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## 

## LETYER 1 .

## To the l'eople of the Canadas and Britisit Norlh America.

*. I particuiarly ricommend to you to explain that this pras. vince is singularly blessed, not with a inuthatt d constits. tion, but with a con-tifution which has stond the teat ond esperience, and is thik velty imatis anateanderpt of
 ciogi:ng the lirst session of provincial parliam, nt assen. ind under the Constita ional sto

That we are British suhjects is our proal boast. British Inotitutions have been the adninatou of every aye ath are of every country, as laciag fiavorable to the political, civil, and religicus libenty of the prople. They secure fredom of sentiment to evely man and permita the right publecly to diesuas all partitical subjects, whether they have relation to institutions of gueernnent or its admmistration. When they are held in veneration by a peoplo, it is oflon as dangrous to at. tact such insitut:ous as it is difinult to diepe! ary prejudices in ther favor. All hetory show, thet, whatever is itmio:s may hase been by conq est of cthreize natad on those wheh wete tariint cu:-

alfer more or lesi pievil. The moreancerer', t've more mecred they are held. la young conntries lilie these in Uribsh North America, it is the lut; of every able atol goon man fieely, feratessly, and publicly to express hia sorimentson such institutions, more especially when they and any portion of them are dealared defective, if mut noxious, by a larga majority of the people. They must Lear in mind, these instit:tions are not merely for the day or for the age ; onee planted on our virgin soil, verieall their wile spreading branches our children for many a genelation must sit with freedom, peace, and happiness or with :lavery, anarehy, and misery for thed portion as they will itherit from their fathers Whatever :rrelorations have heell or may be going on in them, Pritish institutions are held to be next to perfect ; so Wat the nearer we can assimulate our own to them to the greater perfection we shall attain. Thongh we ale a portion of the Litish penple, who have immigrated hithar, jet the state of our society is not the same as in the Britivh isles; and though their institutions are fit for the welfare and good government of their people, yet they may not be applicable and suitahle to these provinces. Our sociely is yet infantile and young. Those principles on which were based instifutions in the earlicr ages of English society and on which now stands that sutely fabric the English constitution, it-is not unreasonable to sippose are more applicable to our state of society and would produce like effects to our posterity, than those whish are now applied to govern a weallyy, refined, and intellisent nation and a vast commercial empire. It may be unged, that which suis the genius of one age may he incompatible with that of another; consequently precedent alone may be fallacious. But whell alarge mojority of a people consider certain measures as absolutely necessary for their welfare and good govermment or for national reformation, and these measures are suppoited by the experience and authority of antiquity, they are siongly substantiated ad poneffily enforcen.

12 m about to exprese uy sentineals ull the instin. tions now entablished for the colominl govennime if these provinces; and whatever may lee my failings 【 entreat you to believe myintentions are good. I there fire take the liberty and shall avail myself of an opporrunity to me kindly permitted to address to you a seriss of letters on a subject vitally affecting ourelves and our posterity, 'Io some it may or may not he new while others may be preposeessed for or against it. Though my language should be deemed strong or ceven severe, I will not fearfully slaink nor nillfully offend. I chainn a fuir hearing.

1 shall firt endeavor to establish that, if the Canadian Constitution be an exact model or "the very image and franse:ipt" of the English Constitution in theory, in practise the Canadian Constitution is not nor cannot be the same; and it it be not an exact model or "the very image and transcript"' the thenry of the Canadian Constitution is not now in practisc. The former part of this proposition I prove more from a regard to popular prejudices thuth a belicf that any man conversant with tile subject can question its truth. It is the interest of some to impress on us the delusion that we are still as if welived in the British isles, within the pale of the English constitution, enjoyng all rights and privileges which it confers. Yet all must well know that the passage of the Reform Bill, admitted by all to be a constitutional measure, had no effect whatever on us. In the lower province, the laws relative to property are wholly unknown to the Jinglish constitution; and in this province laws are still in furce which have been long since repealed in England. When it suits the purpose of some persons, these or those principles are unconstitutionaland their advocates are republicans, rebels, and revolutionists. But what constitution is meant no one knows. It is their intention to use the word in a vague and unmeaning sense to excite terror. The form of the legislature we usually mean by the constitution of a country. The main principle on which the English constitution is based is that the

## 6

lepinlative pher bemage to palimant, whim eam amed or alter the constitution itonf. The chastimtion of the Camadas is na aet of the imprial pettumm which ean alone amend or aller it. This artestablestey a Jegislature in these provinces and pennits the exo. ciso of anspended powers inlierent in the people is Pritish subjects. 'Ilhat this legislature cannot he lite that of Ligland must Le evident to all, sibe we have not the elements mon society wherewith sueti a hoge hate cam be formed. We have no aristocracy. We have mo "timber" to build anaristocracy. Vie camot have a llouse of leers. Nor can we have a constithtion in pratise like the Finglish constitution thengh they were li..e in theory.
1 will not ironically say there is divisi...l in tho Jegislative powers in both Canadas as well as in tho colonies of British North Anerica gencraly; but 1 sonst say that the legislative power by the constitutional act is divided. With the Extcutive power 1 liave nothing at present to do. We all know the llouse of Assombly is elected by the people. 'Tlis is according to the theory and practise of the Canadian consitution. The second branch of the legislature is called the Hegislative Council. Whatisits theory and parlise or how is it constituted and elected? The fraters of the constitutional aet uover intended that, the division fif the legislative power should be accompaniad ly an netua! division of he prople They could never nave intemled to cut up society into hostile political patie:; and that only one party be in the Legislative ('ancil and only another in the Asembly. let, that there is suoh an actual division of the people wherever a Lecislatice Counch exists, whither; chall I hee to avoid the proof? Nut 10 Lower Cartada, for it is there as we! as here; nor to Prinee Edwards, New Brunswick, Novascotin, hewfoundland, for it is there as well as at the Cope d. Good Hope and Australesia. Such Legislative Cum. cils have not " the maric of dignity" to give weight to their resolutions; they have no claim to the respect os reversnce of the people. Hereditary tilles and persomit

