



# Campus night watch planned

by Don Truckey

Evening patrols on campus to discourage sexual assault may be the next step in a widening effort by the Sexual Assault Committee to protect U of A students.

Debra Lauber, university vice president and chair for the committee, said Monday the patrols would be a "preventative measure." She added the committee "really doesn't know the

extent of the problem, but that's no reason to be complacent—or to over-react."

"One doesn't wish for a great bloody rape on campus to point out a need for protection," Lauber said. "We shouldn't wait until after the fact; there should be preventative measures even if the extent of the problem is undetermined."

"It's appalling that it's not possible to get information from anybody," Lauber said in

reference to Campus Security's refusal to comment on the severity of the problem.

In addition to their preventative function, Lauber said, the patrols would be used to gather on-the-spot information about the frequency of sexual assault at specific locations on campus, possibly resulting in public warnings or better lighting in those areas.

The patrols could be operating "in a few weeks,"

Lauber said, if the proposal goes through the Board of Governors' financing committee and the university planning committee this week.

"I would hope to have one male and one female on each team," Lauber added. "Their functions would include discouraging loiterers and providing escorts where requested."

Since winter weather increases the possibility of indoor

assaults, the patrols will pass through campus buildings as well as covering outside walkways.

Institution of the patrols is the committee's top priority, Lauber said, but added that other measures have been taken, including an increase in outside telephones on campus connected to Campus Security or city police.

Additional story p.2

Anything worth having...

# The Gateway

...is worth cheating for. —W.C. Fields

LXVII, NO. 34. THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA.

TUESDAY, JANUARY 25, 1977. TWELVE PAGES.

## Responses tabulated

Responses to a December questionnaire distributed by the department chairmen's committee concerning reorganization of the university's power structure, have been tabulated and made public.

Only 568 responses were received from 1,800 questionnaires distributed and this low turnout may perhaps be attributed to a ban by the Association of Academic Staff University of Alberta (AASUA) and the Arts Faculty Executive Council.

The two groups urged their

members to reject the "ballot" questionnaires because while they offered a checkbox for those supporting the proposal, they did not offer a checkbox for those opposed to the proposal.

Thus, while 215 staff members voted support of the proposal (38 per cent), 317 (or 57 per cent) voted in some manner against it — with 32 writing their complete opposition to it, 95 checking the box indicating support with reservations, 89 checking the box indicating restructuring is needed but in a different manner, and 101 indicating the present system is satisfactory.

Only 19 members indicated they were uninterested in the issue and only eight responses clearly indicated they were not answering because of AASUA's ban.

### Board's delay

A Board of Governors decision on the tenure status of Dr. Anthony Vanek has been referred to Feb. 4.

A delay in providing pertinent information concerning the matter to board members resulted in the postponement.

The board will hear a tenure review committee recommendation calling for Vanek's reinstatement.



Engineering Week begins.

And, of course, so do the "Queen" contests. The candidate here is discussing the inverse relationship between the electrical conductivity of human skin and the emotional resistance of sexual stereotypes.

## Canada balks at grass clause

OTTAWA (CUP) - Canada refused to sign portions of an international treaty which would require it to extradite persons for marijuana offenses.

The treaty, the United Nations Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, signed late last year, includes a clause demanding automatic extradition of offenders to their native country. Canada has declined to accept those provisions of this

revised 1961 agreement.

According to an official with the department of external affairs in Ottawa the main reason for the government's refusal to agree to those provisions is because consideration is being given to changing the status and penalties of the marijuana — cannabis — laws in Canada.

Under the U.N. convention cannabis is lumped with heroin and other narcotic drugs.

Because the proposed Canadian legislation might remove cannabis from the category of drugs under the Canada Narcotic Control Act and since the punishment for simple possession may be reduced officials have balked at signing all the provisions of the convention.

Cannabis crimes under the convention would be considered serious enough to warrant extradition from Canada to another

signatory country to the convention, even though in Canada the same cannabis crime might not be considered serious enough to warrant extradition under Canadian law.

In refusing to sign parts of the convention, signing with "reservation" in diplomatic terms, Canada remains free to determine if the drug offense is both serious enough in Canada to warrant extradition as well as

being extraditable in the other country. By placing "reservations" beside the particular paragraph they don't agree with, Canada effectively doesn't accept as law those provisions.

