IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-3)



Photographic Sciences Corporation

23 WEST MAIN STREET WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580 (716) 872-4503

STATE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE

CIHM/ICMH Microfiche Series. CIHM/ICMH Collection de microfiches.



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadian at microreproductions historiques



C) 1984

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

10X	14X	18X	1	22X		26X	200	30X	
Ce de	Additional comments:/ Commentaires supplément item is filmed at the reduct ocument est filmé au taux o	ion ratio check de réduction inc							
	La re liure serrée peut caus distortion le long de la ma Blank leaves added during appear within the text. Whave been omitted from fill se peut que certaines pa lors d'une restauration appmais, lorsque cela était po pas été filmées.	rge intérieure restoration ma nenever possible Iming/ ges blanches aj paraissent dans	y s, these outées le texte,		slips, tis ensure ti Les page obscurci etc., ont	holly or pa sues, etc., he best po s totaleme es par un t été filmée a meilleure	have been ssible imagent ou pa feuillet d'as à nouve	en refilm age/ rtiellem errata, u eau de f	ed to ent une pelure, açon à
	Tight binding may cause s along interior margin/					tion availa lition dispo			
	Bound with other material, Relié avec d'autres docum					suppleme			ire
	Coloured plates and/or illu Planches et/ou illustration					of print va inégale de		ion	
	Coloured ink (i.e. other the Encre de couleur (i.e. autre		**	V	Showth: Transpar	-			
	Coloured maps/ Cartes géographiques en c	ouleur				etached/ étachées			
	Cover title missing/ Le titre de couverture man	que		V		iscoloured, écolorées,			
	Covers restored and/or lan Couverture restaurée et/ou					stored and staurées e			
	Covers damaged/ Couverture endommagée					amaged/ ndommagé	ies		
V	Coloured covers/ Couverture de couleur					d pages/ e couleur			
The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.			qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.						

étails s du nodifier er une ilmage

errata

pelure, on à

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:

> Seminary of Quebec Library

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol - (meaning "CON-TINUED"), or the symbol ▼ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

> Séminaire de Québec Bibliothèque

Les images suivantes ont été raproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, seion le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole -- signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ♥ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

1	2	3

1	
2	
3	

1	2	3
4	5	6

364. Enseigne Lang France. 1123



A

COURSE OF LESSONS



IN THE

FRENCH LANGUAGE.

FIRST CANADIAN EDITION.

Montreal :

JOHN LOVELL, PRINTER AND PUBLISHER, ST. TCHOOLS SOREET;

Taranta :

WM. C. F. CAVERHILL BOOKSELLER AND STATEMENT, YONGE STREET;

Price 25 Cents.

FI

JOI

1

COURSE OF LESSONS

IN THE

FRENCH LANGUAGE,

ON THE

ROBERTSONIAN METHOD;

INTENDED FOR

THE USE OF PERSONS STUDYING THE LANGUAGE WITHOUT A TEACHER.

BY

A. H. MONTEITH, ESQ.,

HON. MEMB. OF THE W. L. C.

FIRST CANADIAN, FROM THE NINETRENTH AMERICAN, AND EIGHTH BRUSSELS EDITION.

Montreal :

JOHN LOVELL, PRINTER AND PUBLISHER, ST. NICHOLAS STREET;

Coronto:

WM, C. F. CAVERHILL, BOOKSELLER AND STATIONER, 57 YONGE STREET. 1859.

PREFACE.

THE object of the present course of lessons is to give persons disposed to study the French language without the aid of a teacher, some directions for their guidance, and to furnish them with a model whereon their studies may be advantageously prosecuted.

In order the more perfectly to supply the part of a teacher, a comprehensive, and at the same time, practical view of pronunciation has been given, together with such elucidations of the sounds peculiarly French as will enable the

learner to enunciate them with a sufficient degree of accuracy.

The prevalent notion that it is impossible to acquire French without a teacher, appears to have arisen from the lugubrious attempts of grammarians who have undertaken to explain the pronunciation of the language. No human intellect could possibly digest, and bring to bear on the words of the language, the pages of unintelligible rules and ill-organized observations they have amassed with this view. In the present course of lessons this prolixity has been carefully avoided; and while no useless detail has been introduced, every essential point is fully explained, and brought prominently before the observation of the learner. French words being written in most cases exactly as they should be pronounced, there is nothing to prevent the acquisition of their pronunciation by theory; and the generality of persons who study the language, by relying upon the ear, that deceives them at every step, and by losing sight of the theory, neglect the only certain means whereby they can acquire a really good pronunciation.

Ti

66 7

ďe

un

1

eac

to 1

in s

abl

wit

not

ster

poi

exh

45 (

et

ai

quo

kwa

in-u

refer

A great many otherwise intelligent persons run away with the idea that French may be acquired by going to France. This is an excessively vulgar notlon, and means that the language may be acquired by intuition, or by some other magical process. Whether in France or England, persons of mature years will not acquire the language thoroughly without a diligent and assiduous study of its principles. Hundreds of pounds have been expended by Englishmen, both in this country and in France, with a view to acquire French, without having attained the desired object. All the wealth of the Indies, and all the teachers in Christendom, will not suffice for the purpose, where diligence or intelligence is wanting on the part of the learner himself. On the other hand, any Englishman of ordinary capacity, whether in his own country or in France, whether with or without a teacher, if he set his mind earnestly to the subject, may, in the course of twelve or eighteen months, become perfectly conversant with the language.

The present short course of lessons, besides containing a full exposé of the pronunciation, comprises an explanation of the chief difficulties the learner has to encounter; and will enable the diligent student, without the aid of any kind of oral instruction whatever, to see his way clearly into the material of the language.

THE FRENCH LANGUAGE.

LESSON FIRST.

READING.

Tnois voyageurs trouvèrent un trésor dans leur chemin, et dirent, "Nous avons faim, qu'un de nous aille acheter de quoi manger;" un d'eux se détacha et alla dans l'intention de leur apporter de quoi faire un repas.

Before the learner can read the above, he must first be taught the pronunciation of the words and their meaning. We shall therefore first place under each word such a combination of letters as may convey a notion of its sound to the English student. Afterward we shall exhibit the meaning of each word in a literal translation of the entire passage. This done, the learner will be able to translate into English and to pronounce the text of the lesson, if not with absolute accuracy, at least with a sufficiently close approximation. It is not indeed to be expected that the learner should be altogether perfect the first step he makes in a language. A portion of each lesson will be reserved to point out the true pronunciation of such French sounds as can not be exactly exhibited by a combination of letters.

The following is a repetition of our text, with the pronunciation of the words, as also their euphonic connexion one with unother, according as the language is read and spoken by a well-educated native of Paris.

Trois voyageurs trouvèrent un trésor dans chemin, leur Trwa wa-ee-a-zhair troo-vair-t_un* trai-zor danshe-min, dirent, "Nons avons faim, qu'un de nous aille et acheter de fin, kun_d noo-z_ah-ye ash-tai_ d ai deer, Noo-z av-on quoi manger;" un d'eux 80 détacha et alla dans day-tash-a kwa man-shay und_ai _ s ai al-la danapporter de quoi l'intention de leur . faire un repas. in-cen-see-on_ d läir ap-por-tai _ d kwa fair re-pa. un

may be

osed to

together
the
a teachans who
human

inguage,
iey have
ixity has
ed, every
observay as they
choir proanguage,
ing sight
o a really

idea that ar notion, one other years will s study of men, both ut having eachers in lligence is Englishe, whether it, may, in sant with

posé of the enrner has of any kind riel of the

[•] For the pronunciation of the combinations an, en, in, on, and un, marked in italics, we refor the student to the article Pronunciation, page 11.

In order to read the above as it ought to be, the learner should deal with the verbal pronunciation we have given just as if the combinations of letters that represent the French sounds were so many English words. There are no unheard-of sounds in French that require the features to be distorted in enunciating them. The learner must avoid all straining, all effort, if he desires to speak French correctly.

T

tr

u

de

le

ch

di.

no

n.v

fui

qu

the

WO

En

con

sen

thn as i

Tro

Et

No

Qu' Acl

De'

Ma

Un

Se

Et

Du: De

De

Un

two

En

at equ

I

Before leaving this part of the subject, we may observe, that a little attention to the pronunciation now will be worth more than a hundred times the amount of labor afterward; the same words will occur over and over again throughout this and the lessons that are to follow, so that a correct pronunciation at the outset will be of the greatest utility.

The sign o we have used, indicates that the words or letters it joins are to be pronounced as one word, and the sign – over the ai signifies that these letters should be pronounced with a more open sound than usual.

TRANSLATION.

vovageurs tronvèrent un trésor dans leur chemin, et Trois Three travellers found a treasure their road, and "Nous avons faim, qu'un de nous aille dirent. have hunger, that one of us may go (let one of us go) said. munger:" neheter de quoi un d'eux détacha what (whereof) of them \mathbf{of} to cat;" himself detached to buy l'intention de apporter de quoi et alla dans leur the intention of to them to bring of what (whereof) and went faire repas. repast. to make а

Aided by the above translation, the student may read into good English the text itself. And now that the meaning of the words, as well as their pronunciation, are understood, the learner should accustom himself to reading the French aloud. This exercise will familiarize the ear with a correct enunciation of the words, and serve to impress them more firmly on the memory.

VOCABULARY.

As it is essential to the march of our method that the learner should be perfectly familiar with every French word introduced into a lesson, both as regards its general meaning and precise logical value, we shall range all the words with their various translations in separate columns, so that he may be enabled to test his proficiency in this particular. This may be done advantageously in the following manner: cover over with a card the English column, and translate each French word aloud; verifying this translation by removing the card from the translation given of it. After all the French words have been turned into English in this way, cover over the French column of words, and translate in the same manner the English words into French. This exercise should be repeated until all the English words can be rendered into French, and the French words into English, with perfect facility.

with the tters that re no unenunciatdesires to

tle attentlmes the ver again prouuncia-

oins are to these let-

emin, et

e of us go) détacha detached

t (whereof)

i

English the neir pronunreading the enunciation

ould be peron, both as
ange all the
the may be
endvantagelish column,
by removing
words have
nn of words,
This exerinto French,

Trois		de	
voyageurs	travellers	aille	· · · · · · may go
trouvérent	found	nelieter	to buy
	(a	quoi	what
un	one	manger	
trésor		eux	
dans		se	
leur	S their		
		détacha	
chemin		alla	
et	net	la	the
dirent	sald	intention	Intention
nous	5 we	apporter faire	to bring
		Coluc	(to make
avons	linvo	mile	· · · › to do
fulm		repas	repast
que	. Sthat what		

It will be observed that some of the French words have two significations, as in the case of the word *leur*, meaning in one case *their*, and in another, to them. The reason of this will be explained under the head Construction.

PHRASES.

Not only must the learner who desires to profit by our lessons, make himself familiar with each word in a sentence, but he must also observe carefully how words are made up into sentences, and the difference between the French and English manner of constructing phrases. All the points in which the French construction differs from the English, must be sedulously noted and stored up in the memory. To assist the learner in doing this, we shall now arrange the sentences of the lesson, with their English equivalents, in opposite columns, so that the student may subject himself to a self-examination, in the same manner as in the case of the previous exercise.

Trois voyageurs trouvèrent un trésor	
Et dirent	And said
Nous avons faim	
Qu'un de nous aille	Let one of us go
	One of us must go
Acheter	And buy
	(Whereof
n	Wherewithal
Do quai	The wherewith
	Something
Manger	To out
Un d'eux	
Se détacha	
Et alla	
Dans l'intention	
De leur apporter	Of bringing to them
De quoi faire	Wherewith to make
Un repas	

It will be observed, that the phrases as well as the words have occasionally two translations in English; qu'un de nous aille, for instance, is rendered in English by one of us must go, as well as let one of us go. It will be observed, at the same time, that these English expressions are as nearly as possible equivalent in meaning.

CONVERSATION.

One of the original features in the present course of lessons, and certainly not one of the least utility, is that of introducing in the first lesson an exercise in conversation, on the subject-matter of the lesson, and in the words of which it consists. Facility in conversation is the object sought by every student of French; but it is not to be attained by the usual mode of instruction. In this particular our first lesson will place the learner on a par with the student who has obtained his degrees at the University of Oxford or Cambridge, where the most able masters may be supposed to preside.

Qu Se

Po

Où

Al

Qu

Qu

Que Que Dan

1

Eng

dis

le 15

la les

I a fe the emp

The be eno to lall

trés

fem

it b

par

lear

the

of

In order to converse in French, it will be necessary to become familiar with the following words used in asking questions:—

Où	where	pronounced	like oo	in good.
Quand	when	- 11	kang.	
Qui	who	44	kee.	
Que	what	и	ki.	giving the letters the sound they have in the English word kill.
Ils	they	11	ecl.	
II	he	44	ecl.	
Pour	for	í í	poor.	giving the o's the sound they have in the word good.
Le	the be	fore words in th	e singula	r pronounced like the letter l.
Les				pronounced like le in the word let.
Oui	yes	pronounced	we.	
Non	no	11	nong.	
Monsieur	sir	44	mos-yā.	•

The pronunciation and meaning of all the other words introduced into the conversation have been already given. If then the previous exercises have been carefully gone over, there can be no difficulty with this. The learner should pronounce each question aloud, and proceed with the answers in the same manner as with the translation of the words and sentences.

Que trouvèrent les trols voyagenrs?	Un trésor.
Les trois voyageurs trouvérent-ils un	Out Manuface
trésor?	Oui, Monsieur.
Où ?	Dans leur chemin.
Trouvèrent-ils de quoi manger?	Non, Monsieur.
Que dirent-ils?	Ils dirent, " Nous avons faim."
Quand ?	Quand ils trouvèrent un trésor.
Dirent-ils, " Nous avons de quol man-	
ger"?	Non, Monsieur.
Dirent-ils, " Nous avons de quoi faire	•
un repas "?	Non, Monsieur.
Dirent-ils, " Qu'un de nous aille acheter	
de quoi manger"?	Oui, Monsieur.

^{*} We are always disposed to laugh when we hear an Englishman pronounce the word "Monsleur," he generally makes such a mess of it. It seems impossible to teach this sound by the ear; not two, in twenty Englishmen, who have been taught the language by a master, pronounce it correctly, and yet there is no French sound more easily depicted to the eye. We have heard persons who had been one, two, nay three years under tutelage, pronounce the word as if written moo-soo; now nothing could be more grating to the ear of a well-educated Frenchman than to hear himself addressed by such a barbarism as moo-soo. The word monsieur is pronounced as if written mosyai, and the plural messieurs as if written mesyai. Could anything be plainer than this?

certainly
a exercise
of which
student of
. In this
ident who
where the

iliar with

the sound e English

ound they good.
etter l.
e word let.

d into the have been ner should same man-

m."

sor.

nce the word
It this sound
by a master,
d to the eye
ge, pronounce
ear of a wellnoo-soo. The
as if written

Quant dirent-ils, " Nous avons faim "?
Où dirent-ils, "Qu'un de nous aille
acheter de quol manger "?
Qui se détacha?
Se détacha-t-il ?
Quand?
Pourquoi se détacha-t-il?
Où alla-t-il?
Alla-t-il dans l'intention d'apporter de
quoi faire un repas?
Quand?
Que dirent les trois voyageurs, quand
ils trouvérent un trésor?
Quand les trois voyageurs dirent
"Nous avons faim," que trouvé-
rent-ils?
Quand les trois voyageurs trouvérent-
ils un trésor?

Quand ils trouvèrent le trésor.

Dans leur chemin.
Un d'eux.
Oui, Monsieur.
Quand les voyageurs dirent, "Nous
avons faim."
Pour acheter de quoi manger.
Il alla leur ncheter de quoi faire un

Oui, Monsieur. Quand il se détacha. Qu'un de nous aille acheter de quoi faire un repas

Un trésor.

repas.

Quand its dirent "Nons avons faim."

CONSTRUCTION.

We shall now point out such peculiarities in the structure of the passage we have given, as may serve to illustrate generally the construction of the language.

I.

Que trouvèrent les trois voyageurs? Quand trouvèrent-lis le trésor? Dans l'intention, for dans la intention.

What found the three travellers? When found they the treasure? In the Intention.

It will be observed by these phrases, that the French word equivalent to the English article the has three forms, viz: le, la, and les. The French article is disposed in the following manner:—

le is used before a noun in the masculine gender.
la "feminine gender.
les "plural number of both genders.

In English, the word the is invariable; whether it comes before a masculine, a feminine, or a plural noun, it is always the same. The English say, for instance, the man, the woman, and the women; but a different form of the article would be employed in French under these three circumstances.

We may observe here, that nouns in English are said to be of three genders. The word man is said to be of the masculine gender; the word woman is said to be of the feminine gender; and the word treasure is said to be in the neuter or no gender. This is a natural division, but somehow or other it does not happen to be a grammatical one. In French there is no such thing as a neuter gender: all the nouns of the language must either be masculine or feminine; the word trêsor, for instance, is said to be masculine, and the word intention is said to be feminine. As a different form of the article must be used in each of these cases, it becomes a matter of some moment to know to which of the two genders any particular word may belong; we shall therefore give rules that will enable the learner to distinguish the gender of nouns when they become necessary. In the meantime, the gender of the words in the lesson must be judged by the form of the article that exists before them; thus trêsor will be recognized as mascu-

"

I

in F

slin

aill

of t

wit

Tw

pos

I

did,

and

that

secothe

two Fre

ren

WOI

a p

sur

Sinto

into

tior this

pris

line, since le stands before it. The learner, then, must take care always to render "the treasure" into French, by le trésor: it would be a blunder to write or pronounce la trésor. There is no difficulty about words in the plural, the same form of the article being always before them; thus we say les intentions and les coyageurs, although, as we have seen, the former of these words is feminine, and the latter masculine.

II.

Un d'eux.....One of them.

Dans l'intention......In the intention.

Qu'un de nous aille......Let one of us go.

When the words le or la, the, que, that, or de, of, come before another word beginning with a vowel, the u of the one and the c's of the others are invariably cut off. This is done in order to avoid the too-frequent recurrence of two vowels, these little words being very much used in French. The student, therefore, in writing, must take care when he has the two words de and cux, for example, coming together, to cut off the c of the de, and be careful at the same time to supply its place with an apostrophe, as in the sentences given above.

III.

Qu'un de nous aille } Let one of us go.

The above phrase occurring in our text, exhibits a very common mode of expression. The sentence "qu'un de nous aille" is incomplete; the words "il faut," it is necessary, being understood. The phrase entire would be "il faut qu'un de nous aille," it is necessary that one of us go, or one of us must go. It is however the defective form of the phrase, the form which appears in our text, that is generally employed, and consequently that is the form the student ought to imitate when he has a similar expression to turn into French. Being imperfect, the phrase, when translated literally into English, does not exhibit the sense it is meant to convey. The best way to deal with such a phrase, is to consider it entire, without regarding individually the words of which it is composed, and look upon it as equivalent to a certain other phrase in English; -deal with it, in short, in the same manner as if it were a single word. We cannot approve of the manner adopted in a grammar of some note,* of explaining this difficulty by saying that "que" supplies an ellipsis, and ergo that que is equal to let. Such a solution of the difficulty is likely to beget a notion in the mind of the learner that que in French has the signification of the English word let, while these two words have not, nor can they logically have, any meaning in common.

A similar kind of construction to that under consideration occurs in the Latin language; we find frequently the Roman writers employing the subjunctive as an imperative, for instance in the well-known verse of Virgil—

"——— illâ se jactet in aulâ Æolus, et clauso ventorum carcere regnet."

" Qu' Eole se contente de régner sur ses rochers, et d'exercer son pouvoir dans ses sombres cachots."

^{*} Grammar of the French Language, by J. C. Delille.

ays to rento write or l, the same ons and les ninine, and

other word invariably nee of two lent, theread eux, for at the same in above.

on mode of words "il be "il faut st go. It is n our text, dent ought eing imperit the sense to consider nposed, and l with it, in approve of difficulty by o let. Such the learner while these

ouvoir dans

mmon.

in the Latin ojunctive as "Let Holus vaunt himself in his own hall, and let him reign in the closed prison of the winds."

Here we have a similar construction in Latin to that of "qu'un de nous aille" in French; but think of a "tyro" translating the Latin relative qui by let! We shall have occasion to revert to the logic of the construction of "qu'un de nous aille" in a future lesson. In the meantime it will be sufficient for the guidance of the student to say, that when he has an English phrase to translate beginning with let, implying a command, he must set out in French with the word que. Two or three phrases of this kind will be introduced into the exercise on composition, in order to habituate the learner to this mode of expression.

IV.

Que trouvèrent les trois voyageurs? } What did the three travellers What found the three travellers? } What did they say?

