CIHM Microfiche Series (Monographs) ICMH
Collection de
microfiches
(monographies)



Canadian Institute for Historical Microraproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

(C) 1996

Technical and Bibliographic Notes / Notes technique et bibliographiques

	1 1 1					I1	1				
							1				
This item is file	ned at the reduct st filmé au taux o 14 X	tion ratio ch			22X		26)	(30×	
possible, o	comments / aires suppléments	as été filmées Pag		s as foll	ows: p.	[132]-14	14.				
Blank leav within the been omit pages bla	res added during retext. Whenever ted from filming / anches ejoutées ent dans le texte,	r possible, ti Il se peut qu lors d'une re	hese have e certaines estauration			discolo best po eyant o oration	ng pages uretions e ossible im les colora s sont film r image p	re filmed lege / Le ltions ver lées deux	twice is page	to ensure s s'oppo ou des d	e the sent écol-
elong inte	ding may causa erior margin / Le e l'ombre ou de l	e reliure se	rrée peut			à nouv Imege (d'errate, u eeu de f oossible.	açon à c	btanir	la maill	aura
	on available / ion disponible					ensure totalem	the best ent ou pa	possible rtielleme	imege nt obsc	/ Les p	eges ar un
	h other metarial / c d'eutres docume					Pages	wholly or	pertielly	obscu	red by e	
_! Planches	pletes end/or illus et/ou illustrations	en couleur					suppleme			nire	
☐ Encre de	couleur (i.e. autre	que bleue o					ol print var inégale de		ion		
_	maps / Cartes gé ink (i.e. other thar						rough / Tra				
Cover title	missing / Le titre	de couvertu	ire manque				lécolorées letached /				
	stored and/or iam e restaurée et/ou				Ū		estaurées fiscoloured			1 /	
Covers da	maged / e endommagée					Pages	lamaged / estored ar	d/or lamir	nated /	gees	
Coloured Couvertur	covers / e de couleur						d pages / I				
opy available nay be bibliog ne images i	for filming. Fe raphically unique in the reproduction hange the usu	eatures of t ue, which r duction, o	this copy we may alter a provider which	which iny of may	été plair ogra ou c	possible e qui so aphique, qui peuve	de se pront peut-é qui peuve ent exiger de filmag	rocurer. I tre uniquent modif r une mo	Les dé les du lier une odificati	tails de point de image ions dan	cet a vue repro- is la i
HE HISHING	as attempted t	io optain ti	ne dasi un	Igmai	F 11 13	siliul a n	licto illituei	ie meili	eur ex	ampiaire	i anii

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:

National Library of Canada

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers ere filmed beginning with the front cover end ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The lest recorded freme on each microtiche shell contain the symbol —— (meening "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meening "END"), whichever applies.

Meps, pletes, cherts, etc., mey be filmed at different reduction retios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure ere filmed beginning in the upper left hend corner, left to right end top to bottom, as meny frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:

1 2 3

4

L'exempleire filmà fut reproduit grâce à la gànàrosità de:

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Les images suiventes ont àtà reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de le condition et de la netteté de l'exampleire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exempleires origineux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plet et en terminent soit per la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'iliustration, soit per le second plat, selon le ces. Tous les sutres exempleires origineux sont filmés en commençent per la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'iliustration et en terminent per la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivents eppareître sur le dernière image de cheque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole → algnifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ▼ signifie "FiN".

Les certes, pienches, tableeux, etc., peuvent étre filmés à des taux de ràduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à pertir de l'engle supérieur geuche, de geuche à droite, et de haut en bes, en prenent le nombre d'Imeges nécesseire. Les diegrammes auivants Illustrent la méthode.

1

			2
			3
1	2	3	

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

(ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2)





1PPLIED IMAGE

1653 East Main Street Rochester, New York 14509 USA (715) 482 - 0300 - Phone

(716) 288 - 5989 - Fox



MISCONCEPTIONS CONCERNING DÉNÉ MORPHOL-OGY—REMARKS ON DR. SAPIR'S WOULD-BE CORRIGENDA

Misconceptions Conceaning Déné Morphology—Remarks on Da. Sapir's Would-be Corrigenda

