

Excalibur

Volume 1 Number 9

THE STUDENT WEEKLY OF YORK UNIVERSITY

TORONTO, DEC. 2, 1966

DEMOCRACY AT YORK

'If a tutorial leader is doing a poor job, tell him; he won't funk you'. So said Prof. Ian Brookes, at an informal SRC meeting Wednesday on democracy in the university. There was a good deal of discussion and criticism of tutorial leaders apparently doing poor jobs and using seminars as an extra lecture hour. One solution, suggested by Prof. Pope, would be to give the faculty 'seminars on seminars'.

The idea of some type of course evaluation system was discussed including the possibility of an anti-calendar calendar. The present calendar was knocked as inaccurate and inadequate. Next year's calendar goes into print in two weeks.

Dr. Fowle, Master of Vanier, invited students interested in the gen. ed. courses to see him and give their opinions of them.

Another area in which students should try to get a voice is in courses offered and their content. These are now decided upon by departmental committees (subject to ratification by the Senate). Profs, we are told, are interested in student opinion of their courses.

Much of the discussion centred around the idea of reforming the structure of university administration to include students and faculty directly in decision-making. Both student and faculty participants apparently agreed that the responsibilities of the President should be lessened.

One of the major criticisms aired was that the university is presently run much the same as a business corporation with a self-perpetuating Board of Governors, not responsible to either students or faculty, made up not of noted academics but mainly of prominent business men.



Interested S.R.C. panel members at discussion on Democracy at York


BOARD CHAIRMAN:

Oust Protesters

Mr. Scott, Chairman of the Board of Governors of York University expressed dismay with the demonstrations conducted by students on the occasion of the Prime Minister's visit to open Glendon College, according to participants at Tuesday's meeting of members of the Board of Governors, Senate and Student Councils.

It is further reported that he felt students involved in the demonstration should have been expelled and/or otherwise disciplined by Glendon Student Council. Informed sources also revealed that he thought that if students could not afford the \$800 residence fee, there was no reason why they should be in residence.

Splash!!



C'mon you guys, where's the wet stuff? photo: Clark Hill

Disastrous Fire Narrowly Averted

by Ross Howard

Physical Plant and the recently-appointed Fire Wardens missed a hot chance to demonstrate their fire-fighting ability on Monday night, when the fire alarm 'test-pattern' was run in Founders Residence.

Most resident-students had been warned of the event and were prepared (the dining hall was still packed) for the evacuation attempt. However, a torrential rain-storm prevented many students from leaving their rooms during the alarm.

Apparently the alarm was turned in by some member of Physical Plant before any fire was allowed to break out. However, as one Fire Warden commented: 'Yes, we could have had a truly disastrous fire. Can't Physical Plant ever do anything right?'

Don Irwin, FII, added, 'We thought for a moment that the whole residence might be destroyed.'

Most Wardens deplored the total lack of modern equipment available to them, which hampered them in their duties. J. Lancaster, second floor, ex-

pressed the complaint more concisely: 'Where are those damn fire-helmets Physical Plant promised us?'

Senior Tutor 'J.C.' Cutt seemed rather upset at the lack of student participation. He was seen leaving the billiards room, which was filled with spectators for a special marathon game held during the alarm. He commented: 'Oh damn!'

Six members of an all-male 'rescue-party' were removed from the girl's residence about an hour after the alarm by Mr. S. Bull, the night porter. One noted, 'We didn't find any fire, but it sure was hot'. He refused to divulge the source of the eat.

One member of D House, when asked why he jumped from his third-floor window, exclaimed, 'You mean it wasn't for real?' as he was being taken away for repairs.

For the first time in the last four false alarms, the firemen were not available for comment.

The representative from Physical Plant was last seen walking home through the puddles.

Government Moves to Thwart High Priest of L.S.D.

OTTAWA (CUP)--The federal government is investigating the export of records into Canada by Dr. Timothy Leary--the high priest of LSD--and the legality of advertisements for the records appearing in Canadian University newspapers.

Margaret Rideout, parliamentary secretary to health and welfare minister, Allan MacEachen, said during a House of Commons adjournment debate, that the sale of the records is being 'looked into.'

Mrs. Rideout was replying to a question raised by the Social Credit MP Howard Johnston. Mr. Johnston said he had seen the record advertised in The Sheaf, University of Saskatchewan's student newspaper.

Records could be bought by sending \$3 to a New York address, he said.

