doc CA1 EA752 99R25 ENG

DOCS
CA1 EA752 99R25 ENG
Academic Roundtable (1st : 1999 :
Ottawa, Ont.)
Report from the First Annual
Academic Roundtable : teaching in
foreign policy. -17012056



Centre canadien pour le développement de la politique étrangère

125 Sussex Dr. Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G2

# REPORT FROM THE FIRST ANNUAL ACADEMIC ROUNDTABLE: TEACHING IN FOREIGN POLICY

Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development

May 7, 1999 Ottawa, Ontario

6002.6E

Min. des Affaires étrangère

FEY 0 2006

ISBN: E2-405/1999E

0-662-30861-1



# REPORT FROM THE FIRST ANNUAL ACADEMIC ROUNDTABLE: TEACHING IN FOREIGN POLICY

May 7, 1999 Ottawa

The Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development organised and hosted the First Annual Academic Roundtable in Ottawa on May 7, 1999. Participants included academics from across Canada who are involved in foreign policy teaching, graduate students in the foreign policy field, along with officials from the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade and the Canadian International Development Agency. As this was the first roundtable, a general topic was proposed: the situation of foreign policy teaching and research in Canada. The following report is from a day-long discussion.

# SUMMARY OF PRESENTATIONS

The co-chairs, **Steven Lee** (Executive Director of the Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development) and **John English** (University of Waterloo) welcomed all the the roundtable and opened the discussion by signalling an open agenda. To start the discussions the relationship between foreign policy teaching and government departments (i.e, Foreign Affairs and International Trade, National Defence, and CIDA) was suggested as a topic.

In the afternoon, Fen Hampson presented an overview of the CCFPD occasional paper: The State of Canada's Foreign Policy Research Capacity (NPSIA, Carleton University 1996). The paper outlines strengths in foreign policy research capacity to be: migration and population issues, international security, and Asia-Pacific. These areas of expertise were mainly concentrated in the University system and not in the 'think tanks.' The weaknesses included: human rights and civil society, the 'new emerging foreign policy agenda,' communications and technology, the United States, and Europe.

Professor Hampson suggested the main issues raised in the paper to be used as a basis for discussion. The report cited a lack of informal links between policy makers and the academic community, a lack of research centres situated in small or medium-size cities, the presence of research fragmentation between researchers inside and outside of Quebec (more pronounced in the functional areas than in the regional), and generally a pessimistic outlook for the future improvement of foreign policy research capacity.

The report outlined some of the ways in which foreign policy research capacity could be increased in Canada. Aside from requests for increased funding, certain creative solutions were proposed. These included increased use of networking between institutions (universities, government and NGO's), especially in the 'emerging' areas of foreign policy.

# REPORT FROM THE FIRST ANNUAL ACADEMIC ROUNDTABLE: TRACHING IN FOREIGN POLICY

# May 7, 1999 Ottawa

The Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development organisal and hosted the First should headened Reignable in Ottawa on May 7, 1999. Participants included academics from veroes Canada who are twolved in foreign policy teaching graduate students in the foreign policy field along with officials from the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade and the Canadian Enternational Development Agency. As this was the first foundable, a general topic was proposed the situation of foreign policy teaching and research in Canada. The following report is from a day lang also uses.

# SUMMARY OF PRESENTATIONS

The co-cleairs, hierest Lee (Executive Decetor of the Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development) and John English (University of Waterloo) velopmed all the the roundiable and opened the droughloon by signaling an open agends. To start the discussions the relationship between fastign policy teaching and government departments (La, Foreign A fixirs and International Trade, Mational Persons and CHDA) was suggested as a region

in his alternoon, her hampen presented an overview of the CCFTD occasional paper. The State of Coronia's Foreign Policy Assearch Capacity (NPSIA, Carleton University 1996). The paper outlines succeptus in foreign policy research capacity to be: migration and population issues, interactional accurity, and Asia-Pacific. These areas of expertise were mainly concentrated in the University system and not in the 'think tanks.' The westnesses included: human rights and civit society, the 'new emerging foreign policy agenda.' Communications and technology, the United States, and Europe.

Professor Hampson suggested the main issues reised in the paper to be used as a basis for discussion. The expert eited a lack of informal links between policy makers and the academic commensity a lack of research centres situated in small of medium-size cities, the presence of research frequentialists between researchers inside and outside of Onebec (more pronounced in the functional states than in the regionally and generally a pessimistic outlook for the fature improvement of functions policy research capacity.

The report antimed some of the ways in which foreign policy research capacity could be increased in Capacity Solutions want proposed. These well-ded increased use of networking between institutions (universities, government and MCC) at expanditly if the 'emerging' areas of foreign policy.

One problem underscored by the report was DFAIT and CIDA's catering to a certain limited academic clientele. It was also recommended that there be more opportunities to do research within government, and more collaborative projects between academia and government.

# SYNOPSIS OF DISCUSSIONS

Much of the day's discussion revolved around foreign policy research capacity, with the link often being made between research and teaching. A brief debate arose concerning the goals of foreign policy teaching. Some were proponents of a liberal education where the goal is to produce individuals with sound analytical reasoning abilities, whereas others saw the need to produce graduates capable of working in specific areas such as NGOs, government, academia or the private sector. Some participants remarked that the Canadian university system is in crisis, and small departments have to make tough choices as to what they will teach, at the same time being pressured to create job-market-ready graduates.

