



**IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic
Sciences
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580
(716) 872-4503

24 28 25
23 32 22
20
18

**CIHM/ICMH
Microfiche
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH
Collection de
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

10

© 1981

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion
along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la
distortion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may
appear within the text. Whenever possible, these
have been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées
lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,
mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont
pas été filmées.
- Additional comments:
Commentaires supplémentaires:

- Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible
- Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata
slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to
ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partiellement
obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure,
etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à
obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	14X	18X	22X	26X	30X
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12X	16X	20X	24X	28X	32X

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:




Library of the Public
Archives of Canada

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

La bibliothèque des Archives
publiques du Canada

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \rightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

Mc 4021207

Am. 70 2 2 2 0

REPORT

OF

A. M. WELLINGTON, C.E.,

TO THE

BOARD OF TRADE

OF THE

CITY OF TORONTO,

ON THE


TERMINAL FACILITIES OF THE PORT.

AUGUST, 1889.

Toronto:

PRINTED BY HUNTER, ROSE & COMPANY.

1889.





TORONTO WATER-FRONT AND

as now existing or proposed



T O R O N T O H A R B O R



T O R O N T O H A R B O R

A. M. Wellington
 M. Am. Soc. C.E., M. Inst. C.E.

Tribune Building, New York
 Aug. 16, 1889.

READJUSTMENT OF TORONTO WATER-FRONT

proposed by A.M. We

WATER-FRONT PUBLIC PARK

Water-Front used for Yachting,
 Boating, and Island Ferringe
 Purposes.

Pass. Car Storage-YARD

Union Pass. Station

G.T.R. LOCAL FREIGHT
 YARD AND DOCKS

C.P.R. LOCAL FREIGHT
 YARD AND DOCKS

C.P.R.

Old Fort

Old Fort

Queens Hotel



Water Works

New Windmill Line

New Windmill Line

FRONT AND TERMINAL FACILITIES

Existing or proposed.



WATER-FRONT AND TERMINAL FACILITIES

Designed by A.M. Wellington, C.E.



REPORT
OF
A. M. WELLINGTON, C.E.,
TO THE
BOARD OF TRADE
OF THE
CITY OF TORONTO,
ON THE
TERMINAL FACILITIES OF THE PORT.

~~~~~  
AUGUST, 1889.  
~~~~~

Toronto:
PRINTED BY HUNTER, ROSE & COMPANY.
1889.



REPORT
OF THE
TERMINAL FACILITIES
OF THE
CITY OF TORONTO.

*To the Chairman and Members of the Railway Committee of the
Board of Trade of the City of Toronto.*

GENTLEMEN :

Having been commissioned by you to examine the existing and proposed status of the Railway facilities along the water front of the City of Toronto with the view of seeing what arrangement would be most in keeping with the interests and wishes of the citizens and of the great railway companies concerned, and having fulfilled your instructions in that respect by a careful study of the situation, I now beg leave to submit the following report :—

The difficulties which are now felt in Toronto are in their substance common to it and to nearly every other large city on the continent, all arising from the general fact that the railways in the cities have grown up together very rapidly from small beginnings, when the magnitude to which the traffic of each would grow was little appreciated and when, even if it had been appreciated, capital was too scarce and costly to admit of adequate provisions to avoid such future difficulties.

To have exacted them would have prevented the construction of most of the early railways altogether, and it was therefore in the interests of the cities themselves that such conditions of practice were permitted. Under modern conditions very great annoyances are already resulting from such early practice in Toronto and many other cities, but great as the evils now are, it is im-

portant to remember in any study of such problems that they still tend to become rapidly greater, owing to the simultaneous growth in both the size of the cities and growth of railway traffic, which for many years to come is certain to go on in almost geometric ratio.

Any plan, therefore, which will not be adequate for at least double the present population and present railway traffic is to that extent imperfect.

The situation in Toronto is in two respects more favorable than in most other cities. In the first place there are but two corporations to deal with and to satisfy in regard to any proposed plans, the Grand Trunk Railway and the Canadian Pacific Railway. These two great companies having absorbed the six other independent lines which formerly entered the City, viz.: the Northern, Great Western, Midland and Toronto and Nipissing, absorbed by the Grand Trunk, and the Toronto, Grey & Bruce and the Credit Valley absorbed by the Canadian Pacific. But for this fortunate fact it would probably be hopeless to attempt to reconcile conflicting interests. I do not understand either of the two great resulting corporations to be in a position to incur any large present expense for Toronto betterments, nor have I to propose that either of them shall incur any such expense, but it is far easier to provide for satisfying all their reasonable demands than if there were eight or nine corporations as there were and are in the City of Buffalo, for example.

The second favorable feature of the situation in Toronto is that the difficulties are all confined to a narrow strip along the water front. Owing to the topography of the city, and to the enlightened policy of providing over or under crossings for all important streets, which has been entered upon earlier in Toronto than in most similar cities, it is not likely that any further local difficulties of the kind above referred to will arise for a long time to come, if the water front problem be at once adequately settled.

On the other hand, the Toronto situation is unusually unfavorable in this: that the entire available water front of the City is now occupied by six railway tracks, over which nearly all the railway traffic to and through the city now passes. The entire length of this available water front is limited, being but a few

feet over two miles, and there are but few points on it to which access can be had without crossing on a level these six tracks. The resulting disadvantages were in the beginning small. There were few trains and few people wishing access to the water front. They are already great. They must in a few years more become much greater than they are now. About half of this water front has been for years under railway control and not generally accessible. This we may throw out of account. In my judgment any plan for adjusting the existing situation be regarded as entirely acceptable, should provide for permanently relieving the remaining mile of water front, from York Street to Berkeley Street, from all obstruction by tracks, leaving access to it perfectly free. It is a scant water front at best for a city of half a million of people, such as Toronto bids fair to become.

It can be and it should be free from all obstruction by railway tracks. The intolerable nature of the evils which may flow from the situation like the present one may be better comprehended by fancying a six track belt railway on a level, extending all the water front of New York.