Que dirent-ils? What said they? } What did they say?

Dirent-ils? Said they? } Did they say?

In English there are two ways of asking a question,—with the auxiliary word did, or without it: a question can either be put in the form, "Did they say so and so?" or, "Said they so and so?" In French there is no such word as did that can be made use of in this way; the French therefore cannot put a question in the first of these forms, and consequently are obliged to employ the second. In framing a question, the student must therefore bear in mind that the word did has no equivalent in French; he must bear in mind that there are two manners of asking a question in English, one of which accords with the French idiom and may be translated literally, but that the other cannot be rendered word for word into French. It will be observed that in English the word did is merely a sign of the past time, and may be dispensed with by using a past tense of the verb itself. In the phrases, "Did the travellers find a treasure?" and "Found the travellers a treasure?" the words "did find" in the one, and the word "found" in the other, are precisely equivalent.

Some English interrogative phrases containing the word did, will be introduced into the exercises under the head of Composition. To translate these correctly into French, the learner must, as we have said, bear in mind that the question did he go? can be put in a shape without the did, as, went he? and that this last form of a question alone can be rendered literally into French.

v.

Les voyageurs trouvèrent-ils un
The travellers found they a
trésor?
treasure?

Les voyageurs dirent-ils, "Nous
The travellers said they, "Wo
avons un trésor"?
have a treasure"?

Found the travellers a treasure?

Said the travellers, "We have a treasure"?

Quand les voyageurs trouvérent-ils When the travellers found they un tresor? a treasure?

When found the travellers a treasure?

.....

: he

1110

mu

isti

Th

nas

it e

age

acc

and

led

bet

the

in :

tha

aln

the

an

in

un

san

ant

tha

eng

cor

BOL

res

7

poi

the

risc

the

ope

phr

801

We have said, last section, that the English have two ways of asking a question,—one with the word did, as "Did three travellers find a treasure?" and another without this auxiliary, as "Found three travellers a treasure?" We also said that the first of these forms cannot be rendered into French, there being no such auxiliary as did in the language, and consequently that the second of the two must always be translated.

It is the practice also in French interrogations, to say "Three travellers found they so and so?" "Three travellers said they so and so?" instead of the English form, "Found three travellers so and so?" "Said three travellers so and so?" These last phrases, indeed, were they translated literally, would not be French.

It will be also observed by the sentences given above, that in questions the pronoun and the verb must be connected by a line drawn betwixt them.

VI.

Où alla-t-il?

Where did he go?

When in a question the verb ends in a vowel followed by the pronoun il, he, the letter t is inserted between. This is done in order to prevent the hiatus in pronunciation caused by two vowels coming together. It may be asked why is a t used for this purpose, in preference to any other consonant, when an n, an m, or a b, would answer the purpose, so far as euphony is concerned, quite as well. In order to answer this question, we must trace the language back to its source. We find in Latin, the parent language, that all the third persons of verbs end in a t, and we know that in French the t is only wanted when a question is asked in the third person. The phrase alla-t-il, did he go, is in Latin, word for word, ibat ille; in the Latin word we have a t. And although the t has been dropped in the affirmative form of the phrase in French, it makes its appearance again when wanted. The t moreover is still retained in the third person singular of some verbs, as il dit, he said, il avait, he had; and in cases where it has been lost, it appears again when a question is asked, as if to remind us that the language of Gaul is still the language of Cæsar.

PRONUNCIATION.

NASAL N.

When the letter n, preceded by any of the vowels, occurs before another consonant, it has what is termed a nasal sound, being partially enunciated through the nose. The existence of this sound in the pronunciation of French, has given rise to a notion among the English, that the French are very much addicted to speaking through their noses; but nothing could be more erroneous. The truth of the matter is, that if this peculiarity abounds in any language more than another, it is in English that it predominates. In the English words clung, flung, swung, rang, fung, swang, there is a nasal sound, and a very decided one too; it only differs from the French in being more nasal! A French-

rs a trea-

sking a quescasure?" and usure?" We 'rench, there utly that the

ee travellers stead of the travellers so y, would not

luestions the them.

the hiatus in asked why is hen an n, an med, quite as ange back to third persons anted when a he go, is in and although ach, it makes tained in the had; and in sked, as if to

another conated through French, has ery much adre erroneous. uny language nglish words and a very deman has the greatest possible difficulty in acquiring a correct pronunciation of the words we have named, for the unique reason that they are a great deal more nasal than his own. The French nasal sound is exceedingly nice, and must be enunciated with the utmost softness; it possesses more the characteristics of a simple aspiration, than of the unequivocal nasal of the English ng. The prevailing vice of beginners in French lies in the pronunciation of the nasal n; they either for the most part sound it too harshly, or do not enunciate it at all,—faults that it is the special object of these remarks to guard them against.

It may also be observed, that in English the nasal sound is variously modified, according to the vowel that precedes the ng; thus the ung of the word stung, and the ang of the word swang, are totally different in their inflection. We are led to mention this, because beginners very generally make no distinction between the French sound of in and en, while in reality the difference between these two combinations is as marked as between their equivalents, ang and ing, in English.

Keeping in view that the difference between the English and French nasal sounds is that the former partake more abundantly of the nasal character, and that the latter must be formed by a slight aspiration, the nasal sound being almost imperceptible, the following table will enable the learner to pronounce the nasal n in all cases correctly:

an } are pronounced like ang in the word clang, giving the a the sound of that en } better in the English word father.

in is pronounced like eng or ang in the word anger, as that word is usually pronounced; that is, as if it were written eng-ger.

on " like ong in song.
un " like ung in the word sung.

When n is followed by another n, the nasal sound is lost; double n has the same sound as in English, but when it comes before any of the other consonants it is always nasal. It will therefore be seen from the table we have given, that the word "intention," in the lesson, should be pronounced as if written eng-tang-see-ong, the English nasal sounds of these letters being of course considerably modified.

The student must bear in mind that n is only nasal when followed by a consonant; when followed by a vowel, n has the same sound as in English.

The same remarks apply also to the letter m; the pronunciation of this letter resembling in every particular that of n.

COMPOSITION.

The text of our present lesson, though it be short, illustrates a great many points in the structure of the French language. We have noticed a few of these under the head Construction, and have exhibited the others by a comparison of the English and the French sentences that occur in the passage under the head Pharses. The student may now put the knowledge the text has opened to him into practice, by a little exercise in composition. We give a few phrases and sentences in English to be rendered into French. In order to

translate these, the French words that have already appeared in the lesson, alone are necessary; all that the student has to do, in order to go through this exercise correctly, is to bear in mind the structure of the sentences contained in the text. We shall give a translation of these phrases in the next lesson, so that the learner may verify the accuracy of his version.

We have. We have a treasure. We have the wherewith. We have the wherewithal to make a Have we? Have we anything to eat? Have we wherewithal to buy a repast? Have we anything to do (to make)? Are we hungry? What have we? Have we a meal? Let one of us go. Let one of them go. Let him go and buy wherewithal to Did he depart? make a repast. Let one of us go and make a repast. Let the traveller go. They said, "We have a treasure." They said, "We are hungry." They said, "We have wherewithal to make a repast." They found something to eat. They found the road. We have the treasure. We have a meal. We are hungry. One of us. They said to us, "We are hungry." They found us in the way. We have their treasure. They found their treasure. They found their way.

They told them to bring the treasure. They told them to buy something to eat. They said to them, "We are hungry." We have the wherewith to buy some He went to buy them wherewithal to make a repast. He went to make them a road. Did they say? Did they say, "We have wherewithal to make a repast"? Did they say, "Let one of us go"? Did they find their road? Did they find a treasure? Did they find wherewithal to make a repast? Did he go? He departed. When did he depart? Why did he depart? Who departed? They found a treasure. When did they find a treasure? Why did they find a treasure? Where did they find a treasure? Where did they find us? They said, "We are hungry." Did they say, "We are hungry"? Who said, "We are hungry"? He went. Did he go? Who went? When did he go? Why did he go?

T

give

Fre

hesi

avo

Av

ach

Qu

d'e

aill

tré:

fair

 $_{
m chc}$

fair

tro

tré

Ils

fair

un Dia

vèi

Se

dét

vèr

ils

Die

All

via

All these phrases the student will be able to render correctly into French, if he has paid attention to the construction of the text and our observations upon it. This exercise will not only serve as an introduction to writing French, but will tend also to impress the structure and idiomatical peculiarities of the sentences it contains on his memory; and thus a basis will be formed whereon the structure of the language may rest. The student will now have read, spoken, and written, a little French; and thus will have obtained a more extended notion of the language, than if he had been turning over the pages of a grammar, with a master, for a twelvemonth. Each successive lesson will strengthen and augment the knowledge of the language the learner may now be supposed to have attained.

n the lesson, through this es contained ext lesson, so

g the treassomething to

re hungry." erewithal to oad.

wherewithal

l to make a

sure? ure? isure? ry." ingry"?

to French, if vations upon French, but as of the senwhereon the read, spoken, extended nof a grammar, rengthen and

LESSON SECOND.

READING.

REPETITION.

The following exercise in reading consists of a translation of the sentences given, under the head of Composition in the last lesson, as an exercise in writing French. The pronunciation and meaning of the words have already been given, so that the learner should be able to read and translate the whole without hesitation.

Nous avons. Nous avons un trésor. Nous avons de quoi. Nous avons de quoi faire un repas. Nous avons de quoi acheter de la viande. Avons-nous? Avons-nous de quoi manger? Avons-nous de quoi acheter pour un repas? Avons-nous de quoi faire? Avons-nous faim? Qu'avons-nons? Avons-nous un repas? Qu'un de nous aille. Qu'un d'eux aille. Qu'il aille acheter de quoi faire un repas. Qu'un de nous aille faire un repas. Que le voyageur aille. Ils dirent nous avons un trésor. Ils dirent "Nous avons iaim." Ils dirent "Nous avons de quoi faire un repas." Ils trouvèrent de quoi manger. Ils trouvèrent les chemin. Nous avons le trésor. Nous avons un repas. Nous avons faim. Un de nous. Ils nous dirent "Nous avons faim." Ils nous trouvèrent dans le chemin. Nons avons leur trésor. Ils trouvèrent leur trésor. Ils trouvèrent leur chemin. Ils leur dirent d'apporter le trésor Ils leur dirent d'acheter de quoi manger. Ils leur dirent "Nous avon. faim." Il alla leur acheter de quoi faire un repas. Il alla leur faire un chemin. Dirent-ils? Dirent-ils "Nous avons de quoi faire un repas"? Dirent-ils "Qu'un de nous aille "? Trouvèrent-ils leur chemin? Trouvèrent-ils un trésor? Trouvèrent-ils de quoi faire un repas? Alla-t-il? Se détacha-t-il? Il se détacha. Quand se détacha-t-il? Pourquoi se détacha-t-il? Qui se détacha? Ils tronvèrent un trésor. Quand trouvèrent-ils un trésor? Pourquoi trouvèrent-ils un trésor? Où trouvèrentils un trésor? Où nous trouvèrent-ils? Ils dirent "Nous avons faim." Dirent-ils "Nous avons faim"? Qui dit "Nous avons faim"? Il alla. Alla-t-il? Qui alla? Quand alla t-il? Pourquoi alla-t-il?

Mais chemin faisant, il dit en lui-même, il faut que j'empoisonne la viande, afin que mes deux camarades meurent en la mangeant, et que je

jouisse du trésor moi seul. Il exécuta son dessein et mit du poison dans ce qu'il avait apporté à manger.

ord

moi

che

fais

dit

lui-

fau que

je

emi la .

via

afin

deu

me

7

nex

the

acq

che

but as t

ally

of t

Ma

Ch Il c

Il f

A fi

Εn

Et Je

J1 6

Et Da

11 (

sut

In order that the learner may read, translate, and understand the above, we shall, as in the case of the text given in the previous lesson, proceed to give first the pronunclation of the words, as also their euphonic connexion, and then their signification.

Mais chemin faisant. il dit en lui-même, il faut lŭee*maim, May she-min fe-zan, eel dec-t_ en ecl fo que j'empoisonne la viande, afin que mes deux camarades la vee-and, afin _ ki mai dåy ki sh en-pwa-son ka-ma-rad meurent en la mangeant, et que je jouisse du trésor moi ki 🔾 j mair-t _ en la man-shan, ai shoo-ees dŭ trai-zor mwa scul. 11 exécuta son dessein et mit du poison dans ce d-sin sāil. Eel exe-kŭ-ta ai mee dŭ pwa-zon dan _ s son qu'il avait apportó à manger. k-eel av-ai-t_ap-por-tai á man-shay.

In order to read the above correctly, the learner must bear in mind what we said in the last lesson, under the head Profunciation, about the nasal sound. We continue to mark the n with its accompanying vowel, when it is nasal, in italics, so that this most important matter may not be neglected. We cannot too strongly impress upon the learner the necessity of his attention to the pronunciation we have given of the words in the text, and their enphonic connection. The same words will be repeated over and over again in the exercises that are to follow, so that on the amount of attention paid at the outset will depend the learner's accuracy throughout the lesson.

TRANSLATION.

Mais chemin dit en lui-même, iι But road making (going along) he said himself. faut que j'empoisonne la viande, afin que mes deux is necessary that I may poison the meat, to end (in order) that my en la mangeant, et que je camarades meurent companions may die eating, and that in it I may enjoy of the trésor moi seul. II exécuta son dessein et mit du poison treasure me alone. He executed his design and put of the poison avait apporté à manger. dans ce qu'il had brought to in what he

The student can now read and pronounce the new portion of text; the next thing is to turn the words acquired to a practical account.

[•] For the pronunciation of the letter u, where we have marked it with an accent thus ($\check{\mathbf{u}}$), we refer the student to the head PRONUNCIATION, page 22, of the present lesson.

poison dans

the above, we occeed to give tion, and then

il faut
eel fo
camarades
ka-ma-rad
trésor moi
trai-zor mwa
n dans ce
n dan s

nind what we nasal sound. it is nasal, in. We cannot on to the prohonic connecthe exercises are outset will

i-même, iq himself, it mes deux t my two ouisse du y enjoy of the du poison of the poison

ext; the next

ccent thus (ŭ), son.

VOCABULARY.

We again range the words of the text opposite their English equivalents, in order that the student may test his knowledge of them before entering upon the more essential exercises of the lesson.

Malsbut	mangeant cating
faisantmaking	joulsse may enjoy
il	trésor treasure moi me
enin lui-mêmehimself	seul
fautis necessary	sonhis desseindesign
jeI empoisonnepoison	mitput duof the
lathe	poisonpoison dansinto
afinin order mesmy	ce que what
deuxtwo camaradescompanions	apportébrought
meurent may die	manger to eat

PHRASES.

The value of each word being known, the meanings of the sentences have next to be observed. As we have had occasion to remark under this head in the preceding lesson, it sometimes happens that combinations of words have acquired a meaning they do not exhibit when translated literally. Thus chemin faisant is, when translated into English, word for word, road making; but the two words together are in French employed to signify going along, just as the phrases making way and going ahead are employed in English occasionally to express a similar notion. In considering the phrases, therefore, the power of the words collectively must be regarded more than their individual meaning.

Mais	But
Chemin faisant	Going along
Il dit en lui-même	He said to himself
Il faut que j'empoisonne la viande	I must poison the meat
Afin que	In order that
Mes deux camarades meurent	My two companions may die
En la mangeant	In eating it
Et que	And that
Je jouisse moi seul du trésor	I alone may enjoy the treasure
Il exécuta son dessein	He executed his design
Et mit du poison	And put poison
Dans ce que	In what
Il avait apporté à manger	He had brought to eat.

CONVERSATION.

As in the preceding lesson, we shall now proceed to a conversation on the subject involved in the text of the present lesson. It will be necessary for the

student to bear in mind the words given as an introduction to the previous colloquial exercise, in addition to which the following will have to be acquired:—

Madame,	Madam,	pronounced	Madam
Cela,	that,	"	slu.
Des,	of the,	"	de
Ses,		· ·	
A qui dit. Quand?. Qui dit, vianu Quand di Pourquoi poiso Le voyag sonne Dit-il, il moi Avait-il t Avait-il t Avait-il a Avait-il a Qui avait Exécuta-i Qu'exécut Avait-il a Avait-il a	il tant cle? il fant cle? t-il cela? faut-il q une la vit eur dit-il, e mes can faut que seul? rois cama deux cama deux cama deux eri il apporté ppporté de trésor? le trésor un des trct-il son de ta un des ppporté de ta un des	ngeurs? nue le voyag ande? il faut que arrades? rades? arades? que ses can à manger? e la viande? rtois voyageurs e quoi mange e quoi mange e quoi mange e quoi mange	eur em- j'empoi- i trésor narades ? ?
du po Avait-il a Mit-il du avait	oison ? pporté de poison (apportée	la viande?. lans la vian à manger?.	đe qu'il
		ooison dans i manger?	

giving the a's the sound they have in the word part.

sam: Frei

wor

prec

ploy

how

a di

quot

je, n

usui T

will to the

je, c

liter

way

Fre

nou

the

take

vian

kine

by t

may

mea

defe

evil

par

kin

phr

fau

sen

que

fou

sen the

bef

Po

us

I

T

giving the de the sound these letters have in the English word debt.

like se in the English word sent.

Il faut que j'empoisonne la viande.

A history

A lui-même. Chemin faisant.

Un des trois voyageurs. Chemin fuisant. Afin que ses deux camarades meurent en la mangeant.

Non, Madame.

Oui, Madame. Non, Madame. Oui, Madame. Un des trois voyageurs.

Afin qu'il jouisse seul du trésor.
De la viande.
Oui, Madame.
Non, Madame.
Ses deux camarades.
Un dessein.
Oui, Madame.
Il exécuta son dessein.
Oui, Madame.
Non, Madame.
Dans ce qu'il avait apporté à manger.
Oui, Madame.

Oui, Madame.

Afin que ses deux camarades mourussent en la mangeant, et qu'il jouit seul du trésor. Chemin faisant.

CONSTRUCTION.

Under this head we shall continue to bring into view the points of the text that illustrate the general structure of the language. These remarks, as we stated in last lesson, are intended to guide and facilitate the learner in writing French.

VII.

Il faut que j'empoisonne la viande. I must poison the meat.

In our progress we shall occasionally fall in with a French word that has no corresponding word in the English language; and sometimes we shall in the

ound they have

e previous col-

be acquired :-

English word
English word
to la viande.

ırades meurent

lu trésor.

porté à man-

narades mougeant, et qu'il

nts of the text emarks, as we ner in writing

ed that has no

same way have to deal with an English word that cannot be rendered into French by any single word of that language, which is the case with the English word must in the sentence before us. There is no single word in French that is precisely equivalent to the English must; and as this word must is very much employed in English, it becomes to the learner a matter of some importance to know how a sentence in which must occurs, may be rendered correctly into French,—a difficulty that our text by chance amply illustrates. We find in the sentence quoted above, that I must is represented in the French sentence by it faut que je, and it is by these four words arranged as we see them, that I must is most usually rendered in French.

The essential word of the equivalent for the English I must, is faut, and it will be seen from the translation of the text, that this word faut is equivalent to the two English words is necessary; so that the French phrase il faut que je, conveying the idea of I must, is literally in English, it is necessary that I.

The learner, therefore, in order to render I must in French, has to translate literally the phrase it is necessary that I, bearing in mind that the single word faut is equivalent to the two English words is necessary. In the same way when you must, we must, he must, or they must, have to be rendered into French, a similar process is to be gone through, substituting for the je the pronouns equivalent to you, we, he, and they; thus in order to say, he must poison the meat, the phrase, it is necessary that he may poison the meat will have to be taken and translated literally; the result will be, it faut qu'il empoisonne la viande, and so in all similar cases. We shall introduce some phrases of this kind into the exercise on Composition, which we shall expect the learner, aided by the foregoing remarks, to render correctly into French.

It will no donbt occur to the observing student, that "it is necessary that he may poison the meat," is rather a clumsy way of saying "he must poison the meat," and most certainly such is the case. The French are as sensible of this defect in their language as an Englishman can be, and in order to remedy the evil, the words "il faut" are occasionally omitted in such phrases, the other part of the sentence being made to answer the purpose. An instance of this kind of abbreviation occurred in the text of our last lesson, where we have the phrase "one of us must go" rendered by "qu'un de nous aille"; the words "il faut," it is necessary, being entirely suppressed. We stated in speaking of this sentence, Section III. of our last lesson, that the words "il faut" were frequently omitted in such expressions, and the fact of the contracted form being found in our text may be cited as a proof that the abbreviated form of such sentences is employed by the best writers in the language. We would suggest the learner to refer back to our previous observations on this construction, before reading the next section.

