





**IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic
Sciences
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580
(716) 872-4503

1.5 2.8 2.5
1.6 3.2
1.8 2.2
2.0

**CIHM/ICMH
Microfiche
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH
Collection de
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

10

© 1985

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured covers/
Couvertures de couleur | <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages detached/
Pages détachées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Showthrough/
Transparence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents | <input type="checkbox"/> Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion
along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la
distortion le long de la marge intérieure | <input type="checkbox"/> Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Blank leaves added during restoration may
appear within the text. Whenever possible, these
have been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées
lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,
mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont
pas été filmées. | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata
slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to
ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partiellement
obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure,
etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à
obtenir la meilleure image possible. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional comments:/
Commentaires supplémentaires: | |

PAGE 1 HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY REPAIRED AND CUT OFF

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	14X	18X	22X	26X	30X
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12X	16X	20X	24X	28X	32X

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:




Metropolitan Toronto Library
Canadian History Department

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Metropolitan Toronto Library
Canadian History Department

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \rightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

TORONTO

J

P
tions
unde
civil
ough
right
joy u
Brit

to Uo
gifts
p

men
sam
ed ?

J
histo
time
bon
depe

P
nion
cha

J
the
pow
the
the

F

CONSTITUTIONAL CONVERSATION ON



WITH A
CONSCIENTIOUS COLONIST

JUN 8 1933

Truth vs. Error.

Peter—While many of the nations of the earth are groaning under the dominion of a perfect civil and ecclesiastical despotism, ought we not highly to value the rights and privileges which we enjoy under the constitution of Great Britain?

John—Yes, as among the best gifts of a kind Providence.

Peter—Under the same Government you do not believe that the same blessings were always enjoyed?

John—Certainly not: the past history of the country refers to times of darkness and degrading bondage in Great Britain and her dependencies.

Peter—What then, in your opinion has given rise to the happy change?

John—Advanced intelligence in the people, exercising a moral power, in moulding and adapting the institutions of the country to the circumstances of the age.

Peter—Do you believe that hu-

man institutions are at all perfect, and should therefore be held sacred and inviolate from the action of the moral influence which you have alluded to?

John—I do not; but a prudent should accompany freedom in all changes.

Peter—How is this influence made to bear on the institutions of the country?

John—It is through the people being concentrated in their Representatives in Parliament, who are periodically accountable for their actions.

Peter—You admit then the importance of the principle of pure representation and responsibility.

John—Yes, as the very essence and glory of our Constitution.

Peter—Do you deem it to be of vital importance, that the real character and views of the people should be freely manifested and expressed in the choice of their representatives?

John—It is all important, and justice ought to secure to every man, duly qualified, the exercise of the elective franchise without fear or constraint.

Peter—Why should so much value be set on this inestimable right of the British subject & its legitimate exercise?

John—Because without a faithful choice of men of known character as to the great principles of civil and religious liberty, we shall have a partial representation, and of consequence partial and injurious laws and defective institutions.

Peter—Do not all who speak of the British Constitution as of something that is, & ought to be, unchangeable, greatly err?

John—Yes, for it is a monument of human wisdom, always changing and adapting itself to the character and circumstances of the people in every age. I might refer to its progressive and rapid improvement during the last half century; but even during the last 10 years how many obnoxious statutes have been repealed and others of a wise and salutary character enacted, diffusing in consequence the blessings of civil tranquility and greatly increased prosperity. The constitution has been therefore changed for the better: it has been REFORMED.

Peter—Would not that man be justly considered a fit subject for a Lunatic Asylum who in our day would stand forward to propose or advocate the frame of laws or our constitution as it existed before 1688 when the name of "FREEMAN" was unknown?

John—No man in his right mind could dare to do so.

Peter—What then must we think of those men who in 1836 stand by and defend an Act passed about 50 years ago, called our "Constitutional Act" as if the wisdom of that age, with prophetic eye, had framed an Act so perfect that no circumstances however changed; no intelligence however increased; no experience however matured

could possibly require it to be changed, in any, even the smallest degree.

John—Such men are evidently "born out of due time" whose motto like one of the European Despots is: "every thing that is ancient is good!" But they are blinded either by ignorance, prejudice, or self-interest, and the latter is that which I fear wraps our Constitutional Act in pretended, immaculate perfection.

Peter—I believe that our Provincial Legislature cannot change our constitutional act?

John—Most certainly it cannot; the Imperial Parliament of Great Britain whence it emanated can alone change it.