The Port would be destroyed. It is not likely that Toronto will ever have the present population of New York, and still less likely that there ever will be as many people and teams seeking access to each mile of water front, but the population of Toronto per mile is already larger than New York's, and a much less active use of it would cause such an obstruction to be regarded as an intolerable evil. Two methods of remedying this evil exist, by building overhead roadway bridges across the tracks, or by lifting the tracks bodily above the street level on an elevated structure.

The overhead bridges are far better than nothing, but under the conditions existing in Toronto their use is open to several very serious objections. It seems unnecessary to consider these objections in detail, however, as I judge that by the plans subjoined the necessities of the existing situation, and the reasonable wishes of all three of the parties interested, viz., the Grand Trunk Railway, the Canadian Pacific Railway, and the City of Toronto, will be met more completely than by any other plan which has been submitted or has occurred to me, and it provides for elevating the tracks

above the streets along the stated mile of water front. I have constantly borne in mind that any plan, to have reasonable chance of adoption, must do equal justice to all of these three great interests, and must, if possible, be more favorable to each than any other available would be.

The plan which I have to propose as meeting these conditions is in brief this: That a new Union Station shall be constructed on the south half of the Parliament Building Square bowing Front Street out around it to the north. The grade of the tracks in this building to be about fifteen feet above the tracks in the present Union Station. The building to be approached from the West by a four-track road on the solid, starting from about Bathurst Street and rising by a very gentle incline along the south slope of Front Street. A four-track viaduct to start from the station eastwardly and run through the centre of Esplanade and Mill Streets, in a nearly straight line to a point somewhat west of the Don River crossing, where it will fork, one double track branch being for the Canadian Pacific and one for the Grand Trunk. The elevated tracks to pass over the upper deck of the Don bridge at about the level of the upper cords of the present bridge. Thence the Grand Trunk tracks to be carried nearly level on an earth fill until they reach the grade of the present tracks between Queen Street and Logan Avenue. The large area between York Street on the east and Peter Street on the west, and between Front Street on the north and the new Windmill or Bulk head line on the south, to be given up wholly to local freight use, the Grand Trunk retaining the north half of this area, which it now uses, and the Canadian Pacific Railway having the south half. All this area, as also nearly the equal area west of it, between Bathurst and Peter Streets, now owned and used by the Grand Trunk exclusively, to be free from all interference by city streets and traffic, beyond the slight amount which now exists. The city to assume from the Canadian Pacific Railway all the land and right of way which it has acquired along the Esplanade from York to Parliament Streets, and credit that company with it, as below suggested, converting the block between York and Yonge into a water side park, with piers and boat houses for the yacht clubs, island ferries, and other pleasure boating interests.

The scheme thus hastily outlined is considered more in detail below, and must be so considered to be fully understood, but its main scope and purpose will be understood from the above, and from a study of the accompanying map. The total cost of the work thus outlined should not exceed \$2,654,300, as will appear in more detail below.

As it would be useless to suggest the expenditure of so large a sum of money without seeing how it was to be obtained, I have further to suggest that the entire work should be carried out at the cost of the city through a non-political commission appointed for that special purpose, and that running rights over it be granted in perpetuity to the railways concerned at a fixed annual rental, which rental should be such as to return to the city, say $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 per cent, of the cost, the city to retain power to grant similar running rights to any and all other companies which might apply for it after securing access to the viaduct terminals at Bathurst street or the Don River, or both, but the rental received from such new companies to go toward the reduction of other rentals, so that in no case should the city exact a larger total rental than $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent, on the cost of the work. The companies using the station and viaduct to maintain the works in addition to paying rental. For reasons below more fully outlined, I judge it to be equitable and expedient that the companies using the works should contribute to the maintenance and rental charges in equal fractions, regardless of the number of trains run by each, leaving each to run as many or as few as it pleases. The companies would naturally expect and demand that, if the works were executed under the control of a commission, the maximum rental charge which they were to pay should be fixed in advance, leaving the city to bear the whole burden of any unforeseen excess of cost, but giving them the benefit of any reduction in cost below the estimate.

The reasons which seem to make this method of construction expedient are these:

1. The two great companies which now furnish Toronto with such excellent railway facilities are neither of them in a financial position to undertake such a costly work, if I correctly understand their financial status.

2. They have not the same necessity for doing so. They can both of them get along fairly well under their existing or proposed arrangements. It is the City of Toronto which will be the greatest sufferer.

3. The city can raise the money easily at $3\frac{1}{2}$ to $3\frac{3}{4}$ per cent. The companies would in one way or another have to pay nearly double that, even if it be practically possible for them to raise it at all. Under the suggested arrangement the improvements will cost the companies \$33,000 to \$36,000 per year each, gross, from which is to be deducted interest on the value of the property turned over. Were they to construct the work themselves the cost might be \$80,000 each. They can well afford the smaller sum, while they might easily hesitate at the larger sum.

4. The City of Toronto owes more to the railways than the railways owe to Toronto. However it may be with a property like the New York Central which has been enormously profitable to its owners, there would be an injustice in forcing comparatively poor corporations, which have yet served the public as well as the New York Central, into expenses demanded much less by the growth of their own traffic than by the growth of the city.

5. If the railways contributed a part or all of the cost of the structure, they might with reason demand that it should be retained forever for their sole use. This is not for the interest of the city, nor should it be permitted under any circumstances. While there seems no immediate probability of any third company coming to the city, nor any need therefor, the city should carefully guard the right of any new company to obtain running rights to the union passenger station, nor can either of the present corporations serving the city reasonably object to this. It will still be difficult enough for any such company to place itself in a position to compete for Toronto business on equal terms.

In more detail, the plans above recommended may be summarized as follows :—

THE UNION STATION.

The block now occupied by the old Parliament buildings is about 940 feet long, by 415 feet deep, the width of Front Street on the south of it, being about 83 feet. By placing the south

wall of the new station on or near to the south line of Front Street, as shown in figure 2, the street being deflected north around the front of the station, there will be room for a union station 175 feet wide, containing six or more tracks; for a street or piazza in front of that of about 123 feet, and yet leave 200 feet of the block in depth fronting on Wellington Street, or nearly half of it, available for sale.

