

The "Maritime Farmer,"
A Weekly Journal, devoted to AGRICULTURE,
FISHERY, LITERATURE AND NEWS, is published
from the Office,
Corner Queen and Charlotte Streets,
FREDERICTON, N. B.,
Directly opposite the Post Office,
ON WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON.
Subscription Price, - \$1.00 per Year.
THE MARITIME FARMER has a large and
valuable list of contributors, and its
columns are filled with the most reliable
and interesting news from all sources.
It will be found a most valuable
medium for the dissemination of
valuable information.
Book and Job Printing of every description,
executed at reasonable rates.
Address all communications to
L. C. MACDONALD,
Editor and Manager,
FREDERICTON, N. B.

Maritime Farmer.
FREDERICTON, N. B., April 22, 1885.
IMPORTANT.
Subscribers to the FARMER, who are
in arrears, are hereby invited to pay up.
We cannot run a newspaper on promises
or credit.
We hope, therefore, that there will be a
prompt response to the bills we pro-
pose sending to delinquents during the
next few weeks.

PRELIMINARY.
A meeting of the electors of Fredericton,
opposed to the Local Government,
will be held in Fisher's Building, at
8 o'clock tomorrow (Thursday) evening,
for the purpose of appointing delegates
to the Convention, which meets in
Temperance Hall, York Street, next
Tuesday evening, for the selection of a
Candidate for the vacant seat in the As-
sembly.

There ought to be a meeting, and, we
believe, there will be, if we are to
be judged by the enthusiasm of our friends
throughout the city. Let there be a
determination shown from the start, and
we have little fear for the result of the
contest.
Our advice from the country are, that
notwithstanding the almost impossible
condition of the roads, there will be a
goodly representation of the party, from
every portion of the constituency, at the
Convention next Tuesday evening.
We want to give our Candidates, who-
ever they may be, a meeting, and of which
shall not be less, and which shall be the
show of victory that will greet his
triumphant return.

The King's Election.
The Telegraph complains that an ef-
fort is being made in some parts of
King's County, to introduce Dominion
policy into the canvas for the local seat.
It says that this ought not to be done,
and adds that "the two fields of legis-
lation are entirely distinct, and will be
best served by a consideration of local
questions apart from Dominion issues."
We quite agree with the Telegraph,
but it is a poor rule that will not work
two ways. Why did not our contem-
porary put its foot down on Mr. Blair
introducing local politics into the last
Dominion election in York County. It
was aware that Mr. Blair was exercising
to the fullest possible extent, all the
petitions of the Local Government to
defeat the Liberal Conservative candi-
date. It knows that the Fredericton
Bridge which is being constructed at a
large cost, from the Local Treasury, was
the direct result of Mr. Blair's impor-
tation of local issues into a Dominion
contest, yet we have never seen a word
of condemnation in the Telegraph. Now,
however, when it is reported that Domi-
nion politics are being introduced into a
local election in Kings County, our con-
temporary condemns the act. Funny people
these Grits.

Encouraging the Rebels.
Mr. Blake and his party in the House
of Commons, are apparently exulting
over the troubles in the Northwest, and
if Riel leads the Grits westward, and
the speeches of Grit orators in Parlia-
ment, and his murderous band must take
no small amount of comfort from the
assistance they are receiving from their
political allies. Scarcely a day passes
in Parliament, but Mr. Blake demands
papers and explanations on North West
affairs, which if given would undoubtedly
result in inciting further resistance
on the part of the rebels.
On Monday, Mr. Blake on asking for
returns, indicated as nearly as he could
without saying so, that the half-breeds
had not been treated fairly and that they
were right in resisting.
Sir John said that "under the pretext
of asking for returns, he (Blake) had
made a speech intended to encourage the
people in rebellion, by showing them
that in Parliament, there were men pre-
pared to take the side of rebels if only
they could in any way do mischief to the
Government. Blake's remarks would be
read with disgust by all loyal people.
It was not the kind of support the Gov-
ernment was entitled to receive from the
Opposition. He (Blake) was good at
sneering and good at nothing else."

If Mr. Blake was at all desirous of as-
sisting in the overthrow of the rebellion,
he would support the Government in all
measures to that end, but his whole de-
sire is to make capital against the Gov-
ernment, when the loyal people in every
portion of Canada regardless of party,
are uniting in the hope that the North
West difficulties may be settled with-
out further bloodshed. When Riel
and his followers have been subdued
and punished, there will be ample time
for Mr. Blake to create all the dissatis-
faction he can with the Government,
but until then he ought to have suffi-
cient decency to baffle his tongue.

