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# HON. LEWIS CASS, OF MICHIGAN, 

# IN REPI.V TO <br> MR. BENTON, OF MISSOURI, 

WITII SOME ADIITIONG.

DELIVERED

IN TIE SENATE OF TIIF UNITED STATES, TIURSDAY, APRIL. 2, 1846.

Mr. Asmer said that he was yesterday entited to the floor; but had yielded to the Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Benton,] who sproke at such longth as to preclude him (Mr. A.) from aldressing the Seuate. The Senator from Michigan [Mr. Cass] was now in his sent, and as he was on parole, it was to be supposed he was desirons of responding to the Senator from Missouri. He (Mr. A.) thercfore very willingly ngain waived his right, and gave way to the Senator from Michigan.

Mr. CASS then rose nul said:
Mr. President: 1 am much obliged to my friend from Arkansas, [Mr. Asmeex,] for his kindness in yielding to me the floor upon this oecasion. He is right in supposing it is necessary, from the position in which the honorable Senatur from Missouri, by lis remarks of yesterday, plared me. Before, however, proceeding further, $I$ must return my thauks ${ }^{4}$ my friend from Inliana, [Mr. Hannegan, ] for what he suid of me, nad for me, while I was alsent. $!$ am still more nbliged to him, however, for $t_{1}$ : sake of the common ohigec which he and $I$, and others of us, have in vicw, than even for my own sake. I learn, und I learn it without any surprise, that his vindication wns musterly und eloquent. I can well believe it, sir, from the many proofs, which he has given us during the session of his powers of orato$r y$, mud espeeinlly from the pusitions he has tuken and supported in the Oregon controversy.

Mr. President, I have come here this morning to set myself free. Twice in my life 1 have lieen captured by enemics-once fighting uguinst British pretensions in war, and agnin fighting agninst British pretensions in peace. My country redeemed me in the former case-I come to redeem inyself in the fatier. I say enemies, but I trust the term is only metaplorically applicable. There is nothing in the former relations between the honorable Senator from Missouri and myself, nothing in our present position, which should make us enemies. On the contrary, a long personal fricndship has exist-
ed hetween us, which I did not suppose was sundered. If, however, it is otherwise, 1 must bear it as I may. I have borne greater calamities than even the hostility of the honorable genteman from Missouri.
1 rame here, sir, as I said before, to redeem myself; and 1 menn to do it: to do it by correcting a misappreliension,--ly spenking the truth.
"Htc ix the frecoman, whom the trath makes free: Alt elve are staves tersite:"
1 will not speak in the triumphant tone, which pervales the speech of the honorable Senator from Missouri. It is not ny hebit. "Let not him that girdeth on his harness, boast himself, ns he that putteth it of" Let no man bonst till the victory is won. And eap reially, let him not boast while his adversary is $\mathrm{n}^{1}$ sent. What the Senator said presents subjects a nough for nnimadversion, but the mamer is whe he said it was still more unneceptable. 1 ati ignorant of nny circamstances, in our rehative situations, which could justify it; still, I repeat, that I mean to vindicate myself, and that, too, to the entire satisfaction of every man within the sound of my voice.
Mr. Mannegan. Eyery impartial man.
Mr. CASS. No, Mr. President; 1 will nut -eept the qualification suggested by my friend from Indiana. If my vindication is not satisfactory to every man, partial or impartind, I will agree to be tied to the chariot wheels of the honorable Senator fiom Missouri, and to fight the brttes of 49; and I hardly know two more severe punishments, that could be inflicted upon me.
The honorable Senntor says that I came here the other day to make a stulited spreeh on the subject of Oregon. I did so, sir; and he overrates his own powers, and underrates the mental qualitics of the momhers of this body, who comes here to give his opinions upon a great national sutbjert without due preparation. I shall not commit that filly; and I hnve too mueh regard for the intel!:-
ence and experience of the honarable Senator to believe that he would. I presume that his thoughits are fully prepared upon every grave topic, on which he presents his views to this body. hut, however it may have been before, 1 have not had much time for preparation now, for I wis not in my seat yesterdny when the honorable Senator mate his attack; and of course I could not know, except from rumor, what he said till this morning.
Now, sir, what is the suliject in controversy be tween the honorable Semator and myself? He says that I am committed, by my own deelaration, to go for 49, if it is shown thut commissioners were appointed under the treaty of Utrecht to establish that parallel as a boundary. This assertion is the whole foundation of his argument, upon which the whole superstructure rests. If the one falle, the other falls with it. Now, sir, I not only never said so, but the idea never necurred to me; I never thought of it. And the loonomble gentleman has wholly misunderstood ne, either through my fault or his own.
He has crected a fortification for me, and battered it down with his own canmon. I choose to be shut up in my own defensive works only. If these are carried by siege or by storm, then I will surremter. But let me be my own engineer.

My position was this, sir. Many of the members upon this floor contend that the parallit of $49^{\circ}$ is the northern bemadary of our clann in Oregon. Some directly so; and others, because it wus assumed to be such by our Government in the carly period of our controversy on this subject with Eingland. T'o us, therefore, who believe that our claim in Oregon goes to $54^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$, it was ensential to show there was an error on this stibjeet; that the trenty of Utrecht never extended to the country west of the Rocky Mountuins.

Mr. Greenhow, in his work on Oregon, had examined this question, and had endeavored to show that no commissioners, under the treaty of Utrecht, had ever established any boundary between the French and Euglish possessions on this continent. So far as respects the general proposition, it is a mere question of historical authenticity, not having the stightest practical bearing upon our title to Oregon. Because, before our title to Oregon could be affected, it must be shown, that that line, if established at all, must extend west of the Rocky Mountains.

