## Technical and Bibliographic Notes / Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for scanning. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of scanning are checked below. L'Institut a numérisé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de numérisation sont indiqués ci-dessous.

Coloured covers / Couverture de couleur		Coloured pages / Pages de couleur
Covers damaged / Couverture endommagée		Pages damaged / Pages endommagées
Covers restored and/or laminated / Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée		Pages restored and/or laminated / Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
Cover title missing / Le titre de couverture manque		Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/ Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
 Coloured maps /		Pages detached / Pages détachées
Cartes géographiques en couleur	$\checkmark$	Showthrough / Transparence
Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black) / Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)		Quality of print varies / Qualité inégale de l'impression
Coloured plates and/or illustrations / Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur		Includes supplementary materials / Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
Bound with other material / Relié avec d'autres documents		
Only edition available / Seule édition disponible		Blank leaves added during restorations may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from scanning / II se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une
Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin / La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure.		restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été numérisées.

Additional comments / Commentaires supplémentaires: Continuous pagination.

## THE FREE PRESS.

114 201

S ate + a fashi via

2 Shink Looke 44

dad.

VOL. I.]. MONTREAL, THURSDAY, 14th Nov. 1822. [No. 6.

Servemus leges patrias ; infirma minoris Vox cedat numeri, parvagile in parte silescat. PRUDENTIUE Still let us our forclathers' laws uphold; And let the FEW, the clamorous and bold, Vield to the MANT, and in silence rest, Nor with their selfish plans, our peace molest.

Of the many essays that have appeared in the public prints, on the subject of the union between Upper and Lower Canada, that which was published, under "the signature of Z. in the Canadian Courtant of the 2d, instant, seems to me to be one most deserving of reply and refinitation." Other writers on the same side of the distinct, have almost entirely conflued themselves to generalities, to rague declamation, and unsupported assertion. Z. however, without that excess of wordiness which characterises the effusions of his party, enters into facts and details, and comes to the point without much ceremony or circumlocution. The hostility of the Gallo-Canaditius to the project: ed union, he accriticate to three motives;

1st. Because they expect to lose the preponderancy in the

2d. Because their religion and laws may suffer innovation. 3d. Because the English language would be to be used in the assembly, in fifteen years.

Although there are, in my opinion, others, and far more weigh. ty, motives, such, for instance, as—Because they would lose, the proper privilege of an English house of commons, that of providing for, and controlling, the public 'expenditure—Be' cause the executive part of the government would acquire an exception and under influence 'and power-Because they would then be still more subjected to the arrogain dominon of a set of needy Scotch adventures, that are annually vomited on our shores; for the purpose of being fattened and returned— Because the United provinces would be 'rendered 'influitely' more vulnerable, both in peace and in war, to the infrigues and the hostilities, of our cuming and 'ambitious neighbours—Because; though they desire not to be independent of free English an, they desire to be an independent portion' of her 'empire, subject to her imperial controut, but not subject to 'proconsu-

.

lar dominion—with many other Becauses that might be enumerated, yet I, will could myselt at present to those which Z has stated, with the intention of shewing that, they constitute, wellfounded, apprehensions, and such as ought to be, then others existed, sufficient motives for reasting the encroachments and alterations attempted to be foighed upon the Canadians.

The first reason, Because they expect to lose the preponderancy in the assembly, is a just and proper one. Who ought to have the preponde accy in any popular assembly? undoubled-ly the majority of the inhabitants of the country represented by that assembly. That the French Canadians constitute a majority of four to one, supposing both . Canadas, to , be, united, never was attempted to be denied, yet the main hopes of the Unionist party rest upon the circumstance, that, under the odious arrangements proposed by their delusive bill, that majority would not be represented in the united legislature, by any proportionate number of members. Now, this is in itself the acme of political injustice. What is the essence of the representative system? that whilst every part of the commonwealth, shall be. entitled to be heard, and have a voie, yet that the majority of the community so represented shall bind the minority. But it is not allempted to be denied, that the real majority of the inhabitants of the country, would thus lose their legitimate preponderance; but says Z. this would be for the advantage of that majority, inasmuch as the minority would enact all those laws, and promote all those plans, which they conceive would be most for the interest of that majority. What is this but the ve-ry principle of all despotism? Whether, the minority be as 100,000 to 500,000 as is the case in Canada, or as 1. to 25,-'000,000 as was the case in France, under its absolute monarchs, and under Napoleon, the only , difference, is that , there, are 100,000 tyrants instead of one. That one says, I know best what is for your interest, therefore you shall obey the maudates I give out ; the 100,000 say, we know better than you do, what is fit for you. therefore you shall submit to our enactments. I deny that we have any occasion to go into the merits of these enactments; the only question is, whether they are to be imposed upon a body of men, who claim to be freemen, to be able to judge for themselves, and to be entitled to exercise that judgement, by another set of men, (if we look alone, at the Scotch faction in Lower Canada, who are attempting this innovation, for I am far from stigmatizing the Upper Canadians in the same manner,) who are not only numerically so utterly inferior, but are proportionalely even far more inferior than that in intellect, education, and principle, compared to the Canadians. It has been the fashion to impute ignorance to the bulk of the Canadians. It of course follows that, in a popplation of 300,000 souls, especially in a new country, a very large

