

General Business. Miramichi Advance. CHATHAM, MARCH 23, 1882. Mr. Irvine, M. P. As we stated last week, the Government...

Schooner for Sale! THE Subscriber offers for sale the well-known schooner "ADVANCE"...

WARREN C. WINSLOW, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW, NOTARY PUBLIC. OFFICE: -Pape's Building, Prince William St., ST. JOHN'S.

MONEY! TO LEASE, in sums of \$500 and upwards, for one or more years...

ROBERT MURRAY, Attorney-at-Law, NOTARY PUBLIC, CONVEYANCER, ETC. OFFICE: WATER STREET, CHATHAM, N.B.

Assessor's Notice. THE ASSASSORS OF RATES FOR THE PARISH OF CHATHAM, having received the Warrant...

Dr. J. S. Benson, RESIDENCE: Duke Street, - Chatham. Co-Partnership Notice.

TEA! TEA! Duties Removed! We are now selling Good Tea at 35 Cts. CHOICE TEA AT 40 CENTS PER POUND.

HAY. HAY. HAY. AT AUCTION. To be sold at Public Auction on FRIDAY, 23rd MARCH, at 11 o'clock, a.m. in front of the Western Hotel...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

In the afternoon Hon. Mr. Landry laid upon the table the returns asked for of the contract between Burns Adams & Co. for the service of the schooner "Laura H."...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

debate taking up the best part of the afternoon.

It will be admitted, I think, that the Free Grant Act of 1870 was passed with the view of settling the country; but these laws do not meet the necessities of the case...

Our Indians.

From the Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, we learn that during the last year there was expended for the Indians of the North Eastern Agency...

Our Protection Letter.

On last Monday afternoon Mr. Barberie moved for a committee to investigate the claim of Robert Sinclair, Supervisor of Roads for Restigouche County...

Miramichi and the North Shore, etc.

REVEREND—Dr. E. Roberts, who preached in St. John's and St. Mark's Churches on Sunday last, also lectured on "The Human Tongue" in St. Andrew's Hall, Chatham, on last Thursday evening.

A TORY LAWYER.—According to our latest correspondent Mr. Tweedie appears to have a desire to shut the press out of the courts when he happens to be in a case.—He will find there is room for the reporters and the law also. As he, however, is not a publisher as well as a lawyer, he ought not to try the game of "muzzling the press." Commented us to a Tory who was once a Liberal for adopting ultra Tory tactics.

A SCRATCH GAME. St. John & Chatham came out on the Chatham rink, on Friday, with the following result:

CHATHAM. J. W. Brown, 1; W. Brown, 2; J. W. Brown, 3; D. G. Brown, 4; D. G. Brown, 5; D. G. Brown, 6; D. G. Brown, 7; D. G. Brown, 8; D. G. Brown, 9; D. G. Brown, 10.

Messrs. Smith and Britain, are of our oldest residents of Chatham, but for curling purposes could easily pass as St. John men, having resided there for several years.

ST. PATRICK'S ENTERTAINMENT.—The members of St. Michael's C. T. A. Society gave a literary entertainment last Friday night to commemorate the anniversary of the feast of St. Patrick.

The following was the programme: Instrumental music. Address by the President, Mr. J. Conroy. Address by Mr. Thomas Gorman. Address by Mr. R. F. Waddleton. Another song by Mr. Waddleton. Song—"The Elevated Rail Car, by Mr. P. A. Noonan.

Instrumental music. Address on Education, by Mr. J. W. Wallace. Instrumental music. Song—"Let Erin remember the days of old"—by Mr. J. W. Wallace. Comic Recitation, by Mr. R. F. Waddleton.

Song—"The Turkish Babi's daughter"—by Mr. R. F. Waddleton. Instrumental music. Closing address by the President.

A RATHER unpleasant matter between the skating rink committee and a skater was the subject of an enquiry before Mr. Griffin, J. P., last week, the complainant being the skater, and the defendant the Secretary to the Committee. The charge preferred was for assaults committed in putting the complainant off the ice for being one of three lads breaking the rule forbidding three to skate with joint hands, and the case was dismissed.

