



secolation for information and image Management

1100 Weyne Avenue, Suite 1100 Silver Spring, Mary and 20810 , 301/587-8202



Centimeter



Inches

1.0 H 22 22 H 12 22 H 1.1 H 20 H 1.8 H 1.25 H 1.4 H 1.6



MANUFACTURED TO ALLH STANDARDS BY APPLIED THAGE. INC. CIHM Microfiche Series (Monographs) ICMH
Collection de
microfiches
(monographies)



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadian de microreproductions historiques

### Technical and Bibliographic Notes / Notes techniques et Bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may after any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.	L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessoys.			
Coloured covers/	Coloured pages/			
Converture de couleur	Pages de pouleur			
8				
Covers demagnd/	Pages damaged/			
Couverture endommagée	Pages endommagées			
Covers restored and/or laminated/	Pages restored and/or laminated/			
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée	Pages restaurées et/ou policulées			
	LPages discoloured, stained or foxed/			
Cover title missing/	Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées			
Le titre de couverture manque				
Coloured maps/	Pages detached/			
Cartes géographiques en couleur	Pages détachées			
	<b>.</b>			
Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/	Showthrough/			
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)	Transparence			
Coloured plates and/or illustrations/	Quality of print varies/			
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur	Qualité inégale de l'impression			
	Construction and and and			
Bound with other material/ Relié avec d'autres documents	Continuous pegination/ Pagination continue			
Helie avec d'autres documents	Tagination continue			
Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion	Includes index(es)/			
along interior margin/	Comprend un (des) index			
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la	)			
distorsion le long de la marge intérieure	Title on header taken from:/			
	Le titre de l'en-tête provient:			
Blank leaves added during restoration may appear				
within the text. Whenever possible, these have	Title page of issue/ Page de titre de la livraison			
been omitted from filming/ II se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées	Lade de titte de la liataisou			
lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,	Caption of issue/			
mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont	Titre de départ de la livraison			
pes été filmées.	1			
	Mesthead/			
	Générique (périodiques) de la livraison			
Additional comments:/ Part of first page 1	hidden by tape.			
Commentaires supplémentaires:	•			
This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/				
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.				
	22X / 26X 30X			
170				
The state of the s	269			

The co

The i

Original begins the literature in the literature

The shall TINU

Mapa differentire begin right require The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generality of:

Metropolitan Toronto Reference Library Business and Social Sciences Department

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Metropolitan Toronto Reference Library Business and Social Sciences Department

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de le condition et de le netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, ét en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exempleires originaux dont le couverture en pepier est imprimée sont filmée en commençant per le premier plat et en terminant soit per la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit per le second plat, selon le cae. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant per la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle amorainte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaître sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole → signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ▼ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, plenches, tableeux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents.
Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

2	3			1
•				2
*	7			3
1	2	3	0	
4	5	6		

# use of Commons Aebuta

SPHECH OF

# R. WM. PATERSON, M.P.,

ON THE

## BUDGET,

devered in the House of Commons at Ottawa, Friday, April 2nd, 1886.

PATERSON (Brant), It has pleasant to me to listen to my prosite, and to observe the mation which possessed him ed the late Finance Minister. hardly find words strong enough his opinion of that hon, gentleexpressions to which he terance. He seemed to labor spreasion that my hon, friend ad, in the remarks he had ty to make on this subject. hat tended to belittle his his object and his sim to decry the land in which my hon, friend has entireintent and meaning of Richard Cartwright). that speech carefully, or to it attentively, he the hen, member for

good country, as a pleasant country, country of great possibilities, and the language of denunciation, when my hou. friend used that language, mes not denunciation of the country, by nunciation of the men who, magnificent country, with such great possibilities before it, had nevertheless so completely paralyzed its energies. The hos gentleman makes the mistake of suppos ing that he and his colleagues are the country. Now there never was a great mistake than that. There was a countribere before the hon. gentlemen describe seats on the Treesury benches. I think there was a country in many respect more prosperous than it has been six they have taken their places there, and when I say that, I speak, of course, paratively. The hon, gentlemen site seem content when they can an increase in any particular the they can point to a slight because

of trade as compared with what as five, or siz, or eight years ago, they may: Bee where your statements are. Why, we actually have two three millions more volume of trade now than we had siven years ago. Do they not know that we, on this side of the House, believe that we have a country that ought to be able to show more than the slight progress that has been made! Sir, we believe that we have a country that should have taken leaps and bounds almost infinitely greater than it has taken under them. Hon. gentlemen opposite think they have fulfilled all the duties of their position, that they deserve credit for well managing the affairs of this country, if they can prevent us going on the down grade—we, with millions and tens of millions of acres of land, inviting settlers of other countries; we, who have spent two or three millions of money in inducing immigrants from other countries to come here! After all this they point to the result of their management for a period of six or seven years, and claim that we have actually maintained our own natural increase in the country. What a great record! how the gentlemen boast! Well, they know they are not capable of much, and they are to be excused for boasting of little. Now let my hon. friend bear that in mind, and all like him on the other side, that when words are spoken here with reference to the position of the country it could not possibly be with the object of damaging the country thereby, but they are spoken with the sole purpose that this country of ours shall have an opportunity of purging itself from the incapables, as we believe them be, who are administrating the affairs of the country, and that other gentlemen should replace them who will take off the fetters that have been placed upon the country, and will give it an opportunity to go forward, not merely holding its own, but leaping forward, as is calculated to do, this country that, in its proportions, resembles those of a gens cant that needs but to be aroused Lie slumbers in order to manifest

his strength. That is have, and when we have not increased more, are forced to point but by that such is the state of the member for South Huron did it, and spirit more of sorrow than of anger at the country should be thus imposed upon

#### The Public Debt.

Well, now I will not attempt to follow my hon, friend in all the statements he has made, but I will touch upon som points he has alluded to, and I think I shall be able to present another view of the question. He has touched upon public debt, has dwelt largely upon that, and has endeavored to controvert the position taken by the hon, member for South Huron. He speaks of our national debt as if it were not a matter of great consequence. He tells us that the in terest upon our national debt is not great deal more now than it was some years ago, and he claims that the reason why we are not paying much more interest now than we did a few years ago, is owing to the excellent management of affairs by the present Government. They take to themselves the whole credit of having reduced the rate of interest throughout the world. Yet, I suppose, hon, gentlemen opposite, although we might suppose from some of their utterances they feigned ignorance, would not care really to admit such ignorance as not to be cognizant of the fact that the rate of interest is low at the present time everywhere. While it must be admitted that such is the case, the have the supreme effrontery to claim it is due to the action of the Government dur ing recent years, whereby a loan can be placed on the money market at a lower rate of interest now than was the co eight or ten years ago. I am not out stating their case. The Finance Ministra when speaking on this subject, gave distinctly to understand that it was to that cause, and that cause alone; it was the management of the the country by hea. go

to of affairs : 00 .... to Argue, tistics in support that the rate of interest England had not gone herefore, as the rate of our loans had fallen, the to them. The hon. gento tell the House, that the could borrow money at far than the Dominion, I am my, and that many other nations o do so; but he claimed that ment of the affairs of this alone brought about a lower est. Let us look at the naebt; let us examine where we Vhat is the national debt of Canwe have it in figures given the Finance Minister, and I e attention of the House to them the House the attention of Our gross debt on, 1st 1866, was \$281,314,532. But Minister told us we had the value of \$72,791,837, leavdebt of \$208,522,695, Now, I per capita amount \$45. The leman who preceded me said it 40.70. We must have taken a went basis for the calculation, I However, the net debt as given by population at 4,700,000 on which both agree, was equal to about \$45 But in dealing with this members of the House and of the House it must with the people of the lost in the contemplation stement of so much per head trike the people as forcibly as other test, to which I now There are in many of the Ontario, and I suppose in the municipal debts. These arred for the purpose of through the counhave been voted, and the bonuses are er by year, and ally felt by the people,