VIII.

Pourquoi faut-il que le voyageur empoisonne ses camarades? Why must the traveller poison his companions?

The above phrase occurring in the exercise on Conversation, exhibits to us the manner of dealing with the English must when the word occurs in

an interrogation. Translated literally, the phrase in question appears as follows:-

Paurquoi faut-il que le voyageur empoisonne 808 is necessary it that the traveller may poison his Why camarades? companions?

Here it will be observed that faut-il literally signifies "is it necessary," just as we have seen the literal equivalent for il faut is "it is necessary,"

It is possible and usual to omit the words it faut in expressing a command; but when a question is asked, the case is altered, for in an interrogation it faut is not so easily disposed of. It is perfectly good French to say, "Qu'un de nous aille," instead of "Il faut qu'un de nous aille," but it is quite impossible to ask a question with such an assemblage of words as "Qu'un de nous aille." In order to say, "Must one of us go?" the faut-it cannot be dispensed with; the entire phrase is required, and must be written "Faut-il qu'un de nous aille." In such a case it faut becomes an indispensable adjunct.

In the previous section we have noticed the exceedingly clumsy substitute that exists in the French language for the English word must, and we have stated that the means adopted to remedy the evil is by omitting the words il faut; but we have seen that il faut cannot always be so dispensed with, and is absolutely necessary in an interrogation. In order to say in French, "Must we eat?" the phrase "Is it necessary that we may eat?" would have to be employed; decidedly too long and roundabout to answer the purpose: brevity is the soul of social converse, and in the intercourse of common life long heavy locutions like this are totally inadmissible. The expedient adopted to abridge such expressions is to suppress the pronoun and the que, employing only the indispensable faut-il with the simple form of the verb; thus instead of saying "Faut-il que nous mangions?" must we eat? the following construction is usually employed:—

Faut-il manger? Must we cat?

It will be observed that this phrase, Faut-il manger? implies nothing more than, Is it necessary to ent? and consequently may be employed to express must I eat? or must you eut? as well as must we eat? When, however, a question of this kind is to be put in the third person, no abbreviation is practicable; thus, must he go? must they die? will have to be rendered in French, faut-il qu'il aille? faut-il qu'ils meurent? We shall introduce into the exercise on Composition some English interrogative phrases containing the word must, to be rendered into French, in order that the learner may be habituated to this kind of construction.

IX.

In the first section of the remarks under the head Construction, we have stated that all words in the French language are either MASCULINE OF FEMININE,

and femile Engerim whe Englishe Mannhim

ware

Ti now we h

it is as we the s W the lartic lowi

But

sign

word The posit likely lead

In

exist

her, "Shall o ears as fol-

sonne ses olson his

essary," just

a command; gation il faut , "Qu'un de impossible to us aille." In sed with; the ous aille." In

nsy substitute and we have g the words il I with, and is rench, "Must ave to be empose: brevity ife long heavy ted to abridge lying only the tend of saying tion is usually

at?

nothing more of express must and question of ticable; thus, h, faut-il qu'il of on Composiust, to be rento this kind of

sure. t.

TION, WE have NE OF FEMININE, and also that the is rendered by le before masculine words, and by lu before feminine words. The learner must bear in mind, when he has to render the English article the into French, that he cannot use the words lu or le indiscriminately. It very often happens that a word in French has one meaning when le is before it, and another when lu is before it; thus, le manche is in English, the broomstick, and lu Manche is the English Channel. Now if an Englishman were to say, speaking of having been to France, "I went across le Manche," instead of saying la Manche, a Frenchman would naturally suppose him to mean that he went to France on a broomstick: this would be an awkward mistake for an elderly lady to make.

X.

En la mangeant In eating it.

The learner, from what we have said in the last and previous sections, will now know how to proceed when he has the word the to render into French; we have said that the is to be translated in the following manner;—

Before a noun in the masculine gender, by le. Before a noun in the feminine gender, by la. Before a noun in the planst number, by les.

But it must not be supposed from this, that the words, le, la, and les, always signify in French the. It is true that the is rendered in French by le, la, les, but it is not true that le, la, and les, are always to be rendered in English by the, as we see from the sentence quoted above, in the text where the word la has the signification of the English word it.

When the words le, la, and les, occur before a noun, they are equivalent to the English article the; but when they occur before a verb, they are no longer articles but pronouns, and will have to be translated into English in the following manner:—

le before a verb, by him or it. lu before a verb, by her or it. les before a verb, by them.

In the sentence before us the word mangeant is a verb, and consequently the la before it must be rendered into Euglish by the word it, since it refers to the word meat.

This diversity of the meaning in the words le, la, and les, arising from their position in a sentence, requires to be particularly noted; as otherwise they are likely to cause a great deal of trouble to the beginner, and are apt even to mislead persons who have attained some proficiency in the language.

Properly speaking, the words le and lu mean simply him and her, because everything in French being either masculine or feminine, the word it has no existence in the language.

In the phrase given above, in speaking of the meat, the French say, in eating, her, and not eating it. Just as the English say in speaking of a steamboat, "She sails well," so the French him or her all objects whatever. The fact that all objects in nature are considered masculine or feminine, may very likely give

ho

WI

th

thi

m

Ti

mi otl

do.

We

lan the

the

pro

Fra

ia 1

var

acc hav

lett Fra

the gra

phr

wil

imi

the

bet

tha

no

lat

he

rise to this question in the mind of the learner: "Why is it that there are only two genders in French?" In answering this question, we may observe, that most persons who have written French grammars, assert that it is impossible to teach theoretically the pronunciation of the language. This may be perfectly true, so far as they are individually concerned; but instead of ascribing the impossibility to their own incompetency, they usually ascribe it to some imponetrable difficulty in the subject itself. In the same way, when such a point is to be resolved as the question before us, we have nothing but mystery and perplexity.

A very slight knowledge of the history of the language is sufficient to account for the use in French of two genders only. When the Franks overran Gaul, the inhabitants spoke Latin, and, in order to understand the people they had conquered, they were obliged to learn their language; but, as may be readily supposed, the conquerors did not trouble themselves much about the nicelies of the Latin terminations, and the distinctions of gender depending upon them. They were content with being able to make themselves understood, and, beyond what was necessary to effect this, disregarded the subtleties of the Latin syntax. They were obliged, however, to make a distinction between male and female, and gradually embraced under these two heads all the words that had hitherto been considered as of the neuter gender. Thus it is simply from the circumstance of the Franks being a more warlike than a learned people, that two genders only exist in the language.

In rendering the English word it into French, the learner must observe whether it relates to a masculine or a feminine noun; for instance, if in the phrase, "They found it," the word it refers to the treasure, the phrase will have to be rendered in French—

Ils le trouvèrent.

But if the word it refers to the meat, the phrase must be-

Ils LA trouvérent,

It will be remembered that these pronouns are placed before, and not, as in English, after the verb.

PRONUNCIATION.

THE CHARACTERISTIC.

There exists In nearly all the modern languages some particular sound that is rarely, if at all, made use of in English; these sounds may be considered by the English as characteristic of the languages to which they belong. Viewing in this way the French sound is as the proceeding in relation to those of the English language, the sound of the vowel u may be called the French characteristic.

The nearest approximation to the French sound of the vowel u, made use of in English, with which we are acquainted, occurs in the word doing, when that word is quickly pronounced, as it usually is, especially when used with other words in a sentence. In such a case, the sound of the o in the word, blending with the i of the termination ing, produces exactly the sound given by the French to the vowel u. If then the learner pronounces rapidly the word doing two or three times over, and stops short at doi, he will pronounce the French

there are only erve, that most ossible to teach rectiy true, so the impossibiliupenetrable difis to be resolved plexity.

plexity.
Hent to account
erran Gaul, the
e they had conmay be readily
t the niceties of
ing upon them.
and, and, beyond
in Latin syntax.
ale and female,
hat had hitherto
com the circumpeople, that two

ist observe wheif in the phrase, will have to be

e, and not, as in

cular sound that be considered by long. Viewing in e of the English characteristic.

rel u, made use of doing, when that a used with differ he word, blending and given by the lly the word doing lounce the French word du almost as accurately as a native of Paris. He must bear in mind, however, that the sound of the o in do is not the French sound of u. It is only when the o of that word is blended with the i that follows it in the word doing, that it resemble the French &

In English the verted a has three sounds; that in tube, another in tub, and a third in such words as rude. In French the vowel u has only one sound, which must always be given to it, except when it is associated with another vowel. There are three words in which this letter stands alone; the are the words du, lui, and exécula. The learner has been shown how to pronounce du, and he must now endeavor to transfer the sound of the u in this word to those in the other two. By observing carefully the sound between the d and the ug of the word doing, when quickly pronounced, the learner may form such a conception of the sound as will enable him to pronounce the French u in all cases correctly. We may repeat, that he must carefully avoid the sound of the o in the word do, that being the sound given to the French u by persons who, having tudied the language under a muster, have been led to rely more upon their ear, than upon their comprehension.

We are satisfied, that, with a little attention to the direction we have given the pronunciation of the u may be accurately acquired. At all events, such a pronunciation of the letter may be obtained as will approach much nearer its exact sound than that given to it by the natives of some of the provinces of France itself. We have heard a well-educated native of Somersetshire pronounce the English word much, as if it were written "mooch." In Lancashire the word is pronounced as if written "meech." In Killamey, the word would be, we think, pronounced as if written "mitch." In the ame way, natives of France vary in their inflection of the letter u; and an Englishman pronouncing the u according to the conception of the sound he may form from the illustration we have given of it, will approach infinitely nearer the sound a Parisian gives the letter, than a native of Burgundy, Gascony, or indeed of any other province in France remote from the capital.

COMPOSITION.

Translating from English into French is a valuable auxiliary in the study of the language, especially when the subjects given for translation are within the grasp of the learner, and are useful in themselves; qualities which we think the phrases we have selected will be found to possess. An exercise of this kind will bring the peculiarities of structure more distinctly to view, and aid in impressing them upon the mind. Our text has enabled us to illustrate some of the leading features of the language, with which the student will be greatly benefitted by being familiar. No better means of effecting this can be devised than by translating their English equivalents into French. The learner ought not therefore to neglect the exercise. We shall give in the next lesson a translation in French of the following phrases, so that the learner may see whether he has himself rendered them correctly or not.

^{*} Conversations in the Lancashire Dialect, by Tim Bobbin.

I must poison the meat. I must poison my companions. I must enjoy the treasure. My companions must die. My two companions must die. He must enjoy the treasure alone. The travellers must die. They must die. He must poison the meat. He must poison the travellers. He must poison one of his companions. Must the traveller poison the meat? Must one of the three travellers poison his companions? Must the travellers die? Must my two companions die? Must one of the travellers poison the meat? Must his two companions die? We must eat. The meat must be caten. We must eat the treasure. The travellers must be enten. The poison must be taken. Poison must be bought. Meat must be bought. We must buy something to eat. Must we cat? Must we eat the meat? Must the treasure be eaten? Must we eat the travellers? Must we eat the poison? Must I eat my companions? Must poison be bought? Must meat be bought? Must we buy something to eat? I must poison the meat, in order that my two companions may die when they eat it. I must poison it. I must poison them. He put it in the meat. (The poison.) He put it in the poison. (The meat.) He said it. It must be taken. (The poison.) It must be eaten. (The ment.)

We must eat them. Must I poison it? Must I poison them? Did he put it in the meat? Did he say it? Must it be caten? (The meat.) Must it be taken? (The poison.) Must we eat them? Must it be bought? He executed his design. Who executed a design? Where did he execute his design? When did he execute it? Going along, one of the three travellers said, "I must poison my two companions." Going along, a traveller put poison in the meat, and said, "My two companions must die on eating it." Two travellers, on their journey found some meat on the road and said, "Gentlemen (Messieurs), we must eat it." He must eat his companions. Why must be eat his companions? When must be eat his companions? A Gentleman (un Monsieur) put meat in the poison. What did he put in the poison? Why did he put ment in the poison? When did he put it in? I must poison the lady. (Madame.) When must I poison the lady? Why must I poison her? Whom must I poison? We must eat some meat. Must we eat the meat? Why must we eat it? When must we eat it? Must my two companions die? The three travellers must die. Why must they die? When must they die? They must die on eating the meat that one of them brought to make a repast.

cai

me

seu

 Π

II 1

em

ses

dei

via

fau

voy

acl

Fa

les

rad

Fag

en

 \mathbf{I}

ma poi

Le

Fa

Οù

vo; fais me

ma

When the learner has rendered these phrases, he will have become familiar with some of the chief difficulties he has to encounter. In the next lesson we shall have to speak of some other leading features in the construction of the language.

LESSON THIRD.

READING.

REPETITION.

Il faut que j'empoisonne la viande. Il faut que j'empoisonne mes camarades. Il faut que je jouisse du trésor. Il faut que mes camarades meurent. Il faut que mes deux camarades meurent. Il faut qu'il jouisse seul du trésor. Il faut que les voyageurs meurent. Il faut qu'ils meurent. Il faut qu'il empoisonne la viande. Il faut qu'il empoisonne les voyageurs. Il fant qu'il empoisonne un de ses camarades. Faut-il que le voyageur empoisonne la viande? Faut-il qu'un des trois voyageurs empoisonne ses camarades? Faut-il que les voyageurs meurent? Faut-il que mes deux camarades meurent? Faut-il qu'un des voyageurs empoisonne la viande ! Faut-il que ses deux camarades menrent ? Il faut manger. Il faut manger la viande. Il faut manger le trésor. Il faut manger les voyagenrs. Il fant manger le poison. Il fant acheter du poison. Il fant acheter de la viande. Il faut acheter de quoi manger. Faut-il manger? Faut-il manger la viande? Faut-il manger le trésor? Faut il manger les voyageurs? Fant-il manger le poison? Faut-il manger mes camarades? Faut il acheter du poison? Faut-il acheter de la viande? Faut il acheter de quoi faire un repas?

Il faut que j'empoisonne la viande, afin que mes deux camarades meurent en la mangeant. Il faut que je l'empoisonne. Il faut que je les empoisonne. Il le mit dans la viande. Il la mit dans le poison. Il le dit. Il faut le manger. Il faut la manger. Il faut les manger. Faut-il que je l'empoisonne? Faut-il que je les empoisonne? Le mit-il dans la viande? Le dit-il? Faut-il la manger? Faut il le manger? Faut-il les manger? Faut-il les manger? Faut-il l'acheter? Il exéenta son dessein. Qui exéeuta un dessein? Où l'exécuta-t-il? Quand l'exécuta-t-il? Chemin faisant un des trois voyageurs dit, "Il faut que j'empoisonne mes deux camarades." Chemin faisant un voyageur mit du poison dans la viande et dit, "Il faut que mes deux camarades meurent en la mangeant." Chemin faisant deux voyageurs trouvèrent de la viande dans leur chemin et dirent, "Messieurs, il faut la manger." Il faut manger ses camarades. Pourquoi faut-il manger ses camarades? Un

meat.) poison.)

s design? three travellers my two com-

r put poison in 'My two comating it." journey found oad and said, ars), we must

ions. mpanions? empanions? sieur) put meat

poison? the poison?

(Madame.) Hady? ?

ns die ? st die.

g the meat that o make a repast.

ecome familiar next lesson we struction of the

801

his

hir

1111

ali ula the

> At a.v

se co

lu pe

ab

as

ali le:

re

eh

Q

PLAEAI

E

 p_{i}

E

Monsieur mit de la viande dans le poison. Que mit-il dans le poison? Pourquoi mit-il de la viande dans le poison? Quand la mit-il? Il fant que j'empoisonne Madame. Quand faut-il que j'empoisonne Madame? Pourquoi faut-il que je l'empoisonne? Qui faut-il que j'empoisonne? Il faut manger de la viande. Faut-il manger la viande? Pourquoi faut-il la manger? Quand faut-il la manger? Faut-il que mes deux camarades meurent? Il faut que les trois voyageurs meurent? Pourquoi faut-il qu'ils meurent? Quand faut-il qu'ils meurent? Il faut qu'ils meurent nungeant la viande qu'un d'eux a apportée pour faire un repas.

Mais les deux autres, qui avaient conçu un semblable dessein contre lui pendant son absence, l'assassinèrent à son retour, et demeurèrent les maîtres du trésor. Après l'avoir tué ils mangèrent de la viande empoisonnée, et mournrent aussi tous deux.

In order that the learner may be able to read and pronounce the above, we shall have, as in the case of the two preceding sections of the text, to give first the pronunciation of the words, and then their meaning.

semblable Mais les deux autres, qui avaient conçu Mai kon-sŭ sem-bla-bel dā-z _ o-ter dessein contre lui pendant son absone. l'assassinèrent d-sin con-ter lu-ee pen-dan la-sa-sec-nèr-t _ a s-on ab-sens, maîtres du trésor. demenrèrent les son retour, etAprès son re-toor, ai 👅 d-mair-rèr mai-ter dŭ trai-zor. A-prè mangèrent la viande l'avoir tué ils de empoisonnée. lav-war tŭ-é vee-and en-pwa-zon-né, eel man-shair d la moururent aussi tons deux. moor-ŭr-t 👅 o-see too dā.

In reading the above, the learner must bear in mind what we have said of the nasal sound in the first lesson, and what we have said of the vowel u in the second. We continue to represent that nasal sound by italies, and to place a short accent over the u when that letter has its pure sound. The nasal and the sound of the u are two very important features in French pronunciation, and habitual attention to what we have said of them will do more to perfect the learner in pronunciation than a twelvemonth with a master.

TRANSLATION.

qui avaient Mais semblable les deux autres, conçu similar But the two others, who conceived pendant dessein lui son absence, l'assassinèrent contre during his absence, him assassinated at design against him

^{*} For the sound of the e we have accented thus, e, and thus, e, see the article Pronunciation, page 29.

ins le poison?
it-il? Il faut
ine Madame?
poisonne? Il
ourquoi faut-il
eux camarades
ourquoi faut-il
qu'ils meurent
in repas

sein contre lui meurèrent les viande emp**oi-**

the above, we ext, to give first

semblable

sem-bla-bel
assinèrent à
a-see-nèr-t a
sor. Après
-zor. A-prè
oisonnée, et
wa-zon-né, à

have said of the vowel u in the and to place a see musal and the conceitation, and to perfect the

n semblable 1 similar assinèrent **à** ssassinated at

ticle PRONUNCIA.

maîtres du Après retour, et demeurèrent les trésor. masters of the After return, and remained the mangèrent viande empoisonné, et l'avoir tné ils de la poisoned, and him to have killed they ate of the meat mournment aussi tous deux. died also all two (both).

VOCABULARY.

The text of the present lesson consists of forty words, twenty of which have already appeared in the preceding sections: we shall therefore limit the vocabulary to the twenty new words, as the learner may be supposed to have got the others already pretty well fixed on his memory.

Autresothers	demeurè
conçuconceived	maîtres.
semblablesimilar contreagainst	après
luihim	tué
pendantduring	mangère
absence	empoisor
retour return	aussi
	four

demeurèrent	{ remained
maîtres	masters
après	after to have
tué mangèrent	killed
empoisonnée	poisoned
moururent	
ltone	

Of these twenty words, the greater part are mere modifications of those already seen: avaient, had, is the plural form of avait in the text of the preceding lesson; mangèrent, ate, is formed from the same root as manger, to eat; moururent, died, is from the same root as meurent, die. The principle operating these changes in the form of a verb, will soon have to engage the learner's attention.

PHRASES.

Hais les deux autres	Who had conceived a similar design against him
L'assassinèrent	Assassinated him On his return
Après l'avoir tué	After having killed him
Et moururent aussi tous deux	

In comparing these sentences, the learner will observe that the English say poisoned meat, and that the French reverse the English order of these two words, and say meat poisoned. It will also be observed that the equivalents of the English words all and two are used in French to signify both; the reason of this is, that there is no single word equivalent to both in the French language.

CONVERSATION.