It does not admit of doubt that this residue, with the union station built, would be worth more than the whole block is now or otherwise will be. Among other desirable uses to which it might be put, it would furnish a most admirable site for a large hotel. The block being now public property the rear half of it, reserving 200 feet in depth along the Wellington Street front, might appropriately be given by the province to the city, on condition that such a union station as herein proposed be erected upon it. But whether this be done, or whether the entire block be bought from the province by the city, there is no reason why the land for the station should cost the city anything, and I therefore do not include any allowance therefor in the estimate below.

A station containing six passenger tracks would require a train room about 120 feet wide, and should be about 700 feet long, the train shed of the present station being only 430 feet long. This would leave available a space 55 feet wide and 700 feet long for waiting rooms and offices, which would be more than ample for all requirements. The most suitable level for the tracks would be at about 23 feet above the city datum (15½ feet above the tracks in the present Union Station, and about 2 feet above the present level of Front Street).

The foundations of such a structure in this location will not be expensive, and the sum of \$500,000 will be ample for the erection of a building in all respects worthy of the city, and adequate for the needs of the city for the next 20 or 30 years at least. Possibly \$400,000 or less would suffice. Careful estimate for a very much larger structure in the City of Buffalo, 340 feet total front, by 800 feet total length, with a train shed 280 feet wide, by 670 feet long for 14 tracks, and surmounted by a tower over 200 feet high, showed a total cost of \$700,000 only.

Back of the station there should be placed two tracks for freight use, as shown in figure 2, resting on solid ground which may probably need to be supported by a retaining wall. The cost of such a wall for the entire distance between Simcoe and John Streets need not exceed \$42,000.

PASSENGER CAR STORAGE GROUND.

It will be necessary, or at least desirable, in order to save needless switching, that there should be a considerable number of storage tracks for passenger cars in the immediate vicinity of the station, and on the same level therewith. The chief necessity for this ground is on behalf of the Canadian Pacific Railway, the Grand Trunk having other available ground reasonably accessible, and if used by only one railway should pay for it. But as it would be convenient to both companies to have available storage tracks in the immediate vicinity, the cost thereof is included in the general estimate.

Such storage ground can be best obtained (Front Street being already deflected northward around the station) by expropriating 100 to 150 feet in depth off the front of the block between Peter and John Streets. This will enable Front Street to be moved 100 to 150 feet north along this block, and leave available, where Front Street now is, a space 600 feet by 100 feet or more, which will easily suffice for storing 40 to 60 cars. This is enough for all legitimate needs of such a yard, which is merely for cleaning cars and temporary storage between trains. There are many important stations which have no storage ground available without a considerable haul.

The cost of this land I cannot pretend to estimate. It is not occupied by valuable buildings, nor likely to be, and I am advised that \$100,000 to \$120,000 is more than it should cost.

The acquisition of this ground is convenient, not only for its specific purpose, but to facilitate the location of the four-track west approach to the station and the construction of a subway approach to the Grand Trunk freight house, near John St., which may be needed. The net cost of such a subway, if built in connection with the union station and retaining wall above, should

not exceed \$20,000, if indeed it shall finally appear to be needed at all.

THE WEST APPROACH.

The four tracks of the west approach should start from Bathurst St. and follow substantially the present line and grade to a point about midway in the block between Bathurst and Brock Sts. They should thence rise on an easy grade on solid ground, catching on to south slope of Front St. to the station:

The track between Bathurst and Brock Sts. is substantially on a level, if I am correctly informed, and about 8 feet above city datum. There will, therefore, be a rise of about 15 feet to be made in a distance of about 2,600 feet, giving an up grade of about 30 feet per mile. So far from this being a disadvantage, it is to be preferred to a level, tending to help to start trains out quickly from the station, and stop quickly in approaching it.

In fact there would be no very serious engineering objections, in my judgment, in starting the ascending grades from the vicinity of Brock St. and making an ascent of 15 feet in a distance of about 1,500 feet, or at the rate of 1 in 100. This would have the advantage of requiring no change in the present Brock St. bridge, but for various reasons I am not prepared to advise this.

By the plan recommended, the north end of the Brock St. bridge will need to be about 5 feet higher. The present bridge is cambered upward greatly in the centre, and if renewed by a straighter bridge in such manner that the southern half of the road-bed would be on the grade of the present span, but the northern half of the road-bed considerably higher than now, all necessary ends will be attained. The street approaches to the north-side end of the bridge at its higher level are very easily arranged for without injury to any property, by methods which it is hardly expedient to enter into in this report more fully.

The cost of the west approach and of all work in connection therewith, will vary according to the details adopted. The highest proper cost, in my judgment, is \$45,000, apart from the value of the ground on which the tracks rest, which now belongs to the Grand Trunk Railway, except east of Peter St.

THE EAST APPROACH AND ESPLANADE VIADUCT.

Starting eastwardly from the station the four tracks strike diagonally across the block between York and Simcoe Sts. south of Front, passing nearly through the centre of the Marlborough House, the on'y building to be destroyed to carry out these recommendations, and striking the line of the present Esplanade tracks just east of York St. The value of property so taken I have no means of estimating, but an ample allowance is included below.

Shortly after leaving the Union Station, about in the middle of the block north of the present Union Station, it is proposed that the line shall pass upon a four-track iron viaduct, similar in its general plan to the elevated railways of New York, and pass thence through the centre of Esplanade St., and directly over the present tracks to Berkeley St., where the line will leave the Esplanade, and continue straight through Mill St. to a point between Cherry and East Sts., making the total length of four track viaduct about 7,800 feet, (1.48 miles). Here the viaduct will fork into two double-track structures, one branch for the Grand Trunk Railway, continuing on to and across the Don River where the present Grand Trunk bridge now is, about 1,800 feet (0.34 miles), and the other for the Canadian Pacific Railway curving to the north and slightly descending to and across Eastern Avenue, which it crosses with about 13 feet clearance, enabling a connection to be easily made with the Canadian Pacific Railway tracks along the Don River, passing under the Queen St. bridge, after that structure has been raised sufficiently to enable the tracks to pass under it, as it will have to be in time in any case.