The advertisement has also

appeared in the University of British Columbia's Ubysey and the Gazette from the University of Western Ontario.

Mr. Johnston also expressed concern about the appearance of beatnik poet, Allen Ginsberg, on the CBC television program 'Sunday' and an article in the Toronto Globe and Mail in which Ginsberg advocated LSD for all Americans over 14 years of age.

'Why allow unscrupulous operators to build up a demand?' he asked.

Why should the CBC subvert the efforts of the health department?

Mr. Johnston was referring to a May 16 statement by Mr. MacEachen which said the health department, along with the RCMP, is considering implementing special measures which would permit 'more effective control of LSD than is possible under present legislation'.

Excalibur



editor
managing editor
news editor
assistant news editor
features editor
business manager
entertainment editor
photo editor
sports editor
lay out

ron graham
mannie zeller
fred nix
frances de angelis
gary gada
henry gertner
don mckay
clark hill
jim richardson
ross howard
rolly stroeter
rosamund dunkley
heather anderson
rich levine
sam stern

circulation manager
excalibur is published weekly by students of York University on York campus. Opinions expressed do not necessarily represent those of the student council or the university administration. phone-635-2300
Associate member--Canadian University Press

Editorials

Vietnam and the Workers

"The majority of those who marched were not students but young workers. This is in sharp contrast to the composition of the North American anti-war movement. In this aspect we must attempt to emulate the Europeans. We all know that there is a direct relationship between rising costs and the war in Vietnam. In Canada it is the young workers who are leading the struggle against rising costs. We must link up with the working class if we are to create a force strong enough to end Canadian complicity."

Excerpt from speech by Joe Young, Chairman of York's End the War in Vietnam Committee on the European protest march.

It would seem that Mr. Young is a captive of the old myth that the proletariat is necessarily a force for liberty and social progress. On the contrary, political and sociological studies have shown that the working class is probably a strong bastion of authoritarianism.

A study conducted by UNESCO in Germany in 1953 which asked "Do you think that it would be better if there were one party, several parties, or no party?" showed a strong correlation between the working class and the desire for a one party state. Similar studies conducted in Austria, Japan, Brazil, Canada Mexico, the Netherlands, Belgium, Italy, and France brought a similar result.

Another study to show the relationship between occupation, education, and political tolerance in the United States undertaken in 1955 consistently revealed that the lower the education and the lower the occupational skill was, the higher the degree of political tolerance.

Similar studies have shown a correlation between extremist religion and the working class.

So, Mr. Young, if the anti-Vietnam war movement is really agitating in the interests of democracy, it would seem unlikely that it would get the support of the working class or be made up of workers, as you say it is in Europe. But then, perhaps the anti-war movement isn't in the interests of liberal-democracy. Perhaps some of those who are leading the movement have authoritarian

Fee Rises and Hell Raising

Will residence fees rise another \$130 next year? It is now rumoured at York that they will.

We don't know that there is any reliable source for this rumour. Nonetheless, let it be stated that any further increase would be outrageous!

York students already pay one of the highest fees for residence in Canada (\$820). A further increase would mean that students would be paying about \$135 a month for a sparsely furnished room and meals. It would mean that residence would be restricted to a rich elite of students. Since York Campus is isolated from the city, it would mean that many poorer students from out of town would be barred from coming to York. York would indeed become the snobbish, upper class 'private' university that so many claim it already is. "Would such a university be compatible with President Ross' noble concept of 'The Whole Man'?"

If the fees are raised, students should resist with every resource available such a rank prostitution of the university's goals. The university should set its high standards through quality education, not high fees. And there should be equal education for all. York should not become a quality school for the rich.

We hope to hear and soon a firm definite denial from the Administration that fees will rise, or that fee raises are even contemplated. If no denial is forthcoming, then let us all raise hell!!!

Letters

Dear Sir:

Excalibur is, according to the front page, the student weekly of York University. So far, I would like to commend you for maintaining this format in spite of

the predominance of Founders students on the editorial staff.

However, I object strongly to the article in last week's paper entitled 'Female Founders lose Heartbreaker in Overtime'. It

Warga Speaks

REASONABLE DOUBT INDEED

In this weeks PRO TEM Paul Stephens presented his view that the Warren Commission on the Death of President Kennedy should be reopened. I disagree emphatically!

Dear Paul:

Hi old basketball buddy! I must compliment you on your presentation of the doubt existing in the Kennedy Assassination. You presented a clear and unbiased view.