All the words introduced into the following exercise have already appeared, either in the text of the present or in the conversation of the preceding lessons. Their meaning and pronunciation have consequently been already given.

rend have artithei of t wor the inst our ing at, We

il;
but
l'ai
l' n
wh
phi
me

fer me wa be see we ru ils su tin

tio sa ex

pi

M

Qu'avaient conçu les deux autres voyageurs?	Un semblable dessein. Les deux autres voyageurs. Pendant l'absence de leur camarade. Dans le chemin. Contre leur camarade. Les deux autres voyageurs. Pendant l'absence de leur camarade. Ils avaient le trésor. Ils assassinèrent leur camarade. Dans le chemin. A son retour. Afin d'avoir le trésor pour eux seuls. Oui, Messieurs. Les deux autres voyageurs. Non, mais à son retour ils l'assassinèrent. Ils demeurèrent les maîtres du trésor et de la viande que leur camarade avait apportée à manger. Il avait apportée de la viande. Oui, Messieurs, il l'avait empoisonnée. Afin que ses camarades mourussent en la mangeant. Ils avaient de la viande. Les maîtres du tresor. Oui, ils la mangèrent. Ils avaient faim. Les trois voyageurs. Les deux autres. Après avoir tué leur camarade. Ils mangèrent de la viande empoisonnée. Oui, Messieurs, ils moururent.		
Moururent-ils après avoir mangé la viande empoisonnée?			
Les voyageurs moururent-ils tous les trois?	Oui, ils moururent tous.		
Quand moururent les trois voyageurs?	L'un après son retour, les deux autres après avoir mangé de la viande empoisonnée.		
Où mournrent-ils?	Dans le chemin.		

CONSTRUCTION.

XI.

Perhaps there is no difficulty more embarrassing to the learner than that arising from the diversity of meaning peculiar to the little words le and la. We have already had occasion to remark, that le and la are sometimes to be

s. camarade.

camarade.

r cux sculs.

arade.

ils l'assassires du trésor pur camarado ager.

nde. empoisonnée. ourussent en

arade. de empoison-

rent.

ı deux autres de la viande

iim.

ler than that is le and la. etimes to be

rendered in English by the, and at other times by him, her, or it. Persons who have gone partially over a French grammar, are aware that le and la are articles, and so equivalent to the English word the; but not having pursued their studies far enough, are not acquainted with the pronomial signification of the words. They have in consequence associated le and la with the English word the, and the result of this association is that they are confounded with the first page of any French author they attempt to translate. If any one, for instance, were to suppose that the l' in the phrases we have quoted above from our text, signified the, he could not possibly make sense of them. In translating, the meaning of such long words as assassinerent may generally be guessed at, but the little words like le and lu completely upset the partially initiated. We have stated (§ X.), for the guidance of the learner in this matter, that when le or la occur before a verb, they must be rendered in English by him, her, or it; and when they occur before a noun, are to be rendered in English by the; but it is only in this last case that le and la signify the. In the phrases, après Pavoir tué, the word avoir is a verb; and according to what we have said, the I' must be rendered by one or other of the English pronouns him, her, or it, which of the three being judged from the context. We have quoted the two phrases, however, at the head of this article, not so much to illustrate the meanings of le and la, as to recall the attention of the learner to the manner he is to deal with the English words him, her, and it, when he has to translate them into French. We have said elsewhere that there is no use for the word it in French. Everything is said to be him or her; thus the word viunde being feminine, the English phrase they ate it, the pronoun it referring to the noun meat, would have to be rendered into French, they ate her; and in the same way in speaking of the treasure, the English phrase they ate it, would have to be rendered they ate him, the word trésor being a masculine noun. It will be seen by the phrase, ils l'assassinèrent, that the pronoun him is represented by the word le abridged into l', on account of the following vowel, according to the rule we gave in § II. In the same way, they assassinated her would be in French ils Fassassinerent, exactly the same as the other, the a of the la being likewise subject to elision. When, however, the verb begins with a consonant, the distinction between him and her would be as apparent in French as it is in English; thus, they ate him would be ils le mangèrent, but they ate her, ils la mangèrent, the le and la in these cases not being subject to clision. The learner will also observe, that the English order of the words in such phrases is inverted in their French equivalents; for instead of saying, they assassinated him, we must say, they him assassinated, ils l'assassinèrent. We shall introduce into the exercise under the head Composition, some English phrases containing him, her, and it, to be turned into French, in order to familiarize the learner with the pronomial use of le and la.

XII.

Mais les deux autres, qui avaient conçu un semblable dessein contre lui, a similar design against him, asl'assassinèrent à son retour. But the two others, who had conceived a similar design against him, as-

It will be observed from the above sentence, that, besides the pronoun le, there is another French word equivalent to the English pronoun him, and that

be

rer

col

say

lea

tio

an

sin

the

an

It

an

the

vei

lea

wi it,

COL

the

thi

od

WE

be

ev

ar

ile

this word is lui. When in English the word him is the direct object of a transitive verb, such as killed, assassinated, ate, him is then rendered by le; but when him or her is preceded by a preposition, then they must be rendered in French by lui. In our text, the word contre, against, is a preposition, and the word him following it, must in consequence be lui not le; in the same way, of him, to him, by him, for him, must be rendered in French, de lui, a lui, and pour lui; and so in all cases where a preposition precedes, the word him has to be rendered by lui. This double translation of the word him, is one of the difficulties to be encountered in writing French; but a little attention to the nature of the words, will enable the learner to judge whether he should employ le or lui in translating him.

XIII.

Il avait conçu un semblable dessein. He had conceived a similar design.

The letter c is pronounced in French exactly as it is in English, that is, like s before e and i, and like k before a, o, and u. It happens, however, that in some French verbs c must have its hissing sound before the last-named vowels. When such is the case, a little mark called a cedilla is placed under the c, as in the word conçu in the text. Without the cedilla, this word would have to be pronounced kon-ku. In writing this word, the learner must take care therefore not to omit the cedilla.

XIV.

Après l'avoir tué . . After having killed him.

In this phrase, the word avoir is in the infinitive mood, and literally signifies to have. The English, after all their prepositions, except to, are in the practice of employing the present participle, ending in ing; but in French, all the prepositions except en govern the infinitive mood of the verb; thus we must say in French, after to have, of to have, from to have, for to have, &c., and not, as in English, after having, of having, from having, for having. This is a very marked peculiarity, and exhibits one of the most striking differences in the construction of the two languages. It would be very bad English to say for to have and it would be absolute nonsense to say in French for having. In rendering, therefore, such English phrases as, after having put poison in the meat, after having killed their companion, after having eaten the travellers, after having brought the poison, the learner must bear in mind that the French construction is, après avoir mis du poison dans la viande, after to have put the poison in the meat; après avoir tué leur camarade, after to have killed their companion; après avoir mangé les voyageurs, after to have eaten the travellers; après avoir apporté le poison, after to hure brought the poison; and so in all similar cases.

XV.

Trois voyageurs trouverent un trésor dans leur chemin, et dirent, "Nous avons faim."

Three travellers found a treasure on their way, and said, "We are hungry."

In the above sentence, the words nous avons faim are translated literally we have hunger, and this is the manner the English expression we are hungry must

of a transiby le; but endered in n, and the ne way, of i, and pour n has to be he difficulonature of oy le or lui

design.

hat is, like that in some vels. When c, as in the re to be proherefore not

ally signifies the practice all the practice all the prewe must say and not, as in his is a very ses in the cony for to have, a rendering, a meat, after laving construction poison in the anion; après

treasure on I, "We are

après avoir

imilar cases.

l literally we hungry must

be rendered into French. In the same way, we were hungry would have to be rendered we had hunger. The English say, I have a headache, and I have a cold, and it would only be according to the analogy of their own language to say, I have hunger also. However, since it happens that this is not the case, the learner must bear in mind the difference in this respect between the construction of his own and the French language. The English phrases, he was hungry, and they were hungry, will have to be rendered,

XVI.

Ils moururent lous deux.. They both died.

We have already said, chemin faisant, that the English word both has no single equivalent in French, so that the notion of both has to be rendered in the latter language by a paraphrase. The word is most usually rendered by the phrase, tous les deux, all the two; but occasionally the article les is dropped, and the phrase assumes the form, tous deux, all two, as we have it in our text. It is very likely that they died all two, may sound somewhat odd in the ears of an Englishman, but it is only because he has not been used to it. The phrase, they died all two, is just as logical as they died all three, although the first appears very bad English, and the second very good. We mention this because the learner generally, when he meets with a construction that he is not familiar with, is very apt to suppose that there is some huge mystery at the bottom of it, when in reality it exists in his own language, and is as simple in itself as the construction of any other assemblage of words. A little judgment exercised in the study of a language will dissipate a vast number of apparent difficulties of this nature. The learner has to bear in mind that the word both is to be rendered by all two, tous deux in French; or when a grenter degree of exactitude is wanted, by all the two, tous les deux.

In English, the word both may be put either before or after the verb: it would be as correct to say, they both died, as to say, they died both. In Fr nch, however, the adverb cannot be moved about in this way: there is only one way of arranging the words in a phrase like this, that is by placing the adverb tous deux after the verb, as in the text.

PRONUNCIATION.

ACCENTED LETTERS.

The vowel e has altogether in French four sounds. In order to show when it should be pronounced in one and when in another manner, little marks called accents are employed. The following table exhibits the various sounds of the e, together with the manner in which they are distinguished one from another.

- e with an acute accent, thus, é, is pronounced like a in the English word mate.
- e with a grave accent, thus, è, is pronounced like e in the English word best.
- e with a circumflex accent, thus, ê, is pronunced like è, but a little longer.
- e without an accent is called the e mute, and is generally silent; when pronounced, it has the sound of ea in the English word earth.

be le

enun

but i

Pala

oceas

thein

Fren

but

to or

from

way

grup

cord

very

word is re

sligi

sion

they

heno

e's t

how

He

He l

Had Who

The

Wh

The Had

The

Had

No, Wh

The

Wh

The

No.

Aft

W

Th

From this table the learner will observe that when he meets with an e having an acute accent upon it, thus é, he must pronounce it like the a in the English words make, bake, cake; and when he meets with an e having the grave accent, è, or the circumflex accent, thus ê, he must pronounce it like e in the English words press, dress, mess. So far as the accented e's are concerned, there is no difficulty : the é and the è may be considered as two distinct letters of the French alphabet, each having its own sound and characteristic properties, while the é may be called a long o, and considered as such. We should now advise the learner to go over the sections of the text, and pronounce the accented e's according to the foregoing directions, without paying attention to the equivalents we have given of them in our verbal pronunciation; in this manner the habit will be acquired of pronouncing the accented e correctly. We would also here strongly impress upon the observation of the learner the recessity of attention. He has been accustomed from his infancy to associate the letter e with a set of sounds, many of which are totally different from the French sounds of the letter; he must therefore be watchful lest his inherent notions mislead him in the pronunciation of the French e. The accented e's in French have only the sounds we have described; these must always be given them, otherwise the word in which they occur will be rendered unintelligible, and the sense or meaning of the speaker consequently totally obscured.

The e mute, as its name implies, so far as pronunciation is concerned is a nonentity: it is a mere orthographic sign, not an absolute letter. There are some cases, however, in which it may be pronounced. The phrase il se détacha, occurring in our text, may be pronounced eels détacha, suppressing entirely the unaccented a, or the little word se may be pronounced distinctly, giving the e the shut sound of ca of the English word carth, as already stated. The pronunciation of the e mute is therefore quite arbitrary, depending entirely upon the taste or the style of the speaker. This unaccented e has given rise to much learned disquisition among writers of French grammars; they have contrived to discover in this simple matter the most insuperable difficulties, and the greatest possible amount of doubt and dubity. These perplexities are not said to consist in explaining the sound itself,—that we have given is sufficiently precise, -but in knowing when to pronounce the letter, and when to leave it entirely silent. One Frenchman,* who has written two very respectable duodecimo volumes to enlighten the English on this knotty point, after quoting a dozen pages of illustrations, says, "All these examples show rather than solve the difficulty; but it is impossible to give certain and invariable rules by which foreigners may be able to make so many nice distinctions, which depend greatly on the JUDGMENT of the speaker or reader, and are not always [qy. never] attended to by the natives themselves." Had this writer limited his treatise to this one passage, we conceive he would have acted wisely, for in this single sentence he has said all that need be said on the subject. The pronunciation of the e mute is admissible in an elevated style, but its pronunciation in colloquial intercourse would only be tolerated when the meaning of a word or the sense of a sentence would be obscured by its omission, or when great clearness of expression is required. In every instance where the unaccented e occurs in our text, it may

^{*} Duverger's Treatise on the French Pronunciation, Part I.

an chaving the English rave accent, the English , there is no f the French while the é v advise the accented e's equivalents r the hubit uld also here f ATTENTION. with a set of of the letter; in the prothe sounds the word in

meaning of

ncerned is a There are il se détacha, entirely the giving the e d. The proentirely upon rise to much ve contrived ics, and the are not said ently precise, e it entirely e duodecimo ing a dozen nan solve the les by which epend greatly ever] attendse to this one e sentence he of the e mute ıl intercourse of a sentence expression is

text, it may

be left entirely silent; and on the other hand, it might be in most cases slightly enunciated. The unaccented e is rurely pronounced in ordinary conversation, but it is very rarely silent in a solemn discourse. It might be pronounced at the Palais du Luxembourg, but would be silent within the process of the Tuilleries.

The accents, besides being employed to point out the sounds of the e, are occasionally used to distinguish some words from certain other words resembling them in orthography, but differing materially in meaning; thus the letter a in French without an accent is a verb, and is equivalent to the English word has, but a with a grave accent, thus, d, is a preposition, and signifies in English to or at; and again, the adverb où, where, has a grave accent to distinguish it from the conjunction ou, or. The accents in these cases do not affect in any way the pronunciation of the letter over which they are placed: they are orthographic signs only.* The circumflex accent is used to mark the omission of an s. The word maître in the text is derived from the Latin word maiister, or, according to the modern Latin spelling, magister, the Romans writing an s, though very probably they did not pronounce it. The older French writers wrote the word maistre, whence the English have obtained their word master, where the s is retained and the i dropped. The circumflex accent requires the voice to rest slightly on the letter whereon it is placed, in order to compensate for the omission of the s, as in the case of the ê already described.

We have now explained the use and appplication of the accents in French: they only affect the pronunciation in so far as the e is concerned. We shall henceforth, in giving the pronunciation of the French words, leave the accented e's to speak for themselves, as the learner may now be fairly supposed to know how to pronounce them.

COMPOSITION.

He had. He had a treasure. Had he a treasure? Who had a treasure? They had. What had they? They had a companion. Had they the meat? They had the meat, two treasures, and three intentions. Had they three designs? No, but they had three companions. Who had three companions? The traveller who had the two intentions. Who had the treasure? The two other travellers. When they had the treasure, had they also their companion? No, they had poisoned him. After the two others had poisoned their companion, what had they? They had the treasure and also the meat,

but their companion had poisoned it.

When had their companion poisoned the meat? He had poisoned the meat during the absence of his companions. Who had conceived a design? One of the travellers, Agninst whom had he conceived a design? Against the masters of the treasure. After having conceived his design, did he execute it? Yes, he executed his design. The masters of the treasure, had they also conceived a design? Yes, they had conceived a design against the treasure, and two others against their companions. Who was hungry? One of the travellers. Had he anything to eat? Were his two companions hungry? Yes, but they had something to eat. What had the two travellers to eat?

[•] And it may be useful to observe, that the grave accent only is used in these cases; the acute accent never being employed merely to distinguish words.

They had the treasure, the poisoned | They were hungry. ment, and a companion, to eat. Where did the travellers live?

They lived at Parls.

When did the masters of the treasure live at Paris?

They lived at Paris after they had killed their companion.

Whom did the three travellers assassinate?

They assassinated two travellers and their companion.

Why did they assassinate their companion?

In order to have his treasure.

After having killed their companion, whom did they assassinate?

They assassinated the two other travellers.

Did they assassinate their companion after having killed the travellers?

No, they assassinated the travellers after having killed their companion.

Where did they assassinate their companion?

They assassinated him on the road. Whom did they assassinate on the

They assassinated the masters of the treasure, the three travellers, and also their two companions.

They atc.

What did they eat? They are the treasure.

After having eaten the treasure, what did they ent?

They ate the meat.

After having eaten that, what did they eat?

They ate their companion.

After having eaten the treasure, the meat, and their companion, what did they eat?

They are two other companions. Why did they eat their companions?

After having eaten all their companions, what did they eat? They are each other (se).

They died.

Did all the three travellers die? Yes, all the travellers died.

Did the masters of the treasure die also?

Yes, they both died.

When did the two travellers die? After having killed and eaten their compunion.

Where did the travellers die?

Two died at Paris, the other three died on the road.

During the absence of their comrade, the travellers are each other.

After having killed some and poisoned others, the two travellers remained masters of the meat; but after having enten it, they both died.

The masters of the treasure assassinated and ate their companion, but they both died also.

During the absence of their companion, the two others had eaten the treasure.

While the two travellers remained masters of the treasure, they had wherewithal to eat; but after having killed their companion, they both died of hunger.

One of the travellers, during the absence of the other two, had conceived the design of eating the treasure; but the two others, on their return, assassinated him.

After having killed their companion, the two others are some poisoned meat as a refreshment.

The poison killed one of the travellers: the treasure killed all three.

After having eaten the treasure, the meat, and their companion, the three travellers died of hunger.

 $_{
m lls}$ viar ils troi ava le t sont qu'a l'ava vian cam qui avoi maî cond Qui deu Qu' de voy

den leur nère leui rad Λss assa nèr 2882

ompanions,

dio ?

reasure die

s die? eaten their

e ? er three died

ir comrade, ther. and polsoned rs remained

after having sure assassimpanion, but

r companion, the treasure. emained masy had wherehaving killed both died of

ng the absence conceived the asure; but the cturn, assassi-

ir companion, ome poisoned

the travellers:
three.
treasure, the
nion, the three

ger.

LESSON FOURTH.

READING.

REPETITION.

IL avait. Il avait un trésor. Avait-il un trésor? Qui avait un trésor? Ils avaient. Qu'avaient-ils? Ils avaient un camarade. Avaient-ils la viande? Ils avaient la viande, deux trésors, et trois intentions. Avaientils trois desseins? Non, mais ils avaient trois camarades. Qui avait trois camarades? Le voyageur qui avait les deux intentions. Qui avait le trésor? Les deux autres voyageurs. Quand ils avaient le trésor, avaient-ils aussi leur camarade? Non, ils l'avaient empoisonné. Après que les deux autres eureut empo sonné leur camar de, qu'avaient-ils? Ils avaient le trésor et la viande, mais leur camarade l'avait empoisonnée. Quand leur camarade avait-il empoisonné la Il avait empoisonné la viande pendant l'absence de ses camarades. Qui avait conçu un dessein? Un des voyageurs. Contre qui avait-il conçu un dessein? Contre les maîtres du trésor. Après avoir conçu son dessein l'exécuta-t-il? Oui, il exécuta son dessein. Les maîtres du trésor avaient-ils aussi conçu un dessein? Oui, ils avaient conçu un dessein contre le trésor, et deux antres contre leurs camarades, Qui avait faim? Un des voyageurs. Avait-il de quoi manger? Ses deux camarades avaient-ils faim? Oui, mais ils avaient de quoi manger. Qu'avaient les deux voyageurs à manger? Ils avaient à manger le trésor, de la viande empoisonnée et un camarade. Où demeurèrent les trois voyageurs? Ils demeurèrent à l'aris? Quand les maîtres du trésor demeurèrent-ils à Paris? Ils demeurèrent à Paris après avoir tué leur camarade. Qui, les trois voyageurs assassinèrent-ils? Ils assassinèrent deux voyageurs et leur camarade. Pourquoi assassinèrent-ils leur camarade? Afin d'avoir son trésor. Après avoir tué leur camarade, qui assassinèrent-ils? Ils assassinèrent deux autres voyageurs. Assassinèrent-ils leur camarade après avoir tue les voyageurs? Non, ils assassinèrent les voyageurs après avoir tué leur camarade? Où assassinèrent-ils leur camarade? Ils l'assassinèrent dans le chemin. Qui assassinèrent-ils dans le chemin? Ils assassinèrent les maîtres du trésor, les trois voyageurs, et aussi leurs deux camarades.

mii

tin

sor We

the

mu

ad

tex

pro in

HOL

ig

tro

thro

riel

ric

Т

hav

sonn

This

Par

cet end

là .

voil

que que

est

le n

voy

Ils mangèrent. Que mangèrent-ils? Ils mangèrent le trésor. Apres avoir mangé le trésor, que mangèrent-ils ? Ils mangèrent la viaude. Après avoir mangé cela, que mangèrent-ils? Ils mangèrent leur camarade. Après avoir mangé le trésor, la viande, et leur camarade, que mangèrentils? Ils mangèrent deux autres camarades. Pourquoi mangèrent-ils leurs camarades? Ils avaient faim. Après avoir mangé tous leurs camarades, que mangèrent-ils? Ils se mangèrent. Ils moururent. Les voyageurs monrurent-ils tous les trois? Oui, tous les voyageurs moururent. Les maîtres du trésor moururent-ils aussi? Oui, ils moururent tous les deux. Quand les deux voyageurs moururent-ils? Après avoir tué et mangé leur camarade. Où moururent les voyageurs? Deux moururent à Paris, les trois autres dans le chemin. Pendant l'absence de leur camarade, les voyageurs se mangèrent les uns les autres. Après avoir tué les uns et empoisonné les autres, les voyageurs demeurèrent maîtres de la viande, mais après l'avoir mangée ils moururent tous. Les maîtres du trésor assassinerent et mangerent leur camarade, mais tous les deux moururent aussi. Pendant l'absence de leur camarade les deux autres avaient mangé le trésor. Pendant que les deux voyagenrs demenrèrent maîtres du trésor ils avaient de quoi manger, mais après avoir tué leur camarade, ils moururent de faim tous les deux. Un des voyageurs pendant l'absence des deux autres avait conçu le dessein de manger le trésor, mais les deux autres à leur retour l'assassinèrent. Après avoir tuó lenr camarade, les deux autres mangèrent pour repas de la viande empoisonnée. Le poison avait tué un des voyageurs, le trésor avait tué les trois. Après avoir mangé le trésor, la viande, et leur camarade, les trois voyageurs moururent de faim.

