





# THE PEOPLE'S PASTIMES.

## WHAT IS GOING ON IN SPORTING CIRCLES THE WORLD OVER.

### Weekly Match of the Toronto Drought Club - Meeting at the Club - George Beats the Ten-Mile Record.

Polo was recently played on bicycles at Washington.

The police of Paris, France, have closed all betting agencies in that city.

Some interesting practice work can be seen at Gates' race-course any fair day.

The Toronto Hunt will have its first run of the season on Good Friday afternoon.

The Greenwood driving park association of Toronto will hold a summer meeting in July.

Trickett and Beach are to row on the Parramatta river, Australia, April 12, for a purse of \$2000.

At Cambridge university sports, England, W. E. Pollock ran 120 yards, under ten hurdles, in 16 1/2 s.

Sam Day, the English long distance ped, has arrived at New York. He may take part in the coming six day affair.

In a high jump match at Botany, Australia, J. W. Byrne won \$1000 by jumping over a bar 5 ft. 3 in. high.

The Cockburn-Wayner match for \$100 a side, at 35 birds, and 21 years, was held at Rampton on April 13.

The value of the Lincolnshire handicap, won by Tomans, was \$1734. The time was 1:43. The Prince of Wales witnessed the race.

Placed and Wallace Ross are to stay some time training on the Harlem, making the Metropolitan rowing club's premier their headquarters.

Philadelphia and Baltimore each have three professional ball men; New York, Chicago, Boston, Washington, Cincinnati and St. Louis two each.

Each week brings out a new sculler in Australia. T. Clifford recently defeated H. Pearce there, rowing 3 miles 330 yards straightaway in 22 m.

The Aynler cricket club has been re-organized with Dr. Williams as president, Dr. Clark, vice-president; W. H. Draper, captain, and T. G. McIntyre, secretary.

Dan O'Shea, of London, Ont., secured five first prizes with his dog at the Cleveland bench show, Dr. Niven several, and Mr. Richard Gibson of Delaware three with his fox terriers.

The number of championship baseball games scheduled to be played by the five leading professional associations in the States is 2608, an average of 100 games per week during the playing season.

Mr. G. F. Galt, so long captain and stroke of the Argonauts, will probably bring down a four from the Wimpole rowing club with his crew in the coming Canadian association's regatta in this city.

In a ten-horse starting match at St. John, N. B., on April 11, 17 horses, 117 miles 17 laps; Normal Bunch 114 miles 8 laps. There were seven starters. Brown started the last two miles in 9 minutes 25 seconds.

John Ward, who died the other day, was the oldest pug in England, having been born on Christmas day, 1800. He fought his first fight in 1816, was champion of England in 1821. Of twenty-three prize-fights in which he figured he lost only two.

The English Maple Leaf baseball directors this season are: President, George Sleeman; vice-president, Thomas Goltsie; secretary-treasurer, John Henderson; W. S. Smith, and W. D. Shattuck, managing directors; W. H. Cutton and A. Burrows, auditors.

W. G. George, the English amateur champion, ran ten miles at Little Bridge on Monday last in 51 minutes 49 seconds, all previous records. Poor old Deerfoot, whose record of 51.20 has stood unequalled since April 3, 1863, has at last had his lustre dimmed. George's previous record was 52.33.

A meeting of the Ontario branch of the Royal Canadian curling club was held at the W. H. house, where the majority of the clubs in the province were represented. Some slight changes were made in the by-laws and rules. It was decided to postpone the election of officers until the meeting in October.

The following will compose the team of the Maple Leaf lacrosse club of Parkdale to play against the Elm of Oshawa, Friday morning on the exhibition grounds: J. Goodall, J. Draper, W. Wanless, W. Westwood, N. Kildall, J. Guthrie, J. Duffy, W. Robinson, W. Perry, J. Duffy, A. Gornley, M. Sheehan, Spare men, E. Hendrick, W. Wright.

