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## REMLRKS ON THE TRADE OF THE SEVERAL DEPARTMENIS, AND ON THE SHIPS ACCOUNIS.

## NORTHERN DEPARTMENT.

Abstract of the Inventories of this Department at the close of Outfits 1874, 1875, and 1876.

|  | Outfit 1874. | Outfit 1875. | Ontit 1876 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ersi Goods | \$940,867 | \$716,997 | \$877,560 |
| Canadian and American Goods | 22,054 | 111,702, | 122,476 |
| Country made Articles | 28,798 | 30,540 | 29,031 |
| Country Produce | 102,168 | 97,139 | 83,360 |
| Live Stock | 63,663 | 41,264 | 58,527 |
| Outstandiag Debts | 247,220 | 193,884 | 162,609 |
| Cash | 51,938 | 34,994 | 31,086 |
| Advancis fornext Outfit | 92,960 | 153,046 | , 339,806 |
| Repairs and Improvements | 84,058 | 39,303 | 36,653 |
| Freight $\because$ | 13,464 | 3,063 | 3,093 |
| Aticles at fired prices .. | 2,024 | 6,973 | 3,215 |
| Ships and Stoamers .. | 88,377 | 160,465 | 122,210 |
| . ACan Motal | \$1,737,592 | \$1,589,370 | 81,869,626 |

The large increase in the amount of "Advances for next Outfit" is due to the fact that a larger amount of goods has been indented for and supplied than the wants of the various Districts in Outfit $18 \% 6$ required. These advances are represented by goods either on hand at Fort Gurry for interior Districts or on the way to them. In the case of Athebasca and McKenzie River Districts goods are always ordered two years in advance.

Under the head of "Ships and Steamers", an increase has taken place since 1874, on account of the building of the Saskatchewan and Lake Steamers. The valuations of these steamers are annually reduced by a deduction of 15 per cent. for wear and tear.

The supplies from England have been as follows:-


Goods are also purchased in Canada and the United States for the Northern Department, in payment of which Drafti are made on the Company in London.

The Supplies from England for Outfit 1877 were reduced to $£ 59,377$.
Bills drawn on the Company in the Northern Department for Outfit 1876, amounted to $£ 30,116$, while the remittances on the same account have been $£ 21,000$.

The Returns of the Department have realized the following amounts:-

| Outfit 1874 | . | . | .. | . | .. | .. | $£ 160,372$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $" 1875$ | $\because$ | . | .. | . | . | . | 126,492 |
| $" \quad 1876$ | . | . | .. | .. | . | . | 111,248 |

In the Country Accounts they are valued as follows:-


The trade of the Northern Department for these Outfits has produced the following result on these valuations, namely :-


And the actual result has been-

subject to the charge for interest on Capital employed, and the proportion of permanenr expenses in London.

## RESULTSOF TRADE

The following is a Statement of the Profit and Loss Account of the Northern Depart－ ment as made up at Fort Garry：－

| Districts | Ontat 1874. |  | Outfit 1875. |  | $\text { Outfit } 1576 .$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Profit． | Loss． | Prafit | Loss． | Profit． | Loxs． |
| McKenzie River | \＄35，000 | － | \＄23，000 | － | － | \＄4，500 |
| Athabasca | 69，000 | － | 56，000 | － | \＄28，000 | － |
| English River | 30，000 | － | 5，000 | － | 10，000 | － |
| Nortray House | 13，000 | － | － | \＄1，100 | 1，700 | － |
| Edmonton | 33，174 | － | 6．484 | － | － | 1，209 |
| Saskatchewan．． | 66，939 | － | 19，031 | － | － | 7，384 |
| Cumberland ．． | 35，270 | － | 14，607 | － | 8，285 | － |
| Stran River | 29，427 | － | 18，419 | － | 16，448 | － |
| Lac la Pluie | 4，620 | － | 1，448 | － | － | 3，218 |
| Manitoba | － | － | 1，084 | － | 5，813 | － |
| York Factory ．． | 13，058 | － | 10，387 | － | － | 10，511 |
| Charehill | 9，061 | － | 5，831 | － | 3，838 | － |
| Severn．． | 6，160 | － | 4，736 | － | 1，104 | － |
| Island Lake | 21，446 | － | 10，584 | － | 3，355 | － |
| Tront Lake | 17，482 | － | 6，246 | － | 6，432 | － |
| （ Portage la Prairie |  | － | 3，442 | － | － | 7，376 |
| Poplar Point $\quad \therefore$ | 号 | － | 5；408 | － | 654 | － |
| 安 White Horse Plains | 圜 | － | 4，346 | － | 2，112 | － |
| 雩 Georgetown | 家 | － | － | 4，099 | － | 6，968 |
| \％Pembina．． | 苐 | － | 6，663 | － | 6，171 | － |
| $\underset{\#}{ \pm}$ Point Coupé | ． | － | 274 | － | － | 718 |
| 產 St．Anne＇s |  | － | 3，042 | － | － | 2，383 |
| St．Boniface |  | － | 2，207 | － | － | 591 |
| －Lower Fort Garry |  | － | 6，279 | － | － | －5，216 |
| Red River | 12，548 | － | － | － | － | － |
| Depôt ．． | － | － | － | － | － | 3，055 |
| Merchandise Account | 11，926 | － | 11，60： | － | 56，326 | － |
| Customers（bad debts at Fort Garry） | 434 | \＄8，388 | 三 | $\mathbf{9 , 9 3 2}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 4,837 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Furs Purchased | 4，343 |  |  | 16，471 | － | $15,326$ |
| Returns of Trade |  | 306 | － | 7，390 | －－ | 2，693 |
| Steamer＂Colvile＂ | － | － | － | 7，764 | － | 416 |
| Steamer＂Northcote＂ | － | － | 2，520 | － | － | 4，52\％ |
| Red River Steamers（included | in Red | River | District | Accomints）． | 21.108 |  |
| Repairs and Improvements |  | 3，200 | － | 237 | － | 1，184 |
| Geaeral Charges ． |  | 20，021 | － | 26，540 | － | 11，924 |

## McKENZIE RIVER DISTRICT.



Accordingly it will be seen that in the Outfit 1876, while the value of the Beaver and Marten obtained was only $£ 1,200$ in excess of the year $1784, \$ 29,000$ more were disposed of in goods, or 75 per cent. In other words, quite irrespective of the price, upwards of $£ 5,000$ more was given in 1876 for the same amount of Furs, and that on a total amount represented by $£ 8,000$ worth of goods. The result of trade in this District has been as follows:-

| An estimated Profit in Outfit $18 \% 4$ of | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\$ 35,000$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| " 1875 of | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 23,000 |  |
| And a Loss in Outfit 1876 of | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4,500 |

There is, thus, a difference of $\$ 40,000$ between Outfits 1874 and 1876 in respect of apparent profit; and, from the quantity of goods traded in the two Outfits respectively, it would appear that only half of the quantity is due to the fall in the price of Furs, the other half being due to the fact of a larger amount of goods baring been disposed of without a corresponding increase in the quantity of Furs obtained. The result of this Department ought to be satisfactory. It is free from competition, and a good result oughit to be obtained from it, if Furs are even at a moderate price; while it ought to give a brilliant result if Furs fetch high prices. In 1874, for instance, when prices were high, and when only $\$ 43,000$ were disposed of as against $\$ 72,000$ in the two succeeding years, the profit in the Country Account was $\$ 35,000$, or $£ 7,000$, upon stock of goods, including Inventory and the supplies of the year, of $£ 15,000$, showing a profit of 40 per cent.

In Outfit 1870, while the valuations had been necessarily reduced, but were still on a moderate scale, the profit was reduced to about $£ 4,500$.

In Outfit 1876, when the valuations were low, and nearly approached the market prices here, the loss was $£ 1,000$, while $\$ 90,000$ had been invested. In this District it is to be observed that while there has been the same amount of increase in the supplies in 1876 , as will be found in many other parts, there is this notable difference, that the goods have been disposed of; while in other Districts, the Inventory at the close of the Outlit has proved very much larger than before. But the goods thus disposed of have unfortunately not been disposed of in a rernunerative manner, seeing. that, as explained before, there has been no increase in the principal articles traded. In his Report on this District, the Officer in charge states that the scarcity of Moose caused starvation among the Indians, and thus almost entirely destroyed the fall hunts. The Returns, he said, compared unfavourably with those of last year. At tbs same valuations, Outfit 1876 showed a falling off of about $\$ 5,000$, as compared with Outit 1875. On the other hand, $\$ 8,000$ of Furs were left behind. In Fort Simpson, owing to the starvation alluded to, the Returns were probably the lowest on record. The Trout Lake Indians, formerly the best hunters, have alznost disappeared. The whole band is reduced to 11 men and boys.

At other Posts, the decrease in Returis is attributed to the great severity of the winter, which was one of the coldest on record. Large numbers of Beavers at the Post called Red River were found dead.

It will be seen from the foregniug observations ihat the District snffered considerably from various caases-from the starvation of the Indians, from the severity ot the winter, dc. But while the Officer in charge alludes to these facts, he does not allude to the great increase in the amount of goods that he appears to have traded, nor give any explanation, beyond these general remarks, of what has become of the excess disposed of over the year 1874. No explanation is given as to whether the excess of $\$ 29,000$, compared with the year 1874 , arose from debte which were incurred by the Indians and not paid, fresh advances in goods and provisions, or other causes.

Last year, writing with regard to the previous Outfit, the Officer in charge reported that the Returns for Outfit 1875 showed an increase of $\$ 19,437$ orer those of 1874 . He made no allusion to the fact that the amount of goods traded in the District in Outfit 1875 was $\$ 2 \overline{9}, 000$ in excess of that in 1874, but stated that the Fort Rae Indians were compelled to wander a long distance from the Post in search of food; and Mr. Hardisry added that they retained a considerable portion of their Furs, and intended doing the same the following year, when they proposed going to Athabasca to make a large trade. The severity of the winter 1575-6 had been much against Fur hunting, and caused a great deal of saffering among the Indians. Sickness, added to the scarcity of food, had an adverse effect on the Fur honts.

As already stated, the Indian debts are not ralued, and no statements lave hitherto been rendered by the Offeers in charge of Districts in the Northern Depaitment of the amvunt of advances remaining unpaid at the close of each Outfit.

Capital employed in the District :-


Outfit 1876 is the first occasion on which McKenzie River District has produced a loss.
ATHABASCA DISTRICT.


The experience he had had of the amount he was able to dispose of in former years was that he had truded $\$ 67,000$ in the Outfit of $!8 \pi 4$. When he asked for bis supplies in 1876 , he did not know the result of his trade in 1875; but as a matter of fact he disposed of $\$ 87,000$. In fact, for 1876 , he asked too much, and as the result proved, the whole of the extra goods sent to him were left on his hands at the end of the year, the Inventory having risen from $\$ 38,000$ at the commencement of 1876 to $\$ 78,000$ at the end.

The increase in the amount of goods' traded in Outit 1876, as compared with 1874, is $\$ 19,000$, equal to about 30 per cent., while the value of the Beaver and Marten obtained was about the same for the two years.

s- It appears therefore, that Outfit 1876 still shows a considerable profit aiccording to the Country Accounts, notwithstanding the great fall in the valuations of the Eurs , , $\}$

In 1874, the profit by the Country Accounts was, about $\$ 70,000$ on the invested Capital of $\$ 93,000$.

In 1875, with reduced but moderate valuations the profit was $\$ 46,000$, but on a larger Capital, riz, on $\$ 128,000$
!
S In 1856, on the other band, with low valations, the estimated protit is $\$ 28,000$ on $\$ 160,000$ invested; that is $17 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. This District, therefore, under the circumstances, shows a fairly satisfactory result, even at the very low valuations.

