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## THE PAPAL SUPREMACY EXAMINED.

BY TYV. ROBERT WILSON.

Asona the reading and thinking part of the community, there has been for some time past one engrossing. topic of conversation. That topie I need scarcely.sty.is The Papacy. It is generally regarded as a question on which every man is bound to speak 'who loves God and His truth; who loves his eotuntry and wishes her prosperity; ; and who doves his fellow-men, and wishes to see their libertien consolidated and extended, and their circumstances improved. Hence, in the delivery of this lecture, we are only diseharging what we regard as a solemn and imperative duty to God, to you, and to our country.

We are about to speak of a system, not of men, except, as they are its exponents, or its advocates, or as ,they aree influr. enced by it. We hare to deal with things, rather than with persons; and with both only as they may serve to diselose the character and virulence of a syatem. The adherents of that system we are very far from wishing either to wound or to grieve. Our wish is to speak the truth, and to speak the truth in love. We hold firmly, as sacred truthss, the princi-ples,-that the Bible, which we believe to be the Word of God, is the only and all-sufficient rule of faith-and practice ; that it is the right and duty of. every man to search and to interpret the Worl of God for himself; that no man is accountable to his fellow-man for his religious sentiments;
and that the civil magistrate has no right to inflict pain or enalty on any man for his religious opinions, whether he be Jew,.Turk, or Pagan, Roman Catholic or Infidel. "Vengeance is mine, $I$ will repay, saith the Lord."
i
> "Let Oresar's due be ever paid To Cassar and his throne, But consciences and souls were made To be the Lord's alone."

We diselaim all sympathy with those who would raise, on mere political grounds, the "no Popery" cry against our Roman Catholio fellow-subjects, or who would seek to deprive them of a single right as citizens or as members of the body politic, on account of their religious creed. With us the maxim is a settled one, never to be disturbed while reason holds its seat,-That social proscription on ecclesiastical grounds is persicytion, in whatever form it may exist, or whatever sect may be its victim. Our protest, therefore, would be just as loud and as earnest against the proscriptious of Romanists as against the proseription of any of the 1 Protestant communities. $\therefore \cdot \cdot \mathrm{H}$ ating made this avowal, we shall not expect to be charged with politlcal hostility to Romanists, because we are resolived to do all in our power to prevent the ascendancy of Popry in these Colonies. We freely admit the Romas Catholic has as much right to the enjoyment of his religion as. we have to ours. One of the first acts of our public life was, taking part in a meeting to petition Parliament, for the removal of the civil disabilities under whieh they then laboured. Nör do we regret that act now. We believe it was right in itself; and if it is now abused, we are no more responsible for it than we are for their abuse of the Word of God, which we labour to put into their hands. But against any Pope, or any Cardinal," or any Archbishop, or any

Bishop, or any Priest, exercising any kind of authority over us, we enter our indignant protest ; as a manifest violation British independence and British freedom, and as a wantoa: insult to the British erown and British subjects. We bave no sympathy and no respect for those who would assign to Popery a liberty in these Colonies which no Roman CatholicState on the continent of Europe that is desirous of preserving the least vestigo of iudependence is able to allow. Franoe, Austria, Bavaria, even Spain itself, find it necessary to enter into formal concordats with the Pope, which' is neither moro nor less than a binding of his Holiness to keep the peace with their respective dominious. We, as a Protestant people, can enter into no such arrangements. Are we, then, to be left a prey to the cupidity and ambition of Romo? Are we to atand silently by and see our dearest liberties wrenehed from us by the maudlin ery "Do'nt persecute"' Away with such insane follies-such treacherous and dastardly counsels. Let Roman Catholics, we repeat, have the same political privileges and the same religious frecedom as other men; let them be free to build chapels, to perform eeremonies, to pake convents, to endow monasteries, or to do ought else that they may be taught to believe connected with their spiritual yelfire. But woe with the day when wer shall forget that, howerer quiet and peaceable subjects Roman Catholies:may oftentimes be, we have no deadlier foe than that. colossal power, to whose authority and to whose interests ours are ever subordinated in every true papist's keart! Let the hoir come when that power shall have full sway over us, and oar liherties shall be seatered to the wind, to be repurchased only by years of toil, and at the expense of conflicts and agonies which will furnish matter for a second "Book of Martyrs."

