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AN APPARITION OF ST.
STANISLAUS KOSTKA.

Sir,-While requesting you to reprod ace the following article from the Av Maria of June 8th, I think it advisable to state how I came to be connected
with this story. In the early spring of 1884, while I was in St. Beuno's College Wales, the Rev. Daniel E. Hudson, C. S C., then as now the distinguished edito of that admirable magazine, wrote me
asking if I could find the picture of St Stanislaus mentioned in the story and get a photograph of it for publication. A thirty-eight years had elapsed since the tracing the picture. The account sent me by Father Hudson was the one that apprears in a fascinating book, "Glimpses of the Supernatural." It contained no
thing about Father Bateman glving the picture to Mr. Weld ; so I concluded tha the picture might still be in the priest' house at Cbipping. Accordingly I wrote to the different priests who had succeed ed Father Bateman, long since dead, a the search, one of them even going out of his way to interview an aged cbarhouse spanned the intervening forty years. But all to no pupose; nobod some members of the famous Weld family had not heard of it. At last, when was about to give up the Catholic priest then at Cbipping, with whom I had exchanged half a sibly Mise Katherine Weld, of Lymin ton, Hants, might know something about the picture. I wrote to her, and, by re turn of post, received the gratifying in St. Anthony of Padua, the finder of things lost-that the picture was in her possess ion, that she would be most happy to le me have it photographed, and that her she lived, cordially invited me to com to his home at lymington. As soon as 1 was free to do so, I accepted this kind in vitation and spent three or four days under Mr. Josepa Well the precious picture to the neignbor ing town of Bourlemouth, where th best photographer of the place made a bought and sent to Father Hudson togetber with copious notes of my conversations with Miss Katherine. She was then in apparently perfect health and had a vivid recollection of all details. was also forwarded to the Editor of the Ave Maria, who gave the gist of all these 1885. Those of your readers who would like more information on this apparition contains only one slight inaccuracy which does not appear in the present article. The editor, accustomed to see American Jesuits wearing the same babit as is portrayed in the pictura of
St. Stanislaus, expressed his surprise that Mr. James Weld shoulh not have immediately recognized the portrait as that of a Jesuit saint. He evidently dia not know that the Jesuits in England wear a sleeveless and beltlese gown with lance to what is, in America, called the Jesuit cassock. This latter is the traditional garb of our first Fathers, but not all a matter of rule not being worn in France, Belgium and many foreign missions.

Yours truly
Lewis Drummond, S. J.'

## 

 $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { The f } \\ \text { of st. } \\ \text { interest }\end{gathered}\right.$ of St. Stunising ans Kosontka will have hapecialinterest for
complat comparatively recent ocurrence of the event. It is reprinted with some trees detals, from a volume of a former series ot the Ave Maria, at the suggestion of a sures us tbat the narration will be new o innumerable readers. For the most Miss Katherine W. Weld, one of the
Kis a transcript of the testimony wituesses of the apparition; the Rev.
Father Drummond, S. J., supplying furher information. Miss Weld's state ment is in our possession, and is all the
more valuable now that she is dead. he was living when this count wa rectness.
St. Society of Jesus beloved and fa red child of Mary. His precions death according to his prophecy, took place on Blessed Virgin, 1568. He was canonized in company with St. Aluysius
by Pope Benedict XIII, in 1726.
Philip Weld was the joungest son o ear Sout by his father to St. Edmund's College, near Ware, in Hertfordshire, for
ducation. He was a well-conducted aiable boy, and much beloved by It his masters and fellow-stndents. oliday at the College. On the morning of that day Pbilip had received Holy Communion at the early Mass (baving just finished a retreat), and in the after noon he went boating on the River Ware, accompanied by one of the masters and
ome of his companions. A row was one of the sports which he always enjoyed particularly
After amusing themselves for some ime to return to the College; but Philip egged to have one row more. The mas on rowed out to the accustomed turningng the boat, Philip accidentally fell into he river; and, notwithstanding every
ffort to save him, he was drowned. (1) The corpse was brought back to College ; and the Very Rev. Dr. Cox, a home, was greatly shocked and grieved fond of Philip, and to be oblized to com municate the sad news to the hoy's parents was a most painful duty. He could scarcely nake up his mind whether to
write by post or to send a messenger. A last he resolved to go himself to South