With reference to the result, of trade for Ouffit 1875, Mr Macrarlans; the Officer in charge, stated in his Report of last year that for the last five years the Returns of Athabascu had increased despite numerous drawbacks, until they now, that is to say in the Outfit 1875, reached about double what they were for some time previously. "Surely such continned success had calld for an expression of gratitude." It is worthy of remark that as the result of this Outfit, to which Mr. MaceArinse alludes, he disposed of $\$ 20,000$ more of goods than in the previons year, without showing a proportionate increase on the amount of his Returns, which amounted to $\$ 142,000$. It is true that Mr. MacFantars explained that at St. John's the severe cold destroyed 35 of the 40 horses owned by the Company, and the Indians hardly saved one of theirs alive. At Dunvegan the Company lost some 50 horses towards spring, and the Indians and Freemen suffered in like proportions; and at Fort McMurray 14 oxen, 4 cows and 4 horses were carried off by disease.

In concluding his Report on the trade of Athabasca for Outfit 1875, Mr. Macfanlase wrote:-

[^0]The Officers were obliged to pay over 100 per cent. additional for every Fur and ounce of provisions traded in the District.

The following Statement shows the alterations that have been made in the Fur Tariffs during the last few years.

FUR TARIFFS.-Athabasca District.
Particulars given by Chief Trader McDovgali, January, 187 S .


Made Bearer, Valuation 1/10.
The Returns of Athabasca District for Outfit 1876 valued at the same Tariff as that of Outfit 1875, amount to $\$ 134,000$, a falling of of about $\$ S, 000$.
af Mr-Macrapuans; in his Report upon the result of trade for Outfi 1876; stated that the natives of Athabasca experienced greater privations from want of food than they had done for many years back.

The weather had rendered Moose hunting impracticable, besides very materially interfering with the trapping of Marten and other Furs. The very early setting in of spring weather also did much injury.

## Writing of one of the Posts called Battle River, Mr. Macfarlank, says:-

"Great and protractel prirations rere experienced here, as well as at all the Posts on "Peace River, from a scarcity of food, and which, it is needless to state, seriously affected "the minter honts.

As the season, howerer, adranced matters began to improve, and. the Battle River "people collected a considerable quantity of fine Beaver."

## Of a Post called Fond-du-lac, Mr. Macfarlave writes:-

$"$ The Provision Trade of 1876, is beyond all question the poorest erer made at - this Outpost.
"The Autumn Recipts were almost nil, while daring one winter, Reindeer were so very " scarce that 19 nien, women, and children perished from starration, and a number of othera "would have met with the same fate if they had not been succoured in good time. In spril,
$\because$ Deer made their appearance in good numbers, but, onfortunatelf, a thaw set in, so little or " nothing was secured In these circumstances, and owing to a scarcity of Martens, the "Fur Retarns are some 25 per cent. below last jear's."

Similar statements are made with regard to other Outposts.
Capital employed in Athabasca District :-


## ENGLISH RFVER DISTRICT.

| ventory at the commencement of Outitit 1874 |  |  |  | ... | ... | \$22,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inventory at the close of 1876... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 29,000 |
| The stuplies sent out in 1874 were |  |  |  |  |  | \$29,000 |
| 1875 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 37,500 |
| 1876 | ... | ... | ... | ... |  | 40,000 |
| Goods traded in 1874 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... |  | \$17,000 |
| , 1875 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | .. | 47,500 |
| , 1876 | ... | ... | ... | ... |  | 32,000 |
| Value of Bearer, Marten, Mink, and Lynx, in 1874 at 1876 prices |  |  |  |  |  | £3,000 |
| " $\quad$, " |  |  | ... | $\cdots$ | . | 4,500 |
| ", ", " |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | ... | 3,700 |

Accordingly it will be seen that in Outfit 1876, while the value of the principal Furs traded ras only $£ 700$ in excess of the year $1874, \$ 15,000$ more goods were disposed of than in 1874 ; an increase of almost 100 per cent.

We should not pass over the results of Outfit 1875 , when $\$ 47,500$ were traded, or $\$ 31,000$ more than in 1874, while the value of the Furs on the same valuation was $£ 1,500$ more, or about $\$ 7,500$.

Inspecting Factor McMurrar, in writing of English River District in Outfit 1875, stated:-
"You will observe the very large increase in the price of some kinds of Furs, that the
$\because$ presence of opposition traders has compclled us to make. The Fur tariff for the current Outrit is
" certainly very high, and would not hare been adopted, if, with a due regard to our interests, we

"could hare done otherwise As it is, our opponents not only pay the same price as we do for "Furs, but actually sell some of then goods at a lower figure. Fe have as set made no "reduction in our goods taciff, but, on the contrary, have enhanced the prices of some articles.
"We have so far managed to secure a greatar portion of the Fur Trade in this quarter."

## Mr. MoMurray continues:-

© I am apprehensive, even if we manage to secure the same amount of Furs as last year.
"We hare endearoured, in competing with our opponents, not to incur any mnecessary "expense, but their liberal system of trade, as already stated, has obliged us to be lass "economical than we should otherwise have been."

An examination of the District Fur tariffs annexed to that Report, shows that the tariff for Beaver was doubled in Outfit 1875; that Fishers were increased from 2-Made Beaver to 3 -M.B. in 1874, and from 3-M1.B. to 6-M.B in Ontfit 1875; Lynx were increased from 1-M.B. to 2; Martens from 2 in 1873 to 4 in 1875; Minks from 1 in 1873 to 3 in 1875 .

As curpared with the previous year, Outfit 1876 shows a rediction of $\$ 15,000$ in the amount of goods traded, with a decrease of about $£ 700$ in the value of the principal Returns of the District.

The profits on the valcations of 1874 were $\$ 29,975$.

| $\#$ | $n$ | 1875 | $5,345$. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\#$ | $n$ | $n$ | 1876 | $9,855$. |

The amount of Capital employed being in 1874, $\$ 00,000$.


The high rates paid for Furs in Outfit 1875 have been considerably reduced:-Martens from 4-M.B. to 2 , and Mink from 3-M.B. to 1 ; and efforts were being made by the Oficer in charge of the District to effect a further reductionin the rates of these and other Retorns which were being traded for Outfit 1877.

## NORWAY HOUSE DISTRICT



The great reduction in the Inventory will be noticed.
In this District there has been a considerable sale of goods to the Dominion Goverament.

| The goods traded is the year 1874 were... |  |  | ... | ... | ... | \$79,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Cash Sales were | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | 36,000 |
|  |  | Leaving for Fur Trade |  |  |  | \$43,000 |
| In 1875, the goods traded were | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | \$71,000 |
| Casb Sales |  | ... | $\ldots$ |  |  | 26,000 |
|  | ... |  | g |  | ade | \$45,000 |
| In 1876, the soods traded vere | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | \$66,000 |
| Cash Sales ... ... ... |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 24,000 |
|  |  |  | $g$ |  |  | \$42,000 |

It thus appears that the amount of goods traded for Furs during these three Outfits, has not varied very much, nor has there been much variation in the quantities of the principal articles, viz, Beaver, Irarten, and Ginks. They have, ranged between $f 4,150$ in 1874 , to $£ 4,400$ in 187 , and $£ 4.400$ in 1876 .


It is to be observed that even in Outfit 1874 , the profit is very small on the Cupital employed, notwithstanding Cash Sales to the Dominion Government; which ought to have assistod the result.

In 1S75, the valuations taken in the Country Accounts were moderate, but, nevertheless, the resilt showed a loss; while, notwithstanding the low valuations, a small profit appents in Outfit 1876. It appears that in this case the tariff for Furs has been reduced in1876.. Mr. Ross. the Officer-in-charge, writes:-"The increase of expenditure in consequence of the opposition has been connteracted in a measure by a reduction of at least 50 per cent. in amount of adrances given to the Indians, and also by a reduction in the price of Furs where practicable. The reduction in the price of Mink from 2 to L-MB. effected a saving of 1,000.M.B. at this Post alone for the Outfit. A persistent adherence to the established rates of the Goods and Fur Tariff has hitherto been the rule in the District; and the old system of barter has alwars worked at all the Posts with satisfactory results."

Mr. Ross states that there can be no doubt that this year has been a disastrous one for the opposition, and that the system of opposition in this District appears to be effective, \&c.

The Indians, as a rule, continue to deal with the Company in preference to the Canadians. He added that "the trade supplies were larger than the business could well afford, but the freight expenditure, which was still heavy, and the demands incidental to the presence of a strong competition in the trade proper, as well as in the new branch of business originated by the payment of cash annuities to the Indians, rendered any curtailment of the Outfit impracticable. The time, however, has now come when it will be possible to effect a salutary reform in the Indents, and to reduce the Inventories, without much risk to the business."

We are of opinion that the attention of Mr. Ross should be called to the point-that, if we take into account that the Cash Sales to the Government and mhers must have given apparently a profit on the goods, the Fur Trade itself has been conducted at a loss. In fact, if this District is charged with interest at 5 per cent. on the Capital employed during the three Outfits, even including sales to the Government, there is a loss.

## EDMONTON DISTRICT:

| Edmonton Inventory at the | beginning of 1874 |  |  |  | \$57,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " , , | close of 1876 | $\cdots$ |  |  | 133,000 |
|  |  |  |  | cas | \$76,000 |
| Supplies furnished in 1874 | $\cdots$... $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |  | \$94,000 |
| " $\quad 1875$ | $\cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 81,000 |
| ,1876 | ... |  |  | $\cdots$ | 91,000 |

While $\$ 94,000$ worth of goode werc sent out for 1874 , the goods traded and sold amounted only to $\$ 60,000$.


Valuation of the principal Returns-Beavers, Robes, Liqux, Marten, Mink, Musquash, and Otters-at 1876 prices:-


It will be observed, that while the same amount of goods mas traded in 1876 as in $18 \overline{7}$, there is a falling off of $£ 3,000$ in the value of the principal Retarns.

In Outfit 1805 the quantities of the principal Furs were almost identical with those of $1 S \% 4$, whilst the goods traded were $\$ 12,000$ nore in value.

In the one case, therefore, there is a smaller quantity of Furs for the same amount of goods, in the other, an equal quantity of Furs, but at a much increased cost


The Capital employed being in all cases very large, viz :-

| For 1874 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\$ 156,000$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1875$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 191,000 |
| $\# 1876$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 213,000 |

In Outfit 1874 the debts, which in this Depurtment represent a large amount, were ralued for the first time. If their value is deducted from the profit of that year, the arerage profits of the three Outfits $187 . t$ to 1876 as calculated in the country, would not give more than 5 per cent.

In this case, a much larger amount of goods appears to have been ordored during these three Outfits than was expended.

With regard to the causes of the unremunerative trade in 1876, the Officer in charge writes:-
"The Returns of the District show a decrease on those of last year. there being no Robe
"Trade. There is strong opposition from the American Traders, Bagfa \& Co., of Benton, who
"can throw into the market, mostly at any time of the year, the class of goods required; and as
" all the supplies for the N.W. Mounted Police are supplied by them, they have a strong hold
"on that part of the country."
He added, that in a part of the country like Edmonton, where the trade in some years demanded a much larger supply than at other times, it was difficult to frame requisitions two years in advance to the exact requirements of the District. Some years the trade in Robes and Provisions consumed a greater portion of the Outfit; while in a yea like the present, when Buffalo were scarce, little or nothing is spent on the plain trade, which, consequently, leaves a large Inventory of such articles as have been requested for that particular trade.

He further roported that the reduction in the tariff for Furs was looked for, and had to come sooner or later, for the prices given to compete with opposition traders were so high that it was impossible to oxpect any margin of profit. "Now that a crisis has come and with it the downfall of many, the road will be clear to begin at the lowest possible grade, and the Indians will not be worse off for the change."


It will thus be seen that there has been an increase of fully $\$ 60,000$ in the amount of Goods traded in Outfits 1875 and 1876 , compared with Outfit 1874. The returns of Beaver, Robes, Lynx, Marten, Mink, Musquash, and Otter, valued at the same rates for earh Outfit, amount in

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1874 \text { to } & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & £ 6,303 \\
1875 \text { to } & \ldots & . . & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & . . & £ 8,439 \\
1876 \text { to } & \ldots & \ldots & . . & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & £ 5,846
\end{array}
$$

so that, notwithstanding an increase of more than 100 per cent. in the value of goods traded, the returns of Outfit 1876, actuully show a falling off of some $£ 500$, as compared with Outfit 1874.

