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The following Report contains the results of field-work undertaken under the auspices of the Committee during the summer of 1897. The work was carried out by Messrs. Franz Boas and Livingston Farrand. A brief summary of the results of the work of the Committee has been drawn up by Dr. Boas, and forms part of this Report.

While the work of the Committee has materially advanced our knowledge of the tribes of British Columbia, the field of investigation is by no means exhausted. The languages are known only in outline. More detailed information on the physical types may clear up several points that have remained obscure, and a more detailed knowledge of the ethnology of the northern tribes seems desirable. Ethnological evidence has been collected bearing upon the history of development of the culture-area under consideration; but no archæological investigations have been carried on which would help materially in solving these problems.

For these reasons it is a matter of congratulation to know that the ethnological investigation in British Columbia will not cease with the operations inaugurated by the Committee. Ethnological and archæological work in the Province, in the adjoining States and Territories of the United States, and on the coast of Siberia is being carried on by expeditions the expense of which is borne by Mr. Morris K. Jesup, President. of the American Museum of Natural History. It is hoped that these investigations maj carry the work initiated by this Committee a step farther.

## I. Physical Characteristics of the Tribes of British Columbia. By Franz Boas and Livingston Farrand.

The anthroponetric measurements made during the season of 1897 were arried out by both of us according to the system applied in the previous Reports of the Committee. Before entering into a discussion of the results
it is necessary to show that the measurements of the two observers are comparable. We have carried out this comparison for the head measurements in which the personal equation is liable to attain considerable value Wegive here the averages of the various measurements taken onI., Stlemqö ${ }^{\prime}$. lequmq men ; II., Stlemqō'lequme women ; III., Chilcotin men. When we call $A$ the averages and $E$ the mean errors, we find :-

| - | Length of Head |  | - Breadth of Head |  | , Height of Face |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boas } \\ & \text { A. E. } \end{aligned}$ | Farrand A. E. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boas } \\ & \text { A. } \quad \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farrand } \\ & \text { A. E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boas } \\ & \text { A. E. } \end{aligned}$ | Farrand <br> A. E. |
| 1. | $186.0 \pm 0.9$ | $187 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 9$ | $158.5 \pm 0.8$ | $157 \cdot 9 \pm 1 \cdot 2$ | $119 \cdot 9 \pm 1 \cdot 0$ | $121: 5 \pm 1 \cdot 5$ |
| II. | $179 \cdot 6 \pm 1 \cdot 4$ | $177 \cdot 9 \pm 1 \cdot 4$ | $149 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 9$ | $151 \cdot 9 \pm 1 \cdot 1$ | $114 \cdot 5 \pm 1 \cdot 4$ | $114 \cdot 5 \pm 1 \cdot 4$ |
| III. | $187 \cdot 0 \pm 1 \cdot 0$ | $186 \cdot 1 \pm 1 \cdot 0$ | $159 \cdot 6 \pm 1 \cdot 2$ | $157 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 9$ | $124 \cdot 3 \pm 1 \cdot 4$ | $124 \cdot 3 \pm 1 \cdot 3$ |


| - | Breadth of Face |  | Height of Nose |  | Breadth of Nose |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boas } \\ & \text { A. } \quad \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Farrand } \\ \text { A: E. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boas } \\ & \text { A. E. } \end{aligned}$ | Farrand A. E. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boas } \\ & \text { A. } \quad \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | Farrand <br> A. E. |
| I. | $149 \cdot 0 \pm 0.8$ | $148.8 \pm 0.9$ | $52: 50.6$ | $50.9 \pm 0.8$ | $40 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 5$ | $39 \cdot 4 \pm 0.5$ |
| II. | $138 \cdot 0 \pm 0.7$ | $139 \cdot 9 \pm 1 \cdot 2$ | $49 \cdot 1 \pm 1 \cdot 1$ | $48 \cdot 6 \pm 0.9$ | $35.5 \pm 0.6$ | $35 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 6$ |
| III. | $149 \cdot 1 \pm 0.7$ | $147 \cdot 2 \pm 1 \cdot 0$ | -53.4 $\pm 0.6$ | $52.9 \pm 0.6$ | $39 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 5$ | $38.7 \pm 0.5$ |

- The differences between these averages are throughout slight. In order to show the comparability of the measurements still more clearly we give here the values of the differences and their errors, and the average difference and its error for each measurement which have been obtained by weighting the individual differences.

Differences betzeen Measurements taken by Boas and Farrand and their Errors.

| - | Length of <br> Head | Breadth of <br> Head | Height of <br> Face | Breadth of <br> Face | Height of <br> Nose | Breadth of <br> Nose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | . | $+1 \cdot 1 \pm 1.3$ | $-0.6 \pm 1.4$ | $+1.6 \pm 1.8$ | $-0.2 \pm 1 \cdot 1$ | $-1.6 \pm 1.0$ |
| II. | . | $-1.7 \pm 2.0$ | $+2.1 \pm 1.4$ | $0.0 \pm 20$ | $+1.9 \pm 0.7$ |  |
| III. | $-0.9 \pm 1.4$ | $-1.7 \pm 1.5$ | $0.0 \pm 1.9$ | $-1.9 \pm 1.2$ | $-0.5 \pm 1.4$ | $-0.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| Average. | $+0.1 \pm 0.3$ | $-0.1 \pm 0.8$ | $+0.6 \pm 1.1$ | $-0.3 \pm 0.7$ | $-0.8 \pm 0.5$ | $-0.9 \pm 0.4$ |

It appears from this table that the measurements are strictly comparable, and that the personal equation may be neglected.

The tribes which were principally studied are the Northern Shuswap, the Lillooet, the Chilcotin, and the northern tribes of the coast. The Shuswap are divided into divisions in a manner similar to the divisions of the Ntlakya'pamuq. We have collected measurements of the Stlemq $\sigma^{\prime}$ lequme, the division of the tribe living on Fraser River, north of the town of Lillooet, of the Stíatemq of North Thompson River, of the Shuswap'o'e of Kamloops, and a few of the group inhabiting Buonaparte River. We have treated the Lillooet of Fraser River, who are mixed with Shuswap, and Ntlakya'pamuq separately from the purer groups of Seton and Anderson Lakes. Following are the tables of measurements :-

ON THE NORTE-WESTERN TRIBES OF CANADA.

Stature of Womein.

Length of Head of Mon.

Breadth of Head of Men.




> Abrealth of tiave of Mon.

Height of Nose of Men.

| Mm. | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54. | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | Average | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of } \\ \text { Cases } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haida. |  | - | 2 | 1 |  | - |  | - | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $50 \cdot 9$ | 8 |
| Nass River Indians |  | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | - | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | $50 \cdot 6$ $54 \cdot 0$ | 22 |
| Tsimshian |  | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 5 | 1 | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | - | 1 | - | 2 | 54.0 -57.3 | 15 27 |
| Bilqula ${ }_{\text {Hat }}$ - |  | - | - | - | 1 | 2 3 | 1 | 4 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | $\underline{-}$ | 2 | 二 | 2 | - | 2 | 57.3 51.2 | 15 5 |
| Hea'iltsuk' Awl'ky'ennôq |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | 1 | $\overline{1}$ | - | 3 | $\overline{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $51 \cdot 2$ 54.1 | 5 7 |
| Kwakiutl - |  | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 55.7 | 46 |
| Nkamtol'nEmuq. | - | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $52 \cdot 0$ | 16 |
| Lillooet (Anderson L.) | - | 2 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $50 \cdot 7$ | 12 |
| Lillooet (Fraser R.) |  | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | $\overline{2}$ | - | - | - | - | $53 \cdot 9$ | 12 |
| Kamloops . . | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | $55 \cdot 5$ | 14 |
| Stlemqo'likquma | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | $52 \cdot 1$ | 39 |
| Chilcotin . | - | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - |  | - | $\cdots$ | - | $53 \cdot 2$ | 36 |

Height of Nuse of Women.

| Mm . | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 67 | 58 | Average | Number of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haida. | - |  | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | - | - | - |  | - | $47 \cdot 2$ 50.0 | 3 |
| Trimshian . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 1 | 50.0 53.9 | 3 7 |
| Bilqula . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | $53 \cdot 9$ 48.8 | 5 |
| Hë'iltsuk . . | - |  | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | $\overline{1}$ | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | $49 \cdot 1$ | 11 |
| Awl'ky'ēnôq Ntlakyapamuq'o'e | 1 | - | - | - | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | - | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | $47 \cdot 3$ | $33^{1}$ |
| Lillooet (Anderson L.) | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |  | - | $47 \cdot 1$ | 19 |
| Lillooet (Fraser R.) . | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $45 \cdot 6$ | 14 |
| Stlinmqo'lequma | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 3 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | $48 \cdot 8$ | 28 |
| Chilcotin - |  | -- | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 48.1 | 16 |

ON THE NORTH－WESTERN TRIBES OF CANADA．

| Mm． | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | Average | Number of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tribe： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haida－ | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | $40 \cdot 7$ | 9 |
| Nass River Indians ． | － | － | 1. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | － | － | 1 | － | － | 39.8 | 22 |
| Tsimshian ．． | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | 3 | 2 | ， | 4 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | $39 \cdot 4$ | 14 |
| $\underset{\text { Hé＇iltsuk．}}{\text { Bilqua }}$ ． | － | － | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 38.8 | 26 |
| Awi＇ky＇ēnôq ： | － | 三 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | － | － | － | － | $42 \cdot 6$ | 5 |
| Kwakiutl ． | － | 二 | $\overline{4}$ | 3 | 4 | $\overline{8}$ | $\overline{8}$ | 2 | 1 | $\overline{6}$ | 1 | $\overline{1}$ | － | － | － | － | 39.7 | 7 |
| Nkamtci＇nemuq | － | － | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | － | 2 | 3 | － | 3 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | $39 \cdot 3$ | 45 |
| Lillooet（Anderson Lake）． | － | － | 1 |  | － | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 ＊ | － | － | － | － | － | 二 | 37.8 39.6 | 16 |
| Lillooet（Fraser River） | － | 1 | 1 | － | － | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | 38.8 | 12 |
| Kamloops ．． | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | $40 \cdot 6$ | 14 |
| Stlmmqo＇lequma | － | － | 2 | － | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | － | － | － | － | $40 \cdot 2$ | 14 39 |
| Chilcotin |  | 1 | － | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | － |  |  | $39 \cdot 3$ | ${ }_{36}$ |
| Breadth of Nose of Women． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mm． |  |  | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | Average | Number of Cases |
| Tribe： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haida ． |  |  | － | － | － | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | $35 \cdot 7$ |  |
| $\underset{\text { Tsilquala }}{\text { Ta }}$－－$\cdot$ |  |  | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | － | $38 \cdot 3$ | 3 |
|  |  | － | － | － | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | － | 1 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | $34 \cdot 8$ | 7 |
| AwI＇ky＇ēnôq ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\because$ | ： | － | $\overline{1}$ | 二 | $\overline{1}$ | － | $\overline{6}$ | － | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | － | － | 1 | 39.5 | 4 |
| Ntlakyapamuq＇o＇e | － | － | － | 3 | $\overline{3}$ | 5 | － | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 二 | $\overline{1}$ | － | 二 | 二 | 35.0 34.8 | 11 |
| Lillooet（Anderson Lake） |  |  | － | 1 | 3 | － | 3 | － | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | － | $\overline{2}$ | 二 | 二 | 36.2 | 19 |
| Lillooet（Fraser River）． |  | ． | $\overline{1}$ | － | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | $34 \cdot 9$ | 14 |
| Stlemqo＇lequma |  |  | 1 | － | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | － | － | － | － | $35 \cdot 4$ | 28 |
| Chilcotin ．． |  |  | 1 | － | 1 | － | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | － |  | $36 \cdot 1$ | 16 |

REPORT-1898.


Facial Index of Men.

Facial Index of Men.

| Per cent. | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | Average | Number of Cases. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haida |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $80 \cdot 6$ | 9 |
| Tsimshian . . |  | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $80 \cdot 7$ | 15 |
| Lillooet (Anderson L.) |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80.8 | 12 |
| Lillooet (Fraser R.) |  | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 82.7 | 12 |
| Shusiwap <br> (Stlemqō'lequme) | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | $81 \cdot 3$ | 39 |
| Chilcotin | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 |  |  | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | $83 \cdot 9$ | 36 |


| Facial Indeg of Women. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent. | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | Average | Number of Cases |
| Tribe : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haida . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80.7 | 4 |
| Tsimshian . . . | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 81.7 | 3 |
| Lillonet (Anderson L.) | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 79.5 | 19 |
| Lillooet (Fraser R.) . | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | $80 \cdot 4$ | 14 |
| Shuswap lequmq) (StlEmqo' | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 | $82 \cdot 6$ | 28 |
| Chilcotin . . . | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | $?$ | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | $82 \cdot 1$ | 16 |



ON THE NORTH-WESTERN TRIBES OF CANADA.
Index of Length of Arm of Men.

Index of IHeight sitting of Women.

| Per cent. . : $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { from } \\ \text { to }\end{array}\right.$ | 49.0 49.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 49.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 0 \\ & 50 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \cdot 5 \\ & 50 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 0 \\ & 51 \cdot 4= \end{aligned}$ | 51.5 51.9 | 52.0 52.4 | 52.5 52.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \cdot 0 \\ & 53.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.5 \\ & 53.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 0 \\ & 54 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \cdot 5 \\ & 54 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 0 \\ & 50.4 \end{aligned}$ | Average | Number of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Lillooet (Anderson Lake) | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Lillooet (Fraser River) | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 51.8 52.6 |  |
| Shuswap (StlemgólequmQ) | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | \% | 52. $52 \cdot 8$ | 28 |
| Chilcotin . . . | -- | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | $52 \cdot 4$ | 14 |


Index of Finger-reach of Women.

| Per cent, | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 | Average | Number of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tribe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lillooet (Anderson Lake) | $\overline{1}$ | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $103 \cdot 3$ |  |
| Lillooet (Fraser River) | 1 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{1}$ | 103.5 | - 14 |
| Shuswap(Stlemqólequma) | 1 | 3 2 | 3 | 1 2 2 | 2 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | ${ }_{1}^{6}$ | 1 2 | 2 1 | 1 | 1033.3 | 28 |

A short analysis of the material contained in the preceding tables and in previous Reports of the Committee allows us to distinguish with certainty three distinct types of man among the natives of British Columbia. These are the northern type, embracing the Haida, Nass River Indians, and Tsimshian ; the Kwakiutl type, embracing the Bilqula, Hē'iltsuk'; Awi'ky'ènôq, and the tribes of the Kwakiutl; and the Thompson River type, embracing the Lillooet and Thompson River Indians. These types may be characterised by the following measurements :-


There are good indications of the existence of other types, but they cannot be distinguished with absolute certainty from the types enumerated here. It seems very probable that an examination of the Lillooet of Pemberton Meadows will establish beyond a doubt the existence of the peculiar type which in the Seventh and Tenth Reports of the Committee was named the Harrison Lake type, which is characterised by a very broad and very short head, small stature, large nose, and small face. Our measurements of the Lillooet were undertaken with a view of determining the existence of this type, but they did not extend far enough south. The characteristies of the Coast Salish of Washington and Southern British Columbia are doubtful, because the prevalent practice of deforming the head does not permit us to compare their head measurements with those of other tribes. Their faces show the same breadth as those of the other coast tribes, but their noses are much lower and flatter than those of the Kwakiutl. The Kamloops and other Shuswap tribes are closely allied to the Thompson River type, but it seems that the dimensions of their heads are a little larger, their statures a little higher. The Chilcotin resemble the Shuswap much, but their faces are flatter, their noses not so highly elevated over the face.

A study of the profiles of these types shows several important phenomena that are not elucidated in the tables of measurements. The northern type shows, on the whole, a rounded forehead; a nose which tends rather to be concave than convex, with the exception
of a few individuals; short point of the nose, slight elevation of nose, long upper lip, and rather thick mouth. The Kwakiutl type shows a flat forehead, which is largely due to artificial deformation; a decidedly convex nose with short point, highly elevated over the face, and a less protruding mouth. It is very remarkable that the characteristic features of this type are so strongly marked in the female that the differences between the northern type and this type are more strongly noticed in women than in men. The Thompson River type has a very prominent, convex nose, with long point. The nose has a great elevation over the face.

We give the cross-sections of the face, laid through the tragus and lower rim of orbits for the various types. In order to make the differences clearer we have drawn a middle or composite outline for each type, which show clearly the considerable breadth of face prevailing on the coast and the flatness of the nose of the northern type.


Cross-sections of Face laid through the Tragus and the Lower Rim of the Orbit. -_ Average cross-section of the Kwakiutl, Haida, and Tsimshian.
.-. Average cross-section of the Ntlakyapamuq and Kamloops.

The following table contains a number of repeated measurements, the first measurement having been taken in September $18: 4$, the second in June 1897, the interval being two years and nine months. It will be seen that on the whole the measurements show a close agreement; but it appears that the error of observation for the measurements of the body, except for stature and finger-reach, is very ennsiderable. The nasal index is also very unsatisfactory on account of the smallness of the measurements that are contained in it :-

ON THE NORTH-WESTERN TRIBES OF CANADA.


## II. The Chilcotin. By Livingston Farrand.

The Chilcotin tribe occupies a territory lying chiefly in the valley of the Chilcotin River. They are somewhat isolated in situation, though on the east they are only separated from the Shuswap by the Fraser River. Between these two tribes, however, there is little intercourse. Toward the north their nearest neighbours are the related Tinneh tribe of Carriers or Porteurs; and while distance prevents frequent communication, they regard each other as more or less akin, and the relations are cordial. On the west a pass leads over the coast range to Bella Coola; and, as many Chilcotin make annual expeditions to the coast, they are fairly familiar with the people of that region. Toward the south the only tribe at present with whom they come in contact is the Lillooet, and with them but seldom.

Intercourse with the coast Indians, and particularly with the Bella Coola, was formerly much more frequent than now, for the reason that the early seat of the Chilcotin was considerably farther west than at present, while the Bella Coola extended higher up the river of that name into the interior. The results of this early intercourse is seen very clearly in certain of their customs, and particularly in details of their traditions. In former times and down to within about thirty years the centre of territory and population of the Chilcotin was Anahem Lake, and from here they covered a considerable extent of country, the principal points of gathering beside the one mentioned being Tatlab, Puntze, and Chizaikut Lakes. They extended as far south as Chilco Lake, and at the time of the salmon fishing were accustomed to move in large numbers down to the Chilcotin River to a point near the present Anahem Reservation, always returning to their homes as soon as the fishing was over. More recently they have been brought to the eastward, and to-day the chief centres of the tribe are four reservations-Anahem, Stone, Risky Creek, and Alexandriathe first three in the valley of the Chilcotin, and the last named, consisting of but a few families, somewhat removed from the others, on the Fraser. Besides these there are a considerable number of families leading a seminomadic life on the old tribal territory in the woods and mountains to the westward. These latter, considerably less influenced by civilisation than their reservation relatives, are known by the whites as Stone Chilcotin or Stonies.

Although subjected to more or less intimate intercourse with the whites for a comparatively short period, the Chilcotin have assimilated the customs and ideas of their civilised neighbours so completely that their own have largely disappeared except possibly among the families still living in the mountains, whom it was not practicable to reach.

The following notes were obtained with considerable difficulty, but the information was for the most part confirmed by the independent testimony of different individuals.

As regards the social organisation, persistent inquiry failed to disclose any traces of a clan system. The family unit was the family in the contracted sense, viz., the parents and unmarried children. Marriage was ordinarily monogamous, but many men had two wives. Recognised blood relationship was and is always an absolute bar to marriage, and at present this recognition seems to extend no further than first cousins. There seem to have keen no local preferences in contracting marriages. Marriage
with an individual of the same village was not regarded as more desirable than one with a person from another locality, nor vice versa.