Mr. Greenhow, in his work, enters into the question, and I referred to his book as one entitled to talent, industry, and caution; and 1 requested gentlemen, who hind doubts on this subject to turn to that work, and I thought they would satisfy themselves, that no such line had been established. I did not vouch for the facts or conclusions. I never examined the general subject in its extent. I stated, however, that the result of his discussion upon my mind was, that such a line had not been run. I am still under that impression, sir, and nothing that was said yesterday has shaken its strengh. Still, I do not hold myself at all responsible for Mr. Greenhow's nccuracy. I should investigate the suljeet with far morecare than I have done, if I were to be held responsible for deducLions resting upon ony other man's assertions.
The Senator from Missouri says lie comes here not to settle a point, which can at all influence the
action of this body, or have the slightest effect upor thie termination of our controversy with Eughand. Ite says he "makes no uppliention of this fact," referinter to his proof that the parallel of 49 was established somewhere by the treaty of Utrecht. ITe says: " I draw nourgument from it. I do not 'apply it to the guestion of title. I am not argu'ing title, and will not do it; but I am vindicating - lisistory, ussailed in a vilal point by the book - which has heen quotel and endorsed. I am vin-- dicating the inteligence of the Ainerienn Semate, "exposed to contmpe in the eyes of burope, by a - supposed ignorame of a trenty which is one of ' the great poìtical lantmarks in Europe and - America," \&c.

The Semator will pardon me for saying that this secms to me very much of a tempest in a teapot. What dors he profess to vindicute before the Senate of the United States? Not the rights of the country, but the alleged truth of an historical fart, misrepresented Ly. Mr. Greenhow, and vouched for, as the Senator thinks, by me. Now, sir, it seems to me, thnt this solemr: trinl, before such a court as this, is hardly justifiel by the nature of the aemsation. Here is an historical crror. Be it so. Nobody rontends that it affects our interests or our honor in the remotest degree; no more so than the parentage of Romulus and Remus. This is not a Werture room. We are neither professors nor students, assembleci hese to discuss the truth or falsehood of historical statements, which bave no relation to our duties. And it srems to me, also, that burope will know little, and care less, respecting this grare controversy, now sub judice, before this high tribunal. I doubt if its fame reaches there. I rather imggine, that, in that quarter of the globe, there are other, if not graver, suljects to ougage the attention of both Governments and people, than historic doults, involvins" Mr. Greenhow's nccurucy and my credulity.

Still, sir, as this question is thus brought before us, I shall procecd to give a brief synopsis of it, nod leare honorable Senators to judge for themselves. The Senator from Mismouri has brought forwurd three principal facts, to prove that the parallel of 49 was established by conmmissioners under the treaty of Utrecht. The first is a despatch from Mr. Madison to Mr. Monroe; the second, a statement submitted by Mr. Monroe to Lord Harrowby; the third-I put them together, for the honorable gentleman has joined them-Postlethwnyt's Dictionary and D'Anville's maps.

Betore proceeding further, sir, I beg to remark, that the honorable Senator, in quite a tannting tone, contrasts my investigation of this matter with his own. He goes to the fountain-head, the authentic documents, and there finds the truth; while I go to the turbid stream, and am thence "led astray," and thas luave wandered into the enemy's camp, and have become a prisoner. And what are those avthentic documents which the honorable Senator has sought and found, and pored over with the nidnight lamp, to ednce the truth? Why, Postlethway's Commercial Dictionary, contsining a mapl This is ull, literally all!-n work long since referred to ly Mr. Grecnlow in his book, and examined by him.