The sales to the Government and others in the three Outits were $\$ 4, \mathrm{~S} 00, \$ 16,000$, and $\$ 29,000$ respectirely, and the accounts of the District show the following results:-

| Outfit 1874, an | ppare | Profit of | ... | .. | \$66,939 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 | " | " | $\cdots$ |  | 19,031 |
| 1876 |  | Loss of | $\ldots$ |  | 7,384 |

The falling off in the last Outfit, as compared with 1874, being upwards of $\$ 70,000$.
There is a large amount of Capital employed in the trade in this District, where the bulk of the provisions are obtained for transport and other purposes.

In 1874 the Capital employed in the District was $\$ 180,000$

| $"$ | $\because$ | 1875 | $\ldots$. | 265,000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $"$ |  | $\#$ | $187 \dot{6}$ | $\ldots$ |

the iater yielding neither interest nor profit; while the Officer in charge of the District stated that upon the whole he had done very well.

In hunding his accounts of Outfit 1876, Mr. Clarke stated that in consequence of the steamer "Northcote" not reaching her destination last season, arrangements had to be made at Carliton for the transport of supplies to other Districts, which otherwise would have suffered to a considerable extent. A large amount of Pemican had been provided for the trade of these Districts.

Mr. Clarke reported that the Comparative Statement of the Returns of Saskatchewan District Outfit 18ijo-6, showed an increase in the present year's work of $\$ 2,729$. The Fur and Robe Returns pointed to a decrease of $\$ 11,366$, which amount was more than met by the increased Returns of Pemican and Leather.

It may be obserred that these articles are either transferred to other Districts or valued upon Inventory, and that even with the sales to the Government, amounting to $\$ 29,000$, the result of the trade of the District for Outfit 1876. is so very unsatisfactory, that the Chief Commiss:oner has been called upon to obtain from Mr. Clarke further explonations.

In a recent letter, the Chief Commissioner explained that outstanding debts in the District were placed on Inventory at one-half of their value, that being the estimated cost of the goods with charges at which they would have appeared on Inventory if unsold.

He also stated that the sudden decline in the market for Buffalo Robes seriously affected the Balance Sheet of Saskatchewan District, which, at the same time, laboured under heevy opposition from the firm of Kew, Stobart \& Co, who established themselves near Carlton, and excited the Robe and Fur Market beyond all reason. At the same time, the inability of the steamer "Northcote"" to navigate the Saskatchewan River above the Cole Falls in 1876 greatly increased the expenses of getting the supplies to Carlton; which were rendered too late to be disposed of as intended at the Indian Treaties Meeting, and consequently swelled the Inventories, while, in the meantime, the next Outfit Requisition had already gone forward. These difficulties, in addition to the reduced valuation of the Returns, tended to make the result most unsatisfactory, contrary to the anticipations of Mr. Ciarke.

## CUMBERLAND DISTRICT.



It will thus be seen that in comparison with 1874 , Outfit 1876 had an increased amount of $\$ 6,000$ expended for Furs. The principal articles-Beaver, Marten, Mink, Musquash, and Otter-valued at 1876 prices, amount to--

| $£ 9,288$ in | Outfit | 1874 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7,854 | $"$ | 1875 |
| 8,846 | $"$ | 1876 |

or a falling off in the Returns of $£ 442$, with an increased expenditure in goods to the amount it ubout $£ 1,200$.

## The apparent profits shown in the District Accounts are-

| Outit | 1874 | $\ldots$ | \$35,2i0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 1875 | $\cdots$ | 14,607 |
| " | 1876 | $\cdots$ | 8,285 |
| Outfit | 1874 | $\cdots$ | \$77,149 |
| " | 1875 | .. | 91,424 |
| , | 1876 | $\cdots$ | 119,048 |

This larie increase of Capital arises from the fact that in Ontfit $1576, \$ 39,000$ more goods was supplied than in 1874, and as the Inventory at the close of 1876 showed a large increase, it follows that the District has been overstocked with goods.

The Officer in charge reported that, notwithstanding the late period in the season at which some of the Posts in the District received their Outfits, the winter's operations were favourable, and considering the active opposition in the District, and the sufferings of the Indians as usual from hunger, the final result showed an increase of $£ 2,000$ in the value of the Returns as compared with Outfit 1870.

He added that high prices prevailed in the lower part of the District during the winter ; as a set off to which the barter rates for goods were somewhat advanced.

## SWAN RIVER DISTRICT.



The principal returns of the District amounted to $£ 5,966, £ 3,330$, and $£ 5,400$, for the three Outfits respectively, aud the accounts show the following results :-

Outfit 1874, an apparent Profit of $\$ 29,427$

| $" 1875$, | $"$ | , | 18,419 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $" 1876$, | $"$ | $"$ | 16,448 |

Mr. Archibatid McDonalid, the Officer in charge, contrasts the accounts of Outfit 1876 with those of the former year, and states that "the collection of Furs is considerably in advance of last Outfit. and had the raluation been the same the result would have been much
better. While the collection of Furs has been much larger, the Cash Sales have been less, as a large quantity of conntry produce was sold the previous Outfit on which a double profit was made, this will tell on the profits of the District more than the advance on the Fur Returns will make up." He found that on an average the English goods landed there, cost .5 per cent. over the previous years, while he had adopted the same price as last Ontit for Inventories; he considered it better to do so, although it would somewhat affect the District for Outfit 1876, as part of the goods on hand on Inventory consist of the surplus stock supplied from York Factory, which must be sold at a reduced rate in order to dispose of them. He states that the internal expenses of the District have been kept as low as possible as heretofore, and that the outstanding balances have been redaced.

## MANITOBA DISTRICT.

Manitoba District was separated from Red River District at the close of Outfit 1874, when supplies to the amount of $\$ 51,976$ were transferred to it, of which $\$ 30,797$ were traded in Outfit 1875, leaving $\$ 21,842$ on hand at the close of that Outit. Supplies to the amount of $\$ 27,582$ were sent in Ontfit 1876, at the close of which the Inventory amounted to $\$ 23,990$.

| Goods traded in Ontfit 1875 |  |  | -.. | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | \$30,797 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Sales ... | ... | -.. | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 8,458 |
|  |  |  |  |  | g | Fur |  | S22,339 |
| Goods traded in Ontfit 1876 |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | \$25,471 |
| Cash Sales ... | ... | - | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 8,928 |
|  |  |  |  | Lea | $g$ f | ur |  | \$17,173 |

The returns of the principal articles, valued at the same rates for Outfits 1875 and 1876, amount to $£ 1,561$ and $£ 1,850$ respectively ; and the apparent profit shown in the District Accounts, $\$ 1,084$ and $\$ 5,813$. The Capital employed in the District is about $\$ 00,000$. The Officer in charge writes:-

[^1]
## LiAC LA PLUIE DISTRICT.



The ralues of the principal Returns of the District for the three Outfits amount to $£ 5,888$, $£ 0,031$, and $£ 5,423$, and the Country Accounts show the following results:-


Upon the large amount of Capital employed in the Distict, $\$ 106 ; 000$, the above result is most disappointing. Eren in 1874 the profit is less than 5 per cent. When Cash Sales to the amount of $\$ 17,000$ are considered and are supposed to represent a certain amouut of profit made, the Fur Trade rould appear to be carricd on in this District at a large amount of loss.

Mr. Lililie, the Officer in charge, reports that his Returns were equal to those of former years, but of smaller amount, owing to the reduced rates at which they are valued. Musquash were very scarce, but all other Fur-bearing animals were to all appearance as numerous as they bave been for several years past. The Government had opened a large retail store at Fort Francis, which, besides supplying the wants of the men employed on the Canal, was open to the pablic and the Indians. Mr. Lilire also reported the presence of several parties of Fur Traders in Lac la Pluie District during Outfit 1876, but he observed that beyond putting the Company to some extra expense in opposing them, they did not affect the Company much or enrich themselves.

Mr. Lilure does not appear to have realized the fact that for the last two Outfits at least the business of his District has been carried on at a loss to the Company.

Since the foregoing was written we have received from the Chief Commissioner a report of a visit to Lac la Pluie District made by Inspecting Chief Factor MoTavish last month. He found that since the close of Outfit 1875, the Indian debts had largely increased, and a want of judgment had been shown by the Officers in charge of Outposts in dealing with the Indians. Since the commencement of the construction of Government works at Fort Francis, the Indians in that neighbourhood have hunted few Furs. Mr. McTavisi found the stock of gouds in the District double what it ought to have been. The Officer in charge had been directed to set aside for other Districts all goods not required by him. Next Outfit, North West Angle and the Gutstation of English River will be given up, and if found practicable the Outstations of Whitefish Bay and Eagle Lake will be closed. The staff of clerks and serrants will consequently be reduced.

A former servant of the Company, named Lackenson, had carried on a most reckless and unprofitable trade in the District, which kept the prices of Furs very high.

Mr. MeTavish reports that the whoie District is, and for the last three or four years has been, in a state of transition. That portion surrounding Fort Francis will, as soon as the Canal is tinished, return to its normul state, as the Canal is not likely to be of any service as a highmay, and when the Indians cannot find employment on the Public Works, some of them will be obliged to hunt for a living.

## YORK FACTORY AND COAST POSTS.

These Posts are supplied from England by way of Hudson's Bay, and their Returns are sent home annually by the Company's own ship. Since the removal of the Depot of the Northern Department from York Factory to Fort Garry, York Factory has greatly declined in importance. At the commencement of Outfit 1874, York Factory had still on hand a large assortment of goods for the trade of Inland Districts.

and the Accounts show the following results :-


Mr. Fortescue alluding in his Report to this unfortunate result, explained that Outfit 1876 was the first year in which the Factory was left to its own resources, having no connection with any of the Interior Districts except Oxford.

An examination of the Accounts current of the two years shows at a glance the difference of the amount of business transacted. In Outfit 1875 the Returns amounted to $\$ 8,000$, while the apparent gain was $\$ 10,000$. Mr. Fortescee stated that, besides the profit on Returns, the establishment derived benefit from the sale shop and the Invoice Charges to other Districts. In Outfit 1876 there was no profit from these sources. The collections show a further considerable decline in Beavers, which Mr. Fortescue thought were being "killed out." The Indians in the neighbourhood wers in a state of great destitution and the only resource was to open the stores for the sale of provisions, to keep them up to the hanting of Foxes along the coast.

Churchill, Severn, Trout Lake, and Island Lake, are supplied from York Factory. Goods traded at these Districts-

| Outfit 1874 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\$ 30,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Outfit 1875 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 27,000 |
| Outfit 1876 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 29,000 |

Result of trude in Country Accounts-

| Churchill, Profit |  | Outfit 1874. |  |  |  | Outfit 1875. |  |  | Outfit 1876. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | . | ... | \$9,061 | ... | ... | \$5,831 | ... | ... | \$3,538 |
| Severn | " | ... | $\cdots$ | 6,160 | $\ldots$ | ... | 4,736 | ... | ... | 1,194 |
| Trout Lake | " | $\cdots$ | ... | 21,446 | $\ldots$ | ... | 10,584 | ... | ... | 3,355 |
| Island Lake | " | ... | $\cdots$ | 17,482 | $\ldots$ | ... | 6,246 | $\ldots$ | . | 6,432 |
| Total Profits |  |  | ... | \$54,149 |  |  | \$27,397 |  |  | \$14,819 |

As regards Severn and Trout Lake, Mr. Fortescor: reported that the extremity to which the Coast Indians had beer reduced could hardly be believed, and the matter of surprise was, that only one case of death from starvation came to his knowledge, namely, that of a family or camp of 10 , all of whom perished except one boy. He added it was not, therefore, surprising that the debts had increased $860 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{B} .$, or 40 per cent. These debts are not valued on Inventory.

At the other Posts the falling off in the amount of apparent profit is due principally to the lower rates at which the Returns are valued in Outfit 1876. The quantities are not less than those of former years, and no opposition traders have yet made their way to these Districts.