Of laws of inheritance information is rather doubtful. It was stated that in former times upon the death of a man the widow received nothing. while his relatives as far as cousins divided the estate equally. It did not descend to the children alone. To-day if a man dies the widow inherits all, apparently in trust for the children, the sons, if there be such, managing the property. No information was obtained as to the procedure in case the widow remarries. The above change of custom, if true, strongly suggests missionary influence. If an unmarried man dies leaving property it is said that his relatives as far as cousins divide the estate. A man never married his brother's widow-she was still regarded as his own sister

Social ranks are not apparent at present, but there were formerly nobility, common people, and slaves, corresponding to a great extent to the system of the coast tribes. Wealth and the giving of feasts were the means of obtaining higher rank, and this seems to have been open to the lower class provided they had the means. Slaves were captives. From time immemorial, before the splitting up and settling upon the reservations, there seens to have been a head chief known as A'nahem; whose seat was at Anahem Lake, and whose influence extended over the whole tribe. The last great chief of that name died a few years ago, and his son is now the so-called chief of the Anahem Reservation.

Shamans, or medicine-men, are known by the term 'di'yi'n,' which denotes any person of extraordinary powers who is supposed to have extrahuman aid, and he becomes such by reason of some remarkable dream or experience. The deliberate candidate for such honours was accustomed to go away alone to the top of some mountain or other desolate place and there fast for several days, during which time the favourable dream might or might not come to him. The favourable dream was usually a vivid one of some animal or bird, and this became his protector and helper ever afterward. The di'yì'n would then always wear some distinctive mark of his protector, such as teeth, claws, wings, feathers, \&c. Aside from success in hunting and war, special powers were obtained in the cure of disease. The method of treatment was first the singing of the particular song of the di'yí'n, which was his own property and used by no one else. The song was usually accompanied by dancing, but not always. Then followed the application of the hands to the body of the patient, and usually sucking through the hands placed over the diseased spot, thus drawing out the sickness. The hands were then held up in front of and above the face, and, being suddenly opened, the sickness would be sharply blown out into the air, and so expelled. Occasionally, after sucking the di'yín would open his hands and show a grasshopper or other object, which he exhibited as the cause of the illness, and which had been thus removed. During such treatment the di'yi'n usually carried a pouch containing certain charms, and, while wearing certain insignia as above stated, he did not dress in any particular robe as far as could be learned. Anyone might bécome di'yi'n, even young boys and girls.

In former times the winter houses of the Chilcotin were the ordinary circular subterranean lodges, the excavation being about four feet in depth. There are none of these in existence to-day. The summer lodges were rectangular in shape, made of bark stretched over poles, and with only the rocf and back covered, the front and two sides being thus left open. They
were ordinurily built in pairs facing each other and with a common fire between. At the present time the winter houses are of logs, often very well built. and in summer tents are used, canvas for the purpose being obtained from the whites.

It was said that formerly the canoes of this tribe were made of bark stretched over wooden ribs. Both bow and stern were sharp, and were not raised above the level of the rest of the canoe. The largest of these canoes would carry about ten men. Later and at the present time the canoes are dug-outs from single logs.

Cooking was done byroasting or boiling, the latter by means of hot stones in water tight baskets of bark or woven fibre. The hot stones were manipulated by tongs of wood.

The weapons used in war were bows and arrows and war clubs, the latter made of a stout stick about the length of the arm with a stone head fastened by leather thongs. None of these weapons are now in existence apparently. Spears with points made of the horn of the mountain sheep were used in hunting, but not in war. The arrow points were of stone. Fishing spears with detachable heads of bone were formerly very common, but are now rarely seen, and a large bone hook fastened to a rod like a gaff was also sometimes used.

In war a sort of wooden armour was worn over the chest and back as far down as the waist. This protection, in shape like a sleeveless shirt, was made of tough sticks about an inch in diameter, fastened together with feather thongs, and was sufficient to turn arrows. The head was also protected by a thick leather cap covering the entire head except the face. According to the only obtainable account of war decorations, the upper part of the face was painted black and the lower part red. Besides the leather helmet, war head-dresses were worn of the skins of birds and of the heads of animals, so arranged that the beak or mouth came forward over the forehead. The most popular skin for such head-dresses was said to have been that of the raven. Any man who was a di'yi'n would wear the skin of his own protecting bird or animal.

Ear ornaments were formerly quite universally worn by both sexes, and usually in the form of small buttons of various materials attached to short strings and suspended from the lobes of the ears, which were pierced for the purpose. Older people are still found with pierced ears, but the pendants are seldom seen. Rings were also worn in the ears, but the Chilcotin say that this was a coast custom which they adopted, and was not so common as the other.

Nose ornaments of rings and straight bars inserted through the septum were also worn. One old man further described a lip ornament as a small straight bar piercing the upper lip, but this was not confirmed, and no description of labrets was obtained.

Tattooing appears to have been pretty universal, the face, chest, arms, and legs being the parts most favoured. Little information as to designs could be obtained, but it was asserted that there was no difference in the designs used by the two sexes. This is of course doubtful. The materials used in the tattooing process were bone needles and charcoal.

In general the decorative art of the Chilcotin was very slightly developed. They did not carve their weapons or utensils, and the basketry designs were and are of the simplest character.

It was said that in the old days cremation was used in the disposal of the dead, the ashes being afterwards buried. Since the arrival of the
missionaries ordinary burial has been practised, the graves being protected by a low fence of logs.

The traditions of the Chilcotin are particularly interesting as showing the influence of their coast and inland neighbours, details of foreign origin being clearly traceable. Their chief tradition is of Lēndîx tcux, a being half man and half dog, who came to the Chilcotin country from the north-west, and is their culture-hero. The story recites the adventures of Lèndîx tcux and his three sons on their journey through the land. These adventures are chiefly with animals who before that time had been dangerous to man, but who were now overcome and made harmless. Methods of hunting and various arts were then taught to the people wno previously had been wretched and ignorant. The widespread conception of the culture-hero as a trickster is especially well exemplified in this tale.

In the other traditions obtained, none of which are as full nor as important as the Lēndîx tcux mytb, but which cover a wide range of subjects, the raven is possibly the chief character, some of the stories in which he figures being identical with the raven tales of the coast, while others are apparently independent in origin. Few myths regarding natural phenomena were heard, and those which were told are of doubtful origin. The general impression was made of a not very rich independent mythology, but of surprising receptivity to foreign irfluences.

## III. The Social Organisation of the Haida. By Franz Boas.

In the Fifth Report of the Committee I briefly described the social organisation of the Haida according to information obtained from a few Indians from Skidegate. I pointed out (p. 27) that the tribe is divided into two phratries, each of which consists of a number of clans the members of which are connected by ties of consanguinity, not by an imaginary relationship through the totem. I also pointed out that the clans sometimes bear the names of the places at which their houses stand. Since this statement was made I have had opportunity to investigate the social organisation of the Tsimshian and of the Kwakiutl in greater detail. The result of these inquiries on the Tsimshians was published in the Tenth Report of the Committee, and of those on the Kwakiutl in the Report of the United States National Museum for 1895. (pp. 311-738). These investigations proved that among the southern tribes of the Pacific coast the village community was the primitive unit, and that clans originated through the coalition of village communities.

During the past summer I had an opportunity of investigating the social organisation of the Haida in somewhat greater detail, although not as thoroughly as might be desired. The information thus obtained corroborates the views expressed in the Fifth Report of the Committee, and emphasises the fact, that the village community is the constituent element of the phratry.

In order to make this clear I will first of all give a list of the Haida families. The two Haida phratries are called Gyit'ina' and K'oā la, and every family belongs either to the one or to the other group. Each family has a number of emblems which are commemorative of certain events in the earliest history of the family. The name of the chief of each family is hereditary. For purposes of comparison I give the list of villages recorded by Dr. G. M. Dawson in his Report on Queen Charlotte Islands (Report of Progress, Geological Survey of Canada, 1878-79, Montreal, 1880).

KĀ̄-OH (Dawson, l.c., p. 162 B).
Not in my list ; perhaps identical with $\mathrm{Ia}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ 'o ? (see below).
Ky'ừ'st'a (Dawson : Kioo-sta, p. 162 B).
Gyit'ina': Sta'stas or Sañgatl là'nas. Chief : E'densâ (=glacier). Crests : Frog, beaver, raven, eagle. Chief's grave: Frog. An ancestor of the Sta'stas family met a giant frog in Tsiqoa'gets. Girls when reaching maturity wear a hat that is painted green (tlt'e'ndadjang), the paint being obtained in the river Naède'n. Houses: 1, K•'ègenge nas. 2, K•oé'kyitsgyit. 3, Kun nas. 4, Nakhodā'das. ${ }^{\text { }}$ 5 , Skyil nās. Skyil is the mistress of copper who endows with wealth those who meet her. 6, Sk'olhahā'yut. 7, Naxa'was.
K'à'was. Chief : Ētltenē'. Crests : Beaver, sg'a'ngō, eagle. The sg'a'ngō is a man who was transformed into a monster because he was living on raw fish and birds. He lives in a cave. He has long ears and wears a high hat. He carves birds as though they were large game and carries the parts home separately. When he throws them down it gives a loud noise. House : G•ōtnàs.
K•a'nguatl lā'nai. Chief : Tāgyia'. Crests : Frog, eagle; beaver.
Tōgyit'inai'. Chief : Kuns. Crest : Eagle.
K'oā'la: Töstlengilnagai'. Chief: Gwaisganengk'aiwa's. Crests: Ts'ilia'las (killer whale with raven wings), killer whale, bear, thunder bird.
(The two last named belong to the village Too of Dawson, p. 170 B.)
$I^{-1} \mathrm{r}^{\prime} \bar{o}$ and DĀ'dens (Dawson: Tartance, p. 162 B).
K'oā'la: Yak' lā'nas. Chief: Gesawa'k. Crests: Bear, moon, dog. fish, killer whale, wolf, devilfish.
K•aok ${ }^{\prime}$ 'owai. Chief: G•atsó'en. Crests: Killer whale, owl, bear, woodpecker.
K'oé'tas. Chief : Hōtsele'ng. Crésts: Bear, killer whale, moon.
Gyit'ina': Ts'ātl lā'nas. Chief: Gyit'îng $\cdot{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{a n}^{\prime}$ and Kunkoya'n. Crests: Halibut, eagle, beaver, land otter (the last said to have been adopted recently).
S'ale'ndas. Ckief: Ildzannak a'tlē. Crests: Frog, beaver, starfish, evening sky.

## Near Dājeens.

K'oā'la: Tās lā'nas. Chief : Sk•anā'l. Crests: Land otter, killer whale, woodpecker, cirrus.

K•ang (Dawson: Kung, p. 163 B).
GYit'ina' : Sak' ${ }^{\prime}$ a'nas. Chief: Gula'c. Crests : Eagle, sculpin, beaver. KK'oā'la: Kyā'nusla. Chief : Hā'nsgyinai. Crest: Killer whale.

Wi'rs'A.
Gyit'ina' : Wi'ts'a gyit'inai'. Chief: Ētlgyiga.) Crests: Eagle, humTōtlgya gyit'inai'. Chief: Stētlta. ming-bird, beaver, Tsēts gyit'inai'. Chief; Nasgä'tl. sculpin, skate Dzōs hāedrai'. Chief: Gûnia'. (ts'ētg•a).
These families have the same crests. They live short distances apart.
$\mathrm{IA}^{\prime} \mathrm{aN}$ (near Wíts'a. Dawson: Yān, p. 163 B ).
K'oà'la: Stl'enge lā'nas. Chief: Nenā'k'enas. Crests: Killer whale, hawk, bear.
Gyit'ina': (Tsēts gyit'inai', moved to $\mathrm{Ia}^{\prime}$ an from $\mathrm{Wi}^{\prime}$ 'ts'a a few years ago).

G•AT'aiwa's (Dawson: Ut-te-was, p. 163 B ).
K'oà'la: Skyit'au'k•ō. Chief: Cīgai'. Crests: Killer whale, grizzly bear, black bear.
Gyit'ina' : Gyit'î'ns. Chief: Sk•a-ina'. Crests: Eagle, beaver, sculpin.
Sg.adzē'guatl lā'nas. Chief: Skyîltk'atsō. Crests: Eagle, beaver, sculpin.
K ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a: ~ S g \cdot a ̄ g a ' n g s i l a i . ~ C r e s t s: ~ K i l l e r ~ w h a l e, ~ b e a r . ~$
Hai'ts'au.
K•'oā'la: G•anyakoîlnagai. Chief : Kyîlstlak'. Crests: Killer whale, bear.
K•'ĀXA'NG (Dawson: Kā-yung, p. 163 B).
K'oā'la: Yāgun kunîlnagai'. Chief: Skyîlk iê's. Crests: Bear, ts'em'â's, killer whale.
Gyit'ina': Saqguī' gyit'inai'. Chief : Naok•adzō't. \} Crests : Eagle, Ky'iältkoangas. Chief: K'odai'. $\}$ beaver, sculpin. These two groups are considered branches of one family.
K'oā'la : T'ēs kunîlnagai'. Chief : Yätl'înk'. $\quad$ Dl'iā'len kunîlnagai'. Chief : Sēna't. $\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\text { Crests: } & \text { Bear, } \\ \text { ts'Em'â's, } & \text { killer } \\ \text { whale. }\end{array}\right.$ The three groups Kunilnagai' in K'aya'ng are branches of one family.
$I_{A^{\prime}}{ }^{\text {GEN }}$ (about three miles north-east of Masset).
Gyit'ina' : Dl'iā'len k ēowai'. Chief : Hā'yas. Crests : Eagle, raven, sculpin, frog. Said to be related to the Sta'stas.
K'oàla : Kun lă'nas. Chief : K•ogi's. Crests: Bear, ts'em'â's, killer whale.
$N_{\text {aEkut }}{ }^{\prime}$ (Dawson : Nai-koon, p. 165 B).
Gyit'ina' : Naēku'n stastaai'. Chief: Ts'ōn. . Crests the same as those of the Sta'stas, of whom they are the branch from Naēku'n.
Tsiquā ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ gis stastaai'. Chief : Skyila'ō. Crests the same as those of the Sta'stas, of whom they are the branch from the river Tsiquā'gis.

K'oā’la : qua'dōs. Chief : tl'eā'ls. Crests : Bear, killer whale, hawk, rainbow, stratus. The Stl'enge lā'nas are considered a branch of the qua'doss, who are at present in Asegoa'n, Alaska. It is said that the qua'dōs were in the habit of catching eagles in snares. One day a man caught a hawk in his snare. Another one stole it, leaving, however, one of the hawk's talons. This led to a quarrel, and a fight ensued, during which the family divided. Those who emigrated became the Stl'enge là'nas. For this reason both use the hawk and also the same personal names.
(Dawson : A-se-guang, p. 165 B.)
K:'oā'la: I was told that there was a branch of the qua'dōs at the place who moved to Skidegate.

## Tle ${ }^{\text {angilt }}$ (Skidegate).

Gyit'ina' : Gyit'î'ns. Na yū'ans qā'edra; Na s'ā'gas qā'edra. Chief : Sg•edegì'ts. Crests : Raven, wasq, dogfish, eagle, sculpin. Gyit'îngyits'ats. Chief : Sg•a'nigyik ${ }^{-e^{\prime} d o . ~ C r e s t s ~: ~ S c u l p i n, ~}$ eagle, wā'ts'at (a fabulous personage.)
Tsāagwī' gyit'inai'. Chief:Winā'ts. Crests: Sculpin, eagle.
K'oā'la: Tsāagwisguatl'adegai'. Chief : Log•o't. Crests: Killer whale, gyitg $\cdot a^{\prime}$ lya (a fabulous being), ts'Em'â's.
Tlg aio lā'nas. Chief : Dō'anä'. Crests the same as the preceding family.
Tai'ōtl lànas. Chief : K'aäga'o. Crests: Black bear, killer whale.
$\mathrm{K} \cdot \overline{o g} \cdot \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{ng}$ gas. Chief : K•ō̄'sgutneng'E'ndāls. Crests : Killer whale, ts'Em'â's.

Tlg-A’it (Gold Harbor ; Dawson: Skai-to, p. 168 B).
K'oā'la : Tlg•ā’itgu lā'nas. Chief: Nenkyîlstla's. Crests: Moon, killer whale.
Gyīt'ina ${ }^{\prime}:$ Tlg ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{2}$ 'it gyit'inai'. Chief : Ganā'i. Crests : Raven, eagle, sculpin.
K'oā'la: Stasausk•ēowai: Chief: Sg•anayū'en. Crest: Ts'iliā’las (killer whale with raven wings).
Skoa'tl'adas. Chief : G•ōlentkyîngā̀ns. Crests : Sea-lion, killer whale, ts'em'â's, thunder.

K•ar's'un (Dawson : Kai-shun, p. 168 B).
Gyit'ina' : K ai'atl lāंnяs. Chief : Nanā'rîskyîlqō'es. Crests: Beaver, frog, eagle.
(Dawson: Cha-atl, p. 168 B.)
K•oā'la : tlg•āitgu lā'nas. (Same as above, under Tlg ā̀it.)
K•'v'na (Skidans, Dawson : Koona, p. 169 B).
K•oā'la : Tlk•înōtl lā'nas or K•agyalsk•éowai. Chief : Gudēk a îngā'o. Crests: Bear, moon, mountain goat, killer whale, storm
cloud, cirrus, rock slide. Part of this family is calied Kyîls qā'edrai. (Dawson : Tlkinool, p. 168 B.)
Gyit'ina' : K'unak ē'owai. Chief : Gyitk ō'n. Crests: Dogfish, eagle, frog, monster frog, beaver.

T'ano' (Tlō, Dawson : Tanoo, p. 169 B).
Gyit'ina' : K'unak ${ }^{-e^{\prime} o w a i ~(s a m e ~ a s ~ i n ~ K \cdot ' u ' n a) . ~}$ Tsēgoatl lā'nas or Laqskíyek.
K'oā̀la : K'adas k•éowai. Chief : Gyaqkutsā'n. Crests : Killer whale, wolf, ts'Em'â's.

Sg•a'nguai (Nenstíns, Dawson : Ninstance, p. 169 B).
Gyit'ina': : Gyit'î'ns. Chief : Nenstī'ns. Crests : Beaver, eagle.
K'oā'la. Qaldā'ngasal. Chief : Ts'îHi'. Crests : Bear, killer whale, ts'Em'â's.

The villages on Hippah Island are not contained in my list.
A comparison of the list of families given here with that of the Skidegate families published in the Fifth Report of the Committee, p. 26, shows that the lists are fairly reliable. I give here both lists for purposes of comparison :-

Skidegate.

| Gyit'ina : | (Fifth Report. Informant Johnny Swan) | Informant: E'densâ of Masset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nayū'ans qā'etqa. | Gpit'î'ns $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Na yū'ans qā'edra }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | Na'sā'yas qā'etqa. | Gyitions $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Na s'à'gas qā'edra. }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | Djāaquigi't'enai'. | Tsāagwis ' ${ }^{\text {grit'inai'. }}$ |
|  | Gyitingits'ats. | Ggit'îngyits'ats. |
| K'o'āla : | Naēkun k erauā'i. | - |
|  | Djāaqui'sk'uatl'adagā'i. | Tsāagwīsguanl'adegai'. |
|  | Tlqaiu lā'nas. | Tlg-aio la'nas. |
|  | K-āstak $\mathrm{ē}_{\text {rauā }}$ 'i. | - |
|  | - | Taiōtl lā'nas. |
|  | - | $\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{og} \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{ng}$ as. |

It will be noticed that the Gyit'ina' families agree in both lists, while the K'oā'la show certain discrepancies. It may be that the Naēkunk erauai' are the family from Asegua'n referred to above as removed to Skidegate.