heavy. Let me state the amount of de that this Government hat placed upon to counties, and let the people realise t fact that, though they may not realis and fairly appreciate the burden on account of the manner in which the taxes are collected, yet each riding has to pay annually the interest upon, as their share of the public burden, no less than \$988. 259. Let each riding in this country remember that this is their share of the public debt, and when I am speaking of that I am speaking of the net debt, and I am for the moment granting, which I do not grant, that the \$72,000,000 are all available and interest bearing assets, which is not the case; but to make my position perfectly impregnable, I take the net debt, giving credit for all assets available and interest bearing, and each riding in the Dominion has a burden upon it, in addition to all other burdens imposed by the municipality itself, of \$988,259. But there are some counties that have two ridings, and on each county large enough to be divided in two, the debt is \$1,976,511, or nearly two millions on every county of two ridings as their share of the Dominion debt. the interest on which has to be toiled for by the people year by year and paid by them into the public treasury. But some of our large counties are divided into three ridings, and on each of those counties-Simooe, Huron, Bruce, York and others—there is a debt of \$2,964,777. Let hon, gentlemen realise that fact, let them grasp in that form what the public. debt of Canada means, and I think when they are next found addressing their constituents they will have to assume an air of greater seriousness in discussing the question. The hon. member for South Huron (Sir Richard Cartwright) said he did not object to expenditure if the money was well expended; and that is the position of the Opposition. If we had as a result of that debt semething of value we could show, if the money had all been wisely and properly expended, then, great as the debt is, the development of the country through that made ment to be very might have been

the burden easy spon it. But will bon solding all this date whaly, sufficient asset to officet this amount of bot ! What have we! We have the Intercolonial Railway, the last speaker told us. We have. Was all the debt for that work well expended! Wasthere not a remark made by the late Minister of Finance himself on one occasion, that with regard to at least eight millions they might as well have been thrown into the see, this being one of the results of the management of hon, gentlemen opposite. Has there not been extravagance in almost an endless variety of ways in the construction of that road, and will any one claim that we have sufficient value in that railway to representall the money we expended on it. We find no fault with the road having been constructed, as it was a necessity; but we claim the road cost more than should have been required to build it; and that it does not represent in its value anything like the cost, and that the increased cost was incurred through the management of hon. gentlemen opposite, who were largely charged with that undertaking. Then we have our canals, as the hon. gentlemen says. And so we have. But hon. gentlemen opposite will regret, with me, that they are not a source of very great revenue to the country; they will regret, with me, that we are not able to avail ourselves of the money invested therein to the extent we might feel justified in expecting. Then, says the hon. gentleman, we have the Canadian Pacific Railway. We have not got the Canadian Pacific Railway—there is just the mistake. The Finance Ministeras well as the hon.gen tleman who had just taken his seat dwelt with a great deal of enthusiasm upon the completion of that undertaking, and they ek to extol it and to claim that in that we have something which is very much to our benefit, something that worthily consider the consideration of consideration consideration.

Annual Expanditure of Consideration consideration consideration consideration consideration.

month for 10 ; we had is much cheaper, and I believe could have had all its adventages many of the disadvantages which accompanied is construction. But money has been expended, and the o try has been opened up to that extent it, and we will only hope that we i reap some benefit from it in the future, t we may receive some recompense. I that does not shut our eyes to the f that we might have acc: mplished the work in a much cheaper manner under conditions which would have the country freer to go forward in march of progress.

An hon. MEMBER. Not with a bogue syndicate.

n f

Mr. PATERSON. Not with a bogo syndicate an hon. gentleman tells He thus reminds me that at the very inception of this enterprise millie of dollars of public money, and million of acres of public land were throw away. He reminds me that if we adopted the Goddnment plan, prior engaging the services of a company, if are to take the statement of Sir Char Tupper, who was then Minister of R ways, and the figures of the right First Minister, we might have had road built for millions less than we pas for it. If those statements and figure are to be relied on, we could have the road and paid for it out of the ceeds of the lands sold up to 1890. The gentleman, who has made the re about the bogus syndicate, has simple minded the House how exceedingly a bargain we made with this and I think, if he is candid, he will that we did not better ourselves m the work progressed. We see at rate, that the public debt has mount such a figure that it behoves as to set the greatest caution as to how it increased in the future. But let consider the

Annual Expenditure

at the hon. genhas followed to-day, ha much attention to it. by very briefly. He to be cognisant of the fact that have perived at a time, in the admin-But d the o of our affairs, when deficits are at extent us. It was not a pleasant subject iat we I who, in days gone by, so derided future, t or of Finance at that time. a infortunately, under a low rate pense. I to the fi need. But what is the position of plished th manner feences, now with reference to our ild have l d receipts and expenditures ! Why, ward in we find from the Public Accounts are now before us, that we have with a borns he facel year 1885, which is fully an expenditure of over \$35,000, with receipts of less than \$88,000 with a born We have the fact that hon, gentlein tells w posite were unable, with all the at the very we taxation they have levied on ise millie copie of this country, to make both and million and they stand in their places rere throw conferming to a deficit of \$2,240, t if we This, after all their boasts of their an, prior management; this, after the mpany, if of their surpluses; this, after their Sir Char of being able so to regulate ster of R and finances of the country right would be things which would ave had be leard of in the land. And han we p my that this state of things is and ng for one year! No, I am sorry to say d have it of the is not fully completed, the estimate 890. The the re eren to us by the Finance as simply himself, and what is, the tale ceedingly hall! He says he estimates sis ou receipts will be \$33,550,000. pe will aur expenditure will be \$38, elves m It is seven years since they last from about \$24,000,000, un-from about \$24,000,000, un-til Minister told us that at it will amount to \$38. mount 16104 is will amount to \$38, at let w they had the power, and so they had the power, and 

Minister, and they elt in places to day with almost every they made to the people of this violated; with almost every pledge to unable to point to caree a single they have done which has really been the interests of the country or for they can claim the slightest eredit. No there they are; the country is rushing beed long into dobt and they are unable to non their expenditure within their incombut they leave us with their autotrated deficit this year amounting to \$4,000,000 as stated by the Finance Minister himself O! but he is going to ease us in this and how is he going to do it! Well, he is just going to take \$3,500,000 of this deficit and charge it to

#### Capital Account,

and he is thus going to reduce the deficit to \$1,450,000. Will placing it to capital account pay it ! We generally supplied that what is placed to capital account is something for which we have assets to show; but what assets will we have for this \$3,500,000 t A partially blackened and ruined country, graves on the western prairie, the smoke of gun-powder, one or two knighthoods; and for this the people of the country are to have \$3,500,000 added to their debt. There is remarkable consistency, hey-ever, in the hon. Finance Minister's treatment of this question to which I desire to call your attention. If you remember, he made rather a remarkable speech in the absence of the late Finance Minister, on the 28th of June last, raply to a speech made on this side by the hon, member for South Huron (Sir Richard Cartweight), who moved against the gross extravagance of the Government. The hon member for South Huron pointed out thus early that at I rate the Government was proceedings yery great delicit was staring us in the and that it would be brytishis. It has Finance Minister, in reaches the foundation of the foundation o

the round in the brain of the hon. of for South Huron. But he said, r if it be true that there should be a at, the wedding bells are sounding, crange blossoms are being worn, and love is being made in the snows of winter and the sunshine of summer, and by-and-bye new homes will be starting up; by and bye new cradles will find their way to those new homes, to add to the 200,000 cradles that are now being rocked in this Dominion; and the occupants of these cradles will grow to manhood and womanhood, and they will bend their energies to the development of this country, and help to pay the deficit which has been conjured up by the hon. member for South Huron. I say the Finance Minister has been consistent. I do not know whether he over-estimated the number of babies or not, but at any rate he has found out that that deficit was not conjured up in the brain of the hon. member for South Huron. He is face to face with it as an actual fact, and how is he going to proceed? Precisely as he gave us to understand in June last; \$3,-500,000 of it is to be charged to the 200,-000 cradles that are now being rocked throughout the Dominion. We of this generation will have to toil to pay the interest on it, and the generation, I will not say yet unborn, but the generation newly born, will rise up to bless him when they come to pay the principal. Such is the state of our finances under the management of hon. gentlemen. I have not time to go into the details of this expenditure, as short speeches are to be the order from this time forth, and I must set an example in that respect. I have no time to show the increase for instance, in the cost of civil government, with regard to which hon, gentlemen opposite were so loud in their denunciawhen they sat on this side of the House. But I will take the item of