Now, sir, the first reflection which strikes a man is this, that if this line were thus established, the
proof o from the be positit of it is justion Before lire as to prove torisal ut of the en But w Sematar sarics ha limguage еne. $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}$ filly upo notwithe Htor fron said Mr. between of it was unter: lio P Ilc the the muthe he adels ' cessity of saries as 'are not - to your - mine the this is cer tainty is. bad iot lo ofdependi dupe and these, bynot laves thing to $\mathbf{N}$ question of fiuct," + particula - noratice - endiner o - precinion - from the
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proof of it might have heen got forty yeara ngo from the arrhives of Paris or Lomdon. That would be pasitive nad undeniable evidence, and all short of it is ineonelusive, and such as no tribunal of justice world receive as final.
Defire miy man nssumes the existence of sueh a live ns a burrier to his country's chains he ought to prove it, nut hy loose drductions from loose historical notices, lat by mu authentic eopy of the act of the commissioners.
But what alys Mr. Madison? The honorahle Senator from Missouri says, "the fact of eommissarics having neted, was nasumed fur certuin." The hamguge of Mr. Matison reads fir otherwise to me. As I statel the other day, he speaks doubtfully upon the subject; nnd I repeat the nasertion, notwithstandiug the comtrary averment of the Sen; ntor from Missouri. "There is reuson to belierc," said Mr. Mndison to Mouroe, "t that the houndary between Lamisimanand the British territories north of it uns actunlly fixed by eommissurics appointed under the treaty of Utrecht."
P He then adds, hat he sends a paper, containing the nuthority respecting this nlteged decision; but he adds cautiously: "But you will perceire the ne-- cessity of recurring to the proceelings of the commis' saries as the source of uuthentic information. These 'are not within our reach here, nuid it must be left - to your own rescarcles and judgnent to deter"mine the proper use to he made of them." If this is certemty, I should like to know what uncertainty is. The honnrable Selnator regrets, that I hand int lonked into the original documents, instead ofdepending onGreenhow, and thus Leenming "lis dupe nad his victim"-not very courteous words these, by the-hy-and that if I had done se, I would not hnve said that Mr. Momroe had not added nnything to Mr. Matison's statement, ind hatd left the question as doubtful ns he had found it. "In point of fuet," says the Senator, "Mlr. Monnoe alded the ' particulars, of which Mr. Madison declared his in-- nerance-added the begiminge, the courses and the - endiner of the line, and stated the whole with the - precision of a man, who had taken his information "frmm the proceedings of the commissioners."
'This is to me 11 strange view of the mater, sir. 1 camnot find that Mr. Mndison refers to any par. ticulars. He certuinly does not use the word. It is the authentivity of the notice, enclosed by him, which he desires Mr. Monroe to nsectuin. What the partirulars were, centained in the notice, we do not know, ns the paper iteself cannot be found. That notice, ns 1 slanll show, or rather Grecnhow hus shown, there is cerery renson to helieve, wns an extract from Doughas's History of Ainerica.
Before I proceed to examine these particulars, I may be nllowed to remark, that Mr. Madison douhted with precisely the same fiets, which we have before us-the map and look referred to by the honorable gentleman. And to this day, not one single circuinstance has been added, which conid remove those doubls. Whare, then, that illustrious man felt unecrtuinty, I may be permitted to feel $n$ greater degrec of it, in consequence of the direct and circumstantial evidence since disseovered, lending to the prestumption, that no such line was estublishowd. But 1 repent, sir, that in this investigation 1 do not profess to come to uny absolute couclusion. It is a subject, on which men
may differ. The reault of my exnmination impreseses me with the cminiction, that no such tine was establisheal. Mr. Monroie presented a memeir to Lord Harrowly, the Secrethry of State, nud I will now quote from the gratieman's specech that part of it, upon which he dwells, us showing "the ' leggiming, courses, and end of the line, se., with - the precision of a man, who had taken his infor" mation Prom the procredings of the commissn"rics." I will quate, alsn, the statement of Donglas, the historimin of North Amerien; and no doubt ean exist on the mind of any man, that Mr. Monroe resorted to that nuthoriyy for his stutement, and not to the original neclives:
 cordingly appolitud hy eiarli the treaty, however, line CanPower, who exceuted the adn, or Fremels tine, with the stipulations of the treaty in Ifodsin Hity Compung of
 proposed by it." "They fised cd from a certuin promontory flee northern bombary of uyon the etthenti: ocean in Chualia and Lanisimum by $50^{\circ}$ : $0^{\prime}$ of north tatilude, to Itne legrianing in lice Allantic, tun southucet io Lake Mis. ut'z capic or promontory ix $55^{\circ}$ tissin; to be continued still IV' north hitilutd; thence suth- southrest to the $491 /$ degree, weclunurily to the Iake Mistis- und from thenee duc uest insin; thente, further southwest, defouitely."
t) the le tituete of $49^{\circ}$ north frem
the cyuator, aid utong thi. tine
indefinitcty."
Now, sir, the honorable Senntor from Missouri says that Mr. Monroe must hinve taken his information from the jrocecdings of the commissaries. No man can doulst but that Mr. Monroe quoted from Donglas's hook. The langunge is so nearly identical as to renter such a coincidence imposstble, if it were necislental.
'The suggestion that Mr. Monroe went to the arehives to procure the particulars, of which " Mr. Madison had deelared his iunomate,' but of which declatation l cannot find a trace, scems to me very extriordimary, when we advert to Mr. Monroe's report. The proceedings in such a case as this, establishing a boundray between two great nations, extending overso large a portion of thesurface of the globe, were never reeorded in the Innguage of Mr. Monroc. Who were the commissioners? Whero did they sit? What was the dite of their action? Where wus the confirmation of their nward by their Goveroments? What, in finct, were the points indicated? "Beginning in the Atlantie, nt n eape or promontory in $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ north hatitude!" A eque or promontory liat named, but to be nscertained hy its latitude! And if the latitude were not correctly stated, what then? Suppose where that parallea struck the Athantic, there was no eape or promontory? And would any commissioners assume such an absohite knowledge of the topograpliy of a remote and barren const, ns to make that fuet the basis of their whole action! Valid, if it were so; invalid, if it were not.

But this loose langunge is not confined to the phace of commencement. After leaving this "enpe or promontory,' this terra incognita, the line is to run southoestıcarilly to Lake Mistissin, nu indefinite course, as will be seen, and not rendered definite by indicating what part of the lake it was to strike.

No reasomule loubt enn exist, but that as Mr. Monroe employeal the lunguage of Douglas, he took the alatement from that historian.

Mr. Monroe, however, presented the fact to

Lord Itarrowby, and it was not contradicted by him, so fir ns we know.

From this uegative circumstance the gentleman from Missouri draws the important conellasion, that the fart must have been so. I shall not enter into this matter, as it is mot nt ill importunt.
Mr. Monroe stuted a fiect, that had oecurred, if it aectureel at ull, "t ceumery heforc. It had in re. ality litule, if my , beuring upon the sulyice he was urgug, which was the right of the Uinited Sintes to " possess che territory lying between the bakes and the Mississippi, semth of the parallel of the 491h degree of latitude."

