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## A DEFENCE

 OFTHE
## Liberties of North-America, \&co;

 gA
Certain Friendly Addrefs to all reafonabie Americans, was juft now put into my hands. Some people perhaps have read it $t_{2}$ for I am told it was publifhed lix days ago; wherefore, after perufing, it feems proper to make a fhort anfwer to this pe.formance: not becaufe it is well. written, or becaufe there is the lealt danger any man will oecome a profelyte to the doctrines contained in it; but for the following reafons, which candor obliges me to mention.

First. I anfwer this pamphlet, for the very purpofe which alone the pamplatet itfelf is likely to effect,-to encourage the pa, er manufactury.

Secondly. I anfwer it for the fake of the Printer, who mut be fadly out of pocket, by pubilhing fuch woetal perfurmances: And therefuri he is

## (4)

hereby requefted to print this in a large type, and the like, fo as to make the moft on't.

Thirdly. I write, becaufe from the futility of the author's reafoning, no body elfe may think it worth the trouble. And if fo, why then he would very naturally conclude that he had written an excellent unanfwerable treatife, which conclufion might inflate the poor creature's vanity, in fuch manner as to tempt him into other imprudencies of the farne kind. The public thanks therefore will be my due, for removing, or at leaft preventing 2 common nufance.

Lastly. I am prompted to this undertaking out of regard to the fait-fex. For many weak women may be exceedingly frighted by that awful compound of threats, and texts, and homilies.

Now then, gentle reader, having told thee the crigin. I fhall next acquaint thee with the character of this new acquaintance, which my Mafter James hath introduced to thy hand.-I will not, as is too common with controverfial people, whether politic, or polemic,: I will not, I lay, ufe any acrimonious expreffions, againft this unhappy author; for whofe wealkneffes and wanderings, I have the utmoft pity and compaffion. On the contrary, I fhall treat him with the greateft gentlenefs and refpect, owing in fome meafure to my very great reverence for the Clergy, one of which he is, as I have been informed. And truly the frequent quotations from fcripture, together with the illiberal language contained in his pamphlet, will naturally lead folks to believe fo. "For it unfortunately happens, that
the generality of thefe Gentlemen, from an ungain habit they acquire by abufing the poor Devil, are too apt to vility and afperfe their neighbours. Some exceptions there are, it muft ve acknowledged, which may be attributed to this; that a few individuals have kept better company, and feen more of the polite world, than the reft of their order. But alack and alas! I fear me our author is rot one of thefe rare birds, for the houfehoid proverbs and tales with which his piece is interlarded, if I may venture on fomething like his own language) wake the odds at leaft a pound to a penny againtt him.

And now reader, if by chance thou art a Prefbyterian, or Congregationalift, on other Diffenter from the Epifcopalian Church, to which I myfelf belong; pern:it me to a pologize for the rude and opprobrious terms made ufe of againft you by our author. Thefe things ought in common charity to be forgiven, inafinuch as they feem to have proceeded from ignorance and the want of better language.-And do not, I befeech you, conclude too haftily againft his brethren, I have feveral friends and acquaintance among them, who are really Gentlemen. Whcrefore it is my earnelt defire, that if in the hurry of compofition for I have but three days to write this in the midft of other affairs) if I fay any thing fhould fall from my pen, which can be conftrued a reflection on the Clergy, it is my defire that it fhould be inftantly erazed.-I honour them much for their piety, learning, and ftrictly loyal attachment to our Sovereign.

An old Mathematician (I think they call him Archimedes) had fuch confidence in his art and machines,
(6)
chines, as to declare he would move the earth itfelf, if he coulu get a piace to ftand on. But pleafe your worfhys, wh.k he was upon the thing which was to be moved, had he worked his heart out, 'twould not have budged an inch. --In imitation of this venerable ferew-driver, may we not lay down the following logical maxim. A man may prove impoffibilities if you will concede his poltulata.-Thus, in order to demonftrate that black is white, or in other words, that black and white are the fame. thing, I alk only this admifion; that there is no difference between them.

He who in converfation with half a dozen friends, fhould in a fimilar manner begin any arguinent whatever, by affrming the thing to be proved, w uld he not raife a laugh at his expence? Now, all America contends fur rights, which we aver to have been invaded, violated, deftroyed, and a certain wr ter attempts to prove this contef, treafonable and rbellious; what thall we think of a writer, who begins an addrefs on this fubject, 'not to half a dozen, or half a hundred. but to all reafonable Annericans, with the following proponiti n? "Of " all'the fubjets of Great-Britain, thofe who efide $\therefore$ in the American colonies, are and misht fill be "enjoying the benefis of an equitable nif free con"ftitution." As to his quotation'from an "excellent writer," it may thew the Genteman's read ne, but can never help his a glament; and therefure I fhall pafs it over in filence, to the examination of thofe excellencies, which he himfelf hath fabricated.

If by the term, fubjects of Great-Britain, he means fubjects to the King of Great-Britain, and fubordinate

Shortly after follows another falfe propofition. 'America contributes but a fmall proportion to fuppert the public expen es. This for argument ake, I will grant. I will grant what I fuppote he meant; namely, that America contributes but little to defray the public expences which other folks fupport. I am not captious in my temper, nor do I mean to cavil about words, for then I fhouid never have done.

