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## THEY DECLARED IT NECESSARY TO PREVENT OBSTRUCTION

# LIBERALE <br> WERE THE FIRST TO ADVOCATE CLOSURE <br> their attitude THEN and NOW 

The bitter opposition of the Liberal members of the House of Commons, and the Liberal press, to Mr. Borden's closure measure is entirely inconsistent with their attitude on the same question when they were in power. On the public platform and through the columns of the public press, the Liberals in 1908 and during the last general election campaign boasted that if returned to power Sir Wilfrid Laurier, as thedr leador, was plodged to pasa a closure measure. They alpo repeatedly declared that the majority muat rule and obstruction be made imposuible.

It is a strange fact that the Liberals and those of their party press who have been the loudest and most vehement opponents of Mr. Borden's action in so amending the rules as to facilitate the conduct of public business, were, prior to their party's defeat in 1911, the strongest advocates of such a course.

The public statements of Liberal speakers and extracts from the Liberal press, contained in the following pages, defining their attitude then and now will prove illuminating though scarcely edifying. They prove conclusively, however, that the Liberals are insincere and their obstruction of $\mathbf{M r}$. Borden's "Naval Aid Bill" and their denunciation of the closure measure were decided upon for the sole purpose of making political capital and as methods of political expediency pure and simple.

## Learier or Fishor-Which?

Sir Wilfrid Laurier declared in the House of Commons, on Wednesday, April 9, of this year, that he had always refused to introduce the closure.

But Hon. Sydney Fisher, a leading colleague of the then Premier, speaking at Waterloo, Que., on August 1, 1911, during the Reciprocity campaign, made the following significant announcement :
"Now if we are returned to power, we will pass Reciprocity and ine will also pass a mensure changing the rules so that the braineus of the country may go briskly forward, without obstruction. It is only right that it should be so. Fulf investigation we believe in, but empty obstruction is a drag on the country."

On. August 19, 1911, at Magog, Que., Mr. Fisher said:
"So long as a small minority or any group can take up the time of Parliament in order to hear themselves talk, it is impossible to avoid waste of time, and I give my pledge that, if the Lavurier Government is returned again, the rules of the House will be changed. I consider present conditions inimical to the proper conduct of public business."

At Knowlton, Que., Augnst 21, 1911, Mr. Fisher said: It was the intention of the Government, should and the House that such obstruction would in future be impossible."

## "Obstruction is a Viec," deolarod Mon. Rodojithe Lomioux

Hon. Rodolphe Lemienx, th.n a member of the Laurier Government, used the following. "guage in a speech delivered at St. Hyacinthe, August 13, 191?:
"In the regular orter of things the present parliament should not have been dissolved until 1913. The liberty of speech is one of our most prized conquests, but the abuse of this liberty, that is obstruotion, is a vice and should be extiryated from our political life, and when returned to power Sir Wilirid Laurier will introduce a law providing for the exercise of closure in parliamontary debates."

## Anothor Laurior Ministor Propared to Vote closure

One more of Sir Wilfrid Laurier's then eolleagues, Sir Allen Aylesworth, speaking at Newmarket, Ont., June 2, 1911, declared as follows :
"Now some attention has recently been paid to that (the prevention of obstruction) by reason of some remarks uttered by Hon. Sydney Fisher, in the Eastern Townships. He was expressing no more than I would exprowe, no more than every Liberal in the House would express. Things have come to a pass which threatens the destruction of representative institutions. I make the ustatement with a full sense of the responsibility upon me for what I utter, with the full sense that I speak as a member of Parliament and a Minister of the Crown. Under our existing rules of procedure there is no limit upon talk."
And further on:
"I must say that from what I have witnessed of what goes on session after session, I am prepared to vote olosure, and to atay there to put closure through no mattar how long that may take."