It was to the treaty of 1783, that he was referring, and to Mitehell's mup, ly which it was formed. He udverts to the tresty of Utreclit hy saying that "by ruming due west from the northeester" point of the Lake of the Woorls to the Mississippi, aceording to the trenty of lies, it must linve becn intended, according ta the lights befare them, to tuke the tarallel of the 49 did degree of latitule, as eatublished under the trenty of Úrechi."
Now, sir, it might well he that Lord Harrowhy never considered it nectssary to look into this allered fuct, as it hat no real bearing on the sub)ject, being alloded to merely as giving reasons, which nay have inthurered the remmissimers in fixing the bommaries of 1783 ,
Mnst certuinly his silence, muder such cirrumstances, furnishes no sollid prowf-scracely, I may say, a light presumpuion-iis fuvor of this parulled of $49^{\circ}$.
The next proof of the establishment of this line given by the Senatur was Postlethwayt's Commereinl Dictomy, with D'Anville's map. There is no quotatien trom the dictionary, mid the muther, therefore, rests on the majp alone.
The Senator theup pointed out the line estublisheal under the treaty of Utrecht, and read the necount of it as given in in note on the uplee left-hand corner of the may. The descrijtion was in these words:
"The time that parts Bench Comada from British ‘Canada veas setlled by commissaries ather the prace of - Utrecht, making a course from Duris's Inkt, on the -Altmicic sea, dowen to the 49 th degree, through the - Lake Alibibis, to the Northerst Oceen; lherefore Nr. ' D'.Inrille's dotted lime east of James's Buy is friss."
Thir Scuator then states that this mup, was "made by D'Auville, the grem l'reurh gengripher of his age, and dedicated to the Duke of Orlemens," \&c., dc.; and he adds, it is the "nuthentic F'rench testimony in favor of the line of Utrecht."
Now, sir, it is not a litle curious, that this map, thus andhoritatively pronounced to be nuthentic, is upon the very fite of it stated to be fulse in cure important purticular. What, then, hecomes of the correctness of the assertion of the lomorable Sentor, and of the certainty of hiss testimony?
If wrong in ome respect, it may be sa in others, and at any rate our fiith in its pretensions is entirely shaken. But I do not understuad by whom this note was writen: evidently not by D'Anville, for it impugns his own work. We have not, therefore, D'Anville's authority for this line, as leeng established under the treuty of Utrecht. He maths the line upon his map, but whence his aulhority for it is lef to conjecture.*

[^0]One other point, sir. The homoralle Scuator stater, that in un attempted ungotiation with the British Gnvernment, during Mr. Jefferson's Administration, two articles were proposel-ane by the Americun commissioners, and one by the Brii-ish-for the establishlmemt of a homendry hetween our country mad Cumaln. from thr morthenstern point of the Lake of the Woods. The urticles are substantinlly the enme, hint with the diflerence which un examination of hem will show.
The Ameriena prijet provided:
"That "t line drawn due nerth or somblh (ns the cuse - may require) from the norihwestern point of the Luke of the Wouds, until it shull imersect the 49th purailed of north latitude, ond with the said parnl'lel shaill Le the sonthern bomudary of hia Majesty's "territories, num the morthern henudary of the suid "territuries of the Uutited Sintes."
Tlie British projet, ufier providing fur the rumuing of a line urth or somb, is might he, from the northwestern point of the Lake of the Woods to the parallel of $49^{\circ}$, provides that the "suid paralled shall he the dividing line between his M:yesty's territorics and those of lie United States to the west ward of the said lake, as fur as their wespertive terntories extend in tibit yuarter; and that the said line slath to that exient firm the southern - boundary of his Majesty's said territurius, nad - the northern loundary of the said territories of "the Einited States."
Fach of these projits contains the same provino, "That nothing in the present article shall be con"strued to extend to the porthwest coast of Amer-- ica, or to the territorics belonging to, or elained - Lyy, cither party on the cominent of Amerian west "of die Stony Mountains."
The Semutor exclaimed triumplanty, "llere is consurrence in the proce dings of commissaries under the treaty of Utrecht." "Here is sulmission to that treaty on the part of the British,"' $k$ e.
In the first phene, sir, allow me to remark that this was a mere projet, and that mo treaty wats made
 Missoniri mstale. I combl men romprolend ly whom it was

 know ledgat error, I dednerd the fon'la ion dans liwe map
 tes!umany in favor of the trealy of Varer-lit."
What, liowever, 1 did not monderstand ihen, I mmdersinad
 informed mar Itat this mop is not the original work of ID'Anville, Int an Englisli tdition of it, ly flolton, with ulterations.
'Ihis finel, of conrsp, desirngs liat cobire value of the
 doc's nos flate that the paraild of $49^{\circ}$ ns a lionmaling was marked 1ןwn D'Anville's map. 'I'his Finglivh rdition

 vills's orizinal work. shates, in an arliedo phals-lient in the

 nor any olher live pucsing lhrowah the sume portion of the conlincul, is t.) be Joumt on the real muf of D'.Anrille.

 the tivititur land betwern the waters of the orenth and thome


 improred ujoun this Insumdary, by efrrying tho Ilritist, Ine: to the 49 th parallal; nad if so, then whati berames of this siats-
 that line noon which the somator from Mliefrignit fus staked the reversid of his Oregon jrosition ?"
on the sulije Now, whit accidentul ea further ingui that this the of Utrecht, other conve "Im" this II and their da lished a sent n usicless anc Whindly und his. lint, Mr. Jefferso rejected it. Marlison to July 3in, 1ex
"The mon - whe which - herced to) by you tot to be wishe ultimatum, unittel. :y, muld cun sise illtima the Pacific - cluims may is impolitic - strengtlent which it is - to excile 1