There will be no greater necessity for so doing, however, by this plan than by any other. It might be found better, if deemed advisable, that the four-track viaduct should not fork before reaching the Don, as above outlined, but should be carried in along the next bank of the Don, as proposed for the C. P. R. tracks, until Gerrard St. has been passed, when the two G. T. R. tracks could then branch off due east to a connection with the G. T. R. present line. The present G. T. R. bridge at the mouth of the Don and the mile of track thence to Gerrard St. could then be abandoned.

This would involve no sacrifice of convenient access to the present G. T. R. yard at the mouth of the Don, and would somewhat decrease the total cost of the improvement, but as this change, if finally decided on, does not affect the solution of the Esplanade question proper, I assume for the purpose of this report that the tracks across and along the Don are to remain as now existing and proposed.

The grade of this structure I should advise to be a level at an elevation about 20 feet above the present tracks in Esplanade St., or about 28 feet above the city datum. This requires a slight rise of 5 feet in the approach from the Union Station, which can be made by a grade of 1 in 300 between York and Yonge Sts.

For practical purposes we may consider the total of iron viaduct as equivalent to 9,600 feet, (1.82 miles), of four-track line, which would be constructed under what are called "Consolidation specifications," fit for any working loads or speeds likely to be required. Such a structure can be constructed in the best manner for \$160 per foot, as an outside estimate, or \$1,536,000, which amount will include the cost of foundations and of a double-deck drawbridge over the Don River, as below noted, in place of the present fixed spans. Should the competition be sharp and the prices of iron low, its cost might be considerably less.

With this viaduct built, and with no dangerous level road crossing beyond it, passenger trains can easily average 30 miles per hour over it or pass it in about 3.23 minutes. If limited to six miles an hour over the same distance, as they must be to operate tracks on the street level with safety, they must take about 18.13 minutes to pass over the same distance, a saving of nearly 15 minutes. This is a great consideration for suburban trains, and even through trains, being equivalent in time to the saving of nearly ten miles in distance between Toronto and Montreal. For the effective conduct of a local city service below alluded to, it is a *sine qua non*.

BEYOND THE DON RIVER.

The connection of the Grand Trunk tracks beyond the Don River with the elevated tracks should be made by an earth fill along the present line. If the level of the elevated tracks be

continued on a level until it strikes the grade of the present tracks, it will strike them a little beyond Queen Street, about 3,300 feet from the Don crossing, raising the level of the tracks at Queen Street about 7 feet, and at Eastern Avenue about 14 feet; thus greatly facilitating the construction of subways at those streets, which should be put in while the fill is making, but the cost of which I do not include in the estimate for these proposed works, for the reason that subways are now needed there in any case. Rather, there should be a credit in the estimate, as the cost of these subways will be much decreased. Making no such credit, the cost of such earth fill to carry the Grand Trunk tracks to the higher level may safely be placed at \$40,000, including re-ballasting the tracks, masonry, and all incidental expenses legitimately chargeable thereto. It is much more troublesome and expensive to make such a fill while the tracks over it are kept serviceable for trains than to make it in the first place.

The cost of making this fill is included in the estimate below, the Grand Trunk being a finished road. The Canadian Pacific not being a finished road over the Don River, may be left to make its own connection with the elevated tracks at Eastern Avenue, which is very easy to do.

THE GRAND TRUNK EASTERN YARD.

The important Eastern yard of the Grand Trunk Railway along the mouth of the Don River is by the above plan made much more convenient than it now is, since it may be all used for yard purpose only, without necessity of reserving tracks for through trains. It must, however, be provided with an Eastern outlet, and to this end it is proposed to make the new drawbridge over the Don River a double-deck structure, the upper deck being for the elevated through tracks, and the lower deck carrying a yard track at about the level of the present tracks. After passing through the bridge from the yard, this track swings out around the base of the fill, and running slightly up grade along its slope, connects with the through tracks before reaching the Queen Street subway. In this way access to the icing yard for

refrigerator cars, and to the distillery cattle houses, as well as to Don yard in general, is fully preserved.

Another consideration makes elevated tracks across the Don desirable, viz. :—

THE ASHBRIDGE'S BAY AND EASTERN DISTRICT.

The probability is strong that the eastern district of the city will in time become densely populated, and that the Ashbridge's Bay water front and the surrounding marshes will be improved. In this case the Canadian Pacific Railway and any other line which may hereafter enter the City will be quite certain to demand and to obtain access by their own tracks to this district, by enforcing a grade crossing of the Grand Trunk main tracks, if necessary. This highly objectionable necessity is avoided by the elevation of the tracks.

A grade crossing of the lower yard track only is necessary for any tracks coming from the Don River to the Ashbridge's Bay district.

LOCAL FREIGHT YARDS.

The erection of the new Union Station at a higher level and further north, with the other improvements outlined, is not only nor chiefly to bring the passenger station nearer to the business centre of the city, nor to save the great expense of constructing a high level station on lower ground, nor to improve the value of real estate along Wellington and other streets in the vicinity of the new Union Station; nor to improve the value of the water front and of real estate on both sides of Esplanade Street; nor to save danger to life and property from the constant passage of through trains along the water front. All these ends are important, and all of them are fully secured, being alone sufficient to fully warrant the construction of the work above proposed. The main purpose of the particular plan outlined, however, is to free a very large space along the water front from all obstruction by through tracks and by passenger service, so that it may be devoted exclusively to local freight uses, thus providing both of the present Companies with much better facilities than they have now or are

sneaking to obtain, and making unnecessary those further encroachments on the limited water front of the city which in my judgment have justly excited the apprehension and opposition of the citizens of Toronto, but which they cannot too early and too clearly realize are practically indispensable under the existing status, unless some such general plan as that here outlined is carried out; and for reasons I have above outlined it cannot with any justice or probability of success be demanded that the Railways shall agree between themselves to carry out these improvements at their own expense.

