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## THE COURTS AND THE PEOPLE
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So suon as amon hecame a sacial momal, it was neecosary that his rombluct ant actions should be hoverned liy law-that is, rate of some kiml. Ohedience to


 call.

```
"'The sumal olil ruht * * *
    * * tha Elinglo ptan,
    Clint tloey mhonlal taka whor liave tho gower,
    Amf they'wlos)d, krep who ran."
```



 king in Iarum, hut mory man dial that which wat right in his owis "yo." f'isil-






 is not far Ilistinlt.

 strong, inderpendent and intumlint.











 prineiphes of the maninistretion of justhere are mot mule an important part of the corriculam, at least of our andanemp siblools.
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 remember that Jatek 'atle wa- bur killing ull the lawyers, 'The experiment of excluding all lawrors fron larliannill was trict once many wars ago in England.


have beroll prejulimul. The experiment was a bailure. "There never was a grool law math thereat," and alf their havishation han to be repeated. 'The experiment has not leen reprutted.

If yon will ennsider the dilliculty of expressing in our highty metaphorical language any hat the simplest of infens withont ambingity, yon will, perhaps. mederstand the difliewty of steralled simple hegislation: and ambiguity is the greatest emese in any haw.

So one mone than the judges womlal weleme a simplifiention of the laws:

 would lee to invite a mandidate to simplity the Municipal det. That Set has con-




But too muth should not be matce of the intrioney of our laws Sinety per cent. of the law which is administerell in our court: from day to day is nothing but phan common sellse: and it is the rare eate which repuires meln investigaltion in order to determine what the law precisel, is, I flo not mean that in ninety per cent, alt the casts tried no compliatent ghestion of law can arise, but that, taking

 are somu rure instames nereesitating a very great deal of labor on the part of the judge mon whom is cast the dnty of determining the governing baw.

It is a very commen "rror, and whe which, perhaps, hat opcasioned more eriticism on the part of the minfurmed than anything alse, that jonlges mate the laut. I ennol too strongly impress mon yon the lact that judges if not make the law. The whole dnty-the whole power-of : indge is to lind ont what the law is. He may, indeen, like any other cammlian vitizen, "xpross an opinion that the law should be changed: lut he las ne right to change it. A clergyman would lave jnst as much right to change any on the combandments by learing out the word "Not," and hay the ammend commadment hefore his flock for their guidance, as a judge las to change the law ans he linds it haid down for him.

Some few vare ngi an orymization. formed for most almirable purposes. passed a rewolution robaking one of our julyes for oleying the laws of man rather than the laws of (ionl. 'fhis is a striking ithastration of the mistake to which I have been referring. These roul peelphe imagimed that the julge had the power to make a law differing from the laws of man, and eonfoming more to the laws of God as they interpretent them. So judge hals any such power: loe most apply the law as he finds it: and his omly romrse, if he dues not dexire to alminister the laws as they are, is to resign his olline.

Then comes the jury, a lunly of tweler men in the higher Courta, or of five men in the Division Courts. These are a part of the Court-as much a part of the Court as the jutgee It is the judtes's life work: but the jurors when they are sworn lave, for their temprary and immediate work, their part in administering the law, and guite as important a part as that of the judge hionself.

The jurors da not datermine the law-the judge is there for the purpose of telling them what the law is, and it is their duty to aceept the haw as hail down ly him. If the julge muke a mistake in the law. that mistake will be corrected liy a higher Con't if necessary. But with that the jurors have nothing to do; their duty is to take the law as the presiding julge lays it down, whether they think that law wise and just or not.

If they are not satisfiod with the law, they lasw Hhe right to rall nown their representative in Ottawa or in 'loronto in an embenvor to have the law ehangerl.
 may hese all the influence which a canarlian ritizen lase to have the law made to aceord with their riews of what it shond be. But they have no right in a trial to follow their own viows uon what the law is or onglit to be-ther minst acerpt the law as it is luth down for them ber the presinling judge.