The evidence was quite conflicting and the case was dismissed. The Magistrate holding that the Secretary was justified in exacting conformity to rules made for the protection of all skaters and that violence was not used. Everyone acquainted with the parties regards that the matter was not arranged without recourse to law for it was a case wherein each party appeared to be right from their own standpoint.

House of Assembly. We make room for the able speech of Mr. Blair in the Legislature at Fredericton on the municipal and Provincial affairs. We had intended to quote the speeches of Messrs. Barbic and Marshall which preceded it, but are obliged to hold them over for the present for want of space.

Curling. A game of eight men a side, Old Country vs. Dominion born, was played yesterday at the Chatham rink, the following being the result:—

Old Country. John Brown, 1; G. W. Wait, 2; J. Waddleton, 3; W. Wilson, 4; D. G. Brown, 5; D. G. Brown, 6; D. G. Brown, 7; D. G. Brown, 8; D. G. Brown, 9; D. G. Brown, 10.

Valuable to Farmers. A valuable pamphlet, entitled "Insects, Injurious and Beneficial," is received from Messrs. Johnston & Co., of Fredericton and Newcastle, the well known manufacturers of and dealers in Farm Machinery. It is a reprint of Chapter V., Ontario Agricultural Report, and embraces illustrations of large numbers of insects in their different stages, which are calculated to materially assist in distinguishing the various species and classes. Messrs. Johnston & Co. deserve great credit for their enterprise in publishing this work, especially as it is done for gratuitous distribution throughout New Brunswick. Messrs. Hildebrand Bros., of Newcastle, will be pleased to give a copy of the pamphlet to any person applying through any of our offices, Public Works, Newcastle, or to send one by mail when requested to do so.

Seating at the Rink. The Committee who have the Chatham Curling Club rented their rink this season have again secured it for a fortnight, and opened it to their former patrons last evening. They propose to hold a Fancy Dress Carnival on to-morrow, Friday evening, after which the regular fortnightly skating will commence. The price of tickets for the skating season will be 75 cents for gentlemen, 50 cents for ladies, and 25 cents for children. The rink will be constantly under the personal supervision of some one of the Committee so that good order will be maintained. Tickets for either the Carnival to-morrow night, or for the two weeks skating may be obtained at the Stores of H. Harris & Son, W. Wilson & Co., John Brown, D. M. Loggie, A. J. Loggie, J. D. B. Mackenzie, and at the Rink. The Committee announce that a band will furnish music at the Carnival to-morrow evening.

St. Patrick's Day Carnival. The St. Patrick's Day, or rather, evening Carnival at the Newcastle rink was another successful affair, though not quite so much so in point of attendance as the two former ones. The arrangements were about the same as before and the list of skaters in costume as follows:—

J. Wilson, Negro Gents. Joseph Bell—Pat Malloy. R. W. Neal, (Comedian)—Chinese Officer. J. J. Miller—Yachtman. G. G. Ullock—Mr. Wm. Teazer. M. Russell—American Jockey. W. L. Russell—N. B. U. Student. A. G. Lister—Base Ball.

Fred Copeland— Sailor. Joseph Jardine—Fancy Dress. James Brown—Patch Work. Jas. O. Fah—Crow. Wm. Davidson—Sailor. George Thomson—Bangles. Charles Mitchell—Highland Laddie. Charles Patterson—Charles I. Charles B. Robertson—Prince Arthur.