A bill with proposed amendments to existing cannabis laws in Canada passed the Senate with amendments in June 1975 and was sent to the House of Commons.

No definite data, but...

# "Rape prevention a necessity"

The extent of "sexual assault" on campus may never be determined, but preventive measures must be taken in any case, said Paul Sartoris, head of Student Counselling Monday.

Sartoris, a member of the university's Sexual Assault Committee, said he's not satisfied with any reports he's seen on the problem, but even one or two cases a year justify a preventive effort.

"It's easy to be cool looking at statistics," Sartoris said, "but when you think of your wife or girlfriend, any indication of a problem justifies concern."

Sartoris said people do not

turn to Student Counselling immediately in cases of sexual assault — "we handle only one or two cases a year" — but that anguish resulting from assault is often reported. This "assault fallout," along with the ongoing fear of assault harbored by many campus women, also justifies preventive measures, Sartoris said.

"Sexual assault" is a vague term, Sartoris remarked, since it must include rape, attempted rape, indecent exposure, obscene language and telephone threats. But since report rates usually indicate only 20 to 25 per cent of actual incidents, vigilance

is necessary.

"We're operating on the idea that there's always a risk in a large city," Sartoris said, adding that many students attending university from rural areas feel too safe in an urban setting.

Sartoris expressed surprise at the number of complaints he's dealt with as a committee member from female faculty members. "A big part of the problem is fear," he said, "and it's certainly not confined to students."

Fear of assault and a suspicion that the problem was more widespread than officially acknowledged, led the Academic Women's Association to form an *ad hoc* investigative committee at the request of General Faculties Council (GFC) in Nov., 1975. This in turn led to the formation of the Sexual Assault Committee.

A confidential report obtained by *Gateway*, "Survey of Sexual Assaults on Campus," dated "spring, 1976," indicates information available to the committees at that time included:

(1) a report from Campus Security, indicating an average of two indecent assaults reported per year;

(2) a report from University Health Service, indicating that 20 to 25 cases of sexual assault on females could be identified from their records over a one-year period;

(3) a report from Student Help, indicating that the U of A is "one of the top three areas in the city with respect to the incidence of rape."

According to the report, a telephone line installed by the committees to record reports of sexual assault (not a distress line) received 30 calls in two months, which would extrapolate to 180 cases over one year.

"Thus we do seem to have gone beyond the tip of the iceberg," the document says.

It continues: "One male ('sounding professorial') called to report that he knew of four separate cases in which professors (he named the department) had made sexual demands

of female students in exchange for marks."

"Three responses dealt objections to pornography advertising: most recently, picture of three bare-bottomed males on the front page of April 1976 *Gateway* ('in very bad taste — I find it offensive'). This is sense, visual sexual assault," report says.

Incidents of homosexual assault are also recounted in report.


One of the recommendations made in the document for walking patrols around campus to discourage incidents may be implemented within weeks.



Queen's University at Kingston

## Master of Business Administration

Queen's University at Kingston offers a modern, discipline-based approach to the study of management in the complex organizations of today and tomorrow. The learning atmosphere in the School of Business is lively, informal, intimate and flexible. Persons from almost all academic programs will find MBA studies rewarding. Financial assistance is available.



Professor W. E. Miklas,  
Chairman, MBA Program,  
School of Business, Queen's University  
Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6

Please send information concerning Queen's MBA to

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Graduating Year \_\_\_\_\_

Street \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ Province \_\_\_\_\_

University \_\_\_\_\_ Program \_\_\_\_\_

## Club policy guide coming

A policy guide for university clubs is expected to be ready for distribution by early March SU vp services Jan Grude said Monday.

The guide, compiled by Grude, contains suggestions concerning how clubs can draft a charter, register members, elect officers, organize finances, hold property, and handle other administrative chores. It also outlines prospective status for clubs

in relation to the Students' Union and occupancy privileges they can expect in SUB.

Grude stressed that the guide will be for the convenience and benefit of university clubs. He urged existing clubs and people interested in forming clubs to take advantage of it.

Grude said he expects the guide to be ratified by Student Council in early March.


