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Hierocles, us quated by Renatus Vallinus in notes on Boethius.
Neque esse mens divina sine ratione potest, nec ratio divina non hanc vim in rectis pravisque sanciendis habere. ** Erat enim ratio profecta a rerum natirn, et ad recte faciendum impellens, et a delieto avocans; que mon sum denique incipit lex esse, cum scripta est, sed tum cum orta est. Orta autem simul est cum mente divinà.

Cicero de Legious, Lib. ii. c. 4.
Hardly do we guess aright at things that are upon earth, and with labour do we find the things that are at hand; but the things that are in heaven who hath acarehed out? And thy counsel who lath known, except thou give wisdom, and send thy noly spirit from above? For so the ways of them which lived on the earth were reformed, and men were taught the things that are pleasing unto thee, and were saved through wisdon.

Wisdom of Solomon, ix. 16, 17. 18.

## ADVERTISEMENT.
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In republishing the "Aids to Reffection," I have aimed to adapt it, ns far as possille, to the circumstances, in which it will be placel, and to the wishes of those readers who will be most likely to seek instruction from the work. As the philosophical views of the author, and what are centsidered his peculiarities of thouglt and language, are less known, and his other writings less accessiblo here, than in the community for which ho wrote, I supposed it might increase the usefulness of an edition fer the Anerican public to connect with it such extracts from his other works, ns would serve to explain his langunge, and render more intelligible the essential princijles of his system. Passages selected for this purpose will be fouml atached to many of the author's notes, as well as to other netes which have been added. These constitute the principal addition to this part of the volume, though a few extracts are inserted in note 59 from Henry Merc's Philosophical Works. I have thrown in ocensional remarks of my own, and in a few instances have hazariled my thoughts more at large. Notes merely explanatory could not be multiplied without compromising my respect for the understanding either of the author or of the reader. I am persualed, moreover, that if parts of the work are fonnd difficult to understand, a little reffection will show the difficulty to he inherent in the subject, and such as cound not be removed by multiplyling itlustrations. No language and no illustration can help the reader to understand himself without the lalour of serious and persevering reflection. I have endeavoured to firmish, however, that sort of help, which I thought would be mest effectual with regard to the views of the author, by giving references, in the notes on imporant topics, to all the parts of the work, where the same topic is treated of. The notes for obvious rensons are thrown together after the text of the work, and the additions which have been male in this edition aro so dexignated, ss to distinguish them from the original notes of the author. An Appembix is added consisting of matter which it was thought woull serve the same purpose of illustration with the notes, and otherwise increase the usefulness of the volume.
The Prelinunary Essay, which 1 have prefixed, must be allowed for the most part to speak for itself: The views which it exlibits will be fouman, 1 believe, as far ns they go, mearly coincident with the system of the unther, as my eliof purpese in writing it laas been to drav attention to the an-
thor's work. I have aimed especiully, both in this and in the notes, to nwaken the minds of thinking men to certain great and all-important distintions of a philosophical nature, which the author has exhibited, as it mectus to me with convincing elearness, loth in this and in lis other works. I might perhaps have left others to make the application of the doctriues tanght in the work to the opinions and discussions now prevuiling among ourselves, had I not believed tho application would ho more likely thm may thing olse to arouse nttention to the doctrines themselves. If in making it I shall he thought to have spoken too freely, I hope at least to have the credit of honest intentions, and of being uninfluenced by any considerations of a personal nature.

For the manner in which the text of the work was made up the reader must of course be referred to the author's udvertisement. I have mentioned it for the purposo of adding, that however disconnected and miscellaneous it may nt first nppear, it will be found on perusal to contain a comected train of discussions, and to be strictly methodical in its arrangement. I camot but add a request, that the author's preface may receive a far more attentive perisal, than prefuces are generally favoured with. The whole work will be found partly philosophical and partly religious, or rather both combined in one, and that upon a principle and in a manuer, I trust, which both reason aud religion will approve. "Naturam hominis haue Deus ipse voluit,' ut duarmn rermen cmpidus et appetens esset-religionis et sapientix. Sed homines ideo falluntur, quod aut religionem suscipiunt omissà sapientià ; aut sapientie soli student omissà religione, cum alterumsine altero esse non possit verum."

Lactantius de Falsâ Sapientià, Lib. III. 1. 11.
The whole is committed to the candour of the Cluristian publie with the hope and prnyer, that it mny promote among us the interests, which cannot be long separated from each other, of sound philosophy and of true religion.

Universily of Vermont, Nov. 1Gith, 1820.
JAMLS MARSH.

PRELIMINARYESAAY.

Whether the present state of religious feeling, and the prevailing topies of theological enquiry among us, are particularly favourable to the success of the work herewith offered to the public, can be determined only by the result. The question, however, has not been left unconsidered; and however that may be, it is not a work, whose value depends essentially upon its relation to the passing controversies of the day. Unless I distrust my own feelings and convictions altogether, I must suppose, that for some, I hope for many, minds, it will have a deep and enduring interest. Of those classes, for whose use it is more especially designated in the author's preface, I trust there are many also in this country, who will justly appreciate the objects at which it aims, and avail themselves of its instruction and assistance. I could wish it might be received, by all who concern themselves in religious inquiries and instruction especially, in the spirit, which seems to me to have animated its great and adinirable author; and I hesitate not to say, that to all of every class, who shall so receive it, and peruse it with the attention and thoughtfulness, which it demands and deserves, it will be found by experience to furnish what its title iniports, "Aids to Reflection" on subjects, upon which every man is hound to reflect decply and in earnest.
What the specific objects of the work are, and for whom it is written, may be learned in few words from the preface of the author. From this too, it will be seen to be professedly didactic. It is designed to aid those, who wish for irstruction, or assistance in the instruction of others. The plan and com-
position of the work will to most readers probably appear somewhat anomalous; but reflection upon the mature of the ohjects aimed at, and some little experience of its results, may convince thom, that tho method adopted is nct without its advantages. It is important to observe, thit it is designed, as its gencral characteristic, to aid reveraion, and for the most part upon subjects, which can be !earned and understood only by the exercise of reflection in the strict and proper sense of that term. It was not so much to teach a speculative system of doctrines built upon established premises, for which a different method would have been obviously preferable, as to turn the mind continually back upon the premises themselvesupon the inherent grounds of truth and error in its own being. The only way, in which it is possible for any one to learn the science of words, which is one of the objects to be sought in the present work, and the true import of those words especially, which most concern us as rational and aceountable beings, is by reflecting upon, and bringing forth into distinct consciousness, those mental acts, which the words are intended to designate. We must discover and distinctly apprehend different meanings, before we can appropriate to each a scveral word, or understand the words so appropriated by others. Now it is not too much to say, that most men, and even a large proportion of educated men, do not reflect sufficiently upon their own inward being, upon the constituent laws of their own understanding, upon the mysterious powers and agencies of reason; and conscience, and will, to apprehend with much distinctness the objects to be named, or of course to refer ihe names with correctness to their several objects. Hence the necessity of associating the study of words with the study of morals and religion; and that is the most effectual method of instruction, which enables the teacher most especially to fix the attention upon a definite meaning, that is, in these studies, upon a particular act, or process, or law of the mind-to call it into distinct consciousness, and assign to it its proper name, so that the name shall theneeforth have for the learner a distinct, definite, and intelligible sense. To impress upon the reader
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prohably appear nature of the its results, may without its addesigned, as its ad for the most anderstood only proper sense of culativo system for which a difreferable, as to es themselvesa its own being. ne to learn the to be sought in se words espeaccountable beto distinct conis are intended ctly apprehend , each a several y others. Now d even a large afficiently upon $t$ laws of their rs and agencies end with much rse to refer the s. Hence the th the study of tual method of specially to fix these studies, nind-to call it roper name, so ner a distinct, pon the reader
the importance of this, and to exemplify it in the particular subjects taken up in the work, is a leading aim of the author throughout; and it is obviously the only possible way by which we can arrive at any satisfactory and conclusive results on subjects of philosophy, morals, and religion. The first principles, the ultimate grounds of these, so far as they are possible objects of innowledge for us, must be sought and found in the laws of our being, or they are not found at all. The knowledge of these terminates in the knowledge of ourselves, of our rational and parsonal being, of our proper and distinctive humanity, and of that Divine Being, in whose image we are created. "We must retire inwatd," says St. Bernard, "if we would ascend upward." It is by self-inspection, by reflecting upon the mysterious grounds of our own being, alone, that we can arrive at any rational knowledge of the central and absolute ground of all being. It is by this only, that we can discover that principle of unity and consistency, which reason instinctively seeks after, which shall reduce to a harmonious system all our views of truth and of being, and destitute of which all the knowledge, that comes to us from without, is fragmentary; and in its relation to our highest interests as rational beings, but the patch-work of vanity.
Now, of necessity, the only method, by which another can aid our efforts in the work of reflection, is by first reflecting himself, and so pointing out the process and marking the result by words, that we can repeat it, and try the conclusions by our own consciousíess. If he have refiected aright, if he have excluded all causes of self-deception, and directed his thoughts by those principles of truth and reason, and by those laws of the understanding, which belong in common to all men, his conclusions must be true for all. We have oniy to repeat the process, impartially to reflect ourselves, unbiassed by received opinions, and undeceived by the idols of our own understandings, and we shall find the same truths in the depths of our own self-conseiousness. I am peersuaded that such for the most part, will be found to be the case with regard to the principles developed in the present work, and that those, who,
with serious reflection and an unbiassed love of truth, will refer thems to the laws of thought in their own minds, to the requirements of their own reason, will find there a witness to their truth.

Viewing the work in this manner, therefore, as an instructive and safe guide to the knowledge of what it concerns all men to know, I cannot but consider it in itself, as a work of great and permanent value to any christian community. Whatever indeed tends to awaken and cherish the power, and to form the habit, of reflection upon the great constituent principles of our own permanent being and proper humanity, and upon the abiding laws of truth and duty, as revealed in our reason and conscience, cannot but promote our highest interests as moral and rational beings. Even if the particular conclusions, to which the author has arrived, should prove erroneous, the evil is comparatively of little importance, if he have at the same time communicated to our minds such powers of thought, as will enable us to detect his errors, and attain by our own efforts to a more perfect knowledge of the truth. That some of his views may not be erroneous, or that they are to be received on his authority, the author, I presume; would be the last to affirm; and although in the nature of the case it was impossible for him to aid reflection without anticipating and in some measure influencing the results, yet the primary tendency and design of the work is, not to establish this or that system, but to cultivate in every mind the power and the will to seek earnestly and steadfastly for the truth in the only direction, in which it can ever be found. The work is no farther controversial, than every work must be, "that is writ with freedom and reason" upon subjects of the same kind; and if it be found at variance with existing opinions and modes of philosophizing, it is not necessarily to be considered the fault of the writer.
In republishing the work in this country, I could wish that it might be received by all, for whose instruction it was designed, simply as a didactic work, on its own merits, and without controversy. I must not, however, be supposed ignorant
of truth, will reminds, to the reere a witness to e, as an instrucit concerns all If, as a work of amunity. Whate power, and to constituent prinr humanity, and revealed in our or highest intere particular conuld prove erro. iportanee, if he ninds such powrrors, and attain ige of the truth. us, or that they hor, I presume; he nature of the 1 without anticicsults, yet the not to establish nind the power for the truth in nd. The work must be, "that ts of the same ng opinions and o be considered
could wish that tion it was deerits, and withoposed ignorant

PRELIMINAKT ESSAY.
xi
of its bearing upon those questions, which have so often been, and still are, the prevailing topics of theological controversy among us. It was indeed incumbent on me, before inviting the attention of the religious community to the work, to consider its relation to existing opinions, and its probable influence on the progress of truth. This I have done with as severe thought as I am capable of bestowing upon any subject, and I trust too with no want of deference and conscientious regard to the feclings and opinions of others. I have not attempted to disguise from myself, nor do I wish to disguise from the readers of the work, the inconsistency of some of its leading principles with much that is taught and received in our theological circles. Should it gain much of the public attention in any way, it will become, as it ought to do, an object of special and deep interest to all, who would contend for the truth, and labour to establish it upon a permanent basis. I venture to assure such, even those of them who are most capable of comprelending the philosophical grounds of truth in our speculative systems of theology, that in its relation to this whole subject they will find it to be a work of great depth and power, and whether right or wrong, eminently deserving of their attention. It is not to be supposed, that all who read, or even all who comprehend it, will be convinced of the soundness of its views, or be prepared to abandon those, which they have long considered essential to the truth. To those, whose understandings by long habit have become limited in their powers of apprehension, and as it were identified with certain aschemes of doctrine, certain modes of contemplating all that pertains to religious truth, it inay appear novel, strange, and unintelligible, or even dangerous in its tendency, and be to them an occasion of offence. But 1 have no fear, that any earnest and single-hearted lover of the truth as it is in Jesus, who will free his mind from the idols of preconcẹived opinion, and give himself time and opportunity to understand the work by such reflection as the nature of the subject renders unavoidable, will find in it any cause of offence, or any source of alarm. If the work become the occasion of controversy at all,

I should expeet it from those, who, instead of reflecting deeply upon the first principles of truth in their own reason and conseience and in the word of God, are more accustomed to speculate-that is, from premises given or assumed, but considered unquestionahle, as the constituted point of observation; to look abroad upon the whole field of their intellectual visions, and thence to decide upon the true form and dimensions of all which meets their view. To such I would say with deference, that the merits of this work cannot be determined by the merely relative aspect of its doctrines, as seen from the high ground of any prevailing metaphysical or theological system. Those on the contrary who will seek to comprehend it by reflection, to learn the true meaning of the whole and of all its parts, by retiring into their own minds and finding there the true point of observation for each, will not be in haste to question the truth or the tendency of its principles. I make these remarks, because I am anxious, as far as may be, to anticipate the causeless fears of all, who earnestly pray and labour for the promotion of the truth, and to preclude that unprofitable controversy, that might arise from hasty or prejudiced views of a work like this. At the same time I should be far from deprecating any discussion, which might tend to unfold more fully the principles, which it teaches, or to exhibit more distinctly its true bearing upon the interests of theological seience and of spiritual religion. It is to promote this object, indeed, that I am induced in the remarks which follow to offer some of my own thoughts on these subjects, imperfect I am well aware, and such as, for that reason, as well as others, worldly prudence might require me to suppress. If, however, I may induce reflecting men, and those who are engaged in theological enquiries especially, to indulge a suspicion, that all truth, which it is important for them to know, is not contained in the systems of doctrine usually taught, and that this work may be worthy of their serious and reflecting perusal, my chief object will be accomplislied. I shall of eourse not need to anticipate in detail the contents of the work itself, but shall aim simply to point out what I consider its distinguishing and es-
eflecting deepwn reason and accustomed to ed, but considof observation, ntelleeturl visand dimensions Id say with dedetermined by seen from the heological syscomprehend it whole and of d finding there be in haste to iples. I make may be, to any pray and laclude that unsty or prejudine I should be ht tend to un, or to exhibit ssts of theolopromote this 3 which follow ects, imperfeet well as others, - If, however, re engaged in picion, that all not contained hat this work rusal, my chief not need to an, but shall aim ishing and es-
sential character and tendency, and then direct the attenion of my readers to some of those general feelings and views on the subject of religious truth, and of those particulars in the prevailing philosophy of the age, which seem to me to be exerting an injurious influence on the cause of theological science and of spiritual religion, and not only to furnish a fit occasion, but to create an imperious demand, for a work like that which is here offered to the public:

In regard then to the distinguishing character and tendency of the work itself, it has already been stated to be didactic, and designed to aid reflection on the principles and grounds of truth in our own being; but, in another point of view, and with reference to my present object, it might rather be denominated a philosophical statement and vindication of the distinotivmly spiritual and peculiar doctrines of the christian syatem. In order to understand more elearly the import of this statement and the relation of the author's views to those exhibited in other systems, the reader is requested to examine in the first place, what he considers the peculiar doctrines of christianity, and what he means by the terms spirit and spiritual. A synoptical view of what he considers peculiar to christianity as a revelation is given on pp. 127128, and, if I mistake not, will be found essentially to coincide, though not perhaps in the language employed, with what among us are termed the evangelical doctrines of religion. Those who are anxious to examine farther into the orthodoxy of the work in connexion with this statement, may consult the articles on original sin and redemption beginning at pp. 159 and 187, though I must forewarn them, that it will require much study in connexion with the other parts of the work, before one unaccustomed to the author's language and unacquainted with his views, can fully appreciate the merit of what may be peculiar in his mode of treating those subjects. With regard to the term spiritual, it may be sufficient to remark here, that he regards it as having a specific import, and maintains that in the sense of the N. T. spiritual and natural are contradistinguished, so that what is spiritual is different
in kind from that which is natural, and is in fact super-natural. So, too, while morality is something more than prudence, religion, the spiritual life, is something more than morality. For his views at large, the reader may recur to note 29 , and the references there made.
In vindicating the peculiar doctrines of the christian system so stated, and a faith in the reality of agencies and modes of being essentially spiritual or supeinatural, he aims to show their consistency with reason and with the true principles of philosophy, and that indeed, so far from being irrational, christian faith is the perfection of human reason. By teflection upon the subjective grounds of knowledge and faith in the human mind itself, and by an analysis of its faculties, he developes the distinguishing characteristics and necessary relations of the natural and the spiritual in our modes of being and knowing, and the all-important fact, that although the former does not comprehend the latter, yet neither does it preclude its existence. He proves, that " the scheme of Christianity, though not discoverable by reason, is yet in accordance with it-that link follows link by necessary consequence-that religion passes out of the ken of reason only where the eye of reason has reached its own horizon-and that faith is then but its continuation." Instead of adopting, like the popular metaphysicians of the day, a system of philosophy at war with religion, and which tends inevitably to undermine our belief in the reality of any thing spiritual in the only proper sense of that word, and then coldly and ambiguously referring us for the support of our faith to the authority of revelation, he boldly asserts the reality of something distinctively spiritual in man, and the futility of all those modes of philosophizing, in which this is not recognized, or which are incompatille with it. He considers it the highest and most rational purpose of any system of philosophy, at least of one professing to be christian, to investigate those higher and peculiar attributes, which distinguish us from the brutes that perish-which are the image of God in us, and constitute our proper humanity. It is in his view the proper business and the duty of the Chris-
ct super-natural an prudence, rethan morality. to note 29 , and christian system es and modes of e aims to show true principles of irrational, chrisueason. By revledge and faith of its faculties, cs and necessary $r$ modes of being although the forither does it prescheme of Chrisyet in accordance onsequence-that ly where the eye that faith is then like the popular sophy at war with ermine our belief only proper sense usly referring us of revelation, he tively spiritual in philosophizing, in incompatible with ational purpose of professing to be eculiar attributes, sh-which are the per humanity. It luty of the Chris-
tian philosopher to remove all appearance of contradiction between the several manifestations of the one Divine Word, to reconcile reason with revelation, and thus to justify the ways of God to man. The methods by which he accomplishes this, either in regard to the terms in which he enunciates the great doctrines of the gospel, or the peculiar views of philosophy, by which he reconciles them with the subjective grounds of faith in the universal reason of man, need not be stated here. I will merely observe, that the key to his system will be found in the distinctions, which he makes and illustrates between nature and free-will, and between the understanding and reason. For the first of these distinctions the reader may consult note 29 , and for the other, pp. 135-154, and note 59. It may meet the prejudices of some to remark farther, that in philosophizing on the grounds of our faith he does not profess or aim to solve all mysteries, and to bring all truth within the comprehension of the understanding. A truth may be mysterious, and the primary ground of all truth and reality must be so. But though we may believe what "passeth all understanding," we cannot believe what is absurd, or contradictory to reason.

Whether the work be well executed, according to the idea of it, as now given, or whether the author have accomplished his purpose, must be determined by those who are capable of judging, when they shall have examined and reflected upon the whole as it deserves. The inquiry which I have now to propose to my readers is, whether the idea itself be a rational one, and whether the purpose of the author be one, which a wise man and a christian ought to aim at, or which in the present state of our religious interests; and of our theological science specially needs to be accomplished.

No one, who has had occasion to observe the general feelings and views of our religious community for a few years past, can be ignorant, that a strong prejudice exists against the introduction of philosophy, in any form, in the discussion of theological subjects. The terms philosophy and metaphysics, even reason and rational seem, in the minds of those most de-
voted to the support of religious truth, to have forfeited their original, and to have acquired a new, import, especially in their relation to matters of faith. By a philosophical view of religious truth would generally be understood, a view, not only varying from the religion of the bible in the form and manner of presenting it, but at war with it ; and a rational religion is supposed to be of course something diverse from revealed religion. A philosophical and rational system of religious truth would by most readers among us, if I mistake not, be supposed a system deriving its doctrines not from revelation, but from the speculative reason of mon, or at least relying on that only for their credibility. That these terms have been used to designate such systems, and that the prejudice against reason and philosophy so emplayed, is not, therefore, without cause, I need not deny; nor would any friend of revealed truth be less disposed to give credence to such systeme, tham the author of the work before us.
But, on the other hand, a moment's reflection only can be necessary to convince any man, attentive to the use of language, that we do at the same time employ these terms in relation to truth generally in a better and much higher sense. Rational, as contradistinguished from irrational and absurd, certainly denotes a quality, which every man would be disposed to claim, not only for himself, but for his religious opinions. : Now, the adjective reasonable, having acquired a different use and signification, the word rational is the adjective corresponding in sense to the substantive reason, and signifies what is cqonformed to reason? In one sense, then, all men would appeal to reason, in behalf of their religious faith: they would deny that it was irrational or absurd. If we cio not in this sense adhere to reason; we forfelt our prerogative no rational beings, and our faith is no better than the bewildeted dream of a man who has lost his ireason. Nay, I maintain that when we use the term in this higher sense; it is impossible for us to believe on any authority what is directly contradictory to reason and seen to be so. No evidence from another source, and no authority could convince us, that a proposition
e forfeited their specially in their cal view of reliview, not only orm and manner tional religion is rom revealed reof religious truth e not, be suppon revelation, but it relying on that have been used dice against reaerefore; without iend of revealed ch systeme, than tion only can be t the use of lanhese terms in rech higher sense. onal and absurd, a would be dispohis religious opin$g$ acquired a dif$l$ is the adjective son, and signifies e, then, all men ligious faith : '̛hey If we do not in prerogative as rain the bewildered Nay, I maintain se; it is impossible lirectly contradicence from another that a proposition
in Geometry, for example, is false, which our reason intuitively discovers to be true. Now supposing, (and we may at least suppose this,) that reason has the same power of intuitive insight in relation to certain moral and spiritual truths, as in relation to the truths of Geometry, then it will be equally impossible to divest us of our belief of those truths.

Furthermore, we are not only unable to believe the same proposition to be false, which our reason sees to be true, but we cannot believe another proposition, which by the exercise of the same rational faculty we see to be incompatible with the former, or to contradict it. We may, and probably often do, receive with a certain kind and degree of credence opinions, which reflection would show to be incompatible. But when we have reflected, and discovered the inconsistency, we cannot retain both. We cannot believe two contradictory propositions knowing them to be such. It would be irrational to do so.

Again, we cannot conceive it possible, that what by the same power of intuition we see to be universally and necessarily true should appear otherwise to any other rational being. We cannot, for example, but consider the propositions of Geometry, as necessarily true, for all rational beings. So, too, a little reflection, I think, will convince any one, that we attribute the same necessity of reason to the principles of moral rectitude. What in the clear day-light of our reason, and after mature reflection, we see to be right, we cannot believe to be wrong in the view of other rational beings in the distinct exercise of their Reason. Nay, in regard to those truths, which are clearly submitted to the view of our reason, and which we betiold with distinct and steadfast intuitions, we necessarily attribute to the Supreme Reason, to the Divine Mind, views the same, or coincident, with those of our own reason. We cannot, (I say it with reverence and I trust with some apprehension of the importance of the assertion) we cannot believe that to be right in the view of the supreme reason which is clearly and decidedly wrong in the view of our own. It would be contradictory to reason, it woald beir-
rational to believe it, and therefore we cannot do so, till we lose our reason, or cease to exercise it.

I would ask now, whether this be not an authorized use of the words reason and rational, and wiether so used they do not mean something. If it be so-and I appeal to the mind of every man capable of reflection, and of understanding the use of language, if it be not-then there is meaning in the terms universal reason, and unity of reason, as used in this work. There is, and can be, in this highest sense of the word, but one reason, and whatever contradicts that reason, being seen to do so, cannot be received as matter either of knowledge or faith. To reconcile religion with reason used in this sense, therefore, and to justify the ways of God to man; or in the view of reason, is so far from being irrational, that reason imperatively demands it of us. We cannot; as rational beings, believe a proposition on the grounds of reason, and deny it on the authority of revelation. We cannot believe a proposition in philosophy, and deny the same proposition in theology; nor can we believe two incompatible propositions on the different grounds of reason and revelation. So fast, and so far, as we compare our thoughts, the objects of our knowledge and faith, and by reflection refer them to their common measure in the universal laws of reason, so far the instinct of reason impels us to reject whatever is contradictory and absurd, and to bring unity and consistency into all our views of truth. Thus, in the language of the author of this work, ( $\mathbf{p} .6$, ) though "the word rational has been strangely abused of late times, this must not disincline us to the weighty consideration, that thoughtfulness, and a desire to rest all our convictions on grounds of right reason, are inseparable from the character of a Christian."
But I beg the reader to observe, that in relation to the doctrines of spiritual religion-to all that he considers the peculiar doctrines of the Christian revelation, the author assigns to reason only a negative validity. It does not teach us, what those doctrines are, or what they are not, except that they are not, and cannot be, such as contradict the clear convictions of right
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lation to the dociders the peculiar or assigns to reach us, what those hat they are not, nvictions of right
reason. But his views on this point ate fully stated in the work, and may be found by the references in note 43 . The general office of reason in relation to all, that is proposed for our belief, is given with philosophical precision in the Appendix, pp. 390-391.
If then it be our prerogative, as rational beings, and our duty as Christians, to think, as well as to act, rationally to see that our convictions of truth rest on grounds of right reason; and if it be one of tl clearest dictates of reason, that we should endeavor to shun, and on discovery should reject, whatever is contradictory to the universal laws of thought, or to doctrines already established, I know not by what means we are to avoid the application of philosophy, at least to some extent, in the study of theology. For to determine what are the grounds of right reason, what are those ultimate truths, and those universal laws of thought, which we cannot rationally contradict, and by reflection to compare with these whatever is proposed for our belief, is in fact to philosophize; and whoever does this to a greater or less extent, is so far a philosopher in the best and highest sense of the word. To this extent we are bound to philosophize in Theology, as well as in every other science. For what is not rational in theology, is, of course, irrational, and cannot be of the household of faith; and to determine whether it be rational in the sense already explained or not, is the province of philosophy. It is in this sense, that the work before us is to be considered a philosophical work, viz. that it proves the doctrines of the Christian faith to be rational, and exhibits philosophical grounds for the possibility of a truly spiritual religion. The reality of those experiences, or states of being, which constitute experimental or spiritual religion, rests on other grounds. It is incumbent on the philosopher to free them from the contradictions of reason, and nothing more; and who will deny, that to do this is a purpose worthy of the ablest philosopher and the most devoted christian! Is it not desirable to convince all men, that the doctrines, which we affirm to be revealed in the gospel, are not contradictory to the requirements of reason
and conscience. Is it not, on the other hand, vastly important to the cause of religious truth, and even to the practical influence of religion on our own minds, and the minds of community at large, that we should attain and exhibit views of philosophy and doctrines in metaphysics, which are at least compatible with, if they do not specially favour those views of religion, which, on other grounds, we find it our duty to believe and maintain. For, I beg it may be observed, as a point of great moment, that it is not the method of the genuine philosopher to separate his philosophy and religion, and adopting his principles independently in each, leave them to be reconciled or not, as the case may be. He has and can have rationally but one system, in which his philosophy becomes religious, and his religion philosophical. Nor am I disposed in compliance with popular opinion to limit the application of this remark, as is usually done, to the mere external evidences of revelation. The philosophy which we adopt will and must influence not only our decision of the question, whether a book be of divine authority, but our views also of its meaning.
But this is a subject, on which, if possible, I would avoid being misunderstood, and must, therefore, exhibit it more fully, even at the risk of repeating what was said before, or is elsewhere found in the work. It has been already, I believe, distinctly enough stated, that reason and philosophy ought to prevent our reception of doctrines claiming the authority of revelation only so far as the very necessities of our rational being require. However mysterious the thing affirmed may be, though "it passeth all understanding," if it cannot be shown to contradict the unchangeable principles of right reasia, its being incomprehensible to our understandings is not an obstacle to our faith. If it contradict reason, we cannot believe it, but must conclude, either that the writing is not of divine authority, or that the language has been misinterpreted. So far it seems to me, that our philosophy ought to modify our views of theological doctrines, and our mode of interpreting the language of an inspired writer. But then we must be cautious,
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that we philosophize rightly, and "do not call that reason, which is not so." (See p. 205.) Otherwise we may be led by the supposed requirements of reason to interpret metaphorically, what ought to be received literally, and evacuate the Seriptures of their most important doctrines. But what I mean to say here is, that we cannot avoid the application of our philusophy in the interpretation of the language of Scripture, and in the explanation of the doctrines of religion generally. We cannot avoid incurring the danger just alluded to of philosophizing erroneously, even to the extent of rejecting as irrational that, which tends to the perfection of reason itself. And hence I maintain, that instead of pretending to exclude philosophy from our religious enquiries, it is vastly important, that we philosophize in earnest-that we endeavor by profound reflection to learn the real requirements of reason, and attain a true knowledge of ourselves.
If any dispute the necessity of thus combining the study of philosophy with that of religion, I would beg them to point out the age since that of the Apostles, in which the prevailing metaphysical opinions have not distinctly manifested themselves in the prevailing views of religion; and if, as I fully believe will be the case, they fail to discover a single syslem of theology, a single volume on the subject of the christian religion, in which the author's views are not modified by the metaphysical opinions of the age or of the individual, it would be desirable to ascertain, whether this influence be accidental or necessary. The metaphysician analyzes the facultics and operations of the human mind, and teaches us to arrange, to classify, and to name them, according to his views of their various distinctions. The language of the Scriptures, at least to a great extent, speaks of subjects, that can be understood only by a reference to those same powers and processes of thought and feeling, which we have learned to think of, and to name, according to our particular system of metaphysics. How is it possible then to avoid interpreting the one by the other? Let us suppose, for example, that a man has studicd and adopted the philosophy of Brown, is it possible for him to interpret the Sth chap-
ter of Romans, without having his views of its meaning influenced by his philosophy? Would he not unavoidably interpret the language and explain the doctrines, which it contains, differently from one, who should have adopted such views of the human inind, as are taught in this work? I know it is customary to disclain the influence of philosophy in the business of interpretation, and every writer now-a-days on such subjects will assure us, that he has nothing to do with metaphysics, but is guided only by common sense and the laws of interpretation. But I would like to know how a man cones hy any common sense in relation to the movements and laws of his intellectual and moral being without metaphysics. What is the common sense of a Hottentot on subjects of this sort ? I have no hesitation in saying, that from the very nature of the case, it is nearly, if not quite, impossible for any man entirely to separate his philosophical views of the human mind from his reflections on religious subjects. Probably no man has endeavored more faithfully to do this, perhaps no one has succeeded better in giving the truth of Scripture free from the glosses of metaphysies, than Professor Stuart. Xet, I should risk little in saying, that a reader deeply versed in the language of metaphy:ics, extensively acquainted with the philosophy of different ages, and the peculiar phraseology of different schools, might ascertain his metaphysical system from many a passage of his commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews. What then, let me ask, is the possible use to the cause of truth and of religion, from thus perpetually decrying philosophy in theological enquiries, when we cannot avoid it if we would? Every man, who has reflected at all, has his metaphysics; and if he reads on religious subjects, he interprets and understands the language, which he employs, by the help of his metaphysics. He cannot do otherwise.-And the proper enquiry is, not whether we admit our philosophy into our theological and religious investigations, but whether our philosophy be right and truc. For myself, I am fully convinced, that we can have no right views of theology, till we have right views of the human mind; and that these are to be acquired
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only by laborious and persevering refloction. My belief is, that the distinctions unfolded in this work will place us in the way to truth, and relieve us from numerous perplexities, in which we are involved by the philosophy, which we have so long taken for our guide. For we are greatly deceived, if we suppose for a moment, that the systems of theology, which have been received among us, or even the theoretical views, which are now most popular, are free from the entanglements of wordly wisdom. The readers of this work will be able to see, I think, more clearly the import of this remark, and the true bearing of the received views of philosophy on our theological enquiries. Those, who study the work without prejudice and adopt its principles to any considerable extent, will understand too how deeply an age may be ensnared in the metaphysical webs of its own weaving, or entangled in the net, which the speculations of a former generation have thrown over it, and yet suppose itself blessed with a perfect immunity from the dreaded evils of metaphysics.

But before I proceed to remark on those particulars, in which our prevailing philosophy seems to me dangerous in its tendency, and unfriendly to the cause of spiritual religion, I must beg leave to guard myself and the work from misapprehension on another point, of great importance in its relation to the whole subject. While it is maintained that reason and philosophy, in their true character, ought to have a certain degree and extent of influence in the formation of our religious system, and that our metaphysical opinions, whatever they may be, will, almost unavoidably, modify more or less our theoretical views of religious truth generally, it is yet a special object of the author of the work to show, that the spiritual life, or what among us is termed experimental religion, is, in itself, and in its own proper growth and developement, essentially distinct from the forms and processes of the understanding; and that, although a true faith cannot contradict any universal principle of speculative reason, it is yet in a certain sense independent of the discursions of philosophy, and in its proper nature beyond the reach " of positive science
and theoretical insight." "Christianity is not a Theory, or a Speculation; but a Life. Not a Philosophy of Life, but a Life and a living process." It is not, therefore, so properly a species of knowledge, as a form of being. And although the theoretical views of the understanding, and the motives of prudence which it presents, may be, to a certain extent, connected with the developement of the spiritual principle of religious life in the Christian, yet a true and living faith is not incompatible with at least some degree of speculative error. As the acquisition of merely speculative knowledge cannot of itself communicate the principle of spiritual life, so neither does that principle, and the living process of its growth, depend wholly, at least, upon the degree of speculative knowledge with which it co-exists. That religion, of which our blessed Saviour is himself the essential Form and the living Word, and to which he imparts the actuating Spirit, has a principle of unity and consistency in itself, distinct from the unity and consistency of our theoretical views. This we have evidence of in every day's observation of Christian character; for how often do we see and acknowledge the power of religion, and the growth of a spiritual life, in minds but little gifted with speculative knowledge, and little versed in the forms of logic or philosophy. How obviously, too, does the living principle of religion manifest the same specific character, the same essential form, amidst all the diversities of condition, of talents, of education, and natural disposition, with which it is associated ; every where rising above nature, and the powers of the natural man, and unlimited in its goings on by the forms in which the understanding seeks to comprehend and confine its spiritual energies. "There are diversities of gifts, but the same spirit;" and it is no less true now, than in the age of the Apostles, that in all lands, and in every variety of circunstances, the manifestations of spiritual life are essentially the same; and all who truly believe in heart, however diverse in natural condition, in the character of their understandings, and even in their theoretical views of truth, are one in Christ Jesus. The essential faith is not to be found in the understand-
tot a Theory, or a hy of Life, but a ore, so properly a And although the d the motives of rtain extent, conal principle of reliving faith is not speculative error. owledge caunot of d life, so neither of its growth, depeculative knowlon, of which our rm and the living Spirit, has a prinnet from the unity This we have eviristian character ; he power of reliinds but little giftersed in the forms , does the living fic character, the es of condition, of a, with which it is $e$, and the powers rs on by the forms end and confine its gifts, but the same e age of the Aposof circumstances, entially the same ; : diverse in natu derstandings, and one in Christ Je in the understand-

> predrminany fasdy.

XXV
ing or the speculative theory, but "the Life, the Substance, the Hope, the Love-in one word, the Fuith-these are Derivatives from the practical, moral, and spiritual Nature and Being of Man." Speculative systems of theology indecd have often had little connexion with the essential spirit of religion, and are usually little more than schemes resulting from the strivings of the finite understanding to comprehend and exlibit under its own forms and conditions a mode of being and spiritual truths essentially diverse from their proper objects, and with which they are incoinmensurate.

This I am aware is an imperfeet, and I fear may be an unintelligible view, of a subject exceedingly difficult of appreliension at the best. If so, I must beg the reader's indulgence, and request him to suspend his judgment, as to the absolute intelligibility of it, till he becomes acquainted with the language and sentiments of the work itself. It will, however, 1 hope, be so far understood, at least, as to answer the purpose for which it was introduced-of precluding the supposition, that, in the remarks which preceded, or in those which follow, any suspicion is intended to be expressed, with regard to the religious principles or the essential faith of those who hold the opinions in question. According to this view of the inherent and essential nature of Spiritual Religion, as existing in the practical reason of man, we may not only admit, but can better understand, the possibility of what every charitable christian will acknowledge to be a fact, so far as human observation can determine facts of this sort-that a man may he truly religious, and essentially a believer at heart, while his understanding is sadly bewildered with the attempt to comprehend and express philosophically, what yet he feels and knows spiritually. It is indeed impossible for us to tell, how far the understanding may impose upon itself by partial views and false disguises, without perverting the will, or estranging it from the laws and the authority of reason and the Divine Word. We cannot say, to what extent a false system of philosophy and metaphysical opinions, which in their natural and uncounteracted tendency would go to destroy all religion, may
be received in a christian community, and yet the power of spiritual religion retain its hold and its efficacy in the hearts of the people. We may perhaps believe that, in opposition to all the might of false philosophy, so long as the great body of the people have the Bible in their hands, and are taught to reverence and receive its heavenly instructions, though the church may suffer injury from unwise and unfruitful speculations, it will yet be preserved; and that the spiritual seed of the Divine Word, though mingled with many tares of worldly wisdom, and philosophy falsely so called, will yet spring up, and bear fruit unto everlasting life.

But though we inay hope and believe this, we cannot avoid believing, at the same time, that injury must result from an unsuspecting confidence in metaphysical opinions, whieh are essentially at variance with the doctrines of revelation. Especially must the effect be injurious, where those opinions lead gradually to alter our views of religion itself, and of all that is peculiar in the Christian system. The great mass of community, who know little of metaphysics and whose faith in revelation is not so readily influenced by speculations not immediately connected with it, may, indeed, for a time, escape the evil, and continue to "receive with meekness the ingrafted word." But in the minds of the better cducated, especially those who think and follow out their conclusions with resolute independence of thought, the result must be either a loss of confidence in the opinions themselves, or a rejection of all those parts of the christian system which are at variance with them. Under particular circumstances, indeed, where both the metaphysical errors, and the great doctrines of the christian faith, have a strong hold upon the minds of a community, a protracted struggle may take place, and carnest and long continued efforts may be made to reconcile opinions, which we are resolved to maintain, with a faith which our consciences will not permit us to abandon. But so long as the effort continues, and such opinions retain their hold upon our confidence, it must be with some diminution of the fulness and simplicity of our faith. To a greater or less degree, accord-
et the power of cy in the hearts , in opposition to he great body of nd are taught to ions, though the unfruitful specuspiritual seed of tares of woildly ll yet spring up,
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ing to the cducation and habits of thought in different individuals, the Word of God is received with doubt, or with such glozing modifications as enervate its power. Thus the light from heaven is intercepted, and we are left to a shadow-fight of metaphysical schemes and metaphorical interpretations. While one party, with conscientious and carnest endeavors, and at great expense of talent and ingenuity, contends for the faith, and among the possible shapings of the received metaphysical system, seeks that which will best comport with the simplicity of the gospel, another more boldly interprets the langnage of the gospel itself, in conformity with those views of religion to which their philosophy seems obviously to conduct thein. The substantial being, and the living energy, of that Wond, which is not only the light but the life of men, is either misapprehended or denied by all parties; and even those who contend for what they conceive the literal import of the gospel, do it-as they must to avoid too glaring absurdity-with such explanations of its import, as make it to become, in no small degree, the "words of man's wisdem," rather than a simple "demonstration of the spirit, and of power." Hence, although such as have experienced the spiritual and life-giving power of the Divine Word, may be able, through the promised aids of the spirit, to overcome the natural tendency of speculative error, and, by "the law of the spirit of life" which is in them, may at length be made " free from the law of sin and death," yet who can tell how much they may lose of the blessings of the gospel, and be retarded in their spiritual growth when they are but too often fed with the lifeless and starveling products of the human understanding, instead of that "living bread which came down from heaven." Who can tell, moreover, how many, through the prevalence of such philosophical errors as lead to misconceptions of the truth, or create a prejudice against it, and thus tend to intercept the light from heaven, may continue in their ignorance, "alienated from the life of God," and groping in the darkness of their own understandings.

But however that may be, enlightened christians, and espe-
cially christian instructers, know it to be their duty, as far as possible, to prepare the way for the full and unobstructed influence of the Gospel, to do all in their power to remove those natural prejudices, and those errors of the understanding, which are obstacles to the truth, that the word of God may find access to the heart, and conscience, and reason of every man, that it may have " free course, and run, and be glerified." My own belief, that such obstacles to the influence of truth exist in the speculative and metaphysical opinions generally adopted in this country, and that the present work is in some measure at least calculated to remove them, is pretty clearly indicated by the remarks which I have already made. But, to be perfectly explicit on the subject, I do not hesitate to express my conviction, that the natural tendency of some of the leading principles of our prevailing system of metaphysics, and those which must unavoidably have more or less influence on our theoretical views of religion, are of an injuious and dangerous tendency, and that so long as we retain them, however we may profess to exclude their influence from our theological enquiries, and from the interpretation of Scripture, we can maintain no consistent system of Scriptural theology, nor clearly and distinctly apprehend the spiritual import of Scripture language. The grounds of this conviction I shall proceed to exhibit, though only in a very partial manner, as I could not do more without anticipating the contents of the work itself, instead of merely preparing the reader to peruse them with attention. I am aware, too, that some of the language, which I have already employed, and shall be obliged to employ, will not convey its full import to the reader, till he becomes acquainted with some of the leading principles and distinctions unfolded in the work. But this, also, is an evil, which I saw no means of avoiding without incurring a greater, and writing a book instead of a brief essay.

Let it be understood, then, without farther preface, that by the prevailing system of metaphysies, I mean the system, of which in modern times Locke is the reputed author, and the leading principles of which, with various modifications, more
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or less important, but not altering its essential character, have been almost universally received in this country. It should be observed, too, that the eauses enumerated in the Appendix of this work, pp. 393-395, as having elevated it to its "pride of place" in Europe, have been aided by other favouring circumstances here. In the minds of our religious community especially some of its most important doctrines have become associated with names justly loved and revered ainong ourselves, and so connected with all our theoretical views of religion, that one can hardly hope to question their validity without hazarding his reputation, not only for orthodoxy, but even for common sense. To controvert, for example, the prevailing doctrines with regard to the freedom of the will, the sources of our knowledge, the nature of the understanding as containing the controlling principles of our whole being, and the universality of the law of cause and effect, even in connexion with the arguments and the authority of the most powerful intelleet of the age, may even now be worse than in vain. Yet I have reasons for believing there are some among us, and that their number is fast increasing, who are willing to revise their opinions on these subjects, and who will contemplate the views presented in this work with a liberal, and something of a prepared feeling, of curiosity. The diffieulties, in which men find themselves involved by the received doctrines on these subjects, in their most anxious efforts to explain and defend the peculiar doctrines of spiritual religion, have led many to suspect, that there must be somo lurking error in the premises. It is not, that these principles lead us to mysteries, which we cannot comprehend-they are found, or believed at least by many, to involve us in absurdities, which we can comprehend. It is necessary, indeed, only to form some notion of the distinetive and appropriate import of the term spiritual, as opposed to natural in the N. T., and then to look at the writings, or hear the discussions, in which the doctrines of the spirit and of spiritual influences are taught and defended, to see the insurmountable nature of the obstacles, which these metaphysical dogmas throw in the way of the most powerful minds. To
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those who shall read this work with any degree of rellection, it must, I think, be obvious, that something more is implied in the continual opposition of these terms in the N. T., than can be explained consistently with the prevailing opinions on the suljects above enumerated; and that, through their influence our highest notions of that distinction have been rendered confused, contradictory, and inadequate. I have already directed the attention of the reader to those parts of the work, where this distinction is unfolded ; and had 1 no other grounds than the arguments and views there exhibited, I should be convinced, that so long as we hold the doctrines of Loeke and the Scotch metaphysieians respecting power, cause and effect, motives, and the freedom of the will, we not only can make and defend no essential distinction between that which is natural, and that which is spiritual, but we cannot even find rational grounds for the feeling of moral obligation, and the distinction between regret and remorse.

According to the system of these authors, as nearly and distinetly as my limits will permit me to state it, the same law of cause and effect is the law of the universe. It extends to the moral and spiritual-if in courtesy these terms may still be used-no less than to the properly natural powers and agencies of our being. The acts of the free-will are pre-determined by a cause out of the will, according to the same law of cause and effect, which controls the changes in the physical world. We have no notion of power but uniformity of antecedent and consequent. The notion of a power in the will to act freely, is therefore nothing more than an inherent eapacity of being acted upon, agrecably to its nature, and according to a fixed law, by the motives which are present in the understanding. I feel authorized to take this statement partly from Brown's philosophy, because that work has been decidedly approved by our highest theological authorities; and indeed it would not be essentially varied, if expressed in the precise terms usnd by any of the writers most usually quoted in reference to these subjects.

I am aware that variations may be found in the mode of
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stating these doctrines, but I think every candid reader, who is acquainted with the metaphysics and theology of this countiy, will admit the above to be a fair representation of the form in which they are generally received. I am aware, too, that much has heen said and written to make out consistently with these general principles, a distinction between naturol and moral causes, natural and moral ability, and inability, \&c. But I beg all lovers of sound and rational philosophy to look carefully at the general principles, and see whether there be, in fact, ground left for any such distinctions of this kind as are worth contending for. My first step in arguing with a defender of these principles, and of the distinctions in question, as connected with them, would be to ask for his definition of nature and natural. And when he had arrived at a distinctive general notion of the import of these, it would appear, if I mistake not, that he had first subjected our whole being to the law of nature, and then contended for the existenee of something which is not nature. For in their relation to the law of moral rectitude, and to the feeling of moral responsibility, what difference is there, and what difference can there be, between what are called natural and those which are called moral powers and affiections, if they are all under the control of the same universal law of cause and effect. If it still be a mero nature, and the determinations of our will be controlled by causes out of the will, according to our nature, then I maintain that a moral nature has no more to do with the feeling of responsibility than any other nature.

Perhaps the difficulty may be made more obvious in this way. It will be admitted that brutes are possessed of various natures, some innocent or useful, others noxious, but all alike irresponsible in a moral point of view. But why? Simply because they act in accordance with their autures. They possess, each according to its proper nature, certain appetites and susecptibilities, which are stimulated and acted upon by their appropriate objects in the world of the senses, and the rela-tion-the law of action and reaction-subssisting hetween these specific susceptibilities and their corres onding outward ob-

jects, constitutes their nature. They have a power of selecting and choosing in the world of sense the objects appropriate to the wants of their nature; but that nature is the sole law of their being. Their power of choice is but a part of $i t$, instrumental in accomplishing its ends, but not capable of rissing above it, of controlling its inpulses, and of determining itself with reference to a purely ideal law, distinct from their nature. They act in accordance with the law of cause and effect, which constitutes their several natures, and cannot do otherwise. They are, therefore, not responsible-not capable of guill, or of remorse.
Now let us suppose another being, possessing, in addition to the susceptibilities of the brute, certain other specific susceptibilities with their correlative objects, either in the sensible world, or in a future world, but that these are subjected, like the other to the same binding and inalienable law of cause and effect. What, I ask, is the amount of the difference thus supposed between this being and the brute : The supposed addition, it is to be understood, is merely an addition to its nature; and the only power of will belonging to it is, as in the case of the brute, only a capacity of choosing and acting uniformly in accordance with its nature. These additional susceptibilitics still act but as they are acted upon; and the will is determined accordingly. What advantage is gained in this case by calling those supposed additions moral affections, and their correlative stimulants moral causes? Do we thereby find any rational ground for the fecling of moral responsibility, for conscience, for remorse? The being acts according to its nature, and why is it blameworthy more than the brute? If the moral cause existing out of the will be a power or cause which, in its relation to the specific susceptibility of the moral being, producesunder the same circumstances uniformly the same result, according to the law of cause and effect; if the acts of the will be subject to the same law, as mere links in the chain of antecedents and consequents, and thus a part of our nature, what is gained, $I$ ask again, by the distinction of a moral and a physical nature. It is still only a nature under the law of
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cause and effect, and the liberty of the moral being is under the sume comatition with the liberty of the brute. Both are fice to follow and fulfil the law of their mature, and both are alike bound by thut tave, as by an adamantine chain. The very conditions of the law preelude the possibility of a power to act oherwise than according to their nature. 'They preclude the very idea of a free-will, and render the feeling of moral responsibility not an enigma merely, not a mystery, but a self-contradiction and an absurdity.
Turn the matter as wo will-call these correlatives, viz. the inherent susceptibilities and the causes acting on them from without, natural, or moral, or spiritual-so long as their action and reaction, or the law of reciprocity, (see note 67), which constitutes their specific natures, is considered as the controlling lav of our whole being, so long as we refuse to admit the existence in the will of a power capable of rising above this law, and controlling its operation by an act of absolute selfdetermination, so long we shall be involved in perplexities both in morals and religion. At all events, the only method of avoiding them will be to adopt the creed of the necessitarians entire, to give man over to an irresponsible nature as a better sort of animal, and resolve the will of the Supreme Reason into a blind and irrational fate.
I am well aware of the objections that will be made to this statement, and especially the demonstrated incomprehensibleness of a self-determining po\%er. To this I may be permitted to answer, that, admitting the power to originate an act or state of mind to be heyond the capacity of our understandings to comprehend, it is still not contradictory to reason; and that I find it more easy to believe the existence of that, which is simply incomprehensible to my understanding, than of that, which involves an absurdity for my reason. I venture to affirm, morcover, that however we may bring our understandings into boudage to the more comprehensible doctrine, sianply because it is comprehensible under the forms of the understanding, eyery man does, in fact, believe himself possessed of freedom in the ligher sense of self-determination. Every
man's conscience commands him to believe it, whenever for a moment he indulges the feeling either of moral self-approbation, or of remorse. Nor can we on any other grounds justify the ways of God to man upon the supposition, that he inflicts or will inflict any other punishment, than that which is simply remedial or disciplinary. But this subject will be found more fully explained in the course of the work. My present object is merely to show the necessity of some system in relation to these subjects different from the received one.

It may perhaps be thought, that the language used above is too strong and too positive. But I venture to ask every candid man, at least every one, who has not committed himself by writing and publishing on the subject, whether, in considering the great questions connected with moral aecountability and the doctrine of rewards and punishments, he has not felt himself pressed with such difficulties as those above stated; and whether he has ever been able fully to satisfy his reason, that there was not a lurking eontradiction in the idea of a being created and placed under the law of its nature, and possessing at the same time a feeling of moral obligation to fulfil a law above its nature. That many have been in this state of mind I know. I know, too, that some, whose mors' and religious feelings had led them to a full belief in the doctrines of spiritual religion, but who at the same time had been taught to receive the prevailing opinions in metaphysies, have found these opinions carrying them unavoidably, if they would be consequent in their reasonings, and not do volence to their reason, to adopt a system of religion which does not profess to be spiritual, and have thus been compelled to choose between their philosophy and their religion. In most cases indeed, where men reflect at all, I am satisfied that it requires all the force of authority, and all the influence of education, to carry the mind over these difficulties; and that then it is only by a vague belief, tha', though we cannot see how, yet there must be some method of reconciling what seems to be so contradictory.
If examples were wanting to prove that serious and trying difficulties are felt to exist here, enough may be found, as it
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has appenred to me, in the controversy respecting the nature and origin of sin, which is at this moment interesting the publie mind. Let any impartial observer trace the progress of that discussion, and after examining the distinctions, which are made or attempted to be made, decide whether the suhject, as there presented, be not involved in difficulties, which eannot be solved on the principles, to which, hitherto, both parties have adhered; whether, holding as they do the same premises in regard to the freedom of the will, they can avoid coming to the same conclusion in regard to the nature and origin of $\sin$; whether, in fact, the distinctions ained at must not prove merely verbal distinetions, and the controversy a fruitless one. But in the September number of the Christian Spectator, the reader will find remarks on this subject, to which I beg leave to refer him, and which I could wish him attentively to consider in connexion with the remarks which I have made. I allude to the correspondence with the editors near the end of the number. The letter there inserted is said to be, and obviously is, from the pen of a very learned and able writer; and I confess it has been no small gratification and encouragement to me, while labouring to bring this work and this subject before the public, to find such a state of feeling expressed, concerning the great question at issue, by such a writer. It will be seen by reference to $p .545$ of the $C$. $S$., that he places the " mucleus of the dispute" just where it is placed in this work and in the above remarks. It will be seen, too, that by throwing authorities aside, and studying his own mind, he has "come seriousiy to doubt."" whether the received opirtions with regard to motives, the law of cause and effect, and the freedom of the will, may not be erroneous. They appear to him " to be bordering on fatalism, if not actually embracing it." He doubts, whether the mind may not have within itself the adequate cause of its own acts; whether indeed it have not a self-determining power, "for the power in question involves the idea of originating volition. Less than this it cannot be conceived to involve, and yet be free ageney." Now this is just the view offered in the present worl; and, as it seems to
me, these are just the doubts and conclusions, which every , he will entertain, who lays aside authority, and reflects upon the goings-on of his own mind, and the dietates of his own reason and conscience.

But let us look for a moment at the remarks of the editors in reply to the letter above quoted. They maintain, in relation to origisal sin and the perversion of the will, that from either the original or the acquired strength of certain natural appetites, principles of self-love, \&e., "left to themselves," the corruption of the heart will certainly follow. "In every instance the will does, in fact, yield to the demands of these. But whenever it thus yielded, there was power to the contrary; otherwise there could be no freedom of moral actien." Now I beg leave to place $m y$ finger on the phrase in italies, and ask the editors what they merti by it. If they hold the common doctrines with regaril to the relation of cause and effect, and with regirid to power as connected with that relation, and apply these to the ets of the will, I can see no more possibility of conceiving a power to the contrary in this case, than of coneciving such a power in the current of a river. But if they mean to assert the cxistence in the will of an actual powcr to rise above the demands of appetite, \&e., above the law of nature, and to decide arbitraily, whether to yield or not to yield, then they admit, that the will is not determined absolutely by the extraneous couse, but is in fact self-determined. They agree with the letter-writer; and the question for them is at rest. Thus, whatever distinctions may be attempted here, there can be no real distinction, but between an irresponsible nature and a will that is self-determined. The reader will find a few additional remarks on this topic in note 45 , and for the general views of the work is again referred to note 29, and the references there made. To the subjeet of that note and to the great distinction between nature and the will, hetween the natural and the spiritual, as unfolded in the work, I must berg leare, also, again to reguest the special and candid attention"of the reader. I must beg, too, the umprejurliecd attenlion of every cader, friendly to the cause of practical and
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spiritual religion, to the tendency of this part of the author's system, and of the renarks hazarded above.

I cannot but be aware, that the views of the will here exhibited will meet with strong prejudices in a large portion, at least, of our roligious community. I could wish that all such would earefully distinguish between the author's views of the doctrines of religion, and the philosophiral grounds, on which he supposes those doctrines are to be defended. If no one disputes, and I trust no one will dispute, the substantial orthodoxy of the work, without first carefully examining what has been the orthodoxy of the church in general, and of the great body of the reformers, then I could hope it may be wisely considerea, whether, as a question of philosophy, the metaphysical principles of this work are not in themselves more in accordance with the doctrines of a spiritual religion, and better suited to their explanation and defence, than those above treated of. If on examination it camot he disputed that they are, then, if not before, I trust the two systems may be compared without undue rartiality, and the simple question of the truth of each may be determined by that calm and persevering reflection, which alone can determine questions of this sort.

If the system here taught be true, then it will follow, not, be it observed, that our religion is necessarily wrong, or our essential faith erroneous, but that the philosophical grounds, on which we are accustomed to defend our faith, are unsafe, and that their natural tendency is to error. If the spirit of the gospel still exert its influence; if a truly spiritual religion be maintained, it is in opposition to our philosophy, and not at all by its aid. I know it will be said, that the practical results of our peculiar forms of doctrine are at variance with these remarks. But this I am not prepared to admit. True, religion and religious institutions have flourished; the gospel, in many parts of our country, has been affectionately and faithfully preached by great and good men; the word and the spirit of God have been communicated to us in rich abundance; and I rejoice, with heartiett joy and thanksgiving, in the belief, that thereby multiturles, have been regenerated to a new and
spiritual life. But so were equal or greater effects produced under the preaching of Baxter, and Howe, and other good and faithful men of the same age, with none of the peculiarities of our theological systems. Neither reason nor experience indeed furnish any ground for believing, that the living and life-giving power of the Divine Word has ever derived any portion of its efficacy, in the conversion of the heart to God, from the forms of metaphysical theology, with which the lhuman understanding has invested it. It requires, moreover, but little knowledge of the history of philosophy, and of the writings of the 16 th and 17 th centuries to know, that the opinions of the reformers and of all the great divines of that period, on subjects of this sort, were far different from those of Mr. Locke and his followers, and were in fact essentially the same with those taught in this work. This last remark applies not only to the views entertained by the eminent philosophers and divines of that period on the particular subject above discussed, but to the distinctions made, and the language employed, by them with reference to other points of no less importance in the constitution of our being.
It must have been observed by the reader of the foregoing pages, that I have used several words, especially understanding and reason, in a sense somewhat diverse from their present acceptation; and the occasion of this I suppose would be partly understood from my häving already directed the attention of the reader to the distinction exhibited between these words in the work, and from the remarks made on the ambiguity of the word reason in its common use. I now proceed to remark, that the ambiguity spoken of, and the consequent perplexity in regard to the use and authority of reason, have arisen from the habit of using, since the time of Locke, the terms understanding and reason indiscriminately, and thus confounding a distinction clearly marked in the philosophy and in the language of the older writers. Alas! had the terms only been confounded, or had we suffered only an inconvenient ambiguity of language, there would'be comparatively little cause for earnestness upon the subject; or had our views of the things
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signified by these terms been only partially confused, and had we still retained correct notions of our prerogative, as rational and spiritual beings, the consequences might have been less deplorable. But the misfortune is, that the powers of understanding and reason have not merely been bleaded and confounded in the view of our philosophy, the higher and far more characteristic, as an essential constituent of our proper humanity, has been as it were obscured and hidden from our observation in the inferior power, which belongs to us in common with the brutes that perish. According to the old, the more spiritual, and genuine philosophy, the distinguishing attributes of our humanity-that "image of God" in which man alone was created of all the dwellers upon earth, and in virtue of which he was placed at the head of this lower world, was said to be found in the reason and free-will. But understanding these in their strict and proper sense and according to the true ideas of them, as contemplated by the older metaphysicians, we have literally, if the system of Locke and the popular philosophy of the day be true, neither the one nor the other of these-neither reason nor free-will. What they esteemed the image of God in the soul, and considered as distinguishing us specifically, and so vastly too, above each and all of the irrational animals, is found, according to this system, to have in fact no real existence. The reality neither of the frec-will, nor of any of those laws or ideas, which spring from, or rather constitute, reason, can be authenticated by the sort of proof which is demanded, and we must therefore relinquish our prerogative, and take our place with becoming humility among our more unpretending companions. In the ascending series of powers, enumerated by Milton, with so much philosophical truth, as well as beauty of language, in the fifth book of Paradise Lost, he mentions

> Fancy and understanding, whence the soul
> Rrason receives. And reason is her being,
> Discursive or intuitive.

But the highest power here, that which is the Being of the soul, considered as any thing differing in kind from the under-
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standing, has no place in our popular metaphysies. Thus we have only the understanding, "the faculty judging according to sense," a faculty of abstracting and generalizing, of contrivance and forecast, as the highest of our intellectual powers; and this we are expressly taught belongs to us in common with brutes. Nay, these views of our essential being, consequences and all, are adopted by men, whom one would suppose religion, if not philosophy, should have taught their utter inadequateness to the true and essential constituents of our bumanity. Dr. Paley tells us in his Nat. Theology, that only "contrivance," a power obviously and confessedly belonging to brutes, is necessary to constitute personality. His whole system both of theology and morals neither teaches, nor implies, the existence of any specific difference either between the understanding and reason, or between nature and the will. It does not inply the existence of any power in man, which docs not obviously belong in a greater or less degree to irrational animals. Dr. Fleming, another reverend prelate in the English chureh, in his "Philosophy of Zoology," maintains in express terms, that we have no faculties differing in kind from those which belong to brutes. How many other learned, and reverend, and wise inen adopt the same opinions, I know not : though these are are obviously not the peculiar views of the individuals, but conclusions resulting from the essential principles of their system. If, then, there is no better system, if this be the genuine philosophy, and founded in the nature of things, there is no help for us, and we must believe it-if we can. But most certainly it will follow, that we ought, as fast as the prejudices of education will permit, to rid ourselves of certain notions of prerogative, and certain feelings of our own superiority, which somelow have been strangely prevalent among our race. For though we have indeed, according to this system, a litte more understanding than other animalscan abstract and gencralize and fore-cast events, and the consequences of our actions, and compare motives more skilfully than they; though we have thus more knowledge and can circumvent them; though we have more power and can subdue
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DMEAIMINARY ESSAY.
them; yet, as to any distinctive and peculiar characteristieas to any inherent and essential worth, we are after all but little better-though we may be better off-than our dogs and horses. There is no essential differenee, and we may rationally doult-at least we might do so, if by the supposition we were rational beings-whether our fellow animals of the kennel and the stall are not unjustly deprived of ecrtain personol rights, and whether a dog eharged with trespass may not rationally elaim to be tried by a jury of his peers. Now however trilling and ridiculous this may appear, I would ask in truth and soberness, if it be not a fair and legitimate inference from the premises, and whether the absurdity of the one does not demonstrate the utter falsity of the other. And where, I would beg to know, shall we look, aceording to the popular system of philosophy, for that "image of God" in which we are created? Is it a thing of degrees? and is it simply because we have something nore of the same faculties which belong to brutes, that we become the objects of God's special and fatherly care, the distinguished objects of his Providence, and the sole objects of his Grace ?-" Doth God take care for oxen?" But why not?

I assure my readers, that I have no desire to treat with disrespect and contumely the opinions of great or good men ; but the distinction in question, and the assertion and exhibition of the higher prerogatives of reason, as an essential constituent of our being, are so vitally important, in my apprehension, to the formation and support of any rational system of philosophy, and-no less than the distinction before treaied of-so pregnant of eonsequences to the interests of truth, in morals, and religion, and indeed of all truth, that mere opision and the authority of names may well be disregarded. The disenssion, moreover, relates to facts, and to such facts, too, as are not to be learned from the instruction, or received on the authority, of any man. They must be ascertained by every man for himself, by reflection upon the processes and laws of his own inward being, or they are not learned at all to any valuahe purpose. We do indeed find in ourselves then, as no one
will deny, certain powers of intelligence, which we have abundaut reason to helieve the brutes possess in conmon with us in a greater or less degree. The finnctions of the understanding, as treated of in the popular systems of metaphysies, its faculties of attention, of abstraction, of generalization, the power of forethought and contrivance, of adapting means to ends, and the law of association, may be, so far as we can udge, severally represented more or less adequately in the instinctive intelligence of the higher orders of brutes. But, , not to anticipate too far a topic treated of in the work, do these, or any and all the facultics which we discover in irrational animals, satisfactorily account to a reflecting mind for all the phrnomena, which are presented to our obscrvation in our own consciousness? Would any supposeble addition to the degree merely of those powers which we ascribe to brutes render them rational beings, and remove the sacred distinction, which law and reason have sanctioned, between things and persons? Will any such addition account for our havingwhat the brute is not supposed to have-the pure ideas of the geometrician, the power of ideal construction, the intuition of geometrical or other necessary and unives al truths? Would it give rise, in irrational animals, to a law of moral rectitude and to conscience-to the feelings of moral responsibility and remorse? Would it awaken them to a reflective self-consciousness, and leal them to form and contemplate the ideas, of the soul, of free-will, of immortality, and of God. It seems to me, that we have only to reflect for a serious hour upoin what we mean by these, and then to compare them with our notion of what belongs to a brute, its inherent powers and their correlative objects, to feel that they are utterly incompatiblethat in the possession of aese we enjoy a prerogative, which we cannot disclaim withnut a violation of reason, and a voluntary abasement of ourselves-and that we must therefore be possessed of some peculiar powers-of some source of ideas distinct from the understanding, differing in kind from anaj and all of those which belong to us in common with inferior and irrational animals.
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But what these powers are, or what is the precise nature of the distinction between the understanding and reason, it is not my province, nor have I undertaken, to show. My olject is merely to illustrate its necessity, and the palpable obscurity, vagueness, and deficiency, in this respect, of the mode of philosophizing, which is held in so high honour among us. The distinction itself will be found illustrated with some of its important bearings in the work, and in the notes and $A$ ppendix attached to it ; and cannot be too carefully studied-in connexion with that between nature and the will-by the student who would acquire distinct and intelligible notions of what constitutes the truly spiritual in our being, or find rational grounds for the possibility of a truly spiritual religion. Indeed, could I sueceed in fixing the attention of the reader upon this distinction, in such a way as to secure his candid and reflecting perusal of the work, I should consider any personal effort of sacrifice abundantly recompensed. Nor am I alone in this view of its importance. A literary friend, whose opinion on this subject would be valued by all who know the soundness of his seholarship, says, in a letter just now received, "if you can onec get the attention of thinking men fixed on his distinction between the reason and the understanding, you will have done enough to reward the labour of a life. As prominent a place as it holds in the writings of Coleridge, he seems to me far enough from making too much of it." No person of serious and philosophical mind, I am confident, can reflect upon the subjeet, enough to understand it in its various aspects, without arriving at the same views of the importance of the distinction, whateve: may be his convietion with regard to its truth.

But indeed the only ground, which I find, to apprehend that the reality of the distinction and the importance of the consequences resulting from it will be much longer denied and rejected among us, is in the overweening assurance, which prevails with regard to the adequateness and perfection of the system of philosophy which is already received. It is taken for granted, as a fact undisputed and indisputable, that this is the most enlightened age of the world, not only in regard to
the more gencral diffusion of certain points of practical knowlcilge, in which, probably, it may be so, but in all respects; that our whole system of the philosophy of mind as derived irom Ld. Batoon, especially, is the only one, which has any elaims to common sense; and that all distinctions not recognized in that are consequently unworthy of our regard. What those reformers, to whose transeendent powers of mind, and to whose characters as truly spiritual divines, we are accustomed to look with feelings of so much general regard, might find to say in favour of their philosophy, few take the pains to inquire. Neither they nor the great philosophers, with whom they held communion on subjects of this sort, can appear anong us to speak in their own defence; and even the huge Folios and Quartos, in which, though dead, they yet speak-and ought to he heard-have seldom strayed to this side of the Atlantic. Ill our information respecting their philosophical opinions, and the grounds on which they deferded them, has been received from writers, who were confessedly advocating a system of recent growth, at open war with every thing more ancient, and who, in the great abundance of their self-complacency, have represented their own discoveries as containing the sum and substance of all philosophy, and the accumulated treasures of ancient wisdom as unworthy the attention of "this enligktened age." Be it so.-Yet the "foolishness" of antiquity, if it be "of God," may prove "wiser than men." It may be found, that the philosophy of the reformers and their religion are essentially connected, and must stand or fall together. It may at length be discovered, that a system of religion essentially spiritual, and a system of philosophy that exeludes the very idea of all spiritual power and ageney, in their only dis. tinctive and proper character, cannot be consistently associated together.
It is our peenliar misfortune in this country, that while the philosoply of Locke and the Scottish writers has been received in full faith, as the ouly rational system, and its leading principles especially passed of as unquestionable, the strong attachment to religion, and the fondness for speculation, by
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both of which we are strongly characterized, have led us to combine and associate these principles, sueh as they are, with our religions interests and opinions, so varionsly and so intimately, that lig most persons they are considered as necessiry parts of the same system; and from being so long contemplated together, the rejection of one seems innossible without doing violence to the other. Yet how much evidence might not an impartial observer find in examining the theological discussions that have prevailed, the speculative systems, that have been fomed and arrayed against each other, for the last seventy years, to eonvince him, that there must be some discordance in the elements, some principle of secret but irreconcilable hostility between a philosophy and a religion, whieh, under every ingenions variety of form and shaping, still stand aloof from each other, and refuse to cohere. For is it not a fact, that in regard to every speculative system, which has been tormed on these philosophical prineiples,-to every new shaping of theory, which has been devised and gained its atherents among us, - is it mot a fact, I ask, that, to all, except those atherents, the system-the philosophical theory-has seemed dangerous in its tendency, and at war with orthodox views of religion-perhaps even with the attributes of God. Nay, to bring the matter still nearer and more plainly to view, l ask, whether at this moment the organs and particular friends of our leading theological seminaries in New England, both devotedly attached to an orthodox and spiritual system of religion, and expressing mutual confidence as to the essentials of their mutual faith, do not each consider the other as holding a philosophical theory subversive of orthodoxy? If I am not misinformed, this is the simple faet.

Now, if these things be so, 1 would ask again with all earnestness, and out of regard to the interests of truth alone, whether serious and reflecting men may not be permitted, without the charge of heresy in Religion, te stand in doubt of this Pintosophy altogether; whether these facts, which will not be disputed, do not furnish just ground for suspicion, that the prineiples of our philosophy may be croncous, or at least in-
duce us to look with candour and impartiality at the claims of another and a diflerent system.

What are the claims of the system, to which the attention of the public is invited in this work, ean be understood fully, only by a careful and rellecting examination of its principles in connexion with the conscious wants of our own inward be-ing-the requirements of our own reason and conseiences. Its purpose and tendency, I have endeavoured in some measure to exhibit; and if the influence of authority, which the prevailing system furnishes against it ean, and must be counteracted by any thing of a like kind-(and whatever professions we may make, the influence of authority produces at least a predisposing effect upon our minds) -the remark which I have made, will show, that the principles here taught are not wholly unauthorized by men, whom we have been taught to reverence among the great and good. 1 cannot but add, as a matter of simple justice to the question, that however our prevailing system of philosophizing may have appealed to the authority of Lord Bacon, it needs but a candid examination of his writings, especially the first part of his Novum Organum, to be convinced, that such an appeal is without grounds; and that in fact the fundamental principles of his philosophy are the same with those taught in this work. The great distinction, especially, between the understanding and the reason is clearly and fully recognized; and as a philosopher he would be far more properly associatel with Plato or even Aristotle, than with the modern philosophers, who have miscalled theit systems hy his name. For farther remarks on this point, the reader is requested to refer to notes 50 and 59. In our own times, moreover, there is abundant svidence, whatever may be thought of the principles of this work here, that the same general views of philosophy are regaining their ascendancy elsewhere. In Great Britain there are not a few, who begin to believe, that the deep toned and sublime eloquence of Coleridge on these great stibjects may have something to claim their attention hesides a few peculiaritios of language. At Paris, the doctrines of a rational and spiritual system of phi-
$y$ at the claims of hich the attention moderstood fully, 1 of its principles or own inward beand consciences. ed in some measrority, which the nd must be counwhatever profesority produces at -the remark which ere taught are not e been taught to not but add, as a that however our ve appealed to the did examination of Novum Organum, out grounds; and is philosophy are e great distinction, be reason is clearor he would be far en Aristotle, than iscalled theit syson this point, the 159. In our own ee, whatever may ere, that the same their ascendancy a few, who begin eloquence of Colemething to claim of language. At tal system of phi-
losophy are taught to listening and admiring thousands by one of the most learned and eloquent philosophers of the age: and in Germany, if I mistake not, the same general views are adopted ly the serious friends of religious truth among her great and learned men.

Such-as I have no doubt-must be the ease, wherever thinking wen can be brought distinetly and impartially to examine their claims; and indeed, to those who shall study and comprehend the general history of philosophy, it must always be matter of special wonder, that in a christian commonity, auxiously striving to explain and defend the doctrines of christianity in their spiritual sense, there should have been a long continued and tenacious adherence to philosophical principles, so subversive of their faith in every thing distinctively spiritual ; while those of an opposite tendency, and claiming a near relationship and corresponalence with the truly spiritual in the christian system, and the mysteries of its sublime faith, were looked upon with suspicion and jealousy, as unintelligible or dangerous metaphysics.

And here I must be allowed to ald a few remarks with regard to the popular objections against the system of philosophy, whose claims I am urging, especially against the writings of the author, under whose name it appears in the present work. These are various aud often contradictory, but usually have reference either to his peculiarities of tanguage, or to the depth-whether apparent or real,-and the unintelligibleness, - of his thoughts.

To the first of these it scems to me a sufficient answer, for a mind that would deal honestly and frankly by itself, to suggest that in the very nature of things it is impossible for a writer to express by a single word any tuth, or to mark any distinction, not recognized in the language of his day, unless he adopts a wo:d entiely new, or gives to one alieady in use a new and more peculiar sense. Now in communicating truths, which the writer deems of great and fundamental importanee, shall he thus appropriate a single word old or new, or trust to the varueness of perpetual circumlocution? Almitting for
eximple, the existence of the important distinction, for which this writer contends, between the understanding and reason, and that this distinction, when recognized at all, is confounded in the common use of language by employing the words indiscriminately, shall he still use these words indiseriminately, and either invent a new word, or mark the distinction by deseriptive circumlocutions, or shall he assign a more distinctive and precise meaning to the words already used? It seems to me obviously more in accordance with the laws and genius of language to take the course, which he has adopted. But in this case and in many others, where his language seems peculiar, it chmot be denied that the words had already been employed in the same sense, and the same distinctions recognized, by the older and many of the most distinguished writers in the language. But the reader will find the author's own views of the subject in the Appendix, ${ }_{1}$ p. 347-348, and pp. 355-357, and p. 397. See also note 22.

With regard to the more important objection, that the thoughts of Coleridge are umintelligible, if it be intended to imply, that his language is not in itself expressive of an intelligible meaning, or that he affects the appearance of depth and mystery, white his thoughts are common-place, it is an objection, which no one who has read his works attentively, and acquired a feeling of interest for them, will treat their author with so much disrespect as to answer at all. Every such reader knows, that he uses words uniformly with astonishing precision, and that language becomes, in his use of it-in a degree, of which fow writers can give us a conception-a living power, "consubstantial" with the power of thought, that gave birth to it, and awakening and calling into action a corresponding energy in our own minds. There is little encouragement, moreover, to answer the objections of any man, who will permit himself to be incurably prejudiced against an author by a few peculiaritics of language, or an apparent difficulty of being understood, and without enquiring into the cause of that difficulty, where at the same time he cannot but see and ackuowledge the presence of great iutellectual and moral power.
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But if it be intended by the objection to say simply, that the thoughts of the author are often diflicult to be appreleadedthat he makes large demands not only upon the attention, but upon the reflecting and thinking powers, of his readers, the fact is not, and need not be, denied; and it will only remain to be decided, whether the instruction offered, as the reward, will repay us for the expenditure of thought refuired, or can be obtained for less. I know it is customary in this country, as well as in Great Britain-and that too among men from whom different language might be expected-to affect either contempt or modesty, in regard to all that is more than com-mon-place in philosophy, and especially "Coleridge's Metaphysics," as "too deep for them." Now it may not be every man's duty, or in every man's power, to devote to such studies the time and thought necessary to understand the deep things of philosophy. But for one, whio professes to be a scholar, and to cherish a manly love of truth for the truth's sake, to object to a system of metaphysics becanse it is "too deep for him," must be either a disingenuous insinuation, that its depths are not worth exploring-which is more than the objector knows-or a confession, that-with all his professed love of truth and knowledge-he prefers to "sleep after dinner." The misfortune is, that men have been cheated into a helief, that all philosophy and metaphysies worth knowing are contained in a few volunes, which can be understood with little expense of thought; and that they may very well spare themselves the vexation of trying to comprehend the depths of "Coleridge's Metaphysics." According to the popular notions of the day, it is a very easy matter to understand the philosophy of mind. A new work on philosophy is as easy to read as the last new novel; and superficial, would-be scholars, who lave a very sensible horror at the thought of studying Algebra, or the doctrine of fluxions, can yet go through a course of moral sciences, and know all about the philosoply of the mind.

Now why will not men of sense, and men who have any just pretensions to scholarship, see that there must of neces-
sity be gross sophistry somewhere in any system of metaphysics, which pretenda to give us an adequate and scientilic self-knowledge-to render comprehensible to us the mysterious laws of our own inward being, with less manly and persevering effort of thought on our part, than is confessedly required to comprehend the simplest of those seiences, all of which are but sone of the phænomena, from which the laws in question are to be inferred? Why will they not see and acknowl-edge-what one would suppose a moment's reflection would teach them-that to attain true self-knowledge by reflection upon the ohjects of our inward conscionsness-not merely to understand the motives of our conduct as conscientious christians, but to know ourselves scientifically as philosophersinust, of necessity, be the most deep and difficult of all our attainments in knowledge? Itrust that what I have already said will be sufficient to expose the absurdity of objections against metuphysies in general, and do something towards showing, that we are in netual and urgent need of a system somewhat deeper than those, the contradictions of which have not without reason made the name of philosophy a terror to the friends of truth and of religion. "False metaphysies can be effeetually counteracted by true metaphysics alone; and if the reasoning be clear, solid, and pertinent, the truth dedueed can never be the less valuable on account of the depth from which it may have been drawn." It is a fact, too, of great importance to be kept in mind, in relation to this subjeet, that in the study of ourselves-in attaining a knowledge of our own being, there are truths of vast concermment, and living at a great depth, which yet no man can draw for another. However the depth may have been fathomed, and the same truth brought up by others, for a light and a joy to their own minds, it must still remain, and be sought for by us, each for himself, at the bottom of the well.

The system of philosophy here taught does not profess to make men philosophers, or-which ought to mean the same hing-to guide them to the knowledge of themselves, without the labour tooth of attention and of severe thinking. If it
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did so, it would have, like the more popular works of phitosophy, far less affinity, than it now has, with the mysteries of religion, aud those profound truths concerning our spiritnal being and destiny, which are revealed in the "things hard to be understood" of St. Paul and of the "heloved disciple." For I cannot but remind my readers again, that the author does not undertake to teach us the philosophy of the human mind, with the exclusion of the truths and influences of religion. He would not undertake to philosophize respecting the being and character of man, and at the same time exclude from his view the very principle which constitutes his proper humanity : he would not, in teaching the doctrine of the solar system, omit to mention the sun, and the law of gravitation. He professes to investigate and unfold the being of man as man, in his higher, his peculiar, and distinguishing attributes. These it is, which are "hard to be understood," and to apprelend which requires the exercise of deep reflection and exhaustiug thought. Nor in aiming at this object would he consider it very philosophical to reject the aid and instruction of eminent writers on the subject of religion, or even of the volume of revelation itself. He would consider St. Augustine as none the less a philosopher, because he became a christian. The Apostles John and Paul were, in the view of this system of philosophy, ;the most rational of all writers, and the New Testament the most philosophical of all books. They are so, because they unfold more fully, than any other, the true and essential principles of our being; because they give us a clearer and deeper insight into those constituent laws of our humanity, which as men, and therefore as philosophers, we are most concerned to know. Not only to those, who seek the practical self-knowledge of the humble, spiritually minded, christian, but to those also, who are impelled by the "heaven deseended zuali deavrov" to study themselves as philosophers, and to make self-knowledge a science, the truths of Scripture are a light and a revelation. The more carnestly we reflect upon these and refer them, whether as christians or as philosophers, to the move ments of our inward being-to the laws which reveal them
selves in our own consciousness, the more fully shall we miderstand, not only the language of Scripture, hut all that most demands and excites the curiosity of the genuine philosopher in the mysterious character of man. It is hy this guiding light, that we can but seareh into and apprehend the constitution of that "marvellous microcosm," which, the more it has been known, has awakened more decply the wonder and admiration of the true philosopher in every age.

Nor would the author of this work, or those who have imbihed the spirit of his system, join with the philosophers of the day in throwing aside and treating with a contempt, as ignorant as it is arrogant, the treasures of ancient wisdons. "IIe," says the son of Sirach, "that giveth his mind to the law of the Most High, and is occupied in the meditation thereof, will seek out the wisdom of all the ancient." In the estimation of the true philosopher, the case should not be greatly altered in the present day; and now that two thousand years have alded such rich and manifold abundance to those ancient "sayings of the wise," he will still approach them with reverence, and receive their instruction with gladness of heart. In secking to explore and unfold those deeper and more solemu mysteries of our being, which inspire us with awe, while they baffle our comprehension, he will especially beware of trusting to his own understanding, or of contradicting, in compliance with the self-flatering inventions of a single age, the universal laith and consciousness of the human race. On such subjects, though he would call no man master, yet neither would he willingly forego the aids to be derived, in the seatch after truth, from those great oracles of human wisdom-those giants in intellectual power, who from generation to generation were admired and venerated by the great and good. Much less could he think it becoming, or consistent with his duty, to hazard the publication of his own thoughts on subjects of the deepest concernment, and on which minds of greatest depth and power had been occupied in former ages, while confessedIy ignorant alike of their dotrines, and of the arguments hy which they are sustained.
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It is in this spirit, that the author of the work here ollered to the publie has prepared himself to deserve the candid and even confiding attention of his readers, with reference to the great subjects of which he treats.

And atthough the clains of the work upon our attention, as of every other work, mast depend more upon its inherent and essential character, than upon the worth and authority of its author, it may get be of service to the reader to know, that he is no hasty or unfurnished adventurer in the department of authorship, to which the work belongs. The discriminating reader of this work cannot fail to discover his profound knowledge of the philosophy of language, the principles of its construction, and the laws of its interpretation. In others of his works, perhaps more fully than in this, there is evidence of an unrivalled mastery over all that pertains both to logic and philology. It has been already intimated, that he is no contemner of the great writers of antiquity and of their wise sentences; and probably few English scholars, even in those days when there were giants of learning in Great Britain, had minds more richly furnished with the treasures of ancient lore. But especially will the reader of his works observe with admiration the profoundness of his philosophical attainments, and his thorough and intimate knowledge, not only of the works and systems of Plato and Aristotle, and of the celebrated philosophers of modern times, but of those too much neglected writings of the Greek and Roman Fathers, and of the great leaders of the reformation, which more particularly qualify him for discussing the subjects of the present work. If these qualifications, and-with all these, and above all-a disposition professed and made evident seriously to value them, chiefly as they enable him more fully and elearly to apprehend and illustrate the truths of the christian system,-if these, 1 say, can give an author a clainr to a scrious and thoughtful attention, then may the work here offered urge its claims upon the reader. My own regard for the cause of truth, for the interests of philosophy, of reason, and of religion, lead me to hope that they may not be urged in vain.

Of his general chams to our regard, whether from exalted personal and moral worth, or from the magnificence of his intellectual 1 owers, and the vast extent and variety of his accumulated stores of knowledge, I shall not venture to speak. If it be true indeed, that a really great mind can be worthily commended, only by those, who adequately both appreciate and comprehend its greatness, there are few, who should undertake to estimate, and set forth in appropriate terms, the intellectual power and moral worth of Samuel Taylor Coleridge. Neither he, nor the public, would be benefited by sueh commendations as I could bestow. The few among us who have read his works with the attention which they descrve, are at no loss what rank to assign him among the writers of the present age; to those, who have not, any language, which I might use, would appear hyperbolical and extravagant. The character and influence of his principles as a philosopher, a moralist, and a christian, and of the writings by which he is enforcing them, do not ultimately depend upon the estimation in which they may now be held; and to posterity he may safely entrust those "productive ideas" and "living words"those

> " $\quad$ truths that wake,"
> "To perish never,"
the possession of which will be for their benefit, and connected with which, in the language of the son of Sirach,-" Ilis own memorial shall not depart away, and his name shall live from generation to generation."
J. M.
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## ADVERTISEMENT.

Is the loolies of several species of Amimals there are fomme certain Parts of which ueither the offiee, the fimetions, hor the relations could le ascertained ly the Compurative Anatomist, till he lad become aequainted with the state of the Animal before hirth. Something sufliciently like this (for the purpose of nu illustration, at least) uplies to the Work here offered to the lublic. In the iutroductory portion there occur several passnges, which the Reader will he puzzeded to decypher, without some information respecting the original design of the Volume, and the Changes it hus undergone duriug its immature nod embryonic state. Ou this account only, I think myself bound to make it known, that tho Work was proposed and logma as a mere Selection fiom the Writings of Arehlishop Leighton, numJor the usull title of The Beauties of Archbishop Leighton, with a few notes and a biographical preface hy the Selector. Hence the term, Editor, sulseribed to the notes, and prefixed ulone or conjointly to the Aphorisms, accordingly as the Pussnge was written entirely by myself, or only modified and (avovedly) interpolated. I continued the use of the word on the plen of uniformity: though like most other deviations from propriety of language, it would probubly have been a wiser choice to have omitted or exchunged it. The various Reflections, lowever, that pressed on me while I was censidering the motives for selecting this or that passage ; the desire of enforcing, and as it were integrating, the truths contained in the Original Aurhor, by auding those which the words suggested or recalled to my own mind; the conversation with men of eminence in the Literary and Religions Circles, oceasioned by the Objects which I had in view; and lastly, the inereasing disproportion of the Commentary to the Text, and the too marked difference in the fiame, character, and color of the two styles; soon induced me to recognize and adopt a revolution in my plan and object, which had in fact actunlly taken place without iny intention, anil almost unawares. It would indeed be more correct to say, that the present Volume owed its accidental origin to the intention of compiling one of a different description, than to speak of it as the same Work. It is not a change in the child, but a changeling.
Still, however, the selections from Leighton, which will be found in the proulential and moral Sections of this Work, nud which I conld retain consistently with its present form and mater, will hoth from the intrinsic
expellener and from the chmracteristic heanty of the passages, suflice to answer two prominent purposes of the original plan; that of placing in a Clenr light the principhr, whirh pervades all Leighton's Writings-his sul)lime View, I mean, of Religion and Morality as the means of reforming the hommon Soul in the Divine Image (Idea); and that of exciting an interest in tho Works, and an allectionate reverence for the nume and memary, of this severely tried and truly primitive Churchman.
S. 'T. C.

## PREFACE.

An Author has three points to settle: to what sort his Work belongs, for what Description of Readers it is intended, and the specific end or object, which it is to answer. There is indeed a prelininary Interrogative respecting the end which the Writer himself has in view, whether the Number of Purchasers, or the Benefit of the Readers. But this may be safely passed by ; since where the book itself or the known principles of the writer do not supersede the question, there will seldom be sufficient strength of character for good or for evil, to afford much chance of its being either distinctly put or fairly answered.

I shall pruceed therefore to state as briefly as possible the intentions of the present volume in reference to the three firstmentioned, viz. What? For Whom? and For what?
I. What? The answer is contained in the Title-page. It belongs to the class of didactic Works. Consequently, those who neither wish instruction for themselves, nor assistance in instructing others, have no interest in its contents. Sis Sus, sis Divus: Sum Caltha, ot non tibi spion!
II. For Whom? Generally, for as many in ell classes as wish for aid in disciplining their minds to habits of reflec-tion-for all who, desirous of buiirting up a manly character in the light of distinct consciousness, are content to study the prineiples of moral Architecture on the several grounds of prudence, morality and religion. And lastly, for all who feel an interest in the Position, I have undertaken to defend-this, namely, that the Christian Faith (in which I inchude every
article of belief and doctrine professed ly the first Reformers in conmon) is the Perfection of Human Intelligence: an interest sufficiently strong to ensure a patient attention to the arguments brought in its support.
But if I am to mention any particular class or description of Readers, that were prominent in my thoughts during the composition of the volume, my Reply must be : that it was especially designed for the studious Young at the close of their education or on their first entrance into the duties of manhood and the rights of self-government. And of these, again, in thought and wish I destined the work (the latter and larger portion, at least) yet more particularly to Students intended for the Ministry ; first, as in dut; bound, to the members of our two Universitics: secondly, (but only in respect of this mental precedency second) to all alike of whatever name, who have dedicated their future lives to the cultivation of their Race, as Pastors, Preachers, Missionaries, or instructors of Youth.
III. For What? The Worth of the Author is estimated by the ends, the attainment of which he proposed to himself by the particular work : while the Value of the Work depends on its fitness, as the Means. The Objects of the present volume are the following, arranged in the order of their comparative importance.

1. To direct the Reader's attention to the value of the Science of Words, their use and abuse (sce Note 4) and the incalculable advantages attached to the habit of using thein approprlately, and with a distinct knowledge of their primary, derivative, and metaphorical senses. And in furtherance of this Object I have reglected no occasion of enforcing the maxim, that to expose a sophism and to detect the equivocal or double meaning of a word is, in the great majority of cases, one and the same thing. Horne Tooke entitled his celebrated
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work, Emsa rregosiva, Winged Words: or Language, not only the Vehicle of Thought but the Wheels. With my convictions and views, for ansa I should substitute $\lambda_{0} 00$, i. $e$. Words select and determinate, and for arrgoevra; ¿Wovres, i. e. living Words. The Wheels of the intellect I admit them to bo; but such as Ezekiel beheld in "the visions of God" as he sate aniong the Captives by the river of Chebar. "Whithersoever the Spirit was to go, the Wheels went, and thither was their Spirit to go: for the Spirit of the living creature was in the wheels also."
2. To establish the distinct characters of Prudence, Morality, and Religion : and to impress tho conviction, that though the second requires the first, and the third contains aad supposee both the former; yet still Moral Goodness is other and more than prudence, or the Principle of Expediency; and higher than Morality. For this distinction the better Schools even of Pagan Philosophy contended. (See pp. 14-15.)
3. To substantiate and set forth at large tho momentous distinction between Reason and Understanding. Whatever is atchievable by the Jnderstanding for the purposes of worldly interest, privaic or puill 2 , has in the present age been pursued with an activity and a success beyond all former experience, and to an extent which equally demands my admiration and excites my wonder. But likewise it is, and long has been, my conviction, that in no age since the first dawning of Science and Philosophy in this Island have the Truths, Interests, and studies that especially belong to the Reason, conte.aplative or practical, sunk into such utter neglect, not to sa; contempt, as during the last century. It is therefore oue main Object of this Volume to establish the position, that whoever transfers to the Understanding the primacy due to the Reason, loses the one and spoils the other.
4. To exhibit a full and consistent Scheme of tine Christian

Jispensation, and more largely of all the peculiar doctrines of the Christian Faith; and to answer all the Oljections to the same, that do not originate in a corrupt Will rather than erring Judgement ; and to do this in a manner intelligible for all who, possessing the ordinary advantages of education, do in good earnest desire to form their religions creed in the light of their own convietions, and to have a reason for the faith which they profess. There are indeed Mysterics, in evidence of which no reasons can be brought. But it has been my endeavour to show, that the true solution of this problem is, that these Mysteries are Reason, Reason in its highest fonn of Self-affirmation.
Such are the special Objeets of these "Aids to Reflection." Concerning the general character of the work, let me be permitted to add the few following sentences. St. Augustine; in one of his Sermons, discoursing on a high point of Theology, tell his auditors-Sic accipite, ut mercamini intelligere. Fides eninu debet pracedere intellectum, ut sit intellectus firlei pram; $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{*}$. Now without a certain portion of gratuitous and (asit wure) expcrimentative faith in the Writer, a Reader will scarcely give that degree of continued attention, without which no duductic Work worth reading can be read to any wise or profitable purpose. In this sense, therefore, and to this extent every Author, who is competent to the office he has undertaken, may without arragance repeat St. Augustine's words in his own right, and advance a similar claim on similar grounds. But I venture no farther than to imitate the sentiment at a humble distance, by avowing my belief that He, who seeks instruction in the following pages, will not fail to find entertainment likewise ; but that whoever secks entertaiment only will find ncither.

[^0]culiar doctrines of Oljections to the II rather than erintelligible for all education, do in ereed in the light ason for the faith leries, in evidence thas been my enis problem is, that shighest form of ds to Rellection." rk, let me be perSt. Augustine, in oint of Theology, intelligere. Fides llectus firlei pramatuitous and (as it eader will scarcewithout which no any wise or pround to this extent e he has underta;ustine's words in a similar grounds. ce sentiment at a it He, who sceks fail to find enteritertaiument only

[^1] that the Uiulerstand
pheficr.
Reaner!-You have been hreal in a land abounding wits men, able in arts, learning, and knowledges manifold, this man in one, this in another, few in many, none in all. But there is one art, of which every man should be master, the art of beblection. If you are not ajhinking man, to what purpose are you a mon at all? In like manner, there is one knowledge, which it is every man's interest and duty to acquire, namely, seif-knowlenge: or to what end was man alone, of all animals, inducd by the Creator with the faculty of self-consciousness? Truly said the l'agan moralist, E calo deseendi, I'vait 2 ssausov.

But you are likewise born in a caristian land: and Revelation has provided for you new subjects for reflection, and new treasures of knowledge, never to be unlocked by him who remains self-ignorant. Self-knowledge is the key to this easket; and by reflection alone can it be obtained. Reflect on your own thoughts, actions, circumstances, and-which will be of especial aid to you in forning a habil of reflection-accustom yourself to reflect on the words you use, hear, or read, their birth, derivation, and history. For if words are not things, they arc living powens, by which the things of most importance to mankind are actuated, combined, and humanized. Finally, by reflection you may draw from the fleeting facts of your worldly trade, art, or profession, a science permanent as your immortal soul; and make cren these subsidiary and preparative to the reception of spiritual truth, "doing as the dyers do, who having first dijt their silks in colours of less value, then give them the last tincture of crimson in grain."
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Onnis divine atque humane eruditionis elerrenta tria, Nosse, Velrif, Posse: quorum principium unum Mens, sive Spiritus; cujus Oculus est Ratio; cuí lument probet Deus. Vita di G. B. Vico, p. 50.

## AIDS

TO

## REFLECTION.

## INTRODUCTORY APHORTSMS.

APHOHISM I.
FIHtTOR.
Ir is the prerogative of Gemins to produce novel impressions from familiar objects : and seldom can philosophic genius be more usefully employed than in thus rescuing admitted truths from the neglect caused by the very circumstance of their universal admission. Extremes meet. Truths, of all others the most awful and interesting, are too often considered as so true, that they lose all the power of truth, and lie bed-ridden in the dormitory of the soul, side by side with the most despised and exploded errors.

## APHORISM II.

EDitor.
There is one sure way of giving freshness and importance to the most common-place maxims-that of reflecting on them in direct reference to our own state and conduct, to our own past and future being.

APHORISM III.
editor.
To restore a common-place truth to its first uncommon lustre, you need only translate it into action. But to do this, you must have reflected on its truth.

## APHORISM IV.

leighton.
'It is the advice of the wise man, 'Dwell at home,' or, with 'yourself; and though there are very few that do this, yet it 'is surprising that the greatest part of mankind rannot be
'prevailed upon, at least to visit themselves sometimes; but, 'according to the saying of the wise Solomon, The eyes of 'the fool are in the ends of the earth.'
A reflecting mind, says an ancient writer, is the spring and source of every good thing. (' Omnis boni principium intellectus cogitabundus.') It is at once the disgrace and the misery of men, that they live without fore-thought. Suppose yourself fronting a glass mirror. Now what the Objects behind you are to their images at the same apparent distance before you, such is Reflection to Fore-thought. As a man without Fore-thought scarcely deserves the name of a man, so Fore-thought without Reflection is but a motaphorical phrase for the instinct of a beast.

ED.

## APHORISM V.

EDITOR.
As a fruit-tree is more valuable than any one of its fruits singly, or even than all its fruits of a single season, so the noblest object of reflection is the mind itself, by which we reflect.
And as the blossoms, the green, and the ripe fruit, of an orange-tree are more beautiful to behold when on the tree and seen as one with it, than the same growth detached and seen successively, after their importation into another country and different clime; so is it with the manifold objects of reflection, when they are considered principally in reference to the reflective power, and as part and parcel of the same. No object, of whatever value our passions may represent it, but becomes foreign to us, as soon as it is altogether unconnected with our intellectual, moral, and spiritual life. To be ours, it must be referred to the mind either as motive, or consequence, or symptom.

## APHORISM VI.

Leighton.
He who teaches men the principles and precepts of spiritual wisdom, before their minds are called off from foreign objects, and turned inward upon themselves, might as well write his instructions, as the sybil wrote her prophecies, on the loose leaves of trees, and commit them to the mercy of the inconstant winds.
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## APHORISM VII.

Editor.
In order to learn, we must attend: in order to profit by what we have learnt, we must think-i. e. reflect. He only thinks who reflects.

## APHORISM VIII.

L. AND ED.

It is a matter of great difficulty, and requires no ordinary skill and address, to fix the attention of men (especially of young men[1]) on the world within them, to induce them to study the processes and superintend the works which they are themselves carrying on in their own minds: in short, to awaken in thein both the faculty of thought[2] and the inclination to exercise it. For alas' the largest part of mankind are nowhere greater strangers than at home.

## APHORISM IX.

EDITOR.
Life is the one universal soul, which by virtue of the enlivening Breati, and the informing Word, all organized bodies have in common, each after its kind[3]. This, therefore, all animals possess, and man as an animal. But, in addition to this, God transfused into man a higher gift, and specially imbreathed:-even a living (that is, self-subsisting) soul, a soul having its life in itself. "And man became a living soul." He did not murely possess it, he became it. It was his proper being, his truest self, the man in the man. None then, not one of human kind, so poor and destitute, but there is provided for him, even in his present state, a house not built with hands. Aye, and spite of the philosophy (falsely so called) which mistakes the causes, the conditions, and the occasions of our becoming conscious of certain truths and realities for the truths and realities themselves-a house gloriously furnished. Nothing is wanted but the eye, which is the light of this house, the light which is the eye of this soul. This seeing light, this enlightening cye, is Reflection. It is more, indeed, than is ordinarily meant by that word; but is what a Christian ought to mean by it, and to know too, whence it first came, and still continues to come-of what light even this light is but a reflection. This, too, is thought; and all
thought is but unthinking that does not flow out of this, or tend towards it.

## APHORISM X

EDITOR.
Self-superintendence! that any thing should overlook itself! Is not this a paradox, and hard to understand? It is, indeed, difficult, and to the imbruted sensualist a direct contradiction : and yet most truly does the poet exclaim,

> Ennless above himself to can
> Erect himself, how mean a thing is man:

APHORISM XI.
EIITOR.
An hour of solitude passed in sincere and eamest prayer, or the conflict with, and conquest over, a single passion or " subtle bosom sin ," will teach us more of thought, will more effectually awaken the faculty, and form the habit, of reflection, than a year's study in the schools without them.

## APHORISM XII.

- 

In a world, whose opinions are drawn from outside shows, many things may be paradoxical, (that is, contrary to the common notion) and nevertheless true : nay, because they are true. How should it be otherwise, as long as the imagination of the Worlding is wholly occupied by surfaces, while the Christian's thoughts are fixed on the substance, that which is and abides, and which, because it is the substance[4], the outward senses cannot recognize. Tertullian had good reason for his assertion, that the simplest Christian (if indeed a Christian) knows more than the most accomplished irreligious philosopher.

COMMENT.
Let it not, however, be forgoiten, that the powers of the understanding and the intellectual graces are precious gifts of God; and that every Christian, according to the opportunities vouchsafed to him, is bound to cultivate the one and to acquire the other. Indeed, he is scarcely a Christian who wilfully neglects so to do. What says the apostle? Add to your fiith knowledge, and to knowledge menly energy, (apsrmv) for

It of this, or tend

EDITOR.
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Emtor.
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## APHORISM XIII. EDITOR.

Never yet did there exist a full faith in the Divine Word (by whom light, as well as immortality, was brought into the world,) which did not expand the intellect, while it purified the heart : which did not multiply the aims and objects of the understanding, while it fixed and simplified those of the desires and passions[6].
comme t.
If acquiesence without insight; if warmth withoint light; if an immunity from doubt, given and guaranteed by a resolute ignorance; if the habit of laking for granted the words of a catechism, remembered or forgotten; if a mere sensation of positiveness substituted-I will not say for the sense of certainty, but-for that calm assurance, the very means and conditions of which it supersedes; if a belief that seeks the darkness, and yet strikes no root, inmoveable as the limpet from the rock, and, like the limpet, fixed there by mere force of adhesion;-if these suffice to make men Christians, in what sense could the apostle affirm that believers receive, not indeed worldly wisdom, that comes to nought, but the wisdom of God, that we might know and comprehend the things that are freely given to us of God? On what grounds could he denounce the sincerest fervor of spirit as defective, where it does not likewise bring forth fruits in the understanding?

## APHORISM XIV.

Editor.
In our present state, it is little less than imposssible that the affections should be kept constant to an object which gives no employment to the understanding, and yet cannot be made manifest to the senses. The exercise of the reasoning and reflecting powers, increasing insight, and enlarging views, are requisite to kerep alive the substantial faith in the heart.

APHORISM XV. Emitor.
In the state of perfection, perhaps, all other faculties may
be swallowed up in love, or superseded by immediate vision; but it is on the wings of the cherubim, i. e. (according to the interpretation of the ancient Hebrew doctors, ) the intellect w:l pewer's and encrgies, that we must first be borne up to the "pure empyrean." It must be seraphs, and not the hearts of imperfect inortals, that can burn unfuelled and self-ted. Give we understanding, (is the prayer of the Rogal ©salmist) and 1. hai observe thy law with my whole heart. Thy law is exspeding broad-that is, comprehensive, pregnant, containing ser more than the apparent import of the in ords on a first perus: It is my meditation all the day.

## comment.

It is worthy of especial observation, that the Scriptures are distinguished from all other writings pretending to inspiration, by the strong and frequent recommendations of knowledge, and a spirit of inquiry. Without reflection, it is evident that neither the one can be acquired nor the other exereised.

## APHORISM XVI.

edito
The word rational has been strangely abused of late times. This must not, however, disincline us to the weighty consideration, that thoughtfuluess, and a desire to rest all our convictions on grounds of right reason, are inseparable from the character of a Christian.

## APHORISM XVII.

EDITOR.
A reflecting mind is not a flower that grows wild, or comes up of its own accord. The difficulty is indeed greater than many, who mistake quick recollection for thought, are disposed to admit; but how much less than it would be, had we not been born and bred in a Christian and Protestant land, the fewest of us are sufficiently aware. Truly may we, and thankfully ought we to exclaim with the Psalmist : The entrance of thy words giveth light; it giveth understanding even to the simple.

APIORISM XVIIL.
EDitor.
Examine the joumals of zealots missionaries, I will not say among the llottentots of Esquimatu, but in the high-
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ly civiliced, tisough fearfully uncultivated, inhabltants of ancient India. How siten, and how feelingly, do they deseribe the difficulty of rendering the simplest ehain of thought intelligible to the ordinery natives, the rapid exhaustion of their vhole power of attention, and with what distressful effort it is exerted while it lasts! Yet it is among these that the indeous practices of self-torture chiefly prevail. $O$ if folly were no easier than wisdom, it being often so very much more grievous, how certainly might these unhappy slaves of superstition be converted to Christianity! But, alas! to swing by hooks passed through the back, or to walk in shoes with nails of iron pointed upwards through the soles-all this is so much less difficult, demands so much less exertion :- the will than to reflect, and by reflection to gain knowledg. ar., tranquility !
comment.
It is not true, that ignorant persons have no notion of the advantages of truth and knowledge. They erafess, they see and bear witness to these advantages in the immunities, and the superior powers of the possessors. Were they attainable by pilgrimages the most toilsome, or penances the most painful, we should assuredly have as many pilgrims and self-tormentors in the service of true religion, as now exist under the tyranny of papal or Brahman superstition.

## APHORISM XIX.

EDITOR.
In countries enlightened by the gospel, however, the most formidable and (it is to be feared) the most frequent impediment to men's turning the mind inward upon themselves is that they are afraid of what they shall find there, There is an aching hollowness in the bosom, a dark cold speck at the heart, an obscure and boding sense of a somewhat, that must be kept out of sight of the conscience; some secret lodger, whom they can neither resolve to eject or retain [7].

## comment.

Few are so obdurate, few have sufficient strength of character, to be able to draw forth an evil tendency or immoral
practice into distinct consciousness, without bringing it in the same moment before an awaking conscience. But for this very reason it becomes a duty of conseience to form the mind to a habit of distinct consciousness. An unreflecting Christian walks in twilight among snares and pitfalls! Ho entreats the heavenly Father not to lead him into temptation, and yet places himself on the very edge of it, because he will not kindle the torch which his Father had given into his hands, as a means of prevention, and lest he should pray too late.

## APHORIS: XV.

v.Ditore

Among the: varions madertakings of men, can there be mentionel one more important, can there be conceived one more: sublime, than an intontion to form the hman mind anew alter the mivine imagis? 'The very intention, if it be sineere, is a ray of its dawning.

The.requisites lor the execution of this high intent may be comprised unler three heads; the prudential, the moral, and the spiritual:

## APHORISM XXI.

Eidtor.
First, prudence-religious prudence, 1 mean; a prudence in the service of Religion. What this is, will be best explained by its effects and operations. It consists then in the prevention or abatement of hinderances and distractions; and consequently in avoiding, er removing, all such circumstances as, by diverting the attention of the workman, retard the progress and hazard the safety of the work. It is likewise (we deny not) a part of this unworldly prudence, to place ourselves as much and as often as it is in our power so to do, in circumstances directly favourable to our great design; and to avail ourselves of all the positive helps and furtherances which these circumstances afford. But neither dare we, as Christians, forget whose and under what dominion the things are, que nos circumstant, i. e. that stand around us. We are to remember, that it is the World that constitutes our outward circumstances; that in the form of the World, which is evermore at variance with the Divine Form (or idca) they are
bringing it in the ce. But for this ta form the mind nreflecting ChrisIls! Ho entreats mptation, and yet 3 he will not kino his hands, as a too late.
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east and moulded; and that of the means and measures which prudence requires in the forming anew of the Divine lmage in the soul, the far greater number suppose the World at enmity with our design. We ano to avoid its snares, to repel its attacks, to suspect its aids and succours, and even when compelled to receive them as allies within our trenches, yet to commit the outworks alone to their charge, and to keep them at a jealons distance from the citadel. The powers of the world are often christened, but seldom christianized. They are but proselytes of the outer gate: or, like the Saxons of old, enter the land as auxiliaries, and remain in it as conquerors and lords.

## APHORISM XXIF.

EDitor.
The rules of prudence in general, like the laws of the stone tables, are for the most part prohibitive. Thou shalt not is their characteristic formula : and it is an especial part of Christian prudence that it should be so. Nor would it be difficult to bring under this head, all the social obligations that arise out of the relations of the present life, which the scisual understanding ( $\boldsymbol{r} \varphi$ 甲pournua ${ }^{\text {rns }}$ Sagkos, Romans viii. 6.) is of itself able to discover, and the performance of which, under favourable worldly circumstances, the merest worldy self-interest, without love or faith, is sufficient to enforce; but which Christian pruaence enlivens by a higher principle, and renders symbolic and sacramental. (Ephesians v. 52.)
comment.
This then comprising the prudentials of religion, comes first under consideration. Next follow the moral Requisites. If in the first we have the shrine and frame-work for that Divine Image, into which the Wordly-human is to be transformed; in the second, we are to bring out the Portrait itselfthe distinct features of its countenanco, as a sojourner among - men ; its benign aspect turned towards its fellow-pilgrims, the extended arm, and the hand that blesseth and healeth.
$\dot{\text { APIIORISM XXIII. EDETOR. }}$
The outward service ( $\Theta p n o \% ;$ ix $[8]$ ) of ancient religion, the
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rites, ceremonies and ceremonial vestments of the old law, had morality for their substance. They were the letter, of which morality was the spiril; the enigma, of which morality was the meaning. But morality itself is the service and ceremonial (cultus exterior, ognoxela) of the Christian religion. The scheme of grace and truth that hecame [0) through, Jesus Christ, the faith that looks[10] down into the perfect law of liberty, has "light for its garnvent;" its very " robe is rightcousness."

COMMENT.
Hercin the Apostle places the pre-eminency, the peculiar and distinguishing excellence, of the Christian religion. The ritual is of the same kind, (omostiov) though not of the same order, with the religion itself-not arbitrary or conventional, as types and hieroglyphics are in relation to the things expressed by them; but inseparable, consubstantiated (as it were,) and partaking therefore of the same life, permanence, and intrinsic worth with its spirit and principle.

## APIIORISM XXIV.

editor.
Morality is the body, of which the faith in Christ is the soul-so far indeed its carthly body, as it is adapted to itsstate of warfare on earth, and the appointed form and instrument of its communion with the present world ; yet not "terrestrial," nor of the world, but a celestial body, and capable of being transfigured from glory to glory, in accordance with the varying circumstances and outward relations of its moving and informing spirit.

APHORISM XXV.
EDITOR.
Woe to the man, who will believe neither power, freedom, nor morality; because he no where finds either entire, or unmixed with sin, thraldom and infipmity. In the natural and ' intellectual ıealms, we distinguish what we cannot separate ; and in the moral world, we must distinguish in order to separate. Yea, in the clear distinction of good from evil the process of separation commences.
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## COMMENT.

It was customary with religious men in former times, to make a rule of taking every morning some text or aphorism [11] for their oreasional meditation during the day, and thus to fill up the intervals of their attention to business. I do not point it out for imitation, as knowing too well, how apt these selfimposed rules are to degenerate into superstition or hollowness : or I would have recommended the following as the first excrcise.

## APHORISM XXVI.

EDITOR.
It is a dull and obtuse mind, that must divide in order to distinguish; but it is a still worse, that distinguishes in order to divide. In the former, we may contemplate the source of superstition and[12] idolatry; in the latter, of schism, heresy [13], and a seditious and sectarian spirit[14].

## APIIORISI XXVII. EDITOR.

Exclusive of the abstract sciences, the lareest and worthiest portion of our knowledge consists of uphorisms: and the greatest and best of men is but an ophorism.

## APLIORISM XXVIII. EDITOR.

On the prudential influenec which the fear or foresight of the consequences of his actions, in respect of his own loss or gain, may exert on a newly converted Believer.

Precautionary Remark.--We meddle not with the dispute respecting conversion, whether, and in what sense, necessary in all Christians. It is sufficient for our purpose, that a very large number of inen, even in Christian countries necd, to be converted, and that not a few, we trust, have been. The tenet becomes fanatical and dangerous, only when rare and extraordinary exceptions are made to be the general rule ;-when what was vouchsafed to the apostle of the Gentiles by especial zrace, and for an especial purpose, viz. a conversion[15] begun and completed in the same moment, is demanded or expeeted of all men, as a necessary sign and pledge of their election. Late observations have shown, that under many
circumstanees the magnetic needle, even after the disturbing influence has been removed, will keep wavering, and require many days before it points aright, and remains steady to the pole. So is it ordinarily with the soul, after it has begun to free itself from the disturbing forees of the flesh and the world and to convert[16] itself towards God.

## APHORISM XXIX.

editor.
Awakened by the cock-crow, (a sermon, a calamity, a sick bed, or a providential escape ) the Christian pilgrim sets out in the morning twilight, whilo yet the truth (the vopos $\tau \in \lambda$ हios $\delta$ ¢r, s $\lambda$ evergras) is below the horizon. Certain necessary consequences of his past lifo and his present undertaking will be seen by the refraction of its light : more will be apprehended and conjectured. The phantasms, that had predominated during the hours of darkness, are still busy. Nolonger present as Forms, they will yet exist as moulding and formative Motions in the Pilgrim's soul. The Dream of the past night will transfer its shapes to the objects in the distanee, while the objects give outwardness and reality to the shapings of the Dream. The fears inspired by long habits of selfishness and self-seeking cunning, though now purifying into that fear which is the beginning of wisdom, and ordained to be our guide aud safeguard, till the sun of love, the perfeet law of liberty, is fully arisen-these fears will set the fancy at work, and haply, for a time transform the mists of dian and imperfect knowledge into determinate superstitions. Bui in cither case, whether seen clearly or dimly, whether beheld or only imagined, the consequences contemplated in their bearings on the individual's inherent[17] desire of happiness and dread of pain become motives : and (unless all distinction in the words be done away with, and either prudence or virtue be reduced to a superfluous synonyme, a redundancy in all the languages of the civilized world,) these motives, and the acts and fortearances directly procecding from them, fall under the head of prudence, as belonging to one or other of its three very distinct species. It may be a prudence, that stands in opposition to a higher moral life, and tends to preclude it, and to prevent the soul from
er the disturbing ring, and require ns steady to the - it has begun to sh and the world

EDITOR a calamity, a sick pilgrin sets out in
 necessary conselertaking will be 1 be apprehended predominated duNo longer present ad formative Mohe past night will ce, while the obshapings of the f selfishness and to that fear which be our guide and aw of liberty, is work, and haply, erfect knowledge er case, whether oly imagined, the on the individual's d of pain become rds be done away ced to a superfluages of the civilid forbearances diead of prunence, y distinet suecies. on to a higher moent the soul from
ever arriving at the hatred of $\sin$ for its own exceeding sinfulness (Rom. vii. 13) : and this is an evil prudence. Or it may be a neutral prudence, not incompatible with spiitual growth: and to this we may, with especial propriety, apply the words of our lord, "What is not against us is for us." It is therefore an innocent, and (being such) a proper and cosmendible prudence.
Or it may lead and be subservient to a higher principle than itself. The mind and conscience of the individual may be roconciled to it, in the foreknowledge of the higher principle, and with a yearning towards it that implies a foretaste of future freedom. Tre enfeebled convalescent is reconciled to his crutches, and thankfully makes use of them, not only becanso they are necessary for his immediate support, but likewise, because they are the means and conditions of exercise; and hy exerciso of establishing, gradatim paulatim, that strength, flexibility, and almost spontancous obedience of the muscles, which the idea and cheering presentiment of health hold out to him. He finds their value in their present necessity, and their worth as they are the instruments of finally superseding it. This is a faithful, a wise prudence, having indeed, its birth-place in the world, and tho wisdom of this world for its Father; but naturalized in a better land, and having the Wisdon from above for its Sponsor and Spiritual Parent. To steal a dropt feather from the spicy nest of the Phonix, (the fond humour, I mean, of the mystic divines and allegorizers of Holy Writ) it is the son of Terah from Ur of the Chaldecs, who gives a tithe of all to the King of Righteousness, without father, without mother, without descent, (Nomos avsovounos,) and recoives a blessing on the remainder.
Lastly, there is a prudence that co-exists with morality, as morality co-exists with the spiritual life : a prudence that is the organ of both, as the understanding is to the reason and the will, or as the lungs are to the heart and brain. This is a hol. $\mathbf{y}$ prudence, the steward faithful and discreet (oxxovomos misos xau 甲povenos, Luke xii. 42), the 'eldest servant' in the family of faith, born in the house, and 'made the ruler over his lord's household.'