Mr. Blair, in his subsidized organ,
sneers at the proposed Opposition Con-
vention. Let him sneer. He'll be
weeping before he's done with it. It
would be better for Mr. Blair, himself to
call a Convention, than to ransack cre-
ation for a candidate to thrust on the
people, as was done with his partner.

A resolution has passed the House of
Commons, suspending the operation of
the Dominion Liquor License Act, pend-
ing its submission to the English Parli-
ament, as to its constitutionality.

Mr. Blair and the Stock Farm.
The Attorney-General's friends at-
tempt to make very light of the Stock
Farm question, and the week-end paper
Mr. Blair played in it, but their efforts
to rid Mr. Blair of the responsibility for
the loss of the Farm to York, are made
in vain. Anybody who is acquainted
with the facts of the matter, are aware
that the Stock Farm, to-day, would have
been located at Springhill, if the leader
of the Government had taken the stand
last autumn that he should have taken.
It is not denied that Mr. Blair was
squealed back down by Mr. Ritchie and
the St. John people, after all ar-
rangements had been made for the re-
moval of the Stock. There is no man so
glib as to believe the stories concocted
regarding the lease, or the closing of the
river, which were made to do duty to
cover Mr. Blair's retreat. Mr. Blair's
friends may say that he would have been
foolish to have removed the farm and run
the risk of defeat in the Legislature, but
we are prepared to show that no such
fate would have befallen him, and in do-
ing this, we have only to quote his own
friend and supporter, Mr. Stockton, who
will be remembered, said during the
Stock Farm discussion in the Assembly,
"that if the Stock Farm had been re-
moved, he for one would have hesitated
before voting non-confidence in the Gov-
ernment, for that reason alone. Here
we have a specific expression from one
of Mr. Blair's warmest friends, and at
the same time, one of the most active
opponents of the removal of the Farm to
York. Mr. Stockton then, asserted,
that even if Mr. Blair had removed the
Farm last autumn, he would have still
supported the Government, and what
was true of Mr. Stockton, would have
been true of every other friend of the
Government. The contention then, that
if Mr. Blair had removed the Farm with-
out consulting the Legislature, he would
not have been supported by his own
party, has no weight whatever, and can-
not be successfully urged in Mr. Blair's
defense.

Now, where York has complaint
against Mr. Blair, is in the fact that
he did not proceed with the removal of
the Farm when he undertook it last
Autumn. He cannot escape censure for
allowing himself to be backed down from
his purpose, by the St. John influence
in the Government. As the leader of
the Government, with an Order in Coun-
cil in his pocket, he could have effected
the removal, and assumed the responsi-
bility. Was not the Farm established in
this way? Did Mr. Fraser and his col-
leagues wait for the meeting of the Leg-
islature, before authorizing the establish-
ment of the Farm? Certainly not.
They acted on the recommendation of the
Board of Agriculture, and the farm
throughout the country, leased the
Farm, placed the imported stock on it,
and their action was endorsed by the
Legislature at its next session. Mr.
Blair, if he had possessed any backbone,
could have done the same, and he too
would have been endorsed. We have
Mr. Stockton as a living testimony to that
fact.

But Mr. Blair did not choose to do so.
He sacrificed York to his greatest en-
emies, and the Farm was lost to her.
What a striking picture indeed, did Mr.
Blair's Government present, on this
Stock Farm question. By an order in
the Council, the Government decided to
remove the Farm. It was the policy of
the Government to remove the Farm, but
how did they stand to their policy on
the floors of the Legislature. In this
way; Mr. Blair was unable to control
more than two of his colleagues. Of the
seven members of the Government who
voted on the question, four of them, the
majority, voted against their own policy.
In July, 1884, passing an Order in Coun-
cil, to do as they pleased, in March 1885,
they voted against their own act by a major-
ity of one! That is the kind of a Gov-
ernment that runs this country, and that
is the class of people who sit on Mr. Blair
and who compelled him to get down on
his marrow bones when his own country
was to be sacrificed. "Why," said a
prominent St. John politician to the
writer, when the Stock Farm was under
discussion in the Assembly, "so strong
is the feeling in our city, against York
that if you could offer the fertility of
the Garden of Eden, our people would
never consent to York obtaining the
Stock Farm." The same gentleman,
doubtless had a hand in compelling Mr.
Blair to recede from his position last
Autumn. Here was the secret of the
whole matter. The St. John people
dared Mr. Blair to remove the Farm to
York, and he weakened immediately.
We would not be surprised, if he even
apologized to them for the action he did
take, towards removing the Farm.