## Dr. Cox

Dr. Cox set off on the same afterioon Southampton the next day Thence h drove to the residence of the Weld family. Before entering the grounds be saw Mr. Weld, at a short distance from the gate, walking toward the town. Dr. Cox
mmediately stopped the carriage, alight d, and was about to carriage, alight the latter prevented him by saying: "You need not speak one nord, for I
know that Philip is dead. Yesterd y af know that Philip is dead. Yesterd y af
ternoon I was walking with my daughter Katherine, and we suddenly saw him.
He was standing in tie path on the opposite side of the turnpike road, between two persons, one of whom was a youth
dressed in a black robe. My daughte was the first to perceive them, and ex claimed: 'U papa, did you ever see any thing so like Philip as that?'--'Like
him!' I replied;' why, it is he!'Strange to say, she thought nothing of the incid ent other than that we had betield
extraordinary likeness of her brother. We walked toward these three figures. Phiip was looking with a smiling, happy
countenance at the young man in a black robe, who was shorter than himself. Sud denly they all vanished: I saw nothing seen through the three figures, which gave me the impression that they wer spirits. I said nothing, however, to any-
one, as
I was fearful of alarming Mrs. Weld. I looked out anxiously for th letter came (I forgot that letiers from Ware came in the afternoon), and my fears were quieted. 1 thought no more o the extraordinary crrcumstance until Then everything returned to my mind
and
tell me of the death of my dear toy.:
The reader wiil easily imagine how in. expressibly astonisbed Dr. Cox was a
this recital. He asked Mr. Weld if he had ever seen the young man in th he had never before him bat that his countenance was so indelibly impressed on his memory that ie was cert
ain he should recognize bim at once an where.
Dr. Cox then related to the afflicted
father the circumstances of his son's death, which circcumstances at the very hon in which Pbilip appeared to his fathe on account of the placid smile Mr. Weld Philip, as it seemed to indicate that h lad died in the grace of God, and wa
Mr. Welly forever happy.
Mr. Weld went to the funeral, and on
mony he looked round to see if any one present at all resembled the young ma not trace the slightest likeness in any o
them. A letter of the Rt. Rev. Monsi Weli, a brother of the deceased, dated April 16,1895 , the anniversary of the ap parition, may be quoted here.
before it my father told me that ho would look at all the members of th College, to see if he could recognise th one who was with Philip; for he said n
matter in what part of the world might see him he would recognize him instantly, - as he did the moment he sam the portrait. After the funeral I aske bim the question, and he said: ' Oh, no I saw n
ance.'
About four months later Mr. Weld and
is family paid a visit to bis brother, M cashire. One day be walked with his daughter Katherine to the neiguboring
village of Chipping; and, after attending priest, the Rev. Father Batemad. A fe mowents elapsed before he was at le1-
sure to come to them, and while wating they entertained themselyes by examin ing the prints hanging on the walls of
the room. Suddenly Mr. Weld stopped the room. Suddenly Mr. Weld stopped
before a picture which had no name that one could see written under it, as the
frame covered the lower portion, and exframe cov
"That is the one whom I saw with Philip! I do not know whose likeness is the one I saw with Plilip.'
The priest entered the room a momen Mr. Weld concerning the print. He replied that it was a picture of St. Stanis lans Kostka, and supposed to be a ver
good likeness of the young Saint. M for St. Stanislaus was at hearing this Society of Jesus, and Mr. Weld's father baving been a great henefactor to the Order, his lamily were supposed to be
under the particular protection of the Jesuit saints. A Also Philip had been in spired by varions circumstances with particular devotion to this Saint. More-
over, St. Stanislaus is venerated as the ecidl advocate of the drowued.
Father Bateman at once kindly pre
sented the picture to Mr. Weld, who, of course, received it with the greatest joy death. His wife valued it equally, and
dener at her death it passed to the daughter who saw the apparition at the same time as her father. It is now in the possession of Moneig. Weld, who assures us
in the letter quoted above that "nothing n be better than the Ave Marin's pho Four
Drummond, tend to make the objective ruth of this narrative highly prolable The first is that Miss Weld saw the three figures, but without noticing the races or dresses of the two companions of hat her father considered to be really is son's face was anything more than a ikeness. This precludes deception aris-
ing from the "wish to believe." The ng from the "wish to believe." The lighted when no letter came to him by the morning post. This would prove that he did not voluntarily cling to a delusion. Again, Mr. Weld's not immediately recognizing the picture of St.
Stanislaus shows that ne could not have


THE BIBLE AND ALWAYS THE BIBLE:

Our esteemed contemporary, the Southern Messenger, thus facetiously deals with the stock-in-trade argument of Protestants anent the opposition of the Catholic church to a dissemin
the Bible among its adherents:
he Bible among its adherents:
Mr. James Britten, Secretary of the English Catholic Truth Society, writes as "For t
"For the benefit of those who are will
ng to allow that a Catholic knows some thing about Popery, and who are not
afraid of facts, even when they run
counter to preconceived notions, I beg to
tate
(1.) That I have now before me
mets

## South American and eight Mexican Bishops and Archbishops, approving o

## "(2.) That I have similar extract

 rom twenty South American, fourteenMexican, and three Cuban Mexican, and
same effect.
" 3 ) The "(3.) That the first edition consisted
of 100,000 copies, nearly all of which were circulated gratis.
(4.) Thut a second edition is now
being printed to meet the demands of
South and Bishops. American Archbishops and his edition has just been received from Spain."
This statement, taken withoat com-
ment, would go agalust the ordinary preacher, whose primary article of faith Bible; it would contradict hates the Bible; it would contradict the frequen news in the Protestant religious press Spain and South America by the bad Papists for distributing Bibles; but the preachers are not so easily downed, and, no doubt, they will find an explanation for tbis modern Catbolic zeal in distri buting Bibles. "The Catholic church," they will perhaps say, "never changes, and if she never changes, she is to-day Se same as she was at the time of the Spanish inquisition. At that time, Proreading the Bible. Consequently, the Catholic church must still hate the Holy Scriptures; and, if sle now recommend
dust into the eyes of non-Catholics." Bu
older than Protestantism; that teus thousands of monks were occupied many centuries in multiplying copies of the
Bible, patiently writing Bible, patiently writing out the whole
Scriptares word for word by Scriptures word for word by hand, and
marvellously illominating them-some of these copues being written entirely in letters of gold;-you forget that there were more than seventy different editions of the Bible in the different languages of the nations of Europe, printed before Luther's Bible was put forth. Father Young tells us that the litrary of the Paulist Fathers of New York city German Bible, profusely illistrated with German Bible, profusely ill:astrated with
colored wood engravings and printed by Antonius Coburger at Nuremberg, in 1483, the very year in which Luther was was issued in lutt Bible in the languace of tiitions of the six years in one city of Germany, and of the printing press , ind the invention of the printing press, and issued many
years before the first Protestant Bible was puolished! But Father Young comes to the rescue of Protestants, and shows how any intelligent Protestant can easiiy explain this extraordinary publication of the Bible by these Catholics, even before Lather was born. It
was not love of the Scriptures ; it was not was not love of the scriptures: it was not
a wish to have them spread and read a wish to have them spread and read, prompted them to do it. You do not prompted them to do it. You do not
understand this, becanse there was no Protestantism in existence then; but, don't be so hasty, my friend, wait a moment, Father Young will tell you. "You cau never catcb," be says, "the wily priesthood of Rome napping. They foresaw that Protestantism with its
enlightenment was coming-the religion enlightenment was coming-the religion
of the Bible, and of hothing but the of the Bible, and of hothing but the
Bible, and they knew that the ministers Bible, and they knew that the ministers
of this Bible religion would for three hundred years devote themselves to 'spreading the Bible in Heathen and Papal lands,' and W.,uld charge Rome
and all its Popes, Bishops, and Priests, including Jesuits, with keeping the Bible from the people, and burning it whenever they could. All this they knew-
what do they not know? and so, with What do they not know? and so, with
Jesuitigal cunning, they set to work at Jesuitial to print off as many bibles as they
once the at could, in every language, juat to have it vernacular before Protestants did, in order to deprive them of the glory of having been the first to do so; making
up their popish up their popish minds all the while, that the people should uever be permitted to
look into one of them. Oh! theres look into one of them. OL! there's no
coming up with the astuteness of the coming up with the astuteness of the
wily Priesthood of Rome!" It is fortunwily Priesthood of Rome !" It is fortun-
ate for Protestants to have Father Young to come to their rescue occasionally. He renders them the same service, when he
explaius why tens of thousands of monks took for centuries, the trouble of multiplying tue Bible by copying it by hand. After the Paulist Father has found the solution of the enigma, it is easy for
anyone but a blind and superstitious devotee of Romanism to see that these Society for the Protestant "British pel "in their eye, and were of the GosCorestall them at all cost! Catholics boast that their Church in nuch pains to collect ali the writings eseemed as inspired, and called that collection the "Bible." But they forget hat just as well and no doubt better: but then Rome," says Father Young, as usual, got on the ground ahead of nd Protestants were thus forad years, the Bible from ber hands."
How formnate would Protestants be if they could gain that Paulist Father over so difficult be could not solve for them; ecould prove to them that it was the esuits that hunted up Christopher Columbus and persuaded him to make Le discovery of America, just to be able say that a Catholic made the discovestants would soon be found that could o it just as well, if not much bett could It is to be hoped, however, that Father Young will not be too friendly to the Protestauts: will not help them to explain everything in their favor to the defind out for themselves the schemes and the tricks of the Jesuits.
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WEDNESDAY, JULY 10