By the plan outlined, the large quadrangular area bounded by Front Street on the north, York Street on the east, Peter Street on the west, and the new "Windmill" or bulkhead line on the south, is released entirely for local freight uses; a district 2,400 feet long, by about 1,250 feet deep, an area of nearly 69 acres in all, which will suffice for all the legitimate needs of both companies in this location for a century to come, even without remembering that the Grand Trunk has just west of this district a further quadrangular track extending from Peter nearly to Bathurst Street, and from Front Street south to the water front, 2,500 feet long, by about 1,400 feet deep, or over 80 acres in area, with which it is not proposed to interfere in any way, and which gives the Grand Trunk Railway all the water front for which it has now or can ever have any legitimate use, except that its large holdings have been acquired by consolidation of different independent lines, and largely while the city was small and land cheap it is not likely that it would even have attempted to acquire so great an area along the water front as it now holds, nor is it at all necessary that any of this area should continue to be used for shops, storage tracks, making up trains, or through service of any kind. The last it is proposed to move to the elevated tracks; the other uses specified can as well as not be served by other and cheaper land on the outskirts of the city, when and as traffic increases so as to demand it, which will not be for many years.

It is therefore proposed, as an essential part of the scheme of adjustment herein outlined, that the Grand Trunk shall surrender to the city, at a fair valuation, the two freehold tracks now held by it in the southern part of the local freight district first

above described, viz: a lot just east of Peter Street, 250 by 740 feet, now almost wholly under water, and unimproved, and a lot just west of Simcoe Street, 240 by 540 feet, (to the old Windmill line; 240 by 775 feet to the new line), which is practically in the same condition and still less available for effectual use by the Grand Trunk Railway. The Grand Trunk Railway also has two adjacent leaseholds, 100 by 800 feet (to the new Windmill line) which should also be surrendered. It is then proposed that the city in its turn shall turn over these two lots and all of its water-works lot and wharf not needed, for the uses of its pump-house to the Canadian Pacific Railway; and also release to the two companies concerned all its rights and title to streets and water front (except for water works use) along the entire district between Bathurst Street and York Street, excepting to those streets which are now open and in use, and a single public street dividing the properties of the two companies and extending in a nearly straight line from York to Brock Streets, chiefly for the purpose of affording access for teams to local freight stations, leaving it to the self-interest of the several companies to provide for public access to their water front by overhead bridges or otherwise, if it at any time appears to them desirable,

The local freight district, between Peter and York Streets should be divided between the Grand Trunk Railway and Canadian Pacific Railway Companies, by the east and west street above described, the precise location of which I am not prepared now to recommend without further study of the local conditions, but which should approximately occupy the present location of Esplanade St., between Peter and York (now closed).

This adjustment should include a concession to the Canadian Pacific Railway of right of way for two yard tracks across the Grand Trunk property to the west (which I believe the Canadian Pacific Railway already has secured); and secondly, the right to construct an overhead bridge across the Grand Trunk Railway property, to give access to its water front along the line of John Street, and some further details of minor importance needless to here particularize, which may yet give trouble if not arranged in advance.

THE GRAND TRUNK LOCAL FREIGHT YARD.

By these arrangements the Grand Trunk gains first, a release of the present Union Station, and the large block on which it stands, 685 by 285 feet., from passenger use, enabling the building and lot to be converted to freight use; secondly, a release of all tracks now kept open for passenger and through freight uses, enabling them to be reserved for local freight uses; thirdly, a release of all tracks now required for passenger car storage. Considering the improvement in quality as well as quantity, this amounts to fully doubling its local freight facilities east of Brock Street. Convenient access to its present local freight shed between Simcoe and Peter Streets, will be obtained at the east end by an under passage, under the first span of the viaduct; at or near John Street, by the subway above alluded to, and at or near Peter Street by a level roadway across its yard tracks down to the Esplanade Street. This will make the teaming to and from this station much more convenient on the whole than it is now. The present Union Station, if it were so arranged between the two companies, would furnish an excellent location for the local freight offices of both, and for the interchange of freight of which there must always be a large amount; but any such arrangement is apart from the legitimate scope of the plans herein outlined, and need not be further considered.

THE CANADIAN PACIFIC LOCAL FREIGHT YARD.

The Canadian Pacific Railway gains by this arrangement, first, an unobstructed stretch of 2,400 ft. of water front, and secondly an area about 2,400 ft. by 750 (41.3 acres) of wholly unobstructed yard room, except for the fact that the pump house of the city water works stands near one corner of the area, and must retain adequate wharf rights for landing coal, and perhaps land for placing additional pumps in the future. All this can be done without seriously interfering with the laying out of the yard or seriously reducing its effective area.

Access by teams to all parts of this area is fully insured by the street arrangements above outlined.

The tract between Yonge and Simcoe Streets which the Canadian Pacific is now endeavoring to secure, and for the most part has secured and paid for, and the conversion of which into a railway yard has excited such strong local opposition, is only 2,075 ft. long by about 740 deep (to the new Windmill line) or about 35 acres in area, less than 15 acres of this being inside the old windmill line, whereas nearly all of the 41.3-1 acres which it is proposed to give them in lieu thereof, is inside of that line. The Canadian Pacific Railway is also saved the large expense of constructing two overhead bridges across this tract, which, with other necessary improvements of that nature, become no longer necessary, may fairly be placed at \$200,000.

THE C. P. R. PROPERTY EAST OF YORK STREET ON THE
ESPLANADE.

All the property which the Canadian Pacific Railway has acquired east of York Street, and all the work which it has done thereon, I propose shall be assumed by the city at cost, not by returning the money, but by crediting the Canadian Pacific Railway with the interest on that capital in reduction of its annual rental for use of the Union Station and tracks connecting therewith. The same method I should propose for adjusting all the real estate transfers with both companies, as below more fully outlined, under the approximate estimate.