## Mon, W. 8. Fiolding, Lenrior's Minister of Finamoc, siil: "Thio Majority IIMust Rulo."

Hon. W. S. Fielding, a responsible member of the Liberal Government. and for many year Finance Minister, made the following statement in the House of Commons during the semsion of 1908 :
"allo public dolliberative amombly could be conducted upon any ofter pelmeiplo than that the wifh of the mayortity eliall protall. If that majority acta fooilohly, harahly, then the remedy ain pubiic opinion. I repeat the statoment that iu this or any other deiliberative nesembly no member can have a right in the Further on he said:
"ijerery mombor of this fiouse and overy group of mombess has juit aed much riche an the majortty accord to thom, and no more. That is true to-day as it was irue then. But the trouble is my hon. friends oprosite have abused their righte. The trouble is we have got into the habit of permitting an easy soing method of procedure whereby hon. gentlemen opposite are permitted to delay the business of the House to an extent that wouid not be allowed in any civiiized country outaide of Can. ada. It wan just such difficulty that compaliod the mother of partiaments to adopt a procedure which allew the majority

## Mon. Wm. Paterson Dectared Closarre Wonld ho Endorsed hy the Poople

Another member of the Laurier cabinet, Hon. William Paterson, who dropped out of public life as a result of the Reciprocity fight of 1911, declared from his seat in the House of Commons, in 1908, as follows:
"If there is anything in the minds of the peopie at the present on which, when the Government ask for a verdict, they will get a favourabie verdict by an overwhelming majority, it is this question of obstruction. I can tell you that the rules of chin House must be revised in such a manner that while the amplest time will be given ior the discussion of every question and the investigation of every subject, there must be a means devised whereby the hon. member for North Toronto and the little clique around him will not be abie to keep this House sitting indefnitely. This is the issue that will be pronounced apon, and the parts which will favor a rovision of the rules in crider that something within the lines of decency may be main. tained and the country's money sared will be ondoriod by the
people."

# THEN AND NOW 

The inconsiateat attitude of the Liberale ahown by statements made when in power and sinoe thoir defent.

Eion. Wm. Pugeloy's mitatements of 1011 compared with thove of to-days.

MR. PUGELTY IT 1011.
On Jaly 24, 1011, Hon. Willlam Pugsley, Laurier', Miniater of Public Workn, made the following statement:


#### Abstract

"The chreate which monourable seatiomen have made this ifternoen, cond smwarde almost, if not quite, rovolutionitaine the ithoory which haes hitherte prevalicod. That thoory hae beow that seatiomen would roccetineze not only ehetr rithe but thele duty and thas to that THE WILL OF THE MAJORITY BILALL PREVAIL. That 30 ros. cosatzod throuthout this country ns covernime all acoomblices. ail meorimgo in which peoplo are concornod, and at any meotias attonded by the prople of this country; whenever there hae brow fatr and reaconable opportualty for the diecusalon of any guetion. THE MINOAITY HAVE ALWAYS RECOGNIZED THAT THE VIEW OF THE MANORITY SHALL PRE. VAll, co far at all oveate as that body to concerands and so in this Fariliment. I ask is is mot tendinat to dectroy froe parimemontary tastitutione for honournble semalemon to ries, wo they have rteme to-day and thrcaten that thle question thall not be allowed to come to a rote." "I do not cart what took phece In 183\%: I Tan not here in 10\%. 1 SAY IT 18 NOT IN HARMONY WITH THE PRINCIFLES WHICH ought to goveran parliamiant ARY INSTITUTICNS THAT GRN. TLEMIN SHOULD RISE IN THEIR places and threaten, bimply because their vilws are not THE VIEWS OF THE MAJORITY THAT THERE SHALL BE NO OPPORTUNITY TO VOTE UPON THIS OUESTION, and no opportuanty, in a conotitutional way, of etiving exprecalon to the Flow: of the majority of thin Houce. THAT IS REVOLUTIONARY: TT IS NOT IN HARMONY WITH THE PRINCIPLES OF CONSTITU. tional goveramient."


## MR. PUG8ITY IT 1018.

The name Pugaley, now an aspirant to lead the Liberal Opposition, makes the following statement to-day:
"Let me say, Sir, that in the interests of the people of this country and in the interests of the ininority, it would be better to apply the dagger than to strangle free speech. If this resolution should pass and we have anl attempt nade to apply the guillotine, I do not know that the guillotine is any more agreeable than the dagger."

