

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/  
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/  
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/  
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/  
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/  
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/  
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/  
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/  
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/  
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/  
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.
- Additional comments:/  
Commentaires supplémentaires:

- Coloured pages/  
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/  
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/  
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/  
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/  
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/  
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/  
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Includes supplementary material/  
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- Only edition available/  
Seule édition disponible
- Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/  
Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/  
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
						✓					

---

**THE ADDRESS IN GYNÆCOLOGY.**

BY


**WILLIAM GARDNER, M.D.,** 1866

Professor of Gynæcology in McGill University, and Gynæcologist to the Royal  
Victoria Hospital, Montreal.

---

*Reprinted from the Montreal Medical Journal, September, 1900.*

---



WILLIAM GARDNER, 1866.

THE ADDRESS IN GYNÆCOLOGY.

BY

WILLIAM GARDNER, M.D.,

Professor of Gynæcology in McGill University and Gynæcologist to the Royal  
Victoria Hospital, Montreal.

*Delivered before the Canadian Medical Association, Ottawa Meeting, 1900.*

MISTAKES IN DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT.

From the standpoint of a consultant of over twenty years standing, I have learned something of the mistakes in diagnosis and treatment made by myself and others. I have conceived the idea that some consideration of this subject might not be unprofitable before a meeting mainly of general practitioners.

It is a trite saying, that we learn more from our failures than our successes. It is, perhaps, equally true that we learn more from our mistaken than correct diagnosis. The lessons we thus learn are often painful and the experience bitter, but they are not likely to be forgotten.

Accuracy in the diagnosis of pelvic conditions depends mainly on education of the sense of touch. This can only be obtained by long and patient practice and much opportunity for making examinations. All teachers of practical gynæcology will bear me out when I speak of the difficulty in giving to the medical student more than a few opportunities on the patient. It is far other with the teacher of clinical medicine, who can in most cases allow an unlimited number of students to examine a chest of lung case.

Nevertheless, many fewer mistakes would be made if attention were given to a few simple details. In this, as in everything else in medicine, the grand safeguards against mistakes are system and method in case-taking and examination. As a rule a woman's pelvic organs cannot be satisfactorily examined if she lie on a bed or couch. The many advantages of a table; a firm surface, for the physician's comfort, have only to be experienced to be realized. I am well aware of the difficulty in getting many women to consent to this, especially if the practitioner be young. Suitable personality and tactful manners will, in most cases, lead to success.

The condition of the adjacent viscera, the bladder and rectum, is all important. The rectum must have been emptied before the patient comes to the examining table. With reference to the bladder, my own practice, learnt by personal experience, is to empty the bladder by

catheter after the patient is in position on the table. The advantages are that: (1) We may note the presence or absence of discharges, such as that of gonorrhœa, about the genitals, and their character, a very important kind of evidence which we should lose if we allowed the patient to pass water naturally.

(2) There are many women who when asked to pass water immediately before a pelvic examination are unable from nervousness to do so.

(3) We get an uncontaminated specimen of urine for examination.

When from a suitable position of the patient, whereby the abdominal muscles are thoroughly relaxed, we may still have to contend with rigidity from nervousness or ticklishness on the part of the patient, this may be overcome by a manoeuvre which I frequently practice with success. It consists in making a series of circular, frictional movements over the lower abdomen. These should first be in a circle of relatively wide diameter, the whole abdomen, but gradually narrowed to one much smaller. What do we gain by this manoeuvre? If gently executed we overcome rigidity of the abdominal muscles and we displace gradually the intestines. These movements are the first thing done in the practice of the Thüre-Brandt method of pelvic massage.

Medical students and doctors of little experience have often complained to me of being unable to reach the structures at the upper and back part of the pelvis because their fingers were too short. The relatively long, posterior vaginal wall can be, in a sense, shortened by steady, gentle, continuous pressure on the perineum, whereby it is partially turned into the vagina.

In physical examination for pelvic diagnosis I would strongly urge caution in the use of the sound. Apart from the danger of inducing abortion in unsuspected pregnancy, unless strict asepsis be practised, the sound is a dangerous instrument. Many a woman has died of the uterine sound. In the great majority of cases it cannot be used without abrasion of some part of the uterine canal. Unless instrument, hands, and field of operation be sterile, there is great danger of infection, and this has often been the consequence, setting up more or less serious and sometimes fatal pelvic inflammation.