The Hamilton cricket club has elected the following officers: Captain, Captain Howard; president, Thos. Renwick; vice-presidents, John McDonald, Wm. Harder, J. S. Dennis, jr., committee, J. W. Anderson, G. A. Allan, A. H. Buchanan, Thos. Carman, W. S. Grant, J. A. Healy, J. McKenzie, Geo. Tappan, John Dwyer, F. L. Patton, G. H. R. Wainwright, F. Wall, E. W. H. VanAllen, A. B. Ross, hon. treasurer, F. Movic, hon. secretary, Mr. G. F. Galt, captain of the club, formerly stroke of the Argonauts of this city, said at the annual meeting that the membership of the club was larger than that of any other rowing club in the domain, and that they had the finest crew in the world.

The Kingston racing association have leased the Cataract driving park, and will immediately build a large grand stand and a double decked stand for the judges and press. The track will be placed in good condition at once. The next summer meeting will be held June 30, July 1, and 2. The officers of the association are: President, C. F. Gildersleeve; vice-president, F. A. Folger; secretary-treasurer, T. Wilson; directors, M. Conroy, Ald. J. Carson, with the above officers.

The Winnipeg rowing club has elected the following officers: Captain, Captain Howard; president, Thos. Renwick; vice-presidents, John McDonald, Wm. Harder, J. S. Dennis, jr., committee, J. W. Anderson, G. A. Allan, A. H. Buchanan, Thos. Carman, W. S. Grant, J. A. Healy, J. McKenzie, Geo. Tappan, John Dwyer, F. L. Patton, G. H. R. Wainwright, F. Wall, E. W. H. VanAllen, A. B. Ross, hon. treasurer, F. Movic, hon. secretary, Mr. G. F. Galt, captain of the club, formerly stroke of the Argonauts of this city, said at the annual meeting that the membership of the club was larger than that of any other rowing club in the domain, and that they had the finest crew in the world.

The Toronto Drought Club. A club match was played last Friday in the grounds of the Toronto drought club between the following sides:

|           |   |          |   |
|-----------|---|----------|---|
| Clark     | 1 | McKenzie | 0 |
| Basille   | 1 | Lacey    | 0 |
| Halloran  | 1 | Foster   | 0 |
| Prentice  | 1 | Gray     | 0 |
| Schuchman | 1 | Gray     | 0 |
| Mally     | 1 | Gray     | 0 |

Totals..... 6..... 0  
Messrs. Clark and McKenzie are competing for the club medal and have 165 games yet to play.

As many members of the club as possible will compete at once. The first race will be on Friday. Yesterday a message was received from Mr. R. W. City stating that ten or more medals would be provided for twelve players.

# Married by Telephone.

## From the Galveston News.

Quite a novel wedding took place in Westchester, Texas, last night. Mr. J. M. Hudson and Mrs. Leave of Dallas came to be married, and, owing to the fact that the clerk was dead and his successor had not been appointed, they could not get any license. They telephoned to the clerk of Dallas into the country and had him issue them a license; but still they were in a dilemma. They could not get it from their minister twenty-four hours, so they rushed up Judge Taylor, and were married by telephone, each holding a telephone to their ear until the solemn words "man and wife" were pronounced.

### Another Life Saved.

About two years ago a prominent citizen of Chicago was told by his physicians that he must die. They said his system was so debilitated that there was nothing left to do. He made up his mind to try a "new departure." He got some of Dr. Pierce's "Golden Medical Discovery" and took it according to directions. He began to improve at once. He kept up the treatment for some months, and, to-day, a well man. He says the "Discovery" saved his life.

Mamma—Who is that young man you were walking with to-day? Edith—Lord Fitzmoodle. He is making a tour of America. Mamma—Do you know anything about him? Edith—He has a magnificent estate in England, and lives like a prince. Mamma—Lives like a prince, does he? Edith—Don't recognize him again. I had no idea he was so bad as that.

—The Star eyes are unexcelled for cheapness and fast colors.

Mr. and Mrs. Buntin were going out to walk. "Wait," said Mrs. B., "until I go back and get my umbrella." "It isn't going to rain, is it?" asked Mr. B. "Not that I know of." "Then what do you want with an umbrella?" "Oh, I always like to have something along with me when I'm walking." Mr. B. looked incredulous, but didn't say any explanations.