1 am in the habit of saying tu jurors that of the two hifhost rights prasessed by a British smbjeet-the one, the right to arleet those whe will makre laws for him, and the other to assist in the alministution of the law-the hater is tu my mind the more important and the nore honomable. 'loo enfore the verdiat of the jury, every able-botied man in the romery was: if neressary, fre walled upon-nay the whole force, military and divil, of the [ominion of ('anada and of the British Empire itself may line impressed to make effective tha jucloment of the lwelse in the jury box.

The country las a right to expect that ewory juror shatl bring to his task the highest degree of intelligence of which har is eapable: that the juryman shall enst aside all feeliags of prejulice and sympathe: that he will with absolute homesty apply his mind to the questions to be determinerl, and that he will nllow wothing raee, religion, polities-to prevent his giving an honest verdict ancorting to the evidence. Is it is the duty of jurors to find the faets according to the evidener, they shonld earefilly observe crerything which is said by the witnesses and the manner in which it is salit, of ats to emable them to determine in their ow'n minds not only what le says, but also how far he is to be believed. It is the right and often the cluty of the jurge to express his own opinion as to the facts: bint it is not his opinion which is to govern. The remarks whieh he makes concerning the evidence. the views whiel lee expreses in resperet of the facts, are intented only to assist the jury in arriving at a correct conclusion. But his view of the farts is not that which is to presail: it is the jury who in the longr run most make up their own minda us to what the finets are: their view monst prevail.

Of course, so long as hmman nature is what it is, men may, and sometimes will, find it hard to rid themselses of fecling and passion and subuthy and prejudice; hat it is the duty of jurymen to do their wery best to clear their hearts and minds of all these, and lonestly to fiml the facts acooming to that part of the evidence which they believe.

No matter what care is exercised in the selection of jurors, it will ocensionally happen that some are not of suffieient strengtly of inind to perform satisfactorily what our law demands of them. And sometimer-it mast heremitterl—arrowfully adnitter-jurors do not aet under a full semse of their responsibility, and so there are miscarriages of justice. Nothing hman is perfeet, and the administration of justice does not elaim perfection-we an only do our best.

Many vears ago it was the luw that jurors who fonnd n vericiet not in arcorinnee with the evidenen might be themselves tried by another jury, or might be fiued or imprisoned by the trial judge. This practice lias long leen olsolete: and the juryman who now in violation of his oath degrades himself ly wing a rertidt differing from his honest belief, is free from any punishment except that inflieted upon lim hy his own eonscience and the ontempt of his fellow men. d juror who dishonestly gives a wrong verdict is as bat as a thief : he might just as well put his hand into the pocket of the man he wronss and steal his noney. I juror who dislionestly or weakly acquits one fairly proved guilty of a crime is as bad as an accessory-and worse.

Jurors do not, as they did uany "éentitites ago, determine aceordemg to knowledge which they themeelser may have of the fuetr. They are wo:n to find a
verdiet according to the evidenee, i.e., they are to find out what the facts of the case are from the evidence of witnesses.

Of course, then, the witnesses play a very important part in Court proceedings. Now, there are various things to in takeln into consideration in determining how far a witness is to the believed. The opportunity he has hand of olsenving what the facts are ; the acenraey of memory whereby he can call up to his mind what were the facts as he saw or heard tham; his honesty and his ability to "xpress in clear lamange what he menns-all these are matters for consideration on the part of the jury. And it is obvions that it wonld not do to allow a witness simply to get up and say what he has to say, and sit down, having told has story in his own way. If might forget impertant parts, he might load his story with irvelcuant detail, he might speak lowely where exactness was needent, he might express opinions when lue was callenl upm to state tacts, he might guess or imagine where he shonld know, ur say he knew where he only fancied, and state as facts what he had omly hearel; all these hamers and mow are ever present. It has been foumb by long experience that the bast method of letormining the reliahility of the witncsis and cliciting thut trith is cross-examination. (ros-examination does not meam. as so many, exen lawrers, seem to think, "examining erossly." Crossexamination is the art of searehing by questions into the mind, memory, capacity, and honesty of the winnes in order that the trial tribunal, jury or judge, may see, first, what the withos really meams, and, secomd, how far his testimony is to be relied upon.

1 know there hasw been many romplaints alont cros-examination: I know, tom, that the privileges of cros-examining comsel have sometimes been abnsed, as every other right may he abosed : but it seems quite eertain that the value of evidence given by a witness can. so far at the experience of mankind has yet gone, only be tested fully by cross-examination.