The total expenditures for right of way to date by the Canadian Pacific Railway along Esplanade Street, between York and Berkeley Streets, I am advised, has been \$412,000, which covers all the tract between York and Yonge Street, north of Esplanade Street, and a right of way 28 feet wide along the south side of Esplanade Street, east of Yonge Street. The interest on this sum at $3\frac{1}{2}$ to $3\frac{3}{4}$ per cent I am advised is \$14,420 to \$15,450 per year. In addition to this, the Canadian Pacific Railway is now paying rents to the city for water lots along the Esplanade, aggregating \$10,025. This with interest on the sum expended in filling along the water front east of York Street, and deducting interest on the cost of the overhead bridges which the C. P. R. is saving from constructing under the plan outlined, might aggregate some \$20,000 per annum which the city would concede to the C. P. R. to

wards its annual rental, in return for having all this property east of York Street turned over to it.

This property being turned over to the city, the question arises, what should be done with it? It may probably be disposed of by the city in time for all or more than its cost, keeping in view the improvement in the character of Esplanade Street which will result from elevating the tracks, but if there be sufficient public spirit in Toronto to permit of it, there is the other and far better use for it alluded to above.

A WATER-FRONT PARK.

I should recommend that the entire area turned over to the city as above, between York and Yonge Streets, be converted into a public water-front park, 1,600 feet long by 700 feet deep, and containing 25.7 acres; that the rather shabby structures which now accommodate the yachting, boating, Island ferries, and pleasure steamboat travel of the city, should be replaced by buildings of a more ornamental character, along portions of this Park water front, under strict restrictions as to character and management, and that in addition there should be pavilions, music stands, etc., for the general use of the public.

All the work which has so far been done along this water front by the Canadian Pacific Railway, and which it is proposed that the city shall assume, is adapted directly to this use. The cost of continuing and completing the work, so as to make a finished Park, will be so moderate that it may safely be assumed that no city of the size of Toronto will ever have obtained so fine a Park so cheaply. The opportunity is one which, if now neglected, is never likely to return, and it would be a discredit to the city if it should not eagerly avail itself of it, should it occur.

Toronto is now more poorly provided with parks than any city of its size on the continent, with one or two possible exceptions. The one fine park it has within its limits, Queen's Park, is of limited area, and is now being converted into grounds for the new Parliament Buildings. The fine tract known as the High Park is too far from the centre of population to be generally available. Toronto's real park is, and is likely to be, its water front and harbor, and to make this properly available, there should be at least

some limited park area along the water front, like that proposed, and which would also afford recreation to those too poor or too lazy to avail themselves of pleasure-boating privileges.

From the fact that Toronto has almost the only natural harbor on the great lakes, pleasure boating of all kinds is exceptionally attractive there, and from a merely pecuniary point of view it would be well to make it as much more so as possible. It is one of the great attractions to draw residents to the city and keep them there throughout the year, after they are able to choose their own abiding place. Besides which, it is a universal experience that all such park improvements really cost less than nothing, the increase in the taxable value of property being far more than the interest on the cost. Otherwise we may be quite certain that such park improvements would not be so universally undertaken. The one proposed is likely to be quite exceptionally beneficial in proportion to its cost, since, in addition to its value as a general breathing spot, an ornament to the city, it encourages a great local interest which is largely peculiar to the city.

The strip of right of way now owned by the Canadian Pacific Railway, east of Yonge Street, might be thrown into Esplanade Street, if desired, making that street 126 feet instead of 100 feet wide. As there would be a viaduct 52 wide down this street there is some argument in favor of doing this, but on the whole I should advise against it, as involving needless expense. The street is already wide enough, and the land could more advantageously be sold back again to its original owners, as below more fully noted.

SURFACE TRACKS THROUGH ESPLANADE STREET.

There are now six of these tracks, four of them for through trains, and two of them used for local freight delivery. Over all these tracks locomotives are freely used at all hours.

I should recommend the removal of all these tracks, and the substitution for them of two rather heavy street-car tracks over which switch engines could pass with safety, but over which they were permitted to pass only between the hours of midnight and 6 a.m. Except between those hours I should advise that all delivery of freight along these tracks between York and Berkeley Streets be done by horses.

Such use of horses for switch work would probably prove to be more economical in this locality than the use of locomotives, in addition to which it would entirely do away with a nuisance and public danger. Four horses and a couple of boys would easily do the work here of a switching engine, and throughout Europe as also in New York City horses are extensively used in this way. Elsewhere on this continent locomotives are almost exclusively used, it may be admitted, but often with questionable judgment. At the most there could be no serious difference either in convenience or expense resulting from the use of horses only along the Esplanade, for 18 hours out of 24.

This change made, the present system of delivering freight along the street, by stringing cars along the street and allowing whoever has a key to a car to help himself from it, could be continued unchanged; but I should further recommend, not at all as a necessary part of this scheme, but from a general regard for the good name of the city—that this uncouth and primitive system of freight delivery, by which the whole of the principal water front street is in effect turned into a local freight yard, be abandoned altogether. It may be well enough for some straggling country village (although such in fact permit it), but it is entirely improper for a city like Toronto; an injury to all, and a real benefit to none.

In lieu thereof I should recommend that any of the abutting property owners along either side of Esplanade Street be permitted to run a spur from the surface into his buildings or on to his property. In addition to this the Grand Trunk Railway now has a freight station at the foot of Yonge Street, and the Canadian Pacific Railway has a freehold water front lot further east which might be converted to the same use, although there is little real need for either of these stations, since each road has freight yards at the east end and the west end of the city water front, barely a mile apart. It might be quite as well for both roads to sell their intermediate property, but they already have it if they wish it for such use.

In this way there would be no need for cars to stand along Esplanade Street, but only to pass along it, and these not being many, such cars to deliver daily, this service would not sensibly

interfere with the simultaneous use of the same tracks for horse cars, as has been done for nearly fifty years in New York City, with a very heavy traffic of both kinds.

THE IMPROVEMENT IN ESPLANADE STREET.

