

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured covers/<br>Couverture de couleur   | <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured pages/<br>Pages de couleur   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Covers damaged/<br>Couverture endommagée  | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages damaged/<br>Pages endommagées   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Covers restored and/or laminated/<br>Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée  | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages restored and/or laminated/<br>Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cover title missing/<br>Le titre de couverture manque   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/<br>Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured maps/<br>Cartes géographiques en couleur   | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages detached/<br>Pages détachées  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/<br>Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Showthrough/<br>Transparence   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured plates and/or illustrations/<br>Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur  | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality of print varies/<br>Qualité inégale de l'impression   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bound with other material/<br>Relié avec d'autres documents  | <input type="checkbox"/> Includes supplementary material/<br>Comprend du matériel supplémentaire   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion<br>along interior margin/<br>La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la<br>distorsion le long de la marge intérieure  | <input type="checkbox"/> Only edition available/<br>Seule édition disponible   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Blank leaves added during restoration may<br>appear within the text. Whenever possible, these<br>have been omitted from filming/<br>Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées<br>lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,<br>mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont<br>pas été filmées. | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata<br>slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to<br>ensure the best possible image/<br>Les pages totalement ou partiellement<br>obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure,<br>etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à<br>obtenir la meilleure image possible. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional comments: /<br>Commentaires supplémentaires:  | Continuous pagination.   |

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/  
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
						✓					

THE  
Canadian Agriculturist,

OR

JOURNAL AND TRANSACTIONS OF THE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE  
OF UPPER CANADA.

OL. XIII.

TORONTO, OCTOBER 1, 1861.

No. 19.

**Sixteenth Annual Exhibition of the  
Provincial Association of Upper Canada.**

It is not our intention to write a report of the present exhibition, which will receive proper attention, in due course, in that portion of our journal devoted to the Transactions of the Board of Agriculture, with the official list of premiums,

In the meanwhile we have much pleasure in recording the universally admitted fact that the Exhibition, either as a whole, or in any of its departments, was a complete success. The weather, fortunately, proved fine till the close of the show, when it commenced raining, and the number of entries and visitors was little, if any, short of the last memorable meetings of the Association in Toronto and Hamilton. As to the greatest part of the animals and masses of the exhibition is made up from the objects surrounding it, the late show affords conclusive and pleasing evidence of the progress which the western counties have in a few years made, both in agriculture and the fine arts.

The Exhibition Building is altogether different in style and appearance from any of its predecessors, and is a convenient and substantial one. The following description will be interesting to such of our readers as were unfortunately not to be present at the Exhibi-

The ground plan of the building is a regular octagon, its dimensions, from opposite angles,

being 186 feet. The space afforded by the ground area is upward of 24,000 feet, while the galleries give an additional space of 4,000 feet more. The external wall is built of white brick, on a foundation of rubble masonry and concrete, and is 21 feet in height. The entrance to the building is through eight doorways, one at each angle, each eight feet wide and fourteen feet high. In the brick wall on each side of the octagons, and between doorways, are five spacious windows, making on the ground floor forty windows. The roof of this portion of the structure is covered with felting, gravel, &c. The arrangement of the doors afford ready ingress and egress to the building, besides securing a thorough draft for the purposes of ventilation. The second tier of the building, containing the gallery, rises to the height of thirty-two feet above the ground-line, and 114 feet in diameter from opposite angles, giving a wall accommodation of more than 300 lineal feet, lighted with 48 windows, every alternate one being hung on a pivot to admit of ventilation. The ascent and descent to the upper portion of the building are provided for by two stairways, one being intended for the entrance and the other for the exit of the public, and leading in opposite directions, so as to divide the ground. The third tier of the building is a continuation of the inside gallery wall, and runs to the height of forty feet above the ground line. This tier supports the cupola, and is covered with a shingle roof. The interior view is clear, and is not intercepted by any timbers to the height of eighty-seven feet. The full height of the building to the top of the flag-staff is 114 feet; the dimensions of the cupola, twenty feet diameter by thirty-one in height; the area of the ground floor and gallery, 28,000 feet, being about the same area as the Hamilton Exhibition Building, and 4,000 feet less than the Toronto Building. The sheeting of the roof is painted a blue color, the timbers

a drab. Provision is made for a band of music in a suitable situation. The building is designed and constructed with a view to the purposes for which it is erected, and also with a due regard to economy. The building is of the most permanent character, the best stone, brick, and lumber obtainable being used in its construction, while the entire workmanship is of a superior order. On the whole, it may be said that the London Exhibition Building is alike creditable to the Association and the Province."

The ground on which this fine building is erected is situated most conveniently within the city limits, and consists of about thirty-six acres, including a pretty sheet of water on the northern and lower side. The subsoil being sand, and the surface gently sloping, the surface is comparatively dry, even in showery weather, and, taken altogether, with the roomy and substantial erections for stock of all descriptions, the London show-grounds and buildings are not surpassed by any of the other three places that have permanent erections; and they are certainly highly creditable to the citizens of the "Forest City," who met by far the greatest portion of the expense.

Several Americans of distinction were present; among them the Hon. Mr. Geddes, President of the New York State Agricultural Society; Mr. Harris, of the *Genesee Farmer*; Mr. Moore, of the *Rural New Yorker*, from which we copy the following extracts from an editorial in the last number:—

"The Annual Show of the Provincial Agricultural Association of Canada West, at London last week, was a grand affair—a great exhibition, large attendance, and altogether most creditable and successful. Though present only one day—the 24th—we had the good fortune to see, and were enabled to take brief notes of, the display in most departments, and will endeavor to give a summary of our observations. As time was limited and notes taken while standing, our report is necessarily rough and imperfect—yet, though far from doing justice to the Exhibition, we trust it will convey some idea of the progress of the Farmers, Horticulturists, and Artisans of the Province, and the credit to which they are entitled for such well-directed and successful efforts to advance Improvement as were manifest on the occasion.

The show of stock is always the leading feature at the Provincial Fairs, but was this year much larger than usual, in most departments. Indeed, the display so far exceeded expectation, that many stalls and pens were obliged to be

hastily prepared, in addition to the large number completed before the opening of the Exhibition.

**SHEEP.**—As usual at Provincial Fairs, the show of sheep, especially of Long and Middle Wool or Mutton breeds, was large and magnificent. There were over 750 entries. The Leicesters, Cotswolds, Cheviots, and other breeds and crosses, made a fine display.

**SWINE.**—The most popular breeds of the genus *Sus* were represented by excellent specimens the show being the largest, and of the best average quality, we have seen for years, if ever. The entries in this class (about 250) indicated a considerable competition, and the hundreds of sleek and handsome big and little pigs received a fair share of attention from spectators. Both large and small breeds were shown in considerable numbers—the Yorkshires, Suffolks, Essex Berkshires, &c., &c., and their crosses, be numerous and noteworthy.

**POULTRY.**—The display of Poultry was largest and one of the finest we have seen elsewhere for years—since the height of the "chick fever." The Show embraced the usual variety of fowls, Turkeys, Geese, Ducks, Pigeons, and was worthy of a more extended examination and notice than we are able to bestow.

#### GRAIN, ROOTS, AND VEGETABLES.

*Grain and Seeds* were exhibited on a large scale, compared with our New York display, though Canadians assured us the show was equal to that of last year in either quantity or quality. Wheat (both spring and winter) barley, oats, peas, rye, and Indian corn, were shown in abundance, and there were many samples of superior quality. We had only time, however, to give a glance at the fine display, and hence not particularize. Of the smaller seeds—such as timothy, clover, millet, Hungarian grass, &c., there was an excellent variety much larger and better than we ever saw at any of our Fairs. Would that our New York farmers emulate their Canada brethren in this department, and for once show what they can do.

*Roots and Vegetables* were shown extensively and we thought of a quality highly creditable, though this is a branch in which our trans-Atlantic friends are wont to excel. Potatoes, turnbeets, parsnips, and carrots, were all displayed in profusion—while the show of cabbages, squashes, tomatoes, and garden vegetables generally, was large and fine.

#### DAIRY PRODUCTS, HONEY, &c.

Dairy products were shown in considerable quantity, and apparently of good quality. The display of both butter and cheese far exceeded our anticipations, in all respects. Two tubs weighing about 1,200 lbs. each, made and exhibited by Hiram Ranney and Jas. Harris, of New York, attracted marked attention and a

The manufacturers propose to send the larger one (which is 4 feet 5 inches in diameter, and 19 inches thick,) to the World's Fair, and may send both. They are worthy of the honor. Mr. Ranney also exhibited fine samples of Pine Apple and Stilton cheese.

Various other departments of the Exhibition were noted, but space forbids our giving particulars. The display of Domestic Manufactures, Fine Arts, Fancy Work, &c., far exceeded our expectations, not only in extent but quality, and was said to be an improvement upon former exhibitions. The "Palace" was full of useful and curious products and articles, and alone comprised a splendid display, aside from the grand show on the outside—an exhibition decidedly commendable, and most creditable to the industry, skill, enterprise, and artistic taste of the People of the Province.

—Though pleased with the Exhibition, we are constrained to add that greater pleasure was expected in meeting the farmers, horticulturists, and other producers in attendance. To many exhibitors and officers—and especially Messrs. Denison and Thomson of Toronto, and D. W. Freeman, Esq., of Simcoe—we are indebted for courtesies and attentions which will be long remembered. Indeed, our brief view of the Provincial Fair of 1861 was most pleasant, gratifying, and instructive.

### The Great Exhibition at London, England, 1862.

The subjoined memorial was recently addressed to the Executive Government, by the Boards of Agriculture and Boards of Arts and Manufactures of Upper and Lower Canada, jointly:

*His Excellency the Right Honourable Sir Edmund Walker Head, Baronet, K.C.B., Governor General of British North America, &c., &c., &c*

The petition of the Board of Agriculture for Upper Canada, the Board of Arts and Manufactures for Upper Canada, the Board of Agriculture for Lower Canada, and the Board of Arts and Manufactures for Lower Canada.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,—

That during the last session of the Provincial Parliament, your petitioners severally addressed your Excellency and the other Branches of Parliament, praying that commissioners might be appointed, with the necessary powers to secure proper representation of the industrial resources of the Provinces in the Great Exhibition to be held in London under the authority of a Royal Commission, in the year 1862, and the necessary funds should be placed at

the disposal of such Commissioners for that purpose.

That this prayer of your petitioners was not then granted. Yet so heartily convinced are your petitioners both of the desirability of securing such a representation of Canada on that occasion, and of the almost unanimous desire of the people of this Province that the necessary steps should be taken to that end, that they venture again humbly to approach your Excellency and solicit Executive action to that behalf.

That as the result of the position taken by Canada in the Great Exhibition held in London in 1851, and in Paris in 1855, a knowledge of the vastness of the resources of the country has been spread throughout Europe, and large investments of capital have been made here tending to the rapid development of those resources. That this is evidenced alike by the high credit which the Provincial securities have always since enjoyed, by the vast sums embarked in our railways, and by the multiplication of agencies for the loaning to Canadians of transatlantic capital for the improvement of real estate. It has also been evidenced by the establishment in this country of foreign consulates, and the development of its foreign trade as well as by the efforts made (in France more especially) through those consulates, further to develop and extend our commercial relations with other countries. It is also evidenced by the success of recent Postal conventions with foreign Governments, which previously to 1851, would not have conceived of Canada as a country with sufficient resources to establish and maintain separate transatlantic postal communications.

That since the year 1855 new and important discoveries of mineral wealth have been made—the rich copper ores of Lower Canada and the mineral oils of Upper Canada being especially noteworthy—new and important branches of industry have arisen; and it is fitting that these should be brought under the attention of European capitalists and men of enterprise with due prominence.

That a new census of the Province has just been taken, and some of its most prominent results ought to be compiled and laid before the European public; and this can in no way be so effectively done as through the agency of a Commissioner, appointed to represent Canada in this the third Great International Industrial Congress.

That all important foreign countries, even the United States, (not adequately represented on previous occasions, and now suffering the ordeal of civil war) have announced their intention of competing on this occasion, and have appointed Commissioners. All other British Colonies (including the Acadian Provinces, before unrepresented) almost without exception have done likewise; and therefore for Canada to absent herself were to make a confession that she has, as compared with her sister colonies, retrograded, or

remained stationary, which must prove prejudicial to her interests. Intending emigrants cannot fail to be influenced in a greater or less degree by the position which the several colonies take in these Exhibitions, though not possibly to the extent originally anticipated, and nothing can be of greater importance to Canada than a healthy immigration to her untilled fields and her unworked mines.

That by the 6th rule or order of the Royal Commission, no party desirous to send articles to the Exhibition can do so, or communicate with that Commission, except through Commissioners appointed as the organ of communication by the Government of his own country, and a barrier is thus placed in the way of individual efforts to exhibit Canadian products. Besides, such individual efforts must fail to produce the desired effect which a more complete and united representation of the country's resources would do.

That it is necessary that steps should be immediately taken to secure space in the Exhibition building, since the Royal Commissioners have advertised that the allotment will be made a few weeks hence.

That if a Committee were forthwith appointed and space secured, it might through the instrumentality of the Geological Survey and the Agricultural Societies, and by an appeal to individuals to exert themselves in this behalf, secure a large representation of the products of the soil and mines, almost for the mere cost of transport.

That if your Excellency were advised to lay before Parliament, should it be called together before or in the month of February next, a moderate estimate for the expenses of the commission, preparations could be forthwith made by individual exhibitors, and articles made for submission for selection to the Commissioners in March, to be shipped during that month to Britain.

That the cost to the Province of its part in the Paris Exhibition of 1855 was, as your petitioners are informed, altogether \$60,000.

That many expenses were then incurred which might now be unnecessary, the voyage being shorter, and one transshipment being avoided. Your petitioners are convinced that out of a similar, or perhaps less grant, the half might be returned to the Provincial Treasury on this occasion, if due economy were exercised in its arrangement.

Wherefore your petitioners humbly pray that your Excellency will be pleased to appoint a commission invested with the necessary powers, to secure the representation of the industrial products and resources of the Province in the Exhibition to be held in London during next year, and with such assurances with respect to the grant to be recommended to Parliament as your Excellency may be advised it is possible to make.

And your petitioners, as in duty bound will ever pray.

In reference to which the following notice has appeared in the *Canada Gazette* :

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

Quebec, 28th September, 1861.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR GENERAL has been pleased to appoint :—

Sir WILLIAM LOGAN, Provincial Geologist, Hon. LOUIS VICTOR SILOTTE, President of the Board of Agriculture, L. C., EDWARD WILLIAM THOMSON, Esquire, President of the Board of Agriculture, L. C., JOHN BEATTY, Junior, Esq., M. D., President of the Board of Arts and Manufactures, U. C., JEAN CHARLES TACHE, Esq., M. D., and BROWN CHAMBERLIN, Esq., Secretary of the Board of Arts and Manufactures, L. C., to be Commissioners through whom Canadians can obtain exhibition of such articles as they desire to transmit to the International Exhibition of 1862 to be held in London.

We are informed that the Commissioners have already met in Montreal, and have taken preliminary steps towards securing the proper representation of Canada at the great Exhibition. Government has not yet however granted any pecuniary aid to the object.

### Importation of Stock.

[We have sincere pleasure in transferring the following letter of a correspondent of the *Globe* to our columns, heartily endorsing the sentiment which it contains. It is a pleasing and encouraging thought that men like Mr. Miller benefit both themselves and the country in which they live.—ED.]