Now, sir, fewl Sy, suin, which expr Mountains, most jealoun lerfering wi schator sul die proviso, us lund Eng would excite "x|uessly th arvangenent American ti lis form a tri without reg tur me, that Lhaylisls title as ilhe Pheifí iluat Power letwech her Live offene Lums spuaish
The homon of Mr. Jefler An abler or a ui history. men, and rel ing lis brigh t mane in I more revered pire patriont honomalle Se mejog of Mr proves moth

1. It was
2. It was we acquired

Scuator with the soll's Ad--one by the I3rit4 letween ihwestern ricles are dillerence

## as the ense

 oint of the ct the 49th naid paralMajesty'n of the saidbe rumaing the worthals to the id parallel Majesty ates to the: cir respectnd that the e southern loriess, and ritories of

1e jrus jner, lall bee conat of Ameror elaimed neribil west
" "lore is mbissarie's is sulmbisish,'sc.
cmark that wis mate:

Stonetor from whom it was Whll mot lanve ce of an ac that the maty cntice Focnd

1 umiterstind silucr, he: lans bork of I'An will matera
valise of the : ibowe note, mbulialy was (livis tilition thy improved bitituel IV'An-lo-had in the $\rightarrow$ of $80^{\circ}$ iss as by invituries, on of the con the.
lisis map rat orsacemiona to :in hind thowe - Fance the 1g that sith - siby Botton Britirh line to of ithis "atu of H (reeditin lias staked
on the sulject till eleven yenrs mfierward, in I818. Now, whit is meant by "comenrence" here? If accidental eoincidfnee, the matter is not worthy of further inquiry. But if by "roucurrence" is meant that this line was actumlly cstablislued by the reaty of Utreclit, and thas hading on the martics, no other cmuvention was necessary. Both nutions, "ןwol this nssumption, mistowk their own rightes and their duties. The boundary had been estal,lishell a century before, and they were carrying on a nseless and barren negotiation, which was thas D, hindly and umecessarily ripenad imto a treaty in 1714. But, sir, the Senator proceeds to ask what Mr. Jeffirsun did with this proje, nuld adde, that he rejeeted it. And why, sir: The leter from Mr. Madisinn to Messrs. Alonroe and l'inkney, dated July, 30, Inlit, stater:
"I'lic modification of the fifth artiele (untel as - one which the British commissiomers would have - ryreed to) may be almitusd in case that proposed 'by you to them he not attumable. But it is mueli 'to he wished and pressed, though not made an - ultimatum, dat hic proviso to beth should be omittel. This is, in no view whatever, necessa: $y$, nul can have linte other eflect ham as an offersuse intimation to Spmin that ena hains extend tu - Whe Pacifie wetan. However reasmable such - chains muy be, compared with those of others, it - is impobitie, espesianly at the present monent, to - strensthenSpanish jembimsies of the United States, - which it is probably an olject wilh Gieat Bitain - to exele by the chatse in questiom."

Now, sir, Mr. Jetlerson's oljeet was not to offenel Spain, and therfore he rejecta a patise, which expressly limits our claim to the laochy Mombuins, in order not to excite the jealonsy of $n$ most jealous mation, by cren the uppearance of ianberfering with her rights; mad yet the honomble stmator sulposes that this very treaty, withont the proviso, was to rum to the Pucific, elanaing fur us and lingland the whole comery. And which would excite the jealonsy of Spain most: T'o say "xpmessly the Ameriran Govermment will make nie, armagencont with that of Einghand for pusling the American title wost of the Rorky Mombains, of wh form a treaty achally carrying this cham here withome regard to Spanish ri' 'ss? It is obvions 10, me, that Mr. Jeflerson diat mint believe in the Binglish title west of the Roeky Mombains as far as ithe Pacific; mod, therefore, makinug a treaty with that Power for the establishne at of a hominl:ry hetwren her tund the United States would not justly eive offence to Spain, as it would mot call in quesLien Spanish rights.
The homurable gentenmon has not said one wond of Nr. Jefterson, in which id do not heartily concur. Anabler or a purer statesman is racoly to le finmed in history. 'lime, which tries the thane of all men, and raduess the fime of mast men, is remering lise brighter mad hrighter; athl we hate scaresty a mane in listory-embinly but me-which is mare revered by the Americin people, as that of a fure patriot and a consummate shatesman. The homorahle Scuator will phase to recolle et, that this
 proves mathing, lecense-