Un philosophe passant par cet endroit-là, dit: Voilà quel est le monde! Voyez de quelle manière il a traité ces trois personnes. Malheur à celui qui lui demande des richesses.

In order that the learner may read the above, we shall, as in the case of the former portions of the text, proceed to give the pronunciation of the present section.

Un philosophe passant par cet endroit-là, dit: Voilà Unfee-lo-sof pas-an en-drwa-la, dee, wa-la kel par set est le monde! Voyez de quelle manière il a traitó ces ai _ l mond! Voyai _ d kel man-yèr eel a trai-té sò Malheur trois personnes. celui qui lui demande des per-son. Mal-eur a _ s-lucc kee lŭce 👅 d-mand dè trws richesses.

rec-shès.

[•] For the pronunciation of the eu, see article PRONUNCIATION, page 40.

or. Apres nde. Après camarade. mangèrentaugérent-ils leurs cama. prent. Les urs mourumoururent Après avoir ars? Deux nt l'absence res. Après lemeurèreut it tous. Les e, unis tous ade les deux geurs demeurès avoir tué es voyageurs le manger le Après avoir de la viande sor avait tué

est le monde! alheur à celui

camarade, les

the case of the

Voilà quel wn-la kel traitó ces a trai-tó sò emando des d-mand dè

That the above may be read correctly, the learner must continue to hear in mind what has been said in the first lesson, of the masal sound which we continue to give in italies, as also what was said of the vowel u in the second lesson, and finally, the pronunciation of the accented c's given in the third lesson. We would again urge the necessity of the learner sustaining his attention on these particular points: this is essential to an exact comprehension of the pronunciation of the words of the text and consequently on this depends the chief advantage the learner can hope to obtain from our lessons. The words of our text are not numerous, but they will serve as a key to the entire system of French pronunciation. The principles we shall lay down for the guidance of the learner in their pronunciation, if well fixed upon his memory, will enable him to pronunce, with a very few exceptions, every word in the language correctly.

TRANSLATION.

Un philosophe passant par cet endroit-ià, dit : Voilà quel philosopher passing by that place there, said, See there what est le moude! Voyez de quelle manière il See what manner is the worldl it has treated these trois personnes. Malheur à celui qui lui denunde des persons. Wo him who at it asks three to of the richesses.

riches.

VOCABULARY.

The present portion of text consists of thirty-one words, ten of which have already been seen; and the five words, philosophe, manière, passant, personnes, and richesses, are nearly the same in form with their English equivalents. This vocabulary will therefore only consist of seventeen words.

Par	by	a	has
eet	s this	traité	treated
cet	· · · Ethnt	ces	§ these
endroit	place	Ces	those
lå	there	malhaur	(wo
voilà	see there	malheur	· misfortune
volla	behold	celui	♦ he
quelle }	aulia t	ceiui	
quel }	· · · · · Wintt		(ask
est	is	demande	nsks
le monde	the world	demande	want
			wants
voyez	··· I look	des	of the

CÓNVERSATION.

The following new words will be introduced into this exercise.

Mesdames,	Ladies,	pronounced	mèdam
Ce,	it, or that	"	8.
Ponrquoi,	why.	**	poor-kwa.
Parce que	because,	16	pars-ky.
Comblen,	Show much, }	и	kom-be-kn,
Quelquefois,	sometimes.	16	kelk-fwa
Au,	to the, or at t	he, "	0.
Etait,	was,	"	étai.

In the reading exercise we have given ℓ as the pronunciation of the word est, is. Above, we see that the little word ce, il, when denuded of its e mute has the sound of s. The learner will observe from this, that est-ce, is it, should be pronounced es; and for the same reason that qu'est-ce? what is it? should be pronounced kes. It may not be out of place to notice here a peculiar manner of putting in French the question, What is that? The learner is already aware that "what is that?" should be rendered by qu'est cela? but though "qu'est cela?" is very commonly used, the form most employed is qu'est-ce que c'est que cela? This phrase translated literally gives in English, What is it that that is that that? Such a multitude of thats looks like a very prosy way of saying, What is that? If, however, the learner has borne in mind the pronunciation we have given of the individual words composing qu'est-ce que c'est que cela? he will find the whole amount to kesk-sek-sla; the French interrogation in reality not requiring more time in its enunciation than the English what is that? Qu'est-ce que dit un philosophe?.....

Où dit-il cela?..... Quand le dit-il?.... Est-ce que le philosophe dit: "Voilà les trois voyageurs "?.... Est-ce qu'il dit : "Voilà ces trois personnes "?.....

Qui le monde a-t-il traité ?.... Qui est-ce qui a traité trois personnes? Comment le monde a-t-il traité trois personnes?.... Combien de personnes le monde a-t-il tinitées? Quelles trois personnes le monde a-t-il traitées d'une manière? Quels trois voyageurs?....

Pourquoi le monde a-t-il traité ces trois voyageurs d'une manière?... Qu'est-ce que c'est qu'un malheur d'après le philosophe?.... Qui est-ce qui dit, Malheur à celui qui demande des richesses au monde? Pourquoi dit-il cela?..... Le philosophe dit-il malheur à celui qui a des richesses ?.....

Le philosophe dit-il que c'est un malheur d'avoir des richesses ?..... Pourquoi est-ce un malheur que de demander des richesses ?..... Est-ce que le philosophe demande des richesses an monde?..... Comment est-ce un malheur?....

Est-ce que les voyageurs demandèrent des richesses au monde?....

Etait-ce afin d'avoir ses richesses qu'ils assassinerent leur camarade ?....

Le philosophe dit: "Voilà quel est le monde! Voyez de quelle manière il a traité ces trois personnes.

M

H

t

p

fi

c

n

4

0

Dans le chemin. Eu passant à l'endroit où moururent les trois voyageurs.

Non, Mesdames: mais il dit, " Voilà de Non, Mesdames. quelle manière le monde a traité ces trois personnes."

Trois personnes. Le monde.

D'une manière.

Il a traité trois personnes. Les trois voyageurs. Les voyageurs qui trouvèrent un trésor

dans leur chemin. Parce qu'ils lui avaient demandé des

C'est un malheur de demander des richesses. richesses au monde.

Un philosophe. Parce qu'il était philosophe.

Non, Mesdames.

Non, mais il dit que c'est un malheur de les demander au monde.

Parce que le philosophe le dit.

Non, Mesdames, il dit que c'est un malheur de les demander.

Voyez les trois voyageurs, ils moururent après avoir demandé des

Non, mais afin de les avoir, ils assassinèrent leur camarade.

Oui, Mesdames, et leur camarade afin d'avoir le trésor à lui seul mit du poison dans la viande qu'il avait apportée pour manger.

of the word of its e mute e, is it, should is it? should peculiar manrner is already though "qu'est ie c'est que cela? at is that that? What is that? have given of ll find the whole requiring more

oilà quel est le e quelle manière s personnes.

où moururent les

il dit, " Voilà de e monde a traité es."

onnes.

ouvèrent un trésor in. ient demandé des

de demander des onde.

ilosophe.

e c'est un malheur er au monde.

sophe le dit. il dit que c'est un s demander. oyageurs, ils mouavoir demandé des

les avoir, ils assascamarade. t leur camarade afin

sor à lui seul mit du la viande qu'il avait ir manger.

demandent des richesses au monde meurent?....

Est-ce que toutes les personnes qui Non, Mesdames, mais quand ils assassinent leurs camarades afin d'avoir leurs richesses, ils meurent quelquefois, voyez de quelle manière le monde a traitó les trois voyagenrs.

De quelle manière le monde a-t-il traité les trois voyageurs?..... Qui est-ce qui dit cela?.....

Il les a traités de manière qu'ils moururent tous les trois.

Un philosophe. A qui dit-il cela? A tout le monde. Etait-il à Paris quand il dit celn?....

Non, il était à l'endroit où moururent les voyageurs.

CONSTRUCTION.

XVII.

Malheur à celui qui lui demande des richesses.

Wo to him who asks it for riches.

We have stated elsewhere, that the English pronouns him, her, and it, when the direct objects of a transitive verb, are rendered in French by le or la placed before the verb by which they are governed; as,

> They ate her Ils la mangérent.

We have also stated, that when the pronouns him, her, and it, are in English preceded by to, at, or any other preposition, they are to be rendered in French by lui; as,

The travellers had conceived a design Les voyageurs avaient concuun dessein against him. contre lui.

He said into himself..... Il dit en lui-même.

In the sentence we have quoted at the head of this article, there is an apparent exception to the latter rule, since we have the word him rendered by lui, even although no preposition precedes the him in the English sentence. This arises from the mutability of the English language. It would be quite as good English to say, in speaking of the world, "Miserable is he who asks at it for riches," as to say, "Miscrable is he who asks it for riches," only the English usually dispense with the preposition at in such eases, and hence the apparent departure from the rule we have given. Although the English can in this way say, "Who asks it?" or, "Who asks at it?" indiscriminately, the French language is not susceptible of any mutable property of this kind: the preposition à, to or at, must, under such circumstances, invariably follow the verb demander, to ask. We cannot say in French, "Who asks it?" the genius of the language requiring us to say, "Who asks at it?"

But we may be told that there is no a after the verb demande in the sentence we have quoted from the text. True, there is none in appearance. It will be observed, however, that lui precedes the verb demande. In such a position, lui has the signification of d lui in any other. Lui before a verb is precisely equivalent to d lui placed after one. And we have the sentence who asks it? or who asks at it? rendered by qui lui demande? instead of qui demande à lui? because it is more consonant with the structure of the language to express at it by placing lui before the yerb, than by placing d lui after it.

Bu

de

the

ho

thi

la

sid

lis

obj

tar

pos

WO

Th Th

Th

Th.

ph ph

WC

ine

ra th

sp

It is in matters of this kind that the judgment and observation of the learner might be advantageously exercised; the difficulties of the language being hid in minute verbal modifications. The property that little words like lui possess of assuming a variety of meanings from a change of position, is also a source of great embarrassment to beginners. A little exertion of the perceptive faculties will do more for the learner in overcoming difficulties of this nature, than the explanations of all the masters in the United Kingdom, who, nine cases in ten, are totally ignorant of the animus of such detail, and consequently are incapable of supplying the place of intellect to the student.

The words of the English language are not in general susceptible of a change of meaning from the change of position; but in French a word has often a very different signification in one set of words from that it has in another set. For instance, in the sentence—

"Un d'eux se détacha et alla dans l'intention de leur apporter de quoi faire un repas,"
"One of them departed and went away in the intention of bringing to them where with alto make a meal,"

the word leur occurs before a verb, and in that position is to be rendered in English by to them; but in the following sentence—

"Trois voyageurs trouvèrent un trésor "Three travellers found a treasure on dans leur chemin," their way,"

the word leur occurs before the noun chemin, and in that position has no longer the meaning of to them, but must be rendered in English by their. In beginning to read French, attention to points like these is of great importance. The exact value of the little words being known, the sense of a passage will always be clear; but until this is the case, the meaning of an author will ever appear

The sentence we have cited from our text illustrates two points to be noticed in writing French: first, that the verb demander, to ask, requires the preposition à, to or at, after it; and secondly, that to or at, him, her, or it, are rendered in French by placing the single word lui before a verb.

In the same sentence we observe the word lui, him, rendered by celui after a preposition as well as lui. The little particle ce prefixed to the lui, is equivalent to the English word that; celui, therefore, when reduced to its primeval elements, is equivalent to that him, the ce being obviously, in this instance, appended to the lui merely for the sake of emphasis or euphony; but be this as it may, celui must always be used under similar circumstances.

XVIII.

Un philosophe passant par cetendroit- A philosopher passing by that place.

We have already spoken fully of the various significations of the little words le and la. We have said, that when le or la occur before a noun, they are to be rendered in English by the; as,

acing lui

ne learner
age being
s like lui
, is also a
perceptive
as nature,
nine cases
uently are

f a change as often a nother set.

went away ringing to ke a meal,"

rendered in

treasure on

as no longer
n beginning
The exact
l always be
ever appear

to be noticed preposition rendered in

celui after a is equivalent rimeval eleance, appenhis as it may,

that place.

little words hey are to be Nous avons le trésor...... We have the treasure. Nous avons la viande...... We have the meat.

But when they occur before a verb, le and la are pronouns, and have to be rendered in English by him, her, or it.

Ils la mangèrent...... They are her or it.
Ils le mangèrent...... They are him or it.

It will be observed from the phrase we have quoted above from the text, that the word la has also to be rendered in English by the adverb there. When, however, this is the case, the a of the la is always marked with a grave accent, thus, la, as we see it in the text. There cannot therefore be any difficulty when la has the meaning there, since so visible a sign is used to point it out.

It may be asked, what business has the word there in the sentence under consideration? This is another matter, and merits a little explanation. The English have the two little demonstrative words, this and that; this expressing an object spoken of to be near, and that expressing the object spoken of to be distant. The French have only the little particle cc to express both these relative positions of an object, and are consequently obliged to use some other word along with it to indicate more exactly the position of the object. The words used for this purpose are ei, here, and la, there. In order to express the English words this and that, the French are obliged to proceed in the following manner:

The English occasionally employ a similar construction; for instance, in the phrases "Down that'ere street," "Up that'ere stair"; but we presume such phrases are exotics, as the word'ere or there is superfluous in such cases, the word this or that expressing precisely enough the relative position of the objects indicated. In French, however, it is necessary to say, "That there place," or rather, "That place there," and to employ the adverb there in all cases where the object spoken of is not present to the speaker; as otherwise, the ce would not, if employed alone, indicate with a sufficient degree of clearness the object spoken of.

It will be observed by the learner, that the adverb ld, when employed in this way, is joined by a hyphen to the noun that precedes it, and also that ce is used before a word beginning with a consonant, and cet before words beginning with a vowel.

X1X.

The syllable ent at the end of verbs is never pronounced; it follows, that the word avaient, given above, should be pronounced as if written av-ai. We may also observe here, that final consonants are generally silent in French; so that the word avail, given above, should also be pronounced as if written av-ai.

The two words avait and avaient, consequently, though differing in spelling, are pronounced exactly alike. The learner must, however, be careful always to write in the plural avaient, and in the singular avait, as—

Ils avaient un tréson	 They had a treasure.
Il aveit un trésor	 He had a treasure.

PRONUNCIATION.

DIPHTHONGS.

I

m

ez

80

th

T

in

th th we ba to

the

the

wl

th

do

bu

in

h

In the English language two vowels are occasionally used to represent a particular sound. The vowels ou, for instance, in the word house, represent a sound that neither the o nor the u resemble when pronounced individually. The same is the case in French: two vowels are used to represent some one particular sound of the language; and when two vowels are so employed, they are usually, though improperly, called diphthouses. There are in French altogether tive diphthouge, representing five distinct sounds of the language, of which the following is a table exhibiting the sounds they represent:

oi is	pronounced	like wa	in the	English	word wall.
ai	· u	ai	u	"	laid.
au	u	0	"	"	go.
011	"	00	"	**	good.
<i>e</i> 11	44	11.6	"	"	guest.

The only one of these diphthongs that requires a special notice is the eu. This diphthong is very much used in French, and most Frenchmen pronounce it precisely as the English do the ue in the word guest. The natives of Paris, however, give the eu a deeper inflection, somewhat approaching to a in the English word buth. Natives of London, in their pronunciation of such words as birth, mirth, give the ir a sound that is an exact counterfeit to the Parisian inflection of the French eu; so that they have only to transfer this sound to the French eu in order to pronounce that diphthong in absolute perfection. Those among our students unacquainted with this local inflection of ir, must use the sound of ue in the word guest, which, though not the most elegant, is nevertheless the most common pronunciation of the diphthong.

The learner should now go over the words of the text, and pronounce the diphthongs in the manner pointed out in the table. By doing this carefully and attentively, he will make himself familiar with the signs and value of the combined vowels. When he has accomplished this, he will have gained an important point in his progress towards acquiring the French pronunciation; we say an important point, because the sounds of the five diphthongs may almost be said to constitute the language.

We would here guard the student against allowing the peculiarities of his own language to mislead and retard him in the study of French. In English, diphthongs are employed to represent single sounds as well as in French; but the sounds represented by a diphthong in the one language, is in most cases totally different from the sound? represents in the other. Unless therefore the

^{*}In all other combinations of vowels besides these, each vowel has its own individual sound

ling, are lways to

ent a parpresent a lividually. some one oyed, they rench altonguage, of

ce is the eu.
n pronounce
ves of Paris,
to a in the
such words
the Parisian
sound to the
tion. Those
must use the
ant, is never-

conounce the carefully and e of the comed an impornciation; we ongs may al-

arities of his
In English,
French; but
in most cases
therefore the

own individual

learner be exceedingly careful at the outset, he will naturally give the English sound to the French diphthong, and the result will be a bad pronunciation of the latter. The English student of French must also guard himself against the unfixed notions as to the value of letters he has imbibed with his mother tongue. An English diphthong, like the chameleon, has the property of change, varying its sound to suit the convenience of the word in which it is used; take for an example of this, ou in the words

Cousin, court, could, count.

In each of these four words the ou has a perfectly distinct sound. Such a melange cannot but superinduce a vague impression of the value of letters, exceedingly pernicious in the study of spoken language. But having a variety of sounds is not the only noxious circumstance attendant on the English diphthongs: some of the sounds of one diphthong are occasionally given to another. The sound of ou in could is also possessed by the diphthong oo in good; the ou in court is claimed by the oa in coarse; and the ou in count is enjoyed by the ow in cowherd. It is a favorite theme with the writers of English grammars to say, that an Englishman should be well grounded in his own before he studies another language. We must observe, that if another language was grounded upon the discordant materials we have been now speaking of, it could not stand, it would soon be swallowed up in the perplexities of its foundation, and very probably "leave not a wreck behind." The mingling of the sounds peculiar both to the vowels and diphthongs of the English language, dest. sys the relation that should subsist between the orthography and pronunciation, and must at the same time destroy the impression that such should exist. Throughout the whole series of modern languages, except the English, and perhaps the Chinese, there is an intimate connexion between the orthography and pronunciation. We do not know much either of the language or of the literature of the Esquimaux; but we know enough of both to be aware that greater consistency reigns between their written and spoken language than there is in English. The learner therefore must endeavor to divest his mind of the views of language he has obtained from his mother tongue, and replace them by some more stable notions as to the relation between sound and letter. He must not suppose that because a vowel, or a combination of vowels, has three or four different sounds in his own language, that such is the case in any other. The sounds we have given of the five French diphthongs in the preceding table, they ALWAYS have, under all circumstances, and in every position. In this particular the French pronunciation is not only fixed and immutable, but exceedingly clear and simple. Nothing can be more easy than to pronounce the diphthongs correctly, and few points in the language are of more importance.

COMPOSITION.

He has.
What has he?
Has he wherewithal to ent?
Is he wealthy?
Yes, he is rich.
He has said.

What has he said?
Who said that?
When did he say that?
To whom did he say that?
He said that to the philosopher.
He is.

Who is he? Is he a philosopher? No, he is a traveller. Where is he? Is he here? No, he is there. Where is the philosopher? The philosopher is at Paris. What is that person? He is a traveller. What is that other person? It is the philosopher. He wants. What does he want? He wants wealth. Who is it that wants wealth? It is the philosopher. From whom does he want wealth? Why does he ask for riches? What does that traveller want? He wants a place. What place does he want? He wants the place where the three travellers died. He wants also a companion. The person he wants is at Paris. That is a pity. Look at that person going along there: that is a philosopher. There is a traveller from Paris. Look at that place. There is a misfortune. Here is another. Here is a traveller. There is another. This person is like that. This philosopher is similar to that. What a pity! What misfortunes! What wealth! What a philosopher l What a lot of travellers! What a crowd of people! What excessive politeness! What a place! There are riches!