The result of all these changes could not but be a very marked and rapid improvement in the value of property on both sides of Esplanade Street, and of the water front generally. New York experience indicates that the existence of a four-track elevated railway through the centre of a business street of this kind and of this width, would not reasonably decrease the value of property along it, while the park improvement, the street car service, the freight delivery within the buildings, and above all the removal of the surface locomotive tracks, would greatly tend to increase it.

How considerable the increase in valuation along Esplanade Street is likely to be, is evident from the fact that the present assessed valuation of all the property along both sides of the streets between York and Parliament streets is \$1,525,740, along a frontage (counting both sides of the street) of 6,674 feet, if I am correctly informed. With the improvements carried out, the probable increase in valuation of this street alone would go far toward covering the entire cost of improvements herein suggested, but there is in addition the probable increase in valuations along Wellington Street and elsewhere in the vicinity of the new Union Station, and the general improvement to the valuations of the whole city, which would result from so material a betterment of the most conspicuous part of it. As the city, however, derives rental from these improvements also, the case in favour of their construction is very strong.

Before summarizing the probable cost of these improvements, it will be well to notice first another feature of them, viz. :

SUBURBAN AND CITY TRAIN SERVICE.

With the water front tracks improved as suggested, any speed is practicable over them. The Canadian Pacific Railway, and the Grand Trunk Railway tracks, running back from the water front, in each direction, have so few street crossings at grade on them, and

those in process of elimination or easily eliminated, that a high rate of speed becomes practicable there also. The Canadian Pacific Railway track back of the city runs through a region thinly populated as yet, and also with few street crossings at grade, which can also be eliminated by subways as necessity for so doing appears.

These conditions, in addition to the saving of 10 to 15 minutes time on every train entering the city, render at once possible a local "rapid transit" service over the circuit of $15\frac{1}{2}$ miles which the Canadian Pacific Railway now has within the city limits, or will have as soon as all its tracks are laid. This circuit can be made by local trains in one hour with great ease, making stops every half mile on an average, or 30 stops in all, so that two trains would afford a half-hourly service, and two trains more during a few hours of the day only would give quarter-hourly service. The circuit might possibly be made in 45 or 50 minutes. In my judgment such a service would more than cover expenses even in the first year, and develop into a most profitable traffic later, while it would be a great public convenience, and its effect upon the development of the outskirts of the city, and upon the value of property there, would certainly be important. Without the improvement along the Esplanade herein outlined, such a service is practically impossible. The time would be lengthened at least 15 minutes, and so large a proportion of the traffic would be driven to the horse cars as to make the whole service inexpedient. This will become at once apparent by studying the present local time cards, despite the fact that they rather understate the actual time used.

The local conditions are unusually favorable for such a service except along the water front, and to establish it is one of the strong reasons why the city should not hesitate to carry through these improvements, because the city has a far deeper interest than any railway can have in having such a service established. The profit from such trains over expenses will not become considerable for several years, and it is as nothing compared with its effect upon the value of real estate.

The Grand Trunk Railway has at present no circular track for such a service, but it will probably see fit at some future time to

provide itself with a track back, of the city, parallel with the Canadian Pacific Railway tracks, so as to save itself the great expense of hauling its trains 3 or 4 miles more to drop them down to the water front and back again over heavy grades each way; and when, or if it does this, it also will have a circuit which might be operated by local trains.

In the mean time there will be three or four times as many Grand Trunk Railway as Canadian Pacific Railway trains using the elevated tracks, since the Canadian Pacific Railway will use them only for passenger and strictly local freight service, while all the Grand Trunk Railway through freights will pass over it. Nevertheless, I should recommend, as above stated, that the rental charged for the use of the structure and station, whatever it may be, should be equally divided between the two Companies, and that if, at any time, a third company should be admitted to the use of the structure (the right to do which, should any company apply for the same, and connect its tracks with the structure, should be explicitly reserved by the city), the same rental should be divided into equal thirds regardless of the number of trains, leaving each company to run as many or as few trains as it pleases. It is not likely that the tracks will be over crowded by any possible traffic of the next half century at least, if operated as they should be, under joint management, and entirely by interlocking block signals and switches.

I advise this equal division of rental, first, because it is the only practicable one, it being quite impossible to say precisely what proportion of use each company enjoy; secondly, because a company has to go to the same expense in providing itself with such facilities, whether it runs one train a day or fifty over them; thirdly, because it seems only a proper concession in favor of the Grand Trunk Railway, which is likely to run the most trains, in view of all the circumstances, specified and unspecified.

ESTIMATE OF COST.

It is at once impossible and unnecessary to determine in advance precisely the cost of these improvements. It can only be determined by careful estimates of quantities and an actual letting

of the work. It will suffice for the present to specify approximate sums which ought not to be exceeded.

On this basis I should estimate the total cost of the entire necessary works, economically but thoroughly well built under judicious and efficient management, as follows :—

Union Station complete,.....	\$ 500,000
Retaining Wall back of it,.....	42,000
Ground for Passenger Car storage yard,.....	120,000
Sub-way approach to G. T. R. freight station near John St.,.....	20,000
West approach complete, apart from tracks and right of way (now owned by G. T. R.),.....	45,000
Four track elevated structure complete, to, and including Don River draw-bridge,.....	1,536,000
Enbankment and connected work (apart from sub- ways needed in any case),.....	40,000
Expropriation of Marlborough House and other unestimated minor items, say,.....	110,000
	<hr/>
	\$2,413,000
Engineering, 5 per cent.,.....	120,650
Contingencies, say 6 per cent.,.....	120,650
	<hr/>
Total cash outlay for construction,.....	\$2,654,300

I believe this estimate to be a very liberal one, and in the aggregate considerably larger than is necessary. There are in addition certain real estate transfers and adjustments to be made not properly involving, as it seems to me, any transfers of cash, and the city will also have a credit from the sale of the unneeded right if any, along Esplanade Street, and from the valuation placed on that part of the water-works lot, turned over to the use of the C. P. R. These credits I, however, neglect in the estimate.