"Mr. Blair's Double Dealing."
"Mr. Blair's double dealing with the
Lien Bill, introduced by Mr. Wetmore, has
been the subject of much discussion, and
has been the cause of much ill feeling.
It is not necessary to say that the Bill
was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was
introduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was
introduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It is not necessary
to say that it was introduced in the
Assembly, and that it was passed by a
large majority. It is sufficient to say
that it was introduced in the Assembly,
and that it was passed by a large ma-
jority. It is not necessary to say that
it was introduced in the Assembly, and
that it was passed by a large majority.
It is sufficient to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
not necessary to say that it was in-
troduced in the Assembly, and that it
was passed by a large majority. It is
sufficient to say that it was introduced
in the Assembly, and that it was passed
by a large majority. It

... ..

STAPLE and FANCY
RY GOODS
AND
MANY MADE CLOTHING

AT

all stock now on hand, comprising in part the following, viz:—

Ladies' Dress Goods,
 Washers, Cords, Serges, Brocades and Suits
 in New and fashionable colors for Spring
 Summer wear.

Mantle and Mantle Cloths,
 Trimmings, Gossamers, Parasols, Gloves,
 Scarfs, Ties, Collars, Laces, Muslins,
 Silks, Flannels, also Gray and White Cloths,
 Swansdown, Ducks, Drilling, Ticking,
 Sailing, Table Linens, Piano and Table
 Cloths, Lambricquins, Castanets, Damasks.

Men's Youths' and Boys'

al in finish to Custom. Suits \$5 to \$25

Remnants in Hosepant, Tweeds, Ducks, and
Lining, Bandwoven, Cotton, etc., always
at prices away down.

OWEN SHARKEY.

—OF—


HATS and CAPS.

Abstract

ALL AND EXAMINE

Prices to suit the times.

Fredericton, April 7, 1886



KINSON'S STEEL PLOUGH.

M.

STOCK and to ARRIVE for SPRING
TRADE,
165 CELEBRATED
WILKINSON & FLEURY'S
STEEL PLOUGHS
and a large supply of PLOUGH R
PAIRS, including
2145 Shears,
1120 Soles,
925 Landsides,
150 Heads,
130 Mold Boards,
590 Steels,
so Cleavages, Wrenches, Jaws, Bol
Strucks, Collars, &c., for "P
" and "Louise." No. 17, "Farmer
riend" and "Solid Comfort." No. 1
No. 12 and 21, Fleury Ploughs No.

oughs.

HARROWS!

— — — — —

WE have COLLARD'S PATENT FLEXIBLE HARROWS which have been sold here for the last seven years, they are now improved and made all steel. The Harrow consists of sections and is connected by hinges (see or key) that there is a flat behind each one, and also one between them by means of which it adapts itself to all unevenness of ground. Light draft. Cuts 8 feet wide.

For sale only by us or our Agents.

JOHNSTON & Co
FREDERICTON.

April 1, 1885

SUPERPHOSPHATE.

Prize sale by the subscriber. It raised the £1000 for the Provincial Exhibition in Victoria County, as seen by the prize list.
 JOHN NEILL
 Gibson, April 14th, 1885—1 month.


TEAS & COFFEE.
 Just landed from London, direct:—
 66 HALF Chests Choice Teas;
 20 Sacks Superior Java Codes.
 For Sale on Retail at Wholesale Prices.
 GEO. HATT & SONS
 Fredericton, March 25

J. C. RISTEEN & CO.,
—MANUFACTURERS OF—
Doors, Sashes, Blinds & Furniture
Moulding, Jig Sawing, Planing and Turning done to order.
Dressed Clapboards, Flooring & Sheathing constantly on hand.
We shall be pleased to have our patrons call and examine prices.

FUNERAL DIRECTOR,

King Street, Fredericton, between Carleton and Regent Streets.

RESIDENCE OVER SHOP.

An engraving of a horse-drawn carriage. A driver in a top hat sits at the front, holding the reins. Several passengers are seated in the back of the carriage. The carriage is pulled by a horse. In the background, a statue of a woman stands on a pedestal. The scene is set outdoors with a fence and trees in the distance.