SIR,—The *Agriculturist*, of the 16th ult. publishes extracts of letters from F. W. Stone, Esq., Moreton Lodge, Guelph, showing that he had been making fresh additions to his already large and valuable farm stock. It must gratify to the ambitious men of the West to find that they have resident among them a gentleman of such enterprise. Long may he live and thrive in the good work he is engaged in. So much as Mr. Stone deserves credit for his enterprise, I desire to bring under the notice of the public a person no less deserving of credit for his enterprise—George Miller, of Riggfoot Markham, long and favourably known as the oldest importer of thorough bred animals, and one of the most successful breeders in the Province. Mr. Miller was absent last winter some 4 months on a visit to Great Britain. In the course of his tour he inspected the stocks of the most celebrated breeders in England and Scotland, and on his return brought out 49 sheep, Galloway heifers, 1 Ayrshire cow and calf, &c.

and five pigs. The sheep comprise Leicester, Cotswold, Shropshire Downs and Cheviots. In Leicesters and Cotswolds Mr. Miller stands unrivalled. He has, I believe, the largest flock, comprising the largest carcass, and longest woolled sheep, of any breeder in Canada, and I suppose I am safe in saying, has carried off the most prizes of any exhibitor at our local and Provincial Fairs. At Hamilton, last year, he carried off the Gold Medal for the best bull shown. I had lately the pleasure of going over the farm and was shown the new breed of sheep—Shropshire Downs—lately introduced by Mr. Miller. They are pure bred, and to the uninitiated appear to be every thing desired in size, shape, and wool, and in Mr. Miller's opinion a decided improvement on South Downs, as being larger in the body, longer in the wool, and in every way better adapted to the country. Of Cheviots, Mr. Miller has also introduced a sample. For some localities these may be better adapted. Time, however, will test this. The six Gallo-way heifers, pure bred and of fine appearance, make quite an addition to his stock. The Ayrshire cow and calf are also pure bred, and considered by many to be a decided advantage to the dairyman. The sow and pigs are of the Yorkshire and Cumberland improved breed, and are really fine animals. Mr. Miller has, I think, the best herd of Short Horns in the County of York, and when I say that I may as well stump the Province. His bull, Prince of Wales, is a noble animal, and although only three years old, has taken eleven prizes—three at New York State Fair, two Provincial, and six local—And no less than four medals. I do not think the same can be said of any other animal in Canada.

It is worth a day's ride to visit the Laird of Riggfoot. You will find a large farm, well and profitably managed, with by far the largest flock of sheep from imported stock in the Province, and his stock of cattle surpasses anything of the kind in the country. It would well repay you, Mr. Editor, to pay Mr. Miller a visit, and as a friend of the Laird of Riggfoot I extend to you a cordial invitation, and will ensure you a hospitable treatment and a friendly welcome.

Yours truly,

JAMES.

Toronto, Sept. 13, 1861.

### Flax Culture in Canada.

[The importance of this question is so great that we insert below two letters published in the *Leader*, from gentlemen professing ample opportunities of forming a sound judgment upon it. Ed.]

WESTON, Sept. 19, 1861.

To the Editor of the *Leader*.

DEAR SIR,—I have just returned from Europe,

where I have been on a mission of some months, principally in the northern counties of Ireland and in Scotland with a view of promoting emigration to Canada and it may be interesting to some of your readers to know that from nine to ten thousand emigrants have reached our shores this season in excess of the numbers up to this period last year. This, I can safely say, is mainly owing to the active measures taken by the Canadian Government, in circulating, through the hands of agents, a large amount of valuable printed information in pamphlet form, with maps of both Provinces. I also feel quite warranted in stating that the foundation is laid for a large increase next and following years. My attention has been particularly directed to small farmers of Ulster and other places with more or less means. Many have expressed their desire at once to leave for Canada, but have frankly acknowledged their inability to do so on a few months notice, and numbers have their minds made up to be prepared next spring. Although the population of Ireland has materially decreased during the last few years, yet the large landowners are most anxious to have their farms enlarged and in fewer hands. The tenants, too, are most anxious to better their position, and to be relieved of the heavy rents and still heavier taxes; and of necessity they are driven to seek a home in Canada, or some other country, for themselves and families.

The present disruption in the United States will also serve to swell the tide of emigration to Canada for many years to come, as at present every vessel leaving New York, Philadelphia and other seaports is taking large numbers back to Europe, and many are coming direct to this country.

On my arrival in Belfast, I found a strong desire on the part of the linen manufacturers and spinners to encourage largely the growth of Flax. The present supply not being anything like equal to the demand, agents were being sent to India and other places, at great expense to give instructions in the cultivation of the plant. The question at once presented itself to my mind why could it not be grown in Canada. I feel confident that it could be done to great advantage. If the farmers would only give it a fair trial, they would be convinced that it is a more profitable crop than wheat, especially in many of the front townships, where the average is not more than 16 to 18 bushels to the acre. Many farmers in the North of Ireland sold their flax on foot this season for £20 sterling per acre, and prices vary from £50 to £100 per ton; and for fair qualities often £150 a ton is obtained. The want of machinery for dressing it I am aware, has been a drawback up to the present time, as Scutching Mills have been expensive and require skilled labour at high rates to enable the farmer to get it prepared for market. But a Flax Scutching machine is now manufactured by Messrs. Rouse & Co., Belfast,

at the low rate of £24 sterling, which is capable of doing an amount of work equal to any other machine, and can be attended by a boy or any labourer with a few hours instruction. It was exhibited at the late Agricultural Show at Belfast, and highly approved of. I have in my possession a number of statistics and works on the cultivation of flax, all of which I will be happy to furnish to the Board of Agriculture. I also place in your hands for publication, a letter from Robert McCrea, Esq., Grange House, near Strabane, a gentleman who farms largely and grows large quantities of flax, and from whom I received my information, in his house. He had on his farm this year the growth of two barrels of seed, sent him by his brother in Guelph, which I believe was obtained from a Mr. Perine, in the County of Waterloo, and which looked as well as any I saw in the country. I am told there are several thousand acres under cultivation in that district this year, and if this crop is profitable in Waterloo I see no reason why it should not be profitable in other parts of the Province.

Your obedient servant,

J. A. DONALDSON.

To John A. Donaldson, Esq., Canadian Emigration Agent, Londonderry.

DEAR SIR,—The interest you have taken in my attempts to bring the capability of Canada as a flax producing country before the public, leads me to think that a few hints on the preparation of the soil, steeping and after management of the crop, might be useful on your return to that country.

My trip in 1859 gave me ample opportunities of judging, and I have no doubt there is much land in Canada West capable of growing good flax, as I saw in company with my brother, several fields grown by Messrs. Perine, of Waterloo County, and it only remains to be seen whether the climate and water are suitable for its preparation for the linen manufacturers of Belfast and Lurgan, &c.

The land best suited for the growth of Flax has a clay subsoil, and should have a portion of it mixed with the active soil by deep plowing, or trenching; at the same time care should be taken that too much of it is not brought up in one season, as it would override, or neutralize the action.

But whilst a clay soil produces both the heaviest crop, and the finest quality, many other descriptions of soil give good crops, under proper treatment.

In Canada there is a long period of fine weather after harvest which will afford an opportunity of cleaning the land intended for Flax, of root-weeds; this is best done by scarifying, harrowing, rolling and raking just deep enough to bring the root-weeds to the surface, when they should be removed, or burnt, and the ashes spread. If this be done early an interval of a few weeks

may be allowed to elapse before plowing, to let the small seeds vegetate. The land should then be plowed as deep as possible, (if stiff and difficult, with three or four horses, or oxen, and allowed to remain open and exposed to the action of the frost during the winter, which greatly facilitates the working of it in spring.

As soon as the frost and snow are gone, and the ground partially dry, or sufficiently so to admit of harrowing, and rolling, it should be subjected to a thorough working of these implements, following each other till the top is as fine as an onion bed, and the bottom so solid as to exclude the drought, which in any climate is injurious to the Flax, but in Canada would destroy it.

When the proper season for sowing arrives, (and this differs in different climates) the land should be marked into ridges of 10 or 12 feet wide, to facilitate the sowing and pulling; and the seed at the rate of about 28 or 30 gallons to the Cuninghame acre, or 2<sup>d</sup> to the English statute acre, sown and harrowed with light clever barrows, and rolled. If the land be in good condition, this is an excellent preparation for clover, and it is often sown with Flax, and the pulling of this latter crop is understood to be favourable to the growth of clover, by moulding it, and leaving it to the free action of the atmosphere.

In pulling, great care should be taken to keep the ends even, as the value of the crop is more influenced by this operation being well executed than most people are aware of, and if not attended to in the pulling it can never be effectually remedied afterwards. If rushes can be procured for bands it will save a considerable quantity of the Flax, and be much more convenient for tying it; the sheaves should be small to facilitate the steeping and spreading, and if it can be correctly struck in the water the shorter time it remains on the grass the better; the ponds for steeping should be about 3½ or 4 feet deep, care being taken to avoid iron, or other mineral springs, which would prevent it from bleaching white; the best water being soft running water, and the warmer it is the shorter time will it require to remain in it; I have known it to water in five days, and to take twenty-one, but generally in this climate ten.

If the sheaves be packed neatly on their root end, standing nearly straight, or but slightly leaning towards the end of the pond at which the filling has commenced, it will water more equally than if put in less regularly, and will be more easily taken out and less subject to be straggled, or torn. When the pond is full the flax should be covered with straw or grass to protect it from the sun, when it rises above the water, which it will do no matter how deep, when the fermentation takes place; it should always have a quantity of flat stones, or pieces of timber laid at short intervals over it, to keep it under water, and be trampled twice a day, &c

as often as it rises up, during the first few days; but it will sink after the fermentation, and should then be carefully watched, to ascertain when it is ready for removal. This is one of the most *critical* stages, and or it a considerable part of the profit, or loss, may turn, and if there be in the neighborhood any good judge, he should be consulted. In the absence of such, a sheaf should be taken out, washed, and dried in the sun, and tried whether it will clean freely. If part of the shoves, or wood part adhere to the fibre, it must remain a little longer in the steep, but experience alone can teach, and no directions can be given that would be understood by persons unacquainted with the matter, but I am certain there must be in every district of Canada many Irish and Belgians, fully up to the subject, and that little difficulty need be anticipated in procuring the services of such. In spreading, care should be taken to shake it well and spread it evenly and thin, but if want of room should necessitate the spreading of it thicker, the rows should be at such a distance from each other as to admit of the flax being turned, then a *day* or *two* on the grass, in order to give an equal exposure and bleaching on both sides. If it has been properly watered, it need not remain more than two or three days on the grass. When lifted it is to be tied up in sheaves, and put under cover of a house or shed, till it is convenient to remove it to the scutch mill.

While on this head, I may mention that the desideratum so long wished for by Flax growers in Ireland, viz, a machine for cleaning without the necessity of employing so-called *skilled* hands, has been recently patented, and brought out by the Messrs. Rowan of Belfast. (This is a piece of information which may be of great service to our friends in Canada, but which you are quite as well acquainted with as I am, having witnessed its operations with me). I believe it comes nearer to the requirements of the Flax growers both in this country and Canada, than any thing yet invented, and the fact that I, who never attempted to clean Flax in the old mills, found no difficulty in doing it in Rowan's, is, I think, proof positive of its adaptation to unskilled labor. This machine, like most new inventions, has met with some opposition; it is objected to on the ground that it gives less cleaned Flax than the old mills, but as this comes from *mill owners*, an interesting party, it should be received with caution, and was not borne out by our experience.

That some modification of the *speed* or *rate* of driving the machine may be necessary, to adapt it to the harder or softer quality of Flax, I do not doubt. This only requires a larger or smaller pulley on the scale, which costs little to effect.

The present seems a very favorable time for introducing the growth and preparation of Flax into Canada. The demand for it in Belfast, Lurgan, Dundee, &c., is greater than can be sup-

plied. The emigration from this province since the breaking out of the war in the United States, (aided by the information you have diffused here respecting Canada), has resulted I understand, in at least nine thousand more than in any former year. Many of these emigrants are from Ulster, the Flax growing portions of Ireland, and from Belgium where it is equally well understood. The weekly intercourse between the St. Lawrence and the Foyle, and Mersey, by steam, affords an opportunity of forwarding the article at once to the best markets in the world, and of procuring such machinery for its preparation as may be required.

I have said nothing as to which seed should be preferred. Different soils and climates may require different kinds of seed, and few experiments, by intelligent growers, may be necessary to decide which is best suited to Canada. Riga is generally preferred here, on account of its growing longer than Dutch or American; but these latter were in more favor some years ago, when the spinning was done in a small wheel, in every farm house and cabin in Ulster, and was then thought to produce a *finer* article: but since machinery has taken the place of the domestic manufacture, the Riga is more generally sown.

You are aware that I had this year a barrel of Canadian seed, sown in the same field with five of Riga, as an experiment. The Canadian came up some days earlier than the Riga, and grew much more freely, up to the middle of June; it blossomed fully ten days earlier, and had fully three times the quantity of seed, but is of an average three inches *shorter* than the Riga. I am unable to say which will be the best quality, or the comparative quantities each will produce, not having got it cleaned out, but I hope to be able to give you an account of them next week; I cannot doubt, however, that the Canadian grower of Flax would find his advantage in changing his seed either from Riga, or Holland, at least every second year, as like every other crop it has a tendency to degenerate when grown in the same locality, especially if that be not its native, and this is evinced by the immense quantity of seed borne by the Canadian here.

I sent two barrels of Riga Flax seed last year to the Messrs. Peire, but it was delayed at Liverpool and Portland, and did not reach them in time for that year's sowing; I suppose, however, they will have tried it this year, and if carefully kept, it may do pretty well, but can scarcely be considered a fair trial, compared with new. You can, however, hear from them on the subject when you return to Canada, and if you or any of your friends should desire it, I will have great pleasure in forwarding any quantity you may require, on the arrival of this year's growth.

From what I have written, as well as from your own observations during Spring and Summer, it is plain that Flax growing and prepared



tion, is both troublesome and expensive, but the question which has to be answered is, "Will it pay, and leave a greater profit than any other crop?" If this question can, on a fair trial, be answered in the affirmative, the trouble and expense will be disregarded by industrious farmers in Canada as well as here.

Some objections may be made to the steeping, on the ground of its tainting the water and the air and possibly those acquainted with it may think that the smell, which is disagreeable, may be also unwholesome, but it has never been found so either in France, Belgium, or Ireland, and no healthier women can be seen than those who are employed during the whole flux season in pulling, spreading, and lifting it.

The objection as regards the water applies in an especial manner, or rather it is confined to the owners of Fisheries; but I do not know whether the Fisheries in Canada are owned by individuals, or are common property, and on that would turn the validity of the objection, if made.

In estimating the profit of Flax cultivation some allowance should be made for the employment it gives, and the increased or additional crop it introduces into the rotation, by which variety the land is enabled to yield more in a given number of years than by confining it to the growth of any class of crops exclusively. Employment too, in working a succession of crops as Flax, Hay, Wheat, Oats, &c., is more continuous and certain.

You have seen what the Linen manufacture of Ulster, which has its root in the growth and preparation of the raw material, has done, in raising it to its present state of wealth and comfort, as compared with the other provinces so much more favored by nature, in soil and climate, and which has made Belfast the manufacturing and commercial metropolis of Ireland; and, looking at the soil, the minerals, the lakes and rivers of Canada, with the origin of its population, its free government, and its educational establishments, I see enough to indicate a bright future for it too, if you but go to work with a fixed determination to succeed.

Wishing you a safe and pleasant voyage and happy reunion with your family.

I remain, dear Sir,

Very sincerely yours,

ROBERT MCCREA.

Grange House,  
Strabane, Aug. 29th, 1861. }

### The *Elodea Canadensis*.

The following letter from a Dutch correspondent recently appeared in the *Canadian News*, published in England. We had previously heard of the mischievous effects of this curious

aquatic plant in British waters. Is it known in Canada? If so, can any of our readers give us some account of it?—Ebs. C. A.]

Amsterdam, Sept. 1, 1861.