Let not then, I entreat you, my purpose be misunderstood; as if, in distinguishing virtue from prudence, 1 wished to divide the one from the other. True morality is hostile to that prudence only, which is preclusive of true morality. The teacher who subordinates prudence to virtue, cannot be supposed to dispense with it; and he who teaches the proper connexion of the one with the other, does not depreciate the lower in any sense; while by making it a link of the same chain with the higher, and receiving the same influence, he raises it.
In Greek, Logos (Anglicé, Word), means likewise the Understanding. If the same idiom existed in our language, only with the substitution of the practical for the intellectual, I would say: the word[18] (i.e. Practical Rectitude,) has Virtue (or Morality) for its Consonants and Prudence for the Vowels. Though the former ean seareely be pronounced without the latter, yet we ought to acquaint ourselves with their true nature and foree. But this we can do only by a distinct knowledge of the latter, that is, what they are of themselves, and sounded separately from the consonants. In like manner, to understand aright what morality is, we must first learn what prudence is, and what acts and obligations are prudential; and having removed these to a elass of their own, we shall find it comparatively easy to determine what acts and dutics belong to morality:

## APHORISM XXX.

होiton.
What the duties of morality are, the apostle instructs the believer in full, reducing them under two heads: negative, to keep himself pure from the world; and positive, beneficence with sympathy and loving-kindness, i.e. love of his fellow-men (his kind) as himself.

Last and highest, come the spiritual, comprising all the truths, acts and duties that have an especial reference to the 'Timeless, the Permanent, the Eternal; to the sinecre love of the True, as truth, of the Good, as good: and of God as hoth in one. It comprehends the whole ascent from uprightness
misunderstood ; I wished to dis hostile to that morality. The cannot be supthe proper conreciate the lowthe same chain ce, he raises it. ikewise the Un-- language, only intellectual, I Rectitude, ) has rudence for the onounced withelves with their ly by a distinct of themselves, In like manner, first learn what ue prulential; own, we shall acts and duties
entron. tle instructs the s: negatire, to ve, beneficence : his fellow-men
editor. uprising all the eference to the sincere love of of God as both om uprightness
(morality, virtue, inward rectitude) to godlikeness, with all the acts, exercises, and disciplines of mind, will and affection, that are requisite or conducive to the great design of our redemption from the form of the evil one, and of our second creation or birth in the divine Image[19].

## APIIORISM XXXII.

editor.
It may be an additional aid to reflection, to distinguish the three kinds severally, according to the faculty to which each corresponds, the faculty or part of our human nature which is more particularly its organ. Thus: the prudential corresponds to the sense and the understanding; the moral to the heart and the conscience; the spiritual to the will and the reason, $i$. $e$. to the finite will reduced to harmony with, and in subordination to, the reason, as a ray from that true light which is both reason and will, universal reason, and will absolute.

I have now, I trust, effected the two purposes of this introductory chapter, viz:

1. That of explaining the true nature and evincing the necessity of reflection in the constitution of a Christian character.
2. That of assigning my reasons why, having proposed to sclect from Archbishop Leighton's Works the most striking prudential, moral, and spiritual naxims, I have separated the prudential from the two following, and interpolated the extracts with mementos of my own.
a

## PRUDENTIAL APHORISMS.

## APHORISM I.

1. ANO ED.

You will not be offended, nor think I intend to insult you, if once and again, with great earnestness and sincerity, I wish you and myself a sound and serious temper of mind; for, if we may represent things as they really are, very few men are possessed of so valuable a blessing. The far greater part of them are intoxicated either with the pleasures or the carcs of this world; they stagger about with a tottering and unstable pace, and, as Solomon expresses it, The labour of the foolish wearieth every one of them; because he knoweth not how to go to the city: Eccl. x. 15 :-the heavenly city, and the vision of peace, which very few have a just not.on of, or are at pains to seek after. Nay, they know not what it is they are seeking. They flutter from one object to another, and live at hazard. They have no certain harbour in view, nor direct their course by any fixed star. But to him that knoweth not the port to which he is bound, no wind can be favourable; neither can he who has not yet determined at what mark he is to shoot, direct his arrow aright.
I assert, then, that there is a proper object to aim at; and if this object be meant by the term happiness, (though I think that not the most appropriate term for a state, the perfection of which consists in the exclusion of all hap (i.e. cha e, ) and should greatly prefer the Socratic Eupraxy, as expr ing the union of well-being and well,) I assert that there : such a thing as human happiness. This is indeed implied in the belief of an infinitely wise Author of our being.

## APHORISM II. Leighton.

The whole human race must have been created in misery, and exposed to unavoidable torments, from which iney could
never have been relieved, had they been formed not only eapable of a good, quite unattainable and altogether without their reach, but also with strong and restless desires towards that impossible good. Now, as this is by no means to be admitted, there must necessarily be some full, permanent, and, satisfying good, that may be attained by man, and in the pos. session of which he must be truly happy.

## APHORISM III.

LEIGHTON.
What this is, the Bible alone shows clearly and certainly, and points out the way that leads to the attainment of it. This is that which prevailed with St. Augustine to study the Scriptures, and engaged his affection to them. 'In Cicero, and ' Plato, and other such writers,' says he, 'I meet with many 'things acutely said, and things that excite a certain warmth 'of emotion, but in none of them do I find these words, Come 'unto me, all ye that labour, and are heary luden, and I will 'give you rest [20].

## APHORISM IV.

heigitor.
It is the wisden: of mankind to know God, and their indispensable duty to vorship Him. Without this, men of the brightest parts and greatest learning seem to be born with excellent talents only to make themselves miserable; and according to the expression of the wisest of kings, He that increaseth lnowledge increasetic sorrow, Ecel. i. 18. We must, therefore, first of all, consider this as a sure and settled point, that religion is the sole foundation of human peace and felicity. This, even the profane scoffers at religion are, in some sort, obliged to own, though much against their will, even while they are pointing their wit against it; for nothing is more commonly to be heard from them, than that the whole doctrine of religion was invented by some wise men, to encourage the practice of justice and virtue through the world. Surely then, religion, whatever else may be said of it, must be a matter of the highest value, since it is found necessary to secure advantages of so very great importance. But, in the meantime, how unhappy is the ease of integrity and vir-
med not only catogether without s desires towards means to be ad, jermanent, and, n , and in the pos.

LEIGHTON. rly and certainly, ment of it. This , study the Scrip'In Cicero, and meet with many a certain warmth lese words, Come laden, and I will

## beigifton.

 1, and their indisthis, men of the be born with exrable ; and accors , He that incrett18. We must, and settled $\mu$ oint, peace and felicigion are, in some $t$ their will, even ; for nothing is an that the whole wise men, to enhrough the world. e said of it, must s found necessary ortance. But, in integrity and vir-tue, if what they want to support them is merely fictitious, and they cannot keep their ground but by means of a monstrous forgery! But far be it from us to entertain such an absurdity! For the first rule of righteousness cannot be otherwise than right, nor is there any thing more nearly allied or more friendly to virtue, than truth.

## APHORISM V. <br> leigiton.

And it is, indeed, very plain, that if it were possible entirely to dissolve all the bonds and ties of religion, yet, that it should be so, would certainly be the interest of none but the worst and most abandoned part of mankind. All the good and wise, if the matter was freely left to their choice, would rather have the world governed by the Supreme and Most Perfect Being, mankind subjected to IIis just and righteous laws, and all the affairs of men superintended by His watchful providence, than that it should be otherwise. Nor do they believe the doctrines of religion with aversion or any sort of reluctancy, but embrace them with pleasure, and are excessively glad to find them true. So that if if was possibe, to abolish them entirely, and any perso: sut of mere good-will to them, should attempt to do it, they would look upon the favour as highly prejudicial to their interest, and think his good-will more hurtful than the keenest hatred. Nor would any one, in his wits, choose to live in the world, at large, and without any sort of government, more than he would think it eligible to be put on board a ship without a helm or pilot, and, in this condition, to be tossed amidst rocks and quicksands. On the other hand, can any thing give greater consolation, or more substantial joy [21], than to be firmly persuaded, not only that there is an infinitely good and wise Being, but also that this Being preserves and continually governs the universe which Himself has framed, and holds the reins of all things in His powerful hand; that He is our father, that we and all our interests are His constant concern; and that, after we have sojourned a short while here below, we shall be again taken into His immediate presence? Or
can this wretehed life be attended with any sort of satisfaction, if it is divested of this divine faith, and bereaved of such a blessed hope?

APHORISM VI.
EDitor.
Felicity, in its proper sense, is but another word for fortunateness, or happiness; and I can see no advantage in the improper use of words, when proper terms are to be found, but, on the contrary, much mischief. For, by familiarizing the aind to equivocal expressions, that is, such as may be taken in two or more different meanings, we introduce confusion of tholight, and furnish the sophist with his best and handiest tools. For the juggle of sophistry consists, for the greater part, in using a word in one sense in the premise, and in anothor sense in the conclusion. We should accustom ourselves to think and reason, in precise and steadfast terms; even when custom, or deficiency, or the corruption of the language will not permit the same strictness in speaking. The mathematician finds the so necessary to we truths which he is seekinte that his scieve begins with, and is founded on, the definition of his terms. The betanist, the chemist, the anatomist, Se., Seel and submit so this necessity at all costs, even at the didk of exposing their several pursuits to the ridicule of the neane, by technical terme, hard to be;emembered, and alike quarisease to the ear and the tongue. In the business of moral and eligious reflection, in the acquisition of clear and distinet coneeptionsor our duties jand of theyelations in which we stand to God, our misighbou' and ourselver, no such difficulties occur. At the utmost we havennly to reseue words, already existing and familiar, from the false or vaglive meanings imposed on them by carelessness, or by the clipping and debasing misusage of the market. And surely happiness, duty, faith, truth, and final blessedness, are matters of deeper ant dearer interest for all men, than circles to the geometrician, or the characters of plants to the botanist, or the affinitivand combining principle of the elements of bodies to the chemist, or even than the mechanism (fearful and wonderful though it be!) of the perishable Tabernacle of the Soul can be to the
th of satisfaction, eaved of such a
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PRUDENTIAL APHORISMS.
anatomist. Among the aids to reflection, place the following maxim prominent : let distinctness in expression advance side by side with distinetion in thought. For one useless subtlety in our elder divines and moralists, I will produce ten sophisms of eqivocation in the writings of our modern preceptors : and for one error resulting from excess in distinguishing the indifferent, I would show ten inischievous delusions from the habit of confounding the diverse.

## APHORISM VII.

s.ditor.

Whether you are reflecting for yourself, or reasoning with another, make it a rule to ask yourself the precise meaning of the word, on which the point in question appears to turn; and if it inay be (i. e. by writers of authority has been) used in several senses, then ask which of these the word is at present intended to convey. By this mean, and scarcely without it, you will at length acquire a facility in detecting the quid pro quo. And believe ine, in so doing you will enable yourself to disarm and expose four-ifths of the main arguments of our most renowned irreligious philosophers, ancient and modern. For the quid pro quo is at once the rock and quarry, on and with which the strong-holds of disbelief, materialism, and (more pernicious still) epicurean morality, are built.

## APHORISM VIII.

leighton.
If we seriously consider what religion is, we shall find the saying of the wise king Solomon to be unexceptionably true : Her ways are ways of pleastintness, and all her paths are peace.

Doth religion require any thing of us more than that we live soberly, righteously, and godly in this present world? Now what, I pray, can be more pleasant or peaceable than these? Temperance is always at leisure, luxury always in a hurry : the latter weakens the body and pollutes the soul, the former is the sanctity, purity, and sound state of both. It is one of Epicurus' fixed naxims, 'That life can never be pleasant without virtue.' Viess seize upon men with the violence and rage of furies; but the Christian virtues replenish the
breast which they inhabit, with a heavenly peace and abundant joy, and thereby render it like that of an angel. The slaves of pleasure and earnal affections, have within them, even now, an earnest of future torments; so that, in this present life, we may truly apply to them that expression in the Revelations, They that worship the beast have no rest day nor night. 'There is perpetual peace with the humble,' saya the most devout A Kempis; 'but the proud and the covetous are 'never at rest.'
comment.
In the works of moralists, both Christian and Pagan, it is often asserted (indeed there are few common-places of more frequent recurrence) that the happiness even of this life consists solely, or principally, in virtue; that virtue is the only happiness of this life ; that virtue is the truest pleasure, \&c.

I doubt not that the meaning, which the writers intended to convey by these and the like expressions, was true and wise. But I deem it safer to say, nor do I doubt that in diverting men from sensual and dishonest courses it will often be expedient to say, that in all the outward relations of this life, in all our outward conduct and actions, both in what we should do, and in what we should abstain from, the dictates of virtue are the very same with those of self-interest; that though the incitements of virtue do not proceed from the same point, yet they tend $t$ the same point with the impulses of a reflecting and consistent selfishness; that the outward object of virtue being the greatest producible sum of happiness of all men, it must needs include the object of an intelligent selflove, which is the greatest possible happiness of one individual; for what is true of all, must be true of each. Hence, you cannot become better, (i.e. more virtuous), but you will become happier: and you cannot become worse, (i.e. more vicious), without an increase of misery (or at the best a proportional loss of enjoyment) as the consequence. If the thing were not inconsistent with our well-being, and known to be so, it would not have been classed as a vice. Thus what in an enfeebled and disorded mind is called prudence, is the voice
cace and abundan angel. The e within them, that, in this prexpression in the e no rest day nor umble,' says the the covetous are
and Pagan, it is n-places of more of this life conirtue is the only t pleasure, \&e. iters intended to as true and wise. that in diverting ll often be expeis of this life, in what we should dictates of virtue ; that though the the same point, ulses of a reflectward object of happiness of all intelligent selfof one individuch. Hence, you but you will bese, (i. e. more vihe best a proporce. If the thing d known to be so, Thus what in an nce, is the voice
of nature in a healthful state ; as is proved by the known fact, that the prudential duties, (i. e. those actions which are commanded by virtue because they are prescribed by prudence), the animals fulfil by natural instinct.

The pleasure that accompanies or depends on a healthy and vigorous body will be the consequence and reward of a temperate life and habits of aetive industry, whether this pleasure were or were not the chicf or only determining motive thereto. Virtue may, possibly, add to the pleasure a good of another kind, a higher good, perhaps, than the worldly mind is eapable of understanding, a spicitual complacency, of which in your present sensualized state you can form no idea. It may add, I say, but it cannot detract from it. 'Thus the reflected rays of the sun that give light, distinction, and endless multiformity to the mind, give at the same time the pleasurable sensation of warmth to the body. If then the time has not yet come for any thing higher, act on the maxim of seeking the most pleasure with the least pain : and, if only you do not seek where you yourself know it will not be found, this very pleasure and this freedom from the disquietude of pain, existing in conjunction with their inmediate causes and necessary conditions, and with the other almost certain consequences of of these causes, (for instance, the advantages of good character, the respect and sympathy of your neighbours, sense of increasing power and influence, \&c.) may produce in you a state of being directly and indirectly favourable to the germination and up-spring of a nobler seed. They may prepare and predispose you to the sense and acknowledgement of a principle, differing not merely in degree but in kind from the faculties and instincts of the higher and more intelligent species of animals, (the ant, the beaver, the elephant), and which principle is therefore your proper humanity. And on this account and with this view alone may certain modes of pleasureable or agreeable sensation, without confusion of terms, be honoured with the title of refined, intellectual, ennobling pleasures. For Pleasure (and happiness in its proper sense is but the continuity and sum-total of the pleasure which is allotted or
happens to a man, and hence by the Greeks called ouruxia, i. e. good-lap, or more religiously svodi.avia, i. e. favourable provi-dence)-Pleasure I say, consists in the harmony between the specific excitability of a living creature, and the exciting causes correspondent thereto. Considered, therefore, exclusively in and for itself, the only question is, quantum? not, quale? How much on the whole? the contrary, i.e. the painful and disagreeable, having been subtracted. The quality is a matter of taste: et de gustibus non est disputandum. No man can judge for another.
This, I repeat, appears to me a safer language than the sentences quoted above (that virtue alone is happiness; that happiness consists in virtue, \&c.) sayings which I find it hard to reconcile with other positions of still more frequent occurrence in the same divines, or with the declaration of St. Paul: "If in this life, only, we have hope, we are of all men most miserable." Such language the soundest moralists were obliged to employ, before grace and truth were brought into the world by Jesus Christ. And such language may, I doubt not, even now be profitably addressed both to individuals and to classes of men; though in what proportion it should be dwelt on, and to what extent it is likely to be efficacious, a review of the different epochs memorable for the turning of many from their evil ways, and a review of the means by which this reformation of life has been principally effected, renders me scrupulous in deciding.

At all events, I should rely far more confidently on the converse, viz. that to be vicious is to be miserable. Few men are so utterly reprobate, so imbruted by their vices, as not to have some lucid, or at least quiet and sober intervals; and in such a moment, dum descuiunt ira, few can stand up unshaken against the appeal to their own experience-what have been the wages of sin? what has the devil done for you? What sort of master have you found him? Then let us in befitting detail, and by a series of questions that ask no loud, and are secure against any false, answer, urge home the proof of the position, that to be vicious is to be wretched: adding the fear-
called ouruxia, i. e. favourable provimony between the d the exciting eauerefore, exclusively ntun? not, quale? e. the painful and equality is a matatandum. No man
ruage than the senappiness ; that hapch I find it hard to frequent occurrence n of St. Paul: " If tll men most miserasts were obliged to ight into the world r, I doubt not, even duals and to classes uld be dwelt on, and us, a review of the of many from their which this reformarenders me scrupu-
fidently on the coniserable. Few men heir vices, as not to er intervals; and in a stand up unshaken e-what have been ne for you? What en let us in befitting ask no loud, and are me the proof of the ed : adding the fear-
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ful corollary, that if even in the body, which as long as life is in it can never be wholly bereaved of pleasurable sensations, vice is found to be misery, what must it not be in the world to come? There, where even the crine is no longer possible, much less the gratifications that once attended it-where nothing of vice remains but its guilt and its misery-vice must be misery itself, all and utter misery.-So hest, if I err not, may the motives of prudence be held forth, and the impulses of self-love be awakened, in alliance with truth, and free from the danger of confounding things (the Laws of Duty, I mean, and the Maxims of Interest) which it deeply concerns us to keep distinct, inasmuch as this distinction and the faith therein are essential to our moral nature [23], and this again the groundwork and pre-condition of the spiritual state, in which the Ifumanity strives after Godliness and, in the name and power, and through the prevenient and assisting grace of the Mediator, will not strive in vain.

## IPHORISM IS

EDITOR.
The advantages of a life passed in conformity with the preeepts of virtue and religion, and in how many and vatious respects they recommend rirtue and religion, even on gromeds of prudence, form a delightful suhject of meditation, and a source of refreshing thought to good and pious men. Nor is it strange if, transported with the view, such persons should sometimes discourse on the charm of forms and colours to men whose eyes are not yet couched; or that they occasionally seem to invert the relations of cause and elfeet, and forget that there are acts and determinations of the will and affections, the consequences of which may be plainly foreseen, and yet camot be made our proper and primary motives for such acts and determinations, without destroying or entirely altering the distinct nature and character of the later. Sophron is well informed that wealh and extensive patronage will be the consequence of his obtaining the love and esteem of Constantia. But if the foreknowledge of this consequence were, and were foumd out to he, Sophron's main and deternining motive for secking this love and esteem; and if Constantia were a woman
that merited, or was capable of feeling, either one or the other, would not Sophron find (and deservedly too) aversion and contempt in their stead? Wherein, if not in this, differs the friendship of worldlings from true friendship? Without kind offices and useful services, wherever the power and opportunity occur, love would be a hollow pretence. Yet what noble mind would not be offended, if he were thought to value the love for the sake of the services, and not rather the services for the sake of the love?
Dissertations on the profitableness of rightcousness, that "her ways are ways of pleasantness," we possess many and eloquent, and in our most popular works. Many such passages, and of great beauty, occur in the volumes of Archbishop Leighton; but they are not particularly characteristic of his mind and genius. For these reasons, therefore, in addition to the scruples avowed in the preceding pages, 1 have confined my selection to a few specimens; and shall now conclude what I have thought expedient to observe in my own person, by guarding against any possible misinterpretation of ny sentiments by the two following aphorisms:

APHORISM X.
EDITOR.
Though prudence in itself is neither virtue nor spiritual holiness, yet without prudence, or in opposition to it, neither virtue nor holiness can exist.

APHORISM XI.
EDITOR.
Art thou under the tyranny of sin? a slave to vicious habits? at enmity with God, and a skulking fugitive from thy own conscience? 0 , how idle the dispute, whether the listening to the dictates of prodence from prudential and self-interested motives be virtue or merit, when the not listening is guilt, misery, madness, and despair! The best, the most Christianlike pity thou canst show, is to take pity on thy own soul. The best and most acceptable service thou canst render, is to do justice and show mercy to thyself.

## APHORISM XII.

Leighton.
What, you will say, have I beasts within me? Yes, you
one or the other, aversion and conthis, differs the ? Without kind ver and opportuYet what noble aght to value the ther the services
chtcousness, that possess many and Many such passaes of Archbishop aracteristic of his ore, in addition to 3 , I have confined ow conclude what y own person, by tion of my senti-

EDITOR. e nor spiritual ho1 to it, neither vir-

EDITOR. to vicious habits? from thy own conhe listening to the interested motives guilt, misery, madistianlike pity thou The best and most o justice and show
leighton.
in me? Yes, you
have beasts, and a vast number of them. And, that you may not think I intend to insult you, is anger an inconsiderable beast, when it barks in your heart? What is deceit, when it lies hid in a cunning mind; is it not a fox ? Is not the man who is furiously bent upon calumny, a scorpion? Is not the person who is eagerly set on resentment and revenge, a most venomous viper? What do you say of a covetous man; is he not a ravenous wolf? And is not the luxurious man, as the prophet expresses it, a neighing horse ? Nay, there is no wild beast but is found within us. And do you consider yourself as lord and prince of the wild beasts, because you command those that are without, though you never think of subduing or setting bounds to those that are within you? What advantage have you by your reason, which enables you to overcome lions, if, after all, you, yourself, are overcome by anger ? To what purpose do you rule over the birds, and catch them with gins, if you, yourself, with the inconstancy of a bird, or hurried hither and thither, and sometimes flying high, are ensnared by pride, sometimes brought down and caught by pleasure? But as it is shameful for him who rules over nations, to be a slave at home, and for the man who sits at the helm oi the state, to be meanly subjected to the beck of a contemptible harlot, or even of an imperious wife; will it not be, in like manner, disgraceful for you who exercise dominion over the beasts that are without you, to be subject to a great many, and those of the worst sort, that roar and domincer in your distempered mind?

## APHORISM XIII.

LEIGHTON.
There is a settled friendship, nay, a near relation and similitude between God and good men; he is even their father; but, in their education, he inures them to hardships. When, therefore, says Seneca, you see them struggling with difficulties, sweating, and employed in up-hill work; while the wicked, on the other hand, are in high spirits, and swim in pleasures; consider, that we are pleased with modesty in our children, and forwardness in our slaves: the former we kecp under by severe discipline, while we encourage inpudence in the latter.

Be persuaded that God takes the same method. He does not pamper the good man with delicious fare, but tries him; he accustoms him to hardships, and, (which is a wonderful expression in a heathen) prepares him for himsely.

## APHORISM XIV.

leighton.
If what we are told concerning that glorious city, obtain credit with us, we shall cheerfully travel towards it, nor shall we be at all deterred by the difficulties that may be in the way. But, however, as it is true, and more suitable to the weakness of our minds, which are rather apt to be affected with things present and near, than such as are at a great distance, we ought not to pass over in silence, that the way to the happiness reserved in heaveu, which leads through this earth, is not only agreeable because of the blessed prospect it opens, and the glorious end to which it conducts, but also for its own sake, and on account of the innate pleasure to be found in it, far preferable to any other way of life that can be made choice of, or, indeed, imagined. Nay, that we may not, by low expressions, derogate from a matter so grand and so conspicuous, that holiness and true religion which leads directly to the highest felicity, is itself the only happiness, as far as it can be enjoyed on this earth. Whatever naturally tends to the attainment of any other advantage, participates, in some measure, of the nature of that advantage. Now, the way to perfect felicity, if any thing can be so, is a means that, in a very great measure, participates of the nature of its end; nay, it is the beginning of that happiness, it is also to be considered a part of it, and differs from it, in its completest state, not so much in kind, as in degree.

APHORISM XV.
leighton.
'We are always resolving to live, and yet never set about 'life in good earnest[24].' Arehimedes was not singular in his fate; but a great part of mankind die unexpectedly, while they are poring upon the figures they have described in the sand. O wretched mortals! who having condemned themselves, as it were, in the mines, seem to make it their chief

1. He does not at tries him; he nderful express-
heighton. ous city, obtain urds it, nor shall y be in the way. to the weakness cted with things stance, we ought e happiness rearth, is not only opens, and the or its own sake, a found in it, far : made choice of, by low expressconspicuous, that ly to the highest $t$ can be enjoyed the attaimment of asure, of the naerfect felicity, if y great measure, the beginning of art of it, and difch in kind, as in
leighton. never set about $s$ not singular in expectedly, while described in the ondemned themrake it their rhief
study to prevent their ever regaining their liberty. Hence, new employments are assumed in the place of old ones; and, as the Romen philosopher truly expresses it, 'one hope suc'ceeds another, one instance of ambition makes way for ano'ther; and we never desire an end of our misery, but on'ly that it may change its outward form [95]'' When we cease to be eandidates, and to fatigue ourselves in soliciting interest, we begin to give our votes and interest to those who solicit us in their turn. When we are wearied of the trouble of prosecuting crimes at the bar, we commence judges ourselves; and he who is grown old in the management of other men's alfairs for money, is at last employed in improving his own wealth. At the age of fifty, says one, I will retire, and take my ease; oi the sixtieth year of my life shall entirely disengage me from public offices and business. Fool! art thou not ashamed to reserve to thyself the last remains and dregs of life ? Who will stand surety that thou shalt live so long? And what immense folly is it, so far to forget mortality, as to think of beginning to live at that period of years, to which a few only attain!


## REFLECTIONS RESPECTING MORALITY.

If Prudence, though practically inseparable from Morality, is not to be confounded with the Moral Principle; still less may Sensibility, i. e. a constitutional quickness of Sympathy with Pain and Pleasure, and a keen sense of the gratifications that accompany social intercourse, mutual endearments, and reciprocal preferences, be mistaken, or deemed a Substitute for either. They are not even sure pledges of a good neart, though among the most common meanings of that many-meaning and too commonly misapplied expression.

So far from being either morality, or one with the Moral Principle, they ought not even be placed in the same rank with Prudence. For Prudence is at least an offspring of the Understanding; but Sensibility (the Sensibility, I mean, here spoken of), is for the greater part a quality of the nerves, and a result of individual bodily temperament.

Prudence is an active Principle, and implies a sacrifice of Self, though only to the same Self projected, as it were, to a distance. But the very term sensibility, marks its passive nature; and in its mere self, apart from Choice and Reflection, it proves little more than the coincidence or contagion of pleasureable or painful Sensations in different persons.
Alas! how many are there in this over-stimulated age, in which the occurrence of excessive and unhealthy sensitiveness is so frequent, as even to have reversed the current meaning of the word, nervous-how many are [26] there whose sensibility prompts them to remove those evils alone, which by hideous spectacle or clamorous outcry are present to their senses and disturb their selfish enjoyments. Provided the dunghill is not before their parlour window, they are well contented to know that it exists, and perhaps as the hot-
bed on which their own luxuries are reared. Sensibility is not necessarily Benevolence. Nay, by rendering us tremHingly alive to triffing misfortuncs, it frequently prevents it, and inluces an effeminate Selfishness instead;
-Pampering the coward heart With feelings all too delicate for use.
Sweet are the Tears, that from a Howard's eye Drop on the cheek of one, he lifts from earth: And IIe, who works me good with unmoved face, Does it but half. He chills me, while he aids, My Benefactor, not my Brother man.
But even this, this cold benevolence,
Seems Worth, seems Manhood, when there rise before me The sluggard Pity's vision-weaving Tribe,
Who sigh for wretchedness yet shun the wretehed, Nursing in some delicious Solitude
Their Slothful Loves and dainty Sympathies.
Sibylline Lcaves, p. 180.
Lastly, where Virtue is, Sensibility is the ormament and becoming Attire of Virtuc. On certain oceasions it may almost be said to become [27] Virtue. But Sensibility and all the amiable Qualities may likewise become, and too often have become, the panders of Vice and the instruments of Seduction.

So must it needs be with all qualities that have their rise only in parts and frugments of our nature. A man of warm passions may sacrifice half his estate to rescue a friend from Prison: for he is naturally sympathetic, and the more social part of his nature happened to be uppermost. The same man shall afterwards exhibit the same disregard of money in an attempt to seduce that friend's Wite or Daughter.

All the evil arhieved by Hobbes and the whole School of Materialists will appear inconsiderable if it be eompared with the mischief effected and oceasioned by the Sentimental Phi-
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ave their rise man of warm a friend from e more social The same man oney in an at-
hole School of :mpared with timental Phi-
losophy of Sterne, and his numerous Imitators. The vilest appetites and the most remorseless inconstancy towards their objects, acquired the titles of the Heart, the irresistible Feelings, the too tender Sensibility : and if the Frosts of Prudence, the iey chains of Human Law thawed and vanished at the genial warmth of Human Nature, who could help it? It was an amiable weakness!

About this time too the profanation of the word, Love, rose to its height. The French Naturalists, Buffon and others borrowed it from the sentimental Novelists: the Swedish and English Philosophers took the contagion; and the muse of Science condescended to seek admission into the Saloons of Fashion and Frivolity, rouged like an Harlot, and with the Harlot's wanton leer. I know not how the Annals of Guilt could be better forced into the service of Virtue, than by such a Comment on the present paragraph, as would be afforded by a selection from the sentimental correspondence produced in Courts of Justice within the last thirty years, fairly translated into the true meaning of the words, and the actual Object and Purpose of the infamous writers. Do you in good earnest aim at Dignity of Character? By all the treasures of a peaceful mind, by all the charms of an open countenance, I conjure you, 0 youth! turn away from those who live in the Twilight between Vice and Virtue. Are not Reason, Discrimination, Law, and deliberate Choice, the distinguishing Characters of Humanity? Can aught then worthy of a human Being proceed from a Habit of Soul, which would exclude all these and (to borrow a metaphor from Paganism) prefer the den of Trophonius to the Temple and Oracles of the God of Light? Can any thing manly, I say, proceed from those, who for Law and Light would substitute shapeless feelings, sentiments, impulses, which as far as they differ from the vital workings in the brute animals owe the difference to their former connexion with the proper Virtues of Humanity ; as Dendrites derive the outlines, that constitute their value above other claystones, from the casual neighbourhood and pressure of the Ilants, the names of which they assume! Remember, that

Love itselfin its highest earthly Bearing, as the ground of the marriage union [28], becones Love hy an inward yiat of the Will, by a completing and sealing Act of Moral Election, and lays elaim to permanence only under the form of duty.
Again, I would impress it on the reader, that in order to the full understanding of any Whole, it is necessary to have learnt the nature of the component parts, of each scverally and, as far as is possible, abstraeted from the changes it may have undergone in its combination with the others. On this account I have deferred in order to give effectually the more interesting and far more cheering contemplation of the saine Subjects in the reverse order; Prudence, namely, as it flows out of Morality, and Morality as the natural Overflowing of Religion; for religious principle is always the true though sometimes the hidden Spring and Fountain head of all true Morality.
I have hitherto considered Prudence and Morality as two Streams from different sources, and traced the former to its supposed confluence with the latter. And if it had been my present purpose and undertaking to have placed Fruits from my own Garden before the Reader, I should in like manner have followed the course of Morality from its Twin Sources, the Affections and the Conscience, till (as the main Feeder into some majestic Lake rich with hidden Springs of its own) it flowed into, and became one with, the Spiritual Life.

But without a too glaring Breach of the promise, that the Banquet for the greater part should consist of Choice Clusters from the Vineyards of Archbishop Leighton, this was not practicable, and now, I trust, with the help of these introductory pages, no longer necessary.

Still, however, it appears to me of the highest use and of vital importance to let it be seen, that Religion or the Spiritual Life is a something in itself, for which mere Morality, were it even far more perfect in its kind than experience authorises us to expect in unaided human Nature, is no Substitute, though it cannot but be its Accompaniment. So far, therefore, I have adapted the arrangement of the extracts to this principle, that though I have found it impossible to sepa-
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ighest use and of gion or the Spirith mere Morality, in experience auture, is no Substimiment. So far, of the extracts to mpossible to sepa-
rate the Moral from the Religions, the morality and moral views of Leighton being every where taken from the point of Christian Faith, I have yet brought together under one head, and in a separate Chapter, those subjects of Reflection, that necessarily suppose or involve the faith in an eternal state, and the probationary nature of our existence under Time and Change,

These whether doctrinal or ascetic (disciplinary, from the Greek aixsw, to exercise,) whether they respect the obstacles to the attainment of the Eternal, irremoveable by the unrenewed and unaided Will of Man; or the removal of these Obstacles, with its Concurrents and Consequents ; or lastly, the Truths, necessary to a rational belief in the Future, and which alone can interpret the Past, or solve the Riddle of the Present; are especially meant in the term Spiritual.

Amply shall I deem myseli remunerated if either by the holy Charm, the good Spell of Leighton's Words, than which few if any since the Apostolic age better deserve the name of Euangelical, or by my own notes and interpolations, the reflecting leader should be enabled to apprehend-for we may rightly appreliend what no finite mind can fully comprehendand attach a distinct meaning to, the Mysteries into which his Baptism is the initiation; and thus to feel and know, that Christian Faith is the perfection of Human Reason.


## MORAL AND RELIGIOUS APHORISMS.

## APHORISM I.

leightor.
What the Aposiles were in an extraordinary way befitting $^{\text {a }}$ the first annunciation of a Religion for al Mankind, this all Teachers of Moral Truth, who aim to prepare for its reception by calling the attention of men to the Law in their own hearts, may, without presumption, consider themselves to be under ordinary gifts and circumstances: namely, Ambassadors for the Greatest of Kings, and upon no mean employment, the great Treaty of Peace and Reconcilement betwixt him and Mankind.

## APHORISM II.

цеіннток.
OF THE FEELINGS NATURAL TO INGENUOUS MINDS TOWARDS THOSE WHO HAVE FIRST LED THEM TO REFLECT.
Though Divine Truths are to be received equally from every Minister alike, yet it must be acknowledged that there is something (we know not what to call it) of a more acceptable reception of those who at first were the means of bringing men to God, than of others; like the opinion some have of physicians, whom they love.

## APHORISM III. L. AND ED.

The worth and value of Knowledge is in proportion to the worth and value of its object. What, then, is the best knowledge ?

The exactest knowledge of things, is, to know them in their causes; it is then an excellent thing, and worthy of their endeavours who are most desirous of knowledge, to know the best things in their highest causes; and the happiest way of attaining to this knowledge, is to possess those things, and to know them in experience.

It is one main point of happiness, that he that is happy doth know and judge himself to be so. This being the peculiar good of a reasonable ereature, it is to be enjoyed in a reasonable way. It is not as the dull resting of a stone, or ary other natural body in its natural place; but the knowledge and consideration of it is the fruition of it, the very relishing and tasting of its sweetness.

REMARK.
As in a Christian Land we receive the lessons of Morality in connexion with the Doctrines of Revealed Religion, we cannot too early free the mind from prejudices widely spread in part through the abuse, but far more from ignorance, of the truc meaning of doctrinal Terms, which, however they may have been perverted to the purposes of Fanaticism, are not only scriptural, but of too frequent oecurrence in Scripture to be overlooked or passed by in silence. The following extract therefore, deserves attention, as clearing the doctrine of Salvation, in connexion with the divine Foreknowledge, from all objections on the score of Morality, by the just and impressive view which the Archbishop here gives of those oceasional revolutionary moments, that Turn of the Tide in the mind and character of certain Individuals, which (taking a religious course, and referred immediately to the Author of all Good) were in his day, more generally thanat present, entitled ermectual. calling. The theological interpretation and the philosophic validity of this Aposiolic Triad, Election, Salvation, and Effectual Calling, (the latter being the intermediate) will be found among the Editor's Comments on the Aphorisms of Spiritual Import. For our present purpose it will be sufficient ii only we prove, that the Doctrines are in themselves innocuous, and may be both held and taught without any practical ill consequenees, and without detriment to the moral frame.

IPHORISM V .
Leighton.
Two Links of the Chain (viz. Election and Satvation) are

Letarton. at is happy doth ng the peculiar red in a reasonone, or ary othknowledge and ry relishing and
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up in heaven in God's own hand; but this middle one (1. e. Effectual Calling) is let down to earth, into the hearts of his children, and they laying hold on it have sure hold on the other two: for no power can sever them. If, therefore, they can read the characters of God's image in their own souls, those are the counter-part of the golden characters of His Love, in which their names are written in the book of life. Their believing writes their names under the promises of the revealed book of life (the Scriptures) and thus ascertains them, that the same names are in the secret book of life whieh God hath by himself from eternity. So that finding the stream of grace in their hearts, though they see not the fountain whence it flows, nor the ocean into which it returns, yet they know that it hath its source in their eternal election, and shall empty itself into the ocean of their eternal salvation.
If election, effectual calling and salvation be inseparably linked together, then, by any one of them a man may lay hold upon all the rest, and may know that this hold is sure ; and this is the way whercin we may attain, and ought to scek, the comfortable assurance of the love of God. Therefore make your calling sure, and by that. your election; for that being done, this follows of itself. We are not to pry immediately into the decree, but to read it in the performance. Though the mariner sees not the pole-star, yet the neeedle of the compass which points to it, tells him which way he sails; thus the heart that is touched with the loadstone of divine love, trembling with godly fear, and yet still looking towards God by fixed believing, interprets the fear by the love in the fear, and tells the soul that its course is heavenward, towards the haven of eternal rest. He that loves, may be sure he was loved first; and he that ehooses God for his delight and portion, may conclude confidently, that God hath ehosen him to be one of those that shall enjoy him, and be happy in him for ever; for that our love and electing of him is but the return and repercussion of the beams of his love shining upon us.

Although from present unsanctification, a man cannot infer that he is not clected; for the decree may, for jurt of a man's
life, run (as it were) underground; yet this is sure, that that estate leads to death, and unless it be broken, will prove che black line of reprobation. A man hath no portion amongst the children of God, nor can read one word of comfort in all the promises that belong to them, while he remains unholy.

## REMARK.

In addition to the preceding, I select the following paragraphs as having no where seen the term, Spirit, the Gifts of the Spirit, and the like, so effectually vindicated from the sneers of the Sciolist on one hand, and protected from the perversions of the Fanatic on the other. In these paragraphs the Archbishop at once shatters and precipitates the only draw-bridge between the fanatical and the orthodox doctrine of Grace, and the Gifts of the Spirit. In Scripture the term. Spirit, as a power or property seated in the human soul, never stands singly, but is always specified by a genitive case following; this being an Hebraism instead of the adjective which the Writer would have used if he had thought, as well as written, in Greek. It is "the Spirit of Meekness" (a meek Spirit), or "the Spirit of Chastity," and the like. The moral Result, the specific Form and Character in which the Spirit manifests its presence, is the only sure pledge and token of its presence : which is to be, and which safely may be, inferred from its practical effects, but of which an immediate knowledge or consciousness is impossible; and every Pretence to such knowledge is either hypocrisy or fanatical delusion.

## APHORISM VI.

LEIGHTON.
If any pretend that they have the Spirit, and so torn away from the straight rule of the holy Scriptures, they have a spirit indeed, hut it is a fanatical spirit, a spirit of delusion and giddiness: but the Spirit of God, that leads his children in the way of truth, and is for that purpose sent thein from heaven to guide them thither, squares their thoughts and ways to that rule whereof it is suthor, and that word which was inspired
sure, that that will prove she ortion amongst f comfort in all rains unholy.
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LEIGHTON.
1d so turn away ey have a spirit lusion and gidchildren in the in from heaven and ways to that h was inspired
by it, and sanctifies them to ohedience. He that sailh I know him, and keepeth not his commantments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him. (1 John ii. 4.)

Now this Spirit which sanctificth, and sanctificth to obedience, is within us the evidence of our election, and the earnest of our salvation. And whoso are not sanctified and led by this Spirit, the Apostle tells us what is their condition: If any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his. The stones which are appointed for that glorious temple above, are hewn, and polished, and prepared for it here; as the stones were wrought and prepared in the mountains, for building the temple at Jerusalem.

## comment.

There are many serious and sincere Cluistians who have not attained to a fullness of knowledge and insight, but are well and judiciously employed in preparing for it. Even these may study the master-works of our elder Divines with safety and advantage, it they will accustom themselves to translate the theological terms into their moral equivalents; saying to themselves-This may not be all that is meant, but this is meant, and it is that portion of the meaning, which belongs to $m e$ in the present stage of my progress. For example : render the words, sanctification of the Spirit, or the sanctifying influences of the Spirit, by, Purity in Life and Aetion from a pure Principle.

We need only reflect on our own experience to be convinced, that the Man makes the motive, and not the motive the Man. What is a strong motive to one man, is no motive at all to another. If, then, the man determines the motive, what determines the Man-to a good and worthy act, we will say, or a virtuous Course of Conduct? The intelligent Will, or the self-determining Power ? True, in part it is; and therefore the Will is pre-eminently the spiritual Constituent in our Being. But will any reflecting man admit, that his own Will is the only and sufficient determinant of all he is, and all he does? Is nothing to be attributed to the harmony of the sys6
tem to which he belongs, and to the pre-established Fitness of the Objects and Agents, known and unknown, that surround him, as acting on the will, though doubtless, with it likewise? a process, which the co-instantaneous yet reciprocal action of the Air and the vital Energy of the Lungs in Breathing may help to render intelligible.
Again : in the World we see every where evidences of a Unity, which the component Parts are so far from explaining that they necessarily pre-suppose it as the cause and condition of their existing as those parts : or even of their existing at all. This antecedent Unity, or Cause and Principle of each Union, it has since the time of Bacon and Kepler been customary to call a Law. This Crocus, for instance: or any other Flower, the Reader may have in sight or choose to bring before his fancy. That the root, stem, leaves, petals, \&c. cohere to one plant, is owing to an antecedent Power or Prineiple in the Seed, which existed before a single particle of the matters that constitute the size and visibility of the Crocus, had been attracted from the surrounding soil, Air, and Moisture. Shall we turn to the seed? Here too the same necessity meets us. An antecedent Unity (I speak not of the parent plant, but of an agency antecedent in the order of opperance, yet remaining present as the conservative and reproductive Power) must here too be supposed. Analyse the Seed with the finest tools, and let the Solar Microscope come in aid of your senses, what do you find? Means and instruments, a wondrous Fairy-tale of Nature, Magazines of Food, Stores of various sorts, Pipes, Spiracles, Defences-a House of Many Chambers, and the Owner and Inhabitant invisible! Reflect further on the countless Millions of Seeds of the same Name, each more than numerieally differenced from every other: and further yet, reflect on the requisite harmony of all surrounding Things, each of which necessitates the same process of thought, and the coherence of rall of which to a System, a World, demands its own adequate Antecedent Unity, which must therefore of necessity be present to all and in all, yet in no wise excluding or suspending the individual Law or
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Principle of Union in each. Now will Reason, will Common Sense, endure the assumption, that in the material and visible system it is highly reasonable to believe a Universal I'ower, as the cause and pre-condition of the harmony of all particular Wholes, each of which involves the working Principle of its own Union, that it is reasonable, I say, to believe this respecting the Aggregate of Objects, which without a Subject (i. e. a sentient and intelligent Existence) would be purposeless and yet unreasonable and even superstitious or enthusiastic to entertain a similar belief in relation to the System of intelligent and self-conscious Beings, to the moral and personal World? But if in this too, in the great Community of Persons, it is rational to infer a One universal Presence, a One present to all and in all, is it not most irrational to suppose that a finite will can exclude it? Whenever, therefore, the man is determined (i. e. impelled and directed) to act in harmony of intercommunion, must not something be attributed to this all-present power as acting in the Will? and by what fitter names can we call this than the law, as empowering ; the word, as informing ; and the spirit, as actuating?

What has been here said amounts (I an aware) only to a negative Conception; but this is all that is required for a mind at that period of its growth which we are now supposing, and as long as Religion is contemplated under the form of Morality. A positive Insight belongs to a more advanced stage : for spiritual truths can only spiritually be discerned. This we know from Revelation, and (the existence of spiritual truths being granted) Philosophy is compelled to draw the same conclusion. But though merely negative, it is sufficient to render the union of Religion and Morality conceivable ; sufficient to satisfy an unprejudiced Inquirer, that the spiritual Doctrines of the Christian Religion are not at war with the reasoning faculty, and that if they do not run on the same Line (or Radius) with the Understanding, yet neither do they cut or cross it. It is sufficient, in short, to prove, that some distinct and consistent meaning may be attached to the assertion of the learned and philosophic Apostle, that "the spirit
beareth witness with our spirit"-i. e. with the Will, as the Supernatural in Man and the Principle of our Personalty-of that, I mean, by which we are responsible Agents; Persons, and not merely living Things[29].

It will suffice to satisfy a reflecting mind, that even at the porch and threshold of Revealed Truth there is a great and worthy sense in which we may believe the Apostle's assurance, that not only doth "the Spirit aid our infirmities;" that is act on the Will by a predisposing influence from without, as it were, though in a spiritual manner, and without suspending or destroying its freedom, (the possibility of which is proved to us in the influences of Education, of providential Occurronees, and above all, of Example) but that in regenerate souls it may aet in the will; that uniting and becoming one[30] with our will or spirit it may "make intercession for us; "nay, in this intimate union taking upon itself the form of our infirmities, may intercede for us "with groanings that eannot be uttered." Nor is there any danger of Fanaticism or Enthusiasm as the consequence of such a belief, if only the attention be carefully and earnestly drawn to the eoncluding words of the sentence (Romans, viii. v. 26.); if only the due foree and the full import be given to the term unutterable or incommunicable, in St. Paul's use of it. In this, the strictest and most proper use of the term, it signifies, that the subject, of which it is predicated, is something which I cannot, which from the nature of the thing it is impossible that I should, communieate to any human mind (even of a person under the same conditions with myself) so as to make it in itself the object of his direet and immediate consciousness. It cannot be the object of my own direet and immediate Consciousness; but must be inferred. Inferred it may be from its workings : it cannot be perceived in them. And, thanks to God in all points in which the knowledge is of high and necessary concern to our moral and religious welfare, from the Effects it may safely be inferred by us, from the Workings it may be assuredly known; and the Scriptures furnish the clear and unfailing Rules for directing the inquiry, and for drawing the conclusion.
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If any reflecting mind be surprised that the aids of the Divine Spirit should be deeper than our Consciousness can reaeh, it must arise from the not having attended sufficiently to the nature and necessary limits of human Consciousness. For the same impossibility exists as to the first acts and novements of our own will-the farthest back our recollection can follow the traces, never leads us to the first foot-mark-the lowest depth that the light of ou. Consciousness can visit even with a doubtful Glimmering, is still at an unknown distance from the Ground : and so, indeed, must it be with all Truths, and all modes of Being that ean neither be counted, coloured, or delineated. Before and After, when applied to such Subjects, are but allegories, which the Sense or Imagination supply to the Understanding. The Position of the Aristotelians, Nihil in intellectu quod non prius in sensu, on which Mr. Locke's Essay is grounded, is irrefragable : Locke erred only in taking half the truth for a whole Truth. Conception is consequent on Pereeption. What we cannot imagine, we eannot, in the proper sense of the word, conceive.
I have already given one definition of Nature. Another, and differing from the former in words only, is this: Whatever is representable in the forms of Time and Space, is Nature. But whatever is comprehended in Time and Space, is included in the Mechanism of Cause and Effect. And conversely, whatever, by whatever means, has its principle in itself, so far as to originate its actions, cannot be contemplated in any of the forms of Space and Time-it must, thercfore, be considered as Spirit or Spiritual by a mind in that stage of its Developement which is here supposed, and which we have agreed to understand under the name of morality, or the Moral State: for in this stage we arc concerned only with the forming of negative conceptions, negative convictions; and by spiritual I do not pretend to determine what the Will is, but what it is not-namely, that it is not Nature. And as no man who admits a Will at all, (for we may safely presume, that no man not meaning to speak figuratively, would call the shifting Current of a strean the whi [31] of the River), will suppose
it below Nature, we may safely add, that it is super-natural ; and this without the least pretence to any positive Notion or Insight.
Now Morality accompanied with Convictions like these, I have ventured to call Religious Morality. Of the importance I attach to the state of mind implied in these convictions, for its own sake, and as the natural preparation for a yet higher state and a more substantive knowledge, proof more than sufficient, perhaps, has been given in the length and minuteness of this introductory Discussion, and in the foreseen risk which I run of exposing the volume at large to the censure which every work, or rather which every writer, must be prepared to undergo, who, treating of subjects that cannot be seen, touched, or in any other way made matters of outward sense, is yet anxious both to attach and to convey a distinct meaning to the words he makes use of-the censure of being dry, abstract, and (of all qualities most scaring and opprobrious to the ears of the present generation) metaphysical: though how is it possible that a work not physical, that is, employed on Objects known or believed on the evidence of Sense, should be other than metaphysical, that is, treating on Subjects, the evidence of which is not derived from the Senses, is a problem which Critics of this order find it convenient to leave unsolved.

The Editor and Annotator of the present Volume, will, indeed, have reason to think himself fortunate, if this be all the Charge! How many smart quotations, which (duly cemented by personal allusions to the Author's supposed Pursuits, Attachments, and Infirmities), would of themselves make up "A 'Review" of the Volume, might be supplied from the works of Butler, Swift and Warburton. For instance: 'It may not 'be amiss to inform the Public, that the Compiler of the Aids 'to reflection, and Commenter on a Scoteh Bishop's platonico'calvinistic commentary on St. Peter, belongs to the Sect of 'the EEolists, whose fruitful imaginations lead them into cer'tain notions, which although in appearance very unuccounta'ble, are not without their mysteries and their meanings; firr'nishing plenty of Matter for such, whose converting Imagi-
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ns like these, I the importance convictions, for or a yet higher more than suf. and minuteness een risk which I ure which every prepared to unscen, touched, d sense, is yet meaning to the ry, abstract, and $s$ to the ears of how is it posyed on Objects should be othts, the evidence problem which e unsolved. olume, will, inf this be all the (duly cemented 'ursuits, Attachs make up " A from the works e : ' It may not iler of the Aids hop's platonico$s$ to the Sect of them into cercry unuccountameanings ; furwerting Imagi-
'nations dispose them to reduce all things into types; who 'can make shanows, no thanks to the Sun: and then mould 'them into substances, no thanks to Philosophy: whose pe'culiar Talent lies in fixing tropes and allegoribs to the ' letter, ana refining what is literal into migure and my:-'tery.'--T'ale of the T'ub, Sect. xi.

And would it were my lot to meet with a Critic, who, in the might of his own Convictions, and with arms of equal Point and Efficiency from his own Forge, would come forth as my assailant; or who, as a friend to my purpose, would set forth the Objections to the matter and pervading Spirit of these Aphorisms, and the accompanying Elucidations. Were it my task to form the mind of a young man of Talent, desirous to establish his opinions and belief on solid principles, and in the light of distinct understanding, I would commence his theological studies, or, at least, that most important part of them respecting the aids which Religion promises in our attempts to realize the ideas of Morality, by bringing together all the passages scattered throughout the Writings of Swift and Butler, that bear on Enthusiasm, Spiritual Operations, and pretences to the Gifts of the Spirit, with the whole train of New Lights, Raptures, Experiences, and the like. For all that the richest Wit, in intimate union with profound Sense and steady Observation, can supply on these Topics, is to be found in the works of these Satirists; though unhappily alloyed with much that ean only tend to pollute the Imagination.
Without stopping to estimate the degree of caricature in the Portraits sketched by these bold Masters, and without attempting to determine in how many of the Enthusiasts, brought forward by them in proof of the influence of false Doctrines, a constitutional Insanity, that would probably have shown itself in some other form, would be the truer Solution, I would direct my Pupil's attention to one featur common to the whole Group-the pretence, namely, of possessing, or a Belief and Expectation grounded on other men's assurances of their possessing, an immediate Consciousness, a sensible Experience, of the Spirit in and during its operation on the soul. It is not
enough that you grant them a consciousuess of the Gifts and Graces infused, or an assurance of the Spinitual Origin of the same, grounded on their correspondence to the Scripture Promises, and their conformity with the Idea of the divine Giver. No! 'They all alike, it will be found, lay claim (or at least look forward) to an inward perception of the Spirit itself and of its operating.

Whatever must be misrepresented in order to be ridiculed, is in fact not ridiculed; but the thing substituted for it. It is a Satire on something else, coupled with a Lie on the part of the Satirist, who knowing, or having the means of knowing the truth, chose to call one thing by the name of another. The Pretensions to the Supernatural, pilloried by Butler, sent to Bedlam by Swift, and (on their re-appearance in public) gibbetted by Warburton, and anatomized by Bishop Lavington, one and all have this for their essential character, that the Spirit is made the immediate Object of Sense or Sensation. Whether the Spiritual I'resence and agency are supposed cognizable by an indeseribable Feeling or in unimaginable Vision by some speeific visual energy; whether seen, or heard, or touched, smelt, and tastel-for in those vast Storehouses of fanatical assertion, the volunes of Ecelesiastical History and religious Auto-biography, Instances are not wanting even of the three latter extravagancies-this variety in the mode may render the several pretensions more or less offensive to the Taste ; but with the same Absurdity for the Reason, this being derived from a contradiction in terms common and radical to them all alike, the assumption of a something essentially supersensual, that is nevertheless the object of sense, i. e. not supersensual.

Well then !--for let me be allowed still to suppose the Reader present to me, and that I am addressing him in the character of Companion and Guide-the positions recommended for your examination not only do not involve, but exclude, this inconsistency. And for aught that hitherto appears, we may see with complacency the Arrows of Satire feathered with Wit, weighted with Sense, and discharged by a strong Arm, fly
f the Gifts and Al Origin of the Scripture Proe divine Giver. im (or at least Spirit itself and
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home to their mark. Our Conceptions of a possible Spiritual Communion, though they are lut negative, and only prequratory to a faith in its actual existence, stand neither in the Level nor the Direction of the Shafts.
If it be objected, that Swift and Warburton did not choose openly to set up the interpretations of later and more rational Divines against the decisions of their own Chureh, and from prudential considerations did not attack the doctrine in toto: that is their coneern (I would answer), and it is more charitable to think otherwise. But we are in the silent school of Reflection, in the secret confessional of Thought. Should we 'lie for God,' and that to our own Thoughts? They indeed, who dare do the one, will soon be able to do the other. So dis the Comforters of Job: and to the Divines, who resemble Joh's Comforters, we will leave both attempts.

But (it may be said), a possible Conception is not necessarily a true one; nor even a probable one, where the Facts can be otherwise explained. In the name of the supposed Pupil I would reply-That is the very question I am preparing myself to examine ; and am now secking the Vantage-rround where I may best command the Facts. In my own person, I would ask the Objectur, whether he counted the Declarations of Scripture among the F'acts to be explained. But both for myself and my pupil sund in behalf of all rational Enquiry, I would demand that the Decision should not be such, in itself or in its effects, as would prevent our becoming acquainted with the most important of these Facts; nay, such as would, for the mind of the Decider, preeclude their very existence. Unless ye believe, says the Prophet, ye cannot understand. Suppose (what is at least possible) that the facts should be consequent on the belief, it is clear that without the belief the materials, on which the understanding is to exert itself, would be wanting.
The reflections that naturally arise sut of this last remark, are those that best suit the stage at which we last halted, and from which we now recommence our progress-the state of a Moral Man, who has already welcomed certain truths of Ke7
ligion, and is enquiring after other and more special Doetrines: still however' as a Moralist, desirous indeed to receive them into combination with Morality, but to receive them as its Aid, not as its Substitute. Now, to such a nían I say ; Before you reject the Opinions and Doctrines asserted and enforced in the following Extract from our eloquent Author, and before you give way to the Emotions of Distaste or Ridicule, which the Prejudices of the Circle in which you move, or your own familiarity with the nad perversions of the doctrine by Fanatics in all ages, have connected with the very words, Spirit, Grace, Gifts, Operations, \&c. re-examine the arguments advanced in the first pages of this Introductory Comment, and the simple and sober View of the Doctrine, contemplated in the first instance as a mere Idea of the Reason, flowing naturally from the admission of an infinite omnipresent Mind as the Ground of the Universe. Reflect again and again, and be sure that you understand the Doctrine before you determine on rejecting it. That no false judgments, no extravagant conceits, no practical ill-consequences need arise out of the Belief of the Spirit, and its possible communion with the Spiritual Principle in Man, or can arise out of the right Belief, or are compatible with the Doctrine truly and scripturally explained, Leighton, and almost every single Period in the Passage here transcribed from him, will suffice to convince you.

On the other hand, reflect on the consequences of rejecting it. For surely it is not the act of a reflecting mind, nor the part of a Man of Sense to disown and cast out one Tenet, and yet persevere in admitting and clinging to another that has neither sense nor purpose, that does not suppose and rest on the truth and reality of the former! If you have resolved that all belief of a divine Comforter present to our inmost Being and aiding our infirmities, is fond and fanatical-if the Scriptures promising and asserting such communion are to be explained away into the action of circumstances, and the necessary movements of the vast machine, in one of the circulating chains of which the human Will is a petty link-in what better light can Prayer appear to yort, than the groans of a wounded Lion in
cial Doctrines: receive them hem as its Aid, y ; Before you enforced in the and before you ule, which the ryour own farine by Fanatwords, Spirit, arguments adComment, and ontemplated in 1, flowing natuent Mind as the in, and be sure stermine on reragant conceits, f the Belief of Spiritual Prinief, or are comally explained, e Passage here ou. ces of rejecting nd, nor the part Tenct, and yet that has neither est on the truth ved that all benost Being and the Scriptures to be explained ecessary movelating chains of better light ean ounded Lion in
his solitary De:i, or the howl of a Dog with his eyes on the Moon? At the best, you can regard it only as a transient bewilderment of the Social Instinct, as a Social Habit misapplied! Unless indeed you should adopt the theory which I remember to have read in the writings of the late Dr. Jebb, and for some supposed beneficial re-action of Praying on the Prayer's own Mind, should practise it as a species of Animal-Magnetism to be brought about by a wilful eclipse of the Reason, and a temporary make-believe on the part of the Self-magnetizer!

At all events, do not prejudge a Doctrine, the utter rejection of which must oppose a formidable obstacle to your acseptance of Christianity itself, when the Books, from which alone we can learn what Christianity is and teaches, are so strangely written, that in a series of the most concerning points, including (historical facts excepted) all the peculiar Tenets of the Religion, the plain and obvious meaning of the words, that in which they were understood by Learned and Simple for at least sixteen Centuries, during the far larger part of which the language was a living language, is no sufficient guide to their actual sense or to the Writer's own Meaning! And this too, where the literal and received Sense involves nothing impossible, or inmoral, or contrary to reason. With such a persuasion, Deism would be a more consistent Creed. But, alas! even this will fail you. The utter rejection of all present and living communion with the Universal Spirit impoverishes Deism itself, and renders it as cheerless as Atheism, from which indeed it would differ only by an obscure impersonation of what the Atheist receives unpersonified under the name of Fate or Nature.

> APHORISM VII.
L. AND ED.

The proper and natural Effect, and in the absence of all disturbing or intercepting forces, the certain and sensible accompaniment of Peace (or Reconcilement) with God, is our own inward Peace, a calm and quiet temper of mind. And where there is a consciousness of earnestly desiring, and of having sincerely striven alter the former, the latter may be consider-
ed as a Sense of its presence. In this case, I say, and for a soul watchful, and under the discipline of the Gospel, the Peace with a man's self may be the medium or organ through which the assurance of his Peace with God is conveyed. We will not therefore condemn this mode of speaking, though we dare not greatly recommend it. Be it, that there is, truly and in sobriety of speech, enough of just Analogy in the subjects meant, to make this use of the words, if less than proper, yet something more than metaphorical ; still we must be cautious not to transfer to the Object the defects or the deficiency of the Organ, which must needs partake of the imperfections of the imperfect Beings to whom it belongs. Not without the co-assurance of other senses and of the same sense in other men, dare we affirm that what our Eye beholds, is verily there to be beheld. Much less may we conclude negatively, and from the inadequacy or suspension or affections of the Sight infer the non-cxistence, or departure, or changes of the Thing itself. The Chameleon darkens in the shade of him that bends over it to ascertain its colours. In like manner, but with yet greater caution, ought we to think resjecting a tranquil habit of the inward life, considered as a spiritual Sense, as the medial Organ in and by which our peace with God, and the lively working of his Grace on our Spirit, are perceived by us. This Peace which we have with God in Christ, is inviolable ; but because the sense and persuasion of it may be interrupted, the soul that is truly at peace with God may for a time be disquieted in itself, through weakness of faith, or the strength of temptation, or the darkness of desertion, losing sight of that grace, that love and light of God's countenance, on which its tranquiliity and joy depend. Thou didst hide thy face, saith David, and I was troubled. But when these eclipses are over the soul is revived with new consolation, as the face of the carth is renewed and made to smile with the return of the sun in the spring; and this ought always to uphold Christians in the saddest times, viz. that the grace and love of God towards then depend not on their sense, nor upon any thing in them, but is still in itself, incapable of the smallest alteration.
say, and for a e Gospel, the organ through onveyed. We ing, though we re is, truly and in the subjects an proper, yet ust be cautious c deficiency of mperfections of Jot without the sense in other is verily there egatively, and is of the Sight s of the Thing him that bends r, but with yet ranquil habit of $s$ the medial Or he lively workby us. This inviolable ; but interrupted, the time be disquihe strength of ig sight of that e, on which its thy face, saith clipses are over the face of the e return of the hold Christians love of God toon any thing in allest alteration.

A holy heart that gladly entertains grace, shall find that it and peace cannot dwell asunder; while an ungodly man may sleep to death in the lethargy of carnal presumption and inpenitency; but a true, lively, solid peace he cannot have. There is no peace to the wicked, saith my God, Isa. Ivii. 21.

## APHORISM VIII. entahtos

 worldity hopes.Worldly hopes are not living, hut lying hopes; they dic: often before us, and we live to bury them, and see our own folly and infelicity in trusting to them; but at the utmost, they die with us when we die, and can accompany us no further. But the lively Hope, which is the Christian's Portion, answers expectation to the full, and much beyond it, and deceives no way but in that happy way of far exceeding it.

A living hope, living in death itself! The world dares say no more for its device, than Dum spiro spero; but the children of God can add, by virtue of this living hope, Dum cxspiro spero.

APHORISM IX.
leighton. the worldling's fear.
It is a fearful thing when a man and all his hopes die together. Thus saith Solomon of the wicked, Prov. xi. 7., When he dieth, then die his hopes; (many of them before, hut at the utmost then [32], all of them;) but the righteous hath hope in his death, Prov. xiv. 32.

## APHORISM X. <br> L. AND ED.

WORLDLI MIRTH.
As he that taketh away a garment in cold weather, and as vinegar upon nitre, so is he that singeth songs to a heavy heart, Prov. xxv. 20. Worldly mirth is so far from curing spiritual grief, that even worldly grief, where it is great and takes deep root, is not allayed but increased by it. A man who is full of inward heaviness, the more be is encompassed about with mirth, it exasperates and enrages his griel the more; like in-
effectual weak physic, which removes not the humour, but stirs it and makes it more unquiet. But spiritual joy is seasonable for all estates: in prosperity, it is pertinent to crown and sanctify all other enjoyments, with this which so far surpasses them; and in distress, it is the only Nepenthe, the cordial of fainting spirits: so, I'sal. iv. '7, He hath put joy into my hearl. This mirth makes way for itself, which other mirth cannot do. These songs are sweetest in the night of distress.
There is something exquisitely beautiful and touching in the first of these similes : and the second, though less pleasing to the imagination, has the charm of propriety, and expresses the transition with equal force and liveliness. A grief of recent birth is a sick infant that must have its medicine administered in its Milk, and sad Thoughts are the sorrowful Heart's natural food. This is a Complaint that is not to be cured by opposites, which for the most part only reverse the symptoms while they exasperate the Disease-or like a rock in the Mid Channel of a River swoln by a sudden rain-flush from the mountain, which only detains the excess of Waters from their proper outlet, and make them foam, roar, and eddy. The Soul in her desolation hugs the sorrow close to her, as her sole remaining garment : and this must be drawn off so gradually, and the garment to be put in its stead so gradually slipt on and feel so like the former, that the Sufferer shall be sensible of the change only by the refreshment. The true Spirit of Consolation is well content to detain the tear in the eye, and finds a surer pledge of its success in the smile of Resignation that dawns through that, than in the liveliest shows of a forced and alien exhilaration.

## APHORISM XI.

EDITOR.
Plotinus thanked God, that his Soul was not tied to an immortal body.

APHORISM XII.
L. AND ED.

What a full Confession do we make of our dissatisfaction with the Objects of our bodily senses, that in our attempts to
humour, but stirs joy is seasonable crown and sancso far surpasses , the cordial of oy into my heart. mirth cannot do. ress.
d touching in the less pleasing to and expresses the 1 grief of recent ine administered ful Heart's natube cured by opse the symptoms rock in the Mid -flush from the Vaters from their and eddy. The to her; as her rawn off so gradso gradually slipt er shall be sensiThe true Spirit tear in the cye, smile of Resigveliest shows of

EDITOR, ot tied to an im-
L. AND Eil. ar dissatisfaction our attempts to
express what we conceive the Best of Beings, and the greatest of Felicities to be, we describe by the exact Contraries of all, that we experience here-the ohe as Infinite, Incomprehensible, Immutable, \&c. the other as incorruptible, undefiled, and that passeth not away. At all events, this Coincidence, say raiher, Identity of Attributes is sufficient to apprize us, that to be inheritors of Bliss we must become the children of God.
This Remark of Leighton's is ingenious and startling. Another, and more fruitful, perhaps more solid, inference from the fact would be, that there is something in the human mind which makes it know (as soon as it is sufficiently awakened to reflect on its own thoughts aad notices), that in all finite Quantity there is an Infinite, in all measures of Time an Eternal; that the latter are the basis, the substance, the true and abiding reality of the former ; and that as we truly are, only as far as God is with us, so neither can we truly possess (i.e. enjoy) our Being or any other real Good, but by living in the sense of his holy presence.

A Life of Wickedness is a Life of Lies: and an Evil Being, or the Being of Evil, the last and darkest mystery.

## APHORISM XIII. <br> LEIGHTON.

## the wisest use of the imagination.

It is not altogether unprofitable; yea, it is great wisdom in Christians to be arming themselves against such temptations as may befal them herenfter, though they have not as yet met with then ; to labour to overcome them before-hand, to suppose the hardest things that may be incident to them, and to put on the strongest resolutions they can attain unto. Yet all that is but an imaginary effort; and therefore there is no assurance that the victory is any more than imaginary too, till it come to action, and then, they that have spoken and thought very confidently, may prove but (as one said of the Athenians) forles in tabula, patient and courageous in picture, or fancy; and untwithstanding all their arms, and dexterity in
handling them by way of exercise, may be foully defeated when they are to fight in earnest.

## APHORISM XIV.

EDITOR.

## THE LANGUAGE OE BCRIPTURE.

The Word of God speaks to Men, and therefore it speaks the language of the Children of Men. This just and pregnant Thought was suggested to Leighton by Gen. xxii. 12. The same Text has led the Editor to unfold and expand the Remark.-On moral subjects, the Scriptures speak in the language of the Affections which they excite in us; on sensible objects, neither metaphysically, as they are known by superior intelligences: nor theoretically, as they would be seen by us were we placed in the Sun; but as they are represented by our human senses in our present relative position. Lastly, from no vain, or worse than vain, Ambition of seeming "to walk on the Sea" of Mystery in my way to Truth, but in the hope of removing a difficulty that presses heavily on the minds of many who in Heart and Desire are believers, and which long pressed on my own mind, I venture to add: that on spiritual things, and allusively to the mysterious union or conspiration of the Divine with the Human in the Spirits of the Just, spoken of in Romans, viii. 27., the Word of God attributes the language of the Spirit sanctified to the Holy One, the Sanctifier.

Now the Spirit in Man (that is, the Will) knows its own State in and by its Acts alone : even as in geometrical reasoning the Mind knows its constructive facully in the act of constructing, and contemplates the act in the product (i.e. the mental figure or diagram) which is inseparable from the act and co-instantaneous.
Let the Reader join these two positions: first, that the Divine Spirit acting in the Iluman Will is described as one with the Will so filled and actuated: secondly, that our actions are the means, by which alone the Will becomes assured of its own state : and he will understand, though he may not perhaps adopt my suggestion, that the Verse, in which God speak-
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ing of himself, says to Abraham, Now I know that thon fearest God, seeing thou hast not withheld thy Son, thy only Son from me-may be more than merely figurative. An accommodetion I grant; but in the thing expressed, and not altogether in the Expressions. In arguing with infidels, or with the weak in faith, it is a part of religious Prudence, no less than of religious Morality, to avoid whatever looks like an evasion. To retain the literal sense, wherever the harmony of Scripture permits, and reason does not forbid, is ever the honester, and nine times in ten, the more rational and pregnant interpretation.

Of the Figures of Speceh in the sacred Volume, that are only Figures of Speech, the one of most frequent occurrence is that which describes an effect by the name of its most usual and best known cause : the passages, for instance, in which Grief, Fury, Repentance, \&c., are attributed to the Deity. But these are far cnough from justifying the (I had almost said dishonest ) fashion of metaphorical Glosses, in as well as out of the Church; and which our fashionable Divines have carried to such an extent, as, in the doctrinal part of their Creed, to leave little else but Metaphors. But the Reader who wishes to find this latter subject, and that of the Aphorism, treated more at large, is referred to Southey's Omniana, Vol. II, p. 7-12. and to the Note in 1. 62-67. of the Editor's second Lay-Sermon[33].

## APHORISM XV. <br> l. AND ED.

the chinistian no stoic.
Seek not altogether to dry up the streain of Sorrow, but to bound it, and keep it within its banks. Religion doth not destroy the life of nature, but adds to it a life more excellent ; yea, it doth not only permit, but requires some feeling of afflietions. Instead of patience, there is in some men an affected pride of spirit suitable only to the doctrine of the Stoics as it is usually taken. They strive not to feel at all the afflictions that are on them; but where there is no feeling at all, there can be no patience.

Of the sects of ancient philosoply the Stoic is, doubtless, the nearest to Christianity. Yet even to this Christianity is fundamentally opposite. For the Stoic attaches the highest honour (or rather attaches honour solely) to the person that acts virtuously in spite of his feelings, or who has raised himself above the contlict by their extinction; while Christianity instructs us to place small reliance on a Virtue that does not begin by bringing the Feelings to a conformity with the Commands of the Conscience. Its especial aim, its characteristic operation, is to moralize the affections. The Feelings, that oppose a right act, must be wrong Feclings. The act, indeed, whatever the Agent's feelings might be, Christianity would command : and under certain circumstances would both command and commend it,-commend it, as a healthful symptom in a sick Patient; and command it, as one of the ways and means of changing the Feelings, or displacing then by calling up the opposite.

## APHORISM XVI.

nesightor:
As excessive eating or drinking both makes the body sickly and lazy, fit for nothing but sleep, and besots the mind, as it clogs up with crudities the way through which the spirit should pass [34], bemiring them, and making them move heavily, as a coach in a deep way; thus doth all immoderate use of the world and its delight wrong the soul in its spiritual condition, makes it sickly and feeble, full of spiritual distempers and inactivity, benumbs the graces of the Spirit, and fills the soul with sleepy vapours, makes it grow secure and heavy in spiritual exercises, and obstructs the way and motion of the Spirit of God, in the Soul. Therefore, if you would be spiritual, healthful, and vigorous, and enjoy much of the consolations of Heaven, be sparing and sober in those of the earth, and what you abate of the one, shall be certainly made up in the other.

> APHORISM XVII
> inconsistency.
I. ANH ED.

It is a most unseemly and unpleasant thing, to see a man's life full of ups and downs, one step like a Christian, and ano-
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ther like a worlding; it cannot choose but both pain himself and inar the celification of others.

The same sentiment, only with a special application to the naxims and incasures of our Cabinet and Statesmen, had been finely expressed by a sage Poet of the preceding Gencration, in lines which no Generation will find inapplicable or superannuated.

God and the World we worship both together, Draw not our Laws to Him, but His to ours ; Untrue to both, so prosperous in neither, The imperfect Will brings forth but barren Flowers! Unwise as all distracted Interests be, Strangers to God, Fools in Humanity : Too good for great things, and too great for good, While still, "I dare not" waits upon "I wou'd."

APHORISM XVII. continced. Leiohton.
the ordinary motive to inconsistency.
What though the polite man count thy fashion a little odd and too precise, it is because he knows nothing above that model of goodness which he hath set himself, and therefore approves of nothing beyond it : he knows not God, and therefore doth not discern and estecm what is most like Him. When courtiers come down into the country, the common home-bred people possibly think their habit strange ; but they care not for that, it is the fashion at court. What need, then, that Christians should be so tender-forcheaded, as to be put out of countenance because the world looks on holiness as a singularity? It is the only fashion in the highest court, yea, of the King of Kings himself.

APHORISM XVIII. LEIGHTON.
SUPERFICIAL RECONCILIATIONS, AND TIE SELF DECEIT IN FORGIVING.
When, after variances, men are brow'ght to an agrecment,
they are much subjeet to this, rather to cover their remaining malices with superficial verbal forgiveness, than to dislodge them, and free the heart of them. This is a poor self-deceit. As the ghilosopher said to him, who being ashaned that lie was espied by him in a tavern in the outer room, withdrew himself to the inner, he called after him, 'That is not the way 'out; the more you go that way, you will be the further in!' So when hatreds are upon admonition not thrown out, but retire inward to hide themselves, they grow deeper and stronger than before; and those constrained semblances of reconcilement are but a false healing, do but skin the wound over, and therefore it usually breaks forth worse again.

APHORISM XIX.
I.EfG:ITON.
of the worth and the duties of the phbachek.
The stream of enstom and our profersion bring us to the I'reaching of the Word, and we sit out our hour under the sound; but how few consider and prize it as the great ordinance of God for the salvation of souls, the begimer and the sustainer of the Divine life of grace within us! And certainly, until we have these thoughts of it, and seek to feel it thus ourselves, although we hear it most frequently, and let slip no occasion, yea, hear it with attention and some present delight, yet still we miss the right use of it, and turn it from its true end, while we take it not as that ingrefted worl which is able to sate our souls, James i. 21.

Thus ought they who preach to speak the word; to endeavour their utmost to accommodate it to this end, that sinners may be converted, begotten again, and believers nourished and strengthened in their spiritual life ; to regard no lower end, but aim steadily at that mark. Their hearts and tongues ought to be set on fire with holy zeal for God and love to souls, kindled by the Holy Ghost, that came down on the apostles in the shape of fiery tongues.

And those that hear, should remember this as the end of their hearing, that they may receive spiritual life and strength by the word. For though it seems a poor despicalle husiness,
their remaining ran to dislodge poor self-deceit. ned that he was withdrew himis not the way the further in!' wn out, but reper and stronginces of recone wound over, in.
h.EIG:Itor. - PuEACHER. ring us to the mider the sound ; at ordinance of ad the sustainer 1 certainly, until thus ourselves, slip, no oceasion, lelight, yet still strue end, while able to save our ord ; to endearend, that simers evers nourished rd no lower end, nd tongues ought d love to souls, on the apostles in is as the end of life and strength picalle business,
that a frail sinful man like yourselves should speak a iew words in your hearing, yet, look upon it as the way wherein God communicates happiness to those who believe, and works that believing unto happiness, alters the whole frame of the soul, and makes a new creation, as it begets it again to the inheritance of glory. Consider it thus, which is its true notion; and then, what can be so precions?

APHOIISM XX.
LEBGHTON.
The difference is great in our natural life, in some persons especially; that they who in infancy were so feeble, and wrapped up as others in swaddling clothes, yet, afterwards come to excel in wisdom and in the knowledge of sciences, or to be commanders of great armies, or to be kings : but the distance: is far greater and more admirable, betwixt the small heginnings of grace, and our atter perfection, that fulness of knowledge that we look for, and that crown of immortality which all they are horn to, who are born of God.
But as in the faces or actions of some children, characters and presages of their after greatness have appeared (as a singular beauty in Moses's face, as they write of him, and as Cyrus was made king among the shepherd's children with whom he was brought up, \&c.) so also, certainly, in these children of God, there be some characters and evidences that they are born for Heaven by their new birth. That holiness and meekness, that patience and faith which shine in the actions and sufferings of the saints, are characters of their Father's image, and show their high original, and foretel their glory io come; such a glory as doth not only surpass the world's thoughts, but the thoughts of the children of God themselves. 1. John iii. 2.

COMMENT.
ON AN INTERMEDIATE STATE OR STATE OF TRANSITION FROM MORALITY TO SPIRITUAI RELIGION.
This Aphorism would, it may seem, have been placed more fitly in the Cbapter following. In phacing it here, I have been
determined by the following Convictions: I. Fivery State, and consequently that which we have described as the State of Religious Morality, which is not progressive, is dead or retrogade. : As a pledge of this progression, or, at least, as the form in which the propulsive tendency shows itself, there are certain Hopes, Agpirations, Yearnings, that, with more or less of consciousness, rise and stir in the Heart of true morality as nyturalty as the Sap in the full-formed stem of a Rose flows towards the Bud, within which the flower is maturing. 3. No one, whose own experience authorizes him to confirm the truth of this statement, can have been conversant with the Volumes of Religious Biography, can have perused (for instance) the Lives of Crammer, Ridley, Latimer, Wishart, Sir Thomas More, Bernard Gilpin, Bishop Bedel, or of Egede, Swartz, and the Missionaries of the Frozen world, without an ocensional convietion, that these men lived under extraordinary influences, that in each instance and in all ages of the Christian æra bear the same characters, and both in the accompamiments and the results evidently refer to a common origin. And what can this be ? is the Question that must needs force itself on the mind in the first moment of reflection on a phenomenon so interesting and apparently so anomalous. The answer is as necessarily contained in one or the other of two assumptions. These influences are either the Product of DeIusion (Insania Amat ${ }^{\text {Be }}$ e and the Re-action of disordered Nerres), or they argrie the existence of a liclation to some real Agency, distinct from what is experienced or acknowledged by the world at large, for which as not merely natural on the one hand, yet not assumed to be miraculous[35] on the other, we have no apter name than spiritual. Now if neither analogy justifies nor the moral feelings permit the former assumption; and we decide therefore in favour of the Reality of a State other and higher than the mere Moral Man, whose Religion [36] consists in Morality, has attained under these convictions; can the existence of a transitional state appear other than probable? or that these very Convictions, when aeromp:anied hy correspondent dispositions and stirrings of the
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Weart, are among the Marks and Indications of such a state ? And thinking it not unlikely that among the Readers of this Volume, there may be found some Individuals, whose inward State, though disquieted by Doubts and oftener still perhaps by blank Misgivings, may, nevertheless, betoken the commencement of a Transition fron a not irreligions Morality to a Spiritual Religion, with a view to their interests I placed this Aphorism under the present Head.

## APHORISM XXI. LHGuton.

The most approved teachers of wisdom, in a human way, have required of their seholars, that to the end their minds might be capable of it, they should be purified from vice and wickedness. And it was Socrates's custom, when any one asked him a question, seeking to be informed by him, before he would answer them, he asked them conceming their own qualities and course of life.

## APHORISM XXII.

l. AND En.

KNOWLFDGE NOT THE ULTIMATE END OF RELIGIOUS PURsults.
The Ifearing and Reading of the Word, under which I comprize theological studies generally, are alike defective when pursued without increase of Knowledge, and when pursued chiefly fur increase of Knowledge. To seek no more than a present delight, that evanisheth with the sound of the words that die in the air, is not to desire the word as meat, but as music, as God tells the prophet Ezekiel of his people, Ezek. xxxiii- 32. And lo, thou art unto them as a very lovely song of one that hath a pleasant voice, and can play well uposi an instrument; for they hear thy words, and they do them not. To desire the word for the inerease of knowledge, although this is necessary and commendable, and, being rightly qualified, is a part of spiritual accretion, yet, take it as going no further, it is not the true end of the word. Nor is the veating of that knowledge in speech and frequeni discourse of the word and the divine truths that are in it; which, where
it is governed with Christian prudence, is not to be despised but commended; yet, certainly, the highest knowledye, and the most frequent and skilful speaking of the word, severed from the growth here mentioned, misses the true end of the word. If any one's head or tongue should grow apace, and all the rest stand at a stay, it would certainly make him a monster; and they are no other, who are knowing and discoursing Christians, and grow daily in that respect, but not at all in holiness of heart and life, which is the proper growth of the children of God. Apposite to their case is Epictetus's comparison of the sheep; they return not what they eat in grass, but in wool.

## APHORISM XXIII.

Leighton. THE SUM OF CHURCH HISTORY.
In times of peace, the Church may dilate more, and build as it were into breadth, but in times of trouble, it arises more in height; it is then built upwards: as in cities where men are straitened, they build usually higher than in the country.

## APHORISM XXIV.

L. AND LD.

WORTHY to be framed and hung up in the library of every theolggical student.

Where there is a great deal of smoke, and no clear flame, it argues much moisture in the matter, yet it witnesseth certainly that there is fire there ; and therefore dubious questioning is a much better evidence, than that senseless deadness which most take for believing. Men that know nothing in sciences, have no doubts. He never truly believed, who was not made first sensible and convinced of unbelief.

Never be afraid to douts, if only you have the disposition to believe, and doubt in order that you may end in believing the Truth. I will venture to add in my own name and from my own conviction the following:

APHORISM XXI.
Emitor.
Ife, who begins by loving Christianity better than 'Truth,
to be despised knowledye, and word, severed true end of the row apace, and sake him a monig and discourat, but not at all er growth of the Epictetus's comey eat in grass,
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will proceed by loving his own Sect or Church better than Christianity, and end in loving himself better than all.

## APIORISM XXVI. <br> 1. AND ED.

the absence of disputes, and a general aversion to religious controvelties, no proof of true unanimITY.
The boasted Peaceableness ahout questions of Faith too often proceeds from a superficial Temper, and not seldom from a supereilious Disdain of whatever has no marketable use or value, and from indifierence to Religion itself. Toleration is an Herb of spontancous growth in the soil of Indifference; but the Weed has none of the Virtues of the Medicinal Plant, reared by Humility in the Garden of Zeal. Those, who regard Religions as matters of Taste, may consistently include all religious differences in the old Adage, De gustibus non est disputandum. And many there be among these of Gallio's temper, who care for none of these things, and who account all questions in religion, as he did, but matter of words and names. And by this all religions may agree together.. But that were not a natural union produced by the active heat of the spirit, but $a$ confusion rather, arising from the want of it; not a knitting together, but a freezing together, as cold congregates all bodies, how heterogeneous soever, sticks, stones, and water; but heat makes first a separation of different things, and then unites those that are of the same nature.

Much of our common union of minds, I fear, proceeds from no other than the aforementioned causes, want of knowledge, and want of affection to religion. You that boast yon live conformably to the appointments of the Chureh, and that no one hears of your noisc, we may thank the ignorance of your minds for that kind of quietness.

The preceding Extract is particularly entitled to our serious rellections, as in a tenfold degrec more applicable to the present times than to the age in which it was written. We all know, that Lovers are apt to take offence and wrangle on occasions that perhaps are but trifles, and which as redly would
appear such to those who regard Love itself as Folly. These Quarrels may, indced, be no proof of Wisdom : but still, in the imperfect state of our Nature the entire absence of the same, and this too on far more serious provocations, would excite a strong suspicion of a comparative indifference in the Parties who can love so coolly where they profess to love so well. I shall believe our present religious Tolerancy to proceed from the abundance of our charity and good sense, when I see proofs that we are equally cool and forbearing as Litigants and Political Partizans.

APIORISM XXVII.
LEIGHTON.
THR INFLUENCE OF WORLDLY VIEWS (OR WHAT ARE CALLED a man's prospects in life), the bane of the christian ministry.
It is a base, ponr thing for a man to seek himself : far below that royal dig.ity that is Sare put unon Christians, and that priesthood joined with it. Under the Law, those who were squint-eyed were incapable of the priesthood: truly, this squinting toward our own interest, the looking aside to that, in God's affairs especially, so deforms the face of the soul, that it makes it altogether unworthy the honour of this spiritual priesthood. Oh ! this is a large task, an infinite task. The several creatures bear their part in this; the sun says gomewhat, and moon and stars, yea, the lowest have some share in it ; the very plants and herbs of the field speak of God; and yet, the very highest and best, yea all of them together, the whole concert of Heaven and earth, cannot show forth all His praise to the full. No, it is but a part, the smallest part of that glory, which they can reach.

## APHORISM XXVIII.

leig̀iton.
DESPISE NONE: DESPAIR OF NONE.
The Jews would not willingly tread upon the smallest piece of paper in their way, but took it up; for possibly, said they, the name of God may be on it. Though there was a little
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the smallest piece ossibly, said they, there was a little
superstition in this, yet truly there is nothing but good religion in it, if we apply it to men. Trample not on any; there inay be some work of grace there, that thou knowest not of. The name of God may be written upon that soul thou treadest on ; it may be a soul that Christ thought so much of, as to give His preeious blood for it ; therefore despise it not.

## APIIORISM XXIX. <br> leighton.

MEN OF LLAST MERIT MOST APT TO BE CONTEMPTUOUS, BECAUSE MOST IGNORANT AND MOST OVERWEENING OF THEMselves.
Too many take the ready course to deceive themselves; for they look with both eyes on the failings and defeets of others, and scarcely give their good qualities half an eye, while, on the contrary in themselves, they study to the full their own advantages, and their weaknesses and defects, (as one says), they skip over, as children do their hard words in their lesson, that are troublesome to read; and making this uneven parallel what wonder if the Result be a gross mistake of themselves !

## APHORISM XXX.

leiguton.
Vanity may strut in rags, and humility be arrayed in purple and fine linen.
It is not impossible that there may be in some an affeeted pride in the meanness of apparel, and in others, under either neat or rich attire, a very humble unaffeeted mind : using it upon some of the aforementioned engagements, or such like, and yet, the heart not at all upon it. Magnus qui fictilibus utitur tanquam argento, nec ill minor qui argento tanquam fictilibus, says Seneea: Great is he who enjoys his earthen. ware as if it were plate, and not less great is the man to whom all his plate is no more than earthenware.

## APHORISM XXXI.

L. AND ED.
of detraction among religious professors.
They who have sittained to a self-pleasing pitch of eivility or formal religion, hwe usually that point of presumption with
it, that they make their own size the model and rule to examine all by. What is below it, they condemn indeed as profane; but what is beyond it, they account needless and affeeted preciseness : and therefore are as ready as others to let fly invectives or bitter taunts against it, which are the keen and poisoned shafts of the tongue, and a perseoution that shall be called to a strict account.
The slanders, perchance, may not be altogether forged or untrue : they may be the implements, not the inventions of Malice. But they do not on this account escape the guilt of Detraction. Rather, it is characteristic of the evil spirit in question, to work by the advantage of real faults; but these stretched and aggravated to the utmost. It is not expressible how deep a wound a congue sharpened to this work will give, with no noise and a very little word. This is the true white gunpowder, which the dreaming Projectors of silent Mischiefs and insensible Poisons sought for in the Laboratories of Art and Nature, in a World of Good; but which was to be found, in its most destructive form, in "the World of Evil, the Tonguc."

## APHORISNIXXXII.

LEIGHTON.
THE REMEDY.
All true remedy must begin at the heart ; otherwise it will be but a mountebank cure, a false imagined conquest. The weights and wheels are there, and the clock strikes according to their motion. Even he that speaks contrary to what is within him, guilefully contrary to his inward convietion and knowledge, yet speaks conformably to what is within him in the temper and frame of his heart, which is double, a heart and a heart, as the Psalmist hath it, Psal. xii. 2.

## APIIORISM XXXIII.

L. And ED.

It is an argument of a candid ingenuous mind, to delight in the good name and commendation of others; to pass by theidefects, and take notice of their virtues; and to speak and hear of those willingly, and not endure cither to speak or hear
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of the other; for in this indeed you may be little less guilty than the evil speaker, in taking pleasure in it, though you speak it not. He that willingly drinks in tales and calumnies, will, from the delight he hath in evil hearing, slide insensibly into the humor of evil speaking. It is strange how most persons dispense with themselves in this point, and that in scarcely any societies shall we find a hatred of this ill, but rather some tokens of taking pleasure in it; and until a Christian sets himself to an inward watehfulness oter his heart, not suffering in it any thought that is uncharitable, or vain self-esteem, upon the sight of others' frailties, he will still be subject to somewhat of this, in the tongue or ear at least. So, then, as for the evil of guile in the tongue, a sincere heart, truth in the invard parts, powerfully redresses it ; therefore it is expressed, Psal. xv. 2. That spectieth the truth from his heart; thence it flows. Seek much after this, to speak nothing with God, nor men, but what is the sense of a single unfeigned heart. O sweet truth! excellent but rare sincerily! he that loves that truth within, and who is himself at once the truth and the life, He alone can work it there! Seek it of him.
It is characteristic of the Roman Dignity and Sobriety, that in the Latin to favour the tongue (favere lingux) means, to be silent. We say, Hold your tongue! as if it were an injuuction, that could not be carried into effect but by manual force, or the pincers of the Forefinger and Thumb! And ve-rily-I blush to say it-it is not Women and Frenehmen only that would rather have their tongues bitten than bitted, and feel their souls in a strait-waistcoat, when they are obliged to remain silent.

## APHORISM XXXIV.

leighton.
ON THEE PASSION FOR NEW AND STRIKING THOUGHTS.
In conversation seek not so much either to vent thy knowledge, or to increase it, as to know more spiritually and effectually what thou dost know. And in this way those mean despised truths, that every one thinks he is sufficiently seen in, will have a new sweetness and use in them, which thou
didst not so well perceive before (for these flowers eannot lee sucked dry), and in this humble sineere way thou shalt grow in grace and in knowledge too.

## APIIORISM XXXV. L. AND Ed.

THE RADICAL DIDFERENCE BETWERN THE GOOD MAN AND THE Viclous man.
The godly man hates the evil he possibly by temptation hath been drawn to do, and loves the good he is frustrated of, and, having intended, hath not attained to do. The sinner, who hath his denomination from sin as his course, hates the good which sometimes he is forced to do, and loves that sin which many times he does not, either wanting occasion and means, so that he cannot do it, or through the cheek of an enlightened conscience possibly dares not do ; and though so bound up from the act, as a dog in a chain, yet the habit, the natural inclination and desire in him, is still the same, the strength of his affection is earried to $\sin$. So in the weakest sincere Chistian, there is that predominant sincerity and desire of holy walking, according to which he is ealled a righteous person, the Lord is pleased to give him that name, and account him so, being upright in heart, though often failing.

Leighton adds, "There is a Righteousness of a higher "strain." I do net ask the Reader's full assent to this position: I do not suppose him as yet prepared to yield it. But thus much he will readily admit, that here, if any where, we are to seek the fine Line which, like stripes of Light in Light, distinguishes, not divides, the summit of religious Morality from Spiritual Religion.
"A Righteousness (Leighton continues), that is not in him, but upon him. He is clothed with it." This, Reader! is the controverted Doctrine, so warmly asserted and so bitterly decried under the name of " imputed righteousness." Our Icarned Arehbishop, you see, adopts it ; and it is on this aecount principally, that by many of our leading Churchmen his Orthodoxy has been more than questioned, and his name put in the List of proscribed Divines, as a Calvinist. That Leigh-
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ton attached a definite sense to the words above quoted, it would be uncandid to doubt; and the general Spirit of his Writings leads me to presume that it was compatible with the eternal distinction between Things and Persons, and therefore opposed to modern Calvinism. But what it was, I have not (I own) been able to discover. The sense, however, in which I think he might have received this doctrine, and in which I avow myself a believer in it, I shall have an opportunity of showing in another place. My present Object is to open out the Road by the removal of prejudices, so far at least as to throw some disturbing Doubts on the secure Ta-king-for-granted, that the peculiar Tenets of the Christian Faith asserted in the Articles and Homilies of our National Church are in contradiction to the Common Sense of Mankind. And with this view, (and not in the arrogant expectation or wish, that a mere ipse dixit should be received for argument) I here avow my conviction, that the doctrine of 1 m puted Righteousness, rightly and scripturally interpreted, is so far from being either irrational or immoral, that Reason itself prescribes the idea in order to give a meaning and an ultimate Object to Morality ; and that the Moral Law in the Conscience demands its reception in order to give reality and substantive existence to the idea presented by the Reason.

## APHORISM XXXVI. LeIGhton.

Your blessedness is not,-no, believe it, it is not where most of you seek it, in things below you. How can that be? It must be a higher good to make you happy.

## comment.

Every rank of Creatures, as it ascends in the scale of Creation, leaves Death behind it, or under it. The Metal at its height of Being seems a mute Prophecy of the coming Vegetation, into a mimic semblance of which it crystallizes. The Blossom and Flower, the Acme of Vegetable Life, divides into correspondent Organs with reciprocal functions, and by instinctive motions and approximations scems impatient of that
fixture, by which it is differenced in kind from the flower-shaped Psyche, that llutters with free wing above it. And wonderfully in the insect realm doth the lrritability, the proper seat of lustinet, while yet the nascent Sensibility is subordinated thereto-most wonderfully, 1 say, doth the muscular ie in the Insect, and the museulo-arterial in the Bird, imitate and typically rehearse the adaptive Understanding, yea and the moral affections and charities, of Man. Let us carry ourselves back, in spirit, to the mysterious Week, the teeming Work-lays of the Creator: as they rose in vision before the eye of the inspired Historian of "the Gencrations of the Heaven and the Earth, in the days shat the Lord God made the Earth and the Heavens." And who that hath watched their ways with an understanding heart, could contemplate the filial and loyal Bee; the home-building, wedded, and divorceless Swallow; and above all the manifoldly intelligent [37] Ant tribes, with their Commonwealths and Confederacies, their Warriors and Miners, the Husbandfolk, that fold in their tiny flocks on the honeyed Leaf, and the Virgin Sisters with the holy Instincts of Maternal Leve, detached and in selfless purity-and not say to himself, Behold the Shadow of approaching Humanity, the Sun rising from behind, in the kindling Morn of Creation! Thus all lower Natures find their highest Good in semblances and seekings of that which is higher and better. All things strive to ascend, and ascend in their striving. And shall man alone stoop? Shall his pursuits and desires, the reflections of his inward life, be like the reflected Image of a Tree on the edge of a Pool, that grows downward, and seeks a m .ck heaven in the unstable clement beneath it, in neighbouriood with the slim water-weeds and oozy bottom-grass that are yet better than itself and more noble, in as far as Substances that appear as Shadows are preferable to Shadows mistaken for Substance! No! it must be a higher good to make you happy. While you labour for any thing below your proper Humanity, you seck a happy Life in the region of Death. Well saith the moral Poct-
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There is an imitation of men that is impious and wieked, which consists in taking the copy of their sins. Again, there is an imitation which though not so grossly evil, yet, is poor and servile, being in mean things, yea, sometimes descending to imitate the very imperfections of others, as fancying some comeliness in them, as some of Basil's scholars, who imitated his slow speaking, which he had a little in the extreme, and eould not help. But this is always laudable, and worthy of the best of minds, to be imitators of that which is goot, wheresoever they find it; for that stays not in any man's person, as the ultimate pattern, but rises to the highest grace, being man's nearest likeness to God, His image and resemblanee, bearing his stamp and superscription, and belonging peeuliarly to Him, in what hand soever it be found, as carrying the mark of no other owner thar. Him.

## APIIORISM XXXVII.

lefgiton.
Those who think themselves high-spirited, and will bear least, as they speak, are often, even by that, forced to bow most, or to burst under it; while humility and meekness escape many a burden, and many a blow, always keeping pace within, and often without too.

## APIIORISM XXXIX.

l.etgirton.

Our condition is universally exposed to fears and troubles, and no man is so stupid but he studies and projects for some fence against them, soline bulwark to break the incursion of evils, and so to bring his mind to some ease, ridding it of the fear of them. Thus, men seek safety in the greatness, or multitude, or supposed faithfulness of friends; they seek by any means to be strongly underset 'his way, to have many and powerful, and trust-worthy friends. But wiser men, pereeiving the unsafety and vanity of these and all external things, have cast about for some higher course. They see a neeessity of withdrawing a man from externals, which do nothing but mock and deceive those most who trust most to them; but
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they cannot tell whither to direet him. The best of them bring him into himself, and think to quiet him so, but the truth is, he finds as little to support him there; there is nothing truly strong enough within him, to hold out against the many sorrows and fears which still from without do assault him. So then, though it is well done, to call off a man from outward things, as moving sands, that he build not on them, yet, this is not enough; for his own spirit is as unsetted a piece as is in all the world, and must have some higher strength than its own, to fortify and fix it. This is the way that is here taught, Fear not their fear, but sanctify the Lord your God in your hearts; and if you can attain this latter, the former will follow of itself.

## APIORISM XL.

1.PGUTEN.

WORLDLY TROUBLES 1 DOLS.
The too ardent Love or self-willed Desire of Power, or Wealth, ol Credit in the World, is (an Apostle has assured us) ldolatry. Now among the words or synonimes for Idols, in the Hebrew Language, there is one that in its primary sense signifies Troubles (Tegirim), other two that signify Terrors (Miphletzeth and Emim). And so it is certainly. All our Idols prove so to us. They fill us with nothing but anguis: and Troubles, with cares and fears, that are good for nothing but to be fit punishments of the Folly, out of which they arise.

## APIORISM XLI

1. AND ED.

ON THE RIGHT TREATMENT OF INFIDELS
A regardless contempt of Infidel writings is usually the fittest answer; Spreta vilescerent. But where the holy profession of Christians is likely to receive either the main or the indirect blow, and a word of defence may do any thing to ward it off, there we ought not to spare to do it.

Christian prudence goes a great way in the regulating of this. Some are not capable of receiving rational answers,
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especially in Divine things; they were not only lost upon them, bui religion dishonored by the contest.
Of this sort are the vulgar Railers at Religion, the foulmouthed Beliers of the Christian Fuith and Ilistory. Lupudently false and slanderous Assertions can be met only by Assertions of their ippudent and slanderous fatsehood; and Christians will not, must not condesend to this. How cam mere Railing be answered by them who are forbidden to return a railinganswer? Whether or on what provoentions such offenders may be punished or coerced on the score of Incivility, and IIl-neighbourhood, and for the abatement of a Nuisance, as in the case of other Scolds and Endangerers of the public l'eace, must be trusted to the Discretion of the Civil Magistrate. Even then, there is danger of giving them importance, and llattering their vanity, by attracting attention to their works, if the punishment be slight; and if scvere, of spreading far and wide their reputation as Martyrs, as the smell of a dead dog at a distance is said to change into that of Musk. Experience hitherto secms to favour the plan of treating these Bêtes puantes and Enfans de Diable, as their fourfooted Brethren, the Skink and Squash, are treated [38] by the American Woodmen, who turn their backs upon the fetid Intruder, and make appear not to sec him, even at the cost of suffering him to regale on the favourite viand of these animals, the brains of a stray goose or crested Thraso of the Dunghill. At all events, it is degrading to the majesty, and injurious to the character of Religion, to make its safety the plea for their punishment, or at all to connect the name of Christianity with the castigation of Indecencies that properly belong to the Beadle, and the perpetrators of which would have equally deserved his Lash, though the Religion of their fellow eitizens, thus assailed by them, had been that of Fo or of Jaggernaut.
On the other hand, we are to answer every one that inquires a reason, or an account; which supposes something receptive of it. We ought to judge ourselves engaged to give it, be it an enemy if he will hear; if it gain him not, it may in part consince and cool him; much more, should it be one who
ingenuously inquires for satisfaction, and possibly inclines to receive the truth, but has been prejudiced by false misrepresentations of it.

## APHORISM XLII. LEIGHTON,

PASSION NO FRIEND TO TRUTH.
Truth needs not the service of passion; yea, nothing so disserves it, as passion when set to serve it. The Spirit of truth is withal the Spirit of meekness. The Dove that rested on that great Champion of truth, who is The Truth itself, is from Him derived to the lovers of truth, and they ought to seek the participation of it. Imprudence makes some kind of Christians lose much of their labour, in speaking for religion, and drive those further off, whom they, uld draw into it.
The confidence that attends a Christian's belief makes the believer not fear men, to whom he answers, but still he fears his God, for whom he answers, and whose interest is chief in those things he speaks of. The soul that hath the deepest sense of spiritual things, and the truest knowledge of God, is most afraid to miscarry in speaking of Him, mosi tender and wary how to acquit itself when engaged to speak of and for God[39].

APHORISM XLIII.
LEIGHTON. ON THE CONSCIENCE.
It is a fruitless verbal Debate, whether Conscience be a Faculty or a Habit. When all is examined, Conscience will be found to be no other than the mind of a man, under the notion of a particular reference to himself and his own actions.

## comment.

What Conscience is, and that it is the ground and antecedent of human (or self-) consciousness, and not any modification of the latter, I have shown at large in a Work announced for the Press, and described in the Chapter following. Ihave selected the precediug Extrict as an Exereve for Refleetion :
ibly inclines to se misrepresen-
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and because I think that in too closely following Thomas a Kempis, the Arehbishop has strayed from his own judgment. The Definition, for instanee, seems to say all, and in fact says nothing; for if I asked, How do you define the human mind? the answer must at least contain, if not consist of, the words, "a mind eapable of Conscience." For Conscience is no synonime of Consciousness, nor any mere expression of the same as modified by the particular Object. On the contrary, a Cunsciousness properly human, (i. e. Self-eonseiousness), with the sense of moral responsibility, presupposes the Conscience, as its antecedent Condition and Ground. Lastly, the sentence, "It is a fruitless verbal Debate," is an assertion of the same complexion with the contemptuous Sneers at Verbal Criticism by the Contemporaries of Bentley. In Questions of Philosophy or Divinity, that have occupied the Learned and been the subjeets of many suceessive Controversies, for one instance of mere Logomachy I could bring ten instances of Logodadaly or verbal Legerdemain, which have perilously confirmed Prejudices, and withstood the advancement of Truth, in consequence of the neglect of verbal debate, i. e. strict discussion of Terms. In whatever sense, however, the term Conscience may be used, the following aphorism is equally true and important. It is worth notieing, likewise, that Leighton himself in a following page (vol. ii. p. 07 ), tells us, that $\Lambda$ good Conscience is the Root of a good Conversation: and then quotes from St. Paul a text, Titusi. 15, in which the mind and the Conscience are expressly distinguished.

## APHORISM XLIV.

Leighton
the light of knowledge a necessary accompaniment of a good conscience.
If you would have a good coaseience, you must by all means have so much light, so much knowledge of the will of God as may regulate you, and show you your way, may teach you how to do, and speak, and think, as in His presenee.

YET THE KNOW $E E D G E$ OF THE RULE, THOUGH ACCOMPANIED IIY AN ENDEAVOR TO ACCOMMODATE OUR CONDUCT TO THIS HULE, WHLL NOT OF ITSELF FORM A GOOD CONSCIENCE.
To set the outward actions right, though with an honest intention, and not so to regard and find out the inward disorder of the heart, whence that in the actions flows, is but to be still putting the index of a cloek right with your finger, while it is foul, or out of order within, which is a continual business, and does no good. Oh ! but a purified conscience, a soul renewed and refined in its temper and affections, will make things go right without, in all the duties and acts of our callings.

## APIORISM XLVI.

e.pitor.
tile depth of the conscience.
How deeply seated the Conscience is in the human Soul, is seen in the effect which sudden Calamities produce on guilty men, even when unaided by any determinate notion or fears of punishment after death. The wretched Criminal, as one rudely awakened from a long sleep, bewildered with the new light, and half recollecting, half striving to recollect, a fearful something, he knows not what, but which he will recognize as soon as he hears the name, already interprets the calamities into judgments, Executions of a Sentence passed by an invisible Judge ; as if the vast Pyre of the Last Judgment were alrealy kindled in an unknown Distance, and some Flashes of it, darting forth at intervals beyond the rest, were flying and lighting upon the face of his Soul. The calamity may consist in loss of fortune, or Character, or Reputation; but you hear no regrets from him. Remorse extinguishes all Regret; and Remorse is the implicit Creed of the Guilty.

## APHORISM XLVII. <br> L. AND ED.

God hath suited every creature He hath made with a convenient good to which it tends, and in the obtainment of which it rests and is satisfied. Natural bodies have all their own matural place, whither, if not hindered, they move incessantly
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till they be in it; and they declare, by resting there, that they are (as I may say) where they would be. Sensitive creatures are carried to seek a sensitive good, as agreeable to their rank in being, and, attaining that, aim no further. Now, in this is the excellency of Man, that he is made capable of a communion with his Maker, and, because capable of it, is unsatisfied without it ; the soul, being cut out (so to speak) to that largeness, cannot be filled with less. Though he is fallen from his right to that good, and from all right desire of it, yet, not from a capacity of it, no, nor from a necessity of $i t$, for the answering and filling of his capacity.
Though the heart once gone from God turns continually further away from him, and moves not towards Him till it be renewed, yet, even in that wandering, it retains that natural relation to God, as its centre, that it hath no true rest elsewhere, nor can by any means find it. It is made for Him, and is therefore still restless till it meet with him.

It is true, the natural man takes much pains to quiet his heart by other things, and digests many vexations with hopes of contentment in the end and accomplishment of some design he hath ; but still the heart misgives. Many times he attains not the thing he seeks; but if he do, yet he never attains the satisfaction he seeks and expects in it, but only learns from that to desire something further, and still hunts on after a fancy, drives his own shadow before him, and never overtakes it ; and if he did, yet it is but a shadow. And so, in running from God, besides the sad end, he carries an interwoven punishment with his $\sin$, the natural disquiet and vexation of his spirit, fluttering to and fro, and finding no rest for the sole of his foot; the waters of inconstancy and vanity covering the whole face of the earth.
These things are too gross and heavy. The soul, the immortal soul, descended from heaven, must either be more happy, or remain miserable. The Highest, the Increated Spirit, is the proper good, the Father of spirits, that pure and full good, which raises the soul above itself; whereas all other things draw it down below itsell. So, then, it is never well
with the soul, but when it is near unto God, yea, in its union with Him, married to Him : mismatching itself elsewhere, it hath never any thing but shame and sorrow. All that forsake Thee shall be ashamed, says the Prophet, Jer. xvii. 13: and the Psalmist; They that are far off from thee shall perish, Psal. lxxiii. 27. And this is indeed our natural miserable condition, and it is often expressed this way, by estrangedness and distance from God.
The same sentiments are to he found in the works of Pagan Philosophers and Moralists. Well then may they be made a Subject of Reflection in our days. And well may the pious Deist, if such a character now exists, reflect that Christianity alone both teaches the way, and provides the means, of fulfilling the obscure promises of this great Instinct for all men, which the Philosophy of boldest Pretensions confined to the sacred Few.

## APIORISM XLVIII.

r.fiohton.
a Contracted sphere, or what is called retiring from the business of the world, no security frum the spirit OF THE WORLD.
The heart may be engaged in a little business as much, if thou watch it not, as in many and great affairs. A man may drown in a little brook or pool, as well as in a great river, if he be down and plunge himself into it, and put his head under water. Some care thou must have, that thou mayest not care. Those things that are thorns indced, thou must make a hedge of them, to keep out those temptations that accompany sloth, and extreme want that waits on it; but let them be the hedge : suffer them not to grow within the garden.

## APIORISM XLIX.

d.eighton.
on cturch-going, as a part of religious morality, when not in reference to a spiritual religion.
It is a strange folly in multitudes of us, to set ourselves no mark, to propound no end in the hearing of the Gospel. The merchant sails not merely that he may sail, but for tratfic, and
d, yea, in its union itself elsewhere, it All that forsake Jer. xvii. 13: and e shall perish, Psal. niserable condition, angedness and dis-
he works of Pagan $y$ they be made a vell may the pious $t$ that Christianity he means, of fulfilstinct for all men, us confined to the
i, eiohton.
d Retiring fhom Y from the spirit
siness as much, if irs. A man may in a great river, if 1 put his head unthou mayest not thou must make a is that accompany at let them be the arden.
neighton. morality, when religion. set ourselves no the Gospel. The out for traffic, and
moral and religiots aphorisms.
traffies that he may be rich. The husbandman plows not merely to keep himself busy, with no further end, but plows that he may sow, and sows that he may reap with adrantige. And shall we do the most excellent and fruitful work frutless-ly,-hear only to hear, and look no further? This is indeed a great vanity, and a great misery, to lose that labour, and gain nothing by it, which duly used, would be of all others most advantageous and gainful: and yet all meetings are full of this !

## APHORISM L.

D.EIGIGTON.

ON THE: HOPES AND SELF-SATISFACTION OF A RELIGIOUS MORAIIST, INDEPFNDENT OF A SPIRITUAL FAITHLON WIIAT ARE THEY GROUNDED?
There have been great disputes one way or another, about the merit of good works; but I truly think they who have laboriously engaged in them have been very idly, though very eagerly, employed about nothing, since the more sober of the schoolmen themselves acknowledge there can be no such thing as meriting from the blessed God, in the human, or, to speak more accurately, in any created nature whatsoever: nay so far from any possibility of merit, there can be no room for reward any otherwise than of the sovereign pleasure and gracious kindness of God; and the more ancient writers, when they use the word merit, mean nothing by it but a certain correlute to that reward which God both promises and bestows of mere grace and benignity. Otherwise, in order to constitute what is properly called merit, many things must concur, which no man in his senses will presume to attribute to human works, though ever so excellent; particularly, that the thing done must not previously be matter of debt, and that it be eatire, or our own act, unassisted by foreign aid; it must also be perfectly good, and it must bear an adequate proportion to the reward claimed in consequence of it. If all these things do not coneur, the aet cannot possibly amount to merit, Whereas I think no one will renture to assert, that any one of these
can take place in any human action whatever. But why should I eularge here, when one single circumstance overthrows all those titles : the most righteous of mankind would not be able to stand, if his works were weighed in the balanee of strict justice; how much less then could they deserve that immense glory which is now in question! Nor is this to be denied only concerning the unbeliever and the sinner, but concerning the righteous and pious believer, who is not only free from all the guilt of his former impenitence and rebellion but endowed with the gift of the Spirit. "For the time is come that judgment must begin at the house of God: and if it first begin at as, what shall the end be of then that obey not the Gospel of God? And if the righteous scarcely be saved, where shall the ungodly and the sinner appear ?" 1 Pe ter iv. 17, 18. The Apostle's interrogation expresses the most vehement negation, and signifies that no mortal, in whatever degree he is placed, if be be called to the strict examination of Divine Justice, without daily and repeated forgiveness could be able to keep his standing, and much less could he arise to that glorious height. 'That merit,' says Bernard, 'on which my hope relies, consists in these three 'things; the love of adoption, the truth of the promise, and 'the power of its performance.' This is the threefold cord which cannot be broken.