THE fourth number of the American Anthropologist for 1915 contained a series of remarks by Dr. Sapir on my review of his Notes on the Chasta Costa Phonology and Morphology under a caption to which exception could well be taken. It is not usual to see errata or corrigenda thus glaringly paraded by another than the party responsible for the production in which they are supposed to be found. In the present case such a title is a misnomer, and, even if warranted by the circumstances, it would come with a better grace from an old hand in the field of Déné linguistics. Most of the eleven statements which Dr. Sapir seems to criticize were simply proffered in a tentative way, as mere suggestions or bids for explanations.2 One "corrects" assertions, not suggestions. Practically all of the few that were unequivocal affirmations are the expression of real facts, and therefore not any more corrigenda than the others. One exception refers to my taking Dr. Sapir's A for my a, an error which is perhaps imputable to the printer, and should not certainly take eight pages of small text to "correct". Moreover, with regard to two of his would-be corrigenda (Nos. 6 and 11), my critic admits that I was right.

I trust that, even in his kind reference to what he is pleased to call my "admirable mastery of the Carrier language," one is not to see something akin to a hint that I should confine myself to its exposition, a suggestion that has already appeared in print. To ward off the accusation of unbecoming presumption in treating of other related dialects, I am forced to remark, once for all, that a man who used to preach without an interpreter in Chilcotin before he knew one word of Carrier or Babine,

¹ Corrigenda to Father Morice's "Chasta Costa and the Dené Languages of the North." vol. 17, p. 765.

a"I more than suspect;" "might not Dr. Sapir's informant have misunderstood the questioner?" "unless I am very much mistaken," etc.

³ Dr. Sapir is very obscure with regard to the definition of the value of his graphic signs. Nowhere can I find an explicit statement concerning the phonetic identity of his A; the nearest approach to it is an incidental remark (p. 278): "a (probably identical with our A) in Carrier," he says.

and had acquired these two last idioms before he understood Sékanais, or who could catechize unaided in Nah'ane, five Déné dialects differing widely in their lexicon and grammar (with the exception of Carrier and Babine), that man, I say, should be able to detect errors or misapprecessions concerning phonetic and morphological points which run through the whole Déné linguistic family, especially when he has been, since 1882, studying those idioms, twenty-four years among those who speak them, and then with the help of nil the literature bearing on the subject. It must be admitted that this help is not always infallihle, because, occasionally, of an imperfect ear on the part of the transcriber, distractions or printer's errors, such as those which Dr. Sapir himself points out at the end of his remarks under Nos. 3 and 11.

This scholar claims that Chasta Costa telac "can have nothing to do with this $t\theta'a\theta$, but must go back to Ath.\(^1 \frac{x}k^y lac,''\) and he props up his contention by remarking that, according to Dr. Goddard, kiyauw happens to have in Hupa the same signification, "birds," as his own te'ac. He likewise takes exception to my practically assimilating the suffix -tele of li-tele with that of my li-tse, declaring at the same time that this really corresponds to Ath. $k^y le$, which assertion he similarly bases on the -ke of Hupa $li\bar{n}$ -ke.

He might not be far astray if the guttural sound was accompanied by the glottal explosion which we find in C.C.² telac, Carrier and Montagnais $t \S a \check{x}$ (according to my orthography), Navajo $t \S a s$ (do.), Loucheux $t \S a w$ (do.), as well as in the various equivalents of "woman" (t \S a or tele), an all-important explosion, or click, which Dr. Sapir renders by an exclamation point and I by a dot under the letter affected thereby. Dr. Sapir is himself so well r ware of this that he obligingly supplies that "click" (p. 766) to the k_i - of the first word and the -ke of the second! If Dr. Goddard really mean: k (Sapir's k!) when he wrote k, may I ask how, in that case, he rendered the common k sound?²

¹ Athapascan.

³ Chasta Costa.

³ Years ago I criticized that scholar for having failed, as I thought, to render in his Hapa Texts the particular aspirated t (my th, the Franciscan Fathers' tq) common to all the Déné dialects. As a matter of fact, his t was the equivalent of my th, and he rendered the ordinary t hy d. But I still fail to see how he expressed the real d sound, which does exist in Déné, though the natives themselves do not differentiate it from t. A. G. M.

The aspirated k, sound Father Morice writes κ , becomes everywhere in Hupa a continuant written x. The character k was therefore used for the sound Father Morice calls "click," and writes k. What Father Morice calls the common k is

As to the Hupa roct -isöis or -isös, which my critic adduces in this connection (Carrier, Chilcotin, Sékanals and Nah'ane -işus, Babine -işös, Montagnais and Loucheux -işun, Hare -işu), it has no affinity with -işüs.