#### Immigration,

and I charge the Ministers of the day

expenditure of money for that are I charge them with having millions of the people's money with schieving any good result whatever. do it in the same spirit as the hon, me ber for South Huron (Bir Richard One wright)—a spirit more of sorrow than anger. I should rejoice if they could show some result from the expenditure of that money; but take the census returns prepared by hon. gentlemen oppositenot American statistics, but their own figures, and what do we find? Why, in this Canada of ours, which ought to be able to retain its own population within its borders, we find that during the list six years, when we ought to have had a natural increase of 2 per cent. per annum on a moderate estimate, we had only increase of about 13 per cent.; and to achieve that result we spent, during those six years, \$2,403,266. So that all the immigrants whom we have brought into the country have displaced native born Canadians, or have left the country. and a portion of our natural increase h gone with them. That is the result that the lion, gentlemen opposite have been able to show from an expenditure of two millions and a half of our money. Now have stated what the immigration returns show we have got fi this expenditure. We are in a position to speak a little more definitely this year than we have been hitherto with regard to the increase of population in the Province of Manitoba and the North-We Territories. Hitherto we have had rely on estimated figures made by the Di partment of Agriculture which the ister pledged to be correct. To day stand in the light of revealed facts a tained by actual count in the country and what do we find? I am sorry to that the facts reveal a state of this which hon gentlemen opposite in con with hon, gentlemen on this side of Housemustregret. I my we have defi-figures, because the Minister of Agri-ture in passwer to a question the obture in present to a question the day, stated that the population of M tobe was now 125,000. The hou having been utterly reckless in their | ber for South-Hunon. (His Links

as the bon, me Bir Richard Can of sorrow than W e if they could the expenditure of he census returns emen opposite s, but their own find ! Why, in ich ought to be population within during the lest ht to have had a r cent. per annum we had only er cent.; and to ve spent, during 266. So that all we have brought displaced native e left the country. tural increase h is the result that posite have been penditure of two ur money. Nou. t the immigra e have got for are in a position etinitely this yes herto with reg lation in the Pro the North-We we have had to s made by the De re which the Mi rect. To day vealed facts as t in the country I am sorry to a state of thi oposite in con this side of ay webaved 

and the same of th

when he made his speech, gave ty which he thought was a authority, for the statement that a population of that Province was 110,-100; but I take the Minister's statement of 125,000, which formed the basis for an atrangement between the Dominion and that Province, and which I will therefore assume is correct. Then, we learn, by actual count, that the three districts of the North-West contain 48,363 souls; so that the total population in Manitobs and the three districts of the North-West, including Indians, is 173,368. Now, according to the census of 1881, the population of that country was 122,400. What is the result? In five years the population of our whole North-West and the Province of Manitobe has increused just 50,968 souls. That is the result of five years' labor; that is the result of the expenditure of scarly two millions and a half of dollars to promote immigration; that is the result of giving away tens of millions of dollars to aid the Canadian Pacific Railway; a poor 50,968 extra souls above what were there in 1885, when the census was taken. Now, I want to call the attention of the Minister of Agriculture to this. He should not be guilty of submitting reports to this House that are not correct; I think that is not asking too much of yet the various reports which he submitted to us year by year show the number of settlers who went and the North-West be-1881 and 1885 were 154,403, and were already there at the time the was taken 122,400. Now, if reports submitted to the House by head of that Department are correct, they ought to be, we should and what number have we! By count, by the statements of the gentleman opposite, we have 173,363.

In than 103,440 alleged by them to into the North-West cannot be there. Where are they? Why, Sir, are furned to the conclusion that the of hon, contlemen opposite, their the House witnesses the North-West affairs, has of population which has the sparse population of that in those States under present

territory over 105,000 wouls within th are years! They must take one bern or other of the dilemma; they have driven that number of people out of a ted to this House have been false s misleading. The Minister of Agriculture is bound to account for these 103 440 people. But, Sir, have we any means of ascertaining what population we ought to have had in that country at thi time? We have. In 1880 the Kim Minister gave us a calculation of the settlers we might expect to go there. He gave us a table showing that there were to be 30,000 in 1881, and 5,000 additional in each year afterwards; so that by the end of 1885 there would, according to his calculation, have gone into that country 200,000 souls. Now, those 200,000 souls—and it was a moderate estimate on his part—added to the 122,000 there, when the census was taken, would have made 322,000; souls. while we have actually only, 173,368 there. The First Minister thought this estimate was under the mark, judging by the experience of the Western States, when he said that in 1885, 50,000 settlers. would go into that country. . How many actually went in! The Minister of Agriculture gave us the figures the other day in reply to an hon. gentleman opposite him, and they were 7,240 souls. In this way have the predictions of hon. gentlemen opposite in reference to the settlement that country been verified; and I may there is no more discouraging feature in our country to-day than to look at the expenditure of money for immigration purposes and the railway expenditure in that country, and then to find, that in that fertile, that magnificent country, that country unexcelled by the Western States we can only see a paltry addition of 50. 000 people to the population as the result of five years' work. After recess I shall be able to show her the Rimt Mintered be able to show by the First Minis own statement, the relative increases in the various Western States; and the House witnesses of population which has the

the mechanics to those in our own country, the correw and regret they must feel now will be greatly enhanced when they concider the great facilities we have had for opining up that country, and how utterly we have failed, from the incapacity and mismanagement of the gentlemen who occupy the Treasury benches.

It being six o'clock, the Speaker left

PATERSON (Brant). When the House rose at 6 o'clock, I was point. ing out what could not fail to be a matter of regret to the House, that we have only encoceded, during the past five years, in staling some 50,000 more people in the North-West Territories and in Manitoba. I had mentioned that an estimate as to the probable immigration to that country was made by the Premier in 1880. If I ern States, I will not be liable to the charge of want of patriotism, or of comparing ourselves with those States with a view to disparage our own country, because I shall but give the figures that were given to the House by the First Minister himself. The figures that he move to us at that time, when he sought to justify his anticipation that 200,000 would have entered that country by the year 1885, were based upon the fact of the increase of the population of many of the States. He gave the increase and amerated among the States, Minnesota, Nome, Wisconsin. Illinois, Missouri and Now, the area of those Stat s combined is something like area of Manitoba and the three nor h-States that I have just mentioned was on to us by the First Minister as ring taken place between the years 0 and 1860, and was stated to be 385,000, that is, a territory in the States was in ten years populated 2.550,000, while we, with a terhage, with a country as

equal to theirs, have succeeded in five years of placing 50,000 people in the same extent of territory. It may be said, however, that the 2,555,000 represented ten years, and so it did. while I am comparing a period of five years with reference to our population. And it may be said not to be fair to take one-half of the 2,555,000 as the gain that we might reasonably expect there, inasmuch as there would be the natural increase during the past five years, and I quite recognize that fact. But I think I am wholly within the mark if I say we might fairly expect to have had within the five years placed one-quarter the amount that was placed in the same area in the United States in ten years. Had we done that, had we placed one-quarter only in the five years, we should have had 638,750 people there instead of 50,-000.

