External Affairs Supplementary Paper



No. 63/6

Draft Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination

Statement by Mrs. M. Konantz, Canadian Representative on the Third Committee of the United Nations, In Explanation of Vote in Plenary

Racial Discrimination, the Assembly has given greater expression to the pronouncement in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that all human beings are born free and equal.

The right of the individual to protection of the law without discrimination by reason of race, national origin, colbur, religion or sex is enshrined in the Canadian Bill of Rights and elsewhere in Canadian law. Therefore there can be no question about the attitude and action of Canada to a declaration of the United Nations which elaborates the provisions of the Charter intended to promote respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. However, in the Canadian view a declaration should concern itself primarily with principles to guide the conduct and behaviour of nations. Since it creates no legal obligation it should be designed to exert the maximum moral influence. The language used should commend itself to the widest possible support.

My Delegation continues to regret that the Assembly should have found it desirable to depart from the text carefully drafted by the Commission on Human Rights at its nineteenth session. When this draft was being considered in the Third Committee, some views were expressed and some language included which, in the Canadian Government's view, were inappropriate for a declaration and which involve for Canada considerations related to provincial jurisdiction.

Canada has been particularly concerned about the implications in Article 9, Sub-Paragraph 3, since it could have been interpreted as restricting such well established rights as freedom of opinion and expression and freedom of association. We fully recognize the aims of those who wish to provide new safeguards against the evil of racial discrimination, but we must guard against the temptation to pursue one desirable aim at the expense of others equally desirable. The laws of Canada have long provided for the punishment of those who would incite or use violence in pursuit of racial discrimination. To contemplate in addition the persecution and punishment of groups or individuals, on the ground that they seek to promote any particular view, even one as offensive as racial discrimination, is to go too far. This would encroach on one of the most cherished human rights in our society, the right to express opinion freely. Moreover, such an approach can hardly be reconciled with Articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, by which everyone has a right to freedom of opinion and expression, as well as the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

For these reasons and in the hope of persuading the General Assembly in Modify this article, the Canadian Delegation in the Third Committee abstained in the vote on the declaration as a whole. On the other hand we in Canada have always fully supported the intent and purposes of the declaration and for this reason the Canadian Delegation has voted for the declaration in this Assembly. It would have been a sad reflection on the United Nations if the declaration could not have been adopted (unanimously). Lack of complete agreement would only serve to encourage those who would practice racial discrimination...

Draft Declaration on the Elimination of

Statement by Mrs. M. Konantz, Canadian Representative on the Third Committee of the United Nations, In Explanation of Vote in Pienary

... By adopting the Declaration on the Elimination of all Forms of ial Discrimination, the Assembly has given greater expression to the nouncement in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that all human ugs are born free and equal.

The right of the individual to protection of the law without dismination by reason of race, national origin, colour, religion or sex is
brined in the Canadian bill of Rights and elsewhere in Canadian law.

Tefore there can be no question about the attitude and action of Canada to
eciaration of the United Nations which elaborates the provisions of the

Tter intended to promote respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms.

Ever, in the Canadian view a declaration arould concern itself primarily
n principles to guide the conduct and behaviour of nations. Since it creates
legal obligation it should be designed to exert the maximum moral influence.

Language used should command itself to the widest possible support.

My Delegation continues to regret that the Assembly should have bound desirable to depart from the text carefully drafted by the Commission on an Rights at its nineteanth session. When ints draft was being considered the Third Committee, some views were expressed and some language included the in the Canadian Government's view, were inappropriate for a declaration which involve for Casada considerations related to provincial jurisdiction.

Canada has been particularly concerned about the implications in tole 9, Sub-Paragraph 3, since it could have been interpreted as restricting well established rights as freedom of opinion and expression and freedom association. We fully recognize the aims of those who wish to provide new equards against the avail of racial discrimination, but we must quard against the avail of racial discrimination, but we must quard against temptation to pursue one desirable aim at the expense of others equally trable. The laws of Canada have long provided for the punishment of those would incite or use violence in pursuit of racial discrimination. To the ground that they seek to promote any particular view even one as offensive coil discrimination, is to ge too far. This would encrose on one of the coil discrimination, is to ge too far. This would encrose opinion freely. The night to express opinion freely. Other is such an approach can hardly be reconciled with articles 19 and 20 of this voivers such an approach can hardly be reconciled with articles 19 and 20 of this work of opinion and expression, as well as the right to treedom of peaceful each of opinion and expression, as well as the right to treedom of peaceful

For these reasons and in the hope of persuading the General Assembly modify this article, the Canadian Delagation in the Inird Committee abstained the vote on the declaration as a whole. On the other hand we in Ganada have as fully supported the intent and purposes of the declaration and for this son the Canadian Delegation has voted for the declaration in this Assembly. Would have been a sad reflection on the United Nations if the declaration of the vot have been adopted (unanimously). Lack of complete agreement would verve to encourage those who would practice racial discrimination...