#### Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The copy to the ge

The imag

possible

of the or

filming c

Original of the last of the la

sion, or t

other ori

sion, and

or illustra

The last

shall con

TINUED'

Maps, pl different

entirely i

beginnin

required

method:

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails original copy available for filming. Features of this de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du copy which may be bibliographically unique, point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage the usual method of filming, are checked below. sont indiqués ci-dessous. Coloured pages/ Coloured covers Couverture de couleur Pages de couleur Pages damaged/ Covers damaged/ Couverture endommagée Pages endommagées Covers restored and/or laminated/ Pages restored and/or laminated/ Converture restaurée et/ou pelliculée Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées Cover title missing/ Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/ Le titre de couverture manque Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées Pages detached/ Coloured maps/ Pages détachées Cartes géographiques en couleur Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/ Showthrough/ Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire) **Transparence** Coloured plates and/or illustrations/ Quality of print varies/ Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur Qualité inégale de l'impression Bound with other material/ Includes supplementary material/ Relié avec d'autres documents Comprend du matériel supplémentaire Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion Only edition available/ along interior margin/ Seule édition disponible La re liure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distortion le long de la marge intérieure Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to Blank leaves added during restoration may ensure the best possible image/ appear within the text. Whenever possible, these Les pages totalement ou partiellement have been omitted from filming/ obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées. etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte. obtenir la meilleure image possible. mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées. Additional comments:/ Pages are photoreproductions. Commentaires supplémentaires: This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/ Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous. 26X 30X 18X

16X

20X

24X

28X



Tha

# PLAIN FACTS:

B E I N G

### AN EXAMINATION

INTOTHE

RIGHTS of the Indian Nations of America,

AND

## A VINDICATION of the GRANT,

FROM

The SIX UNITED NATIONS of INDIANS,

ro

The PROPRIETORS of INDIANA,

AGAINST

THE DECISIO

OF THE

LEGISLATURE OF VIRGINE

TOGETHERWITH

AUTHENTIC DECUMENTS

PROVINCY.

That the TERRITORY, Westward of the Allege Mountain, never belonged to IRGINIA, Sec.

PHILADELPHIA

Printed and Sold by R. AITKEN, BOOKSELLER, in Manual Street, Three Doors above the Coffee-House.

#### PLAIN FACTS, &c.

was first discovered, Pope Alexander the Sixth, by his bulls, dated 2d and 3d of May, 1493, munificently gave the whole of that vast Continent, of which but a small part was then known in Europe, to Ferdinand and Isabella of Spain; following the example of one of his predecessors, who, in 1440, had granted Africa to king Alphonso the Fifth, of Portugal; not because that Continent was uninhabited, but because the nations substituting there were insidely, and consequently unjust possessors of the country where their Creator had formed and placed them.

The disposing power which had been in these instances assumed by Saint Peter's pretended successors, over the countries of unchristian nations, was, however, too glaringly absurd to be regarded by Popish princes themselves, even in that superstitious age; ‡ and several of them in defiance of these papal grants, soon after, undertook discoveries and settlements in Africa and America, and particularly Henry the Seventh of England, who was a zealous Roman Catholic, and who,

We are told by Herrera, that Ferdinand and Isabella accepted the papal grant in question from some particular reasons, contrary to the ad-

A former infullible Pope had declared that no fuch Continent as America did or could exist, and had denounced excommunication against all who should believe the contrary opinion.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Thus the Romish Pontists did not scruple to break the rules of common justice and equity, to serve the purposes of their own worldly instructed and glory. They dethroned princes, dispossessed whole nations of their rightful inhabitants, transferred the properties of one people to another, turned out those, whom God and nature put in possession, the own votaries." History of peopling several parts of the world, and particularly of America. By John Harris, A. M. F. R. S.

Sid

"

66

"

"

BIO

afte

Jan

autl

inha

ceffa

exa

expl

ftiar

four

of v

the '

of E

tion.

then

of n

lieve

hear phy,

gatio

within three years after, viz. in 1496, granted John Cabot and his three fons, with their affociates, a commission to navigate all parts of the ocean, in five thips, under the banners of England, for the purpose of discovering (" ad inveniendum suis corum propriis sumptibus et expensis," &c.) such heathen or insidel (" gentilium et insidelium," &c.) regions, countries or islands, wherever situated, as were unknown to Christian states; with power to set up the king's standard in any lands, islands, &c. which they might discover, not previously occupied by Christians, and to seize, conquer, and possess all such lands, islands, &c. and as his liege vassals, governors, locumtenentes or deputies, to hold dominion over, and have exclusive property in the same.

Under this commission (which could convey no territorial property, as none had been then acquired) the Cabots discovered Newfoundland, with some other parts of the coast of North America, and thereby gave the crown of England all the rights which could result from the discovery of countries, then inhabited and shared by large nations or tribes of mankind; which however could at most be no other than the privilege of forming establishments in these countries, with the consent of the native proprietors, in preference to the subjects of any other state; for, "No man" says Sidney,

vice of the Spanish civilians and canon lawyers; and we find Bartholemew Delas Cases. Bistop of Chiapa, in a Treatise written and dedicated to the Emperor Charles the Fifth, represented. "That the natives (of America) having their own lawful kings and princes, and a right to make laws for the good government of their respective dominions, could not be expelled out of them, or deprived of what they posses, without doing violence to the laws of God, as well as the law of actions." State Facts, s. 3. "An empire" says the illustrious Sidney, "founded upon the donation of the Pope, which, amongst those of the Romish religion, is of great importance, and the entire conquest of a people; with whom there had been NO FORMER CONTRACT, do degenerate into a most angual and developed the strategy. What then shall we say of those, who proceed to a right of dominion over free nations?"

hn

m-

ps, liſ≤

riis

del

ies

to id-

if-

ze, nd

u-

ta-

no

d)

er

ve

ult

hď

ch

gc he

hε

ys

be

Sidney, " can give what he has not. Whoever there-" fore will pretend, that the King has bestowed this " (landed) property, must prove, that he, first, had " it himself. I confess, that the Kings of Spain and " Portugal obtained from the Pope, grants of the ter-" ritories they possess in the West Indies; and that " this might be of some strength, if the Pope, as Vicar " of Christ, had an absolute dominion over the whole " earth; but if that fail, the whole falls to the ground, " and he was ridiculously liberal of that, which no " ways belonged to him." Patents however for promoting discoveries and settlements in America were afterwards granted by queen Elizabeth, and king James and his fucceffors, who all denied the Pope's authority over countries, on account of their being inhabited by infidels; yet, as some pretence was neceffary to justify these usurpations, they, after the example of king Henry the Seventh, adopted the exploded distinction between the rights of Christians and those of Infidels, and made it the only foundation of their respective American grants; all of which contained this, and only this provision, that the territories and districts so granted, be not prepriously " occupied and possessed by the subjects of any other Chri-" flian prince or state." But if the princes and people of Europe, in more ignorant and fuperstitious ages, were fo far millead by the emotions of avarice, ambition, or religious pride, as to believe it justifiable for them to cross the Atlantic, and usurp the possessions of unoffending nations, only because they did not believe in religious doctrines. of which they had never heard, yet the pervading liberal influence of philosophy, reason, and truth, has since given us better notions of the rights of mankind, as well as of the obligations of morality and justice; which certainly are not confined to particular modes of faith, but extend univerfally to Jews and Gentiles, to Christians and Insidels. And, indeed, the injustice of these attempts to usure the aboriginal possessions of so many of our fellow creatures, from a defect in their religious opinions, was so manifest, that they never could have been suggested by any other than the detestable policy, and unchristian ambition, which for many ages governed the measures of the Papal Sec.

0

tŁ

m

of

fce

gr

de

fay

frequ

mark

of the

of No their I

of Civ

ùpon t

Gulph The fo

de Nic

Americ " fone

" lawy " shoul

as the

articl with

carth

of the contin

their 1

preter. ceive

could prince

therefo

" Avides ravisseurs des richesses des autres

"Ils quittent leur pais, pour innonder les notres."
RACINE.

The Divine Author of our holy religion, when on earth, affumed no temporal dominion or property, bur fubmitted himself on every occasion, even when criminally arraigned, to the jurisdiction and authority of Insidel magistrates, declaring that his kingdom was not of this world; and surely none of his disciples can justly arrogate to themselves powers which their great Master has disclaimed, nor pretend, that he has any where authorized them to expect earthly dominion or riches as the rewards of piety and virtue; much less can they plead his permission to acquire them by injustice and violence.

The great universal Parent of mankind, when he gave existence to the native inhabitants of America, commanded them, by the first immutable law of nature to preserve that existence; and that they might be enabled to do it, he must have given them an indefeasible right to enjoy the countries where his providence had placed them; humbly awaiting that progress of events, by which in due time they would probably have advanced, as our progenitors have done, from the rude ignorance of a state of nature, to the splendid acquirements of civilization.

customs.

All the nations of Europe, and indeed of the world, and have been as unchristian and as savage as the aborigines mpts in America; \* and if ignorance either in matters of religion or science, could defeat the title of a people our pinito their country, the English must be unjust possessors been of the British soil, and incapable of conveying it to and their posterity .---- The right of territory in a community is, however, founded on the great natural law of felf-preservation, and arises neither from the perfection of our religious opinions, nor from our progress in the refinements of civilization; being antecedent to all these adventitious circumstances. Let it be, fays Lord Bolingbroke, " That the Aborigenes, the en on "Getulians, the Lybians, and the inhabitants of the , bur h cri-"inward parts of Africa had neither written laws. " nor civil magistrates, will it follow, that they had no

> " The Grecians derive their own origin from unsettled tribes, whose frequent migrations are a proof of the rude and infant state of their communities. The Gauls and Germans are come to our knowledge with the marks of a fimilar condition; and the inhabitants of Britain, at the time of the first Roman invasions, resembled in many things the present natives of North America. They were ignorant of agriculture, they painted their bodies, and used for cloathing the skins of beafts." Ferguson's Hist. of Civil Society.

> Ferdinand, King of Spain, in the year 1509, established two governments upon the continent of America. One extending from Cape de Vela to the Gulph of Darien, and the other from thence to Cape Gracias a Dios. The former was given by patent to Alonfo de Ojeda; the latter to Diego de Nicuessa. The celebrated Dr. Robertson remarks, in his History of America, vol. I, " That in order to give their title to those countries " fome appearance of validity, several of the most eminent divines and " lawyers in Spain, were employed to prescribe the mode in which they " should take possession of them. They instructed those invaders, as soon as they landed on the continent, to declare to the natives the principal articles of the christian faith; to acquaint them in particular with the supreme jurisdiction of the Pope over all the kingdoms of the earth; to inform them of the grant which this Holy Pontiff had made of their country, to the King of Spain, &c. As the inhabitants of the continent could not at once yield affent to doctrines too refined for their uncultivated understandings, and explained to them by inter-preters imperselly acquainted with their language, they did not conceive bow a forego priest (the Pope) of whom they had never heard, could have any right to dispose of their country; or how an unknown prince should claim jurisdiction over them, as his subjects;——they, therefore, hereely opposed the new invaders of their territories.

d the

tres.

ity of is not justly laster where riches they

c and

en he erica, ature be enafible te had vents, re adrude huire-

co customs, which were among them, equivalent to laws: " no Fathers, no Elders, that supplied the place of civil " magistrates; no forms of government, because they 66 had not those of civil government."\* The produce of the earth is necessary to subsist its inhabitants; and if the Creator of mankind had made it justifiable for Christians to deprive unbelieving nations of their native countries, we must, from his acknowledged attributes prefume, that he would have fo formed the latter. as to enable them to fublish without food. But as he has made no difference between the natural wants and faculties of Christians and those of Insidels, we may fafely affirm, that whatever fpiritual advantages are allotted to the former, our common Parent has made no distinction between the temporal rights of his creatures; and indeed all diffinctions of this nature have been either overlooked or rejected by every approved writer on the laws of nature or of nations; and Mr. Hume pertinently observes, "That all the laws of nature. 66 which regulate property, as well as all civil laws, se are general, and regard alone fome effential citcumstances of the case, without taking into consideration the characters, situations and connections of " the persons concerned. Public utility requires, that 66 property should be regulated, not by partial, but by " general, inflexible rules." These distinctions can have no foundation in the civil law, which was instituted before Christianity: And they are by no means even juftifiable on the principles of jurisprudence-t Nothing more can therefore be necessary to reprobate a claim, which

44

-44

44

•

ec :

the

terr as v

latte fame Hine the c

Bolingbroke's Philosophical Works, Vol. 4.

† At an important trial of Campbell against Hall, in the court of King's Bench, English respecting the duty of four and an half per cent imposed in the Hall of Grenals by royal prerogative, this opinion, that Christians have a right to Heathen countries being casually megabored, was ridicaled by Lord Mansfeld, and the other judges, as well as by all the council prefeat.

which is not only unsupported by any divine or human authority, but is in itself most unreasonable, unjust, and cruel. It may not be improper, however, to fubjoin the following extract from an opinion jointly given by Lord Chancellor Camden and the late Mr. Torke (afterwards Lord Chancellor Morden) respecting the right of the Heathen Afiatic States to their respective terri-In 1757, the East India Company of London petitioned the King, that in a new charter which was then foliciting, a clause might be inferted, for enabling them to hold and enjoy, fubject to the King's right of fovereignty, all fuch diffricts and territories as they had acquired, or might hereafter acquire, (in Asia) from any nation, state, or people, by treaty, grant, or conquest: upon which these respectable lawyers (being then the King of England's Attorney and Solicitor General) officially advised him, " that in respect to such territories as have been, or shall be acquired by treaty " or grant from the Great Mogul, or any of the Indian " princes or governments, your Majesty's letters patent " are not necessary; the property of the foil vesting in the " Company by the Indian grant subject only to your Maiesties right of sovereignty over the settlements, as English settlements, and over the inhabitants, as En-" glish subjects, who carry with them your Majesties " laws wherever they form colonies, and receive your Majesties protection by virtue of your royal char-" ters."----This most respectable opinion in favour of the absolute right of Heathen Asiatics to their several territories, applies directly to support the same right. as vested in Heathen Americans; for if the title of the latter were defective on account of their infidelity, the fame cause must destroy the right of the people of Hindostan, China, and every other Insidel nation, to the countries they inhabit.

pofi

pof

not

the

obſċ

of N Luce

ec V

" t]

cc h

" u

" an

" up

" ac

" ce

" co

when

may

who

domin habere tium

lius fu

Puffer

ferves,

But as

nor un

Europ

Having thus sufficiently resulted the pretence on which the Pope, and several European princes, assumed a power of granting away the soil of America, and having thereby vindicated the title of the aborigines of that Continent from all impeachment, on account of their ignorance of Christianity, let us next examine how far their right to the countries inhabited by them, is in other respects valid, according to the laws of mature and of nations.

America, at its first discovery, was found to be inhabited and possessed by numerous tribes or nations of people, who had divided that Continent between themselves, allotting to each its known and determinate share: how long these people had thus occupied their respective districts, is altogether unknown; this however is certain, that no knowledge remains of the time or manner of their introduction, and whatever might have been their origin, they undoubtedly were the original and first occupants and possessors of the country, and confequently must have had the best and most unexceptionable title to it; as none else could posibly have a claim prior to their own. In this state then, no European prince could derive a title to the foil of America from discovery,\* because that (as all civilians, &c. agree) can give a right only to lands and things, which have either never been owned and possessed,

if it is univerfally acknowledged, that discovery, the only title that any European state could alledge to the lands of America, assorber in just claim to any but derestiff, or uninvolvited lands, which those of America are not. It has indeed been suggested by papal ingenuity in superstitious ages, that grace was the only just soundation of dominonity in ations ought to be regarded as unjust possession of the country, where God had placed them; and such were the pretences on which Portugal; and on the same pretence, Pope Alexander the Sixth, and rica; but all distinctions between the temperat rights of christian and insidel nations have long since been exploded." Griffith, vol. 10.

possessied, or which, after having been owned and possessed, were voluntarily deserted by the owner, as not worth the trouble of keeping; and fo clearly were the English commissioners of this opinion, that they observed to the French commissioners at Paris, the Light of November, 1751, relative to the island of Saint Lucea, " That there can be no absolute dereliction of " any country, but where the last possession leaves it " voluntarily, and without any apparent necessity; " that to make such dereliction in one people a foundst ation of right in, any other coming after them, it is " necessary that the acquiescence of the first powers " under the possession of the latter, must have been " an acquicicence intended voluntarily, and clearly " manifested; and that neither a desertion forced " upon any people by an enemy, nor a temporary " acquiescence under that expulsion occasioned by ne-" cessity, do extinguiso the right of any people to the " country they fo abandon." Property, however, when found in these circumstances, without an owner, may be appropriated and possessed by the first finder. who thus renders it his own property by occupancy " Quod enim est nullius per occupationem acquiritur ejus dominium;" et " quod quisque occupasset, id proprium haberet."\* Primus acquirandi modus, qui juris gentium a Romanis dicitur, est occupatio corum, que nullius funt. Grotius, lib. 2, ch. 2. Idem, l. r, and Puffendorf, lib. 4, ch. 6, sect. 12. Cicero also obferves, " Quod cuique obtiget, id quisque teneat."----But as the Continent of America was neither derelict nor uninhabited, we may fafely conclude, that the first European emigrants to America had no right to establifh

cά

рı

ar

рr

ลร

" in fo

"Re

cd ca

" fo " th

Newfo

for fuc

" the

" fith,

lave

well

reaf

and

OUL

doin

mais

in 16

and

the i

Affor

" the

" King

" com

" who

" those

" other well

Defence

" OR

" and

es fic

blish themselves there,\* without the consent of the native propri ters,+ and that the feveral grants of Americ in territory, which they received from their respective fovereigns, could afford only nominal fanctions for these undertakings. The ingenious Mr. Dummer, in his defence of the New England charters, maintains, that the crown neither did nor could grant the foil of America, having in itself no right thereto. The first English settlement having been there by a patent from queen Hizabeth to Sir Walter Raleigh, he asks " if " the had any right, what was it, and from whence " derived. It was not" adds he, " a right of inhe-" ritance, because those countries did not descend to " her from her ancestors; --- not of conquest, because " the neither conquered, nor attempted to conquer " them; belides, it would be hard to conceive how a " conquest

"Julge Blackfon, speaking of the right of migration, or of sending colonies to find out new habitations, when the mother country was/overcharged with inhabitants, fays, " follong as it was confined to the flock-" in, and cultivation of defert uninflubited countries, it kept firiclly within " the limits of the law of nature. But (continues he) how far/the feiz-" ing on countries already peopled, and driving out the innocent and defenceless natives, merely because they differed from their invaders in " language, in religion, in customs, in government, or in ediour; how " far such conduct was conforant to nature, to region, or to Christian ty, deferred well to be confidered by those who have rendered their names " immortal by tous civilizing markind." † L'Abbe Ryn I, the ingenious author of the History of the fettlements of the Europeans in the East and West-Indies, remarks, " That " Ziebeck, the Founder of the Dutch Colony at the Cape of Good Hope, " in conformity to the notions then unhappily prevailing among the Europeans, began to take possession of the most commodious part of the territory of the Hottentots, and he afterwards deligned to fix himfelt there. This behaviour (the Abbe observes) displeased the natives .-" On what pretence, faid their Envoy to these strangers, have you sown our " lends? Why do you employ them to feed your cattle? How would you behave, if you faw your own fields invaded in this manner? You fortify yourselves with no other view than to reduce the Hottentots to flavery. These remonstrances (continues our author) were followed by some hostilities, which brought the founder of the colony back to those principles of justice and humanity, that were agreeable to his natural character. He purchased the country he wanted to occupy for the sum of 90,000 livres (L. 3937: 10 sterling) which was paid in merchandize. All parties were reconciled, and from that time to this, there has been no farther disturbance."

"conquest, where there was no preceding injury or provocation, could create a right: ---nor did it arise by purchase, no money or other valuable consideration having been paid: nor could she claim by prior discovery, or preoccupancy, because they give a right only to uninhabited lands, who undoubtedly had as good a right to their own country, as the Europeans had to theirs. And sure no body will say, in plain terms, that we have any claim upon the foot that we are Christians, and they are Heathens. Rome itself (continues he) imperious as she is, never carried her pretences to this height; for though some of her doctors have taught, absurdly enough, that dominion is founded in grace, none of them have

Sce Burlemaqui, vol. 2.

n

ſŧ

f

† The following authoritative facts establish and confirm this doctrine.

The 27th of April, 1610, King James the First granted by charter Newfoundland to the Earl of Northampton and others; and as the grounds for such grant, it is declared in the said charter. "That being assured the country adjoining to which, where our subjects have been used to ship, remainest so destitute and desolate of inhabitants, that scarce any one savege person hath in many years been seen in most parts thereof, and well knowing, that the same lying and being so vacant, is as well for the reasons aforciaid, as for many other reasons, very commodious for us, and our dominions; and that by the law of nature and nations, we may of our royal authority possess, and make grant thereof, without doing wrong to any other prince or state, considering they cannot justly pretend any sovereignty or right thereunto, in respect that the same remains of vacant, and not assually possessed and inhabited by any christian, or other whatsoever."

In 1629, "Divers of the English nation finding the islands of Cateline and Tortuga unpossessed and empty of inhabitants, did thereupon seize, and begin to plant colonies on them, giving to the former the name of the island of Providence, and to the latter the name of the island of Association; and which they continuing to inhabit and occuping after the establishment of peace between his Britannie Majesty and the Catholic King, anno 1630, the Spaniards became thereat offended, and therefore complained thereof to King Charles the First, by their Ambassadar, who who gave in answer to the said complaint, that his subjects having found those islands, both unpossessed by the Spaniards, and unintabited by any other people what sovere, had thereupon, by the laws of nature, as well as of nations, a liberty and right to sit down and plant there."—Defence of the Scots Settlement at Darien, &c. in 1699, p. 69, 60.

" faid that propert; is. There remains then, (he " adds) no other right." but what is derived from the " native lords of the foil, and that is what the first " New England planters relied on, having purchased " it, &c."

The feveral nations or tribes of America, having been 'till then unknown to all other princes or states could not possibly have owed either subjection or allegiance to any earthly power and confequently must have been independent communities, and as fuch, must have been capable of acquiring territories, and other kind of property, in the same manner, and by the same means as other communities make the like acquititions. The most common and effectual means, by which a nation and people may acquire the property of a country is original or primitive occupancy. " A nation favs " Dr. Rutherforth. by fettling upon any tract of " land, which at the time of fuch fettlement had no

other owner, acquires, in respect of all other na-" tions, an exclusive right of full or absolute proper-

" ty, not only in the land, but in the waters likewise that

+ Institutes of Natural Law, B. II. cap. 9.

P a o

fe

Ь 66. Ei Ju

ss th " ag " 01 " fu

" on " is, "wi " oc

" wi " in " up

" the " Ba " the

" far " occ " is

" nion, perfo parer " choic " that

" the fi " poffer Thefe whereas

<sup>.</sup> The patriotic and learned Dr. Price, in answer to such courtly writers as afferted " The land, on which the Americans had tettled, was " ours" -- aiks, " But how came it ours? If failing along a coast can give " a right to a country, then might the people of Japan become, as foon " as they pleate, the proprietors of Britain. Nothing can be more chime-" rical than property founded on fuch a reason. If the land on which the colonies first settled, had any proprietors, they were the natives; the " greatest part of which they (the colonists) bought of the natives."---Observations on the Nature of Civil Liberty, &c. London, 1776. " Although Spain, by an imaginary title or gift from the Pope, had

<sup>&</sup>quot; laid a formal claim to the whole of America, yet every other nation that pleafed, took the liberty to occupy and pollets in their own right, " Inch vacant and uninhabited lands in America, as they happened from time to time to discover by their own industry, and at their own pro-" per expence, establishing their respective titles in conquest, in lawful was, treaty, purchase, or some kind of amicable agreement with the natives, " which to do the English nation justice, has been the only foundation of " their equitable and folid claim to all the possessions, which they hold at " this time in America." History of the British Plantations in America.
Part the 1st, by Sir William Keith, Baronet, London, 1738.

e

e it

d

c

that are included within the land, such as rivers pools, creeks, or bays. This absolute property of a nation, in what it has thus seized upon, is its right of territory." "Occupancy, (adds he) in the gross, gave the nation, from the first, a right of absolute property in the land where it settled. But a subsequent distribution and affignment, or a subsequent occupancy in parcels, gives the several members of the nation private property in their respective shares."

Judge Blackstone also fays that "as occupancy gave " the right to the temporary use of the soil, so it is " agreed upon all hands, that occupancy gave also the " original right to the permanent property, in the " fubflance of the earth itself, which excludes every " one else but the owner, from the use of it. There " is, indeed, (observes he) some difference among the " writers on natural law, concerning the reason why " occupancy should convey this right, and invest one with this absolute property; Crotius and Puffencierf . " infifting, that this right of occupancy is founded " upon a tacit and implied affent of all mankind, that " the first occupant should become the owner. " Barbeyrac, Titian, Mr. Locke, and others, holding " there is no fuch implied affent; neither is it neces-" fary that there should be, for that the very act of " occupancy alone, being a degree of bodily labour, " is from a principle of natural justice, without any

Thefe are the words of Patiendorf, who concludes with Grotius, that whereas originary acquisition was at first made by division, " is the same made only by occasion."

<sup>&</sup>quot;After men came to a refolution of quitting the primitive communion, upon the strength of a previous contract, they assigned to each person his share out of the general stock, either by the authority of parents, or by universal consent, or by lot, or sometimes by the free choice of the party receiving. Now it was at the same time agreed that whatever did not come under this grand division, should pass to the first occupant, that is, to him who, before others, took booking possession of it, with intention to keep it as his own."

These are the words of Pussendorf, who concludes with Grosing that

consent or compact, sufficient of itself to gain a title. A dispute (continues he) that savours too much of nice and scholastic refinement; however both sides agree in this, that occupancy is the thing by which the title was in sact originally gained: every man saving to his own continued use such spots of ground as he found most agreeable to his own convenience, provided he found them unoccupied by any one else." And of this opinion was Woolaston, who says, "That the first possession of a thing gives the possession as greater right to it, than any other man has, or can have, 'the heard all that claim under him, are extinst. To say prime occupancy gives no right, is inconsistent with the peace and happiness of mankind in general."

These authorities (though abundance of others might be cited) will sufficiently prove, that occupancy has been univertally admitted, as fufficient to vest the property of a country, or district in a nation, or tribe occupying the same; and consequently, that the aborigines of America have an absolute exclusive right to the countries they posses; -- they, by the immemorial, uninterrupted exercise of every act, which is necessary to constitute occupancy, either by the laws of nature, or of nations, must have acquired a valid title to their territories, if even the possession had been at first unjustly gained. But furely this was not the case; for whether we consider them as having been, by divine providence, first transplanted to America, or as having been (according to their own belief) created there, † vet, in either case, their possession must have been obtained without those crimes, or that injustice, on which

"

fo

<sup>\*</sup> See Woolafton's Religion of Nature.

f "For we must tell you, that long before one hundred years, our and cestors came out of this very ground, and their children have remained here ever fince." Speech of the fix nations at the treaty of Lancaster.

itle.

of

ides

ich

nan

ınd

ce,

e."

hat

· a

an

**r**-

is

br

ĖŞ

`y

C

Ċ

must have been given by the hand of that Being, the created the world, and is the most rightful disposer it. And notwithstanding the princes of Europe arrogantly assumed the dominion and property of America, still the right of the Indian natives has been so evident, and the injustice of taking it from them has been so seen so for the seen compelled, by the sorre of truth and justice, to acknowledge the Indian tribes or nations to be independent communities; and to recognize their right to the several countries inhabited by them, but also to purchase under that right.

In 1662 King Charles the Second granted the charter of Rhode Island, &c. and therein is recited, that, "They the faid John Clerk, Benjamin Arnold, &c. and the rest of the purchasers and inhabitants of our island, called Rhode Island, and the rest of the " colony of Providence plantations, did transplant " themselves into the midst of the Indian nations, who, " as we are informed, are the most potent princes and se people of all that country, where, by the good pro-" vidence of God upon their labour and industry, " they have not only been preserved to admiration, " but have increased and prospered, and are seized and 46 possessed, by purchase and consent of the said natives, 66 to their full content, of fuch lands, rivers, harbours " and roads, as are very convenient both for planta-" tions, and building ships," &c. And in 1663, the following circular letter was transmitted by the King's command, June the 21st, 1663, to the Governor and Associates of the Massachuset's, Plymouth, New Haven, and Connecticut colonies.

Charles R.

TRUSTY and well beloved; We greet you well.

WHEREAS, We have been given to understand,

co

m

an

è٤

"

:6

٠,

th

pυ

66

"

an

th

fet

in

fet D

that our good subjects, Thomas Chiffinch, John Scott, John Winthrop, Daniel Denniton, Simon Bradstreet, Thomas Miller, Richard Smith, Edward Hurchinfon, Amos Richardson, John Alcock, William Hud-3 fon, and their Affociates, having, in the right of Major Asherton, a just propriety in the Warraganset country in New England, by grants from the native princes of that country, and being defirous to improve it into an English colony and plantation, to the enlarging of our empire, and the common good of our fubjects, they are yet daily disturbed, and unjustly molested in their possession and laudable endeavours, by certain unreasonable and turbulent spirits of Providence colony, of New England aforesaid, to the great scandal of justice and government, and the imminent discouragement of that hopeful plantation.

We have therefore thought fit hereby effectually to recommend the faid proprietors to your neighbourly kindness and protection; the proprietors to be permitted peaceably to improve their colony and plantation, in New England, willing you, on all occasions to be affisting to them, against such unjust oppressions and molestations, that so they may be secured in the full and peaceable enjoyment of THEIR SAID COUNTRY, according to the right and title they have to it, wherein we will not doubt of your readiness and care, and shall, on all good occasions, express how graciously we accept of your compliance with our recommendation; and so we bid you farewell.

Given at our Court at Whitehall, the 21st day of June, 1663, in the 15th year of our reign.

By his Majesty's command,

HENRY BENNET.

Minutes of Council, -Whitehall.

King Charles the Second also granted a charter of incorporation to Lord Willoughby of Parham, for the colony of Surinam, and therein, in the most explicit. manner, acknowledged the original right of the Indians to the fame. The charter mensions " the lawful " possession, and title of him the faid Lord Willoughby " and his heirs, of, in, and to the aforefaid part of " the main land within the rivers and limits aforefaid, is so acquired by, and contracted for with the native " swners, and inhabitants thereof," and declares, that the faid colony "ought to be encouraged and or provided of good and necessary laws for the orderly " government thereof, that fo, not only the trade, but also the dominion of this our kingdom may be thereby " much augmented and increased," and that for the purpose " of ratifying and confirming, AS MUCH AS " IN US LIES, THE JUST AND LAWFUL title and " right of him the faid Lord Willoughby, and his " heirs and affigns, of and into the same, in as ample a manner as hath at any time heretofore been granted to any person or persons, owner or owners, pro-" prietor or proprietors, lord or lords of any other " plantation in America. "We do therefore," &c. \*

Soon after the English had established themselves in America, the Dutch made settlements at New York, and at the mouth of the river Delaware, and also at the entrance of Connecticut river; and at each of these settlements, they purchased the soil of its native Indian inhabitants: they, however, deserted the two last settlements, but extended that of New York. The Dutch had not long abandoned the river Delaware, before a number of Swedes and Finns, encouraged by Gustavus:

Recorded in the Rolls Chapel, Chancery Lane, London.

See Smith's Hillory of New York.

Gustavus Siciphus, transported and established themfelves there and " proposed of the Indians the lands " from Care Inlopen to the Falls of Delaware, on both " fides of the tiver, which they called New Swedeland " Stream, and made prefer to the Indian chiefs to " obtain peaceable possession of the fand so purchased." The Dutch notwithstanding afferted their pretentions to the country, (having previously established themselves on a part of it within the Capes of Delaware) and grounded their claim on a purchase, which they had made, July 19, 1651, from the Indian owners of all the lands " beginning at the west side of the Muniqua " or Christiana Kill, in the Indian Language named " Sufpecough, and extending to the mouth of the bay " or river called Boompt Hook, in the Indian language " Cannaresse, and so far inland as the bounds and li-" mits of the Muniquas land, with all the streams, appurtenances and dependencies thereof."†----And the Court of Sweden apprehended also, that no legal or just title could be acquired for lands in America, except by grant or cession from the native proprietors thereof; and therefore that Court, in 1656. presented the following Memorial to their High Mightinesses the States General of Holland .---

66

66

çć .

66

· ,

رة ا

two

arm

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}}$ 

whe

dam

nor

**fwer** ic ti

> tŀ m

> W

ďi

tro

" the

"THE underwritten Resident of Sweden doth find himself obliged, by express order; to declare unto their High and Mighty Lordships herewith, that the commissioners of the West India company in this country " in the New Netherlands, now, the last summer, did " unexpectedly assault, by force of arms, the Swedish " colony there; taking from them their forts, and " drove away the inhabitants, and wholly dispossessed

Smith's History of New Jersey.
Smith's History of New York, and the Assembly of Pennsylvania in 1682, declared " this land to have been possessed by the Dutch, and bought

them-

lands

n both

deland

iefs to

fed.".

ons to

**fclvcs** 

and

had

of all

niqua

amed

: bay

uage

d li-

ams,

And

egal ica,

tors

ited the

ind

nto

the

try

lid

i/b

 $\mathbf{n}d$ ed

he

in

the Swedish company of their district;---it is true and without dispute."