But I cannot agree with your conclusion that the Commission should be reopened. I believe that a dead man should be entitled to his privacy as live men are. I am just getting used to the idea of seeing Mrs. Kennedy in anything other than black. Her children have now reached the age where they are able to understand their father's tragic death. Why sir up old wounds?

Who is more entitled to know the truth and is closer to the truth than the Kennedy family. They have, until recently, held all the autopsy reports and photographs and x-rays of the late President, and have given them up only with the promise that they would not be shown or published until all members of the present Kennedy family are dead.

Governor Connally, around whom the Life report is built, stated a few days after the Life report was published, that although he believed that there were two different bullets, he does not believe that there was more than one assassin. It seems that he also does not wish the case reopened.

Experts have said that Lee Harvey Oswald, although guilty, could not legally have been convicted for the crime, because

was not until over half way through this report that the writer decided he would let the students of York University know that Founders was playing Vanier. I was most interested in the little human interest anecdotes which appeared at the beginning. Alas and Alack Founders team was 'plagued by colds and test-fever,' injuries were suffered, their top scorer was fouled out and yet they 'tried to do the impossible and almost succeeded'. It was also most interesting and informative to discover who scored--for Founders.

But who gained points for Vanier? Who shot the basket with 17 seconds left in the second overtime period to make the score 16 to 15 for Vanier? Surely the students of York University might be curious about these seemingly minor details?

I trust that such an incomplete and partisan reporting will not appear again in Excalibur. After all, we at Vanier College do consider ourselves part of York University. It is to be hoped that our student weekly will keep this in mind in the future.

Yours hopefully,
June Boag
Vanier II

Dear Sir:

To those students who are graduating who think that there should be one university and one yearbook, I wish to say--just who do you think you are? What makes you think that yearbooks are made for the sake of grads only? What makes you think that there must be but one yearbook? On two campi there are a number of colleges. Perhaps each college will have its own yearbook eventually. Now, as for the fact that

he was assumed guilty before he was brought to trial. Publicity, as in the case of Dr. Sam Shepherd, had already convicted him. What juror could honestly have admitted that he had not already formed an opinion regarding the case.

The question to be answered is whether or not we, the public are entitled to know the truth. Our Society has always been seeking final truths--but perhaps there are things that we are not meant to know.

Are you Paul, and I, and the people entitled to the truth even against the wishes of the Kennedy family and perhaps even against the wishes of the late President himself.

A man can only be tried once. The Warren Commission has done its job--not very well it is true, but if it were found that there was more than one assassin would it help anyone. Would you feel better for it? Would Jack Ruby be freed? And if it was found that there was only one assassin, would it be worth the hardships and sorrows to draw the same conclusions that have been drawn before.

I am sure that in a few years, more seemingly pertinent information will be uncovered. Should we reopen the whole case every five years? You are right Paul, there is a reasonable doubt--a doubt as to whether we are entitled to invade a privacy to discover truth.

'Let sleeping dogs lie' and Let President Kennedy live on in the hearts and thoughts of all but let's not smother the Eternal Flame with millions of words from a Commission Report.

Dave Warga FII

all your friends happen to be at Glendon I think that it is a real shame--but too bad! Maybe you should have stayed there. For if you think that York students are bound to spend \$8000 on a university-wide yearbook just because of you 30 or 40 grads, then you must be out of your minds. Also, I see nothing wrong with asking for 1000 advance subscriptions. The book must pay for itself; and we have to know if the student body wants the book. You couldn't have a better referendum.

Of course, you know by now that we will have a combined yearbook this year at least. For \$8000 we can print a giant 300 pages. The original York campus book would have cost \$4000 for less than half as many pages. We feel that the university as a whole can benefit this year by a combined book--not just grads. As a matter of fact, we at this campus for financial reasons have wanted a joint yearbook all along; but you Glendon friends refuse to co-operate with this campus in many ways. Recently they decided to accept the idea of a joint yearbook (after initially refusing). However Glendon wanted the York University book to be called by the Glendon name, Janus, and to bear the Glendon cover. Now though, we are all happily united in a great huge loving book--and I do not mean just ZZardvark. A final word, if I might be permitted to advise my superiors, the grads, next time you want your little views known, please come out to the well publicized meetings. Yearbook meetings and student council meetings are open even to you.

Yours sincerely,
Carter Hoppe
V.P. Communications
S.R.C.