With all due respect to the great Sir James Simpson and others whose names are so intimately connected with the use of the sound, I am convinced that it is a much overrated instrument. In hands skilled in bimanual palpation it is rarely necessary, while in hands unskilled, it will hardly ever add to useful, practical understanding of the case. As a consultant I have learnt that the sound is a great deal too much used by the general practitioner.

**Mistakes in the diagnosis of retroversion of the uterus, either way,**

that is to say, mistaking retroversion for other conditions or mistaking other conditions for retroversion, are certainly amongst the commonest. But, indeed, accurate diagnosis in complicated conditions, (and complicated conditions are common and the most important) is often most difficult. A common mistake is overestimating the importance of retroversion, of the displacement *per se*, in a complicated case; as of pelvic inflammation directly inducing the displacement. Such an imperfect or mistaken diagnosis may lead to an attempt to replace the uterus by sound or repositor, and to its mechanical treatment by pessary, with, most probably, disastrous results.

This leads me to speak of mistakes in overestimating the importance of deviations of the uterine axis from the normal. No more fierce wordy wars have ever been fought than by gynæcologists over the relative importance and order of occurrence of displacements, and those changes in the circulation and nutrition of the uterus to which we apply the term chronic metritis. The transactions of the Obstetrical Society of London of about thirty years ago teem with the discussions. While most of us claim to have obtained a position nearer the truth, the consultant still finds in the body of the profession imperfect views, and inadequate conceptions of the subject. It seems often to be forgotten that the uterus in health is essentially a very movable organ. It is pushed backwards by a distended bladder, forward and upwards by a distended rectum, and by every act of respiration, especially by forced respiration as in coughing, vomiting, or violent effort, it is deviated from what may be considered the norm, and all such displacements, temporary it is true, are attended with relatively little in the way of symptoms attributable to the uterus.

I am next led to speak of another mistake which we have made in the past, but which we are, some of us at least, now rectifying, and that is in failing to recognize that in many women a displaced uterus is only one element, though certainly a very important one in a case of more or less general descent or sagging of abdominal viscera, the condition of enteroptosis. For many years I have in every case I examine made a point of examining for the position of the kidneys as well as other viscera of the abdomen. Displacements of these organs in gynæcological cases are of extreme frequency. It is true that descent of the kidney does not always cause symptoms. In other cases the symptoms are grievous. In the parous woman they are especially so. The commonest and perhaps the most important mistake here is in overestimating the importance of the pelvic condition and neglecting to take into account the rest. The repair of a lacerated perineum, the necessary colporaphies, and the performance of a selection from the various forms of

fixation of the uterus, may for these reasons be disappointing in their results.

In the management of displacements by many practitioners mistakes are often made in overestimating the usefulness of pessaries, in the selection of cases suitable for their employment, in the selection of a pessary for a particular case, and in the neglect of the very frequently necessary preliminary treatment of the patient and the parts against which the pessary will lie. Ofttimes too, there is lacking an adequate conception of the necessary care of a patient who is wearing such an appliance. The consequence is that appliances, which in suitable selected cases are undoubtedly most useful, suffer undue and unmerited discredit.

The sensations of the patient which suggest to her mind displacement of the uterus and which are apt to be accepted by the inexperienced physician, are often due solely to vaginitis. This condition, when of the fundus of the canal where it is often mainly or exclusively present, can only with ease or certainty be diagnosed and treated by the Sim's method of examination. This method of examination, it would appear, is learnt by only a small proportion of those who practice gynecology. It requires the patient to lie on a table in the necessary position, to have her clothing loose, and to breathe quietly and naturally. All these conditions being fulfilled, the use of the Sim's speculum is merely an accessory, for the bent handle of a pewter spoon or even the finger will sometimes suffice to retract the perineum and posterior vaginal wall and expose the now distended vagina, the result of atmospheric pressure acting under altered relations of abdominal and pelvic organs. A careful examination by this method (which, I contend, should be practiced in every case with pelvic symptoms) will often lead to the discovery of a degree of vaginitis, which can be most satisfactorily relieved by a few applications of silver nitrate solution.