Then comes a page about Mofes, thunder, blindnefs, darknefs and deftruction. As thefe things are quite outt of my way, I fiall not meddle with them; but proceed to the next, the foose of which feems to be this, Whether parliament hath been right
or wrong, we are bound by the laws of Heaven to obey its mandates. And in quality of good Chrift:ans, we muit be fubject to the Minitters, be they who they will, for contcience fake. I et who will be King, our author is Vicar of Bray. To tlucidate all which dectrines, he obferves, that if the greateft tyrant on tarth (Nero for inftance) fhould ufiurp and eftablifh a domination over us, the harheft and moft cruel, yet fo heinous is the offence of difturbing an eftablifhed government, that a due regard for the rights and literies of mankind, would prompt us to a peaceable fubiniffion. To this fubmiffion we are enjoined, it feems, by the Chriftian religion; and to difturb or threaten fuch a government, is an unpardonable crime, and has been confidered as fuic, in every age and nation of the world. All which is mighty reafonable. Wherefore it follows clearly, that thefe perfons who, within the'e four or five centuries, contended for, aud by degrees eftablifhed our glorious conititution. "A frame of "g government, fays our author, which has always "been the wonder of the world, under the pro"tection of which, the fuibjects of Great-Britain " are the happieft people on earth." I fay, thofe perfons who have rendered thefe Britifh fubjects fo happy, and tranfmitted to them all the rights and privileges they enjoy, thofe perfons, according to the above dectrine, muft be damned to all eternity for their pains.-This may be religion, I am fure it is not common fenfe. Bui be that matter as it will, I thail not hefitate to declare, in imitation of honeft Sir Toby; I had rather trult God Almighy with my foul, than the Britilh Parliament with my eftate. Moreover, for the honour of the Church of England, I do entreat all other Sectaries to believe, that.
very few of its members hold the fame creed with this writer.

However, having introduced Nero, Sain: Peter, Saint Paul, and the Grand Turk, in company together; he defends from his pulpit, leaves thefe dignified, perfonages to fuift for themfelves, and opens the defign of his pamphlet: which he tells us, is nu: religion, " but to confider how far the con" duct of the colonies is to be condemined or jufti"fied by the maxims of found policy and prudence." For it feems pretty well fettled even in his idea, that notwithftanding that fame Chriftian fyftem he taiks of "our temporal intereft and fafecy, are confidera" tions which all will regard;" in which opinion I do moft heartily concur with him.

After this follows a little more declamation, which you may fee if you have the pamphlet, if not, fend for it to the Bookfellers, and you will find a fort of fyllogifm to fhew; that refentment is unjultifiable, and complaint unreafonable, if our legal rights have not been invaded.-Legal rights,-and why that term, legal rights? Do you mean, my Reverend Sir, that any right (that of taxing ourfelves for inftance, if it be not confirmed by fome ftatute law, is not a legal right; and therefore an invafion of fuch right, will neither juftify refentment, nor authorife complaint? I am afraid you do,-and yet I hope not; for this alfo is.one point to be proved in fupport of your hypothefis. In the name of America I deny it. But if you mean to fpeak fairly, and if by legal rigbts you mean what you ought to make your own argument conclufive, narnely, thofe rights which we are entitled to by the eternal laws of right reafon;
then the remainder of your tafk will be to fhew, that our rights have not been invaded, and if that can be done, then to be fure our coriplaints are groundlefs.

Now then let us fee what the Friendly Addrefs rays upon this fubject. Why the firft obfervation is, that the duty on tea is no tax; for unlefs we purchafe it we don't pay the duty, therefore it is quite in our option, whether we will pay it or no. What an be more equitable?-I remember, about twelve y ars ago, there was a madman in the Philadelphia hofpital, who imagined himfelf monarch of the country thereabouts; and among other wild projects, Such as might be expected from a creature in that fituation, he had one to tax the air. But may it pleafe your Niajefty, will fuch a tax be right? Air was always cornmon and free, in the tire of your Majefty's royal progenitors and predeceffors. Will not your fubjects think this an arbitrary law, like the poll tax? Arbitrary! cried the prince, enraged; and like the poll tax tno! What rebels! Why, unlefs they breathe, they don't pay the duty, therefore it is quite in cheir option whether they will pay it or no. What can be more equitable. I pitied the poor man becaufe he was mad.

But you fay tea is a luxury. In fome refpects it may be fo. And how, and where, fhall we draw 2 line, between the luxuries and the conveniences of life? Or by what right can the one be taken away, which will not affect the other? Thofe who may rightfully deprive us of luxuries, ty the fame rule may as rightfully deprive us of conveniences too. Andif in the plenitude of that power, which our author
author fo apoftolically hath conferred, they fhould deign to leave unto us the neceffaries of life, pray by what ftandard hall we determine the fe neceflarifs?

> Our bafeft beggars, Are in the pooreft things fuperfluous. Allow not nature more than nature needs, Man's life is cheap as beaft's.

But to return.-Our author next fuppofes, that he is arguing with fome honeft American, who fhould deny the right of Parliament to lay this duty on tea. To obviate this he quotes precedent. The American is then fuppofed to obferve, that the precedent itfelf was wrong, wherefore no right could originate from it; but that together with other frmilar wrongs, it ought to be done away. So is the argument ftated by himfelf. And he then, upon: a fuppofition that this tea duty is quite diftinct from a tax attempts to fhew, that Parliament had a right to levy it, merely as a regulation of trade. Pray, read thecighth and and ninth pages

Have you read them? - Why now your honour, I will undertake to confute every thing contained there. I will undertake, to thew, that the author's reafoning does not apply to his purpofe or fubject, any more than the words Denmark and Ruffia, which as you. fee are printed in large Italicks. - But what will it fignify to take up fo much of yourattention; when it is very like you had better be thinking on fome other affair. His confequence may be well fupported on rational ground; though, to his misforsune, he could not difcover it. The right of Great-Britain to regulate the trade of the colonies, fhall be admitted. B 2

But that the duty on tea is a regulation of trace and not a tax; this is the very matter in controverfy.