Many around us snecr at the cry of ". No Popery," as if it were the must wicked or silly ery that could possibly be
uttered. We ean sneer at it too, as a mere political ery, as an attempt to support eivil injustiee by an appeal to religious feeling, to gurnish sin with sanetity; but as a ery expressing certain views and sentiments about a religious system, a cry signifying an intense dislike of it, and a vehement desire that it may not spread and prosper :mmong us, there is no ery, in our humble judgment, that the cireumstunces of our day more imperatively demand. Our convietions and impressions on this subject are not of yesterday. Having resided for years and travelled extensively in Ireland, Popery is not a new topic to us, nor is the probability of its re-asecndeney a new belief to us. Ever sinee we seriously inquired into the matter, the convietion has been forced upon us that this or the next generation may be called to witness things in connexion with the power of "the mystery of iniquity" which have not ontered the hearts of multitules of ignorant or easy Protestants. Having a deep sense, therefore, of the gravity of our position, we must speak, whether men will hear or whether they will forbear.
We have not read the history of our country, or the history of Europe, without learning to prize our free Protestant institutions. We remember what was the down-trolden and enslaved state of our fathers when Popery was dominant in our fatherland. We see what is the state of those countries where it now prevails. These countries loudly proclaim its pernicious consequences. There you see the subjugation of personal independence, the discouragenent of free thought, the domination of priestly power and the corruption of the religious sentiment. You cannot judge of the system as it is held and practised among ourselves. If you would form a correet conception of its real nature and working, you must see it whore it has no motive to conciliate foes, and where it operates without restraint from external influences. See it in Ireland, in Spain, in Portugal, in Austria, in Italy, and as
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you " look around," ' br foll the momments of papal jower :" Italy is the ehained victim of the most horrid despotism. Romo is a eage of priests, -every twenty-thirl man met in her streets is a priest ; the Pope und a wretehed set of Cardimals are her systematie misgovernors; her prisons are full of state offenders; her hest aud noblest spirits are living in sal exile, and her populate sunk in degratation and misery Kirwan suys, -" There is not a worse-governed, less-religious, or more immoral penple in Christendom than in the Holy City." And again,-" What are, in Italy, the fruits of the l'apacy at this hour" Swarms of priests, monks, nuns, and beggars; poverty, ignorance, and superstition. The press is shackled; in liberty, civil or religious; no security of property ; no lible; no Sabbath; splendid Churehes, converted into opera honses, with no congregations; and lying wonders without number, and withoat eul."
We believe that all our own grestness, freedom, and prosperity, as a people, are, under God, the result of the free eirculation of the Bible amongst us, and the diffusion of its principles. We know, on the othor hand, what rivers of blood the Roman Catholic Chureh has shed. Its whole history proves it a horrible Leclesiastieal Despotism, under whose domination neither civil nor religious freedom can live-and whose arguments have ever been the rack, the dungeon, the gibbet, and the sworl. It makes the priesthood everything, and the people nothing but drudges and slaves -hewers of wool and drawers of water to the Chareh. To believe implicitly what tho priost says-to do without a murmur what the priest bils-to confoss to the priest-to do the penance he commands-and to pray for the absolution he grants, ure all the privileges it allows to the people. 'To think for themselves, or to doubt the dogmas of the Church, suljects them to cternal dannation. Indeed, except they are baptized by the priest, and contirmed by the priest, and
absolved by the priest, they cannot be saved. Hence, all not within the pale of their Church are condemned as heretics, and devoted to destruction with the most horrible curses. Nay, they inflict upon them fine and imprisonment in the present life, and have often called on the secular powers to put them to death.
That we may not be charged with bearing false witnesses against them, we produce our suthority for what we have stated. When Cardinal Wiseman a few years ago made a bold attempt to set up the Papal Hierarehy in England, he gave us one reason for that attempt, that without it the Canon Law of the Church could not be introducel. Now the third canon of the fourth Lateran Council is to the following effect:-"We excommunicate and curse every heresy, raising itself against this holy, orthodox, and catholic, faith, which we have explained above ; condemning all hereties. As soon as they are condemmed they shall be given over to the secular powers, or to their Bailiffs, to be prunished as they deserve; if clergy, being first degraded. The goods of those condemned, if laymen, shall by confiseated; lout, if elergy, they shall be applied to the Churches from which they had received their stipends. Those who are only suspected of heresy, if they do not clear themselves by sufficient justification, shall be excommunieated. If they remain a year uider the suspicion they shall be treated as heretics. The secular powers shall be advised and persuaded, and, if need be, compelled by ecclesiastical censure, to make public oath, that they will extirpate from the countries subject to their jurisdietion all hereties marked out by the Chureh. If the cal filthiness, he shall be bound in the chains of excommunieation by the metropolitan and other provincial bishops; and if he shall not, make satisfaction within a year it shall
be signified to the Chief Pontiff, that then he may proclaim his subjects absolved from their allegiance, and bestow his kingdom upon any good Catholic, who, the heretic being exterminated, shall possess it withoat any contradiction."

Such is the acknowledged law of the Romish Chureh, in which you perceive the Pope claims the right to set up and dethrone kings; to compel them to punish heretics, and to dispose of the nations of the earth according to his sovereign will. All this he has repeatedly done, as in the case of Henry IV. of Germany, and John of England. In :- 'ull, published in the year 1197, by Pope Innocent IIi., he declared: "That it was not fit any man should be invested with authority who did not serve and obey the Holy See." At another time he proclaimed: "That he would not endure the least contempt of himself, or of God, whose place he held on earth, but would punish every disobedience without delay, and convince the world that he was determined to act like a sovereign." Such to this day are the lofty pretensions of the Pope-nor is he slack to carry them out where he has the power to do so. Indeed every Archbishop of the Romish Church, on receiving the pallium, takes an oath to this effect: "All heretics, sehismatics, and rebels, against our lord, the Pope, or his aforessid successors, I will perseeute and attack to the utmost of my power." Still more horrible is the oath said to be taken by every Jesuit priest. And yct, forsooth, we are charged with being political in our movement. In one jense we cannot help ourselves. The Church of Rome is essentially a political Church. It does actually interfere, and professes a Divine right to interfere with the civil magistrate. The Pope is a temporal as well as spiritual prince; and his whole system is in favor of temporal as well as spiritual despotism-the temporal being always held to be subordinate to the spiritual, and comprehended by it. The Canon Law is clear and decisive
on these points; hear it: "Both the spiritual and temporal swords are in the power of the Church, -the one is in the hands of the priesthood, the other in the hands of kings and soldiers,-but the latter is exercised only at the beek and command of the former. One sword must be under the other-the temporal under the spiritual power. And, moreover, we pronounce, decree, and declare, that it is of the necessity of faith that every living creature is subordinate to the power and jurisdiction of the holy See of Rome." Then, as to the fact, we fearlessly assert, for all history will bear out the assertion, that Rome has never been satisfied with spiritual power when, by any possible means, she could possess herself of the temporal power likewise.

Take an instance which occurred in Ireland a few years ago. The Government pitied the ignorance in which the people in Ireland were growing up. In addition to other measures they planned several colleges which should secure a liberal and excellent education to her youth, without affecting the conscientious conviction of any. This was especially arranged as a boon to the Roman Catholic population. Did they receive it with gratitude and joy? their best judemect at a local synod, and in the use of or not? Nothing of determine whether they could accept it waited the judgment of the kind. They sent to Rome, and plan was to be approved Pope to determine whether the caine, and the Colleges were or condemned! The decision most beneficial in its kind, andemned. A measure the Sovercign and her councill, and devised by our beloved Roman Catholics of Ireland as a special benefit to the into their face. Not reland was thrown back with insult foreign hand that has no more domestic power, but by a than the Euperor of China. temporal is in the ings and cck and der the l, moreof the nate to Rome." ry will tisfied could
> "Empires may fall, and sects, and states; But truth's inviolable gates Defy each hostile shock. The Church of Christ can never fall, Strong stands her heaven-protected wall,
> 'Tis founded on a rock.

Shall we then, unite, with those who would petition Parliament to deprive the Roman Catholies of their civil rights as subjects of the British crown? By no means. The Roman Catholics have as much right as ourselves to the free exercise of their religion, without let or hindrance. Shall we petition Parliament to grant no money for the support of their system? With all our heart ; but at the same time that no money be granted by Government for the support of the religion of any denomination. We say emphatically, No Government grants for the rearing of Roman Catholic prienta.