## COMMENT.

Often have I heard it said by advocates for the Socinian Scheme-True! we are all sinners; but evenin the Old Testament God has promised Forgiveness on Repentance. One of the Fathers (I forget which) supplies the Retort-True! God has promised Pardon on Penitence: but has he promised Penitence on Sin ?-He that repenteth shall be forgiven : but where is it said, He that sinneth shall repent? But Repentance, perhaps, the Repentance required in Scripture, the Passing into a new mind, into a now and contrary Principle of Action, this Metanota[40], is in the Simer's own power?
at his own Liking? He has but to open his eyes to the sin, and the Tears are close at hand to wash it away!-Verily, the exploded Tenet of Transubstantiation is scarcely at greater variance with the common Sense and Experience of Mankind, or borders more closely on a contradietion in terms, than this volunteer Transmentation, this Self-change, as the easy [41] means of Self-salvation! But the Reflections of our evangelical Author on this subject will appropriately commence the Aphorisms relating to Spiritual Religion.
T

## ELICMEN'IS

or
RELIGIOUS PHILOSOPHY.
PREGMINARY TG THE
APHORISMS ON SPPIRITUAL RELIGION.

Patap with mito him: Jord show us the Father, and it sufficeth us. Jesus suith muto hin, Ile that hath kien me huth siens the Fother: and how nayent thon them, Show us the Father? Believest thom not, that I ums in the Futher and the Fonker in me? And I will pray the Father mol he shall give yom aunther Comfirter, ewn the Spirit of Truth: whom the world camint receise, becmuse it surth him not, uither knoweth him. But yo know him (fir he dwelloth with yon and shall he in you). And in that day ye shall know that I an in my lather, and ye in me and 1 in you. Julan xiv. 8, $9,10,11 ; 17,50$.

## PRELIMINARY.

If there be aught Spiritual in Man, the Will must be such. If there be a Will, there must be Spirituality in Man. I suppose both positions granted. The Reader admits the reality of the power, agency, or mode of Being expressed in the term, Spirit ; and the actual existence of a Will. He sees elearly, that the illea of the former is necessary to the comceivability of the latter; and that, vice versâ, in asserting the fact of the latter he presumes and instances the truth of the former-just as in our common and received Systems of Natural Philosophy, the Being of imponderable Matter is assumed to render the Lode-stone intelligible, and the Fact of the Lode-stone adduced to prove the reality of imponderable Matter.
In short, I suppose the Realer, whom I now invite to the third and last Division of the wiok, already disposed to reject for himself and his human Brethren the insidious title of "Nature's noblest Animal,"" or to retort it as the uneonscious Irony of the Epicurean Poet on the animalizing tendency of his own philosophy. I suppose him convinced, that there is more in man than can be rationally referred to the life of Nature and the Mechanism of Organization; that he has a will not included in this mechanism; and that the Will is in an espeeial and pre-eminent sense the spiritual part of our Humanity.

Unless then we have sone distinct notion of the Will, and some acquaintance with the prevalent errors respecting the same, an insight into the nature of Spiritual Religion is scarcely possible; and our rellections on the partieular truths and evidences of a spiritual sitate will remain obscure, perplexal,
and unsafe. To place my reader on this requisite Vantageground, is the purpose of the following Exposition.

We have begun, as in geometry, with defining our Terms; and we proceed, like the Geometricians, with stating our bostulates; the difference being, that the Postulates of Geometry no man can deny, those of Moral Science are such as no good man will deny. For it is not in our power to disclaim our Nature, as sentient Beings; but it is in our power to Ilisclaim our Prerogative as Moral l3eings. It is possible (barely possible, I admit) that a man may have emained ignorant or unconscious of the Moral Law within him : and a man need only persist in disobeying the Law of Conseience to make it possible for himself to deny its existence, or to reject and repel it as a phanton of Superstition. Were it otherwise the Creed would stand in the same relation to Morality as the Multiplication Table.
This then is the distinction of Moral Philosophy-not that I hegin with one or more Assumptions, for this is common to all science; but-that I assume a something, the proof of which no man can give to another, yet every man may find for himsel If any man assert, that he con not find it, I an bound to disbelieve him! I cannot do otherwise without unsettling the very foundations of my own moral Nature. For I either find it as an cssential of the Humanity common to Him and Me: or I have not found it at all, except as an Hypochondriast finds Glass Legs. If, on the other hand, he will not find it, he excommunicates himself. He forfeits his personal Rights, and becomes a Thing, i. e. one who may righttully be enployed or usel, as a [42] means to an end, against his will, and without regard to his interest.

All the significant objections of the Materialist and Necessitarian are contained in the term, Morality, all the Objections of the Infidel in the term, Religion? The very terms, I say imply a something grantel, which the Objection supposes not granted. The term presumes what the Objection denies, and in denying presumes the contrary. For it is most important to observe, that the Reasone:s on both sides commence by ta-
e Vantage-
ur Terms; stating our tes of Geare such as wer to dis. ar power to ble (barely gnorant or a man need to make it ject and reerwise the as the Mnl-
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and Neecse Objections terms, I say supposes not denies, and ost important mence by ta-
kiug something for granted, our Assent to which they ask or demand: i. e. both set off with an Assumption in the forn of a Postulate. But the Epieurean assumes what according to himself ho neither is nor can be minder any obligation to assume, and demands what he con have no right to demand: for he denies the reality of all moral Obligation, the existence of any Right. If he use the words, Right and Obligation, he does it deceptively, and means only Compulsion and Power. To overthrow the Faith in aught higher or other than Nature and physical Necessity, is the very purpose of his argument. He desires you only to take for granted, that all reality is included in Nature, and he may then safely dely you to ward off his conelusion--that nothing is excluded!

But as he cannot morally demand, neither can he rationally expeet, your Assent to thispremise : for he camnot be ignorant that the best and greatesi of Men have devoted their lives to the enforcement of the contrary; that the vast majority of the human race in all ages and in all nations have believed in the contrary ; and that there is not a language on Earth, in which he could argue, for ten minutes, in support of his seheme with out sliding into words and phrases, that imply the contrary. It has been said, that the Arabic has a thousand names for a Lion; but this would be a trifle compared with the number of superfluous words and useless Synonimes that would be found in an index Expurgatorius of any European Dictionary constructed on the principles of a consistent and strictly consequential Materialism !

The Christian likewise grounds his philosophy on assertions; but with the hest of all reasons for making them-viz. that he ought so to do. He asserts what he can neither prove nor account for, nor himself comprehend ; but with the strongest of inducements, that of understanding thereby whatever else it most coneerns him to understand aright. Aud yet his Assertions have nothing in them of Theory or Hypothesis; but are in immediate reference to three ultimate Facts; namely, the Reality of the law of conscrence; the existence of a responsible wild, as the sulject of that law; and lastly, the 12
existence of Evil-of Evil essentially such, not by accident of outward circumstances, not derived from its physical consequences, or from any cause, out of itself. The first is a Fact of Consciousness; the second a Fact of Reason neeessarily concluded from the first; and the third a Fact of History interpreted by both.

Omnia exeunt in mysterium, says a Schoolman : i. e. There is nothing, the absolute ground of which is not a Mystery. The contrary were indeed a contradiction in terms: for how can that, which is to explain all things, be susceptible of an explanation? It would be to suppose the same thing first and second at the same time.
If I rested here, I should merely have placed my Creed in direct 'opposition to that of the Necessitarians, who assume (for observe both parties begin in an Assumption, and cannot do otherwise ) that motives act on the Will, as bodies act on bodies; and that whether mind and matter are essentially the same or essentially different, they are both alike under one and the same law of compulsory Causation. But this is far from exhausting my intention. I mean at the same time to oppose the Disciples of Shatiesbury and those who, substituting one Faith for another, have been well called the pious Deists of the last Century, in order to distinguish them from the Infidels of the present age, who persuade themselves, (for the thing itself is not possible) that they reject all Faith. I declare my dissent from these too, because they imposed upon themselves an Idea for a Reality : a most sublime Idea indeed, and so necessary to Human Nature, that without it no Virtue is conceivable; but still an Idea! In contradiction to their splendid but delusory Tenets,' ${ }^{1}$ profess a deep conviction that Man was and is a fallen Creature, not by accidents of bodily constitution, or any other cause, which human Wisdom in a course of ages might be supposed capable of removing; but diseased in his Will, in that Will which is the true and only strict synonime of the Word, I, or the intelligent Self.」 Thus at each of these two opposite Roads (the Philosophy of Hobbes, and that of Shaftesbury), I have placed a directing Post,
y accident ysical confirst is a son neces $t$ of Histo-
i. e. There a Mystery. $s$ : for how tible of an ng first and
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informing my Fellow-travellers, that on neither of these Roads can they see the Truths to which I would direct their attention.

But the place of starting was at the meeting of four Roads, and one only was the right road. I proceed therefore to preclude the opinion of those likewise, who indeed agree with me as to the moral Responsibility of Man in opposition to Hobbes and the Anti-moralists, and that He was a fallen Creature, essentially diseased, in opposition to Shaftesbury and the Misinterpreters of Plato; but who differ from me in exaggerating the diseased weakness of the Will into an absolute privation of all Freedom, thereby making moral responsibility, not a mystery above comprehension, but a direct contradiction, of which we do distinctly comprehend the absurdity. Among the consequences of this Doctrine, is that direful one of swallowing up all the Attributes of the Supreme Being in the one Attribute of Infinite Power, and thence derlucing that Things are good and wise because they were created, and not created through Wisdom and Goodness. Thence too the awful Attribute of Justice is explained away into a mere right of absolute Property; the sacred distinction between Things and Persons is erased; and the selection of Persons for Virtue and Vice in this Life, and for eternal Happiness or Misery in the next, is represented as the result of a mere Will, acting in the blindness and solitude of its own Infinity. The Title of a Work written by the great and pious Boyle is "Of the Awe, which the human mind owes to the supreme Reason." This, in the language of these gloony Doctors, must be translated into-" the horror, which a Being capable of eternal Pleasure or Pain is compelled to feel at the idea of an infinite Power, about to inflict the latter on an immense majority of human souls, without any power on their part either to prevent it or the actions which are (not indeed its causes but) its assigned signals, and preceding links of the same iron chain!

Against these Tenets I maintain, that a Will conceived separate from Intelligence is a Non-entity, and a mere Phantasm of Abstraction; and thet a Will, the state of which does in no
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sense originate in its own act, is an absolute contradietion. It might be an instinet, an Impulse, a plastic Power, and if accompanied with consciousness, a Desire; but a Will it could not be! And this every human being knows with equal clearness, though different minds may reflect on it with different degrees of distinctness; for who would not smile at the notion of a Rose willing to put forth its Buds and expand them into Flowers? That such a phrase would be deemed a poetic Licence proves the difference in the things: for all metaphors are grounded on an apparent likeness of things essentially different. I utterly disclain the idea, that any human Intelligence, with whatever power it might manifest itself, is alone adequate to the office of restoring health to the Will: but at the same time I deem it impious and absurd to hold, that the Creator would have given us the faculty of reason, or that the Redeener would in so many varied forms of Argument and Persuasion have appealed to it, if it had been either totally useless or wholly impotent. Lastly, I find all these several Truths reconciled and united in the belief, that the imperfect human understanding can be effectually exerted only in subordination to, and in a dependent alliance with, the means and aidances supplied by the all-perfect and Supreme Reason; but that under these conditions it is not only an admissible, but a necessary instrument of ameliorating both ourselves and others.

We may now proceed to our reflections on the Sipirit of Religion. The first three or four Aphorisms I have selected from the Theological Works of Dr. Henry More, a contemporary of Archbishop Leighton's, and like him, held in suspicion by the Calvinists of that time as a Latitudinarian and Platonizing Divine, and probably, like him, would have been arraigned as a Calvinist by the Latitudinarians (I cannot say, Platonists) of this Day, had the suspicion been equally groundless. One or two the Editor has ventured to add from his own Refections. The purpose, however, is the same in all-
dietion. It , and if acTill it could equal clearlifferent dethe notion d them into poetic Limetaphors entially difman Intellielf, is alone Vill : but at Id, that the son, or that f Argument ither totally ese several e imperfect only in stbe means and Reason; but ssible, but a $s$ and others.
he Spirit of ave selected , a contemeld in suspidinarian and $d$ have been cannot say, ually groundadd from his same in all-
that of declaring, in the first place, what Religion is not, what is not a Religious Spirit, and what are not to be decmed influences of the Spirit. If after these Disclaimers the Editor shall without proof be charged by any with favouring the errors of the Familists, Vanists, Seekers, Behmenists or by whatever other names Church History records the poor bewildcred Enthusiasts, who in the swarming time of our Republic turned the facts of the Gospel into allegories, and superseded the written Ordinances of Christ by a pretended Teaching and sensible Presence of the Spirit, he appeals agaiust them to their own conseiences, as wilful Slanderers. .But if with proof, I have in these Aphorisms signed and sealed my own Condemnation.
" These things I could not forbear to write. For the Light within me, that is, my Reason and Conscience, does assure me that the Ancient and Apostolic Faith according to the historical Meaning thereof, and in the literal sense of the Creed, is solid and truc : and that Familism in its Fairest form and under whatever disguise is a smooth Tale to seduce the simple from their Allegiance to Christ."

Henry More's Theological Works, p. 372.


APHORISMS ON SPIRITUAL RELIGION.


And here it will not he impertinent to ohserve, that what the eldest Greek Philosophy entited the Reason (NOT:) and ideas, the Philosophic Apostle names the Spirit and Truths spiritually discerned: while to those who in the prite of Learning or in the over-weening meanness of $1 \mathbf{1 r}^{-1}$ ern Metaphysies decry the doctrine of the Spirit in Man and its possible communion with the IIoly Spirit, as vulgar enthusiasm! I sulmuit the fe!lowing Sentences from in Pagan Philosopher, in Nobleman and a Minister of Sute-"Ita dico, Larili! sacea intra nos Spiritus smdet, malormin bonorumque nostrorum observator et custos. Hic prout a nobis traetatus eel, ita nos ipse iractat. Bones vil sine Dfo nemo est." Senyca.

## APIIORISMS ON SPIIRITUAL RELIGION.

## APIIORISM I.

11. mone.

Eyeny one is to gice a reason of his faith: but Priests and Ministers more punctually than any, their province being to make good every sentence of the Bible to a rational enquirer into the truth of these oracles. Enthusiasts find it an easy thing to heat the fancies of unlearned and unreflecting Hearers; but when a sober man would be satisfied of the Grounds from whence they speak, he shall not have one syllable or the least title of a pertinent answer. Only they will talk big of the spirit, and inveigh against Reason with bitter Reproaches, calling it carnal or lleshly, though it be indeed no soft flesh, but enduring and penetrant steel, even the sword of the Spirit, and such as pierees to the heart.

## APIIORISM IJ.

1. MORE.

There are two very bad things in this resolving of men's Faith and Practice into the immediate suggestion of a Spirit not acting on our Understandings, or rather into the illumination of such a Spirit as they can give no account of, such as does not enlighten their reason or enable them to render their doctrine intelligible to others. First, it defaces and makes useless that part of the Image of God in us, which we call neason : and secondly, it takes away that advantage which raises Christianity above all other Religions, that she dare appeal to so solid a feenlty.

## APIIORISM H.

editor.
It is the glory of the Gospel Charter and the Christian Constitution, that its Author and Ifead is the Spinit of Truth, Es13
sential Reason as well as Absolute and Incomprehensible Will. Like a just Monareh, he refers even his own canses to tho Judgment of his high Courts.--He has his King's Bench in the Reason, his Court of Equity in the Conscience; that the representetive of his Majesty and universal Justice. this the ne $n+4$ to the King's heart, and the Dispenser of his partienint mere cs. He has likewise his Court of Common Pleas in Nerstanding, his Court of Exchequer in the Prudence. he has mes cully with his own hand the condended to interline here and there with his own hand the great Statute-book, which he had dic-
tated to his Amanuensis, Nature: yet has he been , Nive yet has he been graciously that is not stamped with the Great Seal of thes Mandates aught countersigned by the Reason[43].

## APHORISM IV.

ON AN UNLEARNED MINISTRY, UNDER PRETENCE OF A CAII, OF THE SPIK.T, AND INWARD GRACES BUPERSFDING OUTWARD helps.
Tell me, Ye high-flown Perfectionists, Ye Boasters of the Light within you, could the highest perfection of your inward Light ever show to you the History of past Ages, the state of the World at present, the Knowledge of Arts and Tongues without Books or' Teachers? How then can you understand the Providence of God, or the age, the purpose, the fulfilment of I'rophecies, or distinguish such as have been fulfilled from those to the fulfilment of which we are to look forward? How can you judge concerning the authenticity and uncorruptedness of the Gospels, and the other sacred Scriptures? And how without this knowledge can you support the truth of Christianity? How can you either have, or give a reason for the faith which you profess? This Light within, that loves Darkness, and would exclude those excellent Gitts of God to Mankind, Knowledge and Understanding, what is it but a sullen self-sufficiency within you, engendering contempt of Superiors, pride and a Spirit of Division, and inducing you to reject
nsible Will uses to tho s Bench in e; that the c. this the his particuon Pleas in e Prudence. nd Miracles $e$ and there he had dien graciously dates aught science, and
asters of the your inward es, the state and Tongues u understand the fulfilment fulfilled from lward? How uncorruptedtures? And the truth of e a reason for in, that loves fts of God to it but a sullen pt of Superiyou to reject
for yourselves and to untiervalue in others the Helps wilhout, which the Girace of God has provided and appointed for his Clmueh-nay, to make them iomends or pretexts of your dislike or sus, icion of Christ's Ministers who have firuitfilly availed themsclves of the Helps allorded them? - Henny Morl.

## APIUORSM V.

There are Wanderers, whom neither pride nor a perverse humour have led astray; and whose condition is such, that I think few more worthy of a man's best directions. For the more imperious Seets having put such unhandsome vizards o: Christianity, and the sincere Milk of the Word having bed every where so sophisticated by the humours and inventions men, it has driven these anxious Melancholists to seek fow a Teacher that cannot deceive, the Voice of the eternal iron! within them ; to which if they be faithful, they assure themselves it will be faithful to them in return. Nor woult his be a groundless Presumption, if they had sought this Voce an the Reason and the Conscience, with the Seripture artieulating tho same, instead of giving heed to their Fancy and mistaking bodily disturbances, and the vapors resulting therefrom, for inspiration and the teaching of the Spirit.-Henry More.

## APIIORISM VI.

When every man is his own end, all things will come to a bad cad. Blessed were those days, when every man thought himself rich and fortunate by the good success of the public wealth and glory. We want publie Souls, we want them. I speak it with compassion: there is no sin and abuse in the woild that aflects my thought so much. Every man thinks, that le is a whole Commonwealth in his private Family. Omnes quae sua sunt quarunt. All seek their own.-Bisnop Hacket's Sermons, f. 443.

## COMMENTT.

Selfishness is common to all ages and countries. In all ages Sell-secking is the Rule, and self-sacrifice the Exception.

But if to seek our private advantage in harmony with, and by the furtherance of, the public prosperity, and to derive a portion of our happiness from sympathy with the prosperity of our fellow men-if this he l'ublic Spirit, it would be morose and querulous to pretend that there is any want of it in this country and at the present time. On the contrary, the number of "public souls" and the general readiness to contribute to the pullic good, in science and in religion, in patriotism and in philanthropy, stand prominent [14] among the characteristics of this and the preceding gencration. The habit of referring Actions and Opinions to fixed laws; Convictions rooted in Principles; Thought, Insight, System; thesc, had the good Bishop lived in our times, would have been his Jesiderata, and the theme of his Complaints. "We want thinking Souls, we want them."

This and the three preceding extracts will suffice as precautionary Aphorisms. And here again, the Reader may exemplify the great advantages to be obtained fiom the habit of tracing the proper meaning and history of Words. We need only recollect the common and idiomatic phrases in which the word "Spirit" occurs in a physical or material sense (ex. gr. fruit has lost its spinit and flavour), to be convinced that its property is to improve, enliven, actuate some other thing, not to be or constitute a thing in its own name. ${ }^{1}$ The enthusiast may find one exception to this where the material itself is called Spirit. And when he calls to mind, how this spirit acts when taken alone by the unhappy persons who in their first exultation will boast that it is Meat, Drink, Fire, and Clothing to them, all in one-when he reflects that its properties , are to inflame, intoxicate, madden, with exhaustion, lethargy, and atrophy for the Sequels-well for him, if in some lucid interval he should fairly put the question to his own mind, how far this is analogous to his own case, and whether the Exception does not confirm the Rule. The Letter without the Spirit killeth; but does it follow, that the Spirit is to kill the Letter? To kill that which it is its appopriate oflice to enliven?
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However, where the Ministry is not invaded, and the plain sense of the Seriptures is left undisturbed, aud the Believer looks fo: the suggestion of the Spirit only or chiefly in applying particular passages to his own individual case and exigencies; though in this there may be muel weakness, some delusion and imminent Danger of more, I camot but join with Henry More in avowing, that I feel knit to such a man in the bonds of a common faith far morc elosely, than to those who receive neither the Letter, nor the Spirit, turning the one into metaphor and oriental hypertole, in order to explain away the other into the influence of motives suggested by their own understandings, and realized by their own strength.

## APIIORISMS

## WHICHIS INDEED SPIRITUAI, RELIGION.

In the selection of the Extracts that form the remainder of this Volume and of the Comments affixed, the Editor had the following Objects principally in view. First, to exhibit the true and scriptural meaning and intent of several Articles of Faith, that are rightly elassed among the Mysteries and pecnliar Doctrines of Christianity. Secondly, to show the perfect rationality of these Doetrines, and their freedon from all just Objection when examined by their proper Organ, the Reason and Conseience of Man. Lastly, to exhibit from the Works of Leighton, who perhaps of all our learned protestant Theologians best deserves the title of a Spiritual Divine, an instructive and allecting pieture of the contemplations, reflections, conflicts, consolations and monitory experiences of a philosophic and richly-gifted mind, amply stored with all the knowledge that Books and long intercourse with men of the most discordant characters can give, under the convictions, impressions, and habits of a Spiritual Religion.
To obviate a possible disappointment in any of my Readers, who may chance to be engaged in theological studies, it may be well to notice, that in vindicating the peculiar tenets of our Faith, I bave not entered on the Doctrine of the Trinity, or the still profounder Mystery of the Origin of Moral Eviland this for the reasons following: 1. These Doctrines are not (strietly speaking) subjects of Reflection, in the proper
sense of this word: and both of them demand a power and persistency of Abstraction, and a previous discipline in the liighest forms of human thought, which it would be unwise, if not presumptuous, to expect from any, who require "Aids to Reflection," or would be likely to seek them in the present Work. 2. In my intercourse with men of various ranks and ages, I have found the far larger number of serious and inquiring Persons little if at all disquieted by doubts respecting Articles of Faith, that are simply above their comprehension. It is only where the Belief required of them jars with their moral feelings; where a doctrine in the sense, in which they have been taught to receive it, appears to contradict their clear noticns of Right and Wrong, or to be at variance with the divine Attributes of Goodness and Justice; that these men are surprised, perplexed, and alas! not seldom offended and alicnated. Such are the Doetrines of Arbitrary Election and Reprobation; the Sentence to everlasting Torment by an eternal and necessitating Decree; vicarious Atonement, and the necessity of the Abasement, Agony and ignominious Death of a most holy and meritorious Person, to appease the Wrath of God. Now it is more especially for such Persons, unwilling Seepties, who believing earnestly ask help for their unbelief, that this Volume was compiled, and the Comments written: and therefore, to the Scripture doctrines, intended by the above mentioned, my principal attention has been directed.

But lastly, the whole Scheme of the Christian Faith, ineluding all the Articles of Belief common to the Greek and Latin, the Roman and the Protestant Church, with the threefold proof, that it is ideally, morally, and historically true, will be found exhibited and vindicated in a proportionally larger Work, the Principal Labour of my Life since Manhood, and which I am now preparing for the Press under the title, Assertion of Religion, as necessarily involving Revelation; and of Christianity, as the only Revelation of permanent and universal validity.
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APHORIGMS ON SPIRITUAI RELIGION.

## APIORISM I.

heigiton.
Where, if not in Christ, is the Power that can persuade a Sinner to return, that can bring home a heart to God?

Common mercies of God, though they have a leading faculty to repentance, (Rom. ii. 4.) yet, the rebellious heart will not be led by them. The judgments of God, public or personal, though they ought to drive us to God, yet the heart, unchanged, runs the further from God. Do we not see it by ourselves and other sinners about us? They look not at all towards Him who smites, much less do they return; or if any more serious thoughts of returning arise upon the surprise of an affliction, how soon vanish they, either the stroke abating, or the heart, by time, growing hard and senseless under it ! Leave Christ out, I say, and all other means work not this way; neither the works nor the word of God sounding daily in his ear, Return, return. Let the noise of the rod speak it too, and both join together to make the cry the louder, yet the wicked will do wickedly, Dan. xii. 10.

## comment.

By the phrase "in Christ," I mean all the supernatural Aids vouchsafed and conditionally promised in the Christian Dispensation: and anong them the Spirit of Truth, which the world cannot receive, were it only that the knowledge of spiritual Truth is of necessity immediate and intuitive : and the World or Natural Man possesses no higher intuitions than those of the pure Sense, which are the subjects of Mathematical Science. But Aids, observe! Therefore, not by the Will of Man alone; but neither wilhout the Will. The doctrine of modern Calvinisn, as laid down by Jonathan Edwards and the late Dr. Williams, which represents a Will absolutely passive, clay in the hands of a Potter, destroys all Will, takes away its essence and definition, as effectually as in say-ing-This Circle is square-I should deny the figure to be a Circle at all. It was in strict consistency therefore, that these Writers supported the Necessitarian Scheme, and made the
relation of Cause and Effect the Law of the Universe, subjeeting to its Mechanism the moral World no less than the material or physical. It follows, that all is Nature[45]. Thus, though few writers use the term Spinit more frequently, they in effect deny its existence, and evacuate the term of all its proper meaning. With such a system not the Wit of Man nor all the Theodices ever framed by human ingenuity, before and since the attempt of the celebrated Leibnitz, can reconcile the Sense of Responsibility, nor the fact of the difference in kind between regret and remorse. The same compulsion of Consequence drove the Fathers of Modern (or Pseu-do-)Calvinism to the origination of Holiness in Power, of Justice in Right of Property, and whatever outrages on the common sense and moral feelings of Mankind they have sought to cover, under the fair name of Sovereign Grace.

I will not take on me to defend sundry harsh and ineonvenient Expressions in the Works of Calvin. Phrases equally strong and Assertions not less rash and startling are no rarities in the Writings of Luther: for Catachresis was the favourite Figure of Speech in that age. But let not the opinions of either on this most fundamental Subject be confounded with the New-England System, now entitled Calvinistic. The fact is simply this. Luther considered the Pretensions to Free-will boastful, and better suited to the budge Doctors of the Stoic Fur, than to the Preachers of the Gospel, whose great Theme is the Redemption of the Will from Slavery; the restoration of the Will to perfect Freedom being the end and consummation of the redemptive Process, and the same with the entrance of the Soul into Glory, i.e. its union with Christ : "alory" (John xvii. 5.) being one of the names of the Spiritual Messiah. Prospectively to this we are to understand the words of our Lord, At that day ye shall know that I am in my Father, and ye in me, John xiv. 20 ; the freedom of a finite will being possible under this condition only, that it has become one with the will of God. Now as the difference of a captive and enslaved Will, and no Will at all, such is the
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historically and theologically more accurate to call Grotiunism, or Christianity according to Grotius. The change was not, we may readily believe, effected without a struggle. In the Romish Church this latitudinarian System, patronized by the Jesuits, was manfully resisted by Jansenius, Arnauld, and Pascal; in our own Church by the Bishops Davenant, Sauderson, Hall, and the Archbishops Usher and Leighton: and in the latter half of the preceding Aphorism the Reader has a suecimen of the reasonings by which Leighton strove to invalidate or counterpoise the reasonings of the Innovators.
P'assages of this sort are, however, of rare occurrente in Leighton's works. Happily for thousands, he was more usefully employed in making his Readers feel, that the Doctrines in question, scripturally treated, and taken as co-organized parts of a great organic whole, need no such reasonings. And better still would it have been, had he left them altogether for those, who severally detaching the great Features of Revelation from the living Context of Scripture, do by that very act destroy their life and purpose. And then, like the eyes of the Aranea prodigiosa [47] they become clouded microseopes, to exaggerate and distort all the other parts and proportions. No oflence will be occasioned, I trust, by the frank avowal that I have given to the preceding passage a place among the Spiritual Aphorisms for the sake of the Comment: the following Remark having been the first marginal Note I had pencilled on Leighton's Pages, and thus, (remotely, at least), the oceasion of the present Work.

Leighton, I observed, throughout his inestimable Work, avoids all metaphysical views of Election, relatively to God, and confines himself to the Doetrine in its relation to Man : and in that sense too, in which every Christian may judge who strives to be sincere with his own heart. The following may, I think, be taken as a safe and useful Rule in religious inquiries. Ideas, that derive their origin and substance from the Moral Being, and to the reception of which as true objectiveIy (i.e. as corresponding to a teality out of the hman mind) we are detomined ly a mactical interest exclusively, may
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aphorisms on spiritual rehigion.
not, like theoretical or speculative Positions, be pressed onward into all their possible logical consequences. The Law of Conscience, and not the Canons of discursive Reasoning, must decide in such eases. At least, the latter has no validity, which the single Veto of the former is not sufficient to nullify. The most pious conclusion is here the most legitimate.

It is too seldom considered, though most worthy of consideration, how far even those Ideas or Theories of pure Speculation, that bear the same name with the Objects of Religious Faith, are indeed the same. Out of the principles necessarily presumed in all discursive Thinking, and which being, in the first place, universal, and secondly, antecedent to every particular exercise of the Understanding, are therefore referred to the Reason, the human Mind (wherever its powers are sufficiently developed, and its attention strongly directed to speculative or theoretical inquiries), forms certain Essences, to which for its own purposes it gives a sort of notional Subsistencc. Hence they are called Entia rationalia: the conversion of which into Entic realia, or real Objects, by aid of the Imagination, has in all times been the fruitful stock of empty Theories, and mischicvous Superstitions, of surreptitious Premises and extravagant Conclusions. For as these substantiated Notions were in many instances expressed by the same terms, as the objects of religious Faith; as in most instances they were applied, though deceptively, to the explanation of real experiences ; and lastly, from the gratifications, which the pride and ambition of man received from the supposed extension of his Knowledge and Insight it was ton easily forgotten or overlooked, that the stablest and most dispensable of these notional Beings were but the necess $y$ forms of Thinking, taken abstractedly : and that like the breadthless Lines, depthless Surfaces, and perfect Circles of Geometry, they subsist wholly and solely in and for the Mind, that contemplates them. Where the evidence of the senses fails us, and beyond the precincts of sensible experiene, there is no Reality attributable to any Notion, but what is given to
it by Revelation, or the Law of Conscience, or the necessary interests of Morality.

Take an instance:
It is the ollice, and as it were, the instinct of Reason to bring a unity into all our conceptions and several knowledges. On this all system depends : and without this we could reflect connectedly neither on nature or our own minds. Now this is possible only on the assumption or hypothesis of a one as the ground and cause of the Universe, and which in all sucecssion and through all changes is the subject neither of Time or Change. The ons must be contemplated as Eternal and Immutable.
Well! the Idea, which is the basis of Religion, commanded by the Conscience and required by Morality, contains the same truths, or at least Truths that can be expressed in no other terms; but this idea presents iself to our mind with additional Attributes, and these too not formed by mere Abstraction and Negation, with the Attributes of Holiness, Providence, Love, Justice, and Mcrey. It comprehends, moreover, the independent (exfic-mundane) existence and personality of the supreme one, as our Creator, Lord, and Judge.

The hypothesis of a one Ground and Principle of the Universe (necessary as an hypothesis; but having only a logical and conditional necessity) is thus raised into the idea of the livine god, the supreme Object of our Faith, Love, Fear, and Adoration. Religion and Morality do indeed constrain us to deciare him Eternal and Immutable. But if from the Eternity of the Supreme Being a Reasoner should' deduce the impossibility of a Creation; or conclude with Aristotle, that the Creation was co-eternal; or, like the later Platonists, should turn Creation into Emanation, and make the universe proceed irom Deity, as the Sunbeams from the Solar Orb ;or if from the divine Immutability he should infer, that all Prayer and Supplication must be vain and superstitious: then however evident and logically necessary such conclusions may appear, it is searecly worth our while to examine, whether they are so or not. The Positions themselves must be false.
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APIIORISSSS ON SPIRITUAL RELIGION
For were they true, the idea would lose the sole ground of its reality. It would be no longer the Idea intended by the Believer in his premise-in the Premise, with which alone Religion and Morality are concerned. The very sulject of the discussion would be changed. It would no longer be the God in whom we believe; but a stoical fate, or the superessential one of Plotinus, to whom neither Intelligenee, or Self-consciousness, or Life, or even Being dare be attributed: or lastly, the World itself, the indivisible one and only substance (substantia una et unica) of Spinoza, of which all Phenomena, all particular and individual Things, Lives, Minds, Thoughts and Actions are but modifications.

Let the Believer never be alarmed by Objections wholly speculative, however plausible on speculative grounds such objections may appear, if he can but satisfy himself, that the Result is repugnant to the dictates of Conscience, and irreconcilable with the interests of Morality. For to bafle the Ohjector we have only to demand of him, by what right and under what authority he converts a Thought inte a sristance, or asserts the existence of a real somewhat correspondinct to a Notion not derived from the experience of his Senses. It will be of no purpose for him to answer, that it is a legitinate. Notion. The Notion may have its mould in the understanding; but its realization must be the work of the fancy.

A reflecting Reader will easily apply these remarks to the subject of Election, one of the stumbling stones in the ordinary conceptions of the Christian Faith, to which the Infidel points in scorn, and which far better men pass by in sitent perplexity. Yet surely, from mistaken conceptions of the Doctrine. I suppose the person, with whom I am arguing, already so far a believer, as to huve convinced himself, hoth that a state of enduring bliss is attainable under certain conditions; and that these conditions consist in his compliance with the directions given and rules prescribed in the Christian Scriptures. These rules he likewise admits to be such, that, by the very law and constitution of the human mind, a full and faithful complianee with them cannot but have consequences

## AID TO REPLECTION.

of some sort or other. But these consequences are moreover distinctly described, enumerated and promised in the same Scriptures, in which the conditions are recorded; and though some of them may be apparent to God only, yet the greater number are of such a nature that they cannot exist unknown to the Individual, in and for whom they exist. As little possibe is it, that he should find these consequences in himself, and wort find in them the sure marks and the safe pledges, that he is at the time in the right road to the Life promised under these conditions. Now 1 dare assert, that no such man, however fervent his charity, and however deep his humility, may be, can peruse the records of History with a reflecting spirit, or "look raund the world" with an olservant eye, and not find flimself compelled to admit, that all men are not on the right Rovad. He eannot help judging, that even in Christian countries Many, a fearful Many! have not their faces turned towarl it.

This then is mare matter of fact. Now comes the question. Shall the Reliever; who thus hopes on the appointed grounds of Hope, atribute this distinction exclusively to his awn zesolves and strivings? or if net exclusively yet primariby and penincipally? Shall he refer the first movements and prepherations to his own Will and Understanding, and bottom his ciain so the Puomises on his own comparative excellence? If not, if moman dure take this honour io himself, to whom shall he assigmit, if inot to that Being in whom the Promise originated and on whom its Fullihment depends? If he stop here, who shall blame him? By what argumere shall his reasoning be invalidated, that might not be urged with equal foree against any essential difference between Oberdient and Disobedient, Christian and Worlding, that would seot imply that hoth sorts alike are, in the sight of God, the sone of Geal loy adoption? If he stop here, who shall drive him fism his position? For thus far he is practically concerned-thins the Conscience requires, this the highest interests of Morality slemand. It is a question of Facts, of the Will and the Deed, to argue against which on the abstract notions and possibilities
of the speeulative Reason is as unreasonable, as an attempt to decide a question of Colours by pure Geometry, or to unsetile the classes and suecific characters of Natural Ilistory by the Doctrine of Fluxions.

But if the self-examinant will abandon this position, and exchange the safe circle of Religion and practical Reason for the shifting Sand-wastes and Mirages of Speculative Theolosy ; if instead of seeking after the marks of Election in himself he undertakes to determine the ground and origin, the possibility and mode of Election itself in relation to God ;in this case, and whether he does it for the satisfaction of ellriosity, or from the ambition of answering those, who would call God himself to account, why and by what right certain Souls were born in Africa instead of England ? or why (secing that it is against all reason and goodness to choose a worse when being omnipotent he could have created a better) God did not create Beasts Men, and Men Angels ? or why God ereated any men but with pre-knowledge of their obodience, and why he left any occasion for Election ?-in this case, I say, we can only regret, that the Inquirer had not been better instrueted in the nature, the bounds, the true purposes and proper objects of his intellectual faculties, and that he had not previously asked himselt, by what appropriate Sense, or Organ of Knowledge, he hoped to secure an insight into a Nature which was neither an Object of his Senses, nor a part of his Self-consciousness ! and so leave him to ward off shadowy Spears with the shadow of a Shield, and to retaliate the nonsense of Blasphemy with the Alraeadabra of Presumption. He that will fly without wings must Hy in his dreams ; and till he awakes, will not lind out, that to fly in a dream is but to dream of tlying.

Thus then the Doetrine of Election is in itself a necessary inference from an undeniable fact-necessary at least for all who hold that the best of Men are what they are through the grace of Goul. In relation to the Believer it is a Hope, which if it spring out of Christian Plipeiples, be examined by the teskit and nosrished by the means peaseribed in Scripture, will
become a licely, an assured Hope, but which cannot in this life pass into knowledge, much less certainty of Fore-knowledge. The contrary belief does indeed make the article of Election both tool and parcel of a mad and mischievous fanaticism. But with what force and clearness does not the Apostle confute, disclaim, and prohibit the pretence, treating it as a downwright contradietion in terms! See Rom. viii. 24.

But though I hold the doctrine handled as Leighton handles it (that is 'practically, morally, humanly) rational, safe, and of essential importance, I see many [48] reasons resulting from the peculiar circumstances, under which St. Paul preached and wrote, why a discreet Minister of the Gospel should avoid the frequent use of the term, and express the meaning in other words perfectly equivalent and equally scriptural: lest in saying truth he might convey error.
.Had my purpose been confined to one particular Tenet, an apology might be required for so long a Comment. But the Reader will, I trust, have already perceived, that my object has been to establish a general Rule of interpretation and vindication applicable to all doctrinal Tencts, and especially to the (so called) Mysteries of the Christian Faith : to provide a Safety-lamp for religious inquirers. Now this I find in the principle, that all revealed Truths are to be judged of by us, as far as they are possible subjects of human Conception, or grounds of Practice, or in some way connected with our moral and spiritual Interests. In order to have a reason for forming a judgment on any given article, we must be sure that we possess a Reason, by and according to which a judginent may be formed. Now in respect of all Truths, to which a real independent existence is assigned; and which yet are not contained in, or to be imagined under, any form of Space or 'Time, it is strictly demonsirable, that the human Reason, considered abstractly as the source of positive Science and theoretical Insight, is not sueh a Reason. At the utmost, it has only a negative voice. In other words, nothing can be allowed as true for the human Mind, which directly contradicts this Reason. But even here, before we admit the existence of
ch cannot in this y of Fore-knowlake the article of ischievous fanatijes not the Aposnee, treating it as om. viii. 24.
as Leighton hanly) rational, safe, reasons resulting ich St. Paul preathe Gospel should ress the meaning ly seriptural : lest
ticular Tenet, an mnient. But the d, that my object rpretation and vinand especially to Faith : to provide this I find in the e judged of by us, an Conception, or ected with our moe a reason for formust be sure that which a judgnent Truths, to which a which yet are not form of Space or uman Reason, conScience and theothe utmost, it has thing can be allowtly contradicts this it the existence of
any such contradiction, we must be careful to ascertain, that there is no equivocation in play, that two diflerent subjects are not eonfounded under one and the same word. A striking instance of this has been adduced in the difference hetween the notional Onr of the Ontologists, and the idea of the Living God.

But if not the abstract or speculative Reason, and yet a reason there must be in order to a rational Belief-then it must be the Practical Reason of Man, comprehending the Will, the Conscience, the Moral Being with its inseparable Interests and Affections-that Reason, namely, which is the Organ of Wistom, and (as far as Man is concerned) the Source of living and actual Truths.

From these premises we may further dednee, that every doctrine is to be interpreted in reference to those, to whom it has been revealed, or who have or have had the means of knowing or hearing the samc. For instance: the Doctrine that there is no name under Heaven, by which a man can be saved, but the name of Jesus. If the word here rendered Name, may be understood (as it well may, and as in other texts it must be) as meaning the Power, or originating Cause, I see no objection on the part of the Practical Reason to owr belief of the declaration in its whole extent. It is true universally or not true at all. If there be any redemptive power not contained in the Power of Jesus, then Jesus is not the Redecmer: not the redeemer of the World, not the Jesus (i. e. Saviour) of Mankind. But if with Tertullian and Augustin we make the Text assert the condemnation and misery of all who are not Christians by Baptism and explicii Belief in the Revelation of the New Covenant-then I say, the doctrine is true to all intents and purposes. It is true, in every respect, in which any practical, moral, or spiritual Interest or End can be connected with its truth. It is true in respect to every man who has had, or who might have had, the Gospel preached to him. It is true and obligatory for every Christian community and for every individual Believer, wherever the opportunity is afforded of spreading the Light of the Gos-
pel and making known the name of the only Saviour and Redeemer. For even though the unimformed Heathens should not perish, the guill of their Perishing will attach to those who not only had no certainty of their safety, but who were commanded to act on the supposition of the contrary. But if on the other hand, a theological Dogmatist should attempt to persuade me, that this Text was intended to give us an historical knowledge of God's future Aetions and Dealings-and for the gratification of our euriosity to inform us, that Socrates and Phocion, together with all the Savages in the untravelled Woods and Wilds of Africa and America, will be sent to keep company with the Devil and his Angels in everlasting Tor-ments-l should remind hin, that the purpose of Scripture was to teach us our duty, not to enable us to sit in Judgment on the souls of our fellow creatures.
One other instance will, I trust, prevent all misconecption of ny meaning. 1 am clearly convinced, that the scriptural and only true [49] Idea of God will, in its developement, be found to involve the ldea of the Priunity. But I am likewise convinced, that previous to the promulgation of the Gospel the Doctrine had no claim on the Faith of Mankind: though it might have been a legitimate contemplation for a speculative philosopher, a Theoren in Metaphysics valid in the Schools.
1 form a certain notion in my mind, and say: this is what $I$ understand by the term, God. From books and conversation I find, that the Learned generally connect the same notion with the same word. I then apply the Rules, laid down by the Masters of Logic, for the involution and evolution of terms and prove (to as many as agree with me in iny premises) that the Notion, God, involves the Notion, Trinity. I now pass out of the Schools, and enter into discourse with some friend or ueighbour, unversed in the formal seiences, unused to the processes of Abstraction, neither Logician or Metaphysician ; but sensible and singleminded, "an Israelite indeed," trusting in " the Lord Gool of his Fathers, even the God of Abraham, of Isaac, and of Jacob." If I speak of God to him, what
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will he understand me to be speaking of? What does he nean, and suppose me to mean, by the word? An Accident or Product of the reasoning faculty, or an Abstraction which the human Mind makes by reflecting on its own thoughts and ferms of thinking? No. By God he understands me to mean an existing and self-subsisting reality[50], a real and personal Being-even the Person, the ram, who sent Moses to his Forefathers in Egypt. Of the actual existence of this divine Person he has the same historical assurance as of theirs; confirmed indeed by the Book of Nature, as soon and as far as that stronger and better Light has taught hiin to read and construe it-conlimed by it, I say, but not derived fiom it. Now by what right ean I require this Man (and of such men the great majority of serious Believers consisted, previous to the Light of the (Gospel) to receive a Notion of mine, whoily alien from his habits of thinking, because it may be logicallydecluced from another Notion, with which he was almost as little aequainted, and not at all concerned? Grant for a moment, that the batter (i. e. the Notion, with which I first set out) as soon as it is combined with the assurance of a corresponding Reality becomes identical with the true and effective Idea of God! Grant, that in thus realizing the Notion I am warranted by Revelation, the Law of Conscience, and the interests and necessities of my Moral Being! Yet by what authority, by what inducement, an 1 entitled to attach the same reality to a second Notion, a Notion drawn from a Notion? It is evident, that if I have the same Right, it must be on the same grounds. Revelation must have assured it, my conscience required it-or in some way or other I must have an interest in this belief. It must concern me, as a moral and responsible Being. Now these grounds were first given in the Redemption of Mankind by Christ, the Saviour and Mediator : and by the utter incompatibility of these offices with a mere Creature. On the doctrine of Redemption depends the Faith, the Duty, of believing in the Divinity of our Lord. And this again is the strongest Ground for the reality of that Idea, in which alone this Diviuity can be received without breach of
the faith in the unity of the Godhead. But such is the Idea of the Trinity. Strong as the motives are that induce me to defer the full discussion of this great Article of the Christian Creod, I cannot withstand the request of several Divines, whose situation and extensive services entitle them to the utmost deference, that I should so far deviate from my first intention as at least to indicate the point on which I stand, and to prevent the misconception of my purpose : as if I held the doctrine of the Trinity for a Truth whien Men could be called on to believe by mere force of Reasoning, independently of any positive Revelation. In short, it had been reported in ecrtain circles, that I considered this doetrine as a demonstrable part of the Religion of Nature. Now though it might be sufficient to say, that I regard the very phrase " Revected Religion" as a pleonasm, inasmuch as a religion not revealed is, in my judgment, no religion at all ; I have no objection to announce more particularly and distinetly what I do and what I do not maintain on this point : provided that in the following paragraph, with this view inserted, the reader will look for nothing more than a plain statement of my opinions. The grounds on which they rest, and the arguments by which they are to be vindicated, are for another place.

I hold then, it is truc, that all the (so called) Demonstrations of a God cither prove too little, as that from the Order and apparent Purpose in Nature ; or too much, viz. that the World is itself God; or they clandestincly involve the conclusion in the Premises, passing off the mere analysis or explication of an Assertion for the Proof of it-a species of logical legerdemain not unlike tinat of the Jugglers at a Fair, who putting into their mouths what seems to be a walnut, draw out a score yards of Ribbon. On this sophism rest the pretended "Demonstrations of a God" grounded on the Postulate of a First Cause. And lastly in all these Demonstrations the authors presuppose the Idea or Conception of a God without being able to authenticate it, $i$. e. to give an account whence they obtained it. For it is clear, that the proof first mentioned and the most natural and convincing of all (the Cosmolo-
such is the Idea at induce me to of the Christian everal Divines, them to the utfrom my first inich I stand, and as if I held the could be called idependently of reported in cera demonstrable it might be suf'Revealed Relinot revealed is, objection to an1 do and what I in the following er will look for opinions. The s by which they
ed) Demonstrafrom the Order h, viz. that the nvolve the connalysis or explipecies of logical at a Fair, who walnut, draw out st the pretended e Postulate of a strations the auGod without beccount whence of first mencion1 (the Cosmolo-
gical I mean or that from the Order in Nature) presupposes the Ontological-i. e. the proof of a God from the necessity and necessary Objectivity of the Idea. If the latter can assure us of a God as an existing Reality, the former will go far to prove his Power, Wisdom and Benevolence. All this I hold. But I also hold, that this Truth, the hardest to demonstrate, is the one which of all others least needs to be demorstrated; that though there may be no conclusive demonstrations of a good, wise, living and personal God, there are so many convincing reasons for it, within and without-a grain of sand sufficing, and a whole universe at hand to echo the decision !-that for every mind not devoid of all reason, and desperately conscience-proof, the Truth which it is the least possible to prove, it is little less than impossible not to believe! only indeed just so much short of impossible, as to leave some room for the will and the moral election, and thereby to keep it a truth of Religion, and the possible subject of a Commandment[51].

On this account I do not demand of a Deist, that he should adopt the doctrine of the Trinity. For he might very well be justified in replying, that he rejected the doctrine, not because it could not be demonstrated, nor yet on the score of any incomprehensibilities and seeming contradictions that might be objected to it, as knowing that these might be, and in fact had been, urged with equal foree against a personal God under any form eapable of Love and Veneration; but because he had not the same theoreticai necessity, the same interests and instincts of Reason for the one hypothesis as for the other. It is not enough, the Deist might justly say, that there is no cogent reason why I should not believe the Trinity : you must show me some cogent reason why I should.

But the case is quite different with a Christian, who accepts the Scriptures as the Word of God, yet refuses his assent to the plainest declarations of these Seriptures, and explains away the most express texts into metaphor and hyperbole, because the literal and obvious interpretation is (according to his notions) absurd and contrary to reason. He is bound to
show, that it is so in any sense, not equally applicable to the texts asserting the Being, Infinity, and Personality of God the Father, the Eternal and Omnipresent ons, who created the Heaven and the Earth. And the more is he bound to do this, and the greater is my right to demand it of him, because the doctrine of Redemption from Sin supplies the Christian with motives and reasons for the divinity of the Redeemer far more concerning and coercive suljectively, i. c. in the economy of his own Soul, than are all the inducements that can influence the Deist objectively, $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{e}$. in the interpretation of Nature.

Do I then utterly exclude the speculative Reason from Theology? No! It is its office and rightfil privilege to determine on the negative truth of whatever we are required to believe. The Dortrine must not contradict any universal principle: for this would be a Doctrine that contradieted itself. Or Philosophy? No. It may be and has been the servant and pioneer of Faith by convincing the mind, that a doctrine is cogitable, that the soul can present the Idea to itself: and that if we determine to contemplate, or think of, the subject at all, so and in no other form can this be eflected. So far are both Logic and Philosophy to be receired and trusted. But the duty, and in some eases and for some persons even the right, of thinking on suljects beyond the bounds of sensible experience; the grounds of the real truth; the Life, the Substance, the Hope, the Love, in one worl, the Futh; these are Derivatives from the practical, moral, and spiritual Nature and Being of Man.

## APIIORISM III.

That Religion is designed to inprove the nature and faculties of Man, in order to the right governing of our actions, to the securing the peace and progress, external and internal, of Individuals and of Communities, and lastly, to the rendering us eapable of a more perfect state, eniitled the kingdom of God, to which the present Life is probationary-this is a truth which all who have truth only in view, will receive on its own evidence. If such then be the main end of Religion altogeth.
er (the improvoment namely of our nature and faculties), it is plain, that every part of Religion is to be judged by its relation to this main end. And since the Christian Seheme is Religion in its most perfect and effective Form, a revealed Religion, and therefore, in a special sense proceeding from that being who made us and knows what we are, of course therefore adapted to the needs and capabilities of Human Nature ; nothing can be a part of this holy faith that is not duly proportioned to this end. Extracted with slight alterations from Burnet's Preface to Vol. ii. of the Hist. of the Reformation.

## COMMENT.

This Aphorism should be borne in mind, whenever a theological Resolve is proposed to us as an article of Faith. Take, for instance, the Determinations passed at the Synod of Dort, concerning the Absolute Decrees of God in connexion with his Omniscience and Fore-knowledge. Or take the Decision in the Council of Trent on the Difference between the two kinds of Transubstantiation, the one in which both the Substance and the Accidents are changed, the same matter re-maining-as in the conversion of Water to Wine at Cana: the other, in which the Matter and Substance are changed, the Aecidents remaining unaltered, as in the Eucharist-this latter being Transubstantiation par eminence! Or rather take the still more tremendous Dogma, that it is indispensable to a saving Faith carefully to distinguish the one kind from the other, and to believe both, and to lelieve the necessity of believing both in order to Salvation! For each or either of these extra-scriptural Articles of Faith the preceding Aphorism supplies a safe criterion. Will the belief tend to the inprovement of any of my moral or intellectual faculties? But before I can be convinced that a Faculty will be improved, I must be assured that it exists. On all these dark sayings, therefore, of Dort or Trent, it is quite sufficient to ask, by what faculty, organ, or inlet of knowledge we are to assure ourselves, that the words mean any thing, or correspond to 16
any olject out of our own mind or even in it : unless indeed the mere craving and striving to think on, after all the materials for thinking have been exhausted, can he called an object. When a number of trust-worthy Persons assure me, that a portion of fluid which they saw to be Water, by some change in the fluid itself, or in their Senses, suddenly acquired the Colour, Taste, Smell, and exhilarating property of Wine, 1 perfectly understand what they tell me, and likewise by what faculties they might have come to the knowledge of the Fact. But if any one of the number not satisfied with my acquiescence in the Fact, should insist on my believing, that the Matter remained the same, the Substance and the Aceidents having been removed in order to make way for a different Substance with diferent Aceidents, I must entreat his permission to wait till I ean discover in myself any faculty, by which there can be presented to me a matter distiaguishable from Accidents, and a Substance that is different from both. It is true, I have a faculty of articulation; lout I do not see that it can he improved by my using it for the formation of words without meaning, or at best, for the utterance of Thoughts, that mean only the aet of so thinking, or of trying so to think. But the end of Religion is the improvement of our Nature and Faculties. Ergo, \&c. Q. E. D. I sum up the whole in one great practical Maxim. The Object of religious Contemplation, and of a truly spiritual Faith, is the ways of God to Max. Of the Workings of the Godhead, God himself has told us, My Ways are not as your ways, nor my Thoughts as your Thoughts.

## APIORISM IV.

THE CHARACTERISTIC DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE DISCIPLINF OF THE ANCIENT PHILOSOPHERS AND THE DISPENSATION OF THE GOSPEI.
By undeceiving, enlarging, and informing the Intellect, Philosophy sought to purify, and to clevate the Moral Character. Of course, those alone could receive the latter and incomparably greater Benefit, who by natural eapacity and favourable
unless indeed er all the matecallcd an object. sure me, that a by some change ly acquired the erty of Wine, 1 kewise by what dge of the Fact. ith my acquieslg , that the MatAccidents hava difflerent Subat his permission culty, by which uguishable from from hoth. It is lo not see that it mation of words ce of Thoughts, ying so to think. $t$ of our Nature up the whole in eligious Contemways of God to God himself has - my Thoughts as
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contingencies of Fortune were fit Recipients of the former. How small the number, we searcely need the evidence of History to assure us. Across the Night of Paganisu, Philosophy flitted on, like the Lanthorn-fly of the Tropics, a Light to itself, and an Ornament, but alas! no more than an ornament, of the surrounding Darkness.

Christianity reversed the order. By means aecessible to all, by inducements opecative on all, and by convictions, the grounds and materials of which all men might find in themselves her first step was to cleanse the Heart. But the benefit did not stop here. In preventing the rank vapours that steam up from the sorrupt Heart Christianity restores the Intellect likewise to its natural clearness. By relieving the mind from the distractions and importunities of the unruly passions, she improves the quality of the Understanding : while at the same time she presents for its contemplations Objects so great and so bright as cannot hut enlarge the Organ, by which they are contemplated. The Fears, the Hopes, tho Remembrances, the Anticipations, the inward and outward Experience, the Eelief and the Faith, of a Christian forn of themselves a Philosophy and a sum of Knowledge, which a Life spent in the Grove of Acatiemus, or the "painted Porch," could not have attained or collected. The result is contained in the fact of a wide and still widening Curistendom.
Yet I dare not say, that the effects have bren proportionate to the divine wisdom of the Scheme. Too soon did the Doctors of the Church forget that the Heart, the Moral Nature, was the Beginning and the End; and that Truth, Knowledge and Insight were comprehended in its expansion. This was the true and first apostasy-when in Council and Synod the divine Humanities of the Gospel gave way to speculative Systems, and Religion became a Science of Shadows under the name of Theology, or at best a bare Skeleton of Truth, without life or interest, alike inaccessible and unintelligible to the majority of Christians. For these therefore there remained only rites and ceremonies and spectacles, shows and semblances. Thus among the learned the substance of things hoped for (Heh. xi. 1.) passed off into Nolions; and for the Unlearned
the surfaces of Things became [52]Substance. The Christian world was for centuries divided into the Many, that did not think at all, and the Few who did nothing but think-both alike unreflecting, the one from defect of the Act, the other from the absence of an Object.

## APIIORISM V.

There is small chance of Truth at the goal where there is not child-like Hunility at the Starting-post.

## comment.

Humility is the safest Ground of Docility : and Docility the surest Promise of Docibility. Where there is no working of Self-love in the heart that secures a leaning beforehand; where the great Magnet of the Planet is not overwhelmed or obscured by partial masses of Iron in close neighbourhood to the Compass of the Judgment, though hidden or unnoticed; there will this great Desideratum be found of a child-like Humility. Do I then say, that I am to be influenced by no Interest? Far from it! There is an Interest of Truth: or how could there be a Love of Truth? And that a love of Truth for its own sake, and merely as Truth, is possible, my Soul bears witness to itself in its inmost recesses. But there are other Inter-ests-those of Coodness, of Beauty, of Utility. It would be a sorry proof of the Humility I am extolling, were I to ask for Angels' wings to overfly my own Human Nature. I exclude none of these. It is enough if the "lene clinamen," the gentle Bias, be given by no interest that concerns myself other than as I am a Man, and included in the great family of Mankind; but which does therefore especially concern ine, because being a common Interest of all men it must needs concern the very essentials of my Being, and because these easentials, as existing in me, are especially intrusted to my particular charge.

Widely different from this social and truth attracted Bias, different both in its nature and its effects, is the Interest conneeted with the desire of distinguishing yourself from other
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men, in order to be distinguished by them. Hoc reverâ est inter te et veritatem. This Interest does indeed stand between thee and truth. I might add between thee and thy own soul. It is searely more at variance with the love of truth than it is unfriendly to the attainment that deserves that name. By your own act you have appointed the Many as your Judges and Appraisers: for the anxiety to be admired is a loveless passion, ever strongest with regard to those by whom we are least known and least cared for, loud on the Hustings, gay in the Ball-room, mute and sullen at the family Fireside. What you have acquired by patient thought and cautious discrimination, demands a portion of the same effort in those who are to receive it from you. But Applause and Preference are things of Barter; and if you trade in them, Experience will soon teach you that there are easier and less unsuitable ways to win golden judgments than by at once taxing the patience and humiliating the self-opinion of your judges. To obtain your end, your words must be as indefinite as their Thoughts: and how vague and general these are even on objects of sense, the few who at a mature age have seriously set about the discipline of their faculties, and have honestly token stock, best know by recollection of their own state. To be admired you must make your auditors believe at least that they understand what you say; which, be assured, they never will, if it be worth understanding, or if you understand your own soul. But while your prevailing motive is to be compared and appreciated, is it credible, is it possible, that you should in earnest seek for a knowledge which is and must remain a hidden Light, a secret Treasure? Have you children, or have you lived among children, and do you not know, that in all things, in food, in medicine, in all their doings and abstainings they must believe in order to acquire a reason for their belici? But so is it with religious truths for all men. These we must all learn as children. The ground of the prevailing error on this point is the ignorance, that in spiritual concermments to helieve and to understand are not diverse things, but the same thing in different periods of its growth. Belief is the seed,
received into the will, of which the Understanding or Knowlelge is the Flower, and the thing believed is the fruit. UnLess ye believe (saith the Prophet) ye canot understand : and maless ye be humble as children, ye not only will not, but ye camnot believe. Of such therefore is the Kingdon of Heaven. Yea, blessed is the calamity that makes us humble: though so repugnant thereto is our nature, in our present state that after a while, it is to be feared, a second and sharper calamity would be wanted to cure us of, our pride in having becone so humble.
Lastly, there are among us, though fewer and less in fashion than among our ancestors, Persons who, like Shaftesbury, do not belong to "the herd of Epicurus," yet prefer a philosophic Paganism to the morality of the Gospel. Now it would conduee, methinks, to the child-like Hunility, we have been discoursing of, if the use of the term, Virtue, in that high comprehensive, and notional sense in which it was used ly the ancient Stoics, were abandoned, as a relic of Paganism, to these modern Pagans: and if Christians restoring the word to its origimal import, viz. Manhood or M uliness, used it exclusively to express the quality of Fortitude; Strength of Character in relation to the resistance opposed by Nature and the irrational Passions to the Dietates of Reason ; Energy of will in preserving the Line of Rectitude tense and firm against the warping forees and treacheries of Temptation. Surely, it were far less unseemly to value ourselves on this moral Strength than on Strength of Body, or even Strength of Intellect. But we will rather value it for ourselves: and bearing in mind the old adage, Quis custodiet ipsum Custodem? we will value it the more, yea, then only will we allow it true spiritual Worth, when we possess it as a gift of Grace, a boon of Merey undeserved, a fultiment of a free Promise ( 1 Corinth. x. 13.) What more is meant in this last paragraph, let the vencrable Hooken say for me in the following


## APIORISM VI.

What is Virtue but a Medicine, and Vice but a Wound?
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Vice but a Wound?

AlUORISMS ON SPIRITVAL RELIGION.
Yea, we have so often deeply wounded ourselves with Medicine, that (iod hath been fain to make wounds mediciuable; to cure by Vice where Virtue hath stricken; to sufler the just man to fall, that being raised he may be taught what powor it was which upheld him standing, I ant not afraid to affirm it boldly with St. Augustine, that Men puffed up through a proud Opinion of their own Sametity and lloliness receive a benefit it the hands of God, and are assisted with his Grace when with his Grace they are not assisted, hut permitted (and that griesously ) to transgress. Whereby, as they were through over-great liking of themselves supplanted (tripped up), so the dislike of that which did supplant the on may establish them afterwards the surer. Ask the very Soul of Peter, and it shall undoubtedly itself make you this answer: My eager protestations made in the gloy of my spiritual strength, I am ashamed of. But my shame and the Tears, with which my Presumption and my Weakness were bewailed, recur in the songs of my Thanksriving. My Strength had been my Ruin, my Fall hath proved my Stay. Sermon on the Nature of Pride, Hooken's Works, p. 521.

## APIIORISM 'll.

The Being and Providence of One Living God, Holy, Gracious, Merciful, the Creator and Preserver of all Things, and a Father of the Righteous; the Moral Law in 1 its utmost height, breadth and purity ; a State of lletribution after death; the ${ }^{2}$ Resurrection of the Dead; and a Day of Judgment-all these were known and received by the Jewish People, as establisheid articles of the National Faith, at or before the Proclaining of Christ by the Baptist. They are the ground-work of Christianity, and essentials in the Christian Faith, but not its characteristic and peculiar Doctrines : except indeed as they are confirmed, enlivened, realized and brought home to the whole Being of Man, Head, Heart, and Spirit, by the truths and influences of the Gospel.

Peculiar to Christianity are :
I. The belief that a Means of Salvation has been effected
and provided for the Human Race by the incarnation of the Son of God in the person of Jesus Christ ; and that his Life on earth, his Suflerings, Death, and Resurrection are not only proofs and manifestations, but likewise essential and effective parts of the great Redemptive Act, whereby also the Obstacle from the corruption of our Nature is rendered no longer insurmountable.
II. The belief in the possible appropriation of this benefit by Repentance and Faith, including the Aids that render an effective Faith and Repentance themselves possible.
III. The belief in the reception (by as many as "shall be Heirs of Salvation") of a living and spiritual Principle, a seed of Life capable of surviving this natural life, and of existing in a divine and immortal State.
IV. The belief in the awakening of the Spirit[53] in them that truly believe, and in the communion of the Spirit, thus awakened, with the Holy Spirit.
V. The belief in the accompanying and consequent gifts, graces, comforts, and privileges of the Spirit, which acting primarily on the heart and will cannot but manifest themselves in suitable works of Love and Obedience, i. e. in right acts with right affections, from right principtes.
Further, as Christians, we are taught, that these Works are the appointed signs and evidences of our Faith; and that under limitation of the power, the means, and the opportunities afforded us individually, they are the rule and measure, by which we are bound and enabled to judge, of what spirit we are : and all these together with the doctrine of the Fathers reproclaimed in the everlasting Gospel, we receive in the full assurance, that God beholds and will finally judge es with a merciful consideration of our infirmities, a gracious acceptance of our sincere though imperfect strivings, a forgiveness of our defects through the mediation, and a completion of our deficiencies by the perfect righteousness, of the Man Christ Jesus, even the Word that was in the beginning with God, and who, heing God, became Man for the redemption of Mankiud.
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While doing this, I was aware that the Positions, in the first paragraph of the preceding Aphorism, to which the numerical marks are affixed, will startle some of my Readers. Let the following sentences serve for the notes corresponding to the marks,

I Be you holy : tven as God is holy.-What more does he require of thee, $O$ man! than to do justice, love neerey, and walk humbly with the Lord thy God? To these summary passages from Moses and the Prophets (the first exhibiting the closed, the second the expanded, Hand of the Moral Law), I might add the Authorities of Grotius and other more orthodox and not less learned Divines, for the opinion, that the Lord's Prayer was a selection, and the famous Passage [The Hour is now coming, John v. 28, 29.] a citation by our Lord from the Liturgy of the Jewish Church. But it will be sufficient to remind the reader that the apparent difference between the prominent moral truths of the Old and those of the New Testament results from the latter having been written in Greek; while the conversations recorded by the Erangelists took place in Ilebrew or Syro-chaldaic. Hence it happened that where our Lord cited the original text, his Biographers substituted the Septuagint Version, while our English Version is in both instances immediate and literal-in the Old Testament from the Hebrew Original, in the New Testament from the freer Greek Translation. The text, "I give you a new commandment," has no connexion with the present subject.
${ }^{2}$ There is a current mistake on this point likewise, though this article of the Jewish Belief is not only asserted by St. Paul, but is elsewhere spoken of as common to the Twelve Tribes. The mistake consists in supposing the Pharisees to have been a distinct Sect, and in strangely over-rating the numher of the Sadducees. The former were distinguished not by holding, as matters of religious belief, articles different from the Jewish Church at large ; but by their pretenees to a more rigid orthodoxy, a more scrupulous performance. 'They were,
tions, in the first h the numerical eaders. Let the esponding to the
at more does he ove mercy, and these summary st exhibiting the e Moral Law), I ar more orthodox that the Lord's ge [The Hour is ur Lord from the e sufficient to reetween the promNew Testament in Greek; while ists took place in ened that where phers substituted Version is in both 1 Testament from nt from the freer a new commandbject. likewise, though ly asserted by St. on to the Twelve the Pharisees to er-rating the numstinguished not by les different from retences to a more nee. They were,
in short (if I may dare use a phrase which I distike as profine and denounce as uncharitable), the Evangelicals and strict Professors of the Day. The latter, the Sadducees, whose opinions much more nearly resembled those of the Stoics than the Epicureans (a remark that will appear paradoxieal to those only who have abstracted their notions of the Stoic Philosophy from Epictetus, Mark Antonine, and certain brilliant inconsistencies of Seneca), were a handful of rich men, ronanized Jews, not more numerous than Infidels among us, and held hy the People at large in at least equal Abhorrence. 'Their great argument was: that the Belief of a future State of rewards and punishments injured or destroyed the purity of the Moral Law for the more enlightened Classes, and weakened the influence of the Laws of the Land for the l'eople, the vulgar Multitude.

I will now suppose the Reader to have thoughtiully re-perused the Paragraph containing the Tencts peculiar to Christianity, and if he have his religious principles yot to form, 1 should expect.. to overhear a troubled Murmur: How can I comprehend this? How is this to he proved? 'To the first question I should ansiwer: Christianity is not a Theory, or a Speculation; but a Life. Not a Philosophy of Life, but a Life and a living process. To the sceond: Try 1t. It has been eighteen hundred Years in existence : and has one Individual left a record, like the following? [ 1 tried it; and it did not answer. I made the experiment faithfully according to the directions; and the result has been, a conviction of my own credulity.] Have you, in your own experience, met with any one in whose words you could place full confidence, and who has seriously affirmed, [I have given Christianity a fair trial. I was aware, that its promises were made only condilionally. But my heart hears me witness, that $I$ have to the utmost of my power complied with these conditions. Both outwardly
and in the discipline of my inward acts and affections, I have performed the duties which it enjoins, and I have used the means, which it prescribes. Yet my Assurance of its truth has received no increise. Its promises have not been fulfiled : and I repent me of my delusion!] If neither your own experience nor the History of almost two thousand years has presented a single testimony to this purport ; and if you have read and heard of many who have lived and died bearing witness to the contrary : and if you have yourself met with some one, in whom on any other point you would place unqualified trust, who has on his own experience made report to you, that "he is faithful who promised, and what he promised he has proved himself able to perform :" is it higotry, if I fear that the Unbelief, which prejudges and prevents the experiment, has its source elsewhere than in the uncorrupted judgment; that not the strong free Mind, but the enslaved Will, is the true original Infidel in this instance? It would not be the first time, that a treacherous Bosom-Sin had Suborned the Understandings of men to bear false witness against its avowed enemy, the right though unreccived Owner of the House, who had long warned it out, and waited only for its ejection to enter and take possession of the same.

I have elsewhere in the present Work, though more at large in the "Elements of Discourse" which, God permitting, will follow it, explained the difference between the Understanding and the Reason, by Reason meaning exclusively the speculative or scientific Power so called, the Nous or Mens of the Ancients. And wider still is the distinetion between the Understanding and the Spiritual Mind. But no Gift of Gool does or can contradict any other Gift, except by misuse or misdirection. Most readily therefore do I admit, that thete ean be no contrariety between Revelation and the Understanding; unless you call the fact, that the Skin, though sensible of the warmth of the Sun, can convey no notion of its figure, or its joyous light, or of the colors, it impresses on the elouds, a contraricty between the Skin and the Fye; or infer that the cutaneons and the optic nerves controdict cach other.
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But we have grounds to believe, that there are yet other Rays or Eflluences from the Sun, which neither Feeling nor Sight can apprehend, but which are to be inferred from the effects. And were it even so with regard to the Spiritual Sun, how would this contradict the Understanding or the Reasen? It is a sufficient proof of the contrary, that the Mysteries in question are not in the direction of the Understanding or the (speculative) Reason. 'They do not move on the same line or plane with them, and therefore cannot contradict them. But hesides this, in the Mystery that most immediately concerns the Believer, that of the birth into a new and spiritual life, the common sense and experienen of mankind come in aid of their faith. The analogous facts which we know to be true, not only facilitate the apprehension of the facts promised to us, and expressed by the same words in conjunction with a distinctive epithet; but being confessedly not less incomprehensible, the certain knowledge of the one disposes us to the belief of the other. It removes at least all ohjections to the truth of the doctrine derived from the mysteriousness of its suljeet. The Life we seek after, is a mystery; but so both in itself and in its origin is the Life we have. In order to meet this question, however, with minds duly prepared, there are two preliminary enquiries to be decided; the tirst respecting the purport, the second respecting the language of the Gospel.

First then of the purport, viz. what the Gospel does not, and what it does profess to be. The Gospel is not a system of Theology, nor a Syntagma of Theoretical propositions and conclusions for the enlargement of speculative knowledge, ethical or metaphysical. But it is a History, a series of Facts and Events related or announced. These do indeed, involve, or rather I should say they at the same time are, most important doetrinal Truths; but still Facts and Declaration of Facts.

Secondly of the language. This is a wide subjeet. But the point, to which 1 chiefly advert, is the necessity of thoroughly understanding the distinction between analogous and metaphorical language. Analogies are used in aid of Conviction: Metaphors, as means of Illustration. The language is
analogous, wherever a thing, power, or priaciple in a higher dignity is expressed by the same thing, power, or principle in a lower but mote known form. Such, for instance, is the language of John iii. 6. That which is born of the Flesh, is Flesh; that which is born of the Spirit, is Spirit. The latter half of the verse contains the fact asserted; the former half the analogous fact, by which it is rendered intelligible. If any man chouse to call this metaphorical or figurative, I ask him whether with Hobbs and Bolingbroke he applies the same rule to the moral attributes of the Deity? Whether he regards the divine Justice, for instance, as a metaphorical tern, a mere figure of speech? If he disclains this, then I answer, neither do I regard the words, born again, or spiritual life, as figures or metaphors. I have only to add, that these analogies are the naaterial, or (to speak chemically) the base, of Symbols and symbolical cxpressions; the nature of which as always tautegorical (i. e. expressing the same subject but with a difference) in contra-distinction from metaphors and similitudes, that are always allegorical (i. e. expressing a different subject but with a resemblanes) will be found explained at large in the Statesman's Manual, p. 35-38, [54].
Of metaphorical language, on the other hand, let the following be taken as instance and illustration. I an speaking, we will suppose, of an Act, which in its own nature, and as a producing and efficient cause, is tanscendent; but which produces sundry effects, each of which is the same in kind with an effect produced by a Cause well known and of ordinary occurrence. Now when I characterize or designate this transcendent Act, in Exclusive reference to these its effects, by a succession of names borrowed from their ordinary causes; not for the purpose of resdering the Act itself, or the manner of the Agency, conceivable, but in order to show the nature and magnitude of the Bencits received from it, and thus to excite the due admiration, gratitude, and love in the Receivers;-in this case 1 should be rightly described as speaking metaphorically. And in this case to confound the similarity in respect of the effects relatively to the Recipicnts with an identity in
respect of the causes or modes of causation relatively to the transeendent Act or the divine Agent, is a confusion of metaphor with analogy, and of figurative with literal; and has been and continues to be a fruitful source of superstition or enthusiasm in Believers, and of objections and prejudices to Infidels and Sceptics. But each of these points is worthy of a separate consideration: and apt occasions will be found of reverting to them severally in the following $\Lambda_{\mathrm{j}}$ phorisms or the"comments thereto attached.

## APIIORISM VIII.

Leiguton.
Faith elevates the soul not only above Sense and sensible things, hut above Reason itself. As Reason eorrects the errors which Sense might occasion, so supernatural Faith corrects the errors of natural reason judging according to sense.

## comment.

The Fditor's remarks on this aphorism from Archbishop I.eighton cannot be better introduced, or their purport more distinctly announced, than by the following sentence from Larrington, with no other change than was necessary to make the words express withont aid of the context, what from the context it is evident was the Writer's meaning. "The definition and proper character of Man-that, namely, which should contra-distinguish him from the Animals-is to be taken from his Reason rather than from his Understanding : in regard that in other creatures there may be something of Understanding but there is nothing of Reason." Sce the Friend, vol. i. p. 263-277; and the Appendix (Note C.) to the Statesman's Manual, p. [55.]

Sir Thomas Brown, in his Religio Medici, complains, that there are not impossibilities enough in Religion for his active faith; and adopts by choice and in free preference such interpretations of certain texts and declarations of Holy Writ, as place them in irreconcilable contradiction to the demonstrations of science and experience of mankind, because (says he) I love to lose myself in a mystery, and 'tis my solitary recreation to pose my apprehension with those involved enigmas and riddles
of the Trinity and Inearmation-" and because he delights (as thinking" it no vulgar part of faith) to believe a thing not only ahove but contrary to Reason, and against the evidence of our proper senses. For the worthy knight could answer all the objections of the Devil and Reason (!!) "with the odd resolution he had learnt of Tertulian: Certum est quia impossibile est. It is certainly true because it is quite imposible !" Now this I call Ulitra-fidianism[56].
Again, there is a scheme constructed on the principle of retaining the social sympathies, that attend on the name of Believer, at the least possible expenditure of Belief-a scheme of picking and choosing Seripture texts for the support of doctrimes that had been learned heforehand from the higher oracle of Common Sense; which, as applied to the truths of Religion, means the popular part of the philosophy in fashion. Of course, the seheme differs at different times and in different Individuals in the number of articles excluded; but, it may always be recognized ly this permanent character, that its object is to draw religion down to the Believer's intellect, instead of raising his intellect up to religion. And this extreme I call Minimpidianism.

Nowif there be one Preventive of both these extremes more efficacious than another, and preliminary to all the rest, it is the being made fully aware of the diversity of Reason and Understanding. And this is the more expedient, because theugh there is no want of authorities ancient and modern for the distinction of the faculties and the distinct appropriation of the terms, yet our best writers too often confound the one with the other. Even Lord Bacon himself, who in his Novum Organum has so incomparably set forth the nature of the difference, and the unfitness of the latter faculty for the objects of the former, does nevertheless in sundry places use the term Reason where he means the Understanding, and sometimes, though less frequently, Understanding for Reason. In consequence of thus confounding the two terms, or rather of wasting both words for the expression of one and the same faculty, he left himself no arpropriate term for the other and higher gift of Reason, and
use he delights (as eve a thing not onst the evidence of $t$ could answer all ' with the odd reso$m$ est quia imposquite inposible!"
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was thus under the necessity of adopting fantastic and mystical phrases, ex. gr. the dry light (lumen siceum), the lurifie vision, \&c., meaning thereby nothing more than Reason in con-tra-distinction from the Understanding. Thus too in the preceding Aphorism, by Reason Leighton means the human Understanding, the explanation amexed to it being (by a noticeable coincidence) word for word the very definition which the Founder of the Critieal Philosophy gives of the Understand-ing-namely, " the Fuculty judging according to Sense."

On the contrary, Reason is the Power of universal and necessary Convietions, the Source and Substance of Truths above Sense, and having their evidence in themselves. Its presence is always marked by the necessity of the position affirmed : this necessity being conditional, when a truth of Reason is applied to Facts of Experience or to the rulcs and maxims of the Understanding, but absolute, when the subject matter is itself the growth or offspring of the Reason. Hence arises a distinction in the Reason itself, derived from the different mode of applying it, and from the objects to which it is directed : accor; ding as we consider one and the same gift, now as the ground of formal prineiples, and now as the origin of ideas. Contem-. plated distinctively in reference to formal (or abstract) truth, it is the speculative Reason ; but in reference to actual (or moral) truth, as the fountain of ideas and the Light of the Conscience, we name it the practical Reason. Whenever by selfsubjection to this universal Light, the Will of the Individual, the particular Will, has become a Will of Reason, the man is regenerate: and Reason is then the Spirit of the regenerated man, whereby the Person is capable of a quickening intercommunion with the Divine Spirit. And herein consists the mystery of Redemption, that this has been rendered possible for us. "And so it is written: the first man Adam was made a living soul, the last Adam a quickening Spirit." ( 1 Cor. xv. 45). We need only compare the passages in the writings of the Apostles, Paul and John, concerning the Spirit and Spiritual Gifts, with those in the Proverbs and in the Wisdom of Solomon respecting Reason, to be convinced that the terms
are synonymous. In this at once most comprehensive and most appropriate acceptation of the word, Reason is precminently spiritual, and a Spirit, even our Spirit, through an effluence of the same grace ly which we are privileged to say Our Father!

On the other hand, the Judgments of the Understanding are binding only in relation to the objects of our Senses, which we: reflect under the forms of the Understanding. It is, as Leighton rightly defines it, "the Faculty judging according to Sense." Hence we add the epithet humem, without tautology: and speak of the human Understanding, in disjunction from that of Beings higher or lower than man. But there is, in this sense, no human Reason. There neither is nor can be but one Reason, one and the same: even the Light that lighteth every man's individual Understanding, (Discursus) and thus maketh it a reasonable Understanding, Discourse of Reason-" one only, yet manifold; it goeth through all understanding, and remaining in itself regenerateth all other powers." (Wisdom of Solomon, c. 8). The same writer calls it likewise "an influence from the Glory of the Almighty," this boing one of the names of the Messiah, as the Logos, or co-eternal Filial Word. And most noticeable for its coincidence is a fragment of Heraclitus, as I have indeed already noticed elsewhere. "To discourse rationally it behooves us to derive strength from that which is common to all men : for all human Understandings are nourished by the one Divine Word."
Beasts, we have said, partake of Understanding. If any man deny this, there is a ready way of settling the question. Let him give a careful perusal to Hüber's two small volumes, on Bees and on Ants (especially the latter), and to Kirby and Spence's Introduction to Entomology: and one or other of two things must follow. He will either change his opinion as irreconcilable with the facts: or he must deny the facts, which yet I cannot suppose, inasmuch as the denial would be tantamount to the no less extravagant than uncharitable assertion, that Hüber, and the several eminent Naturalists, French and English, Swiss, German, and Italian, by whom Hüber's ob-
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servations and experiments have been repeated and confirmed, had all conspired to impose a series of falschoods and fairytales on the world. I see no way at least, hy which he can get out of this dilemma, but by over-leaping the mbintted links and Fences of all legitimate Disenssion, and either transferring to the word, Understanding, the delinition already uppropriated to Reason, or defining Understanding in genere by the specific and uccessional perfections which the human Understanding derives from its co-existence with Reason and Freewill in the same individual person: in plainer words, from ..s being excreised by a self-conseiuns and responsible Creature. And after all, the smpporter of Harrington's position would have a right to ask him, by what other name he would designete the faculty in the instances referred to? If it be not Understanding, what is it?

In no former part of this volume has the Editor felt the same anxiety to obtain a patient Attention. For he does not hesitate to avow, that on his success in establishing the validity and importance of the distinetion between Reason and Understanding, the rests his hopes of carrying the Reader along with him through all that is to follow. Let the Student but clearly see and comprehend the diversity in the things themselves, the expediency of a correspondent distinction and appropriation of the words will follow of itself. Turn back for a moment to the Aphorism, and having re-perused the lirst paragraph of this Comment thereon, regard the two following narratives as the illustration. I do not say proof: for I take these from a multitude of facts equally striking for the one only purpose of placing my meaning out of all doubt.
I. Hüber put a dozen Humble-bees under a Bell-glass along with a comb of about ten silken cocoons, so unequal in height as not to be eapable of standing steadily. To remedy this two or three of the Humble-bees got upon the comb, stretehed themselves over its edge, and with their heads downwards fixed their fore feet on the table on which the comb etood, and so with their hind feet kept the comb from falling. When these were weaty others took their places. In this constrained
and painful posture, fresh bees relieving their comrades at intervals, and each working in its turn, did these affectionate little insects support the comb for nearly three days, at the end of which they had prepared sutlicient wax to build pillars with. But these pillars having accidentally got displaced, the bees had recourso again to the same maneuvre (or rather pedouvre), till Hüber pitying their hard case, \&c.
II. "1 shall at present describe the operations of a single ant that I observed sutficiently long to satisfy my curiosity
"One rainy day, I observed a Labourer digging the gronnd near the aperture which gave entrance to the ant-hill. It placed in a heap the several fragments it had scraped up, and formed them into small pellets, which it deposited here and there upon the nest. It returned constantly to the same place, and appeared to have a marked design, for it laboured with ardour aud perseverance. I remarked a slight furrow, excavatod in the ground in a straight line, representing the plan of a path or gallery. 'The labourer, the whole of whose movements fell under $m y$ immediate observation, gave it greater depth and breadth, and cleared ont its borders: and 1 saw at length, in which I could not he deceived, that it had the intention of establishing an avenue which was to lead from one of the stories to the under-gromnd chambers. 'This path, which was ahont two or three inches in length, and formed by a single ant, was opened above and bordered on each side by a buttress of earth; its eoncavity en forme de gouttiere was of the most perfect regularity, for the architect had not left an atom too much. The work of this ant was so well followed and understood, that I could almost to a certainty guess its next proceeding, and the very fragment it was about to remove. At the side of the opening where this path terminated, was a sccond opening to which it was necessary to arrive by some road. The same ant engaged in and executed alone this undertaking. It furrowed out and opened another path, parallel to the first, leaving between each a little wall of three or four lines in height. Those ants who lay the fommation of a wall, a chamber, or mallery, from working separately occasion now amd then a wat
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of coincidence in the parts of the same or dififerent oljects. Such examples are of no unfrequent oceurrence, but they hy no means embarrass them. What follows proves that the workman, on discovering his error, knew how to rectify it. A wall had been erected with the view of sustaining a vaulted ceiling, still incomplete, that had been projected from the wall of the opposite chamber. 'The workman who began constructing it, had given it too little elevation to meet the opposite partition upon which it was to rest. Had it beencontinued on the original plan, it must infallibly have met the wall at about one half of its height, and this it was necessary to nvoid. This state of things very forcilly claimed my attention, when one of the ants arriving at the place, and visiting the works, appeared to be struck by the difficulty which presented itself; but this it as soon obviated, hy taking down the eeiling and raising the wall upon which it reposed. It then in my presence, constructed a new ceiling with the fragments of the former one."-Hiber's Nat. Hist. of Ants, p. 38-41.
Now 1 assert, that the faculty manifested in the acts here narrated does not differ in kind from Understanding, and that it does so differ from Reason. What I conceive the former to he, Physiologically considered, will be shown hereafter. In this place I take the Understanding as it exists in Men, and in exclusive reference to its intelligential functions; and it is in this sense of the word that $I$ am to prove the necessity of con-tra-distinguishing it from Reason.

Premising then, that two or more Suljects having the same essential characters are said to fall under the same General Definition, I lay it down, as a self-evident truth, (it is, in fact, an identical proposition), that whatever subjects fall under one and the same General Definition are of one and the same kind: consequently, that which does not fall under this definition, must differ in kind from each and all of those that do. Difference in degree does indeed suppose sameness in kind: and difference in kind precludes distinction from differences of degree. Heterogenet non comparari ergo nee distinsui possum. The inattention to this Rule gives rise to the
numerous Sophisms comprised by Aristotle under the head of
 falsely applying to $X$ what had been truly asserted of $A$, and might have been true of $X$ had it differed from $A$ in its degree only. The sophistry consists in the omission to notice what not being noticed will be supposed not to exist; and whero the silence respecting the difference in kind is tantamount to an assertion that the difference is merely in degree. But the fraud is especially gross, where the heterogencous subject, thus clandestinely slipt in, is in its own nature insusceptible of degree : such as, for instance, Certainty or Circularity, conirasted with Strength, or Magnitude.

To apply these remarks for our present purpose, we have only to describe Understanding and Reason, each by its charaeteristic qualities. The conparison will show the difference.

## UNDERSTANDING.

1. Understanding is diseursive.
2. The Understanding in all its judgments refers to some other Faculty as, its ultimate Authority.
3. Understanding is the Faculty of Reflection.
reason.
4. Reason is lixed.
5. The Reason in all its decisions appeals to itself, as the ground and substance of their truth. (Hebreves, vi. v. 13).
6. Reason of Contemplation. Reason indeed is fat nearer to sense than to Understanding: for Reason (says our great Hooker) is a direet Aspect of 'Truth, an inward Beholding, having a similar relation to the Intelligible or Spiritual, as Sevse has to the Material or Phenomenal.

The Result is, that neither falls under the definition of the other. They differ in kind : and had my object been confined to the establishment of this lact, the preceding Colnmens would have superseded all further disquisition. But l have ever in
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view the especial interests of my youthful Readers, whose reflective power is to be cultivated, as well as their particular reflections to be called forth and guided. Now the main chance of their reflecting on religious subjects aright, and of their attaining to the contemplation of spiritual truths at all, rests on their insight into the nature of this disparity still more than on their conviction of its existence. I now, therefore, proceed to a brief analysis of the Understanding, in elucidation of the definitions already given.
The Uuderstanding then (considered exclusively as an organ of human intelligence), is the Faculty by which we reflect and generalize. Take, for instance, any Object consisting of many parts, a House, or a Group of Honses: and if it be contemplated, as a Whole, i.e. (as many constituting a One), it forms what in the technical language of Psyehology is calied a total impression. Among the various component parts of this, we direct our attention especially to such as we recollect to have noticed in other total impressions. Then, by a voluntary Act we withhold our attention from all the rest to reflect exclusively on these : and these we henceforward use as common characters, by virtue of which the several Objects are referred to one and the same sort,[57]. Thus, the whole Process may be reduced to three acts, all depending on and supposing a previous impression on the Senses : first, the appropriation of our Attention; $\boldsymbol{2}$. (and in order to the continuance of the first ) Abstraction, or the voluntary withholding of the Attention . and 3. Gencralization. And these are the proper Functions of the Understanding: and the power of so doing is what we mean when we say we possess Understanding, or are created with the Faculty of Understanding.
[It is obvious, that the third Function includes the act of comparing one object with another. In a note (for, not to interrupt the argument, I avail myself of this most useful contrivance), I have shown, that the act of comparing supposes in the comparing Faculty certain inherent Forms, that is, Modes of Reflecting not referable to the Objerts reflected on, but pre-determined by the Constitution and (as it were) me-
chanism of the Understanding itself. And under some one or other of these Forms [58], the Resemblances and Differences must be subsumed in order to be conceivable, and it fortiori therefore in order to be comparable. The Senses do not compare, but mercly furnish the materials for comparison. But this the Reader will find explained in the Note : and will now cast his eye back to the sentence immediately preceding this parenthesis].

Now when a person speaking to us of any particular Object or Appearance sefers it by means of some common character to a known class (which he does in giving it a name), we say, that we understand him; $i, e$, we understand his words. The Name of a thing, in the original sense of the word Name, (Nomen, Noupevov, ro intclligibile, id quod intelligitur) expresses that which is understood in an appearance, that which we place (or make to sland) under it, as the condition of its real existence, and in proof that it is not an accident of the Senses, or aflection of the Individual, not a phantom or Apparition, $i$. e. an Appearance that is only an Appearance. (See Gen. ii. 19, 20. Thus too, in Psalm xx. v. 1. and in fifty other places of the Bible, the identity of nomen with numen, i. e. invisible power and presence, the nomen substantivum of all real Ob jects, and the ground of their reality, independent of the Affections of Sense in the Percipient). In like manner, in a connected succession of Names, as the Speaker passes from one to the other, we say that we understand his discoutrse ( $i$. e. discursio intellectûs, discursus from discurso or discurro, to course or pass rapidly from one thing to another). Thus, in all instances, it is words, names, or, if images, yet images used as words or names, that are the alone subjects of Understanding. In no instance do we understand a thing in itself; but only the name to which it is referred. Sometimes indeed, when several classes are recalled conjointly, we identify the words with the Object-though by courtesy of idiom rather than in strict propricty of language. Thus, we may say that we understand a Rainhow, when recalling successively the snveral Names for the several sorts of Colours, we know that
der some one or $s$ and Differences ble, and à fortiori e Senses do not comparison. But ste : and will now ly preceding this
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they are to be applied to one and the same Phanomenon, at once distinctly and simultaneonsly; but even in common parlance we should not say this of a single colour. No one would say he understands Red or Bluc. He sees the Colour, and had seen it before in a vast number and variety of objects; and he understands the word red, as referring his fancy or memory to this his collective experience.
If this be so and so it most assuredly is, if the proper functions of the understanding be that of generalizing the notices received from the Senses in order to the construction of Names; of referring particular notices (i. e. impressions or sensations) to their proper Name ; and vice versâ, names to their correspondent class or kind of Notices-then it follows of necessity, that the understanding is truly and aceurately defined in the words of Leighton and Kant, a Faculty judging according to Sense.

Now whether in defining the speculative Reason (i.e. the Reason considered abstractedly as an intellective Power) we call it " the source of necessary and universal Principles, according to which the Notices of the Senses are either affirmed or denied;" or describe it as "the Power by which we are enabled to draw from particular and contingent Appearances universal and necessary conclusions [59]: it is equally evident that the two definitions differ in their essential characters, and consequently (by Axiom, p. 142) the subjects differ in kind.
Q. E. D.

The dependence of the Understanding on the representations of the Senses, and its consequent posteriority thereto, as contrasted with the independenec and antecedency of Reason, are strikingly exemplified in the l'tolemaic System (that truly wonderful produet and highest boast of the Faculty, judging according to the Senses!) compared with the Newtonian, as the Offspring of a yet higher Power, arranging, correcting, and annulling the representations of the Senses according to its own inherent Laws and constitutive Ideas.

## APHORISM IX.

Emiton.
In Wonter all Philosophy began: in Wonder it ends: and 19

Admiration fills up the interspace. But the first Wonder is the Offpring of lgnorance : the last is the Parent of Adoration. The First is the bith-throe of our knowledge: the Last is its euthanasy and apotheosis.

SEQUEL $F$ : OK TIIOUGHTG SUGGESTED BT THE PHECEDING APHORISM.
As in respect of the first Wonder we are all on the same Level, how comes it that the philosophic mind should in all ages be the privilege of a Few? The most obvious reason is this: The Wonder takes place before the period of Reflection, and (with the great Mass of Mankind) long before the Individual is capable of direeting his attention freely and consciously to the Feeling, or even to its exciting Causes. Surprise (the form and dress which the Wonder of Ignorance usually puts on) is worn away, if not precluded, by Custom and Familiarity. So is it with the Objects of the Senses, and the ways and fashions of the World around us: even as with the Beat of our own hearts, which we notice only in moments of Fear and Perturbation. But with regard to the concerns of our inward Being, there is yet another cause that aets in concert with the power in Custom to prevent a fair and equal exertion of reflective Thought. The great fundamental Truths and Doctrines of Religion, the existence and attributes of God, and the Life after Death, are in Christian Countries taught so early, under such circumstances, and in such close and vital association with whatever makes or marks reality for our infant minds, that the words ever after represent sensations, feelings, vital assurances, sense of reality-rather than thoughts, or any distinct conception. Associated, I had almost said identified, with the parental Voice, Look, Touch, with the living warmth and pressure of the Mother, on whose lap the Child is first made to kneel, within whose palms its little hands are folded, and the motion of whose eyes its eyes follow and imitate- (yea, what the blue sky is to the Mother, the Mother's upraised Eyes and Brow are to the Child, the Type and Symbol of an invisible Heaven!)-from within and from with-
ne first Wonder is Parent of Adoraknowledge : the
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out, these great First Truths, these good and gracious 'Tidings, these holy and humanizing Spells, in the preconiormity to which our very humanity may be said to consist, are so infused, that it were but a tame and inaderuate expression to say, we all take them for granted. At a later period, in Youth or early Manhood, most of us, indeed, (in the higher and middle classes at least) read or hear certain Puoors of these truthswhich we commonly listen to, when we listen at all, with much the same feelings as a popular Prince on his Coronation Day, in the centre of a fond and rejoicing Nation, may be supposed to hear the Champion's challenge to all the Non-existents, that deny or dispute his Rights and Royalty. In lart, the order of Proof is most often reversed or transposed. As far, at least, as I dare judge from the goings on in my own mind, when with keen delight I first read the works of Derham, Niewentiet, and Lyonet, I should say, that the full and life-like conviction of a gracious Creator is the Proof (at all events, wioms the office and answers all the purpose oi a proof) of tae wisdom and benevolence in the construction of the Creature.

Do I blame this? Do I wish it to be otherwise? God forhial! It is only one of its accidental, but too frequent, consequences, of which 1 complain, and against which 1 protest. I regret nothing that tends to make the light become the Life of men, even as the Life in the eternal Word is their alone true light. But I do regret, that in after years-when by occasion of some new dispute on some old heresy, or any other accident, the attention has for the first time been distinctly attracted to the superstructure raised on these fundamental truths, or to truths of later revelation supplemental of these and not less impor-tant-all the doubts and difficulties, that cannot hut arise where the Understanding, "the mind of the flesh," is made the measure of spiritual things; all the sense of strangeness and seeming contradiction in terms; all the Marvel and the Mystery that belong equally to both; are first thought of and applied in objection exclusively to the latter. I would disturb no man's faith in the great articles of the (falsely so called) Religion of Nature. But before the man rejects, and ralls on
other men to reject, the revelations of the Gospel and the Religion of all Christendom, I would have him place himself in the state and under all the privations of a Simonides, when on the fortieth day of his meditation the sage and philosophic Poet abandoned the Problem in despair. Ever and anon he seemed to have hold of the truth; but when he asked himself, what he meant by it, it escaped from him, or resolved itself into meanings, that destroyed each other. I would have the Sceptic, while yet a Sceptic only, seriously consider whether a Doctrine, of the truth of which a Socrates could obtain no other assurane than what he derived from his strong wish that it sliould be true; or that which Plato found a Mystery hard to discover, and when discovered, communicable only to the fewest of men; can, consonantly with History or Common Sense, be classed among the Articles, the belief of which is ensured to all men by their mere common sense? Whether, without gross outrage to fact, they can be said to constitute a Religion of nature, or a Natural Theology antecedent to Revelation or superseding its necessity? Yes! in prevention (for there is little chance, I fear, of a cure) of the pugnacious dogmatism of partial Reflection, I would prescribe to every man, who feels a commencing alienation from the Catholic Faith, and whose studies and attainments authorise him to argue on the subject at all, a patient and thoughtful perusal of the arguments and representations which Bayle supposes to have passed through the mind of Simonides. Or I should be fully satisfied if I could induce these Eschewers of Mystery to give a patient, manly, and impartial perusal to the single Treatise of Pomponatius, De Fato[60].

When they have fairly and satisfactorily overthrown the objections and cleared away the difficulties urged by this sharpwitted Italian against the Doctrines which they profess to retain, then let them commence their attack on those which they rejeet. As far as the supposed irrationality of the latter is the ground of Argument, 1 am much deceived if on reviewing their forces they would not find the ranks woefully thinned by the suceess of their own fire in the preceding Engagement-
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unless, indeed, by pure heat of Controversy, and to storm the lines of their Antagonists, they can bring to life again the Arguments, which they had themselves killed off in the defence of their own positions. In vain shall we seek for any other mode of meeting the broad facts of the scientific Epicurean, or the requisitions and queries of the ali-analysing l'yrrhonist, than hy challenging the tribunal to which they appcal, as incompetent to try the question. In order to non-suit the infulel Plaintil;, we must remove the cause from the Faculty, that judges according to Sense, and whose judgments, therefore, are valid ouly on objects of Sense, to the Superior Courts of Conscience and intuitive Reason! "The words I speak unto you, are Spivit," and such only "are life," i. e. have an inward and actual power abiding in them.
But the same truth is at once Shield and Bow. The Shait of Atheism glances aside from it to strike and pierce the breastplate of the Heretic. Well for the Latter, if plucking the weapon from the wound he recognizes an arrow from his own Quiver, and abandons a cause that connects him with such Confederates! Without further rhetoric, the sum and substance of the Argument is this: an insight into the proper functions and subaltern rank of the Understanding may not, indeed, disarm the Psilanthropist of his metaphorical (ilosses, or of his Versions fresh from the forge and with no other stamp than the private mark of the individual Manufacturer; but it will deprive him of the only rational pretext for having recourse to tools so liable to abuse, and of such perilous exanple.

## comment.

Since the preceding pages were composed, and during an interim of depression and disqualilication, I heard with a delight and an interest, that I might without hyperbole call medicinal, that the contra-distinction of Understanding from Reason, for which during twenty years I have been contending, "easting my bread upon the Waters" with a perseverance, which in the existing state of the public taste nothing but the
decpest conviction of its importance could have inspired-has been lately adopted and sametioned by the present distinguished Professor of Anatomy, in the Course of Lectures given hy him at the Royal College of Surgeons, on the Zoologieal part of Natural History; and if 1 an rightly informed, in one of the cloquent and impressive introductory. Diseourses. In explaining the Nature of Instinet, as deduced from the actions and tendencies of animals successively presented to the Observation of the Comparative Physiologist in the ascending Scale of Organic Life-or rather, I should have said, in an attempt to determine that precise import of the Term, which is required by the faets[61]-the Professor explained the nature of what I have elsewhere called the Adaptive Power, i. c. the faculty of adapting means to proximate ends. [N. B. I mean here a relative end-that which relatively to one thing is an end, though relatively to some other it is itself a means. It is to be regretted, that we have no single word to express these ends, that are not the end: for the distinction between these and an end in the proper sense of the term is an important one.] The Professor, I say, not only explained, first, the Nature of the Adaptive Power in genere, and, secondly, the distinet character of the same Power as it exists specifically and exclusively in the human being, and aepuires the name of Understanding; but he did it in a way which gave the whole sum and substance of my convictions, of all I had so long wished, and so often, but with such inperfect success, attempted to convey, frec from all semblance of Paradoxy, and from all occasion of offence-omuem offendiculi[62] ansam preeidens. It is, indeed for the fragmentary reader only that I have any scruple. In those who have had the paticuce to accompany me so far on the up-hill road to manly Principles, I can have no reason to guard against that disposition to hasty offence from Anticipation of Consequences, that faithless and loveless spirit of fear which plunged Galileo into a Prison[63]-a spirit most unworthy of an educated man, who ought to lave learnt that the Nistakes of scientific men have never injured Christianity, while every now truth discovered by them has
ave inspired-has esent distinguishof Lectures given on the Zoological informed, in one y Discourses. In d from the actions sented to the Ob in the ascending lave said, in an athe Term, which is plained the nature ice Power, i. e. the [N. B. I mean to one thing is an self a means. It is ril to express these ion between these rm is an important aned, first, the Na, secondly, the disists specifically and es the name of Untave the whole sum had so long wished, cess, attempted to $x y$, and from all ocansam precidens. nly that I have any ience to accompany ineiples, I can have on to hasty offence ithless and loveless Prison[63]-a spiwho ought to have have never injured wered by them has
either added to its evidence, or prepared the mind for its reeeption.
on ingtinct in connexion with the understanming.
It is evident that the definition of a Genus or Class is an alequate definition only of the lowest epecies of that Genus: for each higher species is distinguished from the lower by some additional character, whiie the General Defmition includes only the characters common to all the Species. Consequently it describes the lowest only. Now I distinguish a Genus or kind of Powers under the name of Adaptive Power, and give as its generic definition-the Power of selecting, and adapting means to proximate ends; and as an instance of the lowest species of this Genus, I take the stomach of a Caterpillar. I ask myself, under what words I can gencralize the action of this Organ; and I see, that it selects and adapis the appropriate means ( $i$. $e$. the assimilable part of the vegetable congesta) to the proxinate end, $i$. $e$. the growth or reproduction of the Insect's Body. This we call vital power, or vita propria of the Stomach; and this being the lowest species, its definition is the same with the definition of the kind.

Well! from the Power of the Stomach I pass to the Power exerted by the whole animal. I trace it wandering from spot to spot, and plant to plant, till it finds the appropriate vegetable; and again on this chosen vegetable, I mark it seeking out and fixing on the part of the plant, bark, leaf, or petal, suited to its nourishment: or (should the animal have assumed the butterfly form), to the deposition of its eggs, and the sustentation of the future Larva. Here I see a power of selecting and adapting weans to proximate ends accorling to circumslances: and this higher species of Adaptive Power we call Instinct.
Lastly, I reflect on the facts narrated and described in the preceding extracts from Hüber, and sec a power of selecting and adapting the proper means to the proximate ends, according to varying circumstances. And what shall we call this yet higher species? We name the former, hnstinet: we must call this Imitinctive Intelligence.

Here then we have three Powers of the same kind, Life, Instinct, and instinctive Intelligence: the essential characters that define the genus existing equally in all three. But in addition to these, I find one other character common to the highest and lowest: viz. that the purposes are all manifestly pre-determined by the peculiar organization of the Animals; aund thongh it may not he possible to discover any such immediate dependency in all the Aetions, yet the Actions being determined by the purroses, the result is equivalent: and hoth the Actions and Purposes are all in a necessitated reference to the preservation and continuance of the particular Animal or of the Progeny. There is selection, but not choice: volition rather than Will. The possible knowledge of a thing, or the desire to have the thing representable by a distinet correspondent Thought, does not, in the animal, suffice to render the thing an olyject, or the ground of a purpose. I select and adapt the proper means to the separation of a stone from a rock, which I neither can, or desire to, make use of for food, shelter, or ornament: because, pethaps, I wish to measure the angles of its primary erystals, or perhaps, for nobetter reason than the apparent difficully of loosening the stone-stat pro ratione Voluntas-and thus make a motive out of the absence of all motive, and a reason out of the arbitrary will to act without any reason.

Now what is the conclusion from these premises? Evidently this: that : f I suppose the Adaptive Power in its highest species or form of Instinctive Intelligence to co-exist with Reason, Free will, and Self-conseiousness, it instantly becomes enderstanding: in other words, that Understanding differs indeed from the noblest form of Instinct, hat not in itself or in its own essential properties, hut in consequence of its co-existence with far higher Powers of a diverse kind in one and the same Sulject. Instinet in a rational, responsible, ard selfconseious Animal, is Understanding.

Such I apprehend to have been the Professor's View and Exposition of Instinct-and in confirmation of its truth, 1 would merely request my Readers, from the numerous well-authen-
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ticated instances on record, to recall some one of the extaordinary actions of Dogs for the preservation of their Masters' lives, and even for the avenging of their deaths. In these instanees we have the third species of the Adaptive lower, in connexion with an apparently moral end-with an enel in the proper sense of the word. Here the Adaptive lower co-exists with a purpose apparently voluntur:/, and the action seems neither pre-detemined by the orgnization of the Animal, nor in any direct reference to his own preservation, or to the continuance of his race. It is united with an imposing semblance of Gratitude, Fidelity, and disinterested Love. We not only value the faithful brute; we attribute worth to him. This, I admit, is a problem, of which I have no solution to offer. One of the wisest of uninspired men has not hesitated to declare the Dog a great mystery, on account of this dawning of a moral nature unacompanied by any the least evidence of Reason, in whichever of the two senses we interpret the word-whether as the praclical Reason, i. e. the power of proposing an u'timate end, the deteminability of the Will by meas: or as the sciential Reason, i. e. the faculty of concluding universal and necessary truths from particutar mol contingent appearaliees. But in a question respecting the possesion of Reason, the absence of all proof is tantamount to a proof of the contrary. It is, however, liy no means equally clear to me, that the Dog may not possess an mulogom of Words, which I have elsewhere shown to he the proper objeets of the "Faculty, judging according to Sensc."

But to return to my purpose: I entreat the Reader to reflect on any one faet of this kind, whether occurring in his own experience, or selected fiom the numerous anedotes of the 1)og preserved in the writings of \%oologiste. I will then confidently appeal to him, whether it is in his power not to consider the faculty displayed in these actions as the same in kind with the Understanding, however inferior in degree. Or should he even in these instances prefer calling it Instinct, and this in contra-distinction from Cuderstauding, I call on him to point out the boundary between the two, the chasm or par-
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fition-wall that divides or separates the one from the other If he can, he will have done what none before him have been able to do, though many and eminent men have tried hard for it: and my recantation shall be among the first trophies of his suceess. If he cannot, I must inter that he is controlled by his dread of the consequences, by an apprehension of some injury resulting to Religion or Morality from this opinion; and I shall console myself with the hope, that in the sequel of this work he will find proofs of the direet contrary tendency. Not only is this view of the Understanding, as dillering in degree from lustinet and in kind from Reasom, innocent in its possihle inlluences on the religious character, but it is an indispensible preliminary to the removal of the most formidahle obstaeles to an intelligent Belief of the pecaliar Doctrines of the Gospel, of the characteristic Articles of the Christian Faith, with which the Advocates of the truth in Christ have to contend; the evil heart of Unbelicf alone exeepted.

## BEFLECTIONS BY THE EDITOA INTRODCCTORY TO APIORISM TIE OENTIL.

The most mementous question a man can ask is, Have 1 a Saviour! And yet, as far as the iudividual Querist is conecrned it is premature and to no purpose, except another guestion has been previously put and answered (alas! too generally put alter the wounded Conscience has already given the anawer!) ciz. Have I any need of a Saviour? For him who neds none, (O, bitter irony of the Evil Spirit, whose whispers the proud Soul takes for its own thoughts, and knows not how the Tempter is scoffing the while!) there is none, as long as he feels no need. On the other hand, it is scarce possible to have answered this question in the allirmative, and not askfirst, in what the necessity consists? secondly, whence it proceeded? and, thirlly, how far the answer to this second question is or is not enntained in the answer to the first! I entreat the intelligent Reader, who has taken me as his temporary guide on the strait, bint yet, from the number of cross roads, dillicult way of religious Inguiry, to halt a moment, and con-
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For hinı who rit, whose whisis, and knows not e is none, as long is scarce possible ve, and not askly, whence it prothis second quesefirst! I entreat as his temporary er of cross roads, noment, and coil-

sider the main proints that in this last division of our work have heen already otlered for his retection. I have attempted then to fix the proper meaning of the woris Nature and Spirit, the we leing the antilhesis to the other: sio that the must general and uegulive definition of Nature is, Whatever is not Spirit; and rice refsit of spirit, That which is not compurehended in Nature: or in the langlage of our edder Divines, that whieh transeends Nature. But Nature is the term in which we eoniprehend all things that are repersentable in the forms of Time and space, and sulpected to the Relations of Ganse and Eilleet: and the vanse of whose existence therefore is to be sought for perpetnally in sonething Anteredent. The word itself expresses this in the strongest mamer possible: Natura, that which is about to be hom, that which is always becoming. It follows, therefore, that whatever originates its own aets, or in any sense contains in itself the eanse of its own state, must be spiritual, and ronsequently super-matural: yot not on that accoment necessanily miraculans. And such must the responsible Wint in us le, if it be at all. (see p. 87-93.)
A prior step had been to remove all miscomeptions from the subject ; to show the reasonableness of a belief in the reatity and real influence of a universal and divine Spirit; the compatibility and possible commmion of such a Spirit with the Spiritual Pineiple in fodividuals; and the analogy offered by the most undeniable truths of Nithral Philosolhy[6.1]. (See p. 41-46).

Thece Views of the Spirit, and of the Will as Spiritual, form the ground-work of eur Scheme. Among the numerous Corollaies or Appendents, the first that presented itself respeets the question, Whether there is any faculty in man hy which a knowledge of spiritual truths or of any truths nut abstracted from Nature, is rendered possible? and an Answer is attempted in Comment on Aphorism Vllth. And here I ber leave to remark, that in this Comment the only Novelty, and, if there be Merit, the only Merit is-that the e heing two very different Meanings, and two different Words, I have here amil in former Works appropriated one meaning to one of the

Woids, and the other to the other-instead of using the words indifferently and by hap-hazard : a confusion, the ill cfleets of which in this instance are so great and of such frequent occurrence in the works of our ablest Philosophers and Divines, that I should select it lefore all others in proof of Hobbes's Maxim : that it is a short and downhill passage from errors in words to errors in things. The distinctness of the Reason from the Understanding, and the imperfection and limited sphere of the latter, have been asserted by many hoth before and since Lord Bacon[65] ; but still the habit of using Reason and Understanding as synomymes, acted as a disturbing force. Some it led into mysticism, others it set on explaining away a clear difference in kind into a mere superiority in degree : and it partially eclipsed the truth for all.

In close comexion with this, and therefore forming the Comment on the Aphorism next following, is the Subject of the legitimate exercise of the Understanding and its limitation to Oljects of Sense; with the errors both of unbelief and of misbelief, that result from its extension beyond the sphere of possible Experience. Wherever the forms of Reasoning appropriate only to the nalural wortd are applied to spiritual realities, it may be truly said, that the nore strictig logical the Reasoning is in all its parts, the more irrational it is as a whole.

The Reader thus armed and prepared, I now venture to present the so called mysteries of Faith, i.e. the peculiar tenets and especial Constituents of Christianity, or Religion in spirit and in truth. In right order I must have commenced with the Articles or the Trinity and the Apostacy, including the question respecting the Origin of Evil, and the Incarnation of the Wonn. And could I have followed this order, some difficulties that now press on me would have been obviated. But (as has already been explained) the limits of the present Volume rendered it atike impracticable and inexpedient; for the necessity of my argument would have called forth certain hard, though most true sayings, respecting the hollowness and tricksy sophistry of the so called "Natural Theology," "Religion of Nature," "Light of Nature," Sc. which a brief exposition
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could not save from imocent miseonceptions, much less protect against plausible misinterpretation. And yet both Reason and Experience have convinced me, that in the greater number of our Alogi, who feed on the husks of Christianity, the dishelief of the Trinity, the Divinity of Christ included, has its origin and support in the assumed self-evidence of this $\mathbf{N a}$ tural Theology, and in their ignorance of the insurmountable difficulties which (on the same mode of reasoning) press upon the fundamental articles of their own Remnant of a Creed. But arguments, which would prove the falschood of a known truth, must themselves le false, and can prove the falsehood of no other position in eotem genere.
This hint I have thrown out as a Spark that may perhaps fall where it will kindle. The Reader desirous of more is again referred to the Work already ammounced. And worthily might the wisest of men make inquisition into the three momentous points here spoken of, for the purposes of speculative Insight, and for the formation of enlarged and systematic views of the destination of Man, and the dispensation of God. But the practical Inquirer (I speak not of those who inquire for the gratification of Curiosity, and still less of those who labour as students only to shine as disputants; but of one, who seeks the truth, becanse he feels the want of it), the practical Inquirer, I say, hath already placed his foot on the roek, if he have satisfied himself that whoever needs not a Redeemer is more than homan. Remove for him the difficulties and objections, that oppose or perplex his belief of a crucified Saviour; convince him of the reality of Sin , which is impossible without a knowledge of its true nature and inevitable Consequences; and then satisfy him as to the fact historically, and as to the truth spiritually, of a redemption therefrom by Christ; do this for him, and there is little fear that he will permit either logical quirks or metaphysical puzzles to contravene the plain dictate of his Common Sense, the Sinless One that redeemed Mankind from Sia, must have been more than Man ; and that He who brought Light and lmmortality into the World, could not in his own na'rre have been an inheritor of Death and

Darkness. It is morally impossible, that a man with these convietions should suffer the Objection of Incomprehensibility (and this on a subject of $\boldsymbol{F}^{\prime}(t i t h$ ) to overbalance the manifest absurdity and contradiction in the notion of a mediator between God and the Human Race, at the same infinite distance from God as the Race for whom he mediates

The Origin of livil, meanwhile, is a question interesting only to the Metaphysician, and in a system of moral and religious Philosoply. The man of sober mind, who seeks for truths that possess a moral and practical interest, is content to be certain, first, that Evil must have had a begiming, since otherwise it must cither he God, or a co-eternal and co-equad lival of God; both impious notions, and the latter foolish to boot. 2dly, That it could not originate in God; for if so, it would be at once Evil and not livil, or God would be at once God (that is, infinite Goodness) and not Goo-looth alike impossible positions. Instead therefore of troubling himself with this bar ren controversy, he more profitably turns his enpuiries to that Evil which most concerns himself, and of which he may find the origin.
The entire Scheme of necessary Faith may be reducci to two heads, 1. the Ohject and Occasion, and 2. the faet and effeet, of our redemption ly Christ : and to this view does the order of the following Comments correspond. I have hegun with Original. Sin, and proceeded in the following Aphorism to the doctrine of Redemption. The Comments on the remaining Aphorisms are all subsidiary to these, or written in the hope of making the minor tenets of general belief be believed in a spirit worthy of these. They are, in short, intended to supply a febrifuge against agnish Seruples and Horrors, the hectic of the Soul! and"for servile and thrall-like fear to substitute that adoptive and checrful boldness, which our new alliance with God requires of us as Christians." (Mfilton). Not the Origin of Evil, not the Chronology of Sin, or the chronicles of the original Simer; hut Sin originant, underived from without, and no passive link in the adamantine. chain of Effeets, each of which is in its turn an instrument of

Causation, but no one of them a Canse! sor with Sin inflicted, which would be a Cal:mity! nor with Sin (i. e. an evil tendency) implanted, for which let the Planter be responsible! But 1 begin with Original Sin. And for this purpose I have selected the Aphorisn from the ablest and most formidable Antagonist of this Doctrine, Bishop Jeremy Tay lor, and from the most eloquent work of this most eloquent of Divines. Had I said, of Men, the Soul of Cicero would forgive me, and Demosthenes nod assent [66]!