We are now arrived at the confutation of one of Mr. Locke's arguments; which, fays our author, " appears to me weak and lophift.cal."-The argument as quoted by himfelf, ftands thus: "If parliament has a right to take from us one penny without our confent, it has a right to ftrip us of our whole property." 'To confute which he obferves, " A right to do what is reafonable, implies not a right to do what is unreafonable." And after a fhort fimilitude about father and fon, he fays, "Cafes may " happen, in which it would be reafonable for Par" liament to take from us fome finall matter, though " we were unwilling to pre with it ; but the cafe "can hardly be fuppofed, in which it would be rea" fonable to take from us every thing; unlefs we "fhould have forfeited it." And fo concludes, "There can be no right to do wrong."-And this is the confutation.-I was fome time puzzled to make anything of it; but by comparing one part with another, we may at leaft guefs that he means what follows: By the words, reafonable to take from us, \&cc: I prefume he intends, a right to take from us. For, reafonable, in the proper fenfe of the word, will not anfwer his purpofe at all; becaufe if we invert the propofition, it will be as reafonable for the Legiflature of this province to take from the people of England, a finall matter without their confent. But that any fuch right could exift, the author of the Addrefs would hardly allow. Throughout th, admirable confutation therefore, let us fubftitute right for reafonable, and wrong for unreafonable, and then it will ftand thus: A right to do wbat is
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rigbt, implies not a right to do what is wrong. To take from us one penny witbout our confent is rig'st, but to frip us of our whole property is wrong. Therefore, Parliament may bave a right to take from us one permy, without our conlent, which is right; but this rigbt does not neceffarily imply, that Parliament bas a rigbt tofirip us of our whole property, which is wrong. Therefore Mr. Locke's argument is confuted. And in the fams way I will undertake to confute Euclid's Elements, from one end to the other.-Examine this argument, this fine argument. It refts upon the affertion, that in fome cales Parliament may have a right to take a fmall matter from us without our content, which affertion is the very thing denied. And fo the Gentleman's wits and faculties, after prancing and curveting through a whole fection, bring him not one inch nearer the end of his journey, than he was when he firlt fet out.

So here again he labours at a diftinction between the duty on tea, and a tax. But as to any difference betwe n them, that you know is quite another affair. No matter for the difference, provided there be a difinction. May it pleafe your Reverence, whenever you are at a lofs for matter to vamp up into the Shape of another Addrefs; I have a dozen or two fuch diftinctions, which are very heartily at your Reverence's fervice, very heartily I do affure you.

Ah! what have we here? Another differtation on the Britifh right of regulatiig American trade? Yes. Here it is, hip and thigh, import and export, back ftroke and fore ftroke; bleflings on us, I fay. What a pity it is, that our author would not content himfelf with a fimpleaflertion here, as he has done in thore
thofe inftances, which really required proof. Mot unfortunately, in endeavouring to eftablifh what we. would readily grant; he lays his foundation on arguments, which would overthrow every principle of American liberty. I declare, reader, it is not my intention. to cheat you, by re-printing quotations from the Addrefs. But unlefs you have bought it, d do not know how otherwife to manage matters.

The fame want of penetration, which led our author to imagine Mr. Locke's argunent weak and fophiftical, now bewilders him with a JackO'Lanthorn, in the fhape of the word Englimmen. His drift is to fhew, we may be bound by laws we do not confent to, and yet poffers all the rights of Englifh-men. For, fays he, Engliflmen can have no right to be exempted from the authority of an Englifh Parliament. After this he blunders a little about majority and minority, hints at virtual reprefentation, and fays fomething of Englih American ground. To anfwer which at once, thofe who do not immediately perceive the fallacy, are defired to confider, that the perfons who make laws for England, are to be bound by them when they have done. And that no exiftence whatever, except Almighty God, can have a natural right to make laws binding on others, which the law-maker is exempt from. True it is fuch powermay be ufurped, or through political neceffity conceded. Ufurped as the Britiin power of taxing America, conceded as the power of regulating trade, which after fuch conceffión, becomes a right. Greater rights they cannot have; unlefs fome mode can be invented, to incorporate us into the grand legiflature of the empire, on conftitutional principles. But let us examine his Englifh argument.

Mat it we. rgule of $t m y$ tions it it,

The word Englifh above, is printed in Italicks, and feparated from the word, men. In reading the fentence, leave out Englifh and the argument is gone. Now I do aver, and will maintain, that to confent to laws binding upon us, is one of thofe rights which we enjay as men, and not merely as Enylifhmen. In quality of rational and free agents, we enjoy it. A right granted by our Creator, when he formed us of the clod." That great charter by which he confirmed it, may be read in the human frame: A charter fealed with the breath of life. To this right every man is and muft be entitled; it is annexed as an inftperable appendage to our exiftence, and attho' the Englin law hath fecured it to the Englifh fubject, yet a Frenchman or Turk is as much entitled to it, by the law of nature. That this title hath not been ratified by political inftitutions, is bis mivertune, and $I$ am forry for it.

So you fee, reader, all this trouble might have been fpared, if the poor creature had not moft unluckily laid hold of that faid word, Englifh: which indeed from the face of his'performance, he does not appear to underftand. The laft part of the argument we are now upon, arifes from a fact I am not inclined to difpute, though I do not believe it. He avers that we have been offered reprefentatives in the Common's finde, if we would fend them over. Be this as it may, I agree with him that we have done wifely in not accepting the offer if ever it was made. Or in other words, to have accepted it, would have been folly. Now then hear him. "After refufing it, they have no reafon to complain." That is to fáy, becaufe we have not committed folly, we have no right to complain of injuftice, Yet this is an addrefs to ald reafonable Americans.