Mr. HESSON. We had not the same population to draw from.

rolar

Unite

000.00

territo

puttin

ment migral

Aguros gard to forth

e II.

shough ray

Mr. PATERSON. Why, the hon. member knows that we have been told time and again that the United States are availing themselves of our territory in the North-West, that their people are flocking into our country. He knows that our lands there are thrown open, and we invite net only the inhabitants of the United States but of every civilized country on the globe, and under these circumstances we have succeeded in putting 50,000 people into an area in which the Americans, estimating for them a p ried of five years also, would have put 638,750. Well, now, we were told by the hon, gentleman who preteded me t' as it was an unfa r comparison to make, brouge there were greaten fabiliti ectilement in the Western States, which offered greater advantages. I receiption that, but I direct attention to the first that this increase in the Status I have make the took place between the years 1850 and 1860. Going back is the labely of the than there are in the North World Law.

equal, they ought to be in our favor. his master challenges the attention b 170 o in the may be Monse and the country. With a ,000 re finate as good as a large pertion of the United States, to which I have already sladed, as capable of being worked to is did. eried of r populaedt by the husbaudman, there must be to be fair se reason why we have failed to ap-00 as the simate what was done in the y expect United States. Will the hon. gentleman the naarge that upon the Opposition, who, in ive years, ir opinion, are powerful for evil, but L But I never powerful for good ! Hon. gentlenark if I men opposite claim credit for the prosper-by which they profess to see in the bave had o-quarter suntry, and for any advantages gained; berefere we place on them the responsithe same cars Had allty of populating the plains of the e-quarter North-West, and I ask from them an ould have eplanation as to how it is that while the d of 50,-United States, during five 'years, put 600,000 people into the same extent of territory, we have only succeeded in the same setting 50,000 in the North-West, ough we have completed a railray epsting tens of millions and the hon. pent millions of dollars to promote imeve been ration to that country. The whole United geres given by the Government in reour tered to the estimated population of the at their forth-West have been misleading. In country. he light of the public statistics, the Cusre thrown Department, as well as the Department of Agriculture, is blameworthy. he inhabit of every members will find in the Trade and ind under vigation Returns evidence of an atsucceeded by the Minister of Customs to un area in the amount of Custom duties paid g for them head by the people of the Northould have ween told in order that the people might not and know the amount of the medea me How did he accomplish this

The population of 173,000 which

1685, the Minister assumed to be

300 a year ago, and he based the

of taxation by way of Customs duties

The result of this

was to reduce the amount of

and in Manitobs and the North-West

u to make,

ica, which

1850

11-

than in 1878. In 1884 there was per cent, additional duty paid by inhabitants of the Deminion. Thus find that when it suits the purpose hon. gentlemen opposite, they e the population of this Dominion in a to make it appear that the burden ye head is much less than is really the a I must now pass from this subject and touch for a momes upon

#### The Volume of Trade

in this country. The hon, member who preceded me found great fault with the member for South Huron (Sir Richa Cartwright), because he pointed out the the volume of trade in 1885, under the management of hon. gentlemen opposite did not equal the volume of trade in 1878. and he said that was unfair and a deliberate attempt to mislead the House and the public. Why did the hon. ge tleman, he said, not take 1876-77, and he would see the volume of trade had increased from that year to 1885 by some \$30,000,000. True, the hon, member for South Huron (Sir Richard Cartwright) did not take that year; true, there was some increased volume of trade between 1876-77 and 1885. But the hop, gentleman who found fault will the hon, member for South Huron knew he was taking a year which was almost a famine year in Canada, that he was taking 1876 when the wheat crop failed for once in Canadian history, and I believe only once, and we had actually to import bread to feed our own people; he was taking a year when trade was paralysed, when our lumbering interests were paralysed on account of the state of trac in the United States, which are one greatest oustomers, a year which was one of the dullest in trade not only in country but almost in the world, to show that we have increased our volume The result of this trade, imports and experts, by \$30.000 trade per head, and yet Huron did perfectly right coat more paid per head, and yet Huron did perfectly right pointing out to the Holes.

as large had in of trade as WO hat year, and looking at 1876 77 does at alter the aspect of the question. a grave matter for the consideration of the people, no matter what intervening years there have been, that in 1885 weder the management of hon. gentlemen reposite the volume of Canadian trade is nearly \$20,000,000 less than it was twelve years ago. And yet hon, gentlemen opposite talk about the promising The figures prosperity of the country. are eloquent to speak for themselves; I would they were not eloqueut in pointing out in a clear manner that, instead of there being prosperity, there is a condition approaching stagnation in trade. The hon. member for Cardwell (Mr. White) did not allude to a certain other matter to which I desire to refer, but it was incidentally touched upon by the Vinance Minister in his speech. It is rather amusing that it did not receive attention because hon. gentleman op-Mr. Speak posite know and you, several er, will remember how years ago they were enforcing the great importance of maintaining a

#### Balance of Trade

in our favor, and pointing out what a fearful thing it would be if the balance of trade should happen to turn against us. It did so in the days of the hon. member for South Huron and brought us nearly to the verge of ruin, as they aver. of the principal arguments used by them was that they would prevent the imports from exceeding the exports; they said to the people: "If we are placed in office we will stop that and equalise the imports and exports." They did not do it. But ander their management the hard times of 1879 struck the country, and I beg to semind the hon, gentlemen that that was worst year in Canadian history, and public raffairs were under the control of gentlemen opposite and the National reads force; at that time land; of stocks and all investments. point. While I

say they were not able to sentral the balance of trade, the hard times that existed in 1879 were unparalleled in the history of the country and unequalled by the dullest times under the Mackenzie Government. It appears that one year afterwards the Government were unable to make the balance of trade agree and we exported \$1,500,000 more than we imported. It will be remembered how that year we had the true causes of prosperity pointed out, and how that prosperity was going to be maintained. What was the result? Next year trade began to improve somewhat, and whether they had lost the secret of maintaining an equilibrium I cannot tell, but they had a balance of trade against them, that fearful thing which prevailed when the hon. member for South Huron (Sir Richard Cartwright), was in in office, the amount reaching \$7,000,000; in 1882 it rose to \$17,000,000; 1883 it broke loose and went up to \$84,000,000; in 1884 it was \$25,000,000, notwithstanding all the efforts of the Government; In 1885 it was \$20,000,000; and for the months which have elapsed in 1886 the balance is still against us, and so it goes. Sir Leonard Tilley declared in one of his speeches that so soon as a man's expenditure increased beyond his income poverty stared him in the face. So if you had an adverse balance of trade against you, poverty will stare thenstion in the face. That was the principle up on which they worked; that was the principle they laid down, and I ask them how they can, in the face of that declaration, reconcile these statements with the fact that the balance of trade against them have aggregated in the space of five years the sum of \$108,000,000. With refer ence to the balance of trade being against us, I would point out that the average annual balance of trade against us for the five years of these gentlemen actually exceeds the annual average of the balance of trade against us ever since Confedera tion, taking the figures of the Minister of Oustoms himself. The average in all years nines Confederation has to the 196, 666, while the threat seems as a seem of the seems of

in t

men

POL

Vin

trac

that

ago

for

SOM

DOL

our

to t

refe

COU

ly,

Couth Mary (Mr. Wigle) loaks thoughtful about this, I have no doubt he gave learned lectures about the balance trade, and how impossible it would be for a country to prosper with the balance of trade against us. I call his attention to the figures which I have given as showing the condition of things into which we have been brought by hon gentlem opposite. I now wish to draw your attention for a moment to the

entre ed in the

malled by

Mackenzie

one year

re unable

agree and

than we

ered how

es of pros-

at prosper-

d. What

ade began

ether they

aining an

they had a

that fear-

n the hon.

r Richard

the amount

it rose to

loose and

884 it was

g all the

n : 1885 it

onthe which

nce is still

eonard Til-

ches that so

ncreased be-

d him in the

e balance of

stare thens-

rinciple up

as the prin-

k them how

declaration.