editorial comment
Read the Nor'Wester's articles on the school question. Tb
lucidity and wisdom.
The latest venture in Canadian Cath olic journalism is The Ottawa Post. We have the initial number before us, and
we have read with delight its bright and able editorials. Its editorial comments on the school question in Manitoba are
dlever and to the point. The Catholics of clever and to the point. The Catholics of Ottawa have long been aftlicted in that they have not had a truly Catholic initial number of the Post gives a guarantee that this sad want is a thing of the past. We congratulate the Catholics of Ostawa and of the country on the prommuch pleasure in placing it on our exchange list.
Dr. Bryce is no sooner back from the Presbyterian General Assembly in Toronto than he gets himself interviewed. takably his own, flowing, imaginative and unfair. He modestly says of bimself: "Dr. Bryce's speech was the masterly expositiun of an expert, while." he
condescends to add, "Dr. King, who emphasized the religious element in school training, produced a marked effect on the assembly." The fact is, according to the combined testimony of many newsDr. Bryce's speech was mainly an impassioned invective against Cathoiics, exhorting his hearers not to be " mealyby the apostate priest and all-round traitor, rebel and tyrant, Jobn Knoz as against the winsome Mary Queen of Scots; and that Dr. King, on the other
hand, whom Dr. Brvce "damns with faint praise," was the real hero of that Assembly and astonished the delegates by his power in bringing over so many
hard-headed divines to his way of think ing on the paramount importance of religious training.
An amusing bit of snobbishness occurs in Dr. Bryce's account of the evening de voted to Foreign Missions. He says "That a Chinaman, who little more than a year ago did not know any English should deliver an address of fifteen minutes in grammatical and idiomatic Eng lish, was a revelation to his sell-satisfie Anglo-Saxon auditors." We wonder how lay claim to the remotest connection
with that Anglo-Saxon race which, even
in Enyland, is seven-eighiths Norman Dane and Kelt. Just imagine Principa McVicar or Dr. Robertson or Dr. King, with their delightful Scotch accent, fig. aring as Anglo-Saxons. But it sound well, don't you know, to hoar one's self
classed among the descendants of the more or less mnythical Hengist and Horsa hordes.
The Tribune of the 5tin inst. devotes ong first editorial to the Northwest Re Iew. According to its usual mechods, i wrenches quotations from their context. It dare not publish any of our articles en tire. But it evidently believes in Leyden, for it says he is opening the eyes of Protestants to the degrading doctrines of the Church of Rome. Such Protestants s Leyden will convince have not enough independence of mind to face the Prot estant tradition of misrepresentation and
enter tine true fold. We can afford to do without them, We are continually los ing such humbugs and hypoerites as
Leyden, Chiniquy, slattery and "Bis hop" McNamara. But then we are conman, Manning, Brownson, W.S. Lilly, George Parsons Lathrop, sir John Thompson and the Marquis of Ripon, al of whom had groped through the den $\sigma$ Mes or lie-iden over against the Cathon
Church. We lose probably as much as we gain (except by natural increase, in which Catholics, observing God's laws
far outstrip all other bodies); but what we gain is indefinitely better than what re lose. As a rule, we quin the pick of man race, and lose the scum.

The Tribunes only other editorial on he same day wes in praise of Prof. Hux ley lately dead. Now, in one of his latest works, "Science and Christian traditon," Husley says that " no one could be ore competent than Erasmers to gauge tradiction of the Protestant criticism of Catholic doctrine." Huxiey never concealed the contempt he felt for Protestant theologians. On the other hand, he re-
peatedy said that the great obstacle to he spread of his agnostic ideas was th coherent system of reasoning taught by
the Catholic Church. When Rector of the University of Aberdeen, be once spoke of the redoubtable philoseptic training imparted to Catholic students in Maynooth, saying in particular: "That philosopby is by no means dead and trary, numbers of men of no mean learning and accomplishment, and sometimes ing and accomplishment, and sometimes
of rare power and subtlety of thought, hold by it as the best theory of things which has yet been stated. And, what is more remarkable, men who speak the
language of modern philcsophy nevertheless think the thoughts of the schoolmen."