With regard to his strictures under No. 4, I could not, with the best of will, change what I wrote concerning the point he refers therein. He may refuse as stoutly as he can to agree with me: further study and a little speaking knowledge of a few Dené dialects will ultimately convince him that I am right in this connection. But we must understand each other. When I said that thé- "hinted" at the bottom of the water, I did not mean that it denoted exclusively the ground under the same (though that prefix has frequently reference thereto): I had especially in mind the water that is near the bottom of the body of water.

Now the very words which Dr. Sapir quotes to dispose of my assertion redound against him to the extent of proving even more than I meant. I even unnecessarily qualified my statement when I said that this was the case "at least in the north," since that prefix has the sar e value among some of the southern tribes, as is unwittingly shown by my critic himself. He adduces Navajo tqë-li (my thê-liñ), "water-horse," which, forgetful, or unaware, of Indian exquisite accuracy in rendering linguistically the individual characteristics of natural elements, he imagines must mean literally "in-the-water horse." But I claim that he is mistaken in this, The native mind could not possibly form the concept of a horse without thinking of an animal vith four feet. It is too radically exact for that when it is a question of concrete ideas. Even though the object denominated may be a real fish, the name give 1 to it predicates the notion of legs and feet, which cannot he used as fins "in the water," but as means of locomotion "over" some hard substance, namely the bottom of the water.

This is so true that when, referring in Déné to the Eucharistic elements, I used the verbal desinence implying a personal, not material, complement, to show that these were none other than Our Lord Jesus-Christ himself, I could never prevail upon the Indians to follow me in this. They believed as firmly as I did in transsubstantiation; but their language was too strictly logical to use a verb connoring a personal complement when the word expressing that complement referred to a thing—a Sacrament, or the Eucharistic bread—not to a person.

really intermediate in sonancy in Hupa and was written q when velar, g when prepalatal, and sometimes k when postpalatal as in Lük kai, "white. These facts have been called to the attention of Father Morice in print previously. Ed,

¹ The following passage from a letter lately received from Rev. Father Leopoid.

Di. Savir gives as another proof(?) that Déné thé- simply refers to the water, and not to the bottom of it, the fact that the Kato verb expressing the idea of washing is in t'e'-. In the first place, this is no, to the point, since my statement did not embrace southern linguist. Then here again his pretended proof turns against him. The Chilcotins have indeed thénasques and the Carriers thannaskrues for "f wash": but what kind of action do these terms represent to the native mind? How do the primitive aborigines wash? By laying over the clayey "bottom" of the shore of a sheet of water the soiled skin or blanket, on which they tread with bare feet and legs as do the wine makers of Europe! I have witnessed this operation a number of times during my twenty-eight years' stay in British Columbia, and the Kato word referred to plainly hints that, though the natives who use it may have discarded this aboriginal method of washing, they none the less practised it formerly.

Did my diagnosis of the case stand in need of confirmation, f would have but to produce the fact that the Déné have an altogether different word to reader the idea of washing either their hands or their face.

Yet, as these particular operation: were very little practised formerly, the notions of washing and water bottom have remained so inseparably correlated in the Déné estimation that the term which they use the designate soap is radically identical with "mud" or "clay." The latter is called kwællés in Chilcotin, hwotlæs in Carrier, wollæs in Sékanais, and practically the same among the Eastern Déne of the north. The root, which is a primary one (hence its striking similarity), is -tlès, -tlæs. Now the same dielects have respectively for "soap" la-tlés, la-tlæs and in-tlæs, which, in the st two cases, mean "hands-mud," and in the last "eyesmud."

The mode of washing skins or stuffs above referred to seems to have been originally unknown of the Nah'ane of the Far Northwest (the so-called Thalthan), who use in this connection a word, arathas'ôts, which simply implies that the action is done in the water, or with water, while

the chief contributor to the Naviko Dictionary, who had not been told of my contention concerning the true etymologica, meaning of southern tok-fi, is especially illuminating: "There are," he writes (April 27), "such words as tok-fi, water-horse, and tok-holtsodi, water-ox. Both are mythological animals, that live in, and walk in, or on the bottom of the water. This last is expressed by the prefix tok." The Italics are mine.

¹ Henceforth I shall represent the hiatus hy an apostrophe ;), instead of an upper dot (') as before, and shall retain the dot exclusively for the exploded sounds i, k, l, etc.