(The Resident further observed) "That the Swedish company did acquire, OPTIMO TITULO JURIS, that part which they possessed, and did buy it of the natives,

" and consequently have had possession of it for several years; and without that (purchase) the

West India company of these countries did never fretend to any thing. Wherefore the faid Resident

doth not doubt but these hostilities will very much displease their High and Mighty Lordships; and

doth defire, in the name of his most gracious King

and Lord (of Sweden) that their High and Mighty Lordships would be pleased to take some speedy

" order for the redressing of such unlawful proceedings,---as the justice, the mutual amity between

" both nations, and the consequence of the business require, and is expected from their High Wisdoms, " whereby the Swedish company may be restored un-

" demnified. Done at the Hague the 22d March, 1656. " [N. S.] Signed,

H. Appleboom."\* In 1664, Colonel Nichols, Sir Robert Carre, and two other British commissioners, with a small sleet and army, reduced all the Dutch possessions in that part of America, to the dominion of the crown of England, and when these forces were before the city of New Amsterdam (fince New York) and had fummoned the Governor and Magistrates to surrender to the King, they answered, that they " had purchased the land of the na-" tives, princes of the country, and had continued in "the uinterrupted possession thereof, and that they

made no doubt, that if his Majesty of Great Britain were truly informed of these facts, he was too judicious to give any order, that the places and fortreffes in their hands should be given up," &c.

After

Thurloe's State Papers, vol. 5.

to ;

alte

Th.

Er

Eng

**C**o

**I**r a

be

fer v

ther

cou

Indi

a ne

inde

real

of E

je

C

pligh

Chond

of t

like

whi

othe them

s M Dci

After the District settlements in this part of America were reduced, Colonel Richard Nichols, Sir Robert Carre, George Cartwright, and Samuel Mavericks. Esqrs. set out, agreeable to a special commission from King Charles the Second, to visit the several New England colonies, and enquire into particular abuses which had been complained of, in the administration or justice and of government in these colonies: and the instructions which they received from the King, respecting the objects of their visit, afford another proof, that he considered the Indian nations of America as independent states, intitled to the general benefits of property, and of justice:—Part of these instructions were as follow, viz.

"You shall inform yourselves of the state of the neighbouring Indian princes, and enquire what treaties have been made between them and any of the King's subjects; and if there has been any failure on the part of any of his Majesty's subjects, you shall take effectual course, that reparation and satisfialion be made for any injury sustained thereby; and shall use all ways and means to let those princes, and other Indians, know of his Majesty's charge in their behalf."

In the year 1684, the Lord Howard of Effingham, then Governor of Virginia, went with two of his Counsel from thence to Albany, to conclude a treaty with the Six Nations; and on the 13th of July, in the presence of the Governor of New York, and the magistrates of Albany, he addressed a speech to them, containing proposals for a suture alliance and friendship, which were formally accepted and ratissed. And here it is proper to observe, that the Six Nations had been frequently at war with the French, and were considered

rîca

ert

ck;

om ng-

ich

uf-

the.

rc-

of,

as of

ons

thc

hat

of

uil-

ou

ti/-

es,

rge

m,

his aty

the

12-

n-

ip,

ere

en

ed

as

a most important barrier to our frontier American serticments; and it therefore became expedient not only perpetuate their union with the English Colonics, but alfo to prevent the losses of strength and of numbers, which they daily suffered from the hostilities of the French and their Indian allies, whilst the crowns of England and France were at peace. For this purpose colonel Dungan, then Governor of New York, strongadvised and folicited them to put their country under be King's protection, as an expedient that would preferve them from the attacks of the French, who were then endeavouring totally to extirpate them, chiefly on account of their former fidelity to the English: this the Indians confented to do, expecting thereby to acquire a new fecurity, without losing either their property or independency; \* and instruments were at two subsequent reaties executed for fubmitting their lands to the crown of England, " to be protected and defended by his Majefty, his heirs and fucceffors for ever, to and for the use of them (the faid Indians) their heirs and succeffors;" t by which the public faith was folemnly lighted to guarranty, and maintain these nations in

This will appear by the following extract from the speech which the chondagas and Cayugas, upon that occasion, addressed to the two Godernos, viz. "We have put our lands and ourselves under the provident of the great Duke of York, the brother of your great Sachem, who is likewise a great Sachem. We have annexed the susquehannah River, which we won with the sword, to this Government (New York)—we no other land to leave our children.—Let your friend that lives on the though united to the English may give our lands, and be joixed to the Sachem we hise best." Colden's Hist.

In the year 1684, when the Indians were perfuded to put their lands for the proteilion of the crown of England, the governor of New York, s. Mr. Colden, "gave them the Duke's arms to be put up at each of their caffles, in hopes it might deter the French from attacking them as they were threatened from Canada) by this so manifell a declaration of their being under the proteilion of the crown of England, when he two crowns were in the strictest friendship; but secontinues he it is probable the French chose this very time to attack them, to bring hem of from that considence they seemed to have in the English."

the full enjoyment of their freedom and property; though these very instruments were afterwards perverted to justify a claim of dominion over them: for at the treaty of Utrecht, when the power of France had been depressed by the duke of Marlborough's and other victories, the following article was exacted of the French: who had till then always afferted the absolute independency of the Six Nations, viz. " The fubicets of " France, inhabiting Canada and others, shall hereafter give no hindrance or Molestation to the Five " Nations, or Cantons of Indians, jubject to the do-" minion of Great, Britain, nor to the other natives of America, who are friends to the same." It appears, indeed, that this claim was only intended to fecure the peace and property of the Six Nations. and strengthen their connexion with the British Crown. There are however a multitude of treaties and public transactions subsequent to that period, which fully shew, that neither the Crown or people of Great Britain ever really confidered or treated the Six Nations as any other than allies.\* And whenever they had been told of our claim of dominion over them, they have never failed to refent it in the most fentible manner. This particularly happened after the peace of Ry/wick, when Captain Scyler being fent to Canada, by the Government of New York, to fettle some disputes respecting the independency of the Six Nations (which the Governor of Canada resolutely maintained) he imprudently afferted, that they were in a state of subjection to the Province of New York, which being afterwards reported to them

L'Abbé Reyeal observes, "That in his computation of French subicts in Canada, he did not include the many Indian Allies. None
of these were ever considered as subjects, though they lived in the midds
of a great European colony: the smallest clans still preserved their independence.—It is, he says, his own species,—it is mankind,—it is his equal,
that the Indian loves and respects, but he would hate a master, and
destroy him." Vol. 4.

them was, as Governor Colden observes, " so much " refented by the Five Nations, that a deputation of " the most considerable Sachems was sent to Albany. " in June 1600, to complain of it; and they fent at " the fame time deputies to Canada, to conclude the " peace independently of the English." And if ever they have feemed not displeased at being called subjects of Great Britain, it was because they did not under-"stand the meaning of the term, having no word in their language capable of conveying any ideas of our kinds of political subjection. They speak of all their allies and friends in terms that express the natural relations of confanguinity, calling them fathers, brothers, nephews or cousins. The English they stile brothers. and the King they call father. In a message which they fent to the Governor of New York, they faid, "You fay, that we are subjects of the King of England " and Duke of York, but we fav, we are brothers " (allies and EQUALS) we must take care of ourselves," and in support of this just opinion, --- we need only refer to the apposite and energetic words of the United States, "We hold these truths to be felf-evident, THAT " ALL MEN ARE CREATED EQUAL; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable " rights; --- that among these are life, liberty and PUR-" SUIT OF HAPPINESS."

D

" He

Declaration of Independence, on the fourth of July, 1976.

Though the powers of Europe have disputed about the dependency of the Considerates (or Six Nations) on the crown of England, and whether they are saided, or not,—the same will not bear disputing in this city, where we know,—that they are not subject to our laws;—that they have no magistrates appointed over them by our King;—that they have no representatives in our assemblies;—that their own consent is necessary to engage them in a war on our side;—But that they have the power of life and death, peace and war, in their own councils, without being accountable to us. Subjection is what they are macquainted with."

See Evan's Political and Mechanical Essays, printed in 1756.

" He gave us only over beaft, fish, fowl, " Dominion absolute; that right we hold

"By his donation; --- but man over man

He made not Lord: --- fuch title to himself Referving, human left from human, free."\*

see Deed of -nal. pa. 269 cc

1726.

The Five Nations added upon the foregoing occasion, Trust Power These arms (the Duke of York's) fixed upon the posts, cannot defend us from the arms of La Barre," then Governor of Canada, who was marching a confiderable army against them, but despairing of success,

he invited them to treat of peace, and accordingly Garangula, a chief Sachem of the Onondagoes, in behalf of the Confederacy, told La Barre, at the head of his army, " We are born free .-- We neither depend on Yon-

nondio (meaning the Governor of Canada) nor on 46 Corlear, the English Governor. We may go

" where we please, carry with us whom we please, &c.

ss If rour allies be your flaves, use them as such; com-46 mand them to receive no other but your people.

We have done less than either the English or French,

who have usurped the lands of so many nations, and " chased them from their own country." †

It is time however to close this review of transactions, tending to evince the right of the native Indians of America to their respective countries: for though many other facts of the like import exist, enough have already been cited to produce the fullest conviction on this subject. It has now been seen, and proved, that the feveral Indian nations of America, at the discovery of that continent, were free and independent communities, owing neither allegiance nor fubjection to any foreign state; that they have neither ceded nor forfeited their freedom and independency by any fublequent act; that they were the original and just posses-

der rest and righ what perty trics. moſt piers **feffio** from . Fu יד י Colony That

POWE

tively

foreign prope

of fett princi

origina

provis

that w

and rig doing,

the Ki

ways a

for s

for

cif

rig

lan

no har

rife

pro

vili

or a

aoo

Milton's Paradife Loft. † See La Hanton, &cc.

bccasion. pon the Barre," g a confuccess, ngly Gan behalf d of his on Yonnor on hay go afe, &c. ; compeople. French, ns, and ansacti-Indians though h have tion on d, that covery mmuto any or forfubseposseffors

fors of their feveral territories, and lived in the exercife of every act necessary to invest them with all the rights of occupancy; and therefore that they, by the laws of nature and of nations, "had, and where it has not been otherwise specially ceded or relinquished, fill have an absolute, indefeasible title to the exclusive jurisdiction of their persons, and to the dominion and property\* of their feveral countries. This, by all civilians and natural law writers, is divided into original or derivative; the latter species, as it depends on a conveyance from others, may have been conveyed under particular entailments or limitations, fufficient to restrain the free disposal of it .-- But all original property and especially that which a nation has in a territory, rightfully acquired and possessed, must necessarily be what is called " full property," and certainly the property of the Indians of America, in their feveral countries, must be considered as original and full, in the most perfect degree; ---- they were the primitive occupiers and owners of that continent, and received pofsession thereof from the divine Creator himself, free from the constraint of any human limitation or power. " Full property" (fays the judicious Dr. Rutherford)+

" in any thing, is a perpetual right to use it to any

Bd

A

to

70

m

to

ter

fu

28

de

Ge

the

bν

COL

pro

con

foll

the

the

fire

con

whi

anci

of 1

con/

time only WIOI

Mar back

lonic

come

" purpose, and to dispose of it at pleasure. " (continues he) in the strict notion of it, is fuch a right " to a thing, as excludes all perfons, except the proorietor, from all manner of claim upon it. No perce fon therefore can, confisiently with such a right, take " the thing from him, at any time, or hinder him in " the free use of it, or prevent him from disposing of it, as be pleases."----And the learned and accurate Woolaston remarks, " To have the property of any " thing, and to have the fole right of using and dis-" pesing of it, are the same thing; ---- they are equi-

It has also been seen, and will be more fully shewn in the fucceeding pages, that this, the title of the natives, by frequent purchases, numerous treaties, alliances and other publick transactions, has been, in the fullest manner, afferted and confirmed.

Upon the whole, therefore, as the Indian Nations of America are unquestionably intitled to all the rights of "full property" in their feveral countries, it refults as a necessary and final conclusion, that they have an indefeafible right freely to fell, and grant to any person whatsoever; and that all sales and conveyances made by any Indian tribe or nation of their lands, are in every respect, sufficient to afford the most valid and perfect title to the same.

Having thus, we hope, fairly and fully established the right of the natives to their several territories, we shall

Religion of Nature.

" po lent expressions,"

Puffendorf says, " En est vis Domini, ut derebus que tanquam proprie, et in solidum ad nos pertinent pro arbitris nostre disponer possume. Ut quis rem fram possit alienare, seu in alterum transferre, id insum ex dominii pleni nature refultat. Cam enim hac Domino die facultatem de re pro arbitrio disposendi, utique vel przecipua ejus facultutis paes vid & ita placeat, cam in alterum poffe transferre (de juris mates gent.)": A multitude of authorities of the like import might be cited, but the here afferted, is too notorious to need confirmation.

next confider the justice and legality of the claim of Virginia to the lands westward of the Allegany mountain. As far as this pretention is founded on a charter granted to Sir Walter Rawleigh in 1583; --- a patent issued by James the First in 1602, or one granted in 1609, we must take the liberty of generally referring our readers to an excellent pamphlet, called Public Good, written by the celebrated Author of Common Sense, for a full and complete refutation of fuch pretention; but, as that State has fet up another claim, founded upon a deed from the Six United Nations of Indians to King George the Second, obtained at a treaty held with them at Lancaster in Pennsylvania, in the year 1744, by Lieutenant Governor Thomas of that province, and commissioners from Maryland and Virginia, --- we shall proceed to examine that deed and treaty.

Governor Thomas, June the 25th, spoke to these Lancest commissioners, and the chiefs of the Six Nations, as 1744-

follows:

to any

Property

b a right

the pro-

No per-

ht, take

him in

ofing of ccurate

of any

ınd *dif-*

e equi-

shewn

the na-

s, alli-

in the

lations

rights

it re-

y have

o any

ances

, are d and

d the shall

next

At a treaty held by me two years ago, in behalf of the government of Pennsylvania, with a number of the chiefs of the Indians of the Six Nations, I was defired by them to write to the Governor of Maryland, concerning fome lands in the back parts of that province, which they claim a right to from their conquests, over the ancient possessions, and which bave been settled by some of the inhabitants of that government, without their confent, or any purchase from them. It was at that time understood, that the claim was upon Maryland only; but it has fince appeared by some letters formerly wrote by Mr. Prefident Logan to the late Governor of Maryland, that it related likewife to fome lands in the back parts of Virginia. The Governors of these colonies soon manisched a truly equitable disposition to come to any reasonable terms with the Six Nations, on account

account of those lands,\* and defired, that for that end a time and place might be fixed for a treaty with them.

The fame day the Indians replied to Governor Thomas, and faid---But fince there are fome disputes between us, respecting the lands possessed by them (the inhabitants of Maryland and Virginia) which formerly belonged to us, we, according to our custom, propose to have those differences first adjusted, and then we shall proceed to consirm the friendship substitutes between us, which will meet with no obstruction, after these matters are settled.

A Belt.

The Governor answered-----I receive your belt with great kindness and affection; and as to what relates to the government of Virginia and Maryland, the honourable commissioners now present, are ready to treat with you.

The commissioners of Virginia on the 27th of June, spoke as follows:

Brethren,

IN the year 1736, four of your Sachems wrote a letter to James Logan, Efq; the Prefident of Pennfylvania, to let the Governor of Virginia know, that you (the Six Nations) expected fome confideration for lands IN THE OCCUPATION OF SOME OF THE PEOPLE OF VIRGINIA. Upon seeing a copy of this letter, the Governor, with the Council of Virginia, took some time to consider of it. They found on looking into old treaties, that you had given up your lands to the great King, who has had possession of Virginia above 1600 years; and under that great King the inhabitants of Virginia bold their land; so they thought there might

In 1742, the Six Nations complained to the Governor of Pennsylvania, of their lands being settled, and infilted upon the settlers being removed, which was accordingly done. They likewise laid claim to some lands in Maryland and Virginia, which occasioned the treaty at Lancaster, in 1744. See Inquiry into the Causes of the Alienation of the Delawares and Shawnese, printed in 1759.

be some mistake: wherefore, they defired the Governor of New York to enquire of you about it. He fent his interpreter to you in May, 1743, who laid this before you at a Council held at Inandago; to which you answer, " That if you had any demand or pretensions on the Governor of Virginia any way, you would have made it known to the Governor of New-York." This corresponds with what you faid to Governor Thomas, in the treaty made with him at Philadelphia in July, 1742; for then you only make your claim to lands in the government of Maryland, Tell us what nations of Indians you conquered any lands from in Virginia, how long it is fince, and what toffellion\* you have had; and if it does appear that there is any land on THE BORDERS OF VIRGINIA, that the Six Nations have a right to, we are willing to make vou fatisfaction.

The Six Nations the same day replied and said. In your speech this morning you were pleased to say, we had wrote a letter to James Logan about seven years

Mr. Colden, the late Governor of New 1 re, in his History of the Five Nations, observes, That in the year 1664, the Five Nations, being amply provided by the English with fire arms and ammunition, gave a full fwing to their warlike genius. They carried their arms as far fouth as Carolina, -- to the northward of New England, and as for well as the river Missippi, over a vast country, which extended 1200 miles in length from north to fouth, and about 600 miles in breadth, where they entirely destroyed whole nations, of whom there are no accounts remaining among the English: --- And Governor Pownal, who many years ago diligently examined into the rights of the natives, and particularly into those of the northern confederacy, fays, in his Book intitled. The Administration of the Colonies, -- That the right of the Five Nation Confederacy to the Hunting Lands of Obio, Tiencefouchrondite, and Scaniaderiada, by the conquest they made in subduing the Shaoanaes, Delawares, (as we call them) Twill wees and bilinois, may be fairly proved, as they flood of Total thereof, at the peace at Rifwick, in 1697; and confirmator, hereof, Mr. Lewis Evans, a gentleman of great American knowledge, in his Map of the Middle Colonies, published in America, in the year 1755, has laid down the country on the fouth enferth fide of the river Ohio, as the Hunting Lands of the Six Nations, and in the mathin to that map, remarks,—The indian on Ohio confide of the feveral nations round, under the pracellin or fabjedies of the tonfederates, as the Delawares, Stamonth, &c.

250, to demand a confideration for our lands in the pofsession of some of the Virginians. That you held them under the great King for upwards of 160 years, and that we had already given up our right; and therefore you had defired the Governor of New York to fend his interpreter to us last year at Onandago, which he did; and as you fay, we in council, at Onandago, did declare, that we had no demand upon you for lands. and that if we had any pretentions, we should have made them known to the Governor of New York; and likewise you defire to know, if we have any right to the Virginia lands, and that we will make fuch right appear, and tell you what Nation of Indians we conquered those lands from. Now we answer, we have the right of conquest, \* a right too dearly purchased, and which cost us too much blood, to give up without any reason at all, as you say, we have done at Albany; but we should be obliged to you, if you would let us fee the letter, and inform us, who was the interpreter and whose names were put to that letter; for as the whole of the transaction cannot be above a years standing, it must be fresh in every body's memory, and some of our council would eafily remember it; but we affure you, and are well able to prove, that neither we, nor any part of us have ever relinquished our right, or ever gave fuch an answer, as you say is mentioned in your letter. Could we, so few years ago, make a formal demend by James Logan, and not be sensible of our right? And hath any thing happened fince that time to make us less fensible? No; and as this matter can be easily cleared up, we are anxious it should be done; for we are positive no such thing was ever mentioned to us at Onandago, nor any where elfe. All the world knows we conquered the feveral pations living on Sufquehan-

at

mi

th

70

**70**0

if t

TOPS.

we

paf

72001

ik

<u>fett</u>

ık.

miff

flay,

See Colden's History of the Six Whiens. See Smith's History of New York.

the pos-

ld them

ars, and

herefore

to fend

hich he

igo, did

r lands,

ld have

rk; and

y right

h right

ve con-

e have

chafed,

without

Albany;

let us

preter

as the

ftand-

d fome

affure

e, nor

r ever

your

al de-

right?

make

eafily

or we

us at

Dows

eban-

nob.

nah, Cohongorontas, and on the back of the great mountains of Virginia, &c. They feel the effects of our conquests, being now a part of our nation, and their lands at our disposal. We know very well it hath often been faid by the Virginians, that the great King of England, and the people of that colony, conquered the Indians who lived there; but it is not true. We will allow they have conquered the Sechdagugbroonow, and drove back the Tuscororows, and that they have, on that account, a right to some part of Virginia; but as to what lies beyond the mountains, we conquered the nations reliding there; and that land, if the Virginians ever get a good right to it, it must be by us. We must now tell you what mountains we mean, that we fay are the boundaries between you and us. They then observed, that about twenty years ago,

at Albany, they made a fence of wampum about the middle of a hill. After they left Albany, they brought the road more to the west, that we might comply with your proposal." They afterwards agreed to alter the road again, and concluded by faying at laft, We brought it to the foot of the Great Mountains, where it NOW 15, and it is impossible for us to remove it any further to the west; those parts of the country being absolutely impaffable by either man or beaft, &c. and then Tachanoontia added, " He forgot to fay, that the affair of the road must be looked upon as a preliminary to be fettled, before the grant of lands," (to wit, the lands in the occupation of some people of Virginia, as the Commissioners expressed it in their speech of that morning) " and that either the Virginia people mist be obliged to remove more easterly; or if they are permitted to flay, that our warriors marching that way to the fourthward, Shall go Shares with them, in what they plant,"

The Virginia Commissioners, June the 28th, ob-

ierved.

ferved, We are now come to answer what you said to us yesterday, fince what we said to you before, on the part of the great King, our Father, has not been fatisfactory. You have gone into old times, and fo must we. It is true that the great King holds Virginia, BY RIGHT OF CONQUEST, and the bounds of THAT CON-QUEST to the westward is THE GREAT SEA. If the Six Nations have made any conquest over Indians that may at any time have lived on the west side of the great mountains of Virginia, yet they never possessed any lands there, that we have ever heard of. That part was altogether deserted, and free for any people to enter upon, as the people of Virginia have done by order of the great King, very justly, as well by an ancient right, as by its being reed from the possession + of any other, and from any claim even of you our brethren, the Six Nations, until within these eight years. The first treaty between the great King, in behalf of his fubjects in Virginia and you, that we can find, was made at Albany by Colonel Henry Coursey seventy years since: this was a treaty of friendship. The next treaty was also at Albany about fifty-eight years ago, with the Lord Howard, Governor of Virginia; then you declare yourselves subjects of the great King, our Father, and gave up all your lands for his protection. The Virginia Commissioners afterwards mentioned another treaty at Albany, with Governor Spetfwood, wherein (they faid) you have not recited it as it is: for the white, people, your brethren of Virginia, are in no article of that treaty prohibited to pais and fettle to the westward of the great mountains. It is the Indians tributery to Paginia that are refrained, as you and your tributary Indians are from passing to the castward of the same mountains, or to the fouthward of Cehengoroston: and

The country at that time, and for many years after was the hunting lands of the Six Nations, Shawanefe, Acc, and they had many towns within it.

See page 22, 23.

faid to you agree to this article in these words. That the greaton the river of Potowmack, and the high ridge of mountains. n satiswhich extend all along the FRONTIERS OF VIRGINIA ն ասն to the westward of the present settlements of that Co-22.7. BY lony, shall be FOR EVER the established boundaries ber contween the Indians subject to the dominion of Virginia, and If the the Indians 'belonging to and depending on the Five us that Nations; fo that neither our Indians shall not, on any e great pretence whatfoever, pass to the northward or westward v lands of the faid boundaries, without having to produce a vas alpassport under the hand and seal of the Governor or enter Commander in Chief of Virginia; nor your Indians to rder of pass to the southward or eastward of the said boundaright, rics, without a paffport in like manner from the Goother. vernor or Commander in Chief of New York .---- And he Six what right can you have to lands that you have no right e first to walk upon, but upon certain conditions? It is true ibjects you have not observed that part of the treaty, and your ide at brethren of Virginia have not infifted upon it with a fince: due strictnets, which has occasioned some mischief. v was This treaty has been fent to the Governor of Virginia. h the by order of the great King, and is what we must rely eclare on, and being in writing, is more certain than your . and memory. That is the way the white people have of rginia preserving transactions of every kind, and transmitting aty at them down to their children's children for ever; and (they all disputes among them are settled by this faithful kind white . of evidence, and muft be the rule between the great King ile of and you. This treaty, your Sachems and Warriors fignward ed some years after the same Governor Spotfwood, (in ry to right of the great King) had been with fome people itary of Virginia, in possession of those very lands, which you fame have fet up your late claim to. The Commissioners for and Indian affairs at Albany gave the account we mentioned yờu to you yesterday, to the Governor of New-York, and

nting

he sent it to the Governor of Virginia;----their names will be given you by the interpreter.

" Bretbren,

"THE DISPUTE is NOT BETWEEN VIRGINIA
"AND YOU; it is setting your right against the great
"King, under whose grants the people you complain
"of, are settled. Nothing but a command from the
"great King can remove them;—they are too power"ful to be removed by any force of you, our breth"ren; and the great King, as our common Father,
"will do equal justice to all his children: wherefore,
"we do believe they will be confirmed in their pos"setslions."

Here it may be fit to suspend our narrative for a moment, while we just take notice, that the great Congress held at Albany, in 1754, by order of the King, and the royal proclamation of October the 7th, 1763, effectually destroyed this belief of Virginia, as will be feen in the succeeding pages.

The Commissioners added, "We may proceed to fettle, what we are to give you for any right you may have, or have bad, to all the lands to the fouthward

in the second

66

"

th

Ki

the

the

and westward of Maryland and Pennsylvania, though
we are informed that the Southern Indians claim
these very lands that you do."

On the 30th of June, the Six Nations spoke as follows:

" Brother of Virginia,

"The world at first was made on the other side of the Great Water, different from what it is on this side, as may be known from the different colours of our skin and our flesh, and that which you call justice, may not be so among us. You have laws and ensures, and so have we. The great King-might send you over to conquer the Indians, but it looks to us that God did

ir names

RGINIA
he great
omplain
rom the
power-

breth-Father, refore, ir pof-

a moongress g, and 3, cfwill be

ed to
nu ma;
bward
nough
claim

ke as

de of fide, four may and over God did

" did not approve of it; if he had, he would not have " placed the sea where it is, as the limits between us " and you .-- Though great Things are well remembered " among us, yet we do not remember that we were ever " conquered by the great King, or that we have been " employed by the great King to conquer others. We " do remember we were employed by Maryland to " conquer the Conestogoes; and that the second time " we were at war with them, we carried them all off. "We will now speak to the point between us. " fay you will agree with us as to the road; we defire " that may be the road which was last made, (the " waggon road.) N. B. This was up to the foot of the great mountain, as the Six Nations observed, where it now is, and it is impossible for us to remove it faither to the west.

On the 2d of July, the Commissioned entioned, that "As we have already said enough ou on the subject of the title to the lands, you claim from Virginia, we have no occasion to say any thing more to you on that head, but come directly to the point." Wherefore, they told the Six Nations, that with the goods they had there, which cost f 200, Pennsylvania money, and f 200 in gold, "We will give you, our brethren of the Six Nations, upon CONDITION that

" you immediately make a deed RECOGNIZING THE 
"KING'S RIGHT to all the lands THAT ARE, or shall 
be, BY HIS MAJESTY'S ARPOINTMENT, IN THE 
"COLONY OF VIRGINIA."

Canafatege (the chief speaker of the Indiane) defined the Commissioners would reputient their case to the King, in order to have a further consideration, whip the sentements increased which further back. Then the Six Nations executed a deed to the King,—and Canastatego delivered it is for the use of his Father the

great

great King,\* and hoped he would confider them."---/ This is a brief, but faithful detail of the most material parts of the transactions, relative to the much relied upon Lancaster deed; and it will appear by subse. quent conferences with the Six Nations, that they were either deceived by the commissioners, or the Interpreter who explained their speeches to them, and that they never intended to fell any lands westward of the Allegany mountain, but only fuch lands " as were in the " occupation of some people of Virginia." --- See the Commissioners speech of the 27th of June.

In April 1752, the Governor of Virginia, appointed Joshua Fry, Lunsford Lomax, and James Patton, Efgrs. Commissioners in behalf of that colony, to go to the Uhio, and gave them instructions to obtain, if possible, a confirmation, from the Indians settled there. of the Lawreter deed, and therein observed, " That " as fome about the treaty of Lancaster, and surmises have been spread, as if the Six " Nations thought themselves imposed upon by it; to " have the treaty explained, and his Majesty's title to all " the lands expressed, and intended to be acknow-" ledged by the faid treaty fully explained."

A treaty, in pursuance of the above instructions, was held at Logg's town on the Ohio, between these Commissioners and the Half King, and some other Indians of the Six Nations.

On the 9th of June, the Commissioners had a PRIVATE Conference with the Half King and the other Chiefs, when they produced the Lancaster deed and other pa-The Indians thanked them for letting them know what the Onondago Council had done, and blamed (the Commissioner) for keeping the deed private, &c. and faid, the Onendage Council never told them, that they bad

by printed by B. Franklin, 1744.

ha the

aga

twe

Per righ peop you time com fettl the writi whic Con deliv Now to ju

It i to ma fide o the ftr thip, enemie will ar Péoble

has f

you a

to vo

Lance

had fold further, than the Warriors Road, at the fact of the Allegany mountain, and that they would confirm whatever they had done.

June the 10th, the Commissioners and Indians met again, when the former spoke as follows:

Brethren,

terial

relied

ubfe •

were

reter

they

Allc-

n the

e the

oint-

tton,

b go

nere, That

Lan-

Six

; to

b all

OW-

ons,

hefe In-

ATE

iefs,

pa-

hem

med

&c.

they

had

AT a treaty at Lancaster, in the year 1744, between the governments of Virginia, Maryland and Pennsylvania, you made a deed recognizing the King's right to all the lands in Virginia, as far as it was then peopled or bounded by the King our Father, for which you received the confideration agreed on ;---at the fame time Canassatego defired the Commissioners would recommend you to the King's further favour, when the fettlements should increase much further back:----this the Commissioners promised, and confirmed it by a writing under their hands and feals; in confequence of which a present was sent you from the King, by Mr. Conrad Weiser, which he fince informed us that he delivered you, at a council held here in the year 1748. Now the King your Father, to shew the love he bears to justice, as well as his affection to you his children, has fent a large present of goods to be divided among you and your allies, which is here ready to be delivered. to you, and we defire you may confirm the treaty at Lancaster.

Brethren,

It is the defign of the King your Father, at present, to make a settlement of British subjects on the south east side of Ohio, that we may be united as one people, by the strongest ties of neighbourhood, as well as friendship, and by these means prevent the insults of our enemies. From such a settlement greater advantages will arise to you than you can at present conceive; our people will be able to supply you with goods much cheaper

cheaper than can at this time be afforded, will be a ready help in case you should be attacked, and some good men among them will be appointed with authority to punish and restrain the many injuries and abuses too frequently committed here by disorderly white people.

Brethren.

We assure you, THAT THE KING our Father, BY PURCHASING YOUR LANDS, HAD NEVER ANY IN-TENTIONS OF TAKING THEM FROM YOU, but that we might live together as one people, AND KEEP THEM FROM THE FRENCH, who would be bad neighbours: He is not like the French King who calls himfelf your Father, and endeavoured about three years ago with an armed force, to take possession of your country, by fetting up infcriptions on trees, and at the mouths of the creeks on this river, by which he claims these lands; though at their coming, and for many years before, a number of your brothers, the English, were residing in this town, and several other places on this river. You remember how he (the French King) feattered the Shawanesse, so that they were dispersed all over the face of the earth, and he new threatens to cut off the Twigtwees; this is to weaken you; that he may cut you off also, which he durst not attempt while you are united. On the contrary, the King your Father will lay his hand upon your heads, under which protection vou will always remain safe.

fu.

th

th

ao

ìn

tha

us.

mi

twi

ma

kee

be v

give

ther

that

miss Obio

June the 11th the Commissioners and Indians met again, when the Half King of the Six Nations spoke as follows:

Brother, the Governor of Virginia,

You acquainted us yesterday with the King's right to all the lands in Virginia, as far as it is settled, and back from thence to the sun setting, whenever he shall think fit to extend HIS settlements. You produced also a copy

of his deed from the Onondago council, at the treaty at Lancaster, and desired that your brethren of Ohio might likewise consirm the deed. Brother, the Governor of Virginia, we are well acquainted that our chief council, at the treaty of Lancaster, confirmed a deed to you for a QUANTITY OF LAND IN VIRGINIA, which you have a right to, and likewise our brother Onas has a right to a parcel of land in Pennsylvania: We are glad you have acquainted us with the right to those lands, and assure you we are willing to confirm any thing our council has done in regard to the lands; BUT WE NEVER UNDERSTOOD, BEFORE you told us yesterday, that the lands then sold, were to extend further to the sun setting, than the hill on the other side the Allegany hill, so that we cannot give you a farther answer."