SIR,—That singular aquatic plant, the *Elodea Canadensis*, brought by chance from the waters of North America to England, is well known to have increased in that country to an alarming extent. The phenomenon has now made its appearance in the rivers and canals of Utrecht and its neighborhood, and great and well founded fears are entertained that it will extend all over the kingdom. It appears that not more than two or three years ago a specimen of the plant was introduced here by way of experiment, and it has unfortunately succeeded but too well, as it has propagated itself with such extraordinary rapidity, forming at the bottom of the water an impenetrable tangle of grass of considerable thickness, that it greatly impedes the work of cleaning out the canals. It may now be termed quite an indigenous plant, possibly to the satisfaction of the botanists, but it is certainly an abominable plague for the Government of a country like Holland, intersected by canals in direction.

Mons. Miquel, Professor of Botany at the University of Utrecht and editor of the *Journal de Botanique Neerlandaise*, has expressed his opinion that this plant will in a short time overstep the frontiers of this kingdom and advance into Germany by creeping up the bed of the Rhine. With the exception of some particular varieties of the Lemna, it far exceeds all the aquatic plants of this country, not only in quickness of growth and gradual dissemination over large districts, but also for the wonderful extent and power of its reproducing faculties. The stem of the *Elodea* is very delicate and easily broken, so that by the natural motion of the waters minute branches and fibres become detached and are carried to a distance by the current, whilst others, coming in contact with the barges and boats, attach themselves to their sides and are carried away by them, which also partially explains the rapidity of their extension to distant parts.

It is quite clear that the astonishing power of reproductiveness of this little plant cannot be ascribed to the dissemination of its seed, for the flower contains no properly developed petals, as Professor Miquel satisfactorily ascertained from some specimens gathered last summer by Mr. Hartsen, Candidate of Philosophy at the above named university. As soon as the branches are broken off they make rapid shoots and thus produce fresh plants. On the approach of winter the brittleness of the *Elodea* increases so much that in simply lifting it out of the water it breaks to pieces in the hand, and as is generally the case with plants about to reproduce their species the detached fragments are found to contain

large quantity of a sticky substance, which leads to the belief that each minute portion of the plant becomes a new and independent exemplar. When one considers the great rise of the water in autumn and winter and the unavoidable inundations that take place in consequence, it is clear that all these different movements must greatly contribute to the spread of this aquatic plant over the whole country in a very short space of time. During the summer months, and especially under the influence of bright sunshine, oxygen gas is generated in the *Elodea* and rises in bubbles to the surface. Mr. Bisdom has analysed its component parts and given a description of the result, which is published in G. J. Mulder's "Chemical Reports and Transactions," vol. III., pp. 97—112.—I am your obedient servant.

[We presume the object of our correspondent is to ascertain if any and what means exist to check the spreading of this extraordinary aquatic plant, and perhaps some of our naturalist correspondents may be able to give a satisfactory answer. We are aware that a prolific water-creeper said to have come from America, succeeded in completely choking several of the natural drains in the neighborhood of Hull, entailing a heavy outlay in clearing them by manual labor; and that the same or a similar creeper had nearly filled up the canal in the neighborhood of Lincoln in the summer of 1860, and great fears were entertained of these waters ever becoming free again from this vegetable parasite. The extreme severity of the succeeding winter having, however, brought with it flocks of wild swans from across the North Sea, it was found on their leaving that they had completely cleared the canal of the obnoxious plant, so much so that no traces of it are now to be found. What says Professor Miquel to an importation of wild swans to clear out the Dutch canals?—ED. C. N.]

### Farm-Yard Manure.

BY CUTHBERT W. JOHNSON, ESQ., F.R.S.

The relation of vegetable and animal life is the theme of a very interesting lecture at the Central Farmers' Club, by Mr. J. G. Hobson, of Long Sutton.

It is hardly necessary for my readers to look beyond their own noble homesteads to discern the importance of such an inquiry. That both these great divisions of organic beings subsist upon each other, is evident to the most careless observer. The farmer is ever acting upon this knowledge, even in foreign countries, where the tiller of the soil is obliged by hard work to accomplish what science has long enabled the English farmer to perform with far greater facility. Thus the listless, idle Spaniard has a proverb that the sheep treads on the land with a golden foot. The hard-working Belgian, as

he rests on his trenching-fork, tells you that "there is no manure without stock, no stock without green crops, no green food without manure;" and on these truths he bases his mode of cultivation. The Flemish farmer, therefore, devotes his attention to the preparation of his manure with the most untiring energy and success. He is not content with securing requisite bulk of manure, either liquid or solid; he well knows that the quality of his manure is of even greater importance. The Dutch farmers, therefore enrich their liquid-manure by fermenting, and by adding to it rape-cake and other fertilizing matters. They would be surprised to hear a quantity, of dirty—merely discoloured—water dignified by the name of liquid manure.

The skilful English farmer proceeds very commonly on a different road to the same end; he passes his oil-cake through the bodies of his live stock, and by thus enriching their excreta increases the fertilizing power of his farm-yard dung; and, as I have in another place had occasion to remark, the improvement of this staple fertilizer by feeding stock with cake has been so long and so increasingly practised, that, at length, the advanced price of the cake has rendered it almost necessary to abandon its use. Other oilcakes have been suggested as substitutes for linseed, in stock-feeding; and a still more simple mode has been again advocated, that of applying the cake itself as a manure, without its having previously passed through the body of an animal. An experiment with mangel-wurzel was in this way made by Mr. Corewinder, in April, 1855 ("Quar. Jour. Ag." 1857, p. 668). Seven portions of a field which had borne a crop of oats were set apart for the experiment; each plot contained  $3\frac{1}{2}$  poles, and was manured with 220lbs. of one or the other of the following substances. The result I give in a tabular form—

	Cost.		Produce
	s.	d. in lbs.	
CAKE.			
Arachis, or earth nut.....	9	6	3194
Sesasun (from Indian, &c.)... 12	2		3324
Toulocanna (Sennegambia)... 10	8		2904
Poppy .....	15	0	3487
Cametine.....	14	7	2917
Rape.....	14	3	2811
Hemp.....	14	3	2640

It can hardly fail to be used if, at this season of the year, we remember a few of the scientific facts which bear upon the improvement of the quality of our farm-compost.

The effect of food in varying the power of the excreta of animals is partially known to every one. The more concentrated the food, the more nitrogenous its composition, the richer is the dung of the animal. Take the case of the flesh-subsisting animals: mark the excreta of the fish-consuming wild-fowl of the islands of the Pacific Ocean; note how in their excreta, guano, we find the most powerful of our readily available manures. In this the chemist shows us an

abounding proportion of ammonia and uric acid, both the alkali and the acid containing a large and essential proportion of nitrogen. Uric acid probably exists in the urine of all animals that subsist even partially on animal matters. It has been found in that of man and of our domestic fowls; the urine of the boa-constrictor and several others of the serpent tribe is nearly pure uric acid.

It would be a natural conclusion, from these facts, that the more nitrogenous the food consumed by the animal, the more powerful would be the fertilizing effect of its urine. Some careful experiments made long since with the urine from a large dairy of cows in Scotland support this conclusion ("Quar. Jour. Ag.," vol. iv., p. 96.) Their urine, when fed on the white globe turnip, was found to be larger in quantity, but less effective as a fertilizer, than when they were consuming the yellow turnip or rutabaga, and much less so than when they were fed on brewers' or distillers' grains.

These observations all concur with those of the owners of cake-fed cattle or sheep; the manure is much increased in value, its effects more powerful, and yet more permanent. The policy of applying both liquid and solid inorganic manure in their recent or putrified state has long engaged the attention of the farmer and the chemist; the tendency of the latest researches rather leads to the conclusion that, in both cases, the manure is best applied in as fresh a state as possible. And to this opinion Professor Tanner inclines, in his recently-published prize essay. When speaking of the application of the manure of the farm to clay soils ("Jour. Roy. Ag. Soc.," vol. xx., p. 331) he remarks: We have other reasons which favour the application of dung to the fallows whilst the fermentation is in its earliest stage. In the fermentation of dung, we have important chemical changes taking place amongst the elements which enter into its composition. The great object in fermenting manure is to bring waste matter from the animal body and certain products of vegetable life in such a condition that they can again be useful for the support of vegetation. This fermentation of the dung may be carried out in two ways: the one will materially diminish its fertilizing powers; but by the other plan the change may be controlled so that the manurial properties may, in a great measure, be preserved, although some slight loss is inevitable. I have estimated, from the analyses given by Dr. Voelcker as the results of an examination of farmyard manure in its fresh and also in a well-rotted condition, that the ingredients in very superior manure, calculated at their market value, are worth 1s. per ton more when the dung is in a fresh condition than when it has become thoroughly decayed. This loss is experienced when the manure has been carefully fermented for experimental purposes; but when the decomposition takes place under careless management—when,

for instance, the drainage from the manure is not carefully preserved—the waste is far greater, so as materially to affect the finances of the farm. In the application of dung in the early stage of fermentation, we have this change taking place in the soil under circumstances which ensure us against loss; for we know enough of the power of these retentive soils to be assured that what is entrusted to their custody will be safely retained for promoting vegetable growth.

The laborious researches of Professor Voelcker, on the composition and changes of farmyard manure ("Jour. R. A. S.," vol. xvii., p. 191), tend to lead us to similar conclusions. Without attempting to follow the Professor through the detail of his ample precautions to attain a fair mixture of the dung (including the straw), made by the horse, the cow, and the pig, I can yet give, I hope, a useful digest of the chief of his laborious researches. Some of his results were—1, That a fresh dung, the proportion of soluble organic and mineral substances is small; 2, of insoluble, large; 3, of ammonia small; 4, of nitrogen, inconsiderable; 5, the organic soluble matters are by far the most valuable—they contain (in equal parts) more than three times as much nitrogen as the insoluble; 6, that dung contains all the constituents of cultivated plants; 7, that the same substances are found in both the soluble and insoluble portion; 8, the principal constituent of the soluble ash of dung is potash; 9, both the soluble and insoluble ash contain much soluble silica, combined either with potash or lime; 10, the prominent constituent of the soluble ash of fresh dung is silicate of potash; 11, of its insoluble ash, lime; 12 (let the reader mark this important fact), that of the soluble ash of fresh dung, 19½ per cent. is phosphate of lime, in the insoluble ash only 9½. It has been found, in fact, that bone-dust, moistened with a little water, in a few days yields a considerable quantity of soluble phosphate of lime, and that this solubility rapidly increases with the putrefaction of the gelatine of the bones.

From the result of other trials, the Professor seems to lean to the opinion that the spreading of farmyard compost on the surface of the soil, for even a considerable period before it is ploughed in, is by no means so injurious a practice as we have hitherto been led to suppose ("Quar. Jour. Ag., 1857, p. 155). He says, that "on all soils with a moderate proportion of clay, no fear need be entertained of valuable fertilizing substances becoming wasted, if the manure cannot be ploughed in at once. Fresh, and even well-rotted dung contains very little free ammonia; and since active fermentation, and with it the further evolution of free ammonia, is stopped by spreading out the manure on the field, valuable manuring matters cannot escape into the air by adopting this plan."

If this is a reasonable conclusion, it goes far to remove our dread of losing, on such soils, the

better portions of farmyard manure by top-dressings. As the season will soon be here when these dressings are commonly applied to grass, it will be useful to remember this fact. The best time for applying the manure is held, by the great Cheshire farmers, to be in the end of September or the beginning of October, particularly in a showery period, as the grass soon covers it, and renders it less liable to be damaged by the sun or drying winds ("Jour. Roy. Ag. Soc.," vol. xix. p. 215). The most recently published report of trials upon the means best adapted to restore to the soil the ingredients removed by the grasses is that of the prize essay on "Top-dressing Pasture," by Mr. James Porter, of Moneymask, in Aberdeenshire (Trans. High. Soc.," 1861, p. 455). The conclusions to which the result of his experiments led him were, 1, that on strong soils, on lands under cultivation, guano, sulphate of ammonia, nitrate of soda, and soot are the best, and most remunerative if the season is not too dry; 2, that on light soils in alternate husbandry, composts of earth, bone-dust, cattle urine, salt, sea-weed, and fish refuse are the most suitable; 3, for old grass on strong soils, powdered lime is the most effectual dressing; 4, for old grass on light gravelly soils, clayey compost, mixed with quicklime or bone-dust, makes a good dressing. It has been calculated, adds Mr Porter, that a milk cow carries off annually 30lbs. of bone-dust from the land; and if this waste is to be supplied, as it certainly ought, there can be no better way of doing it than by adding bones or other phosphatic manures to the land.

But if it be true that we need not fear impoverishing our dung by exposure to the winds and rain, there is another common source of loss of the soluble portion of our farm-yard manure by soakage in rain-water, of which the chemist has no doubt. When dung is exposed to heavy rains, on certain soils, what the rain-water dissolves and removes from the dung the land receives, its aluminous portion, absorbs and stores up those soluble matters for the service of future crops; but there is in most farm-yards no provision for the richer soluble portions of the dung, which either soaks away or drains some neighbouring ditch or equally unwholesome pond. It is this fact which renders the dung of sheltered animals so superior to that produced in the open air. It is one of many advantages which attend the use of covered homesteads, and would lead to the general introduction of the box system of feeding, if the animals thus fed were improved at the same rate as the dung over which they are confined.

The advantage of preparing manure as little exposed as possible to the weather has been illustrated by Mr C. Lawrence, of Cirencester (Jour. Roy. Ag. Soc.," vol. xviii., p. 368). He gives the following analysis, by Professor Way, of box and farm-yard manure. He remarks that, "Those who have not previously inspect-

ed this system of feeding, and have had an opportunity of seeing at one moment the boxes full of the accumulation of some three or four months' manure, invariably express their surprise at the sweetness of the range of buildings; and in a few minutes afterwards, on setting the forks to work to empty the boxes, still greater surprise at the almost instantaneous evolution of volatile gases on the admission of air to the dense compound below." Box. Farmyard.

Water, per cent .....	71.40	71.00
100 parts dried at 75 to 80 deg. Fahr., gave of ammonia .....	2.37	1.70
Matters soluble in water, organic and inorganic ....	10.70	4.60
Which left on incineration a fixed residue of.....	4.28	2.78
This fixed residue consisted of—		
Silica .....	Not determined.	
Phosphoric acid.....	0.30	0.26
Alkalis, potash and soda...	2.00	0.80

It is from such practical inquiries that the farmer more and more clearly sees how dependent upon each other are the members of the vegetable and animal worlds, and how essential to the prosperity of the farm is the most anxious care that the food of neither is wasted. It is gratifying and encouraging to look back and note how much has been done in the last quarter of a century in thus economising the true riches of the farm. It is such reflections which will urge on the noble cultivators of our soils to other and still greater triumphs over the manifold and ever-varying difficulties which they have to encounter. Such conclusions will lead them to still more careful modes of preparing the manure of the farmyard. This will, sooner or later, cease to be impoverished by the rain; its drainage water will be systematically employed. That of our large towns no longer be worse than wasted. The farmer of a future day will, indeed, ask with some surprise how it happened in the year of grace 1861 that, while the drainage of Edinburgh, of Mansfield, of Croydon, and of other places rendered luxuriantly fertile so many of acres of valuable grass land, the drainage of millions of persons who dwell in our metropolis and other populous places should not only be utterly wasted, but that grave chemical persons should endeavour to show how useless it is as a liquid manure.—*Farmer's Magazine.*

Scientific Culture of the Strawberry.

[Concluded from page 550.]

It appears that a plain, uneducated market gardener, of the name of Aberged, removed some 46 years ago from Philadelphia to Cincinnati, and went largely into the cultivation of the Strawberry, in which he marvellously surpassed

all his neighbors by means of a secret method of managing the culture, and which method he had most successfully practised at Philadelphia. So well did he keep his secret that for many years it was not even guessed at; and he supplied nine-tenths of all the strawberries consumed in Cincinnati, making thereby a very handsome competency. To give Mr. Longworth's own words: "While I could, from one-fourth of an acre scarcely raise one bushel of strawberries, he (Abergust) would raise 40 bushels. His fruit was much larger than any other brought to market, and commanded from 25 cents [1s.] to 37½ cents (1s. 6d.) per quart. His secret he kept to himself, and my attention was first led to the subject by a casual remark of his son's to me one day in my garden—that I must get very little fruit, as my plants were all males. I then investigated the matter, and soon discovered that there were what he called male and female plants—a fact I communicated to our market gardeners. The result was that strawberries rapidly increased in our market, until as fine as Mr. Abergust's were sold at from 3 cents (1½d.) to 10 cents (5d) per quart.