—They say love laughs at locksmiths, and we all know that a million combination locks won't keep the average small boy in the house if there happens to be a circus in town or a favorable opportunity open for fixing a tin pot attachment to the States is 2608, an average of 100 games per week during the playing season.

Mr. G. F. Galt, so long captain and stroke of the Argonauts, will probably bring down a four from the Wimpole rowing club with his crew in the coming Canadian association's regatta in this city.

In a ten-horse starting match at St. John, N. B., on April 11, 17 horses, 117 miles 17 laps; Normal Bunch 114 miles 8 laps. There were seven starters. Brown started the last two miles in 9 minutes 25 seconds.

John Ward, who died the other day, was the oldest pug in England, having been born on Christmas day, 1800. He fought his first fight in 1816, was champion of England in 1821. Of twenty-three prize-fights in which he figured he lost only two.

The English Maple Leaf baseball directors this season are: President, George Sleeman; vice-president, Thomas Goltsie; secretary-treasurer, John Henderson; W. S. Smith, and W. D. Shattuck, managing directors; W. H. Cutton and A. Burrows, auditors.

W. G. George, the English amateur champion, ran ten miles at Little Bridge on Monday last in 51 minutes 49 seconds, all previous records. Poor old Deerfoot, whose record of 51.20 has stood unequalled since April 3, 1863, has at last had his lustre dimmed. George's previous record was 52.33.

A meeting of the Ontario branch of the Royal Canadian curling club was held at the W. H. house, where the majority of the clubs in the province were represented. Some slight changes were made in the by-laws and rules. It was decided to postpone the election of officers until the meeting in October.

The following will compose the team of the Maple Leaf lacrosse club of Parkdale to play against the Elm of Oshawa, Friday morning on the exhibition grounds: J. Goodall, J. Draper, W. Wanless, W. Westwood, N. Kildall, J. Guthrie, J. Duffy, W. Robinson, W. Perry, J. Duffy, A. Gornley, M. Sheehan, Spare men, E. Hendrick, W. Wright.

The Hamilton cricket club has elected the following officers: Captain, Captain Howard; president, Thos. Renwick; vice-presidents, John McDonald, Wm. Harder, J. S. Dennis, jr., committee, J. W. Anderson, G. A. Allan, A. H. Buchanan, Thos. Carman, W. S. Grant, J. A. Healy, J. McKenzie, Geo. Tappan, John Dwyer, F. L. Patton, G. H. R. Wainwright, F. Wall, E. W. H. VanAllen, A. B. Ross, hon. treasurer, F. Movic, hon. secretary, Mr. G. F. Galt, captain of the club, formerly stroke of the Argonauts of this city, said at the annual meeting that the membership of the club was larger than that of any other rowing club in the domain, and that they had the finest crew in the world.

The Kingston racing association have leased the Cataract driving park, and will immediately build a large grand stand and a double decked stand for the judges and press. The track will be placed in good condition at once. The next summer meeting will be held June 30, July 1, and 2. The officers of the association are: President, C. F. Gildersleeve; vice-president, F. A. Folger; secretary-treasurer, T. Wilson; directors, M. Conroy, Ald. J. Carson, with the above officers.

The Winnipeg rowing club has elected the following officers: Captain, Captain Howard; president, Thos. Renwick; vice-presidents, John McDonald, Wm. Harder, J. S. Dennis, jr., committee, J. W. Anderson, G. A. Allan, A. H. Buchanan, Thos. Carman, W. S. Grant, J. A. Healy, J. McKenzie, Geo. Tappan, John Dwyer, F. L. Patton, G. H. R. Wainwright, F. Wall, E. W. H. VanAllen, A. B. Ross, hon. treasurer, F. Movic, hon. secretary, Mr. G. F. Galt, captain of the club, formerly stroke of the Argonauts of this city, said at the annual meeting that the membership of the club was larger than that of any other rowing club in the domain, and that they had the finest crew in the world.