One of the travellers said to the other, We are hungry and must have something to cat; let one of us go and buy some meat.

Is it a misfortune to be rich? said two travellers who died of hunger.

A philosopher passing the place where the two travellers were eating (mangeaient) their companion, said. There is a manner of making a meal!

The three travellers said that their two companions died after having poisoned a philosopher.

We are unfortunate, said two travellers who found a philosopher on their road.

1

il a

t-il-

Qui

il d

le p

per

C'e

des

lo-

des

Que

troi

der

pas

Vo

Vo

ser

Qt

201

en

av

de

m

no q m d

It is necessary to have something to eat, said a philosopher, after having killed his comrade.

We have something to eat, said the two travellers when they found the poisoned meat.

Now we are poisoned, said the two travellers after having eaten the meat.

How rich we are I said the three travellers when they found the treasure.

We are hungry, said two persons when they are their companion.

We have a treasure, said two persons when they found a philosopher.

What is wealth! said a passenger. There are three travellers who found a treasure, and afterward died of hunger.

The world treated the three travellers in such a manner that they all died.

There is a way of going to work, said a philosopher, when the traveller put poison in the meat he had brought to eat.

We must eat, said the two travellers when they are their companion.

Here we are masters of the treasure, said the two travellers after having killed their companion. LESSON FIFTH.

READING.

REPETITION.

Qu'a-t-il? A t-il de quoi manger? A-t-il des richesses? Oui, il a des richesses. Il a dit. Qu'a-t-il dit? Qui a dit cela? Quand at-il-dit cela? A qui a t-il dit cela? Il a dit cela au philosophe? Il est. Qui est-il? Est-il philosophe? Non, il est voyageur. Où est-il? Estil dans cet endroit-ei? Non, il est dans cet endroit-là. Où est Monsieur le philosophe? Monsieur le philosophe est à Paris. Quelle est cette personne-là? C'est un voyageur. Quelle est cette autre personne? C'est le philosophe. Il demande. Que demande-t-il? Il demande des richesses. Qui est-ce qui demande des richesses? C'est le phi-A qui demande-t-il des richesses? Pourquoi demande-t-il des richesses? Que demande ce voyageur-là? Il demande un endroit. Quel endroit demande-t-il? Il demande l'endroit où mournrent les trois voyageurs. Il demande aussi son camarade. La personne qu'il demande est à Paris. Voilà un malheur. Voyez cette personne passant par là : c'est un philosophe. Voilà un voyagenr de Paris. Voyez cet endroit-là. Voilà un malheur. Voici un autre malheur. Voici un voyageur. Voilà un autre voyageur. Cette personne-là est semblable à cette personne-ci. Ce philosophe-ci est semblable à celui-là. Quel malheur! Que de malheurs! Quelles richesses! Quel philo-20phe! Que de voyageurs! Que de monde! Que de manières! Quel endroit! Voilà des richesses! Un des voyageurs dit à l'autre, "Nous avons faim, et il faut avoir de quoi manger; qu'un de nous aille acheter de la viande."

"Est-ce un malheur d'avoir des richesses?" dirent deux voyageurs qui moururent de faim. Un philosophe passant à l'endroit où les deux voyageurs dirent que leurs deux camarades moururent après avoir empoisonné un philosophe. "Nous avons du malheur," dirent deux voyageurs qui trouvèrent un philosophe dans leur chemin. "Il faut avoir de quoi manger," dit un philosophe, après avoir tué son camarade. "Nous avons de quoi manger," dirent les deux voyageurs, quand ils trouvèrent la viande empoisounée. "Nous voilà empoisonnés!" dirent les deux voyageurs après avoir mangé la viande. "Que de richesses nous avons!" dirent les

other, have f us go

aid two ger. e where eating panion, making

heir two ing poiavellers on their

thing to ter havsaid the

two tra-

e travel-

treasure.
ons when
o persons
sopher.
oassenger.
llers who

travellers y all died. vork, said traveller t he had

afterward

travellers
panion.
treasure,
ter having

F

lear

n se

the

muc

othe

on d

out.

be t

four

brou

men

wor

plyi

acci

dan

lear

mer

of the

to t

case

the

tion

or e

tio

wc

ind

w l

ph re

q١

F

Т

trois voyageurs quand ils trouvèrent le trésor. "Nous avons faim," dirent deux personnes quand ils mangèrent leur camarade. "Nous avons un trésor," dirent deux personnes quand ils trouvèrent un philosophe. "Qu'est-ce que les richesses!" dit un passant, "voilà trois voyageurs qui trouvèrent un trésor et moururent de faim après. Le monde a traité les trois voyageurs de manière qu'ils moururent tous les trois," "Voilà une manière de faire," dit un philosophe quand le voyageur unit du poison dans la viande qu'il avait apportée à manger. "Il faut manger," dirent les deux voyageurs quand ils mangerent leur camarade. "Nous voilà maîtres du trésor!" dirent les deux voyageurs après avoir tué leur camarade.

READING TEXT.

Instead of giving a fresh portion of text, we shall make what we have already given the subject of the present lesson. A few words well known, and a few leading principles thoroughly understood and firmly established on the memory, will be of more use to the learner than a vagrant notion of twenty times the number. The following is the text constituting the preceding lessons, united under one head, and with which the learner ought now to be quite as familiar as with his pater noster.

LES VOYAGEURS AVIDES.

Thors voyageurs tronvèrent un trésor dans leur chemin, et dirent, "Nous avons faim, qu'un de nous aille acheter de quoi manger"; un d'env se détacha et alla dans l'intention de leur apporter de quoi faire un repas.

Mais chemin faisant, il dit en lui-même, il faut que j'empoisonne la viande, afin que mes deux camarades meurent en la mangeant, et que je jouisse du trésor moi seul. Il exécuta son dessein et mit du poison dans ce qu'il avait apporté à manger.

Mais les deux autres, qui avaient conçu un semblable dessein contre lui pendant son absence, l'assassinèrent à son retour, et demeurèrent les maîtres du trésor. Après l'avoir tné ils mangèrent la viande empoisonnée et moururent aussi tous deux.

Un philosophe passaut par cet endroit-là, dit : Voilà quel est le monde! Voyez de quelle manière il a traité ces trois personnes. Malheur à celui qui lui demande des richesses.

CONVERSATION.

In addition to the words already introduced under this head, we shall in the present colloquial exercise make use of the following new ones:

Mesdemoiselles	, young ladies, pronounc	ed méd-ma-zel
Y	there,	ee
En	of it, or, of them, about it, or about them,	en
On	one, "	on

dirent vous un osophe, curs qui a traité 'Voilà i poison 'dirent us voilà ir cama-

e already
and a few
memory,
times the
us, united
s familiar

et dirent, ger"; un i faire un

et que je esson dans

in contre rèrent les empoison-

e monde! ur à celui

all in the

From the translation we have already given in the text of the word dit, the learner will be aware that on dit is word for word one says; but in translating a sentence, he should not rest satisfied with a mere literal translation of the words; he should see whether some other English expression will not bring out the sense of the context with greater clearness. The sentence on dit is very much used in French, and one literal translation one says is scarcely English; some other kind of phraseology must therefore be employed in English in cases where on dit is employed in French, and it is the business of the learner to find these out. The other words of the phrase will generally suggest how on dit should be translated. The expression they say, people say, it is said, will be frequently found the actual equivalents for on dit. In the same way the learner will be aware that the phrase on avoit apporté de la viande is word for word one had brought of the meat, but a moderate exertion of intelligence will suggest "Some ment had been brought," as the English translation of this phrase. When the words of a sentence are known, the learner should exercise his ingenuity in supplying the English for the French construction; by this means facility and accuracy in translation will eventually be acquired. We shall introduce abundantly the pronoun on in the following colloquy, in order to familiarize the learner with the use of the word, and babituate him to rely upon his own judgment in making English of an expression wherein it occurs.

The foregoing remarks are also applicable to the pronoun y, there, and to en, of them, or, of it; both of which are of very common occurrence in French. The word en has already appeared in the text, but in that case it is equivalent to the English word in. En has two perfectly distinct significations. In one case it is a form of the Latin preposition in, and in the other is a contraction of the Latin word inde. In the first case it is equivalent to the English preposition in, and in the second it is a pronoun, and will have to be rendered by one or other of the expressions we have pointed out. The intelligent learner will always be able to judge by the words of the context whether en is a preposition or a pronoun, and it will be necessary for him to pay attention to this distinction in translating the word. We have said that y is equivalent to the English word there. The y is used exactly as the word there in all cases where there indicates a place, with this difference, that y is atways placed before a verb, whereas there is usually placed after one. Besides the common use of y, in such phrases as il y était, he was there, an idiomatic use is made of the word, that requires to be noticed here. The French, to signify what is meant in English by the expression there was, say, it there had, it y avait; and in asking such a question as, "Was there so and so?" say there had it so and so? y avait-il so and so? This idiomatic construction will have to be borne in mind in going over the following exercise. The words y, en, and on, being much employed in French, it is necessary that their use and value be well understood. We have introduced them abundantly in our present colloquial exercise, because the subject of conversation being known, and all the other words, the learner will very easily find out the meaning of these three ; and thus he will be able to detect for himself the principle that determines their use. A careful observation of the application we shall make of the eu's, y's, and ou's, will greatly facilitate the learner in reading a French author, and will pave the way to a clear perception of the genius of the language.

Avai

Alla Alla Alla Alla Dit-

Dit-

Ava Ava Ava Con

Qu'c

En Exé
Que
Cor
En Per
Qu
En Le
Où

Dit-on que les trois voyageurs mou- rurent à Paris ?	Non, Mesdemoiselles,* on dit qu'ils moururent dans le chemin.
Dit-on qu'ils assassinèrent un philo- sophe?	Non, Mesdemoiselles, mais on dit qu'ils assassinérent leur camarade.
cumarade?	Non, Mesdemoiselles.
ande empoisonnée?	Oui, ils en mangèrent. Oui, ils en moururent.
Qu'en dit un philosophe? Que trouvèrent les trois voyageurs	Il dit, Vollà comment le monde a truité ces personnes !
dans le chemin?	Ils y trouvèrent un trésor. Il dit, Il faut que j'en jonisse seul.
chemin?	Trois.
Y avait-il d'autres personnes? Y avait-il un trésor dans le chemin?. Y avait-il de quoi manger dans le che-	Il y avait aussi un philosophe. Oui, il y en avait un.
miu?	Non, mais on en avait apporté.
avait apporté?	Oul, il y en avait.
Dans quoi y avait-il du poison?	Il y en avait dans la viande qu'un des voyageurs avait apportée pour manger.
De quoi mangèrent les voyageurs? Y avait-il du poison dans ce qu'ils	Ils mangérent de la viande.
avaient mangé?	Oui, il y en avait.
Où dit-on qu'ils demeurérent?	On dit qu'ils demeurérent dans le che- nin.
Y trouvèrent-ils de quoi manger?	Non, Mesdemoiselles.
Y trouvèrent-ils un camarade?	Nou, Mesdemoiselles.
Qu'y trouvèrent-ils?	lls y trouvèrent un trésor.
Qu'y dirent-ils?	Ils dirent, Nous avons faim, qu'un de
Qui y assassinèrent-ils?	nous aille acheter de quoi manger. Ils y assassinèrent un de leurs cama- rades.
Qu'y mangèrent-ils?	Ils y mangérent de la viande.
Dit-on qu'ils y moururent?	Oui, on dit cela.
Dit-on qu'ils mournrent de faim?	Non, il y en eut un de tué et les deux
	autres moururent en mangeant de
Qu'avaient les trois voyagenrs en che-	la viande empoisonnée.
min?	Ils avaient faim.
Qu'en dirent-ils? S'en detacha-t-il un dans cette inten-	Ils dirent, Qu'un de nous aille acheter de quoi manger.
tion ?	Oui, un d'eux se détacha.
Alla-t-il acheter de la viande?	Oui, il alla en acheter.
Dit-on qu'il avait apporté de la vi-	Oui, on dit qu'il en avait apporté.
Avait-il du poison?	Oui, il en avait.
Mit-il du poison dans la viande?	Oui, il en mit.
Pourquoi?	Afin que ses camarades mousussent en
1	la mangeunt.
Combien de camarades avait-il? Avait-on empoisonné les trois voy-	Il en avait deux.
ageurs?	Non, Mesdemoiselles.

[•] In addressing young ladies, the compliment Mesder wiselles is always employed in French.

 $[\]dagger$ It will be observed that en will be here better translated by in consequence of that, than by its simple equivalent of it.

	CONSTRU	uction. 47
t qu'ils	Avait-on un trésor?	Oul, Mesdemoiselles, les trois voy- ageurs en trouvérent un dans le
it qu'ils le.	Alla-t-on acheter de quoi manger?	chemin. Oul, on y' alla.
	Alla-t-on acheter de la viande?	Oul, on y allu.
	Alla-t-on manger un philosophe?	Mais non, Mesdemoiselles
	Alla-t-on à Paris?	Non, Mesdemoiselles. Non, Mesdemoiselles.
a trnité	Dit-on que les trois voyageurs man- gérent un philosophe?	Mais non, Mesdemolselles,
eul.	Que dit-on qu'ils mangérent? Dit-on que le philosophe avait mis du	On dit que les voyageurs mangèrent de la vlaude,
	poison dans la viande?	Non, mals on dit qu'un de leurs cama- rades l'avait empoisonnée.
	Avait-on faim ?	Oui, les trois voyageurs avaient faim. Oui, le voyageur qui se détacha en
	Avait-on assassiné un voyageur?	avait apporté. Oui, les deux voyageurs avalent assas-
	Avait-on tué un philosophe?	siné un de leurs camarades. Non, Mesdemolselles.
u'un des ée pour	Comment le monde a-t-il traité les trois voyagears?	De manière qu'ils moururent tous les
	Qu'en dit un philosophe?	trois. Il en dit, "Voilà quel est le monde! voyez de quelle manière il a traité ces trois personnes. Malheur à
sle che-		celui qui lui demande des riches- ses."
	En quel endroit dit-il cela?	Dans l'endroit où moururent les trois voyageurs.
ju'un de	Exécuta-t-on un dessein?	Oui, le voyageur avait exécuté son dessein.
manger.	Quel dessein exécuta-t-il?	Le dessein d'assassiner ses cama- rades.
, cidina	Comment l'exécuta-t-il ?	Il acheta de la viande et y mit du poison.
les deux	En mangea-t-il lui-même? Pendant son absence où demeurèrent	Non, il apporta le tout à ses cama- rades.
geant de	les deux autres? Quand il apporta la viande empoi-	Ils demeurèrent en chemin.
	sonnée, qui en mangea? En moururent-ils?	Ses deux camarades. Oui, ils moururent après l'avoir man-
acheter	Les trois voyageurs moururent-ils	gée.
	tous ?	Oni, ils moururent tous.
	Où moururent les trois voyageurs?	Dans l'endroit où ils trouvèrent le trésor.
ié.	CONSTR	UCTION.
	X	X.
	Trois voyageurs trouvèrent un	
ssent en		Three travellers found a treasure.
sent en	trésor	
sent en	Ils dirent	They said.
sent en	Ils dirent	They said. They may die.
sent en	Ils dirent	They said.
ssent en	Ils dirent	They said. They may die. They assassinated him.

^{*} It will be observed that y here has rather the signification of for that purpose, than its primitive signification there.

Voi

No

Ils

Il a

pra

Ils

I

tha

are

defi

trav

is c

trea

alm

thar

som

then

artic

inst In r

con

the

Fre

oct

pill all

kn

10

It will be observed that these verbs are all of the third person plural, and that they all end in ent. If the learner has been attending to the pronunciation, he will be aware that this ent is not pronounced in any of them. If we inquire whence comes this ent, and wherefore it is not pronounced, we must go back a century or two in the history of the language in order to find the cause. In the Latin language, of which French is nothing more than a barbarous dialect, the third person plural of all tenses of verbs end in ent, or nt preceded by some other vowel. This ent in Latin is equivalent to the English pronoun they, and to the French its. The Franks, when they got possession of Gaul, preferred expressing themselves when speaking in the third person, by a pronoun; and as they do not appear to have paid the smallest respect to Latin grammar, dropped the ent altogether, having found that they could make themselves perfectly understood without it. The Latin termination, however, continued to exist in the written language even although its equivalent its had been introduced, and thus a solecism was created, and exists in the language.

It may be some consolation for an Englishman to know, that, while his own language is yet in a state demi-savage, there are also barbarisms in languages that boast a higher degree of civilization. The French cannot, any more than the English, declare itself an independent language: it is still a "motley clown," one half belonging to the transalpine compaerors of Gaul, and the other half to the Goths and Vandals from beyond the Rhine. From the one it has its personal endings, and from the other the habit of using subsidiary words. In continuing to use both in defiance of logic and grammatical analogy, the French only perpetuate a monument of their double subserviency.

The learner must bear in mind that though ent is written at the end of all the third persons plural of verbs, it is never pronounced.

XXI.

FORMATION OF A NEGATION.

The English negative particle not is rendered in French by the two words ne and pas, the ne being placed before the word negatived, and the pas after it. In this way the affirmative, ils trouverent un trésor, they found a treasure, forms the negative; ils ne trouverent pas de trésor, they did not find a treasure; and so in the case of all other negatives.

When ne comes before a word beginning with a vowel, the e is elided, as from the affirmative cost, it is, is formed the negative

Ce n'est pas......It is not.

In asking a question with a negative, the ne is then placed before the simple interrogation itself, and the pus at the end of it; as from the interrogation est-ce? is formed the negative interrogation.

N'est-ce pas ?..... Is it not?

We may here observe that this particular interrogation, this n'est-ce pas (pronounced nes-pa), is very often used in asking questions. It is of universal application, and, on being put to the end of any affirmative, forms an interrogative.

il, and intion, inquire back a se. In dialect, by some referred in; and rammar,

lves perinued to

en intro-

this own
anguages
nore than
"motley
and the
the one it
subsidiary
l analogy,
".

o words ne after it. in sure, forms ure; and so

led, as from

e the simple

ogntion est-

n'est-ce pas of universal as an interThe following examples will show the use and application of n'est-ce pas :

Vous avez le trésor, n'est-ce pas?....
Nous avons faim, n'est-ce pas?....
Ils mangèrent leur canarade, n'est-ce
pas ?....
Il alia & Paris, n'est-ce pas?....

You have the trensure, have you not? We are hungry, are we not?

They are their companion, did they not? He went to l'aris, did he not?

We shall introduce a series of negatives, under the head Composition, for the practice of the learner in their construction.

XXII.

Ils demeurerent LES maîtres du tré- They remained masters of the treasor.

In English the use of the definite article the is subject to greater exactitude than in French. The is only used in English when some special object or objects are alluded to; but in French, as may be observed by the phrase before as, the definite article is used even although no definition is signified. "When the two travellers killed their companion, they remained masters of the treasure": there is certainly no necessity for saying here, "they remained the masters of the treasure," In French, however, an article of some kind or other is used before almost every noun: this appears to be done in order more to point out its gender than to serve any other purpose. We have already said (§ XI.) that nouns sometimes have a different meaning when they have the feminine article la before them, than when they are distinguished by the masculine article; hence the article is used in French in many instances where it is totally unnecessary as an Instrument of definition, and consequently would not be employed in English. In most of the French grammars we have seen, a large portion is taken up in explaining the use of the article in French, in which the authors generally contrive to embarrass themselves, and create a complication of difficulties where there is absolutely nothing but the utmost simplicity, the article being used in French on all occasions that it possibly can be used. We have seen a large octave valume written on this one subject, and we think the author merits the pillory we his pains; not only because he has thereby confounded and misled all his brother grammarians, but because he has led people who have no means of knowing better, to suppose that there is a difficulty where none exists, and so waste, in hunting after a shadow, time that might be prefitably employed.

PRONUNCIATION.

VOWELS.

There are in French, as in English, the five vowels, a, e, i, o, u. Of these we have already given, page 20, lesson second, the pronunciation of the u; and, page 29, lesson third, that of the e. The other three are pronounced in French as follows:—

a is pronounced like a in the English word part,
i " ee " seen,
o " o " stone.