There can be little doubt that this gardener, Abergust, obtained his knowledge, either directly or indirectly, from Mr. Keen, who had promulgated the information he had required some short time previously. Through Mr. Longworth, Keen's discovery and Abergust's secret was thoroughly ventilated in the United States, and is now universally known in that part of America, where strawberry growing is carried on to an extent little dreamed of in this country.

One individual grower (Mr. Culbertson, of Cincinnati) sends to market sometimes 4,000 to 5,000 quarts a day, employing 60 persons to pick them. Numerous cases are known of 5,000 quarts per acre being obtained in one season; and it is held as an undoubted fact that, by cultivating hermaphrodites (as we do in England), instead of pistillates, only from one tenth to one-third of a crop can be obtained.

By far the largest and most delicious strawberries in the world, to our knowledge, are those of Chili; and we think plants and seeds from that country might advantageously be brought and domesticated here. Certainly the finest strawberry plant we have ever seen is that of Mr. John Robertson, of Paisley, which is known under the name of "The Wizard of the North", (that is supposing the authorised coloured drawing of the plant, in full bearing, to be a true and faithful representation). Sundry apparently respectable and trustworthy persons testify by letter to having seen it with 78 fine large fruit at one time upon a single plant.

Having obtained a good pistillate, who would be apt to suppose that we had the utmost we could reasonably hope for; but in this we should err very greatly, indeed; for Mr. Charles Peabody, of Columbus, in Georgia, has clearly demonstrated that it is possible to obtain a suc-

cession of fruit from the same plants for many months in the year, instead of only one bearing. This most indefatigable gentleman has, in truth, reduced the culture of the strawberry to a perfect science. His is no small garden cultivation, but comprises many large fields, embracing a very considerable acreage, and justified by more than 15 years constant observation and experience. His plan deserves all possible attention and respect. He selects some good pistillate of an ever-bearing variety; and, to impregnate this, he also chooses a good, ever-bearing hermaphrodite, planting seven rows of pistillates, then one row of hermaphrodites, and so on throughout the field. For many years the varieties he employed were the Hovey's seedling pistillates, and the early scarlet hermaphrodites, both flowering regularly together, and both being ever-bearing. Recently he has widely disseminated a seedling of his own, and named after himself.

It is believed that all these valuable seedlings have been originally obtained by judicious crosses with the hardy, ever-bearing, or monthly wild strawberry, such as the monthly Alpine, or others of a similar type. Certainly it is, that not only Mr. Peabody, but numerous other persons throughout the States, obtained by simple field culture a continuous bearing of fruit, from early spring until the winter's frost sets in—a thing altogether unknown in this country, although quite as practicable here as there.

Mr. Peabody, in his statement, given in the "United States Agricultural Report," says:—

"It is now well known throughout the Southern States that for many years I have cultivated the strawberry extensively, and have had from my beds a constant succession of fruit six months, and frequently 10 months in the year. While I am now writing (Dec. 24) one of my beds (of an acre) is loaded with ripe fruit, specimens of which I have sent to New Orleans, Montgomery, Charleston, New York, &c. This bed has scarcely produced a runner the last season, the causes of which will be found in my method of culture. I prefer a sandy soil—that is, a sandy loam with a good mixture of vegetable matter, in which the plants stand 8 to 10 inches apart. In the fall of the year I go over the field with sharp hoes, cutting up all runners, and leaving them on the ground to decay. Somewhat later, I cover the whole field with partially decomposed leaves from the woods or swamps; the rains of winter beat down these leaves; the fruit germ finds its way through them, and the first mild weather of spring the blossoms appear. If I desired to obtain an abundance of leaves and strong runners all over my beds. I should employ animal manure; but as I want fruit, and no runners, I never use animal manure of any kind—nothing but leaf-mould, and an occasional sprinkle of wood ashes. The leaf-mould keeps the ground cool and moist, and the fruit clean, and does not stimulate the root to make runners. Whatever runners are made,

cut off close; keep the ground clear of grass and weeds, and manure with leaf mould. Beds thus formed and cultivated will to my certain knowledge, continue productive for twelve years, and, I have every reason to believe, as much longer as this system of culture is continued. Straw berries so cultivated are remarkable for their lushness and aroma. A very continual watering, whilst bearing, is desirable—as the crop is wonderfully increased, both in quantity and quality, thereby.”

Such is Mr. Peabody's mode of raising this delicious fruit; and although we have not the fine climate of Georgia, and may not expect fruit in an open field in December, yet we certainly can adopt the course of treatment that he so kindly points out to us. Our course, then, is to plant the best ever-bearing pistillate and hermaphrodite impregnator; to use no manure but leaf mould, or other suitable decayed vegetable matter, with an occasional sprinkling of wood ashes; to keep all runners off; and to water very frequently during the time of fruiting.

The best descriptions of strawberry for planting, generally, in England would be, in our opinion, “Hovey's Seedling,” the “Early Scarlet,” “Lonzworth's Prolific,” “M'Avoy's Superior,” and the “Extra Red” (all American varieties, the Prolific, Superior, and Extra Red being seedlings from Hovey's Seedling by one of our best English hermaphrodites), if we could obtain them in this country; but, unfortunately, there are none to be had, unless imported from the United States. The English varieties appear to be all hermaphrodites, from the seed of which famous pistillates may be obtained by any who will take the trouble to attempt it.

Those particularly celebrated at this moment are the Oscar (of Mr. Charles Turner, Royal Nurseries, Slough), the Wizard of the North (of Mr. J. Robertson, Linside Nursery, Paisley), the Empress Eugenie (of Mr. Myatt, of Deptford), and two or three well-known varieties. The Oscar is a particularly fine, well-flavoured, firm, and large-sized strawberry; and said to be a cross between the British Queen and Keen's Seedling. The Wizard is said to be between the Elton Pine and Keen's Seedling, producing a large handsome berry, and an abundant crop. The Empress Eugenie has been remarkably large this season, of a dark, blood-red colour, very juicy, rather soft and with a very strong perfume, somewhat similar to the musk melon. We believe this is also called the “Crim on Queen.”

We cannot ourselves feel any confidence in an alleged cross between one hermaphrodite and another, unless we are perfectly certain that the pollen of one was applied to the bud of the other, previous to its opening; for almost simultaneously the pollen of its own stamens is matured, and the least motion causes it to fall upon the pistils, which they enclose, and self-impregnation ensues at once. If, however, the pollen

from one kind be applied to the bud of the other, just before it opens, the subtle influence descends to the pistils and impregnation is effected surely and certainly, before the flower has yet opened, or its own stamens have had time to burst and shed their pollen. This is the opinion of Mr. Peabody, verified by some 20 years' experience, and we fully adopt his views upon this point. As a matter of course, pistillates, being pure females, cannot impregnate themselves; their artificial impregnation is therefore, perfectly easy, and the cross resulting is beyond doubt.

In conclusion, we may remark that (more or less) all hermaphrodite strawberry plants appear to become more and more imperfect as they get older, until, in some cases, they will not bear a single perfect berry; and we believe that even the very best hermaphrodite (however perfect may appear when young) will, in a few years, exhibit this inherent tendency to infertility.

### Templemoyle Agricultural School.

This Irish institution appears from a recent report to be in a prosperous condition, and the instruction is of a rich and useful character. The farm is leased of the London Grocers' Company, who have steadily supported the school from its commencement. The following extract from a speech of Mr. Thompson, an officer of the company, at the terminal examination of the scholars has something more than a local interest.

When he looked around the school, and perceived the advantages which the scholars possessed, not only receiving a sound, practical education in the particular profession which they had chosen for themselves, but having also put into their hands the key to all the sciences, enabling them to examine into the noble works of God, to see His wisdom as displayed in the construction of man; and when they learned of the winds to think of Him who made the winds minister unto them that they ride upon the storms: finding also in the stones sermons, and books in the running brooks—in the light of these they are enabled to look from nature up to nature's God; and soberly and earnestly could he assure them that when he considered these advantages enjoyed here he could wish himself again a boy, standing at their side, surrounded by those privileges, and learning with them. But, if they had privileges, let them remember that they had also great responsibilities—that in after life they would all go forth as missionaries in the world, whether for the prosperity of their native land, or as the pioneers of civilization in other lands. When thus situated they would never forget the advantage enjoyed here. He assured them that there was no such thing as “lucky hits.” By steady, earnest, truthful perse-

verance alone could they succeed. The course of agricultural instruction imparted at this seminary taught them this. They knew that if they were to expect a harvest they must sow the seed, looking for the rains and the genial sunshine to bring forth a crop. Thus let them go forth in their various walks in life, all of them endeavoring to discharge their duties to themselves and others. In one word, he would remind the teachers that they were sowing seeds for eternity, and this made their position solemnly responsible. And if the clergymen would permit him for a time to interfere with their functions, to all would he wish to say a word at parting—let them endeavor to spiritualize their operations. When they sowed the seed in the ground, let them remember there was still a nobler and a better seed to be sown; When they rooted out of the ground the weeds which choked the tender blade, let them remember that weeds of a more noxious tendency required to be removed from their own hearts; when they used the sickle, let them remember that there is a Reaper before whom they all must bow; and when they brought home their sheaves rejoicing, let them remember that there is a harvest home where the attendants are the angels of God and the redeemed; and he prayed God to grant that every one of them might be found in that blessed company.

### Weeds.

Somebody wrote a book upon our wild flowers, pretty, graceful, bright-coloured creatures, giving life to our pastures and our hedgerows. Beautiful, indeed, they are, in their proper place; but in the wheat field or garden ruinous. Our weeds are ripening now faster than our corn. The rain will not kill them nor will cloudy, ungenial skies check their vitality. A man who knew nothing of the primeval curse would fancy that weeds were designed for the support of man, they are so unboundedly prolific. And they are for the benefit of man in some sort. For they make labor a necessity; and yet that labor might be more profitably expended on fruitful plants, which the weeds choke and strangle. He is the true farmer's friend who teaches the peasant to extirpate these devourers. Placing them in a heap amidst manure is of no avail. Though the plant be pulled up the sap will flow upward, the flower will blossom, and the seeds ripen, to be scattered next year with precious seed. There is no killing them. They will live three feet under ground for years, for when the land is deeply trenched, up will spring a thick crop of weeds, never observed in the soil before. Few have any idea of their prolific nature. We see the coltsfoot, with its golden stars, in cold, black March, without a leaf, to shelter it; but the flower droops and the green calyx is a roof to defend the seeds within. Every flower head produces its 150 seeds; and these are each shrouded with cotton down, in which the wind fastens

and bears it away to other ground. Why is it that this plant has not only its floating seeds, but creeping roots working under ground, here and there shooting to the surface; and there producing its hosts of seeds? A single coltsfoot produces from 3,000 to 22,500 seeds! It is no every peasant who knows that wild mustard produces from a plant 8,000 seeds; the chamomile 40,000; the may weed, 45,000; the burdock 24,500; the red poppy, 50,000; the groundsel 6,500; and wild parsley, 6,000. Every one has seen the gossamer parachute which bears aloft the thistle seed like a tiny car. From a single plant ten thousand seeds have floated away on downy wings. There are weeds whose seed-pods burst open with violence, so as to scatter the seeds to a distance, where they will not lessen the nutriment of the parent plant. Other plants have seeds equipped with delicate hooks to fasten in the soil; others, again, propagate slowly under the earth, as the crow Gaelic, which produces 700 offshoots in the year. The eye rest, gladly, no doubt, upon the nodding poppy or the cockle plant in the corn field; but these weeds have lessened the crop by, at least, a tenth part of its value. The weeds of Ireland cost us nearly six millions a year.

In the *Quarterly Review* for Oct., 1859, there is given in a tabular form, the quantity of weeds found in the bushel of crop seeds. Farmers foolishly desire to procure cheap seeds, and there are seedsmen willing to oblige them. Seed are thus mixed often for purposes of fraud oftener perhaps, from carelessness. In a bushel of "rye-grass" there were detected no less than 204,800 weed seeds. In a bushel of clover seed 312,000; of linseed, 304,640; this is quite irrespective of dirt and particles of stone, which make cheap seed by far the dearest that can be purchased. Fraud, in this case, is difficult of detection. A farmer cannot carry a microscope in his pocket, like the Leeds cloth buyers, to detect adulteration. His only security is to purchase from a house of character, and to pay a fair price for the article he requires.

But our fields will bear weeds as long as the road sides are neglected, and the uprooted weeds are merely thrown upon the manure heap. The moment the crop is cut the weeds should be collected and burned. They ought not to be left upon the land to ripen, as they will, and to commit their progeny to the winds. The loss to the agriculturist in the choking of his crop, its insufficient nourishment, the exhaustion of the ground, and the amount of labour eventually required, is something absolutely incalculable. *Irish Times.*

## Agricultural Intelligence.

### County and Township Shows.

Hay Township Society, at Rodgersville, Ont.:  
South Wellington and Guelph Townships.  
Guelph, October 10.

in the Counties of Lanark and Renfrew, at Ferguson's Falls, third Tuesday in October. Carlton Place, first Tuesday in November. Clayton, second Wednesday in November. Pakenham, second Thursday in October. Almonte, last Thursday in October. Bass, fourth Tuesday in October. Pembroke, third Wednesday in October. Norwich Township, Norwichville, Thursday, Oct. 10. North and South Wentworth and City of Hamilton, United Show at Hamilton, October and 10. West York and York Township, at Yorkville, October 22 and 23. East York and Markham Township, at Unionville, Markham, Oct. 9. City of Toronto Elec. Div. Society, and Toronto Mechanics' Institute, Union Exhibition, commencing Oct. 7, and to continue for two weeks. North Oxford and Ingersoll, at Ingersoll, October 9. Erin Township, Erin Village, Wednesday Oct. 6. Bayham Township, at Staffordville, Saturday, Oct. 15. West Gwillmbury, at Middleton, Thursday, Oct. 10. Northumberland West, at Cobourg, Wednesday, Oct. 16. King Township, at Bowmanville, Oct. 11. Whitby Township, at Oshawa, Thursday, October 17th. Carrick Township, at Balaklava, October 15. Stormont, at Carnwall, Oct. 9 and 10. Walsingham, Port Rowan, Oct. 17. West Middlesex, at Strathroy, Oct. 15. Niagara Elec. Div., at Niagara, Oct. 9 and 10. [Secretaries of Agricultural Societies will oblige us by informing us of the days on which their shows are to take place.—Eds.]

## Horticultural.

### Fruit Tree Vending.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE AGRICULTURIST—I was very much pleased with your remarks in your last number respecting the Apple Tree Venders, but I fear your advice came too late to be of any avail as a caution. There has been a perambulating Yankee Nursery Agent canvassing this Township, representing himself as agent for Messrs. G. & B. Brothers, proprietors of Clover Street Nursery, Rochester. He succeeded in getting orders to the amount of over twelve hundred dollars worth of trees, in this Township to be delivered on the 11th inst. I feel satisfied that it is a perfect sell, from his mode of proceeding. The agent has a sample book with all the various kinds of fruit painted most charmingly

to take the eye, and then procures some well known person in the neighbourhood to go with him to introduce him to the patients. The agent leaves with the parties who order trees, a copy of his memorandum or order which says, in printed letters. "If any varieties named cannot be supplied others equally desirable may be substituted."

HORTI.

Walsingham, Oct. 4th, 1861.