" Brother,

a

ty

0

e.

at

15

c

cs

ъ.

is

t

12

You acquainted us yesterday that the French were a defigning people, which we now fee, and know that they design to cheat us out of our lands; you told us that the King of England defigned to fettle some lands on the fouth east fide of Ohio, that it might be better in our brethren's power to help us, if we were in need, than it is at present, at the great distance they live from We are fure the French design nothing else but mischief, for they have struck our friends the Twigtwees: we therefore defire our brothers of Virginia may build a strong house at the fork of Monongebelly wo keep fuch goods, powder, lead and necessaries, as shall be wanting, and as foon as you please; and as we have given our coufins, the Delawares, & King who live there, we desire you will look on him as a Chief of that nation. Gave a large string of wampum."

"June the 12th. This day the Indians gave the Commissioners an answer, concerning the land which the Ohio company wanted to fettle. They desired them to

build a strong house or fort very soon. As the Commissioners had asked for the lands at Monongehela, they imagined the Indians had given up those upon that river, but they (the Indians) only meant GROUND SUFFICIENT FOR THE FORT to stand upon, as appeared by a private conversation with the Half King, who said that was all that was intended, (though he always spoke the sentiments of others, and not his own) as he knew a proper settlement could not be made without a large quantity of land."

June 13. The Half King speaking to the Commissioners, observed,

ih:

66

66

" Brother,

We have heard what you faid in regard to the King's design of making a settlement of his people on the waters of the river Ohio; you likewise told us you bad a deed for those lands, signed by our council at the treaty of Lancaster. We assure you of our willingness to agree TO WHAT OUR COUNCIL DOES, OR HAS DONE; but we have not the full power here in our hands, on Ohio: We must acquaint our Council at Onondago, of the affair, AND WHATEVER THEY BID US DO, WE WILL DO .- In regard to your request to build a strong house at the Monongehela, you told us it would require a fettlement to support it with provisions and necessaries, and it is true; but we will take care that there shall be no scarcity of that kind, UNTIL WE CAN GIVE YOU A FULL ANSWER; although in all our wars we. do not confider provisions, for we live on one another, but we know it is different with our brethren the English. Notwithstanding these honest explanations, to the

"Commissioners had an instrument drawn for confirming the deed made at Lancaster, and containing a promise that the Indians would not molest our fettlements on

" the fouth east side, Ohio (They) defired Mr. Mon-

mmif-, they n that

ound as ap-King,

gh he own) made

mmil-

King's
e wabad a
treaty

ds, on

to a-

frong quire cefa-

there GIVE

other,
nglifb.

rming romife ets on

Mon-

" tour to converse with his brethren the other Sachems
" IN PRIVATE ON THE SUBJECT, to urge the neces-

" fity of such a settlement, and the great advantage it would be to them, as to their trade or their security:

"On which they retired for some time, and then re-

" turned: and Mr. Montour faid THEY WERE SA" TISTIED IN THE MATTER, and were willing to

" fign and feal the writing, which was done and wit" neffed by the gentlemen then prefent."

The reader will be pleafed to make his own observations upon this very extraordinary transaction:—We shall forbear to anticipate them, by any remarks of ours-

INDIANDEED.

WHEREAS at a treaty of Lancaster, in the county of Lancaster, and province of Penn
stylvania, held between the government of Virginia

" and the Six United Nations of Indians, in the year of our Lord 1744, the Honorable Thomas Lee and

" William Beverly, Esques. being Commissioners, a deed recognizing and acknowledging the right and title of his Moielly, our Sovereign Lord the King of Commission.

" his Majesty, our Sovereign Lord the King of Great
Britain, to all the lands within the colony, as it was

" then or bereafter might be peopled, and bounded by his faid Majesty, our Sovereign Lard the King, his heirs

" and fucceffors, was figned, fealed and delivered by the

" Sachems and Chiefs of the Six United Nations, then present, as may more fully appear by the faid deed,

" reference thereto being had: WE Conogariera, Che-

" narifa, Sachems and Chiefs of the faid Six Nations

of now met in Council at Logg's Town, debereby figuify

" as full and ample a manner, as if the faid deed, as

" recited. And whereas his faid Majefty, King of "Great Britain, has at prefent a delign of making a

fettlement or fettlements of British subjects on the fouthern or eastern parts of the river Ohio, called otherwise Allegany. WE in Council (Joshua Fry, Lunsford Lomax and James Patton, Esqrs. being Commissioners on behalf of his Majesty,) do give our consent thereto; and do farther promise, that the said settlement or settlements shall be unmolessed by us, and that we will, as far as in our power, assist and protest the British subjects there inhabiting. In witness whereof we have hereunto set our hands and seals, this 13th day of June, in the year of our Lord God 1752."

About the month of September, 1753, a treaty was held by William Fairfax, Esq; one of the Council of

goi

lear

the

inv

Virg

gan bacl

dam

ingt

India

of th

Nati

as fol

and d

lifb, ·

think

ever l

with t

have,

your r

LAND

TOU A

fettlem

ward c

from the

to the L

1753,tl

D'Anve

" dians

" Ti

The

L

About the month of September, 1753, a treaty was held by William Fairfax, Efq; one of the Council of Virginia, by order of Governor Dunwiddie, at Winchefter in that state, with Chiefs of the Six United Nations, and several of the different tribes of Indians residing on or near the Ohio. This remarkable treaty is in the British Plantation Office, Whitehall. On the back of the journal of this treaty, is inserted a memorandum signed by Mr. Commissioner Fairfax, to this effect: That he did not dare to mention the affair of the lands over the Great Mountain, or the Laneaster deed, as the Indians were in a very bad humour on account of that transaction. The journal of this treaty, it is supposed, is in the proper office of Virginia.

In September and October, 1753, a treaty was held at Garlisse in Pennsylvania, by Richard Peters, Isaac Norris and Benjamin Franklin, Esqrs. Commissioners appointed by Mr. Hamilton, Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania, with some of the most considerable of the Six Nation, Delaware and Shawnesse Indians.

At this treaty, on the 3d of October, Scarraday, the Half King, spoke thus:

Brother

The fame balf King, who told the Firgins Commissioners at Logg's some, that all the ground the Indians meant to grant on Ohio, was factored for the fact to fland upon. Page 42.

Brother Onas,

on the

called

ua Fry,

being

ive our

the said

us, and

nd pro-

witness

i feals,

Lord

ty was

ncil of inchef-

d Na-

ns re-

treaty

On the

hemo-

x, to

r the

d hu-

f this

ginia.

held *Ifaac* 

pners

br of

le of

the

I desire you will hear and take notice of what I am going to say to you. The Governor of Virginia desired leave to build a strong house on the Ohio, which came to the ears of the Governor of Canada; this caused him to invade our country. We desire that Pennsylvania and Virginia would forbear settling our lands over the Allegany hills. We advise you rather to call your people back on this side (the eastern side) of the hills, least damage should be done," &c.

In November, 1753, Major (now General) Wash-ington, a Commissioner from Virginia, summoned an Indian Council at Logg's Town on the Ohio, confisting of the Half King and a number of Warriors of the Six Nations, Delawares and Shawnesse; and spoke to them as follows:

"The French have driven you out of your country, and declared you had nothing on the Ohio. The English, who are your brothers, have too much justice to think the Six Nations, their faithful allies, should ever be treated in this manner. After you had been with the Governors of Virginia and Pennsylvania, they have, at your repeated request, fent an army to support your rights, TO PUT YOU IN POSSESSION OF YOUR LANDS, DISPOSSESS THE FRENCH, AND SECURE YOU ALL THIS COUNTRY."

The Six Nations being very uneafy on account of fettlements, which the Virginians were making westward of the Allegany Mountain, and of the deed got from them at Lancaster; serious complaints were made to the British Ministers, and on the 18th of September, 1753, the following royal instruction was sent to Sir D'Anvers Opporne, Governor of New York:

"That nothing may be wanting to convince the In-

well to examine into the complaints they have made,

" of being defrauded of their lands; to take all proper and

" legal methods to redrefs their complaints, and to gra-" tify them by reasonable purchases, or in such other " matters as you shall find most proper and agreeable to them, for such lands as have been unwarrantably taken from them, and for such other, as they may have a de-" fire to dispose of. As we find it has been usual on former occasions, when an interview has been held " with the Indians, for the other neighbouring govern-" ments in alliance with them, to fend Commissioners to be joined with those of New York, and as the present wavering disposition of the Indians equally affects the other provinces, we have wrote to the Governors of "Virginia, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Hampshire, " Massachuset's and New Jersey, desiring them to re-" present to their respective Assemblies, the utility and ee necessity of this measure, and to urge them to make " a proper provision for it; and therefore it will be so necessary, when you have settled the time and place of meeting, you should give them early notice of it; " and this leads us to recommend one thing more to " your attention, and that is to take care that all the " provinces be (if practicable) comprized in one general " treaty to be made in his Majesty's name; it appearing " to us that the practice of a province making a fepa-" rate treaty for itself, in its own name, is very imor proper, and may be attended with great inconveni-" encies to his Majesty's service.

" Whitehall, " Sept. 18, 1753.

DUNK HALLIFAX, J. GRANVILLE. DUPPLIN."

" To Sir Danvers Ofborne,

" Governor of New York."

In confequence of this instruction, a commission

ope dian able

dcad York their

For

Massa

For a For RA

For J

For Pen

For Vir

N.B widdie <u>Diantati</u>

opened at Albany, with the Six United Nations of In- Albany. e made, dians, on the 19th day of June, 1754, by the Honorper and able James Delancey, (Sir Danvers Oftorne being then to gradead; Lieutenant Governor of the province of New h other York, and the following Crown Commissioners from rable to their feveral provinces, y taken

( Hon. Joseph Murray, Telgrs. of Will. Johnson, his Ma-For New York, Tohn Chambers, jesty's William Smith, council

Theod. Atkinfon, Richard Willand New Hampsbire, Mastrel Weare, Henry Sherburne, Samuel Willis,

For John Chandler, Massachusets Bay, Oliver Patridge, John Worthington,

William Pitkins, For Connecticut, Efqrs. Roger Woolcot,

Elisha Williams, Stephen Hopkins, Efqrs. For Rhode Island, Martin Howard, Benjamin Tasher, Efqrs. For Maryland,

John Penn, Ifaac Norris, For Pennsylvania, Benjamin Franklin,

Benjamin Barnes,

Richard Peters, For Virginia, ---- Lieutenant Governor Delancey, of New York.

N.B. On the 25th of October, 1754, Governor Dunwiddie wrote to the Lords Commissioners for trade and plantations, that he had requested Governor Delancey to appear for, and represent the colony of Virginia, at

Efqrs.

ned

11117

e a de-

ual on

n held

overn-

iers to

refent

affects

nors of

shire.

to re-

y and

make

ll be

place

of it;

re to

ll the

neral`

ring

lepa-

im-

reni-

AX,

the Congress to be held by his Majesty's command, at Albany, with the Six United Nations of Indians.

This letter, may be found in the Secretary's office. of the frate of New York; the original is in the office of the Board of Trade, Whitehall, London.

(Extracts from the great Treaty at Albany, in 1754. " His Honor the Lieutenant Governor of New York

having yesterday directed Mr. Banvar, Deputy Secretary of the faid province, to wait upon the Commiffloners of the feveral provinces, and acquaint them his Honor defired, that they would meet in Council, in the City Hall of Albany this morning, in order to produce their powers, and proceed to bufinefs: The faid Commissioners being accordingly met, took their feats, and produced their respective commissions, which were read.

His Honor than produced a letter from the Right Honorable the Lords of Trade, bearing date the 18th or September last, (see this letter in page 45,46) and the fame was read."

On the 27th of June, the Indians complained of their lands being claimed, and faid, they had not fold them. On the 2d of July, the famous Sachem Hendrick delivered a speech in behalf of the Six Nations, and faid "the Governors of Virginia and Canada are both quarrelling about lands, which belong to us. They quarrel, who shall have the lands. They have made a path

thro' our country to trade, and build houses, without acquainting us with it. They should have first asked our confent to build there, as was done, when Ofwego was built.

On the 28th of July, Governor Delancey and the and the other Commissioners spoke as follows to the Six Nations.

Brothers.

We gladly understand, that you gave no coutenance

īť

re

un

OR

TY

W

olai

figl

roa

furi

Frei

K W

LFD

Faith

DER

Stev

Prese

A

the

had

difp

Can

vou

thall

Afte

at

ce.

ñce

crk

ŀε-

if-

his

he

сē

n-

 $\mathbf{d}$ 

d.

ht

h

d

to the French, who went to the Ohio and have entered ONLYOUR LANDS. You did put this land under the King our Father, and he is now taking care to preferve it for you. For this end, among others, he has directed us to meet you here; for although the land is under the King's government, YET THE PROPERTY OR POWER OF SELLING IT TO ANY OF HIS MAJES-TY's SUBJECTS, having authority from him, WE AL-WAYS CONSIDERED AS VESTED IN YOU. plain about the Governors of Virginia and Canada fighting for your lands, and that they have made new roads through your country. What you fay, is a great, furprize to us. We all know, for five years past; the French have been marching troops into that country, WHICH WE EVER DID, AND DO STILL ACKNOW-LEDGE TO BELONG TO YOU, although within your Father, the King of Great Britain's dominion, and UN-

At a meeting of Seventy of the Six Nations, at Mr. Stevenson's in Albany, Friday, July the 5th, 1754.

Prefent John Penn,

John Penn,
Richard Peters,
Benjamin Franklin,
Ilaac Norris,
Efqrs. Commissioners for
Pennsylvania.

Conrad Weiser, Esq; Interpreter.

Hendrick, the Chief, spoke as follows:

"We have heard fince we came here, that our brother Onas, and our brother of New England, have had fome disputes about the lands of Shamokin; a dispute of the same kind as that of the Governor of Canada and the Governor of Virginia: but we desire you will not differ with one another, for neither shall have it."

After these and other conferences, a treaty of alliance and defence was in the King's name concluded

G

with the Six Nations, and on the 7th of August, Governor Hamilton of Penniylvania, in his speech to his Assembly, said, "It is with great satisfaction, that I now communicate to you the proceedings of the Commissioners at the late treaty at Albany, as on perusal thereof, you will clearly perceive that the lands in the river Objo do yet belong to the Indians of the Six Nations, and have long since been put and der the protestion of the Grown of England."

3

20

to

to

te

tic

an

she

01

tua

led

the

had

fam

nar

diti

fubj

 $M_{01}$ 

the

On or about the 15th of April, 1755, General Bradleck delivered a fet of instructions to Sir William Johnson, Baronet, his Britanic Majesty's Superintenplant of Indian affairs, and therein mentioned, that the Five Nations of Indians, on the 19th of July, 1701, had put all their Beaver Hunt under the protestion of the King of England, to be guaranteed to them and their pfe; and that by deed bearing date in 1726, the Cayugas, Senecas and Onondagos, did put their lands and castles under the protection of the King of England, to be protected and defended by the faid King, bis hour and fucceffors for ever, to and for the use of them the faid Indians, their beirs and successors for ever; and then the General declared, "That whereas the Brench have from time to time, by fraud and violence, built " Arong forts within the limits of the faid land, com-" trary to the covenant chain of the faid treaties Tou are in my name to affure the actions, that I am " come, by his Majesty's order, to destroy all the said " forts, and to build fuctioners, as find protect and " fball secure the said hands to them, their beirs and

I festion of their own lands."

These engagements imposed the strongest obliga-

successors for every according to the true intent and

of fpirit of the faid treaty; and do therefore call upon

" them to take up the hatchet, and come and take pof-

ft, Go-

a to his

that 1

of the

as on

hit i'e

Indians

ut ar-

cneral

Villiam

inten-

at the

1701,

ion of

ltheir

Cay-

s and

d. to

s and

Jaid

then

have

built

COB-

Tou

2m

faid

and

and

and

pon

tions upon the crown of Great Britain, and its fucceffers, not simply to admit, but to support and maintain, against all opposition, the right of the Six Nations and their assigns, to their several countries.

While these transactions were carrying on in America, a negociation was begun in Europe, between the Courts of France and Great Britain, from which it will appear, that the title of the Six Nations to their unalienated lands and territories, was known, admitted and strongly afferted, not only in America, but by the Kings of France and England.

In the month of January, 1755, the Duke de Mirepoix, the King of France's Ambassador, in obedience,
to his instructions, proposed, that orders should be sent
to the respective Governors of both nations in America,
to desist from all farther acts of violence;——that matters should be restored without delay to the same situation on the Ohio, that they were in before the last war,
and that the several pretentions of the two Kings
should be referred to the Commissioners then sitting in
Paris.

January 22d, 1755, the British Court answered, "That the possession of the territory towards the river Ohio, must be restored to the same situation it was actually in at the conclusion of the treaty at Utrecht,"

February the 6th, 1755, the King of France alledged, that the King of England had no pretentions to the country in 1679, and that the treaty of Utrecht had not made the least mention thereof. He at the fame time proposed to the Court of London a preliminary convention, and suggested the provisional conditions thereof; one of which was, that the English subjects should retire to the eastward of the Allegany Mountain, and the French subjects to the westward of the river Ohio.

March

March the 7th, 1755, his Britanic Majesty proposed to agree to the foregoing conditions, in case the King of France would send orders to his Governor and Commanders in North America, to demolish, in six months, all the forts that had been creeted at different places upon the Ohio, &c.

cla

pe

CO

aff.

to

being m Minister

indefenfi *Pourds*,

lands ab

March the 27th. The King of France objected to this proposal; and April the 5th, the Court of England infifted upon it, and the two Courts continued indecifively negociating, until the 14th of May, 1755. when the Duke de Mirepoix, presented a memorial to the British Ministry, and denied the British King had the least right to the lands westward of the Allegany Mountain; and in the second article of this memorial, the Court of France speaking of the Six Nations, said, These Savages are free and independent, and there are none of them who may be called fubjects of either crown: What appears on the face of the treaty of Utrecht; in regard to this, is faulty, and can make no alteration in the nature of things. It is certain that no Englishman dare, without the risque of being massacred, tell the Iroquois (the Six Nations) that they are fubjects of England. These Savage Nations Tre governed by themselves.\*

On the 7th of June, 1955, the British Minister delivered a memorial to the Duke de Mirepoix, and in the fecond and third agricles, it is observed,....

Second article. "However free and independent the "Savages in question (the Six Nations) may be, "which is a point the Court of Great Britain will not discuss, f. N. B. When a position will not bear a discussion, it is certainly most prudent to avoid every thing but positive affertions) they cannot be consisted

Here we fee the Confederated Nations are explicitly acknowledged by France to be diffinit from the subjects either of that hingdonic or England.

oposed e King or and

in fix fferent

Enginued 1755,

ted to

rial to g had legany

orial, faid, re are

of Uke no

> that affa-

go-

ifter d in

be,

rery nsi-

by

"dered and treated otherwise than as subjects of Great
"Britain, by France in particular, since she has solemnly engaged by the treaty of Utrecht, to consider

" them as fuch."

This article evidently discovers that the British Ministry were conscious of no other foundation to their claim of dominion over the Six Nations, than a particular expression in the treaty of Utrecht, which might perhaps be urged against the Court of France, but could not alter "the nature of things," or at all affect these nations themselves, who were not parties to that treaty.

Third article. "Whatever pretext might be al"eledged by France, in confidering these countries as
"the appurtenances of Canada, it is a certain truth,
"that they have belonged and they have belonged."

" that they have belonged. AND AS THEY HAVE NOT "BEEN GIVEN UP, OR MADE OVER TO THE ENG-

" LISH, BELONG STILL to the fame Indian nations," which, by the 15th article of the treaty of Utrecht,

" France agreed not to molest. What the Court of

"Green Britain maintains, --- what it insifts upon, is, "That the Five Nations of the Iroqueis, acknowledged by Frances by Califoln of C.

" by France to be subjects of Great Britain, are by o" rigin, or by right of conquest, THE LAWFUL PRO-

" PRIETORS of the river Ohio, and the territory in question."

The capture of two French ships of war (the Alcide and

An incontrovertible proof this, that neither the Brief. King nor his Mimilters apprehended, that by the treaty or deed made at Lencefter in 1944, the Six Nations had fold to his Majefly, the Lands we haved of the Allegary Manatain, otherwise they would not certainly have deferred a claim, which they might to easily have fet up. The deed, such as it is, being made to Garge the Second, and set to Virginia. The King and his Mimilters disclaimed to avail themselves of such a vague, unjuffished and indefensible Jeed, presented for the inconsiderable sum of Four Handred Pounds, Peanfilmann many, but honestly declared, that "they (the lands above meetinged)" the set here given up. Non Made QUEE TO THE ENGLISH has the second of the lands above meetinged?

and Lys) by Admiral Boscawen's sleet on the following day, put an end to this negociation, and prevented the Court of France from further afferting the independency of the Six Nations, as would otherwise have doubtless been done.

On the 13th of May, 1755, Sir William Johnson delivered a speech to the Six Nations, and therein said.

66 Brothers and Allies of the Six Nations,

"I'declare to you in the presence of your Chiefs and your Warriors, who are here assembled, and agree-

" ably to the infructions I have received from the great

"King, your Father, that if you will heartily give me your affiftance, I will reinstate you in the possession.

" of your lands."

On the 18th of February, 1756, Sir William again spoke to the Six Nations; and in the name of the King of England, assured them, "That he (the King) would protest your country, and the lands which your

" fathers conquered, and are of right your territories,

" against all violence. General Shirley takes the

"fully to follow his Majesty's instructions herein."

To this speech the Six Nations answered:

"We are extremely thankful for the particular inflructions the King has given to cultivate a strict friendship between us, and to protect our lands, and to recover those encroached upon by our common enemy,"

On the 24th of February, 1756, the Six Nations again spoke to Sir William Johnson, and said:

"You have acquainted us that the great King, our brother, is firmly resolved to defend our country,

" and recover such parts of it as the French have en" croached upon; also to protect us to the utmost of

his power by ereding forts for our fafety and defence."

In October 1758, a treaty was held with the Six

Nations,

N

th

mi

pe.

gr

held

Obio

and

Con

in A

jesty

land.

*their* Oblig

of th

session

what

taker

perm

prom

prope

es d

Nations, Delawares, &c. at Easton in Pennsylvania, by the Governors of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, Commissioners for Pennsylvania, and the King's deputy superintendant of Indian affairs, when Thomas King, a great Warrior and Chief of the Six Nations, observed, "Brethren.

"The cause, why the Indians on the Obio left you, was owing to yourselves. When we heard of the French coming there, we desired the Governors of Virginia and Pennsylvania to supply us with implements and necessaries for war, and we would defend our lands, but these Governors disregarded our messages. The French came to us, treated with our peo-

" ple, used them kindly, and gained their affections.
" THE GOVERNOR OF VIRGINIA SETTLED ON

"OUR LANDS FOR HIS OWN-BENEFIT, but when we wanted his assistance, he forsookus."

On the 20th of August, 1760, General Monckton held a treaty at Fort Pitt, on the eastern fide of the Obio, with the Six Nations, Shawnese and Delawares, and delivered a speech from Sir Jeffery Amherst, then Commander in Chief of his Britannic Majesty's forces in North America, and therein mentioned that his Majesty had not fent him to deprive them of any of their lands and property; that he did not mean to take any of their lands, but as the necessity of his Majesty's Tervice obliged him to take post, and build forts in some parts of their country, to prevent the enemy from taking poffession of their lands, he assured them, that no part whatever of their lands joining to the forts, should be taken from them; nor any of the English people be permitted to settle upon them; and the General also promised them that their lands should remain their absolute property, and he would even give them fome presents, as a confideration for the land, where fuch fores and trading

trading houses should be built upon; and concluded by declaring, that if they would lay out a space of ground adjoining each fort, to raise corn, in that case, he said, fix yourselves the limits of your lands, so appropriated to us, and you shall receive such consideration for the same, as shall be agreed between you and us, to your satisfaction.

The latter end of the year 1762, the following proclamation was iffued at Fort Pitt, on the Ohio.

· PROCLAMATION,

By Henry Bouquet, Esquire, Colonel of Foot, and commanding at Fort Pitt and Dependancies.

IV HEREAS by a treaty at Easton, in the year 1758, and afterwards ratified by his Majesty's Ministers, the country to the west of the Allegany Mountain, is allowed to the Indians for their hunting And as it is of the highest importance to his Majesty's service, and the preservation of the peace, and a good understanding with the Indians, to avoid giving them any just cause of complaint: This is therefore to forbid any of his Majesty's subjects to settle or hunt to the well of the Allegany Mountains, on any pretence whatever, unless such have obtained leave in writing from the General, or the Governors of their respective provinces, and produce the same to the commanding officer at Fort Pitt. And all the officers and non commissioned officers, commanding at the several posts erected in that part of the country, for the protection of the trade, are hereby ordered to feize, or cause to be seized, any of his Majesty's subjects, who without the above authority, should pretend, after the publication hereof, to fettle or hunt upon the faid lands, and fend them, with their horses and effects, to Fort Pitt, there to be tried and punished according to the nature of their offence, by the sentence of a court martial. Signed Henry Bouquet.

66

ground che faid, of iated to for the to your Affin

t, and

ng pró-

e year ajesty's Moununting nce to peace, avoid theretle or h any ave in their comrs and everal pro-

e, or
who
r the
faid
ts, to

ng to

ŀt.

On the 10th of February, 1763, a peace was concluded between England and France; and on the 7th of October, a royal proclamation issued, and instructions were sent to the Governor of Virginia, restraining him from granting any lands to the westward of the Allegany Mountains; and these instructions and that proclamation were conceived in the true spirit and faith of the assurances, so repeatedly given to the Six Nations of Indians, regarding their lands, as may be seen in the foregoing detail.

The following are the most effential parts of this proclamation, and these instructions.

" And whereas it is just and reasonable, and effen-" tial to our interest, and security of our colonies, " that the several nations or tribes of Indians, with whom we are connected, and who live under cur " protestion, " sbould not be molested or disturbed in fuch " parts of our dominions and territories, as not hav-" ing been ceded to, or purchased by us, are reserved to "them, or any of them, as their hunting grounds: "We do therefore, with the advice of our Prive " Council, declare it to be our royal will and pleasure, " that no Governor or Commander in Chief in any of " our colonies of Quebec, East Florida or West Florida, " do prefume, upon any pretence whatever, to grant " warrants of furvey, or pass any patents for lands be-" youd the bounds of their respective governments, as " described in their commissions, as also That no Go-" vernor or commander in chief; of our other Colo-" nies or plantations in America do presume for the refent and until our further will and pleasure be " known to grant warrants of furvey or pals patents " land, beyond the heads or sources of any of the rivers

" which fall into the atlantic ocean from the West or

See the preceding treaty at Albany in 1754, and General Braideck's instructions, &c.

" from the North West, or upon any land whatsoever which not having been ceded, or purchased by us as aforesaid, are reserved to the said Indians or any of them and we further declare it to be our royal will 's and pleasure for the present as aforesaid to reserve under our sovereignty, protection and dominion, for " the use of the said Indians, all the lands and territo-" ries not included within the limits of the faid three " new governments, or within the limits of the territo-" ries granted to the Hudson's Bay company, as also all the lands and territories lying to the Westward of the sources of the rivers, which fall into the sea from " the west and north west as aforesaid; and we do hereby strictly forbid, upon pain of our displeasure, " all our loving subjects from making purchases or set-" tlements whatsoever, or taking possession of any lands 46 above referred, without our special leave and licence for that purpose first obtained. And we do further " ftrictly enjoin and require all persons whatever, who " have either wilfully or inadvertently scated themse felves upon lands within the countries above de-" fcribed, or upon any other lands, which not having been ceded to, or purchased by us, are still reserved to the faid Indians as aforefaid, to remove themselves " from fuch settlements."

Can there be a stronger proof, that the King of England did not conceive he had acquired any just or legal title to the lands westward of the Allegany Mountain, either by the Lancaster deed or the treaty, of peace, which he had so recently made with the King of France?

In 1764, the King being desirous of having a boundary line established between the country of the Indians and the provinces of New York, Pennsylvania, Maryland and Virginia.—directed Sir William Johnson, Baronete

loeve r

us as

any of

al will r*eferve* 

, for

errito-

three

errito-

es also

ard of

from

re do

afure.

r set-

lands

cence

rther

who

hem-

de-

ving

ed to

els es

Ling

2DY

zany

aty,

ing

g 2

the

Baronet, his superintendant of *Indian* affairs, to sound the Six Nations upon the subject, and know among other matters whether they would be willing to sell all the lands to the eastward of the river Ohio, as far as the Allegany Mountain, and make that river the boundary line to the westward.

On the 29th of April, 1765, a conference was Johnson's opened at Johnson Hall, and ended the 5th of May, 1765. with the Six Nations of Indians, when Sir William Johnson addressed them as follows:

"You know the treacherous and cruel part acted by " fome of your people at Loggs Town, and about the " Ohio, about two years ago. You then plundered " numbers of the traders, who were supplying you " with goods, &c. This has induced them to apply " to me, and to defire I would lay before you their " distresses, that you might give them a tract of land " to compensate, in some measure, for their great " losses. I need not tell you how incumbent it is up-" on you to agree to their desire, as you must be all " fensible they were ruined by some of your people in " a time of peace, and when they were supplying your necessities. This conduct is sufficient to make the " traders very thy of going towards your country, and "/therefore I think it indispensably your interest to "| grant this request, which, though it will be but a " finall reward, it will shew the world, that you repent of what is past, and like bonest men are desirous " of making fome restitution for the damages you " have committed. I expect you will, without delay, give an answer, and I wish it may be a favourable one, as it will be for your, credit."

Sir William Johnson next acquainted the Six Nations, that the General (Sir Jeffery Ambers!) had represented

to him "the necessity there is for the troops to have gardens and corn about the forts, and although it is the custom of all other places, that all the ground within cannon shot, should belong to the fort, yet he has such a regard for you, that he would not make any use of it without you were first made acquainted, and approved of it, &c. But as the garrison of fort Pitt is larger than the rest, and a great way from our settlements, I have no doubt but you will give up a larger quantity of ground for the use of the soldiers, and if you agree to this, I am perfuaded they will look upon you in a much more favorable light than formerly, so that I expect a speedy and agreeable answer."

"

66

"

fpc

**6**6

"

66

66

" Brethren,

"The last but most important affair I have at this time to mention, is with regard to fettling a boun-" dary between you and the English. I fent a message " fome time ago to some of your nations, to acquaint 46 you, that I thould confer with you at this meeting The King, whose generosity and forgive-" ness you have already experienced, being very desir-" ous to put a final end to disputes between his people " and you, concerning lands, and to do you strict justice, has fallen upon the plan of a boundary between " our provinces and the Indians, (which no white " man shall dare to invade) as the best and surest mees thod of ending fuch like disputes, and securing your property to you, beyond a possibility of disturbance. "This will, I hope, appear to you so reasonable and " fo just, on the part of the King, and so advantageous to you and your posterity, that I can have no " doubt of your chearfully joining with me, in fettling fe fuch a division line, as will be best for the advanto tage of both the white man and the Indians, and as

ps to have
though it
he ground
fort, yet
vould not
made acthe gard a great
t but you
r the ufe
am pernore faa fpeedy

e at this a bounmessage cquaint neeting orgivedesirpeople ct jusetween white it meg *your* bance. e and tageve no ttling lvannd as fhall

"fhall best agree with the extent and increase of each province, and the Governors whom I shall consult upon that occasion, so soon as I am fully empowered, but in the mean time I am desirous to know in what manner you would choose to extend it, and what you will agree heartily to, and abide by in general terms:——At the same time I am to acquaint you, that whenever the whole is settled, and that it shall appear you have so far consulted the increasing state of our people, as to make any convenient cession of ground, where it is most wanting, that then you will receive a considerable present in return for your friendship."

On the 3d of May, the Six Nations by the Onondago fpeaker, made the following answer:

" Brother,

"We have heard all you faid to us yesterday, and and we give you many thanks for your advice and directions to us, which we shall take due notice of. As we are willing to shew our good disposition by our actions, we shall come into every thing you propose that is reasonable, and we hope it will render us more regarded by the English. These are serious articles, and we shall consult both Sachems and Warriors about them, and by to-morrow be able to answer you upon them; but with regard to what you spoke about the King's defire, that we should agree about a line between us and the English, we must defire to know of you, how you intended the line should go, and how far."

On the 4th of May, the same speaker again spoke

" Brother,

as follows:

"The chief cause of all the late wars was about lands."
We saw the English coming towards us from all
"parts,

" parts, and they have cheated us so often, that we " could not think well of it. We were afraid that in " a little time you would be at our very castles. For " this reason we thank the great King for his good intentions, and we hope he will make his people keep

de

bli

the

"

"

66

•6

"

"

66. C

"

" ar

33 аг

" w

" fo

" DF

66 Si

July.

within bounds, which they have not yet done; we " therefore agree, that a boundary shall be made be-" tween us."

On the 6th of May, the same speaker thus addressed Sir William Johnson.

## " Brother

"We are now affembled to answer what you faid " yesterday, regarding the boundary line, &c. " length we have agreed together for ourselves, our " wives and children to make a cession to the King, of " the lands, we shall now describe, beginning at Owee gi on the East branch of Susquehanna thence down " the East fide of the river to Shamokin (or Fort Au-" gusta) and running up the West branch of Susque-" banna, on the South fide thereof and from thence to "Kittaning or Adigo, on the Ohio, thence along and " down the Ohio to the Cherokee river, and up the same " to its head: And then they promifed, that, "THE " REQUEST OF THE TRADERS Shall BE COMPLIED WITH, AND WE SHALL AGREE TO GIVE THEM " SOME LANDS NEAR FORT PIT, and also to your de-" fire, concerning some lands about the forts for the use " of your garrisons." Sir William answered.