**ratt\***  
food service  
8:30 AM till 11 PM  
"refreshments"  
3 PM till 11 PM

Regular food service - same as bar hours

\*7th floor SUB There's Room At The Top

## Answers

1. d) Ken Anderson
2. Washington - Greg Joly, Kansas City - Wilf Paiment
3. Montreal (1974-75) and New York Islanders (1975-76), 92
4. a) Calgary b) Quebec c) Phoenix San Diego
5. Roger Maris, 61 in 1961
6. a) Bill Gadsby b) Jacques Laperriere c) Bobby Rousseau Frank Mahovlich e) Ed Johnston
7. Montreal, 10 in 1972-73
8. Lou Fontinato, New York Rangers 1955-56
9. a) baseball b) basketball c) golf skiing
10. Edmonton Eskimos, 5938 yards



THE GLORIOUS BEER OF ALBERTA U.

# "Ombudsman"

last two weeks of last December. Presumably those were all finals, presumably none of the students involved got a chance to check the accuracy of the scoring. Close to one-third of the students on this campus may have been involved.

My suggestion right now is directed at only a small sample of students. If: a) you took a multiple-choice final exam last December, and b) had no opportunity to check the accuracy of the scoring, and c) got a grade which was no more than two percentage points below the cut-off for the next higher grade, and d) are sufficiently pissed-off by that to want to do something about it — why don't you go see your instructor in that course and ask him to check your return, or let you do it?

Technically, this may fall under the provisions of the re-read clause in the University Calendar, which specifies yesterday as the deadline for re-read requests, and also mentions a \$10 fee. I don't think that clause should apply to this situation very closely, however, since it starts with the words: "Since great care is taken in marking final examination papers..." I don't think anyone can argue that "great care" is taken in this particular approach to grading, unless the instructor can show that he has seen to it that all answer sheets were hand-checked beyond what the Optical Scorer did. I think you have the right to ask for such a check, given the demonstrated inaccuracy of the existing machine-scoring procedures. And I hope someone will raise this issue at GFC or in a similar body, to try to insure that injustices of this nature either have not occurred, or can't in the future.

Meanwhile, if your instructor doesn't want to allow you that "re-read" — talk to his Chairman, his Dean, or me.

**Correction:** last Thursday I fell into one of my elitist habits, associating the term "Professor" with the title "Doctor." Prof. Davey should not have been identified as Dr. Davey. My apologies for this error of fact.

## GRADUATING???

### Looking for a professional career -

— like urban planning, management of parks and natural areas, urban design, community development, building design and construction, energy conservation, biological studies of the environment?

### The Faculty of Environmental Design

offers interdisciplinary graduate studies leading to the Master of Environmental Design degree in

- \* Architecture
- \* Environmental Science
- \* Urbanism

Open to students with a Baccalaureate degree or equivalent

Financial assistance available

Assistantships — \$1,500/annum

Fellowships — \$5,125/annum

Students and faculty interested in the Faculty of Environmental Design are invited to meet representatives of the faculty on Monday, January 31, between 2:00 and 4:00 P.M. in Room 128B, Administration Building.

To assist with arrangements for seating, please telephone 432-5456 before 4:30 P.M. Fri., Jan. 28/77.

Further information may be obtained from:

The Students Programme Office  
Faculty of Environmental Design  
The University of Calgary  
Calgary, Alberta  
Phone 403-284-6601

Deadline for application is March 15, 1977.

## GFC exec. defers appeal proposal

By Allen Young

The U of A may see significant changes in grievance and appeal procedures if proposals presented to the executive committee of the General Faculties Council (GFC) are implemented. At an executive meeting Monday, Greg Noval, GFC Arts faculty member, requested the executive recommend that GFC establish a committee, or amend the terms of reference of existing committees, to yield a body which will deal with students' grievances concerning admissions, marking, and teaching practices above and beyond the faculty level.

The executive referred the motion to the newly-created Dean of Students office, with provision for input from the Students' Union and any other interested organizations.

Presently students appeals and grievance procedures, as established by GFC, require that students first approach their instructor, then the department chairman concerned. The student may then approach the dean or director of their faculty, and finally, take the grievance to the GFC appeals committee.