Of conrse, mistakce will happen. but in the rast majority of eases the evidence of the honest witness is not weakenel, but it is often strengthened by the most mimbe and rigorons (rose-examination. At the same time, in numberless cases, the dishonest or incompetent witness has been. by that means, shown to he dishonest or inempetent: and how value of his evidence destroyed. And it is to be remembered, tone. that witnestrs may he perfectly honest and yet may be mistaken. The gromats of their belief shonlin therefore be sifted with care. I rather think that the very persons who most complain about cross-examination wonld complain very much more if their connsel, in an action in whiel they were themselves concerned, were not permitted to cross-examine most rigilly the witnesses called against them. For an instance of it: value take the well-known ease of the charge made against Paruell ly the lamdon Times. It is common knowledge that that was swept away and destroyell by the eross-exanination, by Sir Charles linssell. of Pigntt. the forger. And crostecmamations equally effective and nseful, if not with sull startling results, are seen in our Courts almost daily.

It is not the person who is charged with crime who profits most by this praetiee; it is a matter of every day expurience that criminals bring forward friends to prove an alibi or to prove eiremmstances which would make it impossible that the prisoner enold ha., committed the offenee with which he is charged; in lundreds of cises, smeh exidence las been demolished by eareful cross-examination.

I told you in the beginning that I mu not here to jnstify nnything. If the people think that eross-examination should not be ollowed, they have lont to say so, to instrmet their representatives to pises the required legislation, and the Courts will not acmendiugly, the time ocriplied by thins will lie diminished by so per cent. or
more, and the work of the julges lightencil accordingly: But lw.fore the people come to such a conclusion, let each consider how he wonld like to have his case decided upon the statements of witnesses prrmps hostile to him fur some reason, these stutements not being sifted by crosseexanination.
'Tle question of uppeals comes up now and then for diselession; and it may not be ont of plaee if 1 say a worl or two in respect of I ppellale courts,

I sut first it in to be moticend that in a large perecontige of rases tried there is no appeal. From the oflicint report for 1 sus 1 take the following figntes: Of the

 By far the grenter mumber of these ableals were dizmisect. of all the rases in the
 and more than half dismised. From the (imot of Apleal only ! cases went to the
 to the Privy Commeil.

The theory of appeal is that the trial judyr may hase mate a mistake in the law or facts, or the jury may have male a mistake in the facts, or sone evidence has been since discovered which shond ehamer the result. or something of that kind. A very large part of the time and hame of a High (court jutge is ocenphed with considerations arising upon appenis, sombtimes sore's of books must bexamined, requiring mach time and thonght. If appeals conhl be abolishenl, all this would be saved; bat would people be alisfied with the opinion of one julere upon an important matter? And if there is to be an appeal, is there to be one and no more? All that I eannot diseuss: it is for the people themselves, through their reprecentiatives, to decide.

It is not always the rich man or the corporation which bonefits by an appal. The last ease 1 had at the bar for a Railwiy Company, the plantitf was consinted at the trial, and she needed to go to appeal in order to get her rightful damages. In the last case I had against a Railway Company, the plaintif sucocened at the trial, but an appellate court orilered a new trial. The seromd appellate comrt, the Priry Conneil, however, ordered the phantitt to be pail the vorulict which the jury lad given her. I remember a cose in which my client was sucyl for a large amount by an American firm; at the trial we suceeded: the Divisional (onert reversell the trial judge, and I went to the Comrt of Appeall; that (omrt was also against me, but I went to the Supreme Court, annl that Conrt reinstutel the vordict whicla I had got at the trial. IIad we stoppend at the two low r 'ourts of Appeal nuy elient wonld have had to pay a very large sum whieh he had no right to pay.

The whole question of appeals, ant the mmber of them to be allowed. is a most diffent one, and is not to be decided offlamil by anybody. The experience of other conntries may, perhms, not low whont alsantare to ns, In linghand they have a Divisional Court, a Court of Appeal. just as we have; and the Ilouse of Lords, as we hawe the Supreme Court at Otinwa. They Iave no Court beyond, as we have in the Priry Council; but not one-lalf of one per cent, of our eases go to the Prisy Conncil.