Perhaps no more common mistakes are made than in the diagnosis of pregnancy, and all will bear me out when I attempt to emphasize their importance. Of the effect of such mistakes on the reputation of the practitioner, I feel sure that some at least here present are prepared to bear me out. Failure in the recognition of existing pregnancy is rarely pardoned by a woman. Failure to discover that she is performing the supreme function of her sex, and to give her credit for it, is to her a grievous fault. Apart from this there is the obvious importance of early knowledge of the fact in order that plans may be made and necessary arrangements put in train. The cases are few in which a diagnosis cannot be made by a careful investigation of history, symptoms and physical signs, negative and positive. I must, however, not forget to admit that we are not always freely admitted to possession of each of

these sources of evidence. Many women are proverbially inaccurate as to dates and in the description of symptoms, and we must ever be on our guard against the designing woman, legitimately or illegitimately pregnant, who wishes to rid herself of the conception, and who hopes that by the use of the sound or other instrument incautiously used by the practitioner, her purpose may be effected. While history, symptoms, and the condition of the breasts are all important, the supreme value in the estimation of the various sources of evidence is to be placed on the bimanual palpation of the uterus. I am in the habit of impressing this on my students. If, with empty bladder and rectum, and everything else favourable in the position of the patient, you cannot easily define the uterine body, so distinctly firm in the nulliparous condition, then suspect pregnancy. It is thus soft in the condition of pregnancy, and comes nearly to the feel of the roof of the vagina and other structures in the pelvis. If the uterus can be defined, the value of the so-called Hegar's sign,—the sudden increase of size above the junction of the body and the cervix—is very great. It is in early pregnancy that mistakes in diagnosis are most frequently made, but I have known not a few in the more advanced stages. Cases are not unknown of all the arrangements having been made for operation for ovariectomy, and the patient meanwhile being delivered of a full term child. This has occurred to men of world-wide reputation, the authors of books and numerous papers on obstetrical and gynaecological subjects. In one instance which occurred to me, ovarian cyst had been diagnosed, and the woman being in great distress from the enormous distension, she had been twice tapped. She travelled over five hundred miles to reach me for operation, all the preliminaries having been arranged. I found her resting on her hands and knees in my waiting room and in that position she had remained during the night in the sleeping car. On examination, I was immediately able, through the cervix, to recognize foetal parts. The case proved to be one of twin pregnancy with hydramnios. The gravid uterus had been tapped and the liquor amnii drawn off. Beyond a doubt the true nature of this case would have been recognized by a careful consideration of history, symptoms, and physical signs, instead of by the mental attitude of taking certain things for granted. Recorded instances are by no means single of operators, when doing hysterectomy for fibroid, being surprised by the discovery of early pregnancy. It is safe to say, from what we know of the very human nature of our profession, that many more have never been recorded. It is doubtless true that operation was the best course in many such cases. The sudden increased activity of growth of fibroids previously unsuspected, in the gravid condition of the uterus, certainly in many such



instances, must have led to the experiences just alluded to. I venture to ~~make~~ the assertion that they are very rarely unavoidable.

So much for the diagnosis of uterine pregnancy, undoubtedly often beset with difficulties. The cases are rare in which there is a necessity for immediate action. In all cases of doubt or difficulty the doubts should be frankly stated and time and further opportunities for examination requested. The cases are few in which the practitioner will not by such a course retain the confidence of the patient and her friends, whereas a positively given, mistaken opinion will in most cases be disastrous to his reputation.