## RED RIVER AND MANITOBA TRADING STATIUNS.

There are nine Trading Posts within this District, our remarkson which are confined to the Outfit of 1876, as it was only in 1875 that separate accounts were kept. The following table exhibits the amount of goods on hand, and sapplied darins Outfit 1876, and the apparent results at each Post, together with the Furs traded either for Cash or Barter. These results may be somewhat qualified, owing to the errors hereafter mentioned, reported in taking the Inventories. The Inventory value is based on the cost at Fort Garry, with a small per centage added for transport from that place. Three of the Posts, Point Coupe, St. Boniface, and Georgetown, are being closed, and are, therefore, not included in the Return.

| Stations. | Capital Employed. | Profit: | Loss, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portage la Prairie | \$77,000 |  | \$7,376 |
| Poplar Point. | 35,000 | \$654 | - |
| White Horse Plains | 30,000. | 2,112 |  |
| Pembina | 55,000. | 6,171 |  |
| St. Amne's | 42,000 |  | 2,383 |
| Iower Fort Gaxry ... | 80,000 |  | 5,216 |
|  | \$319,000 | \$3,937 | 814,975 |

Balance of Loss $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 0 0}$.

If, in addition, 5 per cent on the estimated Capital employed be charged, the loss would amount to $\$ 22,000$ for Outfit 1876 .
$I_{t}$ is to be remarked that these Posts are mainly kept up, eithor for the purchase of grain required for the Company's use, or for ordinary shopkeeping as contradistinguished: from the Fur Trade. The total value of Furs traded was:-


The shops, except Lower Fort Garry, where there is a Chief Trader, are managed by Clerls, whose period of service with the Company varies from four to seventeen years, and whose salaries vary from $£ 73$ to $£ 100$ a year.

We note by the reports of Mr. Joan McTavisi, dated the 25 th January and 16th February last, that irregularities occurred in taking the Inventories, not from wilful error, but from the difficulty of closing the shops during stock taking. In several instances also the goods of one Outfit have been mixed up with the stock, properly chargeable to another; in others, articles lost or valueless have been taken over at the customary value, and in one instance the quantities have been entered as "lbs." instead of "bags." Pending full explanatinns on these matters it is impossible to depend on the precise results as reported.

Mr. McTavish remarks:-
"As absolute correctness in stock taking is more important than was formerly "considered necessary throughout the country, I have now made arrangements to send parties "from Fort Garry to assist at all the Posts, and as fast as the Inventories come to hand I am
"having hem worked up, and will soon be in a position to give you a reliable result for
"Outfit 1877, and if any do not show a clear profit over and above all expenses, 1 would
"recommend them being closed up at once, but $I$ mast remark that it was not only for the "anticipated cash profit on sales that these Posts were established, but as a means to procure « grain and country produce for the large demanis of our Inland and General Business, * which, in the absence of those Posts, would require an ontlay, yearly of a large amount " of cash."

## To this Report the Chief Commissioner replies as follows, ander date 6th February:-

"As regards the maintenance of the Outstations on the Assincbine and at St. Anne's "to secure grain and country produce for the general business, you are aware that until " last year we hare had to import not only Flour, but also Oats ever since 1874, although "thes existed in full force.
"Perforce we will have to keep up our Establishments at Portage la Prairie and St. "Anne's until we ascertain the result of Ontfit 1877 , which is already nearly ended, but my " instructions convered to you regarding them through Mr. G. S. McTavish must not be "derinted from, as I feel perfectly salisficd that orders will be sent from London to close " both Stations forthwith.
"The results at Poplar Point and White Horse Plains, although apparently showing " a profit, drindle into a loss when interest is calculated on the heary stocks carried at "both places.
" I need not repeat the remarks I hare already made when referring to the St. Anne "Post, as they apply to it as well as to the Portage, but require its rectified account also.
"Notwithstanding your remarks that a considerable grain trade can be done at this "Post without carrring a hearr stock of goods, I fiud its Inventory list June last amounted "to $\$ 31,592^{\circ}$; while that of Portage 1a Prairic mas $\$ 45,485^{\circ}$; White Horse Plains "was $\$ 22,156^{\circ}$; and Poplar Point was $\$ 30,906^{\circ \circ}$; in anl $\$ 130,135$, of which $\$ 86.397^{\circ}$ " represents European Goods, and $\$ 14,50 j^{\infty}$, Canadian and American; showing in the former " case an increase of $\$ 20.559^{\circ 0}$, and in the latter of $\$ 2,877^{\infty}$, and placing a heary burden " on the trade in consequence, whereas it was particularly desired that the Inrentories " should be much reduced.

In a further Report, dated 16th February, 1878, Mr. McTarisu states:-
"I agree with you that the Inventories at all our Outposts are far too large, and out " of proportion to the amount of business done in Outfit 1876.
"The Indents for the Outfit requirements were carcfully made out and based on the " largely increasing business of the previvus year, and we were far from anticipating such a " gencral stagnation of trade all over the country as followed.
"Since the close of 1876, the stocks at all the Red River Posts have been very much "reduced, and apart from our assuming by the Depot ererything in excess of the immediate "demands of the trade as mentioned in my last letter, the Inventories now being taken " will be found in a much more satisfactory state than in the previous year.
"The position of the Establishments in Red River is very different from Inland Trading " Posts, and a very much heavier stock of goods is required. In the face of the many traders " and shopkeepers in every line of business snrrounding us, if we wish to get a fair share of " custom, we must at all scasons be in a position to supply all the wants of those who deal "with us, as our stores are supposed to contain a goneral stock of all the requirements of a "community, and this cannot be done by merely inporting once a year, as we have hitherto $\because$ been doing, when with every precaution and forethought it was impossible to avoid running "short of some articles and havng an excess of others, and we should be allowed to replenish " our stock as often as disposed of, and the oftener the better if at a good profit, irrespectivo " of the amount of our Lident as limited by Council."

As respects the outstandin, debts at these Posts, we find by the Inventory that on the 1st of June last they were as follows:-


The amount written off in respect of the Outstanding Debts at these Posts in Oaifit 1s76, mas $\$ 5,951$, of which $\$ 1,958$ and $\$ 3,719$, apply to St. Anne's and Lower Fort Garry, leaving only small amounts for the other Posts.

On u review of the results of the trading at these Posts, and on consideration of the Thole case, we are not of opinion that the Company has the means of carrying on profitibly the business of retail shopkeeping, apart from Fur trading at the minor Posts in the Red River District, and we rould concur in the recommendations of the Chief Commissioner that these minor establishments shonla be gradually closed, excepting perhaps the Posts of Pembina and White Horse Plains, which, under careful supervision, might be carried on with a profitable result.

Since the foregoing was prepared, $a$ further letter has been received from Mr. J. H. McTarisu, dated Fort Garry, 2nd April, in which he reports that the trade at Portage la Prairie is likely to show a profit of about $\$ 17,000$ in Outfit 1877 , and that the utmost care is being taken with the ricw of having the Inventories of all the Posts in the District correctly taken and every article put down at its true value.

## FORT GARRY.

This Establishment is divided into two branches:-
1st. The Depöt Branch.
2nd. The Jerchandise or Shopkeepiog Branch.
The Depôt in 1875 showed a total value and outlay (including customs duties and transport charges, together $\$ 147,000$ ) of $\$ 915,3: 20$. In 1876 (customs and transport $\$ 225,000) \$ 1,364,798$. Of these totals there is represented for wirges, $\$ 22,524$ in $1 \$ 75$, and $\$ 29,000$ in 1876.

The Inventories of stock on hand at the close of each Outfit vary.

| 1875 | $\cdots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | $\$ 174,843$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1870 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 201,311 |

These figares are large, but Fort Garry has now become the emporium of many of the Posts, which were formerly supplied from York Factory, and this will to some degree account for the large amount of goods on hand.

In Outfit 1875, the Depot Account was balanced by the transfer of $\$ 55,333$ to the debit of Merchandize Account, the profit of which was thus reduced to $\$ 11,602$. While in Outfi 1876, Merchandise Account appears in the balance-sheet with a profit of $\$ 56,326$, and the Depot stands with a loss of $\$ 3,055$, representing expenses unapportioned to other branches of the business.

Under the head "Furs purchased, cash and goods to the amount of $\$ 54,762$ are charged as having been expended at Fort Garry for Furs during Outit 1876, since the commencement of which, prices declined in 1877 and 1878, as shown in Statement No. 1. on the first page of this Report.

The Furs so purchased, which were valued at the same rates as the rest of the Retarns for Ontfit 1876, amounted to $\$ 36,330$, and the account closes with an apparent loss of $\$ 15,326$.

The account "Returns of Trade," represents the expenses incurred at Fort Garry in repacking and forwarding the Returns of the Department.

## STEAMERS.

The Freight earned by Steamers "Colvile" and "Northcote", is placed to the credit of their acconnts, from which the deduction of 15 per cent. for wear and tear is taken. In Outfit 1875, the "Colvile's" earnings did not amount to the depreciation, as she was only employed during a part of the senson.

The loss on the "Northcote" for Outfit 1876 arises from the same cause, the state of the water in the Saskatchewan being such that she was unable to proceed further than Carlton last season.

In Outits 1874 and 1875, the result of the Red River Steamboat operations was inciuded in the Red River. District accounts.

The profit on the Steamers for Outfit 1876, amounting to $\$ 21,108$, was arrived at after deducting 15 per cent. from their valuation, which now amounts to $\$ 24,337$.

## GENERAL CHARGES.

It will be observed that the amount of this account for Oatfit 1876 is $\$ 11,900$, as compared with $\$ 26,500$ in Outit 1875, and $\$ 20,021$ in Outfit 1874. In the lastnamed Outfits the municipal taxes were charged to General Expenses, while in Outfit 1876, the taxes appear in the account of Fort Garry Depot, and in Outfit 1875 a charge was made against general expenses for loss of a quantity of Cordwood swept away by a flood on the Saskatcherwan.

## SOUTHERN DEPARTMENT.

ABSTRACT OF INVENTORIES AT THE GLOSE OF OUTFITS 1874,1875 \& 1876.


It will be obsereat tht Eaere are no outstanding debts valved upon Inventory in the Sonthern Departmeit The Offer in charge at Moose Factory forwarded a statement of the Indian debts whick were not valued, namely:-

| Outfit 1875 | 8,263 M. Bearer |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 7,332 M. Marten |
| ", $\quad$, | 2,451 Dollars |
| Outhit 1876 | 6,308 M. Beaver |
| , | 6:055 M. Marten |
| " | 2,869 Dollars |

The reduction in Made Bearer and Made Marten advances is much greater than the increase in Dollars.

## SUppliks from Engiand.



In Outfit 1877, for which the Returns and Accounts have not been received, the supplies amounted to $£ 9,327$.

The Returns of the Department have realized the following amounts :-

| Outfit 1874 | 4.. | £40,742 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , 1875 | - .. - | 34,804 |
| " 1876 | $\cdots \quad . \quad$. $\quad$ - | 31,548 |

In the Country Accounts they were valued-


And the result of trade given in the same Accounts is as follows:-


The following is the actual result of the trade of the Departonent, withont interest on Capital and the proporion of London Expenses :-


Statement showing the result of Trade as ascertained upon the Country Valnations:-


## ALBANY RIVER DISTRICT.


es compared with Outfit 1874.

The principal returns of the District-Bearer, Marten, Mink, and Otters-valued at the prices of 1876 , amountito:-
£4,577 in Ontfit 1874
$3,894 \quad 1875$
$5,134 \quad, \quad 1876$
the latter being only $£ 550$ in excess of Outfit 1874 ; while, as already pointed out, the increase in the amount of goods traded was $£ 1,800$.

The returns of Albany District for Outfit 1876, valued at the prices of the previous year, would have amounted to $£ 11,359$, being $£ 3,126$ more than the valuation at which they are credited on the accounts of the Department.

Mr. Parson, the Officer in charge of Moose Factory, reported that during Outfit 1875 the Indian debts had decreased 718 M. Bearer at Albany, and to a less extent at Marten's Falls. There is a small increase at Osnaborg and Henley, at which latter place the debt was increased contrary to orders.