This is a subject on which we can afford to speak, and on which it is our duty to speak at the present crisis. One ray of Government favor we have never asked-we have never enjoyed. One fraction of |Government money we have never either had or sought. We love our country. We rejoice in the large amount of freedom and prosperity which, through the blessing of God, we have long enjoyed. The sun does not shine on another country we would exchange for the free soil of Britain. We honor and love our Sovereign. There is not another crowned head on earth that we think worthy to be compared with Victoria. Hence we protest against every attempt on the part of Rome to invade her Protestant throne. But, at the same time we tell our Protestant Brethren, we tell them ${ }_{i}$ with all Christian kindness and love, that they must not look to the Government for pecuniary support, but put their trust simply in God their Saviour, and wield no other weapon save the Sword of the Spirit, Thus, simply, forcibly, and affectione Popery and infidelity. mony to the spirituality and affectionately, to bear our testithe great principles of our Redeemer's kingdom, and to which we are called beriptural truth, is the first duty to Let us go forth with the events of the present times. truth and Gospel institutions sling and stone of Gospel banner,-"The Lord is ouns, having the inscription on our giver, he will save us." our King, the Lord is our Law. Thou comest against us Then we can say to the Pope,ders, and threatenings, in with mighty bellowings, and thunBridget, and Peter, and Pe name of thy Gods-Mary, and saints in the calender. and Paul, and Gregory, and all the us influenced and encoura, it may be, thou comest against despots of Spain encouraged by the cruel and execrable butchered many of their Austria, and France, who have under foot their deares own subjects, who are trampling under foot thoir deares rights, and whose bayonets leop
thee in the sevan-billed eity. But we come against thee in the name of the Lord our God, with the armor of righteousness, and the sword of the spirit; and through the grace of God, we will prevail against thee, utterly destroy thy dark and despotic system, liberate thy slaves, and join with thiem in the triumphant shout,-Babylon is fallen, and the nations of the earth are free.
2. We are called upon to "Search the Scriptures," and especially to labor after a clear understanding of those Seriptures which Popery has perverted to the apparent support of her system. The first principle of Popery is, the Supremacy of the Bishop of Rome. That supremacy she professes to found on Matt., xvi, 18, 19,—" And I say unto thee that thou art Peter," \&c.

This passage is regarded by the Churel of Rome as the main pillar of the Papacy, and as affording a Scriptural warrant for the supremacy which the Bishop of Rome claims over all other pastors and churches, and which he has been enabled to maintain, during many ages, with singular arrogance and confidence, over a great part of the so-called Christian world. We, as Protestants, consider all such presumptuous claims as an impious invasion of the Divine prerogative of the Lord Jesus Christ, the sole Head of the Church, and as a flagrant usurpation of the privileges of the Christian people; and we therefore refuse to aeknowledgo any such right, on the part of a foreign prelate, to lord it over our consciences; but yet it may be interesting to know by what arguments the adherents of the Papacy justify theso pretensions in appealing to this Scripture, and how they contrive to find in our Lord's words to Peter the key-stone of the lofty arch of their hierarchal system. And we trust it will not be considered as an unprofitable waste of time if we devote a single hour to the investigation of this subject, especially when we reflect how many millions of souls are
held in spiritual bondage by these very arguments, and what strenuous efforts are now being mado in this Colony and throughout the world to strengthen and consolidate the vast fabric of Papal tyranny and superstition. In treating this

## I. The view which Popish writers give of the passage. <br> By these words they suppose that our Lord constituted

 Peter the foundation of the Christian Church-the supreme visible head of the spiritual community-his representative and vicegerent on earth-the centre of unity to the wholeCatholic Church-the supreme judge in all matters of controversy, and the infallible interpreter of the revcaled will of God; and with this view they maintain that the Saviour they maintain that by "this rock," on which Christ says be Petor signifying a Rook; and that he was thus constituted the basis on which the Cburch rests; and that the Church so founded ou Peter is indestructible, as is intended in the words "the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." They further assert that our Saviour gave to Peter sapreme power and authority over the Church as its visible head, and that this is implied in his receiving "the keys of the kingdom of heaven ;" and finally, they undertake to prove that he was constituted supreme judge in all matters of controversy and empowered infallible to interpret the will of God; yea, that he was invested with power to retain or absolve from sins, and consequentiy to open and shat the kingdom of heaven unto men, or to admit and exclude therefrom; and that this is implied in the words, "Whatsoever thom; and that this earth shall be bound in heavensoever thou shalt bind on loose on earth sball be loosed in heaven." whatsoever thou shaltThe Romish writers further assert that Peter being invested with these extraordinary powers, in the course of his ministry repaired to Rome, and laid the foundation of the Church there, where he presided as Bishop, and finally sealed his testimony with his blool; and that the whole of the privileges and prerogatives thus couferred upon him were transmitted to the Bishops of Rone, as his successors in that Church ; and by virtue of this right they are to be acknowledged as the supreme rulers of the Chureh-universal pastors and vicars of Jesus Christ. Consequently it is the duty of all Clristian pastars and Churches to honour and submit to them as such; and those who refuse to do so cut themselves off from the body of Christ, are ipso facto excluded from the communion of the faithful, are to be regarded as heretical and sehismatical, and virtually endanger the salvation of their souls. In this predicament all Protestants are supposed to be placed, and being out of the pale of the Chureh, are abandoned to the uncovenanted mercies of God-for out of their Chureh they pretend "there is no salvation."

## II. We shall now endeavour to expose the fallacy of this reasoning.

These are no doubt high pretensions, and the inferences drawn from them are of a very grave and solemn character; but if we examine then with a little attention we shall see sufficient reason to reject them, as in the highest degree arrogant and unfounded. For in the first place, it caunot be conclusively slown that our Lord refers to Peter per sonally as "the rock" on which the Ckurch is built. Long before the rise of the Papacy some of the most enlightened Fathers of the Chureh, "including some of the early Bishops of Rome, particularly Gregory the Great, and also the famous Augustine, Bishop of Hippo, maintained that it was the faith which Peter professed, and not the apostle personally, that our Lord
meant by "this roek." Peter had just declared his belief in Jesus as the Christ, the Son of the living Goil, and on this profession had been pronounced blessed; and this confession of faith is indeed the truc rock or basis on which the Chureh rests, including the divinity of the Saviour, as the Son of the living God, his bumanity as the Son of Man, and his Messiahship, as the anointed Prophet, Priest, and King, of the Church. Take away any of these truths, and jou under, mine the foundations of the Chureh; it no longer rest upon a rock, but is built upon the shifting sands; or separate the offices of Christ from each other, and the samo result will tollow. It is the belief and open confession of these truths,
jointly and jointly and harmoniously, that constitutes what Luther said Church."
No doubt the Apostle Peter possessed naturally great boldness and firmness of eharacter, which entitled him to does in Greek, a rock or stone. And it must be admitted surnamed him Cephas, which signifes in Syriac what Peter that this Apostle occupied given to him by our Lord, when he a degree of precedency a prominent place, or, if you will, generally the chief speaker; our his brethren. He was attention to him, and he was our Lord directed much of his attachment and devotedness to hinently distinguished for his a conspicuous place in the subsis master. Ho also occupied and was in every point of subequent history of the Church, Christ. But as to his being view an illustrious servant of - the Church is built we cannot personally the rock on which claim without contradicting by any possibility admit this Word of God. Christ himself express testimony of the sole foundation of the Church. "Therefore thus saith the Lord Thes, in Isaiah, xxviii, 16, for a foundation a stone, a Lriod God, Behold I lay in Zion a
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a sure foundation." Again in Psalm exviii. 22, 23, we rearl, "The stone which the builders refused is beeome the headstone of the corner. This is the Lord's doing; it is marvellous in our cyes." And it is olservablo that Peter himself appeals to the passage in Istiah in proof of this doctrine, in the first Epistle, ii. 4, 6: "Wherefore also it is contained in the Scripture, Behold I lay in Zion a ehief corner-stone, elect, precions; and he that helieveth in him shall not be confounded." And that no other can share in this honour is evident from what Paul says, ( 1 Cor. iii. 11,) "For other foundation can no man lay than that which is laid, whieh is Jesus Christ." What a poor hasis would Peter himself have "been on which to rest the Chureh! In this same chapter we find our Lord addressing him as an adversary, and reproving him for his aversion to the mention of his sufferings, (verses 22, 23,) "Get thee hehind me Satan," \&c. On another occasion, when we find him rashly requesting that Jesus would suffer him to come to him on the water, on seeing the wind boisterous, cvereome with fear, he cried out as he felt himself sinking, "Lord save me." At another time we find him, under the inflatence of false shame, repeatedly and solemly denying all persosal knowledge of that Saviour whom he now so nobly confessed. And long after the effusion of the Holy Spirit, he was openly rebuked by Paul for his dissimulation in the matter of eating with the Gentile converts, (Gal. ii. 2, 11.)

