



Provincial Legislature.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY. WEDNESDAY, APRIL 2.

On motion of Mr. Ritchie the House resolved itself into a Committee of the whole on a Bill to abolish the fees now paid the Judges of the Supreme Court. Mr. Ritchie explained the nature of the Bill. He thought the fees bore hard on persons who were obliged to go to law, and should be abolished. He also contended that it was the intention of the House last year to abolish the fees when they passed a Bill for the immediate reduction of the Judges' salaries.

Mr. Attorney-General said the question of Judges' salaries and fees was modified by the act which passed in 1849, and members ought to be careful how they distributed arrangements on so solemnly made. Should the House pass it, however, it was necessary that it should pass with a suspending clause. Mr. Hannington said the Hon. Attorney-General had done a most excellent thing in respect to the bill of 1849; the question of fees was not then touched upon at all, but merely the question of salary. In the following year (1850) the House expressed their opinion upon the subject, which was in accordance with the principle of the bill. It was his (Mr. Hannington's) opinion that £500 a year, exclusive of all fees, was salary enough for a Judge, and he had looked in vain for any report on the subject. It was the greatest reduction he could effect. As to the bill being passed with a suspending clause, he was opposed to it. He thought it was the duty of the Legislature to settle the management of such affairs into their own hands.

Mr. Balfour would support the Bill, and in the hope it met their views. He was surprised to hear the Hon. Attorney-General say a suspending clause was necessary. Were they to be dictated to by Earl Grey upon matters purely local like this? If that was the case it was high time they began to resist. The Hon. the Speaker considered £600 quite sufficient salary for a Judge, without fees. This was what he would have preferred. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

The Hon. Attorney-General said the question of Judges' salaries and fees was modified by the act which passed in 1849, and members ought to be careful how they distributed arrangements on so solemnly made. Should the House pass it, however, it was necessary that it should pass with a suspending clause.

Mr. Hannington said the Hon. Attorney-General had done a most excellent thing in respect to the bill of 1849; the question of fees was not then touched upon at all, but merely the question of salary. In the following year (1850) the House expressed their opinion upon the subject, which was in accordance with the principle of the bill.

Mr. Balfour would support the Bill, and in the hope it met their views. He was surprised to hear the Hon. Attorney-General say a suspending clause was necessary. Were they to be dictated to by Earl Grey upon matters purely local like this? If that was the case it was high time they began to resist.

The Hon. the Speaker considered £600 quite sufficient salary for a Judge, without fees. This was what he would have preferred. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

The Hon. Attorney-General said the question of Judges' salaries and fees was modified by the act which passed in 1849, and members ought to be careful how they distributed arrangements on so solemnly made. Should the House pass it, however, it was necessary that it should pass with a suspending clause.

Mr. Hannington said the Hon. Attorney-General had done a most excellent thing in respect to the bill of 1849; the question of fees was not then touched upon at all, but merely the question of salary. In the following year (1850) the House expressed their opinion upon the subject, which was in accordance with the principle of the bill.

Mr. Balfour would support the Bill, and in the hope it met their views. He was surprised to hear the Hon. Attorney-General say a suspending clause was necessary. Were they to be dictated to by Earl Grey upon matters purely local like this? If that was the case it was high time they began to resist.

The Hon. the Speaker considered £600 quite sufficient salary for a Judge, without fees. This was what he would have preferred. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

The Hon. Attorney-General said the question of Judges' salaries and fees was modified by the act which passed in 1849, and members ought to be careful how they distributed arrangements on so solemnly made. Should the House pass it, however, it was necessary that it should pass with a suspending clause.

Mr. Hannington said the Hon. Attorney-General had done a most excellent thing in respect to the bill of 1849; the question of fees was not then touched upon at all, but merely the question of salary. In the following year (1850) the House expressed their opinion upon the subject, which was in accordance with the principle of the bill.

Mr. Balfour would support the Bill, and in the hope it met their views. He was surprised to hear the Hon. Attorney-General say a suspending clause was necessary. Were they to be dictated to by Earl Grey upon matters purely local like this? If that was the case it was high time they began to resist.

The Hon. the Speaker considered £600 quite sufficient salary for a Judge, without fees. This was what he would have preferred. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

The Hon. Attorney-General said the question of Judges' salaries and fees was modified by the act which passed in 1849, and members ought to be careful how they distributed arrangements on so solemnly made. Should the House pass it, however, it was necessary that it should pass with a suspending clause.

Mr. Hannington said the Hon. Attorney-General had done a most excellent thing in respect to the bill of 1849; the question of fees was not then touched upon at all, but merely the question of salary. In the following year (1850) the House expressed their opinion upon the subject, which was in accordance with the principle of the bill.

Mr. Balfour would support the Bill, and in the hope it met their views. He was surprised to hear the Hon. Attorney-General say a suspending clause was necessary. Were they to be dictated to by Earl Grey upon matters purely local like this? If that was the case it was high time they began to resist.

The Hon. the Speaker considered £600 quite sufficient salary for a Judge, without fees. This was what he would have preferred. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill. He had not a word to say in support of the Bill.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

APPHALTUM.

Five parts per cent petroleum dissolved in one part of Asphaltum will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

Asphaltum is readily soluble in Naphtha, and forms a mixture without heat. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

Pure Asphaltum dissolves in Turpentine, without heat. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

It will be evident from this that the Asphaltum is not a compound of Carbon and Hydrogen. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

The sample marked "Coal" is unquestionably to be classed as a sample of pure Asphaltum. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

APPHALTUM.

Five parts per cent petroleum dissolved in one part of Asphaltum will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

Asphaltum is readily soluble in Naphtha, and forms a mixture without heat. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

Pure Asphaltum dissolves in Turpentine, without heat. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

It will be evident from this that the Asphaltum is not a compound of Carbon and Hydrogen. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

The sample marked "Coal" is unquestionably to be classed as a sample of pure Asphaltum. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr. It will not heat at 100° Fahr.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

Mr. Johnston said the Hon. Attorney-General in announcing his views expressed himself in a manner which he had been surprised to hear of. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views. He was not surprised to hear of Mr. Johnston's views.

This debate was continued before I entered the Gallery in consequence a few of the species are very much alike. — (Signed) JAMES R. NEW YORK, FEB. 10, 1851.