" As I only wanted to know your fentiments in geor neral about a boundary, I am content the line re-" mains for the prefent, as far as you recommended."

During these conferences between his Britannic Majesty's superintendant of Indian affairs, and the Six United Nations of Indians, the Delaware Tribe, fent deputies

that we id that in les. For good in-

made beaddreffed

ione; we

you faid it ves, our King, of at Owe-ce down Fort Au-bence to ong and the fame of The THEM

s in geine rended." ic Mahe Six e, fent eputies

your de-

the use

deputies to the superintendant, for the purpose of establishing a peace, and the following is an extract, from the articles thereof.

"Treaty of peace with the Delaware Nation, entered into by their deputies, before Sir William Johnson Baronet his Majesty's sole agent and superintendant of Indian affairs, in the Northern department of North America, &c. &c. &c.

Article the first.

"That in confideration of the Delawares, fincere promises of future good behaviour, of their having delivered up to Colonel Boquet a large number of English, who were their prisoners, and of their chearfully according to the subsequent articles, and faithfully observing them forever hereafter, his Majesty is graciously pleased to pardon what hath passed, and they shall be once more received into the covenant chain of friendship with the English.

Article the ninth.

"That many of the traders, who were plundered, and severely treated by the Delawares, in 1763, having represented the great distresses, to which they are thereby reduced, and prayed relief; the Delawares are therefore to fall immediately on a method, for making them some restitution by a grant of lands, provided his Majesty shall approve thereof, and the Six Nations, first give their approbation.

Signed William Johnson.

Killbuck or Beminco.

Kocksbruchements.

Ariendamovky.

Squash Cutter."

At a conference at Johnson Hall, on the 13th of July, 1765, with the Mingo Indians, &c. Sir William Johnson explained to the Shawnesse, Delawares and

Mingos, the feveral articles of the treaty subscribed by Killbuck, &c. (in page 63) on behalf of the Delawares, with all which they were pleased, and unanimously agreed to subscribe the same."

The foregoing application made by Sir William Johnfon to the Six Nations for a retribution in lands to the fuffering traders; their answer, and the above articles of the treaties of peace, on the part of the Delawares, Shawnesse and Mingo Indians, &c. are recorded in the office of the Lords commissioners for trade and plantations, and also in the King's council office London.

In February, 1767, they met with the entire approbation of the then Secretary of State, the Earl of Shelburne, and his Lordship signified the same to Sir William Johnson, Baronet.

tŀ

tŀ:

66

44

"

"

ĖĘ

ſylv

vind

faid

tion

thre fech

femi

Here it may not be useless to remark, that the Cherokee and Creek Nations of Indians, being indebted to the English Indian traders in greater sums than they could pay in peltries, and being defirous to discharge their debts, they, at a treaty held in May, 1773, at Augusta, in the province of Georgia, with Mr. Stuart, Superintendant of Indian affairs for the fouthern department, and Sir James Wright, Baronet, then Governor of that province, fixed a boundary line by treaty and fold to the King of England all the territory comprehended within certain limits, described in the deed of ceffion; and at the same time ceded and granted to the faid King a confiderable tract of country upon the frontiers of Georgia, on purpose that the same should be fold, and the proceeds of fuch fale be appropriated to the payment of their debts to these traders; and the Governor and Council of. Georgia were appointed by his Britannic Majesty to fell the lands in question, to fettle the respective claims of the traders, and discharge the same out of the produce of such sale, conformable to the defign of the Indian grantors.

cribed by clawares, canimoufly

am Johnds to the
e articles
lawares,
ed in the
l plantadon.

of Shel-William

the Cheebted to
n they
scharge
773, at
Stuart,
ern deen Gotreaty
y comne deed
nted to
on the
should
briated

In 1766, the King's subjects presuming to settle the lands over the Allegany Mountain, while the Indians were not paid for them, as they expected they should have been, in consequence of the proposed boundary line, and Sir William Johnson's speech to them in April, 1765,----they became unfriendly, killed many of the King's subjects, and threatened a general war. To prevent this public calamity, a detachment from the King's 42d regiment, then at Fort Pitt, was sent, by order of General Gage, to remove the settlers at Red Stone Creek, Cheat River, &c. but the exertions of this detachment were ineffectual, and the settlers remained there.

On the 7th of December 1767, General Gage wrote the Governor of Pennsylvania, "that the accounts I have received from all quarters are full of intelligence of the distatisfaction of the Indians. Sir William Johnson is apprehensive of an immediate rupture with them. The insults they have received from the frontier people, chiefly these of Virginia and the ob- stinacy of the people, who persist to settle on their lands, not only without their consent, but in constraints not only without their consent, but in consentation to their warmest remonstrances and the endeavours that have been used to remove them, I perceive to be the most immediate cause of their discontent."

On the 5th of January 1768 the Governor of Pennfylvania acquainted the general Affembly of that Province, with the contents of general Gage's letter and
faid, that as the steps hitherto taken both by proclamations issued in pursuance of the King's commands, and
threats of exerting a military force, had proved ineffectual, he therefore called upon the Affembly to remedy the evil, as far as was in their power. The Afsembly, in a message to the Governor acquainted him.

; and

ointed

on, to

harge

mable

"We are affured from good information, that's general boundary, between the natives and these colonies, has been some time past negociated with them by Sir William Johnson, in obedience to his Majesty's orders; that the boundary agreed on will be far distant from our frontier settlements, and a large tract of country within it will thereby be ceded to his Majesty for a valuable confideration; that as some time has elapsed, fince the agreement was made with the Indians, and in the mean time the people on the frontiers have been encroaching on their lands, while the boundary remains unconfirmed, and the Indians unfatisfied for their country, they are become very uneaty, and jealous there is no defign to ratify and confirm it: To obviate which cause of their discontent, and effectually to establish between them, and his Majesty's fubjects, a durable peace, we are of opinion, that a speedy confirmation of the boundary, and a just satisfaction made to them for their lands, on this fide of it, are absolutely necessary."

On the 19th of January, 1768, the Speaker of the Assembly and the Committee of Correspondence of Pennsylvania wrote, by order of the House, to Richard Jackson and Benjamin Franklin, Esqrs. Agents for the province, at the Court of Great Britain, "That the non establishment of a boundary negociated about three years ago, by his Majesty's orders to Sir William Johnson, between these colonies and the Indian country, is a cause of Indian jealousy and dissatisfaction; the delay of the consirmation of the boundary, the natives have warmly complained of, and although they have received no consideration for the lands agreed to be ceded to the crown, on our side of the boundary, yet that its subjects are daily settling and occupying these very lands."

i "re n "wi "pu

**fpeed** 

dant

tled

it is

gricy

It

Or of Vi Counthe fo

" mee

" cil, " lay " imp

" to t

" mc)
" B
" Con

" fron " affair

" it wi

" owe

" near

On the 6th of May, 1768, the Six Nations made a speech at Fort Pitt, to the King's Deputy Superintendant of Indian affairs, and said:

Brother,

gene-

nies,

y Sir

ders:

from

ntrv

va-

bfed,

and

been.

re-

for

ica-

To

ally

3, 2

on-

ade

lo-

the

of

ard

he

he

æ

n-

is'

It is not without grief, that we fee our country settled by you, without our knowledge or consent, and it is a long time since we first complained to you of this grievance. They subjoined, "The settlers must be "removed from our lands, as, we look upon it, they will have time enough to settle them, when you have "purchased them, and the country becomes yours."

On the 31st of May, 1768, the General Assembly of Virginia being met, the President of his Majesty's Council (John Blair, Esq.) opened the sessions with the following speech:

"Gentlemen of the Council, Mr. Speaker, and Gentlemen of the House of Burgesses,

" Our late worthy Governor having defigned to meet you here at this time, the reasons of that his

" intention having been reconsidered by me in council, and the objects for your consideration he had to

" lay before you, having been judged to be of fuch importance to the honour and service of his Majesty;

" to the security, ease and happiness of the people, that

" I was advised (as the administration devolved on

" me) to renew the proclamation for your meeting.
" By letters from his Excellency General Gage,
" Commander in Chief of his Majesty's forces, and

" from Sir William Johnson, Superintendant of Indian affairs, (which I shall cause to be laid before you)

"it will appear, that a fet of men regardless of the

" laws of natural justice, unmindful of the duties they
" owe to fociety, and in contempt of royal proclama-

"tions, bave dared to fettle themselves upon the lands near Red-Stone Creek and Cheat River, WHICH ARE "THE PROPERTY OF THE INDIANS; and notwith-

P

- 21

44 O

ee th

" la

" lid

" fo

" to

" ot

" " jef

" ab

" gr

" mu

and

cau " lar

" ret

is the " rail

arif " vev

" the

" ignd

" well

" fuch " only

" viou

" indu

" fo er

" inter

66

66 standing the repeated warnings of the danger of such " law'ess proceedings, and the strict and spirited in-66 a h "innations them to defift, and quit their unjust posthey still remain unmoved, and feem to es (: dery the orders and even powers of government." As foon as Richard Jackson and Benjamin Franklin, Efgrs. received the foregoing instructions from the General Affembly of Pennfylvania, (p. 66) they waited on the British Secretary of State, and shewed the necessity of immediate orders being fent to the Superintendant of Indian affairs for finishing the boundary line, which had been begun in the year 1764. F. Stanwix In the spring of the year 1768, Sir William Johnson received the King's commands and instructions to complete the purchase of the lands from the Allegany Mountain to the River Ohio; &c. and accordingly he gave the earliest notice thereof to the governments of Virginia, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, &c. and to the Six Nations and the Delawares, and other Indians reliding upon the river Ohio, and appointed a Congress to be held with them in the autumn, (for the purpose of carrying the King's orders into execution) at Fort Stan-

1768.

In December, 1768, Arthur Lee, Esq; late Commissioner to the Court of France, fom the United States of North America, presented a petition to the King of England in Council, praying, in the following words, on behalt of himself and forty-nine other gentlemen: "That your Majesty would grant to his petitioners, to be fifty in number, by the name of the Miffifipce pi Company, two millions and five hundred thousand " acres of land, in one or more furveys to be located se or laid off between the thirty-eighth and forty-second so degrees of north latitude, the Allegany Mountain to

wix, in the province of New York.

ith-

uch

inof-

YO.

in.

he

ed

ty

of h

¢η

the eastward, and thence westward to the dividing line (the running of which your Majesty has been lately pleased to order) and that your petitioners shall have liberty of holding these lands twelve years, or any greater number that your Majesty shall approve (after survey of them be made and returned) clear of all imposition money, quit rents or taxes; and that your petitioners shall be obliged to seat the said lands within twelve years, with two hundred families at least, if not interrupted by the Savages, or some foreign enemy, and return the survey thereof to such office as your Majesty shall be pleased to direct, otherwise to surface of the forfeit the grant.

"Your petitioners humbly hope, that your Ma-" jesty may be graciously pleased to grant these favor-" able terms in confideration of the heavy charges, " great expence, dangers, hardships and risques they " must necessarily incur in the exploring, surveying " and fettling "I HIS DISTANT COUNTRY; and be-" cause it' appears from reason and experience, that " large tracts of land taken up by companies, may be " retailed by them to individuals much cheaper than the latter can obtain them from the crown, embar-" raifed as fuch individuals must be with the charges " arising from the folicitation of patents, making fur-" vevs, and other contingent expences, together with the difficulty the poorer fort are under from their " ignorance of the proper methods to be purfued, as " well as their inability to advance ready money for " fuch purposes; whereas from companies, they have " only to receive their conveyances, without any pre-" vious expence, and are indulged with credit till their " industry enables them to make payments; a method " fo encouraging, that it cannot fail of answering the " intention of speedily populating this your Majely's " territory

Fra

Cap

Fra

And

 $W^{\mu}$ 

Art

1: A

Hen

Sam

W.

Will

W.

Rich

Will

and

W

petiti

iped:

the n

witho " *dif* 

within

Stanz

The

His

Francis

M:

No

On

" territory, so as to be profitable to the crown, and " useful to the state. And though attempts to settle in " this way, have fometimes failed in the hands of gover " tlemen possessed of affluent fortunes, in configuration " of an indolence and inattention frequently are " perfons in fuch circumitances, effecially who " excited by the prospect of immediate and com-66 ble profit; yet the greater part of the prefert au ..... "turers being of good families, and connierable in-"fluence in the countries where they live, though possessed of but moderate create, are induced, from " the goodness of the foil and climate of the country, " becond the Mountains, to believe, that by a proper " application of their money and industry, they thall " acquire a fure and happy provision for their chil-" dren; which pleasing prospect, animated with a view " of public utility, will conduct all their affairs with " that spirited affiduity, which, only in matters of " danger and difficulty, can infure fuccefs. In pur-" fuance of this, several of the members are determined to be themselves among the first settlers; the " dearness and preoccupancy of the lands, WITHIN " THEIR RESPECTIVE COLONIES, rendering it imor practicable to make a proper landed provision for " their numerous families; a circumstance which be-" gins already to restrain early marriages, and there-" fore speedy population. In consideration of the " reasons here offered, your petitioners most humbly " pray your Majesty will be graciously pleased to grant, " their humble request and as in duty bound your pe-" titioners will ever pray-The Hon. Presley Thornton. Stephen Sayre. The Hon. Benedict Calvert. Richard Henry Lee. Col, George Washington. Thomas Ludwell Lee. Colonel Adam Steven. Thomas Cumming.

Francis Lightfoot Lee.
Captain William Fitzhugh.
Francis Thornton.
Anthony Stuart.
William Lee.
Arthur Lee, M. D.F. R.S.
J. Augustine Washington.
Henry Fitzhugh.
Samuel Washington.
W. Fitzhugh of Marmion.
William Brert..
W. Fitzhugh of Somerset.
Richard Parker.
William Booth.

Thomas Simpson.
William Flood.
William Borekenborough.
Rev. Hen. Addison, A.M.
Robert Woodrop.
John Baylor.
Bernard Moore.
Ralph Wormeley.
Warner Lewis, juz.
Mann Page.
John Alexander.
Cuthbert Bullitt.
Henry Royer.
John Turberville.
Arthur Leo, Agent.

December, 1768. Arthur Leo, Agent.
On the brok of this petition is endorfed these words and figures: 16th December, 1768.

Read and referred to a Committee.

oth March, 1769.

Read at the Committee, and referred to the Board of Trade.

We shall make but one short observation upon this petition. It speaks for itself, and shews, that the respectable petitioners (mostly Virginians) were not of the number of those gentlemen in Virginia, who now, without the least reason or justice, affert,—that the "distant country," over the Allegany Mountain, is within that government.

On the 24th of October, 1768, the Congress at Fort Stanwix was opened. Present.

The Honorable Sir William Johnson, Baronet, his Majesty's Superintendant of Indian affairs.

His Excellency William Franklin, Elg. Governor of New Jersey.

Thomas

Thomas Walker, Esq; Commissioner for the colony of Virginia.

Hon. Frederick Smith, Chief Justice of New Jersey.

Richard Peters, Esqrs. of the Council of Pennsyl
James Tilghman, vania.

James Tilghman, zania.
George Croghan, Esqrs. Deputy Agents of Indian

Daniel Claus, S affairs.

Guy Johnson, Esq; Deputy Agent. and acting as Secretary, with fundry Gentlemen from the different colonies.

John Butler, Esq;
Mr. Andrew Montour, Interpreters for the Crown.
Philip Philips,

Indian Chiefs prefent.

Mohawks. Onandagees. Senecas.

Abraham. The Bunt. Gaustrax.

Kanadagaya. Tewaruit. Odengot, &c.

Kendrick. Daupanda.

Aroghiaecka Tawashughts,

Kayengueregoa. &c. &c. &c.

Tubarihoga.

Anohario, &c.

Oneidas.
Ganaghquiefon.
Nicholafera.
Senughfis.

Gajnheta. Tagawaron, &c. Cajugas. Tagaaia.

Shanarady. Kanigot.
Atrawawna, &c. Tyagawehe, &c.

Shawnese. Benevissica.

Delawares.
Killbuck.
Turtleheatt.

Tujcaroras.

Saquarectera.

The whole Affembly being seated, Mr. Walker rose, and delivered to Sir William Johnson his authority in fit and act as Commissioner for Virginia.

4 JOHN

Mei as Con ton an

rered in the wind in the wind

Sir Wil bawks,

welco

" New

lonv

lian

JOHN BLAIR, Esq. PRESIDENT OF HIS MAJES-" TY'S COUNCIL, AND COMMANDER IN CHIEF " OF THE COLONY AND DOMINION OF VIRGINIA, " To THOMAS WALKER, ESQ.

BY virtue of the power and authority to me committed, as Prefident of his Majefty's Council, and Commander in Chief in and over this colony and dominion of Virginia, A do hereby appoint " you the faid Thomas Walker, to be Commissioner of " Firginia, TO SETTIE a boundary line between this " colony, and the colonics of Pennfylvania and Mary-" hind, and the feweral nations of Indians concerned. "You are required to attend at a Congress to be held " for that purpose, under the direction of Sir William " Torrion, agreeable to his Majerty's instructions, when Wysu are to pay a Jue regard to the interest of his Ma-" jefty, and fuch instructions as you shall receive from " me.

"Given under my hand and feal of the colony at " Williamseurg, this 17th of June, and in the " eighth year of his Majesty's reign, anno do-JOHN BLAIR." " mini 1768.

Meisrs. Peters and Tilghman being likewife admitted as Commissioners for Pennsylvania, and Messrs. Wharson and Trent of the same province, appearing, delibered in an account of the traders loffes in 176, togeher with their powers of attorney for obtaining a reribution in lands, pursuant to an article of the treaty f peace in 1765.

Sir William Johnson then, by Abraham, a Chief of the Mobawks, addressed the Indians in the following manner:

" Brethren,

" I take you by the hand, and heartily bid you all welcome to this place, where I have kindled a council fire for affairs of importance. The Governor of New Jersey, and the Commissioners of Virginia and " Pennsylvania,

\*\* Pears, hazar, do like with welcome you here. Lieutenant Governor Learnie hither, and waited a
considerable time, but was a despite, by bupner,
boliged to return home, leaving there give remen
consol flowers on behalf of the region of

Protheca,

Hearken to run, who have good words to the keta you, fuch as are for the benefit of your whose confederacy, and of your characters are unborn.

You hall remember that three veirs ago I nightified to you by Maria and what is and that we then agree together, he adding part of that have the adding, whenever the land came to be founded.

You all, I am hopeful, recollect the reasons I then

gave you for making in his handary; nevertheless, I

shall again repeat theme. You know, buthren, that the eneroachments if my war limit have been always one of voor principal fubrets of complaint; and that. for far as it could be done, endeavours have not been wanting for your obtaining redrets. But it was a difheult task, and generally unfucceisful; for, although the provinces have bounds between each other, there are no certain bounds between them and you; and thereby, not only feveral of our people, ignorant in Indian affairs, have advanced too far into your country, but also many of your own people, through the want of fuch a line, have been deceived in the fales they have made, or in the limits they have fet to our respective claims. This, brethren, is a fad case, which has frequently given us much trouble, and turned many of your heads; but it is likely to continue fo, until some bounds are agreed to, fixed upon, and made public between us. The great, the good King of England, my mafter, whose friendship and tender regard for your

interests I wish you may ever hold in remembrance,

confide Br

has,

ែចឡើ

10.08

1. Tr 2.

ادر این است. دین این منت

1 7 7 7

g 1 m

what i

ne grd

Caule t

extend handro

ture an

the wh

nimous

The inthe most any oth therefor think of

able answ Then peating w

him thus Bro

We gi

has, amongst other instances of his goodness, after long geliber tion on fome means for your relief, and n typestenting fature of pages conserving lands, at anyth fell field upon fixing a 3 result free lang line betion has this cets, and you, and that in fach a manner thall be host agreeable to your in configuence of on. There received his royal commands to fail you and there for the citabilitarent thereof; and after conof the Hongertoning felt with the and wheat on, you now have one can the time govern the Foreign the comnay men's on behalf in the rooting weren of I regimia, and about the second regard to the second section of the togital Yn tro grought affarthey or belief of rate no other g to name roop, or their religious to gray due regard to what that he now entered into the prefence of to many great men will gitt a faretion to the transfastion, and cante the lame to be known as for as the English name extends. His Majerty has directed me to give you a handrome proof of his generolity, proportioned to the nature and extent of what lands feell fall to him, Upon the whole, I hope that your deliberations will be unanimous, and your resolutions such, as his majesty may confider as proofs of your gratitude for all his favours.

Brethren,
The importance of thes affair now before us, requires the most serious attention. I will not burthen you with any other subject until this is generally settled; and therefore we shall adjourn, that you may have time to think of it, and come fully prepared to give an agreeable answer.

Then Abraham, a Chief of the Mchawks, after repeating what Sir William Johnson had said, addressed him thus,

Brother,

cu-

d 3

nen

1.

. ·:.

111-

c:

. 1

13:

vs.

it.

ćη

if-

g'n

re 1d

in

**y**,

nt

ic

rci

t:

We give you thanks for what you have faid to us at

this time; it is a weighty affair, and we shall, agreeable to your desire, take it into our most serious consideration. We are glad that so many great men are assembled to hear witness of the transactions, and we are now resolved to retire and consult on a proper answer to be given to all you have said; and so soon as we have agreed upon it, we shall give you notice, that we may again assemble and make it known to you; and we are all much obliged to you, that you have directed us to an asset to this great advar alone at this time, that our meads might not be but thened or diverted from it by attending to any thing eds.

Fort Staliwix October 31, 1768.

A deputation from the Six Nations waited upon Six William Johnson and informed him, that a Chief and warrior of each Nation would attend him and deliver their final resolves which should be made fucies, to morrow. A map being laid before them, they observed that what they had to say, were the final resolves of all the Nations, and added that they would, agreeable to their just right, begin the line at the Cherokee river, &c. (Vide. The boundaries in their grant to the King) this they said, they delivered as their final determinations, subject to several conditions for the security of their

AT a general Congress held at Fort Stanwix with the Six Nations and other Indians, on Tuesday November the 1st, 1768.

possessions; and engagements entered into.

PRESENT, as at the former Meeting.

The *Indians* being all feated, they defired to know, whether Sir William was prepared to hear them; and on being answered in the affirmative, the facaker stood up, and spoke as stollows:

Brother,

We are hopeful that you will not take it amifs that we have delayed till now our public answer on the subjed wd no nd

iol

wh ent -t/-> peo

enti fafl bar: of it but

mad

you dull by t labo ed b all v

ful t

grea do n veña fhall

ring

We tween you, feque

ject

weighty matter, requiring long deliberation, and should not be undertaken lightly; we have accordingly considered it, and are now come to give you our final resolutions thereon, to which we beg your attention.

Brother .-

rcc-

on-

a/-

are

r to

ave

nay

arc

s to

ou

b:

 $S:_{r}$ 

ri-

nai

A

hat.

.:-

tс.

his

ıs,

ir

ŀу

We remember, that on our first meeting with you, when you came with your ship, we kindly received you. entertained you, and entered into an alliance with you, though we were then great and numerous, and your people inconsiderable and weak; and we know that we entered into a covenant chain of bark with you, and fastened your soip therewith; but being apprehensive the bark would break, and your ship be lost, we made one of iren, and held it fast, that it should not slip from us; but perceiving the former chain was liable to rult, we made a filver chain to guard against it. Then Brother's vou arofe, renewed that chain, which began to look dull, and have for many years taken care of our affairs by the command of the great King, and you, by your labours, have polished that chain; so that it has looked bright, and is become known to all nations; for all which, we shall ever regard you, and we are thankful to you, in that you have taken such care of these great affairs, of which we are always mindful, and we do now, on our parts, renew and strengthen the covehant chain, by which we will abide, so long as you shall preserve it strong and bright on your part.

A Belt.

Than, after repeating all that had been faid concer-

Brother.

We have long confidered this proposal for a boundary between us, and we think it will be of good consequence, if you, on your parts, pay a due regard to it; and we, in consequence thereof, have had sundry meetings amongst our-

felves

felves and with you; and from all that you have fi

us thereon, we have at length come to a find a concerning it, and we hope that what is : upon thall be inviolably observed on your; are determined it shall be on ours , and that man. attempts will be made on our hands, but it is the made in our estadered as final; and we do now ogree to the his we have marked upon your map, now before you, 24 sirtain conditions, on which we have thoken, and that fay no more; and we define to it in air this ser agreement be, that now of the agree week, or their people small attempt to invide it, I NDIR COLOUR OF MY OF D DEEDS, or other pretences whatfocker; for in many of these things we have been imposed on, and therefore we difclaim them all. Which bounds, now agreed to, we begin en the Ohio, at the mouth of the chercked rever, with is Gar suft right. And room thence we go up, con the footh file of the Ohio, to Kittaning, above fore, Firt, from thence a direct line to the nearest fork of the west brone in of Sugarhanna, thence through the Allegans m'a tarrealice the four boide of the faid west branch, till all come expelie to the month of the creek called Tindaghton; thence acres the west branch, and along the east file of that cresh, and along the ridge of Burnets hills, to a creek called Awardae, thence down the jame to the east branch of Sugarehannah; and acrofs the jame, and up the gast file of that river its Gwegy; from thence eastward to Delaware river, and up that river to opposite where Tianaderrat fall, into Sufquehannah; thence to Tianaderrah, and at the west side thereof, and its west branch, to the bead the feet; thence by a straight line to the mouth of Canada Crook, where it empties itself into Wood creek, at the end of the long carrying place beyond Fort Stanwix. And this we declare to be our final refolves, AND WE EXPECT THAT THE CONDITIONS OF THIS OUR

GRANT WILL BE OBSERVED.

every have t as the vour 1 that th fide of Englarand in wares. we nov great a we shal we mak the line Property but that 'et sur a greemen line wh are the leveral about th federacy their fol so long gagemen

A Beit.

Brother.

No

of fuc

ir, as

taid t

cafe,

By

Brother,

Now as we have made so large a ceffion to the king of such a valuable and extensive country, we do expess it, as the terms of our agreement, that strict regard be faid to til our reasonable desires. We do now, on this case, on behalf and in the name of all our warriors of every nation, CONDITION, that our warriors shall have the liberty of hunting throughout the country; as they have no other means of subdistance, and as your people have not the same occasions or inclinations; that the white people be restricted from hunting on our side of the line, to prevent contention between us.

A Belt,

Brother,

By this Belt we address ourselves to the great King of England, through you our superintendant, in the name and in behalf of all the Six Nations, Shawneffe, Delawares, and all other our friends, allies, and dependants; we now tell the king, that we have given to him a great and valuable country; and we know, that what we shall now get for it must be far seort of its value: we make it a condition of this our agreement concerning the line, that his majesty will not forget or neglect to how us his favour, or fuffer the chain to contract ruft; but that he will direct those who have the management of our affairs to be punctual in renewing our ancient agreements: That as the Mohawks are now within the line which we give to the King, and that these people are the true old heads of the whole confederacy, their feveral villages and all the land they occupy, unpatented about them; as also the residences of any other of our confederacy affected by this cession, shall be considered as their fole property, and at their disposal, both now and so long as the tun shines; and that all grants or engagements they have now or lately entered into, shall

be considered as independent of this boundary; \* fo that ther, who have to little left, may not lofe the benefit of the fall of it; but that the people, with whom they have agreed, run have the la d. We likewife further condition, on behalf of all the Six Nations, and of all our allies, friends and nephews, our dependants, that as we have experienced how difficult it is to get juffice, or to make our complaints known, and that it is not inthe power of our superintendant to take care of our affairs in different places without the King's help, that his Majetty w'll give him help and strength to do us juitice, and to manage our affairs in a proper manner. We all know the want of this, and we make it a point of great confequence, on which this our prefent agreement is to depend, and without which affairs will go wrong, and our heads may be turned.

Brother,

We likewise desire that as we have now given up a great deal of lands within the bounds that Mr. Penn claimed a right of buying, that he may, in consequence of the agreement thow entered into between us, enjoy what we have given within them limits. And as we know, that Lydius of ilbany did, in the name of several persons, lay claim to lands in Pennsylvania, which we know to be unjust; and that the deeds he pretends a right to, were invalid, we expect that no regard will be paid to them, or any such claims, now or hereafter; as we have fairly sold them to the proprietors of Pennsylvania, to whom alone we shall sell lands within that province; and we shall now give them a deed for that and other lands there.——ind in order to shew that we love justice, we expect the traders, who suffered by some

. This related to lands on the Sufqueliannah, &c.

of ou
a gra
fulis
Crog
may
happ
he ma
he fa
thew
in to

have we co our return.and winasmithas betterest.

may

At Six N vembe felf to

I an and as ferved ferve in to thoi

<sup>†</sup> The proprietors of Penniylvania beight of the Six Nations, a large tract of country at this congress, and on receiving their deed of conveyance for the faine, the faid proprietors paid the Six Nations 10,000 Spanish milled dollars; being the contidevation money for the taid tract.

should be the part of der the La secaved at

of our dependants in the wars five years ago, may have a grant for the lands we now give them down Ohio, as a fitisfaction for their losses:——and as our friend Mr. Croghan long ago got a deed for lands from us, which may now be taken into Mr. Penn's lands; should it so happen, we request that it may be considered, and that he may get as much from the King somewhere else, as he fairly bought it.—And as we have given enough to shew our love for the King, and make his people easy, in the next place, we expect, THAT NO OLD CLAIMS, which we disavow, or new encroachments, may be allowed of. \*

Brother,

1..1

tit.

28

7:-

ur

.15

or

in-

ur

J.F

LS

r.

ηť

ÇO.

2

n

C

y

C

•

h

ls

11

;

t

We have now gone through this matter, and we have thewn ourselves ready to give the King whatever we could reasonably spare; we, on our parts express our regard for him, and we hope for his favour in return.—Our words are strong and our resolutions sirm, and we expect that our requists will be complied with, inasmuch as we have so generously complied with all that has been desired, as far as was consistent with our interest.

A Belt.

At a general Congress held at Fort Stanwix with the Six Nations, and other Indians, &c. on Friday, November 4, 1768,—Sir William Johnson addressing himself to them, spoke as follows:

Brothers,

I am glad the boundary is at length agreed upon; and as I have great reason to think it will be duly observed by the English, I recommend it to you to preserve it carefully in remembrance; to explain it fully to those that are absent, and to teach it to your chil-

The Six Nations here farther make it a condition, that no old claims should be allowed. This was expressly made to remove all pretentions on the part of Virginia, to the lands west ward of the Allegary Monetain until Lancaster deed; as they repeatedly said, they had been cheated, and actived at the treaty held at Lancaster 1744.

dren, &c. Inow give you this belt to strengthen, ratify and confirm the boundary, and all transactions necessary thereto.

A Belt.

Brothers,

I consider your good intentions towards the traders who sustained the losses, and your desire to sulfil all your engagements, as instances of your integrity. I wish that you may, on your parts, carefully remember and faithfully observe the engagements, you have now as well as formerly entered into with the English, and that you may every day grow more sensible how much it is your interest to do so.

Sir William Johnson addressing himself to the Governor of New Jersey and the Commissioners, said---

Gentlemen,

Agreeable to his Majesty's intentions signified to me by the Secretary of State, and for the satisfaction of the several nations here assembled, I take the liberty to recommend it strongly to your several provinces, to enact the most effectual laws for the due observance of the line, and the preventing all suture intrusions, as the expectation thereof, and the reliance the Indian have on your justice, from the assurance I have given them on that head, have proved great inducements to the settlement of the line now established between the colonies and them.

To which the Governor and Commissioners answered, Nothing should be wanting on their parts to the obtaining such security for the boundary as was deemed necessary, on their return to their respective colonies. Grant from the Six United Nations to William Trent, and others.