CUS and Free Tuition

Malcolm Jackson was President of the Founders College Students Council last year and winner of the Murray G. Ross Award. This year he is beginning an MSc. programme in Physics in York's Centre for Research in Experimental Space Science. We welcome his comment on these issues.

by Malcolm Jackson

GOVERNMENT

First, before I begin a defence of Free Tuition and CUS, let me state briefly what I feel is the role of an elected representative of the people; for example, if you like, the role of an elected representative on S.R.C. The need to clarify this role became clear in the Nov. 18 issue of Excalibur when Harvey Margel wrote that the S.R.C. should 'take into consideration what the attitudes of York students were to C.U.S.', and went on to say that the question of C.U.S. should be decided by a general student referendum. Whereas I can agree (with reservations) with the first part, I cannot agree with the second.

An elected representative is not a puppet of the people who elect him. Rather he is an individual given the mandate to represent the electorate in the way he believes is best for them. He is judged when he is elected on the basis of the evidence that is present: his past record and his present platform. He may be criticized or lauded and, in that sense, judged, during his term in office, by such media as the press, the radio and equally well by the personal letters he receives from his constituents. However, when decisions must be made for the people it is he who makes them, based on what he, the enlightened expert, the man employed by the people because he is expert--based on what he believes to be right.

For one example let me deal briefly with the question of free tuition. Hypothetically 70% of the people could be against free tuition when asked by the Excalibur staff and 30% could be in favour of free tuition. Should S.R.C. consider this opinion of the students? Yes! Should S.R.C. oppose Free Tuition as a goal? Not necessarily. The S.R.C. members were elected to represent the best interests of the students. They are the best informed people on the issues. In the light of the public opinion of the S.R.C. members must be

all the more certain they are right, but above all, they must do what they believe to be in the best interest of the students they represent. If the students strongly oppose free tuition let the students elect someone to S.R.C. who will make this his platform. Spring Elections are close enough. (Incidentally free tuition was the platform of several S.R.C. members and you gave them office anyway.)

FREE TUITION

There are many arguments for free tuition. There are few arguments against it that can hold water. Of the arguments against three that come to mind are (1) Tuition fees give the student an incentive to work harder; (2) 'University is the highest form of education and therefore it should be harder to get in, with higher financial and academic standards,' (brilliantly spoken by Ron Cannata F2 in an interview with Excalibur Nov. 18, and echoing the words of our 'progressive' member of parliament and former Minister of Education, Prime Minister Robarts); and (3) The economy cannot afford free tuition at the present.

Now the first of these involves a strange paradox. We all accept that giving money to students for tuition, books, etc. (i.e. in the form of scholarships) provides an incentive to work harder; and now someone is trying to tell us that taking money away from students (in the form of tuition fees) also provides an incentive to work harder. Give me the former type of incentive! Based on the many students with whom I have had contact at university, I would conclude that incentive is due mainly to the social environment from which the student comes. The \$550 tuition fee plays little significant role in determining incentive as far as I can see. Many of those who cannot afford to pay tuition and would, I feel, have incentive to complete a course successfully, lose valuable time worrying and wondering where they can find that \$255

to meet the second instalment. And, lastly, this supposed incentive, (if you could convince me it were such) can give little push to the students for whom tuition fees are a barrier to higher education.

The second argument that I have quoted, is a pompous equivalent to saying that education is a privilege for the rich. It frightens me to think that members of a university community could say this. High academic standards challenge equally this internal ability of every student. High financial barriers challenge, with devastating inequality, the external economic capacities of every student. If tuition fees were any higher you could rename York, The Premier Robarts University and Country Club and poor students and myself would trundle off to Bill Davis' Community Colleges where tuition, if any, we hope will be nominal. (Or perhaps we would go to trade school where we would be paid a subsistence allowance until we were released to the union markets at \$3.00 to \$6.00 per hour.)

The third argument quoted has some sense. We would not expect provincial governments to simultaneously announce Free Tuition for Canada's 200,000 university students. However the economy can stand a reduction in tuition fees (and next year would not be too soon) with eventual total elimination of tuition fees. Furthermore, I believe that the economy would in the long run benefit by such a move.

Now some positive reason in defence of free tuition:

1. U. of T. released a study showing average summer earnings and saving for students, a study which showed an average summer saving at around \$400 and compared this with the \$1600 to \$2100 needed to live during the academic year. How can this barrier be lowered? By Free Tuition.
2. It is recognized in economic circles that the number of people having university degrees can be related directly to prosperity in the country. Canada needs uni-

versity graduates. Let Canada buy some with free tuition. (This should satisfy the men and women who don't want to support non-blood-relations through university. They would, rather, be investing in the growth of their country.)

