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LIE xas one of dauts tha the boune coutcnded was the treaty of peace in 1 corded his gate, after wulject. Hut, altho not doubs as the tim settled by \& compron bo daubitul at an ear fion of IVashing.on lo, by stipulating bo friendly powes, by t: then admitted ons strengthened by the who had been mut the trealy. So stron pression that the dis compromise of con fitiend in the then Was made a few yea sent to England on his name, among oth that the question co and for that purpose section ought to be other Southern man these previous impt the negotiation ope adjusting the diffict on a cumpromise Was first announce a considerable por west of the line awa was incredulous, against it. His f more strongly agai fixed on the river river, taking the St. by the King of Ho comprom se bor:nda portion oi the disp river, and west and junction: and all the On a little reflection his opinion of the m rumor or imperfect whole dibject was

# SPEECM <br> OF MR. CALHOUN, OF SOUTTH CAROLINA <br> IN SECRET SHESION. ON THE BRITISHTREATI. 

Mr. C.ILLIOUS said that his object in rifing was nut to adsucate or offrowe the treaty, but simply tio state the Fetsons that "ould gown:n bim in vathig for its gatifination. The question, according in his con* ception, was not whether it was atl we coeld desise, or whestrer it was liable to this or that of jections bat Whether it was such o une that, under all the circums stances of tho case, in would be canst adi icable boatuge or reject. Thenaseyardeti, it was hir intention so state fairly the: reawons in gavor of and against its ratitication: ard to assign weach its proper wreght, begin. ning withs the portion relating to she Northeasturn boundarg, the zettement of whi-: was the iamcdiate and pronibers objuct wf the neguation.

IIe wha one of thore wha had not the slightest douts that the boundary for which the State of Maine conecnded was the true one, as estallished by the treaty of peace in 1583 ; and had accordingly so recorded bis zote, after a deliberate investigation of the sulject. Sut, although such was his opinion, he did - not doubs at the time that the boundary could only be settled by \& compromise hime. We had admitted it to be doubtiof a! an carly geriod during the Administration of Washingon; and more secontly and explicitIV, by stipulating to subm t it io the arbitration of a friendly powes, by the teaty of Ghent. The donbt, thuo adnitted oas sur part to rxist, hall bcen greatly stresuthened by the award of the King of Holland, Who had been mutually selected as the arhiter under the trealy. So strong, indeed, was his (Mr. C's) impression that the dispute could only he settled by a compromise of conventional hne, that he said to a frierd iz the then Cabinet, (when an appropriation Was made a fow years since for a special mission to the sent to England on the subject of the houndary, and his name, among others, was mentioned for th. ? place,) that the question could only be settled by compromise; and for that purpose, some dietinguished citizen of the section ought to be selected; and neither he, nor any other Southern man, ought to be thought of. With these previous impressions, he was preparcd, when the negotiation opened, to expect, if it succeeded in adjusting the difficulty, lt would be (as it bas been) on a compromise line. Notwithstanding, when it Was first announced that the line agreed on included a considerable porion of the territory lying to the west of the line awarded by the King of Holland, he was incredulous, and expressed himself strongly against it. His first impression was, perhaps, the more strongly against it, from the fact that he had fixed on the river St. John, from the mouth of Eel river, taking the St. Francis branch (the one selected by the King of Holland) as the natural and proper comprom se bor:ndary, including in our limits all the portion oi the disputed teritory lying north of Eel river, and west and south of the St. John, above its junction; and all the other within that of Great Britain. On a litile reflection, however, he resolved not to form his opinion of the merits or demerits of the treaty on rumor or imperfect information; but to wait until the whole gubject was brought betore the Senate offrial-

Iy, and then to nase it up on firt In wowlede of ald tive futs ind circuassonces after sdive ate and asture entrctions: abu that he lad done with the utimst care and mapatiaity. What he now proposed was, is give the presute, with the reasons on which $\mathrm{i}^{\text {' }}$ Pesta, and which willid goveras bis vut on the ration. catjon.

He rithl beliped that the boundasy which, he tox? Exed in his own mind, was the matural ani proper oup; but, as that could not be obraiacu, the question for themato decile was - Are the objecions to the boundary as actually agreed on, and the stipulations connccted with it, such as ought to cause its rejcetiven? fa deciding it, it must be borne in mind that, as fas as this portion of the boundary is concerned, it is a que: tion belonging much more to the State of Maine thon ta the Union. It is, in thuth, bat the boundary of that State; and it makes a part of the boundary of the United States, on!y by being the exterior hotin's. ry of one of the States of cur Federal Union. It is her sovereignty and soil that are in dispute, except tie portion of the later that still remains in Massactueetts; and it belongs in the diret place to her, and to Miassachusetts, as fur as ber pight of soil is involved, to say what their right a and interests ase, and what in, required to be done. The rest of the Union is bound to defend them in their just claim; and to asserit to what they may be willing to assent to is setting the claim in contest, if there should bo nothiag in at .nconsistent with the intcrest, honor, or salety of tas test of the Union. It is so that the controversy bas ever been regarded. It is well known that Presideni Jackson would readily have agreed to the award of:co King of Holland, had not Maime objected; and thai to overcome her objection, he was prepar id to recoz: mand to Congress to give her, in order to get her consent, one million of acres of the public domain, worth, at the minimam price, a milion and a quarter of dollars. The case ss now reversed. Maine and Mazazchusetts have both assented to the stipulations of tive treaty, as fur as the question of the boundary atiects their peculiar interest, through commissioners vented with full powers to represent them; and the question for us to decide is-Shall we rejeet that to which they have assented? Shall the Grivernment, after reficiing to agree to the award of the King of Holland because Main. objected, now reverse its course, and refuse to agree to that which she and Massachusetts have both assented? Therc may, indeed, he reasons strong enough to authorize such a course; but they must bo such as will go to prove that we cannot give our aisent consistently with the interests, the honor, or the safety of the Union. 'That has not been done; and, he would add, if there be any such, he has not been able to detect them.
It has, indeed, been said that the assent of Maize was cuerced. She certainly desired to obtain a more favorable boundary; but when the alternative was presented $s, \bar{i}$ another reference to arbitratic..., she waived her objection, as far as she was individually concenged, suther than incur the risa, delay, uncer.
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tainty and veration of another subuission of has claims to arbitration; and lett it to the Senate, the constituted authority appointed for the purpose, to decide oa the general merits of the treaty, as is relates to the whole Union. In s.) doing, she has, in his opinion, acted wisely and patriotically--wisely for berself, and patriotically in reference to the rest of the Union. She has not got, indeed, all she desired; and has even lost territory, if the trcaty be compared to the eward of the King of Holland ; but, as an offiset, that which she lias lost is of little value, while that which she retains lias been greatly incrcased in value by the stipulations contairad in the traty. The whole amount lost, is about half a million of atesIi lies along the eastern slope of the highlands, skirting the St. Lasvrence to the east, and is acknowiedged to be of litio value for soil, timber, or anything clsea sterile region, in a scve:e inhospituble clime. Against that loss, she has acquired the right to navigate the river St. Juhn; and that, not only to float down the timber on its Lanks, but all the productions of the extensive, well-timbered, and taken as a whole, not a sterile portion of the State that lics on her side of the bosom of that river and its tributariss. But that is not all. She also gains what is vastly more valuable -the right to ship, them on the same terms as colonial proluctions to G'eat Britaiti and her cotonial possessions.