- However, Huxley was a sworn enemy f everything Catbolic. He madea great show of blunt sincerity, though no man
of his ability conld be thoroughiy honest and not find the truth. At a dinner, af ter a long discussion with Cardinal, then Bishop, Vaughan on the fundamental proofs of revelation, he wound up by saying good-humoredly but very decidedly " Well, my Lord, one of these days your de and my side will have to come to hat the history of the witnessing ountries proves-that the only ant whici can be, for a time, successaliy used against her is brute force, or, what amounts to the same thing, popular passion excited by wholesale slander.
We are glad to see that the editor of be Tribune has some little conscience left after all. He has toned down a too ruffianly epithet used by the Reverend contributor who wrote the article "Ad
Majorem Dei Gloriam." The proof of his correction is before us in two copies of the Tribune for July 5. The first has: "the filthy character of the questions asked young women by the priests at the confessional;" the second reads: "the Evidently a few copies had got out before he editor noticed the libellons word "filthy." It is passing strange that decent Catbolics never hear these filthy or
questionable questions. To be sure, the
confessional may be abused; every goor thing in this world uay be alused; in fact, the more valuable and powertul an agent is. the more terrible is the abus mereof, winness gonpowder and dynapriests, having been suspended from priestly functions precisely on account of such sacrilegious abuse, pub-
L.sh to the worid in filthy books how the thing can be done and how they did it is that any reason for decrying the are a huadred times more atuses in the medical prolession: yet no one dreams of condemning the entire faculty; people are coutent with avoiding immoral phy against abuses that the most prejudiced Protestant las only to examine the laws governing the practice of auricular con-
fession to learn how rare abuse must be
We have received the following answer irom Bosto: anent Leyden: "He ${ }^{1 s}$ artiuily advertised as 'An ex-Catholic preacuer,' to give the impression that be
was once a priest. He was not. He was was once a priest. He was not. He was
a printer or press-mani in Boston some vears ago, irom which station he fell to his present rank." Leyden's advertise
wents are indeed well calculated to wents are indeed well calculated to
deceive the unwary. Though he is care ful not to call himself an "ex-priest" o "ex-Jesuit," yet. he knows that most people will, througb careless reading
inter toat he was both a prisst Jesuit. What he himself says is and is an "anti-Jesuit," which is a muet comprehensive term embracing a wilful heretics and Christ-baters in the
world, Satan being the greatest anti esuit known. Then, when he call bimself an "ex-Romanist preacher,", as
except in the case of religious orders, al except in the case of religious orders, al less readers conclude that the was priest. But he never was a priest, no Jesuit, nor a Catholic preacher.
Inhslecture last Sunday,
he audience was as usual mostly "seedy crowd," he said the Popish ciergy would rather see the devil here than Leyden. We venture to think he is mistaken. The devil would not lie so clumsily; he would appear as an angel of light, nut as an ignorant imp; as a calm onergamen; as a plausible intellectual sophist, winning the attention of the eaders of human thought, not as a vulgar revamper of transparent falsehoods
refuted a thousand times and scorned by refuted a thousand times and scorned by
men of light and leading. Lucifer would secure as an endorser a less foolish person than the Rev. Mr. Grant, who assured the densely stupid assembly (quite worthy of him) tiat the Pope was "Our Lord GOD;" whereas the chosen title of the Popes is "servant of the ser vants of God." Lucifer would not talk such rot as Leyden did about Catholics
not being allowed to read the Bible, the arch-fiend being keenly alive to the facts mentioned in the article we publish elsewhere on the Bible. Lucifer would cause he is ashamed of Queen Elizabeth's and Cromwell's Inquistion, which was ten times more bloody. No; Lucifer uses Leyden only because be has roth ing berter at hand just now, Huxley the tribune and teing dead and Spencer on his
the tribune and the akch-
We have been asked by our frienüs of the abusive never take any notice ganst our revered and deve Tribune bishop. We have tried to explain to our friends that the more the Tribune abuses any man thee more the general public will appreciate bis worth as a citizen and his character as a man. So
well is the character of this paper for well is the character of this paper for
unreliability and vulgarity recognized that the most undesirable misfortune hat could possibly overtake the character of any public man would be to Even its own endorsation of the Tribune the more respectable of them, who have
natures are not entirely bratalized, have its management. It the Tribune said anything yood of a man and praised his conduct, we would say to that man:
examine your conscience, my friend, and onestly try to find out what wrong yo have done to merit praise from such a
quarter. Now, this being the senerally cogmized character of the Tribun why should we take any notice of abuse of our dearly loved chief Pastor If there was anything in the Tribune praising His Grace or in any way gndor ing his conduct, we should indeed fee hould be questioned by his good nam portion of our fellow citizens; but a ong as it only abuses him and calls hin ames, we, in common with all goo itizens, feel secure from all ansiet regarding the matter.