Peter kimself claimed no such primacy over his brethren as has been demanded for him. Though he -proposed the election of a new apostle in the room of Judas, he left the* choice of the individual to the 120 disciples, (Acts i .26, ) though be spoke at the assembly held at Jerusalem respecting the circumcision of the Gentiles, he does not seem to have presided at the meeting; that, however, appears to have been conferred on James, who speaks of him, with true primitive
simplicity, by his original name, "Sitmon," and proposed the law which was afterwards agreed to. (Acts xv. 13, 21.) And in addressing the ellers or pastors of the churehes in his first Epistle, he simply designates himself as a fullow-elder or co-presbyter. (ehap. v. 1). His chicf distinction was his being specially sent to the Jever, as Patul was to the Gentiles.
And as Peter himself elaimed no such prerogative, so neither was it yielded to him by his brethren or by the churches; thus he was commissioned along with John, ly the apostles. at Jerusalem, to visit the Samaritan converts, and confirm them in tho faith. (Aets viii. 14, 15.) We have also seen that he was rebuked by Paul in presenee of the Chareh at Antioch, which does not look like the recognition of supre-. macy. The samo apostle asserts that in no respect was he inferior to, yea, that he was "not a whit behind the chief of the apostles;" which, even allowing there is a reference to Peter, is a claim of equality at least. (2 Cor. xi. 5.) And the same thing is implied when Paul says, "For he that hath wronght effectually in Peter to the apostleship of the. circumeision, the same was mighty in me towards the Gentiles." (Gal. ii. 8.), that is they were both equally endowed and distinguished in their respective spheres.
But, further; all claim to such supremaey is virtually condemned by our Lord as inconsistent with the spirituality of His kingdom, and with that humility and equality which he inculeated on his disciples. When James. and John had raised the indignation of their brethren by claiming the precedence in his kingdom, "Jesus called them: and said, Ye know that the princes of the Gentiles exercise dominion over them, and they that are great exercise authority upon them; but it shall not be so among you; but whosocver will be great among you, let him le your minister, (or attendant,) and whosoever will be chief among you let him be your servant." (Matt., xx, 25, 28.),

And still more explieitly, when exposing the pride and vanity of the Jewish Scribes, he says, " But be not ye ealled labli, for one is your Master, even Christ, and all ye are brethren, (or equal,) and eall no man your father upon the earth, (the very title arrogated to hinself liy the Bishop of lome, ) for one is your Father, who is in lieaven ; neither be ye called master, for one is your Master, even Christ. (Matt., xxiii, 9, 10.)

Allowing that Peter was, in a limited sense, the rock or foundation of the Chureh, it was only as he was inspired to deliver the doetrines and institutions of the Christian faith, which constitutes the true basis of the spiritual edifice; but tinis, however, he holds, not exelusively, but in common with the rest of his brethren. Thus, Paul, in speaking of the Church as a tomple, represents it as "being built on the foundation "-not of Peter only, but-" of the Apostles and Prophets." (Ephesians, ii, 20.) And in the sublime description of the New Jerusalem which we have in Revelations the wall of the city is said to have twelve foundations, " and in them the names of the twelve Apostles of the Lamb." (Chap. xxi.)

We procced now to an examination of the "keys," and the " linding and loosing." When our Lurd said to Peter, " I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven," it was doultless a figurative expression; but its proper meaning may easily be discovered. A key is to open and fasten a door-it is not a Chureh-door, either of Rome or uny other in this case, but the door of the kingrom. When we do not understand a subject we require a key to the difficulty-iin other words, an explanation. A key to Arithmetic is a book that explains how to do the sums we could not do alone. So a key to the kingdom of heayen is an explanation of its terms,-letting us into a subjeet which is naturally beyond us. The Gospel is frequently deseribed
as a mystery-the bidden wisdom or purpose of Gorl, which eye had not seen, nor heart nor mind entertained; but to which Christ gave the Apostles the key, that they might thus unlock the secrets of the Divine will, and put into God's Book what was for ages locked up in his mind. And this is why the teaching of the Gospol is a revealed mystery-a lock opened by Divine inspiration. We could not find out Gorl and his will,-no key of human construction could open that treasure ; but Christ came to give the keys of the kingdom, to explain and declare what men could not dise cover. And as the IIoly Spirit was the key or explanation to the Apostles, so the writings they have kiequeathed to us, "in the words which the Holy Spirit teacheth," form the If for whole world. In other words, the New Testament, that grand work of the Holy Ghost, is now the key of the Lingdom. This key unlocks what the world never opened by its own wisdom-the doctrine of God, of the soul, of $\sin$, of pardon, of renewal.
This is the doetrine of the keys as taught by men whose happy spirits are now in heaven. Thus Tyndale, an early translator of the Scriptures into English, says, "The keys whereof they (the English Popish bishops), so greatly boast else, save knowledge of the law, and of the promises of the Gospel; if any man for lack of spiritual feeling (be so carnal as to) desire authority of men, let him read the old doctors (in proof). If any man (being spiritual) desire authority of Seripture, Christ saith, (Luke xi,) "Wce be to you lawyers, for ye have taken away the key of knowledala; (as the Papists, in hiding th: Bible, or in burying it under tradition, notes, and false tral ' $\cdot 4,2 ;$;) 50 enter not in "yourselves, and them that cerace is yourbid." That is, "they bad with glosses and trehicrs. hinded the Soriptures, whose knowledge (as it were a ley) letteth into the will
of Gorl, ined ; but hey might nto God's mol this is stery-a $t$ find out on could $\$$ of the not dis. lanation d to us, rm the Testihe key never e soul, whose early keys beast thing f the arnal ctors ority you
at ;
of God." Thus, nlso, Johm Hales, of Eaton Cullege, in a truct concerning the power of the keys, dated "from my study, this 8th day of March, 1637," confirms these views: " The kinglem of heaven is compared to a house, from which all the sons of Adam are by nature excluded; whatsoever then it is that gives us way, that removes all obstacles which hinder us from entrame, that must be understood by the nume of keys. Now, all these means of entrance were all laid down in the gospels of our Lord Jesus Christ, committed. by him fully and first of all to the Apostles, to be reported all the world over."