and the second statements where

「原

. 42

portion of the lower classes must be comparatively ignorant, and uninformed on political questions; but, for argument's sake, strike out of the calculation every 'Canadian' who' can' neither read nor write, and set against illose of the Canadians who are educated, well-informed) enlightened; and learned; those 'of 'the Scotch and trish, who are so; the proportion will buil be in. conceivably small, dumerically ;- and I am quite sure the warms est advocates of the union will not have the temerity to set in competitizo, with the classical ilterary, and liberal, education which is so general amongst the upper ranks of the French, the disciples of Cocker; the shop boys; the uneducated and igno. rant rustics, from which 'our Scotch' great folks' have atiben to be legislators and politicians, prefenders who know nothing but their native tongue, (and that most defectively,) and therefore, with a spirit of Vandalism, worthy of the barren highlands whence they sprung, desire to put down all other. Integrates But this belongs to another part of the atgument; and 'I' have been prematurely, hurried into it; by the strong conviction of my feelings on the subjects and the sovereign contempt in which? hold the intellectual and acquired abilities of these political Quixottes, I will dismiss this head) with the observation; in reply to what has fallen from Zoon the subject, that it is dot to British ; but - to English customs that the world is indenied for freedom and elevation of literature, de-

. On the second head, I remark that 2. takes it for granted that no restraint upon religious opinion, dor any controll'in the exercise of religious worship, will be introduced, but the dues tion is not whether such will be introduced ; but whether' they may, or can follow the measures that are wished to be adopted. That a material innovation in the discipline of the church of Rome was in contemplation, I have mate "evident' in my last number, and that other covert attempts of the same kind, would be made, if this first succeeded; there can exist no doubt" those therefore, to whom their religion is dear, it is most "essen tial that they should keep' guard at the avenues that i may lead to its destruction. I am a stanuch protestant. Thave been bred up, with a great antipathy towards both the doctrines" and the ceremonies of the church of Rome'; the convictions 'of' my later years have confirmed my objection to its creed, and liave more indehbly fixed me in that of the reformed church, I know therefore I should, with jealous care; endeavour 'to guard'at gainst whatever would tend to destroy the protestant 'religion; and disable the professors of it from enjoying that liberty of con science which is the peculiar birthright of every British hubject Hence I think that each man who; along with attachined to fils own religion, possesses that spirit of toleration, which is essen tial both to the christian and the politician, ought to praise, rather than blame, the Canadian catholics, for feeling alarm, and

endeavouring to ward off every; even indirect, attack, that may be made upon their religious liberties: "They say, and justly, we want not any further guarantee, we want not any act of parliament, to confirm what is ours malienably and of right, and which the boasted omnipotence of the Imperial parliament, can not take from us. Lvery act, or every section of an act, in which any mention is made of either confirming; or innovating upon, the Roman Catholic religion in Canada, as recognised and exercised agreeably to the capitulation, to the treaty of cession, and to the Quebec act, is utterly void ; we can not, we dare not, recognize it, as either strengthening our right, or binding us by its power. But although they properly deny the right, or the power, of the Imperial Parliament to effect any alteration in their religious constitution, or privileges; they know that by their own consent every such alteration may be made. and even the whole hierarchy of their church overthrown ; their constitution expressly admits it; now, should they have a house of assembly, the majority of which (representing 'a' comparatively most insignificant minority of the united population of the two Canades,) were protestants, and some of them bigoted, methodistical, cothusiasts, (as would no doubt be the case,) it would be in the power and competency of such a majority, to make all the alterations, and innovations they chose, and in that way they would apparently, but most fallaciously, by their own consent, be wholly deprived of the free exercise of their religion. Even were this only a possible case, unstead of being, as it is, a very probable one, it would be their duty to withstand the adoption of any measure which would lead the that contingency. It, will be here objected, that the clause of the unionbill which says, that no future act of such united legislature, shall, m any wise, affect the free exercise of the religion of the church of Rome, would prevent this; but to this I answer, let but the united legislature of the Canadas, their legislative councils, their governors, and the Imperial parliament of Britain, with the Royal senction to boot, be but joined in the enact. ment of any law whatsoever, were it even one of absolute abrogation ; where is the remedy ? where is the guarantee ? I want no answer. Every one must feel what the answer must be, A great part of the same reasoning will apply to the subject of the French laws, which, in civil cases, prevail in Lower They are secured to the Canadians in the same man-Canada. ' ner as their religion, and may in the same manner, be subverted and abrogated, should a united legislature think fit, and which would then be said to be by their own consent ; nay, that the alteration of those laws, and the substitution of, the English compion and statute law, is a main purpose of the Unionists, is either openly avowed, or very thinly veiled. The respective