These great and important advantages will proba. bly double the value of that extensive region, and make it one of the most populous and flourishing portions of the State. Estimated by a mere moneyed standard, these advantages are worih, he would suppose, all the rest of the territory nlamed by Maine without them. If to this te added the sum ci about $\$ 200,000$ to be paid her for the expense of defending lier territory, and $\$ 300,000$ to her and Massachusetts in equal moieties, in consequence of their assent to the boundary and the ecuivalents received, it must be apparent that Maine has not made a bad exchange in accepting the treaty, as compared with the award, as far as her separate interesi is concerned. But be that as it may, she is the rightful judge of her own intereats; and her assent is a sufficient ground for our assent, provided that to which she has assented does not involve too great a sacrifice on the part of the rest of the Union, nor their honor or safety. So far from that, as far as the rest of the Union is coneerned, the saerifice is small and the gain great. They are under solemn constitutional obligations to defend Maine, as one of the members of the Union, against invasion, and to protect her territory, cost what it may, at every hazard. The power, elaiming .what she contended to be hers, is one of the greatest, if not the greatest, on earth; the dispute is of long standing, and of a characte: difficult to be adjusted; and, however clear the right of Maine may be regarded in the abstract, it has been made doubtful, in consequence of admissions, for which the Government of the Union is responsible. To terminate such ? controversy, with the assent of the party immediatcly interested, by paying the small sum of half a mil-lion-of which a large part (say $\$ 200,000$ ) is unquestionably due to Maine, and would have to be paid to her without the treaty-is indeed a small sacifice, a fortunate deliverance. President Jackson was willing to allow her, 13 has been stated, more than twice as mueh for her assent to the award; and in doing so, he showe his wisdom, whatever might have been thought of it at the time.

Those, at least, who opposed the treaty, will not charge him with being willing to sacrifice the interest and honor of the Unıon in making the offer; and yet the charge which they make against this portion of the treaty does, by implication, subject what he Whas ready to dre to a similar onc.
But it is said that the territory which Pingland would aequire beyond the boundary of thin awarded linc, would greatly strengthen ber frontier, and weaken ours; and would thereby endanger the safety of the eountry in that guarter. fle did not profess to be deeply versed in military science; but, according to his conception, there was no foundation for the objection. It was, if he did rof mistake, the very last point on our whole fruntier, feom the mouth of the St. Croix to the outles of Lale Sujcrial' on which an expedition would be orgarized on either side to attack the possessions of the other. In a mito itary point of view, our loss iv as sothing in that quaster; while in another, and a much more important quarter, our gain by the trealy is great, in the same point of view, He referred to that provision by which we aequire Rouse's Point, at the northern extremity of Lake Cl implain. It is among the most important military positions on the whole line of our eastern and northern frontier, *licther it be regarded in reference to offensive or defensive operations. He well remembered the deep sensation caused annong military men in consequence of its loss; and lie would leave the question of loss of gain, in a millitary point of view, (taking the two together,) to their decision, witnous the feast doubs what it von! be.

Butif it shouk be thought by any one that time considerations, as conclusive as they acerned so bry, were not sufficient to justify the patifieation of this portions te treaty, there were othcrs, waich appeated to hin. to be perfectly conclusive. He referred to the condition in which we would be left, if the asaty should be rejccted. He would ask-if, after having ayreed at Ghent to sefer the subject to arbitration, and, after having refused to agrec to the award made under that reference, by an arbitrator of sur own se. lection, we should now raject this treary, negutiated by our onn Secretary of State, under our own eyes, and which had previously receivel the assent of the States iminedia'ely interested-whether there would be the slightest prospect that another equally fa vorable would ever be obtained. On the contrary, would we not stand in a far worse condition than ever, in reference to our claim? Would it not, indeed, be almost eertain that we should lose the whole of the basin of the St. John, and Great Britain gain all for whieh she ever contended, strengthened as she pould be ly the disclusures made during this discuation to He was

* The following extract from the speech of Ms. Rives, the chairman of the Comnittee on Foreign Kc-
lations, wil! show what the disclosures lations, wil! show what the disclosures were:

It appears to the committee, therefor ${ }^{3}$, in looking back tc the public and solemn aets of the Government, and of its suceessive administrations, that the time has passed, if it ever existed, when we could be justified in making the precise line of boundary claimed by us the subject of a sine gua non of negotiation, or of the ultimo r:atio-of an assertion by foree. Did a second arbitration, then, afford the prospeet of a more satisfactory result? This expudient seemed to be equally rejected by all parties-
fay frora as epling that the facte disclosed established che clato: of Great Britnin, of that the map extibited ir the onc to which Franklisy referred, in his note ta etas Count de Vergennes, the French Minister; but it cannot be doubted that the conformity of the line de. Jneated on the map, with sthe ane describad in bio note, would have the effect of etzengthening ,ot a litde ethc claing of Great Britain in her own estimation and that of the world. Dut the facts stated, and the mapexhitited by the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Lethotione, [Mg. Rives,] ape not the only or The strungret disclosure made during she discussion. The Ereacts rasp inteodited by the Scnator from

Miesaruri, [Mr. Benton, 1 from Mr. Jefferson's collection in the Congress library, in order to rebut the inference from the former, turned out to be still more sti. That was madc in the village of Passy, in the year after the treaty of pence was negotiated, where Franklin (who was one of the negotiators) resided, and was dedicated to him; and that has the boundrry line drawr in exact conforaily to the cther, and in the manner described in the note of Dr. Franklin-a line sonewhat more adverac to us than that claimed by Great Britain. But, es atriking as is this coincidence, he was far form regarding it as sufficient to establish the claial of Greas Britain. It would, bowever, be in
by the United States, by Great Britain, and by the State of Maine. If such an altcrnative should be contemplated by any one as preferable to the ar. rangement whish had been made, it is fit so bear is anind the risk and urcertainty, as will as the intyitable delay and expense, incident to that mode of decision. We have already seen, in the iastance of the amitration by the King of the Nethcrtands, how much weight a tribunal of that sort is inclined to give to the argument of convenience, and a supposed of 1783 on the part of the negotiators of the treaty of 1783, against the fiteral and positive terms cm ployed by the instrument in its description of linits. Is there no danger, in the event of another arbitration, that a farther research into the public archives of Europe might bring to lighe some embarrassing (even though apocryphal) document, to ihrow a new shade of plausible doubt on the clcarness of our tithe, in the view of a sovereign arbiter? Such a document bas already becn communicated to the committee ; and Ifect it (said Mr, M.) to he my duty to lay it bcfore the Senate, that shey may futly appreciate its beariugs, and determine for themselves the weight and importance which bclong to it. It is duc to the learned and distinguished gentleman, (Mr. Jared Sparks, of Hoston,) by whom the documens feferred to was discovered in the archives of Franee, while pursuing his laborious and inteliigent rescarches consuected with the history of our own country, that the account of it should be given in his own words, as contained in a communication addressed by hine to the Departmont of State. I proceed, therefore, to read from that communication:
"Whife pursuing my researches among the voluminous papers retating to the Americar. Revolution in the A Icchires des Affaires Etrangeres in Paris, I found in one of the bound volumes an original letter from Or. Frank in to Count de Vergennes, of which the following is at exact transcrips:
"Passy, Deccmber 6, 1782.
Is $\mathrm{Z}: \mathrm{A}:$ I have the honor of returning horewith the map your Excellency sent me ycsterday. I have marked with a strong red line, according to your desire, the limits of the Unitcd States, as settled in the preliminaries between the British and American ple-
aipotentiaries.
"With greal respect, I sm, \&e.

## "M. FHANKLIX:"

"This letter was writien six day after the preliminaries werc signed; and if $\psi \sim$ could procure the idertical map mentioned by Franklin, it would seem to afford conctusive evituce as to the meaning af.
fixed by the commissioncrs to the language of the treaty on the subject of the boundaries. You may *icll suppose that 1 lost no time in making inquiry for the map, not doubting that it would confirm all wy previous opinious resfecting the validity of our elaim. In the gcographical department of the Archives are sixty thousand maps and charts; but so well arranged with catalogues and indexes, that any onc of them may bc easily found. After a littie rescareh in the Amcrican division, with the aid of the kepper, I came upon a map of North America, by D'Anyille, dated 1746, in size about cighteen inches square, on which was drawn a strong red line throughout the entire boundary of the United Sta'es, znswering prcciscly to Franklin's description. The line is bold and distinct in c very part, made with red ink, and apparently drawn with a hair-pencil, or a pen with a blunt point. There is no other coloring on any part of the map.
"Imagine my surprise on discovering that this lise runs wholly south of the St. John, and between the sead waters of that river and thosc of the Penobscot and Kennebec. In short, it is cxactly the line now contended for by Great Britain, except that it con:ccdes mus than is claimed. The north 1 ne, after departing from the source of the St. Croix, instead of procecding to Mars Hhll, stops far short of that point, and turns off to the west, so as to leave on the British side all the streams which flow into the St. John, between the source of the St. Croix and Mars Hill. It is evident that the line, from the St. Croix to the Canadian highland, is intended to exclude all the waters running into the St. Joht.
"Thacre is no positive proof that this map is actually the one marked by Franklin; yet, upon any othe supposition, it would be difficult to explain the circumstances of its agreeing so perfectly with his description, and of its being preserved in the place Where it would naturally be deposited by. Count de Vergenncs. I also found another map in the Archives, on which the same boundary was traced in a dotted red line with a pen, apparently colored from the other.
"I enclosc herewith a map of Maiac, on which l havc drawn a strong black line, corresponding with the red one above mentioned."
$I$ am far from intimating (said Mr. Rives) that the documents discovered by Mr. Sparks, curious and well worthy of consideration as they undoubtedly are, are of weight sufficient to shake the title of the United S:ates, founded on the positive language of the treaty of peace. But they could not fail, in the event of another reference, to give increased cons-
vain to deny that it was a corsnloratiag circumatance, calculated 10 add no small weighs so her claino.
it "would be still farther increased by the faet that France was our ally at the time, and, as such, must have been consulter, and kep: constantly ade vised of all that occurrei during the progress of the negotiation, including its final result. It wonld be idle to suppose that these disclosures would nat weigh heavily against us in any future negotiation.They would, so much so-taken in connexion with the adverse award of the King of Holland, and thin treaty, should it be rejected-as to render hopeless any future attempt to settle the question by negotia. tion or arbitration. No alternative would be left us but to yield to the full extent of the Lritifle claim, or to put Maine in possession by forer, and that, 200 , Whit the opinion and sympatny of the world against us and our cause. In his opinion we would be bound to attempt it, in justice to Maine, should we refuse to agree to what she has assented. So much for the boundary question, as far as Haine is concerned.