Francophone participants noted the lack of French textbooks and readers for students. Participants suggested a French language textbook or reader should be developed to meet an immediate need in the Francophone foreign policy community. Either more support should be given to young scholars to write such a textbook, or as a stopgap alternative, a translation be done of an existing standard textbook. A common effort between English and French scholars could also be encouraged to create a bilingual foreign policy reader.

The question of funding orientation also arose in the course of discussions. Two major potential sources of foreign policy research funding, the Social Science and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) and DND were seen to direct foreign policy research in certain, not necessarily desirable, directions. This issue stimulated the debate over curiosity driven versus policy relevant research: should the latter take precedence over the former? To whom should the policy be relevant? Should policy be only generated for DFAIT, DND and CIDA, or are there other actors that we should be considering?

Participants criticised SSHRC for being pressured from Industry Canada and consequently focussed on applied research. The representative from SSHRC reconfirmed her organisation's commitment to fundamental research, although there is a tendency in their contributions toward applied social research. She suggested that perhaps foreign policy researchers should identify key thematic areas and regions, such as immigration or the Far East, which respond to the needs of policy makers. The system of academic peer evaluation was seen as a barrier to effective foreign policy research, and other methods of evaluating academics should be considered such as their 'value-added' contributions to foreign policy teaching. An alternative to the peer evaluation system could be directed funding.

Participants suggested that the DND's Security and Defence Forum (SDF) strikes a healthy balance between curiosity driven and policy relevant research. This programme (presently 8.5m over five years; see annex), having been in existence in various forms since 1967, has built an impressive

One problem underscored by the report was DFAIT and CIDA's catering to a certain limited academic clientele. It was also recommended that there be more opportunities to do research within government, and more collaborative projects between academia and government.

SYNOPSIS OF DISCUSSIONS

Notice being made included resourced around foreign policy research capacity, with the link often being made included research and maching. A brief debate arose concerning the goals of foreign policy teaching. Some were proponents of a liberal education where the goal is to produce individuals with sound analytical reasoning abilities, whereas others saw the need to produce graduates capable of working in specific areas such as NGOs, government, academic or the private sector. Some participants remarked that the Canadian university system is in crisis, and small departments have to make tough choices as to what they will teach, at the same time being pressured to create job-market-ready graduates.

Francophone participants noted the lack of French tenthooks and readers for students. Participants suggested a French language texthook or reader should be devisioned to meet an immediate need in the Francophone foreign policy commenty. Bither more support should be given to young scholars to write such a texthook, or as a stopgap alternative, a translation be done of an existing standard texthook. A common effort between English and Franch scholars could also be encouraged to create a bilingual foreign policy reader.

The question of funding orientation also mose in the course of discussions. Two major potential sources of foreign policy research funding, the Social Science and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) and DND were seen to direct foreign policy research in certain, not necessarily desirable, directions. This issue sumulated the debate over currosity driven versus policy relevant research: should the latter take precedence over the former? To whom should the policy be relevant? Should policy be easily generated for DFAIT, DND and CIDA, or are there other across that we should be considering?

Participants or applied research. The representative from industry Canada and consequently focused on applied research. The representative from SSHRC reconfirmed has organisation's communication to fundamental research, although there is a tendency in their contributions toward applied social research. She suggested that perhaps fureign policy researchers should identify key themselves and regions, such as immigration or the Fer East, which respond to the needs of policy makers. The system of academic peer evaluation was seen as a barrier to effective foreign policy research, and other methods of evaluating academics should be considered such as their 'value-added' contributions to foreign policy teaching. An alternative to the peer evaluation system could be directed funding.

Farticipant's suggested that the DND's Security and Defence Forum (SDF) strikes a healthy balance between curiosity driven and policy relevant research. This programme (presently 8.5m over five years; see annex), having been in existence in various forms since 1967, has built an impressive

capacity for defence and security related research in Canada. Clearly, the investment that DND has made to develop security and defence research and teaching capacity in Canada has paid off.

Participants also criticised the SDF programme as a barrier to developing *foreign policy* research capacity. The SDF programme, to a large extent, determines the direction of foreign policy research in Canada. It also caters to a regular clientele of institutions and academics, thus limiting the variety of perspectives possible on foreign policy issues. The defence and security orientation of SDF funded research also limits foreign policy teaching capacity by limiting the number of foreign-policy-specific course offerings at the undergraduate and graduate levels.

The preponderance of SDF funding also encourages graduate students to specialise in defence related areas, and not in foreign policy research. Furthermore, SDF directly funds undergraduate students through scholarships, a mechanism which does not exist in the foreign policy field. Moreover, DND absorbs many of these students into its ranks once they have graduated, further increasing the incentive to pursue defence related research. Paradoxically, at the beginning of their studies, more students exhibit an interest in foreign policy research than in defence oriented research, yet the funding structures channel them into the latter. At the present time there are no foreign policy scholarships available to graduate students, a situation which should be rectified.

This preponderance of SDF funding leads to limited perspectives from which foreign policy is examined and developed. Non-traditional perspectives should be taken into account such as gender, critical theory, and in general a diversity of approaches should be taught. Furthermore, foreign policy should be examined from disciplines outside of Political Science, such as Geography or History. It was also suggested that academics should not be pressured by policy driven funding. Their role is rather to analyse foreign policy, not to make it.