And he also says:
"I object to the resolution because the rules which have prevailed for centuries have been established for the purpose of protecting the rights of the minorities and ensuring the priceless liberty of free speech in Parliament; I am opposed to it, Sir, because I believe this is an attempt to place the minority of this House absolutely under the control of the majority."

## F. B. Garvell's Stitement of 1988 and that of To-day

## MR. OARVELL IT 1908.

F. B. Carvell, the Liberal member for Carleton, N.B., made the following statement on June 5, 1908:
"The action of thoes hon. sentiomen (the Oppoeltion of that day) ralces the question fatr and equare: Can the Govornment of thin country, whother LLberal or Conservativo, aflord to be placed in euch a poostion as that? CAN ANY GOVERNMENT AFFORD TO place itself at the mercy OF AN OPPOSTITION, AND VIRtually declare that, if THP OPPOSITION DO NOT LIKE ANYTHING CONTAINED IN THE legislation that is introDUCED, ALL THE OPPOSITION HAS TO DO IS TO WITHHOLD SUPPLY AND THE GOVERN. MENT WILL BACE DOWN? Garried out in practice and you have: a country ruled not by the majority but by the minotity."
And again:
"I ack asain: Can any solf ree-
pocting Government afford to be
placed in thle poaltion'? It bay
there 18 only one colution to thit
quection; IF THIS OPPOSITION
OR ANY OTHER OPPOSITION
CHOSE TO, PURSUE SUCH A
COURSE, THE INEVITABLE
CONSEQUENGE WILL BE CLOSURE
I am not the first one to ndrocato
closure."

He stated further:
"Therofore 1 eay that this is a
matter which ought to be discumed
thoroughly: snd I boliove thet if
the Oppodition dotermine to poralet
in thit unpatrifotc, unvorthy cource.
the neat move the Government wili
have to mike to to adopt the closure.
And I may to the Government that
in my opinion, while I think it it
the only course they can purate
IT IS ONE THAT WILE BE SANC-
TIONED AND APPROVED BY THE
PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTRY.
LET THE GOVERNMENT START
IN AT ONCE AND GHANGE THE
RULES OF THIS HOUSE, IF IT
TARES MONTHS TO DO IT IN
ORDER TO ADOFT THE CLOS-
URE."

## MR. OARVELL IN 1013.

The F. B. Carvell of today, now a member of the Opposition, has the following to say of the closure:
"It is a matter of supreme importance to the people of this country that we should discuss it-that we should do more, that we should fight it. We ought to do everything that free men can do to show the iniquity of this rule being forced upon a free people."

He suys also:
"There must be, I am sure, a few reasonable men on the other side, - and I cannot believe there are half a dozen hon. gentlemen in the House, including members of the Government, who had the faintest idca of the real iniquity of the proposed rules as submitted to the House in this resolution by the Prime Minister.'

## Hon. Charles Mirphy also Takes a Somorsult

When a member of the Laurier Oabinet, in the position of Secretary of State, Mr. Murphy deolared closure must come to Oanada. Now he refuses to be bound by it.

MR. MURPEY IN 1011.
Mr. Murphy, as quoted in the Ottawa "Free Press" (Lib.) made the following statement at a public gathernig on August 16:
"Under the rules of the House as they exist, the majority was at the mercy of the minority. If the Opposition chose to abuse their power, the Government is powerless to preyent it. Un- : der these circumstances there was nothing left for the Government but to ask that the issue be submitted to the people and let the people decide. Hon. Mr. Lemieux said at St. Hyacinthe that some measure of closure would be necessary in order to make it impossible for the Opposition to obstruct the business of the country, and he (Mr. Murphy) was perfectly in accord with that proposition. The closure existed in Great Britain, in France and in the United States, and it must come to Caneda."

## MR. MORPEY IN 1013.

In the course of a speech in the House of Commons on April 16, against Mr. Borden's closure resolution, he made the following statement:
"I claim that any rules passed in this way are unconstitutional and unjustifiable, and can have no binding force on the members of this House. I, for one, decline to be bound by them."