Peter—What is the course to be employed in effecting any necessary change in that Act?

John—Simply I presume by petition or address from the people or their Representatives, to the Imperial Legislature of the Empire. What parts of our constitutional Act do you think require to be repealed or amended?

Peter—There are several which I will mention for your consideration:—

1st. Repeal that part which admits persons by HEREDITARY RIGHT to offices of trust and power over the people, inasmuch as no man is necessarily capable of being a statesman or officer-holder because his father was so; and as the clause is so inapplicable to our circumstances that it has never once been acted upon. Known intelligence and worth form the only true ground of an aristocracy.

2nd. Repeal that part which appropriates lands for the clergy, and gives power to the Governor and Council to establish and endow Rectories.

3rd. Amend that part which relates to the mode of constituting the Legislative Council, by any means better adapted to give effect to good, wise, and desirable laws;—50 years experience having taught that as it now is, it forms a barrier to healthy Legislation.

4th. Let the nature and duties of the Executive Council be unequivocally defined

to pro
sion.
John
that s
rights
repre
—Pe
than t
tion b
peal o
which
world
take t
Chure
to the
which
preven
choic
peal o
of slav
repeal
the pas
the emp
the col
tions,
So far
perty in
colonic
the con
which
the bes
sing fr
pected
Upper
John
the hos
against
Govern
and sin
from it
Pete
dread
their in
reduced
under
super
employ
obtain
silence
delude

to prevent future pretended misapprehension.

John—Would any of these changes in that statute enlarge, in any degree, the rights of property, as some have falsely represented?

Peter—certainly it could not—no more than the changes of the British Constitution to which I have alluded, viz: the repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts which kept every man out of office who would not subscribe to the 39 articles and take the sacrament in an Episcopalian Church.—the repeal of the laws relative to the electors of the United Kingdom, by which the great mass of the people were prevented from having any voice in the choice of members of Parliament:—the repeal of the laws relative to the tolerating of slavery in the British Colonies. The repeal of all these obnoxious statutes and the passage of the Reform Bill, the Catholic emancipation bill, the bill emancipating the coloured people in all the British dominions, the late Corporation Bill, &c. &c.—So far from endangering the rights of property in Great Britain and Ireland, or her colonies, it has tended to secure them; and the confidence, tranquillity, and prosperity which now prevail in the mother country is the best evidence of the happy results arising from reform, and the same may be expected to follow the Reforms sought for in Upper Canada.

John—What reasons can you assign for the hostility which some persons manifest against the reformation of our system of Government, which all admit is defective—and since all would enjoy the benefits arising from it?

Peter—Because the persons in office dread that their power will be curtailed—their influence lessened—their emoluments reduced—their acts narrowly inspected, under a reform system, combining active supervision with responsibility, and they employ all their patronage and power to obtain the services of those who have influence over the people, and by such means delude many good men and sincere christians,

undesignedly to aid in the maintenance of corrupt and irresponsible government.

John—It is a humiliating and melancholy truth that the cause of civil and religious liberty,—that cause upon the advancement of which depends our present and future happiness, has had a struggle, not only in the open field, with the iron hand of worldly corruption and tyranny, but in secret and in the dark, with professed and sincere friends, led away by error and misrepresentation.

Peter—What in your opinion is the most formidable barrier to the advancement of knowledge and truth and the progress of civil and religious liberty in the world?

John—I without hesitation reply the uniting of the church with the state.—“The old serpent,” never employed a more potent engine to extend the kingdom of darkness and error.

Peter—No man could doubt the correctness of your opinion who has beheld the workings of the Hierarchies of Europe and witnessed their corruptions—their abominations—their pride—their tyranny and cruelty—their deadly influence upon the hearts and minds of men, in all the countries where they have been long established.

John—Christianity can never flourish under any secular union with the powers of the earth,—it is of a nature too refined to amalgamate with them.

Peter—It never can—it never will—and O! how solemn and imperative is the call which duty makes, upon the christian, of whatever name, to watch, lest he be found to aid by his influence, in the introduction and establishment of such a curse in our land.

John—The conduct of the Government of this Province in lately establishing and endowing 57 Rectories, contrary to the wishes of the people, and to their peace, happiness, and welfare, is a signal to every patriot & christian to mark the political character of those who come forward to claim the suffrages of the people at the coming election, in order that men of decided and

unbending principle may be chosen to re-
present us in Parliament, not only to of-
pose this outrage against our liberties, but
to have t. he system reformed which toler-
ates it.