TO all people to whom these presents shall come greeting, Know ye, that we Abraham a Mohawk chief, Sennghois an Oneida chief, Saquarisera a Tuscarora

Te ch an Si

Sir Baj Spe

ftr: Ev: Ric fon

das resp seal

min of c

dem w*ari* tract butic

faid

the S
ries o
and i
and

the ye violen own n

whilf

An order rora chief, Chenaugheata chief of the Onondago council, Tagaaia a Cayuga chief, and Gaustarax a Seneca chief; chiefs and fachems of the Six United Nations, and being and effectually representing all the tribes of the said Six United Nations, send greeting:

Whereas Robert Callender, David Franks, Joseph Simons, Levy Andrew Levy, Philip Boyle, John Baynton, Samuel Wharton, George Morgan, Joseph Spear, Thomas Smallman, Samuel Wharton administrator of John Welch, deceased; Edmund Moran, Evan Shelby, Samuel Postlethwait, John Gibson, Richard Winston, Dennis Crohon, William Thompson, Abraham Mitchel, James Dundas, Thomas Dundas, and John Ormsby; in and by their several and respective letters or powers of attorney, duly figned, fealed, and delivered by them, and now produced, interpreted, and explained to us; have conflituted, nominated, and appointed William Trent, of the county of Cumberland, and province of Pennsylvania, merchant, their lawful attorney and agent, to ask, folicit, demand and receive from the fachems, councellors and warriors of the faid Six United Nations, a grant of a tract of land, as a compensation, of atisfaction, or retribution, for the goods, merchandize, and effects of the faid William Trent, and the traders aforefaid, which the Shawneffe, Delaware, and Huron tribes, tributarics of the faid Six Nations, (contrary to all good faith and in violation of their repeated promifes of fafety and protection to their persons, servants, and effects, whilst trading in their country) did in the spring of the year One thousand seven hundred and fixty three, violently feize upon, and unjuftly appropriate to their own use:

And whereas we are now convened in full council, by order of our father, the king of Great Britain, France, and

and Ireland, defender of the faith, &c. at Fort Stanwix, in the province of New York, in order to agree for, afcertain, and finally fix and fettle a fermanent and lasting boundary line between the hunting country, which we, at the conference aforefaid, SHALL and WILL reserve for ourselves, our children, and our tributaries, and the territories of the faid king of Great Britain:

And whereas application was formerly made to the faid Six United Nations by Sir William Johnson, baronet, at the requisition of the aforefaid traders, who had fustained and suffered the losses aforefaid, for a retribution for the same, which the said Six United Nations promised and agreed to, whensever he, the said Six William Johnson, baronet, should be impowered by his said majesty, the king of Great Britain, to establish the boundary line aforesaid:

1-

1:

211

c.

: ::

rip

ho

giv

of,

fold

con

luft

der

Del

the

HXE

brab

2aai

Six

faid.

tions

trout

And whereas the faid Sir William Johnson, baronet, has now at this prefent congress, reminded the said Six United Nations of their faid promife; and at the earnest defire of the aforefaid traders, by their faid attorney, strongly recommended to the faid Six United Nations, to make them a restitution, by a grant of a tract of land to his faid majesty, the king of Great Britain, his heirs and fuccessors; to and for the only use, benefit, and behoof of the faid William Trent, in his own right, and as attorney as aforefaid; all which the faid Six United Nations having taken into their consideration, and being heartily disposed to agree thereunto, as an instance of their justice and concern for the said losses; do therefore by these presents signify, publish, and declare, that notwithstanding the grant and gift bereby made and given by them unto his faid majesty the king of Great Britain, and for forth; [to and for the only,u/-, benefit, and behoof of the faid William Trent, in his own right,

right, and as attorney as affreshiff will be included within the cossion, sale, and boundary line, which the said Six United Nations shall and will make self and grant to the said king of Great Britain, at the conference aforesaid, now holden at Fort Strawix aforesaid, by the said Six William Johnson, baronet:

Yet nevertheless, the faid Six United Nations bave neither asked, d mandeds per received from him the faid Sir William Johnfor, baronet, nor hom'an other perfor or persons in behalf of the faid king of Great Beitain, any confideration for the berein given and related primifes, neither shall nor will the faid Six Vested Nations, nor their heirs var docondants, fand by thefe prefents, they, the faid Six United Novemes, wholle and outside interdist and places the morres to doing) demand, nor receive from the faid king of Great Britoin, nor from his successors, nor from his or their ministers or fervants, any confideration whatfrever or howfoever, for the hereby granted, bargamed. or now given premifes, for any part, purpart, or parcel thereof, the same being their oun countary all' and deed, folely, and bond fide defigned and intended by them as a compensation, satisfaction and retribution for the lots fustained by the faid William Trent, and the Indian traders aforefaid, by the depredations of the Shamnelle, Delaware, and Hursa tribes of Indians aforefaid, in the aforefaid year One thousand feven hundred and uxty-three:

Now this indenture witnesseth, That we the said A-braham, Senngheis, Saquarijera, then anghesta, Tazaaia, and Gaustarax, (chiefs and sate ms of the said Six United Nations, and being and effectually, as aforesaid, representing all the tribes of the Six United Nations) for and in consideration of the sam of eighti-free transant nine hundred and saxteen to the sam of eighti-free

and eight fence, lawful money of the province of New York, (the same being the amount of the goods and merchandize, which were unjustly seized and taken, as aforefaid, by the Shawnesse, Delaware, and Huron tribes of Indians aforesaid, from the said William Trent, Robert Callender, David Franks, Joseph Simons, Levy Andrew Levy, Philip Boyle, John Bavaton, Samuel Wharton, George Morgan, Joseph Spear, Thomas Smallman, Samuel Wharton administrator of John Welch, deceafed; Edmund Moran, Evan Shelby, Samuel Postlethwait, John Gibion, Richard Winston, Dennis Crohon, William Thompson, Abraham Mitchcl. James Dundus, Thomas Dundas, and John Ormthy, in the aforefaid year, One thousand seven hundred and fixty-three, whereof juft and fair accounts have, on oath and affirmation, been produced, interpreted, and explained to us, and which, at our defire, are now lodged and deponded with the faid Sir William Johnson, baronet: And for and in consideration of the fum of five feilings, lawful money aforefaid, to us in hand paid by the faid William Trent, the receipt whereof we do hereby acknowledge, do give, grant, bargain, and fell unto his faid majesty, his heirs and fucceffors, to and for the only uje, benefit, and behoof of the faid William Trent, in his own right, and as attorney aforefaid; all that tract or parcel of land, beginning at the foutheriv file of the mouth of Little Kenhawa Creek, where it empties still into the river Obis, and running from thence fouth east to the Laurel Hill, thence along the Laurel Hill until it firikes the river Monongehela, thence down the fiream of the faid river Monongehela, according to the several courses thereof, to the fouthern boundary line of the province of Pennsylvania: thence westerly, along the course of the faid province boundary line, as far as the same sball extend, and from

ta

th

th

dc

fai

'ccl

eft:

ma

oŧ

of

of.

out

and

the:

all i

land

their

the J

.only

in bi

assign

Saqua

for th

all an

taries,

from thence by the same course to the river Chic, thence down the faid river Ohio, according to the feveral courses thereof, to the place of beginning ; together with all and fingular the trees, woods, under-woods, mines, minerals, ores, waters, water-courses, fishings, fowlings, huntings, protits, commodities, advantages, rights, liberties, privileges, hereditaments, and appurtenances whatfoever, to the faid trace or parcel of land belonging, or in any ways appertaining; or which now are, or formerly have been accepted, reputed, taken, known, used, occupied, or enjoyed, to or with the same, or as part, parcel, or member thereof, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, rents, iffues and profits, of all and fingular the faid premises above mentioned, and every part or parcel thereof, with the appurtenances: And also all the estate, right, title, interest, property, claim, and demand whatforver; whether native, legal or equitable, of us the faid Indians, and each and every of us, and of all and every other person and persons whatsoever. of or belonging to the faid Nations, of, in, to, and out of, all and fingular the premises above mentioned; and of, in, to, and out of, every part and pascel thereof, with the appurtenances; to have and to hold, all and fingular the faid tract, parcel and parcels of land, given, granted and bargained premises, with their appurtenances, unto his faid majesty, king George the Third, his heirs and fucceffors, but to and for the only use, benefit, and behoof of the said William Trent, in his own right, and as attorney aforefaid, his heirs and assigns for ever: And the faid Abraham, Sennghois, Saquarisera, Chenaugheata, Tagaaia, and Gaustarax, for themselves, and for the Six United Nations, and all and every other nation and nations, tribes, tributaries, and dependants on the faid Six United Nations,

and their and every of their posterities, the said tract and parcel of land and premifes, and every part thereof, against them the faid Abraham, Sennghois, Saquarifera, Chenaugheata, Tagaaia, and Gaustarax; and against the faid Six United Nations, and their tributaries and dependants, and all and every of their posterities; to his faid majetty, his heirs and succesfors, but to and for the only uje, benefit, and behoof of the faul William Trent, in his own right, and as attorney aforefaid, his heirs and affigns, shall and will warrant, and for ever defend by these presents: In witnels whereot, we, the faid chiefs and fachens, in behalf of ourselves respectively, and in behalf of the whole Six United Nations atorefaid, have hereunto fer our hands and feals, in the prefence of the persons fubscribing as withesses hereunto, at a congress held at Fort Stanwix aforefail this third day of November, in the ninth year of his majerty's reign, and in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and sixtycight.

Sealed and delivered in? tre prefence of us, William Franklin, gevernor of New Terier. Frederick Smyth, wief juftice of New Jerfey.

Thomas Walker, commifioner for Virginia. fof the

council of Pens-James Tilghman, John Skinner, capt. in the

Richard Peters.

70th regiment. Joseph Chew of Connesticut. John Weatherhead, of New

John Walker, of Virginia. E. Fitch, of Councilicut. Thomas Walker, jun. of Virginia.

John Butler, interpreter for the crown.

The mark of his nation. Abraham, or Celief of (L. S.) the Me-Tyuhanefera, J Farets. The fleel.

The mark of his nation. William, or chief of (1. S.) the Onei-Sennghois, 5 des. The flone?

The mark of his nation. Hendrick, or \ hief of (L.S.) the Taf-Saquaritera, \ careras: The cross.

Bunt, or Che- chief of (I. S.) the Onaugheata, I wondages. The mountain-

The mark of his nation. Tagania, Schief of (L. S.) the Cayugas. I he pipe.

The mark of his nation. coief of (L. S.) the Scar-Gauftarax,

'**و0** 

t

P

,tl

W

ch

m

cil

re

ke

Ŵ

for

wif

inday tract

here-

Sa-

rax;

tri-

their

ccef-

cf of

at-

will

wit-

be-

the

) fet

fons

ld at

r, in

year

xty-

Me-

Ozer

n.

Taj

ain.

g 41.

On the feecnth day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and sixty-nine, before one Itaac Jones, Efq; mayor of the city of Philadelphia, came the Rev. Richard Peters, Rector of the two united churches of Christ Church and St. Peters, in Philadel; hia, one of the subscribing witnesses to the within-written deed, and made oath on the Holy Evangelists of Almighty God, that he was prefent, and did fee the within-named Abraham, or Tyahanesera, a chief of the Michauks, William, or Sennghoise a chief of the Oneidas; Hendrick, or Sagarifera, a chief of the Tuscaroras: Bunt, or Chenaugheata, a chief of the Onondagas; Tagania, a chief of the Cayugas; and Gaustarax, a chief of the Seneral, make the several marks in the within-written deed, against their respective names, placed as the particular mark of each nation; and did also see the faid Abraham, or Tyahanesera; William, or Sennghois; Hendrick, or Saquarifera; Bunt, or Chenaugheata; Tagania, and Gaustarax, respectively seal, and, as their act, and deed, deliver in behalf of themselves, and the several nations which they effectually represented, the within-written deed poll; and that the name Richard Peters, subscribet thereto as a witness of the same, is of his own handwriting: And also, that the faid Richard Peters faw W. Franklin, governor of New Jersey; Fred. Smyth, chief justice of New Jersey; Thomas Walker, commissioner for Virginia; James Tilghman, of the council of Pennsylvania; John Skinner, capt. in the 70th regiment; Joseph Chew, of Connecticut; John Walker, of Virginia; E. Pitch, of Conneclicut; Thomas Walker, jun. of Virginia; John Butler, interpreter for the crown; subscribe their names as witnesses likewife, to the figning, fealing, and delivery of the within-written deed poll. Witness my hand and feal, the day and year aforefaid. I. IONES. (L. S.)

Grant

Grant from the Six United Nations to the King of

To all treather their prefents fault cone, or may concern. We the fachens and chiefs of the Six Confederate Nations, and of the Shawbelle, Delawares, Mingoes of Ohio, and other dependant tribes, on behalf of largelyes and of the reit of our feveral nations, the confederate very few, baronet, his majerty's superintendant of our affair, fend greeting:

Whereas his majesty was graciously pleased to propose to us in the year one thousand seven hundred and sixty-five, that a formularly loss should be fixed between the English and us, to ascertain and establish our limits, and prevent those intrusions and encroachments, of which we had so long and londly complained; and to put a stop to the many feriadulent side intages which had been so often taken of us; which is undary appearing to us as a wife and good measure, we did then agree to a part of a line, and promised to settle the whole sinally, whensever Sir William Johnson should be fully impowered to treat with us for that purpose:

And whereas his faid majetty has at length given Sir William Johnson orders to complete the faid boundary line between the provinces and Indians; in conformity to which orders, Sir William Johnson has convened the chiefs and warriors of our respective nations, who are the true and absolute proprietors of the lands in question, and who are here now to a very considerable number

And whereas many uneafinesses and doubts have arisen amongst us, which have given rise to an apprehension that the line may not be strictly observed on the part of the English, in which case matters may be worse than before; which apprehension, together with the dependant state of some of our tribes; and other circumstances.

were as f

ii

ti

b:

1

15

:h

th

ot

tid

115 **a**no

TH

F51.

Cur

PKI

WI.

OF

TUB !

tinud

ancie

tende

enabl

our

arour

affect

them.

regar

be pro

on ou

contai

ares,

be-

ons,

rned

per-

and

reen

:::::

oť

1 te

had

g to

ts a

llv,

bw-

Sir

arv

1117

the

270

ion,

er

1-

rc-

eł.c

be

her

es.

ircumitances, retarded the fettlement, and became the tubiect of some debate; Sir William Johnson has at uneth fo far fatisfied us upon it, as to induce us to come to an agreement concerning the line, which is now brought to a conclusion, the whole being fully explained to us in a large affembly of our people, before Sir William Johnian, and in the prefence of his Excellency the Governor of New Jerley, the commissioners from the provinces of linginia and Pennfylvania, and fundry other gentlemen; by which line to agreed upon, a cone siderable tract of country along Jeveral provinces, is by us ceded to his faid majefty, which we are induced to. and do hereby ratify and confirm to his faid majed is FROM . THE EXPECTATION and CONTIDENCE we place in his royal goodness, that he will GRACIOL SLY COMPLY with cur HUMBLE REQUESTS, AS THE SAME ARE EX-PRESSED IN THE SPEECH OF THE SEVERAL NATI-ONS, ADDRESSED TO HIS MAJESTY, through SIR WILLIAM JOHNSON, ON TUESDAY THE FIRST DAY OF THE PRISENT MONTH OF NOVEMBER; wherein we have DICLARED OUR EXPECTATIONS of the continuance of his majefty's favor, and our defire that our ancient engagements be observed, and our affairs attended to by the officer who has the management thereof. enabling him to discharge all the matters properly forour interest: That the lands occupied by the Mohocki. around their villages, as well as by any other nation affected by this our ceffion, may effectually remain to them, and to their posterity; and that any engagementsregarding property, which they may now be under, may be profecuted, and our present grants deemed valid on our parts, with the several other humble requests contained in our faid speech:

<sup>\*</sup> The grants which the Six Nations then made, and are here alluded to, were as follow; one to Mr. Trent; ... He to George Croghan, Riq; ... and one to Meffra. Hun, proprietors of the province of Pennfylvania,

And whereas at the fettling of the faid line, it appeared that the line deferihed by his majefiy's order, was not extended to the northward of Owegy, or to the

fout's and Creat Kanhawa river; we have agreed to

and continued the line to the northward, on a supposi-

tion that it was omitted, by reason of our not having

b

pi

u

th

of

ha

BO

гiс

heg

rur

the

fro

wei

gan

bra

caile

alon fide

then

and

10 0

up t

Sufat

fide

the h

da cr

end o

tendin

as the

the w

purcha

the pr

redita

come to any determination concerning its course, at the congress held in one thousand seven hundred and fixtyfive: --- And inafmuch as the line to the northward, became the most necessary of any, for preventing encroachments, on our very towns and residences; and we have given this line more favourably to Pennfylvania for the reasons and considerations mentioned in the treaty: --- We have likewise continued it south to the Cherokee river, because the same is, and we do declare it to be our true bounds with the fouthern Indians, and that we have an undoubted right to the country as far fouth as that river, which makes our cession to his majefly much more advantageous than that proposed: Now, therefore, Know Ye, that we the fachems and chiefs aforementioned, native Indians and proprietors of the lands hereafter described, for and in behalf of ourselves and the whole of our confederacy, FOR THE CONSIDERATIONS HEREIN before mentioned, and also for and in confideration of a valuable prefent of the feveral articles in use amongst Indians, which, together with a large fum of money, amount, in the whole, to the sum of ten thousand four bundred and fixty pounds, feven foillings and three-pence flerling, to us new delivered, and paid by Sir William Mallin, baronet, his majofty's fole agent and fuperintendant of Indian affairs, for the northern department of America, in the name and behalf of our Sovereign Lord George the Third, by the grace of God, of Great Britain, France and Ireland, king, defender of the faile, the recent wbercot

he

to

hg

d

whereof we do hereby acknowledge; we, the faid Indians, have for us, our heirs and fucceffors, granted, bargained, fold, released and confirmed; and by these presents, do grant, bargain, fell, release and confirm, unto our faid tovereign lord king George the Third, all that tract of land fituate-in North America, at the back of the British settlements, bounded by a line which we have now agreed upon, and do hereby establish as the BOUNDARY between us and the British colonies in America; beginning at the mouth of the Cherokee or Hogohege river, where it empties into the river Ohio, and running from thence upwards along the fouth fide of the faid river to hittaning, which is above Fort Pitt; from thence by a direct line to the nearest fork of the west branch of Susquebanna, thence through the Allegany mountains, along the fouth fide of the faid west branch, till it comes opposite to the mouth of a creek cailed Tiadaghton; thence across the west branch. and along the fouth fide of that creek, and along the north fide of Burnet's Hells, to a creek called Awandae; thence down the same to the east branch of Susquehanna, and across the same, and up the east side of that river, to Owegy, from thence east to Delaware river, and up that river to opposite where Tianaderha falls into Susquehanna; thence to Tianaderba, and up the west fide thereof and the west side of its west branch, to the the head thereof; and thencerby a direct line to Canada creek, where it empties into Wood creek, at the west end of the carrying place beyond Fort Stanwix and extending castward from every part of the faid line, as far as the lands formerly purchased, so as to comprehend the whole of the lands between the faid line, and the purchafed lands or fettlements, except what is within the province of Pennsilvania, together with all thesheredictations and apparatomates to the fame belonging

or appertaining, in the fullest and most ample manner: and all the estate, right, title, interest, property, posfession, benefit, claim, and demand, either in law or equity, of each and every of us, of, in, or to the fame. or any part thereof; To have and to hold the whole lands and premises hereby granted, bargained, fold, released, and confirmed as aforefaid, with the hereditaments and and appurtenances thereunto belonging; UNDER THE RESERVATIONS MADE IN THE TREATY, unto our faid fovereign lord King George the third, his heirs and fuccessors, to and for his and their own proper use and behoof, for ever. In witness whereof, we, the chiefs of the confederace, have hereunto fet our marks and feals, at Fort Stanwix, the fifth day of November one thousand seven hundred and fixty-eight, in the ninth year of his Majesty's reign. The mark of his nation.

Signed, fealed, and deli-? vered in the prefence of. Wm. Franklin, governor of New Jersey. Frederick Smyth, chief juftice of New Jerfey. Thomas Walker, commission

oner for Virginia. of the Richard Peters, council James Tilghman,

of Pers-

Abraham, or chief of (L.S.) the Mohocks. The fleel. Tyahaneiera, 5 Hendrick, or chief (L.S.) of the Tu-Saquarifera, fearoras. The stone. Saquarifera, 5 Conahquieso, Schief (L. S.) of the O-Conanquicio. ? neidas. The cross. :
Bont, or Che-? chief (L. S.) of the Onaugheata, I nondagas. The mountain. Tagaaia, Schief (L. S.) of the Cayu-Gaullarax, Schief (L. S.) of the Sene-The pipe.

۲í

"

"

66

. ((

€€.

William of the s

publick the gran

which w

At a Congress held at Fort Stanwik with the several Nations of Indians, Saturday, November the 3th, 1768, Present, as at the last Meeting.

The deed to bis Majeffy .-- one to the Proprietors of Pennsylvania, and the one to the Traders, being then laid on the table, were executed in the presence of the Governor of New Jersey, The, Commissioners, of Virginia

B. The grant to Mr. Gogles was implicated by Mr. Maker con ir for Virginia, and the fame persons in application, this come witness to the above deed to the King and the cont to

and Pennsylvania, and the rest of of the Gentlemen. present.\* After which, the Chiefs of each nation received the cash, which was piled on a table for that purpose, and then proceeded to divide the goods amongst their people, which occupied the remainder of the day.

At a Congress held at the German Flats, in the province of New York, with the Six United Nations of Indians, in July 1770, by Sir William Johnson, Baronet, his Majesty's sole agent and superintendant of Indian affairs, &c. &c. Sir William Johnson spoke to them

" Brothers,

ier;

of-

or

me,

nds ed,

bni

HE

ur

irs er he

ks

er

he

[o-:

"The King my master having received all the papers and proceedings relative to the great treaty at " Fort Stanwix, together with the deed of ceffion. " which you then executed to him, has laid them be-66 fore his council of great men, and after confidering the whole of them has fignified to me. (which I " hope will be deemed a farther instance of his pater-" nal goodness,) that he did not require the land so far to the fouthward, as the Cherokee river, if it was of the least inconvenience to hischildren, whose interest he has so much at heart. He has, at length, in " compliance with your own defires, as transmitted by me, and the public grant that you made, impow-" cred me to ratify the same, by letters I have received " from the lord (the Earl of Hillsborough) who is one " of his Majesty's secretary's (holding his lordship's " letter in his hand,) which I now propose to do. "You all know the deed of cession, of which this (shewing a copy) is a true copy; you all know it

The deed to the traders had been executed two days before, but Sig. William Josefer and the Commissioner; recommended, that the chief; on the Six Nations, who had figned it, should carry it into Congress, and on his beautiful and the chief; publickly acknowledge the execution of it, at the fame time they executed the execution of it, at the fame time they executed the execution of the public beautiful to the fame of the public beautiful to the fame of the public beautiful to the pub the grant to the King of England, and the Proprietors of Pennsylvania;

" was executed in a public meeting of the greatest number of Indians, that has ever been affembled, within

the time of our remembrance, and that all who had

"any pretentions were duly fummoned to it."

To which the Six Nations answered;

" Brother Goragh Warraighiyagey, We are now met together in full council, to an-" fwer you concerning what you last spoke to us, a-" bout the King's having received our deed, and the " proceedings at Fort Stanwix, with his ratification of " the fame, with which we are highly pleafed. When " we met you to so great a number, in so publick a " manner at Fort Stanwix, we skould hardly have affed " as we did, unless we had been possessed of a full " RIGHT so TO DO. We now defire that you may

" affure the King, that it was our property we justly 66 disposed of, and that we had full authority so

Counsellor Dagge of London, his Opinion on the Indian Grant of Lands to William Trent and others.

THE writers on jurisprudence agree in the proposition, "That the original right to all kinds of proer perty arose from preoccupancy, and that in a state of " nature every one might possess' himself of, and re-" taig any vacant subject." The first occupant had a right to grant, cede or transfer the subject, he had possessed himself of, to such persons, and upon such terms, as he thought proper; and if before fuch grant, cession or transfer, the occupant died, his property descended to his children. The right of transmitting property always refided in the owner, and civil inftitutions only prescribed the mode of carrying that right into effect. In that period of fociety, when countries were formed, and their boundaries fixed, we find that

CO

CO fide

tru by

different districts were appropriated to the native owners, the sirst occupants, or in case of vacant, or derelict lands, to the first discoverers. From these original proprietors, each country was transmitted to other proprietors, by the consent, or by the conquest of the present owners.

In the cafe under confideration, I am of opinion. that the Indians of the Six Nations appear to have been entitled to the lands in question from preoccumency, or from conquest; but however their right accrued, they are acknowledged, in express words by the deed of cession to the crown, made at Fort Stanwix, November 5th, 1768, to have been at that time " the true " and absolute proprietors of the lands in question;" and fo they were also acknowledged to be in the publick negociations between England and France, in the year 1755, and so also, as is stated in the case, several treaties of peace, and commerce entered into with particular nations, or tribes of Indians, by the English nation, confider and treat the natives, or occupiers, as the lawful possessions, and owners of the countries they respectively occupy.

The deed of ceffion to the crown, on the 5th of November, 1768, was made in confideration of 104601.
75. 3d. sterling, then paid to the Six United Nations, and for other confiderations mentioned and expressed in that deed, and the treaties previous and preparatory to it. This deed of ceffion, the conveyance to Mr. Trent, and the treaties in 1765 and 1768, must be confidered together, as they all tend to Mustrate the whole transaction, and explain the intention of the contracting parties: And taking the whole under confideration, it appears, that the Six United Nations were truly sensible of the great loss the traders had sustained by the treachery and depredations of some of their triansaction.

butary or dependant tribes; that a regulfition was mad: to the Six United Nations in May, 1765, for a grant of land, by way of compensating in some measure for the damages the traders had fuffulned, by the depredations of their dependent or tributary Indians; that the Six Nations, after deliberating from the 2d of May, they " when this requilition was made, to the 6th of May, they agreed that the refuel of the treders levald be complied with, And they would gram them tobic lands near Fort Pitt: that at the Congress and Treaty in November, 4 768, held at Fort Standin, the Chiefs and Sachems of the Six United Nations again took this matter under their confideration, and upon the first day of that month expressly declared, that "in order to shew that "they love justice, they expected that the traders who " fuffered-by fome of their dependants in the war five years fince, might have a grant for the lands they then gave them down Chio, as a fatisfaction for " their loiles."

On the 3d day of the same month of November, the Six Nations, by their Sachems, and Chiefs, executed the conveyance to Mr. Trent, which proceeds upon a recital, that he had been impowered by feveral letters of attorney from the fuffering traders named in the faid deed, to ask, folicit, demand and receive from the Six Nations a grant of a tract of land, as a satisfaction, compensation and retribution for the goods, merchandize and effects of the faid William Trent, and of the feveral traders, which the faid Shawnefe, Delaware and Huron tribes, tributaries of the faid Six Nations, (contraty to all good faith, and in violation of their repeated promises of safety and protection to the traders, their fervants and effects, while trading in their country) did violently feize upon, and unjustly appropriate to their own use.

The

fg

his

ou!

in

tra

his

the

Tre

his

ance

man

Ner b

Penn

the C

uevai

of fol

1.768

of ce

upon

ans e

(which

of Nov

with t

 $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}}$ 

mad:

gran:

c for

eda-

t the

they

they

blied

Fort

ber,

ems

der

hat

hat

vho

five

hcy

for

he

ed

a

rs

id

; x;

The deed then declares, that in confideration of the fum of £ 85016: 1: 8, lawful money of the province of New York, the fame being the amount of the goods and merchandize, which were unjustly feized and taken from the traders as aforefaid, whereof just and fair accounts, on oath and affirmation, had been produced, interpreted and explained to them, and which, at their defire, were then lodged and deposited with the said Sir William Johnson.

The Chiefs and Sachems of the faid Six United Nations, for the faid confiderations, and in confideration of five shillings, did give, grant, bargain and fell unto his Majesty, his heirs and successors, to and for the only use, benefit and behoof of the faid William Trent, in his own right, and as attorney aforefaid, all that, tract of land described in the conveyance, to hold unto his Majesty, his heirs and successors, but to and for the only use, benefit and behoof of the said William Trent, in his own right, and as attorney aforefaid, his heirs and affigns for ever. This deed of conveyance feems to have been executed in the most publick manner, in the presence of the King's Governor of New Jersey, and the Commissioners from Virginia and Pennsylvania, and feveral other persons who attended the Congress, and attested the execution of this conveyance, which, by that means, received every degree of folemnity and fanction.

On the 5th day of the same month of November, 1768, the said Chiefs and Sachems executed their deed of cession to his Majesty, of a large tract of country upon the river Ohio. In this deed of cession the Indians expressly stipulated, that their present grants, (which words are explained in the minutes of Congress of November 5th, where the traders deed is mentioned with the other grants then made) "should be deemed valid

walld on their parts," and they convey to the King, not only in confideration of the prefent then made, and the money then paid by the King, amounting to £ 10460: 7: 3; but a so for the other confiderations in the deed before mentioned, and the Haberdum is to the King, his heirs and fucce for a probe the RESERVATION MADE IN THE TRANSPORT the Conveyance to Mr. Trent.

Upon the whole I am of opinion, that Mr. Trent, in his own right, and as attorney for the traders, hath a good, lawful and fufficient title to the land granted by the faid deed and conveyance, subject only to the King's sovereignty over the settlements to be established thereon, and over the inhabitants, as English subjects.

Henry Dagge.

Lincoln's Inn, March 20, 1775.

Serjeant Clyn, the late RECORDER of the city of London's Opinion.

I Entirely concur with Mr. Dagge in his opinion, on The property of the foil conveyed to Mr. Trent, for himfelf and as attorney, was certainly in the Six Nations, and as incident to that property, they had a power of alienating and transferring, in any manner, or to any persons, unless they had been restrained by their own laws: In this case, the supreme power of the country resided in the sellers, who had therefore an absolute power of alienating; and the transaction being fair and open, and for the express purpose of doing an act of public justice, must bind the Six Nations in good faith. If we suppose, that the fovereignty of the land still remains in the Six Nations, the property of the foil must be in the grantee, Mr. Trent, and cannot, without violence and injustice, be taken re th lav

frd the for ftr

fer fire day righ ime land

pro crov the fhot pert

gran

of th

of Ei U of co fuffici

> SE 7

the la

Giyn,

Philad

taken from him. The very act, however, of the fovereign power of the Six Nations admits a capacity in the grantee, to take under the deed according to their laws or usage; and there is no law, that I ever heard of, that restrains the subjects of the crown of England from purchasing in foreign dominions. The intention of the parties here appears to have been to transfer the fovereignty to the crown of England, by the same inftrument whereby the land was conveyed. This transfer of the fovereignty is effectual; it passed, and is confirmed by the deed of cession to the crown, dated two days after; but subject however to all the antecedent rights of property; the crown being entitled only to the immediate property of vacant and unappropriated lands; but in this case, the lands are abandoned by the proprietors, and conveyed to the grantee. If the crown had an original fovereignty, still the foil being the property of the Six Nations, they, and their alience, should be protected by that fovereignty in their property. If the crown acquired the fovereignty by the grant to Mr. Trent, or by the deed of cession, the title of the grantee is then under the protection of the laws of England.

Upon the whole I am of opinion, that in every way of confidering this case, the grant to Mr. Trent is good, sufficient and lawful; and is under the protection of the laws of England.

John Glynn.

SERJEANT'S INN, April 13, 1775.

The ingenious Dr. Benjamin Franklin's Opinion.

HAVING long fince carefully studied these points,
I concur fully with Counsellor Dagge and Serjeant
Giyn, in their opinions as above delivered.

B. Franklin,

Philadelphia, July 12th, 1775.

His Excellency Patrick Henry, late Governor of Virginia's Opinion.

ROM principles, which appear to me very clear, I concur in the above opinions. P. Henry, jun.
Philadelphia, July 29th, 1775.

The opinion of Edmund Pendleton Esquire formerly speaker of the house of delegates of Virginia and one of its representatives in the Congress of the United States, and at present, one of the judges of the high court of appeal for that State.

Was always of opinion, that a purchase of lands made by a British subject of the Indians without the fanction of government, was wholly ineffectual to pais a title; and had Colone! Croghan's purchase from the Six Nations of lands on the Ohig and its hranches, stood upon that ground only, I should have thought, he acquired no title thereby; but when in the treaty at Fort Stanwix, for a cession of some Indian lands to the crown, including those they had contraded to well to Colonel Croghan, the Indians disclosed that contract, and infifted on a reservation of that land, to which the commissioners for the crown consented, and were witnes-Aes to a deed which the Chiefs then executed for conveying the title to the King for his use, this made it a government transaction, and gave Mr. Croghan a good title to the land against the crown, in whom the title of vacant lands was then supposed to be, and from whom all land titles were to be derived to the members of the community, and of course against the community themselves.

I am therefore of opinion, that the title of Colonel Croghan, or those claiming under him, cannot be impeached, without establishing principles, which must destroy every other grant from the crown, under the former constitution.

Edmund Pendleton.

Virginia, July 19, 1777.

abo
to
Mo
fitar
it w
fon
ed tha
faid
trea
did
clea
doub
faid
four

chief acco ted wheir the fithe rathe howe ban's jection

made

no de

cafe

bate

Virg:ear, I

(peakits retates, court

thout opais the itood e ac

lands

Fort the ill to tract,

b the mefcon-

it a good le of nom :

the

lonel imde-

demer The Opinion of James Mercer, Esq; a Counsellor at Law in Virginia, and one of the late Delegates in Congress, for that State.

[TPON confideration of the deed of confirmation of the 4th of November, 1768, from Abraham, Tyahanarcsera and others, fix Chiefs of the Six Nations. to Colonel George Groghan, for three tracks of land on Monongehela and Ohio, and confidering the circumstances attending of making fuch a deed, to wit, that it was made at a public treaty at Fort Stanwix, in prefence of the Commissioners of the Crown, who attended the execution of the deed, and approved the fame. that the Chiefs did, by a talk publickly delivered to the faid Commissioners, declare, that the whole of that treaty should be considered as void, unless his Majesty did confirm their deed to Colonel Croghan, ---- I am clearly of opinion, that Colonel Croghan has an undoubted title to the lands contained in the deed aforefaid, provided they lie in Virginia; --- and my opinion is founded on principles, which differ this case from the case of Mr. Henderson and others, which are now combated by this State.