## "Strou-llowed Toger-Wheren"

Hon. Frank Oliver, "the man from Edmonton," and Minister of Interior in the Laurier Cabinet, is nothing if not picturesque. Likewise his paper, the Edmonton "Bulletin." Both have a reputation for lurid language. Mr. Oliver during the debate upon the Naval Bill and the closure measure has earned the reputation of an inveterate blocker and obstructor. He repeatedly declared his emphatic opposition to closure.

Yet here is what Mr. Oliver's official mouthpiece, the Edmonton "Bulletin," had to say on May 11, 1911, on the question of obstruction :
a to moup of strong-hoaded tonguo-waggers have it in thetr
and to block indefnitoly tho buatnons as long as they pleaco,
large the number of their the passage of any measure, however
warmly the public approve of it. The fer to it, and however
a phycical one, and leather lungs The performance is puroly
necessary, save a callous indiffersace of the only qualification
tolerant majority in the House and out of it.". Fights of the too

## The Mipst Inflemential Literal <br> "Wanitohat Free Press" "Faver in the West, the

IN 1911.
'If a challenge for a trial of strength is made, the Government should meet it and fight it out to a finish, if it takes all summer and next winter too. The inevitable victory, when it comes, should carry with it the Olosure which will take our Parliament out of the freak class and make it an assembly that can act as well as talk. The Canadian is the only British Parliament of the first rank which has not the closure. It is higin time that it lost this undesirable distinction."

## In 1913.


#### Abstract

"The ground which the Liberals are holding in regard to the question of naval policy is strong ground. Equally strong will be their ground in fighting against the imposition of the closure. There is no justification for a resort to the closure at


## What "The Giobe" Snid

## THE TORONTO "GLOBE," THE ORAOLE OF THE LIB. HRAL PARTY AND EDITED BY THE REV. J. A. MAODONALD, WAS A STRONG ADVOCATE OF CLOSURE IN 1911.

## THE "GLOBE" IN 1911.

"The application of the closure to debate at Ottana seems inevitable. The one Parliament that retains the Great Britain tradition of free and unlimited debate has during the past few sessions proved that the syatem is no longer applicable to modern conditions. Parliament in Canada, with all the vast and complex problems arising out of the settlement of a half continent to dispose of, cannot become a mere academic mutual improvement society. Debato must bo a means, not an ond. Iiberty of speech in the Commons has degenerated into licence, and a dozen inveterate talkers bore a weary House with talks that were old two thousand years ago, until the wonder is that enough members can be induced to remain in the chamber to make a quarum."

## AGADN IN 1911.

"The heat of the summer will do much to lessen the fighting spirit of the irreconcilables, and if they hold out through June and July, then August would be an excellent month for introducing that much-needed measure, a bill for applying the principle of closure to Parliamentary debato in

## THE "GLOBE" IN 1013.

"All the great authorities on British constitutional law and parliamentary practice are agreed that the adoption of closure has greatly and probably permanently changed for the worse the old time House of Commons, has lessened the dignity and usefulness of the private member, has proportionately and not usefully enhanced the power of the Cabinet, and has aggravated the tendency of the House to become a bear garden. Every member of the Canadian House of Commons must face the responsibility attached to making a similar change for the worse in one of the few remaining parliaments that have kept themselves free from factitious closure."

## The Ottawa "Free Press"

## THE OTTAWA "FREE PRESE"" THE LIBHRAL ORCAN IT THES OAPITAL, LITEE THE "GLOBE," DONE NON HISITATE TO OHANGE ITS ATEITUDE WHMN neomsary.

TELE "FRHE PRESS" DN 1013.
"To the 'Free Press' the threatened attack on free speech in Parliament has been of as much concern as has the dangerous policy of direct cash contributions to the Imperial exchequer. We have held to the idea strong. ly that any attempt to adopt closure in the Canadian House, should be fought, constitutionally or unconstitutionally to the last ditch. We believe the Liberals would be justified in turning the Commons into a veritable 'bear garden' should such an attempt be made."

## THE "FREE PREAS" 1011.

"The kangacoo closure which was enforced in the British House on Tuesday, is the latest device known to Parliament of cutting the talk short and getting, the business on, remarks the 'Manchester Guardian.' The noed for some sort of closure has been evident of late in the Oanadian Parliament, and the 'Guardian's' description is, therefore, of interest."
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