In proposing the preceding plan, reasonable and beneficial to all parties alike as it appears, I find myself confronted with the fact that I can advance no precedent for such an arrangement as this, the nearest to it being the arrangement for constructing the

"Grand Central Station" and costly connecting subway entering the City of New York, to which the city contributed half the cost, or nearly \$4,500,000, without securing any ownership interest or tangible return whatever except that of getting rid of the nuisance of surface tracks. That improvement also was and is used jointly by two companies. The proposed improvements at Buffalo are another similar case. As a rule, however, I am compelled to admit, cities stand quietly by until companies correct these evils at their own expense and of their own motion, or content themselves with bringing legal pressure to bear.

Frequently this policy is effective for the reason that when cities become large enough to make such improvements desirable, the leading railways running to them are unusually rich. Such is not the case in Toronto. The railways are both of them comparatively poor. Usually there also are many more corporations to be conciliated and arranged for, and the smaller the companies the more trouble they make, so that any arrangement of the kind here proposed is impossible to arrange for. It is rare indeed that eight different companies once entering a city will have consolidated into two.

Moreover, it is extremely rare that a city is in such imminent danger of serious injury which it can only arrest by some spurt of enterprise on its own part, as is Toronto at this moment. It cannot be too often nor too earnestly impressed upon the citizens of Toronto that the railways by their own unaided efforts cannot do much better by the city than they are now proposing to do, and ought not to be asked to do. The Grand Trunk, as the first comer, has certain property, which it has bought and paid for. Whether more than it needs or not, it cannot be expected to turn over part of its property, which it may possibly find some use for in the future, to smooth the path of a rival to compete with it, without some compensating advantages to itself, which that rival cannot offer. Neither can its interloping rival expropriate this property. For one railway to expropriate from another is dubious process, even when necessity can be shown, but in this case no necessity can be shown by the C. P. R., for that company can go, and has gone, further along on the water front and found property suitable for its needs which

it has bought in the open market, and its needs moreover are not merely a certain total area, but a *long continuous strip*, so that a yard may be properly laid out on it; only one of them is to be added to the cost on which rental is estimated, viz., the narrow strip of right of way for the four tracks between Bathurst and Peter Streets, and between Simcoe and York Streets. The proper amount of this item I cannot now estimate, but it should not seriously increase the above estimate.

Allowing the total interest charge to be $3\frac{1}{4}$ per cent. on \$2,654,300, and one-third to be borne by the city, the G. T. R. and the C. P. R. It will cost each party \$33,175 per year gross, in addition to which the city loans its credit and advances the cash.

The rental paid by the Grand Trunk Company, however, would be reduced by the interest at $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the fair valuation of:

1. The right of way for tracks above noted.
2. The four parcels of water front lots, two free-hold and two lease-hold, turned over as above noted, between Peter and York Streets aggregating about 12 acres of land now almost exclusively under water and unutilized.

The last item is not an expense to the city nor an addition to the cost of these improvements, being charged up against the Canadian Pacific Railway at the same valuation as is allowed for it to the Grand Trunk Railway. But it must not be forgotten that *it is the city and not the Canadian Pacific Railway which needs to acquire this property* in order to enable these improvements to be carried through. The Canadian Pacific Railway has already secured and paid for all the land it really needs, apart from these lands, between Yonge and York streets. It is the city which has cause to be most anxious to give it at least equal facilities elsewhere. This being so clear it does not appear probable that there will be any difficulty in amicably arranging for the transfer, but should it prove otherwise, the case is preeminently one calling for the exercise of the right of eminent domain.

The rental paid by the Canadian Pacific Railway would be diminished by the interest on:

1. The total outlay, \$412,000, so far incurred for right of way between York and Berkeley streets (\$412,000), the interest on which would be \$14,420.

2. The construction expenses so far incurred for removal of buildings, docking and filling in, so far as this work is applicable to city uses.

Against these two items should be debited the interest on :

1. The valuation on the 12 acres of land under water above noted transferred from the Grand Trunk.

2. The estimated cost of the two overhead bridges at Yonge and York Streets, and some minor like improvements required by the location of its present yard, but not by that proposed, which is in the neighborhood of \$200,000.

3. A reasonable valuation on the part of the water-works lot turned over to the C. P. R. by the city.

The net effect of the preceding would be to very considerably reduce the rentals to be paid by the companies, making the net cost to them of better facilities in every way, for both passenger and freight service, a very moderate one. In addition to this the two companies are saved the cost of erecting in the early future a new Union Station, the necessity for which is now clear.

The city on its part should in equity be released from a portion of the very moderate burden resting upon it, by assessing a fair portion of the cost of the viaduct upon the property more immediately benefited thereby along Esplanade Street, which is sure to increase in value much more proportionately than any other in the city. I am not competent to make any estimate of what this assessment should be, except that it should be moderate.

I cannot therefore regard the absence of any exact precedent for precisely such a plan as I have recommended herein as any real objection to it, or reason why it should not be carried out. The real difficulty lies in impressing on a great municipality the necessity of united and prompt action. Should this be obtained there will not be any serious difficulty in obtaining the assent of the Railways, since the arrangement herein proposed is greatly to the advantage of both of them, and they would be placing themselves in an odious and indefensible attitude before the public should they persist in antagonizing it, an attitude which no great Corporation wishes to take or remain in. But, failing some such public action in aid of a more rational solution, I am unable

to see how any better arrangement can be effected than that which is now proposed and in process of execution, which will leave the City of Toronto with over two-thirds of its water front occupied by Railway yards, and with access to the remaining fraction obstructed by six Railway tracks on the level, over which locomotives and through trains are constantly running.

Despite all the relief that can be obtained by overhead bridges, the growth of population and of Railway traffic will soon make this situation so intolerable that relief from it will then be demanded at any cost. Such relief can be obtained in advance much more cheaply and effectively than it can by any later date. It remains to be seen whether the citizens of Toronto appreciate this fact, and take the necessary action while there is yet time.

August 16th, 1889.

Signed,

A. M. WELLINGTON,
New York.

n that
h will
e front
aining
over
ng.
idges,
make
en be
vance
later
ronto
ere is

ON,
York.