## Al'IORISM X. JFKEMY Taflog.

ON ORIGINAI, SIN.
Is there any such thing? That is not the question. For it is a Faet acknowledged on all hands almost : and even those who will not contess it in words, confess it in their complaints. For my part I connot but confess that to be, which I feel and groan under, and by which all the world is miscrable.

Arlam turned his baek on the Sun, and dwelt in the Dark and the Shadow. He sinned, and brought evil into his Supernutural endowments, and iost the Sacrament and instrument of Immortality, the Tree of Life in the centre of the Garden. He then fell under the evils of a sickly Body, and a passionate and ignorant Sonl. His Sin made him sickly, his Sickness made him peevish: his Sin left him ignorant, his Ignorance made him foolish and unreasonable. His sin left him to his Nature: and by Nature, whoever was to be born at all was to lee born a ehild, and to do before he could understand, and to be bred under laws to which he was always bound, but which could not always be exacted ; and he was to choose when he could not reason, and had passions most strong when he had his understanding most weak; and the more need he had of a corb, the less strength he had to use it! And this being the case of all the world, what was crery man's evil became all men's greater evil; and though alone it was very bad, yet when they came together it was made much worsc. Like ships in a storm, every one alone h.ith enough to do to ontride
it ; but when they meet, besides the evils of the Storm, they find the intolerable calamity of their mutual concussion; and every Ship that is ready to be oppressed with the tempest, is a worse T'empest to every Vessel against which it is violently dashed. So it is in Mankitid. Every man hath evil enough of his own, and it is hard for a man to live up to the rule of his own Reason and Conscience. But when he hath Parents and Chikdren, Friends and Enemies, Buyers and Sellers, Lawyers and Clients, a Family and a Neighbourhood-then it is that every man dashes against another, and one relation requires what another denies; and when one speaks another will contradiet him; and that which is well spoken is sometimes innocently mistaken; and that upon a good cause produces an evil eflect; and by these and ten thousand other concurrent causes, man is made more than most miserable.

## COMMENT.

The first guestion we should put to ourselves, when we have read a passage that perplexes us in a work of authority, is: What does the Writer mean by all this? And the second question should be, What does he intend by all this? In the passage before us, Taylor's meaning is not quite clear. A Sin is an Evil which has its ground or origin in the Agent, and not in the compulsion of Circumstances. Circumstances are compulsory from the absence of a power to resist or control them : and if this absence likewise be the effect of circumstance (i. c. if it have been neither directly nor indirectly caused by the Agent himself) the Evil derives from the Circumstances; and therefore (in the Apostle's sense of the word, Sin, when he speaks of the exceeding sinfuluess of $\operatorname{Sin}$ ) such cvil is not sin; and the person who suffers it, or who is the compelled instrument of its iulliction on others, may feel regret but cannot feel remorse. So likewise of the word origin, original, or originant. The reader cannot too early he: warned that it is not applicable, and, withont abuse of language, can never be applied, to a mere link in a chain of effects, where each, indeed, stands in the relation of a cause to
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these that follow, but is at the same time the effect of all that precede. For in these cases a cause amomuts to little more than in anteredent. At the utmost it means only a conductor of the eausative inthence : and the old axiom, Causa cause causa causati, applies, with a never-ending regress to cach several link, up the whole chain of nature. But this (as I have elsewhere shown at large) is Nature: and no Nuturol thing or act can be called originant, or be truly said to have an ori$\operatorname{gin}[67]$ in any other. The moment we assume an Origin in Nature, a true Begiming, an artual First-that noment we rise chove Nature, and are compelled to assume a supernoturul Power. (Gen. I. r. 1.)

It will be an egual convenience to myself and to my Readers, to let it be agred between us, that we will gencralize the word Ciremenstance so as to underatand hy it, as often as it oceurs in this Comment, all and every thing not comnected with the Will, past or present, of a Free Agent. Even though it were the hlood in the chambers of his Heart, or his own inmost Sensations, we will regard then as circumatontial, extrinsic, or from without.
In this sense of the word Original, and in the sense before given of Sin, it is evident that the phrase, Original Sin, is a Pleonasm, the epihet not adding to the thought, but only enforcing it. For if it he Sin, it must be origingl: and a State or Act, that has not its origin in the wili, may be calamity, deformity, discase, or mischief; but a $\operatorname{Sin}$ it camot be. It is not enough that the Aet appears voluntary; or that it is intentional; or that it has the most hatefin passions or debasing appetite for its proximate cause and accompaniment. All these may be found in a Mad-house, where neither law nor humamity permit us to condemn the Actor of Sin. The Reason of Law declares the Manac not a Frec-Agent; and the Verdict follows of course-Not guilty. Now Mania, as distinguished from Idioey, Frenzy, Dehirium, Hypochondria, and Derangement (the last term used specifieally to express a suspension or disordered state of the Understanding or Adiptive Power) is the Ocrultation or Eelipse of Reason, as the Power of ul-
timate ends. The Maniac, it is well known, is often fomm elever and inventive in the selection and adaptation of means to his cuds; but his ends are madness. He has lost his Reason. For though Reason, in fimite beings, is not the Willor how could the will be opposed to the Reason?-yet it is the condition, the sine quad non of a Free-will.
We will now return to the Extract from Jeremy Taylor on a theme of deep interest in itself, and trebly important from its bearings. For without just and distinct views respecting the Article of Original Sin , it is impossible to understand aright any one of the peculiar doctrines of Christianity. Now my first complaint is, that the eloquent Bishop, while he admits the fact as established heyond controversy by universal experience, yet leaves us wholly in the dark as to the main point, snpplies us with no answer to the principal question-why he names it Original Sin? It cannot be said, We know what the Bishop means, and what matters the name? for the nuture of the fact, and in what light it should be regarded 'y us, depends on the nature of our answer to the question, whether Original Sin is or is not the right and proper designation. I can imagine the same quantum of Sufferings and yet if $I$ had reason to regard them as symptoms of a commencing Change, as pains of growth, the temporary deformity and misproportions of inmaturity, or (as in the final sloughing of the Caterpillar) as throes and struggles of the waxing or evolving Psyche, I should think it no stoical flight to doubt, how far I was authorised to declare the Circumstance an Evil at all. Most assuredly I would not express or describe the fact as an evil having an origin in the Sufferers themselves, or as Sin.
Let us, however, waive this objection. Let it be supposed that the Bishop uses the word in a different and moro comprehensive Sense, and that by Sin he understands Evil of all kind connected with or resulting from Actions-though I do not see how we can represent the propertics even of inamimate Bodies (of poisonous substance, lor instance) except as Acts resulting from the constitution of such bodies! Or if this sense, though not unknown to the Mystic Divines, should be too
an, is often found uptation of means has lost his Reas not the Will-eason?-yet it is II.
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comprehensive and remote, we will suppose the Bishop to comprise under the term Sin, the Evil accompanying or consequent on humen Actions and l'upposes:- though here too, I have a right to be informed, for what reason and on what grounds Sin is thas limited to humm Agency? And truly, I should be at no loss to assign the reason. But then this reason would instantly bring me back to my first definition; and any other reason, than that the human Agent is endowed with Reason, and with a Will which can place itself either in subjection or in opposition to his Reason-in other words, that Man is alone of all known Animals a responsible Creature-1 neither know or can imagine.

Thus, then, the Sense which Traglor-and with him the Antagonists generally of this Artiele as propounded by the first Reformers-attaches to the words, Original sin, iceeds only be carried on into its next comsequence, and it will be fomul to imply the sense which I have given-mamely, that Sin is Evil having an Origin. But inasmuch as it is cevil, in God it canlnot originate : and yet in some Spirit ( $i$. e. in some supernotural power) it must. For in Nuture there is no origin. Sin therefore is spiritual Evil : but the spiritual in Man is the Will. Now when we do not refer to any particular Sins, but to that state and constitution of the Will, which is the ground, condition and common Cause of all Sins; and when we would further express the truth, that this corrupt Nuture of the Will must in some sense or other be considered as its own act, that the corruption must have been self-originated;--in this case and for this purjose we may, with no less propriety than force, entitle this dire spiritual evil and source of all evil, that is absolutely such, Original Sin. (I have said, "the corrupt Nature of the Will." I might add, that the admission of a Nature into a spiritual essence by its own act is a corruption.)

Such, I repeat, would be the inevitable conclusion, if Taylor's Sense of the term were carried on into its immediate consequences. But the whole of his most eloquent Treatise makes it certain that Taylor did not carry it on : and consequently Origimal Sin, according to his conception, is a Calami-
ty which being rommon to all men must be supposed to result fom their common Nature: ia other words, he unisersal Car lamity of Human Valure!

Can we wonder, then, that a mind, a heart like Taylor's, shond rejoet, that be should strain his faeulties to explain away, the belief that this Calanity, so dire in itself, should appear to the All-mereiful (iod a rightiul canse and motive for inflicting on the wretehed Sufterers a Cabamity infinitely more tremendous? nay, that it shouid be incompatible with Divine Iustice not to punish it hy everlasting torment? Or need we be surprised if he fomen nothing, that could reconcile his mind to such a belief, in the cireumstane that the acts now consequent on dhis Calamity and either direetly or indireetly effects of the sane were, live or sis thousand yoars ago in the instance of a reatain Individual and his Aerompliec, enterior to the Calamity, and the Couse or Oceasion of the same? that what in all other men is Disense in these two persons was Guilt? that what in $u$ is hereditery, and consequently Nofure, in them was original, and conseguently Sin? Lastly might it not be presumed, that so enlightened, aud at the same time so alleetionate, a Divine, would even fervent! diselain and reject the precended justifications of God, grounded on tlimsy analogies drawn from the imperfections of hman ordinanees and hmman justice-courts-sone of very doubtiul character even as human Institutes, and all of them just only as far as they are necessary, and rendered necessaty chiefly by the weakness and wiakedness, the limited powers and corrupt passions, of mankind? 'The more confidently might this be presumed of so acute and practised a logician, as Jeremy 'aylor, in addition to his other extra-ordinary Gifts, is known to have heen, when it is demonstrable that the most current of these justifications rests on a palpable equivocation : viz. the gross misuse of the word Right[68]. An iratance will explain my meaning. In as far as, from the known frequency of dishonest or mischicvous persons, it may have been found necessary, in so far is the Law justifuble in giving Landowners the Right of proceding against a meighbou or fellow-ritizea for even a slight trespass
supposed to result the universal Ca-
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on that which the Law has made their Property:-may, of proreeding in sundry instances criminally and even capitally. (Where at least from the known poverty of the Trespasser it is forcknown that tie comsequenees will be penal. Thus: three poor men were bined Twenty Pounds ash, the one fir knocking down a llare, the other for pirking it up, and the thiad for carrying it off: and not jossessing as many Pence, were sent to Jail.) But surely, (ither there is mo religion in the world, and mothing obligatory in the preeepts of the (iospet, or there are orcasions in which it would be very urong in the Proprietor to exercise the Right, which yot it may be highty rapedient that he should possess. On this gromad it is, that Religion is the sustaning Opposite of Law.
That deremy Taylor, therefore, shoud have strivon ferventIr against the Article so interpreted and so vindicaterl, is (for ine, at trast, a subject mether of surprise nor of Complaint. It is the doetrine which be substitutes, it is the wratiness and inconsisteney hetrayed in the defence of this sulstitute, it is the matainess with wheh he blackens the established Artielefor to give it, as it had been carimatured by a few Ultra-Calvinists during the fever of the (so called) quinquarticular Controversy, was in effeet to blacken it-and then imposes another scheme, to whith the same objections apply with even increased fore, a scheme which seems to diller from the former only by adding fraud and mockery to injustice : these are the things that excite my wonder, it is of these that 1 romplain! For what does the Bishop's scheme anount to? God, he tells us, required of Adan a perfect obedience, and made it possible by endowing him "with perfect rectitudes and supernatural heights of grace" proportionate to the obedience which he required. As a consequence of his disubedience, Adam lost this rectitude, this perfect sanity and proportionateness of his intellectual, monal and corporeal state, powers and impulses; and as the penally of his crime, he was deprived of all super-matural aids and graces. The Death, with whatever is comprised in the scriptural sense of the word, Death, hegan from that moment to work in him, and this consequence
h: conveged to his ollspring, and through them to all his possterity, i. c. to all mankind. They were born diseased in mind, body and will. For what less than disease can we call a necessity of error and a predisposition to sin and sickness? Taylor, indeed, aserts, that though perfect Ohedience became incomparally more diflieult, it was not, however, absolutely impossible. Yet he himself admits that the contrary was unirersul ; that of the rountless millions of Adan's Posterity, not a single Individual ever realized, or approaehed to the realization of, this possibility; and (if my memory does not deceive me) Taylor himself has elsewhere exposed-and if he have not, yet Common Sense willdo it for him-the sophistry in asserting of a whole what may be true, but is true only, of each of its component parts. Any one may suap a horschair: therefore, any one may perform the same feat with the horse's tail. On a level floor (on the hardened sand, for instance, of a seabeach ) I chalk two parallel strait lines, with a width of eight inches. It is possible for a man, with a bandage over his eycs, to keep within the path for two or three paces: therefore, it is possible for him to walk blindfold for two or three leagues without a single deviation! And this possibility would suflice to acquit me of injustice, though I had placed man-traps within an inch of one line, and knew that there were pit-falls and deep wells beside the other!

This assertion, therefore, without adverting to its diseordance with, if not direet contradiction to, the tenth and thirteenth Articles of our Church, I shall not, I trust, he thought to rate below its true value, if 1 treat it as an infinitesimal possibility that may be safely dropped in the calculation : and so proceed with the argument. The consequence then of Adam's Crime was by a natural necessity, inherited by Persons who could not (the Bishop affirms) in any sense have been accomplices in the crime or partakers in the guilt: and yet consistently with the divine Holiness, it was not possible that the same perfect Obedience should not be required of them. Now what would the Idea of Equity, what would the Law inscribed by the Creator in the heart of Man, seem to dictate
hem to all his pos$n$ diseased in mind, ean we call a neIl sickness? Tayedience become inver, absolutely inseontrary was uniAdam's Posterity, proached to the reemory does not de-exposed-and if he m-the sophistry in is true only, of each ahorschair: thereith the horse's tail. - instance, of a seath a width of eight udage over his eyes, ces: therefore, it is No or three leagucs ribility would sullice ced man-traps withe were pit-falls and
ting to its discordthe tenth and thir I trust, be thought : as an infinitcsimal he calculation : and onsequence then of inherited by Persons ny sense have been the guilt: and yet vas not possible that e required of them. what would the Law Man, scem to dictate
in this case? Surcly that the supplementary Aids, the supernatural Graces eorrespombent to a Law ahove Nature, shombd be increased in proportion to the diminished strength of the Agents, and the increased resistance to be overeome hy them! But no! not only the consequence of Aulan's act, but the penalty due to his erime, was perpetuated. Ilis deserendants were despoiled or left destitute of these Aids and (iraces, while the obligation to perfect obedience was continued; an obligation too, the nonfulfilnent of which brought with it Death and the unutterable Woe that deaves to an immortal Soul for ever alienated from its Creator !

Observe, Reader! all these results of Adam's lrall enter into Bishop Taylor's scheme of Original Sin equally as into that of the first Reformers. In this respert the Bishop's doctrine is the same with that laid down in the Articles and Homilies of the Established Chureh. The only difference that has hitherto appeared, consists in the aforesaid mothematical possibility of fullilling the whole Law, which in the Bi-atop's scheme is affirmed to remain still in human Nature, or (as it is elsewhere expressed) in the Nature of the human Will [69]. But though it were possible to grant this existence of a power in all men, which in no one man was ever exemplified, and where the non-actualization of such power is, a priori, so certain, that the belief or imagination of the contrary in any Individual is expressly given us by the Holy Spirit as a test, wherely it may be known that the truth is not in him! as an infallible sign of imposture or self-telusion! Though it were possible to grant this, which consistently with Scripture and the principles of reasoning which we apply in all other cases, it is not possible to grant ; and though it were possible likewise to overlook the glaring sophistry of concluding, in relation to a series of indeterminate length, that whoever can do any one, can therefore do all; a conclusion, the futility of which must force itself on the common-sense of every man who understands the proposition ;-still the question will ariseWhy, and on what principle of erpuity, were the unolfending sentenced to be born with so fearful a disproportion of their
powers to their duties? Why were they sulyeeted to a Law, the fulfibment of which was all but impossible, yet the penalty on the failure tremondous? Admit that for those who had mever enjoyed a happier lot, it was no punish. ment to he made inhahit agromme whirh the Creator had cursed, nad to have heen horn with a body prone to sickness, and $n$ soul surombed with temphation and having the worst temptation within itseli in its own temptibility! 'I'o have the duties of a spirit with the wants and appetites of an Animal! Fet on such imperfect Creatures, with means so scanty and imprediments so numerous, to inupese the same task-work that had been reguised of a Creature with a pure and entire nature and provided with super-matural Aids-if this be not to intlirt a penalty!--. Yot to be phaed under a law, the difteculIf of olocying and the consequenees of not oheying which are hoth infinite, and to have momently to struggle with this difliculty, and to live in momently hazard of these consequencesif this be no pmishment!-words have no correspondence with thoughts, and thoughts are hot shatows of each other, shadows that own no substance for their anti-type!
()f such an outrage on commom-sense Taydor was incapable. He himself calls it a penalty; he admits that in cflect it is a punishment: nor does he seek to suppress the question that sis maturally mises ont of this admission-()n what principle of liquity were the ingocent olispring of Adm mmished at all: He meets $i t$, and puts-in an answer. He states the problem, and sives his solution-mamely, that "(iod on Adam's Acrome whes so c.rasperated with Mankiml, that being angry he would still eontinue the punishment!" The case (says the Bishop) is this: "Jonathan and Nichal were Saul's Children. It eame to pass, that seven of Sanl's laze were to be hanged: all
 ther, I fed m!self called on to remind the Reader, that these tho last uords were adited b!l Jerem! 'reylor without the least sround of Seriphure, uccording to which (9 Samuel, lxxi.) no rome ures latid to their charse, no ibleme iniputel to them. Wïhoul any pretence of colpeble conduct on their part, they
$\because$ suljeeted to a mpossible, yet the mit that for those $t$ whe no punishthe Creator had prone to sickness, d having the worst lity! 'P'olave the tites of an Animal! cans so spanty and ame task-work that pure and eutire na-s-if this be not to a law, the difiecult wheying which are ughle with this dilliese consequencesno correspondene dows of each other, nti-type! aylor was incapable. $s$ that in clleet it is a oss the question that On what principle of dmomenisholl at all: e states the problem, d on Adan's Aerount eing angry he would se (says the Bishop) 's Children. It came re to be hanged: all [Before I quate firsthe Reader, that these yhlor without the last h (g Samuel, lxxi.) no tme: iniputel to tham. ef on their purt, they
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uere arraigned as Children of Saul, and sacrificed to a point of stute-expedience. In recommencing the quotation, therefore, the Reader ought to let the sentence conclude with the worls-] "all equally innocent. David took the five Sons of Michal, for she had left him unhandsomely. Jonathan was his friend : and therefore he spared his Son, Mephibosheth. Now here it was indifferent as to the guilt of the persons (bear in mind, Reader! that no guilt was attached to either of them!) whether David should take the Sons of Michal or Jonathan's; but it is likely that as upon the kindness that David had to Jonathan he spared his son; so upon the just provocation of Michal, he made that evil fall upon them, which, it may be, they should not have suffered if their mother had been kind. Adam was to God as Michal to David." (Taylor's Polem. 'Tracts, p. 711.)

This Answer, this Solution, proceeding too from a Divine so pre-eminently gifted, and ofcurring (with other passages not less startling) in a vehement refutation of the received doctrine on the express ground of its opposition to the clearest conceptions and best feelings of mankind-this it is, that surprises me! It is of this that I complain! The Almighty Father exasperaled with those, whom the Bishop has himself in the same treatise described as "innocent and most unfortunate"the two things best fitted to conciliate love and pity! Or though they did not remain innocent, yet those whose abandonment to a mete nature, while they werc left amenable to a law above nature, he affirms to be the irresistible cause, that they, one and all, did sin! And this decree illustrated and justified by its analogy to one of the worst actions of an imperfect Mortal! Let such of my Readers as possess the Volume of Polemical Discourses, or the opportunity of consulting it, give a thoughtful perusal to the pages from 869 to 893 (Third edition enlarged, 1674). I dare anticipate their concurrence with the judgment which I here transcribe from the blank space at the end of the Deus Justificatus in my own Copy ; and which, though twenty years have elapsed since it was written, I have never seen reason to recant or modify.
"This most elofuent Treatise may be compared to a Statue of Janus, with the one face, which we nust suppose fronting the Calvinistic 'Tenet, entire and fresh, as from the Master's hand; beaning with life and foree, a witty scorn on the Lip, and a Brow at once bright and weighty with satisfying reason! the other, looking toward the 'something to be put in its place,' maimed, featureless, and weatherbitten into an almost visionary confusion and indistinctuess."
With these expositions I hasten to contrast the scriptural artiele respecting Original Sin , or the Corrupt and sinful Na ture of the Human Will, and the belief which alone is required of us, as Christians. And here the first thing to be considered, and which will at onee remove a world of error, is : that this is no Tenet first introduced or imposed by Christianity; and which, should a man see reason to disclaim the aut rity of the Gospel, would no longer have any claim on his attention. It is no perplexity that a man may get rid of by ceasing to be a Christian, and which has no existence for a philosophic Deist. It is a Fact, affirmed, indeed, in the Christian Scriptures alone with the force and frequency proportioned to its consummate importance; but a fact acknowledged in every Religion that retains the least glimmering of the patriarchal faith in a God infinite yet personal! A fact assumed or implied as the basis of every Religion, of which any relics remain of earlier date than the last and total Apostasy of the Pagan World, when the faith in the great I Am, the Creator, was extinguished in the sensual polytheism, which is inevitably the final result of Pantheism or the Worship of Nature; and the only form under which the Pantheistic Scheme-that, according to which the World is God, and the material universe itself the one only absolute Being-can exist for a People, or become the Popular Creed. Thus in the most ancient Books of the Brahmins, the deep sense of this Fact, and the doctrines grounded on obscure traditions of the promised Remedy, are seen struggling, and now gleaming, now flashing, through the Mist of Pantheism, and producing the incongruities and gross contradictions of the Brahmin My-
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thology ; while in the rival Sect-in that most strange Pheenomenon, the religious Atheism of the Buddheists ! with whom God is only universal Matter considered abstractedly from all particular forms-the fact is placed among the delusions natural to man, which, together with other superstitions groundod on a supposed essential difference between Right and Wrong, the Sage is to decompose and precipitate from the menstruum of his more refined apprehensions! Thus in denying the fact, they virtually acknowledge it.

From the remote East turn to the mythology of Minor Asia, to the Descendants of Javan who dwelt in the tents of Shem, and posscssed the Isles. Here again, and in the usual form of an historic Solution, we find the same Fact, and as characteristic of the Human Race, stated in that carliest and most venerable Mythus (or symbolic Parable) of Prometheus-that truly wonderful Fable, in which the characters of the rebellious Spirit and of the Divine Friend of Mankind ( $\Theta$ oros фi $\lambda a v A \rho \omega \pi 0 s$ ) are united in the same Person: and thus in the most striking manner noting the forced amalgamation of the Patriarchal Tradition with the incongrious Scheme of Pantheism. This and the eonnected tale of lo, which is but the sequel of the Prometheus, stand alone in the Greek Mythology, in which elsewhere both Gods and Men are mere Powers and Products of Nature. And most noticeable it is, that soon after the promulgation and spread of the Gospel had awakened the moral sense, and had opened the eyes even of its wiser Encmies to the necessity of providing some solution of this great problem of the Moral World, the beautiful parable of Cupid and Psyche was brought forward as a rival Falic of Man : and the fact of a moral corruption connatural with the human race was again recognized. In the assertion of Original Sin the Greek Mythology rose and set.

But not only was the fact acknowledged of a Law in the Nature of Man resisting the Law of God. (And whatever is placed in active and direet Oppugnaney to the Good is, ipso facto, positive Evil.) It was likewise an acknowledged Mystery, and one which by the nature of the Subject must ever
remain such-a problem, of which any other solution, than the statement of the Fact itself, was demonstrably impossible. That it is so, the least reflection will suffice to convince cvery man, who has previously satisfied himself that he is a responsible Being. It follows necessarily from the postulate of a responsible Will. Refuse to grant this, and I have not a word to say. Concede this, and you eoncede all. For this is the essential attribute of a Will, and eontained in the very idea, that whatever determines the Will acquires this power from a previous determination of the Will itself. The Will is ultimately selfdetermined, or it is no longer a Will under the Law of perfect Freedom, but a Nature under the mechanism of Cause and Effect. And if by an act, to which it had determined itself, it has subjeeted itself to the determination of Nature (in the language of St. Paul, to the Law of the Flesh), it receives a nature into itself, and so far it becomes a Nature : and this is a corruption of the Will and a corrupt Nature. It is also a Fall of Man, inasmuch as his Will is the condition of his Personality; the ground and condition of the attribute which constitutes him Man. And the ground-work of Personal Being is a capacity of acknowledging the Moral Law (the Law of the Spirit, the Law of Freedom, the Divine Will) as that which should, of itself, suffice to determine the Will to a free obedience of the Law, the Law working thereon by its own exceeding lawfulness. This, and this alone, is positive Good : good in itself, and independent of all relations. Whatever resists and, as a positive foree, opposes this in the Will is therefore evil. But an Evil in the Will is an evil Will; and as all moral Evil (i. e. all evil that is evil without reference to its contingent physical consequences) is of the Will, this evil Will must have its source in the Will. And thus we might go back from aet to act, from evil to evil, ad infinitum without advancing a step.

We call an Individual a bad Man, not because an action is contrary to the Law, but because it has led us to conelude from it some Principle opposed to the Law, some private Maxina or By-law in the Will contraty to the miversal Law of
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right Reason in the Conscience, as the Ground of the action. But this evil Principle again must be grounded in some other Principle which has been made determinant of the Will by the Will's own self-determination. For if not, it must have its ground in some necessity of Nature, in some instinct or propensity imposed not acquired, another's work, not our own. Consequently, neither Act nor Principle could be imputed; and relatively to the Agent, not original, not Sin.

Now let the grounds, on which the fact of an Evil inherent in the Will is affirmable in the instance of any one Man, be supposed equally applicable in every instance, and concerning all men : so that the fact is asserted of the Individual, not because he has committed this or that crime, or because he has shown himself to be this or that Man, but simply because he is $a$ Man. Let the evil be supposed sueh as to imply the impossibility of an Individual's referring to any particular tine at which it might be conccived to have commenced, or to any period of his existence at which it was not existing. Let it be supposed, in short, that the subject stands in no relation whatever to time, can neither be called in time or out of time; but that all relations of Time are as alien and heterogeneous in this question, as the relations and attributes of Space (north or south, round or square, thick or thin) are to our Affections and Moral Feelings. Let the reader suppose this, and he will have before hin the precise import of the seriptural doctrine of Original Sin : or rather of the Fact acknowledged in all Ages, and recognized, but not originating, in the Christian Scriptures.
In addition to this Memento it will be well to remind the Inquirer, that the stedfast conviction of the existence, per sonality, and inoral attributes of God is pre-supposed in the acceptance of the Gospel, or required as its indispensable preliminary. It is taken for granted as a point which the Hearer had already decided for hinself, a point finally settled and put at rest : not by the removal of all difficulties, or by any such increase of Insight as enabled him to meet every objection of the Epicurean or the Sceptic with a full and precise answer ;
bul because he had convinced himself that it was folly as well as presumption in so imperfect a Creature to expect it; and becallse these difficulties and doubts disajpeared at the beam, when tried against the weight and convictive power of the reasons in the other seale. It is, therefore, most unfair to attack Christianity, or any article which the Chureh has declared a Christian Doetrine, by arguments, which, if valid, are valid against all religion. Is there a Disputant who seorns a mere Poshulate, as the basis of any argument in support of the Faith; who is too high-minded to beg his ground, and will take it by a strong hand? Let him fight it out with the Atheists, or the Manicheans; but not stoop to piek up their arrows, and then run away to discharge them at Christianity or the Church!

The only true way is to state the doctrine, believed equally by Saul of Tarsus, " yet breathing out threatenings and slaughter against" the Church of Christ, as by Paul the Apostle "fully preaching the Gospel of Christ." A moral Evil is an Evil that has its origin in a Will. An Evil common to all must have a ground common to all. But the actual existence of moral evil we are bound in conscience to admit; and that there is an evil common to all is a Fact; and this Evil must therefore have a common ground. Now this evil ground cannot originate in the Divine Will : it must therefore be referred to the Will of Man. And this evil Ground we call Original Sin. It is a Mystery, that is, a Fact, which we see, but cannot explain; and the doctrine a truth which we appeehend but ean neither comprehend nor communicate. And such by the quality of the Subject (viz. a responsible Will) it must be, if it be truth at all.
A sick man, whose complaint was ohseure as his sufferings were severe and notorious, was thus addressed by a humane Stranger : My poor Friend ! I find you dangerously ill, and on this account only, and having certain information of your being so, and that you have not wherewithal to pay for a physician, I have come to you. Respecting your disease, indeed, I can tell you nothing, that you are capable of understanding,
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more than you know already, or can only be taught hy reflection on your own experience. But I have rendered the Disease no longer irremediable. I have brought the remedy with me : and I now offer you the means of immediate relief, with the assurance of gradual convalesecnce, and a final perfect Cure; nothing more heing required on your part, but your best endeavors to follow the prescriptions I shall leave with you. It is, indeed, too probable, from the nature of your disease, that you will occasionally neglect or transgress them. But even this has been calculated on in the plan of your cure, and the remedies provided, if only you are sincere and in right earnest with yourself, and have your herrt in the work. Ask me not, how such a disease can be conceived possible! Enough for the present that you know it to be real: and I come to cure the disease, not to expluin it.
Now, what if the Patient or some of his Neighbors should charge this good Samaritan with having given rise to the mischievous notion of an inexplicable Disease, involving the honour of the King of the Country? should inveigh against him as the Author and first Introducer of the Notion, though of the numerous medical works composed ages before his arrival, and by Physicians of the most venerable Authority, it was searcely possible to open a single volume without finding some description of the Disease, or some lamentation of its malignant and epidemic character! And lastly, what if certain pretended $\ddagger$ riends of this good Samaritan, in their zeal to vindicate him against this absurd charge, should assert that he was a perfect Stranger to this Disease, and boldly deny that he had ever said or done any thing connected with it, or that innplied its existence?
In this Apologue or imaginary Case, Reader! you have the true bearings of Christianity on the fact and Doctrine of Original Sin. The doctrine (that is, the confession of a known fact) Christianity has only in common with every Religion, and with every Philosophy, in which the reality of a responsible Will and the essential difference between Good and Evil were recognized. Peculiar to the Christian Religion are the

Remedy and (for all purposes but those of a merely speculative Curiosity) the Solution! By the annunciation of the Remedy it aflords all the solution that our moral interests require ; and even in that which remains, and must remain, unfathomable the Christian finds a new motive to walk humbly with the Lord his God!

Should a professed Believer ask you whether that, which is the ground of responsible action in your will, could in any way be responsibly present in the Will of Adam? Answer him in these words: You, Sir! can no more demonstrate the Negative, than I can conceive the Affirmative. The Corruption of my will may very warrantably be spoken of as a Consequence of Adam's Existence; as a consequence, a link in the historic Chain of Instances, whercof Adam is the first. But that it is on account of Adam; or that this evil principle was, a priori, inserted or infused into my Will by the Will of ano-ther-which is indeed a contradiction in terms, my Will in such ease being no Will-this is nowhere asserted in Scripture explicitly or by inplication. It belongs to the very essence of the doctrine, that in respect of Original Sin every man is the adequate representative of all men. What wonder, then, that where no inward ground of preference existed, the choice should bedetermined by outward relations, and that the first in time should be taken as the Diagram? Even in Genesis the word, Adam, is distinguished from a Proper Name by an Article before it. It is the Adan, so as to express the genus, not the Individual-or rather, perhaps, I should say, as well as the Individual. But that the word with its equivalent the old man, is used symbolically and universally by St. Paul, ( 1 Cor. xv . 22.45. Eph. iv. 22. Col. iii. 9. Rom. vi. 6.) is too evident to need any proof.

I conclude with this remark. The Doctrine of Original Sin concerns all men. But it concerns Christians in particular no othetwise than by its connexion with the doetrine of Redemption; and with the Divinity and Divine Humanity of the Kedeener as a corollary or necessary inference from both ingsteries. Beware of Arguments against Christianity,
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that cannot stop there, and consequently ought not to nave commanced there. Something 1 might have added to the clearness of the preceding views, if the limits of the work had permited me to clear away the several delusive and fanciful assertions respecting the state[70] of our First l'arents, their wisdom, science, and angelic Faculties, assertions without the slightest ground in Scripture! Or if consistently with the wants and preparatory studies of those, for whose use the volume was especially intended, I eould have entered into the momentous subject of a Spiritual Fall or Apostasy antecedent to the formation of Man-a belief, the scriptural grounds of which are few and of diverse interpretation, but which has been almost universal in the Christian Church. Enough, however, has been - trust, for the Reader to see and (as far as the subjec whe of being understood) to understand this long controverted Article in the sense, in which alone $i t$ is binding on his faith. Supposing him, therefore, to know the meaning of original sin, and to have decided for himself on the fact of its actual existenee, as the antecedent ground and occasion of Christianity, we may now proceed to Christianity itself, as the Edifice raised on this ground, $i$. e. to the great Constituent Article of the Faith in Christ, as the Remedy of the Disease-the Doctrine of Redemption.

Butbefore we proceed to this momentous doctrine, let me brielly remind the young and friendly Pupil, to whom I would still be supposed to address myself, that in the Aphorism to follow, the word Science is used in its strict and narrowest sense. By a Seience I here mean any Chain of Truths that are either absolutely certain, or necessarily true for the human mind from the laws and eonstitution of the mind itself. In neither case is our conviction derived ; or capable of receiving any addition, from outward Experience, or empirical da-ta-i. e. matter-of-fact gicen to us through the medium of our Senses-though these Data may have been the occasion, or may even be an indispensable condition, of our reflecting on the former and thereby becoming conscious of the same. 93

On the other hand, a connected series of conelusions grounded on empirical Data, in comtra-distinction from seience, 1 beg leave (no hetter termocentring) in this place and for this purpose, to denominate a Scheme.

APHORISM XI.
nomtor.
In whatever age and country, it is the prevailing mind and character of the nation to regard the present life as subordinate to a life to come, and to mak the present state, the Howld of their Senses, by signs, instruments and mementos of its connexion with a future state and a spiritual World; where the Mysteries of Faith are brought within the hold of the people at large, mot by being explaned away in the vain bepe of accommodating them to the average of thei. Understanding, fout hy being made the ohjects of love by their combination with events and epochs of II:story: : ith national traditions, with the monuments and dedications of Ancestal faith and zeal, with memorial and symbolical observances, with the realoging influcuces of social dowotion, and above all, by early and hahitual association with Aets of the Will ; there Religion is. There, however obscured liy the hay and straw of human Will-work, the foundation is sale! In that country, and under the predominance of such Maxims, the national chureh is no mere State-Institule. It is the State itself in its intensest federal union; yot at the sab:e moment the Guardian and Rejresentative of all personal individuality. For the Church is the Shrine of Moral: , and in Morality alone the Citizen asserts and reclaims his personal imdependence, his integrity. Onr outward Arts are eflicient, and most often possible, only by coalition. As an efficient power, the Agent is hat a fraction of unity : he becomes an infeger only in the reengition and performance of the Moral Law. Nevertheless it is most true ( and a truth which camot with safety be overlooked) that Morality, as Morality, has no existence for a People. It is either bsorbed and lost in the quieksands of Prudential Caleulus, or it is taken up and transfigured into the duties and Mysteries of Religion. And no wonder: since Morality (incla-
nelusions gromaded om Science, I log ce and for this pur-
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ding the personel being, the $I \mathrm{Am}$, as its sulject) is itself a Mystery, and the gromen and suppositum of all other Alysteries, relatively to Man.

## APHOHEM NH.

E.DITOR

Schemes of conduct, grounded on calculations of Self-interest ; or on the average Consequences of Actions, supposing them general; forn a bameh of Political Eenosomy, to whirh let all due honour be given. Their utility is mes here guestioned. But however estimable within their own sphere surh schemes, or any one of them in partionar, may be, they $\therefore$, not belong to Moral Science, to which both in kind and purpose they are in all eases foreign, and when substituted for it, hostilc. Ethies, or the Science of Moratity, does inderd in no wise exclude the consideration of Action; but it contemplates the same in its originating spiritun! Somec, without reference to spare or Tiume or Sensible exisiente. Whatever springs out of "the perfect Law of Frectom," which exists only by its unity with the Will, inherence in the Word, and communion with the Spirit, of God-that (areording to the Principles of Moral Science) is soon-it is Light and Righteousness and very Truth. Whaterer seeks to separate itself from the Divine Principle, and proceeds from a false centre in the Agent's particular Will, is Evilu-a work of darkness and contradietion! It is Sin and essential Falsehoord. Not the outward Deed, constructive, destructive or neutral; not the Deed as a possible Olject of the Senses; is the Object of Ethical Science. For this is no Compost, Collectorium or Inventory of Single Dutics: nor does it seek in the "multitudinous Sea," in the predetermined waves, tides and currents of Nature that freedom, which is exclusively an attribute of Spirit. Like all other pure Sciences, whatever it counciates, and whatever it concludes, it enunciates and con. Ciudes absolutely. Strictness is its essential Character: and its first Proposition is, "Whosocver shall keep the whole law, and yet oflend it one point, he is guilly of all." James ii. 10.) For as the Will or Spirit, the Source and Sulistance of

Moral Good, is one, and all in every part : so must it be the Tounlity, the whole articulated Series of Single Acts, taken as Unity, that can alone, in the severity of Science, be recogni\%ed as the proper Counterpart and adequate Representative of a good Will. Is it in this or that limb, or not rather in the whole body, the entire Srganismus, that the Law of Life reHects itself? Nuch ics then can the Law of the Spirit work in fragments.

## APIIORISM XIII.

EDITOR.
Wherever there exists a permanent[71] Learned Class, having anthority and possessing the respect and confidence of the Country; and where the Science of Ethies is acknowlcdred and taught in this class as a regular part of a learned education to its future Members generally, but as the special study and indispensable ground-work of such as are intended for Holy Orders;--there the Article of Original Sin will be an Axiom of Faith in all Classes. Among the Learned an undis puted truth, and with the leople a fact, which no man imagines it possible to deny, the Doctrine, thus inwoven in the faith of all and co-eval with the consciousness of each, will for each and all possess a reality, subjective indeed, yet virtually equivalent to that which we intuitively give to the Objects of our Senses.

With the Learned this will be the case ; because the Article is the first-1 had almost said, spontaneous-product of the Application of Moral Science to Jistory, of which it is the Interpreter. A mystery in its own right, and by the necessity and essential character of its Subject-(for the Will, like the Life, in every act and product pre-supposes itself, a Past always present, a Present that evermore resolves itself into a Past!)-the Doctrine of Original Sin gives to all the other Mysteries of Religion a common Basis, a connexion of dependency, an intelligibility of relation, and a total harmony, that supersede extrinsic proof. There is here that same proof from unity of purpose, that same evidence of Symmetry, which in the contemplation of a human skeleton flash.
so must it be the gle Acts, taken as ence, be recogniRepresentative not rather in the e Law of Life reof the Spirit work
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ed conviction on the mind of Gales and kindled meditation into a hymn of praise.
Meanwhile the People, not goaded into doubt by the lessons and examples of their Teachers and Superiors; not drawn away from the Fixed Stars of Heaven, the form and Magnitude of which are the same for the naked cye of the Shepherd as for the Teleseope of the Sage-from the immediate truths, I mean, of Reason and Conscience to an exercise, they have not been trained to, of a Faculty whieh has been imperfectly developed, on a subject not within the sphere of the Faculty nor in any way amenable to its judgment; the Prople will need no arguments to receive a doetrine confirmed by their own experince from within and from without, and intimately blended with the most venerable Traditions common to all races, and the traces of which linger in the latest Twilight of Civilization.
Among the revulsions consequent on the brute bewilderinents of a godless Revolution, a great and active Zeal for the interests of Religion may be one. I dare not trust it, till I have seen what it is that gives Religion this interest, till I am satisfied that they are not the interests of this World ; necessary and laudable interests, perhaps, but which may, I dare believe be secured as effectually and more suitably liy the Prudence of this World, and by this World's powers and motives. At all events, I find nothing in the fashion of the day to determe from adding, that the Reverse of the preceding-that where Religion is valued and patronized as a supplement of Law, or an Aid extraordinary of Police; where Moral Science is exploded as the mystic Jargon of Dark Ages; where a lax System of Consequences, by which every iniquity on earth may be (and how many have been?) denounced and defended with equal plausibility, is publicly and authoritatively taught as Moral Philosophy; where the Mysteries of Religion, and Truths supersensual, are either cut and squared for the comprehension of the Understanding, "the faculty judging according to Sense" or desperately torn asunder from the Rcasen, nay, fanatically opposed to it; lastly, where Private[72] interpreta-
tion is every thing and the Clureh nothing-there the Mystery of Original Siol will he either rejeeted, or evaded, or perverted into the monstrons firtion of Hereditary Sin, Ginit inherited; in the Mystery of Redemption metuphors will be obsmbed for the reality ; and in the mysterions Apputenants mad symbols of Redemption (Recreneration, (irace, the Eucharist, and Spiritual Communion) the realities will be evaporated into metaphors.

## APIIOREM XIV.

d.fichiton

As in great Maps or Pictures you will see the border decorated with meadows, fommains, flowers, \&e. icpresented in it, but in the middle you have the muin design; so amongst the works of God is it with the fore-ordained Redemption of Man. III his other works in the world, all the beanty of the creathres, the succession of ages and the things that cone to pass in them, are but as the border to this as the Mainpicce. But as a Goolish unskilful beholder, not discerning the excellency of the principal piece in such maps or pictures, gazes only on the fair Border, and goes no farther-thus do the greatest part of us as to this great Work of God, the redemption of our personal Being, and the re-mion of the Human with the Divine, by and through the Divine Ihmanity of the Incarnate Word.

IPHORISM XV.
n.etienf.

It is a hard matter, yea, an impossible thing for thy human strength, whosoever thou art (without God's assistance), at such a time when Moses setteth on thee with the Law (see Aphorism XII.), when the holy Law written in thy heart accuseth and condemneth thee, forcing thee to a comparison of thy heart therewith, and conricting thee of the incompatibleness of thy Will and Nature with Heaven and Moliness and an immediate God-that then thou shouldest be able to be of such a mind as if no Law nor sin had ever been! I say it is in a manner impossible that a human creature, when he feeleth himself assiulted with trials and temptations, and the con-
-there the Mysteor evaded, or perary Sin, Guilt inmetaphors will be rious Appurtenants , (irace, the Eulities will be evap-

LEIUNTON e the border deeo: icpresented in it, nn ; so umongst the tedemption of Man. heauty of the creas that come to pass te Mainpicec. But ming the excellency ures, gazes only on do the greatest part redemption of our luman with the Dity of the Inearnate
hetimef.
thing for thy human God's assistance ), at with the Law (see tten in thy heart ace to a comparison of of the incompatibleen and Holiness and lest be able to be of er been! I say it is suture, when he feelstations, and the con-
science hath to do with God, and the tempted man knoweth that the root of temptation is within him, should ohtain suldh mastery over his thonghts as then to think no otherwise than that from beverlanting nothing datil ne:s met onis ino alone Christ, aitoghther (illace and) Dtionemincen!

## COMMENT.

In irrational Agents, viz. the Animals, the Will is hidden or absorbed in the Law. Ihe Law is their Nature. In the original purityrof a rational Agent the meormpted Will is idendical with the Law. Nay, inasmuch as a Will perfertly identieal with the law is one with the dicine Will, we may say, that in the unfallen rational Agent the Will comstitutes the law. But it is evident that the holy and spiritual lower and light, which by a prolepsis or anticipation we have named law, is a grace, an inward perfection, and without the commanding, binding and menacing chamator which belongs to a Law, actiag as a Master or Sovereign distinet from, and existing, as it were, extermally for, the Arent who is homed to obey it. Now this is St. Piall's sense of the Word : and on this he grounds his whole reasoning. And hence too arises the obseurity and apparent paradoxy of several texts. 'That the Law is a Law for you; that it acts on the Will not in it; that it exercises an agency from whinout, by foat and coercion; proves the comption of your Will, and presupposes it. Sin in this sense came by the law: for it has its cssence, as Sin, in that counterposition of the Iloly Prineiple to the Will, which occasions this Principle to be a Law. Faactly (as in all other points) consonnat with the Panline doctrine is the assertion of John, when speaking of the re-adoptior of the redeensed to be Sons of God, and the consequent resumption (I had almost said, re-absorption) of the law into the Will
 says-For the Law was given by Moses; hut Girace and Truth came by Jesus Christ. P.S. What lyy the Law St. Paml meant only the ceremonial Law is a motion, that could origi-
nate only in utter inattention to the whole strain and gist of the Apostles' Argument.

## APIIORISM XVI.

leiohton and ed.
Christ's Death was both voluntary and violent. There was external violence: and that was the accompaniment, or at most the oceasion, of his Death. But there was internal willingness, the spiritual Will, the Will of the Spirit and this was the proper cause. By this Spirit he was restored from Death : neither indeed "was it possible for him to be holden of it." (Acts ii. v. 24-27.). "Being put to death in the flesh, but quickenfd by the Spirit," says St. Peter. But he is likewise declared elsewhere to have died by that same Spirit, which here in opposition to the violence is said to quicken him. Thus Hebrews ix. 14. Through the eternal Spirit he offered himself. And even from Peter's words, and without the epithet, eternal, to aid the interpretation, it is evident that the Spirit, here opposed to the Flesh, Body or Animal Life, is of a higher nature and power than the individual Soul, which cannot of itself return to re-inhabit or quicken the Body.

If these points were niceties, and an over-refining in doctrine, is it to be believed that the Apostles, John, Peter and Paul, with the Author of the Ep. to the Hebrews, would have layed so great stress on them? But the true Life of Christians is to eye Christ in every step of his life-not only as their Rule but as their Strength; looking to him as their Patteru both in doing and in suffering, and drawing power from him for going through both : being without him able for nothing. Take comfort then, thou that believest! It is he that lifts up the Soul from the Gates of Death: and he hath said, $I$ will raise thec up at the last day. Thou that believest in him, believe him and take comfort. Yea, when thou art most sunk in thy sad apprehensions, and he far off to thy thinking then is he nearest to raise and comfort thee: as sometimes it grows darkest inmediately before day.

APIIOLISMS ON SPIRITUAL RELIGION.

## aphorism xvil.

L. AND EDITOR.

Would any of you be cured of that common discase, the fear of Death? Yet this is not the right name of the Disease, as a mere reference to our armies and navies is sufficient to prove: nor can the fear of death, either as loss of life or pain of dying, be justly held a common disease. But would you be cured of the fear and fearful questionings connected with the approach of death ? Look this way, and you shall find more than you seek. Christ, the Word that was from the beginning, and was made flesh and dwelt among men, died. And he, who dying conquered death in his own person, conquered Sin, and Death which is the Wages of Sin, for thee. And of this thou mayest be assured, if only thou believe in him, and love him. I need not add, keep his commandments: since where Faith and Love are, Obedience in its the eefold character, as Effect, Reward, and Criterion, follows by that moral necessity which is the highest form of freedom. The Grave is thy bed of rest, and no longer the cold bed: for thy Saviour has warmed it, and made it fragrant.
If then it be health and comfort to the Faithful that Christ descended into the grave, with especial confic nee may we meditate on his return from thence, quickened by the Spirit: this being to those who are in him the certain pledge, yea, the effectual cause of that blessed resurrection, for which they themselves hope. There is that union betwixt them and their Redeemer, that they shall rise by the communication and virtue of his rising: not simply by his power-for so the wicked likewise to their grief shall be raised; but they by his life as their life.

## CoMMENT

ON THE THREE preceding aphorigms.
To the Reader, who has consented to submit his mind to my temporary guidanee, and who permits me to regard him as my ['upil or Junior Fellow-student, 1 continuc to address myself. Should he exist only in my imagination, let the bread float on
the waters! If it be the Bread of life, it will not have beer utterly cast away.

Let us pause a moment, and review the road we have passed over since the 'Transit from Religious Morality to Spiritual Religion. My first attempt was to satisfy you, that there is a Spiritual principle in Man ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{Si}-93$ ), and to expose the sophistry of the arguments in support of the Contrary. Our next step was to clear the road of all Counterfeits, by showing what is not the Spirit, what is not Spiritual Religion (p. 97101). And this was followed by an attempt to establish a difference in kind between religious truths and the deductions of speculative science; yct so as to prove, that the former are not only equally rational with the latter, but that they alone appeal to Reason in the fulness and living reality of the Power. This and the state of mind requisite for the formation of right convictions respecting spiritual Truths, employed our attention from p. 108-126. Having then enumerated the Articles of the Christian Faith peculiar to Christianity, I entered on the great object of the present work: viz. the removal of all valid Objections to these articles on grounds of right Reason or Conscience. But to render this practicable it was necessary, first, to present each Article in its true scriptural purity, by exposure of the caricatures of misinterpreters; and this, again, could not be satisfactorily done till we were agreed respecting the Faculty, entitled to sit in judgment on such questions. I early foresaw, that my best chance (I will not say, of giving an insight into the surpassing worth and transcendent reasonableness of the Christian Scheme; but) of rendering the very question intelligible depended on my success in determining the true nature and limits of the human Understanding, and in evincing its diversity from Reason. In pursuing this momentous subject, I was tempted in two or three instances into disquisitions, that if not beyond the comprehension, were yet unsuited to the taste, of the persons for whom the Work was principally intended. These, however, I have separated from the running text, and compressed into Notes. The Reader will at worst, I hope, pass them by as a leaf or two of waste
will not have been road we have passforality to Spiritual you, that there is a id to expose the sohe Contrary. Our terfeits, by showing a Religion (p. 97pt to establish a difad the deductions of at the former are not lat they alone appeal if the Power. This mation of right conloyed our attention ated the Articles of ty, I entered on the removal of all valid of right Reason or le it was necessary, scriptural purity, hy ters; and this, again, re agreed respecting n such questions. I ill not say, of giving transcendent reasonof rendering the very lecess in determining Understanding, and In parsuing this mor three instances into prehension, were yet whom the Work was I have separated from Notes. The Reader leaf or two of waste
paper, willingly given by him to those, for whom it may not be paper wasted, Nevertheless, I cannot conceal, that the subject itself supposes, on the part of the Reader, a stcadiness in self-quesioning, a pleasure in referring to his own inward experience for the facts asserted by the Author, that can only be expected from a person who has fairly set his heart on arriving at clear and fixed conclusions in matters of Faith. But where this interest is felt, nothing more than a common Capacity, with the ordinary advantages of education, is required for the complete comprehension both of the argument and the result. Let but one thoughtful hour be devoted to the pages 135-146. In all that follows, the Reader will find no difficulty in understanding the Author's meaning, whatever he may have in adopting it.

The two great moments of the Christian Religion are, Original Sin and Redemption; that the Ground, this the Superstructure of our faith. The former I have exhibited, furst, according to the scheme of the Westminster Divines and the Synod of Dorp; then, according to the[73] scheme of a contemporary Arminian Divine; and lastly, in contrast with both sehemes, I have placed what I firmly believe to be the Scriptural Sense of this Article, and vindicated its entire conformity with Reason and Experience. I now proceed to the other momentous Article-from the necessitating Occasion of the Christian Dispensation to Christianity itself! For Christianity and Redemption are equivalent terms. And here my Comment will be comprised in a few sentences: for I confine my views to the one object of clearing this awful mystery from those too current misrepresentations of its nature and import, that have laid it open to seruples and objections, not to such as shoot forth from an unbelieving heart-(against these a sick-bed will be a more effectual Antidote than all the Argument in the world!) but to such scruples as have their birth-place in the Reason and Moral Sense. Not that it is a Mystery-not that "it passeth all Understanding! If the doctrine be more than an hyperbolical phrase, it must do so. But that it is at variance with the Law revealed in the Conscience, that it contra-
diets our moral instincts and intuitions-this is the difficulty, which alone is worthy of an answer! And what better way is there of correcting the misconceptions than by laying open the source and oceasion of them? What surer way of removing the scruples and prejudices, to which these misconceptions have given rise, than by propeunding the Mystery itselfnamely, tre Redemtive Act, as the transcendent Cause of Salvation-in the express and definite words, in which it was enunciated by the Redeemer himself?
But here in addition to the three Aphorisms preeceding, I interpose a view of redemption as appropriated by faith, coincident with Leighton's though for the greater part expressed in my own words. This 1 propose as the right view. Then follow a few sentences transeribed from Field (an excellent Divine of James the First's reign, of whose work, entitled the Chureh it would be difficult to speak too highly) containing the question to be solved, and which is numbered as an Aphorism, rather to preserve the uniformity of appearance, than as being strictly such. Then follows the Comment : as a part and com. mencement of which the Reader will consider the two paragraphs of $\mathbf{p}$. 133- 135 , written for this purpose and in the foresight of the present inquiry : and I entreat him therefore to begin the Comment by reperusing these.

## APIIORISM XVIII.

Stedfast by Fuith. This is absolutely necessary for resistance to the Evil Principle. There is no standing out without some firm ground to stand on : und this Fith alone supplies. By Faith in the Love of Christ the power of God becomes ours. When the Soul is beleaguered by enemies, Weakness on the Walls, Treachery at the Gates, and Corruption in the Citadel, then by faith she says-Lamb of God, slain from the foundation of the World! thou art my Strength! I look to thee for delivarance! And thus she overcomes. The pollution (miasma) of Sin is precipitated by his Blood, the power of $\operatorname{Sin}$ is conquered by his Spirit. The Apostle says not-stedfast ly your own resolutions and purposes; but sted-
$s$ is the difficulty, d what better way han by laying open urer way of remothese misconcephe Mystery itselfnscendent Cause of ds, in which it was
sms preceding, 1 ined by faith, coincir part expressed in right view. Then ield (an excellent work, entitled the chly) containing the red as an Aphorism, rance, than as being : as a part and com. sider the two parapose and in the foreat him therefore to
necessary for resisstanding out with his Fnith alone supe power of God beguered by enemies, e Gates, and Cor-ays-Lamb of God, ou art my Strength ! she overcomes. The 1 by his Blood, the The Apostle says purposes; but sted.
fast by faith. Nor yet stedfast in your Will, but stedfast in the faith. We are not to be looking to, or brooding over ourselves, either for accusation or for confidence, or by a decp yet too frequent self-delusion) to obtain the latter by making a merit to ourselves of the former. But we are to look to Chust and "him crucified." The law "that is very nigh to thee, even in thy heart;" the Law that condemneth and hath no promise; that stoppeth the guilty Pass in its swift tlight, and maketh it disown its name; the Law will accuse thee enough. Linger not in the Justice-court, listening to thy indictment! Loiter not in waiting to hear the Sentence! No! Anticipate the verdict! Appeal to Cucsar! Haste to the King for a Pardon! Struggle thitherward, though in fetters : and O $J$ aloud. and collect the whole remaining strength of thy Will in the outcry-I believa! Lord! help my unbelief! Disclaim all right of property in thy fetters! Say, that they belong to the Old Man, and that thou dost but carry them to the Grave, to be buried with their owner! Fix thy thought on what Christ did, what Christ suffered, what Christ is-as if thou wouldst fill the hollowness of thy Soul with Christ ! If he emptied himself of Glory to become Sin for thy Salvation, must not thou be emptied $\neg f$ thy sinful Self to become Righteousness in and through his agony and the effective merits of his Cioss? By what other means, in what other form, is it possible for thee to stand in the presence of the Holy Onc? With what mind wouldst thou come before God, if not with the Mind of Him, in whom alone God loveth the World? With good advice, perhaps, and a little assistance, thou wouldst rather cleanse and patch up a mind of thy own, and offer it as thy admission-right, thy qualification, to him who " charged his angels with folly !" Oh take counsel of thy Reason! It will show thee how impossibie it is, that even a World should merit the love of Eternal Wisdom and all-sufficing Beatitude, otherwise than as it is contained in that all-perfect Idea, in which the Supreme Mind contemplateth itself and the plenitude of its infinity-the only-hegotten before all ages! the beloved Son in whom the Father is indeed well pleased!

And as the Mind, so the Body with which it is to be elothed! as the Indweller, so the House in which is to be the Abiding-phace[74] ! There is but one Wedding-garment, in which we can sit down at the marriage-feast of Heaven : and that is the Bride-greon's own Gift, when he gave himself for us that we might live in him and he in us. There is but one robe of Righteousness, even the Spiritual Body, formed by the assimilative power of faith for whoever eateth the flesh of the Son of Man and drinketh his Blood. Did Christ come from Lleaven, did the Son of God leave the Glory which he had with his Father before the World began, only to show us a way to life, to teach truths, to tell us of a resurrection? Or saith he not, I am the way, I am the truth, I am the Resurrection and the Life!
apiorism Nix.
rien.
The Romanists tearh that sins committed after baptism (i. e. for the immense majority of Christians having Christian Parents, all their si: ; from the Cradle to the Grave) are not so remitted for Christ's sance, but that we mist suffer that extreniity of punishment which they deserve: and therefore cither we must afflict ourselves in such sort and degree of extremity as may answer the demerit of our Sins, or be punished by God here or in the World to come, in such degree and sort that his Justice may be satisfied. [N. B, As the encysted venom, or poison-bag, beneath the Adder's fang, so does this doctrine lie beneuth the tremendous power of the Romish Hicrarchy. The denoralizing influence of this dogma, and that it curdled the verylife-blood in the veins of Christendom, it was given to Lather beyond all men since Paul to see, feel, and promulgate. And yet in his large Treatise on Repentance, how near to the spirit of this doctrine-even to the very walls and gates of Babylon-was Jeremy Taylor driven in recoiling from the fanatical extremes of the opposite crror.] But they, that are orthodox, teach that it is injustice to require the payment of one debt twice. * * It is no less absurd to say, as the Papists do, that our satisfaction is required as a condition,
ch it is to be clowhich is to be the adding-garment, in st of lleaven : and on he gave himself us. There is but tual Body, formed ver eateth the flesh
Did Christ come e Glory which he m , only to show us a resurrection? Or $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{am}$ the Resur-
ricin.
ed after baptisin (i. having Chistian Pa( Grave) are not so t sulfer that extreniind therefore either degree of extremity be punished by God ree and sort that his encysted venom, or o docs this doctrine Romish Hicrarchy. t, and that it curdled dom, it was given to , feel, and promal2cpentance, how near very walls and gates $n$ recoiling from the r.] But they, that require the payment bsurd to say, as the ired as a condition,
without which Cirrist's satisfaction is not applicable unto us, than to say, Peter hath paid the debt of Jolin, and He, to whom it was due, aceepteth of the same payment on the condition that John pay it himself also. * * The satisfaction of Christ is communicated and applied mito us without suffering the punishment that sin deserveth, [and essentially involveth, Eis.] upon the condition of our Faith and Repentance. ['io which the Editor would add: Without faith there is no power of repentance: without a commencing repentance no power to faith; and that it is in the power of the will either to repent or to have faith, in the Gospel Sense of the words, is itself a Consequence of the Redemption of Mankind, a free gift of the Redeemer: the guilt of its rejection, the refusing to avail ourselves of the power, being all that we can eonsider as exclusively attributable to our ownact.] Field's Churcir, p. 58.

## comment

(containing an appidcation of the priscibifs hain down in

$$
\text { palie: } 1: 3 ;-1: 3 i .)
$$

Forgiveness of Sin, the Abolition of Guilt, through the redemptive power of Christ's Love, and of his perfect Obedience diring his voluntary assumption of Humanity, is expressed, on account of the resemblanee of the Consequences in both cases, by the payment of a debt for another, which Delt the Payer hal not himself incurred. Now the inpropriation of this Metaphor-(i. c. the taking it literally) by tamsferring the sameness from the Consequents to the Antecedents, or inferring the identity of the causes from a resemblance in the effects-this is the point on which $l$ am at issuc : and the view or scheme of Redemption grounded on this comfusion I believe to be altegether unscriptural.

Indeed, I know not in what other instance I could hetter exemplify the species of sophistry noticed in $\mathbf{p}$. 1.11-1.42, as the Aristotelemn $\mu$ era $\alpha a \sigma_{15} \varepsilon_{5} \alpha \lambda \lambda_{0} \quad \gamma \operatorname{sios}$, or clandestine passing over into a diverse kind. The purpose of a Metaphor is to illustrate a something less known by a partial identification of
it with some other thing better understood, or at least more familiar. Now the article of Redemption may be considered in a twotold relation-in $r$ ion to the Antectent, i, $e$, the Redeemer's Act, as the eflicient cause and comation of Redemption ; ani in relation to the Consequent, i. e. the effects in and for the Redeemed. Now it is the latter relation, in which the Subjeet is trenta of, set forth, expanded, and enfored by st. Panl. The Mysterious Act, the Onerative cause is transeendent[75]-Fiactum es's: and weyond the information contained in the enunciation of the Fact, it can be characterized only by the Consequences. It is the Consequences of the Aet of Redemption, that the zealous Apostle would bring home to the minds and affections both of Jewsand Gentiles. Now the Apostle's Opponeuts and Gainsayers were prineipally of the former class. They were Jews: not only Jews unconverted, but such as had partially received the Gospel, and who sheltering their national prejudices under the pretended authority of Christ's Original Apostles and the Church in Jerusalem, set themselves up against Paul as Followers of Cephas. Adll too, that Paul himself was "a Hebrew of the Hebrews;" intimately versed "in the Jew's religion above many, his equals, in his own nation, and above measure zealous of the traditions of his fathers." It might, therefore, have been anticipated, that his reasoning would receive its outward forms and language, that it would take its predominant colours, from his own past, and his Opponents' present, habits of thinking; and that his figures, images, analogies, and references would be taken preferably from objects, opinions, events, and ritual observances ever uppermost in the imagiuations of his own countrymen. And such we find them: yet so judiciously selected, that the prominent forms, the figures of most frequent recurrence, are drawn from points of belief and practice, from laws, rites and eustoms, that then prevailed through the whole Roman World, and were common to Jew and Cientile.
Now it woald be difficult if not impossible to select points better suited to this purpose, as heing equally familiar to all
, or at least more nay be considered tec dent, i. $e$, the condition of Re$n t$, i. e. the effects latter relation, in expanded, and enhe Onerative cause cyond the informact, it can be chars the Consequences aus Apostle would 1 of Jews and Gen1 Gainsayers were re Jews: not only received the Gosejudices under the Apostles and the rgainst Paul as Folmself was "a He1 "in the Jew's renation, and above thers." It might, reasoning would reit it would take its and his Opponents' gures, images, analerably from objeets, er uppermost in the 1 such we find them : inent forms, the figawn from points of eustoms, that then d , and were common
sible to select points pually familiar to all
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and yet having a special interest for the Jewish Converts, than those are from which the leamed $A$ postle has drawn the four primeipal Mctaphors, by whieh he illustrates the her ed Consequences of Christ's Redemrtion of Mankind. 'The are: 1 Sin-oflerings, sacrificial expiation. 2. Reconcilia' 4 , $\boldsymbol{A}$ tonement, K $\alpha \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma r[76]$. 3. Ransom froon slavery, Kwiemption, the buyiug back again, or being bought back, from re and emo. 4. Satisfaction of a Creditor's claims by a 1 ayment of the debt. To one or other of these four heads all the numerous forms and exponents of Christ's Mediation in St. P'aul's writings may be referred. And the very number and variety of the words or periphrases used by him to express one and the same thing furnish the strongest presumptive proof, that all alike were used metaphorically. IIn the following notation, let the small letters represent the of consequences, and the Capitals the efficient causes or culte:ifents. Whether by Causes we mean Acts or Agera, is ifferent. Now let $X$ signify a Transcendent, i.e:Ca so beyond our Comprehension and not within the sphere asensible experience: and on the other hand, let A. B. C. and D represent, each some one known and faniliar caus in mrence to some single and characteristic effect: viz. A m reference to $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{B}$ to $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{C}$ to m , and D to n . Then I say $\mathbf{X}+\mathrm{kIm} \mathrm{n}$ is in different places expressed by (or as =) $A+k ; B+1 ; C+m ; 1)+n$. And these $I$ should call metaphorical Exponents of X.]

Now John, the beloved Disciple, who leant on the Lord's Bosom, the Evangelist xara пvevua i. e. according to the Spir$i t$, the inner and substantial truth of the Christian CreedJohn, recording the Redecmer's own words, enunciates the Faet itself, to the full extent in which it is enunciable for the human mind, simply and without any metaphor, by identifying it in kind with a fact of hourly oceurrence-expressing it, I say, by a familiar fact the same in kind with that intended, though of a far lower dignity;-by a fact of every man's experience, known, to all, yet not better understood than the fact deseribed by it. In the Redeemed it is a re-generation a birth, a spiritual seed impregnated and evolted, the germinal
principle of a higher and enduring life, of a Spiritual Lifethat is, a Life, the actuality of which is not dependent on the material body, or limite. 1 by the circumstances and processes indispensable to its organization and subsistence. Briefly, it is the Differential of lmmortality, of which the assimilative power of Faith and Love is the Integrant, and the Life in Christ the Integration.
But even this would be an imperfect statement, if we omitted the awful truth, that besides that dissolution of our earthly tabernacle which we call death, there is another death, not the mere negation of life, but its positive Opposite. And as there is a nystery of Lite and an assimilation to the Prineiple of Life, even to him who is the life; so is there a mystery of Death and an assimilation to the Principle of Evil aupisa入nқ Juvarw! a fructifying of the corrupt seed, of which Death is the germination. Thus the regeneration to spiritual life is at the same time a redemption from the spiritual death.
Respecting the redemptive act itself, and the Divine Agent, we know from revelation that he "was made a quickening ( ${ }^{2}$ woroonv, life-making) Spirit:" and that in order to this it was necessary, that God should be manifested in the flesh, that the eternal Word, through whom and by whom the World ( кof $\mu 05$, the Order, Beauty, and sustaining law of visible natures) was and is, should be made flesh, assume our humanity personally, fulfil all righteousness, and so suffer and so die for us as in dying to conquer Death for as many as should receive him. More than this, the mode, the possibility, we are not competent to know. It is, as hath been already observed concerning the primal Act of Apostasy, a mystery by the necessity of the subject-a mystery, which at all events it will be time enough for us to seek and expect to understand, when we understand the mystery of our Natural life, and its conjunction with mind and will and personal identity. Even the truths, that are given to us to know, we can know only through faith in the spirit. They are spiritual things that must be spiritually discerned. Such, however, being the means and the effects of our Redemption, well might the fervent Apostle associate it
a Spiritual Lifedependent on the aces and processes atence. Briefly, it h the assinilative $t$, and the life in
ement, if we omitition of our carthly ther death, not the ite. And as there o the Principle of there a mystery of c of Evil $\alpha \mu \nmid \approx ิ \alpha \lambda \eta \kappa$ which Death is the iritual life is at the death.
1 the Divine Agent, made a quickening in order to this it ted in the flesh, that $y$ whom the World Law of visible nassume our humanity suffer and so die for ny as should receive sibility, we are not ready observed constery by the necessievents it will be time stand, when we un, and its conjunction
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with whatever was eminently dear and precious to eming and aflicted Mortals, and (where no cxpression could be commensurate, no single title be other than imperfect) seck from similitude of effect to describe the superlative boon hy successively transferring to it, as by a superior claim, the name of each several Aet and Ordinance, habitually connected in the minds of whill his llearess with feelings of joy, confidence, and gratitude.

Do you rejoice when the Atonement made by the Priest has removed the civil stain from your name, restoced you to your privileges as a Son of Abraham, and replaced you in the respect of your Brethren?-Here is an atonement which takes away a deeper, worser stain, an eating Canker-spot in the very heart of your personal lBeing! This, to as many as receive it, gives the privilege to become the Sons of God (John i. 12), this will admit you to the society of Angels, and ensure you the rights of Brotherhood with Spirits made perfeet! (IIeb. xii. 22.) Here is a Sacrifice, a Sin-offering for the whole world: and an High Priest, who is indecd a Mediator, who not in type or shadow but in very truth and in his own right stands in the place of Man to God, and of God to Man; and who receives as a Judge what he offered as an Advocate.

Would you be grateful to one who had ransomed you from slavery under a bitter foc, or who brought you out of Captivity? Here is redemption from a far direr slavery, the slavery oi Sin unto Death! and he, who gave himself for the ransom, has taken Captivity Captive!
Iad you by your own fault alienated yourself from your best, your only sure friend? Had you, like a Prodigal east yourself out of your Father's Honse? Would you not love the good Samaritan, who should reconcile yon to your Friend? Would you not prize above all price the intercession, that had brought you back from Husks and the tending of Swine, and restored you to your Father's Arms, and seated you at your Father's Table?

Had you involved yourself in a heavy dent for certain gewgaws, for high-seasoned meats, and intoxicating drinks, and glistening apparel, and in default of payment had made your-
self over as a bondsman to a hard Creditor, who, it was foreknown, would enforee the hond of Judgment to the last tittle! With what emotions would you not receivo the glad tidings, that a stranger, or a friend whom in the days of your wantonness you had neglected and reviled, had paid the debt for you, had made satisfaction to your Creditor? But you have incurred a debt of Death to the Evic. Nature! you have sold yourself over to Sin! and relatively to you, and to all your means and resources, the Seal on the Bond is the Seal of Necessity! Its stamp is the Nature of Evil. But the Stranger has appeared, the forgiving Friend has come, even the Son of God from heaven: and to as many as have faith in his name, I say-The Debt is paid for you! the Satisfaction has been made.

Now to simplify the argument and at the same time to bring the question to the test, we will confine our attention to the figure last mentioned, viz. the satisfaction of a Debt. Passing by our modern Alogi who find nothing but metaphors in either Apostle, let us suppose for a moment with certain Divines that our Lord's Words, recorded by John, and which in all places repeat and assert the same Analogy, are to be regarded as metaphorical; and that it is the varied expressions of St. Paul that are to be literally interpreted : ex. gr. that Sin is, or involves an infinite Debt, (in the proper and law-court sense of the word, debt)-a debt owing by us to the vindictive Justice of God the Father, which can only be liquidated by the everlasting misery of Adam and all his posterity, or by a sum of suffering equal to this. Likewise, that God the Father by his absolute decree, or (as some Divines teach) through the necessity of his unchangeable Justice, had determined to exact the full sum; which must, therefere, be paid either by ourselves, or by some other in our name and behalf. But besides the Debt which all Mankind contracted in and through Adam, as a Homo Publicus, even as a Nation is bound by the Acts of its Head or its Plenipotentiary, every man (say these Divines) is an insolvent Debtor on his own score. In this fearful predicament the Son of God fook compassion on Mankind, and re.
, who, it way foret to the last tittle ! e the glad tidings, is of your wantonpaid the debt for or? But you have Re! you have sold me , and to all your is the Seal of NeBut the Stranger e, even the Son of faith in his name, tisfaction has been
same time to bring attention to the figDebt. Passing by nctaphors in either ecrtain Divines that which in all places to be regarded as ressions of St. Paul r. that $\operatorname{Sin}$ is, or in1 law-court sense of c vindictive Justice idated by the everity, or by a sum of d the Father by his h) through the neletermined to exact paid either by ourchalf. But besides and through Adam, ound by the Acts of (say these Divines) Is this fearful preon Mankind, and re-
solved to pay the debt for us, and to satisfy the divine Justice by a perfect equivalent. Accordingly, by a strauge yet strict consequence, it has been held by more than one of these Divines, that the agonies suffered by Christ were equal in amount to the sum total of the torments of all Mankind here and hereafter, or to the infinite debt, which in an endless suceession of instalments we should have been paying to the divine Sustice, had it not been paid in full by the Son of God inearnate!

It is easy to say-O but $I$ do not hold this, or we do not make this an artiele of our belief! The true question is: Do you take any part of it : and can you reject the rest without being inconsequent? Are Debt, Satisfaction, l'ayment in full, Creditors' Rights, \&e. nomina propria, by which the very nature of Redemption and its occasion is expressed? or are they, with several others, figures of speceh for the purpose of illustrating the nature aud extent of the eonsequences and effects of the redemptive Aet, and to excite in the receivers a due sense of the magnitude and manifold operation of the Boon, and of the Love and gratitude due to the Redecmer? If still you reply, the former: then, as your whole theory is grounded on a notion of Justice, I ask you-Is this Justice a moral Attribute? But Morality commences with, and begins in, the sacred distinetion between Thing and l'erson: on this distinction all Law human and divine is grounded: sonsequently, the Law of Justice. If you attach any idea to the term'Justice, as applied to God, it must be the same which you refer to when you affirm or deny it of any nthe: personal Agent-save only, that in its attribution to God, you speak of it as unmixed and perfect. For if not, what do you mean? And why do you call it by the same name? I may, therefore, with all right and reason, put the ease as between man and man. For should it be found irreconcileable with the Justice, which the light of Reason, made Law in the Conscience, dictates to Man, how much more must it be ineongruous with the all-perfect Justice of God ?-Whatever case I should imagine would be felt hy the Reader as below the dignity of the sub-
ject, and in some measure jarring with his feclings: and in other respects the more familiar the case, the better suited to the present purpose.

A sum of $£ 1000$ is owing from James to Peter, for which James had given a Bond in Judgment. $a^{I_{r}}$ is insolvent, and the Bond is on the point of being carried into effeet, to James's utter ruin. At this moment Matthew steps in, pays Peter the thousand pounds and diseharges the Bond. In this case, no man would hesitate to admit, that a complete satisfaction had been made to Peter. Matthew's $£ 1000$ is a perfect equivaIent of the sum James was bound to have paid, and for the sum which Peter had lent. It is the same thing: and this altogether a question of Things. Now instead of James being indebted te Peter for a sum of money, which (he having beeone insolvent) Mathew pays for him, we will put the ease, that James had been guilty of the basest and most hard-hearted ingratitude to a most worthy and affectionate Mother, who had not only performed all the duties and tender offices of a mother, but whose whole heart was bound up in this her only childwho had foregone all the pleasures and amusements of life in watching over his sickly childhood, had sacrifieed her health and the far greater part of her resources to reseue him from the consequences of his follies and excesses during his youth and early manhood; and to procure for him the means of his present Rank and Affluence-all whieh he had repaid by neglect, desertion, and open profligacy. Here the Mother stands in the relation of the creditor: and here too we will suppose the same generous Friend to interfere, and to perform with the greatest tenderness and constancy all those duties of a grateful and affectionate Son, which James ought to have performed. Will this satisfy the Mother's claims on James, or entitle him to her Esteem, Approbation and Blessing? Or what if Matthew, the vicarious Son, should at length address her in words to this purpose: "Now, I trust, you are appeased, and will be henceforward reconciled to James. I have satisfied all your claims on him. I have paid his Debt in full: and you are too just to require the same debt to be paid twice
eclings: and in better suited to

Peter, for which is insolvent, and effect, to James's 1, pays Peter the In this case, no satisfaction had perfect equiva, and for the sum and this altogethes being indebted ug becone insolcase, that James -hearted ingratier, who had not ices of a mother, her only childements of life in ificed her health rescue him from during his youth the means of his e had repaid by Here the Mother here too we will $\cdot \mathrm{e}$, and to perform $r$ all those duties nes ought to have claims on James, nd Blessing? Or at length address $t$, you are appeasmes. I have satisDebt in full: and to be paid twice
over. You will therefore regard him with the same complacency, and receive him into your presence with the same love, as if there had been no difference between him and you. For I have made it up." What other reply could the swelling heart of the Mother dictate than this? " 0 misery! and is it possible that you are in league with my unnatural child to insult me? Must not the very necessity of your abandonment of your proper sphere form an additional evidence of his guilt ? Must not the sense of your goodness teach me more fully to comprehend, more vividly to feel the evil in him? Must not the contrast of your merits magnify his Demerit in his Mother's eyc and at once recall and embitter the conviction of the canker-worm in his soul?"
If indeed by the force of Matthew's example, by persuasion or by additional and more mysterious influeuces, or by an inward co-agency, compatible with the idea of a personal will, Janes should be led to repent; if through admiration and love of this great goodness gradually assimilating his mind to the mind of his benefactor, he should in his own person become a grateful and dutiful child-then doubtless the mother would be wholly satisfied! But then the ease is no longer a question of Things[77], or a matter of Debt payable by another. Nevertheless, the Effect,-and the reader will remember, that it is the effects and consequences of Christ's mediation, on which St. Paul is dilating-the Effect to James is similar in both cases, i. e. in the case of James, the Debtor, and of James, the undutiful Son. In both cases, James is liberated from a grievous burthen; and in both cases, he has to attribute his liberation to the Act and free grace of another. The only difference is, that in the former case (viz. the payment of the debt) the beneficial Act is, singly and without requiring any re-action or co-agency on the part of James, the efficient cause of his libcration; while in the latter case (viz. that of Redemption) the beneficial Act is, first, the indispensable Condition, and then, the $C o$-efficient.
The professional Student of Theology will, perhaps, understand the different positions asserted in the preceding Argu-
ment more readily if they are presented symoptically, i. e. brought at once within his view, in the form of Answers to four Questions, comprising the constituent: parts of the Scriptural Doctrine of Redemption. And I trust that my Lay Readers of both sexes will not allow themselves to be scared from the perusal of the following short catechism by half a dozen Latin words, or rather words with Latin endings, that translate themselves into English, when 1 dare assure them, that they will encounter no other obstacle to their full and easy comprehension of the contents.

Synopsis of the Constituent Points in the Doctrine of Redemption, in Four Questions, with correspondent Answers.

## questions.

Who (or What) is the $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Agens Causator? } \\ \text { 2. Aetus Causativus? } \\ \text { 3. Fffectum Causatum? } \\ \text { 4. Consequentia ab Effecto }\end{array}\right.$

## Answers.

I. The Agent and Personal Cause of the Redemption of Mankind is-the co-eternal Word and only begotten Son of the Living God, incarnate, tempted, agonizing (Agonistes
 nicant of his Spirit, ascendent, and obtaining for his Church the Descent and Communion of the Holy Spirit, the Comforter.
II. The Causative Aet is-a spiritual and transcendent Mystery, "that passeth all understanding,"
III. The Effect caused is-the being born anew : as before in the flesh to the World, so now born in the spirit to Christ.
IV. The Consequents from the Effect are-Sanctification from $\operatorname{Sin}$, and Liberation from the inherent and penal consequences of Sin in the World to come, with all the means and processes of Sanctification by the Word and the Spirit : these Consequents being the same for the Sinner relatively to God and his own Soul, as the satisfaction of a debt for a Debtor
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1e Redemption of $\gamma$ begotten Son of izing ( Agonistes resurgent, commuag for his Church Spirit, the Com-
transecndent Mys-
anew : as before he spirit to Christ. are-Sanctification $t$ and penal conse. all the means and the Spirit : these relatively to God debt for a Debtor
relatively to his Credtor; as the sachicicial atmement made by the Priest for the Transgressor of the Mosaic Law; as the reconciliation to an alienated Parent for a Son who had estranged himself from his Father's house and presence; and as a redemptive Ransom for a Slave or Captive.

Now I complain, that this metaphorical Naming of the transeendent Causative Act through the medium of its proper effects from Aetions and Causes of familiar occurrence connected with the former by similarity of Result, has been mistaken for an intended designation of the essential character of the Causative Act itself; and that thus Divines have interpreted de omni what was spoken de singulo, and magnified a partial cquation into a total identity.
I will merely hint, to my more learned readers, and to the professional Students of Theology, that the origin of this error is to be sought for in the disenssions of the Greek Fathers, and (at a later jeriod) of the Schoolmen, on the obscure and abysmal subject of the Divine $A$-seity, and the distinction between the ininuua and the $\beta$ ounr, i. e. the absolute Will, as the universal Ground of all Beiug, and the Election and purpose of God in the personal Idea, as the Father. And this View would have allowed me to express (what I believe to be) the true import and seriptural idea of Redemption in terms much more nearly resembling those used ordinarily by the Calvinistic Divines, and with a conciliative show of coincidence. But this motive was outweighed by the reflection, that I could not rationally have expected to be understood by those, to whom I most wish to be intelligible: et si non vis intelligi, eur vis legi ?
N. B. Not to countervene the purpose of a Synopsis, I have detached the confirmative or explanatory remarks from the Answers to Questions II. and III. and place them below as Scholia. A single glance of the eye will enable the reader to re-connect each with the sentence it is supposed to follow.

## Scholium to Ans. II.

Nevertheless, the fact or actual truth having been assured to us by Revelation, it is not impossible, by steadfast meditation on the idea and super-natural character of a personal Wice, for a mind spiritually disciplined to satisfy itself, that the redemptive act supposes (and that our redemption is even negatively conceivable only on the supposition of) an Agent who can at once act on the Will as an exciting cause, quasi ab extra; and in the Will, as the condition of its potential, and the ground of its actual, Being.

## Scholium to Ans. II.

Where two subjects, that stand to each other in the relatiors of antilhesis (or contradistinction) are connected by a middle term common to both, the sease of this middie term is indifferently determinable by either: the preferability of the one or the other in any given case being deeided by the circumstance of our more frequent experience of, or greater familiarity with, the Term in this connexion. Thus, if I put IIydrogen and Oxygen Gas, as opposite Poles, the term Gas, is common to both; and it is a matter of indifference, by which of the two bodies I ascertain the sense of the Term. But if for the conjoint purposes of comnexion and cobtrast, 1 oppose transparent crystalized Alumen to opake derb (unchrystalized) Alumen; it may easily happen to be far more convenient for me to show the sense of the middleterm, i. e. Alumen, by a pisce of Pipeclay than by a Sapphire or Ruby ; especially, if I should bedescribing the beauty and preciousness of the latter to a female Peasant, or in a District, where a Ruby was a rarity which the Fewest only had an opportunity of seeing. This is a plain rule of common Logic directed in its application by Common Sense.

Now let us apply this to the case in hand. The two opposites here are Flesh and Spirit, this in relation to Christ, that in relation to the World: and these two Opposites are connected by the middle term, Birth, which is of course common to both. But for the same reason, as in the instance last-men-
fioned, the interpretation of the comonon term is to be ascertained from its known sense, in the more familiar comexionBirth, namely, in relation to our natural life and to the Organized Body, by which we belong to the present World. Whatever the word signifies in this connexion, the same essentially (in kind though not in dignity and value) must be its signification in the other. How else could it be (what yet in this text it undeniably is), the punctum indifferens or nota communis, of the Thesis (Flesh: the World) and the Antithesis (Spirit: Christ)? We might, therefore, supposing a writer to have been speaking of River-water in distinction from Rainwater, as rationally pretend that in the latter phrase the term, Water, was to be understood metaphorically, as that the word, Birth, is a metaphor, and "means only" so and so, in the Gospel according to St. John.
There is, I am aware, a numerous and powerful Party in our church, so, numerous and powerful as not seldom to be entitled the Chuch, who hold and publicly teach, that "Regeneration is only Baptism." Nay, the Writer of the Article on the Lives of Scott and Newton in our ablest and most respectable Review, is but one among many who do not hesitate to brand the contrary opinion as heterodoxy, and schismatical superstition. I trust, that I think as scriously, as most men, of the evil of Schism ; but with every disposition to pay the utmost deference to an acknowledged majority, including, it is said, a very large proportion of the present Dignitaries of our Church, I eannot but think it a sufficient reply, that if Regeneration means baptism, Baptism must mean regeneration: and this too, as Christ himself has declared, a regeneration in the Spirit. Now I would ask these Divines this simple question. Do they belit ingly suppose a spiritual regenerative power and agency $i$ ering in or accompanying the sprinkling a few drops of watc on an infant's face? They sannot evade the question by saying that Baptism is a type or sign. For this would be to supplant their own assertion, that Regeneration means Baptism, by the contradictory admission, that Regeneration $i$ ":c significatum, of which Baptism is the sig-
nificant. Unless, indeed, they would incur the absurdity of sayiug, that regeneration is a type of regeneration, and Baptisin a type of itself-or that Baptism only means Baptism! And this indeed is the plain consequence, to which they might be driven, should they answer the above question in the negative.

But if their answer be, Yes! we do suppose and believe this efficiency in the baptismal act-I have not another word to say. Only, perhaps, I might be permitted to express a hope, that for consistency's sake they would speak less slightingly of the insufflation and extreme anction used in the Romish Church : notwithstanding the not easily to be answered arguments of our Christim Mercury, the all-eloquent Jeremy Taylor, respecting the latter,-" which, since it is used when the man is above luald dead, whea he can exercise no act of understanding, it must needs be nolling. For no rational man can think, that any ceremony can make a spiritual change without a spiritual act of him ilhat is to be changed; nor that it can work by waty of uature, or by charm, but morally aul after the manner of reasonable creatures."

Taylor's ípist. Dedie. to his Holy Dying, p. 6.
It is too obvious to require suggestion, that these words here quoted apply with yet greater foree and propriety to the point in question: as the babe is an unconscious subject, which the dying man need not be supposed to be. My avowed convietions respecting Regeneration with the spiritual baptism, as its Condition and Initiative, (Luke iii. 16; Mark i. 8; Matt. iii. 11), and of which the sacramental Rite, the Baptism of John, was appointed by Christ to rerain as the Sign and Figure; and still more, perhaps my belief respecting the Mystery of the Eucharist, (concerning which I hold the same opinions as Bucer, Strype's Life of Archb. Craumer, Appendix ), Peter Martyr, and presumably Cranmer himself-these convictions and this belief will, I doubt not, be deemed by the Orthodox de more Grotii, who improve the letter of Arminius with the spirit of the Socini, sufficient data to bring me in guilty of irrational and superstitious Mysticism. But I abide by a max-
or the absurdity of reration, and Bapy means Baptisu! which they might estion in the negappose and believe not another word tted to express a 1 speak less slightused in the Romish e answered arguuent Jeremy Tayis used when the se no act of under, rational man can itual change withinged ; nor that it ut morally anel of-

Holy Dying, p. 6. , that these words nd propriety to the ious subject, which My avowed conpiritual baptism, as Mark i. 8; Matt. te, the Baptism of ; the Sign and Figecting the Mystery the same opinions Appendix), Peter -these convictions $d$ by the Orthodox Arminius with the me in guilty of ir1 abide by a max-
in, which I learnt at an early period of my theological studies, from Benedict Spinoza. Where the Alternative lies between the Absurd and the Incomprehensible, no wise man can be at a loss which of the two to prefer. To be called irrational, is a trille: to be so, and in matters of retigion, is far otherwise: and whether the irrationality consists in men's believing (i.e. in having persuaded themselves that they believe) against reason, or without reason, 1 have heen carly instructed to consider it as a sad and scrious evil, pregrant with mischiefs, political and moral. And by none of my numerous lustructors so impressively, as by that great and shining light of ourChurch in the æra of her intellectual splendour, Bishop Jeremy'Taylor: from one of whose works, aud that of especial authority for the safety as well as for the importance of the principle, inasmuch as it was written expressly ad populum, I will now, both for its own intrinsie worth, and to relieve the attention, wearied, perhaps, by the length and argumentative character of the preceding discussion, interpose the following Aphorism.

## aphorisit xs.

JER. TAYLOR.
Whatever is mainst right reason, that, no faith can oblige us to believe. For though Reason is not the positive and affirmative measure of sur faith, and our faith ought to be larger than our (speculative) Reason, (see $1.1: 0$ ) and take something into her heart, that Reason can never take into her eye; yet in all our creed there can be nothing against reason. If Reason justly contradicts an article, it is not of the houschold of Faith. In this there is no difficulty, but that in practice we take care that we do not call thet Reason, which is not so (see p. 110, 111, 142). For although Reason is a right Judge [78], yet it ought not to pass sentence in an enquiry of faith, until all the information be brought in ; all that is within, and all that is without, all that is above, and all that is below ; all that eoncerns it in experience and all that concerns it in act ; whatsoever is of pertinent observation and whatsoever is revealed. For else Reason may argue, very well and yet conclude falsely. It may conclude well in Logir, and yet infer a false proposition
in Theology (p. 110, line 27). But when our Judge is fully and truly informed in all that, whence she is to make her Judgment, we may safely follow her whithersoever she invites us.

## APHORISM XXI.

JER. TAYLOR.
He that speaks against his own Reason, speaks against his own Conspience: and therefore it is certain, no man serves God with a good conscience, who serves him against his reason.

APIORISM XXII.
the same.
By the eye of Reason throngh the telescope of Faith, i. $\boldsymbol{e}$. Revelation, we may see what without this telescope we could never have known to exist. But as one that shuts the eye hard, and with voleuce curls the eye-lid, forees a phantastic fire from the chrystalline humour, and espies a light that never shines, and sees thousands of little lires that never bum; so is he that blads the eye of Reason, and pretends to see by in eye of Faith. He makes little images of Notions, and some atoms dance before him; but he is not guided by the light, nor instructed by the proposition, but sees like a man in his sleep. In no case can true Reason and a mght Faith oppose each other.

## NOTE PREFATORY TO APIORISM XXIH.

Less on my own account, than in the hope of fore-arming my youthful friends, I add one other Transeript from Bishop Taytor, as from a Writer to whose name no taint or suspicion of Calvinistic or schismatical tenets can attach, and for the purpose of softening the offence which, I cannot but foresee, will be taken at the positions asserted in paragraph the first of Aphorism VII. p $190^{\circ}$ and the documental proofs of the same in $\mathrm{p} .130,181$ : and this by a formidable party composed of men ostensily of the most dissimilar Crecds, regular ChurehDivines, voted orthersox by a great majority of sulfrages, and the so-called Frese-thintibing Christians, and Unitarian Divines. It is the former dass allume that I wish to conciliate: so far at
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least as it may be done by removing the aggravation of novelty from the offensive article. And surely the simple re-assertion of one of "the two great things," which Bishop Taylor could assert as a faet, which, he took for granted, no Christian would think of controverting, should at least be controverted without bitterness by his successors in the Church. That which was perfectly safe and orthodox in 1657, in the judgment of a devoted Royalist and Episcopalian, must be at most but a venial heterodoxy in 1825. For the rest, I am prepared to hear in answer-what has already heen so often, and with such theatrical effect dropt, as an extinguisher, on my argu-ments-the famous concluding period of one of the chapters in Paley's Moral and Political Philosophy, declared by Dr. Parr the finest prose passage in English Literature. Be it so! I bow to so great an authority. But if the learned Doctor would inpose it on me as the truest as well as the finest, or expeet me to admire the Jogic equally with the Rhetoric-aqisquai. I start off! As I have been umenglish enough to find in Pope's tomb-epigram ou Sir Isaac Newton nothing better than a gross and wrongful falsehood conveyed in an enormous and irreverent hyperbole; so with regard to this passage in question, free as it is from all faults of taste, I have yet the hardihood to confess, that in the sense in which the words discover and prove, are here used and intended, I am not convinced of the truth of the principle, (that he alone discovers who proves), and I question the correctness of the particular case, brought as instance and confirmation. I doubt the validity of the assertion as a general rule; and I deny it, as applied to matters of faith, to the verities of religion, in the belief of which there must always be somewhat of moral election, "an act of the Will in lit as well as of the Understanding, as much love in it as discursive power. True Christian Faith must have in it something of in-evidence, something that must be made up by duty and by obedience."-Taylor's Worthy Communicant, p. 160. But most readily do I admit, and most fervently do I contend, that the Miracles worked by Christ, both as miracles and as fulfiments of prophecy, both as signs and as womlers,
made phain discovery, and gave unquestionable proof, of his divine character and authority ; that they were to the whole Jewish nation true and appropriate evidences, hat lia was indeed come who had promised and declared to their Forefnthers, Behold, your God will come with vengeance, (Matt. x. 3.1, Luke xii. 49), even God a recompense! He will come and save you! (Istialh xxxr. 4, compared with Matt. x. 34, and Lutke xii. 49.) I receive them as proofs, therefore, of the truth of every word, which he tanght who was himself The Woun: and as sure cridenees of the final victory over death and of the life to come, in that they were manifestations of Ifan, who said: I am the Resurrection and the Life!

The obvious inference from the passage in question, if not its express import, is: Miracula experimento crucis esse, quo solo probandum erat, Homines non, pecudum instar, omnino perituros esse. Now this doetrine $\mathbf{I}$ hold to be altogether alien from the spirit, and without authority in the letter, of Scripture. I can recall nothing in the history of human Belief, that should induce me, I find nothing in my own moral Being that enables me, to understand it. I can, however, perfeetly well understand, the readiness of those Divines in hoe Paseir Dictum ore pleno jurare, qui nihil aliud in toto Evangelio invenire posse profitentur. The most unqualified admiration of this superlative passage 1 find perfectly in character for those, who while Socinianism and Ultra-Socinianism are spreading like the roots of an Elm, on and just below the surface, through the whole land, and here and there at least have even dipt under the garden-fence of the Chureh, and blunt the edge of the Labourer's spade in the gayest parterres of our Baal-hamon, (Sol. Song, viii. 11)-who, while Heresies, to which the Framers and Compilers of our Liturgy, Homilies and Articles would have refused the very name of Christianity, meet their eyes on the List of Religious Denominations for every City and large Town throughout the kingdom-can yet congratulate themselves with Dr. Paley (in his Evidences) that the Rent has not reached the foundation-i. e. that the Corruption of Man's Will; that the responsibility of man in
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any sense in which it is not equally predicable of Dogs and Horses ; that the Divinity of our Lord, and even his pre-existence; that Sin, and Redemption through the merits of Christ; and Grace; and the especial aids of the Spirit ; and the efficacy of Prayer; and the subsistency of the Holy Ghost; may all be extruded without breach or rent in the Essentials of Christian Faith!-that a Man may deny and renounce them all, and remain a fundamental Christian, notwithstanding! But there are many that cannot keep up with Latitudinarians of such a stride : and I trust, that the majority of serious Believers are in this predicament. Now for all these it would seem more in character to be of Bishop Taylor's opinion, that the Belief in question is presupposed in a convert to the Truth in Christ, but at all events not to circulate in the great whispering gallery of the Religious Public suspicions and hard thoughts of those who, like myself, are of this opinion! who do not dare decry the religious instincts of Humanity as a baseless dream; who hold, that to exeavate the ground under the faith of all mankind, is a very questionable method of building up our faith, as Christians; who fear, that instead of adding to, they should detract from the honor of the Incarnate Word by disparaging the light of the Word, that was in the beginning, and which lighteth every man; and who, under these convictions, can tranquilly leave it to be disputed, in some new "Dialogues in the Shades," between the fathers of the Unitarian Church on one side, and Maimonides, Moses Mendelsohn, and Lessing on the other, whether the famous passage in Paley does or does not contain three dialectic flaws, Petitio principii, Argumentum in circulo, and Argumentum contra rem a premisso rem ipsam includente.

Yes! fervently do I contend, that to satisfy the Understanding, that there is a Future State, was not the specific Object of the Christian Dispensation; and that neither the Belief of a Future State, nor the Rationality of this belief, is the exclusive Attribute of the Christian Religion. An essential, a fundamental, Article of all Religion it is, and therefore of the Christian; but otherwise than as in connexion with the Sal${ }^{2} 27$
vation of Mankind from the terrors of that state, among the essential Articles peculiar to the Gospel Creed (those, for instance, by which it is contra-distinguished from the Creed of a religious Jew) I do not place it. And before sentence is passed against me, as heterodox, on this ground, let not ny Judges forget, who it was that assured us, that if a man did not believe in a state of retribution after death, previously and on other grounds, "neither would he believe, though a man should be raised from the dead."
Again, I ain questioned as to my proofs of a future state, by men who are so far, and only so far, professed believers, that they admit a God, and the existence of a Law from God : I give them : and the Questioners turn from me with a scoff or incredulous smile. Now should others of a less scanty Creed infer the weakness of the reasons assigned by me from their failure in convincing these men; may I not remind them, Wно it was, to whom a similar question was proposed by men of the same class? But at all events it will be enough for my own support to remember it ; and to know that He held such Questioners, who could not find a sufficing proof of this great all-concerning verity in the words, "The God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob," unworthy of any other answer! men not to be satisfied by any proof!-by any such proofs, at least, as are compatible with the ends and purposes of all religious conviction ! by any proofs, that would not destroy the faith they were intended to confirm, and reverse the whole character and quality of its effects and influences! But if, notwithstanding all here offered in defence of my opinion, I must still be adjudged heterodox and in error,-what can I say, but malo cum Platone errare, and take refuge behind the ample shield of Bishop Jeremy Taylor.

## APIORISM XXIII.

In order to his own glory, and for the manifestation of his goodness, and that the accidents of this world might not overmuch tronble those good men who suffered evil things, God was pleased to do two great things. The one was: that he
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sent his Son into the World to take upon him our Nature, that every man might submit to a necessity, from which God's own Son was not exempt, when it behoved even Christ to sufficr, and so to enter into glory. The other great thing was: that God did not only by Recelation and the Sermons of the Prophets to his Church; but even to all Mankind competently teach, and cffectively persuade, that the Soul of Man does not die; that though things were ill here, yet to the good who usually feel most of the evils of this life, they should end in honor and advantages. And therefore Cicero had reason on his side to conclude, that there is a time and place after this life, wherein the wicked shall be punished and the vittuous rewarded; when he considered, that Orpheus and Soerates, and how many others, just men and benefactors of mankind, were either slain or oppressed to death hy evil men. (Compare IIeb. ch. xi. v. 36-39.) "And all these received not the promise." But when Virtue made men poor ; and free speaking of brave truths made the wise to lose their liberty; when an excellent life hastened an opprobrious death, and the obeying Reason and our Conscience lost us our Lives, or at least all the means and conditions of enjoying them: it was but time to look about for another state of things, where Justice should rule and Virtue find her own portion. And therefore Men east out every line, and turned every stone and tried every argument: and sometimes proved it well, and when they did not, yet they believed strongly; and they were sure of the thing, even when they were not sure of the argu-ment.-(Sejmon at the Funeral of Sir George Dalston, 28th Sept. 1657, p. 2.)

## Comment

A fact may he truly stated, and yet the Causes or Reasons assigned for it mistaken; or inadequate; or pars pro toto, one only or few of many that might or should have been adduced. The preceding Aphorism is an instance in point. The Phanomenon here brought forward by the Bishop, as the ground and oceasion of men's belief of a future state-viz. the fre-
quent, not to say ordinary, disproportion between moral worth and worldly prosperity-must, indeed, at all times and in all countries of the Civilized World have led the observant and reflecting Few, the men of meditative habits and strong feelings of natural equity, to a nicer consideration of the current Belief, whether instinetive or traditional. By foreing the Soul in upon herself, this Enigma of Saint and Sage from Job, David and Solomon to Claudian and Boetius, this perplexing disparity of success and desert, has, I doubt not, with such men been the occasion of a steadier and more distinct conseiousness of a Something in man different in kind, and which not merely distinguishes but contra-distinguishes, him from animals-at the same time that it has brought into closer view an enigma of yet havder solution-the fact, I mean, of a Contradiction in the Human Being, of which no traces are observable elsewhere, in animated or inanimate nature[79]! A struggle of jarring impulses; a mysterious diversity between the injunctions of the mind and the elections of the will; and (last not least) the utter incommensurateness and the unsatisfying qualities of the things around us, that yet are the only objects which our senses discover or our appetites require us to pursue. Hence for the finer and more contemplative spirits the ever-strengthening suspicion, that the two Phænomena must some way or other atand in close connexion with each other, and that the Riddle of Fortune and Circumstance is but a form or eflluence of the Riddle of Man! And hence again, the persuasion, that the solution of both problems is to be sought for-hence the presentiment that this solution will be found, in the contra-distinctive Constituent of Humanity, in the Something of Human Nature which is exelusively human! And as the objects discoverable by the senses, as all the Bodies and Substances that we can touch, measure, and weigh, are either mere Totals, the unity of which results from the parts, often accidental, as that of a pebble, and always only apparent; or Substances, whose Unity of Action is owing to the nature or arrangement of the partible bodies which they actuate or set in motion; Steam, for instance, in a steam-engine, or the (so called) imponderable fluids;-as on
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one hand the conditions and known or conceivable properties of all the objects, that cease to be, and whose whole of existence is then a detached and eompleted Past, that links on to no Present ; as all the properties, that we ourselves have in common with these perishable things, differ in kind from the acts and properties peculiar to our Humanity, so that the former cannot even be conceived, cannot without a contradiction in terms be predicated, of the proper and immediate subject of the latter-for who would not smile at an ounce of Truth, or a square foot of Honor?--and as whatever things in visible nature have the character of Permanence, and endure amid continual flux unchanged, like a Rainbow in a fast flying shower, (ex. gr. Beauty, Order, Harmony, Finality, Law) are all akin to the peculia of Humanity, are all congeners of Mind and Will, without which indeed they would not only exist in vain, as Pictures for Moles, but actually not exist at all : hence, finally, the conclusion, that the Soul of Man, as the subject of Mind and Will, must likewise possess a principle of permanence, and be destined to endure! And were these grounds lighter than they are, yet as a small weight will make a Scale deseend, where there is nothing in the opposite Scale, or painted Weights, that have only an illusive relief or prominence; so in the Scale of Immortality slight Reasons are in effect weighty, and sufficient to determine the Judgment, there heing no counterweight, no reasons against them, and no facts in proof of the contrary, that would not prove equally well the eessation of the cye on the removal or diffraction of the Eye-glass, and the dissolution or incapacity of the Musician on the fracture of his instrument or its strings.

But though I agree with Taylor so far, as not to doubt that the misallotment of worldly goods and fortunes was one principal oceasion, exciting well-disposed and spiritually awakened Natures by reflections and reasonings, such as I have here supposed, to mature the presentiment of immortality into full consciousness, into a principle of action and a well-spring of strength and consolation; I cannot concede to this circumstance any thing like the importance and cxtent of efficacy
which he in this passage attributes to it. I am persuaded, that as the belief of all mankind, of all [80) tribes, and nations, and languages, in all ages and in all states of social union, it must be referred to far deeper grounds, common to man as man: and that its fibres are to be traced to the tap-root of Humanity. I have long entertained, and do not hesitate to avow, the conviction, that the argument from Universality of Belief, urged by Barrow and others in proof of the first Article of the Creed, is neither in point of fact-for two very different objects may be intended, and two (or more) diverse and even contradictory conceptions may be expressed, by the same Name-nor in legitimacy of conclusion as strong and unexceptionable, as the argument from the same ground for the continuance of our personal leeing after death. The Bull-calf buts with smooth and unarmed Brow. Throughout animated Nature, of each characteristic Organ and Faculty there exists a pre-assurance, an instinctive and practical Anticipation: and no Pre-assurance common to a whole species does in any instarce prove delusive. All other prophecies of Nature have their exact fulfilment-in every other "ingrafted word" of Promise Nature is found truc to her Word, and is it in her noblest Creature, that she tells her first Lie?-(The Reader will, of course, understand, that I an here speaking in the assumed character of a mere Naturalist, to whom no light of revelation had been vouchsafed : one, who

> with gentle heat
> Had worshipp'd Nature in the Ifil and Valley, Not knowing what he loved, but loved it all!)

Whether, however, the introductory part of the Bishop's argument is to be received with more or less qualification, the Fact itself, as stated in the conciuding sentence of the Aphorism, remains unaffected, and is beyond exception true.
If other argument and yet higher authority were required, I might refer to St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans, and to the Epistle to the IIebrews, which whether written by Paul, or, as Luther conjectured, by Apollos, is out of all doubt the work of an Apostolic Man filled with the Holy Spirit, and com-
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ity were required, Romans, and to the mitten by Paul, or, it of all doubt the oly Spirit, and com-
posed while the Temple and the Glories of the Temple Worship were yet in existence. Several of the Jewish and still Judaizing Converts had begun to vacillate in their faith, and to "stumble at the stumbling-stone" of the contrast between the poinp and splendor of the Old Law and the simplieity and humility of the Christian Church. To break this sensual charm, to unfascinate these bedazzled brethren, the Writer to the Hebrews institutes a comparison between the two religions, and demonstrates the superior spiritual grandeur, the greater intrinsic worth and dignity of the Religion of Christ. On the other hand, at Rome where the Jews formed a numerous, powerful, and privileged class (many of them, too, by their proselyting zeal and frequent disputations with the Pricsts aud Philosophers trained and exercised Polemics) the recently-founded Christian Church, was, it appears, in greater danger from the reasonings of the Jewish Doctors and even of its own Judaizing Members, respecting the use of the new revelation. Thus the object of the Epistle to the Hebrews was to prove the superiority of the Christian Religion; the object of the Epistle to the Romans to prove its necessity. Now there was one argument extremely well calculated to stagger a faith newly transplanted and still loose at its roots, and which, if allowed, secmed to preelude the possibility of the Christian Religion, as an especial and immediate revelation from God-on the high grounds, at least, on which the Apostle of the Gentiles placed it, and with the exelusive rights and superseding character, which he claimed for it. You admit (said they) the divine origin and authority of the Law given to Moses, proclaimed with thunders and lightnings and the Voice of the Most High heard by all the People from Mount Sinai, and introduced, enforced, and perpetuated by a series of the most stupendous miracles! Our Religion then was given by God : and ean God give a perishable, imperfect religion? If not perishable, how ean it have a successor? If perfect, how can it need to be superseded? The entire argument is indeed comprised in the latter attribute of our Law. We know, from an authority whieh you yourselves
acknowledge for divine, that our Religion is perfect. "He is the Rock, and his Work is perfect." (Deuter. xxxii. 4.) If then the Religion revealed by God himself to our Forefathers is perfect, what need have we of another? This objection, both from its importance, and from its (for the persons at least, to whom it was addressed) extreme plausibility, behoved to be answered in both cpistles. And accordingly, the answer is included in the one (Hebrews) and it is the especial purpose and main sulject of the other. And how does the Apostle answer it ? Suppose-and the case is not impossible [81]-a man of Sense, who had studied the evidences of Priestly and Paley with Waburton's Divine Legation, but who should be a perfect stranger to the Writings of St. Paul : and that I put this question to him :-what, do you think, will St. Paul's answer be? Nothing, he would reply, can be more obvious. It is in vain, the Apostle will urge, that you bring your notions of probability and inierences from the arbitrary interpretation of a word in an absolute rather than a relative sense, to invalidate a known fact. It is a fuct, that your Religion is (in your sense of the word) not perfect: for it is deficient in one of the two essential Constituents of all true Religion, the Belief of a Future State on solid and sufficient grounds. Had the doctrine indeed heen revealed, the stupendous Miracles, which you most truly affirm to have accompanied and attested the first promulgation of your Religion, would have supplied the requisite proof. But the doctrine was not revealed: and your belief of a future state rests on no solid grounds. You belicve it (as far as you believe it, and as many of you as profess this belief) without revelation, and without the only proper and sufficient evidence of its truth. Your Religion, therefore, though of divine Origin is, (if taken in disjunction from the new revelation, which 1 am commissioned to proclaim) but a Religio dimidiata; and the main purpose, the proper character, and the paramount object, of Christ's Mission and Miracles, is to supply the missing Half by a clear discovery of a finture state; and (since "he alone discovers who proves") by proving the truth of the doctrine, now for the first time de-
is perfect. " He Deuter. xxxii. 4.) to our Forefathers his objection, both ersons at least, to ty, behoved to be rly, the answer is e especial purpose does the Apostle impossible [81]-a es of Priestly and ut who should be a ul : and that I put will St. Paul's anmore obvious. It bring your notions cary interpretation ive sense, to invaur Religion is (in is deficient in one Religion, the Be nt grounds. Had pendous Miracles, anied and attested ould have supplied not revealed : and lid grounds. You any of you as prolout the only prour Religion, theren disjunction from d to proclaim) but , the proper char3 Mission and Miar discovery of a who proves") by the first time de-

APIOORISMS ON SPIRITUAL RFLIGION.
217
clared with the requisite authority, by the sequisite, appropriate, and alone satisfactory cvidence.

But is this the Apostle's answer to the Jewish Oppugners, and the Judaizing false brethren, of the Church of Christ? It is not the Answer, it does not resemble the Answer returned by the Apostle. It is neither parallel nor corradial with the line of Argument in either of the two Epistles, or with any one line; but it is a chord that traverses them all; and only touches where it euts aeross. In the Epist. to the Hebrews the direct contrary position is repeatedly asserted: and in the Epist. to the Romans it is every where supposed. The death to which the Law sentenced all Sinners (and which even the Gentiles without the revealed Law had announced to them by their consciences, "the judgment of God having been made known even to them") must be the same death, from which they were saved by the faith of the Son of God, or the Apostle's reasoning would be senseless, his antithesis a mere equivoque, a play on a word, quod idem sonat, aliud vult. Christ "redeemed mankind from the curse of the Law" (Galatians, iii. 11) : and we all know, that it was not from temporal death, or the penalties and afllietions of the present life, that Believers have been redeemed. The Law, of which the inspired Sage of Tarsus is speaking, from which no man can plead exeuse ; the Law miraculously delivered in thunders from Mount Sinai, which was inscribed on tables of stone for the Jews, and written in the hearts of all men (Rom. xi. 15) -the Law "holy and spiritual !" what was the great point, of which this Law, in its own name, offered no solution? the mystery, which it left behind the veil, or in the cloudy tabernacle of types and figurative sacrifices? Whether there was a Judgement to eome and Souls to suffer the dread sentenee? Or was it not far ra-ther-what are the means of escape? Where may Grace be found, and Redemption ? St. Pauls says, the latter. The Law brings condemnation: but the conscience-senteneed Transgressor's question, What shall I do to be saved? Who will intercede for me? she dismisses as beyond the jurisdiction of her Court, and takes no cognizance thereof, save in
prophetic murmurs or mute out-shadowings of mystic ordinances and sacrificial types. Not, therefore, that there is a Life to come, and a future state; but what each individual Soul may hope for itself therein; and on what grounds; and that this state has been rendered an object of aspiration and fervent desire, and a source of thanksgiving and exceeding great joy : and by whom, and through whom, and for whom, and by what means and under what conditions-these are the peculiar and distinguishing fundamentals of the Christian Faith! These are the revealed Lights and obtained Privileges of the Christian Dispensation! Not alone the knowledge of the Boon, but the precious inestimable Boon itself, is the "Grace and Truth that came by JesusChrist !" I believe Moses, I believe Paul ; but I believe in Christ.

## APHORISM

Leigntox.

## ON BAPTISM.

"In those days came John the Baptist preaching."-It will suffice for our present purpose, if by these [82] words we direct the attention to the origin, or at least first Scriptural Record, of Baptism, and to the combinement of Preaching therewith; their aspect each to the other, and their concurrence to one excellent end ; the Word unfolding the Sacrament, and the Sacrament sealing the Word; the Word as a Light, informing and clearing the sense of the Seal, and this again, as a Seal, confirming and ratifying the truth of the word: as you see some significant Scals, or engraven Signets, have a word about them expressing their Sense.

But truly the Word is a Light and the Sacraments have in them of the same Light illuminating them. This (sacrament) of Baptism, the Ancients do particularly express by Light. Yet are they both nothing but darkness to us, till the same light shine in our Hearts; for till then we are nothing but darkness ourselves, and therefore the most luminous things are so to us. Noonday is as midnight to a blind man. And we see these ordinances, the word and the sacrament, without profit or com-
mystic ordinan$t$ there is a Life individual Soul rounds ; and that piration and ferexceeding great for whom, and by are the peculiar an Faith! These ges of the Chrisof the Boon, but Grace and Truth I believe Paul ;

Lelenton.
aching."-It will 32] words we dist Scriptural Rec. reaching thereir concurrence to Sacrament, and as a light, inford this again, as a he word: as you ets, have a word
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fort for the most part, becanse we have not of that livine Light within us. And we have it not, herause we ask it not.