In addressing the problem of under funding, or funding from one source, participants suggested that different poles of attraction are needed to encourage the development of foreign policy research and teaching capacity in Canada. For example, increased linkages could be made with international organisations such as the United Nations, or the Organisation of American States. Funding could also be solicited from the private sector, where increasingly, foreign policy knowledge is needed. Also, the implications of foreign policy increasingly extend beyond the exclusive purview of the state, therefore researchers and students should look more towards non-state actors such as the provinces or non-governmental organisations for potential partnerships.

The lack of a foreign policy network also seemed to reduce foreign policy research and teaching capacity in Canada. One participant noted that twenty years ago, the foreign policy community was better integrated than today, therefore a *relancement* of this community building effort would be desirable. It was noted that the Canadian Political Science Association is losing its relevance for foreign policy scholars, with more and more Canadian academics attending the International Studies Association meetings held in the United States. This weakens the international relations network in Canada. Some suggested that Canadian equivalent of the International Studies Association be created as it is hard to publish without the support of a foreign policy relevant

capacity for defence and security related research in Canada. Clearly, the investment that DND has made to develop security and defence research and teaching capacity in Canada has paid off.

Participants also unticised the SDF programme as a barrier to developing foreign policy research capacity. The SDF programme, to a large extent, determines the direction of foreign policy research in Canada. It also caters to a regular clientele of institutions and academics, thus limiting the variety of perspectives possible on foreign policy issues. The defence and security orientation of SDF funded research also limits foreign policy teaching capacity by limiting the number of foreign-policy-specific course offerings at the undergraduate and graduate levels.

The preparations of SDF funding also encourages graduate students to specialise in defence related areas, and not in foreign policy research. Furthermore, SDF directly funds undergraduate students through scholarships, a mechanism which does not exist in the foreign policy field. Moreover, DIVD absorbs many of these students into its ranks once they have graduated, further increasing the incentive to pursue defence related research. Paradoxically, at the beginning of their studies, more students exhibit an interest in foreign policy research than in defence oriented research, yet the funding structures chanted them into the latter. At the present time there are no foreign policy scirolarships available to graduate students, a situation which should be received.

This preponderance of SDF funding leads to lurded perspectives from which foreign policy is examined and developed. Non-traditional perspectives should be taken into account such as gender, critical theory, and in general a diversity of approaches should be taught. Furthermore, foreign policy should be examined from disciplines outside of Political Science, such as Geography or History. It was also suggested that academics should not be pressured by policy driven funding. Their role is rather to analyse foreign policy, not to make it.

In addressing the problem of under funding, or funding from one source, participants suggested that different poles of attraction are needed to encourage the development of foreign policy research and teaching capacity in Canada. For example, increased linkages could be made with international organisations such as the United Nations, or the Organisation of American States. Funding could also be solicited from the private sector, where increasingly, foreign policy knowledge is needed, Also, the implications of foreign policy increasingly extend beyond the exclusive purview of the state, therefore researchers and students should look more towards non-state actors such as the provinces or non-governmental organisations for potential partnerships.

The leek of a foreign policy network also beemed to reduce foreign policy research and teaching capacity in Canada. One participant noted that twenty years ago, the foreign policy community was better integrated that today, therefore a relamentary of this community building affort would be desirable, it was noted that the Canadian Political Science Association is losing its relevance for foreign policy scholars, with more and more Canadian academics attending the international Studies Association successed in the United States. This weakens the international relations network in Canada Some suggested that Canadian equivalent of the International Studies.

Association be created as it is hard to publish without the support of a foreign policy relevant

Canadian based association. There was also support for increased funding for networking amongst foreign policy scholars.

Participants proposed that the links between academics and government could be strengthened. For example, the speakers programme from DFAIT could be more broadly publicised, and DFAIT could give professors more advanced notice when offering speakers to universities. More informal 'brown bag' meetings between DFAIT personnel and academics could be encouraged. In addition, academics should be allowed to observe during international negotiations, and not only be called upon as expert participants. Participants also noted the Léger, Cadieu and Robertson scholarships available to academics to do research at DFAIT were not always being used. Electronic communications could also be used to greater advantage to create a community of foreign policy researchers, students, teachers and professionals in Canada.

# Recommendations to increase foreign policy teaching capacity in Canada

The main recommendations coming out of the discussions were that:

- a homologous programme to SDF, specifically supporting foreign policy research and teaching, be examined, which strikes a balance between fundamental and policy-driven research;
- within this programme, scholarships in foreign policy research be made available to graduate students;
- a French language foreign policy textbook, reader or translation of an existing English language textbook be immediately supported;
- the Canadian equivalent of the International Studies Association be created or alternative networks be supported to increase support for foreign policy academics and students, and to increasing contacts between foreign policy makers, practitioners and theoreticians;
- researchers be allowed to observe during international negotiations and;
- low-cost, creative ways be explored to strengthen the foreign policy teaching and research community across Canada.

Canadian based association. There was also support for increased funding for networking amongst foreign policy scholars.