th the fact

minst them

of five years

With refer

eing against

he average

at us for the

actually ex-

the balance

Minister of

go in all t

### State of Made

in the country. We have had statements made by the hon. gentleman who preceded me, as well as by the Finance Minister, with reference to the state of trade. They have been forced to admit that it is not quite as satisfactory as it should be, but still, they say it is in a better condition than it was a few years ago. Well, as I said before, we do look for some improvement; we do look for some increase in trade caused by the natural increase of our population. not to be thought a very wonderful thing that we can hold our own and prevent ourselves from going back in a country like this. But what I want to point out to the hen. gentleman is this fact, with reference to the manufacturers of this country, that they have heralded so loudly, have been benefitted so much by the operation of their tariff — I ask them to look at the effects of that tariff on the export of manufactured goods, and to tell me and tell the country whe ther our export trade is in the healthy condition that we would like to see it. What is the condition of things with reformer to the export of manufactured seeds! Sir, it is not encouraging. I can remember that in 1878, Canada occupied rather a proud position as a manufacburing country, for so young a nation. I can remember that our exports of beauticotured goods equalled some 1,006,000. I can remember that we list only to my that there is decrease in the items I have a decrease in the items I have a true there are some those

tion of the world flour the Australian columbs, and a result of that enterprise, a trade with these columbs spread Canadian manufactured goods have a tariff which hon. gentless site boasted was designed to have ficial effect upon the manufactures country, but where are your manufactured goods to day! Areexporting as many to-day as you 1878. No. Have you exported as since the tariff was put in operation you did before! No; the decrease been great and marked. We are expo about 25 per cent. less to day than did in 1878, and still they claim the have conferred inestimable advan upon us by their tariff. Look at some the items. In the important item agricultural implements, we expense \$63,861 less last year than we like 1878. Biscuits—thousands of data less; candles and soap—less; carvings \$40,000 less; clothing—nearly \$10.00 less; glass and glassware, grindstone pig iron—a decrease; leather, \$144,0 less, and it is one of the largest expose we had. Boots and shoes were a green manufacture, but we exported \$196. less in 1885 than we did in 1870. cake—a great reduction; ships sold other countries \$971,000 less than 1878. Why, we all remember that late Minister of Railways, Sir Ch Tupper, when he was sitting in Op tion to the Mackenzie Admini pointed out the declining state of shipping trade, the deserted ships and we remember how he asked might be restored to office that the the hammer might be heard once merthese deserted shipyards. How is it now Of ships sold to foreign countries, were nearly \$1,000,000 less last than there were in the year lamented the way in which the zie Administration was treating that dustry. Steel manufacturers ing. But I need not go over the ing. But I need not go over list only to my that there is decrease in the items ! have given

a hamlock bart, 40. We draw increase, but the sum total our exports shows that we were only in 1885 to export \$3,181,500 while in 1878 we exported \$4,-37,755 worth. And, Sir, with that the of thing staring us in the face, we the Finance Minister taking four or we hours to speak about the financial dition of the country, but did he give se one practical hint how we should arsange matters so that the foreign trade of country might be developed! We a period of stagnation in our midst; have factories and we have skilled ertimes, but has there been any proposion his part to open up markets for goods elsewhere, to enable us to excountries so that our artisans may have manufactured goods to other countries so that our artisans may have malloyment! Why, Sir, in the Estimated are \$10,000 sides last year, they asked for \$10,000 establish commercial agencies. What was done with that money ! They made statement that it was intended to open market and help the export trade of ur manufactured goods. Were there my commercial agents appointed and if to at what points! Has any efforts been s with other countries to extend our What has been done? The Fin-Minister is mute. He sees our extrade is declining; he asks for this what have they done? He is silent that question, and while he talked on my other things we failed to hear him that he had any remedy. Sir we our export trade opened up; we to have the markets of other nations, we want him to take steps to secure If he wants the export trade de-

and if he maintains the tariff as exists, and if he persists in taxing raw material, in making the manu-products of this country higher and if he will not give the which he promised to those countries, I tell him he will kill export trade we have. He

claims are ladged in the Department and parties ask for these drawbacks, they are mot with technical objections; they are put off and their trade is dying away. In my own city, we have as enterprising a firm as there is in the Dominion. At their own expense, they exhibited at the different international exhibitions; they have sent agents to Russia, Hungary, Austria, Chili, in South America, and opened up a trade with these countries. They did it alone and unaided; Canada had no agents in these countries, and they had to seek the help of the British Ministers, and as a young man, a member of that firm, who was over there told me, he sought the aid of the British Minister to help him in opening a market for his goods; he was met very kindly and courtequaly as any one is sure to be met by an English gentleman. But he was also given to understand by the British Minister that Canada had imposed a tariff, and a heavy tariff, upon goods from Britain as well as from every other country—that while he be glad to aid a Canadian if he could. without jeopardising British interests yet he could readily see that his interest would not be to put Canadian manufactured goods into competition with British manufactured goods. Thus the exporter was left to struggle alone. Although they did open up a market in Chili, and are trying to hold it, yet whenever they present their bill of drawbacks made under the law of the land, they are met with technical objections, that they do not comply with the law; and when they say it is beyond the bounds of possibility to comply with the law, then there is a hitch. Thus our export trade is dying and languishing, and there is no help for it. The manufacturers do not ask a helping hand; they only ask that the law should not fetter them, and they do not get even that, I think the figures are eloquent; and if a few of the hon, gentlemen opposite who have spokes on this subject had devoted their time to the subject of how to increase the expert trade of this country and thus give diswinder, and yet when ployment to the artisans who are many

ering in

is p

in

d b

and

re is

is in 1

yorth; the

not h

in no

to

as th

before, pointed out were all manufacturing for the but unfortunately that is case, and I will prove it by showing the

#### Imports

very articles which we are manufor the home market, have been in 1885 than they were in 1878, is proof positive that the manuof those goods has not the home in 1885 to the same extent that in 1878. Take the article of and shoes. It is true, that in that re imported in 1878 \$49,838 worth then we did in 1885; but certainly not come up to the amount of cline in the export trade. Thereit is not the increased home market has prevented our being able to ture boots and shoes to send Of carriages, we imported \$65, orth more in 1885 than we did in the carriage makers, therefore, not had an increased home market. is not the reason they have been to export as many carriages in as they did in 1878. Take leather, important item the export of I have told you, and we find that the imports reased \$420,000 in 1885 over Take sewing machines, that large management industry. When two or ago I pointed out how that was suffering, I remember how matternan who has preceded me kinself with a letter from a sew-manufacturer in Montreal, read with great gusto to the which stated that the policy determinent had given great help hands and working over to key the state of the case inches; which, in days cases, yet thousand of hands

in the country! The tale is a harmonithe one. In the year 1885 we imported 7,871 machines, at a value of \$169,146 whereas in 1878 we imported 6,206 ... chines at a value of \$101,404; so that in the year 1885 we actually imported. under this tariff that was to give the home market to the manufacturer, no less than 1,663 machines more than we imported in 1878, at a value of \$67,742, and many machine operatives in the country are out of work—why? Because the manufacturers have a profitable export trade? No, I am sorry to say. In the year 1878 they had, but in 1885 they have not been manufacturing, but have been idle. The figures of exports tell the tale. In 1878, whilst supplying the home market to a greater extent than we are doing now, we exported 30,429 machines at a value of \$278,258, a magnificent export in that one line of manufactured goods. That was the condition that industry was in before these hon. gentlemen attained power. But since they have been in power, under their blighting influence, or from some other cause—and if they claim the credit for everything that is better, they must be debited with what is worse—instead of exporting 30,000 machines in the year 1885, we experted 9,418 machines; and instead of getting \$273,000 of foreign gold brought into the country as the result of the sale, we brought \$69,235. Such is the condition of that trade under these hon, gentle-

Mr. HESSON. Will you say there is a less number of machines made ?

Mr. PATERSON. Well, I do think this would rather indicate it.

Mr. HESSON. It indicates our people are using them in the country.