## ;

fivnois may gire weight and fower to a Registative body; but viliat weight aud power can le in a few tinkers, iailors, solilierz, sitiors, dic. doc.? 'To whom better can we confole the exercise of a diserctionaty prower in lise mahi'fs of our lass? lieflece what strength is necded to mend a kettle and what sirsue to darn a hole in a pait of inexpressibles; and then cffect what wisdom is needel to mareh a regiment and what honesty to stecr a rich morchantman and you must perceive we have all the materials for an uriotoctacy, which are all artfully blended together in cui legislative Councils. Such a body must be well calcul.ated to produce an equilibrium in the branches ot the lacris. lature. If it be the fulcrum of the lever it must be like that on which Archimedes wou d have moved the earth but if placed in either scale it will be found something morethan weight. It was tho those who fiamed our Constituitoual Act. . gislative Council should bear the same reln of tho other branches of the Jegislatirc as lia I'cers in England. But in practise this Councu be a mere creature of the Crown ruring whore pleasure its menibers hold their office. Deriviag their pow cr, influence, and honor if there be any from such a source, and bolding then by ouch a precarious tenure, all their acts and deliberations must be coniormable with the wishes and views of him whose breath gave them being; for if they are not, they render themselves liable to incur his displeasure and to forfeit their office. Not so the Ilouse of Lords; who, without appreliension of being divested of their office, fearlessly repel the aggressions of the crown and preserve their prerogatives while quey watch over the rights and liberties of the people. While the House of Peers is a seperate, dis. tinct, and independant branch of ilse legislature, united with the other branches it may be called omsipotent, and disunited it is powerless; the Legislative Council is dependant on the Crown and independant of the Commons; it is inseparable from the Crown and
sefarate and distinct foom the commons; itd interests are to suhserve themselves and the Crown and are hos. tile to those of the people. Ihave usel the word Croun, but I would not wish you to lelieve that the best of sovercigns would ever have such a vody as our legislative Councils subservient to his Crown. It were well that the King can do no wrong, could he be charged with the mal-practises of such a body, which feed on the life blood, oppose the welfare, and obstruct the good government of hii.: Jyal subjects. Could the truth, from the distance of a thousand leagues, reach his royal ear, it would not be long ere such noxious creatures were swept from existence. Could British subjects be made sraitore, could seir loyalty to the best of sovereigns be shaken, it would be by the acts of such a worthless, tyrannical and iaresponsible hody as virr Legislative Councils. It wae never the intention of those whoframed the Canadian constitution that one of its branches should be directly or indirectly self elective. 1 will not dwell upon the declaration of members in both heuses of imperial parliament that the legislative Councils of the-Canadas are in practise self elective. I will not urge that A plays into the hand of $B, B$. into the hand of C, C. into the hand of D, and D. into the hand of $\mathbf{A}$ again an endless and profitable game. It is a well eatablished principle that were even the number of the House of Peers to be limited it would confer on that house the power to elect its own members. What then must it be in these colonies where there are bu? few membors and still fewer attend whe recommend to the Crown, aiias the red-tapists of Downing street, their brothers, sisters, cousing, and couruexions whem they think fit to have su ligh an honor as to become their pliant tools. Had they not ever been strenuously opp ased, by a majority of the peopls, ere this probably an ignorant, haughty, tyrannical, and purse-proud aris. tocracy might have been created by these Legislative Councils. Could public plunder have made them, they
mua have baen wise, virtmus, velihy, and homerable. like such a body in Sew Sonth diales, were it their interest, they would plander thievien lut ir may be sheir apology that only to ape nu abotocracy, withont lermonal lionors or hereditaly tifles, it is essentiaily necessary that dhey have linded estates. Hence it is, 1 presume, according t. Mr. Eilice, late Minister at War, "it was the fashion i: Upper Canada for very counsellor to get a grant of from 5,000 to $20,6,30$ acres, to the great detrimetot of the conithy and the great nuisance of the inhabitants around." Nor are such ; rants in fashion only in this province; they liave been extended to the same bodies more or less in all British North America. But when it is considered how valueless land is thought to be in tinis part of the world, where it is covered with forests and is habited with wiid beasts ald not with baronial castles and hereditary bondsmen, it can be no wonder that some. thing more must be requisite to ape ar alistocracy than merely wild lands. It can be nothing less than free access to the casual and territotial revenue. Without the consent of the local leyislatures or imperial parlia. meat enormous sums are annually given to the members of thase Legislative Councils. For proof, to the genticmen whom I shall select, I do not wish you to entertain as individuils the least disrespect. They who have pocketted the potinds, no doubt their consciences are easy. In 1833 the receip:s of the Casual and Territorial Revenue in the funds denominated letter $D$ or Canada Company's instalments, and $K$ or King's Righte, were $\mathbf{£ 5 6 , 2 3 0}$. The expenditure from these funds was $£ \mathbf{£} 3,682$, leaving a balance of $£ \times 21,548$. I am not about to tell you this exnenditure has been appropriated to making and improving roads with the consent of parliamen: ; but without the consent of any parliament has been chiefly given to pensioners, piacemen, and Legislative Councillors, of course justly for services. A Catholic biphop, in these hard times for Catholics, may deserve $£ 500$ and his Clergy $£ 1300,-$
(14] $\{3,5 \%$ is nibisicers of the Sigll for the sum of $\mathcal{L} 180$ for the Lund obable that a it in the Legrisof the 1 .xecn. , but for being ion the Archs f135. From paid to Sin W. ell; £450 to Is as Treasurer ). W. Smith, on. W. Allen, In 1831, the the expendi2,570; which $r$ and thus t!e Of this sum, as Surveyor nmissioner of nson, to make tive Council, the same this 833 the Hon. ergy Reserves that all these of either the lso, that bely granted to he local legisg to do ; but gally granted jlic paupers or elieve that the atended such active mem-
bera-of the tppar llume of cur leghtathe. I wowld not have you beliewe that this act enthonine the payment to these men sech enormous sums for seetet or opecial scivices or rather to enable them to ape'an aristoclayy. These monics must cither belong to the people of Britain, or the people of Cunda; if to the firmor they can be only graated by imparial parlia. ment, and if to the latter, to whom I am of opinion th:y were conceded by imperial arts, they ca.. be only trated hy the local legislature. But one branch of this logislature get these suma for its own members without the consent of the other branch, which represents the pople. Whetier this be the theory of our contitution you can judga; but 1 will not do you the i.gastice to believe tast you will admit that lititish Htatesmen ever framed a constitution for British subjects with such a theory whatever may be its corrupt and illegal practise. Nior will you easily helieve that these state men ever intended to blend together in one Lody, to have a diseretionary power in the making of bews for Bitish subjects, the executive, lenisistive, judicial, and ecelesiastical power. What is this but the climax of despotion? The Legislative Conncil as emposed of the members of the Exective Counc:!, Chet justice and judges, archdeacon and bishup, sind sinters, tathors, soldiere, salurs, \&ic 太̌e., all endowed intuitively with a deep and thorongit howledge of tho ariese of povernmont. The three nomial brathes of the Legitatiare is thens virtuaily redneed to two ; tho eannad and proponderatine influence of the Councils ent hean of Executive renders hat of the House of Assmbly as a logishative trody nugatory and mull. If thas wero intended to be an exact madel, ofthe very inage and transeript," as we are told, ofthe constitaton of ÉreatBritain, it has proved, after barly lalf
 fiece of machingry lt is then so womer that there is sio barnony among the ecorat branches of our legishatare : mare esperially betreen the Legishative Comacil

feared in the mother country from the collision of the pers and commons, white the contimal clashing of the branches of the colonial legislatures excites no fear whatever. Not only is our socicty cut up into hostile political parties, only one of whinh slall be in the Legislative Comeil and only another in the Assembly; but, consequently, measures which are essential to our well being and good government are repeatedly rejected, and in some instances out of pure party spite. This is not merely the case in this colony but every colony in which a Legislative Council exists. The following table demonstrates tho harmony which sub. sists in theso Legislative bodies in both Canadas under the present working of our constitution :-

Bills burked in
Bills burked in L. C'anada L. Council. U. Canada L. C'ouncil. 1824 $18: 25$ 18:26 1827 1828 \} $1829\}$ 1830 1831 183:
1833
1834
1835 (All, lut one.).
11
12 $19 \quad 8$
No session.
10
No session.
15
16
16
$\{12$
$\{2$
11
28
14 14
16
25

In 9 Sessions $_{141}^{14}$ In 12 Sossions $_{195}$
Yearly $15 \ldots 6 \quad 16 \ldots 3$
Submitting this respectfuily to your serious conrideration,

I remain,
Your humble servant, A.N EAST ANGLIAN.
collision of the bal clashing of sexcites no fear lup into hostile hall be in the the Assembly; essential to our repeatedly rere party spite. ony hut every il exists. The ony which sub. Canadas under
lutrked in zda L. C'ouncil. 11

## LFTTER LI.

## To the People of the Canadus and British North America.

"You ought to consider that you have but a third share in the Lefislative power of the government; and ought not to tuke all upon yoa, nor be so peremptory. You ought to
 NATURE OF THE HOTSE OF LORDS OR UPPER HotSE, but you seem to take the whole power in your hands, and set up for cerery thing. Iou have sat a long $t$ me to little purpose and have been agreat charge to this country. Ten shillings a day is a large allowance ard you punctually exact it. You have been always forward conough to pull down the fees of other ministers in the gov: ermment, why did you not think it expedient to correct your own to a mere moderate ailowance ?"' Trrant and Governor Cletcher's Speech to House of Assembly, New York, Septen:ber, 1693.

