissed ; but that Thomas Aylwin has given him to understand he himself would pay the costs.—(17th Interrogatory.)

The perusal of this uncontradicted evidence carries such a conviction of fraud as could leave no doubt in the minds of the Court below and the appellant's action was, on the 19th of June last, finally dismissed with costs.

From this Judgment, however, the present Appeal has been brought, and the reasons assigned are reducible to two, vizt.

1° That the Court below have erroneously admitted the Respondent's Exceptions and ordered proof thereon.

2° That the Appellant's Conclusions ought in Law to have been awarded unto him.

The Respondent's Answers are of course General.

QUEBEC, 12th November, 1816.