1. It was never earried into effert;
2. It was befire the Florida teeaty, by which we atpuired the Spanish title;
3. It was formed under the impression, now shown to lie an crrumeous une, that lie parallel of $4!1^{\circ}$ had heen establisheal, under the treaty of Utwesh, as the northern bomidary of Louisana, extenting to the Rorky Mountains.
But after all, our rights remain as they were; and the op inions of sum able and honest men in Mr. Jefli:rson, Mr. Madisun, and Mr. Mouroe, whaterer those opmions may hase been, though entitled 10) very grave comsideration, still leave the Ciovernment perfectly free nmil unembarrassed by a projet proposed by them, but fimally abandoned. Though, "num the ussumption that the northern boundary of Lлиieiama was fixed by commissaries mader the garalle of $43^{\circ}$, I camnit muderstand why the parties negratiated at all; and though I see no evidenee, that the line propnsed was intended as the recognition of an Enylish tite west of the Rocky Momenins, to the exclusion of Spain, hat the contrary; yet I lave such an abidang comfidence in eacli of those statesmen, that I am fully satisfied the apparent fuets within their reach justified their rourse, whaterer that was intended to lie.
But, sir, whet are the cirtumstances which render doubthul-1 mighatay diseredit-the establishmirnt of this paralles of $4: 1^{\circ}$ winder the treaty of Utrecht? I will refor here to a pontion of an artiele pulbishecl in the Unism, February 21, 1846, and wrilta ly Mr. Grecahow:
"On the ollure linad, Mitelinll's large map of - Amerien, pultished in 1353, muler the patromge - of the Collomial Deprattient of Great Britnin, - which was cousulted and nitopted as autherity by - Nlee British and Amerían Plrninuteatiariss in - regulatilug the limits of the United States, in the treay of lises, presents a line drawa atomg the hishlands syphriting the waters tlowing into Ilud-- son's Diny from those of the St. Lawrene and - the lakes, as the • loundary of IUudson's Bay by - the treaty oi Utrellt;' and the same line appears - יil the man of America, in Smollet's Mistory of - linelaut, phlished in 1igio; on that of Beniett, in lian; on that of Faden in 1ita; and on some - other mups of that time.
"In comtradiction of all thrse opinimes, no line of sepmation whasoever hetween the [hulson's Bay territuries and the French possessions, is to - lee found on the larse and heantiful map of Amer، ian, by Popple, published in 17:38, (m) and under the - matronarr of the Colonial Department, and bear-- mig the ertifinate of Dr. Halley twits rorrecmess; - nor ou any map in the Athas of Maxwed and -stoes. puldished in 1isl; in hoyer's loblitieal - State, 1tel: in the LIstory of IImidson's Bay by - Dolltis, the Guverume of that teritury, 1744; in the Distoive de la Nouvelle Framee, by Charlevois, 1744; in the System of Geography, by Dowen, 18ti: in the American Traveller, 1769; in the Ameriman Allos, ly Jenries, 1778; in the Ilistory of the l'rench Dominions in america, by Jefrics, 1760: nor in the Map of Ameriea, from the manerials by Guvernor Plownal, in 1i94: nor - is there anv allusion to suelh a line in the works - to whirh these maps are attached, or in any - othare work or map of reputation published du-- ring the last century, save those above men-- timert.
"None of the works above-mentioned are nu-- thoritics on the subject, proceding, us they all do,