The next attempt of this mafterly Addrefs, is to impeacis the validity of thofe compacts, which feverai colonies have made with the crown. Thefe compacts can be confidered in no other light, than as covenants by the King, in confideration of 'fetting the defart; that he would protect the fettlers and their de endants, in the enjo ment of their natural rights. If his Majefty chufes to withdraw this pro-tection-be it fo. The KÎng can do no wrong. But I.wifh there had bcen a lineal warrantee from his minitters. Some of them are of noble defcent, and I have fo high an opinion of the honour of Britifh Peers, that I believe few of them would infinge fuch folemn agreements. However, fuppofe the King fhould withdraw this protection,-Are our rights therefore annihilated? $\therefore$ o. Thofe rights were conferred by the King of Kings, and no earthly Potentate can take them away.

Not long fince I faw a Letter from a Veteran, to the Officers of the Army at Bofton: I pray the author to receive my thanks, for the great pleafure enjoyed in the reading of it. I think I could eafily perceive in it, the traces of that manly, generous, brave, and free difpofition; which mark the character of the Soldier and the Gentleman.

> If, to his fhare fome little errors fall, View his kind heart, and you forgive them all.

I am almoft afraid to return immediately to the Addrefs, becaufe comparifons are odious. Make none, gentle reader, I befeech thee; but obferve our author here infinuates, that he hath fhewn, $\because$ that in reality no proper right of the colonies is infringed
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fringed by the late act of Parliament." Pray now, how hath he fhewn it? Why bo thee affertions, we ther of which is in the leaft proved, as Itook occifion to obferve befoe in treating of them refpectively. - The firt is, that the daty on tet is no tax. The fecond, that if a tax, i : is a fall :ne, and Jarliament hath a right to take from us a mall m:tere with ut our confent. And the third, that a man may be tightfully bound by laws which he door not, and cannot affeat to.

Thefe are the main pillars of this formidable argument; not one of which hath the leat ollidity: And the cicfore the provident author, fearful perhaps of their infability, betore he ventures to chalge us with fedition, privy con!piracy and re'. 11 on, to anathematife us for falle doctrine, herety, aid chifm; or to repioach us for hardnefs of heart, and conterept of his word and command; reverts to his original confiderations, about prudence and policy, on what he calls the fuppofition, and Americans the fact, that we have been really injured.

But thefe confiderations, do not feem to be well confidered; becaufe he takes it for granted in the firt infance, that che people of Bofton were criminal in deftreying the tea. And the word Bofton, fome how or other fafcinating his imagination, he is hurried away with a torrent of invectives. The deftuction of the tea, fays he, "was a compound of the grofelt injury and "infult, an act of the higheft infolence to governinent, fuch " as mildnefs itfelf cannot overlook or forgive." The only fault of all this and fome more of the fame kind is, that it is rather mifplaced; for it would anfiver tolerably well in a fermon againft blafphemy.
Let me intreat the reader's attention to a fimple tale: Thofe who are inclined to doubt it, will find unquetionable evidence in a pamphlet, called, A true State of the Proceedings in the Parliament of Great-Britain, and in the Province of the Mafachufetts-Eay, \&c.

In the year 1761, 1762, 1763, the duteous conduet of the, Maflachufetts, was excinplary and aknowicdged.-In 1764, the ftamp-act was threatened, and a duty impored for raifing a revenue in America. The Houfe of fepreten atives retolved, that it is unconftitutional, to take their money without their confent. In $1_{7} 65$, the feveral Afemblics petitioned againt the ftamp-aft ; which they had no right to do, becaufe-it wes "
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Money Bill: confequently the petitions were difregarded, and the bill was paffed. The Maffachufetts then propofed 2 Congrefs of Delegates from the feveral Affemblies; and the Congrefs petitioned the King : but the Congrefs was an unconntitutional body; wherefore our gracious mafter (that year) was afflicted with a great deafnefs. In 1766, the Affembly petitioned againft their Governor,' one Francis Bernard, for affuming, with his Council, the powers of legiflation. This accufation was very jult, and therefore to make it was very impertinent. A Non-importation in America, opened the cars of the Parliament in England; and in 1766 , the Stamp Act was repealed. In 1767 , another revenue act paffed; whereupon the Afembly of that province prefented a petition at the foot of the throne ; and wrote to the other Affemblies to join in it, which was a piece of great prefumption. In 1768, the Affembly was ordered to refcind the refolve, by which thofe letters had been written, on pain of diffolution; which peremptory, mandate produced a petition, and alfo a letter to the fecretary of ftate.---About the fame time fome feamen were preffed contrary to an act of parliament; the people of Bofton petitioned their governor on this affair ; but his Excellency had no bufinefs to interfere in the Admiral's department. A veffel alfo was feized in a manner which irritated the mob, and they were fuch fcoundrels as to refent the infult; confequently they were guilty of treafon and rebe.lion, for drubbing the worthy collector. An army was fent there immediately, but then there was an adt of parliament againft quartering them on the people, therefore the governor iffued his commiffion, to difpenfe with the act, for a royal martyr had done fo before him. The people then petitioned the governor to call an affermbly; this was refufed, for bufnefs could better be done without one. Difappainted in this, they appointed a convention, the members whercof irritated by what they called their wrongs, prefented ancther humble petition to the King; wherefore in 1769, the troops, out of a juit contempt for their pufilanimity, fhot three brace by way of farting the covey. But it was impofitible his Majefty's troops could have been the aggrefiors; therefore there muft have been an infurrection of the people. This was clearly the cafe alfo from Judge Oliver's narration ; yet near an hundred credible witneffes, had the impudence to fwear, that his narration was falfe. Confequently to repair the breach in his chara\&ter, it was neceflary that he fhould be appointed Le atenant Governor, which happened accordingly. In 1769, the Afrembly prefented anothar petition, againt their governor Ber-
mazd. He prorogued the Affembly, went to England immediv. ately, obtained an order for a hearing on the petuion upon the 28th of February, and got the prorogation of the Afiembly continued until the 14 th of March. Notwithitanding all this, the Affembly never iupported their charge with any pioot; becaufe the prorogations rendered it impolible ; confequently the petition was groundlefs, vexatious and fcandalous, as every budy will eafily iee. In the year 1772, 1773, the Affembly took the liberty to petition again, which was at leaft forward and ill-bred, wherefore no notice was taken of what they alledged. In $\mathrm{De}_{-}$ cember 1773, the dutiable Tea arrived. I have during the courfe of this Itory, om.tted many things which were thought very grievous by the people of the Maliachufetts bay, for the fake of brevity, and becaute the recital of all their complaints. might pofitly inflame mens minds at this dangerous junkiure ; a thing I would widh to avoid, for I have great refpect for the clergy.