ments of the codes have nothing to do with the case. Almost in the same proportion as I disapprove, in my lowe person; of the Roman Catholic, religion, do I dislike the civil law of Rome, and both the French, and, the Scotch, juris-prudence that are founded upon it; but it is not what I prefer, or what any Englishman, or Scotchman, or Irishman prefers, that the Cauadians are entitled to ;, but what they, themselves prefer ; they have their own reasons, their own predilections, their own prejudices if you will, but they are entitled to be governed by those laws, which they themselves approve of; and this is not only the fundamental maxim which the Romans observed with respect to the pations that became subjected to their power, but that likewise which has most wisely been adopted, and acted upon by the British government. ' Do not the French conquered islands in the West Indies, St. Lucia, and Dominica, enjoy their own laws and customs? Are not in Trinidad not only the Spauish laws observed, but is not the Spanish lauguage that of all the official acts there ? What would the Spanish inhabitants of that island say of British good faith, if, in order to deprive them of the rights secured to them by capitulation and treaty, they were . to be incorporated with some of the originally Brush West India Islands, required to send deputies to a general assembly, and when that assembly had passed an "act totally changing all their municipal regulations, be told that they had themselves consented to the measure? Such is exactly the serious farce that is in rehearsal 'to be acted' in Canada. But go home': look at Jersey, Guernsey, and Alderney, are not the French laws, and French language, the laws and legal language of those dependencies of the British empire ?? Nay is not the late of Man go-verned; to this day by the Manks laws, administered in the Manks language ? And even the little rock. of Heligoland is, if I mistake not, in the same predicament. These are all, were, small independent commonwealths, living under the protection and imperial government of Great Britain, and do not all British subjects resorting to them, consider themselves, if they take up their residence there, as bound to become part of that community, and abide by their local laws. Shall then an exception be made as to Canada, which in itself so far outweight the aggregate importance and population of all the others together ? Look farther on, look at the Ionian republic, look at the British possessions in India; but the subject is so rich in matters of illustration that' I must abandon that part of the field of argument, for fear I should bewilder myself and my readers in their multiplicity. 39 (\*\* 1412

But Z. is wrong in saying that the maxim held up here is that the French laws shall receive no change. No. The maxim is, we will not have a change of them imposed upon us; if we judge proper to change them we will, but not upon compulsion.

46

"He that's convinced against his will," Is of the same opimon still." That most of the British, , whether settlers, or only sojourners in Ganada, can not, comprehend, and detest, the Freuch lawst and that in particular the British, agriculturists hold, them in terror, I admit; Nay I, am ready to add, that, with reference to their own habits, feelings, and perceptions of right and wrongs they are justified in detesting them ; yet the question here again occurs, what right have the few, the transitory the inexperienced minority, who have, or ought to have, come among us with a knowledge that such were our laws, and which must, in that respect, be said to be laws of their own choice, to dictate to the majority, the many, the permanent, the old inhabitants of the country. due to a

Z; is, I see, of the same opinion as Lam, which I expressed in No. 4, that in the townships, settled by emigrants from Great Britain or elsewhere, and where the land is greated in free and common specage, the French civil laws of Canada, not only ought not to prevail, but, that it is improperly, illegally; and contrary to the constitution, that their operation has been extended hey ond the limits of the seignories. On this subject, the ver, as well as on that relative to the proposed introduction of the English language as that of the legislature of Lower Oanada, after fifteen, years, which, will deserves an essay of riself, my space, will not allow me, to dilate, and limust therefore defer what I have further to say, on Z's letter, till another opportunit.

The Quebec, Mercuity, very properly, asks why the intended Union of the two provinces, is spoken of as a Reunion; what has been contemplated is the union of the two degislatures only; and when were they ever united, to justify the term re-union? But the unionists, want to cover their projects of innovation and abolition, nuder; the vell of restoration, and this word is one of their, minor, actifices, to mislead the public. At way, with such-mummery; and affectation is But we must excuse them, their cause is too rotten not to need the foreign aid of ornament (").