To whom, then, do these keys belong? To whom does the light of the sun belong? To whom does the air of heaven lelong? We answer, the light belongs to all who have eyes to see, and the air to all who have lungs to breathe. So these keys belong to all who have souls to save. But the professed successors of Peter set up an exclusive claim or monopoly, and they found this exclusive right to the keys on these words, "Thou art Peter, . . . . and I will give unto thee the keys of the kingdom of heaven." It would certainly have fitted better if our Lord had said,"Thou art the Pope of Rome-thou art Pius IX;" but as he mentioned only Peter, quite another individual, plain men will wonder what that has to do with the Pope any inne than with the Emperor of China. But they get out of tiuis by saying, they are Peter's successors. It is, however, very unfortunate for the Pope and his Chureh, that if he were proved Peter's successor the passuge would not help lim, since it happens to make no provision for suceessors. They are not mentioned in the deeds. The only plan, therefore, left for his holiness is to extend the doctrine of transulstantiation, and prove that as the wafer is turned into Christ so the Pope is turned into Peter. The one is just as good as the other, and there is no other defence; for whilst
the Catholic head reeites, "Thou art Peter," Protestant infants reply, with perplexing curtness, "Thou art not Peter," and there the matter ends.
As to the keys being given to Peter, this seems evidently to allude to the important part assigned to him in the setting up of Christ's kingdom in the world. He opened the door of faith, both to the Jews and to the Gentiles; first by the conversion of the three thousand on the day of Pentecost through his instrumentality; and secondly, by his being sent to preach the Gospel to Cornelius, to which he subsequently alludes as a special mark of the Divine favour. (Acts xv. 7.) These were the first fruits of the Christian Church among both elasses; and in this point of view Peter might be said to lay the foundation of the Church, or to open the door of the kingdom. But it is one thing to lay a foundation, and another to be the foundation; and in this respect the apostle could have no successor.
But our Lord said further, in addressing Peter, "Whatby binding and loosing, we find this very same power imparted elsewhere to all the apostles; so when Christ appeared to the eleven after his resurrection, he said to them "Whosesoever sins ye remit they are remitted unto them, and whosesoever sins ye retain they are retained," (John xx .23 ), an expression which is universally admit ${ }^{\text {ºd }}$ to be equivalent to the binding and loosing mentioned by Christ to Peter.

The commission of Jesus to his apestles was to publish laid down as the law, Cbrist would enforee ; what they gave as a promise, $H_{e}$ would fulfil: it is all ratified in heaven. What, therefore, they did when they bound and loosed was priestly servitude, and bind upon men the "yoke of Christ which is easy and his burden which is light." If men were
in carelessness and sin they wero to bind this law upon them, " but now God commandeth all men everywhere to repent." If men were pricked to the heart, and desirous of salvation, they were loosed on this principle which is ratified in heaven, " believe on the Lord Jesus Clirist and thou shalt be saved." If men continued impenitent, then this law is binding, " $l_{10}$ that believeth not shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him." And thus whosesoever sins the apostles by this Gospel law have remitted, they are remitted in heaven; whosesoever sins by those laws are retained, are retained in heaven. So that we have not to go to priests, to none of whom has Christ given power to absolve from sins, but to the apostles, whose writings are the Gospels, and the laws of which are ratified by Christ,--bound in heaven.

No minister in the world, and no ehureh in the world, has power to forgive sins, - the form of absolution in the Romish Church is a blasphemous forgery; a priest is no more than another man ; Christ gives him no power, no authority at all : no chureh has any power in these matters; all that any one can do is to tell men what Christ said by His holy apostles and prophets. The apostles never forgave any sins. Peter himself did not pronounce absolution on Simon Magus, but exhorted him to repent and pray to God for forgiveness; and it is awfully presumptuous in any man to take it upon him, as the Pope and his clergy do, authoritatively to absolve another from $\sin$, or to decide his future destiny. This is the prerogative of God. Would you know, then, whose sins are remitted? Look into the promises of the Gospel, given by the apostles, and ratified by the Saviour. Would you know whose sins are retained? Examine their declarations, -the law they have made binding on the world-the only law by which we shall be tried before the judgment seat of Christ. This is the meaning of being " bound in heaven;"-the sentence of the apostles in tho New Testament about eaeh one
of us, will stand good and be carried out at the last great day. Here is our true "table of sins," and of mereies-all else is false: here is our true ground of absolution-all else is a delusion. So much, then, for the "binding and loosing."