Peter—It is all important for the great
object intended for by the friends of re-
form, viz: a responsible Executive Council
we would ha- re effectually prevented this nox-
ious and d- erous procedure on the part of
our Lieut- enact Governor.

John—It is the responsibility of the ad-
visers of it to King's representative, a "new
theory in our constitution," as Sir F. B.
Head terms it.

Peter—I is an acknowledged British
principle—nd EVERY MEMBER of the late
Assembly, es cept Boulton and Malloch, 53
out of 55, vo- led in favor of recognizing it
as part of ou- Constitution.

John—Did THE SOLICITOR GENERAL ac-
tually vote in favor of a responsible Execu-
tive?

Peter—He certainly did, as the Jour-
nals of the H-ouse will testify, but with
distinguished consistency! he afterwards
voted against it, and now he makes long
speeches against it, because Sir Francis his
master says, "it must not be so."

John—Was it any political or party feel-
ings which broke up the late Executive
Council on the question of its responsibili-
ty?

John—By no means; for it was compos-
ed of men of opposite political views, who
on finding themselves made the mere dupes
of a corrupt system, at variance with our
Constitution and British usage, unanimously
protested against such a fraud being com-
mitted in their name upon the country.

John—But does not Sir F. B. Head say,
that they wanted to deprive him of his pre-
rogative?

Peter—He does—although he knows
full well that the gentlemen whom he had
sworn to give him counsel, only claimed
their right to give him advice on the af-
fairs of the Province, as the cabinet min-
istry advise the King of England, whose
prerogative is not thereby invaded.

John—It is very evident that no political
party feeling led to the collision between
the Lt. Governor and his Council—do you
believe that there were any narrow personal
or selfish considerations on the part of the
Council which led to it?

Peter—The very reverse is the truth.—
Had they remained "mute and dumb"—
they would have retained the favor of His
Excellency—enjoyed their £100 per an-
num for doing nothing, and been in name
the Honorable the Executive Council of
Upper Canada; but as men of honor and
of patriotism—they chose to lose the favor
of His Excellency—to sacrifice the paltry
salary of office—to step from the rank of
Honorable Councillors to that of private
citizens; and some of them to hazard the
loss of offices from which they derive sup-
port for themselves and their families, and
which they have held for many years, and
all this for what?—That they might render
to their country an essential service by vin-
dicating the right of the people of Upper
Canada to all the blessings of the glorious
Constitution of Great Britain.

John—Men of such character and prin-
ciples are the pride and boast of any coun-
try, and this Province is undeserving of
British Freedom if its inhabitants will look
on with indifference and see them sacrificed
by the arm of a petty despot, to uphold
"Downing-street" supremacy, contrary to
the letter and spirit of our constitution.

Peter—The late House of Assembly hon-
orably sustained these patriotic men and
addressed His Excellency to dismiss their
successors in office as they had not the con-
fidence of the country.

John—They did—but is it a British prin-
ciple for the Representatives of the People
to call upon the Head of the Government
to dismiss his Council?

Peter—It is one of the brightest features
in the British Constitution, and has been
acted upon for ages.

John—Should the King in England or
any Governor of a Colony refuse to dismiss
his advisers in accordance with the wishes
of the People as expressed through their

Repre-
Pe-
coun-
tives
vote
cutive
opini-
Jo-
nor s-
in his
ing c-
check
&c.
Pe-
ed to
throu-
count
sum y
and t-
an
office
caus-
Sir R-
Jo-
fund
sent-
B-
rever-
sides
take
ple
"Ev-
cis s-
alm-
Jo-
men
hav-
sent-
A-
Lon-
of t-
sal-
the
for
Kin-
gar-
stru-
PA-
eve-
rito-

Representatives, what remedy have they?

Peter—THE ONLY CONSTITUTIONAL course left them is for their Representatives to withhold "the Supplies," i. e. to vote no salaries to the officers of the Executive Government so long as it puts the public opinion and the constitution at defiance.

John—I observe that the Lieut. Governor speaks of the stoppage of the supplies in his answers to several addresses, as having caused great distress in the country and checked the improvement of our roads, &c. &c. &c.—is this really true or false?

Peter—It is utterly untrue and is designed to deceive the unsuspecting Electors throughout the country. The supplies amount to about £7000—the one-half of this sum would be payable on the 1st July 1836 and the other half on the 1st January 1837—and could not therefore affect even the office-holders themselves until then, far less cause "a general stagnation of trade" as Sir Francis declares.

John—Has not the government a large fund at its disposal over which our Representatives have no control?