### Garden Botany—The Fuchsia.

The fuchsia, next to the geranium, is one of the most generally known and popular of garden flowers, and we think deservedly so, and will afford, therefore, one of the best subjects for a lesson on garden botany. And before going further, we may define botany as the science which names plants, attaches a name to the different parts of plants, or, as they are called, organs; investigates the offices performed by these organs, their use in the economy of nature. This science, which half a century ago was thought to be only useful to the physician, or to occupy a place among the "unprofitable knowledge" of the curious or the learned, has now obtained a place in the curriculum of the industrial school; and the government (art and science department) have wisely given it a place in their educational programme. Botany, or the department of it called vegetable physiology, in connection with chemistry, forms the science of agriculture and gardening.

If we examine a plant with a view to discover its structure, the name and position of its organs, our investigation receives the name of structural botany; but if we examine with a view to discover those organs in action, and the offices they perform, or, as the naturalist calls it, their "functions," we are then in the province of vegetable physiology. But as it is necessary we should first be acquainted with the names and relative positions of the organs, just as a child must first know the letters of the alphabet before it can read or spell, so we commence our first lesson on garden botany by enumerating a few of the principal terms used in the science, and illustrating them as applied to some of the organs of the fuchsia.

Let us take up a branch bearing flowers of any fuchsia, and we at once perceive that the flowers are attached to the branch by slender stalks which spring from the axils of leaves. Those stalks are called *peduncles* or flower stalks. The Peduncle, though very general, is not universally developed in plants, there being many cases where flowers are born immediately on the stem or branch, without any peduncle; the latter are said to be *sessile*, while the former are *pedunculate*. At the extremity of the peduncle we may observe a considerable swelling of an oblong, roundish figure, and green colour, which gradually grows larger. This, which might seem to be a part of the peduncle, or at least



the base upon which the flower was constructed, is not so, but an essential part of the flower, as we shall see hereafter. Let us now suppose that we hold the flower of a fuchsia erect, that is, the reverse to the way it generally hangs on the plant; we find the ovary—for such is the organ we have just alluded to as terminating the peduncle—surmounted by a tube which terminates in four lobes. This is the calyx, and most external part of the flower. In the fuchsia it is generally red or white or some variety of flesh color, in this particular differing from most other flowers, in which the calyx is mostly green. Passing inward, that is, towards the centre of the flower, we find four colored leaves attached to the side of the tubular part of the calyx, and alternate to its lobes. In the variety of fuchsia we are examining (*Venus de Medici*) they are of a beautiful purple; these are the petals, and taken collectively are called the corolla. Such a corolla is called *polypetalous* in contradistinction to *monopetalous*, where the petals cohere and become united, so as to form one piece, as in the bell-flower; the calyx and corolla together are often spoken of as the floral envelopes. Proceeding still to the centre of the flower, we find eight thread-like organs, like the petals, inserted into the tube of the calyx, and terminated with little two-celled cases. The thread-like body is called the *filament*, and the little case the *anther*, which together are called the *stamen*. The anther contains a dust-like or powdery matter called the *pollen*, which is of great importance in the economy of vegetation. A stamen, then, consists of the filament, the anther, and the pollen; but plants are to be met with whose stamens are without filaments, in which case the anther is said to be sessile. We now arrive at the central organ of the flower, namely, the *pistil*. In order to examine it properly, let us remove the calyx, that is, cut it off at the place where it is attached to the ovary, and in doing so not to cut through, but preserve the pistil untouched. Having removed the calyx and with it the corolla and stamens, we have now remaining the pistil. It consists of three parts, viz., the *german* or ovary, the style, and the stigma. The name of *german* or ovary is given to the lower portion, which, when arrived at maturity, is the fruit. By cutting it through we will perceive that it consists of four compartments, which are filled with embryo seeds. The long thread-like portion of the ovary is called style, and the swelling at the summit, which, like the ovary, indicates four divisions, is the stigma.

We have now examined the flower of the fuchsia, and found it to consist of a calyx and corolla, stamens and pistil, which organs are, with few exceptions, found in the flowers of what are considered the highest or most perfectly organized plants; but as we descend in the vegetable scale we find one or more of those organs wanting, and cases are to be met with

where a single stamen or a single pistil constitutes a flower, and as we descend still lower, all traces of a flower disappear, or at least of such a flower as we have indicated as characterizing the great division of the vegetable kingdom known as the class of *phenogamous* or flowering plants.—*Irish Farmer's Gazette*.

### Planting Chestnut for Timber.

Young, second growth chestnut trees, make excellent fencing and other timber—and if, in addition, it be cut in summer, (whether with or without regard to the age of the moon, no matter which,) it will last a long time. John Johnston of Geneva, finds second growth chestnut best for his fence posts—old trees he regards as of little value.

Chestnut trees, on light soil, grow very rapidly. Any farmer who has a few acres to spare, may make a very valuable investment by planting a chestnut orchard. The best way to do it is to take a field that is suitable for some cultivated crop, corn for example. Plow two or three furrows together into a ridge twelve feet apart, over the whole field, either late in autumn so as to admit of early planting, or else early in the spring. Plant the chestnuts along this ridge three or four in a hill, about the same distance as hills of corn. They are difficult to transplant with success, or without check in growth and therefore this mode secures vigorous young plants at once, thinning out all but one in each hill the following year. Plow the spaces between, and plant with corn or potatoes, and cultivate and keep clean the young trees with the rest of the field. If care is taken by using stakes, each hill of chestnuts may be made to stand in a row with the hills of corn, so as to cultivate whole field both ways. Or, if the corn is planted with a drill, it will not be necessary to take any care in this respect, as the cultivator will run one way only. This cultivation, if kept up for a few years, with crops of corn, beans, potatoes, carrots, &c., or with plow, stripes near the trees, and sowed grain between, which is not so good, will give a very rapid start to the young trees; and if they are thinned out in some years as they crowd, thus giving good stakes, they will, by twenty years, form a very valuable plantation—this being the age found most profitable to cut down the young timber for renewal. A great advantage of this plan is the wagon used for drawing off the timber may be driven between the rows in a straight, smooth road, and not as in common irregular woods with constant twists and turns to avoid little trees, stumps or roots.

Many fail in raising the chestnut from seed because they allow the shell of the nut to become dry. Take fresh chestnuts in autumn, and mix them with slightly moist leaf mould, and leave them exposed, out of the reach of mice all winter—they are best if in contact with the

ground. Then, as soon as they begin to  
in spring, plant them two inches deep.  
Locust trees may be planted in the same way  
corn, but need not be planted before the corn  
as they will not sprout without scalding: for  
reason they are more easily managed. They  
be admirable timber, when not injured by the  
— *Country Gentleman*.

## The Apiary.

### Bee Culture in Canada.

Toronto, Sept. 26th, 1861.

*the Editor of the Canadian Agriculturist.*

Sir,—Having recently arrived in Canada from  
Iland, where I took considerable practical  
rest in the improved management of the  
Bee, I should be greatly obliged, if any  
Canadian beekeepers would favour me, through  
columns, with the result of their experi-  
ence in Canada;—stating the system they found  
most profitable, the average yield of honey, the  
time to stock an apiary, cost of stocks, or  
losses, and any other particulars which might  
be useful.

By kindly inserting this letter in the next  
number of your useful journal you will confer a  
great boon on

Yours, truly,

APIARIAN.

Bees are not generally kept by farmers in  
Canada, although we have been assured by ex-  
perienced persons in that line, that they might  
be kept profitably. Clover, buckwheat, &c., are  
excellent substitutes for the heather and field  
flowers of the old country, from which bees ex-  
tract the best materials in secreting honey. We  
obtained a jar of very fine honey at the Provin-  
tial Exhibition, at London, the other day, be-  
longing to Mr. G. Miller, of Markham. We  
should be happy to hear from any of our readers,  
especially beekeepers, in reference to the informa-  
tion requested by our correspondent.—*Ed.*]

## Transactions.

### REPORT ON THOROUGH DRAINAGE.

BY MR. GEORGE SMART, OF BOWMANVILLE.

Presented before the West Durham County Agri-  
cultural Society.

*the President, Vice President, and Di-  
rectors of the Agricultural Society  
of the West Riding of the County of  
Durham:*

GENTLEMEN.—I have had many applica-

tions for information on the subject of farm  
drainage, from several of the enterprising  
agriculturists of this section of the country,  
who, no doubt, thought that as I was interest-  
ed in the manufacture of drain tiles, I ought  
also to be able to give such information in  
reference to the principles of farm drainage  
as would enable them to estimate, nearly,  
what amount of drainage they would require  
to put their farms in a proper state of culti-  
vation, and rid them of that. I may say  
the greatest of all nuisances in connection with  
the farm, viz.,—a superabundance of sur-  
face water.

But, however willing I might be to do so,  
I have found it impossible, in course of con-  
versation, to give any adequate idea of what  
would be required, or to give anything  
like reliable information, as there is such a  
variety of causes and effects, so intimately  
connected with and bearing upon the sub-  
ject, which require a good deal of consider-  
ation and reflection in order to arrive at any-  
thing like a correct estimate of what actually  
would be necessary under various circum-  
stances, and in a variety of soils.

But having no desire to withhold any in-  
formation of which I am possessed, or can  
obtain in the perusal of standard works in  
connection with the subject, I am willing  
to impart it to others, if by so doing I should  
be the means of forwarding the cause of  
Agriculture in this section of the country,  
in which I have for many years past taken a  
deep interest.

It has been suggested to me, that in  
order to treat this subject fully, I would not  
only be required to be thoroughly conversant  
with its principles, but also to be something  
of a geologist, and well acquainted with the  
scientific terms made use of by persons writ-  
ing on the subject. This, certainly, is a dif-  
ficulty; but, as I make no pretensions to a  
great amount of scientific research, I merely  
intend to state as clearly as possible, and in  
plain terms—such information as I have  
been able to gather, and quote occasionally  
from the writings of scientific men, such  
matter as I think will be useful and instruc-  
tive, in order that the lack of information in  
regard to the principles of farm drainage as  
applied to this portion of the country, may  
be at least partially overcome.

Farm drainage is a subject which is be-  
coming of more importance to this country  
every year, and I believe that farming, on

cleared land, I mean land free from stumps, which has been cultivated for a number of years, (particularly clay soils,) cannot be made to pay a full profit without under draining: and that ... such farms (and there are many of them) in this section of the country, would be greatly improved, even if they were drained to that extent which the limited means in the hands of our farmers would allow. This, in my mind, is no longer a question of doubt; and it has also been proved satisfactorily by a number of persons who have given it a fair trial. And I would merely say to those who are still skeptical, either as regards the advantage or profit of underdraining land:—make a fair trial of a small piece of drain, on any land which has hitherto been good for nothing on your own farms, and if the drain does not pay for itself, if properly constructed, during the first four years the land is in crop, it will be the first case of the kind which has come under my observation; and I feel satisfied that farmers who have the means, cannot find a more safe or profitable investment for their money than by improving their farms in this manner. The experience of some of the best farmers in Britain, in the absence of all other proof, would be sufficient to show that capital may be more safely invested in this than in any other way. For after very large expenditures have been made by farmers in Britain, amounting to millions of pounds, it has been clearly proved that the increased profit from what is termed partial draining is sufficient in a few years to pay the expense, even of thorough draining, thus leaving their farms permanently increased in value, to the amount of the total cost, while the income is augmented in a still greater ratio. And an eminent writer on this subject says: “It is quite doubtful whether Britain could now sustain her population, were it not for her system of thorough drainage.”

It has been a source of gratification to me to observe, that prominent agriculturists in this country are becoming alive to the advantages to be derived from draining, and I have also been much interested and edified by the perusal of several well written articles, which have appeared during the past year or two in the publications of this province from the pens of gentlemen of high standing, who would wish to arouse and stimulate farmers to take action in this matter.

It is a new subject, comparatively speaking,

in this Province, and which is not well understood; and I may say there are but few capable of teaching its theory and practice yet many farmers are awake to its importance, and are eagerly seeking information in reference to it. Many are already endeavouring to drain their land, conscious of their want of the requisite knowledge to enable them to do so in a proper manner, but who are, nevertheless, willing to run all risk rather than plow and sow portions of their land year after year without even reaping enough to pay for the seed. I have often thought a treatise on this subject much wanted, on which would contain an elementary and thorough exposition of the principles upon which Canadian farmers ought to drain their lands, as compared with some of the systems adopted in other countries, one which would tell the man who never saw a drain tile, what thorough drainage means, and which would convey to those who have studied the subject from British authors only, the difference in the climate and soils, in the price of drain tiles, labor, &c.; which must modify or operations in this country to a certain extent compared with England, Scotland, and Ireland. And although, as I have previously stated, I do not pretend to be possessed of any great amount of ability to treat this subject fully, still, I think I may be able to bring before you a few comparisons and suggestions, which, in the present lack of information, may not be altogether useless.

In the first place, then, let us trace the progress of farm drainage from the days of old, and review some of the principal systems which have been adopted, for that purpose, in modern times in Britain, and partially in America. So far as I can learn, the ancient Romans were not what might be termed far-famed agriculturists, although, I doubt, there were many eminent men among them. You are no doubt aware that they were famed for sculpture, and for ingenuity in various branches of mechanics. They were also great manufacturers of pottery and earthenware, and amongst the rest of the articles they were in the habit of manufacturing from their mother earth, I observe that they made pipes, not tobacco pipes. I presume, because they were used for that purpose, as is said, of conveying water from place to place, that is, as aqueducts. I cannot find anything which would lead me to su-

that they used them as a means of draining, although they are said to have drained the land. Some of the old Roman writers have given minute directions for forming drains of various materials, such as stone, brick, bundles of brush, straw, &c. So you will perceive that even at that time draining was considered worthy of attention by civilized men; and no doubt was carried on extensively, and profitably, in those days, as it is now, although not to the same state of perfection.

Nothing is mentioned by British authors of anything having been done in reference to land drainage in England, Ireland, or Scotland until the year 1650, when a gentleman named Capt. Walter Bligh published a work setting forth the great advantages of draining as applied by him to water meadows and swamps, and as being applicable to all wet lands. Nothing is mentioned by him of drain tile having been used for that purpose. But subsequently, however, in a note of Stephens' on draining and irrigation, we find the following statement and opinion. "In draining the park at Grimsthorpe, Lincolnshire, some tiles were found 8 feet under the surface of the ground, and were similar to those now used for the purpose of drainage, although they must have been in the ground over one hundred years, they were in the best state of preservation." I cite this note particularly to show and convince those who are sceptical as to the durability of tiles, that they have been found to stand that time and that there need be no fear in adopting them as the means of drainage.

The first account we have of anything like a system of drainage, is what is known as the Elkington system. It appears that in the year 1795, at the request of the Board of Agriculture, the British Parliament voted Joseph Elkington, an English farmer, the sum of £1,000 for his valuable discovery in the art of draining land, the details of which were brought before the world at that time by Mr. John Johnston. Mr. Elkington's fame as a practical drainer is said to have quickly and widely extended and was very generally employed throughout England for many years afterwards, particularly in the Midland counties, of which he drained thousands of acres successfully, and although much of the land was previously of little value, it is said to have become the most valuable land in that section of the country,

producing the most valuable kinds of grain, and affording the richest pasture for stock.