No opposition was heard of in Albany District during Outfit 1876; but Mr. Parson stated that the heary charges made at the Montreal Department against Albany District for expenses, incurred in opposing Free-trader Rutrie in 1875 , had been assamed in the Southern Department at the request of Mr. Bissert. Mr. Parson added that he could not admit the justice of the charge for if the Pic River party traded from Albany Indians, the Furs were kept by the Montreal Department; and if they traded from Long Lake Indians, the Southern Department had nothing to do with the expense of collecting Furs from Montreal Department Indians.

## RUPERTS RIVEL DISTRICT.


or an increase of $£ 2,000$ as compared with Outfit 1874.
Valuation of the principal Returns of the District, namely, Beaver, Marten, Mink, and Otters, at $18 i 6$ prices :-

| £4, 178 in | Outfit 1874 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5,610 | $"$ | 1875 |
| 6,747 | $\prime$ | 1876 |

the latter showing an increase of $£ 2,600$.

The Country Accounts show the following result of trade:-


The Report on this District refers to alarye increase in the quantities of the Returns as compared with those of the previous years. The Indian debts had decreased $41 \% \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{B}$, principally at Mistassinory and Nitekequon. At one of the Posts named Metchiskn, the business was not found to be satisfactory, and the officer in charge, Magnes Linkratrh, was remored from it, because the retarns had fallen off and the debts increased


The Indian debts had decreased 165 M.M., and at the close of Outfit 1876 stood at 730 ML.M. Mr. Parsovs considered these debts larger than they should be for the number of hunters, but several were debts of old standing. The business of the Post was, satisfactory, and no bad effect was perceptible from the transfer of Abitibi to the Montreal Department.

BRUNSWICK POST.


Valuation of the principal Returns, Beaver, Marten, and Otters, at 1876 prices:-
Outfit 1874 .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. $£ 2,625$

| $\because$ | 1875 | . | .. | .. | . | .. | .. | . | .. | 1,49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | 1876 | . | . | .. | . | . | .. | . | .. | 1,591 |

Accordingly it will be seen that the returns show a decrease of $£ 1,000$ in $0 u t f 1$ 1876, when $£ 240$ more goods were traded than in Outfit 1874.

The result of trade is stated in the Country Accounts as follows :-


The Offece in charge states that the returns show an improvement in every item of importance, except Fishers, which are 20 per cent. lower than in Outfit 1875. Bears and Musquash are from 35 to 40 per cent. hetter, and Minks and Lynx bave increased 50 per cent., and Otters 80 per cent. At the prices adopted in Outfit 1875, the returns of Outfit 1576 would have shown an improvement of $\pm 812$. If that amount is added to the apparent profit of $£ 539$, the result of trade at Brunswick for Outft 1876 would be about the same as that of Outfit 1875.

Mr. Parson reported that there was a slight decrease in the Indian debts which amounted to 1,448 M.B. From the 1 st June, 1877, this Post forms part of the Montreal Department. The Returns of Outfit 1876 were'sent to London by way of Liake Superior.

KINOGUMISSEE DISTRICT.


Valuation of the principal Returns, Beaver, Marten, and Otters, at 1876 prices:-


The Returns of Outfit 1876, valued at the prices of Outfit 1875, would have increased the apparent profits by $£ 1,255$. Except at Metochewan, the Ludian debts have been largely reduced, but at that place the increase is considerable, and amounts to $\$ 2,849$.

The regular opposition at Metochewan interfered to a great extent with the success of that Post; but this year, the freetrader Deccas is said to have failed, and it was expected that the low price of Furs would limit hisooperations in future.

EASTMAIN DISTRICT.


Faluation of the principal Returns-Beavers, White Foxes, Marten, and Otters-at 1876 prices:-

| Outfit 1874 | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | . |  |  | $£ 891$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 |  |  |  |  | .. |  |  |  | 1,608 |
| , 1876 | .. |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . | . |  |  | 4,163 |
| Apparent P | Profits, | Outfit | 1874 |  | . | . |  |  | £1,179 |
| " | " | , | 1875 | $\cdots$ | . | . |  |  | 2,492 |
| " | " | " | 1876 |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |  | 1,753 |

Had the prices of 1875 been adopted for the Returns of Outfit 1876, an additional sum of $£ 1,854$ would have been added to the apparent profits of the District.

Mr. Parson reported that Beaver had increased 50 per cent, and Otters 30 per cent. Rabbits were in great numbers, but the prosperity of the district was owing to the increase in Foxes, more especially White Foxes, which were swarming during the early part of the winter. and had risen from 1,571 skins in Outfit 1875 to 11,692 in 0 uthit $1876^{\circ}$

The Indian Debtor Balances amount to only 158 M.B., being a further decrease ou those of 1st June, 1876.

## ABITIBI DISTRICT.




This District was transferred to the Montreal Department at the commencement of Outit 1876. The Apparent Profit would have exceeded that of Outfit .1874, had the same Valuation of the Returns been adopted.

## MOOSE FACTORY DEPOT.

| Inventory at commencement of Outfit 187 | . | $\cdots$ |  | £27,458 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , close of Outfit 1876 | . | $\cdots$ | . | 29,769 |  |
| Supplies sent out in Outfit 1874 | . | $\cdots$ | . | £19,318 |  |
| " 1875 | - | $\cdots$ | . | 19,048 |  |
| " " 1876 | - | .. |  | 16,341 |  |
| Goods traded, Outit 1874 | . | .. | . | £21,556 |  |
| Transfers to Districts | .. |  | . | 16,258 |  |
| Leaving a balance of | . | . | . |  | £5,298 |
| Goods traded, Outfit 1875 . | . | . |  | £14,903 |  |
| Transfers to Districts | . | . | . . | 7,992 |  |
| Leaving a balance of | .. | . | . |  | £6,911 |
| Goods traded, Outfit 1876 .. |  |  |  | £16,937 |  |
| Transfers to Districts | $\cdots$ | . | -• | 8,511 |  |
| Leaving a balance of | . | . | . |  | £8,426 |

Comparing Outfit 1876 with Outfit 1874 , this statement shows an additional outlay at Moose Factory of $£ 3,000$, a portion of which arises from the fact that; in Outfit 1874, Customs duties were placed to a separate account, namely, "General Charges" while the corresponding: item is now dealt with in connection with the supplies of the depot.

showing a reduction of $£ 2,200$ in Outfit 1576 as compared with 1874.
The wages of Servants employed in the Depot, charged in the Factory Accounts were as follows:-

| Outfit 1874 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | .. | $£ 1,493$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\# 1875$ | . | .. | . | . | . | . | . | 1,403 |
| $\# 1876$ | .. | .. | . | . | . | . | . | 1,416 |

Valuation of tive Returns of the Depôt in the Country Accounts:-

| Outfit 1874 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | .. | $£ 2,763$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\# 1875$ | . | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | . | 2,005 |
| $\# 1876$ | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | 2,233 |

Result of Trade.
Apparent Loss, Outfit 1874 .. .. .. .. .. £3,408
" $\quad$ " 1875 .. $\quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad 2,056$

Had the Returns of Outfit 1876 been valued at the prices of 1875 , the apparent loss of $£ 3,717$ would have been reduced by a sum of $£ 1,062$, showing an increased expenditure of $£ 700$ in Outit 1876, with regard to which the Officer in charge at the Factory has not offered any explanation.

## MONTRKEAL DEPARTMENT.

Abstract of the Inventories of the Montreal Department.

|  | Ontit 1874. | Outfit 1875. | Outfit 1876. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Goods | £26,616 | £24,545 | £23,561 |
| Canadian " | 14,786 | 17,611 | 17,695 |
| Live Stock | 903 | 859 | 917 |
| Outstanding Debts | 6,822 | 7,695 | 5,391 |
| Cash | 1,404 | 901 | 1,080 |
| Buildings and Improvements | 2,541 | 2,028 | 2,301 |
| Boats . | 313 | 387 | 409 |
| Balances transferred | 18,770 | 31,019 | 26,106 |
|  | £72,158 | $£ 85,048$ | £ 77,463 |

These Balances are represented by goods received or purchased at Montreal on account of the Districts contained in this Department, as rell as on account of the Northern Department, for the trade of the following Outfit.

The largest item is for account of the Northern Department, the amount at the close of Outfit 1876 being $\$ 57,134$.

The following is a list of the Outstanding Debts:--

| Fort William | . | . | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | \$6,885 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nipigon |  | . | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . |  | 689 |
| Michipicoton | . | . | - | - | . | $\ldots$ |  | 822 |
| Temiscamingne | . | $\cdots$ | .. | . | .. | .. |  | 4,680 |
| Ottama River | . | . | . | .. | . | . |  | 4,378 |
| Saguenay | . | . | - | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | . | 2,447 |
| Bersamis | . | . | .. | $\cdots$ | .. | . |  | 479 |
| Mingan | . | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .. | . |  | 2,642 |
| St. Augustine | $\cdots$ | . | . | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ |  | 1,164 |
| Esquimaux Bay | . | . | . | $\cdots$ | . | -. |  | 2,048 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26,239 |

The amount written off for bad debts in Outfit 1574, appears to have been $\$ 13,000$, as compared with $\$ 3,326$ in Outfit 1875, and $\$ 2,200$ in Outfit 1876.

Indian Debts are not valued, nor have the amounts outstanding been reported.
Supplies from England.

| Outfit 1874 | . | . | .. | .. | . | .. | . | £21,819 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| " 1875 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 17,090 |
| " 1876 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 14,606 |

A further reduction in the amount of the shipments to the Montreal Department was made in Outfit 1877 in consequence of the unsatisfactory results of the trade of the Department. The amount was $£ 9,804$.

The Returns of the Department realized £js, 750 in Outfit 1874

| " | 13 | ' | 51,4-48 | " | 1875 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | " | " | 43,028 | " | 1876 |

These Retarns were valued in the Country Accounts as follows:-


Result of trade upon these valuations:-


In Outfit 1876, Abitibi District, included in the result of trade of the Montreal Department for the first time, showed an apparent profit of $\$ 3,550$, which should be allowed in comparing the result with that of Ontfit 1875. The valuations of that Outfit applied to the returns of Outfit 1876 , would have produced an apparent profit of $\$ 28,000$, as compared with an apparent loss of $\$ 11,746$ in Outfit 1875.

The actual result of trade, without taking into account, Interest and London charges, is as follows:-


## RESULT OF TRADE, MONTREAL DEPARIMENT, UPON THE COUNTRY VALUATIONS.



FORT WITLLATI:

| Inventory at the commencement of Outfit 1814 ,, close of Outfit 1876 .. .. | .. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,005 \\ 33,255 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies sent out in Outfit 1874 | .. | S41,932 |
| " " , 1875 | $\cdots$ | 34,553 |
| $\because, \quad, 1876$ | $\cdots$ | 30,693 |
| Goods traded in Outfit 18it .. .. | .. | \$42,555 |
| Sules | $\cdots$ | 24,000 |
| Leaving for Fur Trade | $\cdots$ |  |
| $\text { " } \quad, \quad, 1875$ | .. | S49,110 |
| Sales . | . | 19,000 |
| Leaving for Fur Trade | . |  |
| ., , , 187'í | .. | \$30,517 |
| Sules | $\cdots$ | 19,000 |
| Leaving for Fur Trade | .. |  |

Valuation of the principal Returns-Beaver, Fisher, Marten, and Seals-at 1876
prices:-

| Ontfit | 1874 |  | . |  | . | .. |  | £1,2i4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 1875 | . | .. |  | . | . |  | 1,508 |
| " | 1876 | $\cdots$ | .. | $\cdots$ | . | . |  | 1,158 |

Presuit if Tinade.

| Apparent | Profit | utfit | 1874 |  | . | .. |  | \$61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | Loss | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | 1875 | . | . | . |  | \$13,439 |
| " | " | , | 1876 | .. | . | . |  | 3,843 |

In consequence of the heavy loss sustained in Outfit i875, the Officer in charge at Montreal was directed to proceed to Fort William, and report fully upon the mode in which the business had been conducted, and the cause of the losses. He found it necessary, in order that each item of expenditure might be charged to its proper aecount, to make out a fresh set of books for the distriet, and to lay down rules for the guidance of Mr. John McIntyre, who only remained in charge of the District till his successor, Mr. Joserif Harbisty, arrived from Lake Huren. It was found that almost the entire lows had been sustained at the Post of Fort William, and that although profits had been made on sales of goods, wet these had been more than swept away by the expenses of the Past, losses from unpaid advances to Indians, and from bad debts. Mr. Bisserr added that the Indian advances were for supplies given during Outfit 1876, but that the outstanding debts. in respect of which allowances had been made in Outfits 1875 and 1876 , had been brought forward from previons Outfits.