A born and bred Baptist, and paternally descended from the old orthodox Non-conformists, and both in his own and in his father's right a very dear friend of mine, had married a Member of the National Church. In consequence of an anxious wish expressed by his Lady for the baptisin of their first ehild, he solicited me to put him in possession of my views respecting this controversy : though principally as to the degree. of importance which I attached to it. For ns to the point itself, his natural pre-possession in favor of the Persuasion, in which he was born, had been confirmed by a conscientious examination of the Arguments on both sides. As the Comment on the preceding Aphorism, or rather as an expansion of its sul -ject-matter, I will give the substance of the conversation : and amply shall I have been remuncrated, should it be read with the interest and satisfaction with which it was heard. More particularly, shotid any of iny Readers find themselves under the same or similar Circumstances.

## comment

Or Aul to Reflection in the forming of a sound Judgement respecting the purport and purpose of the Baptismal Rite, and a just appreciation of its value and importance.
Our discussion is rendered shorter and more easy by our perfect agreement in certain preliminary points. We both disclain alike every attempt to explain any thing into Seripture, and every attempt to explain any thing out of Scripture. Or if we regard either with a livelier aversion, it is the latter as being the more fashionable and prevalent. I mean the practice of both high and low Grotian Divines to explain atvay positive assertions of Scripture on the pretext, that the literal sense is not agreeable to Reason, that is, their particular Rea-
son. And inasmuch as (in the only right sense of the word) there is no such thing as a particular' Reason, they must, and in fact they do mean, that the literal sense is not accordant to their Understanding, i, e. to the Notions which their Understandings lave been taught and accustomed to form in their school of Philosophy. Thus a Platonist, who should hecome a Christian, would at once, even in texts susceptible of a different interpretation, recognize, because he would expect to find, several doctrines which the disciple of the Epicurean or Mechanic School will not receire on the most positive declarations of the Divine Word. And as we agree in the opinion, that the Minimi-fidian Party (p. 136) err grievously in the latter point, so I must concede to you, that too many liedobaptists (Assertors of Infant Baptism) have erred, though less grossly, in the former. I have, I confess, no eye for these smoke-like Wieaths of Inference, this ever-widening spiral Ergo from the narrow aperture of perhaps a single Text: or rather an iuterpretation forced into it by construing an idiomatic phrase in an artless Narrative with the same ahsoluteness, as if it had formed part of a mathematical problem! I start back from these inverted Pyramids, where the apex is the base! If 1 should inform any one that $!$ had called at a friend's house, but had found nobody at home, the Family having all gone to the play; and if he, on the strength of this information, should take occasion to asperse my friend's wife for unmotherly conduct in taking an infant, six months old, to a crowded theatre; would you allow him to press on the words, nobody and all the family, in justification of the slander? Would you not tell him? that the words were to be interpreted by the nature of the subject, the purpose of the speaker, and their ordinary acceptation? And that he must or might have known, that Infants of that age would not be admitted into the Theatre ? Exactly so, with regard to the words, "he and all his Household." Had Baptism of Infants at that early period of the Gospel been a known practice, or had this been previously demonstrated,-then indeed the argument, that in all probabinity there was one or more infants or young children in
ase of the word) , they must, and not accordant to wich their Underto form in their o should becone ceptible of a difwould expect to he Epicurean or st positive declae in the opinion, rrievously in the too many Piedorred, though less o eye for those -widening spiral single Text: or nstruing an idioa same ahsolutecal problem! I nere the apex is had called at a me, the Family strength of this my friend's wife $x$ months old, to to press on the n of the slander? o be interpreted the speaker, and or might have dmitted into the rds, "he and all hat early period this been previient, that in all oung children in
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so large a family, would be no otherwise objectionable than as being superfluous, and a sort of anticlimax in Logic. But if the words are cited as the proof, it would be a clear petitio principii, though there had been nothing else against it. But when we turn back to the Scriptures preceding the narrative, and find Repentance and Belief demanded as the terms and indispensable Conditions of Baptisn-then the ease above imagined applies in its full forec. Equally vain is the pretended analogy from circumeision, which was ne sacrament at all; but the means and mark of national distinction. In the first instance it was, doubtless a privilege or mark of superior rank conferred on the Descendants of Abralam. In the patriarchal times this rite was confined (the first Governments being Theocracies) to the Priesthood, who were set apart to that office from their Birth. At a later period this Token of the premier class was extended to Kings. And thus, when it was re-ordained by Moses for the whole Jewish Nation, it was at the same time said-Ye are all Priests and Kings-Ye are a consecrated People. In addition to this, or rather in aid of this, Circuncision was intended to distinguish the Jews by some indelible sign : and it was no less necessary that Jewish children should be recognizable as Jews, than Jewish adults-not to inention the greater safety of the rite in infancy. Nor was it ever pretended that any Crace was conferred with it, or that the Rite was significant of any inward or spiritual Operation. In short, an unprejudiced and competent Reader need only peruse the first 33 Paragraphs of the 18th Section of Taylor's Liberty of Prophesying; and then compare with these the remainder of the Section added by him after the Restoration: those, namely, in which he attempts to overthrow his own arguments. I had alinost said, affects: for such is the feebleness, and so palpable the sophistry, of his Answers, that I find it difficult to innagine, that Taylor himself could have been satisfied with them. The only plausible arguments apply with equal force to Baptist and Pædo-baptist ; and would prove, if they proved any thing, that both were wrong, and the Quakers only in the right.

AIDS TO REFLECTION.
Now, in the first place, it is obvious, that nothing conclusive c in be drawn from the silence of the New Testament respecting a practice, which, supposing it already in use, must yet from the character of the first Converts, have been of comparatively rare occurrence; and which from the predominant, and more concerning, Objects and Functions of the Apostolic Writers ( 1 Corinth. i. 17) was not likely to have been mentioned otherwise than incidentally, and very probably therefore might not have occurred to them to mention at all. But, secondly, admitting that the practice was introduced at a later period than that in which the Acts of the Apostles and the Episthes were composed: I should yet be fully satisfied, that the Church exercised herein a sound [83] discretion. On either supposition, therefore, it is never without regret that I see a Divine of our Chusch attempting to erect forts on a position so evidently commanded by the strong-hold of his Antagonists. I dread the use which the Socinians may make of their example, and the Papists of their failure. Let me not, however, deceive you. (The Reader understands, that I suppose myself conversing with a Baptist.) I am of opinion, that the Divines on your side are chargeable with a far more grievous mistake, that of giving a carnal and Judaizing interpretation to the various Gospel Texts in which the terms, baptism and baptize, occur, contrary to the express and earnest admonitions of the Apostle Paul. And this I say without in the least retracting my former concession, that the Texts appealed to, as commanding or authorizing Infant Baptism, are all without exception made to bear a sense neither contained nor deducible: and likewise that (historically considered) there exists no sufficient positive evidence, that the Baptism of Infants was instituted by the Apostles in the practice of the Apostolic Age[84].
Lastly, we both co-incide in the full conviction, that it is neither the outward ceremony of Baptism, under any form or circumstance, nor any other ceremony; but such a faith in Christ as tends to produce a conformity to his holy doctrines and example in heart and life, and which faith is itself a de-
at nothing conclusive Testament respectady in use, must yet we been of comparahe predominant, and ns of the Apostolic to have been menry probably therefore ion at all. But, secoduced at a later pepostles and the Epislly satisfied, that the iscretion. On either it regret that I see a forts on a position so d of his Antagonists. make of their examet me not, however, Is, that I suppose myfopinion, that the Di a a far more grievous daizing interpretation he terms, baptism and and earnest admoniy without in the least he Texts appealed to, aptism, are all without contained nor deducidered) there exists no aptism of Infants was ctice of the Apostolic
nviction, that it is neim , under any form or ; but such a faith in y to his holy doctrines nich faith is itself a de-
clared mean and condition of our partaking of his spiritual Body, and of being "clothed upon" with his righteousness; that properly makes us Christicns, and can alone be enjoined as an Article of Faith necessary to Salvation, so that the denial thereof may be denounced as "a damnable heresy." In the strictest sense of essential, this alone is the essential in christianity, that the same spirit should be growing in us whieh was in the fullness of all perfection in Christ Jesus. Whatcver else is named essential is such because, and only as far as, it is instrumental to this or evidently implied herein. If the Baptists hold the visible Rite indispensable to Salvation, with what terror must they not regard every disease that befel their children between Youth and Infancy! But if they are saved by the faith of the Parent, then the outwari rite is not essential to Salvation, otherwise than as the omission should arise from a spirit of disobedience: and in this case it is the caase, not the effect, the wilful and unbaptized Heart, not the unbaptizing Hand, that perils it. And surely it looks very like an inconsistency to admit the vicarious faith of the Parents and the therein implied promise, that the child shall be christianly bred up, and as much as in them lies prepared for the communion of saints-to admit this, as safe and sufficient in their own instance, and yet to denounce the same belief and practice as hazardous and unavailing in the Established Church-the same, I say, essentially, and only differing from their own by the presence of two or three Christian Friends as additional Securities, and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}$ the promise being expressed!

But you, my filial Friend! have studied Christ under a better Teacher-the Spirit of Adoption, even the spirit that was in Paul, and which still speaks to us out of his writings. You remember and admire the saying of an old Divine, that a ceremony duly instituted was a Chain of Gold around the Neck of Faith; but if in the wish to make it co-essential and consubstantial, you draw it closer and closer, it may strangle the Faith, it was meant to deck and designate. You are not so unretentive a Scholar as to have forgotten the "pateris et auro" of your Virgil: or if you were, you are not so inconsis-
tent a reasoner, as to translate the Hebraism, Spirit and Fire, in one place by spiritual fire, and yet refuse to translate Water and Spirit by Spiritual Water in another place: or if, as I myself think, the different position marks a different sense, yet that the former must be ejusdem generis with the latter-the Water of Repentance, reformation in conduct; and the Spirit that which purifies the inmost principle of aeticn, as Fire purges the metal substantially and not cleansing the surface only ! (See Aph. xxiii. p. 9-10.)

But in this instance, it will be said, the ceremony, the outward and visible sign, is a Scripture Ordinanes. I will not reply, that the Romish Priest says the same of the anointing the sick with oil and the imposition of hands. No! my answer is: that this is a very sufficient reason for the continued observanee of a cermonial Rite so derived and sanctioned, even though its own beauty, simplicity, and natural significancy had pleaded less strongly in its behalf! But it is no reason why the Church should forget, that the perpetuation of a thing does not alter the nature of the thing, and that a ceremony to be perpetuated is to be perpetuated as a ceremony. It is no reason why, knowing and experiencing even in the majority of her own Members the proneness of the human mind to [85] Superstition, the Church might not rightfully and piously adopt the measures best calculated to check this tendency, and correct the abuse, to which it had led in any particular Rite. But of superstitious notions respecting the baptismal ceremony and of abuse resulting, the instances were flagrant and notorious. Such, for instance, was the frequent deferring of the baptismal rite to a late period of Life, and even to the death-bed, in the belief that the mystic water would cleanse the baptized person from all $\sin$ and (if he died immediately after the performance of the ceremony) send him pure and spotless into the other World.
Nor is this all. The preventive remedy applied by the church is legitimated as well as additionally recommended by the following consideration. Where a ceremony answered and was intended to answer several purposes, which purposes at
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emedy applied by the mally recommended by eremony answered and ses, which purposes at
its first institution were blended in respect of the time, but which afterwards by change of circumstances (as when, for instance, a large and ever-increasing proportion of the members of the Church, or those who at least bore the Christian name, were of Christian Parents) were necessarily disunitedthen either the Church has no power or authority delegated to her (which is shifting the ground of controversy)-or she must be authorized to choose and determine, to which of the several purposes the ceremony should be attached. Now one of the purposes of Baptism was-the making it publicly manifest, first, what Individuals were to be regarded by the World (Phil. ii. 15) as belonging to the visible Community of Christians: inasmuch as by their demeanour and apparent condition the gencral estimation of "the City set on a hill and not to be hid" (Math. v. 14) could not be affected-the City that even "in the midst of a crooked and perverse nation" was bound not only to give no cause, bat by all innocent means to prevent every occasion, of "Rebuke" Secondly, to mark out those that were entitled to that especial Dearness; that watchful and disciplinary Love and Loving-kindness; which over and above the affections and duties of Philanthropy and Universal Charity, Christ himself had enjoined, and with an emphasis and in a form significant of its great and especial importance. A New Commandmunt I give unto you, that ye love one another. By the former the Body of Christians was to be placed in contrast with the notorious misanthropy and bigotry of the Jewish Church and People: and thus without draw-back, and precluding the objection so commonly made to Sectarian Benevolence, to be distinguished and known to all men by their fervid fulfiment of the latter. How kind these Christians are to the poor and afllieted, without distinction of religion or country! But how they love each other!

Now combine with this the consideration before urged-the duty, I mean and necessity of checking the superstitious abuse of the baptismal rite : and I then ask, with eonfidence, in what way could the Church have exereised a sound discretion more wisely, pionsly, or effectively, than by affixing, from among 99)
the several ends and purposes of Baptism, the outward ceremony to the purposes here mentioned? How could the great Body of Christians be more plainly instructed as to the true nature of all outward ordinances? What can be conceived better calculated to prevent the ceremony from being regarded as other and more than a ceremony, if not the administration of the saune on an object, (yea, a dear and precious object) of spirital duties, but a subject of spiritual operations and graces only by anticipation and in hope;-a subject, unconscious as a Flower of the dew talling on it or the early rain, and thus emblematic of the myriads who (as in our Indian Empire, and henceforward, we trust, in Africa) are temporally and even morally benefited by the outward existence of Christianity, though as yet ignorant of its saving truth! And yet, on the other hand, what more reverential than the application of this, the common initiatory rite of the East sanctioned and appropriated by Christ-its application, I say, to the very subjects, whom he himself commanded to be brought to him-the children in arms, respecting whon "Jesus was much displeased with his disciples, who had rebuked those that brought them!" What more expressive of the true character of that originant and generic Stain, from which the Son of God, by his mysterious incarnation and agony and death and resurrection, and by the baptism of the Spirit, came to cleanse the Children of Adam, than the exhibition of the outward element to Infants free from and incapable of crime, in whom the evil principle was present only as potential being, and whose outward semblance represented the Kingdom of Heaven? And can it-to a man, who would hold himself deserving of Anathema Maranatha ( 1 Cor. xvi. 22,) if he did not "love the Lord Jesus"can it be nothing to such a man, that the introduction and commendation of a new Inmate, a new spiritual Ward, to the assembled Brethren in Christ (-and this, as I have shown above, was one purpose of the baptismal Ceremony) does in the baptism of an lofant recall our Lord's own presentation in the temple on the eighth day after his birth? Add to all these considerations the known fact of the frequent exposure and the
the outward cerew could the great ted as to the true be conceived betbeing regarded as administration of precious object) of rations and graces $t$, unconscious as a rain, and thus emdian Empire, and aporally and even e of Christianity, ! And yet, on the application of this, oned and approprithe very subjects, $t$ to him-the chils much displeased rat brought them!" er of that originant Jod, by his mysteresurrection, and se the Children of element to Infants the evil principle hose outward semn ? And can it-to Anathema Maranthe Lord Jesus"roduction and comal Ward, to the ashave shown above, y) does in the bappresentation in the dd to all these con$t$ exposure and the
general light regard of Infants, at the time when Infant Baptism is by the Baptists supposed to have been first ruled by the Catholic Church, not overlooking the humane and charitable motives, that influenced Cyprian's decision in its favor! And then make present to your imagination, and meditatively contemplate the still continuing tendency, the profitable, the beautiful effects, of this ordinance now and for so many centuries back on the great Mass of the Population throughout Christendom-the softening, elevating exercise of Faith and the Conquest over the senses, while in the form of a helpless crying Babe the Presence, and the unutterable Worth and Value, of an immortal Being made capable of everlasting bliss are solemnly proclaimed and carried home to the mind and heart of the Hearers and Beholders! Nor will you forget the probable influence on the future education of the Child, the opportunity of instructing and impressing the friends, relatives, and parents in their best and most docile mood! These are indeed, the mollia tempora fandi.

It is true, that by an unforseen acccident, and through the propensity of all Zealots to caricature partial truth into total falsehood-it is too true, that a Tree the very contrary in quality of that shown to Moses (Exod. xv. 25) was afterwards "cast into th: sweet waters from this fountain," and made them like " the waters of Marah," too bitter to be drunk. I allude to the Pelagian Controversy, the perversion of the Article of Original Sin by Augustine, and the frightful conclusions which this durus pater infantum drew from the Article thus perverted. It is not, however, to the predecessors of this African, whoever they were that authorized Pædo-baptism, and at whatever period it first became general-it is not to the Church at the time being, that these consequences are justly imputable. She had done her best to preclude every superstition, by allowing in urgent cases any and every Adult, Man and Woman, to administer the ceremonial part, the outward rite, of baptism ; but reserving to the highest Functionary of the Church (even to the exclusion of the Co-presbyters) the most proper and spirital purpose, viz. the declaration of Repen-
tanee and Belief, the free Choice of Christ, as his Lord, and the open profession of the Christian Title by an individual in his own name and by his own deliberate act. The admission, and public reception of the Belicver into the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost-this office of Religion, the essentially moral and spiritual nature of which could not be mistaken, this most solemn offiee the Bishop alone was to perform. Thus-as soon as the purposes of the ceremonial Rite were by change of circumstance divided, that is, took place at different periods of the Believer's Life-to the outward purposes, where the effect was to be produced on the minds of others, the Church contiuued to affix the outward rite; while to the substantial and spiritual purpose, where the effect was to be produced on the Individual's own mind, she gave its beseeming dignity by an ordinance not figurative, but standing in the direct cause and relation of means to the end.

In fine, there are two great Purposes to be answered, each having its own subordinate purposes, and desirable consequences. The Chureh answers both, the Baptists one only. If, nevertheless, you would still prefer the union of the baptismal rite with the Confirmation, and the Presentation of Infants to the assembled Church had formed a separate institution, avowedly prospective-I answer : first, that such for a long time and to a late period was my own Judgment. But even then it seemed to me a point, as to which an indifference would be less inconsistent in a lover of Truth, than a zeal to separation in a professed lover of Peace. And secondly, I would revert to the History of the Reformation, and the calamitous accident of the Peasant's War: when the poor ignorant multitude, driven frantic by the intolerable oppressions of their feudal Lords, rehearsed all the outrages that were acted in our own times by the Parisian Populace headed by Danton, Marat, and Robespierre; and on the same outrageous Principles, and in assertion of the same Rights of Brutes to the subversion of all the Duties of Men. In our times, most fortunately for the interests of Religion and Morality, or of their prudential Substitutes at least, the Name of Jacobin was every where
, as his Lord, and $y$ an individual in : The admission, the name of the office of Religion, f which could not shop alone was to of the ceremonial ided, that is, took Life-to the oute produced on the affix the outward urpose, where the l's own mind, she not figurative, but neans to the end. be answered, each sirable consequentists one only. If, on of the baptismal ation of Infants to institution, avow$h$ for a long time But even then it fference would be zeal to separation lly, I would revert alamitous accident gnorant multitude, ns of their feudal acted in our own anton, Marat, and Principles, and in the subversion of ost fortunately for of their prudential was every where
associated with that of Atheist and Infidel. Or rather, Jacebinism and Infidelity where the two Heads of the Revolutionary Geryon-connatural misgrowths of the same Monstertrunk. In the German Convulsion, on the contrary, by a mere but most unfortunate accident, the same Code of Caliban Jurisprudence, the same sensual and murderous Excesses, were connected with the name of Anabaptist. The Abolition of Magistracy, Community of Goods, the Right of Plunder, Polygamy, and whatever else was fanatical, were comprised in the word, Anabaptism! It is not to be imagined, that the Fathers of the Reformation could, without a miraculous influence, have taken up the question of Infant Baptism with the requisite calmness and freedom of Spirit. It is not to be wished, that they should have entered on the discussion. Nay, 1 will go farther. Unless the Abolition of Infant Baptisn can be shown to be involved in some fundanental article of Faith, unless the Practice could be proved fatal or imminently perilous to Salvation, the Reformers would not have been justified in exposing the yet tender and struggling cause of Protestantism to such certain and violent prejudices as this Innovation would have excited. Nothing less than the whole substance and efficacy of the Gospel Faith was the prize, which they had wrestled for and won; but won from enemies still in the field, and on the watch to re-take, at all costs, the sacred Treasure, and consign it once again to darkness and oblivion. If there be a time for all things, this was not the time for an imovation, that would and must have been followed by the triumph of the enemies of scriptural Christianity, and the alienation of the Governments, that had espoused and protected it.

Remember, I say this on the supposition of the question's not being what you do not pretend it to be, an Essential of the Faith, by which we are saved. But should it likewise be conceded, that it is a disputable point-and that in point of fact it is and has been disputed by Divines, whom no pious Protestant of any denomination will deny to have been faithful and eminent servants of Christ-should it, I say, be likewise conceded that the question of Infant Baptism is a poiut,
on which two Christians, who perhaps differ on this point only, may differ without giving just ground for impeaching the piety or competence of either-in this case I am obliged to infer, that the Person who at any time can regard this difference as singly warranting a separation from a religious Community, must think of Schism under another point of View, than I have been taught to contemplate it by St. P'aul in his epistles to the Corinthians.

Let me add a few words on a diversity of doctrine closely connected with this: the opiuions of Doctors Mant and D'Oyley as opposed to those of the (so called) Evangelical Clergy. "The Church of England (says Wall [86]) does not require assent and consent" to either opinion "in order to lay communion." But I will suppose the person a Minister ; but Minister of a Church which has expressly disclaimed all pretence to infallibity, a Church which in the construction of its liturgy and articles is known to have worded certain passages for the purpose of rendering them subseribable by both $\mathbf{A}$ and Z-i. e. the opposite parties as to the points in controversy. I suppose this person's convictions those of $Z$, and that out of five passages there are three, the more natural and obvious sense of which is in his favor; and two, of which though not absolutely preeluding a difierent sense, yet the more probable interpretation is in favor of Ai.c. of those who do not consider the Baptism of an Infant as prospective, but hold it to be an Opus Operans et in presenti. Then I say, that if such a person regards these two sentences or single passages as obliging or warranting him to abandon the Flock entrusted to his charge, and either to join such, as are the avowed Enemics of the Church on the double ground of its particular Constitution and of its being an Establishment, or to set up a separate Church for himself-l cannot avoid the conclosion, that either his Conscience is morbidly sensitive in one speek to the exhaustion of the sensibilty in a far larger portion; or that he must have discovered some mode, beyond the reach of my conjectural powers, of interpreting the scriptures enumerated in the following Exeerpt from the popular Tract before cited,
on this point ouly, mpeaching the priety am obliged to infer, ard this difference as digious Community, of View, than I have in his epistles to the
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in which the writer expresses an opinion, to which I assent with my whole heart : viz.
"That all Christians in the world that hold the same fundamentals ought to make one church, though differing in lesser opinions; and that the sin, the mischici, and danger to the souls of men, that divide into those many sects and parties among us, does (for the most of them) consist not so much in the opininions themselves, as in their dividing and separating for them. And in support of this tenet, 1 will refer you to some plain places of Scriptree, which if you please now to peruse, 1 will be silent the winte. See what our Saviour himself says, John x. 16. John xvii. 11. And what the primitive Christians practised, Acts ii. 46, and iv. 32. And what St. Paul says 1 Cor. i. $10,11,12$, and iii. 2, 3, 4, also the whole 12th chapter: Eph. ii. 18, \&c. to the end. Where the Jewish and Gentile Christians are showed to be one body, onc household; one temple filly framed logether: and yet these were of diflercut opinions in several matters. Likewise chap. iii. G, iv. 1. to 18, Phil. ii. 1, 2. where he uses the most solemn adjurations to this purpose. But I would more especially recommend to you the reading of Gal. v. 20,21, Phil. iii. 15, 16. The 14th chapter to the Romans, and part of the 15 th, to ver. 7 , and also Rom. xvi. 17.
Are not these passages plain, full, and carnest? Do you find any of the controverted points to be determined by Seripture in words nigh so plain or pathetic?
marginal note written (in 1816) by tify emtor in its own copy of Wall's work.

This ind the two following pages are excellent. If I midressed the ministers revently seceded, I would first prove from Seripture and Reason tho justness of their doetrines ronceming Baptisin and Conversion. 2. I would show, that even in respert of the Prayer-look, Ifomilics, \&e. of the Churela of England, taken as a whole, their opponents were comparutively us ill of themselves, if not worse. 3. That the few mistakes or inconvemiont phases of the Baprismal Sorvife did not inmose on the conseience the wrcessity of resigning the pastomb oflice. A. That even if they dinl, his
would by no means justify echism from Lay-menomemhip: or else there ronld he no whisism except from mimmurulate mul infallible Churrh. Now, as our Articlow have declared that mo Church is or ever wis such, it would fillow that threre is no such sin tis that of Schism-i. e. that St. Poul wrote filsely or idly. 5. Thut the Pisenpe through the Chamel of Dissent is from the Frying l'an to the Fire-cor to use a less worn mad vulgar simile, the biscape of a lacel from a ghass-jnr of Water into the maked and open Air. But never, never, womld it in one hrenth nllow my Chureh to be fillible, mat in the next contend for her absolute freedom from all error-newer confine inspirution ond perfoet troth to the Seriptures, and then seold for the perfect 'Iruth of each and every word in the Prayer-hook. Enough for me, if in my Hent of Hearts, free from all fear of man and all lust of preferment, I bolieve (ns I do) the Chureh of Eughund to bo the most Apostolic Chureh; that its doctrines mad reremonies contain nothing dungerons to Righteonsuress or Salvation; und that the junuerfections in its liturgy are spots indred, lant spots on the sum, whirh impuale neither its Light nor its Itent, so us to prevent tho good seed from growing in a gool soil and producing fruits of Redemption.
** The author had written and intended to insert a similar exposition on the Eucharist. But as the londing view has been given in the Comment. on Redenation, its kength imlures him to defer it, together with the artides on F'oith and the lhilosophy of l'puyer, to a small smplementary Volmate.
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## CONCLUSION.

I am not so ignorant of the temper and tendency of the age in which 1 live, as either to be unprepared for the sort of remarks which the literal intorpretation of the Evangelist will call forth, or to attempt an answer to them. Visionary Ravings, Obsolete Whimsies, Transcendental Trash, \&c. \&c. I leave to pass at the price current, among those who are willing to receive abnsive phrases as substitutes for argument. Should any Suborner of anonymous Criticism have engaged some literaty Bravo or Buffoon heforehand, to vilify this work, as in former instances, I would give a friendly hint to the operative Critic that he may compile an excellent article for the oceasion, and with very little trouble, out of Warburton's Brochure on Grace and the Spirit, and the preface to the same.There is, however, one-oljection, shall I say? or accusation? which will so often be heard from men, whose talents and reputed moderation must give a weight to their words, that I owe it both to my own character and to the interests of my readers, not to leave unnoticed. The charge will probably be worded in this way :-there is nothing new in all this! (as if novelty were any meril in questions of Revealed Religion!) It is Mysticism, all taken out of William Latw, after he had lost his senses, poor Man! in brooding over the Visions of a delirious German Colbler, Jacob Behmen.

Of poor Jacoh Behmen I have delivered my sentiments at large in another work. Those who have condeseended to look into his writings must know, that his characteristic errors are: first, the inistaking the accidents and peculiaritics of his owa over-wrought mind for realities and modes of thinking common to all minds: and secondly the confusion of Nature, i. e. the active powers communicated to matter, with God, the Creator. And if the same persons liave done more than merely looked into the present volume, they must hare seen, that to
eralicate, and, if possible, to preclude, hoth the one and the other stands prominent nmong its avowed objects. (Sce p. 93-101: 116-118).
Of William Law's Works I am aequainted with the Serious Catis ; and besides this I remember to have read a sinall tract, on Prayer, if I mistake not, as I easily may, it being at least six-and-twenty years since I saw it. He may in this or in other tracts have quoted the same passages from the fourth Gospel as I have done. But surely this affords no presumption that iny conchusions are the same with his; still less, that they are drawn from the same premises; and least of all, that they were adopted from his Writings. Whether Law has used the phrase, assimilation by faith, I know not; but I know that I should expose myself to a just charge of an idle parade of my Reading if I recapitulated the tenth part of the Authors, Ancient and Modern, Romish and Reforined, from Law to Clemens Alexandrinus and Irenæus, in whose works the same phrase occurs in the same sense. And after all, on such a subject how worse than childish is the whole dispute!

Is the fourth Gospel authentic? And is the interpretation, I have given, true or false? These are the only questions which a wise man would put, or a Christian be anxious to answer. I not only believe it to be the true sense of the texts; but I assert that it is the only true, rational, and even tolerable sense. And this position alone I conceive myself interested in defending. I have studied with an open and fearless spirit the attempts of sundry learned Crities of the Continent, to invalidate the authenticity of this Gospel, before and since Eichhorn's Vindication. The result has been a clearer assurance, and (as far as this was possible) a yet deeper conviction of the genuineness of all the writings, which the Church has attributed to this Apostle. That those, who have iormed an opposite conelusion, should object to the use of expressions which they had ranked among the most obvious marks of spuriousness, follows as a matter of course. But that men, who with a clear and eloudless assent receive the sixth chapter of this Gospel as a faithful, nay, inspired Record of an aciual dis.
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CONCIUSION.
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course, should t:ke offence at the repetition of words which the Redeemer himself in the perfect foreknowledge that they would contirm the dishelieving, alienate the unsteadfast, and transcend the present enpacity even of his own Elect, had chosen as the most appropriate ; and which after the most decisive proofs, that they were misintelpreted by the greater mimher of his Ilearers, zad not understood by any, he nevertheless repeated with stronger emphasis and without comment, as the only appropriate symbols of the great truth he was declaring, and to realize which crevero oage; [87]-that in their owit discourses these inen should hang back from all express referenec to these words, as if they were afraid or ashamed of them, though the earliest recorded ceremonies and liturgical forms of the primitive Chureh are absolutely inexplicable, except in connexion with this discourse, and with the mysterious and spirituen, not allegorical and merely ethical, import of the same ; and though this import is solemnly and in the most unequivocal terms asserted and taught liy their own Church, even in her Catechism, or compendium of doctrines necessary for all her Members; this I may, perhaps, understand; but this I ain not able to vindicate or excuse!
There is, however, one opprobrious phrase which it may be profitable for my younger Readers that I should explain, viz. Mysticisin. And for this purpose I will quote a sentence or two from a Dialogue which, had my prescribed limits permitted, I should have attached to the present, Work ; but which with an Essay on the Church, as instituted by Christ, and as an Establishment of the State, and a series of Letters on the right and the superstitious use and estimation of the Bible, will appear in a sinall volume hy themselves, should the reeeption given to the present volume encourage or permit the publication.

## MYSTICS AND MYSTICISM.

"Antinüus.-What do you call Mysticism? And do you use the word in a good or in a bad sense ?"
"Nöls.-In the latter only : as far, at least, as we are now
concerne: with it. When a man refers to inward feelings and experiences, of which Mankind at large are not conscious, as evidences of the truth of any opinion-such a Man I call a Mystic: and the grounding of any theory or belief on accidents and anomalies of individual sensations or fancies, and the use of peculiar terms invented or perverted from their ordinary significations, for the purpose of expressing these idiosyncracies, and pretended facts of interior consciousness, 1 name Mysticism. Whero the error consists simply in the Mystic's attaching to these anomalies of his individual temperament the character of Reality, and in receiving them as permanent Truths, having a subsistence in the Divine Mind, though revealed to himself alone; but entertains this persuasion without demanding or expecting the same faith in his neighbours-I should regard it as a species of enthusiasm, always indeed to be deprecated but yet capable of co-existing with many excellent qualities both of Head and IIeart. But when the Mystic by ambition or still meaner passions, or (as sometimes is the case) by an uneasy and self-doubting state of mind that seeks confirmation in outward sympathy, is led to impose his faith, as a duty, on mankind generally : and when with such views he asserts, that the same experiences would be vouchsafed, the same truths revealed, to every man but for his secret wickedness and unholy will-such a Mystic is a Fanatic, and in certain states of the public mind a dangerous Member of Society. And most so in those ages and countries in which Fanatics of elder standing are allowed to persecute the fresh competitor. For under these predicaments, Mysticism, though originating in the singularities of an individual Nature, and therefore essentially anomalous, is nevertheless highly contagious. It is apt to collect a swarm and cluster circum fana, around the new Fane: and therefore merits the name of Fanaticism, or as the Germans say, Schwärmercy, i. e. Swarm-making."

We will return to the harmless species-the enthusiastic Mystics : a species that may again be subdivided into two ranks. And it will not be other than germane to the subject, if I en.
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deavour to describe them in a sort of allegory, or parable. Let us imagine a poor Pilgrim benighted in a wilderness or desart, and pursuing his way in the starless dark with a lanthorn in his hand. Chance or his happy genius Ieads him to an Oasis or natural Garden, such as in the creations of my youthful fancy I supposed Enos [88] the Child of Cain to have found. And here, hungry and thirsty, the way-wearied Man rests at a fountain; and the Taper of his Lanthorn throws its Light on an overshadowing Tree, a Boss of snow-white Blossoms, through which the green and growing Fruits peeped, and the ripe golden Fruitage glowed. Deep, vivid, and faithful are the impressions, which the lovely Imagery comprised within the scanty Circle of Light, makes and leaves on his Memory! But scarcely has he eaten of the fruits and drank of the fountain, ere scared by the roar and howl from the desart he hurries forward: and as he passes with hasty steps through grove and glade, shadows and imperfect beholdings and vivid fragments of things distinetly seen blend with the past and present shapings of his Brain. Fancy modifies Sight. Itis Dreams transfer their forms to real Objects, and these lend a substance and an outness to his Dreams. Apparitions greet him; and when at a distance from this enchanted land, and on a different track, the Dawn of Day diseloses to him a Caravan; a troop of his fellow-men, his memory, which is itself half fancy, is interpolated afresh by every attempt to recall, connect, and piece out his recollections. His narration is received as a Madman's Tale. He shrinks from the rude laugh and contemptuous Sneer, and retires into himself. Yet the craving for Sympathy, strong in proportion to the intensity of his Convictions, impels him to unbosom himself to abstract Auditors; and the poor Quietist becones a Penmam, and, all too poorly stocked for the Writer's trade, he borrows his phrases and figures from the only Writings to which he has had access, the sacred Books of his Religion. And thus I shatow out the enthusiast Mystic of the first sort ; at the head of which stands the illuminated Teutonic Thelosopher and Shoemaker, honest Jacor Behmex, born near Gorlitz, in Upper Lusatia,
in the 17th of our Elizabeth's Reign, and who died in the 2:2d of her Successsor's.

To delineate a Mystic of the second and higher order, we need only endow our Pilgrim with equal gifts of Nature, but these developed and displayed hy all the aids and arts of Education and favorable Fortune. He is on his way to the Mecea of his ancestral and national Faith, with a weli-guarded and numerous Procession of Merchants and Fellow-pilgrims, on the established Track. At the close of Day the Caravan has halted: the full moon rises on the Desart: and he strays forth alone, out of sight, but to no unsafe distance; and Chance leads him too to the same Oasis or Islet of Verdure on the Sea of Sand. Ife wanders at leisure in its maze of beanty and Swectness, and thrids his way through the odorous and flowering Thickets into open "Spots of Greenery," and discovers statues and memorial characters, grottos, and refreshing Caves. But the Moonshine, the imaginative Poesy of Nature, spreads its solt shadowy charm over all, conceals distances, and magnifies beights, and modifies relations; and fills up vacuities with its own whiteness, connterfeiting substance; and where the dense shadows lie, makes solidity imitate Hollowness; and gives to all objects a tender visionary hue and softening. Interpret the Moonlight and the Shadows as the peculiar genius and sensibility of the Individual's own Spirit : and here you have the other sort: a Mystic, an Enthusiast of a nobler Breed-a Fenelon. But the residentiary, or the frequent visitor of the favored spot, who has scanned its beauties ly steady Day-light, and mastered its true proportions and lineaments, he will discover that both Pilgrims have indeed been there! He will know, that the delightful Dream, which the latter tells, is a Dream of Truth; and that even in the bewiddered Tale of the former there is Truth mingled with the Dream.

But the Source, the Spring-head, of the Charges which 1 anticipate, lies deep. Materialism, conscious and avowed Materialism, is in ill-repute: and a confessed Materialist therefore a rare character. But if the faith be ascertained by the
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fruits; if the predominant, though most often unstspected, persuasion is to be learnt from the influences, under which the thoughts and affections of the Man move and take their direction; I must reverse the position. Only not all are Materialists. Except a few individuals, and those for the most part of a single Sect: and every one, who calls himself a Christian, holds himself to have a Soul as well as a Body. He distinguishes Mind from Matter, the Subject of his consciousness from the Objects of the same. The former is his Mind: and he says, it is immaterial. But though Sulyject and Substance are words of kindred roots, nay, little less than equivalent terms, yet nevertheless it is exclusively to sensible $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ jecrs, to Bodies, to Modifications of Matter, that he habitually attaches the attributes of reality, of Substance. Real and Tangible, Substantial and Material, are Synonimes for fiim. He never indeed asks hinself, what he means by Mind? But if he did, and tasked himself to return an honest answeras to what, at least, he had hitherto meant hy it-he would find, that he had described it by negatives, as the opposite of Bodies, ex.gr. as a somewhat opposed to solidity, to visibility \&c. as if you could abstract the capacity of a vessel, and conecive of it as a somewhat by itself, and then give to the emptiness the propertics of containing, holding, being enterel, and so forth. In short, though the proposition would perhaps he angrily denied in words, yet in fact he thinks of his Mind, as a property, or acciilent of a something else, that he calls a Noul or Spirit : though the very same difficulties must recur, the moment he should attempt to establish the difference. For cither this Soul or Spirit is nothing but a thinner Body, a finer Mass of Matter : or the attribute of Self-subsistency vanishes from the Soul on the same grounds, on which it is refused to the Mind.

I am persuaded, however, that the dogmatism of the Corpuscular School, though it still exerts an influence on men's notions and phrases, has received a mortill blow from the increasingly dymamic spirit of the physical Sciences now highest in public estimation. And it may safely be predicted, that
the results will extend beyond the intention of those, who are gradually effecting this revolution. It is not Chemistry alone that will be indebted to the Genius of Davy, Oersted, and their compeers: and not as the Founder of Physiology and philosophic Anatomy alone, will Mankind love and revere the name of John Hunter. These men havo not only taught, they have compelled us to admit, that the immediate objects of our senses, or rather the grounds of the visibility and tangibility of all Objects of Sense, bear the same relation and similar proportion to the intelligible object-i.e. to the Object which we actually mean when we say, " $I t$ is such or such a thing," or "I have seen this or that,"-as the paper, ink, and differently combined straight and curved lines of an Edition of Homer bear to what we understand by the words, lliad and Odyssey. Nay, nothing would be more easy than so to construct the paper, ink, painted Capitals, \&e. of a printed disquisition on the Eye, or the Muscles and Cellular Texture (i. e. the Flesh) of the IIuman Body, as to bring together every one of the sensible and ponderable Stuffs or Elements, that are sensuously perccived in the Eye itself, or in the Flesh itself. Carbon and Nitrogen, Oxygen and IIydrogen, Sulphur, Phosphorus, and one or two Metals and Metallic Bases, censtitute the whole. It cannot be these, therefore, that we mean by an Eye, hy our Body. But perhaps it may be a particular Combination of these? But here comes a question: In this term do you or do you not include the Principle, the Operating Cause, of the Combination? If not, then detach this Eye from the Body! Look steadily at it-as it might lie on the Marble Slab of a dissecting Room. Say it were the eye of a Murderer, a Bellingham : or the eye of a murdered Patriot, a Sidney!-behold it, handle it, with its various accompaniments or constituent parts, of Tendon, Ligament, Membrane, Blood-vessel, Gland, Humors ; its Nerves of Sense, of Sensation, and of Motion. Alas! all these names, like that of the Organ itself, are so many Anachronisms, figures of Speech, to express that which has been: as when the Guide points with his finger to a heap of stones, and tells the
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Traveller, "That is Babylon, or I'ersepolis."-Is this cold "Jelly the Light of the Body ?" Is this the Mieranthropos in the marvellous Microcosm' Is this what you mean when you well define the Eye as the Telescope and the Mirror of the soul, the Seat and Agent of an almost magical power?
Pursue the same inquisition with every other part of the Body, whether integral or simply ingredient; and let a Berzelius or a Hatchett be your interpreter, and demonstrate to you what it is that in each actually meets your Senses. And when you have heard the scanty catalogue, ask yourself if these are indeed the living Flesh, the blood of I,ife? Or not far rather-I speak of what, as a Man of Common Sense, you really $d o$, not what, as a philosopher, you ought to believe-is it not, I say, far rather the distinct and individualized Agency that by the given combinations utters and bespeaksits Presence? Justly and with strictest propricty of language may I say, Speaks. It is to the coarseness of our Senses, or rather to the defect and limitation of our percipient faculty, that the visible Object appears the same even for a moment. The characters, which I am now shaping on this paper, abide. Not only the forms remain the same, but the particles of coloring stuff are fixed, and, for an indefinite period at least, remain the same. But the particles that constitute the size, the visibility of an organic structure (see p. 42) are in perpetual Hux. They are to the combining and constitutive Power as the pulses of air to the Voice of a Discourser; or of one who sings a roundelay. The same words may be repeated; but in each second of time the articulated air hath passed away, and each aet of articulation appropriates and gives momentary form to a new and other portion. As the column of blue smoke from a cottage chimney in the breathless Summer Noon, or the steadfast-seeming Cloud on the edge-point of a Hill in the driving air-current, which momently condensed and recomposed is the common phantom of a thousand successors;-such is the flesh, which our bodily eyes transmit to us; which our P'alules taste; which our Hands touch.

But perhaps the material particles possess this combining 31
power by inherent reciprocal attractions, repulsions, and cleclive affinities, and are themselves the joint Artists of their own combinations? I will not reply, though well I might, that this would be to solve one problem by another, and merely to shift the mystery. It will be sufficient to remind the thoughtful Guerist, that even hercin consists the essential diflerence, the contra-distinction, of an Organ from a Marhine ; that not only the characteristic Shape is evolved from the invisible central power, but the material Mass itself is aequired by assimilation. The germinal power of the Plant transmutes the fixed air and the elementary Base of Water into Grass or Leaves; and on these the Organific Principle in the Ox or the Elephant exercises an Alchemy still more stupendous. As the unseen Agency weaves its magic eddies, the foliage becomes indifferently the Bone and its Marrow, the pulpy Brain, or the solid lvory. That what you sce is blood, is flesh, is itself the work, or shall I say, the translucence, of the invisible Energy, which soon surrenders or abandons them to inferior Powers, (for there is $n 0$ pause nor chasm in the activities of Nature) which repeat a similar metamorphosis according to their kind. These are not fancies, conjectures, or cven hypotheses, but facts; to deny which is impossible, not to reflect on which is ignominious. And we need only reflect on them with a calm and silent spirit to learn the utter emptiness and unmeaningness of the vaunted Mechanico-corpuscular Philsophy, with both its twins, Materialism on the one hand, and Idealism, rightlier named Subjective Idolism, on the other: the one obtruding on us a World of Spectres and Apparitions; the other a mazy Dream!

Let the Mechanic or corpuscular Scheme, which in its absoluteness and strict consistency was first introduced by Des Cabtes, be judged by the results. By its fruits shall it be known.

In order to submit the various phenomena of moving bodies to geometrical construction, we are under the necessity of abstracting from corporeal substance all its positive propertics, and obliged to consider Bodies as differing from equal portions
of Space[89] only by figure and mobility. Aud as a Fiction of Seience, it would be difficult to overvalue this invention. It possesses the same merits in relation to Geometry that the atomic theory has in relation to Algebraic Calculus. But in contempt of Common Sense, and ia direct opposition to the express declarations of the inspired Historian (Genesis I.), and to the tone and spirit of the Scriptures dhroughout, Des Cartes propoonded it as truth of fuct : and instead of a World created and filled with productive forees by the Alunighty Fiat, left a lifeless Machine whirled about by the dust of its own Grinding: as if Death could come from the living Fommain of Life; Nothingness and Phantom from the Plenitude of Reality! the Absoluteness of Creative Will!

Holy! Holy! Holy! let me be deemed mad by all men, if such be thy ordinance: but, O ! from such Madness save mid preserve me, my God!

When, however, after a short interval, the Genius of Kepler, expanded and organized in the soul of Newton, and there (if 1 may hazard so bold an expression) refining itsell into an almost eclestial Clearness, had expelled the Cartesian Vortiees; [90] then the necessity of an active power, of positive forces present in the Material Universe, forced itself on the conviction. For as a Law without a Law-giver is a mere abstraction; so a Lav without an Agent to realize it, a Constitution without an abiding Executive, is, in fact, not a Law but an Idea! In the profound Emblem of the Great Tragic Poet, it is the powerless Prometheus fixed on a barren Rock. And what was the result? How was this necessity provided for? God himself-my hand trembles as I write! Rather, then, let me employ the word, which the religious Fecling in its perplexity, suggested as the substitute-the Deity itself was declared to be the real Agent, the actual Gravitating Power! The Law and the Law-giver were indentified. Good (says Dr. Priestly) not only does, but is every thing. Jupiter est quodeunque vides. And thus a system, which commenced by excluding all life and immanent activity from the visible Universe and evacuating the natural World of all Nature, ended by substituting the Deity, and reducing the Creator to a mere

Anima Mundi : a seheme that has no advantage over Spinosism but its inconsistency, which does indeed make it suit a certain Order of Intellects, who, like the Pleuronecte (or Flat Fish) in Ichithyology that have hoth eyes on the same side, never see but balf of a subject at one time, and forgetting the one before they get to the other are sure not to detect any ineonsistency between them.

And what has been the consequence? An increasing unwillingness to contemplate the Supreme Being in his personal Attributes : and thence a Distaste to all the peculiar Doctrines of the Christian Faith, the Trinity, the incarnation of the Son of God, and Redemption. The young and ardent, ever too apt to mistake the inward triumph in the detection of error for a positive love of truth, are among the first and most frequent victims to this epidemic fastidium. Alas! even the sincerest seekers after light are not safe from the contagion. Some have I known, constitutionally religious-I speak feelingly; for I speak of that which for a brief period was my own state-who under this unhealthful intluence have been so estranged from the Heavenly Father, the Living God, as even to sheink from the personal pronouns as applied to the Deity. But many do I know, and yearly mect with, in whom a false and sickly Taste co-operates with the prevailing fashion: many, who find the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacol, far too real, too substantial ; who feel it more in harmony with their indefunite sensations
"To worship Nature in the hill and valley, Not knowing what lhey love:--"
and (to use the language, but not the sense or purpose, of the great Poet of our Age) would fain substitute for the Jehovalı of their Bible
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And this from having heen educated to moderstand the Divine Onnipresence in any sense rather than the alone sale and legitimate one, the presence of all things to God!
Be it, however, that the number of such men is comparatively small! And be it (as in fact it olten is) but a brief stage, a transitional state, in the process of intellectual Growth! Yet among a numerous and increasing class of the higher arai middle Ranks, there is an inward withdrawing from the Life and Personal Being of God, a turning of the Thoughts exclusively to the so called physical Attributes, to the Oamiprescace in the counterfeit form of Ubiquity, to the Immensity the Infinity, the Immutability!-the attributes of Space with a notion of Power as their Substratum!-a Fate, in short, not a Noral Creator and Governor! Let intelligence be imagined, and wherein does the conception of God difler essentially from that of Gravitation (conecived as the Cause of Gravity) in the understanding of those, who represent the Deity not only as a necessary but as a necessitated Being? those, for whom Justice is but a scheme of General Laws; and Holiness, and the divine Hatred of Sin, yea and Sin itself, are words without meaning or accommodations to a rude and barbarous race! Hence, I more than fear, the prevailing taste for books of Natural Theology. Physico-theology, Demonstrations of God from Nature, Evidences of Christianity, \&e. \&c. Evidences of Christianity! I an weary of the Word. Make a man feel the want of it ; rouse him, if you can, to the self-knowledge of his need of it ; and you may safely trust it to its own Evi-dence,-remembering only the express declaration of Christ himself: No man cometh to me, unless the Father leadeth him! Whatever more is desirable-1 speak now with reference to Christians generally, and not to profest Students of Theology-may, in my judgment, be far more sately and profitably taught, without controversy or the supposition of infidel antagonists, in the form of Ecclesiastical History.
The last fruit of the Mcehanico-corpuscular lhilosophy, say rather of the mode and direction of feeling and thinking produced by it on the educated elass of societe; or that result,
which as more immediately comnected with my present theme 1 have reserved for the last-is the habit of attaching all our conceptions and feelings, and of applying all the words and phrases expressing reality, to the objects of the Senses; more necurately speaking, to the images and sensations by which their presence is made known to us. Now I do not hesitate to assert, that it was one of the great purposes of Christianity, and included in the process of our Redemption, to rouse and emancipate the Soul from this debasing Slavery to the outward Senses, to awaken the mind to the true Criteria of Reulity, viz. Permanence, Power, Will manifested in Aet, and Truth operating as Litc. "My words," said Christ, "are Spirit; and they (i. e. the spiritual powers expressed by them) ate "ruth;"-i. e. very Being. For this end onr Lord, who came from Heaven to "take Captivity captive," chose the words and names that designate the familiar yet most inuortant Oljeets of Sense, the nearest and most eoneerning 'Things and lncidents of corporeal nature :-Water, Flesh, Hlood, Birth, Bread! But he used them in senses, that could not without absurdity be supposed to respeet the mere phenomena, Water, Flesh, \&e., in senses that by no possihility could apply to the color, figure, specific mode of 'Touch or 'Tiste produced on ourselves, and by which we are made aware of the presence of Things, and understand them-Res, quae sub apparitionibus istis statuenda sunt. And this awf il Recalling of the drowsed soul from the dreams and phantom world of sensuality to actual Reality, -how has it been evaded!'These words, that were Spirit! these Mysterics, which even the Apostles must wait for the Paraclete, (i. e. the Helper, the Strengthener) in order to comprehend! these spiritual things whieh can only be spiritually discerned,-were mere Metaphors, Figures of Speech, Oriental Hyperboles. "All this means only Morality !" Ah! how far nearer to the truth would these men have been, had they said that Morality means all this!

The effect, however, has been most injurious to the best interests of our Universities, to our incomparably constituted
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Church, and even to our National Character. The few who have read my two Lay-Sermons are no stangers to my opinioms on this head; and in my Treatise on the Church and Churches, I shall, if I'rovidence vouchsafe, submit them to the l'ublic, with their grounds and historic evidences in a more systematic form.
I have, I am aware, in this present work furnishel occasion for a charge of having expressed myself with slight and irreverence of relebrated Names, especially of the late Dr. Paley. (), if I were fond and ambitions of literary Ilonor, of public Applause, how well content should I be to excite but one third of the admiration whieh, in my immost Being, 1 feel for the head and heart of P'aley! And how gladly would I surrender all hope of contemporary praise, could I even approach to the incomparable grace, propriets, and persuasive facility of his writings ! But on this very account I believed myself bound in conscience to throw the whole foree of my intellect in the way of this trimmphal Car, on which the tutelary (ienius of modem Idolatry is borne, even at the risk of being erushed under the wheels! I have at this monent before my eyes the $3.13 \mathrm{~d}-3.14$ th pages of his Posthunous Discourses: the amount of which is briefly this,-that all the words and passages in the New 'Testament which express and contain the peculior doctrines of Christianity, the paramount objects of the Christian Revelation, "all those which speak so strong ly of the value, benefit and efficacy, of the Death of Christ," assuredly mean something; but what they mean, nebody, it seems, can tell! But doubtless we shall discover it, and be convinced that there is a substantial sense belonging to these words-in a future state! Is there an enigma, or an aisurdity, in the Koran or the Vedas which might not he defended on the eame pretence : A similar impression, I confess, was left on my mind by Dr. Magee's statement or exposition (ad normam Grotianam) of the doctrine of Redemption: and decply did it disappoint the high expectations, sadly did it chill the fervid sympathy, which his introductory chapter, his manly and masterly disquisition on the sacrificial rites of l'aganism, had raised in my mind.

And yet I eamnot read the pages of l'aley, here referred to, adond, without the liveliest sense: how plausible and popinlar they will sound to the great majority of Readers! 'Thonsands of sober, and in their way pious, Christians, will echo the words, together with Mageo's kindred interpretation of the Death of Christ, and adopt the doetrine for their Make-faith! And why? It is feeble. And whatever is feeble is always plausible; for it favours mental indolence. It is feeble : and feebleness in the disguise of confessing and condescending Strength is always popular. It flatters the Reader, by removing the apprehended distance between him and the superior Author; and it flatters him still more hy enabling him to transfer to himself, and to appropriate, this superiority : and thus to make his very weakness the mark and evidence of his strenglh. Ay, quoth the rational Christian-or with a sighing, self-soothing sound between an Ay and an Ah!-I am content to think, with the Great Dr. Palcy, and the learned Archlishop of Dublin- $\qquad$
Man of Sense! Dr. Paicy was a great Man, and Dr. Magee is a learned and exemplary Prelate; but You do not think at all!
With regard to the convietions avowed and enforced in my own work, 1 will continue my address to the Man of Sense in the words of an old Philosopher :-"Tu verí erasssis auribus et obstinato corde respuis qua forsitan veré perhibeantur. Minas hercule ealles, pravissimis opinionibus ea putari mendacir, que vel auditu nova, vel visur rudia, vel certè supra captum cogitationis , nemporanea luce ardua vileantur: que, si paulo accuratius explorâris, non modo compertu evidentia, sed etiam lactu facilia, senties," Apul: I. I.
S. T. COLERIDGE.
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In complianee with the suggestion of a judicious friend, the celebrated conelusion of the fourth Book of Paley's Moral and P'olitical l'hilosophy, eited in p. 207 of this Volume, is here transprinted for the convenience of the Reader:
"Had Jesus Christ delivered no other declaration than the following-'The hour is coming, in the which all that are in the grave shall hear his voiee, and shall come forth : they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation;'-he had pronounced a message of inestimable importance, and well worthy of that splendid apparatus of prophecy and miracles with which his inission was introduced, and attested: a message in which the wisest of mankind would rejoice to find an answer to their doubts, and rest to their inquiries. It is idle to say, that a future state had been discovered already :it had been discovered as the Copernican System was;-it was one guess among many. He alone discovers, who proves; and no man can prove this point, but the teacher who testifies by miracles that his doctrine comes from God."

Pædianus says of Virgil,-"Usque adcó expers invidiæ, ut siquid erudite dictum inspiceret alterius, non minus gauderet ae si suum esset." My own heart assures ine, that this isless than the truth : that Virgil would have read a beautiful passage in the work of another with a higher and purer delight than in a work oi his own, because free from the apprehension of his judgment being warped by self-love, and without that repressive modesty akin to shane, which in a delicate mind holds in cheek a man's own secret thoughts and feelings, when they respect himself. The cordial admiration with which I peruse the preceding passage as a muster-piece of Composition would, could I convey it, serve as a measure of the vital importance I attach to the convictions which impelled me to animadvert on the same passage as doctrine.
S. T. C.

## NOTES

on

## AIDSTOREFLECTION.

[1] p. 3.
So Leighton says: my own experience woutd rather have magemed the contrary remark.
[For remarks on the peculior advantages for reflection and Inducoments to the exereise of it, in the character and circmustances of the young, the reader is referred to the Introduction to the third Volume of the Friend. If I nistake not, there is many a young man among those, who are about entering upon the theutre of the world, and anxiously contemplating the coming struggle between the generous impulses of his own aquirit and the lavv, which this world imposes upon its votaries, who will understand and re-peruse with both pleasure and proft the language there used. The Friend, it may ba necessary to remark, is a work of Coleridge but litte known in this country. Should the present volume gain the attention of the publie, we nay hope soon to see that and other works of its author republished anong us. Am. Edi.]
[2] p. 3.
Distinction between Thoughe and Altention.--By thovout is here meant the voluntary reprofluction in our own minds of those states of consciousness, or (to nes a phrase more fanniliar to tho religious reader) of those inward expreriences, to which, os to his heat and nost authentic documents, the teacher of moral or religious truth refers us. In attention, we keep the mind passive : in thovarr, we rouse it into activity. In tho former, we suhnit to an impression-wo keep the mind stendy in order to receive the stamp. In the latter, we seek to initate the artim, while we ourselves make a copy or duplicats of his work. We may learn arithmetic, or the elements of geometry, hy continuel attention alons; but self-knowledge, or an insight into the laws and constitution of the human mind and
t'e gromeds of meligion and true morality, in addition to the eflior of atten tion requires the chergy of riovgint.
[3] p. 3.
[To those, who are macenstomed to the langunge of the nutior, it may be of service to remack once fir all, that he often aims to attain a greater degree of precision, and to serme the advantage, enjoyed so eminenty in the Greck and Geman langurges, of presenting a thourgt in at form, that is picturabte to the imagination, ly recalling compoand and derivative words to their origimal and etymological impert. He lons himself remmeked ulon the hemetit resulting fiom it in the next note, and illustrated it particularly in several words in different parts of the work; lut the carefind realer will often diseover this peenliarity in his use of words, where no motice of it is given. The pecutiarity indeed is not en much in his giving them a new scuse, as in limiting and detining with more precision the menning, which thry have, and using in a precise and exchnsive sense erms, which costom haul rendered vague and untit for the purposes of an aremate and diseriminating mind.
These remarks reter here partienlarly to the words enliening and informing, esperially the hatter, in the sentence, to which this note is atached. It will give the render at least some che to the anthor's meaning and to his rentiments on these suljects, if by the enlivening Breath he nuderstunds the fifte-riving a wath or spict, ned by the informing worl the inward ?ower or principle, which in all organzed hodies modifies the living agen' $y$, apmoints the measurr of' its working, and deternines the specitic form of its devdipmemet in eath several kiml. This specifie principle of oryanization, which, as an moteredent law preexisting in the sed of every phant and so in the germs of all organized bodies, awaits the achuting powor of life, predeternining the several shapes or forms, in whirh it is to bo untioded, and by whirli alone it is manifestuble to the senses, I umderstand the: nuthor to mean ly the WORD; mad both the actuating, rivickening spirit, and the informing word belong to all organized bodies in conmon. It may perhaps sender the charge of novelty and nosurdity in regard to the author's langunge here and wsewhere less confident to remurk in passing that the living and specific agencies here spoken of are the mherent forms of the Peripareties, the ideas of Plato and Lal. Baton, (divinae mentis ideas. Nov. Or. 23 and 51), and that it is consonant with the languge of the Ohd Testanment to represent not only the thonghts, the ideas, but the Breath and the Word of the Divine Being as living, formative, crentive. 'Thms low, in refirmene to the higher powers of spivitual hite in Christians, our Saviour says the trords that I surak moto yom, thry are spinit, and they are liff, i. c. have in them a living and life-piving emergy.-Coincident with this riew of life, as being not the mere resulting product of inderoment mechanieal, chemieal, or electrical agencier, acting in harmony, but a dis-
n to the efluit of atten
of the tuthor, it may ninns to attain a greater njoyed so eminenty in thonsht in a firm, that pround and derivativo c luns hinself remmened , and illustrated it parwork; but the eareful se of words, where no : su much in lis giving th more precision the se mul exclasive sense for the purposes of an
sonlivening and informIt this note is attuched. or's meaning and to his Breath he umberstumds ning word the inward odifies the living agenuines the speceific form pecific principle of org in the seed of evory vaits the achating powms, in whirh it is to be ce sonses, 1 undenstand actuating, riuickening red bodies in conmon. surdity in regard to thu, it to remurk in passing are the mherent forms , (divinne mentis ideas. he langinge of the Ohel itleas, but the Breath native, crentive. 'l'lus liti: in Christians, our ure spirit, and they are ryy,-Comedemt with yoduct of imberoment in harmony, bit a dix-
 organized Imely, and exsentially indegnemlent of the ormanations, which it
 which its existence is inferred, Coleridge interprets the vis plastion, or vis vitae formatrix of the chler physiologists, the Dildungstrich, of nisus formativis of Blamentach, and the life, or living primeiple of John Hanter. "P'or in what other semse," hos rematis in a moto to the Prirnd, vol. 33. p. '214, "can we undorstand either his assertion, that this primeiphe or ngrat is 'indepemdent of organizaion,' which get it minastex, sustains and mpairs, or the projort of that magnifieront commentary on his system, the
 life or vital principle 'indopendent of the organization,' yet in eave organ waking instinctively townds its preservation, is the mats or termitus in reparing the nests of their own fabriemtion, demonetrates, that Jolm Ifmer did mot. as Stahl mul others had dome, imbivilualize, or moke an hypostisis of the principles of life, as a somewhat manitestahle prote and ronsegrenty itself a phemomonon; the hateney of which was to be ntributed to
 of our semses, or the imitness of the media; but that ferein he philosopherel in the spirit of the purest Newtonians, who in like manmer refisisel (1) hypostatise the law of gravitation into ant ether, which well if its exisence were monceded, wonld need another eravitation for itsell: "'Ihe:


It would perhaps have isen out of phace here to ocrapy evon so math Fpuee in explatining the inthor's views of the philosophy of lifi, but that the same mote of philosophizing is mplied by him to those highor pow"rimul primeiples of ond intellecinal, moral mul spiritum licing, by which wo are made to differ in kind from the interior foms of wigetable and minal oryanization. If the reader chearly apprehemes the lene of life, is a living power or ngenry, mutecodent to mad independent of the visible mal tamfible forms, which it construets, he will huve lithe diflienty in ant lerstanding what is said of the transfision of a highor gift and specially inlreatlen, of a sonl, laving its life in irself, und indepentent fir its subsistenne of the infierior powers, with which it eo-exists. IIt will be proproted to apprehemd ut lenst the meaning ot the doetrine, that distinet sperifie forms or laws of loeing are superudded to that life, which is common to all, rind lurving its own levelopement, and by their living ugeney eonstinting our iutellertutal, nornl mal spiritull life. But the: wok itwolf will dovelope the anthor's views on this shbjeret more fully ; athil for somes parts of it more


 it the whole shlyert of this note-Iy. Vim.]
[4] p. 4.
Quod stat subtus, that which stands beneath, and (as it were) nuplorts, the appearnnce. In a languago like ouns, where so many words are derived from othr languages, there arn few modes of instruetion more useful or more cumsing thun that of accustoming young people to reek for the etymology, or pimary meaning, of tho words they use. There are costri, in which more knowhedge of more value may be conveyed by the history of a teord, than by the history of a campaign.
[5] p. 5.
I am not andamed to confess that I dislike the frequent use of the word virtno instead of righteousness, in the pulpit : and that in prayer or preaching befure a Cluristian commonity, it sounds too mach like Pagan Phirlonephy. Tho passage in St. Peter's epistle, is the only seripture authority that can be pretended for its use, and I think it right, therefore, to notice, that it rests either on an oversight of the translatore, or on a change in the meaning of the word since their time.
[6] p. 5.
The efeets of a zeulous ministry on the intellects and acquirements of ${ }^{\circ}$ the lahomring classes are not only ntested hy Baxter, wad the Preshyterian divines, but admitted by Bishop Bumet, who, during his mission in the west of Scotamil, was "amazed to find a poor commonalty so able to argne," Sc. But we need not go to a sister Chureh for proof or example. The ditlision of light and knowledge through this kinglom, ly the exertions of the bishogs and clergy, by Episcopalians and D'uritans, from Edward VI, to the restoration, wats us wonderfial as it is praiseworthy, and may be justly placed among the most remarkible facts of history:
[The following extract fiom the Authors second Lay Sermon, p. 88-91, may surgest some nefinatiections respeeting the difference hetween the religious character of the age here referred to, and that of our own.- Is. En.]
"As my first presumptive pron" of a differente (I might almost have said, of a contrast) between the religious chatacter of the periad since the Revolution, and that of the period from the areession of Edward lhe Sixth to the aldication of the second Janes, I refir to the Sormoms and to the theological Works generally, of the later prionl. It is my fall comsiction, that in my half dozen Sermons of ins. Vome, or dereny 'Taybor, there are more thonghts, more facts and images, more expitements to impury and intelle tual effort, than are presented to the congregations of the prosent day in as many churches or meetings during twice as many months. Yet both the e were the most popular preachers of ibeir times, were heard with enthusiam by crowded imd proniscouns Audiences, and the elfer
as it were) supports, the many words aro derived struction more useful or eople to acek for the etyy use. 'There are costr, conveyed by tho history
requent use of the worl that in prayer or prencho much liko Pagan Phionly seripture authority ight, thereture, to notice, ors, or on a change in the
cets and acquirements of cter, wad the I'roshyterian during his mission in the commonalty so able to arreh for proot or example. lis kinglom, ly the exeras mul l'uritans, fiom Lilis it is praiseworthy, and le facts of history.

W Lay Sermon, j. 88-Ol, the diflierence between the aud that of our own.-Im.
nere (I might almost bave ter of the juriod since: thes exion of Elward the Sixth to the Sirmons and to the 1. It is my full conviction, , or Imromy 'laylor, there , we expitements to inguiry e erongregations of the proing twiee is many months. s of their times, were heard 3 Andiences, and the effect
notes.
305
produced by their eleguenco was held in reverenial and uffectionate remembrance hy many attendauts on their ministry, who, like the pioms lanac Walton, were not themselves men of learoing or education. In uldition to this fact, think likewiee on the large and mumerous oditions of mussr. closely printed folios: the impressions so large und the editions on numer ous, that all the industry of destruction for the last humdred years has hut of late sufficed to make them rare. From the long list select those works alone, which we know to have been the most cument and fnvorite works of their day : and of these again no more than may well her supused to lave had a place in the scantlest libraries, or perhaps with the Bible and Common Prayer book to have formed the library of their owner. Yet on the single shelf so filled we should find almost every poseville question, that could interest or instruct a reader whose whole heart was in his roligion, discussed with a command of intellect that seems to exhanst all the learming and logic, all the historical and momal relations, of' cach several sullject. The very length of the discourses, with which these "rich souls of wit and knowledge" fixed the eyes, ens, and hearts of their crowded congregations, are a source of wonder now-n-days, mad (we may add) of self-congratulation, to umany a solher Christinn, who firgetes wilh what ilelight he himself has listened to a two horr's harangue on a Loon or 'Tas, or at the trial of some remarkulile canse or culprit. The transtir of the interest makes and explains the whole difference. For though much may be fairly charged on the revolution in the mode of preaching us well as in the mutter, since the fresh moming and fervent noon of the Reformation, when there was no need to visit tho conventicles of fanaticism in oraler to

See God's mulnassator in the pulpit stand,
Where they could take notes from his Look and Hand;
And from his speaking action lear away
More sermon than our preachers used to smy;
yet this too must be referred to the same change in the hathits of men's minde, a change that involves both the sheplerel and the flock: though like many other Eiffects, it tends to reproduce and strengthen its own rause."

## [7] p. 7.

The following somet was extracted ly me from Ierlectrs Temple, in a work long since out of print, fir the purity of the langunge and the filuess of the splse. But I shall be exchsed, I trust, in reprating it here fir highore tmerits and with higher purposes, an a fircible comment on the words in the text.

## Graces vouchsufed it a Christion Yand.

lord! with what care hast thou liegiot ins romed! Parents first season us. 'Then sehoolmusters [le liver us to hass. Ilay selud us bomid 'To rules of rensous. Loly meswengers; Pulpits and Sunduys; sirrow dogging sitr ; Aflictions sorted; unguish of ull sizes ; Fine nets and stratagems to ratch us in! Bibles haid open; millions of surprises; Blessings beforchand ; ties of giatefuhess; 'I'lo sonnd of grory ringing in our cars: Withont, our shane; within, our conserieners; Angels and grace; etermal hopes and fears! Yet nll these filures, and their whole array, Ono coming bosom sin blows quite awny.
[8] p. 9.
She the epistle of st. James, c. i. v. 20, 27. where, in the anthorizer rersion, the Greek word vereseat is filsely rendered religion: whether by mistake of the trmaslator, of from the intended sense having become obisolete, 1 cannot deeide. At all events, for the Buglish reader of our times it has the effect of an erroneons tramslation. It nut only ohscures the comexion of the pasange, and weakens the prouline foree mul sublimiig of the thought, rendering it compuratively flat und trivial, almost indeed butological, mut has occasioned this particulnr verse to he perverted into a support of a very dangrons error; and the whole apistle to be considcred us a set-off uruinst the epistles mud iteclarations of St. Punl, insteal of (what in fiect it is ), a musterly comment and confirmation of the same. 1 need not inform the religioms reater, that Janew, c. i. v. 27. is the fivourite text and most boisted mutlority of those divines who represent the Rodeener of the world ns litte more than a mornl reformer, and the Christian fiith as a conte of cthies, differing from the moral system of Moses and the prophets ly an additional motive; or rather, by the additional strength and clemmess which the historicul firt of the resmimertion has given to the same motise.
[9] p. 10.
The Gren': worl firntro, mites in itsolf the two senses of legan to erist nad unes mude to erist. It exemplifies the firce of the middle voice, in distinetion from the werb refles. In answer to a note on John i, 2. in the mimbian wersom of the New 'restumem, I think it worth noiacire, that the same word is used bu the very same sense ly Aristophanes in that fumoms:

 thraciun menariow, in the Comedy of the Bierds.
Katry.
[10] p. 10.
 worl, parakupans, riguitios the ineursution or lowing of the laskly in the urt of looking dom into; as, for instance, in the coulenvor to ste the rethected iunge of a stmr in the water ut the hottom of a wall. A more haply or furfible worl could not have been chosen to express the nature and mhimate olyper of reflection, and to onferce the neressity of it, in order to diseover the living fimbtain and spring-herad of the evidenee of the Christian finth in the heliever himself, mud at the sane time to point
 scimus. That whicl، we find within onrselves, whieln is more blan ourshlves, and yet the gromal of whimever is good und pernanent thesein, is the sulstanee and life of all other knowledge.
N. 12. The Familists of the sixtecuht century, and similar enthusiasts of later late, werlowked the essential puint, that it was a lewe, bull a law
 or completes itself: and therefore, its obligations are called, in reference to human statutes, imperfert duties, i. e. incoercible from without. They werlonked that it was a law that portions out (Sumes from vere to allot, or make (ficision of ) to each man the sphere and limits, within whieh it is to be exerrisel-whirla as at. Peter motices of errtain profinul parsiges in



## [11] p. 11.

In aecordance with a preceding remark, on the use of etymology in disfiplining the yonthlith mind to thatghtfil hablit, and as comsistent with the tithe of this work, 'Sids to Reflection,' I slall ofier no apolugy tive the folIowing und similar notes:
Aphorism, ileterminate prasition, from the Grerk now, fiom; and horizein, to bomin, or linit; whence our horizon.-In corlare to get the till sense of a word, we shombl first present to our minds the visual inage that finms its prinary meaning. Draw lines of diflerent colows romed the different conuties of Eagland, and then cut on cuch separately, as in the common play-maps timat children take to pieces and pat togelher-so that each district ean be romemplated apart from the reat, as a whole in itself. This twofold act of circumseribing, and detaching, when it is exrted by tha:
mind on suljects of reflection anul reason, is to aphorize, and the result an aphorism.
[12] p. 11.
 e. They divided the intelligible into many and several individualities.
[13] p. 11.
From disatıs, a wilfal raising into public notice, an uplifing (for display) of any partics:!ar opinion differing from the established belief of the church at large, and making it a ground of schism, i. e. division, from schizein, to cut off-whence our " scissars" is supposed to have heen derived.
[14] p. 11.
I mean these words in their large and philosophic sense in relation to the spirit, or originating temper and tendency, and not to any one mode under which, or to any one class, in or ly which, it may be displayed. A seditious apirit may, (it is possible, though not probable) exist in the councilchanber of a palace as strongly ns in a mob in Palace-Yard; und a sectarian spirit in a cathedrah, no less than in a couventicle.

$$
\text { [15] p. } 11 .
$$

Wherens Christ's other lisciples had a breeding under him, St. Paul was born an apostle; not carved out, as the rest. by degrees and in courso of time, but a fusile apostle, an apostle poure out and eant in a mould. As Adam was a perfect man in an instant, so was St. Panl u perfect Christian. The same spirit was the lightning that melted, and the mould that received and shaped hini.-Donne's Senuons-quoted from memory.
[16] p. 12.
From the latin, convertere-i. e. ly an act of the wilic to turn tovards the true pole, at the same time (for this is the force of tho prepositive con) that the understaneling is convinced and made aware of ita existenco and direction.
[17] p. 12.
The following extract from Leighton's Theological Lectures, seer II. cannot be introduced more to the purpose than as a comment on this sentence:
'The human mind, however stunned and weakened ly the fall, still retains some faint idea of the good it has lost ; a kind of languid sense of its misery and indigence, with aftections suitable to these olscure notions.
horize, and the rexult all
unasc. de Myst. Egypl. i. al individualities.
an uplifling (for display) hed belief of the elureh ivision, from zehizein, to e been derived.
dic sense in relation to not to any one mode unay be displayed. A sedile) exist in the council-alace-Yard ; and a secnticle.
under him, St. Paul was egrees and in course of al cast in a mould. As Paul a perfoct Christian. the monld that received memory.
te wili. to turn towards of the prepositive con) are of its existence and
gical Lectures, sect II. a comment on this sen-
ened by the foll, still red of languid sense of its these nolseure notions.

This at loast is boyoud all doubt and indiaputable, thint ull inen wish well to thenselves; nor con the mind divent itself of thim propensity, without divesting itself of its being. 'Ihis is what the sehoolmen mean when in their numiner of expression they say, that "the will (mem. voluntus, not ur. bitriun) is carried towitals happiness not simply as will, but is nature.'
I venture to remark that this position, if not more certainly would be more ceidently true, if instead of beatitudo. the word indolentia, $i$, c. freodonn from pain, negative luppiness) lind been used. But this depends on the exuct moming attuehed to the term self, of whieli more in unother phee. One conchision, however, follows inevitably from the preeeding position, viz. that this propensity can never be legitinntely unde the principle of morality, even because it is no part or appurtenance of the mond will ; and becanse the proper object of the moral principle is to linuit and control this gropensity, und to deternine in what it muy be, and in what it ought to be, gratified ; while it is the business of philosaphy to instruct. the understanding, and the office of religion to convince the whole man, that otherwise than as a regulated, and of conses therefore a subordinute, end, this propensity, innute und inuliomble though it he, can never be re-


## [18] p. 14.

Looos in Greek signifies an intelligihlo word as distinguished from pornen a flowing or nrticulate sound; mnd it likewiso signifies the understaneling, in distinction from $N$ as (the pure reason) in one direction, and from anす! $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}$; (the sense) in the other.

## [19] p. 15.

It is worthy of observation, and inay furniwh a fruitful suliject for fiture reflection, how nearly this serijutural division coincides with the Platonic, which, commencing with the prudential, or the habit of act und purposo proceeding from enlightened self-interest, [qui animi imperio, corporis servitio, rerum auxilio, in proprium sui commodun et sibi providus utitur, hune esse prudentem statuimus], ascends to the moral, i. e. to the purifying and remedial virtues; and seeks ite summit in the imitation of the Divine nsture. In his last division, answering to that which we lave called the Spiritual, Plato includes all those inward acts and aspirations, waitings, and watchings, which have a growth in gollikeness for their immediate purpose, and the union of the human soul with the Supreme Good as their ultimate oljeet. Nor was it altogether without grounds that several of the Fathers ventured to helieve that Plato had some dim conception of the necensity of a Divine Mediator, whether through some indistinct echo of the patriarchal fisth, or some rays of light refracted from the He. brew prophete throligh a I'locnician mediunt (to which he mey poesi.
hly lime referned in his pltrase, seozacadoros nopia, tho wimdom delivered from (ionl,) or liy his own mense of the mysterions contradietion in humen mature between the will and the reason, the natural njpetences and the no less innute lnw of conscience (Romans 11. 14. 15.) we shall in vain nttelnut to determine. It is not inmossible that all three may linve co-opreratisd in purtially meveiling thene nwlil , : iths to this plank from the wreek of parulise thrown on the shores of idolatrous Greece, to this Divine I'hiloseplatr,

Che in quella schiera muld più presso al segno Al ỵal uggiunge, a chi dal cielo d dato.

Petrarch, Del Triunfo della Fanue, Cap. Ill. I. 5, $\mathbf{t}$.

## [20] p. 18.

Apul Ciceronem et I'latonem, alionpue ejnsmoti seriptores, multu nut moute dicta, et leniter calentia, sed in ifs ommilnus hoc non invenio, Venite rud me, \&uc. [Matt. vii. 28.]
[81] p. 19.
फiv titurnv Xugnu mector ar dupucs.
[22] p. 21.
('I'he reference to this note was accidentally omitted at the end of Aphorism (ith.)
[A few remarks have been male in the Introduction and in the id note respeeting the peculiarities of Coleridge's language ; lat no much has lseeta said by many, with whom I have had occasion to converse, respecting his faults in this particular, that I would gladly induce the readers of this work to give a more special attention to his own views of propricty in the use of language, as exhibited in the Aphorisms, with which this note is comeeted, and in other passages referred to helow.-My own opinion is, that no writer in the language, with whose works I have been uequainted, uses words with more aprecision, or adheres more strictly to the fixed and permaneat laws of language. No ono writes with a more habitual and present apprehension of the precise import of every term, which he cmploys, or mure veldom gives his own intellect or that of his reader the indulgence of vague and general expressions. The faults of his language, if faults they be, are such as might be expected from one,-who has been accustomed to think with tusparing effort, to mark with keen and philosophical diserimination the differences of things,-who is nt the same time familiar with the powers of other and better languages, and with the distinctions of thonght, which they express, and whin, knowing the fill powers of his own, is determined to exhaust them in recording the results of lis analysis, and giv-
(a, thos wimkonn delivered - eontradiction in hunum (al appetences and tho m" t we shall in vain ntten!pt may have co-operated in fivill the wreck of parto this Divine I'liloso-
resso al segno
d datu.
Funu, Cap. III. 1. 5, $\mathbf{6}$.
di seriptores, mintu sunt bloc hon invenio, Venite

## Svis:

sitted at the enid of $\mathbf{A}$ jh-
retion and in the $\mathrm{Bel}^{\text {note }}$ e; but so nuch lins beent convense, respecting his the readens of this work f propriety in the use of ch this note is commected, opinjon is, that 110 wria aequainted, uses words the fixed and permanent situal and present appreich he enploys, or anore ander the indsigence of language, if faults they Has been accustomed to I philosophieal diserimise time familiar with the distiuctions of thought, owers of his own, is deof his analysis, and giv-
ing expreswion to the enbtest fimis of thought.-In mont cases, whiru his umo of language may at first seem wholly unmithorized, it will $\mathrm{lw}_{\mathrm{s}}$ fonnd, that he has derived it trom thone profonnd thinkers and innivalled mastens of language, the great Engliwh I'hilosuphers and Divines of the 17th Century. Now, I ask, is ho not right in reeurring to them and recalling their innguge, if what he believes lou tric, thut usiale from tho nomenclature of tho sciences, the interests of the lunguage at large fall under the special guar "manhip of logie und motional jnychology, and that fron the revolution downward these liave been talling into negglect or disrepute; that the so called common langungo of the day, incluiling even that of our jopular metapliysics, is but the language of the market, tho vaguou and ambignous to satisfy a mint, that would think and reason in precisu and steadfint terms. If this ls, true, mul if; as ho nlso believes, the great nud lending principles of philosophy alopted in thut age, and as it wert incorporated in the language of its dietinguished writens, were fin mors rutional and spiritual than those, which now provail, I see not how hos could adopt a less offensive or a simpler method for reculling their philosephy, thun to recall and explain their language. The only why to innlerstand their philosophy or his, is by understanding the ternus, in which it is tanght, and till we do both, wh are lint compretent to juilge between his views and those, which arc now no popular anong us. If his philosophienl or theologienl views be fouml filse or absurl, let tien le rejected, or if the metnphysical distinctions, on which he insists, cun lee shown to be idle and fruitless, let them be treuted as they deserve ; but no one cau prononnce julgment upon then without at lenst a serious eflort to imilentand them. His writings, moreover, mon now acquiring too much anthority and influence among men of souml und sober thinking to be treated with negleet, and wherever his philosophical views are alopted, his use of lauguggs will be tound rational and skilfilly adapted to the circunstances of the anse. Bat I have introduced these renarks not with a view to disenss tho sulject myself so much as to engage the special and caudid uttention of the remier to the nuthor's own remarks, which will be fonnd in different pmrts of the work, but expecially in the sceoml letter of a selection from his Lite:ary Correspontence republished at the end of the Volune.-As. Lis.]

## [23] p. 25.

['Tho relation of prudence to morality, and the essential difference in kind between the laws of duty, existing a priori in the rewson amd conseience, and the maxims of interest, formed by the understanding from the rexults of experience, are exhibited mon it harge in the Aphorisms, which inmediately follow, and the Reflections concerning morality in the next section of the work. It may not lee improper, however, here to finewarn He reader, that in order to a elear apprehension of the author's views of
this sulbject in all their importunt lemrings, und almo of the relation of no rulity to roligious principle and faith, he must fint have some knowledgu of his metaphysiral nystem nul of the menninge, with which he has commectell the worris reason, anderstanding, free-mill, conscience, and other leading termas. It will be fermil, that he employs theme in a preceise, exclusbere, anil stemalfint moner, not only in this, bit in all his works, ansl I may add, that when these are umbentool, and their meming kept distinetly lwefore the mind in rading his writings, the ahief cmines of hiscourity will be removed. But it would be anticiputing too much, and ludeed would not hes powible in the compuss of a note, to exphin terms, which may lee maid to include his whole system. I have spoken of them here with a view to direct the carefill attention of the realer to the manner in which they are used thronghout the work, nut to the explamion given ly the anthor loth in the text and in the extrarts from his mher works, which will tee added for the same purpose of illistrution. When theso are mulerstond, the reader will see their nplliention to the whole aubjeet of the philosophy of morals,-the relation of morul rectitude to the understauling, the reason, the conseience, nul the fres-will,-and the nature of the difference between the principles of mornd olligation taught lore, and those generally received among us, whether froma laloy or krown. In the mean time the following renurks upon the nystem of Dr. Paley, mul the diselission of his doctrine of general consenuences will less repuire an ncynaintanco with the muthor's gememi nystem, to renter them intelligible, and from the great importance of the mibject, and the value of the extracts, I hops will not be thought out of place inthis work. The fint extruet is from Colerilge's second Lay Sermon, p. (6)-71, note.
"In the maguitule anul nwfulness of its oljects alune, the late Dr. Poley, by a use of terms ultogether arhitrary, places the distinetion between l'rudence and virtue, the former leing selflove in its applicution to the soun of puin and pleusure that is likely to result to us, ns the consequence of our netions, in the present life only; while the latter is the same self-love, that together with the present consequences of our actions, takes in likewise the more important enjoyments or sufferings which, aceorling as we obcy or disohey llis known commands, God has promised to bestow, or threatened to infliet, on us in the life to come. According to this writer, it becomes the duty of a rational free agent (it would be more pertincnt to say, of a sentient animal capable of Forecast) to reduce his Will to an habitual reoincidence with his Reason, on no other ground, but because he believes that God is able and determined either to gratify or to torment lim. Thus, the great principle of the Goapel, that we are bound to love our neighbors as ourselves and God above all, must, if translated into a consistency with this theory of enlightened Self-love, run thus: On the ground of our fear of torment and our expectation of pleasure from an infinitely powerfil Being, we are under a prudential obligation of acting towards our neigh-
bours as if we leveal then equally with ommelves; Ime ultimately and in very truth to lowe ounelves only. And this is the Work, this the System of moral and political l'hilusophy cited an highent anthority in our Seunte and Courts of Julienture! And (still wome!) this is the Text-Book for tho moral Lectures at one of our Universitien, justly the ment celebrated for meientific amlor and manly thinking. "Tis not withont a pang of filial sorrow that the Writer makes this aektowledgement, which nothing could havo crtorted froin him lut the strongest conviction of the inischievous aud debasing tendencies of that wide-spread system, in whieh the Works of Mr. Paley (his Sermons excepted) act not tho lens pemicious part, because the most decorous and plausible. The fillacions sophistry of the grounding principle in this wholn system has lieen detected by Des Cartes, and Bishop Butler: and of late years, with great ability und origimality, ly Mr. W. Hazlitt."
['The following eomprises nearly all of the 11th Esway in the second Volunce of the Friend:]
"The doctrine of General Consequences, as the chief and best criterion of the right or wrong of particular actions, 1 conceive to be neither trnable in reason nor safe in practice: and the following are the grouuds of my opinion.
Finst ; this criterion is purely ideal, and so far possesses no advantnges over the former syatems of morality: while it labours under defects, with which those are not justly ehargeable. It is ideal : for it depends on, anal must vary with, the notions of the individual, who in order to deternine the nature of an aetion is to make the calculation of its general consequenees. Here, as in all other caleulation, the result depemis on that ficulty of thu soul in the degrees of which men most vary from each other, and which is itself most affected lyy accidental advantages or disadvantages of eduration, natural talent, and acquired knowledge-the faculty, I mean, of foresight and systematic compreheusion. But surely morality, which is of equal isportance to all men, ought to be groundell, if possible, in that part of our uature which in all men may and ought to the the same: in the conscience and the common seuse. Secondly: this criterion confounds morality with lnw; and when the author adds, that in all probability tho divine Justice will be regulaterl in the final judgment by a similar rule, he draws away the attention from the will, that is, from the inward motives and impulses which constitute the essence of morality, to the ontward act: and thus clanges the virtuc comuanded by the gospel into the mere legulity, which was to he enlivened by it. One of the most pernuasive, if not one of the strongest, arguments for a fiture state, rests on the helief, that although by the necessity of things our outwarl nud temporal welfure must he regulated by our outward netiona, which alone can be the objects and guides of human law, there must yet needs come a juster nul tuore appropriate sentence hereafter; in which our intentions will be considered,
and our happiness and misery made to accord with the grounds of our actions. Our fellow-creatures can only judge what we are by what we do; but in the cye of our Maker what we do is of no worth, except as it flows from what we are. Though the fig-tree should produce no visible fruit, yet if the living sap is in it; and if it has struggled to put forth buils and blossoms, which have been prevented from maturing by inevitable contingencies of tempests or untimely frosts, the virtuous sap wili be accounted as frit: and the curse of barremness will light on many a tree, from the boughs of which hundreds have heen sntisfied, because the omniscient julge knows that the fruits were threaded to the boughs artificially by the outward working of base fear and selfish hopes, and were neither nourishel by the love of God or of man, nor grew out of the graces engrafted on the stock by religion. This is not, indeed, all that is meant in the apostle's use of the word, faitir, as the sole principle of justifieation, but it is included in his meaning und forms an essential part of itand I can conceive nothing more groundless, than the alam, that this doctrine may be prejudicial to outward utility and active well-doing. To suppose that a man should cease to be ben!' ent ly becoming benerolent, seems to me scarcely less absurd, than to fear that a fire may prevent heat, or that a perennial fountain may prove the ocension of drought. Just und generous actions muy proceed from bad thotives, and both may, and often do, originate in parts and as it were fragments of our nature. A lascivious man may sacrifice hulf his estate to ressue his friend from prison, for he is constitutionally sympathetic, and the better part of his nature happened to be ippermost. The same man shall aftenvaids exert the same disregard of money in an attempt to seduce that firend's wife or daughter. But faith is a total act of the soul: it is the whole state of the mind, or it is not at all! and in this consists its power, as well as its exclusive worth.
This subject is of such immense importance to the welfare of all men, and the understanding of it to the present tranquillity of many thousands at this time and in this country, that should there be one only of all my Readers, who should receive conviction or an additional light from what is here written, I dare hope that a great majority of the rest would in considcration of that solitary effect thiuk these paragraphs neither wholly uninteresting or altogether without value. For this canse I will endeavour so to expluin this principle, that it may be intelligible to the simplest capacity. The apostle tells those who would sulstitute obedience for faith (addressing the mun as obedience personified) "Know that thou bearest not the Root but the ROOT thee"-a sentence which, methinks, should have remberd all disputes concerning fiuth uul good works impossible anong those who profess to take the Scriptures for their guide. It would appear ineredible, if the fiet were not notorions, that two sects should gromad and justify their opposition to each other, the one on the werils of the apostle, hint we are justified by fiith, $i$. e. the inward and absolute ground of our ac-
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tions ; and theother on the declaration of Clurist, that he will julge us acconling to olir ueticuse As if an'action could he either goorl or bad disjoined! formid principle linsifif it could be, in the christian and only propor senser of the werd, an action at all, and not rather a mechanic serics of lueky or unlucky motionsl: Yet it niay well be worth the whito to Nlew. thir beauty and harmibiy of theme twin truths; or menther of this one grent trith consilered in it two principal bearings. God will judge each man before dill menis 'cmeenpuenty he will judge us relatively to man. '. But man knbive not the heart bf man; scarcely does any one know his own. There tmist thievefore lye outward and visible signs, by which meu may beieble to juige of the inwand state : and thereby justify the ways of Godito their own spirits, in the reward or punishmeut of themeelves and thoir fellow-men. Now good works are these signs, and as such become neressary. In elort there are two parties; God and the human race: alud both are to be eatisfied I first, God, who seeth the root and knoweth tho Neastil therefore there must he taith, or the entire and absolute principhe. Then man, who can julge only by the fiuits: therefore that fiuth must bear fritits of righteousness, that principle must manifest itself by actions. But that which God sees, thet alone justifies!. What men sees, does in this life shew' that the justifying principle may be the root of the thing seen ;'brit in the final judgment the acceptance of these actions will shew, that this principle actially woas the root. In this world a good life is a presumption of a good man : his virtuous actions are the only poseible, though still amblguous, manifestations of his virtue: but the abeence of a good life is not only a presumption, but a proof of the contrary, as long as it continues." Good works may exiet without saving principles, and therefore cannot contain in theriselves the princijle of salvation; but suving principles never'did;'never can, exist without' goorl works. On a subject of such infinite iniportance, I have feared prolixity less than obseurity. Men offien talk ageinit faith, and make strange monsters in their imagination of those who proiens to abide by the wonds of the Apostle: interpreted literally : anit yet in their orlinary feelings they themselves juige and act by a similar prineiple. 'For what is love' without kind offioes,' wherever they are powsible ? (and they are always possible, if not by actions commonly eo called, $y$ yet by kind words, by kind looks; and, where even theee are but of dir power, by kind thbughts and fervent prayers i) yet what noble mind would not be offonded, if he were'supposed to vilue the serviviable offices equirity with the love that produced them : or if he were thought to value the love ofr the sake of the services, and not the servicees for the sake of the lowe'?
I return to the question of general consequences, considered as the eriterion of moral actions. The admirer of Paley's Gystem is required to suepenid for a short time the objection, which, I'doubt not, he has already made, that general "consequences are stated by Paley as tho criterion of
the action, not of the agent. I will endenvor to satinfy • Illoton this pemint; when I have completed my present chain of argument. It has been shewn, that this criterion is no less ideal than that of any former system: that is, it is no loss ineapable of receiving any external experimentul proof, compulsory on the understandings of all men, surlo as the criterin exhibited in cheminstry. Yet, unlike the elder Systems of Mornity, it remuins in the work of the senses, withont deriving any evidence therefrom. The agcut's mind is compriled to go out of itself' in order to lring back conjectures, the prohability of which will vary with the shrewiluess of the individual. But this criterion is not only ideal: it is likewise imaginary. If wo lwilieve in a scheme of Providence, all actions aliko work for good. There is not the least ground fir suphoing that the crimes of Nero were less instrmmental in bringing about our present advantages, than the virturs of the Antonines. Lastly; the criterion is either nugatory or fulse. It is demonstrated, that the only real consequences camot be meant. The individual is to imagine what the general consequences uould he, nil other things remaining the sume, if nll men were to aet us he is alount to net. I scarcely need remind the realer, whit a souree of self delasion aud sophistry is here opened to a mind in a state of temptation. Will it not say to itself, 1 know thint nll men will not act so: und the immediate gosed consequences, which I shall obtain, are real while the bad consequences are inaginary mud improlmble? When the fonndations of morulity have once heen hide in ontwarl ronsegucmers, it will he in vain to recnll to the mind, what the consequences would be, were all men to reason in the sume way: for the very excuse of this minul to itself is, that neither its action nor its reasoning is likely to have any consequences at all, its immediato object excepted. But suppose the mind in its sanest stute. How can it possihly form a notion of the nature of all action considered as indefinitely multiplied, unless it has previously a distinct notion of the nature of the single artion itself, which is the multiplicand? If I concrive a crown multiplied a humdred bold, the single crown embles mo to understamd what a hundred crowns are; but how can the netion hunalred tearh me what a crown is? For the crown substitute X. Y. or abracadabra, and my imagination may multiply it to infinity, yet remain as much at a loss as lefore. But if there be any means of ascertaining the action in and for itself, what further do we want? Would we give light to the sin, or look at our own fingers through a telescope? The nature of every action is determined by all its circumstances; alter the circuinstances and it similar set of motions may be repeated, but they are no longer the same or similar action. What would a surgeon suy, if he wers advised not to cut off a limb, hecuuse if all men were to do the same, the consequences would be drualfin? Wonld not his answer be"Whopver does the same mader the same circumstances, and with the same motives, will do right ; lout if the circumstances and motives are
ditferent, what have I to do with it ?" I confess myen:ld mable to divino my possible ase, or even meaning, in this doctrine of permend remserpurnies, miness it be, that in all our actions we nre lnound to consider the aflive of our cxample, and to guari, ns much as possible, ugainst the hat gard of their heing misunderstood. I will not slanghter a lanh, or drown a litter of kittens in the presence of my chitd of four years old, because the child camot umberstand my netion, lont will undenstmel that his Futher has indieted puin, and taken away life from beings that had never offeuded him. All this is troe, and no man in his senses ever thonglat otharwise. 13nt methinks it is strange to state that ns a criterion of mornlity, which is mo more than allaceessary aggravation of an netion hand in tis own muture, or a gromid of caution us to the mode and timo in which we ara tu do or suspenel what is in itself good or immocent.
The daty of setting a good example is no donlbt a mont important duty ; but the example is good or bad, necessary or monecessary, aceording no the artion may he, which has a chane of treing imitated. I once knew a smanl, but (in ontwaril cireumstances at lenst) respectafle congregation, four-fithes of whom profused that they went to church entirely for the example's sake; in other words to cheat enelh other and act a common lie! These rational Christinns hal not comsidered, that example may encrease the good or evil of maction, but can never constitute cither. If it was n joolish thing to kneel when they were not inwardly praying, or to sit and listen to a diseonse of which they believed little and cured nothing, they were setting a foolish example. Pernons in their respectuble cireminstances do not think it necessary to elean shoes, that hy their exumple they may encourage the shoc-black in continuing his occupation: mnl Christiminty does not think so meanly of herself as to fear that the poor and nfdicted will be a whit the less pions, though they should see reason to bolieve that those, who possessed the goosl things of the present lifi, were detemined to leave all the bessings of the future for their more humble inferions. If I have spoken with bitterness, let it be recolleeted that my suljeet in hyporisy.
It is likewise fit, that in all our nctions we should have considered how fur they are likely to be misumberstood, nud fiom superticial resemblances to becontomuded with, and so appear to nuthorize, antions of a very diflirent chameter. But in this caution lee intended for a moral ruie, the mismbderstunding must be such us might le made by juersems who are neither very weak nor very wicked. 'The npprarent resemblances between tha good netion we were alout to do and the bud one which might possilly he done in mistaken initation of it, must he obrions: or that which makes them essentially ditherem, must lee subtle or recondite. For what, is there which a wicked man blinded by his passions may nut, and which a mal man will not, misumberstand: It is ride ulous to firme rules of morality with a view to those who are fit olycets onty for the phygician or the magise trate.

The question may he thus illustrated. At Florence there is an untinished bust of Brutus, by Michael Angelo, under which a Cardinal wrote the tellowing distich:

Dum Bruti effigiem sculptor de marmore fuxit,
In mentenn secleris venit, et abstinuit.
As the Sculptor toas forming the effigy of Brutus, in marble, he recollected his act of guill and refrained.

An English Nobleman, indignant at this distich, wrote immediately under it the following:

Brutum effinxisset seulptor, sed mente recursat
Multa viri virtus: sistit et olstupuit.
The Sculptor would have framed a Brulus, but the vast and manifold vitive of the man fashed upon his thought: he stopped and remained in "astonished admiration.

Now which is the nobler and more moral eentiment, the Italian Carlinal's, or the English nobloman's? The Cardinal would appeal to the doctrine of general consequences, and pronounce the death of Cusar a umrder, and Brutus an assassin. For (he would say) if one man may be allowed to kill another becanse he thinks him a tyrant, religious or politieal phrenzy may stamp the name of tyrant on the best of kings: regicide will be justified under the pretence of tymnnicide, and Brutus be gueted as authority for the Clements and Ruvaillacs. From kings it may pass to generals and statesmen, and from theses to any man whom an enemy or enthnsiast may pronounce unfit to live. Thus we may have a cohler of Nessinuin every city, and bravos in our streets as common as in those of Naples, with the name Brutus on their stilettos.
The Englishman would commence his answer by commenting on the words "hecause he thinks hiin a tyrant." No! he would reply, not liecause the patriot lhinks him a tyrunt; hut because he knows him to be so, and knows likewise, that the vilest of his slaves cannot deny the fuet, thint he has ly violence rased himself ahove the laws of his comntry-because he knews that all good and wise men equally with himself abhor the fact! If there be no such state as that of heing broal awake, or no means of distinguishing it when it exists; if because men sometimes dream that they are awake, it must fillow that no man, when awake, can be sure that he is not dreaning; if because an hypochondriac is positive that his legs are cylinders of glass, all other men are to learn modesty, and cease to he so positive that their legs are legs; what possible advantage can your criterion of oeneral consequences posess aver any other rule of direction? If no man cin be sure that what he thinks a robler with in pistol at his lircast denauding lis purse, may not he a good friend enpuiring ather his
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henith; or that a tyrumt (the son of a coller jerhups, what the head of a regiment of perjured traitors, has driven the representatives of his commtry out of the senute at the point of the hayonet, subverted the eonstitution which bad trusted, enriched, and honoured him, tranpled on the laws which before God and Man he had sworn to obey, mul finally raised limself alove nll law) may not, in spite of his own and his neighlours' knowledge of the contrary, le a lawfil king, who has received his power, however despotic it may be, from the kings his ancestom, who exercises no other power than what had been subnitted to for centuries, and been weknowledged as the law of the comintry on what gromed can you possibly expect less fallibility, or a result more to be rolied upon in the eano man's caleulation of your ofneral consfquences? Would he, at lenst, lind uny diflieulty in converting your criterion into an muthority for his act? What should prevent a man, whose perceptions und judgenents are so striugely distorted, fiom arguing, that nothing is more devontly to be wished for, us " general consegtuence, than that every man, who by violence places himself above the laws of his comntry, should in all ages and nations be considered ly mankind as placed ly his own act out of the protection of law, and be treated by them as any other noxions will beast would be? Do you think it necessary to try adders hy a jury? Do you hesitate to sloot a mad dog, because it is not in your power to have lim first tried and comdenmed at the Old Bailey? On the other hand, whint consequenee enu be conreived more detestable, thme one which would set a bomaty on the most enormous crime in luman nature, and extablish it as a law of religion and norality that the accomplishment of the most atrocions guilt invests the perpetrator with impiunty; and renders his person forever sacred and inviolnble? For nadmen and enthasiasts what avail your moral criterions? But as to your Neapolitan Bravos, if the act of Brutus, who, "In pity to the gencral wrong of Rome, Slew his best lover for the good of Rome," unthorized by the laws of his country, in manifest opposition to cill selfishl interests, in the fave of the Semete, ind instantly presenting himself and his canse first to that Senate, mul then to the assembled Commons, by them to stimed aequitted or condenmed-if such an act as this, with all its vast out-jutting circumstances of distinetion, cun be confoumded by my mind, not frantic, with the crime of a cowartly skulking assassin who hires out his dagger for a few crowns to gratify a hatred not his own, or even with the deed of' that man who makes a compronise letween his revenge and his cowardiee, and stabs in the dark the enemy whom he dared not meet in the open field or summon before the laws of his country-:chat netions cim let so dillerent that they muy not be equally confounded? 'The andmentied soldier must not fire his musumet, lest his example should he quoted by the villain who, to nake sure of his hooty, diselnarges his piece at the unsuspirious passenger from behind a helge. 'The physiciun most not administer a solution of ursenie to the leprons, lest his example slould be proted
hy profersionul wismers. If in distimetion, fill med matistactory to the ronscience mul dommon sense of mankiul be affirded by the detestation and horror excited in ull men, (even in the meanest and mowt vicious, if they are not wholly monsters) ly the act of the assassin, contrasted with the fervent admiration felt by the good and wise in all ages when they mention the nume of Brumes ; contrasted with the fact that the hemour or disrespert with which that name was spoken of, becomo an historie critt:rion of a noble or a laise age ; and if it is in vain that our own hearts answer to the guestion of the l'oet:
"Is there nmong the admantine splieres
Wheeling mushaken thromgh the homudless void,
Aught that with iualf surh majesty emu fill The hmuan lwisom, as when Bautes rose Rofitgent from the stroke of Casar's fate Amid the crowd of Patriots; and his arm Aloft extending, like cternal Jove,
Whell guilt brings down the thonder, call'd aboud On 'Tully's nanu, and shook his crimsen sword, And hume the Father of his Country, ILail! For lo the T'y rant prostrate on the dinst, And Rome ngain is free!"

If, I say, all this be fallacious und insufficient, can wo have any firmer reliance on a cold ideral calculation of inmagary genemals cossequtenase, which, if thry were genemal, comblat not eonsequences at all: for bry would be effects of the frenzy or fremzied wirkelness, which alone ronld confound actions so uterly dissimilnr? No! (would the rmobled descendant of our Russels or Sidneys concluide) No! Calumnions bigot! never yet did a human being lecomo an assassin from his own or the genpral admiration of the hero Bhotus; lut I dare not warrant, that villains might not be encournged in their trade of secret murder, ly finding their own guilt ntributed to the Roman patriot, and night not conclude, that if Brums le no better than an insassin, an assassin can be no worso than Brutus.
I refurst, that the preceding be not interpreted as my own julgment on tyramicide. I think with Machiavel and with Spinowa, for many and weighty reasons askignea hy those plibosophers, that it is diffieult to conreive a case, in which a good man would attempt tyramicide, becanso it is dififult to ennecive one, it which a wise man wonld recommend it. In a sumall state, inchinded within tho wulls of a single eity, and where the tyramy is maintained loy forcign guards, it may he otherwise; hut in a mation or empire it is made a tymony possible, shoull not likewise ronder the removal of the
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## NOTES.

97
tyrant uscless. The putriot's sword may ctit ofl' the Ifydra's head; lint bue posiesses no brand to stanch the uctive corrupion of the holy, which is amre to re-produce a nincossor.
I uust now in $n$ few worls unswer the objection to the former purt of my argmment (for to that part only the objection applice, namely, that the doctrine ol gencral consequences wis stated as tho criterion of the action, wot of the ngent. I might answer, that the: anthor himself hatid in some measure justifurd mo in not noticing this distinetion ly liohding finth the prohalolity, that the Suprome Julee will prowed by the same rule. 'The agent maty then safely le inchaded in the action, if both here rund herenter the action unly mul its general consenuenees will let ntemded to. But my main gromm of justitiontion is, that the distinction itself is meroly logical, not real and vital. 'Tle chararter of the agent is determineal hy his vietw of the aetion : mid that system of momity is alome true mul suited to human nature, which mates the intomion mol the motive, the warmoth and the light, in one and tho same net of mind. 'This alone is worthy to bo called a momal primeiple. Such a principle may be extracted, thongh not without ditliculty and damger, fon the ore of the stoic: philosophy; but it is to loe fonmd unalloyed and entire in the Christian system, med is theros alled l'sirio."
'The system of lakey, I an aware, is not now so genemally received in this comutry, as to call for the veryspecial attention of the friente of aruht ; yet many are still disposed to defud it, at least, with suelt slight moditiontions, ns to show, that its rulieal defects are not proceived. Those, who rejeet it entirely, do so on ditlipent grommats from those above presented, and for the most part adopt as a substitute the system of 3rown, which, if there he any trutli in the doctrines exhibited in this volume, is alike radieally erroncons. Both systems in fact have their origin in nearly the same gencral views of the himan mind-views, which prechlule the existerneo of the reason ind free-will, as these powers are defined by Coleridge, and leave us only those powers of the mulerstanding nud of choice or sele.". tion, which belong to ns in common with the brutes. Whether it he possible upon such a system of what is called the l'hilosophy of the human mind, tho adherents of which, not only among professed Metaphysicians, but among Naturalists, and even Theologians, unintain in so many words, that we have no powers differing in kind from those, which belong to dogs and horses, whether, I say, it he possible upon such groumbs of geueral philosophy to construct a rational system of morals, to aceount satisfuctorily for the difference hetween regret and remorse, to explain the difference between things and persons, to show why we should not acknowletge the rights of brutes, and try them by a jury, and in general to justify the whys of God to man, remains yet a fair field for experiment. In the mean time the carefill reader will find, if 1 mistake not, in the metaphysical views contuined in this work materials for a noral :ystent so nucls nore ration-
al und satisfying, so much more consistent with our moral feelings and our idea of the Divine Being, as will go far to sustain the truth of these views themselves. I will harely remark farther, that tho bearing of this work upon the ethical system of Brown will lee sutliciently olvious in the subseepuent parts of the volume, especially in the contrulistinction taught to exist hetween minre had the will, and the rolation, which, on this system, moral rectitude holds to the will and to the reasm.-An. Editor.]
[24] p. 28.
Victuros agimis semper, nec vivimus mimianin.

## [25] 1. 29.

Spesspem cxepipit, anditionem nubitio, et miseriurum non quaritur fuis, sedsechema minthon mutatur.
[26] p. 31.
This paracuph is uhtridged from tho Wutehman, No. IV. March 25, ith;: rerperting which the inquisitive Realer nany consult ny "Literary lite,"
[97] p. 32.
There sometimes orcurs an apparent I'lay on worls, which not only to her Moruliary, Dut even to the philosophical Etymologis, nppenix more than a mere Play. Thitw in the double sense of the word, lecome. I hase known persons so anxious to have their Dress become them, so taths in illo, an to convert it at length intu their proper self; mul thus artually to become the Drese. Such a one, (sutelist spoken of by the neuter Pronoun), I consider as hut a suit of live Finery. It is inditlerent whether wo say-It becomes He, or, He becomes it.
[38] p. 34.
It might be a mean of preveuting many unhappy Martinges, if the youth of both sexes had it early impresed on their minds, that Marriage conurated between Clisistians is a true und perfect Symbol or Mystery; that is, the actualizing Futh lofing smpmosed to exist in the Reccivers, it is an outward Sign co-essentinl with that which it signifies, or a living Part of that, the whole of which it represents. Marriage therefore, in the Clustian sense (Ephesims v. 22-33), as symbolical of the union of the Sond with Christ the Mr ciator, and with Giod through Christ, is perfectly a sacramental ortinance, mu. not retained by the Reformed Churehes as one of the Sacranents, for two reasons; first, that the Sign is not distinctive of the Church of Christ, and the Ordinance not peenhar nor owing its origin to the Gospel Lispensation; secondly, it is not of universal obli-
ur moml feelings and our the truth of these views the bearing of this work iently olvious in the subontrulistinction taught to n, which, on this system, 11.-Am. Editor.]
miquatu.
niserintun non q̧uaritur
man, No. IV. Marel 25, any consult my "Literary S.'I'. C.
words, whicl, not only to Holegist, yppers more than the: worl, become, I have ecome them, so totius in illo, mul thus netuilly to bucome the neuter Pionoun), I eonnt whether wo sty-It be-
, unhaply Marringes, if the their minds, that Marriage rerfect Symbol or Mystery; to exist in the Receivers, it it signifies, or a living Purt Mariage therefore, in the olical of the union of the through Christ, ie perfectly the Reformed Churehes as that the Sign is not distincace not pecuhar nor owing , it is not of miversal obli-
mation, not a means of Grace amjoned oll all thristians. In other ant plainer words, Marriage dowe toot contanin in itself mn open Protession of Cluris, mal it is unt a surament of the Chureh, imt only of cortain Inilisidual membere of the Chmeh. It is avilent, hewreve, that midher of these Remsomatient or diminish the religions matme and dedisative firce of the marriage Vow, or detrict from the solemuity of the Apmetolic: Declaration: 'Iuse is a gnear Mrstrens.
'Tlur interest, which the Stite has in the appropriation of' me Woman to our Man, and the rivil obligations therefrom resulting, firm an altogether distinet consideration. When I meditite on the words of the Aposde, comfirmed und illustrated as they arr, ly so many harmonies in the spirinalal Struetion of our proper Himanity, (in the imare of Got, male and femade reated he the Man), and then reflect how little chain so large a muhber of lemal colabintations have to the mane of Christimn Murriages-If fel inelines! to douth, whether the phan of celebrating Maritume :anversally by the civil magistrate, in the list instmen, and leaving the religions Covenan, and satranmal Pledg: to the election of the Parties themselves, alopted during the Republic in England, and in our own times by the French Legislature, was not in furt, whatever it might be in intention, reverential to Christianity. At all events, it was their own act and choice, if the Parties made bad worse by the profunation of a Gospel Mystery.
[29] p. 44.
Whatever is comprized in the Chain and Mechanism of Catase and Fiffect, of fourse neressitufed, and having its necessity in some other thing, antecedent or concurrent-his is said to lee .Vitural; and the Aggregate and System of all such things is Nature. It is, therefore, a contradiction in terms to include in this the l'ree-will, of which the verbal definition is -that which originates an act or state of Being. In this sense therefore, which is the stinse of St. Panl, and indeed of the New Testanent throughout, Spiritimal and Supertatural are synonymons.
[The Comment, to which this note is ntarhed, exhibits in part the antthor's views on cortain sulyeets, "hich are felt and acknowledgel to be of the uthont inportance, and at the same time exceedingly dificult of explaaation. Whether there le an essential difference between morality and spiritual religion-the mote of transition from the one to the other-the contralisti,guishing fharacter of the will a spiritual mod above nature-and the possitility of such a commmion and co-agency of the Disine spirit with onr spirite, is shall transform them into the Divine imare, consistently with the ithea of a free will as formed thy the rasom, are madoultelly sulbjepts desurving and repuiring the most serions and profond reflection. The manner, in which they are treated in this work, if I do not mistake,
will in lenat have tho literont of novelity fir most of has moders, and rime lurilly fail to give thems more satisficelion, in regurl in some prints, than the unthors generally resurted to ameng us on suljeete of this sort. It will ut once be obvious, that all these subjects are lare presented to un in a fiur slifierent point of view from that, in which they are mul must be comemFAnted by the disciples 6: Lacke, mad those whi, with Brown, ilony to mun any jowers of will, which ure niot sulijeeted to the liw of miture, and inrhaleal in the needanism of" conse mul effert. 'The dithrence in the views exhibited, it will be seen agnin, results foom the sume fimdanemal prineiphes of philosophy, which I have ruferred to in firmer mutes, null which it will he espuecially inemment on the render to understumb in orker to a fill opprehension of the mathor's mening here. 'To anticipate the mont impormat dificulties, likely to he felt hy a reader unmerquinted with the syatem, I will merely olserve, what would not perhaps he Ifarned distinctly from the previous parts of the work, that accorving to the nuthor's views and use of lungugge a fact may ho uhove our understandings, which is not inconsistent with renson, and which remon requires us to believe; it may Ise inconceivable under those conditions, which limit the powers of concaption in the understanding, nod yet its truth be discovered intuitively hy the reason; it may he irrepresentalle under the forms of time anul eprace, i. c. sumething, of whirlo neither extension, nor place, nor the utrilutes of time, as before und after, cma be prediruted, nad yet its renlity forece itsilf upon our conviction. TIIe distination between these power, and the nppropriato ollices of each, are exbihited ly the muthor in a submennent part of the volume; but if, for the present, what has now heen said be adnitted, and the definition of nature given in his note recognized, the meaning of the Comment will be sufficiently olsious, and its doctrines seen to be at least free from ubsurt!ity.