For years his saintly predecessor, than whom there was never a more kindly charitable, or prodent man, was made the special object of this paper's abuse injure a business competitor and to iscord the fres of race and religio charged Mr. Luxton, the editor in chie of the Free Press, with having sold him self to Archbishop Tache for $\$ 40,000$; th money with which he had purchase he Sun newspaper. The late Archbibhop feeling the great injustice done to Mr Luxton by this foundationless statemen hie Tribune, wrote a letter to that
ournal giving the most unqualifie denial to that statement and saying that all the pecuniary help he ever gave he Free Press was to pay his subscrip itself. Notwithstanding this Hat denia of a man of the higbest honor and mos unquestionable veracity, the Tribune ith that coarseness-that brutal vul garity-which is its distinguishing
characteristic, told the Archbishop that characteristic, told the Archbishop that
it did not believe that the truth, because, forsooth, it was in th interests of His Grace to tell a falsehood to shield Mr. Luxton. No one was offered to the aged and venerable Arch. fishop, because all knew the Tribune although many of the aged prelate's riends, who knew his publis integrity ani private virtues, were shock
insult so grataitously offered.
Time rolled on and some two years afterward the same Tribune learned the fact tuat the money, which it accused bishop, was acting from the Arcianother source and it published this in its columns. The Review called the attention of the Tribune to the fact that it had refused to believe His Girace's statement and accused him of falsehood He demanded of it to make amends to His Grace by manfully acknowledging its error and apologizing for its insult.
We appealed to the manhood and honor of its managing editor and pointed out to him that if he did not apologize fully for it, we should have to place him in the position of one who insulted an old and distinguisted man and then refused this the Tribune never made any at tempt to apologize. What is the use of noticing such a paper? What 18 to be gained by doing so? The present Arch-
bishop cannot suffer anything either in his person or character by the Tribune
abuse ; in fact he is a guiner by it
PRIESTs' schools."
The Free Press was once a great and powerful organ of public opinion, because it was under the management of a strong and honest man, who would not stoop to patriotic and unjust policy. In those days the Free Press was not a bireling whose policy could be fashioned to suit the exigencies of any corporation or government; but the fearless, uncorrupted and incorruptible exponent of right principles, just laws and fair play to all tune with the babbling crowd who for the time being, followed public opinion, that is, the opinions of a few self-seeking $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { on an ignorant, unthinking and excitable }\end{aligned}\right.$
population. The Free Press of those ays was a journal whose opinions and principles were respected and whose day, it is a poor slave to the oninions, edsires, the passions and the unprincipted designs of its masters. And its slame bas not brought to it prosper-
ity any more in the material than in the ty any more in the material than in the
toral order. From being a financial woral order. From being a financial
access under the management of $M r$. W. F. Luxton, it has become a financal wreck under its present management. nd what it is finaucially, so is it moral. When it ventures to give expression coopimions and to treat of questions of mo-
mant to the public, it deals in platitudes, that have not the merit of originality, or then noyelty; unless of originality, or alsehood, and that " snickering sueer that tabs with a smile" be considered as uch.
We have a sample of this in its desigration of our Catholic scbools as "the priests' schools.' In what way are they "the priests'schools"? They are schools or the education, not of Priests, but of Cat.olic children. They are sctools suplic parents of the but by the Cath Them, and by those in sympathy with them, and by those in sympathy with or their maintenance was the money conributed by the atoresaid Catholic parents and their co-religionists, and not by the priests. Tbey exist, to-day, and bave rays existed, not at the dictation of the priests; but because the Catholice, who have paid for them, want them for the education of their cbildren. There is no law, either buman of divine, that mposes upon our priests the duty of ducating our Catholic children. That duty is ours, and for the purpose of fultilling it, we have establisted and mainained schools in accordance with our onscientious convictions. These schools re our schools, maintained at our expense, and for the education of our chiiden. It is misleading, false and slandercourse, it is not difficult to shools." Of ives which prompted the Free Press to all them "priests' schools." It wished to excite a bitterer feeling, if possible, gainst our scbools, and, knowing the sopular prejudice that exists in the minds the unreasoning multitude against Catholic priests, it took this sneering and shonest method to attain its object.
The present editor of the Free Press ould like to be classed as a gentleman and he would feel deeply hurt should
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Waukenphast -:- Boots