Handsome Cloth and Velvet Trimmed Caskets Manufactured on the Premises.

I have had many years experience in the business, and am familiar with its details. Prices will be found to be **CHEAPER** than any Establishment in the City. A
 Moderate and prompt service.

NEW GOODS.		NOTICE.	
NEW GOODS. NEW GOODS.		<p>NOTICE is hereby given, that BENJAMIN CLARKE of St. Mary's, York County, and JOHN W. VAN WART, of the same County, have effected to call for the benefit of his Creditors, contained in each Book of assignments, a sum of money, to be paid to the said BENJAMIN CLARKE, on the 1st day of December, 1844, at the residence of the said JOHN W. VAN WART, in the County of York, State of Maryland.</p> <p>And that the said JOHN W. VAN WART, of Van Wart, Fredericks, Barristers, for and in respect of the said BENJAMIN CLARKE, has appointed</p>	
<p>JUST OPENED:</p> <p>WALL BASKETS, SATCHELS; HULL AND POCKET BOOKS, PURSES; A LETTER, CARD AND CIGAR CASES;</p>		<p>CHARLES D. JONES, WESLEY VANWART, Trustee</p>	

Cigar and Cigarette Holders,
Pearl and Celluloid Stud, Col-
lar and Cuff Buttons.

From 1st May next.

THE SHOP and WAREHOUSES IN W
MOT'S BLOCK, Queen Street, now open

Carved Bread Plates and Knives,
CARVING SETTS,
Table and Desert Knives with Cel-
luloid Handles.

S. F. SHUTE,
SHARKEY'S BLOK.

April 15

THE subscriber tenders his sincere thanks to his numerous customers, for the very liberal patronage they have bestowed on him, for the

Winter Stock
 OF
BOOTS AND SHOES,
 is now complete, and will be found to be the
 largest and best assorted stock of **BOOTS AND**
SHOES in the city. Among his varieties will
 be found

For Sale or to Rent
 THAT LOT OF LAND opposite the residence
 of H. G. C. Ketchum, Esq. Apply to
 DR. JACK, *Union*
 Fredericton, April 15, 1885

1885. MARCH 1885
SPECIAL BARGAINS

Very suitable for Presents.

Ladies' Fancy Slippers.

Men's Tweed Suits, Over Coats

Ladies' Gent's and Children's
SNOW SHOES,
Ladies', Gent's, Misses, and
Children's Overboots,
Ladies', Gent's, Misses and
Children's Moccasins.
Ladies' and Gent's Creepers.

JACKSON ADAMS
FUNERAL and FURNISHING

FOUNDERS AND FORMERLY
[UN]DERTAKER,
COUNTY COURT HOUSE SQUARE,
(OPPOSITE QUEEN HOTEL)
FREDERICTON, N. B.

Cash buyers will find it to
advantage to call and examine
Stock before purchasing.

REMEMBER THE STORE:

Wilmot's Block, Queen Street
Fredericton, N. B.

SIMON NEALE
March 25, 1924

Keeps constantly on hand a full line of
CASKETS and COFFINS

From the CHEAPEST CLOTH COVERED to the HIGHEST CARPETS IN ROSEWOOD, LININGS, HANDS, &c.

ROBES and SHROUDS of all descriptions, for old or young. Also

**Trimings, Mountings,
Linings, Hat Bands,
Crapes, Gloves, &c.**

Thirty years Practical Experience as a Funeral Furnishing Undertaker, enable me to know the market value of all articles of the kind, and to sell them at the lowest possible price.

300 just received, 800 more to arrive.

Frederick, April 16.


LADIES!

Do not be in a hurry buying your SEASON'S CAMPBELL & CO. STYLISH and FASHIONABLE Dressing, as the great Market is in the country, with an eye to you.

It will open his New Spring & Summer Goods, and a splendid variety of the most splendid assortment of Campbells, Hones, and other goods, etc., which will be in the best of condition, durable in use, reasonable in price.

Frederick, April 1, 1865

Florida Oranges;
Messina Oranges;
Messina Lemons

House Square, G. E. JACKSON ADAMS,
Funeral and Paving Undertaker.
Fredericton, March 14, 1883. 

WANTED LADIES AND GENTLEMEN
who desire to receive the 10¢ a day mail
and home, with copy for each issue
with stamp **Cross M. & Co.** 524 York St.