Having now shown-satisfactorily, he hopes-thas Maine has acted wiscly for hers.if in assenting to the treaty, it remained to be considered whether we, the representatives of the Union on such questions, would not also do so in ratifying it, so far at lease as the boundary ruestion is involved. He would add nothing to what had already becn said of the portion in which Maine was immediately interested. His remarks would be confined to the remaining portion of the boundary, extending irom the northwestern corner of that State to the Roeby Hountains.

Throughout this longeextended line, every question has been settled to wur satisfaction. Our right has been aeknowledged to a territor"0 of about one hundred thousand acret of land, in New Hampshiro, which would haye been lose by the awaw of the King of Ifolland. A long gore of about the same amount, lying in Verraom and New look, and which was tost under the treaty of Ghe.id, would its regained by shis. It includes Lonse's P'ojus. Sugar Island, Jying in the water connexion bet veen lakes Huron and superior, ind beretofore in dis rute, is acknowledged to be ours ; it is large, and valuable for soil and position. so also is Isle Hojule, near the porthern shove of Late superior, acknowledged so be ours-a large istand, and valuable for jts fisheries. And also, a large tract of comntry so thie north and west of that tatio, between fond du laa and the river St. Louis on one side, and Pigeon river on the otleer-containing four millions of acres. It is said to be sterile, but cannot well oe more so than that aequired by Great Britain, lying west of the boundary awarded by the King of Hullant, In addition all thet slands in the river S!. Lawreuce and the lakes, which were divided in running out the division line undar previous treatics, are aequired by us under this; and all the chammels and passages are opened to the common uses of our citizens and the subo jeets of Great Britain.
sueh are the provision of the treaty in reference to this long line of boundary. Our gain-regarded in the most contracted point of view, as mere equiva lents for the sum assumed to be paid by wis in Maine and Massachusetty for their assent to the treaty-is
dence and emphasis th the pretensions of Great Bri. tain, and to exert a coricsponding influence upen the mind of the arbiter. 5 is worth while, in this con. nexion, to turn to what Lood Ashburton has said, in one of his communieations to Mr. Webster, when explaining his views of the position of the highlands described in the treaty:
"My inspection of the maps, and my examination of the documents," says his Lordship, "lead me to a very strong eonviction that the highlands contenpla. ted by the negotiators of the treaty were the only nighlands then known to them-at the hcuit of the $P$ Pe. mobscot, Kemobec, and the rivers west of the St. Croix; and that they did not preeisely know how the north Iine foom the St. Croix would strike them; and if it
were not my wish to shorten this diseussion, 1 believe a Were not my wish to shorten this discussion, I believe a
very good argument might be drawn from the words of the treaty in proof of this. In the negotiations uzith Mr. Livingston, and afterwards with Mr. Me. Lane, this view seemed to prevail; and, as you are aware, there were proposals to search for thesc highlands to the west, where alone, I believe, t!cy will be found to answer perfectly the deseription of the treaty. If this question should minfortunatcly go to a $F_{\text {azther }}$ reference, Istould by no pucuns despuis of jinding some confimation of this rieve of the case."
It is for the Senate to consider (added Mr. Rives) winether there would not be much risk of introdueing rew complications and embarrassments in this controversy, by leaving it open for another litigated re.
fervine; and if the British Goverument-strongly Ferzing, and if the British Goverument-strongly
prepossessed, as its minister telly us it is, with the prepossessed, as its minister tells us it is, with the
justice of its claims-would not find what it would
naturally consider a persuasive "confirmation of its view of the case" in documents such as those encountered by Mr. Sparks in this historical researches in the arelives of France.
A nap has been vauntingly paraded here from Mr. Jefferson's collection, in the zeal of opposition, (without taking time to see what it was,) to confront and invalidate the map found by Mr. Sparks in the Foo reign Office at Paris; but, the moment it is examin. ed, it is found to sustain, by the moss precise and remarkable correspond nee in every feature, the map communieated by Mr. Sparks. The Senator who produced it, could see nothir:g but the microscopic dotted linc running ofl in a northeasterly direction; but the moment other eyes werc applied to it, there was found, in bold relict, a strong red line, indicating the limits of the United States, according to the treas ty of peace, and coinciding, minutely and cxactly, with the boundary traeed on the map of Mr. Sparks. That this red line, and not the hardly visible dotted line, was intended to represent the limits of the United States according to the treaty of peace, is conclusively shown by the eireumstance that the red line is drawn on the map all around the exterion boundary of the United States ; through the middle of the Northern Lakes, thenee through the Long Lake and the Rainy Lake to the Lake of the Woous; and from the western cxtremity of the Lale of the Woods to the river Mississippi ; and along that river, to the point where the boundary of the United States, aecording to the treaty of peace, leaves it; and thenee, by its easteriy eourse, to the mouith of the St.
Mary', on the Atlantic.
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Huntiy greater that what we have contracted to pay, Taking the whofe houndary question together, and : imming up the loss ard gain of the whole, meludug . Wh. taffech Mrine and Massachuretts; and he could iot woubt that, regarded suerely as setooffs, vur gain prestly exeeeds ontr lose-vastly an, compared to What it we ith have been muder the award of the fiing of Holland, iomluding the eguivalent which our (ioo pernouent was willing to ollow Maime for her assent. E:n it would be indecd, to take a very coneracted, detw in regard it in that lighe. It would the to over. cock the wast importance of permaneuty eatabhshing, - netween 'wo sucls powere, a line of boundary of se. ocral thonsared usies, ahounding in dibputed points of ornela diffienty on I Inng standing. The treaty, he -r.oted. wo: $j$ de witheh in lay the fuludation of a so 'reace des ceas the cuantris-a thing so much to be do ied.
Yo is ees ainfy much so be regiettan, after setting whe pe postion of the houndny, hat the part bre
 It setitetricut thonk have contribnted pach to 'aresth dix fundation of a ex able peace. Mut tond it be wise 'o reject the treatw, tecamise all has at teen done that could be aesired: ISe placed a
"igh value on onn territory win the west of those moun. -igh value on sur territory wh the west of those moun*ains, and held our title to if to be claar ; but he
would regard it as an aet of consummate: folly, to :ould regard it as an act of consummate folly, to The territory is now freld by joint ocecupancy, mender the ferritory is now held by joint oceupancy, under Sate by giving to the other six months' notice. If we were to attempt to a ert our exclusive right of -wcupancy at present, the ecreain loss of the territory itust be the result; for the plain reason that Great Siritain could concentrate there a much barger force, Taval and military, in a mueh shorter time, and at fir less expense, than we eould. That will not be senied; but it will not always be the casc. Our po1'ulation is steadily-he misht say rapidly-advansing ecross the continent, to the borders of the Pacific ecean. Judging from past experience, the tide of fopulation will sweep across the Rocky mountains, with ressisticss force, at no distant period; when what ve clam will quietly fall into our hands, withoutex. - ense or bloodshed. Time is acting for 13 . Wait patiently, and all we clainz will he ours; but if we iftempt to eeize it by force, it will be sure to elute - 1 r grasp.