We have almosl exactly the sime practien too, as they have in Fingland-but it is impossible for me to pursue that matter in the time at my disposal, or to consider the relation of the legal profession to the administration of Jnstiee.

1 shall just say one word abont the lawyers. I have been actively engaged in the law for $2 \%$ vears, and during all that time I have never known or heard of any person so poor, that, having any fair semblance of a claim, he conld not lave his ease submitted to the Couts hy a lawer with all due skitl and vigor-in many instanees, tom. withont any real hope of rewarl. If anyone gete into tronble does he
not, as of course, go to a lawyer: dul dow her not give him his full confilenes? And in how few casw is that contidence betrayel:

At some other time and place 1 may have more to kay almat your fellow-Canadians of the haral profession; but for this time, I sannot pursur the suhjeet.

I have alrealy said that where the law is funuld to be imporfect, the Jegislature is called in to correct it. Now there are two theories of the powers of Legisiatures which have bisil adopted by the two braneles of the linglish-speaking peoples. One theory is that the people are not to be trustenl: and therefore their power shonlat be restrided. I written deenment is prepared as the constitution of the cometry: and the prople and their legislatures are forbidileu to go beyond the provisions of this written document. The effect is that what the one generation of men who have framel the eonstitution think right or experdient is to govern all future generations. no matter how effete the doctrines of the former generation may have breome by reason of the elangel comblition of the nation, or how positively harmful they mas have heen provel to be bey experience. That system las been arlopted by the ['nitenl states of Amerian. who in the constitntion of the Inited Stater and in that of the several States have beed axeedingly arafal to bay down prinepples and restrictions which are binding Hon the people and Lexistatures. Any ehange in the Constitution can be bronght ahout only with great difficulty. Legislation which is found to be oppoed to the Constitution is promptly declared invalid by the Courts there. A comparatively large part of the litigation in the United States is upon the eonstitutionality or otlierwise of provisions which the Legislatures have thonght it wise to make, from time to time.

The other theory is the theory of the Motherlanil and of Comada. There is no written Constitution (in this sense) for (Great Britain and Ireland. There is no binding declaration of prineiples such that Parliament cannot ammo. The Parliament of Great Britain ran legislate upon any subject. anl in any manner, without violating the Constitution, in the sense in which I bave beell nising the word-in other words the Comrts rannot deelare that legislation to be invalid. In Canala, the legislative power is divided between the Dominion and the several Provinces, each of which his its own elass of subjects upon which to legislate. The Dominion eanot legislate upon that eliss of subjects allotterl to the Proviness nor ran the Provinces lurislate on that elass of subjects alhoted to the Dominion. But within that elass of subjects allotted to the Provinces the legrishature may legistater as fully and as etfectively as the larliament of Great Britain and lreland could to. The ${ }^{T}$ rusislature of thi" Provinces has, amongst other things, the power to avoil or validate (1, traets made hy the Provinee or by any individual: has the power to avoid lemislation or ly-haw of inferior bodies, such as County Conneils, Township Conneils, ete.: of declaring to he valid what would, otherwise. hase been invalid by-laws: of deelaring property which wonld otherwise have belonged to $A$, to be the property of B. The Legislative Assembly has power generally to legislate effectively within the whole region of property and eivil rights.

I am not eoncerned to argue whether it would not lave been better had our Province started off with a written constitntion sueh is that of the United Slates, whereby its Legislature wonld not have the power of taking away any man's property or of interfering with the validity of contracts-I have said more than onee that I am not an apologist for anything. I am only stating facts.