Besides these, there is the letter y, usually considered in both languages to be a vowel. Y is pronounced in French exactly like the i, that is, like ee in the English word seen; but when y occurs between two vowels, it then becomes equivalent to two i's; for example, the word voyageurs is pronounced as if written voilageurs. The first of the i's in conjunction with the o forms the diphthong oi, pronounced, as we have said, wa, and the second i, having its own sound, makes up the pronunciation (wa-ee-a-zhair) we have given of the word in the text. Each of the English vowels has two or three sounds, some of which are enjoyed in common by all the five, but no such confusion exists in the French language. Each of the French vowels has the one particular sound we have assigned to it, which must always be given to it in order that the word in which it occurs may be intelligibly pronounced. Nothing therefore can be more easily attained than a correct pronunciation of the French vowels, and yet we know that it is frequently a long time before the learner accomplishes this part of his task. We have said that the letter a has the sound of a in the English word part, but this is not the most common English sound of that vowel. An Englishman, when he meets with an a in a word whose pronunciation he is unacquainted with, will naturally give it the sound of a in made. The French a never has, under any circumstances, such a sound; and if this sound be given to the a, the meaning of the word in which it is so pronounced will be totally obscured. These remarks are applicable to the other vowels. The natural predilections of the learner will lead him to pronounce them all inaccurately; he must therefore endeavor to associate the French vowels with their French sounds. To effect this, he should again go over the words of the text, and pronounce all the a's that do not form a part of one or other of the five diphthongs, like a in the English words part, start, dart; all the i's like ee in the English words seen, been, green; all the o's like o in stone, gore, bore. This exercise will serve to impress on the memory a fixed notion of the sounds peculiar to the French vowels, that will be of the greatest use to him in his future intercourse with the language.

a

li

C

tr

ns

ex

th

٧C

the

is

be

tl

CONSONANTS.

Singles.

With the following five exceptions, and the nasal sound given to the m and n already spoken of, the consonants are pronounced in French precisely as they are in English:

1st. The letter g in French before e, i, and y, is pronounced like the z in azure; as voyageur, pronounced voyazhair. The letter j is always so pronounced; as jour, day, pronounced zhoor. The learner is requested to pay attention to these sounds of the j and g, as these letters are pronounced in English in a very different manner.

2d. When s or x occur between two vowels, they are pronounced in French like z in the English word zone; as empoisonner, to poison, pronounced empoizonné.

3d. The letter t before i is generally pronounced like s; as in the word intention, pronounced in-ten-see-on.

4th. The letter r has always the vibrating sound er-r-r of the English word term.

ses to

5th. The letters gu are pronounced like g in the English word get; as in the word guerre, war, pronounced ger, giving the g the hard sound. And in the same way qu are pronounced like k; as in the word qui, who, pronounced kee; quel, what, pronounced kel; question, pronounced kes-tec-on, &c.

These five observations should be read over two or three times, so that they

These five observations should be read over two or three times, so that they may be well fixed on the memory, as they are essential to a correct pronunciation

The most marked feature in the pronunciation of French is, that a consonant at the end of a word is silent. No final consonants are pronounced except r, which is also silent at the end of manger, to eat, pronounced mangé; tuer, to kill, pronounced tué, and other infinitives of the first conjugation. This peculiarity presents little difficulty: the learner has only to cut off the concluding consonant of each word before pronouncing it; thus he will pronounce trois, trwa, voyageurs, wa-ee-a-geur, and so with all other words ending in a consonant. When, however, there is a close connexion between two words, such as exists between a verb and its pronoun, one of which ends in a consonant and the other begins with a vowel, the final consonant is then pronounced with the yowel that follows it; as,

Nous avons	pronounced	noo-zavon.
Faut-il	- 11	fo-teel.
Son absence	"	so-nab-sens.

We have already remarked, under the head Construction, § XX, that eat of the third persons plural of verbs is also silent. The t when followed by a vowel is however enunciated; as mangèrent-ils, pronounced mangèr-teel. This will be better understood by referring to Construction, § VI.

Doubles.

Besides the sounds represented by the single consonants, there are two others used in French, represented by double consonants: these are the liquid ng and the l monillé. When ng occur between two vowels, these letters are pronounced like ny in English; as,

La campagne	prenounced	la cam-pan-ye,
Boulogne	"	Boo-lon-ye.

enunciating the ye very slightly. When two Us occur after i, followed by another vowel, they are pronounced like y; as in the word fille, girl, pronounced fee-ye. In conclusion, we may add, that if the learner has followed up attentively what we have said in this and the four preceding lessons, he is now capable, so far as the pronunciation is concerned, of reading French. There are here and there exceptions to the rules we have laid down; but these will become known to the learner as he proceeds. Once familiar with the broad principles and leading feature of the pronunciation of a language, and the minute detail will be no obstacle: the learner's own observation and judgment will tell him when a rule may be judiciously departed from.

e m and n ly as they

s own word

ome of

ists in

sound

e word

can be

ls, and

hplishes a in the

of that

nuncia-

n made.
Id if this
need will
ls. The
all inacith their

the text,

f the five

like ee in

re. This

m in his

e the z in mounced; tention to h in a very

in Frenc**h** ced *empoi-*

the word

glish word

[•] There are a few words of which the final consonant is pronounced; these the student will become better acquainted with as he progresses in the language.

COMPOSITION.

The traveller is not at Paris. Is he not in France? No, he is not in France. Where is the treasure? Is it not on the road? No, it is not there. The philosopher is not rich. Has he not the treasure? No, he has not the treasure. The masters of the treasure had nothing to eat. Had they no meat? No, they had none. You have (vous avez). You have caten your companion, have you not? You have killed a philosopher, have you not? You have the treasure, have you not? You are hungry, are you not? You have wherewithal to eat, have you not? Have you nothing to eat? Are you not hungry? Have you not the treasure? Have you not the meat? The travellers did not find a treasure. They did not eat their companion. They did not poison any other traveller. They did not bring anything to eat. They did not go to Paris. They did not pass into France. They did not live on the road. They did not buy any meat. They did not kill any philosopher. They did not assassinate any one. They did not seek riches. They did not execute their intention. They did not separate themselves. They did not die. They did not conceive a design. They did not say, "We are hun-They did not enjoy the treasure. They did not put any poison in the meat.

They did not make any repast. They did not see any philosopher. The traveller did not find a treasure. He did not cat his companion. He did not poison any other traveller. He did not bring anything to eat. He did not go to Paris. He did not go into France. He did not remain on the road. He did not buy any meat. He did not kill a philosopher. He did not assassinate anybody. He did not seek for riches. He did not execute his intention. He did not separate himself from his companions. He did not die. He did not conceive a design. He did not say that a philosopher is an evil. He did not put any poison in the meat. He did not enjoy the treasure. He did not see any other traveller. He did not make a repast. No one found a treasure on the road. No one brought any meat there. No one ate any. No one bought any. No one lived on the road. No one killed a philosopher there. No one assassinated a traveller there. No one executed an intention there. Were the three travellers not eaten? No, they were not eaten. They are dead (ils sont morts), are they not? Yes, they are dead. That is a pity, is it rot? Yes, it is a pity. Are all the three travellers dead? Yes, they are all dead. Good-by (adieu) to the three travellers.

LESSON SIXTH.

READING.

REPETITION.

LE voyageur n'est pas à Paris. Est-ce qu'il n'est pas en France? Non, il n'est pas en France. Où est le trésor? N'est-ce pas dans le chemin? Non, ce n'est pas là. Le philosophe n'a pas de richesses. N'a-t-il pas le trésor? Non, il n'a pas le trésor. Les maîtres du trésor n'avaient pas de quoi manger. N'avaient-ils pas de la viande? Non, ils n'en avaient pas. Vous avez. Vous avez mangé votre camarade. n'est-ce pas? Vous avez tué un philosophe n'est-ce pas? Vous avez le trésor, n'est-ce pas? Vous avez faim, n'est-ce pas? Vous avez de quoi manger, n'est-ce pas ? N'avez-vous pas de quoi manger? N'avezvous pas faim? N'avez-vous pas le trésor? N'avez-vous pas de viande? Les voyageurs ne trouvèrent pas un trésor. Ils ne mangèrent pas leur camarade. Ils n'empoisonnèrent pas d'antre voyageur. Ils n'apportèrent pas de quoi manger. Ils n'allèrent pas à Paris. Ils ne passèrent pas en France. Ils ne demeurèrent pas dans le chemin. Ils n'achetèrent pas de viande. Ils ne tuèrent pas le philosophe. Ils n'assassinèrent personne. Ils ne demandèrent pas de richesses. Ils n'exécutèrent pas leur intention. Ils ne se détachèrent pas les uns des autres. Ils ne moururent pas. Ils ne conçurent pas un dessein. Ils ne dirent pas nous avons faim. Ils ne jouirent pas du trésor. Ils ne mirent pas de poison dans la viande. Ils ne firent pas de repas. Ils ne virent pas de philosophe. Le voyageur ne trouva pas un trésor. Il ne mangea pas son camarade. Il n'empoisonna pas d'autre voyageur. Il n'apporta pas de quoi manger. Il n'alla pas à Paris. Il ne passa pas en France. Il ne demeura pas dans le chemin. Il n'acheta pas de viande. Il ne tua pas un philosophe. Il n'assassina personne. Il ne demanda pas de richesses. Il n'exécuta pas son intention. Il ne se détacha pas de ses camarades. Il ne mourut pas. Il ne conçui pas un dessein. Il ne dit pas qu'un philosophe est un malheur. Il ne mit pas de poison dans la viande. Il ne jouit pas du trésor. Il ne vit pas d'autre voyageur. Il ne fit pas de repas. On n'a pas trouvé un trésor dans le chemin. On n'y a pas apporté de viande. On n'en a pas mangé. On n'en a pas acheté. On n'est pas demeuré dans le chemin. On n'y a pas tué de philosophe. On

eas-

rav-

. m his

her is

ler. on the

ere. raveller

there. eaten? rts), are

ee trav-

n'y a pas assassiné de voyageur. On n'y a pas exécutó de dessein. Estce qu'on n'a pas mangé les trois voyageurs? Non, on ne les a pas mangés. Ils sont morts, n'est-ce pas? Oui, ils sont morts. C'est un malheur, n'est-ce pas? Oui, c'est un malheur. Est-ce que tous les voy ceurs sont morts? Oui, ils sont morts tous. Adieu, aux trois voyageurs.

IDIOMS.

S

II II

An acquaintance with a few of the common-place phrases of every-day use will be found of considerable utility to the student of a modern language. These phrases, in general, involve the most idiomatic constructions of the language, and may aid the learner in comprehending other expressions of a similar nature, but of less frequent occurrence. Besides, if the learner has any intercourse at all with persons who speak the language, he will hear these phrases so often repeated that they cannot fail of becoming familiar to his ear, and so he will ultimately arrive at understanding a part at least of what is said. To charge the mind, however, with a multitude of these phrases would not be advisable: a single phrase, if properly handled, might be turned to as much account as a whole book of "Dialogues." Suppose, for example, the learner to put in French, to a native of France, the question "What do you call this in French?" he might by this means elicit the whole vocabulary of the language, and carry on a colloquy of as much practical utility as a more extended conversation. Again, supposing the learner on the other side of the Channel, the phrase "Which is the way to ---?" would elicit an indefinite variety of reply that would be readily understood by the querist. The learner might in this manner get familiarized with the realities of the language, even while his knowledge of it were limited to the two phrases we have been speaking of. All the benefit that a beginner could possibly derive from a teacher, is an early induction to the practice of a language; but how few teachers of French possesses the art of making themselves understood to their junior pupils in French! Their lessons for the most part consist in illogical explanations, and commonly in so very bad English as to excite laughter. How the ear of the student can be familiarized with the French language by such means, we cannot possibly conceive. We shall give for the present reading exercise a series of such familiar every-day expressions as we may consider most likely to be of practical utility. Of these we shall give the English equivalents, together with the value of each individual word. There is no necessity for us giving the pronunciation of these phrases, as the learner who has carefully attended to our remarks in the preceding lessons, can now pronounce French perfectly well. We shall, however, point out any peculiarity in the pronunciation of the words that is not in accordance with the principles we have laid down.

INTRODUCTORY PHRASES.

Parlez*-vons Français?..... Je comprends le Français, mais je ne le parle pas Vous êtest Français, je pen-o, Monsieur?.... Oui, Monsieur, je le suis Combien de temps‡ êtes-vous resté en Angleterre?§..... Aimez-vous benucoup Londres?..... Vous m'obligeriez si vous me parliez Français..... Je vous comprends parfaitement bien. Exensez-moi Je n'ai pas compris ce que vous m'avez dit......... Seriez-vous assez bon pour répéter ce que vous avez dit?..... Fumez-vous? Vonlez-vous un cigare? Avec plaisir Merci

Do you speak French?
A little.
I understand French, but do not speak
it.

You are a Frenchman, I suppose, Sir? Yes, Sir, I am.

How long have you been in England? How do you like London?

You will oblige me if you speak French. I understand you perfectly well.
I beg your pardon.
I have not understood what you have

said.
Will you be kind enough to repeat
what you have said?
Do you smoke?
Will you have a cigar?

With pleasure. Thank you.

RECOGNITORY.

Bon jour, Monsieur...
Comment vous portez-vous?
Assez bien, et vous?
Je suis charmé de vous voir.
Il fait une superbe matinée
Il fait vraiment chaud ||.
Il fait bean depuis quelques jours...
C'est vrai...
Y a-t-il quelque chose de nouveau?.
Rien, que je sache.
Quand viendrez-vous me voir?
Un de ces jours.
Messieurs, je vous souhait? le bon soir.

Adieu, Messieurs.....

Good morning, Sir.
How do you do?
Pretty well, thank you.
I am delighted to see you.
It is a beautiful morning.
It is excessively warm.
The weather has been very fine for some time.
It is true.
Is there anything new?
Nothing, that I know.
When are you coming to see me?
One of these days.

Gentlemen, I wish you a very good

evening. Good-by, Gentlemen.

†The word êtes, are, is exceptional, the unaccented e not being pronounced: ℓtes is pronounced as if written ℓt_*

 \dagger When the letters p, d, or t occur after a masal, they are usually silent, as in the word comprends, pronounced comprang, temps, pronounced tang. This is because these consonants cannot be easily pronounced after the masal. It is for the same reason that t is silent before k, in the English words walk, talk, etc.

§ An unaccented e before two consonants is pronounced as if written è. Thus Angleterre is pronounced Angletèr; richesses, as if written richès; and so on.

|| Ch, in French, is, except in a few words derived from the Greek, pronounced like sh. Thus the words charmé is pronounced as if written sharmé; chaud, sho.

pas un les

rois

ëst-

y use uage. e lanimilar interbrases und so

1. To

be adccount

put in ench?" d carry resation. Which build be et famiit were that a

ne pracmaking s for the ad Engced with Ye shall expreswe shall

, as the ons, can ny pecuwith the

al word.

^{*} We have said that final consonants are not pronounced when the silent consonant is preceded by an unaccented c. In that case this letter is pronounced as if written \(\epsilon\); thus parlez is pronounced as if written parl\(\epsilon\); aimez, as if written aim\(\epsilon\); r\(\epsilon\) pieter, r\(\epsilon\) piet\(\epsilon\); aimlar cases.

GENERAL.

m

Mo

Vo Yo cor und

pou for

you

bier wel und

bea fin

che

thi vo

yq

801

Quelle heure est-il ?	What o'clock is it?
A pen près huit heures*	About eight.
Est-ce bien vrai ?	Is it true?
Je le pense	I think so.
Je snis occupé	I am busy.
J'ai tor.	I am wrong.
Vous a raison	You are right.
Précise seut	Exactly.
Monsieur, ayez la bonté de me dire -	Have the goodness to tell me, sir, -
Comment appelez-vous cela en Fran-	, ,
çais ?	What do you call that in French?
J'y suis	I am coming.
Quelle bêtise!	What nonsense!
Que je suis bête!	What an ass I am!
Cela est bon	That is good.
Je ne sais pas	I do not know.
Le croyez-vous?	Do you think so?
Oui, je le crois	Yes, I do.
• •	•

ENGLISH GALLICISMS.

A la Française	After the French.
Honit soit qui mal y pense	Evil to him who evil thinks,
Dieu et mon droit	God and my right,
Cuisine bourgeoise‡	Family cookery,
Table d'hôte à cinq heures	An ordinary at five o'clock.
Au bon gourmet	Go to the good eater.
Déjeûners à la fourchette	Beaf-steak breakfasts.
Fête champêtre	A pic-nic.
Ici on parle Français	French spoken here.
Voulez-vous me donner la monnaie de	
cing francs?	Can you change a five-franc piece?
Que voulez-vous?	What do you want?
Comprenez-vous l'Anglais?	Do you understand English?
Je ne parle pas Français	I do not speak French.
Je suis Anglais	I am an Englishman.
Vive la reine	God save the Queen.

TRANSLATION.

The following is a literal translation of the phrases, giving the exact English equivalent for each French word contained in them.

* An s, added to a word to indicate the plural number, does not affect in any way its promunciation. Henre, hour, and henres, hours, are pronounced exactly in the same manner. The learner must take care always to pronounce a plural word by its singular form.

+ The French like the English, have a natural abhorrence to aspirates and gutterals; for this reason, nearly all the h's in the language are silent, consequently when an h is followed by a vowel, the word is considered to begin a vowel, and the rule we have given (§ 1L), relative to clision of certain letters before words beginning with a vowel, is applicable to them also. There are however some words in the language, such as honi, of which the h is aspirated: these are usually written in italics in the Dictionaries, and should be committed to memory by the learner.

‡ The e mute is inserted after the g in this word, to show that the g is soft, just as an e is sometimes inserted after the g in the English word acknowledgment, for the same purpose.

INTRODUCTORY PHRASES.

Parlez-vous Français? Un peu. Je comprends le Français, Speak you French? A little. I understand the French, mais je ne le parle pas. Vous êtes Français, je pense, T it speak not. You are French. think, Monsieur? Oui, Monsieur, je le suis. Combien de temps Sir? Yes, Sir, I it am. How much of time êtes-vous resté en Angleterre? Aimez-vous beaucoup Londres? are you rested in England? Love you much London? Vous m'obligeriez, si vous me parliez Français. You me would oblige, if you to me speak French. I you comprends parfaitement bien. Excusez-moi. Je n'ai pas understand perfectly well. Excuse me. T have not ce que vous m'avez dit. assez bon compris Seriez-vous understood what Would be you enough good you to me have said. pour répéter ce que vous avez dit? Fumez-vous? Voulez? for to repeat what you have said? Smoke you? Will (have) Avec plaisir. Merci. vous un cigare? you cigar? With pleasure. Thanks. a

RECOGNITORY.

Bon jour, Monsieur. Comment vous portez-vous? Assez yourself earry you? Sir. How Good day, Enough bien, et vous? Je suis charmé de vous voir. fait am charmed of well, and you? I you to see. It makes (is) superbe matinée. Il fait vraiment chaud. fait une It makes (is) truly warm. It makes superb morning. beau depuis quelques jours. C'est vrai. Y a-t-il quelque That is true. There has it (is there) any fine some days. chose de nouveau? Rien, que je sache. Quand viendrez Nothing that I know. When will come thing of new? voir? Un de ces jours. Messieurs, vous me je vous me to see? One of these days. Gentlemen, you you Adieu, Messieurs. souhaite le bon soir. the good evening. Adicu, Gentlemen. wish

GENERAL.

Quelle heure est-il? A peu près huit heures. Est-ce What heur is it? Almost eight hours. Is that

ece ?

Englis**h**

y its promer. The

erals; for s followed 1L), relato them is aspiramitted to

as an # is purpos9. bien vrai? Je le pense. Je suis occupé. J'ai tort. I it think. I am busy. I have (am) wrong. well (very) true? Monsieur, avez la Vous avez raison. Précisément. right. Exactly. Sir, have the goodness You have Comment appelez-vous de me dire. cela en Français? of tome totell. How call you that ių French? Quelle bôtise! Que je suis bête! Cela y suis. What I What nonsense! am beast! That there am. bon. ne sais pas. Le croyez-vons? Oui, je good. know not. Ιt believe you? Yes, crois. believe.

ENGLISH GALLICISMS.

Honi soit qui mal y pense.* la Française. Dieu French. Ashamed be (he) who evil there thinks. God To (after) the Cuisine bourgeoise. Table d'hôte et mon droit. Cookery bourgeoise. Table of guest at five and my right. Au bon gourmet. Déjeûners à la fourchet e. henres. Breakfusts to (with) the enter. hours. To the (go) good Ici on parle Français. Fête-champêtre. Holiday-field. Here one speaks

LOCAL.