The Toronto Drought Club. A club match was played last Friday in the grounds of the Toronto drought club between the following sides:

|           |   |          |   |
|-----------|---|----------|---|
| Clark     | 1 | McKenzie | 0 |
| Basille   | 1 | Lacey    | 0 |
| Halloran  | 1 | Foster   | 0 |
| Prentice  | 1 | Gray     | 0 |
| Schuchman | 1 | Gray     | 0 |
| Mally     | 1 | Gray     | 0 |

Totals..... 6..... 0  
Messrs. Clark and McKenzie are competing for the club medal and have 165 games yet to play.

As many members of the club as possible will compete at once. The first race will be on Friday. Yesterday a message was received from Mr. R. W. City stating that ten or more medals would be provided for twelve players.

# QUEBEC IN FRENCH HANDS

## POLITICAL CONTROL IN THE PROVINCE LOST TO THE ENGLISH.

### The Remarkable Change that has Come Over the French Canadians—Taking Possession of the Local and Provincial Government.

Correspondent New York Sun.

MONTREAL, April 6.—As most of the readers of the Sun are doubtless aware, the population of the province of Quebec, which comprises that part of the dominion east of the Ottawa river as far as the confines of New Brunswick, is largely composed of French Canadians. Indeed, this element comprises, according to the latest census returns, about 75 per cent of the whole. These people are almost exclusively Catholics and speak the French language, not a patois, as many suppose, but pure Norman French of the sixteenth century. At the time of the conquest of Canada by the British, about the middle of the last century, the French population numbered about 60,000. Since that time it has increased, not by immigration from abroad. Thousands of the people have gone to form settlements in the New England states and in the West, but, owing to the universal system of early marriages fostered by the Catholic church, this fragment of Frenchmen left by their mother country to foreign domination has not only steadily increasing in numbers until to-day it boasts of over a million souls. Although English, by the exploits of Wolfe and his men, expelled the French soldiers from the land, the people remained, retaining their customs, their language, their religion, and their political principles. The conquerors, also their civil law. English did more. It guaranteed, by the treaty of Quebec, that the subject people the free exercise of their language in the courts and in the legislature. And at this day, in the dominion parliament, the French language is on an equal terms with the English, and public documents must be printed in both languages.

For years after the French made little progress in aught save multiplication. Quiet, religious and inoffensive, spiritless perhaps, they stuck to their farms and allowed a British oligarchy to govern them without murmur or complaint. When, in 1837, their burdens became, as they thought, too heavy, they rose in armed rebellion, and, although they were easily crushed, still the revolt practically secured to them equal rights and privileges with their Anglo-Saxon fellow citizens. Still, the French-Canadian element was slow in asserting itself, and many contented themselves with a fish-speaking vote was quite inconsiderable continued to elect English members. But since confederation the French element has changed, and at this moment the Canadian majority in the province has assumed an aggressive tone, which grows daily and fairly amounts many of the old British residents who have been accustomed to think of the French as "the inferior race," to find them now employed by a governor-general in an important state paper. Already the French have seized upon the municipal governments of all the cities, and the provincial government is entirely in their hands, for although the cabinet of seven usually contains two English Canadians, these latter have no real voice in the administration of affairs, and the legislature of sixty-five members contains but twelve English speaking members. The French Canadian proves to be as fond of office and of leading at the public as anybody, and the civil service of the province is practically closed to any one with an English name.

In the city of Montreal, where the English minority are by all odds more wealthy and more enterprising than the French majority, the civil government is controlled by the French. It is safe to say that if the English had any real voice in Montreal, the remnant would not amount to a fifth-rate Massachusetts village. The great business houses are English, the shipping trade is in the hands of the finest wholesale and retail stores are all English. The leading banks are English. There are not more than a dozen members on the stock board. Any public subscription list to assist in alleviating any great calamity, or in promoting any public movement, will show that about 90 per cent is given by English speaking people. The French have not even a theatre or opera house in Montreal, and controlled by English Canadians. The French, in fact, spend little and contribute little to the general prosperity of the province. These facts are admitted on all hands, and the feelings of the British part of the community, who, aware of this state of affairs, feel themselves outwitted and intolerably treated by the majority, are anything but satisfactory.