Mr. PATERSON. There is a larger import in 1885 than in 1878, and there is a smaller export. It seems to me this rather points in the direction that there is a less number made in the What would the hon gentlement.

they dying have in the o, they

South with ne and these help

young to was he aid im in in was any h gen-

under-Canheavy vell as ile he could erests terest

with with the Al-Chili, never backs

they when posthere

not that they

kan d to

to finance Minister dwelt upon the at his tariff has been to the fartners. I will touch that later on, but in the mean time there is an industry which concerns the farmers as well as the manufacturers, to which I wish to refer. I mean the

#### Flour Industry,

which comes next in importance, and in the amount of capital it employs and in its output, to the saw milling industry. We used to hear moaning and wailing because American flour was consumed by Canadians, and the Government asked that a duty should be put on the American flour in order that our people should be compelled to buy Canadian flour made out of Canadian wheat. Have we accomplished that? In 1878 we imported of American flour 314,520 barrels. Then the Government put on their tariff of 50 cents a burrel to prohibit that coming in, and, notwithstanding that in 1885 we imported 540,108 barrels of American flour, or 225,588 barrels more than in 1878. Was that to the benefit of the farmers! Did that benefit the Canadian miller? Then they were going to benefit the coal industry. What has been the result of their efforts in that direction, in which they claim a great deal of tredit ! Let us take, first, the importations of

#### Coal and Coke,

and what do we find? In 1885, we imported 1,953,948 tons; in 1878, we imported 896,446 tons, making an increased import of coal in 1885 over 1878 of 1,057,502 tons; or, in other words, we have an increased import of 118 per cent on coal in 1885 over 1878. Yet hon gentlemen designed their tariff to that out this importation altogether, in mile that our people would have to get the wal from the Maritime Provinces. the case with reference to strike one with reference in the tracks of the increased important to the control of the control of

minor in the cast was make the tariff, to export their output; 1885 we find that they experted 479 tone, and, in 1878, they experied 1 127 tons. Thus, we had an income export of coal in 1885 over 1878 of 1 579 tons to set off against the incre import of 1,057,502 tons. In of words, our imports increased 118 cent., while our exports increased but 4 pet cent.; and thus it is they have be fitted our coal industry. Now, althou this duty on flour and this duty on have failed to accomplish what gentleman said they would, have not failed in one respect. In had given the Government an amount revenue, for there was paid, byway, taxes on coal, in 1885, \$1,072,161, by way of duty on flour, in 1885, \$37 054; or a total duty on flour and amounting to \$1,842,215. Now, these gentlemen, with their \$4,900.0 deficit, place the tariff as it was placed the hon, member for South Huron Richard Cartwright). If their flour coal duties were wiped out, we w have, instead of a deficit of \$5,000. for 1886, a deficit of \$6,300,000; even after having taxed everything can lay their hands on, they have this country with a deficit greater by double any that was ever known in darkest administration of that hon. tleman whom they so much revile for departmental mismanagement, as are pleased to term it.

19 AL

mrt

de

Ltur

dies

Wa wi

Mr. HESSON. What about duty tea and coffee?

Mr. PATERSON, My hou, fri is mosning again. To pass from matter hastily, I come next to netice a few moments the proposed

#### Tariff Changes.

There is something that cannot full strike one with reference to the will it seems to me that the Ringary Ma

with him for the are being rocked in the it seems to me it is that they should be held reble for this deticit to the extent of 2000, to meet which they will have 1878 of 1 when they grow to manhood and bood, without inflicting on them Warden while yet in their cradles. How one must the strait be in which the co Minister finds himself when, in Attion to compelling them to shoulder burden when they reach mature he actually, while they are ring peacefully in their supect. T according to his graphic descripan amoun of last year, increases the taxes on id, byway toys and playthings these little ones Even these childish playthings are exempt from the hand which a refate compels him to stretch out tax everything it can grasp, in order he may reduce somewhat his deficit. taxing flour and coal and leaving part of the deficit to be paid by those doen when they reach maturity, he to work and adds to the play hings at we'w dy covered with a 20 per cent. duty, Oper cent, in order that the poor little tures may feel the burden in their dies. He has adjusted the

#### Sugar Duty.

We will discuss that matter more partic-shed in committee, when we we will get some information from the hon, gentlen as to how he considers his new aratter, and subject to revising my have more infrom the hon. gentleman, and to examine more closely in the comincline to this view, that he meterially enhanced the duty on mar. My impression is he may secure contacting like \$500,000 extra duty out the hard while he has done that, he will the constinct great at will the part on that forms or citally in the

strong father of the place of to the extent of 95 per cent. have figured it out correctly and if he a tends to exact a duty on Asseries man ulated on the long instead of the price, which is, I suppose, his intent and which, I suppose, if the refiners ask him to do he will do—the duty on American granulated sugar coming into this country, under this tariff as now arrange will be more than 100 per cent; and the people can have some idea of what they are paying towards the taxes of the country on that one article. Yet, I suppoce I will find gentlemen here who will not hesitate to risk the statement that augar is as cheap as ever.

#### Mr. HESSON. Hear, hear.

PATERSON. A gentlemen opposite says "hear, hear," and he is one of the gentlemen I would expect to hear it from, and he is about the only gentleman. What has the question of whether sugar or any other article is cheaper new than it was seven or eight years ago to do with the question? Do not values rise and fall? That has nothing to do with it, but the question the people are interested in is: If that duty, were wiped off American granulated, they would get it at one-halt the price th they can under the tariff. Hon. gentlemen have apoken of the effect of their policy upon the

#### Working Classes.

They claim the working classes have be greatly benefitted by the introduction their tariff. The Finance Minister of made that claim; and in order to sta en himself with reference to it, he was less enough to do what no other hon gen in this House has ventured to do Budget speech was made last ye far as my recollection goes. Wa long Session, yet, if I remember the Finance Ministre (Sir I last year was the only gentlement - Abeliant Mark

atput; erted 479 rported ... an inch

the incre In of med 118 reased but 4 ey have b low, alth duty on what would,

,072,161, 1885, \$37 lour and . Now, ar **\$**4,900,0 was placed Huron ( their flour

of \$5,000, ,300,000 erything have se greater by known in

hat hon. revile for nent, an

about duty

y hou. fri mas from to notice

purcey of two gentlemen, in order to show that our manufactures had increased. He did venture; but when once they ware dissected and shown to be utterly fallectons, they were dropped by every hon gentleman, and no one ever ventured to allude to them until the Finance Minister of to-day (Mr. McLelan), in a moment of dire necessity, alluded to them. Are we to accept those statistics, prepared at the cost of thousands of dollars to the country last' year, and which were conclusively proved to be utterly unreliable. wholly false and misleading? Was it not pointed out last year with reference to these statistics, that actually they gave an increased product of woollen goods amounting to several million dollars, while the import of raw material was actually less than it was in 1878. When these things were pointed out, when it was shown, by the importation of the raw material, that it was impossible to have had the extra amount of goods manufactured which they alleged to have been made in the country, that was abandoned, and it remained abandoned until the Finance Minister saw fit again to introduce it here, and he based upon it a calculation that we had so many more workers in the country because of those fallacious statements which were previously made, and of which I believe even the compilers were ashamed, that we had some 35,000 more workers in consequence of this policy. The hon gentleman expressed regret that he had not had time to obtain further statistics. Well, it is to be regretted, ne doubt, but he had statistics from one manufactory; he fortified his statements by statissupplied by one cotton company, the da Cotton Company. I admire the services of some manufacturers in this country. I have known the case of a saving machine manufacturer who availed binnelf of the present Minister of the two or three years ago to adverresources and to tell the sountry measural his business was; and here has the Ganada Cotton Company