Having now stated his reasons for voting to ratify : he artieles in the treaty relating to the boundary, he : vould next proceed to assign those that would goverm is vote on the two relating to the African slave :rade. And here he would premise, that these are - everal circumstances, which caused no small repugtanee on his part to any stipulations whatever with 1reat Inritain on the subject of those artieles; and
je would add, that he would have been gratified if se would add, that he would have been gratified if
they, and all other stipulations on the subject, could have been entirely omitted; but he nust, at the same :ime, say he did not see how it was possible to avoid "atering into some arrangement on the subject. To anderstand the difficulty, it will be necessary to advert to the eourse heretofore taken by our Govermment in referenee to the subject, and the circumstanes inder which the negotiations that resulted in this treaty commeneed.

Congress at an early day-2s soon, in fact, is it *obld legislate on the subject, under the Constitu-ion-passed laws enacting sercre venalties against
the otrwan -lave trible. That wan followed by tha treaty of Ghens. which seclared it to be irreconeiter ble with the principles of humanity and justice, 20. stipulated that both of the parties-the United Staser and Great lintain-bould use their best endearoca to eftect thim aboition. Shortly after, an aet of Come aress wa pasoed declaring it to be piracy; and and solution was adopted by Congress, requesting the frewident to enter infu andagements with other peow. ers fur ita supprexan, Greas Britain, ectuated ba the same feelings, suceceded in making treaties witi, the tincopera maritime powers for its suppresaion; and, toot loug hefore the commencrinent of therse getiation, had entered into joint atipulations witis the five great powers to back her on the question of anteh. She had thun acrluired ageneral supervisions of the trade along the Arican coast; so that vespata. carrying the flas of every other conntry, exeept oars, were subject wn that coast to the inspection of her cruisers, and to lus captured, if suspeeted of beirgs engaged in the slaveotrarle. In conserpuence, ours bes came almost the orig thag used by those engageo in. the trade, whether gur own people or foreigners ; aifthough our laws iuhibited the sraftie under the severest penalties. In this state of things, Great Brimin put forwat the elain of the vight of search as indispensable to suppress a trade prohibited by the laws of the cisilized world, and to the execution of the faws and treaticy of the mations associated, with ler by mutial engagencuts for its xappression.. As this stage, 3 "orsespondence took plate betwean ous late minister at the Cutent of $\$ 1$. Jama's and lord Pal. merston on the subject, in which the latter openly and holdly elsimed the right of searelp, and which was promptly and decifeelly repelled vos our side. We bad bong since talen our stand aganst 1 ?, and lias axsisted its abuse, as a leclligerent right, at the mouts of the camnon. Neither honer now policy on out pact could tolerate its exercise in time of peace, in $2 n y$ form-whether in that of search, ss rlained by Lorit Palmerston, of the less offensive and unreasonable one of visitation, as proposed by his suecessor, Low Aberdecn. Ind yet we were placed in sued circum. sances as to require that something should be doc: It was in sucls a state of things that the negotiatios commenced-and rummenced, in part, in reference to this subject, whiels Was tending rapidly to brizg the two countries into collision. On our sude, we were aleeply comaitted against the traftic, botia by legislation and treaty. The influence and the eforts of the eivilized world were directed against it-and that, too, under our lad at the commenement; and with such success as to sompel vessels engaged is it to take shelter, almost exelusively, under the fraverulent use of our thas. To pernit sueh a state of tiongs. to eontinue, could not but deeply impeach our hones. and turn the sympatiy of the world agairst us. On the other side, Gseat Britain had acquired, by trealiew, the right of supervision, inetarling that of seazeh and capturing, over the trade on the coant of Africe, with the view to its suppression, from all the masime powers except ourselves. Thus situated, he must way that he saw no alternative for us but the one adoptel-to take the supervision of our own trade one that coast into our uwn hands, and to prevent, byous own cruiscra, the fraudulent use of our flag. The only question, in the actual state of things. as it s? peared to him, was, whether it should be done by s. formal or informal arrancement? IRe would hatc preiersed the dater; bu: the differeace betweenthes.
*ases not, in his cpinion. E.cin 2s Foild justify, on ehat account, the rejection of the ticaty. They woudd, in substance, be the sanie, and have differed that little, probably, in the expence of executionEither was better than the efiser whernatives - io do mothing ; to leave things in the dangerats state ebeystood; or to yield to the right of exarchor viso reatiog.