If the diagnosis of uterine pregnancy be difficult in certain cases it is vastly more so in the case of extra-uterine pregnancy, whether early or advanced. I venture the assertion that there is no operator of large experience in pelvic surgery who has not at some time or other operated for tubal pregnancy and found something else; or has operated expecting something else and found ectopic gestation. I have to confess having made such mistakes more than once. There are many deviations from what may be called the symptom-complex of this grave condition. In the early stage of extra-uterine pregnancy the conditions most apt to be confounded with it are the various inflammatory conditions of the uterine appendages, cystic adherent ovaries, hydrosalpinx, etc. In the rarer instances of rupture of the gravid tube with speedy fatal hæmorrhage (and the danger of this is much greater when the gestation is in the relatively indistensible and more vascular part of the tube near to the uterine end), the symptoms have in several instances given rise to the suspicion of death from poisoning or by violence. This suspicion was very strongly entertained by the friends of a patient whose case was reported many years ago to the Montreal Medico-Chirurgical Society. This woman, who sometime previously had been a patient of mine for office local treatment, ceased to attend, and the next thing I heard of her was that she had died seven hours after having been seized with violent abdominal pain and other symptoms. The nearest doctor had been called and, failing to recognize the real nature of the case, he had administered morphine. The death of the patient was attributed by the friends of the patient to the drug. An autopsy was demanded by the doctor and at first refused, but when threatened with a coroner's inquest they finally consented. The belly was found full of liquid and clotted blood which had come from the rupture of an expansion of the tube no larger than a small almond, situated one inch from the horn of the uterus. Even in this case a careful enquiry into the history and symptoms preceding the attack might have suggested the true nature of the case, for the woman had had pelvic sym-

toms which had been relieved by treatment, after which she had become pregnant. As Gaillard Thomas pointed out in a paper written by him many years ago, in the majority of the cases of extra-uterine pregnancy reported, the patient is pregnant for the first time or for the first time after years of sterility, during which she has suffered from pelvic symptoms and from which she has partially or completely recovered, spontaneously or while under treatment. My own experience amply bears out these observations of Thomas and others.

The correct diagnosis of uterine fibroids, while usually easy, is sometimes most difficult, and the history of the subject is fraught with mistakes. I have more than once opened the abdomen for operation to remove a uterine fibroid to find that I had to deal with the much simpler condition of intraligamentous cyst. So tensely filled are these cysts sometimes, and in their process of growth so closely do they lie to the uterus, that by position and consistence they now and then closely simulate the common, solid tumour of the uterus. The diagnosis of uterine fibromyoma from intrapelvic cancer, usually ovarian, in its early stages is by no means always easy. One mistake of this kind occurring a good many years ago mortified me very much. The physical signs were such that my diagnosis was multiple fibroids. In a few weeks, failure of flesh and strength and the appearance of peritoneal fluid aroused suspicions of malignant disease, which were confirmed by exploratory operation.

All ovariectomists and abdominal surgeons of much experience have been disappointed and saddened by the appearance of intrapelvic and abdominal cancer within a year or two after a smooth recovery from the operation for removal of an ovarian tumour, apparently quite innocent in its characters. Lawson Tait used to remark something to the effect that every ovarian tumour had in it the elements of malignancy. His remark was doubtless the outcome of the experience I have alluded to. It would be more correct to say that if the whole of every ovarian tumour were submitted to careful microscopic examination by a competent pathologist, many which appear benign would show malignant characters. This fact is a strong argument, if any were needed at the present day, for the prompt removal of every ovarian tumour as soon as possible after its discovery. In malignant tumour of no other organ is radical cure by operation so hopeful.

Nothing in the experience of the gynæcologist is so saddening as that of cancer of the uterus. In the vast majority of the cases when first seen the only verdict to be rendered to the anxious patient is "too late" to do anything but make the last months of life as little miserable as possible. In by far the larger number the woman does not seek advice

from her ordinary medical attendant, until her case is hopeless for radical cure. In rare instances even when opportunity for examination has been given, the true nature of the case is not suspected. In my experience the worst case of this kind was that of a woman who was sent to me by her medical attendant in the hope that I might be able to cure a vesico-vaginal fistula, the result of cancer of the cervix that had extended to and perforated the vesico-vaginal septum. This neglect of uterine cancer is due more than anything else to the delusions so universal in the popular mind concerning so-called change of life, delusions which I regret to say are shared by a small though I am pleased to say diminishing section of the general profession. Such are the prevalent ideas, that at the age of from forty to fifty women are subject to profuse and irregular discharges of blood, and that the essential symptoms of cancer are pelvic pain and foetid leucorrhœa. The experienced gynecologist knows that, save in a few exceptions, menopause is not attended with menorrhagia or metrorrhagia, except when some form of organic disease exists, and that such symptoms demand prompt pelvic examination. If this be true of women who have not yet attained menopause it is vastly more true of those who have ceased to have discharges of any kind for months or years, and yet I have known a number of instances of women of fifty and over, one of sixty-five, in which the appearance of a bloody discharge was welcomed, and announced with pride to her friends by the woman as a return of the distinctive characteristic of womanhood,—as a renewal of youth. One woman said to her friends, "I am getting young again." In my experience the appearance of bloody discharge in a woman who has ceased to menstruate means malignant disease and nothing else in ninety-five per cent. of the cases. In the other five per cent. the source of the blood is that interesting form of vaginitis which the late Professor Hildebrandt of Königsberg proposed to call "vaginitis adhesiva ulcerosa." As regards the significance of pain and foetid discharge, I wish to say with all the authority I may command as a consultant, that while invariably present in the advanced stages, they are almost as invariably absent in the early and manageable stages, and yet it has many times been replied to me when I had announced my diagnosis, "why the woman has had no pain or ill-smelling discharge."