It will be noticed that a great many family names are town names. Such names are Sangatl lā'nas, K'a'nguatl lā'nas, Yak' lā'nas, Tlg aiō lā'nas, \&c. 'Others signify 'the gyit'ina' of a certain place'; for instance : Tō gyit'inai', Wīts'a gyit'inai', Tsāagwī gyit'inai'. Still, others seem to signify 'the $k$ 'oà'la of a certain place,' for instance : Tō stlengilnagai', Ya'gun kunilnagai, Dl'iā'len kunilnagai. Another series of names signify 'the people of a certain place,' or 'those born at a certain place,' such as Dl'īa'len $k \cdot e^{\prime} o w a i^{\prime}$, K'una k•eowai', and Dzōs hāedrai'.

These facts indicate that each family formed originally a local unit, so that each village would seem to have been inhabited by one family only. The present more complex village communities originated through the
coalition of several families in one village, each retaining its own name and organisation. On the other hand, families divided, and are for this reason present in different villages. This is the case with the Sta'stas, whom we find under the name of Sta'stas at Ky'iū'st'a, as Naēkun stastaai' in Naēku'n, and as Tsiquăgis stastaai' in the same village. The Yak ${ }^{\prime}$ la' nas are partly in their old village Dà'dens, partly in Tlenk ${ }^{-a^{\prime} n}$ (Klinquan, Alaska) ; the Ts'àtl İà'nas are partly in Da'dens, partly in G‘augyā'n (How-aguan, Alaska). Part of the Stastas have even drifted to the Stikink oon of the Tlingit. The Yak' la'nas have a branch among the same tribe, where they have amalgamated with the Nanaä'ri family (Haida: Nan'a'ngi). A number of families left Queen Charlotte Islands in consequence of a quarrel, and form now the Kaigani. According to Dr. Dawson the event took place about 170 years ago (about 1730). The following families are said to have emigrated entirely : The S'ale'ndas to Sakoa'n (Shakait); the K'ooétas to the same place; the K•aok $\cdot{ }^{\prime}$ 'owai to G•augyàn (How-aguan); and the Tas là'nas to Kasaä'n.

It is clear, therefore, that the present arrangement of families is the result of a long historical development, and that in the orginal organisation of the tribe the village community was a much more important element than it is at present.

It is also instructive to investigate the distribution of totems among these families.

## I. Gyitina' (18 distinct families).

| Eagle | . 17 families | Starish | 1 family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beaver | . 13 | Humming-bird | 1. |
| Sculpin | . 9 " | Skate (?). | 1 |
| Frog | . 5 " | Monster-frog . | 1 |
| Raven | 3 | Wà'ts'at. | 1 " |
| Dogfish | . 2 " | Wasq | 1 |
| Halibut | 1 family | Sga'ngo | 1 |
| Land-otter | 1 | Evening sky | . 1 " |

## II. $K^{\prime}$ 'oā'la (22 distinct families).

| Killer whale | . 21 families | Devilfish | 1 family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black bear | . 14 | Owl | 1 |
| Ts'Em'a's. | . 7 " | Land-otter | 1 |
| Moon | 4 " | Grizzly bear | 1 |
| Woodpecker | 2 " | Sea-lion | 1 " |
| Tsiliā las | " | Mountain-goat | 1 |
| Thunder-bird | . 2 " | Gyitg'a'lya |  |
| Hawk | 2 | Rainbow. | 1 |
| Wolf | 2 | Stratus cloud | 1 |
| Cirras cloud | 2 | Storm cloud | 1 |
| Dogfish . | 1 family | Rock slide | . 1 " |

This table shows a strong prevalence of two crests in each group: eagle and beaver among the Gyitina', killer whale and black bear among the K'oa'la. The sculpin and ts'rm'a's, which are next in importance, are not found among the tribes of the extreme north-western part of the islands. All the others occur only once or twice among the different families, and for this reason resemble in character the totems of the

Kwakiutl. Since the characteristic features of the traditions explaining the acquisition of these crests are also the same among the Tlingit, Haida, Tsimshian, and Kwakiutl, it is likely that they may have had the same origin. I have tried to show at another place ('Report United States National Museum for 1895,' p. 336) that among the Kwakiutl the crest is the hereditary manitou, and I am inclined to consider the isolated totems of the Haida and of the other northern tribes of similar origin. It is very doubtful if this theory holds good for the more frequent totems which evidently form the bond between the members of each group. It seems more likely that they represent the oldest totemic organisation of the tribe which may have antedated their settlement in their present locations. It is, however, worth remarking that one of the totems of secondary frequency, the ts'em'a's, is evidently of Tsimshian origin. The name is clearly a corrupted form of ts'em'a'ks=in the water, a fabulous monster, probably the personified snag. The four primary totems, eagle and beaver, and killer whale and bear, certainly represent the two oldest divisions of the tribe which split up in village communities that later on combined again in more complex groups.
IV. Linguistics. By Franz Boas.

## The Ntlakya'pamus.

The material for the following sketch was obtained in part directly from Mr. James Teit, in part from Indians whose statements were interpreted by Mr. Teit. The writer is, however, alone responsible for the systematic presentation of the material.

## Grammatical Notes.

THE ARTICLE.
The Ntlakya'pamue has an article which is similar in character to the one found in the dialects of the Coast Salish. In the Sixth Report of the Committee I briefly described the use of this article in the Bella Coola (p.128). Its forms in other coast dialects are given in the following list:

| Bilqula. | Masculine, $\mathrm{ti}^{\text {i }}$ | Feminine, tsi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catiōltq. | $t a$ | tla |
| Pentlatc. | ti | tla |
| Nanaimo. | ti | se |
| Sk $\mathrm{q}_{\text {ó'mic. }}$ | te | tle |
| Lku'ñgEn. | ti | " si |
| Tillamook. | ta | tla |

The Calispelm has the article tlu, which is used in the same manner. It is described by Mengarini in his 'Grammatica Linguæ Selicæ,' 1861, p. 80.

The Ntlakya'pamuq has a number of articles.
$t a$ is used for connecting adjectives and nouns:
ste'ptep (1) ta (2) spezu'zo (3), a (2) black (1) bird (3).
aqa (1) kes (2) ta (3) tlosk a'yuq (4) kaq (5) pui'stemos (6), [it is] that (1) bad, (2) Indian (4) who (5) killed him (6).
$\hbar \dot{a}$ and $a$ seem to precede nouns that are not accompanied by attribates:

> ha (1) chai'tkenemuq (2) kau(3) tla'katem (4), the (1) Indians (2) nho (3) have killed them (4).
ha (1) Nkamtci'nemuq (2) ta chai'tkenemue (3) kaQ (4) tla'katem (5), the (1) N'kamtcínemuq (2) Indians (3) $[n: h o$ (4) $]$ killed them (5).
atla'kos (1) ha (2) kó kpi (3) akswã'watcip (4), when (1) the (2) chief (3) comes (1), call-me (4).
a (1) sk•'à'uin (2) pū'ists (3) ha (4) ntlicask•'qa (5), the (1) wolf (2) killed (3) the (4) horse (5).
ha (1) ntltcask $\cdot \bar{a}$ 'qa (2) pū'ists (3) a (4) sk $\cdot a^{\prime} u m$ (5), the (1) horse (2) Killed (3) the (4) wolf (5).
a Jolın pūists a Sam, John struck Sam.
tik seems to be more definite than $h a$, but the distinction between the two forms is by no means quite clear:

```
pui'zena (1) ha (2) kō'kpi (3), I killed (1) the (2) chief (3).
pui'zena (1) aqa'tik (2) kō'kpi (3), I killed (1) this (2) chief (3).
wa'zQEna (1) tik (2) stsuk. (3), I shoned him (1) the (2) picture (3).
na'qEna (1) tik (2) stsuk'(3), I gave him (1) the (2) letter (3).
ta'we (1) aqa'tik (2) ko'kpi (3) tik (4) tlơsk'a'yuq (5)! what a (1, 2) chicf(3)
        this (4) man (5) [is]!
```


## THE DISTRIBUTIVE.

The distributive form of the noun is formed by amplification of the stem, most frequently by reduplication. Irregular distributives of nouns are rare. Plurals of verbs are formed in the same way, but the verbal plural is frequently derived frem a separate stem. The verbal plural seems to have had a distributive meaning originally, but in the intransitive verb particularly the distinction between distributive and plural is easily lost.

1. Distributives and verbal plurals formed by reduplication:

| house, tcita | distributive | tcitciota. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tree, cirā'p | " | cipcirā'p. |
| pictiure, stsuk• | " | stsutsu'k. |
| stone, cä'EnQ | " | cencia'EnQ. |
| mountain, sk-um | " | sk•umk'u'm. |
| ground, tEm ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}$ | " | temtemu'q. |
| dog, sk-a'k'qa | " |  |
| cattle, stemâlt | " | stemtemî'lt. |
| calf, stemâltitēit | , " | stemtEmâlti'teit |
| camp fire, spam | " | spempa'm. |
| coyote, snikia'p | , | snîknikia'p. |
| animal, spezó' | " | spezpezo'. |
| bird, spEzu'zÖ | " | spepezu'zó. |
| friend, snu'koa | " | snukenu'koa. |
| nuusk-rat, skikelã'goa | " | skikikEla'qoa. |
| man, sk'ai'yuq | " | skai'k euq. |
| male of animal, sk'a'k•ayuq |  | sk-ak $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{ay} u \mathrm{q}$. |
| sick, kEnu'Q | plural | kEnkEnu'Q. |
| crumpled, sko'um | ", | skōumkō'um. |
| to ralk, sQuasi't |  | sQusquasi't. |

These examples show that the laws a hich reduplication follows are rery irregular. On the whole we may say that the prefixed $s$ which is found in a very large number of Salish words is not affected by reduplication. Very often the first syllable, including the first consonant following the first vowel, is repeated with shortened rowel. But there are many exceptions to this rule. Reduplicated words may be reduplicated a second time (see musk-rat, male of an animal, in the preceding list).
2. Many nouns have the same form for the absolute and the distributive. It seems that many names of animals belong to this class:

| beaver, tlk' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'pa ( C'tü'mk $^{\prime} t$ dialect). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beaver, snū'ya (Nkamtci'nemue dialcet) |  |  |
| wolf, sk'a'om | " | " |
| fox, ecqua'yuq | " |  |
| black bear, spêê'tc | " |  |
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3. Different stems are used for forming distributive, viz. plural and absolute forms :


## Diminutives.

Diminutives are also formed by means of reduplication. It seems that the prevailing form of reduplication consists in a repetition of the first syllable as far as the first vowel, with a tendency of throwing back the accent of the word to the reduplicated syllable.

> derr, cmē'its
> blark bear, spêe'tc
> friend. snu'koa
> had, kEs
> large. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{qua}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$
> bird, spEzu'zu

Diminutive
cme'mēits.
spä'paats.
nu'nkoa.
kekeest.
qEzu'zum.
speyu'zu.

## Numerals.

There are three sets of numerals: simple cardinals used for counting inanimate objects; and two reduplicated series, one used for counting animals, the other for counting human beings.

| Inanimate | Animate | Personal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1, pai'a, pê'ia | piä'a | pa'pea. |
| 2, sê'ia | sê'sia |  |
| 3, k'aat1a's, k-êak'tla's | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{k} \text { êak } \cdot \mathrm{lla} \text { 's } \\ \text { k-êk }\end{array}\right.$ | \} $\cdot$ ak aak $+1 \bar{a}^{\prime}$ 's. |
| 4 , mūs | mō'ms | mū'smust. |
| $\stackrel{0}{\text { en }}$, tci'ìkst | tci'tciekst | tci'tciekst. |
| 6, tlā'k'amakst | \{ tlā'k'amakst | \} tlatlā'k ${ }^{\text {amakst. }}$ |
|  | ftcūtctk'a |  |
| 7, tcū'tk'a | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { tcutcü } \\ \text { lk } \\ \text { a }\end{array}\right.$ | tcū'tcusk'a. |
| 8, piō'ps(t) |  | pipio'ps(t). |
|  | pipióps(t), |  |
| 9, tE'mel pai'a | te'met pia'a | te'met pa pea |
| 10, o'penakst | ópenakst op'o'penakst | \}op'o'penakst. |
| 11, ō'penakst el pê'ia | o'penakst El piä'a | opoo'penakst Et p |

20, sil ס'penakst

- 30, k-ar ó'pEnakst

40, mū̄ ō'pEnakst
50, tcī'êks ó'penakst
60, tla'k'umakst o'penakst
70, tcú'tk at óprnakst
80, piōpst ópenakst
90, temet pêtotyena kst temet pi ó'penakst
100, qatst pếk-Enakst qatst pê'k'Enakst
200, sä'as qatst pê'k'Enakst

400, mūs qatst pê' $k \cdot$ Enakst

The numerals five, six, ten, one hundred, are clearly compounds of -akst, hand. I presume five is a compound of the stem tca, which is found in the numeral one in
 tcī' $\mathfrak{i} \cdot k_{s i t}$ would mean one hand. Nine may be translated literally' 'less one.'

The same classification that is used in the cardinal numbers is used in indefinite numerals; for instance-
fer
$\underset{k w e ̄ ' n i q}{\text { Inanimate }}$
$\underset{\text { kwi'kwineQ }}{\text { Animate }}$

> Personal
> $\mathbf{k w e ́ n k w i n Q . ~}$

## wi

si.

Distribative numerals are formed from the cardinals by means of reduplication. They have the same three classes that were found in the cardinal series.

рарӓ'pia. siasaía.
k aak aatlā's. musmū'smust.

## THE PRONOUN.

Personal Pronoun.
I
thou
he
we
ye
they
$\quad$ Independent
ntcā'wa
awē
tcinī'tl
EnEmérmutl
pia'pst
tcinku'st

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dependent } \\ & -(\mathrm{k}) \text { En. } \\ & --(\mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Q} . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
| -kt. |
| -por -mp. |

## Possessive Pronoun.

The possessive pronoun has a number of forms analogous to those of the Shuswap. Their use has not become clear to me. I give here the various forms and a few examples of their use.

| $m y$ | n- | tlen- | 1En- | QEn - | $=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thy | a- | tla- | 1a- | Qa- |  |
| his | -- S |  |  | Q-S | $0 \times$ |
| our | - $k t,-n t$ |  |  |  |  |
| your | $-\mathrm{p},-\mathrm{mp}$ |  |  |  |  |
| their | - $\overline{\text { éqs }}$ |  |  |  |  |

Examples: ncn'tem, my object.
nski'qaza, my nuther. ntcite, my hunse.
ana'a tla kamn't, this is thy hat. to'a la kamu't. that is thy hat. kEnu'Q tlen ska'qa, my horse is sick. kenu'q nska'qa, my horse is siok.

The two plural forms in -ht and in -ut are not exclusive and inclusive.

```
ska'tsont, our father.
ska'tsäkt, our father.
tci'tont ana', that is our house.
```

I am inclined to consider the prefixes $t l, l$-, and $Q$ - which appear combined with the possessive prononn as verbal particles. The close relation between possessive pronoun and intransitive verb becómes clear in the imperfect sense, in which the object possessed is incorporated between the verb and the pronominal suffix:


These constructions may be compared with the inflexion of the adverb that accompanies the verb (see below).

The prefix $Q$ - seems to indicate the relation to the indirect object of the sentence:
pipmi'tsen qa kamu't, I lost it for thee thy hat.
pīpsta'na nkamu't, $T$ lost my hat.
But I found also:
tla skā'qa pū'istQtcems tlen katsk, thy horse killed for me my clder: brother.

## Intransitive Verb.

The intransitive verb may be inflected by means of suffixes or by means of auxiliary verbs, which latter form various tenses.

Aorist
kEnu'qkEn, I ant sick. kEnn'Qk", thou art sich. kEnn'Q, he is sick. $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { kEna'kt } \\ \text { kEnkEnd'qkt. }\end{array}\right\}$ nee are sick. $\mathrm{kEna} Q \mathrm{p}$, ye are sick. $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { kEnkenu'q (tcinku'st) } \\ \text { kEnu'Q tcinku'st }\end{array}\right\}$ they are sick. Future I.
t hwi'ken(tca)râ̂it, I shall sleep. hwik'(tca)rà'it, thou wilt sleep. \&C. Imperfect oa'qken tlem tlaha'ns, I was eating. \&c.

When the intransitive verb is accompanied by an adverb the latter takes the pronominal ending, being treated like an auxiliary verb.

> tlakamé'Q(k)En skEnu'Q, I am alnays sick.
> tlakamé'Q(k)a skEnu'Q, thou art aln'ays sick. tlakamé'Q(k) skEnu'Qs, he is alnays sick. tlakamé'QEkt skEnu'Q, we are alnays sick. tlakamé'Q(k)ap skEnu'Q, ve are alnays sick. tlakamé'Q(k) skEnkEnu'Qs, they are alrays sick.

The verb with negative is treated in the same manner : talā'ken skenu'q, I am not sick. \&c.

The conditional mode is characterised by the prefix $a$ - and the su.fix $-u$. tcu'ktcen, to finish eating ( $=$ to finish with mouth).
atcu'ktcenuen, if $I$ finish eating. atcu'ktcenuq, if thou finishest eating. atcu'ktcenus, if he finishes rating. atcu'ktcenut, if ne tinis/s eating. atcu'ktEnup, if ye finish eating. atcuktcu'ktcenus, if they finish eating.

The nagative conditional present is formed in the following way :
atE'mōs(ta)kEn skEnu'Q; if I am not sick. ate'mōs(ta)ka skenu'Q, if thou art not sick. ate'moss(ta) k skenu'Qs, if he is not sich. ate'mōskakt skenu'Q, if ne are not sick. al ${ }^{\prime}$ 'moskap skenu'Q, if ye are not sick. atE'mos(tā)ks kenkenu'qs, if they are not sick.

The negative conditional past:
tasketa'ken skenu'Q, if I had not been sick.
The interrogative is formed by the suffix -En:

kenu'Qkenen, am $I$ sick?<br>kEnu'Qkoan, art thou sick?<br>kEnu'QEn, is he sick?

kenu'Qkten, are ne sick?
kEnu'Qp'En, are ye sick?
kEnkEnu'QEn, are they sick?
A periphrastic interrogative is formed by the dubitative particle ska :
skaka skEnu'Q, perhaps thou art sick. skaak skEnu'Qs, perhaps he is sick.
skagap skEnu'Q, perhaps ye are sick.

It will be noticed that wherever the verb appears with an adverb or a particle it has the prefix s-, which makes veibal nouns, and that the third person has the suffix -s, which corresponds to the possessive pronoun. These förms are therefore identical with possessive nominal forms.