Participants proposed that the links between academics and government could be strengthened. For example, the speakers programme from DFAIT could be more broadly publicised, and DFAIT could give professors more advanced notice when offering speakers to universities. More informal brown bag' meetings between DFAIT personnel and academics could be encouraged in addition, academics should be allowed to observe during international negotiations, and not only be called upon as expert participants. Participants also noted the Leger, Cadieu and Robertson scholarships available to academics to do research at DFAIT were not always being used. Electronic communications could also be used to prenter advantage to oreste a community of foreign policy researchers, students, tenchers and professionals in Causale.

Recommendations to increase fereign policy teaching capacity in Canada

The main recommendations coming out of the discussions were that

- a homologous programme to SDF, specifically supporting foreign policy research and teaching, be examined, which strikes a balance between fundamental and policy-driven research;
- within this programme, soludardine in foreign policy research be made available to graduate students;
- a French lenguage foreign policy textbook, reader or translation of an existing English language textbook be immediately supported:
- the Canadian equivalent or the International Studies Association be created or alternative networks be supported to increase support for foreign policy academics and students, and to increasing contacts between foreign policy makers, practitioners and theoreticians:
  - researchers be allowed to observe during international pagedifficus and;
- low-cost, creative ways be explored to strengthen the foreign policy teaching and research community across Canada.

# The State of Canadian Foreign Policy and International Relations Teaching and Research in Canada Participant List

7 May 1999

Lester B. Pearson Building

Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, 125 Sussex Drive, Ottawa

# William Bain

Ph.D. Candidate Department of Political Science University of British Columbia

# Dr. Louis Belanger

Professeur Département de science politique Université Laval

#### Dr. Robert Bothwell

Director International Relations Program University of Toronto

#### Dr. Jane Boulden

Post-Doctoral Fellow Centre for International Relations and Defence Management Studies Program Queen's University

# **Dr. David Carment**

1998-99 Cadieux Fellow Policy Planning Division DFAIT

#### Dr. Allen Chong

Post-Doctoral Research Associate Centre for International Security Studies York University

# Dr. Hevina Dashwood

Assistant Professor
Department of History, Philosophy and Political
Science
University of Windsor

# Adriaan de Hoog

Director-General Canadian Foreign Service Institute DFAIT

# Dr. André Donneur

Professeur Département de science politique UQAM

# Dr. John English

Professor
Department of History and
Associate Director, Centre on Foreign Policy
University of Waterloo

# Tony Fang

M.A. Candidate
Department of Economics
Memorial University of Newfoundland

# Dr. Chad Gaffield

Director, Institute of Canadian Studies University of Ottawa

# Glenn Gottselig

LL.M. Programme University of Toronto

# Brian W. Greene

Ph.D. Candidate
Department of Political Science
McGill University

The State of Canadian Foreign Folicy and International Relations
Teaching and Research in Canada
Participant List

7 May 1999 Legter R. Perrson Build

Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, 125 Sussex Drive Otteren

William Sala
Ph.D. Candidate
Department of Political Science
University of British Columbia

Dr. Louis Belanger Professeur Département de science politique Université Laval

Dr. Robert Bothwell Director International Relations Program University of Toronto

Dr. Jane Beelden
Post-Doctoral Fellow
Centre for International Relations and Defence
Management Studies Program
Queen's University

Dr. David Corment 1998-99 Cadiaux Fellow Policy Planning Division OFAIT

Dr. Allen Chong Post-Doctoral Research Associate Centre for International Security Studies York University

Or. Hevina Dashwood Assistant Professor Separtment of History, Philosophy and Politics

Adriana de Hoog Director-General Canadian Foreign Service Institute DFAIT

Dr. André Donneur Professeur Département de science politique UOAM

Dr. John English
Professor
Department of History and
Associate Director, Centre on Fereign Policy
University of Waterloo

Tony Fang
M.A. Candidate
Department of Economics
Memorial University of Newfoundland

Dr. Ched Gaffield Director, Institute of Canadian Studies University of Ottawa

> Clean Gottselig LLM Programme University of Totonto

Brish W. Greene Ph.D. Candidate Copartment of Political Science McGill University

#### Dr. Ann Griffiths

Research Fellow Centre for Foreign Policy Studies Dalhousie University

# Dr. Fen Hampson

Associate Director
The Norman Paterson School of International
Affairs, Carleton University

#### **Annette Hester**

M.A. Candidate
Department of Economics
University of Calgary

#### France Landriault

Director, Policy, Planning and International Collaboration SSHRCC

#### Dr. Howard Leeson

Professor Department of Political Science University of Regina

# **Gerry Legare**

Ph. D. Candidate
Department of Geography
University of Toronto

# Dr. Alex Macleod

Professor Department of Political Science UQAM

# Holly MacLeod

M.A. Candidate Politics-International Relations Brock University

# Dr. Hudson Meadwell

Professor Department of Political Science McGill University

### **David Meren**

M.A. Candidate
The Norman Paterson School of International
Affairs, Carleton University

# Dr. Natalie Mychajlyszyn

Post-Doctoral Fellow
The Norman Paterson School of International
Affairs, Carleton University

# Wm. P. R. (Rob) Norris

M.A. Candidate
Department of Political Science
University of Saskatchewan

# **Heather Owens**

M.A. Candidate
Department of Political Science
University of British Columbia

#### Sunil Ram

M.A. Candidate International Relations University of Toronto

#### Dr. Fiona Robinson

Assistant Professor Department of Political Science Carleton University

# Dr. Stéphane Roussel

Adjoint au directeur
Groupe d'étude et de recherche sur la sécurité internationale
Université de Montréal