Peter—Yes—the casual and territorial revenue amounting to £30,000 a year—besides about £7000 of a permanent civil list taken annually out of the taxes of the people without their consent by the odious "Everlasting Salary Bill" and yet Sir Francis says that the clerks and messengers are almost in a state of starvation.

John—Did not His Majesty's Government offer to place the revenue which you have stated at the disposal of our Representatives on certain conditions?

Peter—Yes, you are correct; it did.—Lord Goderich directed £10,000 annually of that revenue to be placed at the disposal of the Assembly upon condition that the House granted a "civil list" for £7000 for seven years, or during the life of the King, but Sir John Colborne neither regarded the wishes of the People nor the Instructions of the King and got a Tory PARLIAMENT to give a "Civil List" FOR EVER, but gave none of the casual and territorial revenue in return.

John—Truly—that act of Sir John and the other about the Rectories is the best commentary which can be given upon the unsoundness of our "Colonial System," and no independent man can sanction or defend such a system of deception and injustice. What do you understand by the term "Rectories"?

Peter—In the church of England there is an order of the clergy called "Rectors" who are I believe settled Ministers for life; the place where they reside is called "the Rectory" and the lands given by Government, the "endowment" of the rectory.

John—How many acres of our public lands have been given during the last year to endow rectories and make clerical Lords—or Lords spiritual! over the people of this province.

Peter—About 20,000 acres of choice Lands! and this is only "the beginning of sorrows" if the colonists are mad enough to give such a government a Tory majority in the next Parliament.

John—Do you believe that the government will persevere in going against public opinion, and in treading underfoot the vast body of His Majesty's loyal subjects—Presbyterians, Dissenters, or Methodists because they will not bow at the shrine of Episcopacy?

Peter—I have no doubt of it whatever—they have always done so,—and it is not improbable, that the Provincial Act relative to tithes will be repealed, and the TITHES SYSTEM established in all its glory!

John—It matters very little whether we have a Mailland a Colborne or a Sir F. B. Head to govern us; each of them is but the agent of a pernicious system, and as a plain countryman once remarked, may be compared to a servant employed to overlook a thrashing machine. The people of this province have been placed under one of Steven & Hay's patent colonial thrashing machines of "Downing-street" manufactured for a long period, and under Colborne's superintendance, have been nearly thrashed out of their Religious Liberties, and will

before long, if Toryism gain the ascendancy, be thrashed out of their civil liberties too, and driven as chaff before the wind of Ecclesiastical intolerance and civil tyranny.

Peter—The eyes of the colonists are being opened to these things, and they perceive that the great safe-guard from such evils as well as the sure and peaceful means of redressing "all real grievances is, A RESPONSIBLE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL, or to have known and honest men to advise our Governors on all our affairs.

John—Has not the present Lieut Governor prevented the appropriation of all the monies voted by the late House of Assembly for public improvements?

Peter—He has refused to give the royal sanction to bills passed by it amounting to \$162,000, and this has produced the distress if any there be—throughout the country.

John—Why has His Excellency dissolved the Parliament two years before the period when by law it would have expired?

Peter—Evidently to maintain if possible his assumed right to rule the colony at his own pleasure without advice—and to prevent the march of Reform.

John—But Sir Francis says he is a Reformer—does he not?

Peter—If a man's actions be the best criterion to judge of his principles, he is the greatest enemy to Reform that ever held the reins of Government in this Province. Look to his first acts in appointments to office;—His disingenuous conduct towards his Council and our Representatives;—His replies to Tory addresses in which he styles Reformers, "our enemies" and his late Council "an ignominious metropolitan cabinet." Look to the means employed to influence the public mind previous to the announcement of a dissolution of Parliament—the arrangements he has made as to the date on which Elections will be held in various parts to give the Tories two chances for one to the Reformers;—the places where Elections are to be held—for in-

stance Beverley in Leeds—the former place of riot and bloodshed;—the political character of the Returning Officers, some of whom are most violent partizans of the Tory interest!—and this is our Reform Governor who came as he said "to uproot the Tree of Abuse" which had been planted by his predecessors!!

John—Does the great body of the Reformers of Upper Canada contend for the principles which you have advocated in the course of our conversation—the reformation of our system by moral and constitutional means?

Peter—They contend for them and nothing more, and they do so in order to secure to themselves, and their children, to the remotest posterity, the invaluable blessings of Civil and Religious Liberty which THE CONSTITUTION OF GREAT BRITAIN intended to guarantee to every British subject.