## Transitive Verb.

The transitive verb incorporates the pronominal object as follows :
to see:

| Object | Subject |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | thou | he | we | уe | they |
|  |  | wi'ktcemue | wirkterms |  | wi'ktcep | wiktė'QsFtcina |
| him |  | wikte | ${ }_{\substack{\text { wiktst }}}^{\text {wilkts }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Win }}^{\text {wiktst }}$ wiktem |  | wikte'gsent |
| us | wro |  | wiktis |  | $\mathrm{Wink}_{\text {Wiktpip }}$ | Wikte |
| ye | wiktimEn |  | wirktimes | wi'ktimet | - | wikté QsEtemis |
| them | wikte'qsens | wiktèqsemue | \{ $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { wikls } \\ \text { wiktétiqsetem }\end{array}\right\}$ | wite'QsEtEm | wiktp | wikté'Qsetem |

Verbs which have the accent on the last syllable form the following series:
k -ôiEntcū't, to talk to someone.

| Object | Subject |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | thou | he | we | ye |
| me | - | k'ôientce'muq | k-ôientcre'ms | - | k-óientceit ${ }^{\prime}$ p |
| thee | k-oimentci'n |  | k-ôientci's | krôientcīt |  |
| him | koôienta'na | k-ôienta'uq | k:oiiente's | k'òientl:'m | k-üienta'p |
| us | - | k-ôientcē'ip | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { k-ôimatélit }\end{array}\right\}$ | - | k-òisntē'ip |
| ye | k -ôientöimen | - | k'ôimutū'imas | k-ôientō'imet | - |
| them |  | k-ôientėqsemuq | k-ôiENtE's | k*oimatéqsittem | k-ôiznta'p |

An analysis of these forms shows that most of them originate by composition, the pronominal object following the verb, the pronominal subject following the pronominal object. The pronominal object suffixes seem to have the following forms :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { me,-tcem } \\
\text { thee, -tc } & u s,-\mathrm{ti} \\
\text { lim, - } & y e,-\mathrm{tim}(\text { for -tip }) \\
\text { them,-tēs }
\end{array}
$$

The pronominal subject suffixes bave the following forms:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
I,-\mathrm{En} & w e,-\mathrm{t} \\
t h e o u,-\mathrm{Q} & y e,-\mathrm{p} \\
h e,-\mathrm{s} . & \text { they, - } \mathrm{s}
\end{array}
$$

But they are much more irregular than the objective suffixes.
The conditional is formed in the same manner as that of the intransitive verb by means of the prefix a- and the suffix - $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}}$ :

$$
\text { awīktcenus, if } I \text { see thee. }
$$

awìktéqsenouns, if I see them. awìktipus, if thou seest us.

## Passive Participle.

> You'm, to stab. ni'kEm, to cut.

> Yot, st ibbed. nikt, cut.

From this participle the passive is formed: oaq tot, -he has been stabbed.

## Imperitive.

The imperative of the transitive and intransitive verbs are formed in the same manner, second $p$ rson singular by -a , sezond person plural by $\cdot \overline{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{sa}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tlaba'nza, eat! } \\
& \text { tlaha'nzōsa, cat ye! }
\end{aligned}
$$

o'pita, cat it?
ō'pitōza, cat ye it !
The future serves as an exhortative: Qwikt tlaha'ns, let us eat ! or, ne shall eat.
The Ntlakya'pamuq distinguishes between the transitive verb with determined object and without object. The latter is derived from the stem of the transitive verb by the ending -EM:
aqken tcū'um, I am working.
aqkEn pê'qEm,: $I$ um hunting.
Qwe'îm, te is looking.
tl'Emo'pem, to chop.
mé'gîma, kick!
étlem, to sing.
pú'istem, to kill (one).
qôste'm, to lore.
aq tcuta'na, I rork at it.
ay pê'qEna ksmé'its, I am hunting deer.
Qwē'ês, he is looking for it.
aq tl'Emô'pEna, I chup it.
méqita, kick it!
étlena, I sing it.
pū́istena, $I$ kill it.
aqôste'na, I love it.

The relation to the indirect object is expressed by the suffix -Q, which precedes the pronominal ending:

| na'qtEm, to give. | ,qEna, 7 gre it. | a, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ientcū't, to talk. | k ôientcu'tEmst, he talks | k ôientcu'temQst, he talks in |
| . | about thee | , |

$\bar{e}^{\prime}$ tlem, to sing. aq étlena, $I \operatorname{sing}$ it. aq étleqna, $I \operatorname{sing}$ aq $\bar{e}^{\prime} t l \mathrm{ll}$ mqna, $I \sin q$ it for him. for him.
pū'istem, to kill. pū'istena, I kill it. $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { pūisqEna, } I \text { kill it for some. } \\ \text { body. }\end{gathered}$

Qui tsuk•hétcemuq, write me a letter. pūists sk-ā'k-qas, he kills his own dog.

Qui tsuk•Qē'tcemuq, write a letter for me. pū'istQts sk-ä'k'qas, he kills his (another man's) dog ( = he kills his dog for him).

## Derivatives.

I recorded the following derivatives:

| Quotative | -okō |
| :---: | :---: |
| Putative | -nka |
| Dubitative | --nuk |
| Affirmative | -n |
| Exhortative | -matl |
| Causative | -s |
| Inchoative | -wîQ |
| Durative | -mîQ |
| Frequentativ | Reduplication |

kEnu'Q'oko it is said he is sick.
kEnu'Qnka, he may be sick.
kEnu'quuk, he is sick, I think.
$\mathrm{kEnu} \mathrm{QEn}^{2}$ indeed, he is sick.
pia'psten, indeed, it is ye.!
puitamatl, do lie down!
pū'it, to lie down. pū'itsena, I lay it donn. nkā'iQ, to swim. nkā'iQsena, I snim a horse.
snuyawi'io, to become possessed of money.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { kîstEwi'iQ } \\ \text { kEstuwe } \\ \text { 'EQ }\end{array}\right\}$ to turn bad.
iawi'îQ, to turn good.
Qinuwi'ì, it begins to be a long time.
kEnuQEmí'QkEn, I am alnays sick.
skenkenu'Q, one who is repeatedly sick.
$\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{e} a \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{e}$ 'ap, one $w h o$ is repeatedly indisposed.
oaq nikeni'kena, I cut it repeatedly.
Foroata'na., I.stabbed him repeatedly.
qaquatsta'na, I tie it repeatedly.
hai'mz'aken, I might do the same.
tcu'umz'aken, I might work, I ought to nork.
tlahansenwatlen, to be able to eat.
rôitenwa'tlen, to be able to sleep.
tlahansma'menken, I desire to eat.
rô'itma'menken, I desire to sleep.
stlahans'a'p, to eat much.
nmanqEma'p, to smoke much.
stlk a'us, together.
cînzia'us, brothers.
snukua'us, friends.
qamana'us, enemies.
Reciprocal -tuaQ

Reflexive -tcut
ktquä'uses, he breaks it in tro ( $=$ he halves it).
qatstua'q, tied to each other.
puistua'Q, to kill one another.
tla'k•tuas, to kill each other.
iamintua'Q, to hare friendly feelings towards one another.
stlk auzemtua'Q, to put together.
mEQEtcū't, to kick oneself (also to kick nithout hitting anyt.7ing).
wìkentcu'tken, I see myself.
'nikentcu'tken, I cut myself.
The reflexive is sometimes used as a simulative :
nikiapentcū̃'t, to make oneself like a coyote $=$ to act foolishly.
kenuqstcū't, to make oneself sick, or to act like a sich person.

## PREPOSITIONS.

> u, ul, tonards, to. tu, tut, from.

Examples: uä'a, tonards here, this way.
utQken uf tcite, $I$ go into the house. ut stkamlo'ps anê'soan, (when) I went to Kamloops. tū'a kakā'o awi'k Ena-us, (when) I sav it from far arvay. tuqai'a, tukai'a, from here. tutci'a, tuktci'a, from there. tulo'a, tukio'a, from there.
tla'ken tul Nkamtci'n, I came from Spences Bridge. ktci'Qken tut Nkamtci'n, I departed from Spences Bridge. tlak tut estcite, I came from the house. tlak tua tcite, I came from a house.

## CONJUNCTIONS.

pet, and, connecting words designating persons:
snukua'us (1) aḗt (2) a (3) SEQuā'pamuq (4) pet (5) ha (6) Psqä'yEnem (7),
Frienas together (1) now (2) the (3) Shuswap (4) and (5) the (6) Chilcotin (7).
Ef, and, connecting all words not designating persons:
sqä’its EF cāenq, roood and stone.

## SUBSTANTIVALS.

I designate by the term substantivals nominal suffixes, which are used for specifying adjectives, substantives, and verbs:
—k•ēn, head.
-us, face.
-ane, ear.
-aks, nose.
-tcin, mouth, language.

-anz, tooth. -
-iapsam, ncē
-āqEn, upper part of arm.
-äqkEn, body.
-iken, back.
-iken, back.
-akst, hand.
-ist, stone.
-uciap, fire.
-ko, - atko, water.
-ūimuq, land.
qazumk è'n, big-lıeaded.
ihas, pretty.
qazuma'ne, big ear.
k.oa'nētem, he has piercing pains in his ear.
tciawa'ks, nose bleeds.
ntlakyapamuqtci'n, Ntlakyapamue language.
tcuktcin, to finish ${ }^{\cdot}$ with mouth, i.e., to finish eating.
pēatci'n, one nord.
kliqutltci'n, another language.
zaqiapsa'm, long neck.
nzaqiapsa'm, long-necked.
kāupā'qEn, broken arm.
tska'qEn, wing, armpit.
zaqa'qEn, long-armed.'
qzumä'qken, big body.
piä'qkEn, one body.
mitcaki'kEn, to sit on back. pāuta'kst, snollen hand. tcumena'ksten, to point with hand. kāupa'kstken, I have braken my hand.
pié'ist, one stone.
piu'ciap, one fire.
nkui'skō, to fall into water.
qazuma'tkō, great lake. nza'qkō, long lake. ntlk•a'tki, wide lake. ksū'imuq, bad land. ihū'imuq, nice land. kaQū'imuq, dry land.
piū'imuq, one country.
-atle, house.
-aus, trail.
—äiuk, tree.
-tlp, species of trees and bushes.
-atldziQ, bush.
-zanz, driftrood.
-qans, board, plank.
-alks, clothing for upper part of body.
-itsa, covering for body.
-autl, canoe.
—als, k̀nife.
-lemuq, sack, bottle, box.
-ka, spoon, cup, bucket, pail.
-aken, bag, bundle.
--äiqen, rope.
-tim, hollow thing. .
-uza, round thing.
—uzem, grón of.
-aski, song.

- mēn, instrument.
qazuma'tlQ, large house. Óēpàtle, house burns domn.
Eniamina'us, trail for hauling = naggon-road.
tcutlquä'usenuq, thou pointest out the way to him.
ihä'iuk', a nice tree. kuneqä'iuk', how many trees? mitcak'a'iuk', sitting on a tree. ok'ona'yuk', rotten tree, wood. k-aya'yuk', green wood. k'e't'qiuk', luard n:ood tree. za'qiak', long tree. s'atk 'tlp, yeilow pine. sk'atlp, fir.
pea'tldzíq, one bush. kunEqa'tldzîQ, how many bushes?
k'uneqa'ns, hon many planks?
smūtlatsa'lks, noman's gown. spek-i'tsa, white blanket. ntltsask'aqaî'tsa, horse skin. pak-ui'tsa, to shiver with fear. qzuma'utl, big canoe. pia'utl, one canoe. spēia'ls, one knife. . qzuma'ls, large knife. tlina'tlemuq, birch bark vessel. pia'ka, one spoon. pia'ken, one bag. piä'iqEn, one rope. ntsîkti'm, empty ressel. piu'za, one round thing. spek- ${ }^{\prime}$ 'za, white round thing. piu'zem, one group of things. stläea'ski, dancing song. tsuk.mén, pencil. niamén, tool for hauling.

Substantivals sometimes appear in combination:
-tcinatle
door $=$ mouth of house.
nkamtcinā'tle. entrance of house.
mitcaktcinā'tle, to sit in the doorvay.
Some of the substantivals are developing into classificatory terms, such as are found in the Tsimshian :-

| -aks | nose ; point of a horizontal pole. mitcak-a'ks, to sit on a point. |
| :---: | :---: |
| -k'èn | head; top of a long, upright object. |
|  | mitcak $\cdot \mathrm{k} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{\prime} \mathrm{n}$, to sit on top of. |
| -iken | back; middle of long thing. |
|  | mitcak'i'kEn, to sit in middle of a long thing. |
| -aiuk | tree, long thing. |
|  | piai'uk tik sqēts, one (long thing) salmon. |
|  | piai'uk tik tinQ, one (long thing) rein. |
| -a-itQ | flat thing. |
|  | pia'itQ stsuk', one sheet of paper. |
|  | pia'ite ma'nta, one piece of canvas (manta, Spanish). |
| $-k \cdot \bar{e} n$ | head, round thing. |
|  | piak'ê'in tkau'za, one (round thing) egg. |

## Vocabulary of the Chilcotin Language.

The Chilcotin form a branch of the Tinneh stock. The following vocabulary is designed on the lines of the vocabularies given in the Sixth and Tenth Reports of the Committee. Since I am not familiar with the grammatical structure of the language, the vocabulary must be held subject to revision:

| English | Chilcotin | English | Chilcotin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| man | tînnē, ta'yañ. | all houses | kaunētlañ k -hõ. |
| noman | tsé'k'è. | kettle | nōsai'. |
| boy | kyēnl. | bow | atlthē'n, datsa'nk'a. |
| my girl | êsk $\cdot \bar{e}$ tsē'k $\cdot \bar{e}$ ( $=\mathrm{fe}-$ male child). | arrow axe | k'a. tshēntl. |
| father | à'pa | lnife | palâ'. |
| thy mother | i'nku'l. | jack-knife | ggi'nalk ${ }^{\text {'i'k. }}$ |
| my luesband | sak'a'n. | canoe | ts'é. |
| my nife | saa't. | moccasins | ke. |
| miy child | sEsk è'i. | pipe | k'ā'tsai; |
| my elder brother | sö'nar. | nooden pipe | titcen $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ tsai. |
| my younger brother | sik'íl. | tobacco | tsrîlyo'. |
| my elder sister | sä'tē. | glove | bät. |
| my younger sister | site'z. | sky | yêtt'a. |
| Indian | tēntlxotè'n. : | sun | sha. |
| my people | sêtltê's. | moon | $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ İdzi. |
| my head | sErtsE'. | star ; | sza. |
| my hair | sErtsa'ra. | cloud | k'ôs. |
| my face | sEné'm. | smoke | $\cdots$ tlit. |
| my forekead | sEtsēekku'tl. | day | k'anqsi'n. |
| my ear | hētsa'ra (?). | night | êtl'i'. |
| mily eye | sEna'ra. | morning | k'apena'q. |
| - my nose | sētsì'nîH': | erening | ngaratlra'tl. |
| my muuth | sErô'. | noon | sâtsana's. |
| miy tongue | sErtsôll. | midnight | sotêzni', |
| my tooth | SEró'. | spring | Erotlts'e'n. |
| my beard | sEta'ra. | summer | dan. |
| my neck | sEk'ô's. | autumn | d'Enk-i'z. ${ }^{1}$ |
| my arm | seka'n. | winter | qa'i. |
| my hand | sEla'. | wind | nérnts'E. |
| $m y$ fingers | stlats'é'i. | thunder | éndi. |
| thy fingers | nėlats'é'i. | lightning | tōu'c. |
| my thumb | sElaitchôr. | rain | nagutliti'x'. |
| my first finger | sElāske't. | snow | nādjós. |
| my second finger | sElane ${ }^{\prime}$. | fire | k Ôn. |
| my third finger | sElāra'. | rater | tho. |
| my fourth finger. | SElāste't. | ice | ku'dlu. |
| finger nail | lak' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'n. | earth | nen. |
| $m y ~ b o d y$ | SEnê's. | sea | ya thó. |
| my chest | sēdzíry. | river | tsirē'nli, yik ${ }^{\text {cos }}$. |
| my belly | sEbE't. | lake | pēI. |
| my breasts | sEts'ô'r. | snow mountain | tsatl. |
| my leg | SEtS' ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | hill | tētlku'tl. |
| my foot | sEk'ê'. | island | nnu. |
| big toe toe nail | $k \cdot e ̄ l a i t c h o ̂ ' r$. <br> k-èlak'E'n. | salt | lesa'l (Chinook jargon). |
| my bone | seku't. | stone | tshê. |
| my lieart | sEtsi'y (? see chest). | tree | titcî'n. |
| my blood | sEtî'l. | black pine | tcinntī (?). |
| chirf. | nētc'illi'i'n. | all trees | titcîngā'ts'êi. |
| house | $\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{hõ}$. | fuel | tsêz. |

[^0]In the Tenth Report of the Committee (p. 33) I have compiled the known words of the Tinneh dialect that in former times was spoken in the Nicola Valley. I have compared these words with Chilcotin and Netca'nt'in words, first by asking for the equivalents of the English words, then by pronouncing the Nicola Valley words. In a number of cases I obtained equivalents which showed close correspondence.

| English | Nicola Valley | Chilcotin | Nētcä'ut'in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| moman | tsik'hi, tsē-akai' | tsē'kēe | ts'e' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ku}$ |
| black bear | sass, sus, sas | SEs | sas |
| ram of mountain sheep | sisia'ni | cicia'n | sriya'n |
| ere of mountain sheep | tpai | çôpai' | spai'a |
| mountain sheep | ti-pi | te'pi | - - |
| lake trout | sipai'i | sã'pai | sapai' |
| snake | tlosho' | tlarase'ñ | tlage's |
| bear berry | ti'nEH | tî'nir | teni'H |
| horn | (atē) | ate ${ }^{\prime}$ | ate |
| arron | k'e | k'a | k'a |
| child | (qe) | $\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{e} \mathrm{i}$ | tout |
| take it ! | êtltcot (I may give jou) | èntltcū't | yigētitltcut. |

These words agree very closely on the Nicola Valley dialect and in Chilcotin. Only three among these twe:ve words differ in a manner which cannot well be explained by difference of perception and transcription. They are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lake trout } \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\text { tlosHo' } & \text { tlarase' } \tilde{n} & \text { tlage's.s. }^{\text {sipai'i }} \\
\text { să'pai } & \text { sapai'. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since three words were collected from more than one individual, and by three different collectors, it seems likely ibat there existed an actual difference between these dialects in regard to these words.

The following words of the Nicola Valley dialect was not understood by either Chilcotin or Nètcä'utin when read by me. In a number of cases I obtained the equivalents of the English words in the two last-named dialects.

| Nicola Valley | English | Chilcotin | Nētcā'ut'in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t-haeh | man | tînnē, ta'yañ | tine ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| tet'-hutz | man | - | - |
| thatc | man | - | - |
| nootl | man | - | - |
| hûlhûltu'täi | a fish | - | - |
| taki'nktcin | a fish | - | - - |
| zûlke'ke | ground-hog | tēti'ñy | têtni' |
| tsho | buck of deer | nēsí'ny | yêsts'ētine' |
| tEqo'ztz | soap-berry | nō'ruc | nawa'c |
| notl-ta-ha't-se |  |  |  |
| notlqa'tzi | \}wild currant | tqaltsE'l (?) | - |
| qtlona'zi |  |  |  |
| ta-ta-ney,' tēt-ta-ā-nē ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |
| tet-ta-a-ne ta-a'-ni | $\}^{k n i f c}$ | pala' | ali's |
| tsaè | spoon | k-a'nit | sE'nts'atl |
| ska-kir-ih-kane | rush mat | gultl'i's | hutle's |
| naltsi'tse | arron-head | dunñtai' | nūntai |
| tlutl | packing line | qeetla'nt'iy | qētlã't'iy |
| ti-li-tsa-in | give me, the spoon! | nnan tēk a'nir | - |
| $n$-shote | give it to me! | nna | te |
| pin-a-lē-ēl-i-itz | take care! | sōtsêlnē'tlē | wô'nli |
| $a^{\prime}$ we qe | come here, child | -- |  |

I have omitted the numerals in the comparison, because I suspect that those recorded by Mr. Mackay (l.c., p. 33) are not numerals, but various words which the informant enumerated as known to him. I think that this is the case, because many of them agree nearly or quite accurately with other words of our list. Mr. James Teit, who collected a number of words from the Indians, first called my attention to this fact. The following list shows these agreements:


These agreements and the fundamental differences between these numerals and those of all other Tinneb dialects make the series more than doubtful.