A. G. MORGAN,
 The number of patients treated at
the St. Boniface Hospital last week
was 76 , of whom 39 were males and 3

Miss Mary Marrin, who was the suc-
cessful candidate in the matlematica class of St. Mary's academy this year, is nor's gold medal. The percentage
attained by Miss Marrin in the subject for which this special prize was offered was an exceedingly high one, and she
being complimented by her many
friends on ler having secured this dis friends on lier baving
tinctive mark of ability.
Since Father Guillett has been parish
priest at St. Mary's he nas never ceased in his efforts to promote the interest the parish and to improve as far as hin
means and opportunities would allo both the interior and the exterior of the charch property. The latest improve
ment we notice is the refurnisling of the sacristy, the old seats having been re-
moved and new peess sabstituted, this important adjunct of the church build.
ing now presenting a most attractive ing now presenting a mos
and a cheerful appearance.
The Winnipeg Industrial exhibition
opens on Tuesday next and promises, from what we can nexther, to equal if not eclipse those of former years boto as an
educational feature and in affording ecreation and amusement. There wit
undoubtedly be a large extibit of horses,
cattle and other live stock; the Diry cattle and other live stock; the Dairy
building will be crowded and also the agricultural, horticultural, Carriage,
poutryy and other buildings.
Catchy sented each anternoon and evening bemiles a series of races, athletic sports, military tournaments, etc. All railways
bave granted cheap excursion rates for have granted cbeap excursion rates
passengers visiting the exhibition.
At the frrst meeting Leyden announced n Manitoba before he returns east. He
He will probably change his mind soon for
be will doubllese
quickly
discover that the people in the thriving hamlets in the xest are not so easily gutted as are cerhabitants of the metropolis. As a proo of this we take the following from the Carberry correspondence published in
che Nor Wester a few daya ago
The "Rev." (save the mark) Mr. Ley en of anti-jesuitical procivilies have lectured here yesterday evening
but for some unexplained reason left but for some unexplained reason left
suddenly on the west-bound express last
night without filling his lecture bill night without filling his lecture bills,
Tbe prospect of an empty house and still
emptier exchequer there is little doubt emptier exchequer there is nittie doub
sccounts for his non-appearance. Oun
peopledid not seem in the least disap people did
pointed.
HIS GRACE GONE EAST Accompanited by the Vicar-General of Bis-
hop Grandin, ot St. Albert. Mons.Le Duc. At Rat Portage His Grace will admin istil the sacrament of confirmation and will then proceed to Montreal where he
will attend the golden jubilee of the
Sisters of St. Mary's academy on the ister of st. Mary's academy on the 16 th
inst., and return to bis diocese on the 24th. In answer to a query His Grace
said that his crip was not in any sense a political one, and tbat the rumor punse a
ed inh the Free Press Yesterday to the ed in the hree rress Yesterday to th
Ottawa regarding sent a telegram to
the coursa ren regarding the gial legislation was wenth wh
out any foundation in truth. He als stated that he was not yet prepared to offer any opinion, as Le considered it which is pending settlement.
GOLDEN WEDDING.

Mr. and Mrs. Germain Wwill
Marriage
Nows.
On Minday next M. N. and Madame
Germain, of St. Boniface, will celebrate their golden wedding. Their ages are
respectively 72 and 70 years, and tney ave bbely residents of this country for
are
prards of twenty-three years. The eard of twenty-three years. Th
in Ottawa, the them Right Reverend will again perform the ceremony in St guests will be invited, and addresse Fill be read and presentations made
There are at present living from thi union eight children, twelve grand
children ani one great-grandchild, all of
whom will be preesent at the cele-