It is objected that the urraugmonesif eritered inso is Tirtuatly an acknowiedgime $1: 0$ of the right of search. He did not so regard it. Os the contraty, he considered it, under all the circuantunto ats äsurender of that elains on the pars of Gireat Britain; a conclusiou, which a revietr of the whole tranackon, in his opinion, would justify. Loud Pulmeraton, in the ginst place, claimed the angeatified right of weareh, in which it is understood he was burdeid in the five great powers. Lord Aberteen, with buore wisdon ard moderation, explailied is so mean the right of visitation simply; and, finalty, the negotration is closed without referenee in cither simply with a stipulation betwcen the s whew to keep up for five years a squadron of not ato thars eighty guns on the coast of Atica, to cuforee separately anif renectiveby the laws and obligation of rath of the countries for the suppression of the tlaverirade. It is carefulJy worded, to mates it muthat, but at ohe same time separate and independent ; sed looking to the exce cution of its oun laws and sindigations, und carcfully exchuding the supcrvision of eithes over the other, and thereby dweetly relusting the object oo seareh or visitation.

The other article, in refereme to the same subjee, stipulates that the guatics will uhite in all be coming representation and remonstrance, with any powers, within whose dominions markce are permit. ted tor imported Afrieat slaves. If he were to per. mit his feelingo to govern hina excluaively, be would objeet to the more strongly than any olher provision in the treaty, - nut that he wos opposed to the object or the policy of closing the fartiet to imported ne. groes, on the contrary, the thought it both right and cxpedient ins evers view. Prazit and tle Spanish colonics were the only makets, he beliesed, still remaining open, aud to which this provision swould apply. They were already abundantly sugplied with slaves, and be fad no doubt that somed policy on 1heir part required that their markets should be finally and effectially closed. He would go farther, and zay that it was our interest they should be. It would free us from the aecessity of keeping eruisers on the African cosst, to prevent the illegal ond frauduicnt use of our figg, or for any other purpose but to protect our commerce in that guarier-a thing of itsclf much to be desired. We wonld have a still stronger inicrest, if we ware governed by selfind considerations. We are sivals in the produrtion of se eral articles, and more especialiy the greatest of a! the agricultural staples-cotton. Nest to our own country, Brazil posisesses the greatest sdvabtages for its production, and is alrcady a large grower of the artiele; towards the production of which, the continuanee of the market for imported slaves from Africa would contribute much. But lie would not permit sueh considerations to influence lim in voting on the treaty. He lad no objection to sec Brazil develop her resources to the full; but lie did believe that higher considerations, sonnected with her safety, and


But it siay be arlect. why, with ticse impresato: should lee hase ary objection to this provistof of thi, treaty? It tras, because he was averse to interferim: witio othes powers, when it could be aroided. It estends even to cases like the present, where there wis a common intere-t in reference to the subject of as. vice or remonstrance; but it would be carrying has avervion to fastidioushess, were he to permit it to overrule his votu in the adjustment of questions of such maguitude as are involved on the present or = casion.
But the treaty is opposed, not only for what is ca:nrains, but aloo for what it does not? and, amory other objections of the lind, becanse it has no pronsion if reference to the case of she Creole, and other similas ones. Be admitted that it is an bo. jection ; and that it was very desirable that the treaty shoukd have guarded, by specifie and effieient provisiong, against the recurrence of surfin outrage.s oin the righes of our citizeus, and indignity to our hono: and independence. $l_{i}$ any one has a sight to speats warmly on this subject, he was the individual: but he cuuld not forget that the question for us to decide is, Shall we ratify ir reject the treaty: It is not whether all bas been done which it was desiraole thould be done, but whether we shali coufirm or $\mathrm{r}^{\circ}$. ject what has actually heen done; not whether we have gained all we could desire, but whether sc shall retain n hat we have gained. 'I'o decide thai as it oughe to be, it is our duty to wcigh, calmaly an: fairly, she reasons for and against the ratification. and to decide in favor of the side which preposto derates.
It does not follow that nothing has been done is relation to the cascs under considetation, becaust the treaty containa no provisions in reference to then. The face is otherwise. Much, very muct. has been done:-in his opinion, bittle short, in its cffect, of a positive stipulation by the ereaty to guard against the recurrence of such cases bercafter. Tu understand how much has been done, and what ha. beer gaiucd by us, it is necessary to have a correct conception of the state of the case in reference to them, before the negotiation commenced, and since it terminated.
These cases are not of recent origin. The first of the tind was that of the brig Comet, which wa= stranded on the fulse lieys of the Bahamas, as far back ay 1~30, will slaves on board. She was taket into Nassan, New Providence, by the wreckers, and the slaves liberated by the colonial authoritica.-The next was the Encomium, which occurred i: 1834, and which, in all the material cireumstance: was every way similar to that of the Comet. Tre casc of the Linterprise followed. It took place i. 1835., and differed in no material circumstanec from the others, as was acknowledged by the British Gorermment, cscept that it occurred after the act of Parliament abolishang slavery in the colonies had gone into operation, and the others prior to that period.