Problems with this existing structure which necessitate the change, according to Noval, include limited authority of the academic appeals committee. First, the committee has no authority to hear an appeal concerning a mark in an individual course. Second, the committee has been moved from Humanities L-4 to Humanities 2-

hear appeals over refusal of consensus among deans to keep appeal and grievance procedures relatively informal at the faculty level. This, along with the closure of the Ombudsman's office last year is unfair to students involved in appeals. Noval said.

committee has no jurisdiction to investigate teaching, there is a consensus among deans to keep appeal and grievance procedures relatively informal at the faculty level. This, along with the closure of the Ombudsman's office last year is unfair to students involved in appeals. Noval said.

As stated in a GFC report to the U of A.

Noval also pointed out that, because of the large number of quota faculties at the U of A, the Ombudsman's office last year is unfair to students involved in appeals. Noval said.

**GRADUATION PORTRAITS**

Annual Special Offer

1/3 to 2/3rds off Regular Price

JANUARY 3rd to APRIL 15th

Phone for your Appointment NOW.

439-7284 433-3967

If you are not satisfied with your sitting. Retake or refund to keep. Proofs are yours.

**Parker & Garneau Studio**

One Location Only 8619 - 109th Street 3 blocks East of Campus

**STUDENTS' UNION**

Freshman Orientation Seminars requires an Assistant Director

May-September

responsibilities:

- assist the director and chairman in the recruitment and training of seminar leaders.
- assist the director in the administration and planning of weekend as well as one day seminars, at the discretion of the director.
- respond to enquiries regarding various aspects of the FOS program.

requirements:

- typing speed of 30 wpm.
- adequate knowledge of filing
- good communication skills

Apply in writing to Chairman, FOS, Rm. 240, SUB. Interviews will be held 5:00, January 31 in room 270A, SUB.

A seminar on Canadian journalism, offered by Edmonton Journal columnist June Sheppard, will be held in the Library school (Rutherford) room 3-1, at 11 a.m. on Monday, Jan. 26.

**ROOM CHANGE**

Students who wish to attend the current series discussing common grammatical errors presented by the department of English, should note that the program has been moved from Humanities L-4 to Humanities 2-

# The Gateway

THE GATEWAY is the newspaper of the students of the University of Alberta. It is published by the Students' Union twice weekly during the winter session on Tuesdays and Thursdays. Contents are the responsibility of the editor, opinions are those of the person expressing them. Letters to the editor on any subject are welcome, but must be signed. Please keep them short: letters should not exceed 200 words. Deadlines for submitting copy are 2 p.m. Mondays and Wednesdays. Main offices are located in Room 282, SUB for Gateway, Room 238 SUB for Media Productions. Phone 432-5168, 432-5178, 432-5750, Advertising 432-3423. Circulation 18,500.

Editor - Kevin Gillese  
 News - Don Truckey  
 Features - Bruce Rout  
 Arts - Brent Kostyniuk  
 Sports - Darrell Semenuk  
 Photo - Grant Wurm  
 Graphics - Craig McLachlan  
 Advertising - Tom Wright  
 Circulation - Jim Hagerty  
 CUP Editor - Cathy Brodeur

STAFF THIS ISSUE: Mary D., DAX, Peter Birnie, Loreen Lennon, Margriet Tilroe-West, Lindsay Brown, Liz Jarvis, Kimball Cariou, Sue Michalicka, Doug Torrance, Allen Young, Terrence Pack, Shirley Glew, Kent Blinston, A. Fierce,

# editorial

Students often feel a Students' Union executive has no other function than to shuffle papers and worry about such silly make-believe "important" matters as the SU constitution and by-laws. The Zoeteman executive this year seems to have had such charges levelled at it more often than either of the past two administrations of Joe McGhie and Graeme Leadbeater, and it sees unfair to me. The constitution and by-laws have been virtually unchanged now for three years; the result is we (the SU) are saddled with an outmoded, unworkable series of "rules" which the Zoeteman executive is struggling to put in order before the new SU executive is elected next month. This is an arduous task - made arduous because both the McGhie slate and the Leadbeater slate *ignored* the constitution and by-law, choosing instead the flashy political limelight to guide them.

McGhie, along with the 1974-75 council speaker Rick Cooper, did revise the constitution and by-laws, but the job was incomplete and often tended towards brevity at the expense of clarity and feasibility. McGhie, as the law rep on the 1975-75 council following his term as president, was supposed to sit *ex officio* on the constitution and by-law reform committee but elected to dropout before any of the committee real work could begin. Leadbeater did not touch the documents after McGhie left.