James Smith of Deanston, Stirlingshire, Scotland, next after Elkington, in point of time, was the prominent leader in drainage operations in great Britain. His peculiar views came into general notice about the year 1832, and in 1844 he published the seventh edition of his remarks on thorough drainage. He is said to have been the first advocate of any system worthy of that name, because instead of the few deep drains cut with reference to particular springs or sources of wetness adopted by Elkington, Smith practised a systematic operation over the whole field, at regular distances, and at shallow depths of about three feet, according to the level. He considered that much more injury arose from the retention of rain water in the soil than from springs, while Elkington's attention seems more particularly to have been directed to springs as the source of the evil. Both theories, however, may have been correct, as suited to the general character of the soil on which they were adopted. Smith's system may be given in a few words. He says the drains should be made parallel with each other, and at regular distances apart, and should be carried throughout the whole field without regard to the wet or dry appearance of the soil—the principles of this system being, the providing of more frequent opportunities for the water rising from below, or falling upon the surface, to pass completely off, with greater facility, through the numerous drains, which he says may be constructed with various materials where tiles cannot be obtained conveniently, such as brush, or faggots, tied together in bundles, stones, timber, &c.; but he further adds that where drain tiles can be got, they are decidedly superior to any other material now in use, as they are not only found to answer the purpose better, but are more durable, and not so liable to get choked up, or get out of order, as the drains are which are laid with the other materials mentioned. This system of Smith's has been adopted to a considerable extent. Throughout England and Scotland it has been found to be very efficient, and beneficial in its effects, more particularly in heavy and wet clay soils, which obtain to a very great extent in many places both in England and Scotland, and by which millions of acres of such have been reclaimed from almost a state

of worthlessness, to be the most valuable land for agricultural purposes.

Some years subsequently, however, we find Mr. Josiah Parks before the agricultural world as the author of several essays on the philosophy and art of drainage, and of many papers on the subject which were published in the Journal of the Royal Agricultural Society, in which he held a high position. His views differ in some respects from those of Smith—first, in as far as he advocates a less frequent system of drains, at intervals varying from 21 to 60 feet, with preference for wide intervals, and deeper drains at a minimum depth of 4 feet, designed with the two fold object of not only freeing the active soil from stagnant water, but of converting the water falling upon the surface into an agent for fertilizing the soil; and he deemed no system efficient that did not both drain off the water falling upon the surface, and keep down the subterranean water to a depth exceeding the power of capillary attraction to raise it too near the surface. He coincides with Smith in regard to running the drains parallel with each other, but claims that the advantage of increased depth compensates for the increase in width between the drains. He also gives it as his opinion that pipes, or tiles, are the best known materials for the purpose of agricultural drains. The most material difference between the systems adopted by these two leaders of what has been termed rival systems, are, while Smith advocates drains of 2 or 3 feet in depth, at from 10 to 24 feet apart, Parks contends for a depth of not less than four feet, with a width between of from 20 to 60 feet, the depth compensating for the increased width. Mr. Parkes at first advocated the use of pipes of only the inch bore, and also that land could be drained by his system at an average cost of about fifteen dollars per acre, but subsequently he is said to have modified his ideas, both in regard to the size of the tiles and as to the cost of drainage per acre, which he afterwards fixes in his estimates as ranging from fifteen to thirty dollars, according to the nature of the soil and other local circumstances.

No doubt, both of these systems are worthy of our consideration, as they have been extensively practised in Britain, and from any information I can obtain in reference to them, I find that both have their advocates, and are the systems generally adopted where thorough drainage is carried on extensively.

I am inclined to think either of the would answer the purpose, and might be adopted according to the nature of the ground to be drained, or the depth from the surface at which the water bed is found, which in this part of Canada is generally between 1 and 2 feet, and is termed the subsoil and the hardened soil, and is formed by a strata of sand, or gravel mixed with limestone gravel, varying in thickness from half an inch to four or six inches. But on many farms, more particularly upon what are termed old farms in this country, is to be found in many cases another description of waterbed, which is the result of a bad system of cultivation. In reference to the hard formation frequently to be found at the bottom of the plow furrow in clay soils, which is very injurious to crops as through it water can scarcely penetrate and therefore has to lie at the bottom, at the roots of plants, until it is dried up by evaporation, the effectual cure for which is the thorough application of the subsoil plow. But this is not the real water-bed to which I wish to call your attention, but merely a hard formation of clay of about 1 or 2 inches thick, made by the frequent action of the plow sole at the same depth for a number of years in succession.

The real water-bed is generally about 4 feet below the surface, and through the water percolates, and on drained soils it finds its way into the drains, which should be constructed a little lower than the water-bed, so that they may draw the water from both. But on underdrained soils it has to find its way to some lower level, where it oozes upon the surface, it may be on the face of some distant slope, in the form of spring, or it may accumulate at certain seasons of the year in the hollows or low places, where it becomes stagnant, not only destroying the vegetation of any crop which may have been sown in such places while comparatively dry, but in consequence of the evaporation which, after the water has been removed, leaves a lot of noxious weeds spring up, the seeds of which are carried and near by birds, and even by the wind, and deposited on alluvial soils, where they spring up and become a source of great annoyance to the agriculturist.

But to proceed. Another system called the Keythroe system has been adopted in many cases in England, the peculiarities of which consist in this, viz., that the parallel drains

not equidistant, and that they cross the of greatest descent; the usual depth is feet and a half, but some are as deep as five and even six feet. The depth of the drains are determined by digging trial holes in order to ascertain not only the depth to which the bottom water is reached, but the distance to which it will rise in the holes, and the distance at which a drain would lay the water dry. It is claimed for this system by its advocates that it is far cheaper than any other, because its drains are only laid in the places where by careful examination beforehand they are found to be actually necessary, so that it is a great saving of expense when compared with the system of laying drains at equal distances throughout the

land. I think in reference to this system that it is an improvement on its predecessors,—first, because it is now generally acknowledged by practical drainers, that the drains should be laid with the greatest line of descent, and across it, as recommended in this system, and secondly, again, I think, according to the plan specified, that it would cost as much less to drain by this system, which cannot be called a system of thorough drainage, than it would cost to thorough drain at an average depth of 3 feet.

There is yet another system to which I will allude. It is termed the Wharnclyffe system, and is a combined system of deep and shallow drains, the principles of which are as follows, viz. :—

It is said that in order to secure the full effect of thorough drainage in clay soils, it is necessary that there should not only be well conducted for the water which reaches the surface, but also subsidiary passages opened through the substance of the close subsoil by means of atmospheric heat, and the contraction which ensues from it, the cracks and fissures which result from this action, are reckoned upon as a certain and essential part of the process. And to give efficiency to a system of deep drains beneath a stiff clay soil, natural channels are required. To produce them, there must be a combined action of heat and evaporation. He further goes on to say that if we drain off effectually and constantly the bottom water from beneath the clay, and from its surface as far as it is possible of percolation, and by some other means provide a vent for the upper water, it requires nothing more than facility to

run freely, there seems good reason to suppose that the object may be completely attained, and that we shall remove the moisture from both portions as effectually as its quantity and the substance will permit.

Acting upon this view then, after due consideration, he says, "I determined to combine with the fundamental four feet drains, auxiliary ones of much less depth, which would do their work above, and contribute their share to the wholesome discharge, while their more subterranean neighbours should also be steadily performing their more difficult duty." He then goes on to state that he accomplishes this by placing his four feet drains at a distance of fifteen to twenty yards apart, and then leading others across at a depth of two feet from the surface, at a distance of eight or ten yards apart, and at an acute angle with the main drains, at the junctions with which the two feet drains were either to be sloped down so as to run into the main drains at the same level, or if not so sloped down that the water should be made to descend from the high to the lower drains, through a few loose stones placed perpendicularly from the one to the other.

I believe that this system might work well in this country, for two reasons, first, because the two feet drains would be liable to be frozen up solid every winter—and, secondly, because the subsoil plow, which is coming more into general use every year, by our best farmers, runs to so great a depth as to be liable to destroy the two-foot drains at the first application. And even if the plow was not run to a greater depth than 15 or 18 inches at an average, any person who has held a subsoil plow must know from experience that it is an implement somewhat unmanageable, and liable to plunge deeper into soft places, such as the covering of drains, so that I am of the opinion that no skill or care could prevent it from injuring two feet drains.

By these systems, however, a great deal of good has been done in England, Ireland, and Scotland, during the past thirty years. Each has had its supporters, and I have no doubt that different soils, having various strata, and lying, as regards inclination, in numberless positions, require different systems of drainage, and no doubt the great incentive in getting up these various systems has been the object of securing the best system at the least possible expense.

Having brought to your notice some of the systems adopted in Britain, let us glance for a little at what has been done in America in regard to this important work, and, to commence at the beginning of its history, I will have to draw your attention to it, at its first introduction in connection with agriculture in the United States. I presume, from any information I can obtain in regard to the matter, that the first person who began draining operations, with tiles, which I consider as the beginning of draining operations in any country where practised systematically, was Mr. John Johnstone, of Seneca County, in the State of New York, a Scotchman by birth, who commenced operations about the year 1835. He is said at first to have got drain tiles made by hand, and set the example of using them on his own farm, the effects produced by which were so evident to every one who had an opportunity of witnessing the way, in which they operated, both upon the soil as regards cultivation, and the increase of produce, &c., that many intelligent and industrious farmers commenced operations also. The demand soon became so great for tiles, that a gentleman in the same county who held a high position in agricultural matters, imported a machine for the purpose of manufacturing them, at less expense than they could be made by hand, and of various sizes, which were then seen to be necessary, according to the amount of water requiring to be carried off. Since then, draining operations have been carried on very extensively in that section of the country, and several interesting publications, containing statements of many successful operations, and giving much useful statistical information in reference to the subject of farm drainage, have been published from time to time by Mr. Johnstone, and several other gentlemen of experience and ability, showing forth the principles upon which they have drained their farms, and the success which has attended their efforts from time to time in so doing. In fact, it is from this source that much of what is known in this country in regard to drainage has been obtained. Tile yards have since been established in many different places throughout the States, which have been manufacturing hundreds of thousands, even millions, annually, of both horse shoe and pipe tiles; the horse shoe tiles were at first most extensively used, but of late years the pipe or sole tiles are preferred.—Other materials have also been used with

varied success throughout the States, such as stones, and wood of different kinds, put in various ways; but it is generally acknowledged that where drain tiles can be got conveniently, and at moderate prices, they after all, the best and most economical mode of drainage yet discovered. It is true drainage has not been carried on to anything like the extent, or efficiency, in the States, as it has attained in Great Britain but sufficient has been done to show, that farmers are also becoming aware of the great advantages to be derived from a cheap and efficient means of drainage, and they are now forming themselves into joint stock companies for the purpose of manufacturing drain tiles for their own use, in sections where it would be difficult to get a person to invest his money in establishing a tile manufactory, and run the risk of being unable to make it pay, without having farmers in the surrounding country directly interested in its support. Such, in my opinion, is the way in which tile making establishments ought to be got up in new countries, and farmers in connection with the work should be able to get what tiles they require at first cost. And on the other hand the maker would be in a better position, in either case, whether hired by the day, or by the thousand, they could contract with some certainty upon making a list of tiles, whereas in the position in which many of us have found themselves, after having spent the principal part of their money in getting an establishment, they could not find sale for one-fourth part of the tiles they could manufacture. And to enable them to live, they would have had to add a living profit to the cost of those they have been able to sell. I mention this particularly, because it affects the interest of both of the farmer who wishes to drain his land, but cannot afford to do so in consequence of the high price of tiles, and of the manufacturer, because with the present demand, even at the high prices, he can scarcely make both ends meet.

I feel satisfied that tiles could be had at a price from 25 to 50 per cent from the present rates, were farmers to go about it in the right way. They could do it either as I stated by joint stock companies, or, where tile works have been established, by a number of farmers making up their minds in spring to purchase a certain amount of tiles they would require during the summer, and deputed one of their number to make arrangements for getting them man-

Or it may be done through the Town-Agricultural Society, in the same manner by subscribers sometimes obtain plaster for land at a cheap rate, or are enabled in cases to procure seeds of various kinds. A fund might be subscribed for the purpose of procuring drain tiles, in the same way as it has been done frequently for the mentioned purposes—until some action is taken by the Legislature of this province, or to that which has been taken in England for its object, the encouragement of drainage.

I merely mention this matter here because that the Americans have used the various means I have described, to assist them in draining some of the difficulties against which they have had to contend in their operations in drainage and in consequence of this they have been enabled, to progress in the work more rapidly than they could otherwise have done, under the high rates of land and tiles, against which they formerly had to contend.

I now draw your attention for a few moments to what has been done in Canada in reference to this important work. And in order to do so we have to look back some twenty years, previous to which very little had been done in regard to drainage in connexion with the farm. About that time however, our farmers having friends in England, and other countries where the beneficial effects of drainage were becoming better understood, frequently received publications from which the subject was treated ably, and which the opinions of scientific men were given, setting forth the advantages to be derived from it.

In this way therefore, and by conversation with parties who had an opportunity of seeing operations in other countries, many were induced to make a trial of small pieces of land in some of the low wet places or runs, on their farms, the advantages of which soon became apparent to those who had constructed drains, and also in a great measure to sceptical persons, who when the drains were being constructed were of opinion that it was only money thrown away, but who were afterwards induced through force of example to try the operation on their own land, after which they were no longer sceptical in regard to the utility, or profit, of drainage, as applied to such places. Very little progress was made however for some

years afterwards, or until about fifteen years ago, when several prominent agriculturists through the province commenced operations on a large scale—but not even then, on anything like a system of thorough drainage.

Very little more has yet been done, particularly in this section of the country, than to relieve some of the fields by running drains in low places or cutting off water flowing from springs, &c.

But this may be accounted for in various ways; first, because it is, comparatively speaking, a new settlement, as compared with some of the first, and more wealthy settlements in the province, and has not until a few years past been sufficiently cleared to admit of draining operations to any great extent. Secondly, because the greater part of those who had their farms sufficiently cleared to admit of drainage previous to the crisis of 1857 and 1858 seemed to be more actuated by a spirit of acquiring more land, even at very high prices, than of improving that of which they were already possessed. This I am aware has been the case to a considerable extent, the consequences of which have in many cases been almost ruinous to the parties themselves. And to this in a great measure, I attribute the general depression which has prevailed in all descriptions of agricultural improvement for some time past, in this part of the country.

Still there are some cautious enterprising farmers, who have been steadily improving their farms by draining, as well as others who have found it to their interest to do so, and from past experience have become convinced, that before they can count with any degree of certainty upon good returns for seed and labour, they must first get rid of the surface water on their farms, and put them in such a position that they can get to work, as early as possible in spring, and not have either to sow their grain in pools of water, thereby losing both seed and labour, as too many are in the habit of doing, year after year, or else wait till the land dries by evaporation, which very frequently does not take place for weeks after the seed should have been sown, and in consequence of the cold, and wet state of the soil, the crops are retarded in growth, and when the drought of summer comes upon them they become yellow, and are more subject to blight and other evils to which early crops on well drained soils are not exposed. And I am satisfied, were the



principles of land drainage and its effects better understood, by the majority of our farmers, greater exertions would be made by them, to put their farms in a better position, in regard to this most important work in connexion with agriculture.

It is now about 16 years since the first drain tiles were made in this part of the country; they were made by hand at the Bowmanville pottery, and were used by John Smart, Esq., on his farm at Port Darlington, and after a fair trial, he found them to be the best, although not the cheapest material for that purpose at that time. And although he had previously drained with cedar to a considerable extent, still by taking into account the difference in the durability, he adopted the tiles in preference to the cedar, and continued to use them for a number of years, until he changed the nature of his farm from that of a wet cold clay, which he could never begin to labour in spring until it was almost too late to sow, and in consequence of which the crops were very often rusted and almost worthless, to that of a rich mellow clay, which can scarcely be equalled in this section of the country for producing any kind of crops. In regard to the cedar drains which I have stated Mr. Smart had constructed fifteen or twenty years ago, they now gave more or less every year, and will soon be useless altogether.

I am aware that some persons will scarcely think it possible, but it is nevertheless true, that I have examined the drains and found the cedar in many places completely decayed, by what is termed dry rot, not by wet rot, as it would be almost natural to suppose, and in many places nothing now remains to uphold the soil, and the drains are frequently being broken through even by animals passing over them. So much then for cedar drains.