In December 1773, the dutiable Tea arrived at Bofton. It was evident that if this commodity was landed, there would be many purchafers among the governors creatures. Yrudence therefore required, that it ought to be fent back. The governor would not permit this, and the neceffity was then clear, either that the Tea muft be deftroyed, or the act fubmitted to. Wearied out with long oppreffion, and driven to defperation by repeated infults, a few daring meen went difguifed to the fhip and deftroyed the Tea..--Upon this tale I haill make no comment, it needs none. But to relieve the reader's attention, I will mention an incident of a moxe lively compiexion:--Two young gentlemen found a cat fitting peareaby in one corner of the parlour: She was a gentle animal, and a good moufer. Young men love fun, fo they thut the door and windows, and ferrited her about, till the and they were heartily tired : At length, in a violent leap from her perfecutors. the cat fell in the midft of an elegant fet of china : to lofe 2. China cup and fawcer, by means of a vile cat, was too much to be patiently borne, and poor pufs was murdered for not ficam.. pering up the chimney.

Now after this ugreffion, let us return to the pamphlet, and fee what is faid about the punifhment of this crime, which mildnefs itfeif could neither overlook nor forgive. A very mild punillment fays he, forit was only fhutting up the port. Nows.

Chutting up the port, was, as we all know, only defroying the trade ; ad that is only a trifle, as we thall tee by and by. " Suety, (ries our author) aone who have as high a fenfe of "t pubiic guilt as of rivate, can th nk this punilhment too fevere " fosi the crime, confidered under all its aggravations. For no " pecpice are entitled to the ufe of any advantage, which they " waitoniy abufe to the injury of cthers." Thefe obfervations are calculated to hew the equi:y of the port bill; let us fee then, whether our author hath fortumately itumbled upon found reafn in this intance, after filing fo often.-.-The advantage which the Buftonians'are deprived of, is their trade; and therefore heir trade muft be the thing which they w utonly abufed. Noiv, by what figure of feeech, the deftruction of the Tea can be calied a materer of trade, or what kind of trade it is, I am at 2 lofs to conceive.---Do not fay, that this is a verbal criticifm. Such thing I referpe for authors of greater perfpicuity. The eq ity of eve y purithment depends on its relation to the crime. N. walloning, that the defteyers of the ' $\Gamma$ ea, were guilty of a vivent outrageous riot; I thall be glad to know, what kind of, rela ion thre is in the nature of things, between a riot committed by thirty or forty men, and the ruin of many honeft tradefmen, by a total fupe fion of that commerce on which they fubfini. Yet his is a mald and a just punifhment. I have candidly exhibited the del:nquencies of this poople; were I to give thetn a name, nothing but that love of peace wiich fańtrifies every means to obtain it, nothing but that fhould prevent me, ficm iranting their conduft as a tamenefs under infults which gr.v.ke them.
'I he next a"tompt of our worthy pamphleteer is to fhew, that "thepanifhmen of the Boftonians, was not hafty and precipi"tate." Fie reafons thas: In common cafes, a criminal ounht w onead; but this is an uncommon cafe; courts of jultice. ought not to condemn unheard, but the legiflature may. $\mathbf{N}_{\text {wir }}$ iet us iciat:n:ze the intrinfic value of thefe fuggeftions. A enlow hagis a hee ${ }^{\prime}$, or a hofe, or ravithes your wife, or murders $y$ ui fither. Juttce holds up on high her equatsales; fhe cras aloud to the human heart, let him be heard in his defence; ather ninety-nine guilty efcape, than one innocent per A1: but thould a man burn the tooth-pick of a court miftrefs; or pevent the prime minifter from piffing in his pocket, or deftroy fome mully Tea, to fave his eftate; in fuch uncommon cafe, efpecialy if ninety-nine inno int are to fuffer, leff one guilty efcape; in fu th yncommon cafe the maxim is, let them not be heard. Do you ask why? The anfwer is plain: If fuffered to make a defence,
they cannot be,convigted.---So much for the cafe: Now for the tribunal. -If a man commats any of the crimes firlt meationed, againf the known aws of the land, iubject to a certan penalty, the maxim is, let him be heard. But as to the latt foit, punthable by a new law, in a new node, both framed after the tact committed, poiicy is of opinion, that hey hould not be heard, left the varlets hould except to her mode of proceedings againit them. All which is addeffed to the reajonable timericans.