# Dr. Erika Simpson

Assistant Professor Department of Political Science University of Western Ontario

#### Dr. Heather Smith

Assistant Professor International Studies Program University of Northern British Columbia

Dr. Aug Griffiths
Research Fellow
Centre for Foreign Policy Studies
Dalbousie University

Dr. Fen Hampson
Associate Director
The Florman Paterson School of International
Affairs, Carleton University

Annette Hester
M.A. Candidate
Department of Economics
University of Calgary

France Landriautt
Director, Policy, Planning and International
Collaboration
SSHRCC

Dr. Howard Lector Professor Department of Political Science University of Regins

Gerry Legare
Ph. D. Candidate
Department of Geography
University of Toronto

Dr. Alex Macicod
Professor
Department of Policinal Science
UQAM

Holly MacLeod
M.A. Candidate
Politics-infernational Pelations
Brock University

Dr. Hudson Meadwell Professor Department of Political Science MoGill University

David Merce M.A. Candidate The Norman Paterson School of International Affairs, Carleton University

Dr. Nataile Mychaffyszyn Post-Doctoral Fallow The Norman Paterson School of International Affairs, Carleton University

> Wes. P. E. (Slah) Norris-M.A. Candidate Department of Political Science University of Seshatohewen

Heather Owens
M.A. Cardidge
Department of Political Science
University of British Columbia

Sunti Ram
M.A. Condidate
finernational Relations
University of Toronto

Dr. Flona Robinson
Assistant Professor
Department of Political Science
Carleton University

un Stephane Soussoi Adjoint au directeur Groupe d'étude et de recherche sur la sécurité internationale Université de Montréal

> Dr. Erlin Steepen Assistant Professor Department of Political Science University of Western Ontario

Dr. Heather Smith Assistant Professor International Studies Program University of Northern British Columbia

# Vasanthi Srinivasan

Director, Outreach Policy Research Secretariat

# Dr. Denis Stairs

McCulloch Professor in Political Science Dalhousie University

# Dr. Deborah Stienstra

Associate Professor Department of Political Science University of Winnipeg

# **Bertrand Viala**

M.A. Candidate
Department of Political Science
McGill University

# Alison Warner

M.A. Candidate
Department of Political Science
University of British Columbia

# Dr. Robert Wolfe

Assistant Professor School of Policy Studies Queen's University

Vasanthi Sriatvasan Director, Outreach Policy Research Secretariat

Dr. Denis Stairs McCulioch Professor in Political Science Dainousie University

> Dr. Deborah Stienstra Associate Professor Department of Political Science University of Winnipeg

Bortrand Viala M.A. Candidate Department of Political Science McGill University

Alison Warner
M.A. Candidate
Department of Political Science
University of British Columbia

Dr. Robert Welfe Assistant Professor School of Policy Studies Occor's University Conndiaz Cooks Fox Fereign Policy Beyelmmed



Cestre cesadico paux la dévalencement de la califfeca financia

The product income time times in a complete the fitting in the complete the complet

#### SKLECTED CENTO REPORTE PROGRA 2000, 2007

Towns San

Remort from the Reundisble; The New Face of Townsian. CCTPA: October 26, 2001.

Encourry Report from the Roundtable: The Impact of Engineeries 12 an Interactional Relations and Constant Foreign Policy, CCFFO, November 27, 2006.

Nav Parkenne

Regress the Conference on New Discussion The Expedit propert of International Law, CCFFD, April 5-7, 2001.

The May Diplomacy: The Global Compost and thereof Medican Institutions, CCR29, Taly 14-15, 2000

Expeditions the Conference on The Diplomacy's The United Nations, Side-minded Countries and Non-Thousanderstal Organizations, CV NED, Suptember 28, 1999.

Regard from the Roundstolle on year Wes and Genesian. EXPED. Describer 2-9, 2000

Forcet from the Onnea Roundtship for the laterantement Commission on Intervention and Suce Server pury \$15,500 CCFPD. Securety 15, 2001.

Conflict Prevailible and Procedulable

Encountry Report from the Reandable on Afghanister, Coverage of Security and One some Policy Options,

OCHER, Depost 12, 1831.

Table Witness biselegated the and Links to Could'de tolongement and Front Spening to the teach of Aurice, Tag Et Machin, Substance, Conser. Buly 3, 2001.

Bostot Bast the Bastotick Indoor and Peace Constitute CCVPC Attack a Man


Provided Performance for the Protection of Armed Conflict. Options to Enhance Pople Project Engineering and Initiate of Conflicting Confli

Regard from the Remoduble on Topics Deployment is Remoduced Fearer Operation. U. 1940. Reptember 12, 2006.

Constant Perceptuilding in the Littliche Best Cose denies of the Councie Fund in Liveriffedering and Jersin Assends Jeorby, University of Educations, Fall 2006.

Les caterprises consciences et la composidation de la mér. Jean-Francois Mont., Francisco-Jusé Variente, and Christian Gelsen, Université de Custien a Montaion, Le 31 pendre 2000.

New Directions in US Paralge Series
Report from the Decrease Recognistic New Directions in U.S. Foreign Policy Co. TPD November 2, 2001.