Now Zoeteman's slate (or at least a few people on it, as usual) have begun to re-examine and re-work the constitution and by-laws. Hopefully the executive will make our laws relevant and valid again. Although judgement cannot be passed until the job is finished, the very fact some executive members are willing to begin such a worthwhile project in the final two months of their jobs, indicates a type of devotion one saw from student councillors when there was a real student movement, a real feeling of brotherhood among students on this campus.

The results of the department chairmen's questionnaire have been tabulated; the question now is how much importance members of the campus community should attach to these results. I don't think we can consider the figures important as either a negative or positive reaction to the proposal since the questionnaire has been influenced by so many factors since it was formulated and distributed. The form of the questionnaire is faulty in that it provides a box for those people who wish to check a complete support of the chairmen's proposal but no box for those who are completely opposed. The wording of accompanying documentation implied the questionnaire, which in fact was an informal opinion poll, was somehow a "ballot" and therefore of official significance.

The reaction upon distribution of the "ballots" surely influenced the results, too, since both the AASUA and the Executive Committee of the Arts Faculty asked staff members to reject the questionnaires. Responses to the questionnaires were gathered by placing a box in front of the door outside the GFC second floor University Hall office (and, as GFC secretary M. McCaughan says, no one watched who placed into or withdrew from the box). And so on.


There were so many such irregularities in the wording, distribution and collection of the questionnaire responses that I think for anyone to attach significance to them would be improper. The money used by the survey was badly spent - if we had desired a formal ballot, we should have set one up, with proper form and proper collection. Until such time as we, the campus community, choose to do so, we cannot try to empirically judge any reaction on campus.

by Kevin Gillese




For National Advertising, this paper is a member of  
**youthstream.**  
 The Campus Network  
 307 Davenport Road, Toronto, Ontario M5R 1K5  
 (416) 925-6359

## BUB SLUG by Delaney & Rasmussen



WHAT KIND OF A CRAPPY SET-UP IS THIS?! NUTTIN' BUT BUB SLUG AND JOHNNY CASH SONGS!! WHADDA BUNCH OF CRAP!!

I CAN'T STAND THAT DAMN HARDHAT AND PIG-SHAVE! THAT POTATO-NOSE MAKES ME SICK!!



## Zola rolls in his grave

This morning I was confronted during my Chem class with a petition which stated "J'accuse the French government of political cowardice and blatant collaboration with the international terrorists by releasing Abu Daoud, organizer of the

slaughter at the 1972 Munich Olympics."

It is obvious from this, the first of three similar accusations contained in the petition, that the author and those who circulated this petition are very concerned about what seems to be a miscarriage of justice.

It is unfortunate to note,

however, that by stating outright that Abu Daoud was the organizer of the Munich incident the author has convicted him of the crime without benefit of judge and/or jury.

Is this any more just? I am quite fed up with people representing various undoubtedly worthy causes, who ask me to support them and their cause by signing petitions of this nature. I find it impossible to support something of this nature, but by not signing it, I seem to commit myself to the apathetic multitude.

Please, please, when you circulate a petition, make sure you say what you want! The potential signers should be allowed to judge the petition on its merits, not be forced to ignore it, simply because the author overextended himself.

D.A. McWhinnie

### No apathy really...

It's no small wonder that students are continually being accused of being apathetic. The cause of student apathy does not lie with the student! I've said it before, and I'll say it again, "How in hell can one be apathetic about something one knows nothing about?!"

Well folks, we've been handed another wiener. Our election rally booking for OUR Students' Union Theatre has been quietly cancelled by people supposedly working for us!! Now, we may be able to pile 450 students into a Tory Lecture theatre while CBC enjoys OUR 650-seat theatre and intercom system.

There is certainly something wrong here. It's time our employees started working for us again. If you're as sick as I am about being called apathetic, vote.

Patrick Hanley Arts 2

## \$40 in fines, please

I submitted a request for a book on 3 January 1977, and was told by the library staff that I might get hold of the book within a few days since the book has been borrowed by a "faculty member," and is now overdue (Due Sept, 1976.)

I am still unable to get hold of the book.