But (fays he in the next paragraph) to avolve the anocent with the guilty, is an inconven ence which alvays wecourily attends punifiments inficted on a people, whether by woid ur. man. And again, it was politically impofible to feleci the nnocent of botion from the guilty. Wie all remenioer he woy f Sodom and wonorrah. It is an excellent lefion to the tranur, of the earth. The inhabitants of thefe cities, infamous by heir vices to the laftegree, became fo obnoxiuns to the curne Being, that in anger, he refolved to deftroy them. Ye: had mere been five men, oniy five men, who waked up ightiy betcre God; had only five fuch men divelt in budom and Guarrah, no fire had detcended from Heaven to confume them. Lt alas! Becaufe among thirty thoutand forls in Buion, thity have offenced; in vain thall the multitude plead innocence, as an exemption from ruin. Blufh Humanity! This is thy poli ical necefity, which muft hereafter'juitity the iaying that defencelefs town in afhes, and drenching it in the bluod of its innabitants.

Thou rather with thy fharp, and fuipi..'rous bolt, Split't the unwedgeable, and grarled oak, Than the foft myrtle. Yea, but man, proud man! Dreft in a little briet authority; Moll ignotant of what he's motafiur'd, His gialiy effence: Like an angry ape, Plays fuch fantaftick trick, before high Heaven, As makes the Angels weep.

Becaufe thirty have offended, a whote colony is punifhed. And for what offence ?. An oitence fo fmall, fo difproportionately punifhed, that the eneral voice of the continent hath declared, that they fuffer in the caufe of American liberty. Here our author begins to leave the paths of, logic, and to enter tie alleys of Billingigate. Beneath his forming hand, American liberty farts forth a monfter of licentioufnels. And in his idea, to ekreen our miferable fellow-creaiurey from that public justice which

Which kath been created for them; is giving fhelter to the raving enthufiatt, who hath murdered his prince.-Bold metaphors. and florid language, are the magnificent trappings of reafon and truth; and when fallhood or folly is thus arrayed, it provokes at once oar indignation and contenpt.

But neither bold metaphors, nor yet bold language, ferve fome caufes fo well as bold affertions. I have heard of lawyers, who by the affiltance of that invincible front which is the prefrriptive privilege of their profeffion, frame evidence as they go. along; and feeak fluently on facts which never exitted. In like. manner and in humble imitation, to evince the propriety of the. act, for new modelling the government of Maflachufets; our author fcruples not to affirm, that " no privileges are curtailed " by the act, but fuch as had been abufed and foifeited, over and " over, to the great injury and ditturbance of that province, as "well as to the difhonour and reproach of the nation." The inttitutions of this act, as to their matter I do not object to; for it hath long been my opinion, that the government of that coIony was in its form and complection, too like a Republic, for the true fpirit of our conftitution. But as to the manner; even fuppofing thefe regulations to be a decree fubtantially juft, and made by a court having proper jurildiction, Aill there is mani, feft impropriety. - It is, and while I have exiftence, it fhall be my fentiment; that no man, no body of men, in any caufe, before any tribunal,' can be legally affected by any determination, where an opportunity is not given, for making a defence. Had this been done in the prefent inftance, pertitys his Majelty's Minifters would not have deemed them fo criminal, as they now do. But waving this idea, I am fure the author of the Addrefs at lealt, after the pointed affertions he has made, ought in common juitice to have thewn, how the privileges he fpeaks of had been crided and forfeited. This, however be thought it prudent 20 ! ! : -

Tkat Adminiftration is irritated with Bofton, that it hath caufe to be irritated, I freely acknowledge: And this is the caufe of the anger they feel, and the revenge they have taken, The people of that town, and the colony it belongs to, have been forward and active, in flating and der nding, their rights and liberties; they have addreffed, petitioned, and remonftrated to Minifters, Parliament, and King; they have founded the alarm, when the enemy attacked our privileges; and they have been the graad obitacle to an American tyranny. If to do thus
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Ks 2 forfeiture of privileges; if to do thus is an abufe of them; who would hold fuch privileges on fuch tenure? Mott readily do I grant, that it was a difhonour and a reproach to the nation, and its rulers too; that they fo often made wfe of their right to petition and to remonftrate. Great was the caufe, or fuch frequent complaints would not have been obtruded. For this was their guilt, this was their impertinence;-fuffering they complained.