Chilcotin thénasques is analyzed: "I drag, rub (-sques) repeatedly (-na-)1 over tlubottom of the water (thé-)."

"Even in northern Athabaskan I do not find Father Morice's remark [on the real meaning of thé-] to apply without qualification, even if correct for Carrier." This is from Dr. Sapir's (p. 767). Let us then have recourse to his usual authorities, to which I shall add what I personally know of the Western Déné dialects. For "bottom of a body of water" the Montagnals say (with my spelling) thère; the Ilares, thèè; the Loucheux, thè; the Chilcotin, Carrier and Babine thère; the Sékanais, teèré. This last is consistent with the foregoing, because in Sékanais th is convertible into te. Ex.: "the Big Water" (the name of a British Columbia lake): Carrier, Thû-tht, Sékanais, Teù-tet.

As to that, my eagerness to clearly differentiate it from the induced me is advertently to go a little too far. It is simply the equivalent in compounds—and in compounds only—of the word that, the, tea, etc., "water," and may contribute to the formation of substantives, adjectiver, verbs and adverbs. Here are a few examples:

Substantives: Navajo thd-ba, "shore" (for tho-ba, "water-edge"); Chilcotin tha-zæl, "soup" (for thô-nezæl, "water-warm"): Carrier tha-tši. "wave" (for thâ-tši, lit. "water-head").

Adjectives: Chilcotin tha-diffsat? "deep (water)," from thô, "water," and næzat, "far off"; Babine tha-tţuk, "shallow," from thô, "water," and nţuk, "short"; Carrier tha-sækæz, "spring water" (for thû-sækæz, "water-cold").

Verbs: Chilcotin tha-stnan, "I drink (water)"; Carrier tha-s'aih, "I put in the water," etc.—too many verbs of that kind in all dialects for enumeration.

Adverbs: tha-niz, "at large on the water" (lit. "in the middle," -niz, "of the water," th-); tha-tlat, "at the further end (of the lake)," etc.

This element appears "in compounds only," I have said. By this I meant to controvert Dr. Sapir's statement to the effect that "in several Mackenzie Valley dialects Ath. *t'a even occurs as uncompounded noun stem" (p. 768). For this he relies, of course, on Petitot's dictionary,

Letymologically, na. (a contraction of nat. "Iwice") implies reduplication; but it is also frequently used simply to show that the action is not done for the first time. In those cases, it even occasionally alters somewhat the meaning of the word. Thus in Carrier !hê-!xs:li is the equivalent of "i beseech"; changed to !hêna-dæsili, it assumes the signification of "I say my prayers, I pray," hecause praying is an action which is normally done more than once.

² In Chilcotin in has the phonetic value of in in French singe, not of the same in English sing.

knowledge falls short of its purpose. I never sew but one Macka zie Valley Indian, and never spoke to her, any more and I ever addressed, or heard, a Navajo or a Hupa; yet I nm positive, and if necessary will stake my reputation as a Carrier scholar (the only one which Sapir seems willing to concede) that the prefix that meaning "water" as well as "flot", is never used uncompounded anywhere. This would be against the morphological laws of the Déné dialects, such as my speaking knowledge of five of them and book study of many years' duration have revealed them to me. Were I not anxious to be as brief as possib. I might give my reasons for this.

In other words, you may see this terminological element written alone, as in Petitot's dictionary, or preceding independently without hyphen some other words, as in the work of the Franciscan Fathers; I insist that it cannot stand by itself, and needs the surplet of some other element to exist. It is to that, etc., "water," what tsécois to kwon, "fire"; têcoto tôi, "head", and to -zé, "mouth," -tze to -tzt, "heart," and ne- or na- to nên, næn, yæn, "earth," "ground."

But I have tarried too long on these two particles. Dr. Sapir almost ends his No. 6 criticism by adopting my suggestion that his desinence •a! should be •ya!. "I now incline to think that •ya! is correct," he admit He is not quite so yielding in his No. 7, since he objects under that he, that "there is plenty of evidence to show that Ath. •*k'e. •k'en, •k'ën, •k'i frequently refers to, or implies, paddling," which I had declared is expressed by the root •lo, Sapir's equivalent of the idea of swimning. The instances he gives absolutely fail to convince me. Nay, some of them must have appeared of very little weight even to him.