Although the apparent differences of a small vocabulary like the present have no great weight, I am inclined to think that there was a difference between the Chilcotin and the Nicola Valley dialect. The language was, however, evidently very closely related to the Chilcotin, while it differed considerably from the Carrier dialects.

## V. Summary of the Work of the Committee in British Columbia. By Franz Boas.

At the time when the Committee instituted their investigations, the inhabitants of the Pacific coast of Canada were less known than those of any other part of the North American Continent, with the exception, perhaps, of the tribes of California. What little we knew was based on the brief descriptions of early travellers, or on indirect information obtained from investigators who had been working in the regions to the north and to the south. The only noteworthy work done in recent times was that by Dr. G. M. Dawson during his frequent geological expeditions to British Columbia. But three important problems remained to be solved; the numerous languages of the coast were still unclassitied, and the number of their dialects was not definitely known ; the physical characteristics of the tribes had never been investigated; it was not known if they represented one homogeneous type, or if several types were found in the Province. Finally, the study of the customs of the various tribes offered a number of difficult problems in regard to the origin and significance of several phenomena.

Material advance has been made by the efforts of the Committee in all these directions. The number of languages and dialects is now known, and it does not seem likely that additional ones will be discovered. The following languages are spoken in British Columbia:-Athapaskan or Tinneh in eight dialects; Tsimshian in three dialects; Haida in two dialects; Wakashan in two divisions, the Kwakiutl with three dialects, and the Nootka with two dialects ; the Salish in four main divisions with eleven dialects, and the Kootenay. In this enumeration, dialects which may be classed as well developed and pronounced provincialisms have not been counted, but only such dialects as show distinct differences in vocabulary and grammar, so that intercommunication between the tribes speaking them is, even in the case of the most closely affiliated dialects, not easy. We count, therefore, in all, thirty dialects, which have been here classed, according to their affinities, under six linguistic stocks. Grammatical sketches of all these dialects have been obtained ; but a few only are known tolerably well. These are the Kwakiutl and the Tsimshian. All the others require much fuller investigation than they have heretofore received.

While the present state of our knowledge of these languages does not permit us to assume that the number of stocks to which they belong is smaller than the number given above, we may call attention at this place to the morphological relations of some of these languages, which suggest the desirability of further inquiries into their early history.

Haida and Tlingit-which latter is spoken in southern Alaska-have a number of morphological traits in common. While all the other languages of the North Pacific coast use reduplication for grammatical purposes, no trace of reduplication is found in these two languages. There is no gender, and no well-defined form for a plural or distributive. Compound nouns are very numerous, the composition being effected by juxtaposition. Words af two, three, and more components, which do not modify each other, occur. Local adverbs, which always retain their independent forms, frequently enter into compound words of this kind. In both languages there are four forms of the personal pronoun. In the
independent pronoun, the selective and the ordinary forms may be distinguished. The pronoun of the transitive verb differs from that of intransitive verbs, the latter being identical with the objective form of the former. In this respect there is a close analogy between the Haida and Tlingit, and the Siouan languages.

The Tsimshian presents an entirely different type of language. We find a plural based largely on reduplication. The pronouns are suffixed to the verb. Words are formed almost exclusively by means of prefixes. The system of numerals is very complex, as there are different sets of numerals for various classes of objects.

The southern group of languages-the Kwakiutl, Salish, and Chemakum (which last is spoken in the northern part of the State of Washington) have a series of very peculiar traits in common. Most prominent among these is the occurrence of what Trumbull has called 'substantivals,' which play so important a part in the Algonkin languages. Such are, primarily, parts of the body; furthermore, designations of localities, of fire, water, road, blanket, domesticated animals (i.e., in olden times, the dog.), and many others. These substantivals do not occur in any other northern language, and must be considered one of the most important characteristics of the languages in question. All these languages use reduplication and diæresis for forming collective forms and plurals of verbs. The demonstrative pronoun is used very extensively, and serves for distinguishing locations of object or action according to the three forms of the personal pronoun ; namely, such as are located near the first, second, or third person. Besides these, a great many locative suffixes are used. Whenever an adverb accompanies the verb, the former is inflected, while the verb remains unchanged. When a transitive verb is accompanied by an adverb, the latter always takes the suffix of the pronominal subject, while the verb takes that of the pronominal object.

The Kootenay presents still another type of language. It incorporates the object in the same way as the Mexican does, the noun itself being embodied in the verb. It has rery few substantivals, if any, but forms compounds by verbal composition, like the Tinneh (Athapascan) and Siouan. While in the preceding class we find, for instance, compounds expressing states of the hand, of water, fire, \&c., we find here compounds expressing actions done with the hand, the foot, or other instrumentalities; and in the water, the fire, or in other localities. It seems that there is no reduplication.

It is worth remarking that these types of language are characterised by a few very general features that they have in comimon, and that distinguish them from the other groups that are found in contiguous areas. The Haida and Tsimshian are spoken in the extreme north ; the Kwakiutl, Saiish, Chemakum, in the whole southern portion of the Province, and they adjoin the Algonkin, with whom they have a few peculiarities in common. The Kootenay is not far separated from the Shoshonean languages, which resemble it in several particulars. We may therefore well say that the languages of the North Pacific coast belong to several morphological groups, each of which occupies a continuous area.

The investigation of the physical characteristics of the Indians of British Columbia has resulted in establishing the fact that the people are by no means homogeneous. As compared to the Indians east of the Rocky Mountains and farther south, they have in common a lighter complexion and lighter hair ; but the shapes of their heads and faces differ
considerably. Three types may easily be distinguished-the northern type, represented by the Haida, the Indians of Nass River, and the Tsimshian ; the Kwakiutl type; and the Thompson River type.

These types may be characterised by the following measurements :-

|  | Norther | m Type | Kwaki | Type | Thomps | n River e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Mean Error | Average | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Error } \end{aligned}$ | Average | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Error } \end{aligned}$ |
| I. Men. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stature. | ${ }_{1675}$ | $\pm 7 \cdot 40$ | ${ }_{1645} \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\pm 5 \cdot 90$ | mm. | $\pm 7.90$ |
| Length of head | $194 \cdot 6$ | $\pm 0.80$ | 188.7 | $\pm 1 \cdot 19$ | 186.5 | $\pm 0 \cdot 55$ |
| Breadth of head | $160 \cdot 6$ | $\pm 0.67$ | 159.0 | $\pm 1.00$ | 155.9 | $\pm 0.52$ |
| Breadth of face | 153.7 | $\pm 0.85$ | $151 \cdot 4$ | $\pm 0.54$ | 1474 | $\pm 0.41$ |
| Height of face | 121.6 | $\pm 0.87$ | 128:0 | $\pm 0.67$ | $120 \cdot 3$ | $\pm 0.71$ |
| II. Women. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stature. | 1542 | $\pm 5.70$ | 1537 | $\pm 5 \cdot 90$ | 1540 | $\pm 5 \cdot 00$ |
| Length of head | 185.6 | $\pm 0.88$ | 1869 | $\pm 1.64$ | $179 \cdot 5$ | $\pm 0.53$ |
| Breadth of head | 153.2 | $\pm 0.90$ | $154 \cdot 3$ | $\pm 1 \cdot 44$ | $150 \cdot 0$ | $\pm 0.41$ |
| Breadth of face | $\underline{143} 9$ | $\pm 0.80$ | 1443 | $\pm 0.64$ | $138 \cdot 8$ | $\pm 0 \cdot 10$ |
| Height of face | 114.3 | $\pm 0.93$ | $119 \cdot 3$ | $\pm 0.82$ | $112 \cdot 5$ | $\pm 054$ |

They may be described as follows: All these types are of medium stature, and their arms are relatively long, their bodies short. Among the northern type we find a very large head. The transversal diameter is very great. The same may be said of the face, which has an enormous breadth. The height of the face is moderate, and therefore its form appears decidedly low. The nose is often concave or straight, seldom convex. The noses of the women are decidedly concave. Its elevation over the face is slight. The point of the nose is short.

The dimensions of the head of the Kwakiutl are similar to those of the northern types, but the head seems to be slightly smaller. The face shows a remarkably different type, which distinguishes it fundamentally from the faces of all the other groups. The breadth of face is nearly the same as that of the northern type, but its height is enormous. The same may be said of the nose, which is very high and comparatively narrow. The point of the nose is short: its elevation is also very great. The nasal bones are strongly developed, and form a steep arch, their lower ends rising high above the face. For this reason convex noses are found very frequently among this type. Convex noses also prevail among the women, and for this reason the difference between the female form of the Kwakiutl and the female form of the northern type is very great.

The Thompson River type is characterised by a very small head, both diameters being much shorter than those found on the coast, while the proportions are nearly the same. The transversal diameter of the face is much shorter than that of the coast Indians, being nearly the same as that found among the Indians on the plains. The face is much lower than that of the Kwakiutl type, and also slightly lower than that of the northern type. The nose is convex and heavy. Its point is much longer and heavier than the point of the noses of the coast types.

There are good indications of the existence of a few other types, but they cannot be distinguished with certainty from the types enumerated
here. It is probable that further measurements will show that the tribes of Harrison Lake and the Gulf of Georgia represent a fourth type.

The distribution of the types of man in British Columbia has an important bearing upon the much discussed question of the classification of mankind; while some anthropologists have maintained that all classification must be based upon considerations of language, others maintain as rigorously that the main consideration must be that of physical type. The data collected by the Committee show clearly that neither of these contentions is entirely correct. We have seen that certain tribes-such as the Bilqula, who linguistically belong to the Salish group-physically belong to another group. This shows that the two phenomena do not go hand in hand, but that they constantly overlap. The classification of mankind according to physical characteristics takes into consideration only the effects of heredity and environment upon the physical type of man. Race mixture, isolation, and effect of environment will be reflected in the results of these classifications. But there are evidently cases in which a slow infiltration of foreign blood takes place, while language and customs remain unaltered or changed to but a slight extent. The Bilqula branched off from the Coast Salish at an early time, and retain the Salish language ; but there has been an infiltration of Kwakiutl blood and of Athapaskan blood, which has entirely changed the physical features of the tribe. With this infiltration of foreign blood came foreign words and foreign cultural elements, but they were not sufficiently powerful to change the original speech of the people.

It is clear, from these considerations, that the three methods of classifying mankind-that according to physical characters, according to language, and according to culture-all reflect thehistorical development of races from different standpoints; and that the results of the three classifications are not comparable, because the historical facts do not affect the three classes of phenomena equally. A consideration of all these classes of facts is needed when we endeavour to reconstruct the early history of the races of mankind.

It will be sufficient to point out in this place a few of the more general results of the studies conducted by the Committee on the cultures of the primitive people of British Columbia. In the Reports of the Committee only brief abstracts were given of the mythologies and traditions of the tribes, but full collections were made ; and a comparison of these has led to the following results :-The culture of the coast tribes of the Province is quite uniform. It has reached its highest development in the district extending from Queen Charlotte Islands to northern Vancouver Island. As-we depart from this region, a gradual change in arts and customs takes place, and together with it we find a gradual diminution in the number of myths which the distant tribes have in common with the people of British Columbia. At the same time a gradual change in the incidents and general character of the legends takes place.

We can in this manner trace what we might call a dwindling-down of an elaborate cyclus of myths to mere adventures, or even to incidents of adventures, and we can follow the process step by step. Wherever this distribution can be traced, we have a clear and undoubted example of the gradual dissemination of-a myth over neighbouring tribes. The phenomena of distribution can be explained only by the theory that the tales have been carried from one tribe to its neighbours, and by the tribe which has newly acquired them in turn to its own neighbours. It is not
necessary that this dissemination should always follow one direction ; it may have proceeded either way. In this manner a complex tale may dwindle down by gradual dissemination, but new elements may also be embodied in it.

It may be well to give an example of this phenomenon. The most popular tradition of the North Pacific coast is that of the raven. Its most characteristic form is found among the Tlingit, Tsimshian, and Haida. As we go southward, the connection between the adventures becomes looser, and their number less. It appears that the traditions are preserved quite fully as far south as the north end of Vancouver Island. Farther south the number of raven-tales which are known to the Indians diminishes very much. At Nahwitti, near the north point of Vancouver Island, thirteen tales out of a whole of eighteen exist. The Comox have only eight, the Nootka six, and the Coast Salish only three. Furthermore, the traditions are found at Nahwitti in the same connection as farther north, while farther south they are very much modified. The tale of the origin of daylight, which was liberated by the raven, may serve as an instance. He had taken the shape of the leaf of a cedar, was swallowed by the daughter of the owner of the daylight, and then born again; afterwards he broke the box in which the daylight was kept. Among the Nootka, only the transformation into the leaf of a cedar, which is swallowed by a girl and then born again, remains. Among the Coast Salish the more important passages survive, telling how the raven by a ruse compelled the owner of the daylight to let it out of the box in which he kept it. The same story is found as far south as Grey's Harbour in Washington. The adventure of the pitch, which the raven kills by exposing it to the sunshine, intending to use it for calking his canoe, is found far south, but in an entirely new connection, embodied in the tradition of the origin of sun and moon.

But there are also certain adventures embodied in the raven myths of the north, which probably had their origin in other parts of America. Among these may be mentioned the tale of how the raven was invited and reciprocated. The seal puts his hands near the fire, and grease drips out of them into a dish, which he gives to the raven. Then the latter tries to imitate him, but burns his hands, \&c. This tale is found, in one or the other form, all over North America, and there is no proof that it originally belonged to the raven myth of Alaska Other examples may be found in the collection of traditions published by F. Boas. ${ }^{1}$

The proposition that dissemination has taken place among neighbouring tribes will probably not encounter any opposition. Starting from this point of view, we may advance the following considerations :-

If we have a full collection of the tales and myths of all the tribes of a certain region, and then tabulate the number of incidents which all the collections from each tribe have in common with any selected tribe, the number of common incidents will be the larger the wore intimate the relation of the two tribes, and the nearer they live together. This is what we observe in a tabulation of the material collected on the North Pacific coast. On the whole, the nearer the people, the greater the number of common elements of traditions; the farther apart, the less their number.

[^1]But it is not the geographical location alone which influences the distribution of tales. In some cases, numerous tales which are common to a certain territory stop short at a certain point, and are found beyond it in slight fragments only. These limits do not by any means coincide with the linguistic divisions. An example of this kind is the raven legend, to which reference has been made. It is found in substantially the same form from Alaska to northern Vancouver Island; then it suddenly disappears almost entirely, and is not found among the southern tribes of Kwakiutl lineage, nor on the west coast of Vancouver Island, although the northern tribes, who speak the Kwakiutl language, have it. Only fragments of these legends have strayed farther south, and their number diminishes with increasing distance. There must be a cause for such a remarkable break. A statistical inquiry shows that the northern traditions are in close accord with the tales of the tribes as far south as the central part of Vancouver Island, where a tribe of Salish lineage is found ; but farther they do not go. The closely allied tribes immediately south do not possess them. Only one explanation of this fact is possible, viz., lack of assimilation, which may be due to a difference of character, to continued hostilities, or to recent changes in the location of the tribes, which has not allowed the slow process of assimilation to exert its deepacting influence. The last may be considered the most probable cause. The reason for this opinion is, that the Bilqula, another Salish tribe, who have become separated from the people speaking related languages, and who live in the far north, still show in their mythologies close relations to the southern Salish tribes, with whom they have many more traits in common than their neighbours to the north and to the south. If their removal had taken place very long ago, this similarity in mythologies would probably not have persisted, but they would haje been quite amalgamated with their new neighbours.

We may also extend our comparisons beyond the immediate neighbours of the tribes under consideration by comparing the mythologies of the tribes of the plateaus in the interior, and evên of those farther to the east, with those of the coast. Unfortunately, the available material from these regions is very scanty. Fairly good collections exist from the Athapaskan tribes, from the tribes of Columbia River, and-east of the mountains-from the Omaha, and from some Algonkin tribes. When comparing the mythologies and traditions which belong to far-distant regions, we find that the number of incidents which they have in common is greater than might have been expected ; but some of those incidents are so general that we may assume that they have no connection, and may have arisen independently. There is, however, one very characteristic feature which proves beyond cavil that this is not the sole cause of the similarity of tales and incidents. We know that in the region under discussion two important trade routes reached the Pacific coast-one along the Columbia River, which connected the region inhabited by Shoshonean tribes with the coast, and indirectly led to territories occupied by Siouan and Algonkin tribes; another one which led from Athapaskan territory to the country of the Bilqula. A route of minor importance led down Fraser River. A study of the traditions shows that along these routes the points of contact of mythologies are strongest, and rapidly diminish with increasing distances from these routes. On Columbia River the points of contact are with the Algonkin and Sioux; among the Bilqula they are withothe Athapaskan. This phenomenon can hardly
be explained in any other way than by assuming that the myths followed the line of travel of the tribes, and that there has been dissemination of .tales all over the continent. The tabulations which have been made include the Micmac of Nova Scotia, the Eskimo of Greenland, the Ponca of the Mississippi Basin, and the Athapaskan of Mackenzie River ; and the results give the clearest evidence of extensive borrowing.
.The identity of a great many tales in geographically contiguous areas has led to the assumption that, wherever a great similarity between two tales is found in North America, it is more likely that it is due to dissemination than to independent origin.

But without extending these theories byyond the clearly demonstrated truths of transmission of tales between neighbouring tribes, we may reach some further conclusions. When we compare, for instance, the legend of the culture hero of the Chinook, and that of the origin of the whole religious ceremonial of the Kwakiutl Indians, we find a very farreaching resemblance in certain parts of the legends, which makes it certain that these parts are derived from the same source. The grandmother of the divinity of the Chinook, when a child, was carried away by a monster. Their child became the mother of the culture-hero, and by her help the monster was slain. In a legend from Vancouver Island a monster, the cannibal spirit, carries away a girl, and is finally slain by her help. Their child becoines later on the new cannibal spirit. There are certain intermediate stages of these stories which prove their identity beyond doubt. The important point in this case is that the myths in question are perhaps the most fundamental ones in the mythologies of these two tribes. Nevertheless, they are not of native growth, but -partly at least-borrowed. A great many other important legends prove to be of foreign origin, being grafted upon mythologies of various tribes. This being the case, it follows that the mythologies of the various tribes as we find them now are not organic growths, but have gradually developed and obtained their present form by accretion of foreign material. Much of this material must have been adopted ready made, and has been adapted and changed in form according to the genius of the people who. borrowed it. The proofs of this process are so ample that there is no reason to doubt the fact. We are therefore led to the opinion that, from mythologies in their present form, it is impossible to derive the conclusion that they are mythological explanations of phenomena of nature observed by the people to whom the myths belong, but that many of them, at the places where we find them now, never had such a meaning. If we acknowledge this conclusion as correct, we must give up the attempts at offhand explanation of myths as fanciful, and we must admit that also explanations given by the Indians themselves are often secondary, and do not reflect the true origin of the myths.

It may be well to explain this point of view a little more fully. Certainly the phenomena of nature are the foundation of numerous myths, else we should not find that the sun, moon, clouds, thunderstorm, the sea, and the land play so importan't a part in all mythologies. But it seems that the specific myth cannot' be simply interpreted as the result of observation of natural phenomena. Its growth is much too complex. In most cases the present form has undergone material change by disintegration and by accretion of foreign material, so that the original idea is at best much obscured.

Perhaps the objection might be raised to this argument that the simi-

Kwakiutl we find a mixture of paternal and maternal institutions, but the son is not allowed to use his father's totem ; he acquires the right to his totem by marriage, receiving at that time the totem of his wife's father. When, later on, his daughter marries, the right to the toten descends upon her husband. In this manner the totem descends in the maternal line, although indirectly. Each clan has a certain limited number of names. Each individual has only one name at a time. The bearers of these names form the nobility of the tribe. When a man receives the totem of his father-in-law, he at the same time receives his name, while the father-in-law gives up the name, and takes what is called 'an old man's name,' which does not belong to the names constituting the nobility of the tribe.