Centre canadien pour le développement de la politique étrangère

125 promenade Sussex Drive, Ottawa, Ontario H1A 062 Telephone/Téléphone : \$13.944.0278 www.cfp-pec.gc.ca fax/Télécopieur : \$13.944.0687

# SELECTED CCFPD REPORTS FROM 2000-2002

#### **Terrorism**

Report from the Roundtable: The New Face of Terrorism. CCFPD. October 26, 2001.

Summary Report from the Roundtable: The Impact of September 11 on International Relations and Canada's Foreign Policy. CCFPD. November 27, 2001.

#### New Diplomacy

Report from the Conference on New Diplomacy: The Development of International Law. CCFPD. April 5-7, 2001.

The New Diplomacy: The Global Compact and United Nations Institutions. CCFPD. July 14-15, 2000.

Report from the Conference on 'New Diplomacy': The United Nations, Like-minded Countries and Non-Governmental Organizations. CCFPD. September 28, 1999.

Report from the Roundtable on Just War and Genocide. CCFPD. December 8-9, 2000.

Report from the Ottawa Roundtable for the International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (ICISS). CCFPD. January 15, 2001.

# Conflict Prevention and Peacebuilding

Summary Report from the Roundtable on Afghanistan: Governance Scenarios and Canadian Policy Options. CCFPD. October 12, 2001.

Nile Waters Management and Links to Conflict Management and Food Security in the Horn of Africa. Tag El Khazin, Subsahara Center. July 3, 2001.

Report from the Roundtable: Judges and Peace Operations. CCFPD. March 9, 2001.

Renewing Partnerships for the Prevention of Armed Conflict: Options to Enhance Rapid Deployment and Initiate a UN Standing Emergency Capability. Peter Langille, Global Human Security Ideas and Initiatives. Fall 2000.

Report from the Roundtable on Expert Deployment to International Peace Operations. CCFPD. September 12, 2000.


Canadian Peacebuilding in the Middle East: Case Study of the Canada Fund in Israel/Palestine and Jordan. Tami Amanda Jacoby, University of Manitoba. Fall 2000.


Les enterprises canadiennes et la consolidation de la paix. Jean-François Rioux, Francisco-José Valiente, and Christian Geiser, Université du Québec a Montréal. Le 31 octobre 2000.

# New Directions in US Foreign Policy

Report from the Denver Roundtable: New Directions in U.S. Foreign Policy. CCFPD. November 2, 2001.







\$35 prometric Securi Britan, Pitana, Spines Bill 863 electron/Infrateur SES ANA RESE comunicip erc. sr. nr. 1 faultificanium . 673 ANA 3637

#### SELECTED COMPURED REPORTS FROM 2000-2002

Terrories

Report from the Roundiable: The New Face of Temprism. CCPPD. Outober 26, 2001.

Summary Report from the Roundtable: The Impact of September i i on International Relations and Canada's Foreign Politoy. CCPPD. November 27, 2001.

New Diplomos

Report from the Conference on New Edulariancy: The Development of International Law. CCFFD. April 5-7, 2001.

The New Diplomacy: The Global Compact and United Nations institutions, COPPD, July 14-15, 2000.

Report from the Conference on 'May Diplomacy': The United Nations, Life-enladed Countries and Hon-Governmental Organizations. CCFPD. September 23, 1999.

Report from the Roundtable on Just War and Genocide, COFFD. December 9-9, 2000.

Report from the Ottawa Roundtable for the International Complication on Intervention and State Severeignty (ICISS). CCPPD. January 15, 2001.

Condity Proposition and Peacefullifies

Summary Report from the Remediable on Afghanistan: Governance Securios and Canadian Policy Options. CCPPD. October 12, 2001.

Mile Waters Management and Links to Conflict Management and Food Security in the Horn of Africa. Tag El Khazin, Substance Center, July 3, 2001.

Report from the Remidbille; Judges and Poses Operations. CCPTN March 9, 2001.

Renewing Parametrips for the Prevention of Armed Conflict Options to Enhance Rapid Deployment and Initiate a Up Standing House Security Ideas and Initiatives. Fall 2000.

Report from the Reundtable on Esquart Dayloyment to International Peace Operations, OCFFD, September 12, 2000.

Canadian Percebuilding in the Middle Sast Case Study of the Canada Fund in Israel Palestine and Jordan, Tami.


Amanda Jacoby, University of Manitoba, Pall 2000.


Les enterprises canadiennes et la consolidarien de la paix, Jean-François Rioux, Françisco-José Valiente, and Christian Geiser, Université du Québoc a Montréal, Le 31 octobre 2000.


New Directions in US Foreign Folley

Report from the Deever Roundishlet New Directions in U.S. Poreign Policy, OCTTD, Hovember 2, 2001.









Summary of Key Point From Presentations and Discussions: Foreign Policy Trends in the U.S. Roundtable. CCFPD and the Institute on Global Conflict and Cooperation, University of California at San Diego, California, United States. March 20, 2001.

Summary of Key Points from Presentations and Discussions: The Washington D.C. Roundtable on Trends in U.S. Foreign Policy. CCFPD and the Woodrow Wilson Centre, Washington DC. April 2, 2001.

Summary of Key Points from Brief Presentations and Discussions: Foreign Policy Trends in the U.S. Roundtable. CCFPD and University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. April 12, 2001.