Thus when Goddard renders Chipewayan -kī (1. e., Ki) by "to paddle a canoe, to travel by canoe," he is quite right; but this refers to

¹ Sapir's "in the water" is not quite exact, for several dialects have a desinential letter (generally -t) which is locative in intent (thát, "in the water"; tha-tlat, "at the upper end of the lake," etc.), in the same way as final -s denotes recess item (tház, tha-tlaz, etc.).

² Petitot gives to this French word the signification of "water," which it has sometimes in French poetry, as may be seen by the words he produces as synchymous of "vague."

^{*}A somewhat extreme case of compounding into which this particle enters is $tha-na-t\tilde{s}\dot{e}-le'd\alpha s'\alpha R$," I do not drink again flat on the ground," which is thus analyzed: "I am not (-le-) doing $(-\alpha R)$ with my bent body $(\alpha \text{ replaced by the hiatus }'d\alpha s-)$ a renewed (-na-) action connected with water (tha-) wherein my head $(-t\tilde{s}\dot{e}-)$ takes a part."

locomotion, or the act of moving on the water through the action of a paddle, instead of, for instance, by swimming, -pih, "floating on a raft," -tlat, "poling up," -thæz, etc.

So is it with the example quoted from Father Legoff, whose remark is quite appropriate, and applies to all the Déné dialects that I know. Naviguer en ramant never meant "to paddle," any more than j'avance en ramant, which has the same signification. All these terms refer to locomotion which accidentally is effected by paddling, or the use of oars,

With regard to Sapir's criticism under No. 8, I merely stated the value of -lat in Carrier and asked whether his informant might not have misunderstood him. He shows me by examples that this was not the case. I am satisfied. This point consisted of a statement by me which was perfectly correct, and of a question which is now answered. I do not see here any room for a corrigendum.

I wish I could be as brief in connection with his No. 9, which he subdivided into four parts. As usual, Sapir believes that he "abundantly" proves his case by references to other dialects. Were I sure that I do not impose on the reader's patience, I feel I could, by the same process, "super-abundantly" prove the contrary. The main point at issue here is either the absence of t- in my critic's root stems, such as -se, "to cry," -si, "to cause," or his cutting up his words in such a way that this same letter is made to belong to the preceding pronominal crement instead of the radical desinence. Thus he wrote -t-lat, for -tlat, root for the action of floating (which is in the same category as his -lal); -t-lo, "to laugh," instead of -tlo, and now, in his last paper, -t-nā (t'a-yit-nā), which should be -tnā (t'a-\gammai-tnā, "thou drinkest").

Speaking of the root for sleeping in some dialects, he claims that "not -tlal, but -lal must be considered as the root stem," because, forsooth, the dental happens to be absent in the second persons singular and plural (t^ii -lal and $t^i\bar{o}$ -lal). He adds: "Were -t- part of the stem, there would be absolutely no reason for its disappearance in these forms" (p. 769). May I ask the learned doctor, firstly, whether this t is not found in the first person singular, or at least dual and plural, of his verb. and, secondly, why we find it in these persons of the following analogous verbs, and not in any of the second ones?

Carrier I float næstlat ninlat nællat	Chilcoiin I am nésili nénli henli	Navajo I give him a horse bānislös' bānios yēi los
---------------------------------------	---	--

17

	nætsællat	ntlli	bādānīdlos' 1
Plur.	næhlat næ rællat	nėlli	bădănŏ'los'
	næ nællat	qınli	yādei los
Dual	ntilat, we float (both of us)		bānīdlos'

To get a proper idea of the real root stem in such verbs, we must go back to those dialects which have preserved it for occasional independent use. You ask, for instance, a Carrier: "How did you come? By canoe?" Talqahoh hwosaînya? Ke pe a?2 He may have to answer you: Awonluh; tlat pe asqa; "No, I did it by floating (on a raft)." Lal would here have absolutely no meaning.