D. McKendrick—Romeo. Howard Fleeger—Almer. Geo. Stables—Pulman Car Porter. Jas. Smallwood—Solomon Slow. W. L. Germaine—Prince Frederick. H. H. Carville—Vanhook. H. V. McAuley—Negro. Wm. Wilson—Costumes of the Period. W. H. Buck—Bijah, (prize). Clifford Fah—Corsican. Geo. Keith—Court Dress Henry IV. Alex. McDonald—do. Geo. T. Miller—Yachtman. Peter Turner—Canadian Tourist. W. R. Robinson—Skating Costume. J. Shaganren—do. J. McLaughlin—Bank Clerk. John Drummond—Good Night. R. A. Wier—Erin's Son. W. H. Johnston—Organ Grinder. F. Mason—Umbrella Man. Edward Watt—Maiden. Jas. Petrie—St. Patrick's Butler. J. McLeish—Cavalier Charles I. John Newman—Banquetier. Bertie Wynn—Middie. T. Cottis—Irishman. Wilson Harris—Pat O'Connell. Harry Patterson—Highland Laddie. W. E. Staver—Bushman. Wm. W. St. John—Lady Book. A. J. Loggie, Jr.—Robert Emmet. Will. Hearn—O'Murphy.

LADIES. Miss L. Brown—Highland Lassie. Miss Millett—Lady Ralston. Miss Katie Thomson, 1—Ferryman's. Miss Addie Thomson, 1 (daughter). Miss A. H. McDonald—Turkish Lady. Miss Mary Russell—Queen of Night. Miss Bella McCarty—Turkish Lady. Miss E. McLean—Harvest. Mrs. J. B. Phinney—Comin' thro' the Rye.

Miss Phinney—Maltese Fishewoman. Miss M. Watt—Little Miss Patty. Miss L. Stevens—Last Rose of Summer. Miss Ellen Stevens—May Pastorella. Miss M. McLaughlin—May Queen. Miss Emma Russell—Stars and Stripes. Miss Lulu Harley—Child of Regiment. Miss Nanie Sargent—Fancy Dress. Miss Minnie Russell—Queen of Night. Miss Henrietta Niven—Flower Girl. Miss Helena Grant—Erin's (tem, prize). Miss John Lester—Golfen Bawn. Miss Annie Copeland—Union Advocate. Mrs. Niven—St. Patrick's Day. Miss Hickey—Duchess of Devonshire. Miss J. T. Traver—Wearing of the Green. Miss Caroline—Practical Puzzle. Miss Annie Griffin—Skating Costume. Miss Lewis—Nancy Lee. Miss Jessie Fish—Snowball. Laura Call. Bertha Sinclair, 1—Statuery. Miss Bertie Harland—Sarah Curran. Miss E. McAllister—Red, White and Blue.

Miss Mary Davidson, 1—Fancy Dress. Miss Annie Davidson, 1.

The "Advance" and its Opponents. A number of gentlemen—business and professional men on the Miramichi—have banded themselves together and obtained incorporation, under law, to enable them to hold property in a newspaper and control and direct the same. They have invested, no doubt, a good deal of money in the undertaking and engaged quite a large staff in the mechanical and writing departments of their paper. The gentlemen whom they have selected to do their editing is one who has had considerable training in the profession of journalism, he having been connected at different times with some of the leading papers of the country, making up, thereby, in the variety of his experience for what he may have lacked in the advantage of a steady and regular newspaper training. The paper we refer to is the Chatham World. Its publishers are Messrs. R. E. Call, John Sadler, L. J. Tweedie, John Ferguson, T. W. Crocker, Robert Swin, R. A. Lawlor and R. P. Whitney. Considering the business position of these gentlemen and the evident seriousness with which they have undertaken the occupation of publishers, the public have a right to expect that they will conduct their publication with due regard to the community's sense of decency, that their paper shall be, in a measure, a reflex of their sense of propriety of language and conduct, and that their treatment of their neighbors shall be something approaching what they themselves expect in return. They may, however, entertain the idea that they are at liberty to publish gross mistakes concerning others who differ from them politically and to traduce them in their social relationships without inviting reprisal in some form or other, but their editor—unless the ethics of journalism have formed no part of his professional training—ought to be competent to keep him right in this matter, and to guard their paper against the very damaging mistake of a wholesale and retail business in ridiculing persons, vulgar in its modes of attack and defence, and therefore, not reflecting a sense of propriety and decency up to the standard of the place in which they have become publishers, and, therefore, public teachers.