The outlook is not hopeful for the English. The French continue to increase in numbers, while the English are deserting the province, and in twenty years they will be a negligible quantity. The English population of Montreal will be inconsiderable. The indications are that the demand of the French Canadians for a more complete recognition of their provincial autonomy will be eagerly granted by either political party at Ottawa. Sir John A. Macdonald, the leader of the conservative party, in an action brought by an English non-resident against a French inhabitant of the locality recently, the presiding judge, a Frenchman, said that the beautiful, his counsel and witnesses, all English, should speak French. This they refused to do, and the judge, who was missed their case. At the opening of the court next day, the judge, having apparently reflected upon his conduct, asked the counsel to have the case reopened. This was refused, and the case will be taken to the court of appeals. The matter has caused much excitement, and there is talk of punishing the judge before the high court of parliament. Straws show which way the wind blows.

—Hall's Hair Renewer restores, cleanses, brightens, and invigorates the hair, and restores faded or gray hair to its youthful color and lustre. People who wish to prefer to use the Renewer, rather than proclaim to the world through their bleached locks that they are becoming aged, and passing on to decay.

# AYER'S Sarsaparilla

## THE BEST CHEAPEST VIENNA BREAD

### From American Patent Process Flour.

#### Delivered Daily.

#### HARRY WEBB

447 Yonge St., Toronto.

Have a Look Through Our Styles

Handsome Langtry wavy. Water waves. Bangs. Opera waves. Ladies' Wigs. Swifts. Gents' Wigs. Toupees. etc. Send for a bottle of DORNBRENNER'S GOLDEN HAIR WIG PRODUCING A fine golden shade.

Mr. Dornbrenner will be in Belleville, Ontario, on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, April 1, 2 and 3, with a large stock.

PREPARED BY Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass. Sold by all Druggists; 61, 63 bottles for \$5.

# 30 DAYS TRIAL

## DR. DYER'S

### Electro-Voltaic Belt and other Electric Appliances.

Men, young or old, who are suffering from Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Wrist Pain, Stiff Neck, Back Pain, Sciatica, Gout, Gravel, and all other forms of Rheumatism, should use Dr. Dyer's Electro-Voltaic Belt. It is guaranteed to give relief and complete recovery. Send at once for illustrated pamphlet.

Voltaic Belt Co., Marshall, Mich.

# TORONTO RAILWAY TIME TABLE.

## Grand Trunk Railway.

### Trains Leave Toronto Under EASTERN STANDARD TIME.

(a) For Ottawa, Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(b) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(c) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(d) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(e) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(f) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(g) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(h) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(i) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(j) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(k) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(l) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(m) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(n) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(o) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(p) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(q) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(r) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(s) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(t) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(u) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(v) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(w) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(x) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(y) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(z) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

# THE BEST

## CHEAPEST

### VIENNA BREAD

#### From American Patent Process Flour.

#### Delivered Daily.

#### HARRY WEBB

447 Yonge St., Toronto.

Have a Look Through Our Styles

Handsome Langtry wavy. Water waves. Bangs. Opera waves. Ladies' Wigs. Swifts. Gents' Wigs. Toupees. etc. Send for a bottle of DORNBRENNER'S GOLDEN HAIR WIG PRODUCING A fine golden shade.

Mr. Dornbrenner will be in Belleville, Ontario, on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, April 1, 2 and 3, with a large stock.

PREPARED BY Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass. Sold by all Druggists; 61, 63 bottles for \$5.

# 30 DAYS TRIAL

## DR. DYER'S

### Electro-Voltaic Belt and other Electric Appliances.

Men, young or old, who are suffering from Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Wrist Pain, Stiff Neck, Back Pain, Sciatica, Gout, Gravel, and all other forms of Rheumatism, should use Dr. Dyer's Electro-Voltaic Belt. It is guaranteed to give relief and complete recovery. Send at once for illustrated pamphlet.