Now supposing we allow that a certain degree of supromacy is granted to Peter in this passage, it does not therefore follow that he was to have any successors in the possession of these prerogatives; far less can it be shown that the Bishops of Rome have any right to appropriate this power to themselves. The Bishop of Antioch or of Jerusalem could have furnished a much better claim to this distinction, if the mere circumstance of Peter's residing or labouring there could be held as a sufficient plea in a matter of such vast importance. The Popish writers pretend that Peter was the founder and first Bishop of the Chureh in Rome-nay, that he presided over it no less than twenty-five years, which would comprehend the greater part of his ministerial life. But there is no evidence in support of this assertion, but the contrary. That the apostle ever was in Rome at all has been doubted by some learned men. (See Spanheim, as quoted by Cave in his life of St. Peter). It seems, however, generally admitted that he either visited that city or was carried a prisoner thither, a short time before his death, about the year 65, and there suffered martyrdom by erucifixion, under the Emperor Nero. Even this rests on the authority of uncertain tradition ; the first writer that alludes to it (Papias in Eusebius) having lived more than a hundred years after the death of the apostle. But that he founded the Chureh there, or presided over it as chief pastor, we have no evidence; there is in fact no intimation in Scripture by whom the Church in Romo was founded; and this seems to have been purposely concealed by the Holy Ghost, as if to refute the pretensions of the Papists. When Paul wrote his Epistle to tho Romans, about the year 58, no apostle seems to have yet been there, for he speaks of his
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anxiety to "impart to them some spiritual gifts," which endowments were generally conferred ly the laying on of the hands of the apostles. On his arrival thither, as related in the last chapter of the Acts, no mention is made of his being introduced to Peter, which would hardly have been omitted had that apostle been there. In the epistles aldressed by Paul from Rome to the Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, Philemon, and Timathy, no salutation is sent from Peter, though several inferior persons are mentioned ; and anoug all the names enusierated in Romans xvi, amounting to between twenty and thirty, no notice is taken of Peter, which seems elearly to prove that when that epistle was written he was not there. From all this it is evident that if Peter ever was in Rome he came thither to die, not to reign ; but the connecting link being wanting, the whole chain falls to the ground.
The earlier Bishops of Rome were humble, devoted men, and some of then suffered martyrdon under the heathen emperors ; they elaimed no authority over their brethren, repudiated the title of universal bishop, and denounced those who would lay elain to it as anti-christ. But with the progress of Christianity the number of professing Christians in Rome was rapidly multiplied, and through the injudicions largesses of the wealthy members, the power and influence of the bishops gradually increased. They also encouraged appeals to themselves from other churches, which gave them a plausible pretence for deciding in matters of controversy. After the eivil establishment of the Christian faith by Constantine the Great, they rapidly rose in pomp and splendour uuder the patronage of the imperial convert ; and it is related that such were the emoluments connected with the office of bishop som after this time, that it became an object of ambition to worldly men, and was contested with all the keenness incilent to a political election.

We need only read the preface to Bower's ' History of the Popes,' to find illustrations of the infamous rise and enormous growth of tho Papal power. It is enough here to state one point, that in the legiming of the Seventh Century (in the year 606) Boniface III. having craftily insinuated himself into the favour of Phocas, obtained of that traitor and murderer the famous reseript, settling the supremacy on the See of Rome, in opposition to the claims of the Patriarch of Constantinople. Phocas was the more easily persuaded to decide the controversy between the (two rival) Sees, (of the two rival cities), Rome and Constantinople, in favour of the See of Rome, because of his hatred to Cyriacus, the Patriarch of Constantinople, who, unlike the loman Bishops, (Boniface, and his predecessor Gregory the Great), had remonstrated with the Emperor for his crimes, whilst the Roman Bishops had palliated his conduct. This Phocas had settled himsolf on the imperial throne by the murder of Maturitius, his lawful sovereign, and the massacre of his six children, and of all his friends and relations. Fiye of the children he caused to be inhumanly butehered in the presence of their father." This man's rescript gave to the Pope that supremacy for which the two rival hierarehs of home and Constantinople inad long contended; and afterwards the Popes fetched about for a Divine right to uphold a human wrong, and they stumbled on the filble of Peter's supremacy and their succession!
Such is the testimony of one who had ample opportunities of examining, and every motive of prejudice and interest to remain in the Roman Church. Boniface III. then atequired the title of Universal Bishop by confirming the infamous Phocas, the murderer and suceessor of the Emperor Maturice, in his usurped dominion. By grants from varions rulers the States of the Chureh were bestowed on the Pope, under the title of "the Patrimony of St. Peter;" and he was raised to
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the rank of a temporai Sovereign ly Pepin, King of France, and his son Charlemagne, about the year 755 . With the acquisition of temporal power, a right was elaimed to the use of the temporal sworl, and thus that Church whose "faith was spoken of throughout the whole world," which was "planted wholly a right seed" and which was "espoused as a chaste virgin to Christ," at last assuned the character of a cruel persecutor, and appeared as the mother of harlots, drunken with the blool of the Saints ond Martyrs of Jesus. The Bishop or chicf Pastor was transformed into the Pope, or Father, by way of eminence, and styled 'his Holiness,' or 'the Holy Father,' a name appropriated to the Most Migh, (John xvii. 11) ; the P'resbyters and Deacons were converted into Cardinals, or Eeclesiastical Princes; the Kings of the earth were required to bow to the authority of the Sovereign Pontiff; refractory kingloms were excomnunicated or interdieted from religious ordinances; princes were crowned, and hishops invested in their office by the haughty prelate of the Vatican, who clained the power of deposing or suspending them, and of absolving suljects from their oath of allegiance to their lawful sovereign,-as in the case of Elizabeth of England : and presuming "to change times and laws," the same "lawless one" published dispensations and granted indulgenees, as if he were literally empowered to open or shut the gates of heaven at his pleasure. The mystery of iniquity is described ly the prophet Daniel, under the symbol of "the little hom," (Dan. vii. 20-25); it is further developed in l'iul's second epistle to the Thessalonians (chap. ii. 5-12), under the character of "the man of sin, the son of perdition;" and it is drawn to the life loy the apostle John in the hevelations, under the two-fold character of "the least with horns like a lamb and speaking as a dragon," and "the gorgeously arrayed harlot, sitting upon the many waters." (Rev. xiii. 17.) But the same prophecies which reveal its rise set
limits to its duration, and prediet its fall. "The Lord hasten III. We shall now briefly advert to certain geveral considerations achich militate gyainst this alleged supremacy. (1.) And, at the first glance, the clains set up liy the advocates of the Papacy seem not only extravagant lut blasphemous. The Saviour himself requires no visible representative on carth. He has no need of any deputy, vicar, or vicegerent, for he hath promised to be with his faithful servants always even to the end of the worlel, and is present in his Divine nature "wherever two or three are gathered together in his name." If any substitute be recognised in the New Testament, it is the Holy Spinit-not a sinful and mortal man, but the promised Comforter, whon the Father has sent in his name, and who shall abide with the Chureh for ever. To act as universal pastor would require the attributes of ombiscience and omnipresence, whieh no ercated being can pretend to. To superintend the affairs of the whole Christian Chareh throughout the world, to bear every appeal, and to deciele in all matters of controversy, is too great a burden to be sustained by any finite creature-far less by a fecble and fallible man. But it is said the Pope is infallible: if so, what shall we make of rival Popes, cach elaining to have the rightful power, and anathematizing and excommunicating tradictory decrees that at different times have been issued by suecessive Pontiffs-one annulling what another had sanctioned or ordained! Infallible! and yet no fewer tham twenty-four grand sehisms, some of them extending throngh various reigns, and others exhibiting the same individual now Pipacy! Iufiallible! and. yet popes have been raised to the papacy hy almost every species of election and patronial
power and usurpation-hy the votes of the Roman Christians, by the voice of the Roman multitude, by the election of priests, by the mandate of an amned mob, hy the appointment of the eivil magistrate, by the choice of eardinals, by the imperious will of courtezans; by the purchase of money, and by the assassination of predecessors! Infallible! and yet some popes, testing them by the Romish creed, were errorists, respecting apocrypha, image-worship, transubstantiation, half-communion, and almost, if not absolntely every peculiar doetrine and rite of Romanism; and others, tested even ly the aggregate orthodox creed, were either heretics, anti-beatitudinarians, salducees, monothelites, pelagians and arians: Yet each of these prpes was the successor of Peter, and Christ's vicegerent upon carth!