Some of the readers of the Free Press, having expressed dissatisfaction at the use made, in No.'s 2,, and 3, of picksames of Scribblerian notoriety, to designate individual political characters, I beg to say, that I perfectly coincide with them opnions and shall in future, avoid such inadvertencies, which arose, first, from my, having somuch, habituated myself to athose appellations, that they, are

## Familiar in my mouth as house-hold words;"

and secondly, that they were such as were actually used in the letter of my corrrespondent, 'Verite, which appeared in No.'3. Should any gentleman desire to have an explanation of who are meant, by all or any of the fictuious designations, so introduced, he shall be fully satisfied, upon his addressing a private letter to me on the subject, at Burlington, Vermont.

## LEWIS LUKE MACCULLOH,

Ma. Macculion,

Political coslitions, between parties who have been opposed to each other, both in principles and conduct, however much they may appear surprising to the vulgar herd, are by no means uncommon, 'amongst statesmen whose' creed of morality and honour, is invariably guided by the dictates of interest and party. ... Were not this exemplified in the history of all political contentions, I'should have felt much surprise upon perceiving the conduct of Mr. James Stuart, of Montreal, in now joining; himself to the party of the Hon. John Richardson, who it is well known, always, before did whatever he could to oppose Mr. Stuare, and to hold him up to the public, ha a man devoid of principle, 'a disaffected' and disloyal demagogue; "I" will ad ' vert only to what is notorious to all Canada." Duling the reign of tranoy, under Sir James Craig, Mr. Stuart being a can-didate, at the general election; as a representative of the eastern. quarter of Montreal, where he had before been elected without opposition; Mr. Richardson, with the whole of the North West Company's interest, which was then all powerful, successfully opposed him, and brought in Sewell; at which time, it is deserving of remembrance, that when Mr. Stuart took, occasion, on the hustings to make some very just, and severe remarks, upon the shameful conduct of the Executive, Mr. R. was watching with the utmost attention to lay hold of any expression that might have been tortured into sedition, it having been determined, to cast Mr. S. into prison, along with the other victims of oppression, during the reign of that Scotch proconsul, Craig, whom we all so much execrate. Mr. Richardson, and his clan, have invariably persecuted the man who is now hand and glove with them. No longer ago than last year, when the prople, ( whose cause he is now betraying, ) again, through their repre-

sentatives, manifested the coufidence they placed in Mr. Stuart, by desiring to depute him as their agent of the province in England, Mr. Richardson, in conjunction with the chief justice. defeated the measure, principally on account of their personal hos-What ought we to think now, however, of the " tility to Mr. S. man who thus makes common, cause with his greatest: enemies, who hate him, as much as he, in his soul, despises them, , One. of the papers lately justly observed, that if the impeachment of the two chief justices has failed, it may in a great measure be ascribed to him, for instead of repairing to the assembly in time, he let the February ferm go by first, so that it was evident his private interest weighed more with him than the public good; and indeed the whole of his conduct on that occasion seemed to indicate that he was actuated alone in the part he took, by personal hatred towards chief justice Sewell. He does not now scruple to say that a union will be the surest means of crushing the chief justice; and should he be sent to England, by the Unionist party, I .am convinced that he will have that more at heart, than any thing else. Between you and I, however, there : seems good reason ito believe, that the accusations against the judges, were, well founded, and that at all events they, ought to have been brought to condign punishment, for their acts during ! the proconsulate of Craig: , But again, how can Mr., Stuart, reconcile it to himself, to act with Mr. Gerrard ?. two men who. mutually detest, each other. - ,Do we not all recollect the part Mr. G. took some years ago, when he was foreman of the grand 1 jury, who made a presentment against Mr. S. for contempt ofcourt, and that it required all. Mr. S.'s talents and address to save himself from being . committed to gaol, on the occasion ; whilst on the other hand, S. was the prosecutor of G. for perjury, a true bill, for which was found by the grand jury, before whom it was laid-this you no doubt know was in the case of Forbes's succession, and has been hushed up, God knows how.

ê

۰,

ž

Compare Mr. James Stuart's present conduct, with the behaviour of his brother in this city, who is a man of principle, of liberality, and of information; who too well perceives the machinations that are put in practice by the faction to which his brother has united himself, and whic, detesting the measures of the Executive, is a tower of strength to the Canadian and constitutional party. I could contrast their private characters too, but 1 refrain ; and remain, Sir.

Your obed'at serv't. CONSISTENCY.

CONSISTENCY may rely upon the utmost discretion as regards both his present and future communications; Such mat, ters as I have not yet noticed, are taken ad notam, probably for some future opportunity.

48