There were some goods on hand at Fort William in excess of the probable requirements of the District.

Mr. Bisemrt's Report shows clearly that the business at Forl William Jistrict had been mismanaged by Mr. McIntriee, and that the losses arose chiefly from Indian debts.

Mr. Mardisty, now in charge of Fiort William District, received clear instructions to adhere to a system of trade that would prevent bad debts, and the Chief Commissioner added, "As I. feel satisfied he will carry out these instructions, another your will demonstrate whether it is dvisable to continuc business there or not."

The sales of goods were larger in Gutfit 1874 then in 1875 and 1876. on account of the Gorernment having in 1874 concluded a Treaty with the Indians inhabiting the country between Lake Supcrior and the Lake of the Woods. The cash paid to the Iudians was
expended by them in the purchase of goods, aud the annual payments to them are similarly employed. In Outfit 1876 the Profit on Merchandise Account amounted to $\$ 3,215$, while the losses from advances and the expenses alluded to by Mr. Bissert exceeded that amount by $\$ 3,700$, the apparent Loss stated in the District Accounts.

## NIPIGON.

| Inventory at commencement of Outit 1874 . . , close of Outfit 1876 .. .. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{S 2 9 , 4 e 5} \\ 28,599 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Supplies sent out in Ontfit 18 at | \$28,062 |
| , 1875 | 17,361 |
| " 1876 | 10,799 |
| Goods traded in Outfit 1874 | \$28,900 |
| Sales | 17,000 |
| Learing for Fur Trade |  |
| $\therefore \quad . \quad{ }^{1875} \text { Sales }$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,500 \\ 2,000 \end{array}$ |
| Leaving for Fur Trade |  |
| 1876 | \$15,226 |
| Sules | 2,000 |
| Leaving for Fur Trade |  |

Valuation of the principal Returns in Beaver, Fisher, Lyax, Marten, Mink, and Otters, at 1876 prices:-


Had the Returns of Outbit 1876 been assumed at the valuation of Outfit 1875, there Wuuld hare been on an apparent profit in the District of about $\$ 1,500$, upon a capital of about $\$ 40,000$, so that even at last year's prices the District would not yield 5 per cent. In this District also the Sales of goods to the Indians were larger in 1874, in consequence of the conclusion of the Treaty with the Government.

## 3ICEIPICOTON.




Valuation of the Principal Returns-Beaver, Fisher, Lynx, Marten, Minks, and Otters-at 1876 prices :-



At last year's prices the returns of the District would have amounted to $£ 5,626$, or $£ 900$ more than the valuation put upon them. This would have increased the apparent profit to $\$ 8,377$, or about 25 per cent. upon the capital of $\$ 30,000$ employed in the District.

## LAKE HURON.



Vuluation of the principal Returns--Beaver, Fisher, Marten, Mink, Musquash, and Otters-at 1876 prices:-

| Outtit 1874 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | $£ 3,966$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .1875 | .. | . | .. | . | .. | . | .. | . | 4,016 |
| " 1876 | .. | . | .. | . | .. | .. | .. | . | 4,375 |

## Result of Trade.



Mr. Bissert reported that the apparent profits of the District had declined mainly on account of additional expenses incurred in meeting greater opposition than in former years; but the result would have been equal to that of Outfit 1875, had the same valuation of the returns been adopted.

The capital employed in the District is about $\$ 30,000$, upon which the apparent profit of Outfit 1875 would be 15 per cent.

## TEMISCAMINGUE DISTRIGT.

| Trrentory at the commencement of | S29,913 |
| :---: | :---: |
| close of Ontfit 1876 | 32,083 |
| Supplies sent outin Outfit 1874 | \$27,777 |
| $\because 1875$ | 33,584 |
| 1876 | 25,040 |
| Goods traded in Outfit 1874 | \$28,599 |
| 1875 | 31,719 |
| , ", 1876 | 27,667 |

Valuation of the principal Returns-Beaver, Fisher, Lynx, Marten, Mink, Musquash, and Otters-at 1876 prices:-


## Result of Trade.



Accordingly it will be seen that thereis a difference of $\$ 8,900$ between the result of Trade in Outfits 1874 and 1876. The returns of last Ontit, valued at prices adopted in 1875, would hare amounted to $\$ 5,574$, or about $\$ 5,000$ more than the valuation in the Accounts. This addition would have resulted in an apparent profit of $\$ 2,500$, instead of a loss of about the same amount. But as the capital employed in the district is nearly $\$ 60,000$, the profit should not be Jess than $\$ 15,000$, or 25 per cent. upon the capital. The explanation given by the Officer in charge of the unsatisfactory state of the accounts of Temiscamingue district is that great effort has been required for some years back to keep out opposition, which would have materially affected the trade of the outlying posts from James' Bay in thia Southern Department.

Last year Mr. Bissetr explained that the Returns of the District showed a decrease as compared with those of Outfit 1874, but the fact that a larger amount of goods had been iraded in Outfit 1875 for the smaller quantity of Furs, shows that in consequence of opposition the prices bad been largely increased.


Valuation of the principal Returns-Beaver, Marta, Mink, Musquasli, and Ottersat 1876 prices:-


RESULT OF TRADE.


The total value of the Returns of Ottawa Biver District is $£ 222$ against $£ 800$, the value of goods traded. The Furs, valued at the prices of Outfit 1875, would have amounted to $£ 932$, leaving a margin of $£ 130$, which falls short br $£ 200$ of the amount paid in the District for wages.

In reporting upon the Accounts of Outfit 1875, Mr. Bissert wrote as follows:-
"The Ottawa River and Saguenay Districts are again unsatisfactorily showing deficits, and " except as frontior Posts holding a check on the intrision of outside traders witi the interior " Districts, would hardly be worth maintaining."

As regards Outfit 1876, he wrote:-
"The transactions at Ottawa River are principally for Cash, and the loss has been reduced "since last year from $\$ 3,600$ to about $\$ 800$, and the trade of this Station, might perhaps, with "advantage, be relinquished altogether were it not for the protection it gives to the Inland " Districts."

## ST. MAURICE DISTRICT.

| Inventory at commencoment of Outfit 1874 | . | \$1,341 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ,, close of Outfit 1876 | . | 4,731 |
| Supplies furnished in Outfit 1874 | - | \$10,973 |
| Drafts by Officer in charge | - | 14,995 |
| Supplies furnished in Outfit 1875 | - | \$15,371 |
| Draits by Officer in charge | . | 11,934 |
| Supplies furrished in Outfit 1876 | . | \$14,235 |
| Drafts by Officer in charge | $\cdots$ | 7,261 |
| Good:3, Sc., traded in Outfit 187.1. . | - | \$25,476 |
| Transfers | .. | 3,000 |
|  |  | \$21,476 |
| Goods, \&c., traded in Outfit 1875 | . | \$23,000 |
| Goods, \&e., traded in Outfit 1876 | $\cdots$ | 19,400 |

Valuation of the principal Returns-Beaver, Marten, Mink, Musquash, and Ottersat 1876 prices:-

| Outfit 187.4 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | $£ 3,103$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| . | 1875 | .. | . | .. | .. | . | .. | . | . |
| 3,649 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | 1876 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 2,893 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Result of Tlade.


The Retarns valued at last year's prices would have amountod to $£ 4,111$, being an increase of about $£ 800$ in the valuation in the accounts which wonld have covered the apparent loss.

## As regards St. Maurice District, Mr. Bissert wrote as follows :-

"Here e very marked decrease is seen in the amount of the Returns, the falling off being "about one-third, and the apparent loss consequently approaching 58,700 , as compared with a ${ }^{-}$profit of S1,500 in 1875. This decrease, arises, not so much from greater competition, but from "an actual dearth of Fur-bearing animals in that section of country situate between the Saguerary, "St. Maurice, and Gatineaun Rivers.

ST. AUGUSTINE.


Valuation of Returns in the Country Accounts :-


Result of Trade


In consequence of the heavy losses sustained in Outfits 1874 and 1875 at St. Augustine, the Post has been relinquished for the present. The Officer in charge appears to have made large advances to Indians, which remained unpaid.

## SAGUENAY DISTRICT.



Valuation ef the principal Returns-Beaver, Marten, Musquash and Otters-at 1S76 prices:-


Saguenay was referred to by Mr. Bissetr as being a Frontier District kept up for the protection of the trade in the Interior. In his last report he alluded to the falling off in the quantity of the returns of the District, in cousequence of the scarcity of fur-bearing animals. The retorns at last year's prices would have amounted to $£ 1,911$, being an increase of $£ 300$, or $\$ 1.500$ on their valuation in the accounts. Even with that addition there would be a loss on the trade of the District. It will be observed that both the supplies and the profits of the Officer in charge for funds, with which to purchase furs, have decreased in amount; and it may be remarked; that during Outfit, 1877, the purchase of furs has been still farther restricted in this and other districts of the Montreal Department.

## BERSAMIS AND MINGAN.

Bersamis and Mingan Posts, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, have hitherto been kept up at a loss, in view of the protection they have afforded to some of the valuable districts in the Southern Department, to which opposition traders have not yet penetrated.

## BERSAMIS.

| Inventory at commencement of Outit 18i4 |  | $\cdots$ | \$4,986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| close of Outfit 1876 | . | . | 3,301 |
| Supplies furnished in Outfit 1874 |  |  | \$11,011 |
| Drafts by Officer in charge | $\cdots$ | . | 4,673 |
| Supplies furnished in Ontfit 1875) | . | . | 57,667 |
| Drafts by Officer in charge | . | . | 4,980 |
| Supplies furnished in Outfit 1876 | . | . | \$13,005 |
| Drafts by Offieer in charge | . | . | 5,803 |
| Goods. Sc., traded in Outfit 1874 | . | . | \$12,710 |
| 1875 | . |  | 16,357 |
| 1876 | . |  | 19,957 |

Result or Trade.


The Returns, valued at the prices of Outfit 1875 , would have shown an improvement of about $\$ 3,000$. Mr. Bissert reported to the Ohief Commissioner that Mr. Gregor Burgess, the clerk in charge of Bersamis, had taken upon himself to make advances to the Indians in Oatfit 1876 far beyond the amount be was authorised to make, and when their hunts were received in the Spring, a large sum remained unpaid (about $\$ 8,100$ ). Had this amount not been advanced. the post would have cxhibited an apparent profit of $\$ 4,000$.

## In reply, the Chief Commissioner addressed Mr. Bissert as follows:-

"Such reckless mismanagement as that of Mr. Bungess is extremely reprehensible, and can "only be dealt with summarily. As soon, therefore, as you can provide a successor, you will remore "him from his charge, and inform him that his services are no longer required."

## He continued:-

"The system of outfitting Indians at the Gulf Posts must be abandoned, as from your own "showing it invariably results in loss, and you cannot trust to the judinnent of Post Managers; while "there is no possibility of an experienced commissioned officer being at all these stations when "adrances are made to the Indians, who could discriminate between the responsible and the "irresponsible ones."

## MINGAN.



Valuation of the Principal Returns-Beaver, Marten, Mink and Otters-at 1876 prices:-


Resclit of Trade.
Apparent Inss in Outfit 1874 .. . . . .. .. . $\$ 1,977$


As regards the unfortunate result of the trade at Mingan for Outtit 1875, Mr. Bissert renorted that it arose from " undue advunces, which were still unpaid:" and in reference to Outfit 1876 he wrote :-
"At Mingan, the result of the business is far from satisfactory, showing as it does an increased $\cdot$ loss from year to year for some time back, the amount this year being upwards of $\$ 7,000$. We " have no satisfactory explanation with regard to this. Mr. Diviel Mchines, Clerk, who has been "in charge of Notashyuan Post, at which a portion of the loss was made, has been dismissed from "the service, but it is erident that a further change, either in the management or of the business, " is very necessury."