It is acknowledged on all hands, that Indians by their Chiefs have a power to fell, and convey their lands, accordingly government has heretofore uniformly treated with Indian Chiefs for the purchase of the lands of their nation, and have purchased and accepted deeds for the same. The policy of government has however disputed the right of individuals accepting grants from Indians, the legality of this principle, or its policy need not however be now considered, as I consider Colonel Crogban's deed from the facts above stated, free of any objection that can arise from either; for this deed being made to his Majesty his heirs and successors, there is no doubt, but there is a grantee capable of accepting,

and its being made at a public treaty with the knowledge, and approbation of the commissioners in whom the crown was represented, any objection from policy must fail, however this deed has still further support from the act of his Majesty. Its contents were reported among the other proceedings of the treaty, and the talk aforementioned alfo, by which the whole treaty was to be deemed void, unless his Majesty did confirm this deed; his Majesty approved the treaty, and promifed to comply with it; furely then this deed, as part was confirmed, however I do not confider an express engagement of his Majesty to fulfil this deed, as necesfary to be proved--- for as he knew of the deed, and the conditions annexed, unless his Majesty from some public act of notoriety, disapproved the treaty, and deed. I am of opinion he thereby confented to confirm the deed, and hold the lands therein described, in trust for Colonel Croghan according to the terms of the deed. James Mercer

July 22d, 1779.

On the 25th of July, 1776, Colonel George Morgan received a funtmons to attend John Harvy, Charles Simms, James Wood and Abraham Hite, Efgrs. Commissioners appointed by the Convention of Virginia, to attend them at Pittsburgh, the 2d of September following. These Commissioners were authorized to collect, and commit to writing, evidence in behalf of the state of Virginia, against the several persons claiming sands within its supposed territory and limits, under deeds and purchases from the Indians.

On the 1st of October, 1776, the Proprietors of Indiana (or the traders grant) transmitted the following memorial to the House of Delegates of Virginia.

but

fait

mif

o£

COII

cauf

Pro

of fe

the r

tions

of th

fuftai

trade

2 tres

confid

of the

most a

of Sir

they th

ÖWDER

onci.

the da

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

is knowin whom m policy iupport reported and the treaty konfirm ind proas part express ta neceje and the ກະ ວັນວັd deed. irm the

> e Mor-Charles Comnia, to er fol-

 $\sin (irin)$ 

actio.

Merser

to colof the aiming

under

ors of ollow-

To the Representatives of the Freemen of the State of Virginia, in General Assembly met

The Memorial of the Proprietors of a tract of land on the Chie, called Indiana,

Respectfully Theweth,

THAT in the year 1763, the Shawaeje, Delivere and Huron tribes of Indians, dependants and tributaries of the Six United Nations, contrary to the faith of treaties, and in violation of their repeated promises of protection to the persons, servants and effects of such traders, as should supply them, in their own country, with merchandize, did, without the least cause, most unjustly and violently seize upon, and appropriate to their own use, the merchandize and effects of several of your memorialists and others, under whom the rest of your memorialists legally claim.

That upon application made to the Six United Nations, by Sir William Johnson, baronet, at the request of the faid traders, for a reparation of the damages fustained by the depredations and violence so committed, they did agree to make a compensation to the said traders; and in ratification of the faid agreement, at a treaty held at Fort Stanwix, in the year 1768, in confideration of the fum of £ 85916: 10: 8, the mount of the value of the damages fo fusiained, for which the most authentic documents were produced, and the justice of them allowed, and were deposited in the hands of Sir William Johnson, at the request of the grantors, they the faid Six United Nations, the true and absolute owners and proprietors of the lands hereafter mentioned, did grant to the faid traders, as a retribution for the damages aforesaid, all that tract of land, begrands at the foutherly fide of the mouth of Little Kelling Greek, where it empties itself into the river the rouning from thence fouth east to the Board Hill:

thence along the Laurel Hill, until it strikes the river Monongehela; thence down the stream of the said river Monongehela, according to the several courses thereof, to the southern boundary line of the province of Penn-sylvania; thence westerly along the course of the said province boundary line, as far as the same shall extend, and from thence by the same course to the river Ohio; thence down the said river Ohio, according to the several courses thereof, to the place of beginning.

That the faid grant was obtained, after mature confideration of the grantors, under the immediate superintendance of Sir William Johnson, and executed in the presence of the Governor of New Jersey, and others, among whom was the Commissioner then attending the general treaty, in behalf of the colony of Virginia. All which will appear manifest by a reference to a copy of the deed annexed to this memorial.

That your Memorialists having these facts before them, could not observe but with concern, that the convention of Virginia had, by their resolve of the 24th of June last, laid a foundation for calling in question, among others, the validity of the title of your memorialists to the lands so fairly, and with so much justice, granted to them, and for obstructing them in the sale and disposition of their own property. First, Because the retribution made to your memorialists.

First. Because the retribution made to your memorialists was made in the most public and solemn manner, and could or ought not to have been a matter unknown to the convention.

Secondly. Because it originated not only in the firmest principles of justice, established by the laws of nature and nations, but in the soundest policy.

Thirdly. Because it had been made by the persons, who have been acknowledged in all public and general treaties, and truly were, at the time of the grant, the

fol of Aı

was pro fro

of futu equi hefit defe

fide, not,

in an formation for the second second

Ou

prieto from a with, ans, a all cir. have co

hended from the and alfo the fout cil had

of the ping to

fole owners and proprietors of the lands granted, and of confequence having full right to grant the fame.--And---

Fourthly. Because at the time of the grant, there was no sublisting statute, law or ordinance whatsoever, prohibiting persons from accepting a grant of land-from the natives.

And yet, if after what has been offered, the title of your memorialists should notwithstanding, at any future time, be called into discussion, conscious of the equity and validity of their right, they shall never hesitate to submit it to a proper judicatory, nor to defend it in the ordinary course of justice.

Under these circumstances your memorialists confide, that the legislature of the colony of Virginia will not, by any act or proceeding whatever, impeach, or in any respect prejudice the title of your memorialists, so well established on the principles of reason, equity and policy.

Our readers will now have feen the title of the proprietors of the tract of land, called Indiana, deduced from a treaty, on the part of the King of Great Britain with, and grants from the Six United Nations of Indians, as well to the King, as to these Proprietors, and all circumstances attending the same. They will also have collected from the foregoing pages, that the state of Virginia fets up pretentions to all the lands comprehended within the boundaries of the country purchased from the Six nations by the fame King at Fort Stanwix; and also to all the territory extending from thence to the fouth sea. The legislature of Virginia, after council had been heard in the bouse of delegates, on behalf of the proprietors of Indiana, (the senate house refusing to permit council to speak) passed an ex post facture, and declared their title to be void, and of

P

h:

pr

za

ga

an

tio

per

faci

to

con

STE

bala

ful t

CODE

aiqo

for

Rig

" tie

the c

called

artick "ing "the

conf

no effection----Colonel George Mason, a member of the house of delegates, (with another gentleman who did not act were appointed " to manage the interests of the " commonwealth of Virginia," upon this occasion; and the fubitantial parts of his chief arguments (as taken in writing By a gentleman\* who attended the house) were as follow: But before we enter into the examination of them, we earnestly defire, that it may be understood by the good people of that state, we do not mean, by any observations we shall make, to cast the flightest imputation upon them, or upon the gentlemen who fo honorably diffinguished themselves in the house of delegates, by proposing measures for doing justice to the proprietors of Indiana. This, we declare, is the farthest from our thoughts, as we entertain the highest respect for the restitude, wisdom and virtue of the good people of Virginia at large; --- our strictures are intended and shall only be pointed against the arguments of a very few artful, self-interested and designing men.

Colonel Majon infifted greatly upon political expediency and the falus popula.—These are very dangerous positions, and were most arbitrarily supported against every principle of reason, justice and law, by the house of delegates of Virginia, instituting itself into a court, for the determination of private property, (refusing at the same time to let the ordinary courts of justice hear and decide it) and sitting as judges in a case, where they were plaintists, giving judgment against the defendants, and causing an ex post satio law to be made, vacating the title of the proprietors of Indiana. This was despotism in the highest degree, and especially, as that house

William Irent, Elg; one of the Members of the Honorable Honfe of Affembly of the State of New Josep.

<sup>†</sup> Whoever violates primate property, or leffens or enlargers it. The most Sense fays, that he is in successive to his country; and Publick Spirit fays that he should feet its modeline. Gordon, well 2.

ber of the an who did erests of the assistant (as taken the house) he examinay be unwe do not

we do not to cast the gentlemen the house gjustice to re, is the he highest the good es are inguments ling menal expediangerous d against

he houfe

a court, fuling at

tice hear

ere they

endants, ating the espotism at house in

e House of

Cale mon pirit fays, in behalf of Virginia, fetupa claim to the lands in question and were of course parties themselves to the cause, which they thus passed judgment upon, and thereby desiroyed private right, as far as their power extended.

From the baneful doctrine of political expediency have arisen evils of the greatest magnitude, in every age and country. The motives for the flamp ad and the present war can be accounted for upon the same principle.—It is a doctrine, which harraned and grievously oppressed the subjects of England, in the reigns of Elizabeth, James the First, and Charles the First;——it gave birth to soip money and star chamber imprisonments and numerous other cruel acts of tyranny and imposition;——it generated Sylla's proscriptions, made Casar perpetual dictator, and produced the present ex post sattle law.

Mr. Hume, when treating of subjects exactly similar to this, justly remarks, that " though fome inconveniences may arise from the maxim of ADHERING STRICTLY TO LAW, yet the advantages fo much overbalance them, as should render the English for ever grateful to the memory of their ancestors, who after repeated contests, at last established that noble principle;" --- of this opinion too were the freemen of Virginia, in 1776; for it is expressly provided in the Declaration of RIGHTS, " which ought to belong to them and their " posterity, and ought to be regarded as the founda-" tion and basis of their government;" (Rights which the citizens of the several states, though ignominiously called and treated as foreigners, are entitled to by the articles of confederation ) that " in controversies respecting property, and in fuits between man and man,the antient trial by jury is preferable to any other, MAND OUGHT TO BE HELD SACRED?"--- and in the configution, or form of government of Virginia, made

his

Col

thd

Le.

In

no

In

mai hin

whi

t led

exil

was

colo

tants and

eomn Great

peers law;-

of th

great

neith

possess

men,

fhall

made

lege?"

to giv

enjoyn

a jury

protect

vation

the 6th of May, 1776, it is declared, as one of the reasons, which induced the people of that state to seperate from Great Britain, because, they were deprived of the benesit of proceeding BYJURY."---And Mr. Hume further remarks, --- among all CIVILIZED nations, it has been the constant endcayour to remove every thing arbitrary and partial, from the decision of property; and the celebrated Mr. Locke says, that it is a mistake to think, the supreme or legislative power of any commonwealth can do what it will, and dispose of the subjects property arbitrarily, or take away part of them at pleadure. The legislative power, he says, is to govern by promulgated, established laws, NOT TO BE VARIED in particular cases, but to have one rule for rich and poor, for the favorite at court, and the countryman at plough.

The reason, he subjoins, why men enter into socicty, is the preservation of their property; and the end, why they choose and authorize a legislative, is that there may BE LAWS made, and rules fet, as guards and fences to the properties of the members of the fociety; and it is also very aptly observed by a learned author,\* that the most cautious man in the world cannot, with all his circumspection, provide against a law, that may be made afterwards. If it be once drawn into practice, to deprive men of their properties by laws, ex post facto, there is an end of justice. When Magna Charta says, Nemo amittat feudum suum, nisi par judicium parium, aut leges terræ; -- Let no man lofe his frechold, but by the judgment of his peers, or the laws of the land; it means the fettled common law of England, not ftatutes made, to take away private property, or to punifo after crimes. These are the laws, our author affects, that we are to have a regard to, and no one need, in a well ordered government (and indeed cannot) extend

Vindication of the fabjects right. London printed in 170

the

fe-

ved .

Иr.

ns,

ry

ty:

to

**m-**

775

by

his caution beyond them. The law, fays my Lord Coke, is the furest fanctuary that a man can take, and the strongest fortress to protect the weakest of all .----Lex est tutissima cassis, et sub clypeo legis nemo decipitur.\* In the laws abroad,\* it is a fettled maxim, that we use no caution, but what the promulgated laws prescribe.---In short, adds our author, he is a wife and cautious man, who is as wife and cautious as the law requires him to be; for he that is not fure of the inheritance, which he has in the law, cannot be faid to live in a fettled and free flate, or under the government of law, existing at the time he acquired his right ----- And it was declared unanimously by the Congress of the united, colonies of North America, in 1774, that the inhabitants of them are entitled to life, liberty and property, and that the respective colonies are also entitled to the common law of England, and more especially to the great and inestimable privilege of being tried by their peers of the vicinage, according to the course of that law; ---- and in the address of Congress to the inhabitants of the province of Quebec, it is observed, that the next great right is that of trial by jury. This provides, that neither life, liberty, nor property can be taken from the peffeffor, until twelve of his unexceptionable countrymen, and peers of his vicinage, upon a full trial had, shall give in their verdict .-- Was the happy revolution made to diminish " this great and inestimable privilege?" God forbid .--- It was made, among other things, to give the greatest fecurity to the people, in the enjoyment of it. Learned and upright judges, with a jury of twelve men, are the furest defence, and protection, against all encroachment upon, and invasion of private property.-----The excellency of this

<sup>\*</sup> Coke, ad part, fullo 56.

this part of the constitution shines with the brightest lustre, when it is considered, that the people are thereby delivered from the miserable situation of those, whose right has been scandalously invaded and adjudged by men, acting from fluctuating and local politicks, discretion, or expediency. My Lord Coke pathetically "ADMONISHED Partiaments, that instead of this "ORDINARY and PRECIOUS Trial, PAR LEGEM TERRE, they bring not in absolute and partial "Trials, BY DISCRETION."

But we forbear to cite further authorities, --- enough have been produced to shew the total infecurity to all property, wherever the pernicious and ruinous doctrine of expediency, and ex post fasto laws prevail .----And we trust our impartial readers will with us in faying, that the validity of the title of the proprietors of Indiana, ought not to have been decided by modern ideas, but fuch as prevailed at the time of its creation; and as it was good under the crown of England, it ought certainly to have had the same effect under the republic of Virginia. A contract made in China, or any other foreign country, would be determined in a court of justice, by the laws of the country, where the contract took its rife. In like manner, the grant in question ought to have been fettled by the laws in being at the time of its being made, and not by an ex post facto law.

Colonel Mason also insisted, that the commonwealth of Virginia had the right of pre-emption of all lands within its chartered boundaries.—We answer, there is no act of the legislature, that gives that state such a right.—There is indeed a law, that says, purchases shall not be made of tributary Indians, but the Six United Nations having never been tributary either to the colony, or state of Virginia, this act of course cannot destroy

any cessions made by them. On the contrary, it most firongly operates to the acknowledgment of the validity of their grants; and as to boundaries derived from a charter made one hundred and fixty-nine years ago to the London Company, " to the diffolution of which, " they filently acquiesced and submitted," it is too ridiculous to deserve serious notice. Where, however, is the original charter? Can Virginia produce it to found her claim upon?---No. It remained in England, and was, with the original court books, taken from the " company by the King and Privy Council of that king-" dom, and never again returned to them.";

Mr. Stith, a native of Virginia, also says in his history of that colony, printed at Williamsburgh, in 1737, " that of the two first of the following charters, (viz. " the charter of 1606 and the one of 1609) I have " feen FOUR copies; one among the oldest records in " the Secretary's office, much-mangled and defaced, another in the council office, tolerably legible; a " third in a very fair book of records, which belongs, " as I take it, to the house of burgesses and the fourth, most correct, I found among Sir John Randelph's papers, transcribed by his clerk, and collated, " as I suppose, from different copies. However, I can venture to affure the reader, that the edition, which I have given by the help of the two last mentioned copies, is still fuller and more correct, than any of them. Of the third charter, I have never met with but one copy, likewise in Sir John Randolph's col-" lection of state papers. It is pretty correctly trans fcribed, and I hope it will be found, WITH THE NECESSARY EMENDATIONS I have made in it, very complear and exact. These are all the king sebar. ters to the COMPANT, that I have been able to find, State Hillory of Virginia.

" although they are certainly not all he granted; for " many things are mentioned in the company's records, " as drawn from their charters, which are nevertheless " not to be found in them." --- Which of these copies of charters does Virginia rely upon? Is it the one described by our author, as much mangled and defaced? or on the one tolerably legible? or the one faid to be found in a fair copy of records? or the one transcribed and collated by Sir John Randolph's clerk, (as Mr. Stith supposed) from different copies, and which he affirms was most correct? or the one, that this gentleman remarks, with the necessary emendations he has made, very compleat and exact .-- Here then is a choice of difficulties! We submit the decision of that choice to those whom it may concern; it being of little importance to the candid, honest or judicious citizens of Virginia, or of any of the other states in union, ---- for " either Virginia stands in fuccession to the London company, to whom the charter was granted, or to the crown of England. " If to the London company, then it becomes her, as an outset in the matter, to shew who they were, and " likewise, that they were in possession at the com-" mencement of the revolution. If to the crown, then the charter is of consequence superceded; beso cause the crown did not possess territories by charter, but by prerogative without charter. The no-"tion of the crown chartering to itself, is a nullity; and in this case, the unpossessed lands, be they little or much, are in the same condition as if they never had been chartered at all; and the fovereignty of them devolves to the fovereignty of the United " States."+ Colonel

a

va

ge ati

"

he

not

unv

Had

did

vari

fuar

tion

the f

**ftate** 

jects

are.-

Colo

tion t

river

T

Mr. Stith observes, that the diffulction of the company " was an event certainly of benefit and advantage to the country, (Virginia) as we, in America, find by experience, that it is better to be under a royal government, than in the bands of preprietors in what finape or manner forver."

Public Good, princed in 1781.

for

ds.

less

s of

lib-

or

nd

nd

ith

ms

re-

ry

es!

it

d,

he

in

he

a

Colonel Mason next infifted, that the King had a right only to purchase as a trustee for the use of the state of Virginia, and the sale to the proprietors of Indiana was bad, as it was made to Foreigners. Our indignation is provoked at fuch an invidious expression. It was very early indeed in the revolution, to find fuch injurious distinctions made between the citizens of Virginia and those of the other states; --- and especially in a trial of landed property, in which freemen of the feveral governments of Rhode Island, New York, Pennsylvania and Maryland, were concerned. Did not the gentleman know, that by the articles of the confederation and perpetual union, it is expressly provided, "That the better to fecure and perpetuate mutual " friendship and intercourse among the people of the " different states in this union, the free inhabitants of " all the states shall be entitled to all privileges and im-" munities of free citizens in the several states?" Will he venture to fay, that at that time these articles were not compleated, on account of Maryland refifting the unwarrantable claim of Virginia to all the back lands? Had not the latter state then signed the articles, and did it not affent, by it's delegates in Congress, to a vast variety of acts done by that honorable body, in purfuance of them.

The following facts will, however, shew the appellation of foreigners was not a casual expression, but that the state of Virginia considered the citizens of the other states in consederation, as much foreigners as the subjects of Great Britain, or those of the Great Mogulare.—On the 16th of October, 1779, Lieutenant Colonel Charles Simms, a Kirginian, presented a petition to the house of delegates of Virginia, sexing forth, That he had a claim for 2961 acres of land, on the fiver Ohio and Raccoon creek, which proceeded from a purchase

purchase from George Croghan; and his (the latter's) title was founded upon a grant from the Six Nations of Indians. (It is exactly similar to that of the proprietors of Indiana, and secured by the treaty of Fort Stanwix.) Mr. Croghan conveyed these lands to Alexander Ross and William Dunbar, and they sold them to Colonel Simms.

On the 19th of October, the Committee of Propofitions and Grievances of Virginia, Resolved, and the House of Delegates of that state agreed to the same, "That it is the opinion of this Committee, that the se memorial of Lieutenant Colonel Simms, praying, that his title to 2001 acres of land, lying on the 66 Ohio river, (which he purchased of Alexander Ross and William Dunbar) may be confirmed, having been 66 prevented from improving the faid lands, as by law is required, by being in the continental army ever fince the year 1776; and that he might obtain es grants for the faid lands, upon paying the usual composition money, under the former government, is reasonable."\*--- And accordingly, an act of assembly passed under the title of an " All for granting warrants to Charles Simms, Gentleman, to furvey "certain lands." +-- Here we fee, that Colonel Simms's purchase of 2961 acres of land, derived from Mr. Crogban's title from the Six Nations, was confirmed to him; --- and encouraged from hence to believe, that the same justice would be rendered to others, holding under the same right, Mesirs. Bernard Gratz, Joseph Simons. Edmund Milne and Michael Gratz, citizens of the state of Pennsylvania, on the 10th of November, 1779, presented a memorial to the house of delegates of Virginia, setting forth, that " they have claims in certain unappropriated back lands, by parchase from

loutnais of the House of Delegates of Virginia

latter's)
Nations
the proof Fort
s to Ald them

Propop, and e fame, tat the raying, on the r Rofs g been

by law
y ever
obtain
ufual
ment,
uffemunting

นรบยา

Mr.
ed to
it the
i unis Siins of
iber,

tates tates in rom "George Croghan, whose purchase is sounded in a purchase from the Chiefs of the Six Nations, and praying, that the said claims may be confirmed." On the 25th of November, it was resolved by the house of delegates, "that the memorial of Bernard Cratz, in behalf of himself and others, be REJECTED, for that, if they have any legal or equitable claim to the lands conveyed by George Croghan, such claim should be investigated, and determined in a court of law or

" equity."+---The house of delegates first form a bill, and that is enacted into a law, (Appendix, No. 2) declaring,---"That all fales and deeds, which HAVE BEEN, or " shall be made by any Indian or Indians, or by any " Indian nation or nations of Indians, for lands within the faid limits, (to wit, of the chartered territory) " to or for the separate use of any person or persons " whatfoever, shall be, and the same are hereby declared " utterly void and of no effect." ---- And then the house of delegates refolve, that Mr. Gratz, &c. should have their claim investigated and determined in a court of law or equity .--- What a mockery of justice was this? What a shameful distinction was here made between Mr. Simms, a Virginian, and Mr. Gratz, a Pennfylvanian? and both holding under precifely the same right, deduced from Mr. Croghan. Why had Mr. Simms a special act passed in his favor?----and why was Mr. Gratz referred to the ordinary courts?---- Because you perfectly knew, Gentlemen, the mouths of the judges of the courts of law or equity were closed by your ever memorable ex post facto law, of June, 1700. (Appendix, No. 2.) It was cruel, Sirs, thus to sport with foreigners; --- you ought, at least for your own fakes, to have preferved a little more oftentible them of moderation

Impraging the House of Delegates of Virginia.

ration and equity, than you dispensed to Mr. Gratz, upon this occasion: ---- But it feems it was political justice to protest the title of Colonel Simms, and political expediency to reject the memorial of Mr. Gratz, and refer him to a court, which you had previously diqualifed from doing him justice.

We proceed farther to examine the position, that the King had a right only to purchase, as a trustee for the use of the state of Virginia. In what record, or law book did Colonel Majon find that the King was thus limited? It is not to be found in any of the charters, fo much depended upon, and it is certain, that the colony of Virginia never prefumed to hold that language, or fet up this extravagant pretention; ---- But patiently submitted to every distribution of lands, the Kings or Queens of England thought proper to make. And private subjects of Virginia, frequently applied immediately to the crown for grants of land; ---- And the legislature, and people of that colony, always obeyed royal proclamations and instructions, as we have abundantly shewn and shall shew in the preceding and following pages, particularly respecting the acquiring and feating lands, and the payment of quit rents for them, to the crown of England. Were not Maryland, part of Pennsylvania, and lord Fairfax's grant of the northern neck taken out of the alledged, chartered boundaries of Virginia, and were not lord Baltimore; and lord Culpepper (whose daughter married Thomas lord Fairfax) at the time of their obtaining their respective grants, as much Foreigners, as the proprietors of Indiana are? Did not the Ohio company in the year 1748, after the Lancaster and Logg's Town treaties were had, present

pr wd fel CO jud Ne

lon **77**20. ton Gillall

the

ana ON in c roy: for Did

bу

grai

fign Gen tere: the.

<sup>&</sup>quot; The national judges are no more than the mouth that pronounces " the words of the law; mere passive beings, incapable of moderating either its force or rigor." Montesquieu, vol. I.

nd

hat

Or

as

at

υt

prefent a petition to King George the Second, in these words--- John Hanbury of London, in behalf of himfelf and Thomas Lee, Esq; a member of his Majesty's council, and one of the judges of the supreme court of judicature of his Majesty's colony of Virginia, Thomas Neljon, Eig; of his Majesty's council of Virginia, Colonel Greffsp, Colonel William Thornton, William Nimmo, Daniel Creffop John Carlifle, Lawrence Wafeington, Augustine Wasbington, George Fairfax, Jacob Giles, Nathaniel Chapman and James Wesdreet, Efgrs. all of your Majesty's colony of Virginia, and others, their affociates, for fettling the countries on the Chis, and extending the British trade beyond the mountains, ON THE WESTERN CONFINES OF VIRGINIA. -- And in consequence of this petition, did they not obtain a royal instruction directed to the governor of Virginia, for granting them five hundred thousand acres of land? Did not a committee of that company in the year 1761 by petition, renew their application to the King for a grant of the faid lands, -- and if it should be asked, who figned this petition, We answer, the same Colonel George Mason, who was appointed to manage the interests of the Commonwealth of Virginia, and who said, the King had a right only to purchase, as a trustee for

Here we fee, that one of the judges of the judges court of judicature, &c. and another member of the council, with a number of considerable persons in the colony of Viginia, concurred in acknowledging,—that the Allegany Mountains were the western consuse of Viginia, and in constrainty to this idea, the preamble of the above petition to King George the second, was in these words: "That by the treaty of Longstor, and also by dead bearing date the 2d of July, 1744, the northern Indians, by the same of the Six Nations, (who claimed all the lands-west or Vincenae, and also to and on the waters of the Missippi and the Lokes, by right of conquest from several nations of Indians, who securely inhabited that country, and have been extirpated by the Six Nations) did yield up and make over, and so ever quit chain to your Missippy and your successors, ask The said Lands wast or Vincenae, with all yield in the thereto, as far as your Majesty should at any same therefore the person of 10 extent of the proposition, that the Allegany Managers were the western leadures of Vinginia.

the use of the state of Virginia, and Phil. Lud. Lee, James Scott, J. Mercer and Thomas Ludd. Lee of the same state; --- and drd not also Colonel Stason, subscribe a letter, dated the 9th of September 1761, addressed to governor Dunwiddie in London, so the purpose of purchasing lands upon the Ohio, from the King of England?--- Let the following fact decide.

Sir,

A S we may expect a peace next winter, and have no doubt north America, will be fecured to the British government, and LIBERTY will be to a granted to his Majesty's subjects in these colonies, to settle on the lands of the Ohio; ----- We, the committee of the Ohio company, think it a proper time, as foon as peace is concluded, to apply for a grant of the lands intended us, by his Maiefiv's instructions to Sir William Gooch, and have for that purpose sent over a petition to his Majesty, and a large and full state of our case; and have employed Mr. Charlton Palmer, a man, we are informed, of great capacity and diligence, to folicit our cause, and endeavour by all means to GET us a PATENT IN ENGLAND. He will be directed to apply to our members in London, for their advice and affiftance; and as no person knows the affair better than Mr. Dunwiddie, nor can it be imagined any of the company have such an acquaintance or interest with persons in power;---let us beg you will please to exert yourself in getting us a patent by natural bounds, on the best terms possible; --- for rather than be remitted to the government here, who from jealoufs, or some other cause, have ever endeavoured to disappoint us in every defign we could form to fettle and improve the lands; - w E WILL AGREE TO ANY REASONABLE CONSIDERATION FOR SUCH A DEED FROM ENGLAND. But if this cannot be obtained, that the most plain and positive. intructions

lc

th

we

nev

his

7ob

Dob

rolin

to 😘

KHCT

nota

Gov

vious

the c

instructions to the Governor of Virginia be precured on terms the most advantageous to the company.

We are Sir, &c.

Lee. of the

. iub-

, ad-

i the

n the

have

o the

anted

settle.

the

beace

nded

sock,

b his

and

are

blicit

s 🗚 pply

Hift-

han the

vith

tert

on lω

ber

CIY

WE

ON

his

Fames Scott.

7. Mercer.

G. MASON:

Thomas Lud. Lee.

Philip Lud. Lee.

Here we find Colonel Maior and his affociates exprofling their expectation, "that liberty will be granted " to his Majesty's fubjects in these colonies, to " settle on the LANDS on the Chio." Colonel Mason did not then, as he does now, imagine, that they were the exclusive property of Virginia, or that the citizens of that state were only to possess them. The foregoing letter affords the strongest proof to the contrary, of the latter opinion by his, and his affociates " endea-" vouring by all means to get a fatent in England;-" for, they faid, rather than be remitted to the govern-

" ment here, (Virginia) &c. we will agree to any rea-

" sonable consideration for such a deed from England."

If the King had only a right to purchase lands, as a trustee for the use of Virginia, as is pretended, --- why were Colonel Mason, &c. so solicitous to pay their money to this King for his patent?--- and why did he and his affociates admit into their company those foreigners John Hanbury and Samuel Smith of London, Arthur Dobbs, Esq; of Ireland, and afterwards of North Carolina, &c. fince fales were "bad," which were made to "foreigners." The truth is,--Colonel Mason well knew, that the Governor and Council of Virginia could not authorife the Secretary to pass warrants, nor could the Governor grant patents for any lands, but where previous liberty had been generally or specially given by the crown for that purpose; otherwise Colonel Mason,

as a lawyer of eminence, would not have endeavoured to purchase half a million of acres of land for himself and affociates, from the King, or defire their agent, in failure of getting a patent in England, ---- to procure " the most plain and positive instructions to the Governor " of Virginia, on terms the most advantageous to the " company."--- If the King was only as a trustee for the use of Virginia, --- why apply to him for a patent, or in failure of getting one,---to procure instructions to his Governor? Was this conduct decent or dutiful to the government of Virginia, supposing it to possels the right, of enjoying all lands purchased by the King wholly for its u/e?-But Colonel Majon knew the fact was not so, and that the King had the fole power of granting lands as well in Virginia, as westward ofthe Allegany Mountain, (after they were ceded by or conquered from the natives) either immediately by patents figned by his proper officers in the kingdom of England, or by his Governor in Virginia, in virtue of general or particular orders for that end; ---- and let the forty-fifth infiruction to the Earl of Dunmore, the last British Governor of that colony, -- express, whether the King had a right only to purchase as a trustee for the use of Vir-" And when you shall have made a careful and " diligent enquiry to find out the present possessors of " lands within our faid province, claiming to hold " under grants derived from us, or our predecessors, in " case any of the said grants shall appear to you to " have been obtained fraudulently, and that the quit-" rents and other conditions, upon which the grants " were made, have not been paid or complied with; " or in case any person shall have taken out grants, " and not feated or cultivated the lands, nor paid quit " rents for the same, agreeable to the terms of their " grants; in such cases, you shall give public notice to

"

ma

uſc

the

176

" th

And

Lee,

cembe

fider i

flate o

import

Diet.

avoured himself ngent, in procure Sovernor us to the e for the nt, or in s to his l to the ffels the g wholfact was of granhe Allenquered s figned d, or by r partififth inisb Going had of Virful and fors of to hold fors, in you to he quitgrants d wish; grants,

> eid quit of their

otice to

" all such possessiors of fraudulent grants, whenever the quit rents and other stipulated conditions have not been complied with; or fuch as claim lands by virtue of their having possession of them for some time without any regular patent, and also to all such as have taken out grants, and yet have not paid quitrents, or cultivated the lands, that they be forthwith projecuted; and you are accordingly to certify the fame " to our Attorney General, and to direct him to proceed " against such defaulters, in order to vacate and super-" cede the grants, and recover possession by due course of " law, of the lands they now wrongfully hold; and it is our further will and pleafure, that you do transmit unto us, by one of our principal secretaries of state, full and circumftantial accounts of all your proceed-" ings in the feveral matters herein before mentioned, " relative to quit-rents and grants of land; to the " end we may give such orders and directions therein, as the nature and circumstances of the several cases

may particularly require." It the King of England was only as a trustee for the use of Virginia, &c. it was furely very impertinent in the Lords Commissioners for trade and plantations; in 1767, by their report to the King, --- to represent, that any attempts made by the legislature of Virginia, or any proposition offered to his Majesty's consi-" deration, for the encouragement of fettlements over " the Allegany Mountain, -- should be discountenanced." And is it not clear also from the petition of Arthur Lee, Esq; and his affociates, to the same King, December, 1761, (vide p. 64) that they did not consider his Majesty, as only a trustee for the use of the state of Virginia, otherwise would gentlemen of their importance and diffinguished talents, have done to much violence to their understandings and the rights of

the colony, as to have applied for a grant of two millions and five hundred thousand acres of land, within (as Colonel Major affirmed) the chartered boundaries of Virginia: --- Bendes, did not the house of burgefies of that colony in the year 1760, recognize the right of the lanes bought by the King at Fort Stanwix, to be in the if England? Did they, by the flightest intimalazzent, that his Majesty was only as a trustee for the we of the state of Virginia, &c. on the contrary, dia they not folicit from him further indulgences, " in "collarging the colony's boundaries," and reprefent, "that a great part of that valuable country lying on 46 the Ohio, below the mouth of the Great Kenhawa, " lately ceded to his Majesty by the Northern Indians, " would be separated and divided from the British 46 territory, on the upper part of Holflen's river, the "Great Kenhawa, and the Ohio; and that the fettle-"ments, which may be attempted in these quarters, " will, in all probability, be utterly deftroyed; and " that great extent of country, from the mouth of "Kenhawa to the Cherokee river, &c. so very lately " ceded to his Majesty, would be abandoned, &c."----If the house of burgesses of Virginia had conceived the King had a right only to purchase, as a trustee, &c. why, did they give themselves the trouble of mentioning these losses and inconveniences?--- for if the novel doctrine was true, as is now fet up,----the grant made at Fort Stanwix to the King, as foon as it had paffed, from the Six Nations, --- vested immediately in the legiflature of Virginia.

th

wi

oi

Co.

on

pro

cha

"

and

cou

and

white

be in

The

the F

for 1

and 2

them of Vi

" bet

aga

To conclude and finally to difmis this subject,—we shall only cite the declaration of Mr. President Blair and the Council of that colony in October, 1770, to the Earl of Hillsborough, secretary of state to George the Third.—"We do not presume to say, to whom?

o milwickin
ries of
of that
of the
in the
ntimace for

trary,
"in efent,
ng outhawa,
dians,
British
r, the
fettlearters,
; and
th of
lately

ed the &c. oning I docade at haffed, elegi-

Blair
o, to
corge
whom
our

our gracious Sovereign shall grant his vacant lands, &c. That with respect to a new cotony on the back of Virginia, it is a subject of too great political importance for me to presume to give an opinion upon, &c. However, permit me, my Lord, to observe, that when that part of the country shall become sufficiently populated, it may be a wife and prudent measure."