In his Hupa material Goddard also gives this monosyllable instead of tlat; but it will be noticed that all the examples he produces as containing that root are in the third person, which, as we have seen, does not exhibit it in full. - Tlat, not -lat, is so evidently the true verbal stem that, under the transitive or causative form, the former appears in all the persons of all the tenses, both affirmative and negative, as we may see hereunder. The verb "I make him float" is conjugated as follows:

AFFIRM	ATIV	E
	23	

Present	Past	Proximate Future	Eventual
næstlat	næsæstlat	næthtstiæl	nôstla t
nîlilat	næstlilat	næthaltlæl	nôltlat
$n\alpha(y\alpha)$ itlat	$n\alpha(y\alpha)$ ltlat	ne(i)thtltlæl	næ(yu)ltlat 3
nætsæltlat	nætsæltlat	næsthflitæl	nætsultlat
næltlat	næsæltlat	næthiltlæl	nultlat
næRæ(yæ)ltlat	næræ(yæ)lilai	næne(i)thtltlæl	næ Ræ (yu)ltla t
D. nîltlat	næsililat	næthaltiæl	nôltlat
	NE	GATIVE	
	_		

Present nælæzæsilat	Past nælæstlal	Proximate Future nælthæsistlæl	Eventual nælæzðstlat
nælæzîlilat	næltitlal	nælthæzaltlæl	nælæzôlilat
nælæ(yæ)lllat	nælæ(yt)ltlal	næle(i)thiltlæl	nælæ(yu)ltlat
næltsæltlat	næltstillal	nælisæthililæl	næltsultlat
nælæzælila t	nælælilal	nælthæzæltlæl	nælæzultlat
nælæ næ (yæ)lilat	nælænæ(yl)lilal	nælæne(i)thiltlæl	nælænæ(yu)ltla
D. nælæzîlilat	næltlilal	nælthæzaltlæl	nælæzôltlat

In must be borne in mind that the Déné ear percelves no difference between d and t.

Of course, Ke does not literally mean "canoe," but "navigation."

The particles within parentheses represent the personal complement without which a native will scarcely ever pronounce the word. This element may be omitted when the complement immediately precedes the verb; but even then it is not conaldered a useless redundance in Carrier. Ex.: "Paul will take all my cattle (from one place to another) hy floating." Pol smæstus tsiyauh næyultlat.

The desinential roots -tlat of both proximate futures and -tlat of the negative past tense are nothing else than the general stem -tlat, inflected in conformity with well known, and invarially observed, laws of Carrier

In the same way, the desinence of Chilcotin néstli, "I am," which is in Carrier astli, and similarly loses its t- in all but the first persons singular, dual and plural, always takes it back when used substantively or as a compound element; in other words, whenever it has to play independently its rôle of a root. Thus "I am dressed" is said: e-twne-wstli; "thou art dressed," e-twne-inli, etc., and the compound word for clothing will be pe-twne-wtli, literally "that-wherewith man one-is."

So is it with the verhal stem -tlo, representing the idea of laughing, which Sapir would fain have us believe to be really .lo, since he writes it -t-lo, disassociating therefrom the t which he unduly refers to the pronominal element of the verb. This radical quite often fills the rôle of a genuine independent noun, in fact it is a regular noun, in all the dialects: Carrier, tlo; Navajo, dlo; Chileotin, tlâr; Sékanais, tlôh; Nah'ane, tlok; Montagnais, tlô and dlôr: Hare, tlô, klô, Loucheux, tlôg, dlôg.

Here are a few instances of their use: the swaltre, "laughter killed me," 1

An idiotism, the like of which we find again in Navajo. In this connection, I think it worth while to quote again from Fr. Leopold's letter (written on receipt of a copy of my review of Dr. Sapir's paper), were it only to show how, for those who are familiar with them, the dialects of the south have really preserved even minor points of the Déné morphology, such as we find it in the north.

"What you say on pages 348-49 regarding the hiatus preceding the root of the verbs of vision obtains exactly in Navaho, where this root is: '1, '7, '18 for the three tenses: nesh'i, "I look at;" nel'i, "I looked at;" dinesh'il, "I will look at." Your remark concerning the word "wife" also applies to the Navaho word 'ad, which is generally used with the possessive pronoun: sha'ād, na'ād, ba'ād, "my, your, his wife." The same hiatus occurs in Navaho before other verbal roots beginning with a vowel: shana'a, one of the forms for "give me." . . .