## Friends and Feliowcountrymen,

In my former communication I have endeavored to show and flatter n.yself I have shown to your entire satisfaction and conviction, that if the Canadian constitution be an exact morle! or "the very image and Iranscript," of the English constitution in theory, the Canadian constitution is not nor camot be the same in practise; and if it be not an exact model or "the very inage and transcript," the theory of the Canadian constitution is not now in practise. I father maintain that the present practise of the Canadian consitution cannot pronote the welfare, peace, and happiness, and good government of the people; forasmuch one branch of the legislature is granaical, unconstitutional, corrupt,

strength of mind to resist their cunning arts, he is quick Iy selieved from the burdens of his duties by the retsape rulers of Downing-street. There is evidence that Governors have been advised by the Executive Council to act contrary to the clear meaning of despatct. es from the colonial office, which probably receives much of its information from them; and thus, in fact, they alone roi and rule the country. With the Legiglative Council, one branch of the legislatere, the Executive Council is not merely identical in feeting and interest but it is identical in persuns. It administers she government and interferes with the whole business of Legislation; it executes the laws and helps to enact them; and the laws which it passes, it advises the Goveraor to accept or reject. Is this constitutional? The English constitution draws an impassible barrier between the exccutive and legislative powers; for if the Crown were allowed to take an active part in making laws, it would soon render useless the other two branches of the leyislature, as to an extent they are in these provinces. These Councils, all the individuals in one having seats in the other, and may form a majority of its quorum, blend together the Executive and Legislative powers, which is the climax of despotism. Then again, judges and ecclesiastics are allowed seats in these Councils. In this Plovince, and it is well near the same in the lower, the Chief Jus'ice is speaker of one and an Archdeacon is president of the other. When it is bo:ne in mind the Executive Council, which in the lower province is the Court of Appeals, the highest Court of judicature in the country: has the power to advise the appointment of judges, commissioners of peace, sheriffs, peace clerks, co o. ners, and others, not only the judges take an active part in eracting laws which they will have to expolind and execute, but the whole judicial power and patronage are in the hands of men who may make a majority of a quorum in one branch of the legislature. Is this constitutionat? There is maxim laid doun by DeLolme wh.o

the jut? ependent, he exsen. trustee of at body ? do th:e ectly in wer and em into ; wante

Givo 1 in itho b'shoj's 10 stcu15, it is archd ble:ad h exe... ivant of a rule f 1 non. etlese ervants rersons ut 10 r w: ag. o have ir an. e the noy? cula 8 the d they themventy re not Tic?
i2
 pubiic phander; they are not unpephar, though they are pronounced by the press and the people a nuisance ; they are not odiols, for histons luve tymate and will be slaves; nor are they faction though they are arrayed continually against the pecile, their nights, libentien, and privileges. Against some popular measure 3 th has heen thought ensugid to pronounce them un-Eaglish or andi-British, bat who will dare to say that the corstitation and wohirg of the exccutare and legishatise councils is Eugitah or is British? Iho will dare to say that lifaniou; tody bears any analogy with auy conslituted body in Britain? The Seotc's and Iristo peers are clectice; the English peers avo hereditary; tut this bifarious body are life legislato:s appointed by themselves in tho name of the Crown. Eacepting the bishops, formerly elected by the people, wach creatured are altogether unknown in the mother country and they lave always died miserably in her colonies. That syetem is toodebasing which estimates a man by the quatithes of his ancestere; henec we deem it more politieto dignify the zoor and the ubsecare; and to prevent virts. ous emulation, to hedge them round with barriersimpassible by the rest of the community. Fintrust the putilic wealth in the handa of such mea and will they not make good use of it?

Nothing is more conducive to the prosperity, the intelligetuce, the security, the peace, and the happines3 of a colony than the disposal of waste land fur the removal of people, for the greatest progress of coluniza sion. The excentive council have the management, or zather mismanagement, of a very large portion of all the wild laads of the countiy, to the great detriment of its settlenent and the great nuisance of the people. The elfments of colonization are wild land and the removai of reople. If there were no wild land, no people would remove; if no people would remove, will land will be valucless. Whea wild land becomes priynte property it ceases to be an elearent of coluniza.
tion; and the motive for settling wild land, the pros. pect of obtaining a property in the land on the easiest nossible terms, is removed. It is, therefore, a rule in - art of colonization, that those having power over wild land and seek to promote the removal of people, should never diminish that power by the disposal of wild land, exrept for the removal of people for the greatest progress of colonization. No doubt the cxe. cutive councils and their adherents, ever boasting their anxiety to promote immigration to these provirces, have atrictly observed this or like rule. It will however bo found that these men have destroyed as much as they possibly could of the primary element of colonization, by getting to themselves the largest possible quantity of wild land for nothing or as bribes, which, being located throughout the country, that it may rise in value by the adjoining lands becoming settled, and thus taxing the labor of the settler, enhancing the price of wild land at the expense of the immigrant, and destroying the motive for removing to waste land, disperses tho colonists over a vast wild and uncultivated tract, and thus prevents a combination of labor and an accumulation of capital, renders them inaccessible to a market, deprives them of mutual aid and support and many of the necessaries of life, and obstructs their moral, religious, and intellectual inprovement. Not to notice the one-seventh of the wild lands, the whoie of which a political church is ready to swallow up; nor of another seventh reserved to the Cruwn, the alledged proprietor of all, both of which reserves are in the midst of lands become private and improving property; and both of which, for a long time, like the dog in the manger, they would neither use themselves nor permit any one else to use; unconditional granta of vast tracts have been made to any one who could find favor with the executive council, or Governor, or minister of the day ; and grants of smaller tracts, with or without conditions, to disbanded soldiers, navy and army pensionerr, pauper immigranta, and to othera abla
or unable, willing or unwilling, to use the property ; and withont law, without system, and without check or control of the people, their property has been lavish. ed with the greatest protusion, rendered insecure by unknown claimants, and the primary element of colonization, so essential to their present and future prosperity and happiness, has been wantonly wasted and destroyed. It may be now said that this maladministration of the wild lands has partly ceased; but are not its effects still felt? And more than that, the profusion of the executive formerly and iss caution now must have an opposite tendency; and if its caution tends to increase immigration, and, as increasing, to decrease the proportion between the people and the land open to cultivation; and consequently lessen the proportion which $l_{\text {and }}$ should bear to people so as to produce the highest profits and wages, which should be the immediate object of a colonizing gevernment in exerting its power over wild lands. Thus the executive council, and adherents, by swallowing up the wild lands, have not only destroyed a large quantity of the primary element of colonization, but, by lowering high profits and wages, have weakencd the strongest stimulus to immigration. What would be the use of their wild lands without cheap labor to cultivate them? They are the friends of immigration; but why? That this immigrant may buy or work their lands which they obtained for nothing; to make the immigrant their servant, serf, or slave. He goes, in consequence to the States; where profits and wages are high, and where land is cheap. plenty, and disposed of systematically by law.

At the conclusion of my last letter I showed that in Lower Canada no less than 141 bills, in nine years, passed by the House of Assembly had been rejected by the Legislative Council, which likewise so amended about 70 others, in the same period, as to cause their rejection by the Assembly. During twelve years, in this province, 195 bills have beion rejected by the $L$ gis. gislative Council, which have amended between imenty
and thinty more so as to be rejected by the Asserabiy Of ninetytwo bills originating in the legislature of Upies Canarla the last session, thisty-four passed by the Assembly were rejected by the Legislative Council, whose amendments of two others caused them to bo rejected by the Assembly; eight passed by the Council were rejected by theAssembly; and five passed by both houses were reserved for the signification of Lis Majes. ty's pleasure, that is, were obstructed by the executive council a portion of the legislative conncil. Thus out of 92 measures, forty-nine were olstructed in their passage, of which many were essentially necessary for the good government of the people. Taking an average of ten years, and both provinces, full twenty measures of a public nature, passed by the representatives of the people, in countries in which seldom more than fifty or sixty measures are brought forward, have been destroyed by the obstructive character of our Legislative Councils. They afford an admirable system of checks to legislation, never before practised by any government. A useful measure is passed by some thiee score of the representatives of the people; it is forced by the pressure without throumh a Council of a score individuals; a half dozen of whom in another council is compelled to pass it; and lastly, a single wisdom box three thousand miles off rejects it in the name of the Crown. This is legislation by a colonial independent parliament. In conclusion, it is vain to force upon us the blighting principles of aristocracy; in every colony in which they have been introduced, immediately their effects were felt and the people were sufficiently numerous andstrong enough they have everbeen successfully resisted and ultimately shaken off, I remain,

Your humble servant, - EAst anglian.

Asse! 5 b! $y$ e of Upiser by the Council. em to bo c Council ed by both is Majes. executive Thus out 1 in their essary for an avernty measentatives om more ard, have er of our able sysctised by by some ; ; it is ancil ot a another a single it in the colonial s vain to tocracy ; roduced, ple were ave ever off.

## Letter lif.

> To the People of the C'analas and British North America.