It is, we think, the misfortune of the World corporation to devote much more than a reasonable proportion of their time and thoughts to party politics. They seem, in their pursuit of party ends and gains,—party, unfortunately, becoming individual in its character as the gains come nearer the grasp—to forget that citizenship, religion, and ordinary courtesy impose duties upon them which they ought not to neglect or ignore, and that the chief end of man is not to array one side of the community in which he lives, against the other, not to misrepresent and slander his neighbor in order that party success may be, thereby, promoted, and not to set before those who reach of his influence the baneful example of uncharitable words and acts,—all on the miserable plea of political necessity. Bacon says, "men suppose that the talk of the party which they follow is still to be taken for their own; they are creeds arbitrary on reason." We interpret this as meaning that men's words should be carefully guarded, so that they may exercise a beneficial and not a baneful effect on the reason—that by the use of words reason may not become corrupted and perverted. We have ever endeavored, in these columns, to guard our language, for we have realized how important is the duty of doing so when—unlike spoken words, which, in the main, pass into oblivion as the breath which gave them articulation, they upon the printed page and are sent broadcast all around us. Such being the case we think the gentleman named here does themselves no credit by publishing, in last Saturday's World the following in reference to the Advance:—

After years devoted to personal attacks on estimable citizens, after having done a wholesale and retail business in ridiculing people by allusions to their private affairs and peculiarities, after having assailed almost everybody in the Liberal Conservative ranks in this County in so mean and contemptible a manner as to make the object of a scorn and disgust which years of penitence is an assumed debt of decency and morality would remove, our neighbor suddenly discovers that allusions to club matters which are the talk of the town is highly improper. It will have plenty of occasion to feel equally as uncomfortable as it does now when we are done with it.

But for what follows the above we would charitably suppose some of the gentlemen we have named had told their editor that a statement such as the above would be justifiable or would not have appeared in their paper. It is, however, necessary to argue the matter or even to

contradict the assertions they make, so we will only say with Messinger— "I have argued, gives a kind of credit to a falsehood." Worse, however, than the above is an attack in the same article on the private character of the editor and publisher of this paper. It is as follows:—

He might set the drink of the drunkard with the temperance lecturer—the poet of a fearful example—not in a position to take the lecturer's desk.

Now, Messrs. Call, Sadler, Tweedie, Ferguson and the other publishers of the above wretched piece of malignity are the following citizens of the gentleman to whom they refer and there is not one of them who does not fully know that it is as untruthful as the motives which suggested it are unworthy. Their editor, also, set for months at a desk in the leading newspaper office of the Province with the gentleman referred to, and he knows, as well as his publishers do, that he commits a most unjustifiable offence against truth and common decency in penning the lines quoted. He cannot expect that what an offence can be personally overlooked, that it is a social outrage which he has committed, his only excuse being that he has received money for the service. If, as the World says, it has been done in ignorance, it is not a Conservative, and I do not think the question of party prejudice is the last ground on which it can be defended. I think this has been a case of deep satisfaction to those who have heard of it, and who are not a heart, but if Mr. L., who is not the choice of the Liberal Conservatives of this County, is not a Conservative, he knows something of the party wishes to make this the issue, I have no doubt will accommodate him with a back seat.

The "stirring up" letter about "Plugging up articles" "fanatics" etc., I can assure you, that I have not seen it as yet, but I am sure that it is not as "More Anon" will not write either about "fanatics" or "plugging up articles" in connection with this question. Terrie is an odd signature—very. None Anon.

Bathurst Notes. MARCH 22, 1882. "COUNTY COURT.—The March term of the Gloucester County Court opened this morning. His Honor, Judge Wilkinson, presiding. The first judgment in attendance was Messrs. Thomson, Tweedie and Lawlor, of Miramichi, and Messrs. DesBrisay, MacLachlan and Harrington, of Bathurst.