The next gentleman who commenced draining operations after Mr. Smart was the Hon. S. Simpson, a gentleman of high standing and of considerable energy and ability, who having become possessed of a nicely situated farm in the vicinity of Bowmanville, determined to make it second to none in this country, either as to its general appearance, or capability of producing crops. But finding many portions of it cold and wet, in consequence of which it would not produce good crops, he determined to commence draining; and in order to obtain drain tiles at a cheaper rate, than they could be made for, by hand, he

was the means of importing the first machine for making tiles into this part of the country since which, he has spared no means necessary to put his farm (comparatively speaking) into a good state of cultivation in regard to drainage. Mathew Jones, Esq., another eminent agriculturist in this section, has done much to improve his farm in this respect.

There are many others whom I mention who have not hesitated to follow a good example in regard to this important work. But suffice it, to say, that through the example of those gentlemen whom I have mentioned, many have been induced to improve their farms by what is termed partial drainage.

The gentlemen mentioned were at that time considered high farmers, and it was supposed by many, that they had more money than they knew how to make a good use of, and that was, as it were, throwing money away, or suppositiōn however has proved erroneous even by the small amount of draining they have done, compared with that which it would yet pay them to construct, they have established the fact, not only in their own minds but also in the minds of many farmers at large, that it will pay to drain, and that land drained partially, the farmer can commence operations a week earlier in spring on undrained soils. This is of itself a great advantage when we consider the shortness of our seasons, even where crops on drained soil are not more sure than on undrained land, for there is not the least doubt, and that the produce is frequently from five to ten bushels per acre more in consequence of even partial draining. These facts, as I have already stated, have been established in the minds of many, but I cannot say in that of a majority of our farmers, many of whom, although possessed of means to enable them to drain, because they cannot see that the money laid out in that way would yield them interest equal to what they are very frequently promised for the use of their spare money, I will not even give it a trial. Many farmers also, I have no doubt, are ignorant of the fact that a great change takes place in the soil after it is cleared of stumps, and that the chemical properties formerly existing in the vegetable matter become exhausted. It is a well established fact, that the soil becomes more retentive in its nature and more compact, consequently it requires more care and attention, both as regards manure, drainage, subsoiling and many

es, which are not requisite while the vegetable mould is upon it in order to produce a crop. And many who consider themselves good farmers may be ignorant also as the cause of deterioration in the produce of farms compared with what they formerly had, or the cause of winter killing in their crops, the cause of blight, the cause of the late and late frosts, which have for some years past affected our crops to a considerable extent in this and in many other sections of the country. And no doubt they would remain so if our kind friend did not draw their attention to the fact, that these evils arise in a great measure from the amount of surface water which they allow to accumulate on their fields, and that this system can be alleviated by a judicious system of drainage which would not only have the effect of drying the soil, but of raising the temperature of the surrounding atmosphere, the benefits of which must be evident to any thinking mind. But there are other matters in connection with, and relating directly upon this subject, which I attempt to bring before you in such a manner as to enable you to understand them: the first is the fertilizing substances contained in rain water.

Rain water is the source of surplus moisture, and is generally termed surface water, the quantity of which is the principal of the evils, and which we contend in land requiring drainage, but it is said to be a great source of fertility, not only because it affords the necessary moisture to dissolve the chemical ingredients of the soil, but because it contains valuable fertilizing substances.

An article by Mr. Caird, in the Cyclopædia of Agriculture, on the rotation of crops, mentions the surprising effects of a fallow, even when manured by manure, has received some explanation by the recent discovery of Mr. Barrell,

that rain water contains within itself, and deposits into the soil fertilizing substances of great importance, equivalent, in a fall of 34 inches per annum, to the quantity of ammonia contained in 200lbs of guano, with the addition of nitrogenous matter besides, all suitable to the nutrition of our crops.

Being the case then, and taking even as an average fall of 34 inches of rain per year as a criterion, how careful ought farmers of heavy clay soils, to be in having them sufficiently porous to enable it to percolate through them, instead of running off

them, so that these nutritious substances may be extracted from it, by the soil through which it would have to pass to the water bed underneath.

Rain water is also said to contain in solution, air and carbonic acid, with ammonia. The first two ingredients are amongst the most powerful disintegrators of a soil, or in other words they contain the properties required to dissolve the chemical ingredients contained in all soils which, when dissolved, become fertilizers also. By this then we are led to see that the rains bring us not only water for the use of man and beast but also food for our plants. And what I wish to impress most forcibly upon your minds in connection with this matter is, first, that while you should remove by proper drainage, the surplus moisture from your land, you should also take care to conduct it through the soil far enough to extract from it the fertilizing substances it contains. And secondly, see that it is removed to such a depth that it will not prove injurious to the roots of plants, as they require warmth as well as at the roots as on the surface in order to enable them to grow with vigor; in short that which constitutes the science of draining, is to have a knowledge of the depth to which drains ought to be laid in order to drain off such water from the water-bed, and not allow it to remain to keep the soil cold.

Evaporation is another great agent which we ought not to lose sight of, in connection with this subject, as it is a most powerful one in connection with drainage, and to it we are indebted for the beneficial effects produced on all soils, but more particularly are its effects remarkable upon soils which are drained, both tending to increase the temperature of the soil during summer, and in consequence to increase the growth of crops, so that they come to maturity earlier and are thereby not so liable to be injured by many of the evils to which late crops are subject. Of the value of these considerations then, let the farmer who has lost more or less of his crops every year make his own estimate. Perhaps he may come to the conclusion that there is more truth in the theory and practice of drainage than he at first imagined and that even in the effects produced by evaporation, he may find a subject of much importance, well worthy of consideration.

Evaporation takes place at any point of temperature from 30°, or even lower, up to 212°, at which water boils. It is increased

by heat, but not caused by it at all times, as an apparently cool wind will very often cause more evaporation than takes place in many instances under a higher temperature of the atmosphere. When the weather is warm and genial, and as I have already said, that the temperature of the soil when drained is higher than it was previously, it must follow that the power of evaporation is also greater, in proportion to the difference in the temperature caused by drainage, by which then you will perceive that evaporation is enabled to perform the work of preparing the soil for the seed, making it dry and mellow with greater rapidity on drained, than on undrained soils, and both working together have a very beautiful effect upon the soil.

Having directed your attention to a few of the systems of drainage as practised to so great an extent in Britain, and also in the United States to a considerable degree—together with a sort of digest of what has been done in this section of our country, and having attempted to show the effects of rain, drainage, and evaporation upon the soil, I will now proceed to show you that although draining has been carried on to such an extent in Britain, it is not because the land requires it more there, owing to a greater amount of moisture or rain than we have to contend against in this country, but, on the contrary, it has been clearly proved by scientific observations that there is a greater quantity of rain during the year in America than in Britain. It is computed that the average annual fall of rain in England is as follows, viz. In the eastern portion it is estimated at 20 inches, midland, 22 inches, and western 35 inches, whereas in this country and in the northern and Eastern States the average annual fall amounts to about 40 inches. The rain also falls more moderately in England than it does in this country. They seldom have such deluges of rain as we have here, flooding the land to the depth of several inches, and, on undrained lands, frequently lying in small lakes for days afterwards. By this you will perceive that this country, compared with England in regard to the amount of rain, should in reality require more drainage to keep the soil in a proper state than is required in England; because, as already stated, the rain falls more regularly there and never in such quantities in a single day, and also because, there, the soil is open the most of the winter, so that it can be worked almost every day

with the plow. While in this country for several months our fields are completely laid up in frost, in consequence of which our work in spring has to be done in a few days—often very superficially on account of the state of the land. But I would remark, though speaking in general terms of this country requiring more drainage than England, still I do not wish you to understand me to say that all lands even in this country require drainage. On the contrary, I am fully convinced that there is a great deal of land in this country as well as in other countries, which does not require artificial drainage; it having a natural porous subsoil through which the superfluous water may find a free passage. And I would fain ought to obtain a tolerably correct idea of the principles of drainage, so that they may be able to discern between soils which do not require drainage, and those that do. I wish before leaving this part of our subject to impress upon your minds this important consideration, that we should understand the natural drainage in itself. For you may depend upon it, that so long as this is not done, so long will we be troubled with winter-rust, mildew, blight, and all the other evils which late crops are exposed to.

But the main point to be considered is, it pay to drain land in this country. We know under certain circumstances it will require a moderate outlay, but we must bear in mind that whatever outlay we make it ought to be considered as a permanent improvement, similar to the putting up of buildings on a farm, which pay for themselves ultimately, by increasing the yield of grain, and waste in stacking, although it may cost in one or two years. Still, in my opinion, a moderate outlay on draining will pay for itself as well as buildings for saving grain, and will return good interest for the money expended, besides enhancing the value of the land permanently, to more than the amount expended. By way of illustration let us suppose out this idea,—say for instance that you have a piece of land, say 10 acres, worth \$80 per acre, and that it pay for itself now in the way you work it a yearly profit of 6 per cent on the value. Now suppose it costs one third more to drain it, the one-third of \$80 is \$26 66 $\frac{2}{3}$ , which is sufficient to thorough drain it, with drains 40 feet apart throughout the field, in many instances would not be necessary. Drains at 66 feet apart, or four

It will be sufficient in most cases, in this part of the country, and would only cost 30 cents per rod, about \$18 per acre. But for sake of illustration we will take the figure at 26 66½¢ to see how much more each acre would have produced in addition to what it formerly produced, to pay interest at 6 per cent. on amount expended, which would be \$16 56¢ on 10 acres or \$1 55½¢ per acre and in order to show the result clearly we will take a year's rotation for example:—1st year wheat at 1s 3d per bushel about 6 bushels, 2d year wheat at 5s, per bush. 1½ “ 3d year oats, at 1s 3d “ C “ 4th year barley, 3s. “ 2½ “ 5th year hay, 40s. per ton, 350 lbs. 6th year pasture, increase of which will pay. 7th year oats, 1s. 3d. per bush., 6 bushels. We see not the slightest doubt this increase could be obtained, even were the figures half as good again. I think that those of you who had experience in the benefits to be derived from a system of thorough drainage agree with me in thinking that it is not rated.

On the other hand, we will see whether thorough draining will pay. Taking again for example a field of 10 acres, in which there is a water run or runs to the extent of 100 rods in length, and 4 rods in width, which is frequently the case; that is, supposing 4 rods on each side of the drain to be wet and soggy, in consequence of which it has produced more than half a crop, and is as bad as a waste to work than any other acre of field; and the average yield on which, for example, of wheat, for sake of calculation, is about ten bushels, which, at \$1, would be \$10. Now we will take the cost of

—cutting, laying, and filling,	
cents per rod.....	\$6 00
tile, or 650, at \$10 per 1,000	6 50

\$12 50

We will take an average crop from the field after being drained, 20 bushels, and the increase in yield by draining, 10 bushels, at 50¢; which would pay within \$2 50 of the cost of draining during the first year. We see that this estimate not over drawn, and that if those who have drained such places take an account of the cost, and the increase in yield; they would, in most cases, bear out this calculation.

It is then, that has been delayed, year

after year, by the wetness of his land in getting his spring work done, or has had the troubles incident to cold wet seasons, will not see the necessity of draining every acre of his land by artificial drainage, which has not a natural drainage of itself.

Taking it for granted that it will pay to drain land, let me bring to your notice a few important considerations in connection with some of the modes of drainage, and first in regard to some of the leading features of the old open ditch and water furrow system, which I may say prevailed to a great extent at one time in Britain and even now in this country. No doubt, open ditches are often required in connection with the most approved methods of thorough draining, as receivers or outlets to tile or other covered drains. But taking them in connection with the water-furrow system, they have been found very objectionable in many ways. First, they are too expensive; the first cost of construction is much more than that of a covered drain, owing to the great depth to which they require to be dug before they will withstand the action of the frost and weather.—Secondly, they require too much attention to keep them clean, and in good order, as they generally require to be cleaned out at least once every year, and very often twice, in consequence of the accumulation of various substances carried into them, which, if not removed, would choke them up and render them useless—Thirdly, they occupy too much land, and are an obstruction to good husbandry: no doubt this is the case, because if open ditches were opened at every wet place or water run in our fields, they would be found a great source of trouble and annoyance in the cultivation of the other portions of land, and would prove a harbour for weeds.—Fourthly, they, in connection with the high ridge and deep water furrow system, have the effect of impoverishing the soil, because the great quantities of rain or snow annually falling upon the soil must either be carried off by evaporation, filtration, or run off upon the surface; and by being carried off by the deep water furrows, the consequence is, that a large amount of the manure and finer portions of the soil is carried off also, and washed into the open ditches. These, then, gentlemen, are some of the principal objections to open drains, and the water furrow system, which has been given up altogether in Britain for some years past.

It is acknowledged by all writers on this

subject, and also by practical drainers, that the drainage of land can be most cheaply and effectually done by means of covered drains, which, as I have previously stated, may be constructed with various kinds of materials. Stones, wood, brush, tiles, &c. have all been used for this purpose—of which, however none have been found so effectual, durable, or so cheap at last, as tiles. But I would by no means discourage parties from using the other materials, if they cannot procure tiles at a reasonable price, or convenient distance.

Let us now turn our attention to a few particulars in connection with the practical operation of laying drains; as this is a point upon which a great deal of ignorance is displayed in many cases. This, as well as every other work in connection with the farm, requires to be done systematically and with whatever materials are used. In the first place persons unacquainted with draining operations ought to consider what they require to do, and in the next place how they ought to do it; and a few suggestions may be useful on this point.

I would beg to observe, that in commencing to drain a piece of land, in the first place, it would be necessary to find the depth of the water-bed, after which find out where you are likely to find the best out-let for your main drains. Then in what direction to run them in order to carry off the water most effectually from the minor or branch drains, and see that sufficient fall can be obtained to do so. Then form a plan upon which to construct your minor or branch drains—which ought to depend altogether on the lay of the land; and if possible run the minor drains, with or against the line of fall, and not across it, either straight or angling, which at one time was considered the best method, but which has of late years been proved to be a fallacy, because on slopes, it is a well known fact that the different strata of soil's crop out toward the surface in many cases. Where the drains are run across the slope, or at an angle along the face of the slope, they frequently, although put down to the water-bed, have been known to lose more water than they conveyed. And the water thus lost would ooze out upon the surface where the hard strata under the water-bed crop out a short distance lower down the slope, or a few yards below the drain, where that hard strata came in contact with the surface soil.

We will now suppose that the engineering

or laying out of the drains has been done with due attention to system, fall, levels, &c. and that every main, sub-main, and minor drain, has been carefully staked out, and fall in each correctly ascertained. This in cases ought to be done previous to any commencement in digging, and if done properly will save a great deal of trouble from drains choking, or flooding, for want of fall.

Now in regard to the work of excavating it is now an established rule, that to be properly it must be at the outlet, so that whatever water may be met with can pass readily away, and the outlet should be kept high enough for that purpose. If there is much it might be best not to take the main drain out the full depth at first, because although they ought to be the first opened, they may be the last in which the pipes are laid, may cave in to a certain extent.

In opening drains the plow is frequently used for turning out the two first furrows deep, with good advantage, provided it is used with a good steady team by a good plow who can guide the plow straight. If it not be done straight it would be the cause of a good deal of trouble, both in taking out the remaining portion of the soil and in laying tiles, but there is no doubt that it is a considerable saving if properly done. A ditching machine would be a great acquisition, if such a thing could be got up, but there have been none got up that has been found to answer a good purpose on hard clay, or gravelly soils, and I presume we will continue to use the drain spades for some time to come, but I have no doubt that a machine for that purpose will eventually be constructed so as to do the work properly. I frequently see people in digging drains themselves a great deal of useless work, digging them too wide, or at a width of 2 feetches at the top and a foot at the bottom, instead of a foot at the top, and from 4 inches at the bottom, so that a person just be able to stand in them to lay the tiles with one foot before the other, by which would save half the amount of excavation. This can easily be done if the proper tools are used, which can now be got at all of the hardware shops, and will pay for themselves in a very short time where much digging is required to be done.