> The sy nois and councils formed :ain ise clergy afforded the first pat ern of clective and representative asesmblies, which were adorted by the inciependent genius of the
MAMIAGLS BI RAGLAN, ${ }^{2}$ RGHISEB THENINE.
'LLANTH CENTURY TO SPREAD (OVER A LARCE
IORIION OF MANisIMD."-Sir J. Macintush's Hist.
lingl.ınd.

Frtinds and Fellowcountaymen,
In miy first communication 1 have endeavored to show and flatter mysolf I have shown to your entire satistaction and conviction that if the Camadian Conotitution be an cxact model or "the very image and trunscript" of the Engitsh Coustitution in theory tho Canadian Coustitution is not nor cannot be the same in practise ; and if it be not an exact nodel or "the very image and transcript," the theory of the Canadian Constitution is not now in practise. My second letter, Itrust, established beyond a doubt, that the I.egislative Council, one branch of our Legislature, is igrannical, unconstitutional, corrupt, anti-British, unpopular, cdious, factous, and obstructive of colonization and useful legislation. If these propositions are nroved you must clearly perceive, the consequeace, which is loudly demanded by a very large majority of the people of the Canadas and by numerous bodies in other British colonies. There are it is true some difference of opinion as to the precise nature of the change, but all are agreed that some change must iske place in the copstitution of our Legislative Coun-
eit; which, I am of opinion with very many othera, should be made elecrive. I will therefore now prove that the elective principle has been at different periods spplied to constitute all the several branches of the Eliglish legislature ; and 1.- That the kings of England before and after the conquest were elected. II.That a portion of the House of Peers has been from time inmemorial to this day elected. HI.-That the House of Commons is and has ever been elected. This elective principle is the bithright of Englishmen and all within the pale of the English constitution. It is recognised by their most ancient laws; it was again confirmerl at the passage of the Reform bill, and again tt the passage of the Municipal Reform bill.
I shall not put any stress on the first proposition that the kings of England before and after the conquest were elected; but will give the proof and will add Paley's opinion on an elective monarchy. We perceive by the quotation at the head of this letter from that eminent historian, Sir J. Mackintosh, that the elective principle was very early adopted by the German nations. Their princes had no other title to reir power but their fiee election by the people. Their conquests in Gaul, introduced the same principle into France, where, during the two first aces of its kings, the monarch was elective. Shortly after lie arriva' of the Saxons in Engiand, aiout A.s. 449, the kin dom was divided into seven parts or principalities, in each of which wete a king and commune conci'ium or parlia neat; and a general assembly which deliberated on the common affairs of the whole heptarchy. There is evidence that the parliament of each principality had not only the power to elect their king but some instances are recordod in which they deposed him. Henry of Huntingdon, an carly historian, records the fact that "King Sigebert, growing iacorrigible, the great men and the people assembled together in the beginning of the second year of his reign, and deposed him with unanimous consent." History testifies that the great Alfred
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rias elected king as well as several of his successore. Notwithstanding the Norman.conque:or was an bereditary sovereign, at the heau of a victorious army, and a conquered but a brave and wartike nation was at his feet and their government subverted, yet we have the authority of our best historians, that when William I. was crowned in Westmineter Abbey A. D. 1066, he had sufficient respect of their rights and long estaulished customs as to require "some of that appearance of assent from the people, if not election by them, which are still vainly affected in such solemnities." Whoover tlas witnessed a British sovereign proclaimed must well know that this right of election by the people is still admitred in the shape of ceremeny and a raree-show. In the charter of Stephen, he represents himself as "baing by the grace of Goo and the consent of the clergy and people, elecled king of England, \&c." The coronation of John, who signed magna charla, was remarkable and $i$ think must be admitted as conclusive proof. He was crowned at Westminster on the 23:d May, 1199, "after a speech from Archbishop Hubert, in which he announced to the audience that John was elected king, and laid it down as a known priuciple, that no one could be entitled by any previous circumstanco to succeed to the crown, unless he was chosen to be king by the body of the nation (ab universulats regni electus) according to the examples of Saul and David. John, says Matthew Paris, arsented, and the persons present cried out "Long live the king!" This speech of the Bishop in which he lays down as well known the principle for which I contend, must he admitted as decisive proof of my first proposition. Now we will hear what Paley has to say respecting an elective monarchy ;-"An hereditary monarchy is universally to be preferred to an elective monarchy. The confession of every writer en the subject of civil government, the experience of ages, the example of Poland and of the papal dominions, seem to piace this amongst the few indubitable maxims which the science of politics admiss

Af. A crown is two splemtici a prize to be conferted bron merit: the passiona or interests of the electors exclude all corsideration of the qualities of the competitors. * * Nor should it be forgoten, amongat the altantages of an Icrediary mouarchy, that, as plans of national improvement and reform are seldom brought to maturity by the exentions of a single reign, a nation caunot atain to the degree of prosperity and happine s to which it is capable of being casicich, unless an uniformity of eronsels, a consistency of public measures and designs, be continued though a suc eession of ages."
11.-That a portion of the Ifouse of Peers has been from time immemorial to this day elected. According to Sir J. Macintosh, as above quotei, "The synods and councilio of the clergy affinded the first pattern that lian been proserved for many ages by England, of clective and ripisentative assemblies." De Lolme tolls us "the assenthly of the clergy is formed in Englatid on the model of the parliament; the bihopis form the Upper House; the deputies from the dioceses and from the several chapters form the Lower Liousc, tha assent of the king is likewise necessary to the validity of the acts or canons; and the hing can prorogue, or dissolve the convocalion." 'Thas we perceive the assemblies of the clergy afforded the pattern of the langish legislature. I shall now sho: that the bistops who not only formed the Upper llouse of their conwocalion, but according to our modern peers, a portion of the Upper Lonse of the English legislature, acere elected by the preple and the consent of the clergy is recited in the ancient baws as necessary to their validity. I wave a!l discussion on ancient chureh gove nment and the distis.ction between bithop; and presbyters which have been the stibjects of much contruversy. The ancient fathers ot the church tell us it belonged to the people to choose worthy pastors and to refuse the unworthy. Bishops
ponss is called. chusin mayn are ele Were were whild
Brilish
freedo:
former
the ne
was su fightin laws 1 dispute nucica E'thelw tho ad lsingdo transha
Cimer d:y uf cred th all the the con nitrac referrit cipscril) the co Esuglan tirs.
!ishorp, サill as temper 1tacir p "尤ialis rationst lile $9 \times$ Fipro it firet appointed by tho whole pongregation
eonsisting of ciergy and laity, as they were atienwards called. The people in t!e west preserved this right of chusing their bishops till ater tho rign of Chantemagne and his sons; and cren to this duy lishops are elected though the chaice is dirccted liy the king. Were any prool required that these lords sparitual were elected, the very first articles of magrata cherth wיmbl amply aftord it. This lasting foundation of British liberty declares at its very beginning that the freedom ofelection was eceured to the cleryy ; and tho fivmer charter of tho king was coufirmod, by which the nocessity of royal conge-d'clire and commation was superseded. That these spiritual politicians and fighting barons have had a hand in framing English laws from time inmemorial I presume wallmot bo disputed. Ishall, however, cite a pasage or two from asacient laws. In one of tho most ancient extant, Ehelwo'l's charter of thes, was granted ol'course "by tho advico of my bistops and other chece men of my kingdon." The follunvirg is from Dr. Wilkins' transhation of Saxon has:-'Wihtred, the kir.f of Canteroury, in the fith year of his reign and the six. $h$ day of August, in a phace cahicd Borghanstyde, grathered the principal perpie to counci! ; there were hare alt the clergey and the herofy,hl, where the chiets and the congregation establishod these luav." Ghar hac nistrac ts could be given bui 1 wiall content myouf by reforing to Stuphen's chater above citcd in which ho descibes himself aa "being by thr grace of God, thon the consent of the clerey ant peopic clected king of E:pland," (E. as weit as other ancient laws and chartrs. Be ussurod this doetrime will be disputd ly a oo bistr, p, notwthstanding the alsadity in montios as Wethas in refigion of odnitting binops to legrate on temermal rfites in the hinse of Lords. Receiving
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e'ected by the people; and whether the aneient peerage was or was not like the modern, or whether the House of Peers was or was not distinct from the House of Commons; a portion of the members of the furmer was constituted by the "elective principle."