But as the reader is now entering upon those views of the will as sulpernutural, and of the spiritual powers of mun which constitute the ground work of the eystem, I cannot perhups aid hina mure eflectually than by rofraring him, either for his preseut or future convenience, to those parte of the volume where they are most clearly stuted. By comparing different pussages together, one manequainted with the eystem and the meaning of terms will gain more instruction than from any illustrations which I couls furnisls. The following passages lave oceurred to me as having amore or less impormmt connexion with the leading principles mentioned. It may net le expedient to auticipate the author's progress ly reading them all in comnexion with this Cormment, but they may be compared at the realer's option. In the text the passuges will be fomod ut pp. $87-12,102-105$, 189-134, 135-145, 151-154, 160-163, 183-184, 193-194, 205-203; 211-21I, 238-246. Among the wotes, the most important in this romexion, are the 50 Hlh , 55th, 64th, Gith, G7th, 69h, and 78h. Some ports of the approdix, also, will be foumd to illustrate the author's views of these subjecta.
tof ith readerse, and rims d th some $\boldsymbol{j}^{\text {rinints, than }}$ ente of thin mort. It will presented to us in a liur onul minst le contennith Brown, lony to mmn , luw of mature, and inedifliprence in the viewn sume findmun品al priuformer notes, and which uderstund in oreler to a 'To antiriputo the mont - umurguminted with the tinps be lemened distinetling to the author's views ristandings, which is nut as us to lelieve ; it may imit the powers of condiscovered intuitively ly corms of tine unil sjuace, see, nor the utributes of its reality forect itself up- $^{-}$ wwers, and the njpropriIt subsequent part of the en snid be admintad, and ized, tho menning of tho trines scen to le at least
iews of the will as super.. ch constitute the grumbl re eflectually than by renience, to those pats of By colnparing different term and the meaning of lustrations which I could 0 me as having a more or ples mentioned. It may ss ly reading them all in -ompared at ilie reader's ut pp. 87-12, 102-105, $184,1143-194,205-203$, important in this connex78lb. Some phrts of the r's views of these suljects.

The following is inserted here fivon the Prieme, vol. ihi, p. Wif-lisk
 rively ; risquatic ( $=$ fimm firmans), mal matrinl (=forman forman). In the first melose it signifies the inwarel principle of whatever is requisite for the: reality of a thing, us cristent: white the rasence, of cesomial pro-
 a thing. Hence, in arcurnte languge, we may the esserce of a mathematcal cirde or other geonetrical figure, not the nature; lswasse in the con"rption of fomes purely grometricen there is mo expression or laplizution of their real existence. In the second, or metterind selose, of the word NATUAE, we mum liy it the sum total of all thinge, as fur as they are objecter
 phumomem, whelher existing fir our outward senser, or for our inner nemse. The deatrine concerning material nnture womld herefore, (the word l'hys lology being Inth mulignous in itedf, and atrenly othernving mproprinted) bue more properly entithed Phonomenology, distinguished into its two grand divisions, Somatology and Payehology. The dortrine emenerming energetic muture is emmprised in the seimese of DYNAMBCS; the mion of which with Phomonemolngy, mal the ulliance of both with the srivolees of thes D'essible, or of the Conrepivable, viz. Jogie and Muthematios, constutu) NaTURAL I'HLLOSOLHY:"-As. Ed.]
[30] p. 44.
Some distant and faint similitude of this, that merely os a similitudo may be innocently used to quiet the Fancy, prosided it bo not imponest on the understanaling as an anulogoos fact or us identisal in kind, is prerented to us in the power of the Mugnet to aws sen mad strugthen the minguctic power in a iar of Irom, mad (in the insause of the compound magnet) acting in and with ine latter.
[31] p. 45.
"The River windeth at his own sweet Will."

> W'ordsuorth's exquisite Sonnet on Histminster-bridge at Sun-rise.

But who does unt sere that hree the peatic charth arives from the known nul fill impropriely of the expression, in the teehnical sense of the word impropridy, anong tirnmmarians?
[32] p. 53.
Oue of the mumerous proots ngainst those who with a strange inconsistency told the Oht Trestament to have been inspired throughoun, and yet deny that the doctrine of a future state is tanght therein.
[33] p. 67.
['Ithe following is the panange refirred to in the Ombiana.-Ax. Fin.]
I am firmly persmaled, that mo doctine was ever widely dillised anong
 (sueh ins is the dortrine of origimal rin, and redemptimb, those findanerntal urtiches of avory known roligion proliesing to loe revented) which is, not fomaleal cither in the mature of things or ith the neceresitios of our mot ture. In the langurge of the soluols, it curries with it prosmuntive evidence, that it in cither objectively or suljecticely true. Aml the more strango, and contrudictory such in doctrino may appear to the understanding, or disenvive firmby, he stronger is the presumption in its favour : for whatever satirists may say, and acioliste inmgine, the human mind lus mo predileetion for absurdity. I do not however menn, that such a deetrine shall he nhways the best jossibls representation of the truth, on which it is fommind, for the same body casts stramgely diflerent shmelows in elifferent pheres mid different degreed of light; but that it ulways does Nhulow ont some such truth and derives its influence aver our finith from our olseure furerption of that truth. Yen, even where the pereon himself uttributes his belief of it to tho mirarles, with which it was unnounced hy the fomeder of lise religion.

It is a strong presumptive proof ayninst materialism, that there does not exist in languge on marth, firm the rulest to the most refined, in whirlo a matrialist cmi talk for five minutes together, withont involving some contratirtion in terms to his own systrm. Olyection. Will not this apply minatly to the antronomer? Newton, mo dombt, talked of the win's rising und setting, just like other men. What should we think of the eoveomb, who should have oljected to him, that he comtraticted his own system? Ansuer.-No! it 'reen not apply equilly ; Say rather, it is uterby mappliculde to the astronomer and naturnl philosopher. For his philoserphic, nud lis ordimary langnage anenk of two guite diflerent things, toth of which are egnally tries. In his ordinary languge he refers to a fact of appemrance, to a phemonamon common and necessary to all permone in a given sithation: in his scientific langnage he determines that one pusition, figure, Ace which being silpmosed, the nppearance in question would to the neressary resill, and all apmonameerin allsituations may be demonstrably foretoli. Lat a boily be suspended in the nir, and strongly illuminated. What figure is here? A trimugh. But what here? A trapueinm,....smal so oll. The same fuestion put to wenty men, in twenty diflerent pasitions mul distaners, womid reveive twent difterent answers: and end would hen true mawer. But what is thet one figure, whieh being sop placed, all thene firte of upparanere most result, uecording to the haw of prerpertive:..... ye: his is a difliremt inestion,....this is a new sul)ject. 'The words, which unswer this, would le absurd, if used in reply to the fiomer.

Thus, the langunge of the reriptures on natural olyects is as surictly philosephical ins that of the Newobiaus system. P'erluys, moro so, Fior it is not only copually true, but it is miversal among mankind, nul mochangeablo. It doseribes fivets of appearance. And whatother langunge wonld hawe been comsistent with the divine wisdom? The inspired writere must huve lormed their terminolory, vither from the crubs and minaken phi-
 howl, unintelligible mematime to atl but one int teln thoumand; or they mast have anticipated the terminology of the true nystem, withont any rewelation of tho system itself, and so have berome mintelligible to nill tuen ; or lastly, they mast have revealed tho system itsilf, nod thes have left nothing for the exercise, developenent, or roward of tho homan umberstanding, intoul of temehing thent moral knowhedge, and enforcing thoses social and eivie virtues, out of which the urts and seiences will apring up in due time, ans of heir own accord. Ilut nothing of this aphlies to the materialist ; he rofirs to the very sume fiets, whirh the common lmungege of mankini apeaks of: and thene too ure facts, that have their sole and comire lwing in our own conscioushess; facts, ne to which rsse imil conseive nre identical. Now, whatever is common to all langonges, in all climates, at all timer, mad in all stages of civilization, must be the bixponent and Conserpueat of the common conseionsuess of man, na man. Whatever contrudiets this miversal han-
 guention sulsisting exclonivily in conseiousness, whatever contrmliets the ronscionsuess, contradicte the thr't.

## [34] p. 58.

'Terhimion phrases of an obsolete System will yet retain their plares, nay aepuire nuiversul correney, mul become sterling in the language, when they at oure represent the ferelinge, aut give an alparout solution of them by vishal inngex casily manated ly the Fomey. Such are many terms and plumeses from the Humemal Ihysiology long exploded, yit are far more popular than any desrription would be firon the 'Theory that has tuken its place.
[35] 1. 62.
In check of fanatial pretrisions, it is expedient to contine the torm miruculous, to cants whe the Senses are appealed tu, in proof of something that transemis, or cumet be a part of, the Experienee derivel from the Senses.
[36] p. 62.
For let it not be firgontem, that Noraliny, as distinguished from Prodene
implying (it mntters not under what name, whether of Honeur, or Dity, or Consrience, still, 1 suy, implying), und leing gronnded in, an awe of the lusisihle und a Contidenee thersin beyond (nuy occasionally in apparent "ontudiction to) the inductions of ontward Experience, js essentially reliқімия.
[SWe neto 23.-An. ED.]
[37] p. 72.
See Ihinker on Reer, and on ants
[Tho meaning of nome part of this Comment will ho rendered more clear ly referring to the jassage of the work in p. 151-154.-Am. Ene.]

## [38] p. 75.

Alout the eni' of the mame year (says Kulm), another of theso Animuls (Mephitis Americanu) repet into onr cellar ; hut did not exhate the smalless seent, bectuse it was not dishurbed. A foolish old Woman, however, teho perceived it at might, by the shining, and thought, I suppose, that it aould set the world on fire, killed it : and al that moment its stench begm to spread.

We recommend this nnecdote to the ronsideration of sumdry old WoImen, oll this side of the Atantir, who, thongh they do not wear the appropriate garment, are wortly to sit in their eommittere-rom, hike Biekerstaff in the 'Tatler, wher the canopy of their Grandan's Iloop-petticoat.
[39] p. 76.
To the rame purpose are the two following sentences from Hilary:
Stiam quae pro Religione diesmus, cumgrandi metu et disciplinai dicere debemms.-Hilurius de 'Trinit. Lib. 7.
Non Relictus est hominum cloquiis de Dei relus alius quam Dei serno. Hent.

The later, however, must be takell with certain Qualifications and Execptions: as whru any two or more 'Texts are in apparent contradiction, atud it is repuired to state a truth that comprehends and recomeiles lowh, and which, of' conrse, cannot loe expressed in tho words of either. Wix. gr. the filial suborifination (My Futher is greater then $I$, in the equal Doity (My Futher and I are one),
[40] p. 82.
Meraran, the New Testament word, which we render liy Repentause, compounded of acta, trans, and ves, mens, the sipirit, or practical Reasou.
[41] p. 83.
May I widhont offence be permited to recorl the wey uppopriate title,
with which a atern Ilumorist lettered a collection of Unitarian 'I'ranta:"Salvation mado easy ; or, Every Man his own Redeennr."

## [42] p. 88.

On this principlo alone is it possiblo to justify capital, or ignomimions Pumishments (or indeed any pumishment not having tho reformation of the Criminal, as one of its objeets). Such P'mishments, like those inflicted on Suicider, must be regurded as posthumous: the willful extiuetion of the moral mad personal life ,heing, for the purposes of punitive Justice, equivalent to a wilfil destruction of the natural life. If the speerh of Judge Burnet to the Horse-stenler (Yom are not hanged for stenling it Horse; but, that Horses may not he stolen) can be vindieatel at all, it must be on this principle; and not on the all-minetting seheme of Erpedienec, which is the anarehy of Morals.
[Fully and strongly as 1 am convinced of the importanee and the truth of the distinctions made, and the doctrines mught, in this Jreliminury to Aphorisma on Spiritual Religion, I shall not attempt to add any thing to the distinemess or comelusiveness, with which they are stuted by the anthor. I will venture however in his behalf to solicit the readers of the work and especinlly those, who have received their notions of the will fiom Edwarals or from Brown, to give this mad the other passagus referred to in note ${ }^{\text {sththe }}$ a candid and studious attention. The relntion of the will to the reamon and conseirne will be found exhibited more fully in other parts of tho work.-Am. E.D.]
[43] p. 98.
[The distinguishing character, and the appropriate finctions of Reason, in the sense in which it is used by the author, will te fomm pp. 136-139-141-145, imil in the 59th note. Its authority in relation to matters of fuith is more fully stated in sulsequent parts of the work. The following may be referrell to among others, pp. 108-120, 182-134, 192-194, 204-206, mid the appendix to the first Lay Sermon republished at the end of this Volmur. This is a subject much talked of among speenlative theologians mad religions writers of every class, yet how seldom with any definite and satisfartory result. A critical amlysis of our cognitive finculties, and of the sulbective gromuls of fiuth in the human mind, is ohvionsly the only mothod of arriving at fixed and rational conchasions respecting it; and I speak with confilence in suying, that a cenrefiul stuly of the pussuges in this work referred to above, and a clear apprehension of the distinction pointed ont between the understanding and the reasen, and of the distinet offices of the latter, as speculative, and as practical reason, will do more to solve the difficulties of the sthident on matters of this sort, than my or all other discussions of the sulyeet, which he will bo likely to mect wilh in

He Engtish Language. In regard to the use of terms here it is deserving of remark, inat Ileny More, one of the most learued and profound philosepheres of the most philosophical age, has e uployed the word reason uemry in the sume sense as that, in which it is used by Coleridge. This appears fiom the extacts lofiore and after the Aphorism, with which this note is comected, and still more clearly from "the Preface general" to his Philusophiral Works. "Take away REASON," he remake, "and ail religions are alike true; as the light being removed all things are of one colwir:" For other extracts see note 59th. I uight refer to the works of this muthor for exmulles of a use very similar to that adopted ly Coleridge in regard to the meming assigned to many other important words besides the one meationed, as sense, understanding, notion, perception, conception, idea, subject, oljeet, \&r. To those, who ure not convineed that all true philosuphy is to le found in the writers of the last eentury, and are fond of serking it in the furgoteln folios of a more ancient date, the works of this author will afford hoth instruction and amusement. The axioms hid down in the commencement of his reatise on the Immortality of the Soul, and the first Book of his "Antilote against Atheism," are evidence of profombl philosophical insight into the laws of tho humm mind and the grounds of our knowledge. Tha following remarks respecting the manner, in which his works should be read, I could wish the reader to apply to the present work. "If any," he says, "expeet or desire uny general instruction or preparation for the more profitably perusing of these my writings, I must profess, that I can givo none that is peculiar to them, but what will fit all writings that are writ with FREEDOM and REASON. And this one royal nule I would recommend for all, not to julge of the truth of any proposition till woc have a settled and delerminate apprehension of the terms thereof: Which law, though it be so neeessary and indispensable, yet there is none so frequently lroken as it is: the effect whereof is those mony heaps of voluminous writings, and inept oppositions and controversies that fill the world. Which were impossible to be, if men had not got a hahit of fluttering mere words agninst one another, without taking notire of my determinate sense, and so did fight as it were with so many Hercules' clubs made of pasteboard, which cause a great sound, but do no execution towards the eluding of disputes. See noto 58. The following on the suhjeet of the Ayhorism is from the Priend, vol. 3. pp. 103-106.An. Eib.]
"We have the highest possible authority, that of Scripture itself, to justify us in jutting the question: Whether miraches can, of themselves, work a true convietion in the mind? 'There are spirimal truths which must lerive their evidence from within, which whoever rejeets, " neither willhe Lelieve though a man were to rise from the dead" to confirm them. And muter the Monaic lav a mirucle in attestation of a bilse doctrine sulyected the miruch-worker to death: whether reully or only seemingly supemut-
terms here it is teserving carned and profound phiployed the word reason used by Coleridge. 'I'his phorism, with which this te I'reface general" to his $"$ he remarks, "imul ull red all things are of one cotrefer to the works of this a adopted ly Coleridge in - important words besides ion, perception, conception, ot convinced that all true last century, and are fond uncient date, the works of sement. The axioms luid e Inmortality of the Soul, :ism," are evidence of prothe humun mind and the narks respecting the man1 wish the reader to apply et or desire any general intperusing of these my wriat is peculiar to them, but ZEEDOM and REASON. for all, not to juulge of the determinate apprehension of ecessary and indispensable, the effect whereof is those oplositions and controverc to he, if men had not got other, without taking noIt as it were with so many se a great sound, but do no e noto 58. The following end, vol. 3. pp. 103-106.-
tof Scripture itself, to jusracies can, of themselves, spiritual truths which inust ver rcjects, "neither will he ad" to colfirm them. And f a filse doctrine suljeeted $r$ only seeningly supemat-
notes.
ural, makes no difference in the present argument, its power of eonvincing, whatever that power may be, whether great or snall, depending oft the fulness of the belief in its miracnlous nature. Est quibus esse vidotur. Or rather, that I may express the same position in a firm less likely to offeml, is not a true efficient conviction of a moral truth, is not "tho creating of a new henrt," which collects the encrgies of a man's whole being in the focus of the conscience, the one essential miracle, the same and of the same evidence to the ignorant and the learned, which no superior skill can counterfeit, human or dernoniacal? Is it not emphatically that leading of the Father, without which no man can come to Christ? Is it not that implication of doctrine in the miracle, and of miracle in the doctrine, which is tho bridge of communication between the senses and the soul? That predisposing warmth that renders the melerstanding suserptible of the specific inpression from the historie, and from all other outward, seals of testimony? Is not this tise one infullible criterion of miracles, hy which a man can know whether they be of God? The nbhorrence in which the niost savage or harbmous tribes hold witeleraft, in which howover their belief is so intense* as cven to pontrol the springs of liff,--is not this ahborrence of witeheraft muler so full in convietion of its reality a proof, how little of divine, how little fitting to our nature, n miracle is, when insulated from spiritual truths, and disconnected from religion asits end? What then can we think of a theological theory, which alopting .. scleme of prudential legality, common to it with "the sty of Epicmus" as far at lenst as the springs of moral action are concerned, makes its whole religion consist in the belief of miracles! $\Lambda *$ well might the poor $\Lambda$ frican prepare for himself a fetisch by pheking out the eyes from the eagle or the lyns, and enslurining the same, worship in them the power of vision. As the tenet of professed Christians (I speak of the principle, not of the men, whose hearts will ahways more or less correct the errons of their mulerstandings) it is even more alsuril, and the pretext for such a religion more inconsistent than the religion itself. For they profess to derive from it their whole faith in that fiturity, which if they had not previonsly believed on the evidence of their own consciences, of Moses and the P'rophets, they are assured by the great Founder and Oljeet of Christianity, that neither will they believe it, in any spiritual and profitable sense, thongh a man should rise from the deal."

## [44] p. 100.

The very marked, positive as well ns comparative, magnitude and prominence of the Bump, entitled Benevolenez (see Spurzheim's Map of the

[^2]Humen Shull, on the head of the late Mr. John Thurtel, has wofilly unactiled the fiith of many ardent l'herenologista, and strengethened the previous doubs of a still greater momber into utter disbelief. On my mind this fiet (for a fact it is) produced the direst contrary effect ; and inelined me to suspect, for the first time, that there may be some truth in tho Spurheimian Scheme. Whether future Cruniologists may not see canse to nctomame this and one or two other of these convex gnomons, is fuito a diftirent question. At present, mul according to the present use of words, any surh change would be premature : and we must he content to say, that Mr. Thmole's Benevolenee was insuthiciently modified by the unpretrusive and mimidicated Convohutes of the Bruin, that secrete honesty and common-scuse. The organ of' Destructiveness was indirectly potenziated by the alsence or innurfect developement of the Glands of Reason and Conscience, in this "unfortunate Gonlleman!"

$$
[45] \text { p. } 106 .
$$

[Those who are disposed to defend the doetrines of Edwarls on the subject of the Will, are requested, hefore they take offence at the linguage of this pussage, to rejeruse the Preliminary remarks, p. 87-92, und candidly to exmmine, in connexion with it, the anthor's views of original sin, luginning at p. 158 , being careful to obtain "a setted and determinate apprehension" ot the several important terms made use of. Tho Will, accorling to Edwards, "is as the greatest upparent good is." The strongest motive in the view of the understuading detemines the Will.-But the motive again, or the greatest apphrent good, is as the man is. The man makes the motive. One man finds a motive to sin, where another woull find the strongest incitement to virtuc. The determining power or calnse, then, is in the man, and, keeping in view the distinetion hetween nature and will, the important question is, whether this power or determining cause be in his nature or in his will. If it be in his nature, and the law of canse nul effeet, which constitutes his nature, be the law of his will, in other words, if his will be absorhed in that law, and a part of his nature, (see puge 183) then whatever evil there may be in the acts of his will must be ehnrgen upon his nature ; and if this nature or haw of eause and effect, hy which his will is determined, do not result in nuy sense fiom a previous act of the will, if it be implanted, inherited, or inflicted, in any way, for which the individual could not lie persomully responsible, then the evil natore of a man differs nothing in its relation to moral rectitude and moral responsibility fron the evil nature of a brute. He may feel regret for it, but he should not feel remorse. If on the other hand the determining cunse, the moving power or iufluence be not in his nature, if the act of the will be not predetermined by a cause out of the will, of which it is the effeet, so as to the a link in the chain of antecedents and consequents, which we call nature; then the determining cuuse must he in the will itself, and the

Mhurtel, has wofilly und strengthened the predisbelicf. On my mind rnry effect ; and inclined y be sone truth in the ogists may not see canse mex gnomons, is fluite 2 to the prescint use of d wo must hee content to ntly montified by the unain, that secrete honesty ss was indireetly potenof the Glonds of Reason
ines of Edwards on the e offence nt the language arks, p. 87-02, and canr's views of original sin, tled and determinate ape use of. 'Tho Will, acgood is." The strongest tines the Will.-But tho ts the mam is. The man in, where another would mining power or cause, stinction hetween nature wer or deternining cause rre, and the law of cause law of his will, in other a part of his nature, (see aets of his will must be w of cuuse aud effect, by ay sense from a previous nflicted, in any way, for ponsible, then the evil naoral rectitude and moral may feel regret for it, but d the determining cunse, ure, if the act of the will , of whieh it is the rfleet, d consequents, which we in the will itself, and the
will is self-dotermined. If it be an evil will, it most have berome mo liy lis own net, or it is not sinfin. If the mun's uature have the asemenency und the dominion, so that the will is sulijemed to the law of the flesh, the law in the members, it must have been welf-subjected, und the person is responsible for his evil mature. "Foor a nature in the will is an evil mature." But there is litte grined by multiplying words, und the oijgeetions to this viow of the sulject, that may maturally be expected from those, who are neensfonned to the New Eingland writers, ut least all, that are most importunt, and to which the ohjertor has a right to demand un answer, will find it ritional one in the passages referred to, und in those, which relate to tho oftice of Reason.-Gee refirences in tho 43 d note,-on the general sulject of the note, seo abo note (2n),-Ant. Ed.]

## [46] p. 107.

At a periot, in which Doctor Marsh and Wowlsworth have, by the Zenlots on one sido, been charged with popish principles on account of their Anti-bibliolatry, and the stordy alherents of the doetrines common to Juther and Calvin, and the literal interpreters of the Artic less und IInnilic:, are (I wish I conld say, allogether withont any fand of their own) regariled by the Clergy generally as virtual Schismaties, Dividers of, thongh mot from, the Church, it is sarving the cunse of charity to assist in circulating the following instructive passage from the Lite of Bishop Mackett respecting the rlisputes hetween the Angustinians, or Lithoro-culvinistic Divines and the Grotians of his age: in which controversy (silys his Biographer) he, Hacket, "was ever very moderate:"
"But having leen bred moder Bishop Davenunt and Dr. Warl in Cambridge, he was addieted to their sentiments. Archbishop Usher womla say, that Davenant understood those controversies better than ever any man dids since Augıstin. But ho (Bishop Markett) used to say, that lus was sure he had three excellent men of his mind in this controversy. 1. Padre Paolo (Fintier P'ul) whose Letter is extant to Ifeinsins, amo I604. 2. Tho zs Aquinas. 3. St. Augustin. But besides aud above them all, ho believed in his Conseience that St. Pual was of the same mind likewise. Yet at the same time he would profess, that he disliked no Arminians, but sinch as revile and deftumo every ono who is nol so : and ho would otten commend Arminius himself for his excellent. Wit umd Pats, hut only tax his want of rending and knowledge in Antiquity. And ho ever held, it was tho foolishest thing in the world to sny the Arminians were popishly inclined, when so mony Dominicans md lansenists were rigid followere of Angustin in these points: and no less foolish to say that the dheti-arminians were l'uritans or l'resbytarians when Herd and Inarmant, and lrideans, and Brownrig, those stont Chanpions for lypeopary, were decided AntiAmminas: while Arminis himself watever a Preshyterian. Therefore he greatly eommended the moderation of our Chureh, wheln extivided equal Conmmion to hoth."
[47] p. 108.
The gigantic Indiun Spider. See Baker's Microscopic Experiments.
[48] p. 114.
Erempli gratic: at the date of St. Pnul's Epistles, the (Romau) Worli may be resembled to a Mass in the Furnace in the first moment of fusion, here a speck and there a spot of the melted Metal shining pure and brilliant anid the scum and dross. To lave received the mune of Christim was a privilege, a high and distinguishing fivour. No wonder therefore, that in St. l'anl's writings the words Elect and Election, often, nuy, most often, mean the same as eccalimeni, eeclesin, i. e. those who have been callcel out of tho Work: and it is a dangerous perversion of the Apostle's worll to interpret it in the sense, in which it was used by our Lorl, viz. in opposition to the called. (Many are called but few chosen). In St. Paul's sense nun at that time the Believers collectively formed a small and select number; and every Christian, real or nomimn, was one of the elect. Adll too, that this ambignity is increased by the accilenial circunstmec, that the kiyriak, AEdes Dominica, Lord's Ilouse, Kirk; and Eeclesin, the sum total of the Eiccalumeni, evocati, Called-out ; are both rendered by the same word Church.
[49] p. 116.
Or (I might have added) any Idea which does not either identify the Crontor with the Creation; or else represent the Supreme Bcing as a mere impersonal Law or Ordo ordinans, differing from the Lav of Gras tution only ly its universality.
[50] p. 117.
I have elsewhere remarked on the assistance which those that labour after distinet conceptions would receive from the re-introluction of the terms oljective and subjective, objective and subjective reality, \&u. us suhstitutes for real nud notional, and to the exclusion of the filse antithesis between real and ideal. For the Student in that noblest of the Sciences, the Seire teipsum, the advantage would be especiully great*. The few
*See the "Sclection from Mr. Coleridge's Litcrary Correspondene"" in Blackwool's bil. Magazine, for Octoher le91, Letter ii. y. 244-25:3, which however, should any of my Readers take the the trouble of consulting, he howst be content wifh sueh parts as he finds inteligible at the fisst pernsal. Hort be condeferts in the Ms., mill without any fault on the part of the EiliFor from defects intion is so printed that the man mist be equally hold and


[Most of the above-mentioned "Senthon attempt at correction. dix to thin Volume. It is reprinted without any attempt at correction.
roscopic Lxperiment:s.
stles, the (Roman) World to tinst moment of fusion, tal slining pure and brild the nmme of Christian r. No wonder therefore, Election, often, nny, most those who have been callrversion of the Apostle's used hy our Lord, viz. in w chosen). In St. I'anl's formed a smull and select is one of the elect. Alil lenial circumstmeer, that ; and Ecelesin, the sums wth rendered by the sume
es not either idlentify tho Supreme Bligy as a mere If the Law of Cras tation
which those that lahour he re-introlluction of the tive reality, \&e. as substiof the filse antithesis benoblest of the Sriences, ceinlly great*. Tho few
lcrary Correspondence" in ter fi. p. 24- 253 , which nouble of consulting, lo ligible at the finst perinal. itt on the part of the Eali nust bee equally hohl and ke out any meaning at all. Il be domme in the $A_{\text {jupll }}$ attenint at correction.

Am. Hiv.]
votes.
sentenes that fillow, in illustration of the terms here advocated, will not, I trust, he a waste of the Reader's 'lime.
The eclehnted Euler having demonstrated certain properties of Archen, ndils: "All experience is in contradiction to this; but this is no reason for doubting its truth." The words somed paraloxical; but mem nom mote than this-that tho mathematice properties of Figure and Space are ne less certanly the properties of Figure mad Spme becanse they enn mever he perfectly realized in wool, stone, or iron. Now this nssertion of Binler's might he expressed at once, lriefly and simply, by suying, that the, propertion in question wero suljeclively trme, thongh not oljectively-or that the Nathemntical Arch possessed a subjective reality, though incapable of' leing realized objectively.
In like maner if 1 had to express my conviction, that Space was not itself a Thing, but a mode or form of perceiving, or the invard ground nud condition in the I'ercipient, in consequence of which Things are seen as outward and co-existing, I convey this nt onve by the words, Space is subjective, or Space is rent in and for the Sulject alone.
If I am asked, why not say in and for the mind, whieh every one would moderstand? I reply: we know imeced, that nll minds nue Subjects; lint are by no means certain, that all Subjects are Minds. For a Mind is a Sulject that knows iteslf, or a Subject that is its own Object. The inward principle of Growth and individual Form in every Seed and I'lant is a Sulject, and withont any exertion of poetic privilege Poets may spuk of the Soul of the Flower. But the man would be a Dreaner, who otherwise than poetically should spenk of Roses and Lilies as self-conscious Subjects. Lastly, ly the assistance of the terms, Object and Subject, thus used as correspondent Opposites, or as Negative and Positive in lhysies (ex. gr. Neg. and Pos, Electricity) we may arvive at the distinet import mul proper use of the strangely misused word, ldea. Aul as the F'orms of Logie ure all horrowed fron (ieonizatry (Rationdiato disemsira tornas shas sive comonas recipit als intuitu,) I may be permited so to clatidate wy present meaning. Every Line may be, und by the ancicut Geonetricians was, considered as a point produced, the two extrenes leing its poles, while the l'oint itself remains in, or is at least represented by, the midforint, the findifference of the two poles or correlative opposites. Lurially applicd, the two extremes or poles ure named Thesis und Antitheris: thus in the line

## I <br> 

we have $T=T$ 'hesis, $A=$ Antithesis, and $I=$ Pumetum Indiflerens sive Amphotericum, which hatter is to be coneeivel as both in an fire as it may be: cither of the two former. Ohserve: not hoth at the same tine in the same
 But so, that relatively to $A, I$ is elpal to ' $I$ ', and relatively to ' T it beconce
=.1. Thas hin chemintry sulphuretted Hydrogen is un Achl relinte de to the nure powerfil Alkulin, nued an Alknli relntively to a powerti it. S'et one other remiark, and 1 pise to the grestim. In order to rember hes constructions of pure Mathemmies applieable to Philosophy, the I'ythagoremns, 1 inngine, represented the bine as gencrated, or, us it wore, ra I ited ly a loint not contmined in the Line lnot imblependent, and (in than lan-

 "t presupporitum: und in this way the Pythegoreans guarded nguinst the error of Pumbeisn, in to which the later sehools fell. 'The assumption of this Point I call the lugical יrotuesis. We have now therefore four keIntions of 'Thonght expressed. viz. 1. Pre'יesis, or the Identity of 'I' and $\Lambda$, which is neither, because in it, as the trauscendent of both, both aro "mitained und exist as one. Tuken absolucely, this finds its application in iho Sipreme Being alone, the Pythagorean tetractrs; the infffabie vame, to which no Inage dare be attached; the Point, which has no (real) Opposite or Counter-10int, \&c. But reladively taken and inadequately, the gurminal power of every seed (see p. 42) might be generalized under tho relintion of Ilentity. 2. Thesis or l'osition. 3. Antithesis, or Opposition. 4. Indifference. ('To which when we ald the Synthesis or Composition, in its several firms of Equllihrium, as in quicscent Electricity ; of Neutralization, as of Oxygen and Iydrogen in Water ; and of Predominanes, as of Hydrogen aud Carhon with Ifyilrogen pretominant, in pur Alcohol, or of Carlon and Hydrogen, with the comparative predonimmece of the $\therefore$ arbon, in Oil ; we complete the five most general Forms or Preconceptions of Constructive lagie).

And now fir the answer to the Question, What is an infa, if it mean neither in impression on the Senses, nor a definite Conecption, nor an albstract Notion? (Amil if it does mean either of these, the word is superthous: and while it remains muletermined whieh of these is meant ly the wort. or whether it is net which you pletase, it is worse than superfluons. Siep the Statesmax's Maveal, Appendix ad finem). But supposing the worl to have a meaning of its own, what doesit mean? What is an mes? In answer to this I commence with the absolutely Real, as the prothess; the suljectiorly Real as the rimesis ; the oljectively Real as the astirnesis: aut I aflim, that Idea is the inmprerexere of the two-so namely, that if it he conceived as in the Sulject, the Jhea is an Objert, mond possesses Ob, jeetive truth; but if in an Olyject, it is then a Suhject, and is neecssarily thought of as exemrising the powers of' a Subject. 'Thus an mea conceivod as sulsisting in om Ohgeet beomes a law ; mul a Law contemplated sulyjectively (in a minl) is mu dea.

Int the thirt nad hast Suretion of my "Flements of Discourse ;" in which (afler having in the two former scetions treated of the Common or Syllogistic Logic-the science of legitimate conelusions; and the Critical Logic,
en is un Achl relativale to ively to a powerti il 1. In order to render the I'hilesoply, tio: l'ythugoed, or, ins it were, res lited pendent, and (in that lantion, which it entse lout pa for l'unctum invisihile, eans guarded agninst tho fell. 'The ussumption of 0 now therefore four Ro or the Ilentity of 'I' and cendent of both, both aro lis finds ite application in tractrs; tho ingffabie Point, which has no (real) ken ond inadequately, the be generalized under tho Antithesis, or Opposition. Synthesis or Composition, ent Electricity ; of Neu$\mathbf{r}$; and of Prodominaner, ominant, in puis Alcohol, tive predonniname of the ral Forms or Preconcep-
hat is an infa, if it mean te Coneeption, nor an alithose, the word is suruerich of these is meant hy is worse than superfluons. nem). But supposing the mean? What is an mea? $y$ Renl, as the protursis; / Real as the axtitiesis: ie two-so namely, that if Object, aml possesses Ohulject, aml is necessarily - 'I'hus an mbar conceirand a Lav contemplated
:ot Diseourse ;" in which of the Common or Syllo. ; and the Critical Logrie
notres.
or the ('riterfa of Truth and Falsehood in all Premises), I have given at lill ay selfr:no of Construntive Reasoming, or " lagie as the Organ ot Hhilow,phy," in the same sense as the Mathematies are the Organ ot Sri ence; the Reader will find proct of the Utility of this Scheme, inchuding the five-fold Division above-se ; twl, und numerons rexamples of its applicnfion. Nur is it only in Theology that its innoutance will be felt, lut equally, my in ts ereatez degree, as an instrment of Discovery and umiversal MeHodi Physies, I'hysiology, und Stntistics. As this third Section dores not protend to the forensic and romparnively popular charncter and utility of the prits preceling, one of the Ohjecta of the present Noto is to ohtain the opinions of jublicious friends respecting the exprodicsuce of publishing it, in the same form, indeed, finl as min Amexinent to the "Flements of Dincourse," yet so us that each may be purelased separately.
[As the alove note, no far at least as it relates to the deffinition of an inlen, will appear vory abstruse aml mintolligible to many rember. : Whll bring together a few extracts from ohler parts of the anthor's was, ${ }^{6}$, the purpose of illustration, thongh some of them will perh. ne no " ${ }^{\text {F }}$ thought to throw murli light upon the suliject.
"Thene is, strictly menking, No paopfr opposition but "inen whe
 TURE AND in spint must ctole an opposite, as the sole meano aiul cundition of its mamifcsfation: and all orposition is a tennen o peunion. 'Ihis is the niveral law of polanaty or essential Dualism, ted by Ileraclitus, 2000 years afterwards re-jublished, and made the foume ation both of Logir, of Physics, nml of Metaphysics by Giordano Brano.
The [rinciple may be thus expressed. The Identity of 'Thesis and Autithesis is the sulntanee of all Being ; their Opposilion the condition of all Existence, or lBeing manifested; unl every Thing or Plamomenon is the Exponent of a Syuthesis as long as the opposite energies are retained in that Synthesis. Thas Water is neither Oxygen nor Ilydrogen, nor yet is it a commixture of both; but the Synthesis or Indifference of the two: and as long as the eopula endures, by which it beromes Water, or rather which alone is Water, it is not less a simple Body than either of the imagimary Elements, improperly called its Ingredjents or Components. It is the olject of the mechanical atomistic Psilosophy to confound Synthesis with synartesis, or rather with mere juxta-josition of Corpuseles separated ly inrisible Interspnees. I find it difticult to determine, whether this theory contradicts the Reason or the Senses most: for it is alike inconceivable and uninaginable."-The Friend, vol. 1: PI. 155-156.
The following is the continuation of a passage partly inserted in note 90th.
" Javing thus explainel the term Nature, we now more esperially entreat the reader's attention to the sense, in which here, and every where
through thin Lassuy, we use the word Inea. We assert, that the very innpulse (u) miversalise my phanomemon insoles the prior assumption of
 more oure and the same ; entire in encle, yet comprehembing all; nul in-
 cimb, berase it is itsell prosingmest in cach and all as their commen gromal and romdition: and berasse every dedintion of a gemes is the adegnate definition of the lowest sueries alome, while the efticient law mots comtain the gromad of all in all. It is altributed, neser ditried. 'The utmost we ever vomure to say is, that the falling of an apple suggested the baw of grasitation to Sir I. Newton. Now a law aud an ideatare correlative temas, and dither muly as objeet mul subjert, as heing and truth.
Fuch is the dactrine of the Novam Oqummon Lort Baron, agreeting (as we shall bure largely show in the text) in all essential points with the triod doetrine of Plato, the apparent ditteremes being for the greater part
 investigation of the mind, and the methend of erobing its powers, and the

 be explained, partly hy the tome given to thamine minds hy the Reformation, the fumbers and hathers of which sum in the: Aristotelians, or sichombMren, the antagenists of IPotestanism, nud in the hatian Ihatonists the dexpisers and seret enomies of Chrixtimity itself; and bartly, hy his having fommed his notions of Plate's dortrimes from the alsatmitios and phun-


In the next "xamet the whation of the subjertive ine to the correlative hav existing ohjectively in mather, is illuntrated ly m examphe, which will pobably romer the whele subje more intelligilde, as well is give some botion of the muthors views on sulyents of physiral srience.
"But in capromemat phitostply, it may be sath how mush do we mot owe to acceident? Dombtess: lat let it not be firgotem, that if the disponeries so made stop, there; if they do not expite sme mistor mea; if they do not had to sonic waw (in whatever dress of theory or hypothesws the farhions matd prepindices of the thene maty diegnise or disfigure it): the
 dartive. How many rembries, we might have said millemain, have passad, since the first areidemat discovery of the attraction tand bymision of ligit botios by rubibed anber, \&e. Compare the interval with the progress made within lese than a contury, afier the diserowery of the phernonena that led immediately to a membe of electrimity. 'That here as in ma-

 revinoms by another, a phas and minus of the same dhad ; that a third
nssert, thant the wery inttho prior nssumption wh I ditlerent forms is everןreheuling rill ; nu! intity momber of phenomeand nll us theis fommmon ion of $n$ genus is the ndile the attirionst law mast never arrived. 'llue utan apple suggested tha* W atul nt ideatare rore, ns buing atid truth. of loord Beron, ugrecing essemtial points witl the ming for the greater part - principles elim$t l y$ to tho小ing its powrons, allal the sature. 'Jhat our great divine philosoplur thast \& minds hy the RuformAristotelianse or seloolthe Italian Phatonists the ; and parly, ly hix havlie alsumlitises and jhan"1 mprejudieed sturly of -lis.
re isien to the comelative y an exmuplo, which will ho, as will as give some cal srienec.
did how murld do we not orgotien, that if the dis--ite some master hafa ; it ; of thery or leypotheses s. gnive or disfigure it): the nis's, inseemre and moro;uin millemnia, hase jussraction atul repmbion of he interval with the proliseovery of the plannomity: 'Ihat here as in mat nisurure hypuheses; that imed, the vitreonts madilir sume fluid; that a thial
$\square$

 trula we have lacon evolvitg in a neronger and remer light. For abstract from ali these sppusitions, or rather immgimations, that which is common tu, bull involse! in them all; and we nhall have indither notionat fluid or dnide, nor chemioral compomile, mor vementmry matter-hut the inlea of hro-oppositr-foress, tending to rest liy equilibrinm. 'I'hese are tho sole liretors of the caleulas, ulike in nll the therorios. 'Plarse give the lene, and in it the method, butlo wi mranging the phamomena and of subatantiating "ppenrmares into ficta of science; with a ancoress jpropertionate to the clearmess ar confisalmess bi the insight into the law. For this roason, wo aniojpute the grontent improvements in the: methot, the nerarest appronches to in system of eloctricity fionn those philosonghers, who have presented the law mont purrly, and the correlative iden as an iden: those, namely, who, since the year $17!8$, in the true mpirit of experimentul dynemies, rejecting the imayination of any material subatrute, simple or compound, contemplate in the phenomenn of electricity the operation of a law which roigns through all nature, the law of posarity, or the manifestution of one power by opposite firees: who truee in these appenrunces, as the most obvious and striking of its immmeruble forms, the agoney of the positive and mgative poles of in power esschind to all materinl construction; the stcmal, manely, of the diree prinary piaciphes, for which the benatifin and most appropriate symbols are given by the mimel in the three ideal dimensious of "puce"-The Fricad, vol. 3. p. 18ti-12e.
"The dillerence, or rather distinction hetween Plato and Lord Bacon is simply this: that philosophys luing uecessurily hi-polar, Plato trats puincipally of the troth, ms it manifests itself at the iled pole, as the science of intelleet (i. e. de momdo intelligibili); while Breon confines himself, tor the most gart, to the sume truth, as it is mumifested at the other, or miterial pold, ns the scioner of nature (i. re de mundo sensibili). It is as neresenry, thereforr, that Phite should direet his inquiries chiefly to those whiertive truthe that exist in mad for the intellect alone, the images an!! reprementives of which we consinuct for ouselves ly figure, number, and word; as that Lord Bueon shomld attuels his main concern to the thuths which have their signatures in muture, nul which, (as he himself phanly and oftern asserts) may indeed be revealed to us through and with, but never by the senses, or the fitculty of sense. Otherwise, indeed, instend of being more ohjeetive than the firmer (which they are not in my sense, both buing in this respect the sume), they would le less so, and in fret, incapahe of heing insulated from the "Idolatribis que in ipsi natura lommant
 limer in sensils quan moutis, sumt ex analogiai hominis non ex malo-


ing and permanence; or that Bacon vice versi, names the laws of nature, ideas; and represents whit we have, in a former part of this disquisition, culled fucts of scicnce and contral phuenomena, as signatures, impressions, and symbols of ideas. $\boldsymbol{A}$ distinguishable power self-uffirmed, and seen in its unity with tho Eternal Essence, is, accorling to Plato, an Idea: and the diseipline, by which the human mind is purified from its illols (edown), and raised to the contemplation of ldeas, and thence to the sceure and ever-progressive, though never-ending, investigation of truth and reality by scientific method, compreliends what the same philosopher so highly extols under the title of Dialectic. According to Lord Bacon, as describing the same truth seen from the opposite point, and applied to natural philosophy, an idea would be defined as-lntuitio sive inventio, quee in ןerecptione sensûs non cst (ut quæ puræ et sicci luminis Intellectioni est propria) idearnm divinæ mentis, prout in creaturis per signaturas suas sese patefaciant. That (saith the judicious Hooker) which doth assign to each thing the kind, that which determines the force and power, that which deth appoint the form and measure of working, the same we term a Law. The Friend, vol. 3. p. 210-213.
To do justice to the sulject of the last extract the whole Essay should have been inserted, but much of it would be alien to the main purpose of the note. I trust however, what is here said of the coincidence of the philosophy of Bacon with that of Plato, will awaken the curiosity of some who have been taught to consider then as directly opposed, and lead them to read all that is said upon this sulject in the Friend, vol. 3. Essays 7 and 8. If he do so, or take the pains to examine the subject at his leisure by comparing the works of those great men, he will be convinced, that at least many of the prevailing notions, respecting the philosoply of Plato, could have originated only in ignorance or misrepresentation. Though his works are often spoken of, and his doctrines alleded to, by Stewart, I remember but few instances, in which he refers to particular passages, and in these he does it on the authority of others. Now to say nothing of what night be considered in any man the presumption, at least the inexpediency, of writing and publishing a work of general metaphysics, without first becoming acquainted with works on the sulbject so long and widely celebrated, as those of Plato, it was certainly incumbent on lim to speak of what he had not read with extreme caution. That he has not been sufficiently guarded in the representations which he makes of Plato's doetrines, is apprarent even to those who have but a slight acquaintance with the original ; and there can be no doubt, that both he and Dr. Reid entircly misapprehended the general character of his philosophy. One of the few references to particular passages is made, in the begiming of his chapter on perception, to the 7th Book of Plato's Republic, "in whieh," he suys, "he compares the process of the mind in pereeption to that of a person in a cave, who secs not external oljeets themselves, but
ames the laws of nature, $r$ part of this disyuisition, s signatures, impressions, self-nffirmed, and secu in g to Plato, an Idea : and fied from its idols ( $\mathrm{E}+\delta \omega \lambda(t)$, thence to the secure and ation of truth and reality ne philosojher so highly Lord Bacon, as descrint, and applied to natural ritio sive inventio, quæ in i luminis Intellectioni est ris per signaturas suas sese which doth assign to each ce and power, that which the same we term a Law. $d_{3}$ vol. 3. p. 210-213.
the whole Essay should en to the main purpose of of the coincidence of the aken the curiosity of some dy opposed, and learl them e Friend, vol. 3. Essays 7 e the subject at his leisure will he convinced, that at the philosophy of Plato, isrepresentation. Though 3 alluded to, by Stewart, I is to particular passages, hers. Now to say nothing 'esumption, at least the ink of general motaphysice, m the subject so long and ainly ineumbent on him to caution. That he has not $s$ which he makes of Plahave but a slight acquaintoubt, that both he and Dr. aracter of his philosopliy. $s$ is made, in the beginning k of I'lato's Republic, "in the mind in perception to nal oljects themselves, but
ouly their shadows." Now let any scholar, who has studied Bacon's Novim Organm, und can construc in sentence of Greek, rend the passage referred to, and compare it with the latter purt of the bith Book, and he will finl, instead of a fancifal aceonnt of the process of perception, something inded about a person in a cave, into which the shadows of objects "re thrown, hint designed to illustrate a subject entirely dillerent. By eompuring it with the Novum Organm, he will be convinced, that Plato is here exhibiting the difficulties and obstructions, which tho reason, vus, (lux intellectos, lumen sicemm) finds, in its search after truth and in the contemplation of idcas, fiom the umreni phantasms, und deceptive idols, ensurk, (idela tribus, specus, fori, theatri of Ld. Bacon) of the senses and the understunding. I refer to this as an instance merely, ly which every one may verify for limeself the above charges of ignorance and misapprehension.

I have been willing io dwell the longer on thissulject, becanse it is obviously one of great practical importance to tho cause of education among us. If it bo a fact, that the system of I'lato, and tha of Lord Bacon, are essentially one and the same, and that both have heen grossly misapprehended, while a system of superficial and idea-less materialism has been unwarrumtably associated with the nume and authority of the hatter, it is surely time for the students in our Colleges and Universities to seek a knowledge of Plato's ideas, and of Bacon's lavs, from Plato anil Ld. Bacon themselves, rather than from the popular philosophers of the day.
A considerable jortion of the Appendix to this Volume will be found to have a bearing upon the sulject of this note.-Am. Le.]

## [51] p. 119.

In a letter to a Friend on the mathematical Atheists of the French Revolution, La Lande and others, or rather on a young man of distinguished abilities, but an avowed and proselyting I'artizan of their Tencts, I concluded with these words: "The mmn who will belicve nothing but ly force of demonstrative evidence (even though it is strictly demonstrable that the demonstrability required would comtervene all the purposes of the Truth in question, all that render the helief of the samo desiralle or olligatory) is not in a state of mind to be reasoned with on any subject. But if he further denies the fact of the Law of Conscienec, and the essential difference between Right and Wrong, I confess, he puzzles inc. I cannot without gross inconsistency appeal to his Conscience and Moral Scose, or I should admonish him that, as an honest man, he ought to advertise himself with a Cavete omnes! Scelns sum. And as an honest man myself, I dare not advise him on prudential grounds to keep his opinions secret, lest I should make myself lis accomplice, and be helping hin on with a Wraprascal.
[Many persons, who have never earefully reflected upon the $九$ rounds of their belief in the Being and Atributes of God, or learned to distinguish between those which are subjective, in the reason mul conscience, and to be learned by reflection, (see note 10) and those which are oljectivo, in the order and apparent purpose discovered in the world without, may at first be surprised at the deelarations of the author in the passuge, to which this note belongs. $\Lambda$ carcful examigation however, of all his language respecting this subject and topies nearly connected with it, in this passage, in notes 43 and 59 , and in the $\Lambda_{\text {plpentix, will satisfy them, I think, not only }}$ that his views are not designed, but that they have no tendency to weaken and unsettle our faith. Accorling to his view of the sulject, the true and aliding ground of all effiejent and living faith in the Being and Attributes of the one holy, all-perfect and personal God, is to be found not in data, fucts given (see p. 177) from without, but by reflecting on and developing the inward and inalicuable law of our own rational and personal being. The idea of Gorl being thus formed, and a corresponding objective reality helieved in, on other grounds, such a work as Paley's Natural Theology may do much to illustrate his power and skill, as manifested in the works of his hands, but could never prove to the satisfaction of a mind really sceptical the existence of a first cause correyponding to the rational idea of God. Is it not indeed a faet, notwitlstanding the almudant commendation bestowed upon the work of Dr. Paley, the dependereo placed upon it in our systems of instruction, anul the assertion, that a mind unsatisfied with this argoment is not to be satisfied at all-is it not a faet, I say, that many young men of ingenuons minds, but at the same time logical and critical in their enquiries, are left unsatisfied with the results of the work. I fear there are many who, having been tanglit that this is the great and trimplant argument, the sure ground, on whieh a belief in the existence of God depends, find their fiith rather weakened hy it than confirmed, or at hest lose nore in regard to their views of his charaeter, than they gain in their helief of his existence. It enters, we must remember, into the very nature of the argument, which Paley has developed, and jerhaps no one could have done it more justice, the argument from effects to their canses, I mem, that we can only infer the existence of a canse udequate to tho production of the effect. Now what is the effect, for which Dr. Paley seeks a eause, mid from whieh he infers the existence of God? Simply the manifestation of design, of an intelifent, perhaps also a benevolent purpose, in the works of nature. The canse therefore, aceording to Dr. Paley, is an intelligent, probully a benevolent cause; a being or a power eapable of forethougl:t, of forming a purpose and of adapting means to the accomplishment of its purpose. So fir too as we can judge, and so far as the practical purposes of the urgument are concerned, this rausative ngency is mimited in the choice of its pals, and carries them moto effect with intinte power and skill. This seems to me to be a fiir
ected upon the trounds of or learned to distinguish on and conscience, and to which are objective, in the vorld without, may at first the passage, to which this of all his language respectrith it, in this passage, in fy them, I think, not only ave no tendency to wrakw of the sulject, the true th in the Being and AttriGod, is to be found not in by reflecting on and deveown rational and personal d a corresponding oljjecta work as Palcy's Natural and skill, as manifested in the satisfaction of a mind rexponding to the rational unding the abundant com$y$, the dependenco placed assertion, that a mind uned at all-is it not a fact, I , but at the same time loatisfied with the results of een tanght that this is the , on which a beliet in the r weakened hy it than conows of his character, than iters, we must remember, Paley has developed, and the argument from effects $r$ the existence of a cause w what is the effect, for lie infers the existence of on inteligent, perhaps also The canse therefore, accorjenevolent cause ; a being a purpose and of adapting to far too as we can judge, ment ure concemed, this its emis, and carries them scens to ne to be a fitir
notes.
statement of the inference even in Dr. Paley's view of the sulject. But does the cause thus inferred answer to our idea of an all-perfeet and personul God?
To one acquainted with the distinctions unfolded by Coleridge in subsequent parts of this work, it would convey iny view of the subject, to say that the cause here inferred corresponds in kind tu the powers of tho mderstanding and the faculty of selection, but does not necessarily involve according to the terins of the argument the distinguishing attributes of jersonality; viz. reasen, self-concionsness, and free-will. But as the reader is not supposed to lave adopted those distinctions, 1 heg him to consider whether we have not experience, that a power, the samo in kind with that to which Dr. Paley's argument, if taken strictly, leads us, may exist independently and free from any supposed conjunction with the atuibutes, whatever they are, which constitute personality. For proof, that we have, I refer him to the passage of this work in pp. 137-154, and if in connexion with this he will carefully and candidly reflect on the notion which he attaches to the words person and personality, and why it is, that lis reason revolts at the thought of addressing a brute, as a personal and responsible being, however remarkable his powers may be as a brute, he camnot but be convinced, that there is something in personality and the attributes constituting it, which lays the ground of a most sacred and inviolalle distinction. He will be convinced that no possille addition to the degree of those powers, which helong in common to rational and irrational lecings, conld ever invest a brute agent with the attributes of personality; that there must therefore be a difference in kind, and not in degree only, hetween those beings to which the notion of personality uttaches, and those to which we camot njply it without a conscions feeling of its albsurdity; and that there must the a very great defect and inadequacy in an argunent for the existence of God, which proves at best only the existence of a power, which may or may not. co-exist with personality.
In his chapter on the personality of the Divine Reing, Dr. Paley says: "contruvance, if established, appears to me to prove every thing, which we wish to prove. Amongst other things it proves the personality of the Deity. That, which can contrive, which can design, must le a person." Now let me ask any reader to examine the proofs referred to above, or to recall the fucts of his own experience, and say, whether irrational, brute beings do not contrive, whether they do not design, whether they do not perccive, an end, provide means, and direct them to their end; and whether, if they can and do manifest these powess, it will follow that they are persons. If it be a difference of degrees merely, there is surely far less diffcrence between brutes und men, than between man and his crator; and there could not be the absurdity, which we should nevertheless be conscious of cemmitting, in extending the term to them. If it be not a diflerence of degrees, if personality involves a diflerence in kind, and a difference, which of the promed of a vast and most sacred distinction, then

Dr. l'akey's argument seems to me to fall far short of proving the existence of a being corresponding to the rational idea of Gol.

The truth is the argument from efleet to cmuse in this case, as presented by Dr. Paley, includes two distinct things. It infers first the existence of a cause culcquate to the effect. This we do by virtue of a proposition, which, though synthetic, results from the inherent forms or laws of the human understanding, and is the necessary ground of experience. (See note (67). But scoondly it infers the oxistence of a cause corresponding in its suljective character, or as it is in itself, to the character of the effect, or at least of a cause anulogous to known causes, which produce similar cflects. Now the question arises, whether in either case the inference is nuthorized or required by the same laws of thought in the understanding, as the first inference. We sec certain effeets, means ulapted to ends \&c., where the causative agency is put forth by men, by rational, personal agents. We discover similar cffeets in the works of nature, which must be traced to an invisjble, unknown caisc. How far are we directed ly the authority of reason, or required by tho laws of the understanding, to infer the nature of the causo hece from the nature of the effect, or from the similarity of the effeets in the two cases to infer a similurity of the unknown cause to that which is known: Dr. Paley'sinfercnce is, that the unknown canse is an intelligent, personal agent, corresponding in kind to the highest known ageney, whiph produces similar effects. But we have seen, I think, that similar effeets may be produced ly a power inferior in kind, neither rational, nor personal. How then do we know, or how can we learn by this process of argruing, that the unknown cause of those effects, which Dr. Paley has exhihited, that the mysterious and dread orouvo of being in all, that exists and that we cedl nature, is not a necessitated as well as a necessary Being or that it is even selfeconscions and intelligent.

If now, as I trust will be the case, the reader shrinks with a conscious feeling of dread and abhorrence from such a conclusion, as impious, I would carnestly beg of him not to charge it upon me, and at the same time wurn hinu not to aserile the feeling, which such a conclusion would awaken, to any convictions of the being und attributes of a personal God, which the supposed strength and innluence of Puley's argument nay have been thonght to produce. That faith in the Being of God, and that reverence for his holy and perfect charater, in virtue of which we shink from atheism, as a violation of our moral heing, as absurd and impious, lie far deeper, than those convictions of" the mere understinding, "the faculty judging accorling to sense," which may have been derived from the argument in question.-Am. Ed.]
[52] p. 124.
Virinm et proprietutum, pue non nisi de Substantibus predicari possunt, formis superstantibus Attributio, est Subersmato.
hort of proving the exist. ea of Gud.
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[53] p. 128.
See plp. 42-44.
[The reader is requested to connect with the sulject of this Apuonism and Comment, also note 29 , and the passage in the text at pr. 206-218. To those, who wish to exanine closely the creed of the anthor, it will be of use also to refer here to the whole article on Redemption, beginning at 1. 184.-Am. Ed.]
[54] p. 134.
[The following is the passage referred to in the text extracted from his fist Lay Sermon or the Statesman's Manual.-Am. Ed.]
"In nothing is Scriptural history more strongly contrasted with the histories of highest note in the present age, than in its freedon from the hollowness of abstractions. While the latter present a shadow-fight of Things and Quantities, the former gives us the history of Men, and balances the important influence of individual Minds with the previous siate of the uational morals and manners, in which, as constituting a specific susceptibility, it presents to us the true cause both of the Inuflence itself, and of the Weal or Woe that were its Consequents. How should it he otherwise? The histories and political economy of the present and preceding century partake in the general contagion of its mecuanic philosophy, and are the product of an unenlivened generalizing Understanding. In the Scriptures they are the living educts of the Imagination; of that reconciling and mediatory power, which incorporating the Reason in Images of the Sense, and organizing (as it were) the flux of the Senses by the permanence and self-circling energies of the Reason, gives birth to a system of symbols, harmonious in themselves, and consubstantial with the truths, of' which they are the conductors. 'Ilhese are the Wheels which Ezekiel heheld, when the hand of the Lorl was upen him, and he saw visions of God as he sate among the captives by the river of Chebar. Whithersoever the Spirit acas to go, the wheels went, and thither was their spirit to go: for the spirit of the living creature was in the wheels also. The truths and the symbols that represent them move in conjunction and form the living elariot that beurs up (for us) the throne of the Divine Humanity. If ee, ly a derivitive, indeed, hut not a divided, influence, and thongh in : condary yet in more than a metuphorical sense, the Sacred Book is rihily intitled the wond of gon. Hence too, its contents present to us the stream of time contimuous as Life and a symbol of Eternity, inasurch as the Past and the Future are virtually contained in the Present. Aecording therefore to our relative position on its bauks the Sacrel Nistory becomes prophetic, the Sacrei Prophecies historical, while the power - -1 substunce of Soth inhere in its Latws, its Promiser, and its Commin. shme. In the seriptures therefore hoth Facts and l'ersons must of necessity have a two-
fihl sipnificance, a past mul a fiture, a temporary and a perpetual, a partienher and a miversal application. They must be at once Portraits and Ideals.
Sheu! paupertina philosophia in paupertinam religionem ducit:- $\boldsymbol{A}$ lumger-bitten and iden-less philosophy muturally produces a starveling and contortless religion. It is among the miseries of the present age that it recognizes no medium between Literal and Metaphorical. Faith is either to be buried in the deal letter, or its name mind honors usurped by a counterfeit product of the mechnuical muderstunding, which in tha blinduess of self-complacency eonfounds symnols with adifgomes. Now in Allagory is hut a translation of alstract notions into a picture-language which is itself nothing lout an alstraction from oljects of the senses; the principal being more wothtess even than its phantom proxy, both alike unsulstantiul, and the former shapeless to hoot. On the other hand a Sym-
 in the Individual, or of the General in the Especial, or of the Universal in the General. Above all by the translucence of the Eternal through and in the Temporal. It always partakes of the Reality which it renders intelligible; and whits it ciunciates the whole, abides itself as a living part in that Unity, of which it is the representative. The other are but empty rehoes which the fancy arbitrarily associates with apparitions of matter, less leantiful hut not less shadowy than the sloping orchurd or hill-side pasture-field seen in the tramsprent lake below. Alas! for the flocks that are to be led forth to such pastures! "It shall even be as when the hungry dreameth, and behold! he aateth; but he uaketh and his soul is empty: or as when the thirs!!, "reameth, and behold he drinketh: but he auraketh and is faint!" (Isaian xxix. 8.) O! that we would seek for the bread which was given foom heaven, that we should eat thereof and be strengthened! $O$ that we would draw at the well, at which the flocks of our forefathers had living water drawn for them, even that water which, instead of moeking the thist of him to whom it was given, hecomes a well within himself springing up to life everlasting!

When we relleçt how large a part of our present knowledge and eivilization is owing, directly or indireetly, to the Bible; when we are compelled to admit, as a fact of history, that the Bible husheen the main Lerer lyy which the moral and intellectual character of Eirrope has been raised to its present comparative height; we should he struck, methinks, by the marked and prominent difference of this Book from the works which it is now the faslion to guote as guides and authorities in morals, politics imid history. I will point out a few of the excellencies ly which the one is distinguishod, and shatl leave it to your own judgment and recollection to pereeive and apply the contrast to the prodactions of highest name in these latter days. In the Dible cwery agent appeas and acts as a self-sultsisting individual: carh has a life of its own, ind yet all are one life. The
ary and a perpetual, a parbe ut onee Portraits and
on religionem ducit:-A produces a starveling and of the present age tlat it Tetaphorical. . Faith is eie mal bonors usumpel by rstunding, which in the es with allegobifs. Now us into a pieture-language oljects of the senses; the dhantom proxy, both alike On the other hand a Symranslucence of the Special cial, or of the Universal in tho Eternal througla and eality which it renders ininles itself as a living part The other are but empty rith apparitions of matter, oping orchurd or hill-side Alas! for the flocks that even be as when the hungry a his soul is empty: or as wt he auaketh and iss faint!" e bread which was given strenythened! O that we our forefathers had living , instead of mocking the vell within himself spring-
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## NOTES.

dements of necessity and frec-will are reconeiled in the higher power of an omipresent Providence, that predestinates the whole in the moral freedom of the integrut parts. Of this tho Bible never sulfers us to lowe sight. 'The root is never detached from the gromud. It is God every where : and all creatures conform to his decrees, the righteous by performance of the law, the disobedient by the sufferance of the penalty."
[See also notes 33 and 66.-Am. Ed.]
[55] p. 135.
[The Essay in the Friend referred to in the text, will be found entiro ia note 59, and the Appendix to the Statesman's Manual, in the $\Lambda_{\text {p }}$ pendix to this volune.-Am. En.]
[56] p. 136.
There is this advantage in the occasional use of a newly minted tern or title expressing the doetrinal sehemes of particular seets or parties, that it avoids the inconvenience that presses on either side, whether wo adopt the name which the l'arty itself has taken up to express it's peculiar tenets by, or that by which the same Party is designated liy itg opponente. If we take the latter, it most often happens that either tho persons aro invidiously aimed at in the designation of the prineiples, or that the name implies some consequence or occasional accompaniment of the prineiples denied by the parties themselves, as applicable to thena tolle ctively. On the other hand, convinced as I am, that curvent appe? ions are never wholly indifferent or inert ; and that, when employed to express the eharacteristic Belief or Object of a religions confederacy, they exert on the Many a great and constant, though insensible, influence; I cannot but fear that in adopting the former I may be sacrificing the interests of Truth beyond what the duties of courtesy can demand or justify. In a tract published in the year 1816, I have stated ny oljections to the word Unitarians: as a name which in its proper sense can belong only to the Maintainers of tho Truth impugned lyy the persons, who have chosen it as their designation. "For Unity or Unition, and indistinguishable Unicity or Eameness, aro incompatible terms. We never speak of the Unity of Attraction, or the Unity of Repulsion ; lut of the Unity of Attraction and Repulsion in each corpusele. Indeed, the essential diversity of the conceptions, Unity and Sameness, was among the elementary principles of the old Logicians; and Leibnitz in his critique on Wissowatius has ably exposed the sophisms grounded on the confusion of tho two terms. But in the exclusive sense, in which the name, Unitarian, is appropriated by the Sect, and in which they mean it to be understood, it is a presumptuous Boast and an uncharitable calumny. No one of the Churches to which they on this article of the Christian Faith stand opposel, Greek or Latin, ever adopted the term, Trini-or Tri-uni-turians as thei: ordinary and proper name: and had it

 'The true thesimution of their chameleristic 'Temet, and which would simPly and inotlionsively coprem a fact almitted on nill sides, is Prilanthrepish or the assertion of the mere lommanity of Christ."
I dare not hesitate to avow my regret, that any scheme of doctrines or trocta shombld bo the subleet of penal law: thongh I can rasily conrever, that my acheme, honever eserflent in itself; may lo propagated,
 manas that would make the Advocate or Assailant just! y pemishahle. But then it is the maner, the menns, that ennstitute the crime. The merit or demerit of the Opinions themselses dejembs on their originating and de-
 certuinly known to llim alome, who eommanded nis-ludge not, fist ye be findgel. At all events, in the prement state of the Law, I do not ses: where we run begin, or where we canstop, without inconsistency and ronsegucnt lurathip. Julging by al! that we cim pretemit to know or are enfinbel to infer, who anong us will take on himself to deny that the bate Dre. Priestley was a good and lenevolent man, as simecre in his love, as he war matrepid unl indefatignble in his pursuit, of 'I'ruth? Now let us c:onstruct woree paralicl tahles, the tist containing the Articles of Belief, moral and themberient, mantained b . he vememble Itooker, as the representative of the lifabliwhed Chureh, etacharticle leing diatioctly lined mid munher-
 semative of (for platonixing lierists; and the third, those of Dr. Priesildy: Lat the jotine, in whim the shemul and third agree with of differ from the first, bre conwidered as to the comparative mumber modified thy the stompatitive weighar and importane of the several points-and let any donpetent and up ixtit Man be appointed the Arliter, to decile according (00 his best julgomert, without any reference to the trith of the opinions, whimh of tho two ditferem from the sinst more widely! I sny this, well amare-thut it wonlal be ahuvelantly morr pmident to leave it unsaid. But I way it mpthe minviertion, that the liberetily in the adoption of admitted misnomers in the naming of doctristel syatems, if only they have heen negatively legalized, is but an oquivocal promf of liberality towards the persons whe dissent from its. On the contrury:I more finn suspert that the former liberality does in too many men alime from a lutene pre-dipposition to tranfer their reprobation whd imblerane from the Laimpines to the Doctors, from the Beliefto the Believers. Indeesary, Alhnse, Seofling ous subjects dear and awful to a multitude of our fellomer-citizeme-1ppeals to the vanity, appetites, and malignant pussions of ignomant ane ineompetent juiges-these are flagrant overt-acts, condemned loge the Lak" written in the lieart of every henest man, Jew T'urk, and Chriefiam. 'These are points respecting which the humblest limnest man feels it the duty wo lowld himself' infallible, and dares not hesitate in giving utterance to the wastie?

Ceal (Mposite to 'Tri-miny abre is a fortori Allianco nhet, and whiel womld sim, all sides, is I'silmoltrepism any selicme of dectrines o : thoush I ean casily ron:itsolf; may hes propragated, dilal, in a mamor and by ilant justly jumishable. But te the crime. The merit on on their originating and deditiont Believer, and are ch us-Judge not, lest ye bes he Law, I do not sese where it inconsistenry and consepretend to know or are ennself to deny that the late us sinecere in his love, as be f'lyuth? Now let us conthe Articles of Belief, morn looker, as the representative distinctly lined atul numberSaral Iterhert, as the reprehird, flose of Dr. Priustley. rel agree with or , lifler from e mumber modified by the several points-and let any Arbiter, to decide aceording to the truth of the opinions, ore widely! I say this; wrll ent to leave it unsaid. But n the adoption of admitted ns, if only they lave beent eff of liberality towards the ry. I I more than suspeet that arime firom a latent pre-dispoeraned from the thatrines to Inteesency, Abuse, Stcotling our fothow-citizelly- 1 ppeals 1s of ignoment mef incompenemned lys the Linw written , and Chrizimon. 'Tapse awe man feels it his duty taw hold ving utterance to the wollot




 him the bolief in prestion may be, for growl or for evil. liesint every filse doctrine: nod wall men buretic. 'Jlos falso dortrime does not neresintily make the man a heretie ; but un evil licart ran nuke muy docwime heretidal.

Actated by these primophes, I have wherfed to a filse mul deceptive Negignation in the mase of one Sistem. I'ersanalet, that the doebrines, emmerated in jo. $12 \boldsymbol{\gamma}-10{ }^{2}$, are not only essential t, the Christitan Religion, but those which rontra-distinguish the religion as Christian, I mercly repect this persumsion in in other form, when I ussert, that (in my sense ui
 thus', who rall themsolves luiturians, ary not Christians: God forbidt I Wonld not think, much less prommlyate, a julgement at onee sh prosmumbtuons and so unchuritalile. Let a fribully antagomist retort on my seleme of fith, in the like manmer: I whall respect him all the more lion his comsistency as a reasoner, and not ronfide tho less in his kinduess towards ne us his Neighbour and F'rllow-christinn. 'This latter and most rubaring name I nearecly know how to withhold even from uny fricud, Hrman Hoavita, as often as I real what every lievorer of lloly Writ and
 It has trombled on the vere, as it were, af my lifs, every bine I have convered with that pions, hemened, strong-minded, and single-hearted Jew, am Istaclite indeed and withont guile-

Cujas chra sequi naturam, legibus uti, Et mentem vitiis, ora negare dolis; Virtutus opilus, verum praponere falso, Nil vamum sensu dieere, nill licere.
I'ost ohitum vivan secum, secum mofuiescam,
Nee flat melior sors mea sorte sma!
From a poem of Hildebert on his Master, the persecuted Bercngarius.

Under the same feelings I conchute this Aid to Reflection by $\begin{aligned} \text { Ephling }\end{aligned}$ the prinejpte th another misuomer not lessimappopiate and far more inthential. Of those, whons I have found most reason to respect and value, many have been members of the Church of Rome: nud certainly I did not homour those the least, who seriphed exem in common parlance to call our Clurch a Reformed Chuselı. A similar seruple would not, methinks, disperace a protestime as in the use of the words, Catholic or Roman (Gatholic; and if (tacitly at leant, and in thought) he remembered that the

Romish Anti-catholic Chureh would more truly oxpress the fact.-Romish, to inurk thint the corruptions in discipline, dowtrine, mad pructiee do, for the far larger part, owe hoth their origin and perpetuation to the Romish Court, und the locul Tribunals of the City of Rome; and nether ure or erer have been Catholic, i. e. miversal, throughout tho Romun Empire, or even in the whole Latin or Westem Church-and Anti-catholic, becmake no other Chureh aets on so narrow and excommanicative a principle, or is characterized by such a jealous spirit of monopoly. Instead of a Catholic (universal) spirit it mny be truly deserihed as a epirit of particulurisul counterfeiting Catholicity by a negative totality and heretical self-circum-scription-in the first instances cutting off, and since then cutting herself off from, all the other members of Clurist's Body. For the rest, I think as that man of true entholic spirit and apostolic zeal, Richard Baxter, thought; and my readers will thank me for conveying my reflectionsin his own worls, in the following golden passages from his Life, "ffuithfully pulblishod from his own original MSS. hy Mathew Sylvester, 1696."
"My censures of the Baptists do much differ from what they wero at first. I then thought, that their errors in the doctrines of faith were their most dangerons mistakes. But now I am assured that their misexpressions and misunderstanding us, with our mistakes of them and inconvenient expressions of our own opinions, have marle the difference in most points appeur mueh greater than it is ; and that in some it is next to none at all. But the great and uureconcilable differences lie in their Church Tyranny; in the usurpations of their Ilierarchy, and Priesthood, under the name of spiritual authority exercising a temporal Lordship; in their corruptions and abasement of God's Worship, hut above all in their systematic hefrionding of Ignorance and Vice.
" At first I thought that Mr. Perkins well proved, that a Baptist eannot go beyond a reprobate; but now I doubt not that God hath many sanctified ones among them who have received the true doctrino of Christianity so practiculy that their contradictory crrors are like a conquerable dose of poison which a healthful nature doth overcome. And I can never believe that a man may not be saved by that religion, which doth but bring him to the truc, love of Cod and to a heavenly mind and life: nor that God will ever cast a Soul into hell, that truly loveth him. Also at first it would diagrace uny doctrine with me if I did hut hear it called Popery and anti-cluistian; but I have long learned to be more impartial, and to know that Satan can use even the names of Popery and Antichrist, to bring a truth into suspicion and discredit."-Baxter's Life, part I. p. 13I.
[57] p. 143.
Accoriling as we nttend nore or less to the differcuces, the Sort becomes, of course, more or less comprehensive. IIence there arises for the systenatic Naturalist the necessity of subdividing the Norts into Orders, Classes,

Families, ©c.: all which, however, resolve thometwes for the: mere logrician into the conception of Gemus and Species, i. e. the comprehembing, and the comprehended.
[58] p. 144.
Were it not sn, how eould the first comparison have lwen possible? It would involve the absurdity of measuring a thing by itsolf. But if wo lix on some one thing, the length of our own foot, or of our hand mul arm from the ellow joint, it is evident that in order to do this we must have the conception of Meusure. Now thrse antecedent und nost generul Conerpioms are what is meant ly the constiment forms of the Vulerstanding: we call them constituent becanse they are not aequired by the Understumling, Int ure implied in its constitution. As rationully might a Cirele be suid to acquire a eentre and circomference, as the Understanding to actuire these its inherent forms, or ways of conceiving. This is what Leibnit\% meant, when to the ohd alage of the Peripatetics, Nihil in intellecth quod non prins in Sensin (There is nothing in the Uuderstanding not derived from the Senses, or-There is nothing conceived that was not previonsly perceived); he replied-preter intellectum ipsum (exeept the understunding itself).
And here let me remark for once and nll: whoever would reflect to any purpose-whoever is in earnest in his yursuit of Self-knowledge, und of one of the principal means to this, an insight into the meaning of the words he uses and the different meanings properly or improperly conveyed by one and the same word, necorling as it is used in the Schools or tha Narket, according as tho kind or a light degree is intended (cx. gr. Hent, Weigh, \&r. as employed scientificully, compared with the same worl used popularly-whocver, I say, seriously proposes this as his Oljeet must so fur overcone his dislike of pedantry, and his dread of being sucered at as a Pedaut, as not to quarrel with ma unconth worl or phrase, till he is quite sure that some other anl more faniliar would not only have expressed the preeise menuing with equal clemeness, but have been as likely to druw his attention to this meaning exclusively. The ordinary langnage of a Philosopher in conversation or popular writings, compured with the langaage he uses in strict reasoning, is is his Watch compured with the Chronometer in his Ohservatory. He sets the former by the Town-eloek, or even, perhaps, by the Dutch clock in his kitchen, not because he believes it right, but because his neighbours and his Cook go by it. To meford the reader an opportumity for exercising the forbearance here reconmended, I turn back to the phrase, "most general Conceptions," and olscrve, that in strict and severe propriety of language I should have said generalific or generific rather than general, and Coneipionees or Conceptive
Acts rather than conceptions.
It is un old Complaint, that a Man of Genins no sooner appears,




 areoming for the fivet. 'T's mrive at the rool, inderd, and hast Gromal or the problem, it would be neressary to insertigate the mature and effisests of the sinses of Ditlierence on the lamm minal when it is nothed in cherk lyy Reasom and Reflertion. We need not go to the savage trihen af Norh Ameriva, or the yot ruder Natives of the ludian lales, to lewn hew slight a degree of Ditlersuce will, in multured minuls, cull up a nemse of Diversity, an inward perplesity mad contradiction, as if the Strungers were and yet were not of the smae kind with themselves. Who has mot load oreasion to ohserve the effert which the gesticulations mul masal tones of a F'renchman produce on our own Vilgar? Itere we may ree the origin mad printary import of our "Unkiudness." It is a selse of Uukind, num not the mere negation but the pmitive Opposite of the sense of hind. Alamion, aggravated now by fear, now ly contemp, and net seldom ly a mixture of hoth, aversion, hatred, comity; are so many suressive shapes of its growth and metnamphosis. In application to the present cass, it is suflicient to say, that l'indar's remark on sweet Music holds equally true of Genins: as many as are not delighted ly it are disturbed, perplexed, irritaterl. The behoher either recognizes it as a projected Fom of his own Being, that noves before him with a Gilory romad its hend, or revoils from it as fiom a Speetre. But this preculation would lemil us too far; we must be content with having relimed to it as the ultinute ground of the firt, and pass to the more obvious and proximate camser. Sud us the first I world ramk the person's not maderstanding what yet le expeets to illderstand, and as if he had a right to do so. An original Mathematieal Work, or may other that reguires peruliar and (so to say) techaicul maths and symblos, will excite no uncosy feelings-mot in tho mind of a compe tent Realer, for he mulestands it; and not with others, bermuso they nei ther expect nor no expected to molerstand it. The second place we may assign to the disumberstanling, which is almost nure to follow in casers where the ineompetent person, finting no outward marks (Diagrams, arbittary signs, ant the like) to inform lim at first sight, that the subject is one which lie does not pretend to understand, and to he ignorant of which does not detract fiom his estimation as a man of abilities generally, will attach some meaning to what he hears or reals; and as he is out of humour with the Anthor, it will most often be sueh a meming as he can puritel with and exhibit in a ridiculons or offelsive print of view.

Bat abow all, the whole Workl alunst of Minde, as tire as regards intelleretmal eftorts, may he divided into two classes of the Busy-indolent and Lazy-indolent. 'To both alike all Thinking is painful; and all atompts to rouse them to think, whether in the re-examination of their existing Con-
te mambing Alim. This is trimh, I nusperet, line it as contcomphous antillo-
 find no great difliculty 1 un adeerd, and last (iromend of te tho mutures and efliexts whero it is mothell in go to the savage tribere of lurimus Isters, to lenum how mimald, rall up a sertise af tion, us if the strmugers liemselver. Who has nut ticulations and nasal tomes Here we may noe the It is a sense of Unkind, onite of the sense of kind, emp, wad wot selidon by so many suceressive shapes It to the present cnse, it in Nasie holds equally true are disturbel, purplesed, a projected Form of his y romed its hend, or reroils would loul us too firt; we we ultimute gromal of the e causen, Aulas the first hat yet he experts to unAu original Mathematienal (so to suy) techmicul marks $t$ in the anind of a compe others, berause they bei The second place we any st wure to follow in cases ward marks (Diagrams, arst sight, that the subjeet is ud to le ignorant of which of abilities generally, will s; and as he is out of linuch a meming as he can sive print of view. anls, as tiar as reqards intelof the Busy-indolent and rinuful ; and all attompts to ation of their existing Con-
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victions, or tior the reception of new light, are irvitatiar. "It mey all bo wery deep and elever ; lat really one ought to be puite sure of it brefore one wroncles one's brain to find out what it is. I take mpal book ans a Companion, with whom I can have an casy cheerfut chit-chat on what we both know befiorcham, or clse maters of tact. In our leisure hours wo hate a right to reluxation and anmseneme."

Well! but in their shulious hours, when their Bow is to be bent, when they are apul Musus, or amidst the Muses? Ahas! it is just the same! The sume craving for amusement i. c. to be awny from the Musts! for relaration, $i$, , the umbuling of a how which in fact had never been strung! There are two ways of ohtaning their applanse. The finst is: Enable them to reconcile in one und the same oecupation the love of shoth and the hatred of vacancy! Gatify indolence, and yot save them from Eimniin phin Euglish, from themselves! For, spite of their untipathy to dry reathing, the keeping rompmy with themselves is, alfer all, the insumeruble amorane: and the true secret of theid dislike to a work of Thought and Inupiry lies in its temency to make them açuanted with their own permenent Being. The other romd to their havor is to introduce to them their own thoughts and predilections, tricked out in tho fine language, in which it wond gratify their vanity to express them in their own converversation, ind with whieh they emn imarine thenselves shoving off: and this (as has heon elsewhere remarked) is the characteristic difference betweon the seromblate Writers of the last two or three gencrations, and the same chass moder Elizabeth and the Stuats. In the latter we find the most far-fetehed and singular thomphts in the simplest and most native A:mguge; in the firmer the most olsions and rommon-phace thoughts in the most fir-feteleed mud motley language. But lastly, and as the sine qui non of their patronage, a sufficient are mist be left for the Reader's mind to oscillate in-freedom of choice,

To make the slifting cloud be what you please,
save only where the attraction of Curiosity determines the line of Motion The Attention must not be fustened down: and this every worl: of Genins, not simply narrative, must do before it can be justly appreviated.
In former tiness a popular work meant one that adapted the resulls of studions Meditation or scientific Resemreh to the capacity of the Peophe, prosenting in the Conerete, hy instances and examples, what hal been ascertained in the Abstract aud hy discovery of the Law. . Wow on the other hand, that is a popmar Work which gives back to the People their own croms and prejudies, and flaters the Nany by crenting them, under the title of ture penac, inte a supreme mad inappellable Tribunal of intellectuil Fxerllence, P.S. In a comtinmons work, the frequent insertion mad length of Notes would need un Apology: in in book of Aphorismsund iletached Comments none is necessary, it being moderstood beforehamd, that the fance and the Garnish ure to occupy the greater part of the Dish.
$\therefore$ ' 1 . C :
[59] p. 145.
Take a familiar illustration. My Sight mud Touch convey to me a requin inpression, to which my Understanding applies it's pre-conceptions (conceptus antecedentes et generalissimi) of Quautity and Relation, and thus rfers it to the Class and Name of three-connered Bodies-we will suppose it the Iron of a Turf-spude. It comparesthe sides, and finds that any two measured ns one uro greater than the third; and according to a law of the imagination, there arises a presumption that in all other Bodies of the same figure(i. $c$. three-cornered and equilatcral) tho same proportion exists. After this, the senses have been directed successively to a number of three-cornered bodies of unequal sides-and in these too the same proportion has been fomd without exception till at length it becomes a fart of experience, that in all Triangles, hitherto seen, the two sides are greater than the third: and there will exist no gromed or analogy for anticipating un exception to a Rule, gencralized from so vast a number of particular instances. So firr and no farther could the Understanding carry us: and as far as this "the faculty, judging according to sense," conducts many of the inferior animals, if not in the same, yet instances malogous and filly equivalent.

The Reason smpersedes the whole process: and on the first conception presented by the Understanding in consequence of the first sight of a triangular Figure, of whatever sort it might chance to he, it affirms with an assurance incapable of future increase, with a perfect certainty, that in all possible Triangles any two of the inclosing Lines will and must be greater than the third. In short, Understanding in its highest form of Experience remains conmensurate with the experimental notices of the senses, from which it is generalized. Reason, on the other hand, either predetermines Experience, or avails itself of a past Experience to supersede its necessity in all future time; and affirms truths which no Sense could perceive, nor Experiment verify, nor Experience confirm.

Yea, this is the test and character of a truth so affirmed, that in its own proper form it is inconceivable. For to conceive is a function of the Understanding, which can be excrcised only on subjects subordinate thereto. And yet to the forms of the Understanding all truth must be reduced, that is to be fixed as an olject of reflection, and to be rendered cxpressible. And here we have a second test and sigu of a truth so aftirmed, that it can come forth out of the moulds of the Understanding only in the disguise of two rontradietory conceptions, each of which is partially true, and the conjunction of both conreptions lecomes the representative or expression (=the exponent) of a truth beyond conception and inexpressible. Examplas. Before Abrahmin teas, I am.-God is a Circle whose centre is every where and cirminference no where.--The Soul is all in every part.
If this appear extravagant, it is an extravngance which no man can indeed learn from noother, but which (were this possible) I might have

## NOTES.

learnt from Plato, Kepler, and lacon; from Lather, Hooker, Pasenl, leilnitz, and Fenclon. But in this last paragraph I have, I see, tulwittingly overstepped my $p^{\text {urpose, according to which we were to tako }}$ Reuson as a simply intellectual power. Yot even as such, and with all the disadvantage of a technieal and arbitrary Alstraction, it has been made evident-1. that there is an infuition or imunediato Beholding, accompanied by a conviction of the necessity and universality of the truth so beheld not derived from the Senses, which lutuition, when it is construed by pure Sense, gives birth to the Science of Mathematies, and when applied to Objects supersensuous or spiritual, is the Organ of Theology and Philosophy;-and 2. that there is likewise a reflectivo and discursive Faculty, or mediate Apprehonsion, which, taken by itself and uninfluenced ly the former, depends on the Senses for the Materials on which it is excreised, and is contained within the Sphere of the Senses. And this Faculty it is, which, in generalizing the Notices of the Senses, constitutes Sensible Experience, and gives rise to Maxims or Rules, which may beeome more and more general, but can never be raised to universal Veritics, or beget a conscionsness of absolute Certainty; though they may be sufficient to extinguish all doubt. (Putting Revelation out of view, tako our first Progenitor in the 50th or 100th year of his existence. His Experience would probably have freed hiun from all doubt, as the Sun sunk in the Horizon, that it would re-appear the next morning. But compare this state of Assurance with that which the same man would have had of the 37 th Proposition of Euclid, supposing him like Pythagoras to lave discovered the Demonstration). Now is it expedient, I ask, or comformable to tho laws and purposes of Language, to call two so altogether disparate Subjects ly ono and the samo name? Or, having two names in our language, should we call each of the two diverse subjects by both -i. e. by either name, as enprice might dietate? If not, then as we have the two words, Reason and Understanding (as indeel what Language of cultivated Man has not?) what should prevent us from appropriating the former to the Power distinctive of IIumunity? We need only place thes derivatives from tho two terms in opposition (ex. gr. " $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ aro both rational Beings; but there is no comparison between them in point of intelligence," or "She nlways conchades rationally, though not a Woman of much Understanding") to see, that we cannot reverse the order-i. e, call the ligher Gift Understanding, and the lower, Reason. What should prevent us-I asked. Alas! that which has prevented us-the canse of this coufusion in the terms-is only too olvious: viz. inuttention to the momentous distinetionin the things, and (generally) to the duty and hatit recommentell in the Vth Introductory Aphorism of this Volums, (see p. 2.) But tho cause of this, und of all its lamentable Effects and Subcauses, "filse dortrine, blinduess of Heart and contempt of the Worl," is lest derlared by the philosophic A postle : " hiey did not like to retain Got in their knowledge," 39
(Ron. i. 28,) and though they conld not extinguish "the Light that lighteth crery man," and which "shome in the Darkness;" yet becuuse the Dark ness conld not comprehend the Light, they refused to bear witness- of the light, and worshipped, instead, the shaping Mist, which the Light had drawn upward from the Ground (i. e. from the mero Animal nature and instinct, and which that light alone had male visible (i. e. by super-inducing on the animal instinct the principle of Self-consciousness).
['Ihe subject of the Comment to which this note is attacherl, and of the uote itself, I consider, and it is indeed represented by the nuthor, as very essential to the right apprehension of the whole system. The distinction between reuson and the understanding, and that between nature and the free-will, are indeed the ground of all that is most peculiar and important in the anthor's views; and I have wished particularly to aid the reader, as fir as may he, in obtaining a distinct notion of their innport. The passages, which best illustrate the latter distinction, were referred to in note 29 ; and I propose to bring together, here, the means of illustrating the former, so far as I can well find them in the works of the author. The following Essay is the one referred to, p. 135 and note 55, and is from the Friend, vol. 1, p. 2(3)-277. In connexion with it the realer is requested to peruse note [C] in the nppendix to the Statesman's Manual, near the end of this volume. See also noto $\mathbf{4 3}$, and the references there made, and note $\mathbf{6 6}$.]
"In the Appendix to his first Lay Sermon, the Author has indeed treatcil the question at considerable length, but chiefly in relation to the heights of Theology ond Metaphysics. In the next number he attempts to explain himself more popularly, and trusts that with no great expenditure of attention the reader will satisfy his mind, that onr remote ancestors spoke as men acquainted with the constituent parts of their own moral and intellectual loeing, when they deseribed one man as being out of his senses, another as out of his wits, or dercmiged in his understanuling, and a third as having lost his reason. Observe, the understanding may be deranged, weakened, or perverted; hut the reason is either lost or not lost, that is, wholly present or wholly alsent."

ESSAY.
Mun may rather be ilefined a religious than a rational character, in recard that in other creatures there may be something of Reason, but there is nothing of Religion. r.e.e. Hatainoton.

If the Reader will suhstitute the word "Understanding" for "Reason," and the word "Reason" for "Religion," Harrington has here completely expressel the Truth for which the Friend is contending. But that this was Ilarington's meaning is evident. Otherwise instead of comparing wo farculties with ench other, he would contrast a faculty with one of its own oljects, which wonld involve the same absurdity as if he had said,

Light that lighteth cenuse the Dark zar witness of the th the light had ninial nature and e. by super-indusness).
tacherl, and of the he nuthor, as very h. The distinction cen nature and the liar and important aid the realcr, as rt. The passages, to in note 29 ; and ting the former, so The following Esm the Frienil, vol. equested to peruse ear the ent of this de, and note C6.]
or has indeed treatation to the heighta 10 attempts to exreat expenditure of te ancestors spoke own moral nnd inIg out of his senses, ting, and $n$ third as he deranged, weakost, that is, wholly
tal charater, in ref Reasen, but there Hiarinoton.
ling" for "Reason," as here completely ling. But that this tead of comparing ulty with one of its as if he had said,
that man might ruther bo defined an astronomical than a reching aulminl, becauso other animals possessed tha sense of Sight, Int were incopuble of beholding the satellites of Saturn, or the nebuing of fixell atars. If firther contirmation be necessary, it may be supplied by the following neflection, the leading thought of which I remember to have read in tho works of a continental Philosopher. It should seem casy to give the de:finite distinction of the Reason fiom the Umterstanding, leeause we constantly imply it when we apeak of the difference between oursolvas and the lorute creation. No one, except as a figure of epreech, ever sparaks of au unimal reason;* but that many aumuls possess a share of Undensuunling, perfectly distinguishalide from mere Jnstinet, wo all allow. Few persons have a favorite dog without making instances of its intelligence nit oecusional topic of conversation. They call for our admiration of the individual animal, and not with exelusive rufference to the Wisdoni in Nuture, us In the case of tho storge or material instinet of heasts; or of the hexumgular cells of the bees, and the wonderfil coincidence of this form with the geometrieal demonstration of the largest possille number of rooms in n given space. Likewise, we distinguish varions degrees of Undentunling there, and even discover, from inductions supplied ly the Zonlogists, that the Understanding appicars (as a general rule) in an inverse proportion to the Instinct. We hear little or nothing of the instincts of "the half-rensoning elephant," arst as littlo of the Understanding of Caterpillurs und Butterfies. (N. B. Though reasonino does not in mur langume, in the lax use of words natural in conversation or jopular writings, imply seiesttific conclusion, yet the phrase "half-reasoning" is evidently useil ly lope as a poetic hyperbole.) Jhit reason is wholly denied, equally to the highest as to the lowest of the brutes; otherwise it must be wholly nttributel to then, and with it therefore Self-conscionsmess, und personality, or Mornl Being."

I should have no ohjection to define Reason with Jacobi, and with his


#### Abstract

*I have this moment lonked over a Trinslation of Blumenibach's Plysiology by Dr. Elliotson, which forms a kining exception, p. 45. 1 do not know Dr. Elliotson, lut 1 do know Professor Blumenbach, and was un nssidnouss attendant on the Lectures, of which this classical work was the text-book: and I know that that good and great umn wouhl start barek with surpriso and inmlignation at the gross materialism morticed on to his work : the more so because during the whole perioul, in which the idputi-  menbach remainel ardent nind instant in controverting the oppinion, and exposing its fallacy and falsehool, both as a mun of sease and as a Nuthralist. I may truly say, that it was uppermost in his heart nmil foremost in his speoch. Therefore, and from mo hostilo feeling to Dr. Eilliotsm (whom I hear kooken of with great regard mul respeet, mal to whon I myself give credit for his unaly openess in the apowal of his opinions) I have fult the present animadversion a dinty of justice as well as gratitule.
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firiend Ilensterhuis, as an organ hearing the same relation to spiritunl ohjerts, the Univensal, the Eternal, and the Necessary, as the cyo bean to uncoriul aud contingent phenomuma. Shit then it must be added, that it is un organ ilentical with its appropriate oljects. Thus, Gol, the Soul, cternal Truth, \&e. are the oljects of Reason; but they are themselves reason. We name Gorl the Supreme Renson; and Milton says, "Whence the Soul Reason receives, anl Renson is her Being." Whatever is ronscious. Self-knowledge is Reason ; and in this sense it may be safely lefined the organ of tho Supersensuous; even as tho Understanding wherever it does not possess or use the Reason, as another and inwarl eye, may he defined the eonecption of the Sensuous, or the faculty by which we generalize and arrange the phernemena of pereeption: that faculty, the fumetions of which contuin the rules and constitute the possibility of ontwurd Fsperienee. In short, the Understanding supposes something that is understood. This may he merely its own acts or forms, that is, forual Logie; but real oljects, the materials of sulstantial knowleige, must lue firnished, we might safely say reveated, to it by Organs of Sense. The muderstnuding of the ligher Brutes has only orgaus of outward sense, and comsequently material oljects only; but man's understanding has likewise an organ of inward sense, and therefore the jower of acquainting itself with invisible realities or spiritual oljects. This organ is his Reason. Again, the Understanding nud Experience may exist* withont Reason. hat Reasen cminot exist withont Understanding; nor locs it or can it manifest itself lint in and through the understanding, which in our elder writurs is often culled discourse, or the discursive farculty, as by Mooker, Lord Baron, and IIobbes: and an understanding enlightened by reason Shaksbur gives as the contra-distinguishing churneter of man, under the name discourse of reason. In thort, the human understanding possenses two distinct organs, the ontward sense, aul "the mind's eye" which is reason: wherever we ne that phase (the mind's eye) in its proper sense, and not as a mere symume of the memory or the fancy. In this way we reconrite the promise of kevelation, that the blessed will see God, with the derlaration of st. John, God hath no one seen at any time.

We will ald ono other illustration to prevent any misconecption, as if we were diviling the human soul into different essences, or ideal persons. In this piece of stal I acknowledge the propertics of hardness, brittleness,
*Or this no one would feel inelined to doubt, who had seen the poutle dog, whom the celebrated Blumenmaci, a mame so dear to science, as a dhe, pigsinglishmen who have ever resided at Gotingen in the cousse of their but noty to hel
 mother's raro and patience, but to attend the chirsers auplame dor who the food for them. I have myself kown a Newh all the inteligece
 watehed a nurse, during their walks.
tion to eppiritunl on ns the eyo benes to ist be adiled, that it lus, (Gorl, the Sout, they are themselves Iton says, "Whence ug." Whatever is se it may be safely the Understanding nother and inwaril f, or the fieculty liy perception : that facstitute the ןossihility supposes something or forms, that is, fortial knowledge, must unus of Sense. The font ward sense, and tanding has likewise of aequanting itself rguin is his Reason. ist* withont Reason. locs it or coll it maich in our elder wrias hy Hooker, lord red by reason Sluksnan, umiler the name ug posserses two dise" which is reason : oroper sense, and not ithis way we reconsee God, with the deme.
miseoneeption, as if res, or ideal persons. luudness, brittleness,
had seen the poodle dear to science, as a y dear as in man, to on the counse of their the leen with all the suflerwards, nud fine fomadlumd dog who It all the intelligence
high polish, and the capahility of forming a mirror. I fitul all these likewisu in the plate glass of a friend's carriage ; but in adlition to all these, find the quality of transparency, or the power of transmitting as well as of reflecting the rays of light. The application is ohvions.

If the reader theretore will take the trouble of hearing in uitul thesi nund the fillowing explanations, he will have removed hefiurehund every possible difficulty from the Friend's political section. For there is another ase of the worl, Reason, arising out of the former indeed, hut leas definite, and moro exposed to nisconeeption. In this latter use it means the understanding considered as usiug the Reason, so fur as hy the orgon of Reason only we possess the idens of the Necessnry and the Universal ; and this is the more common use of the word, when it is upplied with my attempt at clear and distinct conceptions. In this narrower and derivative sense the hest definition of Reason, which I ean give, will he found in the third member of the following sentence, in which the understanding is described in its three-fold operation, and from ench receives an appropriate name. The Sense, (vis sensitiva vel intuitiva) perceives: Vis regulntrix (the understanding, in its own peculiar operation) conceives: Vis rationalis (the Reason or rationalized understanding) comprehends. 'I'he fisst is impressed through the organs of sense ; the second combines these multifarious impressions into individnul Notions, and by reducing these notions to Rules, according to the analogy of all its former notices, constitutes $E x$ perime; the third suborlinates both these notions anul the rules of Experience to ansolute P'rineiples or necessary Laws: and thes, concemiing objects, which our experience has jroved to have real existence, it demonstrates, morcover, in what way they are possible, and in loing this constitutes Science. Reason, therefore, in this secondary sense, and used, not as a spiritual Orgen but as a Faculty (munely, the Inderntumbing or Soul onlightened by that orgun)-Renson, I saly, or the scientific l'acnlty, is the Intellection of the possibitity or essential properties of things by means of the Laws that constituto them. Thus the rational iden of a Circle is that of a figure constituted by the circumvolution of a straight line withs its one end fixed.
Livery man must feel, that though he may not be exerting different fireulties, he is excrting his faculties in a different way, when in one instance he legins with some one self-evident truth, (that the radii of a cirele, for instance, are all equal,) and in consequence of this being true sees at once, without any actual experience, that some other thing must be true likewise, anl that, this being true, some third thing mist be equally trie, and so on till he comes, we will suy, to the properties of the lever, considered us the spoke of a circle; which is capubio of having all its marvellons powers demonstrated even to a suvage who had never seen a lever, and without supposing nuy other previous knowlodge in his mind, hut this one, that there is a conceivable fyrure, ull jossille lines firm the middle to the rirenuference of which are of the sume length: or when, in the second in-
stunco, he brings together the ficts of expuriente, each of whifli han itx own supmate value, neither encreasent nor liminishenl by the truth of any other fict which may have preecoled it: mal making these severul fact bear upm mome particular project, and finding some in favour of it, and aome agninat it, determines for or againet tho project, according as ono or the other class of fiets preponderate: ns, for instance, whether it would lo better to phant a particular sjot of ground with lareh, or with Scoteh fir, or with oak in prefurence to either. Surely every man will acknowl edge, that his nind was very differently emplayed in the first case from what it was in the secome; anul all men havo agreed to call the resultes of the first class the truths of science, such as not only are true, but which it is impossilhe to conceive otherwise: while the results of the second chass are called facts, or things of erpcrience; and as to theso latter we must often content ourselves wilh the greater probability, that they are so, or so, rather than otherwise-nay, even when we huve no dount that they aro so In the particular case, we never presume to nssert that they nust continue so always, and under all circumstances. Ou the contrary, our conclusiens depend altogether on contingent circumstances. Now when the mind is employed as in the case first-mentimed, I call it Reasoning, or tho use of the pure Reason; lsut, in the second case, the Understanding or Prulence.

This Reason applied to the motives of our conduct, and combined with the sense of our moral responsibility, is the conditional cause of Conscience, which is a spiritual seuse or testifying state of the ceincidence or discorinnes of the faee, will with the Reasun. liut as the reasoning consists wholly in a man's power of seeing, whether any two ideas, which happen to be in his mind, are, or are not, in contradiction with each other, it follows of necessity, not only that all men have reason, but that every innn has it in the same degrec. For Reasoning (or Reason, in this its secondary sense) doce not consist in the hleas, or in their clearness, but simply, when they are in the minl, in secing whether they contradict each other or no. And again, as in the determinations of Conscience the only knowledge required is that of my own intention-whether in doing such a thing, instead of leaving it imelone, I did what I should think right if any other person had dono it; it follows that in the mere quention of guilt or innocence, all men have not only Rcason equally, but likewise all the materials un which the reason, consilered as Conscience, is to work. But when we pass out of ourselves, and speak, not exchisively of the agent as meaning well or ill, but of the action in its consequences, then of course exprrience is required, judgment in making use of it, and all those other qualities of the mind which are so differently dispensed to different persons, besth hy nature and education. And though the reason ilself is the sane in nill men, yet the menns of exercising it, und the materials (i. c. the facts and Idens) on which it is exercised, heing possessel in very different degrees by different persons, the practical Result is, of course, equally differ-
ench of which hus lis led by the truth of any ing these severul facts me in fivour of it, and ct, according as ono or nnce, whether it would I lareh, or with Seoteh very man will acknowl1 in the first came from ed to call tho resules of are true, but which it ults of the second eluss o theso latter we numst , that they are so, or so, 0 doult that they are so that they must continue ontrary, our conclusions Now when the mind is Reasoung, or the use of derstanding or Prudence. luct, and combined with ional cause of Conscience, coincidence or discordsthe reasoning consints wo ideas, which luppen a with each other, it foldoll, but that every man ason, in this its secondary amess, but simply, when radict each other or no. nec the only knowledge 1 doing such a thing, inthink right if any other prestion of guilt or innot likewise all the materi$e$, is to work. But when ely of the agent as meances, then of course expr, and all those other qualsed to different persons, cason itself is the same in materials (i. c. the facts ssed in very different deof course, equally differ-
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ent-and the wholo gronnd work of Rouswem's Phllomenty ends in a mere Nothingism.-Even in that braneh of knowleige, on which the ideas, on the congruity of which with each other the Reason is to lecide, ans all poseessed alike by all men, namely, in Geometry, (for all men in their aenses possess all the component imagen, viz. simple curves and straight lines) yet the power of attention required for the perception of linked 'Truths, even of such 'Truthe, is so very olifferent in A and in B, that Sir Isaac Nowton professed that it was in this power only that he was superior to ordimary men. In short, the sophism is as gross as if I should say-The Souls of all men have the faculty of sight in an equal degree-forgeting to ald, that this faculty cannot be exercised without eyes, and that sone men are blind and others short-sighted, \&c.-and shouhl then take nilvantage of this my omiswion to conclude against the uso or necessity of spectaclen, microscopes, \&c.--or of choosing the sharpest sighted men for our guides.
Having exposed this gross sophism, I must warn against an opposite er-ror-namely, that if Reason, as aistinguished from Prudence, consinta mercly in knowing that llack cannot be White-or when a man has a clear conception of an inclosed figure, and another equally clear conception of a struight line, his Reason teaches him that these two conceptions are incompatille in the same olject, i. e. that two atraight lines cannot inrlude a space - - the said Reason must be n very insignificmut faculty. Hut a moment's stealy self-reflection will show us, that in the simple deternination "Black is not White"-or, "that two straight lines cannot in clude a space"-all the powers are implied, that distinguish Mnn from An-innals-first, the power of reflection-2d. of comparison-3l. and thereforo of suspension of the mind-4th. therefore of a controlling will, and tho power of acting from notions, instead of mere images exciting appetites; from motives, and not from mere dark instincts. Wns it an insignificant thing to weigh the Planets, to determinc all their courses, and prophecy every possilile relation of the Heavens a thousand years hence? Yet all this mighty chain of science is nothing but a linking together of truthe of the same kind, as, the whole is greater than its part:-or, if A and $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}$, then $A=B-$ or $3+4=7$, therefore $7+5=12$, and so forth. $X$ is to he found either in $\mathbf{A}$ or B , or C or $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{It}$ is not found in $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, or C , therefore it is to he found in 1).-What enn be simpler? Apply this to an nn-imal-a Dog misses his master where four roads meet-he has come up one, smells to two of the others, and then with his head aloft darts forward to the third road without any examination. If this was done by a conclusion, the Dog would have Reason-how comes it then, that he never shews it in his ordinary habits? Why does this story exeite either wonder or incredulity? -If the story be a fact, and not a fiction, I should saythe Breeze brought his Master's scent down the fourth Road to the Dog's nosf, and that therefore he did not put it down to the Road, as in the two former instances. So awfill and slmost miraculous docs the simple act of
conclading, that take 3 from 4, there remains one, apyear to us when nttributed to the most sugneiens of all animals."
The nevt uxtract is from the Friemd, vol, 1. 1p. 187-188, nud gives in fiew words the nuthor's view of the subject treated of in note 51 .
"Giont errated man in his own image. To the the inag! of his own etcruity crented he man! Of eternity and selfeexistenco what other likeness is possiblo in a finite leing, but inumortality and moral self-letermination! In addition to sensution, perepptian, and practical judgment (inatinctive or aequirahle) concerning the notices furniahed by the orgons of perecption, ull which in kind at lenst, the log possesaes in common with his muster; ill adlition to theso, Gond gnvo us arason, und with reason hos bave us reflective shifeconsciousness; gave us ranciples, distinguinhal from the umxims and generalizations of outwarl experience hy their allosolute und essential universality annl necessity ; and ahove all, hy suprrmbling to renson tho mysterions faculty of free-will and consepuent purwinl nomability, he gave us conscience:-that law of conscience, which in the power, and us the indwelling worn, of an holy and ommipotent leginlutor, commands nis- from among the numerous mpas mathenutical nuld philosophiran, whirh the reason by the necessity of its own excellence creates for itself-momolitionally commands us to atribute reality, and aetual eristmere, to those idens and to those only, without whirh the conscience iteclf would lwe haselese mal contradictory-to the idens of Soul, of Freewill, of Immortality, and of God!
To Goll, as the reality of the conscience and the source of all ohligation; to l'ree-will, as the power of the human being to mantuin the olvdience, which God thrmigh the comseicuce las commanaled, against all the might of nature ; nul to the Inmmentality of the Sonl, us in stute in which the weal and woe of man slmill be propostimed to his morul wortlo. With this faith all nature,

$$
\overline{\text { Of eye and ear-all the mighty world }}
$$

presents itself to us, now as the agyregateal material of duty, intid now an "1 vision of the Moss lligh revealing to tha the mode, and time, and purtictllar instance of applying and realizing thut universal rule, pre-establiahed in the heart of our reason!"
The following passages are from the first Iay Sermon, pp. 21-24, 28-30 anl tio-li4:
"The Helrew legislator, and the other inspired poets, prophete, listorians und moralists of the Jewish clurrh luve two inmensen advantages in their favor. First, their particular rules and preseripts flow dirertly und visibly from miversal primiples, as from a bountain: they tlow from prineiples and ideas that are not so properly said to be comfirmed ly reason as to le reuson itself! Principles, in act and procession, disjoined from whirh.
pear to tis when nttri-

187-188, nul given in of in note 51
tho image of his own stenco whint other likeId mornl self-teterminpuctical judgnent (inhiehsed thy the orgates of seases in cannmon with on, and with reason he privcirles, distinguindaril experience liy their ind above nill, liy superill and conseguent peraw of conscience, which holy and ommijntent lemesas mathenmaticnl mul y of its own exeelleace ttribute reality, nud actual ut which the ronscienco e idens of Soul, of F'rec-
the source of all ohligneing to muintnin the olvo coumannded, nguinst all f the Soul, us in afute in ioned to his numal worth.
ial of duty, mind now ns a c, nul time, nul purtin'ushl rule, pre-ennblizhed in
rmon, pp. 21-24, 28-30
l prets, propilicts, historio immense midnutages in rescrijits flow directly nul ain: they flow from prinslu: confirmed by ronson the :ion, di,joined from whicil.
natl from the emotions that inevitally necompany the netual intuilion of their truth, thes widest maxims of prulence are like arms without hearts, musches wilhout nerves. Secenilly, from the very muture of these primiples, as tuught in tho bilhe, thoy are understood in exact proportion an they are believed nad felt. Tho regulator is never aoparated from the main spring. For the words of the apostie are literally and philosophically true: We (that in, the human race) live hy vartu. Whintever we do or know, thint in kinil is different from the brute creation, has its origin in a determination of the reason to hnvo faith and trust in itsolf. This, its first act of faith, is acarcely less than jitentical with ita own being. Implicill, it in the Copula-it contains the possibility-of every position, to which there exists any correnpontence in reality. It is itself, therofore, the realizing prineiple, the spiritual substratum of the whole complex body of truthis. This primal act of faith is enunciated in the word, Gop: a fuith not derived from experience, lout its ground and source, and without which tho fleeting chaos of facts woull no more form experience, than the dust of the grave can of itself mase $n$ living man. The imperative and oracular form of the inspired Scripture, is the form of reason itself in all things purely rational and moral.
If it the the word of Divino Wisdom, we might anticipate that it would in all things le elistinguished from other books, as the supreme Reason, whose knowledge is creative, and antecedent to the things known, is clistinguished from the understanding, or creaturely mind of the individual, tha acts of which are posterior to the things, it receris and arranges. Man nlone was created in the inage of God: a position groundless and inexplicalle, if the renson in man do not differ from the understanding. For this the inferior animnls, (many at least) possess in degree: and assuredly the divine image or idea is not a thing of degrees.

Hence it follows that what is expressed in the inspired writings, is implied in all absolute science. The latter whispers what the former utter as with the voice of a trumpet. As suar as God hiveti, is the pleige nud assurance of every positive truth, that is asserted by the reason. The hu man understanding musing on many thinge, snatches at truth, but is frustratell and disheartencd by the fluctuating nature of its oljects; its conclusions therefore are timidl and uncertain, and it hnth no wny of giving pernumence to things lut lyy reducing them to abstractions: hardly (saith tho author of the Wisdom of Solomon, of whose words the preceding mentence is a paraplirase) harlly do we guess aright at things that are upon carth, nud with labour do we find the things that are before us; but all certain knowledge is in the power of God, and a presense from nbove. So only havo the wnys of men been reformed; and every doctrino that contains n saving truth, and all acts pleasing to God (in other words, all actions consonnut with human nature, in its original intention) are through wisnom : tint is, the rational spirit of man.

## aID To REFIECTION

This then is the prerogative of the Biblo; this in the privilege of its leheving students. With them the prineiple of knowledge is likewise a spring and primiple of action. And as it is the only certain knowledge, so are the artions that flow with it the ouly ones on which a sceure reliance can be placed. Tho understunding may suggest motives, may avail itself of motives, and mako judicious conjectures respecting the proballo consequences of actions. But the knowledge turght in the Scriptures produces the motives, involves the consequenees; and its highest formula is still: Ay surf as God hivetir, so will it be unto theed
In the genuine enthusiasm of morals, religion, and patriotism, the enlargenent nom elevition of the sonl ahove its mere self attest the presence, and necomprany the intuition, of ultimate pancirles alonr. These alone can interest the undegraded human spirit deeply and unduringly, because thess alone belong to its essence, and will remain with it permanently.
Notions, the depthless alstractions of fleeting phenomena, the shadows of sailing vapors, the colorless repetitions of rain-bows, have effected their ntmost when they have added to the distinctiess of our knowledge. For this very cause they are of themselves adverse to lofty emotion, and it requires the influmee of a light and warmath, not their own, to make them chrystallize into a semblance of growth. But every principle is actualized by an idea; and cevery idea is living, prodnctive, purtaketh of infinity, and (as Bacon has sublimely observel) containeth an endless power of seminatiom. Henco it is, that science, which consists wholly in ideas and principles, is power. Scientia et potentia (saith the same philosopher) in idem coincident. Hence too it is, that notions, linked arguments, reference to particular facts, and calculations of prudence, influence only the comparatively few, tho men of leisurely minds who have been trained up th them: and even these few they influence lut faintly. But for the reverse, I appeal to the general character of the doctrines which have eollected the most ninmerous sects, and acted upon the moral being of the converts with a force that might well seem supernatural! The great prisciples of our religion, the sublime infas spoken out everywhere in the Old and New Testament, resemble the fixed stars, which appear of the same size to the naked as to the armed eyo; the magnitule of which the telescopn may rather seem to diminish than to increase. At the anmunciation of principles, of ideas, the soul of man awakes, and starts up, ns an exile in a far distant land at the mexpected sounds of his native language, when after long years of absence, und almost of ollivion, he is suddenly addressed in his own mo-ther-tongue. He weeps for joy, and embraces the speaker as his brother. Llow else eun we explain the fact so honorable to Grent Britain, that the poorest* anoongst us will contend with as much enthusiasm as the richest

[^3]ho privilege of its lewhelge is likewise a crrinin knowledge, so irh a secure reliames tives, may avail itsolf ing the prohable conthe Scriptures produighest formula is still :
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with so mach humour in ce where the mind in its nd revating thought, in lits mirth.
for the ryghts of propery? There riphts are the spheres int nerotary conditions of treo agomey. Whe free ageney contains the jelen of the fire will; mud in this lic immitively knows the sublimity, and the infinite hoper, lians mul eapmbilities of his uwn nature. On whit other gromal hut the cognateness of ideus and prineiples to man as man, does the nameless solalier mish to the eombat in defoneo of tho liberties or the honor of his country?-Fien men wofully neglectlin of the preeepte of religion will shed their hood for its truth.
All other sciences are condined to ubstractions, unless when the tenn Scionce is used in an improper and flatering sans-Thus we may epenk without lonst of Natuaal. Hastony; but we have not get nttained to a Sidence of Nuture. The Bible alone contains a Science of Reality: and therefore each of it's Elements is at the same time a living Germ, in which the l'resent involing the Future, and in the Finite the Infinite exists poceninlly. That hidd a mystery in every, ho minutest, form of existence, which contemplater under the relations of time presents itself to the understanding retrospectively, as an infinite ascent of Cansen, and prospeetirely as an intermimalle progression of Eflects-that which contenplatod in Space is beheld intuitively as a law of action aurl re-action, eontinuons and extending beyond all hound-this sume mystery freed from the phacnomena of Time and Spare, mul seen in the depth of real lleing, reveals itself to the pure Reason as the artual immancuce of Aly in Eacia. Aro we struck with admiration at beholding the Copo of lleaven innged in a Dew-drop? The least of the mimalena to which that drop would be an Ocean contains in itself an intinite problem of which God Omnipresent is the only solution. The slavo of custom is roused by the Rare and Accidental alone; but the axions of the unthinking are to the philosopher the ilecpest problems, as being the nearest to tho mysterious Roor, and partaking at onec of its darkness and it's pregnancy.
$O$ what a nine of undiscovered treasures, what a new world of Iower and truth would the Bihle promise to our fiture meditation, it in some gracious moment one solitary text of ull its inspired eomentes shonled hint dawn unon us in the pure untronbled brightness of an lapa, that most glorims hirth of the God-iike within its, which even us the Light, its material symliol, reflects itself from a thousand surfaces, and flies liomeward to its liarent mind emriched with a thonsand forms, itself abowe form and still remaining in its own simplicity and identity! O for a flush of that same Light, in which the first position of geometric science that ever hosed itself from the genemazations of a groping und insecure experience, did tor the first thue revenl itself to a humu intellert in all its evidence and all its fiuthulness, Transparonce without Vacuum, and lhenitude winhont Oparity! O that a single gleam of ont own inward experionce would make
 of the philosopher of Samos! or that Vision which from the contempla-
ion of an arithuctical hurmony rose to the cye of Kepler, presenting the phanetary world, and all their orbits in the divine order of their ranks and distances: or which, in the falling of an Apple, revenked to the cthereal intuition of our own Newton the constructive principle of the material Universe."