All such arrogant pretensions are utterly inconsistent with the Saviour's prerogative, who is repeatedly declared to bee "head over all things to the Church." (Eph., i, 22 ; Col., i, 18.) "Who holls the stars in his right hand, and walks in the milst of the golden candlesticks, and who has the keys of death and of the invisible world." (Rev., $\mathrm{i}, 18$; iii, 7.)
(2.) We have a right to expect some resemblanee in outwurd conalition between the pretended successor of Peter and vicar of Jesus Christ, and those whose representative he clams to be. Take the ease of the Apostle Peter, and is there any point of similarity between him and the Bishop of Rome? Peter was an itinerant preacher travelling on foot from place to place,-the proud prelate of Rome resides at his ease in a palace, surrounded with armed guards, receiving or sending ambassulors, and affecting the style and attributes of royalty. Peter, with the keys of the kingdom, opened the Gosplel to the Jews and proselytes on the day of Pentecost,-the Pope pretends to have the keys that he may lock the Gospel up; iirst, in a musty library; second, in the second-hand vulgate

Latin ; third, in the sense of the Church; fourth, in infamons. notes; fifth, in pastoral licenses. I'cter wrote epistles to the Churches, which make up part of the bible,- the Pope issues bulls against the Bible and Bible Societies. Peter was a married man,-the Pope furbids and disowns it, and salys that Peter put away his wife, whereas onr Lord forbade tho putting of them away save for alultery, so they must eithar traduce Peter's wife or deny P'eter's Lord. Peter said, "I exhort the elders, being myself int ehler, feed the flock of Gorl, not by constraint, lant willingly ; not for filthy luere, but of a ready mind,"-the Jope commands and forees by virtue of Apostolical olsedience, and rerpires the filthy lnere of Purgatory-money and leter-pence, which should be called lope-pence. Peter said, "Silver aml grohd have I none,"-the Pope says, I have the revenues of kings, and tax the world. Peter said, " All of you be subject one to another,'" -the Pope says, all of you be sulpject unto me. Peter says, " Do not lord it over Cool's heritage,"- Int the Pope says, I am a lord, the ruler of Christendom. Peter said, "I am a sinful man, O, Lord,"-hat the Pope is styled " IIis Holiness." But let the comparison be made between the Pope and that "moek and lowly" Teacher, whose vieegerent he claims to be. The one despised and rejected of men, the other flattered and honored by the Prinees of this world,the one going about from city to city preaching the grlat tidings of salvation, the other shutting himself up in solemn seclusion and never appearing but only on some high festival, -the one ridingeon an ass's colt, the other on a richly eaparisoned mule; or in a gilded chariot,-the one clothed in a home-made vestment, tho other in a searlet robe, the one never crowned but with thorns, the other with the ambitious tiara, - the one stretehed on a eross, the other seated on a throne, -the one refusing to be a judge or divider,
the other grasping at universal dominion aul treating kings as vassals and dependents, permitting them to kiss his fout or to hold his stirrup, as Alexander IlI. did to the kings of France and Englamd, or to wait bare-footed at his grate, as Gregory VII. did to IIemry IV., or appearing under a gorgeous camoly lorne on men shoulders, or on an elevated platform so moved hy machinery as to give him the appearance of heiug suspented in the air, while he no sooner is seen at the great wiudow of St. Peter's than the vast multitude fill prostrate hefine him as to an incarnate deity, while hee stretches out lis haul :mid gives them his blessing. Sis true is the predietion of l'an that he "oplosecth and exalteth himself alnove all that is called Gool, or is worshipped, sin that he as Goul sitteth in the temple of Goul, slewing himself that he is Gol." (2 Thess., ii, 3.) Jook on this picture and on that. Are they alike?
(:3) We have a right at least to expect some resemblanee in point of moral character. But though there were unquestionally some popes, especially in the carlier periouls of the Chureh's history, exemplary in their lives, others have heen infamous for profligacy. As early as the Sixth Century Vigilins was excommunieated by a Council of Carthage, and comlemmed as a heretic hy the second Council of Comstantinople ; he changed his ereed six times, and was distintinguished as a traitor and an assassin. In the Ninth Century, Formosus was a simonist and a perjurer, and his corpse, previous to sepulchre, was dragged through the streets of Rome and thrown into the Tiber. Stephen VII. and Boniface VI. were contemporaries-the former is called ly Baronins "a most wicked man"-he condemed his predecessor Formosus, uncarthed his body and set it up for mock julicial trial; but he was hịnself, in his turn, comdemned, debased, and ignominiously strangled. The Tenth Century plunges deep into the ahominations of the l'apacy.

During nine reigus, and also during two preceding ones in tho Nintin Century, the harlots, Theodora, Marizin and Theollora, were the virtual administrutors of the Papal power. Benelict IV. is represented as laving turned the whole Papal court into a brothel. Sergius IIL., is graced with the epithet "most wieked;" he was the fither, hy a harlot, of one of his suceessors. John X. is characterized as " most libidinons;" he was made Arelibishop of havenna and afterwards Pope of Rome by the harlot Theondora, and he was eventually put ignominionsly to ileath ly the harlot Mavizin. Jolm XII. was comvicted of atheism, incest, and general wickedness; he was deposed hy a Roman Council and by the eivil power, but became reinstated in the ehair; he was at last killed in the act of adnultery by an minown hand. Benedict VI. and Boniface VII. were contemporary Popes; the former was strangled in prison hy the latter, and, according to Platina, deserved his fate. Boniface VII. put also two other Popes to death, Dommas II. and John XIV., between whom he himself appears in the ordinary lists of the pretended successors of St. Peter, as, for the time, true Pope; he is deseribed ly historians as an outrageous demon, an incarnate ficnd. John XV . is styled by Baronius "a thief and a rohber." We might go on: the same awful pieture of moral profligatey would present itself in the lives of these pretended viears of Christ. Even the present pontiff has rendered himself odions by his duplicity and tyranny, abating not a jot of the spiritual and temporal authority claimed by his predecessors.
We think we have said enough to show that this claim of supremacy is a monstrous fiction and a proligious experiment on the credulity of mankind. We had in the great exhilition of London the Kolli-noor, or Mountain of Light, a gem of rare value, and a mamufacturer undertook to give file-similes of it-glass imitations. But had he exchanged
the initation for the dianond and sold his glass for a precious stone it would have been an infamous rolbery. So our blessed Lord mado Simon into a precions stone-a gem ; but the Pope comes to pass off upon the world a most wretched and gaudy flash imitation, and charges dearly for it. It is time the eyes of his followers were opened; it is time that the nations repuliated, in the name of reason, in the nethe of liberty, in the name of our common manhooul, in the aume of Christ, in the name of his Gospel, this Popeshi;-this supremacy which holds tho world in spiritual childishness and bondage.
What, then, is to be done? First, without controversy, let us take Luther's psalm, and sing it with more faith aul fervor than we have ever yet done, "God is our refuge and strength, a very present help, in trouble," \&c. "The Lord of l:osts is with us, the God of Jacob is our refuge."