The reason is simply: it has been signed out by a Professor and despite the various phone calls they make to try to recall the book and the subsequent "promises" that the book will be returned the following day, the book never made its appearance in the library.

As a believer that the library is meant for *all users*, I do have the following query arising from the above mentioned incident: Is there any measure to make faculty members return books which are overdue other than just trying "to recall by phone," since if this technique fails, the disappointed user (like me) will just be barred from the usage of those particular books held by our honourable faculty members?

Recall also the fact that we, ordinary users, will have to pay 25¢ per day accumulatively for any book that is overdue.

A disappointed library user

## Byte byte Amdahl, have you any data?

Remember goldfish swallowing? How about streaking? Well, a new popular activity has come to town: byte chasing!

Right here in Edmonton, a couple of hobby computer enthusiasts have begun to form their own hobby computer club. Their small ad in that other newspaper gave three phone numbers to call for more information (467-0793, 465-3279, 436-9737); your intrepid reporter, on phoning the first of these numbers, learned that the group hadn't been formed yet, but is holding a founding meeting at 7:30 p.m. Thursday, Jan. 20.

The two founding members already have their own IMSAI 8080's. The purpose of the club is to enable hobbyists to exchange information on construction and purchasing of home computers, as well as, eventually, software.

Also present will be the people planning to set up a hobby computer store in Edmonton (Calgary already has two), and as many persons interested in jobby computing as they can worm out of the woodwork.

The place? 92 Granville Crescent, Sherwood Park.

John Savard Grad Studies

## Save energy...

## but at whose cost?

TO: Professor Paul Rapoport  
Department of Music

FROM: M.M. McCaughan,  
Secretary to  
General Faculties Council

Re: Parking Plug-ins.

Further to your letter on the above matter I just just received a News Release, a copy of which is attached, which explains Board

of Governor's policy on energy conservation.

Since the matter of which you complain is evidently official policy, I think little is to be gained by raising the matter at General Faculties Council. The Board Building Committee would be the place where such policy is first promoted. I would suggest therefore that you contact the Chairman of this Committee,

Vice-President R.E. Phillips.

To: M. M. McCaughan  
From: Paul Rapoport

Thank you for the news release dated 14.1.77 on plug-ins. If it was written to reduce further complaints, it may not work.

I wish to point out the following, all of which make it imperative that the matter be brought to the attention of the

GFC. Naturally Prof. Munn, the music representative, may bring it up in any manner she wishes.

1. The policy on plug-ins may be complex. Why have users not been informed of any of it?

2. If 6 of 16 lots and 2 parkades are routinely disconnected at -10 degrees, why have users not been informed of this? Why only those 6 lots?

3. What "extension of the program" (p. 3 of the release) is contemplated? Perhaps a lower temperature cutoff?

4. "Without any reduction in effectiveness": naturally Mr. Burns may effectively save even more energy, at a cost to users many times greater than the very small amount of money he is now supposedly saving.

5. Users have paid for a service they are obviously not getting. This constitutes, in my very rough estimate, a 50% price increase of plug-in cost to users, *unannounced*. The parking handbook does NOT say there will be no service above -10 degrees.

6. Inasmuch as the GFC apparently approves parking regulations (see handbook), it ought to become involved when serious irregularities like these occur and no attempt is made to inform users.

I am very pleased that energy conservation is the root of the changes. Curious that in the news release this seems to be mentioned only in connection with conservation of money — for the university (administration), at the expense of uninformed users, who nonetheless might concur, if only they were given the chance. Perhaps many users, if aware of the policies, might choose not to use plug-ins. It should be their option to decide, based on information they do not now have.

I do not believe that the Board of Governors' Building Committee will rectify the manner in which these policies seem to have been carried out. Writing to them may serve other purposes, however, and I thank you for the suggestion.

A final point: what is being saved if more trucks have to be sent out to help start cars which have been "unplugged"?

## Now class, we shall begin our two-minute Turtle hate...

Well! Hell hath no fury like the drama department scorned! After the publication of my letter of Jan. 11th, Orwellian hate sessions were held in class, and my good Irish name was subjected to all sorts of perfidious ridicule. Actually, none of this was really surprising, when the department's ability to take criticism is taken into account.