John—Why then are they called "Revolutionists"; "Destructives"; "Rebels"; "Men bent on Anarchy"; "our Enemies"; "Infidels"; "Republicans," &c. &c. &c.?

Peter—Because the friends of corruption, find that, simple minded, altho' well-meaning persons are frightened by such epithets from giving honest votes at elections—just as a child is frightened into obedience by a silly story about a ghost or hobgoblin, told by a foolish nurse who is ignorant of the way of governing children, but perhaps the Tories will find that they are ignorant of the way of governing the yeomanry of Upper Canada.

John—But are not the Reformers also charged with a design "to break our connexion with the mother country"?

Peter—This is another "scare crow" of late invention, and is as base as it is untrue; and I appeal to any man of common sense whether the concession of all our constitutional rights would not rather rivet our connexion with the mother country by the same ties which unite the child to the parent, who rears it by generosity and kind-

ness, & a
sical r

John
doutly
to suff
Assen
ed, wh

Pe
defect
divide
honor
portat
lummy
some
ed th
to say

John
ing e
simila

Pe
to be

and c
qualif
leavin
nor c
of the
civil

PRIV
tablis
ment
which
in for
form
unim
tiugu
and
influ

John
nion
ed a
sis w
our

irres
pow
RES
mak
mor
the

ness, and not by arbitrary power and physical restraint?

John—The cause of the reformers is evidently a righteous one, and it is honorable to suffer reproach in its defence. The late Assembly however, is also much stigmatized, what is your opinion of it?

Peter—I could point out several of its defects, and refer to particular errors of individual members, but as a body, they have honorably discharged their arduous and important trust; and in the face of the calumny of enemies, and the defection of some deceived friends, have boldly "bearded the lion," of unconstitutional power, to save the country from its paw.

John—Would you then at the approaching election give your support to men of similar principles, and none others.

Peter—As a conscientious man, desirous to be guided in all things by correct views and christian motives, I would give my unqualified support to men of their principles, leaving aside all personal feelings and minor considerations, and taking a broad view of their character as *the advocates of our civil rights and especially of our RELIGIOUS PRIVILEGES*, in opposition to all church establishments with their fearful accompaniments, and there are besides, other reasons which should weigh with every good man in forming a correct judgment of the Reformers and their cause; and that is, the unimpeachable private character, the distinguished talents, and the venerable age and experience of their leading and most influential men.

John—I cannot but accord with the opinion you have just expressed; and convinced as I am, that we have come to that crisis which will either terminate in *placing our elives and property in the power of an irresponsible TORY DESPOTISM*,—or in the power of a *mild and equitable, BECAUSE RESPONSIBLE ADMINISTRATION*; I will make every effort to secure the return of a *more decidedly REFORM PARLIAMENT* that the enemy to the tranquility and prosperity

of our country may speedily be put down to rise no more.

Peter—From the arrangements made by the government for the Elections, I am almost inclined to believe the reports that are in circulation, that unlawful means may be resorted to by the Tories to carry them in their favor; such as, allowing votes on location tickets; running in their voters and suddenly closing the poll; or employing physical force to prevent Electors from getting to the Hustling,—a majority being gained even by such means, they will then have the choice of the Speaker and the power to decide all contested Elections! !

John—I could hardly reconcile such fears with the fact of my residence in a BRITISH PROVINCE were I not reminded of the late Elections in the county of Leeds.—*His Majesty's Attorney General!* and *Gowan* were I believe twice returned by force and violence from that county and had their Elections twice declared void by the Assembly, and so soon as the peaceable electors were protected by an act appointing, only for once, that the Election should be held in four places at one time—*Buell and Howard THE REFORM CANDIDATES* were returned by *overwhelming majorities!*—There is a spark of the fire of freedom in the breasts of the freemen of Upper Canada, and there is nerve and sinew in their arm to protect themselves from lawless violence; but I hope and trust that their energies will not be aroused by the rudeness of their oppressors.—Let the electors mark those Returning Officers who shall dare to put them down by fraud or injustice.—Let all intemperance among Reformers be avoided:—Let cool determination and invincible firmness be united with MUCH PATIENCE.—And Reform will be honored, if it be not triumphant.

Peter—These councils are necessary & prudent, but over all human arrangements, however wise, there is a power that presides and will direct for the best. In ancient times under similar circumstances a wise Monarch has left on record the following testimony:—"If thou seest the oppression of the poor, and violent perverting of justice and judgement in a province marvel not at the matter; for HE that is higher than the highest regardeth, and there be higher than they."