Among the Kwakiutl and Bilqula this social organisation holds good during the summer, while during the winter ceremonials it is suspended. During this time the secret societies take the place of-the clans. According to tradition, these societies have originated in the same manner as the clan originated. One of the ancestors of the clan met the presiding spirit of one of the societies, and was initiated by him. This seems to be the general form of tradition explaining the origin of secret societies amongs all North American tribes. All those who have been initiated by the same spirit, and who have received from him the name, privileges, and secrets of the ceremonial, form a secret society. The most important among the societies on the North Pacific coast are those of the cannibals; the bears, the fools, and the warriors. The number of names composing a secret society is limited in the same manner as the number of names composing the clan. Membership in a secret society may be obtained in two ways: by marriage, in the same way as the acquisition of the totem ; and by killing the owner of a certain name. Totem and secret society are not connected inseparably; but the one may be transferred to one person, the other to another.

In order to understand this curious system clearly we must remember that the Salish tribes which are found south of the Kwakiutl are divided into village communities; while their northern neighbours-the Tsimshian, the Haida, and the Tlingit-are divided into maternal clans. The Kwakiutl have been strongly influenced from both sides.

The traditions explaining the totems and the secret societies refer, as stated before, to the initiation of the ancestor of the clan. They are analogous to the traditions of the acquisition of the Manitou. All the tales referring to this subject have approximately the following incident: A youth undergoes a ceremonial fasting and purification, and thus acquires the faculty of seeing a spirit, who becomes his protector. The traditions of the coast tribes explaining the origin of clans have the same contents. There is only one difference : the protecting spirit has appeared to the ancestor of the clan, and is now inherited by their descendants without personal initiation. In this respect the similarity between the traditions of the secret societies and those referring to the Manitous is much closer, since it is necessary that each new member be initiated by the presiding spirit of the society. Therefore every new member has to undergo the same ceremonies which other Indians undergo at the time of reaching puberty. The beliefs of the Chinooks of Columbia River are similar to those of the northern tribes, although among them the idea of the acquisition of the totem has been more clearly preserved. They believe that a man can acquire only that spirit who belonged to his ancestors in the paternal line, but the relation
of this spirit to the individual is identical with that of the Manitou to the eastern Indian.

It can be clearly shown that the development of the family Manitou into the family totem has taken place owing to the influence of the northern tribes. In order to make this clear, it is necessary to consider for a moment the clans of the Kwakiutl somewhat closely. In examining the names of the tribes, it will be seen that very often the name of the tribe is the collective form of the name of its ancestor. At the same time a subdivision of the tribe, one of its clans, may have the name 'The Family of the Ancestor,' while the other clans have different names. It seems that this proves that the first clan formed the original stock of the tribe, and that the other clans joined it later on. This theory is strengthened by two considerations: first, it is stated that each clan originally had its village at a certain place, which it left later on in order to join others. Almost all these places can be proved to be ancient village sites. Secondly, many clans have names which may be translated, as 'Inhabitants of such and such a place,' while nowadays they live with the rest of the tribe in the same village, and have no distinct claims to the territory the name of which they bear. This seems to prove that the present social organisation of the tribe is a late development, and that originally the Kwakiutl were in the same stage of development as their southern neighbours, among whom the social unit is the village community, and who have no crestis.

The northern tribes have clearly defined totems, which are inherited in the maternal line, and which have animal names and animal crests. While among these tribes the totem of the whole clan is founded on the tradition belonging to the whole clan, the subdivisions of the latter are explained in exactly the same manner as those of the Kwakiutl clans. The artistic bent of these people has taken hold of these traditions, and has thus formed the crest for the clan and for its subdivisions. There is little doubt that the plastic art of the northern tribes was a most important factor in developing their social system. In the south, where this art begins to disappear, the village community takes the place of the clan with animal totem, while among the tribes located between these two groups, among whom the plastic art is well developed, although not as highly as in the north, there is an intermediate form of social system. It is therefore likely that the development of the social system discussed here has taken place in the northern part of British Columbia.

The northern tribes of Kwakiutl lineage show clearly that their ideas have been influenced by the animal totem of the northern tribes. They have adopted to a great extent the maternal descent and the division into animal totems of the northern tribes. The social organisation of the $\mathrm{He}^{\prime}$ 'iltsuk; one of the most northern tribes of Kwakiutl lineage, is similar to that of the Tsimshian, while their southern neighbours, the inhabitants of Rivers Inlet, who speak the same dialect, retain the more complex organisation of the Kwakiutl ; but they have mainly maternal descent.

It is an interesting fact that a great many of the clan legends of the Kwakiutl are very insignificant, while others have important mythical bearings by which they are closely connected with the mythological concepts of the people. It seems probable that clan legends first found their way to the K wakiutl by marriages with women of northern tribes, whose traditions, according to the customs of the northern region, were inherited by the woman's children. This must have given an important н 1 - 7
inpulse to acquiring or inventing similar traditions on the part of other clans, since their possession was undoubtedly considered a prestige. Probably the fastings of young men and the subsequent hallucinations have furnished the greater part of the material for these legends.

It is necessary to consider at this place a few characteristic traditions which belong to the cannibal society of the tribes of the northern and central parts of the coast. The most widely diffused tradition on this subject seems to have originated among the Héiltsuk;, but it has spread southward to the Kwakiutl. It is told that a young girl was carried away by the cannibal spirit. Her four brothers searched for her, and with difficulty escaped the pursuing cannibal spirit. Finally, they succeeded in killing him, and his ashes were transformed into mosquitoes. In the course of their visit to their sister the brothers learned the songs and secrets of the cannibal society. This tradition is given in most cases as the origin of the secret society. A number of other members were initiated in other ways, one by stealing the cedar-bark ornaments of the bathing cannibal spirit, another one by ascending the sky and obtaining the secrets of the society.

These customs have also spread to the northern neighbours of the Héiltsuk', the Tsimshian. They have the following tradition in regard to the origin of the society :-A hunter pursued a bear, which finally led him into the interior of a rock. Inside he saw people performing the ceremonies of the society, and he was instructed by their chief to repeat the same ceremonies at home. In all the traditions of the Kwakiutl the cannibal spirit presides over the society, while he does not appear in the Tsimshian tradition. This shows that different traditions are used for explaining the same ceremonial.

In connection with these facts we will consider the conclusions which were drawn from a consideration of the mythologies of the tribes of British Columbia. We saw that none of these could be considered as the product of a single tribe. All the traditions were full of foreign elements, which it was possible to trace over wide areas. If, therefore, the same ritual is explained by different traditions, we may conclude that the ritual preceded the tradition; that the former is the primary phenomenon, the latter the secondary.

It seems that the development of the ritual, as well as of the traditions connected with it, is founded in the prestige given by membership in a secret society. There must have developed a desire to become a member of a society, which led, wherever the number of societies was insufficient for the tribe, to the establishment of new ones. It is not meant, of course, that the Indians intentionally invented new traditions, but that the desire stimulated their fancy and excited their mind, and that in this manner, after proper fastings, occasion was given for hallucinations, the material of which was naturally taken from the ideas found among the tribe and its neighbours. Similar phenomena have been treated, from a systematic point of view, by Stoll in his book on Suggestion, and by.Tarde in his book on the Laws of Imitation.

It is easily understood how the exciting ceremonial of the cannibal society may have given rise to hallucinations in which a young man thought to see the same spirit under new conditions, and that after his return from the solitude he told his visions. Since the opinion. prevailed that the spirit. Which appeared in this manner had a tendency to reappear to the descendants of the person to whom it once appeared,
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opportunity was given for the formation of a new place in the secret societies. We may assume, therefore, that, psychologically, the development of the complex system of membership in the secret societies must be explained as due to the combined action of the social system and the method of acquiring guardian spirits.

While these considerations may explain the variety of form of the secret societies, and show that the myths on which a ritual is founded are probably secondary, they do not explain the origin of the societies themselves and of the peculiar customs connected with them. There are, however, indications which lead to the opinion that these societies developed from methods of warfare. First of all, it is important to note that the deity 'Winā'lagyilis of the Kwakiutl presides over the whole ceremonial. This name means 'the one who makes war upon the-whole world,' and his spirit controls the mind of the Indians also during the time of war. For this reason the secret societies are in action also on war expeditions, no matter at what season of the year they may occur. All the oldest songs of the secret societies refer to war. The cannibal, as well as the bear dancers and the fool dancers of the Kwakiutl, are considered warriors, and go into ecstasies as soon as an enemy has been killed. All this indicates that originally the secret societies were closely connected with war expeditions.

One thing more must be considered. The customs which we observe to-day are evidently the modern development of ancient forms. It is known that the ceremonial cannibalism, which nowadays is the principal part of the whole ceremonial, has been introduced very recently among all the tribes. The Kwakiutl state that this custom was introduced among them not longer than sixty years ago, and that it originated among the Hé'iltsuk: We also know that the custom spread from the Héiltsuk. to the Tsimshian not longer than a hundred and fifty years ago. Therefore there is no doubt that the custom was originally confined to the small territory of the Héiltsuk: Among the southern tribes the cannibals originally confined themselves to holding with their teeth the heads of enemies which had been cut off.

The form in which the cannibalism spread from the Héiltsuk is mainly the following :-A slave was killed by his owner, then he was torn to pieces and eaten by the cannibals ; or pieces of flesh were bitten out of the arms and the chest of people; or, finally, corpses which had been prepared in a particular way were devoured by the cannibals. The first of these customs clearly bears some relation to war. A slave was obtained in war by the relative of a cannibal, and by killing him the owner celebrated the victory before the assembled tribe. It is not possible to prove definitely that the secret societies developed in this manner from customs related to war expeditions, but the close relationship of the two eannot be doubted.

We may say, therefore, that the investigations of the Committee have proved that dissemination of cultural elements has taken place all along the North Pacific coast, and also that the most distant parts of the American continent, and probably even parts of the Old World, have contributed to the growth of the culture of the Indians of British Columbia. This fact shows that we cannot accept the sweeping assertion that sameness of ethnical phenomena is always due to the sameness of the working of the human mind, but that it is necessary to consider in all H 1 - 8
anthropological investigations the important element of dissemination of cultural elements. .

The decorative art of the Indians of the North Pacific coast differs from the arts of other primitive people in that the process of conventionalisation has not led to the development of geometric designs, but that the ornaments mostly represent animals. It is generally assumed that all the animal representations found on totem poles or on decorations of household utensils and of wearing apparel represent the totems of the various clans. While it is certainly true that in most cases the artists decorate the objects with the totem of the owner, there are a number of cases in which the reason for applying certain animal designs is founded on other considerations. This is very evident in the case of the fish-club, which is used in despatching halibut and other fish before they are hauled into the cance. Almost all the clubs that I have seen represent the sea-lion or the killer-whale-the two sea animals which are most feared by the Indians, and/which kill those animals that are to be killed by means of the club. The idea of giving the club the design of the sea-lion or killer-whale is therefore rather to give it a form appropriate to its function, and perhaps, secondarily, to give it by means of its form great efficiency.

Another instance in which a close relation exists between the function of the object and its design is that of the grease dish. Small grease dishes have almost invariably the shape of the seal, or sometimes that of the sea-lion; that is, of those animals which furnish a vast amount of blubber. Grease of sea animals is considered a sign of wealth. In many cases abundance of food is described by saying that the sea near the houses was covered with the grease of the seal, the sea-lion, and whales. Thus the form of the seal seems to symbolise affluence.

Other grease dishes and food dishes have the form of canoes, and here, I believe, a similar idea has given rise to the form. The canoe symbolises that a canoe load of food is presented to the guests, and that this view is probably correct is indicated by the fact that in his speeches the host often refers to the canoe filled with food which he gives to his guests. The canoe form is often modified, and a whole series of types can be established forming the transition between canoe dishes and ordinary trays. Dishes of this sort always bear a conventionalised face at each short end, while the middle part is not decorated. This is analogous to the style of the decoration of the canoe. The design represents almost always the hawk. I am not certain what has given origin to the prevalence of this design. On the whole, the decoration of the canoe is totemistic. It may be that it is only the peculiar manner in which the beak of the hawk is represented which has given rise to the prevalence of this decoration. The upper jaw of the hawk is always shown so that its point reaches the lower jaw and turns back into the mouth. When painted or carved in front view, the beak is indicated by a narrow wedgeshaped strip in the middle of the face, the point of which touches the ${ }_{0}$ lower margin of the chin. The sharp bow and stern of a canoe with a profile of a face on each side, when represented on a level or slightly rounded surface, would assume the same shape. Therefore it may be that originally the middle line was not the beak of the hawk, but the foreshortened bow or stern of the canoe. This decoration is so uniform that the explanation given here seems to be very probable.

On halibut hooks we find very often decorations representing the squid. The reason for selecting this motive must be looked for in the fact that the squid is used for baiting the hooks.

I am not quite certain if the decoration of armour and weapons is totemistic or symbolic. Remarkably many helmets represent the sealion, many daggers the bear, eagle, wolf, and raven, while I have not seen one that represents the killer-whale, although it is one of the ornaments that are most frequently shown on totemistic designs.

I presume this phenomenon may be accounted for by a consideration of the ease with which the conventionalised forms lend themselves to decorating certain parts of implements. It is difficult to imagine how the killer-whale could be represented on the handle of a dagger without impairing its usefulness. On the other hand, the long thin handles of ladles made of the horn of the big horn sheep generally terminate with the head of a raven or of a crane, the leak being the end of the handle. This form was evidently suggested by the slender tip of the horn, which is easily carved in this shape. The same seems to be true in the cases of lances or knives, the blades of which are represented as the long, protruding tongues of animals; but it may be that in this case there is a complex action of a belief in the supernatural power of the tongue, and in the suggestions which the decorator received from the shape of the object he desired to decorate.

To sum up, it seems that there are a great number of cases of decoration which cannot be considered totemistic, but which are either symbolic or suggested by the shape of the object to be decorated. It seems likely that totemism was the most powerful incentive in developing the art of the natives of the North Pacific coast; but the desire to decorate in certain conventional forms once established, these forms were applied in cases in which there was no reason and no intention of using the totemistic mark. The thoughts of the artists were influenced by considerations foreign to the idea of totemism. This is one of the numerous ethnological phenomena which, although apparently simple, cannot be explained psychologically from a single cause, but are due to several factors.

The treatment of the animal design is very peculiar. We may distinguish two principles which govern the form of representation: First, the animal is characterised by a number of symbols ; secondly, the artist does not endeavour to render a perspective view of the animal, but rather to show the whole animal.

The first of these principles is probably founded largely on the difficulty encountered in designing realistic representations of various animals which would be clearly recognised as specific animals. For this reason the most characteristic peculiarities of each species become the symbols by which it is recognised. Thus the beaver is always symbolised by two large incisors and a scaly tail ; the dog-fish, by an elongated forehead, a mouth with depressed corners, and five curved lines (the gills) on each cheek; the killer-whale, by its tail, flippers, and its large dorsal fin ; the sculpin, by two spines which rise over the forehead; the hawk, by a large beak. which is turned backward so that it touches the chin. Probably all these symbols were originally applied to charact-rise a portion of a quadruped, bird, or fish; but in course of time they ame to be considered as sufficient to call to mind the form of the whule animal. We find, therefore, that gradually the symbols were to a great extent substituted
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for representations of the whole animal. A dorsal fin worn on the blanket of a dancer, or painted on his face, indicates that the person so decorated personates the killer-whale. A strongly curved beak painted on a gambling-stick symbolises that the stick is meant to represent the thunder-bird. A protruding tongue painted on the chin symbolises the bear.

The second principle seems to be quite opposed to the first one. When the artist decorates any object with the representation of an animal, he distorts and dissects the animal in such a way as to show the whole body on the decorative field; but a closer examination of this tendency proves that it originates mainly in the necessity felt by the artist of introducing all the symbols, which are distributed over the whole body of the animal, in the decoration. To give a few instances, bracelets are decorated in such a way that the animal is split along its back, and then represented in such a manner as to make it appear as though the arm were pushed through the opening. On tattooings the animals are shown as split through along their backs or along their chests, and then flattened out, so that a symmetrical design results. Carvings on totem poles must be interpreted in the same way, the animal being represented as bisected along the rear side of the totem pole, and extended so that the two margins of the cut appear on the borders of the carved portion of the pole. The distortion and section of animals is nowhere carried further than in representations on boxes, on slate dishes, and on Chilcat blankets ; but in all these decorations we recognise the endeavour to bring such forms of the animal into view as are essential for an understanding of the designthat is to say, all those parts of the animal are represented which serve as its symbols.

So far as I am aware, the process of conventionalising has not led to the formation of geometrical designs, which are exceedingly rare on decorated objects from the North Pacific coast. They are found only in certain kinds of basket work and in mattings.

Finally, it may be well to add a brief explanation of the economic system prevailing among these Indians, which was fully set forth in the Fifth Report of the Committee. This system finds its expression in the so-called 'potlatch.' The meaning of this custom has been much misunderstood, and the recent enactment of a law making the potlatch a criminal offence is probably in great measure due to a misconception in regard to its meaning.

The economic system of the Indians of British Columbia is largely based on credit, just as much as that of civilised communities. In all his undertakings the Indian relies on the help of his friends. He promises to pay them for this help at a later date. If the help furnished consisted in valuables, which are measured by the Indians by blankets as we measure them by money, he promises to repay the amount so loaned with interest. The Indian has no system of writing, and therefore, in order to give security to the transaction, it is performed publicly. The contracting of debts, on the one hand, and the paying of debts, on the other, is the potlatch. This economic system has developed to such an extent that the capital possessed by all the individuals of the tribe combined exceeds many times the actual amount of cash that exists ; that is to say, the conditions are quite analogous to those prevailing in our community: if we want to call in all our outstanding debts, it is found that there is not
by any means money enough in existence to pay them, and the result of an attempt of all the creditors to calt in their loans results in disastrous panic, from which it takes the commpnity a long time to recover.

It must be clearly understood/that an Indian who invites all his friends and neighbours to a great potlatch, and apparently squanders all the accumulated results of long years of labour, has two things in his mind which we cannot but acknowledge as wise and worthy of praise. His first object is to pay his debts. This is done publicly and with much ceremony, as a matter of record. His second object is to invest the fruits of his labour so that the greatest benefit will accrue from them for himself as well as for his children. The recipients of gifts at this festival receive these as loans, which they utilise in their present undertakings, but after the lapse of several years they must repay them with interest to the giver or to his heir. Thus the potlatch comes to be considered by the Indians as a means of insuring the well-being of their children if they should be left orphans while still young. It is, we might say, their life insurance.

The sudden abolition of this system-which in all its intricacies is very difficult to understand, but the main points of which were set forth in the preceding remarks-destroys therefore all the accumulated capital of the Indians. It undoes the carefully planned life-work of the present generation, exposes them to need in their old age, and leaves the orphans unprovided for. What wonder that it should be resisted with vigour by the best class of Indians, and that only the lazy should support it, because it relieves them of the duty of paying their debts?

But it will be said that the cruel ceremonies connected with some of the festivals make their discontinuance necessary. An intimate knowledge of the Indian character leads me to consider that any interference with these very ceremonials is unadvisable. They are so intimately connected with all that is sacred to the Indian that their forced discontinuance will tend to destroy what moral steadiness is left to him. It was during these ceremonies that I heard the old men of the tribe exhort the young to mend their ways; that they held up to reprobation the young women who had gone to Victoria to lead a life of shame; and that they earnestly discussed the question of requesting the Indian Agents to help them in their endeavour to bring the young back to the good, moral life of old.