But one of the strongest proofs that can be required of the extensive application of this principle to British institutions is that at the union of England with Scotland, the Seotch lords were empowered by the aet of union every new parliament to elect sixteen of their own body to represent the whole in the English House of Lords. As if determined that this elective prineiple should not be wanted in our glorious constitution, at the union of Great Britain with Ireland the Irish Peers were empowered to elect twenty four, who set during their lives, to represent the whole Peerage in the English House of Lords. Even the hereditary portion of the House of Lords is now assailed by public opinion. Members of the House of Commons liave come forward and, in their places, given notice of motions which will be made next session affecting the constitution of that Ilonorable llouse. The popular member for all Ireland, $\mathrm{O}^{\circ}$ Con. nel, gave notice that he should, next session of parlia* ment, move for the appointment of a select conimitte "to inquire and report whether it be necessary for the maintenance of the rights and liberties of the people of Great Britain and Ireland, that the prineiple of representation shall be introduced into the other House of Parliamont." Here is one of the best lawyers and most eulightened statesmen of theage proposing to extend the principle of election and representation through the $w$ hole of the second branch of the inperial legislature, which hasall the magic of dignity and the advantage of personal honors, hereditary titles, landed estates, and all that is essential to constitute an aristocracy. If it be necessary for the maintenance of the rights and liberties of the poople of England end Irdand. to
icient peerWhether the the House the former
be required ole to Britgland with red by the sixteen of he English his elective ous constiith_Ireland wenty four, the whole Even the ds is now the House heir places, made next Hlonorable nd, $\mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{Con}$ of oprlia comintteo sary for the re people of to of repreHouse of rs and most g to extend through the legislature, dvantage of estates, and racy. If it rights and Ireland. to
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futroduce the elechive ond representative principie int, this noble. ancient, and illustrious house of parliament. how much more, - I say, how much more-must it bo necessary for the maintenance of the rights and privi. leges of the people of British North Araerica, to introdince the elective and representative principle in the *econd branch of our legislature, the Legislative Council ; which, instead of possessing all the magic of dignity; the allvaniage of pe:sonal honors and herotitary tit!eo, landed estates, and all that is essential to constitute an aristocracy, I have proved, is tyranuical, unconstilutional, corrupt, anti-British, unpopular, odious, factions, and ebstractive of colonization, and useful legislation. : presume it will now be admitled that the "elective principle" constitutes a large portion of even the second lranch of the English legislature. But in which branch shall we find the priaciple by which our Legislative Councils are constinted? A more unconstitutional--a more anti-British pinciple cannot be found. No loyal Englishman would ever send as to seek it in revolutionary France: No Ireeborn Briton ever intended that principle, bad as it is, should have been worked with such scandalous, corrupt, and despotic materials of which our leegislative Councils are composed. Did litt iotend to put beneath the noves of free British subjects a nuisance that disgusted and disgusts so many British colonies? Did Fox, white he contended these Conncils should be mada olective, consent that fiee Bitish suibjects should be governed by a nest of ignoble, bigatted, ignorant, paile per tyrants?
III. - I presume it requires no proof that the house of Commons is and has been elected. The paszage of the Reform oill was but another triumph of the "elective principle;" and this same sound constitutional principle has been applied to Musicipal Corporatione, Ly which they were anciently constituted. When these boroughs were entranc bises, in the dection of nunicipal officers, the gift was but for the exclurive enjoynent of afew,
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bat the: intabitands colinetively exelcied bise fanchise. 'this is evideat from many cbartes. In the charter "it tiveat Yarmouth, gatated by John, it is stated that "he gave the franchise to the inhabitants collectively in tee absulute." Yet, notwithstanding it has a populatom of 20.000 inhabitants, it has not within its walls mine han 500 burgesses. In Magna Chatta it is thus understuod, when the king after declaring that "ine di:y of Lomdon shall have all the old liberties and custwins that it used to have," adds, "moreover we will nad grant that all other cities, heroughs, towns, and the baruns of the cinque ports shall have all their libertie anal free customs." Thus it did not grant any new privileges Lut was only declaratory of ancient rights as the petition of Charles 1. The Crown was at the time oi bd. 111. possessed of revenues which made application to the people for money unless upon exirnordinary emargencies unaccessary; it therefore plainly appears that redicss of griceances, making salutary laws for the kord ut the cummunity, and preserving the liberies of the people ty supporting a due balance between the poner of the Crown and the rights of the sulject was the mainends of calling of parhaments. In this proviace, the passage of the 'Township Officer's bill was a trumph ot the "elective principle", and is a wedge in the bluck of corruption. A due and sight exercise orthis principle in the election of township officers will prave the way for its more extensive application. I mean an elective Legislative Council and have no intention to conced what I mean. I believe it to be the only coustituisonal reform-the only true British prisciple that ran be apphed to our legislative. Council to ensute the welfere and good government of these fine provinces.

[^0]
## metcer iv.

To the People of the Canatas and British North America.
"The colonists and their children shall enjoy the same diberties and privileges in the American settlements as if they had be en born in England.' - Hirst charcer of Virginis.
frienda and Fellowcountrymen,
This is the language of the first eliarter granted to .an English colony by a king of England and this provision occurs in almost all the colonial charters. Before I enquire what were these liberties and privileges enjoyed by Englishmen, in their first colonies, it may be well to state what were the liberties and privileges required by some of the most enlightened Bitish statesmen in a colonial charter, but a short period sinceThe charter of the projected Souti Australian Land Company sought from the Crown the freedom of tratle; local selt-government by elective and representative institutions; and the appropriation of the revenues derived from the sale of their wild lands to the purposes of colonization. Let us now see what were the liberties and privileges granted to English colonies by their ancieut chariers. I mean especially to show that the eleetive principle has been applied to constitute all the severpl branches of some of the old colonial legislasures.

At the first settlement of Virginia, its supreme gov. ornment was vested in a board resident in England and
its subordinate jurisdiction devolved on a colonial roumil indebted to the appointment and subject to the instrections of the king. This arbitrary system continued but a few years; forsir G. Yeantley declased his intention to re-instate the colonists in full poseessinn of the privileges of Englishmen; and, in 1619, the first legi.lative body of Europeans that America ever produced, consisting of the liovernor, the Council, and Burgesses, elected liy the seven existing boroughs, assembled in one ap:artment at James Tuwn. 'I hus carly was planted in America that elective and representative systern that forms the soundest pulitical fiame in which liberly was ever embodied, and the safest and most efficient organ by which its conergies are exercised and developed. The government of both the colonies of Ne:; York and Virginia has been called feudal aristocracies. They were the most despolic form of government of all the American colonies and to which ours bear the nearest resemblance. J.fferson, late President of the United States, in his remarks on the constitution of his native state of Virginiz, says "All the ponels of government, legislative, exccutive. and judiciary results to the legislative body. The concentrating these in the same hands is precisely the definition of a despotic grneinment." Have I not shewn that all these puners areconcentrated in the hands of our Legislative Councils? Jefferson fariher says, "A government should nat only be founded on frie prine ciples, but the powers of government should be so divided and balanced among several bodies of magistracy as that no one could transcend their legal limits without being effectually checked aud restained by the others." Are not the puwers of govemment thus divided and balanced by the English constitution? Are they by the Canadian constitution? By this, in practise at least, these powers are divided; but the Legislative Council has not weight, nor talent, nor wealth, nor strength sufficient to ensure respect to its resolutions; and, instead of its balancing with the other powers, it
is a clumsy make weight to one and something mare than "an effectual check and restraint" to the other. 'I'he Canadian legislature is divided but not balaned ; it iscut up into parties among whom there can be no harmony; produces actual and violent oppositions amoug the people, and weakens the natural furces of the country.