We come now to the Quebec Act. On this fubject I fhall not minutely follow our author, for the fubftance of what he fays; being levelled at the following propofitions of the Congrefs; that the government of Canada is rendered arbitrary, that the people are deprived of trial by jury, and that the Roman Catholick religion is eftablifhed; if I fhall be able to fhew that thefe propofitions are truc, then what he hath faid to the contrary, will be of no avail. And firf, what can be more arbitrary than this? A Governor and Council appointed by, and dependent on the Crown, are the legiflature of a whole region. They may fit at home, and frame edicts for the extreme!t parts of that valt province, without any one check from the people. They may tax the colony as they pleafe, or hand it over to be fleeced by the Britifh Commans. They may invent new crimes, and affix to them fuch new penalties, and make them cognizable before fuch new Courts, as they fhall think meet at their fovereign will and pleafure, they may feize and imprifon any member of the community; or elfe more certainly and entirely, to ruin and oporefs him, they may caufe fome minion of power to inflitute an action for his whole fubitance, and try him and condemn him, by the arbitrary principles, and more arbitrary judges of the civii law. For, in the fecond place, it is clear to a demonifration, that trials by jury cannot be had there now; and it is equaily clear that they never can exif hereafter, uniefs the Governor and Council chufe to rifk and diminith their power, by introducing this free and generous branch of Engliih jurifprudence. How probable it is that they will thus put a curb on their own wantonnefs, I leave any man in his fenfes to determine. But thirdly, it is not civil tyranny alone, which is planted in Quebec, to overhadow. that immenfe country. From the fame poifonous root, arifes the mot horrible religious tyranny, that my mind is capable of conceiving. What eltablifhment can any religion have in any country on earth, unlefs it be a legal provifion for the fupport of its clergy? Look thro' Europe. See if Popery is in any other manner entiblified in any one country, than by payment of tythe
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tries, and protestion of Priels. Is not this an eftablifments Is it not more? Is it'not an encouragement? He who would $g^{\circ}$ farther, muft refors to fire and fivord; the arguments of thofe ba-barous ages and nations, whofe annals difguft humanity. But the obje ion is, that when any man is converted, his Majelfy may if he pleafec, encourage the Proteftant Clergy as he pleafes, nut of the tythes paid before to the Roman Prief. Allowing this argument to have weight, which indeed it has not; pray wher likel "ood is there that any man will be converted? Protetant "in is the tender child of freedom and fcience: How then can it exitit in the boid bofom of ignorant defporifm? Without the paronage of one earthly friend. Without a fingle rag to cover its nakednefs. In ancient days the Romifh Church was a firm burrier againit the incroachments of Royal prerogative. The Clergy dependent on the Pope as their unive:fal head, defied the menaces of kingly power. 'Thus were popular privileges in thofe times frequently protected by popular fuperitition. Our Minilers by a refinement of modern policy, have adopted the Church of Rome as a twin fifter to the Church of England. Our fubtle Miniters have placed the King of Eng:and in the fame fituation with the Romz2 pontiff. Every dignitary of the Church is dependent on him, befides which he hath full power to appoint fuch Ecclefrafical Courts, with fuch jurifuictions as he thall think mect. Thus, all the bigotry, all the fuperitition of a religion abpunding in both, beyond any which the world hath beheld; all, all-is in his Royal hand to be ufed athis Royal will and pleafure. To this full fupremacy over their fouls, are joined the fulleft temporal powers, both legillative and judicial; fo that like the ancient Patriarchs, he is King, Priefl and Prophet. To finifh the dreadful fytem, add all the executive powers of the State, and encicle the whole with a ftanding army, 'tis then compleat. Thefe are facts? And whenever a wicked monarch in vengeance fhall ariis; then fhall we behold him, the civil and religious tyrant, of a province which extends over half tie Continent of America. Such is the terdency of the Canada Eill. V'ew it, confider it, ponder upon it, in the cooleft, moft temporate difpofition ; behold its confequences, and the mild eye of reafon will be clouded with a tear.

The next part of the Addrefs is to fhew, that the other Colonies need not trouble their heads about Bofton or Quebec. . As to what he fays sbout Quebec. I believe with him, that there is no intention of introducing Popery into the other colonies. Our Miniters, or rather Malters, have mote undertanding than
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to entertain fo wild an opinion. Nor would I perfwade mantkind'to become Knights Eirrant, and wantonly undertake tho quarrels, and fight the battles of other people. But I will leave it to any man, nay, I would almon appeal to the author of the addrefs himfelf, to determine ; whether a country has not great reafon to fear the lofs of its liberties, when furrounded by a multitude of flaves; efpecially when thofe flaves are inbued with principles inimical to it, and united together in one common interelt, profefion and faith, under one common head, and fupported by all the weight of a large empire. Does not experience give additional force to every folicitous apprehenfion ?

His ideas abcut Bofton amount to this: that we fhould act like wife foxes, for fear of being docked: or, in plain Englifh, view with unconcern the deftruction of that capital, without daring to interpofe for its prefervation. I beg pardon for repeating a vulgar ftory: A Scotchman upca his arrival at an inn filled with travellers, crept into bed between an Englifhman and an Irifman: towards the morning the Englihman was awaked by an inundation from his new bed-fellow, "zounds, "fays he, what are you about?" Hoot, hoot, mon, cried the politic Scot, " lye down quiet; I have done worfe to him be" hind me."

But cic author co the addrefs tells us: the difpute with GreatBritain is de lana caprina. I learnt a little Latin at fchool, fo with the help of my fcholarhip, and from what follows, I conjecture that this means goats wool :- That this to fay, all the grievances America has laboured under for a dozen years paft, amount to a meer trifle; which is fo fhockingly abfurd, that it would be ridiculous to honour it with the ceremony of 2 confutation.

As to the thought of eftablinhing a republic in America, breaking off our connexion with Great-Britain, and becoming independent : I confider it as the mof vain, empty, fhailow, and ridiculous project, that could poffibly enter into the heart of man. I do not believe there are five hundred on the continent, who have the lear pretenfions to common fenfe, and who would not rifque their deareft blood, to prevent fuch meafures: This fuppofition, therefore, and what relates to it, I confider meerly as an introduction tohis cenfures on the Congrefs. Thefe cenfures feem to be the main bufinefs and intention of the pamphlet. If therefore in the avowed purpofe of it (a confideration
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of the oppofition in America on principles of prudence and pow licy) I fhat be able to fhew, that the author is much mifaken in condemning thofe meaiures which the Congaef hath adopted; then all his declamation upon that fry'ject, will be as the idle wind, which pafiethaway and is heard no more.