And the cruelty of the ceremonial exists alone in the fancy of those who know of it only by the exaggerated descriptions of travellers. In olden times it was a war ceremony, and captives were killed and even devoured; but with the encroachment of civilisation the horrors of the old ceremonies have died out. An old chief has been heard addressing his people thus: 'How lovely is our time! No longer do we go in fear of each other; peace is everywhere. No longer is there the strife of battle; we only try to outdo each other in the potlatch,' meaning that each tries to invest his property in the most profitable manner, and particularly that they vie with each other in honourably repaying their debts.

The ceremony of the present day is no more and no less than a time of general amusement, which is expected with much pleasure by young and old. But enough of its old sacredness remains to give the Indian, during the time of its celebration, an aspect of dignity which he lacks at other times. The lingering survivals of the old ceremonies will die out quickly, and the remainder is a harmless amuseraent that we should be slow to take away from the native, who is struggling against the overpowerful influence of civilisation.
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Salish languages：Linguistics，vi．127； terms of relationship，vi． 136.
Salish ：Mythology，iv． 8 ；social organisa－ tion，iv． 5 ；worship and prayers，iv． 10.

Sanitch ：Physical characteristics，v． 17.
Sarcees：Customs，iv．12；death，iv． 15 ； gambling，iv． 14 ；linguistics，iv． 17 ； location，iv．10；marriage，iv．14；origin， iv．11；physical characteristics，iv． 16 ； remarks by Horatio Hale，iv．21－23； report by E．F．Wilson，iv．10－21； shamanism，iv． 15 ；traditions，iv． 12.

Secret societies : Bilqula, vii. 6 ; Haida, v. 58; Kwakiutl, v. 52, vi. 62 ; Nîsk $\cdot a^{\prime}$, x. 54 ; Nootka, vi. 47; Songish, vi. 26 ; Tsimshian, v. 56.
Senses and mental character: Indians of British Columbia, v. 18; Kootenay, viii. 8.

Shamanism, Bilqula: rii. 15; Chilcotin, xii. 19 ; Coast Salish, v. 59 ; Haida, v. 58; Kootenay, v. 59, viii. 15; Kwakiatl, vi. 59, xi. 2; Nîsk a', x. 59 ; Nootka, vi. 44 ; Sarcees, iv. 15 ; Shuswap, vi. 93 ; Songish, vi. 28; Tlingit, v. 58; tribes of lower Fraser River, ix. 9; Ts'Ets'â'ut, x. 45; Tsimshian, $\mathbf{\nabla}$. 58.

Shuswap: Birth, vi. 89 ; current beliefs, vi . 92 ; death, vi. 91 ; food, vi. 85 ; games, vi. 89 ; government, vi. 86 ; houses, vi. 80, industries, vi. 83 ; linguistics, vi. 131 ; location, vi. 80 ; marriage, vi. 90 ; maturity, vi. 89 ; physical characteristics, viii. 71, x. table 11, xii. table 7; religion, vi. 93; shamanism, vi. 93; sign language, vi. 87 ; social organisation, vi. 85; war, 86.
Sign language : Kootenay, viii. 36 ; Shuswap, vi. 87 ; Songish, vi. 25.
Sishiatl, physical characteristics, x. table 5.

Skulls, nasal index, xi. 16.
Slaves of Chilcotin, xii. 19.
Snanaimuq: Linguistics, vi. 128.
Social organisation: Bilqula, vii. 3; Chilcotin, xii.18; Coast Salish, v. 32; Haida, iv. 4, v. 23, 26 ; Hēiltsuk', iv. 5, v. 23, 29 ; Kootenay, iv. 6, viii. 12 ; Kwakiutl, v. 29,33 , vi. 56 ; Nîsk ${ }^{\prime}$ ', x. 48 ; Nootka, vi. 32 ; Salish, iv. 5 ; Shuswap, vi. 85 ; Songish, vi. 17; Tlingit, iv. 5, v. 23, 25 ; tribes of lower Fraser River, ix. 3 ; Ts'Ets'ā'ut, x. 44 ; Tsimshian, v. 23, 24, 27.
Songish: Birth, vi. 20; canoes, vi. 14 ; current beliefs, vi. 25 ; death, vi. 23 ; fishing, vi. 16 ; food, vi. 15 ; gambling, vi. 19; houses, vi. 11; manufactares, vi. 14 ; marriage, vi. 23 ; maturity, vi. 22 ; medicine, vi. 24 ; mythology, vi. 27 ; omens relative to birth of twins, vi. 22 ; physical characteristics, v. 17 ; religion, vi. 28 ; secret societies, vi. 26 ; sbamanism, vi. 28 ; sign language, vi. 25 ; social organisation, vi. 17 ; tattooing, vi. 22.
Southern Oregon: Physical character: istics of tribes, vii. 28.
Stì'atEmQ: Physical characteristics, xii. table 6.
Stlā'tlum : Linguistics, vi. 133; physical characteristics, xii. tables $1,2,3$.
Stlemqó'lequme: Physical characteristics, xii. tables 4, 5, 6.

Summary of the work of the Committee, xii. 40-56.

Tattooing: Kootenay, viii. 16; Nootka, vi. 38 ; Songish, vi. 22 ; preliminary notes, iv. 5.
Teit (James): Tinneh of Nicola Valley, x. 31-33

Terms of relationship: Kootenay, viii. 12; Salish languages, vi. 136.
Thompson River type, xii. 15, 42.
Tinneh of Nicola Valley, x. 30-34; James Teit on, x. 31-33.
Tinneh, Oregonian: Physical characteristics, vii. table 9.
Tlingit: Birth, v. 40 ; future life, v. 46 ; houses, v. 22 ; linguistics, v. 60 ; mythoiogy, iv. 6 ; shamanism, v. 58 ; social organisation, iv 5, จ. 23, 25; worship and prayers, iv. 9.
Totem poles of Nîsk $a^{\prime}$, x. 52.
Traditions of Sarcees, iv. 12.
Transcription of Indian words, iv. 4, vi. 10, vii. 2, x. 2, xii. 38 .
Tribes: Bilqula, vii. 2 ; coast of Washington, physical characteristics; vii. table 6 ; Columbia River, physical characteristics, vii. 24; Harrison Lake, physical characteristics, vii. table 5 ; Kootenay, viii. 6 ; Kwakiutl, vi. 52 ; Nootka, vi. 31; Northern Oregon, physical characteristics, vii. 26 ; Southern Oregon, physical characteristics, vii. 28.
Tribes of Lower Fraser River, ix. 1 ; current beliefs, ix. 11 ; death, ix. 5 ; fishing, ix. 7; genealogies, ix. table 1 ; hunting, ix. 7 ; marriage, ix. 4 ; mythology, ix. 9 ; physical characteristics, vii. 22 , x. table 6 ; religion, ix. 9 ; shamanism, ix. 9 ; social organisation, ix. 3.

Ts'Ets'ā'ut : Birth, x. 45 ; clothing, x. 39 ; death, x. 46 ; games, x. 47 ; history, x. 35; houses, x. 40 ; hunting, x. 41 ; linguistics, x. 66; location, x. 34 ; marriage, $x .45$; maturity, $x$. 45 ; music, x .46 ; mythology, x. 47 ; ornamentation, x. 43 ; physical characteristics, x. table 1 ; religion, x. 46; shamanism, x. 45; social organisation, x. 44 ; villages, x. 39 .

Tsimshian: Birth, v. 40; death, v 41 ; houses, v. 22, xi. 12 ; lingaistics, v. 81 ; marriage, v. 40 ; maturity, v. 40 ; mythology, iv. 7; physical characteristics, จ. 12,15 , vii. 20 , xii. table; religion, จ. 49 ; secret societies, v. 56 ; shamanism, v. 58 ; social organisation, v. 23 , 24, 27 ; worship and prayers, iv. 9.
Twins: Omens relative to birth, Nootka, - vi. 39 ; Songish, vi. 22.
ommittee,
; Nootka, sliminary a Valley, ray, viii. 2.
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|  | $3$ |  | 98 <br>  <br> $\stackrel{y}{4}$ | 99 <br>  <br> 曾 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \hline \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $101$ |  |  | 104 | 105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 均 | \# |
| briuge iinves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  | B. | F. | B. | B. | B. | B. | B. | F. | B. |
| 60 |  | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 70 | 70 |
| $\frac{1 m}{586}$ | $8$ | $\underset{1,570}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,328}{\mathrm{~mm} .}$ | $\underset{1,487}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\mathrm{mm}$ | $\underset{1,563}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,480}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,492}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{mm}}{1.480}$ | mm . |
| ,322 | 17 | 1,274 | 1,056 | 1,205 | 1,241 | 1,281 | 1,226 | 1,229 | 1,194 | - |
| 748 | 4 | 662 | 584 | 675 | 671 | 667 | 634 | 654 | 676 | - |
| ,733 | 11 | 1,560 | 1,375 | 1,571 | 1,555 | 1,560 | 1,4.56 | 1,508 | 1,545. | - |
| 815 | 5 | 866 | 708 | 764. | 793 | 792 | 760 | 746 | 720 | - |
| 369 | 4 | 372 | 295 | 340 | 319 | 348 | 313 | 336 | 332 | - |
| 195 | i6 | 186 | 164 | 176 | 183 | 190 | 178 | 182 | 180 | 189 |
| 158 | ;9 | 150 | 153 | 151 | 148 | 159 | 153 | 155 | 148 | 154 |
| 119 | . 9 | 118 | 101 | 109 | 114 | 113 | 126 | 114 | 113 | 109 |
| 153 | 19 | 142 | 133 | 140 | 140 | 150 | 144 | 141 | 143 | 144 |
| 54 | il | 45 | 45 | 52 | 45 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 48 | 50 |
| 44 | 32 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 44 | 37 | 38 | 41 | 40 |
| 81.0 | 5 | $80 \cdot 6$ | 93.3 | $85 \cdot 8$ | $80 \cdot 9$ | 83.7 | 86.0 | 85.2 | $82 \cdot 2$ | 81.5 |
| $77 \cdot 8$ | 1.9 | $83 \cdot 1$ | 75.9 | $77 \cdot 9$ | $81 \cdot 4$ | $75 \cdot 3$ | 87.5 | 809 | 79.0 | $75 \cdot 7$ |
| 81.5 | 1.7 | $82 \cdot 2$ | 80.0 | $67 \cdot 3$ | $73 \cdot 3$ | 81.5 | 685 | $73 \cdot 1$ | 85.4 | 800 |
| 47•1 | :-5 | $42 \cdot 2$ | $43 \cdot 9$ | $45 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 1$ | $42 \cdot 8$ | $42 \cdot 8$ | $43 \cdot 9$ | 45.7 | - |
| $109 \cdot 2$ | 10 | $99 \cdot 4$ | $103 \cdot 6$ | $105 \cdot 6$ | 102.5 | 100:0 | - | $101 \cdot 1$ | 104\%4 | - |
| $51 \cdot 3$ | 3.9 | $55 \cdot 2$ | 53.2 | $51 \cdot 3$ | 52.2 | 50.8 | $51 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 1$ | $48 \cdot 6$ | - |
| 23.2 | \% 2 | $23 \cdot 7$ | 22.2 | $22 \cdot 8$ | 21.0 | $22 \cdot 3$ | $21 \cdot 1$ | $22 \cdot 6$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | - |
| No. |  |  | Moth | of N | a 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
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| II. Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|  |  | 永 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F. St'ā́'tliumH } \\ & \text { M. NtlakyapamuQ'óe } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| B. | B. |  | B. | B. | B. |
| 11 | 19 | 23 | 40 | 45 | 55 |
| $\underset{1,343}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,587}{\mathrm{~mm} .}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mm} . \\ 1,5 \tilde{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mm} . \\ 1,612 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1,503}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mm} . \\ 1,592 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1,080 | 1,285 | 1,263 | 1,360 | 1,220 | 1,310 |
| 586 | 682 | 690 | 681 | 672 | $662{ }^{-}$ |
| 1,373 | 1,643 | 1,625 | 1,618 | 1,612 | 1,605 |
| 711 | 786 | 794 | 860 | 800 | 793 |
| 284 | 372 | 332 | 328 | 337 | 354 |
| 170 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 186 | 185 |
| 149 | 147. | 147 | 143 | 148 | 157 |
| 107 | 116 | 114 | 120 | 103 | 106 |
| 128 | 136 | 133 | 137 | 141 | 145 |
| 45 | 48 | 48 | 56 | 47 | 46 |
| 29 | 34 | 34 | 41 | 36 | 36 |
| 87.6 | $84 \cdot 5$ | 84.5 | $82 \cdot 1$ | $79 \cdot 6$ | $84 \cdot 8$ |
| $83 \cdot 6$ | $85 \cdot 3$ | $85 \cdot 7$ | $87 \cdot 6$ | $73 \cdot 0$ | $73 \cdot 1$ |
| 64.4 , | 70.8 | $70 \cdot 8$ | $75 \cdot 9$ | $76 \cdot 6$ | $78 \cdot 3$ |
| $43 \cdot 7$ | $42 \cdot 9$ | 445 | $42 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 8$ | $41 \cdot 6$ |
| $102 \cdot 2$ | 103.5 | $104 \cdot 6$ | $100 \cdot 4$ | $107 \cdot 3$ | $100 \cdot 8$ |
| $53 \cdot 1$ | $49 \cdot 4$ | $51 \cdot 2$ | 53.4 | $53 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 9$ |
| $21 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 4$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | 22.5 | $22 \cdot 3$ |

").
f Canada.

| 54 | 455 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 몽 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\circ}$ | 置 |
| Williams Lake |  |  |
|  |  | \% |
| F. | F. | B. |
| 55 | 55 | 58 |
| $\underset{1,639}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\operatorname{mm.}_{1,5 \div 8}$ | mm. |
| 1,351 | 1,254 | 1,333 |
| 704 | 703 | 735 |
| .,704 | 1,666 | 1,696 |
| 850 | 848 | . 807 |
| 375 | 353 | 369 |
| 179 | 190 | 178 |
| 161 | 158 | 155 |
| 110 | 120 | 118 |
| 152 | 147 | 148 |
| 49 | - 51 | 53 |
| 42 | 43 | 42 |
| [9.9 | $83 \cdot 1$ | 87.0 |
| $2 \cdot 4$ | 81.6 | $79 \cdot 7$ |
| $5 \cdot 7$ | $84 \cdot 3$ | $79 \cdot 2$ |
| $2 \cdot 9$ | 44.5 | $44 \cdot 9$ |
| 4.0 | $105 \cdot 6$ | $103 \cdot 4$ |
| $1 \cdot 8$ | 53.5 | $49 \cdot 2$ |
| 2.9 | $22 \cdot 3$ | 22.5 |


| － | Males |  | I．Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | II．Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number ． | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Name ．．．．．$\{$ |  | 号。 |  | 悥 | 㵄 |  |  | 言 |  | 鴳 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 咢 |  |
| Tribe ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observer | F． | F． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | Be | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． |  | B． | B． | B． |
| Age | 7 | 25 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 24 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 65 | 70 | 11 | 19 | 23 | 40 | 45 | 55 |
| Height standing <br> Height of shoulder <br> Length of arm <br> Finger－reach ． <br> Height sitting <br> Width of shoulders | $\underset{1,146^{1}}{\operatorname{mm}}$ | $\underset{1,592}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,240}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,347}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,582}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,702}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,7 \pi 1}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,679}{\operatorname{mm}}$ | $\underset{\substack{1,603}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,609}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | mm． | $\operatorname{mm}_{1,495^{2}}$ | $\underset{1,343}{\mathrm{~mm} .}$ | $\underset{1,587}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,5.53}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,612}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{mm} . \\ 1,503 \end{array}$ | $\underset{1,592}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ |
|  | 901 | 1，298 | － | 1，098 | 1，294 | 1，398 | 1，462 | 1，390 | 1，290 | 1，293 | － | 1，222 | 1，080 | 1，285 | 1，263 | 1，360 | 1，2\％0 | 1，310 |
|  | 471 | 671 | － | 604 | 704 | 718 | 759 | 765 | 682 | 677 | － | 686 | 586 | 682 | 690 | 681 | 672 | 662 |
|  | 1，160 | 1，606 | － | 1，390 | 1，659 | 1，750 | 1，834 | 1，764 | 1，627 | 1，645 | － | 1，586 | 1，373 | 1，643 | 1，625 | 1，618 | 1，612 | 1，605 |
|  | 636 | 864 | 635 | 704 | 830 | 900 | 940 | 864 | ． 880 | 873 | － | 783 | 711 | 786 | 794 | 860 | 800 | 793 |
|  | 253 | 392 | 274 | 297 | 377 | 378 | 410 | 394 | 382 | 385 | 350 | 350 | 284 | 372 | 332 | 328 | 337 | 354 |
| Length of head <br> Breadth of head <br> Height of face <br> Breadth of face <br> Height of nose <br> Breadth of nose | 176 | 184 | 170 | 177 | 185 | 182 | 191 | 186 | 185 | 191 | 187 | 186 | 170 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 186 | 185 |
|  | 145 | 165 | 151 | 158 | 156 | 164 | 157 | 160 | 163 | 157 | 156 | 156 | 149 | 147 | 147 | 143 | 148 | 157 |
|  | 91 | 117 | 96 | 105 | 114 | 116 | 122 | 123 | 131 | 119 | 132 | 111 | 107 | $116 /$ | 114 | 120 | 103 | 106 |
|  | 122 | 147 | 127 | 138 | 139 | 151 | 149 | 149 | 145 | 145 | 150 | ． 146 | 128 | 136 | 133 | 137 | 141 | 145 |
|  | 35 | 52 | 44 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 49 | 53 | 55 | 51 | 53 | 51 | 45 | 48 | 48 | 54 | 47 | 46 |
|  | 31 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 40 | 43 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 29 | 34 | 34 | 41 | 36 | 36 |
| Length－breadth index ． <br> Facial index ． <br> Nasal index | $82 \cdot 4$ | 89.7 | 88.8 | $89 \cdot 3$ | $84 \cdot 3$ | 90•1 | $82 \cdot 2$ | 86.0 | $88 \cdot 1$ | 82.2 | $83 \cdot 4$ | 83.9 | 87.6 | 84．5 | 84.5 | $82 \cdot 1$ | 79.6 | 84.8 |
|  | 74.6 | 79.6 | 75.6 | $76 \cdot 1$ | 82.0 | $76 \cdot 8$ | $81 \cdot 9$ | $82 \cdot 6$ | $90 \cdot 3$ | $82 \cdot 1$ | 88.0 | 760 | 83.6 | 85.3 | 85.7 | 87.6 | 73.0 | $73 \cdot 1$ |
|  | $88 \cdot 6$ | $67 \cdot 3$ | 75.0 | $72 \cdot 1$ | $88 \cdot 9$ | $93 \cdot 5$ | $79 \cdot 6$ | $73 \cdot 6$ | $63 \cdot 6$ | 76．5 | $73 \cdot 6$ | 74.5 | $64 \cdot 4$ | 70.8 | 70.8 | $75 \cdot 9$ | 76.6 | $78 \cdot 3$ |
| Index of arm． <br> Index of finger－reach <br> Index of height sitting <br> Index of width of shoulders． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 46.0 |  | $42 \cdot 9$ | 44：5 | $\pm 2 \cdot 3$ | $44 \cdot 8$ | $41 \cdot 6$ |
|  | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{102.2}$ | $103 \cdot 5$ | $104 \cdot 6$ | 100．4 | 107.3 | $100 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 101.2 | 100.9 | － | 103．2 | 104．9 | $102 \cdot 8$ 53.0 | 103.6 53.1 | $105: 1$ 51.4 | 101.5 55.0 | $102 \cdot 2$ 54.2 | － | 106.1 52.6 | 53．1 | 103.4 49 | 51.2 | 53.4 | $53 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 9$ |
|  | $55 \cdot 3$ | $54 \cdot 3$ | 51.2 | $52 \cdot 1$ | 52.5 | 53.0 | 53.1 23.2 | $51 \cdot 4$ 23.5 | 55.0 23.9 | $54 \cdot 2$ $23 \cdot 9$ | － | 52.6 23.5 | $53 \cdot 1$ 21.2 | $23 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 4$ |  |  |  |
|  | 22.0 | 24.7 | 22．1 | 22：0 | $23 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 2$ |  | 23.5 | 23.9 |  | － | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Son of No． 37 （I．a）． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 誌 } \\ & \text { స్ర } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { 家 } \\ & H \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{甘}} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 巳 } \\ & \text { 弟 } \\ & \text { 空 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 릉 | $\xrightarrow[8]{\circ}$ | 晨 |
| Alkali Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 等 |
| B． | F． | F． | B． | F． | B： | F． | F． | B． | B． | F． | F． | B． |
| 48 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 58 |
| $\sqrt[m m]{1,703} \stackrel{a m}{544}$ | $\underset{1,683}{ }{ }^{10}$ | mm. | $\underset{1,668}{\operatorname{mm}}$ | $\underset{1,640}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,633}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\mathrm{mm}$ | $\underset{1,662}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,630}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,638}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,639}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,578}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,640}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ |
| 1，375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1，685 | 1，518 | 1，640 |
| 747238 | 1，354 | 1，333 | 1，377 | 1，319 | 1，340 | 1，336 | 1，361 | 1，336 | 1，320 | 1，351 | 1，254 | 1，333 |
| 755683 | 740 | 718 | 746 | 741 | 722 | 764 | 771 | 707 | 700 | 704 | 703 | 735 |
| 607 | 1，752 | 1，708 | 1，717 | 1，74t | 1，718 | 1，647 | 1，774 | 1，680 | 1，600 | 1，704 | 1，666 | 1，696 |
| 881 | 838 | 846 | 846 | 830 | 864 | 852 | 863 | 854 | 822 | 850 | 846 | 807 |
| －373 | 334 | 370 | 381 | 391 | 403 | $\because 358$ | 375 | 378 | 351 | 375 | 353 | 369 |
| 192 <br> 158 | 183 | 189 | 185 | 185 | 192 | 186 | 189 | 187 | 191 | 179 | 190 | 178 |
| 128157 | 157 | 161 | 159 | 165 | 168 | 155 | 157 | 148 | 157 | 161 | 158 | 155 |
| 128111 | 118 | 122 | 118 | 117 | 118 | 133 | 127 | 115 | 115 | 110 | 120 | 118 |
| 144 152 | 149 | 154 | 146 | 153 | 158 | 143 | 150 | 147 | 144 | 152 | 147 | 148 |
|   <br> 1 53 | 53 | 52 | 49 | 55 | 54 | 56 | 55 | 48 | 53 | 49 | 51 | 53 |
| 41.35 | 39 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 38 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 42 |
| 82.3  <br> 88.9 36.2 | 85•8 | $85 \cdot 2$ | $85 \cdot 9$ | 89.2 | 87.5 | $83 \cdot 3$ | $83 \cdot 1$ | $79 \cdot 1$ | $82 \cdot 2$ | 89.9 | $83 \cdot 1$ | 87.0 |
| 71.973 .0 | $79 \cdot 2$ | $79 \cdot 2$ | $80 \cdot 8$ | 76.5 | 74.7 | 93.0 | 847 | 782 | 79.9 | 72.4 | $81 \cdot 6$ | 79.7 |
| $\xrightarrow{-360}$ | $73 \cdot 6$ | $73 \cdot 1$ | $81 \cdot 6$ | 76.4 | 81．5 | 67.9 | 72.7 | 81.3 | 75.5 | $85 \cdot 7$ | $84 \cdot 3$ | $79 \cdot 2$ |
| 43.9 14.4 | $44 \cdot 0$ | $43 \cdot 5$ | $44 \cdot 7$ | $45 \cdot 2$ | $44 \cdot 3$ | 45.2 | $46 \cdot 4$ | $43 \cdot 4$ | 42.7 | $42 \cdot 9$ | 44.5 | $44 \cdot 9$ |
| 54•1 | 104•1 | $103 \cdot 6$ | $102 \cdot 9$ | $106 \cdot 3$ | $105 \cdot 2$ | $97 \cdot 5$ | 106.7 | $103 \cdot 1$ | 97.7 | 104：0 | $105 \cdot 6$ | $103 \cdot 4$ |
| 54.0 | $49 \cdot 9$ | $51 \cdot 3$ | 50.7 | $50 \cdot 6$ | 53.0 | $50 \cdot 4$ | 52.0 | $52 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 1$ | 51.8 | 53.5 | $49 \cdot 2$ |
| 23.6 34.2 | $19 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | 24.7 | 21.2 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 21.4 | 22.9 | $22 \cdot 3$ | 22．\％ |