Massuchusetts Bay had an approximation to the complex goverament of the mother country. The supieme ligislative body at first was composed of all the treenten who were members of the church; but after 1639 a House of Reptesentatives was established. The lixecutive jower was committed to a Govemor ; who, with a Council, wete annually tlocted by the members of the legislative Assembly. The appointment of Governor, not merely in Massachusetts Bay, but in Conuecticur, Rhode Island, Virginia, Penrsylvania,ard Marylatd, was vested in the colonists by their cláarters. This is one stephoyond what is wished for or wanted by the colonists of Bitish North Ame:ica. They ack a Council to be elected by a constitueacy in the country, and we perceive that Massachusetts, bot only elected such a council, but the Governor alsu. If this was the privilege of Eoglishmen during the reigns of their most despotic kings in the seventeenth century, what has deprived them of this privilege and why may they not exercise ic in this liberal and enlightened age?

Shalilnow turn to the colonies of Connecticutand Rhode lisand, or were their governmentstoo democtatic for the nice sto rachs of aristocaatic Englishmen? Thesse colonies not only annually elected their governor bus there council. Every power, as well deliberate as active was invested in the freemen of the corporations or their representatives; while the executive of the empire was excluded from every constitutional means of iuterposition or coutrol. Were such a democeatic government.sought by Carodians, their ignoble and pauper aristocracy might with more show of reason urge that elective institutions would dissolve the bond
of connection between these colonies and the mothor country. With various forms of local government, it could not be their institutions that violently separated the colonies, now a portion of the United States, from the mother country ; it was the disgraceful attempt of her corrupt and tyrannic oligarchy to sulbvert their free, elective, and representative institutions ard to infringe on the constitutional liberties and privileges of Britislı subjects.

Maryland, like the other proprietary governments, may be said to have been an hereditary monarchy in miniature. Lord Baltimore, son of Sir George Calvert, Secretary of State to James I, obtained a charter from Charles I, which, saving the allegiance and sovereignty due to the Crown, created him the absolute proprietor of Maryland, and vested the absolute government of it in his family. The first assembly of this colony consisted of the whole body of freemen; but when the population had increased, a representative body elected by the freemen was constituted. A Council of twelve persons, somewhat similar to our executive council, was appointed by the proprietor. Lord Baltimore, a Roman Catholic, gave freedom and protection to every sect of Christians, but special privileges to none; and the catholic planters of Maryland procured therr adopted country the distinguished praise of being the firsi of the American colonies in which religious toleration was established by law. Efforts we:s made to thrust down the throats of these Catholics a Church of England ; and when this Church of England was declared to be the established ecclesiastical constitution of the State, the political equality of yeligious sects ${ }^{\prime}$ was subverted and the universal toleration of every form of Christian worship was abolished! Jor what purposes lave religious bodies been bought up with bribes from the casual and territorial revenues in these provinces? Read the history of Maryiand.
The Carolinas were conferred by Charles II, on some of his insatiable courtiers, among whom were Lord Clarendon, the Earl of Shaftesbury, and others. By
their noisili style, of $t$ the h the $x$ electe noble distin celeb by hi birth of ' $\mathrm{t} t$ instru illust and $t$ confi instru seign

## into

prepr
three
nobil
and $c$
sions
were
chanc
In
jucom
and
colon
to de
del ;
were
electe
with
were
dame
after
their charter they were allowed to crea'e an order of noisility, by conferring titles of honor, but differing in style, from those used in England. At first a council of twelve, to advise the Governor, 'ras constituted ; the half of which was appointed by the Governor and the other six were chosen by the assembly, annually elected by the freehohders. So smitten were these noble courtiers with theirown order, dignity, and the distinctions and decorations of aristocracy, that the celebrated Lord Shaftsbury was unanimou:ly selected by his colleagues to frame a constitution which gave birth to that memorable instrument that bears the namo of "the findunental constitutions of Carolina." This instrumeat is now recognised as the composition of the illustrious philosopher, John Locke, in whose genius and talents, his friend Staftesbury entertained implicit confidence. By the complicated machinery of this instrument, the land of the province was erected into seignioiics, baronies, counties, \&c., and was divided into five parts, one of which was assigned to the preprietors, another to the nobility, and the remaining three parts to the people. Two classes of heieditary nobility was created, under the titles of landgraves and caciques, with unalienable and indivisible possessions according to their dignity. Besides which there were to be officers of state, such as chamberlaiu, chancellor, high steward, \&c. \&c.
In a young colony a state of things inupt exist totally jucompatible with the avocatinns of official dignitaries and the splendid idleness of an order of nobility. The colonists of Carolina were consequently constrained to declare it was impossible to execute the grand model; but willing to give it a fair trial, five persons were ?ppointed by :he proprietors, and five others were elected by the freeholders to form a Council, which with twenty delegates chosen by the same electors, were invested with the legislative power. These fundamental constitutions, leclared sacred and unalterable, after twenty-three years experience, were regarded by
all classes of the colonists with uncurable aversion, and were found to be unterly wothlest und impracticable. Thus perishe: the legislative lators of John Locke, who, when he was expelled (oxford and a fugitive chom binglund, was a nobleman of the title of tandgrave in Carolina. Thns will ever perish every fflint, even :14, ingh made by a Lucke's elevated and comprelensive mind anll a Shafleshury's sagacions and experienced underatadiag, to establi,h the blighting primeiples of arinacracy in america; to whose virgin somb, equal righs, equal pivileges, and ejpal jnstice to all men are alone congenial.

The bave alteady seen that New Yook, of which the bigotted Juke of look, alterwad. James II., Was the propuctar, was carsetl, like the Canadas witu a Council having " the tature of the thome of Lodis or ('pper Hense." 'The gavernors wete appointed by the Khas, and history sags "'riey were, wany of them, land jucuers, bent on making hetir tortunes ; and being invested will the joner to do thas, they cillier engrosied for themselves, of patemed anay to their particular favortes, a verygreat paporimon ot the whote proviace." The banclul efiests of this destructun of the primary element of coldmization are lett to this day in the State of New Y'uk, but lias not a like system of lant jobling beenconita un in these plovinces and will. hut its effects le felt by our postenty? 'The Cauncillors were aloo appointed by the crown and acted as a privy couneril to the Govenor, besides perlinming the legistalive and jultrial thations, of the llouse of Lards. Wilh his Council, the Assembly, elected by the ficehoiders,ovisill contmual colidion. Fise conillation of New Yohk approticled nearer to ours than Ihat of any cher of the old colonies; and like ones neither insured obedience to the goverument nor affulded happiness to the peuple.

New Jersey was purchased from the Duke of York, afierwards Jaines Il., by Loid Berkeley and Sir Ceorge Caiteret. The proprietors, anxious to exhibit a politicel fabric that should a ppear desirable aud advantageous
to ma in wh, est to Jiberty the ma ment. ple un right Nollin propuis vince sembly whicis had no it was to imp subsin! cr, $u_{i}$ and in! sed ly Lly." to all power, enactm to the New
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$t 0$ mankind, entered into that remarka te competition in which surereigns and legislators funad it their interest to vie witt ea h other to pronluce the heat mortels of Jiberly and to tender to the acceptamee of their subjects the most rfectua! securitios agal st arbitrary government. Ihis noli $y_{0}$ cherishing in the minds of the people an attachere to liberty ant a conviction of their right to it, proved highly beheficial to the provinces of Nouth America. The instrment publisity by tho propuietors of New .lersey gave assuralice that the pro. vince should be ruled only by laws enacien! by all Assembly in which the peaple were repsesentel, ant io "hicim many important priviteges wete comfiled. They had not only the jower of making proce and nar, lat it "as stipulatid by the proprictors, " ihat lley are not to impose, nor suffic to be imposed, ally tax, custom, subsily, laliage, assessment, ol any ofler duly whatev. er, ujou any color "f fretence, upon the said province and inhabitans therceff, ofher han what shall be impo. sed by the authoity and consent of the general ansemLy." I his inst miment also assured rellgious toletainon to all persons. she adinimiabration of the exteative power, tagether with the right of a negative on the enaciments of the provincial assembly, were reserved to the proprietors. Such was the fist constitution of New Jersey.
We come now to the celebrated Constitution of the illustrion; Willatia l'eun, proprielur of Peuncylvania and D: laware, whose governinent and haws ane so renownet for their wistom, their moderation. and the excellence of their provisions in tavor of tilety. It is not less stranye than true. this illustrious man extribited, two lundred and fitty years ago, a political fabric having a great anatogy to that which is sow demanded by a large inajutity of the people of the present British Noth Americau colonies The government was to be admini: tered by the propietor or his sepputy as gevernor; and by the heenien formed into two separate boties of a provincial Council and a General Asse:, bly. The Council was to be elected by the freemen and to consist