The definitions, which follow, exhibit the distinctions nimed at by the nuthor in few worde.
"Under the term Sexse, I comprise whatever is passive in our heing, without any reference to the questions of Nateriaism or Imnaterialism; all that man is in common with animals, in kind at leust-his sensations, and impressions, whether of his outward senses, or the inner sense of imagination. This, in the language of the Sehools, was calied the vis recepltiva, or recipient property of the soul, from the original constitution of which we perceive and inagino all thinge under the forms of space and time. By the understandine, I mean the faculty of thinking and forming judgments on the notices furnished by the sense, according to certain rules existing in itself, which rules constitute its distinct nature. By the pure Reason, I mean the power hy which we becomo possessed of principle, (the eternal veritics of Phato and Descartes) and of ideas, (N. B. not images) us the ideas of a point, a line, a circle, in Mathematics; und of Justice, Holiness, Free-Will, \&e. in morals. Hence in works of pure srience the definitions of necessity precede the reasoning, in other works they more apty form the conclusion."-The Friend vol. 1, pp. 305-306, Note.
As the Philosophical works of Henry More, from whoso Theological works extracts are inserted in the text, 1 p. 97, 99, and who was referred to in note 43, are seldom to be found in this country, I have selected a few passages from them having moro particular reference to the silliject of this note. The references aro to a London folio clition of 1712.
"'To take eway Reason umder what fanatic pretence soever is to lisrolw the Priest and despoil him of his breest-plate and which is worst of all to rob Christianity of that special prerogntivo it has above all other religions int the world, namely, that it dares appeal unto reason."-Preface, p. 6.
"I should commend to them, that would successfully philosophise, the belief and endeavour after a certuin priaciple more noble and inward than reason itself, and without which reason will faulter, or at least reach hut to mean and frivolous things. I have a sense of something in me, while I thus speak, which I must confess is of so retruse a nature, that I want a ume for it nuless I should adventure to term it Divine Sagacity, which is the first rise of a successful reason." Aud this, he afterwards ohserver, is the sentiment of Aristotle, that there is something before and better than Rrason, whence Reason itself has its rise. 'The sureess of the mind therefore in its speculation atter truth" is from the presence of (iod, who does indeed move all things, in some sort or other, but residing in the most unde-
epler, presenting the $r$ of their ranks and of to the ethereal inof the material Uni-
ons ained at by the
passive in our heing, m or Imnuaterialisu; least-his sensations, 0 inner sense of inucalied the vis recejconstitution of which is of sprace and time. ing and forming judgag to certain rules exre. By the pure Reased of principle, (the (N. B. not images) us ; und of Justice, Hof pure wience the deworks they more ajt--306 , Note.
in whose Theological ad who was referred to I have selected a few to the sulbjeet of this of 1712.
ce soever is to disrobe: vhich is worst of all to hove all other religions n."-Prefice, p. 6. ssfilly philosophise, the noble and inward than er, or at least reacls but something in me, while a nature, that I want a tivine Sagacily, which is nfterwards olserves, is efore and beller than Reas of the mind therefore e ol (God, who does insiding in the most unde-
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filed spirit, moves it in the most excellent manner, and endues it with that Jhivine Sagacity I njoke of, which is a nore inwarl, compendious and comprehensive presentation of truth, ever antecedancous to that renson, which in theorics of greatest inportance approves itself aft rwards upon the exactest examination to bo most solid and perfect every way, and truly that wisdom, which is peculiarly styled the gift of God, mid hurdly competible to any but to persons of a puro anil unspotted mind. Of so great coneernment is it sincerely to endeavour to be holy and good."-p. 7 \& 9.
I have been strongly tempted to insert, here, anuther lissay from the Friend, the !th of vol. 3, as exhibiting moro distinctly the author's views of the relation of renson, as the power of epiritual intuition in man, to the Supreme Reason, and showing their resenblance to those of M. More. It would however swell the size of this volume too mnch, and those who would he desirous of reading it, will be desirous also of reading the whole of that most valuable work. The reader I believe will find a key to the subject, which I wished to explain, by referring to this volume, 1 . 3 , to the extructs from the lst Lay Sermon above and note [C] in the Appendix. Sce also note 65.
The following from More illustrates the distinction between reason and the understanding, and the limitations of the latter in regard to the truths of reason.
"If the difficulty of framing a conception of a thing must take away the existence of the thing itself, there will be no such thing as a body lefl in the world, and then will all be spirit or nothing. For who can frame so safe a notion of a lody, as to frec himself from the entanglements, that the extension thereof will bring along with it? For chis extended matter consists, of either indixisible points, or of partieles divisible in infinitum. Take which of these you will (and you can find no third) you will be wound into the most notorious absurdities that may be. For if yon say it consists of points, from this position I can necessarily demonstrite, that every spear or spire-steeple, or what long holy you will, is as thick ins it is long, that the tallest cedar is not so high as the lowest mushroon, and that the moon and the earth are so nour each ether, that the thickness of your hund will not go hetwixt, that rounds ind sipures are all one figure, that even und ohd numbers are equal with one another, and that the elenrest day is as dark us the blackest night. And if you make choiec of tho other member of the disjunction, your fancy will be but little better it ease; for nothing can be divisible into parts it has not. Therefore if a body he divisibe into infinite parts, it has infinite cextended parts. And if it has minfinite numbe: of extended pmist, it cammot but be a hard nystery to the in agination of man, thint infiuite extended parts should not monome to one whole infinite extension. And thus a grain of inustard sced would be as will intinitely extended us the whole matter of the miverse, mat a thonsandll part of that grain as the gruin itself: Which things are nore un-
romerivalle, than any thing in the notion of a spirit. Therefore we are not scorntilly mul contenpthonsly to reject any notion for secming at first to be chanded nud ohsolured with some difficulties and intricacies of con-eption."-Antilote against . 1lleism, p. 14.
What follows, making some allowance for purticular expressions, will be cen to coincide with tho viows of Coleriglge, and will the thonght by many, at least, to be an suftivient explanation and defence of the doctrino of inmate ideas.
"It will not be nniss here hriefly to touch unon that notable point in philosoply, whether the soul of man be alrasa tabuda, a table-book acherein nothing is uril, or thether she hatre, some inuate notions and iuleas in hersclf. For so it is, that she having taken first ocension of thinking from oxternal ohjects, it hath so imposed upon some men's judgments, that they have roncerited that the soul has no knowlelge nor notion, but what is in a passive way inupressed or delineated upon her from tho objects of sense; they not warily enough distinguishing betwixt extrinsical occasions and adequate or mineipal causes of things.
But the mind of man more free nal better exereisel in the close observution of its own operations and nature, cannot but discover thut there is all artive aud actual knowledge in a mun, of which these outward objects are mother the reminders, than the first legeters or implanters. And when I say artual knowledge, I do not mean there is a certain mumher of $\boldsymbol{u}$ leas laring nmd shining to the tainadversive facul!, like so many forches or stars in the firmament to outwarl sight, that there aro any figures, that take their distinet places, zund are legilly writ there like the red letters or astronomiral characters in an almanuck: But I nnderstoond therely an active sugarity in the soul, or inick reeollection, ns it were, whereby some small business lofing linted upon her, she runs ont presently into a more clear or larger conception.

And I danot beter describe her condition than thas: Suppose a skilfill musicion fillen arleep in the fieh upon tho grass, during which time he shall not on murli as drean any thing conecroing his musical firsulty, so that in one sense there is no achual skill or notion nor representation of my thing musioal in him; but his friend sitting by him that camot sing at rell himself, jogs him mul awakes him and desires him to sing this or the other song, telling him two or three words of the leggining of the song, whereupon he presently trkes it out of his mouth, ond sings the whole song unon so slight and slemer intimation. So the mind of man lowing jugged and awakened by the impulses of outwarl olgerte, is stirred up imo a more fill and clear conception of what was but inprefferty hinted to her from extermal occasions; and this farmlty I venture to call uchal fooutelaf, in such a sellise as the sle feping masician's skill might be called what shill when he thonght nothing of it.

Ind that this ts the condition of the soul is discoverable ly sundry olf-
6. Therefore we are on for sreming at first and intricacies of con-
ar expressions, will be Il fie thonght by many, the doctrine of innate
that notalle point in a table-book tehcrein nois and uleas in herself. thinking from exterund ments, that they have but what is in " passive acts of sense; they not asions and adequate or
ised in the close obser$t$ discover thut there is these outwarl oljeets implanters. Anil whon ertain number of idets so nmuy torches or stars figures, that take their ed lellers or astronomical y an active sugurity in y some small husiners a more clear or larger

I thins: Sulpore a skilnss, during which timo ing his musical ficulty, on nor representation of - him that cannot sing at hinn to sing this or the loginning of the song, h, and sings the whole the mind of man being al oljects, is stimed up s but inperfiectly linted I venture to call achual n's skill might be called
coverable lyy sundry ob-
servations. As for exmmplo, exhibit to the sonl through the outward seltsus the figure of a circle; slos neknowledgeth pewoutly this to be one kind of figure, and can add forthwith, that if it he porfect, all the lines, from vome one point of it drawn to the perimeter, must he exactly equal. In like manner show her a trimgle; she will straightway pronomene, that if that be the right fignre it makes toward, the angles must be closed in indivisible points. But this accuracy either in the circle or the friangle rmonot be set out in any material suliject: therefore it remains that she lath a more fall and exquisite knowledgo of things in herself than tho matter can lay open before her.

Let us cast in a thirl instance: let somebody now demonstrate this friangle described in the matter to huve its three angles equal to two riglit ones; why yes, saith the sonl, this is true, and not only in this particular triangle, lut in all plain triangles that can possilly be described in the matter. And thus, you see, the sonl sings out the whole song upon the first lint, as knowing it very well before.

Besides this, there are a number of relative notions or ideas in the mind of man, as well Mathematienl as Logical, which if we prove cannot be the inpresses of any innterial object from withont, it will necessarily follow that they ure from the soul herself within, and are the natural furniture of lumane understanting. Such are these, cause, offect, whole nud part, like and unlike. So cquality and inequality, dozos and duadoyoc, proportion and mulogy, symmetry anl asymunetry, and such liko: all which relative ideas I shall easily prove to be no material impresses from withont upon the soul, lut her own active eonception proceeding from herself whist she takes notice of external objects. For that these iders can make no impresses iupon the ontward senses is plain from hence, hecause they are no sensible nor physical affections of the matter. And how can that that is no physical affection of the matter, affect our corporeal organs of sense?
But now that these rclative ideas, whether Logical or Mathematical, be no physical affections of tho matter, is manifest from these two arguments. First, they may he prolueed when there has been no physical motion nor alteration in the sulpject to which they belong, nay, indecd, when there Lath leeen nothing at ull done to the suhject to which they do acerue. As for example, suppose one side of a room whitencd, the other not touched or meddled with, this other has thus become unlike, and hath the notion of dissimile necossarily belonging to it, althongh there has nothing ut ull lieen done thereunto. So suppose two pounds of lead, whieh therefore are two equal pieces of that Metal, cut away lmif from one of then, the other pomid nothing at all being dons moto it has lost its Notion of equal, and hath aequired a new one of double unto the other. Nor is it to nuy purpose to answer, that thongh there was nothing done to this pound of lead, yet thore was to the oflier; for diat does not at ill enowate the Reason, lint shews that the notion of sub-double, which nocried to that teal which had half cut avay, is but our mode of conceiving, as well as the
other, and not nuy plysiccel affection that strikes the corporeal organs of the body, ns hot and cold, hard und soff, white and black, and the like do. Wherefore the ideas of equal and unequal, double und sub-double, like and unlike, with the rest are no external impresses upon the senses, hut the soul's own metive manner of conceiving thoso things which are discovered by tho outward senses.
The second argument is, that one nul the same part of the matter is enpille, at one and the same time, wholly and entirely, of two contrary uleas of this kind. As for example, any piece of mater that is a midule proportional hetwixt two other pieces is donble, suppose, und sub-double, or triple and sub-triple, at once. Which is a munifest sign that theso ideas are no affections of the matter, and therefore do not affect our senses; else they would affert the senses of beasts, and they might also grow good Geonetricians and Arithmeticians. And they not affecting our senses, it is plain that wo have some ideas that we are not beholding to our senses for, limt are the mere exertions of the mind, occasionally awakened by tho npunises of the outward objects; which the outward senses do no more tearh us, than he that awakened the musician to sing, taught him lis skill." Antidote against Alheism, p. 17-10.

In the next chapters he proceeds to show, that the ilen of God has its origin in the sonl of man in the same manner as the idens mentioned in the ahove extruct. Like them it resides there inseparally and immutally, and the finct of its being obscurely or imperfectly developed in some minds, or in whole nations, no more proves that it is not there, as a necessary part or product of the universal reason of man, in the sense above explaned, thun a similar imperfect developement of geometrical truths anhorises a like inference in regard to them. In regarl to the oljective existence of God, he agrees with Des Cartes in considering necessary existonee a part of the rational idea, an answer to which may be foumd in the second letter of "Selections from the Correspondenee of Mr. Coleringe," ut the end of this volume. Ilis other proofs of it, however, are solid and rational, but not particularly to my purpose here.
The following is inserted from his "Discouse of Enthusiasm" for its oincidenee in thought and language with the views of Coleridge.
"Assuredly that spirit of illumination, which resides in the souls of the faithful, is a prineiple of the purest reason that is communicable to the humum nature. And what this spirit has, he has from Christ, (as Christ himsolf witnesseth) who is the etermul 2ayoe, the all-comprehending wisdom und reason of God, wherein he sees through the matures and iuleas of all thinge, with all their respects of dependency and independency, congruity and incongrnity, or whever habitude they have one to another, with one contimed glance ut once."-p. $3 \%$.
These extracts from a writer of such eminence, as lleury More, will do something, I trust, if either :ceknowledged authority or rational argument
orporeal organs of the nil the like do. Wheredouble, like and unlike, ases, luut the soul'sown aro discovered by tho
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sides in the souls of the connnunicable to the hit${ }^{11}$ Christ, (as Christ himcomprehending wislom : matures and idens of all indepeudency, congruity one to another, with one
, as IIenry More, will do rity or rutional argument
notes.
can do any thing, to comeract some of the prejudiees against the antior of this work and the langunge which he employs. They will show, that neither his langunge nor his philomophy are wholly manthorised even among English writers of grent reputation, and indieed only time and space would be wniting to multiply extracts liaving the same tordency from many other great writers of acknowledged authority smong the older Einglish philosophers and livines. If sueh then be the fact, if the philosophical views exhibited in this work are found essentially to coinciule with those of Plato and Lord Bacon, and of many others of tho most distinguished philosophers of ancient and motern times, may we not venture, at least without incurring the charge of arrogance and youthful presunption, to indulge a suspicion, that "there are more things in heaven and earth, than are dreaned of" in the sensuous and empirical philosophy of the day. Though all the world may now lre going in one direction, selfconfident and self-satisfied, it can do no harm, at most to any but themselves, if some few should pause, and hesitate, and look aboint thein, or even refuse to advance farther, till they have examined the recorls of their progress, and ascertained their position and course by the great landmarks of inmutable truth and reason.-Am. Eb. 1

$$
[60] \text { p. } 148 .
$$

The Philosopher, whom the Inquisition would have burnt slive as an Atheist, had not Leo X. and Cardinal Bembo decided that the Work might be formidalle to those semi-pagan Christians who regarded Rovclation as a mere Make-weight to their bosated Religion of Nature; but contained nothing dangerous to the Catholic Church or offensive to a true Believer.
[61] p. 150.
The worl, Instinet, brings together a number of facts into one elass by the assertion of a common ground, the nature of which ground it determines negatively only -i. $e$, tho word does not explain what this common ground is; hut simply indieates, that there is such a ground, and that it is different in kind from that in which the responsible and conneiously voluntary Aetions of Men originate. Thus, in its true and primary import, Instinct stands in antithesis to Reason; and the perplexity and contradictory statements into which so many meritorious Naturalists, and popular Writers on Natural History (Priscilla Wskefield, Kirly, Spence, Iluber, and even Reimarus) have fallen on this subject, ariso wholly from their taking the worl in opposition to Understanding. I notice this, because I would not lose any opportunity of impressing on the ininds of my youthfill readers the important truth, that Language (as the embodied and articulated Spirit of the Race, as the growth and emanation of a People, and not the work of any individual Wit or Will) is of-
ten inadergute, sometimes defieiont, but wever filse or dehasive. We lawre coly 10 master the thue origin mad original intuot of may mive and abiding worl, to find in it, if not ine soludion of the fitets axpressed hy it, yet a tinger-mark poining wothe roal on wheln this solntion is to be monght fir.
[62] p. 150.
Noque fuiryuam alubito, quin ea candiclis mmibus faciat satis. (auid antem furias istis pui vel ol ingenii pertinacinum sili sutisficri nolint, vel stupiliores simt pram it satisfictionemin intelligant? Nam quemadmodums Simomides dixit, 'Theswles hebetiores gran ut possint a se decipi, ita quosidans vilens stupidiores quan it pherari quenut. Adbuce non mirmon ext invenire qual calmmietur qui nihil alind querit nisi quod columintur. ( Eirasmi Eipist. al Dorpium.) At all events, the following Fixposition has been recieved at second humi, and passing through the medium of my own prepossessions, if any fiult be found with it, the failt probably, and the blame eertuinly, lelongs to the Reporter.
[63] p. 150.
And which (I might have udlod) in tomore enlightened age, and in a protestant Combry, inupelled more than one German University to anathenmize F'r. Jloflinan's dispovery of Carhonie Acid Gus, and of its wfects on aninal life, as hostile to religion, and tembing to Atheism! Three or four Students at the university of Jena, in the attemitt to raise a Spirit for the discovery of a supposed hiden treusure, wero strangled or poismed ly the fiames of the Chareonl they hul heen burning in a closo diarden-lonse of a vineyard near Jenn while employed in their magie finmigations and charms. Ono only was restored to Life: and from his account of the Noises and Spectres (in his ears and eyes) as he was losing his solses, it was taken for granted that the bad Spirit had destroyed them. Fredcric Unifinun almittel that it was a very bod spirit that had tempted them, the Spirit of Avarice and folly; and that a very noxious Spirit (Gas, or Geist, is the German for Spirit) was the imnacilinte canse of their death. But he eontended that this later Spirit was the Spirit of Chareoal, which would have produced the same effect, had the young men been chanting periluis instead of incantations; and aeguited the Devil of all direct concern in the husiness. The Thenlogical Faculty took the alarm: even Ploysirians pretended to he horror-struck at lloffinan's andacity. The Controversy nud its uplendages embittered several years of this great and good man's life.
[64] p. 155.
It has in its consequences proved no trifing evil to the Christian Worlt, that Aristotle's Pefinitions of Nature are all grounded on the petty and rather rhetorical thum phimenophical Autillesis of Nature to Art-a con-
lase or drlasive. We fort of mit mative nul fincts expreswed hy it, solution is to be sought
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 cal Natmen Viturans in Godless Nuture, that has no bettor elaim tu 1
 Iudo; yet to which Arintotle not murely gives the mane and uttributes at the Suprome Bring, 'J'le result was, that the IGen ol' God thas identifial with lis hypothetical Nature breounes itselt lut an I!ypothrsis, or at best bite a precarions intareme from ineonamensurato premises and on disputable l'rimeiples: while in other pasagees, (ind is confomuled with (and every where, in Arintotle's gemane works, inchuded in) the Universt: : which tmast grievons error it is the great and characteristic Alerit of IPath to have avoided und denounced.
[65] p. 156.
Take onn passage among many from the posthumens Tracts (lifio) of John Suith, mot the leust Star in that luright Constillation of Cambrilg: Men, the coutemporates of Jeremy Taylor. "While we reflet on onr uwn idea of Reasom, we know that our own Souls mo mot it, hut ouly far-
 it be culled a Facolty, hut hir mother a light, which we enjoy, hut the Source of which is not in onrselves, nor rightly, by any individunl, th be denominated mine." This pure inteligence he then proceds to contrast with the Discersive Faenly, i. e. the Understanding.
[See extracts from IIenry More's works, in noti 50-Am. Ed.]
[66] p. 150.
We have the nssurance of Bishop Horsley, that the Church of England does not demanat the literal Underatanding of the Document contained in the second (from verse 8) anl third Chapters of Genessis as a point of faith, or regarl a diflerent interpretation as affecting the orthodoxy, of the interpreter: Divines of the most umimpenchable orthodexy, annl the nowt averse to the allegrovizing of seripture listory in general, having fivm the carliest ages of the Christian Churelo adopted or permitted it in this instance. And indeed mo umprejudicel man can juetend to doubt, that if in any other work of Eastern Origin he met with Trees of Life and ol ${ }^{\circ}$ Knowledge; talking and conversable Snakes;

Ingue rei sigmum Serpentem serpere jussum;
he would want no other proots that it was an. Allegory he was reading, and intemeded to he buderstond as suels. Nor, mpposing him comersant winh Oriental works of any thing like the sime antipuity, eonld it surprise: him to find events of tme history in conexion with, or historiral prisomages among the Actors and Interiositurs of, the Parable. In the tem-
language of Eigypt tho Serpent was the syablal of the Vmbernanding in its twofuhl finction, numely, as the faculty of means to prorimate or medial couls, malogous to the instinct of the more intelligent Animals, Ant, Brer, Buncra, \&r., and opposed to the practicnl Reason, as the Determinant of the ultimate Eind; and again it typities the moderatanding as the diweursive and logival l'aculty ponsessed individanlly by ench Individunl-the Looos is ixuson, in distinetion frons the Novs i. e. Intuitive Reason, the smurce of Idens and arsolute 'Truthes, nul the Principle of the Necessary and the Univereal in our Aflirmationsmal Conclusions. Without, or in contra-vention to, the Reuson (i. e. "the spiritual minu" of St. Paul, and the Light that lightelh every man"of St. Johin) this Understanding (rpourpua fupxos, or carnal mind) lecomes tho sophistic Principle, tho wily Tempter to Evil by counterfeit Gool; the Pander and Advarnte of thas Passions and Appetites; ever in league with, and always first applying to, the Desire, as the inferior nature in Man, the Woman in our Humanity; and through the Desiafe prevailing on tha Will (the Manhood, Virtus) ngainst the command of the Univernal Reason, and ngainst the Light of Renson in the What itself. N. Ib. This essentin! inherence of an intelligential Prineiple (poos sorpor) in the Will ("pan bidirixi) or rather the Will itself thus considered, the Greeks expressed ly an npproprinte word (fovin). This, but little differing from Origin's interpretution or hyputhesis, is supported and confirmed by the very oll 'Tralition of the Homo androgymus, i. e. that the original Man, the Indivilual first ereated, was bi-sexual: a chimarn, of which and of many other mythological truditions the most prohable exphanation is, that they were originally symbolical Clyphs or Sculptures, and atlerwards translated into toords, yet literally, i. e. into the comumon names of the several Figures and Innges composing the Symbol, while the symbolic meaning was left to le decyphered as before, and sucred to the initiate. As to the alstruseness mal subtlety of the Cenceptions, this is so far from being an objection to this oldest Gloss on this veneralle Relic of Shemitic, not impossibly antediluvian, Plilosophy, that to those who have carried their researches farthest back into Greek, Egyptian, Persian, and Indian Antiquity, it will seem a strong confirnation. Or if I chose to address the sceptic in the language of the day, 1 might remind him, that as Alchemy what before Chenistry, and Antrology before Astronomy, so in all countries of civiliaed Man have Metupliysics outrun Common Sense. Fortunately for us that they have so! For from all we know of the unmetaphysical tribea of New Ilolland and elsewhere, a Common Sanse not preceded by Metaphysies is no very enviable concern. O be not chented, my youthfil Reader, by this shallow jrate! The creed of true Common Sense is composed of the Results of scientific Meditation, Ohservation, and Experiment, as far as they are generally intelligible. It diflers therefore in different comutries and in every diffirent age of the same Country. The Common Sense of a People is the moveable index of its average judgment and in-
the Undrextmining in th prorinute or mertinal met Animule, Ant, Bere, the Determinume of the g an the dimeursive mid dunl-the loooes iv ixumithe Source ot Idcus eswary and the Universal n contra-vention to, the I the Light that lighteth nupoos, or carmal minal) to Hivil by counterfist and Appetites ; ever in e, as the inferior nuture the Destre prevailing umaul of the Univemal JuL itself. N. B. This (pors roupar) in the Will ilered, the Greekn exlittle differing from Ori1 confirmed by tho very original Man, the Indiwhich and of many other tion is, that they were lerwaris tranklated into tha weveral Figures and ; meaning was left to lo As to the alstruseness $m$ being an objection to tic, not impossibly unteied their researclies farndian Anticuity, it will dress the sceptic in the s Alchemy wh.at lefore a all countries of eivili1se. Fortumately for us o unmetaphysical tribes not preceded by Metaented, my youthfid Reamumon Senso is compovation, and Experiment, os therefore in different dountry. The Comunon erage judgment und in-

## notres.

345
firmation. Without Metnplysics science could have luil no Iangnage, nud Common Nenese no materials.
Sint to return to my suljeet. It cunnot he inpugned, that the Momaic: Narrative thas interpreted givea a junt and linithtill exposition of the hirth and parentuge and anccessive moments of phrmamenal Sin (leecontum phirnomenon: Crimen primariun et commune), that in, of $\operatorname{Sin}$ ne it reveule itrelf in time, nud is an inmediate Olject of Conscionsuran. And in this sense mont truly ilves the Apostle nswert, that in Adam we all fell. Tho first human Simer is the adequate Representutive of all his Sinceessons. And with no lese truth nay it be said, that it is the same Ailum that fills in eve $y$ man, and from the wamo reluctance to abandon the too dear and nudivorcenble Eve : aud the amme Eive tempted hy the same serpentine und perverted Understunding which, framed originally to be the Interpreter of the Renson and the ministering Angel of the Spirit, is henceforth sentenced and lxumd over to the service of the Animal Nature, its nerds and its cravinge, dependent on the senses for nll its materials, with the World of Senee for its nppointed Sphere; "Upon thy belly slalt thou go, aud dust shant thou eat all the days of thy life." 1 have shown elsewhere, that as the Instinet of the mere intelligence differs in degree not in kind, and circumstantially, not essentially, from the Vis Vite, or Vital I'ower in the assimilative and digestive fimetions of the Stomarha and other orgnus of Nutrition, that even so the Understanding, in itself and distinct from the Reason and Conscience, diffirs in degree only from the Instinet in tho Animal. It is still but "a beast of the field," thongh "more sultele thin uny least of the fiehl," and therefiro in its corruption and perversion "cursed alove any"-a pregnant Word! of which, if the Realer wants an exposition or paraphrnse, he may find one more than two thousand yeurs old anong the fragments of the l'oet Mennaler. (See Cumberlaut's Ohserver No. CL. vol. iii. p. 289, 200.) This is the Unelerstanding which in its "every Thourht" is to be brought "under obedience to Faith;" which it can searcely fail to he, if only it be first suljected to the Reman, of which spiritual Faith is even the Blossoming and the fructifying process. For it is indifferent whether I nay that Faith is the interpenetration of the Rearon and the Will, or that it is at onee the Assurance and the Commeneement of the approaching Union letween the Reason and the Intelligible Realities, the Living and Substantial Truths, that are even in this life its nurst proper Objects.
I have thus put the reader in possession of my own opinions respecting


 hoth? Why not at once Symbol and History? or rather how shouldit lous onherwiee? Must not of necessity the fanst man be a Symana of Mankina, in the fullest force of the word, Symbol, rightly defined-vir.. . symbino is a sign included in the Idea which it represents: ex. gr. an actual part chosen to
represent the whole, as a lip with a chin promsinemt is a Byminal of Man: or "t lower firm or nomeries wed as the representative of in highor in the name kind: hy whish definition the Symbulient is diatinguishad moto genere
 mal allegorienal or typirnl applientime, are incomputible with inspircel sicripture! The writings of sto Pbul ure millicient proof of the contrary. Sint I readily arkmowledge, that aligegrical "pplications are one thing, mid ullegorient inderpretution another: and that whre there is no ground fir silp. jusing such a nelise to linve entered into the intent and purfore of the sucred l'emana, they ave not to be communded. So fir, imdeed, win Ifon entertaining miy predibection for them, or any favomable opinion of the
 derived, that in earrying it us firr as our own church has cauried it, I fillow her juilgment and not my own. But in thes first place, I know but omo other part of the Seripures nut anivensally held to ln - paraholient, which, not without the amertion of great nuthorities I am dimposed to regarh as an A pologue or Parmble, bunuely, the Book of Joman; the reasons for lelieving tho Jewish Nation reolertively tu he therein inmensonated, seeming to ae munswemalle. (See the Appendix to tho Statesman's Mamal, Note) 13.) Scomully, as to chupters now in question-thut such interprotution is It henst tolemated hy our charelh, 1 have the word an me of her most Zenlons Chumpions. And lastly, it is ny delibernte und consciemtions convictiom, that the proofs of suef having been the intention of the inspired Writer or Compiler of the book of Genesis, lie on the face of the Nurrative itself:
[The curious reader may find a similar view of this subject in llenry Move's "Philosophical Cablala" in his l'hilosophical Works See ulso notes 33 and 51.-Am. LLL]
[6\%] p. 161.
This sense of the word is implied even in its metaphorical or figurative nse. Thas we may say of a River that it origimetes insuch or sumb a four-
 l'ower which we call moture, may he thas defined: "lower sulyeet to the Law of Continuity ( Lax Conlinui.-In Nulurí non dutur Sillus,) which law the human Dumbentanding, ly a necessity arising out ol its own constintion, cun conceive only under the form of Canse and Effect. That this form (or law) of Couse and laliert is (relatively to the World uilhout, or to Things as they sulvist independently of our pereeptions) only a form or monde of thinking; that it is alaw inherent in the Duderstandiag itself
 seope inheres in (i. c. misults frome) the merharisth of the kaleidoseroms
 eveption dircetly to any operation of Nature. For in this rase we are for-

Is a Kymino of Mus vo of' is highor in the minguislarl toto genere s, purublen, allegorien, Io witl ingpired Sirripof the conitrary, Y'it re one thing, mint ulleis no gronmil fir millit mul purpose of tho bir, inmeed, min Ifrom suralile opinion of the whom the finshion was lusearried it, I follow place, I know but onso Ine parnisolieul, which, linposed to regord am ath the reasons fior lieliev fersonuterl, sereming to texnmin's Munnul, Noto at such interprectution is f me of her most Zenlal conscientions convictention of the inspired a tho faco of the Narra-
f thim sulject in Ilenry hical Works See also
nettulıorical or figurative cs insuch or such a formla or atcha a River. 'Ila d: alower suliject to the ndetur Sallus, which law - out ol its own constitue and Effect. Thant this o the World ivilhout, or to perceptions) ouly a form the I'mlerstmading itsoll arts seen by the kuleiderism of the kaleidoseope: inpt to tpuly the pre-eonor in this fase we are for -

 liy the torme Aetion bual Re-nction; bit fir whirh tho term Reciprorai Action or the Iaw of Reripropity (germamice Weelowlwirknog) womlil ins hoth inore aremorate mid more expressive.
'I'hene aro trithes which sum maredy lus too firequently inpreswed on tho Mind that is in uarnost in tho winh to refled nright. Nuture in a line int cunmant and continnons avolution. Ite begiming is lont in tho singer-naturul: nod for our muleratanding, theretiore; it must appor as a continmons line widhont begriming or end. IBnt where there in un diseontinnity thore can le no originution, mul every "plearmace of origination in Nithere is but In whulow of our own casting. It is n roflection from our own $W^{\prime}$ ill or Spirit. Herion, imbeerl, the Will consints. 'I'his is the essentind character ly which witi, is opposed to Nature, as Spirit, and mised ubore Nuture ns self-dedermining Spirit-this, nanely, that it is a power of originating an act or stato.
A young friond or, as he was pleased to describe himself; a pupil of mine, who is brginning to leatu to think, naked me to oxpluin by an instance what is meant ly "originuting an act or state." My answor was-'This borning I awokn with a lull puin, which I knew firon experienea the gotting ul wonld renowe; and yet by adding to the drowsinems and liy weakening or deprossing the volition (vohentas sensorialis seu mechanica) blo very pain suaned to hold me back, to fix mo (ins it were) to the bed. After a peovish ineflectunl quarrel with this painful disinelination, I said to myself: let me rount twenty, and tho moment I come to nineteen will lenp out of hed. So said and so done. Now should you ever find yourself in the same or in in similar state, and slombl attend to the Goingson within you, you will learn what I nean by originating an act. At the nanc tine you will see that it lelongs exclusively to the Will (arbitrium) flant there is nothing mulogons to eit in outward experiences ; and that I himl, therefore, no way of explaining it but by referring you to an Acl of your own, und to the peculiar self-consciousness preceding and necompanying it. As we know what Life is by Being, so we know what Will is hy Acting. That in willing (replied iny young friend) wo appear to oursolves to constitnte an actual Beginning, and that this seems unique, and withont any example in our sensible experience, or in tho phenomena of Nature, is an undeniablo focl. But nuy it not lo an illusion arising from our ignorance of the antecedent crnses? Yon may suppose this (I rejoined) that the sonl of every mum shonld impuse a Lie on itsclf; and that this Lie, mud the acting on the faith of its being the most inportant of all trutbs ant the most real of all realities, should torm the main eontra-distinctive chararter of Ilmmanty, and the mily hasis of that distimetion betweon 'lhings and Persons on which our whole momal mad criminal law is gromeled-

or geometrical proposition, render it impossible for you to suppose it. Whedury yon ean reconcile such a supposition with the belief of an All-wire Creator, is mother question. But taken singly, it is doubtess in your power to suppose this. Were it not, the belief of the contrury would be no suliject of a Command, no part of a moral or religions Duty. You wotld not, however, suppose it without a reason. But all the pretexts that ever have heen or ever can be afforded for this supposition, are huilt on certain Votions of the Understanding thathave been generalized from Conceptions ; which conceptions, again, are thenselves generalized or alsatracted from objects of Sense. Neither the one or the other, therefore, have any force exeept in application to objects of Sense and within the spluere of sensilie Experience. What but absurdity eun follow, if you decide on Spirit hy the laws of Matter? If you jindge that which, if it be at all, must be super-sensual, ly that fuculty of your mind, the very definition of which is "the Faculty judging according to Sense?" These then are unworthy the nane of rectsons: they ure only pretexts. But withon't reason to contradict your own Consciousness in defiance of your own Conscience, is contrary to Reason. Such and such Writers, you say, have made a great sensation. If so, I am sorry for it; but the fact I take to be this. From a variety of causes the moro austere Sciences have fallen into diseredit, and Impostors have taken advantage of the general iguorance to give a sort of nysterions und temific importance to a parcel of trashy Sophistry, the authors of which would not have employed themselves more irrationally in submitting the works of Rafael or Titian to Canons of Criticism deduced from the Sense of Smell. Nay, less so. For here tho Oljeets and the Organs are only dispuarate : while in the other case they are absolutely diverse. I conclude this note by reminding the reader, that my first olject is to make myself understood. When he is in full possession of ny meaning, then let him consider whether it deserves to be received ras the truth.
Had it been my immediate purpose to make him believe me as well as understand me, I should have thought it necessary to warn him that a finite Will does indeed originate an act, und may originate a strte of being; lant yet only in and for the Agent himself. A finite Will constitudes a true Beginning; but with regard to the series of motions and changes by which the free net is manifested and made effectual, the finite Will gives a hegiming only by co-incidence with that absolute Wime, which is at the sume time Infmite Powsa! Such is the language of Religion, and of Philosophy too in the lust instance. But I express the sume truth in ordinary languge when I say, that in finite Will, or the Will of a finite Freeagent, aets outwardly by confluence with the Laws of Nature.
[See notes 29, 43, and 59.-As. En.]

## NOTES.

to silplose it. Whe belief of an All-wise loubrless in your powcontrury would be no us Duty. You would the protexts that ever m, are built on certain ized from Conceptions ; ed or abstracted from erefore, have any force in the sphere of sensif you lucide on Spirít if it be nt all, must le ry definition of which ese then are unworthy withon't reason to conour own Conscience, is say, have mado a great take to be this. From we fallen into discredit, wal ignorance to give a reel of trashy Sophistry, emselves more irrationto Canons of Criticism o. For here the Objects ther case they are absothe reader, that my first in full possession of niy ves to be received fat the
him believe me as well as ury to warn him that a originate ustate of being; ite Will constiudes a true motions and clanges by al, the finite Will gives a lute Wilu, which is at the age of Religion, and of cess the simme truth in orthe Will of a finite Freeaws of Nature.
[68] p. 164.
Jt may emuluce to the readier comprehension of thes point if I say, that the Equivolue consists in fonfounding the almost techmical Sense of the .Nom Substrutive, Right (a sense most often deternined ly the genitive ense following, us the Right of Property, the Right of Hushands to cluttise their Wives, and so forth) with the popular sense of the Aljective, right: though this likewise has, if not a double sense, yet a double appliention - The first, when it is used to express the fitness of a mean to a relntive Find, ex. gr. "the right way to obtain the right distance at which a Pieture should be examined," \&c.; nind the other, when it expresses a perfect conformity and commensurateness with the immutable Ilen of Equity, or perfect Rectitude. Hence the elose eonnexion between the words, rightcousness und godliness, i. c. goullikeness.
I should be tempted to subjoin a few words on a predominating doctrine closely connected with the present argument-the Pal: $: n$ Principle of Genifal Consequences; but the inadequacy of this Principle, as a criterion of Right and Wrong, and nloove all its utter unfitness as a Noral Guide, have heen elsewhere so fully stated (Friend, vol. ii. p. 21(0-240), that even in again referring to the suliject, I must shelter myself under Seneca's rule, that what we canuot too frequently think of, we canmot too often be inde to recollect. It is, however, of immediate importance to the point in discussion, that the Realer should be made to see how altogether incompatible the principle of judging by general consequences is with the Idea of an Eternal, Ommipresent and Omniscient Being! that he shoulh he made aware of the absurdity of attributing any form of Generalization to the all-perfect Mind. To gencralize is a faculty and function of the Muman Uuderstanding, and from its imperfection and limitation are the uso and the necessity of generalizing derived. Generalization is a Sulstituto for Intuition, for the Power of intuitive (that is, immediate) knowledge. As a Sulstitute, it is a gift of inestimulle Vilue to a finite Intelligener, such as Man in his present state is endowed with and eapable of exercising ; but yet a Substitute only, and an imperfect one to bool. To attribute it to God is the grossest Anthropomorjhism: aud grosser instunces of Antimpomorphism than are to be found in the controversinl writings on Original Sin and Vicarious Satisfaction, the Records of Superstition do not supply.
[Seo note 23.-An. Ed.]
[69] p. 167.
Availing himself of the equivoenl sense, and (I most rendily admit) the iujudicions use, of the word "free" in the-even on this acenunt-faully plirnse, "free only to sin," Jeremy Thylor treats the notion of a power it the Will of determining itself to evil without an equal power of determin-
ing itself to Goond, as a "foolery," I would this had been the only inptance in his "Deus Justificatus" of that incomsiderate contenpt so frequent in the polemic treatises of miner Diviars, who will have Ifleas of Reason, Spiritual 'Truths that can ouly be spiritually discerned, transhated for them into alequate conceptions of the Understanding. The great articles of Corruption und Redemption are propounded to us as Spiritual Mysteries; and every interpretation, that pretends to explain them into comprehensible notions, thes by its very success firnish presumptive proof of its failure. The acutences mul logienl dexterity, with which Taylor has brought out the fulsehood or semblance of falsehood in the Calvinistic selieme, are truly alnuruble. Had he next concentered his thoughts in tranquil meditution, and asked himself: What then is the truth? If a Will be at all, what must a will be!-he might, I think, luve seen that a Nature in a Will implies alreally a Corruption of that Will ; that a Nature is ns inconsistent with fredom, as free choice with an incapacity of choosiug aught hut evil. And lustly, a free power in a Nature to fillfil a Lav above Nature!-I, who love aud honour this good and great man with all the reverence that emi dwell "on this side idolatry," dare not retort on this assertion the charge of Voolery; but I find it a parndox us startling to my Rerson us any of the hurd sayings of the Dorp Divines were to his Uuderstanding.
s. T. C.
[Sce notes 29 and 45.-Am. Ed.]
[70] p. $17 \%$.
For a specimen of these Rallinical Dotages I refer, not to the wrilings of Mystics and enthnsinsts, but to the shrewil nul wity Dr. South, one of whose most clalorate Sermons stands prominent among the many splendial extravaganzas of this sulijert.
[71] p. 180.
A Ienmed Order must be supposed to consist of three Classes. First, those who are employed in miding to the existing Sum of Power nnd Knowledge. Secoml, anl most numerons Class, those whose office it is to liffise through the community int large the practicul Results of Science und that kiul and degree of knowledge and cultivation, which for all is requisite or clearly uscful. Third, the Formers and Instructers of the Second-in Schools, Halls and Viniversities, or through the mediam of the Press. The second Cluss inclules not ouly the Parochial Clergy, and all others duly ordained to the Ministerind Office; but likewise all the Memhers of the I,rgal and Medical Professions, who have received a leamed oducation under accredited and respousible Teachers.
and been the only inrate contenpt so frevho will have Ideas of ly diseerned, transluted meling. The great artito usas Spiritual Mysexplain them into comshi presumptive jroof of with which Taylor has hood in tho Calvinistic ntered his thoughts in hen is tho truth? If a think, luve seen that a hat Will; that a Nature 1 an incapacity of ehooa Nature to fulfil a Law 1 and great man with all ," dare not retort on this rudox as startling to my vines were to his UuderS. T. C.

I refer, not to the wriwil and witty Dr. Sonth, minent among the many
t of three Classes. First, sting Sum of Power and s, those whose office it is actienl Results of Science litivition, whieh for all is rw and Instrueters of the hrough the medium of the Parochial Clergy, and all but likewise all the Memo have received a learned ichers.
[72] p. 181.
Tho Auther of the Statesman's Maneal minat be the most Incomosintent of men, if ho cun be justly smspected of a loming to the Ronish Churell: or if it be nereswary for him to repeat his fervent Amen to the Wish and lrayer of our late goul old King, that every adalt in the British Empire shombld be allo to read his Bible, and have a Bible to read! Nevertheless, it miny not be supertluons th declare, that in thes protesting agninst the licence of private interpretation, the Editur does not mean to condemn the exercise or deny the right of individual judgment. Ile condenms only the pretended right of every Individua!, competent and incompetent, to interpret Scripture in a sense of his own, in opposition to. the jndgment of the Clurch, withont knowledge of the Originuls or of the Languages, the History, Customs, Opinions and Condoversien of the Age and Comintry in which they were written; and where the linerpreter julges in ignormee or in comempt of uninterrupted 'Trudition, the unanimous Consent of Futhers and Comeils, and the univeran Finth of the Churel in all uges. It is not the attempt to form a judgneent, wheh is here called in question; but the grounds, or rather the no-gromeds, on which the judgunnt is formed and relied on-the self-ivilled and separntive (schismatic) Setting-up (haresis). See note 13.

My fixed Priuciple is: that a Cumemanity witnocta Cneach exerlcising Spimitualaurhoaity is Vanity and Dissomemon. And my beliff Is, that when Popery is rusling in on us like in inmulation, the Nation will find it to be so. I say Popery; for this too I hold for a delusion, that Romunism or Roman Catholicism is separable from L'opery. Amost is readily could 1 suppose a Circle withont a Centre.
[If the uuthor nevens in the last parngraph, a clurch cstablishment and its atteurlant nuthority, the experience of this comitry will be thonght, hy most Christians here, to furnish a suthicient answer--Am. Ed.]

## [73] p. 187.

To escape the consequeures of this scheme, some Arminian Divines have asserted that the penalty inflicted on Alam and continued in his posterity was simply the loss of immortality, Death as the utter extinction of personal Being: inmortality being regurded by them (and not, I thiuk, without good reason) as a super-natural attribute, mud its loss therefore involved in the forfeiture of super-natural graces. This theory has its goldon side : and as a private opinion, is said to have the conntemmee of more than one Dignitary of our Chureh, whose general orthodoxy is beyond impeaehment. For here the Penalty resolves itself into the Consepuence, and this the natural and (nuturally) inevitablo Consequence of Adam's Crime. For Adhm, indeed, it was a posifive punishnent: a punishmpnt of his guilt, the justice of whieh who could have dared arraign? White
for the Olkiputng of Alam it was simply a not superalding to their matur: the privilege by which the Original Man was contra-distingnished from the brito eration-a mere negation, of which they hat no more right to comphain than my other speceics of Animets. God in this view appears only in his Attribute of Mercy, us averting by supematural interposition a roinseyuence nuturally inevituble. 'This is the golden side of the Theory. But if we approach to it from the opposite direetion, it first excites a just sernple from the countenance it seems to give to the doctrine of Materialism. The supporters of this Scheme do not, I presume, contenl, that Adam's Otlipring would not lave been born Men, but have formed a new species of Beasts? And if not, the notion of a rutional and self-conseious Soul, perishing utterly with the dissolution of the organized Boly, seems Tequire, nuy, almost involves, the opinion that the soul is a quality or Accident of the Body-a mero harmony resulting from Organization.
But let this pass unquestioned! Whatever else the Descendants of Adan might have heen without the intereession of Christ, yet (this intercession laving been effoctually male) they aro now endowed with Souls that are not extinguished together with the material body. Now onless these Divines tench likewiso the Romish figment of l'urgatory, and to an extent In whieh the Chureh of Rone herself wonld denounce the doctrine as an impious heresy: moless they hold, that a punishment temporary and remedial is the worst evil that die Impenitent havo to apprehend in a Future State; and that the spiritual Death declared and foretold by Christ, "the Death Eternal where the Worm never dies," is neither Death nor etermal, but a certain quantum of Sullering in a state of faith, hope, and progressive umendment-uuless they go these lengths (and the Divines here intended are orthodox Churchmen, men who would not knowingly alvance even a step on the roal towards them)-then I fear, that any advantage, their theory might possess over the Calvinistic Sehente in the article of Original Sin, would be dearly purchased by increased diffieulties and un ultru-Calvinistic narrowness in the article of Redemption. I at lenst find it impossible, with my present human feelings, not to innagine otherwise, than that even ia heaven it would be a fearful thing to know, that in orler to my elevation to a lot infinitely more desirable than by nature it if hen to mast a multitude had been renlered infinitely more calamitous; and that my felicity had been purchased by the evertusting misery of the wajority of my fellow-men, who, if no redemption had heen provided, after inheriting the pains and pleasures of earthly existence during the numbered hours, and the few and evil-civil yet feodays of the years of their mortal life, would have fallen asleep to wake no more, would have bunk into the dreamless sleep of the Grave, and have been as the murmur, and the plaint, and the exulting swoll, and the sharlp seream, which the unerpal Gust of Yesterday nnatched from the strings of a Wind-Harp!
radding to their natine -distinguished from tho had no more right to I in this view appleurs rnatural interposition a len side of the Theory. n, it first excites a just te doctrine of Materialsume, contend, that Adbut have formed a now tional and self-conscions organized Borly, scems the soul is a guality or from Organization.
the Descendants of AdChrist, yet (this interces endowed with Souls that ody. Now unless these rgatory, and to an extent houneo the doctrine as an ment temporary and reto apprehend in a Futuro I foretold by Christ, "tho aeither Death nor etormal, faith, hope, and progresfund the Divines here ind not knowingly advanco fear, that any advantage, Scheme in the article of reased dificulties and an denption. I at lenst find not to imagine ctherwise, cling to know, that in oresiruble than by nature it e liad been rendered infiad been purchased by the -men, who, if no redenp)is and pleasures of earthly $w$ and evil-cvil yet fenoa tallen aslecp to wake no p) of the Grave, and have olting swell, and the shary tatched from the strings of

NOTES.
333
In another place I have ventured to puestion the apirit and temenery of J.'Taylor's Work on Repentance. But I ought to huve anded, that to discover and keep the tme medinm in expounding and mplying the Efficary of Clirist's Cross and Passion, is leyond rompure the most dillicult mad delicate point of Practical Divinit!-and that which especinlly needs "a guidance from above."

## [74] !. 190.

St. Paul blends both forms of expression, and nsserts the sume doetrine when speaking of the "celestinl body" provided for "the New Man" in the spiritual Flesh and Blood, (i. e. the informing power und vivific life of the incarnate Word: for the Blood is the Life, and the Flesh the Power)when speaking, I suy, of this "celestiul body," as an "house not made with hands, etcrnal in the heavens," yet brought down to as, made approprialile by faith, and ours-he adds: "For in this eurthly house (i. e. this mortal life, as the inward prineiple or energy of our Tahernacle, or outward and sensible lboxly) we grom, earnestly desiring to he clothed upon with our house which is from heaven: not that we would be unclothed, but clothed upon, that Mortality might he swallowed up of life." 2 Cor. v. 1-4.

The four lnst words of the first verso (eternal in the heavens) comprared with the conclusion of $\mathbf{v .} 2$ (achich is from heaven), present in cüincidenec with John iii. v. 13, "And no mun hath ascended up, to heaven but he that came down from heaven, even the Son of Man which is in heaven." [Qy. Whether the cëneidence would not be more apparent, if the words of Jolin had been rendered word for word, even to a disregard of the English Idiom, and with what would be servile and sunnerstitions filelizy m the translation of a common classic? l can see no reasin why the urders. so frequent in St. John, should not be rendered literally, no one; and there may be a reason why it should. I have some doubt likewise respecting
 8 ar, both in this place and in John i. v. 18, being adequately rendered hy our "vohich is." P. S. What sense some of the Greek Fathers attached tu, or inferred from, St. I'aul's "in the Heavens," the Theological Student (anl to Theologians is this note principally addressed) mny find in Waterannd's Letters to a Country Clergyman-a Divine, whose Juigmeut and strong sound sense are as unguestionable as his Learning and Orthodoxy. A Clergyman in full Orders, who has never read the works of Bull and Waterland, has-a duty yet to perform.]

Let it not be objected, that forgetfil of my own professed aversion to allegorical interpretations (sec p. 1il) I have in this note fallen into "the fond humour of tho Mystic Divinesand Allegorizers of Holy Writ." There is, lelieve me! a vide difference between symbolical and allegorical. If 1 say, that the Flesh and Blood (Corpus noumenon) of the Incarnate Word is I'ower and Life, I say likewise that this mysterions l'ower and Life are
werily aud actunally the I'lesh and Blood of Christ. They are tho Allegorirers, who turn the fith e. of the Gospel according to St. John-the hard saying-utho can hear it? . Ifer which time many of (Christ's) Disciples, who had been cye-witnesses of his mighty Mirneles, who had heurd the sublime Norality of his Sermon on the Momin, had glorified Giod for the Wisdon which they lud heard, and had been prepared to acknowledge, "this is indeed the Christ"-went lack and walked no more with him!the hard suying, which even tirs 'lweses were not yet empetent to unIlerstund firther than that they were to be spiritually understood; and which the Chief of the Ajustles was content to receive with an inuplicit and unticipativa hinth!-they, I repeat, we the Allegorizers who moralizo these hard sayings, these high worls of Mystery, into an hyperbolical Meuphor per Catachresin, that only means a belief of the Doctrines which Punl believed, an obedience to the Law respecting which P'uul "was blameless," before the Voice culled him on the road to Damascus! What every larent, every humane Preceptor, would do when a Child had misunderstood a Netaphor or Apologue in a literal sense, we all know. But the meek and nerciful Jesus suffered mamy of us Disciples to full off from eterma life, when to retain them he had only to siy-O ye simple omes! why are ye offended? My wordsindeed sound strange: but I mean no more than what you have often aud often hearl from me before with delight and cutire arguiescence!--Credat Judens! Non ego. It is sulficient for me to know that I have used the langunge of Puul and Jolin as it was understood and interpreted by Justin Martyr, 'Tertullian, Irenaws, and (if ho does not lie) by tho whole Cliristian Chureh then existing.
[75] p. 192.
[In his hitmary Liff, vol. 1. ©. 12, the Author has distinguinhed tuanscendental and transcembent, necording to tho scholastic nse of then. In philosophical enquiries, that is transeendental, which hies beneath, or, is it were behind our ordinury conseiousiness, hut of which we become rouscions ly a voluntary effort of self-inspection. That is transcendent, which is out of the rench of all thought and self-conseiousness, and camor, therefore, hecone an olject of knowleige-and a transcendent panse is a cause, the knowledge of which as it is in itself, lies beyond the reach of all our cognitive facultics.- Iu. Eid.]
[76] p. 193.
This word occurs but once in the New Testament, viz. Rommens. I1, the marginal rendering leing, reconciliation. The pensonal Nom, xatcul$\lambda_{1} \times x$ ris is still in use with the modern Greeks for a money-changer, or one who takes the debased Currency, so general in countries under despotic or other dishonest governmente, in exchange for sterling Coin or Bullion; the purchaser paying the cutollage, i. c. the difference. In the elder
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ment, viz. Romuns v. II, he: personal Nom, xactcia moncy-changer, or one countries under despotic sterling Coin or Bullion; ifference. In the eldet

NOTFS.
$3: 3$

 ship (that in, he reconciled himself) with his Party-or as wo say, moule it ip with them, an idiom which (with whatever loss of elignity) gives the exact foree of the worl. IIe made up the difference. The Itelorew word of very frequent oceurrence in the Yentateuch, which we roniler loy the subtrative, ntonemont, has its rndical or visual innage, in copher, pitch. Gen. vi. 14. thou shall pitch it withius and without with pitch. Hence, to unite, to fill up $n$ brench, or leak, the word expressing both the act viz. the bringing ogether what hal heen previonsly separutel, and the means, or material, by whieh the re-minion is efferted, as in our Finglish verls, to caulk, to solder, to poy or pay (from poix, pitch), nud the Frencls suiver. Thence metaphorically, expiation, the piacula having the same root, aul being grounded on another property or uso of Gums and Rosins, the supposed cleansing powers of their fimigation. Numbers viii. 21: "rnade atonenent for the Ievites to cleanse them."-Lastly, (or if we are to believe the IIebrew Lexicons, properly and most frequently) Ransom. but if by proper the Interpreters menn primary and radical, the assertion does not need a confutation: all radicals lielonging to one or other of threc classes. 1. Interjections, or sounds expressing semsatious or passions. 2. Imitations of sounds as splash, rour, whiz, \&c. 3. and principaly, visunl imnges, objects of sight. But as to frequency, in all the numerous (fifty, I helieve) instanres of tho worl in the Old Testament, I huve not found one in which it enn, or at least need, be rendered by Ransom: though beyond all douht Ransom is used in the Epistle to Timothy, ns an equivalent term.
[77] p. 199.
On a subject, concerning which we have so decp an interest in furming just and distinet ennceptions, no serious Inquirer after religious truth; much less any man dedicated to his pursuit, rind who ouglit to be able to declare with the Psalmist, it is "more desiruble to me than thousands of goll and silver: therefore do I hate every false way;" will blame my soliritude to place a notion, which I regard not only as a misbelief, but as a main source of unbelici-at all events, among the most frequent and plausible pretexts of Infidelity-in all the various points of view, from which this or that Realer mny more remily see, and see into, its falsity. I make therefore 110 apology for adding one other illustration of the whimsical Iagic by whieh it is supported, in an Incident of recent ocearrenee, which will at the same time furnish an instance in proof of the contrariety of he Notion itself to the first and most obvious principles of mornlity, and how spontancously Common Sense starts forwurl, as it were, to repel it.
Let it be imagined, that the late Mr. Fanntleroy had, in complinnee with the numerous petitions in his hehalf, reccived a pardon-that soon after some other Individual had bcen tried and convicted of forging a note for
a lhunired Pound-that on npplication made for tho extension of mercy to the culprit it should be deelared that in a commercial country like this it was eontrary to all Justice to grant a pardon to a man convicted of For-gery-and that in invalidation of this dictum, the applieants having quoted, us they naturally would quote, the easo of Mr. Fsuntleroy, the llome Secretary shoull! reply, yen! but Mr. Fanntleroy forged to the amount of Two Humired Thousund Pound!-Now it is plain, that tho Logic of this refly would remain the same, if insteud of comparative Criminality I had mupposed a case of comparativo Purity from Crime: and when the Reader has settled with hinself, what he would think of such Logie, and ly what name he woull describe it, let him peruse the following extract :

## [From Ballwin's London Weekly Journal, Saturday Dec. 4, 1821.] <br> MANSION IIOUSE.

Monsieur Edmund Angelini, Profemsor of the Languages, and la morale, whose fracas with the Austrian Ambassador was reported on Wedneeday, caue beforo the Lord Mayor, and presented his Lordship with a Petition, of whieh the fellowing is a translation :-
"My lord-He who has violated the law ought :o periah by the eworl of justice. Monsieur Fauntleroy ought to perish ly the sword of justice. If another takes his place, I think that justice ought to be satisfied. I devote myself for him. I take upon inyself his crime, and I wish to die to save him.

> (Signed)

## Edmond Anecrini, of Venice."

18 Ossulston-atreet, Bomers-town.
pplicntion; and Mr.
The Lord Mayor expressed his surprise at the nip that the life of an Angelini was informed that it was contrary tha of one who was guilty, even innocent pers man chose to devote himself.
Angelini exelaimed that our Saviour died as an ntonement for the sins of the guilty, and that he dil not see why he should not be allowed to do so.
But in answer to this, donbts were expressed whether Monsiour Angelini was sufticiently pure to satisfy justice.

The Reader is now, I trust, convinced, that though the Case put ly me, introductory to this extract; was imaginury, the Logic was not of my invention. It is contrayy to all Justice, that an innocent person shonld be sacrificed, \&c. \&se.; but a person altogethen innocent-Aye! that is a different quicstion!
[78] p. 205.
Which it could not br, in respect of spiritual truthe and oljects super-
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## Notes.

337
sensuons, if it were the same with, and merely another name fir, "the F's-
 most athen calls it in distinction from Reasmen) Discourse (Digenrsma sou fit rultas discursive vel discursoria). N. B. The Reason, so instricted mal mo artumed as 'Taylor requires in the sentences inmediately following, is whe I have culleal tho Spirit. Vido p. 137-1ise.

## [79] p. 212.

I trust, that my Ags will exompt me from the cliarge of presmmption, when I avow, that the forty lines here following ure retained as a specimen of accumulative reason, nul as an Exercise, on which my supposenl linioil may try mil prurtice the power of sustaining the attention np the whole ascent of a "piled Argument." 'The toost magnificent Exmmple of a Sorites in mor-perhaps in any-Language, tho Render may find in the Fraenn, vol, ii. 1. 157, transcribed from J. 'Tnyler's Dissuasive from Popery.

$$
[80] \text { p. } 214 .
$$

I say, all: for the accounts of one or two travelling French Philosophes, professed Alheists ant Partizans of Infilelity, respecting one or two African Hordes, Caffres and pour ontlawed Boselimen hunted out of their hurmanity, ought mot to be regarled us exceptions. Aul as to Hearne's Assertion respecting the non-existmece zal rejection of the Belief anong the Copper-Indiuns, it is not only hazariled on very weak and insufficient groumls, but he hinself, in another part of his work, unconsciously supplies data, from whence the coutrury may safely be comelhalen. Hearno perhaps, put down his friemd Motanmabis's Fort-philosophy for the opinion of his tribe; and from his high appreciation of the moral elharacter of this murierons Gymnosophist it might, I fear, he inferred, that Hearne himself was not the very person one would, of allothers, have chosen for the purpose of instituting the ingui:y.
[81] p. 216.
The ease here supposed actually occurred in my own experience in the person of a Spanish Refugee, of English Parents, but from his tenth year resident in Spain, and bred in a fumily of wealthy but ignorant and higotted Catholies. In mature munhood ho returned to Faghand, ilisgusted with the comduct of the IPriests and Monks, which had indeed for some years proluced on his mind its an common efleet amoug the better informenl Natives of the South of Eurene-a tendency to Drism. The results, however, of the infulel system in France, with his opportunities of obsurring the effects of irreligion on the Freach offirem in Spain, on the ume hand; and the molecainble moral and intellectual sujpriority of I'rotostan

Britain on the other; hand not been lost on him: and lifre he began to hink fior himself and resolved to stuly the sulject. He hadgone thromgh Bishop, Warturton's Divine l.egatism, and l'aley's Bvidences; hut had newor roal the New Testanent consecontively, nad the epistles not at all.
[82] p. 218.
By certuiu biblicul Philologists of the Tentonic School (Men distinguinhad loy Leurning, but atill unre charateristienally by hardiluond in comjecture, and who suppose the Gowpels to have mudergong neveral sucerssive revisions and enlargements ly, or under the antlority of, tho sucred Ilistorians) these words are contended to have been, in tho first delivery, the common commencement of nll tho Gorjelis xiftu nupxis (i. $r$, according to the F'lesh) in distinction from St. Jolu's, or the Gospel xurat $\pi$ sopus (i. e. according to the Spirit).-EDryor.
[83] p. 229.
That every the lenst permissible form and ordinance, which at different times it might he expedient for the Chureh to emact, are pre-enacted in the New Testanent; and that whatever is not to be finnd there, ought to be allowed no where-this las heen asserted. But that it lins beerop pried; or even remered plansible; or that the Tenet is not to be placed anong the revilsionary Results of the scripture-slighting Will-worship of the Romish Chureh; it will he more sincere to say, I dishelicve, than that I doubt. It wus eliefly if not exelusively in relerence to the extravagances built on this tenet, that the great Selopen ventured to dechare, that the words Scriutamini Scanptunas, had set the world in an nprour.
N. B. Extrennes appear to generate each other ; lut if we look nteadily, there will most often he found some conmen error, that proluces both as its lositive and Negative loles. Thus Superstitions go by Pairs, like the two llungarian Sisters, always quarrelling and inveteralely averse, but yet joined at the Trumk.
[84] p. 222.
Wore than this we do not consider as necessary for our argoment. And as to Rolinsoll'3 assertions, in his Mistory of Maptism, that infunt Beptism did not commence till the time of Cyprinn, who, condenming it as a general practice, ullowed it in particular cases loy a diapensation of Charity; und that it dil not actually become the ordinary rule of the Church, till Augustin, in the fever of his anti-pelugian Dispute, liad introduced the Calvimistic interpretation of Original Sin, and the dire state of infims' dyong mabuptized-I am so far from aceeding to thent, that I reject the whole statement as rash, and not only unwarranted ly the AnIhorities he cites, but manswerably ennfutchl by Baxter, Wall, und many other learneal Predo-haptists belore and since the pullication of his Work.
notes.
and liere he hegan in Ile had gone through Evidences ; lint had neicepistles not at alt.

Shhool (Men distinguikhluardihonal in conjecturs, (1) severul sucecessive rey of, the ancred Ilistoriis) first ilelivery, the comixal (i. r. according to the Ixaras nrupuss (i. c. accord-
nance, which at different ct, are pre-enacted in the , fiumid there, anglit to bo hat it has lowen prused; or to to phaced among the ill-worship of the Romish eve, than that I donilt. It te extrangances huilt on dhare, thut the words Scrismr.
$r$; lut if we look stendily, ror, that produces both ns itions go by Pairs, like the inveteralely averse, but yet
eessary fir our argument. y of Baptism, that infunt Syprian, who, condemuing lar cases hy a diejpensation come the ortinary rulo of anti-p:Ingian Dispulte, had gimal Sin, mal the tire state a acceding to them, that 1 ly unvarranted hy the Allly Baxter, Wall, and many he pulalication of his Work.
confing mysalf to the nssurtion-not that infant llaptism wis not ; butthat there exist no suticient proofs that it eras, the practice of the $\Lambda_{\text {pus }}$. tolic Age.

$$
[85] p \cdot 224
$$

Lat we he permited to repent and apply Nute 52. Supentition may to: Aefined as Superstantiunn (enjusmodi sunt Cerimonia ot Sigma externa, Ifue, nisi in signiticundo, nihili sunt ot parne nihit) Substamiatio.
[86] p. 230.
Conference between two men that had doubes of infint lbintism. By W. Wall, Author of tho Ilist, of Luf: Baph, and Visur of Shorehmen in Kent. A very sensible little truct, and written in an oxwellent spirit: though it failed, 1 emfens, in satislying luy mind ins to the existence of any deresive proofs or locuments of lufimt haptism having leen an Apostolie: Usury, or specinlly iutended in any part of the New 'lestament : though deduriHe generally from many passages, and in perfect necordmace with the spirit of the whole.
P. S. A mighty Wrestler in the enuso of Spiritual ludigion and Goquel Morality, in whom moro thun in any other Contemporary 1 seem to ase the Spirit of Lutiea revived, expressed to mo his douhts whether wo havo a right to deny that an inliut is capalhe of spiritual indluenre. To surli a mani I could not feed justified in returuing an maswer ex tempure, or without having first submitted my convictions to a fresh revisal. I owe him, however, a deliherate answer ; and take this opporthaity of diselarging the delit.
The Oljection supposes and assumes the very point which is denied, or at least disputed-viz. that Infant-Inpuism is specially injoinel in the Srriptures. If an express passage to this purport had existed in the New Testament, the other passages, which evidenty imply n spiritmel operation unler the condition of $n$ preceding spiritual net on the part of the person Imptized, romaning ns now-then indeed, us the only $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{y}$ of removing the apparent contradiation, it mighe be allowable to call on the Anti-puido-baptist to prove the negative-mmely, that minfant a week old is not a sultject equalie or susceptible of spiritual agency.-And vice versa, should it he nule known to us, that intints are not without rellection rive self-con-siciousness-then, doubtess, we should he entitled to infer that they were cupable of a spiritual operation, and conserpently of that which is signified in the baptismal rite miministered to Adults. But what does this prowe for those, who (as DII. Mant and DOyley) not only comot show, but who Ao not themselves profess to helieve, the self-conseionsuess of a New-lar:a Buhe; lut who rest the defience of litimt-haptism on the assartion, that tion was plensed to athix the pertirmance of this rite to his otler of sinvaion, is the indispels: ble, thongh andinary, condition of the infants salvia-
liility? Is Kings lis former ages, when they comidred Lamis th perpeliity, woild mometimes, as tho condition of 'ho 'lemure, exact from the leneficinry a huwk, or some trilling cerenoony, as the puting on or off of their Smidals, or whatever else royal raprice or the whim of the moment might shgerst. Ihat you, honored Irvise, are as hitto disposed, ne mysolf, to favor such doctrine?

Friend pure of heart nud fervent ${ }^{1}$ we have tearnt A different lore! We may not thus profines The liden and uane of Ilim whose absolute Will Is Reasin-Truth Supreme!-Essentind Order!
[87] p. 235.
Of which our he uras made flesh, is perhaps the best, that our languge nulnits, but is still an inadequate translation. See note 9 . The Chureh of Finginuli in this as in other doetrinal proints, has preserved the golden inenn hetween the superstitions reverence of the Romanists, and the nvowed contempt of the Secturians, for the Writings of the Fathers, and the nuthority and unimpeached tratitions of the Chureh during the first three or four Centuries. And how, consistently with this honorable characteristic of our Clurch, a Minister of the sume could, on the sacramentary seheme now in fashion, return oven a plansible nuswer to Arnauld's great Work on Transubstnatiation, (not withont reason the Boast of Catholicism) execeds my powers of conjecture!
[88] p 157.
Will the Reader forgivo ine if I attempt at once to illustrate and relieve the suljeet by unnexiug the first stanza of the l'oen, compused in the snine year in which I wrote the Ancient Mariner and the first Book of Christuhel?
"Fneineturd with in twine of Leaves,
That leafy twine his only Dress! A lovely loy was plucking fruits In n moonlight wilderness.
The Moon was bright, the air was free, And Fruits and Flowors together grew On many a Shrub and many a Tree:
Aul all put on a gentle lue,
Himging in the shalowy nir
Like a l'ieture rich nad rare.
It was a climate where, they suly,
The Night is mure beloved than Day.
But who that heanteons Boy lreguilid,
That beauteous Boy! to linger here:
dirred Iauds in gerpetil:nure, exact from the llethe phiting on or off of the whim of the monent litte rlisposed, as myself,
e have Inarnt thus profane thsolute Will ntind Order!
c best, that our language a note 9. The Chureh of reserved the golden moan nanists, and the avowed the F'nthers, and the anIt during the first three or honorable characteristic the sacramentary seliemo to Arnauld's great Work Boast of Catholicism) ex-
ce to illustrate nul relieve em, composed in the samo a the first Book of Chiris-

## Nottin.

Alune, liy night, a little ehilil,
In phece no silbot nuil so wild-
Uns he no fizend, no loving mother near:"
Wanieainas or Cain, a MIS. l'oem.
[89] p. 243.
Aurh is the conception of Body in Des Cartes' own eystem. Bedy is every where confounded with Matler, mud uight in the Cintesian winsa be defined, Space or Extension with the attrihute of Vivibility. As Des Cutes at tho ame time zenlously asserted tho existence of intelligentind Beings, the reality and indejendent Self-sulsistence of the Soul, Brableianimo or Spinosimm was the immediate and necessary Conseguence. Assune in pharality of self-sulsisting Souls, and we luive Berkleinuism; nssime one only, (unam et unicaun Substuatian), und you luve Spinosism, i. e. the assertion of one infinite Self-sulnistent, with the two Attrilutes of Thinking and Appearing. "Cogitatio infinita sine centro, et onniformis Appanitio." How far the Newtonian Vis inertiog (interpreted any otherwise than as an arhitrary term= $=\mathrm{x}$ y , to represent the unknown but necessary supplement or integrution of the Cartesian Notion of Body) hus patched up the Fhaw, I leave for more competent Juiges to decile. Jint should any one of my Readers feel an interest in the speculative principles of Natural Philosophy, and should be master of the German Language, I warnly recommend for his perusal the earliest known pulbication of the Great Foumder of the Critied Plalosophy (written in the twenty-necond Year of his Age!) on tho then eager controversy between the leilmitziun and the French and Eaglish Mathenaticians, respecting the living For-ceg-"Gedanken von der waluren Schaitzung der lebendigen Krätte: 1747" -in whirh Kant demonstrates the right reasoning to he with the latter; int the's ruth of Fact, the evidence of experience, with the former; and gives the explanation, namely: Body, or Corporeal Nature, is something else and more than geometrical extension, even with the addition of a Vis inertise. And Leibnity, with the Bernonillis, erred in the attempt to demonstrate geometricaliy a problem not susceptible of geometrical con-struction--This Traet, wilh the succecding llimmels-system, may with propriety le placed, after the Principia of Newton, among the striking instances of early Genius; and as the first product of the Jymumic lhilos"phy in the Physical Seiences, from the time, at least, of Giowtimo Bromo, whom the Idolaters burnt for nus Atheist, at Rome, in the year liovo.-Sce the Friend, Vol. 1. p. 193-197.

## [90] p. 2.13.

For Newton's own dombtially suggested Pither or most sulale Fluadias the gromul mad immeriate Agent in the phemompan of misersail tias Hation, was either not adopted or soon nhameoned ly his Discijlea; no
ouly as hutroducing, against his own Canons of Right Reasoning, ut Sins imagimariun into physical Science, a Suffiction in the place of a lefitimate Supposition; but because the Substance (assuming it to exist) unst itself form part of the Problem, it was mennt to solve. Meantime Leilnita's Pre-estahlished IIarmony, which originated in Spinosa, found no neceptance; und, lastly, the Notion of a corpuscular Sulstunee, with l'roperties put into it, like a lincushion hidden by the lins, could pass with the unthinking only for uny thing more than a Confession of iguorance, or technical terms expressing an hiatus of seientific insight.
f Right Reasoning, an $o n$ in the place of a lee (assuming it to exist) e (assumnge. Meantime rated in Spinosa, found uscular Sulstunce, with by the lines, could pass a a Confession of iguoscientific insight.

## APPENDIX,

containinu a
"SELECTION FROM MR. COLERIDGE'S LITERARY CORRESPONDENCE,"

REPRINTED faom
BLACKWOOD'S MAGAZINE,
FOR OCTOBER 1821;
and the
"APPENDIX TO THE STATESMAN'S MANUAI.."

The expoctieney of inserting in this volume the articles which follow may wot morhans lie very ohvions. Ny motive tion loing it, aside from the inherent valur of the artieles themselves, is to place before the reaters of the Aide to Retlection, us fir ne I cond to so, the menns of clearly moterstanding the langlage and sentiments of the Author in that Work. In regard to several inportant points, I think they will find their views made mure clear hy referenee to these, though in themselves they may be found uowe diffientt to molderstand, than the work to which they are appended. They are, moreover, sevornt times referrell to in the Aids to Retlection, a I prohbly fix of the Readens of that Work would have access to them
 lanly suited to the purpuse of this work. 'The Aplemdix to the statemman's Manual is reprinted entire. A fiew menteners have whence to the text of that work, but conld not well he omitted. For the most part they may he considered as ink peudent essays having reference, as the muthor elsewhere wills us, to the heights of Metiphysirs and Theology, and deeply interenting to those, who will refleet enongh to understand them.

## SELECTION

ynom
MR. COIERIDGES LITERARY CORRESPONDENCE,

## witis

## FRIENDS AND DIEN OP LETTTERS.

## LETTEER I.

FROM A PROFESAIONAL FRIEND.
My Dear and Honoured Sir,-I was much struck with your Excerpta from Porta, Eckartshnusen, nind others, as to the effect of the ceremonial drinks and unguents, on the (femsle) practitioners of the black arts, whoso witeheraft you helieve to havo consisted in the unhappy craft of bewitching themselves. I, at lenst, know of no reason, why to these toxications, (especially when token through the skin, and to the catalcptic state inuluced by them,! wo should not attributo tho poor wretches' own belief of their guilt. I can conceive, indeel, of no other inode of accounting-I do not say for their suspicious last dying avowals at the stake; but-for their private and voluntary confessions on their cleath-beds, which made a convert of your old favourito, Sir T. Brown. Perhnps my professional pursuits, nul medienl sturies, may havo predisposed me to he intercoted; but my mind has heen in an eldy ever since I left you. The connexions of the sulject, with classical and with druidical superstitions, pointed out by you-the Circeia poctla-tho herbal spells of the Haxe, or Druidesseethe somniloquism of the prophotesses, under the coercion of the Scandinavian enchanters-the dependence of the Greek oracles on mineral waters, and stupifying vnpours from the earth, as stated by Plutarch, and more than once alluded to hy Euripides-the vast spread of the same, or similar, usnges, from Greenland even to the southernmost point of Amerien;-you sent me home with enough to think of! But more than all, I was struck and interested with your coneluding remark, that these, and most other superatitions, were, in your belief, but the canayer et putrimenta of a nefunet matural philosophy. Why not rather tho imperfect rudiments? I asked. You promised mo your reasons, and a filler explanation. But let me speak out my whole wish; and enll on you to rednem the pleiges you gave, so long back as October 1803, that you would devote a series of
popers to the sulyject of Dreams, Visions, Presentations, Ghosts, Witcheraft Cures ly sympathy, in which you would select and explain the most interesting and best attested facts that have come to your knowledge from books or personal testimony.
You can scarcely conceive how deep an interest I attach to this request; nor how many, heside nyself, in the circle of my own acquantance have the wame fecling. Indeed, ny dear Sir! when I reflect, that there is seareely a chapter of history in which superstition of some kind or other does not form or supply $n$ portion of its contents, I look forward, with unquiet antieipation, to the power of explaining the more frequent and best nttested narratlons, at least without the necessity of having recounse to the supposition of downright tricks and lying, on one side, or to the devil and his imps on the other. * * *

Your olliged Pupil,
and affectionate Friend,
J. $\mathbf{I}$

L-.
P. S.-Dr. L. of the Museum, is quite of your opinion, that little or nothing of importance to the philosophic naturalist can result from Comparative Anatony on Cuvier's plan; and that its lest trophies will be but lifeless skeletons, till it is studied in combination with a Comparative Physiology. But you ought yourself to vindicate the priority of your claim. But I fear, dear C., that Sic Vos, non Volis, was made for your motto throughout life.

## LETTRER II.

## IN ANSWER TO THE ABOVE.

Well, my dear pupil and fellow student! I an willing to make the attemp. If the majority of my readers had but the same personal knowledge of me as you have, I should sit down to tho work with good cheer. But this is out of the cucstion. Let me, however, supprose you for the moment, as an average render-address you as such, and attribute to you feelings and language in character.-Do not mistake me, my dear I -. Not even for a moment, nor under the pretext of mons a non movendo, would I contemplate in connexion with your namo "id genus lectorun, qui moliores obtrectare maliut quam imitari: et quorum sinilitudinem desperent, corundem affectent simultatem-scilicet uti qui suo nomino obscuri sunt, meo innotescant."* The readers I have in view, are of thet class who with a sincere, though not very strong desire, of acquiring knowledge, have taken it for grantcid that all knowledge of any valuo resjecting
*The pussage, which camot fail to remind you of II-_ and his set, is from Apuleius' Lib. Floridorum-the two books of which, by-the-hye, is from to lave heen transcriked from his common-place book of Good 'Things, happy phrases, \&e. that he had not had an opportunity of bringing in in his set writings.
tions, Ghosts, Witelicraft, and explain the most ino your knowledge from
ot I attach to this request ; yown acquaintanco have in I refleet, that there is on of some kind or other , I look forward, with une more frequent and best of huving recounse tothe to side, or so the devil and
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r opinion, that little or no$t$ can result from Comparst trophies will be but lifewith a Comparative Phygihe priority of your claim. was made for your motto
am willing to make tho atthe same personal knowtho work with good cheer. wever, suppose you for the 3 such, and atribute to you nistake me, my dear L xt of mons a non movendo, name "id genus lectorm, t quorum simiiditudinem desuti qui suo nomino obscuri in view, are of that clase desire, of aequiring knowldge of any valuo respecting
you of H —— and his set, wooks of which, by-the-hye, munen-place book of Good ud an opportunity of bring-
the mind, is either to be found in three or four books, the eldest not a hunalred years old, or muy le conveniently taught without any other terms or previous explanations than theso works havo alrealy rendered fimiliar among men of education.

Well, friendly reader! as tho problem of things little less (it seens to you) thun inpossible, yet strongly and numerously attested by evidence which it secms inpossible to discredit, has interested you, I un willing to attempt the solution. But then it must be under cermin conditions. I must be able to hope, I must have sufficient grounds for hoping, thut I shall be understoon, or rather that I slall te allowed to make myself understood. And as I am gifted with no magnetie power of throwing my reader into the state of clear-seeing (clairvoyance) or luminous vision; as I have not the seeret of enalling him to read with the pit of his stomach, or with his finger-ends, nor of calling into ate "the cuticular faculty," dormant at the tijp of his nose; but must rely on worns-I cammot firm the hope rationally, unless the reader will have patience enough to master the sense in which I use then.

But why employ uords that need explanation? And might I not ask in my turn, wonld you, gentle reader, put the same question to Sir Edward Sinith, or any other member of the Limman Society to whom you had applied for instruction in Botany? And yet he would require of you that you shonld attend to a seore of teehnical terms, and make yourself master of the sense of each, in order to your understanding the distinetive character of a grass, a mushroom, and a lichen! Now the peyehologist, or speeulative philosopher, will be content with you, if you will impose on yourself the trouble of understanding and remembering one of the number in order to understand your own nature. But I will meet your question direct. Yon ask me why I use words that need explanation? Because (I reply) on this subject there are no others! Because the darkness and the main difficulties that attend it, are owing to the vagueness and ambiguity of the words in common use; and which preelude all explanation for him who had resolved that none is required. Because there is already a falsity in the very phrases, "words in common use;" "the language of common sense." Words of most frequent use they may be, common they are not; but the languago of the market, and as such, expressing degrees only, and therefore ineonpetent to the purpose wherever it becomes necessary to designate the kind independent of all degree. The philosopher may, and often does, employ the same words as in the market; but does this supersede the necessity of a previous explanation? As I referred you before to the Botanist, so now to the Chemist. Light, heat, charcoal, are every man's words. But fired or invisible light? The frozen heat? Charcoal in its simplest form, as diamond, or as black-lead? Will a stranger to chemistry be worse off, would the Chemist's language be less likely to be understood by his using different words for distinct meanings, as carber, caloric, and the like?

Biti the case is still atronger. The chemiar is compelled to mako worls, in order to prevent or remove some error connected with the common word; and this too an orror, the continuance of which was incompatiblo with the first principles and elementary truths of the seionco he is to teach You must submit to regard yourself ignorant even of tho words, air and water ; and will find, that they are not chenically intelligible without tie terms, oxygen, nitrogen, hydrogen, or others equivalent. Now it is evenso with the knowledge, which you would have me to communicate. There are ecrain prejudices of the common, $i$. $e$ of the average sense of men, the exposurn of which is the first step, the indispensable preliminary, of all rational psychology : and these cannot be cxposed but by selecting and adhering to eome one word, in which we may be ablo to trace the growth and modifications of the opinion or belief conveyed in this, or similar words, not ly any revolution or positive change of the original sense, but by the transfer of this sense and the differenco in the application.
Where there is but ono word fur two or inore diverse or disparato ncanings in a languago, (or though uere should be several, yet If perfect eynonyimes, they count but for one worl, ) the language is so far defective. And this in a defect of frequent occurrenco in all languagee, prior to the cultivation of ecience, logic and philology, especially of the two latter : and among a free, lively, and ingenious people, such as the Greeks were, sophistry and the influence of sophists are the inevitable result. To check this evil by striklng at its root in the ambiguity of words, Plate wrote the greater part of his published works, which do not so much contain his own system of plilosophy, as the negativo conditions of reasoning aright on any system. And yet more obviously is it the case with the Metaphysics, Analytics, \&cc. of Aristote, which havo been well described by Lambert as a dictionary of genaral terms, the process throughout being, first, to discover and cstablish definite meanings, and then to appropriato to each a ecveral word. The seicnces will take care, cach of its own nomenclature : but the interests of tho language at large fall under the special guardianship of legic and rational psychology. Where theso have fillen into neglect or cisrepute, from exclusive pursuit of wealth, excess of tho commercial spirit, or whatever other cause disposes men in general to attnch an exclusivo value to immediate and palpahle utility, tho dictionary may swell, but the languago will decline. Few are the books published within the last fifty years, that would rot supply their quota of proofe, that so it is with our own mother English. The brieks and stones are in abundance, but the cement none or naught. That which is indeed the common langunge exists every where as the menstruum, and nowhere as the whole -See Biographia Literaria-while the language complimentell with this naine, is, as I have alrendy said, in fact the language of the market. Every suience, every trade, has its techuical nomenclature; every folly has its fancy words; cvery vice its own slang-and is the science of humanity to
compelled to make words, ected with the common which was incompatiblo he eciunco he is to teach. of the words, air nnd waelligible without the temms, Now it is evenso with the nunicate. There are cerage sonse of men, the exble preliminary, of all rad but by solecting and adablo to trace the growth veyed in this, or similar of the original sense, bus in the application.
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Io the one oxception? Is philosophy to work without tools ? to have no utraw wherewith to make the bricke for her mansion-house but what whe may pick uly on the ligh road, or steal, with all its impurities and sophistications, from the litter of the cattle market?

For tho present, however, my demands on your jatience aro very limit-ed.-If as the prico of mueh entertainment to follow, and I trust of something besides of less transitory interest, you will fairly attend to the hiatory of thoo scholastic terns, object and subject, with their derivativen; you shall liave my promise thut I will not on any futuro oceasion ask you to be attentive, withont trying not to be myself dull. That it may cost you no more troulile than necessary, I huve brought it under the eye in numbered paragraphs, with scholia or commentary to such as secmed to require lt

Yours most affectionately,
S. T. Colleridge.

## On the Philoogphic import of the Words Object and Subsect.

## $\$ 1$.

Existerco la a elmple intuition, underived and Indecomponible. It la no idea, no particular form, much less any determination or modification of the possible: it is nothing that can be educed from the logical conception of a thing, as its predicute: it is no property of a thing, but ita reality itself; or as tho Latin would moro conveniently express it-Nulla rei proprietas est, sed ipsa ejus realitus.

## SCHOLIUM.

Herein lies the sophism in Des Cartes' celebrated temonstration of the existence of the Supreno Being from the idea. In the itea of God aro contained all attributes thint belong to the perfoction of a being: but existenee is such: therefore God's existence is contaned in the idea of God. To this it is a sufficient answer, that exiatence is not an attribute. It might be shown too, from the barremness of the demonstration, by identifying the deluction with the premise, i.e. for reducing the minor or term included to a mere repetition of the major termi including. For in fact the syllogism ought to stand thus: the idea of Gol comprises the idea of all attributes that helong to jerfection : hut the idea of existence is such: therefore the idea of his existence is included in the idea of God. Now, existenco is no iden, but a fact : or, though we had an idea of existence, atill tho proof of its correspondence to a reality would loe wanting, i. e. the very point would be wanting which it was the purpose of the demonstration to smpply. Still the idea of the fact is not the fact itself. Besides, the term iden, is hero improperly substituted for the mere supposition of a logieal sulject, necessarily presmmed in orler to the ronceivableness (cogitahilitus) of any qualitios, Jroperties, or attributes. But this is a mere eus logicun, (vel
ritimu frimmuticum), the resalt of the thinker's own unity of conesiousness und no less contained in the conception of a plant or of a chimera, than in the idea of a Supreme Being. If Des Cartes could have proved, that his idea of a suprene Being is miversal and necessary, and that the convietion of a reality perfectly coineident with the idea is equally univernal mud inevituhle ; und that these wers in truth but one and the same aet or intuition, unique, and without malogy, though, from the inadequateness of onr minds, from the meelanism of thought, and the structure of langnage we are compelfed to express it dividually, us consisting of two cerrelutive terms,-this would have been something. But then it must be entitied a shutement, not a demonstration-the neeessity of which it would supensede. And something like this may peithaps be found true, where the rensoning powers are developed and duly exerted; but would, Ifear, do little towurds setting the dispute letween the religious Theist and the speculative Atheist or l'autheist, whether this he all, or whether it is evell what we nuran, mal ure hound to inean, hy the word God. The ohl eontroversy woukd be started, whint are the pussilise perfections of an Intinite Beingin other words, what the iegitimute gense is of the terin, infinite, as applied to Deity, and what is or is not comuatille with that sense.

I think, and while thinking, 1 an conseious of certuin workings or movenents, as acts or activities of my being, and feel niyself as the power in which they originate. Ifeel inyself toorking ; and the sense or feeling of lis activify constitites the sense and feeling of existencre, $i$ e. of my actual being.

## SCHOLIUM.

Movements, motions, taken metaphorically, without relation to space or


## § 3.

In these workings, lowever, I distinguish a difference. In some I feel myself as the cause und proper agent, and the movements themselves as the work of my own ${ }^{\text {numerer }}$. In others, I feel these movements as iny own activity ; but not as my own acts. The first we call the active or positive state of our existence; the second, the passive or negative state. The active power, nevertheless, is felt in both equally. But in the first 1 feel it ns the cause acting, in the second, as the condition, without which I could not ac acted ons.

SCHOLIUM.
It is a truth of highest importance, that arere at pati are not different kinds, but the same kind in different relations. And this not only in conkinks, but the same kind in different rethe art of receiring is no less truly
sequence of in immediate renction, but the
an unity of comeciotunness at or of a chimern，than could have proved，that essury，and that the con－ idea is equally univernal one mul the same act or no the Inalequateness of the atructure of languago sisting of two correlutive lien it muat be entitled a which it would supensede． true，where the reneming ould，I fear，do little to－ Theist aul the sjeculative hother it is evell what we al．The old controverny ons of an Infinite Being lie term，infinite，as appli－ If that sense．
certuis workings or move－ al myself as the power in und the nense or feeling of Exisirence，$i$ e．of my ac－
vithout relntion to space or of Aristotle．
difference．In some I feel movements themselves as l these movements as my first we call the active or he passive or negative state． equally．But in the first I condition，without whic！s 1
gerc el pati are not different And this not only in con－ t of raceiving is no less truly
on aet，than the act of influencing．Thum，the lunge net in being stimula ted by the air，as truly na in the net of breathing，to which they wero with－ ulated．The（ireek verhal termination，$\omega$ ，hnjpily illustrates this．Hown， лрatto，nur $\chi$ w，in philosojhical grammar，nre ull threo verlas active；lust the first is the active－transitive，in which the ugeney jusen forth out of tho agent into another．Tinoans；what are yon doing？＇The secont is the active intransitive．Ti 刀⿴囗十介tres；how do you do？or how are you？＇This thirl is the netive－passive，or more njproprintely the active－pretient，the verb
 of our langunge，thint most livelily expresses the co－presence of an agent， an agency diatinet aml alien from our own，What is the matter with you？ It woull earry us too far to explain the nature of verls presive，as so called in teclinienl granmar．Suffice，that this elass originated in the same enn－ sea，as led men to make the division of substances into living and dend－ a division psychologically neccesary，but of doubtinl philosophical validity．

## § 4.

With the workings and movements，which I refer to myself and my own agency，there alternate－any，rather，I find myself alternately conscions of firms（＝Impressions，images，or better or less figurative and liypothetienl， presences，presentations，）and of states or modes，which not feeling ns the work or eflect of my own power， 1 refer to a power other than me，i．P． （in the language derived from ny sense of sight）without me．And this is the feeling I have of the existence of outward things．

## SCHOLIUM．

In this superinduction of the sense of outness on the ferling of the actual arises our notion of the real and reality．But as I camot but refleet，that as the other is to me，so I must be to the other，the terms real and actual， soon become confounded and interchangeable，or only discriminated in the gold scales of metaphysics．

## § 5.

Since both，then，the feeling of my own existence nnd the feeling of the existence of things without，nre hut this sense of an arting and working－ it is clear that to exist is the same as to aet or work；（Quantum operor， tantums sum，）that whatever exists，works，（ $=$ is in action；actually is；is indeed，）that not to work，as ngent or patient，is not to exist ；and lastly， that patience（＝vis patiendi，）and the reaction that is its co－instuntancons consequent，is the same activity in opposite and ulternating relations．

## § 6.

That which is inferred in those acts mul workings，the feeling of which is one with the feeling of our own existenee，or inferred from thase which we refer to an ageney distinct from our own，lat in beth instances is infir－ red，is the sunsect，i．e．that which does not appear，but lies under（quod jacel subter）the appearance．
llut in the first instaner, that, namely, which is inferred in ita efferts, and of comese therefore aelf-inferrel, the sulyject is n mind, i. e, that which knows itwelf, and miny he inferral lyy others; but which cannot appear.

## $\$ 8$.

That, in or from which the anhject is Inferred, is tho obsect, id quod jacet ob oculon, that which lies before us, that which lies strait opposite.

## SCIIOLIUM.

The terns used lin prychology, logic, \&ec. even those of most frequent occurrence in common liff, are, for the most part, of Latin derivation; and not only su, but the original words, such as quantity, quality, subject, rilject, \&c. \&c. formod in tho schools of philosophy for scholastic use, and in eorrespondenco to Greek techuical terms of the samo meaning. Etymology, therefore, is little elso than indispensablo to an iusight into tho true force, and as it worc, fiesliness of the words in guestion, especially of those that hinve passed from the sehools into the market-place, from the meduls nad tokens (arquoacu) of the philosophers' guild or company into the purrent coin of the lind. But the difference between a man who understands them according to their first use, and seeks to restore the original impress and superscription, and the man who gives and takes them in small change, unweighed, and tried only by the sound, may be illustrated hy inagining the cifferent points of view in which the same coury would mpear to a scientific conchologist, and to a chaffering negro. This use of rtymology may be excuplified in the present case. The immediate object of the mind is always and exclusively the workings or mukings abovo stated and distinguished into two kinds, $\S 2,3$, and 4 . Where the object consists of the first kind, in which the sulject infers ita own existence, and which it refers to its own agency, and identifies with itself, (fecls and contemplates as one with itself, and as itself, and yet without confounding the inherent distinction between subject and oljeet, tho anlject witnesses to itself that it is a mind, i. e. a subject-ohject, or sulject that becomes an object to itself.
But where the workings or makings of the second sort are the otjeet, from oljects of this sort we always infer the existence of a subjeet, as in the former case. But we infer it from them, rather than in them; or, to express the point yet more clearly, wo infer two subjects. In the objeet, we infer our own existence and suljectivity; from them the existence of a suliject, not our own, and to this we refer the olject, as to its proper cause and agent. Again, we always infer a corregpondent subject; but not alwayn a mind. Whether we consider this other subject ns another mind, is determined ly the more or less amalugy of the objeets or makings of the second class to those of the first, and not seldom depends on the varying degrees of our attention and jrevieus knowledge.
s inferrest in its efferts, s a misn, in, that which hich cannot sppear.
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n those of most frequent art, of Iatin derivation; quantity, quality, subjoct, hy for scholastic use, and the samo meaning. Elylo to an insight into tho in question, expecially of e mnrket-place, from tho guild or company into the tween a man who underks to restore the original gives and takes them in sound, may be illustrated ich the same coury would fering negro. This use of ense. The inmediate obcorkings or makings nlove and 4. Where the object fers its own existence, and fies with itellf, (feels and id yet without confounding bject, the sulject witnesses , or suljject that becomes
second sort are the object, xistence of a subject, as in rather than in them ; or, to wo subjects. In the object, om them the cxistence of a hjeet, as to its proper cenuso conlent suljent ; but not al$r$ subject us another mind, is e objects or makings of the dom depends on tho varying lge.

Add tothere difirenees the moditying influenen of hie wenses, the mernse of sight mowe pmiticularly, in consequenee of' which this snigject other llum we, is presented an a suligect out of hes. With the mensumes vividuess connerted with, and which in part constitutes, this outness or ontwardieses, rontrant the cxreading olwenrity nud dimmess in the comereptien of it wilhject not it mind ; nad reflect too, that, to oljects of the first kind, we conto not attribute aetulat or sepprative outwardness; while, in cuses of the secoud kind, we ure, nfter a shorter or longor time, compelleil hy the law of assurintion to trmasfer this oumess from the inferred suljiget to the pressint whiget. Lastly, refleet that, in the former instance, the oljeet is ilemitied with the subject, hoth positively hy tho net of the suljeet, and negatively hy unanseeptibility of outuess in the object: nnd that in the latter the very coutrary tnkes place; namely, instend of tho oljeet being identified with the subject, the subject in tnken up and confomuled in the object. In the ordinury and unrrflecting states, therefore, of men's minila, it could not he othorwise, hut that, in the one instnnee, the olject must bo lost, nund indistinguishable in the subject; and that in the other, the sulbect is lost and forgoten in the olject, to which a neecssary illiniom had niready transfirreal that ontnews, which, in its origin, and in right of renson, belongs explasively to the subject, i. e. the ngent abertra inferred from the object. For outness is but the feeling of otherness, (ulterity) rendered intuitive, or alterity visumly represented. Henee, and also hecaure we find this ontuess and the oljects, to which, thenght they ner, in flet, workings in our own being, we transfier it, indopendent of eirr will, aml apparently eommon to other minds, we lenon to comeet therewith the feeling nud sonse of rality; mul the oljective heomes synomynous lirst with cxtermal, then with real, and It lougth it was employed to express miversal and promment valility, free from the accidents ind particular constitution of indiridual intellects: nily, when taken in its highest mad nholute sense, ns tree from the inherent limits, partial perspective, and reffurting medin of the haman mind in specie, (idola tribis of Lord Bucon, ns distinguished from minel in toto generc. In direct untithesis to these several senses of the tern, oljective, the subjective lins loeen nsed as symonymuns with, first, inwarll ; second, mureal; and third, that, the ranse nod seat of whichare to the referred to the special or individual peenliarity of the percipient's, mind, organs, or relative position. Of conse, the meming of the wors in any one senternes cannot he definitely aseertinimed but ly aid of the context, nad will viry with the immedinte purposes, nad previons views and persuasions of the: writer. Thas, the egoist, or ultm-idealis, affirms all objects to be sulbjective; the diseiple of Mallormehe, or of Berkeley, that the oljeetive sulsists wholly and solely in the miversal sulject-God. A hady, othervise of somed mind, was so aflered by the reported death of her alsent hushamb, thet every night nt the same bour she saw a figure at the fiet of her hel, which she identified with hime nued minutely derribed to the lystanders, during the comtinuance of the vision. 'The hushand retmened, ame prav.5
ans in the meeting, was adviwed to appene for the first time at the foot of the leed, at the precine insunte that tho spirit nsed to appear, nust in the Arems describel, in the hope that the original might seare nway the comnterfeit ; or, to zjeak more seriously, in the expuctation that the inupression on her sernmen from without wonld meet half way, ne it were, and repwi, or tuke the place of, the linage from the brain. He followed the advice ; but the moment he took his position, the haly shricked out, "My God thero ure tivo! and"-The story is an old one, and you may end it, happily ar trarically, Tate's King Lear or Shnknpears's, according to your taste. I linve hromglet it ns a gooll instance of the force of the two works. Youl and I would hold the oue for a subjective, phenomenon, the other only for whjective, und perhapw illustrato the fiet, as I have alpondy done elsewhere, hy tho case of two npprenranecs neen in juxta-position, the one liy transmitted, and the other liy reflected, light. A leliever, neeording to the old atyle, whose almanack of fiith has the one trifling fanlt of being for the year of our Iard one thonmand four, instead of one thonsand cight humIred aud twenty, would stickle for the oljectivity of hoth."
Amirew haster, agnin, would take a different rond from either. IIo would agrees, with us in calling the apparition subjective, nad the figure of the loushand oljective, so fir us the ubi of tho latter, and its position extra cerdorum, or ins outwirl spaces, was in question. Bhit ho wonld differ from un in not identifying the agent or proper cause of the former-i. c.
$\qquad$ *Nay, and relate the circmustance for the very pmonose of proving tho ality or chjective truth of ghosts. For the lady snw both! But if this were any proof at all, it womla it best hat a superiluous proof, and superseded loy the bed-postr, \&e. For if she saw the real posis at the same sedme with the ghont, that stond betwixt them, or rather if she continued to , 1 m see the ghost, ppite of the sight of those, how shomit moulds, or hushand? What was to make the ditterenco between he tw solids, or burcept the rays from the hashamdes iressing-gown, white in nowed freo passage to those from the ledd-curtuin? Anel yut I lisat haririt this story, prom one, who, though professedly an mbeliever in this branch of aninut Pnewntics, (which stood, however, a niche highor, I suspect, in his (imal minion than Monbodio's ancient Metaphysics,) ntdured it as a somenoid opiam, than his on the oher and
 artive sonaid sense, than for har,
 tions, connocted with olseure feelings, amy to have oreurred withill the uarrutor's own ku. argument in support of ghost-nbyect, stands has: $13=\mathbf{C}$. The D , in this instuner, being the equal rimbitig. of the aire, of its rectl dupliente, $n$ logie that would enitle the logician to dine off neek of untom in a kooking-glass, and to set his little ones in downright cumsest tu huit the rabbits on the wutl by cmuthe-light. Thinge, that fall
 hugible, is de pencric charncter of redections, shadoivs, and ghosts; and


first time at the foot of od to appear, and in the ht seare away the countation that the impreswion , ns it werr, and repel, or He followed the advice; rieked out, "My Gool there u may end it, happily or ccording to your taste. I of the two words. You imenon, the other only for e alremily done elsowhere, masition, the one hy translever, according to the ohd aing fault of being for tho fona thousand cight hum$y$ of loth."
ent rond from cither. If subjective, nud the figure of atter, nuld its position extra ion. But ho would differ cause of the former-i. c.
ry pirrpase of proving the lady saw bolh! But if this periluous proof, und superthe real jesis at the sune rather it sho eontinued to Nlould she not see the real slould she not see solids, or
between the two solids between the two solias, or
-gown, while it allowed ireo - Hown, while it allowed reo
yut I first hourd this tory, yot I first hard thiss story,
hiever in this brauch of anhe higher, I suspect, it his hysics,) adduced it as a somelehallenged the to answerit. for falents, elucution, nud 1 official rank. So strangely ny ont of the way combinany ont of when they happen terences, when
 8 thas: $\mathrm{B=O}: \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B}:$ ego,
ual risibitity of the figure, and ual risibility of the ngine, of a thiw little ones in downright anille-light. 'Ihinge, that foll nue class; turl visible, yet not mes, shaloivs, and phosts; and the ir preper, Christion name.

## Abprindis.

$35 i$
the npporition-with the suligect ledohling. 'Jhe shape lelaeld he womhi grant to las a muking in the beholdor's own lrain; bat the facient, he would contend, was a several and other sulject, an intrusivo supermunerary or sunatter int the sane tenement mad work-shop, and working with tha
 pant. And verily I could say monething in fivour of this theory, if only I might jut my own interpretation on it-having heen hugely pleased with the notios of that father of oddities, und ondent of the fathers, old 'I'zatelaran, whor comsilers theso soggetti cattivi, (that tukes poseession of othor fisk's kitchens, pmotries, scullerier, and water-closetes, causing a sad to-do it hend-pharters,) as erentures of the same oriler with the 'J'anine, Lambrici, und Ascarides-i. e. the Round, Tape, and 'lhread-worms. Demones hare sina corpora dilatant et contrahunt ut volont, sicht Lambirici et alia quedam insecta. Be this as it may, the diflerunco between this last class of speculators and the common min of ghost-daneiers, will scarcely emable us to exhibit may essential change in the memang of the terms. Bath must lee deseribed as asserting the objective nature of the appearmece, Hind in both the temo coutains the sense of real as opposed to imagimary, nud of outuess no Icms than of otherness, the ditfirence in the former leing only, that, in the volgar helief, the oljeect is ontward in relation to the whole circle, in Baxter's, to the centre only. The ono pluces the ghost withont, the other within, the line of ciremafierence.
I bave only to add, that these diflerent shades of meming form no valid oljection to the revival and readopion of these corvelative terms in physiology* and memtal malyties, as expressing the two poles of all consciomsness, in their most general form and highest alostraction. For, ly the law of ussociation, the same metaphorienal changes, or shithings and ingraftiags of the primary sense, mist inevitably tako place in all terms of greatest comprehensiveness nad simplicity. lustemd of suljeet and object, put thought and thing. You will tind these lialle to the sane inconvenienees, with the additional one of having no ndjectives or alverts, as sulstitutes lor ohjective, subjertive, objectively, suljectively. It is sulilicient that no
 case prior to Bishop Bramhall's controvelsy with IIobles, who had availed himself of the (at that time, and in the common usage) equivalent woris, rompel and oblige, to confmonl the thought of moral ohligation with that of emumalion anal physical necessity. For the rest, the remedy must bo provided ly a dietionary, constructed on the one only philosophical principhe, which, regarding words as living growhes, offects, and orguns of tha
*"Physiology," according to present usage, treats of the laws, organs, fimetionis, der. of life; "lifysies" not so. Now, phere : The etymological inpurt of the two worlsheing the same, is the diflierence in their applienlion atecidental and arlitrary, or a hidhea irony at the assmuption on which


human ronl, seeks to trace cach historically, through all the periods of its natural growth, and accidental modifications-a work worthy of a Royal sull haperiul confederary, und which would indeed hatlow the Allimese! A work which, exceuted for any one langrage, would yet be a benefirtion to the world, and to the nation itself a soure of immediate homour nud of ultinute weal, beyond the power of victories to bestow, or the mines of Hexico to purchase. The realization of this schene lies in the fir disance ; but, in the meantine, it camnot lat, beseem every individual competent to its furtheranee, to contribute a small portion of the materinls fir the future temple-from a polished columin to a hewn stone, or a phank for the scaffulding; and as they come in, to erect with them sheds for the workmen, and tempornry structures for present use. The preceding and ysis I would have you regard as my first contribution; and the first, becanse 1 have been long convinced that the want of it is a serions imperti-ment-1 will not say, to that self-knoveledre which it coneerns all men to attuin, but-to that self-mderstanding or insight, which it is all men's interest that some men shomld acquire; that "the heaven-deseended, $r$ rwht Eedutor," (Juv. Sat.) should exist not only as a cisidom, Lut as a science. But every seience will: ie its rules of ant, and with these its terlmical terms; and in this best of serieners, its elder nonenchature has fallen int" disuse, and uo other heen pint in its phace. To bring these hack into light as so many delving tools dug up from the rabish of long deserted mines amd at the time to exemplify their nse and handling, ! lave drawn your uttention to the three questions:-What is the primary and proper sense of the words Sinhect and Olyect, in the terchnical language of philosophy? In what does Objectivity actually exist?-From what is all allparent or ussumed Oljectivity derived or transferred?

It is mot the nge, you have told me, to loring hard words into fishion. Are we to arcomint for this tenter mouthetiness, on the groumd assigned by your lavourte, Persins : (Sat. iii. 113.)
"Tentemus fumes: tenero hatet ulens in ore
Putre, quod luud deceat crustosis radere verhis:"
But is the age so averse to harl words? Eidouranion; Phantamaygria; Kaleiloseope ; Marmaro-kainomenon (for elcaning mantle-picees) ; Protoxi-
 oxides; nut to mention the splashing shoals, that
-Confound the lampage of the nation
With long-tailed words in osity and ation,"
(as our grat living master of sweet and perfect Baglish, Dookhmin Frere, has it, would seem tuarge the very comany. In the trum of these, methinks, wheet aud suhert, with their itrovatives, lowk tame, and chaim $n$ phace in the last, of at must, in the humber seath of the second speries, in the fir-noiste claseitication - the long-tailad pigs and jigs without in tail.

## arpendin.

ongh all the periots of its work worthy of a Royal weed hallow the Allimese! rould yet be a benefaction -immedinte honour und of bestow, or the mines of cheme lies in the fir diseem every individual eonnmortion of the materials for hewn stone, or a phank for $t$ with then sheals for the use. 'The precoding amalribution ; and the first, lo-nt of it is a serions inpediicls it concerts all men to t, which it is all men's ine henven-descended, C rime a wistom, but as a science nd with these its terlonical omenchature has fallen into 0 bring fluese hack into light bish of long deserted mines und handling, : huve drawn $t$ is the pinary and proped ; tuelmical langmoge of phi-sist?-lrom what is all apfforred?
ng hard words into lishion. , on the gromad assigned by
uleus in oro sis radere verbis:"
demanion; Phantasmagoria; ming uanlle-pieces) ; ProtoxiWis's Letin-greck-waglisll Perthat
' the nation
and ation,"
feet linglish, Hookhan Fieres Y. In lie trmin of these, me ntives, lowk tamo, and claim " - seatr al the second sperici ul jiges and jigs withoul in tail.

Aye, but not on such dry topa's!-1 suhuit. You lave tomelued the vinherable licel-" tis, quibus sicemon lumen nbest," thary nust urerls be diy. We have lord Bacom's word for it. A topice that refinires steinlfast inthitions clear conceptions and inlens, as the somed and sulntince of bonl, and that will ablinit of no substitnte for these, in inagres, fictions, or firtitious facte, must be dry as the broad-awake of sight and diy-light, and desperately barren of all that interest whicle a busy yet sensmel age requires mul funds in the "uda sommia," and moist moonsline of an epricurcan philosoply. For yon, however, and for those who, like yon. are not so satisfied with the present loctrines, but that yon would hin try "inother mud an ohder lore," (and such there are, 1 know , and that the number is on the inerease, I huzard this assurmee,--'liat let what will eome ol the terms, yet with ont the truths conveyed in these terms, there can be no solf-knowledge; and without ruas, no knowledge of any kind. For the fiagmentary recollections and recognitions of enupiricism* nsurping the name of experi ence, ean anonnt to opinion only, and that nlone is knowledge which is at once real and systematie-or, in one word, organic. Let monk mul pictist pervert the precept into sickly, brooding, and morlid introversions of eom-scionsness-you lawe leamt, that, even muler the wisest regulations, tminking can go but half way toward this knowledge. I' know the rehole truth, We must likewise act: and he none acts, who makes-and this ran no man do, estrunged from Nature. Lamm to know thysell in Naturs, that thon mayest umberstand Nature in thyself.

But I forget myself: My pledge mid purpose was tu lielp yon over the threshold into the outer court ; and liere I stand, spelling die dinu characters inwoven in the veil of lisis, in the recesses of the tennle.

I nust conchude, thevefore, if only to begin arain withont tow ahrupt a drop, l'st I should remind you of Mr. - in his Smrey of Didhlesex, who having digressed, for some half a srore of pages, into the heights of connogany, the ohd phact between Jupiter and Mass, that uecut off, and split into the fom new ones, lexides the smaller rubish firs stome showers, the formation of the galasy, and the other world-works, on the same principles, and by similar accobents, superseding the hypolhesis of a Creator, and demonstating the sumpotbity of church tithes and remmery parsons, takes ין the stitelı ngain with-But to relum to the subject of dugg. Goul bless you and your

Affectionate Friend,
S. T. COLERIDGE.
*1at $y$ express the conditions under whirh E , (that is, in series of timbs, fints. ciremmstmees, der, presented the senses of in inlividual, will become Eixprience-and we might, not mintly, define the two words Has: $\mathbf{E}+\boldsymbol{y}=$ Expericuce; $\mathrm{E}-\boldsymbol{y}=\mathrm{L}$ -

## LETTER IV.

## to a JUNior soplt, at cambathge.

Oftes, my dear yomig friend! often and litterly, do I regret the stupid prejudice that made me megleet my mathemationd studies, at Jesus. 'There is something to mo enigmationlly atractive and inuginative in the generution of eurves, mul in the whole geonetry of motion. I seldon look at a fine prosicet or momitin handseape, or even at a grand picture, without abstracting the lines with a feeling similar to that with which I shomid contemplate the graven or painted walls of some temple or palace in Mid Afrien-lonbtfill whether it were mere Arabessuc, or meleciphered characters of an unknown tongue, franed when the language of men was neurer to that of nature-a languige of symbols mal corresponilences. I an, therefore, fir more disposed to envy, than join in the laugh against your fellow-collegiate, for anusing himself in the geonetrical construction of 'haves and flowers.

Since the receipt of your last, I never take a turn round the garden withont thinking of his billow-lines and shell-lines, meder the well-sounding mumes of Cunaiids and Conchöids; they have as much life and poetry for me, us their elder sisters, the Naids, Nereids, and Hama-dryads. I pray yon, present my hest respects to him, and tell him that he brought to my recollection the glorious pussage in Photinus, "Shonld any one interrogate Nuture how she works if graciously she vouchsufe to answer, sho will suy, it lehooves theo to understand me (or better and more literally, to go along with me) in sitence, even as 1 am silent, and work withont woris;" but you have a Plotinus, and nuy construc it for yourself.-(Ennend 3. 1. 8. e. 3.) atteuling purtieularly to the comparison of the process pursucd by Nature, with that of the geometriciun. And now for your questions respecting the moral influence of W.'s minor poems. Of course, this will be greatly modified by the character of the recipient. But that in the majority of instanes it has been most salutary, I cannot for a moment doubt. But it is another question, whether verse is the best way of disciplining the mind to that spiritual alehemy, which conmmicates a sterling value to real or appurent trilles, by using them as moral diagrams, as your friend uses the onk and fig-leaves as geometrical ones. To have forned the habit of looking it every thing, not for what it is relntive to the purposes and associations of men ingeneral, but for the trutlis which it is suit ed to represent-to contemplate objects as words and pregnant symbolsthe ulvantages of this my dear D., are so muny, and so important, so eminently caledated to excite and cvolve the power of sound and connceted reasoning, of distinct amb clear conception, and of genind feelidg, that there are few of Wis finest pussinges-and who, of living poets, cmu lay claim to hall the momber:--that I repeat so often, as that honely quatain,
rly, dol regret the stıpid studies, at Josus. There innginative in the gencwtion. I sedem look at t a grand picture, without lat with which I shonld tenple or palace in Mid pue, or mulecjphered chace language of men was and corresjontences. I join in the langh ngaunst , geonetrical construction
a turn round the garden les, under the well-soundave as much life and poeids, and Hanıa-dryads. 1 ell him that he brought to s, "Should any one intervouchsafe to answer, slie betler and more literally, to and work without worls;" or yourself--(Ennend 3. 1. n of the proeess pursued dd now for your questions jeins. Of course, this will recipient. But that in the ry, I cannot for a moment se is the loest way of discih communicates a sterling ns moral diagrams, as your al ones. To lave formed nat it is relative to the pur$r$ the truths which it is suit ds and pregnant symbols$y$, and so important, so cme er of sound and conmected lof geninl feeliog, that there living poets, cum lay clain ; that hoincly yuarain,

O reader: had yon in your mind
Such stores is sitent thought can bring;
O gentle render ! you would tind A tule in every thing.
Yon did not know my revered friend nul patron; or rather, you do know the man, and mourn his hoss, from the character I have* lately given of him. The following supposed dialogne actually took place, in a conversation with him; and as in purt, an illustration of what I have aiready said, and in purt as text and introduction to much I would wish to say, I entreat you to read it with patience, spite of the triviality of the subjeet, and mock-heroic of the title.