The Crown vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable. The Queen vs. John Gaverua and William Stieber.—Joseph. The Queen vs. Joseph Gaverua—Assaulting a Constable.

of this County and not only to present, in no very modest style, his own qualifications for the position of representative, but to take every opportunity to discredit the party to which he is attached. He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached. He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

He is, as a matter of fact, a man of high ability in his own line, and as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

was after 6 o'clock moved an adjournment. A Journal accordingly to 8 a.m. to-morrow.

BATHURST, March 22, 1881. On the Targoon discourse this morning Mr. Tweedie intimated to His Honor he would be absent as he considered objection taken by DesBrisay, that the case did not come under Section 5, Chapter 38 of the Consolidated Statutes, no execution having been issued, good.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

Who, as far as real ability is concerned, is as much Mr. L.'s superior as he excels him in that regard, and his conduct in this regard is a disgrace to the party to which he is attached.

campaign includes all that was most exciting in the war which brought the Russian army with slight of the minutes of Stamboul. From the day when, "to show the stuff he was made of," he swam his horse across the Danube while General Dragomiroff was forcing the passage at Simnitza, to the time when he could with difficulty be restrained from marching into Constantinople as soon as the British fleet entered the Sea of Marmora, he was the most prominent actor in the drama. He became the legendary hero of the campaign, and in the minds of the common people he almost monopolized its glories. He was always in the forefront of the hottest battle; four horses were shot under him in ten days, but he was only wounded once, and after being in constant expectation of death for months he returned home safe and sound.

His WHITE UNIFORM was to his soldiers as the white plume of Henri Quatre at the battle of Tey. "I have heard the addition of Green," says Lieutenant Green "as a general under whom they would rather fight and die than fight and live under another." They had often to die—sometimes 50 per cent of his command perished; but he spared no exertion to minister to their wants and to supply their needs. His decision was the best and the best General Staff ever formed in the army. He was always with them.

IN THE MOST EXPOSED POSITIONS in the FIGHT, sleeping with them in the trenches and looking after all their necessities in camp. In short, says Lieutenant Green, "he acted so thoroughly in making himself one with his division that his men responded to his thoughts as readily as the muscles obeyed his will. I doubt if a more thoroughly identified general and his men has existed since the days of Cromwell."

His custom of wearing white, as if to court the bullets of his enemies, his reckless personal bravery, and the strange custom of his always "going into battle in his cleanest uniform and fresh undressing clothing with perfume, and wearing a diamond-hilted sword, in order that as he said, he might 'die with his best clothes on,' gained him the reputation of a white-dare-devil, which somewhat obscured his real reality as a general. In reality the man who had done so thoroughly for his country that he had had the secret of his own life in fascinating the imagination as well as of appealing to the reason of men. When he was

SENT TO TAKE BOOKS TO and salute the Tekkes many about their heads and predicted that he had impudently would be his ruin. So far from that being the case, he displayed the utmost caution, acted, with the greatest deliberation, refused to move from July to December, until he had made all his preparations, and after he had carried on camels to the trenches no fewer than 1,575,000 rounds of ammunition, to say nothing of several thousands of heavy shot and shell, he laid siege to a city which was defended by a garrison of 10,000 men, and he achieved the conquest of the Akhal Tekke country with a loss of 987 men. Only one in that campaign did Soboleff display his usual recklessness.

AFTER THE FORTRESS HAD FALLEN he was riding through the country with his escort when he met several Tekkes. He asked who they were. They answered, "Friendly Tekkes." "How can I be friendly to your sort?" he asked again. "Tekkes never lie," was their confident response. "Well," replied Soboleff, "if that is the case, I will reward myself if you men and will return accompanied by your sort." He was as good as his word, and his trust

(Continued from First Page.)

able to meet the world." We all know that it was the farmer who had much to do with making this country what it is; who went in and cleared the forest and made the wilderness blossom as the rose and drove the aborigines back, and brought our vast domain into cultivation. We know who should receive a fair kind of protection at the hands of the Government, but who have received insult upon insult and who, instead of being invited to give their opinion upon the great change was made in our fiscal system, were treated with silence. I think 30 per cent. is too large a duty on agricultural implements. I think 15 per cent. should be enough and let them have the raw materials free of duty.