Voltaic Belt Co., Marshall, Mich.

# TORONTO RAILWAY TIME TABLE.

## Grand Trunk Railway.

### Trains Leave Toronto Under EASTERN STANDARD TIME.

(a) For Ottawa, Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(b) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(c) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(d) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(e) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(f) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(g) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(h) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(i) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(j) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(k) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(l) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(m) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(n) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(o) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(p) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(q) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(r) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(s) For Montreal, Quebec, Portland, and Boston, 7:15 a.m. — Fast express, 8 a.m., 7:50 p.m. — Local for Albany and intermediate stations.

(t) For

# MARA V. COX AND WORTS

(Continued from Second Page.)

settlement you made with Mr. Cox, you were enabled to take your seat at the stock exchange as usual? A. Yes.

Q. I believe you brought an action against Mr. Priestman? A. I had one.

Q. You have one pending, I believe? A. Yes, it is pending.

Q. And if you can get this out of Cox & Worts, Priestman and you both will be satisfied? A. I will.

Q. And you think he will be? A. He ought to be.

Q. Why did you commence an action against Priestman in the first instance? You sue him, amongst other things, for this margin on Federal stock? A. Part of it was that.

Q. Do you remember swearing in your examination that you had no claim against Cox & Worts, that they treated you very decently? A. Yes.

Mr. Oler—If you want to use the examination let us have it here.

Mr. Blake—If you desire it, it is here. His lordship—It is just the ordinary admission, did you say so and so to anybody?

Mr. Blake—I am entitled, of course, to credit or discredit anything written by me to statements made on another occasion, quite independent of the production of the paper. Did you not, on the occasion of your examination in this suit, say that you had no action against Cox & Worts, and that Cox had treated you very well in this matter? A. I told them I had no cause of action against Cox, as far as I knew of.

Q. And that you were treated very decently by them in this matter? A. I don't think I swore to that.

Q. You and Priestman put your heads together and thought you would bring an action against Cox & Worts, and make them liable? A. I did not speak to Priestman until two weeks ago; I went on my own hook; I had nothing to do with Priestman whatever more than you have.

Q. Your action was entered at the last sitting of the court, Mara against Priestman? A. Yes.

Q. And you postponed it? A. I postponed it, yes.

Q. And in the meantime this action was brought? A. Yes.

Q. And Mara against Priestman has been reached and has been postponed at this court, put over for trial, and this suit may come on ahead of it? A. I don't know what you mean.

Q. Oh, yes, you do; you know I have nothing to do with it.

Q. The way you had it arranged was that it should be general and not a specific margin, that the advances from time to time should be regulated by the average value of the stock, and that the advances should not be treated as separate and specific pledges? A. That was Federal.

His Lordship—We will get on a great deal better if we do not look at the statements on either side.

Q. You gave your instructions personally for the preparation of this claim, didn't you? A. I gave my version of the affair, certainly.

Q. The plaintiff was in the habit of purchasing shares of the capital stock of banks? A. My statement was Federal.

Q. Now, you say in your statement that on the 23rd of October you had paid Cox & Worts \$11,201.67? A. I paid them more than that.

Q. You had paid them actually in cash? A. Certainly in cash.

Q. Do you say that? A. Yes; I paid in cash. But what do you mean by paying in cash? There are various ways of paying in cash.

Q. I mean going to a person and paying him cash? A. If you mean that it is not so. I would go to them and would pay \$45,000 worth of certain stock—Mr. Cox would give me \$44,000 on that; in that way I paid him the cash, of a thousand dollars; that is what I call paying in cash. It is just the way it is done—that is all.

Q. Now, you knew perfectly well in your arrangement with Mr. Cox, that you were simply agreeing to take this stock at those rates? A. He was simply agreeing to carry this stock at those rates.

Q. You knew perfectly well there wasn't any sale being made of them as far as you were concerned, and it was a simple agreement to carry stock at those rates? A. I knew there was not supposed to be any sale.