## substance of a dialogue, with a cemmentart on the same.

A I never fonnd yet, an inkstand that I was sutisfied with.
B. What would you have an inkstand to be? What qualities and properties would you wish to have combined in an inkstand? Reflect! Consult your past experience; taking care, however, not to desire things demonstrably, or self-evidently incompatible with cach other; and the mion of these desiderata will be your uleal of an inkstand. A friend, jerhaps, suggests some additional exrellence that might rationally be desired, till at length the catulogne may le consildered as complete, when neither yourself, nor others cmin think of any desuderatun not anticiputed or prechuded hy some one or more of tho points alrendy enumerated; und the eoneeption of all these, as reulized in one and the sane artéfact, may be fairly entitled, the

## Ideal of an Iikstand.

That the pen should be allowed, withont requiring any effort or interruptive aet of attention from the writer, to dip sufficiently low, and yet he prevented, without injuring its nih, from dipping too low, or taking up too much ink: That the inkstand should be of such materials as not to derompose the ink, or occasion a deposition or discoloration of its sprecitic ingredients, as, from what cuuse I know not, is the faule of the bluek Wedgewoodwure inkstands; that it should le so constructed, that on being overturned the ink eannot escape; and so proterted, our made of such stuff; that in case of a blow or fall from any common height, the inkstand itsulf will not be broken;--that from both these qualities, and from its shape, it may be safely and conmodiously travelled with, and packed up with books, linen, or whatever else is likely to form the contents of the portmantean, or travelling trum ;-that it should stand stendily and commodiously; and be of as pleasing a shape and mpearance as is compatilie with its mom
*In the 8th Number of the Friend, as first cireulaterl hy the post. I dare nseren, that it is worthy of preservation, and will send a transeript ins my next.
important uses; ;-mul hasily, though of minor regnrd, mal non-essentiat, that it he capable of ineluding other inglements or requisites, always, or weasionally, comected with the art of writing, as pell-knife, wafers, dr. without any aldition to the size and weight otherwise desimble, and without detriment to its inore impertant mul proper advantages.
Now, (rontinned B.) that we have an adequate notion of what is to lo wished, let us try what is to be done! And hy firmel nctually sucereded in ronstructing an inkstand, in which, luring the twolve geurs hat have chapsed sime this conversation, alus! I might almost suy, sinee his flenth, I have never been able, thongh I have put my wits on the streteh, to detect any thing wanting that an inkstand could le mationally desired to possess; or aven to inagine any addition, detruction, or change, for use or apparance, that $\mathbf{I}$ could desire, withont involving a contradiction.
Hane! (methinks I hear the reader excluim) llere's a meditation on a hroonstick with a vengeance! Now, in tho first place, I am, and I do not care who knows it, no chemy to meditations on luoomstieks; ant thongh boyle had hera the real author of the article so waygishly passed off for his on poor Lady Berkley; nud though that grood man had written it in grave grool carnest, I an not certain that he wonld not have been employing his time as creditatly to limself, and ns profitally for a large class of renders, as the witty dean was while composing the Drapier's Letters, though the mises forlid that I should say the same of Mary Cooke's Petition, Lamilton's Bawn, or even the rhyming correspondence with Dr. Sheridan. In hazarding this ronfession, however, I heg leave to put in a provided aluays, that the sain Meditation on Broonstick, or aliud quidibet ginsdem forine, shall ho as truly a meditation as the bromenstick is verily a liroonstiok-und that the mune be not a mismomer of vanity, or fianln-
 For meditation, I presimer, is that net of the mind, by whinh it seeks within rither the law of the phenomena, which it had contemplated withomt, (meditatio scionifica,) or semblances, symbols, and ambogies, corresponsive to the sime, (meditalio chhiec.) At all wents, therefore, it impties thinking, and tembs to make the reader think; and whatever dows this, does what in the prosent wer-expited state of sariely is most wanted, though perhaps least desired. Buween the thatking of a Harvey or (Quartes, muld the thinking of a baron or a Pourlon, many are the degrees of diflerence, and numy the diffrenees in dugree of depth and originality; but not such as to fill up the chasm in geare lntween thanking and no-thinking, or to rember the diserimination dillipult for it mun of ordinary understunding, not under the same* contagion ot vanity as the writer. Besides, there
*"Verily, to ask, what menneth this? is no Werculean labour. And the reader languishes moder the smue vaing ghory as his mithor, nud hath laid his head on the ohber kne of Gnpheite, it he can mistake the thin vora-
 mul gectl forth in."-Sir T: Bromen, .1/SS.
garl, nul non-escential, or requisites, nlwnys, or as pen-knife, wuters, dre. rwise desiruble, nul withdvontages.
3 notion of what is to lop friond actually suceceded lue twolve yoms that hove most suy, sinew his lenth, wits on the stretel, to demationally desired to posion, or change, for use or ig a contradiction.
Here's $n$ meditation on $n$ at place, I am, and I do not hroonstieks; and thongh o wngrgishly passed off for od man had written it in nill not have been imployolitably for $n$ large elnss of ing the Drapier's letters, same of Mary Cooke's Pe; eorresjomienee with Dr. er, I log leave to put in u roomstick, or aliud quidibet s the broomstick is verily a comer of vmity, or fraudn--drihble nud printer's ink. nd, by whiel it seeks uilhin had contemplated withont, and analogies, corresponsivo leerefore, it implies thinking, ever doses this, does what in st wanted, though perhapis Curvey or (Qurarlos, and the - He dugroes of dillerenec. und originality ; bit not susela king and no-thinking, or 10 of ordinnry understmuling, the writer. Besides, there

Weronteas Jnhour. And the as his matlorr, moll hath laid c can mistake the thin vornris whish thoinght logetteth

## APPENDIX.

are shallows for the full grown, that are the maximum of safe dejth fir the younglings. There are truths, 白uite common-place to you and ine, that for the uninstructed many would be now and fill of wonder, ns the common day light to the Laplnud ehilh at the re-nseension of its second summer. 'Ihanks and honour in the highest to those stars of the first magnimile that shoot their benms downward, and whilo in their proper form they stir and invirtuate the splere next below them, and natures pre-assimilated to their influenec, yet call forth likewis, each after its own norm or model, whatever is hest in whatever is susceptible to each, even in the lowest. But, excepting these, I confess that I selilom look at Harvey's Meditations or Quarles' Enillems,* without feeling that I would rather be the author of those books-of the innocent pleasure, the purifying emotions, and genial awakenings of the humanity through the whole man, which those books have given to thousands and tens of thousands-than shine the brightest in the constellation of fame among the heroes and Dii minores of literature. But I have a better excuse, and if not a better, yet a less general motive, for this solemn trifling, as it will seem, and ono that will, I trust, rescue my ideal of an inkstand from being doomed to the same slut's corner with the de tribus Capellis, or de umbrd asini, by virtue of the process which it exemplifies; though I should not quarrel with the allotment, if its risible merits allowed it to keep company with the ideal inmortalized by Rabelais in his disquisition inquisitory De Rebus optime abstergentibus.
Dared I mention the name of my Idealizer, a name dear to science, and consecrated by discoveries of far extending utility, it would at least give a liographical interest to this trifling anecdote, and perhnpe entitle me to rlaim for it a yet ligher, as n trait in mimimis, characteristic of a class of powerfil and most leneficent intellects. For to the same process of thought we owe whatever instruments of power have been bestowed on mankind by science nusl genius; aml only such deserve the name of inventions or diseoverics. But even in those, which chance may seem to claim, "que homini obvenisse videantur potius quam homo venire in ea"-which come to 18 rather than we to them-this process will most often be found as the indisjensalle antecedent of the discovery-as the condition, without which the suggesting accident would have whispered to dcaf ears, unnoticed;
${ }^{*}$ A fill collection, a Bibliotheca Specialis, of the books of emblems and symbols, of all sects and parties, moral, theological, or political, including those in the Centennaries and Jubilee volumes, published by the Jesuit wind other religious orders, is a desideratum in our library literature that would well employ the talents of our ingenious masters in wood engraring, etching, and lithography, under the superintendence of a Dibdin, and not unworthy of royal and noble patronage, or the nttention of a Longman nnd his compeers. Singly or jointly undertaken, it would do honour to these princely merchants in the service of the muses. What stores might not a Southey eontribute as notes or interapersed prefices? I could dremm away an hour on the sulbject.
or, like the fares in the firm, or the landseapes mate hy damp on a whitewashed wall, nutired for their oddity alone. To the lirth of the tree a prepared soil is as necessary as the falling seed. A Daniel was present; or the fital characters in the hanuret-hall of Belahazzar might have struck more terror, but would have heen of ne more import than the trail of a luninons worm. In the far greater nmmber, indeed, of these asserted boons of chanee, it is the necident that should he called the emulition-and when not so much, bute,ncrely the occusion-while the proper canse of the invention is to be somght fir in the co-existing state mul previons habit of the ohserver's mial. I cument bring myself to accomut for respiration from the stinulus of the air, without nseribing to the specitic stinulatisity of the hages, a yet nore important part in the joint product. To how may myriuds of individuals hat not the rise and fill of the lid in a boiling hy wen fimiliar, me apucarnuce daily mad hourly in sight? But it kethe heen familiar, mind that muderstood what was to be wished, and kuow what was wanted in order to its fulfilinent-for an amped eyo which ineditation had mado contemplative, an eye armed from within, with an instrument of higher powers than glasses can give, with the logic of methol, the only trie Organum Flevristicum which possesses the former nud better hadf of knowledge in itself ns the science of wise guestioning,* and the other half in reversion-it was reserved for the Marquis of Worcester to see and have given into his hands, from the alternation of expausion and vacuity, $\mathfrak{a}$ power mightier than that of Vulcun mad all his Cyelops: a power that foumel its practical linut only where nature could supply no limit strong enough to confine it. For the genial spirit, that saw what it had been seeking, and saw because it sought, was it reserved in the dancing lid of a kette or coffee-urn, to behold the fiture steun-chginc, the Talus, with whom the Britomart of seience is now gone forth to suldue and humanize the planet! Whan the bodily organ, stendying itself on some ehance thing, initates, ns it were, the fixure of "the inward eye" on its idenl slaphings, then it is that Nature not seldonn reveals her elose aflinity with mind, with that moro than man which is one and the same in all men, and from which
"the soul receives

## Reason: and reason is her being?"

Par. Lost.
Then it is, that Natmre, like an individual spirit or fellow soml, seems to think and hold commune with us. If, in the gresent contempt of all mental nualysis not contajued in Locke, Inarley, or Condillac, it were safe to borrow from "scholastic lore" a teehnienl term or two, for which I have not yet found any substitute equally convenient and serviceable, I should
*"Prudens quirstio dimidium scientie," snys our Verulam, the secoms - Prudens of the science, and the first who on principle applied it to the idess in puture, as his great comper Plato har hefore done to the lavs in this mind.
abrenotis.
sny, that at such moments Nature, us anothor suljeet veiled behind the visible object without us, solivits the jutelligible object hind, nud yut struggling benenth the suljert within us, and like a helping lacin, briugs it torth for ins into distimet conscionsiness and common light. Who has not tried to get hold of sume half-remembered name, mislaid as it were in the memory, and yot felt th be there? And who has not experiment, how at lenght it secmengen to us, us if smue other minmereived had heen employed in the satur searel,? And what are he whects last spoken of; which are in the sulbect, (i. e. the individuat mind) yet not subjective, hat of mivemal valislity, no uccilents of n purticular mind resulting from its individual structure, no, nor wen of the haman mind, as a particular chass or rank of intelligencies, hut of imperishable sulsistence; and thongh unt things, (i. r. shapes in outward spure, yet equally independent of the behotider, and more than egnally reat-what, 1 say, are those but the names of unture? the nomina guasi muter, opposed by the wisest of the Greek sehools to phemonena, as the inteligible correspundents or correhatives in the mind to the invisible supmorters of the nyparnures in the word of the senses, the mpholding pewers that camut be seen, but the presence und actual being of which must be supposed-nay, will be sumposed, in detiance of every attempt to the eomarary by a crude materialism, so alien from humanity, that there docs nut exist a langunge on earth, in which it could be conveged without a contradiction letween the sense and the words culloyed to expuess it!
Is this a mere rundon tlight in etymology, hunting a bublbe, and bring. ing back the film? 1 cminot think so contemptuonsly of the attenut to fix mul restore the true import of amy word; but, in this instance, I should regard it as mether unprofitable, nor devoid of rational interest, were it only that the knowlenge and reepption of the import here given, as the etymon, or gemaine sense of the word, would save Christianity from the repronch of eontaining a doetrine so repmgnatit to the best feelings of hamanity, as is inculeated in the following possuye, among a lmondred others to the sunne purpose, in earlier mad in tuove reeent works, sent forth by professed Christims. "Most of the men, who are now alive, or that have been living fir many ages, are Jews, Ileuhens, or Mahmetmes, strangers, and enemies to Christ, in whose name alone we can be suved. 'Ilhis consideration is extremely sad, when we rememher how great min evil it is, that so many millions of sons and duughtess are born to enter into the possession of devils to eternal ares."-'Taybor's Holy Dying, p. 28. Wen Sir 'T. Brown, while his heart, wrestling with the dogma grommed on the trivint interpretution of the word, nevertheless receives it in this sense, mul expresses inost glooniy apprehensions "of the ends of those honest worlhiss and philusophers," who died leffore the hirth ot our siviour, "It is hard," says he, "to place those sonls in hell, whose worthy lives din teach us virthe on cauth. How strange to them will sound the history of Alam, when they shall sutter for himing never hrard of?" Yet he coullules ly con

Demuing the msolence of reason in claring to dombt or controvert the verity of the dactrine, $n$, "to question the justice of the proceeding," which verify, he fears, tho wofisl lot of "these great examples of virtue mast confirm." But here I must brenk off:

Yours most nffectionately,
S. T. COLERIDCE.

## LFTTER V.

to the same.
My bear D.-The philosophic poet, whom I quoted in my lest, may here and there have stretched his prerogntive in a war of offience on the ghneral nesociutions of his contenporaries. Here and there, though less than the least of what the Butfions of parody and the Zanies of anonymous criticism would have us believe, he may he thought to hetray a preference of mean or trivinl instances for grand morals, a capricious predilection for medilents that contrast with the depth and novelty of the truths they are to exemplify. But still to the principle, to the habit of tracing the presenco of the high in the humble, the mysterious Dii Cabiri, in the form of the Iwarf Miner, with hammer anl spude, and week-day apron, we must attribute Worlsworth's peculiar power, his leavening intluence on the opininns, feelings, and pursuits of his adnarers-most on the young of most pronise and haghest acquirements; and that, while others are read with delight, his works are a religion. A case still mow in point oceurs to me, and for the truth of which I dure pledgo myself. The art of printing ulone scems to have been privileged with a Minerval birth-to have risen in its zenith; but next to this, perhaps, the rapid and almost instantaneous ndvancement of pottery from the state in which Mr. Wedgewool found the art, to its demonstruily highest practicable perfection, is the most striking fact in the history of modern inprovements achioveal by individual genius. In his carly manhood, an obstinate and harassing complaint confined him to his room for more thun two years; and to this apparent calamity Mr. Wedgewood was wont to nttribute his after mprecedented suceces. For awhile, as was natural, the sense of "hus losing the prime and vigour of his life and faculties, preyed on his aund incessantly-Rgyravated, no doubt, ly the thought of what he should have been doing this hour and this, hall he not bern thas severely visited. Then, what he should like to take in hand; and lastly, what it vas desirable to do, nud how far it might te dome, till generalizing more and more, the mind begm to feed on the thoughts, which, at their first evolution, (in their larva state, may I say?) had preyed on :lie mind. We imagine the presence of what we desire in the very act of regreting its absence, nuy, in order to regret it the more lively ; but while, with us strange wilfulness, we are thus engendering; grief on griel, anture makes :se of the probluct to cheat us into comfort and exertion. The prositive shapings, though but of the fancy, will sooucr or la-
pult or controvert the verof the proceeding," which ramples of virtue mast con-
S. T. COLERIDGE.

I quoted in my last, may a war of offinee on the gaand there, though less than the Zanies of anonymous aght to hetray a preference capricious predilection for lty of the truths they are to bit of tracing the presenco Cabiri, in the form of the ek-day apron, we must atring influence on the opinnost on the young ot most white others are real with nore in point occurs to me, yself. The art of printing inerval birth-to lanve risen id and almost instantaneous ch Mr. Welgewood found perfection, is the most strients achieved hy individual dd harassing complaint con's; and to this apparent eahis after mprecedentel suc" "mins losing the prime and und incessantly-agyravatenl, ve been lloing this hour and hen, whit he should like to to do, mud how far it might mind legmo to feed one the cir larva state, nay I suy?) sence of what we desire in order to regret it the more e are thas engendering; grief ueat us into comfort and exthe fancy, will soouer or la-
ter dieplace the mero knowicdge of tho negative. All activity is in itself pleasure ; and according to the nature, powers, and previus hubits of the sufferer, the activity of the fancy will call the other faculties of the sonl into nction. The self-contemplative power becomes meditative. and tha mind begins to play the geometricinn with its own thoughts-abstructiug from them the acejdental and individual, till a new and unfailing sontree of employment, the liest and surest nepenthe of solitary pain, is upened ont in the habit ef eceking the principle and ultinute aint in the most imperfect productions of art, in the least attractive products of nature; of hoholding the possible in the real; of detecting the essentiml form in the intentioual; above all, in the collation and constructive inagining of the outward shapes and inaterial forces that shall lest express the essential form, in its coincidence with the iden, or realize most adequately that power, which is nne with its correspondent knowledge, as the revealing lody with its indwelling soul.
Another motivo will present itself, and one that comes nearer home, and is of suore ge:cral application, if we reffect on the habit here recommended, as a source of support and consolation in circumstances under which we might othervise sink bark on ourselves, and for want of collogly with our thoughts-with the oljects and presentatious of tho imer sense--lie listening to the fretful ticking of our sensations. A resourec of costless value has that man who has lirought himself to a halit of measuring the oljecta around him by their intended or possible ends, and the proportion in which this end is realized in each. It is the neglect of thens educating the senses, of thus disciplining, and int the proper and primitive sense of the word, informing, the fincy, that distinguislies at first sight the ruder statea of society. Evory mechanic tool, the commonest mid most indispensable implements of agriculture, might remind one of the sehool-loy's second stage in metrical composition, in which his excreise is to contain sense, buthe is allowed to eke out the scaming ly the interposition, hero and there, of an equal quantity of nonsense. And even in the existing height of national civilization, how many individuals may there not be lound, for whoso senses the non-essemial so prependerates, that though they may have lived the grenter part of their lives in the comutry, yet with some exceptions for the products of their own flower and kitchen gurilen, all the names in the index to Withering's Botany, are superseded for them by the one nam?, a weed! " $1 t$ is only a woed!" And if this incliffirence stopt here, and this purticular iguornnce were regurled as the disease, it would be sickly to complain of it. But it is as a system that it excites regret-it is that, except only the pot-herbs of lacre, and the harell double flowers of vanity, th. ir own noblest faculties, both of thought and action, are but weeds-in which, should sickness or misfortune wreek them on the desert island of their own mind, they would either not think of seeking, or be ignorant how to find, notrishment or nocdicine. As it
is guon to le provided with work for ruiny ilays, Winter industry is the hest eherrer if winter glown; mad fire-side contrivances for sumber uwe,
 heurth buze nuy comispire with, hut comot bestow or compensate.

A splemetic friemel of mine, who was fomd of outruging a trith by some whimsical hyperimole, in his way of expressing it, gravely gave it out as his opinion, thet benuty nud penins were but disenses of the comsumptive nad verofilous urder. He would not carry it finther; but yet, he minst say, that he had olserved that very good people, persoms of unusual virtue and wonevoluer, were in general aflicied with weak or restless nerves! Aftor yielling him the expecteal hugh for the oldity of tho remark, I rominded him that if his position meant any thing, the converso mont Ine true, nul we ought; the he Helens, Medicuan Vemuses, Shakspeures, Raphacls, Howards, Clarksons, and Wilberforees ly lhonsanls; and the asmemblies and pump-rooms at Bath, Inrrowgate, and Clectemhan, rival the conversazioni in the Blysiun Fiedls. Sinco then, however, 1 have often recoured to the portion of truth that lay at tho bottom of my frieml's conevit. It cammet he denied, thot ill health, in a degree below direct pain, yot listressingly atlerting the sensations, and depressing the aninul spirits, and thus having the nervous system too sensitive to pass intu the ordinary state of feeling, tuad forcing us to live in alternating positives, in* a hot-hed for whatever germs and tendencies, whether in head or heart, have beon phated there indepentently.
Surely, there is nothing fameifil in considering this as a providential provision, and as one of the comatless proofs that we are most lenignly, as well as wonderfilly, constructed! The euthing ind irritating grain of sand which by aerident or incantion has got within the shell, incites the living immate to secrete from its own resumres the means of coating the intrusivo substunce. And is it not, or may it not lue, even so, with the irreguarities and mevemuess of health and fortune in our own cense? We, too, may turn disenses into peorls. 'Ilow mems and materials are within onredves; and the process is easily understood. By a law common to all
*Pornpe it coufres while it limits this heory, that it is chicsly verified unu whose genius and pursilits nre eminently suljective, where the minil in 1 en whose genhil of its own nets ans slonpings, thinks, while it feels, in is intensely watehfin of its own ncts and lize that feeling ; above all, where arder to understand, and then to gencralze that hedm, simultansly, and yet all the powers of the mind are callen imto adte deservedly equal celebrity, severally, white in men of equal, mid pernaps demang the energies of atwhose puisuits are objective mid miversal, hematies, mad mill dejmitments Whose and ubstraction, as in mechunics, matbenatios, mem to be exempliof physiss and physiology, the very centrary wonld kecm and in whe of
 tiod. Shakspeare died of himself as gray and promaturely ohd; mul wis his sunmets he spe from infan'y these inturns hemberches whech cticipation lon, who sulfered from hat he was never free from pain, or the anticipaton, tolinhess, insinuates that he was Newtons and lailnizas have, in general,
ni ping. On the wher ham, the Newrens heallh.
been not only tong lived, lum men of robut

## Atrt:NuIX.

:30

Winter ludustry is the rivmices for sumaner us, routh, which the friendly ow or compensatr. utruging a truth by some gravely gave it out as his of the consumptive and $r$; but yet, he, must say, anis of unusual virtue and k or restless nerves! AfIdity of the remark, I roag, the converse nust bua Venusen, Shakspenres, Raby thousauds; and the asand Cheltenham, rival thos however, I have otten resotom of my frimul's condegree below direct puin, eqressing the animul spirits, ve to pass into the ordinary uting positives, is* a hot-hed " heal or heart, have been
ring this as a providential we wre most beniguly, as ; mud irritating grain of sand the shell, ineites the living means of coating the intrue, even so, with the irreguill our own case? We, ton, il materials aro within ourBy a law common to all
ory, that it is chicefly verified ly suljertive, where the mind ings, thinks, while it feels, in nat feeling ; above all, where aid eolimmitaneonsly, nud yet y deservedly equal celebrity, enanding the energies of atemmaties, and nll departments would seem to be exempliof a decline; and in une of of a dechine; aly old ; whil Mild prematurely ohs a maded in - luad-arches which moted ion from pinh, or the anting ind
id lailmitzes have, in genenl, healih.
 jeet, the purts of which are indiatinguishalde fom when other, or to is se ries, where the suressive links are only numericully difiliont. Nay, the more broken nud irritating, (as, for instance, the fructions suise wh lus dashing of a lake on its horder, rempured with the swell if the sen oin $n$ calun evening, the more quickly does it exhanat nur power of inticing it.
 ast cure in the silent pillow; and gradually destroys mur netemion to itseli ly preventing us from ntemding to any thing elsio. Prom the sume comse, muny "h lonely pationt listens to his mome, till he forgets the pain thet orcasioned them. 'The ntemtion nttematers, as its sphere contracte. But his it dees remo to a point, where tho proson's own state of decling, or any pmoticular wht of hodily sensations, are the direct ohject. 'The stember thered winding in marrower and narrower circles round its source and rentre, culs at leneth in a chrysalis, a dormitory within which the spimerer unIrosers himself in lis sleep, soon to erome firth quite a new crenture.
So it is in the slighter enses of suffiering, wheresmespension is extinetion, or followed hy hoig intervals of ense. But wheres the minsublued ransers are ever on the wath to renew the pain, that thas forees our ntention in "fon onfelves, the smar harremess and monotony of the oljowe that in minor grievances lulled the mind into oblivion, now gomis it into artion ly
 divert the attention; ; urr feclinges will still firm the main sulyjert of our thoughts. But something is alrondy gainel, if, instend of attenting to otr sensations, we login to think of them. But in order to this, we must reflect on these thoughts-or the same semeness will soon sink them down into mere feeling. And in order to sustain the aet of reflertion 6 on onr thoughts, we are obliged more and more to eompare and genemize them, $n$ process that to a certain extent implies, mid in a still greater degree excites and introhluces, the ant and power of abstrocting the thoughts and images rom their original canse, ani4 of reflecting on then with less and less referena of the individum suffiring that had been their first sulyere. The vis meciantain of Nature is nt work for us in all our ficulitios and lulits, the associate, reproductive, comparative, and combinatery.
That this sonmer of eomsolation and support man be equally in your power as in mine, but that you may never havo oreasion to frol equally gratefill for it, an 1 have, and do in looly and estate, is the fervent wish of your iffectionate
S. T. COLERIDGE.


## APPENDIX

TO THE

## STATESMAN'S MANUAL,

## COMMENTS AND EASAYN.

## [1.]

In this use of the worl "sufficiency," I pre-suppose on the part of the reuler on hearer, a humble umi docilo ntate of mind, nid above mill the practice of prayer, as the necessary comblition of surh a atate, and the hest if not the only memes of beroming sincere to our own heants. Christianity is esprecially ditheremed from all uther religions by lecing groumded on furts whirh all men alike have the moms of nsertnining-the some menns, with equal facility, and which no man can nsertain for another. Each person must be hercin querist and respondent to himself; AmI siek, and therefore need a plysician? --Am I in spiritunl slavery, nud therefore need a ransomer?-I lave I given a pledge, whieh must be redeemed, nnd which I cmanot redeem ly my own resources?-Am I at one with Gorl, nad is my will eoncentric with that holy fower, which is at oneo the constitutive will :und the sulpreme reason of the miverse? -If not, must I not be mad if I do not seek, mul miserable if I do mot discover mad embrace, the means of al-one-ment? To collect, to weigh, and to appreciate historical proofs and presmuptions is not empatly within the means and opportunities of every man alike. 'The testimony of looks of history is one of the strong and stately pillurs of the chureh of Christ ; hut it is not the forndation; nor ran it without loss of essential faith loe mistaken or substituted for tho foundation. 'There is a sect, which, in its seornfint pride of antipathy to mysterics, (that is, to all those doctrines of the pmre and intuitivo reason, which transecul the understaming, and ran never be eontemplated ly it, hut throngla a false and falsifying perspective, aflects to condem, all inward and prelimuary experience, as enthusiastic delasion or fanatic con-
tagion. Historie evidener, on the other hamd, these men treat, as the Jews of old trented the hrazern serpent, which was the relic and o vidence of the
 and therefore It ackiah ( who rlawe to the Lard, and did right in the sight of the Lord, so that atcer him was nowe like him, among ath the kings of dudiah, nor any that wore befire him) not only 'remowed the high places, and brake the imares, and ent down the groves; bat likewise hrake in pioces the Buazen Sanplevt that Moses bad made: for the children of Isrued dial hum incense to it.
To prechude an error so pernicions, 1 request that to the wilful neghere of those outwarl ministrutions of the werd whel all Engtishmen have the privilege of atemding, the reader will add the setting int monght likrwise of those inward mums of grare, withont whiff the langrage of the Scriptures, in the most fiathitul translation and in the purest and plaisest Finglish, nust nevertheless continue to be a deml langunge : a stin-dial by moonlight.

## [13.]

Not withont great hesitution should I express a suspicion concerning the gemineness of amy, the hast important passage in the New 'Testament, unless I could aldure the most conclusive evidenee from the earliest umbsicripts and commentators, in support of its interpolation: well knowing that such jernission has already opened a door to the most fearin lifense. It is indect, in its comseruences, no tess than an assumed right of pricking and chasing our religion ont of the Scriptures. Most as. suredly I would never hazmil a suggestion of this kind in any instance in which the retention or the omission of the words conld make the slightesit dilfereure with regard to tiet, miracke, or preecpt. \{itill less woulh I start the question, where the hypothesis of their interpolation combld to wrester ot the diseomintenaneing of any article of doctrine conecring which dissension existed: no, not though the doult or distrelief of the doctrine hat lreen confined to those, whose laith few hut themselves would honour with the name of Christianity; however relnctant we might le, hoth from the courtesies of social life and the nobler charities of humility, to withhold from the persons themselves the title of Cliristians.

But as there is nothing in $\mathbf{v .} 40$ of Mathew, $c$. xii. which would full within this general rule, I dare permit myself to propose the query, whether there does not exist internal evidence of its being a gloss of sone mulearnend, thongh pions, christian of the first century, which lind slipt into the text? The following are my reasons. 1. It is at all events a comment on the words of our Saviour, and no part of his sperell. 2. It interrupts the comer and brenks down the jut and appiantion of our Lord's argument, as addresed to men, who, from their mwillingmes to sarritioe their vain traditions, gainful hypocrisy, and pritle both of heart and of deameanor,
wese men treat, as the Jows er relic mul , villence of the llaey tmed it into an idol: 1, and did right in the sight im, anomer all the kings af 'remowed the high places, ves; hut likewise lrake in nullo' for the children of Is
et that to the wiltin neglere whela all linglislmern haw I the setting at monght likewhich the lamgage of the I in the purest aud plajuest anl langunge : a sun-dial by
ess a susjucion conecruing pastige in the New 'Testuive evidence from the emrliurt of its interpolation : well ned a door to the most fears, no legs than un assumed of the Arerijutures. Most as'this kind in any instance in mols could make the slightest ept. Still less woulil I start itcrpolation could be wrested etrine conceming which disdishelief of the doctrine haul lu:mselves wonle! honour with we might le, both from the ties of humility, to withlıold istians.
, c. xii. which would fall withpropose the query, whether leing a gloss of some unlearnwry, which hul slipt into the is at all events a comment on s specels. 2. It intermpts the tion of our lorl's aremment, lingursis to surritice their vain lo of heart and of deancanor,
demanded a mirarle for the confirmation of mom trithes that must have lorne withess to the ir own divinity in the consciences of all who had not renuleral liemselves comsciene-promf. S3. The text strietly taken is irreconcilouble with the fart as it is atlerwards related, and as it is miversally meeputed. I at least remember no calenlation of time, aceorling to which the interspace from Friday evening to the carliest dawn of Sumbay morning, could be represented as three days and three nights. As three days our Saviour, himself suraks of it (Jolm ii. 19,) ant sos it would he deseriterd in common language as well an urcorling to the use of the Jews; lut I can find no other prat of Seripture which anthorizes the pluase of three nights. 'Ihis gloss is not fomble either in the repection of the circomstances ly Muthew himself (xvi. 4,) uor in Mark, (viii. 12,) nor in Lake, (xii. 51:-Mark's narration doth indeed nost strikingly confirm my secoml reason, drawn from the purpose of our Saviours argment: for the allusion to the prophet Jonas is omited altogether, and the refisal therefore rests on the depravity of the applicants, as proved by the wantomess of the application itself. All signs must have been useless to sueh men as long as the great sign of the times, the cull to repentance, remainad without effect. 4. The gloss correnponde with the known fimbluess of ' the earlier Jewish converts, and inded of the christians in general of the second century, to bring out in detail mul into exact sipuare every accommodation of the Old 'Testanenit, which they either finmel in the gospels, or made for themselves. It is too notorions into what strunge fancies, (not always at safe distance from dangerous errors) the oldest minspired writers of the eloristian church were seduced hy this pitssion of trunsmuting, without scriptural anthority, ineidents, manes, and even mere somuls of ${ }^{\circ}$ the Ilebrew Scriptures into evangelical types and correspondencies.
An additional reason may perhaps ocenr to those who alone would be qualified to mpreciate its foree: viz. to liblical scholans familiar with the opinions and arguments of sundry doctors, rabbinical as well as christian, respecting tho first aad seconl chapter of Jonath.

## [C.]

Reason and Religion differ only as a two-fold aplipation of the same power. But if we are obliged to distinguish, we must iteally separate. In this sense 1 affirm, hat Reason is the knowledge of the laws of the Whole ronsidered as Ose: and as such it is contradistinguished from the Understambling, which comerons itself exdusively with the quantitios, qualities, and relations of particulars in time mud space. The Understanmina, therefore, is the seimee of phemomena, and their subsumption under distinct kinls and sorts, (romus and sprcies.) Its finctions vaply the ruless and constimte the pasilitity of Ciprmance; hat remain mere logical forms, excent ats far as materials are given hy the senses or sensations. 'The Reason, on the other hand, is the acienec of the miversal, having the
ideas of Onfwnss and Ahbness as its two elements or primary finctor: In the language of the old sehools,


IThe Reason first manifests itself in man by the tevency to the comprehension of all as one. We can meither rest in an infinite that is not at the sume time a whole, nor in a whole that is not infinite. Hence the natnral Man is always in a state either of resistance or of captivity to the nuderstanding and the fancy, which cannot rejuesent totulity without linit: and he cither loees the Oxe in the striving utter the Infinite, (i. c. Atheisin with or without polytheism) or the Infinite in the striving after the Ose, (i. c. anthroponorphic monotheism.)
The rational instinct, therefore, tuken abstractedly nnit ubbalanced, did in itself, ('ye shall be as golds Gen. iii. 5.) and its consequences, (the lusts of the flesh, the eye, and the understanding, as in verse the sixth,) form the original temptation, through which man fell : and in all ages has continned to originute the sun- - even fron Adan, in whom we all fell, to the atheists who deitied the hman reason in the person of a harlot during the carlier period of the French revelution.

To this tendency, therefure, Rehigos, as the consideration of the Particular and ludividual (in which respect it takes up and identifies with itedf the excellenee of the Understanding) but of the Individual, as it exists and hus its being in the Universal (in whieh respect it is one with the pure Reason, to this tendency, I say, Remeron assigns the due limits, and is the echo of the 'voice of the Loord God walking in the garden.' Henee in all the ages und countrics of civilization, Religion hus been the parent nud fosterer of the Fine Arts, as of Poetry, Musie, Puinting, \&e. the comnon cssence of which consists in a similar union of the Univereal and the Individual. In this union, morcover, is contained the truc sense of tho lofai.. Unter the old Law tho altar, the curtains, the priestly vestments, und whatever clse wis to represent the Beauty of Honiness, hail an ideal character: and the 'Temple itself' was a master-piece of Ileal Beanty.

There exists in the human being, at least in man filly developert, no mean syublol of 'Tri-unity, in Reason, Religion, and the Will. F'or eard nif the three, though a distinet arency, implies and demands the other two un! luses its own nature at the monent that from distinction it passes into division or sepration. 'The pertect firunc of a man is the perfect fiame of a state: and in the light of this idea we must real llateos leprebeic.
ements of primary liwetors.
the terulcney to the collurean infinite that is not at the t infinite. Henes the nutue or of eaptivity to the minwent totality without limit: er the Infinite, (i. e. Athere in the striving after the
tetedly uni mbalanced, did 1 its consequences, (the lusts as in verse the sixth, form sll : ant in all uges has con, in whon we all fell, to the persun of a hariot diring the
he eonsideration of the Parakes up and identifies with t of the Individual, as it exch respect it is one with the vassigns the tue linits, and lking in the garlen.' Hence eligion hate been the parent Iusie, Puinting, \&c. the comion of the Universal and the cained the truc sense of tho tains, the priestly vestments, ry or Honiness, had an ideal r-piece of Ideal Beanty. ; in man filly a leveloped, no on, and the Will. For each s und demands the other two, rom distinetion it jasses into of a man is the perfect frune must real l'lato's Rerublec.

## APPENItX.

For, If I julge righty, this celebrated work is to "'Ilas listory of the 'Town of Man-soul,' what l'lato was to Jolun Bmyan.

Tho comprehension, impartiality, nud hir-sightelucss of Reason, (the Lealshative of our matme, taken singly and exelusively, becomes mern visionariness in intellect, mul indolence or hard-heartedness in morads. It is the science of cosmopritisism without country, of philanthropy without neighbouriness or eonsugninity, in short, of all the impostures of that philosophy of the French revohtion, which wonh marrifice Eawh to the shatowy iitol of Ale. For Jacolinisu is monstrum hybridum, mude 11 in part of dexpotism, and in part of abstract reason misapplicel to oljeets that helong entirely to experience mol tho moderstanding. Its instinats nud mode of aetion are in striet correspondence with its origin. In all places Jacobinism betrays its mixt parentage and nature, by applying to the brute pistsions and plysical foreo of the multitude (that is, to man as a mere unimal, ) in order to build up govermment and the frame of society on natural rights instead of social privileges-on the universals of abstract renson instead of positivo institutions, the lights of specific experienee, and tho modifications of existing circmustances. Rigut, in its most proper sense, is the creaturo of law and statute, and only in the technical language of the courts has it any substantial and independent sense. In morals, Right is a worl without meaning except as the correlative of Duty.

From all this it follows, that Renson as the science of All as the Whole, inust be interpenetruted by a Power, that represents the concentration of All in Lach-a Power that ucts by a coutraction of miversal truths into individual duties, as the only form in which those truths ean attain life and reality. Now this is Relioion, which is the Execetive of our nature, ond on this account the name of highest dignity, and the symbol of sovereignty.

Yet this again-yet even Religion itself, if ever in its too exchsivo devotion to the specific and individual it negleets to interpose the contemphetion of the universal, changes its being into Superstition; and becoming more and more eathly and servile, as more and more estranged from the one in all, goes wandering at leugth with its pack of amulets, bead-rolls, periapts, fetisches, and the liko pedlary, on pilgrimages to Loretto, Mecca, or the temple of Juggernaut, arm in arm with sensmality on one side and self-torture on the other, followed by a motley group of friars, pardoners, fupuirs, gamesters, flagellants, momtehauks, and harlots.

But neither can reason or religiom exist or co-exist as reasm and religion, except as far ns they are actuated by the Wus.: (the platonie: คryos,) which is the sustaining, coervive mud ministerinl power, the fimetions of which in the individual correspond to the otlieers of war and police in the wenl Repullier of Plato. In its state of inmmane (or indwelling) in reatson and religion, the $W_{\text {wh }}$ apmary indifirently, an wisidom or as love: two names of the same power, the former more intelligential, the latter
more piritual ; the firmer more frepuent in the Old, the latter in the New 'lostament. But infits utmost abstraction ant consequent state of reprobation, the Will becomes satamic pride and rehellions sell-illolatry in the relations of the spinit to itself, amal remorseless despotism relatively to others; the more hopeless us the more ondurate by its subjugation of semenal impulses-ly its supreriority to toil mid pain and plensure; in short, hy the fearlinl resolve to find in itself nlome the ono absolute motive of action, nader which all other motives from within and from without must be either subortinuted or crushed.
'This is tho character which Milom has so philesophically as well ns subfincly emborlied in the Sutan of his Paradiec Lost. Alas! too often has it been embodied in real life! Too often has it given a dark and snvage grmodeur to the historic page! And wherever it has appeared, miler whatever circumstances of tine and comntry, the same ingredients have gone to its composition; and it has been identified by the same attributes. Hopo in which there is no Cheerfulness; Stelfastness within and immovalle Resolve, with outward Restlessness and whirling Activity; Violence with Ginile ; Temerity with Cumning; and as the resule of all, Interminabeness of Olject with perfect indifterence of Means; these are the qumlities that have constituted the Commanding Genius ! these are the Marks that have characterized the Masters of Mischief, the Liberticiles, and mighty Ilunters of Mankinl, from Nimrod to Napoleon. And from inattention to the possibility of such a character as well as from ignorance of its clements, even men of honest intentions too frequently hecome fascinated. Nay, whole nations have beca so far duped hy this want of insight and reflection as to regard with palliative admiration, instend of wonder and abhorrenec, the Molochs of human nature, who are indebted, for the far larger portion of their metcoric success, to their total want of principle, and who surpass the generality of their fellow ereaturesin one act of courage only, that of daring to say with their whole heart, ' Evil he thou my good!' Ali system so far is power; and a systematie criminal, self-consistent und entire in wickedness, who entrenches villainy within villainy, and barricadoes crime ly erime, has removed a world of olstacles ly the mere decision, that he will have no obstacles, but those of force and brute matter.

I have only to add a feev sentences, in completion of this note, on the Conscience and on the Unierstandino. The conscience is neither reason, religion, or will, but an experience (sui generis) of the coincidence of the human will with reason nurl religion. It might, perhaps, be culled a spiritual sensation; lout that there lurks a contradietion in the terms, med that it is often deceptive to give a common or generie name to that, which heing unipue, can have no fair analogy. Sirictly soraking, therefore, the consciente is neither a semsation or a solne ; but a tertifying state, lest described in the words of our liturgy, as the peace of Gun that dasseth aha tederastandist.

Onl, the later in the Now mserpuent state of rejprollious self-idolatry in the spotism rehtively to othits subjugation of sennual plensure ; in short, hy the bsolute motive of atetion, from without must be ci-
asophicully as well as subost. Alas! too often has given a dark and savage it it has appenrel, muler the same ingredients huvo el by the same attributes. fartuess within nad immohirling Activity ; Violenco te result of all, IuterninaMenns; these are the qualvius ! these are the Marks, the Liberticides, and mighceon. Aul from inattention $s$ from ignorance of its clequently licoome fiscinated. y this want of insiglt and ion, instend of wonder anil loo are indebted, for the far eir total want of principle, creaturesin one act of courole heart, 'Evil be thou my ematic criminal, self-consistvillniny within villainy, and rld of olostacles hy the mere rose of force and brute mat-
pletion of this note, on the le conscience is neither reaneris) of the coincidence of might, perhaps, be called a traliction in the terms, and generic name to that, which ictly spuaking, therefore, the but it tertifying state, lest deeace of Gud that dassexil

Of this latter faculty, considered in and of itself, the peripatetic nphorism, mihil in intellectu quod non prins in sensu, is strictly true, as well as the legal maxim, do rebus hon apmrentilus ot non existentilns ealem est ratio. 'The cye is not more inappropriate to somal, than the mere mulerstanding to the modes mod haws of spiritmal existence. In this sense I have nsed the terms; and in this sense I assert that "the understanding or experimental faculty, mirrudiated hy the reason num the spir:t, has no appropriate object but the material world in relation to our worlly interests. 'Ihe fir-sighted prodene of man, and the more narrow but at the same time far less fallible cmming of the fox, ure both mother than n nobler substitute for sall, in order that the hog may not putrefy hefore its destined hour!! l'amend, p. 80.
It must not, however, be overlooked, that this insulation of the mulerstanding is our own act and deed. 'The man of healthfil and midividesl intelleet uses his moderstanding in this state of alsstraction only as a tool or organ: even as the arithmeticinn uses munhers, that is, as the means not the end of knowledge. Our Shakespeare in agreement both with truth and the philosophy of his age names it "discourse of reason," as in instrumental fienlty belonging to reason : mid Milton opposes the discursive to the intuitive, as the lower to the higher,
"Differing but in degree, in kind the sause!"
Of the discursive malestandiug, whieh forms fur itself general notions and terns of classitication for tho purpose of compuring and armiging phenomena, the Charncteristic is Clemress withont Depth. It eontemplates the unity of things in their limils only, nul is comsequentIy a koowledge of superficio's without sulstance. So math so, indeed, that it emangles itself in contradictions in the very effort of conprehembing the iter of suhstance. The eompleting power which unites clearness with depth, the plenitule of the sense with the comprehensibility of the materstanding, is the imagination, impregnated with which the melerstanding itself becones intuitive, nul a living power. The reason, (not the abstract reasen, not the reason as the mere organ of science, or as the facolty of scientific principles and schemes a priori; but reason) as the integral spirit of the regenerated minn, reason substantiated anil vital, 'one omly, yet manifold, oversteing all, and going through all mulerstanding; the breath of the power of Gol, anil a pure influence from the glory of the Ahmighty; which remaining in itself regenerateth all other powers, and in all ages entering into holy sonls maketh them friends of Gad and prophets;' (Wisdom of Solomon, c. vii.) the Reasos, without heing either the Sense, the Understandino or the Gagivation, contains all three within itedf, even as the mind contains its thoughts, and is present in and through then all; or as the expression pervales the diflement features of an intelligent commenimee. Each indivinhal mast bear witness of it to his own mind, even as he describes life and light: and with the silence of
light it describes itself, aud dwells in as only as far as we dwell in it. It camot in strict languge lie salled a faculty, much less a personal properry, of any human mind! He, with: whom it is present, can us little appropriate it, whether totally on b:y purtition, as ho can claim ownership) in the lireathing uir or nuke winelosure in the eope of heaven.

The olject of the preceding discourse was to recommend the Bible, os tho end and center of our rending and meditation. I can truly aflirm of myself, that my studies have heen profitahle and availing to me only so far, an 1 have entencored to uso all my other knowlenge as a glass enalling me to receive more light in a wider field of vision from the word of God. If you have uccompunied me thus fir, thonghtinl reader! let it not weary you if I digress for a few moments to another book, likewise a revelation of God-the great hook of his servaut Nature. 'lhat in its obvious senso and literal interpretation it declures the lecing and attributes of the Almigh ty Futher, none but the fool in heart has cver dared gainsay. Bur it has been the music of gentle and pious minds in all ages, it is the poetry of all human nuture, to read it likewise in a figurative sense, and to find therein correspondeneries und symbols of the spiritual work.
I have at this monent lefore me, in the flowery meadow, on which my rye is now reposing, one of its most soothing chapters, in which thene is no lanenting word, no one character of guilt or anguish. For never com 1 look and moditate on the vegetable creation willont a feeling similar to that with which we gaze at a beantiful infint that has fed itself askep, at its mother's hosom, mal smiles in is , strange drean of obsenre yet happy sensations. The sane tender and geman, deasure tukes possession of hac, and this phensure is cherked and hawn inward lyy the like aching melaneholy, ly the sme whispered rounonstrunce, and made restless by a similar impulse of aspination. It seeme as if the soul said to herself: from this state hast thou fallen! Such shouldst thon stitl become, thy Self all permeable to a holier jower! thy Self at once lidden and gloritied hy its own trousparency, as the aceidental and dividuous in this quiet and harmonions olject is subjected to the life and light of nature which shines in it, even as the transmitted power, love rud wisdon, of Gowl over ull fills, mul shines through, nature! But what the plant is, by an act not its own and umeonscionsly-that must thou make thyself to become! must hy prayer and by a watehfin and muresisting spirit, join at least with the preventive and assisting grace to make thyself, in thut light of conserience which inflaneth not, and with that knowledge which puffeth not ין

But firther, fand with particular referenee to that mudivided Reason, neither merely speculative or merely practienl, hut loth in one, which I have in this muntation endeavoured to comra-listinguish from the Understanding, I veem to myself to belald in the quiet objects, on which I am gazing, more than an arlitrary illustration, more than a mere simile, tho
os we dwell in i. It less a personnl propersent, can us little approan claim ownership in of heaven.
ecommend the Bible, as 1. I can truly allirm of ailing to me only so far, ye as a glass enabling ue in the word of God. If ader! let it not weary ok, likewise a revolation Dhat in its obvions senso attrihutes of the Almighred gainsay. Jhut it has ages, it is the poctry of e sense, and to find thereworkl.
very meadow, on which hing ehupters, in which $f$ guilt or nnguish. For cration withont a feeling linlint that has fed itself strunge drean of olscure man , leasire takes possesdrawn inward ly the like onstrance, and made restcme as if the soul said to houldst thou still become, Self nt once hislden und ental and dividuous in this be life and light of nature wer, love rud wisdon, of But what the plant is, by 1st thou make thyself to be1 muresisting spirit, join at , make thyself, in that light that knowledge whieh pur-
to that imdivided Reason, ll, but hoth in one, which 1 ra-distinguish from the Ln10 fluiet olyjects, on whirh I more than a nere simile, the
work of my nwn Finncy? I fiel an avo, as if there were hefore my eyes the sume lower, as that of the lhasson-the eame lower ha a lower digsnity, and therefore a symhol established in the truth of thimes. If fed it alike, whether I contemplate st single tree or flower, or merditate on vegetation througlout the world, as one of the great organs of the life of mlure. La!-with the rising sinn it eommenees its outward life and enters into nifll commmion with all the clements, at nnce assimilating then to itself and to each ot:.,cr. At tho same moment it strikes its roots amd mo folds its leaves, inkorles and respires, ateams firth its cooling vapour and finer fiarmuef, and breathes a repuiring spirit, at onee the food and tome of the athospliere, into the atmosiphero that feeds it. La!-nt the tomels of light how it returns an air nkin to light, and yet with the same pulse effertuates its own secret growth, still contracting to fix whet expanding it had refured. Lo!-hew upholding the ernseless plastic motion of the parts in the profoundest rest of the wholo it becomes the visille organismus of the whole silen. or elementory life of nature, and, therefore, in ineorporating the one extreme becomes the symhol of the other; the natural eynuhol of that higher life of reason, in which the whole series (known to us in our present state of being) is perfected, in which, therefore, all the suliordinate gradations recur, and are re-orlained "in more alnmdemt homor." We hud seen each in its own cast, and we now recognize them all as en-existing in the mity of a higher form, the Crown and Completion of the Farthly, und the Medintor of a now and heavenly sories. Thas, finally, the vegetable cration, in the simplicity and miformity of its internal stricture symbolizing the mity of noture, whils it represents tho ommiformity of ler delagated finctions in its external variety and manifolduess, becomes the record and elironicle of her mimisterial acts, and inchases the vist unfohled volume of the earth with the hieroglyphics of her history.

O!-if as the plant to the orient heam, we would liut open nut our minds to that holier light, which 'lu ing compared with light is fimmi hetore it, more beautifil than tise sun, and alove all the order of stars,' (Wisdom on Solomon, vii. 29 , ) ungenial, alien, and ndwerse to our vory nature would appear tho hoostlill wisfom which, heginning in France, gradually tampred with the taste and literature of ull the most esivilized nations of cliristemdon, sedueing the understanding from its natural alleginnce, and therewith from all its own lawful clains, titles, and privileges. It was placed ns a ward of honow in the cours of fiath and reason ; but it chose to dwell nlone, and bocance on hartot by the way-side. 'The commercial spirit, and the ascendancy of the experimental philosoply which took place at the close of tho fiontenth century, though lowh good and hencticial in their own kinds, combined to foster its compuion. Flattered and dizeded by the real or supposed diseoveries, which it had made, the more the milerstanding was emiched, the more did it hecome debased; till scimene itself put on a sill
ith and sensual eharncter ; and immediate utility, in exclusive refereneo to the gratification of the wants aud appetites of the animal, the vanities mad cuprives of the social, and the ambition of the politienl, man, was infuosed ns the test of all intellectual powers and pursuits. $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{F}}$ / was degraded into a lazy synonyme of value ; und value was exelusi , y atached to the interest of the senmes. Ibut thongh the arowing alienation and self-sufliciency of the underatumbing was pereeptible at an curl er period, yet it seems to have heen ahout the middle of the hast cento $y$, muder has influenee of Voltaire, D'Alembert, Diderot, way generally on the so-enlled Eracyclopnelists, and alas!-of their erowned proselyte imd disciples, lirederick, Joseph, and Cutharine, thut the Kamen Vnderstanding, mid this t. in its nirowest form, was tempted to throw ofl all show of reverence to the spiritual and even to the moral powers and impulses of the sonl; and usnrping tio name of reason amenly joined the bunners of Anti-elorist, at once the pander and the prostitute of sensuality, and whether in the cabinet, laboratory, the dissecting-room, or the brothel, alike busy in the sehemes of viee and irreligion. Well and truly might it , thus personified In our faney, lave been addressed in the words of the evangelical propinet, which I have once before queted: "Thou hast snid, none is my overseer! thy wisilom and thy knowledge, it hath perverted thee!-and thon hast sidd in thy heart, I am, and thero is none besides me!" (Isaiah, xlvii. 10.)

Prumient, bustling, and revolutionary, this French wisdom has never more than grazed the surfaces of knowledge. As politieal neomony, in its zeal for the increase of food, it habitually overleoked the qualitios and even the sensations of these that were to feed on it. As ethical philosophes, it reeognized no duties which it cond not reduce into debtor and crediter accouts on the ledgers of self-love, where no coin was sterling which could not be rendered into agrecable sensations. And even in its height of self-complacency as chemical art, greatly amI deceived if it has not from the very begiming mistaken the products of destruetion, cadnwra rerum, for the clements of compesition: and most assuredly it has denrly purchased a few brilliant inventions at tho loss of all communion with life and the spirit of nature. As the procese, such tho result! a heartless frivolity alternating with a sentimentality as heartless-an ignorant contempt of antiquity-a neglect'of moral self-discipline-a deadening of the religions sense, even in the less reflecting forms of natural piety-n scornfil reprolation of all consolntions and secret refreshings from aboveand as the caput mortumin of homan mature evaporated, a French nature of mpacity, levity, ferocity and presunption.

Man of moderstanding, canst thou command the stone to lie, canst thon bid the flower bloom, where thon hast placed it in thy classification?Canst thou persuade the living or the inmimate to stand separate even as thou hast separated them?-And do not far rather all things sprenci out he-
in exclusive reference to animul, the vanities mul itienl, man, was infosed ง. I! ! was degruleal chase $y$ attached to the alichation tud self-sutian earlice jueriod, yet it centa. $y$, under tho influrally of the so-enlled Eri-lyte- and diseiples, Fredmerstunding, mul this t all show of reverence to mpulses of the son!; and bunners of Anti-christ, at , nud whether in the calricothel, alike busy in the - might ir, thus personified of the evangelical prophet, said, none is my overseer! ted thee!-and thon hast sides me!" (Isainh, xlvii.
rench wisdom has never As political nconomy, in its ortooked the qualities and on it. As ethieal philosot reduco into dehtor and where 110 coin was sterling nsations. And even in its atly am I deceived if it has ducts of destruction, cadn: and most assuredly it has tho loss of all communion ss, such the result! a heart$y$ as heartless-an ignorant f-discipline-a dendening of ig forms of natural picty-a ret refreshings from aboveevaporated, a French nature
dhe stone to lie, canst thon ed it in thy classification?ate to stand separate cven as ather all things spreni out he-
fire dise in gind confinsion and hedless internixure, even as a lightsome chans on which the spirit of (iod is moving?-Dhe hot all press and swell under one atmetion, and live together in pomisenous harmony, each juy"iss in its own kiml, and in the immedinte neighbourhood of Myrime others that in the system of thy understanding cre distant as the looles:- If to mint and to remember numes delight thee, still arrmuge a 1 classify and pere and pull to pieves, and peep into Death to look for Life, as monkies put their homas wiond a lowking-rhass! Yet consider, in the first suhtmath whic!, thon imposest on the lungy disenreion of thought, that all this is int best lithe more than a teelmiral memory: that like cma only be known ly like: that os truth is the correlative of Being, so is the net of being the: grent orgm of Truth: that in matural no less than in moral science, fuintum sumus, scimas.
That, which we find in oursilves, is (gradu mutato) the sulistance and the life of all our knowledge. Without this latent presene of the 'I am,' all modes of existence in the extrrinal world would thit hefore ats as eolored shadows, with $n o$ greater depth, root, or fixture, then the immge of a rock hath in a gliding strem, or the ruin-how on a $f^{\prime}$ sailing rain-storm. The hmman mind is the compass, in whieh the hav ano "mations of all
 cation of Geometry to the forces and movoments the 4 atorial worlh is both proof and instance.) 'The fact therefore, : 10 : 4 the nimd of man in its own primary and constituent forms represents hwo thature, is a mystery which of itself should sutfice to make us religios: fir it is a problem of which Goil is the only solution, Ged, the orse hefore all, and of all, and through all !-"'True natural philosophy is e $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{in}$ in the study of the science and languago of symbols.' The power welegrated to nature is all in every part: and ly a symbol I mean, not a metaphor or allegery or any other figure of speceli or form of faney, hut an actual and essential part of that, the whole of which it represents. Thus our Lord speaks symbolically when he says that 'the cye is the light of the body.' The genuine muturalist is a dramatic poet in his own line: and such as our myriad-minded Shaknpeare is, compared with the Rncines and Metastasios, such and hy a similar process of seli-transformation would the man be, compared with the Doctors of the mechanic sehool, who should construct his physiolugy on the heaven-lescended, Know 'Thyself:
Bien 'the visions of the night' spenk to us of jowers within us that are not droumt of in their day-drean of philosophy. The dreams, which we most offen remember, are produced by the naseent sensations and inward motiuncule (the fluxions) of the waking state. Henee, too, they are more capulle of heing remembered, becunse passing more gradually into our waking thoughts they ure more likely to associate with our first perepptions after sleep. Aecordingly, when the nervons system is npproaching to the waking state, a sort of under-consciousness hlends with our ilreams,
that, in all, we lumgine in seen or lieard, our owin melf is the ventilongust, and mover tho slides in the magie--lunthors. We drean ahout things! But there ure few persons of tender feelings and reflecting habits, who have mon, more or less often in tho conne of their lives, experienced dromens of very ditlierent kind, and during the prolinamdest sleep that is commatillo with after-recollection-States, of which it womid he starcely tou both to say that we drean the things themselves; so exnet, minute, and vivid beyond all power of ordinary memory is the porthiture, so murvellonsly perfect is our brief metempsyehosis into tho very beins, us it were, of the germon who seems to aldrese ins. If I may ho allowed to quote from myself; (r'maxis, No. 8.) tho thilest wight is at times a Shakspure ill his ireans.' Not only may wo expect, thut men of strong religious feelings, hut litte religions knowlelge, will occasionally be tempted to rogarl surh occurrences as supernatural visitations ; but it ought not to surpriso us, if unch dreaus should sonnctimes be conirmed by the event, us though they had actually poseresed a character of divination. For who sluall decide, how fir a perfeet reminisconce of pist experiences, (of matny pertaps that had escaped our reflex consciensnese at the tinue)-who shall determine, to what extent this reproductive imagiuation, insophisticated by tho will, and undistructed hy intrusions from the senses, may or may unt bo concentered and nublimed into foresight and presentiment? Thero would be nothing hercin either to foster superatition on the one lund, or to justify contemptuns disbelief on the other. Incrednlity is lint ('redulity seen from behimb, bowing and uodling assent to the llabitual and the Frashionable.
To the tonch (or freling) helongs the proximate; to the eye, the distans. Now little as 1 might bo disposed in believe, I whould les still less inclined to ridieule, the eonjecture that in the recesses of our nuture, and undeveloped, thero might exist an iuner sense, (and therefore uppertaining wholly to 'liine, - a sense hitherto ' without a name,' which as an ligher Third eombined and potentially included both the former. Thus gravitation combines and includes the powere of attrantion and repulsion, which are the constituents of muller, as distinguished from body. And thens, not as a compound, lute as a higher 'rhirl, it realizes matter fof itself ens thuxionale et prefluma) and constitutes it boly. Now mulpuse, that this maneless inner sense stood to the relations of Timens the power of gravitation to those of Space? A priori, a presence to the Fiuture is not more mysterions or transcendent, than a presence to the Distant: than a power equally immediate to the most remnte oljects, as it is to the central mass of its own body, toward which it seems, ns it were, enchunting then: "for instance, the gravity in the son nud moon to the suring tides of our occas. The trun rejly to such an hypothesis would be, that as there is nothing to hes suid ngainst its possibility, there is, likewise, nothing to he urged for its reality; and that the facts may be rationally explained without it.
n self in the ventrilinguat, o dreann abotet things! ad reflecting hulits, who - their liven, experienced prolinimlest sterep that is lich it would le scarcely es; wi exist, minute, and the porthatiture, no inurvelthe very heine, is it were, may be allowed to guote t is at times a Shakspoure It men of strong religious asionally be tenipted to ro( F ; luit it ought not to anrconllrined by the event, as r of divination. F'or who phast experiunces, (of inaonsmess at the time)-who ve inuginutien, musophistins from the senses, may or oresight and presentiment? ter supnerstition on the one: be other. lieredislity is lint ding assent to the Ihalitual
nate; to the eye, the distant. I shondel fer still less incliasses of' our nuture, amal unand therefore npןertaining natne;' which as an higher Itwe former. 'I'fus gravitaartion and repulsion, which I from body. And thas, not ealizes matter (of itself ens y. Now suppose, that this - Tinue ns the power of graien to the Future is not inore the Distant: than a power as it is to the coutral muss were, enchanting them: for ho spring tilles of onr ocem. e, lhat as iliere is nething to , noulhing to loe urged for its planed withont it.

It has been askul, why knowing myself to les the ohyert of purmmal
 are provaration) I furninh this muti rial tior it, ly plemening in palliation of ${ }^{\circ}$ so chimerival a fancy. With that hulf phayfinl sumese, which ut onee sighes muld smiles, I suswered: why net bir that very reuson? - viz. in order that my calmmintor might linve, if rot a material, yet meme hasis fir the poi-son-gas of hise invention to combine with? - lhit no,-pmere! filselumel is oflen for the timo the mont ellicetive; for haw cin a man confinte what lex
 Think only what your feelinge would lee if you henril a wretch deliberitely perjure himsulf in enpure of an infinoms uremsum, en remute from all fiet, sor smooth und homogeneous in its untruth, sumf a round robin of mere lien, that you knew not which to legin with? -What could you do, but leok rennel with horror and astonishinent, pleading silently to human muture itself,-and perhaps (as hath really been the cane with mes) firget bath the elamderer and his slamder in the anguish intlieted ly the passiveness of your many professed irimis, whose charncters yon had ower hem as cager to clear from the lenst stain of reproach as if a comb of fire haid been on your own skin ?- lhat chough of this whirla wond hot have ocenired to me at all, at this time, hull it not beon thas suggesterl.
'Ibe ferling, that in point of fatt chiesty influenced ne in the preceding hall' apulogy fior the supposition of a divining power in the human mind, arose out of the conviction, that nu age, or mation, may hecome fires from rertain projulires, beliefs, amil supwrstitions practiver in fao ways. It may lmee really risen above them; or it may have fallen below them, und bocone wo lat fir their continuance. "Ihe rustie: would have litte rensen to thmak the philueginer, who shombla give him true comopotions of phasts, omusis, irvenus, and presentiments at hue price of abandoning his tiath in l'rovilence mad in the continued existence of his fellow-rentures afler their demb. The teeth of the old nerpent eowal by the Culmises of l'rench literaure under Lewis xv. producel a plent ons erop of such philosophers und truth-trumpeters in the reign of his ill-fited suecessor. They tanght many fuels, historical, politimal, plysiologieal, and evelesinstienl, dillising their motions so widely that the very haties tand hair-itressens of' 'aris becmane thent encyelopedists; und the sole price, which their seholars paid fir there treasures of new light, was to helieve charistianity ant imposture, the scriptures a forgery, the worship of Gial superrition, hell a fible, heaven a drean, our life withont l'rovidenere, and our deah without hope. What can be conceived more natmind than the result: that self-ncknowledged bensts should linst net, and next sullier themselves to be tremed, as beasts?" (l'menn, p. II.)
'Thmik heaven!-motwithistanding the atempts of Mr. Thomas Payne aud his comperis, it is mot so had with hes. Open iutidedity has ceased to le a means even of gratifying vanity: for the lemers of the gang them-
 berame wo harge, that Aheisman censed to give diatinetion. Nny, it hecane
 unats: no the strong minals vecred romal, mat roligion comen again into

 hug in the mation at large. Por instance, there is now mo cull for a lieas Hiramblala to write seven lowks against Antrology. It might seem imbeed, that a single lice like thut of the lows of Kempentelt and his erew, or the explosion of the L'Orient, would proves the the common sense of the mont innoraut, that even if Astrolory emild be true, the Astrologers minst ins
 gods. Yet Brasmuns, the prince of somml common sense, is known to have dianpproved of his friend's hartihood, and did not himself venture beyond serepticien: unl the immortal Newtom, to whom, move than to any other human being, larmpoowos the puritimation of its general notions eoncerning the henvenly borties, studied Astrology with mueh enruestuess and did hut reject it till he hat insumstrated the filsehood of all its pretemiterd gromilas and principlen. 'The exit ol' two or three sipmerstitions is no mors a proof of the entry of gool sense, than the strungling of a Despot at Al giers or Constantingile is a kymptom of freedom. If therebore wot tho mere dishelief, lut the grounds of such disbelief, must deride the question of our superior illumination, 1 comfess that I conld not from my own ohecrations on the books and comversation of tho ago vote for the aftirma tive without nuch hasitution. As many errors mre despised hy men firm ignormare as from knowledge. Whether that be not the ense with regari to divination, is a puery that rises in my minul (notwithstumbing my tillest conviction of the nom-existence of strel a power) as often as I remi the names of the grent statesmen and philosophens, which Cicero enumerates ill the introdurtory paragraghs of his work de Divinatione. Socrates, omnesque Socratici, plumimisyue beris gravis Anctor Demoeritus, Cratippusque, finuiliaris nokter, guem ego jurem summis Peripatricis judieo, \&e. Se. prosensionem rerun fitturarum comprobarubs. Of all the theistic philosophers, Xenophanes was the only one who wholly rejected it. 'A Stoicis degenerm Pamatius, nec tamen ausus est negure, vim esse divimandi, sed llubiture so exisit.' Nor was this a mere outward assent to the opinions of the state. Many of them suljected the question to the most exquisite argments, and supported the athimative not merely hy experienee, but (especially the Stoics, who of all sects mont cultivated 1 wyehology) by a minnte analysis of homan mature und its farmaties: while on the mind of Ciesero himself (as on that of llato with regard to a state of retribntion ater death) the maversality of the faith in all tines amel commeres "plpars to have made the deepest impression. 'Gentem quidem millan video, nepue tan hamanam atque doctam, ne'gue tam inmanem tan
umber of their Proselytes tiuetion. Nity, it becanse ' nud the 'Ien Commandreligion cmono again into lio sipicranmiation of nullI dillision of nommd thinkis mov no enll for a l'ic:ls y. It might seemindecel, nfelt and his erow, or the :Ommon sense of tho most the Astrologers must (n) ould to a science only fior on sense, is known twhe wt himself venture leyonil II, mone than to any other - getieral notions concernmuch enrupstuess and did eloond of all its preteniled cee sumperstitions is no haro rangling of' a Despot at Allom. If therefiore uost tho ff, minst decide the fuestion onld not from my own olswage vote tor the ntlimm3 ure dexpised hy men fiom se not the rose with regard (notwithstanting my fillest wer) as oflen as I reml the $y$ which Cicero enumerates de Divinatione. Sucrates, Alictor Democritus, Cratipmins l'eripatrticis judieo, \&.c. sirunt. Of all the theistic who wholly rejected it, 'A est negure, vim esse divimnnnere outwarl aseent to the ed the question to the mont native not merely liy experiets most cultivated jexcholId its farolties: while on the vilh regard to a state of rotith in all times amd conntries 1. Gentem quidem nutlan we'pue tam inmanemis tum-
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flie prose densent. at large, and onr incroming aversient to aray opinion but promaded in
 from the projutices of socrues and Cicoro, than reflection, insight, or a lair collation of the livets und urginnents, l'ur myself; I wombler father meo the Fonglish people at large believe somewhat too much than murrely
 rontempt or neglect of the thithand intelleret of their forefintiers. J'or nost to shy whint jet is most certnin, that a people cannot believe jush enourth,
 while there is a probmbility that they may be the refrartion of nome grent trith as yet below the horizon; it remmins most wortly of our surions collsideration, whether a fincied supsriarity to their nneeswors intelleets mast not hos speatily followed in the popular mind hy disprespect for their nipestore' institutions. Assimedly it is not pasy to place ming conhidenco in a furm of ehareh or state, of whose fimatern wo have been tanght to beliese, that their philosopliy was jargon, hal their feelings abil motions rank :nymition. Yet nre we nevor to grow wiser?-Are we to le credulons by hirth-right, und take ghoses, omens, visions, and witeheralt, us an hoir-
 In cqually availing and probitulile to mon of all rmake. Is this practicable? Yes!-it exists. It is foumd inthe stuly of the Old mul New 'Testament, it' anly it be eombined with a spiritnal ןurtaking of the lionfemer's IBkod, of which, mysterions as the symbol may lor, the sacramental Wino is momore, or arbitrury, memento. 'Ilhis is the only certain, mul this is the miversal,
 hlood: utros, wine) which our Milou lus beantitilly allegorized in a pusshge strangely overlooked by nll his commontators. Bear in mind, Reailar! the charneter of a milimat christian, and the resilts (in this life mind in the next) of the Redemption by the Blool of Christ: and so pernse the phssuge!

Amongst the rest $n$ small mashatly ront
But of divine aftect, lie culleal ine ont :
'The leaf was larkish, and had prickles on it,
But in mother comutry, as he said,
Bore a bright golilen flower, hat not in this soil!
I'nknown and like estcenid, and tho dull swain
Trends on it daily with his clomed shoon;
And yet more med'einal is it than that moly
'I'hat Hermies once to wise Ulysses gatwe.
He called it IImany amb gave it mo,
And hal me kefj, it as of sowr'an use
'Gainst all hehantments, miklew, Dhast or dany, Or ghastly furies' "ppurition.

Milton's Comus.
Those lines might be employed as an anmlet against delusions: for the mian, who is indeed a christian, will us little think of informing himself comrerning the fiture ly dreans or presentiments, as of looking for a distant olject at broad noon-day with a lighted taper in his hand.
But whatever of gool and intellectual Nuture worketh in us, it is our appointed task to remder grodually our own work. For ull things that surromul ns, and all things that hapien mono us, lave (cach doubtless its own provilential purposie, luit) all one common linal cause: namely, the incrense of Conscionsmes, in such wise, that whatever part of the termi incognita of our nature the increased consciousuess aliseovers, our will maly ronquer fand lring into subjection to itself under the sovereignty of reason.

Thie leading differenees between mechanic and vital philosophy mny all be drawn fiom one point: namely, that the former demanding for every mode and aet of existence real or possilile visibility, knows only of distance and nearness, composition (or rather juxta position) and decomposition, in short the relations of umproluctive particles to cach other; so that in every instance the result is the exart sum of the component guantities, as in arithuctical addition. 'This is the plilosophy of denth, and only of a deal nature can it hold good. In life, much more in gpirit, and in a living and spiritual philosophy, the two component counter-powers actually interpenetrate cach other, and gencrate a higher third, incholing hoth the former, ita tamen ut sit alia et major.
To aphly this to the sulbect of this present Essay. The elements (the factors, as it were) of Religion are Reason and Understanding. If tho composition stopped in itself, an mulerstanding thas rationalized wonld lead to the admission of the general doetrines of natmal religiom, the belief of a Goal, and of immortality ; and prohally to an nepluieseence in the history and ethirs of the Gospel. But still it would be a speculativo faitl, and in the nature of a Turanr; as if the main oljoct of religion were to solvedificulties for the satisfiction of the intellect. Now this state of mind, which alas! is the state of too many among onv self-ontited rafional religionists, is a mere halance or compromise of the two pawers, not that living and gremerative interpenctration of both which would rive lu:ing to essental Religion-to the Ralegoy, at the hirth of which 'we receive the spirit of adoption, wherely we ary Abla, Father; the Spirit iself bearing withess with our spirit, that we are the children of Gobl.' (Rom. viii. 15, 16.) In Redorus there is no alstraction. To the unity and infinity of the Divine Nature, of which it is the partaker, it adds tha
 which intuitively it at onve belolds and adores, praying always, and wfoicing always-that doth it tend to lecome. In all things and in carle
or ilanj],

## Milton's Comus.

arainst delusions: for the ink of informing himself ats, as of looking for a distor in his hand.
re worketh in us, it is our rk. For all things that surwe (rach doubtless its own nal cause: namoly, the inatever part of the torra iness discovers, our will may der the sovereignty of rea-
and vital philosophy may all oriner demanaliug for every isibility, knows only of dista position) and decompositicles to each other ; so that in the component puantitios, $^{2}$ soplay of death, and only of Hore in spirit, mul in a livent counter-powers actually ser third, inclinding looth tho
t Fssay. The clements fthe and Understanding. If the ing thus rationalized wonld of natural religion, the leehably to an uequiescence in till it wonld be a speculativo the main object of religion 'the intellect. Now this stato ny among our self-entitled raonise of the two fowers, not C both which wonk rive bethe listh of which 'we recry Abm, Fnther ; the Spirit ve are the children of Gobl. so ahstraction. To the unity it is the partaker, it adils tho de creative overflowing. Tha lores, praying alwnys, and res 18. In all things fund in ract
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thing-for the Almighty Goodness doth not ereate generalities or abilde in alistractions-in each, the memest, object it bears witness to a mystery of infinite solution. 'Ihus 'hehohling ins in a glass the glory of the Lord, it is changed into the same imnge from glory to glory.' (2 Cor. iii. 18.) For as it is horn and not made, so numst it grow. As it is the image or symbol of its great olject, by the organ of this similitule, as by an eye, it sceth that same inage throughout the creation; and from the same cause sympathizetl. with all creation in its groans to be redeemed. 'For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth in earnest expectation', (Rom. viii. 20-23,) of a renewal of its forfeited power, the power, namely, of retiring into thut image, which is its substantial form and true life, from the vanity of Self, which then only is when for itself it hath ceased to be. Even so doth Religion finitely express the unity of the infinite Spirit ly being a total act of the sonl. And even so doth it represent his fulhuess by its depth, by its substantiality, and by an all-jervading vital wurmth which-relaxing the rigid, consolidating the dissolute, and giving cohesion to that which is about to sink down and fall abroad, ns into the dust and crumble of the Grave-is a life within life, evermore organizing the soul anew.
Nor doth it express the filluess only of the Spirit. It likewiso represents his Overflowing by its communicativeness, budding and blossoming forth in all earnestuess of persuasion, and in all words of sound doctrine: while, like the Citron in a genial soil and climate, it bears a golden fruitage of good-works at the same time, the example waxing in contact with the exhortation, as the ripe orange heside the opening orange-flower. Yen, even his Crentiveness doth it sladow out by its own powers of impregation and production, (being such a one as Paul the aged, and also a prisoner for Jesus Christ, who begat to a lively hopo his son Onesinus in his bonds') regenerating in and through the Spirit the slaves of corruption, and flygitives from a far greater master than Philemon. The love of God, and therefore God himself who is Love, Religion strives to express by Love, and measures its growth by the increase and activity of its Love. For Christime Love is the last and divinest birth, the hamnony, unity, and god-like transfiguration of all the vital, intellectual, moral, and spiritual powers. Now it manifests itself as the sparkling and ebullient spring of well-doing in gifts and in labors; and now as a silent fountain of patience and loug-suffering, the fullness of which no hatred or persecution can exhaust or diminish; a more than conqueror in the persuasion, "that neither Icath, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor my other creature, shall be nble to separate it from the Love of Gorl which is in Christ Jesua the Loral.' (Rom. viii. 38-33.)
From God's Love throngh the Son, erucified for us from the leginning of the work, Keligion begins : and in Love towneds God and the crea-
tures of God it hath its end and completion. O how heaven-like it is io sit nuong brethren at the feet of a minister who sy eaks under the influence of Love, and is heard under the snme influence! For all aliding and spiritual knowledge, infused into a gratefil and affectionate fellow-chris.tian, is as the child of the mind that infuses it. The delight which he gives he receives; and in that hight and liberal hour the ghaddened preachor can searee gather the ripe produce of to-day, without diseovering and looking forward to the green fruits and embryons, the heritage nad reversionary wealth of the days to cone; till he bursts forth in prayer and thanksgiving-The harvest truly is plenteous, but the labourers few. O yracions Lord of the harvest, send forth labourers into thy harvest! There is no difference between the Jew and the Greek. Thou, Lord over all, art rich to all that call upon thee. Hut how shall they call on him in whom they have not believel? and how shall they believe in him of whom they have not heard? and how shall they hear without a preacher? and how shall they preaeh except they be sent? And O! how beautiful upon the mountains are the feet of him that bringeth good tidings, that publisheth penec, that bringeth glad tidings of good things, that publisheth salvation; that saith unto the euptive soul, Thy God reigneth! God manifested in the flesh hath redeemed theo! O Lord of the harvest, send forth labourers into thy harvest!
Join with me, Reader! in the fervent prayer, that we may seek within us, what we can never find elsewhere, that we may find within ns, what no words can put there, that one only true religion, which elevateth Knowing into Being, which is at once the Science of Being, the Being und tho Life of all genuine Science.
[D.]
In all ages of the Christian Clurch, and in the later period of the Jewish (that is, as soon as, from their aequaintance first with the Oriental and afterwards with the Greek philosophy, the precursory and preparative in fluences of the Gospel began to work) there have existed individuals (Laoliccans in spirit, Minims in feith, and nominalists in philosophy) who mistake outlines for sulstance, and distinet images for elenr conceptions; with whom therefore not to bo a thing is the same as not to be at all. The contempt, in whieh such persons lold the works and doctrines of all theologians before Grotius, and of all philosophers before Locke and Ilartley (at least before Bacon and Hobbes) is not accidental, nor yet altogethor owing to that epidemic of a proul ignorance occasioned by a diffised sciolism, which gnve a siekly and hectic shewiness to the latter half of the last century. It is a real instinct of self-defence ueting offensively by anticipation. F'or the authority of all the greatest names of autiquity is full and derisive against them: and man, ly the very nature of his birth and yrowth, is so much the creature of authority, that there was no way of
how heaven-like it is io os euks under the influnee! For all alsiding and affectionate fellow-chuisThe delight which he sour the glarldened preach, without discovering and as, the heritage and reverirsts forth in prayer and but the labourers few. $O$ rs into thy harvest! There ck. Thou, Lord over all, shall they call on him in rey believe in him of whom without a preacher? and and $O$ ! how benutiful upon good tidings, that pmblishings, that publisheth salvad reigneth! God manifest' the harvest, send forth la-
, that we muy seek within nay find within ns, what on, which elevateth Knowf Being, the Being and tho
the later period of the Jew3 first with the Oriental and cursory and preparative in ave existed imbividuals (Lainalists in philosophy) who ages for clear conceptions ; ame as not to be at all. Tho rks and doctrines of all thes bofore Locke and Hartley ental, nor yet altogether owcasioned by a diflised scisess to the latter half of the nce acting offensively liy anest names of antiquity is full very nature of his birth and , that there was no way of
effectually resisting $i t$, but by undernining the reverence for the past in foto. Thus, the Jewish l'rophets have, forsooth, a certain elegree of antiquarian value, as being the only specimens extant of the orncles of a burharous tribe; the Firagelishs are to be interproted with a due allowance for their superstitions projudices conceming evil spirits, and St. Paul never sutlers them to forget that he had been brought up at the feet of a Jeacish Rabbil The Greeks indeed were a fine people in works of taste; but as to their philosojhers! the witings of Phato are enoke and flash from the w itch's cauldron of a disturhed imagination !-Aistatle's works a quickset hedge of finitless and thomy distinctions! mud all the Philosophers before Phato and Aristotle fihlers and allegorizers !

But these nen have had their day : and there aro signs of the times elearly annomeing that that day is verging to its close. Even now there are not a few, on whose convictions it will not be minfluencive to know, that the power, hy which men are led to the truth of things, instead of the nppearances, was deemed and cntitled the living und substantial Word of God by the soundest of the Hebrew Doctors; that the eldest and most profound of the Greek philosophers demanded assent to their doctrinc, mainly as doprued coction og, i, e. a traditionary wisdom that had its origin in inspiration; that these men referred the same power to the rup haterov vaodotxourtus doror; and that they were scarcely less express than their scholar P'hilo Judæus, in their aftirmations of the Logos, ns no nere attribute or quality, no mode of abstraction, no personitication, but literally and mysteriously deus alter et idem.

When education has disciplined the minds of our gentry for ansterer sturly; when educated men will be arlianed to look abrom for truths that can be only fonnd within; within themselves they will discover, intuitively will thoy discover, the distinctions between "the light that lighteth every man that eometh into the workl" and the understanting, which forme the peculium of ench man, us different in extent and valne fiom another man's muderstanding, as his estate may be from his neighbour's estate. The words of St. John, from the 7th to the 12 th verse of his first ehapter, are in their whole extent interprotable of tho Understaneling, which derives its rank and mode of being in the human race (that is, as lar as it nay be contrasted with the instinct of the dog or elejhant, in all, which constitutes it human moderstanding) trom the miversal Light. This Light therefore connes as to its own. Being rejected, it leaves the understanding to a world of dreams mind darkness: for in it alone is life and the hafe is the mont of men. What then but apparitions can remain to a Philosophy, which strikes denth through all things visible mul invisible; satisties itself then only when it ean explain those abstractions of the outward senses, which by an unconscious irony it names inditlerently facts and phanomena, mechanic-relly-that is, by the laws of Death; and brands winh the name of Mysticism every solution grounded in Life, or the powers and intuitions of Lile ?

On the other ham, it the light ho received by liaith, to such umbersmatingsit delegates the privilege to hecome Sons of (God (b:ovonct), expmoding while it clevates, even as the henms of the sim incorpmate with the mist, and make its natural darkncss mod earthly nature the bearer and interpreter

The very same troh is found in a fragment of the Ephecian Lerarlitus, preserved by Stobu'las, and in somewhat ditferent words by Diogenes la-


 would remuler the diseursive underatiading "discourse of reason") it hehoves us to derive strength from that which is common to all men: ( $二$ the light that lighteth every man.) For all human understandings are nourshed by the one Divine Word, whose power is commensuate with his will, and is sullicient for all and overfloweth (二shineth in darkness, mul is not contained therein, or comprelamed by darkncss.)
I'his was IIeraclitus, whose hook is nearly six hundred yenrs older thum the (Gospel of St. Jolm, and whe was proverbially entitled the Dark ( $\delta$ arortwos.) But it was a darkness which Socrates would not condemn, and which would probnhly appear to enlightened Christians the darkness of prophecy, had the work, which he hid in the tenple, been preserved to us. But olscurity is in worl of many meanings. It may be in the suhjeet ; it may be in the author; or it may be in the reader;-and this again nay originate in the state of the reader's heart; or in that of his capacity : or in his temper ; or in lis urcielental associations. Two kinds are cspeeially pointed out by the divine Plato in his Sophistes. The Beauty of the Original is beyond my reach. On my andiety to give the fulness on the Thought, I must ground ny excuse for construing rather than translating. The fidelity of the version may well atone for its harshness in a passage that descrves a meditation beyond the ministry of words, even the words of 'late limself, though in them, or nowhere, are to be heard the sweet sounds, that issucd from the Head of Memnon at the Touch of Light. "One thing is the Harduess-to-be-understood of the Sophist, another that of the l'hilosopher. The formor retreating into the obscurity of that which -heth not true Being, (rov andros) and by long intercourse accustomed to the same, is hard to be known on account of the duskiness of the place. But the philosopher by contemplation of pure reason evermore approximating to the idea of true Being (roviros) is by no means ensy to be seen on account of the splendor of that region. For the intellectual eyes of the Many flit, and are incapable of looking fixedly toward the Godlike."
There are, I am aware, persons who willingly admit, that not in articles of Faith alone, but in the heights of Geometry, and cven in the necessary first prineiples of Natural Philosophy, here exist truths of apodictic force
fiath, to such moderstund-
God (Eouna), expantiug God (Eouna), expandius wrorpharer and interpreter y;
tho Ephesian Leraclitus, It words by Diogenes Lacurtur. qeifortut yus aturre; "(w) ronourov izunor iseses, zat liscourse rutionally) $=\mathrm{i}$ ('we (iscourse of reason") it loponmon to all men: (二the understandings are nours commensurate with his shincth in darkness, mul is kucss.)
$x$ hundred years older thun bially entitled the Dark (i es would not conilemn, and Christiums the darkness of tenule, been preserved to gs. It may be in the subtho reader;-and this again ; or in that of his eupaciiations. 'Two kinds are csSophistes. The Beruty of ty to give the fulness $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}$ the ang raller than translating. $r$ its harshness in a passage of words, even the words are to be heard the sweet in at the Tonch of Light. the Sophist, another that of the obscurity of that which intercourse accustomed to the duskiness of the place. reason evermore approxiis by no means easy to be For the intellectual eyes ig fixedly toward the God-
gly adinit, that not in articles $y$, and even in tho necessary xist truths of aporlictic force
in Retson, which the mere Understanding strives in vain to compreheme. Take, as an instance, the ascending sories of lutinites in every linite, a position which involves a contradiction for the Vaderstanding, yet fullows demonstrably fiom the very definition of Body, as that which fills a space. For wherever there is a space filled, there must be an extension to be divided. When therefore Maxims generalized from Appearances (Plarnomena) aro applied to Substances: when Rules, nbstracted or deduced firm the Foms in 'Time and Space, aro used ns mensures of Spiritual Being, yea ceven of the Divino Nature whirh rannot be compared or elassed: ("For my thoughts are not your thoughts, nor are my ways your ways, saith the Lorrl." Isuiah hv. 8.) sueh Professors camnot but protest against tho whole Proecss, as grounded on a gross Metathesis ats àno yeros. Yet still they are disjosed to tolerate it as a sort of sanative comiter-exeitenent, that holds in theek the moro dangerous disense of Methodism. But 1 more than deult of both the positions. I do not think Methotism, Calvinistic or Wesleyan, tho mors dangerons disease; and even if it wero, I should deny that it is at all likely to be comnteracted by the rational Christimity of our modern Alogi (2oyos $n_{1} \leqslant$ ens athoyos!) who, mistaking Unity for Sameness, have been pleased ly a misnomer, not less contradictory to their own tenets than intolermint to those of Cirsistians in general, to entitle themselves Unitamans. The two contagions attack cach a wholly different class of minds and tempers, and each tends to produco and justify the other, necorling as the predisposition of the putient nay chance to be. If Famatacisin be as a fire in the flooring of the chureh, the Idolism of the unspiritualized Uuderstanding is tha dry rot in its beams and timbers.
 Unitarian Dissenters, hat the spirit of Unitarimism in the members of the Established Church that alarnis me. 'To what open revilings, mid to what whispered slamders, I subject my name, by this public avowal, I well know:



## [E.]

The term, Idea, is an instance in point: and I hazard this assertion, together with the preceding sentences, in the full conscionsness, that they must be unintelligible to those who have yet to leam, that an Idea is equidistant in its signification from Sensation, Image, Fact, and Notion: that it is the antithesis, not the synonyme, of eifonom. Tre magnificent son of Cosmo was wont to discourse with Ficino, Polit and the prinedy Mirandula on the Ideas of Will, God, and Inmort y. The accomplished author of the Arendia, the star of serenest brill ace in the glorions constellation of Elizabeth's court, our England's =ir l'hilip Syduey! He, the paramount gentleman of Europe, the poet, wan rior, mud statesman, held high converse with Spenser on the Idet of supersensual beauty; on all
carthly, fair, and munimbe," as the Symbol of that felen; and on Music and Pocky as its living Educts! With the sumo genial reverence did the younger Algermon commanc with Ilarrington and Milton on the Ider of a perfect stute; and in what sense it is true, that the men (i. e. the uggregate of the inhabitums of a commery at uny one time) are made for the stute, not the state for the men. But thase lights shine no longer, or for a few. Exemit: and enter in their stend Holofernes and Costard! musked ns Metaphysies and Common-sense. And these too have their Ideas! The former has an Idea, that Home, Hanley, and Condillue have exploded nll Ideas, but those of sensntion ; he has un Ilea that he was particularly pleasen with the fine the of the last-mmed Philosigher, that there is no absurdity in asking, What color l'irtue is of? imanuch as the proper philosophic answer would Do bhek, bhe, or hotlle-green, accorling as the cont, waistcoat and small-clothes might chance to be of the jerson, the series of whose motions had excited the sensations, which formed our Idea of virthe. The latter lus no Wea of a better-flavored hamelh of venison than he dined off at the Albion, he admits that the French have an execlent Idea of cooking in genernl, lint holds that their best cooks have no more fleu of dressing a turle thun the goumnands themselves, at Paris, have of the trie taste and color of the fat!

It is cu: impossible that a portion of the high value attached of lato ycurs to the Dattes and Margins of our old Folios and (2uartos, may be trmsferred to their Contents. Even now there exists a slirewd suspicion in the minds of reading men, that not only Plato and Aristotle, but even Scotus Ligigenf, and the schoolmen from Peter Lombard to Duns Scotus, are not such mere blockheths, as they pass for with those who have never pernsed a line of their writings. What the results may be, should this ri: winto conviction, I can lut gucss. Sat all History semems to favor tho persuasion, I entertain, that in every age the speculative Philesophy in femeral arceptunce, the metaphysimal opiaions that happen to be predominant, will inthence the Thcology of that age. Whatever is proposed for the Beliet; is true, must have been previonsly admitted by Reason as possithl, as involving no rontradiction to the miversal forms (or laws) of 'Thought, no incompatibility in the terns of the proposition; and the determination on this head helongs exclusively to the science of Metaphysics. In each urticle of Faith embraced on conviction, the mind determines, first intuitively on its logical possibility ; secondly, discorsively, on its annlogy to doctrines already helieved, as well as on :a correspondencies to the wamts mil faculties of onr nature, and thirdly, tistorically, on the direct and indirect evidences. But the promability of an event is a part of its historic evidence, and constitutes its presumptive proot; or the evidence a priori. Now us the evidence a posterioni, requisite in order to a satisfictory proof of the actual occurence of any Fact, stands in minverse ratio to the strenglh or weakucss of the evidence a priori (that is, a fact
t flen; unil on Music and I reverence did the youngon on the dect of a perfect (i. e. the uggregate of the rale for the stute, not thes yer, or for il fow. Exemat : I! musked us Metajhysies Idcas! The former has o exploded ull Ideas, but particulurly pleasel with at there is no absurdity in he proper philosophic anording as the cont, waistthe person, the series of h formed our Idea of vird hameh of veuison than French havo an excellent - best cooks have no more emselves, at I'aris, have of
yh value attached of lato lios and Cuartos, may be exists a slirewll suspicion ato und Aristote, bit even Lombard to Dums Scotus, with those who have never ults may he, shoukl this rillistury seems to favor tho speculative Plulosophy in that happen to be predomWhatever is proposed for whited by Renson as gosversal forms (or laws) of e proposition; and the dethe science of Metaphysonviction, the mind detersecondly, disevrsively, on its $s$ on iss correspondencies to rdly, historicully, on the diity of an event is a part of ptive proof; or the evidence equisito in order to a satisFuet, stands in an inverse snee a priori (that is, a fact
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probable in itsolf may be believed on slight testimony) it is umifest that of the three Factors, ly which the minul is determined to the ulonission or ajection of the point in question, the last must be grealy indhenerol by the scoond, and that both deperd on the nirst, not indeed as their emise or preconstitneat, but as their indispeusable condition; so that tha
 as long is the first remuins umletermined. $\Lambda$ gain : the history of hmman opinions (ecelesiastical and philosophical history) contirms by manifold instances, what attentive consideration of the position itself night have nuthorized us to presume, nanely, that on all such sulijects as are out of tho sphere of the senses, and therefore ineapable of a direct proof from outward experience, the question whether any given position is logicnlly impossible (ineompatible with Reasou) or only incomprehensille (i. e. not redueibe to the forms of Simse, namely, Time und Spuce, or those of the Thderstanding, nanely Quantity, Quality, and Relation-) in other words, the question, whether an nssertion be in itself' inconeenvalle, or only by us minaginable, will bo decided by ench individual according to the positions ussumed as first primeiples in the metaphysical system which he had previmsly alopted. Thus the existence of a Supreme Renson, the Creator of the muterial Vuiverse, involved a contraliction for a disciple of Epienrus, who had convinced himself that causative thenght was tantamonit to something ont of nothing or sulstmes ont of shadow, and ineomputihlo with the axion Nihil ex nibile: White on the eontrary, to al Platonist tho position is necessarily presurposed in every other truth, ns thint without which every faet of experience would involve a contradiction in Reason. Now it is not denied that the Framers ri on: Church Liturgy, Honilies and Articles, entertained metaphysical op inions inreconcilcable in their first principles with the system of speculative philosophy which has been ranght in this conntry, and only not universally receivel, since the asserted and generally believed defeat of the Bishop of Worcester (the excellent Stillingtleet) in his fimots controversy with Mr. Locke. Assuredly therefore it is well worth the consideration of our Established Clergy whether it is at all probable in itself, or congrous with experionce, that the disputed Articles of our Churela de revelatis et credendis should he adoptad with singleness of heurt, and in the light of knowledge, when the grounds and first philosophy, on which the Frumers themselves restel the antecedent credibility (may we not add even the rectalidity?) of the Artirles in question, have been exchanged for prineiples the most dissimilar, if not contrary? It nuy be said and truly, that the siriptures, and not metaphysical systems, are our lest and ultinate muthority. And doubless, on Revelation mast we rely for the truth of the Doctrines. Yet what is hold incepable of being conceived as possible, will be deemed ineapuble of having been revealed as real: and that philosioply has hitherto had a negative voice, as to the interpretation of the seriptures in ligh and dor-
trime peints, la proved hy the course of argument aloptel in the controversial volomes of all the orthodox Divines from Origen to Bishop Bull als well as log the very difterent sense muthed to the same texts hy the disciples of the molern Mitaphysique, wherever they have been at tiberty to form their own ereeds aceording to their own exposition.

I repart the question then: is it likely, that the fiith of our ancestors will be retained when their philosophy is rejected? rejected a priori, as Imasless notions not worth inguiring into, as obsolete errors which it wonld be "slmying the slain" to confute? Should the answer be in the negative, it would be no strained inference that the Clergy at least, as the Conservators of the national Fuinh, and the acerelited Representatives of Learniug in general amongst us, might, with great advantage to their own peace of mind, fualify themselves to judge for themselves eoncerning the comprantive worth und solidity of the two sehemes. Let them make the experiment, whether a patient re-hearing of their prelecessors' enuse, with enongh of prealilection for the men to commerpoise the prejulices against their systen, might not induce them to move for a new trial-a result of no mean importance in my opinion, were it on this accommt alone, that it would recall certain ex-dignitaries in the Book-republic from their long exile on the shelves of our public libraries to their old familiar station on the reading desks of our theologieal stindents. However strong the prestmption were in fawn of principles anthorized hy names that must needs be sn dear and veneralle to a Minister of the Church of Englanl, as those of Ulooker, Whi ker, Fifid, Donnf, Selpen, Stillingflieft, (maschline intellect:, formed under the robust diseipline of an age memoruble Sor kenmess of veseareh, and iron industry!) yet no undue preponderanee Shom any previone weight in this srale will be apprehended ly minds caperble of emfinuting the cominter-weights, which it must first bring to a batunes in the seate opposite!! The olstinaey of opinions that have always lueell taken for granted! opinions unassailable even by the remembrance of a dovber! the silent aeryesennce of helief from the unwatched depositims of" a genpral, newn-contrudicted, hearsny, the coneurring suffrage of modern hooks all pre-mpliosing or re-asserting the same principles with Whe same estridence, and with the same eomempt for all prine systems!ath among flese, Winks of atighest suthority, appealed to in our Legislatures, and leestueell on at our I'niversities; the very hooks, perhaps, that called torth onv nwo fine efforts in thinking! the solutions and confutations in which must therellure have appearell tonfold more satisfartory frons their having given us our fint infimation of the diffieulties to be solved, of the opinions to be confited! - Vevily, a Elergymm's partiality iowards the tenets of his forefithers must lie intense liryond all precedent, if it fan more than sustain itself against mongonimo so strong in themselves, aul with surh mithty aljuncts!

Nor in this cmmeration dare I thongb filly anware of the obloquy to
it adopted in the contron Origen to Bishop Bull the same texts ly the disthey have been at libervn exposition.
fe faith of our ancestors ted? rejected a priori, as lete errors which it would nuswer be in the negative, at least, as the ConservaRepresentatives of Learnantage to their own peace lises concerning the com. Let them make the expredecessors' cause, with oise the prejudices ngainst a new trial-a result of n this necount alone, that ok-republic from their long their old familiar station on - However strong the pred hy names that must needs Church of England, as thoso ex, Stillingflezt, (masenline of an age memomble ret no mudue preponderance mprehended ly minds cab it must first bring to a lon$f$ opinions that have always even by the remembrance of on the unwntehed deposi, the coneurring suffrage of ag the same principles with empt for all prior systems !, appented to in our Legislavery hooks, perhaps, that the solutions and confutations ffohl more satisfaetory from it the difficulties to be solved, lergymann's partiality towards lepyond all precedent, if it nimo so atrong in themselver,
inlly astare of the obloquy to
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which I am exposing myself) onit the noticcalle fuet, that we have attached a portion even of our untional glory (not only to the system itself, that sy-tem of disnnised and deronoms epienranism, which hass been the only orthodox philosopliy of the mst lumilred yeass ; but also, and more emplutically,) to the mane of the nssmmed fither of the system, who raised it to its present "prite of place," and almost universul neceptance throughout Earope. And how was this effected? Extrinsically, hy all the canses, consequences, mul nccompraniments of the Revolution in 1688: by all tho opinions, interests, and passions, which, counderacted hy the sturly prejulices of the mul-contents with tho Revolution; qualifical by the compromising charater of its chicf conductors; not inore propelled by the spirit of enterpriso and lenzard in our commercial towns, than held in check by the charucteristic vis ineatie of the peasantry and landholdens; both parties conled and lessoned hy the equal failure of the destruction, and of the restoration, of monarchy; it was effected extrinsically, I sny, hy the same influences, which, (not in and of themselves, but with nll these and sumdry other modifications) combincel, under an especind contronl of Providence, to perfeet ant secure the majestic Temple of the British Constitution!But the very ame which in France, vithout this providentinl connterpoise, overthrew the mothey fuluric of fendal oppression to luidd up in its stead the madhouse of jacobinism ! Intrinsically, and as far as the philosophie seheme itself is alone concerned, it was effeeted by the mixed policy and bonhomie, with which the author contrived to retain in his celebrated work whatever the system possesses of soothing for tho indolence, and of flattering for the vanity, of men's average understandings; while he kept eut of sight all its darker features, that outraged the instinctive faith and moral feelings of mankind, ingenionsly threading-on the dried and shrivelled, yet still wholesome nul nutritious, fruits, placked from the rich grafts of aucient wisdom, to the barren and worse than barren fig tree of the mechanic philosophy. Thus, the sensible Christians, "tho angels of the chureh of Laodicen," with the namerouss and mighty sect of their admirers, delighted with the discovery that they could purchase the decencies and the creditableness of religion at so small an expenditure of faith, extollod the work for its pious conclusions: while the Infilels, wiser in their generation than the children (at least than these nominal children) of light, eulogized it with no less zeal for the sake of its principles and assumptions, and with the foresight of those obvious und ouly legitimate conclusions, that might and would be dednced from them. Great at all times and almost incalculable are the influences of party spirit in exaggerating comemporary reputation; but never perhaps "from the first eyllable of recorden time" were they exerted under such a concurrence and conjunction of fortunate accidents, of helping and furthering events and circumstances, am in the instance of Mr. Locke.
I am most finlly prersuaded, thent the principles both of taste, moruls, and
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religion taught in our most popular compendia of mord and political philosophy, natural theology, evidences of Chistianity, \&c. aro false, injurious, and delasing. Hut 1 an likewise not less deeply convinced, that ull the well-meant attacks on the writings of modern infidels and hereties, in sapport either of the miracles or of the mysterics of the Christian Religion, can bo of no permanent utility, while the authors themselves join in the vulgar appeul to common sense as the one infullibly juige in matters, which becomo suljects of philoseply only, because they invelve a contradietion between this cominon sense and our noral instincta, and require therefore an arliter, which containing both (eminenter) anust. be higher than either. We lut now down the rank misgrowth insteail of cleansing the soil, as long na we ourselves protect and manure, as the pride of our garlen, a tree of finse knowledge, which looks fair and shewy and variegated with fruits not its own, that hang from the branches which have at various times heen ingraftel on its stem; but from the roots of which under ground the runners are sent off, that shoot up at a distance and bring forth the true and natural crop.-I will speak plainly, though in so doing 1 must bid definnce to all the flaterers of the folly and feotish self-opinion of the half-instructel many. The articles of our Church, and the true principles of government and social order, will never ho effectunily and consistently maintained against their antagonists till the chanıpions have themselves censed to worship the same Baal with their enemies, till they have cast out the common Idol.from the recesses of their own convictions, and with it the whole service and ceremoninl of Idolism. Whilo all parties agree in their abjuration of Plato and Aristotle, and in their contemptuons neglect of the schoolmen and the scholastic logic, without whieh the excellent Selden (that genuine English Mind, whose erudition, liroad, deep, and manifold as it was, is yet less remarkable than his robust, healthful common sense) aftirms it (see his Table Talk) inpossible for a Divine thoroughly to comprehend or reputably to defend the whole undiminished and unadulterated scheme of Catholick faith: while all alike pre-assume, with Mr. Locke, that the Mind contains only the reliques of the Senses, and therefore proceed with him to explain the substance from the shadow, the voice from the ceho: they can but detect, each the others inconsistencies. The champion of orthodoxy will victoriously expose the bald and staring incongruity of the Socinian scheme with the language of Scripture, and with the final causes of all revealed religion: the Socinian will retort on the orthodox the incongruity of a belief in mysteries with his own admissions concerning the origin, and nature of all tennble ideas, and as triumphantly expose the pretences of believing in a form of words, to whieh tho believer hinnself ndmits that he can attuch no consistent meaning. Lastly, the godless materialist, as the only consistent, becnuse the only consequent, rensoner, will secretly langh at both. If these sentiments should be just, the consequences are so important, that every well-educated man, who has given proofs that he has at least patiently studied the subject, deserves a f moml and politiral phidity, \&c. aro false, imjurileeply convinced, that all n infitels and heretien, in es of the Christian Reliuthors themselves join in nfulliblo judgo in matters, use they involve a contraral instinete, and require nter) inust be higher than 1 instenl of cleansing the , as the prite of our gar$r$ and showy and variegabranches which have at in tho roots of which unap at a distance and bring lainly, though in so loing olly und footish self-opinf our Church, and the true ever lis effectually and conthe chanipions hnve themacir enemies, till they have their own convictions, and onosm. While all partics and in their contemptuous ic, without which the excelerudition, bread, leep, and is robust, healthful common for a Divino thoroughly to andiminished and unalulter-pre-assume, with Mr. Locke, Senses, and therefore prothe shadow, the voice from aconsistencics. The chambald and staring incongruiof Scripture, and with the ian will retort on the orthoth his own admissions coneas, and as triumphantly exvords, to which the believer t meaning. Lastly, tho godse the only consequent, reaentiments should bo just, the ell-educated man, who has rdied the subject, deserves a
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patient hearing. Hail I not the anthority of the greatest and nollent intelleets for at least two thonsand years on my side, yet from the vitul internst of the opinions themselves, and their natural, uneonstrained, and (as it were) spuntammes coalescence with the fith of the Catholick chureh, (thry leing, moreover, the opiniuns of its most eminent fathers, I might uppeal to all orthomex Christimes, whether they adhere to the fiath onty, or buth to the finth mal forms, of the established Clurch, in the worls of my
 temlite, ut qui volis fursan insunire videar, salten puibus insanimu rationibus cognoseatis.
There are still a few, however, young men of loftiest minds, and tho very stuff out of which the sword and shieh of truth and hour are to be made, whe will not withdraw all confidence from the writer, although
'Tis true, thut passionato for ancient Truths
And honoring with religions love the Great
Of elder times, ho hated to excess,
With an unquiet aud intolerant Scorn,
The hollow Puppets of an hellow Age
Ever illolutrons, and changing ever
Its worthless Idols!
a few thereare, who will still less he indisposed to fullow himin his milder mool, whenever their Fuend,

> liercing the long-negloctel holy Cave,
> 'The haunt ohsenre of OLb Pulosopny,
> Shall hind with litted Toreh its starry walls
> Sparkle, us erst they sparkled to the thane
> Of od'rous lamps tended by suint and sage!

I have hinted, above, at the necessity of a Glossary, and I will conelude these supplementary remarks with a nomenelature of the prineipal terms that occur in the elements of speculative philosopliy, in their old and rightful sense, according to my belief; at all events the sense in which I have myself employed them. The most general term (fenos summun) belonging to tho speculative intellect, ns distinguished from acts of the will, is Representation, or (etill better) Presemtation.

A conscious presentation, if it refers exclusively to the wubject, as a molification of his own state of Being, is =Sensation.

The same if it refers to an Object, is = Percerption.
a Perceptien, immediate and individual, is = an Intuition.
The same, mediate, and by means of a charactor or inark cominon to several things, is $=$ a Cenception.
A Conception, extrinsic and sensions, is = a Fact, or a Cogntition. The same, purely mental and nbstracted from the forms of the Understanding itself is $=a$ Notros.

A Notion may bo renlized, and leconnes Cognition; but that which is neither a Sensution or a lerception, that which is neither individual (i. o. a sensihle hutuition) nor general (i. e. n conception) which neither refers to outwarl Facts nor yet is alstracted from the Foams of perception contuined in the Understumeling; lut whiels is an educt of the Imagination actuated hy the pure Reason, to which there neither is or ean ho an adeguate correspondent ia the workl of senses-his and this alone is = An Inea. Whether Iders ate regulativo only, aceording to Aristests and Kant; or likewige Constrterive, mid one with the power and Life of
 twr urveas: wr, ) is the highest problem of Philosophy, and not part of its noutenclature.
[The following nlditional definitions, extructel from his othor works, may hely to show that the nuthor ntuaches distinet notions to the termes which he employs, und be otherwise of service to the reader.-An. Ed.]
"The word, shee, in its original senser, as used liy Pindur, Aristophaner, and in the gospel of Mathew, represented the visual abstraction of a digant oljeet, when bie see the wholo without distinguishing its parts. Plato adopted it as aterluical tern, mad as the antithesis to Eidende, or sensuous inages; the transient und perishable emblems, or mental words, of ideas. The ideas themaives he considered as mysterious powers, living, eminal, formative, and exelujt from time. In this sense the word becane the property of the Platonic school; and it seldon occurs in Aristole, without sone such phrase mutexed to it, as "according to Plato," or "as lato says." Our Euglish writers to the end of Charles 2nd's reign, or somewhat later, employed it either in the original sense, or platonically, or in a sense nearly correspondent to our prosent use of the sulistuntive, Ideal, always, however, opposing it, more or less, to image, whether of present or absent oljects."
"To express in one worl all that appertains to porception, considered as passive, and merely recipient, I have adopted from our elder classies the word sensuous; becauso sensual is not at present used except in a bad sense, or at least as a moral distinction, while sensitive and sensible would cach convey a different meauing.'
"But for sumdry notes on Shakespeare, \&c. which have fallen in my way, I should have deemed it unnecessary to ohserve, thut discourse does not mean what we now call discoursing; but the discursion of the mind, the processes of generalization and subsumption, of deduction and conclu-
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sion. Thus, philosoplıy has hitherto been discuasive, while Geonetry is always, and essentially, inturrive.
"When two distinct meanings are confounded under one or more words, (and such must he the case, as sure as our knowledge is progressive, and of course, inperfect) erroneous consequences will be drawn, and what is true in one sense of the word, will be affirmed as true in toto. Men of research, startled by the consequences, seek in the things themselves (whether in or out of the minil) for a knowledge of tho fact, and having discovered the difference, remove the equivocation either by the substitution of a new word, or ly the appropriation of one of tho two or moro words, that had before been used promiscuonsly. When this distinetion has been so naturulized and of such general currency that the languago itself does, as it were, think for us, (like the sliding rule, which is the mechanic's safe substitute for arithmetical knowledge, we then say, that it is evident to common sense. Common sense, therefore, differs in ilifferent ages. What was loorn and christened in the sehools, passes by degrees into the workl at large, and becomes the property of the market and the tea-table. At least, I can discover no other meaning of the term, common sense, if it is to convey any specific difference from sense and judgment in genere, and where it is not used scholastically for the universal reason."
"Mctaphysics are the science which determines what can, and what can not, be known of Being und the Laws of Being, a priori, (that is from those necessities of the mind or forms of thinking, which, though first revealed to us by experience, must yet have pre-existed in order to make experience itself possible.")
"This phrase, a priori, is in common most grossly misunderstood, and tul absurdity burthoned on it, which it does not deserve! By knowledge, a priori, we do not mean, that we can know any thing previously to experience, which would be a contradiction in terms; but, that having onco known it by occasion of experience, (i. e. something acting upon us from without,) we then know, that it must have pre-existed, or the experience itself would have been impossible. By experience only, I know that I have eyes; but then my renson convinces me, that I must have had eyes in order to the experience."
"The same principle, which in its application to the whole of our being becomes religion, considersd spcoulatively is the basis of metaphysical science -that, namely, which requires an evidence heyond that of sensible concretes, which latter the ancients generalized in the word, physica, and therefore (prefixing the preposition, meta, i. e. beyond or transcending) named the superior science, metaplysies. The hinvisible was assumed as the sapporter of the npparent, cor quaverve-as their substance, a term which, in any other interpretation, expresses only the striving of the imaginative power under conlitions that involve the necessity of its frustration. If the Invisible be deniefl, or (which is equivalent) considered in-
visihle from the defeet of tho senses and not in its own nature, the seiences even of observation and experiment lose their essential copula. The component purts can never be reduced into an harmonious whole, but must owo their systematic arrangement to accidents of an ever-shifting perspective. Much more then must this uply to the moral world disjoined from religion. Insteal of morality, we ean at hest linve only a scheme of prudence, and this too a prudence fallihle and short-sighted: for were it of gach a kind as to be bonà fide coincident with morals in referenco to the ngent as well as to the outward action, its first act would be that of abjuring its own nsurped primacy. By celestial observations alone can even terrestrial charts be constructed scientifically."
"I shall merely state [here] what my belief is, concerning the true evidences of Christianity. 1. Ita consistency with right Reason, I consider us the outer Court of the Tenple-the common area, within which it stimds. 2. The miracles, with and throngh which the Religion was first revealed and attested, I regard as the steps, tho vestibule, and the portal of the Temple. 3. The sense, the inwarl feeling, in the soul of each Believer of its exceeding desirableness-the experience that he needs something, joined with the strong foretokening, that the Redemption and the Graces propoundel to us in Christ, are wohat he needs;--this I hold to be the true Fouvaation, of the spiritual Edifice. With the strong a priort probalility that flows in from 1 and 3 on the correspondent historical evidence of 2 , no man can refuse or neglect to make the experiment without guilt. But, 4 , it is the experience derived from a practical conformity to the conditions of the Gospel-it is the opening Eye; the dawning Light; the terrors and the promises of spiritual Growth; the blesselness of loving God as God, the nascent sense of Sin hated as Sin, und of the incapability of attaining to either witiout Christ ; it is the sorrow that still rises up from leneath, and the consolation that meets it from above; the bosom treacheries of the Principal in the warfare, and the excceding faithfulness nud long-suffering of the uninterested Ally;-in a worl, it is the aetual Trial of the Faich in Christ, with its accompaniments and results, that must form the arehed Roof, and tho Faith itself is the completing Keystone. In order to un efficient belicf in Clristianity, a man must havo been a Clurstim, and this is the seeming argumentum in circulo, incident to all spiritual Truths, to every sulject not presentahle under the forms of T'ime and Space, as long as wo atiempt to master liy the reflex acts of tho Understanding, what wo can only know by the act of becoming. "Do the will of my Father, and ye shall know whether I an of Gol." These four evidences I hedieve to have been, null still to be, for the world, for the whole ehurch, ull neecssiry, all equally uecessary; but that at preeent and for the majority of Cleristians lown in Christian comotries, I believe the third and the fourth evidences to be the most operative, not as superseding, but as involving a grlad undoubting thith in the two former. Cre-
didi, ideopue intellexi, appears to me the dietate aqually of Philosophy and Religiom, even as 1 believe Redemption to he the untecedent of Sanctification, and not its consequent. All spiritnal predicates may he comstriled inditiprently as modes of Action, or as states of Being. Thus Iloliness and Blessedness are the same idea, now seen in relation to act, and now to ristence."

## ERRATA.

P. 191, 1. 18, for "135-136." real 132-134.
P. 253, I. 21, for "hypostatize," real hypostasize.

Note 88 , reference for p. " 157 ," read 237.




[^0]:    *'ranslation. So rereive this, that you may ieserve to undemiand it. For the faith ought ta prevede he Understanding, so that the Understand ing may to the re Naril of the faith.

[^1]:    erve to understanil it.

[^2]:    *I refer the reader to Ilearne's Travels among the Copper Indians, and judicial doemments and personal observation.

[^3]:    * The reader will remenher the aneedote told with so mueh lumour in Goldsmith's Essay. But this is not the first instance where the mind in its hour of nueditation finds matter of admiration and elevating thought, in intreumstances that in a different mood had excited its mirth.