After the main and minor drains have been opened, commence to lay the tiles in the branch or minor drain. By doing so you

to finish the work as you proceed, and will be able to make your junctions properly with the main drains. There is another consideration which requires much calculation, that is reference to the various sizes of tiles you require in draining a field, according to the amount of water which requires to be removed by the various drains, and it is wisdom never to put in tiles too large than too small. When you commence to lay the tiles, use a flat stone or a piece of brick first at the upper end so as to prevent the soil from entering the bore. And in order to facilitate the operation of laying the tiles have them placed in a row, end to end, on the side of the ditch on which there is the earth, making use of none but sound ones, prevent them from shifting about, and keep the joints straight, a narrow spading, the width of the sole of the tile, is frequently run out, of the centre of the bottom of the drain, about the depth of the tile, and in various cases the sides and top of the tile are covered with gravel, sods, straw, and in some cases small brush. I do not consider this wholly necessary, because, if the tiles are properly laid, nothing can get in at the joints but water, and it percolates so slowly that nothing can be carried in with it. When the drains are filled in and completed be sure not to allow water to run in a straight furrow on top of them, but rather if possible have the drain top the highest land in its vicinity, so that the water will find its way from each side rather than straight down, which has a bad effect upon the drains. It would be found very useful if a plan were kept of the position or situation of all the drains on the fields, as a reference in after years, when by the appearance of the surface the drains had lost track of their position, and were not to be found to find them in order to remedy any defect which might occur, and the cause of which it would be difficult to find out were not done.

I cannot close my remarks on this subject without adverting to the universal interest and confidence of the people of great Britain, in the operation of land drainage. This is manifested by the Acts of Parliament which have been passed, having for their object the encouragement and encouragement of drainage, by providing means at a low rate of interest, for the term of years, so that landlords, or tenants, may take advantage of it, to bring their lands into a proper state of cultivation.

The outly in many instances has paid both the principal and interest twice over, during the period for which the money was borrowed at first. And I have no doubt that were our government in this country to take similar action and provide a fund, at low interest, taking security on the property to be drained, it would be a great boon to the Canadian farmer, which many would take advantage of, and profit by, because every cleared acre would then be a producing acre; whereas under the present circumstances, a very large amount of cleared land is producing nothing.

### Miscellaneous.

#### The Farmers' Best Friends.

BY A. HOLLOWAY, MOUNT BRYDGES, CANADA WEST.

Destroy not the birds;  
They're the farmers' best friends;  
For the little they spoil  
They make ample amends.

Some fruit they will eat:  
But grudge it them not;  
For the good that they do  
Should not be forgot.

They keep down the insects,  
Whose rapid increase  
Would injure our harvests,  
Till harvests would cease.

With their songs they amuse  
Our wearisome hours,  
And their presence enlivens  
The shadiest bowers.

Then forgive their slight faults;  
They make ample amends;  
And do not forget  
They're the farmers' best friends.

LOOKING FOR COAL IN EGYPT.—After a ride of two hours we arrived at our destination, about thirteen miles from Cairo. On descending the pit, I found it had been sunk in a very recent calcareous formation, intersected with beds of blue marl, to a depth of 266 yards, which had been ascertained to be about 100 feet below the bed of the Nile; and that there was just as much probability of finding coal on the top of the Pyramids as there. Hastening my return, I found the Viceroy at Shubra, in the evening, playing cards with three comfortable-looking grey-bearded Turks, all of whom, with the exception of his Highness, wore large diamond decorations. When I entered, the playing ceased, and the Viceroy eagerly inquired if I had been down the pit. Answering in the affirmative, and that I

did not consider that there was the remotest chance of discovering coal in such a locality, he inquired the exact depth of the pit, and if in Eu-land coal existed at greater depths. On my replying that certainly coal had been found and worked deeper than the shafts at Tourrs, he struck the table such a blow with his fist, that the shock sent the cards flying up, exclaiming, while fire darted from his eyes "Then I'll sink a thousand pardons." I made my salaam; and rising, left the old Turus nearly in the same state as the trees in the petrified forests.—*Egypt, the Soudan, and Central Africa. By John Petherick, F.R.G.S.*

**LIGHT IN THE SEA.**—A paper on the nature of the Deep Sea Bed, by Dr. Willich, was read at a recent meeting of the Royal Institution of Great Britain. The following passage occurred in it: "Light, or rather the absence of it, can hardly be said to determine, in any important degree, the distribution and limitation of the lower forms of animal life. Light is not essential even in the case of some of the higher orders. A large class of creatures, both terrestrial and marine, possess no true organs of vision, although there is good reason for believing that they do possess some special sensory apparatus susceptible to the influence of light; whilst certain creatures, whose habitation is in subterranean caves or lakes, as in the Magdalena caves near Adelsburg, and the Great Mammoth caves in Kentucky, either possess no organs of vision, or possess them in so rudimentary a state, as to prove clearly that the absence or imperfect development of the sense may be compensated for by the higher development of other senses. It is impossible at present to say to what depth light penetrates in the sea. The photographic art will, no doubt, one day solve the problem. But it is almost certain that a limit is attained, and that, moreover, long before the deep recesses gauged by the sounding machines are reached, where the light giving portion of the ray cannot penetrate even in its most attenuated condition; and yet, as shall hereafter be shown, creatures have been found down in those profound and dark abysses whose coloring is as delicate and varied as if they had passed their existence under the bright influence of a summer sun."

**A MICROSCOPIC AGE.**—If I were to point out what is the most striking characteristic of the present century, I do not think that I should dwell upon it as a scientific age, or as a commercial age, or as a mechanical age, or as literary age, or as a missionary age, (by all which epithets it has been described,) but as a microscopic age. Nothing appears to be so wonderful as the change which has occurred in the common doctrine of magnitudes. Little things have become great, and great things have become small. As the modern science of chemistry could not spring into existence until an accurate balance was in-

vented, so the modern science of physiology and the whole theory of mortal life, as we now comprehend it, has grown out of the microscope. This is a literal fact, and it is symbolic of a much wider one,—that all modern research has become microscopic. Painting has become microscopic and gives us details of mosses and lichens, which half a century ago would be laughed at as a useless waste of time. History has become microscopic, and enlivens the descriptions of coronations and senates with a minute account of carps and cakes, dresses, dinners, and other trivialities. Poetry has become microscopic, dwells even on the morbidity of the blue fly singing in the parterre, and tells us that the meanest flower that breath can give to the hard thoughts that do lie deep for tears.—*St. James's Magazine.*

**A WOMAN OF GOOD TASTE.**—The following very happy and equally true sketch is from the *London Quarterly Review*. "You see this lady turning a cold eye to the assurances of shopkeepers and the recommendation of milliners. She cannot know how original a pattern may be, if it be new or how recent a shape, if it be awkward. Her ever laws fashion dictates, she follows a law her own, and is never behind it. She wears very beautiful things, which people generally suppose to be fetched from Paris, or, at least, made by French Milliners, but which are often bought in the nearest town, and made by her own hands. Not that her costume is either rich or new; the contrary; she wears many a cheap dress, but it is always pretty, and many an old one, but it is always good. She deals in no gaudy combinations of colors, nor does she affect a studied sobriety, but she either refreshes you with a spirited contrast, or composes you with a judicious harmony. Not a scrap of tinsel or trumpery appears upon her. She puts no faith in velvet bands, or buttons, or twisted cording. She is quite aware, however, that the garnish is as important as the dress; all her inner borders and headings are delicate and fresh; and should anything peep which is not intended to be seen, it is quite much so as that which is. After all, there is a great art either in her fashions or her materials. The secret simply consists in her knowing the three grand utilities of dress—her own utility, her own age, and her own points. And we need not say that whoever is attracted to the costume may not be disappointed in the wearer. She may not be handsome nor accomplished, but we will answer for her being a tempered, well informed, thoroughly sensible, a complete lady."

**ARTIFICIAL MANURE FROM NEWFOUNDLAND.**—Manure, which may now be considered an article of Newfoundland trade, is manufactured on Massacre Island, at St. Pierre's, in the following manner:—Old herring bait, at a cost

of francs per barrel, is salted with foreign salt, then boiled in a furnace, containing 250 gallons, for three hours; when cold, put into thick round mats, made for the purpose, about two feet in diameter, then placed under a screw, about twelve of them at a time, for twenty hours, by which process the water and oil are pressed out; these run by means of a shallow trough and conducting spouts to casks outside the building, after which the oil floats, and is taken off yielding about five per cent; the mats containing the herrings are put out, after pressing, to dry for two days; it is then taken from the mats, put into flour barrels, and closely packed, by treading upon it; some is put into boxes containing 224 lbs. each; the barrel contains about the same weight. Caplin are made into manure as above, but do not produce oil. Cods' heads, also, in the same manner. Cods' heads are also well dried on a beach, for five days or a week, without any salt. They are then packed into flour barrels, screwed in and sent to France, where they are ground up for manure. All these manures are said to do better than guano, and fifty per cent. higher in price. Mussels, oysters, bones, kelp—I saw specimens of all these manures, ground to a powder, said to be equally as good as any other kinds.—*Chemical News.*

The *Linnaea borealis*, a beautiful creeping plant, named by the naturalist Linnæus after himself, and adopted as part of the great botanist's crest, is very plentiful in the woods in the neighbourhood of Riviere du Loup and Cacouna. It is used by the young lady visitors to these places, in the summer months, to twine round their hats, to which it makes a pretty ornament. It bears a small white bell-shaped flower, tinted with pink on the inside, and very fragrant, on a thin short stem, and is most abundant under the shelter of evergreens in half-cleared woods.—Thompson, the author of "Life in Russia," remarking on the love shown to this little flower by the Swedes, says:—"To have produced one man whose reputation has become the property of the universe is their boast and pride to this day, and, as if to prove what the force of example of one great mind can effect, the love of botany is among the Swedes a raling passion. The *Linnaea borealis*, a little creeping plant of delicious fragrance, growing wild in the woods, was first discovered by Linnæus, and with which they crowned his bust, is perfectly venerated. One of my rambles in the country some school boys, who were following the same path, came running to me, stranger as I was, exclaiming, 'see sir, we have found some of the *Linnaea borealis!*'" It will not detract from the admiration which the Canadian ladies show for this tiny creeper to learn its name and association, and how it is honoured in another country. Advertiser.

FLAX—Land intended for flax demands particular attention now. The land should have been deeply ploughed last autumn, and should, as soon as sufficiently dry, be well harrowed, rolled, grubbed, and well cleaned of all root weeds, such as scutch, crowfoot, &c. The best soil for flax is a deep, strong loam; and rich stubble land, after wheat, oats, or barley, produces the best sample, particularly if the grain crops have succeeded well; and the seed may be sown by the end of the month, and well harrowed with a short tined harrow, first one way, and then across, or diagonally, so as to distribute the seeds equally; finish with the roller. The proportion of seed generally sown to the Irish acre is three and a half to four bushels; but it is much safer to show too thick than to thin. Good crops are taken after potatoes, mangels, carrots, and parsnips; but of late there is a decided opinion setting in the north of Ireland against growing flax after turnips. Professor Hodges, of the Queen's College, Belfast, recommended the following special manure for the flax crop for the last three years; but we have as yet no reports as to its efficacy from those who may have tried it. It is said that recent chemical investigations show that the flax crop has taken from the soil those matters which the professor proposes to supply. The quantities are for a statute acre:—

	s.	d.
Muriate of potash, 30lb.....	cost	2 6
Chloride of sodium (common salt) 28lb "	0	3
Burned gypsum, powdered, 34lb. "	0	6
Bone dust, 54lb. ....	3	3
Sulphate of Magnesia (Epsom salt) 56lb "	4	0

10 6

This is also recommended to be applied to the land when flax is sown after turnips, from the results of an experiment made by Mr. James Dickson is growing flax after carrots, potatoes, and turnips

### Editorial Notices, &c.

VETERINARY SCIENCE.—We have much pleasure in calling the attention of our readers to an advertisement in this number, of Mr. Andrew Smith, who has commenced his profession as a Veterinary Surgeon, in this city. We stated in our last that Mr. Smith had arrived, and that he brings with him unquestionable testimonials of high professional talent and moral character. Persons at a distance, having valuable stock requiring professional aid, may communicate with Mr. Smith by letter; and he will hold himself in readiness, in cases of urgent necessity, to pay, if required, a personal visit. With regard to the giving of Veterinary Instruction, contemplated by the Board of Agriculture in their arrangements with Mr. Smith, full particulars will be announced in this journal, as soon as they are finally decided.



We regret that owing to the unavoidable absence of the editors, at the time of our last number going to press, a considerable number of typographical errors escaped correction. As however, the meaning in most cases was sufficiently obvious, we do not think it necessary to append a list of the Errata.

**AYRSHIRE BULL FOR SALE.**

**M**R. Denison, of Dover Court, offers for Sale a thorough bred Ayrshire Bull, bred by the celebrated Ayrshire breeder, John Dodd, Esq., of Montreal. The bull is 3 years old, and can be delivered at or after the Show at London, in September.

Toronto, Aug., 1861.

**FOR SALE.**

**A** LOT of thorough bred improved Berkshire Pigs of various ages.

R. L. DENISON,  
Dover Court.

Toronto, Aug., 1861.

**TO LANDED PROPRIETORS**

**A**N experienced English Agriculturist, for several years practically acquainted with the Canadian Farming, wishes to undertake the management of a Farm, either on shares, or as Bailiff to the owner.

Satisfactory references and testimonials given by addressing *AGRICULTURIST*, Post Office Paris, C. W.

Paris, C. W. June, 1861

3t.

**BOARD OF AGRICULTURE.**

**T**HE Office of the Board of Agriculture is at the corner of Simcoe and King streets, Toronto, adjoining the Government House. Agriculturists and any others who may be so disposed are invited to call and examine the Library, &c., when convenient.

HUGH C. THOMSON,  
*Secretary.*

Toronto, 1861.

**VETERINARY SURGEON.**

**A**NDREW SMITH, LICENTIATE of the Edinburgh Veterinary College, and, by appointment, Veterinary Surgeon to the Board of Agriculture of Upper Canada, respectfully announces, that he has commenced his profession in Toronto, and for the present, may be consulted either personally or by letter, on diseases of Horses, Cattle, &c., at the office of the Board of Agriculture, corner of King and Simcoe Streets; or at Mr. Bond's Livery Stables Shepherd Street.

Toronto, October 3, 1861.

**Contents of this Number.**

The late Provincial Exhibition at London  
The approaching World's Exhibition of 1862  
Importation of Live Stock.....  
Flax Culture in Canada.....  
The Elodea Canadensis.....  
Farm Yard Manure.....  
Scientific Culture of the Strawberry.....  
Templemoyle Agricultural School.....  
Weeds.....

**AGRICULTURAL INTELLIGENCE :**

County and Township Shows this Autumn

**HORTICULTURAL :**

Fruit Tree bending.....  
Garden Botany, the Fuchsia.....  
Planting of the Chestnut for Timber.....

**THE APIARY :**

Bee Culture in Canada.....

**TRANSACTIONS :**

Essay on Thorough Drainage, by George Smart, Bowmanville.....

**MISCELLANEOUS :**

The Birds, the Farmer's Best Friend.  
Looking for Coal in Egypt.....  
Light on the Sea, A Microscopic Age,  
Woman of good taste, Artificial manure  
from Newfoundland.....  
The Linnea Borealis, Flax.....

EDITORIAL NOTICES, &c.....

**The Agriculturist,**

OR JOURNAL AND TRANSACTIONS OF THE  
OF AGRICULTURE OF UPPER CANADA.

**I**S published in Toronto on the 1st and each month.

**Subscription**—Half a dollar per annum Single copies; Eleven copies for Five Dollars—two copies for Ten Dollars, &c.

**Editors**—Professor Buckland, of Un. College, Toronto, and Hugh C. Thomson, Secretary of the Board of Agriculture, Toronto whom all orders and remittances are addressed.