3. Taking a historical approach, we might look at the acknowledged progress in education in our century. High schools, where once a student was required to pay tuition, are now completely tuition-free (Newfoundland being, I believe, the last province to follow suit). And many high schools now give free text books through all the grades. Does this take away incentive? Are standards lower in our high schools today? Certainly not! Society demanded that her children obtain a high school education and society paid for it. Today society demands her children have post high school training. Again, she must pay for it!

4. There are many other reasons for demanding free tuition, and they can be treated in far more detail than I have attempted here. Ask your S.R.C. to obtain copies of the various studies that have been made in these fields. They will be happy to help you become informed. Read also Porter's Vertical Mosaic to gain some insight into barriers to higher education and ruling classes in Canada. My attempt to convince you that Free Tuition is right must necessarily be brief, for time and space do not permit otherwise.

In concluding my comments on the issue of Free Tuition, I must try to put its importance in perspective. Free tuition is only part of an extensive program being carried out for 'universal accessibility'. It seems easier to most of us to strike at the financial barriers to higher education but the existence of social barriers has not been forgotten. Money must be spent to research and reduce these social barriers as well. I chose to discuss the one aspect, Free Tuition, because it is the aspect of the overall programme which is so often misinterpreted by those who are uninformed.

Great Success:

ONE ACT PLAY FESTIVAL at YORK THEATRE



Harvey Gold, Sandra Gold, Garry Miller (Atkinson College) in THE FUNERAL PARLOUR



June Boag, Ian Brooks (Vanier College) in BEDTIME STORY

One Act Play Festival

Burton Auditorium held its biggest crowd since "Murder in the Cathedral", the plays were diverse and interesting, and the commentary was out of this world. Each of the four colleges presented a one act play. Glendon presented, "I'm Dreaming, But Am I?", Vanier presented "The Bed Time Story", Founders College presented, "The Lover" and Atkinson presented an original play "The Funeral Parlour." Founders Play was chosen as the best production, but it must have been a very difficult decision as every play was of the highest quality. As the adjudicator, Robert Gill said, this evening foreshadows a successful future for the York University Theatre.

Don McKay

WELL, COACH, IT WAS LIKE THIS. . .

York lost two league games last week-end. The ensuing disappointment resulted more from the defeats occurred, rather than from the actual losses.

The 6:0 blasting by U. of W. could easily have gone the other way, had the York team kept its collective mind on playing the game rather than worrying about making mistakes. Friday night's game was a complete mistake.

Saturday was a different story. Although York lost 2:1 in the hands of W.O.I.T., the game was much more enjoyable from a spectator point of view, and somewhat more satisfying to the team. W.O.I.T.'s goalie played an outstanding game, and kept York off the scoreboard for most of the game.

TENSION A HANG-UP

The players weren't able to overcome the tension--a spiritual hangover from Friday's game--until well into the second period. York controlled the play during the last portion of the second period and the whole of the third.

W.O.I.T.'s first goal came on a scoring play of McGuire from Federwick early in the second period, but York didn't begin to settle down to good positional hockey until after Renaud was given credit for W.O.I.T.'s second goal. In my opinion this was a bad call by the referee, for the puck seemed to cross the goal line after the net was pushed off its moorings. The referee's should have called back the play seconds before, when goalie Norm Sperry had the puck.

York had many good scoring chances in the third period, when they had a man advantage for eight

of the twenty minutes but Bruce Eason was the only one capable of scoring.

Hopefully now that the pressure of the first two league games is eliminated, York will return to the fine calibre of hockey demonstrated in the exhibition games.

York plays its next league game at North Toronto arena, December 7, at 6 p.m. against Osgoode. A large turnout is expected for this game, and pre-game rallies begin at four-thirty.

NOTE: Two experienced hockey players to round out the team. Please contact Bill Purcell at 466-0339 or phone Glendon Fieldhouse at 487-6151.

THE SPORTS POT

Fri. Dec. 2

Men's Varsity Hockey--York at Cornell.

Sat. Dec. 3

Men's Junior Varsity Basketball--York at McMaster.
Women's Varsity Basketball--York at Windsor.
Women's Varsity Volleyball--York at Windsor.

Mon. Dec. 5

York Campus Ski Club--meeting in Vanier Social and Debates--7:30 p.m.