"Your remark on the double parts of the body holds good partly in Navaho: a one-eyed-man would be described as binā'kis ('kūs being a now obsolete word meaning 'half.' However, shikhe or shila is used in the singular or plural for "foot" or "Land." . . . Navalio has, as the Carrier, such personifying expressions as: tqo shinilqi, "water kills me," or "I am drowning." In like manner they say: dicht shinilqi, "bunger is killing me;" dabă shinilqi, 'thirst is killing me,' to express a high degree of hunger

Had my correspondent been familiar with the Déné dialects of the north, he would have saved himself the trouble of giving me translations of his Navajo material. There is scarcely more than one or two words (dicht for "hunger" and the future of nesh'i, i. e., nec'in: Chilcotin nis'in. Nah'ane nes'ih, Carrier næs'en) which would not be understood in the north. Thus "my, thy, his wife" is said sa'at, na'at, pa'at, in

9, 1917

of the

ected

arrier

is in '

ular,

as a

ntly art

l be

ing, is it oroof a its:

·· 1

'n.

fa

ire its

ie

e

s

8

that is, "I am dying of laughing"; the kænne'dæsni, "I am acting the buffoon," literally "laughter in-conformity-with I-say-many-things" (ne'dæsni); the dizta, "I sit laughing"; the dijyin, "I stand laughing"; the dizthi, "I laugh while lying down," etc. Le could not be thought of in this connection."

With regard to the root for such an idea as weeping, tso, tsær in most dialects, which Sapir again writes without its t, here is one tense of the verb to which it belongs, together with that of a Carrier verb which is morphologically identical:

Carrier &ssi', I am bad intsi' isintsi' &htsi' æhtsi' æhtsi'
fisi", both of us are bad

The Carrier for hæsså is æssær, and its past, instead of making retsèr as in Chilcotin, becomes îssé, yielding the very same root as that of Sapir's Chasta Costa; but, of course, it does not fail to assume a t at all its other persons. Now tso, tsær (not so, which means "sleet," not "weeping") is employed in exactly the same way as tlo. So is it with tsi', not si', which has no signification. It is given, under its independent form, the sense of badness, malice, wretchedness, while it is also used depreciatively in compounds, as in ya-tsi'-dæs'aih (see p. 570 of my last paper).

Chilcotin; Navajo shana'a is none other than Chilcotin sani'afi (last syllable as French en); bina'kis ls simply Lower Carrier bana'kaz, or panakaz, etc.

I repeat that this information was spontaneous on the part of Fr. Leopold, who had not been told of Dr. Sapir's criticism.

As to the former's remark concerning shikhe (or ci-Ke: Chilcotin saKe) and shila (Chilcotin $sa\cdotila$), it applies likewise to Northern Déné. Thus a Carrier will say: sia tiket, "my hand is swollen," without using the singularizing suffix—a point I had noted long ago in the outlines of a grammar which, only developed, may later on he published. But whenever it is necessary to specify that one hand only is intended, this particle (-kas in Carrier, -kis in Navajo, -qas, in Chilcotin, etc.) must be agglutinated to the noun. You must then say: sla-kas, "the half of my hands." Were you to use in this case the numeral "one," as is done in our European languages, nobody would understand you.

¹ Dr. Goddard duly transcribes that t when he gives us the Hupa correlatives tows and towe for too.

² This desinential -r is scarcely audihle at all, and many Indians, especially among the younger generation, say tso instead of tser.

The part of Dr. Sapir's criticism where he is the most positive is in connection with syllabification. Here he declares that he "must emphatically disagree with" me (p. 771), and to show his utter disregard of what I wrote on this subject, he gives us $t'-\bar{a}-\gamma it-n\bar{a}$, for $t'\bar{a}-\gamma i-tn\bar{a}$; $\gamma it-l\bar{a}$ instead of $\gamma i-tl\bar{a}$, etc., thereby absolutely disfiguring the words and granting to their pronominal inflective part that which in reality pertains to their stem. I must therefore be allowed to make a confession:

Out of sheer presumption, and imagining that my 34 years' study of the Déné language had fitted me for the task of writing thereon from mere personal knowledge, the thought of consulting a book or published paper on the subject did not as much as occur to me when I wrote my review of Sapir's able essay on Chasta Costa. Now that he "emphatically" rejects my criticism on his peculiar way of dividing syllables, which, I claim, entails a wrong idea of the personal crements no less than of the nature of the verbal stems, I must have recourse to a book. On the other hand, since it is the fashion in certain quarters to have nothing to do with the morphological notions of the North, when treating of southern dialects, I open the Navaho Dictionary of the Franciscan Fathers, and find, page 10 of its second part, the following caution noted in as prominent a manner as possible:

The digraphs and trigraphs used in this alphabet are not two or three distinct consonants in juxtaposition; but represent a single sound whenever they occur initially or otherwise. We hyphenize and pronounce $bi ext{-}iqo$, not $bit ext{-}o''$, etc., a distinction which is noticed by a Navaho ear.