This done, let us sit down to consider. Wisdour is the fruit of reflection, and thought the parent of action.

There are two ways in which this mighty ecelesiastical despotison eonecrns, and threatens most seriously to affeet, us, and in reference to whieh, as in ancient Sparta, who ever, when liberty was in danger, remained neutral, was deened a traitor, so now, whoever shall be found indifferent must be held to betray a sacred trust, so fur as in him lies to place our liberties and religion in jeopardy, and to be himself in peril of the awful denunciation pronounced on some of old, who "came not forth to the help, of the Lord against the mighty."

As patriots, and lovers of our country, the throne, and the constitution under which we live, we are most deeply interested in the present aspect of Roman affuirs. We regard the whole of the movement of the l'apacy, not only here but throughout the world, as nothing more and nothing less than a mighty effort to place us under the dominion of the l'ope,
and if what we have laid before you this evening be truth, that is of all denominations the most dangerons and tho most injurious to the interests of mankind. Should the Pupal power realize its aims and ever sway its secptre over us, to which it now aspires (it matters not whether it lo a civil or ecelesiastical, a tempomal or a spiritual one) Ichabod will be inseribed on our walls, our glory will bo departed, and having partaken of the mystic Bahylon's crimes wo shall shure in her woes. Our country will then be but the grave of all the liberties and immunities sho now enjoys, and as tho records of the past are perused nought hat the sigh of suecessive generations will be heard. "Woe minto us for we are fallen." "If wo look into the land behold larkness and sorrow, and the light is darkened in the heavens thereof."
To prevent such a doom let us, as with the heart of one man, anise, and, forgetting all minor distinctions and merging all our divisions in the one great principle of patrintism, unite with unbroken fronts to face the fue. Lat us proclaim, in tones which cannot be misumlerstod, "We will not have this man to reign over us." Come what will we will not le the vassals or the worshippers of the I'ope of home. The beat blood has been shed, and the noblest victims have perished on the seaffuld or in the flames, to save us from this grasp. We will not be brought under his sway. But there is another and even far more momentous view to be taken of tho movements of Rome, and that is, as they affect the interests of sacred truth anong us, and the pure, vital Christianity of the New Testament. It remains for us to sey whether the keys of the kingdom, delivered to Peter and to the other apostles, and transmitted to us through their inspired writings, shall be taken from us; or in other wonds, whether the great doctrines which the Son of Cool inspired, which the apostles preached, for which Luther struggled and land unvitiatel and unolscured. Is the sacrificinl death of the awfil suffierer on Calvary to be exchangel for the Mass? Is the priesthood of the ouly Mediator to le sulstituted by a priesthood male with hanls? Is the spotless robe of Immamuel's righteousness to be touched and soiled by an aldition of the works of man? Is the merey-seat to be obstructed by the confessional: Is purgatory to bo interposed between heaven and hell? Is the supremacy of Christ to be transferred into the hands of a vile worm of the dust? These are questions which, in all their solemn weight and importanee, are now hefore us, and it behoves us to decite them, and to be up and doing ere the ark of the Lord he in the Philistines' hands. Popery is "another gospel," -a pollution of Christianity,-a perversion of the glad tidings of redeeming merey to a lost world. It misrepresents the character of God. It caricatures the work of Christ. It throws a clond over all tho simer's prospects and cuts away the only fumulation on which he can build safely for eternity. Aus whall the "truth of the grospel," be yielded to such a system as this, and the glorious verities of salvation exchanged for sueh fictions as these? No, not for an hour. Our allegiance to the King of Zion, our olligations to the Saviour of simners, our love to our fellow-men, and our responsibility to the juige of all forbid it and summons us with trmapet voiee to mited enterprise and action now.

Ours is the battle. The aggression is theirs. They have made the onslaught. We will repel it, repel it to a man. liather let us say "the hattle is not ours, but the Lord's." He calls us to it. He has prepared the amour. He hids us go, equipped therein, to the field. The weapons of our wartive are not camal, but spiritual. Reason, truth, seripture, and prayer-we disclaim all others; bat these we mean to employ to the utmost of what our laws and constitution
will allow. No peace with Rome. But we love to contem-
hi the God under whom they were engaged is the "same yesterday, to-day, and for ever." To us as to them there is but "one body, and one spirit, and one Lord, and one faith, one baptism, one God and Father of all, who is above all and through all and in all." The watchword of the enemy is "Divide and conquer.:" let ours be " Union is strength." Thus the petty states of Grece wasted their resources in mutual wars; but when the great King of Persia appeared on their shores, followed lyy a million of men, they laid aside their ancient grievances, united together as one man, and drove back the comınon enemy with shame and dishonour, to
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his own land. Nothing can be more appropriate to our subject or our position than the touching and dying prayer of the young King Edward, "O Jord, heal the divisions of Protestants and deliver the kingdom from Papistry."
It is our comfort to know that the true Church of Christ is indestructilic. It is fumated, nei on Peter, not on Propes, lut on the rock of cternal truth, "Othere foundation cinn in" man lay," \&e. It was prepared in heaven, laid on earth, revealed in the Gospel. and seleeted by Prophets, Apostles. and righteous men as their own. "Behold I lay in Zion," \&c. On this true believers of every age and of every clime have built ; and if resting on this, all Churehes, all Apostles. and all Christians are equal. In this respect there is no preference, and no superiority, among them. All are safe, and all are honorable. Soon may that day come, when every nation shall be summoned, every heart awake, and every car open, to hear the Eternal Jehoval, amidst the joys of saints, the songs of angels, and the acelimations of the universe, proclaim that glorious truth which the insjived Prophet delighted to record. "'The hands of Zerubabel have laid the foundations of this house; his hands shall also finish it ; and he shall bring forth the heal stone thereof with shoutings, crying, Grace, grace mito you." Let all the people saly Amen.
"What though the gates of hell withstood,
Yet must this building rise :
'Tis thine own work, Almighty God, And wondrous in our eyes."