Madame, j'ai l'honneur de vous présenter mes salutations. Madame, I have the honor of to you to present my salutations. Monsieur, veuillez recevoir les miennes? Est-ce là le chemin Sir, will to receive the mine? Is that there the road de Paris? Voulez-vous m'indiquer la rue St. Honoró? Combien of Paris? Will you to me indicate the street St. Honoré? How much la douzaine? Un franc.1 Ils sont chers. Voulez-vous me the dozen? A franc. They are dear. Will you to me donner la monnaie de cinq francs? Que voulez-vous? Comprenezto give the change of five francs? What will you? Understand vous l'Anglais? Je ne parle pas Français. Je suis Auglais you the English? speak not French. am Ι I Vive la reine. Garçon du café. Quels vins avez-vons? Live the queen. Waiter of the (some) coffee. What wines have you? Co br om om

ple

Αj

U ing our be f atte to g ever said it fo not l take that the nece dipt with in th

circ nasi mus nou thoi una Ii

valu

that

and

VOW

usec +

tion

or l

^{*} Vide Order of the Garter.

[†] This is one of the words that it is difficult to find an exact equivalent for in English. Its meaning in the phrase before us, however, is evident enough: it clearly indicates that the cookery in question has all the exuberance, without the refinement, of rus in urbs.

[‡] A franc is a current silver coin equivalent to 20 sous.

tort.
ong.
onté
Iness
J'
I
est
is

it

Dieu God eiuq t five ehet e. fork.

ntations.
chemin
road
Combien
ow much
us me
u to me
uprenezederstand
Auglais

nglish. Its es that the

English.

/ez-vous ?

ave you?

Apportez-moi une bouteille de Macon.* Un petit de verre Bring me bottle Macon. little glase a A Cognac. Servez-moi des côtelettes de monton. Donnez-moi une brandy. Bring me of the ribs sheep. Give omelette. Monsieur, voulez-vous me s'il passer le pain, vous omelette. will you to me pass the bread, if it to you plait? please?

PRONUNCIATION.

SUMMARY.

Under this head, in the five preceding lessons, we have comprised all the leading principles of the pronunciation of the French language. In summing up our observations on this subject, we have to remark, that there is an order to be followed in the pronunciation of the letters making up a word, that requires attention. In pronouncing a word, it is usual to begin at the first letter, and to go on spelling the others in succession to the end: this process must, however, be slightly departed from in pronouncing the French words. We have said that there are certain combinations of letters used to represent single sounds: it follows, therefore, that the single letters composing these combinations must not be enunciated individually. Again, of these combinations the nasal sound takes the precedence in pronunciation of all others. For example, we have said that ai is pronounced like ai in the word paid. According to that rule, the ai of the word faim should be so pronounced; but this is not the case: the im is of necessity nasal, and, when the nasal syllable is deducted from the word faim, the dipthong ai no longer exists; the syllable fa only remains, and the fa blending with the nasal in makes the pronunciation fin we have given of the word faim in the text. The nasal syllable must always in the same way go for its full value in a word; and it is only after the nasal syllable has been allowed its rights, that the other letters can claim theirs. We may here remind the learner, that m and n are pronounced exactly as in English, when followed by another m or n, or a vowel, as in the words honneur, honor, fumer, to smoke; but under all other circumstances these letters combine with the vowel that precedes, and form the nasal syllable. When more than one vowel occurs in a syllable, the learner must see that they do not involve one or other of the five diphthongs, before pronouncing them singly. In the word beau, fine, for example, we have the diphthong au, which is pronounced o; then the consonant x being final, and the e unaccented, the pronunciation of this word is in consequence simply bo.

In conclusion, we have to say, that, if the learner has followed up our instructions attentively, he is capable of pronouncing French correctly. He will be more or less accurate, according to the degree of stability the different points illus-

[•] Macon is a wino similar in flavor to Burgundy, and is a vin ordinaire very commonly used in the restaurants and cafés of Paris. In price it varies from 7½d, to 15d, a bottle,

[†] Une omelette will generally be found the most satisfactory dish that can be had at a road-side auberge in France.

tio

On

We

ter:

not

the

less ever

trated have obtained upon his memory. The first of the series of phrases given as a reading exercise in the present lesson, is " Pariez-vous Français?" observations are fresh upon his memory, the learner will know that p is one of the consonants pronounced in French as in English; that a has always the sound of a in the English word part; that r has always its vibrating sound; that l is another of the consonants pronounced as in English; that 2, being final, is silent, and that in consequence the e mute preceding it is pronounced e; that v is pronounced as in English; that ou is one of the five diphthongs; that s, being final and not followed by another word beginning with a vowel, is silent, that fr are pronounced as in English; that an is one of the five nasals; that ç with a cedilla is pronounced like s; that ai is one of the five diphthougs; and finally, that s, being final, is silent. Our observations providing for all the exigencies of pronunciation, with a very few unimportant exceptions, the learner may in this way analyze all the words of the language, and by this process he will acquire more speedily, a much more accurate and an infinitely more permanent notion of the French pronunciation, than he could possibly obtain from a teacher.

Any one wishing to have the French alphabet, may construct one by taking an English A B O, striking out the w, and naming the letters ah, bay, say, instead of ai, bee, see. This however can serve no useful purpose. Some advantage might be derived from constructing a table of the sounds, arranged in the order we have pointed. This table should begin with the five masals, as being the first sounds in order of importance in pronunciation, and should conclude with the observations we have given in the notes to the text of the present lesson.

HOW THE LEARNER SHOULD PROCEED.

We have given some general views of the construction of the French language. We have shown how words being known, they may be made of use in practice. We have shown how questions are put, and answered. We have explained the manner of expressing a negative. And we have exhibited the chief idiomatic difficulties of the language. We have also given such a view of the pronunciation, as will serve the learner for every practical purpose. He must now follow up our instructions by a diligent and careful course of reading. We would suggest for this purpose Gil Blas, which is by far the best author for the beginner's perusal, both as regards the style and the diction. Before however he can read this, he will require to make himself acquainted with the desinences of the French verb. We are sorry that the limits we have prescribed for our present course of lessons, will not admit of our giving a satisfactory analysis of the verb. The learner must therefore in this matter have recourse to one or other of the common grammars. He must make himself familiar with what are called the four regular conjugations; to one or other of which series of changes most of the verbs in the language are subject. He must then write twice over, in full, all the verbs that are not in accordance with either of these conjugations. This done, the learner, with the aid of a good Dictionary, will find little difficulty in translating Gil Blas. He will very soon be able to dispense with the Dicen as
f our
of the
ind of
it l is
silent,
is prog final
fr are
cedilla
that s,
ronunis way
re more

taking
ay, say,
advand in the
is being
conclude
present

of the

ench lande of use We have the chief of the promust now We would the beginowever he sinences of r our prelysis of the ie or other are called anges most ce over, in njugations. le difficulty ith the Dictionary; and on arriving at this point, his task will be well-nigh accomplished. Once able to read a French author, a month or two in France will do the rest. We have spoken of nearly all the difficulties the self-instructor has to encounter: none of them are of so formidable a character that a little intelligence will not suffice to overcome. We are satisfied that a little perseverance, exerted in the manner pointed ont, will put the learner who has attentively gone over our lessons, in cossession of a more accurate knowledge of the language than is ever attained by persons who have acquired it by means of oral instruction.

FINIS.

LO

TR

FI

RU

an

IN THE PRESS,

- LOVELL'S GENERAL GEOGRAPHY, for the use of Schools; by J. GEORGE Hoddins, Esq., M.A. This Work will be embeltished with about 40 superior Maps, and 100 Beautiful Engravings.
- TREATISE ON ARITHMETIC IN THEORY AND PRACTICE, revised, improved, and adapted to the Decimal Currency, &c.; by J. H. Sanderen, Esq.
- FIRST BOOK OF ARITHMETIC, in Decimal Currency, &c.; by J. H. SANG-STER, Esq.
- RUDIMENTARY CLASS BOOK IN NATURAL SCIENCE, --Part I. First Outlines of Chemistry, Heat, and Physiology, --Part II. Heating and Ventilation with reference to Domestic Architecture. --For the use of Schools, Academies, &c.; by Henry H. Miles, Esq., M.A., Professor of Mathematics and Natural Philosophy in the University of Bishop's College, Lenuoxville.

The above Works will be prepared with great care, printed on good paper, and bound in the most substantial manner.

CANADA DIRECTORY OFFICE, MONTREAL, January, 1859. JOHN LOVELL, Printer and Publisher.

A NEW MAP

MONTREAL, CITY OF

2 feet by 2 feet 6 inches,

LITHOGRAPHED ON STONE,

Compiled (by permission) from the most recent Authorities,

BY F. N. BOXER.

CIVIL ENGINEER AND ARCHITECT.

Will be Published by the undersigned early in April next.

The Map is embellished with two beautiful VIEWS OF THE CITY; one taken from St. Helen's Island, and the other from the Mountain. It has been carefully compiled, and exhibits a great deal of useful public information. It gives, in addition to that part of the City comprised within the Corporation boundary, a Plan of the entire Mountain, shewing the RESIDENCES thereon and the line of the proposed BOULEVARD.

The different Dock Projects and proposed Wharf Improvements are laid down from Actual Survey, as well as the Victoria Bridge and the Grand Trunk Railway Terminus.

PRICE, \$2.50 IN GILT FRAMES; ON ROLLERS, \$2.00; IN SHEETS, 81.25.

Subscribers' names received at the Canada Directory Office.

JOHN LOVELL, Publisher.

MONTREAL, January 3, 1859.

SOUS PRESSE.

RECUEIL DE CHANSONS

CANADIENNES ET FRANCAISES.

Ce recueil sera divisé en deux parties.

DANS la première seront réunies toutes les CHANSONS POPULAIRES CANADIENNES que l'on a pu recueillir et parmi lesquelles figureront quel-

CANADIENNES que l'on a purcueillir et parmi lesquelles figureront quel-ques-unes de celles des auteurs suivants:—JOS. QUESNEL, BÉDARD, N. AUBIN, CRÉMAZIE, CARTIER, MERMET, MARSAIS, LENOIR, LAJOIE, PLAMONDON, DEROME, VOGELI, etc., etc. La seconde partie se composera d'un choix des Chansons de BÉRANGER, DESAUGIERS, FLORIAN, LÉONARD, HUGO, MARMONTEL, LEMIERRE, LAHARPE, P. DUPONT, G. LEMOINE, E. CATALAN, LOISA PUGET, LA-MARTINE, CHATEAUBRIAND, SCRIBE, SIMARD, BARATEAU., MMS. A. TASTU, BERQUIN, DELAVIGNE, etc., etc., et des autres Chansons populaires de la France. de la France.

Prix 50 Cents, ou 2s. 6d.

Cet ouvrage sera en vente sous peu de jours chez les Libraires du Canada.

JOHN LOVELL, Editeur.

BUREAU DU CANADA DIRECTORY, Montréal, Janvier, 1859.

W. C. F. CAVERHILL,

· WHOLESALE AND RETAIL

BOOKSELLER AND STATIONER,

87 Yonge Street, Toronto,

Keeps constantly on hand a large assortment of the NATIONAL AND OTHER SCHOOL BOOKS, Bibles, Prayer Books, Hymn Books, Miscellaneous Books, in great variety, Lovell's Ready Reckoners in Dollars and Cents, Interest and Advance Tables,
Time Books, Copy Books, Ciphering Books,
Blank Books of every description,
Envelopes of all sizes and qualities,
Writing Papers, Writing Inks, Pens, Penholders, Pencils, Slates, Slate
Pencils, Sealing Wax, Wafers, &c., &c.

JUS	T P	UBL	ISH	ED :							
											ents.
ny and Histor	y of E	British	Ame	rica	, ill	ıstra	sted	١,.			50
glish History,											15
Toronto, .											75
r British Nor	th Am	nerica	, its e	xter	t ar	d fu	tur	e,			25
leorated Essay	y on t	ne vo	HODIU	ı ro	HICY	01 1	JUG.	lanc	1.		20
of Dwelling-	House	sand	Scho	ols,	illus	trat	ed t	y di	iági	am	, 25
River Settler	nent,							٠.			75
s, from Grave	to G	ay,									75
·											50
Master											25
ter Writer											20
anada in all S	Shape	s									50
or the Myster	ry Sol	ved.									25
v : or Secrets	of a l	Lady's	Toil	et.							20
the Relief of	Luckr	low.			: :	•	Ċ				121
tioners, per s	heet.			·		i.	٠.				2
						DV					
						AAA	,				
DI I	п. Б.	OHL	VAL	ıcı	•					•	
										·	ents.
eauguay, .	, .			_ •	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
ia paie d'Hud	son, p	BL HA	raiso	ц,	•	•	•	•	•	•	61
aurent,	· .			· -	1 72			i 1	: _:	- TY	50
i, ou le myste	re De	vone,	par .	мар	re v	дот,	tra	aur	r pa	ir n	
e and Country	Merc	:hant s	suppl	lied	on r	ea s 01	nabi	le te	rme		1
			_								
							_				
atalogues f	urni	shed	on	app	lics	tio	n.				
atalogues f				app	lica	tio	n.				
	ON	SALE	:								h = 00
DIRECTORY	on FOR	8ALE 1857	: -58,	-In (Clot	h,.					\$5.00
DIRECTORY	on FOR	1857	: -58,	-In (Clot	h,. ig b	ind	ing,			5.50
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857	: -58,	-In (Clot stroi	h,. ig b	ind	ing,		:	5.50 0.85
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857 In	: -58, Case	In C	Clot stron	h,. ig b	ind	ing,			5.50 0.85 1.10
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857 In	Case	In In S, P	Clot stron lain, olore	h,. ig b	ind	ing,		:	5.50 0.85 1.10 0.75
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857 In	Case	In Cos, P	Clot stron lain, olore lain olor	h,. ig b ed,	ind	ing,			5.50 0.85 1.10 0.75 1.00
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857	Case	In S, P Costs, P Costs	Clot stron lain, olor lain olor Rol	h,. ig b ed, ed,	ind	ing,		•	5.50 0.85 1.10 0.75 1.00 1.50
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857	Case	In In In Is, P. Cots, P. Con	Clot stron lain, olore lain olor Roi	h,. ng b ed, ed, lers,	ind	ing,	d		5.50 0.85 1.10 0.75 1.00 1.50
DIRECTORY	ON FOR MAP	1857	Case	In In In Is, P. Cots, P. Con	Clot stron lain, olore lain olor Roi	h,. ng b ed, ed, lers,	ind	ing,	ed,.		5.50 0.85 1.10 0.75 1.00
	hy and History, Toronto, Toron	hy and History of I glish History, Toronto, or British North An ebrated Essay on t of Dwelling-House River Settlement, s, from Grave to G. Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shape or the Mystery Sol y; or Secrets of a the Relief of Luckritioners, per sheet, ND THE FOLLOW BY H. E. eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, I aurent, n, ou le Mystère Der,	hy and History of British glish History, Toronto, or British North America ebrated Essay on the Co of Dwelling-Houses and River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's the Relief of Lucknow, tioners, per sheet, MD THE FOLLOWING BY H. E. CHE eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livaurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, r,	hy and History of British Ameglish History, Toronto, or British North America, its elebrated Essay on the Colonia of Dwelling-Houses and Scho River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, her Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toil the Relief of Lucknow, bettioners, per sheet, BY H. E. CHEVAL eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraiso aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par r,	hy and History of British America glish History, Toronto, or British North America, its exter ebrated Essay on the Colonial Poof Dwelling-Houses and Schools, River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, tioners, per sheet, MD THE FOLLOWING FRENCH BY H. E. CHEVALIER eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraison, aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Map r,	glish History, Toronto, Toronto, Toronto, To British North America, its extent an ebrated Essay on the Colonial Policy of Dwelling-Houses and Schools, illus River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, ter Writer, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, ctioners, per sheet, ND THE FOLLOWING FRENCH WO BY H. E. CHEVALIER: eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraison, aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Maple K	ny and History of British America, illustrated listory, Toronto, or British North America, its extent and full febrated Essay on the Colonial Policy of I off Dwelling-Houses and Schools, illustrated River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, ctioners, per sheet, MD THE FOLLOWING FRENCH WORKE BY H. E. CHEVALIER: eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraison, aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Maple Knot, r,	hy and History of British America, illustrated glish History, Toronto, or British North America, its extent and future brated Essay on the Colonial Policy of Eng of Dwelling-Houses and Schools, illustrated to River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, tioners, per sheet, MD THE FOLLOWING FRENCH WORKS, BY H. E. CHEVALIER: eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraison, aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Maple Knot, tra	hy and History of British America, illustrated,. glish History, Toronto, or British North America, its extent and future, ebrated Essay on the Colonial Policy of England of Dwelling-Houses and Schools, illustrated by d River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, tioners, per sheet, MD THE FOLLOWING FRENCH WORKS, BY H. E. CHEVALIER: eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraison, aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Maple Knot, traduit, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Maple Knot, traduit,	hy and History of British America, illustrated,. glish History, Toronto, or British North America, its extent and future, ebrated Essay on the Colonial Policy of England, of Dwelling-Houses and Schools, illustrated by diagr River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, ttioners, per sheet, MD THE FOLLOWING FRENCH WORKS, BY H. E. CHEVALIER: eauguay, la Baie d'Hudson, par livraison, aurent, n, ou le Mystère Dévoilé, par Maple Knot, traduit ps	ny and History of British America, illustrated, glish History, Toronto, or British North America, its extent and future, ebrated Essay on the Colonial Policy of England, of Dwelling-Houses and Schools, illustrated by diagrams River Settlement, s, from Grave to Gay, Master, ter Writer, anada in all Shapes, or the Mystery Solved, y; or Secrets of a Lady's Toilet, the Relief of Lucknow, titioners, per sheet, ND THE FOLLOWING FRENCH WORKS, BY H. E. CHEVALIER:

Toronto, December, 1858.

l down Trunk IN

taken efully ves, in lary, a ne lino

sher.

LAIRES

ont quel-RD, N. LAJOIE, ANGER,

HERRE, ET, LA-MME. A. opulaires

ada. liteur.

PRINTING AND BOOKBINDING.

THE undersigned respectfully announces to the Public, that he is now enabled to execute all kinds of PRINTING and BOOKBINDING, in every style.

At the Provincial Exhibition, held in Montreal in October, 1858, he was awarded the

First Prize and Diploma for the best specimen of Bookbinding:

First Prize for the best specimen of ordinary Bookbinding, suitable for Libraries; and the

First Prize for the best specimen of Blank Book Binding.

"Some specimens of binding, from Mr. Lovell's, are the best we have seen in Canada; and, so long as such work can be done here, there will be no occasion to send Books to New York or Boston in order to have superior binding."—Montreal Witness, October 2.

Orders for BLANK BOOKS of every description, and for GENERAL BOOK-BINDING, will receive prompt attention.

BOOK and JOB PRINTING executed on the most reasonable terms.

SCHOOL BOOKS.

Now Publishing, the Series of NATIONAL SCHOOL BOOKS, THE SPELLING BOOK SUPERSEDED, COLENSO'S ALGEBRA, Part I.; LENNIE'S GRAMMAR, PINNOCK'S GOLDSMITH'S ENGLAND, SUMMARY OF ENG-LISH HISTORY, &c.,-printed with new type, on good paper, and carefully

CATECHISMS of the Church of England, of the Church of Scotland, &c. The Trade and Country Merchants will be supplied on the lowest terms.

IN THE PRESS:

LOVELL'S GENERAL GEOGRAPHY, for the use of Schools; by J. George Hodoins, Esq., M.A. This work will be embellished with about 40 superior

Maps, and 100 beautiful Engravings.

TREATISE ON ARITHMETIC IN THEORY AND PRACTICE, revised, improved, and adapted to the Decimal Currency, &c.; by J. H. SANGSTER,

Esq. FIRST BOOK OF ARITHMETIC, in Decimal Currency, &c.; by J. H. SANG-

STER, Esq. RUDIMENTARY CLASS BOOK IN NATURAL SCIENCE. Part I. First outlines of Chemistry, Heat and Physiology. Part II. Heating and Ventilation with reference to Domestic Architecture.—For the use of Schools, Academies, &c.; by Henry H. Miles, Esq., M.A., Professor of Mathematics and Natural Philosophy in the University of Bishop's College, Lennoxville.

The above works will be prepared with great care, printed on good paper, and bound in the most substantial manner.

ON BALE;

THE CANADA DIRECTORY.

For 1857-8-Price \$5.

RECKONERS LOVELL'S READY

IN DOLLARS AND CENTS.

THREE DIFFERENT SIZES AND PRICES.

No. 1 Edition. . 374 cents. No. 2 Edition. . 25 cents. No. 3 Edition. . 15 cents.

A liberal discount will be allowed to the Trade and to Country Merchants. Any of the above Books may be procured at the Publisher's prices, from Mr.

W. C. F. CAVERHILL, Bookseller and Stationer, 87 Yonge Street, Toronto.

JOHN LOVELL, Printer and Publisher.

4

CANADA DIRECTORY OFFICE, Montreal, Dec., 1858.