What was surprising, however, was the clever artwork posted on the door of the drama department office. Although I did not personally see this near-libellous poster, friends from within have informed me that someone had created a kind of wanted poster, with my picture from a two-year old student directory to serve as positive identification. I am wanted, apparently, for pseudo-intellectualism.

A CKSR news story revealed

the following interesting facts: the poster was dutifully put up by a secretary in the department. Showing this secretary much more respect than she has shown me, I will not mention her name. It is here, though, that my respect ends.

When questioned, this secretary and her co-workers insisted that I had registered for Drama 251, and was not allowed to take the course, which supposedly spurred my letter. Logically, it would seem that they were implying that the "friend" whose case I was representing in my letter is non-existent; that I was the person wronged by the priority system. The secretary also was absolutely sure that I was so irate at my rejection that I had gone up to the department office and complained rudely about my problem; in her words, I had harassed the secretaries.

Well, there is no telling how


many people heard and believed that story, thereby providing them with a motive for my letter — a classic case of sour grapes.

Therefore, I wish to state publicly, indeed, I will swear on a stack of Bibles, (or a stack of Pinter scripts, whichever is held in higher esteem by the department), that I did not register for Drama 251, that I did not harass the secretaries, and that I have not been anywhere near the Drama Department office for over ten months. A *simple* check of the records will reveal that in the 1973-74 school year I took the full-year course, Drama 250, thus making me ineligible for Drama 251. The fact that this secretary identified me positively from a small and almost unintelligible photograph reflects how strongly the Department wished to find evidence with which to condemn me. She was totally wrong.

If this secretary does not believe me, perhaps she should re-examine her facts. Because there was apparently someone who did harass the secretaries, I will not make this letter a series of insults.

However, I would like to thank this secretary for her concern. She asked the CKSR news reporter if I was "mentally unbalanced." Well, let me say that I appreciate her tact, (mentally unbalanced sounds so much nicer than "out of his mind"), and I wish to assure her that yes, I am fine. My doctors have promised me a weekend pass, perhaps as early as this spring.

Gordon Turtle  
Arts IV



University students who are of being subjected to engineering week can apply for a Leave of Absence at the Registrar's Office. Please be prepared to furnish proof that you are being driven nuts by frustrated engineers and sloppy deadlines.

A.D. Cairns,  
Registrar

## Is there taste in humor?

Walter G. Aiello  
Professional Lecturer  
Department of Mathematics

Dear Mr. Aiello:  
Thank you for pointing out the error of my ways. All I can say is:  
"Good taste in humour is a contradiction in terms; like a chaste whore."

M. Muggeridge

Sincerely,  
Ken Jackson,  
Pres. BACUS

Thank you for your inclusion of the "offending" articles. They included the necessity of a lengthy rebuttal.

However, I thought it was *Gateway* policy to insure that persons or organizations that were called to task received

advance notice of the onslaught. It was interesting to read Mr. Aiello's letter in Thursday's *Gateway* and receive a personal copy on Friday morning.

I'm not bothered in this case because I find it rather amusing, but I'm attempting to ensure that your policy applies to everyone on campus, not just the Students' Union. (Which you stated sometime in Nov. 1976.)

Ken Jackson  
Pres. BACUS

Ed. Note: Perhaps your misunderstanding of *Gateway* policy, Ken, indicates why you cannot differentiate between what is humorous and what

merely serves to reinforce stereotyped sexual-racial roles in a grossly offensive manner. As stated in the Nov. 18 issue, our policy is "when charges of a serious nature are made in one letter, the *person* to whom the charges are directed may be given the chance to respond in that same issue."

As most people would be able to distinguish upon first reading, *Gateway* policy applies to people, not to institutions; Aiello attacks BACUS, hence no policy (or moral) reason for advance warning. By the way, we do apply our policy to people outside the SU...or perhaps that's another misunderstanding?



THE WAY  
I SEE IT

## FRANK MUTTON

Well folks, this is it. You are now reading my last column because I'm hitting the trail, hitching my wagon to a star, and bidding a fond farewell to the *Journal*. You won't have Frank Mutton to kick around anymore.

I'm going to miss everyone here. No more **smoke bombs** in the Ladies Room, **firecrackers** in Westgate's cigars or **whoopee cushions** under June Sheppard's posterior. I enjoy thinking back over the years to my humble beginnings in journalism, when I sold **day-old newspapers** from an apple-cart in the depths of the Depression.