d in eigh. hind were nes. The ere contiol il made a 10rum wa, l hot only $t$ first had o prepare Assembly. o hundred was ufterenty four. ple assent them by it shared proposing urnments. A ssembly given a d'legisla. stitution, I virtue; worldly virtuous ed " We lence to omposed od of all with the of pow. nce, and stration ; Jedience utions of ortion of e that of 1 and a are ap.
pointed in the name of the Cirown whic' choses to representatives; anta llouse of Ass mbly elected by the pesple. The Council in the provine of New Yoris was the only one apprinted by theCrnwn and which are topated to istelf the exective, le ish hive, and judiciary po' er of our Conncils. In Maryland and Virginia tho Councils of each were appointed by its propictors; and in the Carolinas, it was partly anpointed by the proprielorzand parily elective; and in Massachusetis Bay, Connecticut. Rhode Island, Pennsylvanis, and Lelawaie, the Councils were all elective. New Jersey had only a genetal Assenibiy and the other colonies had a House of Assembly elected hy the people. N'ost of the constitutions of these colonies sulsisted long afier the glorious revolution and the adoption of our inimitable Constitution of 1688, and that of tihode Island, I believe, exists unchanged to this day. If therefore is was the privilege of Bitish colonists to elect their Council as well as their Assembly, before and after the Brirish revolution, they ask no boon that their Legislative Council, denlared by a large najority of lle prople as now consituted a conse anc: a nuisance, be renderta elective; but only pray to be permitted to exercise suspended powers inherent in them as Bhitish sabjects and colonists and which many of their progeniturs exercised.

I remain,
Your humble servant, AN EAST ANGLIAN.

## LETTER V.

## To the People of the Canadas and British North America.

"Insterd of the King's naming the Council at that distancei : which case they bad no security that persons of property a d persons tit t be named would be clasen-wishing, as he did, to put the freedrma a stability of the $\mathbf{C}$ nstitution of Canada on the strongest Lasis, HE: PROPC'StD That
 during the debate on the Canadian Constitutiun in Imperial l'arlidment.

Friends and Fellowcountryaen,
That the Canadian Constitution is the workmanship of the old corrupt oligarchy of the mother country speaks mothing in its favor; while the proposition of the immortal f'ox. backed by the voice of the peop'e after nearly half a cen'ury's experience of the vicions working of he Legislative Council, that it should be made elective, must stru. jly enforce its favorable clains on all unprejodiced and refecting minds. I have shown that, if the Canatian Constitution be an exact model or "the very image and transcript" of the Enghsh Constitution in theory, in practise the Canadian Constitution is not nur cannot be the same; and if it be not an exact modsl or "the very image and tran. cript," the theory of the Camadian Coustitution is not now in practise. That the present ptactise of the Canadian Constitution cannot promote the welfares and peace, and happiness, and good goverument of the people; forasmuch, the Legislative Council, una brarch
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of the legistatuse, is tyrabaical, uneonstilutional. corrupt, anti-British, unpopular, odious, factious, and obstructive of colonization and useful legislation. $s$ have proved that the elective principle has been at different periods applied to constitute all the severat branches of the English legislature. And that, in English colonial government, approximating as near as posisible to the English constitution, the elective principle has been applied to constitute all the several branches of colonial legislatures. What then are the objections that the elective principle be applied to constitute the Legislative Council, the second branch of our legrislature, which cannot, as it exists at present, promote the welfare, peace, happiness, and gord government of the people, for which it was constituted? It is assented that were the Legislative Council made elective the counterpoise of the constitution would be destroyed; for both branches would be composed of the same materials, and, allhough sitting in different chambers, they would in reality form but one hody. and be alike operated upon by every sudden impulse of popular fury and excitenent. 1 maintain that botid bo anches are now composed of the same materials, for thete are no other in this continent ; and, as titey do wot in reality form but one body, the conclusion is illegitimate and erroueous. Though both branches arenow composed of the sams materials, they are not organised and framed on the same pian; aud, since they do not thus form in reality but one body, they Would nor any more were the Legislative Council mado elective, in which case they would be differently crganset and framed buth from the present Legislative Councit and from the Honse of Aseeably. should it be said that both branclies, composted of the same materials. which are oryanisted and framed by tha, bu pe prisighle, would in reality furm lut one budy; 1 answer, that the rume materials can le difiesiatly orabuised awd framed by the same primeiple. Isay with biou baluatious

 dut ufon another footirg. He proposed that the membets of the Comacil should not be elivible, unless they possessed qualifications much hi, her than those uto wete eligible to be chosen meinbers of the llouse of Assembli!: und, in l.ke manner, the ecctors of the members of Council should possess aiso qualifications fruportiomaly ligher." If werth can make any distizetion, the tho brablies would not then be comfored of the same matemals; but, as this great atatesmen said "liy his means wo woild have a real aris. tocecy, rhoeen by persons of property, from amongst persons of the liphest peperty, and who would herse yoness that weight, influence, and independence, fom which aione could he derived a power of guarding *gamst incorations that mitht be made by the people ou, oue part, or the Crown en the oher." 1 min not furorably inchaed to the constitution of two distinct consthencies with une pal priberes in the same country. I would have the same coarlituency clect boob brathes. But that the:e may be as ditimet an organization of he componem waterials as posthle and as gleat a disimilarity of the genius of the two hodit 8 as in consistent with harmony in all proper measues, 1 w: nid have the Honse of Assemb'y all eiected tor is atennite fetiod, say four years; and the Conncil, which n) ight ath he requ.red to have much higher gualifications than those whe were elighle to be chosen huchers of the Assembly, or rather one third part of the Council, thould be e'ected for a differest period, say every two years, so that its compasition would be wholly renewed - very six years; and both be clected from one roantirhency orly. The penels of government would then eve, as aveh as in that of Great Briain, placed in difinert bodies, vilich are difiterently organised. I smener, funter, that the Lesislative Council, leing ethoseasmely by the Crown, stabervient theren mat dependent thereon, "hlestiogs the conciorpmise of

no matter of wiat materiah emposed or wher they sit, form !a reality but one body ;" which gives tia Crown in its legisiative capacity an active and uncon. stitutional power in the business of enacting laws, maknes itabsilute, and renders useless and nullifes the collstitutional privileges of the House of Assembly. Cons sequently the uafounded objection to an olective Legisfative Council may be cogently and Sorcibly urged agaibst this same Council as at piesent constituted.