Summary of Key Points from Presentations and Discussions: The Toronto Roundtable on the Bush Administration's Foreign Policy - Challenges and Implications for Canada. CCFPD and the Munk Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. May 18, 2001.

Halifax Roundtable on US Foreign Policy. CCFPD and Saint Mary's University, Halifax, N.S.. June 15, 2001.

#### Nuclear Weapons and Small Arms

UN 2001 Conference on Illicit Trade of Small Arms in All its Aspects: Briefing and Discussion. Wendy Cukier, Coalition for Gun Control. December 19, 2000.

The Ottawa Group Report on Small Arms, Light Weapons (SALW) and Non-State Actors. CCFPD and Centre for Defence Studies. November 7-8, 2000.

Ballistic Missiles Foreign Experts Roundtable Report. Ernie Regehr, Project Ploughshares and CCFPD. March 30, 2000.

NATO-Nuclear Weapons Roundtable Report. CCFPD. August 24-25, 2000.

Small Arms and the OAS Roundtable Report. CCFPD. April 28, 2000.

Examen des récentes initiatives gouvernementales et d'ONG concernant les armes légères et évaluation de leur éfficacité: proposition pour un indice de sécurité individuelle (ISI). Frances Gaudreault et al. été 2000.

Globalization and Firearms: A Public Health Perspective. Wendy Cukier et al. Fall 2000.

#### Borders

Perspectives on the Borderless World: Issues for Canada. Heather Nicol and Ian Townsend-Gault. Fall 2000.

#### Technology

Privacy, Sovereignty and Technology Roundtable Report. Marketa Geislerova, Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development. March 23, 2001.

#### Children's Rights

Children and Violent Conflict: Meeting the Challenge of Diversity. Erin Baines, Dalhousie University; Barry Burciul, University of Toronto. Summer 2000.

#### Business and Labour

Canadian Corporate Contributions to Democratic Development and Citizen Participation in Developing Countries: Recommendations on Identifying and Supporting Corporate Efforts through Canadian Foreign Policy. Darin Rovere, Centre for Innovation in Corporate Responsibility. September 26, 2000.

Summary of Key Point From Presentations and Discussions: Foreign Policy Trends in the U.S. Roundtable. CCFFD and the Institute on Global Confitot and Cooperation, University of California at San Diego, California, United States. March 20, 2001.

Summery of Key Points from Presentations and Discussions: The Washington D.C. Roundtable on Trends in U.S. Poreign Pelley. CCPPD and the Western Wilson Courte, Washington DC. April 2, 2001.

Summary of Key Points from Said Propentations and Discussions: Foreign Policy Trands in the U.S. Rounduklie. CCEPD and University of Alberta, Udmenton, Alberta, Canada. April 12, 2001.

Summary of Key Points from Presentations and Discussions: The Toronto Roundtable on the Bush Administration's Foreign Pointy - Challenges and Implications for Caresta CCFFD and the Munk Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, May 18, 2693.

Halifest Reandouble on US Possion Politey, OCFFD and Saint Mary's University, Halifax, M.S., June 15, 2001.

#### Nuclear Weamers and Study Arres

UN 2001 Conference on Histor Trade of Small Arms in All its Aspects; Briofing and Discussion, Wendy Colder, Confidenter for Control December 19, 2000.

The Octawa Group Report on Small Arms, Light Weapons (SALW) and Non-State Actors, OCPTD and Control for Defence Studies, November 7-8, 2000.

Bellistic Missiles Foreign Experts Roundtsbie Report, Erale Regelia, Project Ploughsburgs and CCPPD. March 30, 2000.

MATO-Neclear Wespons Researable Report, OCIPD. August 34-25, 2000.

Stool Area and the OAS Recordable Report, CCFPD, April 28, 2000.

Expressed des réceptes leitéraiyes gouvernamentaies et d'ONG concernant les annes legères et évaluation de leur. Angeseigé proposition sons un indice de sécurité le suvidueile (ISI). Frances Caudresuit et al. été 2000.

State Heading and Wiccoment A Public Health Perspective, Westly Calder et al. Fall 2000.

#### white the

programming on the Harderley World; larges for Capada, Harther Wool and Inc Townsood-Oault, Fall 2000.

#### residentias?

Privacy, Sovereignty and Technology Roundtable Report, Marketa Gelelerova, Canadian Centre for Poreign Policy Development, March 23, 2001.

#### datala y antigaci

Children and Violent Conflict: Meeting the Challengs of Diversity. Erts Baines, Dalhousis University, Barry Bureled, University of Toronto, Summer 2000.

#### model and resident

Canadian Corporate Contributions to Democratic Development and Citizen Participation in Developing Countries: Recommendations on Identifying and Supporting Corporate Efforts through Canadian Foreign Policy, Durin Revers, Centre for immuvation in Corporate Responsibility. September 26, 2000. Canadian Firms, Canadian Values. Canadian Business for Social Responsibility. May 2000.

#### Law

Canadian Council on International Law 29<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference - Policy Options Paper. Kim Carter et. al. December 2000.

# Africa

Summary Report from the Roundtable on Good Governance and Africa. CCFPD. October 25, 2001.

Rebirth of the Somali State: Policy Options and Programme Opportunities for Canada. Partnership Africa-Canada, Som-Can Institute for Research & Development. November 3-4, 2000.