The frft reflections he cafts on this refpectable body, are for adopting the Suffolk refolves. The adperity and bitternefs which appears in this part of the panphlet, are to be attributed to the great warmth of the reverend compufer. Reproaches from an angry man are eafly forgiven ; and that meeknefs of difpofition which attends the miniters of peace, will I hope moderate the unchrifian tranfports of our authors zeal, as foon as his miftakes are difcovered. By a nember of the Congrefs, whofe honor and veracity ftand unimpeached, I am authorized to declare, that the Congrefs did not adopt the Suffoll refelves. That thefe refolves were never fo much as read for debate; and that upon the common reading, the fenfe of that body was, that it was proper to recommend modeate and pacific conduct, fupported by firmnefs and rcfolution. The language of the Congrefs, in confequence of this determination, is as folluws:

## Refolved unanimoufy,

That we thoroughly approve the wifdom and fortitede, with which oppofition has been hitherto conduted: and earnefly recommend a perfeverance in the fame frm and temperate conduct, as exprefled in the refolution \&cc. Now then I appeal to the world, whether this is the cordial approbation of an independent government. Whether it is a tranfport of joy on the news of 1evolt. Whether it is a league with the wort enemies of $A_{\text {, }}$, merica, furely it is not. The fair reputation of thofe, Gentlemen who compofed the Congrefs, render fuch imputations almoft unpardonable. It is a duty therefore incumbent on the author of the Addrefs, to make fome public acknowledgement; many did indeed expect that fome conftitution would have been pointed out, to fecure and eftablifh the freedom of America, and the fovereignty of that fupreme legifature, which ought certainly to govern the whole empire. This is a confummation devoutly to be wifhed for, but it is not the work of a moment. Befides, it might have been thought prefumptuous in them to undertake what is properly the bufnefs of the Britifh Parliament, and the refpective legiflatures of the Continent. Why
then are thofe perfons, who have generouly devoted their fervices to the Public, why are they to be cenfured, fur a fin of omifion; which at any rate is pardonable on the fcore of human. infirmity?

Much paper is confumed in the Addrefs, to paint thofe horrors which await on civil war. To this I have no objection. For there is not a creature living, to whom fuch a profpet is nore terrible than myfelf. And I dare lay, a candidederipion of my own feelings on the fubject, would convey as genuin: marks of deteftation for that horrible cataftrophe, as the laboured periods of the author before us, But I fce no reafons for fuch apprehehfons. Neither do I think that man a friend to either country, who fpeaks of war betveen them except in the ftile of contempt. To imagine that Auserica can cope with Great Britain, is the excefs of ftupidity. Aud even if we were capable of the conteft, were we certain of obtaining victory, I hould pray that the hand in which I hold my pen, might wither e'er it drew the fiword.- But let not the dread of power, draw of our attention from the purfuit of freedom. Let us in every infance follow that example which cur author recommends. In imitation of the inhabitants of Rye; whenever eithee duty to the mother country, or enthufiafm in the caufe of humanity, fhall hurry us beyond the true line, of patriotifin or obedience, let us take the eariieft opportunity to retract our errors, and acknowledge our miftakes--Refitance againf opprefion, is the undonbted privilege of mankind; but civil refiltance alone, is jufifabie in civil fociety.

I come now to what our author hath faid of the non-importas tion agreement. -This he likens to the cutting off an arm, for a fore on one of the fingers. Similies are very agreeable and proper, where they tend to throw light on the fubject.-Now, if a man hath a fore on his figger, he will naturally put a piaifler to it. If the finger grows worf, and he grows timil, he fends for a furgeon. If the topical applications of the fargeon are ineficacious, and a gatgrene enfues, the parts are forifind, and the bone cleanfed. If this fails of fuccefs, and corruption fpreads along the limb, and threatens the vitals, the only hope which remains is from amputation. True it is, the patient may loofe much blood by the incifion of his arteries, and denth :tfelf may be the confequence. But by undergoing it he has a chance for life, and otherwife his cafe is defperate. As an en-
couragement:.

fabjects perifh, that Americans may be reduced to flavery? All thefe things may happen, and after all, this country is capable c fupporting its inhabitants."

Great are the difficulties we labour under, and many are the obftacles we muft furmount. For the road to freedom and virtue, is not frewed with flowers, but fprinkled with thonns. Perhaps our fortitude is not equal to the talk, if fo, we deferve the confequences. But remember, that the mother-country muft fuffer with her colonies: Remember, that a Non-Importation has once procured a redrefs of our grievances. Remember that Concord is the parent of fuccefs. Remember, that the worf which can poffibly befal us, even at the laft, is that very navery which we muft now refift or fubmitto. O Americans! thefe confiderations are fubmitted to you. Attend, and way the God of wifdom, who foreknoweth all human events, fo direct you, as is molt comformable to the myfterious intentions of his Divin: Providence. For the good of his creatures, muft be the will of him who is infinite goodnefs,

## POSTSCRIPT.

I tbought it right to meet the author on bis own ground, and therefore no notice is taken in the foregoing 乃eets, of that very equitable Bill, which makes offences in the colonies, triable in Englánd. A Bill by which the moft criminal partizan of governmert may bo fcreened from puni/bment

## P O S T. S C R I P T.

nifbment. A Bill by which any poor man in Anerica, may be torn from all the tendereft comietions, of life; dragged ins chains acrofs the Attantic Ocean, and left there friend lefs and forlorn, with the bleffed ilternative to flarve or bant. This alfo is public juftice-mild punijbment-atid political necefity.
$F \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{S}$
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