- from persons unconnceted with the transartions of - the Vtreche treaty, and possessing no better means, - mo far as hoown, of information respecting thrm - than ather prople; they, indeed, only ahow that - the boundary was supjosed by some pursons to - have leen so settled at the time when they were 'writter.
"Of the works, which miny be eonsidered as na-- thoritios, the following, comprising, it is believed, ' all in which a record or notice of such a transac. ${ }^{6}$ tion, if it had taken place, should be fomme, ure - entirely silent with regrard to biny decision or 'other ant of commissaries appointed under the - trenty of Utrecht, to settle the line of sepmration - between the Hudson Bay territories und the - French possessions, viz: the Collections of Tren-- ties liy Dumont, Boyar, Martens, Jenkinson, - Herstlet, and others; Actes, Memoires, de., eon-- cernant la Paix d'Utreeht, 171G, and Actes, Né-- gociations, \&e., dejuis la Paix d'Utrecht, 1745, ' two voluminous works, containing, it may he - supposed, every public document, nud notice of - every act connected with the negotintion of the ' treaty of Utrecht, and the consequent proceediness; - Collection des Edits, Ordonnances, \&e., concern' ant le Canada, Quebee, 1803, npparently a com-- plete assemblige of all the most important publie - documents relative to Canda and the fur trade; - Mémoires des Commissaires F'rançais et Anglais, - sur les Possessions des deux Couronnes en Amé - rigue, 1754 to 1757, which could not have thus 'omitted to motice this settlement of houndaries, if - it had taken place; the Histoire de la Diphoma-- tie Frangaise, by Fiassan, I811, and the IIistoire - des Traités de Paix, by Koch and Schnell, 181 i. - To these muthorities may be ndded, as equally - silent on the subject, the Histories of Euydand hy - Tindall, Smollet, Bekshan, Ifughes, Maton, - Wade, the Parliamentary History, and the Pie-- torial Ilistory; the Iistorics of Framee, by Sis-- mondi, A uquetil, and Lacretelle; Lord John Ras-- sell's Affitirs of Europe since the l'eace of Utrecht: - the Ilistorics of, and Memoirs on, Lonisiana, hy - Dumont, Dupmix, Vergennes, and Marhois: thi 'political works of Swift, Bolingbroke, and Vol-- taire; and many other works relating to the his-- tory of the period at which this settlement of the - northern limit of Louisiana is suid to have been - mate.
"This is rll negntive evidence, indecd; but it is the only evidence of which the ense ndmits, and - is equivalent to a prositive contradiction of the 'suppesition that any settlement of boundaries be'tween the IItadson Bay territory and the French 'possessions was made under the treaty of Utrecht; - as such a transaction conld not have escaped no'tice in all, or indeed in nny, of the works men'tioned in the preceding paragraph, if it hat taken - place, nod especially if it had been so notorious - as the knowledge of it by those who asserted it ' would seem to indicate. It will be said that there - must have heen some foundation for the assertion; - and possibly suchatine may have been proposed, - and made the subject of discussion between the ' two Governments in 1718, as a part of it was, $n$ - humdred years after, between one of them nud the - United States. That commissaries were appoint-- ed to settle boundaries, under the treaty of Utrecht, ' is most probable; and, in proof not only of their
' meeting, but nlso of their separation without ef-- feeting miny of the objacts proposed, the following - passage apycars in the Itistoire da la Nonvelle - Franee, by Charlevoix: ' Frame took po part in - this dispute, (letween the British and Indans in - Nova Somta, in $\mathbf{1 7 \% 4 ,}$, in order to avoid giving the slightest pretext for interruphing the good under-- standing hetween the two nations, which had beer. reatored wih so much difficulty; even the negoti-- ntions lietwern the two Courte for the settlement - of houndaries reased, althourh commiswaties had - heen npmointed on buth videre, fir that ohjeet, "since 1719.' Anderson, in his Jlistory op Com-- merce, nhd Macpherson, in his Ammis of Com"merec, boih positively deny that any bomolarics " were sembed under the treaty of Utrecht."
In addition to the ficts ahove stated, I will add another short maragraph, which wis hambed to me by my fricud [Mr. Hansband since I same here today. I have not had time to advert to the orihal, but I presimme it is correet.
- In Dr Mofras's brok, the oflicial exposition by ' the Fronch Government of the grounds of the - Enerlish chams to the Oregon territory, and ita ' nwa former pretensions to that region, it is said, ' vol. ii. p. 158:
" - It was ngreed, at the pence of 1713, and by - the trenty of Utrecht, that commissioners should - meet to frace with precision, to the north and the - west, the limits between the Jhdson "y coun-- try and New Framer, and to the somith, ine loound'aries betwern that province nad the English pos'gessions. Nevertheloss, there does not exist in ' rny written record, nor in nny mips or charts, $n$ 'sinerle doemment slowing that these frontiers ever - were definitively estalifished. And, in 1722, all 'proceedings on this subject had heen abmadoned, - aceoving In Father Charlevoix, that not the least - pretext might he given to violate the goond under'standine, which it had been foum soditticult to - establish hetween the two emwns of Pranee and "Fingland. 'The acchives of the offies of' Foreigr - Aftars contain no chart or memoir relating to the - treaty of Utrecht, regardine these fiontiers, mor 'do those of the Depratment of Marine; and thus - the asscrtion of Charlevoix is fully sustained.'
"The reference is to Charlevoix's New Frabee, "vol. iv. p. 124, and the top of the page."*
Now, sir, I shall pursue this investigation no further. I have nlrealy observed that, whether this line was estahlished or not east of the Rocky Dountains, is not of the slightest importance. The position that I ocenpied in my specech, nod that I occupy now, is this: It is contended in the Senate, mill out of it, that the parallel of 49 is our northern boundary in the territory of Oregon, and that it
* 'Tlae faltowing is tho: remark of F'ather (llarlevolx, re. firred lo above:
 disputes helween the Burgli-h find the findinis,) "No as not to give tha: least protuxt to brakk lire goont moder-tanding,
 Crowns. The negrotiations luetween ifo iwn soonsts fir the
 ers had been ajpoiated on thoth xides rver sinee the year 1719.9"
"This wate written in ITAS, "I'lo atuthor whe thon well known traviller seat out by the fremell Givernoment to expore nom ifoseribe thrir possestions in North Ninerieas a task which lo experited with equal judgment and uecuracy. tireat weigitut is due to hls audiority,
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(speaking of fome (intus,) "ko as nol it minjeritinding, betweren the iwo wo comirts fire the ugh commissinafer since the year
or was tho wril worninent lacy. Gorti) Ancriesia: a cint and aceuracy.
wan ussomed ns such by our Government in the carly purt of the comiroversy, mul mo maintained for some years; and that we are, therefore, corefaded ugainst tho ansertion of any other bomilncy. Now, sir, my object was to show, thint no such line was ever establinhed by the treaty of Utrecht in the trigoll country, and that we were, therefore, free to hrge our proteusiona, without regard to this statemenf, or to the acts of our Government, fonnded upon an erroneous impression, that the line of 490 dill extend to the l'neific ocean. 'I'lis is what I undertogk to diaprove, mad nothing but thas. Anl I will now nal: the honoralle Semator from Missonri it he helieves that the parallel of 49 was ever estahilishad hy commismaries under the treaty of Utrech, ns " bomming weat of the llocky Mountains? I will wait for the lomornble gentlenum's reply.
[Here Mr. Cass paused for a short time; but Mr. [Bintus not answering, he continterd.]