Sudan Civil Society Symposium. Sudan Inter-Agency. Reference Group. June 5-6, 2000

Report from the Ottawa Nigeria Roundtable. CCFPD. March 20, 2000.

# Asia-Pacific

Report from the Roundtable: Good Governance and the Philippines. CCFPD. March 16, 2001.

Decentralization and Challenges to Unity: Report on the Indonesia Roundtable 2001. Centre for Dialogue, Simon Fraser University. April 19-21, 2001.

Democracy and Identity Conflicts in Asia: Identifying the Issues for Canada and Multilateral Institutions. University of Toronto-York University Joint Centre for Asia Pacific Studies. March 2001.

Report from the North Korea Roundtable. CCFPD. January 22, 2001.

Report from the Burma and Drugs Roundtable. CCFPD. May 15, 2000.

### Europe

Report from the Roundtable Living Together: Sharing the Canadian Experience. Marketa Geislerova, Canadian Centre for Foreign Policy Development. March 28-30, 2001 (Banff, Alberta) and June 15-17, 2001 (Larnaca, Cyprus).

Report on Cyprus: Living Together in the New Century Roundtable. CCDPE. February 14, 2000.

#### **Americas**

Workshop Report: Canadian Media Coverage of the Americas. FOCAL. March 2, 2001

Canada, Indigenous Peoples and the Hemisphere Roundtable Report. CCFPD. March 23, 2000.

Canadian Voices: The Americas. CCFPD. Fall 2001.

Threats to Democracy in America. Max Cameron, FOCAL. March 3-4, 2000.

Report from the Roundtable on Canada-Cuba Relations. CCFPD. January 18, 2000.

# Culture

Commerce international et diversité culturelle: à la recherche d'un difficile équilibre. Ivan Bernier, Université Laval and Dave Atkinson. 2000.

Canadian Firms, Canadian Values, Canadian Business for Social Responsibility, May 2000.

wal.

Canadica Council on Internedenal Law 29th Annual Conference - Policy Options Paper. Kim Certer et. al. December 2000.

Africa

Summary Report from the Roundtable on Good Governmes and Africa CCPPD. October 25 2001

Rebirth of the Somali State: Folicy Options and Programme Opportunities for Canada, Partnership Africa-Canada, Som-Can Institute for Research & Development, November 3-4, 2000.

Sudan Civil Society Symposium. Sudan Inter-Agency Reference Group. Proce S-6, 2000

Report from the Ottawa Nigeria Roundiable, CCPPD, March 20, 2020.

Asla-Pacific

Report from the Republishin Good Coverages and the Philippines, CCPCD, takes 16, 2001

December 2001, and Challenges to Unity: Export on the Indonesia Roundeshie 2001, Centre for Dialogue, Simon Preser University, April 19-21, 2001.

Democracy and Identity Charlien in Asia: identifying the Issues for Canada and Multilateral Institutions. University of Toronto-York University Joint Centre for Asia Pacific Studies, March 2001.

Report from the North Keres Roundrable, CCPPD, January 22, 2001.

Report from the Burnes and Drugs Roundishle, OCEPD, May 15, 2000.

separati.

Report From the Roundable Living Together: Sharing the Canadian Experience, Markets Geislarova, Canadian Cantes for Fevelga Policy Development March 28-30, 2001 (Beaff, Alberta) and June 15-17, 2001 (Lerason, Cayara).

Report on Oppeux Living Lagelier in the New Century Recordable, CCDFR, Pelicusty 14, 2000.

ZUCT CHEEK.

Workshop Report Canadian Media Coverage of the Americas, POCAL, March 2, 2001

Canada, Indigenous Pouples and the Henrisphere Roundtable Report. CCITES. March 23, 2000

Canadian Valore The Americas, COPPD, Fall 2001.

Threats to Domocracy in America, Max Cameron, FOCAL, Merch 3-4, 2000

Report from the Roundishle on Caucits-Cuba Relations, CCFPD, January 18, 2000.

Culture

Commerce international of diversed cultureller a la recherche d'un difficile équilibre. Ivan Bernier, Université Lavel Dave Afteinson. 2000.

# Circumpolar Issues

Roundtable on Northern Foreign Policy: Feedback and Look Ahead. CCFPD. February 5, 2001.

# Foreign Policy Research

Gendered Discourses, Gendered Practices: Feminists (Re)Write Canadian Foreign Policy. Claire Turenne Sjolander, University of Ottawa; Heather Smith, University of Northern British Columbia; Deborah Stienstra, University of Winnipeg. May and July 2000.

Visit www.cfp-pec.gc.ca for more reports and other publications.

Chromopolor lesser

Roundship on Northern Foreign Policy, Feedback and Look About, CCFPD, February S. 2001

Pereign Pelloy Research

Gendand Discourses, Gendand Precticus: Feminists (Re)Write Canadian Fossign Policy. Claire Tursone Splander, University of Onawa; Heather Smith, University of Northern British Columbia: Deborah Stlenstra, University of Winnipes. May and July 2000.

Visit www.cip-nec.gc.ca for more reports and other publications.





DOCS
CA1 EA752 99R25 ENG
Academic Roundtable (1st : 1999 :
Ottawa, Ont.)
Report from the First Annual
Academic Roundtable : teaching in
foreign policy. -17012056