Mr. Domville—What would you do with the raw materials we produced? Would you leave them unprotected? Mr. Irvine—We will come to that by-and-by. But I must first say that the farmers are used. It takes the lawyers and doctors of the House to explain anything like that. One of the cries of the Government in 1878 was that Canada should be kept for the Canadians. Well, I believe they want to keep Canada for the manufacturers; but what is to be done to secure Canada for the Canadian farmer? Supposing that the change was made in our fiscal system, a committee of Canadian farmers had been invited to discuss that point and say what protection should be given them. Do you think the first step they would have taken would have been to ask the Government to place a duty of 20 per cent. on our horses? We exported 20,000 horses last year; would a duty of 20 per cent. upon them have put money in our pockets? Last year we exported 62,179 head of cattle, and 354,156 head of sheep. If a practical farmer had been asked to assist us, would he have placed a duty of 20 per cent. on cattle and sheep? Certainly he would not. He would have seen that we imported last year 75,000 barrels of pork; and would not a practical man have said to the Finance Minister, "Do not want you to put a duty on those things which we export, but we would like you to put a duty on the article we import, namely, pork." But he did not put duty on that article. The 10 per cent. duty was on before Confederation. No; he insulted us first and humbugged us afterwards. Then, again, he placed 15 cents on barley and 71 cents on corn. We export barley largely; we exported last year 8,809,519 bushels of barley and imported about 7,000,000 bushels of corn, about 3,000,000 bushels being for home consumption. The reason he gave for putting the duty on barley was, that it was used by the brewers, and he is a great friend of the brewers. Everyone knows that a bushel of corn is worth two bushels of oats; and there has not been a year since 1870 in which oats have not ranged 4 or 5 cents a bushel higher in Maine than in New Brunswick. It is tantamount to insulting the farmers, pretending that this kind of thing is for their protection. It is humiliating to hear the Finance Minister say such nonsense as to say that this is a tax retaliation. It is all wrong and wrong-headed, but who is the imbecile? Then I come to the article of wool. The Finance Minister says we export 1,000,000 pounds of Canadian wool, and the inference naturally is that we are raising more Canadian wool than we can manufacture. But what is the fact? We import a million pounds of foreign wool. After this tariff was in operation a great cry was raised by the Canadian farmer that foreign wool was imported by our manufacturers, to the great injury of the Canadian farmer, and he placed 3 cents a pound on wool. Yet he being asked for 100,000 pounds of wool duty free, and we are obliged to ship 1,000,000 pounds of our native wool. Now, Sir, the farmers of Canada ask that Canada should be for the Canadians, and that you should place the farmers on an equality with the manufacturers. On a suit of woolen clothes worn by the poor man a duty of 82 1/2 cents is to be paid, while the clothing of the silk-stocked fellow is allowed to come in duty free. What can we expect better from the Tories than that? They never were the friends of the poor man; they always pressed him and made him subservient to the rich; and I am sorry that a gentleman whom we took from the apothecary shop and from a servant should be the enemy of the poor man.