How TO DESTROY MOS-
QUITOES. To those who are troubled with this
cheerful and sonorous insect we recom-
mend the plan of mosquiticide recomcheerful and sonorous insect we recom-
mend the plan of mosquiticide recom-
nended by L. O. Howard of tie entomo mended by i. O. Howard of the entomo-
logical division of the Department of
Agriculture in Washington, and applied Agriculture in suashingon, the Rev. John
with brilliant sucess by
D. Long, of Long Island. Your crude D. Long, of Long Island. Your crude
kerosene oil over the pools or yonds
where where mosquitoes are wont to propagate film upon the surface of the water and
effectually destroys not only all mosquitoes but also all aquatic larve. Four ounces of crude coal oil completely d6s-
troyed the mosquitoes breeding in a pool rroyed the mosquitoes breeding in a poo
of sisty square feet 1 th the Catskills. One will protect a pond 100 feet square fo en days under ordinary conditions. rotected through an territory could four or five barrels of the crude oil. Thi ting and immeasurably more effective. LITTLE ONES REWARDED. Holy Angels School Puplis Presented wit
Prizes--Successful and Hapey Tor-
mination to a Year's
$\frac{\text { study. }}{\text { On Wednesday, the }} 26$ tll ult., at 10 clock in the forenoon, the distribution Holy Angels, opposite St. Mary's Church
Hes The room on the first floor was tastefully ecorated for the occasion with several
mall banners, flowers and green branches. The distribution of prizes was
preceded by an entertainment which
proved a decidedly successful higbly enjoyable to a large assemblage of the parents and friends of the little ones. The various parts of the prodence of careful tuition and filigent practice. The programme ran as fol-
lows: (1) "A Greeting Sorg", sung lows : (1) "A Greeting Sorg," sung by all
the pupils together ; (2) "Work for Eact Day of the Week," in which seren the smallest children in the schoo
reatly amused the audience by plaining and endeavoring to perform the
work appropriate for each day of the woek appropriate for each day of the
(3). Little Boys' Calisthenics
(4). Dialogue-"Lust Among (4). Dialogue-" Lust A mong the Fairies.
This and the "Little Boys" Calisthenis" This and the "Little Boys' Calisthenics
may be styled the two gems of the nay be styled he two gems of the
entertainment. In the latter Miss
Josephine Bawlf, acting the par lost child, and Niss Irene Carey, as
the Queen of the Fairies, performed
their different roles with admirabie suc-
cess. (6). French recitation by Nise cess. (6). French recitation by
G. Beaulieu and E. Bertrand.
At the condug. At the conclusion of the programme
Miss Lizzie Coyle addressed the Miss Lizzie Coyle addressed the audi-
ence, thanking them in a dignstied ber coming manner for the interest which ons, and the encouragement which they had given them by theyr presence. Rev the pupils, thanking them for ther pleasing entertainment, and congratula
ting them and the good Sisters in the school on the excellence of the perin praise and admiration of what they
had seen and beard from the little nes. $-N_{o r}$ 'H ester.
Following are the names
whom prizes were awarded.
Whom prizes were awarded.
Religious instruction-First course,
Miss A. Gerrity; 2nd course, Miss ElizaMiss A. Gerrity; 2nd course, Miss Elizz-
beth Cople 3 rd cours, Master Yeter
Egan ; 4th course, Master Leo Carey. Ligan ; 4th course, Master Leo Carey.
Good conduct - Senior department,
Misees L. Czernigiewiz, B. Martin ; jur Misses L. Czerniglewiz, B. Martin; jun
Gepartment, Miss 0 . Czerniglewiz. General application-Prize competed
for by Mises L , Coyle, G. Bidard.
Gerrity Gerrity, L. Czernigiewiz, E. Cass, A.
Dunlea, C.Gerrity, K. Kobnen, B. Mar
tin, A. Leprance, C. Tarnatsky,
Simoneau and won by tin, A. L'Esperance, C. Tarnatsky,
Simoneau and won by G. Bidard.
Red Regular attendance-Prize awarded to
Misese J. Cronin, Mary Dorsey, Cather
ine Woodcutter, B. Nagle, R. Cass, M Prize winners in the fourth Englis
divison-E. Coyle, L. Czernigiewiz Pivison-E, Coyle, L. Czernigiewiz,
Cass, G. Bed
M. Borsey, A. Gerrity, M . Dunlea, H. Croni Tarnatski, M. Duimonea, H. A. Johnon, Grant,
Nagle W. Murphy, M. Thomas.
Third English divigion Third English division-B. Martin, C Cass, A. Dunlea, G. Beaulieper J. Carey,
K. Oleinieza, E. Barrett, C. Woodcute
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Bertrand,
J. Johnson
Second
O. Czernig
Donald, C
Tarnatsky
Dorney,
W. Haver
Adshead,
H. Conwa
ney, E. M
E. Kane,
C. Kohnen
Mrizeso
C. Kelly,
Colloton,
Egan, A.
W. Gibson
Nerney,
Tarnatsky F. Rheault, J. M. Dusablon, A.