Business in stale news wasn't exactly booming so they moved me into **typesetting**, where I managed to pour almost fifty pounds of molten lead on my shoes over the next decade.

When **World War II** broke out I became an international correspondent, following our men in action to world hotspots like Manyberries, Parry Sound, and Mississauga. I even received the **Nanaimo Cross** for bravery above

and beyond the call of duty when I beat a German POW in arm-wrestling. He would've had me but his traction bandages slowed him up.

After the war I became **City Editor** at the *Journal*. Back then news was scarcer than bugs in a wind, so I spent my time learning mumbly-peg from Art Evans and assigning reporters to cover dog poisonings and Ukrainian weddings. I lost a lot of good reporters at those weddings.

The 1960's saw me off around the world on a number of important assignments. To Lac La Biche for reaction to the Kennedy assassination; coverage of the Vietnam war from the Marine Information Service Office in Seattle; an in-depth look at Pierre Trudeau's first visit as Prime Minister to the troubled area of **Come-By-Chance, Newfoundland**. All in a day's work for a seasoned reporter like myself.

Things have slowed down in the last few years. After an operation which left me unable to

tapdance or think straight, I was given **Barry Westgate's** column. Barry had decided that **grade-B gossip** wouldn't earn him a place in the journalistic record books, and resigned the post to take up his favorite hobby — sitting

**J. Patrick**, my boss, was most understanding when I tethered my resignation. He said that the loss of my column would be most keenly felt by **O'Malley**, his parakeet. The bird enjoyed gouging the eyes out of that little picture of me beside the column.

My reasons for leaving the *Journal* are private and personal, but rest assured that it has nothing to do with that after-hours episode with Sylvia in Typesetting. She had only been trying to help me with a stuck zipper when Ashwell walked in. He'll be out of **Shock Therapy** in a week of two.

Anyway, I hope you've all enjoyed the column, and be sure to tune in Thursday for a new venture called **Our Man in Leduc**. I don't know who writes it, but I'll find out...I'll find out.

## *Aquatics Supervisor*

The successful applicant shall be directly responsible to the Director of the Recreation Branch of the Yukon Government and shall supervise the programs and operations of seven portable pools throughout Yukon. The successful applicant must possess the knowledge of, and have the ability to function as, a field representative for the Canadian Red Cross Society and the Royal Life Saving Society of Canada, as well as have a thorough understanding of pool operations. This position involves extensive travel throughout Yukon and demands the incumbent be able to meet with and work with local citizen organizations.

Applicants must possess a current Bronze Medallion, National Lifeguard Award, Instructor Award, Examiner Qualifications with background in operations and maintenance of pools. Any experience as a leader in other recreation areas would be an asset.

Government of Yukon will pay for transportation costs to and from Vancouver or Edmonton.

**Closing Date:** February 25, 1977.

**Salary:** \$441.27 bi-weekly and under review.

**Submit detailed resumes to:** Public Service Commission

Government of Yukon,  
P.O. Box 2703  
Whitehorse, Yukon.  
Y1A 2C6

## *Swimming Pool Managers*

The Government of Yukon has several openings for persons interested in summer employment, from approximately mid May to mid August, as managers of portable swimming pools in a number of Yukon locations. Reporting to the Yukon Government's Recreation Branch and working in close liaison with community sponsoring groups, the successful applicants will be required to manage portable swimming pools and perform routine maintenance tasks to ensure efficient operation of the pools as well as instructing Red Cross and Royal Life Saving Society courses and introducing and implementing other aquatic and recreation programs.

Applicants must possess or be eligible for a Red Cross Water Safety Instructor's Certificate. They should also possess a current life saving award (minimum Bronze Medallion) and be able to work effectively with a minimum of supervision. Previous experience in maintenance and pool operations will be an asset.

Government of Yukon will pay for transportation costs to and from Vancouver or Edmonton.

**Closing Date:** February 25, 1977.

**Salary:** \$391.04 bi-weekly and under review.

**Submit detailed resumes to:** Public Service Commission,

Government of Yukon,  
P.O. Box 2703,  
Whitehorse, Yukon.  
Y1A 2C6