If there is one early symptom of cancer more suggestive, even significant I ought to say, of the early stage of cancer of the uterus, cervix or body, it is the appearance of a thin, serous, slightly turbid, sometimes pinkish at first, and for many weeks usually inodorous, discharge. This so-called 'meat-water' discharge at any age ought at once to arouse suspicion in the mind of the practitioner consulted and lead him to in-

sist on an examination with all the authority he can command. The reasons should be given if necessary, and if he is refused he should wash his hands promptly of all responsibility in the case.

Malignant disease of the body of the uterus is undoubtedly very rare as compared with similar disease of the cervix, but I have found that its frequency and the possibility of it are much underestimated by many practitioners. The symptoms in a given case have led to the suspicion of malignant disease, the patient has been examined, the cervix has been found smooth and healthy, and the uterine body normal in size and symmetrical. Then, too often, has it been concluded that there is no cause for alarm, and the fatal malady, which could only have been revealed by the dilator and curette, is allowed for a time to go on with its stealthy pace till other more prominent symptoms arise.

And now I come to another class of mistakes, very common, much less serious in their results it may be, but certainly of great importance from the point of view of their effects on the patient's prospects and the practitioner's reputation. I allude to an underestimate on the one hand and overestimate, more frequent perhaps, on the other hand of the influence of disease and derangement of woman's sexual system on her symptoms and health generally. While it is true that there is scarcely an organ or function of the body which may not be disturbed reflexly or sympathetically by diseases or disturbances of function, and in many instances even by the physiological performance of function of woman's sexual system, yet it is most necessary that in every individual case the symptoms should be studied in the light of heredity, early training, and any other influences which may have determined the type of nervous system. And for the rest, in studying a gynæcological case the same methods should be pursued as those by which every case of disease is or should be studied, every organ and function carefully interrogated. In this way only may be avoided such grievous mistakes as removing healthy ovaries for painful menstruation, when that disorder is merely a local expression of a morbidly sensitive nervous system, inherited, or, as it may be, in many cases, acquired.

I feel that I must not conclude my discussion of this subject without an allusion to a class of mistakes which concern and influence the sexual hygiene of woman. Such are the mistakes of omission of the family doctor who fails to urge the mothers or guardians of young girls to inform those under their charge of the important matters pertaining to sexual hygiene. No girl can know by intuition the significance and importance to her health of a normal performance of the function of menstruation. How many instances have we not known of fright from the appearance of the discharge, of the use of cold water to remove it as an

unclean thing, of its disregard or of its deliberate arrest so that the pursuit of pleasure might not be interfered with. Such is undoubtedly often the result of ignorance, though many times also from wilful disregard of warnings of the consequences. In my experience there are few mothers or guardians of young girls who instruct in the necessary way those under their charge in this most important matter. This often appears to be a mere question of neglect but I am certain it is also very often from a shame-faced aversion on the part of mothers to discuss such matters with their daughters, and so a most important source of influence and a bond of confidence between mother and daughter are never acquired. If the young girl has to learn of this matter from friends and companions of her own age, or from mature women other than her mother, she may also learn from them other things she had better not have known.

There would doubtless have been little difficulty in further pursuing this line of thought. Suffice it to say that I have indicated mistakes the most common in my experience, and the most serious in their results, and if it be thought by some who have heard me that something is due in self defense for the selection of such a subject as that I have chosen for this address, let it only be that it is in some measure a confession. I have included in the list mistakes of my own, humiliating enough they have been, as well as those of others.