These powers like the rest of its powers, we and yon eannot take away from the Tegislature : the Tegislatnre camot take them away from itself. If the Tegislature were to pass a law that no man* property should be taken away from him, the same Legislature could repeal suln a law the next day; and if the same Legislature let the law stand, its surcessor monlal repeal it. The only way in which any power
which the Lexiskature has can hereflectively taken away, is by the Act of the Parliament of Great Britain and Irelamel. It may be-I axpres hat opinion-liat if the people of Ontario or the Lexislature of the l'rovince were to petition for such a dimination of their powert, the petition wonld be granterl.
lant it wonld $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}$ well for all parties to consider what this means. From the

 have less power over our own affairs than not only our bretheen in the old land but also our brethern in . Mastrulia, New \%ouland and sonth . Nrical : rights that we have had for over 100 years womld be loat to us. Let it not he forgoten that no Gorernment has such powers; it is the Lapislatare elected by yourselves. If you are not satisfiet with any legislation, all you have to do is to elect representatives who will repeal it. The Government emm stand only if it is backed ly a sublient mumber of representatives: and a majorily of representatives can pass or repeal any legislation they plense.

Now, it would be grossy improper for me to express any opiniem as to the propriety ol any legislation. I may, however, mention some which has caused discussion.

In some instances propurty has been left for the benefit of persons named : by change of ciremstances, it has berome immoxible to carry ont the with, mad those who were elearly intendet to be lenefited can reeceve no benefit: the Jexislature is asked to direet such a change as will carry ont what the testator wonld probahly have done, had he beell able to forsee what wonld happen. The Congress of the United States could not do that, nor the ierishature of nuy Silate. Oar Legislature can.

A townalap passes a by-haw for some publie purpose. There has lwen some techneal error so that the by-liaw is, in law. invalit. Perhaps money has been expentled on the strengtl/ of it: and the by-law is attacked. The Lexgislature may step in and validate the ly-hw: and no one is injured, or only someone who devires to ambarrass the townilips.

A much needed railway is to be built. It has been granted a bonus hy the munieipalities tbrongh which it passes. The financiers will not luy the bonds, as there is flonbt as to the pow res of the muncipalities, and the railw:y company eannot get money for its mulertaking - the legislature may make the lonts alsolutely valid.

A number of perons eombine together to monoprelize some line of business to the disalvantage of the public: the contracts mate lectween these persons are perfectly valisl in law at the time they are made, but the result is considered harmful to the people at large. In the linited states the peonle would be helpless. A month or so ago 1 was told by un cx-Chief Justice of a very important State that the great problem in the Cnited States was to prevent the aecumalation of vast wealth and power in one hand or a few hands: ant he said the difficulty in the way of preventing what he thonght whes a public calamity was the Constitution. When I told him we had no snch constitntional limitations: in Canalia. he eonld scarcely believe it. I pointed out to him that we lat the sume constitution ns England, and had no such diffieulties. We could legislate away anything of the kind and set aside any combine.

The people, througb their representatives, have decided upon a certain polieythis necessitates dealing with property of many indiviluals--some of these may be unreasonable or some may be adverse to the policy delermined upon-litigation mary be threatened which will tie up the scheme for nonthe or years. The Legislature makes up its mind as to the proper course and may refuse to allow the litiga-
tion to promed. What the lagishature suys, the Court minst obey. If as a Judge, have no right to express any opinion on any such legislation or mpon any legishation at all. What 1 huse to do, is to ulminister the luw as it is made for me. If I to not like any lagisation I chanot do anything-I have not even got a vote. If you do not like the legishation, yon emn, yon have a remedy; a julge lans none.

What I have saill may perhap heli, to rlear incertain matters which, I huve been informed, baw been trombling some of you.

For exmple, a well-known ense: One of the dulges was chargel with ignor-
 lowed the law laid down for lim liy the highest Conrt in the Empire, but he whs said to be violating Magm ('lurta, ind I do not know what all. What he did was simply to obey the law ; had he done oflerwise, he wond have been recreant to his duty.

The other day a julge refusel to go on with a ease heeanse the Legislature said he shonld not: he combld dnothing else-lne was bond to olrey the law.

In another case, a very able julige refiseed to nerept a versict wieln a jury bronght in, as it was uot in the correct form ; the law salys that he mast so aet. But many an indignant letter appeared in the public press eondemning th: jaige for what he conld not leelp doing if he dis his duty.

In another ense. a judge elargen the jury in surlis way, as to the evidenee of aceomplices, that they found a verdict of " not guilty." IIe laid down the haw aeenrately, and had he done otherwise, he wonll huve violated his duty. A miscarriage
 of the state of the law.