Minister of Photoco to make that output is so muck greater than it was wonder if they have any stock to sell wonder if they have any to dispose of and whether the Finance Minister is le ing his aid to this end. I might ask ho it is that the hon. gentleman gave us comparison only of the last six months of 1886, with the last six months of 1878. Why did he not give us the whole year? It may be all right, but it looks rather fishy. Surely it would have been just as easy to give us the comparison for the whole year as to give us the comparison for six months. Can it be possible that in the first six months the mill was shut down or was running with less hands! I do not may that it was. It may be all right. They may have done the increased business all through the year, but I think it would have struck the House as more fair and reasonable if we had had the comparison for the whole year instead of for the last six months only. And these are the proofs we have given to us that the mechanics of this country have been so greatly benefited by the operation of the tariff. Further, it is denied by the Finance Minister, and it is also denied by the hon. gentleman who preceded me, that the cost of living has been in any way enhanced by the operation of this tariff. We have been told time and again by these gentlemen that things are not dearer in Canada than they are in the neighboring Republic, that they cost no more here than they do across the border. That may be true. I am not in a position to say, or rather I will not assume to say, that it is not true, but I would like to ask the Minister of Customs one question. If he takes that position, if it be true that goods are as cheap in all lines in Canada as they are in the United States, how is it that some people are so silly as to go to su and risk, fine and imprisonment, in order to smuggle goods which can be obtained to smuggle goods which can be obtained just as cheaply in the country that I Do they smuggle for the mere fun of the things Do they risk incremention is the grain of

the less and imprisonthe Minister of Ourtons in so
the line of that I 'If not,
do it for! Is it just for
they like it. Everything is as
here as over there, and the men
have the fun of the Minister
them. That can be the only
Now, we come to look af the
of the tariff upon

B M WELL

t to sell

dispose o

the ask bo

gave us

months of

months of

the whole

ut it looks

have bee

parison for

o compari

e possible

e mill was

with los

wes. It

nay have

it would

fair and

omparison

or the last

are the

t the me-

B SO great

the tariff.

Finance

the hon,

that the

y enhanc-

by these

dearer in

ghboring

ore here

eition to

to my,

d like to

question

true that

Canada

ple are

States

r. That

iff. We

Dess

ster is le

#### The Farmers.

Finance Minister was very strong on bject of the benefit which the tariff in to the farmers. He pointed one statistics, and I could not follow him in some of the figures taking certain years to suit but he alleged that we have been to export on the average of certain 9,371,756 per annum more of agproducts than we did on an during a certain number of years Mackenzie Administration. sion to that he asserts that he has home market to the farmers to more able to export, in consequence operation of the tariff, \$13,000,000 more in the year 1885 than they in the year 1878. Well, now, what we to understand by an argument at f. If it means anything, and if here any force, it must be that former, as they say, has enabled more product than he or to raise the price of his or to raise the price of his in the fursien market; because \$18,000,000 worth a year more seconding to the statement guidlensan. Does he solemnly may that the operation of the mabled the farmer to grow more has enabled him to raise the the enabled num to reaso and the shearing of it, and yet it is to bilieve that an intalread take that position, sheat to ballow that a position, sheat to ballow that as positionan told us that, as

one of the effects of this tents, while of 1878, the ones were talle in the stall will wighthere was rusting lives when he put a duty on agricultural ducts, the oxen forth with came out of the stall, and was immediately yoked to the plough again. I do not know where the hon. gentleman got his illustration from I de not know what part of the country he had been travelling in. In the first place, in 1878, in the portion of the country in which I lived, horses were more in use for ploughing purposes than oxen, and I had fancied that they were largely used for that purpose throughout the Dominion. And, more than that, if it be a fact that some of the farmers did use oxen, and were not engaged in plough. ing because ploughing would not pay, that they had abandoned farming because it produced no profits, I still think that the farmers would have had sense enough not to keep an ox in the stall and feed him there, when they could turn him out to grave; because, I submit, Mr. Speaker, for your consideration, the fact that, greatly as this tariff has benefitted the agriculturists, and badly off as they were before its introduction; the grass did grow, in 1878, and the ox could have grazed if they had turned him out; also, in 1878, if ploughing did not pay, the farmers had that sense of coonomy that they would never have left the plough in the field for the share to rust, but would have put it in the barn or the shed where it would have been expend to no such danger, as the hos. m for Emex knows quite well. So the hop. gentleman is altogether astray in his illustration. I do not know where he has been, but he has been out of Canada, and it does not apply to our country at all. But it struck me, when he allowed his fancy to indulge in that flight, or when he was taking credit for the taciff. that he had found a patent by which this oxen could be set to work with ing in a direction the which he give us in the operation of his speech; when he said that succeeded in his present por

of gallact kinights; it struck mo the thought was in his mind: "And why cannot I, too, be Sir Gallant Knight! Is there no way by which Her Majesty's favor can be drawn to me! Is there no way by which, while I hold this position, I can attain to that dignity ?" I should say that there is hope for him after the discovery of that patent for setting idle oxen to work by imposing duties on grain of which we raise millions of bushels more than we ever use. The hon, gentleman used another very remarkable illustration, when he said that, if you sell a.\$100 bond for \$100 cash, it is better than if you sell it for \$88. That is doubtless great wisdom, but I have an impression that the stupendous mind of Mr. Gladstone has grasped that fact, and that the Minister of Finance is not alone in that idea. And, when he told us that other remarkable thing, which may be unknown to so many, that you cannot build railways and canals without money, though that may not be known to the masses. I think there are other statesmen who have discovered that before him. You cannot look for knighthood in that way, or in consequence of those discoveries, but let Mr. Gladstone know that the hon gentleman has discovered that by the imposing of duties on agricultural products, of which we raise millions more than we need and have to send to foreign markets, the farming community have been enriched, the idle oxen have been set to work, and the ploughshare has been freed from rust as it passes through the ground, and there is hope that another gallant knight may yet fill that position. Now, Mr. Speaker, I come to notice the

#### Concluding Remarks

of the hon, gentleman who preceded me with reference to some statements that have been made by the hon, member for South Huron with reference to the covardly manner, in a manner that we unworthy of men who bear the name Britanant and in the country.

Stampted to defend it; nay, he ontario, in order that the statement of the country of men who bear the name britanant and in the country.

Stampted to defend it; nay, he of the country of men who bear the name britanant and in the country.

Stampted to a far as the members were

isted Well, Str. I hope and true is no, and that a future meeting of the o mittee may wipe out that dread do that has entered into some people's mind that there has been traffic on the part of certain members of Parliament to a great er extent than merely writing a letter for a friend to the Department of the Interior. But, Sir, he attempted to defend. the people of the country against the charges that, he said, were levied against them by the ex-Finance Minister, that they were morally and politically blind because they had seen fit, in 1878, to die possess him of office and to put them back in office, and he seemed to think it was jealousy on the part of the hon. member for South Huron, and that was the reason why he condemned the people of the country as unable to understand their own best interests. He told us the people saw that. But the people saw more than that, Mr. Speaker. The people saw, in 1878, when they did dispossess that gentleman of power, they mw gentlemen claiming to be men whose words might be taken, whose promises might be relied upon, that if they were to put that gentleman and his colleagues out of position and put them in their places, they would then better the condition of every man, woman and child in this country. The people took them at their word, a portion of the people believed them, and placed them in their position. But, to-day, are those gentlemen willing to rely upon the fulfilment of their promises made to that electorate, in order to secure a return to power! Did they, in 1882, rely upon them ! We know what the people of the country know, and what the perple saw—that they could not trust themselves, even at that early day, upon the records they had made for themselves and before they dared to appeal to the elec-torate they had to gerrymander, in the most cowardly manner, in a manner that we unworthy of men who bear the name Britons, they had to out and carve in t

44

and

put i

Whe

this !

rise :

milk

to one

otpit

in gi mide

the g

to an

Was I

Haro

lectio

I thi

this !

tleme

got u

apeci. Haro

did n

what

Security is majority for these Not contains with that, Sir, they of the o to the people, already deceived by dread do sople's mind there are millions and tens of millions of easital waiting to be invested in manuthe part of at to a great beturing enterprises in this country." I a letter for the Interak them them to-day if they can point to those millions. I asked them in 1882, to defend. and three years after I ask them again against the where those millions are that were to be wied against put into large iron works in this country. inister, that Where are they! Let them stand up in ically blind this House and answer. 1878, to die. rise; they must point out where those at them back millions are. hink it was It speak in general terms. on. member ras the res. to know where are we want to know the names of the people of the espitalists; we want to see the effect of it retand their in giving industry and employment in our old us the midst. Sir, I come now to notice what people mw the gentleman who preeded me, himself eaker. The a Minister of the Crown, did not attempt hey did disto answer in reference to the charge that ower, they was made by the hon. member for South men whose Huron; and when I recall to your recolee promises lection, Mr. Speaker, the omission of that hey were to hon. gentleman, you will agree with me, colleagues I think, that it will be impossible, before m in their this debate closes, that one of the gener the conditlemen on the Treasury benches shall not ed child in ok them at get up and answer the charges framed Heron against members of the Cabinet themselves, about which the hon. Minister cifically by the hon. member for South ple believed ir position. nen willing did not my one word. Sir, what were their promthose charges! He talked in order to did they, is what he had said to the representatives, what he had said to the people; he had read the speech and he heard it, but he did not my anything about the statement know what w, and what ald not trust to the members of the minet, of which day, upon Fou, Mr. Speaker, and the House, will best in mind that this is no statement person upon thomselves l tothe ele r, in the most or that we he name carve in th