Weil, the hemorables gentleman does not anawer me. If he helicual the line run there, I amsure he v suld may so; for, if it did rum there, we are forever foreclosed froms any claim tumer the Louinimna treaty, mal the foree of the honomble gentleman's ntlack upon mo would he greatly strengtheneal. An lie does not answer, I whall take it for granted that he believes nos surh line was ever establisled there. And if the fart is so, my obsjeet is answered, and we ure relieved from the emharrassments arising out of the repaterl assertions that the line of $4!{ }^{\circ}$ is our northern boundary in the territory of Oregon. I will mow read to the honorahle Somator what I said the other day on this sulyert, and he will perceive how much he has misapprehemeded me, and that all my allusions to the parallel of $4!{ }^{\circ}$ cast of the Rocky Mountains was a mers incidrntal topic, havinr no benring upon my actual perition. What I dua say is this: "The "traty of Dtrecht never refers to the parallel of $49^{\circ}$, ' and she boundaries it proposed to establish were - those between the French mad English colonies, - ineluding the Iudson Bay Company in Camala. - The chater of the Hudaon Bay Company granted - to the proprictors nll the clands, countries, and ' teridaries, 'upon the waters diseharging themselves - intu Ilndson's Bay.
"At the date of the treaty of Utrecht, which was ' in 1713, Great Brituin clamed nothing west of ' those 'lnnds, countries, and territories,' anl of ' course there was nothing to divide between France ${ }^{\prime}$ and linghand west of that line. Again, in 1713, ' the northwestern coast was almost $n$ terra incog' nile, a blank upon the map of the world. Eng-- land then neither knew a toot of it, nor claimed a ' foot of it. By adverting to the letter of Messrs. - Gallatin and Rush, communicating an account - of their intervicw with Messrs. Goulburn and - Rohinson, British enmmissioners, dated Octoler - $\underset{\sim}{9}(\mathrm{th}, \mathrm{J} 818$, and to the letter of Mr. Pakenham to - Mr. Buchamnn, dnted September 12h1, 1844, it ' will be scen, that the commencement of the Brit-- ish elaim is effectively limited to the discoveries - of Cuptain Cook in 17i8. How, then, could a - boundary have been established fifty years be-- fore, in a region where no Englishman had ever ' penetrated, and to which England had never as"serted a pretension? And yet the assumption that - the parallel of 49 degrecs was establisbed by the
' treaty of Utrecht as a line beiween France and - Fimglana, in those unkumwn regious, necessarily - involves thene intonsistent conclusinns. But ho-- sides, if Bingland, nsa purty to the treaty of Uirecht, - estublisherl this line, ruming to the Wentern ocean, - us the northern bomelary of Lonisimu, what pos"sible claini has she now mouth of that line? The - very fuct of her existing protensime, however un-- fonniled thene may be, shows that she considers - herwelf no party to such a line of division. It - shows, in faet, that moline was run, for if it had - been, the evidenee of it would the in the Eingliah - archives, and, in truth, wonld he known to tho "world without contrudiction." Thisis what I suid; and this was followed by the aynopsin of my views upon the auliject, which I rend, and which I will read ngain:

1. It is not shown that nny line was establinhed an the parallel of 43 to the Pacific acean.
2. The conntry on the northwestern eoast wan then unknown, and I believe unclaimed: or, it any rate, no circumstances had arisen to call in question nny claim to it.
3. The British orgotintors in 1818, and their Minister here in 1844, fixed nipon the voynge of Cuptain Cook, in 1778, ns the commenceinent of the Britisls tite in what is now called Oregon.
4. The treaty of Utreeht providen for the establishment of a lane between the F'rench and British colonics, including the Hulson Bay Company. The British held nothing west of the compung's possessions, which, by the elurter, included only the "lands, countries, and territories," on the waters running into IIvdson's Bay.
5. If England extablishad this line to the Pacific arean, she can have no claim sonth of it; and this kind of argument, ad hominem, becomes comehusive. And let me add, that I nwe this argument to my friend from Missouri, [Mr. Atcinson,] to whoso remarks upon Oreson the somate listened with pleasure and with profit some days since.
6. How conld France and bingland claim the country to the Pacific, no as to divite it betwren them in 1713, when, as Inte as 1790, the British Governmest, by the Nootka convention, expressly recog. nised the Spanish title to that eonntry, and claimed only the use of it for its own subjects, in common with those of Spain.

I am now, sir, hronght to the annunciation, which I made, and which the honorable Scnator has so strangely inisumberstood. What I snid was this-a 1 will repent it in the very words 1 used upon the former ocension: "I now ask, sir, what right has - my American statesman, or what right has nny - British sutesman, to contend that our claim, what-- ever it nay be, is not juat ns good north of this " line as it in south of it?" When this question is - miswered to my satisfaction, I, for one, will consent - to stop there. But until then, I am among those ' who mean to man.. i we can, to the Russian - boundary.".

This, sir, is my position. How different it is from the position assigned to me by the honorable Semator, I need not aay. I trust I have redecmed myself, null that I can again enter into the contest, a free man, bntling for the full rights of his country even to $54^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$.

There is one point to which I beg leave to advert. The honorable Senator has given me a fair
hit, and I award him the eredit hor to it. fin my remarks the other day, alludiog to the cflece that improper persoos, "minions, nul fiworites, mil mintreasen," had prodiced upon the destinies of nations ly the exervise of an injurious inthence, I adverted to the fact of the offenee takroll by Mre Mashang at having a ripu of ta gite upen lier silk gown. 'Ihe incident I rememberd, and its intle. sace I wmembered, but I thowht it hall heen exerted to promber a war, whereas the homorable sionator has correrted me, mod has shown that it was exerted to produce preace, It is a long time simese I have looked into the Einglish history. i presame the honorable geuteman trom Missouri relreshed his recollection last evening.

Mr. IBENTO.N. I have not looked at it for forty yents.

Mr. CASS. 'The honorable gentleman'n mem. ory is then better thats minc. I will remark, how ever, that the incident, even oss it happened, in itlaserative of the general position I usenmed, be. canse the finvorite of Queen Antee would am soon have brought ulout a war na a peace, hat the firmer, insteme tif the latter, been necessary to enable her to vent her spleen upon the Duehess of Marlborongh. I repent, the correction was a fair lit, and the manner entirely unobjertionable. I shinll testify my acknowledgment by putting the thet right in my printed speech. will remark, hnw linppenced, is ilin I HNNLILICl, be. It would ne soon trence, had the hecessury to enthe Duchers of cetion was a fair objectionable. I t by putting the



[^0]:    * Encli were the resulta fingestad to me at the moment, matarally arising from the circumatances. Taking the map