This remark applies to pronouns and nouns, which are always coupled together as inseparably as the various elements of a verb, since the possessive pronoun (bi-), for instance, cannot any more stand without the support of its noun (tqo) than we could dream of t-q-q-existing without the inimediate adjunction of its sense-giving stem $-tn\bar{a}$. The above mentioned caution of the Navajo scholars is, therefore, just as much to the point when it is a question of verbs, as in connection with a pronominalo-substantival compound.

Hence let me tell my critic that he cannot possibly be half as emphasic concerning the accuracy of his syllabic divisions as I am with regard to their inaccuracy. His plea that his informant "was particularly careful in syllabifying" merely betrays an inexperience with Déné teachers which time will not fail to reveal. To prepare my monumental Dictionary of the Carrier language, which was destroyed by fire, I must have had, during the sixteen years that I worked on it, between 4,500 and 5,000 lessons or consultations, generally of several hours' duration.

917

in

m-

of

-10

۱tto

of

m d y c-

e e I likewise took down vocabularies of the Chilcotin, Babine, Sékanais and Nah'ane dialects. Now I never once found a native instructor who could syllabify correctly, or in the same way as the preceding one! This task must be done by the scholar who has mastered the language sufficiently to be in a position to judge for himself, after a careful deductive process.

Perhaps the conjugation of one tense, the eventual, of the very verb of which Sapir gives one C. C. person $(t^t\bar{a}-\gamma i-tn\bar{a})$ will help opening his eyes to the truth of my contention.

Chilcotin		Carrier
tha-ros-tnan, I shall drink		tha-us-nai'
tha-roft-tnan		tha-AH-thai'
tha-ro-tnan		tha-u-tnai'
tha-r8-tnan		tha-tsu-tnai'
tha-roh-tnan		tha-uh-tnai'
tha-qu-tnan		tha-Ru-tnai'
•	Dual:	tha-8-tnai

Here we have at a glance the full root -tnan, -tnai'. the equivalent of C. C. -tnā, Sékanais and Hare -toā, Montagnais -daā, fully distinct from the pronominal crements -ros-, -us-; -roñ-, -uñ- etc., which latter are, in turn, clearly differentiated from the qualifying prefire tha-, which stands for $th\hat{u}$, $th\hat{o}$, "water," and indicates that the verb is intransitive, because it already contains in its make-up some sort of a complement. Who, with ever so slight a tincture of Déné morphology, would dream of having, for instance, tha-st-nan, "I drink"; tha-tha

As to Dr. Sapir's No. 10, while he admits that his verbal stem -t'Ac (or -thæc) is genetically related to my -thæs, which in all the dialects that I know of refers to the plural, he assures me that it is in Chasta Costa really used in connection with singular subjects. This is very surprising and well deserves deep investigation. Pending this, I accept his word for it, merely suggesting that this root is possibly not the equivalent of northern -thæs, which is strictly plural in intent, but of singular -thih, the desinential -h corresponding here to C. C. -c. Until I get incontrovertible evidence of it I cannot conceive of a plural form coming to express a singular concept.

With regard to Dr. Sapir's criticism under No. 11, he writes (p. 722): "Father Morice is, in my opinion, quite right." What, then, is corrigendum in this, his own statement or mine?

N. B.—I take this opportunity to remark that, in addition to those pointed out by Dr. Sapir, the following misprints occurred in my appreciation of his paper on the Chasta Costa language:

(N. B., 19, 1917

Page 560 561 564 564 564 567 567	l.okæl then æræl'en nukwéidintsi'	read tiokæt thèkæ enæl'en nuhkwéidintsi'' verb -késsi' tsit fedæku	Page 567 567 570 570 570 571 571	instead of hwenti itsénæ-sæ'a yadæsquh yadæsqéh yatsé-sært.s (note 3) tsé (do.) tsi	read hwentil ltsénæ-sæ'a yadæsguh yadæsgéh yalšé-sæstlis tšé
---	--	--	---	---	--

A. G. MORICE, O.M.I.

SAINT BONIFACT,
MANITOBA, CANADA.

I Just to show Dr. Sapir that, especially in material such as his and mine, ao author is not always responsible for the printed mistakes, I will point out the fact that his k^g ! does not correspond to my q, as he is made to say in oote 16 of his first essay. This, at least, is a real corrigendum—but, I am sure, imputable to the printer alone.

44

1267/120

1917

3

3

an hat ay, ne,