After a long correspondence of nearly len years, the British Governmers agreed to pay for the slaves on board of the iwo first, on the ground that they were liberated before the act abolishing slavery had gonc into operation; but refused to pay for those be longing to the Enterprise, because they wore liberated after it had. To justify this distinetion, Lord Palmerston had to assume the ground, virtually, that the e law of nations was opposed io slavery -an assumption
 out the palo of its protection. On that ground, he cepted by ors Executive, accompasied by the express
 oard the Einterprise. Our Executive, under this re- state, that he pluecs lus reliance on those principles tisal, aceepted the compensation for thase on board of problie law whicls had been stated in the note of the Comet and Encomium, and cloved tho corresponGence, without even bringing the subject before Con. zress. With suel pertect indifference was the whole affir treated, that, during the lonk perion the segoo tation was pending, the subject was never onee mend coned, a; far as he recollected, in any kxecutive mes. -age; whi'e those of far les magnitude-thre deht of s dew millions duc from france, atd this very bouncary question-were constantly brought before Conseces, and had nearly involved the country in war with two of the leading powers of Europe. Those who are now so shocked that the boundary guestion ,hould be setted, without a settlement aho of this, stood by in silence, year ster year, durine this Joug peried, not ouly without attempting to tuite the set. thement of this with that of the bonldary, but withwut ever once naming or alluding to it as an iten in the list of the dispute between the two powers. \&t was regarded as bencath notiec. He rejoiced to wit. ness the great elrange that has taken place in relation to it; and to fin I that those who were then silent and indifferent, now evlibit sc mueli zeal and vehemence about it. He thok eredit to himself for having contributed to bring this change abount. It was he who revived our claim when it lay dead and buried among the archives of the State Department-who called for the correspondence-who mored resolutions affirming the principles of the law of nations in relerence to these cases, and repelling the presumpthous and insulting assumption on which it was denied by the British negotiator, Such was the foree of truth, and so solid the fondation on which be rested our claim, that his resolutions received the unanimous vote of this body; but he received no support-no, not a cheering wort - from the quarter whieh now professes so mucla zeal on the subject. UII atmost hope at the time was to keep alive our right, till some proo pitious moment should arrive to assert it successfilly. In the mean time, the case of the Creole occurred, which, as shocking and ontrageous as it is, was but the legitimate ronsequence of the prineiple maintained by Lord Patmerston, and on whic! he closed the correspondence in the case of the Enterprise.
Such was the State of the facts when the negotise tions commenced in relerenee to these cases ; and it remains now to be shown in what state is has left theam. In the first place, lic broad prineiples of the law of nations, on which be placed our right in his acsolutions, have been clearly stated and conclusively vindicated in the very able letter of the Seeretary of state, which has strengthened our cause not a hittle, as well from its iutrinsic merit as the quarte: from which it comes. In the next plaec, we have an explicit reeognition of the prineiples for which we content, in the answer of Lord Ashburton, who expressly says, that "on the great general prineiples ailecting this case" (the Croo'c) "they do not differ;" and that is followed by "an engagement that instructions shall be given to the governors of Her Majesty's colwnics on the southern borders of the United States, to execute their own Laws with rareful attention to the wishes of their Gorcrnment to maintain good neighbornood; and that there shall be no officious in. terference with American vessels driven by accident of viojenec into their porss. The laws and duties of
the secretary of stat:. To all this it may be added, that struly asourances are given by the British negotiator, of his belict that a tiual arrangement may malde of the subject by poritive stipulations in loas don. Such it the stare in which the negotiation has left the subjee:.
Here again he would reperit, that suel stipulations in the traty itelf would have been preterable. Bute whon can deuy, when tho comparcs the state of the facte, as they thood betore and since the elose of thiz negotiation, thas we have gained-largely gained--in reserence to this important subject? Is there no dif. ference, he would a.k, bewween a stern and peremptory denial of our right, on the broad and insultings ground assumed by Lord Pulmerston, and its explisit recognition iny Lovd Ashburton? - none in the pledge that instructions should be given to guard against the recurrence of steh cases; to a positive renial that we had suffered wrong or insult. and lind any rizthe to complain:-Hone between a final elosing of all ne: gotiation, and a strong assuranee of a tinal adjustment of the subject by satisfaetur's arrangement by treaty? And would is be wiso or prudent on ous part to rejert wl as has been gained, because all has not been? As to himself he must say that, as the time he moved lis resolutions, he little hoped, is the short apace of two yeare, bo obtain what his alresdy heen gained a and that the regarded the prospect of a final mind satiolicery atjutment, at not distant day. of this subject, eo vital is its principicy to lis constituents and the whole Fouth, as far nore probable than he then did this explicit becognition of the principleas for which be coutended. In the mean time he felt assured the engagement given t" ne Bitish negotia. tor would be sulfilted in good itts ; and that the hazard of collision betriecin the commints, and the disturbance of their preace and triend-hif, has passoll away, as far $\mathrm{a}^{6}$ is dependy ena this dancerous subject. But if in thin the shoud unforturatels he mistaken, we should utand on much more solith armund is dea fence of our richts, in consequence of 4 , hat has beer gained; as these would then be superadded broises faith to the violation of the laws of natems.
Having now said what te intended on the wore important points, he would pass over, without dwelling on the grovision of the tre ay sor delivering ci? to justice persons charyed with certain crimes; the affair of the Carolne; and the corre-ymmence in reference to impressment. The first is substantiady the same as thas contained in Juys treaty on tre same suhject. On the neat, be lisd whing to adid to what has already been atid. As to the last, ke did. oot doubt that the strong ground taken in the correspondence against the imple ment of seamen on board. of our merchant vesiels, in tine of war, would have a good effect. It wills contribute to convince Greas: Britain that the practice cannot be renewed, is the event of another European war, without a certait and immediate coulfiet between the two countries.
I (said Tir, Calhoc: ) have now stated my opreion: fully and impartally on the treaty with the connestod subjects. Un reviewing the whole, and weighing the reasons for and against its ratification, I cannot doube that the io:me: greatly preponderate. If we have