These charges must be Minister of Interior can there charges go unanswered. ister of Interior ought not to have down, he could not afford to sit with these charges unanswered by him rive him another opportunity to do What does the hon, member for Box Huron charge :

"It has come to pass that to-day, hower much we regret it, we are obliged to that every second member of the Chi-has been either the recipient of a testimonial largely subscribed for by public contractors and public employees, or that Ministers have and public employees, or these received subsidies granted for the purpose of advancing lines in which they are large there holders; or that they have been participants by means of the cloak of a special company created for that special end, in printing contact that special end, in printing contact and a special end. tracts and other jobs which they could no have undertaken in their own proper persons without putting their seats in peril; or these they have been recipients of timber limits; and I am sorry to say, as the Cabinet are, so are the majority of their supporters."

Sir, they must

the

not do to

We want

works;

about

will

Sir, a Minister of the Crown heard that charge, and read that charge. It was more against members of the Cabinet than against the House, and the hom. member for South Huron said he did no blame the members of the House so much as the members of the Cabinet; and had a Cabinet Minister rising and taking notice of the fact that has been charged against some members of the House, a ignoring, not saying one word of the fearful charge that is brought against members of the Cabinet. Again, the member for South Huron says :--

"Sir, it is a charge that should cause ever true Canadian to blush, and it would be idle it would be criminal in the to conceal binion of these things. If they go on a years, or a few months, may bring the Confederation to an abrapt ter A short time will tell whether Car shake off the incubus that now that now it is a state.

It is a state shake off the incubus that now that now it is own corruption before it is also will be seen while the state of things. Our duty is to oppose and fight these A short time will tell whether Canada shake off the incubus that now shake off the incubus that now pre har, or whether Confederation is to me is own corruption before it is able to a

where of things is takens who hold the highest you e held the highest position in do not deny these charges, then hidenger sheed. We cannot have Correction in high places to that extent. is de by the members of the Cabinet; They have not received money subsidies to aid railways with which they are conted and that is charged; if they have not perticipated indirectly in printing contracts, if they have not been recipients st testimonials subscribed for among others by contractors with whom they have had dealings, then I hold that they cannot ignore the charge. It is made by a gentleman of too high a position, it is made in the Parliament of Canada and to the face of those hon, gentlemen. They must rise in the interests their party and deny that those charges are true. They will have I hope they an opportunity of doing so. may be able to deny them for the sake of the country. It will be a sad thing if much a charge can be sustained. We have to recognize this fact, that if there he any danger to the nation it does not come to us in the nature of extravagant expenditure, especially in a country, like stration, we may have extravagant management of our affairs; but we are a ng country and we are a reliant he and we can surmount evils that assue upon us in that direction; but if was are to have, what has been charged on these gentlemen and yet not denied, eruption in the very highest, places in the land, then, Sir, there is a dark outfor this country. Everything tells I need but remind you, Mr. of a nation that was once brought alayors by a great deliverer, who as their great law giver and judge, and their in a goodly land and became one the most noted nations the world has To that nation were given by the great law giver and ordinwere to be opening that the greater than any wisdom that man, and the principals con-

Governments of the British Empire, of which it is our based to form a part. What was the instruction given to the judges and officers that were to rule over that nation by this great law giver just before he was to lie down and die and leave other men to step into his place. His charge to those occupying in that nation at that time positions similar to the positions occupied by hon, gentleman opposite was:

hat !

the I

in th

recor

want

apply

do to

House

It is

try, v

one p

handi

politi

lump

we he

may t

affairi

tleme

cord a

gentle

true t

if the

better

the co

it mai

to it t

their

let ju

men

would

made

1000

3000

3

SEST S

Jekay .

# SECTION

"Thou shall judge the people with just judgment. Thou shall not respect persons—neither take a gift, for a gift doth blind the eyes of the wise and pervert the words of the righteous."

The trath of those words no man pretending to belong to the British nation will dare to deny. They were given to the notion in order that it might maintain its record as a nation, in order that it might maintain its existence as a nation. But there crept into that nation corrupt rulers, men filled with the spirit of covetousness, and the great seer of that nation, who saw into the future, and saw that country, that was his glory and his pride, about to be. degraded and cast from its high station amongst the nations of the earth, gave utterance to this wail:

"Everyone coveteth gifts and followeth after reward; they judge not the fatherless neither doth the cause of the widow come unto them."

id, then, Sir, there is a dark out high phases whose hearts are set on gifts, who followsth after reward, before such that nation that was once brought in a goodly land and became one most noted nations the world has the nation were given and ordinates to be observed, given who there is a great than any wisdom that mationfail to perform their daty and their continuance in affice will lead to the collapse of thevery prospecity of the mation. We must have true men in high places; I speak not in a pharissical spirit. This charge cannot be assessed by: "You are another." I hear people often say,

pire, of a part, to the to rule wigiver and die is place, in that milar to ntleman

rith just persons th blind words of

nan pretish naby were or that I as a naintain ore crept en filled and the aw into ry, that to be a station h, gave

olloweth atheriess w come

rule in singifu, one such of the desides then do and desides of that ad their the col-

laces; I L. This YYou lon may,

all alike. I a my is to prove the statement. So not claim for each individual memof the party that there never have culd not have been done; but I claim that you cannot look over the record of the Reform party and find such record in this respect as you do find in the record of hon, gentlemen opposite. I want to say more, and my remarks to apply in a general way to myself as they do to every member on this side of the House as well as every member opposite. It is the duty of the people of this country, who need not care particularly for one party or the other, it is in their hands to purge out the old leaven of political immorality so that the whole lump may not be leavened by it. If we have gone out of the true path we may thus return to the path of recititude and purity in the administration of the affairs of the country. If the hon. gentlemen opposite are tried upon their record and found guilty, depose them; if gentlemen who succeed them do not prove true to the public interest, depose them; if the gentlemen who succeed them do no better, depose them; but let the people of the country, those who truly wish to see it maintain its character as a nation see to it that party prejudice no longer blinds their eyes, conscience and judgment, but let justice be meted out. There must be men in the country who, if accused, would rise and repel charges like those made against hon, gentlemen opposite.

Lat them to put in the position they fall from their high cutoff them, The evil is this: If them them, where it corruption in high places is pe down among the masses of unfortunately, as people till, hon. member for South Huran the public conscience is deadened till does not give the answer it should d till it does not resist the things it shou resist as promptly as it should do, and it would do if it were not tainted by the corruption that I fear, from the fact the it has been charged and not denied, per vails in this country. Sir, we want the rulers of this land those who will a upon the precepts I have uttered, those who will not run after rewards and los for gifts, but who will recognise that if any special class are to be looked after and their interests promoted it is not the rich and wealthy, but it is the poor as embraced under the head of the widow and fatherless. Sir, we want men in positions who will regard the claims of labor, just as readily as they will recognise the claims of capital, that the me chanic and laboring man can gain their 💞 and have their wishes granted as readily as capitalists and manufacturers. We want men there actuated by noble principles, so that the prayer of the petition of the poor Indian and the Metis of the far West will be as quickly heard and re ceive as ready a response as the demand of the speculator who may be seeking to deprive him of his land and home.