Upon buth occasions a considerable amount of correspondence took place with the Chief Commissioner, who issued to Postmasters the following instructions:-
"That grods to Settlers or Planters must only be sold for cash, or furs, or othor produce, "which can be sold at Quebec or Montreal at a profit, and advances to lndians can only be given "to such hunters as have given in ali their furs to the Company, and are able as well as willing to "pay their debts."

He considered that it woald not be prudenit to withdraw from these Posts; bat their expenses would be reduced to the lowest limits.

## ESQUIMIADX BAY DISTRICT.

| Inventory at commencement of $O$ | \$33,672 |
| :---: | :---: |
| close of Outfit 1876 | 34,013 |
| Supplies furnished in Outfit 1874 | \$34,088 |
| Outfit 1875 | 26,418 |
| , Outfit 1876 | 14,023 |
| Goods traded in Outit 1874 | \$34,301 |
| "., Ontit 1875 | - 23,140 |
| , , Outfit 1876 | 29,900 |

Valuation of the Principal Returns of White and Silver Furs, Marten, Otters, and Salmon, at 1876 prices-



The Officer in charge explains that had the returns of Outfit 1876 been valued at the prices of Oatfit 1875, the apparent profit of the District would have been fully $\$ 7,000$. This would be equal to about 12 per cent. gross profit on the capital employed. This District has been affected not only by the low prices of Furs, but by the decline in the price of Salted Salmon, from 75 s . to 57 s . per tierce, and the low price of Preserved Salmon, namely: $7 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb ., as compared with 10 d . and 1 l . in former years. Chief-Trader Coxwoury, who has since retired from the Service, was responsible for the management of the District in Outfit 1874, and was unable to explain the cause of the unsatisfactory result of trade for that year. The District has since been well managed and with a return of average good prices, would most probably show a fair percentage of profit on the Capital employed.

## UNGAVA.



```
Valuation of the principal Returns of Silver and White Foxes, Marten, Deerskin, and Salted Salmon, at 1876 prices :-
```



Result of Trade.
Apparent Profit in Outfit 1874 .. .. .. .. .. $\$ 1,525$

| $"$ | $n$ | 1875 | .. | . | .. | .. | .. | 7,641 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $"$ | $n$ | 1876 | .. | .. | . | . | . | 13,757 |

The Capital employed in the District where there is no competition amounts to $\$ 26,000$, and the return for last year is therefore .50 per cent. The collection of White Foxes at Ungara during Outifit 1876 exceeded that of any former year.

## MONTREAL AGENGY OR DEPOT.



The wages of clerks and servants emplored at Montreal are charged to the Agency, together with rent. of offices, and other expenses.

Sales of goods at Montreal are credited in the account as follows:-


The final resuli is:-


## Gexeral Charges.

This account is charged with travelling expenses of Offcers in visiting losts, and petty disbursements. In the three Outfits, the charges were $\$ 1,184, \$ 1,038$, and $\$ 1,508$ respectively.

## SANDWICH BAY DISTRICT-LABRADOR COAST.

In 1874 the Company purchased the buildings, plant, and stock of Messrs. A. B. Hunt \& Co., who had for many years carried on a profitable business in this district. The Company also engaged Messrs. Hunt's manager, Mr. S. Dawe, to superintend the business, which is somewhat different from that conducted at Esquimaux Bay.

Mr. Dawe's accounts are completed in London after the returns of the District are sold. The Returns consist of Salmun (salted and preserved), and cod fish.

In Outit 1874, the supplies of goods from. England and Montreal amounted to $£ 3,058$. to which the freight of churtered schooners, deties, ano other charges of considerable amount, were added. The Returns amounted to $£ 5,551$, and the Outfit produced a profit of $£ 160$. The Capital at the close of that Outfit amounted to $£ 6,402$.

In Outit 1875, the Sinpplies amounted to $£ 3,900$; and the Returns $£ 7,150$; while the trade resulted in a loss of $£ 915$.

In Outfit 1876, the Supplies amounted to $£ 4,800$, and a much larger outlay was incurred at St. John's than in 1874 and 1870 , in consequence of an increase in the quantity of cod-fish and the payment of the fishermen by shares. The Returns of Outfit 1876 realised. $£ 11,560$, and the accounts of the District exhibited a loss of $£ 1,700$.

These accounts have been analysed, and the loss has been found to arise from the transactions in salmon. Notwithstanding the depressed state of the market for cod fish, that branch of the business shows a profit of $£ 90$ for Outfit 1876, while the loss on salmon amounts to $£ 1,793$.

In consequence of the result of trade during the past two Outfits, Mr. Dawe has been instructed to close an establishment at Earl Island, where other traders had been offering higher rates for salmon than the London market prices; and although his limits for purchases of salmon were reduced last season for the operations of Outfit 1877, further reductions have been decided upon for the current season, in order, if possible, to secure a profit on the business.

At the close of Outfit 1876, the Capital employed in the business amounted to $£ 21,000$, while the Returns were realized during the same season as that in which the goods were supplied.

A considerable reduction is now being made in the amount of Capital employed at Sandwich Bay.

## WESTERN DEPARTMENT.

The following is an abstract of the Inventories of the Western Department, at the close of Outits 1874, 1875, and 1876:-

|  |  |  | Outfit 1874. | Outit 1875. | Outfit 1876. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goods |  | .. | $£ 60,036$ | £80,337 | £88,836 |
| Live Stock |  | .- | 2,729 | 2,960 | 2,662 |
| Farm Produce | - | - | 365 | 330 | 382 |
| Transport Fquipments | . | . | 70 | 72 | 59 |
| Buildings and Improrements | . | . | $\cdots$ | 417 | 371 |
| Steamers | .- | $\cdots$ | 12,206 | 9,928 | 10,660 |
| Cash |  | $\cdots$ | 3,897 | 6,116 | 527 |
| Outstanding Debts |  | - | 17,480 | 18,687 | 17,652 |
|  |  |  | £96,793 | £118,848 | £121,149 |
| Outstanding Liabilities | $\cdots$ | -• | 3,526 | 1,326 | 2,123 |
|  |  |  | £93,266 | £117,522 | £119,016 |

With regard to the Debts, it is to be observed that, of the Outstanding Balances, the sum of $\pm 9,500$ appears under the head of Customers' Account at Victoria, and $£ 3,000$ at Cariboo District. In Outfit 1876, the amount written off in respect of Bad Debts at Tictoria appears to be $\$ 3,933$, against $\$ 746$ in $187 \pi$, and $\$ 841$ in 1874 . At the other Posts, abou Sl,000 appear to have been written off for Bad Debts in Outfit 1876.

The supplies from England have been as follows:-


The shipments to the Western Department, like those of other Departments, were largely curtailed in Outfit 1877, with the view of reducing the stocks of goods on hand at the different pasts and the capitai employed in the Fur Trade. The amount sent for Outfit 187 was $£ 37,109.14 \mathrm{~s}$.

A portion of the supplies and provisions required for the Trade of the Western Department is purchased in California and in Sandwich Islands, and paid for out of the cash in hand at Victoria arising from sales of goods.

Remittances in Bills of Exchange have been made on account of the Western Department, as follows :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In Outfit } 1874 \text {.. : . . . .. } \pm 17,513 \\
& \text {," } 1875 \text {.. .. .. .. . 20,395 } \\
& \text { " } 1876 \text {.. .. .. .. }{ }^{\circ} 20,839
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fur Returns of the Department have realised the following amounts :-


At the valuations adopted in Outfit 1874; the Returns would have been-


In the Country Accounts they are valued as follows:-


The trade of the Western Department for the last Three years has produced the following result on these valuations, namely :-

| Outfit 1874, an apparent Profit of | .. | $\$ 101,915=$ | $£ 20,383$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| ,$" 1875$ | $"$, | $\ldots$ | $47,678=$ |
| $", 1876$ | $"$ | $\ldots$ | $6,407=$ |

The actual results of the trade, without taking into account Interest and London charges, are as follows :-


The following is a Statement of the Profit and Loss Account of the Western Department, as made up at Victoria, upon the Country valuations:-


## Mrrceandize Account.

This Account is charged with the whole of the Supplies furnished to the Department each Outfit, together with Customs duties and charges, and is credited with the amounts supplied to the various Districts.


Mr. Charles reported that the decline of $\$ 26,000$ in the amount of the Sales in 1876, as compared with those of the previous year, arose from the greater difficulty of effecting Sales, and the consequent necessity of reducing the prices of goods, and thereby diminishing the margin of profit.

## Result of Trade.

| Outfit 1874, | Profit | .. | .. | .. | $\$ 23,285$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $"$ | 1875, | , | . | .. | . |
| $"$ | 1876, | ., | . | .. | . |

## Furs Purchased at Victoria for Cash.

These purchases are made at prices which are regulated from time to time by advices from London.

The following is the result upon the Valuation of the Returns of the respective Outfits:-

| Out | 87.4, |  | Protit |  | . |  | \$23,285 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 1875 | $\because$ | Loss | " | . |  | 4,572 |
| , | 1876 | " | Profit | , | . |  | 2,908 |

By the tariff of Outfit 1875, the apparent profit of Outit 1876 would have been $\$ 9.460$.

## Returns of Trade.

The whole of the Returns of the Department are debited to this Account at their Country Valuation, together with expenses at Victoria and freight to London. The valuation of the Recurns is placed to the credit of the Account, und the balance, representing expenses and freight, forms an annual charge.


In Outfit 1876 there was more Oil than in 1875 ; consequently, the charge for Casks and Freight was heavier. For Casks alone there was an additional $\$ 1,000$ in Ouffit 187 (i.

## VICTORIA DEPOT.

This Acconnt is charged with the expenses of insuring the goods remaining on hand at Victoria against fire, the wages of servants employed in connection with the Goods Depôts at Victoria and Esquimalt, the Inventories of which appear under the head of Merchandize.


## Storage and Wharfage.

The Depôt expenses are reduced by making use of the Victoria Wharf and Warehouse at Esquimalt for landing and storing goods belonging to the Government and other parties, from whom dues and rents are collected.

The Profit on Storage Account in Outfit 1874 was $\$ 3,474$

| $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | 1875 | , | 4.789 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $"$ | $"$ | $"$ | 1876 | , | 3,496 |

## General Charges.

The items in this Account are composed of the charges incurred by Officers in visiting Posts, the cost of Advertisements, Telegrams, \&e.

| The Charges in Outfit 1874 were .. | .. | .. | $\$ 3,425$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| ,. | 1875 | " | . | .. | . | 2,573 |
| ". | 1876 | ", | .. | .. | .. | 3,617 |

## Ficroria Wharf and Warehouse and Esqumalt Warehouse.

The valuations of these buildings are annually reduced by 20 per cent. of their original cost, and the chrrges in the Accounts are the same each Outfit.

The valuation of the Vistoria Wharf and Warehouse at the close of Outfit 1876 was. . £2,739 111 Esquimalt. . . .. .. .. .. .. .. .. 1,158 1710

## Repairs and Improvements.

The cost of New Ruildings and improrements can only be placed on Inventory with the authority of the Board, and, of course. When not so valued the outlay forms a charge on the Trade.


This increase of $\$ 3,000$ appears to have been principally expended at Vancouvers Island for the improvement of the wharses at Victoria and Esquimalt which required new piles and iron work.

FORT RUPERT.




Mr. Charles reported in 1875, that the trade at this Post had been economically managed, but that the effects of opposition from other Fur buyers had been severely felt; and Le gave the same reasons for the falling off in the apparent Profit of Outit 1876.

Rupert is supplied by the Steamer "Otter," which calls for its return on her way back to Victoria.