It was urged likewise by Colonel Majon, that the treaty of Lancajier, confirmed by that of Loggs Town, transferred to the King the lands for the use of the state of Virginia. We are at a lofs whether most to admire the temerity or folly of this affertion -----Our readers will have perused the extraordinary treaties and deeds of Lancaster and Loggs Town, --- and have feen that the Commissioners for Virginia did not found their pretentions to the lands of the Six Nations, lying, as they exprefsly faid, "on the borders of virginia, "upon charter, but afforted, that " the great King holds, by right " of conquest, and the Bounds of THAT CON-" QUEST TO THE WESTWARD, IS THE GREAT " SEA." ---- They also fet up a claim from dereliction, and an ancient right; and observed, that part of the country (over the mountain) was altogether deserted and free for any people to enter upon, &c .--- than which, nothing could be further from truth, as can be most amply proved by many persons now living .---The real and only object of the treaty of Lancaster, on the part of the Six Nations, was to demand payment for lands in the occupation of some people of Virginia and Maryland; ---- and the latter colony honorably paid them to their full fatisfaction; --- but the Commissioners of Virginia told the Six Nations, "The difpute is not " between Virginia and you ;---it is fetting up your right " against the great King, under whose right, the

" people, "whom you complain of, are fettled."- And added, --- " We will give you four hundred founds, --" Pennfylvania money, on condition, that you imme-" diately make a deed recognizing the King's right to all " the lands that are, or fhall be, by his Majory's ap-" pointment, in the coinn of Virginia." If our readers will be pleafed to recur to page 22, 23, they will fee, that this recegnition related fingly to the Six Nations having put their lands under the protection of the crown of England; and this appears also from the treaties held with them in 1753, by the Honorable William Fairfax at Winchester, and General Waskington, (then a Major. in the service of Virginia) at Loggs Town; -- from the treaty held at Carlifle, in the month of September, and October, 1753, by Richard Peters, Isaac Norris and Benjamin Franklin, Efgrs. Commissioners for Pennfylvania, with the Six Nations, &c .--- from the royal instructions to Sir Danvers Offerne in 1753; ---from the crown commissioners, their declaration to the Six Nations at Albany, in 1754, in these words,----" for although the land is under the King's government, " yet the property of felling it to any of his Majesty's fub-

2

"

an

tre

 $P_{\mathcal{C}I}$ 

Per

&c.

For

fied

trea

 $\mathbf{whc}$ the

force

of O

ofte

lands

decla

" dia

Pole

That

" jetts, having authority from him, we always confider-

" ed as vested in you, (the Six Nations) --- and which " (country) weever did, and do still acknowlege to belong

to your although within your father the king of Great

" Britain's dominion AND UNDER HISPROTECTION."\*

The King of England never efteemed himself in any other light than as an, ally, bound to protect the foil, for the Six Nations; and that when They submitted their country to his protestion, --- it gave him no title to it. as he well knew, that no engagement by one flate, to guaranty another state in its possessions, could, by any mode of construction, be made to imply a right over fuch possessions. It is fit also to be observed, and remembered that by the above speech, the right of the Six Nations to the property, or dominium utile, of their country, was recognized and offerted in the strongest manner by crown commissioners from almost all the American governments assembled, and acting under the immediate authority, and in the name of the King of England.

That the Six Nations only put their lands under the protection of the King, is evident likewife from General Braddeck's instructions to Sir William Johnson, in April, 1755, wherein he faid, "He was come, by his " Majeffy's order, to deferoy all the French forts. and " build such others, as thall protect, and shall secure " their (the Six Nations) lands (westward of the " Allegany Mountain, and on the Chio) to them, their " heirs and successers for ever;" ---- from Sir William Johnson's speech to the Six Nations, May the 15th;--from the negociations between the Kings of England and France, begun June the 7th, 1755, in the same year, wherein the former court affirmed, that the lands wefireard of the Allegany Mountain " have be-" longed, and as they have not been given up, OR MADE " OVER TO THE ENGLISH, BELONG STILL to the " Six Nations;" --- from Sir William Johnson's speech, and General Shirely's affurances to them; --- from the treaty at Easton, in 1758, held by the Governor of Pennsylvania, and Commissioners of New Jersey and Pennsylvania, with the SIX NATIONS, Delawares, &c .--- from Colonel Bouquet's proclamation made at Fort Pitt, wherein he fays, the above treaty was ratified by the King of England's ministers;---from the treaty held in August, 1760, by General Monckton, when he delivered a speech from Sir 7effery Amherst. the then Commander in Chief of his Britanic Majesty's forces in North America; -- from the royal proclamation of October the 7th, 1763, for the end, among others, of restraining the subjects of England from settling upon lands to the westward of the Allegany Mountain; and declaring, " that the several nations and tribes of In-" dians, with whom we are connected, and who live "UNDER OUR PROTECTION," (see also to this purpose the treaty at Albany, and General Braddock's inftructions.

fiructions, &c) " thould not be molested in such " parts of our dominion and territories, as not " having been ceded to or purchased by us, should be referred to them, &c."---from the treaty at Tehnfon Hall, in 1764 and 1765, held by Sir William Tohnson, Baronet, with the Six Nations, for the fertling of a boundary line, between their country, and that of the king of England, and to put " a final end to diffutes between his people and you, and to do you " faict juffice," &c .-- This was a preliminary treaty, respecting the boundary ---- It will appear also, that the Six Nations had only put their lands under the protection of the crown of England, and had not fold them--from Gen Gage's letter to the governor of Pennsylvania in 1768. From the meffage of this governor to the general affembly of that province, and their answer; &c .--- From the speech of the See Nations to the King's deputy fuperintendant of Indian affairs at Fort Pitt, in May following: --- From the prefident of Virginia's address, May 31st, in the same year, to the council and house of Burgesses of that colony, wherein he said, "That a set of men, regardle is of the laws of natural justice, unmindful of the duties they owe to fociety, and in contempt of royal proclamations, have dared to fettle themselves upon the lands, near Red Stone Creek, and 66 Cheat River, WHICH ARE THE PROPERTY OF THE 1NDIANS."---- And it will moreover be apparent from the treaty at Fort Stanwix 1768, held (in purfuance of orders, from the king of Great Britain) by Sir William Johnson, the Governor, and chief Justice of New-Terfey, and commissioners for Virginia, and Pennsylvania, with chiefs of the Six Nations, Delawares Shawnelle, &c .--- That the crown, at that time, purchased for the confideration of ten thousand four hundred, and fixty pounds 7/3d sterling, and other considerations

gd

fai

an

gra

 $G_{T_i}$ 

the

dre

in fack as not fhould reaty at - William for the try, and al end to o do you treaty that the rote Hisn om Gén n 4768. ailem---From nty fulay fols, May of Burt a set justice, and in o fettle k, and FTHE parent burfuby Sir Newstva-Shawhased dred, derations

tions mentioned in the grant from the Six Nations to the King, all the country described therein, to wit .--from the Allegany Mountain to the river Ohio, &c. excepting that part, which is the property of the proprietors of Indiana.----Having thus by this funmary train, recalled these important facts to the attention of our readers, we hope it will have been remarked by them, that not the smallest notice was taken of the Lancafter treaty; -- And that it was not even once mentioned or referred to by any person, acting under authority of the King of England; -- either by his Generals, superintendants of Indian affairs, or any of his Governors of provinces, and therefore, we apprehend, we might be excused, if we passed over in silence, the affertion of Colonel Majon, that the treaty of Lancaster, confirmed by that of Logg's Town, transferred the lands for the use of the state of Virginia .--- However, we trust, we shall be pardoned, if we take a curfory review of the treaty of Logg's Town, fet up, as well as that of Laneaster upon the prefent occasion, to affect the rights of the proprietors of Indiana .---- Either the two treaties, of Lancaster, and Logg's Town, are not good, or they do not, as we shall shew, militate in the least against that right .--- With respect to the first, after what has been cited, and the observations we have made upon it, we shall only fay, that if these, are not abundantly fufficient to destroy it, the treaty from it's generality is certainly void.----It has no certain bounds, which all deeds from Indians have, that are made on fair, and honorable grounds:----And there never was an instance, where the Six Nations did not bound their grants, by natural land marks, as Mountains, Rivers, Creeks, &c .-- or by some other plain description, which they could easily recollect, and teach to their children .--- In the body of this treaty, the commissioners

of Virginia observed, we will now proceed to fettle, what we are to give you for any right you have, or have had to all the lands fouthward and westward of Maryland and Pennsylvania:---which at any rate, is a good description for half the World; and then, they tell the Indians, that they will give them 400 £, Pennsylvania money if they will execute a deed "recognizing the Kings, right to all the lands, that are or shall be by his Majesty's appointment in the colony of Virginia."

છે

co

fo

th:

cei

caft

Th

tha

the

firn

the

a dd

**fwer** 

"

"

66

an

our

As the King, in no instance, that we have been able to find, ever claimed a right to the lands of the Six Nations, but in consequence of turchase, and as this clause refers to their having put their lands, under the crown's protection, it would be a waste of time, to make farther remarks upon it;---for if the treaty of Lancafter had not been confidered by the King, as a nullity, certainly some of the many treaties made between the years 1744 and 1768, would have at least once mentioned, or referred to it: And if the description, of all the lands, that his Majesty " had appointed, or " Should appoint within the colony of Virginia" intended any thing, it meant to give the King a right to bound that colony, Wherever he pleafed. What then becomes of boasted charter pretensions and boundaries .---For the words " had appointed or should appoint " cannot reasonably be understood in any other sense; than that the king possessed the undoubted right of limiting and bounding Virginia, which he afterwards did, by the Allegany Mountain, as may be feen by his royal proclamation of 1763,-by his instructions to several of his governors of that colony, ----- and by extending the boundaries of Vandalie, eastward to the Allegany Mountain .--- If any doubts however should remain of the infufficiency of the treaty of Lancaster, the treaty of Logg's Town, and the orders given to the commissiontle,

or

of

s a

iz-

be

le

ix

lis

ers of Virginia would fufficiently clear up the matter .--The Governor of that colony in these orders, says, that " as some doubts have arisen about the treaty of Lancaster " and furmifes have been spread, as if the Six Nations " thought themselves imposed upon by it;"--He therefore directed the commissioners to have the treaty fully explained and to " obtain a confirmation, if possible."---this shews, that as soon as the Six Nations understood the treaty, and deed made at Lancaster, their apprehenfion of imposition, was fully established .--- It also proves that the Governor thought fo too, or whylask for the confirmation of a thing, which should be good without it? ----- When the half King of the Six Nations, &c. residing upon the Ohio, are pressed for a recognition of the treaty of Lancaster, they make the following answers; which furnish the clearest evidence, that in the opinion of these Nations, they had been deceived; and when the commissioners produced the Lancafter deed and treaty, and spoke to the half King &c.---They replied, the Onondago council never told them. that they had fold further, than the warriors road at the foot of the Allegany Mountain, and they would confirm whatever they had done. On the 10th of June, the commissioners again strongly solicited them to give a deed of confirmation, and the Indians next day answered, " Brother the Governor of Virginia, we are " well acquainted, that our chief council at the treaty " of Lancaster, confirmed a deed to you FOR A QUAN-" TITY OF LAND IN VIRGINIA, which you have a " right to; -- and likewise our brother Qnas has a right " to a parcel of lands in Pennsylvania .--- We are glad " you have acquainted us with the right to those lands, " and assure you, we are willing to confirm any thing our council bas done, in regard to the lands; but, we never understood, before you told us yesterday, that

" the lands then fold, were to extend farther to the fun " sitting, than the hill on the other side the Allegany "Hill, fo that we can give you no farther answer."---The Indians then defired the Governor of Virginia " would build a strong house at the forks of Monong-hela " to keep fuch goods, powder, lead, &c. in, as should "be wanting;"---and " as to lands, which the Ohio " company wanted to fettle, the Indians only observed, "that they might build a strong house or fort;"---but with respect to those (lands) which the commissioners had asked for at Monongehela, " they (the commis-66 fioners) imagined the Indians had given up the lands " upon that river; --- but they only meant, ground sufficient for the fort to stand upon, as appeared by a " private conversation with the Half King, who said, " that was all that was intended." June the 13th, the Indians remarked, " We have 66 heard what you faid, in regard to the King's defign of making a fettlement of his people on the waters " of the river Ohio; you likewise told us that you had " a deed for the lands, figned by our council at the " treaty of Lancaster. We assure you of our willing-" ness to agree to what our council does, or has done; " but we have not the full power here, in our hands, on

"Ohio. We must acquaint our Council at Onondago, of the affair; and WHATEVER THEY BID US DO"WE WILL DO; and in regard to your request to build a strong house at Monongehela, you told us, it would require a settlement to support it with provisions and necessaries, and it is true; but we will take care, that there shall be no scarcity of that kind, until we can give you a full answer."—Is it possible to conceive, if the lands on the Ohio had been conveyed by the treaty of Lancaster, that the Indians, who were settled upon them, and especially the Half King, and

tho
The
con
fide
from

defi of ta This ter,

Brai lude

" tÌ " ▲

" bi " ke

If is a either the to the to Onone

preffi refufi (as d been preva ated a sonfir

taiped declar to the those of the Six Nations, should be ignorant or it. Their idea of this treaty was only, that their shiefs had conveyed a quantity of land on the other shiefs the exploratide of the Allegany hills.—And it is evident belies, from the following speech of the commissioners at Loggis Town, to the Half King, &c. that they had no other design, with respect to the lands upon the Sits, but of taking them and the Indians, under the King it interesting. This appears also from a part of the treaty of Lancater,—the treaty at Albany in 1754, and General Braddock's instructions, &c.—The speech idea allowed to, was as follows:

" Brethren,

"WE ASSURE YOU, THAT THE KING, OUT F24 ther, BY PURCHASING YOUR LANDS, HAD NEVER ANY INTENTIONS OF TAKING THEM FROM YOU,

" but that we might live together as one people, and keep them from the French, who would be had neigh-

es hours."

the fun

llegany

er."---

irginia

ngehela

ihould 1e *Ohio* 

Terved.

'---but

Honers

mmif-

e lands

ed suf-

br a

e have

deilign

waters

u had

at the

illing-

done ; ds, on

idago,

DO---

build

vould

itions

care,

il we

le to

reyed

WCTC

and

If this was not the intention of the commissioners, it is a clear acknowledgment, that the Influer were either deceived or defrauded, and effectually deftrovs the treaty. Notwithstanding they repeatedly informed the commissioners, they had no authority to confirm the treaty of Lancaster, and that the right was in the Onondago council, --- yet the commissioners persisted in preffing their request, and the Indians' continued in refusing, till taken aside by Montour, the interpreter, (as drunken and profittuted a person, as could have been found in all the colonies, for the purpose)-who prevailed upon the Indians, contrary to their reiterated affurances of want of power, to fign the deed of confirmation.-But what avails a deed for lands obtained by false suggestions, and from persons too, who declared again and again they had no authority relative to them?

The purchase by the crown of the country on the fouth eastern fide of the Ohio, in 1768, at Fort Stanwix, is the strongest proof of the insufficiency of the treaty of Lancaster; -- for why buy the lands again, which it is alledged, had been purchased by that treaty? Does not the contracting with the fame Indians, admit the ownership to be in them; and did not the King of England accept a grant from them at Fort Stanwix? wherein the Six Nations were at that time acknowledged, --- the true and absolute proprietors of the country." And did not the King's purchasing under their title, confirm the right of the Six Nations to the country, of which the lands fo bought, were a part?---Verbal acknowledgments cost nothing, but a valuable pecuniary confideration (to wit, £ 10460:7:3 sterling, the fum paid to the grantors, and other confiderations expressed in the proceedings of Fort Stanwix) is never given as the price of any thing, without a full conviction of the fellers absolute property in it.---If the treaty of Lancaster conveyed all the lands within the chartered boundary from fea to fea, as is the present doctrine in Virginia, why did not Mr. Walker, commissioner for that colony, who attended the treaty of Fort Stanwix, protest against a purchase, which circumscribed it's boundary?---And why did not the general affembly of Virginia remonstrate to the throne, against this infraction of their charter?---but the plea of charter and immeasurable boundaries, were not thought of at that period .--- All were then humble petitioners, foliciting indulgences for a more extended boundary, than was fuggested by the board of trade, and " they did not of prefume to fay, to whom their gracious fovereign " should grant his vacant lands, ON THE BACK OF " VIRGINIA."-At all events, if the treaty of Lancaster was a good one, does not a subsequent treaty

th

hd

It

co

ſm

M

ab

the

thd

fer

Fre

Six

upo

on the t Stanof the again, treaty? . admit Ling of

nwix? wledguntr;." r title,

untry, Verbal uniar**y** g, the

ns exnever con-

If the charctrine

fioner nwix, it's

oly of is inr and t that

iting was not

reign OF

Lanreaty

rea,

correct, alter, explain, or set afide a preceding one? This is the conftant and invariable practice of all nations.

It was further infifted upon by Colonel Mason, that if the house of delegates gave up the treaty of Lancaster, they would furnish the neighbouring STATES with the best arguments, for a SHARE in our back lands, -- therefore, it is expedient, that this treaty social be supported, as the interests of the state are concerned in it; --- and the only way to prevent OTHER STATES FROM CLAIMING THE BACK LANDS would be to inful strongly on the right of

pre-emption.

We shall make but a few short observations upon these curious arguments. Right or wrong, it seems, however, the treaty of Lancaster was to be maintained. It has been, we hope, incontestibly proved, --- that it conveyed no property to the crown of England, fave a fmall quantity of land to the eastward of the Allegan; Mountain; -- and that, as well by various subsequent treaties and transactions, as from its generality, it was absolutely void. It is evident, we presume also, that the King did not esteem the treaty of Lancaster, of any the smallest validity, having, in no instance, ever referred to it, either in his negociations with the King of France, or in any treaties held posterior to it with the Six Nations; --- But Colonel Mason greatly depended upon the treaty of Lancafter, and said, as has been stated, " if it was given up, it would furnish the " neighbouring states with the best arguments, for a " share in our back lands."-- This shews the extreme feebleness of the claim, founded upon such a treaty. and that no better reasons could be affigned to vindicate it, than those which have been mentioned.

Expediency, that long exploded and most destructive doctrine, as applied to private property, was therefore called in aid of this reproachful transaction. As to the

right

right of pre-emption, to prevent the other states from claiming the back lands, it cannot be necessary to say more, than if the treaty of Lancaster has been shewn, as we trust it has, to be of no effect, except only as to some lands to the castward of the Allegany Mountain; a claim from such a source as pre-emption, is not of the least importance to the undoubted right of the United States, or that of the proprietors of Indiana.

Colonel Majon next insisted, that countenancing the grant, to the proprietors of Indiana, would exclude a fund, which might be secured to the Sate, by the sale.—
We admit it, and so it ought—The estate of lord Fairfax, Colonel Mason, or any other rich person in Virginia would (if it was thought expedient to pass another ex post sales law, and declare their title void) sell for, and produce a very large fund to the treasury

of Virginia. The last objection, made by Colonel Mafon, which we think of fufficient importance to take notice of was, "that Doctor Walker subscribed the "grant to the proprietors of Indiana, as a bye stander."

Doctor Walker, it will have been seen, was appointed under the seal of the colony of Virginia, a commissioner or to SETTLE a boundary line between that colony, and the colonies of Maryland and Pennsylvania, and step several Nations of Indians concerned; &c." and for this purpose, he was required by his commission to attend at a Congress at Fort Stanwix, to be held

"to his Majesty's instructions: &c. And it will appear also by the journals of this treaty, that he affished in surling the same, and joined Sir William Johnson, and the other trown commissioners in declaring to the Six Nations, "you now see the governor of the Jerseys,

" under the Direction of Sir William Johnson, agreeable

" the commissioner, on behalf of the gov ernor of Vir-

s der

so.

pr tre

in n ther

46

" th

" be

" we

" ma

" fai

s tion

te RE

" hav

" desi

bounda

grants

those of

" valid

" lands

MADE

recited

" 4th

der to give you the strongest assurances, on behalf of es from " their respective governments, OF THEIR RESOLUy to fay " TIONS, TO PAY DUE REGARD TO WHAT NOW shewn, " SHALL BE ENTERED INTO." The following, are ly as to fome of the articles of agreement, and conditions, apuntain; proved by the commissioners and inserted partly in the not of treaty, and partly in the deed of ceffion to the King. of the " That none of the provinces, or people should make iana. any attempts on their (the Indians) lands and the ing the " boundary, under colour of ANY OLD DEEDS, or clude a other pretences whatsoever, as the Six Nations said " ale .--in many of those things, we have been imposed on, and f lord therefore we disclaim them all." " That in order to fon in " thew, we love justice, WE EXPECT, the traders, o país " who fuffered by fome of our dependants in the wars void) " five years ago, may have a grant for the lands, we cafury " now give them down the Ohio, as a satisfaction for 1 Ma-" their leffes; And, as our friend Mr. Groghan long takc " ago, got'a deed for lands from us, which may now d the " be taken into Mr. Penn's lands, should it so happen. der." " we request, that it may be considered, and that he inted may get as much from the King, some where else, as he Tiener " fairly bought it. Our words are firing, and refolulony, " tions firm; AND WE DO EXPECT, THAT OUR and " REQUESTS will be complied with, in as much, as we and. " have so generously complied with all, that has been ffion " defired."-In the deed of cession to the King for the held boundary. &c. it is expressly stipulated, that the other able grants at that time made by the Six Nations. (to wit, pear those of the traders and Mr. Croghan) " should be deemed BT-" valid on their parts, and that his Majesty should hold the and " lands ceded to him," UNDER THE RESERVATIONS Six MADE IN THE TREATY---- a part of which, are eys, recited above. Sir William Johnson (November the 111-4 4th 1768.) publickly, in the presence of the Indiens, Mr. Walker, and the other commissioners, 66 STRENGTHENED RATIFIED AND CONFIRMED 66 the boundary and ALL TRANSACTIONS NECESSARY "THERETO," and then told the Six Nations, that, " he considered their good intentions towards the traes ders, who had fustained the losses, and their defire to fulfil all their engagements, as inflances of their integrity."--- And immediately afterwards, addressing himfelt to Mr. Walker, and the other Commissioners, --- Sir William observed, "That agreeable to his Majesty's instructions, he took the liberty of recomes mending it strongly to their several provinces, to enact the most effectual laws for the observance of the line; and the reliance, -- he added, which the In-" dians HAD ON THEIR JUSTICE, from the affurance " he had given them on that head, had proved great inducements to the settlement of it."--- To which Mr. Walker, in behalf of Virginia, joined the governor of Terfey and the commissioners, in faying---" That no-" thing should be-wanting on their parts, to the ob-" taining such security for the boundary, as-was deemed 66. necessary on their return to their respective colonies."

Can any man, or body of men, suppose, after reading these facts, --- that Mr. Walker figned the deed to the traders or to Mr. Croghan, " as a bye-ftander;"but it is fit to be known, that he declared on oath, before the house of delegates of Virginia, at the hearing before that house, on the part of the proprietors of Indiana, and when he thought he had only subscribed the deed to these gentlemen, as a common witness, -- that he would have done it, as Commissioner for Virginia, if he had been called upon to do-it, in that character, as Sir William Johnson told bim, the boundary line could not be got, without the grant was made to the traders. The fast however is, that Mr. Walker did subscribe the

up Vi of

gı vc

"

prop cafe the fo

this expea move June a law.

declar utterly Afi salus p

that de the de have n

govern too oft

IRMED ESSARY s, that, the trar'defire of their ddrefsmiffionto his recomces, to ance of the Inurance d great ch Mr. nor of hat nothe obleemed. onies." after e deed ter;" b, beearing ors of cribed --that nia, if ratter, escould be the grant

Honers.

grant of Indiana, and the one to Mr. Croghan, in these "THOMAS WALKER COMMISSIONER FOR words. " VIRGINIA, as may be seen by the original deeds, " new in Philadelphia:--- But enough has been observed upon this subject to shew, that the government of Virginia was a part; to all the transactions of the treaty of Fort Stanwix, and confequently bound, and concluded by the acts of its commissioner, for " in public " compacts with fovereign princes, or other constitu-" tional governors, made by their deputies or agents, " the law of nature (and nations) is the same as in " promises, which individuals make by proxy: --what " the deputies do, under authority of their public " commission, BINDS their principals, even though " they exceed some private instructions, which their " principals had given them."\*

Colonel Mason concluded his arguments against the proprietors of Indiana, by saying,—If we have in this case deviated from the rules of strict distributive justice, the salus populi,—to which I have so often referred in this house, has been the incitement, and it has been expedient for the good of the commonwealth. He then moved the house to come to certain resolutions, and June the 17th, 1779, the legislature of Virginia passed a law, respecting the right of pre-emption, and therein declared the title of the proprietors of Indiana to be utterly void and of no effect. See Appendix, No. 2.

After what we have remarked on political expediency, falus populi, and ex post facto laws,—we shall only add, that deviations from "strict distributive justice," in the decision of private property are doctrines, which have not only a direct tendency to loosen the bonds of government, to render all titles wholly insecure, and too often dependant upon the pleasure, policy, resent-

ment,

See Inflitutes of Natural Law, vol. 11.

ment, or caprice of a few factious men, but are invafive of the province of a jury, and fixed and learned judges;---who are " difcernere per legem, quid fit justum."

Justice Crook well observed in the case of the illustrious Hamoden, --- that judges must not give their judgments according to folicy, or rules of flate; nor conveniences, but only according to law .-- In fine, the doctrines of political expediency, &c. are too frequently pretexts to subvert the fundamental laws of a country, under the specious pretence, that the necessity of the case, and the good of government required it ---

" It is, fays Mr. Hume, the nature of this necessity, to abolish all law, and by irrefistible violence to dis-" folve all the weaker, and more artificial ties of human fociety."---But, furely the state of Virginia, claiming unnumbered millions of acres, was not in fo necessitions a condition, as to justify a replenishing of its treasury, upon the ruin of hundreds of useful citizens, poor widows and helpless orphans---To conclude:

Upon every view of the treaty of Fort Stanwix, the conveyances to the King and to the proprietors of Indiana, --it will be manifestly evident, that the right of these proprietors and Mr. Grogban stands upon the broad, and solemn basis, and is an effential part of a public treaty, fince-abundantly ratified, between two fovereign, and independent Nation. That the object of it in the first instance, was to procure justice for diftreffed subjects, who had been robbed by dependant tribes of the Six Nations, and to establish a point of policy among them, which would probably leffen such pobleries in future, by authorizing a demand from then chiefs. It was not a halty, or precipitate meafure .-- It was begun at a public treaty, between Sir William Johnson, the Six Nations, and by articles of

Deace,

eı

of

an

to

fid

of

Po

cla any

wha

if t pric

trea with

the

othe

of th

thou

ling,

know

of th

that |

body

confi

be ref

fate c

cogniz

appear

Elq;

Int

invaarned id fit illufjudgonvedocently atry, fthe effity, difhuinia, in fo g of citiude: the Indiight the of a foet of difdant F poluch

rom

nea-Sir

ace,

peace, on behalf of the Delawares, &c. in 1764 and 1765, (The documents whereof, were duly transmitted to the crown of England's council office at Whitehall, and approved by the King's secretary of state) and ended at another treaty, held at Fort Stanwix in 1768, by a deed to the Aing, one to the proprietors of Indiana, and another to Mr. Croghan.-The conveyance to the crown, was made, dependant upon the deeds to these proprietors, and Mr. Croghan, and 'the confideration expressed in the grant of Indiana, is the sum of Eighty five Thousand, nine Hundred, and sixteen pounds 10f 8d New York money, and the grantors declare therein that neither the crown of England, nor any persons, ever paid, nor should pay, any sum whatever for the lands fo conveyed; And confequently, if they do not belong to Mr. Trent, and the other proprietors, must still belong to the Six Nations; -- but the treaty of Fort Stanwix, and all transactions connected with it, were consummate, and decisive acts, because the confideration money alone (independant of the other considerations paid by the King, at the execution of the deed of cession was, as has been mentioned, Ten thousand four Hundred and fixty pounds 10 f 3 d. Sterling, and because the state of Virginia has actually acknowledged the treaty, as they have granted part of the lands ceded by it to the continental Army, and that having availed itself of its benefits, they are surely bound by its inconveniences, and the treaty cannot be confirmed in part, and rejected in part; -- it must either be refused or acknowledged in the whole; -- but the thate of Virginia has, in the most ample manner, recognized the treaty of Fort Stanwix, as we hope, will appear by the following facts. In the beginning of the year 1769, Thomas Walker,

Elq; fame gentleman, who was commissioner for

Virginia.

Virginia at the treaty of Fort Stanwix) and General Andrew Lewis, were appointed commissioners on the part of that state, to wait on Mr. Stuart, the King's late superintendant of Indian affairs to the fouthward, for the purpose of endeavouring to fix a new boundary line between the Cherokees and Virginia.—In the course of their communications with Mr. Stuart, they said, the evil would be encreased by the loss of the quittents annually paid for these lands, and would give the Cherokees a large tract of country, that was never claimed by them, and now is the property of the crown, as Sir William Johnson actually purchased it of the Six Nations of Indians, at a very considerable expense, and took a deed of cession from them at Fort Stanwix, the 5th day of November last."

On the 7th day of December, 1769, the house of burgesses of Virginia promising themselves, through the good offices of their governor Lord Botetourt, that they should prevail on the King of England to annex the lands westward of the Allegany Mountain, to the dominion of Virginia, and that his lordship would be instructed to grant them to the people of that colony; they therefore, by a meffage to his lordship, defired to know, "Whether the faith of government is now en-" gaged to confirm any orders of council for granting " lands lying between the Allegany Mountain, and a " line that may be run from the wiftern termination of the North Carolina line, to the confluence of the 66 Ohio, with the Missippi; the terms of which orders have not been complied with; and that he would be er pleased in future, to discourage all monopolies of se land within the colony."

On the 8th of the same month, Lord Botetourt answered, "The faith of government is not engineed to confirm any orders of council, the terms of which

"have not been complied with, unless in those in"frances, in which it shall appear they have been in"terrupted by unavoidable necessity, and that he will
discourage all monopolies."

On the 13th of December, 1769, the house of burgesses of Virginia, in an address to Lord Botetourt, returned their thanks for the King's kind attention in approving the board of trade's report, for a more extended boundary to the westward; --- express their fears, that his Majesty had not been fully acquainted with the fituation of their frontiers, and entreated his lordfhip " to endeavour to procure for this colony fuch fur-" ther indulgences in enlarging its boundary, as to his " Majesty, in his great wisdom, may seem just and " right. They at the fame time represented by memorial, to his lordship, "That by establishing such a " line, " (as was proposed by the board of trade " great part of that most valuable country lying on the " Ohio, below the mouth of the Great Kenhawa, lately ceded to his Majesty by the northern Indians, would " be separated and divided from the British territory, " on the upper part of Holfton's river, the Great Kenbawa, and the Obio, which your memorialists humbly conceive must greatly impede, and may totally " prevent the fettlement of that fertile and extensive " country, &c. As your memorialists have the great-" est reason to fear, that the faid line, if confirmed, " would constantly open to the Indians and others, menemies to your Majesty, a free and easy ingress to " the heart of the country on the Obio, Holfton's river,

<sup>\*</sup> The line fixed by the lords of trade was from the interfection of Holfton fiver (the point which would terminate the line dividing Virginia from North Carelina) to the mouth of Great Kenhawa. The House of Burgessen in a memorial to Load Bastons, observed, "That they had been induced to extend their views, and do humbly offer, as their opinions, that a line beginning at the western termination of the North Carelina line, and trunning thence to the river Obis, would be accomplished at much lefs." expense, than the other line proposed."