There luse been verdicts, too, which shock the commmity. In some of these easer, the jury were not to blance. The evidence wis fomme not to bear ont the charge; and althongh there could be no real donbt of the gnilt of the aecosed, the jury conld not honestly say that there wha no reasomable doubt upon the evidence. It is not to be forgoten that an aceused is not punished simply beenise, and when, he has committeed an offence. We must first of all conviet, and the law says he nast be proved to have committed the offence. by evidence which sitisfies the jury beyond reasonable donbt. The Parliament of Canada have said that he must be convicted first. If you wint a man punished beeause people, newspaper men, or athers, think or say lie has committell a crime, get the law clanged. If you do net like the law, get it ehanged: lut unless and until it is changed, the jury are bound by it and must if they are honest give effeet t. it. Jlany and many a time J have sien a person acensed of crime which no one dow.,ted he had committed, and ret beeanse there was a failure of evidence he eseaped muwhipped of justice.

And it is not safe to rely upon newspaper accounts of a trial. I do not mean that the reporters wilfully nisrepresent what takes place: lnt sometimes they fail to understand. For example. one of the 'Toronto papers the other day represented me as shaking hames with a convicted prisoner, when the fact was that he came up in front of my alesk to give bail. Sometimes, and most frequently, the reporters take note only of the most striking features of a trial-" the ordinary and common does not furnish good copy"-and in any case it is impossille for any reporter to report the manner and tone of the witnesses, or to do more than give an outline of what they say. Juries are sometimes wrongly condemned by those who wonld have done the same thing as they had they been in their place.

Unfortimntely there are eases in which jurors allow s.rmpathy-partieularly if the neensed is : woman or a "gooci fellow"-to sway them in their verdict. They take refuge in the well-known "benefit of the doult." I am in the halit of telling
 an honest man who has honesty applial his mime to the evinemer not uloutht




 and our main comsulation is that sucb is the rexerption. 'I'lu sabre thing is nuterd
 helped? Onty lye every man who is ralled as 1 jurn' dotirmining to do his duty as at man moll atizab-and doing it.

In before we thank of any other method of trial, let us enrefally consinler if




 against monicipalities fur lamiars, sibil that juries stall not, hot a juige shall, try

 cipalites think that jurors are procimicend mainst them, amb it may be that thero is mach troth in that smposition. 'Tluere at other cosis involving law or of a commerial or compliontel charactur which ir is not well to tronble juribs with; bit taking the ordinary case of a ronflect of tact loct ween man and man 1 wonld rety upon a jury arriving at us sommin and lonsion as any hemell of judges or any other conceivable tribunal.

Criminal casea are peculiarly for the ronsingeration of a jury umber the direetion of the judge mpon the law-the farts aly gomarally simple and ensily umberstood, imal in most cases jurica can be relial upon to da' thedr ilaty.

Your l'resident has asked mu to speak to yrin partinutarly is to éertain eases


 reason, [ think, for munasinersa,

In one case al bun wis charged with murikering bis wife ly heating her to
 shelo intoxication as wrald makr any difference, and he wat convicted. I new trial was orderenl: and the iden serme to ham got abroill that the haw was laind down that dronkennes is an excase for crime. If that were so, it wonld indend be canse for aharm, for then all a man would have to do when le wated to murder wonld le to tet lrunk. But nothing can he fartirer from the fact, This is what the Court snid: Where one man kills anotler, it is moriler if loe intended to kill. Ind a man is held to have intended the natural eonsumumers of his ants, so that if he, without an actual intent to kill, does sonnething whirlo lue onght to have known to be likely to tibly, it is puite the salme as if he artmally intanded to kill. If a man, drumk or soher, intends to kill and dous kill, he is guilty of muriler: lunt althongh he kifl, if he did not intenl, actually intend, to kill. but did something which he did not intend to cause deatl, it may be ilifferent. If he was in the possession of his fatulties, he nust be liehd to intend the natural result of what be did: but if he was so drunk that lif did not know that the natural effect of what he did womld be to camee death, the ease is d'fferent. Then he is guilty of manslanghter only. The judge who
 the haw ; unt it wis: thomght right to have a mew trial. 'The' haw wits filly cxplained to a Necond jury, and they thonght the enlprit should he fomed guiliy of manmanghter only. Some juries might have thought otherwise, sit might mine juliges :






 for some day-lont the law ramot change the verclict, mol the law is not changed.