Tues. Dec. 6

Vanier's Men Intramural Hockey--A vs. Bus.--6:30-8 p.m. at Centennial Arena.

Wed. Dec. 7

Men's Varsity Hockey--York vs. Osgoode--6 p.m. at North Toronto Arena.

York U. Yearbook to be 300-page Marvel


After a week of prolonged discussions between editors at Glendon and York Campus, a final decision has been reached to present to the York University community an all-encompassing Yearbook for the Centennial year of 1967.

The new publication will contain 300 pages divided into three sections: York Campus, Glendon and Varsity. The latter will be devoted to University-wide interests. The relative size of the population of each campus will be reflected in the coverage. Features of the new exclusive Tipton cover which will have embossed, metallic friezes characterizing York's College tradition. Paul Culver, York Campus

editor has called the new Yearbook, 'a definite improvement over the present system.'

Business manager, Wayne King explained that the new Yearbook will be made available at the price of three dollars per copy. Inasmuch as the new Yearbook will be almost three times as large as the formerly planned York Campus edition, he pointed out that the addition of one dollar to the previous subscriber's price 'is a nominal one compared to the increased content value and expanded features.'

Ron Lieberman, Varsity editor, envisions 1967 as the year in which 'a tradition will have been established by which all future Yearbooks at York University will set their precedent.'



And now, fans, a remarkable example of powerful optical principles -- just FLICK your eyes from photo to photo and watch amazed as the players LEAP FROM THE PAGE.



Hockey is the fastest game on earth.

It's Happening Opportunity Knocks

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 2

Jazz/Classical Concert, Yan Van Hamel, 3:00 p.m. Dining Hall, Glendon Campus.

A Two-Day Conference on the Thought of John Locke, Friday 2:30 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. Saturday 9:45 a.m. and 2:15 p.m. Colloquium Room, New Lecture Hall Building, York Campus.

SUNDAY, DECEMBER 4

Every Sunday at York--Burton, 8:30 p.m. Film 'The Seventh Seal'

Antonioni's L'AVVENTURA (1960) (Italy), 8:30 p.m., Burton Auditorium, York Campus.

MONDAY, DECEMBER 5

Ski Club Meeting, 7:30 p.m., Vanier Social & Debates Room.

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 6

'Christ or Religion', Mr. Anand Chaudhari, 1:00 p.m.

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 8

Panel discussion on 'HOW TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM?', 1:00 p.m., Founders Social and Debates Room. Participants: Dr. Cutt, Dr. Knelman and Edith Guild. Further information: Joe Young, 429-1337.

The Right Door

Numerous students have been entering the new Physical Education Building recently. Students are asked to use only the southeast entrance of the building. According to the contractors there is a serious danger of accidents in using the other entrances, and this is no time, adds the (unofficial) Excalibur Student Advisee Committee, to apply for Aegrotat standing. The Committee plans to publish an up-to-date listing of all non-fatal construction hazards this April.

Tough

The 900 people who have joined Canadian University Service Overseas took on a tough job. Long hours. Little money. But the reward was in the response of people eager to help themselves. Now it's your turn. Write CUSO, 151 Slater Street, Ottawa.

CUSO

The Canadian Peace Corps

FOR SALE: 1960 OLDSMOBILE

Must Sell
\$300 full price
ALL POWER

PHONE: Mrs. C. Feldstein
-222-4005-

WANTED: 20 students for school bus camping for Florida tour. 14 days, Xmas dinner and tree. Leave Montreal Dec. 21. Only \$85.00. Send postal money order to: M. Lovatt,

International Student Club,
67 Craig St. W.,
Montreal, P.Q.
TEL. 112-514-861-0216

WESTWARD HO!!!

Go west at Christmas for a much cheaper rate than train, plane, or bus, and have a ball!

For further information, see Jay Johnson in E407 or Mike Giles in G202.

RED AND WHITE SOCIETY
DANCE

Saturday, December 10 9:00 p.m. and on and on and on

THE LAST WORDS

Dance to their hit record 'I SYMBOLIZE YOU'

Glendon Campus, York Hall Go Go Girls
Door Prizes Donated by RCA Victor, Eddie Blacks, Phono Discs,
and many more.

Price \$1.25 each Dress Casual

PART-TIME TOT-TENDER REQUIRED

Good Money for Capable Young Lady
University family, vicinity York Campus, require babysitting
on occasional basis . . . once or twice weekly (mostly nights)
for four year old girl and infant. No cooking, cleaning or dishes.

Phone - 633-3821