" and the great Kenhawa, whereby the fettlements, which may be attempted in these quarters, will in " all probability be utterly deftroyed, and that great extent of country, from the mouth of the Kenhawa to the mouth of the Cherokee river, extending east-" ward to the Laure! Hill, so very lately ceded to his " Majesty, and to which no tribe of Indians at present " fet up any pretensions; will be abandoned to the Ghe-" rokees."----See further explicit recognitions, of the cession here alluded to on the part of Virginia, in APPENDIX No 1 .-- A full acknowledgment of the treaty at Fort Stanwix, and grant of lands to the King, was also made the 9th of October 1775, at Fort Piet, by commissioners appointed by the colony of Virginia .--they then spoke to the chiefs and warriors of the Six Nations, Delawares, Shawnesse, Wayandotts, and Ottamas, to this effect.

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

th

In

kn

and

fuf

at !

ofte

and

to C

invi

efted

at F

fubje

fider

confe

Congr

delav

perfo

policy

Natio

whole

treaty

compl

and ex

ans of

vindica

the pro

and me

Brothers,

We have reason to believe, that great uneasiness and jealousies have prevailed among you, respecting our intentions of making encroachments upon your lands. You must be all sensible, the lands on this side the Obio, as far as the Cherokee river, were purchased at the treaty of Fort Stanwix, by Sir William Johnson, for the King of England, who has since sold them to his children on this continent, which they now expect to enjoy in peace.—
To whom did he sell them?—Did he do it to the government of Virginia, or to the inhabitants of that or of any other state in confederacy, and for what price, and when?

A just and easy solution can be given, so these queflions, by answering,——That Dr. Franklin, and his associates, were the only persons to whom, the King sold a part of the lands granted to him, as may be seen

This is an error, for the purchase, made by the King at Fast Starwis, extended castward, from the river Obis to the east side of the Allogary Mountain.

ents.

ill in

great

bawa

east-

o his

efent

Che-

the

, in

rea-

ing,

Pizz.

Six

Ot-

ınd

ou T

ds.

 $i\sigma_i$ 

aty

ng

his

0-

or

eis in APPENDIX, No. 1.—Befides these acknowledgments by Virginia, the Congress of the United States recognized the treaty of Fort Stanwix, at a conference held at Fort Vitt, in 1775, as appears by the speech of General Morris, and others, their commissioners, and the answer of Round Head, a chief of the Six Nations: In short, the treaty of Fort Stanwix is universally acknowledged, as a valid and binding act, in full force; and that if set aside, would produce the greatest confusion in many of the states; for, by the cession made at that time to the crown, a boundary line, as has been often mentioned, was established between the Indians and simericans, from the mouth of the Cherokee river to Canada creek, where it empties itself into Wood creek.

Treaties between nations ought to be held facred and inviolable. The general rights of mankind are interefted in their confervation, and if made, as the treaty at Fort Stanwix was, to obtain justice for distressed subjects, and stop the effusion of blood, are to be confidered among the greatest of human bleffings; and confequently, there is the highest obligation upon the Congress of the United States to see, without farther delay, that the public faith is strictly maintained by the performance of the conditions of this treaty.---Reason. policy and justice, all call aloud for it, as the Six Nations would have an unquestionable right to the whole of their cession to the King, if those parts of the treaty, respecting Indiana and Mr. Croghan are not accomplished .--- It is, therefore, most considently hoped and expected, that Congress, who are the true guardians of public liberty, justice and national honour, will vindicate and support the treaty of Fort Stanwix, and the property of these proprietors and 'Mr. Croghan; and more especially, as the United States in Congress affembled, have blemnly pledged themselves to all the inhabitants

inhabitants of these states, by declaring, "That as "they are in duty bound, on the one hand, to preserve inviolate the rights of the several states, so on the other, they will always be careful to provide, that the suffice due to these states, does not interfere with the suffice, which may be due to individuals." Wherefore the proprietors of Indiana did, on the 11th of September, 1779, and the 30th of November, 1780, by their agent, Colonel George Morgan, present two memorials to Congress, not doubting but they should speedily find the happy effect of so just and laudable a resolution, and the following are parts of these memorials:

The memorialists recited the cause of their grant, and the manner of obtaining it from the Six Nations, &c. and represented among other things, that they found some of the acts of the state of Virginia (directing the sale of lands to commence in October next, for the particular benefit of that state) seem intended to prevent, and defeat the interposition of Congress on a matter of the utmost national consequence to all these states, and subversive of every rule of justice, in the determination of private property, for the following among other reasons:

Because they apprehended, that the order of [the privy] council, which they referred to, was a sufficient separation of the lands in question, (Appendix. No. 1) from the jurisdiction of Virginia, which states could only claim the same under the crown; and that if any doubt could arise therein, the United States, as successors to the sovereignty, are the only judges; but that Virginia has constituted herself a judge in her own cause, and for her own emolument, and began to seize and set up for sale the property of the traders, so fairly obtained, and for so great a consideration.

tair cird vali wit Kin of don clai Na Ind gov and adv fubi ticu fem

later dans and frate the work with the work

- par

Because the said tract of land (Indiana) thus obtained for so valuable a consideration, and with every circumstance of notoriety and authority, that can give validity to any grant, was afterwards (as being included within the bounds of Vandalia) by an order of the King of Great Britain in council, before the declaration of the independency of these states, separated from the dominion (which in right of the crown) Verginia claimed over it, and notification was given to the Six Nations, &c. that the faid tract of Vandalia (including Indiana) aforesaid, was to be erected into a separate government, under the fovereignty of the faid King; and therefore the memorialits observed:-That they are advised, the faid tract of country cannot now remain fubject to the jurifdiction of Virginia, or of any particular state, but of the United States in Congress affembled, in whom the fovereignty is now verted.

And because the proceedings of Virginiz seem calculated to invalidate a solemn treaty made with independent nations, and by all those states approved, &c. and it is hoped, the wisdom, policy and justice of these states will never suffer such a speciacle to be exhibited to the world, as "A HEATHEN PEOPLE SEEKING TO" DO A DELIBERATE AND SOLEMN ACT OF JUSTICE WHILE A CHRISTIAN STATE STRIVES TO PRE"VENT THEM."——Nor let it (subjoin the memorialists) once be made a question, whether sovereign and free nations of Indians may not recompence an injury or satisfy a debt by a sale of part of their own lands, but that the debt and injury are both to be raised up against them at a future day.

And lastly, the memorialists prayed, that the Honorable Congress would appoint a day for hearing the parties interested, that so the question of right might

Ь

ecaufe

That as

preferou

o on the

that the

with the

Where-

11th of

, 1780,

ent two

fhould

audable

ese me-

int, and

75, &c.

odfome

the fale

e parti-

revent,

atter of

es, and

ination

other

f [the

**fu**ffici-

endix.

h state \*

d that

es, 25

s; but erown

zan to

rs, lo

be fully explained and confidered, and an impartial and just determination had in the premises.

This is what the Proprietors of Indiana are now most anxiously waiting for, and that the fixte of Virginia would come forth, and shew publicly what fort of title it pretends to claim under.

In fine, they only with and request, that the sovereign power of the United States would, without further delay, adopt and exercise that excellent and comprehensive assurance to the people, "Nulli negatimus, "AUT DIFFREMUS RECTUM AUT JUSTICIAM"

FINIS.

APPEN-

gen

the

par dee ll'al 75h a cd 170 Brifou peti Ear for ates whe to c for lvin to fo bora kno

the ship wou Acc rial the

ppartial

w most *irginia* of title

e foveut furd comabimus, ciam." APPENDIX, No. 1.

HILE the matters (mentioned in pages int, 142, 143, 144) were traplacting in l'irginia, a company was formed in London, confitting of many gentlemen, retiding both in England, and America, for the purpole of endeavouring to buy from the king, part of the lands ceded to him, by the treaty, and deed of Fort Stanziss, and the honorable Thomas Walpsle, Doctor Bergamin Franchis, and Mellicurs John Sergeant, and Samuel Rharton, were appointed a committee to manage their application .-- In June 1769, Thefe gentlemen prefented a petition to his Britannic Majesty for the purchase of two Millions and four Hundred Thousand acres of these lands, and their petition being referred by the Ki gain council to the Earl of Hillsecrough and the other lords commissioners for trade and plantations, Mr. Walzele and his affociates attended their lordihips, in December 1769, when the Earl of Hillflorough, recommended to them to contract, if possible, with the lords of the treasury, for such part of the purchase from the Six Nations, lying on the river Ohio, as would be sufficient in extent to form a separate government upon. The Earl of Hillsborough, offered to go immediately to the treasury, and know their lordship's opinion upon the subject, and the petitioners expressing their approbation, his lordship went, and reported, that the lords of the treafury, would be glad to receive the gentlemen's propofals.----Accordingly, on the 4th of January 1770, a memorial was presented, proposing to buy from the King, the tract of land hereafter described, and to pay the fum

N-

fum of £ 10460: 7f: 3d. sterling, and a quit rent of two Shillings like money for every hundred acres of cultivable land within the faid tract;—The quit rent not to commence till after the expiration of twenty years—Their lordships took into consideration the memorial and paper, containing a description of the lands applied for, and unanimously agreed to accept the price, and quit rent offered.

On the 8th of May 1770, Mr. Walpole and his affociates, presented a petition to King in council, praying that a grant for the lands might be made to them .--On the 25th of the fame Month, the lords of the committee of council referred this petition to the lords commissioners for trade and plantations, and on the 15th of July following, the Earl of Hillferrough defined the attendance of Meffrs Walzole, Franklin, Sargent and Wharton at the board of trade, when he informed them, that as there were, perhaps, some settlers from Virginia feated on part of the tract under confideration, he was of opinion, that that colony should be acquainted with the contract made with the treasury; and therefore the report of the Lords of Trade would be delaved only until it was known, whether Virginia had any thing to fay upon the subject; but, in the mean time, he had the King's express commands to repeat, in the strongest manner, his Majesty's former orders, forbidding the governor of Virginia to pass warrants for, or suffer any jurvers or settlements to be made over the Allegany Mountain, and especially on any of the lands, which the company had contracted for-

On the 25th of February, 1771, Mr. Walpele was informed by a note from the Earl of Hillsborough, that he had directed copies to be made, and fent him, of fuch parts of the letter he had received from Virginia, as related to his and his affociates application for lands

\_\_

or

gl

as

all

pr

**t**ed

th

thd

 $\Lambda_{\rm I}$ 

por

the

con

46

and

ers !

 ${
m MrJ}$ 

ON

be t

had

men

nor

Hun

diers

betw

**fame** 

and i

fides

in au

the I

ceffion

grant

comp

staine

north

it rent of
acres of
quit rent
f twenty
tion the
in of the
accept the

d his afril, praythem.-the comhe lords l on the b defired Sargent nformed ers from leration. acquaind theredelaved nad any an time. in the forbidfor, or ver the e lands,

th, that im, of irginia, or lands

on the Ohio, and his lordship observed, he should be very glad to concur in reporting upon that application, as foon as a full board of trade could be had. The letter here alluded to, was from the Honourable Mr. Nelfon, president of his Majetty's council of Virginia, and dated the 18th of October 1770, the president wrote,---that on the evening of that day, his lordship's letter of the 20th of July to the governor, was delivered to him; --And as it contained matter of great variety and importance, it had been read in council, and together with the feveral papers inclosed in it. had been maturely confidered, and that " he then troubled his lordship " with their, as well as his own opinion on the fub-" ject of them."---He acknowledged the propriety, and justice of his lordship, and my lords commissioners of the treasury, in delaying to report in favour of Mr. Walfole, and his affociates for a grant of lands, ON THE BACK OF VIRGINIA, until the country should be made acquainted with it, and their reasons, if they had any, in objection should be heared. --- He then mentioned the affair of the late Ohio company, governor Dunwiddie's proclamation, and promite of two Hundred thousand acres of land to the officers and foldiers of Virginia, who had ferved in the former war between France and England, and supposed, that the fame quantity would be allowed to all the other officers and foldiers upon the continent .-- He also observed, besides these, we have been told, (tho' we have not had. an authentic copy of the treaty at Fort Stanwix,) that the Indians made it an express condition in the deed of cession to his Majesty, that a quantity of land should be granted to Colonel Croghan and to the traders, as a recompence to them for the injuries, and damages fustained from the Indians .--- These he said were to the northwards; ---- And at the fame time remarked, that

## 152 APPENDIX, No. 1.

" In all the ether grants, I do not find, that any fteps have been taken, towards furveying and feating

them; --- of course, they are, or will become lapfed, except in the two to John Lewis for 800,000 acres " called the loyal company and to James Patten and " others for 100,000 acres." \*----On these lands, the president remarked, " there " are many hundred families fettled. The late war, " and the prohibition by proclamation, have been the causes, that these lands have not been more fully peopled, which I have reason to think, will be ef-" feeted foon after leave is given to grant patents for " fuch parts as are fettled. These one million seven hundred thousand acres, which I have taken notice " of in all," (the quantity the president and council apprehended, the above mentioned grants to the Old Ohis Company, the Officers, Colonel Croghan, the traders, &c. would amount to -- "Isuppose will Take " PLACE OF ALL NEW ADVENTURERS .--- We do not " presume to fay, to whom our gracious Sovereign " shall grant HIS VACANT LANDS; nor do I fet my-" felf up as an opponent to Mr. Walpole and his affo-. Before the prefident of the council wrote the above letter to the Earl of Hillforough, he defired John Blair, jun. Eig; clerk of the council, to report to him the lituation of all orders of council for lands; and the following is the report made by him to the prefident: " In obedience to the commands of His Honor the Prelident, I have-" examined the council journals, and find, that no grant has been made " to any person whatever. fince the said 12th of February, 1255, nor even since the 27th of August, 1754, of a larger quantity of land lying to the " wellward of the faid mountains, than 1000 acres; and but few grants at " all of any of these Lands, as will more particularly appear by the foregoing

" Lift of all the grants or orders of council, which have paffed, located as

" above mentioned." [See the address of the House of Burgesses of Virginia, &c. in page 105.] " And I also find, that an grants of those lands

in any quantity whatever, have been made fince the 7th of October,

" 1763, being the date of the royal proclamation forbidding the feating of

"that country, but that the petition of the Loyal Company for a renewal
"of their grant of 800,000 acres was rejetted in 1763, as being contrary to
the royal instructions, respecting the quantity to be granted to any one

IOHN BLAIR, Jun. Cl. Coun.

**fett** refe to t be land the mit An veri of fam ates orde miff abor thou affai their

33

"

рr

66

\*\*

mi

the

and Kir

to.

t'any fteps id feating me laffed, 1000 acres latten and

" there late war, been the ore fully rill be efitents tor on feven en notice l council the 5/4 the trail-LTAKE e do not overeign let myhis affociates,

" meafure."

to the Earl council, to nd the fol-

int, I have been made it, nor even ying to the in grants at the foregoing located as fees of Virhofe lands of October, feating of a renewal contrary to o any one un."

"ciates. All that I can, confiftently with my duty, 
"hope for, is, that all prior rights, whether equitable 
"or legal, may be preferved and protected." The 
prefident further mentioned, "That with respect to the 
"establishment of a new colony on the back or 
"Virginia, it is a subject of too great political importance for me to presume to give an opinion upon. 
"---However permit me, my Lord, to observe, that 
"when that part of the country shall become sufficiently populated, it may be a wife and prudent

On the first of July, 1772, the Lords of the Committee of Council represented to the King, that it was their opinion a grant should be made to Mr. Walpole and his affociates; and on the 14th of August, the King approved thereof, and ordered the Lords of Trade to report to him in Council, if any, and what terms of fettlement and cultivation, and what restrictions and refervations were necessary to be inferted in the grant to these gentlemen, exclusive of the money AGREED to be paid by them, as a confideration for the tract of land in question, with a clause to save and preserve to the respective occupiers, all prior claims within its limits, whether derived under equitable or legal titles: And also to prepare a plan for establishing a new government on the faid lands, together with an estimate of the expence, and the ways and means by which the fame should be defrayed by Mr. Walpole and his affociates. The same day the King in Council, by a further order, gave the necessary directions to the Lords Commissioners for trade and plantations, for carrying the above into execution; and that the Earl of Dartmouth should direct his Majesty's Superintendant for Indian affairs, to apprize the Chiefs of the Six Nations and their Confederates, of his Majesty's intentions to form

On the 6th of May, 1773, the Earl of Dartmouth, and the other Lords of Trade, reported to the King, that as the measures, which his Majesty had been pleased to adopt, in regard to his possessions on the Ohio, had, they supposed, been principally founded on the necessity there was of introducing some regular form of government in a country incapable of participating the advantages arising from the civil institution of Virginia, they had made that the first object of their attention; and accordingly fubmitted the form and confliction of the new colony, which they named Vandalia, to his Majesty, and at the same time, and in the fame report, -- they observed, "That pursuant to his Majesty's order in Council of the 14th day of Auguft, 1772, fo much, and fuch part of the land included within the new colony, as is herein after mentioned, be granted to the Honorable Thomas Walpole, Samuel Whatton, and other their affociates, that is to fay, all the lands included within the following lines, viz ---" Beginning bo alo vai bod til t

faid

N HA II

b

oi

m

ea

íai

ald

οf

dai

con date

jesty dred purs lury,

> limit ble c respe hower

Server the pr the T

I.

Ted of them
th fent inintendant
the Six
d of the
the river
did, on
s of the
me made
am Johnth which

nd defir-

hem the

d to go-

he affist-

rtmouth, e King, d been on the nded on regular particilitution ject of e form named and in ant to of Aund inioned, amuel

y, all

7iz.---

paing

66 Beginning at the fouth fide of the river Ohio, oppofite to the mouth of Scioto, then foutherly through the pass of the Quallista Mountains, to the south side of the faid Mountains; thence along the fide of the faid Mountains north cafterly to the fork the Great Kenhawa, made by the junction of Green Brian River and New River, thence along the faid Green Briar River. on the easterly side of the same, unto the head or termination of the north eafterly branch thereof; thence eafterly to the Allegany Mountains, thence along the faid Allegany Mountains to Lord Fairfax's line; thence along the fame to the spring head of the north branch of the river Potomack; thence along the western boundary line of the province of Maryland, to the fouthern boundary line of the province of Pennsylvania; thence along the faid boundary line of the province of Pennfylvania to the end thereof; thence along the western boundary line of the faid province of Pennfylvania, until the same shall strike the river Ohio, thence down the faid river Ohio, to the place of beginning.

"That the grant should be made on the following conditions and reservations, that is to say;

"That the grantees should, upon the day of the date of the grant, pay into the receipt of his said Majesty's exchequer, the sum of Ten thousand four hundred and sixty pounds, seven shillings and three pence, pursuant to the agreement made with his Majesty's treasury, on the 4th of January, 1770.

"That all prior claims to the said lands, within the limits of the said graft, whether derived under equitable of legal titles, should be saved and reserved to the respective occupiers and possessors, with the exception however to all occupancy of what nature or kind what-seever, when or made subsequent to the agreement for the purchase of the said grant made with the lords of the Treasury, on the 4th of January, 1770, by Messes. Walpole,

Walfole, Wharton, Sargent and Franklin, on behalf of themselves and their affociates; and provided also, that the grant of 200,000 acres to be made to the officers of the regiment raised and paid by the colony of Firginia in 1754, in consequence of the engagement made to them, be located in one contiguous tract."---" But the Lords Commissioners for grade and plantations, humbly craved leave to represent to his Majesty, that they had omitted any restriction upon the proprietors, from fettling to the west of the line agreed upon in October, 1770,---that restriction having, as they conceived, become altogether unnecessary. The district reserved to his Majesty being far distant from any settlement,--should be bounded on the fouth west by the river Louisa or Catawba River, which is very far west of the utmost extent of the traff to be granted in propriety." \*

On the 19th day of May, 1773, his Britanic Majesty, by an order in Council of that day, referred the preceding report of the Earl of Dartmouth, and the other Lords of Trade, to the Lords of the Council.

On the 3d day of July, 1773, their Lerdships of the Council, by an order under the Privy Seal, directed to his Majesty's Attorney and Solicitor General, were pleased to order them to prepare, and lay before them a proper instrument to be passed under the Great Seal of Great Britain, containing a grant to the Hon. Thomas Walpole, Esq. and others his associates, of the lands aforesaid, inserting in the same, the conditions and reservations proposed in the repair of the Lords Commissioners for trade and plantations, a copy whereof was annexed to the faid orders.—And in the 16th of July, 1773, the Ring's Attorney and Balkinor General made a report in the Lands of the Council, and after due Consideration of the Same, their Lordships were pleased to iffue the following merities and the last the lands of the Council, and after due Consideration of the Same, their Lordships were pleased to iffue the following merities again.

The boundaries of the selectly of Families extend, wellerly to daught we Grieble, or Ogenea, tierr.

behalf of alfo, that officers of Virgi-

made to
But the
hum-

hat they

o, from

October,

oed, be-

rved to nent,--r Louisa

utmost

ic Maed the the o-

il.
ips of
rected
were
iem a
eal of
bomas

lands
d remilmilwas
luly,
weral

eral der rec AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, WHITEHALL, the 28th day of October, 1773.

BY THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE LORDS COMMIT-TEE OF COUNCIL FOR PLANTATION AFFAIRS.

WHEREAS there was this day laid before their Lordships, a report from his Majesty's Attorney and Solicitor General, upon taking into consideration an order of this Committee, bearing date the 3d of July last, whereby they were directed to prepare a draught of a proper instrument to be passed under the Great Seal of Great Britain, containing a grant to the Honorable Thomas Walpole, Esq; and others his affociates, of certain lands on the river Ohio, in North America, inferting in the faid draught, the conditions and refervations proposed in a report of the Lords Commissioners for trade and plantations, bearing date the 6th of May, 1773, a copy whereof was annexed to the faid order.---In which report of his Majesty's said Attorney and Solicitor General, it is humbly fubmitted, that the granting the faid lands in joint-tenancy, will probably render it impossible to make any under grants with complete titles: That the description of the Thing to be granted, is much more loofe and uncertain, than bath been usual in royal grants, or than hath been practifed, so far as they know, in any but the grants which were made for the erection of colonies, to the first adventurers in America, while it was wholly unknown; and further, that the quit-reats will not be fo well secured to his Majesty, if they are not reserved from the lands under granted, instead of being made payable by the grantees. The Lords of the Committee this day work the faid report into confideration, and are hereby pleased To ORDER, that his Majerry's Attorney and Solicitor General, de prepare and lay before this Committee the draught of a proper infirument, to be passed under the Steat

Great Seal of Great Britain, containing a grant to the Honorable Thomas Walpole, Samuel Wharton, Benjamin Franklin and John Sargent, Esqrs. and their heirs and affigns, of the lands prayed for by their memorial; (they being the persons who, in behalf of themselves and their associates, CONTRACTED for the said lands, with the Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's treasury) inserting in the said draught the conditions and reservations proposed in the said report of the Lords Commissioners for trade and plantations, dated the 6th of May, 1773; excepting that part of the faid report, which propofes, that the grantees do pay the quit rents to his Majesty, his heirs and fuccessors; and in respect thereof, the Lords of the Committee are hereby pleased to order, that the faid quit rents be reserved from the lands, which shall be leased, fold, demised, planted or settled, whether the faid lands be fettled and planted by the faid grantees themselves, or by their heirs or asfigns, or under tenants; the faid payment of the quitrents not to commence until the expiration of twenty years after fuch leafing, demissing, planting or fettling respectively. And, for the better ascertaining the bounds of the faid tract of land to be granted as aforefaid, their Lordships are hereby pleased to transmit the map \* of the tract of land prayed for by the memorialists and TO ORDER, that his Majeff's Attorney and Solici-

r

ti

be

17

an

for

roj

43

while these solemn and uncrior measures were taking in England; the Earl of Durant Governor of Virginia, presumed in visitation of his industrious so pass

tor General do INSERT the bounds in the Jame manner as they are described and afcertained in the said report

of the Lord Commissioners for trade and plantations,

The map here mentioned, is annexed to the order of the poly continued the grant feel thereof, now in Philadelphia.

several orders of Council for lands, to the westward of the Alligan: Mountain; and upon a representation thereof being made to the Earl of Dartmouth, Secretary of State for America, his Lordship, by the King's express command, wrote to Lord Dunmere, as follows:

" Whitehall, October the 5th, 1774.

" My Lord,

" AS your Lordship says, that you was intirely ignorant of the claim of Mr. Walfole and his affociates, otherwise than by common report, I think fit to inclose your Lordship a copy of Lord Hillsborough's letter to Lord Botetourt, of the gift of July, 1770, the receipt of which was acknowledged by Mr. President Nelson, a few days after Lord Botetourt's death, and appears by his answer to it, to have been laid before the Council. That board therefore, could not be ignorant of what had passed here on Mr. Walpole's application, nor of the King's express commands, contained in Lord Hillsborough's letter, that no land whatever should be granted beyond the limits of the Royal proclamation of 1763, until the King's further pleasure was signified, and I have only to observe, that it must have been a very extraordinary neglect in them, not to have in-

formed your Lordship of that letter, and these orders. Signed Dartmouth."

In the spring of the year 1775, the draught of a royal grant for the lands agreed for by Mr. Waltele and his affociates, being prepared, agreeable to the order of the Privy Council, and having undergone the examination of said Camaen, and others of the broprictors, the execution thereof wis fathered to be fire of the Lord Preferent, until Addition like confedent United Colonies; and in the beginning of the light of March, 1781, a memberal signed by the House at

**feveral** 

int to the

Borrianin

heirs and

nemorial;

Elves and

nds, with

y) infert-

ervations

niffion**er**s

ay, 1773,

propotes,

Maiefty,

reof, the

o order,

he lands,

or fet-

anted by

rs or af-

the quit-

f twenty

r fettling

ing the

s afore-

fmit the

orialists

d Solici-

manner

d report

tations,

re tak-

rnor of

to pair

Beniamin Franklin and Samuel Wharton, Itwo of the persons to whom the reval grant in question was to have been made by the Brifife government! was prefented to the Honorable the United States in Concreis affembled, --- fetting forth all the proceedings, which had been had in the premifes; and that they the memorialitis' and their affociates had incurred a great lose of time, (particularly Semuel Wharton, in an absence of more than eight years from his family and affairs) and expended a very large fum of money, in conducting the business through the offices and departments of the British government, &c. whereby together with the before recited contract, they acquired an equitable title to the lands in question, notwithstanding the instrument for conveying the same was not compleated, by reason of the foregoing hostilities.'

Dr. Franklin and Mr. Wharton also represented, that as the United States had succeeded to the sovereignty of the territory, which they had contracted for, they confided, that they would think it just and reasonable to consider the said terrirory, as subject to such contracts and dispositions as were made concerning it, while it confeffedly belonged to the Britisberown, and that they might not fuffer so great an injury by a change of sovereignty, as to be deprived of their equitable right to the said lands; and lastly they prayed, that the lands might be granted to them, and to their heirs and affigns (in traft for themselves and affociates) upon the terms and conditions of their contract, and of the order of the privy council (under the great feal thereof) or upon fuch other terms, as may be convenient to the interests of the United States, and not injuliant to them. Objervations,

LT will have been form in the foregoing pages, that Virginia, in the fullest manner, recognized the treaty ::::

crd

or.

\*\*1.1

Th

Mr

app

lay

grai

this

plac

did

" >

feif

ates:

whet

prote

by th

**ipots** 

Walte

Whar

and t

profec

vented

great

from t

Must

thefe g

of Con

owner

acknov

ment,

If

&c.

ro of the on was to was pre-Congreis s, which the megreat loss ablence d affairs) nducting is of the the bee title to trument eason of ed, that

gnty of y conable to ntracts itconmight reignbe faid ght be es (in and of the upon rells. of Fort Stanwix:--- That the was a humble fultor to the King, for a part of the country ceded by it to the crown, and endeavoured to extend her government over the Allegany Mountain, --- but by a royal proclamation and repeated instructions, was forbidden:----That she had early and efficial notice of the contract of Mr. Walpole and affociates, and gave such answer as , appears in the preceding pages, but never prefumed to lay any claim to the eceded lands, nor object to the grant of Indiana .--- On the contrary, supposed, that this grant and the one to Mr. Croghan, " would take place of all new adventurers," and declared, that the did not prefume to fay, " to whom his most gracious " Majesty should grant his vacant lands, nor fet herself up as an opponent to Mr. Walpsle and his affociates;--all that was hoped for, was, that all rights, whether equitable or legal, should be preserved and protected."--- And the same just regard was also shewn by the privy council of England and the Lords for trade, &c. towards the equitable rights of all persons, for spots of land within the tract to be granted to Mr. Walpole and his affociates.

If the proceedings, in the case of Messes. Franklin, Wharton, Trent and their affociates, are confidered, and the great expence and loss of time incurred in the profecution of their contract, and that nothing prevented their obtaining a grant for the same, under the great scal of England, but the change of sovereignty from the King thereof to the United States of America. Must not all impartial persons concur in saying, that these gentlemen have the fairest claim upon the justice of Congress, as they are the equitable purchasers and owners of the lands in quellion? For their controll is acknowledged by all departments of the British government, under the feal of the privy council. - This fact

that neaty,

cannot be denied, as public and indisputable authorities are ready to be produced to prove it .--- The glorious revolution of these states was not made to destroy, but among other things, to proted private property; and as the grant to Meiles. Franklin, &c. would have passed under the British government,---can it be supposed, that the Congress of inerica, so famed for wisdom, fortitude and liberality, will be less sensible to the influence of justice, than the King of England was --- Forbid it virtue; --- forbid it equity:--- The mind revolts at an idea fo iffjurious to the national honour and probity of the United States, --- who abound in too much wildom not to know, --- that after all the proceedings had upon the contract to recognized by the privy council, &c.--if there had been any denial of justice in England, the court of chancery of that kingdom, upon the application of Messes. Franklin, &c., would have decreed a frecisic performance of the agreement on the part of the crown. This the most celebrated judges and lawyers of that kingdom, have uniformly declared, as " IT IS A KNOWN AND ESTABLISHED RULE IN EQUITY. "THAT FROM THE TIME OF THE CONTRACT, the " Vendor is a TRUSTER for the Vendee, 'till the Con-" VEYANCE IS EXECUTED, and if the vendor should " afterwards fell the same lands to another having " notice of the precedent contract, fill. "TRANSPERS the TRUST and the fest wedde may, " in fuch cafe, bring his bill against the second yender

" for a specific ferformance."

Cufes in Equity, val. 2. printed der 276

## APPENDIX, No. 2.

In the House of Delegates, of Virginia June 9, 1779.
Refolved,

HAT the commonwealth of Virginia hath the exclusive right of pre-emption from the Indians, of all the lands within the limits of its own chartered territory, as declared by the act and conflictation of government, in the year 1776, that no person or persons whatever have, or ever had, a right to purchase any lands within the same, from any Indian nation, except only persons duly authorized to make such purchases, on the public account, formerly for the use and benefit of the colony and lately of the commonwealth, AND THAT SUCH EXCLUSIVE RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION WILL, AND OUGHT TO BE MAINTAINED BY THIS Commonwealth, to THE UTMOST OF ITS POWER.

Refolved,

oriious

but I as

un-

hat

rti-

nce

it

an

of

o**m** 

02

he

on fic

at

That every purchase of lands heretofore made by the King of Great Britain, from any Indian nation or nations, within the before mentioned limits, doth and ought to endure for every to and for the use and benefit of this commenwealth, and to and for no other use, or purpose whatsoever.

Refliced, sherefore,

That the elect from the Six United Nations of Indians, hearing date on the third day of November, 1768, for certain lands between the Allegany Mountains and the Ringer Ohi, allege the mouth of the Little Kenhewa Creek, to and for the sic and benefit of a certain Willess Taget, gentleman, in his own right, and si proless the findey persons in the faid deed masself-asthese alleges and the land have been in their seless alleges and services of Victories and the

## 164 - APPENDIX, No. 1.

faid, to or for the use or benefit of any private person or persons, shall be, and the same are bereby declared atterly void, and of no effect: Which being separate put, was carried in the affirmative, without all or amendment, by a great majority. The being 50 and 28.

June the 17th, 1779, the allembly passed the follow-

ing law respecting the right of pre-emption.

To remove and prevent all doubts becerving purchases of lands from the Indian natives Be-it declared by the general affembly, that this componyeth hath the exclusive right of pre-emption from the transfer. all lands within the limits of its concherting as described by the act and confliction of god in the year 1776—That no person or persons whatsoever haveyeer ever bad, a right to purchase any lands within the fame, from any Indian nation, except only perfous duly authorized to make fuch purchases on the public account, formerly for the use and benefit of the colony, and lately of the companies lith, and that auem exclusive Right of Per-emption AND OUGHT TO BE MAINTAINED BY THIS CON-MONWEALTH, ATO THE UTMOST OF THE And be it further declared as ourchest of loads berete