A mun was charyerl with murler, the unly evidebes nganet him leing that of an aceomplice-the haw i- that it is the duty of the trint juline to mhise of jury that it is not ingereral safe to convint mpen the evidence of an mexomplion mily, lat that

 jury emmot be blamed.
 then to rertain mining lands-ith Minitare of the (rown what daty it whs to
 for at large sum to nother comping. This rompung complainol that the former compary was chaming what the complaining company bud boustit from the Proviner and paid for. 'Ihe Legislature decided that the tithe of the purethang

 represented that the judge combemnel the legislation: that is untru' (lu' would lame been going beyond his duties had he domesol-all he diel wis to hold that the legislation wis valid. If yom do not like the legislation, have it changed.

A few remark in conclasion: I feed that I shall have putively failed in the objects I hall in view, if I have not made clear that we all, you and l, are responsible for the law as it stands. 'I'lue other duy there was a very mble and interesting artiele in "Toronto paluris. The theme was that the people of Canada hand hanged

 donian's death-not guite in the way which may sugest itself to most-lint is, in that 1 pronomesel the eentene of death. For that, I diselaim responsibilit: : I but carried out the explicit direction of the law. But 1 anm one of the eitizet.s of Comada-if I was not sitisfied that murderers should be put to death, it was my
 it. This I did mot do, and it in, therefore, partly due to me that hanging still takes place. So, tor, uf youl. 1 anm now an officer of the law and 1 shonld not take part in cha.ging the law: lout if you think that the red slayer is not to die ly the hangmanis lumd, it is onm to you to say so. lf yon can persmade a majority of yomr fellow-(ammlian voters that this practice is wrong, it will he changed; if. however, you think that the itwissin sloukd be the first to stop killing, and that the lust way tr prevent murders is to continue the death penalty, you will do what yom can to ho e the law remain as it $i \alpha$.

Again, the Comrts are soldy mermpind with the haw as it is, not as it shonh be, or might be. Xo judige is above eriticism-le is a publie servant and his office exists for the public gond-if he is ignorant or negligent or procristinating, this

 and athte what is complained of, Dlewn all thinge, belore complainimg, be sery
 been obliged to der lare and that the "pporent prowernatimation is mot dae tw the dithi-










 Goll, there never wifl lw.



 in our alminiotration of justien, wetting away frum traditional fingtioh muthenla:


 It may be full time for the pmblice at large to cransider whether those what inty it
 effurts they receive the lacking of publir opinion as they shonla. lír are all per-
 and his prosecntion as luing in the mature of a sport, and ter consider that it is no great mattur to anyone lint the acelaial of what he is convicted or whetleer he is convicted at all: instrad fid looking at it trial as a stern ant carefal inquiry hy the preople into an offence against themselses in which it would be as great a failure of justice umb as harmful to the people thent a guity man shonld cecape na that an imorent man shonld lae eonsidets. . Ind the fature of this homs, so far as the security of life and limb and property is enocerned, lies not in the hands of the police alone but largely in the hands of jurors. If jurors the their duty we are secure: if not, we retrograde inte the ranks of min inferior pupie.

1 hase spoken longur than I had intrmict, but the whole sulbject is sery near to my heart. I want Canatimus to get rid of the itlea that the courte wre some mysterions entities existing remotr ant separat. From them, and making emicts based upon some absuril and peenliar iloctrins or upon the whim and caprice of the judge. I want you to fect that the ('ourts are yomrs, admini-tering your law, law which you make, or nt lewis approwe, and doing all possible for you, hasing no interests or desires apart from or antagonistic to yours. Wie are lione of your bone and flesh of your flesh-lorother C'anarlinas and prond of it. So long nt you and we understand each other, we shall work in harmony: aml so long as we are in harmony nald do our honest best, so long, with all the defects which we hase in common. and with perhaps a thonsuml stmmbles and falls, the administration of justiee in Canada will le held in respect, and the aspiration of the patriot in all ages--"Justice accorling to Law"-will contimue to be realized.