Sir Leonard Tilley—He was a good apothecary. Mr. Irvine—Now, Sir, I come to his friend Parks, of the cotton warps. There are two men in the cotton business; one is a manufacturer and the other a purchaser of cotton; and I am the purchaser of cotton. It is not very strange that the manufacturer, who gets his raw material free of duty and his labor cheaper than it is in the United States, should want a duty of 2 cents a yard on cotton when its first cost is only 5 cents a yard? We are told that it requires 2 cents per square yard to give him protection against the United States. Well, what is the inference? It is this—I may be unparliamentary (if I am I will take it back after I say it), but it looks to me like a high Allan job; as if there were here a man wanted to raise the wind. I do not believe a Canadian manufacturer would come here to ask that he require that protection to hold his own. Why, then, are we compelled to pay so much into the treasury? Well, the hon. Finance Minister explains it away; he says: cotton is a cheap now as it was in 1878, that it is sold as cheap today in Canada as it is, think, in New Hampshire. But low this? If A and B are trading and A is a manufacturer and can get his supplies cheap, but B is an importer and has to pay 33 per cent duty, how can he sell his goods as cheaply as A? The plain truth is that he cannot. Why, I live within four miles of the American boundary, and I have a better right to know what the retail prices of goods are than any other man in Canada—as good, at all events. We are told that our cottons are as cheap as those of the United States markets, but I say, Sir, there is more Yankee cotton smuggled into Carleton county than there is in all the others combined, and I am willing to let the statement go back to my constituents. Now, in reference to Park cotton warps, I will make my reputation against that of the Finance Minister in this matter; he says, I think, that these warps are sold as cheap to-day as they can be purchased in New Hampshire, freight added. Well, what is the fact? From my own observation I know that, in 1880, the price of Park's warps—white warp I have reference to—five pounds in weight, was at retail in the stores here \$1.50; and the retail price of the same article in 1881, was \$1.35. Now, both in 1880 and at the present time, you might go across the five miles and buy a better warp for \$1. I pledge my honor to this fact, and the hon. Minister can ascertain it from his own party in the county. Now, Mr. Speaker, can you blame a poor man in

that county if, instead of paying \$1.35 out of his day's wages, he goes across the line five miles and buys American cotton for \$1.00. Now, Sir, we import 30 per cent. added to hides make them dearer? I cannot reason it out and must leave it for my hon. friend opposite. The hon. Minister says that 50 cents added to coal makes it cheaper for the people of Ontario, and I would have 50 cents added to the hides that they also might be cheaper. I wish to illustrate one point in reference to machinery. If my memory serves me right, I think the first imported machine imported into the county of Carleton—it was about twenty-five years ago, and a very crude one—cost \$145, and you can buy the same machine now for \$80 that is 80 per cent. cheaper than the original price. But the hon. Minister of Finance—I must give him credit for it—did not say that the machine is cheaper by putting a duty upon it, but he says it is cheaper than in 1878. He also says that hats and caps are cheaper than in 1878; he says to the manufacturers—he does not say it to the men who purchase the hats—send me a letter, and the manufacturer, who, of course, is making money out of it, is quite willing to write a letter to me. He says hats and caps are cheaper than they were in 1878, but I venture to say they are cheaper by 15 per cent. in England than in 1878. There is so much dodging and squinting, and so much chicanery within all the arguments used by hon. gentlemen opposite that I am thoroughly disgusted. I have observed with pain and a good deal of indignation that the hon. Finance Minister has selected the last two years to make it appear that New Brunswick is raising less per capita than Ontario or Quebec or any other Province in the Dominion. Last year the hon. gentleman abused his native Province a course of action which I think I have observed with pain and a good deal of indignation that the hon. Finance Minister has selected the last two years to make it appear that New Brunswick is raising less per capita than Ontario or Quebec or any other Province in the Dominion. Last year the hon. gentleman abused his native Province a course of action which I think I have observed with pain and a good deal of indignation that the hon. Finance Minister has selected the last two years to make it appear that New Brunswick is raising less per capita than Ontario or Quebec or any other Province in the Dominion. Last year the hon. gentleman abused his native Province a course of action which I think I have observed with pain and a good deal of indignation that the hon. Finance Minister has selected the last two years to make it appear that New Brunswick is raising less per capita than Ontario or Quebec or any other Province in the Dominion.