- It has been statcd further against an elective L.exiubative Council that it nould barmonize with the popular branch, or rather buth branches would act aline; so that. both being led by the same common influence of awhition, inurisue, prejuduce and passion, such a Chneil would not be a sufficient check to destroy the e: if efeets that would res:lt from sudden and strong excitement and rash and precipita e !egislation. But tiis objection is nearly the same as the foregoing, expresed indifereat words; and tams on the erroneous assumation thit both branches constituted by the elective princ ple must be in reality but one body. That, when both branches ate constituted by the elective principle, the legislature is divided into two separate and independeat bodies, working harmonioualy and at the same time operating as a real check upor unlue aml rath legislation, is clearly shown by tho unequ, vocal language of experience. I have proved that a majonty of the English old colonics had viective Councils which were the second branch of their legislatures; and they were organised and $f$ amed ont of one constituency. Had not the working of these councils been fonnd an effeient check and restraint on the popular braach and had not their conetitution distibuted the powers of government into two distinet depirtments, a principle so well known to all the ablest of American statesmen, would the elective principlc lave been applied to constitute the recont branch of the legistatures of a very large majority of
the Stater of the Union? The incenfrovertille faet that these independent States adnpted from the old colonies the elective principle to constitute the sezond branch of their legislature must be received as decisive proof that it will divide and balance the poners of government and be an efficient check to arrest the evil effects resulting from sudden and strong excitement and rash and precipitate legislation.
Another objection, of the raw head and bloody bones species, that has been urged against an elective Legiso lative Council, is that it would make us a republic. What is meant by a republic? Was ever the government of England froin before the days of the Great Alfred to the reign of our beloved monarch, Wiliam IV. a iepublic? Nare not Cromwell. When her harons and people elected their king, was England a republic? Was she not an elective monarchy? When the election of her monarchs became mere rareeshow anci her Honse of Commons and a portion of her House of Lords were constituted by the elective priuciple was and is she a refublic? If so, what oljections can be urged that we assimilate nur institutions to those of the mother counery? If not, would not our constitution approximate much neare: hers with an elective Council, as the elective principle is applied to constitute a poition of the second liranch of her legisla. ture, than with our present Legislative Councils, constituted by a principle unknown to her constitution? When the English old colonies elected their House of Assembly, their Council, and their Governor aud all. were they republics, or were they not still fertile. flourishing, and freedom-breathing colonies of a constitutional monarchy? But those wh. urge this objection are casting their mind's eye over the institutions of the United States. Now it is admitted by the most violent opponents of an elective Council and it is the boast of Britons, that the statesmen of $\Lambda$ merica, who framed the constitution of their country, preserved the theory of the government under which they had lived,
le foet e old eeond ecisive ers of $t$ the ement ublic. veris. Great idiam her and $n$ When ebhow f her priu. ctions
and pisced its powers, like that of Great Biftain, io different bodies, which are lifferently organised. The federal Governnent of the United States, were we ever no much inclincd, it would be impossithe for any colony to innitate; for :ts Senate, or second branch of the legislature, is composed of two senators fiom each slate, chosen bv it. legislature for six years, and repre. aents its sovereign authority. For materials to compose such a borly we are moie destitute than to create an hereditary aristocracy. Terha? :inse objecturs have in their mind's eye in 'Ile or other of the blaten, which they would live, lieve is a republic. Then its Governor is etaniz sither by the perple or the other branches of the legislature; while we should have all the allvautiges of an hereditary monarchy. Were our council elective, instead of a few parser placeholders, we should create a monied aristocratic body representiug the real wealih of the country; and thus, as far as the elenents of our society would admit, our constitution, like that of Great Britait, would be founded on monarchial, aristocratic, and democratical principles. Many able polticiaus are of opinion that the constituti al monarchy of France, approaches nearer to a republic than the United States to a monar. cliy. A late able wijer, says "I.a France et les EtatsUnis ont ainsi, malyré la diversitié de leur constitution, ee point de commun, que l'opinion publigue $y$ est, en resultat, le pouvour dominan'. Le principe géné. rateur des lois, est donc, à vrai dire, le meme chez lea deux peiples, quoique ses developpemens y soient plus out moins libres, et que les consequences quion en tire soient souvent differentes. Ce principe, de sa nature, est essentiellement republicain. Ainsi pensais je que la France, avec son roi, resemble plus une republigue, que l'Union, avec son piésident, a une monaıchie.'Literally translated: France and the United Statea have thus, notwithstanding the diversity of their constlfution, this pornt in common, that public opinion is is them, in the result, tite dominant power. The geners-
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ting pirlaciple of the laws, is then, to say truly, the same among both people, although its develapement be more or less fiee, and the coniequances we draw from Th wi.. ite often difisent. This piamiole in its nature, is cinatially remblican. Thus l think that France, with is hing, resembles a repullic more than he Unimu, witio a prexident, a monarely. It is ad nitted as before stated that the govermment if the Unitel States, as near an the elenern's of which it is roustillted would permir, re:-mbles the glorinus constitutmal monarchy ot Enghand; and tisaboliencia wheter sutes,and there ate gool gounds for his opmion, especially as the elonents of trench suci ty are well known to be re,mblican, that france, witha king restmbles a repulicic mole than the Union, will a presilent, a monarchy; wheh, it. 1 admitterl, approashes as near as possible to the Howathy af ons mother comatry, to which we areanx. inus to assimilate our givernment, white France recerles fros a monnchy towads a repmblic. "What the Fiench na'mo want al this moment is a popuar nollar. chy, sumounded hy republican--purty repubicen institutions:" said Lafiagetle to the Dake of Oileans when about to beco t:e the king of he fiemeh. "Wiat is Piance luit a remblic, fettered hy a director?" aiks Chatcontuinm. By the 23, aricle of the Con-titutional Cha reter of Frame - the nomination of the P'ens of France belongs to the King; who has the prower to var: the diguities, confer them for hife. or to render them hereditary. By the 6 th anticle of the chater it tas ordained that the foreong anticle be exammed in the session of 1831, when the law was passed, enacting:That the nomint:inn of he Chamber of Peers helongs to "re king ; hy the CanadianConstitution the nommatoa of tie Lesi lative Conncis belangs to the King. Ry lis Thench law. lie dgnity of a Peer is to be conferreal for life ; by the anatian Constitution the denty of a l.agrslative Councilar is contetied fur hie. By the French law, the dignity is not trascrissible by bereditary descent; by the Canadian Conslitution it is
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the mame. By the French Law their number is unlimited; thy the Candian Constitution it is the same.-The Chamber of leers is: i iriepmonsible both of lifa legisatory, so ate the Leqistaive Commils. ithe Chamber of leers is a comithant brinch of the leginathre and highest Court of Judicature in the country, o ace Leginture Conncils; and, contrary tothe prin. ciples of the Bnti-h Consthution, passing a law and selting in Judgen ent on their own a. and, ittentified "ith the Exectative Cunncils, nlvising the gosenor to astent toit. Tle Chamber of P'ers, in is working, has bern found obe a montlymancal, absolute, odn us and vicuns borly; atacking the beetom of the l'ress and whlaling the righs, liberties and privileger or tho people. The leghtanve Counctis, I have sliown, are byameal, memstitutional, comper, odions and abstructi en coloniznon anduselut !egi-lation; and the biberty of the l'ess has teen assailed by then duectly on inaisectly, as in the case of Mackenze, Collins, Dusernay, and Tiacy. 1 put il to you as lrifmen, S :otchmen, and Enghsmmen-1 put it to gou as Cana. dians and Bullsth subjects-under what Consitution wo:dd yeu live, that of tingland or that of france? -
 republican insthmions? under an elective Lagisiative Council, which assintilates as near as the etements of cur socieny will permat, to the British legindature or under an mersponsible, tyrancal, and cor uat boty of life legislators, which reetables only the repubican Firnen Chamber if f'cers, ' , has brought hie comary to tie verge whatery atd sevolution? I put it to you as 11 en who have lelt the ir native land, I put it io you as latiers who nould tratsmit unimpared and unsullied the rights, liberties, ant privileges of ! 3 itish subjects from generation to genesation, will you plant on thi vingin soil and rear none oher than Bitish institutions; or will you continue to live under and transuit to jour postenisy an institution, a branch of hice !equislature witich is constitue! by a pincifle un-

Louwnto the cuastitutiou of our mother country and pesembling ooly the Chamber of Yeers in republican' France; and which has been found, after forty sears' experience, inefficient to promute the welfare, peace, happiness, and good governmeut of the land of our birth or the land of our adoption.

Bire I take my leave of you, 1 shall notice that a portion of the Press prelerring abuse to argument, frequently applies the terms Firnch democrats, and french republicans, to the iuestimable people of the sister province; were they one or the other, woull it-not be urprising they bould demand an elective Legislative Council, when that which they hise, assimilates to the republican French Chansber of Peess, an: has like powera, privileges, \&e? Desiring that you will shake off your apally and indifference to your own affairs: that you will think and reflect for yourselves on questions vitally affecting the goverament of the conntry and the life, liberty, and property of the suliject; that you will ufholid ana support a free, liberal, and enlightened l'ress; that you will extend the blessings of education to your children; and that you will enjoy all .he elements of happiness and the inestinable auvantages of a good govermment, l'subnit these letters, with no other hope of eward than that wilich ever accompanies the conseientious desire of weil doing, to your serious attention; and

I remain,
Your humble servant,
AN EASI ANGLIAN.

Hamiliton, U. CAnsma
Dec. lat, 15:ús. publican ter forty welfare, land of
at a por-requent-
French $1 e$ sister t-not be gislative ilates to an! has you will our ows ourselves $t$ of the sul,ject: al, and olessing. ill enjoy stimable wit these t nuich il doing.

IAN.



[^0]:    I remaia,
    Your famble servant,
    AN EACT AN゙GLIAN