Wrach that is deritable: anll if all has mut beeo nefol rted, ntuel than been-and that, not of hate ibspurraze. It is not of little impostance to love the Northeastern boundary setlled-and shat, Swo, with che consent of the States inmmediately interested: exhiject whiel nas been in disputc aln:10st from the origin of the Government, and which lad beconne more and more entang'ed, and aulvere tuour claim, on esvery attempt hevetofore made to setto it. Nior th it -af dittle impurtanee to have the whole diee of houndary between us and the British dominius, from the ecurce of the St. Crois to the \&ocky mountains, set-Thed-a line of more than three thotisand ruifen, with Tneay disputed pain's of loug standing, the sefllowaent of whieh hac hatlled all previous attennth. Sere is it ci dittle impu ptince to have adjusted she erabarrass sheants relating to the Afriean slave-trade, by adopting the least s.bjeetionable of the alternatices. Nor to hame the prineiples of the law of nations for whieh we contended, int referense to the Creole and other reases of the kird, recognised 'y Great Britain; nor . 20 bave a solemn pledge against their reeursnee, - with a reasonable assuranee ot satisfactory stipulatioss by treaty. Nor is it of little inportaree to have by the settlement of these inveterate and diffieutl quesReiors, the relation of the two countries settled down in tuity and peace-pernanent amity and peace. as in wiay be hoped-in the plaee of that lotiurful, unsetLhat condition, bet ween peace and war, which has for no uanny years eharaeterized it, and which is so hosdise to the interests and prosperity of both countries,
Reace (said Mr. C.) is the first of out wants, in the present condition of our country. We wanted peaee, so seform our own Government, and to relieve the corntry from its great embarrassments. Lar Govetrment is deeply disordered ; is credit is impaired ; Sas deht increaing; its expenditures extravagant and westioul ; its disbursements without efficient arcountahility ; and its taxes (for duties are but taxes) enorstocas, unequal, and oppressive to the great protueing ciasses of the country. Peace settled and undisturb*4, is indispensatile to a thorough reform, and sueh 2 eaform to the duration of the Government. But, so foas as the reation between the two countries cantinuss in a state of doubt between peace mind war, all 2.tempts at sueh reform will prove abortive. The frss step in any sueh, to be sueeessful, mur be to rearee the expenditures to the legitmate and peonomisall wame of the Government. Withous that, there can be nothing worthy of the name ; but in on ungretive state of the relations of the two coustryes, all attampts at reduetion will be baflied ty the cry of war butampanied by insiuvation against the gattiotisns
of those who nay beno hariy as to make theno should the treaty be satified, an end will he put to that, and no excuse or pretexs be left to delay the great and indispeusable worle of trourm. Thie may not he desirahte to those woo nee, of fance: they nee, benefits in ligh duties and wasteful mxpenditures; but, by the great produeing and tax-paving portions of the? comnaunity, it will be regarded as one of the greatese of bessiugy. These are not the only reasong for wantings reace. We want it, 6 o -nable the perple and ihe States to extrieate themselyes fron their ous harrassments. They are both borne down by beovs Alelts, contracted in a period of fallacious prosperits, frum whiel: there to no other nonest and thonosable extricatica b it the payment of what is due. To enable both Stateb and individuals so par their deblef, they must be left in futl possession of ali their meane, witts as little exavtions or restrictions on their indes. try as possible on the part of this Corerument. To this, a setted stats of peace, and an open and soue connmeree are indispensables. With these, and the increasing habits of ceonony and industry powe every. whore pervading the country, tho perica of embaro rassment will soon pass away, to be suecceded by one of permanent and healthy prosperity.

Peace is, indveã, our policy. A kind Providence has east our lot va a portion of the globe suffieiently vasb to satisfy the most grasping ambition, and abound: ing in resourees beyond all others, whieh only requite Lo be fully developed to make us the greatest and most pros; crous people oneaftho Th the full devalopmen! of the vass sesources of our country, wo have politicas institutions most happily .enstituted. Indeed, woula be difficult to imagine a system more so thas our W'ederal Repuulie-a system of State and General Governments. so blended as to constitute one sublir:whote; the latter having eharge of the interests eoramon to all, and the former those loeal and peculia? to each state. With a system so happily constituteez, let a durable and firm peace be established, and this Government be confined rigidly to the few great or jeets for whieh it was instituted; leaving the Staten to contend in generous rivalsy, to deveiop, by the afts of peace, their respective resourees; and a seene of prosperity and happiness would fotlow, heretofore unequalled on the globe. I trust (said Mr. C.) that this treaty may prove the first step towards such a peace. Gnce established with Great Britain, it would not be dificult, with moderation and prudenee, to eso tablish perm tsent peace with the rest of the worlds when our most anguiue hopes of proaperity may be: realized.
as to make clesw. end will be put to the left to solny the fiviorm. "Thi" may e, or fancy they mee, al expenditures; buts onveng portions of thes ar one of thie greateds te unly reasone for to nable the pecyle sclyes from their eno orne down by beove fallacious prosperits, onest and thonorablo ghat is due. To ense to par their deble; on of all their meats, ctions on their indesis Goremment. To ind an open and free With these, and the d industry nove evetythe perica of embaro o be succecded by one crity.

A Kind Providence the globe sufficiently ambition, and abound . rs, which only requit a the greatest and morr To the full devalopmert ntry, we have politicas nstituted. Indeed, 若 a system more so thas m of State and General constitute one sublim? re of the interests comas rose local and peculiar so happily constitutes, established, and this ly to the few great of ted; leaving the Stater. , to deveiop, by the arts ${ }^{\circ}$ sources; and a seene of ould fo'low, heretofore rust (said Mr. C.) that st step towards such a Great Britain, it would ion and prudence, to es$h$ the rest of the worlds 8 of prospesity may be:


