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## THE OREGON QUESTION.

The Regolution for terminating the joint oceupancy of Oremon being under consideration in Committec of the Whole House-

Mr. CUMMINS addressed the committec as follows:

Mr. Cilairman: The resolution reported by the Committee on Foreign Relations, and now under consideration, involves one very plain and distinct proposition: Shall we, or shall we not, give to Great Britain the twelve months' notice, provided for by the $\supseteq d$ article of the convention of 1827 , to terminate the joint use of the two countries in the Oregoll territory? The right to give this notice is not denied; it is a part of the convention itself; but the policy and expediency of giving it now, and the form in which it shall be given, if given at all, comstitute the grounds of the present discussion and differences of opinion.

The wide range which has been given to this dehate, and the momentous consequences which have heen so fearfully and eloquently predicted and portriyed by gentlemen who nppose the resolution, if we can believe them to be sincere in what they say, should at least admonish the frients of this measure to give to it that eareful and candid consideration which its importance demands, before it is adopted. If the giving of this notice will lead to an equitable and final adjustment of the rights and claims of the two Governments in Ore. got, and the establishment of their territorial boundaries there-as I hope and believe it will-then, sir, the sooner it is given, the better. Most questions discussed and passed unon in this Chamber,
are of a domestic elaracter, affecting only the rights and interests of our own people. They are also of a temporary character, for the acts of one Congress can be altered or repealed by the next, as the friends of this or that course of policy may he in power. Not so with Oregon. It is strictly a national question, between two separate and independent soverciguties; it is a territorial question, and therefore pre-eminent and lasting in its nature; for, sir, the lines once run, the stakes driven, and the monuments crected there, they must unalterably remain as the landmarks of division between the Republic and the Monarchy, the citizen and the sulyect, the herditary sceptre and the ballot-box.
Mr. Chairman, the remarks whieh I shall make on this subject will, in part, be in reply to the arguments of the gentleman from South Carolina, [Mr. [lobmes,] who appears to me to be the standardbearer of the opposition, and the defender of the fuith of "masterly inaclivity" in this end of the Conitol. In my judgment, he has assumed as bold, strong, and untenable gromeds in favor of the elaims and pretensions of Britain, and against the rights of the United States in Orrgon, as has the British Ministry, or any of her diplomatic agents or politicul journals assumed, since this question first came under discassion between the two Governments. In his argument, that gentleman propounds important inquiries: Where is Orrgon!-What is $i$ ? ? -Of what value is it to us? I do not suppose that the gentleman is a disciple of Diogenes, and now for the first time has emerged from his philosophic
tul), to behold and eontemplate: Oregon. No, sir. Oregon is to himan old, und, as would now appear, an unwelcome nequaintance.

But, sir, where is Orron, and what is it? Oregon is that part of the North Amerimu continent Which lies between the Nexican line, on the 42d paral!el of north lititude, and the linssian line, on the paralle of $54^{\circ} 40$ norih latitude. It is bomaded on the west hy the Pacific ocemn, mind on the east by the Rowk Momains-upon which line it is, the greater part of the way, coderminous with the other territaries of the Unitid Suttes. It embraces about nine hundred miles of the western roast of this continent bovkeriner on the Pasific ocran, is of an average width of about six hundred miles, and contains about foum lomdred thousand square miles of territory. In extent, it embraces more territory than the "Ohd Thirtern States" on the Alantic slope. Its climate is murh nilder, mad more genind than the climate in the same latitude on the Athantic; its soil is fertile, its streams pure, its forests are ahmulantly supplied with the choicest timber; aud, in short, it possesses the elements of a great and valuable connity. If we do our duty ill preserving and mantaining our just rights there, Oregon is destined to be the home of millims of !appy and prosperons freemen, whose labors will be rewarded by the exuberant fertility of its valleys, and whose thocks and herols will fed upon its thousand hills. As a means of our military defenec, it is n tower of strength, both by land and sea. It skirts one whole front of this Republic, and hems us in on the west, and entirely shats us out from the Parific. It furnishes abundant supplies of timber, of which to construct mavies, and sreat, chpacious, and safe bays and harbors, in which they can float in satety. To any country possessing skill, industry, and enterprise, the possession of Oregon will give the military and marine control and suparmacy of the Pacifip ocean and its islands, of China, the East Indies, and the western const of South Ameriea.
Should Oregon fill imto the havels of Brituin, what security would you have on your western frontier? What womld bremene of yuile extensise and valuuble whate fisheries in the Pacific? What would become of your China trade, now so prosperously carried on, and yearly incrensing: How would you resist the military comprol that Brituin would necessarily esercese over Mexien, Calitiorniin, Guatemala, Chill, Peru, the whole western const of South America, the South Sea isinuds, and the $!n-$ diant tribes: Sir, yon wonld be rompelled to a abaiddon the whole to lier dominion anll power. But, sir, of what valuc is Oregon to us in a emmercial point of view? In my judgmen, it is minere important to our commercial interests tlan any nequssition we have ever heretofore made or cill ever hercafier make. There is not hiow any maritime nation in the successfill possession or erijoyment of any part of the Pacific const from Bhering's Straits to the Cape of Good Hope. The whole remains yet comparatively unoceupied and open to the first nation that may secure it. Look upon your majs, and what is our position with reffrence to that quarter of the globe? This continent lies between the Allantic and Pacific oceans: it lies nearly equidistant and in the direct route between the continent
of Furope and Asia; and across this continent at the same point must and will ere long he carriad the trade and merchandise passing between Europe and Asin. Let us, then, secure our just rithts in Oregon, and our territory will extend across this continent from sea to san: on the east within threc thousiand miles of Europe, on the west within four thousand miles of Asia. We have now the command of the const on the Gulf of Mexico, the Atlantic from the llio Grande to the St. Joln's, a distance of more than twelve hundred miles. Iy rivers and lakes we huve whter commmication ahoms our morthern and northeastern horders for twolve hudred miles. Oregon will give us the eommand of nine humdred miles of the Pacific coasts, and will enable us to command the commeree of that oecan.

Let Government but do its duty, let it quiet our title to Oreson, and extend our laws and jurisdic. tion over that country for the protection of our setthers there, and the industry and energy of the pioncers who will make their homes in the Colum, ia valley will do the rest; they will subdue the tirests and convert them into havest fields; they will dot the face of the comery with thriving towns and vilhages, and the waters of the stremms of Oregon will proped the muchinery of our ingeninus and enterprismer artisans and manufacturers. The enterprise of onr merchants will build up conmereial cities on the magnificent, deep, and safe harbors on the P'acific coast north of the mouth of the Columbia, in which their ships and stenmers, to an :Imost indefinite extent, can flout in the greatest serurity.

How long will it be before the commerce of the Athantic and the Pacific will be connected acrose the continent ly the aremues of trade? How lone will it he before the iron arms of raibroads wifl stretch from sea to sea? I have no doubt but there are thousands now living who will witness the consummation of that magnificent project. Sir, it is praticable, and the magnitude of the interests dependant uponit are ton great to be permitted to lio dormant. The distance across this continent is viorionsly extinated at from seventeen hundred to two thonsand miles: take the greatest distance, and add to it one thousand miles for curvitures and deviations of a mailroad, and you have a distance of three thousand miles. Cunnot that distance be overeome: Why, sir, theie are now in the United States. completed and in successful operation, over four thousaml"miles of railroad, and as much more projected, and large portions of it in an advanced state of completion. Do gentlemen doubt this? The histery of the past progress of our eountry must remove all doubt on that subject. At the treaty of peace in 1783, when our national independence was arknowledged and peace restored, what was our condition:' This Govermment was then fceble, its menns exhausted, without commerce and almost without internal resources, and with a population of about three millions. What is it now? What has it grown to be in sixtythree years? Its population is now about twenty millions. Its commercial marine is fully equal to that of Great Britain, and more than double that of all the other commercial Governments of Europe. For statistics, I avail myself of the compilation of another, which is suid to be correct: and
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Sir, it is nterests denitted to lio inent is vadred to two $\cdot e$, and ndd and deviadistance of listance he the United ation, over much more n advanecil lonbt this? ur country et. At the ional indee restored, mment was hout com urces, and is. What in sixtyut twenty y equal to ouble that nts of Euthe compiarrect: and
what does it show? Foreign tonnage of England, 2,420, 100 tons; of the United States, $2,417,000$ tons, emvine ont the tomage of our lakes and rivers. What is the conmereial tonnage of other Eurnpean Governments? France has fors, (0)0 tous: Russia, 939,(tt) (ons: Demmark, 95,375 ; J Iollanl, 914,984 ; Swaden. 118,$025 ;$ 'I'urkey, 1,902, nmounting in all (1) $1,993,566$, or nbout oue-half of that of the United States. What, sir, was the commerce of the Mississippiand its trihutaries only forty years ago: It was unknown to the world. A few canoes and keel-honts were pradded and poled along its shores. What is it now? Hundreds of floating palaces
 of commercial commolities annually. What was the commeree of your lakes forty yenrs ago? A fow Indian traders along the shores. What is it now? You have mpon Lakes Erie and Michigan alone fond hundred commercial vessels, with a tonmage of $8(1,000$ tons, earrying anmally a commeres: of the value of $\mathrm{s} 1,500,000$, nad in prowess of eonstruction thirty-four vessels more; of which ten are steamers of the first clasa. These are the avemues through which the agricultural products of the Western valley pass to market.
If this, sir, has been our progress in sixty three years, starting from a state of comprarative imbeiility, und with but one sea opon to us, what will it lie with the trade of the Pacife opened to us, with our present means of advancement, in the west quater of a century to come? 'That comntry, where solitude now reigns almost unbroken save by the sighing of the winds, the whoop of the savage, the crack of the hunter's rille, or the dashing of the Pacific waves upon its shores, will hen have heerme the home of civilized men. From its ports and harhors will go forth a commercial marine, whose sails will whiten every watve, and whose majestic steamers will ride triumphant on the trunguil hosom of that great ocean. We cannot expect that the trade commanded by that ocean will be yielded without a strugerle hy adorese Powers. Full well does Great Britain understand the military strengeth and commercial importunce of Oregon. She will not yield it, right or wrong, to her natural rival, so long as she can by any means retain it or any part of it. Why has she so long and obstimately persevered in the assertion of her unfounded pretensions to the whole of the country north of the Columbia, and to a joint right to the use of that river? The reasom is olivious. There is not a good harbor south of the Columbia, and navigation at the mouth of that stream is rendered unsafe and dangerous by bars, shoals, and currents. All the grood Fharhors lie ureth of the Colombia. Yield her that clain, and it is all that she wants. She will then have secured to herself the strong places of the country, and rendered the halance of it comparttively valacless to us. Our products conld not then reach the ocean safely, except through British ports in a Britisls province, and subject to be incumbered or prohibited by surld duties as she may impose.

With Oregon improved, and comnreted by railroads with the Atlantie, we will furnish to the word the grent desideratum so long sought for by commereial mations-a direct communication from Europe to Asia; and we will then be brought in dose communication with the commere of China, the East ludies, the west coast of South America,
and the Pacifie isles. Can 13ritain suil $\mathbf{9 4 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{miles}$
 Good Hope, and suecesstially compere with us in that trade which we ron reall from the western conast, ly stean vessels, in foH月: Her wayge will require some eight mouths; mos, searedy so many weeks. Who then will have the carrying trade? Britain will he eompelled either to open a passare across the Jethams of Darian, trade through our works, permit us to luve the rarryine trate on hedriven from the market. Sir, that ocean gem of India trade has been nequired and enjoyed by each commercial nation, successively, from the irlient ages of the work. Carthare, Creece, Rome, Venies, Pisa, Gemoa, Porbmat, Inhand, have each, in theirturn, cujoyed it. bongliund unw has it. Our destiny now oillers it to ns. Will we nerept it? Will we adopt and carry out such fost and prodent measures of poliey as will serume to ns thes great and valuable fiell for commeredial entorprise? 'I'lis Ieads us to the consideration of another branch of this suliject.

The next guestion which presents itseli to our consideration is: to whom dose Orecson, in whole or in part, helong? To the United States or to Great britain? What are our rights in, and our title to, Oregon: Abl what are the protensions and chams of (freat Britain to the sabme? By what "vidence are the rights, daims, and pretensions of the parties, respertively, stipurted or proved? And here again I mast quots from the specels of the gentleman from South Carolina, [Mr. Hocmes,] who says: "I deny, in toto, any rioglt, any claim - to that territory, or to any part or pared there-- of, that does not apply with equal force and rai-- cieney to the pewer of Great lititain; and if 1 do 'not, hy as fiar reasoninus as I ran bringe, demon" strate this position, 1 am willine to give up now 'and forever any claim to logical powers."' This makes the issue between the parties, and presents the British view of the prestion, in sulstance, and almost in the same words, in which it was presented by Messrs. Hopkins and Addington. British Plenipotentiaries, on the lath day of December, 18:2, when nerotiating upon, and lisenssing, the British title to Dregon, with the proper authorities of the United States. They say: "Great Britain - claims no exclasive sovereignty over any portion ' of that territory. Her present claim, not in re" speet to why part, but to the whole, is limited to a - right of joint occupabey in common with other - States, leaving the rieht of exchasive sovereipnty "in ahevance." Every British Minister and diplomatist, from that time to the present, has contended tor the same position, that she had a joint right with the United States to the whole of Oregon, but that neicher Goveroment had a spparate risht to any part thereot. 'To this proposition I eamot yich my assent. It is not my jinpose, however, in argree the title to the whole of Oregon-ime will not permit, nor is it necessary for my present purpose. I propose, however, to show that we have a clear, perfeet, and unencumbered title to the soil and sovereignty of a part of Oregon. If I surced, hy tair and leqitimate proofs and aremments, in doing so, I shatl have met and overthown the position of the gentleman from South Camolina, and, with it, the pesition assumed by Britain, for they are identical.

For the purposes of nyy argument, I select that part of the Oremon territury which lies somb of Nootka Soumd: that is, wo mued thereof as lies between the Mexiroun line ut the 42 p parallel of latilude and Nootkn, which is in tutitule $49^{\circ}: 13^{\prime}$, heing $7033^{\prime}$, or almut five humdred miles along the l'asific const. Mr. Chairman, that we may have a clear and distinct view of the questim, inlow me to premise, by stating the faut, that up to the year 1803, there were five seviral sovercign and independent Governments which claimed to have rights and inserests in Oreron; to wit: Frame, Spain, Rassin, Grent Brituin, and the United States. The chamants are now relluced to the two hast numed. How, when, anel hy whom, the rights of France, Spain, and Russia were extinguishod, and who becume possessed of them, I slall show in the progress of my remarks.
Our title to Oregon is of two kinuls-first, that which wo have in our right, hy disedorery, exploration, and setlement; mul secmally, that which we have by purehase from other Cherrmments, ly which we have romsolidated in the United Stat's all the outstanding emims (1) Oreron, except the pretensions th title by britim, che press at adverse claimnt. 1 shall speak first of wir French tite. And what is it: After the disencery of his embitinent by Cohmbns, in 1190, Great Britain and Frame buth u"quired serviturial rights, anul phamted enlomies upnit. The Briash possessims were on the Athuntic const, now crompusiug a part of the States of this Comfederacy, extendinis by their charters from sea to sea, inul upen Ifulsm's Bay. The Freneh possessinns were יymuthe Mississippi nul in Cannda, illso cxtending indefinitely west and northwest.

These elaims nowessarily rombirted. In 1814, Franee mud Eustand conclided a treaty of patace at Utrecht, whinh terminated the war then raging between them, tux setth a all their ditierences in Europe and Ameriea. By the tenth article of that treaty, it was arecel that commissinners should be appointed to rum and establish a division line be tween their territurial prosessims in North America. Commissimers were apminted, who established that lise-ammencing wh the coast of Labrador, and rumning soulliwest to the Lake of the Wouds, mpon the deh parallel of latitude, and thence extending west along hat purallid indetinitely, whiclo of course exteads to the Pacifies urean. This line divided the beritheres oeceppied by the Hudson's Bay Cumpaly on the morth from the Canadas, nud the Mississippi mod Lonisiana pas. gessions of France on the samth; aund so fiar as these Govermments were coneeriest, evtahbished a line of separation between them, giving to Franer all Britain's claims soull, sud to Britanu all Frimce's elaim north, of 49; south of which line Great Br:tain conld not go, or wequire any teritorial rights, nficr that time, without the consent of France , ner could France acquire any tervitorial rights north of it, without Britain's comsent. On the 2ad day of February, 1803, a treaty was eomeluded between the United States and France, by which, for a valuable consideration, Frince sold and emped to the United States all her Mississippi am Lomisiama possesssions, upon this or the other side of the Roeky Mountains, and also mate us a pary to the tenth article of the treaty of Utrecht, so fur as this terri-
tory is concerned. The 4ith parallel liceame the rizeninn, s.mne to ns, as against che chaims of Britain, as it ie coast had befine that time been to Frame. Gremt Brimin 774 Pere does not pretend th have had any vatid chime west of the Rexeky monntains in 1714; she comhdarpuir Mone south of $49^{\circ}$ after that, us wistinst l'rance, until 1803, when we purchased, nor since that time, as against the Unitud States, as the purchusers of French rights. This trent, impepmentent of territorial rights acquired by it of l'ranes, might surecessfinly tee plead in bar wany British chinis south of $49^{\circ}$, and would therefure establish the position assumed, that we have a clear. nuencumbered, und imdisputable title to so monh of Oregon as is somith of $4!0^{\circ}$. But, Mr, Chairma, 1 fixed my point at Nootka, a hitle borth ar $41^{0}$, to which 1 propmene to examine our title. And for that purpuse 1 shall
next consider our Spanish title. And what, sir, is that tite? Spain wis no party to the weth artiele of the treaty of Utrecht, mid was not thercfiere afferted or hanind by it.
On the 2.24 day of Felbruary, 1819, a treaty was mude at Washinguon hetween the United States tud Spain, by which the King uf spain "sold and - ceded to the United States nill Spanish rights mad 'pretensions cast aum north in the 4 did parallel of "Horth batiulde, and firr himself, his heirs, aus "sure essars, he remuncel all claim to maid territela ssilied mites finever." This trenty of purelmse fixed on Quadr the 4ed paradlel of hatitule as the nurthern hemal- ned the of ary of Spanish, now Mexicim, fund the sonthern el south, boundary of the United States, territory, mad gave he mouth to the United States all Spain's rights in Oregon, thy rease and on the Pacific north of the 42. degree of lati- ack.
tude. What were the rights of Spain thus sold 1 In the ea and transferred to the United States: I will brietly who had si stute them. Shortly after the lisenvery of this he selomo continent, in 1492, Cortez, for Spmin, confuered is the 58th Mexico, and Spain established her Govermment soth ward there under a vieeroyalty. When nothing morr Hero, two remained in Mexico for Spanish conguest, she be- oy Mexieo gran to extend her search and explorations north- equipred, ward along the Pacific conast for new comitrics to or Spain, eompuer and settle. I shail puss over many of the settlement earlier nod more obseure discoveries of Spain won On the fith the Pacific coast, and mention those only which fonnd it en are well defined, and unun which tithe can he preeli- 1784; poss cated, and from whish territorial rights can be derived.

In 1542 , Cahrillo was sent, with two vessels, be Cere until the viecruy of Mexieo, with jnstractions to explure Pacific co the const torthward, its far as phssible, in search that coast. of new countries. This expedition emtinued its sole and e: exphorations nbout one year; Cabrillo died on the This chain voyage, and the commaud devolved upon Ferelo, full exten who examinet the coast as far moth as the 4 jid doubted bo parallel. In 1592, the siceroy of Mexien, still in- ag sinst at tent on northern discoverics, sent Juan de Fuca, it distinguished navigator, to explore the northwest coast nud to diseover the Straits of Amian, (now 1819, by Fuca, ) which were supposed to eonnect the Pacific impaired with the Athantic ocean. He saied nath until he that sle ha reached a point between the 48 ch and 49 h paral- 1790, of $\mathbf{w}$ lels of latit de, when be cance into a large inlet of afor. It the sen, iu which he sailet, makiug exphorations, that title s. as he says, fin twenty days. 'This was the Straic our Spmi of H'uca, which yet bears the mame of that naviga- and whieh tor, who, beyoud all doubt, fisst diseovered the higher up existence and entrmace to that strait. In 1603, present pr
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 e United States Epain" sold and anish rights und 49d parallel of his lieirs, and $m$ to said tori-- purchase fixed nothern houndmil the southern ritory', and gaveights in Orecron, hil degree of latiSpain thus sold : I will brietly iseovery of this min, conquered er Govermant an nothing more onquest, she bemontioms northlew countries tor ver muny of the ies of Spain onaose only which ile can be preeli--ights can be detwo vesiscls, by rions tuexphre ssible, in semels on continued iss ritlo died on the cal upon Ferelo. bonth as the $43 i$ Mexien, still inluan de Fuca, a i Amian, (now meathe Pacific d noth until he and 49th parat, a large inlet of ur explorations, s was the Strait - of that maviru- and Spamish title, which yet stands unimpaired, discovered the higher up the coast than it is necessury for my rait. In 1603, present purpose to carry it.

I shall next proceed to present the title we havin in our own proper right, by diseovery, exploration, and settlement.

In 1787, Captains Gray and Kíndrick, of lioston, sailed from lat place fur the North I'acife; the furmer commanding the American ship Washington, the latter the Coblambia. In 1888 they handed at Nootka. In 1789, Gray, amongst their diseoveries and explomations, explored the whole east enast of Queen Charlote's Ishand; also entered and s:itiled fifty miles through the Straits of Fuca; he lecing the first masigator who had entered beyond the momth of said strats or sailed in the same. In the fall of 1:90, Gray and Kendrick exchunged vessels, and Gray thercafter commanded the Columbia, upon which vesscl he proceeded to China, and from thenee to Boston. Kendrick remained in the Pacific, and slortly ufter sailed entirely through the Strats of l'uen, und in 1791 purchased from the Indian chiefe ai Nootha several large tracts of land, and took deids for the sume. In 1793 he was accidentally killed at Owyhee. In 1791 Gray again arived on the Pacific coast, and during that year examined many of the inlets nad passages between the 5tth and 5fith purallels of latitude.

On the Tth May, 1792, Captain Gray diseovered, entered, and landed in Bulfinch's ILarbor, in latitule $46^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$, and remaned hree days trading with the natives. On the 11 th of the sime month he discovered, entered, and sailed some twenty or wenty-five miles up the Colmmbia river, where he remnined for several days, trading with the matives. Captain Gray was the first mavigator who diseovered and natigated that river, and upon leaving, he gave it the name of his ship, (Columbia,) which it yel bears.

If atherwards communicated to Vancouser and Qundrathis discoverits of l3ulfinch's Harhor and the Columbia river, which was the first knowledere hiey had of them, und left with Quadra, at Nootka, elarts of the same.

In 1804 an expedition was fitted out under the Administration op President Jeflerson, to explore the principal branches of the Missouri river to their source, and then to cross the Rocky Mountains and trace to the Pacific some streani that would afford the most direct water communication across the continent. Captans Lewis and Clarke were commissioned to conduct this expedition. On the 15th November, 1805, they lauded on the coast of the Pacific, having tracel the waters of the Columbiat frum its source in the mountains to its termimation at the Pacific ocean. They took possession of the country, cneamped near the mouth of the Colmbia, mid remained there unil the 23d March, 1806 , when they returned up the Columbia in canoes, as far as hooskook river, exploring its shores, and notine the large tributary streams which flow into the Columbia. In I811, Jolnn Jacoh Astor, of New York, entered the Columbia, sailed up it about ten miles, and built For! Astoria, which he occupied until 1813, when, during the last war, it fell into the hands of Great Britain. Its restoration was provided for in 1814, at the treaty of Ghent; and on the Gth day of Octuber, 1818, by a written order of the British Gu:"mment, the possession of Astoria was formalty restored, and deliveral by the agent of that Government to an agent of the United States; the cross of St. Gcorge
was lowered, and the stripes and stars finated again over Astorin. This is our Amerieam tille. Does it give to us the exclusive sovereignty and right of soil in the Columbin valley? By interruational law, n Government ean nequire title, in an unoccupied comntry, by diseovery, if it be followed up in a rensomable time by exploration and sentlement. Have we brought ourselves within this rule? Gray diseovered in 1793; Lewis and Clarke explored in Istin; Astor fommed a settement in $1 \times 11$. Do not these events follow in a reasomalle time ufter ench other, the remoteness nald difliculty of aceess of the comentry considerel? Can Great Britnin show as grod a title to the valley of the Columbin? Sir, if she cm, she has not dones so. What, sir, is the extent of the valley of the Columbin? 'The head waters of its southenst branches reach the Mexienn line in latitule 420; the northwestern branchess streteh to $54^{\circ} 40$. But this curries the argument further than I proposed, having propossil to argue the title ns firr ns the Nootkn omly. To, this I will ndd the title of contiguity. Orgen lies coterminous with the whole western frontier of the United Sentes; it lies between us and the Paafice ocean. It hems us in on the entire western frontier of the Republic. It is highly importimt to us for ngricultural and commercial purposes. It is ahnost indispensable to ns for our national defence and safety. From Briuin, the other claimant, it is remote. She can desire it only for mational argrandizement and the pride of empire. She needs it not for the mational saficty or national existeme. If these facts briner us within the principles of title "by contiguity," ns reeognised by international law, then upon that primeiple we chim it. Allow me, sir, to uld one other evidenec of tite to Oreson; $!$ introduce it hy way of plea in lar te Great Britain. In the sixteenth century Grat Britain, by charters, granted to the colonies of Virginin and Massachusetts all lands lying between ecrtain parallels of latitude across this continent, "from sea to sea." All the territorial rightes which Britain then had, rested in the colonies hetwen the limits mentioned from the Atlantic to the Pacific. These chartered limits embrace all, or nearly nll, of Oregon. In 1776, these colonies werc reliels; in 1783, they were victors, treating on terms of national independenec and national equality with their former sovercign. By the treaty of 1783, Britain acknowledged their independence, and left them in the full nud penceable enjoyment of all the territorial rights, as granted to the colonies ly the charters, without any reservation or exception.
The colonies to which these grants were made, have since ceded all their territorina rights heyond c:ertnin limits, to the United States, where the title is now vested. The question now comes up between Britain, the grantor to the colonies, and the United States, as assignee of the colonies who were the grantecs of Britain, under the hand and seal of her King. Can we not, then, successfully set up in bar of Britain's clains, the titles granted by her King, signed, sealed, und delivered, in 16f9, and 1620, and by her again ratified and confirmed in 1783, agninst any claim she can now make? By her charters she conveyed all the rights she then had, and by the same grant she estopped herself from afterwards acquiring any further ripghts in the country, unless by purchase or by conquest, neither
of which she now pretenda to cluin. I will nude sir, one more munment of title, nond the last: is the title of the gentleman from Illinois, " inevi: alile destiny." There is more in this urgumen sir, than mpears upon the surfice. If, by politien derelictions, arisiur cither from political numbition sectional jenlousies, cupidity, nvarice, party low tility, foreign attachments mid foreign interests, w should lose Oregon now, hy inevituble destiny will be recovered. Sir, mations, like men, pus through infancy to the vigor of manhrod, mad the the deerepitule of houry are. If we are true 1 ourselves and preserve our glorions Union, whe our vast nut firtile empire shall sustain its fift miltion of fremen, the sails of our enmmer whiten every wave, and our mavy ride trimmphu on every sen, then, sir, we will win on the battlfield what we may this duy lose in the comer ehamber. Thus will inevitable destiny give u Oregon. Upon these five several gromide I bus our rimits in mand title th Oregon, and lenve each fi himself to decide upon the foree and effect of th proof alduced.
Mr. Chairman, hefore I proceed to state the es. dence upon which Britain rests her claim and prt tensions in Oregon, nllow me to notice one impor tunt thict, which is now a matter of history nn cammot he denieal. It is th. that in all the dipls matie disenssions which have taken place betwe the two Gnvermments in relation th Oregon, from th treaty of Ghent in 1814, down to the year 1894 Fagland bised her claims exellasively upon th dise, veries of her navigators. The Nootka col vention was never named in these discussions unt Mr. Rush, the American Minister, introduced in 1826. In 1896 Britain changed ground; sh mhandoned her clnims by discovery as the sol grounds of her title, and planted herss If upon th Noutkaconvention. And why, sir, was thisclange The reason is ohvions. The diseassion of thisques tion lead the Ministers of the two Governments : trace back their elaims respectively to their origit in the log-looks, daily journals, and contempora ncous writings of the several navigators upon whos disenveries the title of the clnimants must stand fill. Before this investigntion the British title fade away, ind its incvitahle overthrow was foreseen ly: her statesmen: the Ameriean title grew brighti and stronger at every step. The British negotia tors, to avoid defent, in 1826 changed ground, an took shelter lochind the Nootka convention, upo which the title on her side is now mainly rested.

1 shall now proceed to state the claims and pre tensions of Britain to Oregon, as she has mad them, during the thirly years negotiation on tha suljece, first by discovery and exploration of hu mavigators, and, secondly, by virtue of the Noa ka convention. In the early part of her neer tiation, and whilst Great Britain predicated he claims upon discovery and exploration, she con: menced with the voyage of Sir Francis Drakt Sir, what was that voyage? On the the 13th. December, 1577, Drake sailed from Plymouth England, astensilly for a voyage to Egypt, bu really, as the sequel proved, m a predatnry ex cursion against the Spanish settlements in Ame: ica. In September, 157\%, he arrived in the Pacif near the Spanish settlements there, and after plur dering their towns and ships, and filling his vess!
nim. I will nde nud the last: Illimis, "inevi" n this argumen:

If, by politiea wlitical numbitiont arice, party hos cign inerests, w vifutbe destiny? , like men, puss anliood, nal the f we are true fus Union, whe sustain its fift f our commer ride trimmphas fin on the matt) e in the counc destiny give u I groumls I bus mil leave each fi and eflect of $t$ l
d to state the ev. er claim and printice one impor r of history an ot in ull the diplr en place betwer Oregon, from th o the year 189 isively upon th he Nootia eon disenssions unt cr, introduced red ground; sh very as the sol herself upon th , wns this chang sion of this ques Governments : $y$ to their origi, ind contempora ators upon whos its must stand " British title fade - was forescen lo e grew brightic British negotia ged ground, an onyention, upo: mainly rested. claims nud pro $s$ she has mad rotiation on the ploration of his tue of the Noo: irt of her nege predicated hr ration, she con Francis Draki the the listh rom Plymouth to Erypt, lu a predatory ex ments in Amer cd in the Pacif , and after plun filling his vess:
with the spoils, in the spring of 1579 , he conchedeal to return home, Fenring, if ho smiled south by Magellan's Strait, the Spmiards woulil intereppt him, he saiket northwest to about the ded degree of latitule, when, liy stress of weather, he was driven back to latitude $188^{\circ}$, when his landed in Catifornia, refitted his vesalel, remamed till the spring of 1580 . took possession of and called the place New Alhiom, and in Soptember, liedo, he arrived in England. 'This is the aesount of Drake's vogage, as given in 1589 by Francis Pritty, who was with Drike, mat which is doubthess correct. He never tonchel the shore north of the 3 Sth degree, which is two digrees south of our southern bomulary.
England toes not now rely upon Conk's voyuge for title. In 1if6, two centuries afierwurds, the next lritish navigntor when appared in the Pacifie was Coptain Jimes Cook, who was sent by the British Government on an exploring expedition, with instruetions to take possession of suels places as he might discover, which had not alrendy been "discovered or visited" by other mations. Atter a royage of near two years, in which he visited Vam Dieman's Laml, Now Zenland, l'riendIy und Society lslands, and other places, on the Thi of March, 1788, he arrivel opposite the norlliwest coast of America, in latitude $44^{\circ}$. Thence he sailed north to Nuotkia Sisind, where he landed in April, 1788 , remaned there near one month, refitting his wessel, and supplying his ship with wood and woter, and traling with the natives. Cook took possession of the place, named it St. Geary ’: Bay, mind the cove, Friendly Cove; thence proceeded north on his voyiure, but made no further disenveries in the disputed territory. Cook continucd his voynge mitil the 16 h of February, 1779, when he was killed by the natives at Owyhee, one of the Sandwich islands. England can clambothing from his disenveries, for the reasom that Perez, on the part of Spain, hat "discovered, visited,' mud taken possession of Nootka, in 1074, four years before Cook ever saw it.
In 1787, John Meares, whose acts are inimately eomaceteal with the Nuotinn convention, and will he mentioned hereafter, in the capacity of supercarco in a Portugucse trading ship, visted Nontka.

In 1792, Vancouver visited the Straits of F'ucn. This was two hundred years after Fuca had discovered the entrance of these straits, and three years after Capiain Gray had sailed into them fifty imles.

In 1787, Berkeley, then in the service of the Austrian East India Company, saw the Straits of Fuca, but did not enter them.

In 1793 Alexander Mekenzic, an agent of a fur tompany, passed from Fort Chippewayne southwest across the country, nud discovenced the healwaters of the river 'lucuchee Teese, (now called Frazer's river, down which he and his comrades floated in canoes two hundred miles, then left the streams, passed by land westward to the Pacific, where he arrived in July, 1793, in latitude 59020 '. From thence he returned by land to Fort Chipnewanye, whenco he had started, making no other discoveries. So little was known of this stream, that, up to 1812 it was believed to he a branch of the Columbia, when it was discovered to be a sep.
arnte stream that emptied into the Straits of Fucn. in hatitude 4! $9^{\circ}$. Did Cirrat Britain ever follow up the diseoveries of any of her mavisators liy pas. sossion and setth ment? If she did, whe has never to this day firmished the world with the evidence of it. 'The first settcment ever made liy a Iritiesh suliject west of the liocky Monntains was mate by Mekenzie, in the your lobit, when, as an arent of the Northwest Fur Compmin, he established a trading post on the Tacucher T'eese, in latitude $54^{\circ}$. 'This is the Iritish title, so hitr as it reste on discovery by her minvators and Melonaie, and theae are nill she ever made. Of all the disenveries of each nation I have given only the outline, the dates and phees, when and where, and by whom they were made, Wint of time compels me to onit tho details.

Mr. Clairman, a carcfil and neenrate examination, in umalysis mut comparisem of the unthentic evideners of the title of the present clammes, as the same is found in the log-hooks, fourmals, and contemprameous writings of the sevemil navigators bund others who diseoverel and explored that country, will fully sustain the truth of this proposition: that the navigntors of Brituin never matle an original diseovery of an important part of the territory in dispule. That her mavigators made explorutions of place previonsly diseovered and explored by Spmaiards and Ameriemes, will not he demied: hat that she ever made an origimal discovery of importance in tha' tervitory, remains yet (1) be prosel.

Mr. Chairman, let us uext examine the Nootka convention: that heins the other branch of liritish elaims. And, sir, what is this convention? By whom was it made? And can any right or title to the sovereignty or the soil of Oregon be derived from or predicated upon it. It is a comvention entered into by Spain and Britain in 1790. The eiremmstmaces that gave rise to this convention, and which are highle important to its true interpretatien, are briefly theso: 11188 two trading vessels were fitted ont at Macao, in Chima, for a trading expedition. Upon one of ther, Johin Meares was supereargn, in the employ of a Portugnese merchant. She sailed under Portuguese colors, was commandel liy a Portuguese captain, her passports and sea papers were made out in the Portuguese linngrate, and by authority of a Portuguese colony, the veasel and eargo belonging to Juan Cavello, a Portuguese merchant. In 1788 this ship (Felice) arrived at Nootin, on her trading expelition, four years after Perez had diseovered that place. Meares while there procured from Maquinna, an Indian chicf, at Nootka, a grant of privilege to use a small spot of gromal in Friendly Cove, upon which to construrt a small trading vessel, on condition, that when he left, he (Meares) would surrender the sume back to the Indians, with any buildings he might erect upon it, am! for which privilege he gave the Indian chief a pair of pistols. Part of the crew of the Felice was landed at Nootka, to build the proposed craft, and Meares sailed south alnng the coast on a trading and exploring excursion. In July following, Memres returned to Nootka, and found there two American ships, the Whshington and Columbia, before mentioned; also found his new vessel, which was called the Northwest Ame-
rica, construited. Meares took unon his vessel the furs that had been collected, and sailel for China, and from that time to the pesent, John Meares has never scen Nootka Sound. The other two vessels, the Iphigenia and Northwest Americn, spent the following winter at the Sundwich Islanis. Meares had promised to meet this vessel at Nootka in the spring of 1789 , to pursuc their trade.

At this time, the Spanish Government inad become dissatisfied with and jealous of the frequent appearance of foreign vessels on the Pacific coast, over which she chinimed to be the exclusive mistress. She therefore commenced more vigorously to prosecute her discoveries, and assert her rights. Early in the spring of 1789, in pursuance of this determination, Don Manuel de Flores, then viceroy of Mexico, fitted out and despatched two armed vessels, with the necessary implements for settling and defending Nootka. These vessels were commanded by Martinez and Hero, two Spanish navigators, who were instructed to proceed to Nootka to take possession thereof in the nnme of Spain, to treat with civility any British or Russian vessels that might come to Nootka; but, at all hazards, to assert and maintain the sovereignty of Spain at that place. On the fith of May, 1789, Martinez arrived at Nootka, took possession of the place, landed his cannon, and other materinls for setilement and defence. On his nrrival there, he found the vessels Iphigenin and Northwest America. They had arrived on the 20th April, 1789, still sailing as Portuguese traders. He also found anchored there the two American slips, Washington and Cohmbia. After taking possession, he informed the commandants of the vessels lying there of his intentions and instructions. They made no oljeetions, hut appeared satisfied with what had been done. Ihings remained thus for about one week, when Hero arrived. Martinez then demnnded an inspection of the papers of the Portuguese vessels, which was granted; and by the translntion of these papers from the Portuguese language, Martinez was informed that they were instructed to take all English, Spanish, and Russian vessels that were inferior in force, and send them to Macao, to be tried as pirates. Martinez thereupon scized the Iphigenia, her officers and crew, and was about to send them to San Blas, a Spanish port, for trin, when the officers agreed for and on behalf of the reputed owner, Juan Cavello, that if they were released, and afterwards upon trial condemned, the condemnation-money should be paid; and accordingly they were released, and soon after left. In June, the Northwest America returned to Nontka, and was also immedintely seized; but afterwards returned to her owners. It afterwards turned out that these vessels, although sailing under Portuguese colors, were the property of John Meares and his associates, British merchants trading at Macao; and the piratical disguise had been assumed for the purpose of defrauding the Chinese revenues. John Meares then ieft the const, amd arrived at Macao the same year. These merchants, with Meares at their hend, fitted out another expedition, the ships Argonaut and Princess Royal, commanded by Colnott and Hudson, two English officers, and sailed under English colors. On the 2d of July,
the Argonaut arrived at Nootka, and found the Princess Royal there. Martinez demanded an inspection of their papers, which was complied with; and, upon inquiry of the intention of their expedition, was informed that they intended to erect a British fort there, hoist the British flag, and take possession. Martinez told them this could not be done, as the place was already ocrupied by Spain. A quarrel ensued; the Spaniard arrested the commandant, seized the ship, and sent her to San Blas for trial. She was afterwards restored by Quadra, on the ground that the British commander was ignorant of the Spunish rights. Under these circumstances, the Nootka convention originated. Information of these difficultics being communicated to the home Governments, England and Spain, a discussion of their respective rights was commenced at London and Madrid. In February, 1790, the Spanish Minister at London informed the English Government of the capture, and requested that Goverument to restrain her subjects from further intrusion upon the Spanish settlements; to which the British Minister replied, he would not negotiate on the subjeet, unless immediate restitution was made for the vessel which had been seized. This reply, with the circumstances attending it, convinced Spain that England had other designs. The Spanish Court became alarmed; and another note was addressed to the British Minister, saying that Spain would be satisfied if Britain would command her subjects to respect the rights of Spain in future.

About this time (May, 1790) John Meares, now representing himself to be a lieutenant in the British service, arrived from Macao at London, with a memorial to his Government, on behalf of himself and his associates at Macao, who were also represented as British subjects. In his memorial he set forth that four British ships, with their cargoes and crews, had been seized nt Noolka by an officer commanding two Spranish ships of war, and sent to a Spanish port for trial; nso, that he had been dispossessed of certain houses and tracts of land at Nootka. This information the King of England immediately communicated to Parliament, denying, at the same time, the exclusive rights of Spain to the territory in dispute, and asking for supplics to prepare for war. Negotiations were closed at London and opened at Madrid between the two Governments. Meanwhile Britain was making extensive preparations for war. She equipped two large fleets, at a cost of $\mathfrak{£ 4 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0 .}$ Spain also armed. The ulterior desigus of Britain to wrest from Spain some of her American posses. sions became manifest. The Spanish Govermment, to avoid difficulty, proposed to submit the whole matter to the arbitrament of any of the Kings of Europe Britain might name, and to satisfy any award that might, upon substantinl proof, be made against it, provided no infercnees should be drawn from this offer affecting the territorial rights of Spain in America. This proposition was accepted by Britain as to the indemnity; but coupled with the acceptance was in demand that Spail should admit that British subjects mighin fish on uny part of the Pacific coast, and trade and settle on any unoceupied part of the American eotst. The Spanish Minister proposed to admit the right to fish
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the Britlon, with of him. vere also nemorial heir carka by an war, and the had tracts of King of liament, rights of sing for ns were bet ween int: was She 100,000. Britain posses mment, whole ings of fy any c made drawn ghts of acceptd with hould y part 11 nny Span0 fish
and trade in the copen sen, but not to settle on the foast south of the 5lst degree. This proposition was rejected by the British Minister, who then proposed to divide the territory upon the prarnllel of $31^{0}$. This being rejected by Spain, n proposition was then male to divide by the 40 th parallel, which was also promptly refused by Spain. Negotiations had now been continued about eight months, and at this point appeared to have come to an unfavorable close. All Europe had been anxionsly watching the progress of this: attempt at amicable settlement. France had equipped a flect of forty-five suil. Belgium and Russia were also armed. British statesmen secing the fearful progress of revolutionary principles on the continent, and all Earope armed, they clearly foresaw the bloody conflict which wonld ensue, and in which she must necessurily act her part. In this state of things, she concluded it was better to make an ally than an enemy of Spain, and that slie would put off the settlement of title to the Oregon territory to some more convenient season. Aecordingly the [hitish Minister withdrew the proposition, which he had mate fixing lines and boundaries of territorial divisions. Negotiations were renewed with Spuin, and in a short time the Nootkn convention was concluded upon, and, on the 28th Octoher, 1790, was signed by the Plenipotentinuies of the two countries, at the Escurial, and Spain became the ally of England. This, sir, is bricfly the history of the Nootka convention. The next inquiry is to detemsine the true intent and meaning of this treaty by the ordinary rules we construction. Of what nature is this convention? Is it commercial or territorial? What eflect has it upon the ultimate territorial rights of the parties? I shatl only motice an much of it as tends to form the issue I mude, that our title to Oregon, south of Nootia, is absolute and clear. Our title north of that has been too often ind ably argued to require any aid of mine. The first and second articles of this convention provides " that the buildings and tracts of land of ' which British subjects were posscssed in April, - 1789, shall be restored, compensation made for ' ships or merchandise of Pritish subjeets, which " may lave been sejzed or taken from them in "1789." The fifth article, and most material one, is as follows:
"Art. 5. It is agreed, that, as well in the "places which are to lie restored to the British - subjects, ly virtue of the first artiele, its in all - other parts of the northwestern coasts of North - Annerica, or of the islands adjacent, situated to - the north of the coast alrcady occupied by Spain, ' wherever the subjects of the two Powers shall - have made settlements since the month of $A$ pril, - 1789, or shall hereafter make any, the subjects of 6 the other shall hare free access, and shall carry ' on their trade, without any disturbance or moles'tation."
(The sixth article refers to South America.)
To execute this eonvention, Britain nppointed Vancouver and Spain Quadra, two distinguislied navisators. They mot at Nootka in Ausust, 1792, ench under jnstructions from his Government, but neither lidd any description of the "lands or houses" to be resinred, or any evidence that British subjects had ever been possessed or dispossess-
ed of any houses or liunds at that place Quadra inquired of the Indians if any lands had been sold to John Meares, and they replied there never had been. He next procured the testimony of the American captains, Gray and Ingraham, who testified that they were at Nootka in 1788, and also during all the difficulties between Martinez and the liritish traders in 1789; that they could converse perfectly well with the Indians, and never heard of any purchase of land having been made hy Mcares. Their testimony as to buildings was taken in writing, is yet extant, and is is foilows:
"On the arrival of the Columbia, in the year - 1788, there was a house, or rather : hut, consist-- ing of rough posts, covered witl: boards, made - by the Indians; but this, Captain Douglass pulled - to pieces, prior to his sni!ing for the Sandwich - Islands, the same year. The boards he took on "board the lphigenia, and the roof he gave to Cap-- tain Kendriek, which was cut up and used as - firewood on board the Columbia; so that, on the - arrival of Don Martinez, there was no vestige of 'any house remaining.',-Proofs and Illustrations to Greentiow's Oregon, p. 415.

Vancolver procured testimony of Mr. Duffin, a British seaman, who said he was with Meares in 1788, and that, on the 17th May, 1788, he (Meares) purchased of Maguilla and Callicum, two Indians, the whole of Friendly Cove, for which he gave them some sheets of copper, and other trifling articles. Meares's own journal, pages 113-14, has the following entry:
"A present, consisting of eopper, iron, and - other gratifying articles, was made to the chiefs - Maquilla anil Callicum, who, on recciving it, took - off their sea-otter garments, threw them, in the " most graceful manner, it our feet, and remained, - in the unatired carb of nature, on the deck."Voyare, p. 113-14.

Not a word about land. And also, on page 114, the following:
"Maquilla had not only most readily consented ' to grant us a spot of ground in his territory, - whereon a house might be built for the accom' modntion of the people we intended to leave there; ' but had promised us also his assistance in for' warding our works, and his protection of the ' party, who were destined to remain at Nootka - during our absence. In return for this kindness, ' the chief was presented with a pair of pistols, ' which he had rergarded with an eye of solicitude 'ever since out rrival."- Foyage, p. 114.
What, then, becomes of Mr. Duffin's evidenec? Is it probable that Mewes, in 1788, sailing as a free trader under Portugucse colors, would buy lands in the nome of England? Meares's own journal contradicts Duffin, and shows that he never bought any land, but that he merely had the privilege of building a vessel on a small lot of ground. In this state of the case, Quadra very justly maintained that Mcares never possessed any houses or lands at Nootka. He admitted, that by the convention, England had a joint right with Spain to make setthements and trade north of Nootka, but not south. After longr negotiations, these commissioners could not agree. The case was referred back to their respective Goveruments, with the evidence they lad taien, for further instructions; having agreed
that Nootka should in the mean time be considered a Spanish settement, and to remain in the possession of Spain. In October, Vancouver left Nootka. In 1794, he again visited Nootka, and found Brigadier Alva, a Spanish officer, in possession und command of the place; Quadra in the mean time having died. Vanconver having received no further instructions from his Government, he returned home in 1794. There is no authentic evidence that Nootka ever passed from the possession of Spain to that of Enghand. Belsham, a British historian, says that the Spanish flag never was struck, and that the territory was virtually ahandoned hy the English. What interpretation was given to the convention by British statesmen after its adoption? Let them speak for themselves. Charles James Fox, opposing the convention, says: "What did we object to before - the convention but to the indefinite elaims of 'Spanish America? That objection still remains, "for the limits of Spanish America were still un'defined."
"Thus we had giren up, all right to settle, except - for temporary purposes, to the south of the Spirenish - settlements, or in the intervals between them, if they - happened to be distant. We had obtuined an ad' mission of our right to settle to the north, and - even that we had not olstained with clearness. - As Spanish settements were the only mark of - limits, suppose we were to meet with one further ' to the north than we expected, and a dispute were ' to arise, whether it was new or old, it would "be some difficulty to send out our builders to de 'cide," \&c.-p. 995.

What was the reply of William Pitt, then Prime Minister of England, and the defender of the convention? He says: "Although Britain had acquired no new rights, she certainly had acquired new adrantages." Thus, sir, it will be seen that Fox, Pitt, and Quadra, put upon the 5th article of that convention the same construction we now put upon it-the only true one its langnage will admit of: which is, that Britain had prechuled herself from claiming any territorial rights situate to the south of the prurts of said coast already (October, 1790) occupicd by Spain, and had secured nothing but a joint right with Spain to trade in the country north of the most northerly Spanish settlements on that coast, leaving the question of sovereignty in abeyance. If, then, I have shown that there was a Spanish settement at Nootka on the 28th day of October, $\mathbf{1 7 9 0}$, that John Meares had " no tracts of hand or houses" there to be surrendered, and that the possession of the Spanish fort and settlement it Nootka never passed from Spain to Britain, the conclusion must follow that our Spanish title alone is good against Britain up to that point-she, by the terms of the convention, having relinquished all territorial rights south of that place. If Great Britain had no title South of Noot. ka in 1790, she has none now; she has acpuired none sinee; and we take up the question of title at this time-so fir as we rely upon our Spanish titlejust where Florida Blanca, the Spanish Minister, left it in 1790. On the 5th day of April, 182. 4 , a treaty was concluded between the United States and Russia, by which the division line between their territories in Oregon was fixed at $51^{\circ} 40^{\prime}-$

Russia on the north, and the United States on the south, of that linc. Then, sir, the rights of all chimants in Oregon have been extinguished, and acquired by the United States, excepit that of the English; and she herself has thrice granted them away to others, from whom we have arquired them. In the sixteenth century, she granted all the rights she then had to her colonies, and confirmed the grant at the trenty of 1783 . In 1714, she granted all to France south of $49^{\circ}$, and we now own that. In 1790, she extinpuished her claims south of Nootka, acknowledging the right to be in Spain, and we now hold Spain's rights. Add to these our own title by discovery and settlement, and by explorations, contiguity, and inevitable destiny, and you have before you the American title to Oreron, which vests in the United States the absolute and exclusive title south of Nootka, and also the better title north of that pinint. Sir, we hold too clear and strong a title to Orearon to be bullied out of it, and too high and valuable a heritage there to be bought out.

Mr. Chaiman, wo things yet remain to be briefly considered; first, our conventional stipulations with England touching Oregon; and, secondly, some of the objections urged against the passage of this resolntion. Our conventional stipulations, what are they? I will endeavor to show. At the Ghent treaty, in 1814, amongst other important national questions which were left unsettled, was our northwestern boundary. Shortly after that time, nerotiations upon that subject were renewed, and continued up to the year 1818, withont arriving at any satisfactory conclusion. On the 20th of October of that year, a convention for the joint use of the territory was concluded between the United States and Great Britain, to prevent difficulty and collision between the citizens and subjects of the two countries who might inhahit that country, until the question of title should be setuled by their respective Govermments; of which convention the third article is as follows:
"It is agreed that any country that may be - claimed by either party on the northwest coast of ' America, westward of the Shony Mountains, ' shall, together with its harbors, bieys, and ereeks, ' and the navigation of all rivers within the same, - be free and open for the term of ten years from ' the date of the signature of the present con'vention, to the vessels, citizens, and subjects of ' the two Powers: it heing well understood that 'this agreement is not to be construed to the - prejudice of any claim which either of the two - high contracting parties may have to any part ' of the said country, nor shall it be taken to allect - the clams of any other Power or State to any 'part of the said country; the oaly object of the - high contracting partics, in that respeet, being to "prevent disputes and differences among thens"selves."

This convention of juint use continned in force near ten years more of fruitless negotiation; when, on the 6il day of August, 1827, another conventional agreement was made by the negotiators of the two Govermments. Sy the first article of th: convention of 1827, the third article of the convention of 1818 was eatended indefinitely. The second article provides that either of the contract.
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 htion; when, her convengotiators of ricle of th: of the convitely. The te contract-ing parties can, at any time after the 20th of October, 1828 , by giving the other party twelve months notice, annul and abrogate that convention.

The third article provides that nothing contained in this convention shall be so construcd as in any manner to affect the claims that either party may have to any territory west of the Rock y Monntains. 'l'lis convention settled no territorial rights of soversignty or soil, but was a mere temporary expedient; leaving by its own terms the question of territorial limits and title in abeyance. Abrogate this convention, and in what situation do you place the rights of the United States in Oregon? Sir, we will then be restored to the enjoyment of our rights as they were on the 6th day of October, 1818, and on that day we had not only the right of property and the right of possession, but the actual poossession. On the 14th day of Februa:y, 1818, it was admitted by Lord Castlereagh that we had a right to be reinstated in the possession of Astoria, and to be the party in possession while treating of the title, and for which purpose his written order was issued; which was duly executed by delivering to us the possession of Astoria and the Columbia on the 6th of October following. But unfortunately for American interest in Oregon, on the 20th of the same month this convention of joint use was made at London, without knowledge that Astoria had been surrendered, and by which Great Britain was allowed the joint use of all the country claimed by us west of the Rocky Mountains, together with the harl,ors, bays, creeks, and navigable rivers thereof. Had Great Britain any rivers, harbors, bays, creeks, or territory, there, for us to possess in common with her? No, sir. There was but one great river there, which drains all Oregon, and that is the Columbia. Great Briain admitted our right to the possession of that stream, and by her written order we obtained it fourteen days before this convention was signed. Great Brituin had no harbors, buys, or creeks, in Oregon for us to enjoy in common with her. The convention, in its inception, was altogether one-sided. We gave all, and got nothing. Sir, I want this convention abrogated. I desire to be freed from its trammels, and that our county be restored to the rights she possessed before its adoption. What has been the practical effect of this convention? Why, sir, it has brought us nothing but the bitter fruits of disappointment. It drove our citizens from Oregon, and converted American soil into a British province. It gave to the Hudson's Bay Company the exclusive possession of Oregon, and the undisturbed enjoyment of its trade and commerce for a quarter of a century. It deprived us of the benefits of the restoration of Astorin under the treaty of Ghent. It rendered still more complicated our diffieulties with England: it added new coloring to her pretensions, by lapse of time, and she now sets up against us that by it we almitted she had rights in that country. Sir, it has been the source of unmitigated evil to our interests in Oregon, and for a time destroyed all we had there, except our right to the country. These, sir, are the fruits of this convention and "masterly inactivity" for a quarter of a century.
Mr. Chairman, we have tried masterly inactitily long enough. We want no more of it. We now want a little masterly activity. Up to the year

1818, the greater part of the trade of that country was in the hands of American citizens; but tho unprotected citizen, under the operations of this joint-use convention and the withering influence of masterly inactivity, was unable to withstand the encroachments of the Hudson's Bay Company, backed up and supported by the masterly activity of the British Govermment; and thus the exclusive possession and trade of the country fell into the hands of that company. Since 1837, our Government has turned its attention to Oregon. Our western pionecrs, encouraged by the astion of Govcriment, commenced as early as 1839 to return to Oregon. As the measures of the Govermment progressed, the tide of emigration increased, until we now have in Oregon some seven thousand citizens, who lave formed flourishing settlements at Willamette and Willawalla, and claim our protection. We can grant them wo adequate, permanent, or exclusive rights or homer, until this convention is abrogated. But, say gentlemen, if you give this notice, you will produce a war. That cas afford no just eause of a war. It is a treaty stipulation, and we have a right to exercise it at all times, and so can Great Britain. But, say they, what will you do then? Why, sir, we will extend our laws and jurisdiction over our citizens in Oregon, and throw around them the shield and protection of the Goverument. Can Great Britair complain at this? Certainly not. What has she done for her Hudson's Bay Company? In 1669, King Charles If, of Engliud, granted a churter to this company to trade on IIudson's Bay. This company increased in power and importance, and stretched itself across the northern region of this Continent. In 1819, by the influence of the British Parliament, the Northwest Company was united with, and now forms a part of, the IIndson's Bay Company. On the 21st day of December, 1821, by an act of Parliament, Great Britain granted to this Hudson's Bay Company the exclusive privilege of the trade and commerce of Oregon for twenty years, down even to the Mexican line, excepting from that grant only the right of Amcrican citizens to trade in common with that company, under the convention; and by the same act she extended her laws and jurisdiction over Oregon, established her judicial tribunals there with civil and criminal jurisdiction. In 1838, that privilege was continued for twentyone years more. Yes, sir; British laws have been in full force in Oregon, ever since 18:21, and are yet in full force there. True, they do not attempt to enforce their criminal laws against our citizens; but the Hudson Biyy Company found other means just as effectual to drive our citizens out of the country. Sir, our citizens have now returned to Oregon, they demand our protection: and will we give it? Yes, sir, we will; but we will go no further with our law, whilst this convention exists, than Britain has gonc. But, sir, two separate and independent sovereignties cannot long co-exist in peace in the same territory. Again, we are asked, will you establish your forts? I answer, yes. We will establish a cordon of block-houses and stockade forts, from the upper Missouri to the Rocky Mountains, for the protection of emigrants, granting prospective pre-emptions of lands to settle, at earh fort, that provisions may be supplied;
and we will place there, our mounted riffemen, to protect them. Can Britain complain of this? No, sir. We are told she has now thirty forts in Oregon, upon which floats the banner of St. George. Should our citizens settle and improve the country, Britain cunnot complain. She has made a settement at Puget Sound, in latitude $47^{\circ}$, on the most inland arm of the sea, and which is destined to be one of the greatest commercial emporiums in that country. Sir, some gentlemen, who, but a few weeks since were as brave as Cesar, when a certain other territorial guestion was pending, which has now been happily consummated, said to the helmsman of the ship of State, "Ne times Cessarem rehis," and who were then ready and willing, not only to fight England and France, for their interfercuce, but to fight "the world in arms," have by some unseen and mysterious influence, almost in the twinkling of an eye, become the converts to peace and the alarmists of power. A change has come over the spirit of their dream. Sir, for the last five weeks, at the opening of our session, every morning a British lion has been introduced into this Hall, to shake lis gory locks in our faces, and awe us into submission. Shall we take counsel of our fears, nand surrender at discretion! Sir, that beast, powerful as he may be, is not invincible. Had Samsin taken counsel of his fears, le never would have met and slain the beast, and won and wore the prize of his achievement, or sipped honey from the prostrate carcass of this monster ling of the forest.

Gentlemen hr.ve not stopped here with their pictures of terior. They have told us of the vast dominions of British possessions; that the sun never rises or sets upon her dominions; no matter in what clime, her banner floats in the breeze, and the peals of her drum greet the ear around the circle of the globe. They have counted her ships and numbered her cannon and her battalions of infantry. They have told us she holds the strong places on every continent, and the commanding ishands on every sea; that Gibraltar, Malta, and the Dardanelles, are hers; St. Helena, Good Hope, the Indies and China, are her outposts; the Bermulas in the Atlantic, the Saudwich and Falkiand Islards in the Pacific, were her resting places, within striksing distanec of our shores. That she encireles us on the north and east by the Canadas, New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia; and, to appease her rapacity for dominion, we are now to give her Oregon, and thus to shut ourselves out from the Pacific, and hem us in on the west. Are these the arguments of American statesmen, in an American Congress? No, sir, they are the arguments of fear. Yes, the very nrguments the British Government desire to hear. Create alarm and division at home, and encourage her obstinately to press her pretensions: you accomplish for her what she cannot accomplish for herself. You hear no such arguments in her councils; her advocates and alarmists appear to be on this side the water. Sir, is it possible tr.at that rock-girt speck of an "ocean isle," lashed by the waves of a northern sea, can hold the world in chains, and the nations of the enst in fear and bondage! That she has power, will not be denied; hut that she is invincible, cannot be ndmitted. The giimt monster, clad
in his panoply of steel, was slain hy the striplin: shepherd boy. Sir, what mean these arguments of gentlemen? Do they tend to prove our title or disjuve the claims of Britain? No, sir. Why, then, are they used? They are the echo, in words. of the same arguments by which the British Government intends to force her demands, by the promulgation of her military preparations. They are the arguments of arms, which silence the voice of reason and cocrec sulmission to unjust and unfounded demands. Who ever knew Britain to negotiate on any important question, for centuries pust, without first arming, and promulgating to the world that she was armed? History does not furnish an instunce. Sir, how and hy what means has she extended her dominions? In the very same manner and by the same means she now sceks to get Oregon. Some struggling smuggler, such as John Meares, or some marauding freebooter, as Drake, either by choice or accident, lands upon some unsetted shore; remains a short time for temporary purposes of trade or repairs; he takes possession of the country in the name of his sovereign. No matter who bas discovered or explored the place hefore him, he returns to England with a long and false account of important diseoveries. England wants the country; she makes a grant to some colony or corporation; if their intrusion be resisted by another nation, upon better title, England arms, and then proposes negotiation; but if her claim be too new and fragile at that time to hear investigation, or she is otherwise engaged, a final division of territory and adjustment of claims is waived for the present. Some diplomatic convention is proposell and ngreed upon, by which Britain is kept in possession, and in which are artfully interwoven extensive terns and complicated conditions, to form the germs of future contro. versy. For, sir, it has become a maxin that Britain never makes a treaty without planting in it the seeds of its own dissolution. Time rolls on. Hei elaims, by time and circumstances, ripen into what she then ealls treaty rights; she refuses to go back of her conventions, plants herself upon them, insists upon the execution of their terms as she construes them. If she cannot entirely monopolize the country, at some convenient time for her shic renews negotiations to settle boundaries, proposes arbitrary and unfair lines as a compromise, with. ont regard to the justice or injustice of the origin of her claims. If her demands be not yielded to, she arms, publishes in her gazettes that her fleets are equipped, her garrisons supplied, the regiments filled, and then shakes her trident at the world: and demands a categorical answer, and thus coerees negotiation, and she dictates the terms of the treaty, always being sure to have the lion's share. She now attempts to make that experiment upon us. Will we submit? She has planted herself upon the Nootka convention, and construes it in her own way, without ever looking to the entire want of any just elaims to base it upon. In 1788, John Meares, a Portnguese smuggler, visits Nootka and builds a boat. In 1790 Johin Meares is changed into a British lieutenant, and his claims have grown into "tracts of hund und houses." In 181j this claim has ripened into a national right, and that too without either settement or possession.
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In 1845 it has grown into a joint rimht; a tenantey in common in an empire territory of nine hundred miles in extent, of which she now demands partition.
Sir, the possession of a large part of the British empire was aequired by the terror of her arms; and is held at this day by the same tenure; with her metals in camnon and in coin she frightens the timid and bribes the venal. Her long earecr of national aggression has justly entitled her to the appellation of "rlunderer of nations and the robber ot the world." Sir, I would not be so unwise as to underrate the power of her arms or the diplomacy of her Cabinet. I would carefully study the prowess of her achievements, the strength of her arms, the history of her aggressions, the principles of her poliey, and the mode of its exceution; but never, sir, no, never! while I had a country of my own, or a heart to love it, would I become the culogist of her greatness, the pander of her interests, or the apologist of her crimes. No, sir; rather would I turn to the historic pages of our revolutionary sires, who achiceved our indenendence and founded our institutions, and learn from them the price of our national freedom, and the true prineiples of policy to preserve them. Rather would I contemplate the glory of our achievements by land and sea in the war of 1812. Rather would 1 trace the growth of our power and the perfection of our poliey from that time to the present, and carcfully examine and compare our present strength with that of our adversary, and then calcu! te the chanees of success. It is alike unwise to exalt or depreciate the power of your adversary, or vaingloriously to boast or cowardly to disparage your own.

Mr. Chairman, one passing remark. Should I ever be so unfortunate, cither by choice, accident, or in obedience to the behest of some superior leadcr , as to find myself placed upon the anti-A merican side of any great national question, I trust I shall never seek extrication from that position by availing myself of the aid of any of that ephemerul spuwn of venal seribblers, who infest every capitol and pollute every paper. But, sir, some getlemen tell us this is a President-making question. I have but a single remark upon that subject. If any of the aspirants to the succession, or their friends, scek promotion io that high office by gambling
with the rights of their country, or the integrity of her soil, non the poltical chessboard, they will find the waysides of the road to the White Iouse streued with leud politicians. The American pcople will never by their suffrages elevate any man to office, who woud promote his own persoual aggrandizement by abandoning or sacrificing the interests of his country. Sir, we are told that this is a western question, and that western men compose a wur party. What advantage does the West gain by maintaining our rights in Oregon, more than the Eust? None, sir, none. Nay more, the enstern cities would be the largest gainers. When the means of communication and the channels of trade are opencel up and established, connecting the Atlantic cities with those of the Pacific, and these commerciul pnints are bound together by the ties of interest, of kindred, and of blood, will not the commercial nen of the East have a much greater interest in Oregon than the agriculturists of the western valley?

Sir, the western people are a peaceable people; they desire no unjust war, no war of aggression. They full well know and appreciute the devastations and horrors of war, and also the blessings of peace. They rejoice in the maintenance of that peace; but, sir, it is not with the joy of fear. They would forbear long and endure much before they would destroy our peaceful relations with the world. But they will never consent to purchase that peace, dear as it may be, by a sacrifice of national honor or national interest.
Mr. Chairmun, we say to those gentlemen, be just, and fear not; ascertain clearly the extent of our just rights in that country; demand no more, take no less; "ask nothing but what is clearly right, submit to nothing that is wrong." And we say further to them, that the friends of Oregon will never consent to barter one acre of its soil, nor one tree of its forests, to which we have a gond title, for cotton-bags or corn-laws, calico prints, codfish, or fancy stocks. But should war come of thisshould the sword once be drawn-I would advise my countrymen to throw away the scablard, and never sheath that sword until the last blooly track of the British lion was blotted out from American soil, and his last talon cut loose from the contineut. He is at best but an unwelcome and dangerous neighbor.