${ }^{4}$ Father of No． 15.
${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Father of No． 81.



## Height standing. Height of shoulder <br> Heient of shoul Length of arm Finger.each

| $\substack{\text { Lengtt of arm } \\ \text { Firgerecach. } \\ \text { Height sitt ing }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | Height sititing

- Wirth of shoulders \begin{tabular}{l}
Length of head <br>
Breadth of head <br>
\hline

 

Breadth of face <br>
Height of of noee
\end{tabular} Lenthbreasth . Lengt.breadth ind

Facial index
Sasel inder.

ath index $: ~: ~$ Index of arm.

Index of figer.ereach
 Index of heieght sitt
Index of width of $s$ —. $\quad$ Son of Nos. 43 and $3 t$.

$$
=\text { Brother of No. } 9
$$

## ${ }^{-}$Broterer of Nos. 8 and 69 .

## - Brother of Tos. 6 and 6 .







 Yeieinht standing
Height of soonler
Yeight tanding
Hethg of shonde
Length of a arm
Lenth of amm
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Lingerreach. } \\ & \text { Heightiting }\end{aligned}$
Hel


Breath of head
Heirht of fhe
Breadth of face

| Height of faee |
| :--- |
| Beredh of tace |
| Heieght of nose |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Heieigh of nose } \\ \text { Breadth of nose }\end{array}$ |
| :--- |

Lenth-breadth index
Facial index
Rasal index
Index of arm.
Inter of arm.
Indero of finger-reach.
Index of beight siting.
Index of width of sbould
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接 110 . ${ }^{14}$ Daughter of No. 68. 13 Daughter of No. 38.


 1 Son of So.
$\qquad$

［North：Western Tribes，Cana 9b．Chilcotin， Half－blood． 10．Carrie ：

|  |  |  | Male | Males |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 1 | 2 | ， 3 |
|  | 気 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 堺 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\Xi} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \ddot{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  | 気 |  | 岩が |
| ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F＇． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． |
| 70 | 70 | 75 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 50 |
| $\frac{\mathrm{mm}}{1,548}$ | mm ． | mm． | $\underset{1,495}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,685}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,654}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,7 \pi 5}{\operatorname{mm}}$ |
| 1，288 | － | $\cdots$ | 1，192 | 1，364 | 1，328 | 1，477 |
| 710 | $\sim$ | － | 658 | 751 | 725 | 717 |
| 1，624 | － | － | 1，577 | 1，757 | 1，702 | 1，705 |
| 818 | － | － | 763 | 855 | 862 | 931 |
| 330 | － | － | 339 | 373 | 363 | 374 |
| 171 | 169 | 175 | 185 | 190 | 185 | 196 |
| 154 | 149 | 149 | 151 | 164 | 156 | 160 |
| 114 | 127 | 106 | 107 | 129 | 121 | 141 |
| 143 | 140 | 136 | 136 | 152 | 146 | 155 |
| 54 | 58 | 53 | 47 | 59 | 51 | 58 |
| 36 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 |
| 90•1 | 88.2 | 85•1 | $81 \cdot 6$ | $86 \cdot 3$ | $84 \cdot 3$ | $81 \cdot 6$ |
| $79 \cdot 7$ | $90 \cdot 7$ | $77 \cdot 9$ | 78.7 | $84 \cdot 9$ | 82.9 | 91．0 |
| 66.7 | $67 \cdot 2$ | 73.6 | 74.5 | $61 \cdot 0$ | 72.5 | $67 \cdot 2$ |
| $45 \cdot 8$ | － | － | $44 \cdot 2$ | 44.7 | 43.9 | 403 |
| 104.9 | － | － | 105．5 | $104 \cdot 3$ | 102.9 | $96 \cdot 1$ |
| 52.8 | － | － | 51.2 | 50.9 | 52.2 | $52 \cdot 3$ |
| $21 \cdot 3$ | － | － | 22.8 | 22.2 | 22.0 | $21 . \mathrm{C}$ |

Mother of No． 61.

68th Report, Brit. Assoc., 1898.]
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9b. Chilcotin,



11g. . 11h Nkamtci'n mtciriennue. mixed wit, Shuswap.

[^2]| － | Males |  | Female | Females |  | Female | Males |  | Females |  |  |  | Man | Man | Males |  | Female | Males |  | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number．． | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11. | $\underline{12}$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Name ．} & . & . & \cdots\end{array}$ |  | 素 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 열 } \\ & \text { 炭 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 急 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { give } \\ & \frac{10}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{4} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { 訔 } \end{aligned}$ | 告 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 若 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Observer | F． | F． | B． | B． | B． | F． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | B． | F． | B． | B． | F． | B． | B． | F． |
| Age | 14 | 15 | 12 | 60 | $65+$ | 25 | 15 | 65 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 30 | 15. | 15 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 13 | 12 |
| Height standing | $\mathrm{mm} .$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mm} \\ & 1,402 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\mathrm{mm}_{1,410}$ | $\underset{1,460_{1}^{1}}{\operatorname{mm}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { mm．}}{\text {－}}$ | $\underset{1,540}{\text { mm. }}$ | $\underset{1,677}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | ${\underset{1,593}{\mathrm{~mm}} .}^{\mathrm{min}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mm} . \\ 1 ; 573 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|} \mathrm{mm}, 540 \end{array}\right.$ | $\operatorname{mm}_{1,560}$ | $\underset{1,467}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\operatorname{mm}_{1,670}$ | ${ }_{1,674}$ | $\underset{1,412}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~mm} .}{\mathrm{mm} .} .$ | $\underset{i, 402}{\operatorname{mm} .}$ | $1 \mathrm{~m}, 29$ | $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathbf{1}, 442}$ | $\underset{1,5 m 4}{1,50}$ | $\operatorname{mpx}_{\mathrm{P}, 42}$ |
| Height of shoulder | 1，137 | 1，114 | －1，147 | 1，205 | － | 1，278 | 1，390 | 1，323 | 1，282 | 1，278 | 1，273 | 1，185 | 1，418 | 1，364 | 1，142 | 1，112 | －1，127 | 1，024 | 1，142 | 1，256 | 1，172 |
| Length of arm ． | 599 | 619 | 595 | 632 | － | $707{ }^{\circ}$ | 765 | 728 | 690 | 688 | 658 | 624 | 813 | 737 | 609 | 619 | ． 599 | 564 | 602 | 684 | 634 |
| Finger－reach ． | 1，443 | 1，465 | 1，397 | 1，492 | － | 1，628 | 1，733 | 1，710 | 1，610 | 1，600 | 1，563 | 1，471 | 1，850 | 1，748 | 1，475 | 1，434 | 1，433 | i，323 | 1，452 | 1，622 | 1，446 |
| Height sitting ． | 723 | 731 | 765 | 755 | － | 765 | － | 830 | － | － | 844 | 786 | － | 893 | 724 | 746 | 739 | 683 | 783 | 836 | T26 |
| Width of shoulders | 333 | 323 | 307 | 306 | － | 340 | 375 | 382 | 348 | 338 | 350 | 322 | 385 | 398 | 321 | 320 | 316 | 285 | 296 | 362 | 310 |
| Length of head | 177 | 177 | 173 | 185 | 192 | 173 | 182 | 188 | 184 | 173 | 173 | 183 | 188 | 188 | 186 | 180 | 155 | 183 | 188 | 179 | 176 |
| Breadth of head | 152 | 156 | 151 | 145 | 148 | $148 \cdot 5$ | 152 | 151 | 151 | 146 | 147 | 153 | 155 | 158 | 153 | 151 | 143 | 150 | 146 | 155 | 146 |
| Height of face | 99 | 101 | 104 | 112 | 120 | 108 | 117 | 121 | 114 | 117 | 122 | 117 | 106 | 119 | 107 | 104 | 99 | 100 | 115 | 110 | 95 |
| Breadth of face | 131 | 140 | 130 | 137 | 142 | 133. | 143 | 148 | 140 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 150 | 143 | 132 | 133 | 127 | 128 | 127. | 141 | 129 |
| Height of nose | 39 | 49 | 43 | 52 | 58 | 48. | 49 | 61 | 53 | 50 | 52 | 49 | 48 | 49 | 43 | 45 | 41 | 42 | 47 | 44 | 43 |
| Breadth of nose | 37 | 37 | 32 | 37 | 37 | 34 | 41 | 40 | 34 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 34 | 29 | 31 | 39 | 32 | 31 |
| Length－breadth index ． | 85.9 | 88－1 | $87 \cdot 3$ | 78.4 | 77.1 | $86 \cdot 1$ | 83．5 | $80 \cdot 3$ | 82－1 | 84．4 | 85.0 | 83.6 | $82 \cdot 4$ | 84.0 | 82－3 | $83 \cdot 9$ | $92 \cdot 3$ | $82 \cdot 0$ | 77.7 | 86.6 | 83.0 |
| Facial index ．．． | 75.6 | 72－1 | 80.0 | 818 | 84.5 | 81.2 | 81.8 | 81.7 | $81 \cdot 4$ | 86.0 | 89.7 | 86.0 | 70.7 | 83.2 | 81.1 | 78.2 | 78.0 | 78．1 | $90 \cdot 6$ | 780 | 73.6 |
| Nasal index ．．． | 94.9 | $75 \cdot 5$ | 74.4 | 71.2 | 63.8 | 70.8 | 83.7 | －65．6 | 642 | 64.0 | $65 \cdot 4$ | 75.5 | 77.1 | 73.5 | 76．7 | $75 \cdot 6$ | 70.7 | 73.8 | 83.0 | 72.7 | $72 \cdot 1$ |
| Index of arm．－．． | 42：8 | 44－2 | 42.2 | $43 \cdot 3$ | － | $45 \cdot 9$ | $45 \cdot 5$ | 45.8 | $43 \cdot 9$ | $44 \cdot 7$ | $42 \cdot 2$ | $42 \cdot 4$ | 48.7 |  | 43.2 | 44.5 | 42：8 | 43.7 | 41.8 | 44.1 | 44.3 |
| Index of finger－reach ． | 1029 | 104．5 | $99 \cdot 1$ | 102．2 | － | 105.7 | 103：3 | 107．4 | 102．4． | $103 \cdot 9$ | $100 \cdot 2$ | $100 \cdot 3$ | $110 \cdot 8$ | $1044^{-}$ | 1045 | $102 \cdot 9$ | 102．2 | $102 \cdot 4$. | $100 \cdot 7$ | 104．4 | 1010 |
| Index of height sitting． | 51.6 | ${ }^{52} 2.2$ | 54.3 | 51.7 | － | 49.7 | － | 52．2 | － | － | 54－1 | $53 \cdot 5$ | － | 53.5 | 51．3 | 53.7 | 52.8 | 52.9 | 54．4 | $53 \cdot 9$ | 50.8 |
| Index of width of shoulders ． | 23．8 | 23.1 | 21.8 | 21.0 | － | 22.1 | $22 \cdot 3$ | 240 | 22.2 | $21 \cdot 9$ | $22 \cdot 4$ | 21.9 | $23 \cdot 1$ | $23 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 8$ | 23.0 | $22 \cdot 6$ | 22.1 | 20.6 | $23 \cdot 4$ | 21.7 |


mshian．

II．Females

| 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 递 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3. | B． | B． |
| $\bigcirc$ | 45 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{m}$ | $\underset{1,515}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\underset{1,563}{\mathrm{~mm} .}$ |
| ：03． | 1，220 | 1，268 |
| 23 | 668 | 688 |
| 45 | 1，613 | 1，638 |
| 08 | 818 | 826 |
| 36 | 317 | 369 |
| 32 | 190 | 187 |
| 49 | 155 | 156 |
| 18 | 115 | 121 |
| 37 | 148 | 146 |
| 49 | 51 | 50. |
| 34 | 39 | 42 |
| ， 9 | 81.6 | 83.4 |
| 4 1 | 777 | 82.9 |
| \％4 | 76．5 | $84 \cdot 0$ |
| $8^{8}$ | 44.2 | 44－1： |
| V4 | 106－5 | 1048 |
| 2 | 54．2 | 53.0 |
| 哮6 | 23.0 | 23.7 |


|  | II．Females |  |  |  |  |  | Half－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & \text { 荷 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 荎 } \\ & \text { 至 } \end{aligned}$ | 든 |  | 宽 | 実 |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\tilde{y y}}{\underline{\pi}}$ |  | 1 |  | 荡 |
| F． | F． | F． | F． | F． | F． | F． | F． |
| 70 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 35 |
| $\underset{1,6.3}{m m}$ | $\operatorname{mmm}_{1.571}$ | $\mathrm{mm} .$ | $\mathrm{mm}_{1,533}$ | $\mathrm{mm}_{1,465} .$ | mm ． | $\mathrm{mm} .$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mm} \\ 1,613 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1，326 | 1，301 | 1，158 | 1，26J | 1，210 | － | 1.181 | 1，30； |
| $\therefore 743$ | 718 | 633 | 633 | 640 | － | 667 | 733 |
| 1，754 | 1，654 | 1，486 | 1，528 | 1，513 | － | 1，535 | 1，723 |
| － | 810 | － | 838 | 755 | － | － | － |
| 358 | 375 | 332 | 351 | 360 | － | － | 393 |
| － 190 | 185 | 181 | 179 | 181 | 184 | 186 | 185 |
| 170 | 155 | 153 | 162. | 155 | 167 | 157 | 156 |
| 127 | 112 | 120 | 123 | 113 | 118 | 114 | 124 |
| 159 | 146 | 141 | 155 | 152 | 148 | 150 | 143 |
| 52 | 45 | 50 | 52 | 48 | 49 | 47 | 52. |
| 42 | 37 | － | 40 | 38 | 43 | 40 | 33 |
| 89.5 | 83.8 | 84.5 | 90.5 | 85.6 | 90.8 | $84 \cdot 4$ | 843 |
| 79.9 | 76.7 | 851 | 79.4 | 74.3 | 79.7 | 76.0 | 86.7 |
| $80 \cdot 8$ | 82－2 | － | 769 | 792 | 87.8 | 851 | 63\％ |
| 45.9 | 45.7 | 44.9 | 41.4 | 43.5 | － | 46.3 | 45.5 |
| 1093 | $105 \cdot 1$ | 105：1 | 99.7 | 1053 | － | 1064 | 1068 |
| － | 51.6 | － | 54．S | 51.4 | － | － | － |
| $22 \cdot 1$ | 23.9 | 235 | 22.9 | 24.5 | － | － | 24.4 |

[^3]
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[^4]


[^0]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ This ' $z$ ' is exceedingly weak, so much so that part of the breath escapes laterally, giving it a decided ' 1 ' tinge.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indianische Sajen von der Nord-Pacifischen Küste Amerikas, pp. vi-363. - Berlin, $1 ヶ 95$.

[^2]:    of No. 4.

[^3]:    ＊Mother of No

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Daughter of Nos. 6, 17. . ${ }^{7}$ Head flattened behind.