Q. How is it you say in your affidavit—since the payment of the last mentioned sum, or of the notes aforesaid, it has come to my knowledge that there were no such sales, and that they had been claimed through fictitious sales? They arrived at the balance by saying we are responsible to you for so much stock, and it is worth so much money, and that is the way the balance stands against you? A. That is the way you put it.

Q. In what other way is it arrived at then? A. I would give him stock the same as I would give you stock.

Q. In the month of October, when you made a settlement with Mr. Cox, you gave him a settlement on the basis that he had always had this stock; of this stock supposed to be carried at those rates? A. Yes.

Q. You have already sworn in the box to-day that he was entitled to deal with it, and to hand it over and raise money on it? A. To make a loan on it; I did not say to sell it.

Q. Now, what do you mean by saying fictitious sales? A. Why, by selling the stock.

Q. To His Lordship—I knew the quantity of stock.

Q. Didn't you agree to relieve him from that on his paying you 150? A. Oh, that was given on the understanding that he had pledged the stock. When I heard he had sold the stock, deal with it as his own, I changed my opinion.

Mr. Oler—There was no stock in existence, that is what is understood as bluff; your lordship may never have played bluff.

His Lordship—On the 16th of October, according to Mara's own statement, he was responsible to him for 1080 shares of stock; the amount was known to both, and they made a settlement on the basis of 148. How was Mara hurt?

Mr. Oler—If Cox had told Mara that he had sold and parted with that stock long before, before he had sold it at such a time, then he would have been in a totally different position. Mr. Mara, then, would have said—all right, accounts are settled, the point is this, that Mr. Cox never borrowed one farthing on that stock, but he sold it.

His Lordship—I am very sorry I cannot see it; perhaps the jury may.

Mr. Blake—I want the witness to give an explanation of the statement he has made—since the payment of the last mentioned sum, and the handing over of the notes aforesaid, it has come to my knowledge that there were no such sales, and that they had been claimed through fictitious sales? What sales did Cox represent to you as having been made of it? A. Well, he represented to me that he had bought these "repo" sales—that he had made sales?

A. Well, sales he had bought from me, and that is what I meant by that; I am not accountable for all the legal technicalities.

His Lordship—What fictitious sale do you complain of now? A. He arranged to buy from me, he was to carry this stock for me; but it came to my knowledge that he never had this stock, and secondly, he turned it over in his books as if he had bought it.

His Lordship—How were you hurt? A. Why, the whole transaction is done in the same way.

Q. How did it hurt you—suppose he sold it forty times between the time he advanced the money to you on the absolute transfer and the time you sold it to him in October, what difference did it make to you? A. Just this—there was a further more sale in the market and affected the market.

Mr. Blake—That is all you can say in explanation of such a statement, that it has come to your knowledge that such sales were made. He did not at the time of the settlement say to you he had made any sale of the stock? A. He told me he would buy it off me.

Q. Did he make representation he would make a sale of it? A. He bought it off me. Q. Did he represent to you that he had made a sale of it at that time? A. No; he would take it off my hands at that price.

Q. There being no sale, there could not have been fictitious sales? A. I say yet, instead of a sale it ought to have been a purchase—what is the difference? My signature to the affidavit you show me. My lawyers put it in that way. I mean a purchase.

Q. I do not think there is any room for misconception here—since the payment something has come to your knowledge, now Mr. Cox did not tell you any sale had been made? A. He did not say any sale had been made.

Q. Did you after this settlement was made with Mr. Cox, say to him on several occasions that you had been fairly dealt with? A. I do not recollect ever mentioning that to him.

Q. Will you swear you did not say so? A. I will swear, to the best of my belief, I did not say so. I did not think it was not a fair deal; but I did not think he treated me well.

To His Lordship—The first time I was asked it was by Mr. Priestman. Mr. Priestman's examination, a few days before the writ was issued in this case, in January I first thought there was anything wrong.

Mr. Blake—What is it you think is wrong? A. Just what Mr. Cox says.

Q. What is that? A. That he had sold the stock; that is what I think is wrong. Q. I think you have told us, when you asked for the stock he said he would give it to you—the first time you asked for the stock? A. Yes.

Mr. Blake, in addressing the jury, said that the skeleton of the case of the defendant is simply this: An arrangement made between Mr. Cox, Worts, and the plaintiff, whereby certain stock was to be dealt with as these accounts be to you show; from time to time the stock was bought and held by Messrs. Cox & Worts, on certain terms, for Mr. Mara. That it was not a transaction in quite clear from a simple comparison of the evidence that are put in as exhibits, and you find from them that from time to time Federal stock is taken in, and from time to time Federal stock goes out, so that in each of the months transactions are shown both as to buying and selling of Federal stock. It was not the case that the plaintiff, Mr. Mara, was to buy a specific lump of Federal stock, to be held by them as bankers or as brokers; the business was that of coming in and going out. Then, there can be no doubt whatever of these two persons being in the position of stock brokers; no doubt of the evidence from the evidence coming in and going out. Then, there can be no doubt whatever of these two persons being in the position of stock brokers; no doubt of the evidence from the evidence coming in and going out. Then, there can be no doubt whatever of these two persons being in the position of stock brokers; no doubt of the evidence from the evidence coming in and going out.

he never received any value for them. How different it is from what Mara says. I examined. He says generally, I put my right to recover simply upon this ground, that in the month of October, when I went to Mr. Cox for the purpose of getting back my stock, he had not the stock. Now, it has been proved before you that at that time Cox had the stock ready to deliver to Mara if he later were prepared to receive it—therefore, the statement upon which Mara said in the box yesterday he was entitled to recover is proved to be a fiction—a shadow evolved from the fertile brain of Mara. When the first demand was made for the stock, the answer was given that the stock was here for you. Now what would you say to find in favor of my client? It is that there was an agreement made in June, 1888, spoken of by Mara, that there was an agreement that the money was not to be demanded unless two days' notice were given; and the stock was not to be demanded unless two days' notice were given. It was important to Mr. Mara, in order that he might have an opportunity of raising the money, that he should get two days' notice; it was important to Mr. Cox to have two days' notice in order that he might have the stock ready for delivery. Now, when examined before, Mr. Mara stated that Priestman knew that he would have to part with the stock in order to get the money, and that he had to get a loan; that if any one came to him to buy stock for him he would assign that absolutely to the broker, and the broker would have to get the money from some one else; and he said that to the same effect as he knew. In order to get the money, he would assign this stock absolutely to the person from whom you borrow; he said that was the custom amongst brokers, and that it was in fact the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

he never received any value for them. How different it is from what Mara says. I examined. He says generally, I put my right to recover simply upon this ground, that in the month of October, when I went to Mr. Cox for the purpose of getting back my stock, he had not the stock. Now, it has been proved before you that at that time Cox had the stock ready to deliver to Mara if he later were prepared to receive it—therefore, the statement upon which Mara said in the box yesterday he was entitled to recover is proved to be a fiction—a shadow evolved from the fertile brain of Mara. When the first demand was made for the stock, the answer was given that the stock was here for you. Now what would you say to find in favor of my client? It is that there was an agreement made in June, 1888, spoken of by Mara, that there was an agreement that the money was not to be demanded unless two days' notice were given; and the stock was not to be demanded unless two days' notice were given. It was important to Mr. Mara, in order that he might have an opportunity of raising the money, that he should get two days' notice; it was important to Mr. Cox to have two days' notice in order that he might have the stock ready for delivery. Now, when examined before, Mr. Mara stated that Priestman knew that he would have to part with the stock in order to get the money, and that he had to get a loan; that if any one came to him to buy stock for him he would assign that absolutely to the broker, and the broker would have to get the money from some one else; and he said that to the same effect as he knew. In order to get the money, he would assign this stock absolutely to the person from whom you borrow; he said that was the custom amongst brokers, and that it was in fact the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when he gave his notes to Mr. Forbes, and that on his state to October 20, it was then that both the plaintiff and the defendant knew what they were dealing with.

Q. Now, if that is the case, you say that the only arrangement made between two Cox and his notes until the time when