logs from \$4 to \$8?" He replied: "The prosperity is due to the National Policy." The National Policy which made us prosper \$8 in St. John, instead of \$3 or \$4, in a year. We do not know half the virtues or powers of the National Policy. Sir Albert J. Smith—Did the crowd believe him? Mr. Irvine—Believe him! Why, the man was half-witted who asked the question. Mr. Ross (West Middlesex)—And how much did the man who answered it? Mr. Irvine—You can guess by the answer. Now, coming to the question of the interests of the farmer, no doubt the country has got into serious hands. I remember last fall, when the Prime Minister came over from England, the Tory press loudly proclaimed that England was going back to her position before the repeal of the Corn Laws. This press said that the hon. gentleman had sent the heater on fire in England too, and that we should have protection in England as well as in Canada. But there was no great prospect of that event in England. Let us see whether the present Ministers are friends of the farmer. The "fair traders" in England say, we are going to pay all our taxes by putting a 20 per cent. duty on foreign wheat and a duty on lumber, too; and our Ministers have declared that our duty on wheat and other articles would not increase the price to 20 per cent. worth of produce, and that as soon as 27 cents a bushel was put on wheat, the whole country would be a grand bonanza for the Canadian farmer, and make him rich at once. He was not only going to bind down the farmer with clogs, taxes, and other castles against him, but he was also to put a 20 per cent. duty on the farmer's goods, and he was to sell them to him at a profit. This policy would help the manufacturers, for they are not obliged to go to the outside or English market, because they have the slaves in Canada to impose their goods upon whatever good or bad. The English manufacturer does not pretend to sell goods cheaper than any one else, but he fears not to sell them on their merits; but the Canadian manufacturer says to the people, "You must take my goods and pay for my shoddy whether good or bad," and to the lumberman, "You must take my lumber to the English market and bring back the money to spend in such goods as Canadian shoddy." That is the freedom and liberty we are treated to. One class is to be favored, and all the others are to be levers of wood and drawers of water for it. The cry of disloyalty in Nova Scotia and elsewhere has only lately been raised by the present Government, who have set one class against another—the manufacturer against the farmer and the laborer. I do not think we are going to cement the bonds of union in a country stretching from the Atlantic to the Pacific by any such means. There was a time when Englishmen said to Americans—"I remember right—"You must carry American goods in English bottoms, but the Americans would not admit it. We now come to another point: The National Policy was to make a home market for our produce. Speaking from memory, the Finance Minister said he had found a market for about \$6,000,000 of the goods in this market. I do not dispute that, but I think we export \$30,000,000 worth of farm produce more in 1881 than in 1880. The condition of the market for the goods of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

"Let us now see if those leading articles which he is consuming, which he has before, and in many cases they are better before, which have entered largely in addition to the lower price. In my judgment the farmer is as greatly interested in the Tariff as any other class in the Dominion. In the first place, he has the home market. An hon. member opposite referred to the Tariff in the Session, I think, stating that it was of very little importance. The condition of the Dominion, put your eyes on the map, and you will see that the people of New Brunswick would not see them except through me. This is one of the nicest pretensions I have seen in the Dominion. The hon. gentleman spoke thus, as I see by the official report:

into the Union he stated that \$2.68 per head for the Dominion Government annually would be sufficient for 23 years, and that sum has already been doubled. After reducing the Tariff to 15 per cent. to get you into Confederation, it is now nearly doubled. After promising a market for the Dominion Government, according to his own story, he has simply made a market for the growers. In his address to that I would tell the people: "You know that on every platform in New Brunswick he went round telling you that the Intercolonial Railway was coming right down the valley of the St. John. There was one gentleman that snubbed him rather pointedly. The hon. gentleman had been from one place to another, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop your puffing and blowing. And tell us where the railroad is going." That hon. gentleman told the people who made a man of him that that railway would be built by the valley of the St. John, and if it was not that he would resign. He wrote his resignation, and put it in his pocket, he kept it in his pocket. I believe there is an hon. gentleman here—there is the hon. member for Halifax, I am speaking from memory now, and I believe, presented the very place where he was speaking. This gentleman put to him a pointed question. He says, mentioning him by name, though I believe it is unparliamentary to mention names here back to back. "Come, Tilley, stop