## COMOX.



The amount of Furs traded bas declined in nearly the same proportion as the goods supplied. It will be seen that the Sales of Outfit 1876 are only $\$ \$ 00$ less than those of 1874 , but the result of trade shows a much greater difference.


This unfavourable result is nu dnubt due to competition, as Mr: Charies, in his Report on the Western Department Acconnts for Outfit 1876, stated that the Company's affairs at Comox were being graduully wound up, and that Post would be closed before the end of $187 \%$.

## COAST POSTS.

The Coast Posts-Fort Simpson, Skeena; Bella Coola, Bella Bella, Masset, as well as Stekine-are supplied from Victoria by the Steamer "Otter," and during the past two Outfits their trade has produced a most unsatisfactory result, in consequence of opposition and m.smanagement on the part of some of the clerks and servants of the Company.

FORT SIMPSON.


Result of Tradr.

| Outit | 1874, | ar | Profit | .. | . |  | . |  | \$2,853 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ,, | 1875, | , | Loss | . | . | . |  |  | 4, $\mathbf{4} 68$ |
| " | 1876, | " | " | - | . |  |  |  | 7,005 |

Mr. Charles stated that this unfortunate result was due to keen competition, and to the incapacity, unsteadiness, and inismanagement of the Postmasters who were in charge at Fort Simpson and Skeena River. These men were discbarged from the service.

He stated further-" The unsatisfastory results at Fort Simpson and Skeena have been " to us a source of great anxiety, from the difficulty of finding out what was the real cause of " the losses there.
" Last year the late Mr. Willians was sent up to inspect the Posts, as the best Officer " who could be detailed for that duty. Although ordered to reduce the prices paid for Furs, "I have strong grounds for believing that the Postmasters at Fort Simpson and Skeena.
"directly Mr. Williams left the Coast on his return, immediately resumed their extravagant "prices, and also trusted out quantities of trade goods to the Inoians.
"In returning this spring to Fort Simpson, I instracted the deceased Mr. Williams to "make a scheme of the recepts and disbursements, which he did; showing a deficiencr of " goods, from the lst of January to the 2 2ad of May, of about $\$ 1,100$. Mr. Morsios on " being questioned, could give no explanation as to what had become of the goods further " than that they had been paid to Indians, and credited out. On his giving security for the "payment of the missing goods, Mr. iomison was discharged. Mr. FEAK, at Skeena, was also " got rid of, as it transpired he was in the habit of getting intoricated.

Mr. FActor MCEAT is now resident at Fort Simpson, and supervises the trade on " the coast north of Fort Rupert, so that $I$ hope, if it is possible, he will be able to restore the " trade, or at all events prevent any further losses from carelessness or unsteadiness."

## SKEENA.

| Inventory at commencement of Outfit 1874 | \$4,525 |
| :---: | :---: |
| close of Outfit 1876 | 2,961 |
| Supplies furnished in Outfit 1874.. | 13,247 |
| , " 187 | 23,103 |
| 1876 | 13,577 |
| Goods traded in Outfit 1874 | \$16,546 |
| Sales | 1,305 |
| Leaving for Fur trade. . | \$15,241 |
| Goods traded in Outfit 1875 | S20,118 |
| Sales | 1,207 |
| Leaving for Fur trade. . | \$18,911 |
| " $\quad$, 1875 | \$19,270 |
| Sales | 2,199 |
| Leaving for Fur trade. . | \$17,071 |
| Valuation of the Returns in Conntry Accounts, Outfit 1874 | S11,535 |
| 1875 | 10,417 |
| - 1876 | 7,052 |

Restlt of Trade.

| Apparent Loss, | Outfit | 1874 | . | .. | . | . | . | $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | " | 1875 | - | $\cdots$ | .. | . | .- | 5,707 |
| " | " | 1876 | - | - | . | -• | . | 8,308 |

In reporting this result and the mismanagement of the Officer in Charge, Mr. Charlas states that the Post at Skeena had been closed, and the goods on hand there transferred to Fort Simpson.

BELLA COOLA.




## Result of Trade.

| Outfit | 874, | ar | rofit | . |  |  | . |  | \$1.986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 1875, | " | Loss | . |  | .. | . | .. | 132 |
| " | 1876, | " | " | . - | .. | - | - |  | 1,189 |

Mr. Cenarles attributes the loss in Outfit 1876 to inclement weather, which interfered with seal fishing; 'bat he fails to notice that the Returns include a larger quantity of Fur Seals, which, if valued at the prices of last year would have changed the result of trade to a profit of $\$ 2,400$.

## STEKINE DISIRICT.




In Stekine District only a few Furs are traded.

The following is the result of trade :-


The Capital employed in Stekine, a Mining District, had greatly increased during the last few years. 'In Oatfit 1876 , it amounted to nearly $\$ 60,000$, including $\$ 3,987$ for Outstanding Debts. Upon the suggestion of the Ohief Commissioner it was decided that the Company should withdraw from the business, which was attended with risks, and required a larger amount of Capital than the circumstances warranted.

FORT LANGLEY-FRASER RIVER.


Resulit of Trade.

| Outfit 1874, apparent Profit | . | . | .. | . | .. | $\$ 821$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $" 1875$, | $"$ | . | .. | .. | . | . | 385 |
| $" .1876$, | $"$ | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | 475 |

Mr. Chamies alludes to the slight improvement in the trade of Langley; and states that a better result is anticipated for next year.

## FORT HOPE.



Result of Trade.

| Outfit 1874 apparent Profit | . | .. | . | .. | . | $\$ 572$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $" 1875$ | $"$ | . | .. | . | .. | . | 876 |
| $" 1876$ | $"$ | . | .. | . | .. | .. | 772 |

Fort Hope and Fort Yale are principally kept up for the facilities which they afford in transporting supplies to interior Districts.

## FORT YALE.



Valuation Returns in Country Accounts:-


## Rescits of Trade.

| Outfit 1874, apparent Profit | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | $\$ 1,494$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\# 1875$, | $n$ | $\cdots$ | .. | . | .. | .. | 2,703 |
| $\#$ 1876, | $n$ | $\ddots$ | .. | .. | .. | .. | 3,218 |

It will be observed that in this District, and at Cariboo, the Sales and Transfers exceed the amount of goods supplied. This arises from the employment of the proceeds of the sale of goods in purchasing others, and in providing for the wants of other Districts.

## THOMPSON'S RLVER DISTRICT.



Few Furs are traded in this District, where Sales are effected to parties proceeding to the Mines.

## Result of Trade.

| Outfit 1874, apparent Profit | .. | .. | .. | . | .. | $\$ 3,940$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $" 1875$, | $"$ | . | .. | .. | . | .. | 3,904 |
| $" 1876$, | $"$ | .. | .. | .. | . | .. | 3,209 |

Capital employed about $\$ 40,000$, including $\$ 7,426$ for outstanding debts. Average return $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

## CaRIBOO MINING DISTRICT.




## Result of Trade.



Capital employed $\$ 130,000$, including $\$ 1 \mathbf{n}, 339$ for outstanding debts.
Return about 10 per cent.

## NEW CALEDONIA.

| Inventory at commencement of Outfit 1874 |  |  | . | \$16,007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\therefore$ : at close of Outfit'1876 | .. . |  | . | 33,278 |
|  | Increase | . | . | \$17,000 |
| Supplies furnished, Outfit 1874 | .. .- |  |  | \$34,706 |
| ", " • 1875 | .. .. | . | . | 33,791 |
| ", "1876 | $\cdots \quad$. | . | . | 39,476 |
| Goods traded, Outfit 1874.. | .. .- | $\cdots$ |  | \$33,540 |
| ", $\quad$, 1875. | - . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | . | $\cdots$ | 40,777 |
| . , , 1876.. | .. .. | - |  | 34,576 |
| Taluation of Returns in Country Accounts, Outfit 1874.. |  |  |  | \$47,615 |
| " | " |  |  | 44,838 |
| ,. ,", | , |  |  | 30,827 |

Valued by the tariff of Outfit 1875, the Returns of 1876 would have amounted to $\$ 38,013$, and the District would then have appeared with an apparent Profit of $\$ 2,091$.

Valuation of the principal Returns, viz:-


The Accounts show the following result of trade :-


There is thus a difference of $\$ 18,000$ between the result of Outfit 1864 and that of Outfit $1876, \$ 8,000$ of which is due to the reduced valuation of the Returns.
+
It appars that during the last two years, the District has suffered from a great scarcitr, of Salmon, and Provisions, but in consequence of the unsatisfactory result of the Accounts of the last lto years, Mr. Charies reports that certain changes in the manugement of the District would le carried into effect as soon as the arrangements could be made.


The reduction in the amount of Profits for Outfit 1876 was owing to the fact that the vessel was laid up at Victoria for two months while being repaired.

| Steamer "Otter." |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfit 1874 |  | Profit | \$4,523 |
| " 1875 | -. $\quad$. | " | 678 |
| .. 1876 | . $\quad$. | Loss | 352 |

The steamer is employed in taking the goods and returns to and from the Coast Posts, and in carrying goods and passengers to the Cassiar or Stekine Mines. But on this route there have been opposition steamers during the past two years, and hence the profits of the carrying trade have been reduced.

## SHIPS' ACCOUNTS.

London Expenses and Bulances of Ships' Accounts:-

| Ontfit 1874 | .. | . | . | $\leq 19,800$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\# 1875$ | .. | . | . | 19,660 |
| $\#$ | 1876 | .. | . | .. |
|  | 17,500 |  |  |  |

In Outfit 1876 the charge of $£ 2,000$ for the Credit of the Fur Trade Fire Insurance Fund was omitted, there being no profits of the Outit against which to charge it. The Fund in question, amounting to $£ 11,792$, is transferred to Outfit $18 \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ in the Fur Trade Accounts.

As regards the Ships Accounts, it should be explained that the cost of permanent repairs is added to their valuations, from which deductions are made at the rate of 15 per cent. for the steamer "Labrador," and $7 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent for the ships. 'These deductions are made each Outfit in the Ships' Accounts. The freights earned br the Vancouver's Island ships, including freight on the Company's shipments and returns, are placed to their credit, and the balances shown in the annexed Statement represent the actual results of their Accounts.

The Hudson's Bay ships, in Outfits 1875 and 1876 , received credit for freight on the Company's outward shipments, and the balances of their Accounts appeared under the head of Charges in the London Books. Arrungements have been made by which the Northern and Southern Departments are to be charged with freight on their Returns sent home by way of Hudson's Bay, as well as on the outward shipments. The balances of the Ships Accounts in the I.ondon Books will consequently be reducel.

The expenses of the steamer "Labrador," on her voyage to the Coast and Ungava and back to London, have hitherto been charged to the Montreal Department. The ampunt varies according to the time during which the steamer is employed.

| In Outfit | 187.4 the charge amounted to | $£ 2.832$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .. | 1875 | ,$"$ | 3.279 |
| ., | 1876 | .. | 2.479 |

The result of the Steamer's sealing voyage to Greenland has this year been set out separately in the Accounts published to the Shareholders. In former years the profit or loss on the venture was dealt with as affecting the balances of the Ships' Accounts.

The loss of nearly $£ 1,900$ on the "Prince Rupert" in Outfit 1875, arose from additional expenses incurred by the the long detention of the vessel in London and San Francisco, and by the low rate of freight obtained on her homeward voyage.

SHIPS' ACCOUNTS.
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## APPENDIX I.

Statement of Bills remitted from Departments.


## APPENDIX II.

## Bills drawn by Departments.




[^0]:    "It is needless for me to state that with a powerfal opposition already established is
    "Peace River and the certainty of other traders finding their way all over the District, it
    " behores us to be liberalls provided with supplies to meet all the requirements of the trade.
    "in fact it is only by this means that we can hope to prevent opposition from becoming a
    " profitable institution in the Northern Distrits."

[^1]:    "Shoal River and Manitoba House contributed the greater part of the Furs, and not" withstanding the more than usual opposition at the former place, we got a large share of the
    " trade, and if the Fur tariff was higher both these Posts would show a fair profit. As it is, we
    " have paid more for most Furs than what we get credit for."

